



# Distribution and Internal Migration of Canada's Physiotherapist Workforce

H e a l t h   H u m a n   R e s o u r c e s



Canadian Institute  
for Health Information

Institut canadien  
d'information sur la santé



# **Distribution and Internal Migration of Canada's Physiotherapist Workforce**

**Prepared by:  
J. Roger Pitblado, PhD  
Laurentian University, Sudbury, Ontario**

All rights reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and recording, or by any information storage and retrieval system now known or to be invented, without the prior permission in writing from the owner of the copyright, except by a reviewer who wishes to quote brief passages in connection with a review written for inclusion in a magazine, newspaper or broadcast.

Requests for permission should be addressed to:

Canadian Institute for Health Information  
495 Richmond Road  
Suite 600  
Ottawa, Ontario  
K2A 4H6

Phone: 613-241-7860

Fax: 613-241-8120

[www.cihi.ca](http://www.cihi.ca)

ISBN 978-1-55465-085-9 (PDF)

© 2007 Canadian Institute for Health Information

How to cite this document:

Canadian Institute for Health Information, *Distribution and Internal Migration of Canada's Physiotherapist Workforce* (Ottawa: CIHI, 2007).

Cette publication est aussi disponible en français sous le titre *Répartition et migration interne des physiothérapeutes au Canada*

ISBN 978-1-55465-086-6 (PDF)

# Distribution and Internal Migration of Canada's Physiotherapist Workforce

## Table of Contents

|   |    |
|---|----|
| Acknowledgements .....  | ix |
| Distribution and Internal Migration Series .....                                | x  |
| Executive Summary .....   | xi |
| Introduction .....  | xi |
| Highlights .....  | xi |
| Introduction .....  | 1  |
| Background.....   | 2  |
| Structure of the Report.....  | 5  |
| Distribution and Internal Migration of Canada's Physiotherapist Workforce ..... | 7  |
| Demographic Characteristics .....   | 7  |
| Geographical Distribution .....   | 13 |
| Migration .....   | 18 |
| Summary Notes.....  | 30 |
| Appendix A—Methodological Notes .....   | 31 |
| Appendix B—A Brief Summary of Internal Migration in Canada .....                | 41 |
| Appendix C—Physiotherapist Workforce—Supplementary Tables .....                 | 53 |
| Appendix D—National Occupational Classification (NOC) Definitions .....         | 61 |
| References .....  | 71 |

## List of Tables

|            |   |    |
|------------|---|----|
| Table 1.   | Physiotherapist Workforce by Province/Territory and Canada, 1991, 1996 and 2001.....  | 8  |
| Table 2.   | Physiotherapists: Migration Between Larger Urban Centres and Rural and Small-Town Areas of Canada, 1991 to 2001 .....   | 28 |
| Table B1.  | Total Migrants in the General Canadian Workforce by Province/ Territory and Canada, 1991, 1996 and 2001 .....   | 42 |
| Table B2.  | Interprovincial Migration Flows for All Persons in the General Canadian Workforce: Numbers of Five-Year Interprovincial Migrants by Province/ Territory of Residence for Census Years 1991, 1996 and 2001 ..... | 48 |
| Table B3.  | General Canadian Workforce: Number of Interprovincial Out-, In- and Net-Migrants by Province and Territory, 1991, 1996 and 2001 .....   | 49 |
| Table B4.  | General Canadian Workforce: Migration Between Larger Urban Centres and Rural and Small-Town Areas .....   | 50 |
| Table B5.  | General Canadian Workforce: Summary of Urban–Rural Migration Flows (as a percent of total migrants) by Province and Territory for 1991, 1996 and 2001 Census Years.....   | 52 |
| Table C1.  | Percentage of Females in the Physiotherapist Workforce by Province/ Territory and Canada, 1991, 1996 and 2001 .....   | 53 |
| Table C2.  | Estimated Average Age (Years) of Physiotherapists and the Differences from the General Workforce by Province/Territory and Canada, 1991, 1996 and 2001.....   | 54 |
| Table C3.  | Age Distribution (Percent) of Physiotherapists by Province/Territory and Canada, 1991 .....   | 54 |
| Table C4.  | Age Distribution (Percent) of Physiotherapists by Province/Territory and Canada, 1996.....  | 55 |
| Table C5.  | Age Distribution (Percent) of Physiotherapists by Province/Territory and Canada, 2001 .....   | 55 |
| Table C6.  | Number of Physiotherapists per 100,000 Population by Province/Territory and Canada, 1991, 1996 and 2001.....  | 56 |
| Table C7.  | Physiotherapists: Numbers of Interprovincial Migrants by Province/Territory of Residence, 1986 to 1991 .....  | 56 |
| Table C8.  | Physiotherapists: Numbers of Interprovincial Migrants by Province/Territory of Residence, 1991 to 1996 .....  | 57 |
| Table C9.  | Physiotherapists: Numbers of Interprovincial Migrants by Province/Territory of Residence, 1996 to 2001 .....  | 57 |
| Table C10. | Physiotherapists: Numbers of Out-, In- and Net-Migrants by Province/ Territory, 1991, 1996 and 2001 .....   | 58 |

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Table C11. Physiotherapists: Migration Between Urban Regions and Areas of Canada<br>by Sex, 1991, 1996 and 2001 .....  | 58 |
| Table C12. Physiotherapists: Summary of Urban–Rural and Intraprovincial–<br>Interprovincial Migration Flows by Province/Territory, 1991,<br>1996 and 2001..... | 59 |

## List of Figures

|            |  |    |
|------------|--|----|
| Figure 1.  | Percentage of Females in the Physiotherapist and General Canadian Workforces, 1991, 1996 and 2001 .....  | 9  |
| Figure 2.  | Age Distribution of Physiotherapists and the General Canadian Workforce, Canada, 1991 .....  | 11 |
| Figure 3.  | Age Distribution of Physiotherapists and the General Canadian Workforce, Canada, 1996 .....  | 12 |
| Figure 4.  | Age Distribution of Physiotherapists and the General Canadian Workforce, Canada, 2001 .....  | 13 |
| Figure 5.  | Number of Physiotherapists per 100,000 Population by Province/Territory and Canada, 1991, 1996 and 2001 .....                                      | 14 |
| Figure 6.  | Number of Physiotherapists per 100,000 Population, Mapped by Census Division, Canada, 2001 .....   | 16 |
| Figure 7.  | Percentage Distribution of Physiotherapists and the General Population by Urban/Rural Locations, Canada, 1991, 1996 and 2001 .....                 | 17 |
| Figure 8.  | Percentage Migration Composition (Place of Residence Five Years Ago) for Physiotherapists by Province/Territory and Canada, 1991 .....             | 19 |
| Figure 9.  | Percentage Migration Composition (Place of Residence Five Years Ago) for Physiotherapists by Province/Territory and Canada, 1996 .....             | 20 |
| Figure 10. | Percentage Migration Composition (Place of Residence Five Years Ago) for Physiotherapists by Province/Territory and Canada, 2001 .....             | 21 |
| Figure 11. | Principal 1986-to-1991 Migration Destinations as a Percentage of Interprovincial Migrant Physiotherapists by Province/Territory of Residence..     | 22 |
| Figure 12. | Principal 1986-to-1991 Migration Destinations as a Percentage of All Interprovincial Migrants of Canada by Province/Territory of Residence .....   | 23 |
| Figure 13. | Principal 1996-to-2001 Migration Destinations as a Percentage of Interprovincial Migrant Physiotherapists by Province/Territory of Residence..     | 24 |
| Figure 14. | Principal 1996-to-2001 Migration Destinations as a Percentage of All Interprovincial Migrants of Canada by Province/Territory of Residence .....   | 25 |
| Figure 15. | Net Interprovincial Migration Rates for Physiotherapists by Province/Territory, 1991 and 2001 .....  | 27 |
| Figure 16. | Physiotherapists: Rural and Small-Town Net Migration by Age Group, Canada, 1991, 1996 and 2001 .....   | 29 |
| Figure B1. | Percentage Migration Composition (Place of Residence Five Years Ago) for the General Canadian Workforce by Province/Territory and Canada, 1991 ... | 43 |
| Figure B2. | Percentage Migration Composition (Place of Residence Five Years Ago) for the General Canadian Workforce by Province/Territory and Canada, 1996 ... | 44 |



|            |  |    |
|------------|--|----|
| Figure B3. | Percentage Migration Composition (Place of Residence Five Years Ago) for the General Canadian Workforce by Province/Territory and Canada, 2001 ... | 45 |
| Figure B4. | Principal Destinations: Percentage of the General Canadian Workforce Who Moved Interprovincially, 1991 .....                                       | 46 |
| Figure B5. | Principal Destinations: Percentage of the General Canadian Workforce Who Moved Interprovincially, 2001 .....                                       | 47 |
| Figure B6. | General Canadian Workforce: Rural and Small-Town Net Migration by Age Group, Canada, 1991, 1996 and 2001 .....                                     | 51 |



## **Acknowledgements**

The Canadian Institute for Health Information (CIHI) wishes to thank Dr. J. Roger Pitblado for authoring this report. Dr. Pitblado is Professor Emeritus of Geography and a Senior Research Fellow of the Centre for Rural and Northern Health Research, Laurentian University, Sudbury, Ontario.

CIHI also wishes to gratefully acknowledge Statistics Canada for contributing aggregate data from the census database and for providing valued guidance on the use of census data.

CIHI wishes to acknowledge and thank the following individuals for their contributions to *Distribution and Internal Migration of Canada's Physiotherapist Workforce*:

- Brent Barber, Program Lead, CIHI;
- Jean-Marie Berthelot, Vice President, CIHI;
- Robin Carrière, Program Lead, CIHI;
- Deborah Cohen, Manager, CIHI;
- Barbara Loh, Quality Assurance Assistant, CIHI;
- Anne-Marie Robert, Senior Analyst, CIHI;
- Francine Anne Roy, Director, CIHI;
- Jill Strachan, Clients Affairs Manager, CIHI;
- Josée Vallerand, Senior Analyst, CIHI; and
- Chrissy Willemse, Senior Analyst, CIHI.

The research and analysis in the present document are based on data from Statistics Canada, and the opinions expressed do not necessarily represent the views of Statistics Canada.

The analyses of the distribution and internal migration patterns of physicians are based on data from Scott's Medical Database.

## **Distribution and Internal Migration Series**

This document is part of a series examining the geographical distribution and internal migration of various groups of health professionals within Canada.

Reports in this series cover the following occupations:

- Audiologists and speech-language pathologists;
- Dental assistants;
- Dental hygienists and dental therapists;
- Dentists;
- Licensed practical nurses;
- Medical laboratory technicians;
- Medical laboratory technologists and pathologists' assistants;
- Medical radiation technologists;
- Medical sonographers;
- Occupational therapists;
- Pharmacists;
- Physicians (specialist physicians and general practitioners/family physicians);
- Physiotherapists;
- Registered nurses (with head nurses and supervisors and registered psychiatric nurses); and
- Respiratory therapists, clinical perfusionists and cardiopulmonary technologists.

# Executive Summary

## Introduction

Health care is a complex enterprise, relying heavily on the skills and efforts of many individuals. While this workforce is relatively large in Canada, it is not evenly distributed geographically in relation to the distribution of the general population. This distribution of health care providers is constantly being modified by internal migration—the movement of health care workers within provinces or territories or from one province or territory to another.

Very few studies have been undertaken on the geographical distribution and mobility of most health care providers in Canada. This stems primarily from the fact that there are limited sources of data upon which to base such analysis. However, the Canadian Census of Population, in spite of its limitations, can provide some of this information.

The present publication is based primarily on the census and begins an exploration of the geographical distribution and internal migration patterns of more than 20 groups of health care providers in Canada. For each profession in the study, either a report or a series of graphs and tables (available from the website of the Canadian Institute for Health Information at [www.cihi.ca](http://www.cihi.ca)) has been prepared. For each health care occupation, the reports provide:

- Preliminary empirical analysis of the numbers of people in the occupation and selected demographic characteristics;
- An examination of provincial/territorial and subprovincial geographical distribution;
- Initial analyses of internal (interprovincial and intraprovincial)<sup>i</sup> mobility patterns; and
- For each of the descriptive categories listed above, temporal comparisons using data from 1991, 1996 and 2001.

## Highlights

The present report examines the numbers, selected demographic characteristics and the geographical distribution and internal migration patterns of Canada's physiotherapist workforce.

## Workforce Numbers

- In 2001, the census recorded a total of 16,440 physiotherapists in Canada, an increase of 43% compared with 1991.
- Large increases in the numbers of workers in this occupational group were typical in all provinces and territories.

---

i. Intraprovincial migrants include individuals who lived in the same province or territory, but in a different city, town, village, township, municipality or Indian reserve five-years prior to the census year. Interprovincial migrants include those who lived in a different province or territory five-years prior to the census year.

## **Demographic Trends**

- In 2001, 80% of the physiotherapists in Canada were female, compared with 85% 10 years earlier.
- As with many occupational groups in Canada, the physiotherapist workforce is aging: the average age in 2001 was 39 years, compared with 36 years in 1991.
- While the average age of physiotherapists is lower than that of members of the general Canadian workforce, the differences between the two groups is diminishing: three years and two years in 1991 and 2001, respectively.

## **Geographical Distribution**

- The number of physiotherapists per 100,000 population increased by 30%, from 42 in 1991 to 55 in 2001.
- Over this 10-year period, provincial and territorial ratios followed the national pattern of increases in the numbers of physiotherapists per population counts.
- There was a 2% increase in the proportion of physiotherapists in rural Canada over the period (from 1991 to 2001), while there was a 2% decrease in the overall population living in rural and small-town Canada. There was still a significant difference between the two, with only 13% of this workforce located in rural areas of the country, compared with 21% of the population.

## **Internal Migration Patterns**

- In 1991, 39% of the physiotherapist workforce could be categorized as migrant (international, interprovincial or intraprovincial), but this proportion decreased to 28% by 2001.
- With interprovincial movement of physiotherapists, B.C. had the highest positive net migration in 1991, 1996 and 2001.
- Rural areas of the country experienced relative gains in the numbers of physiotherapists in both 1996 and 2001, compared with overall losses in 1991. The 2001 urban-to-rural flow of physiotherapists differed from the negative rural net migration<sup>ii</sup> flows of the general population.

---

ii. See Appendix A for an explanation of net migration.

## Introduction

Health care is a complex enterprise, relying heavily on the skills and efforts of many individuals. In Canada, more than 1 million people, close to 1 in 10 employed Canadians, work in health and social services.<sup>1, 2</sup> It is recognized that "none of the pressing challenges facing Canada's health care system can be met without focusing on the people who make the system work."<sup>3</sup> While this workforce is relatively large, it is not evenly distributed geographically in relation to the distribution of Canadians as a whole.<sup>4, 5</sup> In addition, the geographical distribution of Canada's health care workforce is constantly being modified by internal migration, movement of health care workers within provinces or territories or from one province or territory to another.

For example, Professor John Helliwell, an economist at the University of B.C., is quoted as saying that, "the interprovincial flow of physicians is far larger than the flow to the U.S. Maldistribution is as much or more of a problem than migration southward."

(This can be found in a short commentary written in 1999 by Charlotte Gray in the *Canadian Medical Association Journal*.)<sup>6</sup>

The issue of internal migration of health professionals in Canada has been the subject of some debate in recent years. The following examples of media coverage and public commentary highlight the public interest in this topic.

- "In the midst of one of Nova Scotia's worst health-care labour disputes, disgruntled lab technologists flocked yesterday to the welcoming arms of an Alberta recruiter." (2001 Canadian Press article carried by *The Globe and Mail*)
- "Within Canada, inter-provincial migration is not a big concern, although the urban-rural balance is."<sup>7</sup> On the other hand, it has been observed that "a majority of RNs, whose migration is associated with going to school after their initial nursing education, do not return to the jurisdiction where they were first registered."<sup>8</sup>
- "Recruitment and retention strategies are being pursued by every province as they grapple with chronic shortages of physicians (both GPs and specialists), nurses, radiation technologists and other professionals. Provincial health ministers are openly complaining about bidding wars between provinces over a dwindling resource pool, with everyone trying to outdo the other with signing bonuses and other contractual bells-and-whistles."<sup>9</sup>

The quotations above are based on personal views, with little supporting documentary evidence. The fact is, we have very little information about the relative distribution and migration patterns of most health professionals in Canada. Few studies in Canada provide information about the geographical distribution or internal migration patterns of health care professionals in this country, with the exceptions of physicians and nurses. The present report is part of a series exploring these key issues for Canada's health human resources (HHR).

The reports in this series provide:

- Preliminary empirical analysis of the numbers in each profession, as well as selected demographic characteristics;
- Provincial/territorial and subprovincial geographical distribution for each profession; and
- Internal (intraprovincial and interprovincial) mobility patterns for 15 of Canada's health care provider groups.

A list of all of the health occupations included in the study may be found in the Methodological Notes (Appendix A).

The present report examines the physiotherapist workforce. Because of the lack of adequate databases, it has been noted that very few HHR studies have been undertaken and that there "is very little data to describe the labour market and labour mobility of physiotherapists"<sup>10</sup> in Canada.

## **Background**

On World Health Day in April 2006, the World Health Organization (WHO) released its annual report and stated that "at the heart of each and every health system, the workforce is central to advancing health."<sup>11</sup> In Canada, the need to pay special attention to HHR issues had already been recognized through numerous commissions and task forces, such as the Commission on the Future of Health Care in Canada (which published the Romanow Report)<sup>12</sup> and the Standing Committee on Social Affairs, Science and Technology (which published the Kirby Report).<sup>13</sup> In addition, the Health Council of Canada was established to monitor and report on the implementation of the 2003 First Ministers' Accord on Health Care Renewal. The accord recognized that "appropriate planning and management of HHR is key to ensuring that Canadians have access to the health providers they need."<sup>14</sup>

Simply put, the goal of HHR planning is "having the right people with the right skills in the right place at the right time to provide the right services to the right people."<sup>15</sup>

Unfortunately, there is no single database in Canada that can be used to address all of these points. But some of the components of HHR planning can be assessed using the Canadian Census of Population.<sup>iii</sup> In this series of reports, the census is used to explore the geographical distribution and internal migration patterns of health care providers relative to the general population of Canada.

---

iii. The characteristics of the Canadian Census of Population are described on the Statistics Canada website ([www.statcan.ca](http://www.statcan.ca)).



## Professional Counts and Basic Demographic Information

For many of the health professions included in this study, there is very little information about the relative counts of each profession and the basic demographic age and sex information. Simple supply-based information is critical for HHR planning and management of the health system. This fundamental supply-based information is provided in the reports in order to establish a starting point of basic information for all health professions in this series.

## Geographical Distribution

The primary interest in geographical distribution for HHR planning is in the spatial distribution of health care providers relative to the distribution of the general population. It is the mismatch between the spatial distribution of the general Canadian public and that of health personnel that captures the attention of the public, mass media, policy-makers, health care administrators and researchers.<sup>16</sup> It is this mismatch that has generated a substantial body of literature dealing with shortages of<sup>17, 18, 19, 20, 21</sup> and imbalances in<sup>22, 23</sup> human resources for health.

However, the geographical distribution of HHR in Canada is only moderately well understood. In *Health Personnel Trends in Canada, 1995 to 2004*,<sup>24</sup> CIHI described the various stages of evolution of its HHR information systems. At one end of the spectrum are the "mature supply-based information systems," which include the National Physician Database, Scott's Medical Database (formerly the Southam Medical Database) and the Registered Nurses Database (RNDB). Based on these sources, the CIHI *Supply and Distribution* and *Work Force Trends* series of reports provide detailed information on the provincial and territorial distribution of physicians and registered nurses. Similar levels of detail are now emerging with the Licensed Practical Nurses Database (LPNDB) and the Registered Psychiatric Nurses Database (RPNDB). At the present time, other HHR databases within CIHI belong to the category of "immature supply-based information systems." For the other health professional groups included in this series, as well as other health care providers that are not included, our knowledge of their geographical distribution is rudimentary.

