

EDITORIAL • RÉDACTION

Editor • Rédacteur

John Hoey (hoeyj@cma.ca)

Deputy Editor • Rédactrice adjointe

Anne Marie Todkill (todkia@cma.ca)

Associate Editors • Rédacteurs associés

Tom Elmslie; Ken Flegel;

K.S. Joseph; Anita Palepu;

Peter Singer; Erica Weir;

James Hanley (Biostatistics • Biostatistique)

Editorial Fellow • Boursière en rédaction médicale

Alison Sinclair (sincla@cma.ca)

Managing Editor • Rédactrice administrative

Jennifer Douglas (dougjl@cma.ca)

News and Features Editor

Rédacteur, informations générales

Patrick Sullivan (sullip@cma.ca)

Editors • Rédacteurs

Patricia Lightfoot (lightp@cma.ca)

Glenda Proctor (proctg@cma.ca)

Jennifer Raiche (raichj@cma.ca)

Kate Schissler (schisk@cma.ca)

Barbara Sibbald (sibbab@cma.ca)

Steven Wharry (wharrs@cma.ca)

Editorial Administrator • Administratrice de rédaction

Carole Corkery (corkec@cma.ca)

Editorial Assistants • Assistantes à la rédaction

Erin Archibald (archie@cma.ca)

Allison Burnie (burnia@cma.ca)

Wilma Fatica (faticw@cma.ca)

Joyce Quintal (quintj@cma.ca)

Translation Coordinator

Coordonnatrice de la traduction

Marie Saumure

Contributing Editors • Rédactrices invitées

Gloria Baker; C.J. Brown; Charlotte Gray;

Peggy Robinson

Editorial Board • Conseil de rédaction

Paul W. Armstrong (Edmonton)

Neil R. Cashman (Toronto)

Deborah J. Cook (Hamilton)

Raisa B. Deber (Toronto)

David H. Feeny (Edmonton)

Judith G. Hall (Vancouver)

Carol P. Herbert (London)

Neill Iscoe (Toronto)

Alejandro R. Jadad (Toronto)

Jerome P. Kassirer (Boston)

Finlay A. McAlister (Edmonton)

Allison J. McGeer (Toronto)

Harriet L. MacMillan (Hamilton)

Olli S. Miettinen (Montréal)

David Moher (Ottawa)

Susan Phillips (Kingston)

Donald A. Redelmeier (Toronto)

Martin T. Schechter (Vancouver)

Richard Smith (*British Medical Journal*,
London, England)

Sander J.O.V. Van Zanten (Halifax)

All editorial matter in *CMAJ* represents the opinions of the authors and not necessarily those of the Canadian Medical Association (CMA). The CMA assumes no responsibility or liability for damages arising from any error or omission or from the use of any information or advice contained in *CMAJ* including editorials, studies, reports, letters and advertisements.

Tous les articles à caractère éditorial dans le *JAMC* représentent les opinions de leurs auteurs et n'engagent pas l'Association médicale canadienne (AMC). L'AMC décline toute responsabilité civile ou autre quant à toute erreur ou omission ou à l'usage de tout conseil ou information figurant dans le *JAMC* et les éditoriaux, études, rapports, lettres et publicités y paraissant.

Editorial

Return to January 9, 2001

Table of Contents

Another modest proposal

You can't help admiring a government that not only recognizes the generosity of the electorate but has the prudence to exploit it. In Ontario, the political satisfaction of the people has been a bargain at \$200 per taxpaying head, and no one has complained that this sum is barely sufficient to defray the expense and inconvenience of gathering evidence against fellow citizens for the Welfare Fraud Hotline (1 800 394-7867, if you have information). True, this \$1 billion in tax rebates might have been squandered on hospitals or schools, but sorting out requirements for bed spaces and "bums in seats" (the latest school-planning parlance) is such a tedious affair that we might as well concentrate on issues everyone can agree about. Such as the pressing need to stamp out the poor. To stamp out *poverty*, we mean.

People are dropping off the Ontario welfare rolls like flies, at a rate of 12 per hour.¹ Whether this reflects the success of job creation programs, a knock-on effect of the US economy, or the increasing heartlessness of the system is, as moral doubts go, trifling. The justice of any reform may be measured by its efficacy: the drop in welfare payments is truly staggering,² and we need not be fooled by rising rates of homelessness or the affordability crisis in tenant housing.³ Fortunately, the government is sensitive to the embarrassment of 450 000 people in the province still clinging to their welfare cheques and has proposed mandatory drug testing and treatment as a means of weakening their grip. Only the morally feeble will be distracted by arguments that at least 70% of people with a drug dependence are in fact not on welfare, but employed, that drug testing is a spotty way to get at addiction problems, or that mandatory treatment will founder on the clinical fact of relapse rates.⁴ Only

those with a gift for illogic would question the extension of the drug testing program to people on disability assistance whose only disability is drug addiction. No matter what the Human Rights Commissioner says, we cannot deprive anyone of the chance to be, as the Minister of Community and Social Services puts it, saved.

In a progressive society it is not enough to promote health and prosperity. These values must be enforced. Diagnosis and treatment are serious matters that should not be left to the medical profession, with its qualms about patient autonomy, privacy, dignity and consent. The poor must accept that they are in no position to quibble about rights.

But why has no one realized that a solution first proposed in 1729 would further relieve the welfare burden in Ontario? We must persuade the poor to redress their debt to society by selling their children as food. We anticipate some queasiness among the faint of heart, but surely no other expedient will be as effective, least of all that "Of being a little cautious not to sell our ... consciences for nothing."⁵ — *CMAJ*

References

1. In the news [2000 Nov 12]. Ontario Ministry of Community and Social Services. Available: www.gov.on.ca/CSS/ (accessed 2000 Nov 27).
2. Making welfare work: report to taxpayers on welfare reform. Ontario Ministry of Community and Social Services. Available: www.gov.on.ca/CSS/page/brochure/makingwelfarework.html
3. Dunphy N. Where's home? A picture of housing needs in Ontario. Toronto: Ontario Non-Profit Housing Association; 1999. Available: www.housingagain.web.net/whome/index.html (accessed 2000 Nov 27).
4. Position statement on mandatory drug testing and treatment of welfare recipients. Toronto: Centre for Addiction and Mental Health; 2000. Available: www.camh.net/position_papers/mandatory_drug_tests2000.html (accessed 2000 Dec 11).
5. Swift J. A modest proposal for preventing the children of poor people in Ireland from being a burden to their parents or country, and for making them beneficial to the public. Dublin; 1729.