Commission d'enquête sur les actions des responsables canadiens relativement à Maher Arar



Commission of Inquiry into the Actions of Canadian Officials in Relation to Maher Arar

Audience publique

Public Hearing

Commissaire

L'Honorable juge /
The Honourable Justice
Dennis R. O'Connor

Commissioner

Tenue à:

Held at:

Salon Algonquin Ancien hôtel de ville 111, Promenade Sussex Ottawa (Ontario) Algonquin Room Old City Hall 111 Sussex Drive Ottawa, Ontario

le jeudi 25 août 2005

Thursday, August 25, 2005

APPEARANCES / COMPARUTIONS

Mr. Paul Cavalluzzo

Commission Counsel

Me Marc David

Mr. Brian Gover

Ms Veena Verma

Ms Adela Mall

Ms Lara Tessaro

Mr. Ronald G. Atkey

Amicus Curiae

Mr. Lorne Waldman

Ms Marlys Edwardh

Ms Breese Davies

Ms Brena Parnes

Counsel for Maher Arar

Ms Barbara A. McIsaac, Q.C.

Mr. Colin Baxter

Mr. Simon Fothergill

Mr. Gregory S. Tzemenakis

Ms Helen J. Gray

Attorney General of Canada

Ms Lori Sterling

Mr. Darrell Kloeze

Ms Leslie McIntosh

Ministry of the Attorney General/

Ontario Provincial Police

Mr. Faisal Joseph

Canadian Islamic Congress

Ms Marie Henein Mr. Hussein Amery National Council on Canada-Arab

Relations

Mr. Steven Shrybman

Canadian Labour Congress/Council of

Canadians and the Polaris Institute

Mr. Emelio Binavince

Minority Advocacy and Rights

Council

Mr. Joe Arvay

The British Columbia Civil

Liberties Association

APPEARANCES / COMPARUTIONS

Mr. Kevin Woodall The International Commission for

Jurists, The Redress Trust, The Association for the Prevention of Torture, World Organization Against

Torture

Colonel M^e Michel W. Drapeau The Muslim Community Council of

Ottawa-Gatineau

Mr. David Matas International Campaign Against

Torture

Ms Barbara Olshansky Centre for Constitutional Rights

Mr. Riad Saloojee Canadian Council on

Mr. Khalid Baksh American-Islamic Relations

Mr. Mel Green Canadian Arab Federation

Ms Amina Sherazee Muslim Canadian Congress

Ms Sylvie Roussel Counsel for Maureen Girvan

Ms Catherine Beagan Flood Counsel for the Parliamentary Clerk

Mr. Norman Boxall Counsel for Michael Cabana

Mr. Don Bayne

Mr. Richard Bell

Mr. Vince Westwick Counsel for Ottawa Police Service

Mr. Jim O'Grady

Mr. Paul Copeland Counsel for Abdullah Almalki

Ms Barbara Jackman Counsel for Ahmed El Maati

TABLE OF CONTENTS / TABLE DES MATIÈRES

	Page
PREVIOUSLY SWORN: William John Hooper	10585
Examination by Mr. Cavalluzzo Examination by Mr. Waldman Examination by Mr. Shore	10585 10734 10846

LIST OF EXHIBITS / PIÈCES JUSTICATIVES

No.	Description	Page
P-238	Memorandum prepared by Mr. Pardy, co-signed by the Deputy Minister of Foreign Affairs, regarding "Improving coordination across government on security-related consular cases"	10705
P-239	<u>Undated draft memo from the Dickenson documents</u>	10764

1	Ottawa, Ontario / Ottawa (Ontario)
2	Upon commencing on Thursday, August 25, 2005
3	at 9:16 a.m. / L'audience débute le jeudi
4	25 août 2005 à 9 h 16
5	THE REGISTRAR: Please be seated.
6	Veuillez vous asseoir.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Good morning.
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Good morning.
9	Pause
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Cavalluzzo?
11	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Thank you,
12	Commissioner.
13	Commissioner, this morning we have
14	Mr. Jack Hooper from CSIS. As you know,
15	Mr. Hooper has testified earlier last year in
16	respect of some contextual evidence relating to
17	CSIS, and he has also testified in camera. As a
18	result of that, he will not need to be sworn
19	again.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
21	MR. CAVALLUZZO: However, just to
22	give some context for some background in respect
23	of Mr. Hooper, he did, as I say, testify over a
24	year ago, so let us just give a brief background
25	in terms of his experience.

1	PREVIOUSLY SWORN: WILLIAM JOHN HOOPER
2	EXAMINATION
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Mr. Hooper, you
4	joined CSIS upon its creation in 1984?
5	MR. HOOPER: That's correct.
6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Before that time
7	you were with the RCMP security services in
8	Vancouver?
9	MR. HOOPER: That's right.
LO	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And you joined
L1	the RCMP in 1974?
L2	MR. HOOPER: Correct.
L3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, when you
L4	came to CSIS, I understand in 1985, you were
L5	transferred to national headquarters?
L6	MR. HOOPER: That's right.
L7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And what did you
L8	do there in 1985?
L9	MR. HOOPER: Initially I was in
20	charge of the Service's Emergency Planning
21	Program, and later, when the offices of SIRC and
22	the Inspector General were constituted, I was
23	responsible for liaison of those two bodies.
24	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, from 1988
25	until 2000. I understand that your career was

1	devoted exclusively to counterterrorism
2	operations?
3	MR. HOOPER: That is correct.
4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: What did you do
5	between 2000 and 2002 when you came back to
6	headquarters?
7	MR. HOOPER: I was in charge of
8	our Toronto Regional Office, the Director General
9	of Toronto Region, which was responsible for the
10	Greater Toronto Metropolitan area and southwestern
11	Ontario.
12	MR. CAVALLUZZO: In June of 2002
13	you were transferred back to Headquarters?
14	MR. HOOPER: That is correct.
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Was that the time
16	at which you assumed your current duties?
17	MR. HOOPER: There has been a
18	little bit of a change since then. I came to
19	headquarters in 2002 as the Assistant Director
20	Operations. Since that time I have taken on the
21	job of Deputy Director Operations.
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So you are now
23	the Deputy Director of Operations?
24	MR. HOOPER: That is correct.
25	MP CANALLII770: If you could

1	briefly tell us what your duties and
2	responsibilities are in respect of that current
3	position?
4	MR. HOOPER: Basically it is
5	managing the Service's operational programs:
6	Counterterrorism, counterproliferation,
7	counterintelligence, the analysis and production
8	branch, foreign liaison, everything to do with
9	direct-line operations.
10	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So clearly in
11	respect of that responsibility, you oversee
12	counterterrorism?
13	MR. HOOPER: That is correct.
14	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay.
15	Commissioner, Mr. Hooper is both a
16	contextual witness for CSIS at this point in time,
17	as well as an adjudicative fact witness. He will
18	be testifying to certain things within his direct
19	knowledge, but much of the information he will be
20	sharing with us is public information of a
21	contextual nature which is now available to the
22	public and I will ask Mr. Hooper certain questions
23	about that.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
25	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I would like to

1	start, Mr. Hooper, in about October of 2001. At
2	that time we understand that within a month of
3	September 11, that CSIS extensively transferred to
4	the RCMP primary responsibility for a number of
5	files?
6	Is that correct?
7	MR. HOOPER: That is correct.
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. I
9	understand, according to a redacted summary which
10	we have, the transfer allowed CSIS to focus its
11	resources on threats that were less clear than the
12	files that you had transferred to the RCMP?
13	MR. HOOPER: Correct.
14	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Do you recall
15	how many files, or how many persons were
16	transferred in terms of their responsibility to
17	the RCMP from CSIS?
18	MR. HOOPER: I do recall,
19	Mr. Cavalluzzo, but I won't go into details in
20	public testimony.
21	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. You are
22	aware that as a result of that transfer of
23	responsibility that there was the creation of a
24	number of projects within the RCMP?
25	MR. HOOPER: Yes.

1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: There was
2	something called Project OCanada, which no doubt
3	you were aware of?
4	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
5	MR. CAVALLUZZO: That was located
6	in and around Toronto, your former jurisdiction
7	before 2002?
8	MR. HOOPER: Correct.
9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Also we have
10	heard of something called Project A-OCANADA, which
11	was located in Ottawa?
12	MR. HOOPER: That's correct.
13	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, when you
14	not you, obviously, but when CSIS transferred
15	responsibility for these files, I understand that
16	the RCMP was told that when dealing with foreign
17	security intelligence agencies the RCMP should
18	protect the integrity of the CSIS information that
19	had been given to the RCMP.
20	Is that correct?
21	MR. HOOPER: I know I made those
22	comments myself to RCMP officers. I specifically
23	recall making that comment after my return to
24	Headquarters in 2002. If there were previous
25	admonitions given out of Headquarters. I am not

1	specifically aware of them.
2	Thematically that is correct.
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: In terms of that
4	that you refer as an admonition, or guidance, or
5	whatever one wants to call it, obviously that is
6	to ensure that any CSIS information that was
7	contained in the RCMP databases would be protected
8	appropriately?
9	MR. HOOPER: Precisely.
10	MR. CAVALLUZZO: We are not going
11	to get into operational detail now, but I
12	understand that after the transfer of
13	responsibility in respect of these persons that
14	CSIS continued to play a role in the sense that it
15	would share information with the RCMP if it was
16	relevant to their responsibility?
17	MR. HOOPER: That's correct.
18	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I wonder if you
19	might help us now in terms of after the transfer
20	as to the nature of the relationship between CSIS,
21	and in particular if we could focus in on Project
22	A-OCANADA in the Ottawa area?
23	MR. HOOPER: Okay. You are asking
24	me what the quality of the relationships were?
25	MP CAVALLITYO: No the nature of

1	it. Not the quality, the nature of it. That is,
2	what were you doing, if anything, to assist
3	Project A-OCANADA?
4	MR. HOOPER: At some point after
5	A-OCANADA was established, we assigned an officer
6	with some knowledge of the substantive area of
7	interest to the RCMP to the project.
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Once again, we
9	are not going to get into specific ongoing
10	operational detail, but were there ongoing
11	meetings between both entities to ensure that the
12	file was being progressed as appropriately as it
13	should?
14	MR. HOOPER: I know there were a
15	number of meetings between our Ottawa region
16	personnel and Project A-OCANADA, so there were
17	frequent interactions between our officers in
18	Ottawa and the project.
19	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So that the
20	public is aware, in Ottawa we have an Ottawa
21	regional office for CSIS, but at the same time,
22	obviously, the national Headquarters of CSIS is in
23	Ottawa.
24	Is that correct?
25	MR. HOOPER: That is correct, yes.

1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: In terms of the
2	relationship with Project A-OCANADA, the
3	relationship was at the regional level, that is,
4	between Project A-OCANADA and the regional office
5	of CSIS?
6	MR. HOOPER: That's correct.
7	And if I may bring more precision,
8	Project A-OCANADA was operating out of RCMP "A"
9	Division and they are structured similarly. They
10	have the national Headquarters here in Ottawa and
11	they have a division, "A" Division, which has
12	broader responsibilities in the National Capital
13	Region and Eastern Quebec Western Quebec
14	rather.
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now I would like
16	to move into an area of the sharing of information
17	after 9/11 because we have heard a great deal of
18	information about that particular process, and I
19	would ask the Clerk to give you Exhibit P-85,
20	volume 1.
21	Pause
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Mr. Hooper, if
23	you would refer to tab 21.
24	For those who do not have it, this
25	is a Briefing Note to the Commissioner of the RCMP

1	and it os dated January 29, 2004.
2	I'm just reading to you and I
3	will ask some questions about it the unredacted
4	portion of the note, wherein it states that:
5	"Following the events of
6	9-11, a new era of openness
7	and an environment of sharing
8	was necessitated by the need
9	to prevent further terrorist
10	acts from happening. In
11	particular, the RCMP,"
12	And you will see that there is a
13	redacted portion there.
14	" agreed that all
15	information would be shared
16	between agencies as a matter
17	of course. Further, it was
18	agreed at Senior levels that
19	it would be the exception
20	rather than the rule to seek
21	permission prior to utilizing
22	or sharing the information
23	between the parties to the
24	agreement."
25	A redacted portion:

1	" met periodically and
2	shared information of
3	relevance to ongoing
4	investigations."
5	Now, obviously that is an RCMP
6	document and the RCMP impression as what occurred
7	shortly after 9/11 and I have some questions for
8	you relating to CSIS.
9	The first question is whether
10	there were any suggestions at CSIS that because of
11	the exigencies created by 9/11 that caveats were
12	down, that information could be shared with
13	partner agencies without the imposition of
14	caveats?
15	MR. HOOPER: That was never our
16	understanding, nor was the Canadian Security
17	Intelligence Service party to that understanding.
18	To the contrary, our understanding
19	was that information we provided with anybody
20	would contain caveats and that those caveats would
21	be respected accordingly.
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: In regard to
23	that, even if a partner agency and I can't be
24	specific in terms of that, but even if a partner
25	agency wanted to use CSIS information which was

1	caveated, your view was that after 9/11 the rules
2	applied in that they would have to come back to
3	you for CSIS's approval or consent?
4	MR. HOOPER: That was our
5	expectation.
6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Was there any
7	change whatever in CSIS policies concerning the
8	sharing of information as a result of 9/11?
9	MR. HOOPER: No.
10	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Did CSIS have
11	difficulty sharing information on a timely basis
12	because of the problems created by 9/11 while
13	adhering to its own policies?
14	MR. HOOPER: No.
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay.
16	Mr. Hooper, I would now like to turn to what we
17	are calling the Arar chronology or the Arar time
18	line.
19	The first question I have for you
20	is: When and how did CSIS first learn that
21	Mr. Arar was detained in the United States?
22	MR. HOOPER: That would have been
23	on October 2, 2002 as a consequence of a telephone
24	call our communications branch received from, I
25	think their counterpart entity in the Department

1	of Foreign Affairs.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Were you advised
3	at that point in time yourself that a Canadian
4	citizen had been detained in the United States?
5	MR. HOOPER: Yes, I was.
6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. As a
7	result of getting that information on October 2,
8	2002, did you do anything to seek clarification or
9	get more information in respect of Mr. Arar's
10	detention?
11	MR. HOOPER: Yes, we did.
12	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Could you tell us
13	what you did.
14	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
15	The first thing we did was
16	personally, I didn't know who this person was so I
17	asked for some briefing on who the individual was
18	and what he meant to us. I asked an officer of
19	our Counterterrorism Branch to get hold of our
20	Washington office and see if they could ascertain
21	information that led to this occurrence.
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Was that on or
23	about October 2nd?
24	MR. HOOPER: That was on
25	October 2nd.

1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. You
2	don't have to tell us what information you got
3	back, but do you recall when you received any
4	information back in respect of Mr. Arar from the
5	American contact?
6	MR. HOOPER: I don't believe we
7	ever did.
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Now, did
9	CSIS get any advance notice from the Americans
LO	that Mr. Arar was going to be landing at JFK
L1	Airport in New York and was going to be detained
L2	prior to his arrival?
L3	MR. HOOPER: No.
L 4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So that the first
L5	occasion upon which CSIS became aware of his
L6	detention was October 2nd?
L7	MR. HOOPER: That's correct.
L8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, at this
L9	point in time, while Mr. Arar was being detained
20	in the United States, did you become aware that
21	the RCMP was attempting, at points in time, to
22	have an interview with Mr. Arar while he was
23	detained in the United States?
24	MR. HOOPER: At that time I wasn't
25	personally aware of that, but I do know in

1	preparation for these proceedings, I know my
2	officials were.
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Did CSIS
4	seek to have an interview with Mr. Arar in the
5	United States while he was detained?
6	MR. HOOPER: No, we did not.
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: While Mr. Arar
8	was in the United States, other than the contact
9	that you refer to on October 2nd attempting to
10	seek clarification or further information, other
11	than that contact, while Mr. Arar was in the
12	United States, did CSIS have any contact whatever
13	with American agencies in respect of Mr. Arar?
14	MR. HOOPER: After the initial
15	phone call on October 2nd I believe there were a
16	number of what we call chasers, again trying to
17	elicit information around his detention. I know
18	that on October 9, 2002 we sent a written request
19	to American authorities eliciting that
20	information.
21	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. So that
22	written request was on or about October 9th?
23	MR. HOOPER: I believe it was
24	October 9th, yes.
25	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Now,

1	between September 26, 2002 and October 8, 2002,
2	when Mr. Arar was deported or removed from the
3	United States, did CSIS give any American agency
4	any information relating to Mr. Arar?
5	MR. HOOPER: No.
6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Could you tell us
7	when and how CSIS learned of Mr. Arar's
8	deportation or removal from the United States?
9	MR. HOOPER: I would have to think
10	for a moment on this.
11	Pause
12	MR. HOOPER: I would be assisted
13	by the time line, but by my recollection,
14	Mr. Cavalluzzo, it was on October I'm guessing
15	here. I think it was October 9th.
16	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Yes. The SIRC
17	report and the redacted summary both confirm that
18	it was October 9th that CSIS first learned of his
19	deportation.
20	Do you know how CSIS learned of
21	Mr. Arar's deportation from the United States?
22	MR. HOOPER: I believe we learned
23	of that through two channels, one at Foreign
24	Affairs and one through the RCMP.
25	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, at this

1	point in time, and we are talking about October 8,
2	2002, we have heard about a policy in the United
3	States called extraordinary rendition whereby
4	individuals may involuntarily be removed from
5	either a third country or whatever to another
6	country where the human rights record is not as
7	good as in Canada or the United States.
8	I'm asking you, at that point in
9	time, back in October 2002, were you aware of this
10	policy of extraordinary rendition?
11	MR. HOOPER: I was personally
12	aware of the U.S. engaging in rendition
13	operations, yes.
14	MR. CAVALLUZZO: When Mr. Arar was
15	deported, did you view that to be an example of
16	the rendition policy of the United States?
17	MR. HOOPER: That was a
18	difficult one. We had never seen in most
19	rendition operations that we had seen to that
20	point, I think in all of them, the rendition
21	involved bringing somebody from a third country
22	back to the United States. This is the first
23	time, and I believe it is the only time, to my
24	personal knowledge, that somebody in the United
25	States was sent somewhere else

1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: In terms of
2	Mr. Arar being detained in the United States, the
3	fact that they deported him to Syria, initially to
4	Jordan and then to Syria, did that surprise you?
5	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: What was your
7	expectation in respect of what was going to happer
8	to Mr. Arar?
9	MR. HOOPER: That he was going to
LO	come back to Canada. He was a Canadian citizen.
L1	He was 90 minutes from Canada.
L2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So that you were
L3	surprised by the actions of the United States?
L4	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
L5	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Just a final
L6	question in that regard: At any time during this
L7	period did you have any discussions with the RCMP
L8	advising them or exchanging views of the American
L9	policy of rendition?
20	MR. HOOPER: No, I did not, nor
21	did the Service, to my knowledge.
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, as you know,
23	there was a period of time when there was some
24	confusion as to where Mr. Arar was after his
25	deportation on October 8th and 9th.

1	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Did CSIS expend
3	any of its resources trying to find out where
4	Mr. Arar was?
5	MR. HOOPER: I do know that the
6	principal resource expenditure around Mr. Arar's
7	whereabouts was being conducted out of Foreign
8	Affairs. I do know that there were occasional
9	discussions between officials of the Service, the
10	RCMP, and Foreign Affairs on where he might be and
11	there was some early speculation, given that he
12	was a dual Syrian-Canadian national, that he may
13	have ended up in Syria.
14	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So if he
15	wasn't coming back to Canada, it wouldn't be a
16	surprise that he ended up in Syria because he was
17	a dual national?
18	MR. HOOPER: It was a logical
19	conclusion at the time.
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Now I
21	would like to move on a wee bit in time to
22	October 15th and perhaps ask if the Clerk can give
23	you Exhibit P-83.
24	Pause
25	MR. CAVALLUZZO: What I would like

1	to do at this point in time, Mr. Hooper, is just
2	to lay the context for what was happening in
3	Canada at that point in time on October 15th,
4	particularly in regard to the Americans' reaction
5	to our concern about Mr. Arar.
6	I would ask you to go behind tab 3
7	of that book and go to page 106.
8	What we have here, we have a
9	number of statements that were given by RCMP and
10	DFAIT people to Mr. Garvie, who you may recall was
11	conducting a review of the RCMP's conduct, and I
12	just want to set the stage for some questions.
13	In particular, this is Mr. Pardy's
14	interview given with Mr. Garvie on December 12,
15	2003. If you look to around line 16 I am going to
16	pick up under where he says that Mr. Graham and
17	the Ambassador was present.
18	Let me just pick up the sentence:
19	"I'm not certain, but I know
20	that one of the results out
21	of the meeting was the
22	American Ambassador was
23	telling Mr. Graham, 'Look, go
24	talk to your own people about
25	all of this because they are

1	the ones that have the
2	information about this'.
3	That is the Americans were
4	acting on information on
5	Canadian information and
б	almost to the point where he
7	was saying act exclusively on
8	Canadian information."
9	(As read)
LO	Then if you go down to the next
L1	statement of Mr. Pardy at line 28, about halfway
L2	through that paragraph it states:
L3	"But the whole impression we
L4	had during this period of
L5	what the Americans were doing
L6	when we were complaining to
L7	them about their actions,
L8	that they were pushing back
L9	and saying, 'No, look, go
20	talk to your own people here.
21	We were acting on this
22	information that came from
23	Canada.' That was a
24	consistent message by
25	Ambassador Cellucci right up

1	to the present day. When
2	Secretary Powell came to
3	Ottawa in mid-November, he
4	repeated that information or
5	that position."
6	Now, with that context in mind, do
7	you recall in or about October and November of
8	2002 that that was the position the Americans were
9	taking, and that was, "Listen, Canadians, go and
LO	talk to your own people. We are acting on their
L1	information and they know what went down."
L2	MR. HOOPER: I was acutely aware
L3	of that.
L4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Now, we
L5	heard that as a result of this meeting DFAIT, and
L6	in particular Mr. Pardy, immediately conducted an
L7	interagency meeting the next day, on October 16th,
L8	both with the RCMP and CSIS, basically saying,
L9	"What did you guys do in respect of Mr. Arar?"
20	Do you recall that particular
21	meeting?
22	MR. HOOPER: I know the meeting
23	happened. I wasn't at the meeting.
24	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Did you
25	get a report as to what occurred at the meeting?

1	MR. HOOPER: I didn't, but I know
2	what my people were saying at that meeting, and
3	that is that we provided no information that would
4	have led to the arrest and the detention of
5	Mr. Arar.
6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I assume there is
7	no memorandum back to DFAIT from CSIS concerning
8	that meeting. There is one from the RCMP, but I
9	assume there is none from CSIS.
10	MR. HOOPER: I haven't seen one.
11	I don't believe there was.
12	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Now, there
13	was another meeting on October 21st, and I wonder
14	if the witness might be shown DFAIT tab 547?
15	Pause
16	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Yes, tab 547. It
17	is a memorandum within DFAIT dated September 8,
18	2003 to Mr. Saunders excuse me, to Mr. Jim
19	Wright from Mr. Saunders.
20	In the third paragraph, it states
21	in the second sentence, it says:
22	"We shared most of the
23	information we had on this
24	case with our RCMP Liaison
25	Officer who passed it on to

1	his headquarters."
2	Then it goes on:
3	"We also met with a group of
4	RCMP and CSIS officers on
5	October 21 to discuss the
6	case."
7	He is referring to October 21,
8	2002, and I'm wondering whether you are aware of
9	this interagency meeting between DFAIT ISI, RCMP,
10	and CSIS to discuss Mr. Arar's case?
11	MR. HOOPER: I know there were a
12	number of meetings going on around that time. I
13	don't recall specifically October 21st, but I
14	presume there was one.
15	I wasn't at that meeting. Again,
16	like the previous meeting, I know what our
17	Service's line was throughout this whole dialogue
18	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And it was?
19	MR. HOOPER: That we had provided
20	no information to any American entity that would
21	have resulted in the arrest and detention and
22	ultimately the removal of Mr. Arar from the U.S.
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, how did
24	CSIS become aware that Mr. Arar was
25	authoritatively determined to be in Syria after

1	that period of confusion?
2	MR. HOOPER: We learned of that
3	through DFAIT sources on October 22, 2002.
4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: What, if any,
5	information did CSIS receive from DFAIT relating
6	to Mr. Arar at that time in October 2002?
7	MR. HOOPER: I think we received a
8	message from ISI. My memory is not clear on that.
9	I wouldn't have seen it at the time, but I believe
LO	there was some written record of advice coming
L1	from Foreign Affairs on that.
L2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Do you know if
L3	there was anything in writing?
L4	I'm talking about October now, not
L5	November. October 2002?
L6	MR. HOOPER: I'm not clear on
L7	that, Mr. Cavalluzzo. If there was something in
L8	writing, I wouldn't have seen it. I thought there
L9	may have been.
20	I know initially we were advised
21	by phone call, is my understanding.
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. Perhaps
23	just to facilitate this I could read into the
24	record a portion of the redacted summary at
0.5	naragraph 27 and I would ask for the witness!

1	comments on this. It says:
2	"After Mr. Arar's deportation
3	CSIS continued to receive
4	information about Mr. Arar.
5	On October 24 CSIS received
6	information from DFAIT about
7	Mr. Arar from sources in
8	Syria. A DFAIT report was
9	generated, which included
LO	statements"
L1	Then we are going to come to a
L2	statement in early November.
L3	Would you agree with that
L4	description?
L5	MR. HOOPER: Yes, sir.
L6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Now, we
L7	are going to see in the evidence that CSIS did
L8	receive at least two consular reports relating to
L9	Mr. Arar, one on January 8, 2003 and one relating
20	to April 22, 2003. Just at this point in time I
21	wonder if you might share with us, what is the
22	practice between DFAIT and CSIS in respect of CSIS
23	receiving consular reports?
24	MR. HOOPER: We don't get them as
25	a matter of course. We get them occasionally when

1	there is a national security dimension to the
2	consular case. Typically, we will receive them ir
3	the Headquarters branch that is concerned with the
4	individual or the particular case, and typically
5	we receive them from the Department of Foreign
6	Affairs so that we might provide them with some
7	assistance in executing their consular mandate.
8	It is the exception rather than
9	the rule that we will get these.
10	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Would you get the
11	consular reports from Consular Affairs or from ISI
12	of DFAIT?
13	MR. HOOPER: Always ISI.
14	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I'm wondering if
15	the witness might be shown Exhibit P-98?
16	Pause
17	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, P-98 is an
18	e-mail from Mr. Gar Pardy.
19	I assume you know Mr. Gar Pardy?
20	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
21	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You have known
22	Mr. Pardy for a number of years?
23	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
24	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You know that he
25	was the head of the Consular Division

1	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: and viewed
3	within the Government of Canada as being the most
4	knowledgeable person about consular affairs?
5	MR. HOOPER: He had been doing it
6	a long time, so yes.
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. This is a
8	e-mail from Mr. Pardy to Damascus Head of Mission
9	as well as Mr. Martel. In the fourth paragraph,
10	once again dated October 28th, he states:
11	"Would appreciate if you
12	could report if you obtain
13	any information on possible
14	visits by RCMP and CSIS
15	officials, either to meet
16	Maher or Syrian officials.
17	Many thanks,
18	Gar." (As read)
19	At this point in time, were you
20	aware of any possible visits to Syria by CSIS?
21	MR. HOOPER: I was aware that one
22	was under discussion.
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Then if
24	you go to the previous tab, at tab 97, you will
25	see this is another e-mail

1	MR. HOOPER: I'm sorry, I gave my
2	book back.
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Oh, I'm sorry.
4	Exhibit P-97, yes.
5	Pause
6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Exhibit P-97.
7	Sorry, it's another 97.
8	Pause
9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You will see that
10	this is another e-mail from Mr. Pardy. You see
11	that "JPD", that is Mr. Pardy's acronym.
12	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
13	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Then, once again
14	in paragraph 2, it says:
15	"With respect to paragraph 6
16	of referenced message would
17	appreciate if Ambassador
18	could report if there has
19	been any contact with RCMP
20	and CSIS liaison officials."
21	(As read
22	Obviously that is a few days
23	later.
24	So Mr. Pardy seems to be concerned
25	that there may be possible visits by the RCMP and

1	CSIS to Syria, and you are saying at this point in
2	time there was discussion of one.
3	MR. HOOPER: That's correct.
4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: But one had not
5	happened by that point in time?
6	MR. HOOPER: That is correct.
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, if we move
8	into early November do you know Ambassador
9	Pillarella, who was the Ambassador to Syria?
10	MR. HOOPER: I have known
11	Mr. Pillarella for some years, yes.
12	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Are you
13	aware that in early November 2002 that
14	Mr. Pillarella brought back a statement to Canada
15	from Syrian authorities?
16	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
17	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I wonder if the
18	witness might be given Exhibit P-134?
19	Pause
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Just to give some
21	context, if we initially look at tab 8 of Exhibit
22	P-134, you will see that although this is an
23	e-mail from Jonathan Solomon, who, as you know, is
24	in ISI at DFAIT, dated November 19th, he makes
25	reference in the fifth line down, stating:

1		"You will remember that at
2		an interdepartmental meeting
3		held on Wednesday,
4		November 6, it was agreed
5		that it would be useful if
6		CSIS were to travel to Syria
7		to speak to Syrian
8		authorities on international
9		terrorism."
10	Then	it goes on:
11		"We have consulted with CSIS
12		We have been informed
13		that"
14	Then	there is a redaction. It
15	says:	
16		"The purpose of the visit is
17		to consult with Syrian
18		intelligence and to take
19		advantage of their knowledge
20		of Al-Qaida and terrorist
21		threats. CSIS is not
22		
		visiting Maher Arar, but
23		visiting Maher Arar, but given the high profile of
23 24		

1	the unlikely event that there
2	will be press coverage of the
3	visit."
4	Now, were you aware that there was
5	this interdepartmental meeting on November 6th in
6	which CSIS participated?
7	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Did you attend
9	this meeting?
LO	MR. HOOPER: No, I did not.
L1	Officers from the Counterterrorism Branch did.
L2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: From
L3	Headquarters?
L4	MR. HOOPER: That's correct.
L5	MR. CAVALLUZZO: At this meeting
L6	there was discussion that it would be a good idea
L7	for CSIS to take a trip to Syria?
L8	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
L9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Do you know that
20	at this particular meeting that it was discussed
21	that it would be preferable for CSIS to do it
22	rather than the RCMP, because the Syrian Military
23	Intelligence preferred to deal with security
24	agencies rather than police forces?
)5	MP HOODER: That is my

1	understanding.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: If you stay in
3	Exhibit P-134 and we go back to tab 6, we see in
4	this particular entry which is once again a
5	DFAIT document it says:
6	"On November 3, 2002, the
7	Canadian Ambassador to Syria
8	received a document (written
9	in Arabic) from Syrian
LO	Military Intelligence
L1	The Ambassador brought the
L2	document to Canada personally
L3	and gave it to ISI on
L4	November 6. ISI sent it to
L5	CSIS for translation. The
L6	document, an undated
L7	three-paragraph bout de
L8	papier, was translated on
L9	November 7. The document
20	alleges that Arar spent time
21	in Afghanistan in Mujaheddin
22	camps and that he knew"
23	Somebody, which is redacted.
24	"The document was sent to
25	CSIS for translation by the

1	CSIS Liaison Officer in ISI.
2	The translated document was
3	returned to ISI and the
4	information was shared with
5	JPD, the RCMP and CSIS."
6	Then, finally, if you go to tab 9
7	you will see that CSIS expresses its view as far
8	as this document is concerned. You will see in
9	the second paragraph reference is made to that
10	meeting between ISI, the Ambassador, CSIS and RCMP
11	on November 6th. Then it says:
12	"CSIS made no comments about
13	the credibility of the
14	document, but said that even
15	if true, it was not
16	necessarily damning evidence
17	against him."
18	Okay? So that was the position of
19	CSIS at that point in time, that even if it's
20	true, there is nothing there?
21	MR. HOOPER: That is essentially
22	correct, yes.
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Were you
24	apprised of this information from the people from
25	headquarters who attended these meetings?

1	MR. HOOPER: You are referring to
2	the information in the "bout de papier"?
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Yes, the
4	information in the "bout de papier"?
5	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And CSIS's view
7	that there was nothing there?
8	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay.
10	I would like to move to
11	November 12, 2002 and refer to Mr. Solomon's
12	notes.
13	If Mr. Hooper might be given
14	Exhibit P-85, volume 4?
15	Pause
16	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And if you would
17	go, Mr. Hooper, to tab 126 at page 30?
18	MR. HOOPER: Three zero?
19	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Three zero.
20	MR. HOOPER: I have it.
21	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. This,
22	although being a note of Jonathan Solomon who,
23	once again, is a member of ISI in DFAIT, this is
24	an entry for, as you will see in the middle of the
25	page. November 12, 2002. Then there is a

1	reference there that says, "Hooper interpretation
2	of meeting." Then there is a slash "with Gar." I
3	think it's "exchange with Gar."
4	So "Hooper interpretation of
5	meeting/exchange with Gar."
6	Do you have any idea of what this
7	is about?
8	Were you speaking to Mr. Solomon
9	on or around this day?
10	MR. HOOPER: I don't have a
11	recollection of speaking with Mr. Solomon on that
12	date.
13	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Do you have any
14	idea? Do you recall discussing with him your
15	interpretation of that interagency meeting on
16	November 6th?
17	MR. HOOPER: I can't say with
18	certainty. I don't even know that this note
19	refers to a meeting of November 6th, and I will
20	explain that.
21	When I see the reference to
22	meeting with Gar Pardy, when I see the reference
23	to Peter Boehm, who was in our Washington Embassy
24	at that time, when I see the reference to
25	Ambassador Kergin from Washington, all of this

1	leads me to believe that this was in relation to a
2	matter having nothing to do with Mr. Arar.
3	That is the interpretation I take
4	from this.
5	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, we know from
6	the evidence that there was a meeting with
7	Mr. Powell, Colin Powell, the Secretary of State
8	at that time, on or about November 14th?
9	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
10	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Is that possible
11	what was discussed?
12	MR. HOOPER: It's possible.
13	MR. CAVALLUZZO: But nothing to do
14	with Mr. Arar?
15	MR. HOOPER: That is the
16	interpretation I draw from this.
17	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay.
18	Now, I wonder if the witness might
19	be given Exhibit P-137?
20	Pause
21	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Just give me a
22	second here.
23	Pause
24	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Actually, I'm
25	sorry, it's Exhibit P-134.

1	This line of questioning,
2	Mr. Hooper, relates to a conference call once
3	again, another interagency meeting, this time
4	through conference call between DFAIT, the
5	Solicitor General, CSIS and the PCO concerning the
6	Arar case.
7	If we look initially at tab 7. As
8	you can see, the title is "Trip to Syria," and
9	it's drafted by Jonathan Solomon, approved by
10	Livermore, and it states:
11	"For your personal
12	information, following a
13	meeting with DMA of
14	18 November, senior DFAIT
15	representatives asked CSIS to
16	delay their visit to Syria.
17	While CSIS indicated that
18	they would not attempt to
19	visit Arar, they still
20	intended to continue with
21	their planned visit to"
22	The redacted agency.
23	"PCO chose not to intervene
24	on this debate, so unless the
25	Minister attempts to block

1	this visit, CSIS will arrive
2	in Damascus on schedule.
3	Updates to follow."
4	I'm wondering if you participated
5	in this particular conference call concerning
6	whether CSIS should delay its visit?
7	MR. HOOPER: I did not. I
8	believe that was the Director General of the
9	Counterterrorism Branch that participated in
10	that call.
11	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Let me show you
12	Jim Gould's notes. This would be Exhibit P-236,
13	Mr. Gould's notes, personal notes?
14	Pause
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: If we pick it up
16	initially at page 4 of 16, which you are at, you
17	will see there, halfway down well, about a
18	third of the way down, it says:
19	"Arar - 9:30 conference call
20	with PCO/GMR".
21	Then it says "Conf call", and then
22	it says:
23	"Really & only issue is `you
24	don't deport a CDN to
25	Svria.'"

1	Then it goes on and it says:
2	"Jack Hooper"
3	There is a redacted name or agency
4	and then it goes on:
5	" re: trip to Syria to
6	meet with Arar.
7	- Optics are very bad this
8	week should not plan on
9	immediate visit."
10	Then at the bottom Mr. Gould's
11	notes state: "Jack H" and then it says "not
12	meeting with," and then it appears to be an "N" or
13	a question mark, and then it says, "meeting with,"
14	and it is a blank, and then you can see "Arar" in
15	the right. I think that says, "was," or "N.B.
16	Arar," or something like that. Then it says:
17	"- have window of a few days
18	- will raise with War"
19	I assume that is Ward Elcock:
20	" and it will be his
21	call."
22	Then, for the continuation of
23	November 18th, if you go behind tab 2 at page 5
24	of 6, it states, and I'm reading:
25	"- they talk to these people

1	all the time so should be no
2	problem
3	- they hope to leave tomorrow
4	- I suggested that the optics
5	not good for this week at
6	least
7	- he said he had read article
8	in press and understood."
9	Does that refresh your memory as
10	to a conference call or a call you may have had
11	with Mr. Gould concerning the delay of the CSIS
12	trip because of the optics?
13	MR. HOOPER: No, I understand the
14	messages that are conveyed in these notes, but
15	whether they are attributed to comments that I may
16	have made at a conference call I don't see the
17	necessary linkage though.
18	Certainly some of the things that
19	are attributed in here are things that I would
20	have said. Whether they were said in the context
21	of a November 18th conference call or whether they
22	were said by others attributing them to me, I
23	don't know.
24	MR. CAVALLUZZO: But you do recall
25	that CSIS was not prepared to delay the visit,

1	that the PCO chose not to intervene, that the
2	Minister of Foreign Affairs was approached and he
3	said, "Let it go ahead so long as they give me a
4	report so that I will have that when I phone the
5	Minister of Foreign Affairs in Syria"?
6	MR. HOOPER: I do want to bring
7	some precision to that, Mr. Cavalluzzo, because we
8	weren't prepared to delay the visit based on the
9	rationale provided by Foreign Affairs for delaying
10	the visit. I thought at that time, in weighing
11	our interests versus the Foreign Affairs concerns,
12	that there was a more compelling case to be made
13	for going then than for not going then.
14	Specifically, as I recall, it
15	was related to me the Foreign Affairs concern
16	related around the media coverage, the high
17	profile that the Arar case had at that time, and
18	in my estimation and we discussed this among
19	officials at CSIS the Arar case was going to
20	have a high media profile for a long time and the
21	terrorists weren't downing tools waiting for us to
22	deal with Arar.
23	So that was basically the
24	balancing act that we were performing there.
25	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So that the trip

1	did go on the next day, as the note indicates, on
2	November 19th?
3	MR. HOOPER: That's correct.
4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. We have to
5	be very circumspect here but, from your knowledge,
6	what was the purpose of the trip?
7	MR. HOOPER: The purpose of the
8	trip was fundamentally to receive information from
9	the Syrian side that may have relevance to threats
10	to the security of Canada that we were mandated to
11	investigate.
12	MR. CAVALLUZZO: We understand
13	from the Solomon note that there was a prospect of
14	some kind of a relationship. What I would like to
15	do is read into the record from the redacted
16	summary.
17	We have to be very circumspect,
18	here, Mr. Commissioner, and so just let me read
19	into the record for the public paragraphs 28 and
20	29 of the summary.
21	It states:
22	"For national security
23	reasons, CSIS may have to
24	enter into relationships with
25	a foreign agency of a country

1	that has a poor human rights
2	record. In such cases CSIS
3	exercises caution by closely
4	scrutinizing the content of
5	information provided to or
6	obtained from the foreign
7	agency and by instituting
8	checks and balances to ensure
9	that none of the security
LO	intelligence information
11	exchanged with the foreign
12	agency is used in the
13	commission of human rights
L4	violations. Generally
15	speaking, CSIS only discloses
16	information to a foreign
L7	agency of a country in which
18	there are human rights
19	concerns after considering
20	various issues. These issues
21	include the potential use to
22	which the foreign agency may
23	put the information,
24	especially if it concerns
25	Canadians, and the degree of

1	a threat that an affected
2	individual poses to national
3	security. Further, CSIS
4	considers the ability and
5	willingness of the foreign
6	agency to respect caveats and
7	protect the information from
8	public disclosure."
9	(As read)
10	MS McISAAC: Mr. Commissioner, if
11	I could just point out, it was not my
12	understanding that those two paragraphs related to
13	Syria per se, but rather to the general position
14	that the CSIS may find itself with respect to
15	relationships with various countries.
16	MR. CAVALLUZZO: That is
17	correct, it is a general statement that the
18	summary refers to.
19	First of all, just as a general
20	matter and there are many, many foreign nations
21	out there that we are aware of with poor human
22	rights records but in terms of the
23	considerations that I read to you from the
24	summary, would you agree with those statements?
25	MR. HOOPER: Yes.

1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay.
2	Now, as far as the particular
3	consideration of the degree of threat that an
4	affected individual poses to national security,
5	I guess you have to be circumspect here as far
6	as Mr. Arar is concerned, but certainly the view
7	of CSIS, at least at that point in time, would
8	appear to be that the statement that came back
9	with Pillarella was really not worth the paper
10	it is written on as far as whether he was a threat
11	or not?
12	MR. HOOPER: It didn't
13	particularly inform our assessment of the threat.
14	It wasn't a particularly useful document in that
15	regard.
16	MR. CAVALLUZZO: But in any event,
17	obviously one of the key considerations that CSIS
18	would take into account in sharing information
19	with a country with a poor human rights record is
20	that: Is the guy a threat? What is the level of
21	the threat? That goes into the balance
22	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: in terms of
24	this very, very crucial balance regarding the
25	exchange of such information?

1	MR. HOOPER: I agree with that.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, as far as
3	the trip is concerned, and we have heard some
4	evidence yesterday apparently from I believe it
5	was Mr. Gould or Mr. Livermore that some
6	information came back to Canada, and I won't ask
7	you about that.
8	But what I would like to ask you
9	about is that we do have evidence before us,
10	indeed from Mr. Pillarella, where he expressed a
11	great deal of dissatisfaction with CSIS.
12	I would ask you now to refer to
13	tab 10 of Exhibit P-134.
14	Pause
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And this is where
16	Mr. Pillarella states that:
17	"Following my meeting with
18	[redacted] it was agreed that
19	they would come back to the
20	Embassy for a debrief on
21	their meetings with Syrian
22	counterparts which would be
23	held Parallel to this
24	I, informed them that I was
25	trying to arrange a meeting

1	with General Khalil for
2	[whoever]. However, I could
3	not guarantee that the
4	meeting would take place
5	given the last minute
6	request. In the end, meeting
7	with General Khalil could not
8	be arranged and I never saw
9	[these people] again, as they
LO	did not come back to the
11	Embassy on as previously
12	agreed. Several messages
13	left at their hotel on Sunday
L4	to call me went unanswered
15	and I found out that they
16	had checked out of the hotel
17	and presumably had left
18	Damascus.
19	2. Maybe there is a good
20	explanation for this bizarre
21	behaviour but I confess that
22	I cannot think of one at the
23	moment. All I can say is
24	that in terms of co-operation
25	this is less than

1	satisfactory to say the
2	least."
3	It goes on for a couple of
4	sentences. I'm going to ask you and I'm not
5	going to ask you whether it was bizarre behaviour,
6	but ask you whether you were aware that CSIS
7	representatives left Damascus without briefing
8	Mr. Pillarella?
9	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
10	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Were they
11	admonished or disciplined for not having briefed
12	Mr. Pillarella?
13	MR. HOOPER: No. I became aware
14	of Mr. Pillarella's discontent around this issue
15	and made inquiries to find out why this had
16	happened and I received what I considered to be a
17	reasonable explanation as to why they never hooked
18	up with the Ambassador. I think a lot of that had
19	to do, if you read through Mr. Pillarella's memo
20	here, he had been trying to arrange a meeting with
21	his Syrian counterpart but couldn't nail it down
22	to a date, time and place.
23	What was provided to me was that
24	we had an understanding that it was to take place
25	Saturday, it never took place on Saturday.

1	Mr. Pillarella tried to get a hold of the CSIS
2	delegation. He couldn't contact us. We couldn't
3	contact him. It was just a case of, we never did
4	hook up. But there was certainly no intent on the
5	part of the Service personnel to avoid Ambassador
6	Pillarella.
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. What is
8	interesting for me, after seeing the evidence in
9	respect of other agencies, is that it is
10	interesting to see that CSIS is establishing a
11	direct relationship with an entity of another
12	in a foreign country without the intercession of
13	the Ambassador, which is not the case of other
14	Canadian organizations.
15	Is that common?
16	MR. HOOPER: Certainly when we are
17	embarking down the road towards establishing a
18	relationship with a foreign entity, there is
19	consultation. There has to be consultation.
20	There has to be concurrence with the department.
21	My expectation is, and my
22	understanding is, is there was exchanges between
23	Foreign Affairs and the Ambassador responsible for
24	the region or the area where we are considering a
25	relationship, so there is that consultative

1	process.
2	If there are pre-established
3	relationships between the Ambassador and the
4	entity that we are establishing a relationship
5	with, yes, occasionally the Ambassador will engage
6	or occasionally he will not, he or she will not.
7	So it is not a hard and fast rule in that regard.
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Once again we are
9	talking here generally.
LO	What about a situation where you
L1	establish a relationship with a foreign entity,
L2	wherever that country might be, and you have a
L3	relationship with that entity. Now, do you
L4	normally go through the Ambassador when you want
L5	to meet with that entity or want to have
L6	discussions with that entity or is it just a
L7	direct relationship?
L8	MR. HOOPER: Where there is an
L9	established relationship?
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Yes.
21	MR. HOOPER: Normally we just do
22	it directly.
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Now, I
24	would like to
25	THE COMMISSIONER: I will just

1	make a point about the evidence in this area,
2	really for the public.
3	This is one of those areas where
4	we have heard a good deal of evidence about the
5	visit and any issues that may surround it in
6	camera. It' has been thoroughly canvassed and
7	several witnesses have been called.
8	The evidence here in public today
9	is being called for the purpose of informing the
LO	public to the extent we can, but because of claims
L1	of National Security Confidentiality obviously a
L2	great deal of the detail of the evidence that we
L3	have heard cannot be dealt with in public. Like
L4	many other or several other areas in the
L5	investigation, those are matters that I have heard
L6	in camera.
L7	I will be reporting on and giving
L8	my views as to how much of that information, in my
L9	opinion, can be made public, but that will all
20	occur in the report.
21	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Thank you.
22	Okay. Mr. Hooper, I would now
23	like to move into 2003. We understand from the
24	evidence that one of the consular reports that
25	CSIS received was the one in early January,

1	January 8, 2003. There is nothing special about
2	that report, it just said that Mr. Arar appeared
3	to be in good health and the Syrians were thinking
4	of charging him, thought that he was involved in
5	the Muslim Brotherhood, which is an organization
6	that we have heard some evidence about, and would
7	be detained for a lengthy period and would be
8	prosecuted.
9	I can show you the report, but it
10	would appear that at this point in time that
11	things are becoming focused as far as Mr. Arar is
12	concerned, and that is that the Syrians are
13	treating this in a very serious way. They are
14	going to be considering very serious charges.
15	As I assume you know from your
16	experience, the Syrians treat the Muslim
17	Brotherhood in a very, very severe way.
18	MR. HOOPER: Yes, they do.
19	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, if we go
20	back to the Pillarella book, because an important
21	event occurs in the middle of January. This is
22	Exhibit P-134.
23	Pause
24	MR. CAVALLUZZO: At tab 14, if you
25	go to the second page you will see that there is

1	an e-mail from Mr. Pillarella, which is dated
2	January 15, 2003 to different offices within
3	DFAIT, and the subject is "Arar meeting". You
4	will see that he "met this morning with" there
5	is a redacted name there, but it is Mr. Khalil.
6	But if you go to the second
7	paragraph it says:
8	"During the conversation,
9	[somebody] made two points
LO	which I thought were rather
L1	curious."
L2	The first point relates to
L3	Mr. Arar and is not relevant for our purposes.
L4	Then the second point is. He
L5	says:
L6	"The second, again according
L7	to his information, was that
L8	CSIS would have indicated to
L9	[this redacted person] that
20	they have no wish to see Aran
21	return to Canada and they
22	were quite content with the
23	way things were."
24	That statement there.
25	We will go on to another

1	document, but at this point in time did you become
2	aware that the SMI, the Syrian Military
3	Intelligence, was saying to the Canadian
4	government through Pillarella, "CSIS told us they
5	don't want this guy back? They are quite happy
6	with the way things are."
7	Did you discover that?
8	MR. HOOPER: Very quickly, yes.
9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: How did you
10	discover that the Syrians were giving this
11	impression?
12	MR. HOOPER: I don't know the
13	precise mechanism or path, but I believe I heard
14	it initially from the Department of Foreign
15	Affairs.
16	MR. CAVALLUZZO: As a result of
17	this did you or someone under your supervision
18	have a meeting or telephone call with anybody in
19	Foreign Affairs?
20	MR. HOOPER: I know what I did. I
21	asked to meet with the CSIS delegation that had
22	been in Syria.
23	First of all, I found this
24	allegation quite surprising to me because I had a
25	great awareness and some involvement in the

1	tasking around the initial visit to Syria in
2	November and there were strict admonitions as to
3	what we would do and what we wouldn't do over
4	there, and this was not in accord with those
5	instructions.
6	So I made inquiries, and I
7	determined that the Service gave nothing to the
8	Syrian side that to my mind would logically lead
9	to this conclusion and that was conveyed back to
10	Foreign Affairs. We did not say those words to
11	the Syrians.
12	I would also point out, if I have
13	the opportunity, with this memo in front of me, if
14	you put the first thing that Ambassador
15	Pillarella's interlocutor says against the second
16	thing, (a) Mr. Arar doesn't want to come back to
17	Canada, and CSIS is happy with him staying in
18	jail, I think you have to assign the same
19	credibility to both of those statements, in my
20	mind.
21	But in any event, I was satisfied,
22	after making inquiries around this, that we didn't
23	say anything to the Syrians that would lead to
24	that conclusion on their part.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, did you

25

1	yourself or did you instruct anybody under your
2	supervision to contact the Syrian Military
3	Intelligence and say, "Listen, if you have this
4	impression it is wrong. Clearly wrong"?
5	Did you do that?
6	MR. HOOPER: I did not.
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Could you tell us
8	why not?
9	MR. HOOPER: At that time there
10	was a couple of things that happened.
11	First of all, on January 16, 2003
12	I was aware that there was a telephone call made
13	by the Minister of Foreign Affairs to his Syrian
14	counterpart.
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: If you go to
16	tab 15 you will see reference to that.
17	You will see this is a
18	briefing note for the Minister, who would be
19	making a telephone call to the Syrian Foreign
20	Minister. You will see on the second page, the
21	last bullet, it says:
22	"I understand from recent
23	reports that the Syrian
24	authorities may have the
25	impression that Canada has no

1	wi	sh to see Mr. Arar return
2	to	Canada. I would like to
3	ma	ke it very clear that the
4	Ca	nadian government would
5	li	ke Mr. Arar to be returned
6	to	Canada."
7	Then or	n the next page, you will
8	see in the last bullet	it says:
9	" (CSIS has had discussions
10	wi	th the Syrian authorities
11	CC	ncerning Arar. The
12	М]	Thoever] informed the
13	Am	bassador that, according to
14	hi	s information, CSIS had
15	ir	dicated to Syrian military
16	ir	telligence they have no
17	wi	sh to see Arar return to
18	Ca	nada and are quite content
19	wi	th the way things are."
20	Then i	t goes on:
21	" (CSIS has informed the
22	De	partment that this is not
23	th	ne case, that they only told
24	th	ne Syrians that Arar was not
25	ar	active target or priority

1	for them."
2	MR. HOOPER: Correct.
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So this is the
4	phone call that you are referring to?
5	MR. HOOPER: That is the phone
6	call that I'm making direct reference to.
7	There are a couple of other
8	points, if I may, Mr. Cavalluzzo?
9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Yes.
10	MR. HOOPER: So you have the phone
11	call from Minister Graham to his Syrian
12	counterpart where effectively it is pre-emptive in
13	terms of what the Service might do, because he has
14	clearly conveyed the message that the Government
15	of Canada wants Mr. Arar back.
16	Second, and I believe it was the
17	same day following that telephone call, there was
18	either a meeting or a telephone call by
19	representatives of the Geographic Division at
20	Foreign Affairs Headquarters with the Syrian
21	Ambassador.
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Look at tab 16,
23	in the second bottom paragraph.
24	Just let me read it for the public
25	here. It says:

1	"GMR informed Syrian
2	Ambassador Arnous of the
3	phone call Ambassador
4	Arnous was well aware of the
5	discussions regarding the
6	possible visit by Mrs. Arar
7	including the request of a
8	note verbale, which we
9	informed him had already been
LO	sent. Ambassador Arnous also
L1	was pleased to hear that
L2	Minister Graham had informed
L3	his Minister that it was the
L4	position of the Canadian
L5	Government that the preferred
L6	option is the return of Arar
L7	to Canada. Arnous
L8	volunteered that he had also
L9	been informed that the Syrian
20	security services had been
21	told by their Canadian
22	counterparts that Canada did
23	not wish to see Arar return
24	to Canada."
25	So that we have another person

1	now, we have Khalil saying it, now we have Arnous
2	saying, "I was told the same thing, that CSIS said
3	they don't want Arar back."
4	So is this the conversation or
5	meeting you are talking about the next day, or the
6	same day?
7	MR. HOOPER: That's correct.
8	Perhaps I am preempting your walking me through
9	this evidence, Mr. Cavalluzzo, but what I would
10	also say in this regard at the same tab, tab 16,
11	there was a comment.
12	"Ambassador Arnous also was
13	pleased to hear that Minister
14	Graham had informed his
15	Minister that it was the
16	position of the Canadian
17	Government that the preferred
18	option is the return of Arar
19	to Canada."
20	I take that to mean that by the
21	time Ambassador Graham has contacted his
22	counterpart, by the time GMR has contacted
23	Ambassador Arnous, everybody is on the same page.
24	There is a third element to this
25	and I would be prepared to speak to it in camera,

1	but I would say in public testimony that quite
2	independent of our learning these facts from the
3	Department of Foreign Affairs we had information
4	from an independent source that satisfied the
5	Service that notwithstanding what may have been
6	said, by the time these calls were made, the
7	discussions were held, there was no
8	misunderstanding on the part of any Syrian entity
9	as to what the position of the Government of
10	Canada was relative to Mr. Arar.
11	So all of this to say, by
12	January 16, 2003 the Service's understanding is
13	that there is no misunderstanding by the
14	Syrian side.
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You told us
16	that in terms of checking out your end that you
17	talked to the people that visited Syria in
18	November 2002?
19	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Did you talk to
21	anybody else in the Service that may have had
22	contact with the SMI?
23	MR. HOOPER: No, I did not.
24	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Once
25	again, I guess an objective observer may say, you

1	know, "Hooper's making good points there. But you
2	know what? This SMI, they like to deal with
3	intelligence agencies and all it would have taken
4	is a pick up the phone and say, "Listen, Khalil,
5	get it through your head, CSIS wants Mr. Arar's
6	return."
7	I guess that wasn't done and I
8	guess your answer would be, "Well, I thought it
9	had resolved itself," through these three points
10	you are making?
11	MR. HOOPER: That's correct.
12	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, we
13	understand from the evidence, and I won't show it
14	to you, but it's in the redacted summary at
15	page 8, and that is that in mid-January of 2003,
16	that CSIS and other Canadian agencies became aware
17	that Mr. Arar could be imprisoned in Syria for a
18	long time and indeed could be sentenced to death?
19	You will have to say "Yes, I agree
20	with that."
21	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, March 21,
23	2003 if the witness can be shown Exhibit P-99?
24	Pause
25	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, this is, as

1	you can see, a note dated March 24th. It relates
2	to a phone call that Myra Pastyr-Lupul, who is a
3	person engaged in consular services in DFAIT, she
4	had a telephone call with Madam Catterall, whom
5	you know is a politician who eventually went to
6	Syria.
7	For those who don't have it,
8	it says:
9	"Catterall and
10	Assadourian"
11	That is the other parliamentarian.
12	" met with the Syrian
13	Ambassador last Wednesday,
14	March 21. They discussed the
15	case of Maher Arar and made a
16	personal and humanitarian
17	pitch for the release of
18	Mr. Arar and asked what the
19	Syrians need for this to
20	happen."
21	Then it goes on:
22	"They learned that initially
23	during this case that CSIS
24	officials told the Syrians
25	that they have no interest in

1	Arar. The Syrians took this
2	to mean that CSIS have no
3	interest in having Arar back.
4	They may have meant that they
5	have no security reasons to
6	investigate Arar in Canada.
7	Due to the miscommunication,
8	the Syrians believed that
9	CSIS did not want Arar back
10	in Canada and therefore
11	decided to detain him, keep
12	him in Syria."
13	Then, after that description of
14	the phone call, Ms Pastyr-Lupul goes on:
15	"What we need to do now is
16	send a clear message in
17	writing to the Syrians from
18	CSIS that outlines clearly
19	that we have no information
20	which has led us to believe
21	that Arar poses a security
22	threat to Canada.
23	Furthermore, the Syrians need
24	to hear from security people
25	in DFAIT in writing and if we

1	do have any information that
2	shows any involvement in
3	terrorist activity that we
4	will charge him in Canada and
5	deal with his case through
6	the usual law enforcement
7	channels."
8	So that it would appear that a
9	little over two months after January 15th, when
10	you and others thought that the misimpression that
11	the Syrians had about CSIS's position regarding
12	Mr. Arar was still prevailing on March 21st and I
13	would ask you whether you were aware that on March
14	21st, or March 24th, or anytime thereafter that
15	this information had been received from the Syrian
16	Ambassador to Canada?
17	MR. HOOPER: I wasn't aware of
18	this memo.
19	I would like to go back a little
20	bit, Mr. Cavalluzzo, because if I understand the
21	genesis of this memorandum correctly and I
22	would ask you to correct me if I'm wrong first
23	of all, the Service never became aware of this
24	memorandum until after the commencement of these
25	proceedings and we all embarked on a disclosure

1	exercise. So these sentiments were never
2	articulated to the Service.
3	Second, my understanding is this
4	memo was generated as a consequence of a call that
5	Ms Catterall made to Ms Pastyr-Lupul after her
6	lunch with Ambassador Arnous. When I go back to
7	the testimony of Ms Catterall, I don't know that
8	the contents of this memo directly flow from
9	Ms Catterall's testimony around that lunch meeting
10	because, as I recall, Ms Catterall testified to
11	the effect that Ambassador Arnous made a statement
12	that initially there was an understanding by the
13	Syrians that CSIS didn't want Mr. Arar back in
14	Canada, and also from my understanding of that
15	testimony, that's past tense. That's initially;
16	that's then.
17	Whether Ms Catterall came back
18	with the impression that that misunderstanding
19	still existed and that was communicated to Ms
20	Pastyr-Lupul, I don't know.
21	MR. CAVALLUZZO: We will review
22	the evidence of Ms Catterall, but certainly DFAIT,
23	certainly DFAIT as of March 24th, were of the view
24	that this misimpression that the Syrians had is
25	nergisting is that this is still going on a

1	couple of months after January 15th.
2	MR. HOOPER: I would agree with
3	that. And the disclosure exercise has been
4	instructive to a lot of us, and I'm I guess I'm
5	surprised and a little bit troubled by the
6	longevity of this impression within certain
7	sectors of Foreign Affairs, after we thought that
8	it had been put to rest.
9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So that no one
10	from Foreign Affairs contacted CSIS and said,
11	"This misimpression is still on," so to speak?
12	MR. HOOPER: Nobody said that to
13	us, nobody said, "Do something to fix it." As far
14	as we were concerned, after January 16th this was
15	a non-issue.
16	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: If somebody had
18	said to fix it, let's assume they had contacted
19	you and said "This is continuing. Can you pick up
20	the phone? It may not be that simple. Talk to
21	General Khalil and tell him once and for all CSIS
22	wants him back." I know nobody did that, but is
23	that not something that could have been done?
24	MR. HOOPER: I think if you bring
25	it back to the circumstances, Mr. Commissioner, if

1	we became aware of this and again bearing in
2	mind that we were in an assistance mode to Foreign
3	Affairs around the whole consular process, we
4	might say to them, "Would it be helpful?" and
5	there would have to be a dialogue between
6	ourselves and Foreign Affairs, but certainly we
7	would not unilaterally, without consultation with
8	Foreign Affairs, zip something over to the Syrian
9	side. It would be the subject of consultation.
LO	But I can tell you that if it were
L1	of assistance, I don't believe there would be any
L2	hesitation on the part of our Service to fix this.
L3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I guess in terms
L4	of going through Foreign Affairs and doing it on
L5	your own, it would seem to me that this is a
L6	statement or an allegation that is being made
L7	about the Service, it is being made by another
L8	security intelligence service that you have had
L9	some contact with, and it would seem to me that
20	there could be a direct contact with that entity
21	that is making these statements about you without
22	going through Foreign Affairs because the
23	allegation, once again, is being made against you.
24	You know these guys. You know that they like to
25	deal with you guys.

