Commission d'enquête sur les actions des responsables canadiens relativement à Maher Arar Commission of Inquiry into the Actions of Canadian Officials in Relation to Maher Arar

Audience publique

Public Hearing

Commissaire

L'Honorable juge /
The Honourable Justice
Dennis R. O'Connor

Commissioner

Tenue à: Held at:

Salon Algonquin Ancien hôtel de ville 111, Promenade Sussex Ottawa (Ontario) Algonquin Room Old City Hall 111 Sussex Drive Ottawa, Ontario

le lundi 22 août 2005 Monday, August 22, 2005

APPEARANCES / COMPARUTIONS

Mr. Paul Cavalluzzo

Mr. Marc David Mr. Brian Gover Ms Veena Verma Ms Adela Mall Ms Lara Tessaro **Commission Counsel**

Amicus Curiae

Mr. Ronald G. Atkey

Mr. Lorne Waldman Counsel for Maher Arar Ms Marlys Edwardh

Ms Breese Davies Ms Brena Parnes

Ms Barbara A. McIsaac, Q.C.

Mr. Colin Baxter Mr. Simon Fothergill Mr. Gregory S. Tzemenakis

Ms Helen J. Gray

Attorney General of Canada

Ms Lori Sterling Mr. Darrell Kloeze Ms Leslie McIntosh Ministry of the Attorney General/ Ontario Provincial Police

Mr. Faisal Joseph Canadian Islamic Congress

Ms Marie Henein National Council on Canada-Arab

Mr. Hussein Amery Relations

Mr. Steven Shrybman Canadian Labour Congress/Council of

Canadians and the Polaris Institute

Mr. Emelio Binavince Minority Advocacy and Rights

Council

Mr. Joe Arvay The British Columbia Civil

Liberties Association

APPEARANCES / COMPARUTIONS

Mr. Kevin Woodall The International Commission for

Jurists, The Redress Trust, The Association for the Prevention of Torture, World Organization Against

Torture

Colonel Mr. Michel W. Drapeau The Muslim Community Council of

Ottawa-Gatineau

MR. DAVID Matas International Campaign Against

Torture

Ms Barbara Olshansky Centre for Constitutional Rights

Mr. Riad Saloojee Canadian Council on

Mr. Khalid Baksh American-Islamic Relations

Mr. Mel Green Canadian Arab Federation

Ms Amina Sherazee Muslim Canadian Congress

Ms Sylvie Roussel Counsel for Maureen Girvan

Ms Catherine Beagan Flood Counsel for the Parliamentary Clerk

Mr. Norman Boxall

Mr. Don Bayne

Counsel for Michael Cabana

Mr. Richard Bell

Mr. Vince Westwick

Mr. Jim O'Grady

Counsel for Ottawa Police Service

Mr. Paul Copeland Counsel for Abdullah Almalki

Ms Barbara Jackman Counsel for Ahmed El Maati

TABLE OF CONTENTS / TABLE DES MATIÈRES

	Page
PREVIOUSLY SWORN: Richard Roy	9428
Examination by MR. DAVID	9428
Examination by Mr. Waldman	9630
Examination by Mr. Boxall	9684
Examination by MR. DAVID	9687
PREVIOUSLY SWORN: Richard Flewelling	9696
Examination by Mr. Cavalluzzo	9696

LIST OF EXHIBITS / PIÈCES JUSTICATIVES

No.	Description	Page
P-205	Résumé of Richard Roy	9428
P-206	Personal notes of Richard Roy	9452
P-207	SitRep dated September 11, 2002	9454
P-208	Document entitled "Time Line"	9459
P-209	Document concerning the entry dated October 2	9461
P-210	Personal notes of Pat Callaghan	9498
P-211	Personal notes of Richard Flewelling	9530
P-212	Personal notes of Pat Callaghan	9540
P-213	Personal notes of another investigator	9563
P-214	SitRep dated October 8	9571
P-215	Personal notes of Pat Callaghan	9578
P-216	Fax by Richard Roy dated October 22, 2002	9582
P-217	Personal notes of Pat Callaghan	9589
P-218	Personal notes of another investigator	9616
P-219	E-mail dated June 17, 2003	9625
P-220	Résumé of Richard Flewelling	9696
P-221	Statement given by Richard Flewelling to Brian Garvie on January 22, 2004	9739

1	Ottawa, Ontario
2	Upon commencing on Monday, August 22, 2005
3	at 10:00 a.m. / L'audience débute le lundi
4	22 août 2005 à 10 h 00
5	MR. DAVID: Good morning,
6	Mr. Commissioner.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Good morning,
8	Mr. David.
9	MR. DAVID: We have Superintendent
10	Richard Roy of the RCMP who is going to testify
11	this morning before you.
12	Mr. Roy, however, will be giving
13	his testimony in French. So it will be necessary
14	to have his testimony translated.
15	Therefore I think we should do an
16	equipment check at this point.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
18	THE TECHNICIAN: Channel 2 is
19	English. Everybody should have it on channel 2
20	for English, 3 for French.
21	[TRANSLATION]
22	MR. DAVID: So, it's channel 2 in
23	French. And it works. Thank you.
24	[ENGLISH]
25	THE COMMISSIONER: We have one

1	down here that's
2	Okay.
3	[TRANSLATION]
4	MR. DAVID: Can we swear in the
5	witness, Mr. Commissioner?
6	[ENGLISH]
7	THE COMMISSIONER: He has been
8	sworn before, has he not?
9	[TRANSLATION]
10	MR. DAVID: Okay.
11	[ENGLISH]
12	THE COMMISSIONER: You have been
13	sworn before, so you are still under oath. It's
14	not necessary to swear you again.
15	[TRANSLATION]
16	PREVIOUSLY SWORN: RICHARD ROY
17	EXAMINATION
18	MR. DAVID: Thank you.
19	Just to situate Superintendent
20	Roy's testimony, at the time that concerns your
21	mandate, Mr. Commissioner, Mr. Roy was a liaison
22	officer for the RCMP with the Department of
23	Foreign Affairs, and he held that position from
24	September 3, 2002 to October 14, 2004.
25	Is that correct, Mr. Roy?

1	MR. RICHARD ROY: That's correct.
2	MR. DAVID: You have been an RCMP
3	officer since 1977, so for 27 years.
4	EXHIBIT P-205: Résumé of
5	Richard Roy
6	MR. DAVID: I would now like to
7	file your résumé. Can we file Mr. Roy's résumé as
8	an exhibit?
9	That would be Exhibit number?
10	THE CLERK: Two hundred and five
11	(205).
12	MR. DAVID: Two hundred and five
13	(205). Thank you.
14	[ENGLISH]
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Two zero
16	five (205).
17	[TRANSLATION]
18	MR. DAVID: You now have the rank
19	of superintendent with the RCMP. At the time that
20	concerns us, Mr. Roy, you were an inspector. Is
21	that right?
22	MR. RICHARD ROY: That's right.
23	MR. DAVID: I don't intend to
24	review in detail your various positions with the
25	PCMD or in the PCMD but let it be noted that as

1	I said, you were a liaison officer for almost two
2	years, a little more than two years, and now you
3	are the Regional Director of Corporate Services
4	for the RCMP?
5	MR. RICHARD ROY: Of Financial
6	Services for
7	MR. DAVID: Financial Services.
8	MR. RICHARD ROY: headquarters.
9	MR. DAVID: Thank you.
10	Firstly, Mr. Roy, I would like you
11	to describe the role of an RCMP liaison officer in
12	a department such as Foreign Affairs.
13	Could you explain the purpose of
14	this position and your role?
15	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
16	First of all, there is a
17	memorandum of understanding between the two
18	departments for regarding some of my duties, my
19	main duties.
20	But to summarize it, I would say
21	that my role was that of a facilitator.
22	Facilitating communication. Facilitating
23	information sharing. And facilitating the various
24	partnerships that the RCMP and Foreign Affairs had
25	for many files.

1	I played a referral role. If
2	someone wanted certain information, I would obtain
3	it or refer the person to the unit or person who
4	could answer their questions and provide the
5	required help in many areas.
6	MR. DAVID: So clearly, as a
7	liaison officer, you didn't play an operational
8	role for specific files?
9	MR. RICHARD ROY: No, absolutely
10	not.
11	MR. DAVID: Okay.
12	I would like you to look at your
13	résumé, which was filed as Exhibit 205, Mr. Roy.
14	Please turn to page 3 of that document.
15	The third point, I think, concerns
16	the description of your function as a liaison
17	officer in the Department of Foreign Affairs.
18	You say in your résumé:
19	[ENGLISH]
20	As the liaison officer
21	between the RCMP and the
22	Department of Foreign Affairs
23	I provide briefings to senior
24	management of both
25	organizations (As read)

1	[TRANSLATION]
2	Is that an accurate description?
3	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes. That's one
4	of the duties I was referring to, as listed in the
5	memorandum of understanding.
6	MR. DAVID: Okay. Did your duties
7	include giving briefings to senior management of
8	both organizations? Is that something you did in
9	actual fact?
10	MR. RICHARD ROY: No. Not
11	directly.
12	Only at the Mr.
13	Heatherington at the ISI level, and the people
14	I dealt with in the RCMP and the CID, which is
15	criminal intelligence.
16	MR. DAVID: Okay.
17	It goes on to say in your résumé:
18	[ENGLISH]
19	I establish and maintain
20	contacts, facilitate the
21	transmission of requests and
22	decisions (As read.)
23	[TRANSLATION]
24	I think you testified to that
25	effect, that this was part of your role. Do you

1	agree with this description?
2	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes, yes.
3	MR. DAVID: Okay.
4	And it goes on to say:
5	[ENGLSIH]
6	Provide advice and guidance,
7	and act as liaison regarding
8	criminal incidents and
9	activities posing a potential
10	threat. (As read.)
11	[TRANSLATION]
12	Do you also agree with that
13	description?
14	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
15	MR. DAVID: If I understand
16	correctly, you must therefore be familiar with the
17	files, specific files, in order to carry out your
18	role properly and your duties as a liaison
19	officer?
20	MR. RICHARD ROY: Certainly not
21	the details; no. Not at all.
22	MR. DAVID: But if we take the
23	example of an investigation like the one conducted
24	by the RCMP, Division A, Project A-OCANADA, you
25	were aware of what was involved, the main thrust

1	of that file?
2	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes. I went I
3	had a few meetings or a few discussions with
4	members of Project A-OCANADA when I started
5	working at Foreign Affairs, to familiarize myself
6	with the file.
7	Afterwards, the only knowledge I
8	received about that file was when members came to
9	Foreign Affairs to give updates and briefings when
LO	there were meetings between the RCMP and Foreign
L1	Affairs.
L2	I was only aware of a few things
L3	here and there at that level. Exactly.
L4	MR. DAVID: We will cover in
L5	detail your actions and interactions in the Arar
L6	file, Mr. Roy.
L7	We know that Mr. Arar arrived in
L8	New York on September 26, 2002. We know that he
L9	was detained there for a few days in fact, 13
20	days. And we know that he was eventually deported
21	from the United States and that you played a role
22	with respect to the period during which he was
23	detained in the State of New York. Correct?
24	MR. RICHARD ROY: Correct.
25	MR. DAVID: Would you agree that

1	this is a fairly typical example of the type of
2	role you might play as a liaison officer in a case
3	such as Mr. Arar's, that is, a Canadian detained
4	in the United States, in a foreign country, where
5	Mr. Arar was already the subject of or was of
6	interest to an RCMP investigation here, and was
7	also the subject of services rendered by the
8	Department of Foreign Affairs?
9	MR. RICHARD ROY: If you would
10	like to qualify my interactions or my involvement,
11	my role was simply to make sure that the right
12	people were sitting at the tables for the
13	discussions that were to take place, as well as
14	the sharing of information between A and B.
15	This is effectively, this role
16	is typical with other cases. Yes.
17	MR. DAVID: Okay.
18	In terms of the hierarchy, now,
19	and to whom you reported, according to the RCMP
20	organization chart, I understand that as the
21	liaison officer for the Department of Foreign
22	Affairs, you reported directly to Mr. Proulx,
23	Mr
24	MR. RICHARD ROY: That's right.
25	MR. DAVID: Assistant

1	Commissioner Proulx, but in fact, you reported to
2	Rick Flewelling more directly?
3	MR. RICHARD ROY: I wouldn't use
4	the expression "reported to," but when I had
5	information to give the RCMP specifically
6	concerning national security, I would give the
7	documents or information to Rick Flewelling, when
8	he was there.
9	MR. DAVID: So, in terms of
10	organizational structure, your position, you were
11	mainly accountable to headquarters.
12	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes, that's
13	right.
14	MR. DAVID: Okay.
15	In terms of Mr. Flewelling's work
16	description, his role at headquarters, in the CID,
17	was it your understanding that he had special
18	authority regarding the investigation file being
19	conducted by A-OCANADA ?
20	MR. RICHARD ROY: That was my
21	understanding, yes.
22	MR. DAVID: Okay.
23	Can you give us an idea or an
24	overview of the type of the frequency of your
25	eychanges with Mr. Flewelling in your role?

