

Commission d'enquête sur le déclin des populations de saumon rouge du fleuve Fraser

Public Hearings

Audience publique

Commissioner

L'Honorable juge /
The Honourable Justice
Bruce Cohen

Commissaire

Held at: Tenue à :

Room 801 Federal Courthouse 701 West Georgia Street Vancouver, B.C.

Monday, December 13, 2010

Salle 801 Cour fédérale 701, rue West Georgia Vancouver (C.-B.)

le lundi 13 décembre 2010



Commission d'enquête sur le déclin des populations de saumon rouge du fleuve Fraser

Errata for the Transcript of Hearings on December 13, 2010

Page	Line	Error	Correction
ii		incomplete title	Patrick McGowan Associate Commission Counsel
ii		incomplete title	Jennifer Chan Junior Commission Counsel
iv		James Walkus is not a participant	remove from record
iv		Musgagmagw Tsawataineuk Tribal Counsel	Musgamagw Tsawataineuk Tribal Council
40	14, 16, 19 and 16	Halalt	Pilalt

APPEARANCES / COMPARUTIONS

Patrick McGowan Commission Counsel Jennifer Chan Commission Counsel

Mark East Government of Canada

Charles Fugère

Boris Tyzuk, Q.C. Province of British Columbia

No appearance Pacific Salmon Commission

No appearance B.C. Public Service Alliance of Canada

Union of Environment Workers B.C.

("BCPSAC")

No appearance Rio Tinto Alcan Inc. ("RTAI")

No appearance B.C. Salmon Farmers Association

("B.C.SFA")

No appearance Seafood Producers Association of B.C.

("SPAB.C.")

No appearance Aquaculture Coalition: Alexandra

Morton; Raincoast Research Society; Pacific Coast Wild Salmon Society

("AQUA")

Judah Harrison Conservation Coalition: Coastal Alliance

for Aquaculture Reform Fraser Riverkeeper Society; Georgia Strait Alliance; Raincoast Conservation Foundation; Watershed Watch Salmon Society; Mr. Otto Langer; David Suzuki

Foundation ("CONSERV")

Don Rosenbloom Area D Salmon Gillnet Association; Area

B Harvest Committee (Seine) ("GILLFSC")

APPEARANCES / COMPARUTIONS, cont'd.

David Butcher, Q.C. Southern Area E Gillnetters Assn.

B.C. Fisheries Survival Coalition ("SGAHC")

No appearance West Coast Trollers Area G Association;

United Fishermen and Allied Workers'

Union ("TWCTUFA")

Keith Lowes B.C. Wildlife Federation; B.C. Federation

of Drift Fishers ("WFFDF")

Tina Dion Maa-nulth Treaty Society; Tsawwassen

First Nation; Musqueam First Nation

("MTM")

David Robbins Western Central Coast Salish First

Robert Janes Nations:

James Reynolds

Sarah Sharp Cowichan Tribes and Chemainus First

Nation

Hwlitsum First Nation and Penelakut Tribe Te'mexw Treaty Association ("WCCSFN")

Brenda Gaertner First Nations Coalition: First Nations

Leah Pence Fisheries Council; Aboriginal Caucus of

the Fraser River; Aboriginal Fisheries Secretariat; Fraser Valley Aboriginal

Fisheries Society; Northern Shuswap Tribal

Council; Chehalis Indian Band;

Secwepemc Fisheries Commission of the Shuswap Nation Tribal Council; Upper Fraser Fisheries Conservation Alliance; Other Douglas Treaty First Nations who applied together (the Snuneymuxw,

Tsartlip and Tsawout)

No appearance Adams Lake Indian Band

No appearance Carrier Sekani Tribal Council ("FNC")

No appearance Council of Haida Nation

APPEARANCES / COMPARUTIONS, cont'd.

No appearance Métis Nation British Columbia ("MNB.C.")

Tim Dickson Sto:lo Tribal Council

Nicole Schabus Cheam Indian Band ("STCCIB")

No appearance Laich-kwil-tach Treaty Society

James Walkus and Chief Harold Sewid Aboriginal Aquaculture Association

("LJHAH")

Benjamin Ralston (articled student)

Heiltsuk Tribal Council ("HTC")

Krista Robertson

Musgagmagw Tsawataineuk Tribal

Lee Schmidt Counsel ("MTTC")

TABLE OF CONTENTS / TABLE DES MATIERES

PANEL NO. 9: (Affirmed)	PAGE
COUNCILLOR JUNE QUIPP In chief by Mr. McGowan Cross-exam by Ms. Schabus Cross-exam by Mr. East Cross-exam by Mr. Harrison Cross-exam by Mr. Butcher	3 39 50/54/61/66 69 77/82/84/86
CHIEF WILLIAM CHARLIE In chief by Mr. McGowan Cross-exam by Ms. Pence Cross-exam by Mr. East Cross-exam by Mr. Harrison Cross-exam by Mr. Butcher Cross-exam by Mr. Lowes	4 24 52/57/62/66 67/71/72 76/78/82/83/84/86 87
GRAND CHIEF CLARENCE PENNIER In chief by Mr. McGowan Cross-exam by Mr. Dickson Cross-exam by Mr. East Cross-exam by Mr. Harrison Cross-exam by Mr. Butcher	5 16 48/58/65 72 75/77/80/81/85/86
CHIEF KIMBERLY BAIRD In chief by Mr. McGowan Cross-exam by Ms. Dion Cross-exam by Mr. East Cross-exam by Mr. Harrison Cross-exam by Mr. Butcher Cross-exam by Mr. Janes	6 10 51/56/63/66 71/72 74/81/83/86 89
JOSEPH BECKER In chief by Mr. McGowan Cross-exam by Mr. Reynolds Cross-exam by Mr. East Cross-exam by Mr. Butcher Cross-exam by Mr. Lowes	6 7 51/54/64 74/79/81/85 88

EXHIBITS / PIECES

No.	<u>Description</u>	<u>Page</u>
278	Witness summary of Councillor June Quipp	4
279	Witness summary of Chief William Charlie	5
280	Witness summary of Grand Chief Clarence Pennier	6
281	Witness summary of Chief Kimberly Baird	6
282	Witness summary of Joseph Becker	7
283	Tsawwassen First Nation Final Agreement dated	
	December 6, 2007, effective April 3, 2009	11
284	Appendix A to the Tsawwassen First Nation Final	
	Agreement	12
285	TFN Fisheries, Wildlife, Migratory Birds and Renewable	
	Resources Act, April 3, 2009	13
286	TFN Fisheries, Wildlife, Migratory Birds and Renewable	
	Resources Act , Fisheries Regulations, dated	
	September 16, 2009	14
287	Appendices J-1, J-2, J-3 from Tsawwassen First	
	Nations Final Agreement	14
288	Review of the Fraser River Aboriginal Fisheries	
	Secretariat: March 2007, Prepared for the Fraser	
	River First Nations Fisheries Forum by Dr. Bob Brown	59
289	DFO and First Nations Fraser Salmon "Roadmap"	
	Process, December 2010	65
290	Three Year Strategic Approach to Developing a	
	Co-management Process for Fraser River Salmon:	
	First Nations Component - Draft September 27, 2009	65

Vancouver, B.C. /Vancouver (C.-B.) December 13, 2010/le 13 décembre

THE REGISTRAR: The hearing is now resumed.

THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. McGowan.

MR. McGOWAN: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. For the record, it's Patrick McGowan. I'm counsel for the Commission. With me is Jennifer Chan, another one of your counsel. I'll just say perhaps a few brief words before we get started today, Mr. Commissioner, about the next three days.

The next three days will consist of evidence from witnesses proposed by a number of your aboriginal participants. The focus of the evidence over these next three days will be Aboriginal World View, Aboriginal Traditional Knowledge and Cultural Context. That will be primarily what the evidence will cover.

The evidence will be presented by witnesses appearing in panels. You're going to hear from a total of 14 witnesses over the next three days in the three panels which will consist of four to five witnesses each. The panels are organized roughly along geographical lines.

Today, Mr. Commissioner, you'll be hearing from First Nations representatives representing areas primarily in the lower Fraser. Tomorrow you'll be hearing from aboriginal panellists who represent primarily the mid and upper Fraser, and the third day we'll deal primarily with the marine and approach areas.

Now, it's obviously a good number of witnesses covering some important evidence we have to get through in the next few days. We'll have to all work together to ensure that we're able to cover this material in the time allotted.

In terms of the order of examination, Commission counsel will go first, followed by counsel for each of the panellists, and then of course, questions from the remaining participants in the room.

There's one issue in terms of examination that I wanted to just draw to your attention, Mr. Commissioner. The usual rule we have is that each participant group speaks with one voice. They have one counsel who'll deal with each topic or

 each witness or panel. There is an issue that's arisen with this particular panel whereby a couple of the participant groups are going to seek leave to have two counsel, and I'll just explain that to you. The Cheam and Sto:lo are one participant group together, but we have one witness from the Sto:lo Tribal Council and one from the Cheam Indian Band. Mr. Dickson is counsel for the Sto:lo and Ms. Schabus is counsel for the Cheam, and they will each seek to lead the evidence of their own panellist. They've agreed not to ask questions of each other's witnesses and to avoid any duplication.

A similar issue arises with respect to the Musqueam witness and the witness from Tsawwassen First Nation who are represented by Mr. Jim Reynolds and Ms. Dion respectively, and they will also seek leave from you, Mr. Commissioner, to ask questions of their own witness, and similarly have agreed to avoid duplication and to question only their own witnesses, not each other's.

Commission counsel's position is it seems like a reasonable request and we're supportive of it. As I said, we have three panels to get through in three days. We've allotted one day for each. We're going to have to work hard to stay on track and make sure that we don't run over time. We're sitting from 10:00 to 4:00 each day.

Commission counsel has conducted an interview with each of the panellists and, in part because of the timing considerations, Commission counsel has prepared fairly detailed summaries of the information provide to us during those interviews. Each of the summaries has been reviewed by the witness and their counsel and has been distributed to all participants. Subject to any corrections, it's our intention to have each witness adopt their summaries and have those filed as an exhibit.

In order to help keep us on track in terms of timing, aside from introducing the witnesses, Commission counsel will rely on these summaries as its examination in chief, leaving as much time as possible for counsel for the witnesses on the panel to spend time with their witnesses.

As I said, Mr. Commissioner, the oral evidence you are going to hear, I understand, is

going to be primarily focused on aboriginal world view, aboriginal traditional knowledge and cultural context. We're hopeful we can keep the evidence this week focused primarily on those topics. There will be topics related to the aboriginal fishery which will arise in hearings that come later in the New Year in the area of the hearings dedicated to that particular topic.

With that said, and subject to any questions, I would propose to have the witnesses sworn or affirmed and then proceed to introduce them and file their summaries.

THE REGISTRAR: You may remain seated. Do you solemnly affirm that the evidence to be given by you to this hearing shall be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?

I need you to speak up, please.

(ALL PANEL MEMBERS AFFIRMED)

THE REGISTRAR: Could you state your full name, please? COUNCILLOR QUIPP: June Quipp.

CHIEF CHARLIE: William Peter Charlie.

GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: Clarence Martin Pennier.

CHIEF BAIRD: Kimberly Carolyn Baird.

MR. BECKER: Joseph Ralph Becker.

THE REGISTRAR: Thank you. Counsel?

MR. McGOWAN: Ms. Commissioner, I'll just have a couple of brief questions to introduce the witnesses and we'll file their summaries. I'll start with you, Ms. Quipp.

EXAMINATION IN CHIEF BY MR. McGOWAN:

Q You're presently a councillor for the Cheam Indian Band?

COUNCILLOR QUIPP: Yes.

Q Okay. And you previously served five years as an elected chief for the Cheam Indian Band? COUNCILLOR QUIPP: Yes, I did.

Q And personally you're a member of the Halalt Tribe at Cheam?

COUNCILLOR QUIPP: Yes.

Q Okay. Now, we had an interview just a short time ago in fact on November 26th.

46 COUNCILLOR QUIPP: Yes.

Q And Commission counsel prepared a summary of that

```
4
PANEL NO. 9
In chief by Mr. McGowan
```

```
1
            interview?
 2
       COUNCILLOR QUIPP: Yes.
 3
            And have you had a chance to review your summary?
 4
       COUNCILLOR QUIPP:
                         I have.
 5
            And you made a couple of corrections?
 6
       COUNCILLOR QUIPP: Yup. Yes, I did.
 7
            Which we accepted.
8
       COUNCILLOR QUIPP:
                         Yes.
9
            And are you able to, today, adopt the contents of
10
            your summary?
11
       COUNCILLOR OUIPP:
                         Yes.
       MR. McGOWAN: Mr. Commissioner, if that could be the
12
13
            next exhibit?
                          That's the witness summary of
14
            Councillor June Quipp.
15
       THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit number 278.
16
17
                 EXHIBIT 278: Witness summary of Councillor
18
                 June Quipp
19
20
       MR. McGOWAN: Thank you.
21
            Chief Charlie, you are a member of the Chehalis
22
            Indian Band?
23
       CHIEF CHARLIE: Yes.
24
            And you're presently an elected chief of the
25
            Chehalis Indian Band?
26
       CHIEF CHARLIE: Yes.
27
            And you're the CEO also for the band's
28
            Administration and Development Corporation?
29
       CHIEF CHARLIE:
                       Yes.
30
            And previously you were the vice-president for the
31
            Union of B.C. Indian Chiefs?
32
       CHIEF CHARLIE: Yes.
33
            And similarly, we had an interview on September
34
            21st of this year?
35
       CHIEF CHARLIE: Yes.
36
            And you've had a chance to review the summary
37
            that's been completed for that?
38
       CHIEF CHARLIE:
                      Yes.
39
            And I understand you may have one just small
40
            correction to make?
41
       CHIEF CHARLIE:
                      Yes.
42
            If you could direct me to the page that correction
43
            is on?
44
       CHIEF CHARLIE: On page 4 underneath "Aboriginal
45
            Perspective and World Views", second paragraph,
46
            fourth line down, second sentence, "Some become
47
            the wind...". It's supposed to have been
```

```
5
PANEL NO. 9
In chief by Mr. McGowan
```

1 "winged". So the word "wind" in the fourth line of that 3 second paragraph should be changed to "winged"? CHIEF CHARLIE: Yes. 5 Okay. Subject to that correction, do you adopt 6 the contents of your summary? 7 CHIEF CHARLIE: Yes. If that could become the next 8 MR. McGOWAN: Okay. exhibit, Mr. Commissioner. 9 10 THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit number 279. 11 12 EXHIBIT 279: Witness summary of Chief 13 William Charlie 14 15 MR. McGOWAN: Thank you. Grand Chief Pennier, we had --16 17 GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: Yes. 18 -- our interview on November 26th as well? 19 GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: That's right. 20 Okay. You're presently chief of the Sto:lo Tribal 21 Council? 22 Change that to president. GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: 23 President? 24 GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: Yes. 25 I'm sorry about that. And the Sto:lo Tribal 26 Council is comprised of approximately eight 27 communities? 28 GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: That's right. 29 And personally you're a member of the -- is it 30 pronounced Scowlitz First Nation? 31 GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: That's right. 32 Okay. Now, have you had a chance to look at the 33 summary that we prepared? 34 GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: I have. 35 Okay. And are you content with the contents of 36 it? GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: 37 Yes, I am. 38 Okay. 39 GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: Just changing "President" from 40 "Chief". 41 Okay. So in the first -- in the second line 42 before we get very far in, we've made our first 43 mistake. Are you -- is Grand Chief an appropriate 44 title for you, sir? 45 GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: That's right. Okay. So we can address you as Grand Chief, but 46 47 presently you're the president of the Tribal --

```
6
PANEL NO. 9
In chief by Mr. McGowan
```

1 2 3 4 5 6	Sto:lo Tribal Council. GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: That's right. MR. McGOWAN: Okay. Thank you for that clarification. If that could be the next exhibit, then, Mr. Commissioner? I'll just wait for Mr. Giles. THE REGISTRAR: It'll be 280.
7 8 9	EXHIBIT 280: Witness summary of Grand Chief Clarence Pennier
10 11 12 13 14	MR. McGOWAN: Q Chief Baird? CHIEF BAIRD: Yes. Q You're a member of the Tsawwassen First Nation?
15 16 17	CHIEF BAIRD: Yes. Q You're presently the elected chief of Tsawwassen First Nation?
18 19 20	CHIEF BAIRD: Yes. Q And you've held that position for approximately 11 years?
21 22 23	CHIEF BAIRD: Yup. Q Prior to which, you were a council member of the Tsawwassen First Nation for six years?
24 25 26	CHIEF BAIRD: Yes. Q You had an interview with Commission counsel on August 13th of this year?
27 28 29	CHIEF BAIRD: Yes. Q And you've had an opportunity to review the summary from that interview?
30 31 32	CHIEF BAIRD: Yes. Q And do you adopt the contents of the summary? CHIEF BAIRD: Yes.
33 34 35	MR. McGOWAN: Okay. Thank you. If that could be the next exhibit, Mr. Commissioner. THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit number 281.
36 37 38 39	EXHIBIT 281: Witness summary of Chief Kimberly Baird
40 41 42	MR. McGOWAN: Thank you. Q Mr. Becker, thanks for being here today. You're a member of the Musqueam Indian Band?
43 44 45	MR. BECKER: Yes. Q And you spent much of your life fishing from Steveston?
46 47	MR. BECKER: Yes. Q Okay. And you're presently one of six elected

7
PANEL NO. 9 (Affirmed)
In chief by Mr. McGowan
Cross-exam by Mr. Reynolds (MTM)

commissioners on the Musqueam Fisheries 1 Commission; is that correct? 3 MR. BECKER: Yes. 4 All right. You had an interview with Commission 5 counsel on November 1st of this year? 6 MR. BECKER: Yes. 7 Okay. And we prepared a summary of that 8 interview? 9 MR. BECKER: Yes. 10 And you've had a chance to look at that and make 11 any corrections? 12 MR. BECKER: No corrections. 13 Okay. Thank you. So you're content with the 14 content? 15 MR. BECKER: Yes, I am. MR. McGOWAN: If that could be the next exhibit, Mr. 16 17 Commissioner? 18 THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 282. 19 20 EXHIBIT 282: Witness summary of Joseph 21 Becker 22 23 MR. McGOWAN: Mr. Commissioner, that will conclude the 24 examination by Commission counsel. There are five 25 panellists, each who will have a separate counsel 26 conducting their examination in chief on behalf of 27 that participant group. I have suggested to them 28 that they ought to collectively attempt to get 29 through their examinations in chief within a 30 couple of hours, and I've left it to them to sort 31 out the particular order and how they're going to 32 distribute that time. 33 So I'm not sure who is going to be going 34 first? Mr. Reynolds is going to be examining first, Mr. Commissioner. 35 MR. REYNOLDS: Mr. Commissioner, my name is James 36 37 Reynolds. I'm the counsel for the Musqueam, and 38 as Mr. McGowan mentioned, I'd like to seek leave 39 to examine Musqueam, and my friend, Tina Dion, 40 will examine the Tsawwassen with Chief Baird. 41 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. Reynolds. 42 Thank you. MR. REYNOLDS: 43

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. REYNOLDS:

44

45 46

47

Q Mr. Becker, I have a few questions for you. First, I'd like to just give some further

background relating to you. I'll read a statement, in effect, and ask that you confirm 3 whether it's true or not. Is it true that you've fished for most of your life? 5 MR. BECKER: Yes, it is. 6 That you've been a member of the Musqueam 7 Fisheries Commission for a number of years, for 8 about 18 years, I believe? 9 MR. BECKER: Right. 10 That you're a former chief? 11 MR. BECKER: Yes. 12 A former member of the band council? 13 Yes. MR. BECKER: 14 That you participate in ceremonies at the 15 longhouse? 16 MR. BECKER: Yes. 17 And that you carry on the Musqueam tradition as a 18 carver? 19 MR. BECKER: Yes. 20 Thank you. I'd like to acknowledge that we are in 21 the traditional territory of the Musqueam. 22 Please, could you tell us where the main winter 23 village of the Musqueam was located in relation to 24 the Fraser River? 25 MR. BECKER: It's right at the mouth of the north arm. 26 Thank you. And what is your understanding of the 27 importance of fishing on the Fraser River to the 28 Musqueam before the arrivals of the Europeans in 29 1792? 30 MR. BECKER: Salmon has always been important, and 31 culturally, they use it in all of the ceremonies. 32 We use it for, like, used to preserve it for long 33 periods of time to last till the next salmon 34 season, so they would -- they would dry it, they 35 would can it. So there was always lots of salmon 36 available for our people. 37 Thank you. How many members of the Musqueam participate in the Fraser River fishery today? 38 39 MR. BECKER: Approximately -- anywhere from 40 to 100. 40 We issued about 100 licences last year, and 41 approximately 40 or 50 people fished. 42 Thank you. What about those members of the 43 community who can't fish for themselves? 44 MR. BECKER: The Commission has a responsibility to the 45 membership. We're elected by the membership, so

we set aside a portion of our allocation to be

distributed amongst the elders and the handicapped

46 47

first, and then we distribute it to the membership.

