Commission of Inquiry into the Decline of Sockeye Salmon in the Fraser River



Commission d'enquête sur le déclin des populations de saumon rouge du fleuve Fraser

Hearing for Standing

Audience relative au statut de partie intéressée

Commissioner

L'Honorable juge /
The Honourable Justice
Bruce Cohen

Commaissaire

Held at: Tenue à :

Room 801 Federal Courthouse 701 West Georgia Street Vancouver, B.C. Salle 801 Cour fédérale 701, rue West Georgia Vancouver (C.-B.)

Friday, March 26, 2010 le vendredi 26 mars 2010



Commission d'enquête sur le déclin des populations de saumon rouge du fleuve Fraser

Errata for the Transcript of Hearings on March 26, 2010

Page	Line	Error	Correction
1	2	March 23, 2010 / le 23 mars 2010	March 26, 2010 / le 26 mars 2010

APPEARANCES / COMPARUTIONS

Brian J. Wallace Senior Commission Counsel
Brock Martland Associate Commission Counsel
Kathy Grant Junior Commission Counsel

Nicole Schabus Cheam Indian Band

Margot Venton Conservation Coalition

Judah Harrison

Rob Miller Carrier Sekani Tribal Council

Tim Dickson Stó:lō Tribal Council

Robert Janes Adams Lake Indian Band Karey Brooks Te'mexw Treaty Association

Don Rosenbloom Area D Salmon Gillnet Association

Brent Lehmann Maa-Nulth Treaty Society

Tina Dion Tsawwassen First Nation

Scott Bernstein

David Robbins Cowichan Tribes

Chemainus First Nation

Krista Robertson Musgamagw Tsawatineuk Tribal Council

John Gailus Hwlitsum First Nation

Carly Chunick

Allan Donovan Laich-Kwil-Tach Treaty Society Rod Naknakim

James I. Reynolds Musqueam Indian Band

Brenda Gaertner First Nations Fisheries Council

APPEARANCES / COMPARUTIONS, cont'd.

David Butcher Southern Area (E) Gillnetters Assn.

B.C. Fisheries Survival Coalition

Patti McAhonic B.C. Wildlife Federation

Bill Otway B.C. Drift Fishers Federation

Douglas White III Kwulasultun Coalition of Douglas Treaty First Nations

Bertha Joseph Council of Haida Nation

Gregory McDade, Q.C. Alexandra Morton

Raincoast Research Society

Pacific Coast Wild Salmon Society

Jeffrey Young David Suzuki Foundation

Ming Song Heiltsuk Tribal Council

Lisa Fong

Marguerite Parker Aboriginal Aquaculture Association

Stephanie Albiston Métis Nation British Columbia

Gary Ducommun

Shannon Bentley

Chris Sporer Seafood Producers Association of B.C.

Don McLeod

Chris Ashton Area B Harvest Committee

Christopher Harvey West Coast Trollers Area G Association

Irvin Figg United Fishermen and Allied Workers'

Paul Kandt Union local of CAW

Alan Blair B.C. Salmon Farmers Association

Angela Cousins

TABLE OF CONTENTS / TABLE DES MATIÈRES

	PAGE
Opening Remarks / Observations préliminaires	1
Roll Call / Appel	4
Submissions by Counsel / Représentations	9

47

Bonjour,

Vancouver, B.C. / Vancouver (C.-B.) 1 2 March 23, 2010 / le 23 mars 2010 3 4 THE REGISTRAR: Order. The hearings for standing are 5 Commissioner Cohen is presiding. now resumed. 6 Good morning. I hope you can all THE COMMISSIONER: 7 hear me. I understand that the microphone here 8 works pretty well, but there may be times when my voice drops off. And I ask you if for any reason 9 10 you're not hearing what's going on, just raise 11 your hand and I'll know that's a signal that 12 either you want to leave the room for some reason 13 or you can't hear me. So please don't be shy. 14 want to make sure that everybody who's here can 15 hear what's going on. Mr. Wallace. MR. WALLACE: Good morning, Mr. Commissioner. 16 17 Monsieur le Commissaire. My name is Brian Wallace 18 and I am the senior Commission counsel on the 19 Cohen Commission of Inquiry. 20 Mr. Commissioner, I've invited a number of 21 applicants for standing to attend this hearing 22 The applicants who were asked to attend 23 are those to whom it appears to Commission counsel 24 may share interests in the issues within your 25 Terms of Reference. 26 And I would like to thank all of those who 27 have attended. We have one attendee who is in 28 Colombia and on the phone. 29 As set out in my letter of last weekend, I am 30 concerned that the number of potential 31 participants will lead to an unnecessarily 32 lengthy, unwieldy and costly process for this 33 inquiry. You have received more than forty 34 applications for standing, and some of those 35 applications are already for groups of 36 organizations. That much interest is, of course, 37 a very good thing, but the management challenge is 38 obvious. 39 Having read the applications, it appears to 40 Commission counsel that the interests identified 41 in some of the applications may be the same as or 42 at least consistent with the interests of others 43 in the issues that you're charged with 44 considering. 45 I just would like to take a moment to briefly

remind everyone of what your Terms of Reference

are. The Order-in-Council establishing the

6 7 8

9

10

11

22

29

36 37 38

39

35

40 41 42

47

inquiry charged you, Mr. Commissioner:

- to review and assess previous investigations, inquiries and reports on the issues relating to the sockeye in the Fraser River and the Government's responses to them; and
- to consider the policies and practices of the DFO with respect to the sockeye salmon fishery in the Fraser River, including the department's scientific advice, its fisheries policies and programs, its risk management strategies, its allocation of departmental resources and its fisheries management practices and procedures, including monitoring, counting of stocks, forecasting and enforcement.

Next, Mr. Commissioner, you are charged with:

- investigating and making independent findings of fact regarding the causes of the decline of Fraser River sockeye salmon, including, but not limited to, the impact of environmental changes along the Fraser River, marine environmental conditions, aquaculture, predators, diseases, water temperature, and other factors that may have affected the ability of sockeye salmon to reach traditional spawning grounds or reach the ocean, and the current state of Fraser River sockeye salmon stocks and the long term projections for those stocks.

And finally, you are asked:

- to develop recommendations for improving the future of sustainability of the sockeye salmon fishery in the Fraser River, including any changes to the policies, practices and procedures of the Department of Fisheries and Oceans in relation to the management of the Fraser River sockeye salmon fishery.

2.8

Mr. Commissioner, the purpose of this meeting is twofold. First, it is to try to have applicants form relationships solely for the purpose of representing their interests at this inquiry, cognizant that those representations are entitled to be represented fully and fairly, and to do so in a way that will allow you to conduct an inquiry that is thorough, fair, and that is conducted in a timely way. And it is to provide you with further submissions to assist you in determining who should be granted standing and on what basis.

And just to emphasize, in addition to asking applicants to agree to coalitions, I would ask

And just to emphasize, in addition to asking applicants to agree to coalitions, I would ask them to consider and specify the specific issues in the Terms of Reference for which they seek standing.

Importantly, today's hearing is also intended to be the last opportunity for applicants to make an oral submission for standing. The Terms of Reference authorize the Commissioner to grant to any person who satisfies him that they have a substantial and direct interest in the subject matter of the Inquiry, an opportunity for appropriate participation in it. The Terms of Reference also allow the Commissioner to adopt any procedures and methods that he may consider expedient.

I note that you have done so by adopting rules for standing and funding. Those rules authorize you to direct that a number of applicants share a single grant of standing. understand that you hope to be able to exercise the discretion to grant standing based on the written submissions you have already received from applicants and on what you learn in the hearing That's not to say that as the Inquiry today. progresses, decisions on standing cannot be revisited. The Commission's standing rules provide that the Commissioner will determine on what terms and in which parts of the Inquiry a participant may participate and the nature and extent of such participation. The Commissioner retains the discretion to vary a participant's participation or to rescind standing.

Some applicants have also applied to you for a recommendation to the Government of Canada for

funding for legal representation before this Commission. This meeting is not for the purpose of making submissions on funding. Rather, I understand that if you believe you should learn more about an applicant's financial circumstances to support an application for a funding recommendation, you will invite those applicants to appear before you individually. Additionally, applicants for whom you decide a joint grant of standing is appropriate may be given an opportunity to appear before you on a different day to address funding issues.

Those are my opening remarks, Mr. Commissioner. The agenda, which I think everyone has seen, next calls for a roll call followed by initial reports from applicants, and I will ask my colleague, Brock Martland, to conduct that part of the session.

MR. MARTLAND: Thank you, Mr. Wallace. Mr. Commissioner. Brock Martland, M-A-R-T-L-A-N-D, and I'm one of the associate Commission counsel for this proceeding, for the record. With me is Kathy, with a "K", Grant, who is also a Commission lawyer. And my first item of business is relatively straightforward. What I'll be asking, since we have such a big group of folks here today, is that each of the applicants or their counsel please come forward in front of the microphone; whichever one is closest to you is fine. The routine with these microphones, for those who haven't appeared here, is that you need to push a button and ensure the red light is on in order to be amplified and heard by everyone.

And if we could briefly, please, have everyone do that, perhaps moving through counsel tables and then into the first few rows of people attending as applicants in the courtroom. And at the outset, if I could just confirm that joining us from Colombia by phone is Nicole Schabus, representing the Cheam.

MS. SCHABUS: Right. Nicole Schabus. I'm counsel for the Cheam Indian Band and I'm calling from the International Environmental Negotiations in Cali, Colombia. I'm (indiscernible - poor sound quality) and I very much thank the Commission and Commission counsel for accommodating this appearance.

