

Canadian Agency for  
Drugs and Technologies  
in Health

Agence canadienne  
des médicaments et des  
technologies de la santé



## HEALTH TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT RAPID REVIEW



Endobronchial Ultrasound for Lung Cancer  
Diagnosis and Staging: A Review of the  
Clinical- and Cost-Effectiveness



*Supporting Informed Decisions*

**Until April 2006, the Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health (CADTH) was known as the Canadian Coordinating Office for Health Technology Assessment (CCOHTA).**

Publications can be requested from:

CADTH  
600-865 Carling Avenue  
Ottawa ON Canada K1S 5S8  
Tel. 613-226-2553  
Fax 613-226-5392  
Email: [pubs@cadth.ca](mailto:pubs@cadth.ca)

or downloaded from CADTH's website:  
<http://www.cadth.ca>

***Cite as:*** Ho C, Clark M, Argáez C. *Endobronchial Ultrasound for Lung Cancer Diagnosis and Staging: A Review of the Clinical- and Cost-Effectiveness*. Ottawa: Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health; 2009.

Production of this report is made possible by financial contributions from Health Canada and the governments of Alberta, British Columbia, Manitoba, New Brunswick, Newfoundland and Labrador, Northwest Territories, Nova Scotia, Nunavut, Prince Edward Island, Saskatchewan, and Yukon. The Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health takes sole responsibility for the final form and content of this report. The views expressed herein do not necessarily represent the views of Health Canada, or any provincial or territorial government.

Reproduction of this document for non-commercial purposes is permitted provided that appropriate credit is given to CADTH.

CADTH is funded by Canadian federal, provincial, and territorial governments.

Legal Deposit – 2009  
National Library of Canada  
ISBN: 978-1-926680-08-8 (print)  
ISBN: 978-1-926680-09-5 (online)  
M0011 – August 2009

PUBLICATIONS MAIL AGREEMENT NO. 40026386  
RETURN UNDELIVERABLE CANADIAN ADDRESSES TO  
CANADIAN AGENCY FOR DRUGS AND TECHNOLOGIES IN HEALTH  
600-865 CARLING AVENUE  
OTTAWA ON K1S 5S8

**Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health**

**Endobronchial Ultrasound for Lung Cancer Diagnosis and Staging: A Review of the Clinical- and Cost-Effectiveness**

Chuong Ho, MD<sup>1</sup>  
Michelle Clark, BSc<sup>1</sup>  
Charlene Argáez, MLIS<sup>1</sup>

August 2009

---

<sup>1</sup>Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health, Ottawa, Ontario.



Canadian Agency for  
Drugs and Technologies  
in Health

**HTA**

## *HEALTH TECHNOLOGY INQUIRY SERVICE (HTIS)*

Health technology assessment (HTA) agencies face the challenge of providing quality assessments of medical technologies in a timely manner to support decision-making. Ideally, all important deliberations would be supported by comprehensive health technology assessment reports, but the urgency of some decisions often requires a more immediate response.

The Health Technology Inquiry Service (HTIS) provides Canadian health care decision makers with health technology assessment information, based on the best available evidence, in a quick and efficient manner. Inquiries related to the assessment of health care technologies (drugs, devices, diagnostic tests, and surgical procedures) are accepted by the service. Information provided by the HTIS is tailored to meet the needs of decision-makers, taking into account the urgency, importance, and potential impact of the request.

Consultations with the requestor of this HTIS assessment indicated that a review of the literature would be beneficial. The research question and selection criteria were developed in consultation with the requestor. The literature search was carried out by an information specialist using a standardized search strategy. The review of evidence was conducted by one internal HTIS reviewer. The draft report was internally reviewed and externally peer-reviewed by two or more peer reviewers. All comments were reviewed internally to ensure that they were addressed appropriately.

## Reviewers

These individuals kindly provided comments on this report:

Stephen Lam, MD FRCPC FCCP  
Professor of Medicine,  
University of British Columbia  
Chair, Lung Tumour Group,  
BC Cancer Agency  
Vancouver, British Columbia

Alain Tremblay, MDCM  
Clinical Associate Professor of Medicine,  
University of Calgary  
Calgary, Alberta

Jason Agulnik, MD CM FRCPC  
Assistant Professor of Oncology,  
McGill University Faculty of Medicine  
Jewish General Hospital  
Montreal, Quebec

CADTH takes sole responsibility for the final form and content of this report. The statements and conclusions in this report are those of CADTH and not of its reviewers.

