Theory and Applications of Categories, Vol. 5, No. 4, pp. 81–90.

A USEFUL CATEGORY FOR MIXED ABELIAN GROUPS.

GRIGORE CĂLUGĂREANU
Transmitted by F. William Lawvere

ABSTRACT. All the useful categories in the study of the mixed abelian groups (e.g. **Warf** and **Walk)** ignore the torsion. We introduce a new category denoted $\mathcal{A}$ which ignores the torsion-freeness and could characterize some classes of nonsplitting mixed groups with the aid of **Walk.**

1. Introduction

The categories **Warf** , first introduced as $\mathcal{H}$ in [7] and **Walk,** first introduced as $\mathcal{C}$ in [2] have useful applications in the theory of the mixed abelian groups. In what follows we introduce the category $\mathcal{A}$ whose objects are all the abelian groups (i.e. $Ob(\mathcal{A}) = Ob(\mathbf{Ab})$) and whose morphisms, are $\mathcal{A}(G,H) = \mathbf{Ab}(G,H)/J(G,H)$ where

$$J(G,H) = \{f : G \to H | T(G) \leq ker(f)\},$$

for $G, H \in Ob(\mathcal{A})$, study its categorical properties and establish connections with the above mentioned category **Walk**. Finally, some results that justify the utility of this category are given.

Needless to say, all the groups considered will be abelian.

2. The categorical structure

For two groups G and H, we consider on the abelian group $\mathbf{Ab}(G,H)$ the binary relation $\rho_{G,H}$ defined by $(f,g) \in \rho_{G,H} \Leftrightarrow T(G) \subseteq ker(f-g)$ where $G \underset{g}{\overset{f}{\rightrightarrows}} H$.

2.1. LEMMA. *For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{Ab}(G,H)$ the inclusion $ker\alpha \cap ker\beta \subseteq ker(\alpha+\beta)$ holds.* ∎

2.2. PROPOSITION. *The relation $\rho_{G,H}$ is a congruence relation.*

PROOF. Indeed, using 2.1 two times, the relation $\rho_{G,H}$ is :

- *reflexive* $T(G) \subseteq G = ker(0) = ker(f-f) \Rightarrow (f,f) \in \rho_{G,H}, \forall f \in \mathbf{Ab}(G,H)$
- *symmetric* $(f,g) \in \rho_{G,H} \Rightarrow T(G) \subseteq ker(f-g) = ker(g-f) \Rightarrow (g,f) \in \rho_{G,H}$

Received by the editors 1998 April 21 and, in revised form, 1999 January 27.
Published on 1999 March 9.
1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20K21, 18E05.
Key words and phrases: additive category, quotient category, splitting mixed Abelian groups.

- *transitive* $(f,g),(g,h) \in \rho_{G,H} \Rightarrow T(G) \subseteq ker(f-g), ker(g-h) \Rightarrow T(G) \subseteq ker(f-g) \cap ker(g-h) \subseteq ker((f-g)+(g-h)) = ker(f-h) \Rightarrow (f,h) \in \rho_{G,H}$.

Moreover, if $(f,g),(f_1,g_1) \in \rho_{G,H}$ then $T(G) \subseteq ker(f-g) \cap ker(f_1-g_1) \Rightarrow T(G) \subseteq ker((f-g)+(f_1-g_1)) = ker((f+f_1)-(g+g_1)) \Rightarrow ((f+f_1),(g+g_1)) \in \rho_{G,H}$. ∎

There is a well-known order isomorphism between congruences and subgroups: $J(G,H) = \rho_{G,H}\langle 0\rangle = \{f \in \mathbf{Ab}(G,H) | T(G) \subseteq ker(f)\}$ is the corresponding subgroup.

Elementary: $T(G) \subseteq ker(f) \cap ker(g) \subseteq ker(f \pm g)$, so that

2.3. Remark. For every $f,g \in J(G,H)$ also $f \pm g \in J(G,H)$ holds.

Clearly, if T is a torsion group, $J(T,H) = \{0\}$ for every group H and so $\mathcal{A}(T,H) = \mathbf{Ab}(T,H)$.

2.4. Lemma. *(a)* $ker\alpha \subseteq ker(\beta \circ \alpha)$; *(b) For* $G \xrightarrow{\alpha} H \xrightarrow{\beta} K$, $T(H) \subseteq ker\beta \Rightarrow T(G) \subseteq ker(\beta \circ \alpha)$.