Thank you. And can you explain the imp

- Q Thank you. And can you explain the importance of the sockeye salmon to Musqueam culture today?
- MR. BECKER: We still use it in our longhouses. We -in our funeral, our ceremonies. So there's
 basically like two main ingredients that we feed
 people when they come to Musqueam, and that's
 salmon and the other is wild game.
- Q Thank you. And the Musqueam letterhead or logo, could you describe that?
- MR. BECKER: It's a salmon within an arrowhead with a net.
- Q Thank you. And if I could turn to traditional knowledge, how relevant do you think that is to the management of the fishery?
- MR. BECKER: I think traditional knowledge, given the time frame of where we are today, has to evolve. Methods of fishing have changed. Fish have changed. So we use our traditional knowledge about run size and when fish are coming, but we fish by today's methods because of the technology.
- Q Thank you. And if I could turn to the question of management of the Fraser River fishery, what is your view on whether the Department of Fisheries adequately consults with Musqueam with respect to the fishery?
- MR. BECKER: My view is that it's a process that needs more work. They did consult in the beginning, but now it's more of a dictatorship, in my view, where these agreements are basically "take it or leave it". If you leave it, then you're not fishing.
- Q And how would you see Musqueam working with other First Nations in the Fraser River watershed?
- MR. BECKER: Well, traditionally, there were two parties that Musqueam belonged to. One was the Lower Fraser Fishing Authority made up of bands from Sawmill Creek or Yale to the mouth and the Fraser Watershed. Musqueam does not belong to either of those, and I believe both of those groups have disbanded.

So I think a forum needs to be established and I believe the AAROM process is starting that, and hopefully, that will revive the Lower Fraser initiative anyway.

Q And do you see a role for a province-wide organization representing First Nations such as

10
PANEL NO. 9 (Affirmed)
Cross-exam by Mr. Reynolds (MTM)
Cross-exam by Ms. Dion (MTM)

the First Nations Fisheries Council?

MR. BECKER: I believe that aboriginal fisheries really needs to have one organization that represents all

needs to have one organization that represents all of the fishers. That would include rights fishers and licensed fishers. We would have to elect regional members to that group.

Thank you. And my final question is how do you think the Fraser River fishery could be better managed to ensure the sustainability of the sockeye?

MR. BECKER: I was going to say that's a hot potato.

Mr. Commissioner, I've -- I'm a real believer in
equity, and there is no equity in the way the fish
are managed today. Fish are the responsibility of
everyone who participates in the fishery. DFO, in
their management regime, has decimated the stocks
in the Fraser system. I believe that there has to
be a regime or a group representing all user
groups, and that's First Nations, recreational and
commercial, and put our egos aside and get into a
room and negotiate with one another so that there
will be fish for the future, for our
grandchildren.

I have 17 grandchildren and I'd like them to have fish in the future. The way it's going today, there won't be fish for even my children.

MR. REYNOLDS: Thank you, Mr. Becker. Mr. Commissioner, those are my questions for Mr. Becker. There may be other questions.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. Reynolds.

MS. DION: Good morning, Mr. Commissioner, Tina Dion, D-i-o-n, for Tsawwassen First Nation.

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DION:

Q Chief Baird, with respect to the witness summary, is it true that your witness summary is a blend of pre-treaty and post-treaty comments that you made in your witness statement? That is, that you've spoken about the fishery for Tsawwassen First Nation in pre-treaty times as well as post-treaty times.

CHIEF BAIRD: Yes, that's correct.

Q In your witness statement, page 1, there's a reference to you becoming the lead negotiator for the treaty, negotiating the treaty. Will you please explain how it is that you came to the

1 position of lead negotiator? CHIEF BAIRD: When I became chief in 1999, I also 3 assumed the position of chief negotiator as there 4 was no one else left in our Treaty Department to 5 do the job, so our council agreed that I should 6 represent our community in those negotiations. 7 And it's true, then, Chief, that since that time, 8 you've been both the -- you remained as chief and have continued not only as lead negotiator, but 9 10 have come on since the treaty has come into effect 11 dealing with matters related to treaty. 12 CHIEF BAIRD: Yeah, I oversee the implementation of the 13 treaty currently as well as being chief. 14 Thank you. The treaty was signed by the three 15 parties, that is, Canada, British Columbia and 16 Tsawwassen First Nation, on December 6th, 2007? 17 CHIEF BAIRD: Yes. 18 And that treaty came into effect on April 3rd, 19 2009? 20 CHIEF BAIRD: Yes. MS. DION: Mr. Commissioner, I'd like to enter as an 21 exhibit the Tsawwassen First Nation Final 22 23 Agreement dated December 6th, 2007, which came 24 into effect April 3rd, 2009. 25 THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 283. 26 27 EXHIBIT 283: Tsawwassen First Nation Final 28 Agreement dated December 6, 2007, effective 29 April 3, 2009 30 31 MS. DION: Thank you. Now, Chief Baird, under the heading of "Territory" 32 33 in your witness statement, the first sentence 34 reads: 35 36 TFN's traditional fishing territory extends 37 from the marine areas up to the Port Mann 38 Bridge. 39 40 With respect to Tsawwassen's traditional 41 territory, are you referring to the area set out 42 in Appendix A of the Tsawwassen Final Agreement? 43 CHIEF BAIRD: Yes. 44 MS. DION: Mr. Commissioner, I'd like to enter as an 45 exhibit the TFN appendices to the Final Agreement, 46 specifically Appendix A, and I wonder if the

Registrar wouldn't mind bringing that up.

1 THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit number 284. 3 EXHIBIT 284: Appendix A to the Tsawwassen 4 First Nations Final Agreement 5 6 Is that the appendices document? MR. LUNN: 7 MS. DION: It is. And it will be the first Appendix A. 8 MR. LUNN: Do you have a page number for the document? It should be if you go up -- back. 9 MS. DION: 10 is there, and you probably could make it one 11 smaller, one size smaller. 12 MR. LUNN: Certainly. 13 MS. DION: 14 And this, Chief Baird, is the area that you're 15 referring to under the heading of "Traditional Area of Tsawwassen First Nation"? 16 17 CHIEF BAIRD: Yes. 18 Okay. Thank you. 19 CHIEF BAIRD: Until we get our U.S. claim done. 20 Now, under the heading "Cultural significance of 21 the Fraser River sockeye and the act of fishing 22 for it," there is, on the second paragraph, the 23 first sentence reads [as read]: 24 25 Salmon is one of the only resources left in 26 TFN's traditional territory, which means it 27 is all the more important. 28 29 Now, here I'd like to refer you to appendix J-1 of 30 the appendices and I have a -- should be two --31 page 275. 32 Sorry, Mr. Registrar, one appendices (sic) 33 That's it there, J-K. 34 With respect to your reference, Chief Baird, 35 under this section, when you're referring to the 36 Tsawwassen First Nation fishing area, this is the 37 area that you're talking about, J-1 of the 38 appendices to the Tsawwassen Final Agreement? 39 CHIEF BAIRD: Yes. 40 Under the heading, "Interaction and Consultations 41 with DFO", you indicate that: 42 43 TFN has tried hard to obtain joint management 44 powers, but DFO has always wanted to retain 45 ultimate decision-making authority. 46

Can you explain what you mean by that statement?

CHIEF BAIRD: Well, over the decade-plus of treaty negotiations, trying to increase Tsawwassen's jurisdiction in relation to fisheries management, we weren't successful in that we don't have a veto over any important issues or ultimate decision-making authority that extends beyond our internal organization.

And with respect to your internal organization, as a result of the treaty, Tsawwassen First Nation had, among it's many powers, it could have jurisdiction over -- it could have jurisdiction over internal fisheries matters?

CHIEF BAIRD: Yes.

- MS. DION: In that regard, Mr. Commissioner, what I'd like to do is enter two documents. The first is the Fisheries, Wildlife, Migratory Birds, Renewable Resources Act, and again, I'll just repeat that. Fisheries, Wildlife, Migratory Birds and Renewable Resources Act dated April 3rd, '09.
- Q Chief Baird, this is the **Act** at Tsawwassen First Nation which regulates fisheries among other items, but for our purposes, fisheries and the taking of fish.

CHIEF BAIRD: Yes.

THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit number 285.

EXHIBIT 285: TFN Fisheries, Wildlife, Migratory Bird and Renewable Resources Act dated April 3, 2009

- MS. DION: The second document, Mr. Commissioner, I'd like to enter is titled *Fisheries*, *Wildlife*, *Migratory Birds and Renewable Resources Act* and that is the Fisheries Regulations that support the *Fisheries Act* and that is dated September 16th, 2009.
- Q Chief Baird, this Fisheries Regulation is the regulation that, among other things, regulates who in the community is entitled to fish under the **Act**.

CHIEF BAIRD: Yes.

Q And among the documents in this Regulation are all the schedules for applications for any person who may want to obtain a licence from the Tsawwassen government in respect to fishing?

CHIEF BAIRD: That's right.

THE REGISTRAR: That document will be marked as 286.

1 MS. DION: Thank you. 3 EXHIBIT 286: Fisheries, Wildlife, Migratory 4 Birds and Renewable Resources Act, Fisheries 5 Regulations, dated September 16, 2009 6 7 THE COMMISSIONER: Could you scroll down, Mr. 8 Registrar? There it is. Thank you. 9 MS. DION: 10 Now, under the heading of "Post-Treaty Fishery", 11 you talk about the allocation under treaty with 12 respect to sockeye salmon, among other species, 13 and I wonder if we could take you to Appendix J-1, 14 J-2 and J-3. 15 MS. DION: At this point, I should mark this Appendix J-1, J-2, J-3, they're all together, as one 16 17 exhibit, if you don't mind. 18 THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit number 287. 19 20 EXHIBIT 287: Appendices J-1, J-2 and J-321 from Tsawwassen First Nations Final Agreement 22 THE COMMISSIONER: Sorry, Ms. Dion, just so I can 23 24 follow you, I'm just going back to -- when you 25 originally referred to J-1 --26 J-1 is the map, Mr. Commissioner. MS. DION: 27 THE COMMISSIONER: Pardon me? 28 J-1 is the map, the fishing area. MS. DION: 29 THE COMMISSIONER: Right. But you referred to it 30 earlier as a page number of the treaty itself, did 31 you not? 32 MS. DION: At the bottom of each of the appendices, 33 there's a page number. 34 THE COMMISSIONER: Right. But I'm just -- is it these 35 are all separate documents? 36 MS. DION: Well, the appendixes as one -- it's about 37 300 pages in total. So what I'm doing is just 38 entering two exhibits. One is the Appendix A-1 and J-1, and attached to J-1 are the allocations 39 40 that I'd like to take Chief Baird to now. 41 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Now, Exhibit 284, I had 42 as Appendix A. Is that correct? 43 MS. DION: That's the traditional territory, that's 44 right. 45 THE COMMISSIONER: Right. And then 287, then, will be 46 the three appendices that you've referred to? 47 MS. DION: That's right, J-1, 2 and 3.

46 47

THE COMMISSIONER: I see, okay. Thank you. 1 2 THE REGISTRAR: That's marked as 287. 3 MS. DION: 4 If we could go to J-2, appendix J-2 -- and there's 5 always a blank page in between there so -- it's a 6 bit strange, but -- now, this appendix J-2, Chief 7 Baird, could you explain the total allocation for 8 Tsawwassen First Nation as set out in J-2 with 9 respect to sockeye salmon? 10 CHIEF BAIRD: So basically we went from -- in AFS 11 regime with DFO into a percentage of CTAC is the 12 model under the treaty, so one percent of the 13 total allowable catch for Fraser River sockeye, 14 and we had Fisheries biologists help us with the 15 section because it's quite technical. I wouldn't be proficient to talk about the different 16 allocation formulae for the chum, pink, et cetera 17 18 -- or pink is bycatch, but regardless -- so we've 19 changed from a more or less fixed number of about 20 25,000 sockeye, depending on the year, to about 21 40,000 under the treaty. Of course, that's 22 dependent on the health of the run size. 23 So instead of an allocation no matter what 24 the run size is, we take a percentage of the CTAC. 25 Right. And that's all -- the treaty represents Q 26 the final numbers for Tsawwassen. Tsawwassen 27 can't go back and negotiate any more beyond what's 28 here. 29 CHIEF BAIRD: No. I guess the harvest agreement goes 30 in tandem with this as well for our economic 31 opportunities. 32 Right. And the last question -- second question I Q 33 have is with respect to Appendix J-3. Now, this 34 appendix, Chief Baird, sets out the principles for 35 calculating overages and underages, and you've 36 talked a bit about that in your witness statement. 37 Can you just explain, please, what the principles 38 are and how they operate under -- for the 39 Tsawwassen First Nation? 40 CHIEF BAIRD: Well, the principle of overages and 41 underages is to ensure that there is no incentive 42 to overfish or for DFO to have us underfish. And 43 so if we catch too many fish in a given year, the 44 following year, it would come off our next year's

allocation, or vice versa. If we didn't catch enough fish, then DFO would have to up our

allocation to equalize the situation.

16
PANEL NO. 9 (Affirmed)
Cross-exam by Ms. Dion (MTM)
Cross-exam by Mr. Dickson (STCCIB)

Q All right. And the Tsawwassen First Nation Final Agreement is the only treaty currently, in its effective day, that was negotiated under the B.C. Treaty Commission treaty process; is that right? CHIEF BAIRD: Can you repeat that?

Q The Tsawwassen Final Agreement is the only treaty that's now in effect that was negotiated -- CHIEF BAIRD: Yes.

Q -- under the B.C. Treaty Commission process? CHIEF BAIRD: Yes.

All right. And just with respect to Appendix A-1 and J-1, those two appendices are documents that were negotiated as a result of the treaty, but you are not putting those forward or Tsawwassen First Nation is not putting those forward to link to any legal claim or any claim outside of the treaty.

CHIEF BAIRD: No, the constitutional protections under the treaty are fine with Tsawwassen.

MS. DION: Right. Good. Thank you very much, and those are my questions.

MR. DICKSON: Mr. Commissioner, Tim Dickson, co-counsel for the Sto:lo Tribal Council and Cheam Indian Band. As with Mr. Reynolds, I ask leave that I may be allowed to direct questions to Grand Chief Pennier, and that my co-counsel, Nicole Schabus, be able to question Ms. Quipp.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, thank you, Mr. Dickson. MR. DICKSON: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner.

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. DICKSON:

Q Grand Chief Pennier, the Commission has heard that the Sto:lo Tribal Council is composed of eight Sto:lo bands, and that you are president of the Tribal Council. I would like you to give the Commissioner a bit of a sense of the traditional territory of the Sto:lo, obviously not in order to make any assertion here of aboriginal title, but just to situate the Sto:lo traditionally.

GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: For purposes of this Commission, we're talking about the river from where Kwantlen is, which is Fort Langley. They fished on that area and up into the Fraser Canyon. Some of our people used to fish up to Alexandria Bridge, which is much beyond the Sawmill Creek area.

Q And Sawmill Creek is around about Yale for those of us less familiar.

GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: It's five miles above Yale.

Q And currently, if you could just locate for the Commissioner where the Sto:lo Tribal Council bands have their reserves?

GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: Their reserves mainly are beside the river or on a tributary. Where I'm from, Scowlitz, is at the mouth of the Harrison and the Fraser and, as mentioned earlier, Kwantlen is along the Fraser down the Fort Langley area.

- And as you say, Grand Chief Pennier, you're from Scowlitz, and you were chief of Scowlitz for some time?
- GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: Yeah, chief for many years, as well as being on council for years as well. Through that process, you know, learn about the families and what they do.
- And if you could just describe a little bit for the commissioner your community of Scowlitz, socio-economic conditions?
- GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: Yeah, we have a population of approximately 300 members and we live at Lake Errock and Harrison Mills. In the past, the majority of our people depended upon the logging industry and now that's not a very good industry to be involved in, so a number of our people are unemployed from that. The majority of our people rely on social assistance for their livelihood, you know, so there's very little unemployment in our area (sic).
 - And could you speak a little bit to the connection that the Sto:lo have with salmon and sockeye in particular?
- GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: Well, salmon is important to all of our people, has been for generations upon generations. We hope that it continues to be important for our generations into the future. As time has changed, we became more dependent upon the sockeye because of their abundance and, you know, where they go in to spawn. So, you know, it comes through our different territories and our reserves.

We use it in all of our social gatherings and our ceremonies, you know, to feed the people. It's one of the main things, and part of our teachings is to feed the people when we have our different functions.

Q And can you speak a little bit to the kind of

ceremonial and social occasions in which sockeye is important?

GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: We have, you know, different

GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: We have, you know, different weddings. We have traditional weddings. We have the weddings that are, you know, you go through the province to get your -- all those different gatherings, whether it's ceremony or social. Usually you feed people fish, and there are different other ceremonies like birth, death and changing of -- into womanhood and manhood, those different kinds of ceremonies. A lot of different social gatherings were -- you know, you're having family gatherings. You're having community gatherings, you know. A lot of the time fish is one of the main staples.

Now, how did the Sto:lo fish traditionally and then if you like, you can address how they fish in modern times.

GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: Well, one of the early ways of fishing was through the fish weirs where they built the weirs across the rivers. Whether it was like in Harrison and other tributaries, you know, it was a better system where you could manage how much fish are going through and how much you harvest and what you're going to harvest.

Then you're doing your dipnetting mainly in the Canyon and you're doing some torch-lighting in the rivers so you're selective in what you catch, the fish you catch.

We're into modern times where we're doing the set-netting and drift-netting.

- Yeah, and talk a little bit, if you would, about -- about set-netting and how that's done and drift-netting and the relation between the two and how it's changed, if you would.
- GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: Well, of course, the set-netting, you're putting the one end of the net tied onto land or something on land, whether it's cedar trees or other trees, and you have an anchor in the river. There's been quite a change in the river lately with the gravel accretions, how that's taken away a number of fishing sites for a number of fishing families. Through that change, it led onto where people are more inclined to drift now, because they have lost their fishing sites, so...
- Q Very good. And what kind of equipment is used in

the -- beyond the nets, but describe the boats a 1 little bit that are used in drift-netting. 3 GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: Well, you need -- your power boats are, what, about anywhere from 14 to 24 feet 5 or something like that, you know, depending on how 6 many families you're working with to go out to the 7 Then of course you have to have your motor river. 8 to power it, you have to have your trailer and then all the -- you know, you're paying all kinds 9 10 of money for your nets and all, you know, that 11 goes with it, so... And you've spoken a little bit to the importance 12 13 of sockeye fish themselves to the Sto:lo, but can 14 you speak a little to the importance of the active 15 fishing, the actual activity of going out there on 16 the water and fishing to Sto:lo people? 17 GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: Well, it becomes a family 18 function. You know, you learn from your parents 19 and your grandparents on the importance of fish. 20 You learn about the importance of looking after 21 yourself when you're on the river, because there 22 are dangers out there, and you have to know where the gravel bars are, you have to know where the 23 24 deep water is, the rough water, so -- you know, 25 it's a way of making sure that you're going to be 26 safe out there, you know, and you learn from your grandparents and parents as you're growing up. 27 28 Just going back to drift-fishing for a moment, Q 29 you're talking about having to know where you can 30 do a drift and, as I understand it, there are only 31 so many places you can do a drift; is that right? 32 GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: That's right. The good places, 33 you have to -- you know, you're sharing those with 34 a number of families, and the other places, you're 35 subject to having your net taken through different 36 deadheads and things like that, that could lead to 37 accidents as well. That's why it's important to 38 know where they are. Grand Chief Pennier, I understand you're not, 39 40 yourself, a fisherman most of the time. 41 GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: No, I'm not a fisherman, and 42 didn't have the opportunity to learn from my 43 parents or grandparents. 44 And why was that? 45 GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: I went to residential school for 46 eleven years, so that's why I didn't learn about

47

it.

- From your involvement in the community, however, have you -- have people spoken of a decline in the sockeye fishery, and if so, what impacts has that had that you've observed?
- GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: Well, we can -- we can feel the decline in the sockeye and the other fish because we don't have that on our tables. You know, in years of abundance, the fishing families will share with the other members in the community who don't fish, and in not-so-abundant years, you know, sometimes I buy fish from my neighbours because I don't want to spend all the money with buying boats and motors and whatnot to go fishing.
- And with a lower abundance of sockeye, try to give the Commissioner a sense of how that impacts on the community if you could.
- GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: Well, when you look at Scowlitz, as an example, when the majority of our people are unemployed and there's no sockeye, our people are forced to go out to the different Safeways and the other shopping areas to buy their foods, and sometimes it's not the best quality foods because you're limited with your budget when you're on social assistance.
- Q Very good. I'd like to shift to policy issues, and if you could, Grand Chief Pennier, speak a little bit to the main changes to DFO policy on the management of the fishery that the Sto:lo would like to see.
- GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: You know, when we take a look at section 35.1 that talks about food, social, ceremonial, and it also talks about moderate livelihood. And in terms of the Department and the Government of Canada, there are no changes to laws or policies to reflect what's in the constitution. There has to be changes to do that, and, you know, we're talking about having a better or more authority in working with Canada to make sure that the fisheries are managed in a proper way so that it's beneficial to our people and our future generations as well as the non-Indian population as well, you know. So more better management where we're part of it.
- Q So you're talking there about being part of the management of the fishery. The word that's sometimes used for that is co-management. Is that what you're speaking of?

GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: That's right, but we have to come to a common definition of what we mean by comanagement in terms of where we're at with -- when we look at all kinds of different entities that are involved in fishing, there's different interpretations and we need to come up with one where we all believe that it's going to work towards making good decisions on fishing.

Well, give the Commissioner a sense, if you could, of what would need to be done to allow for greater co-management between First Nations and DFO.

GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: We really need to have a good negotiation or good understanding with several of the senior officials within the Department of Fisheries and Oceans at that level. Right now we're just meeting with people who come to our tables who put documents on our table and say, "This is what you're entitled to, or you're going to get." You either accept it or you don't accept it. That isn't negotiations.

So, you know, we talk about the right to consult. It has to be done in a good way rather than just the way it's done presently.

- Q So if I'm hearing you there, the issue is that the DFO representatives with whom you meet don't have a mandate to negotiate and make decisions, but rather are --
- GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: That's right, they don't. When I look back at some of the activities we were involved in over the number of years, you know, it's always been difficult to get the attention of the Minister and the Deputy Ministers. Because on a couple of occasions, we had a good fishing at Gill Bay with a number of Sto:lo people and DFO came down hard on us, of course, because we were fishing contrary to what they thought, you know. So we're trying to make sure that they listen to us, and that was one of the ways of getting their attention.

Another incident we had, we stopped -- or blocked the CNR tracks so we could try to talk to the Ministers and get them to come out to us to talk to us, you know, because we're saying that this is how important the fish is to us. So we use those as examples of trying to get them to come to us and talk to us so we can make better changes.

22
PANEL NO. 9 (Affirmed)
Cross-exam by Mr. Dickson (STCCIB)

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

Very well. What you're speaking of there of is Q getting the attention of DFO and being able to speak to those who are in positions to actually make some decisions. Are there -- do you have any comments or concerns to make on the level of resourcing of First Nations so as to engage in comanagement? GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: The level of funding is inadequate, and it isn't distributed in a good You know, when I look back at 1992 when they instituted the AFS, the Lower Fraser Fishing Authority was established, or we established it, working with the bands up and down the river. Through that process, we had our guardians, we had our monitors, we had our biologists and we had people who could work in giving people good information on fishing, but with funding cutbacks, we lost the biologists, we lost the guardians, and now we're just stuck with monitors. Yes. And so the Lower Fraser Fishing Authority is an inter-tribal organization intended, in part, to interact with DFO; is that right?

GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: That was what we had back in '92, '93, '94, that era, and presently we have two or three different organizations that are trying to work with DFO and presently we're working better with some of the bands up and down the river, hopefully getting to -- back to an LFA-type group.

Yes. And do you see a role, do you see it being important that there be groups such as these?

GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: There has to be, because it's part of our responsibilities if we want to be able to look after the salmon for our future generations, and if that's what the rest of the nations up and down the River say, then we have to be able to work together to make sure that that happens.

It will take a lot of time to do it, and one of the examples of people working together for the benefit of a fishery is the Northwest Indian Fisheries Commission down in Washington State.

- Q Is that a -- is that a model that you'd put forward?
- GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: It would be a good one to look at, yes.
- Q And you've spoken a little bit about the history of the Lower Fraser Fishing Authority and how it

23
PANEL NO. 9 (Affirmed)
Cross-exam by Mr. Dickson (STCCIB)

came to be defunct, but can you speak more about 1 challenges that you've seen in terms of creating 3 larger aboriginal organizations? GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: Right now we have a number of 5 different groups up and down the river like the 6 Upper Fraser out of Prince George. We have the 7 Shuswap out of Kamloops area. We have the mid-8 Fraser group and the Interior Tribal Organization, 9 and partly us down in the lower Fraser now, and, 10 you know, if we can come and work together, it 11 would be a lot better for the fish. And what do you think can be done to encourage 12 13 that creation of larger groups in cooperation? 14 GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: I think that we need to have some 15 assistance from the government like funding, and 16 we need to be able to hire our own biologists up 17 and down the river so that we can all work with 18 the same information that the Pacific Salmon 19 Commission has. 20 The Sto:lo have been seeking, as I understand it, 21 more co-management for some time. I believe 1986, 22 you made some efforts in that regard. Can you 23 tell the Commissioner a little bit about that? 24 GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: Yeah, back in 1986 the Sto:lo 25 Tribal Council worked with a consulting company 26 and biologists and developed a co-management 27 proposal and a number of us went back to Ottawa to 28 meet with the Assistant Deputy Ministers to talk 29 about it, and it didn't lead to any agreement of 30 any kind. 31 Back in -- the Sto:lo are part of the treaty 32 process, and in 2000 and 2001, we were talking 33 about negotiating an Interim Measures Agreement on 34 fishing, so we went through a lot of work with 35 having a lawyer, having a biologist, and having a 36 working group, and having members of the DFO sit 37 together to talk about an Interim Measures 38 Agreement on fishing, but it didn't really get anywhere because -- I guess part of the statement 39 40 was that it was -- if it was going to lead to 41 treaty, then why would we negotiate a treaty? 42 And what would the advantages of being able to 43 enter into an Interim Measures Agreement be, do 44 you think?

GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: Well, it would really set out the

roles and the responsibilities of not only the

First Nations and DFO, but it would also help with

45

46

47

24
PANEL NO. 9 (Affirmed)
Cross-exam by Mr. Dickson (STCCIB)
Cross-exam by Ms. Pence (FNC)

the commercial fishermen and sports fishermen, 1 because through that Interim Measures Agreement, 3 there was three different levels of groups being 4 formed to take a look at the fishing issue. 5 Grand Chief Pennier, you're aware, of course, that 6 there's a distinction that's been drawn between 7 food, social and ceremonial fish, and fish that 8 can be sold. How is that distinction viewed 9 within the Sto:lo community and, if you can speak 10 to them, and what's your sense of how it's viewed 11 among First Nations more broadly? 12 GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: Well, when we look at -- you 13 know, we have to come to an agreement about what 14 we mean by "food, social and ceremonial". 15 Presently, we haven't really put our definition in, as far as I -- the Tribal Council hasn't put 16 17 our definition in front on the Department of 18 Fisheries and Oceans. You know, how we mean we 19 want to fish to those three aspects of it, and on 20 a larger scale. We should be doing that so that 21 it becomes clear to all First Nations and the 22 government how -- what "food, social, ceremonial" 23 means and how we can fish to it and protect it. 24 MR. DICKSON: Grand Chief Pennier, those are my 25 questions. Thank you very much. 26 GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: Okay. 27 MR. McGOWAN: Mr. Commissioner, Ms. Pence will be 28 questioning the next witness. Her estimate is 29 approximately 30 to 40 minutes. She could either 30 get started, or we could take the morning 31 adjournment now. 32 THE COMMISSIONER: We can take the morning adjournment. 33 MR. McGOWAN: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. 34 THE REGISTRAR: The hearing will now recess for 15 35 minutes. 36 37 (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED FOR MORNING RECESS) 38 (PROCEEDINGS RECONVENED) 39 40 THE REGISTRAR: Order. The hearing is now resumed. 41 MS. PENCE: Mr. Commissioner, for the record, I'm Leah

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. PENCE:

42

43 44 45

46 47

Q Chief Charlie, I wonder if you could take us for a

And with me is my co-counsel, Brenda Gaertner.

Pence, P-e-n-c-e, for the First Nations Coalition.

moment out of this courtroom and back to where
your people fish and describe where that is and
what that looks like?
CHIEF CHARLIE: Chehalis -- or Chehalis, today we -- we
live on the Harrison River, which is a tributary

- CHIEF CHARLIE: Chehalis -- or Chehalis, today we -- we live on the Harrison River, which is a tributary into the Fraser River. We're about two, two-and-a-half miles maybe or so to the Fraser River. Our tradition territory is the Harrison Lake, Chehalis Lake, coming down onto the Harrison River and bits and pieces on the Fraser River.
- Q Mm-hmm.

- CHIEF CHARLIE: We have a number of tributaries or spawning channels or sloughs that are on the Harrison River. We live in what people call terminal spawning grounds. So there's -- just on the reservation alone there's nine spawning channel.
- Q And what time of year do your people fish for sockeye?
- CHIEF CHARLIE: For sockeye, would be the summer runs but we were -- we're also able to get sockeye on the Harrison into the fall.
- Q Can you tell the Commissioner a little bit more about the traditional fishing methods that your people used and continue to use today?
- CHIEF CHARLIE: Traditional fishing methods for Chehalis would have been spearing and fishing with a net. Those would have been some of the primary ones. If you go back a little bit further there might have been some, as Grand Chief Pennier explained, some of the fish weirs. Today, we still fish with a net, either with a set net or with a -- people call a driftnet fisheries, as well as torch-lighting. So we've taken back our -- our traditional or selective fishing in the fall time with the spears.
- Q Can you tell the Commissioner just a little bit more about what you mean when you say this is a selective traditional method, these various methods?
- CHIEF CHARLIE: The selective way of fishing would be in the fall time, again, the Harrison River is a very shallow river.
- O Mm-hmm.
- CHIEF CHARLIE: If you go back a bit further as well, our people would have went right out to the Fraser River to spear and/or to torchlight. And --

2.8

- Q Can you describe what torch-lighting is for us? CHIEF CHARLIE: A torchlight would be on your dugout canoe, you would have a fire. You would put some sand in the middle of your canoe in a box. You'd gather the pitchy wood up and you would build a fire right in the middle of your canoe. That fire would attract the salmon, as you were poling up and down in the shallow parts of the river and then you could choose -- pick -- select the salmon that you would like.
- Q And how have these traditional fishing methods changed over the years?
- CHIEF CHARLIE: Well, actually, we've just now been allowed again to torchlight in the last few years. It was actually banished for -- for a number of years by DFO. And so we -- we were saying that -- they were saying in the -- for conservation that it was -- wanted to protect the fish and so didn't allow torch-lighting. We were finally able to kind of assert ourselves and say we -- this is actually a really selective way, a good way of harvesting fish.
 - Could you describe for the Commissioner some of the ceremonies that Chehalis holds to honour the salmon?

CHIEF CHARLIE: Salmon...

- Or perhaps I can reframe it. Some of the ceremonies that Chehalis holds that involve salmon.
- CHIEF CHARLIE: Sure. Again, going back to the summary that is here and I -- the Council mentioned that this was a pretty detailed summary. It's actually a pretty brief summary of some of the discussions that had happened, you know, so there was a lot of information that was shared in a short period of time. And this is just kind of a glimpse of it. The same with any of the ceremonies that we have that would be to honour the salmon, people and/or as salmon is used in our ceremonies.

We have a -- from my understanding from some of our history from our stories and our legends that we have in the beginning of time when the world was first created, between the -- between the sun and the moon, when those feelings and emotions came together when the world was first created, we were all equal and the same. And through that evolution -- through evolution from

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

that time, some took different shape and different form and some became the winged, some became the four-legged, some became the plant people and the root people, some became the ones that swim in the rivers and the ocean and some became human. was an agreement in time that all our relations, all living things, they would give themselves to us as humans because we were the weakest. would give themselves for food, shelter, clothing, utensils and for medicine. And alls they had asked for in return was to be respected and to be remembered. And so when the salmon return in the beginning of the year, we have a ceremony to give thanks and gratitude to the salmon people for returning and giving themselves to us again. a part of that agreement of paying respect and giving gratitude.

Other parts of the year, as Chief Pennier explained, there's other ceremonies for many, many different things: for death, for life, for change of life, for weddings, for namings. There's all kinds of ceremonies that go on where we would have salmon that is served to the people because salmon is such a vital part of who we are as a people. And we were supposed to share the wealth of your land. And for who we are, as the Stó:lō people, we are the salmon people, we're the river people. And food has been our -- main part of our diet for so many years so that's the wealth according to our agreement with everything is what we're supposed to share.

And Chief Charlie, is some of what you've just Q described here, would that be considered, forgive my pronunciation, but Snowoyelh and what's known as the law of everything to put it kind of simply? CHIEF CHARLIE: The simple translation of Snowoyelh is that -- means the law of everything . Snowoyelh is a really big word in our -- in our language. would take a number of pages to define Snowoyelh. It's our laws of how we were supposed to live in harmony with all living things, how we were supposed to be stewards of all living things, again going back to that agreement with all our relations. But it's also how we were supposed to get along with each other. It's how we were supposed to get along as -- as xwelmexw, or as human beings. It's how you raise your children.

28
PANEL NO. 9
Cross-exam by Ms. Pence (FNC)

 It's how you conduct yourself and behave at different stages of your life and the different roles and responsibilities that come at different stages of life. So it's a really big word that would take a long time to kind of describe the Snowoyelh.

I'd like to ask you about another word that's often hard to give a specific definition to and perhaps you can talk to what this word might mean to you. And that word is "stewardship". What does stewardship mean to you and how do you exercise stewardship?

CHIEF CHARLIE: Stewardship, again, goes back to that relationship with all living things. But it's also how everything is connected and how everything is interconnected. And so when we talk about this salmon that is the -- we say that it's our -- our greatest resource after our children. Who we are and where we come from is our greatest resource is that salmon. But everything has impacts on everything. And so logging practices, they have impacts on the salmon, how we harvest, how we gather and what we take from the -- the land or the water has impacts on everything else. So a true stewardship is how we conduct ourselves as humans on the land that's going to ensure that all things -- all living things carry on.

Q Could you provide the Commissioner with some examples of stewardship practices that you see at Chehalis and what might happen if those practices aren't adhered to?

CHIEF CHARLIE: Going back to kind of again Snowoyelh and stewardship, we understand that we live on the spawning grounds and the salmon return to us. And we are struggling with the jurisdiction and the mandate that DFO might have and how they choose to enforce that. And getting around to is what we -on our communities, we've had some that would go out fishing -- illegally fishing or poaching in the -- some of the terminal grounds. And there are council that have taken a real stand on this, that this is a communal right; it's not an individual right. It belongs -- that great resource belongs to all of us and belongs to our future generations like you heard some of the other panel members talk about how they want to look after the salmon so that their grandchildren,

great-great grandchildren all have the same thing. And so it's up to all of us to work together to protect that.

But in the past, again, leading back to this poaching of the salmon, some of our members were out fishing and we kept -- continued to call on DFO to enforce their jurisdiction and to put a stop to it. And we'd call in ourselves and says there's -- you know, there's this illegal fishing going on and has been going on for a number of years till finally Council had enough and we said -- finally, we sent letters out and said, you know, there's going to be repercussions of what you're doing. And so we sent letters to the individuals. We hired somebody to sit out there in the river to see who was out there. Sent letters to the gentlemen. The majority of them stopped but there was a handful that didn't. And as a result of that, they -- we -- in Chehalis, we banished a few of our own members. The banishment had a term on it, though. They could come back to the community. They could come back to the community in a year's time to explain themselves and to make amends and make commitments to how we -- they were going to work with us in protection or stewardship of this resource.

Thank you. You've spoken a little bit about this but I wonder if you could just explore for us, when you're exercising stewardship or when you're practising your laws, Snowoyelh, who are you thinking about? Are you thinking about only what's happening right now or are you thinking about next season? How far are you thinking? Who are you responsible to?

CHIEF CHARLIE: Again, in stewardship -- again, in stewardship, in Snowoyelh, is that we were supposed to look after all things so that at least seven generations behind us have the same thing. And we always say that we don't want to be the generation that is responsible for losing something, especially the salmon, and so we look after that. But it's also a part of the Snowoyelh, how you're supposed to look after it so that those that are around you have access to the same thing. And Grand Chief Pennier touched on it, how some fishing -- fishermen would share their -- their gift or their -- yeah, they're

30
PANEL NO. 9
Cross-exam by Ms. Pence (FNC)

gifted fishermen so they would share that with others in their communities that maybe couldn't get out. But we were also supposed to have been thinking about our neighbours. We were supposed to have been thinking those that are further down the line or up the line, depending on where you are. And so we've quite actively done this on the Harrison River with certain runs of fish that go by us up the Lillooet River.

Thanks. I'd like to ask you to describe your people's relationship to salmon for food, social and ceremonial purposes and to comment on this term, this FSC term, that we've come to know. What does "fishing for FSC" really mean to you?

CHIEF CHARLIE: Again, it's -- some of the panel members before me described a little bit about food, social and ceremonial and how it's a term that has been coined or imposed upon us, and maybe with good intentions but again how it's been practised and how it's been looked at and sometimes been used might not be what it was supposed to be. And so food, social and ceremonial, fish is supposed to have been to practice our Aboriginal right to access the fish to look after ourselves for our main source of food and diet for life but also for -- for ceremony.

And we do -- we do it in some of our discussions with -- again, DFO came out to our community and said -- wanted to talk about this FSC and said that they would like us to only -only to use this FSC for death -- to use it at times of death and loss in our communities. We just kind of told them, I said, life is just as important as death and that how do we -- how do we -- or who are we to define what a ceremony is to our communities and to our people. These ceremonies, when we call upon our ancestors and we call upon our neighbours and our respected relatives and friends to come to a ceremony, have a right to -- to be able to share in that -- that great resource of the salmon, what we were supposed to share with them, according to our law. Thank you. Could you speak a little bit about how

salmon contributes to the health -- physical health, community health of your people?

CHIEF CHARLIE: Physical community health, as well as

spiritual well being, again, today where, you know, Grand Chief Pennier touched a little bit about the social conditions in our communities and how we rely upon that resource of the salmon to -as a -- you know, main part of our diet because we have limited resources -- a lot of our community members have limited resources to go to the stores. But again, that salmon when you -- it's been a major part of your diet for so many generations, for so many years, thousands of years and it becomes a part of you and becomes -- if you -- if you will, it becomes soul food, it becomes medicine. And it becomes such a main part of our diet that you -- you crave for it. We get quite anxious for it when the fishing season comes upon us and the different kinds of fishing.

So we look forward to the -- all the different runs of fish and the different ways that we would preserve it or prepare it or use it. So the -- also -- and it's really difficult to explain but there's one example I have of how it becomes medicine, becomes soul food, is that at one time my grandmother was very, very -- you're going to have to apologize -- I might be a storyteller in my time so I can only tell things through a story.

My grandmother was very, very ill and she was not able to keep things down and it was going on three weeks, four weeks, and she was getting very fragile and frail and she couldn't keep any food down. But she kept saying that she was wishing for sturgeon soup. So finally I was able to find a chunk of sturgeon and I brought it to my grandfather on my dad's side. And my grandfather cooked some soup for her. I picked it up later and brought it to my grandmother and she ate the soup. Had about three or four feeds of it. And then she got better. She started eating again and started carrying on again and she was quite well again for sometime.

A number of months again, maybe nine or ten months later, same thing happened, my grandmother became very ill, couldn't stomach anything, couldn't keep anything down and we knew a little bit earlier this time. Again, I went and picked up a piece of sturgeon to my -- brought from my grandfather and I -- this time, I sat with him, as

he prepared the food and again brought it to my grandmother and I sat with her and we had sturgeon soup together and again she got better.

And so when they talk about it becomes such a mainstay of your diet for so many generations for so long that you start to crave for it, it becomes soul food or medicine. And we have the same use for different parts of the fish and different runs of fish. So whether that's the -- the sockeye or whether that's the chum or whether that's the sturgeon or, you know, the different kinds of fish, we have different uses for them.

Thank you. The Commissioner's been hearing a lot about traditional ecological knowledge, sometimes referred to as TEK. And I wonder if you could provide him with some examples of some of the indicators that you use in your territory to know when it's time to go fishing.

CHIEF CHARLIE: I'm a fisherman. I've been fishing most of my life, since I can remember. on the water and it's -- the actual practice of fishing is -- is a medicine. So for me to go out on the water it's medicine. If anyone -- if anyone -- if you have different gifts or different hobbies or different things that you're good at, and when you -- the reason why you have that hobby, whatever you're good at, you do that because it's peace of mind. It's medicine for you. It's a way to clear your mind, clear your spirit. And you do that and you make things with your hands. For fishermen, it's the same thing. Hunters, they describe it in a similar way. People that play sports do all these different things the same way. That's the same thing for fishermen.

When I was fishing and I went to my grandfather who would -- into his '90s would mend all of his sons and his grandsons' nets. And I went to him one day and -- getting ready for fishing. And he was -- he actually gave me heck because I wasn't bringing my nets to him but then he started saying what was in the water. He started saying, "Son, this is the fish that's in the water and this is where you're going to find them. And this is the kind of gear or this is the kind of net you need to fix to go and catch the fish.