The Health Technology Inquiry Service (HTIS) is an information service for those involved in planning and providing health care in Canada. HTIS responses are based on a limited literature search and are not comprehensive, systematic reviews. The intent is to provide a list of sources and a summary of the best evidence on the topic that CADTH could identify using all reasonable efforts within the time allowed. HTIS responses should be considered along with other types of information and health care considerations. The information included in this response is not intended to replace professional medical advice, nor should it be construed as a recommendation for or against the use of a particular health technology. Readers are also cautioned that a lack of good quality evidence does not necessarily mean a lack of effectiveness, particularly in the case of new and emerging health technologies for which little information can be found, but which may in future prove to be effective. While CADTH has taken care in the preparation of the report to ensure that its contents are accurate, complete, and up to date, CADTH does not make any guarantee to that effect. CADTH is not liable for any loss or damages resulting from use of the information in the report.

**Copyright:** This report contains CADTH copyright material. It may be copied and used for non-commercial purposes, provided that attribution is given to CADTH.

**Links:** This report may contain links to other information available on the websites of third parties on the Internet. CADTH does not have control over the content of such sites. Use of third-party sites is governed by the owners' own terms and conditions.

**Conflict of Interest:** Dr. Alain Tremblay indicated that the University of Calgary has received grants from Olympus Canada for support of an interventional pulmonary medicine training program and for Continuing Medical Education (CME) events on endobronchial ultrasound.

# ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

CT	Computed tomography
EBUS	Endobronchial ultrasound
EBUS-TBNA	Endobronchial ultrasound-guided transbronchial needle aspiration
EUS	Transesophageal ultrasound
EUS-FNA	Transesophageal ultrasound-guided fine needle aspiration
HTA	Health technology assessment
MRI	Magnetic resonance imaging
NSCLC	Non-small cell lung cancer
PET	Positron emission tomography
TBNA	Transbronchial needle aspiration

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS .....	ii
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....	iv
1 CONTEXT AND POLICY ISSUES.....	1
2 RESEARCH QUESTIONS.....	1
3 METHODS .....	1
4 SUMMARY OF FINDINGS .....	2
4.1 Clinical-effectiveness of EBUS .....	2
4.2 Cost-effectiveness of EBUS .....	3
5 CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS FOR DECISION- OR POLICY-MAKING .....	3
6 REFERENCES.....	4
APPENDIX 1: Literature Search Strategy .....	6
APPENDIX 2: Selected Reports for Clinical and Economic Review.....	9

# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## Context and Policy Issues

Lung cancer is the leading cause of death from malignancies in Canada and worldwide. The accurate diagnosis and staging of lung cancer help to ensure that early and suitable treatment and determination of a prognosis occur. Endobronchial ultrasound (EBUS) involves the use of an ultrasound probe to generate images of pulmonary and mediastinal structures, and allows for minimally invasive sampling of peripheral pulmonary, mediastinal, and hilar lesions. The built-in probe allows for real time guidance during EBUS-transbronchial needle aspiration (TBNA). Because of its recent introduction and increased use in practice, a review of the clinical- and cost-effectiveness of the use of EBUS in the diagnosis and staging of lung cancer is needed.

## Research Questions

1. What is the clinical-effectiveness of endobronchial ultrasound (EBUS) for the diagnosis and staging of lung cancer?
2. What is the cost-effectiveness of EBUS for the diagnosis and staging of lung cancer?

## Methods

Published literature was obtained by cross-searching EMBASE and MEDLINE databases on the Ovid search system between 2004 and April 2009. Regular alerts were established and were current to May 28, 2009. Parallel searches were performed on PubMed and The Cochrane Library (Issue 1, 2009) databases. The websites of regulatory agencies, and health technology assessment and related agencies, were searched, as were specialized databases. The Google™ search engine was used to search for information on the Internet.

## Summary of Findings

One health technology assessment, one meta-analysis, two cost analyses, and three observational studies on the use of EBUS for lung cancer diagnosis and staging were identified.