1	MR. RICHARD ROY: In what sense do
2	you want me to answer that?
3	MR. DAVID: Did you speak to or
4	exchange information with Mr. Flewelling
5	frequently, daily?
6	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes. Mr.
7	Flewelling was working on a lot of files in which
8	I was involved as a liaison officer.
9	So, certainly. Particularly as I
10	went to headquarters almost every on average,
11	every two days.
12	I would see him on the majority of
13	those occasions.
14	MR. DAVID: Okay. So when you went
15	to RCMP headquarters, was it mainly to meet Mr.
16	Flewelling?
17	MR. RICHARD ROY: No, not at all.
18	MR. DAVID: Okay.
19	When there was an issue related to
20	the A-OCANADA investigation file, did you contact
21	Mr. Flewelling?
22	MR. RICHARD ROY: Most of the
23	time, yes.
24	MR. DAVID: Okay. And when you
25	MR. RICHARD ROY: Excuse me

1	MR. DAVID: Yes.
2	MR. RICHARD ROY: Excuse me. I
3	should clarify that.
4	Most of the time, yes, at least at
5	first, when I started out as a liaison officer.
6	MR. DAVID: Yes.
7	MR. RICHARD ROY: In the later
8	months the first X months, that was the case.
9	Afterwards, I would see others
10	more frequently.
11	MR. DAVID: Can you explain that
12	transition to us?
13	MR. RICHARD ROY: I can't tell
14	you. I have no idea. In any case, you'll see
15	from the documents I transferred, the documents I
16	brought with me to the RCMP, that I was dealing
17	with others also.
18	Either Mr. Flewelling wasn't there
19	any more or I was dealing with someone else. I
20	can't tell you exactly.
21	MR. DAVID: Okay.
22	Did one person in particular more
23	or less take over Mr. Flewelling's role in the
24	CID?
25	MR. RICHARD ROY: I can't tell

1	you. I don't remember.
2	MR. DAVID: Okay.
3	Now, regarding your training for
4	the functions and duties of a liaison officer, Mr.
5	Roy, did you undergo training for that position?
6	MR. RICHARD ROY: No.
7	MR. DAVID: Did you have an
8	opportunity to discuss the functions and the role
9	you were to carry out in the Department of Foreign
10	Affairs with your predecessor?
11	MR. RICHARD ROY: I spent a few
12	hours with my predecessor.
13	MR. DAVID: When?
14	MR. RICHARD ROY: At the very
15	beginning. My first week, I think.
16	MR. DAVID: So you made the
17	transition in a few hours?
18	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes, exactly.
19	MR. DAVID: And before you started
20	carrying out your duties in the Department, did
21	you have an opportunity to work on investigation
22	files that involved intelligence?
23	Do you get the drift of my
24	question? Did you have
25	MP PICHAPD POV. Vec The angwer

1	is no.
2	MR. DAVID: The answer is no.
3	Okay.
4	I would now like to spend a little
5	time trying to understand your work environment at
6	the Department of Foreign Affairs, Mr. Roy.
7	Correct me if I'm wrong, but
8	essentially, your office was physically located in
9	the Department of Foreign Affairs, and more
10	specifically, in the ISI Office?
11	MR. RICHARD ROY: That's correct.
12	MR. DAVID: What is your
13	understanding of ISI's mandate? What did ISI do
14	in the Department?
15	MR. RICHARD ROY: The function
16	that I'm familiar with, in my own words, was that
17	ISI, if you can call it that, received information
18	and intelligence from various sources, both in
19	Canada and abroad, and its role as I saw it, was
20	to analyse the intelligence that came in at that
21	level and then notify its various Foreign Affairs
22	clients accordingly, depending on what it was
23	about.
24	MR. DAVID: And your office was
25	physically located in that office?

1	MR. RICHARD ROY: Correct.
2	MR. DAVID: Were the premises
3	secure?
4	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes, yes; they
5	were.
6	MR. DAVID: Could just anyone
7	access the premises?
8	MR. RICHARD ROY: No.
9	MR. DAVID: What did you need to
10	get into ISI's premises?
11	MR. RICHARD ROY: The premises
12	specific to ISI, you needed there is a password
13	on an alarm system at the door. You needed a
14	specific password to get inside.
15	MR. DAVID: Did you need to have
16	security clearance? Did you need to have
17	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes. I had it.
18	Top secret.
19	MR. DAVID: So, as a general rule,
20	the people who worked in that office had to have
21	"top secret" clearance.
22	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
23	MR. DAVID: Okay.
24	Could other employees in the
25	Department enter or access those premises?

1	MR. RICHARD ROY: Those who had
2	the clearance or who were who arrived with an
3	escort.
4	MR. DAVID: Okay.
5	MR. RICHARD ROY: I assume.
6	MR. DAVID: How many people worked
7	in that ISI office?
8	MR. RICHARD ROY: There were about
9	eight of us
10	MR. DAVID: Eight people in all.
11	MR. RICHARD ROY: who were
12	physically in ISI, as such.
13	MR. DAVID: And the Director of
14	ISI was Mr. Heatherington?
15	MR. RICHARD ROY: That's correct.
16	MR. DAVID: He also had an
17	assistant director at the time; was it Jim Gould?
18	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
19	MR. DAVID: When you say eight
20	people, are they included in that number?
21	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes, yes.
22	MR. DAVID: There was you. There
23	was also a liaison officer for the Canadian
24	Intelligence Service.
25	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes; CSIS.

1	MR. DAVID: Okay. So was it was
2	it quite small as an outfit, if you can call it
3	that?
4	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
5	MR. DAVID: Was it an informal
6	environment? Was it were the relationships
7	hierarchical? Was it can you just describe the
8	work environment a little for us?
9	MR. RICHARD ROY: Hierarchical
10	relationships the relationships were definitely
11	what I would call professional.
12	MR. DAVID: Could everyone speak
13	to everyone else in the office?
14	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes, about this
15	and that. Yes, absolutely.
16	MR. DAVID: You, for example,
17	could directly address Mr. Heatherington, the
18	Director?
19	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes, absolutely.
20	MR. DAVID: It wasn't formal in
21	terms of positions
22	MR. RICHARD ROY: In that sense,
23	no. I could talk to Mr. Heatherington anytime at
24	all.
25	MR. DAVID: Was it an open-door

1	environment with spontaneous conversations?
2	MR. RICHARD ROY: General
3	conversation, if I can call it that, yes,
4	absolutely. That wasn't a problem.
5	But when we were dealing with
6	specific files, no. It wasn't like that at all.
7	MR. DAVID: Okay.
8	What floor were those offices on?
9	MR. RICHARD ROY: The third floor
10	MR. DAVID: The third floor.
11	Were Consular Affairs also on the
12	third floor in the Department?
13	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes, if I
14	remember correctly. Yes.
15	MR. DAVID: Okay.
16	MR. RICHARD ROY: In a different
17	wing.
18	MR. DAVID: In a different wing.
19	Did you have an opportunity to actually sometimes
20	go to other offices than those of ISI?
21	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes, absolutely
22	MR. DAVID: For example, did you
23	have access to the Consular Affairs office?
24	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes, certainly.
25	MR. DAVID: And you would walk

1	over if you needed information or, as part of your
2	duties, you would sometimes go to other units in
3	the Department?
4	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes, yes.
5	Regarding consular cases, you say
6	that I would go and get information. As far as
7	with consular cases, it was more the opposite that
8	occurred.
9	But yes, I had contact with them.
10	MR. DAVID: Okay.
11	Did it sometimes happen that
12	informal caucuses were formed in the ISI office to
13	discuss an issue or information that had just been
14	learned, Mr. Roy?
15	MR. RICHARD ROY: An informal
16	caucus?
17	MR. DAVID: Where people would
18	find something out and then you would get together
19	to discuss the issue.
20	Did that sort of environment
21	exist?
22	MR. RICHARD ROY: No, no, not
23	really. If there was relevant information about a
24	topic or specific file, someone would ask to call
25	a meeting.

1	There was a conference room, a
2	small one, but there was one, and that's where
3	meetings were held.
4	MR. DAVID: And could those
5	meetings be called fairly quickly on occasion?
6	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes, absolutely.
7	MR. DAVID: Okay. As soon as the
8	information became known?
9	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes, on an as-
10	needed basis.
11	MR. DAVID: Okay. Regarding the
12	people who were present, you said there were eight
13	of you. Was Mr. Solomon, Jonathan Solomon, one of
14	the officers employed by the Department of Foreign
15	Affairs with whom
16	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
17	MR. DAVID: Was he in terms of
18	your relationship, was he the person who was maybe
19	mainly authorized to liaise with you, in terms of
20	
21	MR. RICHARD ROY: In general
22	terms? No.
23	MR. DAVID: No?
24	MR. RICHARD ROY: No.
25	MR. DAVID: Did he have a

1	privileged position vis-à-vis your functions?
2	MR. RICHARD ROY: No.
3	MR. DAVID: Did you have more
4	relations with him than with other members of the
5	ISI office?
6	MR. RICHARD ROY: At the very
7	beginning, in the specific case we're dealing with
8	today, yes, at first, but, other than that, no.
9	MR. DAVID: Do you know why Mr.
10	Solomon was more involved in Mr. Arar's file at
11	the very beginning, as you say?
12	MR. RICHARD ROY: I can't see any
13	other reason than the fact that the file was
14	probably assigned to him, and I can't tell you
15	anything more.
16	MR. DAVID: Okay. Mr. Roy, did
17	you have occasion to notice that Mr. Solomon had -
18	- in connection with his duties had a special
19	relationship with Nancy Collins in Consular
20	Affairs?
21	MR. RICHARD ROY: No idea.
22	MR. DAVID: You don't know?
23	MR. RICHARD ROY: No.
24	MR. DAVID: Did you ever notice or
25	witness a conversation between Ms. Collins and Mr.

1	Solomon?
2	MR. RICHARD ROY: Not
3	particularly.
4	MR. DAVID: Okay. Did you we
5	saw that you started your duties on September 3,
6	2002. When the Arar affair occurred, on September
7	26, you were in your first weeks, in your at
8	the very beginning of your role as liaison
9	officer.
10	Was it your understanding that
11	that was also the case for Mr. Solomon at the
12	time?
13	MR. RICHARD ROY: No. I didn't
14	know it at the time.
15	MR. DAVID: You didn't know that
16	Mr. Solomon was also just starting out in that
17	division?
18	MR. RICHARD ROY: No, that doesn't
19	ring a bell.
20	MR. DAVID: Pardon?
21	MR. RICHARD ROY: That doesn't
22	ring a bell. I don't remember that he was also
23	starting, no.
24	MR. DAVID: Okay. Can you give us
25	an overview of how intelligence and information

1	about the files could be shared between you and
2	members of the ISI team? How did you share the
3	information?
4	MR. RICHARD ROY: Okay. If Mr.
5	Solomon, for instance, needed information and
6	wanted to ask me a question concerning a
7	particular file, he would ask the question.
8	I would go see the person who
9	should be able to give me an answer to the
10	question, so in some cases, in Division A, and in
11	other cases, at headquarters, and I would get an
12	answer and go back to the office and tell him,
13	quite simply.
14	MR. DAVID: Okay. In a way, a
15	physical presence was required to be able to
16	communicate that way?
17	MR. RICHARD ROY: Ah! In most
18	cases at the very beginning, yes, and I wasn't
19	familiar with the or comfortable with the SECUR
20	telephone system, so in the beginning, that's what
21	I did, quite simply. I would actually go see him
22	most of the time.
23	MR. DAVID: Okay.
24	Now, Mr. Roy, I would like to
) F	marrian your mana diwagt invalvement in what is now

1	called the "Arar affair," and I would like to
2	refer you to your personal notes.
3	If we may, I would first like to
4	file your personal notes as an exhibit, and that
5	would be Exhibit 206, Mr. Clerk. P-206.
6	EXHIBIT P-206: Personal
7	notes of Richard Roy
8	MR. DAVID: Please turn to the
9	first page of your personal notes; these are
10	photocopies of excerpts, Mr. Roy, and there's an
11	entry dated September 10, 2002.
12	This is about an 11:00 am meeting
13	in the office of the Department of Foreign
14	Affairs. You marked down that Franco Pillarella
15	and Michel Cabana were present, among others.
16	Do you remember this?
17	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
18	MR. DAVID: Okay. Can you tell us
19	what that meeting was about, the topic it dealt
20	with and why it took place?
21	MR. RICHARD ROY: I can't tell you
22	specifically why the meeting was held, except that
23	I was in the office and was invited to attend as
24	an observer since the meeting was about A-OCANADA.
25	MR. DAVID: Pardon?