Proof. (b) Indeed, $x \in T(G) \Rightarrow \alpha(x) \in T(H) \subseteq ker\beta \Rightarrow x \in ker(\beta \circ \alpha)$. ∎

2.5. Proposition. *The relations* $\{\rho_{G,H} | G,H \in Ob(\mathbf{Ab})\}$ *are compatible with composition.*

Proof. Indeed, using Lemma 2.4 (a) and (b), one has: $(f,g) \in \rho_{G,H}, (f,' g') \in \rho_{H,K} \Rightarrow ker(f \circ f' - g \circ g') = ker((f' \circ (f-g) + (f'-g') \circ g) \supseteq$

$ker(f' \circ (f-g)) \cap ker((f'-g') \circ g) \overset{2.4}{\supseteq} ker(f-g) \cap T(G) \supseteq T(G) \Rightarrow$
$(f' \circ f, g' \circ g) \in \rho_{G,K}$. ∎

Hence, we define the category $\mathcal{A}$, as a quotient category of $\mathbf{Ab}$ whose objects are all the abelian groups (i.e. $Ob(\mathcal{A}) = Ob(\mathbf{Ab})$) and whose morphisms, for each two groups G,H are given by $\mathcal{A}(G,H) = \mathbf{Ab}(G,H)/J(G,H)$ (or $\mathbf{Ab}(G,H)/\rho_{G,H}$). We shall denote the classes $\overline{f} = f + J(G,H)$ in $\mathcal{A}(G,H)$. The composition in $\mathcal{A}$ is well-defined according to the above Proposition and $1_G + J(G,G)$ is the identity morphism. Associativity and bilinearity are easily verified (using 2.2) so that

2.6. Theorem. $\mathcal{A}$ *is an additive category.* ∎

For the following elementary results we use the notation: if $f : G \to H$ then $f|_{T(G)} : T(G) \to H$ and $\widetilde{f|_{T(G)}} : T(G) \to T(H)$ (because $im(f|_{T(G)}) \subseteq T(H)$).

2.7. Proposition. *(a)* $f + J(G,G)$ *is the identity in* $\mathcal{A}(G,G)$ *iff* $f|_{T(G)} : T(G) \to G$ *is the inclusion (i.e.* f *fixes the finite order elements);*

(b) if $f|_{T(G)}$ *or* $\widetilde{f|_{T(G)}}$ *is a monomorphism in* $\mathbf{Ab}$ *then* $f + J(G,H)$ *is a monomorphism in* $\mathcal{A}(G,H)$*;*

(c) if $\widetilde{f|_{T(G)}}$ *is an epimorphism in* $\mathbf{Ab}$ *then* $f + J(G,H)$ *is an epimorphism in* $\mathcal{A}(G,H)$*. If* H *splits over* $T(H)$*, the converse also holds.*

Proof. Clearly, **the equality in $\mathcal{A}$** is characterized as follows: $\overline{f} = f + J(G,H) = \overline{g} = g + J(G,H) \Leftrightarrow f - g \in J(G,H) \Leftrightarrow T(G) \leq ker(f-g) \Leftrightarrow$
$(f-g)(T(G)) = 0 \Leftrightarrow f|_{T(G)} = g|_{T(G)}$.

Hence, for (a) it suffices to observe that $1_G|_{T(G)} : T(G) \to G$ is the inclusion.

(b) For $L \underset{\beta}{\overset{\alpha}{\rightrightarrows}} G \xrightarrow{f} H$ and $f|_{T(G)}$ monic in **Ab** suppose $\overline{f} \circ \overline{\alpha} = \overline{f} \circ \overline{\beta}$. Then $\overline{f \circ \alpha} = \overline{f \circ \beta}$ and $f \circ \alpha|_{T(L)} = f \circ \beta|_{T(L)}$. Using $\widetilde{\alpha|_{T(L)}} : T(L) \to T(G)$ (indeed, $im(\alpha|_{T(L)}) \subseteq T(G)$) and $f \circ \alpha|_{T(L)} = f|_{T(G)} \circ \widetilde{\alpha|_{T(L)}}$ we derive $\widetilde{\alpha|_{T(L)}} = \widetilde{\beta|_{T(L)}}$ or $\alpha|_{T(L)} = \beta|_{T(L)}$. Hence $\overline{\alpha} = \overline{\beta}$.