- MR. MARTLAND: Thank you. And so if I could please ask counsel, perhaps we could begin at the far side of the room and I'll just have everyone -- we'll try and be comprehensive and make sure no one is missed, and please come forward and state and spell your last name for the record, indicate who else is with you as well as who you're appearing on behalf of. Thank you.
- MS. VENTON: Mr. Commissioner, my name is Margot Venton. That's V as in Victor, E-N-T-O-N, and I'm here today with Mr. Judah Harrison, H-A-R-R-I-S-O-N. And we are here on behalf of six applicants which we refer to as the Conservation Coalition. The members of the coalition are the Coastal Alliance for Aquaculture Reform, Fraser Riverkeeper Society, Georgia Strait Alliance, Raincoast Conservation Foundation, Watershed Watch Salmon Society, and Mr. Otto Langer. Thank you.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.

- MR. MILLER: Mr. Commissioner, Rob Miller, M-I-L-L-E-R, counsel for the Carrier Sekani Tribal Council.
- MR. DICKSON: Mr. Commissioner, Tim Dickson, D-I-C-K-S-O-N, for the Stó:lō Tribal Council.
- MR. JANES: Mr. Commissioner, Robert Janes, J-A-N-E-S, and I'm here in two capacities today. Ms. Harvey is not available today so I'm attending for Adams Lake Indian Band, and Mr. Charlie Andrews of the Council of the Adams Lake Indian Band is here today. And I'm also appearing with Ms. Karey Brooks of our office for the Te'mexw Treaty Association.
- MR. ROSENBLOOM: Mr. Commissioner, my name is Don Rosenbloom. I appear on behalf of the Area D Salmon Gillnet Association. Thank you. Rosenbloom, spelled R-O-S-E-N-B-L-O-O-M, for the record.
- THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, sir.
- MR. LEHMANN: Good morning, Mr. Commissioner. My name is Brent Lehmann, L-E-H-M-A-N-N. I'm here with Mexus Tom Happynook from the Huu-ay-aht First Nations, and we are representing the Maa-Nulth Treaty Society. Thank you.
- THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
- MS. DION: Mr. Commissioner, my name is Tina Dion,
 D-I-O-N. I'm representing and here on behalf of
 Joe Arvay, who is lead counsel for the Tsawwassen
 First Nation. And with me I have Scott Bernstein,

```
1
            article student, B-E-R-N-S-T-E-I-N.
 2
       THE COMMISSIONER:
                          Thank you.
 3
       MR. ROBBINS: Mr. Commissioner, my name is David
 4
            Robbins, R-O-B-B-I-N-S. I'm appearing on behalf
 5
            of the Cowichan Tribes. I'm also appearing on
 6
            behalf of the Chemainus First Nation. Counsel
 7
            Gary Campo is not available today and I'm here for
 8
            both tribes.
 9
       THE COMMISSIONER:
                          Thank you.
10
       MS. ROBERTSON: Good morning, Mr. Commissioner.
11
            Robertson, R-O-B-E-R-T-S-O-N, initial K., and I'm
12
            appearing for the Musgamagw Tsawatineuk Tribal
13
            Council. There's four nations in that council.
14
       THE COMMISSIONER:
                          Thank you very much.
15
       MR. GAILUS: Mr. Commissioner, my name is John Gailus,
16
            G-A-I-L-U-S.
                          I'm appearing here today along with
            Carly Chunick, C-H-U-N-I-C-K. She's back in the
17
18
            gallery somewhere. And we're appearing today on
19
            behalf of the Hwlitsum First Nation.
20
       THE COMMISSIONER:
                          Thank you.
21
       MR. DONOVAN: Mr. Commissioner, Allan Donovan, D-O-N-O-
22
                    I'm appearing on behalf of the Laich-Kwil-
23
            Tach Treaty Society. Rod Naknakim, N-A-K-N-A-K-
24
            I-M, general counsel with the Laich-Kwil-Tach
25
            Treaty Society, is also here today.
26
       THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
27
       MR. REYNOLDS: Mr. Commissioner, James I. Reynolds,
2.8
            R-E-Y-N-O-L-D-S, appearing for the Musqueam Indian
29
            Band.
                   Thank you.
30
       THE COMMISSIONER:
                          Thank you.
31
                      Mr. Commissioner, it's Brenda Gaertner,
       MS. GAERTNER:
            G-A-E-R-T-N-E-R, and I'm here on behalf of seven
32
33
            applicants who at this point have already formed a
34
            coalition into one standing application, two of
35
            them umbrella organizations: the First Nations
36
            Fisheries Council, which is an umbrella
37
            organization on behalf of First Nations throughout
            the whole of the province; the Aboriginal Caucus
38
39
            of the Fraser River Aboriginal Fisheries
40
            Secretariat, which is an umbrella organization on
41
            behalf of the Fraser River watershed itself; and
42
            then the Secwepmc Fisheries Commission on behalf
43
            of the Shuswap Nation Tribal Council, which has
44
            ten member First Nations; the Upper Fraser
45
            Fisheries Conservation Alliance, which has four
            tribal organizations and 23 First Nations; and the
46
47
            Northern Shuswap Tribal Council, which has four
```

46

47

```
1
            First Nations; and the Chehalis Indian Band.
 2
       THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very much.
 3
       MR. BUTCHER: Mr. Commissioner, I'm David Butcher and I
 4
            am appearing for the Southern Area (E) Gillnetters
 5
            Association and the B.C. Fisheries Survival
 6
            Coalition.
 7
       THE COMMISSIONER:
                          Thank you, Mr. Butcher.
 8
       MS. MacAHONIC: Good morning, Commissioner. My name is
            Patti MacAhonic, M-a-c-A-H-O-N-I-C, and with me
 9
10
            today is Bill Otway on behalf of the B.C. Wildlife
11
            Federation and the B.C. Drift Fishers Federation.
12
            And our legal counsel, Keith Lowes, was unable to
            make it today, and his last name is L-O-W-E-S.
13
14
            Thank you.
15
       THE COMMISSIONER:
                          Thank you.
16
       MR. WHITE: Good morning, Mr. Commissioner. My name is
            Chief Douglas White III Kwulasultun of the
17
18
            Snuneymuxw First Nation. I'm here today on behalf
19
            of the Coalition of Douglas Treaty First Nations.
20
            That includes the Snuneymuxw, Tsartlip First
21
            Nation, and Tsawout First Nation. Thank you.
       THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
22
23
      MS. JOSEPH: Mr. Commissioner, Bertha Joseph. With me
24
            is Shannon Bentley. J-O-S-E-P-H, B-E-N-T-L-E-Y.
25
            Our senior counsel, Terri-Lynn Williams-Davidson,
26
            is not available today. We're with White Raven
27
            Law. We are representing the Council of Haida
28
            Nation.
29
       THE COMMISSIONER:
                          Thank you very much.
30
      MR. McDADE: Mr. Commissioner, my name is Gregory
31
            McDade. I'm representing -- that's spelled M-c-D-
32
            A-D-E.
33
       THE REGISTRAR: I'm sorry, counsel. Would you just
34
            repeat that, please. We've got static.
35
       MR. McDADE: Gregory McDade, M-c-D-A-D-E.
36
            with Ms. Alexandra Morton for the Raincoast
37
            Research Society and the Pacific Coast Wild Salmon
38
            Society.
39
       THE COMMISSIONER:
                          Thank you, Mr. McDade.
40
       MR. YOUNG: Mr. Commissioner, I'm Jeffrey Young, Y-O-U-
41
            N-G, and I'm here from the David Suzuki
42
            Foundation.
43
       THE COMMISSIONER:
                         Thank you, Mr. Young.
44
      MS. SONG: Good morning, Commissioner. My name is Ming
```

Song, S-O-N-G. I am here with my co-counsel, Lisa

Fong, F-O-N-G. And we are here on behalf of the

Heiltsuk Tribal Council. Thank you.

47

```
1
       THE COMMISSIONER:
                          Thank you.
 2
       MS. PARKER: Mr. Commissioner, my name is Marguerite
 3
            Parker, P-A-R-K-E-R. I'm the executive assistant
 4
            to Chief Richard Harry of the Aboriginal
 5
            Aquaculture Association. Chief Harry and our
 6
            counsel, Steve Kelliher, are unable to be here
 7
            today.
 8
       THE COMMISSIONER:
                          Thank you.
       MS. ALBISTON: Mr. Commissioner, Stephanie Albiston.
 9
10
            Last name is spelled A-L-B-I-S-T-O-N.
11
            articled student with Joseph Geruluk Law Office.
12
            Gereluk is spelled G-E-R-E-L-U-K.
                                               We are
13
            representing Métis Nation British Columbia,
14
            appearing today with Mr. Gary, Ducommun, D-U-C-O-
15
            M-M-U-N, Director of Natural Resources, Métis
16
            Nation British Columbia.
                                      Thank you.
17
       THE COMMISSIONER:
                          Thank you.
18
       MR. SPORER:
                    Good morning, Commissioner. My name is
19
            Chris Sporer, S-P-O-R-E-R. I'm appearing today
20
            for the Seafood Producers Association of British
21
            Columbia. I'm here with Mr. Don McLeod, M-c-L-E-
22
            0-D.
23
       THE COMMISSIONER:
                          Thank you.
24
       MR. ASHTON:
                   Good morning, Mr. Commissioner.
                                                      My name
25
            is Chris Ashton. That's A-S-H-T-O-N.
                                                    And I'm
26
            appearing on behalf of the Area B Harvest
27
            Committee.
28
       THE COMMISSIONER:
                          Thank you.
29
                    Mr. Commissioner, Christopher Harvey
       MR. HARVEY:
30
            appearing on behalf of the West Coast Trollers
31
            Area G Association.
32
       THE COMMISSIONER:
                          Thank you, Mr. Harvey.
33
       MR. FIGG: Mr. Commissioner, Irvin Figg, F-I-G-G.
            colleague in the back, Paul Kandt, with a K-A-N-
34
35
            D-T, for the United Fishermen and Allied Workers'
36
            Union local of the CAW.
37
       THE COMMISSIONER:
                          Thank you, Mr. Figg.
38
                  Mr. Commissioner, my name is Alan Blair,
       MR. BLAIR:
39
            B-L-A-I-R.
                        I'll be assisted by my associate,
40
            Angela Cousins, C-O-U-S-I-N-S. And we appear for
41
            the British Columbia Salmon Farmers Association.
42
                         Thank you, Mr. Blair.
       THE COMMISSIONER:
43
      MR. MARTLAND: Mr. Commissioner, that's quite a
44
            line-up.
                      I think we've covered everyone on my
45
            list, and that is helpful, I hope, for everyone to
```

have a sense of who else is in the room today.