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

And so I looked at my grandfather and I said, "Gramps, like how do you know? You haven't been on the water for ten years." And he's -- he looked around and he took a look at some plants and he said, "Do you see these plants? When they grow, that's the fish that comes the same time." And he started pointing out different birds and different animals and he said -- and he started talking about the different animals that become a part of that system. And he becomes so a part of who they are just by what's going on around him, the time of the year, the heat, the -- the animals, the plants. They're just so connected. Our elders would know what was in the water or when they were on their way according to the environment or the plants or the animals.

And do you have suggestions on how this type of knowledge that you learned from your grandfather and your elders can be incorporated into fisheries decisions and fisheries management today?

CHIEF CHARLIE: Yeah, I definitely think that in fisheries management the traditional knowledge needs to be taken into consideration, needs to be used. Everything evolves and everything is so connected, as I say, and the -- our people have a long, long history of use of the land and the resources that are around us. Kind of another example of the traditional knowledge is that I have a cousin that works at the Weaver Creek Spawning Channel. He's been there for 35 years. And he would work with the Simon Fraser University and they would come out and they would kind of look at the salmon and he would just be walking with these scientists, I guess, or educated people and he would point out some of the fish on the beach and he'd say, "This one spawned. This one didn't spawn but it tried to." And he would just look at a fish and he'd say.

So SFU would take some scale samples and do other tests and they'd come back in a couple of months and verify what my cousin told to them. So he had -- that kind of knowledge is what was passed on to him by his father and by our grandfather and by our great-grandfather so there's that real connection to the -- to the land and to the fish in knowing how to better -- best look after it. We think that by incorporating

that traditional knowledge with the technology and the tools or the -- of today, it's kind of where it needs to evolve to.

Q Thank you. Based on your observations, generally speaking, how would you say that the levels of sockeye returning to your territory are different now than what they were when you were young?

CHIEF CHARLIE: A few different ways, I guess. By being a fisherman up until -- not taking into consideration this last year but before that, being a fisherman on the water we -- there was times when we would go out fishing and you'd -- you'd get pretty tired. When the fish are there -- our grandfather said, "When they're there, that's when you fish and you have to stay at it and you work at it. You stay out there." So we would actually, you know, camp out right on the water. And you'd fish 20-something hours a day and you'd be tired. You'd be tired of gathering and harvesting and doing what we would do.

Up until a few years ago, we would -- we would -- again, as Grand Chief Pennier explained, different fishing methods had to be used because of different conditions that are around us so we had -- it was more driftnet fisheries. And there's only certain spots that you could fish. And so we would spend our time on the water. You would wait three or four hours to do one drift. And so we'd be sitting on the beach resting, suntanning and getting fat and not even getting tired because you maybe, if you were lucky, you got three or four drifts in, in one day. And the reason I say we'd get fat 'cause other guys would bring out their -- their deer meat and their pepperonis and everything and we'd just sit on the beach waiting for your turn to fish, not like the way it used to be.

Again, going back to that -- my grandfather, he was a -- he was a great fisherman. And going back about 15 or 18 -- I don't know how many years ago, my -- for a number of years, my grandfather used to say to us, he goes, "Oh, you poor kids. You have no more fish." This was going back 20 years ago when we thought we had a few fish. And then he would talk about the great runs of salmon that would come on the -- the Fraser and its tributaries. He said you could see the water

46

47

change colour when these schools of fish would come in. And so the -- over my grandfather's time and my time and then you could start to see the decline in the salmon, the continuous decline in salmon for a hundred years.

Chief Charlie, in your summary of evidence, you refer to some of the -- the pressures from various fishers and I think you -- you call it the "conflict on the water". What do you mean when you talk about the conflict on the water?

CHIEF CHARLIE: As well, again, and -- in times the way that things have evolved, there's been more and more pressures from other groups wanting more access or greater access to the -- this great resource that we have. It's a major part of who we are. Again, I was just thinking about them -the Musqueam, as Joe was speaking, and remember going back 20 or so years ago when the -- it was the Musqueams that were having conflict on the water with commercial groups. And there was some conflict and real tension between the commercial fishery groups and -- and Musqueam and others that were in this area. We do know that more and more of that's been pushed further and further up into the Fraser and -- and now we have these -- in our territory, it's the sport fishing groups. And there's hundreds of them that are on the water and tensions in the last number of years between First Nations fishermen and sporting groups has just been escalating and escalating and it's been pretty -- it's gotten pretty bad. My one example of that would be, again, I'm a fisherman and I go out for peace of mind. I go out to -- for fish to feed my family but I also go out there for medicine. For my role today as a chief, I get pretty stressed out, have so much different things and so I go on the water for peace of mind.

This one time when I went out in the water, you might have followed some of it in the media where the -- heard about one of the chiefs that got shot by a pellet gun. That was me. I was fishing on the water and we were waiting our turns to drift. Again, I was waiting three hours or something, sitting on the beach waiting for our turn to drift, and we started developing a bit of a relationship between some of the sporting groups. But they're not all out there for -- to

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

follow some rules or different things that are put into place and there are some that are out there really for conflict and their own interests and they're not very diplomatic about it. And if somebody came and set their boat in a drift -- in a driftnet fisheries, your net is moving and you have to fish in the bars. And so some of the sporting groups were setting up their -- their boats and fishing there and we'd go and ask them to move and they would move.

Except when it came my time to fish, this boat wouldn't move. And so when our net was coming down, we -- our net wrapped around their boat and all of our gear was all tangled up between the two boats. And things escalated so that there was a lot of yelling and screaming and cursing going back and forth to each boat. was me and my brother and then there was two gentlemen on the other boat. The gentlemen from the other boat picked up a dip net and he started clubbing my brother with it. My brother's a big guy and he -- so I stepped up at the front and I was giving him a paddle to defend himself and my brother just said, "Huh, that don't hurt." Just when I looked across, the guy from behind the boat in the back of the boat where I was at as well, picked up a knife and he started coming at me. And it was a filet knife. So if you're on a boat and you're pretty close quarters, I got, of course, pretty frightened.

At the time I didn't know about it because the adrenaline and everything else was going on. So I started giving my brother the paddle. I kept the paddle for myself and I picked the paddle up. Just as I picked the paddle up, he picked up a And alls I could see was a gun and he started pointing it at me. And I seen these hands going like this so I knew he was shooting. I'm not the kind of guy that would run. Guys that know me from sport and that, I'm usually not the kind of guy that would run. If I could have ran that day, I would have 'cause alls I saw was a And I felt something hit me, graze me in the chin 'cause I was ducking and bobbing. I felt something hit me and I come up swinging with my paddle and I clubbed the gum -- gun out of his hand and I picked up the paddle and there was more

 cursing going on. So he picked up a bigger gun and some of the guys -- Native guys on the -- from the beach seen what was going on so -- sorry -- they came up and they kind of surrounded this boat.

Finally, the -- the older gentlemen said -finally talked some sense into the younger guy and said maybe they should leave. So we untangled their boat. I was leaning off the back of our boat and you know what a net is like in the prop and so I was leaning off the back of -- and I untangled their prop out of the -- out of our net. And then as soon as I did that, they sped away. And so I started to untangle our prop. Just when I was able to do that, my brother hollered at me to hang on. And I looked up and this boat that I just untangled came by and it rammed us. And I just grabbed onto the motor and hung on. And if my brother didn't holler at me I would have probably been in the water in the net and in a whole lot of trouble.

And then he proceeded -- last moment, he didn't ram us. So at the last minute he turned and just kind of hit us but with enough impact and then sped away. We got to the beach and was trying to fix our net because it got all ripped up from it being in the props. And I started talking to the guys and I said, "Holy cow, if that was a real gun I'd have been dead." I'd have been dead for trying to practice my Aboriginal right to fish, trying to feed my family, trying to come out here for medicine.

Chief Charlie, sorry, I don't mean to interrupt but I wonder if you could fast-forward to some of the talks that you've been engaging in with what you've called the sporty groups or the recreational fishing groups and what kind of progress is being made on that front now.

CHIEF CHARLIE: As a result of that, we -- I got a number of calls not only from our own community but from other -- other First Nations communities saying, you know, "Just give us the word. We'll leave. Like we're out there. We're with you. Like we'll arm ourselves. We're going to bring our guns out there and we're going to do this and that." And I said, you know, "Hang on, you guys. We're not going to deal with this in this manner.

We're going to try to deal with it in a different manner." And so, I, myself, committed to meeting with some of these sporting groups because we were just developing a relationship around a fishing trail that goes through parts of my reservation for access for sporting groups to go through. So we were already in some discussions. And so I knew the groups and so we came together and I made a commitment that we were going to try to find ways of getting along on the water.

And I committed myself. I attended the first six or seven, eight meetings by myself and I didn't subject our council member, whose portfolio that was or our fisheries' coordinators to those — to those meetings. Things evolved eventually to a place where they've been good but it was very, very difficult because in the meetings some of the sporting groups were saying that we have equal rights. First time they mentioned that I said — I let it go by but I talked to the guys that were trying to chair the meeting and mediate. And I just said, you know what? I'll let that comment go by this time.

I said, "But you have to address it. If you don't, I'm going to." And so it came up again and I looked at the chairs and I just said, "You know what? We don't have equal right. Aboriginal fisheries have an Aboriginal court-protected right to fish and you have a privilege." And so cooler heads prevailed and some of the other guys talked some sense into one guy that continued to say that. And then we stayed at it. And then they kept asking, "Where's the other sport -- where's the other Native fishermen? Like where's the other guys?" We had some commitment from other First Nations to be there but in the early goings, they weren't there. And I just kind of told them again, I said, "You know what? I'm here. could leave. Then who are you going to have?" we should consider starting with this small group and expanding and building on that." Chehalis, we have a fairly big area where we fish on the Fraser, from what we call Hooknose just below the Agassiz/Rosedale Bridge to Island 22. So we fish a really big area, along with the Scowlitz.

So I said, you know, "We can start here and when others are ready to come on we could build

39
PANEL NO. 9
Cross-exam by Ms. Pence (FNC)
Cross-exam by Ms. Schabus (STCCIB)

some success." And so we made a real commitment to -- to those meetings and I -- I believe more and more First Nations are at the table now, as well as a number of other sporting groups. And I've kind of backed out and I go when I can but now our fisheries coordinator and our portfolioholder attend the meetings.

Thank you. Chief Charlie, my final questions to you are about co-management or joint management. And I wonder if you can explain to the Commissioner what -- what the term "co-management" or "joint management" -- you let me know what you prefer -- what that means. What's your definition of that? What's your vision for co-management?

CHIEF CHARLIE: Again, as a few of the panel members described earlier about the practice of today where there's -- an agreement is brought forward to us and kind of put on a table and said, "Sign this or you don't really have anything," we think that co-management needs to be where we can actually sit down with a -- and come up with ways of going forward, whether it's in harvesting. But co-management needs to be across the board. It needs to be co-management of harvesting but also stewardship of enhancement and a protection of the waterways or spawning grounds. It needs to be all-inclusive of everything and not just harvesting.

MS. PENCE: Thank you. Those are my questions.

MS. SCHABUS: Mr. Commissioner, for the record, Nicole Schabus, S-c-h-a-b-u-s, first initial N., counsel -- co-counsel for STC and Cheam. Mr. Commissioner, with your leave, I would ask to be allowed to direct questions to Councillor June Quipp.

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. SCHABUS:

Q Councillor Quipp, can you please tell us your Halq'eméylem name and what it means?
COUNCILLOR QUIPP: Yes, my Halq'eméylem name is Sioliya and it -- it means the great spirit protector.

And how I got the name was traditionally we go to our families or they come to us and talk about Indian names. And these are names that we have to earn and then end up having have to live up to them. So it took me a long time. I've only had

wasn't sure if I could live up to it so it took me 3 a while to accept an Indian name. But it does mean great spirit protector and it reflects a lot 5 of the work that I've done over the years in my 6 lifetime. 7 And I understand that name was bestowed on you in 8 a ceremony in your smokehouse -- in a traditional 9 ceremony --10 COUNCILLOR QUIPP: Yes. 11 -- in your smokehouse? 12 COUNCILLOR QUIPP: Yes. 13 Now, just to clarify, the people at Cheam are part 14 of the Stó:lō Nation and specifically the Halalt 15 Tribe. Could you please describe the territory that the Halalt Tribe is responsible for, 16 especially along the Fraser River? 17 18 COUNCILLOR QUIPP: Well, our -- for our history, our 19 tribe, in particular, the Halalt Tribe, I think we 20 believe a lot in the -- the -- we go a lot with 21 the kinship ties, you know, the waterways and 22 stuff that -- that we used as -- you know, prior 23 to contact. We had a territory that sustained our 24 lifestyle and so we go right from Hunter Creek, 25 which is just in between Popkum and Hope, down 26 just west of Chilliwack, which is the Halalt Tribe 27 and all through that area along the Fraser River. 28 Now, could you please explain in which areas your 29 people fish and which fishing techniques you use? 30 COUNCILLOR QUIPP: We have several fishing areas. 31 Number one, we -- we do our main fishing area for 32 -- the main fishers in Cheam is around the Cheam 33 Beach and in that area from Jesperson Road to 34 Hunter Creek. But we also have, as I explained 35 and I may repeat it over and over about the 36 kinship ties that we have with -- you know, with 37 other groups. We have family sites in Yale, as do other families from the Stó:lō territory. 38 39 am fortunate that myself and my direct descendants 40 have fishing areas up in Union Bar, which is just 41 above the Hope Bridge. My husband is from the 42 Union Bar Reserve. And other members of our 43 family ever since I can remember have fished up 44 and I think Kat described it as the Alexandra 45 Bridge. I call it Spuzzum. But my knowledge --46 my recollection is that we fish that area as well. 47 And you used different fishing techniques up Q

my Indian name for a couple of years, as I -- I

towards the canyon and then -COUNCILLOR QUIPP: Yes, in the canyon because it's so
steep and the -- the eddies, we call them, the
pools where we set out nets are so small that we
use poles and pulleys to set the nets there. It's
just -- it's called a set net but -- at one time
our people used dip nets but I don't really recall
until such time that it was banned by the
Department of Fisheries. And so we ended up using
nets -- set nets with pulleys down in Cheam. We
do two different methods of fishing. There's
drifting. And we also do set nets, set net being
a net tied up to shore.

Now, according to your Indigenous laws and knowledge, what have you been taught about your peoples' relationship with the salmon?

COUNCILLOR QUIPP: Well, that we have to respect it. It's a really big part of our lives and I think we face that -- that dilemma and I think really came to realize what our connection was to the salmon in 2009 when to our -- our devastation and our shock, we only had one opening for sockeye salmon. And it was really hard because a lot of our people didn't even get a chance to preserve any of the sockeye that year.

And as a result, a lot of our people, you know, really suffered throughout the year. I have a sister that provides food and myself and -- her and I, we provide a lot of food to our community members. And a lot of that food -- like my sister, although I don't know how she disperses the food that, you know, I provide to her, her comment at a health meeting was that four months out of the year, many of our people live on nothing but salmon. You know, that's the only food they have.

- Q And I was just going to ask you to clarify. When you say -- when you provide food, you're referring to mainly salmon, right? And could you just speak to the kind of -- to the families that your sister would provide food to and what they need that food for?
- COUNCILLOR QUIPP: Well, they're families. We have many families that are -- are on Social Assistance and normally they have food for a week in their house. After that, they're -- you know, they're quite desperate and lucky to get a meal a day. So

anyway, she provides -- people come to her house and she cooks for them and -- and provides food for them if -- her door is always open for -- for anyone that needs a meal.

And can you please explain the central role that the sockeye salmon plays in regard to the culture, spirituality, livelihood and also the economies -- the Indigenous economies of your people?

the Indigenous economies of your people? COUNCILLOR QUIPP: Well, the sockeye have always been an important part in -- in my life -- our lifestyle in our community. And even, you know, we use it to dry. We smoke it. We can it and now, since 1990, we actually freeze it, which is a real culture shock for me to have to eat frozen fish. But now, even today it's more of a -- I really guard and I use the word "hoard" my frozen fish which I never, ever thought I would do because it's so important that we have that. do -- in particular, my family because I came from a very large family. My mother took pride in volunteering our services to people. And so we've all become caterers, we cook -- we cook for ceremonies, we do volunteer cooking.

And almost any meal we cook, I think anybody can vouch that in Cheam we always provide salmon at our ceremonies. And we've always used the sockeye as part of our economic -- it's always been a part of our sustenance in our lives. Like I said, our communities live in poverty. And I come from a family of 17 siblings. So growing up, that was a real big part of, you know, the lifestyle that my mom -- my mother and father had to -- to live was to -- to catch the salmon and actually sell a lot of it in order to -- to provide other food for the family and clothing.

Culturally, we have a longhouse in our community that again we ensure that there's enough salmon to put away to feed the people that come into our longhouse. For those that don't understand what we're talking about, longhouses, in the wintertime we have gatherings and usually weekly gatherings where we feed anywhere up to three or 400 people. And sometimes during the week, we have families that live in the longhouse that we provide that salmon for them, as well as wild meat.

And so I just kind of wanted to, you know,

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2728

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37 38

39

40

41

42

43 44

45

46 47

touch on -- on the difference between hunting and fishing in a comparison to try and make people understand that we feel that we're being really harassed with the salmon regulations and whatnot because it's a good economic benefit for other people than First Nations. With the hunting, it has never been -- we've never been really harassed that much with the hunting. We can still hunt all year around. We don't have to have a license. But we still sell -- we still sell some of the -we eat the meat mostly but we sell a lot of the parts from the -- from the animals, like moccasins, you know, and I'm sure everyone's seen, you know, the beautiful work that comes out of tanned hides. And we've never been harassed. We've never been restricted from selling those items that are made from the hides, as we are with the sockeye. Or any of the salmon so...

- Q And I'm going to ask you -- so what I'm hearing you say is you've always had an economy that's been based on the salmon. I'm going to ask you about the changes in abundance of salmon that you've seen in your lifetime alone and how that has impacted your people.
- COUNCILLOR QUIPP: Well, it's a real -- over the last couple years, or few years, there's -- there's always an anxiety, you know, when it comes to fishing. And especially for myself, I've fished all my life. I processed fish all my life. taught my children, my grandchildren and now I'm teaching my great-grandchildren the meaning of the salmon, how important it is to us, how we can't waste that kind of food and that I never, ever, as a child, ever thought that I'd be so worried as I am today in wondering if there's going to be salmon next year, how much we have to put away. We've got, you know, six freezers where we smoke fish, we can fish and we freeze it. And those freezers are all full. So it's really important --
- And I'm just going to stop you there. What do you keep a lot of that fish for and what does it serve for throughout the year?
- COUNCILLOR QUIPP: As I explained, it's for many reasons. Like I said, we do catering jobs, we cook for funerals and we cook for ceremonial gatherings. I think two weeks ago I cooked for

four days for a memorial. And there was up to five or 600 people there that we fed at least a hundred people for the first few days and then five or 600 for the last day that -- every day, we -- we set the table and we -- we put out salmon on the table, along with the wild meat and other food that we provide.

Q And also, I take it for the smokehouse at Cheam? COUNCILLOR QUIPP: And the smokehouse. We have -- we have our own longhouse at Cheam and, like I said, we provide -- the fish that's in our freezers now, most of it goes to our longhouse. Because of the people that gather there, the people that live there, we make sure that we have enough salmon there, both canned, smoked and -- or -- and frozen for -- to -- we take pride in being able to serve the salmon to -- to the people with our longhouse.

We also have other ceremonies that we've -annually, this year, we -- we missed it but for the last five years, we -- we had a relationshipbuilding barbecue down at our beach. And we invited -- we've had the Department of Fisheries, the RCMP and the -- the invitation has been open to other First Nations to -- and even to the local people in our community. We put an ad in the paper, come and see how we fish. You know, let's -- let's get rid of this myth that we have a wall of death of nets across the river. And so what we do is we -- we have a barbecue right from noon till about five o'clock and we serve salmon and -and anything else that goes with it. And it's free of charge. It's just come and see us, come and get to know us and let us get to know you and so...

I'm going to ask you some questions now also relating to -- a little bit more to policy and implementation. How do you feel that the Department of Fisheries and Oceans deals with your culture, rights and connection with the salmon?

COUNCILLOR QUIPP: I believe they're in denial. They totally ignore it. They don't have a mandate to deal with our culture. They don't have a mandate to deal with -- they do. They don't use it. A real good example is during our -- one of the areas -- ceremonies I did neglect was the first salmon ceremony. And we asked for a ceremonial permit for one fish for that ceremony and we were

1 denied and --Just to clarify, which year was that? 3 COUNCILLOR QUIPP: This year. Last year -- starting, I think, two years ago, you know, it's really upsetting when you use the term "FSC" because it's 5 6 a terminology that's used to explain maybe or 7 justify, you know, some decisions that are made in 8 the Department that, to me, aren't really 9 justifiable. There -- no one's ever -- no one's 10 ever defined "social". Now, the Department in the 11 last two years has been taking it upon themselves 12 to define our ceremonies. And the only ceremony 13 they recognize with us right now is our funerals. 14 You have to die before we can get a ceremonial 15 permit to --To get fish? 16 17 COUNCILLOR QUIPP: -- to set the table. And like I 18 said, I requested a social permit one of the --19 the events I do, I guess, during the fishing 20 season is I've had for the last ten or 15 years 21 now, I have invited people to my home and to our 22 community in Cheam to can fish for their elders, 23 for their families. And we have about -- I'd say 24 about ten different groups from ten different 25 communities, as far away as Fort St. John coming 26 into our community canning fish for their -- their 27 people up there because by the time the fish get 28 to their spawning grounds they're almost not 29 edible. 30 And so the one year I put in for ceremonial 31 permit for this type of event was it was denied 32 because they said it was just under food fishery. 33 So then I requested a social ceremony and --34 permit and -- or a social permit and I never even 35 got the -- you know, the respect of an answer on that one. So still, we're -- we still don't know 36 37 what "social" means when you talk about "FSC". 38 me, it's -- and we've discussed this lots in our 39 community, that it's an easy way for the 40

> And when you refer to "decisions" that will be decisions to limit fisheries?