(c) For $G \xrightarrow{f} H \underset{\beta}{\overset{\alpha}{\rightrightarrows}} L$ and $\widetilde{f|_{T(G)}}$ epic in **Ab** suppose $\overline{\alpha} \circ \overline{f} = \overline{\beta} \circ \overline{f}$. Then $\overline{\alpha \circ f} = \overline{\beta \circ f}$ and $\alpha \circ f|_{T(G)} = \beta \circ f|_{T(G)}$. As above $\alpha \circ f|_{T(G)} = \alpha|_{T(H)} \circ \widetilde{f|_{T(G)}}$ so that $\alpha|_{T(H)} = \beta|_{T(H)}$ and $\overline{\alpha} = \overline{\beta}$.

If $T(H)$ is a direct summand of H, all homomorphisms $\sigma, \tau : T(H) \to L$ extend to morphisms $\sigma_1, \tau_1 : H \to L$. Now, set $T(G) \xrightarrow{\widetilde{f|_{T(G)}}} T(H) \underset{\tau}{\overset{\sigma}{\rightrightarrows}} L$ such that $\sigma \circ \widetilde{f|_{T(G)}} = \tau \circ \widetilde{f|_{T(G)}}$. As before, using any extensions σ_1, τ_1 we derive $\sigma_1 \circ f|_{T(G)} = \sigma_1|_{T(H)} \circ \widetilde{f|_{T(G)}} = \sigma \circ \widetilde{f|_{T(G)}} = \tau_1 \circ f|_{T(G)}$ or $\overline{\sigma_1 \circ f} = \overline{\tau_1 \circ f}$. Hence $\overline{\sigma_1} = \overline{\tau_1}$ or $\sigma_1|_{T(H)} = \tau_1|_{T(H)}$ and $\sigma = \tau$. ■

2.8. Remark. The groups G such that for every group H, each homomorphism $\sigma : T(G) \to H$ extends to a homomorphism $\sigma_1 : G \to H$ are exactly the splitting ones.

Indeed, for $H = T(G)$ and $\sigma = 1_{T(G)}$ there is an extension $u : G \to T(G)$ such that $u \circ i = 1_{T(G)}$, where $i : T(G) \to G$ is the inclusion. ■

2.9. Remark. $\mathcal{A}$ is not balanced and so, not normal nor conormal.

Proof. Consider the inclusion $i : T(G) \to G$ of the torsion part of a nonsplitting mixed group G such that $T(G)$ is no epimorphic image of G (e.g. $\prod_{p \in \mathbf{P}} \mathbf{Z}(p) \notin \mathcal{M}_1$ (see [9])). According to the proposition above $\overline{i} \in \mathcal{A}(T(G), G)$ is a monomorphism and an epimorphism but not an isomorphism in $\mathcal{A}$. Indeed, if $\overline{i}$ should be an isomorphism in $\mathcal{A}$ there would exist a morphism $\pi : G \to T(G)$ in **Ab** such that $\overline{\pi} \circ \overline{i} = \overline{1}_{T(G)}, \overline{i} \circ \overline{\pi} = \overline{1}_G$ in $\mathcal{A}$. Hence $\pi|_{T(G)} = 1_{T(G)}$ and so π would be an epimorphism. ■

2.10. Theorem. *In $\mathcal{A}$ the torsionfree groups are exactly the zero objects. In particular, all the torsionfree groups are $\mathcal{A}$-isomorphic.*

Proof. A group G is an initial object in $\mathcal{A}$ iff $\mathbf{Ab}(G,H) = J(G,H)$ holds for each group H. Hence G is initial iff $T(G) \leq ker(f)$ holds for each group H and each homomorphism $f : G \to H$. Taking f any injective homomorphism we obtain $T(G) = 0$. Conversely, if $T(G) = 0$ surely $T(G) \leq ker(f)$ holds for every H and every f. Hence $J(G,H) = \mathbf{Ab}(G,H)$ and $\mathcal{A}(G,H) = \mathbf{Ab}(G,H)/\mathbf{Ab}(G,H) = \{\overline{0}\}$.

