Commission of Inquiry into the Decline of Sockeye Salmon in the Fraser River



Commission d'enquête sur le déclin des populations de saumon rouge du fleuve Fraser

Public Hearings

Audience publique

Commissioner

L'Honorable juge / The Honourable Justice Bruce Cohen

Commissaire

Held at:

Room 801 Federal Courthouse 701 West Georgia Street Vancouver, B.C.

Wednesday, September 7, 2011

Tenue à :

Salle 801 Cour fédérale 701, rue West Georgia Vancouver (C.-B.)

le mercredi 7 septembre 2011



Commission d'enquête sur le déclin des populations de saumon rouge du fleuve Fraser

Errata for the Transcript of Hearings on September 7, 2011

Page	Line	Error	Correction
vii	Ex. 1818	Tucker. Salmon Aquaculture- Comparison of Regulations, May 25, 2005	Tucker et al, Seasonal Stock- Specific Migrations of Juvenile Sockeye Salmon along the West Coast of NA: Implications for Growth
97	38-39	Tucker. Salmon Aquaculture- Comparison of Regulations, May 25, 2005	Tucker et al, Seasonal Stock- Specific Migrations of Juvenile Sockeye Salmon along the West Coast of NA: Implications for Growth

Suite 2800, PO Box 11530, 650 West Georgia Street, Vancouver, BC V6B 4N7 Tel: 604 658 3600 Toll-free Tel: 1 877 658 2808 Fax: 604 658 3644 Toll-free Fax: 1 877 658 2809 www.cohencommission.ca

Canada

APPEARANCES / COMPARUTIONS

Brock Martland Kathy L. Grant	Associate Commission Counsel Junior Commission Counsel
Mitchell Taylor, Q.C. Jonah Spiegelman	Government of Canada ("CAN")
Clifton Prowse, Q.C. Tara Callan	Province of British Columbia ("BCPROV")
No appearance	Pacific Salmon Commission ("PSC")
No appearance	B.C. Public Service Alliance of Canada Union of Environment Workers B.C. ("BCPSAC")
No appearance	Rio Tinto Alcan Inc. ("RTAI")
Alan Blair Shane Hopkins-Utter	B.C. Salmon Farmers Association ("BCSFA")
No appearance	Seafood Producers Association of B.C. ("SPABC")
Gregory McDade, Q.C.	Aquaculture Coalition: Alexandra Morton; Raincoast Research Society; Pacific Coast Wild Salmon Society ("AQUA")
Tim Leadem, Q.C. Judah Harrison	Conservation Coalition: Coastal Alliance for Aquaculture Reform Fraser Riverkeeper Society; Georgia Strait Alliance; Raincoast Conservation Foundation; Watershed Watch Salmon Society; Mr. Otto Langer; David Suzuki Foundation ("CONSERV")
Katrina Pacey	Area D Salmon Gillnet Association; Area B Harvest Committee (Seine) ("GILLFSC")

- iii -

APPEARANCES / COMPARUTIONS, cont'd.

No appearance	Southern Area E Gillnetters Assn. B.C. Fisheries Survival Coalition ("SGAHC")
No appearance	West Coast Trollers Area G Association; United Fishermen and Allied Workers' Union ("TWCTUFA")
No appearance	B.C. Wildlife Federation; B.C. Federation of Drift Fishers ("WFFDF")
No appearance	Maa-nulth Treaty Society; Tsawwassen First Nation; Musqueam First Nation ("MTM")
No appearance	Western Central Coast Salish First Nations: Cowichan Tribes and Chemainus First Nation Hwlitsum First Nation and Penelakut Tribe Te'mexw Treaty Association ("WCCSFN")
Brenda Gaertner	First Nations Coalition: First Nations Fisheries Council; Aboriginal Caucus of the Fraser River; Aboriginal Fisheries Secretariat; Fraser Valley Aboriginal Fisheries Society; Northern Shuswap Tribal Council; Chehalis Indian Band; Secwepemc Fisheries Commission of the Shuswap Nation Tribal Council; Upper Fraser Fisheries Conservation Alliance; Other Douglas Treaty First Nations who applied together (the Snuneymuxw, Tsartlip and Tsawout); Adams Lake Indian Band; Carrier Sekani Tribal Council; Council of Haida Nation ("FNC")
No appearance	Métis Nation British Columbia ("MNBC")

- iv -

APPEARANCES / COMPARUTIONS, cont'd.

No appearance	Sto:lo Tribal Council Cheam Indian Band ("STCCIB")
Steven Kelliher	Laich-kwil-tach Treaty Society Chief Harold Sewid, Aboriginal Aquaculture Association ("LJHAH")
Krista Robertson	Musgamagw Tsawataineuk Tribal Council ("MTTC")
Lisa Fong Benjamin Ralston	Heiltsuk Tribal Council ("HTC")

TABLE OF CONTENTS / TABLE DES MATIÈRES

PAGE

PANEL NO. 62:

CATHERINE STEWART In chief by Mr. Martland Cross-exam by Mr. Blair Cross-exam by Mr. McDade Cross-exam by Mr. Kelliher	3/7/18/23 48 90 104
ALEXANDRA MORTON In chief by Mr. Martland Cross-exam by Mr. Blair Cross-exam by Mr. McDade Cross-exam by Mr. Kelliher	2/8/13/24 45 59/71/85/87/93/97 105
CLARE BACKMAN In chief by Mr. Martland Cross-exam by Mr. Blair Cross-exam by Mr. McDade Cross-exam by Mr. Kelliher	4/11/15/21 28/31/36/51 83/87/88/92/96/99 101/105
MIA PARKER In chief by Mr. Martland Cross-exam by Mr. Blair Cross-exam by Mr. McDade Cross-exam by Mr. Kelliher	6/11/17/22 26/30/33/45 92/93 103

Ruling

70

- vi -

EXHIBITS / PIECES

<u>No.</u>	Description	<u>Page</u>
1798	Curriculum vitae of Alexandra Morton	2
1799	Curriculum vitae of Catherine Stewart	3
1800	Curriculum vitae of Clare Backman	4
1801	Curriculum vitae of Mia Parker	5
1802	Salmon Aquaculture - Comparison of Regulations Protection, Restoration and Enhancement of Salmon	32
1000	Habitat, Focus Area Report, Norway	34
1804	Recommendations for Change, Report of the	
	Commissioner for Aquaculture Development to the	E/
1805	Perspective on the Technical Challenges Associated	36
1000	with Closed System Aquaculture for Grow-out of	
	Salmon of B.C.	59
1806	Email from Brian Riddell to Alan Cass, et al, Subject:	
	Cultus Lake prespawning mortality 2006, dated	
	December 8, 2006	72
1807	Email from Timber Whitehouse to Keri Benner and	
	Paul Welch, Subject: Cultus Diagnostic Update,	
	dated November 10, 2006	/3
1808	Email from David Willis to Brian Leat, et al, Subject:	
	Prespawn update: Upper Pitt (as of Aug 25), dated	7.4
1000	AUGUST 26, 2008	/4
1809	Email from David Patterson to Kristi Miller-Saunders,	
	Subject: cultus lake sockeye salmon histology	
	samples - gill form of a Parvicapsula-like parasite is	74
1010	Memorandum from Pacific Piological Station to Pick	74
1010	Stitt and Doug Lofthouse. Weaver Creek Spawning	
	Channel Subject: PSM Loss Investigation Oct 29, 2009	
	Summary Report dated December 21, 2009	75
1811	2007 Late Run Research on Pre-Spawning Mortality	70
1011	David Patterson and Mike Bradford	75
1812	Email from Brad Thompson to Mark Higgins, et al.	70
1012	Subject: Nadina Gill Samples, dated May 24, 2009	75
1813	Fisheries and Oceans, Physiological control of entry	
	timing and fate	76
1814	Paper prepared for Cohen Commission by Kyle	_
	Garver, 2011	77

- vii -

EXHIBITS / PIECES

<u>No.</u>	Description	<u>Page</u>
1815	Email from Kristi Miller-Saunders to Chuck Parken, Subject: Clarification Question, with attachment titled, 2009 Fraser Sockeye Meeting Hypothesis,	
1017	dated October 2, 2009 Email from Kristi Millor Saundors to Mark Saundors	//
1010	Subject: Briefing report, dated October 5, 2009	77
1817	Email from Kristi Miller-Saunders to Mark Saunders, Subject: Version 2, dated November 4, 2009	78
1818	Tucker, Salmon Aquaculture-Comparison of	
	Regulations, May 25, 2005	97
1819	Email from Simon Jones to Kristi Miller-	
	Saunders, October 8, 2008	98
1820	Email string between Diane Lake and Kristi	
	Miller-Saunders re "Media lines - fish disease",	
	November 16, 2010	98
1821	Email string between Stewart Johnson, Arlene Tompkins and others re "brief summary needed related to Sx response", from	
	November 2, 2009 to November 3, 2009	98

EXHIBITS FOR IDENTIFICATION / PIECES POUR 'IDENTIFICATION

EEE	Socio-Economic Benefits of Finfish Aquaculture in BC	
	Aboriginal Communities, Canadian Aquaculture	
	Systems Inc., August 2011	106

1 Vancouver, B.C./Vancouver 2 (C.-B.) 3 September 7, 2011/le 7 4 septembre 2011 5 6 THE REGISTRAR: The hearing is now resumed. 7 MR. COMMISSIONER: Mr. Martland? 8 MR. MARTLAND: Mr. Commissioner, we have today a panel 9 entitled "Perspectives on Management, Risks and 10 Finfish Aquaculture", and the members of the panel 11 from left to right before you are, first, 12 Catherine Stewart from the Living Oceans Society, Alexandra Morton, the Executive Director of the 13 14 Raincoast Research Society, Clare Backman, the 15 Director of Environmental Compliance and Community 16 Relations with Marine Harvest Canada, and Mia 17 Parker who, until recently, was the Manager of 18 Regulatory Affairs with Grieg Seafood. 19 I'll begin by -- this is a panel, I should 20 also add, that is scheduled for both today and 21 tomorrow, but that will be the conclusion of our 22 hearings on aquaculture. I'll ask Mr. Registrar, 23 please, to have these witnesses affirmed. 24 THE REGISTRAR: Turn on your microphones, please. 25 Thank you. 26 27 CATHERINE STEWART, affirmed. 28 29 ALEXANDRA MORTON, affirmed. 30 31 CLARE BACKMAN, affirmed. 32 33 MIA PARKER, affirmed. 34 35 THE REGISTRAR: State your names, please? 36 MS. STEWART: Catherine Stewart. 37 MS. MORTON: Alexandra Morton. 38 MR. BACKMAN: Clare Backman. MS. PARKER: Mia Parker. 39 40 THE REGISTRAR: Thank you. Counsel? 41 MR. MARTLAND: Thank you. 42 43 EXAMINATION IN CHIEF BY MR. MARTLAND: 44 45 Ms. Morton, I'd like to start with you. You've Q 46 been in the room and you've heard me do this on a 47 few other occasions.

MR. MARTLAND: I'm going to first begin by having your 1 2 c.v. which, Mr. Lunn, is number 2 on Commission 3 counsel's list of documents for this panel. If 4 that might be put on the screen? You'll know the routine, but I'll begin first by 5 Q 6 asking you to confirm, please, that that's your 7 c.v.? 8 MS. MORTON: Yes, it is. 9 MR. MARTLAND: Mr. Registrar, if that might become the 10 next exhibit, please? 11 THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 1798. 12 MR. MARTLAND: 1 - 7 - 9 - 8?13 That's correct. THE REGISTRAR: 14 MR. MARTLAND: Thank you. 15 16 EXHIBIT 1798: Curriculum vitae of Alexandra 17 Morton 18 19 MR. MARTLAND: 20 I'll ask next a long-winded question, if you will, Q 21 but largely to cover and to set out on the record 22 some of your background. You completed a B.Sc. from American 23 24 University in Washington, D.C. in 1977. You are a 25 registered professional biologist, and since 1981, 26 you've been the Director of the Raincoast Research 27 Society; is that correct? 28 That's correct. MS. MORTON: 29 Since the late 1970s, you have conducted research 0 30 related to marine mammals including a long-term 31 field study of killer whale ecology in the 32 Broughton Archipelago in this province. You 33 published several academic articles relating to 34 marine mammal ecology and behaviour, and during 35 the 1990s, you began to have concerns about the 36 pace of the development for fish farms in the 37 Broughton Archipelago, the increased incidence of disease which you saw at the Scott Cove Hatchery, the capture of escaped Atlantic salmon in 38 39 40 commercial fisheries, and the request by a local 41 fishing lodge to examine juvenile pink and chum 42 salmon found to be infested with sea lice. 43 I understand that those, and perhaps other 44 factors, led you ultimately to shift your research 45 focus to sea lice in fish farms; is that a fair 46 capsule description of ...? 47 MS. MORTON: Yes. I'd say the original concern had to

1 do with the siting of the fish farms. 2 Q Thank you. Since the early 2000s, you've 3 published several academic articles that relate to 4 escaped farm salmon, sea lice and the effects of 5 fish farms on wild pink and chum salmon migration 6 routes; is that right? 7 MS. MORTON: I would characterize it as over 20 8 scientific papers. 9 Thank you. You're a popular speaker and advocate Q 10 on issues related to protecting wild salmon from 11 potential effects of fish farms. You've received 12 several environmental and conservation awards for 13 your advocacy work, and in 2010, you were awarded 14 an honorary Doctorate of Science from Simon Fraser 15 University for your sea lice research. That's correct. 16 MS. MORTON: 17 MR. MARTLAND: Next, Mr. Lunn, number 4 on our list is, 18 I hope, Ms. Stewart's c.v. 19 Ms. Stewart, you recognize that as being your Q 20 c.v.? 21 MS. STEWART: I do. MR. MARTLAND: If that might be Exhibit 1799? 22 23 THE REGISTRAR: So marked. 24 25 EXHIBIT 1799: Curriculum vitae of Catherine 26 Stewart 27 28 MR. MARTLAND: 29 Ms. Stewart, you've spent over 20 years working \bigcirc 30 with environmental NGOs or ENGOs in Canada. From 31 1988 to 2005, you worked as Greenpeace Canada's 32 Western Regional Director, and in 1991, you 33 assumed the position of Oceans Campaign Director 34 for Greenpeace's national office. Do I have that 35 right? 36 MS. STEWART: I was Western Regional Not quite. 37 Director from '88 to '91, and then I became Oceans Coordinator, and then later switched to Forestry 38 39 and was the lead negotiator for Greenpeace in the 40 Great Bear Rain Forest negotiations. 41 Thank you. My note is that you moved to doing Q 42 that work on the Greenpeace forest campaign in 43 this province in 1997? 44 MS. STEWART: That's correct. 45 And again, in 1999, you became the negotiator for Q 46 Greenpeace in talks with the B.C. forest industry, 47 as you mentioned, dealing with the Great Bear Rain

 forest campaign, and since 2005, you've worked on the salmon farming campaign as - sorry - the salmon farming campaign manager for the Living Oceans Society? MS. STEWART: That's correct. I should also mention that in the mid-'90s, I participated in the Salmon Aquaculture Review as a representative of Greenpeace. Q And that SAR process is the provincial process? MS. STEWART: That's right. Q Thank you. In that role, you managed in the role of salmon farming campaign manager for Living Oceans Society, you manage a campaign team, participate in and manage the budget and staff for CAAR, the Coastal Alliance for Aquaculture Reform. You participate in industry and government dialogues on salmon farming. You also act as a media and public spokesperson on salmon farming issues? MS. STEWART: Correct. MR. MARTLAND: Mr. Lunn, number 1 on our list, and I'll turn next to Mr. Backman. Q In a moment, sir, you'll see, I expect, your c.v.; is that right? MR. MARTLAND: If that might be Exhibit we're crossing to the magical 1800, please. THE REGISTRAR: So marked. MR. MARTLAND: Q Mr. Backman, You're a registered professional biology from the University of British Columbia from 1981 as well as a professional teaching certificate. You're a registered professional biologist and you're published academic articles related to organic deposition from fish farms; is that right? MR. BACKMAN: That's correct. Q In the 1980s, you performed contract work for the federal and provincial governments relating to biologist and you're published academic articles related to organic deposition from fish farms; is that right? MR. BACKMAN: That's correct. Q In the 1980s, you performed contract work for the federal and provincial governments relating to biologist and You're published academic articles related to biologist and you're published you're you're you're you're you're you're you're	1 2 3 4	MS. Q	Forest? STEWART: Correct. You also worked for Greenpeace International facilitating annual meetings of the international
 MS. STEWART: That's correct. I should also mention that in the mid-'90s, I participated in the Salmon Aquaculture Review as a representative of Greenpeace. Q And that SAR process is the provincial process? MS. STEWART: That's right. Q Thank you. In that role, you managed in the role of salmon farming campaign manager for Living Oceans Society, you manage a campaign team, participate in and manage the budget and staff for CAAR, the Coastal Alliance for Aquaculture Reform. You participate in industry and government dialogues on salmon farming. You also act as a media and public spokesperson on salmon farming issues? MS. STEWART: Correct. MR. MARTLAND: Mr. Lunn, number 1 on our list, and I'll turn next to Mr. Backman. Q In a moment, sir, you'll see, I expect, your c.v.; is that right? MR. MARTLAND: If that might be Exhibit we're crossing to the magical 1800, please. THE REGISTRAR: So marked. MR. MARTLAND: MR. MARTLAND: Q Mr. Backman MR. MARTLAND: A professional teaching certificate. You're a registered professional biologist and you're published academic articles related to organic deposition from fish farms; is that right? MR. BACKMAN: That's correct. MR. BACKMAN: That's correct. MR. MARTLAND: MR. MARTLAND: MR. MARTLAND: MR. MARTLAND: Q Mr. Backman, you have a B.Sc. in marine biology from the University of British Columbia from 1981 as well as a professional teaching certificate. You're a registered professional biologist and you've published academic articles related to organic deposition from fish farms; is that right? MR. BACKMAN: That's correct. Q In the 1980s, you performed contract work for the federal and provincial governments relating to 	5 6 7 8		torest campaign, and since 2005, you've worked on the salmon farming campaign as - sorry - the salmon farming campaign manager for the Living Oceans Society?
13QAnd that SAR process is the provincial process?14MS. STEWART: That's right.15QThank you. In that role, you managed in the16role of salmon farming campaign manager for Living17Oceans Society, you manage a campaign team,18participate in and manage the budget and staff for19CAAR, the Coastal Alliance for Aquaculture Reform.20You participate in industry and government21dialogues on salmon farming. You also act as a22media and public spokesperson on salmon farming23issues?24MS. STEWART: Correct.25MR. MARTLAND: Mr. Lunn, number 1 on our list, and I'll26turn next to Mr. Backman.27Q29MR. MARTLAND: If that might be Exhibit we're21crossing to the magical 1800, please.22THE REGISTRAR: So marked.33EXHIBIT 1800: Curriculum vitae of Clare34Backman3637MR. MARTLAND:38Q49Mr. Backman, you have a B.Sc. in marine biology40as well as a professional teaching certificate.41You're a registered professional biologist and42you've published academic articles related to43organic deposition from fish farms; is that right?44MR. BACKMAN: That's correct.45Q46federal and provincial governments relating to	9 10 11 12	MS.	STEWART: That's correct. I should also mention that in the mid-'90s, I participated in the Salmon Aquaculture Review as a representative of Greenpeace.
14MS. STEWART: That's right.15QThank you. In that role, you managed in the role of salmon farming campaign manager for Living Oceans Society, you manage a campaign team, participate in and manage the budget and staff for17Oceans Society, you manage a campaign team, participate in and manage the budget and staff for CAAR, the Coastal Alliance for Aquaculture Reform. You participate in industry and government dialogues on salmon farming. You also act as a media and public spokesperson on salmon farming issues?24MS. STEWART: Correct.25MR. MARTLAND: Mr. Lunn, number 1 on our list, and I'll turn next to Mr. Backman.26In a moment, sir, you'll see, I expect, your c.v.; is that right?27MR. BACKMAN: That's correct.30MR. MARTLAND: If that might be Exhibit we're crossing to the magical 1800, please.31EXHIBIT 1800: Curriculum vitae of Clare Backman36MR. MARTLAND:37MR. MARTLAND:38Q49Mr. Backman, you have a B.Sc. in marine biology from the University of British Columbia from 1981 as well as a professional teaching certificate. You're a registered professional biologist and you've published academic articles related to organic deposition from fish farms; is that right?44MR. BACKMAN: That's correct. Q45Q46In the 1980s, you performed contract work for the federal and provincial governments relating to to the here in the second contract work for the federal and provincial governments relating to	13	Q	And that SAR process is the provincial process?
15 Q Thank you. In that role, you managed in the role of salmon farming campaign manager for Living Oceans Society, you manage a campaign team, participate in and manage the budget and staff for CAAR, the Coastal Alliance for Aquaculture Reform. You participate in industry and government dialogues on salmon farming. You also act as a media and public spokesperson on salmon farming issues? MS. STEWART: Correct. MR. MARTLAND: Mr. Lunn, number 1 on our list, and I'll turn next to Mr. Backman. Q In a moment, sir, you'll see, I expect, your c.v.; is that right? MR. MARTLAND: If that might be Exhibit we're crossing to the magical 1800, please. THE REGISTRAR: So marked. MR. MARTLAND: MR. MARTLAND: Q Mr. Backman. MR. MARTLAND: G British Columbia from 1981 as well as a professional teaching certificate. You're a registered professional biologist and you've published academic articles related to organic deposition from fish farms; is that right? MR. BACKMAN: That's correct. MR. MARTLAND: That's correct. MR. MARTLAND: Q Mr. Backman, you have a B.Sc. in marine biology from the University of British Columbia from 1981 as well as a professional teaching certificate. You're a registered professional biologist and you've published academic articles related to organic deposition from fish farms; is that right? MR. BACKMAN: That's correct. Q In the 1980s, you performed contract work for the federal and provincial governments relating to http://doi.org/10.00000000000000000000000000000000000	14	MS.	STEWART: That's right.
<pre>role of salmon farming campaign manager for Living Oceans Society, you manage a campaign team, participate in and manage the budget and staff for CAAR, the Coastal Alliance for Aquaculture Reform. You participate in industry and government dialogues on salmon farming. You also act as a media and public spokesperson on salmon farming issues? MS. STEWART: Correct. MR. MARTLAND: Mr. Lunn, number 1 on our list, and I'll turn next to Mr. Backman. Q In a moment, sir, you'll see, I expect, your c.v.; is that right? MR. BACKMAN: That's correct. MR. MARTLAND: If that might be Exhibit we're crossing to the magical 1800, please. THE REGISTRAR: So marked. MR. MARTLAND: EXHIBIT 1800: Curriculum vitae of Clare Backman MR. MARTLAND: Q Mr. Backman, you have a B.Sc. in marine biology from the University of British Columbia from 1981 as well as a professional teaching certificate. You're a registered professional biologist and you've published academic articles related to organic deposition from fish farms; is that right? MR. BACKMAN: That's correct. Q In the 1980s, you performed contract work for the federal and provincial governments relating to the tertering of the vertice of the teaching to the federal and provincial governments relating to</pre>	15	0	Thank vou. In that role, you managed in the
17Oceans Society, you manage a campaign team, participate in and manage the budget and staff for CAAR, the Coastal Alliance for Aquaculture Reform. You participate in industry and government dialogues on salmon farming. You also act as a media and public spokesperson on salmon farming issues?24MS. STEWART: Correct.25MR. MARTLAND: Mr. Lunn, number 1 on our list, and I'll turn next to Mr. Backman.27Q29MR. BACKMAN: That's correct.30MR. MARTLAND: If that might be Exhibit we're crossing to the magical 1800, please.33EXHIBIT 1800: Curriculum vitae of Clare Backman36MR. MARTLAND:37MR. MARTLAND:38You have a B.Sc. in marine biology from the University of British Columbia from 1981 as well as a professional teaching certificate. You're a registered professional biologist and you've published academic articles related to organic deposition from fish farms; is that right?44MR. BACKMAN: That's correct.45Q46federal and provincial governments relating to the deposition from fish farms; is correct.	16	~	role of salmon farming campaign manager for Living
17Description18participate in and manage the budget and staff for19CAAR, the Coastal Alliance for Aquaculture Reform.20You participate in industry and government21dialogues on salmon farming. You also act as a22media and public spokesperson on salmon farming23issues?24MS. STEWART: Correct.25MR. MARTLAND: Mr. Lunn, number 1 on our list, and I'll26turn next to Mr. Backman.27Q In a moment, sir, you'll see, I expect, your c.v.;28is that right?29MR. BACKMAN: That's correct.30MR. MARTLAND: If that might be Exhibit we're31crossing to the magical 1800, please.32THE REGISTRAR: So marked.33EXHIBIT 1800: Curriculum vitae of Clare36Backman37MR. MARTLAND:38Q49Mr. Backman, you have a B.Sc. in marine biology40as well as a professional teaching certificate.41You're a registered professional biologist and42you've published academic articles related to43organic deposition from fish farms; is that right?44MR. BACKMAN: That's correct.45Q46federal and provincial governments relating to	17		Oceans Society you manage a campaign team
 CAAR, the Coastal Alliance for Aquaculture Reform. You participate in industry and government dialogues on salmon farming. You also act as a media and public spokesperson on salmon farming issues? MS. STEWART: Correct. MR. MARTLAND: Mr. Lunn, number 1 on our list, and I'll turn next to Mr. Backman. Q In a moment, sir, you'll see, I expect, your c.v.; is that right? MR. MARTLAND: If that might be Exhibit we're crossing to the magical 1800, please. THE REGISTRAR: So marked. MR. MARTLAND: MR. MARTLAND: Curriculum vitae of Clare Backman MR. MARTLAND: MR. MARTLAND:<td>10</td><td></td><td>participate in and manage the hudget and staff for</td>	10		participate in and manage the hudget and staff for
19CAAR, the Coastal Alliance for Aquaculture Reform.20You participate in industry and government21dialogues on salmon farming. You also act as a22media and public spokesperson on salmon farming23issues?24MS. STEWART: Correct.25MR. MARTLAND: Mr. Lunn, number 1 on our list, and I'll26turn next to Mr. Backman.27QQIn a moment, sir, you'll see, I expect, your c.v.;28is that right?29MR. BACKMAN: That's correct.30MR. MARTLAND: If that might be Exhibit we're31crossing to the magical 1800, please.32THE REGISTRAR: So marked.33EXHIBIT 1800: Curriculum vitae of Clare36Backman36Q37MR. MARTLAND:38Q40ms well as a professional teaching certificate.41You're a registered professional biologist and42you've published academic articles related to43organic deposition from fish farms; is that right?44MR. BACKMAN: That's correct.45Q47Mr the 1980s, you performed contract work for the46federal and provincial governments relating to	10		participate in and manage the budget and start for
You participate in industry and government dialogues on salmon farming. You also act as a media and public spokesperson on salmon farming issues? MS. STEWART: Correct. MR. MARTLAND: Mr. Lunn, number 1 on our list, and I'll turn next to Mr. Backman. Q In a moment, sir, you'll see, I expect, your c.v.; is that right? MR. BACKMAN: That's correct. MR. MARTLAND: If that might be Exhibit we're crossing to the magical 1800, please. THE REGISTRAR: So marked. MR. MARTLAND: MR. MARTLAND: MR. BACkman, you have a B.Sc. in marine biology from the University of British Columbia from 1981 as well as a professional teaching certificate. You're a registered professional biologist and you've published academic articles related to organic deposition from fish farms; is that right? MR. BACKMAN: That's correct. Q In the 1980s, you performed contract work for the federal and provincial governments relating to	19		CAAR, the coastal Alliance for Aquaculture Reform.
dialogues on salmon farming. You also act as a media and public spokesperson on salmon farming issues? MS. STEWART: Correct. MR. MARTLAND: Mr. Lunn, number 1 on our list, and I'll turn next to Mr. Backman. Q In a moment, sir, you'll see, I expect, your c.v.; is that right? MR. BACKMAN: That's correct. MR. MARTLAND: If that might be Exhibit we're crossing to the magical 1800, please. THE REGISTRAR: So marked. MR. MARTLAND: MR. MARTLAND: MR. BACkman, you have a B.Sc. in marine biology from the University of British Columbia from 1981 as well as a professional teaching certificate. You're a registered professional biologist and you've published academic articles related to organic deposition from fish farms; is that right? MR. BACKMAN: That's correct. Q In the 1980s, you performed contract work for the federal and provincial governments relating to	20		You participate in industry and government
<pre>22 media and public spokesperson on salmon farming 23 issues? 24 MS. STEWART: Correct. 25 MR. MARTLAND: Mr. Lunn, number 1 on our list, and I'll 26 turn next to Mr. Backman. 27 Q In a moment, sir, you'll see, I expect, your c.v.; 28 is that right? 29 MR. BACKMAN: That's correct. 30 MR. MARTLAND: If that might be Exhibit we're 31 crossing to the magical 1800, please. 32 THE REGISTRAR: So marked. 33 EXHIBIT 1800: Curriculum vitae of Clare 34 EXHIBIT 1800: Curriculum vitae of Clare 35 Backman 36 37 MR. MARTLAND: 38 Q Mr. Backman, you have a B.Sc. in marine biology 39 from the University of British Columbia from 1981 33 as well as a professional teaching certificate. 41 You're a registered professional biologist and 42 you've published academic articles related to 43 organic deposition from fish farms; is that right? 44 MR. BACKMAN: That's correct. 45 Q In the 1980s, you performed contract work for the 46 federal and provincial governments relating to 47 between entry of the set of the set of the federal and provincial governments relating to 47 between entry of the set of the set of the federal and provincial governments relating to 47 between entry of the set of the set of the federal and provincial governments relating to</pre>	21		dialogues on salmon farming. You also act as a
<pre>issues? issues? MS. STEWART: Correct. MR. MARTLAND: Mr. Lunn, number 1 on our list, and I'll turn next to Mr. Backman. Q In a moment, sir, you'll see, I expect, your c.v.; is that right? MR. BACKMAN: That's correct. MR. MARTLAND: If that might be Exhibit we're crossing to the magical 1800, please. HE REGISTRAR: So marked. EXHIBIT 1800: Curriculum vitae of Clare Backman MR. MARTLAND: Q Mr. Backman, you have a B.Sc. in marine biology from the University of British Columbia from 1981 as well as a professional teaching certificate. You're a registered professional biologist and you've published academic articles related to organic deposition from fish farms; is that right? MR. BACKMAN: That's correct. Q In the 1980s, you performed contract work for the federal and provincial governments relating to batcherice a registered professional biologist of the federal and provincial governments relating to batcherice a peneric. You're a relating to batcherice a peneric. You're a peneric a pen</pre>	22		media and public spokesperson on salmon farming
MS. STEWART: Correct. MR. MARTLAND: Mr. Lunn, number 1 on our list, and I'll turn next to Mr. Backman. Q In a moment, sir, you'll see, I expect, your c.v.; is that right? MR. BACKMAN: That's correct. MR. MARTLAND: If that might be Exhibit we're crossing to the magical 1800, please. THE REGISTRAR: So marked. THE REGISTRAR: So marked. MR. MARTLAND: MR. MARTLAND: Curriculum vitae of Clare Backman MR. MARTLAND: Q Mr. Backman, you have a B.Sc. in marine biology from the University of British Columbia from 1981 as well as a professional teaching certificate. You're a registered professional biologist and you've published academic articles related to organic deposition from fish farms; is that right? MR. BACKMAN: That's correct. Q In the 1980s, you performed contract work for the federal and provincial governments relating to hetcheric contract work for the federal and provincial governments relating to	23		issues?
 MR. MARTLAND: Mr. Lunn, number 1 on our list, and I'll turn next to Mr. Backman. Q In a moment, sir, you'll see, I expect, your c.v.; is that right? MR. BACKMAN: That's correct. MR. MARTLAND: If that might be Exhibit we're crossing to the magical 1800, please. THE REGISTRAR: So marked. EXHIBIT 1800: Curriculum vitae of Clare Backman MR. MARTLAND: MR. MARTLAND: Q Mr. Backman, you have a B.Sc. in marine biology from the University of British Columbia from 1981 as well as a professional teaching certificate. You're a registered professional biologist and you've published academic articles related to organic deposition from fish farms; is that right? MR. BACKMAN: That's correct. Q In the 1980s, you performed contract work for the federal and provincial governments relating to 	24	MS	STEWART. Correct
<pre>25 MR. MARTLAND. Mr. Main, Manber Fon our fist, and fife 26 turn next to Mr. Backman. 27 Q In a moment, sir, you'll see, I expect, your c.v.; 28 is that right? 29 MR. BACKMAN: That's correct. 30 MR. MARTLAND: If that might be Exhibit we're 31 crossing to the magical 1800, please. 32 THE REGISTRAR: So marked. 33 34 EXHIBIT 1800: Curriculum vitae of Clare 35 Backman 36 37 MR. MARTLAND: 38 Q Mr. Backman, you have a B.Sc. in marine biology 39 from the University of British Columbia from 1981 40 as well as a professional teaching certificate. 41 You're a registered professional biologist and 42 you've published academic articles related to 43 organic deposition from fish farms; is that right? 44 MR. BACKMAN: That's correct. 45 Q In the 1980s, you performed contract work for the 46 federal and provincial governments relating to 47 betwere endowed biologist and 47 betwere endowed biologist and 48 betwere endowed biologist and 49 betwere endowed biologist and 40 betwere endowed biologist and 41 betwere endowed biologist and 42 betwere endowed biologist and 43 betwere endowed biologist and 44 betwere endowed biologist and 45 betwere endowed biologist and 46 betwere endowed biologist and 47 betwere endowed biologist and 47 betwere endowed biologist and 48 betwere endowed biologist and 49 betwere endowed biologist and 40 betwere endowed biologist and 41 biologist and 42 biologist and 43 biologist and 44 biologist and 45 biologist and 46 biologist and 47 biologist and 48 biologist and 49 biologist and 40 biologist and 40 biologist and 40 biologist and 41 biologist and 42 biologist and 43 biologist and 44 biologist and 45 biologist and 46 biologist and 47 biologist and 48 biologist and 49 biologist and 40 biologist and</pre>	25	MR	MARTIAND. Mr Lunn number 1 on our list and I'll
 Q In a moment, sir, you'll see, I expect, your c.v.; is that right? MR. BACKMAN: That's correct. MR. MARTLAND: If that might be Exhibit we're crossing to the magical 1800, please. THE REGISTRAR: So marked. EXHIBIT 1800: Curriculum vitae of Clare Backman MR. MARTLAND: Q Mr. Backman, you have a B.Sc. in marine biology from the University of British Columbia from 1981 as well as a professional teaching certificate. You're a registered professional biologist and you've published academic articles related to organic deposition from fish farms; is that right? MR. BACKMAN: That's correct. Q In the 1980s, you performed contract work for the federal and provincial governments relating to 	26	1.11.	turn novt to Mr. Backman
<pre>27 0 In a moment, sir, you'll see, 1 expect, your c.v.; 28 is that right? 29 MR. BACKMAN: That's correct. 30 MR. MARTLAND: If that might be Exhibit we're 31 crossing to the magical 1800, please. 32 THE REGISTRAR: So marked. 33 EXHIBIT 1800: Curriculum vitae of Clare 34 EXHIBIT 1800: Curriculum vitae of Clare 35 Backman 36 37 MR. MARTLAND: 38 Q Mr. Backman, you have a B.Sc. in marine biology 39 from the University of British Columbia from 1981 40 as well as a professional teaching certificate. 41 You're a registered professional biologist and 42 you're published academic articles related to 43 organic deposition from fish farms; is that right? 44 MR. BACKMAN: That's correct. 45 Q In the 1980s, you performed contract work for the 46 federal and provincial governments relating to 47 between a summer biologist and provincial governments relating to 47 between a backman biologist and provincial governments relating to 47 between a backman biologist and provincial governments relating to 47 between a backman biologist and provincial governments relating to 47 between a backman biologist and provincial governments relating to 47 between a backman biologist and provincial governments relating to 47 between a backman biologist and provincial governments relating to 47 between a backman biologist and provincial governments relating to</pre>	20	\sim	The memory sin were line to meast were a set
<pre>1s that right? MR. BACKMAN: That's correct. MR. MARTLAND: If that might be Exhibit we're crossing to the magical 1800, please. THE REGISTRAR: So marked. EXHIBIT 1800: Curriculum vitae of Clare Backman MR. MARTLAND: Q Mr. Backman, you have a B.Sc. in marine biology from the University of British Columbia from 1981 as well as a professional teaching certificate. You're a registered professional biologist and you've published academic articles related to organic deposition from fish farms; is that right? MR. BACKMAN: That's correct. Q In the 1980s, you performed contract work for the federal and provincial governments relating to battehenica.</pre>	27	Q	in a moment, sir, you'll see, I expect, your c.v.;
MR. BACKMAN: That's correct. MR. MARTLAND: If that might be Exhibit we're crossing to the magical 1800, please. THE REGISTRAR: So marked. EXHIBIT 1800: Curriculum vitae of Clare Backman MR. MARTLAND: Q Mr. Backman, you have a B.Sc. in marine biology from the University of British Columbia from 1981 as well as a professional teaching certificate. You're a registered professional biologist and you've published academic articles related to organic deposition from fish farms; is that right? MR. BACKMAN: That's correct. Q In the 1980s, you performed contract work for the federal and provincial governments relating to between a seleme biologies and provincial governments relating to	28		is that right?
MR. MARTLAND: If that might be Exhibit we're crossing to the magical 1800, please. THE REGISTRAR: So marked. EXHIBIT 1800: Curriculum vitae of Clare Backman MR. MARTLAND: MR. MARTLAND: Q Mr. Backman, you have a B.Sc. in marine biology from the University of British Columbia from 1981 as well as a professional teaching certificate. You're a registered professional biologist and you've published academic articles related to organic deposition from fish farms; is that right? MR. BACKMAN: That's correct. Q In the 1980s, you performed contract work for the federal and provincial governments relating to	29	MR.	BACKMAN: That's correct.
<pre>31 crossing to the magical 1800, please. 32 THE REGISTRAR: So marked. 33 34 EXHIBIT 1800: Curriculum vitae of Clare 35 Backman 36 37 MR. MARTLAND: 38 Q Mr. Backman, you have a B.Sc. in marine biology 39 from the University of British Columbia from 1981 40 as well as a professional teaching certificate. 41 You're a registered professional biologist and 42 you've published academic articles related to 43 organic deposition from fish farms; is that right? 44 MR. BACKMAN: That's correct. 45 Q In the 1980s, you performed contract work for the 46 federal and provincial governments relating to 47 betterender of the federal of the federal form of the federal form of the federal form form form form form form form form</pre>	30	MR.	MARTLAND: If that might be Exhibit we're
32 THE REGISTRAR: So marked. 33 34 EXHIBIT 1800: Curriculum vitae of Clare 35 Backman 36 37 MR. MARTLAND: 38 Q Mr. Backman, you have a B.Sc. in marine biology 39 from the University of British Columbia from 1981 40 as well as a professional teaching certificate. 41 You're a registered professional biologist and 42 you've published academic articles related to 43 organic deposition from fish farms; is that right? 44 MR. BACKMAN: That's correct. 47 MR. BACKMAN: That's correct. 47 A marked to the federal and provincial governments relating to	31		crossing to the magical 1800, please.
 EXHIBIT 1800: Curriculum vitae of Clare Backman MR. MARTLAND: Q Mr. Backman, you have a B.Sc. in marine biology from the University of British Columbia from 1981 as well as a professional teaching certificate. You're a registered professional biologist and you've published academic articles related to organic deposition from fish farms; is that right? MR. BACKMAN: That's correct. Q In the 1980s, you performed contract work for the federal and provincial governments relating to 	32	THE	REGISTRAR: So marked.
 EXHIBIT 1800: Curriculum vitae of Clare Backman MR. MARTLAND: Q Mr. Backman, you have a B.Sc. in marine biology from the University of British Columbia from 1981 as well as a professional teaching certificate. You're a registered professional biologist and you've published academic articles related to organic deposition from fish farms; is that right? MR. BACKMAN: That's correct. Q In the 1980s, you performed contract work for the federal and provincial governments relating to 			
Backman Backman MR. MARTLAND: Q Mr. Backman, you have a B.Sc. in marine biology from the University of British Columbia from 1981 as well as a professional teaching certificate. You're a registered professional biologist and you've published academic articles related to organic deposition from fish farms; is that right? MR. BACKMAN: That's correct. Q In the 1980s, you performed contract work for the federal and provincial governments relating to	31		EVUIRIT 1800. Curriculum witho of Claro
35 Backman 36 37 MR. MARTLAND: Q Mr. Backman, you have a B.Sc. in marine biology 39 from the University of British Columbia from 1981 40 as well as a professional teaching certificate. 41 You're a registered professional biologist and 42 you've published academic articles related to 43 organic deposition from fish farms; is that right? 44 MR. BACKMAN: That's correct. 45 Q In the 1980s, you performed contract work for the 46 federal and provincial governments relating to	24		Daalman
MR. MARTLAND: Q Mr. Backman, you have a B.Sc. in marine biology from the University of British Columbia from 1981 as well as a professional teaching certificate. You're a registered professional biologist and you've published academic articles related to organic deposition from fish farms; is that right? MR. BACKMAN: That's correct. Q In the 1980s, you performed contract work for the federal and provincial governments relating to	30		Backillan
MR. MARTLAND: Q Mr. Backman, you have a B.Sc. in marine biology from the University of British Columbia from 1981 as well as a professional teaching certificate. You're a registered professional biologist and you've published academic articles related to organic deposition from fish farms; is that right? MR. BACKMAN: That's correct. Q In the 1980s, you performed contract work for the federal and provincial governments relating to	36		
38 Q Mr. Backman, you have a B.Sc. in marine biology 39 from the University of British Columbia from 1981 40 as well as a professional teaching certificate. 41 You're a registered professional biologist and 42 you've published academic articles related to 43 organic deposition from fish farms; is that right? 44 MR. BACKMAN: That's correct. 45 Q In the 1980s, you performed contract work for the 46 federal and provincial governments relating to 47	37	MR.	MARTLAND:
from the University of British Columbia from 1981 as well as a professional teaching certificate. You're a registered professional biologist and you've published academic articles related to organic deposition from fish farms; is that right? MR. BACKMAN: That's correct. In the 1980s, you performed contract work for the federal and provincial governments relating to	38	Q	Mr. Backman, you have a B.Sc. in marine biology
 as well as a professional teaching certificate. You're a registered professional biologist and you've published academic articles related to organic deposition from fish farms; is that right? MR. BACKMAN: That's correct. Q In the 1980s, you performed contract work for the federal and provincial governments relating to 	39		from the University of British Columbia from 1981
 You're a registered professional biologist and you've published academic articles related to organic deposition from fish farms; is that right? MR. BACKMAN: That's correct. Q In the 1980s, you performed contract work for the federal and provincial governments relating to 	40		as well as a professional teaching certificate.
42 42 42 43 43 44 44 45 45 45 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47	41		You're a registered professional biologist and
 42 you've published academic articles related to 43 organic deposition from fish farms; is that right? 44 MR. BACKMAN: That's correct. 45 Q In the 1980s, you performed contract work for the 46 federal and provincial governments relating to 	12		you'yo published academic articles related to
43 organic deposition from fish farms; is that right? 44 MR. BACKMAN: That's correct. 45 Q In the 1980s, you performed contract work for the 46 federal and provincial governments relating to	10		you ve published academic altitues letated to
44 MR. BACKMAN: That's correct. 45 Q In the 1980s, you performed contract work for the 46 federal and provincial governments relating to 47 heteroperative relations in the second se	43		organic deposition from fish farms; is that right?
45 Q In the 1980s, you performed contract work for the 46 federal and provincial governments relating to	44	MR.	BACKMAN: That's correct.
46 federal and provincial governments relating to	45	Q	In the 1980s, you performed contract work for the
	46		federal and provincial governments relating to
4/ natcheries, saimon habitat and spawning, and from	47		hatcheries, salmon habitat and spawning, and from