Department to try and justify some of their

decisions -- or they think they're justifying

COUNCILLOR QUIPP: Yes.

their decisions.

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

Now, in regard to your relationship or communication with the DFO, do you feel there is

room to take your concerns into account?

COUNCILLOR QUIPP: Not the way the -- the system's set up. You know, I really -- in our discussions, we talk about the regulations, the guidelines that the Department of Fisheries or any government organization has to follow. And quite often, the decisions that are made by them are -- are more -- they follow some guidelines, although we've never seen the Department of Fisheries guidelines on consultation.

They'll come to a meeting or we'll go to a meeting and we discuss our concerns and they go nowhere. I believe that in order for, you know, us to be even able to come to some reconciliation with the Department of Fisheries, we have to really look at the guidelines that are set out for the -- the government and make sure that they include us as part of the discussions that -- and I think I might be jumping ahead here but the -- you know, the management of the fishery that we really don't have, you know, any part in the management of the fishery.

We may go sit at the table and have discussions with DFO but they always come with a mandate. And they always come with the thought that the person that comes to a meeting with us is so low on the totem pole they don't have any decision-making authority in order to -- you know, even say we -- we might have a level playing field at negotiating some kind of an agreement that we could all agree with.

Now, I take your people have made substantive proposals to DFO about a more sustainable management of the salmon?

COUNCILLOR QUIPP: One of the areas we talked about and -- and I -- at one time, DFO had a term -- they change their terminology all the time but they had this term that they -- they used was "risk aversion management plan". And the explanation to that risk -- what that means is if they wanted 60,000 salmon to return to the spawning grounds, then they should -- they would have to make sure that 120,000 salmon got by instead of just 60. So our recommendation from our community was that they use that same formula for the Indigenous people along the river to ensure that the highest court decisions in Canada are followed, that if --

 if they're allotting us 600,000 for the Stó:lō people, then they should make sure that there's 1,200 or 1.2 million getting -- getting by past the commercial fisheries. And I think that should be really considered when they're doing their -- their total allocation catch for any other user groups.

Now, we've heard about and questions have been asked about processes. And I take it there's some concerns with processes. But also, in order for any process to work, what do you feel are the substantive requirements that should be met regarding Indigenous peoples and your knowledge?
COUNCILLOR QUIPP: Someone here earlier mentioned that we needed our own biologists, you know, and it sparked something with me that, yeah, we do need our own biologists 'cause we do have several biologists and -- you know, within our Indigenous people now. But I really believe that not just biologists that have had academic training through university but we have many, many people that have lived on the river, that have fished all their lives, that have a lot of knowledge.

And I think Willy touched on a lot of the different signs and symbols that we use when -- when we're -- you know, when we're getting ready to go fish and I agree with those. And a lot of those, I don't even really give out at this particular time. To me, it's -- it's traditional knowledge. But we've -- I think a good example I'd like to give is we've been involved with gravel removal from the river and -- and again, that's just another area where we look at how any development impacts, you know, our fishing or our lifestyle.

And we -- we have developed, going way back to when my father was chief, he did develop gravel plans. When he was the chief, we removed gravel. I think in our history way back we had cobbler -- cobble courtyards. But most recently, we removed gravel from one of the bars and in that gravel plan, and I think DFO has taken credit for it now, but during that -- those gravel plans that were being developed, we built some jetties to enhance the fishing pools -- fishing -- where fish come and rest and feed. And because those are also our -- a lot of them are our fishing sites. And so

48
PANEL NO. 9
Cross-exam by Ms. Schabus (STCCIB)
Cross-exam by Mr. East (CAN)

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

2324

25

26 27

28

29 30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46 47

when we develop gravel plans, we develop them always keeping in mind the habitat. And just to close off, how do you see Indigenous involvement in decision-making or what level should -- at what level should you be involved in? COUNCILLOR QUIPP: At every level. You know, I think we have to be there from the beginning. What's happened over the last -- in my lifetime of, you know, negotiations, agreements and whatever with -- with any government is that they present us with a proposal. They present us with an agreement. And then we may get to make changes, we may not. But I think we have to go right from, you know, the planning, the development of guidelines, the development of legislature, changes, if necessary, and we also have to be able to be sitting at the table to make a decision and not leave it up to the RDG having the final say. MS. SCHABUS: Thank you. Those are all my questions. Yes, Mr. Commissioner. That concludes MR. McGOWAN: the examinations in chief. It might be an appropriate time for the lunch break. THE COURT: Thank you very much. THE REGISTRAR: The hearing is now adjourned until 2:00 p.m.

(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED FOR NOON RECESS) (PROCEEDINGS RECONVENED)

THE REGISTRAR: Order. The hearing is now resumed.
MR. McGOWAN: Yes, Mr. Commissioner. We're now at the stage of commencing cross-examination. Mr. East for Canada is going to go first. I understand he is going to try and keep himself within half an hour, if he can.

MR. EAST: Good afternoon, Mr. Commissioner, my name is Mark East, again the Department of Justice. As Mr. McGowan has said, I will attempt and make every effort to keep my questions within half an hour, recognizing that we will have some hearings in the New Year on this issue, and many of the issues we're discussing today I suspect will be discussed at that time, as well.

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. EAST:

Q Thank you, everybody on the panel, for coming

today. Just as an introduction, I am going to probably ask questions of you as individuals, but I just invite you to jump in and to answer the questions as a collective as I go forward, because many of the questions I'm going to ask, I'm going to try to frame them in such a way that to encourage input from all of you.

One of the things that I'd like to discuss in the time that I have is this issue of comanagement. And I heard what Chief Pennier said about the definition of being co-management - I'm putting words in your mouth, I suppose - but it could have been the eye of the beholder: It's something that needs to be defined. And I was just wondering if you've heard of this structure that's used to define the levels of management as the tier system. There's Tier 1, Tier 2 and Tier 3. Is that a terminology that's used by -- within First Nations communities to describe the different levels of engagement between -- between First Nations and between First Nations and DFO? Chief Pennier.

- GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: I've heard about Tier 1, Tier 2 and 3, but I'm not too familiar with all of it. I know that Tier 1 is amongst ourselves, and Tier 2 is with DFO, and I'm not too sure of what T3 is, whether it's with commercial and sport, or...
- Q I guess Tier 3 would be with essentially all the other fishers, including commercial and recreational. That's my understanding, so... GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: Yeah, good.
- Q I'd like to focus a little bit on what we would call Tier 1, and perhaps as a first document I'd like to look, it's actually -- and this is a question for Ms. Quipp. And it's related to your witness summary, Ms. Quipp, just as an introduction. And this is the exhibit. So it's the "Witness Summary" of Ms. Quipp, Exhibit 278.

So if you go to page 2. I'm just curious and would like to follow up on a comment under the heading "FSC fishery". And if you look at the second line it says:

Councillor Quipp explains that the Cheam band falls under the collective FSC allocation for the Stó:lō Peoples. She advises that the various tribes do not discuss among

themselves how to divide up the allocation.

I just want to clarify when you refer to the tribes, the "various tribes", Ms. Quipp, is that the peoples, the tribes of the Sto:lo Nation, or is that the tribes of the Fraser generally?

- COUNCILLOR QUIPP: Of the Sto:lo Nation or the ones that, you know, like when we talk about Sto:lo Nation, Katzie is usually included in the allocation that we get, and then goes right up to Yale. So those are the ones that I would be talking about.
- Q Okay. So within the Sto:lo community of nations, Sto:lo tribes, if you're not discussing among yourselves how many fish -- how to divide up the allocation, how do you know, then, at the end of the fishing season that you've met the allocation that's been provided to the Sto:lo group, Sto:lo Nation?
- COUNCILLOR QUIPP: We have one -- we have the only monitoring program in the world where we have all of our fish counted so that at the end of the season all of our tallies go into DFO and they know how many fish we got in each community, as well as the -- all of Sto:lo.
- So each of the Sto:lo tribes, or Sto:lo bands reports their catch monitoring to DFO and that's how you know how -- to what extent that all the Sto:lo First Nations have met their allocation?
- COUNCILLOR QUIPP: Yeah, it's done every week as soon as the fishing times that we've been allocated are over, then the stats go in right away.
- Q Okay. I'll probably return to this in a minute if I have time, but I just want to ask at the bottom, and I think at that same page, you refer to that:

The Cheam have their own catch monitors --

- that's the very last sentence -

 -- who record numbers of fish as they come in. The catch monitors then pass those numbers along to the band office and in turn DFO.

COUNCILLOR QUIPP: Each community has their own catch monitors --

51
PANEL NO. 9
Cross-exam by Mr. East (CAN)

47

1 Okay. 2 COUNCILLOR QUIPP: -- normally throughout the season. 3 So it would be a requirement of DFO to 4 essentially collect all these numbers and to 5 ensure that the allocations are met, that the 6 allocations are fished to and not exceeded? 7 COUNCILLOR QUIPP: Yes. And they do, otherwise they 8 refuse to pay the -- the \$60,000 or whatever it 9 is, annually, they refuse to pay it until all of 10 those reports are in. 11 Okay. And just as an aside, my understanding that 12 Cheam in particular hasn't had a catch monitoring 13 program for the last two years; is that right? 14 COUNCILLOR QUIPP: No, we haven't signed an agreement 15 for the last two years. 16 Okay. 17 COUNCILLOR QUIPP: But I think the catch monitors, 18 they're probably still out there anyway. 19 Okay. That was a preface for a more general 20 question for the panel, and that is in a poor run 21 year where there are concerns that there simply 22 not be enough fish to meet all of your 23 communities' needs, what is your vision for how the First Nations will ensure -- your vision of 24 25 all the First Nations on the Fraser River will 26 ensure that the fish are shared equitably among 27 the nations on the Fraser River. And I'd throw 28 that open to anybody on the panel. 29 CHIEF BAIRD: I think one first step to ensure that 30 doesn't happen would be to provide the test 31 fishery to First Nations. That would be a very 32 positive measure to ensure that if there isn't any 33 fish beyond the test fishery, that First Nations 34 would have access to those fish that are caught 35 in-season as a starting point. 36 MR. BECKER: As a rule a percentage that was proposed 37 by DFO for First Nations to -- to try and see if 38 we could come to some agreement on it, and that 39 was starting at 25 percent and allowing another as 40 it went up the river. Though there was no 41 agreement on it, I believe that if -- if First 42 Nations could rely on the information that was 43 given to them, because it's not the first time 44 that we've come close not to meet our FSC needs. 45 But we're always suspect of -- of the numbers that 46 are being given to us by the Department. Because

there's test fisheries going on in the Straits,

test fisheries going on on the West Coast of Vancouver Island, and those fish are going somewhere, they're actually being sold.

So what we're saying is that -- and I believe what -- in what Kim is saying, give those fish to First Nations, thereby reduce the amount that -the impact on First Nations, and then let us try and decide if -- if we believe there's not enough fish, and if there isn't then we'll work out that percentage and we'll start meeting with First Nations up along the -- the watershed.

Okay. Anybody else?

MR. BECKER: I don't believe you can impose it -impose from the federal side --

MR. BECKER: -- a percentage we and Musqueam could -would have to share.

CHIEF CHARLIE: It's Mark?

Thanks, Mark, for your questions. There's a couple of comments for, I think, the ones that you have asked for the tiers. Again, I'm not as intimately involved in the fisheries at a higher political level, but I definitely think that the tiers need to be across the board. so that if we're going to talk about monitors in relationship to the -- amongst the different tiers, then I think that it should also be in the

So June mentioned a bit about the monitoring system that First Nations are using that is not with the other user groups. There is no counting for other user groups. And so this tiers -- tier system needs to be somehow clear and concise and some clear mandates that should go across the different tiers.

I think the question around the low stocks and the low numbers, and I agree, last year we -or, yeah, it was last year early in the season that the numbers of the early Chinooks weren't returning.

Mm-hmm.

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39 40

41

42

43

44

45

46 47 CHIEF CHARLIE: And we were wanting to have our first salmon ceremony to pay respect. And it was actually one of the test fishery out of Albion that gave us a feel of the early Chinook, what we

call a squawkum (phonetic). Squawkum is that first fish, and it's like the -- and it's like the most prized fish of our people, the king of the fish, if you will, the one that you pay respect to, and the one that you have a ceremony for, because they're the first ones to return to the people. And once you have your ceremony for them, it's like they are supposed to go back and let the rest know that they're still being respected, so that the other numbers can happen, so the others will return. And so that kind of collaboration amongst the test fisheries and other user groups should definitely be out there. We should learn how to spread that out, rather than it being sold somewhere else. It should go for some of those sacred uses.

Another example of the test fisheries and paying respect, I guess, to the low returns, in Chehalis we had a catch for some chum and DFO said for commercial use. So they give us these numbers and our guys went out and they said, well, there's not enough fish. We're not going to fish if there's no fish in the water. And then it was told to us that we needed to use those numbers. We needed to go out and catch those numbers. Our fishermen came back very adamantly to the band office and said "We're not going to fish if the fish aren't there."

And so the proper tests that need to happen before allocations are given out should be a collaborative approach amongst all groups of this co-management that you were talking about. So that's what co-management would mean. It's not just the divvying up of numbers, dealing out cards and saying "This is how much fish you're going to get, and you're going to get." The co-management really needs to be for stewardship and enhancement, and then what goes back to that.

So there's user groups in our fishing -- in our salmon enhancement numbers and some of the commercial opportunities that we get, some of those dollars there are marked to go back into enhancement, and are other groups doing that? Should other groups be doing that same thing, putting "X" amount of their catch or their resources that they make, they should be putting it back into enhancement, as well.

54
PANEL NO. 9
Cross-exam by Mr. East (CAN)

1 So that's co-management. 2 Okay. Mr. Becker. 3 MR. BECKER: Mark, could I just go back to your Tier 1, 4 Tier 2 and Tier 3? 5 Yeah. 6 MR. BECKER: In 1992 when the Lower Fraser was 7 negotiating with the Department of Fisheries and 8 Oceans on the first AFS agreement, in the end of 9 that process, the other user groups were invited 10 into that meeting. And I think we went two days 11 without any kind of a consensus being reached 12 between the user groups and First Nations. So it 13 has been tried, but there was never any kind of an 14 agreement. Just to let you know that part. 15 Yeah. No, that's helpful, and I'll probably return if I have time to what I guess you would 16 17 call a Tier 3, and that's something that you 18 brought up, Mr. Becker. I'd be interested at 19 some point in discussing, if we have time, your 20 ideas and vision for how all user -- all fishers 21 on the water can get together in some kind of what 22 we would call a Tier 3 initiative, Fraser-wide, to 23 manage and co-manage the fishery, including DFO. 24 One thing I want to focus on, though, is some 25 of the -- what you see is perhaps some of the 26 challenges in getting all of the various First 27 Nations on the Fraser together into one kind of 28 collaborative management organization. I wondered 29 if I could just ask you, based on just a quote I 30 found in one of the -- one of the documents that 31 we -- that we have in our -- on our database, and 32 it's -- Mr. Registrar, it's actually -- it's Tab 33 12 of our list of documents, Canada's list of documents and the CAN number is CAN056656. 34 35 So this is a paper -- maybe I should 36 introduce it. It's a paper, it's called "Review 37 of the Fraser River Aboriginal Fisheries Secretariat". Now, I understand that most of your 38 39 First Nations, or all of them are -- at least 40 participate in the Fraser River Aboriginal 41 Fisheries Secretariat? 42 COUNCILLOR QUIPP: I think a lot of these organizations that are put together, there are certain groups 43 44 that participate and they're -- not all First 45 Nations participate and not all of the leaders 46 participate. Quite often, and especially in Tier 47 1, I think it ended up being a lot of the

technical people from different communities and we don't all have technical people, ended up at these meetings and DFO was calling it consultation. And so they sort of in my mind, in our community didn't really work out. Because when our -- if any of our leaders went, they were unsure of what role they actually played in those Tier 1 meetings. And I think that's the way it was sort of ending up in our community -- for our community, anyway.

×

My understanding of -- and my imperfect understanding of this organization, it provides technical and communication support for First Nations. It's not intended to be a representative body. I wanted to take you to -- however, I wanted to take you to a quote from the document. It's at page 5 of the document. And this is a -- it appears to be a paper done by a consultant for this organization. And it's page 5, under "Review Results". And I just want to read you the first paragraph under "Tier 1", and this is where some of the terminology of tiers comes in. I just want to get your impression of -- your comments on this paragraph. I'm just going to read it out:

One of the most problematic issues addressed in each of my previous Reviews --

- and that's a reference to some earlier papers that this consultant has done -

 -- is the serious division that exist amongst Fraser River First nations. I noted then that the hard feelings that exist between some Nations and Bands made it difficult to get everyone to the table. These relationship problems were then and remain today, complex, rooted in a variety of sources: treaty issues, AFS issues, harvest vs. conservation issues, and others.

 And it's that last sentence that I'd like to have some of your comments on. What are some of the issues that make it difficult, at least according to this person, as to why communities on the Fraser have a difficulty in getting along? First of all, do you agree with that?

COUNCILLOR QUIPP: I agree. I agree there's a lot of conflict within First Nations, and in my experience, my witnessing of how the Department of Fisheries has acted within our meetings, they go from one community to another, and we know for a fact that they've gone up to the -- the communities up -- what I call the Thompson area, and above the Spuzzum Bridge, and they misinform some of the First Nations there. When this has happened in the past, I know we at Cheam have written letters to some of those First Nations and asked them, "Come and sit with us and find out, you know, what's going on." So that's one of the biggest problems I see is that, you know, it's easy for -- for the Department to travel all over the province and spread rumours or whatever, or misconceptions to other First Nations. And it's easy for us to believe some of the stuff they're spreading.

Q Chief Baird?

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

CHIEF BAIRD: I think there's lots of reasons why there's First Nations' conflict and I think it comes down to, in my view, undefined fishing rights. And I think that when you have collaborative sessions that just turn out into venting sessions that don't really result in any true co-management, and there's no strong foundation between the federal government and the First Nations, there's no partnership there. There's nothing to hang our administrative arrangements on, in my perspective. And, you know, when you talk about ceilings on allocations that seem arbitrary to First Nations and a lack of clarity of how those are even arrived at. And the lack of capacity within First Nations to engage in these issues at different times in their different communities, those are all elements that don't help the situation.

And if going forward we want to have a more robust ability to work together in a Tier 1 type of fashion, then we need to have sort of rules of engagement that everyone understands, agrees to, and has input on, so that there's meaning to be --there's real meaning to be in those sorts of forums, in my view.

Q Mm-hmm. I wanted to ask if you've been involved or heard of some -- the recent initiatives on the

57
PANEL NO. 9
Cross-exam by Mr. East (CAN)

Fraser River. One of them is called, and I'll always get this wrong, I always kind of call it "the Forum", but it's the Fraser River Forum on Conservation and Harvest Planning. Are you aware of this initiative that I believe started in 2008? CHIEF CHARLIE: Mark?

Q Yes, sorry.

 CHIEF CHARLIE: Sorry, I don't know the last question.
I'm not aware of it. But I was just going back to
your Tier 1, and the way you read something from
this report. And I read down further in the
report, that would have been my comments. So if
you go down to paragraph 3 and it talks about:

However, recent positive changes...

 Q And this is -- I'm glad you're pointing it out. I was going to go back to that and maybe we can go back to that now. Thank you very much, Chief Charlie, because I think that's important. If we can go back to that same -- same paragraph, and go down to the third:

However, recent positive changes in attitudes, brought on by projected declines in fish stocks, the prospect of increasing competition from "approach" Bands (Vancouver Island Bands are arguing that they be given access to in-river fishing), projected in-river commercial fisheries, the demise of the --

- I think that's the BC Fisheries Coalition -

- BCFC, dissatisfaction with the bi-lateral process and other issues, has resulted in a recent resurgence of interest in attending Tier 1 meetings...

Now, this was in 2007, but would that be your view today?

CHIEF CHARLIE: Yes.