Further, G is a terminal object in $\mathcal{A}$ iff $\mathbf{Ab}(H,G) = J(H,G)$ holds for each group H. Hence G is terminal iff $T(H) \leq ker(f)$ holds for each group H and each homomorphism

$f : H \to G$. Taking $H = G, f = 1_G$ we obtain $T(G) = 0$. Conversely, $T(G) = 0$ implies $T(H) \leq ker(f)$ for each group H and each homomorphism $f : H \to G$. Indeed, $f(T(H)) \subseteq T(G)$ implies $f(T(H)) = 0$ and so $T(H) \leq ker(f)$.

Hence the zero objects in $\mathcal{A}$ are the torsionfree groups. ∎

2.11. Theorem. *$\mathcal{A}$ has cokernels.*

Proof. Finally, for $f + J(G, H) \in \mathcal{A}(G, H)$, if $p : H \to \overline{H} = H/(f(T(G))$ denotes the canonical projection, we verify that $p + J(H, \overline{H}) = coker(f)$.

First, $p \circ f \in J(G, \overline{H})$. Indeed, $T(G) \leq ker(p \circ f) \Leftrightarrow (p \circ f)(T(G)) = 0 \Leftrightarrow p(f(T(G)) = 0$, which clearly holds. Next, if the following diagram commutes



there is a unique homomorphism $h : \overline{H} \to L$ such that the following triangle commutes



Indeed, $g \circ f = 0$ in $\mathcal{A}$ iff $g \circ f \in J(G, L)$. This is consequently equivalent to $T(G) \leq ker(g \circ f) \Leftrightarrow f(T(G)) \leq ker(g)$ and so, to $ker(p) \leq ker(g)$. Hence a unique homomorphism $h : \overline{H} \to L$ exists such that the above triangle commutes. ∎

2.12. Remark. For each G, H the group $\mathcal{A}(G, H)$ can be identified with a subgroup of $\mathbf{Ab}(T(G), T(H))$.

Indeed, first observe that $J(G, H)$ can be identified with $\mathbf{Ab}(G/T(G), H)$. Indeed, $T(G) \leq ker(f)$ implies that there is a unique homomorphism $\underline{f} : G/T(G) \to H$ with $f = p_{T(G)} \circ \underline{f}$. Next, use the left exactness of the contravariant functor $\mathbf{Ab}(-, H)$ for the short exact sequence $0 \to T(G) \to G \to G/T(G) \to 0$. We obtain the exact

sequence $0 \to \mathbf{Ab}(G/T(G),H) \to \mathbf{Ab}(G,H) \xrightarrow{t} \mathbf{Ab}(T(G),H)$ and then $\mathcal{A}(G,H) = \mathbf{Ab}(G,H)/J(G,H) \cong \mathbf{Ab}(G,H)/\mathbf{Ab}(G/T(G),H) \cong$
$\mathbf{Ab}(G,H)/ker(t) \cong im(t)$, which can be identified with a subgroup of
$\mathbf{Ab}(T(G),T(H))$. ∎

2.13. Theorem. *The category $\mathcal{A}$ has products.*

Proof. Let $\{f_i + J(G,G_i) : G \to G_i\}$ be a family of morphisms in $\mathcal{A}$ and $\{p_j : \prod_{i\in I} G_i \to G_j, \forall j \in I\}$ the canonical projections for the direct product (from **Ab**). Clearly there is a unique $f : G \to \prod_{i\in I} G_i$ such that $f_i = p_i \circ f$. One easily checks that $\forall i \in I : g_i \in f_i + J(G,G_i)$, $g_i = p_i \circ g$ implies $g \in f + J(G, \prod_{i\in I} G_i)$.

Indeed, $T(G) \leq ker(g_i - f_i)$, $\forall i \in I \Rightarrow T(G) \leq ker(g - f)$ because $ker(g - f) = \bigcap_{i\in I} ker(g_i - f_i)$.

