

FIVE THINGS TO KNOW ABOUT ...

Treating *Clostridium difficile* infection

Daniel M. Shafran MD, Stephen D. Shafran MD

First-line treatment for mild or moderate *Clostridium difficile* infection is metronidazole; vancomycin is first-line treatment for severe infection

A randomized controlled trial (RCT) showed that metronidazole was as effective as vancomycin taken orally for the treatment of mild *Clostridium difficile* infection. However, in cases of severe infection, 97% of patients given vancomycin had clinical resolution compared with only 76% of those given metronidazole.¹ The Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America and the Infectious Diseases Society of America recommend metronidazole as first-line therapy for mild or moderate *C. difficile* infection and vancomycin for severe infection (Box 1).² Although criteria for severe infection differ between guideline and trial, both include a leukocyte count greater than 15×10^9 cells/L.

Box 1: Classification of the severity of *Clostridium difficile* infection^{1,2}

Severity	Guidelines ¹	Randomized controlled trial ²
Mild–moderate	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • $< 15 \times 10^9$ leukocytes/L and • Serum creatinine < 1.5 times premorbid level 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Infections that do not satisfy the criteria for severe infection
Severe	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • $\geq 15 \times 10^9$ leukocytes /L or • Serum creatinine ≥ 1.5 times premorbid level 	Two of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Age > 60 years • Temperature $> 38.3^\circ\text{C}$ • Albumin < 25 g/L • $> 15 \times 10^9$ leukocytes/L or one of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Colonoscopic evidence of pseudo-membranous colitis • Treatment in intensive care unit

Fidaxomicin is as effective as vancomycin for treatment of *C. difficile* infection and results in fewer relapses

In a phase 3 controlled clinical trial, fidaxomicin was noninferior to vancomycin in achieving clinical resolution of *C. difficile* infection.³ Of note, 13.3% of patients given fidaxomicin had recurrent infection within four weeks, compared with 24.0% of patients given vancomycin.³

Duodenal infusion of donor feces is more effective than vancomycin for recurrent infection

In an RCT comparing treatment of recurrent *C. difficile* infection with vancomycin or duodenal infusion of donor feces, 81% of patients in the fecal infusion group had resolution of the infection, compared with 31% of patients given vancomycin alone.⁴

Probiotics have no role in the treatment of *C. difficile* infection, and their role in prophylaxis is unclear

The latest guidelines do not recommend the use of probiotics for prophylaxis or treatment of *C. difficile* infection.² A systematic review and meta-analysis of 20 RCTs found moderate-quality evidence suggesting a 34% risk reduction of *C. difficile* infection with the use of probiotics for prevention, with no increase in adverse events; this finding was limited by the variability of probiotic regimens and missing data in 13 studies.⁵ However, a recent high-quality RCT found no evidence that a multistrain probiotic preparation prevented infection.⁶

For references, please see Appendix 1, available at www.cmaj.ca/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1503/cmaj.131315/-/DC1

Phase 3 clinical trials of *C. difficile* vaccines are underway

The *Cdiffense* study is a phase 3 RCT that will evaluate the efficacy of inactivated *C. difficile* toxoids A and B in preventing *C. difficile* infection. Phase 1 trials showed development of an immune response in humans.⁷ Phase 2 results are forthcoming.

Competing interests: None declared.

This article has been peer reviewed.

Affiliations: Department of Medicine (D. Shafran), University of Toronto, Toronto, Ont., and the Division of Infectious Diseases (S. Shafran), University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alta.

Correspondence to: Stephen Shafran, sshafran@ualberta.ca

CMAJ 2014. DOI:10.1503/cmaj.131315