

Return to July 24, 2001 Table of Contents

CMAJ·JAMC

EDITORIAL • RÉDACTION

Editor • Rédacteur John Hoey (john.hoey@cma.ca)

Deputy Editor • Rédactrice adjointe Anne Marie Todkill (annemarie.todkill@cma.ca)

Associate Editors • Rédacteurs associés

Tom Elmslie; Ken Flegel; K.S. Joseph; Anita Palepu; Peter Singer; Erica Weir; James Hanley (Biostatistics • Biostatistique)

Editorial Fellow • Boursière en rédaction médicale Eric Wooltorton (eric.wooltorton@cma.ca)

Managing Editor • Rédactrice administrative Jennifer Douglas (jennifer.douglas@cma.ca)

News Editor

Rédacteur, informations générales Patrick Sullivan (patrick.sullivan@cma.ca)

Editors • Rédacteurs

Patricia Lightfoot (patricia.lightfoot@cma.ca) Glenda Proctor (glenda.proctor@cma.ca) Jennifer Raiche (jennifer.raiche@cma.ca) Kate Schissler (kate.schissler@cma.ca) Barbara Sibbald (barbara.sibbald@cma.ca) Steven Wharry (steve.wharry@cma.ca)

Editorial Administrator • Administratrice de rédaction Carole Corkery (carole.corkery@cma.ca)

Editorial Assistants • Assistantes à la rédaction

Erin Archibald (erin.archibald@cma.ca) Wilma Fatica (wilma.fatica@cma.ca) Melanie Mooy (melanie.mooy@cma.ca) Joyce Quintal (joyce.quintal@cma.ca)

Translation Coordinator Coordonnatrice de la traduction Marie Saumure

Contributing Editors • Rédactrices invitées Gloria Baker; Charlotte Gray; Peggy Robinson

Editorial Board • Conseil de rédaction

Paul W. Armstrong (Edmonton) Neil R. Cashman (Toronto) Deborah J. Cook (Hamilton) Raisa B. Deber (Toronto) William Ghali (Calgary) Frank R. de Gruijl (Utrecht, the Netherlands) David H. Feeny (Edmonton) Judith G. Hall (Vancouver) Carol P. Herbert (London) Neill Iscoe (Toronto) Alejandro R. Jadad (Toronto) Jerome P. Kassirer (Boston) Finlay A. McAlister (Edmonton) Allison J. McGeer (Toronto) Harriet L. MacMillan (Hamilton) Olli S. Miettinen (Montréal) David Moher (Ottawa) Susan Phillips (Kingston) André Picard (Montréal) Donald A. Redelmeier (Toronto) Martin T. Schechter (Vancouver) Richard Smith (British Medical Journal, London, England) Sander J.O. Veldhuyzen van Zanten (Halifax)

All editorial matter in CMAJ represents the opinions of the authors and not necessarily those of the Canadian Medical Association (CMA). The CMA assumes no responsibility or liability for damages arising from any error or omission or from the use of any information or advice contained in CMAJ including editorials, studies, reports, letters and advertisements.

Salim Yusuf (Hamilton)

Tous les articles à caractère éditorial dans le JAMC représentent les opinions de leurs auteurs et n'engagent pas l'Association médicale canadienne (AMC). L'AMC décline toute responsabilité civile ou autre quant à toute erreur ou omission ou à l'usage de tout conseil ou information figurant dans le JAMC et les éditoriaux, études, rapports, lettres et publicités y paraissant.

Time to weed the CPG garden

In One Hundred Years of Solitude¹ ■ Gabriel García Márquez describes a plague of insomnia and gradual memory loss among the inhabitants of a town known as Macondo. To get around the problem that, eventually, no one will be able to remember anything, the residents mark everything with its name — table, chair, clock, cow — along with instructions for use. But the vigilance required to maintain the system is beyond the moral strength of the inhabitants, so they succumb to "a spell of imaginary reality" in which facts are conjured vaguely by the reading of cards. One of the town leaders builds a memory machine, but even that fails.

Clinical practice guidelines (CPGs) are a memory machine of facts and instructions. But, as with Márquez's memory machine, they are more gratifying in their promise than in their outcome. Guidelines, alas, have failed to encourage proper use of diagnostic tests and therapeutic manoeuvres.²

This is so for lots of reasons. To begin with, there are too many CPGs, and many are of dubious quality. The CMA, an enthusiastic champion of guidelines, maintains the most comprehensive database, providing an online gateway to 1755 CPGs (or sections thereof) at www.cma.ca/cpgs/index.asp through the CMA Infobase. One would think that such a resource would provide busy practitioners with a painless route to the clinical information they need. Yet, as Ian Graham and colleagues report (see page 157), there are serious problems of quality. Of 217 drug therapy guidelines developed or endorsed by Canadian organizations in the period 1994-98, only 15% met half or more of the authors' criteria for rigour in the developmental process, and only 7% were rated by independent reviewers as sound without modification. Also in this issue, Walter Rosser and colleagues describe their efforts in Ontario to appraise CPGs and to make high-quality guidelines more userfriendly (see page 181).4

As Steven Lewis suggests (see page 180),⁵ perhaps we don't need more guidelines: we need fewer, and better, ones. The current method of CPG development depends on the missionary enthusiasm of an increasing number of subspecialists, the we-want-our-own-guideline provincial health ministries, and the not-to-be-left-out research and advocacy foundations — not to mention the commercial zeal of drug manufacturers. The result is too many CPGs on the same topics that vary in quality, make conflicting recommendations and fail to inspire trust.

The CMA Infobase ought to be a garden, not a warehouse. It needs regular and judicious weeding and clear labelling with regard to the grade of the produce. Perhaps more than anything, it needs the introduction of beneficial species: for each subject, a single national guideline, developed by impartial experts, that meets current quality criteria, has practical means of implementation and is supported by incentives for correct use. This will make for hardy, wilt-resistant plants, but they will still need regular maintenance. As demonstrated recently by Pérez-Cuevas and colleagues6 and emphasized by Davis,7 we need dynamic, "living" guidelines that grow along with science and experience. — CMA7

References

- Márquez GG. One hundred years of solitude [Translated by Rabassa G]. New York: Harper and Row; 1970.
- Lomas J, Anderson GM, Domnick-Pierre K, Vayda E, Enkin MW, Hannah WJ. Do practice guidelines guide practice? The effect of a consensus statement on the practice of physicians. N Engl J Med 1989;321:1306-11.
- Graham ID, Beardall S, Carter AO, Glennie J, Hébert PC, Tetroe JM, et al. What is the quality of drug therapy clinical practice guidelines in Canada? CMA7 2001;165(2):157-63.
- Rosser WW, Davis D, Gilbart E, on behalf of the Guideline Advisory Committee. Promoting effective guideline use in Ontario [editorial]. CMAJ 2001;165(2):181-2.
- Lewis SJ. Further disquiet on the guidelines front [editorial]. CMAJ 2001;165(2):180-1.
- Pérez-Cuevas Ř, Reyes H, Guiscafré H, Juárez-Díaz N, Oviedo M, Flores S, et al. The primary care clinic as a setting for continuing medical education: program description. CMAJ 2000;163 (10):1295-9.
- Davis D. Clinical practice guidelines and the translation of knowledge: the science of continuing medical education [editorial]. CMAJ 2000;163(10):1278-9.