Clearly, there is a unique factorization $f_i + J(G,G_i) = (p_i + J(\prod_{i\in I} G_i, G_j)) \circ (f + J(G, \prod_{i\in I} G_i))$. ∎

Notice that in $\mathcal{A}$ there are finite direct sums (products) defined as usually in **Ab** (as objects). Moreover

2.14. Theorem. *$\mathcal{A}$ has infinite coproducts (direct sums).*

Proof. Let $\{f_i + J(G_i,G) : G_i \to G\}$ be a family of morphisms in $\mathcal{A}$. The proof is similar to the previous one: it reduces to the inclusion $\bigoplus_{i\in I} ker(f_i) \leq ker(f)$ and the equality $T\left(\bigoplus_{i\in I} G_i\right) = \bigoplus_{i\in I} T(G_i)$, where $f_i = f \circ q_i$ gives the unique decomposition with $\{q_j : G_j \to \bigoplus_{i\in I} G_i, \forall j \in I\}$ the canonical injections into the coproduct (direct sum). ∎

2.15. Remark. $\mathcal{A}$ does not have kernels.

Indeed, for a morphism $\overline{f} \in \mathcal{A}(G,H)$, $(T(G) \cap ker(f), \overline{incl})$ must be the kernel in $\mathcal{A}$. But this is not the case in general.

2.16. Theorem. *Two groups G and H are isomorphic in $\mathcal{A}$ iff there are two torsion-free groups U and V such that $G \oplus U \cong H \oplus V$.*

Proof. The condition is sufficient: first, notice that if U is torsion-free, the canonical projection $p_G : G \oplus U \to G$, respectively injection $e_G : G \to G \oplus U$ have mutually inverse classes in $\mathcal{A}$. Indeed, $p_G \circ e_G = 1_G$ implies $\overline{p_G} \circ \overline{e_G} = \overline{1_G}$ in $\mathcal{A}$ and conversely, $(e_G \circ p_G, 1_{G\oplus U}) \in \rho_{G\oplus U, G\oplus U}$, this being justified as follows: $ker(1_{G\oplus U} - e_G \circ p_G) = ker\,(e_U \circ p_U) = G \geq T(G) = T(G\oplus U)$. Then $G \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\cong} G\oplus U$ and one uses also $G\oplus U \cong H\oplus V$ and similarly $H \oplus V \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\cong} H$.

The condition is also necessary: suppose $G \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\cong} H$, that is, there are homomorphisms $f : G \to H$ and $g : H \to G$ such that $f\circ g - 1_H = s \in J(H,H)$ and $g\circ f - 1_G = t \in J(G,G)$.

First observe that the restrictions $f|_{T(G)} : T(G) \to T(H), g|_{T(H)} : T(H) \to T(G)$ are mutually inverses in **Ab** (indeed, e.g. $f|_{T(G)} \circ g|_{T(H)}(h) = 1_H(h) + s(h) = h = 1_{T(H)}(h), \forall h \in T(H)$ using $T(H) \leq ker(s)$). Define P as the pushout in the following commutative diagram



A well-known exercise from abelian category theory shows that the bottom line is also exact . As $g : H \to G$ renders the upper triangle commutative, the bottom line splits and so $P \cong G \oplus H/T(H)$. Using the $3 \times 3-$lemma the same pushout may be used



in order to prove that $P \cong H \oplus G/T(G)$. Hence $G \oplus H/T(H) \cong H \oplus G/T(G)$ with torsion-free groups $H/T(H)$ and $G/T(G)$. ∎

Similarly to [7], one can write down an isomorphism in terms of the given functions f and g : indeed

$$G \oplus H/T(H) \longrightarrow H \oplus G/T(G)$$

$$(x, y + T(H)) \longmapsto (f(x - g(y)) + y, x - g(y) + T(G))$$

and

$$H \oplus G/T(G) \longrightarrow G \oplus H/T(H)$$

$$(y, x + T(G)) \longmapsto (g(y - f(x)) + x, y - f(x) + T(H))$$

define group morphisms that are inverses of one another.

As in [3], one can also prove the above result by $G \oplus H/ker(s) \cong H \oplus G/ker(t)$.

Then

2.17. Corollary. *$G \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\cong} H$ and $G \overset{\mathbf{Walk}}{\cong} H$ iff there are torsion groups S, T and torsion-free groups U, V such that $G \oplus S \cong H \oplus T$ and $G \oplus U \cong H \oplus V$.*

3. A full embedding

As in [4] there is a natural embedding of **To**, the full subcategory of **Ab** which consists of all the torsion abelian groups, into $\mathcal{A}$.

