Legislative Background: Medical Assistance in Dying (Bill C-14)



2016

Information contained in this publication or product may be reproduced, in part or in whole, and by any means, for personal or public non-commercial purposes, without charge or further permission, unless otherwise specified.

You are asked to:

exercise due diligence in ensuring the accuracy of the materials reproduced;

indicate both the complete title of the materials reproduced, as well as the author organization; and

indicate that the reproduction is a copy of an official work that is published by the Government of Canada and that the reproduction has not been produced in affiliation with, or with the endorsement of the Government of Canada.

Commercial reproduction and distribution is prohibited except with written permission from the Department of Justice Canada. For more information, please contact the Department of Justice Canada at: www.justice.gc.ca.

©Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada, represented by the Minister of Justice and Attorney General of Canada, 2016

ISBN 978-0-660-05151-2

Cat. No. J4-41/2016E-PDF

Legislative Background: Medical Assistance in Dying (Bill C-14)

Table of Contents

Introdu	uction – Brief Summary of <i>Carter v Canada</i>	4			
Part 1 -	– Description of Proposed Legislation on Medical Assistance in Dying	5			
I.	Legislative Objectives	6			
II.	Definitions	7			
III.	Criminal Exemptions	7			
IV.	Eligibility Criteria for Medical Assistance in Dying	9			
V.	Safeguards	10			
VI.	Monitoring System	11			
VII.	Other Offences	12			
VIII.	Related Amendments	12			
IX.	Parliamentary Review	13			
Χ.	Areas for Further Study	13			
Part 2 -	Consideration of International Medical Assistance in Dying Regimes	13			
l.	Foreign Laws Overview	13			
II.	U.S. state Laws	14			
III.	Colombia	15			
IV.	Belgium, the Netherlands and Luxembourg (the "Benelux" countries)	16			
Part 3 -	– Relation to Quebec's Law	18			
Part 4 -	– Statement of Potential <i>Charter</i> Impacts	19			
Annex	A: Clause by Clause Guide to Bill C-14	27			
Annex	B: Relevant Excerpts from Carter v Canada (Attorney General), [2015] 1 SCR 331	29			
Annex	Annex C: Overview of Existing Medical Assistance in Dying Regimes				
Annex	D: Overview of Recent Foreign Medical Assistance in Dying Bills	34			
Annex	F: Bibliography on Medical Assistance in Dying	37			

Introduction – Brief Summary of *Carter v Canada*

In *Carter*,¹ the Supreme Court of Canada (the "Court") held that the criminal laws prohibiting assistance in dying limited the rights to life, liberty and security of the person under section 7 of the *Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms* (the "*Charter*") in a manner that was not demonstrably justified under section 1 of the *Charter*. The *Criminal Code* provisions at issue were paragraph 241(b), which prohibits assisting suicide, and section 14, which provides that no person may consent to death being inflicted on them.

Life, Liberty and Security of the Person

Consistent with its earlier *Rodriguez* decision,² the Court held that the laws prohibiting physician-assisted dying interfere with the liberty and security of the person of individuals who have a grievous and irremediable medical condition.³ They interfere with liberty by constraining the ability of such individuals to make decisions concerning their bodily integrity and medical care, and with security of the person by leaving such individuals to endure intolerable suffering. The Court also held that the laws deprive some people of life by forcing them to take their own lives prematurely for fear that they would be incapable of doing so when they reached a point where their suffering was intolerable.

Principles of Fundamental Justice

In order to comply with section 7 of the *Charter*, a deprivation of life, liberty or security of the person must accord with the principles of fundamental justice. The principles at issue in *Carter* were those against arbitrariness, overbreadth and gross disproportionality. An arbitrary law is one that "exacts a constitutional price in terms of rights, without furthering the public good that is said to be the object of the law." An overbroad law is one that may be rational in general but denies the rights of some individuals in a way that bears no relation to the legislative purpose. A grossly disproportionate law is one that, while it may further the legislative objective, has negative effects on life, liberty or security of the person that are so extreme as to be "totally out of sync" with the object of the law.⁵

The Court held that the prohibition on assistance in dying is not arbitrary because it "clearly helps achieve" the legislative objective of protecting vulnerable persons from being induced to die by suicide at a moment of weakness. However, the prohibition was found to be overbroad because it applies to individuals who are not vulnerable, thereby denying the rights of some people in a way that bears no relation to the purpose of the law. The Court found it unnecessary to decide the issue of gross disproportionality in view of its conclusion that the prohibition is overbroad.

Section 1

Limitations of *Charter* protections are constitutional if they are reasonable and demonstrably justified pursuant to section 1 of the *Charter*. The Court concluded that the section 7 limitation was not justified. Although the Court accepted that the absolute prohibition on assistance in dying furthers a pressing and substantial objective, it concluded that a permissive regime with

properly designed and administered safeguards was capable of protecting vulnerable people from abuse and error and that the absolute prohibition goes farther than reasonably necessary to achieve the legislative purpose.

Remedy

The Court explained that the appropriate remedy was:

a declaration that s. 241(b) and s. 14 of the *Criminal Code* are void insofar as they prohibit physician-assisted death for a competent adult person who (1) clearly consents to the termination of life; and (2) has a grievous and irremediable medical condition (including an illness, disease or disability) that causes enduring suffering that is intolerable to the individual in the circumstances of his or her condition.⁷

The Court went on to specify that the scope of the declaration was "intended to respond to the factual circumstances in this case" and to highlight that it was making "no pronouncement on other situations where physician-assisted dying may be sought." The factual circumstances that were the focus of the Court's analysis were those of Gloria Taylor, who suffered from amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), a fatal neurodegenerative disease. The Court noted elsewhere in the judgment that assistance in dying in other situations, such as for "minors or persons with psychiatric disorders or minor medical conditions" would not fall within the parameters suggested in its reasons. 10

The Court suspended the declaration of invalidity for 12 months to give Parliament and provincial legislatures time to respond. It acknowledged that the legislative response would likely involve a "complex regulatory regime" and that Parliament "faces a difficult task" in balancing the competing social interests of those who might be at risk in a permissive regime against those who seek assistance in dying.¹¹ It also suggested that a high degree of deference would be owed to the regime ultimately adopted by Parliament.¹²

On January 15, 2016, the Court granted a four-month extension of the suspension, with the result that the declaration of invalidity would take effect on June 6, 2016 unless new legislation is in place prior to that date.¹³

Part 1 – Description of Proposed Legislation on Medical Assistance in Dying

The development of the proposed legislation on medical assistance in dying (Bill C-14) was informed by the evidence before all levels of court in the *Carter* case, ¹⁴ by available Canadian and international research, social science evidence, governmental reports and parliamentary studies. ¹⁵ It was also informed by the experience of existing international medical assistance in dying regimes, as well as by numerous recent consultative activities on such assistance, including the work of the Special Joint Committee on Physician-Assisted Dying, ¹⁶ the External Panel on Options for a Legislative Response to *Carter v Canada*, ¹⁷ the Provincial-Territorial Expert Advisory Group on Physician-Assisted Dying, ¹⁸ the Canadian Medical Association, ¹⁹ the College of Family Physicians of Canada, ²⁰ and the work of provincial colleges of physicians and surgeons, among others.

Bill C-14 would strike an appropriate balance between the autonomy of those individuals seeking access to medical assistance in dying and the interests of vulnerable persons and of society, through amendments to the *Criminal Code* to allow physicians and nurse practitioners to provide assistance in dying to eligible competent adults in accordance with specified safeguards. The legal effect of the new legislation would be to de-criminalize medical assistance in dying and leave further regulation of the practice to the provinces and territories (PTs) should they so choose. Medical assistance in dying has aspects that fall under both federal and provincial jurisdiction. The criminal law aspects of such assistance fall under exclusive federal jurisdiction and would apply consistently across the country. The PTs can legislate in relation to the health care aspects and civil law implications so long as PT legislation does not conflict with the criminal law. From a federal perspective, a reasonable degree of consistency across and within provinces and territories would support the underlying values and principles of the *Canada Health Act* – that is, that all Canadians should have access to needed health care services, which could include services related to medical assistance in dying, without financial or other barriers.

I. Legislative Objectives

The objectives of the proposed legislation are expressly stated in the preamble of Bill C-14 and include:

- recognizing the autonomy of persons who have a grievous and irremediable medical condition that causes them enduring and intolerable suffering to seek medical assistance in dying;
- recognizing that robust safeguards, which reflect the irrevocable nature of ending a life, are essential to prevent error and abuse in the provision of medical assistance in dying;
- affirming the inherent and equal value of every person's life and avoiding encouraging negative perceptions of the quality of life of persons who are elderly, ill or disabled;
- protecting vulnerable persons from being induced, in moments of weakness, to end their lives;
- recognizing that suicide is a significant public health issue that can have lasting and harmful effects on individuals, families and communities;
- recognizing that those who wish to access medical assistance in dying should be able to do so without adverse legal consequences on their families;
- respecting the personal convictions of health care providers; and,
- recognizing that a consistent approach to medical assistance in dying across Canada is desirable.

The legislative objectives in the preamble speak to the circumstances for which medical assistance in dying would be made available, but they also speak to the reasons why access would not be permitted in other circumstances. For example, the objective of recognizing that suicide is a public health issue helps to explain why medical assistance in dying is not presently being contemplated for people who are not approaching a natural death. To permit it in circumstances where a person is not approaching natural death could be seen as undermining suicide prevention initatives and normalizing death as a solution to many forms of suffering.

That said, the preamble also recognizes the Government of Canada's commitment to explore additional circumstances in which a person may seek access to medical assistance in dying, namely requests by mature minors, advance requests, and where mental illness is the sole underlying medical condition. These circumstances are complex, and require additional study and consideration. As well, the preamble affirms the Government's commitment to uphold the principles set out in the *Canada Health Act* and to develop non-legislative measures to support the improvement of end-of-life care in the country. In this regard, the Minister of Health has offered to work in collaboration with the PTs on the development of an end-of-life care coordination system to provide information on options and facilitate patient access to care.

II. Definitions

The Bill would enact new definitions in the *Criminal Code* for the purpose of the national medical assistance in dying regime. (see Annex 1 for a clause by clause guide to Bill C-14). The proposed new section 241.1 (clause 3 of the Bill) is a central provision of the regime as it would define the umbrella term "medical assistance in dying" as encompassing what is commonly called voluntary euthanasia (i.e., the administration by a medical practitioner or nurse practitioner of medication²¹ that will cause a person's death at their request) and assisted suicide (i.e., the prescription or provision by a medical practitioner or nurse practitioner of medication that a person could self-administer to cause their own death). It should be noted that, in the case of what is commonly called voluntary euthanasia, the provision of medical assistance in dying would result directly in the patient's death, whereas in the case of assisted suicide, the provision of such assistance would result in the patient obtaining medication that they could choose to use – or not – to end their life. This difference would be reflected in the new criminal exemptions, as set out below.

With respect to health care professionals, who are regulated under PT responsibility, the new section 241.1 would define the terms "medical practitioner" and "pharmacist" as those who are entitled to practise medicine or pharmacology under provincial laws (e.g., licensed professionals who are members of provincial colleges of physicians and surgeons or provincial colleges of pharmacists). Although the term "physician" or "doctor" is more plain language in English, the term "medical practitioner" is already used in several places in the *Criminal Code*, and so is adopted to ensure consistency within the *Criminal Code*. The term "nurse practitioner" is defined in the Bill as a registered nurse who is designated as a nurse practitioner or other equivalent title, and who is entitled to autonomously make diagnoses, interpret tests, prescribe medications and treat individuals.

III. Criminal Exemptions

Culpable Homicide

It is a crime to intentionally cause the death of another person, even if they consent to die (section 14 of the *Criminal Code*). The Bill would therefore enact a new exemption from criminal liability for culpable homicide for medical practitioners and nurse practitioners who provide medical assistance in dying in the form of what is commonly called voluntary euthanasia, i.e., the administration of medication to a person, at their request, that causes their

death (new section 227(1) of the *Criminal Code*, in clause 2 of the Bill). The Bill would also create an additional and related exemption for any other person who would do anything in order to help a physician or nurse practitioner provide such assistance. The latter category would include, for instance, a social worker who is asked to meet with the patient to help assess the voluntariness of their request, a lawyer to a hospital who is asked to review the documents to verify compliance with the law, or a pharmacist who fills the prescription for the medication to be administered by a medical practitioner or nurse practitioner to the patient.

The Bill would also re-enact the legal rule (section 14 of the *Criminal Code* – Clause 1 of the Bill) that says that a person's consent to die is not a defence for someone who inflicts death on them. This rule was found to be unconstitutional in *Carter*. At the same time, the Bill would clarify that this legal rule does not apply in the case of a person who receives medical assistance in dying in conformity with the new regime (new subsection 227(4) of the *Criminal Code* – Clause 2 of the Bill). In all other circumstances, it would continue to be a crime to cause the death of a person who consented to die.

Aiding a Person to Die by Suicide

It is a crime to assist a person to die by suicide, whether or not suicide ensues (paragraph 241(b) of the *Criminal Code*). Assistance can be in the form of providing information about how to end their life, or providing a tool or other means that could be used. The Bill would enact criminal exemptions for the offence of aiding a person to die by suicide for medical practitioners, nurse practitioners and people who would assist them in providing or dispensing medication to eligible persons. The person could self-administer the medication to cause their own death, either at that time or at a later time of their choosing (new subsections 241(2) and 241(3) of the *Criminal Code* – Clause 3 of the Bill).

The Bill recognizes that after a person would receive the prescription from the physician or nurse practitioner (which meets the definition of medical assistance in dying in the *Criminal Code* as explained above), they may still need various forms of assistance depending on their state of health. For instance, they may need someone to collect the medication from the pharmacy, to open the bottle that contains such medication, or to lift a glass of water to their mouth so that they can swallow it. As these forms of conduct are prohibited under the offence of aiding a person to die by suicide, additional exemptions are provided in the Bill to address this type of assistance.

Specifically, pharmacists who fill a prescription for the purpose of medical assistance in dying and give the medication directly to the patient or to another person on their behalf, would also be exempted for this conduct (new subsection 241(4) - clause 3 of the Bill). Similarly, any person who helps the patient to self-administer the medication would also be exempted from criminal responsibility (new subsection 241(5) – clause 3 of the Bill). Any person helping someone to self-administer the medication should exercise extreme caution, as the decision to self-administer, and the final actions of doing so, must be those of the patient for whom the medication was prescribed. In any other circumstance, there would remain a risk of criminal prosecution.

Finally, the Bill would re-enact the offence of aiding a person to die by suicide, and this conduct will continue to be criminal in all circumstances other than those described in the medical assistance in dying regime under the *Criminal Code*.

"Reasonable but mistaken belief"

For greater certainty, the Bill would codify a common law principle to clarify that the exemptions would still apply even if the practitioners, or other exempted individuals, have a reasonable but mistaken belief about some relevant fact; for example, whether the person is 18 years of age and is therefore eligible to receive medical assistance in dying (new subsections clauses 227(3) and 241(6) in clauses 2 and 3 of the Bill). These would essentially function as a "good faith" defence for those who would participate in medical assistance in dying.

IV. Eligibility Criteria for Medical Assistance in Dying

Bill C-14 would enact a new section 241.2 of the *Criminal Code*, which in essence sets out the criminal rules surrounding the provision of medical assistance in dying. New subsections 241.2(1) and 241.2(2) would set out the eligibility criteria for such assistance in Canada. Under the proposed legislation, medical assistance in dying would be available to a person who meets all of the following criteria (subsection 241.2(1)):

- being an adult (at least 18 years old) who is mentally competent ("capable") to make health care decisions for themselves;
- having a grievous and irremediable medical condition (as defined under subsection 241.2(2));
- making a voluntary request for medical assistance in dying which does not result from external pressure;
- giving informed consent to receive medical assistance in dying; and,
- being eligible for health services funded by a government.

A grievous and irremediable medical condition would be expressly defined under the Bill as (subsection 241.2(2)):

- having a serious and incurable illness, disease or disability; and,
- being in an advanced state of irreversible decline in capability; and,
- experiencing enduring physical or psychological suffering, due to the illness, disease, disability or state of decline, that is intolerable to the person and cannot be relieved in a manner that they consider acceptable; and,
- where the person's natural death has become reasonably foreseeable, taking into account all of their medical circumstances, without requiring a specific prognosis as to the length of time the person has left to live.

The proposed eligibility criteria would enable individuals who are intolerably suffering, in an advanced state of irreversible decline in capability, and who are on a trajectory towards their natural death, to have the option of a peaceful medically-assisted dying process, instead of having to endure a painful, prolonged or undignified one. It would enable them to make a

fundamentally personal decision concerning their bodily integrity, autonomy, and dignity, which could also help prevent them from ending their lives prematurely, by providing reassurance that they will have access to medical assistance in dying at a time when they may be unable to end their own life without assistance. Individuals would have to be able to provide informed consent when eligibility is assessed and confirm their consent one last time immediately before medical assistance in dying is provided.