Q That there's been a recent resurgence?
CHIEF CHARLIE: I think so. I was at an All Chiefs
meeting a couple of months back and there was a
few fishermen that came together that had been
working on this Tier 1, came to do a report

58
PANEL NO. 9
Cross-exam by Mr. East (CAN)

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

together to the All Chiefs meeting that was held at the Joe Mathias Centre. I don't remember exactly which date. But we had somebody there from the Coastal, and we had somebody there from the Sto:lo, and the other one was from the Fraser Canyon. And in their own comments before starting they said these guys have been involved in fisheries for quite some time. I don't know if I should say their names, it probably doesn't matter, but it was Chris Cook, Ken Malloway and Fred Sampson, Chief Fred Sampson were the ones that came and did the report together. And they both -- they all three had been heavily involved in fisheries for a number of years and came and said they've come to do this report together, standing in unison to advocate on behalf of all First Nations for co-management of fish, and the resource of the fish. And they stood together and gave a report together, and they said not long ago they would have been poking -- pointing fingers at each other and arguing amongst each other about what numbers they were given and how they were being played against each other. And this time they stood up together to look after the stewardship of the fish.

- GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: And if I can add to that. There is an initiative in this year that where we're trying to bring the Fraser group together to work together, yeah, it's happening.
- Is that the Lower Fraser -- I think its name recently changed, but it's the Lower Fraser Fisheries Alliance?

GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: That's right.

- Q Okay. And that's a -- that's an organization I understand it's still in development, it's in its development stages?
- GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: Yeah, there's still development, but they're gathering more interest from the communities up and down the river.
- Q And that's -- that's an initiative that DFO funds through its AAROM program?

GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: That's right.

MR. EAST: Okay. And there's something similar, well, I won't ask you this, but something similar for... I wonder if we could turn to -- first of all, perhaps I should mark this document that we have on the screen as an exhibit, Mr. Commissioner.

1 2 3

THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit number 288.

MR. EAST:

 I want to talk a little bit more about this, the Forum of -- perhaps we can go to Tab 8 in our list of -- Canada's list of documents. Now, this is -- this is an internal DFO document, so I won't ask you if you're familiar with it and have seen it before. I'm interested in just reading the first paragraph just for introductory purposes:

EXHIBIT 288: Review of the Fraser River

Aboriginal Fisheries Secretariat: March

Nations Fisheries Forum by Dr. Bob Brown

2007, Prepared for the Fraser River First

In 2008 it was recognized that poor forecasted returns for Fraser salmon would limit harvest opportunities and could potentially impact the ability of First Nations to harvest salmon for food, social and ceremonial (FSC) purposes. Recognizing this would pose in-season management challenges for DFO and First Nations, the Department (led by BCI) --

- which is BC Interior Department of DFO -

-- initiated a series of workshops to engage Fraser River First Nations regarding harvest planning for the 2008 season.

And that started, it seems, in the next paragraph, started in January 2008.

My understanding is they met four times in 2008 and it was that process was well-received. Did any of you participate, or do you know people who would have participated in that?

 Going maybe down to the third paragraph. The second paragraph talks about some of the meetings that the organization took part in that talked about:

In preparation for 2009 season, the $ad\ hoc$ transition committee --

- they talk about -

-- evolved into the Interim Fraser River and Approach Working Group (IFRAWG). This working group has continued to fill the role of planning and organizing meetings and communications, with the assistance of the Fraser River Aboriginal Fisheries Secretariat (FRAFS).

So this is essentially a -- it looks like a working group to operationalize some of the things that were discussed at these meetings of the -- of the Fraser River Forum. Do you have any familiarity with that, with that organization?

Okay, I'll leave that, then.

Have you heard of the "Roadmap Process", a process for -- well, maybe I will -- maybe Tab 6 from Canada's list of documents.

So this is a document, again this is a DFO document, and it refers to the DFO and First Nations Salmon Roadmap Process. And the first heading says:

Engagement and input from other First Nations Organizations (e.g. First Nations Fisheries Council, ITO)

And you have a timeline here and you see December 2010 is in the middle. On the far right, and it's very hard to see, so I'll have to get my hardcopy because I can't read what's on the screen. I just want to ask you about this process and its objectives at the end of this chart. Now I'll need my glasses.

"Summer 2012" on this timeline:

A series of meetings for a potential agreement and/or implementation of the new management approach between DFO and First Nations (Fraser and Marine Approach) for Fraser Salmon.

Are you aware of the activities of your First Nations in this Roadmap Process, this series of meetings to this objective? Okay.

 GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: I think Ernie Crey from our group has probably attended a few of these sessions, that's about all.

61
PANEL NO. 9
Cross-exam by Mr. East (CAN)

Q Well, I just flag these now, but I'm sure that we'll return to these at further sessions of this hearing. I just wanted to ask if with your -- your personal involvement and knowledge was of those -- of those processes.

But wouldn't you say that, I guess I'll leave it at this, that there's certainly an interest on the part of the Department of Fisheries and Oceans to work with First Nations on these Tier 1 and tier processes to improve the relationship with First Nations and to move toward a co-management process that works. Would you agree that there's at least been some efforts made in recent times to try to improve that track record?

COUNCILLOR QUIPP: I think I want to just stress on -on one of Kim's comments about, you know, where
nothing goes anywhere, anything. You know, these
meetings happen, there's meetings that happen, and
so-called plans that are made, but they're never
followed through. They're never implemented, and
so a lot of our people get to the point where, you
know, what's the sense of going.

You know, we go and we spend our hard-earned dollars that we do have, little dollars that we have, it creates a real hardship for us, a lot of our communities to attend, you know, these types of organizations. And yet, you know, the Department has its full staff, you know. They have all the, you know, the Justice Department and everyone that they can work with. And yet if we get a lawyer it's going to cost us an arm and a leg that we don't have. So it's really difficult, I think, when we're looking at, you know, even attending some of these.

When I was -- held the position of chief in my community, I never travelled to a meeting. Any meeting that we had with any government organization, they came to us and it made it so much easier for our -- even our members to participate and be part of whatever's happening here. And this is where we fall down, I think, with -- when we might have one representative going to a meeting such as this, and yet the information never really gets back to the ground level where we get our -- where I get my direction from as a leader in my community. I need to have that support from the members that elected me in

there. And if I don't have that support, if they don't know what's going on, we don't know what's going on, we can't present that to them. And so there's a real big flaw right there that lack of capacity is one of them, you know, that we -- we really can't afford to be sending representatives probably to all of these meetings.

Even now, you know, at one time we used to be able to meet with the Deputy Minister who would come out from Ottawa. Now we meet with people such as the Resource Management, who have no authority to make decisions. And it's really hard for us to believe something's going to happen, something positive's going to happen and come out of something like this.

CHIEF CHARLIE: Mark?

O Yes.

CHIEF CHARLIE: Can you ask that question again?

Q Yeah. If I can remember. Now I'm trying to remember how we got to where we are.

CHIEF CHARLIE: Was there attempts to do...

I guess what I'm -- what I'm -- and maybe just to paraphrase what I've heard, it's a real challenge to create these organizations. You create these processes, these ideas, but it's very difficult to implement them on the ground.

CHIEF CHARLIE: I was just looking for your question again.

Q Well, where I was asking about is does your -- CHIEF CHARLIE: Is there attempts to do --

Q -- knowledge involve -- oh, okay. Thank you.

- CHIEF CHARLIE: Has the Department made attempts to -Q Do you agree that the Department has made attempts
 through these initiatives that I've just referred
 to, and just generally, to try to engage First
 Nations on processes for co-management in a system
 within -- for First Nations to talk to each other,
 but also for First Nations to engage with DFO?
- CHIEF CHARLIE: Mark, you know how earlier a few of the panel members had made some comments that DFO would come to them with some of the less senior bureaucrats and come with a -- a letter with no mandate to kind of talk or negotiate and just expect a signature. And then we were saying, you know, we need to talk to the people that have some authority, then it can make some decisions on the ground. That goes both ways.

O Mm-hmm.

CHIEF CHARLIE: And so that if the -- if DFO is coming to First Nations and wanting to develop on comanagement structure, then they need to talk to the ones that have that authority in First Nations communities, and not one-off them -- what they call one-off and go and talk to somebody and say, "Well, we consulted with First Nations, but we don't know who they were and what authority they had, but we consulted with First Nations." You need to go to the chiefs and to the leaders and those that have that mandate and jurisdiction to do those discussions.

And I think I've heard about this "Roadmap" again, but it was more at a technical level. If they're going to talk about things that are put into structure, what it's going to be, if you will, a co-management discussion, then it should be with the proper people.

Sorry, Chief Baird.

CHIEF BAIRD: Yeah, I just want to say that our manager of our Resource Department would typically attend some of these meetings, and she's always scratching her head wondering where it's best to spend her time, because she could go to a meeting probably every day of the week.

O Mm-hmm.

CHIEF BAIRD: So there's that aspect of it. And to be clear, my view, it's really complicated, the interface between Department of Fisheries and Oceans and First Nations, because there's the preseason planning stuff, which in some ways we have time for. Then there's the in-season chaos that really strained relationships, and then there's enforcement on top of that. And then you throw into the mix those First Nations that are in the treaty process, and likely there's no mandate at the treaty negotiation table from DFO, because there hasn't been one for several years. It's the sort of schizophrenic relationship because it's interfacing at so many levels.

So while it is complicated, it is encouraging to hear that DFO's interested in building relationships and co-management. Because I don't see that word on that "Roadmap" myself. So having being aware of that would allow First Nations to adequately resource themselves to participate in

those opportunities, in my view. Yes, Mr. Becker.

3 4 5 MR. BECKER: We've got to build a relationship rather than proceed on this document. Okay.

7 8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45

6

MR. BECKER: The question about is the Department in our opinion striving to make relations between First Nations and DFO better? No. Ideas such as this "Roadmap" pit First Nations against First Nations. And I'm going to give you a good example that goes back to one of your questions before, and I didn't want to open a can of worms here.

Mr. Commissioner, all along this coast there are numerous runs of salmon, numerous rivers where these salmon spawn. First Nations in the North have their own. The Central Coast have their own. Vancouver Island has its own. And the Fraser River has its own. It's with the depletion of all of these, or most of these spawning channels and spawning rivers in the North and in Central Coast, on Vancouver Island, and now the Department has the audacity to come to the Fraser River First Nations and say "Make room for all of your cousins". That's the mentality that we deal with. And you ask the Lower Fraser their views on this, and have we made it clear over the years. And, Mark, I'm sure if you read the historical records going back to 1992, you know what the Lower Fraser's views are of sharing salmon with people who have depleted their own resources in the North and Central Coast.

And you also have pit commercial fishers of Indian ancestry against us rights fishermen. And some of those people we've tried to meet over the years. We could never come to a consensus on how we should sit together. But First Nations themselves have tried this. Now you've got the Department trying to say here's a new document. And I don't believe that Musqueam would participate in it. We've tried all of these things before.

So, Mr. Commissioner, it's the shortage of salmon that forces DFO to come out with documents like this to impose on First Nations.

MR. EAST: Mr. Commissioner, perhaps I should mark this document that's on the screen as an exhibit.

46 47

THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 289.

65
PANEL NO. 9
Cross-exam by Mr. East (CAN)

1 2

 EXHIBIT 289: DFO and First Nations Fraser Salmon "Roadmap" Process, December 2010

MR. EAST: And I believe that I -- on our document on Tab 8, I should mark that as an exhibit, as well, Three Year Strategic Approach to Developing a Comanagement Process for Fraser River Salmon.

THE REGISTRAR: Two hundred and ninety.

EXHIBIT 290: Three Year Strategic Approach to Developing a Co-management Process for Fraser River Salmon: First Nations Component - Draft September 27, 2009

MR. EAST: I'm mindful of the time. I would like to ask one more question, but -- and throw this open to the panel.

We've heard -- I've heard you say that one of the concerns your communities has is the fact that DFO engages you by sending you officials that are at a lower level who don't have the authority to make decisions, they don't have a mandate. And I've heard what you said, Chief Pennier, about how co-management has perhaps different definitions. But at a minimum does co-management entail perhaps a political level of engagement, but also there's a place for the technical and operational level where the biologist -- any kind of discussion that needs a biologist is by definition in my mind technical in nature. And do you agree that DFO and First Nations have to engage at that level, as well, and that the meetings that take place now are valuable for that reason?

GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: Well, it's important that we do have our own biologists to feed us the proper information, and it's okay for technicians to meet with technicians. But for decision-makers, they have to meet with the decision-makers, as well. Because, you know, it's fine to try to build a relationship. This is in relation to your previous question. But a relationship with chiefs and technicians, minor technicians, doesn't really fit the need because you've got to have the deputy ministers come out and say okay, let's get serious. We're going to talk about co-management, and this is how we want to do it. Start at that level, instead of just sending us papers about --

66
PANEL NO. 9
Cross-exam by Mr. East (CAN)

which are meaningless to us in the end, you know. CHIEF BAIRD: I just want to quickly add that Tsawwassen's context is a bit different now in the post-treaty world. We have a joint fisheries committee that sort of formalizes the process about how the technical gets discussed, how the operational aspects get rolled out, and what to do if there's disagreement.

I don't -- I mean, it's early days in this process for Tsawwassen so far. And I don't know that we've got it perfect, but it's a step towards the right direction, and sort of formalizing our relationship with DFO in a way that is workable and has access points from the political to technical and the operational, and even enforcement.

COUNCILLOR QUIPP: In my experience, you know, when -when we have technicians that are involved with
fisheries and that, having the technicians
involved sometimes creates confusion in regards to
consultation when -- and we've experienced it very
clearly in the last couple of years where we had
some of our fisheries technicians working at the
band office. And the Department of Fisheries made
some decisions, and when we asked them about
consultation, they told us they talked to our
technicians.

So there has to be a clear line. I think that the technicians — we take direction from our members, our communities, as leaders in our community. We give direction to the technicians in our community. And there's a big difference there. So that, you know, if the technicians are getting involved, we have to make it very clear to the Department of Fisheries that if they're being involved with our technicians, it definitely is not consultation.

CHIEF CHARLIE: I agree with your comments, and I agree with Grand Chief Pennier, his analogy of that, as well, you know, so decision-makers meeting with the chiefs, and technicians meeting with technicians, and some of those being biologists. But I think you also need to put in there is the traditional knowledge. Right? So again we talked a bit about the traditional knowledge of how everything is connected and we really need to consider that in going forward if it's going to be

67
PANEL NO. 9
Cross-exam by Mr. East (CAN)
Cross-exam by Mr. Harrison (CONSERV)

true co-management.

- MR. EAST: Well, I'll leave it there. Thank you very much for your thoughtful questions, and that concludes my questions, Mr. Commissioner.
- MR. McGOWAN: Mr. Commissioner, I think the next participant with questions is Mr. Harrison for the Conservation Coalition.
- MR. HARRISON: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. For the record, Judah Harrison, last name, H-a-double-r-i-s-o-n. And so the panel knows, I represent a group of conservation groups, environmental non-governmental organizations, and one individual named Otto Langer.

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. HARRISON:

I have very few questions for you and I am going to direct my question to Chief Charlie, but I am interested to hear everybody's opinion if they have an opinion on this subject matter.

You just this morning, and just now you spoke about traditional ecological knowledge and traditional aboriginal knowledge. I'm wondering if you can give the Commission a sense of traditional ecological knowledge or your own personal knowledge with respect to habitat and habitat loss, as well as alterations in habitat in your traditional territory.

- CHIEF CHARLIE: Thanks, Judah. How much time they said you had? Half an hour?
- I believe you have as much time as you want. I'm the one who's limited.
- CHIEF CHARLIE: Okay. Thanks, Judah, and I think that's the exactly going back to some of the traditional knowledge of the impacts and how everything affects everything.

I was sharing with our -- with our council and with some of our group and our community, around wanting to restore some of the spawning channels or the sloughs in our community that have been dramatically impacted by bad logging practices in the past. And one slough in particular is my grandfather's slough, they called it William Phillips Slough, and there is no more water in there.

Every spawning channel in our community is named after an elder because when the Indian Agent

at the time came through, the family that was living there at the entrance to the slough, he just kind of gave that name of that slough, named it after the elder at the time. And so they're named after all -- so we have Ed Leon Slough, Jimmy Charlie Slough, Billy Harris Slough, John Mack Slough, and so on, named after different families that lived there.

All of these spawning channels now are filled with silt, just from bad practices. That silt then comes into the -- into the Harrison River, which has impacted the Harrison River and the spawning channels that are there. And you see those same impacts on the Fraser River, again of all of the gravel build-up that is on there.

Like my grandfather says, we have about 100 years -- well, sorry, this is not from my grandfather. This one comes from some of our technicians in the office. They say we have some records that go back about a hundred years about Chehalis was working with DFO a hundred years ago. And DFO would pay our -- our men in our community to go out and break beaver dams. And my grandfather just said, "Oh, that happened a long time ago, even before DFO came." Because there was a wealth of beavers and they would dam up all of the sloughs, these spawning channels. And what our people would do in the past is they would go at times and break the beaver dams to allow the salmon in to spawn. Then of course you know how the beavers work, they build it back up. And then they would just leave that until it was time for the smolts to return, to go out to the ocean, and then the men would go back in, break the beaver dams down, and allow the smolts to -- to go out, let them build up, let them build up their strength.

The build up, or the bad logging practices again goes more than just the logging on the land, and it also goes to the putting of log booms into the water, and what those tugboats do on the Harrison River. It's not just the sediment that comes off of the logs, but it's the big wakes that these boats make on the shallow river, and they're going and they're washing away banks, and our land is eroding. And again all of that what erodes goes back into the water and just creates silt and

 muck, which is not good for the salmon.

Again, the cycle that we -- the ecology cycle that we don't really -- we try our best to understand and make projections on, and DFO is just like anyone else, has made some bad projections.

Again, if you look at some of the traditional knowledge of how the elders used to think about the return of certain things, according to everything else around it - sorry, I talk with my hands - and so the pussy willows. When the pussy willows arrive and when the robins come back, and then when there's a little black bird that hops around at our -- we call our swimming holes, where you go for your spiritual bath, when those things come around again, that's when that early spring salmon comes back, the squawkum. So you look for those signs before you actually go out.

So they would do the same thing for anything that they were gathering. There were signs on how everything was interconnected.

There's probably lots of examples of the traditional knowledge of the ecology or the environment. Our elders used to tell, predict the weather according to Lhilhegey. Sorry for whoever is taking notes. Lhílhegey is Mt. Cheam. Mt. Cheam, she's one of our Stone People. She's the only one that was volunteered herself to be transformed to stone. We call her our "Mother Mountain". She's a sacred mountain, and she vowed to look after the Sto:lo people and their greatest resource, meaning our salmon. Our elders used to predict the weather according to the snow packs on that mountain. Now today that mountain, she goes bare. So we've seen the -- these impacts on everything around us, the snow packs, the trees, the plants, the animals, and they all have impacts on something else.

So I could go with a couple of more example, but I'll allow some of the others to -- I don't know if that answers your question.

Thank you. I'm interested if anyone else has anything else to say about -- around the general degradation of habitat or personal experience, I'd be very interested.

COUNCILLOR QUIPP: I want to reflect on, you know, we used to have and we still do have telephone

 conferences with DFO, but the biologists would come and, you know, on and give a report about the water, the global warming and everything else. And my sister was on there one day and she had been down the river fishing, and she made a comment, and she said, "Oh, gee, the water is really warm today, but it's quite high." And so when she got on the telephone conference with the biologists from DFO, that was their exact comment, and she was so -- she just couldn't get over it. She said "You mean we have to come and get on this telephone conference to hear something that we've already noted, you know, in our daily life of exercising our rights."

But I have real -- it's been many years since I've talked about the developments around the area, and what impacts it does have on the river, the global warming. And it's not all due to just global warming. It's -- a lot of it's due to development and who has the final say in development, is the Department of Fisheries and Oceans.

So when we look at some of the decisions that are made in regards to development within our territories, it's pretty devastating sometimes that our people that have lived and -- lived you know on the earth and connected with the earth, you know, and how that great sense of belonging to the earth, have to see decisions that are being made that are really impacting our lifestyles. It's really hard for us to accept. And so we feel -- and this is one of the reasons we really, really push to have input in the decision-making of developments, of harvest plans, or whatever the -- you know, happens.

I'm not sure if that answers your question, but it certainly is a devastation for us to sit by and watch the depletion of the stocks, how -- how much anxiety it causes us as people, wondering what impact it's going to have on my grandchildren, my great-grandchildren, their children and grandchildren. It's going to have a really big impact if things aren't changed.

And as I said, you know, we've been commissioned to that. I really hope that, you know, this Commission is going to really do something because we had the Royal Commission on

71
PANEL NO. 9
Cross-exam by Mr. Harrison (CONSERV)

Aboriginal Peoples and it sits on the shelf, with no implementations and no -- no regard for what's in that, the recommendations. None of them have been really even looked at. And so I'm hoping this Commission isn't going to be the same thing. Because in my heart, I'm here because I'm concerned.