By way of moving through the agenda for

today, one of the things we're looking to do early on is to call upon some of the groups that have already coalesced as a result of Mr. Wallace's letter of about a week ago. And you've heard some description and some of the applicants effectively came to us as coalitions and others have joined in the interim, in particular the B.C. Wildlife Federation and the Federation of Drift Fishers.

What I'd like to do at this point is simply call upon any of the applicants who are before you today to address you with respect to coalitions that have been formed and to indicate what that coalition group is and describe it for the Commission, please.

MR. ROSENBLOOM: Mr. Commissioner, I'm in a position to inform you as follows. Again, I represent the Area D Salmon Gillnet Association. That association represents the licensed Area D gillnet fishermen of that region.

Mr. Commissioner, a great deal of time has gone in, both by my clients and by myself, to carry out dialogue with various parties in an effort to seek some sort of arrangement wherein we can narrow our presentation to the extent of at least working jointly with other parties.

There are four fisher groups before you seeking application, Mr. Commissioner. They are my clients, the Area D Salmon Gillnet Association. There are the Area B Harvest Committee, which are seiners fishing in an overlap area with Area D and with a number of other areas. Thirdly, there's the Area E Gillnet Association represented by my friend Mr. Butcher, and that of course is also a gillnet fishery. And lastly, there is the West Coast Trollers Area G, and again, obviously, a troller fisher organization. Only four groups are before you seeking intervention status that are fishers and are catching the fish of the West Coast and the salmon of the Fraser River.

Now, Mr. Commissioner, I informed you that we have made every effort possible to seek some kind of alliance - my learned friend speaks of coalition - in any event, where parties can work together and appear with one counsel. And I am pleased to inform you that my clients, the Area D Salmon Gillnet Association, has worked out an arrangement wherein we will work cooperatively,

2.8

 with myself as counsel, with Area B Harvest Committee, in other words the seiner group.

We are unable to form any wider coalition than that in terms of the four fisher groups that are before you. But I hope that at least speaks of our good intentions and that we will work cooperatively.

I should add, Mr. Commissioner, that with, for example, Area E Gillnet, represented by Mr. Butcher, Mr. Butcher and I have had exhaustive discussions about the common interest and the areas where it may be less than common interest. And I think all counsel recognize that the matters before you are complicated, they are challenging, and that it makes a lot of sense that where there are common interests that counsel work cooperatively. And in the case of my discussions with Mr. Butcher, who represents the other gillnet association that's before you, I think I can speak for Mr. Butcher in indicating that we intend to divvy up work where we have common interests so that we aren't simply tripping over each other presenting similar evidence on the same subject matter.

So the best we can do is my clients in a grouping with the Area B Harvest Committee, and we hope that that's of some assistance to you, Mr. Commissioner. Thank you.

- THE COMMISSIONER: Most grateful, Mr. Rosenbloom.
 Thank you very much.
- THE REGISTRAR: Any further counsel that wish to come forward, would you kindly introduce yourself each time you speak, for the clarity of the record. Thank you.
- MR. MARTLAND: And if I might just interrupt for a moment, I understand that the phone line from British Columbia to Colombia, with an "O", has dropped. So if we could attempt to connect as we -- I think we should just carry on, Mr. Commissioner, and we'll give it our best efforts to try to reconnect that line as we move forward. Sorry, I interrupted.
- MR. LEHMANN: Brent Lehmann. For the purposes of this question, I've been asked to speak on behalf of the Tsawwassen First Nation, the Maa-Nulth Treaty Society and the Musqueam Indian Band. These three applicants have had preliminary discussions and we

all three hold the view that we are able to work together. The Maa-Nulth Treaty Society represents five First Nations: the Huu-ay-aht First Nation, the Ka:'yu:'k't'h'/Chek'tles7et'h' First Nation, Toquaht Nation, Uchucklesaht Tribe, and the Ucluelet First Nation in the treaty that they have ratified with Canada and British Columbia. The effective date of that treaty is April the 1st of 2011. So in many ways, the interest granted to the Maa-Nulth under that treaty relating to domestic fishing and their commercial fishery associated with that treaty are similar with the Tsawwassen First Nation, whose treaty came into effect on April 1st of 2010 -- sorry, April 3rd, 2009.

We've had discussions and there are common interests. There are some obvious separate interests that we would want to be able to present to the Commission, but I think the commonality of the interests are sufficient to allow a single representation there with input from counsel for Maa-Nulth and Tsawwassen on their separate issues. The Musqueam Indian Band and the Tsawwassen First Nation are geographically very close to each other. I as told this morning they actually do some of their fishing together, so there's a commonality there. Although Musqueam is not under a treaty, some of their interests would be very similar to those of Tsawwassen. And Maa-Nulth and Tsawwassen and Musqueam have agreed to work together as a coalition in these proceedings.

THE COMMISSIONER: I'm grateful. Thank you, Mr. Lehmann.

MR. REYNOLDS: James Reynolds, counsel for Musqueam. Just want to be clear that Musqueam's position is very much that where there are unique issues that affect Musqueam, only Musqueam can speak to those issues. And of course Musqueam has had its aboriginal right to fish accepted by the Supreme Court of Canada in the **Sparrow** case.

Musqueam is eager to work with any other applicant, in particular First Nation applicants, where there are common interests. And it's already been pointed out by Mr. Lehmann that there's a commonality of interests especially with Tsawwassen, but Musqueam would like to work together. Whether that's correctly described as a

coalition is something that I would like to 1 reserve comment on because I'm not quite sure how 3 that term is being used, how it will impact on 4 things like funding, representation by counsel of 5 So those are the comments I would like to choice. 6 make on behalf of Musqueam. Thank you. 7 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very much, Mr. Reynolds. 8 MR. JANES: Mr. Commissioner, Robert Janes. 9 there's a group of us representing, first, the 10 Te'mexw Treaty Association. And just to orient 11 you a bit, the Te'mexw Treaty Association is a 12 group of five First Nations in southern Vancouver 13 Island who are all Douglas Treaty signatories and 14 who are engaged in active treaty negotiations and 15 have a particular interest with respect to the 16 fisheries. We have had discussions with a couple 17 of the other Vancouver Island groups. And I want 18 to be clear how I want to characterize this. 19 we've discussed, and they are preliminary 20 discussions, is that there are areas where we 21 believe we can work together to be somewhat more 22 efficient in the way in which our interests are 23 advanced. However, there are divergences in our interests where we expect - and we are much like 24 25 Mr. Reynolds in this respect - where we would 26 likely want to be able to speak individually to 27 these things. And we're still working out the 28 details of this, but that's where we are. 29 And those would include Chemainus and 30 Cowichan, represented by Mr. Robbins. We've also 31 discussed with Chief White, who's representing the 32 other Douglas Treaty group that was described to 33 And also we've had discussions with 34 Hwlitsum, represented by Mr. Gailus in this 35 respect. So I wouldn't quite call us at the stage 36 of a coalition yet. However, we do believe that 37 there's ways in which we can work together to make 38 it more manageable in terms of the toing and 39 froing of counsel and examinations and the 40 advancing of potential evidence. 41 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very much, sir. 42 MR. ROBBINS: Mr. Commissioner, David Robbins for the 43 Cowichan Tribes and the Chemainus. And I'll just 44 echo Mr. Janes's comments and add that the 45 Cowichan Tribes are a band descendent from at 46 least six local groups with villages in the 47 Cowichan Valley on Vancouver Island.

 Chemainus First Nation is a band descendent from at least two local groups with villages at or near the mouth of the Chemainus River on Vancouver Island. And the Cowichan Tribes and Chemainus First Nation, as indicated in their separate applications, are willing to be joint applicants, and in fact have agreed to be joint applicants.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very much.

MR. GAERTNER: Brenda Gaertner. I wanted to speak a little bit about the concerns that have been raised around the word "coalition" and what it might mean and what are the kinds of assistance we may get from you around that. And I'll speak first from the applicants that I'm representing and then I'll mention a little bit more of what I've learnt since we received the letter.

First of all, from the applicants that I'm representing. As I explained in the roll call, I'm representing two larger umbrella organizations, the first one being the First Nations Fisheries Commission, which is a commission with a board of directors representative of all of the province of British Columbia fisheries communities and of course all of the interests reflected from the interception, approach, mouth and throughout the river. organization can be extremely useful in coordinating common concerns and common interests. They cannot, however, speak on behalf of unique perspectives, unique geographical experiences and unique interests that any one First Nation may hold, either in conflict with others or at least in difference to others.

To start with, I think it's useful that the commission has instructed me to advise you that they are more than happy to provide a coordinating role amongst First Nations in British Columbia. That is one of their mandates as it relates to those common interests and common concerns.