1	MR. RICHARD ROY: A-OCANADA. It
2	was about
3	MR. DAVID: The investigation file
4	for
5	MR. RICHARD ROY: It was related
6	to that.
7	MR. DAVID: Did A-OCANADA, A-O-C,
8	mean anything to you on September 10?
9	MR. RICHARD ROY: On September 10,
10	very little.
11	MR. DAVID: Very little?
12	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes, very
13	little.
14	MR. DAVID: Yes, but that means
15	I mean, did you already have an idea did you
16	know about the existence of that investigation
17	file before the September 10 meeting?
18	MR. RICHARD ROY: I think it was
19	in the ensuing days that I had a greater
20	opportunity to be apprised about it.
21	MR. DAVID: Okay. So, is that
22	when you found out about the existence of the
23	investigation file called "A-O-C," on September
24	10, at that meeting?
25	MR. RICHARD ROY: That's pretty

1	hard to answer, but it was around that time.
2	MR. DAVID: It was around that
3	time; okay. As for the meeting, you can't can
4	you tell us what it was about, what was discussed
5	at that meeting?
6	MR. RICHARD ROY: On the basis of
7	my notes, no. There were obviously there was
8	some discussion, it lasted over an hour, but by
9	the way, I can't tell you the specifics of the
10	meeting, as such.
11	MR. DAVID: Okay. Now, Mr. Roy, I
12	would like to show you another document, and we'll
13	file it it's a SitRep dated September 11, 2002,
14	which was the day after that meeting, and it's
15	going to be Exhibit P-207.
16	EXHIBIT P-207: SitRep dated
17	September 11, 2002
18	MR. DAVID: So, on the first page
19	of that document of September 11, 2002, it says:
20	[ENGLISH]
21	The RCMP L.O. of D. Richard
22	Roy attended the A-OCANADA
23	Office during the morning to
24	be briefed on the
25	investigation and to acquaint

1	himself with the
2	investigation.
3	[TRANSLATION]
4	Do you remember that meeting?
5	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
6	MR. DAVID: Okay. Can you explain
7	why you were briefed on that investigation file,
8	at that time?
9	MR. RICHARD ROY: Simply because
10	as a facilitator, I would have I would have
11	been encouraged to attend and to make sure that
12	when the time came, the work to be done between
13	the two parties could be done as easily as
14	possible; that's all.
15	In other words, it was a file that
16	I was going to hear about and it was important
17	that I know, first of all, what the threat was,
18	what the file was about and also, the names of
19	some of the subjects so that they would be
20	familiar to us when we heard about one of them.
21	MR. DAVID: To what extent could
22	there be a connection between the RCMP's mandate
23	regarding the A-O-C investigation and the
24	Department of Foreign Affairs' mandate?
25	Would you just explain why you

1	needed to be familiarized with this?
2	MR. RICHARD ROY: I'm in a bad
3	position to answer that. Certainly, when I look,
4	when we talk about September 11, I don't know what
5	to tell you.
6	We're talking about we're
7	talking about A-OCANADA; we know what threat we
8	were talking about, so it's obvious that for the
9	RCMP, the RCMP has to investigate, but the impact
10	that such a case might have, the consequences,
11	obviously Foreign Affairs has a role to play with
12	respect to security, etc.
13	MR. DAVID: The initiative or idea
14	that you be familiarized with the main thrust of
15	this file, who wanted you to be briefed on this
16	investigation file?
17	Was it the RCMP or did the request
18	come from ISI?
19	MR. RICHARD ROY: Ah! I can't
20	tell you; I don't remember.
21	MR. DAVID: Okay. Was ISI
22	interested in that RCMP investigation file?
23	MR. RICHARD ROY: ISI would have
24	to tell you that.
25	MR. DAVID: But as far as you're

1	concerned, you were working on the inside, in ISI,
2	at the time.
3	Did you sense that ISI was
4	interested in the investigation, the A-O-C
5	investigation file?
6	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes, I would say
7	so, given the possible repercussions of such a
8	file or such a threat.
9	MR. DAVID: Okay. As for the
10	names that were identified, you said that you were
11	given a summary of the subjects of interest in
12	that investigation file.
13	Was Mr. Arar's name mentioned to
14	you?
15	MR. RICHARD ROY: No.
16	MR. DAVID: Was Mr. Arar's name
17	mentioned to you at that meeting of September 11,
18	2002?
19	MR. RICHARD ROY: No.
20	MR. DAVID: That brings me to the
21	next question: When did you hear the name? When
22	did you first learn Mr. Arar's name?
23	MR. RICHARD ROY: On October 2.
24	MR. DAVID: October 2. You had
25	never heard Mr. Arar's name mentioned in

1	connection with the A-O-C investigation before
2	that date?
3	MR. RICHARD ROY: No.
4	MR. DAVID: Not in the - not in
5	the ISI office either?
6	MR. RICHARD ROY: No.
7	MR. DAVID: Okay. I would like to
8	discuss that date, October 2, Mr. Roy, and will
9	refer you to three different documents.
10	The first document is what you
11	have called a time line, a chronological list of
12	sorts?
13	MR. RICHARD ROY: A time line,
14	yes.
15	MR. DAVID: I would like to file a
16	version, a new version of that time line. So that
17	would be Exhibit P-208.
18	EXHIBIT P-208: Document
19	entitled "Time Line"
20	MR. DAVID: With respect to the
21	time line, Mr. Roy, you made an entry dated
22	October 2; it's on the first page page 2 of 9,
23	and this is what you say in that entry. You say:
24	[ENGLISH]
25	Advised by Jonathan Solomon

1	of I.S.I. that Arar has been
2	arrested at J.F.K. Airport
3	and that consulate has not
4	been able to see him. Arar
5	called parents to advise.
6	[TRANSLATION]
7	Then, in parentheses, it says
8	"file".
9	Firstly, can you explain what
10	"file" refers to?
11	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes. It's
12	simply a folder in which I would have placed a
13	document.
14	MR. DAVID: Was that your way,
15	your method of working?
16	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes. It became
17	my
18	MR. DAVID: Okay. Would you
19	would you explain how you worked?
20	MR. RICHARD ROY: After a few
21	weeks carrying out my duties, I simply I
22	developed my own record-keeping system, and all it
23	was, was a I used file folders and placed the
24	documents I received inside them.
25	What I did, what I started to do,

1	is that when I had when I received a document
2	that I was supposed to give to someone, I would
3	make a copy of it and write on it the name of the
4	person to whom I had given it, then I filed it in
5	my folder for referral purposes and so that I
6	would know, would be able to say, "document X
7	given to so and so," in case anyone asked. That's
8	what I did.
9	Here, the reason you see the word
10	"file" is simply that when the Commission
11	requested photocopies of the notes, etc, I
12	complied and thought it was a good idea at the
13	time to maybe make my own time line for my needs
14	and the needs of the Commission, if applicable.
15	MR. DAVID: Okay.
16	MR. RICHARD ROY: And the slip of
17	paper on which the indications I received from
18	Solomon were written was placed in such a folder.
19	MR. DAVID: I would now like to
20	refer you to another document concerning that
21	entry we just read, Mr. Roy, and file it now as
22	Exhibit P-209.
23	EXHIBIT P-209: Document
24	concerning the entry dated
25	October 2

1	MR. DAVID: Look at P-209, Mr.
2	Roy; can you tell us if that's the document that
3	comes to which you were referring when you
4	wrote "file" with respect to the entry?
5	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes, absolutely.
6	MR. DAVID: Okay. Okay. So,
7	that's those are documents that we received
8	late Friday afternoon, Mr. Commissioner, documents
9	that were in Mr. Roy's folder. I would like to go
10	over P-209 with you, Mr. Roy. First of all, we
11	see that it's on a notepad from the Crown Plaza
12	Hotel & Resorts here in Ottawa. Is that where you
13	were when you wrote those notes?
14	MR. RICHARD ROY: No, but I had
15	come from there, I think. I had just arrived from
16	such a place.
17	MR. DAVID: Such a place?
18	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
19	MR. DAVID: You were at the Crown
20	Plaza?
21	MR. RICHARD ROY: I had taken part
22	in a I had taken part in a conference on a
23	related topic, on terrorism or something, but
24	MR. DAVID: In connection with
25	your duties?

1	MR. RICHARD ROY: I went back
2	to the office, and that's when Mr. Solomon came to
3	see me and gave me that information. I wrote it
4	down on
5	MR. DAVID: The notepad from?
6	MR. RICHARD ROY: Exactly.
7	MR. DAVID: That you got at the
8	conference?
9	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
10	MR. DAVID: So when Mr. Solomon
11	came to see you and told you that Mr. Arar had
12	been arrested at J.F.K. Airport in New York, you
13	were in the ISI offices?
14	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
15	MR. DAVID: Do you remember the
16	approximate time when Mr. Solomon gave you that
17	information?
18	MR. RICHARD ROY: I think it was
19	in the afternoon.
20	MR. DAVID: In the afternoon. So
21	you jotted down "0202 Jonathan," which is a
22	reference to Jonathan Solomon. Right?
23	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes; that was
24	the date.
25	MR. DAVID: That was the date.

1	MR. DAVID: That was the date, and
2	Jonathan was the Jonathan Solomon in question?
3	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
4	MR. DAVID: Then you wrote:
5	"Canadian arrested" and the name "André," which
6	was crossed out.
7	Do you know what André refers to?
8	MR. RICHARD ROY: No idea.
9	MR. DAVID: But it's your writing?
10	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes, yes.
11	MR. DAVID: It's okay. Was it
12	André Dion from the RCMP by any chance?
13	MR. RICHARD ROY: No.
14	MR. DAVID: Who was a liaison
15	officer in Washington?
16	MR. RICHARD ROY: No.
17	MR. DAVID: Okay. The document
18	says: "Canadian from Montreal or Ottawa" in
19	parentheses?
20	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
21	MR. DAVID: Was that the
22	information from Mr. Solomon?
23	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
24	MR. DAVID: And you say you
25	write "Syrian" in parentheses also. Does that

1	mean that Mr. Arar or the Canadian in question had
2	Syrian citizenship?
3	MR. RICHARD ROY: I think so.
4	MR. DAVID: Okay. To your
5	knowledge, did that refer to the fact that he had
6	dual citizenship?
7	MR. RICHARD ROY: I would say so.
8	MR. DAVID: Okay. And you wrote:
9	"arrested at J.F.K. Airport, New York, Tunis to
10	Mirabel, arrest". And then you wrote "not
11	immigration oriented". That too is something Mr.
12	Solomon told you.
13	What did you understand by that
14	question? Was it that you had asked Mr.
15	Solomon some questions or was it simply that Mr.
16	Solomon came to tell you the information and you
17	simply jotted it down, or did you jot down that
18	information after asking questions?
19	MR. RICHARD ROY: No, no. I just
20	wrote down the information that he gave me.
21	MR. DAVID: That he supplied?
22	MR. RICHARD ROY: I wasn't in a
23	position to ask a lot of questions.
24	MR. DAVID: Okay. And when you
25	wrote "not Immigration oriented", what did you

1	take that to mean?
2	MR. RICHARD ROY: I don't know if
3	I gave it much thought, but I must have suspected
4	the fact that he was, after all, at ISI, so
5	chances were that it was related to something
6	criminal.
7	MR. DAVID: Criminal. Were you
8	maybe thinking it might be a terrorism case?
9	MR. RICHARD ROY: Well, I was in a
LO	very poor position to make judgments on that; I
11	couldn't say, but obviously, there was a chance.
L2	MR. DAVID: Okay. Then there's a
L3	dash and you wrote:
L4	[ENGLISH]
L5	Consulate not able to see
L6	him. He called parents to
L7	advise.
L8	[TRANSLATION]
L9	Does "He" refer to Mr. Arar?
20	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
21	MR. DAVID: Okay. When you wrote:
22	"He called parents to advise", were you referring
23	to the previous sentence, that is, that the
24	consulate was not able to see him?
0.5	MP PICHAPD POV. Abl I don't

1	know, and in reading this now, I would think that
2	it simply refers to his being detained, and he's
3	calling his parents to let them know he's being
4	detained.
5	MR. DAVID: Okay. And you wrote:
6	"The consulate was not able to see him". Was it
7	your understanding, Mr. Roy, that by saying "not
8	able to see him"
9	Was it your understanding that
10	there had been an attempt to see him already?
11	MR. RICHARD ROY: No. I don't
12	know.
13	MR. DAVID: You don't know?
14	MR. RICHARD ROY: No.
15	MR. DAVID: Okay. And at the
16	bottom left, there's a reference: "A" and "CID".
17	Is "A" a reference to the A Division or Division
18	A?
19	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes, that's
20	right.
21	MR. DAVID: And "CID" refers to
22	headquarters?
23	Then you say: "in the loop in
24	notebook". What does that entry refer to?
25	MR. RICHARD ROY: It refers to the

1	fact that immediately afterwards, I went to A-
2	OCANADA to notify them and ask them questions, and
3	that's why I wrote here that they were in the loop
4	and I put my
5	MR. DAVID: Was that entry made at
6	the same time that Mr. Solomon gave you the
7	information that you jotted down, or was that
8	entry made later?
9	MR. RICHARD ROY: Specifically "A
10	Division"?
11	MR. DAVID: Yes.
12	MR. RICHARD ROY: No; afterwards.
13	MR. DAVID: Afterwards?
14	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes, yes.
15	MR. DAVID: So, it was the
16	continuation
17	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes, yes.
18	MR. DAVID: of your notes for
19	that day?
20	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes. It was
21	simply so I could refer to my notes for continuity
22	
23	MR. DAVID: Okay.
24	MR. RICHARD ROY: to know
25	where I was.

1	MR. DAVID: It was in the
2	afternoon, and then you say you went to the
3	offices of the A-OCANADA investigation project,
4	which are in Division A; right?
5	MR. RICHARD ROY: That's right.
6	MR. DAVID: Let's get back to
7	P-208, which is the time line you prepared, Mr.
8	Roy. I'm still on page 2 of 9.
9	You go on to say:
LO	[ENGLISH]
L1	Met with
L2	[TRANSLATION]
L3	And this was crossed out in black
L4	so, someone and someone else.
L5	[ENGLISH]
L6	of A INSET at 'A' Div.,
L7	discussed ARAR'S situation.
L8	[TRANSLATION]
L9	Is that the reference in your
20	notes to the fact that you went to see your
21	Project A-O-C colleagues?
22	MR. RICHARD ROY: No, not at all.
23	You're at 8, there. That's
24	MR. DAVID: No, no, no. Still at
25	2.