Publications based on CIHI databases and other administrative databases or surveys such as the census have generally failed to examine subprovincial distributions of HHR. Exceptions include *Geographic Distribution of Physicians in Canada*<sup>16</sup> and its update, *Geographic Distribution of Physicians in Canada: Beyond How Many and Where*,<sup>4</sup> as well as *Supply and Distribution of Registered Nurses in Rural and Small Town Canada, 2000*.<sup>5</sup> Other than these studies of physicians and nurses, no national geographical studies with subprovincial analyses appear to exist for any of the other health care providers in Canada. The present series is designed to address that omission, within the limitations of the data employed.

## Migration

One of the questions included in a recent WHO guide to the assessment of human resources for health is, "To what extent does internal migration of staff create distributional imbalance of human resources for health?"<sup>25</sup> In a review of Canada's health care providers, a similar question was posed: "How many regulated and unregulated health care providers move each year and what is the impact of their migration on health care services?"<sup>26</sup>

Migration may be viewed as the dynamic component of geographical distribution as people move from source to destination regions. It is also a reflection of a major HHR planning issue, namely recruitment and retention, with recruitment implying an increase in mobility and retention implying a decrease in mobility. Migration can affect source and destination regions in many different ways. In the context of remote rural communities in the United Kingdom, for example, it has been argued that "health professionals, working and residing locally, make a valuable contribution to the social structure of remote communities, in addition to health care, social care and economic contributions."<sup>27</sup> Similar comments have been made in the context of the migration of rural nurses in Canada.<sup>8</sup>

There exists a substantial volume of articles and reports dealing with the importance of analysis of interprovincial and, to a lesser extent, intraprovincial migration of the general population in Canada. Examples of this work include the examination of specialized data sets based on tax files<sup>28</sup> as well as census data.<sup>29, 30, 31, 32, 33</sup> A summary of the internal migration of the general Canadian workforce, which excludes all health care providers, is provided in Appendix B. It includes a brief literature review, as well as original computations using some of the data and methods that the present series is based on.

Missing from the list of publications referred to above and even in the bibliographies that these authors provide, are analyses of the migration patterns of Canada's HHR. Searches in both the academic and popular literature for references dealing with HHR migration will yield many citations. But in those results, "migration" tends to refer to immigration or emigration (that is, international migration) and "HHR" tends to focus on physicians or nurses, but rarely dentists, pharmacists, medical laboratory technologists, physiotherapists, etc.

In many information sources specific to Canada, the overall impression when dealing with HHR is that migration means "brain drain to the United States." While international migration is not an inconsequential issue, the volumes of internal HHR migrants are far larger, especially for some provinces, territories and regions of the country. But internal migration patterns are submerged within general migration studies of the Canadian population. That is not to say that there are no Canadian HHR migration studies. There are academic journal articles and reports that deal with both physicians<sup>34, 35, 36, 37</sup> and nurses.<sup>iv, 38</sup> For all other groups of health care personnel, internal migration (and even international migration) is considered important, but so far has merited very little in-depth analysis.<sup>39, 40, 41</sup>

---

iv. CIHI published the following reports on nurses in 2005: *Supply and Distribution of Registered Nurses in Canada*, *Workforce Trends of Registered Nurses*, *Workforce Trends of Registered Psychiatric Nurses* and *Workforce Trends of Licensed Practical Nurses*.

Essentially, almost all internal migration studies of HHR in Canada have been concerned with interprovincial movement. None provide details with respect to intraprovincial migration patterns or on focused themes such as rural–urban movement of health personnel.

Perhaps it is the case that “migration is often the most difficult component of population change to accurately model and forecast.”<sup>42</sup> But there is little opportunity for accurate modelling or forecasting of Canadian HHR migration at the present time because so little work has been done to date. This is partially due to data inadequacies. Several HHR models in Canada do include migration, but the mobility details are rarely made public.<sup>43, 44</sup> HHR modelling overall appears to be in some difficulty in Canada. In a recent general review of the country's modelling capacity, it was concluded that, “given the breadth of HHR research in universities, research institutes, professional associations and other organizations across Canada and the fact that HHR planning is a high priority, component activity of ministries of health in each jurisdiction of Canada's federal system, the number of robust HHR models identified and discussed in this report can be described as meagre.”<sup>45</sup>

Our understanding of the patterns and significances of HHR movement will not advance unless we fully exploit the databases that are already available to us and include in our analyses all groups of health care professionals, not just physicians and nurses. This project is designed to contribute to that understanding by using the Canadian Census of Population.

## **Structure of the Report**

The purpose of this study is to provide an empirical analysis of the distribution and internal migration of selected health care providers of Canada. The introduction, Appendix A (Methodological Notes), Appendix B (A Summary of Internal Migration in Canada) and Appendix D (National Occupational Classification Definitions) are common to all of the reports in the present series. The main section of this report examines the geographical distribution and the internal migration patterns of the selected health occupation. The features of this health occupation are compared with the spatial and temporal patterns of the aggregate of all non-health occupations or the general population. As indicated in Appendix A, occupations and migration patterns identified through the census apply only to people 15 years of age and older. However, the present series of publications deals primarily with health care providers who are 20 years of age or older.

## **Demographic Characteristics**

Many of the traditionally one gender–dominated health occupations are now seeing shifts in gender profiles. For example, there are increasing numbers of male nurses and female physicians. Another distribution that is of concern to HHR planners is that of aging—aging of the general population, aging of the overall workforce and aging of the HHR workforce. This form of distribution is discussed in the present report using broad age categories that are enumerated in Appendix A. A temporal element is included in the present analyses by examining data from two or more of the three censuses (1991, 1996 and 2001) that form the focus for these reports.

## **Geographical Distribution**

The geography of a health occupation is examined in terms of numbers and as ratios of the general population. These are expressed in terms of provincial counts and ratios, as well as by urban–rural categories. The objective is to determine whether the distribution of a health occupation reflects the geographical distribution of the general population. Spatial features of each occupation by sex and age category are also illustrated.

## **Internal Migration**

The aggregate statistics available for the present study allow for an overall discussion of migration composition and migration flows. These are five-year migration patterns for three points in time: 1991, 1996 and 2001.

For Canada and each province and territory, **migration composition** identifies the makeup of the selected geographical units in terms of the numbers and proportions of people who fall into the following categories and subcategories:

Non-movers:

- a) Lived at the **same address** five years ago.

Movers:

- a) Non-migrant: lived at a **different address** within the **same community** five years ago;
- b) Intraprovincial internal migrant: lived in a **different community** within the **same province or territory** five years ago;
- c) Interprovincial internal migrant: lived in a **different province or territory** five years ago; and
- d) International migrant: lived **outside of Canada** five years ago.

For each geographical unit examined, the sections of the report dealing with migration composition identify the proportions of all movers and non-movers from five years ago. The data sets that are employed for this study do not indicate which country the external migrants emigrated from. As with the analyses of geographical distribution, the examination of migration composition includes both temporal and spatial analyses.

**Migration flows** identify source and destination areas of migrants. In this report, flows are generalized at the level of interprovincial flows as well as urban–rural flows, which include both interprovincial and intraprovincial mobility numbers and rates. As a component of this part of the analysis, migration rates are computed for the aggregate of Canada's urban and rural areas.

# **Distribution and Internal Migration of Canada's Physiotherapist Workforce**

*Physiotherapists are identified by the code D042 in the Standard Occupational Classification 1991 and the National Occupational Classification for Statistics 2001. A summary definition of this occupational group is provided in Appendix D.*

## **Demographic Characteristics**

### **Workforce Numbers**

In 2001, the census estimated that there were a total of 16,440 physiotherapists in Canada (see Table 1). Over the 10-year period from 1991 to 2001, the total number of physiotherapists in Canada increased substantially, by 43%.

Large increases in the numbers of workers in this occupational group were typical in all provinces and territories. The provinces with the largest raw numbers of physiotherapists were Quebec and Ontario. These two provinces experienced substantial increases over the 10-year period under study, of 44% and 38%, respectively. Substantial increases in both the number and proportion of physiotherapists were also characteristic of Alberta and B.C.

Even provinces with comparatively smaller total populations of physiotherapists experienced substantial increases over the 10-year period. These increases ranged from a low of 21% in Nova Scotia to a high of 150% in Prince Edward Island. Although the overall totals are relatively small, the territories virtually tripled their numbers of physiotherapists from 1991 to 2001.

Most provinces also saw a steady increase in the number of physiotherapists from census year to census year. Only Saskatchewan and the Yukon Territory had fewer physiotherapists in 1996 compared with 1991. However, the 1996 decreases were minimal and could be attributed to the random rounding procedures adopted by Statistics Canada for the release of census data.

**Table 1. Physiotherapist Workforce by Province/Territory and Canada, 1991, 1996 and 2001**

|               | 1991   | 1996   | 2001   | Percentage Change<br>1991–2001 |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|--------------------------------|
| <b>N.L.</b>   | 140    | 180    | 205    | (+ 46)                         |
| <b>P.E.I.</b> | 20     | 40     | 50     | (+ 150)                        |
| <b>N.S.</b>   | 340    | 375    | 410    | (+ 21)                         |
| <b>N.B.</b>   | 190    | 255    | 345    | (+ 82)                         |
| <b>Que.</b>   | 3,045  | 3,450  | 4,390  | (+ 44)                         |
| <b>Ont.</b>   | 4,130  | 4,760  | 5,715  | (+ 38)                         |
| <b>Man.</b>   | 415    | 440    | 530    | (+ 28)                         |
| <b>Sask.</b>  | 380    | 375    | 490    | (+ 29)                         |
| <b>Alta.</b>  | 1,255  | 1,440  | 1,680  | (+ 34)                         |
| <b>B.C.</b>   | 1,605  | 2,135  | 2,600  | (+ 62)                         |
| <b>Y.T.</b>   | 20     | 10     | 50     | (+ 150)                        |
| <b>N.W.T.</b> | 10     | 30     | 30     | (+ 200)                        |
| <b>Canada</b> | 11,500 | 13,425 | 16,440 | (+ 43)                         |


**Note:** 2001 Northwest Territories data include Nunavut.

**Source:** Statistics Canada, Census of Population.

### **Sex Distribution**

While the physiotherapist workforce is predominantly female, the general Canadian workforce (all non-health occupations) is predominantly male. In 2001, 80% of physiotherapists in Canada were female (see Figure 1) compared with 46% of the general Canadian workforce. However, over the 10-year period from 1991 to 2001, the percentage of female physiotherapists decreased by 5%. This decreasing trend in the proportion of females is directly opposite to that of the general Canadian workforce, which over the same 10-year period experienced an increase of 2%.

**Figure 1. Percentage of Females in the Physiotherapist and General Canadian Workforces, 1991, 1996 and 2001**



Source: Statistics Canada, Census of Population.

Consistent with the Canadian trend, the majority of provinces also experienced decreases in the proportions of female physiotherapists from 1991 to 2001 (see Appendix C, Table C1). The largest decrease in the proportion of female physiotherapists was experienced in Newfoundland and Labrador (11%). Saskatchewan and B.C. both had decreases of 7%. Provinces with increases in the proportions of female physiotherapists included Nova Scotia (5%) and Manitoba (2%).

Throughout the study period, jurisdictions with the lowest proportions of female physiotherapists were Quebec in 1991 (81%), P.E.I. in 1996 (67%) and Newfoundland and Labrador in 2001 (70%). Females made up 100% of the physiotherapist workforce in several provinces and territories throughout the decade under analysis (see Table C1). This was the case for P.E.I. and the territories in 2001.

### Age Distribution

Overall, according to census data, the physiotherapist workforce in Canada is aging (see Appendix C, Table C2). In 2001, the average age of physiotherapists in Canada was 39 years, compared with 36 years in 1991. Relative to the average age of the general Canadian workforce, physiotherapists are younger. However, the difference between the two groups is diminishing. Over the decade, on average, physiotherapists were three years younger than members of the general workforce in 1991 and two years younger for the years 1996 and 2001, respectively.

The aging profile for physiotherapists at the national level is also common to many provinces. In 2001, on average, the youngest physiotherapists were located in New Brunswick (an average age of 35) and Saskatchewan (an average age of 36); the oldest were located in B.C. (an average age of 41). When comparing the average ages of members of the general Canadian workforce (20 years of age and older), Saskatchewan also had the oldest workers (an average age of 42).

Figures 2 to 4 highlight the aging of the physiotherapist workforce in Canada. These graphs illustrate the age distribution of this occupational group compared with the general Canadian workforce, 20 years of age and older, for the years 1991, 1996 and 2001.

In 1991, 31% of the physiotherapist workforce were in the youngest age group (20 to 29 years), whereas only 26% of the Canadian workforce fell into this age group. By 2001, the proportion of individuals in this age group had decreased by 9% for physiotherapists and 3% for the general Canadian workforce.


At the other end of the age spectrum and during the 10-year period under analysis, the proportions of those 60 years of age and older were higher in the general Canadian workforce than in the physiotherapist workforce. In 2001, for example, 7% of the general workforce was 60 years of age or older compared with 3% of physiotherapists. Interestingly, even though the overall workforce is aging, the proportion of physiotherapists in the 60-and-over age group remained virtually the same over this 10-year period (3%).

While the proportion of physiotherapists in the 60-and-over age group remained stable, the average age of the remainder of the Canadian physiotherapist workforce progressively increased. In 1991, 23% of physiotherapists were 40 to 49 years of age and 7% were in the 50 to 59 age group. By 2001, the percentages of physiotherapists in these age groups were 27% and 14%, respectively. In the latter age group (50 to 59 years) the proportion of physiotherapists increased 7% compared with 4% for the general workforce.

Additional age distribution profiles by province/territory and Canada are provided in Appendix C—Table C3 (1991), Table C4 (1996) and Table C5 (2001).




Figure 2. Age Distribution of Physiotherapists and the General Canadian Workforce, Canada, 1991




Source: Statistics Canada, Census of Population.

**Figure 3. Age Distribution of Physiotherapists and the General Canadian Workforce, Canada, 1996**



Source: Statistics Canada, Census of Population.

**Figure 4. Age Distribution of Physiotherapists and the General Canadian Workforce, Canada, 2001**



Source: Statistics Canada, Census of Population.

## Geographical Distribution

Provincial and territorial variations in the numbers of physiotherapists are illustrated in Table 1. In this section of the report, the associations between the numbers of physiotherapists relative to the number of people in the general population are examined across various geographical areas. Geographic distribution is discussed further by looking at urban-rural distribution of physiotherapists compared with the general Canadian population.

## Population Ratios

Based on census data, the association between the number of physiotherapists and the general Canadian population can be explored by examining occupation-to-population ratios.<sup>v</sup>

In 2001, there were 55 physiotherapists per 100,000 population in Canada. The number of physiotherapists per 100,000 population increased by 30% from 1991 to 2001. The ratios for this occupational group increased from 42 physiotherapists per 100,000 population in 1991 to 47 and 55 in 1996 and 2001, respectively.


v. In this report, as shorthand, occupation-to-population ratios (such as the number of physiotherapists per 100,000 population) are referred to simply as "ratios" or "the ratios."

Figure 5 and Table C6 in Appendix C show that provincial/territorial patterns in the population ratios for physiotherapists generally followed the increases experienced for Canada overall. These increasing ratios are also consistent with the overall increase in the total numbers of physiotherapists earlier described in Table 1. In spite of the significant increases in the total numbers of physiotherapists in Alberta, that province's percentage increase was the lowest in Canada, 15% from 1991 to 2001. During that period of time, the general population of Alberta increased by 17%.

In 2001, the highest proportion of physiotherapists relative to provincial population was 67 in B.C., followed closely by Quebec with a ratio of 61. In that year, P.E.I. had the lowest number of physiotherapists per 100,000 population, at 37. P.E.I. had the lowest ratio in all three census years examined in this study.

In the territories, the very large proportional difference in the 1991 and 2001 population ratios for physiotherapists is simply a reflection of the very small numbers of these health care providers in these areas. The numbers do, however, reinforce the observation (Table 1) that these areas of the country, especially the Yukon, increased their numbers of physiotherapists between 1991 and 2001.

**Figure 5. Number of Physiotherapists per 100,000 Population by Province/Territory and Canada, 1991, 1996 and 2001**



**Note:** 2001 Northwest Territories data include Nunavut.


**Source:** Statistics Canada, Census of Population.

While these provincial/territorial ratios are useful, they mask the local variations that can be illustrated by mapping (Figure 6) the ratios by census division (CD). For this map, the physiotherapists per 100,000 population have been grouped by CD into the following classes: 120 or more, 80 to 119, 40 to 79 and less than 40 (but greater than 0). The mapping category labelled as "No CD-Level Data" identifies those CDs where there are actually no physiotherapists or the number of physiotherapists is small and has been suppressed by the random rounding or area suppression procedures for the release of data from Statistics Canada.

Some of the features of the map are noted below:

- CD-level data on physiotherapists are available for just over half (52%) of the 288 CDs in Canada in 2001.
- Rural areas are shown in Figure 6 as having few physiotherapists; they are located primarily in urban areas of the country or within close proximity of those high population density locations.
- The most common range (40 to 49) in the numbers of physiotherapists per 100,000 population is found in a total of 73 (25%) CDs and these are widely distributed across the country.
- Over half (59%) of Canadians live in CDs where the number of physiotherapists per 100,000 population ranges from 40 to 49.
- Physiotherapists are distributed across the majority of most provinces' CDs; Manitoba and Saskatchewan are the exceptions, with physiotherapists located in relatively few census divisions, particularly those containing larger urban centres.
- The highest ranges (80 to 119 and 120 and over) in the physiotherapist population ratios are found in Quebec and, to a lesser extent, B.C.

Figure 6. Number of Physiotherapists per 100,000 Population, Mapped by Census Division, Canada, 2001




Source: Statistics Canada, 2001 Census of Population.

### Urban–Rural Distributions

Figure 7 illustrates the variations in the distribution of physiotherapists among urban–rural locations in the three census years under study. The graph also allows for an urban–rural comparison of physiotherapists and the Canadian population in general.

In each of the years 1991, 1996 and 2001, the proportion of physiotherapists in urban areas of the country was consistently higher than of the general population. However, the urban–rural distribution of physiotherapists and the general population are becoming more similar over time. From 1991 to 2001, the proportion of physiotherapists living in rural areas of the country increased by 2%. This is directly opposite to the comparison group, where there was a decrease of 2% in the proportion of the general population living in rural areas.

**Figure 7. Percentage Distribution of Physiotherapists and the General Population by Urban/Rural Locations, Canada, 1991, 1996 and 2001**



Source: Statistics Canada, Census of Population.

## Migration

### Migration Composition

Migration composition identifies, for any point in time, the numbers or proportions of people in an area who can be classified as:

- Non-movers: lived in the same community five years before;
- Intraprovincial migrants: lived in the same province or territory, but in a different community five years before;
- Interprovincial migrants: lived in a different province/territory five years before; and
- International migrants:<sup>vi</sup> lived in another country five years before.

For physiotherapists, the migration composition of provinces/territories and Canada is illustrated for 1991 (Figure 8), 1996 (Figure 9) and 2001 (Figure 10). These figures show only proportions for *migrant* physiotherapists—more specifically those who came from outside the country (international), from another province/territory (interprovincial) or from somewhere within the same province or territory (intraprovincial). The percentages were computed using the total population of physiotherapists in each of the jurisdictions as the denominator. For ease of comparison, the value axes (percentage of the workforce) of the three diagrams have been set to a common value.