The Fraser River Aboriginal Fisheries
Secretariat is again another umbrella organization
within the Fraser River itself and provides a
coordinating role amongst what is commonly
referred to as the lower, the middle and upper
First Nations. Again, they do not speak
specifically on behalf of title-holders or rightsholders, but they provide a significant

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46 47 coordinating role, a useful role in the experiences they've had working with DFO for the years that they've been in existence and can, again, provide assistance to Commission on that front.

And so you'll see that my standing application includes a complexity because I also then represent First Nations who are title-holders and who have a unique perspective and a unique interest. And they wanted me to impress on you the importance of not assuming that because there are effective umbrella organizations in the province that they would override in some way the unique perspectives and interests.

And so I am asking for standing that includes both of those nuances, both the ability to facilitate and bring forward common interests and concerns and have offered that to any of the other First Nation applicants that have contacted me since the letter. And for those that haven't been able to, we are prepared to do that, and then needing to support and provide room and flexibility for the unique interests and perspectives. And I know, given a couple of the conversations I've had, if that balance was both understood at this rather, I'm going to say, organic stage of the Commission, where we don't quite know how this is going to unfold and we need to see how the various different concerns and interests will unfold, if there is an ability to have that flexibility, I think coalitions can be formed.

So I'll use an example. It may be possible that the First Nations Fisheries Council can coordinate a fair bit of the voice as it relates to interests and concerns that all First Nations might have around global warming and the impacts of that. However, it may be extremely necessary for particular First Nations to articulate on their own behalf, either through me if I'm representing them directly, or through their own counsel, particular concerns they have in the geographical area in which they live. And if we can create that clarity going forward with this notion of a coalition, I think we're going to have a lot more comfort at the First Nation level. Without it I think we're going to have discomfort

and a need to particularly watch for duplication and a constant brief on behalf of a whole bunch of First Nations.

I will need the flexibility to be able to say, well, yes, I'm counsel for all of these organizations. There will be times when I will need other counsel to stand up and speak on behalf of one of my own applicants or one of the applicants who may choose to join this coalition with this kind of clarity provided.

And so if there is flexibility not only in the approach but in the applications for funding that would allow for that type of coordination, I think that would be extremely useful.

- THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very much for those comments. I appreciate that.
- MS. GAERTNER: One more concern that they have with respect to this -- and I'm just trying to go from memory of all these concerns. The allocation of time before the Commission would also be of It would be, I think, an easy way of concern. saying it is unfair to expect a coalition such as the one I'm representing to have the same amount of time allocated to them as one First Nation. may have a lot more to say if I have to cover the entire Fraser River in more or less different And so we'll have to be very perspectives. careful about the allocation of both pages in the application -- I couldn't get my standing application even describing the different groups into the pages that were requested, and I won't necessarily be able to always speak within a short period of time.
- THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very much. Mr. Wallace.
 MR. WALLACE: Mr. Commissioner, if I may, I would like
 to take the opportunity to respond to a couple of
 the general points that Ms. Gaertner made. But I
 wonder if it might be an opportunity now if we
 could just break for five minutes or three minutes
 to try and reconnect Colombia. I know that Ms.
 Schabus has an interest in these very issues.
- THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. Thank you very much, Mr. Wallace. I don't know if you all heard that. Regrettably, our connection with Colombia in South America and our voice there that is representing the Cheam Band has been cut off for the moment. And as Mr. Wallace says, we're concerned to try

and get that voice back on the speakerphone so she can hear what's going on here and address some of these points that you've been making.

So if you don't mind, and with your cooperation, let's take a five-minute break to see if we can reconnect, and then we'll continue with the agenda to allow those who want to address points along the lines that have already been addressed in the last fifteen minutes or so can continue before we take the morning break. Thank you very much.

THE REGISTRAR: The hearing will now recess for five minutes.

(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED / LA SÉANCE EST SUSPENDUE) (PROCEEDINGS RECONVENED / LA SÉANCE EST REPRISE)

THE REGISTRAR: Order. The hearing is now resumed.

MR. WALLACE: Mr. Commissioner, if I may just respond
to a couple of the general points that Ms.

Gaertner made.

Commission counsel are of the view that we're seeking to have this Inquiry run fairly and efficiently. As a result, don't put too rigid an interpretation on a particular word choice. And the sort of opportunities for specific representation on specific interests may well be something that are appropriate and applications, I suggest, ought to be made at the time for those sorts of specifics. What we're trying to do at this stage is establish a framework which will allow this to work efficiently.

So in terms of finding the right mix, I invite counsel to use their imagination, and we're hearing that today and I'm grateful for those submissions.

I'd also say that that flexibility, I suggest to counsel, might also be exercised as this Inquiry progresses. And as somebody said, at this organic stage we're in a formative stage. We're trying to make this work. And if it turns out that a different arrangement makes more sense as we move along, then again I would invite counsel to make applications and engage in discussions to see if we can't do that.

```
1
                 So flexibility is what we're seeking in order
 2
            to get fairness and efficiency.
 3
       THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. Wallace.
 4
       MR. WALLACE: Ms. Schabus is on the phone, I believe.
 5
            Are you there, Colombia?
 6
                     I am there.
       MS. SCHABUS:
                                  Thank you.
 7
       MR. WALLACE:
                     Thank you.
 8
       THE COMMISSIONER:
                          Thank you, Mr. Wallace.
 9
       MR. OTWAY: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. Bill Otway,
10
            B.C. Wildlife Federation.
                 Just a little background. We represent
11
12
            38,000 individual members and 130 member clubs
            throughout the length and breadth of British
13
14
            Columbia.
                       With the matter of coalition, we have
15
            joined with the B.C. Federation of Drift Fishers
16
            and will be working collectively with the same
17
            counsel throughout this process hopefully.
18
                 We have special interests, as the
19
            organization and our members, particular to the
20
            recreational fishing community, and we share some
21
            of the views and some of the interests in a cross-
22
            section of the other participants, and we hope and
23
            expect to be working collectively with most of
24
            them on these shared views and issues.
25
                 But our major interests are divergent, and we
26
            have very specific interests that we have to deal
27
            with on behalf of our constituents and we are
28
            anticipating full participation in all phases of
29
            this process, which we hope will bring about some
30
            major positive changes to the future of our
31
            fisheries.
32
       THE COMMISSIONER:
                          Thank you very much, sir.
33
       MR. JANES: Robert Janes, and I'm here in the capacity
34
            of speaking for Adams Lake. And I can happily
35
            advise you, with the assistance of the ten-minute
36
            break, that Adams Lake has agreed that they are
37
            able to work with Ms. Gaertner's group, that they
            will apply with them, subject to -- you know,
38
39
            we'll see how the funding applications and issues
40
            work out as Ms. Gaertner alluded to. I'll work
41
            with Ms. Gaertner to coordinate and to assist in
42
            that in regard to the evidence and such like that.
43
            But that's essentially the news there.
44
       THE COMMISSIONER:
                         Thank you very much, sir.
45
       MR. YOUNG: Mr. Commissioner, Jeffrey Young, David
            Suzuki Foundation.
46
47
                 The David Suzuki Foundation applied for
```

independent participant status because we feel that we have the capacity, diversity of experience and direct interests to be a meaningful participant throughout the Inquiry. We also think there could be some value in having more than one conservation participant, particularly given the likelihood that there will be multiple First Nations and industry participants.

However, we have also spoken with the Conservation Coalition and would be willing to join them if that was the decision of the Commission.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very much, Mr. Young.
MS. VENTON: Mr. Commissioner, Margot Venton. I'm here today on behalf of the Conservation Coalition.
And I wanted to make a few comments about representation of the conservation interest in the Inquiry.

There are about ten separate organizations who have applied for participant standing to represent the conservation interest in the Inquiry. Six of those groups have already banded together as part of the Conservation Coalition and a number of those six had initially considered applying on their own and then, seeing the direction of the Commission, decided that they would put a joint application in. And we have spoken among ourselves and agree that if it is the Commission's direction that the David Suzuki Foundation should join us, we are more than happy to have them come and join the coalition.

The Conservation Coalition has made a standing application to participate throughout the Inquiry on all issues that are considered by the Inquiry, and that puts us in a different position than the other coalition of three conservation groups represented by my friend, Greg McDade. we have spoken with Mr. McDade about his clients' interests and understand that they are interested in participating on one specific issue with relation to aquaculture and its impacts on the Fraser River salmon. And we think it makes quite a bit of sense that we continue to have separate representations as our clients' interests are broader, and we want to ensure representation throughout. That is going to involve a certain amount of consistent participation. But we are in

45

46

47

MR. DONOVAN:

agreement that, to the degree that we can avoid 1 duplication, that we can work collaboratively 3 together between counsel to ensure there isn't 4 repetition on the issue of aquaculture. 5 make every effort to do so, but we would recommend 6 that those two separate groups continue to be 7 separately represented. Thank you. 8 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very much. Mr. McDade. 9 MR. McDADE: Mr. Commissioner, Greg McDade for 10 Alexandra Morton and the Raincoast Research 11 Society and Pacific Coast Wild Salmon Society. 12 I wasn't going to stand up at this point 13 because I understand you're looking for reports of 14 success. But my friend, Ms. Venton, having 15 spoken, I think we agree with the submissions that The group I represent is in a 16 she's made. 17 somewhat unusual position in that we've been very 18 clear in our application that we are focused on 19 one issue, and that is the effects of aquaculture 20 on the wild salmon. Ms. Morton has been a very 21 significant scientific voice that's been largely 22 responsible for the identification of the sea lice 23 problem and disease problems and lately the 24 connection to the Fraser River, and it's very 25 important, in my submission, that the Commission 26 hear from her. But it shouldn't interfere greatly 27 in terms of efficiency in that -- I'm not quite 28 sure how we'll divide this up yet once the 29 Commission gets a better sense of its schedule, 30 but that we're looking to participate only in a 31 very limited role. 32 There may still be some success to be 33 reported this morning. There are other groups, 34 not conservation groups. There's a fisheries 35 group and a First Nations group that also has a 36 very strong focus on aquaculture issues, and we're 37 talking together to see if there's some common way 38 to work those matters out. But I think that that 39 will take a little bit more work and maybe some 40 discussion with Commission counsel as to how these 41 things are going to be divided up and scheduled. 42 THE COMMISSIONER: I'm much obliged, Mr. McDade. 43 you, sir.