1987 to 1990, you served as the Prince Rupert area 1 2 Saltwater Operations Manager for Royal Pacific Sea 3 Farms Limited which operated chinook salmon farms 4 on the Sunshine Coast, in Campbell River and 5 Tofino, and in the area of Prince Rupert? 6 MR. BACKMAN: That's correct. 7 From 1991 to 2000, you worked as a biologist for 0 8 the B.C. Ministry of Agriculture, Food and 9 Fisheries and, in that capacity, assisted with the 10 provincial government's development of salmon 11 farming policies and assessing new site applications as well as the operational compliance 12 13 of finfish aquaculture facilities? 14 MR. BACKMAN: Yes. 15 In 2000, you accepted a position with Stolt Sea Q Farm Incorporated, a company that was later 16 17 purchased by Marine Harvest, and since 2005, 18 you've served as the Director of Sustainability 19 for Marine Harvest Canada. 20 MR. BACKMAN: That's correct. 21 In that role, you've represented Marine Harvest on Q 22 business development issues such as closed 23 containment systems, certification programs and 24 farm applications. You've monitored and reported 25 on regulatory compliance. You've also built 26 relationships and worked on communications 27 initiatives. 28 MR. BACKMAN: Yes. 29 MR. MARTLAND: Thank you. Next, Mr. Lunn, number 3 on 30 our list of documents. 31 Ms. Parker, this may be a version which is not the Q 32 very most recent because it gives your current 33 position as being at Grieg Seafood. That's no 34 longer the case. But with comment aside, this is 35 your c.v., I take it? 36 Yes, it is. MS. PARKER: 37 MR. MARTLAND: If this might become Exhibit 1801, 38 please. 39 THE REGISTRAR: So marked. 40 41 EXHIBIT 1801: Curriculum vitae of Mia Parker 42 43 MR. MARTLAND: 44 Ms. Parker, you hold a B.Sc. Honours in biology 0 45 from the University of Victoria from 1996. You've 46 completed post-degree studies in fisheries and 47 aquaculture from Malaspina University College, and

1		in 2006, you obtained an MBA in management
2		consulting from Royal Roads University on the
3		Island.
4	MS.	PARKER: Correct.
5	Q	From 1996 onwards, I understand that you worked as
6	~	a consultant on projects for government and First
7		Nations related to fisheries, shellfish
8		aguaculture and finfish aguaculture, and that in
9		the period of 2004/2005, you served as the
10		Director of Sustainable Housing for the Cowichan
11		tribes
12	MS	PARKER: That's correct
13	0	From January 2007 until April of 2011 you were
14	×	the Manager of Regulatory Affairs for Grieg
15		Soafood Limitod, is that right?
16	MC	DARKER, Voc it is
17	MS.	ARALA. IES, IL IS.
18	Ŷ	to dovolop and implement programs to most
10		corporate and regulatory environmental ebjectives
20		fostored relationships with government community
20		and other NCOs developed communication tools
$2 \perp$		and other NGOS, developed communication coors,
22		and accorded acuaculture industry contification
23		and assessed aquaculture industry certification
24		of the work you did?
20	MC	DI LILE WOLK YOU ALA:
20	MS.	ARRENT IES.
27	Q	And most recently - and the C.V. doesn't refrect
20		unis - but the update since April 2011 is that
29		You've been working with the Department of
30		Pisseries and Oceans Aquaculture Management
31	MO	Directorate, or AMD, in Ottawa?
32	MS.	PARKER: Correct.
33	MR.	MARTLAND: Mr. Commissioner, 1 11 add on that last
34		point, you'll note that Ms. Parker has a
35		background working in the aquaculture industry
36		until very recently. She is now working for DFO.
3/		DFO isn't appearing as her counsel for today, so
38		her appearance, and indeed the purpose of our
39		calling her on a perspectives panel like this is
40		not to call upon her for an added DFO perspective
41		per se. Indeed our view as Commission counsel is
42		that it's not fair either to her or to the DFO
43		that she be asked to wear the hat or take
44		positions for the Department which she's joined
45		relatively recently. Rather, she's part of this
46		panel in order to really look to her for her
47		involvement with and knowledge of the industry and

1 to offer an industry perspective. Really, her 2 understanding of the aquaculture industry's 3 perspective and history on different issues that 4 we're addressing. So it's in that capacity that 5 we're looking to lead her evidence today. 6 Panel members, the approach that we're taking 7 here to ensure that we do our level best to keep 8 these hearings on schedule. We have two days, and 9 so as a result of that, we've made a fairly 10 deliberate decision -- each of you has counsel, 11 and we've made a decision to try to permit your 12 counsel as much time as we can within the confines 13 of the time available to ask you questions in 14 addition to mine. 15 As a result, my approach today will be to go 16 to some relatively big questions and to take that 17 approach. I'll do my best as well to permit each 18 of you to address the different questions that I 19 have. 20 I will start with one, if you will, a big question Q 21 which is this, and perhaps I'll start, Ms. 22 Stewart, with you, and we can move through the panel this way and I'll see if I shuffled the 23 24 batting order, so to speak, as we go. 25 So, Ms. Stewart, I'll begin with you. Can 26 DFO successfully both promote and regulate the 27 aquaculture industry? Can it do both things? 28 MS. STEWART: I don't believe that's possible, and I 29 believe that DFO has a primary constitutional 30 mandate to protect ocean and ecosystem health and 31 wild stocks. They have a political mandate in 32 recent years to be a promoter and an advocate for 33 the aquaculture industry, the development and 34 expansion of such. If you look at the patterns of 35 behaviour from marketing, meeting with retailers, 36 promoting aquaculture at seafood expositions such 37 as the Boston Seafood Show, at their promotional 38 materials, at the granting programs such as AIMAP, 39 they're very much acting on behalf of the 40 promotion and development of the aquaculture 41 industry. 42 I think that the Department needs to fulfill its primary obligation to Canadians and ensure 43 44 that there are healthy wild salmon stocks and 45 healthy fully functioning marine ecosystems for 46 our future. Those two are in conflict with one 47 another. Given the weight of scientific evidence,

given the track record of the industry globally, 1 2 and the continual evolution and exposure of the 3 problems that are associated with open-net cages, 4 DFO cannot be a rigorous and effective enforcing 5 mechanism at the same time as they're being an 6 advocate and a promoter of the industry. 7 In your view, what should happen? If there's a Q 8 conflict that you've described, what is the 9 solution? Is there a solution to that conflict? 10 MS. STEWART: I think those two mandates have to be 11 separated. I mean, I personally don't have a 12 problem with Industry and Trade Canada or other 13 departments supporting Canadian businesses, 14 although I would certainly prefer to see them 15 supporting much more responsible and ecologically 16 sustainable businesses, so open-net cages would 17 still not be on that list. But I understand the 18 mandate of the government to support Canadian 19 industry, but I believe that the two mandates are 20 in fundamental conflict and they have to be 21 extricated. 22 So if DFO is constitutionally mandated to be 23 the enforcing agency, then the promotional 24 responsibility to be shifted to another 25 department. I'm not in a position to say what 26 Maybe it's Agriculture Canada, maybe department. 27 it's Industry and Trade. But we have to extract 28 that responsibility from DFO in order to allow 29 them to effectively perform their primary mandate. 30 Ms. Morton, your name is atop a case that we all Q 31 know about. It's sometimes referred to as the 32 Morton decision or the Hinkson decision, but it's 33 your name on the style of cause. The case states 34 -- I believe it was released in February 2009 and 35 really changed an important part of the regulatory 36 regime putting the DFO largely in the driver's 37 seat vis-à-vis the regulation of finfish 38 aquaculture. I wonder if you could address the 39 question about conflicts but also offer your views 40 on whether the change in the federal role, so to 41 speak, resulting from that court decision, 42 aggravates or mitigates any conflict that exists? 43 Okay. Great questions. Just so people MS. MORTON: 44 know, the reason I came into conflict with this 45 industry was as a biologist in the Broughton 46 Archipelago, as you said, studying whales. So the 47 first experience that myself and my community and

the First Nations community around us had with the 1 2 industry had to do with siting. 3 We were asked to tell DFO and the province 4 where we did not want salmon farms. They produced 5 a map and they made red zones, and they said they 6 would not even accept an application for a finfish 7 farm to those sites. But within a few months, 8 there were more sites, more farms in those red 9 zones than anywhere else. So there were DFO 10 officers involved at that level, and a lot of them 11 quit over that because they did not feel good 12 about what was happening. 13 So from my perspective, from that point 14 forward, when push has come to shove about whether 15 the priority is going to be given to the wild fish 16 or to the industry, it has always gone to the 17 industry. Now, the industry might have a 18 different perspective on that, but I'm on the 19 ground and I'm looking at the fish. 20 The reason I went to Gregory McDade and 21 challenged the provincial regulation of salmon 22 farms is because when this was done in the late 23 1980s, when salmon farms were given to the 24 province, it was because it was a constitutional 25 problem to be regulating a fishery in the oceans, 26 because that is a federal jurisdiction. There was 27 work done by Bruce Wildsmith, a lawyer, was tasked 28 by the government to give them a decision on 29 whether or not it was constitutional for the 30 Government of Canada to have salmon farms in the 31 ocean. He said, in his decision, you're going to 32 have to change the constitution of Canada to be 33 able to privatize the spaces and pretend to own 34 fish in the ocean. 35 But what happened was instead of going to the 36 level of changing the constitution of Canada, they 37 slid the whole thing to the province. At that 38 moment, they were regulated as farms and the 39 province knew how to regulate farms. Everybody 40 knows the rules about that. But what happened was 41 nobody was responsible for the impact of the farm 42 to the wild salmon. 43 So I didn't make that move because I thought 44 the federal government would be better. I had a 45 long history of problems with the federal 46 government accepting that there were problems with 47 the industry. I did it because what was happening

1 was so wrong, and I was hoping that in the shake-2 up that occurred after, that it would resettle in 3 a more logical and beneficial manner to Canada. 4 But what I see is a retraction of even where 5 we were with the province where there are no 6 regulations against infecting wild salmon with 7 disease from farms. There's no regulations about 8 the release of pathogens. The whole issue of 9 deleterious substances seems to have been removed. 10 Everything seems to now be up not the province 11 anymore but just the fish farmers themselves. So I think it's potentially worse. 12 13 As a biologist, as someone who's looked at 14 this from inside and out, I don't see how the 15 industry can exist legally or biologically in the ocean. So I really don't think you can -- I don't 16 17 think anyone can regulate this industry correctly 18 in the ocean. 19 Q Vis-à-vis a conflict in the DFO's involvement in 20 aquaculture, I take from - you didn't quite say it 21 this way - but I take from your answer that you 22 would identify a conflict. Do you see there being any solution to it, or would you suggest an even 23 further solution of simply putting an end to the 24 25 aquaculture as practised on the coast now? 26 MS. MORTON: Well, when I was living in Echo Bay, my 27 neighbour was the DFO patrol officer, and he got 28 rumours that herring had spawned on the chains of 29 a net called the Birdwood farm. He was physically 30 restrained from going -- his job was to enumerate 31 herring spawn, but he was physically restrained by 32 other DFO officers from going there. He tried to 33 get onto the First Nations patrol boat, but also 34 was restrained. They had the argument in boats 35 out in front of my house. 36 So the first thing that needs to happen is 37 officers need to be able to go onto these facilities without an invitation, simply board 38 39 them as they do all vessels. But because these 40 operations break fundamental biological laws, I 41 don't even see a way forward with DFO managing it 42 at all. 43 Mr. Backman, the initial question had to do with Q 44 whether there is a conflict for the Department of 45 Fisheries and Oceans in both promoting and 46 regulating the aquaculture industry. Do you have 47 views on that?

I think that it appears to be a 1 MR. BACKMAN: Yeah. 2 conflict to the initial observer when you've got 3 an agency that both has to appear to promote and 4 to regulate an industry. But in a modern world, 5 in the modern system of governance, it's quite 6 common that you have an agency that actually plays 7 dual roles. They have to, on the one hand, 8 understand the fishery or the industry that they're dealing with, and on the other hand, they 9 10 have to enforce the regulations that apply to it. 11 An example of that would be operation of 12 health and safety officers working within a 13 particular industry where they're promoting the 14 compliance with operation of health and safety. 15 At the same time, they're bringing forward the 16 regulations. 17 So we have a situation in our industry where 18 we are now a fishery, and when you look at other 19 fisheries that the DFO manages, they understand 20 the fishery, they work with the fishery to try and 21 promote the most effective means of undertaking 22 that activity but, on the other hand, they very 23 clearly lay out what the regulatory requirements 24 are, and the regulatory requirements are also 25 subject to change. 26 They're being adapted, and we see that 27 happening in the last few months under the new 28 regime as well. We're moving forward, we're 29 seeing changes and improvements and new aspects to 30 the regulation under the DFO. So, really, it's a 31 movement toward a collaborative effort, if you 32 would, but in the main, the DFO has their role to 33 play. They learn as they go. As a brand-new 34 approach to us, they're learning as they go to 35 some degree about our industry, but they're 36 clearly demonstrating what it is that they expect 37 us to be doing. Ms. Parker, do you -- would you care to offer your 38 Q 39 sense of the industry view on whether there's a 40 conflict for the Department that arises? Anything 41 to add to what Mr. Backman said? 42 MS. PARKER: Well, if you accept the premise that 43 aquaculture is a fishery, then this approach to 44 management is consistent with how other commercial 45 fisheries are managed in Canada, and historically 46 DFO has both promoted Canadian capture fisheries 47 while, at the same time, making sure they meet

1 national and international regulations. 2 I think one of the things to realize, that 3 this is, I think, more visible now than it was 4 previously because we have this global trend 5 towards eco-certification and also export 6 certification to international markets. So what 7 you see is the regulator taking a stronger role in 8 educating markets on the role the regulator has. 9 So what -- am I too quiet? Sorry. 10 So what you have is the same activities that 11 have been taking place, taking place in a more visible way. Product going to Europe right now 12 13 has to be certified by DFO from a regulatory 14 perspective, what the management structure is, 15 what the frameworks are that are being met and 16 enforced. I think there is a slight benefit to 17 enforcement when DFO is now our lead regulator in 18 that they have access to all of our information. 19 So before a C&P officer ever sets foot on the 20 site, he has access to every bit of information, 21 so the investigation can almost happen before the 22 site visit. 23 In the course of asking the first question around Q 24 conflicts, it's not a problem, but I think a 25 number of you went into a discussion about the 26 merits of how DFO is doing. So let me simply move 27 into asking you about that. 28 Ms. Morton, you started to offer some 29 thoughts. I think you touched on the s. 35 HADD, 30 Harmful Alteration and -- I'm sorry, I won't even 31 try and give it to you off the top of my head --32 but the approach that the DFO has taken which, as 33 I understand, would subsume those considerations into the conditions of licence. 34 35 What I'll do here is ask again for your 36 comments, panel members, on what the Department is 37 doing well, where the Department's approach to 38 regulation management of aquaculture falls short, 39 and if you have particular suggestions or 40 recommendations or improvements that you'd like to 41 speak about, that's helpful. Certainly within 42 that question, if you'd care to address siting and 43 licensing, consultation with First Nations, local 44 communities, stakeholders or other components of 45 the management regime which is, as I appreciate, a 46 very broad description, but would include the s. 47 35 HADD question, habitat compensation, the

1 proposed IMAPs, Integration Management of 2 Aquaculture Plans, as well as the question about 3 public access to information for finfish 4 aquaculture. 5 So it is a broad question, but, Ms. Morton, 6 if I could start with you and ask for your views 7 on what, if you have anything on the good side of 8 the ledger that's gone well with the DFO's 9 management, and what has not gone well, and where 10 you see a need for improvement. 11 MS. MORTON: The last two weeks of sitting in these 12 hearings have confirmed, for me, the enormity of 13 the problem. We have a scientist who says the 14 majority of Fraser sockeye appear to be weakened 15 and dying of what she truly thinks is a virus. Now, for Dr. Miller, this virus being associated 16 17 with salmon farms has clearly been bad for her 18 career. Her husband is in the aquaculture 19 industry. It's been bad for her personally. And 20 yet she's determined to get to the bottom of this 21 and DFO has withdrawn her funding to work on 22 sockeye. I think that that, for me, so clearly represents the issues that I've had. 23 24 When I began writing letters to DFO in 1989 25 about siting, I said to them, don't put them on 26 the major migration routes. Maybe the Broughton 27 is a one-corporation archipelago, because the 28 companies kept saying they needed a cluster of 29 five farms. Have an ace in the hole. Leave a 30 migration route opening just in case this is a big 31 problem, and they refused. 32 Today, I see part of the problem -- I've read 33 so much of what's in ringtail, and the problem is 34 everybody's in their trenches. I don't think 35 anyone in senior management in DFO knows what Dr. 36 Marty so carefully put into his disease records. 37 I've phoned a couple of them and asked them, 38 without revealing what I know, have you read the 39 disease records of salmon farms? No. So they've 40 been saying this is sustainable and not a problem 41 without actually knowing what's going on. Now we 42 have a scientist who could illuminate it. 43 The other thing that DFO has not done, but 44 there are people within the Department who want to 45 do it, is let's just follow the fish. With 46 telemetry, genomic profiling, the ability to test 47 the plankton, we could know where these fish are

1 running into a bottleneck. For 18 years, hundreds 2 of thousands of sockeye have been dying before 3 they spawned on the banks of the Fraser River. 4 When I read through ringtail -- there's a document 5 I put together, and it's been marked for 6 identification, where I quote a lot of the 7 scientists talking about it. Dr. Christine 8 MacWilliams saying everything but the kitchen sink 9 is in these fish, other people saying we've got 11 10 gill arches and we lost the entire Nadina Fraser 11 sockeye. All I have is 11 gill arches and they're 12 I can't tell what happened to these fish. rotten. 13 DFO didn't support these pathologists who 14 were going into the field and trying to figure it 15 Then Miller comes along with a new method, out. cuts through the noise of all the symptoms and her 16 17 data very uncomfortably said retrovirus, virus, 18 cancer. 19 Today, I'm in a confused state about that. 20 We had Dr. Kent, who was ex-head of science for 21 the Pacific Biological Station saying he now 22 doesn't believe his ten years' of work. There are no brain tumours. We have Mark Sheppard who is 23 24 diagnosing the farms on a farm level. He's saying 25 salmon leukemia, marine anaemia doesn't exist. 26 Dr. Gary Marty has painstakingly been recording 27 their symptoms, and I thank Dr. Marty for that, 28 because when he came online, we finally were able 29 to see the -- he organized the data in a way that 30 even I could understand it. Then we had Dr. 31 Saksida on the stand yesterday saying, oh yeah, 32 marine anaemia exists. 33 Okay. So, guys, does it exist or does it 34 not? Are there brain tumours in the majority of 35 the Fraser sockeye or not? DFO needs to figure 36 that out, I think, before we go one step farther. 37 Where is this coming from? This Commission is about not only what's happened to the Fraser 38 39 sockeye in 2009, but the whole pattern. So 40 whatever we're looking for has to fit that whole 41 pattern. 42 So I would say I can't give DFO any credit 43 for anything at this point until we work that one 44 out. 45 To pick up on a point you made, would you say that Q 46 the DFO needs to do more to support its 47 scientists?