1	MR. RICHARD ROY: Still at 2?
2	MR. DAVID: Still the entry of
3	October 2, sir. Second paragraph.
4	MR. RICHARD ROY: Oh! sorry.
5	Okay.
6	MR. DAVID: Are you
7	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
8	MR. DAVID: Yes, you're with me,
9	now?
10	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes. Yes, okay.
11	MR. DAVID: Is that a reference to
12	the fact that you went to the A-O-C office?
13	MR. RICHARD ROY: That's it.
14	MR. DAVID: Did you go there
15	within minutes of hearing what Mr. Solomon told
16	you, or
17	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes, immediately
18	afterwards.
19	MR. DAVID: You had a feeling that
20	it was important information that you should
21	immediately share
22	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
23	MR. DAVID: with your Project
24	A-OCANADA colleagues?
25	MR. RICHARD ROY: He asked me

1	whether the RCMP was aware of this.
2	MR. DAVID: Who asked you that
3	question?
4	MR. RICHARD ROY: Mr. Solomon.
5	MR. DAVID: Mr. Solomon asked
6	MR. RICHARD ROY: He wanted to
7	know
8	MR. DAVID: asked you to
9	follow up on that information?
10	MR. RICHARD ROY: He wanted
11	information.
12	MR. DAVID: Okay.
13	MR. RICHARD ROY: So, I went over
14	to A-OCANADA.
15	MR. DAVID: What type of
16	information did Mr. Solomon want to know?
17	MR. RICHARD ROY: He didn't tell
18	me exactly what he wanted to know. He wanted to
19	know if we were if we knew or whatever. I
20	don't remember his exact words, but by and large,
21	he knew something and he wanted me to go to the
22	RCMP to see if there was any information I could
23	give him.
24	MR. DAVID: So essentially, Mr.
25	Solomon wanted to see if the RCMP could help him

1	understand what was happening to Mr. Arar in New
2	York?
3	MR. RICHARD ROY: It makes sense.
4	MR. DAVID: And that's why you
5	went to the A-O-C office?
6	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
7	MR. DAVID: Is that it?
8	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
9	MR. DAVID: Okay. Do you know
10	where Mr. Solomon got his information, Mr. Roy?
11	Do you know where the information that Mr. Solomon
12	had about Mr. Arar came from?
13	MR. RICHARD ROY: No.
14	MR. DAVID: Did he specify who had
15	asked him to check with the RCMP?
16	MR. RICHARD ROY: No.
17	MR. DAVID: Do you know if Mr.
18	Solomon referred to a I'm going to the term
19	is "CAMANT note." I don't know how to say it in
20	French, but you know, the Department of Foreign
21	Affairs has a system in which information about
22	specific files is recorded and computerized.
23	MR. RICHARD ROY: Mm-hmm.
24	MR. DAVID: Did Mr. Solomon refer
25	to a CAMANT note when he gave you the information

1	about Mr. Arar?
2	MR. RICHARD ROY: I have no idea.
3	MR. DAVID: You don't know?
4	MR. RICHARD ROY: No.
5	MR. DAVID: Okay.
6	I would like you to look at a
7	document that has already been filed in the public
8	records it's P-42. That would be Volume 1, Mr.
9	Clerk P-42, Volume 1.
LO	Pause
L1	MR. DAVID: Please go to the 10^{th}
L2	tab, Tab 10.
L3	This is an entry from the
L4	Department of Foreign Affairs computer system,
L5	CAMANT. Are you familiar with this type of
L6	document?
L7	MR. RICHARD ROY: A little, yes.
L8	MR. DAVID: Okay. The entry is
L9	dated October 1, 2002, and was made at 12:17 pm.
20	This is what it says:
21	[ENGLISH]
22	Brother called this morning
23	in a state of panic.
24	[TRANSLATION]
25	That is Mr Arar's brother and

1	it's it's the brother who called the Department
2	and spoke to Ms. Collins, Nancy Collins, who was
3	an officer at Consular Affairs, here in Ottawa.
4	The message says:
5	[ENGLISH]
6	He said that subject was able
7	to call him this morning from
8	MDC
9	[TRANSLATION]
10	MDC stands for Metropolitan
11	Detention Centre, for your information.
12	[ENGLISH]
13	and informed him that he
14	would be deported back to
15	Syria where he was born.
16	Both, subject and brother are
17	extremely afraid that he
18	would be deported to Syria
19	and not in Canada.
20	Have informed brother
21	that we had just received
22	confirmation of subject's
23	whereabouts and that we were
24	trying to confirm the
25	charges. I also informed him

1	that without prior
2	authorization that we weren't
3	able to provide any
4	additional information
5	without subject's approval.
6	[TRANSLATION]
7	So, we see in this note, Mr. Roy,
8	that on October 1, Ms. Collins, who, after all,
9	works on the same floor as you and Mr. Solomon,
10	obtained information from Mr. Arar's brother and
11	that Mr. Arar was being detained in New York City,
12	and when Mr. Solomon gave you the information on
13	October 2, he was obviously referring to the fact
14	that they had confirmed Mr. Arar's presence in New
15	York State.
16	Does that note mean anything to
17	you? Have you ever seen that information brief?
18	MR. RICHARD ROY: If I saw it, I
19	certainly didn't see it at that stage.
20	MR. DAVID: I'm asking if you saw
21	it at that time. So, on October 2, did Mr.
22	Solomon show you that document? Did you have a
23	chance to read that document?
24	MR. RICHARD ROY: No.
25	MR. DAVID: On October 2, when Mr.

1	Solomon came to see you and gave you the
2	information he gave you, did he mention that Mr.
3	Arar and his brother were worried, were concerned
4	that there was a possibility of deportation to
5	Syria? Was that fact mentioned to you?
6	MR. RICHARD ROY: No.
7	MR. DAVID: And I'm talking about
8	on October 2.
9	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes, yes.
10	MR. DAVID: You have no
11	recollection that Mr. Solomon told you that either
12	Mr. Arar or his brother expressed a fear of
13	possible deportation to Syria?
14	MR. RICHARD ROY: No.
15	MR. DAVID: Okay.
16	Let's get back to the events of
17	October 2. You continue.
18	I would now like to refer you also
19	to your personal notes, with your permission.
20	Your personal notes have already been filed under
21	P-206. Please turn to page 20.
22	Pause
23	MR. DAVID: Page 20 contains a
24	typed transcription of your personal notes, Mr.
2.5	Roy, which are still dated October 2.

1	MR. RICHARD ROY: Page 20?
2	MR. DAVID: Page 20.
3	MR. RICHARD ROY: Oh! At
4	MR. DAVID: The circled number at
5	the bottom of the page.
6	MR. RICHARD ROY: Okay.
7	MR. DAVID: Okay?
8	The only thing I'm telling you
9	about that page is that it's typed, but it's a
10	typed version of your personal handwritten notes.
11	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes, okay.
12	MR. DAVID: Okay?
13	MR. RICHARD ROY: Mm-hmm.
14	MR. DAVID: Because your personal
15	notes are sometimes a little hard to read.
16	You have made some entries in your
17	personal notes about that meeting of October 2.
18	would like to review what you say in your personal
19	notes.
20	At the top of the page, you say:
21	[ENGLISH]
22	See Rick Flewelling re Maher
23	phone number.
24	[TRANSLATION]
25	Do you remember what that entry

1	was about?
2	MR. RICHARD ROY: That would have
3	been written on the left side of my notebook.
4	It's simply things that - that's where I listed
5	things I planned to do.
6	MR. DAVID: So it was a follow up
7	
8	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes, yes.
9	MR. DAVID: what needed to be
10	done as a follow-up?
11	And here, the next line says:
12	Almalki.
13	And here, it says, September 26:
14	[ENGLISH]
15	Advised of itinerary of Maher
16	to 'A' Division. Arrested on
17	27th.
18	[TRANSLATION]
19	Do you know what that entry is
20	about?
21	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes. It's the
22	people at A-OCANADA advising me that they were
23	already aware of the that Mr. Arar had been
24	arrested. They were informed of his itinerary on
25	Sentember 26

1	MR. DAVID: Since September 26
2	you learned from your colleagues at A-O-C that
3	they had known since September 26 that Mr. Arar
4	was in New York City?
5	MR. RICHARD ROY: Well, not that
6	he was there, but about his itinerary. They knew
7	on September 26. What comes after indicates that
8	they they were asked to provide questions,
9	which they did, and that they knew about the
10	detention on the 27 th .
11	MR. DAVID: Okay. So clearly,
12	when you met your colleagues from the RCMP, A-
13	OCANADA, they knew more than Mr. Solomon did about
14	where Mr. Arar was during that period?
15	MR. RICHARD ROY: I cannot say
16	that they knew, that they knew more, but they knew
17	that he was being detained.
18	MR. DAVID: Okay.
19	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
20	MR. DAVID: And your notes
21	continue. You say:
22	[ENGLISH]
23	Re Arar Maher. (a) Supplied
24	questions on the 26th to
25	somebody.

1	[TRANSLATION]
2	So you were told that Project A-
3	OCANADA did actually send questions to someone
4	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
5	MR. DAVID: whom you
6	understood to be a U.S. authority?
7	MR. RICHARD ROY: Okay.
8	MR. DAVID: Without identifying
9	the person, is that it?
10	MR. RICHARD ROY: Okay.
11	MR. DAVID: And that questions
12	were sent to that U.S. authority on September 26?
13	MR. RICHARD ROY: Exactly.
14	MR. DAVID: You found that out on
15	that day?
16	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
17	MR. DAVID: October 2 nd ?
18	MR. RICHARD ROY: Mm-hmm.
19	MR. DAVID: Further on, you say:
20	[ENGLISH]
21	27th. Less than forthcoming.
22	Refused entry to States.
23	Report was sent, faxed to CID
24	noting same.
25	[TRANSLATION]

1	This too is information that you
2	learned from your colleagues at A-O-C. So, you
3	find out that - when you said "Less than
4	forthcoming" were you referring to Mr. Arar?
5	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
6	MR. DAVID: Your understanding is
7	that Mr. Arar hasn't answered or seems to
8	doesn't seem to be fully co-operating in his
9	answers?
LO	MR. RICHARD ROY: Exactly.
L1	MR. DAVID: That is the impression
L2	or information that was conveyed to you?
L3	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
L4	MR. DAVID: You were also told
L5	that he would be "Refused entry to the States".
L6	Does this too refer to Mr. Arar?
L7	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
L8	MR. DAVID: What do you mean by
L9	"Report was sent, faxed to CID noting same"? Were
20	you saying that A-O-C had already disclosed those
21	facts to CID headquarters?
22	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
23	MR. DAVID: Okay. Then you say:
24	"Communication problem". What does "Communication
) 5	nrohlem" refer to?

1	MR. RICHARD ROY: That's why what
2	you saw before, that the that it's mentioned
3	that the fax was sent; I knew there was a I
4	knew there was a communication problem between A-
5	OCANADA and CID. So I asked if CID was aware of
6	it to confirm to make sure that CID was aware,
7	that I didn't have to do it myself.
8	MR. DAVID: You asked your
9	colleagues at A-O-C, the information you're giving
10	me now
11	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
12	MR. DAVID: if headquarters
13	was aware of it?
14	MR. RICHARD ROY: That's it.
15	MR. DAVID: And you were told yes,
16	and that it was even faxed?
17	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
18	MR. DAVID: Okay. Now, you say
19	that you were aware that there were sometimes
20	communication problems between CID, headquarters
21	and Project A-OCANADA?
22	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
23	MR. DAVID: Who told you about the
24	communication problems?
25	MR. RICHARD ROY: Some people from

1	from CID told me; I was told something to the
2	effect that A-OCANADA members were slow sending in
3	the reports, and what I heard from the A Division,
4	was that CID members rarely attended their
5	meetings. That's all I was told, and that's what
6	I'm referring to when I talk about communication,
7	communication problems.
8	MR. DAVID: Okay. Let's continue.
9	The next entry says:
10	[ENGLISH]
11	Can we have system that you
12	can read reports entered?
13	[TRANSLATION]
14	What does that refer to
15	MR. RICHARD ROY: Those are my
16	personal notes, simply to see. I had just been
17	told that that maybe the reports hadn't been
18	sent. So, what I thought was that maybe we could
19	I could find out whether there was a system in
20	which data or information is input automatically,
21	immediately into a system, which would enable CID
22	to see it automatically. But that too that was
23	my observation at the time, not knowing much about
24	how things worked. That's all it is.
25	MR. DAVID: It was a desire?