Laich-Kwil-Tach Treaty Society.

Mr. Commissioner, Allan Donovan for the

Unlike Mr. McDade, I'm here to talk about

success, but not complete success. The Laich-

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

Kwil-Tach Treaty Society is a coalition in itself. We've heard the Commission's message prior to applying for participant status, and the Laich-Kwil-Tach is the coming together of all of the Kwak'wala-speaking peoples, the Wei Wai Kum Nation, the Wei Wai Kai Nation, and the Kwiakah The goal there was to come together to Nation. the Commission to present some what we view as critical historical information about the promises made by Canada to the First Nations - to these First Nations and others on the coast - concerning their continued ability to obtain a livelihood from the sea and the related provision of completely inadequate reserves for anything other than a livelihood based on fishing.

At the Commission's suggestion, we've had discussions with the Haida, the Heiltsuk and the Terms of Reference Tsawataineuk Tribal Council, which on the surface seem like a very good fit because all of these are First Nations that are coastal, that have not entered into a treaty and that have similar histories with respect to reserve allotment. On further discussion between counsel, it appears that, in particular with a couple of those First Nations, the main focus is a very strong opposition to aquaculture. And the Laich-Kwil-Tach Treaty Society's submission doesn't mention aquaculture. Their view is more, on that issue, that they want to be led by the right science, whatever that may be.

So those discussions on that form of coalition are ongoing and counsel are trying to see whether something could be worked out there. But I can say that, with respect to other members, other potential participants, you heard from Chief Harold Sewid of the Mamalilikulla Qwe Qwa Sot Em on Monday and James Walkus of the Gwa'Sala'Nakwaxda'xw First Nation, also on Monday. Both of these have a life in commercial fishing and have a perspective as aboriginal people bringing their expertise and having seen what has happened to the aboriginal commercial fishing fleet and livelihood over the last number of decades. That's a coalition that we believe, from our client-to-client discussions, will work. we'll have to work out the details, but it sounds like the perspective is very similar.

And similarly, discussions between clients with the Aboriginal Aquaculture Association, which is another potential participant here today. That group represents 17 First Nations and provides services to 35 First Nations in total. Its focus is on sustainable aquaculture, and in particular in ensuring that aboriginal peoples continue to enjoy their right to a livelihood from the sea. And so we've got every indication that that will be a successful coalition partner.

So that's where the Laich-Kwil-Tach Treaty Society is at right now. So we're pretty clear that we'll have a coalition there between at least four potential participants and we'll definitely continue discussions with others.

THE COMMISSIONER: I'm very grateful, Mr. Donovan. Thank you, sir.

MR. DICKSON: Mr. Commissioner, Tim Dickson for the Stó:lō Tribal Council.

Mr. Commissioner, the tribal council is comprised of eight First Nations along the Fraser and its tributaries from Langley to Hope, and among those First Nations is the Cheam. They have, as Ms. Schabus may tell you after, some distinct issues from the tribal council as a whole.

But we are very much willing to team up and work together collaboratively except where those distinct issues arise. As you've heard from other coalitions, we would ask for the ability to -- for separate counsel to speak to those issues on behalf of their separate clients.

Further, Mr. Commissioner, we would very much seek to collaborate with other groups on issues. We'd like to have separate standing but we would very much reach out and try to work cooperatively with other First Nation groups so as there is no duplication where the perspectives are shared.

And I imagine as we go forward that we would be able to identify issues among such groups and divvy them up so that a group takes one issue forward. But at this stage it's difficult to do that ahead of time. But that would very much be our attitude, and we would be looking to make this process as streamlined and as focused and effective as possible. Thank you.

MR. MARTLAND: Mr. Commissioner, if I might suggest,

Ms. Schabus is on the line and that's maybe an opportune time for her to address you. MS. SCHABUS: Mr. Commissioner, can you hear me? THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, I can, Ms. Schabus. Thank you. MS. SCHABUS: Perfect. Mr. Commissioner, thank you for allowing me this opportunity to speak, and just to reiterate with Mr. Dickson that we are ready to work together as one applicant, as the Stó:lō Tribal Council and Cheam, sharing and cocounselling the application and seeking full standing throughout the Inquiry. And we are seeking that Cheam can address all issues especially relating to allocation, management and enforcement.

I don't want to go into too much detail, of course. Written submissions are before the Commission. But the Cheam people, who are part of the Pilalt Tribe and the Stó:lō Nation, have a very strong (indiscernible - poor sound quality) tradition asserting their inherent rights. And also their geographic location directly on the Lower Fraser River has created a strong relationship with the river and the Fraser River salmon, and their livelihoods are directly impacted by the decline of the Fraser River salmon. It's at the core of their life, their economy and their culture.

The Cheam people have been very strong at asserting their inherent rights, which has made them a target of enforcement activities by the (indiscernible) which has taken away from management activities and creates an adversarial relationship and results in their indigenous knowledge not being taken into account to the extent that it should be to ensure the sustainable management of the resource. So our position is also going to be that there is an indigenous dimension to conservation and management. DFO does not have exclusive jurisdiction over those issues.

And we also want to point the Commission to international minimum standards that could form the basis for recommendations by the Commission.

So again, like Mr. Dickson indicated, we are ready to work as one with the Stó:lō Tribal Council, both Cheam and Stó:lō Tribal Council sharing the standing. We are ready to collaborate

with others and work on specific issues, but we are seeking full standing throughout the Commission to address those issues. And we are going to seek the (indiscernible) necessary to invoke the discretionary provision to allow (indiscernible) necessary to address such issues as allocation, management and enforcement.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very much, Ms. Schabus, for --

MS. SCHABUS: Those would be my submissions. Thank you.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.

MR. MILLER: Mr. Commissioner, Rob Miller for the Carrier Sekani Tribal Council.

I'd like to thank Ms. Gaertner, Mr. Reynolds, Mr. Dickson and others for quite eloquently stating some of the issues and concerns that the Carrier Sekani share.

The Carrier Sekani Tribal Council is already a coalition of eight nations in the Nechako watershed whose combined territory is over 78,000 square kilometres. These nations are not applying for independent standing but are applying through the Carrier Sekani Tribal Council.

These communities have inhabited the Nechako watershed and other important Fraser watershed lakes and rivers for thousands of years. These communities know that area intimately, have a special and unique connection to the land, water and salmon, and have always played a special stewardship role in respect of Fraser River sockeye.

They view participation in the Commission as an extension of that stewardship role that they have served for thousands of years. No other group, to our knowledge, can claim as strong a connection to the Nechako River, the Stuart River, the Middle River, the Nautley River, the Stellako River, the Driftwood River, the Tachie River, to name a few, than the Carrier Sekani. Almost 25 percent of the Fraser River sockeye originate in these rivers.

So the Carrier Sekani is very much willing to cooperate with applicants like the First Nations Fisheries Council, like the Upper Fraser Fisheries Alliance, represented by Ms. Gaertner, and we've had some conversations with Ms. Gaertner to that

 effect. We've also had some conversations with the Stó:lō about cooperating with the Stó:lō and potentially other First Nations where we share common issues. And we anticipate, having looked at the Terms of Reference, that there will be a large number of common issues, and we're committed to ensuring that the process runs efficiently and that there isn't unnecessary duplication on those issues.

However, our position at this time is that the Carrier Sekani would very much like independent standing and an ability to be heard independently outside of any coalition if the need arises and if there is a divergence of interests. The Carrier Sekani will have unique interests in some of the matters before the Commission and would very much like an opportunity and a voice to express their concerns regarding those issues. Thank you.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very much. Mr. Harvey.
MR. HARVEY: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. Christopher
Harvey for the West Coast Area G Trollers.

I just wanted to say something with respect to the three groups which we have now reduced from four fisher groups, commercial fishers. First of all, to bear in mind that the licensed commercial fishers account for somewhere in the region of 95 percent of the uptake of the fish, so it's a significant group, and in a sense are already under-represented with three fisher groups before the Commission.

The Area G Trollers fish on the west coast of Vancouver Island. They fish from five miles offshore to up to 30 miles offshore. So in a sense, they are representing the -- their interest is focused to a large extent on the marine environment, the ocean environment. They are the first eyes to the fish, reporting the fish as they come in. The group that Mr. Rosenbloom spoke on behalf of, as I understand it, are the east coast of Vancouver Island, the Johnstone Strait area, Broughton Archipelago, an area which has a large concentration of fish farms. The Area E Gillnet Association, Mr. Butcher's group, is the river fisher group. So with respect to one of the many issues there will be in the Commission as between ocean interception fisheries, in-river fisheries

and terminal fisheries, these three groups can bring a perspective and somewhat of a different perspective in that.

Basically what I'm saying is that these three groups, as I understand it, can bring a wealth of practical experience to the Commission and assist the Commission in what is the common interest of everyone here, that is to determine the reasons for the decline of the sockeye and hopefully reverse the decline.

So I would urge you with respect to the Area G Trollers to allow standing and to not cut down the representation which seems to be now coalesced into three groups from the commercial fishing sector. Thank you.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. Harvey. Mr. Butcher. MR. BUTCHER: Mr. Commissioner, it's David Butcher for the -- I have two industry clients, one of whom, the Pacific Fisheries Survival Coalition, has support from many sectors in the industry, and one of whom has a membership drawn from a very finite geographical area as just described by Mr. Harvey, is the southern end of Vancouver Island and the Fraser River up to Mission.