1	I guess my point would be, if you
2	are aware of this, I guess, you could have dealt
3	with them directly and shut it down?
4	MR. HOOPER: I think, in most
5	instances generally speaking that's true. But I
6	think there is evidence before the Commission that
7	while Syrian military intelligence apparatus might
8	have had a preference to deal with us rather than
9	a police service, there is evidence that there was
10	a much stronger relationship on the ground in
11	Damascus between Ambassador Pillarella and the
12	Syrian Military Intelligence service.
13	So all things weighed equally,
14	Ambassador Pillarella probably had a better in
15	than we did, given the state of our relationship
16	with the Syrians at the time.
17	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I am in a
18	position where I know of in-camera evidence that
19	would raise serious questions with that statement
20	and I will deal with that in camera.
21	MR. HOOPER: Okay. I would be
22	pleased to.
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Just a couple of
24	final questions before the break, because I want
25	to move on to the Pardy letters.

1	As I said before, the
2	parliamentarians, Assadourian and Catterall, went
3	to Damascus on April 22nd and CSIS received a
4	consular report relating to that visit.
5	you will agree with that?
6	MR. HOOPER: Yes, some time in
7	early May, first week of May.
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: That is the other
9	document or consular report which CSIS received
LO	relating to Mr. Arar.
L1	Finally, before the break, if you
L2	could have the witness see Exhibit P-67?
L3	Pause
L4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Do you have that?
L5	MR. HOOPER: I have that.
L6	Is there an attachment to that,
L7	Mr. Cavalluzzo?
L8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: No. This is the
L9	exhibit. And what it is, it is a facsimile, and
20	this was obtained through Access, and it is dated
21	May 21, 2003, and it states:
22	"Please find attached
23	information that was provided
24	to the ADM as he visited
25	Syria.

1	I have also been advised that
2	DFAIT ISI [something] re
3	ARAR."
4	Then it goes:
5	"Along this line, do you have
6	any questions you would like
7	posed to ARAR."
8	I'm wondering if you have any
9	information whatever relating to ISI asking CSIS
10	if they had any questions that they would like to
11	pose to Mr. Arar, who obviously at this point in
12	time is in Syria?
13	MR. HOOPER: I think this may be
14	the first time I'm seeing this, so the short
15	answer to your question is no.
16	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So that you have
17	no information whatever concerning CSIS giving
18	questions to be posed to Mr. Arar from DFAIT, or
19	any other entity for that matter?
20	MR. HOOPER: That is correct.
21	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Why don't we just
22	deal with this at this time?
23	At no time during Mr. Arar's stay
24	in Syria did CSIS visit or interview Mr. Arar?
25	MR. HOOPER: That's correct.

1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Commissioner,
2	it's about 20 to 11:00. It may be an appropriate
3	time to take the break.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: We will take
5	the morning break for 15 minutes.
6	THE REGISTRAR: Please stand.
7	Upon recessing at 10:40 a.m. /
8	Suspension à 10 h 40
9	Upon resuming at 10:58 a.m. /
10	Reprise à 10 h 58
11	THE REGISTRAR: Please be seated.
12	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay.
13	Mr. Hooper, we are really into the early part of
14	2003, and we went through that note that
15	Pastyr-Lupul made that, at least from her
16	perspective, the Syrians were still under the
17	impression that CSIS was of the view that they
18	didn't want Mr. Arar back. You told us that you
19	were unaware of that note until the commencement
20	of these proceedings.
21	I would like to move on from there
22	into May of 2003, and ask if the witness can be
23	given Exhibit P-117, volume 1 I'm sorry, it's
24	volume 2. It's the Easter book, volume 2.
25	If you go behind tab 75,

1	sub tab 3?
2	MR. HOOPER: I have it.
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. We have
4	heard a great deal of evidence about this
5	memorandum which was drafted by Mr. Gar Pardy who,
6	as you know, was head of Consular Affairs in
7	DFAIT. It is dated May 5, 2003.
8	Just let me highlight certain
9	portions of the memorandum and ask you questions
10	about it.
11	Once again, this is an action
12	memorandum for the Minister of Foreign Affairs.
13	The first page, under "Recommendations," just
14	referring to the first and last bullet points.
15	First one "Recommendations":
16	"Efforts continue to
17	establish a common
18	understanding within the
19	government of Canada on this
20	case with the objective of
21	the issuance of a statement
22	signed by the Solicitor
23	General and the Minister of
24	Foreign Affairs that could be
25	used with Syrian

1		authorities."
2	Then	in the last bullet:
3		"If necessary that you meet
4		with the Solicitor General
5		and Deputy Prime Minister to
6		arrive at a common
7		understanding on the case."
8	Then	on the third page, page 3, in
9	paragraph 8 it state	s that:
10		"CSIS officials visited Syria
11		earlier this year and
12		discussed Mr. Arar with their
13		counterparts. They did not
14		meet Mr. Arar. Subsequent to
15		these discussions Syrian
16		officials informed us that
17		they were informed by CSIS
18		officials that Canada did not
19		want to have Mr. Arar
20		returned. The CSIS officials
21		denied that they had said
22		this to the Syrians."
23	Then	if you go on to the next
24	paragraph, wherein i	t is stated:
25		"It remains our view that the

1	fol	lowing elements need to be
2	in	place if there can be any
3	exp	ectation that the Syrians
4	mig	ht be prepared to release
5	Mr.	Arar and permit him to
6	ret	urn to Canada".
7	The top	bullet there on page 4:
8	"th	ere is a need for an
9	una	mbiguous statement by the
10	gov	ernment of Canada,
11	pre	ferably signed by the
12	Sol	icitor General and the
13	For	eign Minister, to the
14	eff	ect that we have no
15	evi	dence in Canada, or from
16	for	eign sources, that
17	Mr.	Arar is or was a member
18	of	al-Qaida, that we do not
19	bel	ieve that such information
20	exi	sts and that Mr. Arar
21	sho	uld be permitted to return
22	to	Canada."
23	Then, fi	nally, in the next
24	paragraph, paragraph 10,	it says:
25	"In	the days ahead, our

1	efforts will concentrate on:
2	- convening a meeting of
3	Canadian officials
4	representing CSIS, RCMP, PCO,
5	and office of the Deputy
6	Prime Minister to develop a
7	common Canadian approach that
8	could be sufficiently clear
9	to communicate to the Syrian
10	authorities."
11	I would ask whether you ever saw
12	this memorandum from Mr. Pardy?
13	MR. HOOPER: No, sir.
14	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You never saw
15	this memorandum?
16	MR. HOOPER: I saw it in
17	preparation for my testimony, but I did not see it
18	before that.
19	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Now, we
20	understand that there were meetings at which CSIS
21	representatives attended on May 8th and 12th,
22	wherein this memorandum was discussed.
23	Are you aware of that?
24	MR. HOOPER: I know there were
25	meetings about that time. I accept that they

1	probably were the 8th and the 12th. We did have
2	officers attending these meetings, yes.
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Did you get
4	reports back from those officers as to what
5	occurred at these meetings?
6	MR. HOOPER: In general terms,
7	yes.
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Did these
9	officers tell you did these officers tell you
10	that this May 5th memo this May 5th memo is
11	saying that there is still ambiguity in the
12	Syrians' minds as to whether Canada wants Arar
13	returned home?
14	Did they point that out to you?
15	MR. HOOPER: No. The quality of
16	discussions I had with the people who were
17	attending these meetings were around the wisdom of
18	having the Minister of Foreign Affairs and the
19	Solicitor General being co-signatories to a letter
20	and the language that would be acceptable, in our
21	view, to the Service and to the Solicitor General.
22	But I was, in general terms, aware
23	of the tenor of the discussions and certainly
24	aware the Department of Foreign Affairs was on
25	this issue.

1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Leaving aside the
2	discussion on language, were you aware that the
3	tenor of this memo was that the Syrians still had
4	this ambiguity in their mind as to whether the
5	Canadian government wanted Arar back or not?
6	MR. HOOPER: I know that there
7	was, within the Government of Canada, a perception
8	that the Syrians had some ambiguity around what
9	the message was.
10	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. And I
11	guess the question would be: If you were advised
12	of so if you had read this memo of May 5th, you
13	would have said, "Holy God, they still think that
14	we don't want this guy back. I had better phone
15	Khalil immediately"?
16	MR. HOOPER: If I had seen this
17	at the time that it was written, at the time that
18	these discussions were ongoing, my initial
19	reaction would be to question Mr. Pardy and say,
20	"Where is this ambiguity coming from?" Because,
21	to my knowledge, the ambiguity had been dispensed
22	with.
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. But
24	surely to God at this point in time there was only
25	one ambiguity that we are aware of, that you are

1	aware of.
2	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Surely the
4	ambiguity was coming from CSIS, and I put it to
5	you that if you had read this memo, or if you had
6	been if you had been advised of the contents of
7	this memo, that you would have picked up the phone
8	and called Khalil, or had somebody call Khalil,
9	and say, "Correct any ambiguity you have in your
10	mind: CSIS, along with every other entity in
11	Canada, wants Arar's return to Canada"?
12	Do you agree with that?
13	MR. HOOPER: That is the easy
14	answer, but the truth of the matter is that if I
15	saw that statement my first call would be to Gar
16	Pardy, saying, "Where is this coming from, Gar?"
17	Because, again, I don't have any information to
18	hand at the beginning of May 2003 that suggests
19	there is ambiguity. And if Mr. Pardy came back to
20	me and said, you know, "Here is the reason for
21	this," then I would say, "Well, then we have to
22	clear it up."
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. So what
24	you are saying is that if somebody from CSIS
25	called Gar Pardy and said, "Gar, you are talking

1	about ambiguity and let's clear it up in the
2	Syrians' mind," and if Gar Pardy said, "It's you
3	guys," you would have picked up the phone, or had
4	someone contact Mr. Khalil and say, "We are on
5	board with the other entities in Canada. We want
6	him home"?
7	MR. HOOPER: Not without some
8	further consultation with ISI, Dan Livermore and
9	Jim Wright, we wouldn't do that.
10	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. But
11	whatever the consultations would be, the fact is
12	that that process would have been put in place?
13	MR. HOOPER: If that was the
14	result of the consultations, yes.
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Do you feel that
16	you should have been advised of this very
17	important fact that we see in this May 5th memo,
18	that there is still ambiguity in the Syrians' mind
19	according to the drafter of this memo?
20	MR. HOOPER: I wish I had been.
21	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So that just to
22	be clear in my own mind, that in these meetings
23	took place wherein this memo was discussed, no one
24	from CSIS who attended these meetings came back to
25	you and said, "Mr. Hooper, there is still

1	ambiguity in the Syrians' minds"?
2	MR. HOOPER: No.
3	Pause
4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now we come back
5	to what you said before, and that is, should there
6	be a letter co-signed by your Minister, the
7	Solicitor General, and the Minister of DFAIT, with
8	the appropriate language respecting Mr. Arar, that
9	is to use the language of the memo that there is
10	no evidence in Canada or from foreign sources that
11	Mr. Arar is or was a member of al-Qaeda and so on,
12	so that that process gets into play, and I
13	understand that as a result of this that a
14	briefing note was prepared by CSIS for the
15	Solicitor General.
16	Would you go to tab 4, sub 4.
17	Much of this is redacted, but let me read you the
18	portions that are not redacted. This relates,
19	obviously, to the issue of:
20	"DFAIT is requesting that the
21	Solicitor General and the
22	Minister of Foreign Affairs
23	co-sign a letter to the
24	Syrian government to secure
25	the release of Maher ARAR

1		from Syria, where he has been
2		detained since 2002 10 21."
3	Ther	n in terms of the discussion it
4	states:	
5		"DFAIT officials hope that
6		their DM may secure ARAR's
7		release by presenting Syrian
8		authorities a letter
9		co-signed by the Solicitor
LO		General and Minister Graham.
L1		DFAIT officials have also
L2		suggested that the Service
L3		and the RCMP interview ARAR
L4		while in Syrian custody. As
L5		to the Syrians intent to
L6		bring ARAR to trial, they may
L7		view such efforts as an
L8		affront to their
L9		sovereignty."
20	Ther	n we have a redacted portion,
21	and then it goes on	with another consideration and
22	says:	
23		"Furthermore, the US
24		Government may also question
25		Canada's motives and resolve,

1	given that they had deported
2	ARAR to Syria because of
3	concerns about alleged
4	terrorist connections."
5	Then, finally, in terms of the
6	assessment, it says:
7	"As a Canadian, ARAR is
8	entitled to expect consular
9	assistance from the
LO	Government. DFAIT has
L1	provided such assistance and
L2	has arguably fulfilled
L3	Canada's obligations;
L4	therefore, if Mr. Graham were
L5	to raise the issue with the
L6	Syrians this could be
L7	characterized as simply an
L8	extension of these efforts.
L9	However, as noted above, it
20	would be problematic for
21	either the Solicitor General
22	or the Service to be a party
23	to the initiative. We would
24	therefore advise very
25	strongly that you decline the

1	request to sign a joint
2	letter with Mr. Graham."
3	Now, did you participate in the
4	drafting of this briefing note for Solicitor
5	General Easter?
6	MR. HOOPER: I likely would have
7	been consulted. I wouldn't have participated in
8	the drafting, although maybe that is a play on
9	words.
LO	If I can explain this? This is a
L1	briefing note for the Solicitor General,
L2	ministerial bilateral. At that time, Mr. Elcock
L3	had fairly regular bilateral meetings with the
L4	Solicitor General. The purpose of these notes was
L5	to inform the Department of issues that would be
L6	brought to the Minister by the Director. These
L7	are prepared usually within our Director's
L8	Secretariat, but they would have consulted with
L9	OPS personnel, operational personnel, and myself.
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: But in amy event,
21	it had the approval of CSIS?
22	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, if we look
24	at the considerations that we can see in public as
25	to whether Mr. Faster should co-sign the letter

1	the one consideration we see, it says:
2	" the US government may
3	also question Canada's
4	motives and resolve, given
5	that they had deported ARAR
6	to Syria because of concerns
7	about alleged terrorist
8	connections."
9	Now, I call this the American
LO	resolve effect; that is, if we get this guy back
L1	lo and behold the Americans are going to be angry
L2	with us because they will question our resolve in
L3	the global war against terrorism.
L4	Now, that particular
L5	consideration, you would agree with me, that that
L6	goes beyond having the Minister co-sign a letter.
L7	That argues against Mr. Arar's return to Canada.
L8	You would agree with that?
L9	MR. HOOPER: No, I wouldn't,
20	Mr. Cavalluzzo.
21	If I can explain?
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Yes.
23	MR. HOOPER: And I think you would
24	appreciate you know that there was a large
25	political dimension around the Arar case. Quite

1	apart from the consular and whatever operational
2	dimensions there may have been to the case. There
3	was that political dimension.
4	We have an obligation I think, as
5	senior bureaucrats, to inform our Minister of the
6	political considerations, and that was the point
7	of that statement, to let him know that if you are
8	a co-signatory to this letter, you know, it may
9	impact upon your capacity to deal with U.S.
10	counterparts.
11	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. But my
12	point is and I agree with that, and there is
13	absolutely nothing wrong, indeed it is your duty
14	to bring forward the relevant considerations to
15	your Minister, but my point is that that
16	particular consideration once again would argue
17	against bringing Mr. Arar back at all, because if
18	we did the Americans, lo and behold, who had
19	illegally sent him in the first place, might
20	question our motives in terms of the war against
21	terrorism?
22	You must agree with that?
23	MR. HOOPER: It would probably
24	bring into question the motives of the Government
25	of Canada, but it would, in our parlance, look

1	after our Minister in terms of the process.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: But once again
3	you would agree with me that that argues against
4	bringing Arar back, because if we bring him back
5	we could perturb the Americans, which is a
6	legitimate consideration. But that is the effect
7	of this argument?
8	MR. HOOPER: You could argue that.
9	That wasn't the intent of the advice.
10	MR. CAVALLUZZO: What other
11	interpretation could there be?
12	MR. HOOPER: To tell him that
13	there was some political jeopardy in doing this.
14	Leave it to your colleague, the Minister of
15	Foreign Affairs. It's his responsibility.
16	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I understand
17	that, and I understand the political
18	considerations and I understand the responsibility
19	of CSIS, the responsibility of Mr. Easter, but
20	what I'm putting to you once again is there is no
21	other inference that can be drawn that this says,
22	if you bring him back, you are going to create a
23	political hot potato because the Americans are
24	going to question our resolve?
25	MR. HOOPER: I think we were

1	reconciled to that very early on when the
2	Government of Canada spoke with one voice and
3	said. Our position is we want him back. We knew
4	that if he came back there was going to be a
5	political hot potato with the Americans.
6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: With the
7	Americans?
8	MR. HOOPER: Absolutely, yes.
9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Okay. I'm
10	satisfied with that now.
11	There is another effect. We will
12	call that the American resolve effect.
13	There is another effect that I
14	refer to as the Khadr effect. If we look to
15	tab 49 of volume 1
16	MR. HOOPER: I'm sorry, tab 49?
17	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Forty-nine of
18	this Book of Documents, 117. It would be volume 1
19	of 117.
20	Pause
21	MR. CAVALLUZZO: This is, once
22	again, a Briefing Note to the Commissioner of the
23	RCMP, which is dated April 30, 2003, which is
24	around the same time.
25	On page 2, it states:

StenoTran

1	"The Members of Parliament
2	are seeking the intervention
3	at the Prime Ministerial
4	level for the release of ARAR
5	and his return to Canada.
6	The lobbyists are pressuring
7	for quick intervention in an
8	attempt to effect a return
9	prior to ARAR being charged
10	by the Syrians.
11	The potential
12	embarrassment exists should
13	the Prime Minister become
14	involved in a similar fashion
15	to the incident the Egyptian
16	Embassy bombing in 1995 in
17	Pakistan. In that situation,
18	the Prime Minister intervened
19	on behalf of Ahmed Said
20	KHADR, an Egyptian-Canadian,
21	who was subsequently released
22	from Pakistani custody.
23	KHADR is now recognized
24	internationally as a
25	high-ranking Al Qaeda member

StenoTran

1	and wanted by the Egyptians
2	for the bombing. The
3	intervention of the PM has
4	been raised on a number of
5	occasions in an attempt to
6	embarrass the government."
7	Now, I am putting it to you that
8	it was not just the RCMP that was using the Khadr
9	effect argument in respect of the Minister
10	Solicitor General.
11	Isn't that correct?
12	MR. HOOPER: I know there was
13	a broad awareness of what you term the Khadr
14	effect, yes.
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And CSIS, in
16	fulfilling its duties, would have raised the Khadr
17	effect with the Solicitor General if Mr. Arar
18	returned?
19	MR. HOOPER: I don't know
20	categorically that we did, but it wouldn't be
21	unusual.
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Wouldn't be
23	unusual. In fact, it is not unusual, for example,
24	if we saw documents within CSIS where the Khadr
25	effect is discussed?

1	MR. HOOPER: Probably not.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, I have
3	looked at this Briefing Note and I see negatives,
4	to the point of, "Let's not even bring him back
5	because of the political considerations."
6	I don't see any positives in here
7	arguing on behalf of Mr. Arar's return.
8	I'm wondering why that is?
9	MR. HOOPER: In which briefing
10	note, sir, or both of them?
11	MR. CAVALLUZZO: This is the
12	Briefing Note to the Solicitor General. We will
13	leave the RCMP to defend their own position.
14	MR. HOOPER: We do talk about
15	the assistance that has been provided by the
16	Department of Foreign Affairs in fulfilling its
17	obligation and the sentiment that when we talk
18	about the DM or the Minister signing off on the
19	letter by himself, that is an extension of the
20	efforts that have already been made by the
21	department that had the responsibility for these
22	issues.
23	I think the sentiment would be
24	there is an apparatus of government that deals
25	with this, let that apparatus deal with it.

1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Well, let me give
2	you what I would have considered to be relevant
3	considerations that CSIS should have given their
4	Minister. I am referring actually to that
5	document that we read before when CSIS deals with
6	foreign countries with poor human rights records.
7	What you might have said, "You
8	know what, Minister, on the other hand, these guys
9	over there have a propensity to torture people.
10	You know what, Minister? If we look at our level
11	of interest in Mr. Arar, it is not that great.
12	You know what, Minister, he has been there a long
13	time. He has a couple of kids, he has a wife, he
14	is a Canadian citizen. Finally, you know what,
15	Minister, the Americans had no right whatever to
16	do what they did in rendering him to Syria. You
17	should consider those things, Minister, in terms
18	of making your decision."
19	Could you tell us why those
20	considerations weren't put in this Briefing Note?
21	MR. HOOPER: Those are the
22	considerations that I am absolutely positive would
23	have been put forward by the Department of Foreign
24	Affairs to its Minister, yes.
25	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. Which the

1	Solicitor General would never have seen.
2	Isn't that correct?
3	MR. HOOPER: I don't know whether
4	he would have seen them or not. They would have
5	gone to the Minister of Foreign Affairs.
6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. But
7	wouldn't it have been better for CSIS to have
8	brought forward these considerations to the
9	Minister that was being asked to co-sign a letter
LO	for a Canadian, that from an international law
L1	perspective was illegally rendered by the United
L2	States and was being held for months in conditions
L3	that no human being could tolerate? Don't you
L4	think the Solicitor General should have heard that
L5	from CSIS and/or the RCMP?
L6	MR. HOOPER: We have, I think I
L7	don't want to give a lecture on our system of
L8	governance, but there is a process and there is a
L9	structure that allows people to provide Ministers
20	with advice that they have expertise on.
21	Our expertise came back to, in our
22	case, matters of security intelligence.
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I'm sorry.
24	MR. HOOPER: In Foreign Affairs,
25	their expertise comes back to consular issues as

1	it specifically related to Mr. Arar.
2	That is how the system works.
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: But I'm putting
4	it to you, Mr. Hooper, that to the objective
5	observer it would appear that as of May 12, 2003
6	that CSIS was negative on Mr. Arar's return to
7	Canada?
8	MR. HOOPER: No, I well, the
9	objective observer? I don't know. I can speak
LO	for myself. I consider myself an objective
L1	observer.
L2	We were putting the facts as we
L3	saw them before our Minister that related to his
L4	responsibilities as the Solicitor General. That's
L5	our obligation.
L6	Would it have been better? I
L7	think that is a judgment that others can make.
L8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: But I don't see
L9	any positives here, and I see and maybe, you
20	know, I think the objective observer would see
21	from this note that CSIS is negative, and perhaps
22	rightfully so. Perhaps because of CSIS's mandate,
23	CSIS would be negative on this. There is the
24	Khadr effect, which is a political consideration;
) 5	there is the American resolve effect: there are

1	the resource issues, if somebody comes back you
2	have to worry about another person, you already
3	have depleted resources. There may be myriad
4	reasons why CSIS would not want Mr. Arar back,
5	which are all legitimate from your perspective
6	because of your mandate.
7	I am putting it to you the
8	objective observer would see that at least at this
9	point in time CSIS is negative on his return?
10	MR. HOOPER: I can say that we did
11	nothing as a Service to dissuade any element of
12	government to get in the way of Mr. Arar's lawful
13	return to Canada. We never said, "We don't want
14	him back." We never said, "Keep him there." We
15	provided this advice to our Minister and
16	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I think the point
17	is, Mr. Hooper, you don't have to say, "We don't
18	want him back," if the relevant considerations you
19	give to your Minister are the American resolve
20	effect, the Khadr effect, and whatever is in
21	behind these redacted volumes that we will share
22	with you tomorrow. I'm putting it to you, you
23	don't have to say you want him back. It's clear.
24	There is no other inference.
25	MR. HOOPER: My Minister is not

1	going to make that decision. That is a decision
2	of the Government of Canada, and the Government of
3	Canada had been clear on where it stood on the
4	return of Mr. Arar to Canada.
5	We wanted him back. We were not
6	in disaccord with the position of the Government
7	of Canada. We can't be.
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I understand
9	that. But once again I'm putting it to you that
10	there is no other inference that can be drawn that
11	at this point in time CSIS is negative upon his
12	return because of your mandate and likely because
13	of the political considerations?
14	MR. HOOPER: Well, I can't accept
15	that, Mr. Cavalluzzo.
16	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay, then let us
17	move on.
18	The next matter in the piece is a
19	June 3rd memorandum, and perhaps the witness can
20	be shown Exhibit P-102.
21	Pause
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: We have here,
23	Mr. Hooper, actually Exhibit P-102 and Exhibit
24	P-103. Exhibit P-102 is a June 3rd memo and then
25	Exhibit P-103 is a June 5th memo, which is

1	finally I'm sorry, I didn't realize you didn't
2	have it.
3	Exhibit P-103, is the final draft,
4	or the final memorandum, excuse me, that goes to
5	the Minister of Foreign Affairs. Okay?
6	So June 3 is the memo leading up
7	to it, which is very similar to May 3rd the
8	May 5th memo, excuse me, and June 5th is the final
9	document which will be going to the Minister.
LO	Okay?
L1	I would ask whether you saw, read,
L2	or were apprised of the June 3rd memo, the draft?
L3	MR. HOOPER: Not at the material
L4	time, sir, no.
L5	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Were you apprised
L6	of the June 5th final memorandum that went to the
L7	Minister?
L8	MR. HOOPER: No, sir.
L9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You never saw
20	that?
21	MR. HOOPER: Not until the
22	commencement of these proceedings.
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Were you apprised
24	that there was a memorandum that Pardy had worked
25	out, after months of preparation, that was

1	co-signed by the Deputy Minister and that was
2	going to recommend that the Minister of Foreign
3	Affairs sign a letter to the Syrian Foreign
4	Minister with language to which neither CSIS nor
5	the RCMP had any objections to?
6	MR. HOOPER: I knew that, yes.
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You knew that.
8	Okay.
9	But you didn't read, once again,
10	either Exhibit P-102, which is the June 3rd, or
11	Exhibit P-103, which is the June 5th memorandum?
12	MR. HOOPER: No, sir.
13	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Well, let
14	me just share with you its content, other than
15	ultimately there is going to be a letter going to
16	the Minister. Just, if we can just save some
17	time. For example, if you refer to page 3, there
18	is a great deal of background that is set out
19	there
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Is this the
21	June 3rd one?
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: No, the June 5th.
23	Let's work with the final document.
24	At page 3, you will see in
25	paragraph 9, for example, it says:

1	"From the early days of this
2	case, Canadian police
3	officials (CSIS)
4	initially indicated it had no
5	interest in Mr. Arar), stated
6	that their interest in Arar
7	was based on his contacts
8	with persons in Ottawa who
9	were of interest to them."
10	Then it refers to the RCMP's
11	interests, which we have reviewed extensively with
12	other witnesses.
13	Then it goes on in the next
14	paragraph to talk about what the Americans are
15	saying and so on.
16	And then in paragraph 11, it goes
17	on and talks about:
18	"CSIS officials visited Syria
19	earlier this year and
20	discussed Mr. Arar with their
21	counterparts. They did not
22	meet Mr. Arar. Subsequent to
23	these discussions, Syrian
24	officials informed us that
25	they were informed by CSIS

1	officials that Canada did not
2	want to have Mr. Arar
3	returned. The CSIS officials
4	denied that"
5	And in 12:
6	"It is evident that we have
7	little or no leverage with
8	the Syrian authorities on
9	this"
10	Okay? This is DFAIT talking,
11	which probably would include Mr. Pillarella. But
12	in any event, this is DFAIT talking.
13	"It is evident that we have
14	little or no leverage with
15	the Syrian authorities on
16	this case. Our
17	representations, including
18	your telephone conversation
19	with the Foreign Minister and
20	your subsequent letter to
21	him, have not elicited formal
22	responses. Rather in the
23	intervening months, the
24	Syrians have hardened their
25	position and, as indicated

1	above, threaten to formally
2	charge Mr. Arar and conduct
3	some sort of a trial, most
4	likely a military one held in
5	secret."
6	And so on and so forth.
7	Finally, in paragraph 13, it says:
8	"In recent days, we have
9	discussed the case with both
10	CSIS and the RCMP. They have
11	maintained their position
12	that Mr. Arar, while not
13	under investigation in
14	Canada, is a person of
15	interest to them because of
16	the evidence of his
17	connection with others who
18	are. In these circumstances,
19	they will not provide any
20	direct support in having
21	Mr. Arar returned to Canada.
22	Should Mr. Arar return to
23	Canada, CSIS and the RCMP
24	have both indicated that they
25	want to interrogate him. As

1	such, the best we can do in
2	these circumstances is to
3	again raise the matter with
4	the Syrian Foreign Minister
5	and to that end we have
6	attached a letter for your
7	signature. We would also
8	recommend that you call in
9	the Syrian Ambassador"
10	So what we see from this letter is
11	that DFAIT has really thrown their hands up and
12	said, "We have no leverage with the Syrians,
13	Syrian authorities. CSIS and the RCMP will not
14	provide us with direct support. And I guess all
15	we can do is send this letter again to the
16	Minister of Foreign Affairs, with language that
17	says, 'there is no Canadian government impediment
18	to his return.'" And that is, in effect, where
19	the process ended up.
20	Now, the question that I would
21	have for you is: In this light, seeing that DFAIT
22	is getting nowhere, got no leverage were you
23	aware of that, by the way?
24	MR. HOOPER: Aware of?
25	MR. CAVALLUZZO: That DFAIT's

1	position in June, early June, is they have no
2	leverage or excuse me, "little or no leverage
3	with the Syrian authorities"?
4	MR. HOOPER: I didn't know that
5	was DFAIT's position, but I would certainly agree
6	with it. I don't think anybody at that point in
7	the Government of Canada had any leverage with the
8	Syrian government.
9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, I come back.
10	I have given you some, what I view to be, relevant
11	considerations that your Minister may have had,
12	because it was clear you would agree with me
13	that a letter signed by the Solicitor General and
14	the Minister of Foreign Affairs would have more
15	impact than a letter coming from Foreign Affairs?
16	MR. HOOPER: I don't agree with
17	that, Mr. Cavalluzzo.
18	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You don't?
19	MR. HOOPER: No. In my
20	experience, if you want to have greater impact,
21	you don't push it sideways, you push it up. You
22	can roll in any number of Ministers of the Crown;
23	I don't think it would have a broader impact than
24	if it came from the Minister of Foreign Affairs.
25	That is my view on it.

1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I am putting it
2	to you, if you are dealing with Syrian Military
3	Intelligence who like to deal with security
4	intelligence agencies, that a letter that was
5	signed by their Minister, the Minister responsible
6	for them, would have far more impact than a letter
7	solely signed by the Minister of Foreign Affairs?
8	MR. HOOPER: I think I need to
9	point out the context of the Syrian Military
10	Intelligence Service liking to deal with
11	intelligence services. They have a preference for
12	dealing with intelligence services more than law
13	enforcement services. So that is really the
14	context that that comes from.
15	I don't know that the Syrians
16	understand our system of governance, our system
17	of Cabinet and political accountability. I
18	just don't think that it would have made a
19	difference, period.
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: They don't like
21	dealing with politicians, they like dealing with
22	security agencies.
23	Let me just give you you have
24	read the evidence. Let me give you some of the
25	evidence here about how intelligence agency in

1	Syria viewed the Minister of Foreign Affairs.
2	We have evidence from Minister
3	Bill Graham, who testified that in October of this
4	year, 2003 he met the Syrian Foreign Minister at
5	the U.N. and he said to the Syrian Foreign
6	Minister, "Listen, if you guys want us to
7	cooperate in business, industry, trade, and so on,
8	you are going to have to cooperate with us and get
9	Arar back to Canada." And the Syrian Foreign
10	Minister says, "Yes, we are working on it, Bill.
11	We are working on it." And right beside him was a
12	member of the intelligence agency of Syria, and
13	they said, "Arar is not coming back."
14	MS McISAAC: Excuse me, sir, I'm
15	not sure we know who the gentleman was beside the
16	Foreign Minister. I think Mr. Graham was
17	speculating as to who he might be.
18	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I will accept
19	Mr. Graham's testimony as speculation on that. I
20	wasn't there. I would assume that a person in the
21	Minister's position would likely know who was
22	there.
23	In any event, assume Mr. Graham
24	was correct. This intelligence guy says the
25	Syrian Foreign Minister is standing right beside

1	him he says, "Arar is not coming back to
2	Canada. His wife has caused so much trouble.
3	It's not going to happen." Here is Mr. Graham
4	taken aback, saying, "Here is an intelligence guy
5	basically overriding the Syrian Foreign Minister
6	right in front of me."
7	That gives you some flavour, at
8	least in the evidence we have, as to the role of
9	the Syrian Military Intelligence and the Foreign
10	Minister over there.
11	Let me give you some more
12	evidence.
13	MR. HOOPER: I wasn't aware of
14	that evidence, by the way.
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You weren't?
16	MR. HOOPER: Not at the time.
17	MS McISAAC: Did Mr. Cavalluzzo
18	make that clear, that that was merely a month
19	before Mr. Arar did, in fact, return.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: I thought
21	he did, yes.
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I thought he did.
23	Let me give you some more evidence
24	we have. We had evidence from the DFAIT
25	officials, saying that the Syrian Military

1	Intelligence told us that if you want to see Arar
2	again you are going to have to go through the
3	Syrian Foreign Minister. The DFAIT officials
4	said, "Oh, God, whenever we deal with them we
5	never hear back. We have sent them five
6	diplomatic notes. We don't have an answer on any
7	of them."
8	Does that not indicate to you that
9	the Syrian Military Intelligence are the guys to
10	be dealing with on this file?
11	MR. HOOPER: It indicates to me
12	that the Syrians are a tough gang to deal with, no
13	matter how you cut them.
14	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, I come back
15	I come back.
16	In terms of your Minister once
17	again, and I am not going to spend any more time
18	as to whether it would have made a difference if
19	CSIS or the Solicitor General co-signed a letter
20	for the Syrian Military Intelligence or for the
21	Syrian Foreign Minister, whoever.
22	But a couple of other relevant
23	considerations that I think CSIS should have
24	brought before the Solicitor General in terms of
25	whether he should co-sign

1	One would be, "You know,
2	Minister, this poor guy was illegally removed
3	from the United States through whatever policy
4	they had. And you know what else, Minister, we
5	have information that it was because of
6	Canadian information that he was detained in
7	the United States. So, Minister, I think the
8	Canadian government has some responsibility,
9	quite apart from the human rights record, torture,
10	unbelievably poor living conditions, but it
11	was"
12	MS McISAAC: I'm going to have to
13	object, Mr. Commissioner. This is a quite unfair
14	characterization. We do not know why the
15	Americans detained Mr. Arar.
16	MR. WALDMAN: Mr. Commissioner,
17	there is in evidence the letter that was addressed
18	from the Assistant Deputy Minister.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: I think it's
20	okay. I think Mr. Cavalluzzo can answer.
21	MR. WALDMAN: I'm sorry.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Go ahead, if
23	you would like to answer it, Mr. Cavalluzzo.
24	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Mr. Hooper,
25	let me tell you what evidence we do have from

1	the Americans.
2	We have evidence from the second
3	highest person in the American government, at that
4	time his name was Colin Powell, Secretary of
5	State, who advised Minister Graham on December 1,
6	2003 that Mr. Arar would not have been on the
7	American radar screen if it wasn't for Canadians.
8	Did you know that?
9	MR. HOOPER: There was, I think,
10	considerable media reporting around that, so, yes,
11	I was aware of that.
12	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I come back then.
13	Don't you think a relevant consideration in terms
14	of CSIS's participation or the Solicitor General's
15	participation in this letter, are those two
16	important facts as well, illegally removed from
17	the United States, perhaps based on Canadian
18	information and Canadian interest, to a place that
19	no one would want to go to? Do you think that
20	might be a relevant consideration that should have
21	been brought before the Minister?
22	MR. HOOPER: Mr. Arar has been
23	known to have been in Syria for about seven months
24	to this point. There has been considerable media
25	reporting around his dirgumstances of arrest

1	removal, and what he's confronting in Syria.
2	My understanding is that virtually
3	everybody in government knows the facts that
4	you're putting forward to me now.
5	The note that we put to our
6	Solicitor General was around the specific issue of
7	a letter that was under consideration to be sent
8	by the Government of Canada to the Government of
9	Syria, right? So
10	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay, okay. Now,
11	we're at June 5th, and on this day we've heard
12	evidence that you made a telephone call
13	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
14	MR. CAVALLUZZO: to Ms
15	McCallion?
16	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
17	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Do you admit that
18	you made a telephone call?
19	MR. HOOPER: I acknowledge I made
20	a telephone call around that time. I don't have a
21	specific date, but June the 5th, I wouldn't argue
22	against.
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Do you have any
24	notes of that telephone call?
25	MR. HOOPER: No, I do not.

1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Do you have any
2	record of that telephone call?
3	MR. HOOPER: No.
4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Either from
5	yourself or from your assistant?
6	MR. HOOPER: No.
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Now
8	okay. It's around this time. We've heard
9	evidence yesterday that it was on or around June
10	5th.
11	Now, could you tell us why you
12	called Ms McCallion?
13	MR. HOOPER: There's a few
14	things I know what my agenda was in calling Ms
15	McCallion, and I had one, and there were basically
16	three points three or four points that needed
17	to be made, and I think it's relevant for you to
18	know that I didn't know Ms McCallion. I have no
19	recollection of having any previous interaction
20	with her. But before I made the phone call, I
21	know that she has ADM line responsibility for
22	consular affairs.
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay.
24	MR. HOOPER: It's also in the
25	context of the negotiations around the letter that

1	was being presented to the Minister, as we
2	understood it.
3	First point I wanted to make to
4	Ms McCallion, apart from telling her who I was and
5	what I did, was I wanted to make sure that she
6	understood where my Service was coming from when
7	it made the points that (a) we did not want our
8	Minister or we would prefer that our Minister did
9	not sign that letter, and why we wanted certain
10	language or certain things said the way we wanted
11	it.
12	My concern, coming back to that
13	point, was that I know that the officials involved
14	in that discussion I wouldn't call them
15	relatively junior, but they were mid-manager up to
16	the DG level, if Mr. Pardy was involved in those
17	discussions, as I'm sure he was. I wanted to make
18	sure that she was not under any misapprehension
19	whatsoever as to why we were coming from a
20	particular point of view.
21	That was important to me, and it
22	was important to me because of the second point I
23	wanted to make with her, and that came back to
24	Mr. Arar is not the last case that you and I are
25	going to have to talk about because there were, to

1	my mind, I won't specify the number, but
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Eleven.
3	MR. HOOPER: There was a lot of
4	Canadians detained abroad that had some kind of
5	security intelligence linkage to them. A lot of
6	them dual nationals. So Mr. Arar was a complex
7	case. We had a number of others coming down the
8	pipe at us. And I wanted to assure, or assure
9	myself, that she was aware that she hadn't seen
10	the end of any of these.
11	The third was I wanted to make a
12	point that we had to work out a way, not just
13	myself and Ms McCallion, but the Government of
14	Canada had to have a process that worked better in
15	terms of engaging interested parties at the
16	appropriate times and at the appropriate levels.
17	So those were effectively the three points that I
18	needed to make with or I felt I needed to make
19	with Ms McCallion.
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, was there
21	any discussion at all about Arar?
22	MR. HOOPER: Only insofar as the
23	Arar case kind of being the platform for the
24	discussion. It wasn't in terms of, you know, how
25	are we going to manage Arar, it was, "Here's my

1	Service's point of view in providing advice
2	relative to the letter that Mr. Graham was going
3	to send over."
4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, why would
5	you have called McCallion, and not who I refer to
6	as Mr. Consular Affairs, Gar Pardy?
7	MR. HOOPER: Actually, my first
8	call wasn't to Mr. Pardy. I tried to get a hold
9	of Jim Wright, who was the ADM that I had more
10	regular interaction with.
11	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right.
12	MR. HOOPER: And Mr. Wright was
13	not available. I wanted to go through Jim,
14	Mr. Wright, in the first instance because I knew
15	he and I were on the same page. I knew there was
16	no misunderstanding in Mr. Wright's mind as to
17	where the Service was coming from.
18	My point was to have him do some
19	what I call missionary work with his colleagues
20	over at the Foreign Affairs department, to kind of
21	sell our case and make sure that people at senior
22	levels understood, if there was any
23	misunderstanding coming from lower levels, that we
24	didn't object to Mr. Arar coming back, that the
25	objections we had were around language used in the

1	letter.
2	When he wasn't available, I
3	decided to do the missionary work myself.
4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You still haven't
5	answered the question: Why wouldn't you call
6	Mr. Pardy who, of course, knows consular affairs
7	like no one else in the country, let alone the
8	government? Why didn't you call him?
9	MR. HOOPER: Ms McCallion would
LO	have been my ADM counterpart over there, and she
L1	had responsibility for the program so
L2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Did you know that
L3	Ms McCallion had very limited knowledge of this
L4	issue?
L5	MR. HOOPER: No, I didn't know
L6	that. I understand that now. I perhaps made the
L7	mistake of putting our bureaucratic governance
L8	model over Foreign Affairs, but I presume the ADM
L9	responsible for the program would be engaged.
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: But you told us
21	before that you had known Pardy for a number of
22	years?
23	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
24	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And the evidence
25	from McCallion yesterday would appear to be that

1	Pardy gave her a heads-up that you would be
2	calling her. Do you know how Pardy got that
3	impression?
4	MR. HOOPER: I have no idea.
5	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You have no idea
6	how that did he suspect that you might call, or
7	is there
8	MR. HOOPER: I don't know, because
9	I hadn't had a lot of direct interactions with
10	Mr. Pardy on the Arar case. I don't recall if I
11	spoke to him once. It certainly wouldn't have
12	been more than that.
13	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, how long did
14	the phone call last?
15	MR. HOOPER: I don't know.
16	It's I don't like to talk a lot on the phone.
17	Just knowing what I wanted to get out to Ms
18	McCallion, I would figure my estimation would
19	be around ten minutes.
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Now, you
21	said that Mr. Arar would be the first of a trail
22	of possible cases that CSIS would have to deal
23	with. I assume what you meant by that is that if
24	all of these guys I assume they're all guys. I
25	don't know. We'll look at that

1	MR. HOOPER: Yeah.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: all of these
3	guys come back to Canada, that if they're threats
4	to the security of Canada, then CSIS, under its
5	statute, has to do whatever it has to to ensure
6	that they aren't a threat to the security of
7	Canada i.e., to conduct some kind of operation in
8	respect of them.
9	Isn't that correct?
10	MR. HOOPER: That may have been a
11	feature of the conversation. When I said,
12	indicated, to her that Mr. Arar's case would not
13	be the last, it was not that we would have to
14	manage, I meant we, Foreign Affairs, the RCMP, the
15	Government of Canada. But I take your point.
16	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You take my
17	point.
18	MR. HOOPER: Yeah.
19	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And that is, from
20	her perspective, if I was sitting in her shoes,
21	what I would see, I would say, "You know what,
22	he's talking about a person power issue. Like
23	every other department, their resources are being
24	depleted and lo and behold, they could have
25	another 11 guys back on their plate and they need

1	that like a hole in the head"
2	MS McISAAC: Commissioner, again
3	I'm having some trouble here. Ms McCallion
4	testified yesterday as to what her side of the
5	conversation was. So I don't think it's fair for
6	Mr. Cavalluzzo to now say, well, you can see how
7	she could have taken this when, as I recall her
8	evidence, she didn't take that from the
9	conversation.
10	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Well, you know,
11	if you want me to put it another way, okay, I
12	think you understood where I was coming from, but
13	in any event
14	MR. HOOPER: I know your point,
15	and I'd go back to your earlier comment, where I
16	think you said in the previous question CSIS will
17	do what CSIS has to do, and at the end of the day,
18	if we're confronted with 11 or 15 or 25 new
19	targets on the ground in Canada, we're going to do
20	what we have to do. We might not like it
21	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Absolutely.
22	MR. HOOPER: But we're going to do
23	what we have to do.
24	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You don't like it
25	for a number of reasons, there are political

1	considerations that we talked about. But you
2	would also agree with me that there are resource
3	issues.
4	MR. HOOPER: There's always
5	resource issues. Always.
6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: But you've got 11
7	added resource issues if these guys come back to
8	Canada, right?
9	MR. HOOPER: Absolutely.
10	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, let's move
11	on in terms of this conversation.
12	You would also agree with me that
13	one of the concerns that CSIS had in this
14	situation was that if Arar returned to Canada and
15	he had stated that "I was mistreated in Syria, I
16	was tortured in Syria," or whatever, "I had a
17	small prison cell in Syria," that that could
18	contact on CSIS's mandate in respect of security
19	certificate cases.
20	Isn't that correct?
21	MR. HOOPER: It's certainly a
22	consideration. If he comes back and makes those
23	allegations, it would make it very difficult for
24	us to engage the full process considered under a
25	certificate.

1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: That's right.
2	Because, of course, what you're going to have is
3	every time you try to ship somebody to Syria under
4	a security certificate, they're going to raise the
5	issue of mistreatment and torture and that's going
6	to cause a problem for CSIS, and I assume that was
7	discussed with McCallion as well
8	MR. HOOPER: No.
9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: that
10	ramification down the line?
11	MR. HOOPER: No.
12	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You didn't
13	discuss that?
14	MR. HOOPER: No.
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: But it is a
16	consideration in your mind?
17	MR. HOOPER: Absolutely.
18	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, the other
19	thing you said you wanted to discuss with her is
20	that there's a need for a mechanism in government
21	to ensure that in the future these kinds of cases
22	are better dealt with, presumably from a
23	coordination kind of basis, from a consultation
24	kind of basis.
25	Is that fair?

StenoTran

1	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Were you
3	aware that Gar Pardy had prepared such a document?
4	MR. HOOPER: I wasn't, but I think
5	that speaks to the acute pain we were all feeling.
6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Let me just show
7	you a document, which is dated April 7th of 2003.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: 238.
9	EXHIBIT NO. 238: Memorandum
10	prepared by Mr. Pardy,
11	co-signed by the Deputy
12	Minister of Foreign Affairs,
13	regarding "Improving
14	coordination across
15	government on
16	security-related consular
17	cases"
18	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, this is a
19	memorandum that was prepared by Mr. Pardy,
20	co-signed by the Deputy Minister of Foreign
21	Affairs, and the issue is "Improving coordination
22	across government on security-related consular
23	cases."
24	And, one, the background is 9/11
25	and so on and I won't read that to you but it

1	goes on in terms of p	aragraph 3 in
2	"Considerations." It	says that:
3		"You will recall on February
4		24th officials briefed you
5		and several members of your
6		staff on this matter and
7		distributed a deck detailing
8		the background and
9		initiatives the department
10		would be undertaking. Since
11		then we have consulted with
12		the Director of CSIS, the
13		Deputy Solicitor General, the
14		Commissioner of the RCMP, and
15		senior officials at PCO and
16		Justice. There was a
17		consensus on the need for
18		closer consultation and
19		cooperation to achieve a more
20		coherent systematic approach
21		to security-related consular
22		cases. It was further agreed
23		that when such cases arise in
24		the future, when necessary
25		deputies will consult with

1	each other, exchange
2	information " (As read)
3	And so on and so forth.
4	I guess I'm asking you: At the
5	point in time when you made the telephone call to
6	McCallion, were you aware that Mr. Pardy had
7	already addressed the issues that you wanted to
8	deal with McCallion?
9	MR. HOOPER: I had a vague recall.
LO	There's a reference here to a meeting of deputies,
L1	and I do recall, in general terms, a deck having
L2	been presented.
L3	So I know the issues had been
L4	floated. I personally didn't see any tangible
L5	difference resulting as a consequence of those
L6	February 24th discussions.
L7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Did McCallion say
L8	to you, "Well, you know what, Jack? Gar Pardy has
L9	already produced a memorandum on this"?
20	MR. HOOPER: I don't recall her
21	saying that.
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: She probably
23	didn't know about it. She clearly didn't say
24	anything about it?
2.5	MR. HOOPER: No.