For physiotherapists in Canada, some of the principal features of these diagrams are noted below:


- Most physiotherapists in Canada tend to stay in the same community over time. Between 60% and 70% of all physiotherapists during the years of study were considered non-movers.
- In Canada, as a whole, the overall proportion of migrant physiotherapists decreased from 39% in 1991 to 28% in 2001.
- The numbers of migrant physiotherapists decreased from 4,415 in 1991 to 3,870 in 1996. In 2001, the total number rose to 4,590, but this represents an overall proportional decrease since 1991 (see percentages above).
- Newfoundland and Labrador contained the highest proportion of migrants in all three census years under study. This province had the highest proportions of both interprovincial and international migrants in 1996 and 2001. In 1991, B.C. was the province with the highest proportion of physiotherapists classified as international migrants.
- Overall, the majority of migrant physiotherapists were intraprovincial movers. In 2001, the proportions of intraprovincial migrants ranged from a low of 5% in Manitoba to a high of 26% in Saskatchewan. (P.E.I. was excluded from this list because of very small numbers of both migrants and total number of physiotherapists).
- The provinces with the highest proportions of physiotherapists who were interprovincial migrants were Nova Scotia and Newfoundland and Labrador in 1991 and 2001, respectively.

---

vi. Statistics Canada labels this category as “external” migrants.




**Figure 8. Percentage Migration Composition (Place of Residence Five Years Ago) for Physiotherapists by Province/Territory and Canada, 1991**



**Note:** Data from P.E.I. and the territories have been suppressed due to small cell size.

**Source:** Statistics Canada, Census of Population.


**Figure 9. Percentage Migration Composition (Place of Residence Five Years Ago) for Physiotherapists by Province/Territory and Canada, 1996**



**Note:** Data from P.E.I. and the territories have been suppressed due to small cell size.

**Source:** Statistics Canada, Census of Population.

**Figure 10. Percentage Migration Composition (Place of Residence Five Years Ago) for Physiotherapists by Province/Territory and Canada, 2001**



**Note:** Data from the territories have been suppressed due to small cell size.

**Source:** Statistics Canada, Census of Population.

### Interprovincial Migration

The migration composition section discussed above does not provide information about the specific origins and destinations of the internal migrant physiotherapists. The next two sections of the report examine some of this movement in some detail for both interprovincial and intraprovincial flows. The latter focuses on overall urban–rural migration patterns.


In terms of interprovincial migration flows, detailed origin and destination counts are provided for the physiotherapist workforce for 1991 (Appendix C, Table C7), 1996 (Appendix C, Table C8) and 2001 (Appendix C, Table C9). Here, the focus of the illustrations is on the principal destinations for 1991 and 2001. To provide some reference points for the interprovincial migration patterns of physiotherapists, comparisons are made with the principal interprovincial migration destinations of the general population for both of those census years.

In 1991, neighbouring provinces tended to be the principal destinations for physiotherapists from eastern provinces, although B.C. and Ontario were also important destinations. As an example, 53% of the interprovincial migrant physiotherapists who lived in New Brunswick in 1986 moved to Nova Scotia and another 18% to the neighbouring province of Quebec. Ontario was the destination of 29% of the physiotherapists who moved from New Brunswick. In comparison, members of the general population who had lived in an eastern province in 1986 generally headed to Ontario (Figure 12). Neighbouring provinces were usually the second most important destination choices.

Physiotherapists who moved from Quebec in the 1986-to-1991 migration period moved to neighbouring provinces as well (New Brunswick and Ontario), but also chose B.C. as a major destination. By contrast, while 9% of Ontario migrants moved to the neighbouring province of Quebec, by far the majority moved west to Alberta and B.C. From Ontario westward, B.C. and Alberta were the first-choice destinations. Ontario was the next most important destination for people moving from provinces in the west.

A pattern that differs from the second and third principal destinations of the general population can be seen for physiotherapists moving from Alberta and B.C. Rather than moving to one of the larger or neighbouring provinces, as was the case for the general population, physiotherapists from these western provinces headed to Newfoundland and Labrador, as well as Nova Scotia.


**Figure 11. Principal 1986-to-1991 Migration Destinations as a Percentage of Interprovincial Migrant Physiotherapists by Province/Territory of Residence**



**Note:** Some provincial and territorial data have been suppressed due to small cell size.

**Source:** Statistics Canada, Census of Population.


**Figure 12. Principal 1986-to-1991 Migration Destinations as a Percentage of All Interprovincial Migrants of Canada by Province/Territory of Residence**



Source: Statistics Canada, Census of Population.

The 2001 principal destinations for interprovincial migrants are illustrated in Figure 13 for physiotherapists and in Figure 14 for the general population. When comparing the two, the overall primary destinations are fairly similar, with an emphasis on larger provinces as the principal destinations for interprovincial migrant population of physiotherapists. They do differ when comparing the third most important destination. For physiotherapists, Newfoundland and Labrador and Nova Scotia (and to a lesser extent Manitoba) are principal destinations chosen more often than the general population.


**Figure 13. Principal 1996-to-2001 Migration Destinations as a Percentage of Interprovincial Migrant Physiotherapists by Province/Territory of Residence**



**Note:** Some provincial and territorial data have been suppressed due to small cell size.

**Source:** Statistics Canada, Census of Population.

**Figure 14. Principal 1996-to-2001 Migration Destinations as a Percentage of All Interprovincial Migrants of Canada by Province/Territory of Residence**



Source: Statistics Canada, Census of Population.

Focusing on interprovincial physiotherapist migrants, some of the key patterns regarding origin and destinations are noted below:

- The primary destination for the majority of interprovincial migrants in the physiotherapist workforce is one of the large, “magnet” provinces (Ontario, Alberta and B.C.).
- Of the magnet provinces, in 1991, Ontario and B.C. were the primary destinations.
- By 2001, Alberta had become of equal importance to Ontario and B.C., if not more important for some origin provinces, as a primary destination.

Generally, the interprovincial migration patterns for physiotherapists were similar to those of the general population. For both, if a magnet province was not the second most important destination, it was usually a neighbouring province. The two cohorts differ sometimes in terms of either the selection of neighbouring province or the order of preferences of principal destinations.

## **Net Interprovincial Migration**

Overall, many provinces have experienced a negative net migration of physiotherapists in each of the years of this study. Even those provinces considered as principal destinations often experienced net losses. This can be seen with the counts for the number of in-, out- and net-migrants<sup>vii</sup> by province and territory that are provided in Appendix C (Table C10). Figure 15 gives a summary of the net migration rates for 1991 and 2001.

Overall losses (negative net migration) in both 1991 and 2001 are highlighted for the provinces of P.E.I., New Brunswick and Saskatchewan. When comparing rates from 1991 to 2001, fewer physiotherapists have been lost in all three of these provinces.

Overall gains (positive net migration) in both 1991 and 2001 were experienced only in Alberta and B.C. However, in Alberta there was only a very small net migration rate (1%) in 1991 compared with its greater attraction by 2001 when the rate was 6%. The reverse occurred in B.C., where the net rates were 6% and 4% in 1991 and 2001, respectively. In 2001, the absolute net migration of physiotherapists in Alberta almost equalled that of B.C.


During this 10-year period, Quebec had the largest absolute loss in the numbers of physiotherapists through interprovincial migration. This was particularly the case in 1991 and 1996 (Appendix C, Table C10), when Ontario was a principal destination for many of Quebec's physiotherapists. Ontario has also been a source for many interprovincial migrants to other parts of Canada. As a result, Ontario had a positive net migration only in 1991. Both Quebec and Ontario had the same net loss of 40 physiotherapists in 2001.

---

vii. See Appendix A for an explanation of "in-migrant," "out-migrant" and "net-migrant."



**Figure 15. Net Interprovincial Migration Rates for Physiotherapists by Province/Territory, 1991 and 2001**



**Note:** Data from the territories have been suppressed due to small cell size.

**Source:** Statistics Canada, Census of Population.

### Urban–Rural Migration<sup>viii</sup>

The overall five-year migration flows of physiotherapists between larger urban centres and rural and small-town areas of Canada are summarized in Table 2 for the three migration periods included in this study. The flows that are described are for the total physiotherapist workforce. Similarities and differences in these urban–rural migration patterns for males and females can be seen in Appendix C (Table C11) and combined urban–rural and interprovincial–intraprovincial proportions of all internal migrant physiotherapists are also provided in Appendix C (Table C12).

Earlier, Figure 7 showed that there was a modest increase in the proportion of physiotherapists in rural areas of Canada from 1991 to 2001. Part of the reason for that increase can be explained by urban-to-rural migration. However, that migration flow did not occur until the mid 1990s. In 1991, fewer physiotherapists in Canada moved to rural areas (18%) of the country than moved away (29%) from rural and small-town Canada (Table 2). This resulted in a negative rural net migration rate of 10%. The net flow of physiotherapists was reversed in 1996 when rural areas of the country experienced a positive net migration of 5%. This continued in 2001, although the rural net migration slowed to 3%.

viii. In this section of the report, the terms “rural” and “rural and small-town” as well as “urban” and “large urban centre” were used interchangeably.

Naturally, the inverse of the rural patterns can be seen when examining the net-migration rates to urban areas of the country. Because of the total overall numbers of people in this occupational group in urban areas of the country, the urban migration rates are lower than the rural rates, particularly in 1991.

Table 2 also shows that there has been an overall decrease in the flow of physiotherapists from or to rural and urban areas of the country from 1991 to 1996. Gross mobility increased again in 2001. This increase in the overall numbers of migrants is also reflected in the in-, out- and net migration counts of interprovincial migrant physiotherapists shown in Appendix C (Table C10).

**Table 2. Physiotherapists: Migration Between Larger Urban Centres and Rural and Small-Town Areas of Canada, 1991 to 2001**

|   | 1986–1991 | 1991–1996 | 1996–2001 |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| <b>Number of Non-Movers and Internal Migrants</b> |           |           |           |
| <b>Non-movers</b>                                 |           |           |           |
| Rural   | 1,290     | 1,650     | 1,965     |
| Urban   | 10,195    | 11,770    | 14,460    |
| <b>Internal migrants</b>                          |           |           |           |
| Rural to Urban                                    | 515       | 280       | 400       |
| Urban to Rural                                    | 330       | 370       | 480       |
| <b>Total net migration to rural areas</b>         | -185      | 90        | 80        |
| <b>Internal Migration Rates (Percent)</b>         |           |           |           |
| <b>Rural</b>                                      |           |           |           |
| In-migration rate                                 | 18        | 19        | 20        |
| Out-migration rate                                | 29        | 15        | 17        |
| Net migration rate                                | -10       | 5         | 3         |
| <b>Urban</b>                                      |           |           |           |
| In-migration rate                                 | 5         | 2         | 3         |
| Out-migration rate                                | 3         | 3         | 3         |
| Net migration rate                                | 2         | -1        | -1        |

**Notes:** Rural in-migration rate = (Urban to Rural)/(Rural non-movers) + (Rural to Urban) \* 100

Rural out-migration rate = (Rural to Urban)/(Rural non-movers) + (Rural to Urban) \* 100

Urban in-migration rate = (Urban to Rural)/(Urban non-movers) + (Urban to Rural) \* 100

Urban out-migration rate = (Urban to Rural)/(Urban non-movers) + (Urban to Rural) \* 100

**Source:** Statistics Canada, Census of Population.


The associations between age groups and rural net migration for physiotherapists are described in Figure 16.<sup>ix</sup>

ix. A similar graph of urban net migration by these same age groups and years would appear as a mirror image of the rural patterns illustrated in Figure 16. The only differences would be in the magnitude of the urban net-migration rates, which tend to be smaller because of the dampening effect of larger numbers of urban movers and non-movers.

Across the decade, relatively large proportions of physiotherapists who were 20 to 29 years of age moved from rural to urban areas. This was particularly the case in 1991 and 2001, but there was a positive rural net migration in 1996. This pattern is roughly similar to the rural out-migration of youth in the general Canadian workforce (see Figure B6 in Appendix B). However, during the 1991-to-1996 migration period, there was a small positive rural net migration for physiotherapists, compared with negative flow for the general Canadian workforce.

For the general workforce, there was a positive rural net migration for all of the other age groups. In general, this was the pattern as well for physiotherapists. The only apparent difference was the net loss to rural areas of people in this occupational group in the 40-to-49 age group in 1991.

**Figure 16. Physiotherapists: Rural and Small-Town Net Migration by Age Group, Canada, 1991, 1996 and 2001**



Source: Statistics Canada, Census of Population.

## Summary Notes

- The number of physiotherapists in Canada increased substantially by 43% from 1991 to 2001.
- The proportion of female physiotherapists decreased from 85% in 1991 to 80% in 2001.
- The average age of physiotherapists increased from 36 years in 1991 to 39 years in 2001.
- The average age of the physiotherapist workforce is lower than that of the general Canadian workforce (all non-health occupations 20 years of age and older). However, the difference decreased from three years in 1991 to two years in 2001.
- The proportion of physiotherapists located in rural areas of the country increased from 11% in 1991 to 13% in 2001.
- The number of physiotherapists per 100,000 population increased from 42 in 1991 to 55 in 2001.
- All provinces and territories increased their physiotherapist-to-population ratios from 1991 to 2001.
- Physiotherapists are located in just over half (52%) of the 288 census divisions in Canada in 2001.
- The absolute numbers of migrants making up the physiotherapist workforce increased from 4,415 in 1991 to 4,590 in 2001, but the overall proportions of the people in this occupational group who were five-year migrants decreased from 39% to 28%.
- Overall for the physiotherapist workforce, the proportions of interprovincial migrants remained virtually the same in each of the census years examined (7%, 6% and 6% in 1991, 1996 and 2001, respectively).
- As well, the proportions of physiotherapists who moved within their own province (intraprovincial migrants) decreased from 26% in 1991 to 19% in 2001.
- The primary destinations for interprovincial physiotherapist migrants were the magnet provinces of Ontario, Alberta and B.C.
- With interprovincial movement of physiotherapists, B.C. had the highest net migration in 1991 and 1996, but was supplanted by Alberta as the principal overall destination in 2001.
- Ontario had net gains of physiotherapists only in 1991 and, along with Quebec, had some of the highest net losses throughout the 10-year period under study.
- Nova Scotia had a net gain of 55 physiotherapists through interprovincial migration in 1991, but lost the same number in 2001.
- Rural areas of the country experienced relative gains in the numbers of physiotherapists in 1996 and 2001, making up for the negative rural net migration in 1991.
- The urban–rural distribution of physiotherapists and the distribution of the general population are becoming more similar over time.
- With minor exceptions, the patterns of flows of physiotherapists in and out of rural and small-town Canada were similar to those of the general population for all age groups.

## Appendix A—Methodological Notes

All of the data sets employed in this report were derived from the Census of Population. Custom tabulations were prepared by Statistics Canada using 1991, 1996 and 2001 census data. Aggregate counts were provided for selected geographical areas (see below) for the employed workforce and for selected health occupations. The variables for the data sets are described below.

### Health Occupations

In each of the long-form questionnaires (completed by one in five households) for the censuses used in this study, there were questions seeking out the occupation of respondents 15 years of age and older in each household. For example, the relevant 2001 census questions are shown below:

|   |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| <p><b>42</b> What was this person's work or occupation?</p> <p>Please be specific. For example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• legal secretary</li> <li>• plumber</li> <li>• fishing guide</li> <li>• wood furniture assembler</li> <li>• restaurant manager</li> <li>• secondary school teacher</li> </ul> <p>(If in the Armed Forces, give rank.)</p>                         | <p>Occupation</p> <p>05 <input type="text"/></p> <p><input type="text"/></p> <p><input type="text"/></p>         | <p>Occupation</p> <p>05 <input type="text"/></p> <p><input type="text"/></p> <p><input type="text"/></p>         |
| <p><b>43</b> In this work, what were this person's main activities?</p> <p>Please give details. For example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• prepared legal documents</li> <li>• installed residential plumbing</li> <li>• guided fishing parties</li> <li>• made wood furniture products</li> <li>• managed operations of a restaurant</li> <li>• taught mathematics</li> </ul> | <p>Main activities</p> <p>06 <input type="text"/></p> <p><input type="text"/></p> <p>07 <input type="text"/></p> | <p>Main activities</p> <p>06 <input type="text"/></p> <p><input type="text"/></p> <p>07 <input type="text"/></p> |

Based on the information from these two questions, census coders grouped each respondent into one of the categories of the 2001 National Occupational Classification for Statistics.<sup>46, 47</sup> The 1991 and 1996 census questions were similar, but respondents in those years were grouped using the 1991 Standard Occupational Classification. For the present series of reports, the health occupations of interest were classified using codes and coding definitions<sup>x</sup> identical to both the 1991 and 2001 classification systems.<sup>46</sup>

The target population for this study was selected from the broad occupational category referred to as "health occupations." The present analysis excludes the occupations in this broad category defined by Statistics Canada that are vaguely defined (for example, "other occupations in . . . ,") and those dealing with animals (for example, veterinarians). The table below lists the health occupations that are the subject of these reports. The table below groups the occupations as they were examined for this study and also identifies four-character code that is used to identify each of the occupations using the 2001 National

x. See page c131 of Statistics Canada, *National Occupational Classification for Statistics* (Ottawa: Ministry of Industry, 2001), catalogue no. 12-583-XPE for a comparison of the 2001 National Occupational Classification for Statistics and the 1991 Standard Occupational Classification.

Occupational Classification for Statistics/1991 Standard Occupational Classification. In the left-hand column of the table, an "X" identifies those health occupations examined in the *Distribution and Internal Migration* series. Tables and graphs for the remaining occupations may be obtained by visiting the CIHI website at [www.cihi.ca](http://www.cihi.ca).

|                                    | Code | Occupation Title  |
|------------------------------------|------|---|
| <b>Nurses and Nursing Services</b> |      |   |
| X                                  | D111 | Head nurses and supervisors*  |
| X                                  | D112 | Registered nurses*  |
| X                                  | D233 | Licensed practical nurses   |
|                                    | D312 | Nurse aides, orderlies and patient service associates                             |
| <b>Technical Group</b>             |      |   |
| X                                  | D211 | Medical laboratory technologists and pathologists' assistants                     |
| X                                  | D212 | Medical laboratory technicians  |
| X                                  | D214 | Respiratory therapists, clinical perfusionists and cardio-pulmonary technologists |
| X                                  | D215 | Medical radiation technologists   |
| X                                  | D216 | Medical sonographers  |
|                                    | D217 | Cardiology technologists  |
|                                    | D218 | Electroencephalographic and other diagnostic technologists                        |
| <b>Rehabilitative Occupations</b>  |      |   |
| X                                  | D041 | Audiologists and speech-language pathologists                                     |
| X                                  | D042 | Physiotherapists  |
| X                                  | D043 | Occupational therapists   |
| <b>Dental Group</b>                |      |   |
| X                                  | D013 | Dentists  |
|                                    | D221 | Denturists  |
| X                                  | D222 | Dental hygienists and dental therapists   |
|                                    | D223 | Dental technologists, technicians and laboratory bench workers                    |
| X                                  | D311 | Dental assistants   |
| <b>Other Occupations</b>           |      |   |
| X                                  | D031 | Pharmacists   |
|                                    | D021 | Optometrists  |
|                                    | D231 | Opticians   |
|                                    | D022 | Chiropractors   |
|                                    | D032 | Dietitians and nutritionists  |
|                                    | D234 | Ambulance attendants and other paramedical occupations                            |
| <b>Physicians</b>                  |      |   |
| X                                  | D011 | Specialist physicians†  |
| X                                  | D012 | General practitioners and family physicians†                                      |

\* In this study, the numbers for these two nursing groups (head nurses and supervisors plus registered nurses) have been added together and examined under the grouping registered nurses. This group also includes registered psychiatric nurses.

† In this study, the two physician groups (specialists and general practitioners/family physicians) are examined separately as well as being added together to examine the distribution and internal migration of all physicians.

The geographical distribution of each of these health occupations is illustrated using counts and ratios for provinces/territories and for the urban–rural categories that are illustrated below. The health-care-provider-to-population ratios are computed and reported as the number of health care providers per 10,000 or 100,000 population. The former rate (that is, per 10,000 population) is employed for health occupations whose overall totals are relatively small. Temporal comparisons of the counts and ratios are examined using three census years (1991, 1996 and 2001).