MS. MORTON: They need to free their scientists. 1 We 2 need the Fisheries Research Board type of style 3 back again. I've talked to people like Gordon 4 Hartman who resigned from DFO over the Nechako. 5 They wanted to take the water out of the Nechako 6 River and divert it to the Alcan plant. DFO's 7 line was basically the salmon will be fine without 8 water in their river. This man just quit because 9 he could not toe that line. 10 So the scientists, yes, need to be released 11 from the political body. All the correspondence 12 we've seen where Miller can't talk to the press, 13 even to her own colleagues -- she was prevented 14 from telling the Pacific Salmon Commission when 15 she thought this virus began because, uncomfortably, it began at the moment the salmon 16 17 farms were put in the narrowest passages of the 18 Fraser sockeye migration route. That's just plain 19 wrong. 20 Q Mr. Backman, I'll move to you next and then we'll 21 proceed through to Ms. Parker and then Ms. 22 Stewart. Could you comment, please, on the strengths and weaknesses of the Department's 23 24 regulation and management of aquaculture, and if 25 you see room for improvement or changes, what 26 those might be. 27 MR. BACKMAN: I'd be happy to. The new situation that 28 we're under is actually more efficient than 29 previous. Previous to December 2010, we had 30 several agencies in the provincial government plus 31 the DFO who were responsible for managing and 32 regulating this industry. This led to some 33 inefficiencies with communication between 34 departments, time delays. Certainly there was an 35 inability to engage with DFO Science because the 36 province had to talk to them about an issue that 37 was becoming apparent, and then engage them in 38 their budgeting process and that sort of thing. 39 What we have now under the Pacific 40 Aquaculture Regulation is everything under one 41 house, so to speak. We're already seeing some 42 benefits there in terms of being able to have more 43 efficient discussions and responses back and forth 44 between the agencies. 45 I think another aspect that should be brought 46 up too is the level of consultation both with 47 First Nations and with industry groups, those that

1 are interested in the industry. We see through 2 the developing IMAP process an opportunity to 3 expand the level of consultation that exists 4 already. It has been, in the past, associated 5 with change, primarily consultation around farm 6 applications or consultation around major 7 amendments. So the IMAP process, one of the many 8 aspects, promises to bring ongoing and continual 9 stakeholder input and information-gathering. 10 There's many improvements that we see. One 11 of the ones we're grappling with right now is the 12 public reporting aspect. We've had already some 13 release of information captured of the farms this 14 year, and it's a wide variety of information. 15 There's at least ten new reporting elements under 16 the Pacific Aquaculture Regulation that weren't 17 there before, and it's caused quite a bit of 18 activity, and the resource to be placed this way 19 into reporting by the companies. Just exactly how 20 that information will be used and what the effects 21 will be is going to be worked out as we go 22 forward, certainly supporting transparency, 23 sharing of information and supporting an openness 24 that hasn't been there in the past. 25 Maybe I'll... 26 And maybe I can simply pick up on one point. Q When 27 you describe this information that's being made available -- I don't know if you were present for 28 29 it or know about it, we had some evidence recently 30 from, I think, Trevor Swerdfager, answering 31 questions and took us to some excerpted pages from 32 the DFO's website, but is that part of what you're 33 describing in terms of the making information 34 available to the public? 35 Ms. Grant's passed me a note that says 36 Exhibit 1597. 37 MR. MARTLAND: Perhaps, Mr. Lunn, you can put that on screen if you're able. 38 39 Is that what you're describing? Q 40 I am, yes. I'll quickly summarize the MR. BACKMAN: 41 difference. In the past, under the provincial regulation, there was certainly information 42 43 captured and reported to government on a regular 44 basis. It usually was discussed back and forth 45 between the company and the agency. Then there 46 would be a reporting out by the government on an 47 annual basis, and sometimes it was a year delayed.

1 I assume that the reporting out will still happen 2 in terms of the government responses to the 3 information, but now what we see -- and on the 4 webpage here is a good example of what's actually 5 happening on the farms in terms of issues related 6 to by-catch; that is, animals that -- fish that we 7 don't have a licence for that move in through the 8 pens, for example, information related to whatever 9 escapes may occur from salmon farms. It's right 10 there for everyone to see. 11 We welcome this in the industry because it's 12 really demonstrating two things: one, a lot of 13 the concerns are much lower than maybe are 14 popularly thought. The other thing is it allows 15 us to demonstrate a trend over time of continuing 16 to reduce these concerns. 17 Ms. Parker, the question about strengths and Q 18 weaknesses and areas for improvement in the DFO's 19 management and approach to aquaculture, comments 20 on that? 21 MS. PARKER: I'd like to follow up on something that 22 Clare said when he mentioned greater access to 23 science, and I think it's also greater emphasis on 24 science, as science informs management approaches 25 that inform decision-making, and it's applied throughout the process from the application review 26 27 stage to the actual licensing, and then 28 operationally afterwards. I think that that 29 closer connection to science will help improve the 30 environmental performance of the industry. 31 I also think that it'll help align more 32 efficiently where both government and industry 33 resources are allocated so that we're focusing on 34 the right kinds of impacts or effects and the 35 right kinds of issues. I think that will only be 36 helpful. 37 I also feel that the Integrated Management of 38 Aquaculture Plan process, I think it could be of 39 great benefit. I think it'll have more regular 40 structured consultation. I think it'll be a 41 broader way to capture community and stakeholder 42 input. Right now, when consultation happens, it happens on an ad hoc basis site by site. 43 Ιt 44 doesn't capture impacts or effects to an area that 45 doesn't support broad-based area planning. All of 46 these things, I think, would be better for the 47 relationship with industry and communities, and

1 also I think gives us a better stronger platform 2 for environmental management. 3 Ms. Stewart, do you have a further comment, and if Q 4 you'd care to pick up on the development of IMAPs 5 and whether that's a process that instills 6 optimism for you? 7 MS. STEWART: Optimism? That's an unfamiliar term. 8 But, yeah, I do actually have several comments. Ι think, for starters, I do want to acknowledge that 9 10 there are a lot of good people and very well-11 intentioned and very dedicated people in DFO, and 12 they're constrained by the political mandate. 13 They're constrained from doing the job they would 14 like to do by their desire to actually stay with 15 the Department and have a career. 16 I think that we have a real problem with the 17 new Pacific Aquaculture Regulations, now that DFO 18 is assuming control of regulating the industry. 19 They're abandoning the CEAA process. They are 20 assuring us that HADDs will be captured within the 21 licences and yet we see no mechanism for 22 compensation around habitat loss. I'm very concerned about the black hole 23 24 around deleterious substances and the pest and 25 pathogen regulation. Initially, we were given a 26 copy of a regulatory discussion document because 27 pest and pathogen treatment was omitted from the 28 Pacific Aquaculture Regulations. Trevor and his 29 associates started with a discussion document 30 around new regulations. Then there was some 31 discussion around fast-tracking that, because 32 there were -- in effect, the industry was being 33 exempt from s. 36 of the **Fisheries Act** by the 34 absence of requirements under the PARs. 35 But then recently we've heard Mr. Swerdfager 36 testify that that's kind of on hold and there's 37 some jurisdictional confusion with Environment 38 Canada. 39 In a conversation I had with Andy Thomson, I 40 said, well, so who is controlling this? The farms 41 are administering parasiticide treatments for sea 42 lice infestations. On the east coast, they're 43 using bath treatment such as deltamethrin which is 44 highly toxic. Where does this fall on the Pacific 45 coast within that regulatory regime? Mr. Thomson 46 admitted it was a black hole, that they're simply 47 not covered at the moment. There is no regulatory

1 process in place that restricts, limits or 2 controls the administration of those toxic 3 substances in the marine environment. 4 I'm sure the Department's intention is to 5 resolve the jurisdictional dispute and come up 6 with some regulation, but it's a real concern to 7 me that they are currently in effect exempt. 8 It's a concern that we're hearing there's no 9 ticketable offences. I think it's very difficult 10 for a conservation and protection officer, if he 11 sees a violation that perhaps isn't an end-of-the-12 world violation but is one that should be duly 13 noted and the company should be admonished for, he 14 doesn't have the option of writing a ticket, 15 right? Now the only option available is to lay charges. That's followed by letters. 16 I think 17 that there's some big gaps in the regulatory 18 regime right now. 19 I think DFO has misaligned its priorities 20 around spending money. They've invested \$70 21 million in the five-year program under AIMAP and a 22 lot of that money goes directly to the industry to 23 support programs that, in many cases, should be 24 the industry's problem to resolve. 25 There's been a real priority focus on the 26 expansion of the aquaculture industry. The NASAPI 27 program, the National Aquaculture Strategic -- I 28 can't even remember what all the acronym stands 29 for, but it's basically --30 I'll give you another one, which is the PPR, but Q 31 the Policy and Practice Report does indeed 32 describe the NASAPI. 33 MS. STEWART: It does describe NASAPI and --34 Of course that's --35 MS. STEWART: -- I'm very familiar with the NASAPI and 36 the primary agenda there is the industry's 37 expansion. It talks about the market potential. It talks about the growth potential being under-38 39 utilized. They funded Bob Devlin's research into 40 transgenic fish for, what, 20 years? That is not 41 to benefit wild fish. That is another sort of 42 hidden subsidy to the aquaculture industry. 43 I think the Department has to acknowledge the 44 weight of scientific evidence, and they do so 45 outside of our borders. So within Canada, if you 46 look at DFO's website, there's a lot of denial 47 around the problems of sea lice and transfer

pathogens and disease. But, then, internationally 1 2 in forums like NASCO, the North Atlantic Salmon 3 Conservation Organization, when Canada writes a 4 report, they acknowledge within that report that 5 lice impact wild fish. So they know that 6 internationally they would not be able to maintain 7 credibility of their science if they failed to 8 acknowledge the weight of scientific evidence, but 9 they're still trying to deny it domestically. 10 I agree with Alex, I think the Department 11 needs to free up the scientists. It needs to 12 prioritize the funding of science, rather than the 13 funding of industry promotion and subsidizing the 14 industry. They need to publish DFO science. 15 There's an awful lot of science done that never 16 sees the light of day. I see the industry is 17 nodding in agreement --18 Q I'm not inclined to interrupt --19 MS. STEWART: -- and I think it be to everyone's 20 benefit --21 -- if I can avoid it, but --Q 22 MS. STEWART: Sure. -- it may be the only chance we have of all of you 23 Q 24 nodding. Do all panel members agree with that 25 last point? I saw you all nod, but perhaps you 26 can state on the record so we reflect it. Do you 27 all agree with the point about DFO publishing 28 science? 29 MR. BACKMAN: Yes. 30 MS. PARKER: Yes. 31 MS. MORTON: Yes. 32 MS. STEWART: All right. We all agree. I think that 33 the point was made around more transparency in the 34 industry and certainly that's something that CAAR 35 and Living Oceans have been pushing for, for a 36 very long time. DFO has been rather slow to get 37 information up on the website, and we'll see how much comes out and how quickly. But much of it, I 38 39 think we have to remember, is going to be reliant 40 on industry's self-reporting, and that point was 41 raised by one of the witnesses earlier this week. 42 I think that Conservation and Protection is 43 seriously under-funded and under-resourced and 44 needs an awful lot more capacity, and that DFO 45 should look at market trends, look at the weight 46 of evidence, and invest in innovation and 47 solutions such as closed containment.

Mr. Backman, I was going to move to you for the 1 Q 2 next question. I saw that you'd indicated you 3 wish to pick up on a point I believe Ms. Stewart 4 made. So perhaps I can simply invite you to offer 5 the further comments you have, but in the course 6 of that as well, if you're able to address the 7 next topic I have, which is the topic of fish 8 health. 9 MR. MARTLAND: Mr. Lunn, if you could put number 9 from 10 our list which is Exhibit 1611, which is a 11 proposed approach to fish health from the DFO. 12 I won't go into it in much detail, but we've had Q 13 some evidence about this. This approach describes 14 efforts to keep the farm fish healthy, to monitor 15 their health, respond to fish health events, 16 record and report fish health data, and as well, 17 employ fish health management plans. 18 From your perspective, is the DFO approach to 19 fish health the right one? Do you see room for 20 improvements with that approach? 21 MR. BACKMAN: Before I answer that, I just wanted to 22 return to the comment. It was mentioned that 23 there's been an abandonment of the Canadian 24 Environmental Assessment of farms and I think that 25 that's incorrect, in that what we haven't had is 26 any applications for farms as a result of the 27 changeover from the provincial to the federal 28 jurisdiction. There's been a very long delay. 29 There hasn't been any applications come forward. 30 I think the Canadian Environmental Assessment 31 re-screening of applications is still very much 32 alive. I think it's still very much in place, and 33 I think that compensatory habitat is still very 34 much an element of that as we go forward. 35 To your question on the approach to fish 36 health by the Pacific Aquaculture Regulation draft 37 here, it looks from the familiarity that I have at this point, a very good start, a very good 38 39 approach by the federal agencies. I think what it 40 will do is, as has been brought up previously by 41 other panels, specifically the veterinarian panel, 42 it will bring another level of familiarity and 43 audit to the work that we're already doing on our 44 farm sites. 45 I think Dr. Sheppard, when he was on a panel recently, spent some time explaining the degree of 46 47 testing that goes on at a farm site level. He

characterized it as day in/day out/365 days a year 1 2 where the farm staff observe, report, take 3 records. They do necropsies on recently dead 4 animals. They keep ongoing strong databases 5 within the company. This is working with the 6 veterinarial (sic) staff. They send -- to 7 independent labs, they send tissue samples for 8 bacteriology or virology work. This is ongoing 9 all the time. 10 So what I see happening here is that the 11 federal government will then become more aware. 12 They will have a parallel program which will have 13 more, perhaps, more resources than was previously 14 under the provincial government, and they will be 15 able to more closely monitor this kind of work 16 that's already ongoing and be able to validate, and also to offer improvements where they see 17 18 improvements can be made. 19 There was a whole program identified in order 20 to reduce the already low incidence of fish health 21 offence that are happening on our farm sites, so 22 with the good husbandry, with the vaccination of 23 all of the fish before they go into the ocean, the 24 number of fish health events on salmon farms today 25 is very, very low. A program like this can only 26 partner with industry and develop situations where 27 we can actually reduce the incidence of reportable 28 events even further than they are today. 29 Ms. Parker, from your point of view, any further Q 30 points to make vis-à-vis -- or do you care to 31 offer a view with respect to the approach to fish 32 health? 33 MS. PARKER: Yes. And before I start, I'd just like 34 to --35 And perhaps I'll just ask all witnesses as we do Q 36 this, if you're able to toggle the mike so it's 37 right in front of you, that's helpful. Thanks. MS. PARKER: Sorry. I just wanted to correct something 38 39 that Catherine said earlier if that's okay. You 40 said that there was no regulation that restricts 41 the use and application of lice treatments. In 42 fact, the Health of Animals Act, FDA and the Pest Control Products Act all restrict the application 43 44 and use of lice treatments. 45 All right. Q 46 MS. PARKER: Okay. So one of the -- this is a very --47 well, it's an approach document, not necessarily a

1 policy or a very structured document. But what it 2 does do is it sets the stage for hazard access, 3 critical control point approach to managing fish 4 health. So it's consistent with FAO guidelines and it sets the stage for sort of hazard-based or 5 6 risk-based management of fish health so that there 7 are controls from brood stock and egg-take all the 8 way through to disease monitoring on farm, and 9 actually sets the stage for management actions 10 should there be a problem when the fish are in the 11 ocean. 12 So it takes a multi-stage approach and it's 13 consistent with both OIE, but particularly with 14 the FAO Hassett (phonetic) approach to health 15 management. 16 Before I forget to do this, I'll just put on Q 17 record that the document onscreen, Exhibit 1611 18 has a big watermark - it's more clear on the big 19 screen - indicating it's a draft document. Т 20 think that fits with what we heard about it in 21 evidence, so this is just to clarify this is a 22 draft as opposed to a final policy or approach 23 document. 24 If I might turn, please, Ms. Stewart, with 25 the same question about fish health. If you'd 26 like to pick up on the point that Ms. Parker made 27 that's fine. Thank you. 28 MS. STEWART: Thanks, I will, and I agree with Mia that 29 there are controls in terms of what the farmers 30 can administer and the impact on their fish 31 stocks, what is shipped into the marketplace, 32 residues in product being delivered to the 33 marketplace. But that's all about their stocks. When I talk about the administration of pest and 34 35 pathogen treatments in our marine environment, I'm 36 talking about deleterious substances going into 37 our receiving waters, into the commons. I'm 38 talking about the impact on non-farmed species, on 39 wild salmon and on other species in that 40 surrounding environment. 41 I mean, the same thing applies to the use of 42 SLICE, right? SLICE is authorized by Health 43 Canada for use on the farms, emamectin benzoate. 44 When CAAR tried to obtain the information on which 45 that decision was based, Health Canada told our 46 representative who contacted them that it was 47 proprietary. The information was -- their

decision was based on studies that were conducted 1 2 by the manufacturer of SLICE, and they were unable 3 to provide us with the studies that informed their 4 decision because they were conducted by the 5 That does not give me a great deal manufacturer. 6 of comfort that the health of our marine 7 ecosystems are being protected. 8 Sea lice are a crustacean. What's the impact 9 of SLICE on other crustaceans? Dr. John Volpe at 10 the University of Victoria was trying to do a 11 study on that, and asked the farms to give him 12 notice when they were going to be administering 13 SLICE in their feed to their farm fish and they 14 declined to do that. So he was reduced to taking 15 random samples based on a guesstimate of when they 16 might or might not be treating in order to try and 17 do some assessment. 18 So I have no doubt that the farms are 19 committed to doing their best to maintain the 20 health of their fish. My concern is the health of 21 our fish, the health of the wild ecosystem, and 22 that's where I think we're falling down on the 23 I think DFO needs to undertake an awful lot job. 24 more science analyzing what is happening outside 25 the farm environment. 26 For example, there's a study that was 27 undertaken by Dr. Orr and others around elevated 28 -- that found elevated levels of mercury in 29 rockfish in close proximity to fish farms. What 30 is DFO doing about that? Where's the analysis on 31 those impacts? That's where I think the 32 Department needs to take its enforcement 33 responsibilities and its environmental 34 responsibilities much more seriously. 35 Q Ms. Morton, I'm approaching the end of my own time 36 allocation to myself, but to close the circle, I 37 wonder if I could ask you to please respond to 38 this question about the approach taken to fish 39 health. 40 MS. MORTON: I would agree with Catherine. It is about 41 their fish. The new regulations are about their 42 Like I said, it is not unlawful to expose fish. 43 or even infect wild fish with pathogens. There's 44 a lot of information in the disease records that 45 speak to the potential for exotic pathogens having 46 arrived, and I don't see that that was captured 47 anywhere. I was certainly not alerted. I don't

hear anybody talking about it. I don't see the 1 2 type of testing done to certify these farms as 3 being free of those diseases. 4 So I think it is the number one concern is 5 disease transfer from salmon farms. A lot of that 6 is based on bad siting. 7 Panel members, thank you very much for MR. MARTLAND: 8 your time with my questions. I'm only the first of a series of lawyers. I will just simply remind 9 10 you before I sit down that as you answer 11 questions, if you could please direct your answer 12 to the Commissioner or to the lawyer asking the 13 question as opposed to the other panel members. 14 That's very helpful for our process. 15 I'll ask next counsel for the B.C. Salmon 16 Farmers Association, Mr. Commission, with a 90minute allocation. 17 18 MR. BLAIR: Good morning, Mr. Commissioner. Members of 19 the panel, for the record, my name is Alan Blair. I appear as counsel for the B.C. Salmon Farmers 20 21 Association, and also with me is my assistant, Mr. 22 Shane Hopkins-Utter. 23 I have a number of questions for our panel 24 members. Given our time constraints, I'll be 25 directing my questions, if I may, to specific 26 panel members and where appropriate, given our 27 time constraints, seeking answers from some of the 28 other panel members as well. 29 Mr. Lunn, I wonder if we might put up PPR 30 number 2, please. 31 Mr. Blair, maybe you could adjust your mike. MR. LUNN: 32 MR. BLAIR: Yes. 33 34 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BLAIR: 35 36 My first question is for Ms. Parker. Q 37 MR. BLAIR: Mr. Lunn, if you could also go to paragraphs 17 to 24 which commence on paper page 38 39 9. Thank you. I'll try to move my binder up and 40 organize it. 41 So, Ms. Parker, my questions for you really relate Q 42 to this document specifically as perhaps an aid to 43 you and to the Commissioner to address the issue 44 of the precautionary principle. My specific 45 question for you, Ms. Parker, is can you explain what role you think the precautionary principle 46 47 has in the regulation and management of salmon

farming? You, of course, may answer that 1 2 generally or you may use the preference, 3 precautionary principle, on the screen to commence 4 your answer. 5 Well, up on the screen is the MS. PARKER: 6 declaration --7 Is your microphone...? Q 8 MS. PARKER: Sorry. I'm not usually accused of having 9 a quiet voice. 10 Up on the screen is the declaration on the 11 precautionary approach from Rio 1992. The 12 precautionary principle is, I think, very elegant, 13 because it doesn't say when in doubt, don't. It 14 says in the absence of scientific certainty of 15 risk, proceed cautiously and put measures in place 16 as though those risks exist and deal with them. 17 So I feel like it's a really elegant 18 connection between risk-based management and 19 adaptive management. So you have a scientific 20 risk assessment that says there's potentially risk 21 here. We can't guarantee there's risk, we 22 definitely can't guarantee there isn't risk. So 23 let's put measures in place as though the risk 24 exists. Let's collect information, let's do more 25 research, and then let's adapt those measures that 26 we put in place. 27 One of the things that I think is really 28 brilliant about the precautionary approach is it's 29 not about a single solution. It's about a suite 30 of measures that you put, so that you can be 31 flexible in how you apply those precautionary 32 measures. If you look at the siting criteria that 33 have been in place, both under the provincial 34 regulatory regime and the federal regulatory 35 regime, they carry them over consistently. And it 36 doesn't mean that they won't change, and I think 37 that's where that link we have with DFO having 38 science within DFO that we'll see more rapid 39 evaluation of those, and we may see new ones put 40 in place and old ones removed, or we may see the 41 current ones applied differently. So that's also 42 adaptive management. 43 So if you look at something like the one-44 kilometre setback from a fish-bearing stream, with 45 that, that was put in place because adult spawners 46 hold in streams, and out-migrating juveniles hold 47 in the mouths of estuaries, that's where food is

abundant. So was there any knowledge that one 1 2 kilometre was enough or too much, or that there 3 was a definite risk there? No. But they applied 4 the precautionary principle and put that setback 5 in place. 6 Ms. Parker, can you indicate whether or not that Q 7 siting restriction, as you've called it, of a 8 kilometre, is that an example of the application 9 of the precautionary principle by industry or by 10 the regulators or by both, and if you can just 11 give us a sense of if it was applied, when it was 12 applied relative to the commencement of the salmon 13 farming industry? 14 MS. PARKER: Well, it's actually a principle that's 15 applied by both the regulator and by industry. Originally when it was applied, there was a two-16 17 tiered approach so that there was a one-kilometre 18 setback from "significant" streams, and a smaller 19 setback for streams that were rearing habitat 20 only. But that's a fairly esoteric decision to 21 make, so the industry defaulted to just using a 22 one-kilometre setback. It's simpler and it provides the maximum amount of protection without 23 24 any haggling over what does "significant" mean. 25 And is this a recent application of the principle, Q 26 or did it exist from the early years of the 27 industry in B.C.? 28 I think it was formal -- Clare has a MS. PARKER: 29 longer industry -- Mr. Backman has a longer 30 experience in the industry than I do, but it was 31 definitely in place before the Salmon Aquaculture Review, and it was formalized after that. 32 33 MR. BLAIR: I have a question on Exhibit 1711, please, 34 Mr. Lunn. 35 On the screen in front of you, members of the Q 36 panel, is the proceedings of the National Peer 37 Review Meeting on Aquaculture Pathways of Effects. 38 MR. BLAIR: If you could now -- thank you for enlarging 39 it. If you could now go to pdf 51, the top of 40 paper page 41, please. 41 Ms. Parker --Q 42 MR. BLAIR: In the first paragraph to the left, Mr. 43 Lunn, if you could enlarge that. 44 -- there's a reference here to stressor-effect Q 45 linkage and a 95 percent confidence. Can you put 46 a context around that paragraph? 47 MR. BLAIR: And perhaps just to put context for the

rest of the audience, if you could go back, Mr. 1 2 Lunn, and just pull up the bottom of the previous 3 page. We'll see there's a presenter's name and a 4 description of stressor-effect linkage. That's 5 perfect. 6 Could you speak to those issues, Ms. Parker? Q 7 MS. PARKER: Well, it relates back to that phrase 8 within the declaration that it's the absence of 9 scientific certainty. So you don't need certainty 10 to move forward. What you need is a 11 scientifically-based risk assessment, and then you 12 put the measures in place that makes sense within 13 that risk assessment. 14 MR. BLAIR: My question now relates to Exhibit 1632, 15 please, Mr. Lunn. 16 In a moment, you'll see the heading for this Q 17 particular exhibit is "Criteria for Siting New 18 Finfish Aquaculture Facilities". 19 Mr. Backman or Ms. Parker, can you let me 20 know the history of this document? I note on the 21 first line it says: 22 23 The following criteria have been in place 24 since March 2000... 25 26 Do you know when the actual document was written? 27 It seems to be reflective of a commencement date. 28 MR. BACKMAN: Well, the siting criteria for salmon 29 farms have been in a state of consistent and 30 continual evolution, so this document here, dated around October 2000, represents what has been 31 32 added to that list going back from the very 33 beginning. As Ms. Parker mentioned, the 34 separation from salmon-bearing streams is one of 35 the original very first siting criteria. It goes 36 back to the mid-'80s when the original salmon 37 farms were being applied for and they were being 38 assessed. 39 Other long-standing separations and siting 40 quidelines are, for example, the one kilometre 41 from mapped First Nation reserves. 42 The point here is that the siting criteria 43 have been both scientific-based and also 44 precautionary when there hasn't been adequate 45 science done. So an agreed-upon separation has 46 been put in place, for example, the shellfish-47 bearing intertidal beaches. This stands in place
until enough science or the research is backfilled 1 2 to bring about a decision to either increase or 3 decrease that later on. 4 As I say, each review - and there have been 5 many reviews of salmon aquaculture in British 6 Columbia - have progressively added to this list 7 of siting criteria, so by extension, making the 8 choice of sites and the locations more and more 9 protective of the wild environment in which we're 10 operating. 11 But this is not the only list that we have to 12 deal with. This is only the first cut, if you 13 will. This is the first tier looking at potential 14 location for a salmon farm. From here, once a 15 decision is taken that we can move forward to actually gathering information on the ground, 16 17 there's a vast list of actual information that 18 needs to be captured from the farm site potential 19 location. 20 SCUBA surveys of shoreline, we actually look 21 not just at the mapped streams, but at every 22 drainage that is within the potential area for the 23 salmon farm, and determine whether or not it has 24 the potential for salmon, not whether --25 I'm going to touch on that a little bit later. Q 26 I'd like, if we could, now move to Exhibit 1561, 27 please. 28 Mr. Backman, can you speak to this particular 29 exhibit on the screen as the Salmon Aquaculture 30 Dialogue Working Group Report on Salmon Disease? 31 It's a draft from March 2009. In the lead-off, 32 there's a Larry Hammell. 33 MR. BACKMAN: Yes, prepared for the Salmon Aquaculture 34 Dialogue, which is a certification process which 35 has been going on for a number of years. 36 And you've reviewed this document in preparation Q 37 for your evidence today? 38 MR. BACKMAN: Yes. 39 Can you indicate what it particularly speaks to? Q 40 MR. BACKMAN: Well, it speaks to the adequacy of the 41 actions and precautionary approaches taken in the 42 management and operation of salmon farms related 43 to their potential impacts on the wild fisheries 44 in the areas in which they're located. 45 MR. BLAIR: Mr. Commissioner, I note it's just about ten after 11:00. If you'd like to take the break 46 47 now?

