

**OVERALL EED Workplan Template  
(Overarching)  
EB Priorities for 2009-10**

Intermediate Outcome	Immediate Outcome	Business Functions and National Objectives	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions <sup>1</sup>	Resources			Measures
				FTE	OT	O & M (K)	
<b>1. Intermediate Outcomes:</b>  Enforcement activities prevent environmental damage and bring offenders into compliance with EC administrative laws and regulations in order to provide measurable environmental benefits to the natural environment and biodiversity.	<b>Immediate Outcomes:</b> Unlawful releases of harmful substances into the environment are prevented or minimized.	<b>1. Enforcement Operations (FA, CEPA)</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Intelligence</li> <li>Inspections</li> <li>Investigations</li> </ul> <b>National Strategy:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Given the ever increasing number of legislative/regulatory instruments and the increasing complexity of the statutory/regulatory requirements, implement a strategic targeting approach for inspections and investigations with an aim at delivering greater environmental benefits for the same or lower amount of enforcement effort.</li> </ul>	1. Regions are expected to indicate what efforts will be made in FY 09-10 to ensure sufficient capacity in Intel and Investigations to pursue national priorities.	30.18	52.50	329.90	TBD by the regions, based on their response
			2. Instrument specific targets will be provided on separate sheets. For priority instruments, regions are expected to ensure a reasonable enforcement presence in each priority sector. For priority instruments, regions are expected to ensure a reasonable enforcement presence in each priority sector. EED-NCR will provide regular reports to the regions that will assess the level of deviation from planned numbers. At the end of FY, regions will provide a brief document identifying any deviations from the initial plan <sup>2</sup> .	135.11	205.70	1,813.14	Percentage of targets met. If regions cannot meet the target provided at beginning of FY, justification will be provided
<b>2. Intermediate Outcomes:</b>  Strategic partners are engaged to extend the Enforcement Program's reach and enhance capacity to deliver on environmental priorities.	<b>Immediate Outcomes:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>EC enforcement priorities are better delivered through the development and implementation of partnership arrangements with key domestic and international partners.</li> <li>Partnership engagement is enhanced through the development of engagement strategies and plans.</li> </ul>	<b>2. Partnerships - Domestic</b>	3. Regions are expected to identify key partners in the regions, anticipated key meetings, and new initiatives such as border blitzes, training, sending EO's to spend time with CBSA officers at borders to sensitize about our needs, create new partnerships or to update current agreements in place)	5.15	21.00	45.00	
			4. Each region is expected to track referrals received from CBSA as per D-Memos and report on outcomes of these referrals to EED-NCR	1.60	10.00	26.00	

Intermediate Outcome	Immediate Outcome	Business Functions and National Objectives	Targets/Commitments Guidance to Regions <sup>1</sup>	Resources			Measures
				<u>FTE</u>	<u>OT</u>	<u>O &amp; M (K)</u>	
<b>2. Intermediate Outcomes:</b>  Strategic partners are engaged to extend the Enforcement Program's reach and enhance capacity to deliver on environmental priorities.	<u>Immediate Outcomes:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>EC enforcement priorities are better delivered through the development and implementation of partnership arrangements with key domestic and international partners.</li> <li>Partnership engagement is enhanced through the development of engagement strategies and plans.</li> </ul>	2. Partnerships - Domestic	5. Each region is expected to meet bi-yearly with their DFO counterparts to update on enforcement activities, agree on joint operations, review progress in implementation of the agreement and adjust as necessary.	0.95	0.50	25.00	
			6. Develop, implement and track implementation of regional protocol for managing 24/7 spill line for enforcement purposes (referrals from CBSA and Emergencies notifications)	3.33	173.75	145.00	Regional protocols are nationally consistent and implemented
			7. Renewal and implementation of the 5th agreement with the government of Québec on Pulp and Paper & Metal Mining.	1.10	0.00	475.00	
		3. Partnerships - International  <u>EED International commitments:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CEC project on NA imports: work with the USEPA and PROFEPA in sharing intelligence on selected commodities and through cooperation, take coordinated actions against common targets</li> <li>SPP Initiative: EED-NCR has put forward a potential project under the theme of "environment". The project would be in the same lines as the CEC project.</li> <li>Continue contribution to the CEC border officer training project. PYR will represent EED.</li> </ul>	8. Regions are expected to support HQ on EED international projects: 1) CEC project: Regions are expected to review and assess the necessity to follow up on all referrals from USEPA or Mexico routed to the regions via the HQ office and advise HQ of decision and outcome of the cases. Regions are also expected to follow up on all Intel probes linked to this project. 2) PYR to participate in teleconference calls related to hazardous waste border training CEC initiative, continue to provide input as required, and provide regular updates to EED-NCR on progress and implications for EED.	1.635	0.5	7	
			9. In order to build upon the collaboration established with USEPA-HQ, Regions are expected to advise HQ regarding any interactions with any international agencies including USEPA on specific cases.	0.44	0.00	14.00	HQ is advised ahead of time

Intermediate Outcome	Immediate Outcome	Business Functions and National Objectives	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions <sup>1</sup>	Resources			Measures
				FTE	OT	O & M (K)	
<b>2. Intermediate Outcomes:</b> Strategic partners are engaged to extend the Enforcement Program's reach and enhance capacity to deliver on environmental priorities.	Immediate Outcomes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>EC enforcement priorities are better delivered through the development and implementation of partnership arrangements with key domestic and international partners.</li> <li>Partnership engagement is enhanced through the development of engagement strategies and plans.</li> </ul>	<b>3. Partnerships - International</b>  EED International commitments: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CEC project on NA imports: work with the USEPA and PROFEPA in sharing intelligence on selected commodities and through cooperation, take coordinated actions against common targets</li> <li>SPP Initiative: EED-NCR has put forward a potential project under the theme of "environment". The project would be in the same lines as the CEC project.</li> <li>Continue contribution to the CEC border officer training project. PYR will represent EED.</li> </ul>	<b>10.</b> Regions are also expected to seek approval from HQ before contacting any international agencies including USEPA on matters not related to a specific operation.	0.10	0.00	0.00	Approval is sought from HQ
			<b>HQ:</b> <b>11.</b> Monitor discussions on international conventions/agreements and identify any issues/implications for Enforcement	0.15	0.00	0.00	Resource dedicated to such reviews in HQ and regular reports provided to the EED management table
			<b>12.</b> Continue active participation and contribution to Interpol and INECE.	0.08	0.00	0.00	
<b>3. Intermediate Outcomes:</b> A strong national enforcement organization is in place to deliver results and to provide a stimulating working environment.	<b>Immediate Outcomes:</b> Policy, legislative and regulatory development is supported by high quality analysis and advice from the enforcement program.	<b>4. Regulation Development and Support</b>	<b>13.</b> Regions are expected to implement the national service standards for investigations, monitor adherence with those standards and report back to HQ on performance.	1.05	0.00	5.00	Standards are met. In exceptional circumstances where they cannot be met, regions will provide justification
			<b>14.</b> ESD anticipates the following regulatory reviews, compliance strategies and enforcement plans will be launched in 2009-10: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>CMP:</b> (includes the following): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>TDI</b> (Regulatory Review &amp; Compliance Strategy)</li> <li><b>SILOXANES</b> - (Regulatory Review &amp; Compliance Strategy)</li> <li><b>CHPD</b> - (Regulatory Review &amp; Compliance Strategy)</li> <li><b>3 Regs. on VOC's</b> - (Regulatory Review &amp; two (2) Enforcement Plan)</li> <li><b>Chlorinated Parafins</b> - (Regulatory Review &amp; Compliance Strategy)</li> </ul> </li> <li><b>Chrome</b> - (Enforcement Plan)</li> <li><b>GHG Regs.</b> - (Regulatory Review -300 Pg &amp; Compliance Strategy)</li> <li><b>Proposed MWW Regs.</b> - (Regulatory Review &amp; Compliance Strategy)</li> <li><b>Petroleum Refineries</b> - (Effluent Regulations &amp; Possible Review 09-10)</li> <li><b>Mercury in products-</b> (Regulatory Review &amp; Compliance)</li> <li><b>PCB Regs.</b> - (Enforcement Plan)</li> <li><b>P2 Mercury</b> - (dental amalgame wastes) (Compliance Strategy)</li> <li><b>Storage Tanks</b> - (Enforcement Plan)</li> <li><b>Fuels Strategy</b> - (Update &amp; Enforcement Plan)</li> <li><b>Engine Emission Regs.</b> - (Possible Regulatory Review &amp; Update Compliance Strategy)</li> <li><b>EIHWHRM</b> - (Update Compliance Strategy)</li> </ul>	5.02	0.50	50.00	Feedback was provided to ESD in a timely manner
			<b>15.</b> Regions and the HQ office are expected to contribute to the Enforceability study being led by John Meaney (anticipated to be completed in Jun 09).	0.65	0.00	1.00	Feedback was provided to ESD in a timely manner

Intermediate	Immediate	Business Functions and National Objectives	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions <sup>1</sup>	Resources			Measures
				FTE	OT	O & M (K)	
<p><b>3. Intermediate Outcomes:</b></p> <p>A strong national enforcement organization is in place to deliver results and to provide a stimulating working environment.</p>	<p><b>Immediate Outcomes:</b></p> <p>Laws and regulations are enforced and applied consistently across the organization in a fair and predictable manner according to established policies, procedures and processes.</p>	<p><b>5. Policy and Operational Procedures Development</b></p> <p><b>National EED Objective:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Clear and consistent program and operational decisions made.</li> <li>• Development and implementation of the national MWWWE compliance strategy.</li> </ul>	<p><b>16.</b> Regions are expected to demonstrate in this section what process they have or will have in place to monitor the application of national policies and procedures and to identify to EED-NCR any gaps in national policies/procedures that need to be addressed.</p>	4.20	0.00	0.00	National policies and procedures are followed
	<p><b>Immediate Outcomes:</b></p> <p>Enforcement branch staff are hired, trained and empowered to perform their duties.</p>	<p><b>6. Human Resources</b></p>	<p><b>17.</b> Regions will provide, in this workplan template, the list of regional procedures, SOPs, inspection checklist, THAs and other documents alike anticipated to be developed in FY 09-10.</p>	0.80	0.00	0.00	lists are provided in this workplan
			<p><b>18.</b> Regions are expected to contribute to the development of national policies led by ESD. The followings are expected to require regional contribution in 09-10 (get list from ESD):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• First draft ready Apr 2009</li> <li>• Apr - Sep (2009) - Review (Committee created with regional representatives)</li> <li>• Sep-2009 - Sep. 2010 (list of committee members will be provided by Jacques)</li> </ul>	2.87	30.10	17.00	input is provided in a timely manner
			<p><b>Training:</b></p> <p><b>19.</b> Prior to delivery regions are expected to identify and provide details (i.e. location, duration, provider and justification for selection course outline, cost and any other information available) for any training anticipated to be offered to EOs in the region (courses not led/coordinated by TLD) and report on such training at mid and end of FY. These reports will be provided by EED-NCR to TLD to assist in their planning/reporting exercises.</p> <p><b>20.</b> Regions are expected to identify resources committed to assist TLD in the delivery and/or development of training for EOs. TLD anticipates developing the following for 09-10:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• PCB (awareness) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Apr. - Aug.: Total -(2) EOs needed to assist in developing material</li> <li>• Delivery date anticipated: Sep - 09</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Storage Tanks (Course) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• EOs already appointed for development (J.Miller &amp; G.Bruce)</li> <li>• Delivery date anticipated: Aug. - 09</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Chromium (awareness) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mar. 08 - May-09 - Total- (2) EOs needed to assist in dev</li> <li>• Delivery date anticipated: July - 09</li> </ul> </li> <li>• NSNR (course on biotech sampling) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Course material developed</li> <li>• TLD needs (1) EO per region to train the trainer session</li> <li>• Train the trainer seminar: possibly: possibly Aug. - 09</li> </ul> </li> <li>• ON / OFF Road EPA Training <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Delivery date anticipated: April - 09</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Solvent Degreasing Regulations <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Delivery Date: Mid May - 09</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Fisheries Act Update on On-Line Training module <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• TLD needs (2) EOs to assist in the review and developm</li> <li>• No clear timelines provided (either Spring or Fall - 09)</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Advanced Investigative Programs <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• TLD building a compendium currently existing courses</li> <li>• EOs already appointed for ongoing consultation</li> </ul> </li> <li>• NEMISIS Training <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• As of Dec.- 09, EB has the responsibility to provide NEM</li> <li>• TLD needs (2) EOs to assist in the development of mate</li> </ul> </li> <li>• BET</li> <li>• First aid (CPR)</li> <li>• Other Designation Training</li> </ul>	22.48	25.50	438.60	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• HQ is informed ahead of time of all regional training provided to Eos.</li> <li>• Resources identified and contributions made</li> </ul>

Intermediate	Immediate	Business Functions and National Objectives	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions <sup>1</sup>	Resources			Measures
				FTE	OT	O & M (K)	
<b>3. Intermediate Outcomes:</b> A strong national enforcement organization is in place to deliver results and to provide a stimulating working environment.	<b>Immediate Outcomes:</b> Enforcement branch staff are hired, trained and empowered to perform their duties.	6. Human Resources	<b>Staffing:</b> 21. Identify regional staffing needs for 09-10 (positions, levels, timelines)	2.65	0.00	31.00	Staffing targets are met
			<b>Succession Planning:</b> 22. Regions are expected to identify regional issues and submit to the National Director an action plan for 09-10 to address those issues.	0.38	0.00	0.00	Issues are identified and action plan implemented successfully
			23. <b>H-R Management Competency-Based:</b> • Regions are expected to contribute to ESD's initiative to develop and implement competency-based HR management system	0.39	0.00	0.00	
	<b>Immediate Outcomes:</b> Planning, reporting and decision making processes are based on reliable information and analysis.	7. Program Management & Information Systems					
			National Objective: • Implement response to the recommendation from the OAG on the FA Audit (2009): EC should ensure that its enforcement quality assurance and control processes are sufficient to demonstrate that its actions have been taken in accordance with the Compliance and Enforcement Policy - Proposed Management Response: – Environment Canada accepts this recommendation. The Enforcement Branch is continuing to develop a framework, standardize processes and establish accountabilities to enhance its quality assurance and its quality control. More specifically, the quality assurance and quality control framework is being both developed and implemented over the 2009-10 and 2010-2011 fiscal years. At the same time, the Enforcement Branch is establishing a quality assurance unit as well as a working group to oversee and support the quality of enforcement data. Collectively their responsibilities will include the development of new procedures for data entry, implementing a systematic data quality and control monitoring process that will involve both regional management teams as well as headquarters, conducting periodic quality assurance analysis of enforcement files and the provision of training to Enforcement Officers. • In 2009-2010, EB will establish and implement a systematic data quality and control monitoring process that will involve the regional management teams and the head office.	1.70	0.00	5.00	NEMISIS standard are met
			<b>Information Systems:</b> 24. Indicate how you will ensure that all operational files are recorded in NEMISIS in a manner that complies with the NEMISIS Guidelines.				

Intermediate	Immediate	Business Functions and National Objectives	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions <sup>1</sup>	Resources			Measures
				FTE	OT	O & M (K)	
<b>3. Intermediate Outcomes:</b> A strong national enforcement organization is in place to deliver results and to provide a stimulating working environment.	<b>Immediate Outcomes:</b> Planning, reporting and decision making processes are based on reliable information and analysis.	8. Program Administration	<b>25. Planning and performance management:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Regions are expected to contribute, via their regulatory leads, to the performance measures project in the identification of performance indicators for a subset of regulations/instruments. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Will get a list from Réjean L.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Regions are expected to contribute to the performance measurement project by ensuring that appropriate fields set-up for this purpose in NEMISIS are filled (See 1.2 FA &amp; 1.3 FHR)</li> </ul>	15.45	0.00	256.90	
	<b>Immediate Outcomes:</b> The public, regulatees, and stakeholders are well informed of EC enforcement program priorities, activities and results.	9. Communications	<b>26.</b> Regions are expected to submit to HQ complete, up-to-date and timely weekly reports on non routine operations (inspections, investigations) and key intel activities. In 2009-2010, EED-NCR will conduct a number of file reviews and will identify cases that are still outstanding. Once these cases are identified, regions will be informed of the problems via distribution of analysis reports so that the problems can be corrected. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Regions are expected to flag in their weekly reports to HQ and any file for which they anticipate media attention. (as soon as the potential media sensitivity is identified in the region).</li> <li>On R vs R cases, regions are expected to provide a summary of the file using the template provided by HQ from the onset.</li> <li>On any cases requiring an enforcement measure that requires media material such as media lines or other communication document, the regions are expected to provide a complete, high quality document within the specified timeframe.</li> </ul>	4.60	0.00	0.00	Weekly reports are submitted to HQ in a timely manner, are up-to-date and complete.
<b>Total Resources Needs:</b>				242.05	520.05	3,684.54	

<sup>1</sup> The performance indicators/commitments outlined in this document are the starting point from which the regional offices and the headquarters engage to discuss the approach for achieving expectations in the core business lines and national priority areas for the fiscal year.

<sup>2</sup> The inspection targets represent national program expectations. However, flexibility is a key component on the national EED planning process with the understanding that, while regions are expected to support national program priorities, there may very real, credible reasons for not meeting those targets (due to unforeseen incidents which would automatically take priorities (e.g., spills with significant impacts on the environment and/or human health). Also, it is understood that regions will not contribute the same level of resources on all instruments as there are many factors that influence the level of regional participation (e.g., presence of a regulated sector in a region, regional resources, high priority regional initiatives).

National EED Workplan Template (Overarching) EB Priorities for 2009-10										
Intermediate Outcome	Immediate Outcome	Business Functions and National Objectives	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions <sup>1</sup>	Regional Response	Resources			Measures	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
					FTE	QT	O & M (K)			
<p><u>1. Intermediate Outcomes:</u></p> <p>Enforcement activities prevent environmental damage and bring offenders into compliance with EC administrative laws and regulations in order to provide measurable environmental benefits to the natural environment and biodiversity.</p>	<p><u>Immediate Outcomes:</u></p> <p>Unlawful releases of harmful substances into the environment are prevented or minimized.</p>	<p>1. Enforcement Operations (FA, CEPA)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Intelligence</li><li>Inspections</li><li>Investigations</li></ul> <p><u>National Strategy:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Given the ever increasing number of legislative/regulatory instruments and the increasing complexity of the statutory/regulatory requirements, implement a strategic targeting approach for inspections and investigations with an aim at delivering greater environmental benefits for the same or lower amount of enforcement effort.</li></ul>	<p>1. Regions are expected to indicate what efforts will be made in FY 09-10 to ensure sufficient capacity in Intel and Investigations to pursue national priorities.</p>	<p>1- Develop and maintain a ledger on all Intel referrals coming to Inspections on priority regulations and ensure follow up is done (<i>Inspection PM-04 0.05</i>)</p> <p>2- Develop and maintain a ledger to keep track of ongoing investigations and flag to RD and ND any priority files where re-allocation of resources would be required (<i>Invest .3</i>)</p> <p>3- Support regions (as required and EED-NCR capacity allows) on tactical intelligence files (<i>Intell 0,15</i>)</p> <p>4- Review closed investigation files for any intel probing potential and flag to the appropriate region(s) (<i>Intell pm5 : 0,1</i>)</p> <p>5- Work with Expert consultant, USEPA and DLSU in the evaluation of the applicability of the BEN Model on a select sample of investigation files and explore potential for implementation in FY 10-11 (<i>Investigation PM-05: 0.15</i>)</p> <p>6- Regional visits to discuss operational and other issues (TBC)</p>	0.75			TBD by the regions, based on their response		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
			<p>2. Instrument specific targets will be provided on separate sheets. For priority instruments, regions are expected to ensure a reasonable enforcement presence in each priority sector. For priority instruments, regions are expected to ensure a reasonable enforcement presence in each priority sector. EED-NCR will provide regular reports to the regions that will assess the level of deviation from planned numbers. At the end of FY, regions will provide a brief document identifying any deviations from the initial plan<sup>2</sup>.</p>	<p>Maintain ledger of inspections (planned vs conducted), flag discrepancies to regions. (Inspections)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>1 - For FY 08-09, the final report will be sent to the regions and regional responses to major discrepancies will be reviewed and recommendations will be made to the regions (<i>Luc - .55</i>)</li><li>2- Various intel projects on ODS and other issues</li><li>3- Review operational files, provide guidance to regions, review operational documents (WL, directives, etc.) (<i>Invest .1</i>)</li></ul>	0.65			Percentage of targets met. If regions cannot meet the target provided at beginning of FY, justification will be provided		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
				<p>Distribution and the allocation of FTE resources for operations and referrals that are not covered under the overarching:</p> <p>1.1 EIHWHRM FTE: 1.1</p> <p>1.2 FA 36(3) FTE: 1.05</p> <p>1.3 FHR 2003 FTE: 0.1</p> <p>1.4 On Off Road EER FTE: 0.17</p> <p>1.5 PFOS FTE: 0.05</p> <p>1.6 Maintenance Regulation: 0.25</p> <p>1.7 Referral :0.25</p>	2.97					
<p><u>2. Intermediate Outcomes:</u></p>	<p><u>Immediate Outcomes:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>EC enforcement priorities are better delivered through the development and</li></ul>		<p>3. Regions are expected to identify key partners in the regions, anticipated key meetings, and new initiatives such as border blitzes, training, sending EO's to spend time with CBSA officers at borders to sensitize about our needs, create new partnerships or to update current agreements in place)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>1- Explore possibility of developing a D-Memo with CBSA for small engine regulations (Program lead, EED will provide support) (<i>Intell pm4 : 0,05; Inspection 0.05</i>)</li><li>CBSA Single-Window initiative: provide support to SPCD as required (<i>Luc -.05</i>)</li></ul>	0.15					<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>

<p>Strategic partners are engaged to extend the Enforcement Program's reach and enhance capacity to deliver on environmental priorities.</p>	<p>development and implementation of partnership arrangements with key domestic and international partners.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Partnership engagement is enhanced through the development of engagement strategies and plans.</li> </ul>	<p>2. Partnerships - Domestic</p>	<p>4. Each region is expected to track referrals received from CBSA as per D-Memos and report on outcomes of these referrals to EED-NCR</p>	<p>• Compilation and analysis of regional reports, creation of national report which will include recommendations to Directors and CBSA (Inspection - 0.1)</p>	<p>0.10</p>					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> <li></li> </ul>
--	--	-----------------------------------	---	--	-------------	--	--	--	--	--



Intermediate Outcome	Immediate Outcome	Business Functions and National Objectives	Targets/Commitments Guidance to Regions <sup>1</sup>	Regional Response	Resources			Measures	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
					FTE	QT	O & M (K)			
<b>2. Intermediate Outcomes:</b>  Strategic partners are engaged to extend the Enforcement Program's reach and enhance capacity to deliver on environmental priorities.	<b>Immediate Outcomes:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>EC enforcement priorities are better delivered through the development and implementation of partnership arrangements with key domestic and international partners.</li><li>Partnership engagement is enhanced through the development of engagement strategies and plans.</li></ul>	2. Partnerships - Domestic	5. Each region is expected to meet bi-yearly with their DFO counterparts to update on enforcement activities, agree on joint operations, review progress in implementation of the agreement and adjust as necessary.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Establish linkages with DFO in NCR to discuss operational issues and files of common interest (DD: 0.025; intell pm6 : 0,025 Inspection: - 0.025; Investigations: 0.025)</li><li></li><li></li></ul>	0.10					<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
			6. Develop, implement and and track implementation of regional protocol for managing 24/7 spill line for enforcement purposes (referrals from CBSA and Emergencies notifications)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>1- Continue to monitor NEEC Alerts and inform senior management (Inspections - .05)</li><li>2- Compile regional protocols with DFO and CBSA, review for national consistency where required and advise Directors (Luc - 0.20)</li><li></li></ul>	0.25			Regional protocols are nationally consistent and implemented		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
			7. Renewal and implementation of the 5th agreement with the government of Québec on Pulp and Paper & Metal Mining.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>1- Represent NCR on the Qc Agreement Management Committee - - 2 mtgs/yr + review material (Inspection PM-06 - 0.10)</li><li>1- Inform Programs (Victoria R., Sheila G.) as required</li><li></li></ul>	0.10					<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
		3. Partnerships - International  <u>EED International commitments:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>CEC project on NA imports: work with the USEPA and PROFEPA in sharing intelligence on selected commodities and through cooperation, take coordinated actions against common targets</li><li>SPP Initiative: EED-NCR has put forward a potential project under the theme of "environment". The project would be in the same lines as the CEC project.</li><li>Continue contribution to the CEC border officer training project. PYR will represent EED.</li></ul>	8. Regions are expected to support HQ on EED international projects: 1) CEC project: Regions are expected to review and assess the necessity to follow up on all referrals from USEPA or Mexico routed to the regions via the HQ office and advise HQ of decision and outcome of the cases. Regions are also expected to follow up on all Intel probes linked to this project. 2) PYR to participate in teleconference calls related to hazardous waste border training CEC initiative, continue to provide input as required, and provide regular updates to EED-NCR on progress and implications for EED.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>1- Lead the CEC Project on NA import project, including participation in CEC calls/meetings, review material, transfer referrals to regions, brief senior management (intell pm5 : 0,1)</li><li>2- Oversight of the EWG projects, link with SPCD and International Branch and CEC (DD: 0.05)</li><li></li></ul>	0.15					<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
			9. In order to build upon the collaboration established with USEPA- HQ, Regions are expected to advise HQ regarding any interactions with any international agencies including USEPA on specific cases.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>1 - Maintain and further develop relationship established with CID of EPA (Investigations PM-06. 0.05)</li><li>2- Develop relationship with other relevant divisions of EPA Civil Enforcement (ie. Waste Management, Water Enforcement, etc.) (Intell pm6 : 0,05)</li><li>3- Maintain and further develop relationship established with the Air Emission Division of EPA Civil Enforcement (intell pm5 : 0,02 pm4 : 0,04)</li><li></li></ul>	0.16			HQ is advised ahead of time		

Intermediate Outcome	Immediate Outcome	Business Functions and National Objectives	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions <sup>1</sup>	Regional Response	Resources			Measures	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
					FTE	OT	O & M (K)			
<p><b>2. Intermediate Outcomes:</b></p> <p>Strategic partners are engaged to extend the Enforcement Program's reach and enhance capacity to deliver on environmental priorities.</p>	<p>Immediate Outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>EC enforcement priorities are better delivered through the development and implementation of partnership arrangements with key domestic and international partners.</li> <li>Partnership engagement is enhanced through the development of engagement strategies and plans.</li> </ul>	<p><b>3. Partnerships - International</b></p> <p>EED International commitments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CEC project on NA imports: work with the USEPA and PROFEPA in sharing intelligence on selected commodities and through cooperation, take coordinated actions against common targets</li> <li>SPP Initiative: EED-NCR has put forward a potential project under the theme of "environment". The project would be in the same lines as the CEC project.</li> <li>Continue contribution to the CEC border officer training project. PYR will represent EED.</li> </ul>	<p><b>10.</b> Regions are also expected to seek approval from HQ before contacting any international agencies including USEPA on matters not related to a specific operation.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Review requests from the regions and provide approval where appropriate (DD: Manon/Renzo 0.05)</li> </ul>	0.05			Approval is sought from HQ		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
			<p><b>HQ:</b></p> <p><b>11.</b> Monitor discussions on international conventions/agreements and identify any issues/implications for Enforcement</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1- Compile information on international conventions and organizations (material currently accessible to EED), assess need for EED's involvement and monitor discussions/activities of these organizations (Intell pm5 : 0,05 and inspection - 0.05)</li> <li>2- identify and establish contacts with HKEPA re potential collaboration on EIHV (Intel PM-05: 0.05)</li> </ul>	0.15			Resource dedicated to such reviews in HQ and regular reports provided to the EED management table		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
			<p><b>12.</b> Continue active participation and contribution to Interpol and INECE.</p>	Interpol : Intell pm5 : 0,05	0.05					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<p><b>3. Intermediate Outcomes:</b></p> <p>A strong national enforcement organization is in place to deliver results and to provide a stimulating working environment.</p>	<p><b>Immediate Outcomes:</b></p> <p>Policy, legislative and regulatory development is supported by high quality analysis and advice from the enforcement program.</p>	<p><b>4. Regulation Development and Support</b></p>	<p><b>13.</b> Regions are expected to implement the national service standards for investigations, monitor adherence with those standards and report back to HQ on performance.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Review investigation files to ensure that the standards are adhered to and flag any issues to the concerned regions (Invest. PM-4 .25)</li> </ul>	0.25			Standards are met. In exceptional circumstances where they cannot be met, regions will provide justification		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
			<p><b>14.</b> ESD anticipates the following regulatory reviews, compliance strategies and enforcement plans will be launched in 2009-10:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>CMP:</b> (includes the following): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>TDI</b> (Regulatory Review &amp; Compliance Strategy)</li> <li><b>SILOXANES</b> - (Regulatory Review &amp; Compliance Strategy)</li> <li><b>CHPD</b> - (Regulatory Review &amp; Compliance Strategy)</li> <li><b>3 Regs. on VOC's</b> - (Regulatory Review &amp; two (2) Enforcement Plan)</li> <li><b>Chlorinated Parafins</b> - (Regulatory Review &amp; Compliance Strategy)</li> <li><b>Chrome</b> - (Enforcement Plan)</li> <li><b>GHG Regs.</b> - (Regulatory Review -300 Pg &amp; Compliance Strategy)</li> <li><b>Proposed MWW Regs.</b> - (Regulatory Review &amp; Compliance Strategy)</li> <li><b>Petroleum Refineries</b> - (Effluent Regulations &amp; Possible Review 09-10)</li> <li><b>Mercury in products-</b> (Regulatory Review &amp; Compliance Strategy)</li> <li><b>PCB Regs.</b> - (Enforcement Plan)</li> <li><b>P2 Mercury</b> - (dental amalgame wastes) (Compliance Strategy)</li> <li><b>Storage Tanks</b> - (Enforcement Plan)</li> <li><b>Fuels Strategy</b> - (Update &amp; Enforcement Plan)</li> <li><b>Engine Emission Regs.</b> - (Possible Regulatory Review &amp; Update Compliance Strategy)</li> <li><b>EIHWHRM</b> - (Update Compliance Strategy)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Participation in Working Groups and review material (Inspections - 1.0):</li> <li>Participation in Working Groups and review material (Investigations 0.45)</li> <li>Participation in Working groups and review material (Intel: 0.55)</li> <li>(see Working Groups List)</li> <li>Develop/update regulation-specific referral protocols (e.g., Engines, s.71, s.46, EIHWHRMR,PFOS) (Intel 0.1; Investigations: 0.05; DD: 0.02)</li> </ul>	2.17			Feedback was provided to ESD in a timely manner		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
			<p><b>15.</b> Regions and the HQ office are expected to contribute to the Enforceability study being led by John Meaney (anticipated to be completed in Jun 09).</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provide input as required (Regulatory leads - Inspections - .05) (Invest. Patrick Lemay 0.1)</li> </ul>	0.15			Feedback was provided to ESD in a timely manner		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

Intermediate	Immediate	Business Functions and National Objectives	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions <sup>1</sup>	Regional Response	Resources			Measures	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
					FTE	QT	O & M (K)			
<b>3. Intermediate Outcomes:</b>  A strong national enforcement organization is in place to deliver results and to provide a stimulating working environment.	<b>Immediate Outcomes:</b>  Laws and regulations are enforced and applied consistently across the organization in a fair and predictable manner according to established policies, procedures and processes.	<b>5. Policy and Operational Procedures Development</b>  <u>National EED Objective:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Clear and consistent program and operational decisions made.</li><li>• Development and implementation of the national MWWWE compliance strategy.</li></ul>	<b>16.</b> Regions are expected to demonstrate in this section what process they have or will have in place to monitor the application of national policies and procedures and to identify to EED-NCR any gaps in national policies/procedures that need to be addressed.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Review and make sure that regions follow procedures/directives related to Investigations/Intell/Inspections and flag any issues to regions (intell pm6 : 0,3) (Invest. Pascal .1) ) (Ins - .05)</li></ul>	0.45			National policies and procedures are followed		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>
			<b>17.</b> Regions will provide, in this workplan template, the list of regional procedures, SOPs, inspection checklist, THAs and other documents alike anticipated to be developed in FY 09-10.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• 1- Compile regional checklists, review content to verify national consistency and legal bases (with DLSU) and ensure that there is only 1 checklist per Regulation/Instrument (Luc)</li><li>• 2- Review and finalize the Warning and Directive templates (Inspections - .25))</li><li>• 3- Compile and review regional investigation procedures including issuance of tickets (Daniel .1)</li></ul>	0.35			lists are provided in this workplan		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>
			<b>18.</b> Regions are expected to contribute to the development of national policies led by ESD. The followings are expected to require regional contribution in 09-10 (get list from ESD): <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• First draft ready Apr 2009</li><li>• Apr - Sep (2009) - Review (Committee created with regional representatives)</li><li>• Sep-2009 - Sep. 2010 (list of committee members will be provided by Jacques)</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Review sections in the Enforcement Operations Manual and recommend ND's approval (Investigations: Pascal B 0.05; Intel: Ben Loubier and PM-05 (0.02)</li></ul>	0.07			input is provided in a timely manner		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>
	<b>Immediate Outcomes:</b> Enforcement branch staff are hired, trained and empowered to perform their duties.	<b>6. Human Resources</b>	<u>Training:</u> <b>19.</b> Prior to delivery regions are expected to identify and provide details (i.e. location, duration, provider and justification for selection course outline, cost and any other information available) for any training anticipated to be offered to EOs in the region (courses not led/coordinated by TLD) and report on such training at mid and end of FY. These reports will be provided by EED-NCR to TLD to assist in their planning/reporting exercises.  <b>20.</b> Regions are expected to identify resources committed to assist TLD in the delivery and/or development of training for EOs. TLD anticipates developing the following for 09-10: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• PCB (awareness)<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Apr. - Aug.: Total -(2) EOs needed to assist in developing material</li><li>• Delivery date anticipated: Sep - 09</li></ul></li><li>• Storage Tanks (Course)<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• EOs already appointed for development (J.Miller &amp; G.Bruce)</li><li>• Delivery date anticipated: Aug. - 09</li></ul></li><li>• Chromium (awareness)<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Mar. 08 - May-09 - Total- (2) EOs needed to assist in</li><li>• Delivery date anticipated: July - 09</li></ul></li><li>• NSNR (course on biotech sampling)<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Course material developed</li><li>• TLD needs (1) EO per region to train the trainer sessio</li><li>• Train the trainer seminar: possibly: possibly Aug. - 09</li></ul></li><li>• ON / OFF Road EPA Training<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Delivery date anticipated: April - 09</li></ul></li><li>• Solvent Degreasing Regulations<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Delivery Date: Mid May - 09</li></ul></li><li>• Fisheries Act Update on On-Line Training module<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• TLD needs (2) EOs to assist in the review and develop</li><li>• No clear timelines provided (either Spring or Fall - 09)</li></ul></li><li>• Advanced Investigative Programs<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• TLD building a compendium currently existing courses</li><li>• EOs already appointed for ongoing consultation</li></ul></li><li>• NEMISIS Training<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• As of Dec.- 09, EB has the responsibility to provide NE</li><li>• TLD needs (2) EOs to assist in the development of ma</li></ul></li><li>• BET</li><li>• First aid (CPR)</li><li>• Other Designation Training</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• BET (09-10): Lisanne H /.2., Pascal B.,(intell pm4Josué : 0,2) (Invest Pascal .2)</li><li>• Regulatory training:</li><li>• Other training: (intell pm4Nic :0,25) (Invest. Daniel .20) (Inspections -.25)</li><li>•</li></ul>	1.30			<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• HQ is informed ahead of time of all regional training provided to Eos.</li><li>• Resources identified and contributions made</li></ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>

Intermediate	Immediate	Business Functions and National Objectives	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions <sup>1</sup>	Regional Response	Resources			Measures	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
					FTE	QT	O & M (K)			
<b>3. Intermediate Outcomes:</b> A strong national enforcement organization is in place to deliver results and to provide a stimulating working environment.	<b>Immediate Outcomes:</b> Enforcement branch staff are hired, trained and empowered to perform their duties.	6. Human Resources	<b>Staffing:</b> 21. Identify regional staffing needs for 09-10 (positions, levels, timelines)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>1- Finalize the PM-04 competition for Inspections (1)/Investigations (2)/Intel (1) (Intell pm5 : 0,05) (Invest Daniel .05) (Inspections - 0.10)</li><li>1- Finalize the AS-04, AS-05, PM-06 Intel, PM-06 Inspections, AS-01 (3), and AS-03 (Manon 0,5)</li><li>2- Hire and coach students (Inspections: 3; Intel: 1) (Intell pm5 : 0,05; Inspections: 0.1)</li><li>3- Build business case and hire Business Resources Advisor to assist in the transition (contract/term), GT-08 &amp; GT-07 Competitions (Manon)</li></ul>	0.85			Staffing targets are met		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
			<b>Succession Planning:</b> 22. Regions are expected to identify regional issues and submit to the National Director an action plan for 09-10 to address those issues.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>In order to prepare for future retirements, will develop a working document that will be used to facilitate training of new personnel.</li></ul>	0.01			Issues are identified and action plan implemented successfully		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
			<b>23. H-R Management Competency-Based:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions are expected to contribute to ESD's initiative to develop and implement competency-based HR management system</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Review of policy and procedures</li></ul>	0.02					<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
	<b>Immediate Outcomes:</b> Planning, reporting and decision making processes are based on reliable information and analysis.	7. Program Management & Information Systems  National Objective: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Implement response to the recommendation from the OAG on the FA Audit (2009): EC should ensure that its enforcement quality assurance and control processes are sufficient to demonstrate that its actions have been taken in accordance with the Compliance and Enforcement Policy - Proposed Management Response:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Environment Canada accepts this recommendation. The Enforcement Branch is continuing to develop a framework, standardize processes and establish accountabilities to enhance its quality assurance and its quality control. More specifically, the quality assurance and quality control framework is being both developed and implemented over the 2009-10 and 2010-2011 fiscal years.</li></ul></li></ul> At the same time, the Enforcement Branch is establishing a quality assurance unit as well as a working group to oversee and support the quality of enforcement data. Collectively their responsibilities will include the development of new procedures for data entry, implementing a systematic data quality and control monitoring process that will involve both regional management teams as well as headquarters, conducting periodic quality assurance analysis of enforcement files and the provision of training to Enforcement Officers.  <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>In 2009-2010, EB will establish and implement a systematic data quality and control monitoring process that will involve the regional management teams and the head office.</li></ul>	<b>Information Systems:</b> 24. Indicate how you will ensure that all operational files are recorded in NEMISIS in a manner that complies with the NEMISIS Guidelines.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>1- Monitor adherence to NEMISIS Guidelines (NDIS) (AS-04) and flag any issues to the concerned regions</li><li>2- Develop with regions specific procedures/guidelines for particular regulations/instruments/enforcement tools (e.g. EIHWHRMR-border blitz inspections, issuance of tickets) to ensure consistent data entry (Lead: AS-04, Support: Luc : 0.1)</li><li>Coordination of the NEMISIS QA/QC committee (AS-04)</li><li>Coordination of the quarterly CEPA and FA statistics reports (AS-04)</li></ul> AS-04: total 0.75	0.85			NEMISIS standard are met		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>

<sup>1</sup> The performance indicators/commitments outlined in this document are the starting point from which the regional offices and the headquarters engage to discuss the approach for achieving expectations in the core business lines and national priority areas for the fiscal year.