Using the language of Statistics Canada, the data sets employed for this part of the study are summarized below:

- Population 15 years and over, by sex (3), age group (7) and health occupation (30) for Canada, provinces and territories and census subdivisions; 20% sample data; 1991 Census.
- Population 15 years and over by sex (3), age group (7) and health occupation (30) for Canada, provinces and territories and census subdivisions; 20% sample data; 1996 Census.
- Population 15 years and over by sex (3), age group (7) and health occupation (30) for Canada, provinces and territories and census subdivisions; 20% sample data; 2001 Census.

The numbers in brackets for the data sets listed above identify the number of categories that were included in the data provided. These categories are described later in this appendix.

## Mobility

In census years that this study is based on, the long-form questionnaires included a question that asked where all individuals 15 years of age and older in a household lived five years ago (see below).

|   |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| <p><b>25</b> Where did this person live 5 years ago, that is, on May 15, 1996?</p> <p>Mark "⊗" one circle only.</p> <p><b>Note:</b></p> <p>For those who mark circle 11:<br/>Please give the name of the city or town rather than the metropolitan area of which it is a part.</p> <p>For example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Saanich rather than Victoria (metropolitan area);</li> <li>• St. Albert rather than Edmonton (metropolitan area);</li> <li>• Laval rather than Montréal (metropolitan area).</li> </ul> | <p>09 <input type="radio"/> Lived at the <b>same</b> address as now</p> <p>10 <input type="radio"/> Lived at a <b>different</b> address in the <b>same</b> city, town, village, township, municipality or Indian reserve</p> <p>11 <input type="radio"/> Lived in a <b>different</b> city, town, village, township, municipality or Indian reserve <b>in Canada</b><br/>Specify name of:<br/>City, town, village, township, municipality or Indian reserve</p> <p>12 → <input type="text"/><br/>Province / territory</p> <p>13 → <input type="text"/></p> <p>14 <input type="radio"/> Lived <b>outside Canada</b><br/>Specify name of country</p> <p>15 → <input type="text"/></p> | <p>09 <input type="radio"/> Lived at the <b>same</b> address as now</p> <p>10 <input type="radio"/> Lived at a <b>different</b> address in the <b>same</b> city, town, village, township, municipality or Indian reserve</p> <p>11 <input type="radio"/> Lived in a <b>different</b> city, town, village, township, municipality or Indian reserve <b>in Canada</b><br/>Specify name of:<br/>City, town, village, township, municipality or Indian reserve</p> <p>12 → <input type="text"/><br/>Province / territory</p> <p>13 → <input type="text"/></p> <p>14 <input type="radio"/> Lived <b>outside Canada</b><br/>Specify name of country</p> <p>15 → <input type="text"/></p> |
|---|--|--|

Based on the results of this question, the five-year mobility status of Canadians can be determined and identified as follows:

Non-movers:

a) Lived at the **same address** five years ago.

Movers:

b) Non-migrant: lived at a **different address** within the **same community** five years ago;

c) Intraprovincial internal migrant: lived in a **different community** within the **same province or territory** five years ago;

d) Interprovincial internal migrant: lived in a **different province or territory** five years ago; and

e) International migrant—lived **outside of Canada** five years ago.

Note: Statistics Canada labels the last category (international migrant) as “external migrant.” This category is primarily made up of people who were born and raised in another country before moving to Canada. However, it also includes Canadians who were living outside of the country for a time and have returned to Canada.

The counts for each of these mobility status categories were provided by Statistics Canada for each of the geographical units discussed below and for each health occupation. As well, the aggregate counts were provided for the total of all other occupations, here referred to as “non-health occupations” or the “general Canadian workforce.” For this study, these counts were used to identify the **migration composition** of each province and territory, each census division and for Canada as a whole. For each of these geographical units, migrants are identified as a proportion of the total population of the relevant occupational group. Then the percentages of intraprovincial, interprovincial and external migrants are computed, both as a proportion of the total number of migrants and as a proportion of the relevant total population.

Again, using the language of Statistics Canada, the data sets employed for this part of the study are summarized below:

- Population 15 years and over by age group (7), health occupation (30) and place of residence five years ago (10) for Canada, provinces and territories and census subdivisions; 20% sample data; 1991 Census.
- Population 15 years and over by age group (7), health occupation (30) and place of residence five years ago (10) for Canada, provinces and territories and census subdivisions; 20% sample data; 1996 Census.
- Population 15 years and over by age group (7), health occupation (30) and place of residence five years ago (10) for Canada, provinces and territories and census subdivisions; 20% sample data; 2001 Census.

The migration composition data sets provided for this study do not indicate the source–destination links of the migrants. Separate data sets for migration flow analyses were provided that allowed for summary analyses of interprovincial as well as intraprovincial/territorial direction and rates of **migration flows**. These data sets are listed below (note: this group of data was provided separately for males, females and the total of males and females together):



- Population 15 years and over by age group (7), health occupation (30) and place of residence five years ago (252) for Canada, provinces and territories and census metropolitan areas and census agglomeration areas; 20% sample data; 1991 Census.
- Population 15 years and over by age group (7), health occupation (30) and place of residence five years ago (252) for Canada, provinces and territories and census metropolitan areas and census agglomeration areas; 20% sample data; 1996 Census.
- Population 15 years and over by age group (7), health occupation (30) and place of residence five years ago (252) for Canada, provinces and territories and census metropolitan areas and census agglomeration areas; 20% sample data; 2001 Census.

Due to the limitations of these data sets, migration flow analyses are limited to simple urban–rural categories by province or territory. Thus, two types of questions can be posed, with examples shown below.

- How many health care providers (by each health occupation) have moved from P.E.I. to Ontario?
- How many health care providers (by each health occupation) have moved from rural Nova Scotia to urban Alberta?

Cross-tabulations of the numbers of migrants from source areas to destination areas are illustrated for the total migrants of each health occupation. For each of these tabulations, often referred to as “transition matrices,” provincial and/or rural–urban sums are computed to determine the numbers and proportions for each area’s in-, out- and net migration. As well, sex and age group similarities and differences are presented.

When summarizing in-, out- and net migration, counts and rates are provided. Referring to the migrants and the total population of a selected health care provider group, the latter rates for any geographical region are computed as follows:<sup>42</sup>

- Out-migration rate equals the number of migrants who have moved out of the region divided by the total population in that region and expressed as a percentage.
- In-migration rate equals the number of migrants who have moved into the region divided by the total population in that region and expressed as a percentage.
- Net migration rate is the difference between the in-migration rate and the out-migration rate and therefore can be either positive, negative or zero.

## **Demographic Characteristics**

The following demographic characteristics are employed to compare and contrast the geographical distribution and internal migration of the health occupations:

- Sex: totals and male and female counts.
- Age group counts: under 20, 20 to 29, 30 to 39, 40 to 49, 50 to 59, 60 and up.

For the majority of the health occupations examined in this study the under-20 age group was not relevant. Consequently, most of the tables and discussion in this report begin with the 20-to-29 age group.

## **Geographical Units of Analysis and Urban–Rural Designations**

The data provided by Statistics Canada for this study were aggregated to each of the following Standard Geographical Classification (SGC) units<sup>48</sup>: province/territory, census division and census subdivision.

Note that:

Census subdivision (CSD) is “the general term for municipalities (as determined by provincial or territorial legislation) or their equivalents (for example, Indian reserves, Indian settlements and unorganized territories).”<sup>49</sup> In this report, CSDs are used only indirectly, allowing for the identification of urban and rural communities.

“Census Division (CD) is the general term applied to areas established by provincial law that are intermediate geographical areas between the municipality and the province/territory level. Census divisions represent counties, regional districts, regional municipalities and other types of provincially legislated areas. In Newfoundland and Labrador, Manitoba, Saskatchewan, Alberta, Yukon, Northwest Territories and Nunavut, provincial/territorial law does not provide for these administrative geographical areas. Therefore, Statistics Canada, in cooperation with these provinces and territories, has created census divisions for the dissemination of statistical data.”<sup>49</sup>

Summary counts and percentages are provided in this report for provinces and territories. Occupation-to-population ratios were mapped, where feasible, for CDs. Given the very large numbers of CSDs (5,600 in 2001, for example), distribution and migration data from the CSDs have been aggregated by urban–rural categories based on the SGC system. Groupings of CSDs or individual CSDs with large population size and high density are categorized as urban. In the SGC system, these are referred to as “census metropolitan areas” (CMAs) and “census agglomerations” (CAs). The combination of CMAs and CAs identifies large urban centres. All other CSDs are included as “rural and small-town Canada.”

*Large urban centre areas include:*

- Census metropolitan areas: CMAs are very large urban areas with core populations of at least 100,000 people.
- Census agglomerations: CAs are large urban areas with core populations that range from 10,000 to just under 100,000 people.

*Rural and small-town areas include:*

- All communities located outside the boundaries of CMAs and CAs.

## **Limitations**

### *Counts*

It is very unlikely that the counts of the health occupations used in this study would perfectly match the counts from other databases, such as the CIHI-maintained databases: Scott's Medical Database for physicians, the Registered Nurses Database, the Licensed Practical Nurses Database or the Registered Psychiatric Nurses Database. Nor would the

counts likely match the databases of many of the specific health occupation organizations or associations. Data for the census and all of the other databases are collected at different times of the year and, for the census, administered only once every five years. As well, the occupation and mobility questions are included only on the long-form questionnaire, which is administered to only 20 percent of households. Furthermore, there are features of census data release that make it impossible to precisely match other databases. The most important of these features are rounding and area suppression.

Statistics Canada uses a random rounding method for the release of data. Based on established probabilities, "it involves rounding every figure in a table (including the totals) randomly up or down to the nearest multiple of 5, or in some cases, 10. For instance, random rounding of 12 to a multiple of 5 would yield either 10 or 15; applying the same operation to 10 would produce 10. This technique provides strong protection against direct, residual or negative disclosure, without adding significant error to the census data."<sup>49</sup> For the occupation data sets provided for this study, the smallest number in any of the cells (other than zero) was a 10. In all tables and graphs presented in this report, values of zero and ten must be treated with caution. Precision of counts cannot be claimed. However, significant error is not introduced when, as in this study, a majority of the results are expressed as ratios or percentages of relatively large total numbers.

Area suppression occurs when Statistics Canada releases data tables, but it is unlikely that such suppression has had a major impact on the results reported here. The numbers may, however, differ from other health occupation databases if they report information for areas as small as or smaller than census subdivisions. Area suppression "involves removing all characteristic data for geographical areas with populations below a specified size."<sup>49</sup> Thus small census subdivisions may have been excluded. However, in this study, CSDs are used only to aggregate numbers by urban–rural categories. Again, a majority of the results reported for these geographical units are expressed as ratios or percentages.

### *Migration*

The majority of migration studies, including this one, have a number of common limitations. Here, comparisons are made of where a person lives at two points in time: current residence and residence five years ago. During that five-year period, a person may have moved several times or moved and returned to the same location. These multiple moves and return migration are not captured with data from the census. Another difficulty specific to health care providers is the fact that location is equated with place of residence as opposed to place of work. In this study, some error could be introduced with, for example, people who live in rural areas but work in urban areas, or vice versa.

Random rounding has also had an impact on the migration component of this study. For both geographical areas and for occupations that have relatively small numbers, random rounding could result in misallocating health care providers. Thus, for migration composition and flow analyses, results are provided in this report only when the numbers are 15 or greater. This arbitrary number was chosen in an attempt to avoid as many errors as possible.

The migration analysis, but not the geographical distribution, components of this study may include individuals who were not in the labelled occupation five years ago. For example, a registered nurse (RN) in the 20-to-29 age category in 1991 might well have been a student in 1986. Thus, the migration flows in particular may include inflated numbers if one wanted to strictly compare where an RN in 1986 was later located as an RN in 1991. In spite of this limitation, the migration streams described in these reports do tell us of the movement of the overall supply (actual and potential) of the health care occupation in question.

Similarly, there is a possibility of under-counting at the other end of the age spectrum. Individuals who retired just before the census enumerations may not be included in the counts of an occupation category. However, such numbers would be very small, as the census questionnaire indicates that if a person did not work in the census week, that person was instructed to identify the job that he or she had worked at over the past year-and-a-half, approximately.

### *Determinants of Migration*

A further limitation of this study is the fact that only two variables, sex and age, are available that can be considered as determinants of migration. Even though these elements of a population influence migration "push" and "pull" factors<sup>50</sup> and are significant contributors to what is known as a "migrant personality,"<sup>51</sup> other elements are known to influence the decision to migrate.<sup>8, 52, 53</sup> Income is one of those, but even its influence is debatable. The following references identify some of the mixed messages that have been generated from research in Canada regarding the influence of income as a determinant of migration for nurses and physicians:

- "In the 1990s, better salaries were not cited as the major reason for migration."<sup>38</sup> But Baumann et al. in the same publication go on to say that, "The above notwithstanding, salary remains an important motive for migration."<sup>38</sup>
- Using aggregate-level data to examine the interprovincial migration of Canadian physicians, "The results suggest that differences in real income have a positive and significant effect on a physician's decision to migrate from one province to another . . . income differences are, however, not the only factor influencing a physician's choice to move."<sup>35</sup>
- Using individual-level data to examine the interprovincial migration of Canadian physicians, "Expected income in a province is a significant determinant of the choice of province of residence for physicians residing in Ontario and Saskatchewan. . . . The effect, however, is not large in magnitude. . . . Income in a province is not significant in other models or for physicians residing in other provinces besides Ontario and Saskatchewan."<sup>54</sup>

Although income data are not included in these analyses, age and sex variables that are included may be sufficient for this exploratory analysis. They, or associated variables, have been observed as having important influences on migration of health care providers in Canada. For example:

- "Movement is most prevalent among physicians with less than 10 years of experience."<sup>55</sup>
- "Compared to the middle age physicians (45 to 50 years old) younger ones are more likely to move while the older ones are less likely to move."<sup>56</sup>
- "Female rural RNs are more likely to migrate . . ." than male rural RNs and "those who are older" are more likely to have migrated.<sup>8</sup>



## Appendix B—A Brief Summary of Internal Migration in Canada

Internal migration, movement within a country from one region to another, is a concern for governments, business leaders, researchers and, ultimately, the general population. "Migration is the main mechanism through which regional and local populations adjust to changing economic and social circumstances."<sup>57</sup> As a result, this topic (as well as immigration) has been widely studied in Canada.<sup>58, 59, 60, 61</sup>

In a 2004 publication, *Mobility of Nurses in Canada*<sup>38</sup> the authors provided background for the internal migration of nurses by summarizing some of the more recent studies on the mobility of the general population of Canada. While this is a very good summary, the emphasis was only on interprovincial migration.<sup>29, 62, 63, 64</sup> Those studies were not designed to examine the equally important component of Canadian mobility and intraprovincial movement. Nor did they focus on urban–rural movement, about which it has been observed that, "Migration is a concern for Rural and Small Town (RST) areas of Canada as rural development is essentially a demographic phenomenon."<sup>31</sup>

As well, the focus of many of these analyses is the migration patterns of the general population. They do not always focus on particular occupations.

To provide a backdrop to the analyses of the internal migration patterns of health care providers in Canada, this appendix summarizes some of those patterns for the aggregated non-health occupations. As will be shown, Canadians make up "a nation on the move."<sup>32</sup>

### Migration Composition

The magnitude of the mobility of Canadians in non-health occupations is shown in Table B1. Over 3 million people in this group lived in another location (excluding moves within the same community) five years prior to the respective census years shown. These counts include intraprovincial migrants, interprovincial migrants and external migrants (people who lived outside of Canada). The raw counts shown in that table reflect the observation that, overall, there were fewer migrants recorded in the 1996 Census compared with the 1991 Census; however, the gross numbers of migrants increased by 2001.

**Table B1. Total Migrants in the General Canadian Workforce by Province/Territory and Canada, 1991, 1996 and 2001**

| Province | 1991      | 1996      | 2001      |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| N.L.     | 42,895    | 33,915    | 34,015    |
| P.E.I.   | 14,515    | 12,420    | 12,620    |
| N.S.     | 93,195    | 75,635    | 72,845    |
| N.B.     | 66,545    | 59,070    | 64,875    |
| Que.     | 867,610   | 733,945   | 819,625   |
| Ont.     | 1,513,640 | 1,195,450 | 1,297,805 |
| Man.     | 93,450    | 84,035    | 88,750    |
| Sask.    | 96,750    | 94,640    | 95,390    |
| Alta.    | 359,840   | 340,045   | 427,985   |
| B.C.     | 590,170   | 607,550   | 511,655   |
| Y.T.     | 6,465     | 6,060     | 4,300     |
| N.W.T.   | 10,595    | 10,035    | 9,025     |
| Canada   | 3,755,665 | 3,252,805 | 3,438,885 |

**Notes:**

Sums of the numbers for provinces/territories may not equal the sums for Canada due to Statistics Canada's random rounding.

Migrants include all intraprovincial, interprovincial and international migrants.

2001 Northwest Territories data include Nunavut.

**Source:** Statistics Canada, Canadian Census of Population 1991, 1996 and 2001.

Even though the total number of migrants increased in 2001, it has been observed that the overall proportions of migrants have been decreasing.<sup>29</sup> This is best shown in figures B1 to B3. These figures show the relative migration composition of people in non-health occupations for each province and territory and for Canada for the 1991 (Figure B1), 1996 (Figure B2) and 2001 (Figure B3) censuses. For each geographical unit shown, proportions of the total population of the non-health occupations have been computed and illustrated for the following: international migrants, interprovincial migrants and intraprovincial migrants.


Overall in Canada in 1991, 25% (3,755,665 people) of non-health workers lived in a different Canadian community or outside the country in 1986 compared to their place of residence in 1991. For the 1991 census year, 4% of the population of non-health workers had lived outside of Canada (international migrants) in 1986. Interprovincial migrants made up 4% of that population and 18% moved from one community to another as intraprovincial migrants.

The overall proportions of migrants within Canada decreased to 22% and 21% in 1996 and 2001. During those time periods, there was no change in interprovincial proportions. Intraprovincial proportions decreased from 18% in 1991 to 14% in 2001. There was also a decrease in the proportions of international migrants from 1991 to 1996 (4% and 3%, respectively) and no change from 1996 to 2001.




The provincial and territorial variations in the migration composition are illustrated in figures B1 to B3.

**Figure B1. Percentage Migration Composition (Place of Residence Five Years Ago) for the General Canadian Workforce by Province/Territory and Canada, 1991**




Source: Statistics Canada, Census of Population.

**Figure B2. Percentage Migration Composition (Place of Residence Five Years Ago) for the General Canadian Workforce by Province/Territory and Canada, 1996**



Source: Statistics Canada, Census of Population.

**Figure B3. Percentage Migration Composition (Place of Residence Five Years Ago) for the General Canadian Workforce by Province/Territory and Canada, 2001**




Source: Statistics Canada, Census of Population.

### Interprovincial Migration

Figures B4 and B5 illustrate both the source and principal destination regions for the non-health occupations interprovincial migrants. The three most common provincial destinations for each province and territory are shown for the 1991 Census (Figure B4) and the 2001 Census (Figure B5). Raw counts for these interprovincial migrations, including all origin and destination provinces and territories, are shown in Table B2. The latter table also includes the origin–destinations of the interprovincial migrants enumerated in 1996.


These illustrations support the general observation that interprovincial movement in Canada tends “to be toward provinces which have been nearby, large, or further west.”<sup>65</sup> For example, persons in non-health occupations who lived in eastern Canada in 1986, but moved to another province or territory by 1991 most often moved to Ontario. Their next most important destination was a province close by. For example, if migrant Nova Scotians did not choose to relocate in Ontario, they most likely migrated to New Brunswick. B.C. was the prime destination for migrants from Ontario, in both 1991 and 2001. And western Canadians primarily moved to adjacent provinces, although Ontario was an important secondary destination as well.

**Figure B4. Principal Destinations: Percentage of the General Canadian Workforce Who Moved Interprovincially, 1991**



Source: Statistics Canada, Census of Population.