1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. If you go
2	to the page behind it's not numbered, but maybe
3	if we look at the bottom, 4 of 7, it's got
4	supplemental information on security-related
5	consular cases, and you'll see it says:
6	"At this time there are 11
7	cases of Canadian citizens
8	detained abroad in connection
9	with the war on terrorism or
10	the war in Afghanistan. And
11	these include: One in
12	Algeria, two in Egypt, one in
13	France, one in Israel, one in
14	Libya, two in Syria, one in
15	the United States and one in
16	the United
17	States/Guantanamo." (As
18	read)
19	So at the point in time when you
20	called McCallion, we're talking about 11 Canadians
21	detainees abroad related to the war on terrorism,
22	and Mr. Arar is really the first. As you put it,
23	the first of many possible problems?
24	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
25	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, in light of

1	your conversation with McCallion, is it fair to
2	say that the other participant to this
3	conversation would have left this conversation
4	thinking that these cases could pose a resource
5	problem for CSIS?
6	MR. HOOPER: That wasn't the point
7	of my discussion.
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: That's not the
9	question. Is it fair to say that they could have
10	walked away with that impression?
11	MR. HOOPER: Sure.
12	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Is it also
13	fair to say that the other participant to this
14	conversation may have gotten the message that CSIS
15	did not want Arar back, for all of the reasons
16	we've discussed to this point in time listen to
17	the question. The political considerations that
18	we talked about, Khadr effect, U.S. resolve issue,
19	resource problems, and whatever other reasons that
20	CSIS may not want him back? Do you think that
21	that, even though it wasn't said expressly, that
22	it's possible that a participant walking away from
23	that said, "You know what? I get the clear
24	impression that CSIS doesn't want this guy back"?
25	MR. HOOPER: No, to the contrary.

1	I mean, one of the very clear messages that I
2	wanted to get to Ms McCallion at the outset of our
3	conversation was, (a) we never told the Syrian
4	that we didn't want him back, and (b) we accept
5	the position of the Government of Canada that he's
6	got to come back. That's it. I can't take any
7	other position, Mr. Cavalluzzo.
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Let me put it to
9	you, then. You're saying that this
10	miscommunication problem was discussed with
11	McCallion?
12	MR. HOOPER: I think it comes back
13	to the consultations around the language that was
14	to be used in the letter, and there were various
15	iterations of there was basically one line in
16	there that had various iterations, and I think
17	there was some certainly there was a lot of
18	speculation around January that we, nudge-nudged,
19	wink-winked at somebody has said, "We don't want
20	him back." And I needed her to know that was
21	never our position. Never. (a) we never said we
22	didn't want him back, (b) we never said we didn't
23	want a letter to go. Our concern was the accuracy
24	of the statements contained in the letter.
25	MR. CAVALLUZZO: But, Mr. Hooper,

1	you would agree with me that you don't have to say
2	you don't want him back. If you're only bringing
3	forward to your Minister negative considerations,
4	without bringing forward all of those positive
5	aspects that I reviewed with you, you would agree
6	with that?
7	MR. HOOPER: We've I guess
8	we've had that discussion. But as it relates to
9	the telephone call with Ms McCallion, I don't
10	think she had any way of knowing what went forward
11	by way of advice to our Minister apart from the
12	fact that our position had always been a
13	preference for the Minister of Foreign Affairs to
14	send a letter and that the language in the letter
15	be accurate.
16	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And you probably
17	were of the view that the letter was a done deal?
18	MR. HOOPER: As far as I was
19	concerned
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: As far as the
21	bureaucracy is concerned, it's gone too far down
22	the line.
23	MR. HOOPER: Just the
24	negotiations around the language, by my reckoning
25	would have finished cometime around the middle of

1	May.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right.
3	MR. HOOPER: If I'm phoning
4	Kathryn McCallion on June the 5th, my
5	conclusion and it's hard for me to cast my mind
6	back there, because I wasn't concerned about where
7	the letter was.
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: But don't you
9	MR. HOOPER: I have to presume it
10	was on, it was done.
11	MR. CAVALLUZZO: But don't you
12	agree with me that at this point in time that you
13	thought that consular affairs had gone too far in
14	the case of Arar?
15	MR. HOOPER: Too far in what
16	respect?
17	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Asserting his
18	case, asserting his case, getting the politicians
19	involved?
20	MR. HOOPER: I don't think too
21	far. I think out ahead of the rest of government
22	might be a more apt description, and it comes back
23	to coordination.
24	We have a bunch of departments and
25	agencies of government that have different vested

1	interests in the Arar case, and I think absent
2	strong, high-level central direction, everybody
3	was proceeding down parallel tracks and they
4	weren't intersecting enough.
5	And I think from where I sat, a
6	more optimal situation would have been clear
7	direction, "You do this," "You do that," "You guys
8	are responsible for that." That was, you know, my
9	discontent with the way the case had been managed
10	to that point.
11	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So you were
12	dissatisfied with the way consular affairs had
13	pursued its mandate in the sense that, as you put
14	it, they had gotten too far ahead of the rest of
15	government?
16	MR. HOOPER: By that I mean
17	probably the consultative mechanisms that should
18	have applied to the case, when you have different
19	departments and agencies interested, ought to be
20	engaged more frequently at the right times.
21	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right.
22	MR. HOOPER: The first point of
23	discontent comes back to our not even knowing
24	about Mr. Arar's detention until he had been in
25	New York for six days. From my point, I don't

1	know that that's an optimal scenario.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: It certainly
3	isn't. And of course DFAIT found out about it
4	through a phone call on, I guess, September 29th
5	or October 1st or whatever. The RCMP knew before
6	the guy landed. Now, obviously the RCMP did not
7	notify CSIS of this. But in any event, that's
8	you know, that's another problem.
9	Anyway, let us then move on from
10	the phone call. We understand that you had a
11	briefing on June 13th through Mr. Peter Harder,
12	who became the Deputy Minister of DFAIT?
13	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
14	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And in terms of
15	this letter that was going to go, what happens,
16	and if I can just tell you what the evidence is
17	and then ask you a question about it. What
18	happens is that Mr. Pardy sends the letter up to
19	the Minister's office, and the Minister's office
20	says, "Pardy, the language isn't strong enough.
21	What's this 'no Canadian impediment'? That and a
22	dime won't get you cup of coffee in Damascus."
23	MS McISAAC: Mr. Commissioner,
24	he's editorializing the question, and that is
25	unfair to the witness, and it's unfair to the

1	process, and it's unhelpful, both to you and to
2	the public.
3	An e-mail was sent back with very
4	specific wording. What the discussions in the
5	Minister's office were that led to that e-mail,
6	how they characterize it, is not a matter before
7	you. Mr. Cavalluzzo is simply editorializing and
8	speculating.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: As I recall the
10	evidence, it was that they thought the language
11	wasn't robust enough. I know somebody used the
12	word "robust."
13	MS McISAAC: That, "and a dime
14	won't get you a cup of coffee, " and all that sort
15	of stuff is completely unhelpful and unnecessary.
16	MR. CAVALLUZZO: That's just
17	Mr. Cavalluzzo's way of asking a question. I
18	withdraw the coffee point, and if I offended you,
19	Mr. Hooper, I apologize.
20	MR. HOOPER: No need to apologize.
21	I'm hard to offend.
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So am I.
23	Now, what happens is that there is
24	a process that goes on. The Minister meets
25	Dr. Mazigh. who. as you know. is Maher Arar's

1	wife, there's a meeting, and then when the letter
2	goes to the Minister's office, the Minister's
3	office goes back to Pardy and says the language is
4	not strong enough robust enough, excuse me, not
5	robust enough. And they say why don't you try the
6	language of: "There is no evidence that Mr. Arar
7	is engaged in terrorist activities," words to that
8	effect. And the Minister's office said, "Could
9	you please check this out with the RCMP, CSIS, and
10	the Solicitor General's Department?"
11	And what happened there is that
12	wording was worked out between CSIS and the RCMP,
13	and just so I get the exact wording for you, let
14	me refer to the exhibit.
15	Just let me read it to you. This
16	is the language that was proposed from the
17	Minister's office:
18	"I assure you that there is
19	no evidence he is involved in
20	terrorist activity, nor is
21	there any Canadian government
22	impediment to Mr. Arar's
23	return to Canada." (As read)
24	So that's the language that was in
25	play in respect of CSIS and the RCMP.

1	And then language was worked out,
2	and this is sent to Gar from Scott Heatherington.
3	Do you know who he is?
4	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
5	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And it says:
6	"Gar, you will recall our
7	meeting of May 8 and 12. The
8	situation has not changed
9	since that time and as a
10	result the RCMP and CSIS have
11	concerns with the wording
12	proposed by some of the
13	Minister's advisors for your
14	draft letter. Both the Force
15	and the Service agree that
16	the following would be
17	accurate: 'Mr. Arar is
18	currently the subject of a
19	national security
20	investigation in Canada.
21	Although there is not
22	sufficient evidence at this
23	time to warrant Criminal Code
24	charges, he remains a subject
25	of interest. There is no

1	Canadian government
2	impediment to Mr. Arar's
3	return to Canada.'" (As
4	read)
5	Now, did you have any
6	participation in coming up with that language?
7	MR. HOOPER: No, sir.
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You would agree
9	with me that if General Khalil saw that language,
LO	that Mr. Arar would still be in Syria?
L1	MR. HOOPER: That's hard for me
L2	to
L3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: They're tough
L4	boys, as you said.
L5	MR. HOOPER: Yeah, and it's hard
L6	for me to know what goes through their minds at
L7	any given time, so I really can't comment.
L8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: But you would
L9	agree with me that it really wouldn't be helpful
20	language to get Mr. Arar returned to Canada?
21	MR. HOOPER: There's one of two
22	ways this would be highly speculative, so I
23	perhaps shouldn't answer.
24	Pause
05	MP CANALLII770: Now I would like

1	to just refer finally, before we move on in this
2	area, to Mr. Pardy's evidence in public.
3	Mr. Pardy's evidence was really threefold: First,
4	that language was not helpful, was not helpful
5	MR. HOOPER: Which language? The
6	end language?
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: The "subject to
8	national security investigation."
9	MR. HOOPER: Okay.
10	MR. CAVALLUZZO: That language.
11	First of all, he said, it wouldn't
12	be helpful in getting his return to Canada.
13	Secondly, he said, by this time
14	RCMP and CSIS had hardened their position, and he
15	said the reason why one of the reasons, in his
16	view, why they hardened their position is because
17	of all the media attention that Mr. Arar's case
18	was getting, and I give you the opportunity to
19	comment on his views.
20	MR. HOOPER: First of all, I
21	wouldn't characterize our position as having
22	hardened. If trying to ensure that the language
23	that does go over is accurate, if that constitutes
24	hardening that's I just can't agree with that.
25	In terms of the media attention, I

1	can't really situate what was going on around that
2	time, but I do recall that virtually from the time
3	that Mr. Arar was found in Syria, found to be in
4	Syria, the profile that it had in the media was
5	high, so I don't know, to my mind, that I can make
6	a distinction between the quality of the media
7	attention the case was receiving around that time
8	versus, say, January of 2003. So I don't know
9	that I can agree with any of those statements.
10	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, did you
11	become aware that the Prime Minister was going to
12	get involved in the Arar situation by sending an
13	envoy over to Damascus with a Prime Ministerial
14	letter in July?
15	MR. HOOPER: No, I was not aware
16	of that.
17	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You weren't aware
18	of it?
19	MR. HOOPER: Not during July, no,
20	or not leading up to that.
21	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So that no one
22	sought CSIS's advice as to whether that was a good
23	idea?
24	MR. HOOPER: Not to my knowledge.
25	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, I'd like to

1	then move on. Maybe just one final question
2	relating to the phone call, and that is that you
3	raise, in my view, a very important policy issue,
4	and that is the conflicting perhaps conflicting
5	mandates between RCMP and perhaps CSIS on the one
6	hand, DFAIT on the other hand, in terms of
7	consular access rights that Canadian detainees
8	have.
9	I think you would agree with me
10	that how Canada approaches that issue, taking into
11	account human rights, on the one hand, national
12	security concerns on the other hand, is a policy
13	decision
14	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: you would
16	agree with that?
17	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
18	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I think you would
19	also agree with me it's such an important policy
20	decision that that decision should be made by
21	politicians who are accountable to the people
22	after a full public debate?
23	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
24	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Thank you. I
25	wonder if you might give the witness exhibit

1	P-117, volume 1, unless you have it?
2	MR. HOOPER: I have it.
3	Pause
4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And I'd ask you,
5	Mr. Hooper, to refer to tab 23. We are still in
6	the month of June of '03. And you've seen this,
7	no doubt, before. This is a memorandum, a draft
8	memorandum, of Mr. Gould, who testified yesterday
9	as to this memorandum. Once again, it's a draft
10	memorandum that was only shared with a few of his
11	colleagues, but I'd like to read a portion of it
12	to you, and that is the last paragraph on the
13	first page. It states:
14	"There is not sufficient
15	evidence against Arar for him
16	to be charged with anything
17	in Canada. CSIS has made it
18	clear to the Department that
19	they would prefer to have him
20	remain in Syria, rather than
21	return to Canada."
22	And then it goes on.
23	Now, Mr. Gould testified that he
24	didn't talk to you; however, he said he made this
25	statement in writing as a result of his impression

1	of the telephone call between you and McCallion,
2	and what he was told about that telephone call, as
3	well as conversations he had with CSIS people at
4	meetings, as well as in-camera evidence that he
5	could not refer to publicly yesterday.
6	And I'm wondering, does that
7	surprise you, that Mr. Gould would get the
8	impression from discussions with CSIS people that
9	the preferred option for CSIS is that Mr. Arar
10	remain in Syria?
11	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
12	MR. CAVALLUZZO: That surprises
13	you?
14	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, once again,
16	if we look at all the considerations, we've talked
17	about, the Khadr effect, the American resolve
18	effect, resource issues, don't you think that
19	that's discussed that someone walking away would
20	say maybe legitimate? They've got their mandate.
21	But you know what? It appears that CSIS prefers
22	that this guy remains in Syria. Do you think
23	that's reasonable?
24	MR. HOOPER: I can say
25	categorically, that this was never the position of

1	the Canadian Security Intelligence Service.
2	Never. So how Mr. Gould arrived at this
3	conclusion, I don't know.
4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Well, perhaps he
5	arrived at that position from listening to CSIS
6	people that said, "I just read that briefing note
7	to the Solicitor General, and this is what we've
8	told him. We've told him American resolve, we've
9	got to be concerned with the Americans if this guy
LO	comes back, and you know what happened four or
L1	five years ago with Mr. Khadr, we get the guy back
L2	and lo, four or five months later, we find out
L3	he's the second in command to Osama bin Laden. We
L4	can't have that happen. We would be embarrassed,
L5	we would be embarrassed in the international
L6	community with respect to the war against
L7	terrorism."
L8	So those are the kinds of
L9	considerations that may have been discussed and
20	someone walking away from the table said, "well,
21	you know what, I think that they would prefer that
22	he stay there?"
23	MR. HOOPER: Do you remember,
24	Mr. Cavalluzzo, when I started talking around my
25	agenda for calling Ms McCallion, it's for

1	precisely those reasons. Somebody coming away
2	from a discussion with that conclusion and from
3	that imputing an institutional position on the
4	part of the Canadian Security Intelligence Service
5	I think is a huge leap, and an unfair leap, and
6	part of my conversation with Ms McCallion was to
7	ensure that she understood where the Service was
8	coming from. Not from discussion around the pit
9	when people are having coffee, but
10	institutionally, this is where we're coming from.
11	MR. CAVALLUZZO: That indicates to
12	me, Mr. Hooper, that you were attempting to
13	correct an impression that CSIS may not want
14	Mr. Arar back. Is that what you're saying?
15	That's why you called, and one of the reasons why
16	you called was that you were trying to correct
17	that impression?
18	MR. HOOPER: There's a whole
19	well, there's a number of things going on
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Before you get
21	into the number, was that one of them?
22	MR. HOOPER: Any mistaken
23	impression?
24	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Yes.
25	MP HOODER: I was concerned that

1	coming out of the negotiations around the language
2	of the proposed letter I've been in discussions
3	like that before on a whole bunch of issues, and I
4	know the things that get said, and how they get
5	said in those meetings. And I had a concern that
6	there may have been some inaccuracies put up out
7	of briefings because the discussions were they
8	were difficult.
9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And I guess I
10	come back to what I said earlier, and this is the
11	last time I'm going to ask you about it, and that
12	is, it seems to me that the best way the best
13	way in this instance of resolving that
14	misimpression, misunderstanding and so on, is for
15	CSIS to pick up the phone and call Khalil and say,
16	"General, you got it wrong." And you never did
17	that?
18	MR. HOOPER: If I conclude that
19	there is any misunderstanding on the part of the
20	Syrians. I was more concerned that there was
21	misunderstanding in the messaging that was going
22	up to Ms McCallion from people at middle levels of
23	the Department of Foreign Affairs. That's where
24	the misunderstanding might have resided in my
25	mind.

1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Is that why you
2	didn't call Mr. Pardy?
3	MR. HOOPER: No.
4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Did you think
5	that Mr. Pardy had done a number and you were
6	going to go around Mr. Pardy and go to McCallion?
7	MR. HOOPER: No, not at all. I've
8	known Gar, Mr. Pardy, for a long time. I wanted
9	to talk to a colleague at the ADM level, not to
10	Mr. Pardy. I wanted to talk to his boss.
11	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Why? Why would
12	you want to talk to Pardy's boss?
13	MR. HOOPER: Because it comes back
14	to the point, Mr. Cavalluzzo, when we're talking
15	about strong, high-level central coordination of
16	these cases, it's not Mr. Pardy that's going to
17	apply that. It's going to be ADMs providing
18	advice to DMs.
19	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You see, the
20	problem with that, at least I'm having trouble
21	understanding, is that here we have and I'm not
22	being disrespectful. Here we have Mr in fact,
23	I think it was Bill Graham, the Minister at the
24	time, call him Mr. Consular Affairs. Here we have
25	Mr. Consular Affairs. He's the quy that's written

1	the book on most things relating to consular
2	affairs, including the very issue you wanted to
3	raise with McCallion on more coordination across
4	government. You've known the guy for many years.
5	You've never spoken to McCallion before. You have
6	no idea what she knows about it. And I just have
7	that question in my mind: Well, why would he do
8	that?
9	MR. HOOPER: I would take offence
10	if you put the shoe on the other foot, if Ms
11	McCallion picked up the phone and phoned one of my
12	Directors General. She has a level of interaction
13	that's at my level. Same with me.
14	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Surely we're not
15	coming down to a bureaucratic issue
16	MR. HOOPER: That's where the
17	issue was. So, yes, we are.
18	MR. CAVALLUZZO: We are? We've
19	got a man that's been in Syria for nine months
20	now, subject to inhumane conditions, and we're
21	worried about dealing across a bureaucratic line?
22	Is that what we're saying?
23	MR. HOOPER: No. I'm not saying,
24	and I think it would be wrong to conclude, that
25	we've reduced Mr. Arar's case to a bureaucratic

1	exercise.
2	I think a lot of us thought we
3	could do better and there had to be a way of doing
4	better, and I chose to engage the person at
5	Foreign Affairs that had ADM responsibility and
6	could have some influence in effecting policy.
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I have,
8	Mr. Hooper, a couple of final questions, and this
9	can be very quick.
10	As you know, in the fall of '03,
11	there was an alleged leak to CTV News on
12	October 24th; there was another alleged leak to
13	the Ottawa Citizen on or about November 8th of
14	2003; and I understand, in accordance with its
15	policies, that CSIS conducted an internal review
16	in order to assess, first of all, the damage the
17	leaks may have caused as well as trying to
18	determine what happened?
19	Is that correct?
20	MR. HOOPER: That's correct.
21	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. And I
22	understand that as far as those administrative
23	excuse me, administrative reviews are concerned,
24	that that was overtaken by criminal charges laid
25	in respect of particularly the November 8th leak?

1	MR. HOOPER: I think the criminal
2	investigation kind of overtook the administrative
3	review that was being conducted.
4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay.
5	Commissioner, it's almost
6	lunchtime. I think I've completed my direct
7	examination, subject to one question concerning
8	leaks that I would like to speak to my friend
9	about.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
11	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And perhaps I
12	have another question for Mr. Hooper after the
13	break.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Should
15	we take
16	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I think it would
17	be appropriate to take the break at this time.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: the lunch
19	break? For an hour and fifteen is okay for today?
20	MS McISAAC: Just one housekeeping
21	matter. Sorry. I don't think we marked the April
22	memorandum as an exhibit.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, thank you.
24	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Thank you.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: What number

1	would that be then, Monsieur le Greffier?
2	THE REGISTRAR: 239.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: 239.
4	Off microphone / Sans microphone
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, it was?
6	Off microphone / Sans microphone
7	THE COMMISSIONER: I have this one
8	as 238. Is that the same one?
9	MS McISAAC: I don't recall I'm
LO	sorry. I missed when it was marked.
L1	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay? So we
L2	will break till a quarter to two.
L3	THE REGISTRAR: Please stand.
L4	Upon recessing at 12:23 p.m. /
L5	Suspension à 12 h 43
L6	Upon resuming at 1:50 p.m. /
L7	Reprise à 13 h 50
L8	THE REGISTRAR: Please be seated.
L9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Mr. Commissioner,
20	just in closing, I've spoken to my friend
21	Ms McIsaac, and it relates to an allegation or a
22	complaint made by Mr. Waldman regarding a Toronto
23	Star article written by James Travers, and was
24	published in The Toronto Star I think on June 9th
25	of 2005. Mr. Waldman alleged that there had been

1	a breach of security as a result of the press
2	report.
3	As a result of his complaint, I
4	asked CSIS to conduct an inquiry in respect of
5	that allegation, and yesterday I received a letter
6	from CSIS, from the Assistant Director Corporate,
7	who advises after a review of the matter that CSIS
8	stated that there has been a breach of security.
9	However
10	THE COMMISSIONER: A leak of
11	confidential information?
12	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Yes, that's
13	correct. And as a result, the view is that
14	because the information is such that hundreds of
15	people would have access to that information, that
16	an investigation into it would not be feasible
17	because we would be dealing with hundreds of
18	people, hundreds of interviews, and as a result of
19	resource issues and so on, it was felt not
20	practical to proceed with that investigation.
21	I will file that letter with the
22	Commission as an exhibit. I do not have it with
23	me today, but I will forward a copy to my friend.
24	Thank you.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you,

StenoTran

1	Mr. Cavalluzzo.
2	Mr. Waldman?
3	MR. WALDMAN: That was one area I
4	was going to cover, so thank you. That shortens
5	my cross-examination a little bit.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: How much?
7	Laughter / Rires
8	THE COMMISSIONER: How long do you
9	expect to be?
10	MR. WALDMAN: I don't know. I'm
11	not even going to try and guess.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, no
13	MR. WALDMAN: A few hours.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: I beg your
15	pardon?
16	MR. WALDMAN: A few hours.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: You are going
18	to be two hours?
19	MR. WALDMAN: A few hours, yes.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Well
21	MR. WALDMAN: Mr. Cavalluzzo
22	usually covers almost all of the areas that I want
23	to cover but today he left quite a few, so I think
24	I will be a bit. I don't think there is anything
25	I'm going to cover that isn't relevant.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
2	MR. WALDMAN: We will see.
3	EXAMINATION
4	MR. WALDMAN: I just want to start
5	with some questions about the period when the file
6	was transferred.
7	We know from the CSIS summary that
8	is public that it was about a month or within a
9	month of September 11th that the CSIS files were
10	transferred to the RCMP and they started the
11	OCanada and A-OCANADA investigations?
12	MR. HOOPER: That's correct.
13	MR. WALDMAN: And we know from
14	other information that at least with respect to
15	the A-OCANADA investigations, now on the public
16	record, that there were two targets, Mr. Almalki
17	and Mr. El Maati, and that Mr. Arar was not a
18	target at that time?
19	MR. HOOPER: I won't go into
20	public testimony around who was or was not a
21	target, if the inference is that they were
22	targeted by our Service.
23	MR. WALDMAN: Well, I think the
24	evidence was that the at least the documents
25	suggested that they were targets and they were

1	transferred from CSIS to the RCMP.
2	MR. HOOPER: That's correct.
3	MR. WALDMAN: Right. And the
4	evidence was that Mr. Arar was not a target.
5	MR. HOOPER: Do you have I'm
6	sorry. Do you have a document that you are
7	referring to there, sir?
8	MR. WALDMAN: Well, you just said
9	in evidence that when you went to Syria, you told
LO	the Syrians Mr. Arar wasn't a target and he wasn't
L1	a priority? Isn't that what you said just a few
L2	minutes ago?
L3	MR. HOOPER: I think we said that
L4	Mr. Arar was known to our Service. Perhaps if
L5	you
L6	MR. WALDMAN: Well, if I'm not
L7	mistaken, this morning you said Mr. Arar was not a
L8	target, nor a priority. And there are documents
L9	to that effect as well.
20	MR. HOOPER: Perhaps I'm
21	misunderstanding the question.
22	MS McISAAC: Mr. Commissioner, if
23	I could just put some parameters around what my
24	understanding is we are prepared to have
25	Mr. Hooper say.

1	Mr. Hooper is prepared to say, as
2	he just did, that Mr. Arar was known to the
3	Service, but he is not going into any details as
4	to whether Mr. Arar, or any other individual, was
5	or was not a target of the Service, either before
6	September, after September, or at any period of
7	time. Those are matters we are simply not
8	prepared to confirm or deny or give any further
9	information on in the public record.
LO	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
L1	MR. WALDMAN: I guess I'm just a
L2	bit surprised because my understanding was that
L3	Mr. Arar was not known to the Service until
L4	October 12th, when he was seen at the Mango Cafe.
L5	You are not prepared to confirm or
L6	deny that. Is that the position?
L7	MR. HOOPER: I won't make any
L8	comment on any meeting at any Mango Cafe, sir.
L9	MR. WALDMAN: Well, let's go on
20	then and deal with it gets a bit frustrating
21	trying to ask questions when everything is "I
22	can't answer that", but we will try our best.
23	So we know that the information
24	was transferred, and at least as far as the RCMP
25	has told us, they have told us that the two main

1	targets were Mr. Almalki and Mr. El Maati. That's
2	on the public record. Whether they were your
3	targets or not, I don't care.
4	And we also know that files were
5	transferred, and that the first time the RCMP
6	became aware Mr. Arar was on October 12th. That's
7	also on the public record. Okay.
8	So I know that you are not going
9	to tell us anything about the pre-transfer period
10	in terms of your investigation, because it will
11	all be subject to an NSC claim, but I want to go
12	back to the evidence you gave last year
13	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
14	MR. WALDMAN: about the
15	difference between an intelligence, CSIS work and
16	the RCMP work.
17	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
18	MR. WALDMAN: And I just wanted to
19	ascertain whether I'm going to try and
20	summarize I read your evidence. If you
21	disagree with what I'm saying, then you will let
22	me know; right?
23	CSIS gathers intelligence for the
24	purpose of advising its partners; right?
25	MR. HOOPER: Correct. Advising

1	government.
2	MR. WALDMAN: The different
3	partners in government?
4	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
5	MR. WALDMAN: And the RCMP gathers
6	intelligence mostly for the purposes of gathering
7	evidence for a criminal prosecution?
8	MR. HOOPER: Correct.
9	MR. WALDMAN: And generally
10	speaking CSIS gets involved much earlier in the
11	game, and the RCMP will get involved later on when
12	it's moving towards prosecution and there is some
13	overlap.
14	Is that a fair
15	MR. HOOPER: That's typically the
16	case, and I recall that being my evidence.
17	MR. WALDMAN: So in the period up
18	until the transfer of the information by the RCMP,
19	the status of the file was an
20	intelligence-gathering operation?
21	MR. HOOPER: That's correct.
22	MR. WALDMAN: So up until 9/11,
23	the view of CSIS was that this was an intelligence
24	operation; correct?
25	MP HOODER: Correct

1	MR. WALDMAN: There was no talk of
2	transferring the files to the RCMP prior to 9/11;
3	correct?
4	MR. HOOPER: That's correct.
5	MR. WALDMAN: Is it not also
6	correct that it was only after 9/11 that the
7	decision was made to transfer these files to the
8	RCMP?
9	MR. HOOPER: That's correct.
10	MR. WALDMAN: And is it also true
11	that the decision to transfer the files was made
12	in the aftermath of $9/11$, as a result of $9/11$ and
13	the upheaval that was caused by that?
14	MR. HOOPER: That's largely
15	correct, yes.
16	MR. WALDMAN: So that it's fair to
17	say that the decision to transfer the files was
18	made in the context of the very strong post-9/11
19	period?
20	MR. HOOPER: The post-9/11 period
21	and the threat environment at the time, yes.
22	MR. WALDMAN: Right. And there
23	was no decision prior to 9/11 to transfer these
24	files; correct?
25	MR. HOOPER: That's correct.

StenoTran

1	MR. WALDMAN: Or discussion of
2	that?
3	MR. HOOPER: Discussion of the
4	transfer of files?
5	MR. WALDMAN: Of these files.
6	MR. HOOPER: No, no.
7	MR. WALDMAN: And we know that the
8	threshold for intelligence is lower than criminal
9	investigation, or you told us that?
10	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
11	MR. WALDMAN: And we know that
12	once the file was transferred the RCMP created
13	A-OCANADA for the purposes of gathering evidence
14	for criminal prosecution; correct?
15	MR. HOOPER: As it relates
16	specifically to A-OCANADA. You will recall that
17	O-Canada was a precursor to A-OCANADA.
18	MR. WALDMAN: I only care
19	A-OCANADA. A-OCANADA was formed, and its purpose
20	was to gather evidence. The RCMP has told us
21	their two main targets were Mr. Almalki and Mr. El
22	Maati. You don't have to comment on that.
23	The RCMP has also told us that
24	they didn't know Mr. Arar at all until they saw
25	him at the Mango Cafe.

1	MR. HOOPER: Fine.
2	MR. WALDMAN: We also know that a
3	great deal of investigative resources have been
4	expended on the A-OCANADA investigation. There
5	has been a lot of evidence to that effect as well.
6	And we also know that Mr. Almalki
7	and Mr. El Maati were both detained in Syria and
8	subject to interrogation there. I gather you are
9	aware of that fact?
10	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
11	MR. WALDMAN: And we also know
12	that Mr. Almalki and Mr. El Maati are both back in
13	Canada now?
14	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
15	MR. WALDMAN: And that no charges
16	have been laid against them or Mr. Arar as of this
17	point. Is that correct?
18	MR. HOOPER: That's correct.
19	MR. WALDMAN: Now if we go back to
20	the difference between an intelligence
21	investigation and one involving the RCMP, I think
22	we agree that the main difference is
23	intelligence-gathering versus intelligence for
24	prosecution; correct?
25	MR. HOOPER: It's probably more

1	complex than that but I accept that that is the
2	basic theme.
3	MR. WALDMAN: And I think you
4	testified last year that intelligence operators
5	need a different type of expertise when they are
6	engaging in pure intelligence exercises as opposed
7	to criminal investigators dealing with criminal
8	prosecutions?
9	MR. HOOPER: I think that's
10	correct.
11	You were speaking rather
12	quickly
13	MR. WALDMAN: People who are
14	working for CSIS have a different expertise and a
15	different set of skill-sets than RCMP
16	investigators?
17	MR. HOOPER: And vice versa, yes.
18	MR. WALDMAN: You would agree with
19	that?
20	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
21	MR. WALDMAN: And you have told us
22	that there is a great deal of training that a CSIS
23	operator requires before he can become a skilled
24	operative?
25	MR. HOOPER: We talked extensively

1	about that, yes.
2	MR. WALDMAN: I don't know if you
3	are aware of this evidence, but I think it's fair
4	to say that we received evidence and I'm
5	speaking of Sergeant Flewelling, for example
6	that after 9/11, the RCMP was overwhelmed with the
7	amounts of tips they got through Project Shock and
8	they had to reallocate resources, and indeed
9	Sergeant Flewelling told us that at the CID
10	intelligence centre that there were constant
11	complaints about the lack of resources and people
12	were being reallocated. In fact, he told us that
13	at one point he had to supervise a whole bunch of
14	inexperienced officers that were brought in.
15	So that at the CID level there was
16	a constant concern about getting qualified people
17	to oversee A-OCANADA.
18	Were you aware of that evidence?
19	MR. HOOPER: I wasn't aware of the
20	evidence. The facts of the matter wouldn't
21	surprise me.
22	MR. WALDMAN: Right. And indeed
23	you told us that you sent one CSIS person to
24	assist A-OCANADA?
25	MR. HOOPER: That's correct.

StenoTran

1	MR. WALDMAN: I think the Garvie
2	report talked about a lack of experienced
3	investigators in national security matters from
4	the RCMP. That's what is in the Garvie report?
5	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
6	MR. WALDMAN: Right. And would
7	you not agree with me that after 9/11 there was a
8	great deal of pressure to act quickly as a result
9	of the perceived threats?
10	MR. HOOPER: Absolutely.
11	MR. WALDMAN: I would ask you
12	this, sir. Given four years have past without any
13	charges being laid against the two principal
14	targets of the A-OCANADA investigation, would you
15	not agree with me that if there were enough
16	evidence to sustain charges, charges would in all
17	likelihood have been laid?
18	MR. HOOPER: Typically that's what
19	happens, yes.
20	MR. WALDMAN: So that four years
21	into the A-OCANADA investigation, there still
22	isn't enough evidence to sustain charges, correct,
23	or they would have been charged?
24	MR. HOOPER: A reasonable person
25	would conclude.

1	MR. WALDMAN: Right. So wouldn't
2	you agree with me then, sir, that given four years
3	have passed since the decision to transfer, no
4	charges have been laid, in light of what we have
5	just gone through, the fact that the A-OCANADA
6	team didn't have the experienced investigators,
7	they had a different skill-set, wouldn't you agree
8	with me that the decision to transfer the files
9	was premature? It was a wrong decision made in
10	the light made in the height of 9/11?
11	MR. HOOPER: I can see why you
12	would make that conclusion, but I think the
13	conclusion ignores the context of the immediate
14	post-9/11 environment, which I have testified to
15	extensively.
16	MR. WALDMAN: Right. So in the
17	context of the post-9/11 environment and the
18	pressures, that was why the decision was made, but
19	clearly, in retrospect, it probably wasn't the
20	right decision?
21	MR. HOOPER: No. I think from
22	where I sit, one of the fundamental considerations
23	around engaging law enforcement on these targets
24	which were the principles of OCanada and A-OCANADA
25	revolved around what we could do with an

1	intelligence-led investigation. We knew the
2	principals. We knew about them. We knew what
3	their inter-associations were. We had beliefs
4	around what they were up to, and continued
5	surveillance in the pure context of a security
6	intelligence investigation was unlikely to lead to
7	any more information that would advance the case.
8	We had taken it about as far as we could.
9	In consultation with law
10	enforcement, I think there was a mutual agreement
11	that what these individuals were doing fit better
12	within the law enforcement model than a security
13	intelligence kind of investigation.
14	MR. WALDMAN: But by transferring
15	the files, you transferred the files to an
16	organization that was overwhelmed, didn't have the
17	skill-sets that were required, didn't have the
18	proper supervisory controls in place. I would
19	suggest to you that, as a result, a whole series
20	of serious problems occurred, and I want to take
21	you to them.
22	For example, I would suggest to
23	you that what we have been calling the data dump
24	of April 2002, where all this information was
25	handed over by the RCMP to American partners

1	without caveats, including evidence presumably
2	that might have come from CSIS in breach of your
3	understanding, wouldn't have happened if you had
4	been running the operation.
5	Wouldn't you agree with me that
6	you would never have sanctioned or authorized or
7	permitted the kind of data dump that occurred?
8	MR. HOOPER: That's not something
9	we do as an organization.
10	MR. WALDMAN: And you wouldn't
11	have allowed it to happen if it had been on your
12	watch; correct?
13	MR. HOOPER: No.
14	MR. WALDMAN: Now, another point
15	that I want to deal with is the whole question of
16	the targeting.
17	You are not going to tell us in
18	specifics, but you would agree with me I mean,
19	in the context of this investigation, the RCMP
20	investigation, Mr. Arar has been referred to as a
21	peripheral person of interest, a person of
22	interest, a target of a national security
23	investigation, and a potential witness.
24	In fact, when RCMP operators have
25	been asked, they haven't really been able to

1	explain the difference and it has created, in our
2	minds at least, a great deal of confusion.
3	Is it not true that in the CSIS
4	process there is a process that you described in
5	great detail last year about creating targets that
6	go through a very careful screening process, and
7	that if it were a CSIS investigation, that kind of
8	targeting procedure would have been in place;
9	correct?
10	MR. HOOPER: We have what I
11	consider to be a very rigorous process, yes.
12	MR. WALDMAN: And it's very
13	rigorous and leads to clearly defined targets?
14	MR. HOOPER: Clearly defined
15	targets, and clearly defined investigative
16	techniques that can be used in the
17	investigation
18	MR. WALDMAN: With respect to
19	those targets?
20	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
21	MR. WALDMAN: Right. And not this
22	kind of fuzzy situation that we see in the
23	different references that were made to Mr. Arar;
24	correct?
25	I mean, you wouldn't call someone

1	in the same investigation a potential target, a
2	possible target, a peripheral target, and a
3	target; right? He is either a target or he is
4	not?
5	MR. HOOPER: In our terminology
6	you are a target or you are not.
7	MR. WALDMAN: If this had been a
8	CSIS investigation, the confusion about the status
9	of the parties of the investigation that exists
10	here wouldn't have existed; correct?
11	MR. HOOPER: The process tries to
12	avoid that kind of confusion, yes.
13	MR. WALDMAN: Thank you very much.
14	The second area I wanted to cover
15	was this and Mr. Commissioner, things are going
16	a lot faster than I thought, so maybe I overstated
17	my time. Mr. Hooper and I don't seem to be
18	disagreeing on too many things so far.
19	MR. HOOPER: Well, we will see.
20	Laughter / Rires
21	MR. WALDMAN: After the
22	investigation was transferred, it was my
23	understanding that CSIS was less involved in the
24	investigation of the targets, but continued to
25	pass on evidence from time to time.

1	Is that correct?
2	MR. HOOPER: Correct.
3	MR. WALDMAN: Or information, not
4	evidence. We had this whole debate last year.
5	You pass on information; right?
6	MR. HOOPER: Correct.
7	MR. WALDMAN: I just want to
8	clarify one point on this matter, because some of
9	us were discussing this during the break and we
10	are a bit confused.
11	The evidence is you had a CSIS
12	person go and was sent to be part of the A-OCANADA
13	team; right?
14	MR. HOOPER: Correct.
15	MR. WALDMAN: Did he report back
16	to CSIS from time to time about the investigation?
17	MR. HOOPER: No.
18	MR. WALDMAN: That was not his
19	role at all?
20	MR. HOOPER: That was not his job,
21	no. Those weren't his terms of reference. He was
22	there as a secondee to the RCMP, so he effectively
23	came under the administrative and operational
24	ambit of the RCMP.
25	MR. WALDMAN: I think I remember

1	asking you last year, and you said that if he
2	would have come back to CSIS and gone into your
3	database, he would have been fired because that
4	wasn't what his role was?
5	MR. HOOPER: I don't think I said
6	he would be fired, but I would deal with it.
7	MR. WALDMAN: You would deal with
8	it, okay. So that if he heard on September 26th
9	that Mr. Arar was about to be detained, it wasn't
10	his role to call you up, or to call someone at
11	CSIS up and say, "Hey, one of the peripheral
12	persons of interest in the A-OCANADA investigation
13	is about to be detained"? You wouldn't expect
14	MR. HOOPER: Again, he was an
15	employee of the RCMP at that time.
16	MR. WALDMAN: Thank you.
17	So the information that you
18	provided to the RCMP from time to time would have
19	been subject to caveats; right?
20	MR. HOOPER: They were, yes.
21	MR. WALDMAN: That's right. And
22	the information provided was not information that
23	would be admissible in a court of law; correct?
24	MR. HOOPER: Typically it's not,
25	no. That's not what I mean, it's not passed

1	with that intent.
2	MR. WALDMAN: So I take it from
3	this that the RCMP never objected to receiving
4	documents, or information, or intelligence from
5	CSIS because it wasn't admissible?
6	MR. HOOPER: Not to well, let
7	me be careful on that. With specific reference to
8	the material that was passed during the life of
9	A-OCANADA, not to my knowledge.
10	But I do have to acknowledge that
11	it's a debate that we have all of the time with
12	law enforcement as to whether or not they actually
13	want to receive information from us if it could
14	impact on a criminal prosecution.
15	MR. WALDMAN: Right. But with
16	respect to the A-OCANADA investigation, you are
17	not aware of them complaining to you, "Don't give
18	us this information, it's not going to be
19	admissible." Rather, they would take the
20	intelligence and use it as they saw fit, as far as
21	you are aware?
22	MR. HOOPER: As far as I'm aware,
23	yes.
24	MR. WALDMAN: So that if CSIS
25	operatives got information that they thought might

1	be relevant to the A-OCANADA investigation that
2	was not admissible, you will still tell your
3	operatives to pass it on to A-OCANADA, I assume.
4	There would be no reason not to?
5	MR. HOOPER: Sometimes it comes
6	both ways. If we develop information that we
7	think may be useful to the RCMP, there is a
8	process of disclosure that I talked about. In
9	other cases, the RCMP may actively elicit from us
10	information that may bear on their targeting, on
11	their investigations.
12	MR. WALDMAN: Part of the evidence
13	before us also indicated that one of the mandates
14	of the RCMP with respect to the A-OCANADA
15	investigation was criminal prosecution, and the
16	other mandate was prevention.
17	That was your understanding as
18	well?
19	MR. HOOPER: Correct.
20	MR. WALDMAN: And indeed the
21	primary one, I think we were told, was prevention?
22	MR. HOOPER: That's correct.
23	MR. WALDMAN: So that intelligence
24	that would shed light on potential terrorist
25	threats to the A-OCANADA investigation would be

1	important to them?
2	MR. HOOPER: Correct.
3	MR. WALDMAN: So that evidence
4	that was received only for that couldn't be
5	used in a criminal intelligence would not be
6	useless information to A-OCANADA if it was useful
7	intelligence; correct?
8	MR. HOOPER: I think I lost you
9	there, Mr. Waldman.
10	First of all, I just want to
11	clarify, we don't pass evidence
12	MR. WALDMAN: No, I'm sorry. I
13	said it backwards.
14	Information that you got would
15	still be useful to you would still be useful to
16	A-OCANADA even if it wasn't admissible
17	information?
18	MR. HOOPER: I would hope, yes.
19	MR. WALDMAN: So what would you
20	say to Inspector Cabana's evidence that he chose
21	not to interview Mr. Arar because the intelligence
22	that he might or the information he might have
23	received, due to the conditions imposed by the
24	lawyer, wouldn't have been admissible? That was
25	the only reason why he rejected the evidence?

1	Would it not have still been
2	useful intelligence?
3	MR. HOOPER: I really can't
4	comment on Mr. Cabana's position on that. He was
5	the lead of A-OCANADA. If he said that, I'm sure
6	he had good reasons for it.
7	MR. WALDMAN: But in your view,
8	information that may not be admissible but is
9	relevant to the investigation is still useful;
LO	correct?
L1	MR. HOOPER: That's my view
L2	sitting from my side of the fence. It's easy for
L3	me to make that call.
L4	MR. WALDMAN: Well, you are the
L5	one we are cross-examining today, not Inspector
L6	Cabana.
L7	I think this is a simple question,
L8	but there is a difference between a foreign
L9	intelligence agency and a foreign law enforcement
20	agency; correct?
21	MR. HOOPER: You can actually cut
22	it more than two ways but I accept your point, yes
23	there is.
24	MR. WALDMAN: The CIA is an
0.5	intelligence agency in the United States and the

1	FBI would be the enforcement agency; correct?
2	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
3	MR. WALDMAN: And as the foreign
4	intelligence agency, according to the ministerial
5	directive, CSIS is to be the primary contact with
6	the CIA; correct?
7	MR. HOOPER: On foreign
8	intelligence matters, yes.
9	MR. WALDMAN: And this was the
LO	understanding even before the new ministerial
L1	directives. We saw there were some
L2	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
L3	MR. WALDMAN: So would it surprise
L4	you that a member of the RCMP testified that he
L5	considered the CIA to be a foreign law enforcement
L6	agency within the meaning of the RCMP policy on
L7	disclosure?
L8	MR. HOOPER: Would it surprise me?
L9	MR. WALDMAN: Yes, would it
20	surprise you?
21	MR. HOOPER: Well, I think it's
22	incorrect.
23	MR. WALDMAN: If it were incorrect
24	and a senior inspector superintendent in the
25	RCMP had that belief, you would find that

1	surprising, wouldn't you?
2	MR. HOOPER: Somewhat, yes.
3	MR. WALDMAN: Well, I can tell you
4	that that was Inspector Cabana's evidence, and
5	it's found at page 7803 of the transcript, for
6	those of you that are curious.
7	But this would be significant,
8	wouldn't it, because am I not correct in stating
9	that if the CIA was characterized as a law
10	enforcement agency by the RCMP, they wouldn't have
11	to go through CSIS to exchange information as the
12	primary contact.
13	Is that correct?
14	MR. HOOPER: I don't know what the
15	direction I don't know if there even was
16	direction to the RCMP at that time. But as far as
17	I was concerned, if there was to be any
18	interaction between the RCMP and the CIA, it ought
19	to have come through us.
20	MR. WALDMAN: Right. I'm
21	interested in the protocols that were put in place
22	regarding the information that was passed on to
23	the RCMP.
24	My understanding is that if the
25	RCMP wanted to share information obtained from

1	CSIS with other foreign law agencies it would have
2	to seek approval, is that correct, from CSIS?
3	MR. HOOPER: That's our
4	expectation of every agency with whom we share
5	information.
6	MR. WALDMAN: And if
7	information now, are you aware of whether the
8	RCMP obtained CSIS' consent on every occasion
9	prior to the sharing of information with the
10	foreign partners?
11	MR. HOOPER: I always have to
12	qualify my answers around the material time and
13	afterwards. At the material time, I wasn't, but I
14	became aware of it afterwards.
15	MR. WALDMAN: What did you become
16	aware of?
17	MR. HOOPER: Well, you referred to
18	the data dump.
19	MR. WALDMAN: Right.
20	MS McISAAC: Again, there has been
21	no confirmation as to whether, in fact, CSIS
22	information was contained in the data dump, but
23	I'm quite content that the witness could answer it
24	on the basis that if there was CSIS information in
25	there, what the consequence would be.

1	MR. WALDMAN: I think the Garvie
2	report does make reference to breaches of caveats
3	between the RCMP and CSIS with respect to sharing
4	with
5	MS McISAAC: I just want the
6	witness to be very careful about how far he goes
7	in terms of the kind of information that might or
8	might not have been shared, please.
9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Exhibit C-85 and
10	Exhibit P-85 are the exhibits which indicate which
11	information was in the if we call it the data
12	dump.
13	However, if the Government is
14	taking an NSC position in respect to whether there
15	was CSIS information as part of the data dump,
16	then that's as far as we can go.
17	MS McISAAC: Give me a moment to
18	look at P-85, please, sir?
19	Pause
20	THE COMMISSIONER: What is the tab
21	in P-85? Do you have it?
22	MS McISAAC: I'm sorry, I don't
23	want to be making a claim if it's not a legitimate
24	claim, but I'm quite frankly at a loss, not having
25	heen here for all of the PCMD evidence

1	So if someone can show me where
2	this information is on the public record, then of
3	course but I just want to be sure I've got it
4	right, sir.
5	MR. WALDMAN: P-227, that was the
6	e-mail we dealt with with Sergeant Flewelling, and
7	in the context of that e-mail, it was indicated
8	that Sergeant Flewelling expressed concern about
9	CSIS information being sent to the United States.
10	MR. CAVALLUZZO: In respect of
11	that particular question that I posed, I
12	subsequently received a letter indicating that the
13	Government takes the position that as far as that
14	question to Mr. Flewelling is concerned that CSIS
15	will neither confirm nor deny that any of its
16	information was part of that transfer which
17	occurred on October the 4th, which is different,
18	of course, than the data dump.
19	The question I asked of
20	Mr. Flewelling related to the information that was
21	sent on October 4th, but we're referring to
22	something different
23	MR. WALDMAN: I understand that.
24	But I referred to that because my understanding
25	was that it was clear from your question that CSIS

1	information was contained. Now I'm just learning
2	for the first time that that's no longer clear
3	so
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, it may
5	not be what Ms McIsaac is saying that whether
6	it happened or not is not part of the public
7	record.
8	MR. WALDMAN: Right. I understand
9	that. But I thought
10	THE COMMISSIONER: It's in volume
11	1 of P-85 at tab 24.
12	MS McISAAC: Thank you, sir.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: And I think
14	just take a quick look at it, Ms McIsaac, but I
15	think your point is well-taken.
16	Pause
17	THE COMMISSIONER: So I think it's
18	not part of the public record, so one can only
19	say I guess you'd have to put it in as a
20	hypothetical.
21	MR. WALDMAN: Maybe I can ask a
22	more generic question.
23	Without going into details about
24	what specific evidence might have been shared with
25	the Americans, are you aware of whether or not the

1	RCMP breached any CSIS caveats at any time in the
2	sharing of information in the A-OCANADA
3	investigation?
4	MR. HOOPER: There's been so much
5	NSC water under the bridge since I was up here
б	last time, I'm really on shaky ground. If I
7	answer that question, it has to be in reference, I
8	think, to Deputy Commissioner Loeppky's testimony
9	before the Commission.
10	If memory serves me correctly, I
11	think he made that point. So if he did indeed
12	make that point, I would go by what Deputy
13	Commissioner Loeppky said.
14	MR. WALDMAN: Now you testified
15	that you had no knowledge of caveats being down.
16	MR. HOOPER: No.
17	MR. WALDMAN: Right. And the
18	evidence of Inspector Cabana was that his
19	understanding was that caveats were down.
20	MR. HOOPER: That's my
21	understanding.
22	MR. WALDMAN: Right. So given
23	that that was Inspector Cabana's understanding, it
24	wouldn't be surprising to you that if he thought
25	that may, it might have happened that there might

1	have been sharing of CSIS information without
2	authorization?
3	MR. HOOPER: In the hypothetical
4	sense.
5	MR. WALDMAN: Right. So doesn't
6	it concern you that a senior inspector in the
7	RCMP, in charge of the A-OCANADA investigation,
8	was under the clearly mistaken impression that he
9	could share information CSIS information with
10	the Americans without obtaining consent?
11	MR. HOOPER: It bothers me when I
12	put that up against the caveats that accompany
13	information that we release, and the expectations
14	by my Service that they be respected by the
15	receiving party.
16	MR. WALDMAN: So it's problematic?
17	MR. HOOPER: For me it is, yes.
18	MR. WALDMAN: Right. Because the
19	purpose of the caveats is to protect we've
20	already gone through that. I won't go through it
21	again.
22	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
23	MR. WALDMAN: But it's very
24	important to the credibility of your Service that
25	the caveats he respected?

1	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
2	MR. WALDMAN: For all the reasons,
3	and I think you explained them last time.
4	Now, we have to introduce a new
5	exhibit. This is one I thought was going to be
6	introduced, but because the order was switched,
7	this is something that were in the Dickenson
8	documents that were sent to us, so I'm just going
9	to ask that it be
10	THE COMMISSIONER: 239.
11	EXHIBIT NO. P-239: Undated
12	draft memo from the Dickenson
13	documents
14	Pause
15	MS McISAAC: I just wish to put on
16	the record that when Mr. Dickenson testifies, I
17	believe he'll indicate that this was a draft
18	document, not a final version.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
20	MR. WALDMAN: If Mr. Dickenson had
21	testified, he would have given us a date. There's
22	no date to the memo, I don't know if anyone knows
23	the date. That might be of assistance.
24	MS McISAAC: I gather it's
25	probably October 22nd, but I'm also informed that

1	there's probably the final version that's in the
2	Dickenson documents as well.
3	MR. WALDMAN: Well
4	Could I ask you to go to the last
5	bullet on the first page, please? It says:
6	"I learned about 1700 hours
7	on Thursday that Director
8	Elcock, CSIS, had spoken with
9	Mr. Bilodeau regarding Arar.
10	A meeting was scheduled
11	between DP Manley and US
12	Homeland Secretary Tom Ridge
13	on a number of matters and it
14	was understood the DPM Manley
15	would raise the Arar case
16	with Governor Ridge. I was
17	informed that the Director
18	had encouraged the DPA
19	against raising the Arar
20	case." (As read)
21	So this is the first example of a
22	message. I just want to ask you some questions.
23	Is this not your view that it is
24	the role of CSIS to advise the government of
25	potential threats to the security of Canada, and

1	not to advise the government as to how it should
2	be conducting its affairs with other governments?
3	MR. HOOPER: I think there's an
4	expectation that the Director of the Service is a
5	Deputy Minister in the federal bureaucracy and
6	provides advice to his Minister. It's up to the
7	Minister as to whether he takes it or not.
8	MR. WALDMAN: Would you not agree
9	with me though that it would be highly unusual
10	Mr. Arar case was already getting publicized, and
11	it was clearly a political case by this point, for
12	the Director to get involved in a political case
13	and interfere with government policy in this way?
14	MR. HOOPER: I don't view this as
15	interfering with government I don't see any
16	government policy here, Mr. Waldman, not to be
17	argumentative.
18	MR. WALDMAN: Well, there were
19	representations made by various people to have the
20	deputy Prime Minister raise Mr. Arar's case with
21	Mr. Ridge, and the Director of CSIS is encouraging
22	him against raising it?
23	MR. HOOPER: I think that would
24	constitute advice by my Director as a Deputy
25	Minister of this government providing advice.