In the 2009 meta-analysis, the overall diagnostic accuracy of EBUS-TBNA in detecting metastatic mediastinal lymph nodes in patients who were suspected of having lung cancer was assessed. EBUS-TBNA had a pooled sensitivity of 0.93 (95% CI, 0.91 to 0.94) and a pooled specificity of 1.00 (95% CI, 0.99 to 1.00) for lung cancer detection and staging. When compared directly, EBUS-TBNA was found to be more sensitive than conventional TBNA. The findings from recent trials were in agreement with the findings of the meta-analysis on EBUS-TBNA diagnostic accuracy.

The health technology assessment (HTA) examined the effectiveness and safety of EBUS for detection and staging in patients with suspected or established lung cancer. No meta-analysis was performed, and the conclusions that were presented came from individual trials. The HTA found a statistically significantly increased diagnostic yield for mediastinal lymph nodes when EBUS-TBNA was compared to conventional TBNA.

The value of EBUS-guided procedures in the Australian health care system was examined in a 2008 cost-effectiveness analysis. The cost per procedure for EBUS-TBNA was calculated to be A\$1,120 (C\$996), which represented a savings of A\$347 (C\$308) per patient compared to conventional TBNA for staging or diagnosis. Calculations that were based on the Canadian population suggest that the use of EBUS-TBNA instead of conventional TBNA could provide an annual cost savings of between C\$839,000 and C\$1,286,400.

## Conclusions and Implications for Decision- or Policy-Making

Based on the existing evidence, EBUS is an accurate and safe tool for use in lung cancer diagnosis and staging. The cost-effectiveness of EBUS has not been formally evaluated, but findings from cost-analyses showed that compared to TBNA, EBUS-TBNA reduced the per patient staging cost by 24%. There are minimal data comparing EBUS to its current comparator, mediastinoscopy.



**Title:** Endobronchial Ultrasound for Lung Cancer Diagnosis and Staging: A Review of the Clinical- and Cost-Effectiveness

**Date:** August 2009

## 1 CONTEXT AND POLICY ISSUES

Despite advances in treatment, lung cancer is the leading cause of death from malignancies in Canada and worldwide.<sup>1</sup> Accurate diagnosis and staging of lung cancer are needed not only to start early treatment and determine the prognosis, but also to decide the most suitable treatment plan.<sup>2,3</sup> The presence or absence of metastatic disease in the mediastinal lymph nodes is determined during staging.<sup>2-4</sup>

Mediastinal staging includes non-invasive staging (imaging) and invasive staging (sampling). Computed tomography (CT), positron emission tomography (PET), and PET-CT are used for non-invasive staging.<sup>5,6</sup> Invasive staging, which provides a definitive tissue diagnosis, involves the use of surgical biopsy (mediastinoscopy); or needle biopsy, such as transesophageal ultrasound (EUS), endobroncheal ultrasound (EBUS), conventional transbronchial needle aspiration (TBNA), CT fluoroscopy-guided TBNA, EUS-guided fine needle aspiration (EUS-FNA), CT-guided transthoracic needle aspiration (CT-TTNA), electromagnetic guidance bronchoscopy-TBNA, and EBUS-guided TBNA (EBUS-TBNA).<sup>5,6</sup>

EBUS is a new technology that involves the introduction of an ultrasound probe into the thoracic region via the bronchial airway while patients are under conscious sedation or general anesthesia.<sup>7</sup> The probe is used to generate images of pulmonary and mediastinal structures. Its use allows minimally invasive sampling of peripheral pulmonary lesions, and mediastinal and hilar lesions.<sup>8,9</sup> The development of the built-in linear probe, as opposed to a radial probe, enables real time guidance during EBUS-TBNA.<sup>10,11</sup>

Because of its recent introduction and its increased use in clinical practice, a review of the clinical-effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of EBUS for the diagnosis and staging of lung cancer is needed.

## 2 RESEARCH QUESTIONS

1. What is the clinical-effectiveness of endobronchial ultrasound (EBUS) for the diagnosis and staging of lung cancer?
2. What is the cost-effectiveness of EBUS for the diagnosis and staging of lung cancer?

## 3 METHODS

The following bibliographic databases were searched through the Ovid interface: MEDLINE, MEDLINE In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations and EMBASE. Parallel searches were run in PubMed and The Cochrane Library (Issue 1, 2009). The search strategy comprised controlled vocabulary, such as the National Library of Medicine's MeSH (Medical Subject Headings), and keywords. No filters were applied to limit the retrieval by study type. See Appendix 1 for the detailed search strategies.