**Figure B5. Principal Destinations: Percentage of the General Canadian Workforce Who Moved Interprovincially, 2001**



Source: Statistics Canada, Census of Population.

Although figures B4 and B5 give an indication of the primary destinations, Table B2 highlights the complex interaction between all provinces. Table B2 should be read along with Table B3, which provides provincial and territorial in-, out- and net migration counts.

Tables B2 and B3 emphasize the observation that when comparing 1996 to 2001 internal migration with earlier interprovincial movement, "We're still heading west, but stopping at the Rockies."<sup>32</sup> As shown in Table B3, most provinces in 1991 had a negative net migration. That is, there were more out-migrants than in-migrants for the period 1986 to 1991. The highest positive net migration in that period was experienced by B.C. That high positive net migration was again recorded in the 1996 Census, but, by 2001, B.C. had experienced a negative net migration. Contrasting that pattern, Alberta saw the 1991 negative net migration replaced by positive net migration numbers in both 1996 and 2001. In 2001, Alberta had the highest provincial positive net migration.

**Table B2. Interprovincial Migration Flows for All Persons in the General Canadian Workforce: Numbers of Five-Year Interprovincial Migrants by Province/Territory of Residence for Census Years 1991, 1996 and 2001**

| 1986-1991 Migration Flows  |        | Place of Residence in 1986 |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |       |        |
|----------------------------|--------|----------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|--------|
|                            |        | N.L.                       | P.E.I. | N.S.   | N.B.   | Que.   | Ont.   | Man.   | Sask.  | Alta.  | B.C.   | Y.T.  | N.W.T. |
| Place of Residence in 1991 | N.L.   | 0                          | 135    | 1,925  | 700    | 660    | 5,445  | 335    | 135    | 1,435  | 720    | 10    | 140    |
|                            | P.E.I. | 365                        | 0      | 1,280  | 815    | 320    | 1,580  | 165    | 135    | 615    | 195    | 10    | 25     |
|                            | N.S.   | 4,145                      | 1,595  | 0      | 5,815  | 1,995  | 12,090 | 1,125  | 440    | 3,435  | 2,460  | 20    | 280    |
|                            | N.B.   | 1,140                      | 1,035  | 4,920  | 0      | 3,595  | 6,655  | 630    | 400    | 1,905  | 1,090  | 25    | 110    |
|                            | Que.   | 535                        | 435    | 2,520  | 3,980  | 0      | 34,720 | 2,555  | 1,130  | 4,295  | 3,920  | 80    | 205    |
|                            | Ont.   | 13,525                     | 2,355  | 18,920 | 11,590 | 49,090 | 0      | 15,265 | 9,265  | 37,455 | 25,825 | 590   | 1,320  |
|                            | Man.   | 600                        | 85     | 905    | 805    | 1,290  | 8,575  | 0      | 6,030  | 6,065  | 3,745  | 70    | 365    |
|                            | Sask.  | 155                        | 115    | 420    | 375    | 590    | 3,875  | 4,575  | 0      | 8,910  | 3,740  | 75    | 365    |
|                            | Alta.  | 2,420                      | 485    | 4,070  | 2,300  | 5,085  | 26,590 | 11,850 | 30,535 | 0      | 30,275 | 735   | 2,170  |
|                            | B.C.   | 1,730                      | 360    | 4,200  | 1,845  | 7,640  | 44,680 | 13,815 | 16,760 | 60,760 | 0      | 2,190 | 1,775  |
|                            | Y.T.   | 140                        | 25     | 125    | 55     | 105    | 580    | 295    | 310    | 1,030  | 1,920  | 0     | 305    |
|                            | N.W.T. | 335                        | 55     | 385    | 170    | 395    | 1,065  | 620    | 890    | 2,265  | 970    | 80    | 0      |
| 1991-1996 Migration Flows  |        | Place of Residence in 1991 |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |       |        |
|                            |        | N.L.                       | P.E.I. | N.S.   | N.B.   | Que.   | Ont.   | Man.   | Sask.  | Alta.  | B.C.   | Y.T.  | N.W.T. |
| Place of Residence in 1996 | N.L.   | 0                          | 125    | 1,705  | 535    | 385    | 4,330  | 150    | 160    | 690    | 475    | 10    | 190    |
|                            | P.E.I. | 600                        | 0      | 1,360  | 940    | 300    | 1,690  | 100    | 50     | 505    | 300    | 0     | 35     |
|                            | N.S.   | 4,050                      | 1,185  | 0      | 4,600  | 2,100  | 10,935 | 800    | 440    | 2,355  | 2,315  | 10    | 240    |
|                            | N.B.   | 1,580                      | 755    | 4,705  | 0      | 2,900  | 6,540  | 565    | 215    | 1,425  | 1,070  | 25    | 120    |
|                            | Que.   | 630                        | 220    | 2,015  | 3,275  | 0      | 28,795 | 1,390  | 645    | 2,880  | 3,350  | 65    | 270    |
|                            | Ont.   | 10,485                     | 1,230  | 12,865 | 6,990  | 38,565 | 0      | 8,910  | 4,215  | 17,960 | 16,845 | 235   | 830    |
|                            | Man.   | 565                        | 145    | 820    | 680    | 1,310  | 9,355  | 0      | 4,515  | 5,760  | 3,625  | 95    | 215    |
|                            | Sask.  | 160                        | 75     | 490    | 300    | 720    | 4,815  | 4,285  | 0      | 12,045 | 5,060  | 190   | 405    |
|                            | Alta.  | 4,865                      | 575    | 4,585  | 2,950  | 4,880  | 26,915 | 10,780 | 22,020 | 0      | 29,110 | 765   | 1,865  |
|                            | B.C.   | 4,005                      | 605    | 6,820  | 2,795  | 12,490 | 61,005 | 11,955 | 10,825 | 52,755 | 0      | 2,030 | 1,360  |
|                            | Y.T.   | 250                        | 15     | 140    | 65     | 135    | 610    | 255    | 280    | 1,035  | 1,515  | 0     | 210    |
|                            | N.W.T. | 675                        | 30     | 415    | 175    | 315    | 1,290  | 410    | 505    | 1,925  | 655    | 105   | 0      |
| 1996-2001 Migration Flows  |        | Place of Residence in 1996 |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |       |        |
|                            |        | N.L.                       | P.E.I. | N.S.   | N.B.   | Que.   | Ont.   | Man.   | Sask.  | Alta.  | B.C.   | Y.T.  | N.W.T. |
| Place of Residence in 2001 | N.L.   | 0                          | 185    | 1,530  | 540    | 505    | 3,195  | 190    | 110    | 1,245  | 990    | 55    | 225    |
|                            | P.E.I. | 680                        | 0      | 1,150  | 760    | 220    | 1,410  | 55     | 70     | 390    | 295    | 10    | 40     |
|                            | N.S.   | 4,505                      | 1,210  | 0      | 5,605  | 2,035  | 10,520 | 1,010  | 610    | 3,325  | 3,910  | 105   | 280    |
|                            | N.B.   | 1,630                      | 800    | 4,535  | 0      | 3,100  | 5,945  | 580    | 275    | 1,855  | 1,560  | 115   | 170    |
|                            | Que.   | 615                        | 130    | 1,985  | 4,095  | 0      | 23,905 | 1,265  | 640    | 2,855  | 5,355  | 90    | 225    |
|                            | Ont.   | 13,220                     | 1,630  | 15,735 | 9,820  | 50,920 | 0      | 9,600  | 5,620  | 21,595 | 31,540 | 565   | 1,145  |
|                            | Man.   | 545                        | 70     | 950    | 675    | 1,185  | 8,280  | 0      | 4,330  | 5,755  | 5,030  | 100   | 395    |
|                            | Sask.  | 510                        | 60     | 505    | 350    | 590    | 3,250  | 4,420  | 0      | 10,345 | 5,770  | 170   | 485    |
|                            | Alta.  | 10,800                     | 1,075  | 7,560  | 5,010  | 8,195  | 30,650 | 14,790 | 26,950 | 0      | 62,860 | 1,590 | 3,120  |
|                            | B.C.   | 1,650                      | 280    | 3,350  | 1,590  | 8,970  | 34,565 | 7,540  | 6,680  | 30,160 | 0      | 1,665 | 935    |
|                            | Y.T.   | 90                         | 0      | 85     | 25     | 145    | 445    | 75     | 190    | 430    | 1,220  | 0     | 145    |
|                            | N.W.T. | 715                        | 40     | 440    | 165    | 380    | 1,055  | 395    | 325    | 1,335  | 805    | 125   | 0      |

Source: Statistics Canada, Census of Population.

**Table B3. General Canadian Workforce: Number of Interprovincial Out-, In- and Net-Migrants by Province and Territory, 1991, 1996 and 2001**

|               | Migration Summaries |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
|---------------|---------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
|               | 1991                |         |         | 1996    |         |         | 2001    |         |         |
|               | Out                 | In      | Net     | Out     | In      | Net     | Out     | In      | Net     |
| <b>N.L.</b>   | 25,090              | 11,640  | -13,450 | 27,865  | 8,755   | -19,110 | 34,960  | 8,770   | -26,190 |
| <b>P.E.I.</b> | 6,680               | 5,505   | -1,175  | 4,960   | 5,880   | 920     | 5,480   | 5,080   | -400    |
| <b>N.S.</b>   | 39,670              | 33,400  | -6,270  | 35,920  | 29,030  | -6,890  | 37,825  | 33,115  | -4,710  |
| <b>N.B.</b>   | 28,450              | 21,505  | -6,945  | 23,305  | 19,900  | -3,405  | 28,635  | 20,565  | -8,070  |
| <b>Que.</b>   | 70,765              | 54,375  | -16,390 | 64,100  | 43,535  | -20,565 | 76,245  | 41,160  | -35,085 |
| <b>Ont.</b>   | 145,855             | 185,200 | 39,345  | 156,280 | 119,130 | -37,150 | 123,220 | 161,390 | 38,170  |
| <b>Man.</b>   | 51,230              | 28,535  | -22,695 | 39,600  | 27,085  | -12,515 | 39,920  | 27,315  | -12,605 |
| <b>Sask.</b>  | 66,030              | 23,195  | -42,835 | 43,870  | 28,545  | -15,325 | 45,800  | 26,455  | -19,345 |
| <b>Alta.</b>  | 128,170             | 116,515 | -11,655 | 99,335  | 109,310 | 9,975   | 79,290  | 172,600 | 93,310  |
| <b>B.C.</b>   | 74,860              | 155,755 | 80,895  | 64,320  | 166,645 | 102,325 | 119,335 | 97,385  | -21,950 |
| <b>Y.T.</b>   | 3,885               | 4,890   | 1,005   | 3,530   | 4,510   | 980     | 4,590   | 2,850   | -1,740  |
| <b>N.W.T.</b> | 7,060               | 7,230   | 170     | 5,740   | 6,500   | 760     | 7,165   | 5,780   | -1,385  |

Source: Statistics Canada, Census of Population.

### Intraprovincial and Urban–Rural Migration

The rural and small-town net migration rates for all individuals 15 years of age and over were reported as 0% from 1986 to 1991 and then 1% from 1991 to 1996.<sup>31</sup> The same authors reported that the net migration rates for larger urban centres for those same years remained unchanged. Significantly, there was virtually no net movement from 1986 to 1991, but rural areas of the country experienced a positive net migration. But these rates were based on the migration movement that included people who were not in the labour force.

Using the same methodology as the study above, the rural–urban migration numbers and rates have been calculated for the aggregate of all Canadians working in non-health occupations (Table B4).

The movement of non-health workers differs from that of the overall population in that from 1986-to-1991, there was a negative net migration rate (-1%) for rural areas and a positive net migration rate for urban areas, as a whole. But 1991-to-1996 net migration patterns were somewhat similar: positive for rural areas and negative for larger urban centres. Although there were numerical differences of some significance between the net migration rates for men and women, their patterns were similar for both rural and urban areas of the country. Table B4 also shows that there was a return for 1996 to 2001 to a negative net migration rate for rural areas and a positive rate for urban regions. In both the 1986-to-1991 and the 1996-to-2001 periods for rural areas of the country, the negative net migration rates for women were greater than the equivalent rates for men. Along the same lines, the positive net migration experienced in rural areas from 1991–1996 was higher for men than for women.

**Table B4. General Canadian Workforce: Migration Between Larger Urban Centres and Rural and Small-Town Areas**

|   | 1986–1991  |           |           | 1991–1996  |           |           | 1996–2001  |           |           |
|---|------------|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|
|   | Total      | Males     | Females   | Total      | Males     | Females   | Total      | Males     | Females   |
| <b>Number of Non-Movers and Internal Migrants</b> |            |           |           |            |           |           |            |           |           |
| <b>Non-movers</b>                                 |            |           |           |            |           |           |            |           |           |
| Rural   | 3,131,960  | 1,822,910 | 1,309,050 | 3,160,255  | 1,817,850 | 1,342,405 | 3,191,250  | 1,797,295 | 1,393,960 |
| Urban   | 11,575,680 | 6,365,655 | 5,210,025 | 11,682,065 | 6,367,405 | 5,314,655 | 13,010,475 | 6,987,445 | 6,023,030 |
| <b>Internal migrants</b>                          |            |           |           |            |           |           |            |           |           |
| Rural to Urban                                    | 423,870    | 228,600   | 195,275   | 340,065    | 181,720   | 158,350   | 414,145    | 217,275   | 196,870   |
| Urban to Rural                                    | 402,075    | 223,645   | 178,425   | 382,005    | 209,850   | 172,155   | 355,075    | 192,825   | 162,255   |
| Total net migration rate to rural areas           | -21,795    | -4,955    | -16,850   | 41,940     | 28,130    | 13,805    | -59,070    | -24,450   | -34,615   |
| <b>Percentage of Migrants</b>                     |            |           |           |            |           |           |            |           |           |
| <b>Rural</b>                                      |            |           |           |            |           |           |            |           |           |
| In-migration rate                                 | 11         | 11        | 12        | 11         | 11        | 12        | 10         | 10        | 10        |
| Out-migration rate                                | 12         | 11        | 13        | 10         | 9         | 11        | 12         | 11        | 12        |
| Net migration rate                                | -1         | 0         | -1        | 1          | 1         | 1         | -2         | -1        | -2        |
| <b>Urban</b>                                      |            |           |           |            |           |           |            |           |           |
| In-migration rate                                 | 4          | 4         | 4         | 3          | 3         | 3         | 3          | 3         | 3         |
| Out-migration rate                                | 3          | 3         | 3         | 3          | 3         | 3         | 3          | 3         | 3         |
| Net migration rate                                | 0          | 0         | 0         | 0          | 0         | 0         | 0          | 0         | 1         |


Source: Statistics Canada, Census of Population.

The complexity of urban–rural migration flows is further illustrated upon examination of the net migration rates for rural areas (Figure B6) by age groupings.<sup>xi</sup> As illustrated, the overall net migration rates for the 20-to-29 age group are higher than for any other age grouping. This age group shows a negative net migration rate for all of the census years being examined. All other age groups have a positive net migration with respect to the rural areas of the country. However, especially for the 1986-to-1991 and 1996-to-2001 migration periods, the inflow of people in these older age groups is not sufficient to produce an overall positive net migration. Figure B6 illustrates the exodus of young people in non-health occupations from rural and small-town Canada.

xi. A similar graph of urban net migration by these same age groups and years would appear as a mirror image of the rural patterns illustrated in Figure B6. The only differences would be in the magnitude of the urban net-migration rates, which tend to be smaller because of the dampening effect of larger numbers of urban movers and non-movers.



**Figure B6. General Canadian Workforce: Rural and Small-Town Net Migration by Age Group, Canada, 1991, 1996 and 2001**



Source: Statistics Canada, Census of Population.

Additional details of the internal migration patterns of non-health workers are shown in Table B5. The table provides an overall summary of interprovincial and intraprovincial migration, as well as movement between rural and urban areas of the country. Three examples illustrate how that table could be read:

- For the 1986-to-1991 movement, 42% of internal migrants who lived in urban areas of Newfoundland and Labrador in 1986 made their way to urban areas outside of the province. This interprovincial urban-to-urban movement was recorded again in 1996 and 2001.
- The predominantly rural-to-urban movement of people in non-health occupations is illustrated by Alberta: for 1986 to 1991, 44% of workers in this group moved from rural to urban areas (within the same province). This proportion of rural to urban intraprovincial movers decreased to 42% by 1996, but increased again to 48% in 2001.
- Not all movement from rural areas is to urban locations. In New Brunswick in the 1986 to 1991 period, other rural areas within the province were the recipients of rural New Brunswick workers (34% in 1991 and 33% in 1996). However, the rural to urban movement became more dominant in New Brunswick by 2001.

**Table B5. General Canadian Workforce: Summary of Urban–Rural Migration Flows (as a percent of total migrants) by Province and Territory for 1991, 1996 and 2001 Census Years**

|                                   |        | Place of Residence in 1991 |       |                        |       | Place of Residence in 1996 |       |                        |       | Place of Residence in 2001 |       |                        |       |
|-----------------------------------|--------|----------------------------|-------|------------------------|-------|----------------------------|-------|------------------------|-------|----------------------------|-------|------------------------|-------|
|                                   |        | Same Jurisdiction          |       | Different Jurisdiction |       | Same Jurisdiction          |       | Different Jurisdiction |       | Same Jurisdiction          |       | Different Jurisdiction |       |
|                                   |        | Urban                      | Rural | Urban                  | Rural | Urban                      | Rural | Urban                  | Rural | Urban                      | Rural | Urban                  | Rural |
| Place of Residence—Five Years Ago | N.L.   |                            |       |                        |       |                            |       |                        |       |                            |       |                        |       |
|                                   | Urban  | 38                         | 14    | 42                     | 6     | 30                         | 16    | 45                     | 9     | 28                         | 11    | 54                     | 8     |
|                                   | Rural  | 27                         | 29    | 37                     | 7     | 23                         | 23    | 42                     | 12    | 23                         | 20    | 48                     | 10    |
|                                   | P.E.I. |                            |       |                        |       |                            |       |                        |       |                            |       |                        |       |
|                                   | Urban  | 40                         | 10    | 42                     | 8     | 30                         | 18    | 42                     | 10    | 31                         | 17    | 43                     | 9     |
|                                   | Rural  | 32                         | 34    | 26                     | 8     | 36                         | 32    | 23                     | 9     | 35                         | 32    | 28                     | 5     |
|                                   | N.S.   |                            |       |                        |       |                            |       |                        |       |                            |       |                        |       |
|                                   | Urban  | 43                         | 13    | 38                     | 6     | 35                         | 15    | 42                     | 8     | 20                         | 17    | 54                     | 9     |
|                                   | Rural  | 32                         | 30    | 30                     | 8     | 35                         | 28    | 28                     | 9     | 37                         | 26    | 28                     | 8     |
|                                   | N.B.   |                            |       |                        |       |                            |       |                        |       |                            |       |                        |       |
|                                   | Urban  | 41                         | 15    | 39                     | 6     | 40                         | 19    | 34                     | 7     | 38                         | 17    | 39                     | 6     |
|                                   | Rural  | 30                         | 34    | 27                     | 9     | 31                         | 33    | 27                     | 9     | 34                         | 32    | 28                     | 7     |
|                                   | Que.   |                            |       |                        |       |                            |       |                        |       |                            |       |                        |       |
|                                   | Urban  | 78                         | 12    | 9                      | 1     | 76                         | 13    | 9                      | 1     | 77                         | 12    | 10                     | 1     |
|                                   | Rural  | 58                         | 38    | 3                      | 1     | 56                         | 40    | 3                      | 1     | 60                         | 36    | 3                      | 2     |
|                                   | Ont.   |                            |       |                        |       |                            |       |                        |       |                            |       |                        |       |
|                                   | Urban  | 74                         | 13    | 11                     | 2     | 73                         | 12    | 13                     | 2     | 76                         | 11    | 11                     | 2     |
|                                   | Rural  | 51                         | 40    | 7                      | 3     | 53                         | 35    | 8                      | 4     | 61                         | 30    | 7                      | 3     |
|                                   | Man.   |                            |       |                        |       |                            |       |                        |       |                            |       |                        |       |
|                                   | Urban  | 15                         | 21    | 57                     | 8     | 17                         | 24    | 51                     | 8     | 20                         | 22    | 51                     | 8     |
|                                   | Rural  | 37                         | 32    | 20                     | 10    | 39                         | 36    | 15                     | 10    | 40                         | 35    | 18                     | 8     |
|                                   | Sask.  |                            |       |                        |       |                            |       |                        |       |                            |       |                        |       |
|                                   | Urban  | 26                         | 14    | 51                     | 9     | 29                         | 20    | 43                     | 9     | 30                         | 20    | 43                     | 8     |
|                                   | Rural  | 39                         | 28    | 22                     | 11    | 38                         | 34    | 18                     | 10    | 40                         | 31    | 19                     | 10    |
|                                   | Alta.  |                            |       |                        |       |                            |       |                        |       |                            |       |                        |       |
|                                   | Urban  | 38                         | 16    | 39                     | 8     | 41                         | 19    | 32                     | 8     | 48                         | 19    | 27                     | 6     |
|                                   | Rural  | 44                         | 35    | 14                     | 7     | 42                         | 39    | 12                     | 7     | 48                         | 36    | 10                     | 6     |
|                                   | B.C.   |                            |       |                        |       |                            |       |                        |       |                            |       |                        |       |
|                                   | Urban  | 72                         | 10    | 15                     | 3     | 71                         | 13    | 13                     | 3     | 63                         | 9     | 23                     | 4     |
|                                   | Rural  | 53                         | 30    | 12                     | 5     | 49                         | 33    | 11                     | 7     | 48                         | 26    | 19                     | 8     |
| Y.T.                              |        |                            |       |                        |       |                            |       |                        |       |                            |       |                        |       |
| Urban                             | 0      | 15                         | 64    | 22                     | 2     | 14                         | 59    | 26                     | 3     | 7                          | 66    | 25                     |       |
| Rural                             | 26     | 14                         | 37    | 24                     | 33    | 12                         | 28    | 27                     | 28    | 9                          | 40    | 23                     |       |
| N.W.T.                            |        |                            |       |                        |       |                            |       |                        |       |                            |       |                        |       |
| Urban                             | 0      | 12                         | 65    | 23                     | 0     | 13                         | 62    | 26                     | 0     | 5                          | 73    | 22                     |       |
| Rural                             | 10     | 27                         | 38    | 25                     | 11    | 38                         | 33    | 19                     | 13    | 21                         | 42    | 24                     |       |

Source: Statistics Canada, Census of Population.