1	MR. RICHARD ROY: It's a personal
2	note to see what I could do to improve things, or
3	whatever.
4	MR. DAVID: Okay. How long did
5	you stay in the A-O-C office, Mr. Roy, at that
6	moment, at that time, on October 2 nd ?
7	MR. RICHARD ROY: Ah! I couldn't
8	tell you, I didn't note it down, but it certainly
9	wasn't long. As soon as I gave the information, I
10	received other information and returned to ISI.
11	MR. DAVID: Okay. So, you didn't
12	go to RCMP headquarters?
13	MR. RICHARD ROY: No.
14	MR. DAVID: You went back to the
15	ISI office?
16	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
17	MR. DAVID: And there, you
18	reported things to Mr. Solomon?
19	MR. RICHARD ROY: Exactly.
20	MR. DAVID: If we go back to your
21	time line, we can see that the last or second-last
22	entry in the time line says, in P-208:
23	[ENGLISH]
24	Advised Jonathan Solomon of
25	ISI that we were aware of his

1	detention.
2	[TRANSLATION]
3	Do you see that?
4	No; you have to switch documents,
5	Mr. Roy. P-208, the time line.
6	MR. RICHARD ROY: Ah! okay. But
7	this is a time line too.
8	Pause
9	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes, okay.
10	MR. DAVID: Before we go on, the
11	communication problem you noticed
12	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
13	MR. DAVID: who was it Mr.
14	Flewelling who told you about those problems? Was
15	it Mr. Pilgrim who told you? Was it Mr. Proulx
16	who told you? Who told you about it?
17	MR. RICHARD ROY: I can't say for
18	sure. I know that it wasn't Mr. Proulx. I can't
19	exactly say which member uttered what statement,
20	if I can put it that way, said such-and-such to
21	me. I don't know. I don't remember.
22	MR. DAVID: But at the time, you
23	were reporting to Mr. Flewelling, that is, you
24	didn't report in a
25	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes, I was

1	operating under
2	MR. DAVID: Operating
3	MR. RICHARD ROY: Mr.
4	Flewelling, but other people too, not just him.
5	MR. DAVID: Okay. Your notes go
6	on to say that when you got back to the ISI
7	office:
8	[ENGLISH]
9	Advised Jonathan Solomon of
10	ISI that we were aware of his
11	detention.
12	[TRANSLATION]
13	That is in your time line.
14	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
15	MR. DAVID: And your personal
16	notes say:
17	[ENGLISH]
18	Advised Jonathan. He had
19	told his counterpart that we
20	were pissed off but will
21	change this as this is
22	in-house and
23	[TRANSLATION]
24	somebody it's blacked
2.5	011t

1	[ENGLISH]
2	advised us a day prior.
3	He is not to say that we were
4	told the day prior.
5	[TRANSLATION]
6	We're going to figure this out.
7	First of all, your time line says yes, the time
8	line says that you told Mr. Solomon about his
9	detention. You told Mr. Solomon that you knew
10	what had become of him, I imagine, since September
11	26 or 27; right?
12	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
13	MR. DAVID: Did you tell Mr.
14	Solomon that the RCMP had already sent some
15	questions also, at least since September 27, to
16	the U.S. authorities, and that Mr. Arar had
17	already been questioned by the U.S. authorities?
18	MR. RICHARD ROY: I assume so,
19	yes. I don't remember the exact words I used. I
20	assume so.
21	MR. DAVID: You assume
22	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
23	MR. DAVID: that you told Mr.
24	Solomon that the RCMP had already sent questions
25	to the U.S. authorities?

1	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes, yes.
2	
3	MR. DAVID: And you
4	MR. RICHARD ROY: We were aware
5	that he had been detained.
6	MR. DAVID: There was no reason for
7	you, not to hide but, not to provide Mr. Solomon
8	with this information?
9	MR. RICHARD ROY: No, I don't think
10	so.
11	MR. DAVID: You were not asked to
12	keep it secret, not to tell your
13	MR. RICHARD ROY: No, no.
14	MR. DAVID:Your
15	MR. RICHARD ROY: No.
16	MR. DAVID: According to you,
17	logically, what you would have done would have
18	been to inform ISI as well that the RCMP had sent
19	these questions?
20	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes. Highly
21	likely, yes.
22	MR. DAVID: And that Mr. Arar had
23	already been interrogated in relation to these
24	questions?
25	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.

1	MR. DAVID: Okay.
2	Let's go back to your notes, if I
3	may, once again P-206, your personal notes. The
4	entry:
5	[ENGLISH]
6	"Advised Jonathan."
7	[TRANSLATION]
8	Are you with me?
9	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
10	MR. DAVID:
11	[ENGLISH]
12	He had told his counterpart
13	that we were pissed off but
14	will change this as this is
15	in-house.
16	[TRANSLATION]
17	Let's stop there.
18	"His counterpart", who was "his
19	counterpart"? Who does "his counterpart" refer
20	to?
21	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes, I believe
22	that it referred to Mr. Pardy, someone from
23	Consular.
24	MR. DAVID: At Consular Affairs,
25	Gar Pardy?

1	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
2	MR. DAVID: Okay.
3	Your notes read:
4	" we were pissed off."
5	The "we", is in reference to the
6	RCMP?
7	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
8	MR. DAVID: Okay. Let's continue
9	reading your notes:
10	[ENGLISH]
11	"He had told his counterpart
12	II
13	[TRANSLATION]
14	That means that you indicated in
15	your notes that Solomon had told Pardy that the
16	RCMP was:
17	[ENGLISH]
18	"pissed off but will
19	change this as this is
20	in-house and"
21	somebody
22	" advised us a day prior."
23	[TRANSLATION]
24	Would you like to explain this
2.5	entry for us? What are you referring to here?

1	What is it about?
2	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes. All I the
3	only justification that I would have for this is
4	that, most likely, when we learned Mr. Solomon
5	had mentioned Mr. Arar's detention to me, and we
6	must have had a discussion during which at ISI
7	during which someone had apparently mentioned that
8	perhaps A-OCANADA knew about it, perhaps CID knew
9	about it, but the other party did not.
10	That's the only thing that I can
11	see.
12	If this were the case, I would
13	have probably said that if it were the case that
14	CID didn't know about it or the opposite then
15	"they would be pissed off".
16	That's the only thing that comes
17	to mind to explain this, because I went to
18	Division "A", and no one was angry at Division
19	$^{\prime\prime}A^{\prime\prime}$.
20	I came back to ISI. Mr. Solomon
21	informed me of this. I told him that he was way
22	off track because there was absolutely no one
23	offended there.
24	MR. DAVID: In other words, there
25	was no reason, to your knowledge, you are telling

1	us, for the RCMP to be frustrated or "pissed off."
2	MR. RICHARD ROY: Absolutely none.
3	MR. DAVID:In relation to
4	MR. RICHARD ROY: No.
5	MR. DAVID: Okay.
6	Is it possible that ISI or the
7	Department of Foreign Affairs, Consular Affairs,
8	Mr. Pardy, for example, they were upset by the
9	fact that they learned on October 2 that the RCMP
10	had known since September 26 or 27 that there was
11	a Canadian being detained in New York City and
12	that the RCMP had not informed Consular Affairs of
13	this detention?
14	MR. RICHARD ROY: This is not what
15	that says at all. No.
16	MR. DAVID: I know, but I am asking
17	you. Is this a possible scenario to explain what
18	you noted?
19	MR. RICHARD ROY: I don't have the
20	slightest idea. I can't tell you. I don't know.
21	MR. DAVID: Did you see, witness or
22	hear anything about the Department of Foreign
23	Affairs being frustrated when they learned that
24	the RCMP had already known for at least seven or
25	eight days that Mr. Arar was being detained in New

1	York City?
2	MR. RICHARD ROY: No. I wasn't
3	informed of that.
4	MR. DAVID: Okay. Let's go on Mr.
5	Roy.
6	In your time line, you say
7	[ENGLISH]
8	Rick Flewelling
9	advised that he was still in
LO	detention.
L1	[TRANSLATION]
L2	
L3	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
L4	MR. DAVID: And in your notes, you
L5	have indicated:
L6	[ENGLISH]
L7	
L8	The guy is still detained.
L9	Somebody is advised. Rick
20	advised. (As read)
21	[TRANSLATION]
22	
23	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
24	MR. DAVID: The chain of events
25	involved Mr. Solomon coming to see you in the

1	afternoon with information regarding Mr. Arar, he
2	asked you to go to see the RCMP to find out what
3	they could tell you.
4	You went to the RCMP. You found
5	out information. You went back to ISI. You shared
6	this information with Mr. Solomon.
7	And then you reported I don't
8	mean it in the hierarchical sense but you
9	reported to Mr. Flewelling, and you said that Mr.
10	Flewelling had been advised.
11	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
12	Now, if I may refer to testimony
13	that I have read that Division "A" was under the
14	impression that Mr. Arar was then, at that stage,
15	on his way to Switzerland, I believe, from where
16	he had
17	MR. DAVID: Just so we understand
18	clearly
19	MR. RICHARD ROY: I will tell you
20	why.
21	MR. DAVID: Okay.
22	MR. RICHARD ROY: Okay. I didn't
23	note this, and I don't recall this, but obviously,
24	what I wrote afterwards corroborates this fact
25	because I mentioned that he was still being

1	detained; in other words, he was still in New
2	York.
3	I informed the members of Division
4	"A" that he was still in New York and not that he
5	had left for Switzerland, or I don't know where,
6	and I also informed Mr. Flewelling of this fact.
7	That is what this indicates.
8	MR. DAVID: Okay. We are going to,
9	just to be sure that we have clearly understood,
10	that everyone has clearly grasped the meaning of
11	your testimony You are telling us today that on
12	October 2, when you were in the offices of the
13	A-O-C, that the impression, the information, which
14	was believed to be accurate at the time, was that
15	Mr. Arar had already been deported from the United
16	States and sent back to Switzerland, to Zurich?
17	MR. RICHARD ROY: That's what I
18	read in the testimony. Okay.
19	MR. DAVID: Yes, but my question,
20	Mr. Roy, was this the information that was sent
21	to you on October 2?
22	MR. RICHARD ROY: Probably, but I
23	don't recall, and I didn't make a note of it. But
24	given the fact that I mentioned what I wrote here,
25	to the effect that I'd informed them that he was

1	still being detained corroborates what the
2	testimony
3	MR. DAVID: The other testimony
4	that you have read.
5	MR. RICHARD ROY: Absolutely.
6	That's what I said.
7	MR. DAVID: Okay.
8	So to follow the logic of your
9	notes or to give a logical meaning to your notes,
10	you are saying that the information that others
11	said they had, to the effect that, on October 2,
12	Arar, they thought that he was already in
13	Switzerland or in Zurich, and you are saying to
14	them in the end that they were wrong about that;
15	they were incorrect, that Arar was still in New
16	York?
17	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
18	MR. DAVID: Okay.
19	And you informed Mr. Flewelling
20	that Arar was still being detained in New York?
21	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
22	MR. DAVID: Did you inform someone
23	at the A-O-C of the fact that the information
24	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
25	MR. DAVID: and that, we will

1	come to the notes of someone who clearly points to
2	this.
3	
4	Now, I would like to refer you to
5	the personal notes of an officer, one of the
6	investigators at Project A-OCANADA.
7	And I would like to file these
8	notes at this time.
9	Exhibit No. P-10: Personal
LO	notes of Pat Callaghan
11	MR. DAVID: That will be P-210.
L2	Thank you.
13	[ENGLISH]
L4	THE COMMISSIONER: P-210.
L5	MR. DAVID: P-210,
L6	Mr. Commissioner.
L7	And, Mr. Commissioner, at this
L8	stage the name of the individual that drafted
L9	these notes is redacted. However I don't see the
20	reason to keep this name from the public record.
21	My intention was to refer to the
22	identity of the author of these notes.
23	MR. FOTHERGILL: Commissioner, the
24	position of the Crown in respect of identifying
2.5	the investigator's notes is that generally it is

1	our preference not to do so because it does tend
2	to diminish their effectiveness in investigating
3	National Security matters.
4	If there's a particular or a
5	compelling reason why it's necessary to identify
6	them for a particular purpose, then, yes, we can
7	proceed to do so.
8	But if the object of the inquiry
9	is equally fulfilled simply by referring to them
10	generically as a Project A-O-CANADA
11	investigator's, that's certainly our preference.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Do you claim
13	NSC or you would like the name to be
14	MR. DAVID: The only reason,
15	Mr. Commissioner, I mean, it is simply this is
16	a public inquiry.
17	The name has come out in these
18	proceedings, and it's just it's for the clarity
19	of the record and for the ease of reference, for
20	the witness to be able to simply identify who it
21	is.
22	MR. FOTHERGILL: We're prepared to
23	abide by Mr. David's request.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
25	MR. DAVID: Thank you.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Go ahead,
2	please.
3	[TRANSLATION]
4	MR. DAVID: Mr. Roy, we have filed
5	personal notes under Exhibit P-210, and these are
6	the personal notes of Mr. Callaghan, who was one
7	of the investigators at Project A-O-C at that
8	time.
9	I would like to read them with
10	you, regarding how events unfolded on October 2.
11	The first entry is dated 2:45
12	pm and here is what it reads:
13	[ENGLISH]
14	Richard Roy (DFAIT L.O.)
15	arrived at the office. He
16	asked us if we knew where
17	Arar was
18	[TRANSLATION]
19	So you were following Mr.
20	Solomon's orders or Mr. Solomon's request.
21	[ENGLISH]
22	and we replied we did
23	not explained that we
24	last heard he had been
25	detained by the Americans in

1	New York and then returned
2	from where he had come from
3	which we understood to be
4	Zurich.
5	[TRANSLATION]
6	This refers to the idea that A-O-C
7	members understood on October 2,
8	that he had already left and that he
9	was in Zurich.
LO	[ENGLISH]
L1	I also advised Richard that
L2	on September 26 had told
L3	me of Arar's impending
L4	arrival in the U.S. and that
L5	he would be detained &
L6	questioned. I specifically
L7	asked him if he was detained
L8	Arar based on own case or the
L9	case. He stated it was
20	definitely their doing.
21	[TRANSLATION]
22	And there you have an entry and
23	that's what I would like to come to at 3:30 pm,
24	because, as you said, you had returned to the ISI
2.5	office after your meeting, and at 3:30 pm, there

