

Commission d'enquête sur le déclin des populations de saumon rouge du fleuve Fraser

Public Hearings

Audience publique

Commissioner

L'Honorable juge /
The Honourable Justice
Bruce Cohen

Commissaire

Held at: Tenue à :

Room 801 Federal Courthouse 701 West Georgia Street Vancouver, B.C. Salle 801 Cour fédérale 701, rue West Georgia Vancouver (C.-B.)

Wednesday, December 15, 2010

le mercredi 15 décembre 2010



Commission d'enquête sur le déclin des populations de saumon rouge du fleuve Fraser

Errata for the Transcript of Hearings on December 15, 2010

Page	Line	Error	Correction
ii		incomplete title	Patrick McGowan Associate Commission Counsel
ii		incomplete title	Jennifer Chan Junior Commission Counsel
ii		Judah Harrison is not a Q.C.	Judah Harrison
iv		James Walkus is not a participant	remove from record
iv		Musgagmagw Tsawataineuk Tribal Counsel	Musgamagw Tsawataineuk Tribal Council
Vi		Exhibit 303 Document entitled, "Heiltsuk Marine Use Plan"	Exhibit 303 Document entitled, "Heiltsuk Community Health Study"
vii		Memorandum of Instruction, dated October 23, 2876	Memorandum of Instruction, dated October 23, 1876
19	18	Memorandum of Instruction, dated October 23, 2876	Memorandum of Instruction, dated October 23, 1876
35	25 - 26	Exhibit 303 Document entitled, "Heiltsuk Marine Use Plan"	Exhibit 303 Document entitled, "Heiltsuk Community Health Study"
41	36	bit	big
51	4	(Speaking Haida language) actually Terri-Lynn Williams- Davidson's Haida name	gid7ahl g udsllaay
88	3	(Speaking Haida language) actually Terri-Lynn Williams- Davidson's Haida name	gid7ahl g udsllaay
52	7	schoolagette	sGuuluu jaad
52	9	elaquans	jiila <u>K</u> uns
57	35	Lyle Island	Lyell Island

Suite 2800, PO Box 11530, 650 West Georgia Street, Vancouver, BC V6B 4N7

Tel: 604 658 3600 Toll-free Tel: 1 877 658 2808 Fax: 604 658 3644 Toll-free Fax: 1 877 658 2809 www.cohencommission.ca

Commission of Inquiry into the Decline of Sockeye Salmon in the Fraser River



Commission d'enquête sur le déclin des populations de saumon rouge du fleuve Fraser

Page 2

Page	Line	Error	Correction
73	23	Nimgus River	Nimpkish River

Suite 2800, PO Box 11530, 650 West Georgia Street, Vancouver, BC $\,$ V6B 4N7 $\,$

Tel: 604 658 3600 Toll-free Tel: 1 877 658 2808 Fax: 604 658 3644 Toll-free Fax: 1 877 658 2809 www.cohencommission.ca



APPEARANCES / COMPARUTIONS

Patrick McGowan Commission Counsel Jennifer Chan Commission Counsel

Mark East

Jonah Spiegelman

Government of Canada

Boris Tyzuk, Q.C. Province of British Columbia

No appearance Pacific Salmon Commission

No appearance B.C. Public Service Alliance of Canada

Union of Environment Workers B.C.

("BCPSAC")

No appearance Rio Tinto Alcan Inc. ("RTAI")

No appearance B.C. Salmon Farmers Association

("B.C.SFA")

No appearance Seafood Producers Association of B.C.

("SPAB.C.")

No appearance Aquaculture Coalition: Alexandra

Morton; Raincoast Research Society; Pacific Coast Wild Salmon Society

("AQUA")

Judah Harrison, Q.C. Conservation Coalition: Coastal Alliance

for Aquaculture Reform Fraser Riverkeeper Society; Georgia Strait Alliance; Raincoast Conservation Foundation; Watershed Watch Salmon Society; Mr. Otto Langer; David Suzuki

Foundation ("CONSERV")

No appearance Area D Salmon Gillnet Association; Area

B Harvest Committee (Seine) ("GILLFSC")

APPEARANCES / COMPARUTIONS, cont'd.

David Butcher, Q.C. Southern Area E Gillnetters Assn.

B.C. Fisheries Survival Coalition ("SGAHC")

No appearance West Coast Trollers Area G Association;

United Fishermen and Allied Workers'

Union ("TWCTUFA")

No appearance B.C. Wildlife Federation; B.C. Federation

of Drift Fishers ("WFFDF")

James Reynolds Maa-nulth Treaty Society; Tsawwassen

Derek Christ First Nation; Musqueam First Nation

("MTM")

Sarah Sharp Western Central Coast Salish First

Nations:

Cowichan Tribes and Chemainus First

Nation

Hwlitsum First Nation and Penelakut Tribe Te'mexw Treaty Association ("WCCSFN")

Leah Pence First Nations Coalition: First Nations

> Fisheries Council; Aboriginal Caucus of the Fraser River; Aboriginal Fisheries Secretariat; Fraser Valley Aboriginal Fisheries Society; Northern Shuswap Tribal

Council: Chehalis Indian Band:

Secwepemc Fisheries Commission of the Shuswap Nation Tribal Council; Upper Fraser Fisheries Conservation Alliance: Other Douglas Treaty First Nations who applied together (the Snuneymuxw,

Tsartlip and Tsawout)

Adams Lake Indian Band No appearance

No appearance Carrier Sekani Tribal Council ("FNC")

Terri-Lynn Williams Davidson Council of Haida Nation

APPEARANCES / COMPARUTIONS, cont'd.

Joe Gereluk Métis Nation British Columbia ("MNB.C.")

Nicole Schabus Sto:lo Tribal Council

Cheam Indian Band ("STCCIB")

James Hickling Laich-kwil-tach Treaty Society

James Walkus and Chief Harold Sewid Aboriginal Aquaculture Association

("LJHAH")

Krista Robertson Musgamagw Tsawataineuk Tribal

Lee Schmidt Counsel ("MTTC")

Lisa Fong Heiltsuk Tribal Council ("HTC")

Benjamin Ralston (articled student)

TABLE OF CONTENTS / TABLE DES MATIERES

	PAGE
PANEL NO. 11 (Affirmed):	1
MR. ROD NAKNAKIM In chief by Mr. McGowan Cross-exam by Mr. Hickling Cross-exam by Mr. Butcher Cross-exam by Ms. Schabus	1 6 76/77 84
CAPTAIN DUCOMMUN In chief by Mr. McGowan Cross-exam by Mr. Gereluk Cross-exam by Ms. Williams-Davidson (cont'd)	2 42 88
PRESIDENT GUUJAAW In chief by Mr. McGowan Cross-exam by Ms. Williams-Davidson Cross-exam by Mr. East Cross-exam by Mr. Harrison Cross-exam by Ms. Schabus	4 51 61 73/78 85
CHIEF EDWIN NEWMAN In chief by Mr. McGowan Cross-exam by Ms. Fong Cross-exam by Mr. East Cross-exam by Mr. Harrison Cross-exam by Mr. Butcher Cross-exam by Ms. Schabus Cross-exam by Ms. Fong (cont'd)	5 27 66 74 75/76/79 85 89
CHIEF ROBERT MOUNTAIN In chief by Mr. McGowan Cross-exam by Ms. Robertson Cross-exam by Mr. East Cross-exam by Mr. Harrison Cross-exam by Mr. Butcher Cross-exam by Ms. Schabus Cross-exam by Ms. Robertson (cont'd)	5 20 64 72 76/78 82 86

EXHIBITS / PIECES

<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Page</u>
297	Witness Summary of Rod Naknakim	2
298	Witness Summary of Gary Ducommun	4
299	Witness Summary of President Guujaaw	5
300	Witness Summary of Chief Edwin Newman	5
301	Witness Summary of Chief Robert Mountain	6
302	June 7th, 2010 "Notice to all Food fishers" document	33
303	Document entitled, "Heiltsuk Marine Use Plan"	35
304	Document entitled, "Aquatic Department Dutilh	
	(Heiltsuk for news)"	36
305	Document entitled, "Comprehensive Fisheries	
	Agreement"	38
306	Document entitled, "Spatial Distributions of Sockeye	4.0
007	Streams"	42
307	Métis Nation British Columbia Natural Resource Act	50
308	Comprehensive Fisheries Agreement between Her	
	Majesty the Queen and MTMMS, for the period April	00
	2009 to March 2010	88
EXHIB	ITS FOR IDENTIFICATION / PIECES POUR L'IDENTIFICATION	
R1	Mamarandum datad March 1 1974 by D. Laird	
ΚI	Memorandum dated March 1, 1874 by D. Laird, federal Minister of the Interior and Superintendent	
	General of Indian Affairs	18
R2	Memorandum dated August 17, 1875 from Geo. A.	10
NΖ	Walkem, Attorney General for the Province of British	
	Columbia to the provincial Lieutenant Governor in	
	Council	18
R3	Letter dated October 1, 1875 from I.W. Powell,	10
RO	federal Indian Commissioner for BC to the	
	Superintendent General of the Department of	
	Indian Affairs	19
R4	Extract from Annual Report of the Department of	.,
1 1	the Interior for the Year Ended June 30, 1876, at	
	pp. xv-xvi	19
R5	Memorandum of Instruction, dated August 25,	. /
	1876, from D. Laird, federal Minister of Interior, to	
	the Dominion Commissioner	19
		•

EXHIBITS FOR IDENTIFICATION / PIECES POUR L'IDENTIFICATION

<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Page</u>
R6	Memorandum of Instruction, dated October 23, 2876, from Sir Charles Good, Deputy Provincial Secretary, to G.M. Sproat, Esq., Reserve	
	Commissioner	19
R7	Transcript of Letter dated November 6, 1878, from G.M. Sproat, Reserve Commissioner, to W.	
R8	Buckingham, Deputy Minister of the Interior Letter dated October 29, 1886, from Peter O'Reilly,	19
KO	Reserve Commissioner, to Wm. Smith, provincial Chief Commissioner of Lands & Works, enclosing	
	Minutes of Decision dated October 8, 1886	19
R9	Letter dated October 30, 1886, from P. O'Reilly,	
	Reserve Commissioner, to the Superintendent General of Indian Affairs	19
R10	Excerpts from the Annual Report of the Department	17
	of Indian Affairs, for the years 1887, 1888, 1890 and	1.0
R11	1896 Excerpts from the Annual Report of the Department	19
IX I I	of Indian Affairs for the Year Ended 31st December,	
	1887	19
R12	Letter dated May 28, 1888, from Ashdown H. Green,	
	Surveyor to the Indian Reserve Commission, to the Superintendent General of Indian Affairs	20
R13	Report dated October 12, 1899, from R.H. Pidcock,	
	Indian Agent for the Kwawkewith Agency, to the	00
R14	Superintendent General of Indian Affairs Report dated September 16, 1900, from R.H. Pidcock,	20
	Indian Agent for the Kwawkewith Agency, to the	
	Superintendent General of Indian Affairs	20
R15	Report on the Kwawkewith Agency	20
R16	Transcript of testimony given to the McKenna- McBride Commission on August 22, 1913	20
R17	Letter dated February 17, 1934, from Geo. Pragnell,	20
	Inspector of Indian Agencies for BC to Major	
	Motherwell, Supervisor of Fisheries	20

EXHIBITS FOR IDENTIFICATION / PIECES POUR L'IDENTIFICATION

<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Page</u>
R18	Excerpt from Newell D. (1993), Tangled Webs of History: Indians and the Law in Canada's Pacific	
	Coast Fisheries	20
R19	Excerpt from Assu H. and Inglis J. (1989), Assu of Cape Mudge: Recollections of a Coastal Indian	
	Chief	20

```
1
PANEL NO. 11 (Affirmed)
In chief by Mr. McGowan
```

```
1
                           Vancouver, B.C. /Vancouver (C.-B.)
 2
                           December 15, 2010/le 15 décembre
 3
                           2010
 4
 5
       THE REGISTRAR: Order. The hearing is now resumed.
 6
      MR. McGOWAN: If we could we have the panel sworn.
 7
       THE REGISTRAR: Do you solemnly affirm that the
 8
            evidence to be given by you at this hearing shall
 9
            be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the
10
            truth?
11
      MR. NAKNAKIM:
                      I do.
12
       CAPTAIN DUCOMMUN: I do.
       PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: I do.
13
14
      THE REGISTRAR: I need a verbal...
15
      CHIEF NEWMAN: I do.
      CHIEF MOUNTAIN: I do.
16
17
      THE REGISTRAR: Thank you. And your name, sir.
18
      MR. NAKNAKIM: Rod Naknakim.
19
      CAPTAIN DUCOMMUN:
                         Gary Ducommun.
20
      PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: Guujaaw.
21
      CHIEF NEWMAN:
                     Edwin Newman.
22
      CHIEF MOUNTAIN: Robert Mountain.
23
      THE REGISTRAR: Thank you.
24
      MR. McGOWAN: Thank you. Mr. Commissioner, as has been
25
            the practice for the last few days, Commission
26
            counsel's examination in chief will consist
27
            primarily of introducing the witnesses and having
28
            witness summaries marked, which will amount to the
29
            lion's share of our examination in chief.
30
            going to start on the left and move to the right.
31
32
       EXAMINATION IN CHIEF BY MR. McGOWAN:
33
34
            First we have Mr. Rod Naknakim.
                                             Sir, you are a
35
            member of the Cape Mudge Indian Band?
36
       MR. NAKNAKIM:
                      That's right.
37
            And you are currently the chief negotiator for
38
            Laich-Kwil-Tach Treaty Society?
39
      MR. NAKNAKIM:
                     That's right.
40
            And the Laich-Kwil-Tach Treaty Society is made up
41
            of three bands, I understand?
42
                     That's right.
       MR. NAKNAKIM:
43
            And those are Cape Mudge, Campbell River, and
44
            Kwiakah?
45
      MR. NAKNAKIM:
                     That's right.
46
            Okay. And you had an interview with Commission
47
            counsel on December 7th of this year.
```

```
2
PANEL NO. 11
In chief by Mr. McGowan
```

MR. NAKNAKIM: Yes. 1 Okay. And we prepared a summary of that and 3 you've had a chance to review that? 4 MR. NAKNAKIM: Yes. Okay. If we could have that document brought up, 5 6 please. I've just got it on the screen here. 7 You've reviewed this and do you adopt its 8 contents? 9 MR. NAKNAKIM: Yes, I do. 10 MR. McGOWAN: Okay, thank you. 11 If that could be marked as the next exhibit, 12 please, Mr. Registrar. 13 THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 297. 14 MR. McGOWAN: Thank you. 15 16 EXHIBIT 297: Witness Summary of Rod Naknakim 17 18 MR. McGOWAN: 19 Next is Mr. Ducommun. 20 CAPTAIN DUCOMMUN: Yes. 21 Sir, you are here on behalf of the Métis Nation? 22 CAPTAIN DUCOMMUN: That's correct. 23 You're a professional biologist? 24 CAPTAIN DUCOMMUN: That's correct. 25 And presently you're the Director of Natural 26 Resources for the Métis Nation? 27 CAPTAIN DUCOMMUN: Yes. 28 And you had an interview with Commission counsel 29 on November 17th of this year? 30 CAPTAIN DUCOMMUN: That's correct. 31 And you've reviewed the summary that we produced 32 of that? 33 CAPTAIN DUCOMMUN: I have. And are you content with its contents? 34 35 CAPTAIN DUCOMMUN: There are a couple of fairly minor 36 changes. 37 Okay. If you could maybe just take a moment and Q 38 take the Commissioner through the changes that 39 you'd like to make, identifying the page and the 40 particular change. 41 CAPTAIN DUCOMMUN: Okay. Page 1, last paragraph. The 42 sentence says: 43 44 The buffalo remains an important part of 45 Métis history and cultural events, and some Métis are now involved in efforts to recover 46 47 buffalo populations in Alberta.

3 PANEL NO. 11 In chief by Mr. McGowan

It should actually read "historically Métis were 1 involved in efforts to recover buffalo populations." Period. 3 Thank you for that correction. 5 CAPTAIN DUCOMMUN: Second page, under "Historic and 6 modern participation in the fishery", last 7 sentence: 8 9 Many Métis have family connections in First 10 Nations, and rely on these connections for 11 opportunities to fish. 12 13 I would just insert "and sometimes rely on these connections for opportunities to fish." 14 15 Thank you. CAPTAIN DUCOMMUN: Will I -- will I be challenged with 16 17 word-smithing? Second-last paragraph: 18 19 Aboriginal people have always believed that 20 salmon feed the environment where they live. 21 22 Rather than "environment" --23 Okay. Let me just make sure. You're on the 24 second-to-last paragraph on page 2? 25 CAPTAIN DUCOMMUN: Yes, that's correct. 26 And the third line of that? 27 CAPTAIN DUCOMMUN: Yes. So: 28 29 Aboriginal people have always believed that 30 salmon feed the environment where they live. 31 32 Rather than "feed the environment they live". 33 Right. Thank you. 34 CAPTAIN DUCOMMUN: Last paragraph, last sentence. 35 On the same page? 36 CAPTAIN DUCOMMUN: Yes. 37 Okay. So still on page 2, the last paragraph of 38 your witness summary. 39 CAPTAIN DUCOMMUN: In part: 40 41 ...recommendations related to listings and 42 recovery plans directly to the Minister of 43 Environment... 44 45 Rather than "to directly the Minister". 46 Thank you. CAPTAIN DUCOMMUN: And that's it. 47

4
PANEL NO. 11
In chief by Mr. McGowan

1 Okay. So with those changes made, are you prepared to adopt the contents of your summary? 3 CAPTAIN DUCOMMUN: I am. 4 MR. McGOWAN: Thank you for that, sir. 5 If we could have that marked as the next 6 exhibit, please. 7 THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 298. 8 MR. McGOWAN: Thank you. 9 10 EXHIBIT 298: Witness Summary of Gary 11 Ducommun 12 13 MR. McGOWAN: 14 Guujaaw, you are President of the Council of the 15 Haida Nation? 16 PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: President of the Haida Nation. 17 President of the Haida Nation. Thank you. And 18 that -- and the Council of the Haida Nation is the 19 body that governs the Haida Nation; is that 20 correct? PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: 21 Yes. 22 Okay. And you also -- you've also held the position of Firekeeper for their Hereditary Chiefs 23 24 of Haida Gwaii? 25 PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: Yes. 26 Okay. And you had an interview with Commission 27 counsel on September 8th of this year? 28 PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: Must have been. 29 In September of this year. 30 PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: Yes. 31 We produced a summary. Have you had a chance to 32 review it? 33 PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: Yes. Okay. And are you prepared to adopt the contents 34 35 of your summary? 36 PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: One change. On page 3, second to 37 the bottom it says "abundance of salmon stocks". In that instance I was speaking of herring stocks. 38 39 Okay. Let me just see. I'm on page 3 of the 40 summary, and you're on the -- which paragraph? 41 PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: Second to the bottom. 42 Yes, I see that. On the first line, the word 43 "salmon" should be replaced with "herring"? 44 PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: Yes. 45 Okay. Thank you for that correction. Aside from that, are you content with the contents? 46 47 PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: Yes.

1 2	MR. McGOWAN: If that could be marked as the next exhibit, please.
3 4	THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 299.
5 6 7	EXHIBIT 299: Witness Summary of President Guujaaw
8	MR. McGOWAN:
9 10	Q Chief Edwin Newman. Sir, you are a Hereditary Chief and Elder of the Heiltsuk Nation?
11	CHIEF NEWMAN: That's right.
12	Q And you've held many community and political
13	positions over the years with the Heiltsuk Nation
14	and other organizations?
15	CHIEF NEWMAN: That's right.
16	Q And a number of those are highlighted in your
17	witness summary?
18	CHIEF NEWMAN: That's right.
19	Q Okay. And have had a chance to review that
20	summary with your counsel?
21	CHIEF NEWMAN: I've looked at it, yes.
22	Q Okay. And are you content with the contents?
23	CHIEF NEWMAN: Not really. I think there's a few
24	things and have to deal with as we go along.
25	Q Okay. Let me ask you this. Are you content that
26	the summary is accurate, but that you may want to
27	expand on certain things in your evidence?
28	CHIEF NEWMAN: That's right.
29	Q Okay. If the summary could then be marked as the
30	next exhibit, please.
31	THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 300.
32	DVIIDIE 200. Nitara Communication China Dalain
33	EXHIBIT 300: Witness Summary of Chief Edwin
34	Newman
35	MD Maccolina.
36	MR. McGOWAN:
37	Q Chief Robert Mountain, you are an elected
38 39	Councillor of the Namgis First Nation?
40	CHIEF MOUNTAIN: Yes, I am. Q Okay. And you're also a Heredity Chief of the
41	Q Okay. And you're also a Heredity Chief of the Mamalilikula First Nation.
42	CHIEF MOUNTAIN: Mamalilikula.
43	
43	Q Okay. Mamalilikula, is that closer?
44	CHIEF MOUNTAIN: Yes.
	Q Okay. Thank you. You're also the local Outreach
46	Fisheries Coordinator for Musgamagw and
47	Tsawataineuk Tribal Council?

6
PANEL NO. 11
In chief by Mr. McGowan
Cross-exam by Mr. Hickling (LJHAH)

- 1 CHIEF MOUNTAIN: The Musgamagw Tsawataineuk Tribal 2 Council, that's right. 3 Q Thank you. All right. We produced a summary
 - Thank you. All right. We produced a summary of the interview that you had with Commission counsel on November 16th of this year?