<sup>2</sup> The inspection targets represent national program expectations. However, flexibility is a key component on the national EED planning process with the understanding that, while regions are expected to support national program priorities, there may very real, credible reasons for not meeting those targets (due to unforeseen incidents which would automatically take priorities (e.g., spills with significant impacts on the environment and/or human health). Also, it is understood that regions will not contribute the same level of resources on all instruments as there are many factors that influence the level of regional participation (e.g., presence of a regulated sector in a region, regional resources, high priority regional initiatives).

National EED Workplan Template  
(Overarching)  
EB Priorities for 2009-10

Intermediate Outcome	Immediate Outcome	Business Functions and National Objectives	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions <sup>1</sup>	Regional Response	Resources			Measures	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
					FTE	OT	O & M (K)			
<p><b>1. Intermediate Outcomes:</b></p> <p>Enforcement activities prevent environmental damage and bring offenders into compliance with EC administrative laws and regulations in order to provide measurable environmental benefits to the natural environment and biodiversity.</p>	<p><b>Immediate Outcomes:</b></p> <p>Unlawful releases of harmful substances into the environment are prevented or minimized.</p>	<p>1. Enforcement Operations (FA, CEPA)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Intelligence</li><li>Inspections</li><li>Investigations</li></ul> <p><b>National Strategy:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Given the ever increasing number of legislative/regulatory instruments and the increasing complexity of the statutory/regulatory requirements, implement a strategic targeting approach for inspections and investigations with an aim at delivering greater environmental benefits for the same or lower amount of enforcement effort.</li></ul>	<p>1. Regions are expected to indicate what efforts will be made in FY 09-10 to ensure sufficient capacity in Intel and Investigations to pursue national priorities.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Atlantic Region will hold regular non-compliance detection meetings in order to ensure capacity in various functions is continually evaluated</li><li>Atlantic Region Intelligence operations will continue to be conducted by Intelligence Officers and high level communication between Intelligence and Regional Management will be enhanced by implementing regular and case specific meetings</li><li>Atlantic Region will move to a District management system which will enhance linkages between Enforcement Officers and Intelligence to ensure information is being routinely shared</li><li>Atlantic Region will be staffing investigation officers in New Brunswick in order to enhance District capacity</li></ul>	2.5			TBD by the regions, based on their response	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
			<p>2. Instrument specific targets will be provided on separate sheets. For priority instruments, regions are expected to ensure a reasonable enforcement presence in each priority sector. For priority instruments, regions are expected to ensure a reasonable enforcement presence in each priority sector. EED-NCR will provide regular reports to the regions that will assess the level of deviation from planned numbers. At the end of FY, regions will provide a brief document identifying any deviations from the initial plan<sup>2</sup>.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Refer to operational pages</li></ul>	12.5	0.7		Percentage of targets met. If regions cannot meet the target provided at beginning of FY, justification will be provided	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
<p><b>2. Intermediate Outcomes:</b></p> <p>Strategic partners are engaged to extend the Enforcement Program's reach and enhance capacity to deliver on environmental priorities.</p>	<p><b>Immediate Outcomes:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>EC enforcement priorities are better delivered through the development and implementation of partnership arrangements with key domestic and international partners.</li><li>Partnership engagement is enhanced through the development of engagement strategies and plans.</li></ul>	<p>2. Partnerships - Domestic</p>	<p>3. Regions are expected to identify key partners in the regions, anticipated key meetings, and new initiatives such as border blitzes, training, sending EO's to spend time with CBSA officers at borders to sensitize about our needs, create new partnerships or to update current agreements in place)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Atlantic Region will host the Atlantic Region Environmental Enforcement Organization in June 2009. AR will also attend steergin committee metings and actively oarticipate in the development of a cooperation agreement.</li><li>#2 Atlantic Region will explore informal training opportunities for CBSA Border Officers when at border crossings or participating in blitzes.</li><li>#3 Atlantic Region will explore the opportunity to participate in the federal community of regulators to extend our partnership networks.</li><li>AR will continue to work closely with NS environment on the Sydney Tar Ponds project</li></ul>	0.25				<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
			<p>4. Each region is expected to track referrals received from CBSA as per D-Memos and report on outcomes of these referrals to EED-NCR</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Atlantic Region will track D-memo responses (CBSA calls) by noting them, in NEMISIS</li><li>All files are responded to and when it triggers our regulation entered in NEMISIS.</li></ul>	0.1				<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>

Intermediate Outcome	Immediate Outcome	Business Functions and National Objectives	Targets/Commitments Guidance to Regions <sup>1</sup>	Regional Response	Resources			Measures	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
					FTE	OT	O & M (K)			
<p>2. <u>Intermediate Outcomes:</u></p> <p>Strategic partners are engaged to extend the Enforcement Program's reach and enhance capacity to deliver on environmental priorities.</p>	<p><u>Immediate Outcomes:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• EC enforcement priorities are better delivered through the development and implementation of partnership arrangements with key domestic and international partners.</li><li>• Partnership engagement is enhanced through the development of engagement strategies and plans.</li></ul>	2. Partnerships - Domestic	5. Each region is expected to meet bi-yearly with their DFO counterparts to update on enforcement activities, agree on joint operations, review progress in implementation of the agreement and adjust as necessary.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Atlantic Region will discuss opportunities for cooperation and joint operations with DFO management. In addition, EO's will liaise opportunistically with DFO regional offices</li><li>•</li><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	0.1				<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>
			6. Develop, implement and and track implementation of regional protocol for managing 24/7 spill line for enforcement purposes (referrals from CBSA and Emergencies notifications)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Atlantic Region will develop and implement a single 24/7 duty system for the Atlantic Region for response to Emergencies and CBSA. Responses will be periodically monitored and reviewed by Operational Managers</li><li>•</li></ul>	1.5	0.25	50	Regional protocols are nationally consistent and implemented	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>
			7. Renewal and implementation of the 5th agreement with the government of Québec on Pulp and Paper & Metal Mining.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>					<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>
		3. Partnerships - International	8. Regions are expected to support HQ on EED international projects: 1) CEC project: Regions are expected to review and assess the necessity to follow up on all referrals from USEPA or Mexico routed to the regions via the HQ office and advise HQ of decision and outcome of the cases. Regions are also expected to follow up on all Intel probes linked to this project. 2) PYR to participate in teleconference calls related to hazardous waste border training CEC initiative, continue to provide input as required, and provide regular updates to EED-NCR on progress and implications for EED.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Atlantic Region will retain sufficient capacity to respond to requests for assistance from HQ relating to international matters.</li><li>•</li><li>•</li><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	0.1				<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>
		<p>EED International commitments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• CEC project on NA imports: work with the USEPA and PROFEPA in sharing intelligence on selected commodities and through cooperation, take coordinated actions against common targets</li><li>• SPP Initiative: EED-NCR has put forward a potential project under the theme of "environment". The project would be in the same lines as the CEC project.</li><li>• Continue contribution to the CEC border officer training project. PYR will represent EED.</li></ul>	9. In order to build upon the collaboration established with USEPA- HQ, Regions are expected to advise HQ regarding any interactions with any international agencies including USEPA on specific cases.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	0.025			HQ is advised ahead of time		



Intermediate Outcome	Immediate Outcome	Business Functions and National Objectives	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions <sup>1</sup>	Regional Response	Resources			Measures	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
					FTE	OT	O & M (K)			
<b>2. Intermediate Outcomes:</b>  Strategic partners are engaged to extend the Enforcement Program's reach and enhance capacity to deliver on environmental priorities.	Immediate Outcomes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• EC enforcement priorities are better delivered through the development and implementation of partnership arrangements with key domestic and international partners.</li><li>• Partnership engagement is enhanced through the development of engagement strategies and plans.</li></ul>	<b>3.</b> Partnerships - International  EED International commitments: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• CEC project on NA imports: work with the USEPA and PROFEPA in sharing intelligence on selected commodities and through cooperation, take coordinated actions against common targets</li><li>• SPP Initiative: EED-NCR has put forward a potential project under the theme of "environment". The project would be in the same lines as the CEC project.</li><li>• Continue contribution to the CEC border officer training project. PYR will represent EED.</li></ul>	<b>10.</b> Regions are also expected to seek approval from HQ before contacting any international agencies including USEPA on matters not related to a specific operation.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>				Approval is sought from HQ	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li></ul>
			<b>HQ:</b> <b>11.</b> Monitor discussions on international conventions/agreements and identify any issues/implications for Enforcement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li><li>•</li><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>				Resource dedicated to such reviews in HQ and regular reports provided to the EED management table	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>
			<b>12.</b> Continue active participation and contribution to Interpol and INECE.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Atlantic Region will participate or provide input as required by HQ</li><li>•</li><li>•</li><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	0.025				<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>
<b>3. Intermediate Outcomes:</b>  A strong national enforcement organization is in place to deliver results and to provide a stimulating working environment.	<b>Immediate Outcomes:</b> Policy, legislative and regulatory development is supported by high quality analysis and advice from the enforcement program.	<b>4.</b> Regulation Development and Support	<b>13.</b> Regions are expected to implement the national service standards for investigations, monitor adherence with those standards and report back to HQ on performance.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Atlantic Region will periodically monitor the standards and report when requested.</li><li>•</li></ul>	0.1			Standards are met. In exceptional circumstances where they cannot be met, regions will provide justification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>
			<b>14.</b> ESD anticipates the following regulatory reviews, compliance strategies and enforcement plans will be launched in 2009-10: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <b>CMP:</b> (includes the following):<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- <b>TDI</b> (Regulatory Review &amp; Compliance Strategy)</li><li>- <b>SILOXANES</b> - (Regulatory Review &amp; Compliance Strategy)</li><li>- <b>CHPD</b> - (Regulatory Review &amp; Compliance Strategy)</li><li>- <b>3 Regs. on VOC's</b> - (Regulatory Review &amp; two (2) Enforcement Plan)</li><li>- <b>Chlorinated Parafins</b> - (Regulatory Review &amp; Compliance Strategy)</li></ul></li><li>• <b>Chrome</b> - (Enforcement Plan)</li><li>• <b>GHG Regs.</b> - (Regulatory Review -300 Pg &amp; Compliance Strategy)</li><li>• <b>Proposed MWW Regs.</b> - (Regulatory Review &amp; Compliance Strategy)</li><li>• <b>Petroleum Refineries</b> - (Effluent Regulations &amp; Possible Review 09-10)</li><li>• <b>Mercury in products-</b> (Regulatory Review &amp; Compliance</li><li>• <b>PCB Regs.</b> - (Enforcement Plan)</li><li>• <b>P2 Mercury</b> - (dental amalgame wastes) (Compliance Strategy)</li><li>• <b>Storage Tanks</b> - (Enforcement Plan)</li><li>• <b>Fuels Strategy</b> - (Update &amp; Enforcement Plan)</li><li>• <b>Engine Emission Regs.</b> - (Possible Regulatory Review &amp; Update Compliance Strategy)</li><li>• <b>EIHWHRM</b> - (Update Compliance Strategy)</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Atlantic Region has supported HQ in Strategy development by having an officer assist HQ on assignment (0.25)</li><li>• Atlantic Region will provide ongoing support to HQ by reviewing draft documentation and providing responses (0.25)</li><li>•</li><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	0.5			Feedback was provided to ESD in a timely manner	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>
			<b>15.</b> Regions and the HQ office are expected to contribute to the Enforceability study being led by John Meaney (anticipated to be completed in Jun 09).	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>• Atlantic Region to provide all input by June 2009</li><li>•</li><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	0.1			Feedback was provided to ESD in a timely manner	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>



Intermediate	Immediate	Business Functions and National Objectives	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions <sup>1</sup>	Regional Response	Resources			Measures	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
					FTE	OT	O & M (K)			
<div>3. <u>Intermediate Outcomes:</u></div> <div>A strong national enforcement organization is in place to deliver results and to provide a stimulating working environment.</div>	<div>Immediate Outcomes:</div> <div>Laws and regulations are enforced and applied consistently across the organization in a fair and predictable manner according to established policies, procedures and processes.</div>	<div>5. Policy and Operational Procedures Development</div> <div>National EED Objective:</div> <div><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Clear and consistent program and operational decisions made.</li><li>• Development and implementation of the national MWWWE compliance strategy.</li></ul></div>	<div>16. Regions are expected to demonstrate in this section what process they have or will have in place to monitor the application of national policies and procedures and to identify to EED-NCR any gaps in national policies/procedures that need to be addressed.</div>	<div><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Atlantic Managers and Supervisors will commit to a periodic review of Nemesis entries to meet national data standards</li><li>• Atlantic Program Officer will provide input to policy application and do periodic gap analysis</li><li>• Atlantic will provide ongoing expertise and input to monitor policy application relating to fish plants.</li></ul></div>	0.5			National policies and procedures are followed	<div><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul></div>	<div><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul></div>
			<div>17. Regions will provide, in this workplan template, the list of regional procedures, SOPs, inspection checklist, THAs and other documents alike anticipated to be developed in FY 09-10.</div>	<div><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Atlantic Region will be developing operating procedures relating to operation of a 24 hour spill response line for enforcement &amp; will share that with HQ?</li></ul></div>	0.25			lists are provided in this workplan	<div><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul></div>	<div><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul></div>
			<div>18. Regions are expected to contribute to the development of national policies led by ESD. The followings are expected to require regional contribution in 09-10 (get list from ESD):</div> <div><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• First draft ready Apr 2009</li><li>• Apr - Sep (2009) - Review (Committee created with regional representatives)</li><li>• Sep-2009 - Sep. 2010 (list of committee members will be provided by Jacques)</li></ul></div>	<div><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The Progream Liaison Officer will be responsible for coordinating AR input to the Policy Development Project.</li></ul></div>	0.5	0.1		input is provided in a timely manner	<div><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul></div>	<div><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul></div>
	<div>Training:</div> <div>19. Prior to delivery regions are expected to identify and provide details (i.e. location, duration, provider and justification for selection course outline, cost and any other information available) for any training anticipated to be offered to EOs in the region (courses not led/coordinated by TLD) and report on such training at mid and end of FY. These reports will be provided by EED-NCR to TLD to assist in their planning/reporting exercises.</div> <div>20. Regions are expected to identify resources committed to assist TLD in the delivery and/or development of training for EOs. TLD anticipates developing the following for 09-10:</div> <div><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• PCB (awareness)<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Apr. - Aug.: Total -(2) EOs needed to assist in developing material</li><li>• Delivery date anticipated: Sep - 09</li></ul></li><li>• Storage Tanks (Course)<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• EOs already appointed for development (J.Miller &amp; G.Bruce)</li><li>• Delivery date anticipated: Aug. - 09</li></ul></li><li>• Chromium (awareness)<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Mar. 08 - May-09 - Total- (2) EOs needed to assist in</li><li>• Delivery date anticipated: July - 09</li></ul></li><li>• NSNR (course on biotech sampling)<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Course material developed</li><li>• TLD needs (1) EO per region to train the trainer sess</li><li>• Train the trainer seminar: possibly: possibly Aug. - 09</li></ul></li><li>• ON / OFF Road EPA Training<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Delivery date anticipated: April - 09</li></ul></li><li>• Solvent Degreasing Regulations<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Delivery Date: Mid May - 09</li></ul></li><li>• Fisheries Act Update on On-Line Training module<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• TLD needs (2) EOs to assist in the review and devel</li><li>• No clear timelines provided (either Spring or Fall - 09</li></ul></li></ul></div>	<div><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Atlantic Region anticipates providing regional EO training for winter skid control, use of force recertification, OSH recertification, First Aid, 40 hour contaminated sites and CPR. (1.5)</li><li>• Atlantic Region will also be requiring EO's to attend mandatory training that is offered regionally and nationally (.25)</li><li>• Atlantic Region will be providing support to TLD for training related to BET, the Advanced Investigators Course and any regulatory awareness or training courses (.75)</li><li>• Atlantic Region had 4 individuals attend on/off road EPA training (.15)</li></ul></div>	2.65			<div><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• HQ is informed ahead of time of all regional training provided to Eos.</li><li>• Resources identified and contributions made</li></ul></div>	<div><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul></div>			

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Advanced Investigative Programs<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• TLD building a compendium currently existing course</li><li>• EOs already appointed for ongoing consultation</li></ul></li><li>• NEMISIS Training<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• As of Dec.- 09, EB has the responsibility to provide N</li><li>• TLD needs <b>(2)</b> EOs to assist in the development of n</li></ul></li><li>• BET</li><li>• First aid (CPR)</li><li>• Other Designation Training</li></ul>							
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Intermediate	Immediate	Business Functions and National Objectives	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions <sup>1</sup>	Regional Response	Resources			Measures	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
					FTE	OT	O & M (K)			
<b>3. Intermediate Outcomes:</b> A strong national enforcement organization is in place to deliver results and to provide a stimulating working environment.	<b>Immediate Outcomes:</b> Enforcement branch staff are hired, trained and empowered to perform their duties.	6. Human Resources	<b>Staffing:</b> 21. Identify regional staffing needs for 09-10 (positions, levels, timelines)	• Atlantic Region will be identifying needs and initiating staffing where required and approved by the National director.	0.4			Staffing targets are met	•	•
			<b>Succession Planning:</b> 22. Regions are expected to identify regional issues and submit to the National Director an action plan for 09-10 to address those issues.	• Atlantic Region is offering individuals the opportunity to act at various levels due to staffing challenges at the supervisor and management levels.	0.1			Issues are identified and action plan implemented successfully	•	•
			<b>23. H-R Management Competency-Based:</b> • Regions are expected to contribute to ESD's initiative to develop and implement competency-based HR management system	• Atlantic Region will continually assess competency requirements for any new staff or competitions, and provide feedback to HQ on any policy or procedural documents.	0.1				•	•
	<b>Immediate Outcomes:</b> Planning, reporting and decision making processes are based on reliable information and analysis.	7. Program Management & Information Systems  National Objective: • Implement response to the recommendation from the OAG on the FA Audit (2009): EC should ensure that its enforcement quality assurance and control processes are sufficient to demonstrate that its actions have been taken in accordance with the Compliance and Enforcement Policy - Proposed Management Response: – Environment Canada accepts this recommendation. The Enforcement Branch is continuing to develop a framework, standardize processes and establish accountabilities to enhance its quality assurance and its quality control. More specifically, the quality assurance and quality control framework is being both developed and implemented over the 2009-10 and 2010-2011 fiscal years. At the same time, the Enforcement Branch is establishing a quality assurance unit as well as a working group to oversee and support the quality of enforcement data. Collectively their responsibilities will include the development of new procedures for data entry, implementing a systematic data quality and control monitoring process that will involve both regional management teams as well as headquarters, conducting periodic quality assurance analysis of enforcement files and the provision of training to Enforcement Officers.  • In 2009-2010, EB will establish and implement a systematic data quality and control monitoring process that will involve the regional management teams and the head office.	<b>Information Systems:</b> 24. Indicate how you will ensure that all operational files are recorded in NEMISIS in a manner that complies with the NEMISIS Guidelines.	• Atlantic Region Managers and Supervisors will conduct periodic audits of files entered into Nemisis and apply national standards to the entries • • •	0.25			NEMISIS standard are met	•	•

Intermediate	Immediate	Business Functions and National Objectives	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions <sup>1</sup>	Regional Response	Resources			Measures	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
					FTE	OT	O & M (K)			
<b>3. Intermediate Outcomes:</b> A strong national enforcement organization is in place to deliver results and to provide a stimulating working environment.	<b>Immediate Outcomes:</b> Planning, reporting and decision making processes are based on reliable information and analysis.	8. Program Administration	<b>25. Planning and performance management:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Regions are expected to contribute, via their regulatory leads, to the performance measures project in the identification of performance indicators for a subset of regulations/instruments. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Will get a list from Réjean L.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Regions are expected to contribute to the performance measurement project by ensuring that appropriate fields set-up for this purpose in NEMISIS are filled (See 1.2 FA &amp; 1.3 FHR)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Atlantic Region's program officer will coordinate all responses to requests for info and facilitate comments to HQ with respect to performance indicators</li> <li>Atlantic Region will conduct periodic assessments of Nemisis entries to ensure that data is input that will meet the requirements of the performance measurement project</li> <li>Atlantic Region will explore the opportunity for a performance indicators workshop for EO's to ensure that data is captured appropriately.</li> <li>Atlantic region will draft and implement standard procedures as required to ensure sound mgmt practices. Weekly mgmt meetings will include an administration component to ensure a constant vigilance on admin issues.</li> </ul>	0.25				•	•
	<b>Immediate Outcomes:</b> The public, regulatees, and stakeholders are well informed of EC enforcement program priorities, activities and results.	9. Communications	<b>26.</b> Regions are expected to submit to HQ complete, up-to-date and timely weekly reports on non routine operations (inspections, investigations) and key intel activities. In 2009-2010, EED-NCR will conduct a number of file reviews and will identify cases that are still outstanding. Once these cases are identified, regions will be informed of the problems via distribution of analysis reports so that the problems can be corrected. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Regions are expected to flag in their weekly reports to HQ and any file for which they anticipate media attention. (as soon as the potential media sensitivity is identified in the region).</li> <li>On R vs R cases, regions are expected to provide a summary of the file using the template provided by HQ from the onset.</li> <li>On any cases requiring an enforcement measure that requires media material such as media lines or other communication document, the regions are expected to provide a complete, high quality document within the specified timeframe.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Atlantic Region will review all files that may be of national, HQ or media interest and ensure that information is relayed to HQ as soon as reasonably practical</li> <li>Atlantic Region will review any files which are identified as problematic and will provide a response</li> <li>Atlantic Region will report weekly on ongoing files of interest and ensure that briefing material is available as soon as practical in any circumstances</li> <li></li> </ul>	0.5			Weekly reports are submitted to HQ in a timely manner, are up-to-date and complete.	•	•
<b>Total Resources Needs:</b>					23.3	1.05	50			

<sup>1</sup> The performance indicators/commitments outlined in this document are the starting point from which the regional offices and the headquarters engage to discuss the approach for achieving expectations in the core business lines and national priority areas for the fiscal year.

<sup>2</sup> The inspection targets represent national program expectations. However, flexibility is a key component on the national EED planning process with the understanding that, while regions are expected to support national program priorities, there may very real, credible reasons for not meeting those targets (due to unforeseen incidents which would automatically take priorities (e.g., spills with significant impacts on the environment and/or human health). Also, it is understood that regions will not contribute the same level of resources on all instruments as there are many factors that influence the level of regional participation (e.g., presence of a regulated sector in a region, regional resources, high priority regional initiatives).

National EED Workplan Template  
(Overarching)  
EB Priorities for 2009-10

Intermediate Outcome	Immediate Outcome	Business Functions and National Objectives	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions <sup>1</sup>	Regional Response	Resources			Measures	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
					FTE	OT	O & M (K)			
<p><b>1. <u>Intermediate Outcomes:</u></b></p> <p>Enforcement activities prevent environmental damage and bring offenders into compliance with EC administrative laws and regulations in order to provide measurable environmental benefits to the natural environment and biodiversity.</p>	<p><b>Immediate Outcomes:</b></p> <p>Unlawful releases of harmful substances into the environment are prevented or minimized.</p>	<p>1. Enforcement Operations (FA, CEPA)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Intelligence</li><li>Inspections</li><li>Investigations</li></ul> <p><u>National Strategy:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Given the ever increasing number of legislative/regulatory instruments and the increasing complexity of the statutory/regulatory requirements, implement a strategic targeting approach for inspections and investigations with an aim at delivering greater environmental benefits for the same or lower amount of enforcement effort.</li></ul>	<p>1. Regions are expected to indicate what efforts will be made in FY 09-10 to ensure sufficient capacity in Intel and Investigations to pursue national priorities.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Complete staffing of vacant PM-04 Intel position</li><li>Support Intel projects as needed with EO's on a project basis</li><li>'Inspectors' will take in investigator roles for non-complex files</li><li>Enforcement officers performing inspection functions will be assigned on a project basis to intelligence and investigations activities to address national priorities. Some less complex investigations will be conducted by inspections staff</li></ul>	0.1	0	0	TBD by the regions, based on their response	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
			<p>2. Instrument specific targets will be provided on separate sheets. For priority instruments, regions are expected to ensure a reasonable enforcement presence in each priority sector. For priority instruments, regions are expected to ensure a reasonable enforcement presence in each priority sector. EED-NCR will provide regular reports to the regions that will assess the level of deviation from planned numbers. At the end of FY, regions will provide a brief document identifying any deviations from the initial plan<sup>2</sup>.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Monitor ongoing operations of priority instruments</li><li>Review periodic reports on progress of priority instruments</li><li>Adjust workplan as necessary</li><li>Prepare year end report</li></ul>	45	95	1230.5	Percentage of targets met. If regions cannot meet the target provided at beginning of FY, justification will be provided	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
<p><b>2. <u>Intermediate Outcomes:</u></b></p> <p>Strategic partners are engaged to extend the Enforcement Program's reach and enhance capacity to deliver on environmental priorities.</p>	<p><b>Immediate Outcomes:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>EC enforcement priorities are better delivered through the development and implementation of partnership arrangements with key domestic and international partners.</li><li>Partnership engagement is enhanced through the development of engagement strategies and plans.</li></ul>	<p>2. Partnerships - Domestic</p>	<p>3. Regions are expected to identify key partners in the regions, anticipated key meetings, and new initiatives such as border blitzes, training, sending EO's to spend time with CBSA officers at borders to sensitize about our needs, create new partnerships or to update current agreements in place)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Identify and meet with partner agencies (MOE, DFO, TC, CBSA etc)</li><li>Meeting with Transport Canada and MOE scheduled in fall</li><li></li><li></li></ul>	0.1	0	2		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
			<p>4. Each region is expected to track referrals received from CBSA as per D-Memos and report on outcomes of these referrals to EED-NCR</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Creating tracking system for referrals from CBSA.</li><li>we committed to develop a tracking system, not necessarily in NEMISIS</li><li>Log referrals</li><li></li></ul>	0.1	0	0		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>

Intermediate Outcome	Immediate Outcome	Business Functions and National Objectives	Targets/Commitments Guidance to Regions <sup>1</sup>	Regional Response	Resources			Measures	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
					FTE	OT	O & M (K)			
<p><b>2. Intermediate Outcomes:</b></p> <p>Strategic partners are engaged to extend the Enforcement Program's reach and enhance capacity to deliver on environmental priorities.</p>	<p><b>Immediate Outcomes:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• EC enforcement priorities are better delivered through the development and implementation of partnership arrangements with key domestic and international partners.</li><li>• Partnership engagement is enhanced through the development of engagement strategies and plans.</li></ul>	2. Partnerships - Domestic	5. Each region is expected to meet bi-yearly with their DFO counterparts to update on enforcement activities, agree on joint operations, review progress in implementation of the agreement and adjust as necessary.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Identify regional counterparts and meet to discuss possible joint work.</li><li>• Note: DFO - Ontario has very minimal enforcement officers.</li><li>• </li><li>• </li></ul>	0.05	0	0		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• </li><li>• </li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• </li><li>• </li></ul>
			6. Develop, implement and and track implementation of regional protocol for managing 24/7 spill line for enforcement purposes (referrals from CBSA and Emergencies notifications)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Prepare regional protocol for managing 24/7 spill line</li><li>• Discuss with regional emergencies section</li><li>• Integrate with existing single 24/7 line for CBSA referrals</li><li>• Monitor 24/7 line operations</li><li>• As above, we'll develop a tracking system</li></ul>	0.05	0	0	Regional protocols are nationally consistent and implemented	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• </li><li>• </li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• </li><li>• </li></ul>
			7. Renewal and implementation of the 5th agreement with the government of Québec on Pulp and Paper & Metal Mining.	N/A					<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• </li><li>• </li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• </li><li>• </li></ul>
		3. Partnerships - International	8. Regions are expected to support HQ on EED international projects: 1) CEC project: Regions are expected to review and assess the necessity to follow up on all referrals from USEPA or Mexico routed to the regions via the HQ office and advise HQ of decision and outcome of the cases. Regions are also expected to follow up on all Intel probes linked to this project. 2) PYR to participate in teleconference calls related to hazardous waste border training CEC initiative, continue to provide input as required, and provide regular updates to EED-NCR on progress and implications for EED.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• General support for HQ projects</li><li>• Attend CEC meeting on ODS in Mexico</li><li>• We have been asked by HQ to deal with the CEC ODS meeting. Since that is our first involvement, we've only planned 0.1 PY for that activity. We can certainly do more.</li><li>• </li></ul>	0.1	0	4		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• </li><li>• </li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• </li><li>• </li></ul>
			<p><b>EED International commitments:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• CEC project on NA imports: work with the USEPA and PROFEPA in sharing intelligence on selected commodities and through cooperation, take coordinated actions against common targets</li><li>• SPP Initiative: EED-NCR has put forward a potential project under the theme of "environment". The project would be in the same lines as the CEC project.</li><li>• Continue contribution to the CEC border officer training project. PYR will represent EED.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Keep HQ informed of international work/meetings</li><li>• </li><li>• </li><li>• </li><li>• </li></ul>	0.05	0	0	HQ is advised ahead of time		

Intermediate Outcome	Immediate Outcome	Business Functions and National Objectives	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions <sup>1</sup>	Regional Response	Resources			Measures	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
					FTE	OT	O & M (K)			
<b>2. Intermediate Outcomes:</b>  Strategic partners are engaged to extend the Enforcement Program's reach and enhance capacity to deliver on environmental priorities.	Immediate Outcomes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• EC enforcement priorities are better delivered through the development and implementation of partnership arrangements with key domestic and international partners.</li><li>• Partnership engagement is enhanced through the development of engagement strategies and plans.</li></ul>	<b>3.</b> Partnerships - International  EED International commitments: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• CEC project on NA imports: work with the USEPA and PROFEPA in sharing intelligence on selected commodities and through cooperation, take coordinated actions against common targets</li><li>• SPP Initiative: EED-NCR has put forward a potential project under the theme of “environment”. The project would be in the same lines as the CEC project.</li><li>• Continue contribution to the CEC border officer training project. PYR will represent EED.</li></ul>	<b>10.</b> Regions are also expected to seek approval from HQ before contacting any international agencies including USEPA on matters not related to a specific operation.	Get authority for discussions with international agencies	0	0	0	Approval is sought from HQ <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li></ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li></ul>
			<b>HQ:</b> <b>11.</b> Monitor discussions on international conventions/agreements and identify any issues/implications for Enforcement	N/A				Resource dedicated to such reviews in HQ and regular reports provided to the EED management table <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li></ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li></ul>
			<b>12.</b> Continue active participation and contribution to Interpol and INECE.	N/A				<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li></ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li></ul>
<b>3. Intermediate Outcomes:</b>  A strong national enforcement organization is in place to deliver results and to provide a stimulating working environment.	<b>Immediate Outcomes:</b> Policy, legislative and regulatory development is supported by high quality analysis and advice from the enforcement program.	<b>4.</b> Regulation Development and Support	<b>13.</b> Regions are expected to implement the national service standards for investigations, monitor adherence with those standards and report back to HQ on performance.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• ensure EOs are aware of national service standards for investigations and monitor the use of standards</li><li>•</li><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	0.05	0	0	Standards are met. In exceptional circumstances where they cannot be met, regions will provide justification <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li></ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li></ul>
			<b>14.</b> ESD anticipates the following regulatory reviews, compliance strategies and enforcement plans will be launched in 2009-10: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <b>CMP:</b> (includes the following):<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- <b>TDI</b> (Regulatory Review &amp; Compliance Strategy)</li><li>- <b>SILOXANES</b> - (Regulatory Review &amp; Compliance Strategy)</li><li>- <b>CHPD</b> - (Regulatory Review &amp; Compliance Strategy)</li><li>- <b>3 Regs. on VOC's</b> - (Regulatory Review &amp; two (2) Enforcement Plan)</li><li>- <b>Chlorinated Parafins</b> - (Regulatory Review &amp; Compliance Strategy)</li></ul></li><li>• <b>Chrome</b> - (Enforcement Plan)</li><li>• <b>GHG Regs.</b> - (Regulatory Review -300 Pg &amp; Compliance Strategy)</li><li>• <b>Proposed MWW Regs.</b> - (Regulatory Review &amp; Compliance Strategy)</li><li>• <b>Petroleum Refineries</b> - (Effluent Regulations &amp; Possible Review 09-10)</li><li>• <b>Mercury in products-</b> (Regulatory Review &amp; Compliance)</li><li>• <b>PCB Regs.</b> - (Enforcement Plan)</li><li>• <b>P2 Mercury</b> - (dental amalgame wastes) (Compliance Strategy)</li><li>• <b>Storage Tanks</b> - (Enforcement Plan)</li><li>• <b>Fuels Strategy</b> - (Update &amp; Enforcement Plan)</li><li>• <b>Engine Emission Regs.</b> - (Possible Regulatory Review &amp; Update Compliance Strategy)</li><li>• <b>EIHWHRM</b> - (Update Compliance Strategy)</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• contribute regional staff to aid in development and reviews of new regulations, compliance strategies and enforcement plans</li><li>•</li><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	0.5	0	0	Feedback was provided to ESD in a timely manner <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li></ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li></ul>
			<b>15.</b> Regions and the HQ office are expected to contribute to the Enforceability study being led by John Meaney (anticipated to be completed in Jun 09).	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Provide support to enforceability study as needed</li><li>•</li><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	0.05	0	0	Feedback was provided to ESD in a timely manner <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li></ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li></ul>