3.1. Theorem. *The functor $I : \mathbf{To} \to \mathcal{A}$, defined by $I(T) = T$ on objects and $I_{TS} : \mathbf{To}(T, S) \to \mathcal{A}(T, S), I_{TS}(f) = f + J(T, S) = \{f\}$ on morphisms, is a full embedding.*

Proof. Indeed, as we already have noticed for any $T \in Ob(\mathbf{To})$, $J(T, G) = \{0\}$ and hence $\mathcal{A}(T, S) = \{\{f\} \,|f \in \mathbf{Ab}(T, S)\}$. ∎

3.2. Theorem. *I has an adjoint (to the right): $K : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbf{To}$, defined $K(G) = T(G)$ on objects and $K_{GH}(\overline{f}) = \widetilde{f|_{T(G)}}$ on morphisms.*

Proof. First of all, notice that K is well-defined (see the characterization of the equality of the morphisms in $\mathcal{A}$: for each $G, H \in \mathcal{A}$ we can consider $K_{GH}(\overline{f}) = \widetilde{f|_{T(G)}}$ because $\overline{f} = \overline{g} \Leftrightarrow f|_{T(G)} = g|_{T(G)} \Leftrightarrow \widetilde{f|_{T(G)}} = \widetilde{g|_{T(G)}}$). Next, for the adjoint situation the *unit* $\eta : 1_{\mathbf{To}} \to K \circ I$ is trivially given by the identity $1_T : T \to K(I(T)) = T$ for each $T \in Ob(\mathbf{To})$, and the *counit* $\varepsilon : I \circ K \to 1_{\mathcal{A}}$ is given by the inclusion $\varepsilon_G : I(K(G)) = T(G) \to G$ for each $G \in Ob(\mathcal{A})$, all these being natural transformations. Moreover, one easily verifies $K \overset{\eta \cdot K}{\to} K \circ I \circ K \overset{K \cdot \varepsilon}{\to} K = 1_K$ and $I \overset{I \cdot \eta}{\to} I \circ K \circ I \overset{\varepsilon \cdot I}{\to} I = 1_I$. [Another proof: one verifies the natural equivalence of abelian group-valued bifunctors $\alpha_{T,G} : \mathcal{A}(I(T), G) \to \mathbf{To}(T, K(G)), \forall T \in Ob(\mathbf{To}), \forall G \in Ob(\mathcal{A})$]. ∎

3.3. Corollary. *K is a limit preserving monofunctor and I is a colimit preserving epifunctor.*

Indeed, this is a known property of functors which admit an adjoint to the right.

3.4. Corollary. *I also reflects colimits.*

Use the dual of Ex. 27H(c), p.204,[1].

3.5. Remark. I is not an equivalence of categories.

Indeed, I is an equivalence $\Leftrightarrow$ I is dense (representative) $\Leftrightarrow$ $\forall G \in Ob(\mathbf{Ab}) = Ob(\mathcal{A}), \exists T \in Ob(\mathbf{To}) : T = I(T) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\cong} G$.

This is also equivalent with the existence of two torsion-free groups U, V such that $G \oplus U \cong T \oplus V$. Taking the torsion parts (we apply the functor $\overline{T} : \mathbf{Ab} \to \mathbf{Ab}$, $\overline{T}(G) = T(G), \forall G \in \mathbf{Ab}$) of these groups we observe that $T(G) \cong T$.

Hence, I is an equivalence $\Leftrightarrow$ $\forall G \in Ob(\mathbf{Ab}), \exists U, V$ torsion-free groups : $G \oplus U \cong T(G) \oplus V$.

For splitting mixed groups this last condition holds when

a) G is torsion: obviously $U = V = 0$;

b) G is torsion-free: obviously $U = T(G) = 0, V = G$;

c) G is splitting mixed, say $G = T \oplus F$: obviously $U = 0, V = F$.

So in order to prove that I is not an equivalence an example of non-splitting mixed group M such that $\forall U, V$ torsion-free groups, $M \oplus U \ncong T(M) \oplus V$ suffices.

4. Relations with **Walk**

The category **Walk** was also defined as a quotient category of **Ab** by $Ob(\mathbf{Walk}) = Ob(\mathbf{Ab})$ and $\mathbf{Walk}(G, H) = \mathbf{Ab}(G, H)/I(G, H)$ where

$I(G, H) = \{f \in \mathbf{Ab}(G, H) | im(f) \subseteq T(H)\}$ or, similarly with $\mathcal{A}$, with the aid of a congruence relation $\omega_{G,H}$ defined by $(f, g) \in \omega_{G,H} \Leftrightarrow im(f - g) \subseteq T(H)$.