In *Carter*, the Court expressly stated that the scope of its declaration was "intended to respond to the factual circumstances" of the case²³ and that it made "no pronouncement on other situations where physician-assisted dying may be sought".²⁴ The proposed eligibility criteria would directly respond to the factual circumstances raised in *Carter*. The individuals whose cases were considered by the Court were either in physical decline and nearing death in the late stages of a fatal disease, or were otherwise nearing the end of their lives while in decline due to a condition that was not fatal in itself. This approach would also be consistent with the Court's comparison of medical assistance in dying with other forms of end-of-life care,²⁵ i.e., medical assistance in dying would become another end-of-life option, in addition to palliative care and palliative sedation for instance, for intolerably suffering individuals whose deaths are reasonably foreseeable. The Court also recognized that assisted dying is a complex issue involving various interests, that a number of solutions were possible, and that Parliament's response would receive a high degree of deference.²⁶ (see Annex B for more information)

The criterion of reasonable foreseeability of death is intended to require a temporal but flexible connection between the person's overall medical circumstances and their anticipated death. As some medical conditions may cause individuals to irreversibly decline and suffer for a long period of time before dying, the proposed eligibility criteria would not impose any specific requirements in terms of prognosis or proximity to death (e.g., a six month prognosis as the U.S. states' medical assistance in dying laws require). The medical condition that is causing the intolerable suffering would not need to be the cause of the reasonably foreseeable death. In other words, eligibility would not be limited to those who are dying from a fatal disease. Eligibility would be assessed on a case-by-case basis, with flexibility to reflect the uniqueness of each person's circumstances, but with limits that require a natural death to be foreseeable in a period of time that is not too remote. It should be noted that people with a mental illness or physical disability would not be excluded from the regime, but would only be able to access medical assistance in dying if they met all of the eligibility criteria.

The requirement for the person to be eligible to receive publicly funded health services is intended to prevent foreigners from visiting Canada to obtain medical assistance in dying.

V. Safeguards

In *Carter*, the Court acknowledged that there are inherent risks in permitting medical assistance in dying, but agreed with the trial judge that these risks "can be identified and very substantially minimized through a carefully-designed system imposing stringent limits that are scrupulously monitored and enforced".²⁷ As enacted in other jurisdictions that have medical assistance in dying, robust procedural safeguards are a critical component of any carefully-designed regime and are essential to prevent error and abuse from occurring and to protect vulnerable persons.

Consistent with the Court ruling and the Special Joint Committee's recommendations on safeguards, Bill C-14 would enact mandatory procedural safeguards that medical practitioners and nurse practitioners would be required to follow before providing medical assistance in dying to a person. These safeguards would require the medical practitioner or nurse practitioner to: confirm that the person meets all eligibility criteria for medical assistance in dying; ensure that the person's request was made in writing after the person was informed that his or her natural death was reasonably foreseeable and be satisfied that it was signed and dated in the presence of two independent witnesses; ensure that the person was informed that they may withdraw their request at any time; ensure that a second independent medical practitioner or nurse practitioner provided a written opinion confirming the person's eligibility; ensure that a period of at least 15 days has elapsed between the moment the written request was signed and the provision of medical assistance in dying (unless both practitioners agree that death or loss of capacity to consent is imminent); and, immediately before providing such assistance, confirm the person's consent.

In order to be considered independent, the witnesses could not be a beneficiary under the will of that person or otherwise benefit from their death, or be involved directly in giving care to the person, among other criteria (new subsection 241.2(5) – clause 3 of the Bill). With respect to the independence of the first medical practitioner or nurse practitioner from the second one, the Bill provides that they could not be connected to each other in any way that could impair their objectivity, such as by being in a business or mentoring relationship with each other. They would also need to be independent of the patient, in the sense that they could not be a beneficiary under his or her will, or be otherwise connected to them in a manner that could affect their objectivity (new subsection 241.2(6)). However, the legislation makes clear that standard compensation for providing medical services would not affect the physician or nurse practitioner's independence.

The Bill would make provision for individuals who are unable to sign their own request, by enabling them to ask a capable adult, who is not directly involved in providing health care services to them, to sign and date their request on their behalf and in their presence (new subsection 241.2(4)).

Finally, in order to fall within the exemption, the medical practitioner or nurse practitioner would also be required to act with reasonable knowledge, care and skill, in accordance with applicable provincial laws, rules or standards (new subsection 241.2(7)), and to inform the pharmacist whenever medication is sought or prescribed for the purposes of medical assistance in dying (new subsection 241.2(8)).

VI. Monitoring System

As recognized by the Court in *Carter*, by the Special Joint Committee's report, as well as by many stakeholders, a pan-Canadian monitoring system to collect and analyze data on the provision of medical assistance in dying across Canada, to monitor trends and provide information to the public on the implementation of the new law, would be a critical component of the new Canadian regime. Such a monitoring system would be essential to foster transparency and public trust in the system.

Regulation-making Power

The proposed legislation would create a power for the Minister of Health to make regulations to establish a system for monitoring requests for, and the provision of, medical assistance in dying in Canada. Such regulations may include: information to be provided by medical practitioners or nurse practitioners to a designated body; the form, manner and circumstances in which the information has to be provided; details about how the data would be analyzed, interpreted, and reported to the public; and rules for the protection and disposal of such information (new subsection 241.31(3) – clause 4 of the Bill). The Minister of Health would consult with PTs and others in developing the regulations.

Filing Obligations and Related Offences

To enable the monitoring regime to operate effectively, the proposed legislation would also create a legal obligation for medical and nurse practitioners who receive a written request for medical assistance in dying to provide information as prescribed in regulations (new subsection 241.31(1)). Pharmacists would also be required to provide information in relation to their provision of medications used for such assistance (new subsection 241.31(2)). Bill C-14 proposes a hybrid offence – punishable by a maximum of 2 years imprisonment – for failure to comply with the reporting obligations, and for any person who knowingly breaches the regulations. The reporting obligations and related offences would be brought into force at a later date than the rest of the Bill, once the detailed regulations on the monitoring regime would be in place.

VII. Other Offences

To ensure compliance with the new medical assistance in dying legislation, and given the serious and irrevocable nature of helping people die and the potential for criminal liability for medical professionals, the Bill would enact new hybrid offences for failure to comply with the mandatory safeguards (new section 241.3), and for forging or destroying documents related to assistance requests with criminal intent (new section 241.4). For instance, a person might destroy a written medical assistance in dying request in order to block someone's access to such assistance or to interfere with a medical practitioner's ability to rely on an exemption, or they might forge the signature of a person they were trying to influence to seek assistance. These offences would be liable to a maximum term of imprisonment of five years, where prosecuted on indictment, and to a maximum term of 18 months on summary conviction.

VIII. Related Amendments

Bill C-14 would enact related amendments to other statutes to ensure that recourse to medical assistance in dying does not affect pensions under the *Pension Act* or benefits under the *Canadian Forces Members and Veterans Re-establishment and Compensation Act*. It would also amend the *Corrections and Conditional Release Act* to ensure that no investigation need be conducted under section 19 of that *Act* as a result of a person dying with medical assistance.

IX. Parliamentary Review

The Bill includes a mandatory legislative review to take place five years after its coming into force. The medical assistance in dying legislation would be referred to a designated parliamentary committee of the House of Commons, the Senate or both Houses of Parliament. The committee would review all provisions of Bill C-14 and submit a report to Parliament. It could also consider any reports that would be published under the monitoring regime. The parliamentary review could assess whether the new regime is meeting its legislatives objectives, how medical assistance in dying is being implemented across Canada, and identify areas of potential changes and improvements, if necessary.

X. Areas for Further Study

The parliamentary review would be a distinct process from the Government of Canada's commitment to study additional complex circumstances in which a person may seek access to medical assistance in dying, namely requests by mature minors, advance requests, and requests where mental illness is the sole underlying medical condition. The results of these studies could be considered by the parliamentary committee as part of its broader legislative review of the new regime.

Part 2 – Consideration of International Medical Assistance in Dying Regimes

Canada is not alone in establishing a legislative regime that includes exemptions from criminal law to allow medical assistance in dying. In addition to being informed by the *Carter* ruling and the many public consultation exercises that have taken place across Canada over the past year, Bill C-14 is informed by the laws relating to medical assistance in dying in other jurisdictions, as well as research on how those regimes work in practice,²⁸ much of which was also before the courts in the *Carter* case. (see Annex C for more information).

I. Foreign Laws Overview

At present, in addition to Québec, there are 8 jurisdictions with precise legal rules for the provision of medical assistance in dying: 4 US states (Oregon, Washington, Vermont and California), the country of Colombia, ²⁹ and the European countries of Belgium, the Netherlands, and Luxembourg (often referred to as the "Benelux" countries). The laws (or ministerial resolution in the case of Colombia) share many similarities, in particular with respect to the safeguards, such as the requirements for a second opinion and a voluntary request by the patient that must be in writing, which almost all regimes require. Many regimes also require the written requests to be witnessed, and require wait periods between the request and the provision of medical assistance in dying (or at least require the physician to talk to the patient over a period of time to ensure the suffering is enduring and the decision is firm). Colombia has a process involving an interdisciplinary committee within each hospital that is tasked with assessing the request and supporting the person and their family through the process. Almost all regimes also have mandatory oversight systems involving monitoring and publicly reporting on how assistance is being provided. In Switzerland, although it is not a crime to assist someone to die

by suicide for unselfish motives, there is no law regulating how and to whom the assistance can be provided or what types of safeguards are required.

The foreign laws take differing approaches with respect to eligibility such as: the types of medical circumstances that can enable a person to receive medical assistance in dying; whether assistance is available to adults only or also to minors; and whether a person can receive medical assistance in dying after they have lost the ability to express their wishes, based on a request made while they were competent (i.e., advance requests). The foreign laws also differ with respect to the form of medical assistance in dying that is permitted, i.e., whether the medication can be administered by the physician or whether the person must take the action that causes their own death by self-administering it. Some of these differences will be described below.

II. U.S. state Laws

The first place to enact legislation permitting medical assistance in dying was the US state of Oregon, which adopted the *Death with Dignity Act* in 1994.³⁰ The Oregon legislation permits a mentally competent adult (18 years or older) to obtain the assistance of a physician to die if they make a voluntary request and they suffer from a "terminal disease," defined as "an incurable and irreversible disease that has been medically confirmed and will, within reasonable medical judgment, produce death within six months." There is no requirement for the person to be suffering intolerably.

The physician is only permitted to provide the person with a prescription for medication that could end their life (physician-assisted suicide). The *Act* expressly states that it does not allow physicians to end a person's life by "active euthanasia." There is no possibility of advance requests that would be put into effect if the person loses their competence.

Data from Oregon indicates:³³

- From 1997 to 2014, 1,327 individuals received prescriptions for medication and one-in-three prescriptions (468) did not result in death from such medication;
- o Physician-assisted suicide increased from 0.5 deaths per 100,000 population in 1998, to 2.6 deaths in 2014;
- The most common underlying illnesses are: cancer (69%), amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) (16%), chronic lower respiratory disease (4%) and heart disease (3%);
- The most important end-of-life concerns for these individuals were losing autonomy (91%), less able to engage in activities making life enjoyable (87%); and loss of dignity (71%).³⁴

The Oregon law has served as a model for 3 other US states that have adopted laws: Washington (2008), Vermont (2013) and California (2015). All provide eligibility only to adults who are terminally ill, in the sense of having a disease that is expected to cause their death within 6 months, and only permit the physician to provide medication that the person must self-administer. Numerous similar bills are currently before other state legislatures. (see Annex D)

Considerations for Canada

The US state laws, and the reports provided by the bodies tasked with monitoring them, have all been reviewed in the development of the proposed Canadian legislation. In terms of eligibility, the legal approaches contain no mandatory requirement for the person to be suffering unbearably or intolerably from their condition or to be in an advanced state of decline. In other respects, however, they are fairly restrictive in permitting medical assistance in dying only to people who have a fatal disease and who are expected to die within six months.

While the US state approach may accommodate individuals suffering from certain diseases that lead to a steady, rapid and predictable decline toward death, it may fail to accommodate other types of conditions. For example, some degenerative diseases can progress more unpredictably and over a longer period of time, such that it can be more difficult to foresee when death will occur and suffering associated with the dying process can last longer. The US state approach also does not provide medical assistance in dying as an end-of-life option to intolerably suffering individuals who are not dying from a fatal disease, but who are nonetheless approaching death for other reasons.

Finally, as the US state approach only permits physicians to prescribe medication for the person to self-administer, it would not accommodate those who are physically unable to self-administer, leaving them unable to access a peaceful and pain-free medically assisted death.

III. Colombia

In response to two rulings³⁵ from the Constitutional Court of Colombia, the Ministry of Health and Social Protection in Colombia adopted Resolution 1216 of 2015³⁶ in April 2015 which establishes detailed rules and procedures for individuals who wish to access medical assistance in dying. Similar to the US state laws, eligibility is limited to adults who meet the definition of a "terminal patient", which is defined as any person who has a serious condition or pathology that is progressive and irreversible with a prognosis of approaching death or death within a relatively short timeframe. While the resolution does not require that the person have less than 6 months to live, it does contemplate those who are dying in the short term.

Unlike the US state laws, a terminal patient in Colombia can only obtain what is commonly called voluntary euthanasia, i.e., where a physician directly administers the medication to cause the person's death. Also different from the US state approach, Colombia does permit a patient to prepare an advance request in case they become no longer capable of expressing their wishes in the future.

Considerations for Canada

Like the US state laws, the Colombian approach appears somewhat limited by virtue of the requirement that death be expected within a relatively short time frame. The language of "terminal patient" also suggests, although it is not entirely clear, that the patient must be dying from the illness that is progressive and irreversible, which would also deny access to those who may be approaching death but whose suffering stems from non-fatal conditions. As the

Resolution was adopted in 2015, there is as yet no publicly available data on the experience with medical assistance in dying in Colombia.

IV. Belgium, the Netherlands and Luxembourg (the "Benelux" countries)

Both the Netherlands and Belgium enacted legislation in 2002,³⁷ although courts in the Netherlands had over several decades developed criteria for physicians to perform what is commonly called voluntary euthanasia without criminal consequences. Luxembourg enacted its law in 2009.³⁸

Medical eligibility

Regarding eligibility, the three Benelux laws are very similar: people are eligible if they have "intolerable" or "unbearable" suffering, either physical or psychological, resulting from a serious and incurable medical condition, and where there is no prospect of improvement. They can be eligible even if they are not dying or suffering from a condition that is life-threatening. As a result, people have obtained assistance to die in the Benelux countries where they suffer only from mental illness, from a physical disability, or other medical conditions that do not shorten life.

Some cases from Belgium and the Netherlands involving patients who were not nearing death have received significant international media attention, for example: a transgendered person suffering psychologically after a failed sex change surgery; middle-aged and deaf twins who were also going blind; an anorexic woman who was a survivor of sexual abuse; individuals suffering from grief at the loss of loved ones.³⁹

Data collected in these jurisdictions indicate some changing trends. While cancer is still the main underlying illness for medical assistance in dying requests, its proportion has decreased significantly in recent years, while the proportion of mental illness cases have increased. For example, in Belgium, cancer represented 83% of all medical assistance in dying performed in 2003 compared to 69% in 2013, while mental illness cases increased from zero in 2003 to 4% in 2013 of all medical assistance in dying reported. Similarly, in the Netherlands, cancer represented 88% of all medical assistance in dying performed in 2003 compared to 74% in 2013. Data on mental illness in the Netherlands has been reported starting in 2012 only, but has shown a consistent and significant yearly increase from 14 cases in 2012 to 41 cases in 2014.

Minors

In Luxembourg, medical assistance in dying is available only to adults. In the Netherlands, minors as young as 12 can request medical assistance in dying with their parents' consent, and minors aged 16 and 17 can request such assistance as long as their parents have been consulted. Belgian law provides access to adults and "emancipated minors" equally. Amendments to Belgian law in 2014 extended eligibility to all minors, but on narrower grounds of eligibility than exist for adults and emancipated minors: they must be in constant and unbearable physical (but not psychological) pain, and they must be likely to die in the short term. Parental consent and other additional safeguards are also required in these circumstances.

Advance requests in case of loss of competence

All three Benelux laws permit individuals to make an advance request for medical assistance in dying that could be carried out in case they lose their ability to express their wishes. In Belgium and Luxembourg, the request can only be carried out where the person is "in a state of irreversible unconsciousness", e.g., in a coma. Only in the Netherlands are advance requests permitted where the person is unable to express their wishes but is nonetheless conscious, such as persons with dementia or Alzheimer's.

Considerations for Canada

The Benelux laws were studied closely, in particular their approach to eligibility. While some recommended this approach for Canada, others expressed concern about it. Accordingly, the Government has committed to study additional complex circumstances in which a person may seek access to medical assistance in dying, namely requests by mature minors, advance requests, and requests for assistance where mental illness is the sole underlying medical condition.