CHIEF CHARLIE: If I could -- Judah, I'm sorry, I just was recalling a comment that was made. We had a funeral services for the late Archie Charles, Grand Chief Archie Charles, and he was a real advocate for fishing, and the dry rack fishing especially in the Fraser Canyon. And early last year some of the projections that were coming back was that they were not going to be able to go out dry rack fishing because they said the salmon hadn't returned yet. And it was Grand Chief Archie Charles that kind of said, "We need to get out dry-racking. The fish are here, or the fish are coming. I can feel it in my bones" was the direct comment. And so Grand Chief knew that. don't know how or what indicators he had, but our people have that deep spiritual connection to the salmon. Some of these guys like Grand Chief Archie Charles just knew it. And sure enough last year, look at the returns of salmon.

And so it's some of that knowledge and some of those ones that have that deep connection that's not just to the environment, but also that spiritual insight, if you will, that just kind of knows. So that was Grand Chief Archie Charles that just kind of knew, felt it in his bones that the fish were on their way. And sure enough,

- Q Thank you. Does anyone else have anything to say on that?
- CHIEF BAIRD: I'm just going to say something quickly, and that being in an urban area, habitat loss is of great concern. But what's also of great concern is what are we benchmarking in relation to the environment? I mean, it's getting to a point where we're valuing -- valuing ditches as fish habitat because that's almost what's left to value, and I think we need to step back and take a broader look at what an ecosystem needs. And I have grave concern because it's everyone hires their own scientific experts, and it's in some

ways, I think everyone talks past each other.

And so to me, I know that one of our elders who has passed on, who said that, "You know, to start benchmarking today's environment is not going to fix the problem, because so much loss of habitat has already occurred." So I just felt compelled to say that.

Thank you.

- GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: And I just wanted to add one thing to the conversation, too, because in where I come from, Scowlitz, I mentioned earlier that in the government's wisdom, they decided to riprap all the way down our reserve just to protect the farmers' fields above us. And that's caused a lot of destruction to the Fraser and to the Harrison River, as well. So you know, even though they tried to solve one problem, they're creating a lot more problems now, and that's going to impact on the fish.
- Thank you. I would like to follow up actually, based on what you just said. Being in an urban area, and your territories are in an urban area, do you believe that significant restoration has to occur, starting right now, of fish habitat?

GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: Oh, me? Or are you...

Q Yes, sorry about that.

- CHIEF BAIRD: I believe so. I don't think that we at Tsawwassen have the -- a clear roadmap of what restoration work needs to be done, but certainly under the treaty we have a fund that is aimed towards restoration activities and that kind of thing. So we're very much interested going forward in looking at restoration projects strategically.
- Thank you. Well, while I'm up here, I'm going to ask one more question. You just, as well, you just mentioned taking a step back and looking at the ecosystems requirement -- the ecosystem requirements of salmon generally. I'd like to ask, I mean, when you look and this is for the entire panel, as well but what we would call ecosystem services, and who needs to benefit from salmon. I'm wondering if you can comment on the role that salmon play in the West Coast system, and as well as other -- other needs besides just human needs for salmon.

CHIEF CHARLIE: Thanks, Judah. The eagles right now

are out on the Harrison River. There's thousands, thousands and thousands of eagles that return to the Harrison River every year. They migrate there for the salmon. And "thousands and thousands" is probably not even an exaggeration. Just saying that a couple of Novembers ago me and my youngest son were out doing a tour, we run our tour business, and on four trees alone we counted 200 eagles. And these eagles return every year for the salmon.

The same with the bears. We were out doing -- around that same time, that same year we -- before I became the chief and was doing tours, we were going and there was a big black bear laying on the beach and wouldn't even move when we were going by on the jet boat, because he was so full. He just kind of rolled over and watched us go by. And we finished our tour, and we were going back the other way, and he rolled over and watched us go by.

These salmon that these bears come down for and bring back, you talk to some of the foresters that are working with some of the -- some from the Simon Fraser University that work in Forestry, and they say you can actually determine which trees have had this nutrients, I guess, that come from the salmon that are so close. Going back they can -- they have ways of looking at it.

And so there's so many of the other animals, and again it's this kind of cycle of life, if you will, that benefit from -- from the eagles -- or sorry, from the salmon, and so there's so many.

This time of the year you can see a seagull sitting beside an eagle on the beach. If they were hunting, you wouldn't see that. Come February there's going to be no other birds close to the eagle because they're going to be a little bit more hungry, and then they won't get as close. And it's kind of something to watch out there and to be out there and to kind of see how everything kind of evolves in its cycle and how everything else depends upon it, as well.

So there's probably a few other examples, but I'll just leave it, leave it with the -- with the eagles and the bears.

MR. HARRISON: Those are my questions. Thank you.

MR. McGOWAN: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. I think Mr. Butcher's next. I don't know if you wanted to take a very brief break this afternoon -THE COMMISSIONER: No.

MR. McGOWAN: -- or carry on?

THE COMMISSIONER: No, carry on.

MR. McGOWAN: Thank you.

MR. BUTCHER: I'm David Butcher. I represent the Area E Gillnetters and the Pacific Fisheries Survival Coalition. Those are obviously people who are fishing in the same part of the lower Fraser River as your groups. I have heard bits and pieces of evidence from your oral evidence and from your written summaries with respect to the degree of involvement of each of your communities in the fishery.

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BUTCHER:

- And maybe I'll start with you, Chief Baird, because yours might be the simplest, because you now have the treaty. Can you tell us how many people you have in your community and how many of those are involved in the food, social and ceremonial fishery and how many are involved in the commercial fishery? And then I have the same question for each of the panel members, so that we've got that evidence from everybody. And I don't need precise numbers, but I'm interested in the scale.
- CHIEF BAIRD: I'd say that we are about 420 members, in Tsawwassen. We have about 80 to 100 licenses, which means 80 to 100 Tsawwassen people licensed every year. And probably about 40 to 45 boats we're up to, now. And of that, I would say 80 percent of those would -- maybe 75 to 80 percent this is off the top of my head would fish in the FSC, and upwards of 90 to 95 in sales fisheries.
- Q And do you also have members in the regular commercial fishery as well?
- CHIEF BAIRD: I think we might have one.
- Mr. Becker, can you answer those questions for your community?.
- MR. BECKER: We have roughly 1,300 Band members. We licensed about 100 last year. There were about 40 to 50 boats out. We have upwards of a half a dozen commercial fisherman.

75
PANEL NO. 9
Cross-exam by Mr. Butcher (SGAHC)

- 1 Q Those who have licenses in the regular commercial system?
 - MR. BECKER: In the privileged fishery. Purchased license to -- privilege, to me.
 - Q Mm-hmm. And of those fishing pursuant to your agreement with Canada, how is that divided between food, social and ceremonial and commercial?
 - MR. BECKER: The commission is -- decides how much fish is distributed to the elders and the handicapped, ceremonial, and how much is fished for the community, before we go fishing for ourselves.

 And I think that -- the information on internal distribution is confidential.
 - Q Internal contribution as between food, social and ceremonial and commercial?
 - MR. BECKER: That's what I'm saying. It's a decision that we make, as a band, and I don't think it's anyone's business on how we divide that food, social and ceremonial fish.
 - As between food, social and ceremonial and sales, is that what you mean? Or as between aspects, different aspects of food, social and ceremonial?
 - MR. BECKER: Food and social and ceremonial, that's confidential how we divide that amongst the Band. For commercial, we'll use the term that the Department of Fisheries uses, "economic opportunity". We take a portion of that FSC and transfer it over to sales.
 - Q And you're saying that's a matter that is confidential to the Band?
 - MR. BECKER: No, the -- for public record, you could go to the Department of Fisheries and see what Musqueam transferred.
 - Q Okay. So the only issue that you consider to be confidential is what you use as between food, social and ceremonial?
 - MR. BECKER: Right.
 - Q Fair enough. Sorry.
 - GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: As the president of the Sto:lo Tribal Council, I'm not privy to that information about how many fishermen we have in all of those communities, or who's a commercial fisherman or not, because they have their own group to discuss amongst themselves, you know, how it's -- who's going fishing and when.
 - Q That raises a question that was really asked of Chief Quipp earlier by Canada's counsel, from

something arising out of your witness statement, which seems to suggest that there isn't coordination as between members of the Sto:lo groups as to how many fish each of your groups are going to catch within your allocations. Is that fair? Is that what you're telling us, the two of you?

CHIEF CHARLIE: Go ahead.

GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: No, I was just telling you that I'm not privy to information about who is receiving permits to fish and all that sort of thing from each of the communities. It's not --

Are either you, Chief Quipp, or Chief Charlie, able to give the Commissioner some concept of scale, or number of members, number involved in FSC, number involved in commercial activities?

CHIEF CHARLIE: Thanks, David. There's some questions specific numbers and details of things that I cannot answer because I just don't have the time in the day to keep a handle on all of those, and so we have technicians that would be able to give you definite numbers when its their opportunity to share that. I do know that I think we have over 200 licensed, though, generally, that we hand out. I don't know how many boats. I think it was about 40 boats or so, fishermen.

As far as the comment towards us not knowing or being coordinated on our end to know how many fishermen, we know. Our technicians would know those numbers. And we also have a method of knowing what fish come in, because, again, we're the only user group that counts and lands all of our fish.

So all of the fish get counted at each of the different communities through fish counters. And so I can't give you the answer right now, but if I knew you were going to ask that question, I could have -- If I had my Blackberry turned on, I could e-mail one of the technicians and ask him.

- Q I'm sure the evidence will come out eventually. When you use the word "we", are you referring to the Chehalis or are you referring to the Sto:lo?
- CHIEF CHARLIE: We, as far as numbers that I give you are for Chehalis fishermen, but any -- and how we count fish and how we have landing sites.
- Q And just so it's clear, I think there are about 7,000 Sto:lo members; is that correct?

77
PANEL NO. 9
Cross-exam by Mr. Butcher (SGAHC)

```
1
       CHIEF CHARLIE: Just Chehalis, we're 1,000 on our own.
            So I think 7,000 would be a bit under.
 3
       GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: Yeah, it's a bit under.
            about 6,000 with Sto:lo Tribal Council
 5
            communities, and then there's -- well, there's 24
 6
            bands up in our area, so, you know, you're looking
 7
            at a fairly large population.
            But all included within that 6,000 number, or are
 8
 9
            there others to be added to that 6,000 number?
10
       GRAND CHIEF PENNIER:
                            That's just with Sto:lo Tribal
11
            Council with Cheam in there.
12
            I was a little perturbed to hear what I thought I
13
            heard today, was that some of you were saying that
14
            the Department of Fisheries and Oceans still --
15
            there was still a dispute between your groups as
16
            to what constituted social and ceremonial use.
17
            Did I hear that correctly?
18
       COUNCILLOR QUIPP: Yes, you did.
                                         There is still a
19
            dispute. There's no definition for "social" and
20
            so they give out permits. They give out permits
            for communal licenses for -- they call it FSC, but
21
22
            there's also ceremonial permits that are given
23
            out, but they've never given out a social permit,
24
            and nobody's ever defined what "social" means when
25
            it comes to FSC, because that's what the acronym
26
            stands for, food, social and ceremonial.
27
            And listening to you, today, I would understand
28
            that your social and ceremonial issues would
29
            include matters relating to what I will call human
30
            lifecycle events: birth; marriage; death, those
31
            sorts of things; is that --
32
       COUNCILLOR QUIPP: As well as economic, yeah.
33
            And if I can --
34
       COUNCILLOR QUIPP:
                          Spiritual.
35
            Spiritual, perhaps seasonal celebrations?
36
       COUNCILLOR QUIPP: Yes. Or any celebrations. You
37
            know, like I mentioned earlier, that DFO has taken
38
            it upon themselves to define what our ceremonies
39
            are, and that's only funerals over the last two or
40
            three years. But one of our elders from our
41
            community has actually listed about 50 ceremonies
42
            that we actually practice, different families
43
            practice, not everybody, but different families
44
            practice different ceremonies, and yet those
45
            aren't recognized by the Department of Fisheries,
46
            when we're talking about ceremonies.
47
            There must be a way for you, collectively, to
       Q
```

78
PANEL NO. 9
Cross-exam by Mr. Butcher (SGAHC)

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2728

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46 47

quantify that social and ceremonial need? COUNCILLOR QUIPP: You know, I feed a lot of people. feed a lot of people. I have people come from all over B.C. coming to my backyard and I supply them with whatever fish I can, because I know they need it to bring it back to their families, to bring it back to people. Someone made a comment this morning about really, you know, craving a fish, you know, and one of my elders, years ago, mentioned the fact that she -- her mouth watered whenever she knew it was the season for the salmon, and she knew they were going right by her house. It took me years to realize what that statement meant, because now I have that same watering in my mouth when I know there's salmon and I have no access to it.

I want to go back to your question about, you know, how we -- how we share the salmon and whether we know we have enough for our people. And our indicator for as to whether we've filled an allocation that we need, or that the needs of our people are being filled, is if we don't hear anymore complaints about one of our members getting enough salmon for the year, and I don't think we've ever gone a year where we've had, you know, a member that -- at least one or two members that come and say, "Well, I didn't get my salmon this year," because our fishers, it's a common teaching in our community that everyone gets a fair share of the salmon. And so when our fishers go out, there's quite often a member from a family grouping that goes out and fishes and provides that salmon for their family, and if they don't, some other family is more than wiling to step up to the plate to do that.

CHIEF CHARLIE: I don't mind answering your question. Q Sure.

CHIEF CHARLIE: You're rattling a whole bunch of questions off and I'm having a hard time keeping up and writing them all down.

I want to thank you for your question, and also thank you for being concerned and being perturbed, and I hope that your clientele group is as perturbed that there is no definition right now, except the definition of FSC. And so thank you for being upset about it, and I hope you hep us advocate to make sure that it is defined

properly with our inclusion and because -- and that's the way it needs to be. We can't have it dictated to us what a ceremony is, and that's exactly what's trying to happen. They're trying to put a definition on FSC fishing for us, and we kind of said, "No, no, we'll determine what FSC is, according to our social laws, according to our snowoyelh, according to what our spiritual needs are."

I don't know what faith you are, or what belief that you have, but I'm not going to tell you how to pray and how to carry on with your spiritual practices and beliefs, and that's exactly what happens when they try to define ceremonial need for us. And it's up to us to determine what an FSC is, and I think we can. I can do it for Chehalis, but it's going to be up to other nations to do that, themselves. But it needs to be all of it, FSC. So I hope you help us advocate for that.

- No, I was surprised that 28 years after that phrase was defined or used by the Supreme Court of Canada that there still wasn't a common ground as to what it meant.
- CHIEF CHARLIE: Thank you for your concern.
- Q But Mr. Becker, you were wanting to answer something for a moment there?
- MR. BECKER: Yeah, I just didn't accept your -- whether you were trying to describe ceremonial or social, what you -- what you were trying to say to us.
- Q Well, one of the things I was trying to get was your -- you're the witnesses here, your idea as to what the difference was and what the definitions were.
- MR. BECKER: Well, if you look at -- and I'm sure you've looked at many of the agreements and many of the licenses over time, what it states, and that's FSC, and what it should actually state is just "FC", because there is no definition of "social".

We're on record as trying to sit with the Department of Fisheries and Oceans to get that definition, and that's two years in the making. I agree that it's time that there is definition to "social". And I think it's going to take more than one First Nation to define that, though in the Supreme Court of Canada ruling it only

5 6

12 13 14

29 30

31

32 33 34

35

36

37 38 39

41 42

40

47

pertained to Musqueam. So we're endeavouring to resolve that question.

But if only Musqueam has a definition of "social" and DFO does not agree with it, then we're just wasting our time.

And at the moment, both for the Sto:lo and the Musqueam, you have what are called -- I think they're called Comprehensive Fishing Agreements that you sign each year, and they just contain one number of fish, of sockeye, that each of you can catch for that purpose? Have I described that correctly for the Sto:lo and the Musqueam, at least?

MR. BECKER: Yeah.

GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: Yeah, there's agreements that are signed, yeah, that defines the amounts of fish, yeah.

Q Now, the reason that I'm interested in this issue is that several of you, in your witness statements, have said that you are opposed to the prohibition on the sale of food, social and ceremonial fish. And perhaps if those --Councillor Quipp -- sorry, Grand Chief Pennier, you say, simply, that the prohibition on the sale of food, social and ceremonial fish should be eliminated. Mr. Becker, you say -- or the statement says this:

> Mr. Becker advises that Musqueam have always felt that it had a right to access food fish.

Nobody disputes that.

Musqueam do not propose to break the law and sell FSC, but they do want to have a definition of "social" or "societal" in FSC, which they believe is something that they should define.

Sorry. Perhaps I can just have -- oh, sorry, Mr. Becker, you went on to say -- you say:

> He thinks it is not right that First Nations are told that if they go FSC fishing, then they cannot sell the fish to satisfy other needs. They should have that right; the fish belongs to them.

What I'm trying to get, collectively, from you is 1 your evidence about why it is that you assert that 3 ability to sell the fish that is being provided or allocated to you specifically for that purpose, 5 i.e. the food, social and ceremonial purpose? 6 Does anybody want to answer that question? 7 MS. GAERTNER: Sorry, Mr. Commissioner, I'd like this 8 question posed -- if he's raising it from a witness statement, to the witness who has raised 9 10 it in their witness statement, please. 11 MR. BUTCHER: 12 Well, I'll ask it, first, of Mr. Becker, and then of Chief Pennier, because I think those are the 13 14 witnesses who have raised it directly. 15 MR. BECKER: Could you ask it again, please? Well, I'll ask it this way: It seems to me that 16 17 you're asserting that your group should have a 18 right to sell the fish that have been allocated to 19 you for food, social and ceremonial purposes. 20 that, in fact, your position? 21 MR. BECKER: No. That's my personal view, but not the 22 Band's position. 23 Why is it your personal view? 24 MR. BECKER: I just believe that in economic times, 25 where they are, that individuals should have that 26 opportunity. 27 What is the Band's position? MR. BECKER: No salmon. 28 29 Chief Baird, may I ask the same position from you? 30 CHIEF BAIRD: My personal view is that if fish have 31 been legally caught, First Nations should be able 32 to decide whether they eat or sell it, like any 33 other user group does. 34 Chief Pennier? 35 GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: Well, I made this statement that 36 the sale should be -- or the provisions, whatever, should be outlawed. You know, it's been in the 37 Fisheries Act since 1888 that we're only supposed 38 39 to catch food fish, and that law has been broken 40 for, what, over 100 years? And it still hasn't 41 changed, you know. You know, our people, as I 42 mentioned earlier, people in Scowlitz are -- had 43 depended on an industry that's pretty well dead, 44 now, so they really need to get some money to

provide for their families, and fishing is one of

those ways that they can get money to provide for

them, whether it's food -- different food on the

45

46

82
PANEL NO. 9
Cross-exam by Mr. Butcher (SGAHC)

2 Q

table and clothes on their kids.

Do the other two of you have any comment on that

issue? On the -- yes, sorry, go ahead.
CHIEF CHARLIE: Again, David, thank you for helping us to, I think, clarify a few things. Again, going back to kind of the traditional laws of our peoples, what we call snowoyelh, everyone is born with a different gift. Today, we're kind of forced to conform to what people think we should be and how we should be.

What I'm getting at is at one time you were born — the way my uncle said it. My Uncle Buster said, "You're born with a gift. Everybody's born with a gift. That gift becomes your job. That gift becomes your place in your community" And so if you were the hunter and you went out hunting and you provided meat for those in your village and your community, and maybe you weren't the fisherman. So when it was the fisherman's turn to go out and catch fish, he brought you fish. Maybe he was gifted at working with his hands and working the cedar, working with wood. In exchange, they would share with each other their different gifts for survival.

Same with spiritual people. A spiritual person might not have the time, energy, or whatever, to go out and to hunt or to fish or to work with their hands. And so if I go and look for help from a schwilan (phonetic), a way of thanking that person for carrying their gift in a good way, I'll bring them something that I do. So I'm a fisherman. I'm going to bring them canned fish, I'm going to bring them smoked fish. I'm going to bring them whatever I have as a way of thanking them for the gift that I've been blessed with, thanking them for their gift in looking after me.

And so, yeah, those traditional laws, our social laws, need to be our social laws.

COUNCILLOR QUIPP: I just want to comment on the sales of fish, I guess, in regards to ours, and I really believe that social should cover that. I was asked that question, earlier, whether I felt we should be able to sell our fish out of the FSC allocation and I said, "Definitely," because it's -- depending on whether there's an abundance of salmon in the river, or whether there's only

enough for sustenance. You know, the **Van der Peet** case actually ruled against us in the sale of fish, but that's a case that should be reviewed, as oral evidence was not accepted in that case. And to date I've had several members, several people that have been charged with selling fish, but DFO always drops those charges before they get to the courts, and so when they end up in court they're charged with possession of fish, but they drop the sales charges. So it's definitely a concern, even on the part of DFO, to follow through the charging one of our people with selling fish.

You know, in B.C. we still hold the concept that we haven't sold, ceded or surrendered this province, yet. I've seen no bill of sale that the government owns the salmon. To date, we still own it, we still should have the jurisdiction over that fish.

- So I take it, from your answers collectively, that there's a broad support among the panel for those who continue to sell food, social and ceremonial caught fish; is that a fair summary?
- MR. BECKER: I disagree.