1	is an entry in Mr. Callaghan's notes that reads:
2	[ENGLISH]
3	Richard Roy called me and
4	indicated that he had learned
5	that Arar was still being
6	detained in the U.S.
7	[ENGLISH] Do you see that entry?
8	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
9	MR. DAVID: Therefore, that means
10	that you, you confirmed with A-O-C members that
11	Mr. Arar was not in Zurich, that there was an
12	error there, that he was still in New York.
13	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
14	MR. DAVID: Okay.
15	And what was the reaction to this
16	information? Did Mr. Callaghan or the person with
17	whom you spoke react to this?
18	MR. RICHARD ROY: I don't recall.
19	MR. DAVID: Okay.
20	Fifteen minutes later, you called
21	back, and the entry at 3:45 pm reads:
22	[ENGLISH]
23	Richard Roy called me again &
24	advised me that Arar had
25	originally intended on flying

1	from New York to Mirabel.
2	[TRANSLATION]
3	Does this mean that you had other
4	information for
5	MR. RICHARD ROY: No, not at all.
6	At this point, I looked at the
7	notes that I had, and I realized that I had
8	forgotten to tell them about the "Tunis to
9	Mirabel" part.
10	I called back just to tell them
11	that
12	MR. DAVID: Just so they had the
13	most complete picture in relation to the
14	information that Mr. Solomon had given you?
15	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
16	MR. DAVID: Okay.
17	Pause
18	MR. DAVID: Mr. Roy, you informed
19	Mr. Flewelling you noted it in your notes - of
20	the fact, that in the reconstruction that you were
21	able to make of the events of this day, Mr. Arar
22	was still in New York?
23	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
24	MR. DAVID: What was the
2.5	information that you gave to Mr. Flewelling in

1	relation to this?
2	MR. RICHARD ROY: I don't recall.
3	All that I know, is noted and I noted that I
4	had informed him.
5	MR. DAVID: Did you
6	MR. RICHARD ROY: I imagine I
7	can't tell you exactly about what.
8	MR. DAVID: Did you inform him, as
9	we have seen in P-209, that the consulate or
10	Consular Services "were not able to see him"?
11	Did you tell Mr. Flewelling this?
12	MR. RICHARD ROY: I don't recall
13	exactly what I said to him.
14	MR. DAVID: Is it possible that you
15	sent the information that Mr. Solomon had given
16	you to Mr. Flewelling, as you did with the A-O-C ?
17	MR. RICHARD ROY: It's possible or
18	it's possible that I assumed that Division "A" had
19	informed him, and then I may have only informed
20	him that he was still being detained.
21	I can't tell you exactly, but he
22	knew about it
23	MR. DAVID: But the fact that Mr.
24	Arar you noted it in P-209 the fact that Mr.
25	Arar had not been seen by Canadian Consular

1	Services?
2	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
3	MR. DAVID:In New York. Was it
4	information that was relevant to you?
5	MR. RICHARD ROY: To me, no.
6	MR. DAVID: It wasn't relevant. In
7	what way, Mr. Roy ?
8	MR. RICHARD ROY: No. Relevant on
9	this date
LO	MR. DAVID: No, but what I mean is,
L1	did you give any importance to the fact that Mr.
L2	Arar had not benefited from the Canadian Consular
13	Services as you have noted, as Mr. Solomon had
L4	explained to you?
L5	MR. RICHARD ROY: To me, no more
L6	that the other items that are listed there. I
L7	informed him of everything that was listed on the
L8	sheet.
L9	I did not prioritize or assign an
20	a greater degree of importance to one item than to
21	another.
22	I informed him of the information
23	that I had and that was it.
24	MR. DAVID: Okay. You gave the
0.5	information that you had that you noted on your

1	sheet
2	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
3	MR. DAVID: In P-209 ?
4	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes, to
5	A-OCANADA.
6	MR. DAVID: To A-OCANADA.
7	MR. RICHARD ROY: That's right.
8	MR. DAVID: Did you also send this
9	information to Mr. Flewelling?
LO	MR. RICHARD ROY: This is what I
L1	told you: I don't recall exactly what I said to
12	Mr. Flewelling.
13	But it's obvious that I informed
L4	him. What I said to him exactly probably depended
L5	on what he knew already. I don't recall what I had
L6	to tell him.
L7	MR. DAVID: But at the very least,
L8	you told Mr. Flewelling that the guy was not in
L9	Zurich. Get that out of your head. He is in New
20	York.
21	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes, I would say
22	yes.
23	MR. DAVID: Can you recall with any
24	certainty any other information that you sent to
) 5	Mr Flewelling?

1	MR. RICHARD ROY: No.
2	Pause
3	[ENGLISH]
4	MR. DAVID: Mr. Commissioner, it
5	is 11:13 pm, 11:15 pm, on my watch, and I know
6	that Mr. Baxter has to attend a call and has asked
7	to break at 11:20.
8	We still have five minutes.
9	However, those five minutes, I'm now embarking on
10	another day. I can do we may as well
11	THE COMMISSIONER : How long are we
12	going to be? How long is the break going to be?
13	MR. BAXTER: Mr. Commissioner,
14	it's scheduled for half an hour, and if it goes
15	shorter than that I will tell you and advise
16	everyone as soon as can.
17	Thank you in advance for
18	accommodating in this regard.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Well,
20	let's have the break now, but people hold
21	themselves ready in case the call is shorter
22	because we've got a lot of territory to cover.
23	MR. DAVID: Yes, we do,
24	Mr. Commissioner.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. We'll

1	rise now, and people be available.
2	MR. DAVID: Thank you.
3	Upon recessing at 11:14 a.m. /
4	Suspension à 11 h 14
5	Upon resuming at 12:03 p.m. /
6	Reprise à 12 h 03
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Commissioner,
8	there is one aspect that I would like to deal with
9	at this point in the testimony and that concerns
LO	the evidence of Mr. Dickinson from the PCO.
L1	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Dickinson
L2	is scheduled?
L3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: He is scheduled
L4	to testify on Wednesday and it would appear oh!
L5	excuse me, on Tuesday and it would appear from
L6	the length of Mr. Roy's testimony as well as
L7	Mr. Flewelling's testimony, that there is a very
L8	good chance that we may not get to Mr. Dickinson
L9	tomorrow.
20	So that we have two possibilities
21	and I see my friend Mr. Fothergill isn't here and
22	of two possibilities will be hopefully if he can
23	testify on Monday, August the 29th, that would be
24	ideal and the next week we would have
2.5	Mr. Dickinson on Monday. Mr. Martel on Tuesday and

1	Wednesday.
2	If Mr. Dickinson cannot testify on
3	Monday August 29th then he will have to testify or
4	Tuesday, September 6th, which obviously is not
5	ideal in light of the fact that the submissions
6	would be due within the week from that date, so
7	that those are the two possibilities.
8	I have spoken to Mr. Fothergill.
9	I didn't realize he was not here, but certainly
LO	for other counsel, seeing that Mr. Dickinson will
L1	not be testifying tomorrow, but will be testifying
L2	on Monday, August 29th ideally and if not,
L3	Tuesday, September 6th.
L4	THE COMMISSIONER: O.k.
L5	MR. BAXTER: I'll take those dates
L6	back, your Honour, again.
L7	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
L8	O.k. And today we'll be sitting till one o'clock
L9	and will be breaking for lunch for half an hour
20	till 1:30 and we'll be starting the rest of the
21	week at nine o'clock, breaking from 12:30 to 1:30
22	for lunch for the rest of the week.
23	Mr. David.
24	[TRANSLATION]
25	MP DAVID. Thank you

StenoTran

1	Mr. Roy, before continuing, I
2	would like to go back to P-209. It's a document
3	with your handwritten notes that information
4	that Mr. Solomon provided you with on October 2.
5	You clearly said that this was the
6	information that you shared with your colleagues
7	at A-O-C at your meeting.
8	You also explained that with
9	respect to Mr. Flewelling, it was at the end of
10	the afternoon that you made a report to Mr.
11	Flewelling to of course correct the impression
12	that Mr. Arar was no longer in New York City; in
13	fact, he was in New York City.
14	And I asked you whether the
15	content of this information in P-209 was reported
16	to Mr. Flewelling, and I think that you testified
17	that you did not have any recollection; you did
18	not remember whether you shared this information
19	with Mr. Flewelling.
20	Is that right?
21	MR. RICHARD ROY: That's right.
22	MR. DAVID: The question is the
23	following: is there any reason why you would not
24	have shared this information, the content of
25	P-209, with Mr. Flewelling?

1	MR. RICHARD ROY: No, there is no
2	reason.
3	MR. DAVID: Okay.
4	Let's continue, Mr. Roy, with
5	October 3, and I would like to refer you to your
6	personal notes, and if you could turn to page 2 of
7	your personal notes, P-206.
8	There is an entry at 9:00 am.
9	Apparently, there was an A-OCanada meeting on
10	October 3. Do you remember the reason for this
11	meeting?
12	MR. RICHARD ROY: For the
13	investigations' side, it was quite simply an
14	update that investigators had, with
15	representatives from the A and O Divisions, as it
16	is mentioned here, and I was invited to merely get
17	an idea of what the project consisted of, as I
18	mentioned earlier.
19	MR. DAVID: We have seen that on
20	September 11, 2002, there was this type of
21	briefing with A-OCanada. Was it like this too?
22	Was it a general briefing on A-OCanada's
23	investigation or was it more specific?
24	MR. RICHARD ROY: This case in
25	particular, it was definitely not specific. From

1	what I recall, it was general.
2	MR. DAVID: Was the subject of Mr.
3	Arar discussed on October 3 at this meeting?
4	MR. RICHARD ROY: No, no.
5	MR. DAVID: I would like to refer
6	you to page 3, the following page, you have a note
7	concerning October 3 again, and I am going to read
8	to you what I think I am able to make out. Maybe
9	it's better if you read it, but it appears to me
10	to read:
11	[ENGLISH]
12	Meeting tomorrow of Almalki
13	and Arar as it is believed
14	the RCMP has something to do
15	with it.
16	
17	[TRANSLATION]
18	Did I read correctly your
19	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes. You read
20	it correctly. Quite simply, I'd just been apprised
21	of what you've just read. The next day there was
22	some lawyer who wanted to have a meeting with
23	members of A-OCanada.
24	They merely informed me of it;
2.5	that's all. I don't know anymore than that. I

1	don't know whether the meeting took place or
2	anything else.
3	MR. DAVID: Was it your
4	understanding that the lawyer in question was Mr.
5	Arar's lawyer?
6	MR. RICHARD ROY: I was under the
7	impression that it was the same lawyer for the two
8	individuals noted there.
9	MR. DAVID: Mr. Almalki and Mr.
LO	Arar?
L1	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes, I had this
L2	impression, yes.
L3	MR. DAVID: Okay. And it concerned
L4	these individuals and a meeting requested by the
L5	lawyer?
L6	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
L7	MR. DAVID: Did you attend this
L8	meeting the next day?
L9	MR. RICHARD ROY: No, no.
20	MR. DAVID: Now I would like to
21	show you in Volume I of P-42 if you could turn to
22	Tab 31, Mr. Roy.
23	It's a Consular Report. The author
24	is Maureen Gurvin, who was the consular officer in
) E	Now York and she describes in this written report

1	a meeting with Mr. Arar while he was being
2	detained at M.D.C.
3	
4	This is what is called a "Consular
5	Report," and it is dated October 3; the time
6	indicated was 3:48 pm, and it concerned Thursday,
7	October 3, so Mr. Arar's eighth day of detention
8	in New York City.
9	She describes information that she
10	learned from Mr. Arar and also describes a
11	document that Mr. Arar was apparently given, that
12	was apparently given to Mr. Arar by American
13	authorities with allegations to the effect, among
14	others that the fourth paragraph reads:
15	[ENGLISH]
16	You are a member of an
17	organization or an organizing
18	that has been designated by
19	the Secretary of State as a
20	foreign terrorist
21	organization to wit, al-
22	Qaeda.
23	[TRANSLATION]
24	
25	Obviously, it's a document that

1	contains important information I would say that
2	are significant and the penultimate paragraph
3	refers to this. Ms. Gurvin notes that: "At one
4	point" and she reports Mr. Arar's statements in
5	this document:
6	[ENGLISH]
7	At one point, two Immigration
8	Officers spoke to him, Mr.
9	Arar, and told him that they
10	were going to send him to
11	Syria. He said that he asked
12	why since he has not been to
13	Syria for years and all his
14	family is in Canada.
15	[TRANSLATION]
16	And she signed the document
17	"Maureen". Therefore, this is Maureen Gurvin,
18	Consular Officer, in New York.
19	To your knowledge, did Mr. Solomon
20	receive either a copy of this document or was he
21	informed of the information that it contained,
22	dated October 3, to your knowledge?
23	MR. RICHARD ROY: I can't tell you
24	that.
25	MR. DAVID: You don't know?