It is true that Mr. Rosenbloom, Mr. Harvey and I represent different sectors with different interests, and there are going to be times when we will all, in my submission, have to represent their separate and specific interests. And so we remain with our separate applications before you.

But what I can tell you - and this is the successful part of our discussions in the last week or two, and indeed in the last few months as the fishery groups have talked together about bringing their applications before you - is we do share some common interests. And in fact, we share not only common interests with each other, but there may be some areas where we have common interests with other groups unrelated to the commercial fishery, and it will be our approach here to work cooperatively with those other groups in those areas where we share a common interest. But we can't escape the fact that we have separate and specific interests that have a continuing need for independent representation here. Thank you.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. Butcher.

MR. SPORER: Mr. Commissioner, my name is Chris Sporer

2.4

2.8

with the Seafood Producers Association of British Columbia.

The Seafood Producers Association of British Columbia is a coalition of eight seafood processing companies in British Columbia. Our members probably purchase and process approximately 75 percent of the commercially caught halibut in British Columbia.

Our membership considered in our application for standing working with other groups, and as you've heard from other groups today, we feel we've got some unique interests. We're the processing sector. We're the only organization representing processing interests. We bring a market perspective as we deal with consumers, people directly buying the fish. So we would sort of seek the -- try to maintain our independent standing. However, we do recognize, as other groups have mentioned, that there will be issues where we'll probably have similar interests and work cooperatively. That would be our approach on certain issues that come up where our interests do align. But at this time we're -- in discussions with our members, given our sort of unique perspective, we're seeking to maintain our Thank you. standing.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Chief White.
MR. WHITE: Mr. Commissioner, Chief Douglas White from
the Snuneymuxw First Nation speaking on behalf of
Tsawout and Tsartlip.

We're a group of three First Nations, Coast Salish nations, on Vancouver Island that each have Douglas Treaty rights in relation to this fishery. When the Commission sent out the letters of invitation for standing, we took the opportunity to form this group to come before you so that we could present our common interests in a more effective fashion.

I want to echo what has been said by many of the counsel before me, that we do have common interests with other groups, whether it's with Mr. Janes' group, the Te'mexw Treaty Society, which is another group of nations that have Douglas Treaty rights, or whether it's the group under Brenda Gaertner and the First Nations Fisheries Council. I want you to know that we're open to the idea that we can work more effectively

if we're working collaboratively with those groups, but that we nonetheless do have very unique interests in relation to this fishery under our treaties, and we would want to maintain independent standing and the ability to speak on behalf of our own interests and perspectives on certain matters.

But to the extent possible, we're open to continuing to discuss with the other groups how we may come together to work more effectively and efficiently in front of the Commission. So I wanted to share that thought with you.

- THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very much, Chief. Thank you. Mr. Martland.
- MR. MARTLAND: Mr. Commissioner, I rose slowly just to ensure that there wasn't anyone who still wanted to come forward to address you.
- THE COMMISSIONER: I think there is, Mr. Martland. Sorry.
- MR. MARTLAND: Thank you.
- MS. DION: My apologies. Tina Dion on behalf of Tsawwassen First Nation.

I just wanted to follow up with the comments of Mr. Reynolds for his client, the Musqueam First Nation. I appreciate that we are looking for flexibility. However, I just wanted to draw to the attention of Mr. Commissioner that for the Tsawwassen First Nation, while we are prepared and happy to work with other First Nations, we in our submissions - and we were careful in putting those together - Tsawwassen First Nation is the only First Nation currently that has a full treaty in effect. Under the treaty, the treaty established a number of different schemes. One of them is a joint fisheries committee which works with Canada and other parties - the Province, for example - to work towards assessing, planning and managing the fishery. And as a result of the treaty, our view is that while we have some common interests with other First Nations, we certainly from the treaty perspective are the only First Nation represented here that has a full treaty in place.

The other issue is that we set out four issues in our submissions that align quite nicely with the work of the Commission here, and felt that we could bring forward certainly some unique perspectives given our status as a treaty First

Nation. That doesn't take away from our willingness to work with Maa-Nulth and Musqueam. But we wanted to echo that we would certainly be looking to be leading our own evidence and bringing forward our issues through our counsel, Mr. Arvay. And I just wanted to also reiterate that we've set aside some funding in order to allow us I just wanted to make those comments to do that. before the close of counsel's comments.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very much, Ms. Dion.
MR. GAILUS: Mr. Commissioner, my name is Gailus, first
initial J.

As I said in my opening comments, I represent the Hwlitsum First Nation, which is kind of unique First Nation in that although they're involved in the B.C. treaty process at Stage 2, we're still working out the details of what their status is with the Government of Canada.

The Hwlitsum First Nation would represent a unique interest in that not only are they Section 35 rights holders; they also have several commercial fishers as part of their membership. So we submit they have a substantial and direct interest in this proceeding.

The preference of my clients would be that they be granted standing on their own. But as you heard earlier today, we've had some discussions with counsel for Te'mexw, Cowichan and Chemainus about ways that we could possibly work together on this.

Just by way of background, the Hwlitsum First Nation is a Hul'qumi'num-speaking community, so they have relationships with the Hul'qumi'num speakers -- Chemainus, Cowichan, Penelakut, that Hul'qumi'num Treaty Group, which is on Vancouver Island.

As I said, there've been some preliminary discussions, but I think we need to work those out. And I'd echo many of the comments that we've heard from counsel, and that if we are going to form a group together, we would try to coordinate submissions. But there are some unique perspectives that each of the parties, I think, would want to put forward on their own in this Commission.

And I think it should be up to counsel

2.4

working together to come up with these creative solutions that address the interests that we've heard from Commission counsel today and ensure that both the First Nations' interests, the commercial fishers' interest, all of the other groups, but also the Commission's interest itself are best served in this process.

- THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very much, sir. I think there was one other, Mr. Martland, just behind you.
- MR. MARTLAND: There may have been. And if I might just preface that, though, by indicating this, because I've had a few inquiries. Our game plan, such as it is, was to permit -- we've had a series of requests for counsel and applicants to take some time to meet. We've arranged indeed private meeting rooms for that to take place. So just to assist in the process, for those who may be engaged in further associating with others, we will be looking to stand down and allow that to happen.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.

MS. JOSEPH: Bertha Joseph for Council of the Haida Nation.

I want to confirm that our client is interested in working cooperatively with other First Nations groups. Mr. Donovan did indicate that we have had a meeting of about four different groups. We continue to examine that. We also are interested in having further discussions with the Fisheries Council, so we do require time to be able to do that. I want to confirm as well that as stated by other counsel, that we would like to also continue to have the right to be able to present our unique perspective in the hearing. Thank you.

- THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very much, Ms. Joseph. Mr. Martland.
- MR. MARTLAND: Mr. Commissioner, I'm tempted to say that with a ten-minute adjournment, we've already made what seems to be significant progress, and just think what we might do with a twenty-minute adjournment. I wonder if I might propose that we stand down with the intention that we reconvene before the lunch break at least to give you a status update. It may well be that applicants need further time for discussions. But if I might

propose that at this juncture. Thank you, Mr. Martland. THE COMMISSIONER: All right. If my watch is correct, it's about 11:30 or close to it. May we suggest that we stand down until noon, Mr. Martland? Is that what you're suggesting? And then perhaps counsel can speak with those of you who are here who wish to have further discussions. As he said, there are some rooms set aside for that purpose. And then you can report to him and let him know the status of any discussions or whether you wish to make any further submission before me on the terms of the status. We intended to break for lunch at 12:30, just to let you know what we held for the day. I just want to thank all of you who have spoken here this morning. You have very graciously been patient listening to others, and you have picked up on the theme of those discussions, and many of you have been working

spoken here this morning. You have very graciously been patient listening to others, and you have picked up on the theme of those discussions, and many of you have been working at -- I know not just today but, as Mr. Rosenbloom and others said, over the past weeks and perhaps even months, to try and bring together some of the great successes you've already made in terms of coming together. Ms. Gaertner, I won't use the word "coalition." I'll say "coming together." Because as Mr. Wallace said, it doesn't have a fixed terminology in our minds. We're really relying upon you and your clients to be creative in this way.

So if you don't mind, we'll stand down now for about half an hour. If you could let Mr. Martland know where you're at at the end of that half hour, we'll resume and then we'll break for lunch at 12:30. Thank you very much for your patience.

MR. MARTLAND: Mr. Commissioner, if I might just by way of assistance to the people here, first of all, we have copies of the Terms of Reference with a view to focusing discussions about respective interests on those Terms of Reference. And secondly, Mr. Wallace was hoping to address applicants after we stand down now. Thank you.

THE COMMISSIONER: If you could just remain in your seats just for a moment. Thank you very much. THE REGISTRAR: The hearing is now adjourned until 12:00 noon.

(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED / LA SÉANCE EST SUSPENDUE) (PROCEEDINGS RECONVENED / LA SÉANCE EST REPRISE)

THE REGISTRAR: Order. The hearing is now resumed.

MR. WALLACE: Thank you. Mr. Commissioner, I've had approaches by about three people who wish to say a very few words, and I invite them to do that now.

THE COMMISSIONER: Ms. Gaertner.

MS. GAERTNER: I think this is good news. Brenda Gaertner.

I wanted to confirm that in addition to the seven applicants that the standing application was submitted by me, you've already heard that Adams Lake will join that coalition. I can now confirm that the Carrier Sekani are also content to be named as a distinct party, like the other parties within my application, within that coalition.