CHIEF MOUNTAIN: That's right.

Q And have you reviewed that?

CHIEF MOUNTAIN: Yes, I did.

- Q Okay. And are you prepared to adopt the contents today?
- CHIEF MOUNTAIN: Yes, and probably expand on it as well as we go along.

MR. McGOWAN: Thank you very much, sir.

If that could be the next exhibit.

THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 301.

EXHIBIT 301: Witness Summary of Chief Robert Mountain

18 19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

MR. McGOWAN: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner, those are my questions for the panel.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.

MR. McGOWAN: I believe Mr. Hickling is going to conduct the first examination of the panel.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.

- MR. HICKLING: Good morning. I am going to follow a similar pattern of questions that was established by Ms. Pence yesterday.
- THE COMMISSIONER: Could you identify yourself for the record, please.
- MR. HICKLING: Yes. My name is James Hickling, spelled H-i-c-k-l-i-n-g, and I am representing the Laich-Kwil-Tach Treaty Society, and the other members of the Standing Group are excuse me the Aboriginal Aquaculture Association and Chief Harold Sewid.

36 37 38

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. HICKLING:

39 40 41

42

Q Mr. Naknakim, I wonder if you could briefly describe your own experience in the fishing industry.

MR. NAKNAKIM: Yeah. I was born and raised in Cape
Mudge. There is no river there, but our people
have always been strongly involved in the fishing
industry. I pretty much grew up on a seine boat
with my grandfather, Harry Assu. I started going

out with him on the boat since I was eight years old, and I continued right through until I finished university.

The whole -- I don't know where to start on this, but the whole -- the whole village has always been involved. My grandfather told me about his father, Chief Billy Assu, who helped get the people in the village involved in the salmon fishing industry, and in fact we started buying gas boats in the 19-teens, to troll and gillnet.

Then 1921, Chief Billy Assu was successful in getting the regulations to the Department of Fisheries and Oceans changed to allow us to go seine fishing. And I guess in the heyday, you would see up to 40 seine boats being operated out of our village, and just about the same with the Campbell River Band.

Today, now, there's probably less than half a dozen, just because of the nature of the industry, how it's gone.

- Q And you have participated in several organizations that deal with fishing issues. Could you say something about that.
- MR. NAKNAKIM: Yeah. I guess my first experience has been with the Native Brotherhood of BC. The Native Brotherhood was designed somewhat like a union, where the members paid the dues and had a delegate system for each of the branches. And our branch was the Cape Mudge-Comox-Qualicum Branch. And I got involved fairly early in being a delegate to the annual conventions. I got involved in writing a lot of their resolutions. And those were very enjoyable, because we got to know everybody on the coast that's in the industry.

The -- later on after I became a lawyer, I got hired to set up the Native Fishing Association as the Executive Director, which had money, about \$11 million to lend out to Native fishermen to buy boats and licences. And then later on I got on the Board and became Chair of that organization for a while.

During my career as a lawyer, I got involved with our fishermen in the Johnstone Strait and was a co-chair of the Area 13 Salmon Seiners Association, which had one mandate now as to address the Department of Fisheries and Oceans.

And I was particularly proud of that organization, because it managed to get about seven or eight groups together that never normally spoke to each other, in fact, actually fought with each other. It got us all together to deal with DFO's policies, and on openings and closures.

I'm currently involved with the Aboriginal Aquaculture Association as an advisor. That organization, its main objective is to build the capacity for First Nations to get involved in aquaculture. What's happened is a lot of the boat owners that are still hanging on their boats in the industry can't just make it on salmon and herring any more. They've got to diversify and keep their boats active. And that's -- that's what I'm trying to do there.

I used to be the -- well, I still am, I guess, the advisor to the A-Tlegay Fisheries Society, which has five member bands: the three that belong to our Treaty Society, plus Comox and Tlowitsis. And those other two bands have overlapping areas with us where we have shared territory and we work together and we work together. And the main objective there is to try and build our capacity to deal with Department of Fisheries and Oceans, and to keep statistics on our fishing and our food, social and ceremonial fish.

- MR. HICKLING: Thank you. Mr. Commissioner, I'd like to ask Mr. Naknakim a few questions about the area in which LKTS is centred, and I'd like to refer to this map. It's -- the Commission circulated it a couple of weeks ago. I've put copies on all counsels' desk, and multiple copies with Mr. McGowan. And I see Mr. Lunn's brought it up on the screen.
- Q Rod, do you have a copy of this?
- MR. NAKNAKIM: It's on the screen in front of me.
 MR. HICKLING: Oh, thanks. I want to emphasize, Mr.
 Commissioner, that we're not relying on this map
 to establish the boundaries of Laich-Kwil-Tach
 territory. It was just a convenient map for us to
 use to provide an overview of the Johnstone Strait
 area.
- Q Mr. Naknakim, if we started at the southern end, of the territory where it joins Vancouver Island, the first two islands there are Denman and Hornby.

- MR. NAKNAKIM: Yeah, territory goes down to around Qualicum River, then all the way up to Eve River on Vancouver Island.
 - Q Right. I just want to focus on the geographical features of the Johnstone Strait area. If you travel up from -- from those islands, you pass through some open water and then you come to another big island.
 - MR. NAKNAKIM: Yes.

- Q That's Quadra Island?
- MR. NAKNAKIM: That's right.
 - Q And the southernmost tip of Quadra Island is called -- how do we do this?
 - MR. NAKNAKIM: Well, pointing out up there.
 - MR. McGOWAN: Mr. Commissioner, I provided the witness, or had the witness provided with a laser pointer so he can identify for you where he's speaking of, and I'd perhaps just ask counsel to ensure it's described on the record adequately.
 - THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
 - MR. NAKNAKIM: Quadra Island, right about there. Do you want to do this?
 - MR. HICKLING:
 - Q Okay. And there's a ribbon of water between Quadra Island and Vancouver Island. What -what's that body of water called?
 - MR. NAKNAKIM: Yeah, that's about halfway up of Quadra Island you'll see the narrowest point there, and it's called Seymour Narrows, and that's generally considered where Johnstone Strait starts. And it goes all the way up that narrow, along -- the stretch along the East Coast of Vancouver Island.

But the thing about Seymour Narrows, it's got very strong tides. And just above it, there's two bays, there's Plumper Bay which is the first bay just above the Seymour Narrows, and then just above that is Deepwater Bay.

Now, we've been doing a lot of research in our Treaty Society and we've come across a lot of fish traps all over the place. And in these two bays there were fish traps that date hundreds of years back. And there's no significant river in those bays. So we think those fish trips were designed to fish -- I mean, catch passing stock that's going to the Fraser.

Then when the cannery got started in Quathiaski Cove, the owner set up modern fish

traps in the 19-teens, and it was there particularly to catch sockeye that's going in the Fraser. And there is correspondence verifying that. And what he talked about is the particular quality of the fish at that point. He was able to get the best prices there because it had the highest oil content. Once it went further down into the Gulf, it started losing that quality.

We have other fish traps further up towards the mainland that we think are designed for passing stocks, as well. And of course we have a number of fish traps in mouths of some of the rivers there, all along the mainland.

Our -- our Origin Story and Flood Story is on the mainland about -- that's about 30 miles north of Campbell River on the mainland on a mountain. And we moved south, and north, I guess we moved all over the place, but mainly in and around Johnstone Strait. We just basically lived off of Johnstone Strait.

- Q Thank you. And could you briefly describe how the geography of Johnston Strait influences the migration of the Fraser River sockeye?
- MR. NAKNAKIM: Well, we got pretty good at catching the
 -- particularly sockeye, which is the main, main
 -- it's got the highest value in terms of sales.
 And we all -- all our different families have different setting spots, you know, we know where the Assus fished, the Louises, the Dicks and the Roberts, Kwak sisters. We pretty much had our own kind of areas where we fished. And those areas were identified by certain stages of the tide. You can't -- you can't just set anywhere and catch the fish. It's usually on low water slack that you have to set at these spots, and you get very good sets.

Those places are still being used by those families today, by the way.

- Q When the salmon are migrating south through the Johnstone Strait area do they -- is it your experience that they swim through north to south in one continuous --
- MR. NAKNAKIM: Not all the time. They'll go back and forth along the Straits. Sometimes they'll hang around for quite a while, but once it starts raining harder, they'll just shoot right through.
- Q I'd like to ask you a few question about the

cultural connection between the Laich-Kwil-Tach and fishing. Can you describe briefly the significance of fishing to the Laich-Kwil-Tach people.

MR. NAKNAKIM: Well, I think we're all the same on the coast, actually. The fishing has been a central part of our identity. You know, we're the same as everybody else. We, despite the potlatch being prohibited and outlawed, until '72 we still potlatched right through, my grandfather on my father's side particularly. He used to have -- he used to have big speakers outside his house. He had a big house, and he'd have hymns playing, but inside he'd be potlatching with the elders. And we've been able to keep this alive amongst our people.

But there always is salmon part of the potlatch, you know, to feed. But more than that, there's songs. My brother's wife is a twin, and she -- she owns a salmon dance with her twin sister. Because that's what we do is, you know, give that to the twins. And of course it's got all kinds of carvings of salmon. They're on everything, like totem poles and plaques and our walls and our button blankets, and there's songs about it, dances about it. But you know it wasn't just the salmon, but it was everything kind of integrated together.

So our people, we make our living off of the salmon, so it's pretty important to us. We -- we want to make sure they come back every year because -- because we've got members that still have heavy investment in the industry, and we're -- and that's what we're trying to concentrate on is -- is to keep them in the industry. We don't want to be removed from the water. We've got to be part of the water, because that's how we keep healthy, and, yeah.

- You mentioned some of the fish traps that you believe were used to harvest passing stock. I wonder if there were any other traditional fishing technologies that you used for fishing salmon.
- MR. NAKNAKIM: Yeah, there -- you know, we did a lot of research and there's evidence from those explorers that they -- when they went through our territory, they would see nets drying, you know. We had large canoes that we fished on. We -- we had

hooks, all kinds of design of hooks. And when the industry started, in the 19-teens, my grandfather designed his own spoon to troll with. You know, we just knew how to -- how to adapt to our different circumstances.

- Q I'd like to ask if conservation has played a role in Laich-Kwil-Tach fishing practices.
- MR. NAKNAKIM: Most definitely. You know, we've faced -- the first -- the first real big closure, I guess, and I wasn't involved in the herring industry but my grandfather was. Tells me the story when him and Tommy Hunt went to see B.C. Packers and to shut down the herring industry, and it did get shut down for 20 years, because they were fishing it out. And with the help of the company, they were able to persuade DFO to do that.

Then in I think it was '80s, when we put the ribbon boundary in, in Johnstone Strait. They wanted to close us down, but we -- we convinced DFO we can stay open and still fish if we marked off certain areas in Johnstone Strait that we wouldn't fish in. And they call it a ribbon boundaries. And we still don't fish there today, and we're the ones that initiated that effort.

- Q So the ribbon boundaries are areas that are off limits to Laich-Kwil-Tach fishing and they allow passing --
- MR. NAKNAKIM: All commercial fishing.
- 2 -- and they allow passing stock to travel through Johnstone Strait.
- MR. NAKNAKIM: Yeah.
- Q I'd like to ask you a few questions about traditional knowledge. Is there a particular skill-set or knowledge that's required to fish effectively in Johnstone Strait?
- MR. NAKNAKIM: Yeah, it's not the easiest place to fish because of the strength of the tide. I mean, back in the earlier day you could lose your net, if you fish -- fish in the wrong place or the wrong stage of the tide. And the timing of the set is all the difference in the world on whether you're going to get any fish at all.

My grandfather always amazed me on how well he knew the water, and when the fish were coming and how many. We'd be waiting out there on the boat and there'd be some killer whales going by.

He'd stand up on the seat on the -- on top of the cabin and whistle at them. And they'd come up to wherever they are, and then pretty soon they're rubbing against the boat, and then they're passing on.

But what my grandfather was famous for is predicting the size of the run that's coming in. And he'd often get into fights with DFO. He'd be in their office telling them to open it, there's a big run coming, and quite often he was right. That amazed me.

And our guys, they got to know which run was which, you know, just by looking at the fish, the size generally, and sometimes the spots. I knew when I was in my teens. I forget now, but I knew back then. I could tell generally some of the --some of the differences on the sockeye and that.

And how is traditional knowledge about fishing

- Q And how is traditional knowledge about fishing passed down to younger generations?
- MR. NAKNAKIM: Well, it's getting more and more difficult because of the lack of fishing itself. You know, they're -- take, for instance, we didn't have food fish for the last five years and this year we -- we managed to get some. But -- but now they've got the fishing industry down to hours, being open for hours for the whole season. You know, 12-hour openings. It's pretty hard to make a living. It's pretty hard to teach the younger generation because you're not willing to take the chance on a greenhorn. You want your most experienced guy there so that you can use that 12 hours and nothing goes wrong.

So but it has generally been passed down. I fully expected to be a fisherman when I grew up, just like my cousin, Brian. But I went and got an education instead. The different families, they teach their kids how and where -- where to fish, how to build a seine net and all of that.

- Q I'd like to ask if Laich-Kwil-Tach traditional knowledge has a role currently in DFO decision-making and if DFO is making use of that knowledge.
- MR. NAKNAKIM: I'm not the best one to answer that, because I'm not on the Board with A-Tlegay, but all of our fish, that goes through A-Tlegay. But apparently they've developed the relationship with DFO for collecting statistics, and they're reported, we report all of our food fish that we

catch each season, by species and quantity, and name, name the families they go to.

We are trying to build our credibility up with DFO to get into management decision in the local area. It's important for us to deal one-on-one with DFO, because Johnstone Straits always seems to be a controversial place in terms of the rest of the coast. And that's probably just the location and the fact that a lot of fish can be caught out of there.

- Q I'd like to ask you a few question about FSC fishing. Beginning with if you could briefly describe the ways in which FSC fishing is important to Laich-Kwil-Tach today.
- MR. NAKNAKIM: Oh, we -- we rely on all kinds of fish, not just the salmon, but we've got -- we've got lots of other types of marine resources in our area. And we like to catch it, whether it be cod or halibut or herring and shellfish. We've got that, but the -- it's really important to all of our families that we get that, and it always has been, even before the **Sparrow** decision, where that decision was made as a right. We used to -- when we come -- before we come in with our seine boats, set aside a few hundred fish for the village to -- to get their food fish.
 - I understand that Laich-Kwil-Tach manages its FSC allocation through the A-Tlegay Fisheries Society. I wonder if you could describe how that works.
- MR. NAKNAKIM: Yeah. We used to be all together in Johnstone Straits with the First Nations north of us, but we split off of it and created our own fisheries society called A-Tlegay. But we all have the same communal licence for sockeye, and I think it's somewhere around 80,000 pieces.
- Q And from there you divide them up between the five participant First Nations?
- MR. NAKNAKIM: Well, it's up to -- the way we catch our fish, food fish for our five bands, is each of the families take the responsibility to look after their own families with their different boats.
- Q And you're using modern boats and gear to --
- MR. NAKNAKIM: Yeah.
 - O -- harvest FSC fish.
- MR. NAKNAKIM: Yeah. Quite often our guys are contracted by other bands further down on the South Island to catch their food fish for them.

- Q Is there anything you would do to improve the FSC fishery?
 - MR. NAKNAKIM: Well, I know it's always been controversial, but I personally am against selling of food fish. You know, and I'm not against selling. Just that I think it's a management issue. You know, if you're going to -- if you're going to catch fish for the purpose of selling, we should be able to issue a licence for that person for the purpose. But food fish should be for the people and not be sold.
 - I'd like to talk a little bit about -- okay. I wonder if you could very briefly describe the connection between Laich-Kwil-Tach Indian reserves and the modern fishery, or your fishing practices.
 - MR. NAKNAKIM: Well, the way -- the way we always believed that the Department of Indian Affairs limited the size of our reserves. We tried to get bigger reserves, but you take our -- the biggest reserve in our district, the Cape Mudge Reserve, is around 1,200 acres. And what we said -- had the surveyor set aside was about double that, and the same with -- we needed a bunch of other reserves. We were told by Indian Affairs that we can't have it because we don't make a living off the land, and we make our living off the sea. And they granted our reserves, we've got about a dozen reserves and they vary from ten acres up to the 1,200 acres. Most of them are between 100 and 200 acres. But they're located for the purpose of providing us access to our marine resources.

Then after that it was all said and done, given to us, we find we're alienated from these marine resources by the Department of Fisheries and Oceans. It's like Indian Affairs didn't have any right to make a commitment like that to us. So we kind of feel that, you know, there's an obligation on the Crown to provide access to our marine resources in Johnstone Strait so we can make a living.

- MR. HICKLING: Mr. McGowan has asked me to conclude. I've just got three more questions.
- Q Could you -- we've heard over the past couple of days about some new organizations like the ITO and the First Nations Fisheries Council, and I wonder if you could provide your view on -- on those organizations and their role.

MR. NAKNAKIM: Yeah, we have a representative on the Fisheries Council, but you know, we've had a hard time dealing with issues between our First Nations. You know, we're definitely in the commercial fishing industry. We want to be able to make a living there within our territory, and a lot of issues come up about that.

We're not against any of these organizations, but what we do want is the right to make our own representations. We don't want them to -- to be representing us. We want to represent ourselves directly with government.

- Thank you. Another point that's been raised by other participants is the suggestion that the fishery might move towards a terminal fishery. And I wonder what's your view on that?
- MR. NAKNAKIM: Well, that will push us right out of the industry. We're not against anybody getting their fish, but we still would like to make a living in the Johnstone Strait area.
- Q And I just have two more quick questions. Are there any other processes or forums that you think Laich-Kwil-Tach should be invited to participate in?
- MR. NAKNAKIM: Well, the Fraser Panel, my cousin was telling me we don't have a technical person on the Fraser Panel for -- for the Coast. And I think that's the most powerful decision-making body on the Coast is the recommendations that come out of there.
- Q And just one concluding question, could you describe what you see as Laich-Kwil-Tach's future role in the fishery?
- MR. NAKNAKIM: Well, I think the biggest complaint over all these years that we've had is their licensing system. It's basically blocked just about all of us on the Coast out of the fishing industries. When they changed the -- the "A" licence to restrict it to just salmon, I don't think that most of our people on the Coast understood that. Before the Davis Plan, you could catch everything under that one licence, and I think we should be issued a licence like that, for us to be able to make it in the -- in the -- any industry.
- MR. HICKLING: Thank you. That concludes my questions, Mr. Commissioner. There's one other item I'd like to address. I have a binder of documents

that I'd like to have marked as an exhibit. There are 19 documents. The binder is indexed and tabbed. Most of the documents are public documents prepared by Crown officials and obtained from archival sources during research for litigation and other processes. Two of the -- the last two documents are extracts from books on aboriginal fishing.

These documents concern the allocation of Indian reserves as fishing stations around the turn of the century and the government policies in force at that time.

We have circulated the documents about nine or ten days ago, and we provided copies to the Commission for uploading onto Ringtail.

We also wrote to the Commission late last week to propose that the documents be entered as exhibits by consent. I don't propose to take Mr. Naknakim through the documents today, but we would like to have them entered as exhibits so that we can rely on them if necessary when the Commission hears evidence on the history of the regulation of aboriginal fisheries in the New Year.

MR. McGOWAN: Yes, Mr. Commissioner. I understand and I believe my friend has circulated to counsel for all participants a letter asking whether any participant raises objection to these documents going in, I think it's ostensibly by consent. The Commission is nor aware of any response to that request.

I take it from what my friend has said that he's asking the documents go in, but does not intend to put them to his witness today. I might suggest, Mr. Commissioner, that it may be useful if he's seeking to have such a large bulk of documents go in that he address the issue of their relevance to your mandate, and how it is that he intends to use them in the future in the hearing, or expects you to use them. I don't want to take up a bunch of our hearing time to do today that —today to do that, because I know we're tight on time. But I wonder if you might find some further information on that helpful before receiving the documents.

THE COMMISSIONER: What I would suggest, Mr. McGowan, and Mr. Hickling, is that this list, which I presume all participants' counsel have, of what

are described as a document index. What I would suggest is that we take the next letter available for identification purpose, we identify — use that letter, whatever it is. Mr. Registrar, what is the next letter?

THE REGISTRAR: "R".

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. If we use R1 through 19 for identification purposes, that in the interim at your convenience, Mr. Hickling, if you could provide Commission counsel with a letter outlining your submission with respect to the relevance of these documents, for the purposes of this Commission's mandate, and then if and when you do come back and refer to some of these documents, at that time if there's no opposition they could be marked. But at that time if Commission counsel have a submission to make, they could make it at the time you're intending to put the document to a witness.

MR. McGOWAN: I'm certainly content with that, Mr. Commissioner, and it may well be that -- that my friend does intend to put these documents to a witness down the road and they can go in through the usual course, but I'm content with your suggestion.

THE COMMISSIONER: So it would be R1 through 19 marked for identification purposes, and Mr. Registrar can have a copy of this document index so that that can be noted in his records as "R" through -- 1 through 19.

THE REGISTRAR: It will be so marked.

MR. HICKLING: Thank you.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.