## Appendix C—Physiotherapist Workforce— Supplementary Tables

**Table C1. Percentage of Females in the Physiotherapist Workforce by Province/Territory and Canada, 1991, 1996 and 2001**

|               | 1991 | 1996 | 2001 | Change From<br>1991 to 2001 |
|---------------|------|------|------|-----------------------------|
| <b>N.L.</b>   | 81   | 70   | 70   | (-11)                       |
| <b>P.E.I.</b> | 100  | 67   | 100  | (+0)                        |
| <b>N.S.</b>   | 86   | 89   | 91   | (+5)                        |
| <b>N.B.</b>   | 86   | 90   | 85   | (-1)                        |
| <b>Que.</b>   | 81   | 81   | 77   | (-3)                        |
| <b>Ont.</b>   | 88   | 84   | 81   | (-7)                        |
| <b>Man.</b>   | 83   | 78   | 85   | (+2)                        |
| <b>Sask.</b>  | 83   | 88   | 75   | (-7)                        |
| <b>Alta.</b>  | 85   | 86   | 80   | (-5)                        |
| <b>B.C.</b>   | 85   | 80   | 78   | (-7)                        |
| <b>Y.T.</b>   | 100  | 100  | 100  | (+0)                        |
| <b>N.W.T.</b> | 100  | 100  | 100  | (+0)                        |
| <b>Canada</b> | 85   | 83   | 80   | (-5)                        |

**Note:** 2001 Northwest Territories data include Nunavut.

**Source:** Statistics Canada, Census of Population.

**Table C2. Estimated Average Age (Years) of Physiotherapists and the Differences from the General Workforce by Province/Territory and Canada, 1991, 1996 and 2001**

|        | 1991             |                                       | 1996             |                                       | 2001             |                                       |
|--------|------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|
|        | Physiotherapists | Difference From the General Workforce | Physiotherapists | Difference From the General Workforce | Physiotherapists | Difference From the General Workforce |
| N.L.   | 35               | (-3)                                  | 36               | (-3)                                  | 37               | (-4)                                  |
| P.E.I. | 40               | (+0)                                  | 42               | (+2)                                  | 39               | (-3)                                  |
| N.S.   | 34               | (-5)                                  | 36               | (-4)                                  | 38               | (-3)                                  |
| N.B.   | 31               | (-8)                                  | 34               | (-6)                                  | 35               | (-5)                                  |
| Que.   | 34               | (-5)                                  | 35               | (-5)                                  | 37               | (-3)                                  |
| Ont.   | 38               | (-1)                                  | 39               | (-1)                                  | 40               | (-1)                                  |
| Man.   | 34               | (-6)                                  | 38               | (-2)                                  | 39               | (-2)                                  |
| Sask.  | 36               | (-5)                                  | 36               | (-5)                                  | 36               | (-6)                                  |
| Alta.  | 36               | (-3)                                  | 38               | (-2)                                  | 39               | (-1)                                  |
| B.C.   | 39               | (-1)                                  | 42               | (+1)                                  | 41               | (+0)                                  |
| Y.T.   | 30               | (-9)                                  | 45               | (+5)                                  | 44               | (+2)                                  |
| N.W.T. | 35               | (-2)                                  | 35               | (-3)                                  | -                | -                                     |
| Canada | 36               | (-3)                                  | 38               | (-2)                                  | 39               | (-2)                                  |

**Notes:**

2001 Northwest Territories data suppressed due to small cell size.

Average ages for the general Canadian workforce were computed for people 20 years of age and older.

Source: Statistics Canada, Census of Population.

**Table C3. Age Distribution (Percent) of Physiotherapists by Province/Territory and Canada, 1991**

|        | 20-29 | 30-39 | 40-49 | 50-59 | 60+ |
|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----|
| N.L.   | 43    | 14    | 36    | 7     | 0   |
| P.E.I. | 0     | 50    | 50    | 0     | 0   |
| N.S.   | 40    | 40    | 15    | 3     | 3   |
| N.B.   | 47    | 44    | 8     | 0     | 0   |
| Que.   | 41    | 35    | 17    | 5     | 2   |
| Ont.   | 26    | 34    | 25    | 11    | 5   |
| Man.   | 40    | 38    | 16    | 3     | 4   |
| Sask.  | 32    | 37    | 20    | 5     | 7   |
| Alta.  | 30    | 37    | 24    | 5     | 4   |
| B.C.   | 19    | 37    | 31    | 11    | 3   |
| Y.T.   | 50    | 50    | 0     | 0     | 0   |
| N.W.T. | 0     | 100   | 0     | 0     | 0   |
| Canada | 31    | 36    | 23    | 7     | 3   |

Source: Statistics Canada, Census of Population.

**Table C4. Age Distribution (Percent) of Physiotherapists by Province/Territory and Canada, 1996**

|               | <b>20–29</b> | <b>30–39</b> | <b>40–49</b> | <b>50–59</b> | <b>60+</b> |
|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|------------|
| <b>N.L.</b>   | 36           | 31           | 22           | 6            | 6          |
| <b>P.E.I.</b> | 0            | 50           | 25           | 25           | 0          |
| <b>N.S.</b>   | 25           | 43           | 27           | 3            | 3          |
| <b>N.B.</b>   | 39           | 41           | 12           | 4            | 4          |
| <b>Que.</b>   | 29           | 44           | 21           | 4            | 1          |
| <b>Ont.</b>   | 23           | 34           | 25           | 14           | 5          |
| <b>Man.</b>   | 21           | 36           | 33           | 8            | 2          |
| <b>Sask.</b>  | 29           | 32           | 35           | 4            | 0          |
| <b>Alta.</b>  | 23           | 37           | 29           | 11           | 1          |
| <b>B.C.</b>   | 17           | 28           | 30           | 19           | 6          |
| <b>Y.T.</b>   | 0            | 0            | 100          | 0            | 0          |
| <b>N.W.T.</b> | 33           | 33           | 33           | 0            | 0          |
| <b>Canada</b> | 24           | 36           | 26           | 11           | 3          |

Source: Statistics Canada, Census of Population.

**Table C5. Age Distribution (Percent) of Physiotherapists by Province/Territory and Canada, 2001**

|               | <b>20–29</b> | <b>30–39</b> | <b>40–49</b> | <b>50–59</b> | <b>60+</b> |
|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|------------|
| <b>N.L.</b>   | 27           | 44           | 15           | 10           | 5          |
| <b>P.E.I.</b> | 20           | 40           | 20           | 20           | 0          |
| <b>N.S.</b>   | 17           | 42           | 32           | 10           | 0          |
| <b>N.B.</b>   | 32           | 36           | 25           | 7            | 0          |
| <b>Que.</b>   | 26           | 37           | 25           | 11           | 2          |
| <b>Ont.</b>   | 21           | 32           | 27           | 16           | 5          |
| <b>Man.</b>   | 24           | 25           | 38           | 12           | 2          |
| <b>Sask.</b>  | 40           | 25           | 25           | 9            | 2          |
| <b>Alta.</b>  | 23           | 32           | 27           | 15           | 4          |
| <b>B.C.</b>   | 13           | 35           | 29           | 20           | 3          |
| <b>Y.T.</b>   | 0            | 30           | 50           | 20           | 0          |
| <b>N.W.T.</b> | 0            | 0            | 0            | 0            | 0          |
| <b>Canada</b> | 22           | 34           | 27           | 14           | 3          |

Note: 2001 Northwest Territories data include Nunavut.

Source: Statistics Canada, Census of Population.

**Table C6. Number of Physiotherapists per 100,000 Population by Province/Territory and Canada, 1991, 1996 and 2001**

|        | 1991 | 1996 | 2001 | Change From 1991 to 2001 | Percentage Change From 1991 to 2001 |
|--------|------|------|------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| N.L.   | 25   | 33   | 40   | (+ 15)                   | (+ 63)                              |
| P.E.I. | 15   | 30   | 37   | (+ 22)                   | (+ 140)                             |
| N.S.   | 38   | 41   | 45   | (+ 7)                    | (+ 20)                              |
| N.B.   | 26   | 35   | 47   | (+ 21)                   | (+ 81)                              |
| Que.   | 44   | 48   | 61   | (+ 17)                   | (+ 37)                              |
| Ont.   | 41   | 44   | 50   | (+ 9)                    | (+ 22)                              |
| Man.   | 38   | 40   | 47   | (+ 9)                    | (+ 24)                              |
| Sask.  | 38   | 38   | 50   | (+ 12)                   | (+ 30)                              |
| Alta.  | 49   | 53   | 57   | (+ 7)                    | (+ 15)                              |
| B.C.   | 49   | 57   | 67   | (+ 18)                   | (+ 36)                              |
| Y.T.   | 72   | 33   | 174  | (+ 102)                  | (+ 142)                             |
| N.W.T. | 17   | 47   | 47   | (+ 30)                   | (+ 171)                             |
| Canada | 42   | 47   | 55   | (+ 13)                   | (+ 30)                              |

Note: 2001 Northwest Territories data include Nunavut.

Source: Statistics Canada, Census of Population.

**Table C7. Physiotherapists: Numbers of Interprovincial Migrants by Province/Territory of Residence, 1986 to 1991**

|                            |        | Place of Residence in 1986 |        |      |      |      |      |      |       |       |      |      |        |
|----------------------------|--------|----------------------------|--------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|------|------|--------|
|                            |        | N.L.                       | P.E.I. | N.S. | N.B. | Que. | Ont. | Man. | Sask. | Alta. | B.C. | Y.T. | N.W.T. |
| Place of Residence in 1991 | N.L.   | 0                          | 0      | 10   | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0     | 10    | 10   | 0    | 0      |
|                            | P.E.I. | 0                          | 0      | 10   | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0     | 0     | 0    | 0    | 0      |
|                            | N.S.   | 10                         | 25     | 0    | 45   | 10   | 10   | 0    | 0     | 10    | 10   | 0    | 0      |
|                            | N.B.   | 0                          | 0      | 10   | 0    | 20   | 10   | 0    | 0     | 0     | 0    | 0    | 0      |
|                            | Que.   | 0                          | 0      | 0    | 15   | 0    | 15   | 0    | 0     | 0     | 0    | 0    | 0      |
|                            | Ont.   | 0                          | 0      | 15   | 25   | 65   | 0    | 40   | 15    | 10    | 35   | 0    | 0      |
|                            | Man.   | 0                          | 0      | 0    | 0    | 0    | 15   | 0    | 10    | 0     | 10   | 0    | 0      |
|                            | Sask.  | 0                          | 0      | 0    | 0    | 0    | 10   | 0    | 0     | 0     | 10   | 0    | 0      |
|                            | Alta.  | 0                          | 0      | 0    | 0    | 10   | 65   | 10   | 15    | 0     | 10   | 0    | 0      |
|                            | B.C.   | 0                          | 0      | 20   | 0    | 25   | 40   | 15   | 15    | 65    | 0    | 0    | 0      |
|                            | Y.T.   | 0                          | 0      | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0     | 0     | 0    | 0    | 0      |
|                            | N.W.T. | 0                          | 0      | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0     | 0     | 0    | 0    | 0      |

Source: Statistics Canada, Census of Population.

**Table C8. Physiotherapists: Numbers of Interprovincial Migrants by Province/Territory of Residence, 1991 to 1996**

|                            |        | Place of Residence in 1991 |        |      |      |      |      |      |       |       |      |      |        |
|----------------------------|--------|----------------------------|--------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|------|------|--------|
|                            |        | N.L.                       | P.E.I. | N.S. | N.B. | Que. | Ont. | Man. | Sask. | Alta. | B.C. | Y.T. | N.W.T. |
| Place of Residence in 1996 | N.L.   | 0                          | 0      | 15   | 0    | 10   | 15   | 0    | 0     | 0     | 0    | 0    | 0      |
|                            | P.E.I. | 0                          | 0      | 10   | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0     | 0     | 0    | 0    | 0      |
|                            | N.S.   | 15                         | 0      | 0    | 20   | 0    | 10   | 0    | 10    | 0     | 0    | 0    | 0      |
|                            | N.B.   | 0                          | 0      | 10   | 0    | 10   | 20   | 0    | 0     | 0     | 0    | 0    | 0      |
|                            | Que.   | 0                          | 0      | 0    | 25   | 0    | 15   | 0    | 0     | 0     | 15   | 0    | 0      |
|                            | Ont.   | 20                         | 0      | 15   | 0    | 60   | 0    | 10   | 10    | 40    | 30   | 0    | 0      |
|                            | Man.   | 0                          | 0      | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0     | 0     | 0    | 0    | 0      |
|                            | Sask.  | 0                          | 0      | 0    | 0    | 10   | 15   | 10   | 0     | 10    | 0    | 0    | 0      |
|                            | Alta.  | 0                          | 0      | 0    | 0    | 15   | 60   | 10   | 15    | 0     | 40   | 0    | 0      |
|                            | B.C.   | 0                          | 0      | 15   | 10   | 10   | 90   | 10   | 0     | 115   | 0    | 0    | 10     |
|                            | Y.T.   | 0                          | 0      | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0     | 0     | 0    | 0    | 0      |
|                            | N.W.T. | 0                          | 0      | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0     | 0     | 0    | 0    | 0      |

Source: Statistics Canada, Census of Population.

**Table C9. Physiotherapists: Numbers of Interprovincial Migrants by Province/Territory of Residence, 1996 to 2001**

|                            |        | Place of Residence in 1996 |        |      |      |      |      |      |       |       |      |      |        |
|----------------------------|--------|----------------------------|--------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|------|------|--------|
|                            |        | N.L.                       | P.E.I. | N.S. | N.B. | Que. | Ont. | Man. | Sask. | Alta. | B.C. | Y.T. | N.W.T. |
| Place of Residence in 2001 | N.L.   | 0                          | 0      | 15   | 10   | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0     | 10    | 10   | 0    | 0      |
|                            | P.E.I. | 10                         | 0      | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0     | 0     | 0    | 0    | 0      |
|                            | N.S.   | 10                         | 0      | 0    | 10   | 0    | 25   | 0    | 0     | 0     | 0    | 0    | 0      |
|                            | N.B.   | 0                          | 0      | 30   | 0    | 10   | 0    | 0    | 0     | 0     | 0    | 0    | 0      |
|                            | Que.   | 0                          | 0      | 15   | 20   | 0    | 25   | 0    | 0     | 10    | 15   | 0    | 0      |
|                            | Ont.   | 0                          | 15     | 10   | 15   | 60   | 0    | 10   | 10    | 60    | 55   | 0    | 10     |
|                            | Man.   | 0                          | 0      | 0    | 0    | 0    | 10   | 0    | 10    | 0     | 20   | 0    | 0      |
|                            | Sask.  | 0                          | 0      | 10   | 0    | 0    | 10   | 0    | 0     | 10    | 0    | 0    | 0      |
|                            | Alta.  | 15                         | 10     | 0    | 10   | 20   | 95   | 0    | 30    | 0     | 40   | 0    | 10     |
|                            | B.C.   | 10                         | 0      | 20   | 0    | 35   | 120  | 0    | 15    | 45    | 0    | 0    | 0      |
|                            | Y.T.   | 0                          | 0      | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0     | 0     | 0    | 0    | 0      |
|                            | N.W.T. | 0                          | 0      | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0     | 0     | 0    | 0    | 0      |

Note: 2001 Northwest Territories data include Nunavut.

Source: Statistics Canada, Census of Population.

**Table C10. Physiotherapists: Numbers of Out-, In- and Net-Migrants by Province/Territory, 1991, 1996 and 2001**

|        | 1991 |     |      | 1996 |     |     | 2001 |     |     |
|--------|------|-----|------|------|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|
|        | Out  | In  | Net  | Out  | In  | Net | Out  | In  | Net |
| N.L.   | 10   | 30  | 20   | 35   | 40  | 5   | 45   | 45  | 0   |
| P.E.I. | 25   | 10  | -15  | 0    | 10  | 10  | 25   | 10  | -15 |
| N.S.   | 65   | 120 | 55   | 65   | 55  | -10 | 100  | 45  | -55 |
| N.B.   | 85   | 40  | -45  | 55   | 40  | -15 | 65   | 40  | -25 |
| Que.   | 130  | 30  | -100 | 115  | 55  | -60 | 125  | 85  | -40 |
| Ont.   | 165  | 205 | 40   | 225  | 185 | -40 | 285  | 245 | -40 |
| Man.   | 65   | 35  | -30  | 40   | 0   | -40 | 10   | 40  | 30  |
| Sask.  | 55   | 20  | -35  | 35   | 45  | 10  | 65   | 30  | -35 |
| Alta.  | 95   | 110 | 15   | 165  | 140 | -25 | 135  | 230 | 95  |
| B.C.   | 85   | 180 | 95   | 85   | 260 | 175 | 140  | 245 | 105 |
| Y.T.   | 0    | 0   | 0    | 0    | 0   | 0   | 0    | 0   | 0   |
| N.W.T. | 0    | 0   | 0    | 0    | 0   | 0   | 20   | 0   | -20 |

Note: 2001 Northwest Territories data include Nunavut.

Source: Statistics Canada, Census of Population.