And I want to stress this proviso which I spoke to earlier this morning, but is a proviso that the Carrier Sekani want understood clearly. The proviso is that there is an ability of each of those distinct applicants to bring forward unique perspectives and unique concerns, including through counsel other than me, should that be necessary. And when we get to the funding applications, we will be applying for funding accordingly.

But at this point in time, they are content to be named as an applicant within that coalition with those provisos. And that would apply to the other -- as I spoke earlier today.

And then I have a slightly more nuanced success, I suppose, if we're trying to streamline, and this is a success on the part of legal counsel. I have been informed and instructed that the Coalition of Douglas Treaty First Nations that Chief Douglas White spoke to this morning, which represents the Snuneymuxw, the Tsartlip and the Tsawout First Nations, are happy to have myself act as their legal counsel. They are content and would prefer at this point in time to maintain a distinct standing application, and I think that's appropriate until I have an opportunity to understand the complexities that I may be getting myself into and would want to ensure that there is

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

1 proper representation in that way. And I'm resting this work a little bit on 3 Brian Wallace's comments earlier today about going 4 forward with these types of alliances or at this 5 point in time willing to find places of common 6 interest, without prejudice to our ability to 7 shift those coalitions should, as we proceed in 8 this hearing, that be required in order to ensure that parties' interests are properly put before 9 10 the Commission. 11 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very much, Ms. Gaertner. 12 I appreciate that. 13 MS. GAERTNER: You're welcome. 14 THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Janes. 15 MR. JANES: (Off microphone) I keep on forgetting to 16 press the button. 17 And identify yourself on the record. THE COMMISSIONER: 18 MR. JANES: Yes. Robert Janes. 19 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, sir. 20 MR. JANES: I'm going to speak to two things. First of 21 all, I will just speak to the question of progress 22 on consensus or coalition or working together 23 building. And what I'm going to say is that we've 24 been working further the group that we've talked 25 before. Various members of our group, however, 26 have to seek some further instructions and, as Ms. 27 Gaertner discussed, talk about some of the nuances 28 of how what we've been discussing will work. And 29 so we can't report success yet, but we hope either 30 this afternoon or subsequently by written communication to advise you of the outcome of 31 32 those discussions. 33 I do want, though, to make this point, and it's really the follow-up on the comment this 34 35 morning that the conclusion would be an 36 opportunity to make the last few comments with 37 respect to standing. And it actually goes to why 38 we've been having some difficulties in putting 39 together this coalition.

I just want to really hammer home a point about why we want to maintain the separate standing application, not just for TTA but for many of these groups. And I think it's important for you, Mr. Commissioner - and I'm sure you do, but I think it has to be said - to appreciate for the aboriginal groups - and I am speaking specifically with respect to the aboriginal

2.8

interests before you - is that there's a long history of frustration with respect to various efforts that have been undertaken to reform, revise, adjust, re-imagine, fisheries management and specifically the sockeye stock management.

There's a lot that's happened since 1982. But there's been a very strong sense amongst many First Nations that the reason that earlier efforts have failed -- and I say failed because they've really failed to engage the First Nations. And so there's just been this endless conflict between the Department of Fisheries and Oceans and aboriginal groups about the management of the fisheries. The sense is the sense that they feel they've been left out of the process of developing how that new management should be imagined, how it should be put together, what their real perspectives are.

And so many of these First Nations come forward and make these separate applications to you because they are separate people who feel that they have separate visions and separate interests that are still aboriginal interests, and they want to know that this Commission - which I think everyone here views as one of the most important opportunities that's come along in two decades, maybe three, to address this concern - is the time to have their voice be heard.

And there is this feeling that they don't want to be submerged into a generic aboriginal perspective. There are in fact many different aboriginal perspectives.

And my own client, the Te'mexw Treaty
Association, has very strong views on this,
partially informed by the fact that they have a
treaty, the Douglas Treaties, but also informed by
the fact that they have a different vision in the
modern treaty negotiations about how future
management should be carried out. And they want
the opportunity to articulate those views and not
have to engage in necessarily a negotiation with
other First Nations that have different views.
And so they hope that you can hear those views,
weigh them, consider them.

And they're willing to be efficient about this. They're not here to try a full-blown case about aboriginal rights or treaty rights or

6 7

12 13 14

19

29 30 31

33 34 35

36

32

37 38 39

40 41 42

43 44

45 46 47

anything like that; but they're here to make sure that on the particular issues that concern you, you actually hear their distinct voice.

And that's why -- you know, they've come together as five nations to work together in treaty and to make these submissions to you, but they do want to be heard as a distinct voice. we can see ways to cooperate and to be efficient, but we don't want that distinctiveness to be lost. THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very much, sir. Mr.

Revnolds. MR. REYNOLDS: James Reynolds, counsel for the Musqueam.

> During the break, I had the opportunity to meet with counsel for the Maa-Nulth and Tsawwassen First Nation and some of their representatives. And we went through the Terms of Reference, as Mr. Wallace asked that we do, and we found that there were many, many issues that we have in common and on which we felt that we can make joint representations, including the use of one counsel for those representations.

> However, we do want to reiterate that each of the applicants feel that there are specific issues relating to that applicant and would like to have the opportunity to have counsel of their own choice deal with those specific issues. that's a coalition, then we're willing to form a coalition together.

> And also in the course of our discussion it became obvious that there would be other issues on which we could work together with other First Nation groups, and we have a willingness to do that. Thank you.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. Reynolds, very much. MR. MILLER: Mr. Commissioner, Rob Miller for the Carrier Sekani Tribal Council.

I'd like to echo Mr. Reynolds' comments, and I'd also like to express a concern that I think Ms. Gaertner and I had discussed about the Carrier Sekani forming part of any coalition. And that was a procedural issue relating to being able to present and have its voice heard on specific issues that come in front of the Commission. one of the concerns that we would have is that members of a coalition be able to be represented and be heard without having to go through a

 subsequent application process prior to being able to appear before the Commission. Because we're agreeing to participate and cooperate in the interest of efficiency but we want to be careful that we don't prejudice our ability to speak to issues that we feel are of unique interest to the Carrier Sekani going forward. Thank you.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Mr. Harvey, I saw you on your feet.

MR. HARVEY: Christopher Harvey on behalf of the West Coast Trollers Area G.

I just wanted to report that there is an evolving working relationship coming into place, it appears, between the Trollers who I represent and the union, the UFAWU, who are as yet unrepresented but have an application for standing before the Commission. There's common ground in the sense that they're both industry participants, they both have a large portion of First Nations members, and it seems there's grounds to expect that they have common interests in many areas. what I would like to do is -- and I say this because the president of the West Coast Trollers is ill today, has been for about a week, is not able to be here. There's a need for further discussions. What I would like to do is confirm that in writing. And I noticed that -- I think it was Mr. Janes made reference to confirming something in writing. Perhaps it would be useful to have a deadline for submissions like that. would expect seven days would be sufficient for Thank you. us.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.

MS. ROBERTSON: Krista Robertson for Terms of Reference Tsawataineuk Tribal Council.

I can report that I have had initial discussions with three other coastal First Nation groups around collaborating. They do have common interests in the sense that they rely on the interception of the Fraser River sockeye for their food fishery, which is a very significant interest from the point of view of my clients. But there are significant differences of opinion around the issue of salmon aquaculture, and that is the main interest that my clients have in participating in this Inquiry.

So to that end, I have had on the break some

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41 42

43

44

45

46

47

discussions around alternative coalition grouping 1 around the issue of salmon aquaculture. I don't 3 have instruction yet, but I can just report to you 4 that I have some preliminary instruction that 5 there is an interest in pursuing that further. 6 That would be with two groups who I understand are 7 particularly focusing on the impacts of salmon 8 aquaculture on the fishery. 9 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very much. Mr. Donovan. 10 MR. DONOVAN: Allan Donovan, Mr. Commissioner. 11 The Laich-Kwil-Tach Treaty Society coalition 12 with the Aboriginal Aquaculture Association with 13 Chief Harold Sewid and James Walkus is confirmed 14 if the Commission is willing. The discussions are 15 continuing with the Haida Nation and the Heiltsuk 16 Those are, again, both coastal First Nation. 17 Nations with very similar reserve histories and 18 similar involvement in the commercial fishery. 19 see a lot of common interests. But the lawyers 20 for those parties have to speak with their 21 clients, who aren't here and who are travelling. 22 So that's something that we will work on but we

in short order will be in the cards.

And as Ms. Robertson pointed out, we have tried hard to work things out with Terms of Reference Tsawataineuk Tribal Council and so has she, and there are certainly common interests and a common history about reserve allotment. But given the particular interest and position that those groups have on finfish aquaculture, basically there are better dance partners out there than our group. Thank you.

won't have an answer today, but a written response

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very much, Mr. Donovan. MR. DUCOMMUN: Good day. Gary Ducommun, D-U-C-O-M-M U-N, Métis Nation British Columbia.

I had an opportunity to talk at the break with representatives of the conservation group - I won't use the word "coalition" - so actually the Conservation Coalition and the David Suzuki Foundation or the Suzuki Foundation, and we find that there are a number of areas, particularly around environmental issues, where we are likely to have common interests and concerns, and Métis Nation B.C. will be looking to identify those areas where we can cooperate with that group.

On the other hand, Métis Nation B.C.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

represents an aboriginal group that is unique and distinct from First Nations, and our issues are essentially throughout the watershed and throughout the coastal area of British Columbia. So we think that there is, number one, a need on our behalf to maintain our application for intervenor status, but I also believe that we will have an ability to contribute actually to some of these issues actually from a broader, more holistic standpoint. Thank you. THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very much, sir. 12 Wallace.

Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. MR. WALLACE: There seem to be two groups who would like to provide further information in writing. I think that's a good We are trying to be flexible here and I idea. think that if there's an opportunity to have some of the issues which are complex obviously in setting these arrangements up, and if people can be more specific as to the nature of the relationship they envisage, I think that would be helpful to you, sir, in making your decisions.