The search was restricted to English language articles that were published between 2004 and April 2009. Regular alerts were established on EMBASE and MEDLINE, and information that was retrieved via alerts was current to May 2009.

Grey literature (literature that is not commercially published) was identified by searching the websites of health technology assessment (HTA) and related agencies, professional associations, and other specialized databases. Google and other Internet search engines were used to search for additional information. These searches were supplemented by hand-searching the bibliographies and abstracts of key papers, and through contacts with appropriate experts and agencies.

Studies that were published in languages other than English or French, and that satisfied the inclusion criteria, were translated only if the abstract indicated that the outcomes were likely to have an impact on the findings in the report. Duplicate publications of the same trial were excluded.

The quality of the HTA and meta-analysis were assessed using the Oxman and Guyatt criteria for the quality of systematic reviews.<sup>12</sup> Economic study quality was assessed using Drummond's quality checklist.<sup>13</sup>

## 4 SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

One health technology assessment, one meta-analysis, two cost analyses, and three observational studies were identified regarding the use of EBUS for lung cancer diagnosis and staging. The flow chart documenting the process for selection of the included reports appears in Appendix 2.

### 4.1 Clinical-effectiveness of EBUS

A 2009 meta-analysis assessed the overall diagnostic accuracy of EBUS-TBNA in detecting metastatic mediastinal lymph nodes in lung cancer.<sup>14</sup> The meta-analysis included 11 prospective studies that enrolled a total of 1,299 patients, ranging in age from 58 years old to 69 years old (median 61 years old), who were suspected of having lung cancer. Eight studies enrolled patients who were selected on the basis of positive CT or PET results, and the remaining three studies enrolled patients regardless of CT or PET results. Among the patients who had positive CT or PET results of suspected lymph node metastasis, the sensitivity of EBUS-TBNA was higher (0.94, 95% CI, 0.93 to 0.96) compared to those who did not have positive CT or PET results (0.76, 95% CI, 0.65 to 0.85). EBUS-TBNA had a pooled sensitivity of 0.93 (95% CI, 0.91 to 0.94) and a pooled specificity of 1.00 (95% CI, 0.99 to 1.00) for lung cancer detection and staging. Complications occurred in two patients (0.15%). One patient experienced a

major pneumothorax and required chest tube drainage after the EBUS-TBNA procedure, and one patient experienced minor hypoxemia during the procedure (this complication is not EBUS-specific). The meta-analysis received a high-quality score when it was assessed, based on Oxman and Guyatt's criteria for scientific quality.<sup>12</sup>

Two of the studies that were included in the meta-analysis compared EBUS-TBNA to conventional TBNA<sup>15</sup> and to mediastinoscopy.<sup>16</sup> EBUS-TBNA was found to be more sensitive than conventional TBNA. It detected 69% compared with 36% of malignant lymph nodes ( $P = 0.003$ ) in 138 patients who had lung or mediastinal abnormality on CT. In another population of 66 patients who were clinically suspected of having non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), EBUS-TBNA detected 91% of malignancies compared to 78% with mediastinoscopy ( $P = 0.007$ ). Three prospective trials looked at the diagnostic accuracy of EBUS-TBNA in a total of 500 patients who were suspected of having lung cancer.<sup>17-19</sup> The findings from these prospective studies agree with the conclusion of the meta-analysis. The sensitivities of mediastinal lymph node staging range from 85% to 90%, with specificities of 100%.

A 2008 HTA focussed on the effectiveness and safety of EBUS in the detection and staging of lung cancer in patients with established or suspected lung cancer.<sup>20</sup> This HTA did not include a meta-analysis, and the conclusions of the report were based on an assessment of the findings from the individual trials. The HTA found that EBUS-TBNA statistically significantly increased the diagnostic yield for mediastinal lymph nodes compared to conventional TBNA (80% versus 71%,  $P < 0.05$ ), particularly for locations other than subcarinal lymph nodes (84% versus 58%,  $P < 0.001$ ). Based on Oxman and Guyatt's assessment tool for scientific quality,<sup>12</sup> this HTA was not considered to be of high quality. There were no methods to combine the findings of the relevant studies, and the conclusions were partially supported by the data.