Intermediate	Immediate	Business Functions and National Objectives	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions <sup>1</sup>	Regional Response	Resources			Measures	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
					FTE	OT	O & M (K)			
<b>3. Intermediate Outcomes:</b>  A strong national enforcement organization is in place to deliver results and to provide a stimulating working environment.	<b>Immediate Outcomes:</b>  Laws and regulations are enforced and applied consistently across the organization in a fair and predictable manner according to established policies, procedures and processes.	<b>5. Policy and Operational Procedures Development</b>  <u>National EED Objective:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Clear and consistent program and operational decisions made.</li><li>• Development and implementation of the national MWWWE compliance strategy.</li></ul>	<b>16.</b> Regions are expected to demonstrate in this section what process they have or will have in place to monitor the application of national policies and procedures and to identify to EED-NCR any gaps in national policies/procedures that need to be addressed.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Program Liason officer will develop system to ensure all staff are aware of policies</li><li>• Management team will monitor policy adherence by officers</li><li>•</li></ul>	0.1	0		National policies and procedures are followed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>
			<b>17.</b> Regions will provide, in this workplan template, the list of regional procedures, SOPs, inspection checklist, THAs and other documents alike anticipated to be developed in FY 09-10.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• develop regional documents as needed</li><li>• At the time of writing, we had no need for any such documents</li><li>•</li><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	0.1	0	0	lists are provided in this workplan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>
			<b>18.</b> Regions are expected to contribute to the development of national policies led by ESD. The followings are expected to require regional contribution in 09-10 (get list from ESD): <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• First draft ready Apr 2009</li><li>• Apr - Sep (2009) - Review (Committee created with regional representatives)</li><li>• Sep-2009 - Sep. 2010 (list of committee members will be provided by Jacques)</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Contribute to national policy development as needed</li><li>•</li></ul>	0.5	0	0	input is provided in a timely manner	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>
	<b>Immediate Outcomes:</b> Enforcement branch staff are hired, trained and empowered to perform their duties.	<b>6. Human Resources</b>	<b>Training:</b> <b>19.</b> Prior to delivery regions are expected to identify and provide details (i.e. location, duration, provider and justification for selection course outline, cost and any other information available) for any training anticipated to be offered to EOs in the region (courses not led/coordinated by TLD) and report on such training at mid and end of FY. These reports will be provided by EED-NCR to TLD to assist in their planning/reporting exercises.  <b>20.</b> Regions are expected to identify resources committed to assist TLD in the delivery and/or development of training for EOs. TLD anticipates developing the following for 09-10: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• PCB (awareness)<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Apr. - Aug.: Total <b>-(2)</b> EOs needed to assist in developing material</li><li>• Delivery date anticipated: Sep - 09</li></ul></li><li>• Storage Tanks (Course)<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• EOs already appointed for development (J.Miller &amp; G.Bruce)</li><li>• Delivery date anticipated: Aug. - 09</li></ul></li><li>• Chromium (awareness)<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Mar. 08 - May-09 - Total- <b>(2)</b> EOs needed to assist in</li><li>• Delivery date anticipated: July - 09</li></ul></li><li>• NSNR (course on biotech sampling)<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Course material developed</li><li>• TLD needs <b>(1)</b> EO per region to train the trainer sess</li><li>• Train the trainer seminar: possibly: possibly Aug. - 09</li></ul></li><li>• ON / OFF Road EPA Training<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Delivery date anticipated: April - 09</li></ul></li><li>• Solvent Degreasing Regulations<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Delivery Date: Mid May - 09</li></ul></li><li>• Fisheries Act Update on On-Line Training module<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• TLD needs <b>(2)</b> EOs to assist in the review and devel</li><li>• No clear timelines provided (either Spring or Fall - 09</li></ul></li><li>• Advanced Investigative Programs<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• TLD building a compendium currently existing course</li><li>• EOs already appointed for ongoing consultation</li></ul></li><li>• NEMISIS Training<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• As of Dec.- 09, EB has the responsibility to provide N</li><li>• TLD needs <b>(2)</b> EOs to assist in the development of n</li></ul></li><li>• BET</li><li>• First aid (CPR)</li><li>• Other Designation Training</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Identify regional training needs</li><li>• Provide details and results of training to TLD</li><li>• Provide regional representation for training development at TLD</li><li>•</li></ul>	4	5	30	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• HQ is informed ahead of time of all regional training provided to Eos.</li><li>•</li><li>• Resources identified and contributions made</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>



Intermediate	Immediate	Business Functions and National Objectives	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions <sup>1</sup>	Regional Response	Resources			Measures	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
					FTE	OT	O & M (K)			
	<b>Immediate Outcomes:</b> Enforcement branch staff are hired, trained and empowered to perform their duties.	6. Human Resources	<b>Staffing:</b> 21. Identify regional staffing needs for 09-10 (positions, levels, timelines)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Complete staffing of GT-04 staff for TB1 resources</li><li>Staff PM-04 and AS-01 for TB2 resources</li><li>Staff vacant CR-04 and District Manager position</li><li>Staff to fill any positions that become vacant</li></ul>	0.3	0	0	Staffing targets are met	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
			<b>Succession Planning:</b> 22. Regions are expected to identify regional issues and submit to the National Director an action plan for 09-10 to address those issues.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Develop a regional plan for succession planning</li><li>Submit plan to HQ</li><li>Anticipate 2 retirements in the next 3 years</li></ul>	0.02	0	0	Issues are identified and action plan implemented successfully	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
			<b>23. H-R Management Competency-Based:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions are expected to contribute to ESD's initiative to develop and implement competency-based HR management system</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Support development of ESD's competency-based HR management system</li></ul>	0.02	0	0		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
	<b>3. Intermediate Outcomes:</b> A strong national enforcement organization is in place to deliver results and to provide a stimulating working environment.	7. Program Management & Information Systems  National Objective: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Implement response to the recommendation from the OAG on the FA Audit (2009): EC should ensure that its enforcement quality assurance and control processes are sufficient to demonstrate that its actions have been taken in accordance with the Compliance and Enforcement Policy - Proposed Management Response:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Environment Canada accepts this recommendation. The Enforcement Branch is continuing to develop a framework, standardize processes and establish accountabilities to enhance its quality assurance and its quality control. More specifically, the quality assurance and quality control framework is being both developed and implemented over the 2009-10 and 2010-2011 fiscal years.</li></ul></li></ul> At the same time, the Enforcement Branch is establishing a quality assurance unit as well as a working group to oversee and support the quality of enforcement data. Collectively their responsibilities will include the development of new procedures for data entry, implementing a systematic data quality and control monitoring process that will involve both regional management teams as well as headquarters, conducting periodic quality assurance analysis of enforcement files and the provision of training to Enforcement Officers.  <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>In 2009-2010, EB will establish and implement a systematic data quality and control monitoring process that will involve the regional management teams and the head office.</li></ul>	<b>Information Systems:</b> 24. Indicate how you will ensure that all operational files are recorded in NEMISIS in a manner that complies with the NEMISIS Guidelines.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>The new PM-04 position along with operational managers will develop a QA/QC system to monitor NEMISIS use and input standards</li></ul>	0.1	0	0	NEMISIS standard are met	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>



National EED Workplan Template  
(Overarching)  
EB Priorities for 2009-10

Intermediate Outcome	Immediate Outcome	Business Functions and National Objectives	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions <sup>1</sup>	Regional Response	Resources			Measures	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
					FTE	OT	O & M (K)			
<p><b>1. Intermediate Outcomes:</b></p> <p>Enforcement activities prevent environmental damage and bring offenders into compliance with EC administrative laws and regulations in order to provide measurable environmental benefits to the natural environment and biodiversity.</p>	<p><b>Immediate Outcomes:</b></p> <p>Unlawful releases of harmful substances into the environment are prevented or minimized.</p>	<p>1. Enforcement Operations (FA, CEPA)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Intelligence</li><li>Inspections</li><li>Investigations</li></ul> <p><b>National Strategy:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Given the ever increasing number of legislative/regulatory instruments and the increasing complexity of the statutory/regulatory requirements, implement a strategic targeting approach for inspections and investigations with an aim at delivering greater environmental benefits for the same or lower amount of enforcement effort.</li></ul>	1. Regions are expected to indicate what efforts will be made in FY 09-10 to ensure sufficient capacity in Intel and Investigations to pursue national priorities.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>The region is organized so that officers can conduct inspection and investigations and the resources will be diverted as required/necessy with emphasis placed on the National Priorities</li><li>Select Officers have been trained in the fundamentals of Intelligence analysis training to allow these officers to assist intelligence as required.</li><li>All intelligence referrals will be actioned</li></ul>	11.525	22.5	129.9	TBD by the regions, based on their response	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
				Inspections - not identified on attached sheets	2.800		33.9			
				Investigations	7.575	22.5	83.0			
				Intel - FA	0.250		3.0			
				Intel - CEPA	0.700		9.0			
				Intel Bulletins	0.200		1.0			
			2. Instrument specific targets will be provided on separate sheets. For priority instruments, regions are expected to ensure a reasonable enforcement presence in each priority sector. For priority instruments, regions are expected to ensure a reasonable enforcement presence in each priority sector. EED-NCR will provide regular reports to the regions that will assess the level of deviation from planned numbers. At the end of FY, regions will provide a brief document identifying any deviations from the initial plan <sup>2</sup> .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Inspections will focus on the national priority regualtions as per attached sheets</li><li>Investigations will focus on the national priority regualtions as per attached sheets</li><li>Intelligence projects will focus on the national Priority regualtions as per attached sheets.</li></ul>	19.410	10	372.6	Percentage of targets met. If regions cannot meet the target provided at beginning of FY, justification will be provided	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
<p><b>2. Intermediate Outcomes:</b></p> <p>Strategic partners are engaged to extend the Enforcement Program's reach and enhance capacity to deliver on environmental priorities.</p>	<p><b>Immediate Outcomes:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>EC enforcement priorities are better delivered through the development and implementation of partnership arrangements with key domestic and international partners.</li><li>Partnership engagement is enhanced through the development of engagement strategies and plans.</li></ul>	<p>2. Partnerships - Domestic</p>	3. Regions are expected to identify key partners in the regions, anticipated key meetings, and new initiatives such as border blitzes, training, sending EO's to spend time with CBSA officers at borders to sensitize about our needs, create new partnerships or to update current agreements in place)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>District Offices will continue to work with Federal and Provincial partners</li><li>The region will continue to participate in the Western States Project and IALEIA</li><li>Intellelelligence will continue to participate in the Criminal Intelligence Service of Canada and the district Intel organizations.</li></ul>	1.000		13.0		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
			4. Each region is expected to track referrals received from CBSA as per D-Memos and report on outcomes of these referrals to EED-NCR	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Referrals from CBSA will be continued to be tracked within Nemsisis</li><li>Files that are closed will be have the reason for the closure identified in Nemsisis as per the SOP</li><li></li><li></li></ul>	0.200		1.0		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>

Intermediate Outcome	Immediate Outcome	Business Functions and National Objectives	Targets/Commitments Guidance to Regions <sup>1</sup>	Regional Response	Resources			Measures	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
					FTE	OT	O & M (K)			
<b>2. <u>Intermediate Outcomes:</u></b>  Strategic partners are engaged to extend the Enforcement Program's reach and enhance capacity to deliver on environmental priorities.	<b>Immediate Outcomes:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>EC enforcement priorities are better delivered through the development and implementation of partnership arrangements with key domestic and international partners.</li><li>Partnership engagement is enhanced through the development of engagement strategies and plans.</li></ul>	2. Partnerships - Domestic	5. Each region is expected to meet bi-yearly with their DFO counterparts to update on enforcement activities, agree on joint operations, review progress in implementation of the agreement and adjust as necessary.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>The region and districts will continue to meet on a regular basis with DFO</li><li>Each jurisdiction will meet at least once per year</li><li>Officers will discuss common files on a routine basis as they arise</li></ul>	0.100		5.0		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
			6. Develop, implement and and track implementation of regional protocol for managing 24/7 spill line for enforcement purposes (referrals from CBSA and Emergencies notifications)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Will continue to maintain a log of spills in cooperation with emergences as per our protocol</li><li>Calls referred to enforcement for follow-up will be entered in Nemisis</li><li>24/7 lines will be maintained in Alberta, Saskatchewan and Manitoba as we assess the needs over the next year.</li></ul>	0.025	68.5	0.0	Regional protocols are nationally consistent and implemented	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
			7. Renewal and implementation of the 5th agreement with the government of Québec on Pulp and Paper & Metal Mining.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>n/a</li></ul>					<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
		3. Partnerships - International  <u>EED International commitments:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>CEC project on NA imports: work with the USEPA and PROFEPA in sharing intelligence on selected commodities and through cooperation, take coordinated actions against common targets</li><li>SPP Initiative: EED-NCR has put forward a potential project under the theme of “environment”. The project would be in the same lines as the CEC project.</li><li>Continue contribution to the CEC border officer training project. PYR will represent EED.</li></ul>	8. Regions are expected to support HQ on EED international projects: 1) CEC project: Regions are expected to review and assess the necessity to follow up on all referrals from USEPA or Mexico routed to the regions via the HQ office and advise HQ of decision and outcome of the cases. Regions are also expected to follow up on all Intel probes linked to this project. 2) PYR to participate in teleconference calls related to hazardous waste border training CEC initiative, continue to provide input as required, and provide regular updates to EED-NCR on progress and implications for EED.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Support will be provided as requested.</li></ul>	0.010		0.0		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
			9. In order to build upon the collaboration established with USEPA-HQ, Regions are expected to advise HQ regarding any interactions with any international agencies including USEPA on specific cases.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>HQ will be informed as situations occur.</li><li>Western States Meetings</li></ul>	0.150		14	HQ is advised ahead of time		

Intermediate Outcome	Immediate Outcome	Business Functions and National Objectives	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions <sup>1</sup>	Regional Response	Resources			Measures	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
					FTE	OT	O & M (K)			
<u>2. Intermediate Outcomes:</u>  Strategic partners are engaged to extend the Enforcement Program's reach and enhance capacity to deliver on environmental priorities.	Immediate Outcomes:  • EC enforcement priorities are better delivered through the development and implementation of partnership arrangements with key domestic and international partners.  • Partnership engagement is enhanced through the development of engagement strategies and plans.	3. Partnerships - International  EED International commitments:  • CEC project on NA imports: work with the USEPA and PROFEPA in sharing intelligence on selected commodities and through cooperation, take coordinated actions against common targets  • SPP Initiative: EED-NCR has put forward a potential project under the theme of "environment". The project would be in the same lines as the CEC project.  • Continue contribution to the CEC border officer training project. PYR will represent EED.	10. Regions are also expected to seek approval from HQ before contacting any international agencies including USEPA on matters not related to a specific operation.	• HQ will be informed as situations occur. • • •				Approval is sought from HQ	• •	• •
			HQ: 11. Monitor discussions on international conventions/agreements and identify any issues/implications for Enforcement	• n/a • • • •				Resource dedicated to such reviews in HQ and regular reports provided to the EED management table	• •	• •
			12. Continue active participation and contribution to Interpol and INECE.	• n/a • • • •					• •	• •
<u>3. Intermediate Outcomes:</u>  A strong national enforcement organization is in place to deliver results and to provide a stimulating working environment.	<u>Immediate Outcomes:</u> Policy, legislative and regulatory development is supported by high quality analysis and advice from the enforcement program.	4. Regulation Development and Support	13. Regions are expected to implement the national service standards for investigations, monitor adherence with those standards and report back to HQ on performance.	• We will implement the National Service standards to the best of our ability. • • • •	0.050		5.0	Standards are met. In exceptional circumstances where they cannot be met, regions will provide justification	• •	• •
			14. ESD anticipates the following regulatory reviews, compliance strategies and enforcement plans will be launched in 2009-10: • <u>CMP</u> : (includes the following): - TDI (Regulatory Review & Compliance Strategy) - SILOXANES - (Regulatory Review & Compliance Strategy) - CHPD - (Regulatory Review & Compliance Strategy) - 3 Regs. on VOC's - (Regulatory Review & two (2) Enforcement Plan) - Chlorinated Parafins - (Regulatory Review & Compliance Strategy) • Chrome - (Enforcement Plan) • GHG Regs. - (Regulatory Review -300 Pg & Compliance Strategy) • Proposed MWW Regs. - (Regulatory Review & Compliance Strategy) • Petroleum Refineries - (Effluent Regulations & Possible Review 09-10) • Mercury in products- (Regulatory Review & Compliance • PCB Regs. - (Enforcement Plan) • P2 Mercury - (dental amalgame wastes) (Compliance Strategy) • Storage Tanks - (Enforcement Plan) • Fuels Strategy - (Update & Enforcement Plan) • Engine Emission Regs. - (Possible Regulatory Review & Update Compliance Strategy) • EIHWHRM - (Update Compliance Strategy)	• The region will continue to participate in national working groups and feedback will be provided to ESD in a timely manner • • • •	0.300	5.0	Feedback was provided to ESD in a timely manner	• •	• •	
			15. Regions and the HQ office are expected to contribute to the Enforceability study being led by John Meaney (anticipated to be completed in Jun 09).	• Members have been identified and have been assigned and will continue to work on the Enforceability study. • • • •	0.050		1.0	Feedback was provided to ESD in a timely manner	• •	• •

Intermediate	Immediate	Business Functions and National Objectives	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions <sup>1</sup>	Regional Response	Resources			Measures	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)		
					FTE	OT	O & M (K)					
<b>3. Intermediate Outcomes:</b>  A strong national enforcement organization is in place to deliver results and to provide a stimulating working environment.	<b>Immediate Outcomes:</b>  Laws and regulations are enforced and applied consistently across the organization in a fair and predictable manner according to established policies, procedures and processes.	5. Policy and Operational Procedures Development  <u>National EED Objective:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Clear and consistent program and operational decisions made.</li><li>Development and implementation of the national MWWE compliance strategy.</li></ul>	16. Regions are expected to demonstrate in this section what process they have or will have in place to monitor the application of national policies and procedures and to identify to EED-NCR any gaps in national policies/procedures that need to be addressed.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>The region will continue to follow national policies and procedures. This will be monitored by the regional management team.</li></ul>	0.100		0.0	National policies and procedures are followed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>		
			17. Regions will provide, in this workplan template, the list of regional procedures, SOPs, inspection checklist, THAs and other documents alike anticipated to be developed in FY 09-10.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>The region is developing a THA and sampling protocol for the fuels Dispensing Regulations which will be shared when completed.</li></ul>	0.050		0.0	lists are provided in this workplan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>		
			18. Regions are expected to contribute to the development of national policies led by ESD. The followings are expected to require regional contribution in 09-10 (get list from ESD): <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>First draft ready Apr 2009</li><li>Apr - Sep (2009) - Review (Committee created with regional representatives)</li><li>Sep-2009 - Sep. 2010 (list of committee members will be provided by Jacques)</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>input will be provided in a timely manner and as required.</li></ul>	0.500		5.0	input is provided in a timely manner	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>		
				Intel Policy	0.300		5.0					
	<b>Immediate Outcomes:</b>  Enforcement branch staff are hired, trained and empowered to perform their duties.	6. Human Resources	<b>Training:</b> 19. Prior to delivery regions are expected to identify and provide details (i.e. location, duration, provider and justification for selection course outline, cost and any other information available) for any training anticipated to be offered to EOs in the region (courses not led/coordinated by TLD) and report on such training at mid and end of FY. These reports will be provided by EED-NCR to TLD to assist in their planning/reporting exercises.  20. Regions are expected to identify resources committed to assist TLD in the delivery and/or development of training for EOs. TLD anticipates developing the following for 09-10: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>PCB (awareness)<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Apr. - Aug.: Total -(2) EOs needed to assist in developing material</li><li>Delivery date anticipated: Sep - 09</li></ul></li><li>Storage Tanks (Course)<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>EOs already appointed for development (J.Miller &amp; G.Bruce)</li><li>Delivery date anticipated: Aug. - 09</li></ul></li><li>Chromium (awareness)<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Mar. 08 - May-09 - Total- (2) EOs needed to assist in developing material</li><li>Delivery date anticipated: July - 09</li></ul></li><li>NSNR (course on biotech sampling)<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Course material developed</li><li>TLD needs (1) EO per region to train the trainer session and future delivery</li><li>Train the trainer seminar: possibly: possibly Aug. - 09</li></ul></li><li>ON / OFF Road EPA Training<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Delivery date anticipated: April - 09</li></ul></li><li>Solvent Degreasing Regulations<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Delivery Date: Mid May - 09</li></ul></li><li>Fisheries Act Update on On-Line Training module<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>TLD needs (2) EOs to assist in the review and development</li><li>No clear timelines provided (either Spring or Fall - 09)</li></ul></li><li>Advanced Investigative Programs<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>TLD building a compendium currently existing courses</li><li>EOs already appointed for ongoing consultation</li></ul></li><li>NEMISIS Training<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>As of Dec.- 09, EB has the responsibility to provide NEMISIS training to</li><li>TLD needs (2) EOs to assist in the development of material (Apr. - Dec - 09)</li></ul></li><li>BET</li><li>First aid (CPR)</li><li>Other Designation Training</li></ul>	Regional Training			<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>HQ is informed ahead of time of all regional training provided to Eos.</li><li>Resources identified and contributions made</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>			
				PDT (JI)	1.100	0				90.0		
				Boat Training (MED A3/SVOP)	0.300	0				8.0		
				French Language	0.225	0				4.1		
				H2S, TDG, WHMIS, First Aid, etc	0.500	0				4.0		
				Field Training (Equipment Days)	2.000	0				18.0		
				Holland Spill Course	0.200	0				8.0		
				8 Hr refresher Hazwoper	0.040	0				8.0		
				Other non formal training (mentoring, Self Teach)	3.700	0				0.0		
				Intel Training	0.200	0				6.0		
				IALEIA	0.050	0				5.0		
				National Training								
				BET	3.000	15				156.0		
				Nemisis	0.140	0				5.0		
				40 Hr HAZWOPER	0.325	5				14.0		
				PCB Regs	0.200	0				4.5		
				EIHWHRMR	0.200	0				5.0		
				NSN (Sampling Kit Training)	0.200	0				4.0		
				Federal Storage Tank	0.150	0				14.0		

Intermediate	Immediate	Business Functions and National Objectives	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions <sup>1</sup>	Regional Response	Resources			Measures	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
					FTE	OT	O & M (K)			
<b>3. Intermediate Outcomes:</b> A strong national enforcement organization is in place to deliver results and to provide a stimulating working environment.	<b>Immediate Outcomes:</b> Enforcement branch staff are hired, trained and empowered to perform their duties.	6. Human Resources	<b>Staffing:</b> 21. Identify regional staffing needs for 09-10 (positions, levels, timelines)	Staffing as per the approved org chart will be accomplished. Additional resources will utilized in support of all itmes idntified in this work plan.	0.400		25.0	Staffing targets are met	•	•
			<b>Succession Planning:</b> 22. Regions are expected to identify regional issues and submit to the National Director an action plan for 09-10 to address those issues.	• Regional management team will continue to employ best practices concerning the transfer of knowledge. • • •	0.100		0.0	Issues are identified and action plan implemented successfully	• •	• •
			<b>23. H-R Management Competency-Based:</b> • Regions are expected to contribute to ESD's initiative to develop and implement competency-based HR management system	Don't know what this is so assigned no resources	0.000		0.0		• •	• •
	<b>Immediate Outcomes:</b> Planning, reporting and decision making processes are based on reliable information and analysis.	7. Program Management & Information Systems  National Objective: • Implement response to the recommendation from the OAG on the FA Audit (2009): EC should ensure that its enforcement quality assurance and control processes are sufficient to demonstrate that its actions have been taken in accordance with the Compliance and Enforcement Policy - Proposed Management Response: – Environment Canada accepts this recommendation. The Enforcement Branch is continuing to develop a framework, standardize processes and establish accountabilities to enhance its quality assurance and its quality control. More specifically, the quality assurance and quality control framework is being both developed and implemented over the 2009-10 and 2010-2011 fiscal years. At the same time, the Enforcement Branch is establishing a quality assurance unit as well as a working group to oversee and support the quality of enforcement data. Collectively their responsibilities will include the development of new procedures for data entry, implementing a systematic data quality and control monitoring process that will involve both regional management teams as well as headquarters, conducting periodic quality assurance analysis of enforcement files and the provision of training to Enforcement Officers.  • In 2009-2010, EB will establish and implement a systematic data quality and control monitoring process that will involve the regional management teams and the head office.	<b>Information Systems:</b> 24. Indicate how you will ensure that all operational files are recorded in NEMISIS in a manner that complies with the NEMISIS Guidelines.	• Regional management team will continue to ensure quality assurance targets are met per the Nemesis Standard. • • •	0.000		0.0	NEMISIS standard are met	• •	• •





National EED Workplan Template  
(Overarching)  
EB Priorities for 2009-10

Intermediate Outcome	Immediate Outcome	Business Functions and National Objectives	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions <sup>1</sup>	Regional Response	Resources			Measures	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
					FTE	OT	O & M (K)			
<p><b>1. Intermediate Outcomes:</b></p> <p>Enforcement activities prevent environmental damage and bring offenders into compliance with EC administrative laws and regulations in order to provide measurable environmental benefits to the natural environment and biodiversity.</p>	<p><b>Immediate Outcomes:</b></p> <p>Unlawful releases of harmful substances into the environment are prevented or minimized.</p>	<p>1. Enforcement Operations (FA, CEPA)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Intelligence</li><li>Inspections</li><li>Investigations</li></ul> <p><b>National Strategy:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Given the ever increasing number of legislative/regulatory instruments and the increasing complexity of the statutory/regulatory requirements, implement a strategic targeting approach for inspections and investigations with an aim at delivering greater environmental benefits for the same or lower amount of enforcement effort.</li></ul>	<p>1. Regions are expected to indicate what efforts will be made in FY 09-10 to ensure sufficient capacity in Intel and Investigations to pursue national priorities.</p>	<p>Enforcement officers performing inspection functions will be assigned on a project basis to intelligence and investigations activities to address national priorities. Some less complex investigations will be conducted by inspections staff.</p>	0.3	10	20	TBD by the regions, based on their response	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
			<p>2. Instrument specific targets will be provided on separate sheets. For priority instruments, regions are expected to ensure a reasonable enforcement presence in each priority sector. For priority instruments, regions are expected to ensure a reasonable enforcement presence in each priority sector. EED-NCR will provide regular reports to the regions that will assess the level of deviation from planned numbers. At the end of FY, regions will provide a brief document identifying any deviations from the initial plan<sup>2</sup>.</p>	<p>Intelligence will be used to focus/target inspections in priority sectors with the primary aim of detecting non-compliance. Resources will be assigned to national and regional priorities in a manner which ensures that the most serious files are addressed. Investigations will focus on those cases with the highest likelihood of prosecution.</p>	33.8	0	10	Percentage of targets met. If regions cannot meet the target provided at beginning of FY, justification will be provided	33.8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
<p><b>2. Intermediate Outcomes:</b></p> <p>Strategic partners are engaged to extend the Enforcement Program's reach and enhance capacity to deliver on environmental priorities.</p>	<p><b>Immediate Outcomes:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>EC enforcement priorities are better delivered through the development and implementation of partnership arrangements with key domestic and international partners.</li><li>Partnership engagement is enhanced through the development of engagement strategies and plans.</li></ul>	<p>2. Partnerships - Domestic</p>	<p>3. Regions are expected to identify key partners in the regions, anticipated key meetings, and new initiatives such as border blitzes, training, sending EO's to spend time with CBSA officers at borders to sensitize about our needs, create new partnerships or to update current agreements in place)</p>	<p>EED will 1) continue to work with key enforcement partners and develop new ones relevant to priority sectors. 2) participate in regional multiagency law enforcement meetings in central BC and will initiate ones in the Cranbrook and Whitehorse and Vancouver area and meet yearly and 3) will attempt to bring in on assignment for part of this fiscal year enforcement staff from 3 other agencies (CBSA, Provincial CO Service, and DFO) on interchange assignments as a means of developing links.</p> <p>we are attempting to obtain someone from CBSA, the Provincial CO Service, and potentially DFO</p>	0.15	1	5		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
			<p>4. Each region is expected to track referrals received from CBSA as per D-Memos and report on outcomes of these referrals to EED-NCR</p>	<p>The region will continue to track referrals, using NEMISIS, but will be very selective in those responded to, in consultation with intelligence.</p>	0.1	0	0		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>

Intermediate Outcome	Immediate Outcome	Business Functions and National Objectives	Targets/Commitments Guidance to Regions <sup>1</sup>	Regional Response	Resources			Measures	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
					FTE	QT	O & M (K)			
<b>2. Intermediate Outcomes:</b>  Strategic partners are engaged to extend the Enforcement Program's reach and enhance capacity to deliver on environmental priorities.	<b>Immediate Outcomes:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>EC enforcement priorities are better delivered through the development and implementation of partnership arrangements with key domestic and international partners.</li><li>Partnership engagement is enhanced through the development of engagement strategies and plans.</li></ul>	2. Partnerships - Domestic	5. Each region is expected to meet bi-yearly with their DFO counterparts to update on enforcement activities, agree on joint operations, review progress in implementation of the agreement and adjust as necessary.	The Regional Working Arrangement with DFO re enforcement of FA 36(3) will be amended to ensure that there is clarity. The RD EED will meet twice per year with the RD enforcement DFO to discuss policy and process issues and any concerns relative to coordinated work. The district managers will hold discussions twice per year with their DFO counterparts on operational issues and joint projects. Project specific discussions will be held as needed.	0.1	0.5	5		• •	• •
			6. Develop, implement and track implementation of regional protocol for managing 24/7 spill line for enforcement purposes (referrals from CBSA and Emergencies notifications)	The protocol will be in place by the end of September and will be linked to a 24/7 Enforcement Officer duty system to provide response to incidents requiring enforcement. Referrals from CBSA will also come to the 24/7 duty officer for screening and action independent of the emergencies calls. Intelligence staff will monitor the referrals from emergencies to maximize intelligence value.  Referrals from Emergencies are tracked in a specific Outlook account. Referrals requiring action are entered into NEMISIS as Occurrences. There is only one 24/7 line in PYR, which is the emergency spill reporting line, maintained by the Emergencies Program.	0.5	30	50	Regional protocols are nationally consistent and implemented	• •	• •
			7. Renewal and implementation of the 5th agreement with the government of Québec on Pulp and Paper & Metal Mining.	N/A	0	0	0		• •	• •
		3. Partnerships - International  <u>EED International commitments:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>CEC project on NA imports: work with the USEPA and PROFEPA in sharing intelligence on selected commodities and through cooperation, take coordinated actions against common targets</li><li>SPP Initiative: EED-NCR has put forward a potential project under the theme of "environment". The project would be in the same lines as the CEC project.</li><li>Continue contribution to the CEC border officer training project. PYR will represent EED.</li></ul>	8. Regions are expected to support HQ on EED international projects: 1) CEC project: Regions are expected to review and assess the necessity to follow up on all referrals from USEPA or Mexico routed to the regions via the HQ office and advise HQ of decision and outcome of the cases. Regions are also expected to follow up on all Intel probes linked to this project. 2) PYR to participate in teleconference calls related to hazardous waste border training CEC initiative, continue to provide input as required, and provide regular updates to EED-NCR on progress and implications for EED.	Requests for assistance on CEC projects by HQ will be considered a priority and the necessary resources will be assigned or reassigned from other files. Intel will have the necessary capacity to deal with probes, etc. by adding staff from inspections on a project specific basis. The haz waste border training will be considered a priority as noted.	0.3	0.5	3		• •	• •
			9. In order to build upon the collaboration established with USEPA-HQ, Regions are expected to advise HQ regarding any interactions with any international agencies including USEPA on specific cases.	EED will advise or if needed consult with HQ prior to any planned calls or meetings involving international agencies. Where direct contact has been made with EED by an agency, HQ will be advised of the contact and summary of discussions.	0.05	0	0	HQ is advised ahead of time		

Intermediate Outcome	Immediate Outcome	Business Functions and National Objectives	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions <sup>1</sup>	Regional Response	Resources			Measures	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
					FTE	OT	O & M (K)			
<b>2. Intermediate Outcomes:</b>  Strategic partners are engaged to extend the Enforcement Program's reach and enhance capacity to deliver on environmental priorities.	Immediate Outcomes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>EC enforcement priorities are better delivered through the development and implementation of partnership arrangements with key domestic and international partners.</li><li>Partnership engagement is enhanced through the development of engagement strategies and plans.</li></ul>	3. Partnerships - International  EED International commitments: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>CEC project on NA imports: work with the USEPA and PROFEPA in sharing intelligence on selected commodities and through cooperation, take coordinated actions against common targets</li><li>SPP Initiative: EED-NCR has put forward a potential project under the theme of “environment”. The project would be in the same lines as the CEC project.</li><li>Continue contribution to the CEC border officer training project. PYR will represent EED.</li></ul>	10. Regions are also expected to seek approval from HQ before contacting any international agencies including USEPA on matters not related to a specific operation.	Approval for all international contacts aside from those associated with ongoing case-specific files will be obtained prior to the contact being made. The exception would be when the region is contacted directly by another agency, in which case the specifics of the contact will be taken and discussed with HQ prior to taking any action.	0.05	0	0	Approval is sought from HQ	•	•
			HQ: 11. Monitor discussions on international conventions/agreements and identify any issues/implications for Enforcement	N/A	0	0	0	Resource dedicated to such reviews in HQ and regular reports provided to the EED management table	•	•
			12. Continue active participation and contribution to Interpol and INECE.	N/A	0	0	0		•	•
<b>3. Intermediate Outcomes:</b>  A strong national enforcement organization is in place to deliver results and to provide a stimulating working environment.	Immediate Outcomes:  Policy, legislative and regulatory development is supported by high quality analysis and advice from the enforcement program.	4. Regulation Development and Support	13. Regions are expected to implement the national service standards for investigations, monitor adherence with those standards and report back to HQ on performance.	Investigation plans will be developed and the time lines adhered to where possible. Intell requirements will be identified in each plan. Consultation with PPSC will continue throughout the investigation and preparation of the court brief.	0.1	0	0	Standards are met. In exceptional circumstances where they cannot be met, regions will provide justification	•	•
			14. ESD anticipates the following regulatory reviews, compliance strategies and enforcement plans will be launched in 2009-10: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>CMP: (includes the following):<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>TDI (Regulatory Review &amp; Compliance Strategy)</li><li>SILOXANES - (Regulatory Review &amp; Compliance Strategy)</li><li>CHPD - (Regulatory Review &amp; Compliance Strategy)</li><li>3 Regs. on VOC's - (Regulatory Review &amp; two (2) Enforcement Plan)</li><li>Chlorinated Parafins - (Regulatory Review &amp; Compliance Strategy)</li></ul></li><li>Chrome - (Enforcement Plan)</li><li>GHG Regs. - (Regulatory Review -300 Pg &amp; Compliance Strategy)</li><li>Proposed MWW Regs. - (Regulatory Review &amp;Compliance Strategy)</li><li>Petroleum Refineries - (Effluent Regulations &amp; Possible Review 09-10)</li><li>Mercury in products- (Regulatory Review &amp; Compliance</li><li>PCB Regs. - (Enforcement Plan)</li><li>P2 Mercury - (dental amalgame wastes) (Compliance Strategy)</li><li>Storage Tanks - (Enforcement Plan)</li><li>Fuels Strategy - (Update &amp; Enforcement Plan)</li><li>Engine Emission Regs. - (Possible Regulatory Review &amp; Update Compliance Strategy)</li><li>EIHWHRM - (Update Compliance Strategy)</li></ul>	EED will continue to provide input and comments on upcoming regulatory reviews and compliance strategies through participation on national working groups. Input to enforcement strategies will continue. In order to make the regional participation as effective as possible, EED has developed a regulatory lead listing and identified officers in various parts of the region as prime contacts to address specific regulations. EED will continue to have ongoing discussions with regional program EPOD staff regarding compliance promotion and reg review. To ensure that the region is able to provide comments, HQ must allow sufficient time for the review to take place. In some instances, we may not be able to provide input as asked, due to capacity issues. In this event, we will advise HQ.	0.35	0.5	15	Feedback was provided to ESD in a timely manner	•	•
			15. Regions and the HQ office are expected to contribute to the Enforceability study being led by John Meaney (anticipated to be completed in Jun 09).	Regional regulatory leads after consultation with other regional staff have provided significant input to date. Requests for further participation will be given priority.	0.1	0	0	Feedback was provided to ESD in a timely manner	•	•