1	MR. RICHARD ROY: No.
2	MR. DAVID: Next, Mr. Roy, we
3	understand that your office is small; there are
4	only eight people working there; the atmosphere
5	seems to be one of cooperation.
6	Did you Is it accurate to say
7	that the working atmosphere between the eight
8	people was cooperative?
9	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
10	MR. DAVID: Among you, among the
11	group?
12	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
13	MR. DAVID: The document drafted
14	by Ms. Gurvin was sent to Nancy Collins at
15	Headquarters. It contains information that is
16	quite significant. It is therein alleged; we learn
17	that the Americans were alleging that Mr. Arar was
18	an al-Qaeda member.
19	From a Canadian perspective, this
20	is certainly information of interest, don't you
21	agree?
22	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes, okay.
23	MR. DAVID: And my question is
24	simply that, normally, it seems to me that this is
25	the type of information that should eventually end

1	up in the hands of the ISI.
2	Do you agree with me?
3	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes, I would say
4	so.
5	MR. DAVID: That is why I am
6	asking you whether, to your knowledge, someone in
7	the group, the small eight-member group, had been
8	made aware of this around October 3 or 4, I don't
9	know, but this type of information, the Americans
LO	were alleging that Mr. Arar was an al-Qaeda
11	member, and that he was going to be deported.
12	Was he told, was he informed that
13	he was going to be deported to Syria?
L4	MR. RICHARD ROY: Well, it's
L5	possible, but obviously, from where I stand, ISI
L6	members would be in a better position to answer
L7	this question because, first of all, just because
L8	ISI is aware of some documents does not
L9	necessarily mean that I am.
20	And secondly, perhaps they would
21	be able to confirm when they were informed of such
22	a consular visit because as far as I can remember.
23	There is a good chance that ISI wasn't aware of or
24	involved in a consular visit at some point. They
25	don't necessarily know automatically. That rings

1	a bell.
2	MR. DAVID: Perhaps this is the
3	case for consular visits, but when it involves
4	information alleging that Mr. Arar or a Canadian
5	detained in New York is an al-Qaeda member, I
6	think that the information acquires some priority,
7	a certain requires a specific course of action.
8	And that is why I am asking you
9	whether in a small office atmosphere, in a
LO	cooperative atmosphere, where information is
L1	shared between departments that an ISI consular,
L2	would you not have been informed of this type of
L3	information during an informal discussion at the
L4	ISI office?
L5	MR. RICHARD ROY: All I can tell
L6	you is that I don't recall whether or not this was
L7	the case, but I remember a fact and what I saw. I
L8	read on Mr. Solomon's computer screen some facts
L9	concerning Mr. Arar's state of mind. On the third,
20	I doubt it, but Mr. Arar's state of mind: he was
21	afraid of going to Syria, and there was also a
22	reference to al-Qaeda, and if I remember
23	correctly, he was afraid.
24	That was it: his state of mind. He
2.5	was afraid: he had been threatened with being sent

1	to Syria. That, I remember reading on the screen,
2	at least those points. Was it that note or another
3	related report? That, I can't tell you; I don't
4	recall.
5	MR. DAVID: And that, you say was
6	on Mr. Solomon's computer screen?
7	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
8	MR. DAVID: Was it Mr. Solomon who
9	said to you: Richard come see this; it's something
10	important. I would like you to read these
11	MR. RICHARD ROY: Well, I wouldn't
12	have been in his office and wouldn't have started
13	to read his screen unless he was there, if that's
14	what you mean.
15	MR. DAVID: So it was after Mr.
16	Solomon had invited you, said to you: I would like
17	you to read, to take cognizance of this
18	information?
19	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes. There was
20	also but we will probably get to the eight, but
21	there was also the fact that I was given two of
22	these consular notes. It's something that I don't
23	remember.
24	MR. DAVID: Indeed we are going to
25	get to the eight, and we are going to get to these

1	two documents, and I will be spending some time or
2	them with you.
3	Mr. Solomon invited you into his
4	office. He invited you to read something. We have
5	I have clearly put the document into context,
6	Tab 31. Tab 31, we see that it is dated October
7	3.
8	My question, Mr. Roy, is and
9	you agree with me that this information
10	certainly the allegation of being part of al-Qaeda
11	is something striking? It is something that
12	merits follow-up at the ISI level at the very
13	least, and I would certainly think that there is
14	an obvious interest that the RCMP should be
15	informed of this type of allegation. Do you agree
16	with me?
17	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes, I agree
18	with you.
19	MR. DAVID: My question is, when
20	Mr. Solomon invited you into his office, do you
21	remember what time of the day it was when he asked
22	you?
23	Was it the document that we can
24	see here was dated not dated, but it is in
25	terms of a time line, we see that it was drafted

1	at 3:48 pm. Would it have been on this date,
2	after this time or at another time? Do you
3	remember the time.
4	MR. RICHARD ROY: No, as I said, I
5	am not even sure that it was this document anyway
6	and even less sure whether Mr. Solomon was
7	involved. I can't tell you.
8	MR. DAVID: I understand that you
9	cannot identify the document that you read on Mr.
10	Solomon's computer screen, but you can, at the
11	very least, in terms of information content
12	confirm what you were able to read; it confirmed
13	that Mr. Arar was an al-Qaeda member?
14	MR. RICHARD ROY: We yes, it
15	was alleged that he We were told that it was
16	known that
17	MR. DAVID: That there was an
18	allegation and that
19	MR. RICHARD ROY: Something to
20	that effect. Yes, yes.
21	MR. DAVID: that the Americans
22	were alleging that he was an al-Qaeda member?
23	MR. RICHARD ROY: Mm-hmm!
24	MR. DAVID: And that, two, Mr.
25	Arar seemed to fear or was concerned about

1	possibly ending up in Syria?
2	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
3	MR. DAVID: Do you remember if
4	there was any other information that you that
5	was that struck you that you remembered from
6	reading the screen?
7	MR. RICHARD ROY: No.
8	MR. DAVID: In terms of the time,
9	you can't tell me whether it was after 3:48 pm or
10	not. Can you tell us if it was on October 3, or
11	was it another day?
12	MR. RICHARD ROY: I cannot say
13	with any "certainty", and I can only refer to the
14	two other documents that I have mentioned to you:
15	the date that I received these documents, and, if
16	I am not mistaken, it would have been on the same
17	date.
18	MR. DAVID: But you are making a
19	distinction, Mr. Roy, between the two documents
20	that we are going to analyse and the fact that you
21	were reading information from a computer screen?
22	MR. RICHARD ROY: Mm-hmm!
23	MR. DAVID: There is a very clear
24	distinction to be made.
25	Are you telling us that these

1	documents were sent to you on the same day that
2	you read the information on the computer screen?
3	MR. RICHARD ROY: Well, I can't
4	say with any certainty, but I think so.
5	MR. DAVID: You think that the two
6	documents that were sent to you by the Department
7	by ISI
8	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
9	MR. DAVID: were given to you
LO	on the same day that you read this information on
L1	Mr. Solomon's computer screen?
L2	MR. RICHARD ROY: I think so.
L3	MR. DAVID: You think so?
L4	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
L5	MR. DAVID: Okay. And what you
L6	think is based on your recollection, your memory,
L7	on the notes, on How do you
L8	MR. RICHARD ROY: It's based on
L9	notes, but on recollection, but also on what I
20	mentioned earlier to the effect that, from what I
21	knew, ISI was not aware and that, that was
22	related specifically to a consular visit that
23	they were not aware of a consular visit before a
24	certain date, which was more around I believe
25	the seventh or a few days later.

1	MR. DAVID: But, in other words, I
2	can confirm with you that the file, our evidence
3	shows that there was only one consular visit with
4	Mr. Arar while he was in New York, and this was on
5	October 3.
6	MR. RICHARD ROY: But what I'm
7	telling you is that I don't think that Mr. Solomon
8	or ISI knew about the consular visit until a few
9	days after it had taken place. That is what I
10	think and what I am telling you.
11	MR. DAVID: You are testifying that
12	you believe that ISI learned of the actual
13	consular visit only a few days after it had taken
14	place?
15	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
16	MR. DAVID: So a few days after
17	October 3
18	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
19	MR. DAVID: Taking for granted
20	that October 3 was the day of the consular visit?
21	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes, unless I'm
22	mistaken, and there was another case that was
23	discussed at the ISI office, but, based on what I
24	recall, it was indeed that.
25	MR. DAVID: Okay. Now, it is one

1	thing to know to understand that there was a
2	consular visit, but quite another, I'm suggesting,
3	Mr. Roy, to learn of the allegation that Mr. Arar
4	was an al-Qaeda member.
5	Do you agree with me that the two
6	don't necessarily go together: a consular visit
7	and understanding that he was alleged to be an
8	al-Qaeda member?
9	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
10	MR. DAVID: Yes?
11	MR. RICHARD ROY: Okay.
12	MR. DAVID: Therefore, is it
13	possible that the information, the allegation that
14	Mr. Arar was an al-Qaeda member or the report that
15	Mr. Arar feared being deported to Syria, that this
16	information had been known by ISI before they may
17	have found out about a consular visit?
18	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes. Yes, of
19	course. Yes.
20	MR. DAVID: Yes.
21	MR. RICHARD ROY: They could have
22	known it before. It's highly possible.
23	MR. DAVID: Let's take a
24	hypothetical situation and take as a given, Mr.
25	Roy, that there was evidence that says that, in

1	this cooperative, sharing environment of an
2	informal group meeting, on October 3 or maybe
3	October 4, this information was shared among the
4	ISI team members, and you were there.
5	Would it surprise you to find out
6	that Mr. Arar's being an al-Qaeda member, his
7	possibly ending up in Syria and his being deported
8	by the Americans were discussed in a cooperative,
9	informal meeting on October 3 or 4 that you
10	attended? Would it surprise you to learn that
11	there was evidence to this effect?
12	MR. RICHARD ROY: I would be
13	surprised to learn that there was a discussion to
14	the effect that Mr. Arar might be deported, yes,
15	because I don't recall hearing or discussing that
16	there was any possibility that he would be sent
17	that he would be deported to Syria either by
18	Foreign Affairs or even the RCMP. I don't have
19	any recollection of hearing someone mention this
20	or having discussed it. That doesn't ring a bell
21	at all.
22	MR. DAVID: And if it concerned Mr.
23	Arar's fear of ending up in Syria, was that, was
24	that a possible topic of discussion among in
25	in the same type of informal, cooperative context

1	on October 3 or 4, that Mr. Arar's fears were
2	discussed?
3	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes, I would say
4	that that is possible, but I have no
5	"recollection"; I don't recall this; I have no
6	notes about this, and if the discussion had taken
7	place, I It wasn't apparent to me that this was
8	something that should be passed on to the RCMP.
9	It doesn't ring a bell.
10	You mentioned perhaps the fourth.
11	I know that on the fourth, it was impossible. The
12	fourth was a Friday, and I wasn't at work.
13	MR. DAVID: O.K. And how is it
14	that you can tell us that you were not at work on
15	the fourth?
16	MR. RICHARD ROY: It's based on my
17	notes.
18	MR. DAVID: It's in your notes?
19	MR. ROY: Mm-hmm.
20	MR. DAVID: Okay.
21	So, let's move on now to
22	October 4, and I would like to ask the Clerk if at
23	this point I could file Officer Flewelling's
24	personal notes.
25	Pause

1	MR. DAVID: That then would be
2	Exhibit ?
3	THE REGISTRAR: 211.
4	MR. DAVID: P-211,
5	Mr. Commissioner.
6	EXHIBIT P-211: Richard
7	Flewelling's personal notes.
8	These are then, Mr. Roy,
9	Mr. Flewelling's personal notes and I would like
10	to refer you to page 38 of these notes.
11	[ENGLISH]
12	MR. BAXTER: Pardon me,
13	Mr. Commissioner. Could we have a copy of those
14	notes, Mr. Clerk?
15	Pause
16	[TRANSLATION]
17	MR. DAVID: So, we are on
18	October 4. October 4; if it can help you, there
19	is a calendar, Mr. Roy, which
20	MR. ROY: Okay.
21	MR. DAVID: which is posted
22	there. It's a Friday.
23	MR. ROY: Yes.
24	MR. DAVID: These are
25	Mr Flewelling's notes as I was saving and hal-

1	way down page 38, in the middle of the page, there
2	is a note:
3	[ENGLISH]
4	"Called Richard Roy to advise
5	what the status is."
6	[TRANSLATION]
7	Did you read that?
8	MR. ROY: Yes.
9	MR. DAVID: Do you remember a
10	conversation, whether on the telephone or
11	otherwise, with Mr. Flewelling on October 4?
12	MR. ROY: No. All I have entered
13	in my notepad is AOL, absent on leave.
14	MR. DAVID: Okay. And do you have
15	any recollection even though you were AOL, do
16	you recollect a telephone conversation with
17	Mr. Flewelling about Mr. Arar's status on that
18	date?
19	MR. ROY: No. No.
20	MR. DAVID: You have no
21	recollection?
22	MR. ROY: None.
23	MR. DAVID: Would it surprise you
24	to hear that you had a telephone conversation with
25	Mr. Flewelling on that day, October 4?