Mr. Harvey suggested seven days. May I suggest that those representations might be put in writing by the close of business on Tuesday, which is not seven days, but it's getting close.

I also have a sense that there may be some movement going on today that could be reported this afternoon, and particularly was taken by Ms. Robertson's comments that that might be useful. Would it be useful to have you work on this over lunch and come back at two o'clock?

MS. ROBERTSON: Sure.

MR. WALLACE: I'm not sure if there are others who wish to come back at two o'clock to see whether or not we can make further advances today. We are here, and I would ask, Mr. Commissioner, that we make use of the time booked to do that. So if you're agreeable, then please proceed with those discussions.

My sense is that doesn't need to involve everybody who's here now, and only those who are in active discussion who wish to pursue it this afternoon, I would invite to come back at 2:00.

And again, I would ask anyone who wishes to clarify or augment what they've said on standing to this point to do so to the Commission by

e-mail, in writing obviously, by e-mail, by the end of the day on Tuesday. And by the same token, Commission counsel will be thinking about these things and we may have some questions for individual applicants that we may submit to you by e-mail in the same period of time.

And finally, I understand, Mr. Commissioner, you are anxious to get on with this and to make a decision on standing. And once you have done that, then I can say that with respect to funding that Commission counsel will be in touch with each of the participants with standing to discuss what further information we require to support the present funding applications.

And I don't see anyone else on their feet. Oh, my junior colleague, Mr. Rosenbloom.

- MR. ROSENBLOOM: Wishful thinking, Mr. Wallace. Mr. Commissioner, are you in a position to give any sense to counsel as to the future progress of this I hear rumours of a possible set of Commission? hearings in the month of June. I'm fully mindful of the fact that you have an obligation under your terms to provide an interim report to the Government, I believe, on August 1st. It would be useful to I'm sure all of us to know anything more than we can currently glean from the website in terms of your intention, both on the question of spring hearings and also in terms of the fall hearings. I assume you'll be holding hearings in the fall or into the new year, and it would be very useful, certainly for myself anyway, to get a sense of what you currently intend in terms of process. Thank you.
- THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. Rosenbloom. Mr. Wallace.
- MR. WALLACE: If I may, Mr. Commissioner, respond to Mr. Rosenbloom's request for information on timing, we are moving this forward as quickly as we can. We hope to have some further hearing activity later this spring, but this is evolving. And we're all very conscious of the importance of doing this in as timely a way as we possibly can.
- THE COMMISSIONER: I can say, Mr. Rosenbloom, just a couple of comments in response. One is obviously I have an interest in ensuring that these matters get on and get completed before the Commission's mandate expires, and all of you have an interest

2.8

in that happening before I expire. So there's a definite interest on both sides.

I also want to say to you that we've been, as you have been, very engaged in dealing with this first step, which is the standing and funding part of the process. What I have in mind is that once we get through this part of the process, then we will have on our plate knowledge of who has standing, and at that point we can start to engage those parties, those participants, in discussions about going forward. We plan to keep everyone fully informed as best we can about our progress and we will also want to know about the participants' progress in respect of our going forward plans under our mandate.

But I can only say to you this morning, and I say this quite sincerely, I don't know the answer to your question now. We are certainly very hopeful that we can aim for the kind of timeframe you're suggesting for initial parts of the process. But as Mr. Wallace says, we are so engaged right now in this first step that once we get through the first step, we will then take the next step. But we'll certainly keep everyone fully informed.

MR. ROSENBLOOM: Thank you very much, Mr. Commissioner. If I may be permitted just a few moments of my musings about the whole situation. Obviously it's an early stage for you and your staff, and one can't expect you to set out for us yet the full It will be useful for the staff and the Commission to be mindful of the fact that as you overhear the discussions of counsel about their interest in certain areas, that when we are engaged in the full hearings, if the Commission chooses to approach the subject matters in compartments, it will be very useful to counsel so that those counsel that want to engage in, for example, the ecological issues, the sea lice issues, the farming issues, if they can be informed that yes, those hearings will be the first or the second grouping within your agenda, it will be very, very useful to the parties, because I imagine - I certainly speak for myself. I don't intend to be here day in and day out would appreciate some sense when the opportunity is there to have an agenda of generally where this

Commission is going with its agenda.

THE COMMISSIONER: I very much appreciate those comments, Mr. Rosenbloom, and I can tell you that once we get through this part of the process, we definitely intend to start engaging the participants in those kinds of discussions.

MR. ROSENBLOOM: Thank you.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very much for your comments.

Just before we adjourn, first of all, let me as Commissioner express my deep appreciation to all of you who've come here today and to the thought you've put into not just what you've told me today but leading up to today. We're very grateful for the time you've spent respecting the spirit of what we sent you in our e-mail, and you've certainly done that, and I'm most grateful to you.

Mr. Wallace has laid out the first part of our timetable. And so we expect to hear from you by the time he has suggested and you'll hear from us within that timeframe if we have anything to add as well.

We did reserve this courtroom and the breakout rooms for today. I wonder, Mr. Wallace and Mr. Giles, our Registrar - and I thank him for his assistance in organizing today's meeting - if any of you wish to use that break-out room during the lunch break or into the afternoon, whether it would be possible, Mr. Wallace, for them to let you and Mr. Giles know so arrangements could be made, if that's agreeable.

- MR. WALLACE: Thank you. We will come back at two o'clock, then, to see --
- THE COMMISSIONER: We'll resume at two o'clock --
- MR. WALLACE: -- to see what further developments have taken place.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. And as Mr. Wallace said, for those of you who do not feel that anything further can be accomplished today or you've said what you want to say in addition to your written applications, certainly there's no need for you to come back at 2:00. But for those of you who would like to add something at 2:00 to what you've already told Commission counsel this morning and myself, I certainly invite you to do so. Thank you very much again.

42 43

44

45

46

47

cetera.

THE REGISTRAR: The hearing is now adjourned until 2:00 1 p.m. 3 4 (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED FOR NOON RECESS / LA SÉANCE EST AJOURNÉE POUR LA PAUSE DU DÉJEUNER 5 6 (PROCEEDINGS RECONVENED / LA SÉANCE EST 7 REPRISE) 8 9 THE REGISTRAR: Order. The hearing is now resumed. 10 THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Wallace. 11 MR. WALLACE: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. 12 this afternoon will be very efficient. Robertson is with us and will, I think, report on 13 14 progress. 15 MS. ROBERTSON: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. feeling a little self-conscious as the sole person 16 17 who is here. I'm not exactly efficient. 18 really appreciate your reconvening to hear from 19 I have made some progress. Maybe I'll just 20 give you a little bit more detail. 21 THE REGISTRAR: First your name, please. 22 MS. ROBERTSON: Oh, pardon me. Krista Robertson, and I 23 represent the Terms of Reference Tsawataineuk 24 Tribal Council. As I mentioned before, they are 25 four nations. They're located in the Broughton 26 Archipelago, which is kind of on the west coast of 27 the province. 28 So initially we had conversations with three 29 other coastal nations, and as I had mentioned in 30 my submissions earlier, there is some conflict 31 issues around the different approaches the First 32 Nations have towards salmon aquaculture, and that 33 is the main interest of my client in 34 participating. 35 So that said, there is still an interest on 36 the part of my client in collaborating with the 37 Council of Haida Nation. They do have certainly similar interests in terms of their food 38 39 fisheries' interception of the Fraser River 40 sockeye, and I'd be very willing to collaborate

> I can just assure you that I'm having ongoing discussions with the Council of Haida Nation, and

> evidentiary foundation, I guess, of the historical

with them on developing sort of a joint

use of that run and the allocation of their

reserve for the purpose of salmon fishing, et

But I

42

43

44

45 46

47

Thank you.

THE REGISTRAR:

I think that we can collaborate, maybe not in a 1 formal coalition kind of way but certainly around efficiency and making sure that we don't duplicate 3 4 there. 5 The new development that's happened over the 6 break is working with Alexandra Morton and the 7 Pacific fisheries group. And as well, 8 potentially -- and I think what is emerging is 9 that we will form a coalition, Alex Morton's group 10 and the Musgamagw people, around their common 11 experience and attitude towards salmon 12 aquaculture, and I think we can really be quite 13 efficient in terms of evidence there. 14 Additionally, the Area D Gillnetters 15 Association, I understand, is going to be making submissions that are quite focused on the impact 16 17 of salmon aquaculture. And although I think we 18 wouldn't be in a position to form a more formal 19 coalition with them, we certainly will collaborate 20 with them in trying to streamline our positions 21 and collaborate where we can on that front. 22 So because I'm working for a tribal council 23 that is four nations, I really have to convene a 24 board meeting to get that formal instruction. 25 I've spoken to the chair of the tribal council and 26 he's supportive of what I've said so far. 27 very hopeful that I will get that instruction and 28 I expect that I can certainly advise the 29 Commission by Tuesday. 30 THE COMMISSIONER: That would be great. Thank you very 31 much, Ms. Robertson. 32 MS. ROBERTSON: Thank you. 33 MR. WALLACE: Thank you. I would just point out for 34 Ms. Robertson that one lawyer is often quite 35 efficient. It's when there are two lawyers it 36 becomes inefficient. And I don't see anyone else 37 in the room, so I would ask that we could adjourn. THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Well, then, we'll 38 39 adjourn and I look forward to hearing from 40 further, Ms. Robertson. Thank you very much.

> (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED SINE DIE / L'INSTANCE EST AJOURNÉE SINE DIE)

We will adjourn generally. We haven't set

another date. Counsel will be doing that shortly.

The hearing is now adjourned.