## 4.2 Cost-effectiveness of EBUS

A cost-effectiveness analysis published in 2008 examined whether or not the introduction of EBUS-guided procedures for the investigation of NSCLC, mediastinal and hilar masses, endobronchial cancer, and peripheral lung lesions represented value for money in the Australian health care system.<sup>21</sup> The cost analysis indicated that the cost of EBUS-TBNA was A\$1,120 per procedure (C\$996), which translated to a savings of A\$347 per patient (C\$308) compared with conventional TBNA for NSCLC staging or diagnosis of mediastinal and hilar masses. This reflects the economic benefits that are associated with the improved yield resulting from the use of EBUS-guided procedures. This cost analysis was considered to be rigorous, based on Drummond's quality checklist for economic studies.<sup>13</sup> A cost study also found that a combined approach of TBNA, EBUS-TBNA, and mediastinoscopy is the most cost-effective for mediastinal lymph node staging in NSCLC, compared to TBNA alone, EBUS-TBNA, or mediastinoscopy.<sup>22</sup>

According to the Australian cost analysis, the estimated number of patients who are eligible per year to undergo pathological assessment for NSCLC staging and diagnosis of mediastinal masses ranges from 1,456 to 2,262 (15% to 23%) among a total of 9,611 patients with lung cancer. Based on Canadian Cancer Statistics,<sup>1</sup> the number of new cases of lung cancer in Canada in 2009 is estimated to be 23,400.

Assuming that the figures in Australia apply to Canada and that the procedural costs in the two countries are similar, between 3,510 and 5,382 Canadian patients could undergo EBUS-TBNA per year, with total costs ranging from an estimated C\$3,496,000 to an estimated C\$5,360,000 per year. The use of EBUS-TBNA instead of conventional TBNA would provide an annual cost-savings of C\$839,000 to C\$1,286,400.

## 5 CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS FOR DECISION- OR POLICY-MAKING

Given the existing evidence, EBUS is an accurate and safe tool in lung cancer diagnosis and staging. The cost-effectiveness of EBUS has not been evaluated, but cost-analyses show that EBUS-TBNA reduced the staging cost by 24% per patient. Using Australian cost-analysis data, and assuming that the costs are similar in Canada, the use of EBUS-TBNA compared to the use of conventional TBNA in Canada for NSCLC staging and the diagnosis of mediastinal masses could lead to estimated savings of C\$0.8 million to C\$1.3 million per year in the Canadian health care system. The limitation of this cost analysis is that conventional TBNA is not the standard of care for mediastinal staging. There are minimal data on the comparison of EBUS to mediastinoscopy.