1	Again, it comes down to whether or not the
2	Minister chooses to accept that advice.
3	MR. WALDMAN: Okay. Let's just
4	look at the situation. As I understand it, there
5	might have been several reasons why the matter
6	might have been raised with Mr. Ridge perhaps
7	before I do that, I want to clarify one point that
8	just occurred to me.
9	Is it not correct that the
10	Director of CSIS would report directly to the
11	Solicitor General and not to the Deputy Prime
12	Minister?
13	MR. HOOPER: If you'll recall, I
14	think it's correct at this time, the Deputy Prime
15	Minister was also the chair of a there was an
16	ad hoc group on public security and
17	anti-terrorism.
18	So I think as it regarded matters
19	related to terrorism, there was probably a
20	bifurcated reporting relationship. Actually, if
21	you roll in the security intelligence coordinators
22	role, Mr. Bilodeau, I think there's there
23	really is one line of authority, it's the
24	Solicitor General, but there is also
25	contemporaneous reporting requirements for the

1	Director to go to the coordinator and to the DPM
2	who had that public security mandate.
3	MR. WALDMAN: My recollection of
4	Mr. Manley's evidence was that he didn't remember
5	anything, and he certainly didn't have the view
6	that he had any kind of operational responsibility
7	with respect to national security matters.
8	MR. HOOPER: I don't think that
9	this speaks to an operational responsibility, I
LO	think this is a clinical responsibility.
L1	MR. WALDMAN: His view I think
L2	he described it that his view was to try and keep
L3	the borders open. That was his major concern in
L4	his negotiations with Mr. Ridge. He didn't
L5	recall I mean he had a very limited
L6	recollection of anything having anything to do
L7	with Mr. Arar's case at all.
L8	MR. HOOPER: Fine.
L9	MR. WALDMAN: If you go back to
20	the questions here, I could see that there would
21	be several reasons why the Deputy Prime Minister
22	might want to raise the matter with Mr. Ridge.
23	One might be to protest the
24	conduct of the United States government in
25	deporting a Canadian citizen to a country not

1	to Canada, and presumably to a country with a
2	deplorable human rights record.
3	And, on the other hand, a second
4	reason why, and this is a theme that came up on
5	numerous occasions through the evidence, was that
6	there was a suggestion that maybe if we went to
7	the Americans they might be able to assist Canada
8	in getting Mr. Arar back, that they made a mistake
9	and this is a theme that came up on numerous
10	occasions later on. Ms Catterall made suggestions
11	that the American Ambassador be approached.
12	So there were two reasons why
13	Mr. Manley might raise the matter with Mr. Ridge.
14	One was to protest, and the other was to get the
15	American assistance.
16	Would you agree with that?
17	MR. HOOPER: I think you
18	characterize those as speculative, so I accept
19	them as speculation around what the reasons might
20	have been. I don't know what the reasons were.
21	MR. WALDMAN: So if the purpose
22	was to seek the protection of Canadians, and to
23	ensure that there were no previous deportations,
24	I'm sure you're aware that after Mr. Arar came
25	back and the case received a great deal of

1	publicity, the Canadian government attempted to
2	negotiate a protocol that didn't really achieve
3	the desired result, but the intent of the Canadian
4	government was to try and make the Americans agree
5	that this not happen again.
6	So one of the legitimate concerns
7	was to not to make sure that no other Canadians
8	were deported.
9	Correct? You're aware of that?
10	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
11	MR. WALDMAN: So there would be
12	nothing wrong with Mr. Manley protesting to
13	Mr. Ridge and saying, you know, "We don't want
14	this to happen again, "because that's government
15	policy now, right?
16	MR. HOOPER: The only if your
17	point is, is there anything wrong with doing it or
18	trying to rationalize why the advice was that he
19	not do it, I would suggest that it might not be
20	the most appropriate channel.
21	To go back to the example around
22	the negotiation of a protocol with the U.S.
23	government, that's not be something that would be
24	negotiated through the Homeland Security Office so
25	•••

1	I mean, this is pure speculation
2	on my part as to why it might have been a good
3	idea, why it might not have been a good idea.
4	MR. WALDMAN: Well, even if the
5	purpose at this point wasn't to negotiate a formal
6	protocol, clearly there are a lot of Canadians who
7	are outraged and incensed about a Canadian citizen
8	being deported, and a protest by the Deputy Prime
9	Minister to his counterpart was something that was
10	highly desirable so that the Americans would be
11	put on notice that we don't want it to happen
12	again.
13	Wouldn't you agree?
14	MR. HOOPER: I think that's a call
15	to be made at the political level.
16	MR. WALDMAN: Okay. But there
17	wouldn't be anything that would be problematic
18	about that being the issue.
19	Right?
20	Protesting the deportation of
21	Mr. Arar so that it wouldn't happen to other
22	Canadians?
23	Or would CSIS like to see other
24	Canadians deported to countries where they're
25	subject to torture?

1	MR. HOOPER: No.
2	MR. WALDMAN: Now, with respect to
3	the second possible reason well, can you think
4	of any other reason why Mr. Manley might have
5	protested or spoken to Mr. Ridge other than to
6	trying to seek it wouldn't happen, or trying to
7	get American assistance?
8	MR. HOOPER: I'm having a hard
9	time situating the political dynamic of the time
10	outside of my specific area of responsibility. I
11	can speak to the threat environment, I can speak a
12	little bit around the politics of the threat
13	environment, but between Mr. Manley and Mr. Ridge,
14	I don't know what other time
15	MR. WALDMAN: So the threat
16	environment would be paramount in Mr. Elcock's
17	mind too
18	MR. HOOPER: That's his job.
19	MR. WALDMAN: His concern wouldn't
20	be protecting Canadians and it wouldn't be trying
21	to help Mr. Arar, his main concern would be the
22	threat environment.
23	So would you agree with me then
24	that the reason why Mr. Elcock the only reason
25	why he would give this advice is because he didn't

1	care about protecting Canadians, and he didn't
2	care about getting Mr. Arar back, but he wanted to
3	make sure that Mr. Manley was aware of the threat
4	environment and the implications from the threat
5	point of view?
б	MR. HOOPER: No, I think
7	Mr. Elcock was acutely interested in protecting
8	Canadians. I don't see getting Mr. Arar back and
9	protecting Canada as being, you know, inimical to
10	one another.
11	MR. WALDMAN: What possible reason
12	could Mr. Elcock have had of giving Mr. Ridge this
13	advice? I mean clearly the purpose of the
14	intervention was to get American help in getting
15	Mr. Arar back into Canada, and to make sure that
16	the Americans understood that Canada didn't want
17	this to happen again?
18	What possible reason could there
19	have been given, other than worried about the
20	implications in U.SCanadian security
21	intelligence relations to encourage Mr. Manley not
22	to speak to Mr. Ridge?
23	MR. HOOPER: Mr. Waldman, this is
24	a discussion that I wasn't party to. I don't
25	MR. WALDMAN: I'm asking you to

1	speculate then, sir.
2	MR. HOOPER: I'm not going to
3	speculate, Mr. Waldman.
4	MR. WALDMAN: Well, I'm going to
5	suggest to you that the fact of the matter was
6	that this is just another example of the same
7	points that Mr. Cavalluzzo took you to, that CSIS,
8	in its mandate, was prioritizing its national
9	security interests over the human rights of a
10	Canadian citizen?
11	MR. HOOPER: I think without
12	knowing the full facts of the matter you can't
13	come to that conclusion. I certainly wouldn't
14	agree with that conclusion.
15	MR. WALDMAN: Well, can you
16	provide me then with any reason why Mr. Elcock
17	would have discouraged Mr. Manley from raising
18	this, other than other than the one I've just
19	posed to you?
20	MR. HOOPER: I won't speculate,
21	Mr. Waldman.
22	MR. WALDMAN: You can't come up
23	with any other reasons then?
24	MR. HOOPER: Oh, I can, but they
25	have no merit what coever other than being nure

1	conjecture and speculation.
2	MR. WALDMAN: So they don't have
3	any merit. I would agree with that. Thank you.
4	If I can take you to P-117,
5	volume 2, tab 75. We've already gone through this
6	this morning.
7	MR. HOOPER: That was tab 75, sir?
8	MR. WALDMAN: Tab 75, tab 4, page
9	2. Mr. Cavalluzzo has already gone through this
10	extensively this morning, but I just want to point
11	out to you, sir, that this first arose in October
12	of 2002, and in May of 2003, Mr. Elcock is taking
13	exactly the same position.
14	"Furthermore, the US
15	Government may also question
16	Canada's motives and resolve,
17	given they deported ARAR to
18	Syria because of concerns
19	about alleged terrorist
20	investigations."
21	So I would suggest to you that
22	this is just another example of how the U.S.
23	Government that Mr. Elcock and CSIS were more
24	concerned about the U.S. perception than they were
25	about protecting the rights of Canadian citizens?

1	MR. HOOPER: No, I think, as I
2	indicated to Mr. Cavalluzzo, that's reflective of
3	the Director's responsibility to inform his
4	Minister of the political dimensions of the issue.
5	That's all. Nothing more, nothing less.
6	MR. WALDMAN: Well, I guess we'll
7	leave it at that.
8	How would you describe CSIS'
9	relationship with the ISI and DFAIT? Was it a
10	good relationship?
11	MR. HOOPER: It was a very engaged
12	relationship. I would say it was a good
13	relationship.
14	MR. WALDMAN: And you respect the
15	individuals who work at ISI?
16	MR. HOOPER: Absolutely. I
17	consider Mr. Livermore to be a very close
18	colleague and a valued colleague.
19	MR. WALDMAN: Would you say the
20	same about Mr. Gould as well then?
21	MR. HOOPER: Mr. Gould and I go
22	back to the mid '90s, when he worked in GMR and
23	had responsibility for Middle East and Saudi
24	Arabia. We were very active interlocutors at that
25	tima

1	MR. WALDMAN: So I'd like to take
2	you through a few documents. Perhaps if we could
3	go through P-134 in the Pillarella documents.
4	On page 3
5	MR. HOOPER: Tab 3, sir?
6	MR. WALDMAN: Tab 15, page 3.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Tab 15?
8	MR. WALDMAN: Tab 15, page 3.
9	So this is a briefing note in
10	January of 2003, and the last paragraph the
11	second last paragraph.
12	"The Syrian Vice Foreign
13	Minister informed Ambassador
14	Pillarella that according to
15	their information, Arar does
16	not wish to return to Canada
17	
18	CSIS had discussions with
19	the Syrian authorities
20	concerning Arar. The
21	informed the Ambassador that,
22	according to his information,
23	CSIS had indicated to Syrian
24	military intelligence that
25	they have no wish to see

1	Mr. Arar return"
2	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
3	MR. WALDMAN: So we've already
4	taken you to P-99 I just want to ask you I'm
5	just going to take you through the body of
6	evidence and then I'm going to ask you for some
7	comments.
8	That was the Catterall
9	conversation with the Syrian Ambassador that
10	occurred in March of 2003. You've already looked
11	at that document?
12	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
13	MR. WALDMAN: So you're aware that
14	in March of 2003, the indication was that the
15	Ambassador raised with Ms Catterall this belief
16	that CSIS did not want Mr. Arar back.
17	MR. HOOPER: That initially he had
18	formed that belief.
19	MR. WALDMAN: Well, I think there
20	is several interpretations to that document, but
21	we'll leave that one.
22	If I could ask you to go to P-117
23	in the Easter documents, volume 1, tab 23.
24	Pause
25	MR. HOOPER: P-17?

StenoTran

1	MR. WALDMAN: Tab 23.
2	Mr. Cavalluzzo took you to this.
3	MR. HOOPER: I'll need volume 1.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, volume 1.
5	MR. WALDMAN: There's only one
6	Volume. P-117 oh, volume 1, tab 23.
7	MR. HOOPER: Could you repeat the
8	reference, please, Mr. Waldman.
9	MR. WALDMAN: P-117, tab 23.
10	Volume 1, tab 23.
11	MR. HOOPER: I have it.
12	MR. WALDMAN: Last paragraph:
13	"There is not sufficient
14	evidence against Arar for him
15	to be charged him with
16	anything in Canada. CSIS has
17	made it clear to the
18	Department that they would
19	prefer to have him remain in
20	Syria, rather than return to
21	Canada. CSIS officials do
22	not seem to understand that,
23	guilty or innocent,
24	Maher Arar has the right to
25	consular assistance"

1	Then I would like you to go to
2	Senator De Bané's notes, P-229.
3	MR. HOOPER: Will I be expected,
4	Mr. Waldman, to comment on these individually,
5	because I would rather do this one at a time
6	rather than remember all the references I'm
7	getting right now.
8	MR. WALDMAN: You have already
9	commented on most of these. The ones you haven't
10	commented on, if you want to comment on them, you
11	will. But I think these have already been taken
12	to you.
13	MR. HOOPER: Then, if I may, my
14	comments made in examination-in-chief to
15	Mr. Cavalluzzo in respect of these references, I
16	stand by them.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: I think, in
18	fairness, I take that to be the case.
19	MR. WALDMAN: Yes, I assumed that.
20	If you could go to P-229, this is
21	Senator De Bané's notes.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: 229?
23	MR. WALDMAN: Right. Page 19.
24	This is a briefing that took place
) E	in Tules of 2002

1	I know that Mr. Pardy was there.
2	I can't remember Mr. Pardy's evidence. I know
3	that there were quite a few people there. I don't
4	remember if there was anyone from CSIS or not at
5	the briefing.
6	MR. HOOPER: Do you mind it's
7	difficult reading other people's notes. These are
8	in French, so I might want to take a little bit
9	more time.
LO	MR. WALDMAN: It's the second
L1	reference. It's the only one that mentions CSIS.
L2	"aurait dit aux Syriens : «
L3	Gardez-le. »
L4	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
L5	MR. WALDMAN: CSIS had said to the
L6	Syrians, "Keep him."
L7	So at a briefing in July of 2003,
L8	Senator De Bané, who is on his way to go to Syria,
L9	as the Prime Ministerial envoy with a letter, is
20	being briefed by DFAIT and he Is being told that
21	CSIS told the Syrians, "Keep him."
22	You weren't taken to this. I
23	don't know if you have anything you want to say on
24	this.
25	MR. HOOPER: I've never seen this

StenoTran

1	document before. This was in July of 2003, prior
2	to the visit by Mr. De Bané?
3	MR. WALDMAN: Senator De Bané.
4	What I'm trying to suggest to you
5	sir, is that, in July of 2003, at a briefing
6	Senator De Bané is being told that that is the
7	CSIS position.
8	MR. HOOPER: From the notes, yes.
9	MR. WALDMAN: Now, if I could ask
10	you to go to P-237
11	MS McISAAC: I think the witness
12	was going to ask if Senator De Bané had explained
13	what his notes meant because it's not clear. I
14	mean, the notes are, as we know, not always
15	reflective completely of what the evidence might
16	have been.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: What was the
18	tab number?
19	MR. WALDMAN: No, it's
20	THE COMMISSIONER: I've got it.
21	MR. WALDMAN: P-229. I think if
22	one looks at Senator De Bané's evidence, that is
23	what he said that is what he was told.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: I can't recall
25	what the Senator said about that.

1	MR. WALDMAN: I'm pretty sure. He
2	took us to page 19 of his notes, and he said that
3	the two things on page 19 that struck him were
4	that the statement about the RCMP and the
5	statement about CSIS.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
7	MR. WALDMAN: I'm pretty sure of
8	that.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Carry on.
LO	MR. WALDMAN: Now, if we could go
L1	to P-237, tab 3, this is a July 30th, 2003 memo,
L2	sir.
L3	MR. HOOPER: I don't think
L4	Mr. Cavalluzzo took me to this one, so I may have
L5	comments with respect to it.
L6	MR. WALDMAN: The relevant section
L7	is paragraph 5, and this is signed by the Director
L8	of the Foreign Intelligence Division of ISI.
L9	"It is curious in the media
20	today that none of the
21	approbium for passing
22	intelligence about Arar to
23	the Americans has fallen on
24	CSIS. The Syrians have
25	maintained that a senior CSIS

1	officer told them we did not
2	want Arar back in Canada -
3	although the CSIS officer
4	denies he said that. Another
5	senior CSIS officer told MKM
6	that DFAIT should curtail its
7	efforts to have Arar
8	released, as it was CSIS's
9	preference that Arar not
10	return."
11	I don't want to go into the
12	particulars. I just wanted to point out to you,
13	sir, that as of July 30th, 2003, the prevailing
14	view by senior officials in DFAIT was that CSIS
15	did not want Mr. Arar back.
16	MR. HOOPER: That wasn't the
17	position of the Service.
18	MR. WALDMAN: Well, I just find it
19	a bit strange, sir. I just took you to a large
20	number of documents, starting in October of 2002,
21	and going right through to July 30th, 2003, just a
22	month and a half before well, two and a half
23	months before Mr. Arar gets back, and they come
24	from a whole variety of sources, Syrian Military
25	Intelligence And you know we must not forget

1	Mr. Gould's evidence of yesterday
2	Mr. Cavalluzzo took us to that that his
3	impression was not only from a telephone call but
4	was from conversations he had with colleagues in
5	CSIS.
6	So we have General Khalil, we have
7	the Syrian Ambassador to Canada, we have Members
8	of Parliament, we have officials in ISI, officials
9	in the consular bureau, all telling us, as late as
10	July 30th, 2003, that they understood that the
11	message from CSIS was that CSIS didn't want
12	Mr. Arar back and that their preference was that
13	he stay in Syria.
14	So wouldn't you agree to me that,
15	somehow or other, this was the message that CSIS
16	managed to convey, intentionally or not?
17	MR. HOOPER: I don't want this to
18	sound flippant, but I think maybe it speaks to the
19	power of rumour over fact. Again, I testified
20	earlier this morning that as far as the Service
21	was concerned, the whole issue around whether or
22	not we wanted Mr. Arar back in Canada was dealt
23	with, and it was a case closed on January the
24	16th, 2003.
25	I was not aware, nor was the

StenoTran

1	Service aware, of any of these memoranda, any of
2	these comments until after the disclosure exercise
3	after the commencement of these proceedings. I
4	find it very troubling.
5	MR. WALDMAN: You told us, sir,
6	the purpose of your phone call was to clarify any
7	misunderstandings about this, so you must have
8	been aware that there were misunderstandings going
9	on, because you just testified that this phone
10	call was to clarify those misunderstandings.
11	MR. HOOPER: I think I
12	contextualized my answer. There are always hurt
13	feelings and misunderstandings where there are
14	difficult discussions, complex discussions,
15	protracted discussions going around an issue where
16	different departments have conflicting mandates.
17	MR. WALDMAN: Right.
18	MR. HOOPER: The purpose of my
19	phone call to Ms McCallion, an element of that was
20	to make sure she was getting the message straight
21	from the Service; the institutional position, not
22	the position that may have been conveyed in any
23	heated discussion among lower-level officials.
24	MR. WALDMAN: But there obviously
25	were lower-level officials that were conveying

1	this message to Mr. Gould
2	MR. HOOPER: I don't think that's
3	obvious at all.
4	MR. WALDMAN: Well, Mr. Gould
5	testified to that.
6	MR. HOOPER: Fine. Right.
7	MR. WALDMAN: So I want to put to
8	you something else.
9	If it's your position now that
10	this wasn't CSIS' position, can you explain to me
11	why there are so many indications in so many
12	documents that this was CSIS's position?
13	MR. HOOPER: No, I can't, and I
14	find it very troubling, actually.
15	MR. WALDMAN: Right. And can you
16	explain to me, sir, why it is that, having
17	reviewed all of the numerous documents and looking
18	very hard, I haven't been able to find one single
19	document, at least not in the public record, that
20	clearly shows the opposite to be true? There is
21	not one document anywhere that suggests to me that
22	CSIS had any interest in bringing Mr. Arar back.
23	Can you explain to me why, if that
24	was CSIS' position, there is nothing in the
25	record, not an e-mail, not a single document, to

1	show that?
2	MR. HOOPER: Yes, I can. Because
3	we have an institutional responsibility for
4	advising government on threats to the security of
5	Canada. We do not have an institutional view,
6	independent of the Department of Foreign Affairs,
7	as it relates to consular issues. That is the job
8	of the Department of Foreign Affairs.
9	To expect that there is going to
10	be a body of information floating around CSIS that
11	speaks to our institutional position on a consular
12	matter, you are not likely going to find it.
13	MR. WALDMAN: But there is a body
14	of evidence that speaks to CSIS' institutional
15	position on a consular matter suggesting the
16	opposite to be the case, a body of evidence that
17	strongly suggests that CSIS didn't want Mr. Arar
18	back.
19	And Mr. Cavalluzzo I'm not
20	going to repeat it clearly put to you all the
21	reasons why CSIS wouldn't want Mr. Arar back as an
22	institution.
23	MR. HOOPER: I think there is a
24	body of evidence that suggests the Director of
25	this Service was doing his job in providing advice

1	to his Minister.
2	MR. WALDMAN: Right, and the
3	advice that he provided to his Minister was that
4	if Mr. Arar comes back it creates problems for us
5	with the Americans; correct?
6	That is what that memo said?
7	MR. HOOPER: I think the memo said
8	that if Mr. Arar comes back, there is an American
9	dimension to the political issue around Mr. Arar.
10	MR. WALDMAN: Exactly. So if
11	Mr. Arar comes back the advice would be, if
12	Mr. Arar comes back, there are going to be
13	political problems with our relations with the
14	U.S. intelligence service.
15	That's his advice; correct?
16	MR. HOOPER: No. There is going
17	to be a political issue around it.
18	MR. WALDMAN: Around what?
19	MR. HOOPER: Around the return of
20	Mr. Arar to Canada.
21	MR. WALDMAN: Right. It's going
22	to create problems for our relationship with the
23	Americans. and indeed we know from other
24	documents that the concerns about calling the
25	inquiry was around the concerns about political

1	problems with the Americans.
2	So the Director's advice to the
3	Minister was if Mr. Arar comes back, it's going to
4	create political problems for us; correct?
5	MR. HOOPER: Sure. And we were
6	prepared to live with them. As a government, we
7	were prepared to live with those problems, as we
8	are now.
9	MR. WALDMAN: Yes, but you are
10	talking to us we are now, Mr. Arar is back.
11	But you are telling us that the
12	Minister's advice would be that there would be
13	political problems if Mr. Arar came back I
14	mean, the Director's advice to the Minister?
15	MR. HOOPER: Certainly.
16	MR. WALDMAN: Right. And you
17	already told Mr. Cavalluzzo that there would have
18	been operational problems that would arise?
19	MR. HOOPER: Did I say that?
20	MR. WALDMAN: Well, if the 11
21	people came back and
22	MR. HOOPER: That's not a problem;
23	that's a fact.
24	MR. WALDMAN: That's a fact.
25	MR. HOOPER: That's a reality

1	MR. WALDMAN: There would be
2	resource implications, I believe.
3	MR. HOOPER: Of course there would
4	be.
5	MR. WALDMAN: One other point. It
6	seems to me very, very strange, sir, and I just
7	want to confirm that it's your evidence today that
8	the Syrians the Syrian Ambassador, DFAIT,
9	Mr. Gould, other members of ISI,
LO	Mr. Heatherington, all of these people just simply
L1	misunderstood CSIS' message, all of them?
L2	This was just a matter of all of
L3	these different people from all these different
L4	departments and all these different indeed,
L5	foreign officials, it was just a simple
L6	misunderstanding that all these different separate
L7	entities had with respect to your position?
L8	That is your evidence?
L9	MR. HOOPER: That's my evidence,
20	and further, that I certainly wish somebody would
21	have asked us to clarify that. But none of these
22	people ever came over and said, "We understand
23	this to be the institutional position of CSIS.
24	There it is. It's out there. This is what we
25	believe. What is your position?"

1	There is no reference to that ever
2	being asked of my organization.
3	MR. WALDMAN: Can I just have one
4	second, Mr. Commissioner?
5	Pause
6	MR. WALDMAN: We have gone about
7	an hour and a quarter and I'm about halfway done.
8	We want to find this one document. Would it be
9	all right if we took a break now and I continued?
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Could we make
11	it you are going to be another hour and 15
12	minutes?
13	MR. WALDMAN: I'm halfway through
14	my notes.
15	Oh, we found it.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Why don't we
17	carry on if you have what you need.
18	MR. WALDMAN: Could I ask you to
19	go to P-117, volume 2.
20	I just want to be clear before we
21	go there. Your evidence is, "boy, I wish somebody
22	would have told me"; right?
23	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
24	MR. WALDMAN: Why don't we look at
25	P-117, volume 2, tab 75, tab 5. Then I'm going to

1	put to you, sir, that somebody told you. It was
2	right there in front of you in black and white.
3	If I could ask you to go to the
4	transmittal slip, sir, this is a June 5th Pardy
5	memo, where Mr. Pardy is talking about CSIS' role
6	and their lack of cooperation, and it's clearly
7	stated on this transmittal slip that the June 5th
8	memo went to CSIS. Consultation?
9	MR. HOOPER: No. No, sir. If I
10	might? I can't speak for how things are
11	transmitted in the Department of Foreign Affairs,
12	but they work the same as in CSIS, from what I can
13	see.
14	There are people that get this
15	memo, and there are people who are consulted in
16	the development of this memo. We were consulted
17	in the preparation of this memorandum, from what I
18	can see, or with the letter that was attached to
19	the memorandum.
20	MR. WALDMAN: All right. Well, we
21	will come back to that, sir, because I would
22	suggest to you that there is evidence on the
23	record that CSIS had that memo, and we will have
24	to come back to it.
25	On October 7th, the Service

1	learned about A-OCANADA's request to interview
2	Mr. Arar in the United States.
3	Is that correct?
4	MR. HOOPER: Run that by me again,
5	please, Mr. Waldman.
6	MR. WALDMAN: On October 7th, the
7	Service learned about A-OCANADA's request to allow
8	investigators access to Mr. Arar. That's the
9	evidence, I think.
10	MR. HOOPER: Could you bring me to
11	a document that speaks to that? I just want to be
12	careful before I answer.
13	MR. WALDMAN: It's the CSIS
14	summary, paragraph 17.
15	"October 7th. The RCMP
16	provided CSIS with a report
17	that stated that Project
18	A-OCANADA submitted a request
19	through channels to allow
20	investigators access to Maher
21	Arar to conduct an
22	interview."
23	MR. HOOPER: Agreed.
24	MR. WALDMAN: So that's in the
25	CSIS summary.

1	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
2	MR. WALDMAN: Okay. Did CSIS have
3	any concerns about this request?
4	MR. HOOPER: About the RCMP
5	request to interview Mr. Arar
6	MR. WALDMAN: Mr. Arar while he
7	was in the United States?
8	MR. HOOPER: In New York?
9	MR. WALDMAN: Yes.
10	MR. HOOPER: We didn't have a
11	position on it.
12	MR. WALDMAN: And you are aware
13	that the RCMP had sought to interview Mr. Arar in
14	January of 2002, and he wanted to have a lawyer
15	present and that's why the interview didn't take
16	place?
17	MR. HOOPER: I wasn't aware of
18	that at the time. I'm aware of it now.
19	MR. WALDMAN: So do you have any
20	concerns about the CSIS the RCMP requesting
21	an interview with Mr. Arar while he was in New
22	York given what had happened in Canada previously?
23	MR. HOOPER: No. Didn't have a
24	position on it.
25	MR. WALDMAN: I want to go on to

1	Mr. Arar's statement.
2	We've heard a lot of evidence
3	about the need to conduct reliability assessments
4	on documents; right?
5	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
6	MR. WALDMAN: And Ambassador
7	Pillarella brought back the document in Arabic,
8	ISI gave it to CSIS, the CSIS liaison to DFAIT.
9	It was translated and it was brought back and it
10	was discussed at a meeting.
11	MR. HOOPER: That's right.
12	MR. WALDMAN: Right. You are
13	aware of that?
14	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
15	MR. WALDMAN: And then there is
16	the memo that I think you have been taken to if
17	not, it's P-134, tab 9, if you want to look at it
18	if necessary in which they say:
19	"CSIS made no comments about
20	the credibility of the
21	document that said even if
22	true was not necessarily
23	damning evidence against
24	him."
25	MR. HOOPER: Correct.

1	MR. WALDMAN: And that's an
2	accurate reflection of what CSIS' position is with
3	respect to that first statement; correct?
4	MR. HOOPER: That's correct.
5	MR. WALDMAN: So that would mean
6	that CSIS viewed the document with suspicion, and
7	also that there wasn't enough evidence to reach
8	any conclusions. Is that fair?
9	MR. HOOPER: That's our position,
10	yes.
11	MR. WALDMAN: So would it be fair
12	to say that CSIS viewed the document with
13	suspicion because it came from a country with a
14	poor human rights record and possibly could have
15	been extracted as a result of abuse?
16	MR. HOOPER: That's a thing that
17	you have to have in the back of your mind when you
18	are assessing the reliability of a document.
19	MR. WALDMAN: And at this point
20	you would also have been privy to some of the
21	consular reports on Mr. Arar. I believe there is
22	evidence that the first consular visit, P-134, tab
23	3
24	MR. HOOPER: I think we had access
25	to one consular report by that time.

1	MR. WALDMAN: We didn't have
2	access. Perhaps I could ask you to
3	Could you see if you recall seeing
4	this document, P-134, tab 3.
5	MR. HOOPER: Tab number,
6	Mr. Waldman?
7	MR. WALDMAN: Three.
8	Pause
9	MR. WALDMAN: Is that one of the
10	consular documents you had access to?
11	MR. HOOPER: If I may take a
12	moment, please?
13	MR. WALDMAN: Please.
14	Pause
15	MR. HOOPER: Could I consult with
16	counsel? I'm more familiar with if there
17	were
18	MS McISAAC: I believe the
19	information is that this one was not provided, but
20	I'm not
21	MR. CAVALLUZZO: To be fair to the
22	witness, our information is that CSIS had two
23	consular reports, one January the 7th of 2003, the
24	other being April 22nd, which was the
25	Assadourian-Catterall report.

1	I didn't bring the witness to
2	those documents; I just summarized them for him.
3	So the fact that he is looking at this document
4	now with some question, you know, doesn't cast any
5	doubt on his testimony. It's just that I didn't
6	bring him to them.
7	It is our information there are
8	only two, January 7th of 2003 and April 22nd of
9	2003.
LO	MR. HOOPER: The reason I asked
L1	the question, Mr. Commissioner, is that this one
L2	is not familiar to me, its contents, so I have to
L3	conclude that it's not one that the Service
L4	received.
L5	MR. WALDMAN: If you haven't seen
L6	it, then I'm going to move on to another area.
L7	MR. HOOPER: Okay.
L8	MR. WALDMAN: So I think you would
L9	agree with me, Mr. Hooper, that it is important
20	for CSIS to have an understanding of how foreign
21	intelligence agencies work; correct?
22	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
23	MR. WALDMAN: And you have to have
24	an understanding of who is in charge of the
25	agency, the structure of the agency as well,

1	correct, in order to be able to evaluate the
2	reliability of the information obtained,
3	et cetera?
4	MR. HOOPER: Not so much the
5	reliability of the information; the ability to
6	interact effectively. It is nice to know how they
7	are organized and it's good to know who is in
8	charge. I don't know that that's particularly
9	helpful in assessing the information that comes
10	from that agency.
11	MR. WALDMAN: Right. And that you
12	need to have some understanding of the
13	relationship between the intelligence agency and
14	the government as well. That would be important
15	for you to be able to establish a working
16	relationship?
17	MR. HOOPER: That's one of the
18	elements.
19	MR. WALDMAN: And you would also
20	want to know about the methods of the foreign
21	intelligence agency in terms of how they obtain
22	information, gather information, before you make a
23	decision of how you are going to work with them;
24	correct?
25	MR. HOOPER: Absolutely.

1	MR. WALDMAN: And one of the
2	things you would want to know is whether or not a
3	foreign intelligence agency engages in abuses and
4	torture and things like that before you engage
5	them; correct?
6	MR. HOOPER: I think I've
7	testified that that is an essential ingredient in
8	assessing before we ever engage in a relationship.
9	MR. WALDMAN: And I would suggest
10	to you that it's important for at least two
11	reasons, and you might be able to think of
12	others I'm sure you will. But the two reasons
13	that come to my mind is it would be important in
14	terms of your ability to assess the reliability of
15	the information that you got, and it would also be
16	very important in terms of assessing the extent to
17	which you could share information?
18	MR. HOOPER: Those are very good
19	reasons, yes.
20	MR. WALDMAN: I would like to take
21	you to I'm just going to read to you a very
22	brief bit of Mr. Elcock's evidence. It's at page
23	289 of the transcript.
24	In response to a question that I
25	raised, which was as follows I just want to see

1	if you are in agreement. I assume you are.
2	"In a regime that there was a
3	reasonable suspicion of
4	torture, if I understand you
5	correctly, you told us that
6	if there was a very high
7	national security risk, you
8	would share information with
9	a regime that you suspect of
10	torture because the balance
11	would be in favour of sharing
12	the information in a very
13	exceptional case?"
14	And Mr. Elcock answers:
15	"It would have to be an
16	absolutely extraordinary
17	case. As an example,
18	conceivably if information
19	that tomorrow a bomb was
20	going off in a major capital
21	of a country that uses
22	torture and I had information
23	about the identity of the
24	bomber or we as a service had
25	information about the

1	identity of the bomber, the
2	likelihood that the operation
3	would take place should we
4	not provide the information
5	to that service."
6	So Mr. Elcock's evidence was that
7	when it comes to sharing information with a
8	foreign intelligence agency that engages in
9	torture, it would only happen in exceptional
10	circumstances.
11	Do you agree with that?
12	MR. HOOPER: Yes, sir.
13	MR. WALDMAN: Right. And that
14	would be even more true if we were talking about
15	sharing of information with respect to Canadians
16	that are in detention in that foreign agency where
17	there might be a risk of torture?
18	MR. HOOPER: Yes, sir.
19	MR. WALDMAN: So if we go to
20	November 2002, CSIS is about to meet with military
21	<pre>intelligence; correct?</pre>
22	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
23	MR. WALDMAN: And we know that
24	they had some kind of prior relationship. You may
25	not want to confirm that, but whatever.

1	Are you claiming National Security
2	Confidentiality over the fact that you might have
3	had contacts with the Syrians before?
4	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
5	MR. WALDMAN: So we don't know
6	that.
7	And I would suggest to you that
8	you would have expected that CSIS agents would
9	have done their homework and would have a thorough
10	understanding of how Syrian Military Intelligence
11	operates before they went there; correct?
12	MR. HOOPER: I was confident that
13	the CSIS delegation knew those features, yes.
14	MR. WALDMAN: And you would know
15	that the Syrian Military Intelligence prefers to
16	deal with intelligence agencies and not with law
17	enforcement agencies; correct?
18	MR. HOOPER: They have that
19	preference, yes.
20	MR. WALDMAN: And you know that
21	the military intelligence is second-in-command to
22	the President when it comes to detaining prisoners
23	and that they are very much involved in decisions
24	around what people get detained and when they get
25	released?

1	MR. HOOPER: The intelligence
2	services?
3	MR. WALDMAN: Yes.
4	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
5	MR. WALDMAN: And your agents
6	would have, I assume, had an understanding of the
7	methods used by the military intelligence,
8	including the fact they use coercive methods,
9	interrogations, et cetera?
10	MR. HOOPER: We had read that.
11	MR. WALDMAN: Yes. Perhaps I
12	could ask you to go to P-192.
13	Pause
14	MR. WALDMAN: In the third
15	paragraph, the one that starts "Subject advised",
16	this is the El Maati consular note. Have you ever
17	had the occasion of seeing this?
18	MR. HOOPER: No.
19	MR. WALDMAN: Well, we know it was
20	discussed at a meeting involving Department of
21	Justice, RCMP, A-OCANADA, DFAIT, and CSIS, so some
22	of your officials were aware of this.
23	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
24	MR. WALDMAN: And in the
25	information it says:

1	"During his 2-1/2 months of
2	detention in Syria, subject
3	advises that he was beaten
4	(feet/legs) and tortured
5	(electric shock) and forced
6	to give false information.
7	When asked what false
8	information, subject advised
9	that he would only discuss
LO	with CSIS."
L1	So it's fair to say that your
L2	operatives would have been aware of this
L3	allegation, of the statement by Mr. El Maati that
L4	when he was detained in Syria he had been beaten
L5	and tortured with electric shocks because this
L6	note had been provided to CSIS?
L7	MR. HOOPER: I have to make that
L8	presumption.
L9	MS McISAAC: I think the witness
20	can confirm what he knew about Mr. El Maati's
21	circumstances, but I don't know that we are
22	confirming the extent to which information such as
23	this might have been received by the Service in
24	respect of Mr. El Maati from Foreign Affairs.
25	MR. WALDMAN: Well. I think. with

1	all respect, Mr. Commissioner, the evidence is
2	that this memo was distributed at a meeting, and
3	CSIS officials were no? It was discussed at a
4	meeting where CSIS officials and RCMP and
5	A-OCANADA were present.
6	Were you aware of the allegations
7	the statements that Mr. El Maati made that he had
8	been tortured in Syria?
9	MR. HOOPER: I'm aware of them
10	now. I can't recall when I was made aware of
11	them.
12	MR. WALDMAN: Now, if some
13	official in CSIS had attended this August meeting
14	and had seen and discussed this memo that dealt
15	with treatment in Syria, would you not have
16	expected that your officials and your delegation
17	would have been apprised of this before they went?
18	Wouldn't that have been normal protocol?
19	MR. HOOPER: Can you just give me
20	a moment, Mr. Waldman?
21	MR. WALDMAN: Sure.
22	Pause
23	MR. HOOPER: I'm trying to find a
24	reference where this was discussed at a meeting
25	involving CSIS and A-OCANADA personnel.

1	MR. WALDMAN: Well, we can give
2	you the reference. It has come out in the
3	evidence that the memo was received, it was
4	forwarded to the RCMP no, the RCMP was advised
5	about it and an urgent meeting was convoked in
6	which representatives from DOJ, CSIS, A-OCANADA
7	all attended and there are references to that and
8	there are reference to that effect.
9	MR. HOOPER: Could you bring it to
LO	me, please, or refer me to it?
L1	MS McISAAC: I think if
L2	Mr. Waldman could do that, we could bring some
L3	precision to what the witness can and can't say.
L4	MR. WALDMAN: P-211, redacted
L5	personal notes of Rick Flewelling, page 28.
L6	THE COMMISSIONER: Page 20?
L7	MR. WALDMAN: Twenty-eight. I
L8	think Ms Pastyr-Lupul also testified to that
L9	effect, but it is here in black and white.
20	Here it says under the heading
21	"10:30:"
22	"Meeting with PCO, DFAIT,
23	CSIS, and RCMP, Myra,
24	consular affairs, DFAIT."
25	Now there is the reference to the

meeting and who was present, and Ms Pastyr-Lupul
testified that it was at this meeting that she
discussed this memorandum.
THE COMMISSIONER: And that was at
the August 15th meeting.
MR. WALDMAN: Right.
THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
MR. WALDMAN: So the evidence
before you is that there was a meeting that the
RCMP, Sergeant Flewelling or at that time
Corporal Flewelling attended, and the
representatives of CSIS and DFAIT there, and Ms
Lupul's evidence was with respect to that meeting,
she mentioned that when she was there this memo
was present. She saw that RCMP had it, and it was
discussed.
MR. HOOPER: I'm not trying to be
difficult here; I'm trying to be helpful. But if
there's testimony of Ms Lupul that I can be taken
to because I'm not making the connection. I think
for me to make the connection between Sergeant
Flewelling's notes, this memorandum and the
testimony, I really do need to see the testimony.
MR. WALDMAN: I can get it. It's
going to take me five minutes to find it.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Why don't we
2	take the break? How long? Is ten minutes
3	sufficient for you?
4	MR. WALDMAN: Yes. I'm almost
5	two-thirds done.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. We
7	will break for ten minutes.
8	THE REGISTRAR: Please stand.
9	Upon recessing at 3:12 p.m. /
10	Suspension à 15 h 12
11	Upon resuming at 3:24 p.m. /
12	Reprise à 15 h 24
13	MR. WALDMAN: Mr. Commissioner, at
14	the break, Ms McIsaac was very kind to say to me
15	that, if the issue was whether CSIS was aware of
16	Mr. El Maati's allegations set out in August
17	of 2002, the answer is yes. So we don't have to
18	go we saved ourselves a lot of time.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Great. Okay.
20	Thank you.
21	MR. WALDMAN: I understand that
22	that's the case.
23	I just want to I was sort of in
24	the middle I'm going to go back and just set
25	take us to that.

1	I just want to confirm that the
2	position that Mr. Elcock took that you agree with
3	is that CSIS would only share information in the
4	case of a with a regime that violates human
5	rights, especially if there are Canadian citizens
6	detained there, about those same Canadian
7	citizens, if they were an imminent threat?
8	MR. HOOPER: I think he said
9	"under extraordinary circumstances."
10	MR. WALDMAN: Under
11	extraordinary like, the example he gave, if a
12	bomb was about to blow up and they knew the
13	identity of the bomber.
14	Is that fair?
15	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
16	MR. WALDMAN: And you would
17	agree
18	MR. HOOPER: I agree with
19	Mr. Elcock.
20	MR. WALDMAN: I'm glad to hear
21	that.
22	MR. HOOPER: Even though he's no
23	longer the Director.
24	MR. WALDMAN: You still agree with
25	him?

1	MR. HOOPER: I still agree with
2	him.
3	MR. WALDMAN: So the CSIS agents
4	knew about the how the Syrian Military
5	Intelligence operated, they knew about the
6	structure and they also knew about the human
7	rights situation, and they were aware of this
8	allegation of Mr. El Maati?
9	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
10	MR. WALDMAN: Could I ask you to
11	go to P-237, tab 5, page 7? This is the new
12	chronology, and if I could ask you to go to
13	16/12/02 on page 7 of tab 5? Have you found that?
14	MR. HOOPER: Yes. Just for
15	precision, this is the Foreign Affairs chronology?
16	MR. WALDMAN: Right. And there's
17	other references, but Mr. Gould was taken to this
18	reference yesterday and confirmed that the
19	information here was correct, and it says:
20	"In response to a request for
21	a debriefing on CSIS' visit
22	to Damascus, CSIS provides a
23	report summarizing what
24	appears to be information
25	provided to Syrian Military

1	Intelligence by Arar under
2	interrogation." (As read)
3	And Mr. Gould was asked
4	specifically about this yesterday, and he said, to
5	his knowledge, this was accurate.
6	MR. HOOPER: Let me just quickly
7	read this, please.
8	Pause
9	MR. HOOPER: That's correct.
10	MR. WALDMAN: So that we know,
11	then, that information was obtained by CSIS and
12	the debriefing was given.
13	MR. HOOPER: And the debriefing
14	of
15	MR. WALDMAN: Of the information
16	obtained was given to DFAIT?
17	MR. HOOPER: That's correct.
18	MR. WALDMAN: Now, I just want to
19	take you to a few documents. Can I ask you to go
20	to exhibit P-134, tab 8.
21	Pause
22	MR. HOOPER: Tab 8?
23	MR. WALDMAN: Right.
24	So if you go to the bottom, it
25	says "SECRET", the very last:

1	"For your personal
2	information, following a
3	meeting with DMA of 18
4	November, senior DFAIT
5	representatives asked CSIS to
6	delay their visit to Syria.
7	While CSIS indicated that
8	they would not attempt to
9	visit Mr. Arar, they still
10	intended to continue with the
11	planned visit PCO chose
12	not to intervene in the
13	debate, so unless the
14	Minister intends to block
15	this visit, CSIS will arrive
16	in Damascus on schedule."
17	Now, this is sent by ISI to
18	Mr. Pillarella, Head of Mission.
19	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
20	MR. WALDMAN: Then if you go up,
21	it says:
22	"You will remember that at an
23	interdepartmental meeting
24	held on Wednesday, November
25	6, it was agreed that it

1		would be useful if CSIS were
2		to travel to Syria to speak
3		to Syrian authorities"
4		MR. HOOPER: Yes.
5		MR. WALDMAN:
6		"In light of recent media
7		reporting on Arar, we had
8		doubts about the timeliness
9		of the CSIS visit, although
10		not about its substance."
11		Okay. And then in the next
12	paragraph:	
13		"We have consulted with
14		CSIS We have been
15		informed that the (blank).
16		The purpose of the visit is
17		to consult with Syrian
18		intelligence and take
19		advantage of their knowledge
20		on Al-Qaida and terrorist
21		threats. CSIS is not
22		visiting Arar, but given the
23		high profile of the issue in
24		Canada, we suggest below
25		press lines in the unlikely

1	event that there will be
2	press coverage"
3	And then they suggest press lines
4	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
5	MR. WALDMAN: So would you agree
6	with me that based upon this e-mail, the purpose
7	of the trip to Syria was to exchange information
8	and there was no indication of any imminent
9	threat?
LO	MR. HOOPER: We were there to
L1	elicit information, not to exchange information.
L2	MR. WALDMAN: Elicit, right.
L3	Elicit information. But there's no indication of
L4	any imminent threat in any of this?
L5	MR. HOOPER: In the e-mail?
L6	MR. WALDMAN: Right.
L7	MR. HOOPER: The e-mail does not
L8	speak to an imminent threat.
L9	MR. WALDMAN: Right. And the
20	suggestion is that the purpose of the trip is to
21	consult and to elicit information from the
22	Syrians.
23	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
24	MR. WALDMAN: Well, I think they
25	use the word "exchange," but

1	And we also know that the two
2	targets of the investigation, A-OCANADA
3	investigation, Mr. Almalki and Mr. El Maati, were
4	both in detention in November of 2002, as was
5	Mr. Arar. Mr. Almalki and Mr. Arar were in Syria
6	at the time and Mr. El Maati was in Egypt.
7	MR. HOOPER: That's correct.
8	MR. WALDMAN: So in terms of these
9	three individuals, I think you would agree with
LO	me, they weren't an imminent threat to Canada's
L1	national security or to anybody's national
L2	security if they're sitting in 3-by-6-by-7 cells
L3	in Syria or Egypt?
L4	MR. HOOPER: I think I have to
L5	bring clarity to that.
L6	The purpose of our visit, and I
L7	think it let me just find the reference here.
L8	"The purpose of the visit is
L9	to consult with Syrian
20	intelligence and take
21	advantage of their knowledge
22	on Al-Qaida and terrorist
23	threats."
24	This was quite apart from anything
25	to do with Mr. Arar and Mr. Almalki and Mr. El

1	Maati
2	MR. WALDMAN: Yes, I understand
3	that. But there was no imminent threats. You
4	were getting general information. It wasn't that
5	there was you knew about a bomber, you wanted
6	to find out about a bomber that was about to set a
7	bomb up in Canada.
8	What I'm trying to suggest to you,
9	sir, is that there was nothing about the visit
10	that was dealing with imminent threats. There was
11	no suggestion that there was some bomber who was
12	about to put a bomb blow up a bomb in Ottawa
13	because the two main targets of the A-OCANADA
14	sleeper cell were sitting in jail in Syria and
15	Egypt and so that there was no this was a
16	visit to get information. But there was no
17	evidence of an imminent threat at that time.
18	MR. HOOPER: I think I need to
19	speak to that, because if you put that around the
20	context of the times and certainly the
21	geopolitical situation of Syria, I mean, it was
22	evident that there was going to be an incursion
23	into Iraq; and given Syria's situation, we
24	expected that it would be used as an infiltration
25	and exfiltration route for jihadis.

1	At that time, we also in Canada
2	had and OCanada and A-OCANADA were
3	manifestations of that we had a number of
4	targets of interest who we believed were serious
5	and imminent threats to the security of Canada.
6	So to say that there was no
7	imminent or serious threat at play around the time
8	of this visit isn't strictly correct. But if you
9	bring it I do take your point, that if you take
10	it back to Mr. Elcock's comment about there's
11	nothing of the quality of a bomb that's going to
12	go off at the Union Station at five o'clock on
13	Friday afternoon on November 27th. I think that's
14	a qualitative difference.
15	MR. WALDMAN: Right. So there
16	would be nothing in the information that you had
17	at that time that would have justified you, CSIS,
18	sharing information with a regime that you had
19	reasonable grounds to believe in torture,
20	especially in light of Mr. El
21	Maati's allegations
22	MR. HOOPER: Again, we weren't
23	sharing information, they were receiving
24	information
25	MR. WALDMAN: I'm understanding

1	that.
2	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
3	MR. WALDMAN: But there would have
4	been nothing that would have justified sharing
5	information based upon Mr. Elcock's definition of
6	"imminent threat"?
7	MS McISAAC: I think perhaps if we
8	established that we didn't share any information,
9	I would prefer, for national security grounds, we
10	don't keep talking about whether there was some
11	threat out there or not or some reason why it
12	might be appropriate to share information.
13	I think he's testified they did
14	not share information. Couldn't we leave it at
15	that?
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Does that is
17	that the point you wish to make?
18	MR. WALDMAN: So that the evidence
19	is that no evidence was shared with the Syrian
20	Military Intelligence?
21	MR. HOOPER: You used the word
22	"evidence" again, Mr. Waldman. No information was
23	shared
24	MR. WALDMAN: Now we're at a
25	hearing. The evidence that you're giving today

1	sir, is
2	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
3	No. We were there in receive
4	mode. We were there to receive information, not
5	to exchange it.
6	MR. WALDMAN: Right. Would you
7	agree with me that so that this is dealing
8	with the November visit.
9	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
10	MR. WALDMAN: So I don't take it
11	that the admission in the statement goes beyond
12	the November visit then in terms of, you never
13	shared did you ever share information about
14	Mr. Arar with Syria?
15	MR. HOOPER: I won't answer that
16	question in public testimony.
17	MR. WALDMAN: Am I right to say
18	that if you based upon what Mr. Elcock said, if
19	you were to have shared information about Mr. El
20	Maati, Mr. Almalki, or Mr. Arar, given
21	Mr. Elcock's definition, it would have had to have
22	come in the context of an imminent threat.
23	Correct?
24	MR. HOOPER: Mr. Elcock gave a
25	description of one imminent threat.

1	MR. WALDMAN: Right.
2	MR. HOOPER: Of a hypothetical
3	event.
4	MR. WALDMAN: Right. But if, at
5	any point, CSIS and I know we're not going to
6	find out here in the public hearings today
7	whether CSIS did or did not, but I want it clearly
8	stated on the record. The only circumstance in
9	which CSIS would have properly shared information
10	about any Canadian citizen detained in Syria would
11	have been if there was an assessment that there
12	was an imminent threat?
13	MR. HOOPER: Yes. "Imminent
14	threat" could take a number of different forms.
15	MR. WALDMAN: That's fine.
16	So the evidence with respect to
17	Inspector Cabana and the evidence that was given
18	by I'm trying to remember Sergeant
19	Lauzon? was that in the furtherance that
20	they would have had no difficulty in sharing
21	information with Syria about their investigation.
22	I take it that, from CSIS's point of view, that
23	would have been that's problematic?
24	MR. HOOPER: What the RCMP does or
25	intends to do is the RCMP's business. It's not

1	what we would do. So it's not a question of
2	problematic or not. It's just they have
3	MR. WALDMAN: The RCMP would not
4	share information with a regime that engages in
5	torture unless there was an imminent threat
6	CSIS, sorry. I'm sorry. I meant to say CSIS and
7	I said the RCMP. Sorry, I'm tired. It's a long
8	day.
9	CSIS would not share information
LO	with a regime that engages in torture except in an
L1	imminent threat?
L2	MR. HOOPER: In the context of an
L3	imminent threat, yes, a serious threat.
L4	MR. WALDMAN: Okay. Thank you.
L5	Just give me one second. I just
L6	want to check my notes.
L7	Pause
L8	MR. WALDMAN: Sorry, given his
L9	evidence, I'm just trying to go through my notes
20	and see what I can skip.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Take your time.
22	Pause
23	MR. WALDMAN: Now, we're now at
24	the CSIS officers going to Syria. They're in
25	Syria. We have two Canadians sitting in jail,

1	Mr. Almalki and Mr. El Maati Mr. Almalki and
2	Mr. Arar, sorry. And I would posit that you must
3	have expected that Mr. Arar's and Mr. Almalki's
4	names would have come up during the course of
5	conversations? It's reasonable to assume.
6	Correct?
7	MR. HOOPER: Yes. It's reasonable
8	to assume.
9	MR. WALDMAN: That it would have
10	come up.
11	And indeed, considering the stated
12	purpose of the visit was al-Qaeda and discussing
13	Arar, and considering that Mr. Arar was deported
14	by the Americans based upon the allegation that he
15	was al-Qaeda, it was very likely that you must
16	have anticipated that his name was going to come
17	up during the course of your conversations with
18	your officials' conversations with the Syrian
19	Military Intelligence?
20	MR. HOOPER: Mr. Arar's name?
21	MR. WALDMAN: Right.
22	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
23	MR. WALDMAN: And we, in fact,
24	know that Mr. Arar was discussed.
25	Correct?

1	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
2	MR. WALDMAN: We know that. You
3	got information.
4	So could you tell us whether it
5	was CSIS that brought up Mr. Arar's name or Syria
6	MR. HOOPER: I don't know that.
7	know what instructions my people were given. If
8	the Syrians offered information, we were going to
9	receive it.
10	MR. WALDMAN: Did CSIS reveal to
11	the Syrians that Mr. Arar was part of, in some way
12	or other, a national security investigation?
13	MR. HOOPER: Not to my knowledge,
14	no.
15	MR. WALDMAN: So can you tell me,
16	did CSIS provide the Syrians with any additional
17	information concerning Mr. Arar in their
18	conversations with them?
19	MR. HOOPER: We didn't provide
20	them any information.
21	MR. WALDMAN: None at all?
22	MR. HOOPER: Any additional
23	information.
24	MR. WALDMAN: So if you didn't
25	provide any information what kind of discussion

1	could you have had about Mr. Arar? He was
2	discussed. That is what the evidence says,
3	Mr. Arar was discussed.
4	MR. HOOPER: Which evidence is
5	that, sir? If I made that statement, I qualified
6	it by saying that we were there to receive
7	information, not to elicit it or to offer it.
8	MR. WALDMAN: But you did bring
9	back information on Mr. Arar, we know that and you
10	have just confirmed that?
11	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
12	MR. WALDMAN: All right. And
13	would you not agree with me, by accepting this
14	information, the message you were giving the
15	Syrians was that you had an interest in receiving
16	information on Mr. Arar?
17	MR. HOOPER: That we had an
18	interest?
19	MR. WALDMAN: In receiving
20	information on Mr. Arar.
21	MR. HOOPER: If they offer it and
22	we take it. You can draw a conclusion, I don't
23	know what conclusion.
24	MR. WALDMAN: Would you think it
25	would have been a reasonable conclusion for the

1	Syrian Military Intelligence to come to, that
2	CSIS
3	MR. HOOPER: I think the Syrian
4	Military Intelligence service by this time had a
5	pretty strong indication from other sectors that
6	the Canadian government was interested in
7	Mr. Arar.
8	MR. WALDMAN: Right.
9	MR. HOOPER: So the long way
LO	around your question, I think it is reasonable.
L1	MR. WALDMAN: Reasonable that the
L2	Syrian Military Intelligence would think that the
L3	Canadian Intelligence Services had an interest in
L4	obtaining information on Mr. Arar?
L5	MR. HOOPER: That is a conclusion
L6	they could have drawn, yes.
L7	MR. WALDMAN: And it would be a
L8	reasonable conclusion?
L9	MR. HOOPER: I'm at the point
20	where I'm not sure what is reasonable in the mind
21	of the Syrian Military Intelligence Service any
22	more.
23	MR. WALDMAN: Did not concern you,
24	sir, that in light of Syria's abysmal human rights
25	record, and Mr. El-Maati's allegations of torture,

1	that by even signalling an interest in obtaining
2	information about Mr. Arar you might be putting
3	him at risk?
4	MR. HOOPER: Did we run that
5	danger in accepting the information?
6	MR. WALDMAN: Yes.
7	MR. HOOPER: I don't think so, no.
8	MR. WALDMAN: You don't think so?
9	MR. HOOPER: No.
10	MR. WALDMAN: You don't think that
11	by signalling to the Syrians that you would like
12	to receive information from Mr. Arar you might be
13	encouraging them to please you by giving you
14	further information?
15	MR. HOOPER: There are a lot of
16	words in there. "That we would like to receive."
17	They offered information and we received it and
18	that was kind of the beginning and end. Whatever
19	went on in the minds of the Syrian Military
20	Intelligence officials I really can't speak to.
21	MR. WALDMAN: So you don't think
22	it is a reasonable inference that a reasonable
23	person might draw that by receiving information
24	you were encouraging the Syrians to try and get
25	more information?