Intermediate	Immediate	Business Functions and National Objectives	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions <sup>1</sup>	Regional Response	Resources			Measures	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
					FTE	QT	O & M (K)			
3. Intermediate Outcomes:  A strong national enforcement organization is in place to deliver results and to provide a stimulating working environment.	<b>Immediate Outcomes:</b>  Laws and regulations are enforced and applied consistently across the organization in a fair and predictable manner according to established policies, procedures and processes.	5. Policy and Operational Procedures Development  <b>National EED Objective:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Clear and consistent program and operational decisions made.</li><li>• Development and implementation of the national MWWE compliance strategy.</li></ul>	16. Regions are expected to demonstrate in this section what process they have or will have in place to monitor the application of national policies and procedures and to identify to EED-NCR any gaps in national policies/procedures that need to be addressed.	The RD and Managers will continue to monitor the implementation of national policies and procedures. This function will to a great extent be taken over by the new Regional Programs Officer under the direction of the RD. Any gaps or changes to policies/procedures will be raised by the RD at the directorate management table for action.	0.05	0	0	National policies and procedures are followed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>
			17. Regions will provide, in this workplan template, the list of regional procedures, SOPs, inspection checklist, THAs and other documents alike anticipated to be developed in FY 09-10.	A list will be developed by the end of June for discussion with the other regions, and HQ, to ensure that there is no duplication in documents, as what is produced should be transferable to all regions.	0.05	0	0	lists are provided in this workplan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>
			18. Regions are expected to contribute to the development of national policies led by ESD. The followings are expected to require regional contribution in 09-10 (get list from ESD): <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• First draft ready Apr 2009</li><li>• Apr - Sep (2009) - Review (Committee created with regional representatives)</li><li>• Sep-2009 - Sep. 2010 (list of committee members will be provided by Jacques)</li></ul>	Region will provide representative with the appropriate expertise to participate in development of national policies. However, urgent high profile regional priorities may affect the level of participation.	0.5	0	5	input is provided in a timely manner	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>
	<b>Immediate Outcomes:</b> Enforcement branch staff are hired, trained and empowered to perform their duties.	6. Human Resources	<b>Training:</b> 19. Prior to delivery regions are expected to identify and provide details (i.e. location, duration, provider and justification for selection course outline, cost and any other information available) for any training anticipated to be offered to EOs in the region (courses not led/coordinated by TLD) and report on such training at mid and end of FY. These reports will be provided by EED-NCR to TLD to assist in their planning/reporting exercises.  20. Regions are expected to identify resources committed to assist TLD in the delivery and/or development of training for EOs. TLD anticipates developing the following for 09-10: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• PCB (awareness)<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Apr. - Aug.: Total -(2) EOs needed to assist in developing material</li><li>• Delivery date anticipated: Sep - 09</li></ul></li><li>• Storage Tanks (Course)<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• EOs already appointed for development (J.Miller &amp; G.Bruce)</li><li>• Delivery date anticipated: Aug. - 09</li></ul></li><li>• Chromium (awareness)<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Mar. 08 - May-09 - Total- (2) EOs needed to assist in</li><li>• Delivery date anticipated: July - 09</li></ul></li><li>• NSNR (course on biotech sampling)<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Course material developed</li><li>• TLD needs (1) EO per region to train the trainer sessi</li><li>• Train the trainer seminar: possibly: possibly Aug. - 09</li></ul></li><li>• ON / OFF Road EPA Training<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Delivery date anticipated: April - 09</li></ul></li><li>• Solvent Degreasing Regulations<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Delivery Date: Mid May - 09</li></ul></li><li>• Fisheries Act Update on On-Line Training module<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• TLD needs (2) EOs to assist in the review and develo</li><li>• No clear timelines provided (either Spring or Fall - 09</li></ul></li><li>• Advanced Investigative Programs<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• TLD building a compendium currently existing course</li><li>• EOs already appointed for ongoing consultation</li></ul></li><li>• NEMISIS Training<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• As of Dec.- 09, EB has the responsibility to provide N</li><li>• TLD needs (2) EOs to assist in the development of m</li></ul></li><li>• BET</li><li>• First aid (CPR)</li><li>• Other Designation Training</li></ul>	Regional courses are expected in the area of intelligence, advanced investigation and electronic data management for major cases. HQ will be provided with course material ahead of time and invited to participate. HQ led training will continue to be supported by identifying subject matter experts to develop and deliver enforcement officer awareness sessions for priority regulations (SDR, MMER, fuels, as a start - 2 officers are to participate in the first sessions). BET will be supported by providing a coordinator and 4-5 facilitators to assist in delivery.	1	0.5	40	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• HQ is informed ahead of time of all regional training provided to Eos.</li><li>• Resources identified and contributions made</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>

Intermediate	Immediate	Business Functions and National Objectives	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions <sup>1</sup>	Regional Response	Resources			Measures	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
					FTE	OT	O & M (K)			
<b>3. Intermediate Outcomes:</b> A strong national enforcement organization is in place to deliver results and to provide a stimulating working environment.	<b>Immediate Outcomes:</b> Enforcement branch staff are hired, trained and empowered to perform their duties.	6. Human Resources	<b>Staffing:</b> 21. Identify regional staffing needs for 09-10 (positions, levels, timelines)	Planned staffing worksheet has been provided to HQ showing all new and replacement positions. Region will staff 6 GT-03 and one PM-04 for BET attendance; one PM-04 Regional Program Officer and one AS-01. In addition, competitions will be held for instances where a higher level GT position is needed to address higher level work loads - estimated that we will have 5 positions at the GT-05 level and 6 at the GT-04 level.	0.6	0	6	Staffing targets are met	•	•
			<b>Succession Planning:</b> 22. Regions are expected to identify regional issues and submit to the National Director an action plan for 09-10 to address those issues.	In consultation with the ND, a succession plan will be developed for a Manager and RD position to be activated this fiscal year in the event of retirement this or next fiscal.	0.05	0	0	Issues are identified and action plan implemented successfully	•	•
			<b>23. H-R Management Competency-Based:</b> • Regions are expected to contribute to ESD's initiative to develop and implement competency-based HR management system	Regions will assess the level of participation to this initiative once the tasks and expertise required are better defined.	0.05	0	0		•	•
	<b>Immediate Outcomes:</b> Planning, reporting and decision making processes are based on reliable information and analysis.	7. Program Management & Information Systems  National Objective: • Implement response to the recommendation from the OAG on the FA Audit (2009): EC should ensure that its enforcement quality assurance and control processes are sufficient to demonstrate that its actions have been taken in accordance with the Compliance and Enforcement Policy - Proposed Management Response: – Environment Canada accepts this recommendation. The Enforcement Branch is continuing to develop a framework, standardize processes and establish accountabilities to enhance its quality assurance and its quality control. More specifically, the quality assurance and quality control framework is being both developed and implemented over the 2009-10 and 2010-2011 fiscal years. At the same time, the Enforcement Branch is establishing a quality assurance unit as well as a working group to oversee and support the quality of enforcement data. Collectively their responsibilities will include the development of new procedures for data entry, implementing a systematic data quality and control monitoring process that will involve both regional management teams as well as headquarters, conducting periodic quality assurance analysis of enforcement files and the provision of training to Enforcement Officers.  • In 2009-2010, EB will establish and implement a systematic data quality and control monitoring process that will involve the regional management teams and the head office.	<b>Information Systems:</b> 24. Indicate how you will ensure that all operational files are recorded in NEMISIS in a manner that complies with the NEMISIS Guidelines.	• PYR EED will review and instruct officers on the National Data Input Standards (NDIS) through regular coaching and mentoring. • NEMISIS files will be subject for review by Operational Managers, or as appropriate by Senior Officers, to ensure files are complete and done in accordance to the NDIS prior to closing or referral to HQ or another region.	0.1	0	5	NEMISIS standard are met	•	•

Intermediate	Immediate	Business Functions and National Objectives	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions <sup>1</sup>	Regional Response	Resources			Measures	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
					FTE	OT	O & M (K)			
<b>3. Intermediate Outcomes:</b> <b>A strong national enforcement organization is in place to deliver results and to provide a stimulating working environment.</b>	<b>Immediate Outcomes:</b> Planning, reporting and decision making processes are based on reliable information and analysis.	8. Program Administration	<b>25. Planning and performance management:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions are expected to contribute, via their regulatory leads, to the performance measures project in the identification of performance indicators for a subset of regulations/instruments.<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Will get a list from Réjean L.</li></ul></li><li>Regions are expected to contribute to the performance measurement project by ensuring that appropriate fields set-up for this purpose in NEMISIS are filled (See 1.2 FA &amp; 1.3 FHR) Regions are expected to manage and report on the use of assigned financial and human resources and report on program performance and delivery of work plans.</li></ul>	Once the project is better defined, Region will provide resources and amend inspection plans in order to support the project and generate the required data. Regional NEMISIS lead will ensure that data is entered properly as per the project requirements and the new NEMISIS guideline document. Regions will manage and report on the use of assigned financial and human resources and report on program performance and delivery of work plan.	4.5	0	10		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
	<b>Immediate Outcomes:</b> The public, regulatees, and stakeholders are well informed of EC enforcement program priorities, activities and results.	9. Communications	<b>26.</b> Regions are expected to submit to HQ complete, up-to-date and timely weekly reports on non routine operations (inspections, investigations) and key intel activities. In 2009-2010, EED-NCR will conduct a number of file reviews and will identify cases that are still outstanding. Once these cases are identified, regions will be informed of the problems via distribution of analysis reports so that the problems can be corrected. <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions are expected to flag in their weekly reports to HQ and any file for which they anticipate media attention. (as soon as the potential media sensitivity is identified in the region).</li><li>On R v R cases, regions are expected to provide a summary of the file using the template provided by HQ from the onset.</li><li>On any cases requiring an enforcement measure that requires media material such as media lines or other communication document, the regions are expected to provide a complete, high quality document within the specified timeframe.</li></ul>	<p>Non-routine weekly reports will be submitted to HQ every Wednesday by noon Pacific time. Files with anticipated media attention will be flagged in the weekly report.</p> <p>Media lines will be provided for files with enforcement measures requiring media material.</p>	0.2	0	0	Weekly reports are submitted to HQ in a timely manner, are up-to-date and complete.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
<b>Total Resources Needs:</b>					43	43	174			

<sup>1</sup> The performance indicators/commitments outlined in this document are the starting point from which the regional offices and the headquarters engage to discuss the approach for achieving expectations in the core business lines and national priority areas for the fiscal year.

<sup>2</sup> The inspection targets represent national program expectations. However, flexibility is a key component on the national EED planning process with the understanding that, while regions are expected to support national program priorities, there may very real, credible reasons for not meeting those targets (due to unforeseen incidents which would automatically take priorities (e.g., spills with significant impacts on the environment and/or human health). Also, it is understood that regions will not contribute the same level of resources on all instruments as there are many factors that influence the level of regional participation (e.g., presence of a regulated sector in a region, regional resources, high priority regional initiatives).

MODÈLE DE PLAN DE TRAVAIL NATIONAL POUR LA DALE  
(Global)  
Priorités de la DGAL pour 2009-2010

Résultats intermédiaires	Résultats immédiats	Fonctions opérationnelles et objectifs nationaux	Cibles, engagements et conseils aux régions <sup>1</sup>	Intervention régionale	Ressources			Mesures	Suivi des progrès (Mi-exercice)	Suivi des progrès (Fin de l'exercice)
					ETP	HS	F et E			
<p><b>1. Résultats intermédiaires :</b></p> <p>Les activités d'application de la loi aident à prévenir les dommages a l'environnement et amènent les contrevenants à la conformité des lois et règlements administres par EC contribuant ainsi a l'apporte de bénéfices environnementaux mesurables pour l'environnement naturel et sa biodiversité.</p>	<p><b>Résultat immédiat :</b></p> <p>Les rejets non conformes de substances dangereuses dans l'environnement sont évités ou minimises.</p>	<p>1. Opérations d'application des lois (<i>Loi sur les pêches</i> (LP), <i>Loi canadienne sur la protection de l'environnement</i> (LCPE))</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Renseignement</li><li>• Inspections</li><li>• Enquêtes</li></ul> <p><b>Stratégie nationale :</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Compte tenu du nombre croissant d'instruments législatifs et réglementaires et de la complexité grandissante des exigences législatives et réglementaires, mettre en œuvre une méthode de ciblage stratégique pour les inspections et les enquêtes, visant à offrir de plus grands avantages pour l'environnement, tout en déployant autant ou moins d'efforts.</li></ul>	<p>1. Les régions devront indiquer quels efforts elles déploieront pendant l'exercice 2009-2010 pour s'assurer que les Renseignements et les Enquêtes puissent mettre en œuvre les priorités nationales.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Des modifications à la structure organisationnelle existante vont permettre de mieux intégrer les enquêteurs à des équipes de travail et ainsi améliorer la collaboration inspections - enquêtes</li><li>• La planification annuelle a été ajustée pour permettre de porter assistance aux renseignements, comme par exemple dans le cas des règlements sur les émissions des moteurs</li><li>• La capacité des enquêtes sera accru en engageant des enquêteurs expérimentés et en permettant à certains inspecteurs de participer activement à des enquêtes. Des postes seront comblés au bureau du district de Québec et à Montréal</li><li>• Les enquêteurs seront encouragés à améliorer leurs capacités en suivant une formation spécialisée.</li></ul>	15	20	180	À déterminer par les régions, selon leur intervention.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>
			<p>2. Les cibles visées par les instruments seront indiquées dans des chiffriers à part. Pour les instruments prioritaires, on attend que les régions assurent une présence raisonnable dans chaque secteur prioritaire identifié. La DALE-RCN présentera régulièrement des rapports aux régions sur le niveau d'écart par rapport aux prévisions. À la fin de l'exercice, les régions fourniront un document résumant tout écart par rapport au plan de départ<sup>2</sup>.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Le plan de travail de la région du Québec concentre ses efforts vers les priorités</li><li>• Près de la moitié du temps d'inspection disponible est consacré aux priorités</li></ul>	20.78	100	200	Pourcentage des cibles atteintes. Si les régions ne peuvent atteindre les cibles fixées au début de l'exercice, elles devront expliquer pourquoi elles n'y sont pas parvenues.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>
<p><b>2. Résultats intermédiaires :</b></p> <p>Les partenaires stratégiques sont mis à contribution pour accroître la portée du Programme d'application de la loi et pour augmenter les chances d'atteindre les objectifs prioritaires en matière d'environnement.</p>	<p><b>Résultats immédiats :</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Les priorités en matière d'application de la loi d'EC sont mieux concrétisées par l'élaboration et la mise en oeuvre d'ententes de partenariat avec des partenaires nationaux et internationaux importants.</li><li>• Les partenariats sont favorisés par l'élaboration de stratégies et de plans de mise à contribution.</li></ul>	<p>2. Partenariats nationaux</p>	<p>3. Les régions devront indiquer leurs principaux partenaires, les réunions importantes prévues et les nouvelles initiatives, comme les opérations éclair, la formation, l'envoi d'AA à l'ASFC pour sensibiliser les agents à nos besoins, l'établissement de nouveaux partenariats et la mise à jour les ententes actuelles.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Mise en oeuvre de l'Entente des Pâtes et papiers</li><li>• Participation à des réunions</li><li>• Établissement de partenariats avec les directions régionales du MDDEP</li><li>• Réponses aux transferts de Pêches et Océans conformément au MOU</li></ul>	3.5	20	25		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>
			<p>4. Chaque région devra faire le suivi des renvois reçus de l'ASFC selon les mémos D et fournir des rapports sur les résultats de ces renvois à la DALE-RCN.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• On prévoit une quarantaine d'appels des douanes</li><li>• Tous les dossiers issus d'appels de l'ASFC sont entrés dans NEMISIS et gérés par l'officier de garde.</li><li>• Tous les dossiers non-réguliers sont suivis via le rapport d'activités hebdo.</li></ul>	1	10	25		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>
Résultats intermédiaires	Résultats immédiats	Fonctions opérationnelles et objectifs nationaux	Cibles, engagements et conseils aux régions <sup>1</sup>	Intervention régionale	Ressources			Mesures	Suivi des progrès (Mi-exercice)	Suivi des progrès (Fin de l'exercice)
					ETP	HS	F et E (k)			



<div>2. Résultats intermédiaires :</div> <div>Les partenaires stratégiques sont mis à contribution pour accroître la portée du Programme d'application de la loi et pour augmenter les chances d'atteindre les objectifs prioritaires en matière d'environnement</div>	<div>Résultats immédiats :</div> <div><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Les priorités en matière d'application de la loi d'EC sont mieux concrétisées par l'élaboration et la mise en oeuvre d'ententes de partenariat avec des partenaires nationaux et internationaux importants.</li><li>Les partenariats sont favorisés par l'élaboration de stratégies et de plans de mise à contribution.</li></ul></div>	2. Partenariats nationaux	5. Chaque région doit rencontrer ses homologues du MPO deux fois par année, pour faire le point sur les activités d'application de la loi, s'entendre sur les opérations conjointes, étudier les progrès dans la mise en œuvre de l'entente et apporter les modifications nécessaires.	• Planifier et organiser deux rencontres avec MPO	0.5		15		<div>•</div> <div>•</div>	<div>•</div> <div>•</div>	
			6. Élaborer et mettre en œuvre un protocole régional pour la gestion en tout temps d'une ligne d'urgence en cas de déversement à des fins d'application de la loi (renvois de l'ASFC et avis d'urgence), et effectuer le suivi approprié.	• Améliorer le système 24-7 de Montréal et établir le nouveau système pour les deux nouveaux districts • Nous prévoyons 3 lignes de réponse 24/7 pour couvrir le territoire • Le protocole avec les urgences est en continu nous avons des rencontres mensuelles.	1	75	45	Les protocoles régionaux sont uniformes et mis en œuvre à l'échelle nationale.	<div>•</div> <div>•</div>	<div>•</div> <div>•</div>	
			7. Renouvellement et mise en œuvre de la cinquième entente avec le gouvernement du Québec concernant les pâtes et papiers et les mines de métaux.	• Mise en oeuvre et paiement du développement et des arrérages	1		475		<div>•</div> <div>•</div>	<div>•</div> <div>•</div>	
		3. Partenariats internationaux	8. Les régions doivent appuyer le siège sociale dans les projets internationaux de la DALE : 1) Projet de la CCE : les régions doivent examiner et évaluer la nécessité d'effectuer un suivi des renvois provenant de l'USEPA ou du Mexique qui sont acheminés aux régions par le bureau de l'AC et doivent conseiller l'AC concernant les décisions et les résultats liés aux cas. Les régions doivent également effectuer un suivi de toutes les démarches des Renseignements liées à ce projet. 2) La région Pacifique et Yukon participera aux téléconférences sur l'initiative de formation des agents à la frontière de la CCE en matière de déchets dangereux, continuera d'offrir son point de vue et fera le point régulièrement, à l'intention de la DALE-RCN, sur les progrès et les répercussions visant la DALE.	• Les renvois des renseignements seront dûment traités	1				<div>•</div> <div>•</div>	<div>•</div> <div>•</div>	
			9. Pour pousser plus loin la collaboration établie entre l'USEPA et l'AC, les régions doivent aviser l'AC de toute interaction avec un organisme international, y compris l'USEPA, concernant des cas précis.	• Si tel est le cas, l'AC sera avisé en temps opportun				L'AC est avisée à l'avance.	<div>•</div> <div>•</div>	<div>•</div> <div>•</div>	
Résultats intermédiaires	Résultats immédiats	Fonctions opérationnelles et objectifs nationaux	Cibles, engagements et conseils aux régions <sup>1</sup>	Intervention régionale	Ressources			Mesures	Suivi des progrès (Mi-exercice)	Suivi des progrès (Fin de l'exercice)	
					ETP	HS	F et E				
<div>2. Résultats intermédiaires :</div> <div>Les partenaires stratégiques sont mis à contribution pour accroître la portée du Programme d'application de la loi et pour augmenter les chances d'atteindre les objectifs prioritaires en matière d'environnement</div>	<div>Résultats immédiats :</div> <div><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Les priorités en matière d'application de la loi d'EC sont mieux concrétisées par l'élaboration et la mise en oeuvre d'ententes de partenariat avec des partenaires nationaux et internationaux importants.</li><li>Les partenariats sont favorisés par l'élaboration de stratégies et de plans de mise à contribution.</li></ul></div>	3. Partenariats internationaux	10. Les régions doivent également obtenir l'approbation de l'AC avant de communiquer avec un organisme international, y compris l'USEPA, concernant des questions qui ne sont pas liées à une opération précise.	• Si tel est le cas, l'AC sera avisé en temps opportun				L'approbation de l'AC est demandée.	<div>•</div> <div>•</div>	<div>•</div> <div>•</div>	
			Engagements internationaux de la DALE :  • Projet de la CCE sur les importations nord-américaines : travailler en collaboration avec l'USEPA et la PROFEPA pour le partage de données sur certaines marchandises et l'adoption concertée de mesures visant les cibles communes.  • Initiative du PSP : La DALE-RCN a mis en avant un projet sur le thème de l'environnement. Ce dernier serait semblable au projet de la CCE.	AC : 11. Suivre les discussions sur les conventions et les ententes internationales et cerner toute question ou répercussion entourant l'application de la loi.	<div>•</div> <div>•</div> <div>•</div> <div>•</div>				Des ressources sont dédiées à ces examens à l'AC et des rapports périodiques sont fournis au groupe de gestion de la DALE.	<div>•</div> <div>•</div>	<div>•</div> <div>•</div>
			• Poursuivre la contribution au projet de formation des agents à la frontière du CCE. La région Pacifique et Yukon représentera la DALE.	12. Continuer de collaborer activement avec Interpol et l'INECE.	<div>•</div> <div>•</div> <div>•</div> <div>•</div>					<div>•</div> <div>•</div>	<div>•</div> <div>•</div>



<b>3. Résultats intermédiaires :</b>  Une solide organisation nationale d'application de la loi donne des résultats et offre un environnement de travail stimulant.	<b>Résultat immédiat :</b> L'élaboration des lois, des règlements et des politiques est soutenue par les analyses et les conseils du Programme d'application de la loi.	4. Élaboration de règlements et soutien connexe	13. Les régions doivent mettre en œuvre les normes de service nationales pour les enquêtes, surveiller le respect de ces normes et fournir des rapports de rendement à l'AC.	• Les politiques seront appliquées	0.5			Les normes sont respectées. Si, en raison de circonstances exceptionnelles, elle ne le sont pas, les régions doivent expliquer la situation.	• •	• •
			14. La DSAL s'attend à ce que les examens réglementaires, les stratégies en matière de conformité et les plans d'application de la loi suivants soient lancés en 2009-2010 : • <b>PGPC</b> (comprend les produits suivants) : - <b>Toluène diisocyanate (TDI)</b> : examen réglementaire et stratégie de conformité; - <b>Siloxane</b> : examen réglementaire et stratégie de conformité; - <b>CHPD</b> : examen réglementaire et stratégie de conformité; - <b>Trois règlements sur les COV</b> : examen réglementaire et deux plans d'application de la loi; - <b>Alcanes chlorés</b> : examen réglementaire et stratégie de conformité. • <b>Chrome</b> : plan d'application de la loi. • <b>Règlements en matière de GES</b> : examen réglementaire de 300 pages et stratégie de conformité. • <b>Règlements proposés en matière d'EEUM</b> : examen réglementaire et stratégie de conformité. • <b>Raffineries de pétrole</b> : règlements sur les effluents et examen éventuel en 2009-2010. • <b>Produits contenant du mercure</b> : examen réglementaire et stratégie de conformité. • <b>Règlements en matière de PCB</b> : plan d'application de la loi. • <b>Mercury P2</b> : déchets d'amalgame dentaire, stratégie de conformité. • <b>Réservoirs de stockage</b> : plan d'application de la loi. • <b>Stratégie concernant les carburants</b> : mise à jour et plan d'application de la loi. • <b>Règlements concernant les émissions des moteurs</b> : examen réglementaire éventuel et mise à jour de la stratégie de conformité. • <b>REIDDMRD</b> : mise à jour de la stratégie de conformité.	• La région contribue activement le temps de ses agents expérimentés aux différents groupes de travail	1.2		30	Des commentaires sont fournis rapidement à la DSAL.	• •	• •
			15. Les régions et le bureau de l'AC doivent contribuer à l'étude de force exécutoire menée par John Meany (qui doit être terminée en juin 2009).	• une contribution sera accordée	0.2			Des commentaires sont fournis rapidement à la DSAL.	• •	• •
Résultats intermédiaires	Résultats immédiats	Fonctions opérationnelles et objectifs nationaux	Cibles, engagements et conseils aux régions <sup>1</sup>	Intervention régionale	Ressources			Mesures	Suivi des progrès (Mi-exercice)	Suivi des progrès (Fin de l'exercice)
					ETP	HS	F et E (k)			
	<b>Résultat immédiat :</b>  Les lois et les règlements sont mis en application de manière uniforme, équitable et prévisible au sein de l'organisation, selon les politiques, les procédures et les processus établis.	5. Élaboration de politiques et procédures opérationnelles  <b>Objectif national de la DALE :</b> • Prise de décisions claires et uniformes concernant les programmes et les activités.  • Élaboration et mise en œuvre de la stratégie de conformité nationale en matière d'EEUM.	16. Dans cette section, les régions doivent démontrer quels processus sont en place, ou seront mis en place, pour surveiller l'application de politiques et de procédures nationales et pour informer la DALE-RCN de toute lacune dans les politiques et les procédures nationales.	• Responsabilisation des chefs d'équipes et gestionnaires de districts - au moins trois rencontres individuelles avec les agents • Nous avons 5 gestionnaires de districts avec 10 employés chaque x trois rencontres pendant l'année, plus les réunions de sections, l'évaluation de rendement etc.	3			Les politiques et les procédures nationales sont suivies.	• •	• •
			17. Dans ce modèle de plan de travail, les régions devront fournir une liste des procédures régionales, des POS, des listes de vérification d'inspection, des analyses de risques liés à une tâche et d'autres documents semblables dont l'élaboration est prévue pendant l'exercice 2009-2010.	• la région ne prévoit pas de développements cette année				Les listes accompagnent le plan de travail.	• •	• •
			18. Les régions doivent contribuer à l'élaboration de politiques nationales menée par la DSAL. Les éléments suivants sont susceptibles de requérir une contribution régionale en 2009-2010 (obtenir la liste de la DSAL) : • Première version prête en avril 2009; • D'avril à septembre 2009 : examen (comité composé de représentants régionaux); • De septembre 2009 à septembre 2010 (la liste des membres du comité sera fournie par Jacques).	• des agents expérimentés contribuent à ce projet	0.5	30	2	Les commentaires sont fournis en un temps réelle.	• •	• •
			<b>Formation :</b> 19. Avant de donner une formation, les régions doivent fournir de l'information (lieu, durée, nom de la personne qui offrira la formation, justification du plan de cours, coût							

<div>3. Résultats intermédiaires :</div> <div>Une solide organisation nationale d'application de la loi donne des résultats et offre un environnement de travail stimulant.</div>	<div>Résultat immédiat : Le personnel de la DGAL est embauché, formé et habilité de façon à remplir efficacement ses fonctions.</div>	6. Ressources humaines	<div>et toute autre information disponible) la concernant si elles prévoient l'offrir aux AA en région (cours ni menés ni coordonnés par la DFA). Les régions doivent également fournir des rapports sur cette formation au milieu et à la fin de l'exercice. Ces rapports seront transmis à la DFA par la DALE-RCN pour l'aider dans la planification et la production de rapports.</div> <div>20. Les régions doivent déterminer les ressources dédiées au soutien de la DFA dans l'élaboration et la tenue de formation visant les AA. La DFA prévoit préparer les cours suivants en 2009-2010 :<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Sensibilisation en matière de BPC :<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Avril à août : besoin de deux AA pour aider à préparer le matériel.</li><li>Date prévue de la formation : septembre 2009.</li></ul></li><li>Cours sur les réservoirs de stockage :<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Les AA qui contribueront à la préparation sont déjà choisis (J. Miller et G. Bruce).</li><li>Date prévue de la formation : août 2009.</li></ul></li><li>Sensibilisation en matière de chrome :<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Mars 2008 à mai 2009 : besoin de deux AA pour aider à préparer le matériel.</li><li>Date prévue de la formation : juillet 2009.</li></ul></li><li>RRSN (cours sur l'échantillonnage en biotechnologie) :<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Matériel de cours prêt.</li><li>La DFA a besoin de un AA par région pour former les formateurs.</li><li>Conférence sur la formation des formateurs : probablement en août 2009.</li></ul></li><li>Formation de l'USEPA concernant les véhicules routiers et hors route.<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Date prévue de la formation : avril 2009</li></ul></li><li>Règlement sur les solvants de dégraissage :<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Date de la formation : mi-mai 2009.</li></ul></li><li>Mise à jour de la <i>Loi sur les pêches</i> dans le module de formation en ligne :<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>La DFA a besoin de deux AA pour aider à l'examen et à la préparation.</li><li>Aucun échéancier établi (printemps ou automne 2009).</li></ul></li><li>Programmes d'enquêtes avancés :<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>La DFA élabore un répertoire des cours existants.</li><li>Les AA responsables de la consultation ont déjà été choisis.</li></ul></li><li>Formation au NEMISIS :<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Depuis décembre 2009, il revient à la DGAL d'offrir cette formation aux AA.</li><li>La DFA a besoin de deux AA pour aider à la préparation du matériel (avril à décembre 2009).</li></ul></li><li>FBAL.</li><li>Premiers soins (réanimation cardio-respiratoire).</li><li>Autre formation.</li></ul></div>	<div>• La région contribue activement le temps de ses agents expérimentés aux différents groupes de travail</div>	1		15	<div>• L'AC est informée à l'avance de la formation offerte aux AA en région.</div> <div>• Des ressources sont définies et des contributions sont apportées.</div>	•	•
Résultats intermédiaires	Résultats immédiats	Fonctions opérationnelles et objectifs nationaux	Cibles, engagements et conseils aux régions <sup>1</sup>	Intervention régionale	Ressources			Mesures	Suivi des progrès (Mi-exercice)	Suivi des progrès (Fin de l'exercice)
					ETP	HS	F et E (k)			
<div>3. Résultats intermédiaires :</div>	<div>Résultats immédiats : Le personnel de la DGAL est embauché, formé et habilité de façon à remplir efficacement ses fonctions.</div>	6. Ressources humaines	<div><u>Dotation :</u> 21. Déterminer les besoins régionaux en dotation pour 2009-2010 (postes, niveaux, échéanciers).</div>	<div>• Participation au salon de l'emploi de Montréal - Possibilité de 2 postes GT-03 (étudiant)</div>	0.1			Les objectifs en matière de dotation sont atteints.	•	•
			<div><u>Planification de la relève :</u> 22. Les régions doivent cerner les problèmes éventuels et fournir un plan d'action au directeur national en 2009-2010.</div>	<div>• Contribue a la RH de la branche</div>	0.1			Les problèmes éventuels sont cernés et les plans d'action sont mis en œuvre avec succès.	•	•
			<div><u>Gestion des ressources humaines basée sur les compétences :</u> • 23. Les régions doivent contribuer à l'initiative de la DSAL concernant l'élaboration et la mise en œuvre d'un système de gestion des ressources humaines basée sur les compétences.</div>	<div>• L'évaluation par compétences est déjà en place au Québec et se continue</div>	0.2				•	•
	<div>3. Résultats intermédiaires :</div>	<div>Objectif national :<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Mettre en œuvre une stratégie d'intervention comme suite à la recommandation du BVG concernant l'examen de la LP (2009) : EC doit s'assurer que ses processus d'assurance et de contrôle de la qualité se prêtent à l'application de la</li></ul></div>								

Une solide organisation nationale d'application de la loi donne des résultats et offre un environnement de travail stimulant.