THE REGISTRAR: The hearing will now recess for 15 1 2 minutes. 3 4 (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED FOR MORNING RECESS) 5 (PROCEEDINGS RECONVENED) 6 7 THE REGISTRAR: The hearing is now resumed. 8 THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Blair? 9 MR. BLAIR: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. 10 11 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BLAIR, continuing: 12 13 Q We still have on the screen Exhibit 1561 and my 14 question, I guess I'll go with you, Ms. Parker, 15 you'll note, on the screen, we have an excerpt 16 from this exhibit. Mr. Lunn has kindly cued up 17 the bottom of page 40, which discusses an overview 18 of disease control. And also, on the bottom of 19 the screen, you'll see that the table that he's 20 just showing a portion of is described as, "Eight 21 General Ways which Diseases can be Controlled," 22 and speaks, at the bottom of page 40, about 23 biosecurity and isolation and then goes on of ways 24 of protecting farmed fish and exposing risks. Can 25 you take us through these eight methods, I guess, 26 from the series event, maybe down to "No action 27 taken," can you just walk us through that table as 28 quickly as you can, perhaps with examples. 29 MS. PARKER: Yes, so the categories of disease control 30 are listed in order of --31 Go ahead. Q 32 MS. PARKER: Sorry -- are listed in order of severity, 33 and so you would see mass slaughter, which could 34 be ordered by Canadian Food Inspection Agency, or 35 by DFO, or by the company, themselves. It's very 36 rarely employed. I think the last time it was 37 used in British Columbia was during an IHN 38 breakout in the early 1990s. It's possible, as well, that a smaller population of fish within a 39 40 farm might be culled, or within a tank in a 41 hatchery so that there would be disease testing 42 that could result in those fish being culled. Ιt happens, but, generally, our preventative measures 43 44 are such that that's a rare occurrence. 45 Quarantine isolation, a veterinarian may 46 quarantine a farm, or a tank, or a population of 47 fish pending the outcome of testing, or also if

fish are already stressed by an environmental 1 2 change, dissolved oxygen or harmful algae bloom, 3 and the quarantine would also be to reduce the 4 stress on the fish. 5 Quarantine can also apply, for example, to egg Q 6 importation. I think we have heard some evidence 7 of that; is that correct? 8 MS. PARKER: Quarantine can be applied to egg 9 importation or importation of any kind of genetic 10 stock which commonly is eggs in the salmon farming 11 industry, but it can also apply to shellfish or 12 other cultured stocks. 13 Q So as we're going down the table, from the top to 14 the bottom, are we getting more and more to sort 15 of the way in which the business operates in terms 16 of guarantining of the eggs and various 17 vaccination programs? Is that the idea, you go 18 from the extreme complete slaughter of a 19 population because of the risk of a disease down 20 to sort of more surveillance and the like? 21 You would regularly employ the bottom five MS. PARKER: 22 as part of the daily practice. So all salmon 23 smolt that are put to sea are vaccinated against a 24 number of diseases. Environmental management 25 occurs. Monitoring of environmental factors occurs multiple times through the day at every 26 27 facility. As well, you have the fish health. The 28 surveillance of fish is the monitoring of the farm 29 population. This table is also in the context of 30 using management of on-farm or on-facility fish 31 health in the context of mitigating harmful 32 interactions with wild populations. And then --33 Q Mr. Backman, do you have anything to add to the 34 table? 35 MR. BACKMAN: From a company perspective, we don't like 36 to have to invoke the higher levels with the 37 testing and mass slaughter, but like Ms. Parker 38 mentioned, that has occurred as recently as the 39 early thousands with the IHN, as she mentioned, 40 and it can occur in hatcheries, as well, with a 41 concern about the fish and their health might lead 42 to a decision made within the company to remove an 43 entire tank, and that's communicated to the 44 regulator. 45 Can you give an example to the Commissioner of a Q 46 situation where your company, Marine Harvest, 47 would utilize mass vaccinations in your business?

MR. BACKMAN: Well, it's related exactly to the 1 2 challenge that the Atlantic salmon have with the 3 IHN virus in British Columbia. The IHN virus is 4 very detrimental to Atlantic salmon. Most of the 5 Pacific salmon are quite resistant to IHN, but due 6 to the experiences in the '90s, we began to search 7 with the providers of fish health products, we 8 began talking, do some research to determine 9 whether we could come up with a vaccine, and the 10 bottom line is that today, all the fish that go 11 into the ocean go through an individual fish 12 vaccination program, where in that vaccination, 13 there's also some antibacterial components, but 14 there's also the IHNV. Every fish is now 15 vaccinated. 16 MR. BLAIR: Could we please go, Mr. Lunn, to B.C. 17 Salmon Farmers Tab 2, and if you could orient it 18 to page 2? 19 Q I guess another question for you, Mr. Backman, 20 could you describe what this document is and what 21 this table represents, please? 22 MR. BACKMAN: Well, it's representing the relative guidelines used by different jurisdictions where salmon farming is carried out and with respect to 23 24 25 siting salmon farms. It dates from 2005 so it's 26 a few years out of date. What it shows here is 27 that British Columbia has a significant number of 28 siting requirements and, as I say, it dates from 29 2005 so that column would actually be longer 30 today. And it goes to the comment that's been 31 made many times that regulation in British 32 Columbia is more stringent or as stringent as it 33 is anywhere in the world and so this table 34 graphically represents that. MR. BLAIR: Could we have PPR No. 20, the Aquaculture 35 36 Regulation, Mr. Lunn? Oh, I'm sorry, thank you. 37 Could we mark the last item? THE REGISTRAR: It will be marked as 1802. 38 39 40 EXHIBIT 1802: Salmon Aquaculture -41 Comparison of Regulations 42 43 MR. BLAIR: 44 And you'll see on the screen we have the Policy 0 45 and Practice Report for Aquaculture Regulation 46 dated July 28th, 2011, and if we could go to page 47 120, please? Now, Mr. Backman, can you comment on

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR.	this section of the PPR, please? BACKMAN: I think it's important here, what's been brought out in the PPR is another level of the iterative process of identifying suitable locations for salmon farms and that, in recommendation number 2 and 3, the comment was made in this Salmon Aquaculture Review that we needed to have planning processes undertaken to re-evaluate stakeholder and fisheries interests to see where there was conflicts to the potential application of new salmon farms, to design those planning processes so they could help guide our thinking as we went forward. So that was recommended. Those were carried out. The Provincial Government went to work and developed the Integrated Coastal Zone Management Plans.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26		There was different ones for different areas on the coast, and they act as one of the first tier levels in determining where we can focus our efforts in looking for any new farm sites going forward. So there's been a lot of pre-planning that's been done here. And the end result of these coastal zone plans is it's a very small area of the coast within these plans that's currently available for future consideration for salmon farms.
27 28 29 30 31 32	Q	Ms. Parker, you may know that we've heard from a variety of witnesses, including a Dr. Ian Fleming from Memorial University, earlier this week, I think, or perhaps it was last week, they all run together after a bit, he spoke about Norway's national salmon protected area strategies.
33 34 35 36 37 38 39	MR. Q	BLAIR: And I wonder if, Mr. Lunn, you could put up B.C. Salmon Farmers Tab 73, please? Ms. Parker, I understand that this is a report that was prepared for the Government of Norway, dealing with the protection, and restoration and enhancement of salmon habitat; is that your understanding, as well?
40 41 42 43	MS. Q	PARKER: Yes, it is. We see the word "Norway" on the screen. You've read this portion of this document; is that correct?
44 45 46 47	MS. MR.	PARKER: Yes, I have. BLAIR: Before I forget, I wonder if we might mark it as the next exhibit?
4/	тцг	REGISTRAR: 1003.

1 2 3 4		EXHIBIT 1803: Protection, Restoration and Enhancement of Salmon Habitat, Focus Area Report, Norway
5	MR.	BLAIR: Thank you. Could we go, Mr. Lunn, to pages 8 and 9, please?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q	We heard, Ms. Parker, from Dr. Fleming, that Norway's approach to addressing the issues of protection of habitat was to have areas of reserves. I think I'm correctly calling them the National Salmon River and Fjord Program. And on the screen, you'll see a map of Norway with these reserves highlighted in red and named. Do you see that on the screen?
15	MS.	PARKER: Yes, I do.
16 17	Q	Can you talk about the way Norway has done it and the way British Columbia does it in terms of
		protected strategies?
19 20 21 22	MR.	bLAIR: And at pages 8 and 9, if you could scroll to those, as well, Mr. Lunn? Maybe you can split the page, 8 and 9, so the next two pages. Thank you Thank you
22	MS	PARKER: So I think one of the things that's
24 25 26 27	110.	important to note here is that the plan wasn't originally developed to protect salmon habitat per se, but it was to control water diversion from salmon habitat.
28	\cap	Can I just interrunt you? Are you referring to
20	Ŷ	Norway or British Columbia?
29	MO	NOIWAY OF DITUISH COLUMDIA:
30	MS.	PARKER: Norway. Sorry.
31	Q	Okay. Thank you.
32	MS.	PARKER: Norway's plan. It started, I think, in
33		1989, it was revised in 2003, and then revised
34		again in 2007. And it's a pretty phenomenal
35		achievement, to be honest. They have,
36		approximately, 120 rivers, which are listed and
37		protected or have protected zones in them. Of
38		those, probably, two-thirds have detailed habitat
39		information gathered for them on record. And so
40		regardless of the fact that it wasn't intended to
41		protect salmon habitat, it has, in fact, done a
42		pretty good job of protecting habitat and has,
43		also, prioritized which portions of Atlantic
44		salmon habitat they would prioritize for habitat
45		restoration. So it's ambitious, it's far-reaching
46		and it's pretty impressive.
47		In British Columbia, instead of talking about

major river systems, we actually have put that 1 2 one-kilometre setback on every salmon-bearing 3 stream within the vicinity of a proposed salmon 4 farm. So what you end up with is protection of 5 those sort of smaller ephemeral streams that would 6 be coho habitat, maybe only wet part of the year. 7 So those would still get the same level of 8 protection that a major fish-bearing stream would. 9 And as well, we don't differentiate between 10 whether or not it's a significant stream or not a 11 significant stream, we just apply that measure, and I think that that is important. And if you 12 13 look at provincial tools like the Ministry of 14 Environment's habitat wizard, it will show you 15 thousands and thousands of streams where we know 16 what species inhabit it, where they're located, 17 what the barriers to fish migration might be on 18 that, and that's the kind of level of detail that 19 we have in British Columbia that allows us to make 20 siting decisions and inform siting decisions and 21 provides protection for fish-bearing streams. 22 Okay. And thousands and thousands of data points Q 23 translates into water bodies, I think you've said, 24 large and small, in the dozens, or hundreds, or 25 Do you have any idea, in British thousands? 26 Columbia, how many fish-bearing streams would be 27 captured by that and applied to siting 28 regulations? Is it more than 100, more than 29 1,000? 30 MS. PARKER: It would be thousands. It would be 31 thousands of streams, probably tens of thousands. 32 If you look at the contribution of the aquaculture 33 industry to those kind of surveys, the ones that 34 we've identified through our siting application 35 process, that would number in the thousands alone. 36 And then, of course, forestry companies do it, and 37 mining companies do it. Marina proponents, any project proponent could be looking at that sort of 38 39 thing, but it's a specific requirement for salmon 40 farming. 41 So just so we're clear on that, we can all figure Q 42 out that perhaps the Skeena and the Fraser are big 43 salmon-bearing rivers, but logging operations, 44 fish farming operations are required to identify 45 potential effects and so siting applications in 46 your industry, you're required to and have 47 identified many thousands, then, of data points

1 that weren't previously known; is that what you're 2 indicating? 3 MS. PARKER: If you look at the location, planning 4 location for a salmon farm, you have to have a 5 one-kilometre distance from any fish-bearing 6 stream. To make sure that we actually are doing 7 that in a realistic way, companies routinely will 8 survey three kilometres up or downstream, or five 9 kilometres up/downstream so they're actually 10 capturing between six and 10 kilometres of 11 coastline when they're doing that survey. Thank you. 12 Could we please go to OO for MR. BLAIR: 13 Identification? 14 00 for Identification is on the screen. You'll Q 15 see that this was prepared by Larry Hammell. We 16 heard earlier evidence that it was prepared at the 17 request of B.C. Salmon Farmers, and just to 18 orientate you, panel members, it's been put to two 19 previous witnesses, Drs. Kent and McKenzie. And 20 I'll summarize the record, but I believe they were 21 put to it and agreed with the summaries found 22 therein. Mr. Backman, my question is for you. Are you familiar with this document? 23 24 MR. BACKMAN: Yes, I am. 25 Have you read it? Q 26 MR. BACKMAN: Yes, I've looked it over. 27 Do you agree with the summaries? Q 28 MR. BACKMAN: I do. 29 MR. BLAIR: I wonder if we could go to page 5, please? 30 You'll see the first line in the heading, Q 31 "Summary," and this report, of course, deals with 32 the importation of eggs. It says: 33 34 The probability of eyed eggs imported from 35 1995 to 2009 introduced any new pathogens to 36 British Columbia is 'extremely low' to 37 'remote'. 38 39 Do you agree with that? 40 MR. BACKMAN: Yes. 41 Thank you. Q 42 MR. BLAIR: Could we please bring up Exhibit 1471? 43 Mr. Backman, what is this document? 0 44 MR. BACKMAN: This document is referring to the results 45 of testing that's been undertaken since 2003 46 within the B.C. Fish Health Auditing Surveillance 47 Program, actually looking for evidence of the ISAV

virus in British Columbia on the farmed salmon. 1 2 What it shows clearly is that even though it's not 3 here, has never been discovered here in British 4 Columbia in the surveillance of wild fish and, 5 therefore, I think, Dr. Sheppard mentioned in his 6 panel that it's not necessarily required to look 7 for something that's never been described here, 8 industry has voluntarily undertaken testing to provide extra levels of surveillance. And since 9 10 2003, there's been 4,726 samples taken, up to 11 2010, and every one of those has turned up a 12 negative result. There's been no positives 13 whatsoever. 14 What that does, when you take it into context 15 with the Hammell survey, is demonstrate that the level of surveillance that's been taken on the 16 17 level of care and oversight that's been applied to 18 the issue of bringing eggs into British Columbia 19 has been very robust. The level of surveys done 20 in the country of origin and then again, the 21 quarantine and follow-up sampling here in British 22 Columbia has been successful in preventing any 23 exotic disease, including this particular one, 24 ISAV. 25 Now, Mr. Backman, speaking of disease or evidence 0 26 of concern, earlier in the testimony, there was a 27 reference made to a Conville Bay farm site and 28 some data which was produced by one of the other 29 participants, suggesting to some of the previous 30 witnesses that marine anaemia had been found in a 31 Conville Bay farm in 2006 or 2007. It was an 32 interesting eight quarter graph, apparently the 33 graph produced for the purpose of the explanation had eight quarters in 2007. 34 35 The Conville Bay site is a marine harvest 36 site? MR. BACKMAN: Yes, it is. 37 38 Q It's your company? 39 MR. BACKMAN: Yes, it is. 40 And you were made aware of the fact that the Q 41 evidence was discussing whether or not there'd 42 been an outbreak of marine anaemia in your 43 Conville Bay site in 2006 or 2007? 44 MR. BACKMAN: Right, I was made aware of that. 45 And so you went to look into the company records Q 46 that you have access to and discussed the matter 47 with your company veterinarian; is that correct?

I have done that, yes. 1 MR. BACKMAN: 2 0 Tell us what you learned? I should say that the 3 records that were put before previous witnesses 4 were fish health database records which were 5 produced by the farms and into the Commission, and 6 so you had access to all of those same records? 7 MR. BACKMAN: That's correct, yes. 8 Yes, please, go ahead. Q 9 MR. BACKMAN: Well, it's quite simple, actually. In 10 speaking to the veterinarian, our veterinarian, 11 asking about the issue related to marine anaemia, the simple answer was that it had never been 12 13 diagnosed onsite at Conville Bay. There was no 14 diagnosis whatsoever. 15 When I mentioned that while it was brought up 16 as a notation on Dr. Gary Marty, histopathology 17 done through a provincial audit, which was shared 18 here to the Commission, her comment back was she 19 was never made aware of that. But that was not 20 uncommon because histopathological reporting is 21 only one element of discovering whether or not 22 there is an issue on a farm site, and so that it's 23 very common and, actually, in most cases, the 24 veterinarian is not made aware of records made 25 through the provincial audit, and the reason for 26 that is that within the provincial team, and that 27 consists of the provincial veterinarians, fish 28 health experts, the decision is made that they're 29 not seeing something of a reportable nature. 30 Should they see something where there's a 31 histopathological result that's confirmed with 32 farm-based evidence, then they will quickly advise 33 the farm site. In reality, the farm site is 34 usually aware of these kinds of situations because 35 we're seeing the declines in numbers of our fish, 36 the mortality has arisen so we usually are aware 37 of that far before the provincial audit gets back 38 to us. 39 Q And speaking specifically of the Conville Bay 40 site, when you were aware of the evidence that was 41 produced and the suggestion of some spike in 42 mortality at that site, you specifically went out 43 with your fish vet and examined the information, 44 and then charted what you saw from the records? 45 That's right. MR. BACKMAN: 46 Q And you looked at both the fresh mortalities, 47 which we've learned are sometimes referred to as

fresh silvers, and you also had a look at the 1 2 overall or total mortality for the Conville Bay 3 site over that 2006 and 2007 year period, correct? 4 MR. BACKMAN: Right. 5 Now, we've heard discussions amongst counsel, and 0 6 for the benefit of the Commissioner, that these 7 fish health records can be produced, the raw data 8 can be produced, but it's often about the context. Can you explain, with your examination of the 9 10 Conville Bay site as a proxy for that context, 11 what you saw when you looked at the records at 12 Conville Bay? 13 MR. BACKMAN: Well, there was a pattern of mortality, 14 which is not inconsistent with what we normally 15 see year over year. There was nothing particularly unusual. And I was looking at the 16 17 entire -- I think that the information that was 18 provided to the Commission was the total marine 19 harvest mortality for fresh silvers. So what we 20 saw was some apparent rises in the mortality 21 graph, or spiking. When I went back and confirmed 22 that with the same data set that was provided to 23 the researchers who did the Project 5 reports and 24 looked at that pattern again, I didn't see a 25 pattern of spiking that was consistent with any 26 problems. The situation that I noticed in the 27 springtime was a rise in mortality from about 28 40,000 to 60,000 per quarter, and it was 29 consistent with the introduction of smolts. When 30 the smolts were transferred from the hatchery into 31 the ocean, we always see a spike in mortality 32 there because a lot of the smolts are actually not 33 perfectly competent to enter saltwater. So 34 there's what we call a smolt die-off period of 35 time. They're not diseased in any case, they just 36 simply are not quite prepared to enter saltwater. 37 So that was the normal mortality that I was 38 seeing in the springtime, and that was across the 39 entire company. It had nothing to do with 40 Conville Bay where we had chinook salmon that were 41 of a harvest size and were at the point of being 42 harvested out. But then I cast back and looked at 43 the previous fall, in 2006, to discover what that 44 mortality was about, because there was a couple of 45 lines there that were reaching 60,000. And that's 46 consistent with fall mortality due to algae blooms 47 which sometimes occur after the summer sunshine

1 and warmer water temperatures leads to the 2 increase, natural increase of algae bloom. So we 3 had seen some mortality in the fall of 2006 across 4 the company due to algae blooms. We'd seen some mortality in the spring of 2007, due to the smolt 5 6 entry, but we hadn't seen anything else out of the 7 ordinary. 8 So when one looks at the raw data, the fish health Q 9 data that's been produced into the Commission 10 without the context of when the smolts were 11 introduced and maybe you have a die off because of 12 the adaptation process of the smolts to the marine 13 environment, or where you may have an algae bloom 14 and so for reasons of water quality, you have a 15 die off, absent that context, you just have a total mortality spike, but without an explanation 16 17 such as you've just now given the commissioner? 18 MR. BACKMAN: We just see the normal pattern of 19 mortality year in and year out. We didn't see any 20 particular unusual spike in mortality. MR. BLAIR: Now, Mr. Commissioner, and Commission 21 22 counsel, I should say that as Mr. Backman was 23 researching this particular Conville Bay, since it 24 seemed to be a matter of some interest, he 25 prepared a graph, which I've not tendered, or put 26 up on the screen, or given to Mr. Lunn because he 27 just was using it for his own preparation. We 28 have such a graph, I'm certainly happy to make it 29 available if counsel wishes to have it. I don't 30 require it. He's given a narrative of that 31 evidence, but one could be produced through 32 Commission counsel if any of the other parties 33 seek it. Notice was never given, but --34 MR. MARTLAND: I'll suggest it be produced to us. It 35 seems to have some relevance, but we'll then 36 decide whether we'll circulate that to 37 We'll see if there's input then as participants. 38 to what we do. Thank you. 39 MR. BLAIR: In any event, questions could be put to Mr. 40 Backman after my examination later in that panel, 41 if others wish to use the graph. As I say, I 42 didn't seek to introduce it today. We have his 43 narrative on the points. Thank you. 44 So back to marine anaemia generally, has marine Q 45 anaemia been diagnosed by Marine Harvest Canada, 46 or the Province to your understanding, in that 47 time period, at that site?

MR. BACKMAN: No marine anaemia diagnosed at all. 1 2 Now, we're all intrigued, I guess I'll stay with \cap 3 you, Mr. Backman, Marine Harvest has the highest 4 number of fish farm sites in the province? 5 MR. BACKMAN: We do. 6 You'd be well positioned, then, to describe what, Q 7 if any, distinctions or differences that may have 8 affected the very, very low returns of Fraser River sockeye salmon in 2009, and the almost 9 10 unprecedented high returns in 2010; would that be 11 a fair comment, you could speak to those issues? 12 MR. BACKMAN: Well, yeah. I think we've heard from 13 multiple --14 I'm just going to interrupt you for a second, Q 15 speak to those issues in the context of, because 16 that's the fundamental question for the 17 Commissioner, in the context of was there anything 18 different on the farms? Was there any evidence, 19 any pattern, any signature, anything at all if 20 we're looking for a reason for this very, very low 21 return and very, very high return, do you see I 22 anywhere in the data that you have access to, as the largest fish farming company in British 23 24 Columbia, or through the B.C. Salmon Farmers 25 Association's wider access to the database? Can 26 you explain that from the fish health data or the 27 company records that you have access to? 28 MR. BACKMAN: No, there's no indication from all that 29 data that you just described that would lead one 30 to believe that there is some explanation within 31 the salmon farms, the Marine Harvest Salmon Farm's 32 operation 2007/2008 that could be construed to 33 have caused the low return in 2009 and the high 34 return in 2010. MR. BLAIR: 35 Could we please see Exhibit 1366, please? 36 On the screen is the question and answer document Q 37 that was prepared by Dr. Tom Watson, with the 38 assistance of a number of parties who are listed 39 on the cover page. And Mr. Backman, this document 40 was prepared specifically by the B.C. Salmon 41 Farmers Association for the purpose of introducing 42 questions and answers as the title, and I'd 43 suggest from the industry's perspective, and to be 44 tendered generally, but specifically before the 45 Commission? MR. BACKMAN: 46 That's correct. 47 And my questions are with respect to this exhibit. Q

MR. BLAIR: We'll take you to page 11, please, Mr. 1 2 Lunn. 3 And this particular portion of this document, Mr. Q 4 Backman, speaks of the relationship between 5 finfish aquaculture and First Nations in British 6 Columbia? You see it on the screen? 7 MR. BACKMAN: I can see it on the screen. 8 Thank you. Perhaps using that as a springboard, Q 9 can you give us your view of that relationship, 10 either by references comments and passages in this 11 document or generally from your experience over 12 many years? 13 I think, working MR. BACKMAN: Well, I'd be happy to. 14 with First Nations within the context of being 15 involved in Marine Harvest Canada has been one of 16 the most interesting and challenging, and also 17 exciting areas of the work that we do. What I'm 18 going to do is describe some, if not all, there's 19 too many, some, but not all of the relationships 20 that we have with First Nations groups, positive 21 relationships. But I'll preface it by saying that 22 the First Nations groups tend to be located on the 23 coast of British Columbia, sometimes in places 24 where the traditional resource activities, like 25 logging, have been in decline. So in some cases, 26 they are considered to be in socio-economic 27 decline, and in some places where we have moved 28 our operations in at their request, the situation 29 has reversed itself. So we've gone from very high 30 levels of unemployment, for example, in the Klemtu area of middle coast of British Columbia, to the 31 32 position who is capable and interested in working 33 is able to work for the company, either in growing 34 the fish, or in the processing plant. 35 The Klemtu relationship is now in its 11th 36 year of operation. I think it has stood the test 37 of time in terms of how a group of First Nations leaders who determined that they want to enter 38 39 into an agreement with a company, yet they want to 40 maintain control over the ability to monitor the 41 ocean for the aspects to the environment, 42 environmental impacts that are important to them. After 11 years, they remain satisfied that they 43 44 haven't seen environmental impacts that are of a 45 concern to them to bring that relationship to a close. 46 47 But that's not the only relationship that we

We have the Quatsino Sound. In Quatsino 1 have. 2 Sound, the Quatsino First Nation is a group that 3 we have now a seven-year relationship with. In 4 there, we are working with them on their interest 5 in shellfish aquaculture. And they have an 6 ongoing shellfish aquaculture industry, and 7 there's ways that we can participate and assist 8 them. We also provide support for their 9 fisheries. They have a fisheries tech so there's 10 capacity building leading towards professional 11 capability within the band, as well. 12 I can move to in the area of the Queen 13 Charlotte Strait area, where we have a 14 relationship with the Fort Rupert Band, or the 15 Kwakiutl Band, and that's a long-term relationship 16 there, as well. It goes back to the Pan Fish 17 Company, which Marine Harvest is now operating the 18 farm sites from. And we were originally involved 19 with helping them with a business venture that 20 they had in the town of Port Hardy, as well as 21 continuing to operate our farms under the auspices 22 and the oversight of their fisheries staff, as 23 well, to ensure that the ecological aspects of 24 importance were not being compromised. 25 Most recently, we have new relationships 26 beginning just last year with the Comox Band, the 27 Campbell River Band, the Cape Mudge Band, and all 28 of these will be developing over the next years 29 into specific areas of mutual interest. The Comox 30 Band has an interest in shellfish aquaculture and 31 so there may be ways that we can work with them 32 from the benefit of our experience, to work with 33 them to benefit their interests in shellfish 34 aquaculture. 35 But it doesn't stop there just with the First 36 Nations chiefs and councils, it also moves to some 37 of the business relationships with other groups. 38 The Owe'Owa'Sot'Em Faith Fishing Company has been 39 many years operating a freight boat business where 40 they go around to our farm sites and collect 41 freight materials and move things to and from the 42 farm site. They have a dedicated boat for that 43 that employs about eight or nine people within 44 their band. 45 The James Walkus Fishing Company based out of 46 Port Hardy has been our dedicated harvest company 47 contractor moving all of our fish from the farm

1 sites around the coast to our processing plant in 2 Port Hardy. And we're just in negotiation with 3 that group now for a renewal and it could lead to 4 some exciting new developments with harvest boats 5 I'm just hitting some of the high on the coast. 6 points here. 7 Mr. Backman, you had the opportunity, in preparing Q 8 to come before the Commission, to review a report, a socioeconomic report, which I understand my 9 10 colleague, Mr. Kelleher, will be entering later, 11 counsel for the Aboriginal Aquaculture 12 Association, and I understand that you looked 13 specifically at the economic benefits in terms of 14 wages, the bottom line, really. Can you indicate 15 what that report, in summary fashion, as I say, we'll let Mr. Kelleher enter it generally, but did 16 17 you look at the income levels that your industry 18 provided to First Nations groups? 19 MR. BACKMAN: I did. I think that the comment was made 20 earlier that it was --21 Hand signals between counsel about whether MR. BLAIR: 22 we're going to bother to put it up. I just wanted 23 the brief summary of the wage structure and not 24 get into the report. Mr. Kelleher will have a 25 chance to do that tomorrow. 26 So I'm sorry to interrupt you. Go ahead, please, Q 27 sir. 28 MR. BACKMAN: The comment was made that most of the 29 First Nations participants in the industry were at 30 minimum wage job levels. So notionally, at the 31 current minimum wage, that's about 16,500 a year, 32 give or take. And the actual experienced income, 33 on average, for aboriginal folks working, 34 aboriginal people working in our processing plants 35 is about double that, at 32,000. And in fact, 36 working within the production of salmon on our 37 farm sites, it's higher again, averaging at 48,000 38 per year. So I just wanted to point out that it's 39 not minimum wage jobs. And that's aside from the 40 particular ventures and business opportunities 41 that I just took a moment to go through. 42 Q I'd like to shift gears a little bit and speak, 43 perhaps more abstractly, about science, 44 independent science, science information coming 45 from diverse sources. We have a diverse panel, 46 certainly. Ms. Parker, would you like to describe 47 sort of the concepts of science from diverse

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

sources? Those of us who aren't scientists, I think, think that scientific methodology will all line up, and the scientists will all lead and agree and will move forward with a broader basis of knowledge, but if anything, this Commission this uneducated non-scientist that that doesn't always seem to be the case. Can you speak to that issue? This is the perspectives panel so this seems to be the question that is largely in the minds of many of us who are trying to wrestle with this conflicting science.