1	MR. HOOPER: Not in the least.
2	MR. WALDMAN: Now, I just want to
3	clarify one issue. Remember it was your evidence
4	before that you had absolutely no idea that these
5	kind of memos were going around from DFAIT?
6	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
7	MR. WALDMAN: Perhaps I could ask
8	you to go to Exhibit P-185.
9	Pause
LO	MR. WALDMAN: So this is a
L1	briefing note to the Commissioner, the RCMP
L2	Commissioner, that talks about a meeting that was
L3	held, and the meeting discussed a draft of the
L4	Pardy memo on May 12th. CSIS representatives were
L5	there, and I would suggest to you that what this
L6	Briefing Note clearly indicates is that CSIS
L7	representatives had a copy of an earlier draft of
L8	Mr. Pardy's memo, because that is what it says.
L9	"A meeting was held on 2003
20	May 12th with DFAIT, SolGen,
21	RCMP, CSIS and PCO present.
22	Discussions surrounded the
23	current state of Arar's RCMP
24	interest." (As read)
25	And:

1	"RCMP agreed that at this
2	point RCMP has the lead in
3	terms of investigating Arar.
4	Both RCMP and [so and so] are
5	aware of the opinion that
6	while there is a suspicion
7	surrounding the historical
8	activities of Arar there is
9	insufficient evidence to
LO	claim he is a member of
L1	al-Qaeda." (As read)
L2	Then it goes on in the
L3	next-to-last paragraph, it starts off:
L4	"H.G. Pardy, Director
L5	General, Consular Affairs,
L6	DFAIT, had prepared a memo
L7	for Minister addressing Arar.
L8	CSIS, the RCMP and SolGen
L9	expressed concern over some
20	of the wording in the memo."
21	(As read)
22	So I would suggest to you, sir,
23	that what this briefing note clearly indicates is
24	that CSIS had a copy of the memo.
25	Perhaps I could take you to that

1	memo, because it is the one that would be most
2	contemporaneous in time because there are a whole
3	series of different incarnations. This is at
4	tab 75, tab 3. This is the May 5th draft of
5	Mr. Pardy's memo, which is the one okay, P-117,
6	tab 75, tab 3. Volume 2. P-117, volume 2, tab
7	75, tab 3.
8	Pause
9	MR. WALDMAN: I take you to this,
10	sir, because of your evidence that you said,
11	"Well, if CSIS would have had if you would have
12	had any understanding that there was still this
13	communication problem, you know, so long after
14	January 18th, you would have taken steps to
15	clarify it.
16	What I'm suggesting to you, sir,
17	is that at least someone from CSIS attended at a
18	meeting where this draft was discussed and there
19	were requests about changes in the wording. This
20	meeting, in my submission, clearly suggests that
21	as of May 5, 2003 there is clearly indications
22	that there are people who thought that CSIS didn't
23	want Mr. Arar back.
24	Perhaps we could go to that.
25	Paragraph 8.

1	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
2	MR. WALDMAN: Paragraph 8.
3	"CSIS officials visited Syria
4	earlier this year and
5	discussed Mr. Arar with their
6	counterparts. They did not
7	meet Mr. Arar. Subsequent to
8	these discussions Syrian
9	officials informed us that
10	they were informed by CSIS
11	officials that Canada did not
12	want to have Mr. Arar
13	returned. The CSIS officials
14	denied that they had said
15	this to the Syrians."
16	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
17	MR. WALDMAN: The next page.
18	"there is [urgent} need for
19	an unambiguous statement by
20	the government of Canada,
21	preferably signed by the
22	Solicitor General and the
23	Foreign Minister, to the
24	effect that we have no
25	evidence in Canada, or from

1	foreign sources, that
2	Mr. Arar is or was a member
3	of al-Qaida, that we do not
4	believe that such information
5	exists and that Mr. Arar
6	should be permitted to return
7	to Canada."
8	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
9	MR. WALDMAN: Then it goes on to
10	say:
11	"While we will continue to
12	engage the Syrians on the
13	case, there can be little
14	expectation that they will
15	respond positively as long as
16	the American claims remain
17	unchallenged and there is a
18	denial that Canadian security
19	and police officials do not
20	want to see Mr. Arar returned
21	to Canada."
22	So what I'm putting to you, sir,
23	is that your officials had a clear indication from
24	this memo that there was still a belief that
25	Canadian security and police officials do not want

1	to see Mr. Arar returned to Canada.
2	So maybe it didn't come up the
3	train to you, but someone in CSIS was aware in May
4	of 2003, and you already told us, sir, that if you
5	had only known you would have clarified it, but
6	you didn't know.
7	So is this a communication problem
8	with CSIS?
9	MR. HOOPER: I'm looking for the
10	clear indication that there is a continued
11	misperception on the part of the Syrian
12	government or a clear understanding by the
13	Syrian government that we don't want Arar back in
14	Canada. I don't see that in the memo, unless I'm
15	misreading something. Perhaps you could direct me
16	to
17	MR. WALDMAN: I think your
18	evidence was and unfortunately we have had to
19	come back to this because I didn't have this
20	evidence before. Your evidence was that you were
21	completely unaware that there was this
22	communications problem and that there was this
23	misconception in terms of what was believed about
24	CSIS's position and that you would have cleared it
25	up?

1	MR. HOOPER: Yes, if somebody had
2	signalled that to us.
3	MR. WALDMAN: Right. What I'm
4	suggesting to you is that the signal is here.
5	MR. HOOPER: Where, Mr. Waldman?
6	MR. WALDMAN:
7	"While we will continue to
8	engage the Syrians on the
9	case, there can be little
10	expectation that they will
11	respond positively as long as
12	the American claims remain
13	unchallenged and there is a
14	denial that Canadian security
15	and police officials do not
16	want to see Mr. Arar returned
17	to Canada."
18	So the clear statement here is
19	that the "Canadian security and police officials
20	do not want to see Mr. Arar returned to Canada."
21	MR. HOOPER: Maybe it is the
22	double negative that is throwing me off here, but
23	I don't see that there is a signal to us here that
24	there is still a misunderstanding by the Syrian
25	government as to whether or not we want to see

1	Mr. Arar back in Canada. I'm not trying to be
2	obtuse, but I'm just having difficulty situating
3	your thesis against what is in that sentence
4	there.
5	MR. WALDMAN: Well, the way I read
6	the sentence, and I suppose we will have to leave
7	it up to the Commissioner to decide, is is there a
8	clear signal here in this sentence, that was
9	attended by your CSIS representative, that there
10	was still big confusion about what was the
11	position of CSIS. And Mr. Pardy says there has to
12	be an unambiguous statement by the Government of
13	Canada and there has to be a denial from the
14	security services that they don't want Mr. Arar
15	back.
16	MR. HOOPER: I'm sorry,
17	Mr. Waldman, I don't I don't read as much into
18	that I don't see that as a cry for help or a
19	cry for the
20	MR. WALDMAN: "A request for a
21	clear an unambiguous statement from the security
22	services"
23	MR. HOOPER: From the Government
24	of Canada.
25	MR. WALDMAN: It says from SolGen,

1	if I'm not mistaken?
2	MR. HOOPER: "By the government of
3	Canada, preferably signed by the Solicitor General
4	and Foreign Minister."
5	MR. WALDMAN: Well, we will leave
6	it up to
7	Now I would like to move on to
8	another area. You have already told us and I
9	am getting towards the end.
10	You have told us that CSIS has an
11	elaborate targeting process.
12	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
13	MR. WALDMAN: I think it is also
14	fair to say that at least the evidence that we
15	have is we are not going to ask you what your
16	view is, but A-OCANADA told us that Mr. Arar was
17	not a target at the beginning, that it was
18	Mr. Almalki and Mr. El-Maati. Indeed, if you look
19	at the Garvie report and I won't take you it to
20	due to time but all of the investigators that
21	were investigated said he wasn't a target, he was
22	peripheral to the investigation, that he was a
23	potential witness. That was the evidence in the
24	Garvie report.
25	MR. HOOPER: Yes.

1	MR. WALDMAN: Indeed, Inspector
2	Cabana testified that their wish was to interview
3	Mr. Arar as a potential witness. You were aware
4	of that as well?
5	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
6	MR. WALDMAN: So that was, I
7	think, a common understanding with respect to
8	Mr. Arar's status?
9	MR. HOOPER: Within the RCMP.
10	MR. WALDMAN: Right. Okay.
11	If we go on to the discussion
12	around the letter, I think it was agreed that the
13	purpose of the letter was to pressure the Syrians
14	to release Mr. Arar.
15	Correct?
16	MR. HOOPER: Correct.
17	MR. WALDMAN: This Ministerial
18	letter?
19	MR. HOOPER: Correct.
20	MR. WALDMAN: We already know that
21	the proposal put forward by the Ministers was no
22	evidence and CSIS was concerned about that
23	phrasing.
24	Correct, because you
25	MR. HOOPER: The no evidence

1	phrase?
2	MR. WALDMAN: Yes?
3	MR. HOOPER: I think that was more
4	a preoccupation of the RCMP, because that means
5	something special to the RCMP. We have no concern
6	about evidence.
7	MR. WALDMAN: So what was CSIS's
8	concern about the wording proposed by the
9	Minister, because I thought this was a joint
10	CSIS-RCMP concern.
11	MR. HOOPER: Can you take me to
12	that, Mr. Waldman?
13	MR. WALDMAN: I will have to
14	find it.
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Exhibit P-117,
16	tab 75, sub tab 9.
17	MR. WALDMAN: I have that one.
18	that is the first wording. I was looking for
19	the
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Sub tab 10.
21	MR. WALDMAN: Here, this is it.
22	Sub tab
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Sub tab 8, the
24	last page.
25	MR. WALDMAN: No. No. T was

1	worried about the draft wording that CSIS had but
2	that is at sub tab 9. This is the proposed
3	letter.
4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Sub tab 8 is the
5	"RCMP/CSIS have concerns"
6	Off microphone / Sans microphone
7	MR. WALDMAN: Right. But I wanted
8	to take him back to sub tab 8, because he said he
9	didn't have the sub tab 9 the original
10	wording. Because I thought there was agreement
11	between RCMP and CSIS about the concerns, but
12	Mr. Hooper is saying he didn't have concerns. So
13	I just want to take him to the wording, and it is
14	found at tab 9, the proposed letter that the
15	Minister wanted to sign?
16	MR. HOOPER: So we are at tab 9?
17	MR. WALDMAN: Tab 9, third page
18	in.
19	MR. HOOPER: This is the
20	MR. WALDMAN: Proposed letter that
21	was rejected?
22	MR. HOOPER: proposed letter,
23	yes.
24	MR. WALDMAN: And it says, in the
25	second paragraph:

1	"I [would] assure you that
2	the Government of Canada has
3	no evidence Mr. Arar was
4	involved in any terrorist
5	activity"
6	That was the objectionable phrase?
7	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
8	MR. WALDMAN: So CSIS didn't
9	have any objection to that phrase, it was the RCMP
10	that did?
11	MR. HOOPER: I don't know how
12	they this was floated during a consultation and
13	I don't know who said what in respect to that, but
14	I do know that this would probably be more
15	provocative to the RCMP than it would be to us.
16	MR. WALDMAN: But I'm asking,
17	because at tab 8 we have the proposed wording that
18	both you and CSIS accepted, which is
19	MR. HOOPER: Both we and the RCMP?
20	MR. WALDMAN: Both you and the
21	RCMP accepted?
22	MR. HOOPER: That is on page 1?
23	MR. WALDMAN: Page 3 of 3 of tab
24	8.
25	"Mr. Arar is currently the

1	subject of a National
2	Security Investigation in
3	Canada. Although there is
4	not sufficient evidence at
5	this time to warrant Criminal
6	code charges, he remains a
7	subject of interest."
8	That was the proposed wording and
9	Mr. Cavalluzzo already took you to that and
10	suggested it wouldn't have been particularly
11	helpful?
12	MR. HOOPER: Okay.
13	MR. WALDMAN: So I just want to
14	clarify, then, your evidence.
15	CSIS was prepared to sign off on:
16	"I [would] assure you that
17	the Government of Canada has
18	no evidence Mr. Arar was
19	involved"
20	And this was just the RCMP that
21	was objecting, or CSIS objected too?
22	MR. HOOPER: I can't say, not
23	having been there. We probably would have
24	supported the RCMP. But I can tell you that words
25	like "evidence" "subject of interest" has no

1	meaning in our lexicon. That is why I'm drawing			
2	that conclusion, but it is a conclusion.			
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Mr. Commissioner			
4	there is in-camera evidence dealing with that			
5	particular point.			
6	MR. WALDMAN: I just wanted to go			
7	on and cover one last area.			
8	Your evidence was that the primary			
9	concern with respect to the other phraseology was			
10	that the letter be accurate.			
11	Correct?			
12	MR. HOOPER: Yes.			
13	MR. WALDMAN: I just want to take			
14	you to the wording.			
15	We know that Mr. Arar wasn't a			
16	target.			
17	Right? From the RCMP point of			
18	view.			
19	MR. HOOPER: From the RCMP point			
20	of view? I can't speak to that.			
21	MR. WALDMAN: So the wording			
22	"subject of a National Security Investigation",			
23	what did that mean to you?			
24	MR. HOOPER: Where are you,			
25	Mr. Waldman? You are on			

1	MR. WALDMAN: The wording that was
2	proposed by CSIS and the RCMP.
3	MR. HOOPER: Okay. Yes?
4	MR. WALDMAN: I mean, if this is
5	an effort to be helpful, what does it mean when
6	you say someone is the "subject of a National
7	Security Investigation"?
8	I mean, I know from CSIS' point of
9	view it is meaningless, right, because you don't
10	have subjects, you have targets.
11	Correct?
12	MR. HOOPER: That's correct.
13	MR. WALDMAN: So to you "subject"
14	is a meaningless concept.
15	Right?
16	MR. HOOPER: Where this is going
17	at some point I'm going to have to indicate that
18	I I can't get into a complete discussion around
19	all of this without invoking national security
20	confidence. I have a sense of where this
21	discussion is going.
22	MR. WALDMAN: Well, I guess the
23	difficulty I have
24	MR. HOOPER: I think it puts me in
25	a position of having to say whether or not

1	Mr. Arar was a target of the Service
2	MR. WALDMAN; No, that is not
3	MR. HOOPER: to make any sense
4	of any answer I might give.
5	MR. WALDMAN: Well, you just told
6	us that "target" has one meaning and "subject"
7	doesn't have a meaning to you.
8	Is that correct?
9	MR. HOOPER: It has no meaning in
LO	our lexicon.
L1	MR. WALDMAN: Right. So to
L2	say someone was a "subject" doesn't mean anything
L3	to you?
L4	MR. HOOPER: "A person of
L5	interest" means nothing to us. A person is a
L6	"target" or he' is not.
L7	MR. WALDMAN: A person of
L8	interest, a peripheral person of interest, target,
L9	none of those things mean any nothing to you?
20	MR. HOOPER: Those are not words
21	we use in our targeting regime.
22	MR. WALDMAN: So I suppose what
23	I'm trying to understand is, why would CSIS
24	propose a wording that was particularly unhelpful
25	to Mr. Arar? I think we have all acknowledged

1	that. That was particularly it was completely
2	meaningless to CSIS?
3	MR. HOOPER: I don't know that we
4	proposed this language. I know that this was
5	let me read this here.
6	I think this was proposed in
7	consultation between the Department of Foreign
8	Affairs, ourselves and the RCMP. Whether this was
9	our proposal or the RCMP's, I can't say.
10	MR. WALDMAN: Okay.
11	Mr. Cavalluzzo covered the area of the leak.
12	Thank you. Those are my
13	questions.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very
15	much, Mr. Waldman.
16	Ms McIsaac, I guess you are
17	Pause
18	MS McISAAC: I don't have any
19	questions, sir.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
21	Mr. Shore?
22	MR. SHORE: Yes. Thank you, sir.
23	EXAMINATION
24	MR. SHORE: Just a couple of
25	matters, Mr. Hooper.

1	You indicated, sir, that you have
2	known Gar Pardy for many years.
3	MR. HOOPER: That's correct.
4	MR. SHORE: During the period,
5	say, from the middle of January to the middle of
6	May 2003, did he ever call you and ask you to
7	clarify the CSIS position with regard to Arar's
8	return
9	MR. HOOPER: No.
10	MR. SHORE: from Syria?
11	MR. HOOPER: No.
12	MR. SHORE: Or anybody else
13	MR. HOOPER: Not that I recall.
14	MR. SHORE: from his department
15	call you?
16	MR. HOOPER: No.
17	MR. SHORE: If there had been such
18	a call or such a request, what would you have done
19	with it?
20	MR. HOOPER: I probably would have
21	asked at a senior level within Foreign Affairs,
22	what are we going to do about it? Is there
23	something we can do in collaboration with you or
24	independently of you?
25	We would not take a unilateral

1	action, I can say that. We wouldn't take action
2	without consulting with the Department of Foreign
3	Affairs.
4	MR. SHORE: But if they are asking
5	you for your help, would you have been reluctant
6	to provide it?
7	MR. HOOPER: No.
8	MR. SHORE: Was there ever an
9	official CSIS position that they didn't want Arar
10	back in Canada?
11	MR. HOOPER: No.
12	MR. SHORE: Was there ever an
13	official CSIS position that they didn't want a
14	letter to go from the Canadian Foreign Minister to
15	his counterpart in Syria requesting his return?
16	MR. HOOPER: No.
17	MR. SHORE: Leading up to the
18	conversation that you had with Ms McCallion in
19	early June of 2003, let's take it a week or two
20	before that, what was your understanding with
21	regard to the proposed letter that had been
22	discussed with the various agencies that the
23	Foreign Minister was to sign?
24	MR. HOOPER: I didn't have an
25	agute awareness of the general state of play on

1	any given day, but as far as I was concerned,
2	towards the middle of May, the issue around the
3	language that was going to be used in the letter
4	from Mr. Graham, as it related to Mr. Arar's
5	status had been negotiated and was settled.
6	MR. SHORE: Where did you get that
7	information?
8	MR. HOOPER: Where did I get it?
9	MR. SHORE: Yes.
10	MR. HOOPER: There are two
11	officials, at least two officials of the Service
12	that had been involved in discussions on an
13	ongoing basis and I learned from one of them.
14	MR. SHORE: Were you content with
15	that position?
16	MR. HOOPER: With the position
17	that had been negotiated?
18	MR. SHORE: Yes.
19	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
20	MR. SHORE: In your call to
21	Ms McCallion, was there any intention on your part
22	to have that languaging changed
23	MR. HOOPER: No.
24	MR. SHORE: or not changed?
25	MR. HOOPER: No.

1	MR. SHORE: Was there any
2	discussion with Ms McCallion with regard to
3	whether or not CSIS wanted Arar to stay in Syria
4	because of resource issues?
5	MR. HOOPER: No.
6	MR. SHORE: Were resource issues
7	in fact even discussed with Ms McCallion?
8	MR. HOOPER: Not to the best of my
9	recollection, no.
10	MR. SHORE: I'm talking about
11	resources from the human perspective, human
12	resources, or financial perspective?
13	MR. HOOPER: No. No.
14	MR. SHORE: Was the discussion
15	of resources part of your purpose in calling
16	Ms McCallion?
17	MR. HOOPER: No.
18	MR. SHORE: All right, sir.
19	Those are my questions. Thank
20	you.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Cavalluzzo?
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: No questions.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Well,
24	thank you very much, Mr. Hooper. You are, as you
25	know, going to be giving evidence, tomorrow

1	morning it will be now, in camera, at 10 o'clock.
2	MR. HOOPER: Yes.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: So that we will
4	see you again in the morning, but thank you for
5	your evidence here today. It has been helpful. I
6	appreciate the time and effort you have put in to
7	preparing.
8	MR. WALDMAN: Can we just clarify
9	what's happening next week?
LO	Is Mr. Dickenson on Monday?
L1	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes,
L2	Mr. Dickenson is on at 9 o'clock Monday morning.
L3	MR. WALDMAN: Do we need to start
L4	at 9:00? It is the only witness tomorrow. I
L5	guess it's up to you.
L6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Yes, we do,
L7	because Mr. Dickenson has a personal engagement.
L8	Off microphone / Sans microphone
L9	MR. WALDMAN: Okay. Thank you.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Then it
21	is Mr. Martel on Tuesday
22	MR. WALDMAN: Tuesday and
23	Wednesday.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: and
25	Wednesday, and that will complete the evidence for

1	this inquiry.
2	MR. WALDMAN: Thank you.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: We will rise
4	now and resume public hearings at 9 o'clock Monday
5	morning.
6	THE REGISTRAR: Please stand.
7	Whereupon the hearing adjourned at 4:07 p.m.,
8	to resume on Monday, August 29, 2005, at
9	9:00 a.m. / L'audience est ajournèe à 16 h 07,
10	pour reprendre le lundi 29 août 2005 à 9 h 00
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	Lynda Johansson
24	Lynda Johansson,
25	C.S.R., R.P.R.

	1	1	1
A	adjudicative 10587:17	10848:3	allegation 10638:24 10652:16
aback 10690:4	ADM 10654:24 10695:21	affront 10666:18	10652:23 10731:21 10732:5
ability 10628:4 10800:5	10698:9 10699:10,18	Afghanistan 10616:21	10806:13 10812:8 10824:14
10801:14	10727:9 10729:5	10708:10	allegations 10703:23 10807:6
able 10747:25 10769:7	administrative 10729:22,23	aftermath 10739:12	10810:16 10819:21
10787:18 10800:1,15	10730:2 10750:23	afternoon 10819:13	10827:25
10801:11	admissible 10751:23 10752:5	agencies 10589:17 10593:16	alleged 10667:3 10669:7
abroad 10697:4 10708:8,21	10752:19 10753:2 10754:16	10594:13 10598:13	10729:11,12 10731:25
absent 10713:1	10754:24 10755:8	10615:24 10633:9 10646:3	10775:19
absolutely 10670:13 10672:8	admission 10821:11	10646:16 10688:4,22	alleges 10616:20
10676:22 10702:21 10703:9	admit 10694:17	10712:25 10713:19 10758:1	allow 10794:7,19
10704:17 10744:10	admonished 10632:11	10799:21 10804:16,17	allowed 10588:10 10747:11
10776:16 10800:25	admonition 10590:4	10848:22	allows 10677:19
10802:16 10829:4	admonitions 10589:25	agency 10594:23,25 10599:3	Almalki 10734:16 10737:1
abuse 10797:15	10639:2	10621:22 10623:3 10626:25	10740:21 10741:6,12
abuses 10801:3	ADMs 10727:17	10627:7,12,17,22 10628:6	10817:3,5,25 10821:20
abysmal 10827:24	advance 10597:9 10746:7	10688:25 10689:12	10824:1,1 10837:18
accept 10660:25 10680:14	advantage 10614:19 10815:19	10755:19,20,25 10756:1,4	Almalki's 10824:3
10689:18 10710:4 10742:1	10817:21	10756:16 10757:10 10758:4	al-Qaeda 10665:11 10824:12
10755:22 10767:2 10769:18	advice 10608:10 10671:9	10799:25,25 10800:10,13	10824:15 10830:11
acceptable 10661:20	10677:20 10679:15 10698:1	10800:21 10801:3 10803:8	Al-Qaida 10614:20 10659:18
accepted 10841:18,21	10711:11 10720:22	10803:16	10815:20 10817:22 10833:3
accepting 10826:13 10828:5	10727:18 10766:6,24,25	agenda 10695:14 10724:25	Ambassador 10603:17,22
access 10654:20 10721:7	10767:2 10770:18 10772:25	agents 10804:8 10805:5	10604:25 10612:17 10613:8
10732:15 10794:8,20	10773:13 10788:25 10789:3	10812:3	10613:9 10616:7,11
10797:24 10798:2,10	10789:11,15 10790:2,12,14	ago 10584:24 10724:11	10617:10 10619:25
accompany 10763:12	advise 10667:24 10765:24	10735:12	10632:18 10633:5,13,23
accord 10639:4	10766:1	agree 10609:13 10628:24	10634:3,5,14 10639:14
account 10629:18 10721:11	advised 10596:2 10608:20	10630:1 10646:19 10651:2	10641:13 10642:21 10643:2
accountability 10688:17	10655:1 10662:11 10663:6	10654:5 10663:12 10669:15	10643:3,10 10644:12,21,23
accountable 10721:21	10664:16 10693:5 10805:15	10669:18 10670:12,22	10647:13 10649:16 10650:6
accuracy 10710:23	10806:8 10808:4	10671:3 10687:5,12,16	10650:11 10653:11,14
accurate 10711:15 10717:17	advises 10732:7 10806:3	10703:2,12 10711:1,5	10686:9 10769:11 10777:13
10719:23 10797:2 10813:5	advising 10601:18 10737:24 10737:25 10788:4	10712:12 10717:15 10718:8 10718:19 10719:24 10720:9	10777:21 10778:9,15 10785:7 10791:8 10796:6
10843:10	advisors 10717:13	10721:9,16,19 10741:22	ambiguity 10661:11 10662:4
achieve 10706:19 10770:2	affairs 10596:1 10599:24	10742:18 10744:7,15	10662:8,20,21,25 10663:4,9
acknowledge 10694:19	10602:8,10 10608:11	10745:2,7 10747:5,18	10663:19 10664:1,18
10752:10	10610:6,11 10611:4	10766:8 10769:16 10770:4	10665:1
acknowledged 10845:25	10625:2,5,9,11,15 10633:23	10771:13 10772:23	ambit 10750:24
acronym 10612:11	10638:15,19 10639:10	10774:14 10775:3 10785:14	American 10597:5 10598:13
act 10604:7 10625:24 10744:8	10640:13 10642:20 10645:3	10799:19 10803:11 10811:2	10598:19 10599:3 10601:18
acting 10604:4,21 10605:10	10651:7,10 10652:3,6,8,14	10811:17,18,24 10812:1	10603:22 10607:20 10669:9
action 10657:11 10848:1,1	10652:22 10657:6,12,24	10816:5 10817:9 10821:7	10672:12 10678:25
actions 10601:13 10604:17	10661:18,24 10665:22	10826:13	10679:19 10693:3,7
active 10641:25 10776:24	10671:15 10675:16	agreed 10593:14,18 10614:4	10723:17 10724:8 10746:25
actively 10753:9	10676:24 10677:5,24	10630:18 10631:12	10769:11,15 10772:7
activities 10716:7 10830:8	10681:5 10682:3 10686:16	10706:22 10794:23	10773:14 10789:8 10833:16
activity 10649:3 10716:20 10841:5	10687:14,15,24 10688:7	10814:25 10830:1 10838:12	10835:12
	10689:1 10695:22 10698:6	agreement 10593:24	Americans 10597:9 10603:4
acts 10593:10 acute 10705:5 10848:25	10698:20 10699:6,18	10746:10 10802:1 10840:10	10604:3,15 10605:8
acutely 10605:12 10773:7	10701:14 10705:12,21	ahead 10625:3 10659:25	10669:11 10670:18 10671:5
ad 10767:16	10711:13 10712:13	10692:22 10712:21	10671:23 10672:5,7
ad 10707.16 added 10703:7	10713:12 10726:23	10713:14	10676:15 10683:14
additional 10825:16,22	10727:24,25 10728:2	Ahmed 10673:19	10692:15 10693:1 10724:9
addressed 10692:17 10707:7	10729:5 10766:2 10788:6,8	Airport 10597:11	10761:25 10763:10 10769:7
addressing 10830:17	10793:11 10806:24	ajournèe 10852:9	10770:4 10771:10 10773:16
adhering 10595:13	10808:24 10812:15	Al 10673:25	10783:23 10789:5,23
adjourned 10852:7	10830:15 10846:8 10847:21	Algeria 10708:12	10790:1 10824:14
aujourneu 10032./			
	-	-	-

amounts 10743:7
amy 10668:20
analysis 10587:7
and/or 10677:15
angry 10669:11
answer 10646:8 10655:15
10663:14 10691:6 10692:20
10692:23 10718:23 10736:22 10758:23 10762:7
10786:12 10794:12
10810:17 10821:15 10845:4
answered 10699:5
answers 10758:12 10802:14
anticipated 10824:16
anti-terrorism 10767:17
anybody 10594:19 10638:18
10640:1 10645:21 10687:6
10847:12
anybody's 10817:11
anytime 10649:14
Anyway 10714:9 août 10584:4 10852:10
aout 10584:4 10852:10 apart 10670:1 10692:9
10696:4 10711:11 10817:24
apologize 10715:19,20
apparatus 10653:7 10675:24
10675:25
apparently 10630:4
appear 10629:8 10636:10
10649:8 10678:5 10699:25
appeared 10636:2
appears 10623:12 10723:21
10812:24
applied 10595:2 10713:18
apply 10727:17 appreciate 10611:11
10612:17 10669:24 10851:6
apprised 10617:24 10681:12
10681:15,23 10807:17
approach 10660:7 10706:20
approached 10625:2
10769:11
approaches 10721:10
approbium 10783:21
appropriate 10656:2 10665:8
10697:16,16 10730:17
10770:20 10820:12
appropriately 10590:8
10591:12
approval 10595:3 10668:21 10758:2
approved 10621:9
April 10609:20 10654:3
10672:23 10705:7 10730:21
10746:24 10798:24 10799:8
apt 10712:22
Arabia 10776:24
Arabic 10616:9 10796:7
Arar 10595:17,17,21 10597:4
i l

```
10597:10,19,22 10598:4,7
10598:11,13 10599:2,4
10600:14 10601:2.8.24
10602:4 10603:5 10605:19
10606:5 10607:22.24
10608:6 10609:4,7,19
10614:22 10616:20 10620:2
10620:14 10621:6,19
10622:19 10623:6,14,16
10625:17,19,22 10629:6
10636:2,11 10637:3,13,20
10639:16 10641:1,5,11,17
10641:24 10642:15 10643:6
10643:16,23 10644:3,18
10645:10 10646:17
10647:15,18 10648:1,3,6,9
10648:21 10649:12
10650:13 10654:10 10655:3
10655:7,11,18,24 10656:18
10658:12,14,19 10659:5,17
10659:20 10661:12 10662:5
10665:8,11,25 10666:13,16
10667:2,7 10669:5,25
10670:17 10671:4 10673:4
10673:9 10674:17 10676:11
10678:1 10679:4 10680:4
10683:5,6,20,22 10684:2
10685:2,12,21,22 10689:9
10689:13 10690:1,19
10691:1 10692:15 10693:6
10693:22 10696:24 10697:6
10697:21,23,25 10698:24
10700:10,21 10703:14
10708:22 10709:15
10712:14 10713:1 10716:6
10717:17 10718:10,20
10720:3.12 10722:15
10723:9 10725:14 10734:17
10735:4,10,14,17 10736:2,4
10736:13 10737:6 10740:24
10741:16 10747:20
10748:23 10751:9 10754:21
10765:9,15,19 10766:10
10769:8,24 10771:21
10772:21 10773:2,8,15
10775:17 10777:15.20
10778:1,16 10779:14,24
10783:22 10784:2,7,9,15,23
10785:12,22 10787:22
10788:17,21 10789:4,8,9,11
10789:12,20 10790:3,10,13
10794:2,8,21 10795:5,6,13
10795:21 10797:21 10813:1
10814:9 10815:7,22
10817:5,5,25 10821:14,20
10824:2,13,13,24 10825:11
10825:17 10826:1,3,9,16,20
10827:7,14 10828:2,12
10830:3,8,17 10831:23
```

```
10832:5,7,12 10833:2,5,20
  10834:1.13 10835:16.20
  10836:1.14 10837:16
  10838:3,14 10841:3,25
  10842:18 10843:15 10845:1
  10845:25 10848:9 10850:3
Arar's 10596:9 10599:7,21
  10602:6 10607:10 10609:2
  10646:5 10655:23 10663:11
  10666:6 10669:17 10675:7
  10678:6 10679:12 10701:12
  10713:24 10715:25
  10716:22 10718:2 10719:17
  10728:25 10766:20
  10768:17 10796:1 10824:3
  10824:20 10825:5 10829:23
  10838:8 10847:7 10849:4
area 10586:10 10590:22
  10591:6 10592:16 10633:24
  10635:1 10719:2 10733:3
  10749:14 10772:10
  10799:16 10837:8 10843:7
  10846:11
areas 10635:3,14 10733:22
arguably 10667:12
argue 10670:16 10671:8
  10694:21
argues 10669:17 10671:3
arguing 10675:7
argument 10671:7 10674:9
argumentative 10766:17
Arnous 10643:2,4,10,17
  10644:1,12,23 10650:6,11
arose 10775:11
arrange 10630:25 10632:20
arranged 10631:8
arrest 10606:4 10607:21
  10693:25
arrival 10597:12
arrive 10622:1 10658:6
  10814:15
arrived 10724:2.5
article 10624:7 10731:23
articulated 10650:2
ascertain 10596:20 10737:19
aside 10662:1
asked 10596:17,18 10621:15
  10638:21 10647:18 10677:9
  10732:4 10747:25 10760:19
  10791:21 10792:2 10799:10
  10806:7 10813:3 10814:5
  10847:21
asking 10590:23 10600:8
  10655:9 10707:4 10715:17
  10751:1 10773:25 10841:16
  10848:4
aspects 10711:5
```

Assadourian 10647:10

10654:2

```
Assadourian-Catterall
  10798:25
asseoir 10584:6
asserting 10712:17,18
assess 10729:16 10801:14
assessing 10797:18 10800:9
  10801:8.16
assessment 10629:13 10667:6
  10822:11
assessments 10796:3
assign 10639:18
assigned 10591:5
assist 10591:2 10743:24
  10769:7
assistance 10610:7 10652:2
  10652:11 10667:9,11
  10675:15 10764:23
  10769:15 10772:7 10779:25
assistant 10586:19 10692:18
  10695:5 10732:6
assisted 10599:12
assume 10606:6,9 10610:19
  10623:19 10636:15
  10651:18 10689:20,23
  10700:23,24 10704:6
  10753:3 10802:1 10805:6
  10824:5,8
assumed 10586:16 10780:19
assure 10697:8,8 10716:18
  10841:1 10842:16
attached 10654:22 10686:6
  10793:18
attachment 10654:16
attempt 10621:18 10673:8
  10674:5 10814:8
attempted 10770:1
attempting 10597:21 10598:9
  10725:12
attempts 10621:25
attend 10615:8
attended 10617:25 10660:21
  10664:24 10807:13 10808:7
  10809:11 10831:17 10836:9
attending 10661:2,17
attention 10719:17,25
  10720:7
attributed 10624:15.19
attributing 10624:22
August 10584:2 10807:13
  10809:5 10810:16 10852:8
aurait 10781:12
authoritatively 10607:25
authorities 10598:19
  10613:15 10614:8 10640:24
  10641:10 10658:1 10660:10
  10666:8 10684:8,15
  10686:13 10687:3 10777:19
  10815:3
authority 10767:23
```

10639:16 10642:15 10644:3 beginning 10663:18 10828:18 **bottom** 10623:10 10642:23 authorization 10763:2 10648:3,9 10649:19 10708:3 10813:24 authorized 10747:6 10837:17 10650:6.13.17 10651:22.25 behalf 10673:19 10675:7 bout 10616:17 10618:2.4 aux 10781:12 available 10587:21 10698:13 10656:18 10661:4 10662:5 behaviour 10631:21 10632:5 boy 10792:21 behold 10669:11 10670:18 10699:2 10662:14 10663:19 boys 10718:14 avoid 10633:5 10749:12 10664:24 10665:4 10669:10 branch 10587:8 10595:24 10701:24 aware 10588:22 10589:3 10670:17 10671:4,4,22 belief 10756:25 10778:15,18 10596:19 10610:3 10615:11 10590:1 10591:20 10597:15 10672:3,4 10675:4 10833:24 10622:9 10677:21,25 10679:1,4,14 10597:20,25 10600:9,12 beliefs 10746:3 breach 10732:1,8 10747:2 10679:18,23 10680:5 believe 10597:6 10598:15,23 10605:12 10607:8,24 **breached** 10762:1 10611:20.21 10613:13 10687:9 10689:9.13 10599:22 10600:23 **breaches** 10759:2 10615:4 10628:21 10632:6 10690:1 10691:5.14.15 10606:11 10608:9 10620:1 break 10653:24 10654:11 10693:12 10696:12.23 10632:13 10638:2 10640:12 10622:8 10630:4 10638:13 10656:3,5 10730:13,17,19 10731:12 10750:9 10792:9 10643:4 10646:16 10649:13 10698:24 10701:3,25 10642:16 10648:20 10649:17,23 10652:1 10702:15 10703:7,22 10652:11 10659:19 10810:2,7,14 10653:2 10660:23 10661:22 10709:15,20,24 10710:4,6 10764:17 10791:2,25 **bridge** 10762:5 10661:24 10662:2,25 10710:12,20,22 10711:2 10797:21 10798:18 brief 10584:24 10801:22 10663:1 10686:23,24 10712:6,22 10713:23 10819:19 10833:4 briefed 10632:11 10706:4 10690:13 10693:11 10697:9 10715:3 10716:3 10724:10 believed 10648:8 10819:4 10781:20 10705:3 10707:6 10720:11 10724:11 10725:14 10834:23 briefing 10592:25 10596:17 10720:15,17 10737:6 10726:10 10727:13 best 10686:1 10726:12,12 10632:7 10640:18 10665:14 10737:12 10741:12,19 10741:9 10743:3,18,19 10736:22 10850:8 10668:4,11 10672:22 10675:3,9,12 10676:20 10752:17,21,22 10758:7,14 10750:15 10751:2 10768:19 better 10653:14 10662:14 10758:16 10761:25 10769:8,25 10770:21 10677:7 10678:16 10697:14 10714:11 10724:6 10777:9 10769:24 10770:9 10773:3 10773:2,8,15 10776:22 10704:22 10729:3,4 10780:24 10781:5,17 10778:13 10785:25 10786:1 10778:16 10784:2,15,23 10746:11 10782:5 10829:11,16 10785:12,22 10787:22 beyond 10669:16 10821:11 10830:23 10786:8 10795:12,17,18 10788:18,21 10789:4,8,11 10796:13 10805:22 **bifurcated** 10767:20 briefings 10726:7 10806:12 10807:6,9,10 10789:12 10790:3,10,13,21 **briefly** 10587:1 big 10836:10 10810:15 10812:7 10830:5 10793:21,24 10796:7,9 bilateral 10668:12,13 bring 10592:7 10625:6 10834:3 10838:3 10797:17 10810:24 Bill 10689:3,10 10727:23 10651:24 10666:16 awareness 10638:25 10674:13 **Bilodeau** 10765:9 10767:22 10670:14,24 10671:4,22 10819:10 10826:9 10831:23 10848:25 10834:13,19 10836:1,15 bin 10724:13 10675:4 10794:10 10799:1 A-OCANADA 10589:10 10840:8 10848:10 bit 10586:18 10602:21 10799:6 10808:9,12 background 10584:22,24 10649:20 10651:5 10733:5 10817:15 10819:9 10826:8 10590:22 10591:3,5,16 10592:2,4,8 10734:11,15 10682:18 10705:24 10706:8 10733:24 10736:12.20 bringing 10600:21 10670:17 10740:13.16.17.19.19 backwards 10754:13 10750:10 10772:12 10781:8 10671:4 10711:2,4 10741:4 10743:17.24 10784:19 10801:22 10787:22 bad 10623:7 10744:14,21 10745:5,24 balance 10629:21,24 bizarre 10631:20 10632:5 **broad** 10674:13 black 10793:2 10808:19 10750:12 10751:12 10752:9 10802:10 broader 10592:12 10687:23 10752:16 10753:1,3,14,25 **balances** 10627:8 blank 10623:14 10815:15 **Brotherhood** 10636:5,17 10754:6.16 10755:5 **balancing** 10625:24 block 10621:25 10814:14 brought 10613:14 10616:11 Bané 10781:18 10782:2,3,6 blow 10811:12 10818:12 10762:2 10763:7 10794:18 10668:16 10677:8 10691:24 10782:12 **board** 10664:5 10693:21 10743:14 10796:7 10805:21 10807:5,25 10808:6 10817:2 10818:13 Bané's 10780:2,21 10782:22 **bodies** 10585:23 10796:9 10825:5 10819:2 10837:16 based 10625:8 10683:7 body 10778:5 10788:10,13,16 **bullet** 10640:21 10641:8 10693:17 10816:6 10820:5 10788:24 **A-OCANADA's** 10794:1,7 10657:14 10658:2 10659:7 10765:5 **a.m** 10584:3 10656:7,9 10821:18 10824:14 **Boehm** 10619:23 **bomb** 10802:19 10811:12 bunch 10712:24 10726:3 10852:9 basic 10742:2 basically 10587:4 10605:18 10818:7,12,12 10819:11 10743:13 В bureau 10785:9 10625:23 10690:5 10695:15 bomber 10802:24 10803:1 **b** 10710:4,22 10710:15 10811:13 10818:5,6,11 bureaucracy 10711:21 back 10586:5,13 10595:2 basis 10595:11 10704:23,24 **bombing** 10673:16 10674:2 10766:5 10597:3,4 10600:9,22 book 10603:7 10612:2 bureaucratic 10699:17 10758:24 10849:13 10601:10 10602:15 bear 10753:10 10636:20 10656:24 10728:15.21.25 10604:18 10606:7 10612:2 bearing 10652:1 10672:18 10728:1 bureaucrats 10670:5 10613:14 10616:3 10629:8 beaten 10806:3,14 **borders** 10768:13 business 10689:7 10822:25 becoming 10636:11 boss 10727:10,12 10630:6,19 10631:10

bothers 10763:11

10636:20 10638:5 10639:9

beg 10733:14

 \mathbf{C}

-	
Cabana 10755:16 10762:18	10710:5 10716:23 10717:20
10822:17 10838:2	10718:3,20 10719:12
Cabana's 10754:20 10755:4	10721:10 10722:17,21
10757:4 10762:23	10741:13 10765:25 10769:1
Cabinet 10688:17	10769:7 10773:9,15,16
Cafe 10736:14,18 10740:25	10777:16 10779:16,21
call 10590:5 10595:24	10784:2 10785:7,22
10598:15,16 10608:21	10788:5 10789:20 10795:22
10621:2,4 10622:5,10,19,21	10815:24 10818:7 10819:1
10623:21 10624:10,10,16	10819:5 10832:11,20,25
10624:21 10631:14	10833:7,21 10834:1,14
10638:18 10640:12,19	10835:17,20 10836:1,13,24
10642:4,6,11,17,18 10643:3	10837:3 10841:2 10842:3
10647:2,4 10648:14	10842:17 10848:10
10650:4 10663:8,15	Canada's 10666:25 10667:13
10669:9 10672:12 10686:8	10669:3 10775:16 10817:10
10694:12,18,20,24 10695:2	Canadian 10594:16 10596:3
10695:20 10696:14 10698:8	10601:10 10604:5,8
10698:19 10699:5,8	10616:7 10633:14 10638:3
10700:6,14 10707:5	10641:4 10643:14,21
10711:9 10714:4,10	10644:16 10646:16 10660:3
10721:2 10723:1,2	10660:7 10662:5 10667:7
10726:15 10727:2,24	10676:14 10677:10 10683:2
10748:25 10751:10,10	10686:17 10692:6,8
10755:13 10759:11	10693:17,18 10708:7
10771:14 10785:3 10786:6	10714:21 10716:21 10718:1
10786:10,19 10847:6,15,18	10721:7 10724:1 10725:4
10849:20	10768:25 10770:1,3
called 10589:2,10 10600:3	10771:7 10773:20 10774:10
10635:7,9 10663:8,25	10775:25 10811:5,6
10695:12 10698:5 10708:20	10822:10 10827:6,13
10725:15,16	10833:18,25 10835:14,19
calling 10595:17 10695:14	10848:14
10700:2 10724:25 10746:23	Canadians 10605:9 10627:25
10789:24 10850:15 calls 10645:6	10693:7 10697:4 10708:20
camera 10584:17 10635:6,16	10769:22 10770:7 10771:6 10771:22,24 10772:20
10644:25 10653:20 10851:1	10773:1,8 10803:15
camps 10616:22	10823:25
Canada 10600:7 10601:10,11	canvassed 10635:6
10602:15 10603:3 10604:23	capacity 10670:9
10611:3 10613:14 10614:24	capital 10592:12 10802:20
10616:12 10626:10 10630:6	care 10737:3 10740:18
10637:21 10639:17	10773:1,2
10640:25 10641:2,6,18	career 10585:25
10642:15 10643:17,22,24	careful 10748:6 10752:7
10644:19 10645:10 10648:6	10759:6 10794:12
10648:10,22 10649:4,16	carry 10783:9 10792:17
10650:14 10657:19	case 10606:24 10607:6,10
10658:18 10659:6,10,15,22	10610:2,4 10621:6
10661:12 10662:7 10663:11	10625:12,17,19 10633:3,13
10663:11 10664:5 10665:10	10641:23 10647:15,23
10669:17 10670:25 10672:2	10649:5 10657:20 10658:7
10673:5 10678:7 10679:13	10669:25 10670:2 10677:22
10680:2,3,4,7 10684:1	10683:2 10684:16 10685:9
10685:14,21,23 10687:7	10696:24 10697:7,23
10689:9 10690:2 10694:8	10698:21 10700:10
10697:14 10701:3,4,7,15	10701:12 10712:14,18,18
10702:19 10703:8,14	10713:1,9,18 10719:17

```
10766:10.11.12.20
  10768:17 10769:25
  10780:18 10785:23
  10788:16 10802:13,17
  10810:22 10811:4 10833:13
  10835:9
cases 10627:2 10700:22
  10703:19 10704:21
  10705:17,23 10706:22,23
  10708:5,7 10709:4
  10727:16 10753:9
cast 10712:5 10799:4
categorically 10674:20
  10723:25
Catterall 10647:4,9 10650:5,7
  10650:10,17,22 10654:2
  10769:10 10778:8,15
Catterall's 10650:9
cause 10704:6
caused 10690:2 10729:17
  10739:13
caution 10627:3
Cavalluzzo 10584:8,10,11,21
  10585:3,6,10,13,17,24
  10586:4,12,15,22,25
  10587:10,14,25 10588:8,14
  10588:19,21 10589:1,5,9,13
  10590:3,10,18,25 10591:8
  10591:19 10592:1,15,22
  10594:22 10595:6,10,15
  10596:2,6,12,22 10597:1,8
  10597:14,18 10598:3,7,21
  10598:25 10599:6,14,16,25
  10600:14 10601:1,6,12,15
  10601:22 10602:2.14.20.25
  10605:14.24 10606:6.12.16
  10607:18,23 10608:4,12,17
  10608:22 10609:16
  10610:10,14,17,21,24
  10611:2,7,23 10612:3,6,9
  10612:13 10613:4,7,12,17
  10613:20 10615:8,12,15,19
  10616:2 10617:23 10618:3
  10618:6.9.16.19.21
  10619:13 10620:5,10,13,17
  10620:21,24 10622:11,15
  10624:24 10625:7,25
  10626:4,12 10628:16
  10629:1,16,23 10630:2,15
  10632:10 10633:7 10634:8
  10634:20,23 10635:21
  10636:19,24 10638:9,16
  10639:25 10640:7.15
  10642:3,8,9,22 10644:9
  10645:15,20,24 10646:12
  10646:22,25 10649:20
  10650:21 10651:9,16
```

10720:7 10728:25 10738:16

10746:7 10765:15,20

10652:13 10653:17,23 10654:8,14,17,18 10655:16 10655:21 10656:1.12 10657:3 10660:14,19 10661:3,8 10662:1,10,23 10663:3,23 10664:10,15,21 10665:4 10668:20,23 10669:20,22 10670:11 10671:2,10,16 10672:6,9,17 10672:21 10674:15,22 10675:2,11 10676:1,25 10677:6,23 10678:3,18 10679:16 10680:8,15,16,22 10681:15,19,23 10682:7,13 10682:22 10686:25 10687:9 10687:17,18 10688:1,20 10689:18 10690:15,17,22 10691:14 10692:20,23,24 10693:12 10694:10,14,17 10694:23 10695:1,4,7,23 10697:2,20 10698:4,11 10699:4,12,20,24 10700:5 10700:13,20 10701:2,16,19 10702:6,10,21,24 10703:6 10703:10 10704:1,9,12,15 10704:18 10705:2,6,18 10707:17,22 10708:1,25 10709:8,12 10710:7,8,25 10711:16,20 10712:2,8,11 10712:17 10713:11,21 10714:2,14 10715:7,16,22 10717:5 10718:8,13,18,25 10719:7,10 10720:10,17,21 10720:25 10721:15,18,24 10722:4 10723:12,15 10724:4,24 10725:11,20,24 10726:9 10727:1,4,11,14,19 10728:14.18 10729:7.21 10730:4,11,16,24 10731:19 10732:12 10733:1,21 10759:9 10760:10 10774:7 10775:9 10776:2 10779:2 10780:15 10783:14 10785:2 10788:19 10790:17 10798:21 10839:15,20,23 10840:4 10842:9 10843:3 10846:11 10850:21,22 10851:16 Cavalluzzo's 10715:17 caveated 10595:1 caveats 10594:11,14,20,20 10628:6 10747:1 10751:19 10759:2 10762:1,15,19 10763:12,19,25 CDN 10622:24 cell 10703:17 10818:14 cells 10817:12 Cellucci 10604:25

central 10713:2 10727:15

10751:2 10757:19 10774:13 centre 10743:10 10822:10 10829:11,12 10836:7 certain 10587:18,22 10603:19 citizens 10708:7 10775:25 10843:3 10846:14,20 10774:22 10784:23 10651:6 10657:8 10696:9 10793:21.24 10801:13 10850:21,23 10851:3,11,20 10811:5.7 10696:10 claim 10737:11 10759:23.24 10808:2 10821:22 10824:4 10851:24 10852:3 certainly 10624:18 10629:6 common 10633:15 10657:17 10830:10 10824:10.16 10827:1 **claiming** 10804:1 10834:2,19 10658:6 10660:7 10838:7 10633:4,16 10650:22,23 10652:6 10661:23 10687:5 claims 10635:10 10833:16 comes 10677:25 10679:1 communicate 10660:9 10700:11 10703:21 10835:12 10688:14 10703:22 communicated 10650:19 10710:17 10714:2 10768:5 clarification 10596:8 10710:12 10712:22 communication 10831:13 10713:23 10724:10 10774:13 10790:15 10598:10 10834:7 10791:20 10818:20 clarified 10834:5 10727:13 10753:5 10767:1 communications 10595:24 **certainty** 10619:18 clarify 10750:8 10754:11 10789:4,8,11,12 10790:3 10834:22 10800:9 10803:7 10804:22 **community** 10724:16 certificate 10703:19,25 10767:7 10786:6,10 10791:21 10829:3 10831:15 10704:4 coming 10602:15 10608:10 **compelling** 10625:12 cetera 10800:3 10805:9 10842:14 10847:7 10851:8 **complaining** 10604:16 10662:20 10663:4,16 chair 10767:15 clarity 10817:15 10687:15 10689:13 10690:1 10752:17 **change** 10586:18 10595:7 clear 10588:11 10608:8,16 10696:6,12,19 10697:7 **complaint** 10731:22 10732:3 changed 10717:8 10849:22,24 10641:3 10648:16 10660:8 10698:17,23,24 10702:12 **complaints** 10743:11 changes 10831:19 10663:22 10664:1.22 10718:6 10725:1,8,10 complete 10844:18 10851:25 channel 10770:20 10679:23 10680:3 10687:12 10726:1 10728:15 completed 10730:6 channels 10599:23 10649:7 10690:18 10709:23 10710:1 command 10724:13 completely 10715:15 10794:19 10713:6 10722:18 10760:25 commencement 10649:24 10782:15 10834:21 10846:1 complex 10697:6 10742:1 characterization 10692:14 10761:2 10779:17 10782:13 10656:19 10681:22 10786:3 characterize 10715:6 10792:20 10833:23 commencing 10584:2 10786:14 10719:21 10769:18 10834:10,12 10835:18 comment 10589:23 10644:11 conceivably 10802:18 concentrate 10660:1 characterized 10667:17 10836:8,21 10702:15 10718:17 10757:9 cleared 10834:24 10719:19 10736:18 concept 10844:14 charge 10585:20 10586:7 clearly 10587:10 10640:4 10740:22 10755:4 10780:4 concern 10603:5 10625:15 10649:4 10685:2 10763:7 10642:14 10648:18 10780:10 10819:10 10696:12 10710:23 10726:5 10799:24 10800:8 10707:23 10745:19 commented 10780:9,10 10743:16 10760:8 10763:6 charged 10673:9 10722:16 10748:13,14,15 10763:8 comments 10589:22 10609:1 10768:13 10772:19,21 10744:23 10779:15 10766:11 10771:6 10773:13 10617:12 10624:15 10778:7 10827:23 10830:19 10839:5 charges 10636:14 10717:24 10787:20 10788:20 10793:6 10780:14 10783:15 10786:2 10839:8,10 10843:9 10796:19 concerned 10610:3 10612:24 10729:24 10741:15 10822:7 10829:16 10830:23 10744:13,16,16,22 10745:4 10831:20,21 **commission** 10627:13 10617:8 10629:6 10630:3 Clerk 10592:19 10602:22 10653:6 10732:22 10762:9 10636:12 10651:14 10842:6 **charging** 10636:4 clinical 10768:10 Commissioner 10584:7.10.12 10711:19.21 10712:6 chasers 10598:16 close 10776:17 10584:13.20 10587:15.24 10724:9 10725:25 10726:20 check 10716:9 10823:16 closed 10785:23 10592:25 10626:18 10729:23 10757:17 **checked** 10631:16 closely 10627:3 10628:10 10634:25 10760:14 10775:24 **checking** 10645:16 closer 10706:18 10651:17,25 10656:1,4 10785:21 10838:22 10849:1 checks 10627:8 closing 10731:20 10672:22 10682:20 concerning 10595:7 10606:7 10621:5 10622:5 10624:11 code 10717:23 10842:6 **chooses** 10767:2 10690:20 10692:13.16.19 chose 10621:23 10625:1 **coercive** 10805:8 10692:22 10702:2 10705:8 10641:11 10655:17 10730:7 10729:4 10754:20 10814:11 coffee 10714:22 10715:14,18 10706:14 10714:23 10715:9 10777:20 10825:17 **chronology** 10595:17 10725:9 10730:5.10.14.18.23.25 concerns 10625:11 10627:19 coherent 10706:20 10812:12,15 10731:3,5,7,11,19 10732:10 10627:24 10667:3 10669:6 CIA 10755:24 10756:6,15 Colin 10620:7 10693:4 10732:25 10733:6,8,12,14 10703:13 10717:11 10757:9,18 collaboration 10847:23 10733:17,20 10734:1 10721:12 10770:6 10775:18 **CID** 10743:9,15 colleague 10671:14 10727:9 10735:22 10736:10 10789:24,25 10795:3,20 **circumspect** 10626:5,17 10776:18,18 10749:15 10759:20 10761:4 10840:5,11,12 colleagues 10698:19 10722:11 conclude 10726:18 10728:24 10629:5 10761:10,13,17 10762:8,13 circumstance 10822:8 10785:4 10764:10,19 10777:7 10744:25 10799:13 come 10595:2 10601:10 10779:4 10780:17,22 conclusion 10602:19 10639:9 circumstances 10651:25 10685:18 10686:2 10693:25 10609:11 10630:19 10782:17,20,24 10783:6,9 10639:24 10712:5 10724:3 10803:10 10806:21 10811:9 10631:10 10639:16 10665:4 10792:4,10,16 10799:11 10725:2 10745:12,13 citizen 10596:4 10601:10 10687:9 10691:14,15 10807:1 10808:16 10809:4 10774:13,14 10826:22,23 10676:14 10729:13 10693:12 10701:3 10703:7 10809:7 10810:1,6,13,19 10826:25 10827:15,18 10768:25 10771:7 10774:10 10710:6 10726:10 10747:2 10820:16 10823:21 10843:2,2

conclusions 10797:8 concurrence 10633:20 conditions 10677:12 10692:10 10728:20 10754:23 conduct 10603:11 10685:2 10701:7 10732:4 10768:24 10794:21 10796:3 conducted 10602:7 10605:16 10729:15 10730:3 conducting 10603:11 10766:2 Conf 10622:21 conference 10621:2,4 10622:5 10622:19 10624:10,16,21 confess 10631:21 **confidence** 10844:20 **confident** 10804:12 confidential 10732:11 Confidentiality 10635:11 10804:2 confirm 10599:17 10736:8.15 10760:15 10791:7 10803:25 10806:20 10811:1 confirmation 10758:21 confirmed 10812:18 10826:10 **confirming** 10806:22 conflicting 10721:4,4 10786:16 confronted 10702:18 confronting 10694:1 confused 10750:10 confusion 10601:24 10608:1 10748:2 10749:8,12 10836:10 conjecture 10775:1 connection 10685:17 10708:8 10809:20,21 connections 10667:4 10669:8 consensus 10706:17 consent 10595:3 10758:8 10763:10 consequence 10595:23 10650:4 10707:15 10758:25 consider 10676:17 10678:10 10748:11 10776:17 considerable 10693:10,24 consideration 10629:3 10666:21 10669:1.15 10670:16 10671:6 10678:24 10693:13,20 10694:7 10703:22 10704:16 considerations 10628:23 10629:17 10668:24 10670:6 10670:14 10671:18 10675:5 10676:3,20,22 10677:8 10679:18 10680:13 10687:11 10691:23 10703:1 10706:2 10709:17 10711:3 10723:16 10724:19 10799:12 10745:22 context 10584:22 10603:2

considered 10632:16 10676:2 10703:24 10756:15 considering 10627:19 10633:24 10636:14 10824:11.13 considers 10628:4 consistent 10604:24 constant 10743:10,16 **constitute** 10766:24 constituted 10585:22 constitutes 10719:23 consular 10609:18.23 10610:2 10610:7,11,11,25 10611:4 10635:24 10647:3 10652:3 10654:4,9 10657:6 10667:8 10670:1 10677:25 10695:22 10698:6 10699:6 10705:16 10705:22 10706:21 10708:5 10712:13 10713:12 10721:7 10727:24.25 10728:1 10779:25 10785:9 10788:7 10788:11,15 10797:21,22 10797:25 10798:10,23 10805:16 10808:24 10830:15 consult 10614:17 10706:25 10798:15 10815:17 10816:21 10817:19 **consultation** 10633:19.19 10652:7,9 10664:8 10704:23 10706:18 10746:9 10793:8 10841:12 10846:7 **consultations** 10664:11,14 10710:13 consultative 10633:25 10713:17 consulted 10614:11 10668:7 10668:18 10706:11 10793:15,16 10815:13 consulting 10848:2 contact 10597:5 10598:8,11 10598:12 10612:19 10633:2 10633:3 10640:2 10645:22 10652:19,20 10664:4 10703:18 10756:5 10757:12 contacted 10644:21.22 10651:10,18 contacts 10683:7 10804:3 contain 10594:20 contained 10590:7 10710:24 10758:22 10761:1 contemporaneous 10767:25 10831:2 content 10627:4 10637:22 10641:18 10682:14 10758:23 10849:14 contents 10650:8 10663:6