MARKED R1 FOR IDENTIFICATION: Memorandum dated March 1, 1874 by D. Laird, federal Minister of the Interior and Superintendent General of Indian Affairs

MARKED R2 FOR IDENTIFICATION: Memorandum dated August 17, 1875 from Geo. A. Walkem, Attorney General for the Province of British Columbia to the provincial Lieutenant Governor in Council

MARKED R3 FOR IDENTIFICATION: Letter dated October 1, 1875 from I.W. Powell, federal Indian Commissioner for BC to the Superintendent General of the Department of Indian Affairs

MARKED R4 FOR IDENTIFICATION: Extract from Annual Report of the Department of the Interior for the Year Ended June 30, 1876, at pp. xv-xvi

MARKED R5 FOR IDENTIFICATION: Memorandum of Instruction, dated August 25, 1876, from D. Laird, federal Minister of Interior, to the Dominion Commissioner

MARKED R6 FOR IDENTIFICATION: Memorandum of Instruction, dated October 23, 2876, from Sir Charles Good, Deputy Provincial Secretary, to G.M. Sproat, Esq., Reserve Commissioner

MARKED R7 FOR IDENTIFICATION: Transcript of Letter dated November 6, 1878, from G.M. Sproat, Reserve Commissioner, to W. Buckingham, Deputy Minister of the Interior

MARKED R8 FOR IDENTIFICATION: Letter dated October 29, 1886, from Peter O'Reilly, Reserve Commissioner, to Wm. Smith, provincial Chief Commissioner of Lands & Works, enclosing Minutes of Decision dated October 8, 1886

MARKED R9 FOR IDENTIFICATION: Letter dated October 30, 1886, from P. O'Reilly, Reserve Commissioner, to the Superintendent General of Indian Affairs

MARKED R10 FOR IDENTIFICATION: Excerpts from the Annual Report of the Department of Indian Affairs, for the years 1887, 1888, 1890 and 1896

MARKED R11 FOR IDENTIFICATION: Excerpts from the Annual Report of the Department of Indian Affairs for the Year Ended 31st December,

1 2 3 4 5	MARKED R12 FOR IDENTIFICATION: Letter dated May 28, 1888, from Ashdown H. Green, Surveyor to the Indian Reserve Commission, to the Superintendent General of Indian Affairs
7 8 9	MARKED R13 FOR IDENTIFICATION: Report dated October 12, 1899, from R.H. Pidcock, Indian Agent for the Kwawkewith Agency, to the Superintendent General of Indian Affairs
10 11 12 13 14	MARKED R14 FOR IDENTIFICATION: Report dated September 16, 1900, from R.H. Pidcock, Indian Agent for the Kwawkewith Agency, to the Superintendent General of Indian Affairs
15 16 17	MARKED R15 FOR IDENTIFICATION: Report on the Kwawkewith Agency
18 19 20 21	MARKED R16 FOR IDENTIFICATION: Transcript of testimony given to the McKenna-McBride Commission on August 22, 1913
22 23 24 25 26	MARKED R17 FOR IDENTIFICATION: Letter dated February 17, 1934, from Geo. Pragnell, Inspector of Indian Agencies for BC to Major Motherwell, Supervisor of Fisheries
27 28 29 30 31	MARKED R18 FOR IDENTIFICATION: Excerpt from Newell D. (1993), Tangled Webs of History: Indians and the Law in Canada's Pacific Coast Fisheries
32 33 34 35 36	MARKED R19 FOR IDENTIFICATION: Excerpt from Assu H. and Inglis J. (1989), Assu of Cape Mudge: Recollections of a Coastal Indian Chief
37 38 39 40 41	MS. ROBERTSON: Mr. Commissioner, Krista Robertson, counsel for the Musgamagw Tsawataineuk Tribal Council, which henceforth I will refer to as the "MTTC".
42 43 44	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. ROBERTSON:

Q Chief Mountain, *Gilakasla*! Could you please describe the four member Nations of the MTTC. CHIEF MOUNTAIN: The four members are the

45 46 47

Kwicksutaineuk, Gwawaenuk, the Namgis and the Kingcome Band, and they're all in -- Gilford is comprised of two bands, Ah-Kwa-Mish, as well, too, but they're amalgamated.

- Thank you. And could you please describe the general territory, the shared territory of these four Nations.
- CHIEF MOUNTAIN: Yeah. The shared territory of the Tribal Council is generally what is referred to as the Broughton Archipelago up in the northeast end of Vancouver Island. It's between the mainland and Vancouver Island.
- Thank you. And could you please tell us about your experience fishing as a child. Who taught you how to fish, where did you fish, what methods did you use?
- CHIEF MOUNTAIN: Well, as a child, I was -- I basically lived on a boat with my parents and my grandparents, doing all kinds -- all types of fishing in our -- our rivers of our territory where we would go in and there were still some traps in some of these rivers that we would use, weirs and traps, that we'd go and check. When I was young, I didn't know where they were, but as I grew older, I found out that they were in our general territory in Knight's Inlet area where we'd go and collect fish. But a lot of my time was spent on a commercial boat with my grandfather and my father, table-seining. But a lot of other collection of food was our food and social and ceremonial, where we drag-seined in our river, and that was part of my upbringing.
- Q And those rivers you described as being in the Broughton Archipelago area?
- CHIEF MOUNTAIN: Yeah, basically they were in some of the local streams in Viner Sound, in Ahta Creek and Charles Creek and in Call Inlet and Protection Creek, general streams in the close vicinity of Village Island.
- Q Thank you. Have you ever observed or caught Fraser River sockeye in the rivers that you fished in as a child?
- CHIEF MOUNTAIN: At the time I knew that there was a difference, but I didn't know that they were Fraser. But you could clearly tell that the Fraser River fish and the timing of the year, fishing in June and July, that the Fraser River

fish were a lot larger than the local stocks. The local stocks were a lot smaller in our creeks, basically six to eight pounds, and the fish that we caught in the local streams and the Fraser River fish that were also caught following the local stocks were at least ten to 12 pounds and slightly different colour.

- So were you observing then adult Fraser River sockeye on their migration southward in these areas?
- CHIEF MOUNTAIN: Yeah. We basically, when we did catch them, we kept them. We didn't throw them back. But a lot of -- a lot of people didn't care. They were -- just want their food fish. And a lot of the fish that we caught in the Nimpkish River, I think at least 20 or 30 percent of the food fish we catch, if we caught 200, you'd probably catch about 60 of them would be the Fraser River fish when we drag-seine.
- Thank you. And, Chief Mountain, can you speak about the changes that you've observed in our lifetime in the stocks that spawn in the MTTC traditional territory.
- CHIEF MOUNTAIN: A lot of concerns are brought about, about the assessment done in the creeks. We've done a lot of work, and I've walked quite a few of these streams where a lot of them aren't recorded now. But we used to always see 20,000, 30,000 fish in some of these smaller streams. And then in bigger streams like Kingcome and Wakeman and you'd see basically 100,000, 200,000 fish in these streams. And even in the Nimpkish there used to be 100,000, 200,000, but historically there was 1.2 million in the Nimpkish River alone. That was just for sockeye, not counting the chums, coho and springs.

But as the years progressed, when as a Guardian, we'd be assessing these streams by foot and floating the rivers, and by helicopter or fixed plane. And there's a noticeable drop over the last 20 years of hardly any fish going into the local streams, even the Nimpkish River streams, there's drastic decline. I used to swim in pools where there'd be 20,000 sockeye in there, and later on in the years basically had 1,000 in there, you know, drastic decline in the last 20 years.

Q Are the MTTC involved in efforts to rehabilitate some of these stocks?

CHIEF MOUNTAIN: There has been a lot of talk with DFO, and at one point that they wanted to put a hatchery on the Kingcome River, and talk about enhancing a lot of the other local streams in the mainland. And on the Nimpkish River, there is a hatchery, and they have been trying to keep the stock steady, but there's no money coming from DFO so there's lack of capacity for funding to actually do a lot of this enhancement projects that need to be done on the mainland and the Nimpkish River. So basically where our hands are tied; we aren't actually basically doing much at all

Q Okay. What today is the main source of food fishery for the MTTC Nations?

CHIEF MOUNTAIN: Today everybody fishes the Johnstone Strait fishery, which is the Fraser River sockeye, because of like I said previous, all our streams have no more fish in there. You know, some of the major streams like Kingcome and Wakeman, a few years ago you had 50 and just this last year they had seven or eight hundred. So people do not want to fish those streams and lakes because they're already depleted.

So all the villages in the area and the other nations, like Alert -- from Alert Bay and Port Hardy, they all fish the Johnstone Strait sockeye fishery, Fraser River sockeye.

Q Thank you. And could you please speak about the cultural significance of salmon to the Musgamagw people.

CHIEF MOUNTAIN: Well, it's on the same lines as Rod has said, that it's a cultural significance for us, and a lot of our songs and dance and even our Creation Story, where we heard that how our salmon was created and how it symbolizes our culture and tradition. And also the twins in our family have their own song, the salmon dance song, which signifies their -- the abundance that we have and hopefully that we're going to get more sockeye. So we have that dance in our Big House.

And it's for me, growing up -- I grew up with my grandparents, and basically we lived on sockeye salmon. We would -- we would have salmon three times a day, and snacks. We'd have our dried

fish, and it was a staple every day when I was 1 growing up. And it's not the same now. 3 Chief Mountain, we know from your witness 4 statement that you were an Aboriginal Fisheries 5 You were the supervisor of that program Guardian. 6 in DFO's Area 12, which is also the Musgamagw 7 territory. Could you in that role as a supervisor 8 of that program, are you familiar with the test 9 fishery in Johnstone Strait of the Fraser River 10 sockeye? 11 CHIEF MOUNTAIN: Yes, I am. 12 Could you please tell us your views on the 13 effectiveness of the test fishery as it relates to traditional knowledge, and if it's changed over 14 15 time, the conduct of that test fishery. CHIEF MOUNTAIN: Well, I remember way back when I was 16 still commercial fishery at the time when DFO was 17 18 doing the test fishery, and all the fishermen were 19 wondering what DFO was doing. Why are they 20 fishing on the bum tides, why are they opening it 21 up and on the biggest tides when we know it's 22 dangerous out there, and basically they would make 23 sets at the wrong times when there's no fish. And 24 previous to what the fishery -- test fishery is 25 now, there's basically two boats during their 26 sockeye, and maybe during their chum fishery, 27 doing the test fishery, when there used to be way 28 more test boats out there when they first started 29 So you're not getting an accurate number of 30 what is really out there. 31 And just like Rod was saying, all the elders 32 know when there's a lot of fish out there. 33 the way DFO is doing the testing on the wrong 34 tides, the backup tides, you cannot get an 35 accurate number of what's really out there. 36 Thank you. Chief Mountain, are there salmon farms 37 in the MTTC traditional territory? 38 CHIEF MOUNTAIN: Yes, there's -- currently there's 29 39 farms out there, which are not licensed right now, 40 but DFO has taken them over and are supposed to 41 licence them in December. And there's one pending 42 application for a licence, as well. 43 Now, recognizing we're going to have, I think, at

least a week of hearings on the subject of

aquaculture, I'm just going to ask you some

questions in respect of your communications with

DFO about the farms in your territory. Have you

44

45

46

47

been involved in communications with DFO about MTTC's traditional knowledge and experience that you've had observing changes in your territory, from the presence of these farms.

CHIEF MOUNTAIN: Yes. Around the year 1999/2000/2001, where there was a noticeable increase in these fish farms from 1,000 -- a 100,000 fish to up to 500,000 or 600,000 fish, we noticed, and our traditional knowledge holders, our clam diggers, our fishermen, and people who lived out in the village, noticed a lot of changes out there to deal with all our resources, clam beds, shellfish, ground fish, and it was brought to the attention of the leadership back then.

So there's a big change that traditionally everybody would notice because they live out in the territory and they're on the grounds every day and fishing every day. That's their life. And they've noticed all those changes, and it's been documented and a lot of this information is brought to DFO and they do know.

- Q Do you feel that DFO has been responsive to these communications?
- CHIEF MOUNTAIN: No. We bring our concerns forward, and it's the same old thing when we meet with them: "We'll come back to you," or, "We'll ask our superiors what to do," and but we do not usually hear anything back and there's no action taken.
- Thank you. Chief Mountain, are any of the MTTC member nations in an AAROM body, or receiving AAROM funding currently?
- CHIEF MOUNTAIN: Right now I know that they MTTC bodies, none of them have, but we have all applied. And for whatever reason, DFO rejected all our applications. Like the three other bands, Kingcome, Hopetown and Gilford, applied on their own, and the Namgis applied on their own, but neither of our proposals got accepted. So, no, right now we're not -- we don't have any AAROM money.
- Q So currently what is your arrangement with DFO? What's your forum that you use to engage in management discussions with DFO?
- CHIEF MOUNTAIN: A lot of our decision are is the Namgis has their own fisheries and the Kingcome, Hopetown and Gilford have their own AFS agreement, as well. So we do have, each band does have a

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46 47 fisheries organization. And also my position, mine is strictly dealing with the resources in the territory as it pertains to fish farms. So we do have a lot of interaction with DFO from AFS agreements.

- Thank you. I'm just going to conclude with a couple of questions to you around how the MTTC Nations communicate with other First Nations around the sharing of the Fraser River fishery. Are the MTTC Nations involved in forums, groups, organizations where that kind of communication happens?
- CHIEF MOUNTAIN: Yes. We have some councillors on our band who attend a lot of these meetings in Vancouver and Richmond to do with the Fraser Panel, or the FRAWG Committee, and the IMAWG Committee. I'm not exactly sure what they stand for, I just see these acronyms on the letterheads when we receive them. But our councillors do attend them and I know the other bands have representations on them as well, so they are at the table. And just like Rod said, we are attendants, but we're not part of the decisionmaking body. It's just information that DFO brings to us. So we're basically not there making any decisions. We're there to listen. So that needs to change.
- Q And have you been involved in meetings facilitated by the First Nations Fisheries Council?

CHIEF MOUNTAIN: Yes. I was at a few meetings at a fish council. I haven't been to all of them. was basically there when it first started, when they created the First Nations Fishery Council Action Plan, and where we all got together to actually -- to work together with all the Coast First Nations and the Fraser River First Nations, get rid of our differences because we all know we're all fishing for the same fish, the Fraser River sockeye fish. So we had to stop pointing fingers and we had to learn to share, because the Fraser River sockeye fishery passes everybody's doorstep and spawns in a few First Nations territories. So we're all after the same thing, and we had to unite. And there was actually a meeting in Prince Rupert, where a number of us were there, and the word is we need to have unity on all this fisheries resource and conservation

27
PANEL NO. 11
Cross-exam by Ms. Robertson (MTTC)
Cross-exam by Ms. Fong (LJHAH)

was also the number one topic about that Fraser 1 River fish, as well. 3 MS. ROBERTSON: Thank you, Chief Mountain. Those are my questions. 5 MR. McGOWAN: Mr. Commissioner, Ms. Fong, who is 6 counsel for the Heiltsuk would be questioning 7 next. I don't know if it's your preference to 8 take a break now. 9 Sure, we'll do that. THE COMMISSIONER: 10 THE REGISTRAR: The hearing will now recess for 15 11 minutes. 12 13 (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED FOR MORNING RECESS) 14 (PROCEEDINGS RECONVENED) 15 16 THE REGISTRAR: Order. The hearing is now resumed. 17 THE COMMISSIONER: Ms. Fong? 18 MS. FONG: Thank you. Lisa Fong for Heiltsuk Tribal 19 Council. 20 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. FONG: 21 22 2.3 Chief Newman, can you give us a brief description 24 of your background, for the Commissioner? 25 CHIEF NEWMAN: Yes. I'm a Hereditary Chief. I hold 26 two different titles. My father was Kwagiulth and 27 I hold the name (indiscernible) of the Kwagiulth 28 people, and Kwifta (phonetic) from the Heiltsuk 29 people. 30 Okay. Can you describe for us when you started 31 fishing? 32 CHIEF NEWMAN: When I came out of residential school 33 when I was 14 years old, and I was hired by a 34 relative in Klemtu to go fishing with him. I was 35 a young boy then. So I've been on a seine boat 36 since I was 14 years old. I've retired for the 37 last 11 years from my boat. My son now runs my boat and they kicked me off. 38 I guess they thought 39 I was getting too old so --40 How many sons do you have? 41 CHIEF NEWMAN: I have three sons. 42 And do they all fish? Two of them fish on the boat. They are 43 CHIEF NEWMAN:

all fishermen. They are all commercial fishermen,

Can you briefly describe for us your political

but two of them fish on my boat.

involvement on behalf of Heiltsuk?

44

45

46

47

- CHIEF NEWMAN: Yeah, well, I was a member of the band council for a number of years, and then I served as the chief of -- elected chief of the band for a number of years. I guess I was involved in the politics of the community for over 30 years. Okay. Were you also a participant with the Native Brotherhood of B.C? CHIEF NEWMAN: Yes, I was a member of the Native Brotherhood for many years. I served on the board for a good number of years, and then I was the
 - years.

 Okay. And were you also involved in the Aboriginal Fisheries Commission?
 - CHIEF NEWMAN: Yes, I was the Coastal Co-chair on the Aboriginal Fisheries Commission.

president of the Native Brotherhood of B.C. for 11

- Q Were you -- did you have any involvement, any judicial experience?
- CHIEF NEWMAN: Yeah, I was the first aboriginal person appointed as a magistrate in British Columbia.
- Q Okay. How long was that?
- CHIEF NEWMAN: Yeah, I served as a magistrate for nine years.
- Q Thank you.

- CHIEF NEWMAN: I was also a member of the National Fisheries Commission Committee for the Assembly of First Nations.
- Q Thank you. Can you describe for Mr. Commissioner where the Fraser River sockeye salmon passed through Heiltsuk territory?
- CHIEF NEWMAN: Yeah, the Fraser River sockeye and all the passing stock usually came in through the south end of Price Island, along the Price Island shore and into Milbanke Sound, across the Seaforth Channel, and to Cape Mark, down to Purple Bluff, Spider Island, Nalau Pass, Hakai Pass, and down through the outside of Calvert Island, and also went through Hakai Pass and Nalau Pass into Fitz Hugh Sound, and passed through quite a lot of our territory.
- Q Can you now speak about the cultural significance of the Fraser River Sockeye salmon to the Heiltsuk.
- CHIEF NEWMAN: As it's been pointed out, they sort of mixed, intermingled with our local stock, and I think all passing stocks did that. And a lot of passing stock passed through Heiltsuk's territory.

Like the Bella Coola stocks passed through, the Rivers Inlet stocks passed through there, and the Fraser River stocks all passed through there.

- Could you explain what the nature of the traditional knowledge is with respect to the passing stock?
- CHIEF NEWMAN: Well, our people knew when they were there, when they were passing through.
- Q And are you -- are there, for example, creation myths or celebrations regarding the salmon?
- CHIEF NEWMAN: Yes, there was a lot of celebrations in our family. We had what they called a barbecue dance that our people perform at the potlatches and we also had what has been talked about, too I have twins, I have a set of twins in my family. My son has a set of twins and that's considered the lucky you're lucky to have that because you're always going to catch lots of salmon. And my twins are entitled to dance the salmon dance at any potlatch.
- Q Thank you. Is there also a traditional commercial aspect to marine resources for Heiltsuk?
- CHIEF NEWMAN: Yes, the Heiltsuk are ocean people. Our economy was based on resources, it always was and the digs in Namu showed that we'd been there for 10,000 years, and I think if they went a couple of more feet, they'd find out we'd been there for 20,000 years. But they found bones of salmon and different kinds of fish. Even the remains of lootooner (phonetic) were included in that dig. But our people have always been ocean people. We weren't -- we didn't migrate from the interior, we were always ocean people. And as Rod pointed out, our reserves remain small because our economy was based on the resources of the sea.

The *Gladstone* case was won on that basis, because what (indiscernible) recorded what they did in the old days, how they traded with other nations.

- Now, with the decline of the passing stock, the salmon in particular, what sort of impacts have you observed on Heiltsuk?
- CHIEF NEWMAN: It had a very devastating impact on our community. You know, we now have the highest social -- serious social problems that we never had before. We have a high unemployment rate, our fish plant is closed down. It wiped out our

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39 40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

trolling fleet, took away 75 percent of the earning power of our gillnet fleet, and that

started the decline of our gillnet fleet. Before that, we were independent people. didn't depend on government handouts to do the things you wanted to do. We build our own houses. We didn't what they call government houses at that

time.

After they closed Milbanke and created the problems and the loss of our -- and mismanaged our stocks in our local area, our people become totally dependent people. We did totally -- we became totally dependent on the government to do things for us.

It was devastating for our community. have the highest suicide rate. Any communities on the coast have a high -- very high suicide rate amongst the young people because of that. And it also created the -- an epidemic in sugar diabetes for our people. We have a -- now have a high rate of cancer, heart problems, strokes among our young people. And we attribute it to the loss of our economic opportunities in the fishery and loss of our food that we eat -- get from the sea.

Okay. Thank you. I'm going to ask a series of Q questions now about joint management or comanagement. In your view, currently, does joint management, and I'll use that term, "joint management" instead, of the Fraser River sockeye salmon, or the marine resources, does that exist with DFO?

CHIEF NEWMAN: No, no such thing as -- we do have what they call the co-management, but that issue has been in the -- on the table of the Heiltsuk for many years, even before we got a -- we agreed to an AFS agreement. The request for the joint -- or we want to take over the total management of our fishery and we put that to the government when we had our AFS and at that time, the government agreed that enforcement would be included in our co-management deal, but they withdrew that and they withdrew the funding that was going to come with it because the Civil Service Alliance of Canada was opposed to it. They felt it was a threat to the members of the Department of Fisheries and Oceans, and DFO withdrew it.