Notice that $f \in I(G, H) \Leftrightarrow G = \overset{-1}{f}(T(H))$.

4.1. Remark. $I(G, H) \cap J(G, H)$ can be identified with $\mathbf{Ab}\,(G/T(G), T(H))$.

Indeed, $f \in I(G, H) \cap J(G, H)$ iff there is a unique $f_1 \in \mathbf{Ab}\,(G/T(G), T(H))$ such that $f = p_{T(G)} \circ f_1 \circ in$, the inclusion $in : T(H) \to H$. The situation is described in the following canonical commutative diagram



with $f_1 = incl \circ f_0 \circ pr$(as for the converse, $im(p_{T(G)} \circ f_1 \circ in) \leq im(in) = T(H)$ resp. $T(G) = ker(p_{T(G)}) \leq ker(p_{T(G)} \circ f_1 \circ in)$).

4.2. Remark. $\{f \in \mathbf{Ab}(G,H) | f^{-1}(T(H))$ is a direct summand of $G\} \leq I(G,H) + J(G,H)$.

Proof. Indeed, for any $f \in \mathbf{Ab}(G,H)$ set $S = f^{-1}(T(H)) = \{x \in G | f(x) \in T(H)\}$, the preimage. Surely, $T(G) \leq S$ and $ker(f) = f^{-1}(0) \leq S$. If S is a direct summand and $G = S \oplus K$, consider $g \in I(G,H), g(s+k) = f(s), \forall s \in S, k \in K$ (i.e. $img \leq f(S) \leq T(H)$) and $h \in J(G,H), h(s+k) = f(k), \forall s \in S, k \in K$ (i.e. $T(G) \leq S \leq kerh$). Clearly $f = g + h$. ∎

A more categorical proof was pointed out by the referee: if $G = S \oplus K$ and i_S, i_K respectivelly p_S, p_K denote the canonical injections respectivelly projections then $i_S \circ p_S + i_K \circ p_K = 1_G$ so that $f = f \circ i_S \circ p_S + f \circ i_K \circ p_K$. Clearly, $f \circ i_S \circ p_S \in I(G,H)$ and $f \circ i_K \circ p_K \in J(G,H)$.

5. Endomorphism rings in $\mathcal{A}$

In **Warf** and **Walk** the endomorphism rings for torsion-free rank one groups are characterized (see [8] and [5]).

If we denote $End_{\mathcal{A}}(G) = \mathcal{A}(G,G)$ for any group G then

5.1. Theorem. *The map* $\alpha : End_{\mathcal{A}}(G) \to End_{\mathbf{Ab}}(T(G)), \alpha(f + J(G,G)) = \widetilde{f|_{T(G)}}$ *is a ring embedding. If G splits, this is a ring isomorphism.*

Proof. Indeed, $g \in f + J(G,G)) \Leftrightarrow f|_{T(G)} = g|_{T(G)}$ shows that α is well-defined and injective. The compatibility with addition and composition are immediate. If G splits, the endomorphisms of $T(G)$ extend to the whole G and so α is also surjective. ∎

6. Classification

Walk was constructed as a quotient category of **Ab** in order to neglect torsion. Similarly, $\mathcal{A}$ is a quotient category of **Ab** which neglects torsion-freeness. It is natural to ask to what extent these two quotient categories characterize classes $\mathcal{M}$ of abelian groups.

Using 2.17 we easily get

6.1. Proposition. *If* $G \overset{\mathbf{Walk}}{\cong} H$ *and* $G \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\cong} H$ *then* $T(G) \cong T(H)$ *and* $G/T(G) \cong H/T(H)$.