There's some comfort in the idea that MS. PARKER: science means consensus, but I think it's exactly the opposite, that good science comes from strong and vocal debate. And you need to have information and data coming from diverse sources. And if I can give a small nod to my colleagues in the environmental lobby, I think that a lot of the efforts that they have had, I've participated on Canadian science advisory processes with some of them and appreciated the input that they have put forward and the push that they give others to answer specific questions. I think that adds huge value, and I think one of the things that's really important to understand in science is that you don't get a good answer if everybody agrees from the outset, that you have to have people looking at it from different perspectives, applying different methods, arguing, disagreeing, meeting again to discuss.

And so one of the values, I think, that we have in a science-based process is that you have the ability to have this diverse discourse and bring all these opinions, perspectives and results together, and then you sort of drift slowly towards the body of evidence, and that's where it comes from. And I think that that is something that we're going to see is going to add increasing value to how we go forward.

Q Ms. Stewart or Ms. Morton, would you like to get in and discuss the abstract nature of the lack of consensus in science? Either one of you can lead. MS. MORTON: The way I see it, the science that promotes salmon farming is what the salmon farmers use, but science that I have done has often not been even cited in their responses to me. There's been a lot of debate back and forth about my

1 method so that stimulated me to, for example, when 2 I did a study on do sea lice kill juvenile pink 3 salmon, I invited Dr. Brian Riddell and Dr. Brent 4 Hargreaves to come and view the experiment right 5 off the bat so that I didn't need to meet their 6 opposition later, I could adapt the study right 7 away, which I did. And yet, when Dr. Jones finds 8 a highly conflicting result in that pink salmon 9 are completely resistant to sea lice after they 10 weigh .7 grams, he will not even address the 11 difference between our findings. So for me, I 12 watched the fish die, I'm an eyewitness to it, I 13 measured it and I went the lengths of putting it 14 into a journal so it's very difficult. I don't 15 work on sea lice any more because I figured it 16 Where there's fish farms, there's sea lice. out. 17 It's an extremely easy thing to study, way easier 18 than whales. 19 Q Ms. Morton, I'm going to interrupt you. There's 20 fish everywhere in the ocean and there's sea lice, 21 not just with fish farms. Do you agree that sea 22 lice is a naturally occurring phenomena and there's lots of sea lice in areas where there are 23 24 no fish farms, surely? Do you agree with that 25 principle? 26 MS. MORTON: Yes, the sea louse is a benign crustacean 27 parasite and --28 And you agree that salmon farms come into the Q 29 ocean, to the marine environment because they come 30 from freshwater hatcheries and they come in 31 without sea lice? 32 MS. MORTON: Absolutely. 33 So they pick up the sea lice from the wild fish? 34 MS. MORTON: That's right. 35 And wild fish would have sea lice whether the Q 36 salmon farms are there, or not? 37 Not when they weigh .1 grams, not before MS. MORTON: 38 they've developed their scales. The interesting thing about sea lice is they have a timer built 39 40 They change their body shape every few days in. 41 for the first 30 days. So when you're studying 42 the juvenile pink salmon coming out of a river and 43 you pick up 100 every kilometre from the Glendale 44 River to the first salmon farm at Doctor Island, 45 for example, the fish are beautiful. You get to 46 Doctor Island, they're sprinkled with copepodites, 47 which only last a number of hours. You go past

the farm, the lice get older, you get to the next 1 2 farm and there's more lice. So there's two, for 3 me, profound issues with salmon farms, one is 4 amplification of local endemic parasites and 5 diseases, and the other is exotic. So the sea 6 lice fall into a dangerous amplification because 7 all the Pacific Salmon that come into the coast in 8 the fall die, which is a remarkable natural thing. 9 Why does nature do that? It's to break the cycle 10 of disease, but salmon farms have given the sea 11 lice a place to over-winter and reproduce and so 12 the young fish meet them before they're ready. I'm sorry, mature salmon come back into the waters 13 Q 14 of B.C. and they die to break the cycle of 15 disease, you figured that out, that's why they 16 die? 17 I wished I'd figured it out, but no, it MS. MORTON: 18 wasn't me. 19 Q Okay. So a bit of an extrapolation there, yes? 20 MS. MORTON: No. 21 I'm going to suggest to you that your experience Q 22 with sea lice gained some notoriety as a result of 23 some studies you did in the Broughton Archipelago 24 in the early 2000s; do you agree with that? 25 MS. MORTON: It gave me a Doctor of Science at the 26 Simon Fraser University. 27 Q Honorary Doctor? 28 Correct. MS. MORTON: 29 And you'll agree that from those first 0 Yes. 30 reports, there have been -- it's like a ping-pong 31 match, or a tennis match, there have been reports in support of those earlier reports, and reports 32 33 that are guite scathing in terms of the 34 methodologies used? You'll agree that it's a 35 ping-pong match, or a tennis match back and forth 36 on some of that science? You'll agree you've 37 certainly read it, correct? 38 MS. MORTON: I would characterize it more as mud 39 slinging. I don't see the ping-pong. We all 40 agree, those of us that are out there, that the 41 sea lice are coming from the farms. Even Dr. 42 Marty's study said as the number of sea lice 43 increase on the farms, they increase on the 44 adjacent pink and chum salmon. That should have 45 put the whole argument to rest right there. 46 Q Is the mud slinging, from your opinion, only 47 coming from one direction? Is it only the salmon

farmers who are flinging mud, or a little bit of 1 2 mud going both ways? 3 MS. MORTON: I'm defending myself at this point. 4 I'm sorry? Q 5 I'm defending myself at this point. MS. MORTON: I'm 6 not going to just quietly take it because it needs 7 to be argued back. 8 But the question started around diverse science Q 9 and whether or not there's a conflict in science 10 or whether there's a consensus. It's clear 11 there's no consensus. My question of you is do 12 you agree that on both sides of that equation, the 13 scientific debate, parties are coming to different 14 conclusions for different reasons? Do you agree 15 with that? 16 MS. MORTON: Definitely for different reasons, but the 17 biology of it, Mr. Blair, is extremely easy and 18 whether you're talking to a scientist in Norway, Scotland, Ireland, Chile, Eastern Canada, or 19 British Columbia, because I talk to them all, fish 20 21 farms definitely amplify sea lice, and we have got 22 to move past that. 23 And so all of the reports that would disagree with Q 24 that position of yours, you say are categorically 25 wrong? 26 They do not disagree with that position, MS. MORTON: 27 it's their interpretation. 28 Q I see. Ms. Stewart, your turn. The question 29 started with science and, you know, should there 30 be consensus, should we expect consensus, or 31 should we expect what Mr. Commissioner has had to 32 wrestle with for 120 days, I think, and counting. 33 MS. STEWART: Sure. Well, I'm not a scientist, and I 34 don't play one on television so I'm not going to 35 get into the arguments around science, but I would 36 make a couple of comments. There's been some, I 37 think, perhaps questioning around why CAAR and the 38 member groups of CAAR would engage in dialogue and 39 in any attempt at collaborative work with Marine 40 Harvest, which we have been doing over the past 41 few years, and the scientific debate was one of 42 those specific reasons. One of our hopes was that 43 there has been this "my science/your science" 44 debate and if we could agree on the methodology 45 going in, if both parties could accept the 46 construct of the science, then both parties, 47 presumably, would accept the outcomes. We were

confident in what those outcomes would be. The 1 2 science -- my understanding, as a non-scientist, 3 but talking to many, many scientists from a lot of 4 different countries around the world, is that the 5 weight of scientific evidence is guite clear, and 6 as Ms. Morton said, that the farms amplify the 7 naturally-occurring sea lice, they act as a 8 reservoir for the lice. We believe that 9 collaborative work with the company would perhaps 10 assist in putting that debate to rest. I have to 11 say that progress has been glacial at best, but 12 that was why we embarked on that effort. And I 13 think, the other day, Mr. Swerdfager referred to 14 his attempts to develop some sort of dialogue 15 between all the parties. I just want to make it 16 clear that at the time when he proposed that 17 dialogue, CAAR was in dialogue with Marine 18 Harvest. The Province was facilitating a process 19 called the Broughton Archipelago Plan with all the 20 companies except Creative, and we were also in 21 dialogue there. And when Mr. Swerdfager proposed 22 that dialogue, we said we don't have the capacity 23 to deal with another process, come to the table 24 with the Province, or if DFO wants to facilitate a 25 process, bring the First Nations to the table. We 26 respect and understand the need for government to 27 government relationships, but we also feel that 28 it's critically important, if you're going to 29 involve the parties, that First Nations have to be 30 there. That didn't happen, that's why we didn't 31 participate, but I do believe that DFO could play 32 a significant role in bringing the parties 33 together to discuss methodology and purpose going 34 into scientific studies and perhaps reach some 35 conclusions coming out. 36 You know, Ms. Stewart, the point you're making on Q 37 dialogue is very important because out of all of this process, counsel and the participants will be 38 39 invited to assist the Commissioner with 40 recommendations and so I think I hear you saying 41 that pace notwithstanding, you're pleased that 42 CAAR has entered into this dialogue, it's been 43 productive for you, or constructive, or you hope 44 it to be? 45 MS. STEWART: Well, yes, "hope" would be the operating 46 word. I think that there have been some benefits, 47 some positive outcomes, and I could speak to those

at much greater detail, and I'm sure Clare could, 1 2 as well, but --3 Q I was just going to go to --4 MS. STEWART: -- the pace of collaborative science and 5 the pace of change has been glacial and very 6 discouraging. 7 I was just going to go to Mr. Backman because you Q 8 agree, Ms. Stewart, that Marine Harvest has been 9 championing the cause of dialogue with CAAR in 10 this collaborative approach, Marine Harvest and 11 Mr. Backman? MS. STEWART: 12 I'm not sure I'd use the word 13 "championing." We've had a lot of discussions 14 around how our dialogue is communicated and what 15 efforts the company would make to actually communicate it in a positive way, but certainly, 16 17 they've been willing to engage in that dialogue, 18 as have the member groups of CAAR. 19 Q Can we talk in terms of productive, or not, 20 glacial, or not? Let's talk about good faith. 21 Certainly, CAAR enters the dialogue in good faith. 22 CAAR intends to be productive, and constructive in dialogue with multi stakeholders, yes? 23 MS. STEWART: That was our intent, yes. 24 25 And I'm assuming you haven't abandoned that Q 26 attempt, you're still trying to be there in good 27 faith and have collaborative dialogue? I'm not 28 suggesting otherwise, by the way. 29 MS. STEWART: No, we haven't abandoned the dialogue, 30 but I would say that there is a pall of 31 discouragement over many of the member groups of 32 CAAR at the lack of progress and the glacial pace 33 of implementation. 34 Q You're not alleging bad faith of any of the other 35 parties, you're just suggesting that it's a 36 complex area to reach consensus, or are you 37 alleging bad faith? 38 I'm not alleging bad faith, but I would MS. STEWART: 39 say that to a degree, it's my belief, and I 40 certainly can't speak for the other groups of 41 CAAR, or even for living oceans on this, this is 42 my personal perspective, that I think that there has been some mastery of the art of foot dragging. 43 44 Well, Mr. Backman, perhaps you're the champion, or Q 45 perhaps you're the master of foot dragging, but 46 would you like to get into the debate, Marine 47 Harvest, what does Marine Harvest and what do you,

Mr. Backman, attempt to get out of the dialogue 1 2 with these diverse stakeholders? 3 Yeah, I'll start with the diverse MR. BACKMAN: 4 stakeholder piece because I think where we started 5 this discussion was different kinds of research, 6 sometimes they're polarized outcomes, what do we 7 make of that? And I think from our perspective in 8 the industry and Marine Harvest, every bit of 9 research that comes our way is a bit of the 10 puzzle, it helps to inform what the outcome should 11 be in terms of our adaptive management approaches to the way we operate our farms. 12 13 Sometimes pieces of the information are not 14 complete, sometimes they need to be broadened out, 15 sometimes the precepts, the structure, the amount of information brought in, the kinds of 16 17 statistical tests that are done on a study needs 18 to be redone in order to cast it into a more 19 comparative study with others that have been done. 20 What I'm getting at here is we have a lot of 21 different people that have been in this now for 22 nine or 10 years, but what have we learned about 23 all of this, and the study of sea lice, for 24 example? Well, we've gone from maybe a position 25 early on where a company, even someone like myself, would have said, "Well, maybe salmon farms 26 aren't producing the sea lice that we see on the 27 28 wild fish." And because sea lice have been there 29 forever, they're having no effect on the wild 30 fish. And then there's been the opposite 31 position, that sea lice are harming the 32 populations of the wild fish and they're all 33 coming from the salmon farms. What have we 34 learned? Well, we've learned, I think, and it has 35 been glacial slow because it's taken 10 years and 36 good research takes time, but we've learned that, 37 yes, the salmon farms can be a place where the sea 38 lice are amplified. I mean, that's been proven. 39 And yes, when the pink salmon, for example, are 40 very small, the damage can be quite extensive to 41 the pink salmon. However, when the pink salmon 42 are beyond .7 of a gram, that's not the case. 43 We've also learned that on a population basis, the 44 sea lice on the pink salmon leaving do not have a 45 correlation to the returning size the following 46 year. So we're beginning to get some kind of a 47 pattern here about what actually we know about sea

lice and pink salmon, and from the work that's 1 2 been done at the Broughton. And it's been a bit 3 of a long, hard slog, but I think it's been 4 valuable. It's been something that's been worth 5 doing, and it hasn't solved all of the questions, 6 it hasn't answered all the questions, hasn't 7 solved all the problems, but I think it's provided 8 a very fundamental foundation for which we can 9 move forward into the program that we're very 10 hopeful, under the new regulator, is going to 11 provide a basis for taking this to the next level, 12 and I'm referring to the Integrated Management of 13 Aquaculture Program, the IMAP, because this is 14 someplace where we can actually take this kind of 15 knowledge and start turning it into regional 16 programs to benefit the environment. And also to 17 allow the fish farms use a one size fits all 18 approach. So it's been a beneficial process. 19 Q Mr. Backman, you've made reference to adaptive 20 management approaches. 21 MR. BLAIR: And Mr. Lunn, if you could pull up Exhibit 22 1615 and go to page 29? Mr. Backman, you've made reference -- firstly, 23 Q we'll have a look at the cover sheet, but as he's 24 25 pulling that up, you made reference to the complex 26 challenges. I think you really all have. MR. BLAIR: Page 29, it's a text. 27 28 I think this document has 15 pages. MR. LUNN: 29 MR. BLAIR: 1615? Try B.C. Salmon Farmers Tab 47. Oh, 30 sorry, B.C. Tab 47. 31 The Province, or B.C. Salmon Farmers? MR. LUNN: 32 MR. BLAIR: B.C. Tab, B.C. Government Tab 47. 33 MR. LUNN: Thank you. 34 MR. BLAIR: We'll see whether we -- no? Give me a 35 moment. Try B.C. Salmon Farmers Tab 47. Ah, 36 thank you. Could we go to page 29 of that 37 document? Paper, please. Thank you. Back of the 38 page, I believe. 39 MR. LUNN: Page 29? 40 MR. BLAIR: 29, yes. Thank you. Perfect. 41 If we all just take a moment to read that first Q 42 paragraph, we see that it highlights -- well, just 43 for reference, this is a document prepared in 44 2004, "Recommendations for Change," and it's 45 talking about aquaculture. And we were 46 discussing, as a panel, a few minutes ago, the 47 issue of dialogue and the complexity. Now, this

1 document is now approaching seven or eight years 2 old, and it speaks of this highly complex 3 challenge which requires new approaches. How are 4 we doing, Mr. Backman, as a representative of the 5 B.C. Salmon Farmers industry? Are we making 6 progress in addressing complex challenges? Is the 7 industry moving forward or backwards, in your 8 view, in attempting to deal with management 9 technologies to manage your industry in 10 conjunction with environmental protection? 11 MR. BACKMAN: If I can just pick up on what we were 12 just mentioning a moment ago, and this is a big 13 concern about how is industry responding to the 14 management of sea lice, for example, on the salmon 15 farms vis-à-vis conservation of wild fish, and one 16 of the key things that we've seen in the last 10 17 years has been the imposition of the Sea Lice 18 Action Plan, which was a precautionary approach, 19 asking the salmon farmers to limit the sea lice on 20 their fish to a maximum of three motiles. What 21 has that done? Well, in the area of the 22 Broughton, where we had a lot of research, it's 23 actually created a situation where year in and 24 year out, for the past few years, there have been 25 very, very few sea lice on the farmed salmon and 26 very, very few sea lice on the wild salmon. It's, however, required a lot of use of the therapeutant 27 28 SLICE, and therefore, there's now a need to take a 29 look at that and see where is the most effective 30 use of that product and where can we not be as 31 concerned about using that product? We need to 32 refine that, going forward. 33 So I think that the Province is making good 34 efforts towards that regard. I think that we've 35 also seen now that with the federal government 36 moving into the zone of being directly involved 37 from the science perspective and a regulatory 38 perspective, they're already stepping forward with 39 new interests and new ideas about how they want to 40 bring some of the concerns that have been brought 41 up in the public debate and bring them into the 42 mix, as well. 43 One of the adaptive technologies that Marine Q 44 Harvest has been looking at and piloting, in fact, 45 has been looking at the viability of closed 46 containment; is that correct? 47 MR. BACKMAN: Yes.

MR. BLAIR: I wonder if we could go to Exhibit 1555, 1 2 please? 3 THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry, which document was that? 4 Which document are you referring to? 5 MR. BLAIR: Exhibit 1555, 1555, it's a paper of Gary Marty, Sonja Saksida and Terry Quinn. If you 6 7 could highlight the end of the abstract, please, 8 the last half a dozen lines? Thank you. 9 So other witnesses have been referred to the Q 10 passage, specifically, the last four lines, which 11 reads: 12 13 We conclude that separating farmed salmon 14 from wild salmon proposed that coordinated 15 fallowing or closed containment will not 16 increase wild salmon productivity and that 17 medical analysis can improve our 18 understanding of complex issues related to 19 aquaculture sustainability. 20 21 So there's the words "closed containment," Mr. 22 Backman, and the conclusions of those three parties. To just put a brief context on closed 23 24 containment, can you describe the pilot projects 25 that Marine Harvest is working on or attempting to 26 work through on closed containment? 27 MR. BACKMAN: Well, it's using some technology that we 28 have been developing in our hatcheries, 29 recirculating aquaculture system technology, where 30 the majority of the water that's used to grow the 31 fish is recirculated within the facility, it's 32 cleaned and refreshed, and then reused with the 33 fish. The benefits of having that kind of a 34 system, which is highly technological in terms of 35 the comparisons to a single pass hatchery, where 36 the water just flows in and out, the benefits to 37 using that is you maintain the quality and the control over the environment of the water in which 38 39 fish are living in terms of chemical makeup of the 40 water, freedom from pathogens. And so those 41 elements are beneficial to the grower. We can be 42 sure that we're not going to be losing our product 43 due to changes in the environment. And so our 44 pilot that has been described has been a 45 commitment to take this technology, which has been 46 developing around the world, but here, in British 47 Columbia, Marine Harvest has more examples of this

1 RAS than any of the other growers at this present 2 time, and we feel we've learned a few things about 3 how it operates, to keep the costs down and keep 4 the efficiencies up. And the intention was to 5 translate that into a new project which would 6 actually grow fish to harvest size and to be able 7 to document with actual operational information 8 about what the costs were going in and what the 9 challenges were to get the fish not just to the 10 size that we're used to right now, about 100 11 grams, but take them up to five, five-and-a-half 12 kilograms in size, and to do this here in British 13 Columbia, using the available water supplies 14 available on the coast and infrastructure 15 available on the coast. This, in recirculating aquaculture done at a 16 17 commercial scale hasn't been done for Atlantic 18 salmon in British Columbia. 19 Now, our example, our test or our preliminary 20 document for this showed us that the likelihood of 21 it being economically profitable at this time was 22 slim, but it was something that it was worthwhile 23 to take what we know now to the next level and actually determine where improvements could be 24 25 made to bring in greater efficiencies and see what 26 level within our entire range of growth options, what level would this kind of opportunity, this 27 28 RAS to harvest, where it would fit into the mix. 29 MR. BLAIR: Mr. Lunn, could you pull up B.C. Salmon 30 Farmers Tab 24, please? 31 THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Blair, I was a bit confused, the 32 earlier discussion you had around Exhibit 1615, 33 which then you made reference to Tab 47 of your binder, has that been marked as an exhibit? 34 35 THE REGISTRAR: It has not. 36 MR. BLAIR: We actually -- I'll just go back and check. 37 Thank you for pointing that out. 38 It has not been marked. THE REGISTRAR: 39 MR. BLAIR: I don't think it has been. 40 MR. MARTLAND: The registrar is usually on the ball, he 41 said it isn't. Perhaps it should be marked as an 42 exhibit. 43 MR. BLAIR: Yes, thank you. 44 THE REGISTRAR: Tab 47 will be marked as 1804. 45 Thank you. MR. BLAIR: 46 MR. MARTLAND: I should say the registrar is always on 47 the ball.

1 THE REGISTRAR: Thank you. 2 3 EXHIBIT 1804: Recommendations for Change, 4 Report of the Commissioner for Aquaculture 5 Development to the Minister of Fisheries and 6 Oceans Canada 7 8 MR. BLAIR: Can you take up somebody else's time while 9 you -- I agree with my learned friend's remarks. 10 MR. LUNN: You asked for Tab --11 MR. BLAIR: I've forgotten now, but we could go to 24, 12 B.C. Salmon Farmers 24. Yes, thank you. 13 Briefly, if we can, Mr. Backman, you'll see from Q 14 the title, following up on our discussions about 15 closed containment, that this report was 16 specifically prepared by the industry so that the 17 inquiry that we're in front of might be informed 18 on some of the technical challenges with closed 19 containment systems. You're familiar with the 20 report? 21 MR. BACKMAN: Yes, I am. 22 And can you, in summary fashion, take us through 0 23 the report? We could take you to the conclusion 24 page, which is page 19, but discuss the report 25 generally, please. MR. BACKMAN: Well, sure. It gathered information, I 26 27 quess, in three general areas. One, we asked the 2.8 group to look at the East Coast of Vancouver 29 Island, which had the ability to support an 30 operation like this. We asked them to look for 31 appropriate sites. So there was a siting 32 component, there was an evaluation of the existing 33 technology that's currently available and can be 34 used. So there was a current best technology 35 component, and then there was an analysis of the 36 likelihood of the economic viability coming out of 37 it. 38 So very quickly, what we learned about siting 39 is that the access to the amount of water that's 40 required, and the quality of water that's required 41 is actually quite limiting and of about 17, 18 42 sites that were evaluated from the Oyster River to 43 Port Hardy, there was only two locations that 44 might actually provide a good location to build 45 this pilot. So that was the first thing on the 46 coast of British Columbia where we think there's 47 access to a lot of groundwater. If you put down a

well, you're bound to get lots of good water. 1 We 2 were surprised to find that it actually isn't that 3 available. 4 Then number two was looking at the technology 5 and how it would have to be operated in order to 6 run the fish, not, as I say, just to 100 or 150 7 grams for smolt release, but actually up to about 8 a five-kilogram size, and the result there was 9 that we would have to run the densities higher 10 than we normally run them in our hatcheries. Not 11 higher than they've been done in tests of the 12 equipment elsewhere, but we'd have to hold them 13 at, you know, 80, 90 kilograms per cubic metre for 14 a significant period of time. So that was going 15 to be something that there isn't a lot of 16 commercial scale experience with. And certainly, 17 because of those kinds of technological 18 challenges, the cost of running the facility and 19 the cost in the fish at the end of the day was 20 going to be quite significant and was going to be 21 debateable about whether or not there would be an 22 actual ability to make a profit on the fish when 23 it was grown so the recommendation here was to expect and look for about a 15-percent premium 24 25 That's fine, there are retailers who are price. 26 interested in a product that comes from this, but 27 it limits the volume that you can sell to these 28 folks who are willing to retail at a premium 29 price. So these are all elements of the program 30 and they're all important aspects of moving 31 forward and understanding how this kind of 32 technology is going to fit into the mosaic of 33 growing salmon in British Columbia. 34 MR. BLAIR: Mr. Commissioner, this report would fall 35 into the category of a number of reports that the 36 B.C. Salmon Farmers commissioned specifically to 37 inform the Commission. We've established a 38 pattern that I ask it to be mark it as an exhibit, 39 people object, and we quickly default back to 40 marked for identification. I am going to wonder 41 out loud whether or not this report, however, 42 should properly be marked as an exhibit proper. 43 Parties on all sides of this equation seem to be 44 clamouring for information about closed 45 containment. Many of the people who are opposed 46 to aquaculture are in favour of closed 47 containment. This is a report which is

specifically on closed containment in British 1 2 Columbia. We have a witness on the stand who has 3 requested the report, he's spoken to it. I would 4 like to think that if we're moving forward towards 5 recommendations, we might actually move forward 6 productively and have this document marked as a 7 full exhibit and so I make that application. 8 MR. MARTLAND: Mr. Blair's point included the if of 9 whether there was an objection, or not. Perhaps 10 that's the next question, is whether there is an 11 objection from anyone to this going in? If there 12 is, the shorthand would be that it's marked for 13 ID, but I don't see anyone rising to make that 14 point. 15 MR. BLAIR: Ms. Gaertner would like to see the front 16 page of the document, please. 17 THE COMMISSIONER: Just for clarification, Mr. Blair, 18 and I apologize because this microphone is not 19 close enough, just for clarification, it refers to a aquaculture judicial inquiry. I'm not aware of 20 21 Is that just you're talking about us? Is one. 22 that what they're talking about? 23 MR. BLAIR: I am talking about us, yes. 24 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you. 25 MR. BLAIR: Yeah. That's how independent it was, we let them put whatever title they wanted. In any 26 27 event, if it might be the next exhibit? I don't 28 hear anyone rising. 29 THE COMMISSIONER: Very well. 30 MR. BLAIR: Thank you. 31 MS. GAERTNER: Well, Commissioner Cohen, could we have 32 the suggestion that the title be changed so it 33 reflects that this inquiry is not just about 34 aquaculture? 35 THE COMMISSIONER: Well, I raise that the title is not 36 correct. I think it would be easy to amend the 37 title. 38 MR. BLAIR: If no one objects, we can --39 MR. MARTLAND: Well, I'm going to suggest that it may 40 be relevant, now that we've had this discussion, 41 to keep this document as is. The record will 42 reflect these comments that have been made about 43 an incorrect title. 44 MR. BLAIR: Thank you. 45 THE REGISTRAR: The document will be marked as 1805. 46 MR. BLAIR: Thank you. 47

1 EXHIBIT 1805: Perspective on the Technical 2 Challenges Associated with Closed System 3 Aquaculture for Grow-out of Salmon of B.C. 4 5 MR. BLAIR: Thank you. I note the hour, Mr. 6 Commissioner. 7 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. 8 THE REGISTRAR: The hearing is now adjourned until 2:00 9 p.m. 10 11 (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED FOR NOON RECESS) 12 (PROCEEDINGS RECONVENED) 13 14 THE REGISTRAR: Order. The hearing is now resumed. 15 THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Martland. MR. MARTLAND: Mr. Commissioner, I've just, in fact, 16 17 confirmed Mr. Blair does not have further 18 questions remaining, which is helpful to us. So 19 we move, next, to counsel for the Aquaculture 20 Coalition with 90 minutes. 21 MR. McDADE: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. My name is 22 Greg McDade. I'm counsel for the Aquaculture 23 Coalition, so Dr. Morton is one of my clients. 24 25 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. McDADE: 26 27 Let me start with you, Dr. Morton, and get a sense Q 2.8 of how involved in this matter you've been. Т 29 understand you spent a fair bit of time looking 30 through the ringtail database? 31 I did. I've basically been doing that for MS. MORTON: 32 the last nine months, close to 2,000 hours, by my 33 estimate, would be actually a conservative 34 estimate. 35 Q So you've read extensively, in terms of the DFO 36 documents? 37 MS. MORTON: I have. 38 And you've been working on aquaculture-related Q 39 issues for how long? 40 MS. MORTON: Well, it started with a letter in 1989. 41 When salmon farms first came to the Broughton Archipelago I thought they were a good idea and 42 43 offered myself, actually, as a welcome wagon to 44 the families, because we had a one-room school, we 45 really wanted more families. But the commercial 46 fishermen in my area were concerned and I was the 47 only person with a word processor, so I began

writing letters to DFO in about 1989. 1 2 Q And in your research efforts in relation to sea 3 lice and other research relating to aquaculture, I 4 gather much of your research has been field-based? 5 That's right. I live in the Broughton MS. MORTON: 6 Archipelago. There's no roads, there's no 7 electricity. I was there 26 years studying 8 whales, and so it was a natural and easy thing to 9 begin this research. 10 In the first 10 years of talking to DFO, I 11 just wrote letters, because I saw myself as a 12 whale researcher and didn't want to branch out 13 into anything else. But the letters back to me 14 were always, "Dear Ms. Morton, there's no evidence 15 of," whatever the concern was. And when it got around to sea lice I realized, "Okay, they're just 16 saying there's no evidence, not that there's no 17 18 problem," and so because I was there, I could just 19 do the research myself. 20 And in the course of your research you actually Q 21 work with real fish in the field? 22 MS. MORTON: That's right. In following the juvenile 23 pink salmon -- well, it was a neighbour that came 24 to me with one pink and one chum salmon 25 approximately four centimetres long sprinkled with 26 what looked like small sesame seeds attached to 27 it, and they were sea lice, but he didn't know 28 that and I didn't know that. But he said, "What are these?" because, he said, his guests were 29 30 coming from Scotland because sea lice from salmon 31 farms had destroyed the sea trout and the Atlantic 32 salmon. And when they saw the salmon farms, they 33 said to him, "Do you have the plague of lice, 34 yet?" And so he was really upset and he said, 35 "Can you figure out, what -- are these sea lice? 36 Are they now exploding around these farms as 37 well?" 38 Q So in conducting your experiments in the field, 39 can you estimate how many fish you've tested, or 40 how many days of testing you've been involved in? 41 MS. MORTON: Well, at first I was killing the fish, so I limited the number of fish, but in the first 42 43 year I looked at 724, and then, since then, 44 approximately 2,000 every year, except in 2007 I 45 did 9,287. But after two thousand and approximately three I figured out how to look at 46 47 them alive. And so what we did is a beach seine

and then collect the fish in a bucket and then 1 2 each fish gets put into a baggy, and if I can work 3 in less than 45 seconds, I can hold it down on a 4 piece of graph paper and that way measure it, and 5 then use a hand lens to look at the fish, and I 6 have an assistant always to write the data down, 7 so we can go through the fish extremely fast. 8 And what percentage of your time have you spent Q 9 actually in the field studying fish? 10 MS. MORTON: Well, it's hard to separate, because my --11 I had a hydrophone in my house, so I'm listening 12 for whales 24 hours a day, so that was part of the 13 research in the whales, because you can tell every 14 pod by their voice, so I was basically doing 15 research 24 hours a day. 16 In terms of the salmon, in following the juvenile salmon from, you know, the beginning of 17 18 March through middle of June is full time, very 19 full time, because that's their outmigration 20 period. And then after that, there's, you know, 21 sporadic work but, as well, the analysis of the 22 data, the writing of the paper, so it ramped up slowly for me, it's just kind of an on the side 23 24 thing. And then, beginning in 2001 with the sea 25 lice, I just abandoned everything else and just 26 worked on this. 27 So I take it you know most of the DFO scientists Q 28 who've testified before the Commission over the 29 last couple of weeks at least? 30 MS. MORTON: I do, yes. 31 How many of them have you seen out in the field? Q 32 MS. MORTON: Let's see, well, I didn't see Brian 33 Riddell, but he used to visit me every year and 34 would come out and actually look with me in the 35 boat for three days each year, which I had 36 enormous respect for, because he actually came out 37 and looked. Dr. Hargreaves would come out as 38 well, but he wasn't looking at the fish, he was 39 organizing the sampling crew, so he didn't 40 actually look at the fish. So nobody that I've 41 seen on the stand, other than Dr. Connors, has 42 actually looked at the fish. 43 So in your ringtail research over the last nine Q 44 months and your investigation of these matters, 45 have you also talked to experts and talked to 46 these scientists in addition to your research? 47 MS. MORTON: I have. One of the things that really

1 bothered me all the way through this process is I 2 was convinced, for the first 10 years, if I just 3 could line up my words in the right order that I 4 would get a response from DFO that said, "Okay, we 5 accept the problem. Here's what we're gonna do 6 about it." But I never got that. And so I was 7 always left you know, "What are they thinking?" 8 They did so many things that just caused conflict 9 with my community, with the First Nations 10 community around us, the Que'Qwa'Sot'Em, the 11 biology of the situation was just completely 12 ignored, and ringtail was just like one big, long 13 "aha" response for me, "Oh, okay, so that's what they think about that," and I saw what they think 14 15 about me and I saw how they communicate with each other, and I could see how they respond to 16 biological events and how they didn't respond. 17 18 So I also read the data, I read the briefing 19 notes, and then I talked to various scientists and 20 said, you know, "Are you reading the disease 21 records from the salmon farmers?" asking questions 22 of various people, and then communicating with a 23 lot of the papers that were cited around the 24 world, "What is this virus in the Coho and Chiles? 25 Is that infectious salmon anaemia? What do we know about that?" 26 27 So I ended up reaching out to people in 28 Chile, eastern Canada, Norway, Scotland, as well 29 as British Columbia. 30 And as a result of all of your research in the Q 31 database and your extensive investigations, I 32 gather you've got a perspective on the issues 33 before the Commission, in terms of what's happened 34 to the sockeye since 1992 and, in fact, what 35 happened to the sockeye in 2009, and you've 36 prepared your evidence in written form. Can we 37 have Exhibit BBB (sic) up on the screen? Have I 38 That doesn't look like you. got the wrong one? Ι 39 thought it was BBB. 40 So while --MS. MORTON: 41 MR. McDADE: It's number one on our list, Mr. Lunn. 42 Yes, thank you. 43 So this is a document, I gather, that really Q 44 encapsulates the evidence you want to give today? 45 That's correct. MS. MORTON: 46 Q And you wrote this document yourself? 47 MS. MORTON: I wrote it myself.