**Table C11. Physiotherapists: Migration Between Urban Regions and Areas of Canada by Sex, 1991, 1996 and 2001**

|   | 1986-1991 |         | 1991-1996 |         | 1996-2001 |         |
|---|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|
|   | Males     | Females | Males     | Females | Males     | Females |
| <b>Number of Non-Movers and Internal Migrants</b> |           |         |           |         |           |         |
| <b>Non-movers</b>                                 |           |         |           |         |           |         |
| Rural   | 160       | 1,130   | 200       | 1,445   | 405       | 1,560   |
| Urban   | 1,610     | 8,590   | 2,145     | 9,625   | 2,955     | 11,510  |
| <b>Internal migrants</b>                          |           |         |           |         |           |         |
| Rural to Urban                                    | 80        | 435     | 55        | 225     | 65        | 330     |
| Urban to Rural                                    | 40        | 290     | 45        | 330     | 90        | 385     |
| Total net migration to rural areas                | -40       | -145    | -10       | 105     | 25        | 55      |
| <b>Migration Rates (Percent)</b>                  |           |         |           |         |           |         |
| <b>Rural</b>                                      |           |         |           |         |           |         |
| In-migration rate                                 | 17        | 19      | 18        | 20      | 19        | 20      |
| Out-migration rate                                | 33        | 28      | 22        | 14      | 14        | 18      |
| Net migration rate                                | -17       | -9      | -4        | 6       | 5         | 3       |
| <b>Urban</b>                                      |           |         |           |         |           |         |
| In-migration rate                                 | 5         | 5       | 3         | 2       | 2         | 3       |
| Out-migration rate                                | 2         | 3       | 2         | 3       | 3         | 3       |
| Net migration rate                                | 2         | 2       | 1         | -1      | -1        | -1      |

Source: Statistics Canada, Census of Population.



**Table C12. Physiotherapists: Summary of Urban–Rural and Intraprovincial–Interprovincial Migration Flows by Province/Territory, 1991, 1996 and 2001**

|                                     |        | Place of Residence 1991 |       |                 |       | Place of Residence 1996 |       |                 |       | Place of Residence 2001 |       |                 |       |
|-------------------------------------|--------|-------------------------|-------|-----------------|-------|-------------------------|-------|-----------------|-------|-------------------------|-------|-----------------|-------|
|                                     |        | Intraprovincial         |       | Interprovincial |       | Intraprovincial         |       | Interprovincial |       | Intraprovincial         |       | Interprovincial |       |
|                                     |        | Urban                   | Rural | Urban           | Rural | Urban                   | Rural | Urban           | Rural | Urban                   | Rural | Urban           | Rural |
| Place of Residence – Five Years Ago | N.L.   |                         |       |                 |       |                         |       |                 |       |                         |       |                 |       |
|                                     | Urban  | 25                      | 25    | 50              | 0     | 25                      | 0     | 75              | 0     | 29                      | 0     | 71              | 0     |
|                                     | Rural  | 50                      | 0     | 0               | 50    | 0                       | 50    | 50              | 0     | 50                      | 0     | 0               | 50    |
|                                     | P.E.I. |                         |       |                 |       |                         |       |                 |       |                         |       |                 |       |
|                                     | Urban  | 0                       | 0     | 100             | 0     | 0                       | 0     | 0               | 0     | 50                      | 0     | 50              | 0     |
|                                     | Rural  | 0                       | 0     | 100             | 0     | 100                     | 0     | 0               | 0     | 0                       | 0     | 0               | 0     |
|                                     | N.S.   |                         |       |                 |       |                         |       |                 |       |                         |       |                 |       |
|                                     | Urban  | 19                      | 19    | 33              | 29    | 43                      | 24    | 33              | 0     | 8                       | 13    | 50              | 29    |
|                                     | Rural  | 43                      | 29    | 29              | 0     | 50                      | 0     | 50              | 0     | 33                      | 0     | 67              | 0     |
|                                     | N.B.   |                         |       |                 |       |                         |       |                 |       |                         |       |                 |       |
|                                     | Urban  | 44                      | 0     | 56              | 0     | 0                       | 17    | 83              | 0     | 14                      | 32    | 55              | 0     |
|                                     | Rural  | 44                      | 0     | 56              | 0     | 60                      | 40    | 0               | 0     | 0                       | 50    | 50              | 0     |
|                                     | Que.   |                         |       |                 |       |                         |       |                 |       |                         |       |                 |       |
|                                     | Urban  | 78                      | 10    | 12              | 1     | 81                      | 6     | 13              | 0     | 80                      | 8     | 13              | 0     |
|                                     | Rural  | 68                      | 32    | 0               | 0     | 48                      | 45    | 7               | 0     | 51                      | 49    | 0               | 0     |
|                                     | Ont.   |                         |       |                 |       |                         |       |                 |       |                         |       |                 |       |
|                                     | Urban  | 81                      | 10    | 10              | 0     | 71                      | 9     | 19              | 2     | 69                      | 10    | 21              | 0     |
|                                     | Rural  | 60                      | 27    | 13              | 0     | 71                      | 29    | 0               | 0     | 65                      | 22    | 13              | 0     |
|                                     | Man.   |                         |       |                 |       |                         |       |                 |       |                         |       |                 |       |
|                                     | Urban  | 17                      | 17    | 67              | 0     | 22                      | 0     | 78              | 0     | 25                      | 25    | 25              | 25    |
|                                     | Rural  | 40                      | 0     | 60              | 0     | 0                       | 0     | 0               | 0     | 0                       | 100   | 0               | 0     |
|                                     | Sask.  |                         |       |                 |       |                         |       |                 |       |                         |       |                 |       |
|                                     | Urban  | 50                      | 8     | 42              | 0     | 56                      | 17    | 28              | 0     | 66                      | 10    | 17              | 7     |
|                                     | Rural  | 57                      | 43    | 0               | 0     | 0                       | 0     | 0               | 0     | 33                      | 0     | 67              | 0     |
|                                     | Alta.  |                         |       |                 |       |                         |       |                 |       |                         |       |                 |       |
|                                     | Urban  | 40                      | 8     | 53              | 0     | 36                      | 20    | 39              | 5     | 35                      | 21    | 35              | 9     |
|                                     | Rural  | 57                      | 35    | 9               | 0     | 50                      | 0     | 50              | 0     | 58                      | 25    | 17              | 0     |
|                                     | B.C.   |                         |       |                 |       |                         |       |                 |       |                         |       |                 |       |
| Urban                               | 70     | 13                      | 14    | 3               | 60    | 15                      | 25    | 0               | 60    | 14                      | 22    | 5               |       |
| Rural                               | 56     | 22                      | 22    | 0               | 0     | 50                      | 50    | 0               | 44    | 17                      | 11    | 28              |       |

Source: Statistics Canada, Census of Population.



## Appendix D—National Occupational Classification (NOC) Definitions<sup>66, xii</sup>

### D011 Specialist Physicians

This unit group includes specialist physicians in clinical medicine, in laboratory medicine and in surgery. Specialists in clinical medicine diagnose and treat diseases and physiological or psychiatric disorders and act as consultants to other physicians. Specialists in laboratory medicine study the nature, cause and development of diseases in humans. Specialists in surgery perform and supervise surgical procedures. Specialists in clinical medicine usually work in private practice or in a hospital, while those in laboratory medicine and in surgery usually work in hospitals. Residents in training to become specialist physicians are included in this unit group.

#### *Exclusions*

- Medical directors are classified in unit group A321—Managers in Health Care
- Family physicians and family doctors are classified in unit group D012—General Practitioners and Family Physicians
- Dental surgeons are classified in unit group D013—Dentists
- Chiropractors are classified in unit group D022—Chiropractors
- Osteopathic surgeons and naturopathic physicians are classified in unit group D023—Other Professional Occupations in Health Diagnosing and Treating
- Homeopathic practitioners are classified in unit group D232—Midwives and Practitioners of Natural Healing

### D012 General Practitioners and Family Physicians

General practitioners and family physicians diagnose and treat the diseases, physiological disorders and injuries of patients. They provide primary contact and continuous care toward the management of patients' health. They usually work in private practice, including group or team practices, hospitals and clinics. Residents in training to be general practitioners and family physicians are included in this unit group.

#### *Exclusions*

- Chiropractors are classified in unit group D022—Chiropractors
- Other professional occupations in health diagnosing and treating such as podiatrists, chiropodists, naturopathic and osteopathic physicians are classified in unit group D023—Other Professional Occupations in Health Diagnosing and Treating
- Medical doctors specializing in a particular field of medicine are classified in unit group D011—Specialist Physicians
- Occupations in medicine and health such as acupuncturists, homeopathic practitioners, herbalists and rolfers are classified in unit group D232—Midwives and Practitioners of Natural Healing

---

xii. The text contained in Appendix D is used with permission from Statistics Canada.

## **D013 Dentists**

Dentists diagnose, treat, prevent and control disorders of the teeth and mouth. They work in private practice or may be employed in hospitals, clinics, public health facilities or universities.

### *Exclusions*

- Denturists are classified in unit group D221—Denturists
- Dental hygienists and dental therapists are classified in unit group D222—Dental Hygienists and Dental Therapists
- Dental technicians are classified in unit group D223—Dental Technologists, Technicians and Laboratory Bench Workers
- Dental assistants are classified in unit group D311—Dental Assistants

## **D021 Optometrists**

Optometrists examine eyes, prescribe and fit eyeglasses and contact lenses and recommend treatments such as exercises to correct vision problems or ocular disorders. They work in private practice, clinics and community health centres.

### *Exclusions*

- Ophthalmologists are classified in unit group D011—Specialist Physicians
- Ophthalmic dispensers and opticians are classified in unit group D231—Opticians
- Orthoptist and ophthalmological technicians are classified in unit group D235—Other Technical Occupations in Therapy and Assessment

## **D022 Chiropractors**

Chiropractors diagnose and treat patients' neuromuscular-skeletal disorders of the spine and other body joints by adjusting the spinal column or through other corrective manipulation. Chiropractors are usually in private practice or in clinics with other health practitioners.

## **D023 Other Professional Occupations in Health Diagnosing and Treating**

This unit group includes health professionals who diagnose and treat the diseases and injuries of patients and who are not elsewhere classified. This includes doctors of podiatric medicine, chiropodists and podiatrists, naturopaths, orthoptists and osteopaths. They work in private practices, clinics and hospitals.

### *Exclusions*

- Instructors working in educational institutions are classified in an appropriate unit group of major group E1—Teachers and Professors
- Non-professional diagnosing and treating occupations such as acupuncturists, herbalists, rolfers or shiatsu therapists are classified in unit group D232—Midwives and Practitioners of Natural Healing

### **D031 Pharmacists**

Community pharmacists and hospital pharmacists compound and dispense prescribed pharmaceuticals and provide consultative services to both clients and health care providers. They are employed in community and hospital pharmacies, or they may be self-employed. Industrial pharmacists participate in the research, development, promotion and manufacture of pharmaceutical products. They are employed in pharmaceutical companies and government departments and agencies.

#### *Exclusions*

- Managers of a pharmacy or pharmacy department in a retail outlet are classified in unit group A211—Retail Trade Managers
- Pharmacological chemists are classified in unit group C012—Chemists
- Pharmacologists and toxicologists are classified in unit group C021—Biologists and Related Scientists
- Clinical pharmacologists are classified in unit group D011—Specialist Physicians
- Pharmacy assistants are classified in unit group D313—Other Assisting Occupations in Support of Health Services

### **D032 Dietitians and Nutritionists**

Dietitians and nutritionists plan, organize, conduct and supervise programs in nutrition, diet and food service. They are employed in a variety of settings including hospitals, extended-care facilities, public health centres, the food and beverage industry, educational institutions, sports organizations and government, or they may be self-employed.

#### *Exclusions*

- Dietary aides and assistants are classified in unit group G961—Food Counter Attendants, Kitchen Helpers and Related Occupations
- Dietary technicians are classified in unit group D219—Other Medical Technologists and Technicians (Except Dental Health)
- Food service supervisors are classified in unit group G012—Food Service Supervisors

### **D041 Audiologists and Speech-Language Pathologists**

Audiologists and speech-language pathologists diagnose, evaluate and treat human communication disorders including hearing, speech, language and voice disorders. Audiologists and speech-language pathologists are employed in hospitals, community and public health centres, extended care facilities, day clinics, rehabilitation centres and educational institutions, or may work in private practice.

#### *Exclusions*

- Technicians and other assistants to audiologists and speech-language pathologists are classified in unit group D235—Other Technical Occupations in Therapy and Assessment

## **D042 Physiotherapists**

Physiotherapists assess patients and plan and carry out individually designed treatment programs to maintain, improve or restore physical functioning, alleviate pain and prevent physical dysfunctioning in patients. Physiotherapists are employed in hospitals, clinics, industry, sports organizations, rehabilitation centres and extended care facilities, or they may work in private practice.

### *Exclusions*

- Technicians giving technical assistance to physiotherapists are classified in unit group D235—Other Technical Occupations in Therapy and Assessment

## **D043 Occupational Therapists**

Occupational therapists develop individual and group programs with persons affected by illness, injury, developmental disorders, emotional or psychological problems and aging to maintain, restore or increase their ability to care for themselves and to engage in work, school or leisure. They also develop and implement health promotion programs with individuals, community groups and employers. They are employed in health care facilities, schools and by private and social services agencies, or they may be self-employed.

## **D044 Other Professional Occupations in Therapy and Assessment**

This unit group includes specialized therapists not elsewhere classified who use art, athletic, dance, music or recreational therapy, remedial gymnastics or other techniques to aid in the treatment of mental and physical disabilities. They are employed by establishments such as hospitals, rehabilitation centres, clinics, recreational centres, nursing homes, educational institutions, prisons and day-care facilities or may work in private practice.

## **D111 Head Nurses and Supervisors**

Head nurses and supervisors supervise and coordinate the activities of registered nurses, licensed practical nurses and other nursing personnel in the provision of patient care. They are employed in health care institutions such as hospitals, clinics and nursing homes and in nursing agencies.

### *Exclusions*

- Directors of nursing are classified in unit group A321—Managers in Health Care
- Charge nurses are classified in unit group D112—Registered Nurses

## **D112 Registered Nurses**

This unit group includes registered nurses, registered psychiatric nurses and graduates of a nursing program who are awaiting registration (graduate nurses). They provide direct nursing care to patients, deliver health education programs and provide consultative services regarding issues relevant to the practice of nursing. They are employed in a variety of settings including hospitals, nursing homes, extended-care facilities, rehabilitation centres, doctors' offices, clinics, community agencies, companies and private homes, or they may be self-employed.

### *Exclusions*

- Head nurses and nurse supervisors are classified in unit group D111—Head Nurses and Supervisors
- Licensed practical nurses and registered nursing assistants are classified in unit group D233—Licensed Practical Nurses

## **D211 Medical Laboratory Technologists and Pathologists' Assistants**

Medical laboratory technologists conduct medical laboratory tests, experiments and analyses to assist in the diagnosis, treatment and prevention of disease. They are employed in medical laboratories in hospitals, private clinics, research institutions and universities. Pathologists' assistants assist at autopsies and examinations of surgical specimens or perform autopsies under a pathologist's supervision. They are usually employed in hospitals. Medical laboratory technologists who are also supervisors are included in this unit group.

### *Exclusions*

- Applied chemical technologists not employed in medical laboratories are classified in unit group C111—Chemical Technologists and Technicians
- Biological technologists not employed in medical laboratories are classified in unit group C121—Biological Technologists and Technicians
- Medical laboratory technicians are classified in unit group D212—Medical Laboratory Technicians

## **D212 Medical Laboratory Technicians**

Medical laboratory technicians conduct routine medical laboratory tests and set up, clean and maintain medical laboratory equipment. They are employed in medical laboratories in hospitals, clinics, research institutes and universities and in government research laboratories.

### *Exclusions*

- Applied chemical technicians not employed in medical laboratories are classified in unit group C111—Chemical Technologists and Technicians
- Biological technicians not employed in medical laboratories are classified in unit group C121—Biological Technologists and Technicians
- Medical laboratory technologists are classified in unit group D211—Medical Laboratory Technologists and Pathologists' Assistants

## **D213 Veterinary and Animal Health Technologists and Technicians**

Veterinary and animal health technologists and technicians provide technical support to veterinarians by caring for animals and assisting in the diagnosis and treatment of animal health disorders. They are employed in veterinary clinics, animal hospitals, animal shelters, zoos, animal research laboratories, government and pharmaceutical companies.

### *Exclusions*

- Veterinary assistants and other animal care workers are classified in unit group G923—Pet Groomers and Animal Care Workers

## **D214 Respiratory Therapists, Clinical Perfusionists and Cardio-pulmonary Technologists**

Respiratory therapists assist physicians in the diagnosis, treatment and care of patients with respiratory and cardio-pulmonary disorders. They are employed in hospitals, medical clinics, health units, extended-care facilities, public health centres and respiratory home care companies. Clinical perfusionists provide technical support to patients undergoing cardiac surgery and patients requiring cardio-respiratory support. Cardio-pulmonary technologists assist physicians in the technical aspects of diagnosis and treatment of cardiovascular and pulmonary disease. Clinical perfusionists and cardio-pulmonary technologists are primarily employed in hospitals. Supervisors and instructors of respiratory therapists, clinical perfusionists and cardio-pulmonary technologists are included in this unit group.

## **D215 Medical Radiation Technologists**

This unit group includes technologists who operate radiographic and radiation therapy equipment to administer radiation treatment and produce images of body structures for the diagnosis and treatment of injury and disease. They are employed in hospitals, cancer treatment centres, clinics and radiological laboratories. Medical radiation technologists who are supervisors or instructors are included in this unit group.

## **D216 Medical Sonographers**

Medical sonographers operate ultrasound equipment to produce and record images of various parts of the body to aid physicians in monitoring pregnancies and in diagnosing cardiac, ophthalmic, vascular and other medical disorders. They are employed in clinics and hospitals. Medical sonographers who are supervisors or instructors are included in this unit group.

### *Exclusions*

- Medical laboratory technologists are classified in unit group D211—Medical Laboratory Technologists and Pathologists' Assistants
- Electroencephalographic, electromyography, vascular and other technologists who operate computerized and electronic equipment to aid in the diagnosis of disease are classified in unit group D218—Electroencephalographic and Other Diagnostic Technologists, n.e.c.
- Cardiology technologists are classified in unit group D217—Cardiology Technologists
- Medical laboratory technicians are classified in unit group D212—Medical Laboratory Technicians
- Radiography, nuclear medicine and radiation therapy technologists are classified in unit group D215—Medical Radiation Technologists



## **D217 Cardiology Technologists**

Cardiology technologists operate electrocardiogram and other electronic equipment to record cardiac activity of patients to aid in the diagnosis and treatment of heart disease. They are employed in hospitals and clinics. Cardiology technologists who are supervisors or instructors are included in this unit group.

### *Exclusions*

- Medical laboratory technologists are classified in unit group D211—Medical Laboratory Technologists and Pathologists' Assistants
- Radiography, nuclear medicine and radiation therapy technologists are classified in unit group D215—Medical Radiation Technologists
- Medical sonographers are classified in unit group D216—Medical Sonographers
- Electroencephalographic, electromyography, vascular and other technologists who operate computerized and electronic equipment to aid in the diagnosis of disease are classified in unit group D218—Electroencephalographic and Other Diagnostic Technologists, n.e.c.

## **D218 Electroencephalographic and Other Diagnostic Technologists, n.e.c.**

This unit group includes other diagnostic technologists not elsewhere classified who operate electroencephalographic and other diagnostic equipment to assist physicians in diagnosing diseases, injuries and abnormalities. Electroencephalographic and other diagnostic technologists who are supervisors or instructors are included in this unit group. They are employed in clinics, hospitals and medical laboratories.