- CHIEF BAIRD: Saying whether it should be allowed for sale versus what people are doing now are kind of two different topics, in my view. In the Tsawwassen context, we took a smaller food, social and ceremonial allocation under the treaty to have a larger sale component within our harvest agreement for that trade-off. So I disagree with how you categorized it.
- Q Does anybody agree?
- CHIEF CHARLIE: I don't agree with your characterization.
- Q Chief Baird, you may not be able to answer this question yet, but do you think your fisheries issues are going to be much simpler for your people now you have a treaty? Is it just too soon to tell that?
- CHIEF BAIRD: I think it's soon, but I think there are signs that we have better ways of dealing with the Department of Fisheries and Oceans on some of our matters. We'll have internal issues to sort out as our population grows and our number of fish don't continue to grow, so I see those internal issues becoming more complicated over time.

But we have multi-year agreements that have 1 sorted out our allocation. Our funding has inflators. It's the first time AFS funding is 3 getting a boost, so to speak, through our fiscal 5 finance agreement under the treaty. 6 So there are some things that are 7 improvements, I would say, to the status quo, and 8 my only hope is that the status quo for everyone 9 else improves no matter what way they decide to 10 11 I heard, I think it was Chief Charlie, say that 12 you banished people for illegal fishing. Have any 13 of the other groups done that or taken steps 14 against people who have been fishing illegally? 15 And I see, Mr. Becker, you're shaking your head in 16 the negative for the Musqueam? 17 COUNCILLOR QUIPP: I quess I'd like to also have 18 addition -- a definition of illegal fishing. 19 I just mentioned, we still have jurisdiction. 20 still have not ceded, surrendered or sold our 21 Province of B.C., yet. 22 Well --23 COUNCILLOR QUIPP: And the reason I am responding to 24 this is because we have about 15 or 20 of our Band 25 members that have been in court for the last 10 26 years and are still on those same old cases that are happening and there's still no answer as to 27 28 whether it's illegal or not. So I'd like to know 29 what the definition of "illegal fishing" is. 30 Well, Chief Charlie, it was you, I think, who used 31 that phrase --32 CHIEF CHARLIE: Mm-hmm. 33 -- and perhaps you can help us by telling us when 34 it was that you, as a community, imposed those 35 sanctions? 36 CHIEF CHARLIE: Banishment from our community was, 37 again, the rationale behind that was our own social law in our own community. Again, reminding 38 39 you that we live on terminal spawning grounds in 40 the Harrison River, and there is spawning sloughs 41 that are there, and some of the activities that 42 were going on were within the spawning channels. 43 And our people say that you do not fish where they 44 reproduce, just like you don't hunt when it's

mating season, and you don't shoot does.

was going against our social laws within our

And so it was under those conditions that it

45

1 community as to where and how they were harvesting 2 fish at the time. 3 And so I appreciate you asking to clarify

And so I appreciate you asking to clarify that, because that's exactly the way it was. Again, we're hoping that we're showing stewardship and we're showing that we're willing to manage in a certain way the resource that comes back to us, and we're hoping that other groups will do the same thing, that co-management means conservation, it means stewardship, and it means enhancement. And so that's what we were looking after, was the conservation and the stewardship of the fish.

- I have some questions about the LFFA. Maybe, Mr. Becker, you can answer this question. Can you tell us why that organization collapsed, from your perspective?
- MR. BECKER: No, I can't.
- Q What happened to it?
- MR. BECKER: Actually, I can only give you what happened at Musqueam, because we were part of it, and Musqueam made a decision to withdraw from that organization and become an independent First Nations and negotiate on its own. So I don't know what happened after that and why it did collapse.
- Q Why was that? Was that a dispute over allocation? MR. BECKER: No, it wasn't.
- Q What was it?

- MR. BECKER: The decision of our political people at the time were to become independent.
- Q And anybody else?
- GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: Well, all I know is that there was a reduction in budgets for the LFFA, then there was a loss of those guardians and a loss of those biologists and other staff, and all that remained was the monitors.
- Q And Mr. Becker, you made mention, earlier, of a hope, perhaps, of some means to bring all of the user groups together. I heard that with interest. How would you suggest that might happen?
- MR. BECKER: I think we have to leave our egos at home. I look around the room out there and I seen one of the gentlemen -- one of your clients that -- that was part of the -- one of the groups that we used to try and negotiate with. We have the recreational fishers that we had in the same room with the commercial people. We had First Nations from the Fraser Watershed. We had First Nations

86
PANEL NO. 9
Cross-exam by Mr. Butcher (SGAHC)

from the approach, from the marine groups. And we 1 thought we could make headway on it. It could not 3 get past the recreational fishers and the 4 commercial fishers. 5 Does anybody else have a comment on that question? 6 CHIEF BAIRD: I think that sort of body has to have 7 legal and political legitimacy with the parties 8 that would be involved in it, whether it be the 9 federal Department of Fisheries and Oceans and 10 First Nations, and to that regard I think it would 11 need legislation and formalized agreement about 12 what it would look like and what it would 13 accomplish and what the roles and responsibilities 14 of everyone involved in it would be. 15 Anybody else? 16 GRAND CHIEF PENNIER: Well, there has to be change in 17 the way that the department is going to recognize 18 the right to fish. Presently, it doesn't 19 recognize it. 20 Chief Charlie or Chief Quipp? I see you writing 21 furiously, Chief Quipp. I don't know if that's --22 if you're making notes for the answer or something completely different. 23 24 COUNCILLOR QUIPP: I'll give you an answer, I guess, my 25 opinion, and I'm answering to the question about 26 the LFFA. I know that was a really big step for 27 us to have the, you know, our own Aboriginal 28 quardians, but I think it became too much of 29 political arena, having the quardians, some of the 30 staff. I think there was a lack of funding. 31 organization was developed with the pilot sales 32 agreement in 1992, and when the pilot sales 33 agreement kind of dwindled, so did the LFFA. 34 CHIEF CHARLIE: I was just generally agreeing with 35 Chief Kim Baird. I think that it needs to be a 36 clear structure and a clear system that's set up 37 with proper mandates. 38 And I get the sense that you've collectively lost 39 confidence with DFO; is that fair, that that's not 40 the body to carry this out? 41 COUNCILLOR QUIPP: I don't think I've ever gained 42 confidence in DFO. 43 Thank you. MR. BUTCHER: Those are my questions. 44 MR. McGOWAN: Mr. Lowes, do you have a question? 45 MR. LOWES: Good afternoon. I act for the B.C. Wildlife Federation and the B.C. Federation of 46

Drift Fishers. My name is Lowes. I have a couple

1 of questions, and I'd like to start with Chief Charlie. 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. LOWES: 5 6 Chief, I was impressed with your terminology when 7 you referred to the fish and fishing as medicine. 8 I take it that you get a great deal of spiritual 9 and emotional sustenance from being outdoors and 10 catching fish? 11 CHIEF CHARLIE: Sorry, I missed your name. 12 Keith. 13 CHIEF CHARLIE: Keith. 14 Yeah. Since we're all on first-name basis here. 15 Lowes. 16 CHIEF CHARLIE: Thanks, Mr. Lowes. Yes. 17 It's an important part of your life, I took it, 18 from your answer? 19 CHIEF CHARLIE: Do you want a short answer or a longer 20 answer? 21 I want the long answer. 22 CHIEF CHARLIE: Yeah, I think being outdoors, being 23 connected with all things is very, very important. 24 And one of my roles in my family and my community 25 might be as a teacher, and so I always talk about 26 -- to those that are coming behind me, walking in 27 a similar way, to have respect for all living 28 things and to pay respect to all living things and 29 to only take what you need and to share the rest. 30 And would you recommend that medicine for 31 everyone? 32 CHIEF CHARLIE: Yeah, we should go right now. 33 And in particular, my clients? 34 CHIEF CHARLIE: Yes. Yes. And, in fact, that's what they get, for the 35 36 most part, out of their activity, isn't it, "re-37 creation"? Isn't that what you're looking for, "re-creation"? Not looking for; finding? 38 39 CHIEF CHARLIE: I don't really understand your 40 definition of re-creation or --41 I'll leave it. When was this pellet gun incident? 42 CHIEF CHARLIE: Not this past summer, the summer 43 before. 44 And do I take it from your evidence that after it 45 was over you set up a series of meetings? 46 you who set up the series of meetings? 47 CHIEF CHARLIE: Yeah, I called upon our fishing

88
PANEL NO. 9
Cross-exam by Mr. Lowes (WFFDF)

```
1
            consulting at the time to help call together a
 2
            group, yes.
 3
            Yeah. And do they continue to today?
 4
            still having those meetings?
 5
       CHIEF CHARLIE: Yes, the next one is on January 11th,
 6
            if you plan on attending?
 7
            Have they done the trick? Have they calmed the
 8
            waters, no pun intended?
 9
       CHIEF CHARLIE: Yes, I think they have, but if -- also
10
            calmed the waters, but also given some clear
11
            definition about the different uses. And so if
12
            you're hinting that there's the same and that it
13
            is the same right. I don't think it is; I think
14
            it's a little bit different.
15
            Well, that leads me into next question.
            mentioned the word "privilege", and I noticed Mr.
16
17
            Becker talked about the privileged fishery.
18
            What's the difference, as far as you're concerned,
19
            between -- well, first of all, are you saying that
20
            non Aboriginal people have no right to fish?
21
       MR. BECKER:
                    They purchased the privilege to fish.
22
            Yeah --
23
       MR. BECKER: We have an Aboriginal right guaranteed to
24
            us under the constitution, s. 35(1).
25
       MR. McGOWAN: Well, Mr. Commissioner, I wonder if what
26
            we're starting to engage in, here, is asking the
27
            witnesses for a legal opinion?
28
       MR. LOWES: No, no, I won't. I just want to know what
29
            the word means to the witness.
30
       CHIEF CHARLIE: Me?
31
            Yes.
32
       CHIEF CHARLIE: Yeah, I think that there -- we
33
            definitely have an Aboriginal right to fish.
34
            No, no doubt about that.
                                      But what -- I'm looking
35
            at the -- I want the meaning of the other word,
36
            the "privilege".
37
       CHIEF CHARLIE: It is -- under s. 35, we have an
            Aboriginal right to fish, and I think that those
38
39
            that are non Aboriginal have a privilege to be
40
            able to be out on the water that they purchase
41
            through a licence or through a derby, or through
42
            whatever process that they used to get there.
43
                   So you think, and Mr. Becker, you think,
            I see.
44
            that non Aboriginal fishermen are buying something
45
            from the government, is that --
46
       MR. McGOWAN: Well, Mr. Commissioner, again, I'm not
47
            sure whether it makes a difference whether he's
```

asking the witness for a legal opinion or their 1 opinion about a legal matter. It's either a legal 3 opinion or it may not be relevant. 4

Well, my understanding --

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38 39

40

41 42

43 44

45

46

47

- MR. McGOWAN: So I don't think there's much use in pursuing this.
- MR. LOWES: My understanding is that the whole reason for these -- for this panel was to get a sense of a world view, and clearly this is part of a world view.
- MS. GAERTNER: Mr. Commissioner, I share the concern of Commission counsel on this matter. I think we are getting into the area of what's a legal opinion or not and what does a privilege under the legal system mean or not. I don't think that the clients here are in a position to be able to speak for Mr. Lowes' clients as to what they think they hold. I don't think we're getting very far in this question.
- MR. LOWES: I won't pursue it. It's not worth the argument.
- The thrust of my question, really, Chief Charlie, was that my understanding of your evidence was that once the dispute or the argument got past the question of rights and privileges and into, "How are we going to fish together in the same place," the meeting got better?

Yes. CHIEF CHARLIE:

MR. LOWES: I have no more questions.

MR. McGOWAN: I think Mr. Janes may be the last participant -- or counsel with some questions.

MR. JANES: Good afternoon, everybody. I have relatively few questions and it would be helpful if we could have --

THE REGISTRAR: Name, please?

Sorry. Robert Janes. I'm here for the MR. JANES: Western Coast Salish First Nations.

It would be helpful if we could have Chief Baird's witness statement up, Exhibit 281, I believe.

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. JANES:

And, first, I'd like to turn to page 1 of Chief Baird's witness statement, and the comments which you actually started to elaborate on a bit earlier with respect to the significance of urbanization

in your territory. And I believe it's, excuse me, towards the bottom you say:

Salmon is one of the only resources left in

TFN's traditional territory, which means it

is all the more important. Fishing is one of

last ways that TFN community can practice its

And I take it that that reflects, in part, the effects of urbanization limiting the ability to pursue things like the traditional hunt or to engage in certain traditional gathering practices simply because places like Vancouver, Delta and such like that are sitting on top of some of your traditional territory, now?

culture, so people are very involved.

CHIEF BAIRD: That's right, yes.

Q And so, therefore, when we look at the range of activities which would have made up your way of life in the past, fishing is probably the most easily accessible of the resource-gathering activities?

CHIEF BAIRD: That's right.

- Q And so as a means of maintaining your culture as an urban or suburban First Nation, the effects of urbanization have increased the importance and significance of the fishery from that perspective? CHIEF BAIRD: Yes.
- And I take it that even if we look at your modern treaty, the reality of urbanization and its effect on the hunting right as reflected in the fact that I believe the hunting right is described in some ways as a diminishing right due to the ongoing effects of urbanization?

CHIEF BAIRD: Yeah.

Q The second thing I want --

CHIEF BAIRD: And our forestry chapter is one page. O Yes.

CHIEF BAIRD: It took more trees to print the treaty than we have in our territory, so...

- Q I expect that maybe commercial orchards might have a better chance of giving you forests? CHIEF BAIRD: Perhaps.
- Q I'd next like to turn to an issue that the panel has been put through for the last few minutes, or probably for the last hour and a half, actually,

around some of the commercial issues. And I'd like to turn to page 3 of your witness statement, Chief Baird. And you say this, or I guess it's you being -- are quoted as saying this:

Chief Baird finds the restriction -

7 8

-- that is, the ban on selling FSC fish --

9 10

11

- paternalistic, and her personal view is that it is nobody's business what people to do with their own fish.

12 13 14

15

And then you go on to say -- actually, let's stop there for a moment. Could you elaborate a bit on why you find it to be paternalistic and what you mean by that?

CHIEF BAIRD: I can only repeat what I said earlier, in that if a fish is legally caught, that it's no one's business what people do with it. If they decide to eat it or to sell it, I think that's their business.

21 22 23

20

Do you see any kind of inconsistency between sale of fish and your traditional way of life and culture?

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

CHIEF BAIRD: No. It's complicated in that sustenance in our culture has evolved over time. So those interactions have evolved as well. So if you were to look at our rights, if they were frozen in time, then people might -- you've heard the argument that you should go fishing in cedar nets in your canoe if you want, but presumably you could do that seven days a week and you wouldn't be limited to four-hour fishing openings and those sorts of things. So I think having -- being able to live off fish, however that translates into modern times, is relevant and logical, in my view.

35 36 37

> And I'm going to suggest it's not just in reaction to modern --

MR. McGOWAN: I'm sorry, Mr. Janes, I just see Mr. Lowes on his feet.

42 43

44

MR. LOWES: I don't know how my friend is going to pursue this, but I rise to just make the point that what's good for the goose is good for the gander, and if we're getting into the area of Aboriginal rights, then I'm taking the same position as my friends took with respect to me.

92
PANEL NO. 9
Cross-exam by Mr. Janes (WCCFSN)

- MR. JANES: To be clear, I haven't asked any questions with respect to rights. I've certainly asked the question about whether certain activities were consistent with their cultural practices.
- MR. LOWES: Well, with great respect, My Lord, I spent a week in the Court of Appeal yesterday -- or last week, dealing with that very issue, and whether that has evolved into a right to sell.
- MR. JANES: To be very clear, I'm just not asking -I'm not going to ask you to make any findings with
 respect to Aboriginal rights. I'm not asking any
 of the witnesses to comment on Aboriginal rights.
 I'm just asking practically, in terms of their
 world view and their culture, whether or not
 certain activities, and in particular this
 question of the sale of FSC fish and the sale of
 fish, is inconsistent with the way in which
 they've practiced -- carried out their way of
 life.
- THE COMMISSIONER: My only concern, Mr. Janes, is just that the answers may be just half a loaf. It's a complex world in which you and your learned friends deal when it comes to those kinds of issues, and I'm not sure covering it in five minutes in the way you're tending is going to be all that helpful.
- It may -- I think there's a problem -- the MR. JANES: problem may be there in terms of the time constraints that we're facing, but it is a significant issue for our clients, and certainly their have been two counsel who have put a number of questions with respect to sale and the way in which sale is carried out and way in which sale plays a role in the view that these people have, today, of the way in which DFO interacts with them, the way in which they're having problems procuring compliance of individuals in their community and such like that, and in fairness to the witnesses and in fairness to my client, Commissioner, I submit at least with the time that we've been allocated we should be able to touch on the matters and deal with them to the extent that we can.
- THE COMMISSIONER: I believe questions have already been posed to the panel members regarding that topic, Mr. Janes. I'm not sure where you're heading with this topic.

MR. JANES: I'm simply, for the purpose of crossexamination, trying to clarify the meaning of certain -- and the significance of certain passages in Chief Baird's witness statement. That's --THE COMMISSIONER: If it's just a matter of clarif

- THE COMMISSIONER: If it's just a matter of clarifying something she's already said, I'm okay with that. If you're going beyond that, then I think Mr. Lowes' objection is reasonable and fair.
- MR. JANES: As you're the commissioner, I'll clearly defer to that.
- Q And just let me, then, go back to your statement, Chief Baird, and I would like to move onto the second part of the passage that I put to you a few moments ago, and I want to try to connect the two. You say here:

Clearly fishermen need to invest in gear, and therefore need a way to raise capital.

Now, I'm going to suggest to you that this ties into the fact that the cost — there is a cost associated with carrying out even the food, social and ceremonial fishery as the Department of Fisheries and Oceans runs it today?

CHIEF BAIRD: Yes, especially with --

MR. JANES: I'm happy to do that.

MR. McGOWAN: I'm sorry to interrupt, Mr. Commissioner. I accept that Mr. Janes is engaged in a cross-examination, but it's starting to sound, to me, a little bit like a friendly cross-examination, and if that's the case, I wonder if it might be more helpful to you if the questions weren't leading.

Q Would you expand upon what the significance of that is in terms of its relationship to the costs of the food, social and ceremonial fishery?

CHIEF BAIRD: Well, the way the fisheries have evolved and the technologies involved in relation to First Nations have to participate in the fishery safely, it does have associated costs, whether it's the boat and the gear and the fuel and the motor and all those sorts of things. Especially, we've sort of evolved from a mosquito fleet, if you will, to small modest gillnetters that have greatly increased safety, and that's been through the AFS program and people being able to reinvest in tier vessels so that they are in more sea-worthy

94
PANEL NO. 9
Cross-exam by Mr. Janes (WCCFSN)

1 vessels to fish in. 2 And what would you see being able to sell FSC fish do -- in terms of being able to address this cost 3 4 issue associated with the FSC fishery? 5 CHIEF BAIRD: Well, if there was more reliance on the 6 ability to make income from the fish that come in 7 that wasn't subject to a year-to-year agreement 8 that can be taken away from DFO at a whim, or 9 First Nations won't sign because of things 10 potentially being imposed on it, it would make it 11 much easier for the participants to be able to 12 plan, over the long term, their participation in 13 the fishery in a safe way, with the proper gear, 14 and build capacity in the participants in the 15 fishery, ultimately, I think. 16 Thank you. Those are my questions. MR. JANES: 17 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. Janes. 18 MR. McGOWAN: Does anyone else have questions? 19 Commission counsel has no questions in re-20 examination. That, I think, concludes our day, 21 Mr. Commissioner. We'll commence tomorrow 22 morning, I believe, at 10:00 a.m., with panellists representing the mid and upper Fraser. 23 24 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, counsel. Thank you very 25 much to each and every one of the panel members 26 for attending this afternoon. Thank you. 27 THE REGISTRAR: The hearing is now adjourned for the 28 day and will resume at ten o'clock tomorrow 29 morning. 30 31 (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED TO TUESDAY, DECEMBER 32 14, 2010, AT 10:00 A.M.) 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45

I HEREBY CERTIFY the foregoing to be a true and accurate transcript of the evidence recorded on a sound recording apparatus, transcribed to the best of my skill and ability, and in accordance with applicable standards.

Diane Rochfort

I HEREBY CERTIFY the foregoing to be a true and accurate transcript of the evidence recorded on a sound recording apparatus, transcribed to the best of my skill and ability, and in accordance with applicable standards.

Karen Acaster

I HEREBY CERTIFY the foregoing to be a true and accurate transcript of the evidence recorded on a sound recording apparatus, transcribed to the best of my skill and ability, and in accordance with applicable standards.

Pat Neumann

I HEREBY CERTIFY the foregoing to be a true and accurate transcript of the evidence recorded on a sound recording apparatus, transcribed to the best of my skill and ability, and in accordance with applicable standards.

Karen Hefferland