All regimes worldwide, except for those in Belgium and the Netherlands, limit access to adults. The 2014 extension of eligibility to children in Belgium was controversial, including in Belgium, and drew international media attention. The trial judge in *Carter* heard a significant amount of evidence on views about medical assistance in dying in Canada and found that there was a strong consensus that if it were ever to be ethical, it would only be with respect to a "competent, informed, voluntary *adult* patient who is grievously ill and suffering from symptoms that cannot be alleviated." There was no evidence before the courts in *Carter* concerning the development of the brain and mental capacity of minors to understand the consequences and seriousness of such a decision, nor is there at present any available information about the willingness of Canadian physicians to provide medical assistance in dying to minors. The Canadian Paediatric Society, in their submission to the Special Joint Committee, recommended against including access for minors at this time, and submitted that comprehensive consultations should be undertaken before moving in this direction.⁴⁴

With respect to advance requests for medical assistance in dying that would be carried out after the patient has lost the ability to express their wishes, evidence from the Netherlands suggests that in the case of individuals suffering from dementia, physicians are generally unwilling to administer medical assistance in dying after the patient has lost the ability to express their wishes. This evidence raises questions about the prospect of permitting a practice that Canadian physicians and nurse practitioners might be unwilling to honour. In its testimony before the Special Joint Committee, the Alzheimer's Society of Canada noted that the disease has become a special focus in the debate about physician-assisted dying, but it nonetheless warned of the risks associated with permitting medical assistance in dying for individuals who have lost the ability to express their wishes. For instance, they indicated that the nature of the disease makes it "difficult or impossible to know what the person with dementia comes to value over time, especially if those values are at odds with previously expressed desires" and that the "risks are just too great" to allow such assistance when a person is no longer competent to express their wishes. Algorithm of the disease makes it wishes. Algorithm of the disease makes it wishes are just too great to allow such assistance when a person is no longer competent to express their wishes. Algorithm of the disease makes it wishes are just too great to allow such assistance when a person is no longer competent to express their wishes.

cope with negative events in the future, and that in fact people cope much better than they anticipate.⁴⁷

There has also been significant discussion regarding eligibility for individuals who suffer unbearably only from mental illness. In the Benelux countries, the only places where medical assistance in dying in these circumstances is legal, this remains controversial. Recently, a group of 65 professors, psychiatrists, and psychologists in Belgium wrote an open "about the increasing trivializing of euthanasia on the ground of psychological suffering only" and urging the law to be amended to exclude medical assistance in dying in these circumstances. ⁴⁸ A recent study of assistance in dying for individuals with mental illness in the Netherlands found that in more than half of approved cases, people declined treatment that could have helped, that many cited loneliness as an important reason for wanting to die, and that people often sought help to die from doctors they had not seen before. ⁴⁹

Broad eligibility for medical assistance in dying could pose real risks for individuals who are marginalized, lonely, or lacking in necessary social or other supports, and that it could re-enforce negative social perceptions about the quality of life of people who are ill or disabled. For instance, as one witness wrote in a submission to the Special Joint Committee: "Having to wear diapers and drooling are highly stigmatized departures from what is expected of adult bodies. Those of us who deviate from these norms experience social shame and stigma that erodes resilience and increases vulnerability. The more deeply these stigmatized accounts are embedded in our discourse and social policy, the more deeply virulent social prejudice takes hold within our culture."

Part 3 – Relation to Quebec's Law

The National Assembly of Quebec passed Bill 52, an *Act respecting end-of-life care*, on June 5, 2014. The *Act* came into force 18 months later, on December 10, 2015. The legislation was the end result of a significant amount of study, consultation and deliberation that commenced with the creation of the *Select Committee on Dying with Dignity* in late 2009. The Committee's work lasted for two years, and involved:

- hearings with 32 experts (February/March 2010);
- the release of a public consultation paper in May 2010 (6,558 responses and 273 briefs were received between May 2010 and July 2011);
- public hearings (239 individuals and groups over 29 days in 8 cities from September 2010 to March 2011);
- 21 meetings with experts in Europe (in June 2011); and,
- committee deliberations over 51 meetings (from June 2011 to March 2012).⁵¹

The Committee tabled its report in March 2012, recommending that a new form of care, to be called "medical aid in dying", be "part of the end of life continuum of care".⁵² They further described specific criteria that should be met, and that these "be clear and specific so as to facilitate assessment, but general enough to allow doctors to use their professional judgment in each case."⁵³

Specifically, and in addition to criteria requiring that the patient be at "end of life" and suffering unbearably, they recommended that the patient be "in an advanced state of weakening capacities, with no chance of improvement". Justice Smith of the Supreme Court of British Columbia, who presided over the *Carter* case at trial, expressly adopted this criterion, referencing the Select Committee's report, when she ruled that "the reference to 'grievously and irremediably ill persons' should be limited to those who are also in an advanced state of weakening capacities, with no chance of improvement." The Select Committee's recommendations were ultimately adopted into Bill 52, which was introduced in the National Assembly in June 2013, and was adopted in June 2014.

In general, the eligibility criteria in the proposed federal legislation would be similar to those under Quebec's *An Act Respecting End-of-Life Care*. On the one hand, the criminal legislation is intended to be broader in terms of the temporal connection between the person's medical condition and the anticipated timing of their deaths; Quebec's law requires that the patient be "at the end of life", whereas the criminal legislation uses the term "death has become reasonably foreseeable", which is a more familiar legal concept, and possibly more flexible, in that it does not require a specific prognosis as to the length of time that the person has left to live. On the other hand, the Quebec legislation does not expressly require that the unbearable suffering be caused by the person's medical condition, whereas the proposed criminal legislation does. It should also be noted that the *Criminal Code* amendments would allow both assisted suicide and what is commonly called voluntary euthanasia, while Quebec's law only permits the latter.

Part 4 – Statement of Potential *Charter* Impacts

The Minister of Justice has reviewed this Bill for compliance with the Constitution, including the *Charter* in accordance with her obligations under section 4.1 of the *Department of Justice Act*. This review included consideration of, amongst other things, the objectives and features of the Bill as described above in Part 1, the social science evidence and legislative, governmental and consultative reports referred to in Part 1, the evidence of other jurisdictions' approaches to and experiences with medical assistance in dying discussed in Parts 2 and 3, and the views and findings of the courts in *Carter*, including the Supreme Court of Canada.

The following non-exhaustive list of potential impacts on the rights and freedoms guaranteed by the *Charter* is presented to assist in informing the public and Parliamentary debate, and consequently to better enable the dialogue between Parliament and the courts.

Restricted to Individuals whose Deaths have become Reasonably Foreseeable

Restricting access only to persons whose deaths are reasonably foreseeable has the potential to impact:

- section 7 of the *Charter*, which protects against deprivations of life, liberty or security of the person that do not accord with the principles of fundamental justice, and;
- subsection 15(1) of the *Charter*, which protects against discrimination on numerous grounds, including disability.

The rights to liberty and security of the person could be impacted by depriving persons suffering intolerably of lawful assistance to end their lives. This could impact the section 7 *Charter* rights of those who are suffering intolerably as a result of a serious and incurable condition, but whose natural death is not reasonably foreseeable. This could include individuals suffering only from a mental illness, and individuals with physical disabilities who lack the physical capacity to end their own lives. Persons with mental illness or disabilities would only have access to medical assistance in dying if they meet all of the eligibility criteria.

The right to equality could also be impacted if restricting access to end-of-life situations is viewed as treating people differently on the basis of their distinct disabilities, diseases or illnesses. For example, a person who is suffering intolerably from a particular disease that does not make death reasonably foreseeable, will be treated differently in terms of access from persons whose intolerable suffering derives from a different disease that does make death reasonably foreseeable. The restriction may also be viewed as treating people whose disabilities may make it effectively impossible to die by suicide without assistance differently from individuals who are able to take their own lives without the criminal law standing in the way.

Rationale: Restricting access to only those individuals whose death is reasonably foreseeable allows them to choose a peaceful, medically assisted death where their medical circumstances are such that the dying process would otherwise be painful, distressing, frightening, prolonged or otherwise lacking dignity from their perspective. This approach respects autonomy during the passage to death, while otherwise prioritizing respect for human life and the equality of all people regardless of illness, disability or age. It also furthers the objective of suicide prevention and the protection of the vulnerable. Recognizing the complexity of the legal and social issues associated with medical assistance in dying, this approach strikes an appropriate balance between the competing rights, interests and values. This last consideration also applies to the other potential impacts discussed below.

Restricted to "Competent" Adults (no advance directives)

Restricting access to competent adults precludes the possibility of a person arranging medical assistance in dying by advance directive, which could impact the section 7 rights to life, liberty, and security of the person, and the subsection 15(1) right to equality.

Section 7 could be impacted because persons dealing with the prospect of intolerable suffering could be faced with the choice of ending their lives earlier than they would otherwise want (by suicide or potentially by seeking medical assistance in dying) or risk permanently losing access to medical assistance in dying once they no longer have capacity.

Equality rights under subsection 15(1) could also be impacted because persons whose disability, disease or illness cause them intolerable suffering and deprive them of the capacity to consent would not be able to access medical assistance in dying in the same circumstances as those whose disability, disease or illness do not deprive them of that capacity.

Rationale: Advance directives generally do not provide reliable evidence of a person's consent at the time that medical assistance in dying would be provided. The requirement that a person be capable of consent at the time of the request for assistance provides better protection for

vulnerable individuals, in particular when their present state of mind and/or suffering cannot be conclusively determined. It also guards against the effects of inaccurate assumptions about the quality and value of life in certain circumstances.

Restricted to Adults (excludes mature minors)

Restricting access to adults (at least 18 years old) could impact the rights of "mature minors", in particular their section 7 rights to life, liberty and security of the person, and their subsection 15(1) right not to be discriminated against based on age. Mature minors are recognized as having the right to a degree of autonomy in relation to their medical treatment in some circumstances.

Rationale: Limiting access to adults serves to protect children, who are particularly vulnerable both by virtue of their age and their disability, disease or illness. Establishing a clear age cut-off in relation to access to medical assistance in dying, rather than adopting an approach based on an individualized assessment of maturity as is done in relation to decisions to refuse medical treatment, is justified in light of the unique interests at stake. Respecting a mature minor's refusal of further unwanted medical treatment is not the same as acquiescing to a request for active measures to cause death. Acknowledging that further study will be undertaken on potential access to medical assistance in dying for mature minors, including on the need for and adequacy of additional safeguards to protect mature minors if they were to have access to such assistance, the Bill appropriately balances the various important interests.

Sufficiency of Safeguards to Protect the Vulnerable

If the safeguards are inadequate to prevent abuse or error, it could impact the section 7 rights to life or security of the person of vulnerable persons. It could be that section 7 obliges Parliament to maintain effective criminal prohibitions against the intentional taking of life, and in this context to implement robust safeguards to prevent against abuse and error. This would be a novel claim under section 7.

Rationale: Parliament's duty to maintain effective criminal prohibitions against the intentional taking of life is inherent in its role as lawmaker. The Bill strikes an appropriate balance between protecting the vulnerable, and ensuring accessibility to those qualified individuals who want medical assistance in dying. The most vulnerable are excluded from accessing medical assistance in dying, while proper safeguards aim to ensure only those qualified persons who consent will receive medical assistance in dying.

Healthcare Providers' Freedom of Conscience

The decriminalization of medical assistance in dying will lead to requests to healthcare providers to provide assistance that would be contrary to some healthcare providers' conscience or religious beliefs. Freedom of conscience and religion are protected from government interference by paragraph 2(a) of the *Charter*. Nothing in the Bill compels healthcare providers to provide such assistance or could otherwise impact their paragraph 2(a) rights.

Excessive Burden of Safeguards unduly Limiting Access

If the safeguards are so burdensome as to unduly limit access to medical assistance in dying to those who qualify, it could impact their section 7 rights to life, liberty or security of the person. *Rationale*: The Bill strikes an appropriate balance between protecting the vulnerable, and ensuring accessibility to those qualified individuals who want medical assistance in dying. The safeguards are reasonable and appropriate to ensure that individuals meet the criteria for eligibility, and most importantly to validate their intent and consent.

Witness Requirements

The requirement that individuals seeking medical assistance in dying have two witnesses to their signed, written request, could impact the right to privacy protected by section 8 of the *Charter*. In some circumstances, individuals may be obliged by this requirement to disclose their intention to end their lives to individuals in whom they would otherwise not confide.

Rationale: In light of the very important interests at stake, a requirement that an individual seeking medical assistance in dying have their signed consent for such assistance witnessed by two independent individuals is reasonable in the circumstances, even when the fulfilment of that requirement may incidentally compel the disclosure of private information. Such a requirement is also consistent with Canadian legal practices and traditions when signing legal documents of significance.

<http://www.parl.gc.ca/HousePublications/Publication.aspx?DocId=8120006&Language=E&Mode=1&Parl=42&Ses=1>.

http://www.health.gov.on.ca/en/news/bulletin/2015/docs/eagreport 20151214 en.pdf>.

¹ Carter v Canada (Attorney General), 2015 SCC 5, [2015] 1 SCR 331 [Carter].

² Rodriguez v British Columbia (Attorney General), [1993] 3 SCR 519.

³ Carter, supra note 1 at para 127.

⁴ Carter, supra note 1 at para 83.

⁵ Canada (Attorney General) v Bedford, 2013 SCC 72 at para 120, [2013] 3 SCR 1101; Carter, supra note 1 at para 89.

⁶ Carter, supra note 1 at para 86.

⁷ *Ibid* at para 127.

⁸ Ibid.

⁹ Although there were several plaintiffs in the case, Gloria Taylor was the only claimant before the Court who was seeking access to medical assistance in dying. The circumstances of Kay Carter were also considered by the Court, as Mrs. Carter's daughter Lee as well as her son-in-law were also plaintiffs in the case.

¹⁰ Carter, supra note 1 at para 111.

¹¹ *Ibid* at paras 95, 98.

¹² *Ibid* at paras 97-98.

¹³ In its January 15, 2016 decision, the Supreme Court of Canada also exempted Quebec from the four-month extension and granted an exemption to those who wish to access their rights so that they may apply to the superior court of their jurisdiction for relief in accordance with the criteria set out in paragraph 127 of the *Carter* ruling.

¹⁴ Carter v Canada (Attorney General), 2012 BCSC 886 [Carter 2012]; Carter v Canada, 2013 BCCA 435; Carter, supra note 1; see also Annex E: Bibliography on Medical Assistance in Dying.

¹⁵ See Annex E: Bibliography on Medical Assistance in Dying.

¹⁶ House of Commons and Senate, Sepcial Joint Committee on Physician-Assited Dying, *Medical Assistance in Dying: A Patient-Centered Approach* (February 2016) (Joint Chairs: Hon. Kelvin Kenneth Ogilvie & Robert Oliphant), online:

¹⁷ External Panel on Options for a Legislative Response to *Carter v. Canada, Consultation on Physician-Assisted Dying – Summary of Results and Key Findings*: Final Report (December 15, 2015), online: http://www.justice.gc.ca/eng/rp-pr/other-autre/pad-amm/index.html>.

¹⁸ Provincial-Territorial Expert Advisory Group on Physician-Assisted Dying, Final Report (November 30, 2015) (Co Chair: Jenifer Gibson & Maureen Taylor), online:

¹⁹ Canadian Medical Association, *A Canadian Approach to Assisted Dying: CMA Member Dialogue: Summary Report* (July 2014), online: https://www.cma.ca/Assets/assets-library/document/en/advocacy/Canadian-Approach-Assisted-Dying-e.pdf; Canadian Medical Association, *End-of-Life Care – A National Dialogue: CMA Member Consultation Report* (July 2014), online: https://www.cma.ca/Assets/assets-library/document/en/advocacy/end-of-life-care-report-e.pdf.

²⁰ The College of Family Physicians of Canada, *A Guide for Reflection on Ethical Issues Concerning Assisted Suicide and Voluntary Euthanasia* (September 2015) (CFPC Task Force on End-of-Life Care), online: http://www.cfpc.ca/uploadedFiles/Health-Policy/ PDFs/Guidefor%20Euthanasia EN Final.pdf>.

²¹ In the amendments proposed to the *Criminal Code* in Bill C-14, the term "substance" is used to refer to the medication that would be provided or administered for the purpose of medical assistance in dying.

²² Under section 35 of the federal *Interpretation Act (R.S.C., 1985, c. I-21)*, the territories are included in the definition of a "province" and so are not expressly mentioned in the amendments to the *Criminal Code*.

²³ Carter, supra note 1 at para 127.

²⁴ Ibid.

²⁵ *Ibid* at paras 66, 115.

²⁶ *Ibid* at paras 2, 98, 125.

²⁷ *Ibid* at paras 105, 117.

²⁸ See Annex E: Bibliography on Medical Assistance in Dying.

https://public.health.oregon.gov/ProviderPartnerResources/EvaluationResearch/DeathwithDignityAct/Documents/year17.pdf; Portland State University, College of Urban and Public Affairs, Certified Population Estimates 2014 (December 2014), online: http://www.pdx.edu/prc/population-reports-estimates; Portland State University, College of Urban and Public Affairs, 2013 Annual Population Report Tables (April 2014), online: http://www.pdx.edu/prc/population-reports-estimates.

http://organesdeconcertation.sante.belgique.be/sites/default/files/documents/federale controle en evaluatiec ommissie euthanasie-fr/19097638 fr.pdf; Belgique, Commission fédérale, *Cinquième rapport aux Chambres législatives*, (2010-2011), online:

http://organesdeconcertation.sante.belgique.be/sites/default/files/documents/federale controle en evaluatiec ommissie_euthanasie-fr/19078961_fr.pdf; Belgique, Commission fédérale, *Quatrième rapport aux chambres législatives*, (2008-2009), online:

http://organesdeconcertation.sante.belgique.be/sites/default/files/documents/federale controle en evaluatiec ommissie euthanasie-fr/19063733 fr.pdf; Belgique, Commission fédérale, *Troisième rapport aux chambres législatives*, (2006-2007), online:

²⁹ In 2015, the Government of Colombia published Resolution 1216 of 2015, which provides a detailed federal policy guiding the practice of euthanasia, which although not a "law", legally-speaking, is law-like in its detailed process and definitions, especially as compared to, for example, the practice of assisted suicide in Switzerland, which is not subject to any specific laws. See Republic of Columbia, Ministry of Health and Social Protection (20 April 2015), online: https://www.minsalud.gov.co/Normatividad Nuevo/Resoluci%C3%B3n%201216%20de %202015.pdf> [Columbia "Resolution 1216 of 2015"].