Okay. So with some of the more specific aspects Q

31
PANEL NO. 11
Cross-exam by Ms. Fong (LJHAH)

of joint management, in your view, what does joint management mean in terms of enforcement powers? 3 Like, how can you give that meaning? CHIEF NEWMAN: I was just looking at the copy of the 5 Wild Salmon Policy sitting on the table out there. 6 I was co-chairman of the native -- or Aboriginal 7 Fisheries Commission when the Department of 8 Fisheries of Oceans wanted to go on what they 9 called the multi-purpose tour. And they asked the members of the B.C. Aboriginal Fisheries 10 11 Commission Board if they could accompany them, and 12 we did. But what they wanted to push was the Wild 13 Salmon Policy. They already had it in print. 14 When our people heard that, and every Indian 15 community wanted to, we heard the same thing. 16 aboriginal people wanted to be totally involved in 17 rebuilding of the resources, totally involved in 18 rebuilding of the habitat, and totally involved in 19 the management of the resources. 20 Mm-hmm. And so with enforcement, what would "totally involved" mean for Heiltsuk? 21 22 CHIEF NEWMAN: The right to control openings and closes, who comes in to fish it. Because right 23 24 now, we've got a -- when they closed Milbanke, it 25 became a sports fishing area. We have thousands 26 of sports fisherman coming there every year. 27 little airstrip looks like Vancouver International 28 in the summertime with all the sports fisherman 29 that come in there. And we can't go fishing. 30 fished for one day a season for the last two 31 seasons. How can people survive on that? And 32 yet, we stood on the beach and watch these 33 carpetbaggers taking boxes of fish out of our 34 territory and we get nothing out of it. 35 don't even spend any money in our community. So 36 to me, that's not right. You know, when you take 37 away people's economic opportunities, that's not 38 right. 39 Q Okay. So coming back to joint management, I now 40 want to turn to FSC, so fishing for food, social 41 and ceremonial purposes. So in your view, again, 42 like, what does "joint management" mean in terms 43 of an appropriate FSC management? 44 CHIEF NEWMAN: Well, the system that's in place today, 45 where the sports fishermen are allowed to run 46 uncontrolled in our territory, is an infringement

on our title and rights to food fishery. Milbanke

47

44

45

46

47

was the bread basket of the Heiltsuk people. 1 Today, it's hard for us to go out and get -- we 3 don't -- because we don't just depend on the salmon, as has been pointed out here already, we 5 depend on the halibut, the cod, and clams, and 6 everything else that's in that ocean for our food. 7 We live in a very high-cost area and we put a high 8 value on the food fishing in the commercial 9 fishery because it takes care of our people all 10 year round. 11 So when you say you put a high value on your food, 12 social and ceremonial fishery, do you also manage 13 its conservation voluntarily? 14 CHIEF NEWMAN: Yes, there have been times when we've 15 been forced to close certain areas in our 16 territory to the food fishery in order to try to 17 rebuild the stocks and we've stopped other -- we 18 used to allow our people to come and harvest their 19 own roe, herring roe in our territory. 20 Mm-hmm? 21 CHIEF NEWMAN: We've -- we also asked people to stop so 22 we could conserve that when the herring started to decline. 23 24 Okay. I'm going to show you a document. 25 CHIEF NEWMAN: I'd like to add something else to that. 26 We also were asked to be part of rebuilding --27 trying to rebuild abalone. The Department of 28 Fisheries and Oceans, in their wisdom, created 20 29 commercial abalone licences that were given to 20 30 white people. They totally wiped out the abalone 31 in our territory. We've been trying to rebuild 32 that stock. (Indiscernible) asked if we would 33 voluntarily stop taking abalone for the -- in the 34 food fishery --35 Mm-hmm? 36 CHIEF NEWMAN: -- and we agreed to do that, to help try 37 to rebuild that. We haven't been able to take 38 abalone in the food fishery for over 30 years now 39 and, yet, we have the highest -- one of the 40 biggest poaching operations going on in our 41 territory in abalone and there's no enforcement 42 out there. So we wanted to be able to put a joint

-- a total management in place to look -- not just

look after the commercial part of it, but to also

protect the resources for the benefit of the

people. We live there. We've been there for

10,000 years. We're not going to go anywhere.

46

47

When the Crown Zellerbach ran out of logs, 1 they abandoned Ocean Falls, the people left. They 3 left us with a mess, but we're not going to leave, we're going to live there. And it's important for 5 the Heiltsuk people that they protect and rebuild 6 the resources that we have and that's not 7 happening under this system. 8 Thank you. I'm going to show you a document. 9 MS. FONG: Mr. Lunn, if you could assist us, the June 10 7th, 2010 notice. 11 Chief Newman, if you could take a look at that and 12 tell me whether you recognize it? 13 CHIEF NEWMAN: Yes, I recognize it. 14 Can you explain to Mr. Commissioner what it is. 15 CHIEF NEWMAN: It was a request from the Fraser River bands and some of the bands on Lower Vancouver 16 17 Island, to part of the Salish bands, if we could 18 stop the food fishery, an early Stuart run was 19 coming through our territory, and we agreed to do 20 that. 21 This was voluntary? 22 CHIEF NEWMAN: That's right. 23 Okay. 24 MS. FONG: I'd like to mark this as the next exhibit, 25 please. 26 THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit number 302. 27 28 EXHIBIT 302: June 7th, 2010 "Notice to all Food fishers" document 29 30 31 MS. FONG: 32 Is it your view that the current AF -- sorry, FSC 33 allotment in these AFC agreements is adequate for 34 Heiltsuk? 35 CHIEF NEWMAN: Yeah, I've looked at that agreement 36 briefly. I glanced through it the other day and 37 if I was asked to sign it, I wouldn't sign it 38 because it seems to me, the number's out of whack 39 for the poundage and the population that we have, 40 and the way we're increasing so fast, it doesn't 41 seem to line up so --42 0 Okay. And has Heiltsuk done a community needs 43 study to ascertain the amount, like, the FSC 44 allotment that would be appropriate?

CHIEF NEWMAN: Yes, they have done a study to do that

that's been put into place.

and I think that it's now part of our 15-year plan

34
PANEL NO. 11
Cross-exam by Ms. Fong (LJHAH)

the next exhibit?

47

1 Okay. 2 MS. FONG: With the assistance of Mr. Lunn, if he can 3 put up our next document? Thank you. And if you 4 could flip to the next page, please, Mr. Lunn? 5 And perhaps slowly scroll down into page 3. 6 Chief Newman, do you recognize this document, and 7 if you do, can you please explain what it is? 8 CHIEF NEWMAN: Yes, I've seen it. Yes, I've seen it. 9 MS. FONG: If we can settle on page 3? 10 Chief Newman, can you explain what this document 11 is? 12 CHIEF NEWMAN: Yes, it's a study that was done to 13 determine the needs of our -- the food fishery 14 needs of our -- the Heiltsuk people. 15 I notice, on page 3, it indicates the amount required for a population of 2,180 people is 16 17 98,819, I believe it's pounds, of sockeye. Do you 18 believe this to be accurate? 19 CHIEF NEWMAN: I guess it's close, but like I said, the numbers, to me -- I haven't done arithmetic on it, 20 21 but, to me, they look out of whack. You know, our 22 nation is increasing fast and the numbers there 23 will never take care of the needs of the people. 24 Thank you. When you talk about the needs of your 25 people, are you also speaking of urban members and 26 what that means? 27 CHIEF NEWMAN: That's right. Any Heiltsuk person has 28 the title and right to the food fishery, and we 29 want to make sure that they have the opportunity 30 to enjoy their right. 31 And to your knowledge, have there been any issues 32 regarding obtaining fish through the food fishery 33 right for urban members? 34 CHIEF NEWMAN: Well, this year, we tried -- with the 35 big run of sockeye that went through our 36 territory, we missed it. Because the sockeye goes 37 through our territory and, like I said, I feel that we're entitled to a share of it and we should 38 39 be able to take it anywhere on the migratory 40 route. But DFO would not allow us to get more 41 sockeye for urban members in other people's 42 territories. 43 MS. FONG: Okay. If, Mr. Lunn, you can assist us with 44 the next document? 45 THE COMMISSIONER: Do you wish to mark that document? 46 MS. FONG: Oh, sorry, yes, please. May we mark that as

newsletter?

```
1
       THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 303.
 2
       MR. McGOWAN: Just before it's marked, Mr. --
 3
       THE REGISTRAR: Oh.
       MR. McGOWAN: -- Registrar. Mr. Commissioner, there's
 5
            a lot of very specific information in this
 6
            document and if the document is being marked
 7
            simply to the extent it informs or explains Chief
            Newman's testimony, I don't have an objection, but
 8
 9
            I'm not sure it's appropriate to mark it for the
10
            truth of everything contained in the document.
11
                 We're satisfied to mark it for
       MS. FONG:
12
            identification, or for the limit expressed by Mr.
13
            McGowan as we will hopefully be able to call other
14
            witnesses through Commission counsel later on in
15
            the proceeding.
16
       THE COMMISSIONER: Very well, we'll mark it as an
17
            exhibit on the understanding that counsel has
18
            reached with Mr. McGowan.
19
       MS. FONG: Thank you.
20
       THE REGISTRAR: For identification?
21
       THE COMMISSIONER: No, we'll mark it as the next
22
            exhibit.
23
       THE REGISTRAR: That will be Exhibit 303.
24
25
                 EXHIBIT 303: Document entitled, "Heiltsuk
26
                 Marine Use Plan"
27
28
                     Just to be clear, Mr. Commissioner, I'm
       MR. McGOWAN:
29
            not -- Commission counsel is not committing to
30
            call further witnesses to give evidence on this
31
            document. We haven't -- don't have a present
32
            intention to do so. I'll certainly discuss it
33
            with my friend.
       MS. FONG: Yes, we'll make that request. Thank you.
34
35
            And the next document, please?
36
            Again, Chief Newman, if you could take a look at
37
            this document and tell me whether you recognize
38
            it, and if you do, can you explain what it is?
39
       CHIEF NEWMAN: Yeah, it's an agreement that was
40
            developed, I guess, by the Department of Fisheries
41
            and Oceans between the Heiltsuk and the Department
42
            of Fisheries and Oceans.
43
            Oh, I think perhaps it says, "Aquatic
44
            Department --
45
       CHIEF NEWMAN: Mm-hmm.
            -- and "Dutilh (Heiltsuk for "news"), " it's a
46
```

Fishery.

46

47

CHIEF NEWMAN: Oh, yeah, I see that. 1 2 Okay. 3 CHIEF NEWMAN: The Dutilh, yeah. 4 And could you take a look at it and tell me 5 whether you recognize it and what it is. 6 CHIEF NEWMAN: Yes, I recognize it. 7 Okay. And what is the newsletter about? 8 CHIEF NEWMAN: Our food fishery. Our food fishery 9 and --10 Okay. Of the events over this summer? 11 CHIEF NEWMAN: There's concerns from the urban people 12 on why they didn't receive a share of the food 13 fishery. 14 Thank you. 15 MS. FONG: I'd like to mark that as the next exhibit, 16 with the same limitations expressed by Commission 17 counsel. 18 THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit number 304. 19 20 EXHIBIT 304: Document entitled, "Aquatic 21 Department Dutilh (Heiltsuk for news)" 22 23 MS. FONG: 24 Chief Newman, in your experience, have you had, or 25 are you aware of negotiations with DFO on the FSC 26 allotment numbers in your AFC agreements? 27 CHIEF NEWMAN: Not that I recall. We always got the 28 notices with the numbers already written into it 29 and we always refused to sign those agreements 30 because we didn't agree with the numbers. 31 didn't want anybody to put the numbers on -- we 32 wanted to put the numbers in it. 33 Okay. And would they let you put the numbers in 34 it? 35 CHIEF NEWMAN: No. 36 MS. FONG: If you could, Mr. Lunn, pull up the next 37 document? 38 Okay. The next document is the Comprehensive 39 Fisheries Agreement signed on December 9th, 2009. 40 Do you recognize this? 41 CHIEF NEWMAN: Yes, I do. 42 Okay. I'm going to refer you to page 14. Okay, 43 and page 14 reads: 44 45 Schedule B-1, Food, Social and Ceremonial

6 7

9 10 11

12

13

8

19 20 21

22

23

24

18

32

33

41 42 43

44

45

46

47

39 40

Do you see that, Chief Newman? CHIEF NEWMAN: Mm-hmm. Yes, I was looking at this last night and, again, I thought if I had anything to do with it, I would have never signed this agreement.

I'm going to flip over. My question is Q Okay. with respect to a paragraph at page 15, paragraph 4(b). Okay. Now, the second sentence of that paragraph reads:

> In the event that the HTC identifies an increase in the food, social and ceremonial needs of its members, during the fishing season, the Parties will review the quantities specified in the Communal Licence issued to the HTC and, if agreed by the Parties, DFO will amend the Communal Licence.

Are you aware of any circumstance where the Communal Licence was amended to increase the number of the FSC allotment?

CHIEF NEWMAN: No, I don't recall any of our allocations being increased, but you know, we -every time there's a change in the amount of quota that the commercial fisherman get and the sports fisherman receive, and this happened in the halibut, with the Kelliher proposal, the halibut fishermen -- the sports fishermen wanted an increase in their allocation so Kelliher was asked by the government to negotiate a deal between the two of them, but they excluded the Indian people, we weren't even a part of that. We weren't consulted in what happened to that agreement, and how it came about. Now, today, the sports fishermen want 40 percent of the total halibut allocation. Again, nobody's come to ask us about what we think about it. And if we had, what do you call it, total management of our resources, we'd be able to control that.

Okay. But staying with the FSC fishery, let me ask you a question about that next sentence that follows:

> The quantities of fish reflected in the Communal Licence are subject to consultation each year, at which time the needs of the members of the HTC and conservation

requirements will be reviewed by the Parties. 1 3 Do you have any knowledge of any consultation with DFO on this? 5 CHIEF NEWMAN: I can only speak for the time I was 6 involved in the band business, there was never any 7 consultation, we were always told, "This is it. 8 You sign it, " or -- you know? 9 Thank you. 10 I'd like this document marked as the next MS. FONG: 11 exhibit, and I'd be satisfied with the same 12 limitations as expressed by Commission counsel. 13 THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 305. 14 15 EXHIBIT 305: Document entitled, 16 "Comprehensive Fisheries Agreement" 17 18 MS. FONG: 19 Now, coming back to joint management and what that 20 -- you know, the aspects of what that might mean, 21 can you tell us whether that would include not 22 just the marine resources, but the inland, like, 23 rivers and stream resources, in your view? 24 CHIEF NEWMAN: You mean the salmon streams inland? 25 That's correct. 26 CHIEF NEWMAN: Yes, it would include all of our 27 territory. In our territory, we have over 200 salmon streams and because of the problems in the 28 29 Fraser and the Skeena River, less than 20 percent 30 of the funding that DFO gives to look after 31 streams is spent between Vancouver Island and the 32 Skeena River. The 200 streams in the central 33 coast have been totally abandoned. The Department 34 of Fisheries and Oceans doesn't seem to care 35 whether they produce salmon any more, or not. 36 And what would the restoration of these streams 37 matter to the passing stock? 38 CHIEF NEWMAN: Well, it would -- we wouldn't 39 concentrate on the passing stock so much. You 40 know, the -- when you neglect the streams in our 41 territory, you're forcing a dependency on the 42 Fraser River and the Skeena River. 43 Mr. Lunn, if you can assist us with the last MS. FONG: 44 document? We've also passed this out to counsel 45 because I recognize it's got a lot of detail and 46 it might be hard to see.

Chief Newman, if you could take a look at this and

47

Q

45

46 47

1 tell me if you recognize this document and what it is, what it depicts? 3 CHIEF NEWMAN: Well, it -- that marks all the salmon 4 streams in our territory. 5 Oh, sorry, can you speak into the mike? 6 CHIEF NEWMAN: I'm sorry. This is a map showing the 7 location of our -- of all the fish-bearing streams 8 in our territory. 9 Okay. And do --10 CHIEF NEWMAN: Our salmon-bearing streams. 11 And do all of them bear salmon currently? 12 CHIEF NEWMAN: No, they don't. A lot of them don't 13 produce any more because of bad logging practices 14 that we had in our territory. 15 And are there -- do you know if there are 16 restoration activities going on with these salmon 17 streams? 18 CHIEF NEWMAN: We attempted, on our own, to try to do 19 that. You know, some of the things I see on that 20 agreement they want to sign is that they -- we 21 walk the streams and try to keep them clear, but 22 we were told not to do that, not to interfere with 23 it. 24 Okay. So my last question on joint management 25 would be for meaningful joint management, do you 26 have any comments about how fishing licences ought 27 to be? 28 CHIEF NEWMAN: Yes, I have some concerns about the way 29 PICFI has been put into practice. I was at the 30 joint -- I was at the press conference. 31 invited by the Minister of Fisheries and Minister 32 of Oceans to -- and Indian Affairs to be there. 33 And what I heard them present jointly was 34 something altogether different than what we see 35 being put in place today. 36 We were told by the Department of Fisheries 37 and Oceans that their mandate is to make 38 everything communal. An aboriginal person will 39 not have the opportunity to be a private owner any 40 more, according to their process. And to me, 41 that's not right. 42 You know, the communal system has not worked. 43 The process through the AFS has not worked.

has not created any economic opportunities for the

Indian people. And the government does have some

band members who are supposed to be using them. lot of those licences have been leased to non-

concerns about that because I saw some documents from the standing committee on fisheries and oceans to question why that's happening. So it's not doing what it's supposed to do, it's doing the opposite.

PICFI was supposed to create economic opportunities for aboriginal people. It hasn't done that. It's been changed so it's creating economic opportunities for the non-Indian people.

Q And just so I understand your evidence, is it the case that you say communal -- or, sorry, ownership, individual-owned licences are better than the communal system currently in place?

CHIEF NEWMAN: Yeah, well, the -- when we owned our licences individually, we created a lot of jobs in the community. Today, it's dead. If you go to communities like Alert Bay, Bella Bella, Klemtu, Rivers Inlet, there's no fishermen there any more because somebody created management plans that are designed to push people out of the industry, and the aboriginal people are the ones that's been pushed out. Limited entry was created to push somebody out. The quota system was created to push somebody out, the area licensing, the Mifflin buy-back program, they were all created to push somebody out and we're the victims.

Q Okay. Now, my last question for you today is do you believe that First Nations can negotiate with the DFO with a unified voice, with one voice? And so for example, using the First Nations Fisheries Council?

CHIEF NEWMAN: Yeah, I guess that -- I have to express my own personal view on it. Many times, our people have tried to put something in place to speak with one voice. It was always a dream of our ancestors that we could put something together that would speak out with one voice for the aboriginal people. The first one, the Indian Allied Tribes, went to Ottawa. As a result of that trip to Ottawa, the government put a law in place that made it illegal for Indian people to meet to talk about the issues. If three people got together and talked, that was called an illegal congregation.

So the Native Brotherhood was born under the umbrella of the church to try to form another organization to fight with the unified voice.

41
PANEL NO. 11
Cross-exam by Ms. Fong (LJHAH)

Again, that didn't work, it broke apart, and the Native Brotherhood of B.C. became a coastal organization.

Then we formed the Union of B.C. Indian Chiefs, again to speak with one voice on a line of questions. Again, that broke apart and the one issue that always broke us apart was the fishery because the coastal people have a lot to talk about when they talk about fish. The ocean is full of wealth and the aboriginal people want to share that wealth.

Q Mm-hmm?

CHIEF NEWMAN: The river systems, all they talk about is salmon. And we've tried different ways to try to put something together to speak on behalf of all aboriginal people. The B.C. Aboriginal Fisheries Commission was put in place to do that. It didn't work. Now, we've got a new one that the government is putting -- decided to fund to try to do that, but, again, I have my concerns whether it's going to work. And I was at the AGM in Prince Rupert a month ago, and some of the things I heard there created -- troubled me in ways because I do believe that the coastal people need to come together to deal with their issues in a unified way. The interior people need to come together to deal with their issues in a unified way. Because right now, the two of us are all split, we're divided. The government has a way of -- to split us, divide and conquer. They do that with funding. The AAROM funding is one source of funding that they use to divide us.

Many times their funding -- their funding arrangements have been designed to create divisions among our people. It's the same in the interior. There's a bit split on the Fraser River between the Fraser River bands. So we need to get together to deal with our issues together in a separate way, the coastal people and the interior people, and then they come together and deal with those issues that we have in common.

MS. FONG: Thank you very much, Chief Newman, those are my questions. With respect to the last document, the streams, if I could get that marked as the next exhibit and with those same limitations would be fine.

THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 306.

EXHIBIT 306: Document entitled, "Spatial Distributions of Sockeye Streams"

MR. GERELUK: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner and panel members. My name is Joe Gereluk. Gereluk is spelled G-e-r-e-l-u-k, and I represent the Métis Nation, British Columbia.

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GERELUK:

My question would be directed, then, of course, to Mr. Ducommun. And Mr. Ducommun, we've heard about your professional qualifications. I wonder if you'd be able to discuss your personal experiences as they relate to fisheries in B.C. for the commission?

CAPTAIN DUCOMMUN: Sure. I think the purpose of today is to focus more on -- on traditional knowledge and kind of world view, and I'll try to do that. I am a professional biologist, fisheries biologist. Primarily, I've been working in the fisheries field since about 1972 in British Columbia.