10739:18 10745:13.17 10746:5 10747:19 10760:7 10818:20 10821:22 10823:12 contextual 10584:16 10587:16,21 contextualized 10786:12 continuation 10623:22 continue 10621:20 10657:16 10814:10 10833:11 10835:7 continued 10590:14 10609:3 10746:4 10749:24 10792:9 10834:10 **continuing** 10651:19 contrary 10594:18 10709:25 controls 10746:18 convening 10660:2 conversation 10637:8 10644:4 10684:18 10701:11 10702:5,9 10703:11 10709:1,3,3,14 10710:3 10725:6 10778:9 10848:18 conversations 10723:3 10785:4 10824:5,17,18 10825:18 convey 10785:16 conveyed 10624:14 10639:9 10642:14 10786:22 **conveying** 10786:25 **convoked** 10808:5 cooperate 10689:7,8 **cooperation** 10706:19 10793:6 coordination 10704:23 10705:14.21 10712:23 10727:15 10728:3 coordinator 10768:1 coordinators 10767:21 copy 10732:23 10829:17 10830:24 Corporal 10809:11 Corporate 10732:6 correct 10585:5,12 10586:3 10586:14.24 10587:13 10588:6,7,13 10589:8,12,20 10590:2,17 10591:24,25 10592:6 10597:17 10613:3 10613:6 10615:14 10617:22 10626:3 10628:17 10642:2 10644:7 10646:11 10649:22 10655:20,25 10663:9 10674:11 10677:2 10689:24 10701:9 10703:20 10725:13 10725:16 10729:19,20 10732:13 10734:12 10735:2 10737:25 10738:8,21,24,25 10739:3,4,6,9,15,24,25

10605:6 10613:21 10624:20

10688:9,14 10695:25

10740:14 10741:17,18,24 10742:10 10743:25 10744:22 10747:12 10748:9 10748:24 10749:10 10750:1 10750:2.6.14 10751:23 10753:19,22 10754:2,7 10755:10,20 10756:1,6 10757:8,13 10758:2 10767:9,14 10770:9 10789:5,15 10790:4 10794:3 10796:25 10797:3 10797:4 10799:21 10800:1 10800:24 10801:5 10803:21 10804:11,17 10812:19 10813:9,17 10817:7 10819:8 10821:23 10824:6 10824:25 10838:15,16,19 10838:24 10843:11 10844:11,12 10845:8 10847:3 correctly 10649:21 10762:10 10802:5 counsel 10798:16 counterintelligence 10587:7 counterpart 10595:25 10632:21 10640:14 10642:12 10644:22 10699:10 10771:9 10848:15 counterparts 10630:22 10643:22 10658:13 10670:10 10683:21 10832:6 counterproliferation 10587:6 counterterrorism 10586:1 10587:6,12 10596:19 10615:11 10622:9 countries 10628:15 10676:6 10771:24 country 10600:5.6.21 10626:25 10627:17 10629:19 10633:12 10634:12 10699:7 10768:25 10769:1 10797:13 10802:21 couple 10632:3 10640:10 10642:7 10651:1 10653:23 10676:13 10691:22 10729:8 10846:24 course 10593:17 10609:25 10699:6 10704:2 10714:3 10760:3,18 10791:3 10824:4,17 court 10751:23 cover 10733:4,23,25 10749:14 10843:7 coverage 10615:2 10625:16 10816:2 covered 10846:11 covers 10733:22 **co-operation** 10631:24

co-sign 10665:23 10668:25

10669:16 10677:9 10691:25 co-signatories 10661:19 co-signatory 10670:8 co-signed 10665:6 10666:9 10682:1 10691:19 10705:11 10705:20 create 10671:22 10789:22 10790:4 created 10594:11 10595:12 10740:12 10748:1 creates 10789:4 creating 10748:5 creation 10585:4 10588:23 credibility 10617:13 10639:19 10763:24 10796:20 criminal 10717:23 10729:24 10730:1 10738:7 10740:8 10740:14 10742:7,7 10752:14 10753:15 10754:5 10842:5 cross-examination 10733:5 cross-examining 10755:15 Crown 10687:22 crucial 10629:24 crv 10836:18,19 **CSIS** 10584:14,17 10585:4,14 10587:16 10588:3,10,17 10589:14,18 10590:6,14,20 10591:21,22 10592:5 10594:8,10,25 10595:7,10 10595:20 10597:9,15 10598:3,12 10599:3,7,18,20 10602:2 10605:18 10606:7 10606:9 10607:4,10,24 10608:5 10609:3,5,17,22,22 10611:14,20 10612:20 10613:1 10614:6.11.21 10615:6,17,21 10616:15,25 10617:1,5,7,10,12,19 10621:5,15,17 10622:1,6 10624:11,25 10625:19 10626:23 10627:2.15 10628:3,14 10629:7,17 10630:11 10632:6 10633:1 10633:10 10635:25 10637:18 10638:4.21 10639:17 10641:9,14,21 10644:2 10646:5,16 10647:23 10648:2,9,18 10650:13 10651:10,21 10654:3,9 10655:9,17,24 10656:17 10658:10,17,20 10660:4,20 10663:4,10,24 10664:24 10665:14 10668:21 10671:19 10674:15,24 10676:3,5 10677:7,15 10678:6,21,23 10679:4,9 10680:11 10682:4 10683:3,18,25

10684:3 10685:10,23 10686:13 10691:19.23 10700:22 10701:4 10702:16 10702:17 10703:13 10704:6 10706:12 10709:5,14,20,24 10714:7 10716:9,12,25 10717:10 10719:14 10721:5 10722:17 10723:3,8,9,21 10724:5 10725:13 10726:15 10729:15 10732:4,6,7 10734:7,9 10735:1 10737:15,23 10738:10,23 10742:14,22 10743:23 10747:2 10748:3,7 10749:8 10749:23 10750:11,16 10751:2,11 10752:5,24 10756:5 10757:11 10758:1 10758:2,8,21,24 10759:3,15 10760:9,14,25 10762:1 10763:1,9 10765:8,24 10766:21 10767:10 10771:23 10774:7 10775:23 10776:8 10777:18,23 10778:16 10779:16,21 10781:4,11,15,21 10782:7 10783:5,24,25 10784:3,5,14 10785:5,11,11,15 10787:10 10787:22,24 10788:10,14 10788:17,21 10791:11,23 10793:5,8,12,23 10794:13 10794:16,25 10795:2,20 10796:8,8,19 10797:2,6,12 10798:22 10799:20 10803:20 10804:8,13 10805:21 10806:10,16 10807:3,4,13,25 10808:6,23 10809:12 10810:15 10811:3 10812:3.21.22 10813:11 10814:5,7,15 10815:1,9,14 10815:21 10819:17 10822:5 10822:7,9 10823:6,6,9,24 10825:5.10.16 10827:2 10829:14,16,21 10830:18 10830:24 10831:11,17,22 10832:3,10,13 10834:3,8 10836:9.11 10837:10 10838:22 10840:1,11 10841:8,18 10842:15,21 10844:2,8 10845:23 10846:2 10847:7 10848:9 10848:13 10850:3 CSIS's 10595:3 10618:6 10649:11 10678:22 10693:14 10703:18 10720:22 10784:8 10787:12 10822:22 10834:24 10839:7 CSIS-RCMP 10839:10 CTV 10729:11

cup 10714:22 10715:14

curious 10637:11 10757:6 10783:19 current 10586:16 10587:2 10829:23 currently 10717:18 10841:25 curtail 10784:6 custody 10666:14 10673:22 cut 10691:13 10755:21 C-85 10759:9 C.S.R 10852:25

D

damage 10729:16

Damascus 10611:8 10622:2 10631:18 10632:7 10653:11 10654:3 10714:22 10720:13 10812:22 10814:16 damning 10617:16 10796:23 **Dan** 10664:8 danger 10828:5 data 10746:23 10747:7 10758:18,22 10759:11,15 10760:18 database 10751:3 databases 10590:7 date 10619:12 10632:22 10694:21 10764:21,22,23 dated 10593:1 10606:17 10611:10 10613:24 10637:1 10647:1 10654:20 10657:7 10672:23 10705:7 day 10605:1,17 10619:9 10626:1 10642:17 10644:5 10644:6 10694:11 10702:17 10823:8 10849:1 days 10612:22 10623:17 10659:25 10683:1 10685:8 10713:25 de 10616:17 10618:2,4 10780:2.21 10781:18 10782:2,3,6,12,22 deal 10592:17 10615:23 10625:22 10630:11 10635:4 10635:12 10646:2 10649:5 10652:25 10653:8,20 10655:22 10657:4 10670:9 10675:25 10682:18 10688:3 10688:10 10691:4,12 10700:22 10707:8 10711:17 10736:20 10741:3 10742:22 10744:8 10747:15 10748:2 10751:6,7 10769:25 10804:16 dealing 10589:16 10688:2,12 10688:21,21 10691:10

10728:21 10732:17 10742:7

10818:10 10821:7 10843:4

deals 10675:24 10676:5

dealt 10635:13 10653:2

10704:22 10760:6 10785:22 10807:14 death 10646:18 debate 10621:24 10721:22 10750:4 10752:11 10814:13 debrief 10630:20 debriefing 10812:21 10813:12 10813:13 December 10603:14 10693:5 decide 10836:7 decided 10648:11 10699:3 decision 10676:18 10680:1.1 10721:13,20,20 10739:7,11 10739:17,23 10745:3,8,9,18 10745:20 10800:23 decisions 10804:23 deck 10706:7 10707:11 decline 10667:25 defend 10675:13 defined 10748:13,14,15 definition 10820:5 10821:21 degree 10627:25 10629:3 delay 10621:16 10622:6 10624:11,25 10625:8 10814:6 **delaying** 10625:9 delegation 10633:2 10638:21 10804:13 10807:16 denial 10833:18 10835:14 10836:13 denied 10658:21 10684:4 10832:14 denies 10784:4 deny 10736:8,16 10760:15 **department** 10595:25 10610:5 10633:20 10638:14 10641:22 10645:3 10661:24 10668:15 10675:16.21 10676:23 10698:20 10701:23 10706:9 10716:10 10722:18 10726:23 10779:18 10788:6.8 10793:11 10805:20 10846:7 10847:14 10848:2 departments 10712:24 10713:19 10786:16 10791:14 depleted 10679:3 10701:24 deplorable 10769:2 deport 10622:24 deportation 10599:8,19,21 10601:25 10609:2 10771:20 deportations 10769:23 deported 10599:2 10600:15 10601:3 10667:1 10669:5 10770:8 10771:8,24 10775:17 10824:13 deporting 10768:25

deputies 10706:25 10707:10

deputy 10586:21,23 10658:5 difference 10688:19 10691:18 10709:16 10710:10 doing 10591:2 10604:15 10660:5 10682:1 10692:18 10611:5 10652:14 10671:13 10707:15 10737:15 10723:19 10724:19 10705:11.20 10706:13 10741:20.22 10748:1 10796:10 10805:20 10807:3 10729:3 10746:11 10770:17 10714:12 10762:8.12 10755:18 10819:14 10807:14,24 10809:3,16 10788:25 10824:24 10826:2.3 10766:5,20,24 10767:11,14 different 10637:2 10712:25 **DOJ** 10808:6 10768:21 10771:8 10713:18 10738:2 10742:5 10829:13 10831:18 10832:5 double 10835:22 describe 10776:8 10742:14.15 10745:7 10848:22 10850:7 doubt 10589:2 10722:7 described 10748:4 10768:12 10748:23 10760:17,22 discussing 10619:14 10750:9 10799:5 description 10609:14 10786:16 10791:13,13,14 10824:12 doubts 10815:8 discussion 10611:22 10613:2 10648:13 10712:22 10791:16 10822:14 10831:3 downing 10625:21 10821:25 difficult 10600:18 10703:23 10615:16 10662:2 10666:3 **DP** 10765:11 desirable 10771:10 10726:8 10781:7 10786:14 10696:14 10697:21.24 **DPA** 10765:18 **DPM** 10765:14 10768:1 desired 10770:3 10809:18 10709:7 10711:8 10725:2,8 detail 10590:11 10591:10 difficulty 10595:11 10822:20 10740:1,3 10773:24 **Dr** 10715:25 10635:12 10748:5 10836:2 10844:23 10786:23 10825:25 draft 10681:3,12 10717:14 detailing 10706:7 dime 10714:22 10715:13 10838:11 10844:18,21 10722:7,9 10764:12,17 details 10588:19 10736:3 dimension 10610:1 10669:25 10850:2,14 10829:13,17 10831:4,18 10761:23 10670:3 10789:9 discussions 10601:17 10602:9 10840:1 detain 10648:11 dimensions 10670:2 10776:4 10634:16 10641:9 10643:5 drafted 10621:9 10657:5 detained 10595:21 10596:4 diplomatic 10691:6 10645:7 10658:15 10661:16 drafter 10664:19 10597:11,19,23 10598:5 direct 10587:18 10633:11 10661:23 10662:18 drafting 10668:4,8 10601:2 10636:7 10666:2 10634:17 10642:6 10652:20 10683:23 10696:17 draw 10620:16 10826:22 10692:6,15 10697:4 10685:20 10686:14 10700:9 10707:16 10715:4 10723:8 10828:23 10708:8 10741:7 10751:9 10730:6 10834:15 10726:2,7 10777:18 drawing 10843:1 10786:14,14,15 10829:22 drawn 10671:21 10680:10 10751:13 10804:24 direction 10713:2,7 10757:15 10806:14 10811:6 10822:10 10757:16 10832:8 10849:12 10827:16 detainees 10708:21 10721:7 directive 10756:5 dual 10602:12,17 10697:6 **dispensed** 10662:21 due 10648:7 10754:23 detaining 10804:22 directives 10756:11 disrespectful 10727:22 detention 10596:10 10597:16 directly 10634:22 10650:8 dissatisfaction 10630:11 10837:20 10598:17 10606:4 10607:21 10653:3 10767:10 dissatisfied 10713:12 **dump** 10746:23 10747:7 10713:24 10803:16 10806:2 10758:18,22 10759:12,15 Director 10586:8,19,21,23 dissuade 10679:11 10817:4 10622:8 10668:16 10706:12 distinction 10720:6 10760:18 **determine** 10729:18 10732:6 10765:7,17 distributed 10706:7 10807:2 duties 10586:16 10587:1 10766:4,12,21,24 10767:10 determined 10607:25 10639:7 dit 10781:12 10674:16 duty 10670:13 10768:1 10783:17 10788:24 division 10592:9,11,11 develop 10660:6 10753:6 10610:25 10642:19 development 10793:16 10811:23 10830:14 dynamic 10772:9 devoted 10586:1 **Directors** 10728:12 10783:18 débute 10584:3 **DFAIT** 10603:10 10605:15 **Director's** 10668:17 10776:3 **DM** 10666:6 10675:18 10606:7,14,17 10607:9 10790:2,14 **DMA** 10621:13 10814:3 direct-line 10587:9 10608:3,5 10609:6,8,22 **DMs** 10727:18 earlier 10584:15 10658:11 10610:12 10613:24 10616:5 disaccord 10680:6 document 10594:6 10616:5,8 10683:19 10702:15 disagree 10737:21 10618:23 10621:4.14 10616:12.16.19.24 10617:2 10726:10 10738:10 disagreeing 10749:18 10617:8.14 10629:14 10637:3 10647:3 10648:25 10785:20 10829:17 10832:4 disciplined 10632:11 10650:22,23 10655:2,18 10638:1 10654:9 10676:5 early 10602:11 10609:12 10657:7 10665:7,20 **discloses** 10627:15 10681:9 10682:23 10705:3 10613:8,13 10635:25 10666:5.11 10667:10 disclosure 10628:8 10649:25 10705:7 10735:6 10764:18 10654:7 10656:13 10672:1 10651:3 10753:8 10756:17 10684:10,12 10686:11,21 10778:11,20 10782:1 10683:1 10687:1 10848:19 10786:2 10787:19,21,25 10792:8 10690:24 10691:3 10714:3 East 10776:23 discontent 10632:14 10713:9 10714:12 10721:6 10776:9 10794:11 10796:7,21 Easter 10656:24 10668:5,25 10781:20 10784:6,14 10713:23 10797:6,12,18 10798:4 10671:19 10778:23 10791:8 10796:8 10805:21 discouraged 10774:17 10799:3 Eastern 10592:13 10808:22,24 10809:12 discover 10638:7,10 **documents** 10672:18 easy 10663:13 10755:12 discuss 10607:5,10 10704:13 10813:16 10814:4 10829:5 10674:24 10734:24 editorializing 10714:24 10704:19 10806:9 10829:20 10830:16 10735:18 10752:4 10764:8 10715:7 **DFAIT's** 10686:25 10687:5 discussed 10615:20 10620:11 10764:13 10765:2 10777:2 effect 10650:11 10659:14 **DG** 10696:16 10625:18 10647:14 10777:3 10778:23 10784:20 10669:10 10671:6 10672:11 dialogue 10607:17 10652:5 10658:12 10660:22 10787:12,17 10789:24 10672:12,13,14 10673:8 **Dickenson** 10764:7,12,16,20 10664:23 10674:25 10796:4 10798:10 10799:2 10674:9,14,17,25 10678:24

10683:20 10685:9 10704:7

10813:19

10678:25 10679:20,20

10765:2 10851:10,12,17

10686:18 10709:18 10716:8 ended 10602:13,16 10686:19 evidence 10584:16 10609:17 10723:17,18 10735:19 enforcement 10649:6 10617:16 10620:6 10630:4 10741:5 10808:8.19 10688:13 10745:23 10630:9 10633:8 10635:1.4 10832:24 10746:10.12 10752:12 10635:8,12,24 10636:6 effecting 10729:6 10755:19 10756:1.15 10644:9 10646:13 10650:22 effectively 10642:12 10697:17 10653:6,9,18 10657:4 10757:10 10804:17 10750:22 10800:6 engage 10634:5 10703:24 10659:15 10665:10 effort 10844:5 10851:6 10729:4 10801:4,8 10685:16 10688:24,25 efforts 10657:16 10660:1 10833:12 10835:8 10689:2 10690:8,12,14,23 10666:17 10667:18 engaged 10647:3 10699:19 10690:24 10692:17.25 10675:20 10784:7 10713:20 10716:7 10776:11 10693:2 10694:12 10695:9 engagement 10851:17 Egypt 10708:12 10817:6,13 10699:24 10702:8 10714:16 engages 10801:3 10803:8 10818:15 10715:10 10716:6,19 **Egyptian** 10673:15 10823:4,10 10717:22 10719:2,3 engaging 10600:12 10697:15 **Egyptians** 10674:1 10722:15 10723:4 10734:24 Egyptian-Canadian 10673:20 10742:6 10745:23 10735:4,9 10737:12,20 either 10600:5 10611:15 ensure 10590:6 10591:11 10738:7,16 10740:13,20 10642:18 10667:21 10627:8 10701:5 10704:21 10741:5 10743:3,4,18,20 10682:10 10695:4 10736:5 10719:22 10725:7 10769:23 10744:16,22 10747:1 10749:3 enter 10626:24 10749:25 10750:4.11 El 10734:17 10737:1 entities 10591:11 10664:5 10753:12 10754:3,11,20,25 10740:21 10741:7,12 10791:17 10757:4 10759:25 10761:24 10805:16 10806:13,20,24 **entitled** 10667:8 10762:18 10768:4 10769:5 10807:7 10810:16 10812:8 entity 10595:25 10607:20 10778:6 10779:14 10781:2 10633:11,18 10634:4,11,13 10817:3,6,25 10819:20 10782:15,22 10785:1 10821:19 10824:1 10634:15,16 10645:8 10788:14,16,24 10791:7,18 elaborate 10837:11 10652:20 10655:19 10791:19 10792:21 Elcock 10623:19 10668:12 10663:10 10793:22 10794:9 10796:2 10796:23 10797:7,22 10765:8 10772:24 10773:7 entry 10616:4 10618:24 10773:12 10774:16 environment 10593:7 10801:22 10803:6 10807:1 10775:12,23 10802:14 10739:21 10745:14,17 10808:3 10809:8,13 10811:2,19 10821:18,24 10772:11,13,16,22 10773:4 10818:17 10820:18,19,22 Elcock's 10772:16 10801:22 envov 10720:13 10781:19 10820:25 10822:16,17 10803:6 10819:10 10820:5 equally 10653:13 10823:19 10826:2,4 10821:21 era 10593:6 10829:3 10830:9 10831:10 especially 10627:24 10811:5 electric 10806:5,15 10832:25 10834:18,20,20 element 10644:24 10679:11 10819:20 10837:14.23 10838:22.25 essential 10801:7 10839:6 10841:3 10842:4 10786:19 elements 10659:1 10800:18 essentially 10617:21 10842:14,18,25 10843:4,8 Eleven 10697:2 est 10852:9 10850:25 10851:5,25 establish 10634:11 10657:17 elicit 10598:17 10753:9 evident 10684:6,13 10818:22 10816:11.12.13.21 10826:7 10800:15 exact 10716:13 established 10591:5 10634:19 elicited 10684:21 exactly 10775:13 10789:10 examination 10585:2 10730:7 **eliciting** 10598:19 10820:8 El-Maati 10837:18 **establishing** 10633:10,17 10734:3 10846:23 El-Maati's 10827:25 10634:4 examination-in-chief embarked 10649:25 estimation 10625:18 10780.14 **embarking** 10633:17 10700:18 example 10600:15 10674:23 et 10800:3 10805:9 embarrass 10674:6 10682:17,25 10743:5 **embarrassed** 10724:14,15 evaluate 10800:1 10746:22 10765:21 embarrassment 10673:12 event 10615:1 10629:16 10770:21 10774:6 10775:22 Embassy 10619:23 10630:20 10636:21 10639:21 10802:17 10811:11 10631:11 10673:16 10668:20 10684:12 exception 10593:19 10610:8 **Emergency** 10585:20 10689:23 10702:13 10714:7 exceptional 10802:13 10803:9 **employee** 10751:15 10816:1 10822:3 exchange 10619:3 10629:25 encourage 10773:21 events 10593:5 10707:1 10757:11 10816:7 encouraged 10765:18 eventually 10647:5 10816:11,25 10821:5 encouraging 10766:21 everybody 10644:23 10694:3 exchanged 10627:11 10828:13,24 10713:2 exchanges 10633:22

exchanging 10601:18 exclusively 10586:1 10604:7 excuse 10606:18 10681:4.8 10687:2 10689:14 10716:4 10729:23 executing 10610:7 exercise 10650:1 10651:3 10729:1 10786:2 exercises 10627:3 10742:6 exfiltration 10818:25 exhibit 10592:19 10602:23 10610:15 10612:4.6 10613:18,21 10616:3 10618:14 10620:19,25 10622:12 10630:13 10636:22 10646:23 10654:12,19 10656:23 10680:20,23,23,24,25 10681:3 10682:10,11 10705:9 10716:14 10721:25 10730:22 10732:22 10759:9 10759:10 10764:5,11 10813:20 10829:8 10839:15 exhibits 10759:10 exigencies 10594:11 existed 10650:19 10749:10 exists 10659:20 10673:12 10749:9 10833:5 expect 10667:8 10733:9 10751:13 10788:9 expectation 10595:5 10601:7 10633:21 10659:3 10758:4 10766:4 10833:14 10835:10 expectations 10763:13 expected 10780:3 10804:8 10807:16 10818:24 10824:3 expend 10602:2 **expended** 10741:4 expenditure 10602:6 **experience** 10584:25 10636:16 10687:20 experienced 10744:2 10745:6 expertise 10677:20,21,25 10742:5,14 explain 10619:20 10668:10 10669:21 10748:1 10787:10 10787:16,23 **explained** 10764:3 10782:12 explanation 10631:20 10632:17 expressed 10630:10 10760:8 10830:19 expresses 10617:7 expressly 10709:21 extension 10667:18 10675:19 extensively 10588:3 10683:11 10742:25 10745:15 10775:10 extent 10635:10 10801:16

focused 10636:11 fifteen 10730:19 10806:22 fifth 10613:25 **extracted** 10797:15 **follow** 10622:3 extraordinary 10600:3,10 figure 10700:18 **following** 10593:5 10621:12 10802:16 10811:9.11 file 10591:12 10691:10 10630:17 10642:17 10659:1 10732:21 10734:5 10738:19 10717:16 10814:2 e-mail 10610:18 10611:8.25 10612:10 10613:23 10637:1 follows 10801:25 10740:12 10715:3,5 10760:6,7 files 10588:5,12,15 10589:15 foot 10728:10 10787:25 10816:6,15,17 10734:9 10737:4 10739:2,7 Force 10717:14 10739:11,17,24 10740:4,5 forced 10806:5 F 10745:8 10746:15,15 forces 10615:24 facilitate 10608:23 final 10601:15 10653:24 foreign 10587:8 10589:16 10596:1 10599:23 10602:7 facsimile 10654:19 10681:3,4,8,16 10682:23 10721:1 10729:8 10764:18 10602:10 10608:11 10610:5 fact 10587:17 10601:3 10664:11,17 10674:23 10765:1 10625:2,5,9,11,15 10626:25 finally 10617:6 10654:11 10690:19 10711:12 10627:6,11,16,22 10628:5 10727:22 10741:9 10743:12 10659:23 10667:5 10676:14 10628:20 10633:12,18,23 10745:5 10747:24 10758:21 10681:1 10685:7 10719:1 10634:11 10638:14,19 10774:5 10785:19 10790:23 financial 10850:12 10639:10 10640:13,19 10790:24 10799:3 10804:2 find 10602:3 10628:14 10642:20 10645:3 10651:7 10805:8 10824:23 10850:7 10632:15 10654:22 10651:10 10652:2,6,8,14,22 facts 10645:2 10678:12 10724:12 10756:25 10657:12,24 10659:13,16 10693:16 10694:3 10743:20 10784:18 10786:4 10787:14 10661:18,24 10665:10,22 10787:18 10788:12 10792:8 10774:12 10671:15 10675:16 10676:6 fair 10702:5 10704:25 10807:23 10809:25 10676:23 10677:5,24 10817:17 10818:6 10822:6 10709:1,9,13 10738:14 10681:5 10682:2,3 10739:16 10743:3 10797:8 10839:14 10684:19 10686:4,16 fine 10741:1 10768:18 10687:14,15,24 10688:7 10797:11 10798:21 10806:11 10811:14 10787:6 10822:15 10689:1,4,5,9,16,25 finished 10711:25 10690:5,9 10691:3,21 10837:14 fairly 10668:13 fired 10751:3,6 10698:20 10699:18 first 10594:9 10595:19,20 10701:14 10705:12,20 fairness 10780:18 fall 10729:10 10596:15 10597:14 10711:13 10726:23 10729:5 fallen 10783:23 10599:18 10600:22 10755:18,19 10756:3,7,15 false 10806:6,7 10628:19 10637:12 10758:1,10 10777:12 10638:23 10639:14 10783:18 10788:6,8 familiar 10798:16 10799:12 far 10617:7 10629:2,5,10 10640:11 10649:22 10654:7 10791:15 10793:11 10630:2 10636:11 10651:13 10655:14 10657:13.14.15 10799:20 10800:20 10801:3 10663:15 10670:19 10696:3 10803:8.16 10806:24 10688:6 10711:18.20.21 10698:7,14 10700:21 10812:15 10832:23 10833:1 10712:13,15,21 10713:14 10708:22,23 10713:22 10837:4 10846:7 10847:21 10729:22 10736:24 10746:8 10719:3,11,20 10722:13 10848:2,14,23 10749:18 10752:20,22 10757:16 10759:6.16 10729:16 10737:5 10754:10 forget 10784:25 10760:13 10785:20 10849:1 10761:2 10765:5.21 formal 10684:21 10771:5 10775:11 10797:3,22 formally 10685:1 fashion 10673:14 fast 10634:7 10839:18 formed 10740:19 10778:18 faster 10749:16 fit 10746:11 10752:20 former 10589:6 favour 10802:11 **five** 10691:5 10724:11,12 forms 10822:14 10809:25 10819:12 forth 10685:6 10707:3 FBI 10756:1 feasible 10732:16 fix 10651:13,18 10652:12 **Forty-nine** 10672:17 feature 10701:11 flavour 10690:7 forward 10670:14 10676:23 features 10804:13 Flewelling 10743:5,9 10760:6 10677:8 10694:4 10711:3,4 February 10706:3 10707:16 10760:8,14,20 10808:15 10711:10 10732:23 federal 10766:5 10809:10,11 10838:21 Flewelling's 10809:22 feel 10664:15 forwarded 10808:4 feeling 10705:5 flippant 10785:18 found 10631:15 10638:23 feelings 10786:13 floated 10707:14 10841:12 10714:3 10720:3,3 10757:5 feet/legs 10806:4 floating 10788:10 10792:15 10812:13 felt 10697:18 10732:19 flow 10650:8 10840:14

focus 10588:10 10590:21

four 10695:16 10724:10,12

fence 10755:12

10744:12,20 10745:2 fourth 10611:9 France 10708:13 frankly 10759:24 French 10781:8 frequent 10591:17 **frequently** 10713:20 Friday 10819:13 friend 10730:8 10731:20 10732:23 front 10639:13 10690:6 10793:2 **frustrating** 10736:20 **fulfilled** 10667:12 **fulfilling** 10674:16 10675:16 full 10703:24 10721:22 10774:12 fundamental 10745:22 fundamentally 10626:8 further 10593:9,17 10598:10 10628:3 10664:8 10706:22 10736:8 10791:20 10828:14 furtherance 10822:19 Furthermore 10648:23 10666:23 10775:14 future 10704:21 10706:24 fuzzy 10748:22

G game 10738:11 gang 10691:12 Gar 10610:18,19 10611:18 10619:2,3,5,22 10657:5 10663:15,16,25,25 10664:2 10698:6 10705:3 10707:18 10717:2,6 10727:8 10847:2 **Gardez-le** 10781:13 Garvie 10603:10.14 10744:1 10744:4 10759:1 10837:19 10837:24 gather 10740:20 10741:8 10764:24 10800:22 gathering 10738:6 10740:13 gathers 10737:23 10738:5 general 10585:22 10586:8 10621:5 10622:8 10628:13 10628:17,19 10631:1,7 10651:21 10657:23 10658:4 10659:12 10661:6,19,21,22 10665:7,15,21 10666:10 10667:21 10668:5,11,14 10674:10,17 10675:12 10677:1,14 10678:14 10687:13 10691:19,24 10694:6 10706:13 10707:11 10718:9 10724:7 10726:16 10728:12 10767:11,24 10785:6 10818:4 10830:15 10832:22 10837:3 10848:25

generally 10627:14 10634:9 10750:12 10757:11 10635:4 10636:3 10646:1 guarantee 10631:3 10653:5 10738:9 10759:16 10762:12 10720:22 10755:6 10771:2 guess 10629:5 10645:25 10771:3 10776:10.12 General's 10693:14 10716:10 10763:20 10765:4 10768:1 10646:7.8 10651:4 generated 10609:9 10650:4 10768:19 10770:21 10800:7 10801:18 10652:13 10653:1,2 generic 10761:22 10776:21 10777:3 10778:22 gotten 10709:14 10713:14 10662:11 10686:14 10707:4 genesis 10649:21 10780:1,20 10781:18 Gould 10624:11 10630:5 10711:7 10714:4 10726:9 10782:10 10783:10 10722:8,23 10723:7 **gentleman** 10689:15 10733:11 10736:11 Geographic 10642:19 10784:11 10792:19,21 10724:2 10776:20,21 10761:19 10776:6 10844:22 10793:3 10795:25 10803:19 10787:1,4 10791:9 10846:16 10851:15 geopolitical 10818:21 getting 10596:7 10686:22 10805:12 10810:18,24 10812:17 10813:3 guessing 10599:14 10712:18 10719:12,18 10812:11,12 10813:19,24 Gould's 10622:12.13 **guidance** 10590:4 10743:16 10766:10 10769:8 10814:20 10819:12 10623:10 10785:1 guilty 10779:23 10773:2,8,14 10780:7 10823:19 10829:8 10831:24 **governance** 10677:18 guy 10629:20 10638:5 10786:20 10818:4 10837:9 10838:11 10843:6 10848:14 10688:16 10699:17 10662:14 10669:10 give 10584:22,24 10592:19 God 10662:13,24 10691:4 government 10611:3 10638:4 10689:24 10690:4 10692:2 10599:3 10602:22 10613:20 goes 10607:2 10614:10 10709:24 10714:6 10723:22 10641:4 10642:14 10643:15 10620:21 10625:3 10676:1 10623:1,4 10629:21 10644:17 10645:9 10657:19 10724:9,11 10727:25 10677:17 10679:19 10632:3 10641:20 10647:21 10659:10 10662:5,7 10728:4 10688:23,24 10690:11,23 10648:14 10655:4 10666:21 10665:24 10666:24 guys 10605:19 10652:24,25 10719:18 10721:25 10736:8 10669:16 10681:4 10683:13 10667:10 10669:2 10670:24 10664:3 10676:8 10689:6 10752:17 10759:17 10683:16 10706:1 10715:24 10672:2 10674:6 10675:24 10691:9 10700:24,24 10772:25 10806:6 10807:19 10716:2,3 10718:16 10679:12 10680:2,2,6 10701:3,25 10703:7 10808:1 10823:15 10845:4 10722:22 10759:6 10821:11 10686:17 10687:7,8 10713:7 given 10589:19,25 10602:11 10830:12 10833:9 10692:8 10693:3 10694:3,8 Н 10603:9,14 10613:18 going 10590:10 10591:9 10694:8 10697:13 10699:8 10614:23 10618:13 **h** 10584:4 10623:11 10656:8 10597:10,11 10601:7,9 10701:15 10704:20 10620:19 10631:5 10653:15 10705:15,22 10710:5 10603:15 10607:12 10656:10 10731:15,17 10656:23 10667:1 10669:4 10609:11,17 10625:13,13 10712:21,25 10713:15 10810:10,12 10852:9,10 10676:3 10687:10 10718:17 10625:19 10632:4.5 10716:21 10718:1 10728:4 half 10784:22,22 10744:12 10745:2 10762:22 10636:14 10650:25 10738:1,3 10759:13 halfway 10604:11 10622:17 10764:21 10773:19 10652:14,22 10669:11 10760:13 10765:24 10766:1 10792:7,13 10775:17 10795:22 10671:22,24 10672:4 10766:13,15,16,25 hand 10663:18 10676:8 10768:24 10770:1,4,14,23 10813:12,16 10815:22 10680:1 10681:9 10682:2 10721:6,6,11,12 10769:3 10818:23 10821:20 10682:15,15 10689:8 10775:15,23 10788:4 handed 10746:25 10822:17 10823:18 10825:7 10690:3 10691:2,17 10790:6 10800:14 10827:6 hands 10686:11 10692:12 10696:25 10832:20 10834:12,13 10849:1 happen 10601:7 10647:20 gives 10690:7 10697:25 10698:2 10702:19 10835:25 10836:12.23 10690:3 10724:14 10747:11 giving 10635:17 10638:10 10702:22 10704:2,4,5 10837:2 10841:2 10842:17 10770:5.14 10771:11.21 10655:17 10773:12 10714:15 10720:1,11 governments 10766:2 10772:6 10773:17 10803:9 10725:19 10726:11,21 10820:25 10826:14 **Governor** 10765:16 happened 10605:23 10613:5 10828:13 10850:25 10727:6,16,17 10733:4,11 **Graham** 10603:16,23 10632:16 10640:10 10733:17,25 10736:3 10642:11 10643:12 10716:11 10724:10 glad 10811:20 global 10669:13 10737:8,19 10747:17 10644:14.21 10666:10 10729:18 10747:3 10761:6 **GMR** 10643:1 10644:22 10749:15 10752:18 10667:14 10668:2 10689:3 10762:25 10795:22 10761:23 10764:5,8 happening 10593:10 10603:2 10776:22 10689:16,23 10690:3 go 10588:19 10603:6,7,23 10774:2.4 10778:5.6 10693:5 10698:2 10727:23 10851:9 10604:10,19 10605:9 10782:12 10784:21 10786:8 10849.4 happens 10714:15,18 10611:24 10616:3 10617:6 Graham's 10689:19 10786:15 10788:9,12,20 10715:23 10744:19 10618:17 10623:23 10625:3 10789:12,16,21 10790:3 great 10592:17 10630:11 happy 10638:5 10639:17 10626:1 10634:14 10636:19 10792:11,25 10799:16 10635:12 10638:25 10657:4 hard 10634:7 10712:5 10800:23 10801:21 10636:25 10637:6.25 10676:11 10682:18 10741:3 10715:21 10718:11,15 10640:15 10649:19 10650:6 10802:20 10809:25 10742:22 10744:8 10748:2 10772:8 10787:18 10656:25 10658:23 10810:24 10818:22 10748:5 10769:25 10810:19 hardened 10684:24 10719:14 10665:16 10691:2 10692:22 10819:11 10822:5 10823:24 greater 10586:10 10687:20 10719:16,22 10693:19 10698:13 10824:16 10825:8 10829:5 **Greffier** 10731:1 hardening 10719:24 10702:15 10708:1 10710:23 10837:15 10844:16,17,21 ground 10653:10 10702:19 Harder 10714:11 10714:15 10719:23 10727:6 10847:22 10849:3 10850:25 10762:6 head 10610:25 10611:8 10727:6 10734:19 10736:19 good 10584:7,8 10600:7 grounds 10819:19 10820:9 10646:5 10657:6 10702:1 10737:11 10741:19 10748:6 10615:16 10624:5 10631:19 group 10607:3 10767:16 10814:18

heading 10808:20 headquarters 10585:15 10586:6.13.19 10589:24.25 10591:22 10592:10 10607:1 10610:3 10615:13 10617:25 10642:20 heads-up 10700:1 health 10636:3 hear 10643:11 10644:13 10648:24 10691:5 10811:20 heard 10589:10 10592:17 10600:2 10605:15 10630:3 10635:4,13,15 10636:6 10638:13 10657:4 10677:14 10694:11 10695:8 10751:8 10796:2 hearing 10820:25 10852:7 hearings 10822:6 10852:4 heated 10786:23 **Heatherington** 10717:2 10791:10 height 10745:10 held 10614:3 10630:23 10645:7 10677:12 10685:4 10814:24 10829:13,19 help 10590:19 10772:21 10773:14 10836:18 10848:5 helpful 10652:4 10718:19 10719:4,4,12 10800:9 10809:18 10842:11 10844:5 10851:5 **hesitation** 10652:12 Hev 10751:11 he'll 10764:17 **high** 10614:23 10625:16,20 10720:5 10802:6 10815:23 highest 10693:3 highlight 10657:8 highly 10718:22 10766:9 10771:10 high-level 10713:2 10727:15 high-ranking 10673:25 historical 10830:7 hoc 10767:16 hold 10596:19 10633:1 10698:8 hole 10702:1 Holy 10662:13 home 10661:13 10664:6 **Homeland** 10765:12 10770:24 homework 10804:9 hook 10633:4 hooked 10632:17 **Hooper** 10584:14,15,23 10585:1,3,5,9,12,16,19 10586:3,7,14,17,24 10587:4 10587:13,15,22 10588:1,7 10588:13,18,25 10589:4,8

10589:12,21 10590:9,17,23 10591:4,14,25 10592:6,22 10594:15 10595:4.9.14.16 10595:22 10596:5,11,14,24 10597:6,13,17,24 10598:6 10598:14,23 10599:5,9,12 10599:22 10600:11,17 10601:5,9,14,20 10602:1,5 10602:18 10603:1 10605:12 10605:22 10606:1,10 10607:11,19 10608:2,7,16 10609:15,24 10610:13,20 10610:23 10611:1,5,21 10612:1,12 10613:3,6,10,16 10615:7,10,14,18,25 10617:21 10618:1,5,8,13,17 10618:18,20 10619:1,4,10 10619:17 10620:9,12,15 10621:2 10622:7 10623:2 10624:13 10625:6 10626:3 10626:7 10628:25 10629:12 10629:22 10630:1 10632:9 10632:13 10633:16 10634:18,21 10635:22 10636:18 10638:8,12,20 10640:6,9 10642:2,5,10 10644:7 10645:19,23 10646:11,21 10649:17 10651:2,12,24 10653:4,21 10654:6,15 10655:13,20,25 10656:13 10657:2 10660:13 10660:16,24 10661:6,15 10662:6,16 10663:2,13 10664:7,13,20,25 10665:2 10668:6,22 10669:19,23 10670:23 10671:8,12,25 10672:8.16 10674:12.19 10675:1.9.14 10676:21 10677:3,16,24 10678:4,8 10679:10,17,25 10680:14 10680:23 10681:13,18,21 10682:6.12 10686:24 10687:4,16,19 10688:8 10690:13,16 10691:11 10692:24 10693:9,22 10694:13,16,19,25 10695:3 10695:6,13,24 10697:3,22 10698:7,12 10699:9,15,23 10700:4,8,15 10701:1,10,18 10702:14,22 10703:4,9,21 10704:8,11,14,17 10705:1,4 10707:9,20,25 10708:24 10709:6,11,25 10710:12,25 10711:7,18,23 10712:3,9,15 10712:20 10713:16.22 10714:13 10715:19,20 10717:4 10718:7,11,15,21 10719:5,9,20 10720:15,19 10720:24 10721:14,17,23

10722:2,5 10723:11,14,24 10724:23 10725:12.18.22 10725:25 10726:18 10727:3 10727:7,13 10728:9,16,23 10729:8.20 10730:1.12 10734:12,19 10735:2,5,13 10735:20,25 10736:1,17 10737:13,17,25 10738:4,8 10738:15,21,25 10739:4,9 10739:14,20,25 10740:3,6 10740:10.15 10741:1.10.14 10741:18,25 10742:9,17,20 10742:25 10743:19,25 10744:5,10,18,24 10745:11 10745:21 10747:8,13 10748:10,14,20 10749:5,11 10749:17,19 10750:2,6,14 10750:17,20 10751:5,14,20 10751:24 10752:6,22 10753:5,19,22 10754:2,8,18 10755:3,11,21 10756:2,7,12 10756:18,21 10757:2,14 10758:3,11,17 10762:4,16 10762:20 10763:3,11,17,22 10764:1 10766:3,14,23 10767:13 10768:8,18 10769:17 10770:10,16 10771:14 10772:1,8,18 10773:6,23 10774:2,11,20 10774:24 10775:7 10776:1 10776:11,16,21 10777:5 10778:2,12,17,25 10779:3,7 10779:11 10780:3,13 10781:6,14,25 10782:8 10783:13 10784:16 10785:17 10786:11,18 10787:2.6.13 10788:2.23 10789:7,16,19 10790:5,15 10790:19,22,25 10791:3,19 10792:23 10793:9 10794:4 10794:10,23 10795:1,4,8,10 10795:17.23 10796:5.11.14 10796:25 10797:4,9,16,24 10798:5,11,15 10799:10,17 10799:19,22 10800:4,17,25 10801:6,18 10803:12,18,22 10804:4,12,18 10805:1,4,10 10805:18,23 10806:17 10807:9,19,23 10808:9 10809:17 10811:8,15,18,22 10812:1,9,14 10813:6,9,13 10813:17,22 10814:19 10815:4 10816:4,10,15,17 10816:23 10817:7,14 10818:18 10819:22 10820:2 10820:21 10821:2,9,15,24 10822:2,13,24 10823:12 10824:7,20,22 10825:1,6,13 10825:19,22 10826:4,11,17

10826:21 10827:3,9,15,19 10828:4,7,9,15 10829:1,6 10832:1.16 10833:8 10834:9 10835:1,5,21 10836:16.23 10837:2.12.25 10838:5,9,16,19,25 10839:3 10839:11 10840:12,16.19 10840:22 10841:7,11,19,22 10842:12,22 10843:12,19 10843:24 10844:3,12,16,24 10845:3,9,14,20 10846:3,25 10847:3,9,11,13,16,20 10848:7,11,16,24 10849:8 10849:10,16,19,23,25 10850:5,8,13,17,24 10851:2 Hooper's 10646:1 hope 10624:3 10666:5 10754:18 hot 10671:23 10672:5 hotel 10631:13.16 hour 10730:19 10792:7,11 hours 10733:13,16,18,19 10765:6 housekeeping 10730:20 huge 10725:5 human 10600:6 10627:1,13 10627:18 10628:21 10629:19 10676:6 10677:13 10692:9 10721:11 10769:2 10774:9 10797:14 10811:4 10812:6 10827:24 10850:11 10850:11 humanitarian 10647:16 hundreds 10732:14,17,18 hurt 10786:12 hypothetical 10761:20 10763:3 10822:2 H.G 10830:14

idea 10615:16 10619:6,14 10700:4,5 10720:23 10728:6 10771:3,3 10829:4 identity 10802:23 10803:1 10811:13 ignores 10745:13 illegally 10670:19 10677:11 10692:2 10693:16 immediate 10623:9 10745:13 immediately 10605:16 10662:15 imminent 10811:7 10816:8,14 10816:18 10817:10 10818:3 10818:10,17 10819:5,7 10820:6 10821:22,25 10822:12,13 10823:5,11,13 **impact** 10670:9 10687:15,20 10687:23 10688:6 10752:14 **impediment** 10686:17

10714:21 10716:22 10718:2 10828:22 10805:7 10812:5 10813:1 10832:9,10 **implications** 10773:4,20 infiltration 10818:24 **informing** 10635:9 10815:18 10817:20 10820:20 10824:19 10827:1 10791:2 influence 10729:6 ingredient 10801:7 important 10636:20 10664:17 inform 10629:13 10668:15 **inhumane** 10728:20 10827:4.12.13.21 10828:20 10693:16 10696:21.22 10670:5 10776:3 intelligence-gathering **inimical** 10773:9 initial 10598:14 10639:1 10738:20 10741:23 10721:3,19 10754:1 **information** 10587:19,20 10763:24 10799:19 10589:18 10590:6.15 10662:18 intelligence-led 10746:1 10800:14 10801:10,13,16 10592:16,18 10593:15,22 initially 10585:19 10601:3 intended 10621:20 10814:10 **imposed** 10754:23 10594:2,12,19,25 10595:8 10608:20 10613:21 10621:7 intends 10814:14 10822:25 **imposition** 10594:13 10595:11 10596:7,9,21 10622:16 10638:14 intent 10633:4 10666:15 impression 10594:6 10604:13 10597:2.4 10598:10.17.20 10647:22 10650:12.15 10671:9 10752:1 10770:3 10638:11 10640:4.25 10599:4 10604:2.4.5.8.22 10683:4 10778:17 **intention** 10849:21 10650:18 10651:6 10656:17 10605:4.11 10606:3.23 **initiative** 10667:23 intentionally 10785:16 10607:20 10608:5 10609:4 initiatives 10706:9 10700:3 10709:10,24 interact 10800:6 10722:25 10723:8 10725:13 10609:6 10611:13 10617:4 innocent 10779:23 interaction 10695:19 10725:17,23 10763:8 10617:24 10618:2.4 inquiries 10632:15 10639:6 10698:10 10728:12 10785:3 10621:12 10626:8 10627:5 10639:22 10757:18 **imprisoned** 10646:17 10627:10,16,23 10628:7 inquiry 10732:4 10789:25 interactions 10591:17 **Improving** 10705:13,21 10629:18,25 10630:6 10852:1 10700:9 **imputing** 10725:3 10635:18 10637:17 insofar 10697:22 interagency 10605:17 inaccuracies 10726:6 10641:14 10645:3 10648:19 inspector 10585:22 10754:20 10607:9 10619:15 10621:3 10649:1,15 10654:23 incarnations 10831:3 10755:15 10756:24 10757:4 intercession 10633:12 10655:9,17 10659:19 incensed 10771:7 10762:18,23 10763:6 interdepartmental 10614:2 incident 10673:15 10663:17 10692:5,6 10822:17 10838:1 10615:5 10814:23 include 10627:21 10684:11 10693:18 10707:2 10708:4 instance 10698:14 10726:13 interest 10591:7 10647:25 10648:3 10676:11 10683:5 10708:11 10732:11,14,15 10734:14 instances 10653:5 **included** 10609:9 10736:9,23 10738:18 10683:6,9 10685:15 instituting 10627:7 including 10643:7 10684:17 10746:7,24 10750:3,5 **institution** 10788:22 10693:18 10717:25 10728:2 10747:1 10805:8 10751:17,22,22 10752:4,13 institutional 10725:3 10747:21,22 10751:12 incorrect 10756:22,23 10752:18,25 10753:6,10 10786:21 10788:3,5,11,14 10787:22 10819:4 10826:15 10791:23 **incursion** 10818:22 10754:6,14,17,22 10755:8 10826:18 10827:13 10828:1 **independent** 10645:2,4 10757:11,22,25 10758:5,7,9 institutionally 10725:10 10829:24 10842:7,25 10788:6 10758:22,24 10759:7,11,15 **instruct** 10640:1 10845:15,18,18 independently 10847:24 interested 10697:15 10713:19 10760:2,9,16,20 10761:1 instructions 10639:5 10825:7 indicate 10691:8 10759:10 10762:2 10763:1,9,9,13 instructive 10651:4 10757:21 10773:7 10827:6 10764:17 10844:17 10777:15,22 10788:10 insufficient 10830:9 **interesting** 10633:8,10 10798:19.22 10799:7 indicated 10621:17 10637:18 **integrity** 10589:18 interests 10625:11 10683:11 intelligence 10589:17 10641:15 10683:4 10684:25 10800:2.5.9.22.22 10801:15 10713:1 10774:9 10594:17 10614:18 10685:24 10701:12 10801:17 10802:8,12,18,22 **interfere** 10766:13 10753:13 10760:7 10776:2 10802:25 10803:4,7,15 10615:23 10616:10 interfering 10766:15 10805:25 10806:6,8,22 10627:10 10638:3 10640:3 interlocutor 10639:15 10777:23 10814:7 10847:1 indicates 10626:1 10691:11 10811:3 10812:19.24 10641:16 10646:3 10652:18 interlocutors 10776:24 10725:11 10829:16 10813:11.15 10814:2 10653:7.12 10677:22 internal 10729:15 10830:23 10816:7,11,11,13,21 10688:3,4,10,11,12,25 international 10614:8 **indicating** 10760:12 10818:4,16 10819:16,18,23 10689:12,24 10690:4,9 10677:10 10724:15 indication 10778:14 10816:8 10819:24 10820:5.8.12.14 10691:1.9.20 10697:5 internationally 10673:24 **interpretation** 10619:1,4,15 10816:13 10827:5 10833:23 10820:22 10821:4,13,19 10724:1 10725:4 10737:15 10834:10 10822:9,21 10823:4,9 10737:23 10738:6,23 10620:3,16 10671:11 indications 10787:11 10825:3,8,17,20,23,25 10740:8 10741:20,23 interpretations 10778:20 10831:21 10826:7,9,14,16,20 10742:4,6 10743:10 interrogate 10685:25 individual 10596:17 10610:4 10827:14 10828:2,5,12,14 10746:6,13 10752:4,20 interrogation 10741:8 10628:2 10629:4 10736:4 10828:17,23,25 10833:4 10753:23 10754:5,7,21 10813:2 individually 10780:4 10849:7 10755:2,19,25 10756:4,8 interrogations 10805:9 individuals 10600:4 10746:11 informed 10614:12 10630:24 intersecting 10713:4 10767:21 10773:21 10776:15 10817:9 10641:12,21 10643:1,9,12 10777:24 10783:18.22 intervene 10621:23 10625:1 industry 10689:7 10643:19 10644:14 10784:25 10789:14 10814:12 inexperienced 10743:14 10658:16,17 10683:24,25 10799:21 10800:13,21 **intervened** 10673:18 inference 10671:21 10679:24 10764:25 10765:17 10801:3 10803:8,21 intervening 10684:23