³⁰ Ballot Measure 16 passed with the support of 51.3% of votes cast. An injunction delayed the coming into force of the legislation until 1997.

³¹ US, ORS 127.800-897, Death with Dignity Act, Ore, 1997, § 1.01.

³² *Ibid* at §3.14.

³³ Oregon Public Health Division, Death with Dignity Annual Reports, *Oregon's Death with Dignity Act -- 2014* (February 12, 2015), online:

³⁴ *Ibid.* Other concerns expressed included: losing control of bodily functions (50%); burden on family, friends and caregivers (40%); inadequate pain control or concern about it (31%).

³⁵ Corte Constitucional de Colombia [Consitutional Court of Colombia], Bogotà, Ruling T-970 of 2014 (which reaffirmed a previous decision from the same court in 1997, Ruling C-239-97), online: http://www.corteconstitucional.gov.co/relatoria/2014/t-970-14.htm>.

³⁶ Columbia "Resolution 1216 of 2015", supra note 29.

³⁷ Belgian Act on Euthanasia of May 28, 2002, online: http://www.ethical-perspectives.be/viewpic.php?TABLE = EP&ID=59>. Termination of Life on Request and Assisted Suicide (Review Procedures) Act, 1 April 2002, online: http://www.eutanasia.ws/documentos/Leyes/Internacional/Holanda%20Ley%202002.pdf.

³⁸ Loi du 16 mars 2009 sur l'euthanasie et l'assistance au suicide, JO, 16 March 2009, 615, online: http://www.legilux.public.lu/leg/a/archives/2009/0046/a046.pdf.

³⁹ Bruno Waterfield, "Belgian killed by euthanasia after a botched sex change operation", *The Telegraph* (1 October 2013), online: http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/worldnews/europe/belgium/10346616/Belgian-killed-by-euthanasia-after-a-botched-sex-change-operation.html; Karin Wells, "Death of twin brothers fuels debate over Belgian euthanasia law", *CBC News* (5 May 2016), online: http://www.cbc.ca/news/world/death-of-twin-brothers-fuels-debate-over-belgian-euthanasia-law-1.1334860; Graeme Hamilton, "*Death by doctor: Controversial physician has made his name delivering euthanasia when no one else will"*, National Post (22 November 2013), online: http://news.nationalpost.com/news/canada/death-by-doctor-controversial-physician-has-made-his-name-delivering-euthanasia-when-no-one-else-will; Rachel Eddie & Emily Crane, "*Elderly woman, 85, reveals how she decided on euthanasia five minutes after her daughter died as documentary follows her journey to death*", *Daily Mail Australia* (15 September 2015), online: http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-3234917/Elderly-woman-85-reveals-decided-euthanasia-five-minutes-daughter-died-documentary-follows-journey-death.html.

⁴⁰Belgique, Commission fédérale de contrôle et d'évaluation de l'euthanasie [Commission fédérale], *Sixième rapport aux chambres législatives*, (2012-2013), online:

http://organesdeconcertation.sante.belgique.be/sites/default/files/documents/federale_controle_en_evaluatiec_ommissie_euthanasie-fr/14280500_fr.pdf)>; Belgique, Commission fédérale, *Deuxième rapport aux chambres législatives*, (2004-2005), online:

http://organesdeconcertation.sante.belgique.be/sites/default/files/documents/federale controle en evaluatiec ommissie euthanasie-fr/14088500 fr.pdf; Belgique, Commission fédérale, *Premier rapport aux chambres législatives*, (2002-2003), online:

http://organesdeconcertation.sante.belgique.be/sites/default/files/documents/federale controle en evaluatiec ommissie euthanasie-fr/14276508 fr.pdf>.

⁴¹ Netherlands, Regional Euthanasia Review Committees, *Annual Report 2014*, (in Dutch only), online: <http://www.euthanasiecommissie.nl/uitspraken/jaarverslagen/2014/nl/nl/jaarverslag-2014; Netherlands, Regional Euthanasia Review Committees, *Annual Report 2013*, online:

http://www.euthanasiecommissie.nl/uitspraken/jaarverslagen/2013/nl-en-du-fr/nl-en-du-fr/jaarverslag-2013; Netherlands, Regional Euthanasia Review Committees, *Annual Report 2012*, online:

http://www.euthanasiecommissie.nl/uitspraken/jaarverslagen/2012/nl-en-du-fr/nl-en-du-fr/jaarverslag-2012; Netherlands, Regional Euthanasia Review Committees, *Annual Report 2011*, online:

http://www.euthanasiecommissie.nl/uitspraken/jaarverslagen/2011/nl-en-du-fr/nl-en-du-fr/jaarverslag-2011; Netherlands, Regional Euthanasia Review Committees, *Annual Report 2010*, online:

http://www.euthanasiecommissie.nl/uitspraken/jaarverslagen/2010/nl-en-du-fr/nl-en-du-fr/jaarverslag-2010; Netherlands, Regional Euthanasia Review Committees, *Annual Report 2009*, online:

http://www.euthanasiecommissie.nl/uitspraken/jaarverslagen/2009/nl-en-du-fr/nl-en-du-fr/jaarverslag-2009; Netherlands, Regional Euthanasia Review Committees, *Annual Report 2008*, online:

http://www.euthanasiecommissie.nl/uitspraken/jaarverslagen/2008/nl-en-du-fr/nl-en-du-fr/jaarverslag-2008; Netherlands, Regional Euthanasia Review Committees, *Annual Report 2007*, online:

http://www.euthanasiecommissie.nl/uitspraken/jaarverslagen/2007/nl-en-du-fr/nl-en-du-fr/jaarverslag-2007; Netherlands, Regional Euthanasia Review Committees, *Annual Report 2006*, online:

http://www.euthanasiecommissie.nl/uitspraken/jaarverslagen/2006/nl-en-du-fr/nl-en-du-fr/jaarverslag-2006; Netherlands, Regional Euthanasia Review Committees, *Annual Report 2005*, online:

http://www.euthanasiecommissie.nl/uitspraken/jaarverslagen/2005/nl-en-du-fr/nl-en-du-fr/jaarverslag-2005; Netherlands, Regional Euthanasia Review Committees, *Annual Report 2004*, online:

http://www.euthanasiecommissie.nl/uitspraken/jaarverslagen/2004/nl-en-du-fr/nl-en-du-fr/jaarverslag-2004; Netherlands, Regional Euthanasia Review Committees, *Annual Report 2003*, online:

http://www.euthanasiecommissie.nl/uitspraken/jaarverslagen/2003/nl-en-du/nl-en-du/jaarverslag-2003; Netherlands, Regional Euthanasia Review Committees, *Annual Report 2002*, online:

http://www.euthanasiecommissie.nl/uitspraken/jaarverslagen/2002/nlendufr/nlendufr/jaarverslag-2002>.

- ⁴² In Belgium, minors who are 15 years of age or older can file an application for emancipation before a juvenile court which, if granted, will give them almost all the rights of an adult. The emancipation of a minor is considered an exceptional measure and is rarely granted. However, minors are automatically emancipated if they get married.

 ⁴³ Carter 2012, supra note 14 at para 358.
- ⁴⁴ Canadian Paediatric Society, Oral Evidence before the Special Joint Committee on Physician-Assisted Dying (February 2016) at 1820, online: <http://www.parl.gc.ca/HousePublications/Publication.aspx?Language=e&Mode=1&Parl=42&Ses=1&DocId=8084555>.
- ⁴⁵ Pauline SC Kouwenhoven et al., "Opinions about euthanasia and advanced dementia: a qualitative study among Dutch physicians and members of the general public" (2015) 16:7 BMC Medical Ethics, DOI <10.1186/1472-6939-16-7>.
- ⁴⁶ Alzheimer Society of Canada, Oral Evidence before the Special Joint Committee on Physician-Assisted Dying (February 2016) at 1225, online: <http://www.parl.gc.ca/HousePublications/Publication.aspx?Language=e&Mode=1&Parl=42&Ses=1&DocId=8079674>.
- ⁴⁷ Jodi Halpern & Robert M Arnold, "Affective Forecasting: An Unrecognized Challenge in Making Serious Health Decisions", (2008) 23:10 J Gen Intern Med 1708, 10.1007/s11606-008-0719-5>.
- ⁴⁸ Ariane Bazan et al., "Belgium: Experts call for the removal of euthanasia on the grounds of psychological suffering" (2015), online: <http://euthanasiadebate.org.nz/belgium-experts-call-for-a-removal-of-euthanasia-on-the-grounds-of-psychological-suffering/.

⁴⁹ Scott Y H Kim, Raymond G De Vries, & John R Peteet, "Euthanasia and Assisted Suicide of Patients With Psychiatric Disorders in the Netherlands 2011 to 2014" (2016) Jama Psychiatry, DOI <10.1001/jamapsyc hiatry.2015.2887>.

⁵⁰ Catherine Frazee, "Submission to the Special Joint Committee on Physician-Assisted Dying" (February 2016) 6, online: http://www.parl.gc.ca/Content/HOC/Committee/421/PDAM/Brief/BR8103887/br-external/2016-02-12 brief Catherine Frazee e-e.pdf>.

⁵¹ Quebec National Assembly, Select Committee on Dying with Dignity, Dying with Dignity Report (March 2012) (Chair: Maryse Gaudreault), online: http://www.assnat.qc.ca/Media/Process.aspx?Mediald=ANQ.Vigie.Bll.
DocumentGenerique 34839en&process=Default&token=ZyMoxNwUn8ikQ+TRKYwPCjWrKwg+vlv9rjij7p3xLGTZDm LVSmJLoge/vG7/YWzz .

⁵²Ibid.

⁵³ *Ibid* at 80.

⁵⁴ Carter 2012, supra note 14 at paras 867, 1391.

⁵⁵ Bill 52, An Act Respecting End-of-Life Care, 1st Sess, 14th Leg, Quebec, 2013 (assented to June 10, 2014), RSQ c S-32.0001, s 26.

Annex A: Clause by Clause Guide to Bill C-14

An Act to amend the Criminal Code and to make related amendments to other Acts (medical assistance in dying)

Preamble – describes legislative objectives of the Bill			
Clause 1 of the Bill			
Section 14 of the <i>Criminal Code</i> is reenacted Section 14 was found unconstitutional	Section 14 specifies that no person may consent to death and any person who inflicts death on another is criminally responsible regardless of whether they consented to die		
by the Supreme Court in Carter	In new subsection 227(4) of the <i>Criminal Code</i> (clause 2 of the Bill), it is made clear that the rule in section 14 does not apply where medical assistance in dying is provided in accordance with the proposed regime		
Clause 2 of the Bill			
New section 227 of the <i>Criminal Code</i> is enacted	Exemptions for medical practitioners and nurse practitioners and others who assist them, from culpable homicide, where they provide or assist in the provision of medical assistance in dying in the form of administration of medication to a person, at their request, that causes their death Includes a cross-reference to definitions found in new section 241.1 (clause 3)		
Clause 3 of the Bill			
Paragraph 241(1)(b) of the Criminal Code is re-enacted	Paragraph 241(1)(b) makes it an offence for any person to "aid a person" to die by suicide		
Paragraph 241(b) was found unconstitutional by the Supreme Court in Carter			
New subsections 241(2) – (7) are enacted	Exemptions for medical practitioners and nurse practitioners and others who assist, from aiding a person to die by suicide, where such assistance is in the form of providing or prescribing medication to a person, at their request, that the person could self-administer to cause their own death		
	Includes exemptions for pharmacists who fill prescriptions in relation to medical assistance in dying, and for any person who aids the person to self-administer the medication		
	Cross-reference to definitions found in new section 241.1 (clause 3)		
New section 241.1 is enacted	Provides definitions for the terms used in the lawful medical assistance in dying regime (medical assistance in dying; medical practitioner; nurse practitioner; pharmacist)		
New section 241.2 is enacted	 Requirements for lawful provision of medical assistance in dying: eligibility criteria including definition of "grievous and irremediable medical condition" mandatory procedural safeguards meaning of "independence" in relation to witnesses and physicians and nurse practitioners requirement for reasonable care and skill, compliance with applicable PT rules and duty to inform pharmacist that medication is prescribed or obtained for medical assistance in dying 		

New section 241.3 is enacted	New hybrid offence for failing to comply with safeguards in providing medical assistance in dying	
New section 241.4 is enacted	New hybrid offences for forging medical assistance in dying documents or destroying such documents with criminal intent	
	Definition of "document"	
Clause 4 of the Bill		
New section 241.31 is enacted	Authorizes the Minister of Health to make regulations to create a monitoring regime	
Would be brought into force at a later		
date when regulations are ready	Creates legal obligations on medical practitioners, nurse practitioners and pharmacists to provide information on medical assistance in dying requests, in accordance with the regulations	
	Creates offences for failing to provide reports or for knowingly breaching regulations	
Clause 5 of the Bill		
New offence (241.4(2)) of destroying documents is amended	Adds an additional criminal intent ("to interfere with the provision of information" under the monitoring regime) to the offence of destroying documents, to address conduct that could arise after the regulatory	
Would be brought into force at a later	requirement to provide information (monitoring) is in place	
date when regulations are ready		
Clause 6 of the Bill		
Section 245 of the <i>Criminal Code</i> is amended	Exemptions are added to the current offence of administering a noxious substance for lawful medical assistance in dying	
Clause 7 of the Bill		
Section 3 of the <i>Pension Act</i> is amended	Provides that where a person dies by medical assistance in dying, this would not be considered improper conduct that would disqualify family members of	
	Canadian Forces members and veterans from receiving pension benefits	
Clause 8 of the Bill		
Section 19 of the Corrections and	Provides that where an inmate dies by medical assistance in dying, this would	
Conditional Release Act is amended	not trigger an investigation into their death	
Clause 9 of the Bill		
Section 2 of the Canadian Forces	Provides that where a person dies by medical assistance in dying, this would	
Members and Veterans Re-	not be considered improper conduct that would disqualify family members of	
establishment and Compensation Act	Canadian Forces members and veterans from receiving pension benefits	
is amended		
Clause 10 of the Bill		
Parliamentary review	A Parliamentary review of the provisions of the <i>Act</i> would be launched 5 years after its coming into force	
Clause 11 of the Bill		
Coming into force of Clauses 4 and 5	The monitoring regime and related provisions would come into force on a	
by Order in Council	date fixed by Order in Council (other clauses come into force on Royal Assent)	

Annex B: Relevant Excerpts from Carter v Canada (Attorney General), [2015] 1 SCR 331

In *Carter*, the Supreme Court held that the absolute prohibition on assisted dying unjustifiably infringes section 7 of the *Charter*, issuing the following declaration of invalidity:

The appropriate remedy is therefore a declaration that section 241(b) and section 14 of the *Criminal Code* are void insofar as they prohibit physician-assisted death for a competent adult person who (1) clearly consents to the termination of life; and (2) has a grievous and irremediable medical condition (including an illness, disease or disability) that causes enduring suffering that is intolerable to the individual in the circumstances of his or her condition. (para. 127)

A Contextual Interpretation of Carter

Read in isolation, the declaration appears to describe a right that is broad. The Court does not expressly limit the right to dying individuals; the term "grievous and irremediable medical condition" is not defined, and if given a dictionary definition, it could include conditions that are not life-threatening or terminal; and the declaration is framed largely in terms of subjective criteria (i.e., suffering that is intolerable to that person).

Read in its entirety, however, the judgment points to a more limited right and more limited understanding of the meaning of "grievous and irremediable medical condition". Aspects of the ruling that support a narrower interpretation include the following:

- The factual circumstances that that formed the basis of the case were those of Ms. Taylor, who suffered from the fatal disease of ALS and who was nearing a natural death. The Court made this clear throughout the judgment and in its declaration of invalidity
 - o "The scope of this declaration is intended to respond to the factual circumstances in this case. We make no pronouncement on other situations where physician-assisted dying may be sought" (para 127);
 - o In at least 4 passages, the Court limits its holding to *Ms. Taylor and people like her* ("the prohibition on physician-assisted dying infringes the right to life, liberty and security of Ms. Taylor and of persons in her position" (para 56, see also paras 65, 66, 70 and 126));
 - Other witnesses referred to by the Court suggest what "people like Ms. Taylor" could mean:
 - "Other witnesses also described the [...] suffering from a grievous and irremediable illness [...] some witnesses described the progression of degenerative illnesses like motor neuron diseases or Huntington's disease, while others described the agony of treatment and the fear of a gruesome death from advanced-stage cancer" (para 14).
- Medical assistance in dying is compared to forms of "end-of-life" care that are only available to dying individuals:
 - o "Based on the evidence regarding assessment processes in *comparable end-of-life* medical decision-making in Canada, the trial judge concluded that vulnerability can be assessed on an individual basis... Concerns about decisional capacity and vulnerability arise in all end-of-life medical decision-making. Logically speaking, there is no reason to think that the injured, ill and disabled who have the option to refuse or to request

- withdrawal of lifesaving or life-sustaining treatment, or who seek palliative sedation, are less vulnerable or less susceptible to biased decision-making than those who might seek more active assistance in dying" (para 115);
- "An individual's response to a grievous and irremediable medical condition is a matter critical to their dignity and autonomy. The law allows *people in this situation* to request palliative sedation, refuse artificial nutrition and hydration, or request the removal of life-sustaining medical equipment, but denies them the right to request a physician's assistance in dying" (para 66);
- O Describing the findings of fact of the trial judge, which the Supreme Court did not disturb: "After considering the evidence of physicians and ethicists, she found that the 'preponderance of the evidence from ethicists is that there is *no ethical distinction* between physician-assisted death and other end-of-life practices whose outcome is highly likely to be death" (para 335 from trial judgment; para 23 from the SCC ruling).
- The Court suggested that certain categories of people who might seek assistance in dying were excluded from the scope of its ruling:
 - o "Professor Montero's affidavit reviews a number of recent, controversial and highprofile cases of assistance in dying in Belgium which would not fall within the parameters suggested in these reasons, such as euthanasia for minors or persons with psychiatric disorders or minor medical conditions...". (para 111).