1 Yes. And you adopt it as your evidence? Q 2 MS. MORTON: Yes, I do. 3 MR. McDADE: Can I have that marked as the next 4 exhibit, please? 5 I'm objecting. I'll let others MR. TAYLOR: 6 (indiscernible - overlapping speakers) --7 I see my friend from the salmon farmers MR. McDADE: 8 and the Province on their feet as well. 9 MR. BLAIR: I'm objecting as well. For the record, 10 Alan Blair, for the B.C. Salmon Farmers 11 Association. 12 I'm objecting as well, My Lord -- or Mr. MR. PROWSE: 13 Commissioner. 14 MR. McDADE: On what basis? 15 Well, I'll go first, but I think we should MR. TAYLOR: start with knowing what the Commission's position 16 17 is. 18 MR. MARTLAND: It's easy for me to do this, Mr. 19 Commissioner. I would like to hear what the basis 20 for the objection is. We've taken a broad 21 approach, generally speaking. We can anticipate 22 what some of the concerns may be. I would like to 23 learn what the objection taken to this document 24 is. It is a case where notice has been given, the 25 witness is here. 26 MR. TAYLOR: All right, well, I'll go first, and we can 27 probably proceed in the order of our participant 28 number. As Mr. McDade has elicited in evidence, 29 this is a document that the witness prepared for 30 this particular inquiry. It's said to be her 31 She's here to give her evidence viva evidence. 32 voce, not to tender a written document. 33 And before I forget, I want to point out that 34 if this document were to go in, Mr. McDade would 35 then leave it to all of us to have to cross-36 examine on a lengthy document not put in through 37 viva voce -- the facts not put in through viva 38 voce evidence, and we don't have time to do that. 39 So it would leave evidence essentially not cross-40 examined, and that wouldn't be right or fair, in 41 my submission. 42 But there's more substantive reasons for it 43 This document, which we've reviewed, is as well. Ms. Morton's own account or review of 44 45 documentation that she's looked at. And she then 46 puts her interpretation on the documents and her 47 understanding and her views and so forth. Again,

64 PANEL NO. 62 Proceedings

to the extent they're her views, this is the 1 2 perspective's panel and that can be elicited viva 3 voce. 4 To the extent that it's Ms. Morton's 5 interpretation of documents, that's for you, Mr. 6 Commissioner, in the final analysis, and for 7 counsel along the way in submissions to do it, but 8 it's not for witnesses, in my submission. 9 As well, the document, itself, is, in large 10 measure, and I've just alluded to this argument, 11 it's her argument, and Mr. McDade can put forth 12 what is argument when he makes his submissions on 13 behalf of his client, but not in through evidence. 14 And there's also a pound in there of Ms. 15 Morton's commentary on other witnesses and what 16 other witnesses say. And again, some of that can 17 be done in viva voce evidence, but some of that is 18 probably also going to result in some objections 19 to the extent that we start to engage in 20 commentary on witnesses, as opposed to eliciting 21 facts. 22 So really, what it comes down to, it's not 23 factual evidence. To the extent that there are 24 facts in it, it should be put in through viva voce 25 or in person evidence. And to the extent that 26 there is references in there to documentation 27 that's not in evidence, you can't backdoor getting 28 in what documents might or might not say and/or 29 one's interpretation of what documents might or 30 might not say through this kind of document when 31 the documents, themselves, are not in evidence. 32 At best, it's really submissions. 33 Now, going beyond that, some of what's in the 34 document that Ms. Morton has prepared is based on 35 evidence, or is statements that is purportedly 36 based on evidence, but in addition to what I've 37 said about the evidence not being there in some 38 cases, there's other instances where what she says 39 is simply contrary to the evidence. And I'm going 40 to take you to an example of that. In the 41 document in question, and I'll read it, so I don't 42 need to take you to it particularly, but if you 43 want to see it on the screen, it's page 59, under 44 the Conclusion, this document says, "What Miller 45 found," so that's a reference to Dr. Kristi 46 Miller, who's testified and, Mr. Commissioner, you 47 have her evidence.
1 2	What Miller found ran deeply against DFO policy.
5 4 5 6	Well, that's not her evidence, but it's not the most important thing here. The document goes on:
7 8 9 10 11	The sockeye appeared to be dying of a cancer- causing virus that originated in salmon farms on the narrowest portion of the Fraser sockeye migration route. The geography, pathology, flutuations -
13	I mispronounced that
15	- and timing all fit perfectly.
17	It's that sentence:
19 20 21 22 23	The sockeye appeared to be dying of a cancer- causing virus that originated in salmon farms on the narrowest portion of the Fraser sockeye migration route.
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	Despite Mr. McDade's constant harping of that refrain, that is not the evidence and it's not for this witness to try and say that that is the evidence of Dr. Miller. You have Dr. Miller's evidence. You have Dr. Garver's evidence as well. And they both said, "This is a work in progress. Science and research is underway, and we do not reach the conclusion" which I have just outlined Ms. Morton wishes they had, but they haven't. And there's this document is reprit with statements that are simply contrary to the evidence. Another example is at the top of that same section, under Conclusions, on the same page: The biology of the fluctuating Fraser sockeye returns is a pattern of exceptional clarity. With healthy sockeye runs occurring in the
41 42 43 44 45	Columbia River, the sockeye of western Vancouver Island that migrate through Port Alberni Inlet, where there are no salmon farms -
46 47	just pausing there; there are salmon farms on the west coast. They're not directly in Port

Alberni Inlet, but there are salmon farms on the 1 2 west coast. But continuing on --3 4 - and even in the Harrison sockeye which 5 originate in the Fraser, but avoid the 6 cluster of salmon farms... 7 8 Well, the evidence is that Harrison stocks go both ways, both Juan de Fuca and up Johnstone Strait, 9 10 and the evidence is that there is declines in 11 productivity of a number of species in a number of 12 areas quite apart from the Fraser sockeye. So 13 that's simply not the evidence. 14 And it's going to be both wrong and confusing 15 to put in a document that we can't cross-examine on from a sheer time limitation standpoint that is 16 17 then there, that is an inaccurate account of what 18 the evidence is and/or is based on -- on 19 statements that are based on material that's not 20 in evidence. 21 So really what it comes down to is, it's 22 unreliable, it's not the best evidence, it's 23 inappropriate to put in and improper to put in 24 evidence through a written thesis, if you like, as 25 opposed to your viva voce and viva voce is the 26 evidence that we should hear and get on with. 27 MR. BLAIR: Mr. Commissioner, Alan Blair, for the B.C. 2.8 Salmon Farmers Association. I've read the 29 document and clearly it purports to be a quasi-30 expert report, and I note that this panel is 31 specifically before this Commission not as 32 qualified experts but for their unique and 33 individual perspectives on the matter. 34 The document's full of hearsay and 35 speculation. There are science conclusions that 36 she draws which are far beyond her expertise. But 37 what is of most concern to me and to this process, I think, if I may, and we don't need to pull it up 38 on the screen now, but we can, because we filed 39 40 it, Ms. Morton's curriculum vitae starts with, 41 registered professional biologist since 1988. And 42 the Code of Conduct lists what conduct a 43 registered professional biologist is entitled to 44 -- how they are to conduct themselves. And among 45 other matters, and we can pull it up paragraph by 46 paragraph, but a professional biologist is to be 47 objective and honest in all matters, reports,

testimony. Objective is certainly not what this 1 2 document is. 3 It would be a violation of the Code of Ethics 4 of a registered professional biologist if they 5 failed to separate their personal views from 6 professional activities and to be impartial and 7 factual when expressing professional opinions. 8 Perhaps most concerning is it would be a 9 breach of the Code of Ethics for a professional 10 biologist to injure the reputation of another 11 person through malice or negligence. 12 Now, Ms. Morton may choose to do so in the 13 world of the blogs and the web and endless 14 postings, which we've -- all could read if we 15 chose to, but to make good that breach of a Code 16 of Ethics violation here, under oath, would be 17 professional misconduct. Her own Code of Ethics 18 would seal that. I can't imagine it's a wise area 19 to tread, to file a document which amounts to a professional breach of her Code of Ethics. 20 21 MR. PROWSE: Mr. Commissioner, I adopt my friend from 22 Canada's objections. Fundamentally, this is a 23 document which I think we can anticipate will 24 largely form the basis of written submissions and 25 perhaps oral submissions at the end of the day. 26 It's not a document that I submit qualifies as 27 evidence in this hearing. 28 And secondly, the document is certainly 29 verging on purporting to provide expert opinion 30 evidence on matters particularly of disease which 31 are well outside of this witness's realm of 32 expertise. So I adopt the objections of my friend 33 from Canada. THE REGISTRAR: 34 That was Mr. Prowse, from the Province 35 of B.C. 36 MR. LEADEM: Mr. Commissioner, Leadem, initial T., 37 appearing as counsel for the Conservation Coalition. I'll be very brief. 38 39 I think this is not a question of 40 admissibility so much as it is a question of 41 probative value, and I think that once you 42 determine that it's admissible, and I could hear 43 no grounds upon which it's inadmissible, other 44 than fairness, and we're all operating under the 45 same time constraints here, I wish that I could 46 have had a lot more time to cross-examine many of 47 the witnesses that preceded these witnesses to the

1 forum. So it really goes to weight, it doesn't go to admissibility, so I'd ask you to allow it to be 2 3 admitted. You, yourself, can judge its probative 4 value at the end of the day. 5 MR. MARTLAND: Mr. Commissioner, I'm going to, through 6 the court, ask members of the gallery simply to do 7 their best - I appreciate it may be exciting, or 8 it may not be - but I'll ask folks, nonetheless, 9 to please abstain from making noise during these 10 proceedings. 11 Ms. Gaertner had a further point. 12 MS. GAERTNER: Mr. Commissioner, I've canvassed with 13 all the counsel of First Nations so that you only 14 have to hear from one of us, and we actually, 15 having not heard what Mr. Leadem was going to say, 16 adopt his position, and submit that this is a 17 matter of weight. As it relates to the issue of 18 fairness, I can only emphasize that we have all 19 been operating with a significant challenge of 20 trying to pick and choose what we have time to 21 make submissions on and what we have time to 22 actually cross-examine on. And so what's good for 23 the goose is good for the gander on that one. 24 MR. MARTLAND: Mr. Commissioner, from our point of 25 view, the question of admissibility is one that 26 falls to your discretion to be determined. In my 27 respectful submission, given the well-established 28 practice in public inquiries which, generally 29 speaking, take a much broader approach to 30 questions of receivability or admissibility, and 31 not even evidence of information, there are 32 examples of public inquiries for commissioners who 33 have boarded planes and sat in meeting rooms to 34 receive relevant information. So there's a broad 35 process and a broad approach. 36 This Commission has not taken that approach; this Commission, in a number of respects, is 37 trial-like. The rules do permit evidence and 38 39 information to be brought forward in a flexible 40 way. 41 We've certainly seen, and I think we've 42 increased the pace in recent days of the number of 43 reports, whether they're reports written for the 44 Commission or things published in academic 45 journals that are put in, in a very quick fashion. 46 Mr. Taylor's point about being able to 47 meaningfully cross-examine on the entirety of a

document is true. Generally speaking, though, 1 2 that's been a disadvantage to folks like Mr. 3 McDade and Mr. Leadem in not being able, for 4 example, to cross-examine Dr. Saksida on six or 5 eight journal articles that she has written which 6 are now in evidence. 7 In our respectful submission, to echo what 8 Mr. Leadem had to say, the concerns identified 9 about there being views or interpretations, 10 concerns about underlying documents or underlying 11 facts or previous testimony, concerns about this 12 being submissions as opposed to argument, all 13 speak to the question of weight. They are not 14 decisive in terms of the question of 15 admissibility. And certainly the concern that you or anyone would fall into the mistake of saying 16 17 someone has said something about the evidence that 18 governs the question, is not a real concern. 19 You'll have the advantage of all the evidence and 20 will be well-placed to do it. 21 In terms of the fairness concern about cross-22 examining this witness, I would expect that 23 counsel leading, or at least Mr. McDade, through 24 leading his witness, will cover what he wants to 25 identify as the key points. That would be a fair 26 basis, I would suggest, for other counsel to be 27 focusing their questions in terms of what was led 28 in the viva voce portion of the evidence. 29 Ultimately, Mr. Commissioner, this is a 30 question for your discretion. Those are the 31 further submissions we had. 32 THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. McDade? 33 MR. McDADE: I simply agree, it's just a matter of 34 weight, and point out that we have not had the 35 luxury of being able to call witnesses, and we do 36 not have the luxury of being able to choose time. 37 This is the only way we get this story and this 38 perspective before the court -- or before the 39 Commission. 40 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, thank you, counsel, for your 41 very helpful submissions. I think you heard Mr. 42 Martland say, yesterday, we have a number of exhibits, now, that have been marked for 43 44 identification, and they fall into different 45 categories in terms of the areas in which they 46 have been entered and, to some extent, in a few 47 cases there have been submissions directly with

respect to the admissibility of those exhibits. 1 2 In other cases, it was simply marked for identification and left for a later time to deal 3 4 with. 5 My ruling is this: Mr. Martland, and I think 6 he is attempting to work with you in arriving at 7 an omnibus position with respect to exhibits that 8 have been marked for identification, which many of 9 you here want to have marked as exhibits, may or 10 may not be able to reach an accord with all of you 11 on that matter pertaining to the exhibits you wish 12 to have marked. 13 I, frankly, doubt that this particular 14 document will reach an accord, simply because of 15 the positions you have taken here this afternoon. So I am going to leave it, for the moment, marked 16 for identification purposes. I have not read this 17 18 document, I do not know what is in it. I only 19 know what Mr. Taylor and Mr. Blair have alluded to 20 and, of course, the rest of you. 21 Mr. Leadem, of course, raises a point which I, in general, agree with, but as I have not seen 22 23 this document, I have not heard the testimony of Dr. Morton, I do not know, frankly, how to put the 24 25 emphasis or non-emphasis on the positions they've 26 taken. 27 So this document is going to remain for 28 identification purposes. Mr. McDade has a full 29 opportunity to elicit evidence from Dr. Morton, 30 all of you will have an opportunity to cross-31 examine her in due course, and if you do not reach 32 an omnibus position, I will issue a separate 33 ruling with respect to the admissibility of this 34 particular document. 35 I do not feel it would be fair, frankly, at 36 this point to simply enter it without giving 37 consideration to all of your remarks, and once I 38 have an opportunity to consider this document as 39 well. Thank you. 40 MR. McDADE: 41 Well, in that circumstance, then, Mr. Q Commissioner, I think it's important that I spend 42 43 a little more time on the document than I would 44 otherwise have done. We may have to go through it 45 So could we have page 3 up on the page by page. 46 screen, the opening position. 47 Could you describe the point you're making

here, Dr. Morton? 1 2 MS. MORTON: Yes. This was presented at the SFU think 3 tank that I attended in 2009, and it shows that 4 the productivity, which is the number of spawners 5 returning from each female sockeye, has been 6 dropping since approximately 1992 in quite a 7 precipitous manner. 8 And the purpose of your examination is to try and Q 9 give your perspective on why that has been 10 occurring? 11 MS. MORTON: For me, the startling thing was that in 12 1992 salmon farms were sited on the Fraser sockeye 13 migration route. And, of course, we had an 14 enormous resurgence. So the 2010s would be up at 15 approximately 7.2 on that chart, which I would 16 like to explain further into this. 17 All right. Could we turn to the next page, Q 18 please. Now, you have a figure here. Can you 19 describe that and tell us what you want to say 20 about that? 21 MS. MORTON: Yeah, so in the biological world you 22 rarely get patterns this bold. You can see the 23 Fraser River and you can see a red line that goes 24 to the north. Those are the runs of Fraser 25 sockeye that have been in collapse from 1992, 26 approximately, to nineteen -- to 2009. And then 27 down to the blue line is another Fraser stock; 28 that's the Harrison sockeye. And I don't know 29 where Mr. Blair gets his information, but citing 30 Tucker et al in this paper, it's a DFO paper where 31 they did extensive DNA sampling, and they did not 32 find the Harrison sockeye up going along eastern 33 Vancouver Island. They only went down around the 34 southern end of Vancouver Island. 35 Also, down below that, you see the Columbia 36 River sockeye, which are doing quite well. And 37 then, very interestingly, the ones coming out of 38 Alberni Inlet have been doing very well. The 39 circles designate salmon farmed areas. 40 And the next section of your paper deals with pre-Q 41 spawn mortality, and you cite a number of pieces 42 of information that you've learned from the 43 ringtail database. Can you describe that for us? Yes. I really didn't now that much pre-44 MS. MORTON: 45 spawn mortality, but in reading through ringtail I 46 became highly educated on it. If you look at the 47 third paragraph down:

Since 1995, an average of 58% and up to 95% 1 2 of the Late run sockeye have died [of pre-3 spawn mortality]. 4 5 It goes on, down below, it says it's very 6 disturbing, a different pattern. These are 7 e-mails and reports by DFO people and also Mike 8 Lapointe from the Pacific Salmon Commission. 9 Q And most of those e-mails have been entered into 10 exhibits previously, but the one, that CAN108807, 11 I don't believe is yet an exhibit. That's Tab 5, Mr. Lunn, Tab 5 of the Aquaculture list. 12 That's 13 the e-mail from Brian Riddell that you're 14 referring to there? 15 MS. MORTON: Yes, correct. Could that be the next exhibit, please? 16 MR. McDADE: 17 THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 1806. 18 EXHIBIT 1806: E-mail from Brian Riddell to 19 20 Alan Cass, et al, Subject: Cultus Lake 21 prespawning mortality 2006, dated December 8, 22 2006 23 24 MR. McDADE: 25 And the next heading is Early Entry. That's a related phenomena, is it, to pre-spawn mortality? 26 27 Yeah, so there was a couple of things that MS. MORTON: 28 started in the early 1990s. One was pre-spawn 29 mortality, so dying just before spawning, but 30 another one was the late runs of Fraser sockeye, 31 which normally held out in front of the river. 32 Presumably that evolved to keep them away from 33 warm water. They were on longer doing that. And 34 so that was one of the other things that really 35 has sparked a lot of conversation within DFO of 36 which I have read. 37 And you go over and deal with that -- much of that Q conversation over the next two pages. Can you 38 39 summarize that for us? 40 MS. MORTON: Yes. So if you just scroll down a little bit more, Mr. Lund (sic), the December 8th, 2006, 41 if we can get the rest of that? They're seeing 42 43 elevated pre-spawn mortality, and they're 44 wondering if it's a freshwater parasite, called 45 Parvicapsula, which, when I wrote to Brian Riddell 46 a couple of years ago, that was his response back 47 to me, they thought it was Parvicapsula because

the fish were heavily infected with it, and so 1 2 that -- in opening them up, that's what drew their 3 attention first. 4 Q The document at the bottom, November 10th, 2006, 5 can we have Aqua Tab 9 up on the screen? Is that 6 the document you're referring to there? 7 MS. MORTON: Yes. Timber Whitehouse, throughout these 8 ringtail documents, seems very knowledgeable on 9 the state of the Fraser sockeye, and I do a lot 10 from his work. 11 MR. McDADE: And could we have that as the next 12 exhibit, then, please? THE REGISTRAR: It will be marked as 1807. 13 14 15 EXHIBIT 1807: E-mail from Timber Whitehouse to Keri Benner and Paul Welch, Subject: 16 17 Cultus Diagnostic Update, dated November 10, 18 2006 19 20 MR. McDADE: And can we go over the -- back to the 21 document, to the next page, Mr. Lunn? 22 Can you describe the chart that you've got there? 0 MS. MORTON: Yes. So this was also presented in 2009, 23 24 and it's astonishing. So these orange bubbles 25 show the amount below average returns for each of 26 those runs, and you see they're broken down into 27 the names of the runs, but also clumped as to 28 whether they were Early, Summer or Late. And 29 astonishingly, one of them is in complete --30 running completely contrary to the others, and 31 that's the Harrison. 32 So it does make a biologist wonder, what is 33 different about those fish? Are they from a 34 different river? Are they -- you know, what do 35 they do different? The Harrison have two very 36 different life histories strategies. One is they 37 leave the river when they're very small, like the 38 pink and chum, so that means they -- right now, 39 what's happening is the Fraser sockeye adults are 40 passing salmon farms and they're coming in from 41 all over the open ocean and they're going into the 42 river and they're going straight into the nursery 43 areas of the Fraser sockeye that are raising in 44 there as smolts. 45 But the Harrison are gone. They left already 46 in May and June. So they don't get that exposure. 47 Plus, they're not going by the salmon farms. So,

1 for me, this was an astonishing graph. 2 And what were the -- were there symptoms being Q 3 found that were unusual, in your view? 4 MS. MORTON: Yeah. So if you go to the next page, here 5 we hear from people like Dr. Christine 6 MacWilliams, who was on the stand, and other 7 scientists from the University of PEI. Also, 8 David Patterson, who has been mentioned here. And 9 the one from Christine MacWilliams, I -- I just --10 it's the most interesting. She goes: 11 12 ...despite finding everything but the kitchen 13 sink, there's no smoking gun... The gills of 14 every fish were compromised to some degree ... 15 16 So these pathologists were mystified by what could 17 happen. In the e-mail above, he said that these 18 were possibly: 19 20 ... the worst looking ... gills ... I have ever 21 seen... The gill pathology is profound and 22 highly unusual... The mystery deepens. 23 24 These guys were on it. They were curious in trying 25 to figure this out, but they couldn't. 26 MR. McDADE: So let me, Mr. Lunn, ask for Aquaculture 27 document 11. That's the e-mail identified at page 28 7, is it? Can I have that as the next exhibit? 29 THE REGISTRAR: 1808. 30 31 EXHIBIT 1808: E-mail from David Willis to 32 Brian Leaf, et al, Subject: Prespawn update: 33 Upper Pitt (as of Aug 25), dated August 26, 34 2008 35 36 MR. McDADE: And Aqua Tab 14, can that be Exhibit 1809? 37 THE REGISTRAR: So marked. 38 39 EXHIBIT 1809: E-mail from David Patterson to 40 Kristi Miller-Saunders, Subject: Cultus lake 41 sockeye salmon histology samples - gill form 42 of a Parvicapsula-like parasite is present, 43 dated January 31, 2007 44 45 MR. McDADE: 46 Aqua Tab 15, that's the e-mail dated December 20 Q 47 -- sorry, that's the memo you were referring to

1 from Dr. MacWilliams? 2 MS. MORTON: Yes, that's right. 3 MR. McDADE: Can that be Exhibit 1810? 4 THE REGISTRAR: So marked. 5 6 EXHIBIT 1810: Memorandum from Pacific 7 Biological Station to Rick Stitt and Doug 8 Lofthouse, Weaver Creek Spawning Channel, 9 Subject: PSM Loss Investigation Oct 29, 2009 10 Summary Report, dated December 21, 2009 11 12 MR. McDADE: And Aqua Tab 16, can that be Exhibit 1811? 13 THE REGISTRAR: So marked. 14 15 EXHIBIT 1811: 2007 Late Run Research on Pre-Spawning Mortality, David Patterson and Mike 16 17 Bradford 18 19 MR. McDADE: And Aqua Tab 17, can I have that as 20 Exhibit 1812? 21 THE REGISTRAR: So marked. 22 23 EXHIBIT 1812: E-mail from Brad Thompson to 24 Mark Higgins, et al, Subject: Nadina Gill 25 Samples, dated May 24, 2009 26 27 MR. McDADE: Now, if we could go over the page, back to 28 the document, you then -- sorry, at page 9 of the 29 document. 30 MS. MORTON: Or go to page 10, maybe. 31 The next topic, yes, is -- relates to Dr. Kristi-Q 32 Miller's MRS study; is that --33 MS. MORTON: Yes. 34 You took an interest in that through your database Q 35 research? 36 MS. MORTON: Yes. So, first of all, I was shocked to 37 run across her work in ringtail, because I sat in 38 the 2009 Simon Fraser University think tank, and 39 we were supposed to figure out what had happened 40 to the sockeye and report back to the public, and 41 we were never told about this work. 42 So basically what happened was so many 43 sockeye were dying in the Fraser River, the DFO 44 realized that before they could open a fishery 45 they would have to figure out how many were going 46 to die in the river, and so they tasked their 47 genomic profiler, Kristi Miller, to try to figure

that out, and they, by the sounds of it and 1 2 reading their work, they thought they were going 3 to -- she was going to find that they ran out of 4 steam, that they hadn't fed well enough. But she 5 stumbled on the truth, uncomfortable truth, that 6 there was a pattern which she said looked like a 7 virus. Because the switches in the cells that were turned on and off, 40 of them were responding 8 to leukemia-type impact 3 to brain cancer, a lot 9 10 to a compromised immune system. 11 And then there's a lot of documents in 12 ringtail of her thought process, which was really 13 a remarkable thing to be able to see, because if 14 you just read her science paper you can't see her 15 thinking out loud, and I know she has said she would not have put some of those thoughts down, 16 17 but she did, and she's highly respected in her 18 field. I did phone around to weight her work, and 19 everybody said it's a new field, but she's highly 20 respected. 21 And so naturally she went looking for, "Okay, 22 what could it be?" and we are still at that point, 23 even though she started asking that question 24 several years ago, but the first thing, she's 25 like, "Okay, well, it looks like a retro virus." 26 There's only two retro viruses in salmon. And, 27 "Oh, look, one of them occurs in salmon farms that 28 went into the Fraser sockeye migration in 1992," 29 and so I went and read all of those papers and her 30 further work. 31 So two of her really interesting PowerPoints, 32 one of them already is an exhibit, and the other 33 one is the one above. 34 That's 6139? Q 35 Yeah. MS. MORTON: 36 Could we have Aqua Tab 19 on the screen? Q And 37 that's the document there? 38 MS. MORTON: Yes, correct. 39 MR. McDADE: Can we have that marked as Exhibit 1813? 40 THE REGISTRAR: So marked. 41 42 EXHIBIT 1813: Fisheries and Oceans, 43 Physiological control of entry timing and 44 fate 45 46 MR. McDADE: And could we also have Aqua Tab 21? 47 That's a document that you refer to on the --Q

1 MS. MORTON: Yes. 2 0 -- on the next page? Can we have that marked as 3 the next Exhibit 1814? 4 THE REGISTRAR: So marked. 5 6 EXHIBIT 1814: Paper prepared for Cohen 7 Commission by Kyle Garver, 2011 8 9 MR. MARTLAND: I'm just going to pause to see if I can 10 clarify if we might put a date or at least some 11 better descriptor on this last document? I just 12 had a quick glance. I appreciate we're doing this 13 at highway speed, but it flashed on screen. I 14 didn't learn --15 MR. McDADE: I see. 16 Could we date that? Q 17 MS. MORTON: Well, a lot of documents in DFO were not 18 dated, but this one appears to be 2010, as far as 19 I could figure out. 20 Perhaps if we can go to the bottom of one of the Q 21 pages and blow up the computer reference? Ιt 22 seems to --23 MS. MORTON: Or 2011, I guess, the proposal. 24 MR. McDADE: Yes, that's probably the best we can do. 25 All right. There's the next document I wanted to 26 mark is at Tab 22. It's an e-mail from Dr. 27 Miller, dated October 2nd, 2009. Can I have that 28 as Exhibit 1815? 29 THE REGISTRAR: So marked. 30 31 EXHIBIT 1815: E-mail from Kristi Miller-32 Saunders to Chuck Parken, Subject: 33 Clarification Question, with attachment 34 titled, 2009 Fraser Sockeye Meeting 35 Hypothesis, dated October 2, 2009 36 37 MR. McDADE: And at Tab 24, there's another e-mail from 38 Dr. Miller, dated October 5th, 2009. Can I have 39 that as Exhibit 1816? 40 THE REGISTRAR: So marked. 41 42 EXHIBIT 1816: E-mail from Kristi Miller-43 Saunders to Mark Saunders, Subject: Briefing 44 report, dated October 5, 2009 45 MR. McDADE: 46 And at Tab 25 there's another e-mail, 47 dated November 4th, 2009, that you referred to.