Part of the reason I became a fisheries biologist is because I was inseparable from the water when I was a young man, and I found that it was the way that I could stay out there on the water and on the land and not have to do a real job.

Anyway -- but I'm also a traditional knowledge holder and I -- my traditional knowledge comes in a little bit different way, I think than some of the other panellists and that is that within the Métis world, particularly in the fishing business, our old-time commercial fishermen are disappearing. I think that's probably true within all commercial fishing sectors and, you know, it's just -- it's the nature of the commercial fishery now that there just is not that many opportunities. A lot of those opportunities are corporate.

But one of the things that I've done over the last number of years, just out of interest more than anything, is I've actually interviewed a lot of our old commercial fishermen and our old fisheries families and also talked to people along the coast and along the major river systems from

our communities about their activities around traditional harvesting, including fish. So my -- so as I interview them, their traditional knowledge becomes mine so it -- but it -- it's a little bit difference process than being, you know, raised in a commercial fishing family, which I wasn't. So I'll speak primarily on kind of that traditional aspect today.

Q All right. And I understand part of the -- your

- Q All right. And I understand part of the -- your experience included working with -- as an aboriginal fisheries advisor for the Cariboo Tribal Council?
- CAPTAIN DUCOMMUN: That's correct. I -- for a number of years, I managed the aboriginal fisheries in the mid-Fraser for the Northern Shuswap people.
- Q And your current position with the Métis Nation of British Columbia is?
- CAPTAIN DUCOMMUN: I'm the Director of Natural Resources so I'm responsible for all the national resource activities related to our 35 communities.
- And perhaps we can just have a brief review of the Métis Nation structure as it is in British Columbia relative to where the Métis population is dispersed in British Columbia in accordance with your studies with the UBC.
- CAPTAIN DUCOMMUN: Okay. We -- Métis Nation B.C. represents 35 chartered -- what we call chartered communities so they've signed an affiliation agreement with a provincial organization. They're distributed throughout British Columbia. There's somewhere around 10 or 12 within the Fraser watershed and between -- essentially, on the east coast of Vancouver Island. So those would be the ones that are certainly more interested in Fraser sockeye.

All of our communities have ballot box-elected presidents. We also have ballot box-elected regional and provincial officials. There's 11, including a women's and a youth representative. So that process allows us to --you know, to discuss issues, concerns, activities with Métis across the province. According to the 2006 census, there was just under 60,000 self-identifying Métis in British Columbia, and we also have a process to identify **Powley** compliance. So according to the **Powley** decision in the Supreme Court, there's actually a definition of who a

1 Métis is so we have a process to do that. And what percentage of the population would you 3 say, of the Métis population, is concerned with or 4 spread out over the Fraser watershed? 5 CAPTAIN DUCOMMUN: I would guess that there's probably 6 in the low tens of thousands of Métis who are 7 involved in communities within the Fraser River or along the east coast of Vancouver Island. 8 9 Q And one more item with respect to the Métis Nation 10 governance structure. There is a legislation 11 system in place and I understand there's a Natural 12 Resources Act under which you, as the captain of 13 the natural resources operates? 14 CAPTAIN DUCOMMUN: That's correct. We have a 15 legislative process. We -- our legislation is law 16 for our nation. It's passed through a two-reading 17 The first reading is all of our process. 18 presidents plus our 11 ballot box provincial 19 representatives have to pass it. And our second 20 reading is at the AGM, where all of our citizens 21 have an opportunity to vote on it. And the 22 Natural Resource Act passed at both those stages 23 unanimously. 24 And the Act, itself, is concerned with 25 conservation; is that correct? 26 CAPTAIN DUCOMMUN: It's -- conservation is definitely 27 one of the big focuses of that Act, but also 28 access to resources is another. 29 And does the Act speak to the use of fishery or 30 other wildlife resources for food, social and 31 ceremonial purposes? 32 CAPTAIN DUCOMMUN: The Act directs that that is the 33 only reason for that Act. It specifically actually prohibits commercial activities and 34 35 that's not to say that there may not, in the 36 future, be commercial activities approved, but 37 there would be a different legislative process to 38 do that. 39 Q All right. Now, the historical perspective of 40 Métis, from the historical perspective of Métis in 41 British Columbia, can you describe what was the 42 Métis relationship with the fishery in British 43 Columbia? 44 CAPTAIN DUCOMMUN: Well, Métis people first came in the

fur trade era to B.C. We are -- the earliest documents that we have go back to 1793. We know,

just from some of the reading between the lines of

45

46 47

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

those documents, that Métis people were here before that. But there is -- one of the projects 3 that I'm involved with with the University of B.C. is collecting historic documents from the fur 5 trade era. We have over 20,000 documents now and 6 some of those documents speak fairly early on to 7 commercial fishing activities, not specifically to 8 the fact that they were Métis, but we also know 9 that the workers in the fur trade during the early 10 1800s were primarily Métis people. 11 And how would you describe the relationship to --12 of the Métis people from a scientific viewpoint or 13 a traditional viewpoint? Is there an explanation 14 for the Métis' view of the fishery from those two 15 perspectives? Well, one of the things -- because 16 CAPTAIN DUCOMMUN: we're a mixed race people, we tend to pull the 17 18 best we can from each of our European, primarily, 19 and First Nations roots, and one of the things 20 that's actually reflected in our Natural Resource 21 Act quite strongly is that we try to balance 22 traditional knowledge with what we call western-23 based science and it's actually written into our 24 process that we have to look at traditional 25 knowledge in any decisions that we make related to 26 national resource management. 27 All right. Now, there is a concern about Métis 28 having legal rights, or not, to fish, and as a 29 result, has there been a change in the manner in 30 which Métis people fish in British Columbia? 31 CAPTAIN DUCOMMUN: Well, Métis, up till -- my 32 understanding, from interviews I've done and my

own personal observations, even in this -- in the 1970s, was that we tended to fish more opening up until about the last 20 or 30 years when kind of the crunch came and people all of a sudden were paying attention to missing fish and Métis became more -- I don't know, I've got a pretty strong word for the way DFO treats us, but, you know, we were forced underground, essentially.

We -- Métis fishing was in a lot of ways not unlike some of the First Nations fishing, where it

We -- Métis fishing was in a lot of ways not unlike some of the First Nations fishing, where it was a social, communal activity and now it's really an individual kind of an activity in most cases. In some cases, because we're related by family in First Nations, you know, some -- in some cases, Métis fish under First Nations rights,

1 essentially. 2 Okay. When you talk about the First Nations 3 connection to Métis, and it's a familial 4 relationship, is that what you're saying? 5 CAPTAIN DUCOMMUN: In a lot of cases it is because 6 Métis families have been over 200 years in this 7 province and because in the early, you know, 70 or 8 80 years of that, there was no European women, 9 there was a lot of intermarriage between Métis 10 men, primarily, and First Nations women and we see 11 those families. And my family is a perfect 12 example, I have lots of relatives on reserves in 13 the interior of B.C. who are status Indians but 14 who carry, essentially, the same Métis bloodline 15 as myself. 16 Now, how the Métis, as far as you're -- to your Q 17 knowledge, been included in any federal or 18 provincial policy programs concerning the salmon 19 fishery in British Columbia? 20 CAPTAIN DUCOMMUN: No. 21 Has there been any effort by the Métis to become 22 involved with the salmon fishery in British 23 Columbia? 24 CAPTAIN DUCOMMUN: There's been effort to become 25 involved in discussions around the management of 26 salmon, and there's also been efforts to, you 27 know, identify opportunities for Métis fishing. 28 And the results of those efforts? 29 CAPTAIN DUCOMMUN: Essentially, no response. I mean, 30 we get responses, but it's, you know, "Go to 31 court, prove you have rights and we'll talk to you." 32 33 Are you talking federal -- sorry, I mixed them up, federal and provincial response? 34 35 CAPTAIN DUCOMMUN: Well, DFO. Yeah, DFO, at this 36 point, I'm speaking to. 37 And then you've talked about traditional knowledge, what is your view about how the 38 39 traditional knowledge, from the Métis perspective, 40 should be used with respect to salmon fishery? 41 CAPTAIN DUCOMMUN: Well, I think we've -- you know, 42 we've run the course of using science alone to 43 develop management practices around managing these 44 fish, and I think that one of the things that 45 we've missed, and certainly, I've heard that from other panellists this morning, is that there has 46 47 been no consideration of traditional knowledge in

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46 47 the mix. I think that, you know, number one, there's value to some traditional knowledge, but number two, and I spoke to it in my statement, was that the real value is engaging aboriginal people, and we believe that Métis have something to contribute to the management of salmon along the Fraser, and one of the things that that might be is that we have interests along the entire migration route of those fish, and so we have a different kind of a view than some First Nations who kind of have a piece along the route where they have interests.

Q And you, in your statement, or your written statement also talked about a merging of scientific and traditional knowledge. Can you expand on that?

Well, that was my science-based CAPTAIN DUCOMMUN: background, but you know, we understand, I think aboriginal people understand that fish, not only just being part of the ecosystem they belong to, they're a key part, salmon in particular and that, you know, the ecology of any area where they exist, it really depends on them. So everything -- you know, everything that walks, swims or flies is going to eat a salmon at sometime in its life, it seems like, in British Columbia, and beyond that, you know, the plants and the trees, as well, really require those nutrients when they come back from the sea. And one of the things that we're -and I think DFO has known for a long time because they've actually artificially added nutrients to sockeye lakes for quite a number of years, but I think that's one place where traditional knowledge and science are starting to agree, that, "Yeah, we actually need more fish into these watersheds in order to maintain that cycle."

And would you be able to evaluate, or could you evaluate the value of sockeye as a food fish as compared to other salmon as food fish?

CAPTAIN DUCOMMUN: Well, I think Métis consider it to be high, possibly the highest and I think that goes back traditionally that, you know, sockeye, pound for pound, if you were going to subsist on salmon, you would probably want sockeye because of the oil content and the fact that it's high calorie and -- but that doesn't mean that other species weren't used.

48
PANEL NO. 11
Cross-exam by Mr. Gereluk (MNBC)

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

- The Métis is part of some Government of Canada initiatives and, in particular, to endangered species. Can you talk a little bit about that? CAPTAIN DUCOMMUN: Well, the -- under the Species at Risk Act, there is a traditional knowledge component and it's one of the examples that I used where DFO might want to look, and that is there's actually two aspects there where aboriginal and traditional knowledge is incorporated. One is in a aboriginal traditional knowledge subcommittee, which advises, essentially, scientists about technical issues, and the other is in what's called the NACOSAR which actually advises the Minister on traditional knowledge issues related to species at risk. So there is some processes out there in other jurisdictions where traditional knowledge is incorporated.
- Q Have you sat on -- you, personally, sat on those subcommittees?
- CAPTAIN DUCOMMUN: I sit on the NACOSAR Board on behalf of Métis.
- Now, with respect to your interactions with the Department of Fisheries and Oceans, we spoke about it briefly, but do you find a difference between the way the West Coast -- or the British Columbia Métis are treated with respect to West Coast fisheries as compared to other provincial Métis organizations are treated with fisheries in other provinces?
- CAPTAIN DUCOMMUN: Well, it's our understanding that Métis organizations in other provinces have agreements with Department of Fisheries in other areas, and it's one of the things that we've identified is that, you know, there's lots of places where we would like to work with DFO that are not related to catching fish, but we're being kept away from that because of this notion that the **Powley** rights don't apply to Métis in British Columbia. And you know, it's interesting that in that way, the government has treated Métis differently than First Nations because a lot of First Nations case law is applied across the country the day that it's announced in the Supreme Court, but in the case of Métis, it's not.
- Q Is there another effect, though, of DFO not consulting with the Métis with respect to harvest numbers, for instance?

49
PANEL NO. 11
Cross-exam by Mr. Gereluk (MNBC)

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2728

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43 44

45

46

47

CAPTAIN DUCOMMUN: Well, we -- I mean, I think DFO ignores the fact that we are harvesting, we're not sitting and waiting for them to allow us to fish. A lot of it's off the radar. A lot of is using other processes, but our own estimate, based on the 2006, we did a natural resource use survey and we had 1,600 respondents and we applied that, you know, to the demographics from the 2006 census. We estimated somewhere around 100,000 sockeye from the Fraser would be a reasonable estimate of what the harvest is. But because DFO won't talk to us, they are missing a component of the aboriginal fishery which really puts -- you know, creates a deficit in that dataset for them. And you also speak about a holistic view that DFO should take in the approach to salmon fishery in B.C. Can you describe or expand on that? CAPTAIN DUCOMMUN: Well, I think, you know, there has to be some connectivity between management, you know, habitat protection, you know, water

- licensing, aquaculture, and right now, it's a silo'd approach and I don't believe that, in many cases, different groups within the Department talk, but the reality is is that there's a multitude of reasons why we're in the situation we're in. We're not going to find, you know, the silver bullet of why sockeye salmon have disappeared. You know, they've disappeared because there's a lot of pressures, you know, from habitat, from water use, from aquaculture, from fisheries and, you know, we have to really look at the big picture and try to figure out where -- you know, what are the big contributors and how do we deal with them, but also what are the smaller contributors to the reason why we can't recover these stocks?
- Q And has there been any investigation by the Métis Nation with respect to the reason for the sockeye -- or the decline in sockeye over the last few decades?
- CAPTAIN DUCOMMUN: Well, I mean, I think we have some ideas but, you know, really, it's just management issues. It's -- you know, we're -- I guess there's a big concern, a bigger concern about what global warming is doing to these stocks, and if, in fact, it is one of the bigger contributors, then we may have some difficulty in recovering

those fish, but, you know, sockeye salmon have proven to be fairly resilient. I mean, the 3 Horsefly sockeye run after the gold rush was down to 500 in the peak year and it's come back to, you 5 know, a few millions in the peak year in some 6 years now. So I mean, they can recover, but they 7 need the habitat, they need the water quality, 8 they need -- which includes no pollution. And DFO 9 has to really look at -- you know, for instance, 10 we have a process right now where there's a major 11 pipeline talking about crossing upper Fraser River 12 watersheds, a huge major pipeline and there 13 doesn't seem to be any process in place to 14 actually say, "Well, you know, the Fraser sockeye 15 are so important, there's certain things that 16 we're not going to allow to happen within the 17 watershed because of the risks to their, you know, 18 future." And so I think, you know, in one case, 19 habitat protection in DFO is going to be involved in evaluating that pipeline, but are they really 20 21 talking to anybody else in DFO about what that 22 might do to impact the future of that stock? 23 Thank you. 24

MR. GERELUK: We had -- Mr. Commissioner provided a copy of the **Natural Resources Act** and I'm seeking to have this entered as an exhibit at this time in this proceeding.

THE COMMISSIONER: Very well.

THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit number 307.

EXHIBIT 307: Métis Nation British Columbia Natural Resource Act

MR. GERELUK:

25

26

27

28

29

30 31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46 47 Q Is there anything you wish to add, Mr. Ducommun, to this discussion?

CAPTAIN DUCOMMUN: I don't think so at this time.

MR. GERELUK: Thank you very much. That will be the -- my questions.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.

MR. McGOWAN: It seems to be an appropriate time to break for lunch, Mr. Commissioner.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.

THE REGISTRAR: The hearing is now adjourned until 2:00 p.m.

(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED FOR NOON RECESS)

1 (PROCEEDINGS RECONVENED) 3 The hearing is now resumed. THE REGISTRAR: MS. WILLIAMS-DAVIDSON: (Speaking Haida language). 5 Terri-Lynn Williams-Davidson representing the 6 Council of the Haida Nation. I just want to begin 7 by expressing my gratitude and honour for being in 8 the presence of the witnesses today sharing your 9 knowledge about the Aboriginal Worldview. 10 11 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. WILLIAMS-DAVIDSON: 12 13 Guujaaw, you are the President of the Haida 14 Nation. What is that position? 15 PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: Just before I start, Your Honour, I want to tell your recorder I've got a little bit 16 17 of a speech issue. I'm in the middle of a dental 18 thing, so if they don't understand something I 19 say, they could certainly get it clarified. The Council of Haida Nation is the governing 20 21 body of Haida Gwaii, and is organized by our 22 people in such a way that includes democratically-23 elected council and president and vice-president. 24 It includes some representation from our two 25 villages and also the hereditary chiefs from about 26 18 different villages where our people originate 27 from. 28 How long have you held that position? 29 PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: It's 11 years now, and still a 30 couple of years on my mandate. 31 Have you held other positions within the Haida 32 Nation in the past? 33 PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: Yes, I have. It is mentioned that 34 I worked with the hereditary chiefs as firekeeper, 35 and I worked with the Council of Haida Nation, and 36 I also worked in management. Before that, 37 basically I was carver and trapper and those sort 38 of things. 39 And what is the mandate of the Council of the 40 Haida Nation? It's to restore our title to Haida 41 PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: 42 Gwaii, to look after the lands and the waters 43 around us in the meantime, to ensure that our 44 rights continue through the generations. It's one

thing to have the right to fish, but it's also

rights, so a lot of the effort is in protecting

necessary that we have fish to exercise those

45

46

47

1 those resources. 2 I'd like to ask you to share knowledge about the 3 origins of the Haida people. PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: The story in our origins is 5 speaking about a time before human beings had 6 existed, that it talks about the whole earth being 7 covered by water, and schoolagette (phonetic) 8 comes out on a rock in the southern part of our 9 island, and the elaquans (phonetic) also comes out 10 near that rock, and those are the ones who give 11 birth to the ancestors of the two different -- the 12 Raven and the Eagle Clans. 13 Do you have an idea of when that might have taken 14 place? 15 PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: It goes well before the Ice Age 16 that we're familiar with. The archaeological 17 evidence I think goes back about 14,000 years, and 18 before that, it would be pretty hard to find any 19 evidence because it'll be under water because of 20 the changing sea levels, though there has been 21 some work and artefacts found underwater. 22 Meaning that that was an area that was occupied in 23 the past? 24 PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: Yes. And the way the archaeology 25 was carried out was taking the most probable areas 26 where there was rivers and lakes and those sort of 27 things, but we also have stories that talk about 28 the time when the Hecate Strait was dry and our 29 people lived in more of a tundra type of 30 condition. That was in the last Ice Age. 31 part of our story is proven out by science. 32 Is there anything in the oral history record about 33 the change in that landscape? DENT GUUJAAW: Yes, yeah, there was a time when there was no trees at all and our people lived, 34 PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: 35 36 wore skins and used grasses and things to live so 37 the culture that we see today has evolved and 38 changed with the landscape. 39 Q And how does that origin affect -- and those ties 40 to Haida Gwaii affect the respect or the 41 relationship with the land and the sea and the 42 salmon? 43 PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: Well, the -- what we consider to be

the Haida culture, most people would look at totem

poles and canoes and hear the songs and dances and think that its Haida culture. But in itself,

it isn't the culture. The culture is the

44

45

46 47

relationship to the land and those are ways of expressing and celebrating that relationship. I'm wondering if you would share some examples of

I'm wondering if you would share some examples of how we would show that respect in that relationship, say, to salmon?

PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: Well, we do still practice the first salmon ceremonies and, like our neighbours, we try to always make sure that the first consideration is for the survival of the stocks. So that would be the first thing that we have to consider.

Q Are there any supernatural beings that are charged with taking care of the salmon?

PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: That is -- at the top of each creek is a supernatural called -- we called them "Creek Woman", and they regulate the water and look after the salmon as they come and go.

Are you aware of any gifts that Haida people received from the supernatural beings?

PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: Well, I think we consider that -you know, certainly our place on the earth is a gift from the supernatural beings, but to be more specific, we know now the whole sequence of the arrival of plants on the Haida Gwaii, from the first tree. And we had one clan who had claimed the crest of the first tree because they were the ones who found it. It is a pine, and they had the right to wear them at potlatches. Again, very recently - you know, in the last 10 or 15 years science has been able to lay out the whole sequence of the arrival of plants and confirm that, you know, it arrived at that time. Our people would have been here when that -- when that happened.

But one that I have experience with is a canoe I built, canoes, and basically the canoe-building had gone out of practice for some time, and we had to back-engineer it, basically, going into the forest and finding some in different stages of completion and looking at old ones that had survived and are sitting in museums. There's some in the museums.

To do that, we blueprinted some of the old ones and we had a shipwright do that and he was amazed to find that the tolerance of the -- and accuracy of the canoes, the old canoes were within sixteenths of an inch which -- you know, even a

modern wooden boat builder couldn't be any closer than that.

That was given to our people. It was made out of a single tree, carved in one shape with the expectation that it changes when it's steamed open. Once it's steamed, the ends come up and the sides go down giving it more stability and manoeuvrability. Those were the first marine craft with a flared prow. In fact, you know, at the time of contact when the first British and Spanish ships had come around, they were quite blunt instruments in comparison to our Haida canoe. It was around 1900 that the first schooner was built with a flared prow like that, and it was inspired by the big canoe in New York.

So our people had learned from the supernaturals who had taken the first canoe builder -- and I should say that before that, there was some kind of rudimentary dugouts that existed there. This story is probably -- the first cedar arrived about 5000 years ago, so we figure it's about three or four thousand years ago that this happened. It basically took them through the different steps.

So I built canoes based on what we learned from the old ones, and was pretty astonished at the technology used in getting those things to the point that we recognize them.

Up to that point, there was -- our people were actually isolated on Haida Gwaii after the Ice Age because, as the ice on the continent had caused the edges to bulge up and that's why the Hecate Strait was dry. As the waters -- as the ice melted, the melt waters out of the Skeena, The Nass, the Stikene, Kitimat River and all these other ones where it would have been pretty torrential waterways. Eventually as the ice let its weight off the continent, the islands kind of sunk into it.

