

Smoking v. nicotine

The distinction between smoking, which is a habit, and nicotine addiction often becomes blurred in the article by Schultz and colleagues¹ and in the responses to it. That health professionals avoid this trap of convenience is important. Viewing smoking as addictive, which most of the population superficially does, plays directly into the hands of smokers' rights advocates and their claims that smoking bans are discriminatory. Remembering that smoking is a habit and that nicotine is addictive reminds us that there are many other forms in which nicotine can be delivered — even if less gratifying — without exposing others. Smokers can choose where and how to get their hit of nicotine — the rest of us can't choose where to breathe.

Stuart H. Kreisman MD

Endocrinologist, St. Paul's Hospital, Vancouver, BC

Reference

- Schultz ASH, Finegan B, Nykiforuk CIJ, et al. A qualitative investigation of smoke-free policies on hospital property. *CMAJ* 2011;183:E1334-44.

CMAJ 2012. DOI:10.1503/cmaj.112-2010

Care for smokers

In an excellent article about two Canadian hospitals that are failing to provide effective and compassionate care for patients who smoke, Schultz and colleagues¹ note that many patients do not have access to nicotine replacement therapy and must risk leaving the hospital grounds to smoke.

"No smoking" policies are effective in places that smokers can choose to avoid (e.g., restaurants) or to visit only for a short time (e.g., banks), but such policies are inappropriate for hospitals, where smokers may be confined for days. Simplistic smoking bans seem ineffective and punitive. Many smokers are addicted to tobacco and cannot quit without assistance.

Hospitals need safe, well-ventilated, designated smoking areas for inpatients. These areas should include centres that offer treatment for tobacco

addiction and provide helpful resources that could include the following:

- Televisions (with multilingual audio tracks and subtitles) looping information and inspirational messages from smokers who have quit
- Posters, booklets and pamphlets about smoking cessation
- Telephone hotlines to the Smokers' Helpline and to a hospital resource person, perhaps a pharmacist, who could ensure 24/7 access to nicotine replacement and could arrange an appointment with a smoking cessation counsellor
- Dispensing machines for nicotine replacement products
- Computers with access to smoking cessation resources

If such changes were to be implemented, we could consider ourselves health care workers who are treating patients for addiction. Until then, we are acting as sadistic jailers of innocent victims of the tobacco industry.

John Oyston MBBS

Anesthesiologist, The Scarborough Hospital, and Founder of Stop Smoking for Safer Surgery, Toronto, Ont.

Reference

- Schultz ASH, Finegan B, Nykiforuk CIJ, et al. A qualitative investigation of smoke-free policies on hospital property. *CMAJ* 2011;183:E1334-44.

CMAJ 2012. DOI:10.1503/cmaj.112-2011

Sudden cardiac arrest

We appreciate Danyaal Raza's letter,¹ which appeared in the Nov. 22 issue of *CMAJ*, regarding our paper "Socioeconomic status and incidence of sudden cardiac arrest."² We also appreciate her suggestion that addressing low socioeconomic status itself may be instrumental in reducing mortality from sudden cardiac arrest.

Our data showed that for seven combined US and Canadian sites, the incidence of sudden cardiac arrest in the lowest socioeconomic quartile was nearly double that in the highest quartile (as measured by census tract median household income). However, we were unable to determine from our

data whether low socioeconomic status itself could cause these observed higher rates of sudden cardiac arrest, or if low socioeconomic status is a marker for other factors that increase this risk.

Because we were unable to explore how low neighbourhood socioeconomic status is associated with higher rates of sudden cardiac arrest, we focused our discussion on two interventions that can be implemented immediately and are proven to increase survival from out-of-hospital sudden cardiac arrest.

We agree that addressing low socioeconomic status should be a public policy goal. As scientists, we can work toward providing better data regarding opportunities to improve health outcomes via reduction of poverty and income inequality, improved access to education, targeted education regarding disease risk factors, and improvements in promoting health in impoverished neighbourhoods.

Sumeet S. Chugh MD

Kyndaron Reinier PhD

Cedars-Sinai heart Institute, Los Angeles, Calif.

References

- Raza D. Addressing the root cause [letter]. *CMAJ* 2011;183:2019.
- Reinier K, Thomas E, Andrusiek DL, et al.; the Resuscitation Outcomes Consortium Investigators. Socioeconomic status and incidence of sudden cardiac arrest. *CMAJ* 2011;183:1705-1712

CMAJ 2012. DOI:10.1503/cmaj.112-2012

Home-based care

I appreciate the *CMAJ* news article by Erin Walkinshaw regarding the increased need for house calls by physicians.¹ As a pediatrician who participates in home-based palliative care, I would add that the need extends far beyond that of doctors making house calls. Entire health systems of community-based professionals need to emerge in order to stem the flow of patients — who might be better served at home — to acute-care facilities. Acute care is the default for families when community care is inadequately resourced.

I am lucky to live in the only Canadian city (of which I am aware) that has