Can that be 1817? 1 2 THE REGISTRAR: So marked. 3 4 EXHIBIT 1817: E-mail from Kristi Miller-5 Saunders to Mark Saunders, Subject: Version 6 2, dated November 4, 2009 7 8 MR. McDADE: 9 Now, dealing with Dr. Miller's evidence and what Q 10 you learned about these -- her thought processes 11 in relation to the decline of the sockeye since 12 1992, did you draw any perspectives from that? 13 MS. MORTON: Well, she was saying that it wasn't only 14 how many times or the percentage of fish that had 15 the mortality-related signature, but how may organs they had it in. And so, for example, she 16 17 went over that last year, or when she was up here. 18 So in 2008, there was a high percentage of sockeye 19 that had it in one organ, but in two thousand --20 the generation that went out for 2009, so the 2007 21 fish, had it in several organs. And so she felt 22 that when it was showing up in several organs it 23 was probably more pathogenic to the fish. 24 Now, this is a work in progress, but what 25 really disturbs me is that her budget for working on sockeye has been removed. And within ringtail 26 27 I came across many proposals where she outlines in 28 great detail of what needs to be done to figure 29 out what this disease is. And I think we really 30 -- we owe it to ourselves, we owe it to, 31 certainly, the First Nations of the Fraser River, 32 are the Fraser sockeye dying of brain tumours and 33 infectious disease? Because when I read back into 34 the literature, we had Craig Stephens on the 35 stand, and I was surprised he didn't talk more 36 about it. But in his PhD thesis that he wrote in 37 1995 he said a neoplastic infectious virus could 38 have profound regulatory impact on this industry. 39 He also flagged human health concerns. And he 40 suggested that we should be careful, that this is 41 going to appear in wild fish. He said that the 42 government really should have paid the farmers to 43 remove these fish to reduce the risk to the Fraser 44 sockeye. 45 So it's been, honestly, a huge weight on me 46 to know all of this and not be able to tell the 47 First Nations, first of all, of my territory, and

of their territory that I live in, and of the 1 2 Fraser First Nations. So it feels very good to be 3 able to get some of this out, now. But I think 4 it's urgent that we learn what this is. 5 And when Dr. Kent was on the stand, he 6 retracted a lot of what he wrote in his papers, 7 and that needs to go back to the scientific 8 journals, like the Journal of Cancer he published 9 several times in, about this virus. 10 So I know we want to go -- speed through 11 this. 12 Dr. Miller is now talking Let me ask you this: Q 13 about a potential identification as parvovirus, 14 but as I understand her evidence, she's still 15 connecting that up with the early entry and the 16 pre-spawn mortality. 17 That's right, so --MS. MORTON: 18 Does it matter what we call it? 19 MS. MORTON: It doesn't matter what we call it. And so 20 it was interesting, on the stand, to hear these men to say they don't -- they actually don't know 21 22 what it is. They never went the final stage to visualize it. They never were able to actually 23 24 figure out if it was a virus or not; they're 25 calling it a syndrome. I think Dr. Miller is 26 going to figure it out because of the technology 27 that she is so skilled at. 28 So first she saw the signal in the cells of 29 the fish that they were reacting to this thing, 30 but she can also, with the help of Kyle Garver, 31 figure out what it is, and I believe she needs to 32 be unfettered in that job and to figure out what 33 it is. But it doesn't matter what it ends up 34 being called, we need to know if it's a human 35 health issue and also is it what's destroying the 36 Fraser sockeye. 37 And when you said "these gentlemen never figured Q it out," I think you were referring to the 38 39 plasmacytoid leukemia or the marine anaemia back 40 in the late '90s or --41 MS. MORTON: That's right, so --42 -- early -- the early '90s. Q 43 -- Dr. Kent pioneered it. He was director MS. MORTON: 44 of the Pacific Biological Station. During a 45 portion of that he actually named it plasmatoid 46 (sic) leukemia. Dr. Craig Stephens did his PhD 47 thesis, so as another, Dr. Ribble, and they very

helpfully, in a 1997 paper, gave us a diagnostic, 1 2 because they said it's a difficult thing to 3 understand and to diagnose, so they said, if 4 there's interstitial cell hyperplasia of the 5 caudal kidney, you're probably got it. 6 So whatever that disease was that they were Q 7 talking about in the 1990s -- sorry, I see another 8 objection. 9 MR. PROWSE: Yes, Mr. Commissioner, I simply want to 10 state on the record that for the reasons that I 11 objected to the written report, I think much of 12 what we're hearing is a dialogue between counsel 13 and the witness about evidence which ought, in my 14 submission, to be, at the end of the day, a 15 submission between counsel for the participant and 16 the Commission, and I don't think this is 17 And asking what her "perspective" is I evidence. 18 don't think really advances the matter. So I 19 object to the line of questioning on that basis. 20 Sorry, and for the record, Cliff Prowse, for 21 the Province on B.C. 22 MR. McDADE: I'll take that as a statement for the 23 record and proceed, Mr. Commissioner. 24 In your research, did you see a connection between Q 25 plasmacytoid leukemia and BKD? 26 MS. MORTON: There was a lot of reference to plasmatoid 27 (sic) leukemia causing a swelling in the kidney, 28 and a lot of confusion in the early days. They 29 expressed this. And I quess the reason that Mr. 30 McDade and I are trying to do this is because I 31 spent so much time reading all this. You really 32 need to know it to -- and I don't want you to have 33 to go through the 2,000 hours, but when you look 34 at what they did in the Fraser River, they tested 35 those Cultus sockeye dozens of times for BKD and 36 the tests came up negative, negative, negative. 37 They were losing 100 percent three years in a row, 38 according to Mike Lapointe, of pre-spawn 39 mortality. They thought it was BKD, but it 40 wasn't. 41 So when I heard that salmon leukemia looks 42 like BKD, it did make me wonder, and then, with 43 Miller's work on top of it, it does make a person 44 wonder if that's what they were dying of. 45 And when you went to the fish health database, 46 what did you find? 47 MS. MORTON: Well, the early records from the salmon

1 farming were scattered and very hard to interpret, 2 but when I came across BCP002864 written by Dr. 3 Gary Marty, there I had something I could really 4 just look at. And he -- I found that the symptoms 5 that Drs. Kent and Stephens and Ribble had said 6 are the diagnostic simple for marine anaemia, 7 they're being diagnosed regularly. And in the 8 abbreviations tab, Dr. Marty was saying, "These 9 can be associated with a clinical diagnosis of 10 marine anaemia." 11 But then I heard Dr. Sheppard say he doesn't 12 believe in marine anaemia and he's not going to 13 diagnose it on a farm level. So it's not going to 14 appear on the records. But Dr. Marty is seeing 15 something, and we do need to figure out what that 16 is, and he is seeing it in Chinook farms, in 17 particular, in higher severity, but he's also 18 seeing it in the Atlantic farms as a level 1 19 severity. 20 So you saw -- can we have 2864 up on the screen? Q 21 That's Exhibit 1549, one of the subjects of his... 22 Now, Dr. Morton, you saw Dr. Marty do a -- do some magic with Excel and highlight the ISH column 23 24 next to the diagnosis column. You remember seeing 25 that during his evidence? 26 Yes, he collapsed columns, I believe, K MS. MORTON: 27 through perhaps AT, or --28 Q And he drew some conclusions about that? 29 MS. MORTON: But we need to go to the Pacific tab down 30 on the bottom. 31 So he did that --Q 32 MS. MORTON: Thank you. 33 0 He did that with the Atlantic salmon farms? 34 MS. MORTON: That's right. 35 Q What do you see when you do that with the Pacific 36 salmon farms? 37 You see more number 3s and especially more MS. MORTON: 38 number 2s under the ISH column, which you've got 39 to pan to the right, yeah. It's under kidneys, so 40 you're in liver. Stop. So yeah, AT. So if you 41 were to get rid of AS all the way back to the Y 42 columns --43 Can we sort --Q 44 MS. MORTON: In any case, yes. 45 So can --Q MS. MORTON: 46 Can you sort these? 47 Is it possible to sort by that, or are we Q

1 stretching our --MR. LUNN: Do you want the sort from largest to 2 3 smallest? 4 MS. MORTON: Yes, please. 5 MR. McDADE: Largest to smallest. 6 Yes. Perfect. That's good enough. MS. MORTON: 7 MR. McDADE: That's good enough. We can scroll down. 8 There are an exceptional number of 3s and 2s, Q 9 particularly 2s, in the Pacific salmon, are there 10 not? 11 MS. MORTON: Yes. And many of those are associated with non-12 13 diagnosis, not the KRS diagnosis? 14 MS. MORTON: That's right. A lot of these were, if you 15 were able to collapse the columns, you'd see they 16 were open diagnoses, which we know to be cases 17 unsolved. 18 Q And so the Pacifics are the Chinook salmon? 19 MS. MORTON: That' right. 20 That were present in the Discovery Islands? Q 21 MS. MORTON: Yes. So --22 What's the significance of this finding for you? 0 23 MS. MORTON: Well, the next thing I became curious 24 about, of course, is when you're looking at the 25 pattern of the Fraser sockeye, you do want to 26 wonder what happened in 2010. And so when I saw 27 Miller's work and I saw what Kent and Stephens 28 said about this disease, that it spreads from 29 Chinook to sockeye, they actually tested that, 30 that it was lethal to sockeye, I wondered, Well, 31 how many Chinook farms are there on the Fraser 32 sockeye migration routes along eastern Vancouver 33 Island? And so I went to the database that Josh 34 Korman organized and he lists whether they're 35 Atlantic or Chinook, so I just looked at the 36 Chinook farms. And interestingly enough, after 37 June of 2007, there have been no Chinook farms on 38 the Fraser sockeye migration route. And so the 39 fish that came back in 2010 went by no Chinook 40 farms and were not exposed to these numbers and 41 the severity of these symptoms and they came back. 42 Now, this is for somebody else to figure out, 43 but this is the pattern that I'm able to read from 44 these databases and from the information that's in 45 ringtail. 46 Q Can we have Exhibit QQ up on the screen? Now, 47 this is a graph and a chart of numbers that you

1 prepared? 2 MS. MORTON: That's right. So I looked at what were 3 the most high risk diseases, but I also looked at 4 the ones of interest so -- for me, in trying to 5 track this down. So I looked at BKD, and you can 6 see it's the blue line. Now, interestingly, in 7 early 2007, a lot of these symptoms spike, and I 8 don't know why that is. But you also can see the brain haemorrhaging, which is going to remain a 9 10 mystery until somebody tracks that down, but 11 certainly Dr. Miller saw it in the Fraser sockeye, 12 and in 2007, Dr. Marty began to look for it in the 13 farmed salmon and he found it. He notes that he 14 was able to diagnose a lot more causes of death 15 once he started looking in the brains and finding 16 this haemorrhage situation. 17 If we could go down to the next few graphs. 18 Q Well, let me just stop for a second. You prepared 19 this from document 2864, simply by counting fish 20 under each of these headings under the columns. 21 So this is an arithmetical exercise, not a matter 22 of judgment? 23 That's right. The way the data's taken MS. MORTON: 24 from the farms, the way the fresh silvers are 25 collected, you can't really say that -- you can't 26 really say how prevalent this is in the 27 population, but you can say simply how many fish 28 they found with that disease, and they found that 29 consistently over the years, so that was the 30 measure I chose. You could also use the 31 percentage of silvers that have these, but... 32 So I just --Q 33 MR. MARTLAND: Sorry, Mr. McDade. Mr. Commissioner, I've just noticed that Mr. Backman had indicated 34 35 he had a point, and I appreciate Mr. McDade may 36 have been looking at the screen, but perhaps he 37 can permit him the opportunity to make that 38 further point? 39 MR. McDADE: Well, I suppose. If it gets added to my 40 time. 41 MR. BACKMAN: I'll be very brief. I just wanted to 42 point out a couple of things in this interesting 43 discussion that have been glossed over and are 44 incorrect. And there was a point made about the 45 fish migrating around the south of Vancouver 46 Island not encountering salmon farms, and I think 47 that there are several salmon farms operating in

Puget Sound and there are Chinook salmon farms 1 2 operating on the west coast of Vancouver Island. 3 The second point also related to salmon farm 4 operation was in relation to the statement made 5 about the end of salmon farming -- the end of Chinook salmon farming after 2007. There are two 6 7 Chinook salmon farms that continue to operate in 8 Discovery Pass, one at Yellow Island and one at Middle Bay. So I think it should be -- and they 9 10 continue to operate until the present. 11 Q So let me just be clear, though, and I'll come 12 back to this point, the farm at Conville Bay, 13 which is the very farm we were talking about in 14 terms of marine anaemia, so I understand that 15 switched from Chinooks to Atlantics in mid-2007, 16 or perhaps there was a period of fallowing in 17 between? 18 MR. BACKMAN: The Chinook salmon have not been placed back into that farm; that's correct. But there 19 20 are still Chinook salmon in the area. 21 In your company? Q 22 MR. BACKMAN: Not in my company. 23 Okay. So thank you for that. Perhaps this is, Q since this is an appropriate time -- well, first 24 25 of all, can I mark that, now, as a full exhibit, 26 now, Mr. Commissioner? 27 MR. TAYLOR: We've been through this before. This is 28 how it got to be an exhibit for identification, 29 and it's part of this, what's being called, 30 omnibus approach to see what we do with this and 31 many other exhibits. 32 MR. McDADE: I don't think that's true, Mr. 33 Commissioner It was because Dr. Morton hadn't 34 been on the stand yet, that's why it was for 35 identification. 36 MR. TAYLOR: I mean, still, you can do many things with 37 numbers, and we heard some of that just now from 38 Ms. Morton --39 MR. McDADE: Well, there have been many similar 40 exhibits marked by previous witnesses. I don't 41 understand this at all. 42 MR. TAYLOR: Well, I'm still speaking, until the 43 gallery interrupted. 44 THE COMMISSIONER: Ladies and gentlemen, I think 45 Commission counsel have asked, respectfully, that 46 you honour the process here, and I would be very 47 grateful if you could withhold any comments while

1 you're in the public gallery. It would be very 2 helpful for all of us. Thank you very much. Mr. 3 Taylor? 4 MR. TAYLOR: Thank you. Ms. Morton spoke to -- you 5 could look at the information she had and put it 6 together this way or that - she was speaking to 7 that a few moments ago - this is properly, in my 8 view, something that should remain an exhibit for 9 identification. We can deal with it later. It's 10 not simply a matter of arithmetic. We're 11 bordering on expert evidence at the moment, and it 12 should remain for identification. 13 THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. McDade, I really don't want to 14 cut into your time. I think these kinds of 15 documents may, in the end, all be marked, but I 16 think we're going to run into this difficulty, and 17 I would respectfully suggest that we move on. We 18 will deal with these. If I have to make separate 19 rulings on these, I will. Hopefully, counsel will 20 work out an understanding about marking these 21 documents, but in the meantime, I'd like you to 22 move on. 23 MR. McDADE: All right. 24 So you were going -- Dr. Morton, you were going to Q 25 take us to the next page? 26 MS. MORTON: Yes. So, now this one was so interesting 27 to me because in three different years two 28 completely different symptoms. One is supposed to 29 occur mainly in Chinook as an endemic virus or 30 disease or symptoms or syndrome, marine anaemia 31 symptoms, and so that's the brown line. It spikes 32 the quarter before the infectious salmon anaemia-33 like lesions, so this is the exotic virus. And so 34 I pondered this a long time, had it up on my wall, 35 just considering it, and the marine anaemia 36 syndrome is noted by many scientists to be an 37 immune-suppressing situation for the fish. Ιt 38 takes a co-factor to actually kill the fish. So 39 BKD, Loma, different parasites, IHN, all of these 40 things attack a fish more easily if it's weakened 41 with marine anaemia, and --42 MR. PROWSE: Mr. Commissioner, I rise to object again. 43 The witness is now getting into questions of 44 interpretation of disease and that's outside her 45 field of expertise, and I think that's a 46 significant objection that must be made. 47 THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. McDade?

Again, Mr. Commissioner, we sought to 1 MR. McDADE: 2 qualify Dr. Morton as an expert and Commission 3 Counsel said that she'd be called at the 4 perspectives panel and able to give her 5 perspectives on these matters. And I don't know 6 why counsel for the Province is so determined to 7 keep this information from you, but it should be 8 allowed. 9 THE COMMISSIONER: I think so long as it's made clear 10 that this is a perspective and not an opinion, Mr. 11 McDade, it is fine. 12 MR. McDADE: Yes. 13 THE COMMISSIONER: I did start to collect the 14 impression that we were moving off the perspective 15 kind of evidence. Thank you. 16 MR. McDADE: Yes, I'm simply trying, Mr. Commissioner, to put some of these questions in front of you. 17 18 The conclusions to be drawn from them are yours. 19 MS. MORTON: Yeah, the only thing I would want you to 20 take this -- take from this, Mr. Commissioner, is 21 that Dr. Miller needs a chance to look at what 22 this is. Somebody more experienced with disease, 23 who's known to speak freely, needs to look at 24 this. 25 And can we go back to your report, back to Exhibit Q 26 BBB (sic) and go back to page 19. I just want you 27 to explain this particular chart and how you've 28 constructed it. Not this one, the next one. Or 29 the one at the -- sorry, the next page over. Yes. 30 MS. MORTON: So in this one I just -- I just put --31 marked where the two -- when the 2009 Fraser 32 sockeye were going to sea, just the time, and the 33 2010s and the 2011s. So whatever marine anaemia 34 syndrome symptoms are, there was a lot of them 35 when the 2009s went to sea, and those fish had a 36 very high percentage of them had what Miller 37 wonders might be this very thing. So I'll just 38 leave it at that. 39 And then, if we go down, I was interested to 40 hear that Yellow Island Chinook farm was 41 operating, because that is not in Mr. Korman's 42 database. But the second one that was mentioned 43 is a closed containment facility. So in any case, 44 there were fewer Chinook farms, I would say, or 45 fewer Chinook salmon in farms on the Fraser 46 sockeye Discovery Islands migration area. 47 This lower one was prepared from Dr. Korman's 0

documents? 1 2 MS. MORTON: From Dr. Korman's data. And I do take 3 Clare Backman's point about there being farms in 4 other areas, but it is a matter of dispersion. So if you have a small body of water with a large 5 6 number of pathogens going into it, the density of 7 those pathogens, I think we could all accept would 8 be higher than if they are dispersed right out 9 into the open Pacific. 10 So could we go to the map on the next page? Q 11 Sorry, I've got a different version here. Let me 12 change -- change for a second and go to -- if we 13 could have 2850 up on the screen, Mr. Lunn? 14 That's the 1549, the other database. 15 MR. MARTLAND: I wonder if I could just make sure the record reflects -- I think this is 1549-206; is 16 17 that right, Mr. Lunn? Thank you. 18 MR. McDADE: Yes, thank you for that, Mr. Martland. So 19 if we could go to Tab 17, please? Now, if we 20 could just follow in there on line 231. 21 There's a diagnosis here of marine anaemia. If we Q 22 could go backwards to the -- well, we can see on 23 the -- on Column A that that's a 2006 fourth 24 quarter, at P.3-24. Now, I wanted to suggest to 25 you, Mr. Backman, that that's Conville Bay. You don't know? 26 27 If you're saying it's Conville Bay, I'll MR. BACKMAN: 28 It's not my area of have to accept that. 29 expertise on this panel. 30 So you didn't look at -- when you were saying, Q 31 earlier, that there was no diagnosis of marine 32 anaemia that your vets were aware of, you hadn't 33 looked at this document? MR. BACKMAN: If this is the document that was brought 34 35 in earlier on the other panel, when Dr. Gary Marty 36 was being discussed -- or being questioned, then I remember this particular point being brought up, 37 38 but I addressed that earlier in my comments. 39 Q All right. Could we go to Tab 3 of that same 40 spreadsheet. If we could go up to line 381 --41 371. Sorry, just a sec. I'm not sure I've got 42 the same number. Maybe try 393. Yes, there's the 43 same farm, P.3-24. This is the histology report 44 for that farm. And if you scroll over to Tab J, 45 the histology report says 23 of 24 - sorry, we lost it --46 47 That's good. MS. MORTON:

1 Dr. Morton, what does it say there for you? Q 2 MS. MORTON: Well, the Loma parasite was in 23 out of 3 the 24, and -- or Loma was in 23 of 24 and they 4 had hematopoietic hyperplasia compatible with 5 marine anaemia, but not direct evidence clinical 6 signs include marine anaemia. 7 This is where it does get confusing for me, 8 because some people, like Dr. Saksida yesterday, 9 said histology was the gold standard for 10 diagnosing this. Later, in other evidence, here, 11 I see there's a gram stain test for marine 12 It's very clear that there's a lot of anaemia. 13 confusion around this syndrome, and I don't think 14 -- it's hard for me to find the right words so 15 that nobody's going to object, but there's a lot 16 of confusion on how to diagnose it, what it is, 17 and whether people are going to report it. 18 Q So can you tell me, Mr. Backman, am I correct in 19 understanding it's possible that the audit finds a 20 diagnosis but they don't tell your vets? I think I mentioned before that the vet 21 MR. BACKMAN: 22 made no diagnosis of marine anaemia at the farm 23 site. I think I also mentioned, and we all heard 24 Dr. Gary Marty say that histopathological work is 25 one element in the overall package of material 26 that's provided to the fish health professionals, 27 and it has to be consistent with what the rest of 28 the people are seeing at the farm site; the 29 veterinarian and the fish health professional. So 30 something that says indication of fish anaemia 31 could be a rule-out of some other things that are 32 on the farm site. 33 Q So it's possible that the audit vet could diagnose 34 marine anaemia, but your farm vets could diagnose 35 something else; is that what you're saying? 36 MR. BACKMAN: No. I'm saying that the Provincial 37 audit, bringing that piece of material forward from Dr. Marty did not make a diagnosis of marine 38 39 anaemia; it made an observation, as was stated by 40 Dr. Marty, an observation of symptoms that could 41 be of a number of things, including marine 42 anaemia. They did not make a diagnosis of marine 43 anaemia; therefore, none was conveyed to the 44 company vet, and the company vet independently did 45 not diagnose marine anaemia at any point in time 46 on the farm site. 47 So what you're saying is there was no formal 0

diagnosis, but 23 of 24 fish having marine anaemia 1 2 symptoms doesn't make a diagnosis, in your mind? 3 MR. BACKMAN: I'm saying the professionals felt that 4 the information given to them by the 5 histopathological tests on those 23 weren't 6 confirmed by the rest of the information available 7 to them to be confirmed as marine anaemia. 8 And that's your professionals? You feel that your Q 9 vets have the right to make that determination 10 independently of the Provincial auditor? Is that 11 what you're saying? 12 I think that all the fish health MR. BACKMAN: 13 professionals have the ability to work 14 independently of each other, and if the Provincial 15 audit folks and the veterinarian there felt they 16 had a case for marine anaemia being present at the 17 farm, they would have advised that to our staff. 18 And conversely, if our staff felt that, based on 19 what they were dealing with at the farm site 20 level, if they were dealing with marine anaemia, 21 they would have made that call, themselves, as 22 well. 23 Is it reasonable to say, and let's just leave that Q 24 for a minute, but in terms of that level of 25 information that you have, the public has never 26 had access to that level of information until this 27 Commission? 28 That's correct. MR. BACKMAN: 29 If your farm vets don't make a diagnosis, it Q 30 doesn't get reported? 31 If our farm vets don't make a diagnosis, MR. BACKMAN: 32 that's correct, it doesn't get reported because, 33 in their professional opinion, it doesn't exist. 34 It doesn't exist. So if 23 of 24 fish died of Q 35 these symptoms, it doesn't exist? 36 MR. BACKMAN: I'll state, again, that the information 37 we have before us was that 23 samples were taken that had histological results which could be 38 39 consistent with marine anaemia or other things, 40 and at the farm site they weren't seeing that 41 particular disease present itself. 42 So just as to the level of reporting to the Q 43 general public and the level of reporting that 44 people have access to, in that eventuality they 45 get access to absolutely nothing? They're not 46 told that 23 of 24 fish died, they're not told 47 what the symptoms are, they're not told anything,

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR.	it simply is not reportable in any way? BACKMAN: That's an extremely important point to make and I'm glad you made it, because what happens here is that when this kind of information that has to be considered within the context of the training required for fish health professionals working from a variety of levels, working together, is made put into a place where the public has access to it, a lot of bits and pieces of information can be taken out of context and can be considered independently of all the rest of the context. What's important - yes, it is important that this information gets made public and is out in the public domain - but it's also important that the proper context and proper
16		professionals are looking at the information.
17	0	You would support making this level of information
18	~	public?
19	MR.	BACKMAN: I think that this will be that will be
20		a decision made by the regulator and the audits
21		are going to be made by the Federal Fisheries and
22		Oceans. They've made a commitment to public
23		disclosure of information. I don't know whether
24	-	that's what they're going to do or not.
25	Q	But from the fish farm industry's perspective,
26		there's no reason why they shouldn't be made
27		public?
28	MR.	BACKMAN: I think at this point in time, under the
29		new regime, the fish farmers are actually
3U 21		which is a big stop up from what we were doing
21 20		hefere I mentioned there is a number of
32 33		additional reports that are new being required
27		additional reports that are now being required,
34 2E	\sim	and this is one of them.
30	Q	so a monthly report of a fish health event. But
30 27		can i just be clear: if it's not diagnosed, it's
37		not a lish health event?
30	MR.	BACKMAN: In the In the
39	Q	In the current structure:
40	MR.	BACKMAN: Yean, in the opinion of the veterinarian
4 L 4 O		and the fish health professionals, they would be
42		reporting events that were actually occurring on
43	\sim	une larm, not
44	У МС	IES.
40 46	MS.	STEWART: I THINK I JUST WANTED TO ADD A LITTLE
46 47		I've had countless discussions with Mr. Swerdfager

about this, and my understanding was that there 1 2 was at least a tentative or preliminary agreement 3 between the Department of Fisheries and Oceans and 4 the industry that disease information would be 5 withheld for a probably significant period of time 6 in order not to influence the marketability of the 7 product. In other words, the farms don't want it 8 going public if there are diseases, because they 9 might have problems selling those fish. And that 10 disease information would eventually be made 11 public, but probably after that grow-out cycle, 12 after those fish had been marketed. 13 Now, this is just based on conversation with 14 Mr. Swerdfager. I'm not in a position to say 15 whether or not that has been finally determined. 16 MR. McDADE: Well, right after the break I think we'll 17 go to the question of the licence and what is 18 reportable. So maybe this would be a good time, 19 Mr. Commissioner. 20 THE REGISTRAR: The hearing will now recess for 10 21 minutes. 22 23 (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED FOR AFTERNOON RECESS) 24 (PROCEEDINGS RECONVENED) 25 26 THE REGISTRAR: The hearing is now resumed. 27 MR. MARTLAND: Mr. Commissioner, just as Mr. McDade 2.8 recommences, I'll alert him and you that because 29 on our clock the objections arising earlier took 30 about 17 minutes of time, so what we'll propose to 31 do - this is rather precise - but Mr. Kelliher 32 would much prefer to deal with his guestions 33 today. We're proposing that Mr. McDade would run 34 from now till 3:48, that the last 12 or so minutes 35 would go over to Mr. Kelliher for his questions. 36 We'd then convene at four o'clock and then Mr. 37 McDade will do the math, but he'd have some 38 remaining time tomorrow morning for his further 39 questions. Thank you. 40 MR. McDADE: That's satisfactory. 41 42 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. McDADE, continuing: 43 44 0 Could I have -- speaking of reporting, could I 45 have document 1594 up on the -- now, as I 46 understand that, Mr. Backman and Ms. Parker, this 47 is the current licence used by Fisheries and