10777:13,21 10815:15

10804:10,15,16,21 10805:1

intervention 10673:2,7

10680:10 10734:21

10695:9 10712:4 10714:11 10674:3 10773:14 issuance 10657:21 issue 10614:24 10622:23 10722:6 10731:24 10793:4 interview 10597:22 10598:4 10603:14 10655:24 10632:14 10661:25 10793:7 10848:19 10666:13 10754:21 10794:1 10665:19 10667:15 10694:6 iunior 10696:15 10794:22 10795:5,13,15,21 10699:14 10701:22 10704:5 iurisdiction 10589:6 10705:21 10709:18 10721:3 Justice 10706:16 10805:21 10838:2 **interviews** 10732:18 10721:10 10728:2.15.17 iustified 10819:17 10820:4 inter-associations 10746:3 10771:18 10776:4 10785:21 K 10786:15 10789:9,17 **introduce** 10764:4 introduced 10764:6 10810:15 10815:23 10829:3 Kathrvn 10712:4 investigate 10626:11 10648:6 10849:2 keep 10648:11 10679:14 investigated 10837:21 issues 10627:20,20 10635:5 10768:12 10781:16,21 investigating 10830:3 10668:15 10675:22 10820:10 investigation 10635:15 10677:25 10679:1 10703:3 **Kergin** 10619:25 10685:13 10717:20 10719:8 10703:5,7 10707:7,13 kev 10629:17 10730:2 10732:16,20 10723:18 10726:3 10732:19 Khadr 10672:14 10673:20,23 10737:10 10740:9 10741:4 10788:7 10850:4,6 10674:8,13,16,24 10678:24 10741:21 10744:14,21 iterations 10710:15,16 10679:20 10709:18 10746:1,6,13 10747:19,20 **i.e** 10701:7 10723:17 10724:11 10747:23 10748:7,17 Khalil 10631:1,7 10637:5 10749:1,8,9,22,24 10750:16 10644:1 10646:4 10651:21 10751:12 10752:16 10753:1 Jack 10584:14 10623:2,11 10662:15 10663:8,8 10753:15,25 10755:9 10707:18 10664:4 10718:9 10726:15 10762:3 10763:7 10817:2,3 iail 10639:18 10818:14 10785:6 10822:21 10825:12 kids 10676:13 10823:25 10837:22 10842:2 10843:22 James 10731:23 kind 10626:14 10697:4,23 10844:7 January 10593:1 10609:19 10698:20 10701:7 10704:23 investigations 10594:4 10635:25 10636:1,21 10704:24 10730:2 10746:13 10734:11,15 10753:11 10637:2 10640:11 10645:12 10747:7 10748:7,22 10775:20 10649:9 10651:1,14 10749:12 10759:7 10768:6 investigative 10741:3 10710:18 10720:8 10777:10 10803:24 10810:14 10748:15 10785:23 10795:14 10825:25 10828:18 10829:5 investigators 10742:7,16 10798:23 10799:8 10831:14 kinds 10704:21 10724:18 10744:3 10745:6 10794:8 10847:5 knew 10616:22 10672:3 10794:20 10837:20 jeopardy 10671:13 10682:6,7 10698:14,15 invoking 10844:19 jeudi 10584:3 10714:5 10746:1,2,2 involuntarily 10600:4 JFK 10597:10 10804:13 10806:20 involved 10600:21 10636:4 iihadis 10818:25 10811:12 10812:4.5.6 10673:14 10696:13,16 Jim 10606:18 10622:12 10818:5 10712:19 10716:19 10664:9 10698:9.13 know 10584:14 10589:21 10720:12 10738:10,11 **iob** 10586:21 10750:20 10591:14 10596:16 10749:23 10766:12 10772:18 10788:7,25 10597:25 10598:1.17 10804:23 10841:4 10842:19 **Johansson** 10852:24 10599:20 10601:22 10602:5 10849:12 knows 10694:3 10699:6 **JOHN** 10585:1 10602:8 10603:19 10605:11 10728:6 10764:22 involvement 10638:25 joined 10585:4,10 10605:22 10606:1 10607:11 10649:2 ioint 10668:1 10839:9 10607:16 10608:12.20 involving 10741:21 10805:20 **Jonathan** 10613:23 10618:22 10610:19,24 10613:8,23 10807:25 10621:9 10615:19 10619:18 10620:5 in-camera 10653:18 10723:4 10793:6 **Jordan** 10601:4 10624:23 10636:15 10843:4 **JPD** 10612:11 10617:5 10638:12,20 10646:1,2 Iraq 10818:23 **iudgment** 10678:17 10647:5 10650:7,20 **ISI** 10607:9 10608:8 10610:11 July 10720:14,19 10780:25 10651:22 10652:24,24 10610:13 10613:24 10781:17 10782:1,5 10653:18 10657:6 10660:24 10783:11 10784:13,21 10616:13,14 10617:1,3,10 10662:6 10663:20 10669:24 10618:23 10655:2.9 10785:10 10670:7,8 10674:12,19 10664:8 10776:9,15 June 10586:12 10680:19,24 10676:8,10,12,14 10677:3 10783:18 10785:8 10791:9 10680:25 10681:6,8,12,16 10678:9,20 10687:4 10796:8 10814:17 10682:10,11,21,22 10687:1 10688:15 10689:15,21

10687:1 10694:11,21

10692:1,4,14 10693:8

Israel 10708:13

10695:14,18,18,21 10696:13 10697:24 10699:12,15 10700:2,8,15 10700:25 10701:21 10702:10.14 10707:13.18 10707:23 10709:23 10710:20 10713:8 10714:1 10714:8 10715:11,25 10717:3 10718:16 10720:5 10720:8 10723:21 10724:3 10724:10.21 10726:4 10729:10 10733:10 10734:7 10734:13 10736:23 10737:4 10737:8,22 10740:7,11,24 10741:2,6,11 10743:2 10757:14,15 10764:22 10769:20 10770:13 10772:14 10773:9 10781:1 10781:2,23 10782:14 10784:25 10789:23 10799:4 10800:6,7,8,20 10801:2 10803:23 10804:5,14,20 10805:19 10806:21 10813:10 10817:1 10822:5 10824:24 10825:2,6,7 10826:9,23 10831:13 10834:6 10838:20 10841:11 10841:13,14 10843:15 10844:8 10846:3,4 10850:25 **knowing** 10700:17 10711:10 10713:23 10774:12 knowledge 10587:19 10591:6 10600:24 10601:21 10614:19 10626:5 10662:21 10699:13 10720:24 10752:9 10762:15 10813:5 10815:19 10817:21 10825:13 knowledgeable 10611:4 known 10610:21 10613:10 10693:23 10699:21 10727:8 10728:4 10735:14 10736:2 10736:13 10834:5 10847:2

lack 10743:11 10744:2 Laden 10724:13 laid 10729:24 10741:16 10744:13,17 10745:4 landed 10714:6 landing 10597:10 language 10661:20 10662:2 10665:8,9 10682:4 10686:16 10696:10 10698:25 10710:13 10711:14,24 10714:20

10715:10 10716:3,6,16,24 10732:5,21 10760:12 10809:20 10646:18 10676:12 10700:13 10727:8 10733:8 10717:1 10718:6,9,20 10781:19 10793:18 man 10728:19 10719:4.5.6.10.22 10726:1 10810:2 10823:7 10827:9 manage 10697:25 10701:14 10838:12.13.18 10840:3.14 10846:4 10849:3 10840:20,22 10843:10 10831:13 10833:15 managed 10713:9 10785:16 **languaging** 10849:22 10848:14.21 10849:3 10835:11 managing 10587:5 large 10669:24 10784:19 letters 10653:25 mandate 10610:7 10678:22 longer 10761:2 10811:23 largely 10739:14 let's 10651:18 10664:1 longevity 10651:6 10679:6 10680:12 10703:18 late 10785:9 10675:4 10682:23 10703:10 look 10603:15,23 10604:19 10713:13 10723:20 10736:19 10767:3 10848:19 Laughter 10733:7 10749:20 10613:21 10621:7 10642:22 10753:16 10768:2 10774:8 Lauzon 10822:19 level 10592:3 10629:20 10668:23 10670:25 mandated 10626:10 law 10649:6 10677:10 10673:4 10676:10 10696:16 10672:14 10676:10 mandates 10721:5 10753:13 10688:12 10745:23 10746:9 10727:9 10728:12.13 10700:25 10708:3 10723:16 10786.16 Mango 10736:14,18 10740:25 10746:12 10751:23 10743:15 10771:15 10759:18 10761:14 10767:4 manifestations 10819:3 10752:12 10755:19 10847:21 10792:24 10796:17 10756:15 10757:9 10758:1 levels 10593:18 10697:16 10837:18 Manley 10765:11,14 10698:22,23 10726:22 looked 10675:3 10778:10 10769:13 10770:12 10772:4 10804:16 lawful 10679:12 leverage 10684:7,14 10686:12 looking 10787:17 10799:3 10772:13 10773:3,21 lawyer 10754:24 10795:14 10686:22 10687:2,2,7 10834:9 10839:18 10774:17 lay 10603:2 lexicon 10843:1 10845:10 looks 10782:22 Manley's 10768:4 le 10584:3 10731:1 10852:10 liaison 10585:23 10587:8 loss 10759:24 March 10646:22 10647:1,14 lead 10639:8,23 10746:6 10606:24 10612:20 10617:1 lost 10754:8 10649:12,13,14 10650:23 10755:5 10830:2 10796:8 lot 10632:18 10651:4 10697:3 10778:10,14 Libya 10708:14 leading 10681:6 10720:20 10697:5 10700:9,16 mark 10623:13 10848:17 life 10752:8 10710:17 10729:2 10741:5 marked 10730:21 10731:10 leads 10620:1 10748:13 light 10686:21 10708:25 10749:16 10771:6 10796:2 Martel 10611:9 10851:21 leak 10729:11,12,25 10732:10 10745:4,10 10753:24 10810:18 10828:15 material 10681:13 10752:8 10815:6 10819:20 10827:24 lower 10698:23 10740:8 10846:11 10758:12,13 leaks 10729:17 10730:8 matter 10593:16 10609:25 likelihood 10744:17 10803:2 lower-level 10786:23,25 leap 10725:5,5 liking 10688:10 lunch 10650:6,9 10730:18 10620:2 10628:20 10655:19 learn 10595:20 limited 10699:13 10768:15 **lunchtime** 10730:6 10663:14 10680:18 10686:3 learned 10599:7,18,20,22 line 10595:18 10599:13 lundi 10852:10 10691:13 10706:6 10715:6 10608:2 10647:22 10765:6 10603:15 10604:11 10730:21 10732:7 10743:20 **Lupul** 10809:19 10794:1,7 10849:13 10607:17 10613:25 10621:1 Lupul's 10809:13 10750:8 10767:5 10768:22 learning 10645:2 10761:1 10655:5 10695:21 10704:10 Lvnda 10852:24 10769:13 10774:5,12 leave 10624:3 10671:14 10710:15 10711:22 L'audience 10584:3 10852:9 10788:12,15 10791:12 10675:13 10776:7 10778:21 10728:21 10767:23 matters 10635:15 10677:22 M 10820:14 10836:6 10837:5 lines 10614:25 10815:25 10736:7 10744:3 10756:8 **Leaving** 10662:1 Maati 10734:17 10737:1 10765:13 10767:18 10768:7 10816:3 lecture 10677:17 linkage 10624:17 10697:5 10740:22 10741:7.12 10846:25 listen 10605:9 10640:3 led 10596:21 10606:4 **Mazigh** 10715:25 10805:16 10806:13.24 10646:4 10689:6 10709:16 10648:20 10715:5 10807:7 10812:8 10817:3,6 **McCallion** 10694:15 **left** 10631:13.17 10632:7 listening 10724:5 10818:1 10821:20 10824:1 10695:12.15.18 10696:4 little 10586:18 10649:9.19 10709:3 10733:23 Maati's 10806:20 10810:16 10697:13,19 10698:5 **legitimate** 10671:6 10679:5 10651:5 10684:7,14 10819:21 10699:9,13,25 10700:18 10687:2 10733:5 10772:12 10723:20 10759:23 10770:6 Madam 10647:4 10702:3 10704:7 10707:6,8 lengthy 10636:7 10781:8 10833:13 10835:9 Maher 10611:16 10614:22 10707:17 10708:20 10709:1 letter 10661:19 10665:6,23 live 10790:6,7 10710:2,11 10711:9 10647:15 10665:25 10666:8 10668:2,25 Livermore 10621:10 10630:5 10712:4 10723:1 10724:25 10715:25 10779:24 10669:16 10670:8 10675:19 10664:8 10776:17 10794:20 10725:6 10726:22 10727:6 10677:9 10682:3,15 living 10692:10 main 10736:25 10740:21 10728:3,5,11 10786:19 10684:20 10686:6,10,15 **lo** 10669:11 10670:18 10741:22 10772:21 10848:18 10849:21 10850:2 10687:13,15 10688:4,6 10701:24 10724:12 10818:13 10850:7.16 maintained 10685:11 10691:19 10692:17 lobbyists 10673:6 McISAAC 10628:10 10693:15 10694:7 10695:25 located 10589:5,11 10783:25 10689:14 10690:17 10696:9 10698:2 10699:1 Loeppky 10762:13 major 10768:13 10802:20 10692:12 10702:2 10714:23 10710:14,23,24 10711:14 Loeppky's 10762:8 making 10589:23 10639:22 10715:13 10730:20 10731:9 10711:14,17 10712:7 logical 10602:18 10640:19 10642:6 10646:1 10731:21 10735:22 10714:15,18 10716:1 logically 10639:8 10646:10 10652:21 10758:20 10759:5,17,22 10717:14 10720:14 10726:2 long 10611:6 10625:3,20 10676:18 10759:23 10761:5,12,14 10764:15,24

10782:11 10798:18 10785:7 10791:9 10812:4,25 10820:20 misimpression 10649:10 memo 10632:19 10639:13 10650:24 10651:11 10806:19 10808:11 10824:19 10827:1,4,12,21 10810:14 10820:7 10846:16 10649:18 10650:4.8 10726:14 10828:19 10846:18 10661:10.10 10662:3.12 mind 10605:6 10639:8,20 misperception 10834:11 mean 10644:20 10648:2 10652:2 10662:4 10663:10 **misreading** 10834:15 10663:5,7 10664:17,19,23 10710:1 10713:16 10747:18 10665:9 10680:24,25 missed 10731:10 10664:2,18,22 10697:1 10748:25 10751:25 10681:6,8,12 10764:12,22 10698:16 10704:16 10712:5 Mission 10611:8 10814:18 10768:15 10771:1 10773:13 10783:11 10789:6,7 10720:5 10726:25 10728:7 missionary 10698:19 10699:3 10782:14 10790:14 10797:5 10793:5,8,15,16,23 10772:17 10781:6 10797:17 mistake 10699:17 10769:8 10818:21 10843:23 10844:4 10796:16 10807:2,14 10801:13 10827:20 mistaken 10725:22 10735:17 10844:5.8 10845:12.19 10808:3 10809:14 10829:14 minds 10661:12 10665:1 10763:8 10837:1 meaning 10756:16 10843:1 10829:18 10830:16.20.24 10718:16 10748:2 10828:19 mistreated 10703:15 10831:1,5 10833:24 10845:6,7,9 Minister 10621:25 10625:2,5 mistreatment 10704:5 meaningless 10844:9,14 misunderstanding 10645:8 10834:14 10640:13,18,20 10642:11 10846:2 memoranda 10786:1 10643:12,13 10644:13,15 10645:13 10650:18 means 10839:4 10845:15 memorandum 10606:7.17 10657:12.23 10658:5 10698:16,23 10726:14,19 meant 10596:18 10648:4 10649:21,24 10657:5,9,12 10659:13 10660:6 10661:18 10726:21,24 10735:21 10700:23 10701:14 10660:12,15,22 10680:19 10665:6,7,22 10666:10 10791:16 10835:24 10782:13 10823:6 10681:4,16,24 10682:11 10668:16 10669:16 10670:5 misunderstandings 10786:7,8 mechanism 10638:13 10705:9,19 10707:19 10670:15 10671:1.14 10786:10.13 10704:20 10722:7.8.9.10 10730:22 10673:13,18 10674:9 misunderstood 10791:11 mechanisms 10713:17 10793:17,19 10809:3,22 10675:18 10676:4,8,10,12 **MKM** 10784:5 media 10625:16,20 10693:10 memory 10608:8 10624:9 10676:15,17,24 10677:5,9 mode 10652:2 10821:4 10693:24 10719:17,25 10762:10 10678:13 10679:15,19,25 model 10699:18 10746:12 memos 10829:5 moment 10599:10 10631:23 10720:4,6 10783:19 10681:5,9,17 10682:1,2,4 10815:6 mentioned 10809:14 10682:16 10684:19 10686:4 10759:17 10798:12 meet 10611:15 10623:6 10807:20 mentions 10781:11 10686:16 10687:11,14,24 10634:15 10638:21 10658:3 Monday 10851:10,12 10852:4 merely 10690:18 10688:5,5,7 10689:1,2,4,6 10658:14 10683:22 merit 10774:25 10775:3 10689:10,16,25 10690:5,10 10852:8 10803:20 10832:7 message 10604:24 10608:8 10691:3,16,21 10692:2,4,7 **Monsieur** 10731:1 meeting 10603:21 10605:15 10612:16 10642:14 10692:18 10693:5,21 month 10588:2 10690:18 10648:16 10662:9 10709:14 10696:1,8,8 10705:12,20 10605:17,21,22,23,25 10722:6 10734:8,9 10606:2,8,13 10607:9,15,16 10765:22 10785:11,15 10711:3,11,13 10714:12 10784:22 10614:2 10615:5,9,15,20 months 10649:9 10651:1 10786:20 10787:1 10791:11 10715:24 10720:11 10617:10 10619:2,15,19,22 10727:23 10766:5,6,7,20,25 10677:12 10681:25 10826:14 messages 10624:14 10631:12 10767:2,12,15 10768:21 10620:6 10621:3,13 10684:23 10693:23 10771:9 10776:4 10777:13 10623:12.13 10630:17.25 10710:1 10724:12 10728:19 10631:4.6 10632:20 messaging 10726:21 10789:1.3 10790:3.14 10784:23 10806:1 10637:3 10638:18 10642:18 met 10594:1 10607:3 10637:4 10814:14 10830:17 morning 10584:7,8,13 10644:5 10650:9 10660:2 10647:12 10689:4 10832:23 10837:4 10839:9 10637:4 10656:5 10735:17 10707:10 10716:1 10717:7 methods 10800:20 10805:7,8 10840:15 10848:14,23 10775:6,10 10785:20 10736:18 10765:10 Metropolitan 10586:10 ministerial 10668:12 10673:3 10851:1.4.12 10852:5 10796:10 10805:20 10807:2 microphone 10731:4,4,6,6 10720:13 10756:4.10 motives 10666:25 10669:4 10840:6,6 10851:18,18 10781:19 10838:17 10670:20,24 10775:16 10807:4,13,24 10808:5,22 10809:1,2,5,9,13 10814:3 mid 10776:22 Ministers 10677:19 10687:22 move 10592:16 10602:21 10814:23 10829:12,13,19 middle 10618:24 10636:21 10838:21 10613:7 10618:10 10635:23 10831:18,20 Minister's 10689:21 10714:19 10711:25 10726:22 10653:25 10656:21 meetings 10591:11,15 10776:23 10810:24 10847:5 10714:19 10715:5 10716:2 10680:17 10703:10 10714:9 10607:12 10617:25 10719:1 10721:1 10799:16 10847:5 10849:2 10716:2,8,17 10717:13 10630:21 10660:20,25 mid-January 10646:15 10790:12 10837:7 10661:2,5,17 10664:22,24 mid-manager 10696:15 minute 10631:5 moving 10738:12 10668:13 10723:4 10726:5 mid-November 10605:3 minutes 10601:11 10656:5 Mujaheddin 10616:21 meeting/exchange 10619:5 military 10615:22 10616:10 10700:19 10735:12 Muslim 10636:5,16 10638:2 10640:2 10641:15 10792:12 10809:25 10810:2 meets 10715:24 mutual 10746:10 member 10618:23 10659:17 10653:7.12 10685:4 10810:7 Mvra 10647:2 10808:23 10665:11 10673:25 10688:2,9 10690:9,25 misapprehension 10696:18 myriad 10679:3 10689:12 10756:14 10691:9,20 10777:24 miscommunication 10648:7 10830:10 10833:2 10784:24 10803:20 10710:10 N members 10673:1 10706:5 10804:10,15,21 10805:7 misconception 10834:23 N 10623:12

nail 10632:21	News 10729:11	objection 10841:9	officer 10591:5 10596:18
name 10623:3 10637:5	next-to-last 10830:13	objectionable 10841:6	10606:25 10617:1 10784:1
10693:4 10824:16,20	nice 10800:6	objections 10682:5 10698:25	10784:3,5
10825:5	nine 10728:19	objective 10645:25 10657:20	officers 10589:22 10591:17
names 10824:4	non-issue 10651:15	10678:4,9,10,20 10679:8	10607:4 10615:11 10661:2
national 10585:15 10591:22	normal 10807:18	obligation 10670:4 10675:17	10661:4,9,9 10743:14
10592:10,12 10602:12,17	normally 10634:14,21	10678:15	10823:24
10610:1 10626:22 10628:2	note 10592:25 10593:4	obligations 10667:13	offices 10585:21 10637:2
10629:4 10635:11 10717:19	10618:22 10619:18 10626:1	observer 10645:25 10678:5,9	official 10807:13 10848:9,13
10719:8 10721:11 10744:3	10626:13 10640:18 10643:8	10678:11,20 10679:8	officials 10598:2 10602:9
10747:22 10768:7 10774:8	10647:1 10656:14,19	obtain 10611:12 10800:21	10611:15,16 10612:20
10802:7 10804:1 10817:11	10665:14 10668:4,11	obtained 10627:6 10654:20	10625:19 10647:24
10817:11 10820:9 10825:12	10672:22 10675:3,10,12	10757:25 10758:8 10800:2	10658:10,16,18,20 10660:3
10842:1 10843:22 10844:6	10676:20 10678:21 10694:5	10813:11,16	10666:5,11 10683:3,18,24
10844:19	10724:6 10777:9 10805:16	obtaining 10763:10 10827:14	10684:1,3 10690:25
nationals 10697:6	10806:16 10829:11,16	10828:1	10691:3 10696:13 10706:4
nations 10628:20	10830:23	obtuse 10836:2	10706:15 10779:21
nature 10587:21 10590:20,25	noted 10667:19	obvious 10787:3	10784:14 10785:8,8
10591:1	notes 10618:12 10622:12,13	obviously 10589:14 10590:5	10786:23,25 10791:15
necessarily 10617:16 10796:22	10622:13 10623:11 10624:14 10668:14 10691:6	10591:22 10594:5 10612:22	10805:22 10807:3,4,16
necessary 10624:17 10658:3	10694:24 10780:2,21	10629:17 10635:11 10655:11 10665:19 10714:6	10824:18 10828:20 10832:3 10832:9,11,13 10833:19,23
10706:24 10796:18	10781:7 10782:8,13,14	10786:24	10832:9,11,13 10833:19,23
necessitated 10593:8	10781:7 10782:8,13,14	OCanada 10589:2 10734:11	10849:11,11
need 10584:18 10593:8	10809:22 10823:16,19	10745:24 10819:2	oh 10612:3 10691:4 10730:23
10647:19 10648:15,23	notice 10597:9 10771:11	occasion 10597:15 10758:8	10731:5 10774:24 10779:6
10659:1,8 10688:8	notify 10714:7	10805:17	10792:15
10701:25 10704:20	notwithstanding 10645:5	occasional 10602:8	okay 10584:20 10587:14
10706:17 10715:20 10742:5	November 10605:7 10608:15	occasionally 10609:25	10588:8,21 10590:23
10779:3 10792:17 10796:3	10609:12 10613:8,13,24	10634:5,6	10595:15 10596:6 10597:1
10800:12 10809:23	10614:4 10615:5 10616:6	occasions 10674:5 10769:5,10	10597:8 10598:3,21,25
10818:18 10832:18	10616:14,19 10617:11	occur 10635:20	10602:20 10605:14,24
10851:13	10618:11,25 10619:16,19	occurred 10594:6 10605:25	10606:12 10609:16 10611:7
needed 10695:16 10697:18,18	10620:8 10621:14 10623:23	10661:5 10746:20 10747:7	10611:23 10613:12
10710:20	10624:21 10626:2 10639:2	10760:17 10767:8 10778:10	10617:18,23 10618:9,21
negative 10678:6,21,23	10645:18 10729:13,25	occurrence 10596:21	10620:17 10626:4 10629:1
10679:9 10680:11 10711:3	10803:20 10814:4,24	occurs 10636:21	10634:23 10635:22
10835:22 negatives 10675:3	10817:4 10819:13 10821:8	October 10588:1 10595:23	10645:24 10651:16
negotiate 10770:2 10771:5	10821:12 NSC 10737:11 10759:14	10596:7,23,25 10597:16 10598:9,15,18,22,24	10653:21 10656:12 10657:3 10660:19 10663:23
negotiated 10770:24 10849:5	10762:5	10598:9,13,18,22,24	10664:10 10672:9,9
10849:17	nudge-nudged 10710:18	10601:25 10602:22 10603:3	10680:16 10681:5,10
negotiation 10770:22	number 10588:4,24 10591:15	10605:7,17 10606:13	10682:8,13 10684:10
negotiations 10695:25	10598:16 10603:9 10607:12	10607:5,7,13 10608:3,6,14	10692:20 10694:10,10
10711:24 10726:1 10768:14	10610:22 10674:4 10687:22	10608:15 10609:5 10611:10	10695:7,8,23 10700:20
neither 10682:4 10760:15	10697:1,7 10699:21	10689:3 10714:5 10729:12	10702:11 10705:2 10708:1
never 10594:15 10600:18	10702:25 10725:19,21	10736:14 10737:6 10760:17	10709:12 10719:9 10729:21
10631:8 10632:17,25	10727:5 10730:25 10765:13	10760:21 10764:25	10730:4,10,14,19 10731:11
10633:3 10649:23 10650:1	10782:18 10784:20 10798:5	10775:11 10784:20	10734:1 10737:7 10751:8
10660:14 10677:1 10679:13	10819:3 10822:14	10793:25 10794:6,15	10767:3 10771:16 10783:6
10679:14 10681:19 10691:5	numbered 10708:2	offence 10728:9	10795:2 10799:17 10810:19
10710:3,21,21,21,22	numerous 10769:5,9	offend 10715:21	10815:11 10823:14 10831:5
10723:25 10724:2 10726:16	10787:17	offended 10715:18	10838:10 10842:12 10844:3
10728:5 10747:6 10752:3	N.B 10623:15	offer 10826:7,21	10846:10 10850:23
10781:25 10821:12	0	offered 10825:8 10828:17	10851:19,20
new 10593:6 10597:11		office 10586:8 10591:21	once 10591:8 10611:10
10702:18 10713:25 10756:10 10764:4 10795:8	object 10692:13 10698:24 objected 10752:3 10842:21	10592:4 10596:20 10660:5 10714:19,19 10715:5	10612:13 10616:4 10618:23 10621:2 10634:8 10645:24
10795:21 10812:11	objecting 10842:21	10714:19,19 10713:3	10651:21 10652:23
10/73.21 10012.11	objecting 10042.21	10/10.2,3,0,1/10//0.24	10031.21 10032.23

10657:11 10670:16 10671:2 Pardy's 10603:13 10612:11 overtaken 10729:24 10798:14 10805:13 10671:20 10672:21 10680:9 10719:2,3 10727:12 overtook 10730:2 10807:22 10813:8,21 10823:17,22 10829:9 10682:9 10691:16 10700:11 overwhelmed 10743:6 10781:2 10829:18 10831:5 10722:9 10723:15 10740:12 parlance 10670:25 10831:8 10846:17 10746:16 o'clock 10819:12 10851:1,12 ones 10604:1 10780:9 Parliament 10673:1 10785:8 **PCO** 10621:5.23 10625:1 ongoing 10591:9,10 10594:3 10660:4 10706:15 10808:22 10852:4 parliamentarian 10647:11 10662:18 10849:13 **O-Canada** 10740:17 parliamentarians 10654:2 10814:11 10829:21 **Ontario** 10584:1,1 10586:11 part 10633:5 10639:24 PCO/GMR 10622:20 P 10645:8 10652:12 10656:13 **people** 10603:10,24 10604:20 open 10768:13 page 10603:7 10618:17,25 10725:4.6 10726:19 10605:10 10606:2 10617:24 openness 10593:6 **operated** 10812:5 10622:16 10623:23 10750:12 10753:12 10623:25 10631:9 10645:17 operates 10804:11 10636:25 10640:20 10641:7 10759:15 10760:16 10761:6 10648:24 10661:16 10676:9 10644:23 10646:15 10677:19 10698:21 operating 10592:8 10761:18 10771:2 10825:11 operation 10701:7 10738:20 10657:13 10658:8.8 10834:11 10849:21 10721:21 10723:3,8 10738:24 10747:4 10803:2 10659:7 10672:25 10682:17 10850:15 10724:6 10725:9 10726:22 operational 10587:5 10590:11 10682:24 10698:15 10708:2 participant 10709:2,13,22 10732:15,18 10742:13 10591:10 10668:19 10670:1 10722:13 10757:5 10765:5 participate 10668:3 10743:11,16 10766:19 10750:23 10768:6,9 10775:8 10777:4,6,8 participated 10615:6 10622:4 10781:3 10790:21 10791:10 10790:18 10780:23 10783:2,3 10622:9 10668:7 10791:13,22 10793:14,15 operations 10586:2,20,21,23 10801:22 10808:15,16 participation 10693:14,15 10804:24 10825:7 10831:22 10587:9 10600:13,19 10812:11,13 10832:17 10718:6 people's 10781:7 perceived 10744:9 operative 10742:24 10839:24 10840:17 particular 10590:21 10592:18 operatives 10752:25 10753:3 10841:22.23 10593:11 10603:13 perception 10662:7 10775:24 10806:12 pain 10705:5 10605:16,20 10610:4 **performing** 10625:24 period 10601:17,23 10604:14 operator 10742:23 **Pakistan** 10673:17 10615:20 10616:4 10622:5 operators 10742:4 10747:24 10629:2 10669:14 10670:16 10608:1 10636:7 10688:19 **Pakistani** 10673:22 opinion 10635:19 10830:5 10696:20 10760:11 10843:5 paper 10629:9 10734:5 10736:6 10737:9 **opportunity** 10639:13 papier 10616:18 10618:2,4 particularly 10603:4 10738:17 10739:19,20 10719:18 paragraph 10604:12 10629:13,14 10729:25 10847:4 opposed 10742:6 10606:20 10608:25 10611:9 10800:8 10842:10 10845:24 periodically 10594:1 opposite 10787:20 10788:16 peripheral 10747:21 10749:2 10846:1 10612:14,15 10617:9 particulars 10784:12 10751:11 10837:22 **OPS** 10668:19 10637:7 10642:23 10658:9 optics 10623:7 10624:4,12 10658:24 10659:24,24 parties 10593:23 10697:15 10845:18 optimal 10713:6 10714:1 10682:25 10683:14,16 10749:9 **permission** 10593:21 option 10643:16 10644:18 10685:7 10706:1 10722:12 partner 10594:13,23,24 permit 10659:5 10723:9 10777:10,11 10779:12 partners 10737:24 10738:3 permitted 10659:21 10747:7 order 10729:16 10764:6 10783:17 10794:14 10746:25 10758:10 10833:6 10805:15 10815:12 party 10594:17 10667:22 persisting 10650:25 10800:1 person 10596:16 10611:4 organization 10636:5 10830:13 10831:25 10832:2 10763:15 10773:24 10746:16 10747:9 10792:2 pass 10749:25 10750:5 10637:19 10643:25 10647:3 10840:25 organizations 10633:14 paragraphs 10626:19 10753:3 10754:11 10679:2 10685:14 10689:20 organized 10800:7 10628:12 passed 10606:25 10745:3 10693:3 10701:22 10729:4 original 10840:9 parallel 10630:23 10713:3 10751:25 10752:8 10757:22 10743:23 10744:24 os 10593:1 parameters 10735:23 passing 10783:21 10747:21,21 10750:12 Osama 10724:13 Pastyr-Lupul 10647:2 10828:23 10845:14,15,17 paramount 10772:16 pardon 10733:15 Ottawa 10584:1.1 10589:11 10648:14 10650:5.20 10845:18 personal 10600:24 10621:11 10590:22 10591:15,18,20 10656:15 10808:18 10809:1 Pardy 10604:11 10605:16 path 10638:13 10622:13 10647:16 10591:20,23 10592:10 10610:18,19,22 10611:8 Pause 10584:9 10592:21 10808:15 10814:1 10851:17 10605:3 10683:8 10729:13 10612:10,24 10619:22 10818:12 10653:25 10657:5 10660:12 10599:11 10602:24 personally 10596:16 ought 10713:19 10757:18 10662:19 10663:16,19,25 10606:15 10610:16 10612:5 10597:25 10600:11 outlines 10648:18 10664:2 10681:24 10696:16 10612:8 10613:19 10618:15 10616:12 10707:14 **outraged** 10771:7 10698:6,8 10699:6,21 10620:20,23 10622:14 personnel 10591:16 10633:5 10700:1,2,10 10705:3,10,19 outset 10710:2 10630:14 10636:23 10668:19,19 10807:25 outside 10772:10 10707:6,18 10714:18,20 10646:24 10654:13 10665:3 persons 10588:15 10590:13 overlap 10738:13 10716:3 10727:2,5,6,8,10 10672:20 10680:21 10683:8 10751:12 overriding 10690:5 10727:16 10781:1 10793:4 10718:24 10722:3 10759:19 perspective 10656:16 oversee 10587:11 10743:17 10793:5 10829:14 10830:14 10761:16 10764:14 10677:11 10679:5 10701:20 **overstated** 10749:16 10836:11 10847:2 10778:24 10792:5 10798:8 10850:11,12

10604:6 10609:20 10611:19 **perturb** 10671:5 10774:19 prefers 10723:21 10804:15 poses 10628:2 10629:4 Peter 10619:23 10714:11 10613:1,5 10617:19 premature 10745:9 10628:11 10629:7 10635:1 phone 10598:15 10608:21 10648:21 preoccupation 10839:4 posit 10824:2 preparation 10598:1 10636:10 10637:12.14 10625:4 10642:4.5.10 10643:3 10646:4 10647:2 10638:1 10639:12 10653:1 **position** 10587:3 10605:5,8 10660:17 10681:25 10617:18 10628:13 10793:17 10648:14 10651:20 10655:11 10661:14 10662:14 10663:7 10664:3 10662:24 10670:6,12,15 10643:14 10644:16 10645:9 prepared 10624:25 10625:8 10695:20 10700:14,16 10675:4 10679:9,16 10649:11 10653:18 10672:3 10644:25 10659:4 10665:14 10675:13 10680:6 10684:25 10668:17 10705:3,10,19 10714:4,10 10721:2 10680:11 10687:6 10688:9 10726:15 10728:11 10786:6 10693:24 10696:3,13,20,22 10685:11 10687:1,5 10735:24 10736:1,8,15 10786:9.19 10697:12 10698:1.18 10689:21 10710:5.7.21 10790:6,7 10830:16 phoned 10728:11 10701:15,17 10702:14 10711:12 10719:14.16.21 10842:15 **phoning** 10712:3 10707:5 10708:19 10709:6 10723:25 10724:5 10725:3 preparing 10851:7 phrase 10839:1 10841:6,9 10709:16 10712:12 10736:16 10755:4 10759:14 present 10603:17 10605:1 phraseology 10843:9 10713:10,22,25 10715:18 10760:13 10775:13 10782:7 10795:15 10807:5 10809:1 10784:17 10786:21,22 10809:15 10829:21 phrasing 10838:23 10727:14 10741:17 pick 10603:16,18 10622:15 10743:13 10747:14 10750:8 10787:9,10,12,24 10788:11 presented 10696:1 10707:12 10646:4 10651:19 10726:15 10755:22 10761:15 10788:15 10791:17,23,25 presenting 10666:7 picked 10663:7 10664:3 10762:11,12 10766:11 10795:11,24 10797:2,9 **President** 10804:22 10728:11 10767:7 10770:17 10771:5 10811:2 10834:24 10836:11 press 10614:25 10615:2 piece 10680:18 10773:5 10775:10 10784:12 10844:25 10847:7 10848:9 10624:8 10732:1 10815:25 Pillarella 10613:9,11,14 10791:5 10797:19 10819:9 10848:13 10849:15,16 10816:2.3 10629:9 10630:10,16 10820:17 10822:5,22 positive 10676:22 10711:4 pressure 10744:8 10838:13 10632:8,12 10633:1,6 10827:19 10830:2 10843:5 positively 10833:15 10835:11 pressures 10745:18 10636:20 10637:1 10638:4 10843:17,19 10844:8,17 positives 10675:6 10678:19 pressuring 10673:6 10653:11,14 10684:11 points 10597:21 10637:9 presumably 10631:17 possible 10611:13,20 10612:25 10620:10,12 10704:22 10747:1 10769:1 10777:3,14 10796:7 10642:8 10646:1,9 10814:18 10657:14 10695:16,16 10643:6 10700:22 10708:23 presume 10607:14 10699:18 Pillarella's 10632:14,19 10696:7 10697:17 10774:7 10709:22 10749:2 10772:3 10712:9 10639:15 police 10615:24 10653:9 10773:11.18 presumption 10806:18 pipe 10697:8 10683:2 10833:19,25 possibly 10797:14 pretty 10783:1,7 10827:5 prevailing 10649:12 10784:13 **pit** 10725:8 10835:15,19 post-9/11 10739:18,20 policies 10595:7,13 10729:15 10745:14,17 prevent 10593:9 **pitch** 10647:17 place 10631:4 10632:22,24,25 policy 10600:2,10,16 potato 10671:23 10672:5 prevention 10753:16,21 previous 10589:24 10607:16 10659:2 10664:12,23 10601:19 10692:3 10721:3 potential 10627:21 10673:11 10670:19 10693:18 10721:12,19 10729:6 10747:23 10749:1 10753:24 10611:24 10695:19 10746:18 10748:8 10757:21 10756:16 10766:13.16 10765:25 10837:23 10838:3 10702:16 10769:23 10780:24 10795:16 10803:3 pour 10852:10 10770:15 previously 10585:1 10631:11 plan 10623:8 political 10669:25 10670:3,6 Powell 10605:2 10620:7,7 10795:22 planned 10621:21 10814:11 10671:13,17,23 10672:5 10693:4 **pre-emptive** 10642:12 **Planning** 10585:20 10675:5 10678:24 10680:13 power 10701:22 10785:19 pre-established 10634:2 plate 10701:25 10688:17 10702:25 **practical** 10732:20 pre-transfer 10737:9 **platform** 10697:23 10709:17 10766:11.12 practice 10609:22 primary 10588:4 10753:21 10756:5 10757:12 10843:8 play 10590:14 10665:12 10771:15 10772:9 10776:4 precise 10638:13 10668:8 10716:25 10819:7 10789:9,13,17,25 10790:4 Prime 10658:5 10660:6 precisely 10590:9 10725:1 10848:25 10790:13 precision 10592:7 10625:7 10673:3,13,18 10720:11,13 please 10584:5 10654:22 politician 10647:5 10808:13 10812:15 10766:20 10767:11.14 precursor 10740:17 politicians 10688:21 10712:18 10768:21 10771:8 10781:19 10656:6,11 10716:9 10731:13,18 10759:8,18 10721:21 preempting 10644:8 **principal** 10602:6 10744:13 10765:5 10779:8 10794:5 politics 10772:12 prefer 10696:8 10722:19 principals 10746:2 10798:12.13 10808:10 poor 10627:1 10628:21 10724:21 10779:19 10820:9 **principles** 10745:24 10810:8 10813:7 10828:13 10629:19 10676:6 10692:2 preferable 10615:21 **prior** 10593:21 10597:12 10852:6 10692:10 10797:14 **preferably** 10659:11 10673:9 10739:2,23 10832:21 10837:3 pleased 10643:11 10644:13 portion 10593:4,13,25 10758:9 10782:1 10803:24 10608:24 10666:20 preference 10653:8 10688:11 prioritizing 10774:8 10653:22 PM 10674:3 10722:11 10711:13 10784:9 10785:12 priority 10641:25 10735:11 10804:19 point 10587:16 10591:4 portions 10657:9 10665:18 10735:18 10596:3 10597:19 10600:1 pose 10655:11 10709:4 preferred 10615:23 10643:15 prison 10703:17

posed 10655:7,18 10760:11

10644:17 10723:9

prisoners 10804:22

10600:8,20 10603:1,3

protect 10589:18 10628:7 privy 10797:20 10651:8 10663:4 10664:12 10676:20,23 10694:5 probably 10653:14 10661:1 10763:19 10670:23 10675:1 10684:11 protected 10590:7 10702:11 10708:22 10710:8 10707:22 10711:16 protecting 10772:20 10773:1 10713:13 10726:6 10728:10 10773:7,9 10775:25 10713:17 10741:25 10735:23 10757:21 10745:19 10764:25 10765:1 protection 10769:22 10761:19 10763:12 10767:19 10841:14 protest 10768:23 10769:14 10764:15 10771:11 10787:7 10842:23 10847:20 10771:8 10788:20 10793:1 10818:12 **problem** 10624:2 10704:6 protested 10772:5 10818:19 10838:21 10851:6 10709:5 10710:10 10714:8 protesting 10770:12 10771:20 puts 10844:24 10727:20 10790:22 protocol 10770:2,22 10771:6 putting 10671:20 10674:7 10831:13 10834:7.22 10807:18 10678:3,12 10679:7,22 10680:9 10688:1 10694:4 problematic 10667:20 **protocols** 10757:21 10699:17 10828:2 10833:22 10763:16 10771:17 protracted 10786:15 10822:23 10823:2 **provide** 10610:6 10677:19 **P-102** 10680:20,23,24 problems 10595:12 10708:23 10685:19 10686:14 10682:10 10709:19 10746:20 10789:4 10774:16 10803:4 10825:16 **P-103** 10680:24,25 10681:3 10789:13,22 10790:1,4,7,13 10825:19,25 10848:6 10682:11 10790:18 **provided** 10594:19 10606:3 P-117 10656:23 10722:1 procedure 10748:8 10607:19 10625:9 10627:5 10775:4 10778:22 10779:6 proceed 10732:20 10632:23 10654:23 10779:9 10792:19,25 10761:14 proceeding 10713:3 10667:11 10675:15 10831:5,6 10839:15 proceedings 10598:1 10679:15 10751:18,22 **P-134** 10613:18,22 10616:3 10649:25 10656:20 10789:3 10794:16 10798:19 10620:25 10630:13 10681:22 10786:3 10806:16 10812:25 10636:22 10777:3 10796:17 process 10592:18 10634:1 10797:22 10798:4 10813:20 provides 10766:6 10812:22 providing 10698:1 10727:17 **P-137** 10620:19 10652:3 10664:12 10665:12 10671:1 10677:18 10686:19 10766:25 10788:25 **P-17** 10778:25 10817:24 10697:14 10703:24 10715:1 provocative 10841:15 P-185 10829:8 10715:24 10748:4,4,6,11 public 10587:20,22 10588:20 P-192 10805:12 10749:11 10753:8 10837:11 10591:20 10626:19 10628:8 **P-211** 10808:14 produced 10707:19 **P-227** 10760:5 10635:2,8,10,13,19 production 10587:7 10642:24 10645:1 10668:24 P-229 10780:2,20 10782:21 **profile** 10614:23 10625:17,20 10715:2 10719:2 10721:22 **P-236** 10622:12 10720:4 10815:23 10734:8,15,20 10736:9 P-237 10782:10 10783:11 10737:2,7 10760:2 10761:6 program 10585:21 10699:11 10812:11 10769:13 10699:19 10761:18 10767:16 10768:2 P-239 10764:11 programs 10587:5 10787:19 10821:16 10822:6 **P-67** 10654:12 **progressed** 10591:12 10852:4 **P-83** 10602:23 project 10589:2,10 10590:21 publicity 10770:1 **P-85** 10592:19 10618:14 10774:17 **publicized** 10766:10 10591:3,7,16,18 10592:2,4 10759:10,18,21 10761:11 **publicly** 10723:5 10592:8 10743:7 10794:17 P-97 10612:4.6 projects 10588:24 **published** 10731:24 P-98 10610:15.17 propensity 10676:9 pure 10742:6 10746:5 P-99 10646:23 10778:4 proper 10746:18 10771:1 10774:25 **p.m** 10731:14,16 10810:9,11 properly 10822:9 purpose 10614:16 10626:6.7 10852:7 proposal 10838:21 10846:9 10635:9 10668:14 10737:24 0 10740:19 10763:19 propose 10845:24 10769:21 10771:5 10773:13 Oaeda 10673:25 **proposed** 10716:16 10717:12 10726:2 10839:8 10840:2 10786:6,18 10815:16 qualified 10743:16 10826:5 10840:14,20,22 10841:17 10816:6,20 10817:16,18 qualify 10758:12 10824:12 10838:13 10842:8 10844:2 10846:4,6 qualitative 10819:14 10848:21 10850:15 quality 10590:24 10591:1 purposes 10637:13 10738:6 prosecuted 10636:8 10661:15 10720:6 10819:11 **prosecution** 10738:7,12 10740:13 quarter 10731:12 10792:7 10740:14 10741:24 pursued 10713:13 Quebec 10592:13,13 10752:14 10753:15 push 10687:21,21 question 10594:9 10595:19 prosecutions 10742:8 pushing 10604:18 10601:16 10623:13

put 10627:23 10639:14

10655:15 10662:11,19

prospect 10626:13

10666:24 10669:3,12 10670:20,24 10671:24 10686:20 10699:5 10702:16 10709:9,17 10714:17,24 10715:17 10721:1 10728:7 10730:7,12 10735:21 10747:15 10755:17 10760:11,14,19,25 10761:22 10762:7 10775:15 10799:4,11 10801:24 10821:16 10823:1 10827:10 questioning 10621:1 questions 10587:22 10593:3 10594:7 10603:12 10653:19 10653:24 10655:6,10,18 10657:9 10729:8 10734:5 10736:21 10765:22 10768:20 10846:13,19 10850:19,22 quick 10673:7 10729:9 quickly 10638:8 10742:12 10744:8 10813:6 quite 10637:22 10638:5,24 10641:18 10645:1 10669:25 10692:9,13 10733:23 10758:23 10759:24 10781:3

R radar 10693:7 raise 10623:18 10653:19 10667:15 10686:3 10704:4 10721:3 10728:3 10765:15 10766:20 10768:22 raised 10674:4.16 10767:6 10778:15 10801:25 raising 10765:19 10766:22 ramification 10704:10 rationale 10625:9 rationalize 10770:18 **RCMP** 10585:7,11 10588:4 10588:12,17,24 10589:16 10589:17,19,22 10590:7,15 10591:7 10592:8,25 10593:11 10594:5,6 10597:21 10599:24 10601:17 10602:10 10603:9 10605:18 10606:8,24 10607:4,9 10611:14 10612:19,25 10615:22 10617:5,10 10660:4 10666:13 10672:23 10674:8 10675:13 10677:15 10682:5 10685:10,23 10686:13 10701:14 10706:14 10714:5 10714:6 10716:9,12,25

reasonable 10632:17	records 10628:22 10676:6	relates 10621:2 10637:12
		10647:1 10665:18 10711:8
		10731:21 10740:15 10788:7
		relating 10584:16 10594:8
		10599:4 10608:5 10609:18
,		10609:19 10654:4,10
10679:4 10702:25 10709:15	10646:14 10665:17,18	10655:9 10721:2 10728:1
10709:19 10719:15 10725:1	10666:20 10679:21	relation 10620:1
10725:15 10755:6 10764:2	10808:14	relations 10773:21 10789:13
10767:5 10768:21 10769:12	redaction 10614:14	relationship 10590:20
10769:19,20 10774:23	reduced 10728:25	10592:2,3 10626:14
10788:21 10801:11,12,19	refer 10590:4 10592:23	10633:11,18,25 10634:4,11
	10598:9 10618:11 10630:12	10634:13,17,19 10653:10
		10653:15 10767:20 10776:9
		10776:10,12,13 10789:22
		10800:13,16 10801:8
		10803:24
		relationships 10590:24
		10626:24 10628:15 10634:3
		relative 10645:10 10698:2
		relatively 10696:15
		release 10647:17 10659:4
		10665:25 10666:7 10673:4
		10763:13 10838:14
*		released 10673:21 10784:8 10804:25
		relevance 10594:3 10626:9
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		relevante 10590:16 10637:13
		10670:14 10676:2 10679:18
		10687:10 10691:22
		10693:13,20 10695:17
		10733:25 10753:1 10755:9
		10783:16
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		reliability 10796:3 10797:18
		10800:2,5 10801:14
		reluctant 10848:5
		remain 10722:20 10723:10
	10603:4 10629:15 10634:7	10779:19 10833:16
10752:3 10763:15 10819:23	10644:10 10847:7 10848:21	10835:12
10826:15,19 10828:23	10850:2	remains 10658:25 10717:24
recessing 10656:7 10731:14	regarded 10767:18	10723:22 10842:6
10810:9	regarding 10629:24 10643:5	remember 10614:1 10724:23
reckoning 10711:24	10649:11 10705:13	10750:25 10768:4 10780:6
recognized 10673:23	10731:22 10757:22 10765:9	10781:2,4 10814:22
recollection 10599:13	regime 10802:2,9 10811:4	10822:18 10829:3
10619:11 10695:19 10768:3	10819:18 10823:4,10	removal 10599:8 10607:22
10768:16 10850:9	10845:21	10694:1
	S	removed 10599:2 10600:4
		10692:2 10693:16
		rendered 10677:11
		rendering 10676:16
		rendition 10600:3,10,12,16,19
		10600:20 10601:19
		repeat 10779:7 10788:20
		repeated 10605:4
		report 10599:17 10605:25
		10609:8 10611:12 10612:18
	*	10625:4 10635:20 10636:2
10/9/.14 10022.0 1002/.23	10/00.20 10/0/.19 10049.4	10636:9 10654:4,9 10732:2
	10709:19 10719:15 10725:1 10725:15 10755:6 10764:2 10767:5 10768:21 10769:12 10769:19,20 10774:23 10788:21 10801:11,12,19 recall 10588:14,18 10589:23 10597:3 10603:10 10605:7 10605:20 10607:13 10619:14 10624:24 10625:14 10650:10 10700:10 10702:7 10706:3 10707:9,11,20 10715:9 10717:6 10720:2 10731:9 10738:16 10740:16 10767:13 10768:15 10782:24 10798:3 10807:10 10847:13 receive 10608:5 10609:3,18 10610:2,5 10626:8 10752:13 10821:3,4 10825:9 10826:6 10828:12 10828:16 received 10595:24 10597:3 10608:7 10609:5 10616:8 10632:16 10635:25 10649:15 10654:3,9 10732:5 10743:4 10754:4 10754:23 10760:12 10769:25 10799:14 10806:23 10808:3 10828:17 receiving 10609:23 10720:7 10752:3 10763:15 10819:23 10826:15,19 10828:23 recessing 10656:7 10731:14 10810:9 reckoning 10711:24 recognized 10673:23 recollection 10599:13 10619:11 10695:19 10768:3	10723:23 10744:24 10802:3 10819:19 10824:5,7 10826:25 10827:10,11,18 10827:20 10828:22,22 reasons 10626:23 10648:5 10679:4 10702:25 10709:15 10709:19 10719:15 10725:1 10755:6 10764:2 10767:5 10768:21 10769:12 10767:5 10768:21 10769:12 10768:24 10801:11,12,19 recall 10588:1,18 10589:23 10597:3 10603:10 10605:7 10605:20 10607:13 10605:20 10607:13 10709:11,20 10715:9 10717:6 10700:10 10702:7 10706:3 10707:9,11,20 10715:9 10717:6 10700:21 0731:9 10748:16 10740:16 10767:13 10768:15 10782:24 10798:3 10807:10 10847:13 received 10695:5 10609:3,18 10652:10 10825:9 10826:3 10632:16 10635:25 10649:15 10665:23 10632:16 10635:25 10649:15 10669:3 10769:25 10799:14 10806:23 10760:12 10752:3 10763:15 10819:23 10826:15,19 10828:32 received 10656:7 10731:14 10810:9 receiving 10609:23 10720:7 10752:3 10763:15 10819:23 10768:16 10850:9 received 10656:7 10731:14 10810:9 recommend 10682:2 10686:8 Recommendations 10657:13 10669:19 10692:1 10769:25 10799:14 10806:23 10730:2 10757:2 10759:2 10762:1 receiving 10609:21 10768:16 10850:9 recommend 10682:2 10686:8 Recommendations 10657:13 10657:15 10737:7 10760:2 10761:1 10769:25 10799:14 10602:15 10603:24 10626:15,19 10622:1 10799:13 10633:24 regional 10586:9 10591:15 10592:3,4 REGISTRAR 10584:2 regional 10586:13 10698:10 rejected 10758:21 10678:1,3 10693:10 10678:1,3 10693:10 10669:21 10619:1,2 1,2 2,2 4 10640:16 10775:22 10759:2 1