## 6 REFERENCES

1. Canadian Cancer Society's Steering Committee. *Canadian cancer statistics 2009*. Toronto: Canadian Cancer Society; 2009. Available: [http://www.cancer.ca/Ontario/About%20cancer/Cancer%20statistics/~/\\_media/CCS/Canada%20wide/Files%20List/English%20files%20heading/pdf%20not%20in%20publications%20section/Stats%202009E%20Cdn%20Cancer.ashx](http://www.cancer.ca/Ontario/About%20cancer/Cancer%20statistics/~/_media/CCS/Canada%20wide/Files%20List/English%20files%20heading/pdf%20not%20in%20publications%20section/Stats%202009E%20Cdn%20Cancer.ashx) (accessed 2009 May 13).
2. Midthun DE. Overview of the initial evaluation, treatment and prognosis of lung cancer. In: *UpToDate* [database online]. Online Version 16.2. Waltham (MA): UpToDate; 2008.
3. *Non-small cell lung cancer* [NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology]. Fort Washington (PA): National Comprehensive Cancer Network; 2009. Available: [http://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\\_gls/PDF/nscl.pdf](http://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/PDF/nscl.pdf) (accessed 2009 Feb 23).
4. Yasufuku K, Fujisawa T. Staging and diagnosis of non-small cell lung cancer: invasive modalities. *Respirology* 2007;12(2):173-83.
5. Yasufuku K, Nakajima T, Fujiwara T, Chiyo M, Iyoda A, Yoshida S, et al. Role of endobronchial ultrasound-guided transbronchial needle aspiration in the management of lung cancer. *Gen Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2008;56(6):268-76.
6. Schipper P, Schoolfield M. Minimally invasive staging of N2 disease: endobronchial ultrasound/transesophageal endoscopic ultrasound, mediastinoscopy, and thoracoscopy. *Thorac Surg Clin* 2008;18(4):363-78.
7. Yasufuku K, Nakajima T, Chiyo M, Sekine Y, Shibuya K, Fujisawa T. Endobronchial ultrasonography: current status and future directions. *J Thorac Oncol* 2007;2(10):970-9.
8. Sheski FD, Mathur PN. Endobronchial ultrasound. *Chest* 2008;133(1):264-70. Available: <http://www.chestjournal.org/content/133/1/264.full.pdf+html?sid=e21fbfaf-3b7f-4b00-b589-9c58db813ad2> (accessed 2009 May 13).
9. El-Bayoumi E, Silvestri GA. Bronchoscopy for the diagnosis and staging of lung cancer. *Semin Respir Crit Care Med* 2008;29(3):261-70.
10. Gomez M, Silvestri GA. Endobronchial ultrasound for the diagnosis and staging of lung cancer. *Proc Am Thoracic Soc* 2009;6(2):180-6.
11. Steinfort DP, Wurzel D, Irving LB, Ranganathan SC. Endobronchial ultrasound in pediatric pulmonology. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 2009;44(4):303-8.
12. Oxman AD, Guyatt GH. Validation of an index of the quality of review articles. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1991;44(11):1271-8.
13. Drummond MF, Sculpher MJ, Torrance GW, O'Brien BJ, Stoddart GL. *Methods for the economic evaluation of health care programmes*. 3rd ed. Oxford (UK): Oxford University Press; 2005.
14. Gu P, Zhao YZ, Jiang LY, Zhang W, Xin Y, Han BH. Endobronchial ultrasound-guided transbronchial needle aspiration for staging of lung cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Cancer* 2009.
15. Wallace MB, Pascual JMS, Raimondo M, Woodward TA, McComb BL, Crook JE, et al. Minimally invasive endoscopic staging of suspected lung cancer. *JAMA* 2008;299(5):540-6. Available: <http://jama.ama-assn.org/cgi/reprint/299/5/540> (accessed 2009 May 13).
16. Ernst A, Anantham D, Eberhardt R, Krasnik M, Herth FJF. Diagnosis of mediastinal adenopathy-real-time endobronchial ultrasound guided needle aspiration versus mediastinoscopy. *J Thorac Oncol* 2008;3(6):577-82.
17. Szlubowski A, Kuzdzal J, Kolodziej M, Soja J, Pankowski J, Obrochta A, et al. Endobronchial ultrasound-guided needle aspiration in the non-small cell lung cancer staging. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2009;35(2):332-6.

18. Hwangbo B, Kim SK, Lee HS, Lee HS, Kim MS, Lee JM, et al. Application of endobronchial ultrasound-guided transbronchial needle aspiration following integrated positron emission tomography/CT in mediastinal staging of potentially operable non-small cell lung cancer. *Chest* 2009;135(5):1280-7.
19. Omark PH, Eckardt J, Hakami A, Olsen KE, Jorgensen OD. The value of mediastinal staging with endobronchial ultrasound-guided transbronchial needle aspiration in patients with lung cancer. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2009.
20. Sabirin J, Mohd Tahir N. *Endobronchial ultrasound (EBUS)* [health technology assessment]. Malaysia: Health Technology Assessment Unit, Ministry of Health Malaysia; 2008. Available: <http://www.inahta.org/Publications/Briefs-Checklist-Impact/2008/3815/> (accessed 2009 Apr 21).
21. *Endobronchial ultrasound-guided procedures* [MSAC application 1108]. Canberra, Australia: Department of Health and Ageing, Commonwealth of Australia; 2008. Available: [http://www.health.gov.au/internet/msac/publishing.nsf/Content/115CC907F00447B3CA2575AD0082FD6C/\\$File/1108report.pdf](http://www.health.gov.au/internet/msac/publishing.nsf/Content/115CC907F00447B3CA2575AD0082FD6C/$File/1108report.pdf) (accessed 2009 Jul 14).
22. Kunst PWA, Eberhardt R, Herth FJF. Combined EBUS real time TBNA and conventional TBNA are the most cost-effective means of lymph node staging. *J Bronchol* 2008;15(1):17-20.