Oceans Canada, that it's quite a lengthy document, 1 2 but it defines what the obligations are of the 3 fish farms for reporting? 4 MR. BACKMAN: Yes, it is the document. 5 Can we go to page 35 of that document. This is, Ο 6 as far as I can tell, the sum total of the 7 obligations to report in relation to fish health 8 events, fish health and fish mortality events. 9 Ms. Parker, you're shaking your head. Is there 10 another part of the licence I should be looking 11 at? MS. PARKER: Can we go to -- I think it's section 4 of 12 13 the licence and, I'm sorry, I couldn't see when 14 you put this up, this is the Marine Finfish 15 Licence? Yes. 16 Yeah, so within section 4 there is recording 17 and reporting requirements, which have to do with 18 fish transfer, fish health certifications, I think 19 that's 4.1(b)(iv), risk assessment, diagnostic 20 reports, stock compartmentalization, biosecurity 21 I think if you scroll a bit more, then measures. 22 again in 4.4 there's information, fish health 23 information, age/life, species, proposed date of 24 transfer. Then there's the actual Fish Health 25 Management Plan, and so we should probably -- and 26 Sea Lice Monitoring, so section 6, as well. If 27 you go to the appendix related to section 5 -- oh, 28 appendix 4, as well, is reporting requirements. 29 There's a lot of reporting requirements. 30 Well, the only actual document that I could find Q 31 that engages what the report is, is page 35. 32 Let's just go there. 33 MS. PARKER: There's --34 Is there another appendix here that I'm missing? Q 35 MS. PARKER: Well, you asked about reporting, and 36 there's monthly fish health reports, there's fish 37 health attestations, all those are embedded in the 38 text of the documents, and they are contingent 39 reporting. So some of the reporting is monthly, 40 some is quarterly, some is event-based. 41 Right. But fish health management, let's look at Q 42 the document that has to be completed for each 43 individual health event, at Part C. Are you 44 saying that there's some other document that the 45 fish farmers have to supply under the licence, 46 relating to a fish health event? 47 MS. PARKER: Relating to the fish health event, this is

1 the actual form that needs to be filled out. 2 Q Right. 3 But there's supplementary information that MS. PARKER: 4 must be provided with it. 5 This is the form that DFO gets, and the only form Q 6 that they will have to put up on their website. 7 Under "Diagnosis" there's a small line. Now, this 8 is -- Dr. Morton, what do you have to say about 9 this, compared to the hundreds of pages of 10 database that we've seen --11 MS. PARKER: Excuse -- excuse me. 12 Let me come back to you, Ms. Parker. 13 MS. MORTON: Well, I don't think Dr. Marty could fit 14 everything that he's been saying about each one of 15 these fish in each one of these farms in that 16 small space. And a question that's large in my mind is in April of last year, 2010, the Salmon 17 18 Farmers said they didn't need the Province's 19 services any more, so I don't even know if the 20 audits have been done since 2010 and what's going 21 on at this point. I searched for that through 22 Ringtail and there seemed to be a lot of 23 indecision about it, so it's very hard to know 24 what's going on. 25 Ms. Parker, I'll come back to you. Q DFO can't 26 report what they don't know. In other words, they 27 can't report what the fish farmers don't have to 28 report to them, right? 29 MS. PARKER: This form does not represent the sum total 30 of information that needs to be reported in 31 support of a fish health event, or in support of 32 fish transfers, fish movements, all of those have 33 fish health reporting. DFO would hold all of that 34 information and could report on that. 35 And there's a -- I'd just like to clear up 36 something that was said earlier about reporting 37 delays. And I think it is accurate to say that 38 there are some reporting delays. My understanding from reading the fish health, or the reporting 39 40 policy is that the licensing -- delays and posting 41 information are not from market access, but 42 actually to make sure that companies are being 43 compliant with Securities legislation, such that 44 if they have a mass mortality event, for example, 45 from a plankton bloom, shareholders have to be --46 have to be notified prior to the general public 47 being notified to present -- to prevent sort of

insider trading information. However, I think 1 2 that delay is something like two weeks, so it's 3 not a significant delay. 4 Q Ms. Parker --5 And that wouldn't preclude reporting to MS. PARKER: 6 CFIA, et cetera, on OIE reportable diseases. 7 You'd agree with me, wouldn't you, that this is Q 8 completely inadequate for public reporting, if 9 that's all there is. 10 MS. PARKER: That's not all there is, so I can't really 11 agree with that. 12 If that's all there is, that would be completely Q 13 inadequate in your view, isn't it? 14 MS. PARKER: You're asking me to suppose that that 15 would be the only thing? 16 All right. Well, maybe you can go off tonight and Q 17 figure out what extra stuff there is, because I 18 think we'll be seeing --19 MS. PARKER: I could run through it now. 20 No, no, let's go to -- let's go to Mr. Backman. Q 21 Do you agree that that's completely inadequate? 22 THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Blair. 23 MR. BLAIR: I have an objection, the witness is doing 24 their very best to identify a number of documents 25 and the complexity of it, and Mr. McDade is 26 jumping over the witness's answer. He's inviting 27 her to go away to come back tomorrow prepared to 28 indicate what else she might be able to indicate, 29 and she said, I heard her, "I can do it now." And 30 he jumped on top of the answer, and I think that's 31 disrespectful of the witness. 32 MR. McDADE: Yeah, we're all working in time delays, 33 but if you have something in particular to point 34 out to me, do it now. 35 MR. TAYLOR: Well, we're probably at the point I wanted 36 to get to, but he wants the witness to do it 37 tomorrow after thinking about it overnight. The 38 witness says she'll do it, and he won't let her do 39 it, but now I think he is. 40 MR. McDADE: Okay, go ahead. What is there in the licence that 41 Q 42 says they have to report? 43 Well, we can start back with -- sorry, we MS. PARKER: 44 can start back with section 4. 45 Section 4 relates to "Transfer of Fish". I've Q 46 asked about fish health events. Could we just 47 answer the question I've asked.

1 2	MS.	PARKER: Section 4.1(b) says:
2 3 4 5 6 7		(b) the licence holder has obtained written confirmation, executed by the source facility's veterinarian or fish health staff; that, in his/her professional judgment:
8		(i) mortalities
9 10 11		Which is the fish health concern -
12 13 14 15		have not exceeded 1% per day due to any infectious diseases, [or] for any four consecutive day period during the rearing period;
17 18 19 20		(ii) the stock to be moved from the source facility shows no signs of clinical disease requiring treatment;
21 22	Q	This not a fish health event, is it, it's a transfer of fish.
23 24 25 26	MS. Q	PARKER: This is fish health reporting. What I'm asking for is a fish health event, a disease in your fish farm, when you have a disease outbreak, what do you have to report other than
27 28 29 30 31 32 33	MS.	the form that we looked at on the licence. PARKER: If you can scroll down to the section where it refers to the fish health event form, which I think is I might not be that fast, Mr. Lunn. I think if you go a little bit farther so all of section 7.1, of 7, is fish health reporting
34 35 26	Q	No, 7.1 is Fish Health Record Keeping, is it not? It's what the fish farmers have to keep records
36 37 38	MS.	PARKER: There is a section, and I'm sorry, I can't find the reference very quickly
39 40	Q	Well, that's why I was going to suggest you take overnight.
41 42 43 44	MS.	PARKER: "Undertake the following measures to determine" where it refers to the fish health event report, it also says that you must put the diagnostic records with it, mortality records, et
46 47	Q	So that's it. Do you think that that's adequate, compared to the disease records that we've seen in

1		this Commission?
2	MS.	PARKER: I think that the level of fish health
3		reporting the level of animal health reporting
4		in salmon farming far exceeds that in any other
5		food production, and I think it's a fantastic
6		start. I think it's very transparent, and I think
7		the fact that the information is will be
8		available to the regulator in full, because of the
9		detailed records that must be kept and can be
10		provided upon request, is frankly quite robust.
11	Q	You think that's robust, that's your evidence.
12	MS.	PARKER: Yes, I do.
13	Q	So you were a director of affairs at Grieg for how
14		many years?
15	MS.	PARKER: Four years.
16	Q	And how you're at DFO?
17	MS.	PARKER: Yes.
18	Q	What's your job at DFO now?
19	MS.	PARKER: I work at Aquaculture Management
20		Directorate as a Senior Policy Analyst.
21	Q	You write Policy for Aquaculture?
22	MS.	PARKER: Yes, I do, primarily with the East Coast
23	-	of Canada.
24	Q	Mr. Backman, you're with the industry still. Can
25		you assure this Commission that you will not
26		resist providing the disease information at the
27		level that we've seen before this Commission?
28	MR.	BACKMAN: Marine Harvest is going to completely
29		comply with the requirements of the new Pacific
30		Aquaculture Licence. The conditions of licence
31		indicate a variety of information, both mortality-
32		related, fish health-related, and as you're asking
33		for, fish health events on different scales of
34		information over different periods of time, and we
35		will be reporting entirely consistent with that.
36	Q	You can't think of any reason, from an industry
3/		perspective, that you that DFO wouldn't put on
38		their website all of this information?
39	MR.	BACKMAN: I mentioned once before, DFO is engaging
40		and endeavouring to put more and more information
41		up on their website, and we're learning as we go
42		as to what that's going to what's going to be
43	~	on the website.
44	Q	Obviously you'll comply with what DFO requires.
45		But what I'm asking is if DFO was to require more,
46		you wouldn't have any objection to it?
4 /	MR.	BACKMAN: DFO adds conditions of licence; we will

comply with the conditions of licence. This is an 1 2 ongoing -- the conditions of licence are ongoing, 3 and they're adaptive and we will comply with how 4 they change. 5 So for Mr. Commissioner, there's no good reason Q 6 from an industry's perspective why we couldn't 7 require a lot more information than is currently 8 required, from your perspective. 9 MR. BACKMAN: Again, we are -- we are complying with 10 the licence as it's laid out, the conditions of 11 licence as it's laid out. 12 Yes. Q 13 MR. BACKMAN: And expected to change over time, and we 14 will continue to comply. 15 And there's no good reason why we shouldn't put Q 16 forward a recommendation that more information, as 17 much information as is available be available 18 publicly. We don't need to keep any disease data 19 secret any more, do we? 20 MR. BACKMAN: Every counsel is free to bring forward 21 their recommendation, and if that's the 22 recommendation to be brought forward, I think it 23 should be. 24 Q Will you instruct your counsel to consent to that 25 recommendation? 26 I said if you wish to bring forward that MR. BACKMAN: 27 recommendation, that's in your purview to do. 28 I see. All right. Can we have, sorry, Tab 2 of Q 29 the Aquaculture Coalition's documents on the 30 screen. Now, this is the report by Dr. Tucker, I 31 think, that you were referring to earlier, Dr. 32 Morton? 33 MS. MORTON: That's correct. 34 MR. McDADE: Can we have that marked as the next 35 exhibit. 36 THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 1818. 37 38 EXHIBIT 1818: Tucker, Salmon Aquaculture-39 Comparison of Regulations, May 25, 2005 40 41 MR. McDADE: Can we have document 40 on the screen. 42 That's an email from Dr. Jones to Dr. Miller dated 43 October 8th, 2008, that's referred to in your 44 report. Can we have that marked as the next 45 exhibit. 46 THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 1819. 47

EXHIBIT 1819: Email from Simon Jones to 1 2 Kristi Miller-Saunders, October 8, 2008 3 4 MR. McDADE: Can we have document 43, please. This is 5 an email from Dr. Miller dated November 16th, 2010 6 that you referred to in your report. Can we have 7 that marked as the next exhibit. 8 THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 1820. 9 10 EXHIBIT 1820: Email string between Diane 11 Lake and Kristi Miller-Saunders re "Media 12 lines - fish disease", November 16, 2010 13 14 MR. McDADE: Document 52, an email from Stewart Johnson 15 referred to in your report. Can we have that marked the next exhibit. 16 17 THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 1821. 18 19 EXHIBIT 1821: Email string between Stewart 20 Johnson, Arlene Tompkins and others re "brief 21 summary needed related to Sx response", from 22 November 2, 2009 to November 3, 2009 23 24 MR. McDADE: Thank you. 25 In the very few minutes I have remaining today, Q 26 Dr. Morton, I'd like to address the experience in 27 other places with fish health and disease. This 28 is a question I addressed to Dr. Fleming. In your 29 research, what's your experience in terms of other 30 -- the health of fish stocks, wherever there's 31 been fish farming in other countries? 32 MS. MORTON: Well, there's a number of research papers, 33 one by two people that I know, Jennifer Ford and 34 Ransom Myers, talking about how wild salmon 35 decline exceptionally wherever there's salmon 36 farms, due to the amplification of disease and 37 also genetic pollution. 38 I talked to a lot of people. I went to 39 Norway and I gave a presentation at the University 40 of Bergen and met with scientists there. And 41 there's severe problems with sea lice, with 42 pancreatic disease, salmon alphavirus. And the 43 theme that keeps coming up in speaking to these 44 different scientists is new and emerging diseases. 45 So when it was proposed to bring Atlantic 46 salmon eggs into British Columbia, the Ministry of 47 Environment provincially put up quite a fight.

They called it Russian roulette and many other 1 2 things. And so one has to wonder, of course, if 3 new and emerging diseases are constantly becoming 4 a problem with this industry, they were not 5 screened for in eggs previously. 6 There's serious troubles everywhere. When we 7 talked about what Norway has and what regulations 8 Norway has, they're not doing very well either 9 with this industry. 10 So you mentioned the screening of eggs. Q Mr. 11 Backman, would you agree with me that your company 12 has not -- has imported eggs from other countries? 13 MR. BACKMAN: Marine Harvest has in the past imported 14 eggs, yes. 15 Yes. And I take it this is self-evident. You've Q 16 only screened for the diseases you know about at 17 the time you brought them in? 18 MR. BACKMAN: The screening required both at the point 19 source of the eggs and here again in British 20 Columbia is quite robust. They're quarantine 21 procedures. I think we've already heard a lot 22 about the process that happens at the point of the 23 source, and the -- and then what happens here in British Columbia. And but your point is taken 24 25 that everything that is screened for is diseases 26 that are -- that are known, described, and are 27 able to be tested for. And in other countries, your company and your 28 Q 29 parent company have seen a number of new diseases 30 come into their fish farms, even though they were 31 taking all the care that they could possibly take. 32 MR. BACKMAN: I'm not -- I'm not an expert on the 33 disease situation in other countries. But I'm sure that new diseases are being discovered as 34 35 time goes forward. We have the very discussion 36 about Dr. Kristi Miller and her work tells us that 37 there's -- this is a field that is growing. 38 All right. And there's no way to protect against Q 39 that, is there. Simply nothing you can do to 40 protect against that? 41 MR. BACKMAN: We operate according to the available 42 procedures that are internationally accepted as in 43 Canada and British Columbia, and we make the tests 44 and for the variety of diseases that are being 45 tested for. 46 Q So for instance parvovirus, if it turns out to be 47 the thing that's been connected to the decline of

100 PANEL NO. 62 Cross-exam by Mr. McDade (AQUA) Cross-exam by Mr. Kelliher (LJHAH)

the sockeye, you haven't been testing for that? 1 2 MR. BACKMAN: There isn't yet a test for that, Mr. 3 McDade. 4 Q Okay. 5 So when a test is developed and if it's MR. BACKMAN: 6 found to be of concern beyond the level of 7 information that's currently available, it will be 8 added, I'm sure, to the list of tests. And if it turns out the parvovirus came from the 9 Q 10 fish farms, you'll be able to say that you 11 completely complied with all the regulations that 12 were in place, won't you? 13 MR. BACKMAN: Well, that's a level of speculation again 14 that it's just bordering on -- on inventing 15 stories. But, yes, you know, we're so far right 16 now from knowing where the parvovirus is, what 17 exactly it's made up, its complete structure is, 18 where it's located, these are steps that we're 19 taking over the next, who knows, years. 20 If there's a new disease present on any of your Q 21 farms today, there's nothing in an open net farm 22 that keeps that spreading outside to the wild 23 salmon, is there? 24 MR. BACKMAN: The status of the fish health on our 25 farms these days is in the main healthy and 26 without disease events. The disease events that 27 are occurring at our fish farms when they do arise 28 are endogenous diseases that are present up and 29 down the coast and challenging the wild fish day 30 in and day out. 31 Well, I'll come back to that point, Mr. MR. McDADE: 32 Commissioner, tomorrow morning. I'm going to cede 33 the floor to my friend. 34 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. McDade. 35 MR. MARTLAND: Thank you. Mr. Commissioner, Mr. 36 Kelliher for the Aboriginal Aquaculture 37 Association until 4:00. 38 MR. KELLIHER: Panellists, my name is Steven Kelliher, 39 and I am counsel for the Aboriginal Aquaculture 40 Association. 41 42 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. KELLIHER: 43 44 Mr. Lunn, can I ask you to bring up the Socio-Q 45 Economic Benefits Report. Now, all of you may have had a chance to look at this document, but I 46 47 understand, Mr. Backman, you've particularly taken
101 PANEL NO. 62 Cross-exam by Mr. Kelliher (LJHAH)

1 2 3 4	MR. Q	some time to review it in detail; is that correct? BACKMAN: I have looked at it, yes. Sir, can I take you to page 5 of the document, please And particularly the second and third
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. Q	<pre>picase. This particularly the second and third paragraphs, if they could be highlighted at page 5. That is page 3, Mr. Lunn. Page 5 is second, and there we are. Sir, you gave some evidence earlier about the involvement of First Nations in aquaculture along the coast of British Columbia. BACKMAN: That's correct. And I'm asking you to address yourself to the second and third paragraph here, and I'll ask you if this information accords with the information that you are aware of, particularly referring to the number of aboriginal individuals involved in the aquaculture process itself, and the reference here is:</pre>
19 20 21		The 108 aboriginals employed in salmon farming operations earn \$5,441,000 annually.
22 23 24 25 26	MR.	And then the various graphs are set out beneath, that detail those figures. Is that an amount that you are familiar with and does it accord with your own research in this area? BACKMAN: On the one hand marine harvest doesn't
27 28 29 30 31		keep detailed information about the First Nation or non-First Nation backgrounds of folks, so this information was gathered for the report, aside from myself and my Human Relations Department. So we can't offer that up directly. But for the
32 33 34 35		purposes of doing the report, and for the people that responded directly who have that information, it is consistent that a number of individuals working at 108 individuals, would, yes,
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47	Q	represent that level of income annually. All right. These figures don't surprise you, and they accord with your general sense of what would be earned by First Nations in fish farming in
	MR. Q	British Columbia? BACKMAN: That's correct, yes. All right. Can I ask, Mr. Lunn, to go to page 6, please. Now, Mr. Backman, as well as in the aquaculture process itself, there are other areas of employment that are open to First Nations, and I'm thinking of the processing operations. Are there First Nations employed with your company in

1		that capacity?
2	MR.	BACKMAN: A significant number of people working at
- 3		both of our processing plants are First Nation
1		In fact in the Klemty operation mid-coast
- <u>-</u>		Pritich Columbia almost all Trouble car 05
S		Billish Columbia, almost all, i would say 95
0		percent, are of First Nation origin. And in the
/		larger facility in Port Hardy, I think the
8		percentage would be around 50 percent.
9	Q	All right. And if I could bring your attention,
10		please, to the fourth full paragraph on that page
11		6, beginning:
12		
13		The 178 aboriginals employed in salmon
14		processing operations earn \$5 557 000
15		appually
1 J		annually.
10		
1/		Does that accord with your understanding of the
18		incomes obtained by First Nations in working in
19		the salmon processing operations in connection
20		with aquaculture?
21	MR.	BACKMAN: Yes, it does.
22	0	All right. And are there other training
23	~	opportunities that are made available to First
24		Nations as a result of association with
25		aquaculture in the processing of its product?
25	MD	PACKMAN: Vog Every employee working at a salmen
20	MK.	form in the first two weeks undersee
27		larm in the lirst two years undergoes
28		approximately 20 separate training courses. They
29		range everywhere from first-aid, food safety
30		training, forklift operations, WHMIS training,
31		there's a variety of courses that are offered to
32		First Nation individuals who are working at the
33		company.
34	0	All right. Sir, can I take you to page 8, the
35	~	at 3.5, "A Success Story: Kitasoo". Can you just
36		have a moment, please take a moment to review
37		those few paragraphs
20		Are you familiar with that site? You are of
20		Ale you lamillar with that site: Tou ale, of
39		Course.
40	MR.	BACKMAN: In Kitasoo, yes, I've been there many
4⊥		times.
42	Q	All right. And if I can take you to the last
43		sentence in the first full paragraph:
44		
45		Today, salmon farming provides 15 full-time
46		equivalent jobs for Kitasoo First Nation
47		members worth \$50,000 annually.

1		And:
2		
3		The Kitasoo have also constructed a
4		processing plant. The plant currently
5		processes 1.4 million pounds of farmed salmon
6		each month - generating gross revenues of
0		each month generating gross revenues of
/		~\$2.2 million monthly. Processing activities
8		employ 30 full time equivalent jobs at full
9		operation - contributing approximately \$1
10		million in wages to [that village's] economy.
11		
12		Does that accord with your knowledge of that
13		situation?
14	MR.	BACKMAN: It does, and it's one of the few
15		opportunities for that remote village to engage in
16		this lovel of economic involvement on the coast of
17		D C C it is economic involvement of the coalle of
1 /	0	B.C. So it's very, very important to the village.
18	Q	Right. Meaning that the older industries of
19		mining, logging, what fishing there was, were in
20		decline, and the community was in severe economic
21		circumstances, correct?
22	MR.	BACKMAN: That's correct, yes.
23	0	And the benefits that have come to that community
24	~	from aquaculture have been enormous and made an
25		incredible difference in the lives of those
26		neonle correct?
20	MD	PACKMAN: That's correct and in my understanding
27	MR.	from more reacted to the reacted and in my understanding
20	0	rom many visits up there, yes.
29	Q	Now, bearing in mind this is a perspectives panel,
30		I'm going to ask you a question, each of you, that
31		I asked a series of scientists that have been on
32		the stand, testified over the last few days.
33		Their names were Drs. Korman, Connors, Dill,
34		Noakes, Jones, Saksida and Orr. Each of them,
35		with I think the largest gualification being Dr.
36		Orr's, gave a positive answer to the proposition,
37		the question of whether open-pen fish farming
38		could on the West Coast of British Columbia
20		courd on the west coast of British coumbra
39		COEXIST WITH THEIVING WITH STOCKS. Each of them
40		from their own specific area of expertise, whether
41		it be a veterinarian, an ecologist, a statistician
42		that operates in this area, gave an affirmative
43		answer to that proposition. And I'm wondering
44		what, if I could begin with Ms. Parker, what would
45		your view be in that respect, and why?
46	MS.	PARKER: Yes, I think that fish farms can coexist
47		with wild stocks. And I think that's partly

1 because of the precautionary framework towards 2 management that we have in place. I think it's 3 because of the adaptive management. I think it is 4 because it -- we have the science to -- we have 5 the science and the ability to make good 6 decisions. We have risk-based management. And 7 with all that in place, we can continue to have 8 coastal employment. And I think one of the values 9 of salmon farming is it's not just minimum wage 10 jobs in coastal communities. It is highly skilled 11 technical positions. 12 Ms. Stewart. Q 13 MS. STEWART: I think many of the answers that you got 14 were very qualified, and I heard Mike Price and 15 others saying that it's entirely theoretical. 16 They could potentially coexist if, for example, 17 there was one open net cage farm in an entire 18 region like the Broughton Archipelago. 19 Q I didn't refer to Mr. Price. MS. STEWART: Well, okay. But I'm just saying that I 20 21 think that all of the various answers were often 22 qualified. And I would also suggest that the 23 question would have to engage around the current 24 levels of production of potential increases in 25 production. 26 But I'd just add, as well, that I understand 27 fully the importance of employment in those 28 communities. I know Percy Starr, as well, and 29 spent many, many hours and days and time in the 30 Kitasoo community, and Mr. Starr was very clear 31 that this was a choice that they were largely 32 forced into due to the collapse of the wild salmon 33 stocks and they couldn't go fishing. And at the 34 time, I was working with Greenpeace and he used to 35 say to me, "Catherine, what do you want us to do, 36 qo whaling?" 37 And honestly, I believe that if DFO would 38 support the wild stocks to the extent that they 39 support the aquaculture industry, perhaps 40 communities wouldn't be faced with those choices. 41 It's their right to make that decision, but --42 Yes or no, Ms. Stewart? Q 43 MS. STEWART: What's the question? 44 Right. 0 45 MS. STEWART: Can they coexist? 46 Q You see, it's not wholly an opportunity to make 47 speeches.

1 MS. STEWART: They may coexist --2 0 The idea is to eventually get around to answering 3 the question. 4 MS. STEWART: Yeah. It may be possible, and I --5 Mr. Backman? Q 6 MS. STEWART: -- said at the beginning, it may be 7 possible for them --8 Mr. Backman, can I ask you --Q 9 MS. STEWART: -- to coexist if there is a serious limit 10 on the production, and at current levels, I don't 11 believe so. 12 All right. It's possible if it were limited and Q 13 best practices; is that right? MS. STEWART: Seriously limited. 14 15 Seriously limited. Mr. Backman? Q 16 MR. BACKMAN: I think that the report 5 results has 17 shown us that currently the information that was 18 shared, that aquaculture is coexisting with the 19 wild fish without demonstrated significant risk of 20 disease, I think that the answer to your question 21 is yes, the possibility is there now and it 22 remains and going into the future, with keep up the standards that we have today, and we keep the 23 24 actions in place to look at and reduce any 25 viability as they come up. 26 Q All right. Ms. Morton. 27 There are no First Nations on this coast MS. MORTON: 28 that want to see the Fraser sockeye wiped out. 29 There's nobody in this room that does, either, Ms. Q 30 Morton. 31 MS. MORTON: We're not talking about Marine Harvest and 32 Grieg employees at this point, but I believe the 33 answer to your question is no. This industry 34 cannot survive biologically. There are viruses 35 attacking this industry around the world, and what 36 are those towns going to do when what happened to 37 Chile happens again here. 38 All right. So you tell the First Nations, such as Q 39 Kitasoo, to pull their nets out of the water and 40 close down the processing plants; is that right? 41 MS. MORTON: If I had a choice between the wild salmon 42 and the ability to bring them back, and an 43 industry that brings salmon from Atlantic and 44 feeds them on fish from Chile, in a small port 45 town like Kitasoo and uses them as an example that 46 all other First Nations are supposed to swallow, 47 with the scientists that have been up here before,

1		you have preyed on their respect for First
2		Nations. Out of respect for First Nations, they
3		acquiesced to you. You're a very skilled lawyer.
4		But what about the people of the Broughton?
5		What about the people that are in the audience
6		right now who have said no to the industry and are
3 7		being run over as if they don't count What about
8		them?
g	\cap	Cap you cap you evplain this to me Ms Morton
10	Ŷ	the names that I read out earlier are well-
11		respected scientists with a very significant
1 0		history and hady of knowledge in this sphere.
⊥∠ 1 ⊃		of them commu Db D c]] of them courtbot the
11		of them carry Ph.D.S. All of them say that the
14 1 F		wild stocks can coexist within water nets. You
15		are the only one that says no. Why is that?
16	MS.	MORTON: That's because I don't work for a
1/		university. I don't work for the Government of
18		Canada. I don't work for the Province of B.C. I
19		don't work for a First Nations community. I am
20		completely independent. I might be the only
21		independent
22	Q	You are pure, are you? You're the only one that
23		isn't corrupted by business, by government, by a
24		university; is that correct?
25	MS.	MORTON: Perhaps.
26	MR.	KELLIHER: All right. Those are my questions.
27	THE	REGISTRAR: Mr. Kelliher, did you wish to have that
28		document marked?
29	MR.	KELLIHER: Oh, yes, please. Might that be marked
30		as an exhibit for identification, please, Mr.
31		Commissioner.
32	THE	COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
33	MS.	FONG: Only as an exhibit for identification, for
34		clarity, and not for
35	MR.	KELLIHER: Well, how clear does it need to be, I
36		iust
37	MS.	FONG: Oh, thank you, I just wanted to be clear.
38		Thank you.
39	тне	REGISTRAR. That will be marked EEE. triple "E"
40	± 111	Recipitant, inde will be marked bbly clipie b.
Δ1		FEE FOR IDENTIFICATION. Socio-Economic
42		Benefits of Finfish Aquaculture in BC
72 / 2		Aboriginal Communities Canadian Aquaculture
т.) Л Л		Sustome Inc. August 2011
		Systems Inc., August 2011
ч.) Л.6	MD	MARTIAND. Mr. Commissioner that concludes the
47	MK •	first of the two days for this panel. We're on

1		schedule.	We convene until tomorrow at 10:00 a.m.
2 3 4	THE	REGISTRAR: o'clock to	The hearing is now adjourned till ten morrow morning.
5 6 7 8 9		(PROC AT 10	EEDINGS ADJOURNED TO SEPTEMBER 8, 2011 :00 A.M.)
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18			I HEREBY CERTIFY the foregoing to be a true and accurate transcript of the evidence recorded on a sound recording apparatus, transcribed to the best of my skill and ability, and in accordance with applicable standards.
20 21 22 23			Diane Rochfort
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31			I HEREBY CERTIFY the foregoing to be a true and accurate transcript of the evidence recorded on a sound recording apparatus, transcribed to the best of my skill and ability, and in accordance with applicable standards.
32 33			
34 35 36			Irene Lim
37 38 39 40 41 42 43			I HEREBY CERTIFY the foregoing to be a true and accurate transcript of the evidence recorded on a sound recording apparatus, transcribed to the best of my skill and ability, and in accordance with applicable standards.
44 45 46 47			Karen Hefferland

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I HEREBY CERTIFY the foregoing to be a true and accurate transcript of the evidence recorded on a sound recording apparatus, transcribed to the best of my skill and ability, and in accordance with applicable standards.
9	
10	Pat Neumann
13	
14	
15	
16	
18	
19	
20	
21	
23	
24	
25	
26 27	
28	
29	
30	
32	
33	
34	
35 36	
37	
38	
39	
40 41	
42	
43	
44	
46	
47	

108