SCC recognized Parliament's Policy Role including Need to Balance Diverse Interests

Jurisprudence before Carter has recognized that in complex matters of social policy, involving competing interests and conflicting social science evidence, Parliament is better placed than courts to determine how the various interests should be balanced and how the evidence should be weighed. Provided that Parliament's response falls within a range of reasonable alternatives, deference will be given. In Carter the Court recognized that assisted dying is such an issue and suggested that a high degree of deference would be given to the solution developed by Parliament:

- "This is a question that asks us to balance competing values of great importance. On the one hand stands the autonomy and dignity of a competent adult who seeks death as a response to a grievous and irremediable medical condition. On the other stands the sanctity of life and the need to protect the vulnerable" (para. 2);
- "The sanctity of life is one of our most fundamental societal values. Section 7 is rooted in a profound respect for the value of human life. But section 7 also encompasses life, liberty and security of the person during the passage to death. It is for this reason that the sanctity of life 'is no longer seen to require that all human life be preserved at all costs'" (para 63);
- "...in some situations the state may be able to show that the public good a matter not considered under section 7, which looks only at the impact on the rights claimants justifies depriving an individual of life, liberty or security of the person under section 1 of the *Charter*. More particularly, in cases such as this where the competing societal interests are themselves protected under the *Charter*, a restriction on section 7 rights may in the end be found to be proportionate to its objective" (para 95);
- There may be "a number of possible solutions to a particular social problem" (para 97);

- That "physician-assisted death involves complex issues of social policy and a number of competing societal values. Parliament faces a difficult task in addressing this issue; it must weigh and balance the perspective of those who might be at risk in a permissive regime against that of those who seek assistance in dying" (para 98);
- "Complex regulatory regimes are better created by Parliament than by the Courts" (para 125);
- The choices made by Parliament in a complex regulatory regime would garner a higher degree deference than did the prohibitions (para 98).

The Court has also acknowledged in a number of cases that a law passed by Parliament may differ from a regime envisaged by the Court without necessarily being unconstitutional:

• "Just as Parliament must respect the Court's rulings, so the Court must respect Parliament's determination that the judicial scheme can be improved. To insist on slavish conformity would belie the mutual respect that underpins the relationship between the courts and legislature that is so essential to our constitutional democracy" (*R. v. Mills*, [1999] 3 S.C.R. 668 at para. 55).

Annex C: Overview of Existing Medical Assistance in Dying Regimes

State or Country	Type of Medical Assistance in Dying	Eligibility Criteria	Safeguards
Oregon (1997), Vermont (2013), Washington (2008), California (2015)	Physician- assisted suicide only	Patient must be terminally ill with less than 6 months to live Terminal disease: incurable / irreversible disease that will, within reasonable medical judgment, produce death within six months (Mental disorders alone: not eligible) Adults only No advance directives	Approval of request: Attending physician + 1 consulting physician + mental health specialist if needed Oral + written requests Written request in prescribed form signed before 2 independent witnesses Time delays between oral requests, between written request and prescription Patient may rescind request at any time
The Netherlands (2002)	Physician- assisted suicide and voluntary euthanasia	Patient must be suffering intolerably, either physically or mentally, with no prospect of improvement Minors 12 years and older Advance directives	Attending physician + 1 consulting independent physician Patient may revoke request at any time
Belgium (2002)	Voluntary euthanasia	Patient has a medically futile condition and is experiencing constant and unbearable physical or mental suffering that cannot be alleviated, resulting from a serious and incurable disorder caused by illness or accident Adults and emancipated minors Minors younger than emancipated minors (of any age) but only where dying in the short term and experiencing unbearable physical (not mental) suffering Advance directives only where patient irreversibly unconscious	Attending physician + 1 consulting independent physician Physician talks to patient at reasonable intervals to verify persistence of request; written request signed Consult with nursing team or relatives if patient desires; if not terminal, must consult with psychiatrist or expert and 1 month delay after request; if child must consult with child psychiatrist or psychologist Patient may revoke request at any time

State or Country	Type of Medical Assistance in Dying	Eligibility Criteria	Safeguards
Luxembourg (2009)	Physician- assisted suicide and voluntary euthanasia	Patient has a medically futile condition, caused by illness or accident, and is experiencing constant and unbearable physical or mental suffering that cannot be alleviated Adults only Advance directives only where patient irreversibly unconscious	Attending physician + 1 consulting independent physician Physician talks to patient at reasonable intervals to verify persistence of request; written request signed Consult with medical team, other physicians treating patient or designated substitute decision-maker unless patient objects Patient may revoke request at any time
Québec (2014)	Voluntary euthanasia	Patient must be at the "end-of-life" + suffering from an incurable serious illness + in an advanced state of irreversible decline in capability + experiencing constant and unbearable physical or psychological pain which cannot be relieved in a manner the person deems tolerable (Mental disorders alone: not eligible) Adults only No advance directives	Physician + 1 consulting physician Consult with members of care team and/or family (if patient wishes) Written request in prescribed form; signed before 1 witness Physician talks to patient at reasonable intervals to verify persistence of request Patient may revoke request at any time
Colombia (2015)	Voluntary euthanasia	Terminal patient: serious condition or pathology that is progressive and irreversible with a prognosis of approaching death or death within a relatively short timeframe, and that is not susceptible to a proven effective healing treatment that would change the prognosis (Mental disorders alone: not eligible) Adults only Advance directives if patients become no longer capable of expressing their wishes in the future	Attending physician + medical expert(s) if uncertain diagnosis Interdisciplinary committee composed of medical specialist, lawyer and mental health expert must review request and confirm wish to die within 10 days of receiving request; must ensure request is carried out within 15 days of patient re-iteration of request; can suspend request if irregularities Patient may revoke request at any time

Annex D: Overview of Recent Foreign Medical Assistance in Dying Bills

State or Country	Type of Medical Assistance in Dying	Eligibility Criteria	Safeguards
US States (Arizona, Colorado, Hawaii, Iowa, Maryland, Missouri, Nebraska, New Hampshire (study), New Jersey, New York, Rhode Island, Utah) (before State legislatures)	Physician-assisted suicide only	Patient must be terminally ill with less than 6 months to live Terminal disease: incurable / irreversible disease that will, within reasonable medical judgment, produce death within six months (Mental disorders alone: not eligible) Adults only (except Hawaii: at least fifty years of age) No advance directives	Approval of request: Attending physician + 1 consulting physician + mental health specialist if needed Oral + written requests; Iowa: for patients incapable of making oral request, a written submission to the attending physician will be required Written request in prescribed form signed before 2 independent witnesses; Hawaii: if patient is in health care facility, 3 rd witness designated by facility Time delays between oral requests, between written request and prescription Patient may rescind request at any time
South Australia (Second Reading debates in March 2016)	Voluntary euthanasia	Patients must be suffering from medical condition (whether terminal or not) that is unbearable to the person (determined subjectively) and hopeless (determined by reasonable availability of medical treatment to reduce/relieve suffering) Adult only No advance requests	Approval of request: Attending physician + 1 consulting physician + psychiatrist if deemed necessary by attending physician Written request in prescribed form; signed in presence of independent witness and attending physician Time delay between request and administration of medication Patient may revoke request at any time

State or Country	Type of Medical Assistance in Dying	Eligibility Criteria	Safeguards
New Zealand (Private Member's Bill in Parliament)	Physician-assisted suicide only	Competent adults who suffer from a terminal illness likely to die within 6 months or have a grievous and irremediable medical condition; advanced state of irreversible decline in capability; and unbearable suffering that cannot be relieved in a manner they consider tolerable No advance requests	Approval of request: attending physician + 1 consulting physician + 1 mental health specialist if necessary Oral and written requests; written request in prescribed form signed before 1 independent witness and in the presence of the attending physician
France (adopted January 27, 2016)	Does not permit medical assistance in dying Permits terminal palliative sedation	Conscious patients with serious and incurable disease, and who decide to stop taking medication or whose treatment no longer successful Patients have the right to refuse artificial life-support treatments Advance directives: adults can express their preference not to be kept alive by medical interventions, should they become too ill to make that decision	Patients can designate a person (e.g. relative or attending physician) who could be consulted in cases where patients are not able to express their wishes with regards to their advance directives Advance directives can be modified or cancelled at any moment Creation of a national registry of advance directives
Germany (November 6, 2015)	Prohibits the commercialization of assisted suicide	This legislation criminalizes organizations that assist patients in terminating their own lives for profit, and includes penalties of up to three years of imprisonment; prevents the commercialization of the procedure as a "suicide business"	
United Kingdom (defeated September 2015)	Physician-assisted suicide only	Patients must be competent adults diagnosed by a registered medical practitioner as having a terminal illness and reasonably expected to die within six months Terminal illness is defined as "an inevitably progressive condition which cannot be reversed by treatment" No advance directive	Approval of request: Attending physician + 1 consulting physician; approval by Family Court Written request in prescribed form; signed in presence of one independent witness and countersigned by attending physician Time delays between written request and delivery of medication

State or Country	Type of Medical Assistance in Dying	Eligibility Criteria	Safeguards
Scotland (defeated on May 27, 2015)	Physician-assisted suicide only	Competent individual (at least 16 years old) suffering from an illness that is terminal or life-shortening or a condition that is, for them, progressive and either terminal or life-shortening No advance directive	Approval of request: 2 registered medical practitioners Three written requests in prescribed forms; signed in presence of qualified witness and confirmed by medical practitioner Time delay between requests
Tasmania (defeated on October 17, 2013)	Physician-assisted suicide and voluntary euthanasia	Patients must have incurable and irreversible medical condition caused by an illness, disease or injury, causing persistent and intolerable suffering, and that is in advanced stages with no reasonable prospect of improvement No advance requests	Approval of request: Attending physician + 1 consulting physician Two oral and one written requests; written request signed before 2 independent witnesses Time delays between requests Patient may rescind request at any time

Annex E: Bibliography on Medical Assistance in Dying

LEGAL/MEDICAL RESEARCH AND COMMENTARY

Canada

- Beschle, D.L. "Carter v. Canada (Attorney General): Canadian courts revisit the criminalization of assisted suicide" (2013) 59 Wayne Law Review 561.
- Claxton-Oldfield, S. & K. Miller. "A study of Canadian hospice palliative care volunteers' attitudes toward physician-assisted suicide" (2015) 32:3 American Journal of Hospice and Palliative Medicine 305.
- Keown, John. "A right to voluntary euthanasia? Confusion in Canada in Carter" (2014) 28:1 Notre Dame Journal of Law, Ethics and Public Policy.
- Marcoux, I. et al. "Health care professionals' comprehension of the legal status of end-of-life practices in Quebec: Study of clinical scenarios" (2015) 61 Canadian Family Physician 196.
- Murphy, S. "Legalizing therapeutic homicide and assisted suicide: A tour of *Carter v. Canada*" (2012).
- Newman, DG. "Judicial method and three gaps in the Supreme Court of Canada's assisted suicide judgment in Carter" (2015) 78 Saskatchewan Law Review 217.
- Schafer, A. "Physician assisted suicide: The great Canadian euthanasia debate" (2013) 36 International Journal of Law and Psychiatry 522.
- Surtees, D. "Authorizing of physician-assisted death in *Carter v. Canada* (*Attorney General*)" (2015) 78 Saskatchewan Law Review 225.
- Tigerstrom, Barbara von. "Consenting to physician-assisted death: Issues arising from *Carter v. Canada (Attorney General)*" (2015) 78 Saskatchewan Law Review 233.
- Vachon, M. "Quebec proposition of Medical Aid in Dying: A palliative care perspective" (2013) 36 International Journal of Law and Psychiatry 532.

Canadian academics and experts

- Boudreau, J.D. & M.A. Somerville. "Euthanasia is not medical treatment" (2013) 106 British Medical Bulletin 45.
- Boudreault, J.D.; Somerville, M.A. & Biller-Andorno, N. "Physician-Assisted Suicide" (2013) 368 New England Journal of Medicine 1450.
- Chochinov, H. M. et al. "Understanding the will to live in patients nearing death" (2005) 46:1 Psychosomatics 7.
- Chochinov, H.M. "Dying, dignity, and new horizons in palliative end-of-life care" (2006) 58 CA Cancer Journal for Clinicians 84.
- Chochinov, H.M. et al. "Dignity in the terminally ill: a cross-sectional, cohort study" (2002) 360 Lancet 2026.
- Chochinov, H.M. et al. "Dignity in the terminally ill: a developing empirical model" (2002) 54 Social Science and Medicine 433.
- Chochinov, H.M. et al. "The Patient Dignity Inventory: A Novel Way of Measuring Dignity

- Related Distress in Palliative Care" (2008) 36:6 Journal of Pain and Symptom Management 559.
- Chochinov, H.M. et al. "The Landscape of Distress in the Terminally Ill" (2009) 38(5) Journal of Pain and Symptom Management 641.
- Chochinov, H.M. et al. "Effect of dignity therapy on distress and end-of-life experience in terminally ill patients: A randomized controlled trial" (2011) 12 Lancet Oncology 753.
- Chochinov, H.M. et al. "Dignity in the Terminally III: Revisited" (2006) 9:3 Journal of Palliative Medicine 666.
- Chochinov, H.M. "Dignity and the essence of medicine: the A, B, C, and D of dignity conserving care" (2007) 335:7612 British Medical Journal 184.
- ———. "Dignity and the Eye of the Beholder" (2004) 22:7 Journal of Clinical Oncology 1336.
- Heisel, M.J. & P.R. Duberstein. "Suicide prevention in older adults" (2005) 12 Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice 242.
- Heisel, M.J. "Suicide and its prevention among older adults" (2006) 51 Canadian Journal of Psychiatry 143.
- Heisel, M.J. et al. "Concordance of self-and proxy-reported suicide ideation in depressed adults 50 years of age or older" (2011) 56 Canadian Journal of Psychiatry 219.
- Mishara, B.L. & D.N. Weisstub. "Premises and evidence in the rhetoric of assisted suicide and euthanasia" (2013) 36 International Journal of Law and Psychiatry 427.
- Mishara, B.L. & D.N. Weisstub. "Ethical, Legal, and Practical Issues in the Control and Regulation of Suicide Promotion and Assistance over the Internet" (2007) 37:1 Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior 58.
- Mishara, B.L. & D.N. Weisstub. "Resolving Ethical Dilemmas in Suicide Prevention" (2010) 40:2 Suicide Life Threat Behav.159.
- Mishara, B.L. & D.N. Weisstub. "Défis éthiques pour les nouvelles pratiques en prévention du suicide" (2010) 14:114 La Revue Française et Francophone de Psychiatrie et de Psychologie Médicale 6.

United States

- Bostrom, B.A. "Baxter v. State of Montana" (2010) 26 Issues in Law & Medicine 79. Breitbart, W. "Physician-assisted suicide ruling in Montana: Struggling with care of the dying, responsibility, and freedom in big sky country" (2010) 8:01 Palliative and Supportive Care 1.
- Campbell, C.S. & M.A. Black. "Dignity, death, and dilemmas: A study of Washington hospices and physician-assisted death" (2014) 47:1 Journal of Pain and Symptom Management 137.
- Cerminara, K.L. & A. Perez. "Therapeutic death: A look at Oregon's law" (2000) 6 Psychology Public Policy Law 503.
- Coleman, D. "Assisted suicide laws create discriminatory double standard for who gets suicide prevention and who gets suicide assistance: Not Dead Yet Responds to Autonomy Inc." (2010) 3 Disability Health Journal 39.
- Drum, C.E. et al. "The Oregon Death with Dignity Act: Results of a literature review and naturalistic inquiry" (2010) 3:1 Disability and Health Journal 3.
- Espino, D.V. et al. "Physician-assisted suicide attitudes of older Mexican-American and

- Non-Hispanic white adults: Does ethnicity make a difference?" (2010) 58:7 Journal of the American Geriatrics Society 1370.
- Foley, K. & H. Hendin. *The Oregon Experiment, in The Case Against Assisted Suicide: For the Right to End-of Life Care.* (Baltimore, Md: Johns Hopkins University Press, 2002).
- Gamondi, C. et al. "Families' experiences with patients who died after assisted suicide: a retrospective interview study in southern Switzerland" (2013) 24:6 Annals of oncology 1639.
- Ganzini, L. et al. "Oregon Physicians Perceptions of Patients who Request Assisted Suicide and their Families" (2003) 6 Journal of Palliative Medicine 381.
- Ganzini, L. et al. "Oregonians Reasons for Requesting Physician Aid in Dying" (2009) 169 Archives of Internal Medicine 489.
- Ganzini, L. et al. "Mental Health Outcomes of Family Members of Oregonians who Request Physician Aid in Dying" (2009) 28: 6 Journal of Pain and Symptom Management 807.
- Ganzini, L. et al. "Physicians experiences with the *Oregon Death with Dignity Act*" (2000) 342 New England Journal of Medicine 557.
- Ganzini, L. et al. "Oregon physicians attitudes about and experiences with end-of-life care since passage of the *Oregon Death with Dignity Act*" (2001) 285:18 Journal of American Medical Association 2363.
- Hamilton, N.G. & C.A. Hamilton. "Competing Paradigms of Response to Assisted Suicide Requests in Oregon" (2005) 162:6 American Journal of Psychiatry 1060.
- Hendin, H. & K. Foley. "Physician-Assisted Suicide in Oregon: A Medical Perspective" (2008) 106 Michigan Law Review 1613.
- Hedberg, K.; D. Hopkins & M. Kohn. "Five years of legal physician-assisted suicide in Oregon" (2003) 348 New England Journal of Medicine 961.
- Lee, B.C. & J.L. Jr. Werth. "Observations on the first year of Oregon's Death with Dignity Act" (2000) 8 Psychol Public Policy Law 268.
- Norton, E.M. & P.J. Miller. "What their terms of living and dying might be: Hospice social workers discuss Oregon's Death with Dignity Act" (2012) 8:3 Journal of Social Work in End-of-Life & Palliative Care 249.
- Oldham, R.L. et al. "Attachment styles of Oregonians who request physician-assisted death" (2011) 9:02 Palliative and Supportive Care 123.
- Reynolds, L. "Losing the quality of life: The move towards society's understanding and acceptance of physician aid-in-dying and the Death with Dignity Act" (2013) 48 New England Law Review 343.
- Rich, B.A. "Baxter v. Montana: What the Montana Supreme Court said about dying, dignity, and palliative options of last resort" (2011) 9:03 Palliative and Supportive Care 233.
- Sullivan, A. et al. "Legalized physician-assisted suicide in Oregon: The second year" (2000) 342 New England Journal of Medicine 598.
- Svenson, A.G. "Montana's courting of physician aid in dying: Could Des Moines follow suit?" (2010) 29:2 Politics and the Life Sciences 2.
- Purvis, T.E. "Debating death: religion, Politics, and the Oregon *Death with Dignity Act*" (2012) 85:2 The Yale Journal of Biology and Medicine 271.