	<b>Résultat immédiat :</b> Les processus de planification, de rapport et de prise de décisions reposent sur des renseignements et des analyses fiables.	contrôle de la qualité en matière d'application de la loi suffisent à démontrer que les mesures prises sont conformes à la Politique d'observation et d'application de la loi. Réponse proposée par la direction :  -Environnement Canada accepte cette recommandation. La DGLA poursuit l'élaboration du cadre de travail, la normalisation des processus et la détermination des responsabilités en vue d'améliorer l'assurance et le contrôle de la qualité. Plus précisément, le cadre de travail sur l'assurance et le contrôle de la qualité sera élaboré et mis en œuvre pendant les exercices de 2009-2010 et de 2010-2011.  Parallèlement, la DGLA met sur pied un groupe chargé de l'assurance de la qualité ainsi qu'un groupe de travail pour examiner la qualité des données sur l'application de la loi, et offrir le soutien nécessaire. Leurs responsabilités communes comprennent l'élaboration de nouvelles procédures de saisie de données, la mise en œuvre d'un processus systématique de surveillance et de contrôle de la qualité des données auquel participeront les équipes de gestion régionales et l'administration centrale, la tenue d'exams périodiques de la qualité des dossiers d'application de la loi et la formation des agents d'application de la loi (AA).  • En 2009-2010, la DGLA établira et mettra en œuvre un processus systématique de surveillance et de contrôle de la qualité des données auquel participeront les équipes de gestion régionales et	<b>Systèmes d'information :</b> <b>24.</b> Indiquez comment vous vous assurerez que tous les dossiers opérationnels sont enregistrés dans le NEMISIS conformément aux lignes directrices pertinentes.	• Responsabilisation des chefs d'équipes et gestionnaires de districts Rencontres individuelles et supervision étroite	0.4			Les normes concernant le NEMISIS sont respectées.	• •	• •
--	--	--	--	---	-----	--	--	---	--------	--------

Résultats intermédiaires	Résultats immédiats	Fonctions opérationnelles et objectifs nationaux	Cibles, engagements et conseils aux régions <sup>1</sup>	Intervention régionale	Ressources			Mesures	Suivi des progrès (Mi-exercice)	Suivi des progrès (Fin de l'exercice)
					ETP	HS	F et E (k)			
<b>3. Résultats intermédiaires :</b>  Une solide organisation nationale d'application de la loi donne des résultats et offre un environnement de travail stimulant.	<b>Résultat immédiat :</b> Les processus de planification, de rapport et de prise de décisions reposent sur des renseignements et des analyses fiables.	8. Administration du programme	<b>25. Planification et gestion du rendement :</b>  • Les régions (responsables de la réglementation) doivent contribuer au projet des mesures de rendement. Elles doivent définir les indicateurs de rendement pour un sous-ensemble de règlements et d'instruments. • Réjean L. fournira une liste.  • Les régions doivent contribuer au projet des mesures de rendement en s'assurant que les champs créés à cette fin dans le NEMISIS sont remplis (voir l'onglet 1.2 sur la LP et l'onglet 1.3 sur le RFH).	• Les agents vont contribuer à cette initiative	0.4				• •	• •
	<b>Résultat immédiat :</b> Le public, les organisations réglementées et les autres parties concernées sont bien informés des priorités, des activités et des résultats du Programme d'application de la loi d'EC.	9. Communications	<b>26.</b> Les régions doivent fournir à l'AC des rapports hebdomadaires à jour et complets sur les opérations ponctuelles (inspections, enquêtes) et sur les activités de collecte de renseignements. En 2009-2010, la DALE-RCN examinera un certain nombre de dossiers et cernera les cas non résolus. Les régions seront ensuite informées de ces cas (rapport d'analyse) et devront voir à ce que ces problèmes soient réglés.  • Les régions doivent souligner dans leur rapport hebdomadaire à l'AC tout dossier susceptible d'attirer l'attention des médias (dès qu'on perçoit cet intérêt dans la région).  • Pour les cas qui opposent un ministère de la fonction publique, les régions doivent fournir un résumé du dossier selon le modèle déjà fourni par l'AC.  • Pour les cas qui requièrent une mesure d'application de la loi exigeant du matériel destiné aux médias, comme les infocapsules ou d'autres documents de communication, les régions doivent fournir un document complet et d'excellente qualité dans le délai prévu.	• Les rapports hebdomadaires seront complets et actuels	0.4			Des rapports hebdomadaires complets et à jour sont fournis en temps opportun à l'AC.	• •	• •
Total des besoins en ressources :					51.78	255	1012			

<sup>1</sup> Les indicateurs et les engagements en matière de rendement définis dans ce document sont le point de départ des discussions entre les bureaux régionaux et l'administration centrale concernant la méthode employée pour atteindre les objectifs liés aux activités essentielles et aux éléments prioritaires à l'échelle nationale pour l'exercice.

<sup>2</sup> Les objectifs en matière d'inspection représentent les attentes pour le programme national. Cependant, la souplesse est essentielle au processus de planification national de la DALE. En effet, même si les régions doivent appuyer les priorités du programme national, elles peuvent avoir de bonnes raisons pour ne pas atteindre ces objectifs (par exemple, de graves incidents imprévus, comme un déversement ayant des répercussions importantes sur l'environnement ou sur la santé des personnes). De plus, il est convenu que les régions ne fourniront pas toutes la même quantité de ressources pour tous les instruments, puisque de nombreux facteurs peuvent influencer sur leur participation (par exemple, la présence d'une industrie réglementée, les ressources disponibles et les initiatives régionales prioritaires).

OPERATIONS  
1.1 - EIHWHRM

NCR

National Objectives	National Approach	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions	Regional Response	Resources			Comments	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
				FTE	OT	O & M			
<p>• Enforcement of the EIHWHRMR is required to ensure that Canada meets its legally binding international obligations under the Basel Convention, the OECD Council Decision 2001 and the Canada/US agreement</p> <p>• Enforcement of the EIHWHRMR will be done by developing well defined national priorities and targets focusing on the most urgent risks.</p>	<p>• Identification and follow-up of targets identified by Intel and Program associated with the illegal export of hazardous wastes</p>	<p>• Inspections and Investigations will follow-up on ALL referrals and targets generated by Intelligence where there are reasonable grounds to suspect or believe that a violation has occurred or is occurring. Regions will feed back information to Regional Intel, and the HQ office via the weekly reports.</p>	<p>• Maintain ledgers on Intel referrals, follow up on operational files, and flag issues to regions (Inspections Manager and PM-04 0.15; Intel PM-04: 0.05)</p> <p>•</p>	0.20					<p>•</p> <p>•</p>
		<p>• Regions will continue to implement the national protocol and PYR process for transferring files between regions based upon exporter location.</p>	N/A				<p>•</p> <p>•</p>		<p>•</p> <p>•</p>
		<p>• Inspections Managers, in consultation with HQ, will develop criteria to identify files that will have to be included in the Inspection weekly report</p>	<p>Refine the Inspection weekly template during Managers face to face/telecon (Inspection Manager: 0.05)</p>	0.05			<p>•</p>		
	<p>1. Permitting system and illegal smuggling Strategic Intel analysis Lead: NCR Timeline: 09-10 Final report: end of March 2010</p>	<p>• Regional Intel will be expected to contribute to this analysis.</p>	<p>•(Intell pm5 : 0,4, pm4Nic : 0,1)</p>	0.5			<p>•</p> <p>•</p>		<p>•</p> <p>•</p>
	<p>2. Importation of sawdust waste Operation Intel project will lead to potential inspection and investigation targets Lead: PNR Timeline: TBD (09-10 targets will have to be followed-up on)</p>	<p>• Inspections are expected to start groundwork to address OHS and other operational issues related to sawdust (ie: THA).</p> <p>• Regional Intel will be expected to contribute to this analysis.</p> <p>• Inspections and Investigations will follow-up on ALL referrals and targets generated by Intelligence, where there are reasonable grounds to suspect or believe that a violation has occurred or is occurring and feed back information to Regional Intel, and the HQ office via the weekly reports.</p> <p>• Regional Intel will be expected to contribute to this intel analysis.</p>	<p>• Work with Regional Inspection Managers to develop THA and other supporting guides/procedures (Inspections PM-05: 0.1)</p> <p>• Support Regional Intel, provide input, review and provide recommendations on report (Intel PM-04: 0.05)</p>	0.15			<p>•</p>		<p>•</p> <p>•</p>
	<p>3. CRT Waste from US Operational Intel project which will lead to potential inspection and investigation targets Lead: QC Timeline: TBD (09-10 targets will have to be followed-up on)</p>	<p>• Inspections and Investigations will follow-up on ALL referrals and targets generated by Intelligence, where there are reasonable grounds to suspect or believe that a violation has occurred or is occurring and feed back information to Regional Intelligence, and the HQ office via the weekly reports.</p> <p>• Regional Intel will be expected to contribute to this intel analysis. All Intel-generated and Investigations files will be added and regularly updated on the weekly reports to HQ.</p>	<p>• Support Regional Intel, provide input, review and provide recommendations on report (Intel PM-04: 0.1)</p> <p>• Inspection activities related to this project are included in the ledger above</p>	0.10			<p>•</p>		<p>•</p> <p>•</p>

OPERATIONS  
1.1 - EIHWHRM

NCR Cnt.

National Objectives	National Approach	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions	Regional Response	Resources			Comments	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
				FTE	OT	O & M			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Enforcement of the EIHWHRMR is required to ensure that Canada meets its legally binding international obligations under the Basel Convention, the OECD Council Decision 2001 and the Canada/US agreement</li><li>Enforcement of the EIHWHRMR will be done by developing well defined national priorities and targets focusing on the most urgent risks.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Maintain collaboration with Transport Canada and CBSA. Use PYR SOP for joint inspections with TC and CBSA as a model.</li><li>Establish linkages with HKEPD environmental authorities to assist in preventing illegal imports at the source and facilitate response to illegal exports.</li><li>Conduct collateral inspections (e.g. PCB Regulations, where PCB wastes may be associated with waste materials.)</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>All regions will respond to referrals from CBSA and foreign governments (HKEPD) regarding potential illegal exports of hazardous waste. Refer to Overarching Section, Activity #4 (under column entitled “Targets / Commitments, Guidance to Regions)</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>See activity #4 under Overarching</li></ul>				<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Each region will assess the need for a regional enforcement strategy and SOP with Transport Canada and CBSA to facilitate inspections of hazardous recyclables prior to shipment to the Port of Vancouver. Links to Domestic Partnerships (Business Function 2).</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Collect from regions copies of SOPs, agreements in existence with CBSA and/or TC and review for consistency (Inspections to add to telecon : 0.1)</li></ul>	0.1			Note: PYR will share their SOP for joint inspections with TC and CBSA and report implementation challenges and issues to regions and HQ.		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
	Develop a national plan with Program to share information related to the regulated community with a feedback loop to Intelligence	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions to identify the opportunity to conduct collateral inspections for PCB and other Regulations and integrate into their inspection plan</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Monitor inspection reports (covered under Overarching Activity 2)</li></ul>				<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>EED-NCR to develop and coordinate the implementation of a feedback loop with Program. This referral process will be included in the Enforcement Plan.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Review the draft protocol (Ed Wells Jr) and adjust as necessary following consultations with regions and Program (Inspections PM-05 - covered under Overarching Activity #14))</li></ul>				<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Implement the enforcement recommendations from the Joint WMRD/EPOD/ EED Task force.</li></ul>			<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Participate in the Joint Priority Project WG (Inspections, Intel: covered under Activity #14 - Overarching)</li></ul>				<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
Total Resources Needs:				1.1	0	0			

OPERATIONS  
1.1 - EIHWHRM

ATLANTIC

National Objectives	National Approach	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions	Regional Response	Resources			Comments	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
				FTE	QT	O & M			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Enforcement of the EIHWHRMR is required to ensure that Canada meets its legally binding international obligations under the Basel Convention, the OECD Council Decision 2001 and the Canada/US agreement</li><li>Enforcement of the EIHWHRMR will be done by developing well defined national priorities and targets focusing on the most urgent risks.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Identification and follow-up of targets identified by Intel and Program associated with the illegal export of hazardous wastes</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Inspections and Investigations will follow-up on ALL referrals and targets generated by Intelligence where there are reasonable grounds to suspect or believe that a violation has occurred or is occurring. Regions will feed back information to Regional Intel, and the HQ office via the weekly reports.</li></ul>	Atlantic Region will follow up on Intel referrals	0.25			<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions will continue to implement the national protocol and PYR process for transferring files between regions based upon exporter location.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>				<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Operations Managers, in consultation with HQ, will develop criteria to identify files that will have to be included in the Inspection weekly report.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>						
	1. Permitting system and illegal smuggling Strategic Intel analysis Lead: NCR Timeline: 09-10 Final report: end of March 2010	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regional Intel will be expected to contribute to this analysis.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>				<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
	2. Importation of sawdust waste Operation Intel project will lead to potential inspection and investigation targets Lead: PNR Timeline: TBD (09-10 targets will have to be followed-up on)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Inspections are expected to start groundwork to address OHS and other operational issues related to sawdust (ie: THA).</li><li>Regional Intel will be expected to contribute to this analysis.</li><li>Inspections and Investigations will follow-up on ALL referrals and targets generated by Intelligence, where there are reasonable grounds to suspect or believe that a violation has occurred or is occurring and feed back information to Regional Intel, and the HQ office via the weekly reports.</li><li>Regional Intel will be expected to contribute to this intel analysis.</li></ul>	Inspections will follow up on intel targets.				<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
	3. CRT Waste from US Operational Intel project which will lead to potential inspection and investigation targets Lead: QC Timeline: TBD (09-10 targets will have to be followed-up on)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Inspections and Investigations will follow-up on ALL referrals and targets generated by Intelligence, where there are reasonable grounds to suspect or believe that a violation has occurred or is occurring and feed back information to Regional Intelligence, and the HQ office via the weekly reports.</li><li>Regional Intel will be expected to contribute to this intel analysis. All Intel-generated and Investigations files will be added and regularly updated on the weekly reports to HQ.</li></ul>	Inspections will follow up on intel targets.				<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>

OPERATIONS  
1.1 - EIHWHRM

ATLANTIC Cnt.

National Objectives	National Approach	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions	Regional Response	Resources			Comments	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
				FTE	OT	O & M			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Enforcement of the EIHWHRMR is required to ensure that Canada meets its legally binding international obligations under the Basel Convention, the OECD Council Decision 2001 and the Canada/US agreement</li><li>Enforcement of the EIHWHRMR will be done by developing well defined national priorities and targets focusing on the most urgent risks.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Maintain collaboration with Transport Canada and CBSA. Use PYR SOP for joint inspections with TC and CBSA as a model.</li><li>Establish linkages with HKEPD environmental authorities to assist in preventing illegal imports at the source and facilitate response to illegal exports.</li><li>Conduct collateral inspections (e.g. PCB Regulations, where PCB wastes may be associated with waste materials.)</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>All regions will respond to referrals from CBSA and foreign governments (HKEPD) regarding potential illegal exports of hazardous waste. Refer to Overarching Section, Activity #4 (under column entitled "Targets / Commitments, Guidance to Regions)</li></ul>	Inspections will follow up on intel targets, Inspections will also be conducting on-site inspections at various know regulatees and follow up on potential non-compliant regulatees referred by WMD.	1			<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Each region will assess the need for a regional enforcement strategy and SOP with Transport Canada and CBSA to facilitate inspections of hazardous recyclables prior to shipment to the Port of Vancouver. Links to Domestic Partnerships (Business Function 2).</li></ul>	In accordance with the D-Memo, EED will continue to respond to all CBSA requests. EED will also work with CBSA to identify at least 1 opportunity for a joint operation (border blitz).				Note: PYR will share their SOP for joint inspections with TC and CBSA and report implementation challenges and issues to regions and HQ.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
	Develop a national plan with Program to share information related to the regulated community with a feedback loop to Intelligence	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions to identify the opportunity to conduct collateral inspections for PCB and other Regulations and integrate into their inspection plan</li></ul>					<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>EED-NCR to develop and coordinate the implementation of a feedback loop with Program. This referral process will be included in the Enforcement Plan.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>				<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Meet our obligations under the CBSA D-Memo</li><li>Optimize use of EED resources by pursuing collateral inspections where applicable/relevant</li></ul>								
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Implement the enforcement recommendations from the Joint WMRD/EPOD/ EED Task force.</li></ul>			Inspections will follow up on intel targets.	0.1			<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
Total Resources Needs:				1.35	0	0			



OPERATIONS  
1.1 - EIHWHRM

ONTARIO

National Objectives	National Approach	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions	Regional Response	Resources			Comments	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
				FTE	OT	O & M			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Enforcement of the EIHWHRMR is required to ensure that Canada meets its legally binding international obligations under the Basel Convention, the OECD Council Decision 2001 and the Canada/US agreement</li><li>Enforcement of the EIHWHRMR will be done by developing well defined national priorities and targets focusing on the most urgent risks.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Identification and follow-up of targets identified by Intel and Program associated with the illegal export of hazardous wastes</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Inspections and Investigations will follow-up on ALL referrals and targets generated by Intelligence where there are reasonable grounds to suspect or believe that a violation has occurred or is occurring. Regions will feed back information to Regional Intel, and the HQ office via the weekly reports.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>all referrals from intelligence and programs will result in an inspection</li><li>conduct 40 on site facility inspections</li></ul>	3.75		40	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions will continue to implement the national protocol and PYR process for transferring files between regions based upon exporter location.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	0.75		15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Inspections Managers, in consultation with HQ, will develop criteria to identify files that will have to be included in the Inspection weekly report</li></ul>							
	1. Permitting system and illegal smuggling Strategic Intel analysis Lead: NCR Timeline: 09-10 Final report: end of March 2010	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regional Intel will be expected to contribute to this analysis.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>				<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
	2. Importation of sawdust waste Operation Intel project will lead to potential inspection and investigation targets Lead: PNR Timeline: TBD (09-10 targets will have to be followed-up on)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Inspections are expected to start groundwork to address OHS and other operational issues related to sawdust (ie: THA).</li><li>Regional Intel will be expected to contribute to this analysis.</li><li>Inspections and Investigations will follow-up on ALL referrals and targets generated by Intelligence, where there are reasonable grounds to suspect or believe that a violation has occurred or is occurring and feed back information to Regional Intel, and the HQ office via the weekly reports.</li><li>Regional Intel will be expected to contribute to this intel analysis.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>				<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
	3. CRT Waste from US Operational Intel project which will lead to potential inspection and investigation targets Lead: QC Timeline: TBD (09-10 targets will have to be followed-up on)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Inspections and Investigations will follow-up on ALL referrals and targets generated by Intelligence, where there are reasonable grounds to suspect or believe that a violation has occurred or is occurring and feed back information to Regional Intelligence, and the HQ office via the weekly reports.</li><li>Regional Intel will be expected to contribute to this intel analysis. All Intel-generated and Investigations files will be added and regularly updated on the weekly reports to HQ.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	1.5		60	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>

OPERATIONS  
1.1 - EIHWHRM

ONTARIO Cnt.

National Objectives	National Approach	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions	Regional Response	Resources			Comments	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
				FTE	OT	O & M			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Enforcement of the EIHWHRMR is required to ensure that Canada meets its legally binding international obligations under the Basel Convention, the OECD Council Decision 2001 and the Canada/US agreement</li><li>Enforcement of the EIHWHRMR will be done by developing well defined national priorities and targets focusing on the most urgent risks.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Maintain collaboration with Transport Canada and CBSA. Use PYR SOP for joint inspections with TC and CBSA as a model.</li><li>Establish linkages with HKEPD environmental authorities to assist in preventing illegal imports at the source and facilitate response to illegal exports.</li><li>Conduct collateral inspections (e.g. PCB Regulations, where PCB wastes may be associated with waste materials.)</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>All regions will respond to referrals from CBSA and foreign governments (HKEPD) regarding potential illegal exports of hazardous waste. Refer to Overarching Section, Activity #4 (under column entitled "Targets / Commitments, Guidance to Regions)</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>conduct monthly audits of cross border activity at the five priority crossings where 75% of national hazwaste shipments occur enhancing partnerships with CBSA</li><li>two officers at each crossing will conduct a total of five inspections resulting in 280 inspections (based on 30 per month)</li><li>Approximately 40 investigations expected to be conducted including referrals from other Regions</li></ul>	10	50	161	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
	Develop a national plan with Program to share information related to the regulated community with a feedback loop to Intelligence	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Each region will assess the need for a regional enforcement strategy and SOP with Transport Canada and CBSA to facilitate inspections of hazardous recyclables prior to shipment to the Port of Vancouver. Links to Domestic Partnerships (Business Function 2).</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Planned discussions with Transport Canada and Intelligence</li><li>Planned discussions with CBSA</li></ul>	0.05		2	Note: PYR will share their SOP for joint inspections with TC and CBSA and report implementation challenges and issues to regions and HQ.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions to identify the opportunity to conduct collateral inspections for PCB and other Regulations and integrate into their inspection plan</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>conduct collateral inspections for ODSR, NSN, Fuels, small engines</li></ul>				<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>EED-NCR to develop and coordinate the implementation of a feedback loop with Program. This referral process will be included in the Enforcement Plan.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>				<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Implement the enforcement recommendations from the Joint WMRD/EPOD/ EED Task force.</li></ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>				<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
Total Resources Needs:				16.05	50	278			

OPERATIONS  
1.1 - EIHWHRM

PNR

National Objectives	National Approach	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions	Regional Response	Resources			Comments	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
				FTE	OT	O & M			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Enforcement of the EIHWHRMR is required to ensure that Canada meets its legally binding international obligations under the Basel Convention, the OECD Council Decision 2001 and the Canada/US agreement</li><li>Enforcement of the EIHWHRMR will be done by developing well defined national priorities and targets focusing on the most urgent risks.</li></ul>	• Identification and follow-up of targets identified by Intel and Program associated with the illegal export of hazardous wastes	• Inspections and Investigations will follow-up on ALL referrals and targets generated by Intelligence where there are reasonable grounds to suspect or believe that a violation has occurred or is occurring. Regions will feed back information to Regional Intel, and the HQ office via the weekly reports.	• Inspections and Investigations will continue to follow up on all referrals and targets generated by Intelligence.	0.3		5	• •	• •	• •
		• Regions will continue to implement the national protocol and PYR process for transferring files between regions based upon exporter location.	• The Region will continue to follow the National protocol.	na	na	na	•	• •	• •
		• Inspections Managers, in consultation with HQ, will develop criteria to identify files that will have to be included in the Inspection weekly report	Managers will continue to refer non routine files.	0		0			
	1. Permitting system and illegal smuggling Strategic Intel analysis Lead: NCR Timeline: 09-10 Final report: end of March 2010	• Regional Intel will be expected to contribute to this analysis.	• Region intel will contribute to this analysis as requests are received	0.08		0	• •	• •	• •
	2. Importation of sawdust waste Operation Intel project will lead to potential inspection and investigation targets Lead: PNR Timeline: TBD (09-10 targets will have to be followed-up on)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Inspections are expected to start groundwork to address OHS and other operational issues related to sawdust (ie: THA).</li><li>Regional Intel will be expected to contribute to this analysis.</li><li>Inspections and Investigations will follow-up on ALL referrals and targets generated by Intelligence, where there are reasonable grounds to suspect or believe that a violation has occurred or is occurring and feed back information to Regional Intel, and the HQ office via the weekly reports.</li><li>Regional Intel will be expected to contribute to this intel analysis.</li></ul>	Intelligence project underway. Inspections will be conducted and sampling done as required once targets have been identified . • The use of outside contractors will be considered for sampling given the health and safety considerations of sampling this type of waste	0.2		0	• •	• •	• •
	3. CRT Waste from US Operational Intel project which will lead to potential inspection and investigation targets Lead: QC Timeline: TBD (09-10 targets will have to be followed-up on)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Inspections and Investigations will follow-up on ALL referrals and targets generated by Intelligence, where there are reasonable grounds to suspect or believe that a violation has occurred or is occurring and feed back information to Regional Intelligence, and the HQ office via the weekly reports.</li><li>Regional Intel will be expected to contribute to this intel analysis. All Intel-generated and Investigations files will be added and regularly updated on the weekly reports to HQ.</li></ul>	• Inspections and Investigations will continue to follow up on all referrals and targets generated by Intelligence. • Intel will contribute as required.	0.5		5	• •	• •	• •

OPERATIONS  
1.1 - EIHWHRM

PNR Cnt.

National Objectives	National Approach	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions	Regional Response	Resources			Comments	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
				FTE	OT	O & M			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Enforcement of the EIHWHRMR is required to ensure that Canada meets its legally binding international obligations under the Basel Convention, the OECD Council Decision 2001 and the Canada/US agreement</li><li>Enforcement of the EIHWHRMR will be done by developing well defined national priorities and targets focusing on the most urgent risks.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Maintain collaboration with Transport Canada and CBSA. Use PYR SOP for joint inspections with TC and CBSA as a model.</li><li>Establish linkages with HKEPD environmental authorities to assist in preventing illegal imports at the source and facilitate response to illegal exports.</li><li>Conduct collateral inspections (e.g. PCB Regulations, where PCB wastes may be associated with waste materials.)</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>All regions will respond to referrals from CBSA and foreign governments (HKEPD) regarding potential illegal exports of hazardous waste. Refer to Overarching Section, Activity #4 (under column entitled “Targets / Commitments, Guidance to Regions)</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>The region will continue to respond to referrals from CBSA. The referrals will be continued to be tracked within Nemisis</li></ul>	0.3		7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Each region will assess the need for a regional enforcement strategy and SOP with Transport Canada and CBSA to facilitate inspections of hazardous recyclables prior to shipment to the Port of Vancouver. Links to Domestic Partnerships (Business Function 2).</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>PNR will continue to support PYR with their needs.</li></ul>	0		0	Note: PYR will share their SOP for joint inspections with TC and CBSA and report implementation challenges and issues to regions and HQ.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
	Develop a national plan with Program to share information related to the regulated community with a feedback loop to Intelligence	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions to identify the opportunity to conduct collateral inspections for PCB and other Regulations and integrate into their inspection plan</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>PNR will continue to do this.</li></ul>				<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>EED-NCR to develop and coordinate the implementation of a feedback loop with Program. This referral process will be included in the Enforcement Plan.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>n/a</li></ul>				<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Implement the enforcement recommendations from the Joint WMRD/EPOD/ EED Task force.</li></ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>				<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
Total Resources Needs:				1.38	0	17			

OPERATIONS  
1.1 - EIHWHRM

PYR

National Objectives	National Approach	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions	Regional Response	Resources			Comments	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
				FTE	OT	O & M			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Enforcement of the EIHWHRMR is required to ensure that Canada meets its legally binding international obligations under the Basel Convention, the OECD Council Decision 2001 and the Canada/US agreement</li><li>Enforcement of the EIHWHRMR will be done by developing well defined national priorities and targets focusing on the most urgent risks.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Identification and follow-up of targets identified by Intel and Program associated with the illegal export of hazardous wastes</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Inspections and Investigations will follow-up on ALL referrals and targets generated by Intelligence where there are reasonable grounds to suspect or believe that a violation has occurred or is occurring. Regions will feed back information to Regional Intel, and the HQ office via the weekly reports.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Intel to coordinate with Inspections and Investigations in order to plan accordingly, adjust and prioritize work for enforcement officers to action priorityl intel referrals.</li><li>Report on progress of files will be communicated via NEMISIS approval/notification process</li></ul>	2.5	2	70	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions will continue to implement the national protocol and PYR process for transferring files between regions based upon exporter location.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Protocol developed by PYR will be used as SOP for referring files based on exporter location - status quo for PYR</li><li></li></ul>	0.15			<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Inspections Managers, in consultation with HQ, will develop criteria to identify files that will have to be included in the Inspection weekly report</li></ul>	Inspection Managers to coordinate with HQ Inspectionbs and address as agenda item for next face to face meeting and/or teleconference	0.15					
	1. Permitting system and illegal smuggling Strategic Intel analysis Lead: NCR Timeline: 09-10 Final report: end of March 2010	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regional Intel will be expected to contribute to this analysis.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Intel to provide progress report and refer high priority alleged non compliant files for inspections and investigations as appropriate</li></ul>	0.3			<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
	2. Importation of sawdust waste Operation Intel project will lead to potential inspection and investigation targets Lead: PNR Timeline: TBD (09-10 targets will have to be followed-up on)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Inspections are expected to start groundwork to address OHS and other operational issues related to sawdust (ie: THA).</li><li>Regional Intel will be expected to contribute to this analysis.</li><li>Inspections and Investigations will follow-up on ALL referrals and targets generated by Intelligence, where there are reasonable grounds to suspect or believe that a violation has occurred or is occurring and feed back information to Regional Intel, and the HQ office via the weekly reports.</li><li>Regional Intel will be expected to contribute to this intel analysis.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>PYR recommends for Intel to provide a national briefing package to Managers of Enforcement to fully understand the risk involved in the field operations.</li><li>Inspection Managers to develop THA in consultation with Regional OHS representatives</li></ul>	0.2		20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
	3. CRT Waste from US Operational Intel project which will lead to potential inspection and investigation targets Lead: QC Timeline: TBD (09-10 targets will have to be followed-up on)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Inspections and Investigations will follow-up on ALL referrals and targets generated by Intelligence, where there are reasonable grounds to suspect or believe that a violation has occurred or is occurring and feed back information to Regional Intelligence, and the HQ office via the weekly reports.</li><li>Regional Intel will be expected to contribute to this intel analysis. All Intel-generated and Investigations files will be added and regularly updated on the weekly reports to HQ.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Intel to coordinate with Inspections and Investigations in order to plan accordingly, adjust and prioritize work for enforcement officers to action priority intel referrals.</li><li>Report on progress of files will be communicated via NEMISIS approval/notification process</li></ul>	0.75	13	42	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>

OPERATIONS  
1.1 - EIHWHRM

PYR Cnt.

National Objectives	National Approach	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions	Regional Response	Resources			Comments	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
				FTE	OT	O & M			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Enforcement of the EIHWHRMR is required to ensure that Canada meets its legally binding international obligations under the Basel Convention, the OECD Council Decision 2001 and the Canada/US agreement</li><li>Enforcement of the EIHWHRMR will be done by developing well defined national priorities and targets focusing on the most urgent risks.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Maintain collaboration with Transport Canada and CBSA. Use PYR SOP for joint inspections with TC and CBSA as a model.</li><li>Establish linkages with HKEPD environmental authorities to assist in preventing illegal imports at the source and facilitate response to illegal exports.</li><li>Conduct collateral inspections (e.g. PCB Regulations, where PCB wastes may be associated with waste materials.)</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>All regions will respond to referrals from CBSA and foreign governments (HKEPD) regarding potential illegal exports of hazardous waste. Refer to Overarching Section, Activity #4 (under column entitled "Targets / Commitments, Guidance to Regions)</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>PYR EED has established partnerships with TC and CBSA and continually maintained excellent working relationships. Roles and responsibilities are defined in the SOP developed by PYR</li><li>PYR EED coordinates communication with the HKEPD through the International Branch of WRMD wrt responses to alleged illegal export shipments</li></ul>	2.5	7	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Each region will assess the need for a regional enforcement strategy and SOP with Transport Canada and CBSA to facilitate inspections of hazardous recyclables prior to shipment to the Port of Vancouver. Links to Domestic Partnerships (Business Function 2).</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>PYR EED has established partnerships with TC and CBSA and continually maintained an excellent working relationships. Roles and responsibilities are defined in the SOP developed by PYR</li><li></li></ul>	0.2			Note: PYR will share their SOP for joint inspections with TC and CBSA and report implementation challenges and issues to regions and HQ.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
	Develop a national plan with Program to share information related to the regulated community with a feedback loop to Intelligence	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions to identify the opportunity to conduct collateral inspections for PCB and other Regulations and integrate into their inspection plan</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>PYR EED will conduct collateral PCB inspections as needed consistent with the NEP Referral Regulation Listing</li><li></li></ul>	1		20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>EED-NCR to develop and coordinate the implementation of a feedback loop with Program. This referral process will be included in the Enforcement Plan.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>PYR, OR and HQWRMD are working on a procedure for referring files from WRMD to EB including a communications protocol with Programs</li><li>The protocol will be reviewed by the NPPWG members to ensure regional and HQ needs are met.</li></ul>	0.25			<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Implement the enforcement recommendations from the Joint WMRD/EPOD/ EED Task force.</li></ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>PYR EED and Programs are active members of the JPWG Task Force</li><li>Together with the regional programs lead develop a joint workplan for compliance promotion and enforcement for identified emerging issues on hazardous wastes</li></ul>	0.25			<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
Total Resources Needs:				8.25	22	172			

## QUÉBEC

QUÉBEC									
Objectifs nationaux	Démarche nationale	Cibles, engagements et conseils aux régions	Intervention régionale	Ressources			Commentaires	Suivi des progrès (Mi-exercice)	Suivi des progrès (Fin de l'exercice)
				ETP	HS	F et E			
• L'application du REIDDMRD est essentielle au respect par le Canada de ses obligations internationales en vertu de la Convention de Bâle, de la décision du Conseil de l'OCDE de 2001 et de l'entente entre le Canada et les États-Unis.	• Définition et suivi des cibles cernées par la Direction des services de renseignements et les responsables du Programme associées à l'exportation illégale de déchets dangereux.	• Les Inspections et les Enquêtes feront le suivi de TOUS les renvois et objectifs provenant de la Direction des services de renseignements, lorsqu'il y a un motif raisonnable de croire qu'une infraction a été ou sera commise. Les régions informeront la Direction des services de renseignements régionale et l'AC au moyen des rapports hebdomadaires.	• Prévue au plan de travail	0.7			•	•	•
		• Les régions continueront de mettre en œuvre le protocole national et le POS de la région Pacifique et Yukon pour le transfert des dossiers entre les régions selon l'emplacement de l'exportateur.	• Prévue au plan de travail	0.1			•	•	•
		• Les gestionnaires de l'inspection, en collaboration avec l'AC, proposeront des critères visant à déterminer quels dossiers seront inclus dans le rapport d'inspection hebdomadaire.							
	• L'application du REIDDMRD s'appuiera sur l'élaboration de priorités et d'objectifs nationaux bien définis, axés sur les risques les plus urgents.	1. Système de permis et contrebande Analyse stratégique par la Direction des services de renseignements Responsable : RCN Date : septembre 2010	• Le Renseignement régional devra contribuer à cette analyse.	• Prévue au plan de travail	0.5		10	•	
	2. Importation de déchets de sciure Le projet des données sur les opérations permettra de fixer des objectifs d'inspection et d'enquête Responsable : bureau régional des Prairies et du Nord Date : à déterminer (un suivi des objectifs de 2009-2010 doit être fait)	• Les inspections devraient stimuler la discussion sur les problèmes de SST et d'autres problèmes liés à la sciure (les analyses de risques liés à une tâche, par exemple). • Le Renseignement régional devra contribuer à cette analyse.  • Les Inspections et les Enquêtes feront le suivi de TOUS les renvois et objectifs provenant de la Direction des services de renseignements, lorsqu'il y a un motif raisonnable de croire qu'une infraction a été ou sera commise. Les régions fourniront l'information à la Direction des services de renseignements régionale et à l'AC au moyen des rapports hebdomadaires. • Le Renseignement régional devra contribuer à cette analyse.	• Prévue au plan de travail	0.2			•	•	•
	3. Déchets de TRC des États-Unis Le projet des données sur les opérations permettra de fixer des objectifs d'inspection et d'enquête Responsable : Québec Date : à déterminer (un suivi des objectifs de 2009-2010 doit être fait)	• Les Inspections et les Enquêtes feront le suivi de TOUS les renvois et objectifs provenant de la Direction des services de renseignements, lorsqu'il y a un motif raisonnable de croire qu'une infraction a été ou sera commise. Les régions fourniront l'information à la Direction des services de renseignements régionale et à l'AC au moyen des rapports hebdomadaires.  • Le Renseignement régional devra contribuer à cette analyse. Tous les dossiers provenant des Renseignements et des Enquêtes seront ajoutés aux rapports hebdomadaires pour l'AC et mis à jour régulièrement.	• Prévue au plan de travail	0.2			•	•	•

QUÉBEC Cnt.