So, for this reason, our language is an isolate language (sic).

- Q And what impact did the canoes have on fishing in Haida Gwaii?
- PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: Well, our commerce generally, it gave our people the ability to move back and forth. So our people travelled all over the coast. There's accounts of certainly far into

Alaska, but also into California. We had stories 1 that described our people going to a place where 3 they -- to the west where they used colourful 4 clothes and ate maggots, which I figure is rice. 5 Just to come back, what impact did the canoes have 6 on fishing technology, or where we fished? 7 PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: Well, certainly from that point, 8 you know, they talked about a time when people who 9 didn't have the canoes would send branches out 10 into the -- into the ocean and trigger a hook to 11 fall. So afterwards, certainly we had the ability 12 to cover a lot of country and, you know, the 13 access to move further was available to our people 14 for, you know, offshore fishing. 15 And when you say "offshore fishing", did that include fishing for Fraser River sockeye salmon? 16 17 PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: Yes. You know, I think that it's 18 kind of a misnomer to consider that interception 19 fishing because the migration route right from the 20 place that they're born to coming back certainly is part of their life cycle, and along the way 21 22 they're eaten by killer whales and sea lions and 23 other fish, and certainly the indigenous people 24 who are along the coast in the route were 25 legitimate recipients of those fish. 26 And relative to streams like the Fraser River, 27 will you speak about the number and sizes of 28 streams for salmon, sockeye salmon in Haida Gwaii? 29 PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: Well, we're on an island so 30 relative to the Fraser, the Skeena, or some of the 31 other mainland rivers, we have small streams which 32 were tended to by fish weirs. The fish weir 33 fishing was changed again by -- in the early days. 34 I think it's an important factor here. Our people 35 numbered, you know, somewhere around 10,000 36 people. That's an estimate. The population had 37 dropped down to 600 people, and it was at that 38 point when colonialism stepped in there. 39 It was during that point of low population 40 when actually the federal government had issued 41 fishing nets and outlawed the weirs. 42 Have there been any impacts of logging on the 43 streams in Haida Gwaii, salmon streams? 44 PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: Yes. That was a pretty contentious 45 few decades for our people, fighting against the 46 logging to protect our rivers. In the last little 47 while, we've succeeded in protecting fully half of

the landscape of Haida Gwaii, and included is all the watersheds in those areas. But at the same time, we didn't surrender the rest to industry. We've changed the rules so that there would be a lot more consideration for the wildlife and fish and the cultural use of it.

Q Do Haida people have food fishing permits from DFO?

PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: No.

Q Do they have any permits to fish?

PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: No. For a while, our people did get food permits and, you know, then it became pretty evident, like the Department of Fisheries' efforts were really on our people. They weren't, you know, while -- like, for instance, I was charged and convicted for taking 27 pink salmon, and got two days in jail, while the commercial fleet took 750,000 fish out of that same watershed.

Other people were harassed, and it just came to the point where we see them basically, you know, in the '60s, I guess, when the big tree farm licences were given out. It was commonplace for them to take gravel from the river bottoms and use it for building roads. My own father told me about times when there were so many salmon eggs and seagulls on the road that, when they were going to work, they couldn't pass through without hitting seagulls.

I'm wondering if we could just shift to the last area here and speak about the Haida experience with management and with co-management.

PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: Yeah, just before that, I wanted to say something about, you know, why we have been in discussions with the Government of Canada, why this goes on.

So the difference between the rest of Canada and British Columbia is that in the rest of Canada, the -- whether the treaties were right or wrong, there was processes set out across Europe, basically, who was colonizing the world, and the British had their own particular set of rules, and there was other conventions that described how the race into the un-European parts of the world would be divvied up. Basically there has been no surrender of our title west of the Rockies, so therefore the people in each of their own regions

still maintain aboriginal rights. You know, that's a word that's familiar with the constitution and things like that, but it's not necessarily the way that we would describe it.

So perhaps we could speak -- start by first speaking about your experience in managing the Copper Bay River -- Copper River.

PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: The Copper River is a sockeye stream and basically under the management of the Department of Fisheries. It had — the population of fish had dropped down to a few hundred, and so our people said, you know, that's enough. We're going to lose this fishery. So they took over the management in that fishery. Our people didn't fish for several years until they were able to build the stock back up. Today it is producing salmon for one of our villages again.

But again, our people don't go to them for -to the Department of Fisheries for permits. They
have no respect for the Department of Fisheries
and their management of resources.

- Q Are there other areas where the Haida are managing or co-managing fisheries?
- PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: In the razor clam fishery, in that instance we manage that fishery with an agreement with the Department of Fisheries that has been in place for, I don't know, 15 or 20 years. So our people go out and make the assessments and determine how much could be taken out of there in a season.
- Perhaps one of the most well-known examples of comanagement is the Gwaii Haanas area. Could you speak briefly about the management structure there?

PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: The Lyle Island issue was well known, and occurred about 25 years ago when there was blockades. Basically our people had seen what was occurring north of there by logging, and our people blockaded and stopped the logging in that area. Eventually, the federal government came up with some money to pay off the logging companies and cut some sort of deal with British Columbia, and a separate deal with our people. Basically that one is called -- the first arrangement between the province and the federal government is called the South Moresby Agreement. The one with the Haidas is called the Gwaii Haanas Agreement.

In that instance, there is an agreement that all our rights remain intact. Our people have the right to hunt, fish, trap, to even live there and to cut trees and do all the things that our ancestors did which, over the thousands of years, had no adverse affect upon the land.

The management is really the management of the visitors into the area, and in that instance, we set up a management board made up of equal numbers of federal and Haida people, and we look at every issue and determine how many visitors should be allowed and what kind of quotas and those sort of matters. But also just to look after things like seabirds and streamside and determine what other activities are acceptable.

You also spoke about the salmon streams being protected more recently. Is that also a comanagement agreement? The more recent agreement to protect the streams under the land use area.

PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: Oh, in the Gwaii Haanas area, we also have -- it's only about a year now since we signed one for the marine area, and it'll be similarly managed. Another distinction in the agreement that I think should be known here is that it certainly isn't an agreement to manage a national park or national marine park. It's -- we made our land designation long before the federal government did.

So there's two separate authorities that work together to manage the lands. In that instance, we just agreed to disagree on the matter of who holds title to those lands.

Q Just in closing, I would like you to speak briefly about negotiations with the Crown regarding salmon.

PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: Well, we've -- I think that most of the people in here would be more familiar with the treaty process that is in place. Basically we seen that process to be totally unacceptable to us. Such issues as land selection, you know, we don't know what -- we don't know any part of our land that we could surrender any -- all of our rights to -- in exchange for a treaty, and nor have we ever seen any place where that is an expectation of the constitution or the courts of this land.

I think it's basically cooked up by the

federal and provincial government with -- that severely limits the ability to really have any meaningful discussions on the matter of title. We're not willing to surrender our title and we don't think that's necessary for even having a relationship within Canada and determining who we are within the context of Canada. However, it requires also that Canada would have to recognize that there is aboriginal title over our lands.

In pursuing this, we have a case before the courts, title case, which not only -- not only are we prepared to meet the test of title as it's laid down, but also we've challenged the Crown's assertion that they have title. We're saying that they have no legitimate means to claim title to our lands, so this court is before -- or this case is before the courts.

We've actually put it in abeyance, coming out of another case that we did which was -- it's referred to as Haida in a lot of other cases that have used it, but we went into court with one of the bigger logging companies at the time, and we showed the court and they agreed that the processes that were in place for consultation, the processes of -- and relationship between the Crown and the aboriginal people was not being conducted in an honourable way by the Crown. The Supreme Court upheld the earlier rulings that even before any aboriginal title is proven, that the Crown has to behave properly in their dealings with the indigenous people.

Q Now, we just -- I'm sorry, I have one -PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: Oh, yeah, the question was about
negotiation. So we put the case in abeyance and
we agreed to a process which is not the treaty
process. It is reconciliation and through that,
we've done a number of things with the provincial
government, including we, again, each have used
our own authorities to set land aside to remain in
its natural state. We both use our own -- if we
come into this agreement with our own authorities
in managing those lands, and basically, again,
leaving the matter of title aside.

Basically that agreement, the first agreement that was done, The Gwaii Haanas agreement was challenged by an individual that it was race-based and unconstitutional and so on. The court upheld

that it was -- that it was innovative way to deal with a complex problem and they said it stands. It went to the -- they tried to bring it to the Supreme Court and they -- they said that the management structures are acceptable, and they were.

So with the federal government -- and again, I'll say that, you know, we have accomplished a lot of things in the last couple of years with the provincial government, but the federal government at our table, and at every other table, has basically stonewalled everybody on the matter of fishing, and I think this is really important to this Commission, is that basically in the last -- since this Cohen Commission has been set up, they said they are going to rely upon the outcome of this process, and they're not going to do anything in the meantime. It's causing a lot of difficulties at all the negotiating tables.

There was some, I guess, that were close to finishing their agreements and everything has been on hold. You know, I don't know that this Commission is even mandated to try to determine what ought to happen with the fisheries.

- Q Okay, thank you. And I just, sorry, I have one more question. You've talked about the commanagement agreements between the Haida and the Crown and I just have a quick question about where there are any traditional values or principles to guide co-management or sharing of resources between First Nations on the coast?
- PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: Our people had traded and are quite familiar with all our neighbours along the coast. We move back and forth frequently doing commerce. You know, in more recent times, in the canoe days, into Victoria and New Westminster getting trade goods.

But along the way, certainly we knew all of our neighbours and the Heiltsuk, for example, have brought us songs that were left there in the olden days and, as well, the Nuu-Chah-Nulth had held songs for us, the other Kwakuitl people, and over the last few years in our relationships, they've given songs back to us and we work together in various ways.

But if somebody comes into our territory, the protocols that we have amongst ourselves is -- and

61
PANEL NO. 11
Cross-exam by Ms. Williams-Davidson (CHN)
Cross-exam by Mr. East (CAN)

it's just pure respect, is you go to the people who own that territory and you get permission, make arrangements on how that fishing would occur. Certainly, you know, if they say, no, that's their prerogative.

- MS. WILLIAMS-DAVIDSON: Thank you. Those are my questions.
- MR. McGOWAN: Mr. East for the Government of Canada will be next.
- MR. EAST: Mr. Commissioner, Mark East, for the Government of Canada.

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. EAST:

Q Good afternoon, Panel. I just have a few questions, probably about three different areas of questions.

I'd like to start with Guujaaw, if I may, just following up on your discussion just now about the different agreements. I'm particularly interested in the Gwaii-Haanas parks agreement, and I just want to maybe talk about that a little bit more. Going to your witness summary -- and that's Exhibit 299 -- I don't think I'll go to it, but you do talk about it in your witness summary. I just want to get a little bit more -- talk a little bit more about how it works. I get the sense that the Parks Management Board that's been developed by the Gwaii-Haanas agreement is a consensus-based approach where there's two representatives from the Haida and two representatives of the Government of Canada sitting on the board. Is that how that -- how that works?

PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: Well, you got something wrong, is that it's not a park agreement. The park is Canada's designation.

Q Okay.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: We've designated it a Haida
Heritage Site before that. We stood up and
stopped the logging, we protected it and later
made an agreement with Canada. Before that, we
told them without an agreement we're not even
going to let you put up an outhouse.

So they came into management with us. We're not going into management with them. The agreement is, yes, there's currently two of each.

62
PANEL NO. 11
Cross-exam by Mr. East (CAN)

There will be another member added. We operate mainly by consensus. If they're -- if we're held up on a particular issue, it doesn't hold up the whole agreement. Actually, in fact, the superintendent on the Parks Canada side is a Haida person and not because he's a Haida person, but because he's the best person for the job. He also sits on there.

Gwaii Haanas has been cited by the *National Geographic Traveller* magazine as the best managed protected area in North America.

So you just answered my next questions is that by all accounts that I've heard, this is an arrangement that's working well.

PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: Yes, it is.

And notwithstanding what's in the paper, I guess -- you know, the paper, the agreement that created this understanding between Canada and the Haida, really it's the product of a lot of hard work on the people involved, and a long process in developing respect and trust for the people who are involved in the process. Would you -- would that be an accurate description?

PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: Yes, it is.

- And I don't imagine that was easy. It probably took some time and a lot of effort to come to that position where, from what I understand your evidence, it's rare that issues get bumped upstairs. Things are resolved at the level of the board itself.
- PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: That's right. And, you know, like to accomplish that thing, it took, you know, not only discussions at the bureaucratic, but at the political level to get there, and I think that everybody who's involved with it now, all the successive Ministers have been pretty happy with it. We've had visitors from Australia, New Zealand and up in the Northwest Territories and across Canada, and so on, to look at it as a model.
- Q Mm-hmm. And one of the things with the Haida that I understand -- or at least I've been told about the Haida is that they're a united community that has a real vision for, you know, where they are and where they want to go. Would you agree -- would that be an accurate description?

PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: Well, what I would say is that we

46 47

basically have the full spectrum of people as any 1 other society would, and we have our 3 disagreements. But all of our people agree that 4 the land has to be looked after and the culture 5 has to continue. 6 And one of the things about the Haida Gwaii and 7 the Haida, in their home, is that, from what I 8 understand -- and this may be somewhat unique in 9 B.C., and certainly it would be a small minority 10 of First Nations in the same situation -- but 11 there aren't any overlapping claims from other 12 aboriginal -- from other First Nations to the 13 lands of Haida Gwaii or the waters around it. 14 PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: Well, you know, the water certainly 15 is a different issue. On the lands there is no 16 overlapping. On the water, you know, it is a 17 different thing. It's pretty hard to draw a line 18 in the water and, you know, the fish and the tides 19 and, you know, whether it's pollution or stocks 20 passing through, feeding in our area. You know, 21 like it's all -- it's all connected by the water. 22 I guess where I'm going with this is that one of 23 the reasons that this agreement has worked so 24 well, and I guess other agreements that you have 25 with British Columbia, for example, is that Haida 26 are a unified community with a unified voice, and 27 that makes it easier to enter into conversations 28 with the Crown, with the government, with Canada 29 or B.C., and enter into agreements because of that 30 unity that you have. 31 Well, I think that helps when you PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: 32 can unify around particular issues. 33 And one of the reasons I bring this up is because 34 one of the discussions we've had in the last few 35 days is some of the challenges facing First 36 Nations generally in B.C., is about finding that 37 common ground and working together toward a common 38 qoal. Would you agree with me that a consensus 39 model of management, while perhaps something that 40 we would want to work towards, gets more 41 challenging the more people are being brought to 42 the table. The more chairs that are going to come 43 up to the table, the more difficult it is going to 44 be to obtain that consensus that you're seeking.

PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: Well, just to go a little further

fought them for so many years, and now we're

is, you know, with the provincial government, we

coming into places where we're actually using the Gwaii Haanas model for protection of the lands and actually for management of the forests and, you know, we've gone -- basically getting past the notion of fighting and we're trying to work together. It is -- there's complexities to it that, you know, basically we've been in battle mode for so long, we have to retool our own thinking. But, you know, we're trying to create economies now and with that relationship, it makes it a lot easier to get on to more productive things rather than fighting.

Mm-hmm, thank you. Maybe on the same theme, and I'll maybe turn my attention to asking some questions to Chief Mountain. I heard you today that you -- heard you today talk about your First Nations' interactions with DFO, and I think I heard you say that you agree that, first, it's very important for First Nations to work together and that you do so. But ultimately your community prefers, on fisheries matters anyway, to speak primarily and work directly with DFO. Is that -- would that be fair?

CHIEF MOUNTAIN: Not really.

- Q Okay. I'll let you answer what you're saying. CHIEF MOUNTAIN: Just because of the fact that we live in a territory and we know the whole history, local knowledge of it, we would like to have that joint management where we'll be able to have the opening and closures. And just like Rod was saying in his territory, the same thing. We've got so much local knowledge about the fisheries and that, that we should be at the table instead of being told what to do.
- Q I see, okay. And I guess it's fair to say that you can engage and talk to government, DFO, any other department, at the local level but there's no reason you can't also talk to them in these larger organizations like the FNFC or whatever, some of these larger bodies of First Nations. You can have dialogue at a number of levels; is that right?

CHIEF MOUNTAIN: Yes, we are, but we'd like -- we just had a meeting with DFO with a couple of days ago, and we would like -- just because our chiefs and hereditary chiefs are at our table, we'd like their decision-makers at the table too. It

doesn't seem to work when they send their local 1 level people or their bureaucrats 'cause they 3 can't make decisions like we can at the table. it's not an equal footing. 5 Okay. I wanted just to ask you about -- you 6 mention that -- I believe it was your First 7 Nations, that the Namqis had sought AAROM funding 8 but was denied. Was that at the Namgis First 9 Nation separately? 10 CHIEF MOUNTAIN: Yes. 11 And was that because -- did they give a rationale 12 for why that funding was rejected? 13 CHIEF MOUNTAIN: They wanted us to join an aggregate 14 group. 15 And my understanding - and I'd just like to have 16 your thoughts on this - but my understanding is 17 that Namqis have, for this upcoming fishing 18 season, joined the larger aggregate group to 19 receive AAROM funding. 20 CHIEF MOUNTAIN: We were thinking about joining 21 22 That's right. 23 CHIEF MOUNTAIN: 24 25 26 people. 27 Q Thank you. 28 29 30 31 32 33 Nations. 34 35 36 37 38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46 47

Nanwirkolas (phonetic), but we ---- haven't really signed on yet. They've come to our table, but we hadn't made a decision because we need to bring it to the Thank you for clarifying that. guess -- the reason I brought that up, and this is maybe turning into something that Chief Newman said about AAROM funding being divisive, and I apologize if I got this wrong, but divisive in its intent of trying to divide and conquer with First My understanding of the AAROM program is that one of its purposes anyway was to fund First Nations and encourage First Nations to get together, to talk to each other, but also get together to facilitate their discussions with DFO. In that -- does that accord with your understanding of what the purposes of AAROM was and why you're seeking the funding under the AAROM program? CHIEF MOUNTAIN: Our main purpose as a single nation was to access money so we can work on our resources and rivers and stuff as part of an AFS agreement, just to marry it together. Q Well, maybe this is a question for Chief Newman,

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39 40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

then. My understanding is that -- and correct me if I'm wrong. Is the Heiltsuk First Nation part 3 of what is called the "Turning Point" or North Coast Turning Point Initiative? I'm not sure if 5 that's the latest name, but... 6 CHIEF NEWMAN: This is the Coastal First Nations now. 7 Yes, they are, in part. 8 Okay. Thank you. And that's a -- that's group of 9 DFO funds to the AAROM program, is that right, or 10 do you -- would you know that? 11 CHIEF NEWMAN: No, I don't. 12 Okay. 13 CHIEF NEWMAN: But I didn't -- my concern about the 14 AAROM process is there was distance put in place 15 by the Department of Fisheries and Oceans on how 16 you would qualify to get AAROM funding. One of 17 them was you had to form an aggregate, whether you 18 like it or not. 19 But that makes sense, in the sense that this 20 particular --21 CHIEF NEWMAN: It may make sense to you, but not to me. 22 23 24

- Okay. Well, this particular program is designed to fund First Nations to meet and get together, to have First Nations get together in a room and to form aggregates so they can speak to each other and speak to DFO. So in that sense, doesn't it make sense that that money should be used for that purpose?
- CHIEF NEWMAN: Yeah, well, we've been trying to go at the funding through Native Fishing Association to get their coastal people together so that we can come to some kind of an agreement. Knowing you got all these different groups compete with each other, it's pretty hard to get a decent agreement out of -- do what the aboriginal people really want to do.
- Q Okay. Well, I'll leave that one for now, and just go maybe to my last area of clarification. I have a few more questions, and perhaps just some clarifications Mr. -- Chief Newman, on your testimony. This relates to some of the documents that your counsel brought to your attention about Heiltsuk fisheries.

But I just want to get some clarification about your involvement with the Heiltsuk fisheries management now. Have you been involved on the ground in Heiltsuk fisheries management in recent

years?
CHIEF NEWMAN: No, I've been out of the politics in the band for a while. My son's involved now, and there's no reason two of us being in there together. It creates problems.

MR. EAST: Okay. So maybe we can call up Exhibit 304 again.

- Q This is, as I understand it, the Heiltsuk -- the Heiltsuk newsletter about what happened with respect to the food fishery in 2010. I just want to clarify, were you involved at all in the events in this newsletter that are discussed in this -- CHIEF NEWMAN: No. You'd have to talk to Ross Wilson about that.
- Q Okay. I'll just leave it, then. I won't ask any questions about this document or what happened that year.

I would like to go, though, to Exhibit 305. That's the Heiltsuk Comprehensive Fisheries Agreement, and I understand your testimony that this is a document that you don't have any personal involvement in negotiating.

CHIEF NEWMAN: No, but as a band member, it affects me. Q Yes, definitely. Perhaps we can go, then, to page 15, the same section that was referred to by my friend, s. 4(b), just to review this again. This is the section that talks about:

In the event that the Heiltsuk identifies an increase in the food, social and ceremonial needs of its members during the fishing season, the parties will review the quantities specified in the communal licence issue to the Heiltsuk Tribal Council, and if agreed by the parties, the DFO will amend the communal licence. The quantities of fish reflected in the communal licence are subject to consultation every — each year, at which time the needs of the members of the Heiltsuk Tribal Council and the conservation requirements will be reviewed by the parties.