Belgium

Andrew, E.V. et al. "Social-cultural factors in end-of-life care in Belgium: a scoping of the research literature" (2011) 27:2 Palliative medicine 131.

- Bernheim, J. L. et al. "Euthanasia and Palliative Care in Belgium: Legitimate Concerns and Unsubstantiated Grievances" (2010) 13:7 Journal of Palliative Medicine 798.
- Bernheim, J.L. et al. "The Belgian model of comprehensive end-of-life care: Palliative care and euthanasia as complementing, non-conflicting developments. I. Historical, epidemiological and regulatory data" [in Dutch] (2012) 68:11 Tijdschrift voor Geneeskunde 539.
- Bilsen, J. et al. "Nurses' involvement in physician-assisted dying under the euthanasia law in Belgium" (2014) 51:12 International Journal of Nursing Studies 1696.
- Bilsen, J. et al. "Changes in medical end-of-life practices during the legalization process of euthanasia in Belgium" (2007) 65 Social Science and Medicine 803.
- Bilsen, J. et al. "Medical End-of-Life Practices under the Euthanasia Law in Belgium" (2009) 361:11 New England Journal of Medicine 1119.
- Broeckaert, B. et al. "Euthanasia and palliative care in Belgium: The attitudes of Flemish palliative care nurses and physicians toward euthanasia" (2010) 1:3 AJOB Primary Research 31.
- Chambaere, K. et al. "Characteristics of Belgian life-ending acts without explicit patient request; a large-scale death certificate survey revisited" (2014) 2:4 Canadian Medical Association Journal Open E262.
- Chambaere, K. et al. "Physician-assisted deaths under the euthanasia law in Belgium: a population-based survey" (2010) 182:9 Canadian Medical Association Journal 895.
- Chambaere, K. et al. "End-of-life decisions in individuals dying with dementia in Belgium" (2015) 63:2 Journal of the American Geriatrics Society 290.
- Cohen, J. et al. "Cultural differences affecting euthanasia practice in Belgium: One law but different attitudes and practices in Flanders and Wallonia" (2012) 75:5 Social Science & Medicine 845.
- Cohen, J. et al. "Nationwide survey to evaluate the decision-making process in euthanasia requests in Belgium: do specifically trained 2nd physicians improve quality of consultation?" (2014) 14 BMC Health Services Research 307.
- Cohen-Almagor, R. "Euthanasia Policy and Practice in Belgium: Critical Observations and Suggestions for Improvement" (2009) 24:3 Issues in Law & Medicine 187.
- ——. "First do no harm: intentionally shortening lives of patients without their explicit request in Belgium" (2015) Journal of Medical Ethics 1.
- ———. "First do no harm: pressing concerns regarding euthanasia in Belgium" (2013) 36 International Journal of Law and Psychiatry.
- Gamester, N. & B. Van Den Eynden. "The Relationship between Palliative Care and Legalized Euthanasia in Belgium" (2009) 12:7 Journal of Palliative Medicine 589.
- Giglio, F. & A.G. Spagnolo. "Pediatric euthanasia in Belgium: Some ethical considerations" (2014) 12:3 Journal of Medicine and the Person 146.
- Inghelbrecht. E. et al. "The role of nurses in physician-assisted deaths in Belgium" (2010) 182:9 Canadian Medical Association Journal 905.
- Meeussen, K. et al. "Physician reports of medication use with explicit intention of hastening the end of life in the absence of explicit patient request in general practice in Belgium" (2010) 10 BMC Public Health 186.
- Meeussen, K. et al. "Dealing with requests for euthanasia: interview study among general practitioners in Belgium" (2011) 41:6 Journal of Pain and Symptom Management 1060.
- Pardon, K. et al. "Preferred and actual involvement of advanced lung cancer patients and

- their families in end-of-life decision making: A multicenter study in 13 hospitals in Flanders, Belgium" (2012) 43:3 Journal of Pain and Symptom Management 515.
- Roelands, M. et al. "Attitudes of Belgian students of medicine, philosophy, and law toward euthanasia and the conditions for its acceptance" (2015) 39:3 Death studies 139.
- Smets, T. et al. "Reporting of euthanasia in medical practice in Flanders, Belgium: cross sectional analysis of reported and unreported cases" (2010) 341 British Medical Journal C5174.
- Smets, T. et al. "Legal Euthanasia in Belgium Characteristics of All Reported Euthanasia Cases" (2010) 48 Medical Care 187.
- Smets, T. et al. "Euthanasia in patients dying at home in Belgium: interview study on adherence to legal safeguards" (2010) British Journal of General Practice e163.
- Smets, T. et al. "Attitudes and experiences of Belgian physicians regarding euthanasia practice and the euthanasia law" (2011) 41:3 Journal of Pain and Symptom Management 580.
- Smets, T. et al. "The labelling and reporting of euthanasia by Belgian physicians: a study of hypothetical cases" (2010) 22:1 European Journal of Public Health 19.
- Smets, T. et al. "Reporting of euthanasia in medical practice in Flanders, Belgium: cross sectional analysis of reported and unreported cases" (2010) 341 British Medical Journal c5174.
- Thienpont, L. et al. "Euthanasia requests, procedures and outcomes for 100 Belgian patients suffering from psychiatric disorders: a retrospective, descriptive study" (2015) 5:7 British Medical Journal Open.
- Van Brussel, L.; P. Van Landeghem & J. Cohen. "Media coverage of medical decision making at the end of life: a Belgian case study" (2014) 38:2 Death studies 125.
- Van den Block, L. et al. "Euthanasia and other end of life decisions and care provided in final three months of life: nationwide retrospective study in Belgium" (2009) 339 British Medical Journal b2772.
- Van den Block, L. et al. "Euthanasia and other end-of-life decisions: a mortality follow-back study in Belgium" (2009) 9 BMC Public Health 79.
- Van Wesemael, Y. et al. "Process and outcomes of euthanasia requests under the Belgian act on euthanasia: a nationwide survey" (2011) 42:5 Journal of Pain and Symptom Management 721.
- Van Wesemael, Y. et al. "Implementation of a service for physicians' consultation and information in euthanasia requests in Belgium" (2012) 104:3 Health Policy 272.

The Netherlands

- Battin, M.P.; F. Norwood; G. Kimsma. "Vulnerability and the slippery slope at the end-of life; a qualitative study of euthanasia, general practice and home death in The Netherlands" (2009) 26:6 Family Practice 472.
- Berghmans, R.L. & G.A. Widdershoven. "Euthanasia in the Netherlands: Consultation and review" (2012) 23:2 King's Law Journal 109.
- Booij, S.J. et al. « Euthanasia and physician-assisted suicide in Huntington's disease in The Netherlands" (2013) 25:2 International Psychogeriatrics 339.
- Buiting, H.M. et al. "Reporting of euthanasia and physician-assisted suicide in the Netherlands: Descriptive study" (2009) 10:18 BMC Medical Ethics.

- Buiting, H.M. et al. "Older peoples' attitudes towards euthanasia and an end-of-life pill in The Netherlands: 2001–2009" (2012) 38:5 Journal of Medical Ethics 267.
- Buiting, H.M. et al. "Dutch criteria of due care for physician-assisted dying in medical practice: a physician perspective" (2008) 34:9 Journal of Medical Ethics e12.
- Cohen-Almagor R. "Non-Voluntary and Involuntary Euthanasia in the Netherlands: Dutch Perspectives" (2002) II:5 Croatian Journal of Philosophy 46-63.
- De Boer, M.E. et al. "Advance Directives for Euthanasia in Dementia: How do They Affect Resident Care in Dutch Nursing Homes? Experiences of Physicians and Relatives" (2011) 59 Journal of the American Geriatrics Society 989.
- Dees, M.K. et al. "Perspectives of decision-making in requests for euthanasia: A qualitative research among patients, relatives and treating physicians in the Netherlands" (2013) 27:1 Palliative Medicine 27.
- Deliens, L. et al. "End-of-life decisions in medical practice in Flanders, Belgium: a nationwide survey" (2000) 356:9244 Lancet 1806.
- Gordijn, B. & R. Janssens. "The Prevention of Euthanasia through Palliative care: New Developments in the Netherlands" (2000) 41 Patient Education and Counseling 35.
- Haverkate, I. et al. "Refused and granted requests for euthanasia and assisted suicide in the Netherlands: interview study with structured questionnaire" (2000) 321 British Medical Journal 865.
- Hesselink, B.A.M. et al. "Do guidelines on euthanasia and physician-assisted suicide in Dutch hospitals and nursing homes reflect the law? A content analysis" (2012) 38:1 Journal of Medical Ethics 35.
- Jansen-van der Weide, M.C. et al. "Quality of consultation and the project 'Support and Consultation on Euthanasia in the Netherlands' (SCEN)" (2007) 80:1 Health Policy 97.
- Kim, S.Y.; R.G. De Vries & J.R. Peteet. "Euthanasia and Assisted Suicide of Patients with Psychiatric Disorders in the Netherlands 2011 to 2014" (2016) (2016) JAMA Psychiatry.
- Kimsma, G.K.; F. Norwood & M. Battin. "Vulnerability and the slippery slope at the end-of life: a qualitative study of euthanasia, general practice and home death in the Netherlands" (2009) 26:6 Family Practice 472.
- Kimsma, G.K. "Death by request in the Netherlands: facts, the legal context and effects on physicians, patients and families" (2010) 13:4 Medicine, Health Care and Philosophy 355.
- Kouwenhoven, P.S. et al. "Opinions of health care professionals and the public after eight years of euthanasia legislation in the Netherlands: A mixed methods approach" (2013) 27:3 Palliative Medicine 273.
- Kouwenhoven, P.S. et al. "Euthanasia or physician-assisted suicide? A survey from the Netherlands" (2014) 20:1 The European Journal of General Practice 25.
- Kouwenhoven, P.S. et al. "Opinions about euthanasia and advance dementia: a qualitative study among Dutch physicians and members of the general public" (2015) 16 BMC Medical Ethics 7.
- Onwuteaka-Philipsen, B.D. et al. "Dutch experience of monitoring euthanasia" (2005) 331 British Medical Journal 691.
- Onwuteaka-Philipsen, B.D. et al. "Euthanasia and Other End-of-Life Decisions in the Netherlands in 1990. 1995, and 2001" (2003) 362 Lancet 395.
- Onwuteaka-Philipsen, B.D. et al. "Trends in end-of-life practices before and after the

- enactment of the euthanasia law in the Netherlands from 1990 to 2010: a repeated cross-sectional survey" (2012) 380:9845 Lancet 908.
- Ost, S. & A. Mullock. "Pushing the boundaries of lawful assisted dying in the Netherlands? Existential suffering and lay assistance" (2011) 18:2 European Journal of Health Law 163.
- Pols, H. & S. Oak "Physician-assisted dying and psychiatry: Recent developments in the Netherlands" (2013) 36:5 International Journal of Law and Psychiatry 506.
- Rietjens, J. et al. "Two Decades of Research on Euthanasia from the Netherlands. What Have We Learnt and What Questions Remain?" (2009) 6 Journal of Bioethical Inquiry 271.
- Schoonman, M.K. et al. "Non-physician-assisted suicide in The Netherlands: a cross sectional survey among the general public" (2014) 40:12 Journal of Medical Ethics 842.
- Snijdewind, M.C. et al. "Complexities in euthanasia or physician-assisted suicide as perceived by Dutch physicians and patients' relatives" (2014) 48:6 Journal of Pain and Symptom Management 1125.
- Snijdewind, M.C. et al. "A Study of the First Year of the End-of-Life Clinic for Physician Assisted Dying in the Netherlands" (2015) 175:10 American Medical Association Journal of Internal Medicine 1633.
- Van der Heide, A. et al. "End of life practices in the Netherlands under the Euthanasia Act" (2007) 356:19 New England Journal of Medicine 1957.
- Van Tol D.G.; J.A. Rietjens & A. van der Heide "Empathy and the application of the 'unbearable suffering' criterion in Dutch euthanasia practice" (2012) 105:2 Health Policy 296.

Switzerland

- Black. I. "Existential suffering and the extent of the right to physician assisted suicide in Switzerland" (2014) 22:1 Medical Law Review 109.
- Bosshard, G.; S. Fischer & W. Bär. "Open regulation and practice in assisted dying How Switzerland compares with the Netherlands and Oregon" (2002) 132 Swiss Medical Weekly 527.
- Bosshard, G.; E. Ulrich & W. Bär. "748 cases of suicide assisted by a Swiss right-to-die organisation" (2003) 133 Swiss Medical Weekly 310.
- Bosshard, G. et al. "Assessment of requests for assisted suicide by a Swiss Right-to-Die Society" (2008) 62 Death Studies 646.
- Bosshard, G. "Switzerland" in J. Griffiths & H. Weyers, eds, *Euthanasia and Law in Europe* (Oregon: Hart Publishing, 2008) 463.
- Brauer, S.; C. Bolligerb & J.D. Struba. "Swiss physicians' attitudes to assisted suicide" (2015) 145 Swiss Medical Weekly w14142.
- Fischer, S. et al. "Suicide assisted by two Swiss right-to-die organizations" (2008) 34:11 Journal of Medical Ethics 810.
- Fischer, S. et al. "Reasons why people in Switzerland seek assisted suicide: the view of patients and physicians" (2009) 139:23-24 Swiss Medical Weekly 333.
- Fischer, S. et al. "Suicide assisted by two Swiss right-to-die organisations" (2008) 34 Journal of Medical Ethics 810.
- Frei, A. et al. "Assisted suicide as conducted by a Right-to-Die Society in Switzerland: A descriptive analysis of 43 consecutive cases" (2001) 131 Swiss Medical Weekly 375.
- Gauthier, S. et al. "Suicide tourism: a pilot study on the Swiss phenomenon" (2014) Journal

- of medical ethics (doi:10.1136/medethics-2014-102091).
- Harmon, S.H. & N. Sethi. "Preserving life and facilitating death: What role for government after *Haas v. Switzerland*" (2011) 18 European Journal of Health Law 355.
- Hurst, S. & A. Mauron. "Assisted suicide and euthanasia in Switzerland: allowing a role for non-physicians" (2003) 326 British Medical Journal 271.
- Pereira, J. et al. "The response of a Swiss university hospital's palliative care consult team to assisted suicide within the institution" (2008) 22:5 Palliative Medicine 659.
- Steck, N.; M. Zwahlen & M. Egger. "Time-trends in assisted and unassisted suicides completed with different methods: Swiss National Cohort" (2015) 145 Swiss Medical Weekly w14153.

Luxembourg

Leners, J.C. "Euthanasia, palliative care, hospice and elderly in Luxembourg. Ethical and numerical considerations over 3 years" (2013) 4 European Geriatric Medicine S38.