### *Exclusions*

- Cardiology technologists are classified in unit group D217—Cardiology Technologists
- Medical laboratory technologists are classified in unit group D211—Medical Laboratory Technologists and Pathologists' Assistants
- Radiography, nuclear medicine or radiation therapy technologists are classified in unit group D215—Medical Radiation Technologists
- Medical Sonographers are classified in unit group D216—Medical Sonographers

## **D219 Other Medical Technologists and Technicians (Except Dental Health)**

This unit group includes medical technologists and technicians not elsewhere classified, such as dietary technicians, ocularists, prosthetists, orthotists, prosthetic technicians and orthotic technicians. Dietary technicians are employed in health care and commercial food service establishments such as hospitals, extended care facilities, nursing homes, schools, cafeterias and fast food outlets. Ocularists are employed in custom ocular prosthetic laboratories, or they may be self-employed. Prosthetists, orthotists and prosthetic and orthotic technicians are employed in hospitals, clinics, prosthetics and orthotics laboratories and prosthetic device manufacturing companies. Prosthetists and orthotists may also be self-employed.

### *Exclusions*

- Dietary aides and assistants are classified in unit group G961 – Food Counter Attendants, Kitchen Helpers and Related Occupations

## **D221 Denturists**

Denturists examine patients and design, construct and repair removable dentures. Most denturists work in private practice.

### *Exclusions*

- Dentists are classified in unit group D013 – Dentists
- Persons who fabricate dentures in dental laboratories are classified in unit group D223 – Dental Technologists, Technicians and Laboratory Bench Workers

## **D222 Dental Hygienists and Dental Therapists**

Dental hygienists provide dental hygiene treatment and information related to the prevention of diseases and disorders of the teeth and mouth. They are employed in dentists' offices, hospitals, clinics, educational institutions, government agencies and private industry. Dental therapists carry out limited dental services related to the prevention and treatment of diseases and disorders of the teeth and mouth. They are employed by the federal government and the provincial governments to provide services in rural and remote communities.

### *Exclusions*

- Dentists are classified in unit group D013 – Dentists
- Persons who perform clerical duties and assist dentists in dental offices are classified in unit group D311 – Dental Assistants

## **D223 Dental Technologists, Technicians and Laboratory Bench Workers**

Dental technologists and technicians design, prepare and fabricate dentures and dental devices as prescribed by dentists and other specialists. Dental laboratory bench workers assist dental technologists and technicians in preparing and fabricating dentures and other dental devices. They are employed in dental laboratories. Supervisors of dental technologists and technicians are also included in this unit group.

### *Exclusions*

- Persons who fit patients for dentures and construct dentures in their own practices are classified in unit group D221 – Denturists

## **D231 Opticians**

Opticians fit clients with prescription eyeglasses or contact lenses, assist clients in the selection of eyeglass frames, arrange for the production of eyeglasses or contact lenses and mount lenses in eyeglass frames. They are employed in optical retail outlets or other establishments with optical dispensing departments, or they may be self-employed.

Student opticians and opticians who are managers of optical retail outlets are included in this group.

### *Exclusions*

- Managers of optical dispensing departments or of optical retail outlets are classified in unit group A211—Retail Trade Managers
- Optometrists examine eyes, prescribe eyeglasses and contact lenses in addition to fitting eyeglasses and are classified in unit group D021—Optometrists
- Optical laboratory technicians are classified in unit group D313—Other Assisting Occupations in Support of Health Services

## **D232 Midwives and Practitioners of Natural Healing**

Midwives provide full-course care to women and their babies during pregnancy, labour, birth and the postnatal period. They are employed in hospitals, birthing centres and private practice. Practitioners of natural healing provide alternative forms of health care to patients. They are employed by clinics, health clubs, spas or health food stores, or they may work in private practice.

## **D233 Licensed Practical Nurses**

Licensed practical nurses provide nursing care usually under the direction of medical practitioners, registered nurses or other health team members. They are employed in hospitals, nursing homes, extended-care facilities, rehabilitation centres, doctors' offices, clinics, companies, private homes and community health centres. Operating room technicians are included in this unit group.

### *Exclusions*

- Nursing aides and orderlies, as well as ward aides, are classified in unit group D312—Nurse Aides, Orderlies and Patient Service Associates

## **D234 Ambulance Attendants and Other Paramedical Occupations**

This unit group includes workers who administer pre-hospital emergency medical care to patients and transport them to hospitals or other medical facilities for further medical care. They are employed by private ambulance services, hospitals, fire departments, government departments and agencies, manufacturing firms, mining companies and other private sector establishments.

## **D235 Other Technical Occupations in Therapy and Assessment**

This unit group includes workers, not elsewhere classified, who perform various technical therapy and assessment functions. Some may assist professionals such as audiologists, speech-language pathologists, ophthalmologists and physiotherapists. They are employed in hospitals, clinics, extended-care facilities, rehabilitation centres, educational institutions and in the private practices of the professionals they assist. Massage therapists may also be self-employed.

### *Exclusions*

- Naturopathic and osteopathic physicians are classified in unit group D023—  
Other Professional Occupations in Health Diagnosing and Treating
- Faith healers and religious healers are classified in unit group E216—  
Other Religious Occupations

## **D311 Dental Assistants**

Dental assistants assist dentists during the examination and treatment of patients and perform clerical functions. Dental assistants work primarily in dentists' offices, or they may be employed by government and educational institutions.

### *Exclusions*

- Dental hygienists are classified in unit group D222—Dental Hygienists and  
Dental Therapists
- Dental technicians are classified in unit group D223—Dental Technologists,  
Technicians and Laboratory Bench Workers

## **D312 Nurse Aides, Orderlies and Patient Service Associates**

Nurse aides, orderlies and patient service associates assist nurses, hospital staff and physicians in the basic care of patients. They are employed in hospitals, nursing homes and other health care facilities.

### *Exclusions*

- Registered nursing assistants are classified in unit group D233—  
Licensed Practical Nurses
- Visiting homemakers and housekeepers are classified in unit group G811—  
Visiting Homemakers, Housekeepers and Related Occupations

## **D313 Other Assisting Occupations in Support of Health Services**

This unit group includes workers who provide services and assistance to health care professionals and other health care staff. They are employed in hospitals, clinics, offices of health care professionals, nursing homes, optical retail stores and laboratories, pharmacies and medical pathology laboratories.

### *Exclusions*

- Operating room technicians are classified in unit group D233—  
Licensed Practical Nurses
- Pathologists' assistants are classified in unit group D211—Medical Laboratory  
Technologists and Pathologists' Assistants

## References

1. Canadian Institute for Health Information, *Health Care in Canada 2001* (Ottawa: CIHI, 2001).
2. Canadian Institute for Health Information, *Health Care in Canada 2002* (Ottawa: CIHI, 2002).
3. Health Quality Council, *Modernizing the Management of Health Human Resources in Canada: Identifying Areas for Accelerated Change, Report From a National Summit, June 23, 2005* (Toronto: Health Quality Council, 2005).
4. R. W. Pong and J. R. Pitblado, *Geographic Distribution of Physicians in Canada: Beyond How Many and Where* (Ottawa: CIHI, 2005).
5. Canadian Institute for Health Information, *Supply and Distribution of Registered Nurses in Rural and Small Town Canada, 2000* (Ottawa: CIHI, 2002).
6. C. Gray, "How Bad Is the Brain Drain?," *Canadian Medical Association Journal* 161 (1999): pp. 1028–1029.
7. A. Priest, *What's Ailing Our Nurses? A Discussion of the Major Issues Affecting Nursing Human Resources in Canada* (Ottawa: Canadian Health Services Research Foundation, 2006).
8. J. R. Pitblado, J. M. Medves and N. J. Stewart, "For Work and for School: Internal Migration of Canada's Rural Nurses," *Canadian Journal of Nursing Research* 37 (2005): pp. 102–121.
9. Merck Frosst Canada & Co., "A Health Reform Plan: Some Possibilities (1)," *Health Edition* 4, 29 (July 28, 2000): pp. 1–2, [online], cited January 2007, from <[http://www.healthedition.com/archives/072800\\_m.pdf](http://www.healthedition.com/archives/072800_m.pdf)>.
10. Canadian Alliance of Physiotherapy Regulators and the Canadian Physiotherapy Association, *Physiotherapy Health Human Resources: Background Paper* (Toronto: Canadian Alliance of Physiotherapy Regulators and the Canadian Physiotherapy Association, 2002), [online], last modified July 2002, cited January 2007, from <<http://www.physiotherapy.ca/PublicUploads/224306HHRBackgroundPaper.pdf>>.
11. World Health Organization, *The World Health Report: Working Together for Health* (Geneva: WHO Press, 2006), p. xv.
12. R. J. Romanow (Commissioner), *Building on Values—The Future of Health Care in Canada* (2002), [online], last modified November 2002, cited January 2007, from <[http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/english/pdf/romanow/pdfs/HCC\\_Final\\_Report.pdf](http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/english/pdf/romanow/pdfs/HCC_Final_Report.pdf)>.
13. M. J. L. Kirby (Chair), *The Health of Canadians—The Federal Role. Final Report. Volume Six: Recommendations for Reform* (Ottawa: The Standing Senate Committee on Social Affairs, Science and Technology, 2002), [online], last modified October 2002, cited January 2007, from <<http://www.parl.gc.ca/37/2/parlbus/commbus/senate/com-e/SOCI-E/rep-e/repoct02vol6-e.htm>>.

14. Health Canada, *2003 First Ministers' Accord on Health Care Renewal* [online], cited March 29, 2006, from <[http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/hcs-sss/delivery-prestation/fptcollab/2003accord/index\\_e.html](http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/hcs-sss/delivery-prestation/fptcollab/2003accord/index_e.html)>.
15. S. Birch, "Health Human Resource Planning for the New Millennium: Inputs in the Production of Health, Illness, and Recovery in Populations," *Canadian Journal of Nursing Research* 33, 4 (2002): p. 109.
16. J. R. Pitblado and R. W. Pong, *Geographic Distribution of Physicians In Canada* (Report prepared for Health Canada) (Sudbury, Ont.: Centre for Rural and Northern Health Research, Laurentian University, 1999), [online], last modified November 2003, cited January 2007, from <<http://www.cranhr.ca/pdf/distrib/GEOREPORT.pdf>>.
17. M. Konieczna, "Prescription Needed for Pharmacist Shortage," *The Globe and Mail* (Toronto), May 21, 2004, p. C1.
18. Canadian Society for Medical Laboratory Science, "Survey Reveals Shortage of Medical Laboratory Technologists Approaching Faster Than Anticipated," (news release), (April 10, 2000), [online], cited October 5, 2003, from <[http://www.csmls.org/english/news\\_releases.cfm?ID=31](http://www.csmls.org/english/news_releases.cfm?ID=31)>.
19. M. Sobel, P. Litwin, C. Seville and C. Homuth, "The Coming RT Shortage," *Canadian Journal of Respiratory Therapy* (Winter 2000): pp. 38–42.
20. Canadian Press, "Urgent Action Needed to Avert Severe Nursing Shortage, Report Says," (report on *Stepping to Success and Sustainability: An Analysis of Ontario's Nursing Workforce* by a University of Toronto research team), November 4, 2003, [online], cited April 11, 2003, from <<http://www.canada.com>>.
21. C. Gilbert, "OMA President Says It's Crucial Doctor Shortage Issue Addressed: Many Physicians Deciding to Leave Ontario," *Northern Life* (Sudbury, March 7, 2004), p. 6.
22. P. Zurn, M. R. Dal Poz, B. Stilwell and O. Adams, "Imbalance in the Health Workforce," *Human Resources for Health* 2, 13 (2004): [online], from <<http://www.human-resources-health.com/content/2/1/13>>.
23. G. Dussault and M. C. Franceschini, "Not Enough There, Too Many Here: Understanding Geographical Imbalances in the Distribution of the Health Workforce," *Human Resources for Health* 4, 12 (2006): [online], from <<http://www.human-resources-health.com/content/4/1/12>>.
24. Canadian Institute for Health Information, *Health Personnel Trends in Canada, 1995 to 2004* (Ottawa: CIHI, 2006).
25. World Health Organization, *A Guide to Rapid Assessment of Human Resources for Health* (Geneva: WHO, 2004), [online], last modified September 2004, cited January 2007, from <[http://www.who.int/hrh/tools/en/Rapid\\_Assessment\\_guide.pdf](http://www.who.int/hrh/tools/en/Rapid_Assessment_guide.pdf)>.
26. Canadian Institute for Health Information, *Canada's Health Care Providers* (Ottawa: CIHI, 2001).

27. J. Farmer, W. Lauder, H. Richards and S. Sharkey, "Dr. John Has Gone: Assessing Health Professionals' Contribution to Remote Rural Community Sustainability in the UK," *Social Science and Medicine* 57 (2003): pp. 673–686.
28. R. Finnie, "Interprovincial Migration in Canada: A Longitudinal Analysis of Movers and Stayers and the Associated Income Dynamics," *Canadian Journal of Regional Science* 22, 3 (1999): pp. 227–262.
29. M. Vachon and F. Vaillancourt, "Interprovincial Mobility in Canada, 1961–1996: Importance and Destination," in *Canada—The State of the Federation 1998/99: How Canadians Connect*, eds. H. Lazar and T. McIntosh (Montréal and Kingston: McGill–Queen's University Press, 1999), pp. 101–122.
30. N. Rothwell, R. D. Bollman, J. Tremblay and J. Marshall, *Recent Migration Patterns in Rural and Small Town Canada* (Agriculture and Rural Working Paper Series No. 55), (Ottawa: Statistics Canada, 2002).
31. N. Rothwell, R. D. Bollman, J. Tremblay and J. Marshall, "Migration to and From Rural and Small Town Canada," *Rural and Small Town Canada Analysis Bulletin* 3, 6 (2002b), (Statistics Canada), catalogue no. 21-006-XIE.
32. Statistics Canada, *Profile of the Canadian Population by Mobility Status: Canada, a Nation on the Move* (Ottawa: Industry Canada, 2002), 2001 Census Analysis Series, catalogue no. 96F0030XIE2001006.
33. K-L. Liaw and M. Qi, "Lifetime Interprovincial Migration in Canada: Looking Beyond Short-Run Fluctuations," *Canadian Geographer* 48, 2 (2004): pp.168–190.
34. H. Grant and R. Oertel, "The Supply and Migration of Canadian Physicians, 1970–1995: Why We Should Learn to Love an Immigrant Doctor," *Canadian Journal of Regional Science* 20 (1997): pp. 157–168.
35. M. Benarroch and H. Grant, *The Interprovincial Migration of Canadian Physicians, 1970–1992* (Ottawa: Statistics Canada Economics Conference Proceedings, May 2000).
36. Canadian Institute for Health Information, *International and Interprovincial Migration of Physicians, Canada 1970 to 1995* (Ottawa: CIHI, 1997).
37. Canadian Institute for Health Information, *Supply, Distribution and Migration of Canadian Physicians* (Ottawa: CIHI, 2005).
38. A. Baumann, J. Blythe, C. Kolotylo and J. Underwood, *Mobility of Nurses in Canada* (Ottawa: The Nursing Sector Study Corporation, 2004).
39. R. K. House, G. C. Johnson and F. A. Edwards, "Manpower Supply Study Scenarios for the Future: Dental Manpower to 2001," *Journal of the Canadian Dental Association* 49 (1983): pp. 85–98.
40. Health Canada, *An Environmental Scan of the Human Resource Issues Affecting Medical Laboratory Technologists and Medical Radiation Technologists* (Ottawa: Minister of Public Works and Government Services, 2001), catalogue no. H39-635/2002E.

41. K. H. Davis, "Medical Laboratory Technologists National Human Resources Review—2002 Update," *Canadian Journal of Medical Laboratory Science* 64 (2002): pp. 100–121.
42. D. A. Plane and P. A. Rogerson, *The Geographical Analysis of Population: With Applications to Planning and Business* (New York: Wiley, 1994).
43. Fujitsu Consulting (Canada) Inc., *Setting a New Direction for Planning the New Brunswick Physician Workforce* (Final Consulting Report to the New Brunswick Department of Health and Wellness), (September 19, 2003), [online], from <<http://www.gnb.ca/0051/pdf/HRStudy/DirectionPhysicianWorkforce.pdf>> .
44. Fujitsu Consulting (Canada) Inc., *Health Human Resources Supply and Demand Analysis* (Final Consulting Report to the New Brunswick Department of Health and Wellness), (November 27, 2002), [online], from <<http://www.gnb.ca/0051/pdf/HRStudy/SupplyandDemandAnalysis.pdf>> .
45. A. Kazanjian and L. Apland, *Estimating National Health Human Resources Modeling Capacity* (Vancouver: Department of Health Care and Epidemiology, Faculty of Medicine, The University of British Columbia, 2004).
46. Statistics Canada, *National Occupational Classification for Statistics* (Ottawa: Ministry of Industry, 2001), catalogue no. 12-583-XPE.
47. Statistics Canada, *Occupation* (Census Technical Report), (Ottawa: Statistics Canada, 2001), catalogue no. 92-388-XIE.
48. Statistics Canada, *Standard Geographical Classification (SGC 2001)* (Ottawa: Statistics Canada, 2002), catalogue no. 12-571-XIB.
49. Statistics Canada, *2001 Census Handbook* (Ottawa: Minister of Industry, 2003), catalogue no. 92-379-XIE.
50. E. Lee, "A Theory of Migration," *Demography* 3 (1966): pp. 47–57.
51. I. H. Frieze, S. B. Hansen and B. Boneva, "The Migrant Personality and College Students' Plans for Geographic Mobility," *Journal of Environmental Psychology* 26 (2006): pp. 170–177.
52. W. Marr, D. McCready and F. Millerd, "Canadian Internal Migration of Medical Personnel," *Growth and Change* 12 (1981): pp. 32–40.
53. I. P. Sempowski, M. Godwin and R. Seguin, "Physicians Who Stay Versus Physicians Who Go: Results of a Cross-Sectional Survey of Ontario Rural Physicians," *Canadian Journal of Rural Medicine* 7 (2002): pp. 173–179.
54. S. Rajbhandary and K. Basu, "Interprovincial Migration of Physicians in Canada: Where Are They Moving and Why?" *Health Policy* 79 (2006): pp. 265–273.
55. L. Buske, "Interprovincial Migration," *Canadian Medical Association Journal* 157 (1997): p. 1492.
56. K. Basu and S. Rajbhandary, "Interprovincial Migration of Physicians in Canada: What Are the Determinants?" *Health Policy* 76 (2006): 186–193.



57. C. Bryant and A. E. Joseph, "Canada's Rural Population: Trends in Space and Implications in Place," *The Canadian Geographer* 45 (2001): pp. 132–137.
58. L. O. Stone, *Migration in Canada* (Ottawa: Dominion Bureau of Statistics, 1969).
59. L. O. Stone, "What We Know About Migration Within Canada—A Selective Review and Agenda for Future Research," *International Migration Review* 8 (1974): pp. 267–281.
60. J. Vanderkamp, *Mobility Patterns in the Labour Force* (Economic Council of Canada Special Study No. 16), (Ottawa: Queen's Printer, 1973).
61. E. K. Grant and J. Vanderkamp, *The Economic Causes and Effects of Migration: Canada, 1965–71* (Ottawa: Ministry of Supply and Services, 1976).
62. Z. Lin, *Interprovincial Labour Mobility in Canada: The Role of Unemployment Insurance, Social Assistance* (Ottawa: Human Resources Development Canada, 1995), rep. no. IN-AH-220E-08-95.
63. Z. Lin, *Foreign-Born vs. Native-Born Canadians: A Comparison of Their Inter-Provincial Labour Mobility* (Analytical Branch Research Paper Series No. 114), (Ottawa: Statistics Canada, 1998), catalogue no. 11F0019ME.
64. R. Finnie, *Who Moves? A Panel Logit Model Analysis of Inter-Provincial Migration in Canada* (Analytical Branch Research Paper Series No. 142), (Ottawa: Statistics Canada, 2000), catalogue no. 11F0019MIE.
65. R. Finnie, "The Patterns of Inter-Provincial Migration in Canada 1982–95: Evidence From Longitudinal Tax-Based Data," *Canadian Studies in Population* 26 (1999): pp. 205–234.
66. Statistics Canada, *National Occupational Classification—Statistics (NOC-S) 2001*, [online] last modified June 2003, cited March 2007, from <<http://stds.statcan.ca/english/soc/2001/nocs01-class-search.asp?cretaria=D>> .