Objectifs nationaux	Approche nationale	Cibles, engagements et conseils aux régions	Intervention régionale	Ressources			Commentaires	Suivi des progrès (Mi-exercice)	Suivi des progrès (Fin de l'exercice)
				ETP	HS	F et E			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• L'application du REIDDMRD est essentielle au respect par le Canada de ses obligations internationales en vertu de la Convention de Bâle, de la décision du Conseil de l'OCDE de 2001 et de l'entente entre le Canada et les États-Unis.</li><li>• L'application du REIDDMRD s'appuiera sur l'élaboration de priorités et d'objectifs nationaux bien définis, axés sur les risques les plus urgents.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Entretenir la collaboration avec TC et l'ASFC. Utiliser comme modèle le POS de la région de Pacifique et Yukon pour les inspections réalisées conjointement par TC et l'ASFC.</li><li>• Établir des liens avec les autorités du ministère de la Protection de l'environnement de Hong Kong (HKEPD) pour prévenir les importations illégales à la source et pour faciliter l'intervention liée aux exportations illégales.</li><li>• Effectuer des inspections collatérales (règlements concernant les BPC, lorsque des BPC peuvent se trouver dans des déchets, par exemple).</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Toutes les régions répondront aux renvois de l'ASFC et des États étrangers (HKEPD) sur l'exportation illégale potentielle de déchets dangereux. Se référer à l'onglet Global, activité 4 (colonne intitulée « Cibles, engagements et conseils aux régions »).</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <b>Prévue au plan de travail</b> <b>Maintien de la ligne 24/7</b> <b>Projet d'assurer la réponse des deux districts d'ici fin 2009</b></li></ul>	0.6	56	16	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>
	Élaborer un plan national en collaboration avec les responsables du Programme pour partager les renseignements sur les organisations réglementées (ne pas oublier de tenir la Direction des services de renseignements informée).	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Chaque région évaluera la nécessité d'élaborer une stratégie régionale d'application et un POS avec TC et l'ASFC pour faciliter l'inspection des matières recyclables dangereuses avant leur expédition vers le port de Vancouver.</li><li>Liens avec les partenaires nationaux (fonction opérationnelle n° 2).</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <b>La région du Québec vas évaluer la nécessité d'élaborer de t'elle stratégie.</b></li><li>•</li></ul>				Note : La région de Pacifique et Yukon fournira son POS sur les inspections conjointes à TC et à l'ASFC, et informera les régions et l'AC des défis et des problèmes soulevés par sa mise en œuvre.		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Les régions doivent cerner les occasions d'inspection collatérale visant les BPC et d'autres règlements et les intégrer à leur plan d'inspection.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	0.1			<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• La DALE-RCN doit élaborer et coordonner la mise en œuvre d'un outil d'information avec les responsables du Programme. Ce processus de renvoi sera intégré dans le plan d'application.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>				<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Mettre en œuvre les recommandations d'application provenant du groupe de travail qui regroupe la DGRD, la DAPE et la DGAL.</li></ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>				<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>
Total des besoins en ressources :				2.4	56	26			



OVERALL

National Objectives	National Approach	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions		Resources		
				FTE	OT	O & M
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Enforcement of the EIHWHRMR is required to ensure that Canada meets its legally binding international obligations under the Basel Convention, the OECD Council Decision 2001 and the Canada/US agreement</li><li>Enforcement of the EIHWHRMR will be done by developing well defined national priorities and targets focusing on the most urgent risks.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Identification and follow-up of targets identified by Intel and Program associated with the illegal export of hazardous wastes</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Inspections and Investigations will follow-up on ALL referrals and targets generated by Intelligence where there are reasonable grounds to suspect or believe that a violation has occurred or is occurring. Regions will feed back information to Regional Intel, and the HQ office via the weekly reports.</li></ul>		7.70	2.00	115.00
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions will continue to implement the national protocol and PYR process for transferring files between regions based upon exporter location.</li></ul>		1.00	0.00	15.00
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Inspections Managers, in consultation with HQ, will develop criteria to identify files that will have to be included in the Inspection weekly report</li></ul>		0.20	0.00	0.00
	1. Permitting system and illegal smuggling Strategic Intel analysis Lead: NCR Timeline: 09-10 Final report: end of March 2010	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regional Intel will be expected to contribute to this analysis.</li></ul>		1.38	0	10
	2. Importation of sawdust waste Operation Intel project will lead to potential inspection and investigation targets Lead: PNR Timeline: TBD (09-10 targets will have to be followed-up on)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Inspections are expected to start groundwork to address OHS and other operational issues related to sawdust (ie: THA).</li><li>Regional Intel will be expected to contribute to this analysis.</li><li>Inspections and Investigations will follow-up on ALL referrals and targets generated by Intelligence, where there are reasonable grounds to suspect or believe that a violation has occurred or is occurring and feed back information to Regional Intel, and the HQ office via the weekly reports.</li><li>Regional Intel will be expected to contribute to this intel analysis.</li></ul>		0.75	0	20
	3. CRT Waste from US Operational Intel project which will lead to potential inspection and investigation targets Lead: QC Timeline: TBD (09-10 targets will have to be followed-up on)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Inspections and Investigations will follow-up on ALL referrals and targets generated by Intelligence, where there are reasonable grounds to suspect or believe that a violation has occurred or is occurring and feed back information to Regional Intelligence, and the HQ office via the weekly reports.</li><li>Regional Intel will be expected to contribute to this intel analysis. All Intel-generated and Investigations files will be added and regularly updated on the weekly reports to HQ.</li></ul>		3.05	13.00	107.00

OVERALL Cnt.

National Objectives	National Approach	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions	Regional Response	Resources		
				FTE	OT	O & M
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Enforcement of the EIHWHRMR is required to ensure that Canada meets its legally binding international obligations under the Basel Convention, the OECD Council Decision 2001 and the Canada/US agreement</li><li>Enforcement of the EIHWHRMR will be done by developing well defined national priorities and targets focusing on the most urgent risks.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Maintain collaboration with Transport Canada and CBSA. Use PYR SOP for joint inspections with TC and CBSA as a model.</li><li>Establish linkages with HKEPD environmental authorities to assist in preventing illegal imports at the source and facilitate response to illegal exports.</li><li>Conduct collateral inspections (e.g. PCB Regulations, where PCB wastes may be associated with waste materials.)</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>All regions will respond to referrals from CBSA and foreign governments (HKEPD) regarding potential illegal exports of hazardous waste. Refer to Overarching Section, Activity #4 (under column entitled "Targets / Commitments, Guidance to Regions)</li></ul>		14.4	113	204
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Each region will assess the need for a regional enforcement strategy and SOP with Transport Canada and CBSA to facilitate inspections of hazardous recyclables prior to shipment to the Port of Vancouver. Links to Domestic Partnerships (Business Function 2).</li></ul>		0.35	0	2
	Develop a national plan with Program to share information related to the regulated community with a feedback loop to Intelligence	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions to identify the opportunity to conduct collateral inspections for PCB and other Regulations and integrate into their inspection plan</li></ul>		1.1	0	20
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>EED-NCR to develop and coordinate the implementation of a feedback loop with Program. This referral process will be included in the Enforcement Plan.</li></ul>		0.25	0	0
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Implement the enforcement recommendations from the Joint WMRD/EPOD/ EED Task force.</li></ul>			0.35	0	0
Total Resources Needs:				30.53	128	493

1.2 Fisheries Act 36(3)

NCR

National Objectives	National Approach	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions	Regional Response	Resources \$			Comments	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
				FTE	OT	O & M			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Environmental Enforcement is responsible for the enforcement of subsection 36(3) in accordance with the provisions of the 1985 MOU with DFO</li><li>The enforcement of the Fisheries Act shall be carried out in accordance with the guiding principles of the “Compliance and Enforcement Policy for the Habitat Protection and Pollution Prevention Provisions of the Fisheries Act”.</li><li>Implementation of the joint EC-TC enforcement strategy on cruise ships (in the spirit of the EC-TC MOU)</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Respond to complaints from the public and referrals from other regulatory agencies regarding incidents involving potential violations of 36(3)</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions are expected to check the complaints box in NEMISIS for any files that originates from a tip, complaint, notification, etc.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Maintain HQ ledger on tips/complaints coming to EED via the HQ office and follow up on enf actions as required PM-03: 0.1, Inspections: 0.05</li></ul>	0.15			<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
	<p>Continue to conduct proactive inspections and subsequent enforcement actions targeting high risk non-regulated facilities including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Passenger/cruise ships(greater than 100 passengers) where applicable</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions to follow the interdepartmental Action Plan between EC and TC developed by Quebec region</li><li>Regions will implement the EC-TC joint strategy for cruise ships by working with TC to identify inspections targets and to conduct inspections. Regions will provide their inspections plan, before implementation, to EED-NCR and will report on activities on a regular basis, via the weekly reports.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Monitor regional activities, maintain contact with TC-HQ, review/approve proposed procedures prepared by regions and maintain ledger of related operational files (Inspections PM-04: 0.2)</li></ul>	0.20			<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Fish Processing Plants based upon regional RCD and risk assessment provide by EPOD as a follow-up to inspections conducted in 2008.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions will develop an inspection plan (if applicable/relevant) for fish processing plants as a follow-up to 2008 and in accordance with national criteria and regional ones (if applicable) in order to identify high risk facilities.</li></ul> <p>Regions will provide to EED-NCR a report of their 08-09 activities in this sector, for analysis and reporting purposes.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Monitor regional activities, maintain ledger of related operational files and prepare a national report for senior management (Inspections PM-04: 0.2; student)</li></ul>	0.20			<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Inspect MWWE facilities based upon risk assessment done by EPOD and in accordance with the CEO’s directive to regions. Opportunities for collateral inspections under the CEPA P2 Plans for Chlorine usage will be capitalized.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Region will develop and implement its inspection plan targeted at high risk MWWE facilities in accordance with EPOD risk assessment and in consultation with provincial authorities.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Particpate in WG meetings, contribute to compliance strategy, review, monitor regional files (Inspections PM-04: 0.3)</li></ul>	0.30			Until we get clear guidance from Senior Management EED cannot commit		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Flexibility is intrinsic to enforcement planning to account for regional specific issues.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions will identify regional priorities under this provision and provide their inspection plans to EED-NCR before implementation.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>1- Compile regional priorities list from all regions (Inspections PM-06: 0.1)</li><li>2- Conduct national review of operational files related to abandoned mines (Inspections - student)</li><li>3- Monitor operational files related to oilsands - PNR (Inspections - PM-04: 0.1)</li></ul>	0.20			<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Follow up on the recommendations made to EB on the Fisheries Act audit by the CESD</li><li>Support the performance measures project</li></ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions are expected to commit resources to ensure that all FA files are entered in NEMISIS in accordance with established standards and in a timely manner.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>QA/QC of NEMISIS files (AS-04 - covered under Overarching Activity #24)</li></ul>				<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
Total Resources Needs:				1.05	0	0			

1.2 Fisheries Act 36(3)

ATLANTIC

National Objectives	National Approach	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions	Regional Response	Resources			Comments	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
				FTE	OT	O & M			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Environmental Enforcement is responsible for the enforcement of subsection 36(3) in accordance with the provisions of the 1985 MOU with DFO</li><li>The enforcement of the Fisheries Act shall be carried out in accordance with the guiding principles of the “Compliance and Enforcement Policy for the Habitat Protection and Pollution Prevention Provisions of the Fisheries Act”.</li><li>Implementation of the joint EC-TC enforcement strategy on cruise ships (in the spirit of the EC-TC MOU)</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Respond to complaints from the public and referrals from other regulatory agencies regarding incidents involving potential violations of 36(3)</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions are expected to check the complaints box in NEMISIS for any files that originates from a tip, complaint, notification, etc.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>This region may be doing some work on Fish Farms under 36(3) inspections.</li><li></li></ul>	3	0.5			<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
	<p>Continue to conduct proactive inspections and subsequent enforcement actions targeting high risk non-regulated facilities including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Passenger/cruise ships(greater than 100 passengers) where applicable</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions to follow the interdepartmental Action Plan between EC and TC developed by Quebec region</li><li>Regions will implement the EC-TC joint strategy for cruise ships by working with TC to identify inspections targets and to conduct inspections. Regions will provide their inspections plan, before implementation, to EED-NCR and will report on activities on a regular basis, via the weekly reports.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	2				<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Fish Processing Plants based upon regional RCD and risk assessment provide by EPOD as a follow-up to inspections conducted in 2008.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions will develop an inspection plan (if applicable/relevant) for fish processing plants as a follow-up to 2008 and in accordance with national criteria and regional ones (if applicable) in order to identify high risk facilities.</li></ul> <p>Regions will provide to EED-NCR a report of their 08-09 activities in this sector, for analysis and reporting purposes.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Atlantic Region anticipates doing 4 detailed on-site inspections in 2009.</li><li></li></ul>	0.5	0.1		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Inspect MWWE facilities based upon risk assessment done by EPOD and in accordance with the CEO's directive to regions. Opportunities for collateral inspections under the CEPA P2 Plans for Chlorine usage will be capitalized.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Region will develop and implement its inspection plan targeted at high risk MWWE facilities in accordance with EPOD risk assessment and in consultation with provincial authorities.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	0.1				<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Flexibility is intrinsic to enforcement planning to account for regional specific issues.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions will identify regional priorities under this provision and provide their inspection plans to EED-NCR before implementation.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	2.5			<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Follow up on the recommendations made to EB on the Fisheries Act audit by the CESD</li><li>Support the performance measures project</li></ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions are expected to commit resources to ensure that all FA files are entered in NEMISIS in accordance with established standards and in a timely manner.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	0.25			<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
Total Resources Needs:				8.35	0.6	0			

1.2 Fisheries Act 36(3)

ONTARIO

National Objectives	National Approach	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions	Regional Response	Resources \$			Comments	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
				FTE	OT	O & M			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Environmental Enforcement is responsible for the enforcement of subsection 36(3) in accordance with the provisions of the 1985 MOU with DFO</li><li>The enforcement of the Fisheries Act shall be carried out in accordance with the guiding principles of the “Compliance and Enforcement Policy for the Habitat Protection and Pollution Prevention Provisions of the Fisheries Act”.</li><li>Implementation of the joint EC-TC enforcement strategy on cruise ships (in the spirit of the EC-TC MOU)</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Respond to complaints from the public and referrals from other regulatory agencies regarding incidents involving potential violations of 36(3)</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions are expected to check the complaints box in NEMISIS for any files that originates from a tip, complaint, notification, etc.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>respond to public complaints generating 30 inspections</li><li>respond to Spills Action Centre and OGD reports results in 120 inspections</li><li>Anticipate a total of 10 investigations with an anticipated 75 k included in O&amp;M for expert services.</li></ul>	6.25	30	280	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
	<p>Continue to conduct proactive inspections and subsequent enforcement actions targeting high risk non-regulated facilities including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Passenger/cruise ships(greater than 100 passengers) where applicable</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions to follow the interdepartmental Action Plan between EC and TC developed by Quebec region</li><li>Regions will implement the EC-TC joint strategy for cruise ships by working with TC to identify inspections targets and to conduct inspections. Regions will provide their inspections plan, before implementation, to EED-NCR and will report on activities on a regular basis, via the weekly reports.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>plan and complete 30 on site inspections</li></ul>	1.3		55	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Fish Processing Plants based upon regional RCD and risk assessment provide by EPOD as a follow-up to inspections conducted in 2008.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions will develop an inspection plan (if applicable/relevant) for fish processing plants as a follow-up to 2008 and in accordance with national criteria and regional ones (if applicable) in order to identify high risk facilities.</li></ul> <p>Regions will provide to EED-NCR a report of their 08-09 activities in this sector, for analysis and reporting purposes.</p>	na				<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Inspect MWWE facilities based upon risk assessment done by EPOD and in accordance with the CEO’s directive to regions. Opportunities for collateral inspections under the CEPA P2 Plans for Chlorine usage will be capitalized.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Region will develop and implement its inspection plan targeted at high risk MWWE facilities in accordance with EPOD risk assessment and in consultation with provincial authorities.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>					<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Flexibility is intrinsic to enforcement planning to account for regional specific issues.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions will identify regional priorities under this provision and provide their inspection plans to EED-NCR before implementation.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Conduct 6 inspections under PRLER</li><li>closed former Metal Mining, Pulp and Paper and Petroleum refining facilities</li></ul>	0.5		25	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Follow up on the recommendations made to EB on the Fisheries Act audit by the CESD</li><li>Support the performance measures project</li></ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions are expected to commit resources to ensure that all FA files are entered in NEMISIS in accordance with established standards and in a timely manner.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>				<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
Total Resources Needs:				8.05	30	360			

1.2 Fisheries Act 36(3)

PNR

National Objective	National Approach	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions	Regional Response	Resources \$			Comments	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
				FTE	OT	O & M			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Environmental Enforcement is responsible for the enforcement of subsection 36(3) in accordance with the provisions of the 1985 MOU with DFO</li><li>The enforcement of the Fisheries Act shall be carried out in accordance with the guiding principles of the “Compliance and Enforcement Policy for the Habitat Protection and Pollution Prevention Provisions of the Fisheries Act”.</li><li>Implementation of the joint EC-TC enforcement strategy on cruse ships (in the spirit of the EC-TC MOU)</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Respond to complaints from the public and referrals from other regulatory agencies regarding incidents involving potential violations of 36(3)</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions are expected to check the complaints box in NEMISIS for any files that originates from a tip, complaint, notification, etc.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>We will follow the Nemesis input standards</li><li>will react to complaints and referrals</li><li>Intelligence will provide actionable intelligence on suspected violations</li></ul>	4		50	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
	<p>Continue to conduct proactive inspections and subsequent enforcement actions targeting high risk non-regulated facilities including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Passenger/cruise ships(greater than 100 passengers) where applicable</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions to follow the interdepartmental Action Plan between EC and TC developed by Quebec region</li><li>Regions will implement the EC-TC joint strategy for cruise ships by working with TC to identify inspections targets and to conduct inspections. Regions will provide their inspections plan, before implementation, to EED-NCR and will report on activities on a regular basis, via the weekly reports.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Meeting with Transport Canada on May 12th and will follow the joint strategy based on that meeting.</li><li></li></ul>	0.075		5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Fish Processing Plants based upon regional RCD and risk assessment provide by EPOD as a follow-up to inspections conducted in 2008.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions will develop an inspection plan (if applicable/relevant) for fish processing plants as a follow-up to 2008 and in accordance with national criteria and regional ones (if applicable) in order to identify high risk facilities.</li></ul> <p>Regions will provide to EED-NCR a report of their 08-09 activities in this sector, for analysis and reporting purposes.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>We will inspect all high risk facilities if identified.</li><li>Will conduct inspection of facilities in Nunavut</li></ul>	0.025		5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Inspect MWWE facilities based upon risk assessment done by EPOD and in accordance with the CEO’s directive to regions. Opportunities for collateral inspections under the CEPA P2 Plans for Chlorine usage will be capitalized.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Region will develop and implement its inspection plan targeted at high risk MWWE facilities in accordance with EPOD risk assessment and in consultation with provincial authorities.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Will conduct inspections based on complaints/referrals and discharges to sensitive areas.</li><li></li></ul>	0.075		10		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Flexibility is intrinsic to enforcement planning to account for regional specific issues.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions will identify regional priorities under this provision and provide their inspection plans to EED-NCR before implementation.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>regional priorities will reflect national Priorities</li><li></li></ul>	0		0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Follow up on the recommendations made to EB on the Fisheries Act audit by the CESD</li><li>Support the performance measures project</li></ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions are expected to commit resources to ensure that all FA files are entered in NEMISIS in accordance with established standards and in a timely manner.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>We will follow Nemesis input standards</li><li>will conduct inspections of oils sands and develop groundwater sampling plan</li></ul>	0.325		15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
Total Resources Needs:				4.5	0	85			

1.2 Fisheries Act 36(3)

PYR

National Objectives	National Approach	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions	Regional Response	Resources \$			Comments	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
				FTE	OT	O & M			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Environmental Enforcement is responsible for the enforcement of subsection 36(3) in accordance with the provisions of the 1985 MOU with DFO</li><li>The enforcement of the Fisheries Act shall be carried out in accordance with the guiding principles of the “Compliance and Enforcement Policy for the Habitat Protection and Pollution Prevention Provisions of the Fisheries Act”.</li><li>Implementation of the joint EC-TC enforcement strategy on cruise ships (in the spirit of the EC-TC MOU)</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Respond to complaints from the public and referrals from other regulatory agencies regarding incidents involving potential violations of 36(3)</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions are expected to check the complaints box in NEMISIS for any files that originates from a tip, complaint, notification, etc.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>PYR EED will ensure appropriate fields are filled in accordance with the National Data Input Standards for NEMISIS</li><li>Response to complaints with follow up enf action</li></ul>	4.5	20	70	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
	<p>Continue to conduct proactive inspections and subsequent enforcement actions targeting high risk non-regulated facilities including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Passenger/cruise ships(greater than 100 passengers) where applicable</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions to follow the interdepartmental Action Plan between EC and TC developed by Quebec region</li><li>Regions will implement the EC-TC joint strategy for cruise ships by working with TC to identify inspections targets and to conduct inspections. Regions will provide their inspections plan, before implementation, to EED-NCR and will report on activities on a regular basis, via the weekly reports.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>PYR EED will develop a workplan in consultation with regional EPOD and Intel to identify vessels that meet the criteria and coordinate follow up inspections with TC</li><li>PYR EED will meet biweekly with regional Intel to discuss through progress of referrals and report to HQ as required.</li></ul>	1		60	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Fish Processing Plants based upon regional RCD and risk assessment provide by EPOD as a follow-up to inspections conducted in 2008.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions will develop an inspection plan (if applicable/relevant) for fish processing plants as a follow-up to 2008 and in accordance with national criteria and regional ones (if applicable) in order to identify high risk facilities.</li></ul> <p>Regions will provide to EED-NCR a report of their 08-09 activities in this sector, for analysis and reporting purposes.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>PYR EED in consultation with regional EPOD and Intel develop a workplan to identify high risk facilities and conduct follow up inspections based on approved target list</li><li>Respond to complaints with follow up enf actions</li></ul>	2.5		70	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Inspect MWWE facilities based upon risk assessment done by EPOD and in accordance with the CEO's directive to regions. Opportunities for collateral inspections under the CEPA P2 Plans for Chlorine usage will be capitalized.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Region will develop and implement its inspection plan targeted at high risk MWWE facilities in accordance with EPOD risk assessment and in consultation with provincial authorities.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>PYR EED will consult with EPOD and BCMOE and develop a draft inspection schedule for the 2 installations in PYR</li></ul>	0.5		20		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Flexibility is intrinsic to enforcement planning to account for regional specific issues.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions will identify regional priorities under this provision and provide their inspection plans to EED-NCR before implementation.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>PYR EED will consult with EPOD and Intel and develop a workplan and identify regional targets for specific sectors for inspections.</li><li>Geographically based inspections (land or marine) will be develop with Intel input to identify targets with multi-regulatory implications.</li></ul>	5	13	368	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Follow up on the recommendations made to EB on the Fisheries Act audit by the CESD</li><li>Support the performance measures project</li></ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions are expected to commit resources to ensure that all FA files are entered in NEMISIS in accordance with established standards and in a timely manner.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>PYR EED will ensure appropriate fields are filled in accordance with the National Data Input Standards for NEMISIS</li><li></li></ul>	0.5			<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
Total Resources Needs:				14	33	588			

QUÉBEC

Objectifs nationaux	Démarche nationale	Cibles, engagements, conseils aux régions	Intervention régionale	Ressources en \$			Commentaires	Suivi des progrès (Mi-exercice)	Suivi des progrès (Fin de l'exercice)
				ETP	HS	F et E			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>La DGAL est responsable de l'application du paragraphe 36(3) de la LP conformément aux dispositions du PE de 1985 signé avec le MPO.</li><li>L'application de la LP doit être conforme aux principes directeurs de la Politique de conformité et d'application des dispositions de la <i>Loi sur les pêches</i> pour la protection de l'habitat du poisson et la prévention de la pollution.</li><li>Mise en œuvre de la stratégie d'application des lois sur les paquebots de croisière commune à EC et TC (dans l'esprit du PE entre ces deux ministères).</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Répondre aux plaintes du public et aux renvois d'autres agences de réglementation concernant d'éventuelles infractions au paragraphe 36(3) de la LP.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Les régions sont censées cocher la boîte "plaintes" en NEMISIS si des dossiers proviennent d'une suggestion, d'une plainte, d'un avis, etc.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Prévue, protocole mis en place</li></ul>	2.4	10	31	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	
	Continuer à mener des inspections proactives et à prendre les mesures d'application qui s'imposent, notamment à l'égard des installations non réglementées à haut risque comme les navires à passagers et les paquebots de croisière (pouvant accueillir plus de 100 passagers).	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Les régions doivent suivre le plan d'action interministériel entre EC et TC élaboré par la région du Québec.</li><li>Les régions mettront en œuvre la stratégie commune avec EC et TC concernant les paquebots de croisière en collaborant avec TC pour fixer les objectifs d'inspection et pour mener ces inspections. Les régions soumettront leur plan d'inspection, avant leur mise en œuvre, à la DALE-RCN, puis feront un suivi régulier des activités au moyen de rapports hebdomadaires.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>La région du Québec demeure chef de file dans ce domaine</li></ul>	0.5	8	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Les usines de transformation du poisson en fonction des DCR régionales et des évaluations des risques fournies par la DAPE à la suite des inspections menées en 2008.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Les régions élaboreront un plan d'inspection (s'il y a lieu) pour les usines de transformation du poisson comme suite aux inspections de 2008 et conformément aux critères nationaux et régionaux (le cas échéant), de manière à dresser une liste des installations à haut risque.</li></ul> <p>Les régions soumettront à la DALE-RCN un rapport de leurs activités en 2008-2009 à ce chapitre.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>La région du Québec continue sa collaboration avec la région de l'Atlantique</li></ul>	0.6	5	24	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Inspecter les installations de traitement des EEUM en fonction des évaluations des risques effectuées par la DAPE et conformément à la directive du BCAL envoyée aux régions. Les occasions d'inspection collatérale à mener dans le cadre des plans de prévention de la pollution (P2) prévus par la LCPE pour l'usage du chlore seront mises en évidence (majuscules).</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Les régions élaboreront et mettront en œuvre leur plan d'inspection visant les installations de traitement des EEUM à haut risque conformément à l'évaluation des risques fournie par la DAPE et avec l'accord des autorités provinciales.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>					<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>La planification de l'application des lois doit être souple, pour que les problèmes propres aux régions puissent être considérés.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Les régions fixeront les priorités régionales conformément à cette disposition et soumettront leur plan d'inspection à la DALE-RCN avant leur mise en œuvre.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	0.1			<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Suivi des recommandations adressées à la DGAL concernant l'examen de la <i>Loi sur les pêches</i> mené par le commissaire à l'environnement et au développement durable.</li><li>Soutenir le projet de mesures de rendement.</li></ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Les régions sont censées mobiliser des ressources pour veiller à ce que les dossiers relatifs à la LP soient tous entrés dans le NEMISIS rapidement et conformément aux normes établies.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	0.3			<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
Total des besoins en ressources :				3.9	23	59			



OVERALL

Objectifs nationaux	Démarche nationale	Cibles, engagements, conseils aux régions		Ressources en \$			
				ETP	HS	F et E	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Environmental Enforcement is responsible for the enforcement of subsection 36(3) in accordance with the provisions of the 1985 MOU with DFO</li><li>The enforcement of the Fisheries Act shall be carried out in accordance with the guiding principles of the “Compliance and Enforcement Policy for the Habitat Protection and Pollution Prevention Provisions of the Fisheries Act”.</li><li>Implementation of the joint EC-TC enforcement strategy on cruise ships (in the spirit of the EC-TC MOU)</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Respond to complaints from the public and referrals from other regulatory agencies regarding incidents involving potential violations of 36(3)</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions are expected to check the complaints box in NEMISIS for any files that originates from a tip, complaint, notification, etc.</li></ul>		20.30	60.50	431.00	
	<p>Continue to conduct proactive inspections and subsequent enforcement actions targeting high risk non-regulated facilities including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Passenger/cruise ships(greater than 100 passengers) where applicable</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions to follow the interdepartmental Action Plan between EC and TC developed by Quebec region</li><li>Regions will implement the EC-TC joint strategy for cruise ships by working with TC to identify inspections targets and to conduct inspections. Regions will provide their inspections plan, before implementation, to EED-NCR and will report on activities on a regular basis, via the weekly reports.</li></ul>		5.08	8.00	124.00	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Fish Processing Plants based upon regional RCD and risk assessment provide by EPOD as a follow-up to inspections conducted in 2008.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions will develop an inspection plan (if applicable/relevant) for fish processing plants as a follow-up to 2008 and in accordance with national criteria and regional ones (if applicable) in order to identify high risk facilities.</li></ul> <p>Regions will provide to EED-NCR a report of their 08-09 activities in this sector, for analysis and reporting purposes.</p>		3.83	5.10	99.00	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Inspect MWWE facilities based upon risk assessment done by EPOD and in accordance with the CEO’s directive to regions. Opportunities for collateral inspections under the CEPA P2 Plans for Chlorine usage will be capitalized.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Region will develop and implement its inspection plan targeted at high risk MWWE facilities in accordance with EPOD risk assessment and in consultation with provincial authorities.</li></ul>		0.98	0.00	30.00	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Flexibility is intrinsic to enforcement planning to account for regional specific issues.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions will identify regional priorities under this provision and provide their inspection plans to EED-NCR before implementation.</li></ul>		8.30	13.00	393.00	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Follow up on the recommendations made to EB on the Fisheries Act audit by the CESD</li><li>Support the performance measures project</li></ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions are expected to commit resources to ensure that all FA files are entered in NEMISIS in accordance with established standards and in a timely manner.</li></ul>		1.38	0.00	15.00	
Total des besoins en ressources :				39.85	86.60	1092.00	

NCR

NCR									
National Objective	National Approach	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions	Regional Response	Resource			Comments	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
				FTE	OT	O & M			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>FHR are intended to reduce the risk to Canadians their health and the environment from air pollutants and greenhouse gases released by systems regulated by the federal government.</li><li>Enforcement of the FHR will reduce and prevent the emission of ODS and halocarbon alternatives from systems on federal lands, aboriginal lands and facilities in the Federal House and assist in the phase-out of CFCs and Halons and encourage the disposal of surplus stocks.</li><li>Support the performance measures project</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Identification of high risk targets, based on Daniel Bidal's report (2008), discussions with Program, Intelligence, and information captured in the updated RCD indicating those who have received compro.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions to prepare an Inspection plan based upon Regional assessment of potential high-risk sectors and using Daniel Bidal's report as guidance. Regional inspection plans and list of targets will be sent to HQ before implementation.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Compile regional lists of targeted sectors and ensure coherence with identified national approach (Inspections PM-06: 0.1)</li></ul>	0.1			<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>All FHR inspections of large OGDs requiring an enforcement measure are to be considered non-routine for HQ reporting purposes. As such, they will be added to the weekly reports to HQ.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Prepare national weekly reports (Inspections, Investigations - covered under Overarching Activity #26)</li></ul>				<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions are expected to commit resources to ensure that all FHR files are entered in NEMISIS in accordance with established standards and in a timely manner. This includes providing accurate information on the specific contaminant ODP and checking the release over 100 KG box as appropriate. Further guidance will be provided in the Performance Measures Report being prepared by Réjean.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Contribute to the Performance Indicator project (WG discussions, monitor QA/QC, conduct analysis, etc) (Inspections, AS-04) Resources covered under Overarching #14 et #25)</li></ul>				<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
Total Resources Needs:				0.1	0	0			

ATLANTIC									
National Objective	National Approach	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions	Regional Response	Resources			Comments	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
				FTE	OT	O & M			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>FHR are intended to reduce the risk to Canadians their health and the environment from air pollutants and greenhouse gases released by systems regulated by the federal government.</li><li>Enforcement of the FHR will reduce and prevent the emission of ODS and halocarbon alternatives from systems on federal lands, aboriginal lands and facilities in the Federal House and assist in the phase-out of CFCs and Halons and encourage the disposal of surplus stocks.</li><li>Support the performance measures project</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Identification of high risk targets, based on Daniel Bidal's report (2008), discussions with Program, Intelligence, and information captured in the updated RCD indicating those who have received compro.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions to prepare an Inspection plan based upon Regional assessment of potential high-risk sectors and using Daniel Bidal's report as guidance. Regional inspection plans and list of targets will be sent to HQ before implementation.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	0.25			<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>All FHR inspections of large OGDs requiring an enforcement measure are to be considered non-routine for HQ reporting purposes. As such, they will be added to the weekly reports to HQ.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>This value is captured in the overarching template. FHR by it's regulatory nature focuses on OGD's and it would be difficult to make a distinction.</li></ul>	0.5			<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions are expected to commit resources to ensure that all FHR files are entered in NEMISIS in accordance with established standards and in a timely manner. This includes providing accurate information on the specific contaminant ODP and checking the release over 100 KG box as appropriate. Further guidance will be provided in the Performance Measures Report being prepared by Réjean.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	0.25			<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
Total Resources Needs:				1	0	0			

ONTARIO									
National Objective	National Approach	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions	Regional Response	Resource			Comments	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
				FTE	OT	O & M			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>FHR are intended to reduce the risk to Canadians their health and the environment from air pollutants and greenhouse gases released by systems regulated by the federal government.</li><li>Enforcement of the FHR will reduce and prevent the emission of ODS and halocarbon alternatives from systems on federal lands, aboriginal lands and facilities in the Federal House and assist in the phase-out of CFCs and Halons and encourage the disposal of surplus stocks.</li><li>Support the performance measures project</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Identification of high risk targets, based on Daniel Bidal's report (2008), discussions with Program, Intelligence, and information captured in the updated RCD indicating those who have received compro.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions to prepare an Inspection plan based upon Regional assessment of potential high-risk sectors and using Daniel Bidal's report as guidance. Regional inspection plans and list of targets will be sent to HQ before implementation.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>conduct 160 inspections focussing on PWGSC, DND, Bell Canada and airports</li><li>conduct inspections of all facilities reporting releases greater than 100 kg</li><li>only anticipate 1 investigation (if any). Note that Ontario Region has not had any FHR investigations in the past sveral years.</li></ul>	4.15	5	50	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>All FHR inspections of large OGDs requiring an enforcement measure are to be considered non-routine for HQ reporting purposes. As such, they will be added to the weekly reports to HQ.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>This item is related to the resources alocated under element #26 of the Overarching</li></ul>				<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions are expected to commit resources to ensure that all FHR files are entered in NEMISIS in accordance with established standards and in a timely manner. This includes providing accurate information on the specific contaminant ODP and checking the release over 100 KG box as appropriate. Further guidance will be provided in the Performance Measures Report being prepared by Réjean.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>				<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
Total Resources Needs:				4.15	5	50			

PNR									
National Objective	National Approach	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions	Regional Response	Resource			Comments	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
				FTE	OT	O & M			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>FHR are intended to reduce the risk to Canadians their health and the environment from air pollutants and greenhouse gases released by systems regulated by the federal government.</li><li>Enforcement of the FHR will reduce and prevent the emission of ODS and halocarbon alternatives from systems on federal lands, aboriginal lands and facilities in the Federal House and assist in the phase-out of CFCs and Halons and encourage the disposal of surplus stocks.</li><li>Support the performance measures project</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Identification of high risk targets, based on Daniel Bidal's report (2008), discussions with Program, Intelligence, and information captured in the updated RCD indicating those who have received compro.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions to prepare an Inspection plan based upon Regional assessment of potential high-risk sectors and using Daniel Bidal's report as guidance. Regional inspection plans and list of targets will be sent to HQ before implementation.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>once high risk sectors are identified, an inspection plan will be developed</li><li>Inspections will be expanded to include federal lands and undertakings</li></ul>	1.7		38	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>All FHR inspections of large OGDs requiring an enforcement measure are to be considered non-routine for HQ reporting purposes. As such, they will be added to the weekly reports to HQ.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>protocol will be followed</li><li>Resources identified under Element 26 of Overarching</li></ul>	0		0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions are expected to commit resources to ensure that all FHR files are entered in NEMISIS in accordance with established standards and in a timely manner. This includes providing accurate information on the specific contaminant ODP and checking the release over 100 KG box as appropriate. Further guidance will be provided in the Performance Measures Report being prepared by Réjean.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Nemisis standards will be followed</li><li></li></ul>	0.3		0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
Total Resources Needs:				2	0	38			

PYR									
National Objective	National Approach	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions	Regional Response	Resource			Comments	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
				FTE	OT	O & M			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>FHR are intended to reduce the risk to Canadians their health and the environment from air pollutants and greenhouse gases released by systems regulated by the federal government.</li><li>Enforcement of the FHR will reduce and prevent the emission of ODS and halocarbon alternatives from systems on federal lands, aboriginal lands and facilities in the Federal House and assist in the phase-out of CFCs and Halons and encourage the disposal of surplus stocks.</li><li>Support the performance measures project</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Identification of high risk targets, based on Daniel Bidal's report (2008), discussions with Program, Intelligence, and information captured in the updated RCD indicating those who have received compro.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions to prepare an Inspection plan based upon Regional assessment of potential high-risk sectors and using Daniel Bidal's report as guidance. Regional inspection plans and list of targets will be sent to HQ before implementation.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>PYR EED will develop workplan in coordination with regional programs and intel utilize Bidal FHR report) to identify high risk sectors and were non compliance is likely to be found</li><li>PYR EED will implement the inspection plan in accordance with the NEP FHR strategy</li></ul>	3	10	70	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>All FHR inspections of large OGDs requiring an enforcement measure are to be considered non-routine for HQ reporting purposes. As such, they will be added to the weekly reports to HQ.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>PYR EED will report on all applicable non routine inspections in this category on a weekly basis</li><li></li></ul>	0.5			<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions are expected to commit resources to ensure that all FHR files are entered in NEMISIS in accordance with established standards and in a timely manner. This includes providing accurate information on the specific contaminant ODP and checking the release over 100 KG box as appropriate. Further guidance will be provided in the Performance Measures Report being prepared by Réjean.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>PYR EED will ensure data entry in NEMISIS are in accordance with the data input standards, including appropriate entry of information related to regulated halocarbon ODP and identification of releases which require immediate reporting to an officer</li><li></li></ul>	0.4			<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
Total Resources Needs:				3.9	10	70			