Comparative studies (Europe, US)

- Battin, M.P.; A. van der Heide; L. Ganzini; G. van der Wal & B.D. Onwuteaka-Philipsen. "Legal physician-assisted dying in Oregon and the Netherlands: evidence concerning the impact on patients in vulnerable groups" (2007) 33:10 Journal of Medical Ethics 591.
- Bosshard, G. et al. "A role for doctors in assisted dying? An analysis of legal regulations and medical professional positions in six European countries" (2008) 34 Journal of Medical Ethics 28.
- Chambaere, K. & J.L. Bernheim. "Does legal physician-assisted dying impede development of palliative care? The Belgian and Benelux experience" (2015) 41:8 Journal of Medical Ethics 657.
- Cohen, J. et al. "Trends in acceptance of euthanasia among the general public in 12 European countries (1981-1999)" (2006) 16:6 European Journal of Public Health 663.
- Finlay, I.G. & R. George. "Legal physician-assisted suicide in Oregon and The Netherlands: evidence concerning the impact on patients in vulnerable groups another perspective on Oregon's data" (2011) 37 Journal of Medical Ethics 171.
- Halliday, S. "Comparative reflections upon the Assisted Dying Bill 2013. A plea for a more European approach" (2013) 13:2-3 Medical Law International 135.
- Van der Heide, A. et al. On behalf of the EURELD consortium. "End-of-life decision-making in six European countries: descriptive study" (2003) 362 Lancet 345.
- Keown, J, "Considering Physician-Assisted Suicide: an evaluation of Lord Joffe's Assisted Dying for the Terminally Ill Bill" (2006) Care Not Killing Alliance Report.
- Lewis, P. & I. Black. "Adherence to the request criterion in jurisdictions where assisted dying is lawful? A review of the criteria and evidence in the Netherlands, Belgium, Oregon, and Switzerland" (2013) 41:4 The Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics 885.
- Price, A. et al. "Prevalence, course and associations of desire for hastened death in a UK palliative population: A cross-sectional study" (2011) 1:2 British Medical Journal Supportive and Palliative Care 140.
- Rurup, M.L. et al. "The first five years of euthanasia legislation in Belgium and the Netherlands: description and comparison of cases" (2012) 26:1 Palliative Medicine" 43. Smets, T. et al. "The medical practice of euthanasia in Belgium and The Netherlands: Legal

- notification, control and evaluation procedures" (2009) 90 Health Policy 181.
- Steck, N. et al. "Euthanasia and assisted suicide in selected European countries and US states: systematic literature review" (2013) 51:10 Medical Care 938.
- Van Wesemael, Y. et al. "Establishing specialized health services for professional consultation in euthanasia: experiences in the Netherlands and Belgium" (2009) 9 BMC Health Services Research 220.
- Voorhees, J.R. et al. "Discussing physician-assisted dying: Physicians' experiences in the United States and the Netherlands" (2014) 54:5 The Gerontologist 808.
- Wesemael, Y.V. et al. "Establishing specialized health services for professional consultation in euthanasia: experiences in the Netherlands and Belgium" (2009) 9 British Medical Journal: Health Services Research 220.

Other

- Aghababaei, N.; H. Farahani & J. Hatami. "Euthanasia attitude; A comparison of two scales" (2011) 4 Journal of Medical Ethics and History of Medicine 9.
- Anquinet, L. et al. "Similarities and differences between continuous sedation until death and euthanasia–professional caregivers' attitudes and experiences: A focus group study" (2013) 27:6 Palliative Medicine 553.
- Appelbaum, P.S. "Physician-Assisted Death for Patients With Mental Disorders Reasons for Concern" (2016) 73:4 JAMA Psychiatry 325.
- Back, A.L. et al. "Clinician-Patient Interactions about Requests for Physician-Assisted Suicide" (2003) 162 Archives of Internal Medicine 1257.
- Back, A.L. & R.A. Pearlman. "Desire for physician-assisted suicide: Requests for a better death?" (2001) 358 Lancet 344.
- Barnow, S. et al. "Influence of age of patients who wish to die on treatment decisions by physicians and nurses" (2004) 12:3 American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry 258.
- Bascom, P.B. & S.W. Tolle. "Responding to Requests for Physician Assisted-Suicide: These are uncharted waters for both of us..." (2002) 288:1 Journal of the American Medical Association 91.
- Battin, M.P. "Physician-Assisted Dying and the Slippery Slope: the Challenge of Empirical Evidence" (2008) 45 Willamette Law Review 91.
- Behuniak, S.M. "Death with "dignity" The wedge that divides the disability rights movement from the right to die movement" (2011) 30:1 Politics and the Life Sciences 17.
- Berghmans, R.; G. Widdershoven & I. Widdershoven-Heerding. "Physician-assisted suicide in psychiatry and loss of hope" (2013) 36:5 International Journal of Law and Psychiatry 436.
- Bernheim, J.L. "A flawed debate on assisted dying" (2011) British Medical Journal 342.
- Bernheim, J.L. et al. "Development of palliative care and legalization of euthanasia: antagonism or synergy?" (2008) 336 British Journal of Medicine 864.
- Bharucha, A.J. et al. "The Pursuit of Physician-Assisted Suicide: Role of Psychiatric Factors" (2003) 6:6 Journal of Palliative Medicine 873.
- Blevins, D.; T.A. Preston & J.L. Werlth Jr. "Characteristics of persons approving of physician-assisted death" (2005) 29 Death Studies 601.
- Block, S.D. "Assessing and managing depression in the terminally ill patient. ACP-ASIIA End-of-Life Care Consensus Panel. American College of Physicians- American Society of Internal Medicine" (2000) 132:3 Annals of Internal Medicine 209.

- Bolt, E.E. et al. "Can physicians conceive of performing euthanasia in case of psychiatric disease, dementia or being tired of living?" (2015) 41:8 Journal of Medical Ethics 592.
- Breitbart, W. et al. "Depression, Hopelessness, and Desire for Hastened Death in Terminally Ill Patients with Cancer" (2000) 284 Journal of the American Medical Association 2907.
- Breitbart, W. et al. "Depression, hopelessness, and desire for hastened death in terminally ill patients with cancer" (2000) 284 Journal of the American Medical Association 2907.
- Breitbart, W. "The physician's role in physician-assisted suicide" (2012) 10:04 Palliative and Supportive Care 237.
- Breitbart, W. et al. "Impact of Treatment for Depression on Desire for Hastened Death in Patients with Advanced AIDS" (2010) 51:2 Psychosomatics 98.
- Broekman, M.L.D. & J.S.A. Verlooy. "Attitudes of young neurosurgeons and neurosurgical residents towards euthanasia and physician-assisted suicide" (2013) 155:11 Acta Neurochirurgica 2191.
- Buiting, H.M. et al. "Palliative treatment alternatives and euthanasia consultations: a qualitative interview study" (2011) 42:1 Journal of Pain and Symptom Management 32.
- Cholbi, M.J. "The terminal, the futile, and the psychiatrically disordered" (2013) 36:5 International Journal of Law and Psychiatry 498.
- Clark, C. & G. Kimsma. ""Medical friendships" in assisted dying" (2004) 13:1 Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics 61.
- Clarke, L. "Mental health nursing and the debate on assisted dying" (2013) 20 Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing 655.
- Coggon, J. "The wonder of euthanasia: A debate that's being done to death" (2013) 33:2 Oxford Journal of Legal Studies 401.
- Coma, L.M.; J. Caimey & D.L. Streiner. "Suicide ideation in older adults: Relationship to mental health problems and service use" (2010) 50:6 Gerontologist 785.
- Davila, S.L.R.; E. Vidal; J.T. Stewart & M. T. Caserta. "Management of a request for physician-assisted suicide" (2010) 27:1 American Journal of Hospice and Palliative Medicine 63.
- Dees, M. et al. "Unbearable suffering of patients with a request for euthanasia or physician-assisted suicide: an integrative review" (2010) 19:4 Psycho-Oncology 339.
- Delbeke, E. "The way assisted suicide is legalised: balancing a medical framework against a demedicalised model" (2011) 18:2 European Journal of Health Law 149.
- Denier, Y.; B.D. de Casterlé; N. De Bal & C. Gastmans. ""It's intense, you know." Nurses' experiences in caring for patients requesting euthanasia" (2010) 13:1 Medicine, Health Care and Philosophy 41.
- Dore, M.K. "Physician-assisted suicide: A recipe for elder abuse and the illusion of personal choice" (2011) 27 Vermont Bar Journal 1.
- Downie, J.; K. Chambaere & J.L. Bernheim. "Pereira's attack on legalizing euthanasia or assisted suicide: Smoke and mirrors" (2012) 19:3 Current Oncology 133.
- Draper, B.; C. Peisah; J. Snowdon & H. Brodaty. "Early dementia diagnosis and the risk of suicide and euthanasia" (2010) 6:1 Alzheimer's & Dementia 75.
- Emanuel, E.J. et al. "Attitudes and desires related to euthanasia and physician-assisted suicide among terminally ill patients, and their caregivers" (2000) 284 Journal of the American Medical Association 2460.
- Emanuel, E.J.; D.L. Fairdough & L.L. Emanuel. "Attitudes and desires related to euthanasia and physician-assisted suicide among terminally ill patients and their caregivers" (2000)

- 284 Journal of the American Medical Association 2460.
- Fass, J. & A. Fass. "Physician-assisted suicide: Ongoing challenges for pharmacists" (2011) 68 American Journal of Health-System Pharmacy 846.
- Finlay, I. "Crossing the bright light–difficult decision at the end of life" (2006) 6:4 Clinical Medicine 1.
- Gamliel, E. "To end life or not to prolong life: The effect of message framing on attitudes toward euthanasia" (2013) 18:5 Journal of Health Psychology 693.
- Ganzini, L. et al. "Evaluation of Competence to Consent to Assisted Suicide: Views of Forensic Psychiatrists" (2000) 157 American Journal of Psychiatry 595.
- Ganzini, L.; E.R. Goy & S.K. Dobscha. "Prevalence of depression and anxiety in patients requesting physicians aid in dying: cross sectional survey" (2008) 337:1682 British Medical Journal 1.
- Gather, J. & J. Vollmann. "Physician-assisted suicide of patients with dementia. A medical ethical analysis with a special focus on patient autonomy" (2013) 36:5 International Journal of Law and Psychiatry 444.
- Gill, C.J. "No, we don't think our doctors are out to get us: Responding to the straw man distortions of disability rights arguments against assisted suicide" (2010) 3:1 Disability and Health Journal 31.
- Gillett, G. & J. Chamberlain. "The clinician's dilemma: Two dimensions of ethical care" (2013) 36:5 International Journal of Law and Psychiatry 454.
- Golden, M. & T. Zoanni. "Killing us softly; the dangers of legalizing assisted suicide" (2010) 3 Disability and Health Journal 16.
- Gopal, A.A. "Physician-assisted suicide: Considering the evidence, existential distress, and an emerging role for psychiatry" (2015) 43:2 Journal of the American Academy of Psychiatry and the Law 183.
- Gunn III, J.F. & D. Lester. "Moral development and perceptions of attempted suicide and physician-assisted suicide" (2010) 107:3 Psychological Reports 697.
- Hagens, M.; H.R. Pasman & B.D. Onwuteaka-Philipsen. "Cross-sectional research into counselling for non-physician assisted suicide: who asks for it and what happens?" (2014) 14:1 BMC Health Services Research 455.
- Hains, C.A.M. & N.J. Hulbert-Williams. "Attitudes toward euthanasia and physician-assisted suicide: a study of the multivariate effects of healthcare training, patient characteristics, religion and locus of control" (2013) 39:11 Journal of Medical Ethics 713.
- Harris, C.D. "Physician-assisted suicide: A nurse's perspective" (2014) 44:3 Nursing 55.
- Harvath, T.A. et al. "Dilemmas Encountered by Hospice Workers When Patients Wish to Hasten Death" (2006) 8 Journal of Hospice Palliative Nursing 200.
- Hendry, M. et al. "Why do we want the right to die? A systematic review of the international literature on the views of patients, carers and the public on assisted dying" (2013) 27:1 Palliative Medicine 13.
- Hudson, P. L. et al. "Legalizing physician-assisted suicide and/or euthanasia: Pragmatic implications" (2015) 13:5 Palliative & Supportive Care 1.
- Hudson, P.L. et al. "Desire for hastened death in patients with advanced disease and the evidence base of clinical guidelines: a systematic review" (2006) 20:7 Palliative Medicine 693.
- Hudson, P. et al. "Responding to desire to die statements from patients with advanced disease: recommendations for health professionals" (2006) 20:7 Palliative Medicine 703.

- Huxtable, R. "Splitting the difference? Principled compromise and assisted dying" (2014) 28:9 Bioethics 472.
- Jamison, S. "Factors to consider before participating in a hastened death: Issues for medical professionals" (2000) 6 Psychology Public Policy Law 416.
- Jansen-van der Weide, M.C.; B.D. Onwuteaka-Philipsen & G. van der Wal. "Granted, undecided, withdrawn, and refused requests for euthanasia and physician-assisted suicide" (2005) 165 Archives of Internal Medicine 1698.
- Johnson, S.M. et al. "The role of and challenges for psychologists in physician assisted suicide" (2014) 38:9 Death Studies 582.
- Johnstone, M.J. "Organization position statements and the stance of "studied neutrality" on euthanasia in palliative care" (2012) 44:6 Journal of Pain and Symptom Management 896.
- Joiner, T.E. Why people die by suicide, (Cambridge (MA): Harvard University Press, 2005) Jones, D.A. "Is there a logical slippery slope from voluntary to non-voluntary euthanasia?" (2011) 21:4 Kennedy Institute of Ethics Journal 379.
- Jones, J.M. et al. "Symptomatic distress, hopelessness, and the desire for hastened death in hospitalized cancer patients" (2003) 55:5 Journal of Psychosomatic Research 411.
- Karlsson, M.; A. Milberg & P. Strang. "Suffering and euthanasia: a qualitative study of dying cancer patients' perspectives" (2012) 20 Support Care Cancer 1065.
- Kelly, B. D. et al. "Factors associated with the wish to hasten death: A study of patients with terminal illness" (2003) 33 Psychological Medicine 75.
- Kelly, B.D. & D.M. McLoughlin. "Euthanasia, assisted suicide and psychiatry: A Pandora's Box" (2002) 181 British Journal of Psychiatry 278.
- Keown, J. *Euthanasia, Ethics and Public Policy: An Argument against Legalisation.* (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2002).
- Keown, J. & E. Jackson. *Debating Euthanasia*, (Oxford, UK: Hart Publishing, 2002).
- Kimsma, G.K. & E. van Leeuwen. "The role of family in euthanasia decision making" (2007) 19:4 HEC Forum 365.
- Kleespies, P.M.; O.N. Hughes & F.P. Gallacher. "Suicide in the medically and terminally ill: Psychological and ethical considerations" (2000) 56 Journal of Clinical Psychology 1153.
- Lachman, V. "Physician-assisted suicide: Compassionate liberation or murder" (2010) 19:2 Medsurg Nursing 121.
- Lavery, J.V. et al. "Origins of the desire for euthanasia and assisted suicide in people with HIV-1 or AIDS: a qualitative study" (2001) Lancet 362.
- Lee, M.L. et al. "Euthanasia and depression: a prospective cohort study among terminally ill cancer patients" (2005) 23 Journal of Clinical Oncology 6607.
- Leeman, C.P. "Distinguishing among irrational suicide and other forms of hastened death: Implications for clinical practice" (2009) 50 Psychosomatics 185.
- Lemiengre, J. et al. "Written institutional ethics policies on euthanasia: an empirical-based organizational-ethical framework" (2014) 17:2 Medicine, Health Care and Philosophy 215.
- Lesser, H. "Should it be legal to assist suicide?" (2010) 16:2 Journal of evaluation in clinical practice 330.
- Levene, I. & M. Parker. "Prevalence of depression in granted and refused requests for euthanasia and assisted suicide: a systematic review" (2011) 37:4 Journal of Medical Ethics 205.

- Lewis, P. "Informal Legal change on assisted suicide: the policy for prosecutors" (2011) 31:1 Legal Studies 119.
- ——. Assisted Dying and Legal Change (Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press, 2007).
- Lipuma, S. "Continuous sedation until death as physician-assisted suicide/euthanasia: a conceptual analysis" (2013) 38:2 Journal of Medicine and Philosophy 190.
- Loewy E.H. "Terminal Sedation, self-starvation, and orchestrating the end of life" (2001) 161 Archives of Internal Medicine 329.
- Maessen, M. et al. "Euthanasia and physician-assisted suicide in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: a prospective study" (2014) 261:10 Journal of Neurology 1894.
- Malpas, P.J. et al. "Why do older people oppose physician-assisted dying? A qualitative study" (2014) 28:4 Palliative Medicine 353.
- Maltoni, M. et al. "Palliative sedation therapy does not hasten death: results from a prospective multicentre study" (2009) 20 Annals of Oncology 1163.
- Mason, E.R. "Ignoring It Will Not Make It Go Away: Guidelines for Statutory Regulation of Physician-Assisted Death" (2010) 45 New England Law Review 139.
- Materstvedt, L.J. et al. "Euthanasia and physician-assisted suicide: a view from an EAPC Ethics Task Force" (2003) 17 Palliative Medicine 97.
- Materstvedt, L.J. "Palliative care ethics: The problems of combining palliation and assisted dying" (2013) 21:3 Progress in Palliative Care 158.
- Maytal, G. & T.A. Stem. "The desire for death in the setting of terminal illness. A case discussion. Prim Care Companion." (2006) 8 Journal of Clinical Psychiatry 299.
- McClain-Jacobson, C. et al. "Belief in an afterlife, spiritual well-being and end-of-life despair in patients with advanced cancer" (2004) 26 General Hospital Psychiatry 484.
- McCormack, R. & R. Fléchais. "The role of psychiatrists and mental disorder in assisted dying practices around the world: a review of the legislation and official reports" (2012) 53:4 Psychosomatics 319.
- Mendelson, D & M. Bagaric. "Assisted suicide through the prism of the right to life" (2013) 36:5 International Journal of Law and Psychiatry 406.
- Menzel, PT & B. Steinbock. "Advance directives, dementia, and physician-assisted death" (2013) 41:2 The Journal of Law Medicine & Ethics 484.
- Michlowski, S. "Legalising active voluntary euthanasia through the courts: some lessons from Columbia" (2009) 17 Medical Law Review 183.
- Monforte-Royo, C. et al. "The wish to hasten death: A review of clinical studies" (2011) 20:8 Psycho-oncology 795.
- Morita, T. et al. "Desire for death and requests to hasten death of Japanese terminally ill cancer patients receiving specialized inpatient palliative care" (2004) 27 Journal of Pain Symptom Management 44.
- Mortier, T.; R. Leiva & R. Cohen-Almagor. "Between Palliative Care and Euthanasia" online (2015) Bioethical Inquiry.
- Mystakidou, K. et al. "Desire for death near the end of life: the role of depression, anxiety and pain" (2005) 27 General Hospital Psychiatry 258.
- Neimeyer, R.A. "Suicide and hastened death: Toward a training agenda for counseling psychology" (2000) 28 Couns Psychol 551.
- Nissim, R.; L. Gagliese & G. Rodin. "The desire for hastened death in individuals with advanced cancer: A longitudinal qualitative study" (2009) 69:2 Social Science and Medicine 165.