10744:2,4 10750:15 10776:14 10780:15 10759:2 10767:10 10794:16 10783:15 10791:17 10797:3 10797:25 10798:25 10803:15 10806:24 10807:1 10812:23 10837:19.24 10809:13 10822:16 10838:7 reporting 10635:17 10693:10 10841:13 10843:9 10693:25 10767:20,25 respected 10594:21 10763:14 10763:25 10815:7 reports 10609:18,23 10610:11 respecting 10665:8 10635:24 10640:23 10661:4 respond 10833:15 10835:11 10797:21 10798:23 response 10801:24 10812:20 reprendre 10852:10 responses 10684:22 representations 10684:17 responsibilities 10587:2 10766:19 10592:12 10678:14 responsibility 10587:11 representative 10836:9 representatives 10621:15 10588:4,16,23 10589:15 10632:7 10642:19 10660:21 10590:13,16 10671:15,18 10808:6 10809:12 10814:5 10671:19 10675:21 10692:8 10829:14,17 10695:21 10699:11 10729:5 representing 10660:4 10768:6,9,10 10772:10 **Reprise** 10656:10 10731:17 10776:3,23 10788:3 10810:12 responsible 10585:23 10586:9 request 10598:18,22 10631:6 10633:23 10688:5 10699:19 10643:7 10668:1 10794:1,7 10713:8 10794:18 10795:3,5 rest 10651:8 10712:21 10812:20 10836:20 10713:14 10847:18 result 10584:18 10588:22 requesting 10665:20 10595:8 10596:7 10605:15 10795:20 10848:15 10638:16 10664:14 requests 10831:19 10665:13 10717:10 10722:25 10732:1,3,13,18 required 10746:17 requirements 10767:25 10739:12 10744:8 10746:19 10770:3 10797:15 requires 10742:23 resided 10726:24 resulted 10607:21 resolve 10666:25 10669:4,10 resulting 10707:15 10669:12 10671:24 results 10603:20 10672:12 10678:25 resume 10852:4.8 10679:19 10709:18 resuming 10656:9 10731:16 10723:17 10724:8 10775:16 10810:11 resolved 10646:9 retrospect 10745:19 return 10589:23 10637:21 **resolving** 10726:13 resource 10602:6 10679:1 10641:1,17 10643:16,23 10703:2.5.7 10709:4.19 10644:18 10646:6 10659:6 10723:18 10732:19 10791:2 10659:21 10663:11 10669:17 10673:5,8 10850:4.6 resources 10588:11 10602:3 10675:7 10678:6 10679:9 10679:3 10701:23 10741:3 10679:13 10680:4.12 10685:22 10686:18 10743:8,11 10850:11,12,15 respect 10584:16,22 10587:2 10690:19 10716:23 10718:3 10587:11 10590:13 10596:9 10719:12 10722:21 10597:4 10598:13 10601:7 10777:16 10778:1 10779:20 10605:19 10609:22 10784:10 10789:19 10833:6 10612:15 10628:6,14 10847:8 10848:15 10633:9 10674:9 10701:8 returned 10617:3 10641:5 10703:18 10712:16 10658:20 10661:13 10716:25 10724:16 10674:18 10684:3 10685:21 10729:25 10732:4 10734:14 10703:14 10718:20 10748:18 10752:16 10832:13 10833:20 10834:1 10753:14 10759:3,14 10835:16,20 10760:10 10768:7 10772:2 reveal 10825:10 roll 10687:22 10767:21

review 10603:11 10650:21 10729:15 10730:3 10732:7 reviewed 10683:11 10711:5 10787:17 reviews 10729:23 revolved 10745:25 Rick 10808:15 Ridge 10765:12,16 10766:21 10767:6 10768:14,22 10769:13 10770:13 10772:5 10772:13 10773:12.22 right 10585:9.16 10604:25 10608:22 10623:15 10633:7 10662:10,23 10670:11 10676:15,25 10677:6 10689:11,25 10690:6 10694:9 10698:11 10703:8 10704:1 10712:2 10713:20 10713:21 10735:3 10737:22 10737:24 10739:22 10743:22 10744:6 10745:1 10745:16,20 10748:21 10749:3 10750:5,13 10751:19,21 10752:15 10757:20 10758:19 10760:4 10761:8 10762:17,22 10763:5,18 10770:15 10771:19 10779:24 10780:7 10780:23 10784:21 10786:17 10787:6,15 10789:2,21 10790:16 10792:9,22 10793:2,20 10796:4,11,12 10800:11 10803:13 10809:6 10810:6 10812:16 10813:23 10816:12,16,19 10819:15 10821:6.17 10822:1.4 10824:21 10826:12 10827:8 10835:3 10838:10 10840:7 10843:17 10844:9,15 10845:11 10850:18 rightfully 10678:22 rights 10600:6 10627:1,13,18 10628:22 10629:19 10676:6 10692:9 10721:7.11 10769:2 10774:9 10775:25 10797:14 10811:5 10812:7 10827:24 **rigorous** 10748:11,13 Rires 10733:7 10749:20 rise 10852:3 risk 10802:7 10803:17 10828:3 road 10633:17 robust 10715:11.12 10716:4.5 role 10590:14 10690:8 10750:19 10751:4,10 10765:24 10767:22 10793:5

route 10818:25 rule 10593:20 10610:9 10634:7 rules 10595:1 rumour 10785:19 run 10794:4 10828:4 running 10747:4 **R.P.R** 10852:25

S

sanctioned 10747:6 Sans 10731:4,6 10840:6 10851:18 sat 10713:5 satisfactory 10632:1 satisfied 10639:21 10645:4 10672:10 Saturday 10632:25,25 Saudi 10776:23 Saunders 10606:18,19 save 10682:16 saved 10810:18 saw 10631:8 10660:11,14,16 10663:15 10674:24 10678:13 10681:11,19 10718:9 10740:24 10752:20 10756:11 10809:15 saying 10604:7,19 10605:18 10606:2 10613:1 10638:3 10644:1,2 10661:11 10663:16,24 10683:15 10690:4,25 10707:21 10710:9 10725:14 10728:22 10728:23 10737:21 10761:5 10770:13 10826:6 10840:12 savs 10603:16 10606:21 10609:1 10612:14 10614:15 10616:5 10617:11 10619:1 10622:18,21,22 10623:1,11 10623:13.15.16 10637:7.15 10639:15 10640:21 10641:8 10642:25 10647:8 10659:24 10666:22 10667:6 10669:1 10671:21 10682:25 10685:7 10686:17 10689:10,24 10690:1 10706:2 10708:5 10714:20 10716:3 10717:5 10765:5 10805:25 10808:20 10812:19 10813:25 10814:21 10826:2 10829:18 10836:11,25 10840:24 scenario 10714:1 schedule 10622:2 10814:16 scheduled 10765:10 Scott 10717:2 screen 10693:7 screening 10748:6 scrutinizing 10627:4

se 10628:13

10734:2 10745:11 10748:22 10649:23 10650:2 10652:12 seated 10584:5 10656:11 10646:13 10705:6 10760:1 10731:18 10749:19 10766:15 10652:17,18 10653:9,12 10788:1 second 10606:21 10617:9 10768:20 10771:23 10773:8 10661:21 10666:12 shown 10606:14 10610:15 10620:22 10636:25 10637:6 10777:25 10793:13.18 10667:22 10679:11 10646:23 10680:20 10637:14.16 10639:15 10798:3 10801:25 10809:23 10688:10 10696:6 10698:17 shows 10649:2 10787:20 10823:20 10833:20 10834:1 10717:15 10724:1 10725:4 10640:20 10642:16,23 shut 10653:3 10650:3 10693:2 10696:22 10834:14 10835:16,20,23 10725:7 10734:22 10735:14 side 10626:9 10639:8 10724:13 10749:14 10769:3 10835:25 10836:18 10851:4 10736:3,5,13 10763:14,24 10645:14 10652:9 10702:4 10772:3 10777:11 10781:10 seeing 10633:8 10655:14 10766:4 10784:17 10785:20 10755:12 10792:4 10823:15 10840:25 10686:21 10798:3 10805:17 10786:1.21 10788:25 sideways 10687:21 secondee 10750:22 seek 10593:20 10596:8 10789:14 10793:25 10794:7 sign 10668:1 10682:3 10696:9 Secondly 10719:13 10598:4.10 10758:2 10799:13 10802:24 10803:5 10840:15 10842:15 second-in-command 10769:22 10772:6 10806:23 10827:4,21 10848:23 10804:21 seeking 10673:2 10845:1 10849:11 signal 10835:4,23 10836:8 secret 10685:5 10813:25 seen 10600:18,19 10606:10 services 10585:7 10643:20 signalled 10835:2 10608:9,18 10662:16 10647:3 10688:11,12,13 signalling 10828:1,11 Secretariat 10668:18 Secretary 10605:2 10620:7 10677:1,4 10697:9 10722:6 10805:2 10827:13 10836:14 signature 10686:7 10693:4 10765:12 10736:14 10781:25 10836:22 signed 10657:22 10659:11 section 10783:16 10799:15 10807:14 Service's 10585:20 10587:5 10687:13 10688:5,7 sectors 10651:7 10827:5 sell 10698:21 10607:17 10645:12 10698:1 10783:17 10832:21 10837:3 secure 10665:24 10666:6 Senator 10780:2,21 10781:18 set 10603:12 10682:18 significant 10757:7 security 10585:7 10589:17 10782:3,6,12,22,25 10742:15 10810:16,24 signing 10675:18 10594:16 10610:1 10615:23 send 10648:16 10686:15 10818:6 similar 10673:14 10681:7 10626:10,22 10627:9 10698:3 10711:14 settled 10849:5 similarly 10592:9 10628:3 10629:4 10635:11 simple 10651:20 10755:17 sending 10720:12 seven 10693:23 10643:20 10648:5,21,24 sends 10714:18 10791:15 severe 10636:17 10652:18 10677:22 10688:3 simply 10667:17 10715:7 senior 10593:18 10621:14 shaky 10762:6 10688:22 10697:5 10701:4 10670:5 10698:21 10706:15 share 10590:15 10609:21 10736:7 10791:10 10701:6 10703:18 10704:4 10756:24 10763:6 10783:25 10679:21 10682:14 single 10787:18,25 10717:19 10719:8 10721:12 10784:5,14 10814:4 10757:25 10758:4 10763:9 sir 10609:15 10660:13 10847:21 10801:17 10802:8 10811:3 10675:10 10681:14,18 10724:1 10725:4 10732:1,8 10820:8,12,14 10821:13 10744:3 10746:5,12 sense 10590:14 10713:13 10682:12 10689:14 10718:7 10747:22 10765:25 10763:4 10844:20 10845:3 10823:4,9 10735:7 10736:18 10744:12 10767:16,21 10768:2,7 sent 10598:18 10600:25 shared 10593:15 10594:2,12 10745:2 10759:18 10760:4 10770:24 10773:20 10774:9 10616:14,24 10643:10 10606:22 10617:4 10722:10 10761:12 10774:1 10775:7 10759:8 10761:24 10820:19 10788:4 10802:7 10804:1 10670:19 10691:5 10694:7 10775:11 10777:5 10782:5 10817:11.12 10819:5 10715:3 10717:2 10743:23 10820:23 10821:13.19 10783:12 10784:13.19 10820:9 10825:12 10833:18 10750:12 10760:9.21 10786:5 10787:16 10791:6 10822:9 10833:25 10835:14,19 10764:8 10814:17 sharing 10587:20 10592:16 10793:1,4,9,21 10803:12,18 10836:14,21 10842:2 sentence 10603:18 10606:21 10593:7,22 10595:8,11 10818:9 10821:1 10826:5 10843:22 10844:7,19 10629:18 10758:9 10759:3 10836:3,6,8 10827:24 10830:22 security-related 10705:16,22 sentenced 10646:18 10762:2 10763:1 10802:11 10831:10.16 10833:22 10706:21 10708:4 sentences 10632:4 10803:7,15 10819:18,23 10834:4 10846:19,22 10820:4 10822:20 see 10593:12 10596:20 sentiment 10675:17,23 10847:1 10850:18 10609:17 10611:25 10612:9 shed 10753:24 SIRC 10585:21 10599:16 sentiments 10650:1 10612:10 10613:22 10616:3 separate 10791:16 **ship** 10704:3 sit 10745:22 September 10588:3 10599:1 sitting 10701:20 10755:12 10617:7,8 10618:24 shock 10743:7 10806:5 10606:17 10714:4 10734:9 shocks 10806:15 10817:12 10818:14 10619:21,22,24 10621:8 10622:17 10623:14 10736:6,6 10751:8 shoe 10728:10 10823:25 10624:16 10633:10 **Sergeant** 10743:5,9 10760:6,8 shoes 10701:20 situate 10720:1 Shore 10846:21,22,24 10636:25 10637:4,20 10809:10,21 10822:18 situating 10772:9 10836:2 10640:16,17,20 10641:1,8 series 10746:19 10831:3 10847:4,10,12,14,17 situation 10634:10 10673:17 10703:14 10713:6 10717:8 10641:17 10643:23 10647:1 serious 10636:13,14 10653:19 10848:4,8,12,17 10849:6,9 10654:12 10660:17 10746:20 10819:4,7 10849:14,18,20,24 10850:1 10720:12 10748:22 10767:4 10664:17 10668:24 10669:1 10823:13 10850:6.10.14.18 10812:7 10818:21.23 10675:3,6 10678:18,19,20 serves 10762:10 short 10655:14 six 10713:25 10679:8 10682:24 10686:10 service 10594:17 10601:21 **shortens** 10733:4 skilled 10742:23 10691:1 10701:21 10702:6 10602:9 10633:5 10639:7 shortly 10594:7 skill-set 10745:7 10707:14 10708:5 10727:19 10642:13 10645:5,21 show 10622:11 10636:9 skill-sets 10742:15 10746:17

skip 10823:20 10785:18 10788:11,14 10606:20 10611:10 10818:8 10829:15 10830:22 slash 10619:2 10794:11 10621:10 10623:24 **suggested** 10624:4 10666:12 sleeper 10818:14 special 10636:1 10839:5 10626:21 10630:16 10734:25 10842:10 slip 10793:4,7 specific 10591:9 10594:24 10654:21 10658:9 10666:4 suggesting 10788:15 small 10703:17 10694:6.21 10715:4 10672:25 10677:12 10692:3 10831:16 10835:4 **SMI** 10638:2 10645:22 10752:7 10761:24 10772:10 suggestion 10769:6 10816:20 10692:7 10693:17 10708:15 10646:2 specifically 10589:22 10590:1 10722:13 10755:25 10760:9 10818:11 solely 10688:7 10607:13 10625:14 10678:1 10768:24 10794:2 10795:7 suggestions 10594:10 SolGen 10829:20 10830:18 10740:16 10813:4 States/Guantanamo 10708:17 10769:10 **specifics** 10747:18 stating 10613:25 10757:8 suggests 10663:18 10787:21 10836:25 **Solicitor** 10621:5 10657:22 specify 10697:1 **Station** 10819:12 10788:17,24 10831:20 10658:4 10659:12 10661:19 speculate 10774:1,3,20 status 10738:19 10749:8 summarize 10737:20 speculating 10689:17 10715:8 10661:21 10665:7,15,21 10838:8 10849:5 summarized 10799:2 speculation 10602:11 10666:9 10667:21 10668:4 statute 10701:5 summarizing 10812:23 10668:11,14 10674:10,17 10689:19 10710:18 stav 10616:2 10655:23 summary 10588:9 10599:17 10769:19 10771:1 10775:1 10724:22 10785:13 10850:3 10608:24 10626:16,20 10675:12 10677:1,14 10678:14 10687:13 speculative 10718:22 staying 10639:17 10628:18,24 10646:14 10691:19,24 10693:14 10769:18 steps 10831:14 10734:7 10794:14,25 10694:6 10706:13 10716:10 spend 10691:17 stood 10680:3 Sunday 10631:13 10724:7 10767:11,24 spent 10616:20 straight 10786:20 superintendent 10756:24 10832:22 10837:3 spoke 10672:2 10700:11 strange 10784:19 10791:6 **supervise** 10743:13 Solomon 10613:23 10618:22 spoken 10728:5 10731:20 strict 10639:2 supervision 10638:17 10640:2 10619:8,11 10621:9 10765:8 10772:5 **strictly** 10819:8 supervisory 10746:18 10626:13 staff 10706:6 strong 10713:2 10714:20 supplemental 10708:4 10716:4 10727:15 10739:18 Solomon's 10618:11 stage 10603:12 support 10685:20 10686:14 somebody 10600:21,24 10827:5 stand 10656:6 10731:13 supported 10842:24 10616:23 10637:9 10651:17 10780:16 10810:8 10852:6 stronger 10653:10 suppose 10836:6 10845:22 10663:8,24 10679:1 standing 10689:25 strongly 10667:25 10788:17 sure 10689:15 10696:5,17,18 10704:3 10710:19 10715:11 Star 10731:23,24 10698:21 10709:11 10755:5 struck 10783:3 10725:1 10791:20 10792:21 start 10588:1 10734:4 structure 10677:19 10799:25 10760:3 10769:24 10770:7 10793:1 10835:1 10812:6 10773:3,15 10783:1,7 10851:13 10786:20 10790:5 10801:12 Somewhat 10757:2 started 10724:24 10734:10 structured 10592:9 sorry 10612:1,3,7 10620:25 starting 10784:20 stuff 10715:15 10807:21 10827:20 starts 10805:15 10830:13 10656:23 10672:16 sub 10657:1 10665:16 surely 10662:24 10663:3 10677:23 10681:1 10692:21 state 10620:7 10623:11 10839:16,20,22,23 10840:2 10728:14 10653:15 10693:5 10829:23 10730:21 10731:10 10735:6 10840:4,8,9 surprise 10601:4 10602:16 10754:12 10759:22 10823:6 10848:25 subject 10637:3 10652:9 10723:7 10743:21 10756:13 stated 10658:24 10683:5 10717:18.24 10719:7 10823:6.7.18 10824:2 10756:18.20 10836:16 10703:15 10732:8 10793:7 10728:20 10730:7 10737:11 surprised 10601:13 10651:5 sort 10685:3 10715:14 10794:17 10822:8 10824:11 10741:8 10751:19 10771:25 10736:12 statement 10604:11 10609:12 10810:23 10805:15 10806:2,8 surprises 10723:12 sought 10720:22 10795:13 10613:14 10628:17 10629:8 10842:1,7,25 10843:22 surprising 10638:24 10757:1 10637:24 10650:11 10762:24 sound 10785:18 10844:6,13 10845:6,12 source 10645:4 10652:16 10653:19 subjects 10844:10 **surround** 10635:5 10657:21 10659:9 10663:15 sources 10608:3 10609:7 **submission** 10831:20 surrounded 10829:22 10659:16 10665:10 10670:7 10722:25 10783:4 **submitted** 10794:18 surrounding 10830:7 10783:5 10796:1 10797:3 10784:24 10833:1 subsequent 10658:14 surveillance 10746:5 10683:22 10684:20 10832:7 10806:13 10821:11 10826:5 suspect 10700:6 10802:9 southwestern 10586:10 sovereignty 10666:19 10832:19 10835:18 subsequently 10673:21 **Suspension** 10656:8 10731:15 speak 10614:7 10644:25 10836:12,21 10760:12 10810:10 10651:11 10678:9 10730:8 statements 10603:9 10609:10 substance 10815:10 suspicion 10797:6,13 10802:3 10772:11,11 10773:22 10628:24 10639:19 substantive 10591:6 10830:6 10793:10 10815:2 10816:18 10652:21 10710:24 10720:9 sufficient 10717:22 10722:14 sustain 10744:16,22 10818:19 10828:20 10807:7 10779:13 10810:3 10842:4 **switched** 10764:6 10843:20 states 10593:4 10595:21 sufficiently 10660:8 sworn 10584:18 10585:1 speaking 10619:8,11 10596:4 10597:20,23 suggest 10614:25 10746:19,22 Syria 10601:3,4 10602:13,16 10627:15 10653:5 10738:10 10598:5,8,12 10599:3,8,21 10770:19 10774:5 10775:21 10607:25 10609:8 10611:20 10742:11 10743:5 10600:3,7,16,22,25 10601:2 10782:4 10793:22 10801:9 10613:1,9 10614:6 speaks 10705:5 10768:9 10601:13 10604:12 10804:7 10815:24 10816:3 10615:17 10616:7 10621:8

10621:16 10622:25 10623:5 10783:24 10791:8 10804:3 talk 10603:24 10604:20 10590:3,19 10592:1 10625:5 10628:13 10638:22 10605:10 10623:25 10816:22 10825:8,11,16 10594:24 10601:1 10628:22 10639:1 10645:17 10646:17 10826:15 10828:11.24 10645:20 10651:20 10629:23 10631:24 10647:6 10648:12 10654:25 10832:15 10833:12 10835:8 10642:13 10645:16 10675:14,17 10683:14 10655:12.24 10658:10 10838:13 10696:25 10700:16 10652:13 10661:6.22 Syrian-Canadian 10602:12 10666:3 10667:5 10670:20 10666:1 10667:2 10669:6 10722:24 10727:9,10,12 10676:16 10683:18 10689:1 Svria's 10818:23 10827:24 10671:1 10676:17 10691:16 10739:1 10689:12 10693:23 10694:1 Syriens 10781:12 talked 10645:17 10703:1 10691:24 10693:13 system 10677:17 10678:2 10697:15,24 10703:11 10694:9 10703:15,16,17 10709:18 10723:16 10704:3 10708:14 10718:10 10688:16,16 10742:25 10744:2 10753:8 10706:1 10707:11 10714:14 10720:3.4 10722:20 systematic 10706:20 talking 10600:1 10608:14 10719:25 10721:6 10737:10 10723:10,22 10728:19 10634:9 10644:5 10663:25 10750:21 10759:7 10800:21 \mathbf{T} 10735:9 10741:7 10775:18 10684:10,12 10701:22 10801:14,16 10817:8 10779:20 10781:18 tab 10592:23 10603:6 10708:20 10724:24 10821:12 10830:3 10834:23 10785:13 10806:2,14 10606:14.16 10611:24.24 10727:14 10790:10 10793:5 terrorism 10614:9 10669:13 10613:21 10616:3 10617:6 10803:14 10820:10 10670:21 10708:9,21 10807:8,15 10814:6 10815:2 10816:7 10817:5 10618:17 10621:7 10623:23 10850:10 10724:17 10767:19 10817:13 10818:14,21 10630:13 10636:24 talks 10683:17 10829:12 terrorist 10593:9 10614:20 10821:14 10822:10,21 10640:16 10642:22 tangible 10707:14 10649:3 10667:4 10669:7 10823:24,25 10825:5 10644:10,10 10656:25 target 10641:25 10734:18,21 10716:7,20 10753:24 10832:3 10847:10 10848:15 10657:1 10665:16 10672:15 10735:4,10,18 10736:5 10775:19 10815:20 10850:3 10672:16 10722:5 10759:20 10747:22 10749:1,2,2,3,3,6 10817:22 10841:4 Syrian 10611:16 10613:15 10761:11 10775:5,7,8,8 10837:17,21 10843:16 terrorists 10625:21 10614:7,17 10615:22 10777:5,6,7,8 10778:23 10845:1,6,16,18 testified 10584:15,17 10779:1,6,9,10 10782:18 10616:9 10626:9 10630:21 targeted 10734:22 10650:10 10689:3 10702:4 10632:21 10638:2 10639:8 targeting 10747:16 10748:8 10783:11 10792:25,25 10722:8,23 10742:4 10640:2,13,19,23 10641:10 10796:17 10797:22 10798:4 10753:10 10837:11 10745:14 10756:14 10641:15 10642:11,20 10798:5 10812:11,13 10845:21 10762:14 10764:21 10643:1,19 10645:8,14 10813:20,22 10831:4,4,6,6 targets 10702:19 10734:16,25 10785:19 10786:9 10787:5 10647:12 10649:15 10652:8 10831:6,7 10839:16,16,20 10737:1,3 10740:21 10801:7 10808:18 10809:2 10653:7,12 10657:25 10744:14 10745:23 10748:5 10820:13 10838:2 10839:22,23 10840:2,4,8,9 10658:15 10660:9 10665:24 **testifies** 10764:16 10840:14,16,17 10841:17 10748:13,15,19 10749:24 10666:7,14 10682:3 10841:23 10817:2 10818:13 10819:4 testify 10584:23 10683:23 10684:8,15 table 10724:20 10844:10 **testifying** 10587:18 tasking 10639:1 testimony 10588:20 10645:1 10686:4,9,13 10687:3,8 take 10614:18 10615:17 10620:3 10629:18 10631:4 team 10745:6 10750:13 10650:7,9,15 10660:17 10688:2,9 10689:4,5,9,25 10690:5.9.25 10691:3.9.20 10632:24 10644:20 10656:3 **techniques** 10748:16 10689:19 10734:20 10762:8 10691:21 10710:3 10777:12 telephone 10595:23 10638:18 10656:4 10701:15.16 10799:5 10809:19.23.23 10702:8 10710:6 10728:9 10777:19,23 10778:9 10640:12,19 10642:17,18 10821:16 thank 10584:11 10587:24 10784:24 10785:7 10791:8 10647:4 10684:18 10694:12 10730:15,17 10746:20 10804:10,15 10812:4,25 10752:2,19 10761:14 10694:18,20,24 10695:2 10635:21 10721:24 10815:3.17 10817:19 10775:4 10777:1 10778:5 10707:5 10711:9 10723:1,2 10730:23,24 10732:24,25 10733:4 10736:10 10749:13 10820:19 10824:18 10827:1 10780:18 10781:8 10795:15 10785.3 10827:3,12,21 10828:19 10798:11 10801:20 10803:3 tell 10587:1 10596:12 10597:2 10751:16 10761:12 10764:19 10775:3 10810:20 10832:8 10834:11,13 10809:25 10810:2,25 10599:6 10640:7 10651:21 10835:24 10813:19 10815:18 10652:10 10661:9.9 10823:14 10846:12.14.20 Syrians 10636:3,12,16 10817:20 10819:9.9 10671:12 10676:19 10846:22 10850:19.24 10638:10 10639:11,23 10692:25 10695:11 10851:4,19 10852:2 10821:10 10822:14,22 10641:24 10647:19,24 10823:21 10826:22 10714:16 10737:9 10747:17 thanks 10611:17 10648:1,8,17,23 10649:11 10830:25 10831:9 10837:19 10753:2 10757:3 10825:4 Thematically 10590:2 10650:13,24 10653:16 10839:11 10840:8,13 10825:15 10842:24 theme 10742:2 10769:4,9 10656:16 10658:22 10659:3 10843:13 10847:25 10848:1 telling 10603:23 10696:4 thesis 10836:3 10661:12 10662:3,8 10848:19 10785:9 10790:11 thing 10596:15 10639:14,16 taken 10586:20 10646:3 10664:2,18 10665:1 ten 10700:19 10810:2,7 10644:2 10704:19 10797:16 10666:15 10667:16 10690:4 10702:7 10746:8 tenor 10661:23 10662:3 things 10587:18 10624:18.19 10673:10 10684:24 10778:4 10780:11 10781:22 tense 10650:15 10636:11 10637:23 10638:6 10686:12 10688:15 10796:16 10809:19 term 10674:13 10640:10 10641:19 10691:12 10726:20 terminology 10749:5 10653:13 10676:17 10812:17 10831:14 10735:10 10781:16,21 takes 10760:13 10766:7 terms 10584:25 10588:16 10695:14 10696:10

10725:19 10726:4 10728:1	thought 10608:18 10625:10	10719:13 10720:2,2,7	train 10834:3
10749:15,18 10783:3	10636:4 10637:10 10646:8	10726:11 10727:8,24	training 10742:22
10793:10 10801:2,4	10649:10 10651:7 10690:20	10730:17 10734:18 10736:7	transcript 10757:5 10801:23
10845:19	10690:22 10712:13	10737:5 10739:21 10749:17	transfer 10588:10,22
think 10595:25 10599:9,15	10715:10 10729:2 10749:16	10749:25,25 10750:16,16	10590:12,19 10738:18
10600:20 10608:7 10619:3	10752:25 10761:9 10762:24	10751:15,18,18 10752:11	10739:7,11,17,23 10740:4
10623:15 10631:22	10764:5 10831:22 10839:9	10757:16 10758:12,13	10745:3,8 10760:16
10632:18 10639:18	10840:10 threat 10628:1 10629:3,10,13	10761:2 10762:1,6 10764:3	transferred 10585:15 10586:13 10588:3,12,16
10651:24 10653:4,6 10655:13 10662:13	10629:20,21 10648:22	10767:14 10772:9,9,14 10776:25 10780:5 10781:9	10589:14 10734:6,10
10669:23 10670:4 10671:25	10701:6 10739:21 10772:11	10795:18 10797:25	10735:1 10734:0,10
10675:23 10677:14,16	10772:12,15,22 10773:3,4	10809:10 10810:18 10817:6	10740:12 10746:15
10678:17,20 10679:16	10811:7 10816:9,14,18	10818:17 10819:1,7,17	10749:22
10687:6,23 10688:8,18	10817:10 10818:17 10819:7	10823:21 10827:4 10831:2	transferring 10739:2
10689:16 10691:23 10692:7	10820:6,11 10821:22,25	10837:20 10842:5 10851:6	10746:14
10692:19,20 10693:9,13,19	10822:12,14 10823:5,11,13	timeliness 10815:8	translated 10616:18 10617:2
10695:17 10702:5,12,16	10823:13	timely 10595:11	10796:9
10705:4 10709:20 10710:12	threaten 10685:1	times 10697:16 10713:20	translation 10616:15,25
10710:16 10711:10	threats 10588:11 10614:21	10818:20	transmittal 10793:4,7
10712:20,21 10713:1,5	10626:9 10701:3 10744:9	tips 10743:7	transmitted 10793:11
10721:9,18 10723:18,22 10724:21 10725:5 10727:4	10753:25 10765:25 10788:4 10815:21 10817:23 10818:3	tired 10823:7 title 10621:8	travel 10614:6 10815:2 Travers 10731:23
10727:23 10728:24 10729:2	10818:10 10819:5	today 10635:8 10730:19	treat 10636:16
10730:1,6,16,21 10731:24	three 10618:18,19 10646:9	10732:23 10733:23	treating 10636:13
10733:23,24 10734:23	10695:16,16 10697:17	10755:15 10783:20 10791:7	treatment 10807:15
10735:13 10741:21 10742:3	10798:7 10817:9	10820:25 10822:6 10851:5	trial 10666:16 10685:3
10742:9 10743:3 10744:1	threefold 10719:3	told 10589:16 10638:4	tried 10633:1 10698:8
10745:12,21 10746:10	three-paragraph 10616:17	10641:23 10643:21 10644:2	tries 10749:11
10750:25 10751:5 10753:7	threshold 10740:8	10645:15 10647:24	trip 10615:17 10621:8
10753:21 10754:8 10755:17 10756:21 10759:1 10761:13	throwing 10835:22 thrown 10686:11	10656:18 10691:1 10699:20 10710:3 10723:2 10724:8,8	10623:5 10624:12 10625:25 10626:6,8 10630:3 10816:7
10761:15,17 10762:8,11	Thursday 10584:2 10765:7	10735:9 10736:25,25	10816:20
10764:3 10766:3,23	till 10731:12	10740:9,20,23 10742:21	trouble 10690:2 10702:3
10767:14,18,22 10768:8,10	time 10585:6 10586:15,20	10743:9,12,23 10753:21	10727:20
10768:11 10769:17	10587:16 10588:2 10591:21	10781:20,21 10782:6,23	troubled 10651:5
10771:14 10772:3 10773:6	10595:17 10596:3 10597:19	10784:1,5 10786:5	troubling 10786:4 10787:14
10774:11 10776:1 10778:19	10597:21,24 10599:13	10790:17 10792:22 10793:1	true 10617:15,20 10653:5
10780:11,17 10782:11,21	10600:1,9,23,23 10601:16	10802:5 10834:4 10837:8	10739:10 10748:3 10787:20
10783:13 10785:18 10786:11 10787:2 10788:23	10601:23 10602:19,21 10603:1,3 10607:12	10837:10,16 10845:5 tolerate 10677:13	10796:22 10803:14 truth 10663:14
10789:7 10794:9 10796:16	10608:6,9 10609:20	Tom 10765:12	try 10704:3 10716:5 10733:11
10797:24 10799:18 10801:6	10611:6,19 10613:2,5	tomorrow 10624:3 10679:22	10736:22 10737:19
10801:11 10806:19,25	10616:20 10617:19	10802:19 10850:25	10768:12 10770:4 10828:24
10808:11,18 10809:20	10619:24 10620:8 10621:3	10851:14	trying 10598:16 10602:3
10811:8 10816:24 10817:9	10624:1 10625:10,17,20	tools 10625:21	10630:25 10632:20
10817:14,17 10818:18	10629:7 10632:22 10636:10	top 10659:7	10719:22 10725:16
10819:13 10820:7,13	10638:1 10640:9 10644:21	Toronto 10586:8,9,10	10729:17 10736:21
10826:24 10827:3,10,12	10644:22 10645:6 10646:18	10589:6 10731:22,24	10770:18 10772:6,6,20
10828:7,8,10,21 10834:17 10837:13 10838:7,12	10653:16 10654:6 10655:12	torture 10676:9 10692:9 10704:5 10771:25 10801:4	10782:4 10807:23 10809:17 10809:18 10818:8 10822:18
10839:3 10844:24 10845:25	10655:14,22,23 10656:3 10660:25 10662:17,17,24	10802:4,10,22 10803:9,17	10823:19 10836:1 10845:23
10846:6	10668:12 10672:24	10819:19 10823:5,10	Tuesday 10851:21,22
thinking 10636:3 10709:4	10676:13 10679:9 10680:11	10827:25	turn 10595:16
third 10600:5,21 10606:20	10681:14 10682:17	tortured 10703:16 10806:4,15	Twenty-eight 10808:17
10622:18 10644:24 10658:8	10690:16 10691:17 10693:4	10807:8	two 10585:23 10599:23
10697:11 10805:14	10694:20 10695:8 10704:3	tough 10691:12 10718:13	10609:18 10628:12 10637:9
10840:17	10707:5 10708:6,19	tracks 10713:3	10649:9 10693:15 10708:12
thorough 10804:9	10709:16 10712:12 10717:9	trade 10689:7	10708:14 10718:21
thoroughly 10635:6	10717:23 10718:17	trail 10700:21	10731:12 10733:18
L	1	ı	1

10734:16 10736:25 10740:21 10744:13 10755:22 10769:12 10783:3 10784:22 10798:22 10799:8 10801:10,12 10817:1 10818:13 10823:25 10848:19 10849:10,11 **two-thirds** 10810:5 **type** 10742:5 **typically** 10610:2,4 10738:15 10744:18 10751:24 Uultimately 10607:22 10682:15

ultimately 10607:22 10682:15 unambiguous 10659:9 10832:19 10836:12,21 unanswered 10631:14 unaware 10656:19 10834:21 unbelievably 10692:10 unchallenged 10833:17 10835:13 undated 10616:16 10764:11 understand 10585:14,25 10588:2,9 10589:15 10590:12 10624:13 10626:12 10635:23 10640:22 10646:13 10649:20 10660:20 10665:13 10671:16,17,18 10680:8 10688:16 10699:16 10714:10 10729:14,22 10760:23 10761:8 10767:4 10779:22 10791:22 10802:4 10810:21 10818:2 10845:23 **understanding** 10594:16,17 10594:18 10608:21 10616:1 10628:12 10632:24 10633:22 10645:12 10650:3 10650:12,14 10657:18 10658:7 10694:2 10727:21 10735:24 10736:12 10747:3 10749:23 10753:17 10756:10 10757:24 10760:24 10762:19,21,23 10799:20,24 10800:12 10804:10 10805:6 10819:25 10831:12 10834:12 10838:7 10848:20 **understood** 10624:8 10696:2 10696:6 10698:22 10702:12 10725:7 10765:14 10773:16 10785:10 undertaking 10706:10 unfair 10692:13 10714:25,25 10725:5 unfortunately 10834:18

unhelpful 10715:1,15

unilateral 10847:25

10845:24

unilaterally 10652:7 Union 10819:12 United 10595:21 10596:4 10597:20.23 10598:5.8.12 10599:3.8.21 10600:2.7.16 10600:22,24 10601:2,13 10677:11 10692:3.7 10693:17 10708:15,16 10755:25 10760:9 10768:24 10794:2 10795:7 unnecessary 10715:15 unredacted 10593:3 unusual 10674:21,23,23 10766:9 **Updates** 10622:3 upheaval 10739:13 urgent 10808:5 10832:18 use 10594:25 10627:21 10665:9 10752:20 10805:8 10816:25 10845:21 useful 10614:5 10629:14 10753:7 10754:6,15,15 10755:2,9 10815:1 useless 10754:6 uses 10802:21 usual 10649:6 usually 10668:17 10733:22 utilizing 10593:21 U.N 10689:5 **U.S** 10600:12 10607:22 10670:9 10709:18 10770:22 10773:20 10775:22,24 10789:14

V

vague 10707:9 valued 10776:18 Vancouver 10585:8 variety 10784:24 various 10627:20 10628:15 10710:14,16 10766:19 10848:22 verbale 10643:8 versa 10742:17 version 10764:18 10765:1 versus 10625:11 10720:8 10741.23 vested 10712:25 Veuillez 10584:6 vice 10742:17 10777:12 view 10595:1 10600:15 10617:7 10618:6 10629:6 10650:23 10656:17 10658:25 10661:21 10666:17 10687:10.25 10696:20 10698:1 10711:17 10719:16 10721:3 10732:13 10738:23 10755:7,11 10765:23 10766:14 10768:5

10837:16 10843:18.20 10844:9 viewed 10611:2 10689:1 10797:6,12 views 10601:18 10635:18 10719:19 violates 10811:4 **violations** 10627:14 virtually 10694:2 10720:2 visit 10614:16 10615:3 10621:16,19,21 10622:1,6 10623:9 10624:25 10625:8 10625:10 10635:5 10639:1 10643:6 10654:4 10655:24 10782:2 10797:22 10812:21 10814:6,9,11,15 10815:9,16 10817:16,18 10818:9,16 10819:8 10821:8,12 10824:12 visited 10645:17 10654:24 10658:10 10683:18 10832:3 visiting 10614:22 10815:22 visits 10611:14,20 10612:25 voice 10672:2 volume 10592:20 10618:14 10656:23,24,24 10672:15 10672:18 10722:1 10761:10 10775:5 10778:23 10779:3 10779:4,6,6,10 10792:19,25

10768:11,12 10773:5

10784:14 10788:5 10822:22

W

10831:6,6

vous 10584:6

volumes 10679:21

volunteered 10643:18

waiting 10625:21 Waldman 10692:16,21 10731:22,25 10733:2,3,10 10733:13,16,19,21 10734:2 10734:4,13,23 10735:3,8,16 10736:11,19 10737:14,18 10738:2,5,9,17,22 10739:1 10739:5,10,16,22 10740:1,5 10740:7,11,18 10741:2,11 10741:15,19 10742:3,13,18 10742:21 10743:2,22 10744:1,6,11,20 10745:1,16 10746:14 10747:10,14 10748:12,18,21 10749:7,13 10749:21 10750:3,7,15,18 10750:25 10751:7,16,21 10752:2,15,24 10753:12,20 10753:23 10754:3,9,12,19 10755:7,14,24 10756:3,9,13 10756:19,23 10757:3,20 10758:6,15,19 10759:1 10760:5,23 10761:8,21

10762:14,17,22 10763:5,16 10763:18.23 10764:2.20 10765:3 10766:8.16.18 10767:3 10768:3,11,19 10769:21 10770:11 10771:4 10771:16 10772:2,15,19 10773:11,23,25 10774:3,4 10774:15,21,22 10775:2,8 10776:6,14,19 10777:1,6,8 10778:3,13,19 10779:1,5,8 10779:9.12 10780:4.8.19.23 10781:10,15 10782:3,9,19 10782:21 10783:1,7,10,16 10784:18 10786:5,17,24 10787:4,7,15 10788:13 10789:2,10,18,21 10790:9 10790:16,20,24 10791:1,5 10792:3,6,13,18,24 10793:20 10794:5,6,13,24 10795:2,6,9,12,19,25 10796:6,12,15 10797:1,5,11 10797:19 10798:1,6,7,9,13 10799:15,18,23 10800:11 10800:19 10801:1,9,20 10803:13,19,23 10804:5,14 10804:20 10805:3,5,11,14 10805:19,24 10806:25 10807:12,20,21 10808:1,12 10808:14,17 10809:6,8,24 10810:4,13,21 10811:10,16 10811:20,24 10812:3,10,16 10813:10,15,18,23 10814:20 10815:5 10816:5 10816:12,16,19,24 10817:8 10818:2 10819:15,25 10820:3,18,22,24 10821:6 10821:10.17 10822:1.4.15 10823:3.14.18.23 10824:9 10824:21,23 10825:2,10,15 10825:21,24 10826:8,12,19 10826:24 10827:8,11,17,23 10828:6.8.10.21 10829:2.7 10829:10 10831:9 10832:2 10832:17 10833:9 10834:17 10835:3,5,6 10836:5,17,20 10836:25 10837:5.13 10838:1,6,10,17,20 10839:2 10839:7,12,13,17,21,25 10840:7,17,20,24 10841:8 10841:16,20,23 10842:13 10843:6,13,21,25 10844:1,4 10844:13,22 10845:2,5,11 10845:17,22 10846:10,15 10851:8,13,19,22 10852:2 walked 10709:10 walking 10644:8 10709:22 10723:19 10724:20 want 10603:12 10625:6

10634:14,15 10638:5

	1	1	1
10639:16 10644:3 10648:9	10709:21 10712:6 10715:11	window 10623:17	10749:10 10751:13
10650:13 10653:24	10735:10,10 10743:19	wink-winked 10710:19	10754:24 10757:1,8,10
10656:18 10658:19	10745:19 10751:4,9	wisdom 10661:17	10762:24 10771:13,17,21
10662:14 10664:5 10672:3	10752:5 10754:16 10758:13	wish 10637:20 10641:1,17	10772:6,19,20 10774:13
10677:17 10679:4,13,18,23	10771:5 10773:24 10784:16	10643:23 10664:20	10785:14 10788:21
10684:2 10685:25 10687:20	10787:10 10795:17 10797:7	10764:15 10777:16,25	10807:18 10842:10 10848:1
10689:6 10691:1 10693:19	10818:4 10837:21 10843:15	10791:20 10792:21	Wright 10606:19 10664:9
10696:7 10702:11 10709:15	watch 10747:12	10820:17 10838:2	10698:9,12,14
10709:20,24 10710:4,19,22	water 10747.12 water 10762:5	withdraw 10715:18	Wright's 10698:16
10710:23 10711:2 10725:13	way 10622:18 10636:13,17	witness 10587:16,17 10606:14	writing 10608:13,18 10648:17
10727:12 10733:22 10734:4	10637:23 10638:6 10641:19	10608:25 10610:15	10648:25 10722:25
10737:11 10746:20	10679:12 10686:23	10613:18 10620:18	written 10598:18,22 10608:10
10747:15 10740:20	10690:14 10696:10	10646:23 10654:12	10616:8 10629:10 10662:17
10754:10 10759:5,23	10697:12 10702:11	10656:22 10680:19	10727:25 10731:23
10760:3 10765:22 10767:7	10711:10,11 10713:9,12	10714:25 10721:25	wrong 10640:4,4 10649:22
10768:22 10770:13	10715:17 10726:12,13	10747:23 10721:23	10670:13 10726:16
10771:11 10773:16	10729:3 10766:13 10781:18	10782:11 10798:22 10799:1	10728:24 10745:9 10770:12
10775:10 10778:4,16	10825:11 10827:9 10836:5	10806:19 10808:13	10770:17
10780:10 107781:8,23	ways 10718:22 10753:6	10807:23 10838:3 10851:14	10//0.1/
10784:2,11,15 10785:11,17	10755:22	witnesses 10635:7 10683:12	Y
10787:7 10788:17,21	Wednesday 10614:3	witnesses 10033.7 10083.12 wonder 10590:18 10606:13	Yeah 10701:1,18 10718:15
10791:7 10792:8,20	10647:13 10814:24	10609:21 10613:17	year 10584:15,24 10658:11
10794:11 10795:25	10851:23,25	10620:18 10721:25	10683:19 10689:4 10737:12
10794:11 10793:23	wee 10602:21	wondering 10607:8 10610:14	10742:4 10748:5 10750:4
10801:25 10803:25	week 10623:8 10624:5	10622:4 10655:8 10675:8	10751:1 10832:4
10801.23 10803.23	10654:7 10848:19 10851:9	10723:6	years 10610:22 10613:11
10822:7 10823:16 10829:2	weighed 10653:13	word 10715:12 10816:25	10699:22 10724:11 10728:4
10831:23 10832:12	weighing 10625:10	10820:21	10744:12,20 10745:2
10831:23 10832:12	weighing 10025.10 well-taken 10761:15	wording 10715:4 10716:12,13	10847:2
10835:16,20,25 10836:14	went 10605:11 10631:14	10717:11 10830:20	yesterday 10630:4 10695:9
10840:13 10842:13	10647:5 10654:2 10656:14	10831:19 10839:8,18	10699:25 10702:4 10722:8
10843:13 10848:9,13	10681:16 10711:10 10735:9	10840:1,10,13 10841:17	10723:5 10732:5 10785:1
wanted 10594:25 10662:5	10769:6 10793:8 10804:11	10842:8 10843:14,21	10812:18 10813:4
10674:1 10680:5 10696:3,5	10807:17 10828:19	10842.8 10845.14,21	York 10597:11 10713:25
10696:9,10,17,23 10697:8	weren't 10625:8,21 10676:20	words 10639:10 10668:9	10795:8,22
10697:11 10698:13	10690:15 10713:4 10720:17	10716:7 10828:16 10842:24	10/93.8,22
10700:17 10704:19 10707:7	10750:21 10781:22	10845:20	
10710:2 10727:8,10	10817:10 10819:22	work 10682:23 10697:12	zero 10618:18,19
10728:2 10737:18 10749:14	Western 10592:13	10698:19 10699:3 10737:15	zip 10652:8
10757:25 10773:2 10784:12	we'll 10700:25 10776:6	10737:16 10776:15	Zip 10032.8
10785:22 10795:14 10818:5	10778:21	10793:12 10799:21	À
10840:7,15 10843:6	we're 10694:11 10702:18,19	10800:23	à 10584:4 10656:8,10
10840.7,13 10843.0	10702:22 10708:20	worked 10681:24 10697:14	10731:15,17 10810:10,12
wants 10590:5 10642:15	10702.22 10708.20	10716:12 10717:1 10776:22	10/31:13,17 10810:10,12
10646:5 10651:22 10661:12	10728:14,20,22 10760:21	working 10689:10,11	10052.7,10
10663:11	10820:24 10822:5 10823:23	10742:14 10800:15	0
war 10623:18 10669:13	we've 10694:11 10695:8	works 10678:2	00 10852:10
10670:20 10708:9,10,21	10709:16 10711:7,8	works 10078.2 worried 10728:21 10773:19	00 10832.10 03 10722:6 10729:10
1070.20 10708.9,10,21	10723:16 10724:7,8,8	10840:1	07 10852:9
Ward 10623:19	10728:18,25 10763:19	worry 10679:2	9 7 10052.3
warrant 10717:23 10842:5	10775:5 10778:3 10796:2	worth 10629:9	1
Washington 10596:20	whatsoever 10696:19	worth 10029.9 wouldn't 10602:15 10608:9	1 10592:20 10656:23
10619:23,25	10774:25	10608:18 10639:3 10664:9	10672:15,18 10693:5
wasn't 10597:24 10602:15	whereabouts 10602:7	10668:7 10669:19 10674:20	10722:1 10761:11 10778:23
10605:23 10607:15	white 10793:2 10808:19	10674:22 10677:7 10694:21	10779:3,4,6,10 10841:22
10629:14 10646:7 10649:17	wife 10676:13 10690:2	10696:14 10699:5 10700:11	1st 10714:5
10671:9 10689:20 10690:13	10716:1	10718:19 10719:11,21	1:50 10731:16
10693:7 10697:24 10698:8	WILLIAM 10585:1	10743:20 10745:1,7	10 10630:13 10656:8,10
10699:2 10705:4 10709:6	willingness 10628:5	10747:3,5,10 10748:25	10659:24 10666:2 10839:20
10077.2 10700.7 10707.0	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	10/1/.5,5,10 10/70.25	10037.24 10000.2 10039.20
	1	1	I

29th 10714:4 **8** 10599:1 10600:1 10606:17 **2001** 10588:1 10851:1 **2002** 10586:5,12,19 10589:7 **10:30** 10808:21 10609:19 10613:21 10636:1 3 **10:40** 10656:7 10589:24 10595:23 10596:8 10646:15 10658:9 10717:7 10:58 10656:9 10598:18 10599:1.1 **3** 10603:6 10616:6 10657:1 10813:20.22 10831:25 10832:2 10839:23 10840:4 **106** 10603:7 10600:2,9 10605:8 10607:8 10658:8 10681:6 10682:17 **11** 10588:3 10683:16 10608:3,6,15 10613:13 10682:24 10706:1 10777:4 10840:8 10841:17,24 10701:25 10702:18 10703:6 10616:6 10618:11.25 8th 10601:25 10660:21 10777:5.6.8 10783:11 10708:6,20 10790:20 10645:18 10666:2 10746:24 10797:23 10798:4 10831:4 10661:1 10729:13,25 11th 10734:9 10775:12 10784:20 10831:6,7 10841:23,23 **11:00** 10656:2 10795:14 10803:20 **3rd** 10680:19,24 10681:7,12 **117** 10672:18.19 10810:17 10817:4 10682:10,21 **9** 10584:4 10598:18 10617:6 **3-by-6-by-7** 10817:12 **12** 10603:14 10618:11,25 **2003** 10603:15 10606:18 10682:25 10796:17 10609:19,20 10635:23 **3:12** 10810:9 10678:5 10684:5 10717:7 10839:16 10840:2,9,14,16 10731:15 10810:10 10636:1 10637:2 10640:11 **3:24** 10810:11 10840:17 10851:12 10852:4 **12th** 10660:21 10661:1 10645:12 10646:15,23 **30** 10618:17 10672:23 10852:10 10736:14 10737:6 10829:14 10654:21 10656:14,22 **30th** 10783:11 10784:13,21 **9th** 10598:22,24 10599:15,18 10829:20 10657:7 10663:18 10672:23 10785:10 10601:25 10731:24 **12:23** 10731:14 10678:5 10689:4 10693:6 **9-11** 10593:6 4 **126** 10618:17 10705:7 10720:8 10729:14 **9/11** 10592:17 10594:7,11 **13** 10685:7 10731:17 10775:12 10777:10 **4** 10618:14 10622:16 10659:7 10595:1,8,12 10705:24 13th 10714:11 10778:10,14 10780:25 10665:16,16 10708:3 10738:22 10739:2,6,12,12 **14** 10636:24 10781:17 10782:1,5 10775:8 10739:23 10743:6 10744:7 14th 10620:8 10783:11 10784:13,21 4th 10760:17,21 10745:10 **15** 10637:2 10640:16 10656:5 10785:10,24 10798:23 **4:07** 10852:7 9:00 10851:14 10852:9 10799:8,9 10829:19 **9:16** 10584:3 10702:18 10777:6,7,8 40 10656:8 10792:11 10810:10,12 10831:21 10834:4 10847:6 9:30 10622:19 43 10731:15 **15th** 10602:22 10603:3 10848:19 **49** 10672:15,16 90 10601:11 10649:9 10651:1 10809:5 **2004** 10593:1 90s 10776:22 **97** 10611:24 10612:7 **2005** 10584:2,4 10731:25 **16** 10584:4 10603:15 10852:8,10 10622:16 10640:11 **5** 10623:23 10657:7 10783:17 **21** 10592:23 10607:5,7 10642:22 10644:10 10792:25 10812:11,13 10645:12 10852:9 10646:22 10647:14 10831:21 16th 10605:17 10651:14 10654:21 10666:2 5th 10661:10.10 10662:12 10785:24 21st 10606:13 10607:13 10664:17 10680:25 10681:8 16/12/02 10812:13 10649:12,14 10681:8.16 10682:11.22 **17** 10794:14 **22** 10608:3 10609:20 10694:11.21 10695:10 **1700** 10765:6 **22nd** 10654:3 10764:25 10712:4 10793:4,7 10831:4 **18** 10621:14 10814:3 10798:24 10799:8 **50** 10731:17 18th 10623:23 10624:21 **229** 10780:22 **547** 10606:14,16 **23** 10722:5 10778:23 10779:1 10831:14 **58** 10656:10 **19** 10780:23 10783:2,3 10779:6,9,10 **19th** 10613:24 10626:2 **238** 10705:8.9 10731:8 6 239 10731:2.3 10764:10 1974 10585:11 **6** 10612:15 10614:4 10616:3 **24** 10609:5 10761:11 **1984** 10585:4 10616:14 10623:24 **1985** 10585:14.18 10810:12 10814:25 1988 10585:24 **24th** 10647:1 10649:14 6th 10615:5 10617:11 **1995** 10673:16 10650:23 10706:4 10707:16 10619:16,19 10729:12 **25** 10584:2,4 10702:18 **2** 10595:23 10596:7 10612:14 **26** 10599:1 7 10616:19 10621:7 10708:3 10623:23 10631:19 26th 10751:8 10812:11,13 10656:24,24 10672:25 **27** 10608:25 7th 10705:7 10793:25 10794:6 10775:5,9 10792:19,25 **27th** 10819:13 10794:15 10798:23 10799:8 10831:6,6 **28** 10604:11 10626:19 **75** 10656:25 10775:5,7,8 2nd 10596:23,25 10597:16 10808:15 10792:25 10831:4,6,7 10598:9,15 28th 10611:10 10839:16 **289** 10801:23 2-1/2 10806:1 **7803** 10757:5 20 10656:2 10808:16 **29** 10593:1 10626:20 10852:8

8

2000 10585:25 10586:5

10852:10