Now, my understanding is that DFO does meet with the Heiltsuk every year as part of the preplanning, pre-season process, and it meets periodically with the Heiltsuk throughout the year.

- CHIEF NEWMAN: It hasn't always been done in the past. The figures came out from the DFO and sent to the band to sign and to read.
- Q Well, maybe we'll go to those figures right now, 'cause I just want to -- I just want to talk about that. That's at page 17 of the documents, a couple of pages further on. There's a reference to Appendix A to Schedule B, and these are the general conditions of the communal licences. And page 19, further on, actually has the allocations set out. I think -- my understanding -- and we'll just go to the species quantity. You have the numbers for sockeye.

I guess when you talk about 20,000 pieces of sockeye, that includes sockeye that's harvested locally, but also sockeye that's caught from passing stocks, including ones going to the Fraser?

- CHIEF NEWMAN: Is there -- it's everything included. Everything that goes through our territory, whether it somebody else's salmon, we claim it as it goes through our territory. We have a title right to use it.
- Q Okay. And just to clarify, these numbers are allocations for food, social, ceremonial. This has nothing to do with commercial?

CHIEF NEWMAN: That's right.

- Q Okay. Now, my understanding too, if you go back and look at all the comprehensive claims agreements over the past few years, these numbers have been pretty stable for a long time. They haven't changed much for years.
- CHIEF NEWMAN: No. Like I say, nothing ever changes for our people. The same number comes out in the managed plan every year. We don't put it in there. The Department of Fisheries puts it in.
- And are you aware that, at least based on the numbers that are provided by the Heiltsuk First Nation, the Heiltsuk Tribal Council to DFO, that in the vast majority of years and I think nominally for sockeye, but for all the other species of salmon that the Heiltsuk Tribal Council never comes anywhere near fishing the allocations that are provided in this agreement.

CHIEF NEWMAN: No, things have been bad in our territory for a number of years now. For a long time now, our people have never got their food

- fish allocation. We've had to depend on getting fish from Johnston Strait for the last two years now.

 Q Okay. But would you -- would you agree that one
 - Q Okay. But would you -- would you agree that one of the reasons why -- probably the reason why these numbers stay the same year after year, because during the pre-season planning phase, the numbers that are reported by the Heiltsuk Tribal Council are the numbers they've caught under the -- don't approach these numbers. They don't get close to fishing their allocation.
 - CHIEF NEWMAN: Like I say, we haven't got anything for a few years now. There's been nothing there. Like I told you this morning, we've had one commercial opening for the last two years, one day a year.
 - I'll get back to that in a second. But -- because I do want to return to that bit of testimony. So your evidence is that with respect to these allocations for food, social and ceremonial purposes, you're not catching your allocations because the fish aren't there?

CHIEF NEWMAN: That's right.

- Q Okay. So if the numbers are as low as that and the Heiltsuk, for whatever reason, are not getting close to harvesting the numbers that are there, there's no real sense in bumping up the allocation beyond a higher number than what's already there, because you're not -- you're not attaining the numbers that are there already.
- CHIEF NEWMAN: Yeah, but we just want the government to know that that's what we need. And if there's sockeye passing through our territory that are bound -- a travelling stock --
- O Mm-hmm.

- CHIEF NEWMAN: -- then we're entitled to get a piece of that stock that's travelling through our territory, and we should be able to take it. If we can't take it in our territory, we should be able to take it anywhere.
- Q Fair enough. My understanding is, from your evidence, that the Heiltsuk are very much involved in the commercial fishery as well.
- CHIEF NEWMAN: We used to be. We're down to two seine boats now and a handful of gillnet boats because of the bad management of our resources.
- Q So those are the -- those are boats that are owned

1 by the Heiltsuk First Nations --CHIEF NEWMAN: Yes, I own one of those seine boats, the 3 band owns one. 4 Okay. If the FSC allocations are bumped up, 5 increased, isn't it true that those numbers of 6 fish have to come from somewhere? Isn't that 7 taken off the top of the fish that are set aside 8 for the commercial fishery? 9 I don't think so. I don't think they've CHIEF NEWMAN: 10 been set aside for the commercial fishers. Nobody 11 knew that sockeye was going to come this year. 12 With respect to 2010, I want to state -- based on your evidence, what I heard is that the sockeye do 13 14 come into Heiltsuk territory as they pass by down 15 -- down on their way to the Fraser River. 16 CHIEF NEWMAN: Mm-hmm, that's right. 17 So with respect to all the fish that were coming 18 into the territory close to Heiltsuk, the Heiltsuk communities, my understanding is that the Heiltsuk 19 20 reported a catch of 5000 sockeye. 21 CHIEF NEWMAN: This year? 22 This year. 23 CHIEF NEWMAN: They might have, yeah. I don't know that -- I never heard that. 24 25 I'll leave that, then. I just want to maybe talk 26 about one last bit of your evidence, and this is 27 on Exhibit 300. This is your witness summary. 28 You spoke today about two, I guess, annual 29 migrations to Millbank Sound, and we just talked 30 about one. The Fraser sockeye pass through this 31 area and others. And the other migration is every 32 year, I guess, the sports fishers come in to 33 Millbank Sound. My understanding is the sports 34 fishers like to fish like Chinook, maybe 35 steelhead. They don't fish for sockeye salmon. 36 CHIEF NEWMAN: No, that's a myth. The sports fisherman 37 can catch anything today. They've got the gear to 38 take anything. 39 Do they catch sockeye in your experience? 40 CHIEF NEWMAN: They take sockeye, and they take chum, 41 everything. 42 And is that a significant number or is that just a 43 bycatch? Are they really after Chinook? 44 CHIEF NEWMAN: No, they take everything. They take 45 halibut, cod, everything out of Millbank. 46 Okay. Well, let's take your evidence, then, that

they're taking sockeye, they're taking in the same

had a question.

46

47

numbers perhaps that they're taking some of these 1 other fish. 3 CHIEF NEWMAN: A large part of the sockeye run is caught on troll gear, and that's what the sports 5 fishermen use. 6 I guess the real point what I'm trying to get at 7 is that you say there's been no openings in this 8 area, Millbank, for a couple of years, and you just mentioned that just now. 9 10 CHIEF NEWMAN: Openings for what? 11 Well, this is what I'm asking you. CHIEF NEWMAN: There's been no openings for commercial 12 fishing in Millbank for over 30 years now. 13 14 Okay, that's right. So there's been no opening 15 for commercial fishing, but you have openings for 16 food, social, ceremonial fishing in Millbank 17 Sound. 18 CHIEF NEWMAN: Yeah, we go out there even though the 19 Department of Fisheries doesn't like us going out 20 there. They were going to try and stop us one 21 year when I was the chief of the band, and I told 22 them, "You come and try it." 23 But with an allocation of 20,000 sockeye that, 24 according to the numbers that I've seen, where you 25 have lots of allocation room, DFO is not stopping 26 you from going into Millbank Sound to catch 27 sockeye for food, social and ceremonial purposes. 28 CHIEF NEWMAN: They tried. They were going to come --29 we're managed from Bella Coola. The fishery office 30 in Bella Coola phoned me when I was the chief of 31 the band and told us not -- "You couldn't go out 32 there to fish for the sockeye passing through," 33 and we were going out there and force that, and I told them, "You come and try it." 34 35 When was that? 36 CHIEF NEWMAN: This was when I was chief of the band 37 the last time. That's about ten years ago now. 38 MR. EAST: Okay. Just looking at the time. I think 39 I'll leave the questions there. Thank you very 40 much for your time. 41 MR. McGOWAN: Mr. Commissioner, we do have questions 42 from several other participants. Did you want to 43 take a very brief afternoon break? 44 THE COMMISSIONER: No, we can keep going. 45 Thank you. Mr. Harrison, I believe you MR. McGOWAN:

MR. HARRISON: Good afternoon. My name is Judah

Harrison, for the record, H-a-r-r-i-s-o-n. So the panel knows, I'm representing six non-governmental environmental organizations and one individual. I only have two questions here today.

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. HARRISON:

anymore.

 The first one is for Chief Mountain. This morning, you were talking about in the past 20 years you've noticed, walking through your territory, many changes to the local environment and to the fisheries in your territory. I wonder if you could expand upon that and tell us some of the changes you've noticed.

CHIEF MOUNTAIN: Mm-hmm. Most of my life, I lived on the water like I said before, and a lot of my work has been in the Mainland and in the (indiscernible) River as a fisheries guardian. I remember -- just whenever I travel, it'd be a nice, calm, hot day and you'd look out on the water and it would look like it was raining out there because of so many smolts and fry in the area, that there was just millions of them around at one point. Previous to that -- and after that, around the year 2000, it was never like that again. You wouldn't see that many fish around

Part of my job out there was actually to monitor the migration route of the salmon going by the fish farms, and I would sit in a boat and just watch the fish streaming by a fish farm. I'd sit in a boat for six or seven hours and just monitor fish going by. In each year, it was getting less and less and less. We weren't seeing the big schools of thousands of fry or smolts going by these fish farms anymore. We were lucky to see 10, 20 or even 100 going by. So the number of fry and smolts was being drastically reduced, and they were all streaming by every fish farm out there, 'cause we used to do that as part of our job just to monitor the migration route of the fry.

Also, in my commercial days, when we'd catch the sockeye, we always noticed that, sure, there's lice on there, where everybody says the sea lice came from the wild. They might have brought them in, you know, they had five or ten sea lice per fish. But now that they're coming through area 7

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46 47

and 8, I know the commercial fishermen -- and I fished up there as well -- that they only had five or ten sea lice per fish. But once they come past the Port Hardy area where there's at least another 10 or 12 fish farms, and also come through the Broughton area, and then you finally catch the sockeye in Johnstone Strait, that these sockeye had 50 to 100, and some people count 200 sea lice on these fish now.

We see them on our food fish when we're food fishing, that there's always over 50 to 100 sea Previous to that, I noticed that lice on them. all the sea lice that were on them in earlier days were five to ten. They were all the older adult sea lice, and now when they're passing the fish farms, you look on your food fish catch that you're doing at your home, that there's different stage lice -- stage lifecycles of sea lice on those fish, adults and pre-adults and copepods, and so they got these sea lice from somewhere near where we caught them.

There was never any sea lice on our sockeye in the Nimgus River. DFO and Alexander Morton and Marty Crocosik (phonetic) and SFU team did a lot of fry sampling around our river in the bay of Alert Bay, Kluckseewee (phonetic) area, R River (phonetic), Lewis Point, all around the river, and they found that our chum and sockeye were being affected by sea lice.

But there was no farm. The closest farm was over 40 kilometres away. The sea lice had to come from somewhere. They either came from off the sockeye and passed on, but we don't know where all that come -- where it's coming from. There needs to be more study on just our sockeye and our chums.

I'm interested to hear if anyone else Q Thank you. has personal knowledge or experience in changes long fish (sic), but I'm very cognizant of the time, so if anyone has a short thing -- something short to say about changes to the fisheries or their local environment that they've noticed in the past 20 years, I'd be interested to hear that. PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: In regard to management, as Chief

Mountain had stated, and the experience of Gwaii Haanas, I think that if you look at every species

that the Department of Fisheries has taken care

of, every one of them has been almost wiped out. There's a mention of the abalone. It took them about five years to clean that out, once they had a commercial licence. Rock cod. Herring right now is at critical lows and our people fought them I think that if -- if the for years about that. management included the people of that region, certainly the issues of poaching and the local knowledge would go a long ways to supporting real sustainable fisheries, because we believe that the sea has the ability to provide for a lot of people beyond our own, but it has to be looked after properly. We think with the expertise of biologists as well as the knowledge of our own people, that that could be done.

But, you know, I mention the other species because this, again, is -- we're talking about the Fraser River and why is it in trouble? Just look at every other species they managed, and every one of them are in trouble as well.

Q Okay.

PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: I think a big part of the problem is that they're trying to manage it remotely. You know, they've got -- most of the fishery officers are trained in Winnipeg. You know, you can't manage a fishery that way.

Okay. Thank you. My final question is to Chief Newman directly. Your frustration is clear in many different areas throughout. I'm wondering if there are any fish farms within your traditional territory and, if so, whether you feel that you have been properly consulted on their being placed there and/or if you have sufficient say in the management of these farms.

CHIEF NEWMAN: No, the Heiltsuk people have a firm position on not wanting any fish farms in their territory, and we've made that quite clear to both the Department of Fisheries and Oceans and to the province. We're afraid of the damage it will do to the resource that we depend on, like the seaweed and herring spawning areas, and also the pit-lamping they do to attract feed. You know, I don't know whether anybody has ever talked about the pit-lamping that the fish farmers do to attract fry to cut down the cost of the feed for their product. But we understand that that does a lot of -- attracts a lot of fry.

I know when the herring fleet was allowed to pit-lamp, there were cases where a third of the load of some of those boats that pit-lamped in deep water bay and the low part of Johnstone Straits, a third of it was salmon fry. You can imagine just what a lot of damage the fish farms have been doing when they're pit-lamping for food for their salmon.

No, we're totally opposed to fish farms

No, we're totally opposed to fish farms coming to our territory. We've tried to fight it at every turn. We even fought the catch read (phonetic), there's a -- put into place in Ocean Falls in our territory. That was done against our wishes.

MR. HARRISON: Thank you.

MR. McGOWAN: Mr. Butcher? Mr. Butcher?

MR. BUTCHER: I'm David Butcher. I represent the area E gillnetters from the Fraser River and the Pacific Fisheries Survival Coalition.

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BUTCHER:

I have some questions first -- and I'm going to start with you, Chief Newman, about the decline or the historical involvement of aboriginal people in the commercial fleet on the central coast and the decline that you've described for us.

You've told us today, if I've heard you rightly, that there are just two seine boats -- two seine boats and two gillnets left in your community in Bella Bella?

CHIEF NEWMAN: Mm-hmm.

Q Do I have that right?

CHIEF NEWMAN: That's right, yes.

- Now, at the height of the commercial fleet, how many seine boats and gillnet boats were there?
- CHIEF NEWMAN: We had at least 15 seine boats, that's company boats and privately owned boats, seine boats, and over 30 gillnet boats.
- Q When would that have been?
- CHIEF NEWMAN: Well, that would have been before the Millbank was closed. You know, we had that -- every household had a boat at that time.
- Q Are we talking in the 1980s, or are we talking in the --
- CHIEF NEWMAN: Right in -- the 1980s through the -- 1980s, 1990s. It's been a steady decline since.

Q And I wonder, Chief Naknakim, and Chief Mountain, if you have similar numbers for your communities in the Alert Bay and Campbell River areas?

CHIEF MOUNTAIN: Yes. I've talked to a lot of the elders and also -- 'cause we did a lot of interviews for commercial use and food use and stuff, and also talked to my grandfather, that they used to -- each village out in our territory used to have -- like Ed -- Chief Ed Newman used to say, that every family had a gillnet. Outside their villages, you'd have 20, 30, 40 gillnets anchored outside of their villages. Alert Bay had the same. So each village had that many boats. So it was in the hundreds of gillnets that were in our territory.

The number of seine boats was up in the

The number of seine boats was up in the forties or fifties in Alert Bay, and I think there's just three left in Alert Bay right now.

- Q And how many gillnetters left in your territory? CHIEF MOUNTAIN: I could probably think of probably six or seven that are commercially fishing, and I know there's a few others who just use it for food and social.
- Q And in the Cape Mudge/Campbell River area? MR. NAKNAKIM: I think between the two bands, there's generally around 70 seiners operated out of those two villages, probably in the '50s, '60s, '70s and start declining in the '80s.
- Q And today?

- CHIEF NAKNAKIM: Today, there's -- I'd be surprised if there's a dozen.
- Q And, I'm sorry, I don't think I got from you, Chief Mountain, a time when you say that your commercial fishing fleet was at its peak?
- CHIEF MOUNTAIN: It would be around '79, '80 as well. That's when I went back to school, and I could see the decline, steady decline since then. It's in the early '80s.
- Q And I take it -- and tell me if any of you agree or disagree with this: That there was a very high involvement of aboriginal people in your communities in the commercial fishery, firstly. Is that fair for all three communities?
- CHIEF NEWMAN: That's right. I think a good part of the company boats were operated by aboriginal people, and a lot of our people were able to purchase their own boats.

77
PANEL NO. 11
Cross-exam by Mr. Butcher (SGAHC)

And similarly I see you nodding, Chief Mountain. 1 CHIEF MOUNTAIN: Yes. 3 And Chief Naknakim? MR. NAKNAKIM: Yes. 5 And the second position I have is that a very --6 it's a slightly different one but a very high 7 proportion of people involved in the commercial 8 fleet were aboriginal? Again, I see nods. 9 Everybody is agreeing with that second 10 proposition. 11 The second area that I wanted to touch on 12 very briefly was some comments arising out of 13 Chief Newman's comments that there is -- you 14 raised the question of enforcement in the context 15 of abalone. CHIEF NEWMAN: Mm-hmm. 16 17 But do you have the sense that the enforcement 18 presence in your area on the central coast is very 19 much reduced now? 20 CHIEF NEWMAN: There is very little enforcement in our 21 area. We don't see it. As I said, we're sort of 22 managed from the Bella Coola community. 23 understand that the new facility they built in Bella Bella is worth \$7 million, and there's one 24 25 guy there, one fishery officer there. 26 And the nearest, either side, are in Port Hardy 27 and in Prince Rupert. 28 That's right. CHIEF NEWMAN: 29 So it's one guy? 30 CHIEF NEWMAN: Yeah. 31 Covering that area --32 CHIEF NEWMAN: And the head manager is stationed in 33 Bella Coola. This year on the one-day open, the 34 fisheries enforcement weren't there. Three seine 35 boats went into the closed area to fish, and 36 nobody -- there was nobody there to stop it. 37 And if we're getting reports of pit-lamping of 38 smolts around fish farms, DFO has nobody to 39 enforce a violation like that. 40 CHIEF NEWMAN: Well, I don't know. I quess they --41 they approve of it. They must approve it, because 42 they're part of the planning for these fish farms. 43 Do you, in Alert Bay, and in the Campbell River 44 area, share the same concern about the lack of

Q Have you got a sense of a reduction in enforcement

45

46

47

enforcement?

MR. NAKNAKIM: Yes, we do.

78
PANEL NO. 11
Cross-exam by Mr. Butcher (SGAHC)

numbers? And by "enforcement numbers" I mean numbers of fisheries officers.

MR. NAKNAKIM: Definitely. We've got some guardians, but they can't charge people. They can only observe. We can always use more enforcement officers.

O And --

 CHIEF MOUNTAIN: Yes, in Alert Bay, when I used to work for the Department of Fisheries and Oceans as well as an aboriginal guardian and had the enforcement powers, and we had five in Alert Bay and I know they had at least 15 at Hardy. Now there's none in Alert Bay and I'm not quite sure how much in Port Hardy, but every time I go to the office, I only see four or five officers sitting around. A lot of the time when I report something, a lot of them say, "Well, we'll get back to you. We can't go out." They don't have the budget for the gas for their boats or their truck, just to get out of their office. So how can they enforce?

Q Guujaaw, I'm not meaning to leave you out of this. PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: Yeah, I was starting to wonder.

Do you have any comments on either of those issues with respect to the reduction of your people's participation in the commercial fleet and enforcement areas -- enforcement efforts in your area?

PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: Our people went right from canoe-building into building schooners and then into building seine boats, and built a fleet in Masset and in Skidegate. And it correlates with our neighbours on the timing of when the -- the reduction, it had to do with the licensing schemes, but also at the same time there was -- sort of as our licences dropped, so did the stocks drop, and the effort of fishing in our areas had risen by other people from other places who took over these licences.

But, you know, what I say in response to your issue of enforcement is, you know, we don't look forward to seeing them up there because the biggest menace out there is the Department of Fisheries. They're the ones who've been managing this thing to extinction.

Q You'd be in favour of enforcement, but not in the current form, is that what you're telling me?
PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: Well, the enforcement that they've

done, I mean, you know, like on one hand if 1 they're allowing fisheries that are not 3 sustainable, that are cleaning out the thing, and 4 they're putting their effort into going and 5 busting people for a few fish to bring to their 6 family, why would we look forward to that? 7 It's the enforcement priorities that you 8 criticize? 9 PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: Yeah, and, you know, like while the 10 geoduck fishermen and the urchin fishermen are 11 there, there seems to be a drop of abalone. We 12 know they're at the dock selling them. You go 13 tell the Fisheries, they basically give them the 14 time to slip them overboard or whatever. It's --15 you know, it seemed pretty deliberate. But every fishery is managed -- their main people that they 16 consult is the fishing industry, whether it's a 17 herring industry, it's a Herring Fishery Advisory 18 19 Board. If it's a crab, it's an area crab fishery. 20 If it's urchins, if it's geoduck, all the way 21 along, and that's why they're failing at every 22 point. 23 MR. BUTCHER: If we could just quickly bring up Exhibit 24 -- I think it's 303. This is another question for 25 Chief Newman. Can we scroll down? Keep going. 26 Chief Newman, I don't know if you're going to be 27 able to answer this, but this document is prepared 28 by a Heiltsuk organization? 29 CHIEF NEWMAN: Yes, that's right. 30 And it seems to say that the total required for 31 your food, social and ceremonial purposes is 32 98,000 pounds of round weight biomass sockeye. 33 CHIEF NEWMAN: That's right. 34 If -- if would probably be a little light to say 35 that a sockeye weighs about five pounds. 36 CHIEF NEWMAN: In our area, some are just four to five 37 pounds. 38 And I'm using that because it makes a very simple 39 calculation. If a sockeye is five pounds and 40 you're estimating 100,000 pounds needed, that's 41 about 20,000 fish, isn't it? 42 CHIEF NEWMAN: How many? 43 It's about 20,000 fish. 44 CHIEF NEWMAN: Yes. 45 And that's exactly the number that's provided for

in your fisheries agreement that was introduced

into evidence by your counsel.