# APPENDIX 1: Literature Search Strategy

OVERVIEW	
Interface:	Ovid
Databases:	EMBASE <1996 to 2009 Week 26> Ovid Medline In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations <1950 to present> <b>Note:</b> Subject headings have been customized for each database. Duplicates between databases were removed in Ovid.
Date of Search:	April 20, 2009
Alerts:	Monthly search updates began April 20, 2009 and ran until May 30, 2009.
Study Types:	All study types
Limits:	Publication years 2004-May 2009 Humans English
SYNTAX GUIDE	
/	At the end of a phrase, searches the phrase as a subject heading
.sh	At the end of a phrase, searches the phrase as a subject heading
MeSH	Medical Subject Heading
fs	Floating subheading
exp	Explode a subject heading
*	Before a word, indicates that the marked subject heading is a primary topic; or, after a word, a truncation symbol (wildcard) to retrieve plurals or varying endings
#	Truncation symbol for one character
ADJ	Requires words are adjacent to each other (in any order)
ADJ#	Adjacency within # number of words (in any order)
.ti	Title
.ab	Abstract

MULTI-DATABASE STRATEGY		
Line #	Search Strategy	Results
1	EBUS.ti.ab. or bronchi/us	331
2	Bronchi/ or Bronchoscopy/ or Bronchoscopes/	57113
3	(Endobronchial or bronchial or bronchi or bronchoscope*or esophageal).ti,ab	89896
4	1 or 3 or 2	124023
5	Endosonography/ or Ultrasonography/	135211
6	(ultrasound* or ultrasonography or endosonograph* or endoscop* or ultrasonic).ti,ab.	415364
7	6 or 5	477712
8	3 or 2	124007
9	8 and 7	5255

<b>MULTI-DATABASE STRATEGY</b>		
10	Lung neoplasms/ or NSCLC.ti,ab.	138244
11	((lung or pulmonary) adj3 (neoplasm* or cancer* or carcinoma* or biopsy or biopsies)).ti,ab.	138985
12	11 or 10	203424
13	1 or 9	5281
14	13 and 12	1266
15	limit 14 to yr="2004 -Current"	535
16	exp Endobronchial ultrasonography/	117
17	(EBUS or endobronchial echograph* or endobronchial ultrasound* or echo-endoscop* or esophageal ultrasound* or bronchial ultrasound* or endobronchial ultrasonograph* or bronchial ultrasonograph* or bronchial echography or esophageal ultrasonograph* or esophageal echograph*).ti,ab.	709
18	16 or 17	744
19	Lung cancer/	152594
20	Lung cancer/ or nsclc.ti,ab.	161332
21	((lung or pulmonary) adj3 (neoplasm* or cancer* or carcinoma* or biopsy or biopsies)).ti,ab.	138985
22	21 or 19 or 20	210637
23	22 and 18	358
24	23 or 14	1308
25	limit 24 to english language	843
26	limit 25 to yr="2004 - 2009"	437
27	26 use prmz	208
28	26 use emef	229

<b>OTHER DATABASES</b>	
PubMed	Same MeSH, keywords, limits, and study types used as per Medline search, with appropriate syntax used.
Cochrane Library Issue 1, 2009	Same MeSH, keywords, and date limits used as per Medline search, excluding study types and Human restrictions. Syntax adjusted for Cochrane Library databases.

## Grey Literature

Dates for Search:	January 2004 – April 2009
Keywords:	EBUS, endobronchial ultrasound, lung cancer
Limits:	Publication years 2004 – April 2009

The following sections of the CADTH grey literature checklist, *Grey Matters: A Practical Search Tool for Evidence-Based Medicine* (<http://www.cadth.ca/index.php/en/cadth/products>) were searched:

- Health Technology Assessment Agencies
- Health Economics
- Clinical Practice Guidelines
- Drug and Device Regulatory Approvals
- Advisories and Warnings
- Clinical Trials (ongoing)
- Databases (free)
- Internet Search
- Open-Access Journals.

### **Organizations**

Canadian Cancer Society ([http://www.cancer.ca/Canada-wide.aspx?sc\\_lang=en](http://www.cancer.ca/Canada-wide.aspx?sc_lang=en)).



## APPENDIX 2: Selected Reports for Clinical and Economic Review

