Recherche

Research letter

Incidence of major depression in Canada

Scott B. Patten

everal Canadian studies have reported prevalence estimates for major depression. However, incidence estimates for this condition have not been available. Prevalence is a measure of disease status, whereas incidence quantifies new cases of disease, thus providing an index of risk. Reducing incidence is the objective of primary prevention. In addition, incidence estimates assist in identifying epidemiological patterns such as cohort effects, which may be important for epidemiological study of major depression. The objective of this analysis was to estimate the incidence of major depressive episodes in Canada.

The National Population Health Survey (NPHS) is a continuing longitudinal study conducted by Statistics Canada. As part of this study a nationally representative sample of the Canadian population was interviewed in 1994/95 and then re-interviewed in 1996/97,7 a follow-up period of 2 years. The NPHS incorporates a short-form adaptation8 of the Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI) major depression section. This brief predictive instrument includes items assessing 9 symptoms that constitute the symptom-based diagnostic criteria for major depression, as outlined in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-IV).9 The CIDI short form consists of a branched set of items to evaluate the presence and severity of depressive symptoms in the preceding year. The responses can be used to generate a predictive probability of a major depressive episode. In the analysis reported here, subjects regarded as having major depression were those reporting a total of 5 depressive symptoms, including depressed mood or loss of interest. The instrument's validation data suggest that 90% of subjects meeting these criteria would have major depression according to the full CIDI.8

Of the subjects in the 1994/95 data set, those who were less than 12 years of age and those who had major depression at the time of the initial interview were excluded from the current analysis. The remaining subjects were identified in the 1996/97 data set (by means of the 1996/97 longitudinal full-response data file) to estimate the proportions of subjects in various age groups with newly developed major depression. The standard errors for these estimates were calculated by means of a bootstrap method.⁷ All estimates were weighted to adjust for unequal selection probabilities and cluster sampling. The questions in the shortform CIDI all inquire about the occurrence of depressive

symptoms in the same 2-week period (for any given individual) during the preceding year. Therefore, the proportion of subjects with new-onset depression is not precisely an annual incidence proportion, in that onset in at least some cases may have occurred more than 12 months before the second interview. Nonetheless, the proportion of new-onset cases in the 1996/97 NPHS may be regarded as an approximation of this parameter.

The current analysis of incidence covered 11 859 subjects for whom a score for the major depression predictor was available from the 1996/97 interview. The age- and sex-specific incidence proportions from 1996/97 are presented in Table 1, along with the 12-month period prevalences from 1994/95.

The NPHS achieved a high rate of successful follow-up; however, if follow-up was less likely to be successful for depressed subjects, incidence may have been underestimated. Conversely, the CIDI short form may be nonspecific in people with mental disorders other than major depression, higher than the inability of the NPHS to exclude people with previous lifetime episodes and some different diagnostic definitions, could explain why the incidence reported here is much higher than the annual incidence of 3.7 per 1000 recently reported from the Stirling

Table 1: Age- and sex-specific estimates of major depression in the National Population Health Survey

Age, yr	12-mo period prevalence in 1994/95, %	Annual incidence proportion in 1996/97 (and 95% Cl),* %
Men		
12-24	5.2	2.9 (1.4-4.3)
25-44	3.5	3.3 (2.0-4.7)
45-64	3.5	1.8 (0.7–2.9)
≥ 65	_	1.8 (0.7–2.8)
Women		
12-24	9.6	7.1 (5.1–9.1)
25-44	8.6	4.5 (3.4–5.7)
45-64	6.3	4.1 (2.5–5.7)
≥ 65	3.1†	1.3 (0.6–2.1)

Note: CI = confidence interval.

*Coefficients of variation: 12-31

†Subject to high sampling variability.

County study in Nova Scotia.¹² Despite these qualifications, the estimates presented here confirm that the pattern of incidence for major depression reflects that of prevalence: the rates are higher in younger age groups and, within age strata, generally higher in women than in men. An analysis of additional variables in the NPHS that are predictive of major depression was recently reported by Beaudet.¹³ This analysis identified associations bewteen incident major depression and age, sex, smoking status, social support, chronic conditions and previous depressive episodes. Primary and secondary prevention strategies targeting young people, particularly women, are urgently needed.

This article has been peer reviewed.

Dr. Patten is Associate Professor, Departments of Community Health Sciences and Psychiatry, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alta. He is also a Population Health Investigator for the Alberta Heritage Foundation for Medical Research.

Competing interests: None declared.

References

- 1. Beaudet MP. Depression. Health Rep 1996;7(4):11-24.
- Bland RC, Newman SC, Orn H. Period prevalence of psychiatric disorders in Edmonton. Acta Psychiatr Scand Suppl 1988;338:33-42.

- Offord DR, Boyle MH, Campbell D, Goering P, Lin E, Wong M, et al. One year prevalence of psychiatric disorder in Ontarians 15 to 64 years of age. Can J Psychiatry 1996;41:559-63.
- Rothman KJ, Greenland S. Measures of disease frequency. In: Rothman KJ, Greenland S, editors. *Modern epidemiology*. Philadelphia: Lippincott-Raven; 1998. p. 29-64.
- Last JM. A dictionary of epidemiology. 3rd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1995.
- Kessler RC, Walters EE. Epidemiology of DSM-III-R major depression and minor depression among adolescents and young adults in the National Comorbidity Survey. Depress Anxiety 1998;7:3-14.
- National population health survey public use microdata files household component. Ottawa: Statistics Canada; 1998. Cat no. 82M0009XCB.
- Kessler RC, Andrews G, Mroczek D, Ustun B, Wittchen HU. The World Health Organization Composite International Diagnostic Interview Short-Form (CIDI-SF). Int 7 Methods Psychiatr Res 1998;7:171-85.
- American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders. 4th ed. Washington: The Association; 1994.
- Patten SB. Performance of the CIDI short form in clinical and community samples. Chron Dis Can 1997;18:109-12.
- Patten SB, Brandon-Christie J, Devji J, Sedmak B. Performance of the Composite International Diagnostic Interview short form of major depression in a community smaple. *Chron Dis Can* 2000;21:68-72.
- Murphy JM, Laird NM, Monson RR, Sobol AM, Leighton AH. Incidence of depression in the Stirling County study: historical and comparative perspectives. *Psychol Med* 2000;30:505-14.
- 13. Beaudet MP. Psychological health depression. Health Rep 1999;11:63-75.

Reprint requests to: Dr. Scott B. Patten, University of Calgary, 3330 Hospital Dr. NW, Calgary AB T2N 4N1; fax 403 270-7307; patten@ucalgary.ca