QUÉBEC

QUÉBEC									
Objectifs nationaux	Démarche nationale	Cibles, engagements, conseils aux régions	Intervention régionale	Ressource			Commentaires	Suivi des progrès (Mi-exercice)	Suivi des progrès (Fin de l'exercice)
				ETP	HS	F et E			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Le RFH vise à réduire le risque pour l'environnement et la santé humaine des Canadiens que représentent les polluants atmosphériques et les gaz à effet de serre émis par les systèmes réglementés par le fédéral.</li><li>L'application du RFH permettra de réduire et de prévenir les émissions de SACO et de substituts d'halocarbures provenant des systèmes présents sur les terres de la couronne, sur les terres autochtones et dans les installations de la grande maison fédérale. Son application permettra également d'aider à éliminer progressivement les CFC et les halons ainsi qu'à encourager l'élimination des stocks excédentaires.</li><li>Soutenir le projet sur les mesures de rendement.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Détermination des cibles à haut risque en fonction du rapport de Daniel Bidal (2008), des discussions avec les responsables du Programme, la Direction des services de renseignements, et des renseignements saisis dans le DCR (préciser ceux qui ont été visés par la promotion de la conformité).</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Les régions doivent préparer un plan d'inspection en fonction de l'évaluation régionale des secteurs à haut risque éventuels, en s'appuyant sur le rapport de Daniel Bidal. Les plans d'inspection régionaux et la liste des cibles seront envoyés à l'AC avant la mise en œuvre.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Prévue</li></ul>	2	8	33		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Toutes les inspections de conformité au RFH menées dans de grands ministères autre que EC et nécessitant une mesure d'application seront considérées comme non-routine. De ce fait, elles apparaîtront dans les rapports hebdomadaires soumis à l'AC.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Responsabilisation des gestoinnaires</li></ul>	0.2			<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Les régions sont censées mobiliser des ressources pour veiller à ce que les dossiers relatifs au RFH soient tous entrés dans le NEMISIS conformément aux normes établies. Cette tâche comprend aussi de ournir des renseignements précis sur le PDO de la substance polluante et cocher la case « Plus de 100 kg » s'il y a lieu. D'autres conseils seront fournis dans le rapport sur les mesures de rendement que prépare Réjean.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>responsabilisation des chefs d'équipes</li></ul>	0.4			<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>
Total des besoins en ressources :				2.6	8	33			

OVERALL							
Objectifs nationaux	Démarche nationale	Cibles, engagements, conseils aux régions		Ressource			
				ETP	HS	F et E	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>FHR are intended to reduce the risk to Canadians their health and the environment from air pollutants and greenhouse gases released by systems regulated by the federal government.</li><li>Enforcement of the FHR will reduce and prevent the emission of ODS and halocarbon alternatives from systems on federal lands, aboriginal lands and facilities in the Federal House and assist in the phase-out of CFCs and Halons and encourage the disposal of surplus stocks.</li><li>Support the performance measures project</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Identification of high risk targets, based on Daniel Bidal's report (2008), discussions with Program, Intelligence, and information captured in the updated RCD indicating those who have received compro.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions to prepare an Inspection plan based upon Regional assessment of potential high-risk sectors and using Daniel Bidal's report as guidance. Regional inspection plans and list of targets will be sent to HQ before implementation.</li></ul>		11.2	23	191	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>All FHR inspections of large OGDs requiring an enforcement measure are to be considered non-routine for HQ reporting purposes. As such, they will be added to the weekly reports to HQ.</li></ul>		1.2	0	0	
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regions are expected to commit resources to ensure that all FHR files are entered in NEMISIS in accordance with established standards and in a timely manner. This includes providing accurate information on the specific contaminant ODP and checking the release over 100 KG box as appropriate. Further guidance will be provided in the Performance Measures Report being prepared by Réjean.</li></ul>		1.35	0	0	
Total des besoins en ressources :				13.75	23	191	



1.4 - On and Off Road Vehicle and Engine Emission Regulation

NCR

National Objective	National Approach	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions	Regional Response	Resource			Comments	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
				FTE	OT	O & M			
1. On–Road Vehicle and Engine Emission Regulations	• National Task Force is established to coordinate and ensure consistent approach in the enforcement of these Regulations	• Regional Leads and Support on the Cleen Air Task Force established for these Regulations will actively participate and implement at the regional level the national strategy.	• Participate in Task Force conf calls, report on national weekly inspection report, monitor operational files (Inspections PM-04 - covered under Overarching) • Explore possibility of developing a D-Memo with CBSA for small engine regulations (Program lead, EED will provide support) covered under Overarching Activity #3)				• To date Intelligence has seen information that suggests that there is an increasing volume of imports of non-compliant vehicles and engines.	•	•
2. Off-Road Compression-Ignition Engines Regulations		• EED-NCR will develop a national internal file referral process between programs and EED.	• 1- Expand the current protocol with Transport Div for the referral of non compliant files to EED to other possible scenarios (e.g. if labs purchase engines for testing but the engine is suspected to be non compliant bef purchase is made) (Lead Intel-PM-04: 0.05; Investigations PM-05: 0.05; DD: 0.02) • 2- Review the USEPA-Transport Div SOP regarding data sharing on testing (Investigations PM-05: 0.05)	0.17			• Regions to consider options for identifying quantitative reductions in release of air pollutants as a result of inspections and enforcement response.		•
3. Off-Road Small Spark-Ignition Engine Emission Regulations									

Total Resources Needs:

0.17

0

0

ATLANTIC

National Objective	National Approach	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions	Regional Response	Resources			Comments	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
				FTE	OT	O & M			
1. On–Road Vehicle and Engine Emission Regulations	• National Task Force is established to coordinate and ensure consistent approach in the enforcement of these Regulations	• Regional Leads and Support on the Cleen Air Task Force established for these Regulations will actively participate and implement at the regional level the national strategy.	• The quantity of work on this regulatuiou is dependent on both the informatuion from Intelligence and hits on CBSA lookouts.	0.25				•	•
2. Off-Road Compression-Ignition Engines Regulations		• EED-NCR will develop a national internal file referral process between programs and EED.	•	0.1				•	•
3. Off-Road Small Spark-Ignition Engine Emission Regulations									

Total Resources Needs:

0.35

0

0

1.4 - On and Off Road Vehicle and Engine Emission Regulation

ONTARIO

National Objective	National Approach	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions	Regional Response	Resource			Comments	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
				FTE	OT	O & M			
1. On–Road Vehicle and Engine Emission Regulations	• National Task Force is established to coordinate and ensure consistent approach in the enforcement of these Regulations	• Regional Leads and Support on the Cleen Air Task Force established for these Regulations will actively participate and implement at the regional level the national strategy.	• targets established by the regional leads will form basis of inspections • conduct inspections of 9 major importers or manufacturers for off road vehicles in concert with Operation CLEEN AIR and 5 on road vehicle inspections • anticipate 6 investigations and an additional 75 K has been included in O&M for expert services	6	10	161	• To date Intelligence has seen information that suggests that there is an increasing volume of imports of non-compliant vehicles and engines.	• •	• •
2. Off-Road Compression-Ignition Engines Regulations							• Regions to consider options for identifying quantitative reductions in release of air pollutants as a result of inspections and enforcement response.		
3. Off-Road Small Spark-Ignition Engine Emission Regulations			• EED-NCR will develop a national internal file referral process between programs and EED.	• •				• •	• •
Total Resources Needs:				6	10	161			

PNR

National Objective	National Approach	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions	Regional Response	Resource			Comments	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
				FTE	OT	O & M			
1. On–Road Vehicle and Engine Emission Regulations	• National Task Force is established to coordinate and ensure consistent approach in the enforcement of these Regulations	• Regional Leads and Support on the Cleen Air Task Force established for these Regulations will actively participate and implement at the regional level the national strategy.	• Members have been identified and will continue to participate • will react to identified regulatees	0.85		12	• To date Intelligence has seen information that suggests that there is an increasing volume of imports of non-compliant vehicles and engines.  • Regions to consider options for identifying quantitative reductions in release of air pollutants as a result of inspections and enforcement response.	• •	• •
2. Off-Road Compression-Ignition Engines Regulations									
3. Off-Road Small Spark-Ignition Engine Emission Regulations		• EED-NCR will develop a national internal file referral process between programs and EED.	• n/a •						• •
Total Resources Needs:				0.85	0	12			

1.4 - On and Off Road Vehicle and Engine Emission Regulation

PYR

National Objective	National Approach	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions	Regional Response	Resource			Comments	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
				FTE	OT	O & M			
1. On–Road Vehicle and Engine Emission Regulations	• National Task Force is established to coordinate and ensure consistent approach in the enforcement of these Regulations	• Regional Leads and Support on the Cleen Air Task Force established for these Regulations will actively participate and implement at the regional level the national strategy.	• Regional Leads have been identified to deal with referrals from HQ and follow up of Intel probes on possible inspection targets in the Region.	1.5		50	• To date Intelligence has seen information that suggests that there is an increasing volume of imports of non-compliant vehicles and engines.	•	•
2. Off-Road Compression-Ignition Engines Regulations									
3. Off-Road Small Spark-Ignition Engine Emission Regulations		• EED-NCR will develop a national internal file referral process between programs and EED.	• PYR EED will review and evaluate the internal referral process to ensure regional needs are met. • PYR EED will ensure implementation at the regional level to ensure national consistency	0.5			• Regions to consider options for identifying quantitative reductions in release of air pollutants as a result of inspections and enforcement response.	•	•
Total Resources Needs:				2	0	50			
QUÉBEC									
Objectifs nationaux	Démarche nationale	Cibles, engagements, conseils aux régions	Intervention régionale	Ressource			Commentaires	Suivi des progrès (Mi-exercice)	Suivi des progrès (Fin de l'exercice)
				ETP	HS	F et E			
1. Règlement sur les émissions des véhicules routiers et de leurs moteurs	• Fondation du Groupe de travail national pour coordonner et assurer l'application uniforme de ces règlements.	• Les responsables régionaux de même que le soutien régional au Groupe de travail sur la qualité de l'air participeront activement à la stratégie nationale à l'échelle régionale, notamment à sa mise en œuvre.	• Participation des inspections et du renseignement	1	5	19	• La Direction des services de renseignements a pris connaissance de documents suggérant une augmentation de l'importation de véhicules et de moteurs non conformes.	•	•
2. Règlement sur les émissions des moteurs hors route à allumage par compression									
3. Règlement sur les émissions des petits moteurs hors route à allumage commandé		• La DALE-RCN élaborera un processus national de renvoi des dossiers internes entre les programmes et la DALE.	•				• Les régions doivent déterminer comment évaluer la réduction de l'émission de polluants atmosphériques découlant des inspections et des mesures d'application de la loi.	•	•
Total des besoins en ressources :				1	5	19			

1.4 - On and Off Road Vehicle and Engine Emission Regulation

OVERALL

Objectifs nationaux	Démarche nationale	Cibles, engagements, conseils aux régions		Ressource			
				ETP	HS	F et E	
1. Règlement sur les émissions des véhicules routiers et de leurs moteurs	• Fondation du Groupe de travail national pour coordonner et assurer l'application uniforme de ces règlements.	• Les responsables régionaux de même que le soutien régional au Groupe de travail sur la qualité de l'air participeront activement à la stratégie nationale à l'échelle régionale, notamment à sa mise en œuvre.		9.6	15	242	
2. Règlement sur les émissions des moteurs hors route à allumage par compression							
3. Règlement sur les émissions des petits moteurs hors route à allumage commandé		• La DALE-RCN élaborera un processus national de renvoi des dossiers internes entre les programmes et la DALE.		0.77	0	0	
Total des besoins en ressources :				10.37	15	242	

1.5 - Perfluorooctane Sulfonate and its Salts and Certain Other Compounds Regulations

NCR									
National Objective	National Approach	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions	Regional Response	Resource			Comments	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
				FTE	OT	O & M			
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>EED will get a list of compliance promotion targets from EPOD in April 09. From the list provided by program, Regions will select a limited number of targets for compliance verification in 09-10, focusing on most impacted facilities</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Compile regional lists of targeted facilities and ensure coherence (Inspections PM-06: 0.05)</li><li>Address issue with lab capacity for this product with LABS and Program (DD, Inspections PM-04) - covered under Overarching Activity #14</li></ul>	0.05			Program anticipates that the regulatees most impacted (i.e., facing greatest hardship to comply) are:  1. Electroplating Companies– wrt fume suppressants  2. Department of National Defence - wrt fire fighting foam		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>EED-NCR will develop with program a referral protocol for any files that will require follow-up in the regions.</li></ul>	Covered under Overarching Activity #14)				(Estimated size of the regulated community: 200)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
Total Resources Needs:				0.05	0	0			

ATLANTIC									
National Objective	National Approach	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions	Regional Response	Resources			Comments	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
				FTE	OT	O & M			
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>EED will get a list of compliance promotion targets from EPOD in April 09. From the list provided by program, Regions will select a limited number of targets for compliance verification in 09-10, focusing on most impacted facilities</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>While this is priority regulation there has been no Compliance Promotion in this region. Inspections will be incidental to other inspections and will be used to compile information for future work on this regulation.</li><li></li></ul>	0.25			Program anticipates that the regulatees most impacted (i.e., facing greatest hardship to comply) are:  1. Electroplating Companies– wrt fume suppressants	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>EED-NCR will develop with program a referral protocol for any files that will require follow-up in the regions.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>				2. Department of National Defence - wrt fire fighting foam  (Estimated size of the regulated community: 200)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
Total Resources Needs:				0.25	0	0			

1.5 - Perfluorooctane Sulfonate and its Salts and Certain Other Compounds Regulations

ONTARIO									
National Objective	National Approach	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions	Regional Response	Resource			Comments	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
				FTE	OT	O & M			
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>EED will get a list of compliance promotion targets from EPOD in April 09. From the list provided by program, Regions will select a limited number of targets for compliance verification in 09-10, focusing on most impacted facilities</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>conduct limited number of inspections based on referrals</li><li>anticipate 10 inspections</li><li>no anticipated investigation this fiscal year</li></ul>	0.2		2	Program anticipates that the regulatees most impacted (i.e., facing greatest hardship to comply) are:  1. Electroplating Companies–wrt fume suppressants	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>EED-NCR will develop with program a referral protocol for any files that will require follow-up in the regions.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>				2. Department of National Defence - wrt fire fighting foam  (Estimated size of the regulated community: 200)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
Total Resources Needs:				0.2	0	2			
PNR									
National Objective	National Approach	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions	Regional Response	Resource			Comments	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
				FTE	OT	O & M			
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>EED will get a list of compliance promotion targets from EPOD in April 09. From the list provided by program, Regions will select a limited number of targets for compliance verification in 09-10, focusing on most impacted facilities</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>no lab capability at this time to support inspections</li><li>Will collect 5 samples assuming lab can handle them</li></ul>	0.1		2.5	Program anticipates that the regulatees most impacted (i.e., facing greatest hardship to comply) are:  1. Electroplating Companies–wrt fume suppressants	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>EED-NCR will develop with program a referral protocol for any files that will require follow-up in the regions.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>n/a</li><li></li></ul>				2. Department of National Defence - wrt fire fighting foam  (Estimated size of the regulated community: 200)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li><li></li></ul>
Total Resources Needs:				0.1	0	2.5			

1.5 - Perfluorooctane Sulfonate and its Salts and Certain Other Compounds Regulations

PYR									
National Objective	National Approach	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions	Regional Response	Resource			Comments	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
				FTE	OT	O & M			
		• EED will get a list of compliance promotion targets from EPOD in April 09. From the list provided by program, Regions will select a limited number of targets for compliance verification in 09-10, focusing on most impacted facilities	• PYR EED will work with regional EPOD in consultation with Intel to develop a list of potential target for follow up inspections	0.5		20	Program anticipates that the regulatees most impacted (i.e., facing greatest hardship to comply) are:	•	•
		• EED-NCR will develop with program a referral protocol for any files that will require follow-up in the regions.	• PYR EED will review and evaluate the internal referral process to ensure regional needs are met. • PYR EED will ensure implementation at the regional level to ensure national consistency	0.2			1. Electroplating Companies–wrt fume suppressants  2. Department of National Defence - wrt fire fighting foam  (Estimated size of the regulated community: 200)	•	•
Total Resources Needs:				0.7	0	20			
QUÉBEC									
Objectifs nationaux	Démarche nationale	Cibles, engagements et conseils aux régions	Intervention régionale	Ressource			Commentaires	Suivi des progrès (Mi-exercice)	Suivi des progrès (Fin de l'exercice)
				ETP	HS	F et E			
		• En avril 2009, la DAPE remettra à la DALE une liste de cibles auprès desquelles il faudrait promouvoir la conformité. À partir de la liste fournie par les responsables du Programme, les régions choisiront un certain nombre de cibles qui feront l'objet d'une vérification de la conformité en 2009-2010. L'accent sera mis sur les installations les plus touchées.	I y a 20 inspections planifiées pour l'année 2009-2010	0.3			Les responsables du Programme prévoient que les organisations réglementées les plus touchées (c'est-à-dire qui ont le plus de difficulté à se conformer aux lois et règlements) seront :	•	•
		• En collaboration avec les responsables du Programme, la DALE-RCN élaborera un protocole de renvoi pour les dossiers qui nécessiteront un suivi dans les régions.	•				1. les entreprises d'électroplacage (dispositif d'élimination des vapeurs);  2. le ministère de la Défense nationale (mousse extinctrice).  (Nombre estimatif d'organisations réglementées : 200)	•	•
Total des besoins en ressources :				0.3	0	0			

1.5 - Perfluorooctane Sulfonate and its Salts and Certain Other Compounds Regulations

OVERALL						
Objectifs nationaux	Démarche nationale	Cibles, engagements et conseils aux régions		Ressource		
				ETP	HS	F et E
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>En avril 2009, la DAPE remettra à la DALE une liste de cibles auprès desquelles il faudrait promouvoir la conformité. À partir de la liste fournie par les responsables du Programme, les régions choisiront un certain nombre de cibles qui feront l'objet d'une vérification de la conformité en 2009-2010. L'accent sera mis sur les installations les plus touchées.</li></ul>		1.4	0	24.5
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>En collaboration avec les responsables du Programme, la DALE-RCN élaborera un protocole de renvoi pour les dossiers qui nécessiteront un suivi dans les régions.</li></ul>		0.2	0	0
Total des besoins en ressources :				1.6	0	24.5



1.6 Maintenance Regulations

NCR									
Regulation	National Objectives / National Approach	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions	Regional Response	Resources			Comments	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
				FTE	OT	O & M			
Metal Mining Effluent Regulations (MMER)	<p><u>National Objectives:</u></p> <p>Implement the joint EED-EPOD-Program priority pilot project focusing on new mines.</p> <p>* Although qualified as a “Maintenance Regulation”, regions expect to allocate a significant proportion of their inspection resources due to significant non compliance issues and potential high environmental impact.</p> <p><u>National Approach:</u></p> <p>EED activities will continue to focus on new mines and mines that have recurring compliance issues.</p>	<p>• This reg was a joint compro-enforcement priority in 08-09. Enforcement and CPAD will look at accomplishment made/result achieved. EED-NCR will finalize its analysis of results and accomplishments in this sector in 09-10.</p> <p>• Regions will identify targets for inspection in 09-10 based on the list of new mines to be provided by EPOD and coordinate activities with Regional EPOD.</p> <p>• EED commits to continue reviewing RISS reports and take enforcement action as required and conduct inspections (on-site, off-site) on potential and recurring cases of non-compliance.</p>	<p>• 1- Finalize report on 08-09 MMER files (new mines) (Jean-Philippe)</p> <p>• 2- Compile regional lists of targeted new mines and ensure the region's inspections activities are synchronized with Compro (Inspections PM-06: 0.05)</p> <p>• 3- Maintain ledger on new mines inspections (Inspections PM-04: 0.05)</p> <p>• Participate in Joint Priority Project WG (Inspections PM-06) covered under Overarching Activity #14</p>	0.10			<p>• Very high environmental impact and significant non compliance found in 08-09.</p> <p>• High visibility and public scrutiny associated with Regulations due to concerns over provisions which allow natural water bodies to be used as tailings impoundment areas at certain sites.</p> <p>• There were 93 metal mines subject to the MMER in 2007.</p>		
	<p><b>Fuels Regulations</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Benzene in Gasoline</li><li>• Contaminated Fuel</li><li>• Fuels Information Regulations, No. 1</li><li>• Gasoline and Gasoline Blend</li></ul> <p>Dispensing Flow Rate</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Gasoline</li><li>• Sulphur in Diesel Fuel</li><li>• Sulphur in Gasoline</li></ul>	<p><u>Flow Rate Dispensing Regulation</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Regions will identify opportunities to conduct collateral inspections, aiming for 30-40 inspections per region (1%).</li></ul> <p>• EED NCR will pursue discussions with Industry Canada for the development of an MOU to provide training to Enforcement Officers and to conduct inspections on our behalf in future years.</p> <p>• A THA for this Regulation will be developed by the nspection Management Team. Via the Working Group, the Inspection Team will identify and address, prior to conducting inspections, operational issues associated with this regulation.</p> <p><u>Other Fuel Regs.</u></p> <p>Regions are expected to maintain historical level of activity for importers and refineries.</p>	<p>• Connect with IC and pursue discussions on potential development of an MOU in consultation with SPCD (Inspections PM-06: 0.1)</p> <p>• DD (0.05)</p>	0.15			<p>• High for public and government expectation/visibility. The renewable fuel regulations are currently under an audit by the OAG as per the KPIA. In addition, results from a previous OAG audit on the fuels regulations are to be released this year.</p> <p>• Regulatees: Fuel Producers - 18 refineries, 30+ renewable fuel producers and 15+ blending facilities; Fuels Importers - 30+ importers; Fuels Retailers - up to 20 000 service stations.</p>		
PERC (Dry Cleaning/Reporting Requirements)		<p>• Regions are expected to maintain minimal enforcement activity based on geographical distribution of the regulated community and the annual reports.</p>	N/A					<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>
Disposal at Sea Regulations		<p>• EED will continue to follow-up on referrals from program and DFO when conditions of permits are not being complied with, and when disposal occurs without a permit (e.g. disposal of fish offal)</p> <p>• EED-NCR will continue to monitor activities and discussion associated with the London Convention, particularly with the Compliance Working Group.</p>	<p>• covered under Overarching Activity #11 (Inspections)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li></ul>					<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>
Pulp and Paper Effluent Regulations (PPER)	<p><u>National Objectives:</u></p> <p>* Although qualified as a “Maintenance Regulation”, regions expect to allocate a significant proportion of their inspection resources due to significant non compliance issues and potential high environmental impact.</p>	<p>• EED commits to continue reviewing RISS reports and take enforcement action as required</p> <p>• Regions will conduct inspections based on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• releases out of the normal course of event</li><li>• mills with recurring non-compliance issues</li><li>• closed mills under 36(3) (emerging issue raised at the PPER WG)</li></ul>	<p>• Covered under the tab: (1.2 FA 36(3) - a total of ~ 1.05 FTE will be assigned to complete this task.</p>				<p>Very high environmental impact.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>
Total Resources Needs:				0.25	0	0			

1.6 Maintenance Regulations

ATLANTIC									
Regulation	National Objectives / National Approach	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions	Regional Response	Resources			Comments	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
				FTE	OT	O & M			
Metal Mining Effluent Regulations (MMER)	<p><u>National Objectives:</u></p> <p>Implement the joint EED-EPOD-Program priority pilot project focusing on new mines.</p> <p>* Although qualified as a "Maintenance Regulation", regions expect to allocate a significant proportion of their inspection resources due to significant non compliance issues and potential high environmental impact.</p> <p><u>National Approach:</u></p> <p>EED activities will continue to focus on new mines and mines that have recurring compliance issues.</p>	<p>• This reg was a joint compro-enforcement priority in 08-09. Enforcement and CPAD will look at accomplishment made/result achieved. EED-NCR will finalize its analysis of results and accomplishments in this sector in 09-10.</p> <p>• Regions will identify targets for inspection in 09-10 based on the list of new mines to be provided by EPOD and coordinate activities with Regional EPOD.</p> <p>• EED commits to continue reviewing RISS reports and take enforcement action as required and conduct inspections (on-site, off-site) on potential and recurring cases of non-compliance.</p>	<p>• There area total of ten mines requiring at least one yearly inspection as well as reviews of monthly reports.</p> <p>• All mines in AR have had challenges in the last few years and it is the intention to inspect them all in consideration of those challenges (ongoing bankruptcy proceeding, ongoing failures in TSS and acute lethality)</p>	0.25	0.1		<p>• Very high environmental impact and significant non compliance found in 08-09.</p> <p>• High visibility and public scrutiny associated with Regulations due to concerns over provisions which allow natural water bodies to be used as tailings impoundment areas at certain sites.</p> <p>• There were 93 metal mines subject to the MMER in 2007.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>
	<p><b>Fuels Regulations</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Benzene in Gasoline</li><li>• Contaminated Fuel</li><li>• Fuels Information Regulations, No. 1</li><li>• Gasoline and Gasoline Blend Dispensing Flow Rate</li><li>• Gasoline</li><li>• Sulphur in Diesel Fuel</li><li>• Sulphur in Gasoline</li></ul>	<p><u>National Approach:</u></p> <p>EED will maintain current activity level on importers and refineries.</p>	<p><u>Flow Rate Dispensing Regulation</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Regions will identify opportunities to conduct collateral inspections, aiming for 30-40 inspections per region (1%).</li></ul> <p>• EED NCR will pursue discussions with Industry Canada for the development of an MOU to provide training to Enforcement Officers and to conduct inspections on our behalf in future years.</p> <p>• A THA for this Regulation will be developed by the nspection Management Team. Via the Working Group, the Inspection Team will identify and address, prior to conducting inspections, operational issues associated with this regulation.</p> <p><u>Other Fuel Regs.</u></p> <p>Regions are expected to maintain historical level of activity for importers and refineries.</p>	<p>• There will be on and off site inspections of the three refineries in the Atlantic provinces as well as spot inspections of the sulphur and benzene in Gasoline and Diesel</p> <p>• A total of 30 inspections are planned under the flow rate Regulation.</p>	0.25			<p>• High for public and government expectation/visibility. The renewable fuel regulations are currently under an audit by the OAG as per the KPIA. In addition, results from a previous OAG audit on the fuels regulations are to be released this year.</p> <p>• Regulatees: Fuel Producers - 18 refineries, 30+ renewable fuel producers and 15+ blending facilities; Fuels Importers - 30+ importers; Fuels Retailers - up to 20 000 service stations.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>
PERC (Dry Cleaning/Reporting Requirements)		<p>• Regions are expected to maintain minimal enforcement activity based on geographical distribution of the regulated community and the annual reports.</p>	<p>•There are a total of nineteen planned onsite inspections as well as a yearly offsite inspection for each facility in the region.</p>	0.2				<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>
Disposal at Sea Regulations		<p>• EED will continue to follow-up on referrals from program and DFO when conditions of permits are not being complied with, and when disposal occurs without a permit (e.g. disposal of fish offal)</p> <p>• EED-NCR will continue to monitor activities and discussion associated with the London Convention, particularly with the Compliance Working Group.</p>	<p>• There have been 12 targets identified, there will also be onsite inspections to cover complaints during the disposal season.</p> <p>• Targets were developed by a regional lead taking into account disposal type, location, production season and past issues.</p>	0.1				<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>
Pulp and Paper Effluent Regulations (PPER)	<p><u>National Objectives:</u></p> <p>* Although qualified as a "Maintenance Regulation", regions expect to allocate a significant proportion of their inspection resources due to significant non compliance issues and potential high environmental impact.</p>	<p>• EED commits to continue reviewing RISS reports and take enforcement action as required</p> <p>• Regions will conduct inspections based on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• releases out of the normal course of event</li><li>• mills with recurring non-compliance issues</li><li>• closed mills under 36(3) (emerging issue raised at the PPER WG)</li></ul>	<p>• There have been a total of eighteen targets identified for onsite inspection as well there is a monthly report from each mill to review.</p>	0.4			<p>Very high environmental impact.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>
Total Resources Needs:				1.2	0.1	0			

1.6 Maintenance Regulations

ONTARIO									
Regulation	National Objectives / National Approach	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions	Regional Response	Resources			Comments	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
				FTE	OT	O & M			
Metal Mining Effluent Regulations (MMER)	<p><u>National Objectives:</u></p> <p>Implement the joint EED-EPOD-Program priority pilot project focusing on new mines.</p> <p>* Although qualified as a "Maintenance Regulation", regions expect to allocate a significant proportion of their inspection resources due to significant non compliance issues and potential high environmental impact.</p> <p><u>National Approach:</u></p> <p>EED activities will continue to focus on new mines and mines that have recurring compliance issues.</p>	<p>• This reg was a joint compro-enforcement priority in 08-09. Enforcement and CPAD will look at accomplishment made/result achieved. EED-NCR will finalize its analysis of results and accomplishments in this sector in 09-10.</p> <p>• Regions will identify targets for inspection in 09-10 based on the list of new mines to be provided by EPOD and coordinate activities with Regional EPOD.</p> <p>• EED commits to continue reviewing RISS reports and take enforcement action as required and conduct inspections (on-site, off-site) on potential and recurring cases of non-compliance.</p>	<p>• all new mining operations will be inspected</p> <p>• all mines reporting non compliances will be inspected Expect 150 inspections. Expected high rate of non compliance will result in multiple investigations</p> <p>• anticipate 6 investigations</p>	4		171	<p>• Very high environmental impact and significant non compliance found in 08-09.</p> <p>• High visibility and public scrutiny associated with Regulations due to concerns over provisions which allow natural water bodies to be used as tailings impoundment areas at certain sites.</p> <p>• There were 93 metal mines subject to the MMER in 2007.</p>	<p>•</p> <p>•</p>	<p>•</p> <p>•</p>
Fuels Regulations	<p><u>National Approach:</u></p> <p>EED will maintain current activity level on importers and refineries.</p>	<p><u>Flow Rate Dispensing Regulation</u></p> <p>• Regions will identify opportunities to conduct collateral inspections, aiming for 30-40 inspections per region (1%).</p> <p>• EED NCR will pursue discussions with Industry Canada for the development of an MOU to provide training to Enforcement Officers and to conduct inspections on our behalf in future years.</p> <p>• A THA for this Regulation will be developed by the nspection Management Team. Via the Working Group, the Inspection Team will identify and address, prior to conducting inspections, operational issues associated with this regulation.</p> <p><u>Other Fuel Regs.</u></p> <p>Regions are expected to maintain historical level of activity for importers and refineries.</p>	<p>• conduct inspections at 30 retail facilities for all fuel regulations including fuel dispensing</p> <p>• conduct 121 inspections for four fuels regulations</p> <p>• anticipate 3 investigations</p>	0.65		39	<p>• High for public and government expectation/ visibility. The renewable fuel regulations are currently under an audit by the OAG as per the KPIA. In addition, results from a previous OAG audit on the fuels regulations are to be released this year.</p> <p>• Regulatees: Fuel Producers - 18 refineries, 30+ renewable fuel producers and 15+ blending facilities; Fuels Importers - 30+ importers; Fuels Retailers - up to 20 000 service stations.</p>	<p>•</p> <p>•</p>	<p>•</p> <p>•</p>
PERC (Dry Cleaning/Reporting Requirements)		<p>• Regions are expected to maintain minimal enforcement activity based on geographical distribution of the regulated community and the annual reports.</p>	<p>• conduct 180 (10%) inspections of facilities that have not been inspected</p> <p>• anticipate 2 investigations</p>	1.3		62		<p>•</p> <p>•</p>	<p>•</p> <p>•</p>
Disposal at Sea Regulations		<p>• EED will continue to follow-up on referrals from program and DFO when conditions of permits are not being complied with, and when disposal occurs without a permit (e.g. disposal of fish offal)</p> <p>• EED-NCR will continue to monitor activities and discussion associated with the London Convention, particularly with the Compliance Working Group.</p>	NA					<p>•</p> <p>•</p>	<p>•</p> <p>•</p>
Pulp and Paper Effluent Regulations (PPER)	<p><u>National Objectives:</u></p> <p>* Although qualified as a "Maintenance Regulation", regions expect to allocate a significant proportion of their inspection resources due to significant non compliance issues and potential high environmental impact.</p>	<p>• EED commits to continue reviewing RISS reports and take enforcement action as required</p> <p>• Regions will conduct inspections based on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• releases out of the normal course of event</li><li>• mills with recurring non-compliance issues</li><li>• closed mills under 36(3) (emerging issue raised at the PPER WG)</li></ul>	<p>• conduct 200 inspections</p> <p>• anticipate 6 investigations</p> <p>Maintenance Regulations - RISS reports are internal documents so reviewing them are not considered inspections, rather they may trigger us doing an inspection.</p>	3		74	Very high environmental impact.	<p>•</p> <p>•</p>	<p>•</p> <p>•</p>
Total Resources Needs:				8.95	0	346			