46

1 CHIEF NEWMAN: I didn't see that. 2 Well, it was introduced by Canada's counsel. That 3 round weight means the full weight of the fish 4 caught with guts and all. 5 CHIEF NEWMAN: That's right. 6 MR. BUTCHER: Maybe we can bring up Exhibit 305, and go 7 to page 17. 8 CHIEF NEWMAN: You understand we're talking about food 9 fish here, not commercial. 10 MR. BUTCHER: Oh, I'm aware of that. 11 CHIEF NEWMAN: Okay. 12 MR. BUTCHER: Keep going down to the numbers. Maybe 13 it's on page 19. 14 MR. McGOWAN: Page 19. 15 MR. BUTCHER: Yeah. 16 You see that the number of allowance -- allowed 17 sockeye for you in the middle of that agreement is 18 exactly the number that -- or more or less exactly 19 the number that your own people say you need. 20 CHIEF NEWMAN: Yeah, that's -- I think that's the number they put into the 15-year plan. 21 22 Your problem is not with the number in the document, it's with the number that you've been 23 24 allowed to catch or been able to catch. 25 CHIEF NEWMAN: Well, personally, my position there was 26 personal this morning. I didn't agree with those 27 numbers. They're too low. 28 Okay. But I'm trying to suggest to you that they Q 29 are the numbers that have been put forward by your 30 own organization. 31 CHIEF NEWMAN: Yes, but I'm a member of the band and I 32 disagree with it. 33 Okay. Maybe we should just scroll down a little bit more just so we see this as well. There's also a 22,000 pound allowance for halibut and 34 35 36 another 22,000 pound allowance for groundfish in 37 -- for food, social and ceremonial fish in that 38 agreement. I just want -- that's correct, isn't 39 it? 40 CHIEF NEWMAN: Yes, that's not very a many for a 41 community the size of Bella Bella. You know, we 42 live off the land 'cause we're forced to. It's a 43 high-cost area and our people do a lot of food-44 fishing. Our young people are fully involved in 45 the food fishery in Bella Bella.

I'm going to ask you this question and I'm -- I've

seen the -- and it's now in evidence -- the treaty

46

47

Q

with the Tsawwassen that allows them one percent 1 of the Canadian total allowable catch. I've seen 3 the agreement with the Sto:lo that allows them 5.25 percent, I think, of the total allowable 5 Canadian catch. Do you get the sense, Chief 6 Newman, that there's been a shift from the 7 permissible fishing effort of your people on the 8 Central Coast to the people in the -- the 9 aboriginal people in the Fraser Valley? 10 CHIEF NEWMAN: Try that again? I didn't quite follow 11 you. 12 Do you get a sense that your people have lost 13 available fishing effort because of fishing 14 allowances given to --15 CHIEF NEWMAN: And you're talking about the commercial? 16 -- the aboriginal people in the Fraser Valley? 17 And you're talking about the commercial? CHIEF NEWMAN: 18 Both, social and ceremonial and commercial uses by 19 aboriginal people in the Fraser Valley? 20 CHIEF NEWMAN: I know that through the --21 MR. McGOWAN: I'm sorry to interrupt. I just see Ms. 22 Schabus has risen, Mr. Commissioner. 23 MS. SCHABUS: Yes. Mr. Commissioner, I'm just hoping 24 to clarify that, and my objection would be that I 25 don't think that the witness is qualified to 26 actually comment on the allocation of other 27 aboriginal peoples. I don't think my friend has 28 properly put it to him. And absent establishing 29 that the witness is actually aware of those 30 allocations, I would object to that question going 31 forward. 32 MR. BUTCHER: I'm wondering if my friend is saying that 33 I've misstated the allocations, 'cause I don't 34 believe I have. 35 MS. SCHABUS: Well, my point is that the witness is not 36 one who would be qualified to actually comment on 37 those numbers, and wouldn't be aware of them. 38 that is my objection. 39

MR. BUTCHER: I'll ask it without reference to the numbers.

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

- I've heard you, Chief Newman, say that we're not even able to catch probably one quarter of our requirement for food, social and ceremonial purposes. Have I summarized your evidence properly?
- CHIEF NEWMAN: I really don't understand your question. It's hard to --

MR. BUTCHER: Okay. I'll leave it. I'll leave it at 1 that. Thank you. 3 PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: No more questions for me? MR. McGOWAN: Ms. Schabus has a few minutes of 5 questions. 6 MS. SCHABUS: Yes, just a few brief ones. For the 7 record, Nicole Schabus, counsel for Sto:lo --8 THE COMMISSIONER: Ms. Schabus, just a moment, sorry. 9 Madam Reporter, are you okay to go for another few 10 minutes? Are you? Are you sure? Okay. 11 you very much. 12 MS. SCHABUS: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. 13 MR. McGOWAN: Thank you. Ms. Schabus has a few minutes 14 of questions. 15 MS. SCHABUS: Yes, just a few brief ones. For the record, Nicole Schabus --16 17 THE COMMISSIONER: Ms. Schabus, just for --18 MS. SCHABUS: -- counsel for Sto:lo --19 THE COMMISSIONER: Ms. Schabus, just a moment. 20 MS. SCHABUS: Sorry. 21 THE COMMISSIONER: Madam Reporter, are you okay to go 22 for another few minutes? 23 THE REPORTER: Yes, thank you. 24 THE COMMISSIONER: Are you? Are you sure? 25 THE REPORTER: Yes. 26 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Thank you very much. 27 MS. SCHABUS: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. Chiefs, 28 gentlemen, leaders, I am co-counsel for Sto:lo 29 Tribal Counsel and the Cheam Indian Band, and I 30 actually just have two brief clarifying -- or 31 questions, and one was partially answered, but I'm 32 still going to put that question to Chief 33 Mountain. 34 35 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. SCHABUS: 36 37 You were commenting on the decline that you've seen in your local fish stocks, and based on your 38 39 experience and indigenous knowledge, could you 40 comment on the reasons for the decline of your 41 local stocks? 42 CHIEF MOUNTAIN: The local stocks, from what we know, 43 is a lot of the rivers were over-logged and the 44 commercial fishery that allowed the -- to catch --

target those fish and over-catch them, and another

factor is the fish farms that the sea lice are

evident on them.

45

46

- MR. McGOWAN: Mr. Commissioner, I note my friend asked the question quite carefully, and that was "Based on your traditional knowledge, can you inform the Commissioner about the reasons for the decline," but perhaps my friend could just clarify the basis of the answer that was just given and whether it was based on that traditional knowledge she asked for or some other information that came to the witness perhaps through hearsay.
- MS. SCHABUS: I'll actually put it to the witness in that context.
- Obviously, you are a person who has extensive experience as an indigenous person with the fishery and you've seen that decline, and does your traditional knowledge actually speak, for example, to the effect how habitat alteration, both in the marine and in the river context and ecosystem actually affects those stocks, and if you can speak to that?
- CHIEF MOUNTAIN: Yes, like I said, I was Aboriginal Guardian and we walked and enforced a lot of the forest range practice codes, when it used to be in effect back in the day. We used to walk those streams and check for habitat degradation and spawning bed degradation, if there's sediment too much sediment in the spawning beds or too many beaver dams or too many logs crossing too many dams, you know, we'd look for anything in the streams that we went, and if it needed rehabilitation, we would do it; if it was no harm to the stream or fish getting by, we would just leave it, but, yeah, we did a lot of that rehabilitation during our assessments of the rivers, counting the fish and rehabilitating them.
- And my second question is to the panel as a whole, and based on Canada's point about problems in building consensus, probably more western systems, but I want to take you and ask you to, based on your indigenous experience, speak to indigenous consensus-building mechanisms that you have within your communities and within your indigenous nations, how you build consensus, and also how you have interacted and built such consensus according to your traditional protocols amongst the indigenous nations and obvious understanding if you have a consensus-building process and you make decisions and you're decision-makers and how that

 could be employed in a different kind of regime of dealing with the fisheries from an Aboriginal perspective?

MR. NAKNAKIM: I'll try at this. If you're talking about building a body that's going to represent one voice for all First Nations in British Columbia and fish, I don't think you're ever going to get there. We've, my group, I've got three nations in my treaty group, have just finished a mediation process between ourselves, and we came out with two products. One product was an agreement on how to approach sharing our lands. And the second document was an internal dispute resolution process. Now, to get to that point, we had to change our discussion to be based totally on our values, and that was a tough discussion. In that sharing formula agreement, what it does is it creates a safe place to have very tough discussions where we try our best to come to an agreement.

And on an internal dispute resolution process, we identify what the problem is, first, then it goes to our, what we call, unity group, which will then decide what process that issue needs to be settled on. So we determine the process after the problem is identified. From what I understand on a normal mediation process, they make the problem fit the process; here, we've reversed it, we make the process fit the problem.

So I think those are key points that all of us First Nations, with the responsibilities of representing our communities, must talk to each other on these issues.

Now, the way we talk, now, is business, and it's too early to talk business on these tough issues. That's what I think.

In any event, if we ever get there with a big organization, we don't want that organization being the voice with government. We want it to open doors for us so we can advocate, ourselves, in our own local area and make our own decisions. And I want to put it to the panel that I just want to clarify, I wasn't suggesting a provincial organization or western style; I was actually asking exactly what you spoke to, about your indigenous laws and how you would communicate amongst indigenous nations as decision-makers.

PRESIDENT GUUJAAW: Well, I think that, first of all, each of our people within their own territories are the ones who live with the consequences of any decision there, and certainly each of us would have to be representing ourselves in any kind of forum like that, that there's certain things that indeed it would be fairly straightforward to get consensus on the matters of looking after the land, looking after the fish, and those sort of things, are common to all of our nations.

And so to arrive at that point would be the simple part of it, and then to address our needs in a way other than as you've seen with the Heiltsuk where basically someone else writes a prescription and sends you some numbers, and that would never do for us, it would -- you know, it doesn't do for them or anybody else. And, you know, it's not that we want more, but we want to be sure that our people are looked after.

Commerce is an ancient thing on the coast. It isn't something that started up with fishing licenses. Our people fished and traded and did all those things for thousands of thousands of years amongst the different nations and amongst ourselves. There's people who specialize in different kind of fishing and people who provided for other people with other -- that had other things to trade, and it's just normal course of events that commercial fishing would be a way that our people would make a livelihood.

But, firstly, I think that important to all the people on the coast is that our people are provided for in their homes. So certainly those sort of things I think are simple things that we all agree upon, principles we all agree upon and could easily work from there, but we would have to be representing ourselves.

THE COMMISSIONER: Ms. Schabus, I --

MS. SCHABUS: I understand. I just wanted to leave it -- I have no more questions.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very much.

MS. SCHABUS: I just wanted to see if any of the panel members -- I think one of the chiefs still wanted to speak, but I have no further questions, Mr. Commissioner.

CHIEF NEWMAN: No. My position is that we have to come together on our own, to kind of put a position in

place that will work for our people, because the systems that DFO is trying to force on Aboriginal 3 people don't work and never has. And the sooner they quit interfering with how we deal with each 5 other, the better for us. They should just give 6 us the money to put something together and get the 7 hell out of the way. 8 MS. SCHABUS: Thank you. Those are all my questions. 9 MR. McGOWAN: Mr. Commissioner, I believe Ms. Robertson 10 is the only counsel with additional questions, 11 although I see Ms. Fong standing up. Did you want 12 to carry straight through or did you want to take 13 a short break? 14 THE COMMISSIONER: No, I want to give Madam Reporter a 15 five-minute break, and then we'll have 10 minutes left and remaining counsel can divide up the 10 16 17 minutes. 18 MR. McGOWAN: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. Yes. 19 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. 20 THE REGISTRAR: Court will recess for five minutes. 21 22 (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED FOR AFTERNOON RECESS) 2.3 (PROCEEDINGS RECONVENED) 24 25 MR. McGOWAN: Yes, Mr. Commissioner. Counsel for the 26 other participants have concluded. There's a 27 couple of counsel who are seeking to ask questions 2.8 in re-exam. Ms. Robertson has discussed with me 29 the questions she wants to ask, and Commission 30 counsel feels it's a fair question. 31 MS. ROBERTSON: Krista Robertson, counsel for the 32 Musgamagw Tsawataineuk Tribal Council. 33 Mr. Lunn, if I can ask you to pull up the 34 Comprehensive Fisheries agreement? 35 36 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. ROBERTSON, continuing: 37 38 Now, this is just a point of clarification arising 39 from the testimony given by the panel. And I'm 40 going to put the guestion to Chief Mountain. 41 this is -- I'll just describe it. It's the 42 Comprehensive Fisheries Agreement. 43 THE COMMISSIONER: What exhibit number is it?

Q So this is a Comprehensive Fisheries Agreement

I see, okay.

MS. ROBERTSON: Pardon me, it's actually on Ringtail.

Thank you.

44

45

46

47

THE COMMISSIONER:

MS. ROBERTSON:

between - the acronym is the MTMMS - and those are 1 three of the four nations of the MTTC; is that 3 correct, Mr. Mountain? CHIEF MOUNTAIN: Yes, it is. 5 And this is an agreement from this year, as you 6 can see the duration is April 2009 to March 2010. 7 So Mr. Lunn, if you could please go to page 13 of 8 the agreement. 9 Chief Mountain, can you see there on the 10 screen, it says -- if you can just enlarge, just 11 at the top of the page there - this is the 12 Aboriginal communal fishing licence for what is 13 called the Johnstone Strait First Nations; do you 14 see that there? 15 CHIEF MOUNTAIN: Yes. 16 Now, Mr. Lunn, if we can just scroll down to the 17 very bottom of the page. And you can stop there, 18 thank you. You can see there's a definition of 19 the Johnstone Strait First Nations there? 20 CHIEF MOUNTAIN: Yes. 21 And Chief Mountain, can you see (a) and (b)? You 22 can see there's eight nations there. Mr. Lunn, if 23 you can just go to the top of the next page. 24 Chief Mountain, you'll see item (c) there is 25 another six nations there? And I think you'll 26 recognize the nations as being -- that group of 27 nations are the four member nations of the MTTC. 28 So would you agree that is -- the number of 29 that definition defines which nations are covered 30 by this single communal fishing licence -- food 31 fishing licence? 32 CHIEF MOUNTAIN: Yes. 33 Mr. Lunn, if you would go to page 17, now. And 34 that visual is fine there. Maybe if you could 35 just, then, please just enlarge the numbers there 36 under "Salmon", the heading, "Salmon". Chief 37 Mountain, can you see there that the allocation of 38 sockeye salmon for all of those 14 nations who are 39 grouped under the heading "The Johnstone Strait 40 First Nations" is 80,000? 41 CHIEF MOUNTAIN: Yeah. 42 So would you agree, then, that the total 43 allocation for those 14 nations for sockeye is 44 80,000? 45 CHIEF MOUNTAIN: Are you asking if that's enough or is 46 that just --47 I'm just asking if, on the face of the

1 agreement --CHIEF MOUNTAIN: Yeah. 2 -- that is the allocation for the communal food 3 4 fishing licence? 5 CHIEF MOUNTAIN: As this agreement says, yes. 6 MS. ROBERTSON: Thank you, that is my question. 7 MR. McGOWAN: I wonder if you wanted the agreement 8 marked. 9 MS. ROBERTSON: Sure, we can mark it as -- I wasn't 10 sure, if it's already in Ringtail, but if --11 MR. McGOWAN: Mr. Commissioner, I believe if my friend 12 wants it as evidence she can rely on later in the 13 hearing, or in submissions, it ought to be marked. MS. ROBERTSON: Thank you. I'll have it marked, then. 14 15 THE REGISTRAR: It will be Exhibit Number 308. 16 17 EXHIBIT 308: Comprehensive Fisheries 18 Agreement between Her Majesty the Queen and 19 MTMMS, for the period April 2009 to March 20 2010 21 22 MS. WILLIAMS-DAVIDSON: I have one quick question for 23 Mr. Ducommun. You mentioned in your testimony 24 that --25 THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry, counsel, you have to at 26 least put your name on the record --27 MS. WILLIAMS-DAVIDSON: I'm sorry. 28 --or we won't have it. THE COMMISSIONER: 29 MS. WILLIAMS-DAVIDSON: I'm sorry. 30 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very much. 31 MS. WILLIAMS-DAVIDSON: (Haida language spoken) Terri-32 Lynn Williams-Davidson, counsel for the Council of 33 the Haida Nation. 34 35 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. WILLIAMS-DAVIDSON, continuing: 36 37 Again, Mr. Ducommun, you talked about the Métis Q people being a blend of Aboriginal and European 38 39 concepts, and I wanted to know whether the Métis 40 share the sort of motherhood or value of concept 41 of -- that Aboriginal people have of giving 42 respect to the people of the land and the protocol 43 of seeking permission before utilizing a land or 44 resource, because I think this is relevant to how 45 we look at developing sharing and co-managing

principles between First Nations and the Métis.

CAPTAIN DUCOMMUN: There's a two-part answer to that.

46

Our history does not show that -- that agreement type or request for permission, but at the same time, we definitely recognize, you know, the territories of Aboriginal First Nations people. We have no problem with that. And what we, in British Columbia in particular, do not claim territories, what we claim is a right to feed our families, the way we have for sometimes 12 or 14 generations in this province.

MS. WILLIAMS-DAVIDSON: Okay, thank you.

MS. FONG: Lisa Fong, for Heiltsuk Tribal Council, and I just have two short questions on re-direct.

Mr. Lunn, if you could please pull up Exhibit 303, the third page, and if you have the facility to do it, also page -- sorry, first page of 301, which is the witness summary of Chief Newman.

MR. LUNN: Did you say Newman?

MS. FONG: Yes, Chief Newman, the first page.

MR. LUNN: I think it's Exhibit 300.

MS. FONG: Sorry, it's 300. And then what I -- yeah, 303, and the third page, where you see the group -- where you see the list at the third page. Okay, there we go.

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. FONG, continuing:

My question is for Chief Newman on re-direct.
Chief Newman, if I can direct your attention to
your witness summary. Now, your witness summary,
under the heading "Nation/Territory", second
paragraph, it reads:

Chief Newman is a member of the Heiltsuk First Nation, which currently has 2500 on and off reserve members.

Do you see that, Chief Newman? And then comparing that to the other document, and that was page 3 of the Community Needs Study, I'm now looking at that line item for sockeye salmon, the 98,000 pounds, and then right above that it says "Now, population 2180". Do you see that?

My question to you is this: Do you know which of these numbers is more up-to-date?

CHIEF NEWMAN: Well, the 2,200, I think it's more up-to-date, although I don't agree; I think it's more

like 2,5000, 2,600 people. You'd have to look at the census or the numbers in the Band office to find out the true number that -- which should go in there.

Q Okay, so I understand your evidence to be the 2,500 would be more accurate?

CHIEF NEWMAN: That's right.

Thank you. My second question is this. It pertains to a question that Mr. East asked you, and Mr. East stated that in 2010, so this year, Heiltsuk reported a 5,000 number catch of FSC salmon, and then he suggested, as I understood, DFO wasn't stopping Heiltsuk from fishing for more, for example, in Millbanke, so for fishing for the balance of their 20,000 pieces allotted in the FSC agreement.

So my question is this: Chief Newman, do you know why Heiltsuk didn't fish for that full 20,000 pieces of FSC salmon as it was passing by your territory, given the massive run this year?

- CHIEF NEWMAN: Nobody knew that that massive run was going to come through. It was through before we found out about it.
- MS. FONG: Thank you very much. Those are my questions.
- MR. McGOWAN: Commission counsel has no questions in re-examination.
- THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very much. To each and every member of the panel, I want to thank you for coming here, today, to, through your voices, bring your viewpoints and your answering of those questions that were put to you by counsel. I thank you very much for taking the time to do that.

I also want to thank Participants' counsel once again for cooperating with Commission counsel to ensure that we could complete the evidence in the last three days on the estimated times, and I'm very grateful to all of you for your cooperation.

We're now adjourned until 10:00 a.m. tomorrow morning. Thank you.

THE REGISTRAR: The hearing is now adjourned until 10:00 a.m. tomorrow morning.

(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED TO THURSDAY, DECEMBER 16, 2010, AT 10:00 A.M.)

I HEREBY CERTIFY the foregoing to be a true and accurate transcript of the evidence recorded on a sound recording apparatus, transcribed to the best of my skill and ability, and in accordance with applicable standards.

Pat Neumann

I HEREBY CERTIFY the foregoing to be a true and accurate transcript of the evidence recorded on a sound recording apparatus, transcribed to the best of my skill and ability, and in accordance with applicable standards.

Irene Lim

I HEREBY CERTIFY the foregoing to be a true and accurate transcript of the evidence recorded on a sound recording apparatus, transcribed to the best of my skill and ability, and in accordance with applicable standards.

Diane Rochfort

I HEREBY CERTIFY the foregoing to be a true and accurate transcript of the evidence recorded on a sound recording apparatus, transcribed to the best of my skill and ability, and in accordance with applicable standards.