- O'Mahoney, S. et al. "Desire for hastened death, cancer pain and depression: Report of a longitudinal observational study" (2005) 29 Journal of Pain Symptom Management 446.
- Obstein, K.L.; G.K. Kimsma & T. Chambers. "Practicing euthanasia: the perspective of physicians" (2004) 15:3 Journal Clinical Ethics 223.
- Ogden, R.D. "The debreather: a report on euthanasia and suicide assistance using adapted scuba technology" (2010) 34:4 Death studies 291.
- Onwuteaka-Philipsen, B.D. et al. "The last phase of life: who requests and who receives euthanasia or physician-assisted suicide?" (2010) 48:7 Medical Care 596.
- Orentlicher, D. "Aging populations and physician aid in dying: The evolution of state government policy" (2014) 48 Indiana Law Review 111.
- Parpa, E. et al. "Attitudes of health care professionals, relatives of advanced cancer patients and public towards euthanasia and physician assisted suicide" (2010) 97:2 Health Policy 160.
- Pasman, H.R.W.; D.L. Willems & B.D. Onwuteaka-Philipsen. "What happens after a request for euthanasia is refused? Qualitative interviews with patients, relatives and physicians" (2013) 92:3 Patient Education and Counseling 313.
- Patterson, C. "A History of Ideas Concerning the Morality of Suicide, Assisted Suicide, and Voluntary Euthanasia" (2005).
- Pearlman, R.A. et al. "Motivations for Physician-assisted Suicide Patient and Family Voices" (2005) 20:3 Journal of General Internal Medicine 234.
- Pereira, J. "Legalizing euthanasia or assisted suicide: the illusion of safeguards and controls" (2011) 18:2 Current Oncology e38.
- Plaisted, D. "An undignified side of death with dignity legislation" (2013) 23:3 Kennedy Institute of Ethics Journal 201.
- Pousset, G. et al. "Attitudes and practices of physicians regarding physician-assisted dying in minors" (2010) Archives of Disease in Childhood 182139.
- Prokopetz, J.J. & L.S. Lehmann. "Redefining physicians' role in assisted dying" (2012) 367:2 New England Journal of Medicine 97.
- Quill, T.E. "Physicians should "assist in suicide" when it is appropriate" (2012) 40:1 The Journal of Law Medicine & Ethics 57.
- Raus, K.; S. Sterckx & F. Mortier. "Is continuous sedation at the end of life an ethically preferable alternative to physician-assisted suicide?" (2011) 11:6 The American Journal of Bioethics 32.
- Rietjens, J.A. et al. "Medical end-of-life decisions: does its use differ in vulnerable patient groups? A systematic review and meta-analysis" (2012) 74:8 Social Science & Medicine 1282.
- Robinson, J. "Baxter and the return of physician-assisted suicide" (2010) 40:6 Hastings Center Report 15.
- Robson, A. et al. "The risk of suicide in cancer patients: a review of the literature" (2010) 19:12 Psychooncology 1250.
- Rodin, G. et al. "The desire for hastened death in patients with metastatic cancer" (2007) 33:6 Journal of Pain and Symptom Management 661.
- Rosenfeld, B. et al. "The Schedule of Attitudes toward Hastened Death Measuring desire for death in terminally ill cancer patients" (2000) 88 Cancer 2868.
- Rosenfeld, B. "Assisted suicide, depression, and the right to die" (2000) 6 Psychology, Public Policy and Law 467.

- ——. "Methodological issues in assisted suicide and euthanasia research" (2000) 6 Psychol Public Policy Law 559.
- Rosenfeld, B. et al. "Desire for Hastened Death among Patients with Advanced AIDS" (2006) 47:6 Psychosomatics 504.
- Rubin, E. "Assisted suicide, morality, and law: Why prohibiting assisted suicide violates the Establishment Clause" (2010) 63 Vanderbilt Law Review 761.
- Rurup, M.L. et al. "The reporting of rate of euthanasia and physicians-assisted suicide. A study of the trends" (2008) 46 Medical Care 1198.
- Rurup, M.L. et al. "Requests for euthanasia or physician-assisted suicide from older persons who do not have a severe disease: An interview study" (2005) 35 Psychological Medicine 665.
- Safyan, A.R. "A call for international regulation of the thriving industry of death tourism." (2010) 33 Loyola of Los Angeles International and Comparative Law Review 287.
- Scoccia, D. "Physician-assisted suicide, disability, and paternalism" (2010) 36:3 Social Theory and Practice 479.
- Shariff, M.J. "A Perfection of Means, and Confusion of Aims: Finding the Essence of Autonomy in Assisted Death Laws" (2011) 31:4 Health Law in Canada 81.
- ——. "Immortal Beloved and Beleaguered: Towards the Integration of the Law on Assisted Death and the Scientific Pursuit of Life Extension" (2010) 31:1 Health Law in Canada.
- ——. "Navigating Assisted Death and End-of-Life Care" (2011) 183:6 Canadian Medical Association Journal 643.
- ———. "Slow down on assisted suicide" (2010) 30:4 The Lawyers Weekly.
- ——. "The dying need proper care before we settle assisted suicide" (2010) 30:13 The Lawyers Weekly.
- ——. "Assisted death and the slippery slope—finding clarity amid advocacy, convergence, and complexity" (2012) 19:3 Current Oncology 143.
- Silverman, M.M. "Rational suicide, hastened death, and self-destructive behaviors" (2000) 28 Journal of Counseling Psychology 540.
- Siu, W. "Communities of interpretation: euthanasia and assisted suicide debate" (2010) 20:2 Critical Public Health 169.
- Sjöstrand, M. et al. "Autonomy-based arguments against physician-assisted suicide and euthanasia: a critique" (2013) 16:2 Medicine, Health Care and Philosophy 225.
- Smith, K.A. et al. "Quality of death and dying in patients who request physician-assisted death" (2011) 14:4 Journal of Palliative Medicine 445.
- Smith, K.A. et al. "Predictors of pursuit of physician-assisted death." (2015) 49:3 Journal of Pain and Symptom Management 555.
- Spoletini, I. et al. "Suicide and Cancer: Where do we go from here?" (2011) 78:3 Critical Reviews in Oncology/Hematology 206.
- Starks, H. et al. "Family Member Involvement in Hastened Death" (2007) 31 Death Studies 105.
- Starks, H. et al. "Why Now? Timing and Circumstances of Hastened Deaths" (2005) 30:3 Journal of Pain and Symptom Management 215.
- Steck, N. et al. "Suicide assisted by right-to-die associations: a population based cohort study" (2014) 43:2 International Journal of Epidemiology 614.

- Stevens, K.R. "Emotional and Psychological Effects of Physician-Assisted Suicide and Euthanasia on Participating Physicians" (2006) 21:3 Issues in Law and Medicine 187.
- Su, A.M. "Physician assisted suicide: Debunking the myths surrounding the elderly, poor and disabled" (2013) 10 Hastings Race & Poverty Law Journal 145.
- Sumner, LW. *Assisted Death A Study in Ethics and Law*. 1st ed (Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press, 2011).
- Sykes, N. & A. Thorns. "Sedative use in the last week of life arid the implications for end-of life decision making" (2003) 163:3 Archives of Internal Medicine 341.
- Syme, R. A *Good Death An argument for voluntary euthanasia*, (Melbourne, Australia: Melbourne University Press, 2008).
- Ten Have, H. & J.V. Welie. "Palliative sedation versus euthanasia: an ethical assessment" (2014) 47:1 Journal of Pain and Symptom Management 123.
- Tieman, E. et al. "Relations between desire for early death, depressive symptoms and antidepressant prescribing in terminally ill patients with cancer" (2002) 95 Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine 386.
- Titterington, V.B.; P.M. Rivolta & S. Schraufnagel. "Right-to-die legislation: A note on factors associated with its adoption" (2013) 33:4 Sociological Spectrum 358.
- Tomlinson, E. & J. Stott. "Assisted dying in dementia: a systematic review of the international literature on the attitudes of health professionals, patients, carers and the public, and the factors associated with these" (2015) 30:1 International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry 10.
- Torjesen, I. "More people opt to use assisted dying laws for great variety of reasons." British Medical Journal 2015; 351.
- Tsou, J.Y. "Depression and suicide are natural kinds: Implications for physician-assisted suicide" (2013) 36:5 International Journal of Law and Psychiatry 461.
- Tucker, R.P. et al. "Does the experience of interpersonal predictors of suicidal desire predict positive attitudes toward physician assisted suicide?" (2014) 69:2 OMEGA-Journal of Death and Dying 137.
- Turk, T. "On the Moral and Social Implications of Legalized Euthanasia: An Argument for the Moral Permissibility of Euthanasia and an Evaluation of the Leading Moral and Social Objections to Legalized Euthanasia in Support of the Continuation of Death with Dignity Initiatives." (LLM Thesis, Lawrence University 2002) [unpublished].
- Unützer, J. et al. "Reducing suicidal ideation in depressed older primary care patients" (2006) 54 Journal of the American Geriatric Society 1550.
- Van Norman, G.A. "Physician aid-in-dying: cautionary words" (2014) 27:2 Current Opinion in Anesthesiology 177.
- Varelius, J. "Voluntary euthanasia, physician-assisted suicide, and the right to do wrong" (2013) 25(3) HEC forum 229.
- Vizcarrondo, F.E. "Neonatal euthanasia: The Groningen Protocol" (2014) 81:4 The Linacre Quarterly 388.
- Wagner, B. et al. "Social acknowledgement as a predictor of post-traumatic stress and complicated grief after witnessing assisted suicide" (2012) 58:4 International Journal of Social Psychiatry 381.
- Wasserfallen, J.B.; R. Chioléro & F. Stiefel. "Assisted Suicide in an Acute Care Hospital: 18 Months Experience" (2000) 138:15-16 Swiss Medical Weekly 239.

- Wilson, K. et al. "Desire for Euthanasia and Physician-Assisted Suicide in Palliative Cancer Care" (2007) 26:3 Health Psychology 314.
- Wittwer, H. "The problem of the possible rationality of suicide and the ethics of physician assisted suicide" (2013) 36:5 International Journal of Law and Psychiatry 419.
- Wolf, S.M. "Assessing physician compliance with the rules for euthanasia and assisted suicide" (2005) 165 Archives in Internal Medicine 1677.
- Ziegler S.J. & Bosshard G. "Role of non-governmental organizations in physician assisted suicide" (2007) 334 British Medical Journal.
- Foley, K. & H. Hendin. *The Case Against Assisted Suicide: For the Right to End-of-Life Care* (Baltimore, US: Johns Hopkins University Press, 2002).

INTERNATIONAL, REGIONAL OR GOVERNMENTAL DOCUMENTS AND REPORTS

Canada

- Government of Canada, The Human Face of Mental Health and Mental Illness in Canada (2006)
- Library of Parliament, *Background Paper Euthanasia and Assisted Suicide: International Experiences*, Publication No. 2011-67-E.
- Canada, Library of Parliament, *Background Paper Euthanasia and Assisted Suicide in Canada*, (Publication No. 2010-68-E).
- Parliament of Canada, Parliamentary Committee on Palliative and Compassionate Care, *Not to be Forgotten Re-Writing the Disability Chapter in Canada: A Functional, Forward-Looking Approach* (March 2015) (Co-Chairs: Joe Comartin, Harold Albrecht and Frank Valeriote).
- Parliament of Canada, Parliamentary Committee on Palliative and Compassionate Care, *Not to be Forgotten Care of Vulnerable Canadians* (November 2011) (Co-Chairs: Joe Comartin, Harold Albrecht and Frank Valeriote).
- Provincial-Territorial Expert Advisory Group on Physician-Assisted Dying, *Final Report* (November 2015).
- Quebec, Select Committee on Dying with Dignity, *Consultation Document* (Quebec, Committees Secretariat of the National Assembly of Québec, 2010)
- Quebec, Select Committee on Dying with Dignity, *Report* (Quebec, Bibliothèque et Archives nationales du Ouébec, 2012).
- Senate of Canada, Subcommittee of the Standing Senate Committee on Social Affairs, Science and Technology, *Quality End-of-Life Care: The Right of Every Canadian* (June 2000) (Chair: Hon. Sharon Carstairs).
- Senate of Canada, Special Senate Committee on Euthanasia and Assisted Suicide, *Of Life and Death Final Report* (June 1995).

Canadian consultations

- House of Commons and Senate, Special Committee on Physician-Assisted Dying, *Medical Assistance in Dying: A Patient Centred Approach* (February 2016) (Joint Chairs: Hon. Kelvin Kenneth Ogilvie and Robert Oliphant).
- External Panel on Options for a Legislative Response to Carter v. Canada, Consultations on Physician-Assisted Dying Summary of Results and Key Findings Final Report

(December 15 2015).

Canadian Medical Association, *Principles-based Recommendations for a Canadian Approach to Assisted Dying* (2016).

Canadian Medical Association, *End-of-Life Care: A National Dialogue – CMA Member Consultation Report* (July 2014).

Canadian Medical Association, End-of-Life Care: A National Dialogue (June 2014).

College of Family Physicians of Canada, A Guide for Reflection on Ethical Issues Concerning Assisted Suicide and Voluntary Euthanasia (September 2015).

LEGISLATION

Canada

Quebec, Bill 52, An Act Respecting End-of-Life Care, 1st session, 41st Leg., Quebec, 2014 (assented to 10 June 2014), LRQ c S-32.

International statutes

United States

US, RS 127.805, The Oregon Death with Dignity Act, Or, 1994 (enacted 27 October 1997).

US, RCW 70.245, *The Washington Death with Dignity Act*, Wash, 2008 (enacted 5 March 2009).

US, S-77, An Act relating to Patient Choice and Control at End of Life, 2013-2014 Reg Sess, Vt, 2013 (enacted 20 May 2013).

US, SB-128, End of Life Option Act, 2015-2016 Re Sess, Cal, 2015 (enacted October 2015).

Belgium

Belgium, Loi relative à l'euthanasie (enacted 28 May 2002).

Belgium, Loi modifiant la loi du 28 mai 2002 relative à l'euthanasie, en vue d'étendre l'euthanasie aux mineurs (enacted 28 February 2014).

Luxembourg

Luxembourg, *Loi du 16 mars 2009 sur l'euthanasie et l'assistance au suicide* (enacted 16 March 2009).

The Netherlands

The Netherlands, Termination of Life on Request and Assisted Suicide (Review Procedures) Act (enacted 1 April 2002).

Columbia

Republic of Columbia, Ministry of Health and Social Protection (20 April 2015), Resolution 1216 of 2015.

Latest international reports

The Netherlands, Regionale Toetsingscommissies Euthanasie, *Jaaverslag 2014*. (in Dutch).

- Belgium, Commission fédérale de contrôle et d'évaluation sur l'euthanasie, *Sixième rapport* aux Chambres Législatives, 2012-2013.
- Luxembourg, Commission Nationale de Contrôle et d'Évaluation de la loi du 16 mars 2009 sur l'euthanasie et l'assistance au suicide, *Deuxième rapport à l'attention de la Chambre des Députés*, 2011-2012.
- Oregon Public Health Division, *Oregon's Death with Dignity Act 2014*.
- Washington State Department of Health, Washington State Department of Health 2014 Death with Dignity Act Report.
- The Netherlands, NIVEL Netherlands Institute for Health Services Research, Palliative care for terminally ill patients in the Netherlands (The Hague, Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sport, 2003).

OTHER REPORTS (OPINION PIECES, LITERATURE REVIEWS, ISSUE PAPERS, ETC.)

- Canadian Hospice Palliative Care Association, *The Way Forward National Framework A Roadmap for an Integrated Palliative Approach to Care* (March 2015).
- KNMG. "The role of the physician in the voluntary termination of life" (2011).
- Lewis Penney & Isra Black. *The effectiveness of legal safeguards in jurisdictions that allow assisted dying*. Report commissioned by The Commission on Assisted Dying (London: Centre of Medical Law Ethics, Kings College, 2012).
- Royal Society of Canada Expert Panel, End of Life Decision-Making (November 2011).
- University of Toronto, Joint Centre for Bioethics, Task Force on Physician Assisted Death.

 After Carter v. Canada: Physician Assisted Death in Canada Report and

 Recommendations (December 2015).