1.6 Maintenance Regulations

PNR									
Regulation	National Objectives / National Approach	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions	Regional Response	Resources			Comments	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
				FTE	QT	O & M			
<b>Metal Mining Effluent Regulations (MMER)</b>	<u>National Objectives:</u>  Implement the joint EED-EPOD-Program priority pilot project focusing on new mines.  * Although qualified as a "Maintenance Regulation", regions expect to allocate a significant proportion of their inspection resources due to significant non compliance issues and potential high environmental impact.  <u>National Approach:</u>  EED activities will continue to focus on new mines and mines that have recurring compliance issues.	  • This reg was a joint compro-enforcement priority in 08-09. Enforcement and CPAD will look at accomplishment made/result achieved. EED-NCR will finalize its analysis of results and accomplishments in this sector in 09-10.  • Regions will identify targets for inspection in 09-10 based on the list of new mines to be provided by EPOD and coordinate activities with Regional EPOD.  • EED commits to continue reviewing RISS reports and take enforcement action as required and conduct inspections (on-site, off-site) on potential and recurring cases of non-compliance.	  • PNR will continue to conduct inspections of new mines and existing mines with compliance problems. • 175 off site inspections and 12 on-site	1.9		53.5	  • Very high environmental impact and significant non compliance found in 08-09.  • High visibility and public scrutiny associated with Regulations due to concerns over provisions which allow natural water bodies to be used as tailings impoundment areas at certain sites.  • There were 93 metal mines subject to the MMER in 2007.	  • •	  • •
<b>Fuels Regulations</b> • Benzene in Gasoline • Contaminated Fuel • Fuels Information Regulations, No. 1 • Gasoline and Gasoline Blend Dispensing Flow Rate • Gasoline • Sulphur in Diesel Fuel • Sulphur in Gasoline	<u>National Approach:</u>  EED will maintain current activity level on importers and refineries.	<u>Flow Rate Dispensing Regulation</u> • Regions will identify opportunities to conduct collateral inspections, aiming for 30-40 inspections per region (1%).  • EED NCR will pursue discussions with Industry Canada for the development of an MOU to provide training to Enforcement Officers and to conduct inspections on our behalf in future years.  • A THA for this Regulation will be developed by the nspection Management Team. Via the Working Group, the Inspection Team will identify and address, prior to conducting inspections, operational issues associated with this regulation.  <u>Other Fuel Regs.</u> Regions are expected to maintain historical level of activity for importers and refineries.	• (flow rate regs) PNR will conduct 1% of all facilities once THA and Protocol has been finalized. • (other regs) We will continue to inspect refineries and importers.				  • High for public and government expectation/ visibility. The renewable fuel regulations are currently under an audit by the OAG as per the KPIA. In addition, results from a previous OAG audit on the fuels regulations are to be released this year.  • Regulatees: Fuel Producers - 18 refineries, 30+ renewable fuel producers and 15+ blending facilities; Fuels Importers - 30+ importers; Fuels Retailers - up to 20 000 service stations.	  • •	  • •
			Flow dispensing regs - 20 inspections	0.2		2			
			Other Fuel regulations	1.21		38.2			
	<b>PERC (Dry Cleaning/Reporting Requirements)</b>		• Regions are expected to maintain minimal enforcement activity based on geographical distribution of the regulated community and the annual reports.	• Review annual reports and respond to repeat offenders •	2		39	  • •	  • •
<b>Disposal at Sea Regulations</b>		  • EED will continue to follow-up on referrals from program and DFO when conditions of permits are not being complied with, and when disposal occurs without a permit (e.g. disposal of fish offal)  • EED-NCR will continue to monitor activities and discussion associated with the London Convention, particularly with the Compliance Working Group.	  • We will continue to inspect all permitsidentified by programs issued within the region •	0.1		8	  • •	  • •	
<b>Pulp and Paper Effluent Regulations (PPER)</b>	<u>National Objectives:</u>  * Although qualified as a "Maintenance Regulation", regions expect to allocate a significant proportion of their inspection resources due to significant non compliance issues and potential high environmental impact.	  • EED commits to continue reviewing RISS reports and take enforcement action as required • Regions will conduct inspections based on: • releases out of the normal course of event • mills with recurring non-compliance issues • closed mills under 36(3) (emerging issue raised at the PPER WG)	  • PNR will conduct inspections based on: • releases out of the normal course of event • mills with recurring non-compliance issues • closed mills under 36(3) (emerging issue raised at the PPER WG) • Will conduct off-site inspections of reports	1.5		17.14	  Very high environmental impact.	  • •	  • •
Total Resources Needs:				6.91	0	157.84			

1.6 Maintenance Regulations

PYR

PYR									
Regulation	National Objectives / National Approach	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions	Regional Response	Resources			Comments	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
				FTE	OT	O & M			
Metal Mining Effluent Regulations (MMER)	<p><u>National Objectives:</u></p> <p>Implement the joint EED-EPOD-Program priority pilot project focusing on new mines.</p> <p>* Although qualified as a “Maintenance Regulation”, regions expect to allocate a significant proportion of their inspection resources due to significant non compliance issues and potential high environmental impact.</p> <p><u>National Approach:</u></p> <p>EED activities will continue to focus on new mines and mines that have recurring compliance issues.</p>	<p>• This reg was a joint compro-enforcement priority in 08-09. Enforcement and CPAD will look at accomplishment made/result achieved. EED-NCR will finalize its analysis of results and accomplishments in this sector in 09-10.</p> <p>• Regions will identify targets for inspection in 09-10 based on the list of new mines to be provided by EPOD and coordinate activities with Regional EPOD.</p> <p>• EED commits to continue reviewing RISS reports and take enforcement action as required and conduct inspections (on-site, off-site) on potential and recurring cases of non-compliance.</p>	<p>• PYR EED will coordinate developing workplans with EPOD Mining lead for the purpose of identifying potentially new metal mines in BC and Yukon for inspections.</p> <p>• PYR EED will coordinate the monitoring of RISS reports with EPOD lead and follow up on potential non compliance with the MMER</p>	2	15	150	<p>• Very high environmental impact and significant non compliance found in 08-09.</p> <p>• High visibility and public scrutiny associated with Regulations due to concerns over provisions which allow natural water bodies to be used as tailings impoundment areas at certain sites.</p> <p>• There were 93 metal mines subject to the MMER in 2007.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li></ul>
	<p><b>Fuels Regulations</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Benzene in Gasoline</li><li>• Contaminated Fuel</li><li>• Fuels Information Regulations, No. 1</li><li>• Gasoline and Gasoline Blend Dispensing Flow Rate</li><li>• Gasoline</li><li>• Sulphur in Diesel Fuel</li><li>• Sulphur in Gasoline</li></ul>	<p><u>National Approach:</u></p> <p>EED will maintain current activity level on importers and refineries.</p>	<p><u>Flow Rate Dispensing Regulation</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Regions will identify opportunities to conduct collateral inspections, aiming for 30-40 inspections per region (1%).</li><li>• EED NCR will pursue discussions with Industry Canada for the development of an MOU to provide training to Enforcement Officers and to conduct inspections on our behalf in future years.</li><li>• A THA for this Regulation will be developed by the nspection Management Team. Via the Working Group, the Inspection Team will identify and address, prior to conducting inspections, operational issues associated with this regulation.</li></ul> <p><u>Other Fuel Regs.</u></p> <p>Regions are expected to maintain historical level of activity for importers and refineries.</p>	<p>Pending completion of THA for this reg, P&amp;Y will conduct insp under this reg in consultation with programs will identify collateral insps</p> <p>• PYR EED will contribute to the development of a national strategy including input to the THA by the regional enforcement lead through the Fuels WG• PYR EED will continue to monitor and inspect importers of fuel into BC; priority will be placed on marine imports from the USA.</p>	1	5	35	<p>• High for public and government expectation/visibility. The renewable fuel regulations are currently under an audit by the OAG as per the KPIA. In addition, results from a previous OAG audit on the fuels regulations are to be released this year.</p> <p>• Regulatees: Fuel Producers - 18 refineries, 30+ renewable fuel producers and 15+ blending facilities; Fuels Importers - 30+ importers; Fuels Retailers - up to 20 000 service stations.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>
PERC (Dry Cleaning/Reporting Requirements)		<p>• Regions are expected to maintain minimal enforcement activity based on geographical distribution of the regulated community and the annual reports.</p>	<p>• PYR EED will continue to review submitted annual PERC report usage and coordinate with regional Intel in identifying potential non compliant facilities.</p> <p>• PYR EED will place priority in following up with progress on issued EPCOs last FY.</p>	0.4		25		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>
Disposal at Sea Regulations		<p>• EED will continue to follow-up on referrals from program and DFO when conditions of permits are not being complied with, and when disposal occurs without a permit (e.g. disposal of fish offal)</p> <p>• EED-NCR will continue to monitor activities and discussion associated with the London Convention, particularly with the Compliance Working Group.</p>	<p>• PYR EED will continue to coordinate and develop workplans with the regional DAS office in identifying potential non compliant dredge operators and place priority in inspecting sites at remote locations where there is minimal CCG vessel traffic monitoring</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li></ul>	0.75		25	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>
Pulp and Paper Effluent Regulations (PPER)	<p><u>National Objectives:</u></p> <p>* Although qualified as a "Maintenance Regulation", regions expect to allocate a significant proportion of their inspection resources due to significant non compliance issues and potential high environmental impact.</p>	<p>• EED commits to continue reviewing RISS reports and take enforcement action as required</p> <p>• Regions will conduct inspections based on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• releases out of the normal course of event</li><li>• mills with recurring non-compliance issues</li><li>• closed mills under 36(3) (emerging issue raised at the PPER WG)</li></ul>	<p>• PYR EED will continue to monitor RISS reports, identify potential non compliant events and take appropriate action as necessary</p> <p>• PYR EED will coordinate with EPOD in developing a workplan to identify and inspect pulp mills with recurring problems and mills that are close and/plan to shut down.</p>	0.5		15	Very high environmental impact.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>
Total Resources Needs:				4.65	20	250			

1.6 Maintenance Regulations

QUÉBEC									
Règlement	Objectifs nationaux - Démarche nationale	Cibles, engagements, conseils aux régions	Intervention régionale	Ressources			Commentaires	Suivi des progrès (Mi-exercice)	Suivi des progrès (Fin de l'exercice)
				ETP	HS	F et E			
Règlement sur les effluents des mines de métaux (REMM)	<p><u>Objectifs nationaux :</u></p> <p>Mettre en œuvre le projet pilote prioritaire du programme commun entre la DALE et la DAPE en mettant l'accent sur les nouvelles mines.</p> <p>* Bien que ce règlement soit qualifié de « règlement d'entretien », les régions sont censées y consacrer une part importante de leurs ressources d'inspection du fait de sérieux problèmes de conformité et de risques élevés pour l'environnement.</p> <p><u>Démarche nationale :</u></p> <p>Les activités de la DALE continueront de cibler les nouvelles mines et les mines qui connaissent des problèmes récurrents de conformité.</p>	<p>• Ce règlement était une priorité mixte en matière de promotion de la conformité et d'application de la loi en 2008-2009. La DGAL et la DPAC se pencheront sur les réalisations et les résultats obtenus. La DALE-RCN complétera son analyse des résultats et des réalisations obtenus dans ce secteur en 2009-2010.</p> <p>• Les régions détermineront les cibles qui feront l'objet d'une inspection en 2009-2010 à partir de la liste des nouvelles mines fournie par la DAPE, et coordonneront les activités avec la DAPE régionale.</p> <p>• La DALE s'engage à continuer d'examiner les rapports du SITDR, à prendre des mesures coercitives si nécessaire et à mener des inspections (sur site ou hors site) dans les cas potentiels et récurrents de non-conformité.</p>	<p>• La région du Québec va travailler avec EPOD pour identifier les nouvelles mines.</p>	0.9	10	22	<p>• Incidence très élevée sur l'environnement et cas importants de non-conformité relevés en 2008-2009.</p> <p>• Forte visibilité et attention du public du fait d'inquiétudes soulevées par les dispositions qui permettent l'utilisation des plans d'eau naturels comme zones de dépôts de résidus miniers à certains endroits.</p> <p>• En 2007, 93 mines de métaux étaient soumises au REMM.</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>
Règlements sur les combustibles <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Benzène dans l'essence</li><li>• Combustibles contaminés</li><li>• Règlement n° 1 concernant les renseignements sur les combustibles</li><li>• Débit de distribution de l'essence et de ses mélanges</li><li>• Essence</li><li>• Soufre dans le carburant diesel</li><li>• Soufre dans l'essence</li></ul>	<p><u>Démarche nationale :</u></p> <p>La DALE maintiendra le niveau d'activité actuel visant les importateurs et les raffineries.</p>	<p><u>Règlement sur le débit de distribution</u></p> <p>• Les régions détermineront les occasions de mener des inspections collatérales, avec pour objectif de faire 30 à 40 inspections par région (1 %).</p> <p>• La DGAL-RCN poursuivra les discussions avec Industrie Canada pour l'élaboration d'un PE visant à former les AA et à mener des inspections pour notre compte dans les années à venir.</p> <p>• Une analyse des risques liés à ce règlement sera réalisée par l'équipe de gestion de l'inspection. Par l'intermédiaire du Groupe de travail, l'équipe d'inspection cernera et règlera les problèmes opérationnels liés à ce règlement avant de mener les inspections.</p> <p><u>Autres règlements sur les combustibles</u></p> <p>Les régions sont censées maintenir le niveau d'activité habituel visant les importateurs et les raffineries.</p>	<p>• Prévue</p>	1	5	19.4	<p>• Attentes et visibilité élevées de la part de la population et du gouvernement. Les règlements sur les combustibles renouvelables font actuellement l'objet d'un examen par le BVG en vertu de la <i>Loi de mise en œuvre du Protocole de Kyoto</i> . En outre, les résultats d'un examen précédent doivent être publiés cette année.</p> <p>• Entités réglementées : producteurs de combustible : 18 raffineries, plus de 30 producteurs de combustible renouvelable et plus de 15 installations de mélange; importateurs de combustible : plus de 30 importateurs; détaillants de combustible : jusqu'à 20 000 stations-service.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>
	Reglement sur le Tetrachloroethyene	<p>• Les régions sont censées maintenir une activité d'application de la loi minimale en fonction de la distribution géographique des organisations réglementées et des rapports annuels.</p>	<p>• Nous prévoyons seulement 135 inspections sur le site, soit 20%, ce qui n'est pas grand chose compte tenu que ce secteur a un taux de conformité de moins de 50% et que l'an passé il était à 15%. 10% ne serait pas adéquat.</p>	2.3	6	19.4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	

1.6 Maintenance Regulations									
QUÉBEC Cnt.									
Règlement	Objectifs nationaux - Démarche nationale	Cibles, engagements, conseils aux régions	Intervention régionale	Ressources			Commentaires	Suivi des progrès (Mi-exercice)	Suivi des progrès (Fin de l'exercice)
				ETP	HS	F et E			
Règlement sur l'immersion en mer		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• La DALE continuera de faire le suivi des dossiers transmis par les responsables du Programme et du MPO en cas de non-respect des conditions du permis et ou d'immersion sans permis (l'immersion en mer de déchets de poisson, par exemple).</li><li>• La DALE-RCN continuera de suivre les activités et les discussions associées à la Convention de Londres, tout particulièrement en ce qui concerne le Groupe de travail sur la conformité.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	0.4	3	11.5		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>
Règlement sur les effluents des fabriques de pâtes et papiers (REFPP)	<p><u>Objectifs nationaux :</u></p> <p>* Bien que ce règlement soit qualifié de « règlement d'entretien », les régions sont censées y consacrer une part importante de leurs ressources d'inspection du fait de sérieux problèmes de conformité et de risques élevés pour l'environnement.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• La DALE s'engage à continuer la revision des rapports SITDR et prendras les mesures nécessaires en fonction de l'aplication de la loi.</li><li>• Les régions feront des inspections en fonction de:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Relâches hors d'événements habituels</li><li>• Les moulins qui reçoivent des infractions de non-conformité de façon continue.</li><li>• Moulin fermé sous 36(3) (question qui émergent provenant du groupe de travail (REFPP)</li></ul></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Le Québec a 60 papetières siot la moitié des usines au pays. On reçoit plus de 700 rapports mensuels</li><li>• La planification des inspections des papetières se résume come suit :</li></ul> <p>Sur-Site : 23</p> <p>Hors-Site : 647</p>	2.4	8	25	Incidence très élevée sur l'environnement.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>
Total des besoins en ressources :				7	32	97.3			



1.6 Maintenance Regulations

OVERALL

Regulation	National Objectives / National Approach	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions	Regional Response	Resources					
				FTE	OT	O & M			
Metal Mining Effluent Regulations (MMER)	<p><u>National Objectives:</u></p> <p>Implement the joint EED-EPOD-Program priority pilot project focusing on new mines.</p> <p>* Although qualified as a "Maintenance Regulation", regions expect to allocate a significant proportion of their inspection resources due to significant non compliance issues and potential high environmental impact.</p> <p><u>National Approach:</u></p> <p>EED activities will continue to focus on new mines and mines that have recurring compliance issues.</p>	<p>• This reg was a joint compro-enforcement priority in 08-09. Enforcement and CPAD will look at accomplishment made/result achieved. EED-NCR will finalize its analysis of results and accomplishments in this sector in 09-10.</p> <p>• Regions will identify targets for inspection in 09-10 based on the list of new mines to be provided by EPOD and coordinate activities with Regional EPOD.</p> <p>• EED commits to continue reviewing RISS reports and take enforcement action as required and conduct inspections (on-site, off-site) on potential and recurring cases of non-compliance.</p>		9.15	25.10	396.50			
Fuels Regulations <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Benzene in Gasoline</li><li>• Contaminated Fuel</li><li>• Fuels Information Regulations, No. 1</li><li>• Gasoline and Gasoline Blend Dispensing Flow Rate</li><li>• Gasoline</li><li>• Sulphur in Diesel Fuel</li><li>• Sulphur in Gasoline</li></ul>	<p><u>National Approach:</u></p> <p>EED will maintain current activity level on importers and refineries.</p>	<p><u>Flow Rate Dispensing Regulation</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Regions will identify opportunities to conduct collateral inspections, aiming for 30-40 inspections per region (1%).</li><li>• EED NCR will pursue discussions with Industry Canada for the development of an MOU to provide training to Enforcement Officers and to conduct inspections on our behalf in future years.</li><li>• A THA for this Regulation will be developed by the nspection Management Team. Via the Working Group, the Inspection Team will identify and address, prior to conducting inspections, operational issues associated with this regulation.</li></ul> <p><u>Other Fuel Regs.</u></p> <p>Regions are expected to maintain historical level of activity for importers and refineries.</p>		4.46	10.00	133.60			
PERC (Dry Cleaning/Reporting Requirements)		<p>• Regions are expected to maintain minimal enforcement activity based on geographical distribution of the regulated community and the annual reports.</p>		6.20	6.00	145.40			
Disposal at Sea Regulations		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• EED will continue to follow-up on referrals from program and DFO when conditions of permits are not being complied with, and when disposal occurs without a permit (e.g. disposal of fish offal)</li><li>• EED-NCR will continue to monitor activities and discussion associated with the London Convention, particularly with the Compliance Working Group.</li></ul>		1.35	3.00	44.50			
Pulp and Paper Effluent Regulations (PPER)	<p><u>National Objectives:</u></p> <p>* Although qualified as a "Maintenance Regulation", regions expect to allocate a significant proportion of their inspection resources due to significant non compliance issues and potential high environmental impact.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• EED commits to continue reviewing RISS reports and take enforcement action as required</li><li>• Regions will conduct inspections based on:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• releases out of the normal course of event</li><li>• mills with recurring non-compliance issues</li><li>• closed mills under 36(3) (emerging issue raised at the PPER WG)</li></ul></li></ul>		7.80	8.00	131.14			
Total Resources Needs:				28.96	52.1	851.14			



1.7 Referral Regulations

NCR									
Regulation	National Objectives / National Approach	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions	Regional Response	Resources			Comments	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
				FTE	OT	O & M			
Reporting of Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions s.71 notice (CARA)	<b>National Objectives:</b>  Support CMP and CARA  National Approach:  No pro-active enforcement activities.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>For instruments for which no referral protocol exists or for which referrals to enforcement are problematic and require further streamlining, EED NCR will work with the Program to develop such a referral protocol.</li><li>Regions are expected to follow-up on all referrals on a priority basis provided by EED NCR, and report to HQ on results.</li></ul>	see Activity #14 - Overarching					• •	• •
s.71 notice (CMP)			see Activity #14 - Overarching					• •	• •
2-Butoxyethanol			see Activity #14 - Overarching					• •	• •
Storage Tank Systems for Petroleum Products			Intel project will be undertaken to work with Program to identify non reporters and identify patterns and trends re non compliance for regions to follow up (PM-05, PM-04; student:0.2 ) ; DD : 0.05	0.25					• •
New Substances Notification Regulations (NSN) (Chemicals and Polymers; Organisms)			see Activity #14 - Overarching					• •	• •
Environmental Emergency Regulations (E2)		see Activity #14 - Overarching					• •	• •	

1.7 Referral Regulations

NCR Cnt.									
Regulation	National Objectives / National Approach	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions	Regional Response	Resources			Comments	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
				FTE	OT	O & M			
Reporting of Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions (s.46)	<b>National Objectives:</b>  Support CMP and CARA  National Approach:  No pro-active enforcement activities.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>For instruments for which no referral protocol exists or for which referrals to enforcement are problematic and require further streamlining, EED NCR will work with the Program to develop such a referral protocol.</li><li>Regions are expected to follow-up on all referrals on a priority basis provided by EED NCR, and report to HQ on results.</li></ul>	see Activity #14 - Overarching					•	•
GHG Emissions (s.46)			see Activity #14 - Overarching					•	•
NPRI			see Activity #14 - Overarching					•	•
P2 Plan Dichloromethane			see Activity #14 - Overarching					•	•
Export (Rotterdam Convention)			see Activity #14 - Overarching					•	•
PCB Regulations			see Activity #14 - Overarching					•	•
*Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers (PBDE) (CMP)			see Activity #14 - Overarching					•	•
Disposal at Sea Regulations			see Activity #14 - Overarching					•	•
Solvent Degreasing			see Activity #14 - Overarching					•	•
Total Resources Needs:				0.25	0	0			

1.7 Referral Regulations

ATLANTIC									
Regulation	National Objectives / National Approach	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions	Regional Response	Resources			Comments	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
				FTE	OT	O & M			
Reporting of Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions s.71 notice (CARA)	<div><div>National Objectives:</div><div>Support CMP and CARA</div><div>National Approach: No pro-active enforcement activities.</div></div>	<div>• For instruments for which no referral protocol exists or for which referrals to enforcement are problematic and require further streamlining, EED NCR will work with the Program to develop such a referral protocol.</div> <div>• Regions are expected to follow-up on all referrals on a priority basis provided by EED NCR, and report to HQ on results.</div>						• •	• •
s.71 notice (CMP)								• •	• •
2-Butoxyethanol								• •	• •
Storage Tank Systems for Petroleum Products								• •	• •
New Substances Notification Regulations (NSN) (Chemicals and Polymers; Organisms)								• •	• •
Environmental Emergency Regulations (E2)								• •	• •

1.7 Referral Regulations

ATLANTIC Cnt.									
Regulation	National Objectives / National Approach	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions	Regional Response	Resources			Comments	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
				FTE	OT	O & M			
Reporting of Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions (s.46)	<b>National Objectives:</b>  Support CMP and CARA  National Approach:  No pro-active enforcement activities.  *Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers (PBDE) (CMP)  Disposal at Sea Regulations  Solvent Degreasing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>For instruments for which no referral protocol exists or for which referrals to enforcement are problematic and require further streamlining, EED NCR will work with the Program to develop such a referral protocol.</li><li>Regions are expected to follow-up on all referrals on a priority basis provided by EED NCR, and report to HQ on results.</li></ul>					• •	• •	
GHG Emissions (s.46)							• •	• •	
NPRI							• •	• •	
P2 Plan Dichloromethane							• •	• •	
Export (Rotterdam Convention)							• •	• •	
PCB Regulations							• •	• •	
*Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers (PBDE) (CMP)							• •	• •	
Disposal at Sea Regulations							• •	• •	
Solvent Degreasing							• •	• •	
Total Resources Needs:				0	0	0			

1.7 Referral Regulations

ONTARIO									
Regulation	National Objectives / National Approach	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions	Regional Response	Resources			Comments	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
				FTE	OT	O & M			
Reporting of Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions s.71 notice (CARA)	<b>National Objectives:</b>  Support CMP and CARA  National Approach:  No pro-active enforcement activities.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>For instruments for which no referral protocol exists or for which referrals to enforcement are problematic and require further streamlining, EED NCR will work with the Program to develop such a referral protocol.</li><li>Regions are expected to follow-up on all referrals on a priority basis provided by EED NCR, and report to HQ on results.</li></ul>		0.05		0		•	•
s.71 notice (CMP)				0.05		0		•	•
2-Butoxyethanol				0.05		0		•	•
Storage Tank Systems for Petroleum Products				0.1		2		•	•
New Substances Notification Regulations (NSN) (Chemicals and Polymers; Organisms)			• 1 anticipated investigation	0.25		5		•	•
Environmental Emergency Regulations (E2)			• 1 anticipated investigation	0.25		5		•	•

1.7 Referral Regulations

ONTARIO Cnt.										
Regulation	National Objectives / National Approach	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions	Regional Response	Resources			Comments	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)	
				FTE	OT	O & M				
Reporting of Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions (s.46)	<b>National Objectives:</b>  Support CMP and CARA  National Approach:  No pro-active enforcement activities.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>For instruments for which no referral protocol exists or for which referrals to enforcement are problematic and require further streamlining, EED NCR will work with the Program to develop such a referral protocol.</li><li>Regions are expected to follow-up on all referrals on a priority basis provided by EED NCR, and report to HQ on results.</li></ul>		0.05		0.5		• •	• •	
GHG Emissions (s.46)				0.05		0.5		• •	• •	
NPRI				0.1		2		• •	• •	
P2 Plan Dichloromethane				0.1		2		• •	• •	
Export (Rotterdam Convention)				0		0		• •	• •	
PCB Regulations				• 1 anticipated investigation	0.25		8		• •	• •
*Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers (PBDE) (CMP)					0.05		0.5		• •	• •
Disposal at Sea Regulations					0		0		• •	• •
Solvent Degreasing				• 1 anticipated investigation	0.25		8		• •	• •
Total Resources Needs:				1.6	0	33.5				

1.7 Referral Regulations

PNR									
Regulation	National Objectives / National Approach	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions	Regional Response	Resources			Comments	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
				FTE	OT	O & M			
Reporting of Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions s.71 notice (CARA)	<b>National Objectives:</b>  Support CMP and CARA  National Approach:  No pro-active enforcement activities.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>For instruments for which no referral protocol exists or for which referrals to enforcement are problematic and require further streamlining, EED NCR will work with the Program to develop such a referral protocol.</li><li>Regions are expected to follow-up on all referrals on a priority basis provided by EED NCR, and report to HQ on results.</li></ul>	We will respond to all referrals	0.1		0.7		• •	• •
s.71 notice (CMP)			We will respond to all referrals	0.2		2.5		• •	• •
2-Butoxyethanol			We will respond to all referrals and will conduct some pro-active inspections	0.5		3		• •	• •
Storage Tank Systems for Petroleum Products			We will respond to all referrals and complaints	0.25		5		• •	• •
New Substances Notification Regulations (NSN) (Chemicals and Polymers; Organisms)			We will respond to all referrals	0.7		10.5		• •	• •
Environmental Emergency Regulations (E2)			We will respond to all referrals and conduct opportunistic inspections	0.36		4.5	Includes Section 201 referrals	• •	• •

1.7 Referral Regulations

PNR Cnt,									
Regulation	National Objectives / National Approach	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions	Regional Response	Resources			Comments	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
				FTE	OT	O & M			
Reporting of Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions (s.46)	<b>National Objectives:</b>  Support CMP and CARA  National Approach:  No pro-active enforcement activities.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>For instruments for which no referral protocol exists or for which referrals to enforcement are problematic and require further streamlining, EED NCR will work with the Program to develop such a referral protocol.</li><li>Regions are expected to follow-up on all referrals on a priority basis provided by EED NCR, and report to HQ on results.</li></ul>	We will respond to all referrals	0.1		0.7		• •	• •
GHG Emissions (s.46)			We will respond to all referrals				Included above	• •	• •
NPRI			We will respond to all referrals	0.5		14		• •	• •
P2 Plan Dichloromethane			We will respond to all referrals	0		0		• •	• •
Export (Rotterdam Convention)			We will respond to all referrals	0		0		• •	• •
PCB Regulations			We will respond to all referrals and will conduct some pro-active inspections	0.9		19.4		• •	• •
*Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers (PBDE) (CMP)			We will respond to all referrals	0		0		• •	• •
Disposal at Sea Regulations			<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>We will continue to inspect all permits issued within the region</li><li></li></ul>				Covered under Maintenance Regulations	• •	• •
Solvent Degreasing			We will respond to all referrals	0.06		0.9		• •	• •
Total Resources Needs:				3.67	0	60.3			



1.7 Referral Regulations

PYR									
Regulation	National Objectives / National Approach	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions	Regional Response	Resources			Comments	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
				FTE	OT	O & M			
Reporting of Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions s.71 notice (CARA)	<b>National Objectives:</b>  Support CMP and CARA  National Approach:  No pro-active enforcement activities.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>For instruments for which no referral protocol exists or for which referrals to enforcement are problematic and require further streamlining, EED NCR will work with the Program to develop such a referral protocol.</li><li>Regions are expected to follow-up on all referrals on a priority basis provided by EED NCR, and report to HQ on results.</li></ul>	PYR EED will review and provide input to the referral protocol to ensure regional needs are met. Referrals will be followed up based on available resources and other competing priorities	0.01		0		•	•
s.71 notice (CMP)			PYR EED will review and provide input to the referral protocol to ensure regional needs are met. Referrals will be followed up based on available resources and other competing priorities	0.01		0		•	•
2-Butoxyethanol			PYR EED will review and provide input to the referral protocol to ensure regional needs are met. Referrals will be followed up based on available resources and other competing priorities	0.01		0		•	•
Storage Tank Systems for Petroleum Products			PYR EED will review and provide input to the referral protocol to ensure regional needs are met. Referrals will be followed up based on available resources and other competing priorities	0.05		0		•	•
New Substances Notification Regulations (NSN) (Chemicals and Polymers; Organisms)			PYR EED will review and provide input to the referral protocol to ensure regional needs are met. Referrals will be followed up based on available resources and other competing priorities	0.02				•	•
Environmental Emergency Regulations (E2)			PYR EED will review and provide input to the referral protocol to ensure regional needs are met. Referrals will be followed up based on available resources and other competing priorities	0.01		0		•	•

1.7 Referral Regulations

PYR Cnt.									
Regulation	National Objectives / National Approach	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions	Regional Response	Resources			Comments	Tracking Progress (Mid-Yr)	Tracking Progress (End-Yr)
				FTE	OT	O & M			
Reporting of Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions (s.46)	<b>National Objectives:</b>  Support CMP and CARA  National Approach:  No pro-active enforcement activities.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>For instruments for which no referral protocol exists or for which referrals to enforcement are problematic and require further streamlining, EED NCR will work with the Program to develop such a referral protocol.</li><li>Regions are expected to follow-up on all referrals on a priority basis provided by EED NCR, and report to HQ on results.</li></ul>	PYR EED will review and provide input to the referral protocol to ensure regional needs are met. Referrals will be followed up based on available resources and other competing priorities	0.01		0		•	•
GHG Emissions (s.46)			PYR EED will review and provide input to the referral protocol to ensure regional needs are met. Referrals will be followed up based on available resources and other competing priorities	0.01		0		•	•
NPRI			PYR EED will review and provide input to the referral protocol to ensure regional needs are met. Referrals will be followed up based on available resources and other competing priorities	0.05		0		•	•
P2 Plan Dichloromethane			PYR EED will review and provide input to the referral protocol to ensure regional needs are met. Referrals will be followed up based on available resources and other competing priorities	0.01		0		•	•
Export (Rotterdam Convention)			PYR EED will review and provide input to the referral protocol to ensure regional needs are met. Referrals will be followed up based on available resources and other competing priorities	0.01		0		•	•
PCB Regulations			PYR EED will review and provide input to the referral protocol to ensure regional needs are met. Referrals will be followed up based on available resources and other competing priorities	0.05		0		•	•
*Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers (PBDE) (CMP)			PYR EED will review and provide input to the referral protocol to ensure regional needs are met. Referrals will be followed up based on available resources and other competing priorities	0.01		0		•	•
Disposal at Sea Regulations			PYR EED will review and provide input to the referral protocol to ensure regional needs are met. Referrals will be followed up based on available resources and other competing priorities	0.03		0	This activity is also included in the Maintenance Regulations	•	•
Solvent Degreasing			PYR EED will review and provide input to the referral protocol to ensure regional needs are met. Referrals will be followed up based on available resources and other competing priorities	0.01		0		•	•
Total Resources Needs:				0.3	0	0			

1.7 Referral Regulations

QUÉBEC									
Règlement	Objectifs nationaux - Démarche nationale	Cibles, engagements et conseils aux régions	Intervention régionale	Ressources			Commentaires	Suivi des progrès (Mi-exercice)	Suivi des progrès (Fin de l'exercice)
				ETP	HS	F et E			
Déclaration d'émissions de gaz à effet de serre (GES), Avis a. 71 (PRQA)	<b>Objectifs nationaux :</b>  Soutenir le PGPC et le PRQA.  <b>Approche nationale :</b>  Aucune activité d'application de la loi proactive.	• En ce qui concerne les instruments pour lesquels aucun protocole de renvoi n'existe ou pour lesquels les renvois à l'application de la loi posent un problème et requièrent davantage de rationalisation, la DALE-RCN élaborera avec les responsables du Programme un protocole de renvoi.  • Les régions sont censées faire le suivi de tous les renvois prioritaires provenant de la DALE-RCN, puis communiquer les résultats à l'AC.	• •	0.04		0.2		• •	• •
Avis a. 71 (PGPC)			• •	0.9		9.5		• •	• •
2-Butoxyéthanol			• •					• •	• •
Systèmes de stockage de produits pétroliers			• •	0.3	8	5.3		• •	• •
Règlement sur les renseignements concernant les substances nouvelles (RSN) (produits chimiques et polymères; organismes)			• •	0.14	2	0.5		• •	• •
Règlement sur les urgences environnementales (E2)			• •	0.5	8	5.2		• •	• •

1.7 Referral Regulations

QUÉBEC Cnt.									
Règlement	Objectifs nationaux - Démarche nationale	Objectifs, engagements et conseils aux régions	Intervention régionale	Ressources			Commentaires	Suivi des progrès (Mi-exercice)	Suivi des progrès (Fin de l'exercice)
				ETP	HS	F et E			
Déclaration d'émissions de gaz à effet de serre (GES) (a. 46)	<b>Objectifs nationaux :</b>  Soutenir le PGPC et le PRQA.  <b>Approche nationale :</b>  Aucune activité d'application de la loi proactive.	• En ce qui concerne les instruments pour lesquels aucun protocole de renvoi n'existe ou pour lesquels les renvois à l'application de la loi posent un problème et requièrent davantage de rationalisation, la DALE-RCN élaborera avec les responsables du Programme un protocole de renvoi.  • Les régions sont censées faire le suivi de tous les renvois prioritaires provenant de la DALE-RCN, puis communiquer les résultats à l'AC.	• •					• •	• •
Émissions de gaz à effet de serre (GES) (a. 46)			• •					• •	• •
Inventaire national des rejets de polluants (INRP)			• •	0.4	4	1.9		• •	• •
Plan P2 - Dichlorométhane			• •	0.1	2	0.7		• •	• •
Exportation (Convention de Rotterdam)			• •					• •	• •
Règlement sur les BPC			• •	1	5	7.9		• •	• •
*Éther diphenylique polybromé (EDP) (PGPC)			• •					• •	• •
Règlement sur l'immersion en mer			• •					• •	• •
Solvants de dégraissage			• •	0.2	3	1.3		• •	• •
Total des besoins en ressources :				3.58	32	31.2			

1.7 Referral Regulations

OVERALL									
Regulation	National Objectives / National Approach	Targets/Commitments/Guidance to Regions		Resources					
				FTE	OT	O & M			
Reporting of Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions s.71 notice (CARA)		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>For instruments for which no referral protocol exists or for which referrals to enforcement are problematic and require further streamlining, EED NCR will work with the Program to develop such a referral protocol.</li><li>Regions are expected to follow-up on all referrals on a priority basis provided by EED NCR, and report to HQ on results.</li></ul>		0.2	0	0.9			
s.71 notice (CMP)				1.16	0	12			
2-Butoxyethanol				0.56	0	3			
Storage Tank Systems for Petroleum Products				0.95	8	12.3			
New Substances Notification Regulations (NSN) (Chemicals and Polymers; Organisms)				1.11	2	16			
Environmental Emergency Regulations (E2)				1.12	8	14.7			
Reporting of Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions (s.46)	National Objectives:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>For instruments for which no referral protocol exists or for which referrals to enforcement are problematic and require further streamlining, EED NCR will work with the Program to develop such a referral protocol.</li><li>Regions are expected to follow-up on all referrals on a priority basis provided by EED NCR, and report to HQ on results.</li></ul>		0.16	0	1.2			
GHG Emissions (s.46)	National Approach:			0.06	0	0.5			
NPRI	No pro-active enforcement activities.			1.05	4	17.9			
P2 Plan Dichloromethane				0.21	2	2.7			
Export (Rotterdam Convention)				0.01	0	0			
PCB Regulations				2.2	5	35.3			
*Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers (PBDE) (CMP)				0.06	0	0.5			
Disposal at Sea Regulations				0.03	0	0			
Solvent Degreasing				0.52	3	10.2			
Total Resources Needs:				9.4	32	116.5			