Proof. If there are torsion groups S, T and torsion-free groups U, V such that $G \oplus S \cong H \oplus T$ and $G \oplus U \cong H \oplus V$ then $T(G) = T(G \oplus U) \cong T(H \oplus V) = T(H)$. Further, $G/T(G) \cong \frac{G \oplus S}{T(G) \oplus S} = \frac{G \oplus S}{T(G \oplus S)} \cong \frac{H \oplus T}{T(H \oplus T)} = \frac{H \oplus T}{T(H) \oplus T} \cong H/T(H)$ the second isomorphism being obtained as $G/ker\lambda \cong im(\lambda)$ for $\lambda = pr \circ inj : G \to G \oplus S \to \frac{G \oplus S}{T(G) \oplus S}$. ∎

6.2. Corollary. *$G \overset{\mathbf{Walk}}{\cong} H$ and $G \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\cong} H$ characterize the class of all the splitting mixed groups.*

Finally, some open problems:

Problem 1. Are the groups G such that $T(G) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\cong} G$ exactly the splitting (mixed) groups?
Problem 2. Find classes $\mathcal{M}$ of abelian groups such that two groups G and H are isomorphic (in $\mathcal{M}$) iff G and H are isomorphic in **Walk** and in $\mathcal{A}$.

As for this last problem, following definitions from [9], the classes $\mathcal{M}_1$ and $\mathcal{M}_2$ of mixed abelian groups could be considered. Recall that

$G \in \mathcal{M}_1$ if $T(G)$ is a homomorphic image of G and $G \in \mathcal{M}_2$ if $G/T(G)$ can be embedded in G.

References

[1] Herrlich H., Strecker G., *Category Theory*, second edition, Helderman Verlag, Berlin, 1979.

[2] Hunter R., Richman F., Walker E., *Warfield modules*, Abelian Group Theory, Lecture Notes in Math. 616 (1976), 87-123, Springer Verlag.

[3] Fuchs L., *Abelian p-groups and mixed groups*, Séminaire de Mathématiques Supérieures, Les Presses de l'Université de Montréal, 1980.

[4] Franzen B., Goldsmith B., *On endomorphism algebras of mixed modules*, J.London Math.Soc. (2) 31 (1985), 468-472.

[5] Goldsmith B., Zanardo P., *The Walker endomorphism algebra of a mixed module,* Proc. R. Irish Acad., 93A (1) (1993), 131-136.

[6] Mitchell B., *The Theory of Categories*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1965.

[7] Warfield R.B., *Classification theory on abelian groups,I: balanced projectives*, Trans.Amer.Math.Soc., 22 (1976), 33-63.

[8] Warfield R.B., *The structure of mixed abelian groups,* Abelian Group Theory, Lecture Notes in Math. 616 (1976), 1-38, Springer Verlag.

[9] Weinstein M., *Examples of Groups*, Polygonal Publishing House, 1977.

Dept. of Mathematics, "Babeş-Bolyai" University,
Kogălniceanu str. 1, 3400 Cluj-Napoca, Romania
Email: `calu@math.ubbcluj.ro`

This article may be accessed via WWW at `http://www.tac.mta.ca/tac/` or by anonymous ftp at `ftp://ftp.tac.mta.ca/pub/tac/html/volumes/1999/n4/n4.{dvi,ps}`

THEORY AND APPLICATIONS OF CATEGORIES (ISSN 1201-561X) will disseminate articles that significantly advance the study of categorical algebra or methods, or that make significant new contributions to mathematical science using categorical methods. The scope of the journal includes: all areas of pure category theory, including higher dimensional categories; applications of category theory to algebra, geometry and topology and other areas of mathematics; applications of category theory to computer science, physics and other mathematical sciences; contributions to scientific knowledge that make use of categorical methods.

Articles appearing in the journal have been carefully and critically refereed under the responsibility of members of the Editorial Board. Only papers judged to be both significant and excellent are accepted for publication.

The method of distribution of the journal is via the Internet tools WWW/ftp. The journal is archived electronically and in printed paper format.

Subscription information. Individual subscribers receive (by e-mail) abstracts of articles as they are published. Full text of published articles is available in .dvi and Postscript format. Details will be e-mailed to new subscribers and are available by WWW/ftp. To subscribe, send e-mail to tac@mta.ca including a full name and postal address. For institutional subscription, send enquiries to the Managing Editor, Robert Rosebrugh, rrosebrugh@mta.ca.

Information for authors. The typesetting language of the journal is TEX, and LATEX is the preferred flavour. TEX source of articles for publication should be submitted by e-mail directly to an appropriate Editor. They are listed below. Please obtain detailed information on submission format and style files from the journal's WWW server at URL http://www.tac.mta.ca/tac/ or by anonymous ftp from ftp.tac.mta.ca in the directory pub/tac/info. You may also write to tac@mta.ca to receive details by e-mail.