1	MR. ROY: Yes, that would surprise
2	me. Yes.
3	Mr. DAVID: And do you recall
4	where you were on that day ?
5	MR. ROY: No.
6	MR. DAVID: on Friday,
7	October 4?
8	MR. ROY: No.
9	MR. DAVID: Would you normally
LO	have worked on that day? Friday would normally be
L1	•••
L2	MR. ROY: Yes. Yes.
L3	MR. DAVID: generally
L4	speaking, would you have been working?
L5	MR. ROY: Yes.
L6	MR. DAVID: Do you remember
L7	requesting permission not to go into work, for
L8	having requested authorization not to work?
L9	MR. ROY: Yes. Yes, of course I
20	would have and would have advised
21	Mr. Heatherington.
22	MR. DAVID: Would
23	Mr. Heatherington have been in the position to
24	or have the authority to give you a day off, a day
25	of leave? Is that how

1	MR. ROY: Yes, yes.
2	MR. DAVID: How does it work?
3	MR. ROY: Yes, that's the way it
4	worked. I would have asked or advised him about
5	whether I could take that day of leave. Indeed.
6	MR. DAVID: And you have no
7	recollection of having had a telephone
8	conversation or some other conversation with
9	Mr. Flewelling on that day?
10	MR. ROY: No, it doesn't ring a
11	bell.
12	MR. DAVID: You don't remember
13	anything about Mr. Flewelling informing you that
14	he was going to continue, in connection with the
15	information you shared with him, that he was going
16	to continue his investigation with the Immigration
17	and Canada Passport authorities? It doesn't ring
18	a bell with you at all?
19	MR. ROY: No.
20	MR. DAVID: Even though, Mr. Roy,
21	according to your notes, you did not work on that
22	day, is it possible that you may have had a
23	conversation with Mr. Flewelling on that day? Did
24	you have a pager, for example, or perhaps a
2.5	telephone

1	MR. ROY: I have a cellular phone,
2	but I made no notes and have no recollection of
3	having discussed anything whatever
4	MR. DAVID: Okay.
5	MR. ROY: with Mr. Flewelling
6	on the 4th.
7	MR. DAVID: And if Mr. Flewelling
8	had wanted to reach you, he would have been able
9	to contact you on your cellular phone?
10	MR. ROY: Yes, absolutely.
11	MR. DAVID: Did you also have a
12	pager?
13	MR. ROY: No. A cellular, but
14	MR. DAVID: A cellular phone.
15	MR. ROY: anybody would have
16	been able to reach me at any time.
17	MR. DAVID: Even though you were
18	on leave?
19	MR. ROY: Yes, yes.
20	MR. DAVID: Your cell phone was
21	working?
22	MR. ROY: Yes, unless I was out of
23	town.
24	MR. DAVID: Very well.
25	Now, let's move on, Mr. Roy, to

1	October 8.
2	[ENGLISH]
3	MR. O'GRADY: Commissioner, I
4	wonder could the witness raise his voice a bit;
5	it's very hard to hear him back here.
6	[TRANSLATION]
7	MR. ROY: Yes, okay.
8	MR. DAVID: We will now move on to
9	October 8. Tuesday. It is day 13 of Mr. Arar's
10	detention and the day on which Mr. Arar was
11	deported from the United States.
12	I would basically like to refer
13	you to three documents. The first is your own
14	notes; the second is the Garvie Report and third
15	and last, I am going to refer you to
16	Mr. Callaghan's personal notes.
17	So let's begin with your own notes
18	and look at page 21, if you please.
19	[ENGLISH]
20	THE COMMISSIONER: What was the
21	exhibit number, 206?
22	MR. DAVID: 206, Mr. Commissioner.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Page 21?
24	MR. DAVID: Page 20 and 21.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.

1	[TRANSLATION]
2	MR. DAVID: So, on page 20,
3	Mr. Roy, October 8, your first entry reads:
4	[ENGLISH]
5	"Approximately 10:00 met with
6	A-OCANADA guys. A-OCANADA
7	are told that he is leaving
8	for Ottawa tomorrow."
9	[TRANSLATION]
10	Are you
11	MR. ROY: Yes.
12	MR. DAVID: are you following
13	me?
14	[ENGLISH]
15	" he is leaving for
16	Ottawa tomorrow."
17	[TRANSLATION]
18	That would be Mr. Arar?
19	MR. ROY: Yes.
20	MR. DAVID: The impression of the
21	members of A-OCANADA, then, was that he was coming
22	to Canada.
23	MR. ROY: That is correct.
24	MR. DAVID: Were you told how he
25	knew that, and where that information came from?

1	MR. ROY: I think that is a
2	question of national security.
3	MR. DAVID: But without
4	identifying the specific agency, was it from an
5	American source?
6	MR. ROY: Yes.
7	MR. DAVID: Mr. Roy?
8	MR. ROY: Yes, okay. Yes, that's
9	it.
LO	MR. DAVID: So the members had
L1	clearly indicated that according to their American
L2	contact, Mr. Arar was returning to Canada?
L3	MR. ROY: Yes, that's right.
L4	MR. DAVID: All right.
L5	And your notes say:
L6	[ENGLISH]
L7	"Knew it a couple of days
L8	ago."
L9	[TRANSLATION]
20	Does that mean that the A-O-C
21	members had intelligence from the Americans a few
22	days before that he was coming?
23	MR. ROY: That is the case.
24	MR. DAVID: So the American
25	information went back a few days?

1	MR. ROY: That's right.
2	MR. DAVID: It wasn't from that
3	day
4	MR. ROY: You are correct, that's
5	right.
6	MR. DAVID: Okay.
7	[ENGLISH]
8	"Court tomorrow and maybe
9	after that."
LO	[TRANSLATION]
L1	Is "Court" a reference to a U.S.
L2	court?
L3	MR. ROY: Yes.
L4	MR. DAVID: Right.
L5	"[A]nd maybe after that" means
L6	that he was going to be released or that he could
L7	return to Canada after his court appearance?
L8	MR. ROY: Yes. That is what the
L9	members of A-OCANADA were expecting and were
20	taking if I remember correctly, were making
21	arrangements accordingly.
22	MR. DAVID: Okay.
23	Now your notes say that you met
24	A-OCANADA at approximately 10:00 a.m. Can you
2.5	remember the events that preceded that meeting?

1	Did you go to the ISI office before going to the
2	A-OCANADA office on that day?
3	MR. ROY: Oh certainly, yes.
4	MR. DAVID: Okay, did you find
5	something out at ISI before going Was there a
6	reason in other words, how can you explain how
7	come you were at A-O-C at 10:00 a.m.
8	MR. ROY: Yes. I will go back to
9	the documents I told you about earlier. These
10	documents are also not mentioned in my in my
11	time line, simply because they are Mr. Callaghan's
12	notes which I use to remind myself that when I
13	went to the A-OCANADA office, I had two consular
14	notes in order to be able to share the contents
15	with RCMP members, which I did with Mr. Callaghan.
16	He read the two documents in question and
17	that's it.
18	MR. DAVID: Were copies of these
19	documents given to A-O-C?
20	MR. ROY: No. The copies were not
21	handed over and based on my recollection from that
22	day, what I had in mind would have been that
23	afterwards, I would go to headquarters with these
24	documents to inform them of the contents, but thus
25	far, I do not have a vivid memory of having of

1	having gone and had someone read the documents or
2	given them to anyone.
3	MR. DAVID: Do you mean ?
4	MR. ROY: I know that normally,
5	that's what I would have done, but I can't
6	remember to whom I might have given them or who
7	might have read them. I just can't remember.
8	MR. DAVID: And that was at
9	headquarters?
LO	MR. ROY: Precisely.
L1	MR. DAVID: Okay.
L2	So let's go to the notes,
L3	Mr. Callaghan's notes, for that day.
L4	I would therefore like to file as
L5	evidence, a version Will that be P-2?
L6	THE CLERK: 212.
L7	MR. DAVID: P-212,
L8	Mr. Commissioner.
L9	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr.
20	Clerk.
21	EXHIBIT P-212: Pat
22	Callaghan's personal notes.
23	MR. DAVID: Please allow me to
24	There is an entry at 9:45 in my Mr. Callaghan's
2.5	notes for October 8 which says that:

1	[ENGLISH]
2	"Richard Roy (DFAIT LO)
3	arrived at our office and we
4	advised him of what we knew
5	about Arar's situation
6	interviews and Arar's
7	possible deportation to
8	Canada on Wednesday. Richard
9	was not aware of the
10	deportation scenario."
11	[TRANSLATION]
12	That is to say the scenario
13	whereby he returned to Canada
14	MR. ROY: That is correct.
15	MR. DAVID: you learned that
16	from them?
17	MR. ROY: Yes.
18	MR. DAVID: So for the second
19	time, you do not all appear to have been on the
20	same wavelength
21	MR. ROY: That is correct.
22	MR. DAVID: in the sense that
23	the first time, they were saying that he was in
24	Zurich, which was completely wrong, and then, they
25	said he was coming to Canada?

1	MR. ROY: Yes.
2	MR. DAVID: You on the other hand,
3	said:
4	[ENGLISH]
5	"He stated that he only knew
6	that Arar was still in
7	custody and there was a
8	possibility he would be sent
9	to Syria."
10	[TRANSLATION]
11	MR. ROY: Yes. What I might
12	mention, is that there was the possibility
13	That is to say that I mentioned that Mr. Arar, in
14	his state of mind, was afraid to go to Syria.
15	Okay?
16	MR. DAVID: So
17	MR. ROY: That is what I
18	mentioned.
19	MR. DAVID: So when you the
20	note here refers to a possible scenario in which
21	Mr. Arar was in Syria, and you are saying that
22	according to your testimony, it is in fact it
23	is a reference to the state of mind or fears
24	expressed by Mr. Arar?
25	MR. ROY: Yes. That's right. And

1	certainly it may have been possible there may
2	have been some discussion, and then the person
3	came to that conclusion, but for me, there was no
4	one, as I mentioned earlier, who said to me, there
5	is a possibility that he might go to Syria. No
6	one ever said that in that way.
7	I therefore strongly doubt that I
8	would have gone to "A" Division and said that it
9	was highly likely that he was that he would be
10	going to Syria. I don't remember that at all.
11	What I do remember is mentioning his state of
12	mind, and that he was afraid of being deported to
13	Syria, and that is what I would have mentioned at
14	the time.
15	MR. DAVID: So what you are
16	telling us today, Mr. Roy, is that at no time did
17	anyone in ISI affirm that they had intelligence to
18	the effect that Mr. Arar would be deported to
19	Syria
20	MR. ROY: That's right.
21	MR. DAVID: or even that he
22	might be deported to Syria
23	MR. ROY: I never heard that
24	MR. DAVID: and the reference
25	to Syria was merely to Mr. Arar's subjective state

1	of mind?
2	MR. ROY: That's right. But on
3	that point, I could even go back perhaps to the
4	following day to once again reiterate this fact.
5	That is to say that on the 9th, once we knew that
6	Mr. Arar was no longer in New York, because it had
7	been confirmed at the consular level, the first
8	person we contacted, Jim Gould, whom you know from
9	ISI
LO	MR. DAVID: Yes.
L1	MR. ROY: was here. What he
12	mentioned first of all was Guantanamo. There
13	again, that was the first thing that happened.
L4	That's a long way from Syria.
L5	MR. DAVID: Okay.
L6	Let's continue, then. It says:
L7	[ENGLISH]
L8	"Richard showed me the file
L9	he had from DFAIT."
20	[TRANSLATION]
21	Does this mean that you had taken
22	your whole file with you?
23	MR. ROY: No, not at all. It was
24	a file folder with two documents and that's all.
) 5	MP DAVID. There was no

1	compilation of the other documents?
2	MR. ROY: No. No, no. It's
3	MR. DAVID: It was two documents?
4	MR. ROY: Yes, and the two
5	documents, to be clear about it, which I did not
6	have in my file, as I explained to you at the very
7	outset
8	MR. DAVID: MM-hmm.
9	MR. ROY: was because it was
10	just after the time when I had begun the system.
11	You will no doubt remember that I mentioned to you
12	that I did not have a document filing system as
13	such. It was just after the two documents in
14	question that I began to include a copy of
15	everything that I took to the RCMP or that I took
16	to Foreign Affairs from the RCMP.
17	MR. DAVID: All right. Then as to
18	the two documents in question, Mr Let's
19	continue with the notes, perhaps, just to finish
20	up on this matter.
21	Mr. Callaghan said:
22	[ENGLISH]
23	"It included a document where
24	Arar had spoken with his
25	brother and both were quite

1	concerned Arar could be sent
2	to Syria."
3	[TRANSLATION]
4	Is that OK?
5	MR. ROY: Yes.
6	MR. DAVID:
7	[ENGLISH]
8	"Another document identified
9	that he had obtained a
LO	lawyer."
L1	[TRANSLATION]
L2	On that point, I would like to
L3	refer back to two documents, Mr. Roy. Please take
L4	P-42, Volume 1, and I would like you to refer to
L5	Tab 10 and Tab 22.
L6	Let's begin with Tab 10. It is a
L7	document, a CAMANT note, dated October 1, which
L8	says:
L9	[ENGLISH]
20	"Brother called this morning
21	in a state of panic. He said
22	that subject was able to call
23	him this morning from MDC and
24	informed that he would be
2.5	deported back to Syria where

1	he was born. Both, subject
2	and brother are extremely
3	afraid that he would be
4	deported to Syria and not in
5	Canada."
6	[TRANSLATION]
7	Now, in reading Mr. Callaghan's
8	notes, and the way he described the document, and
9	reading the document at Tab 10, do you feel that
10	it is enough for you to remember that it was
11	indeed Tab 10 that you had in your possession?
12	MR. ROY: Definitely not with any
13	certainty. There may have been five other
14	documents resembling this one.
15	The part I remember, because I
16	read the two documents briefly, it had something
17	to do with comments about one of his brothers.
18	But other than that
19	So what I can tell you is that it
20	is highly likely, yes, indeed, that this is one of
21	the two documents.
22	But I couldn't say for certain.
23	MR. DAVID: Did you read Tab 10 to
24	prepare your testimony, Mr. Roy?
25	MR. ROY: Yes.

1	To prepare my testimony is one
2	thing, but now I'm talking about three years ago
3	when I would have seen the document in question.
4	I do remember that his brother was mentioned.
5	But three years have gone by and
6	as for telling you that it is exactly this one, it
7	is likely that it is. But I cannot say with
8	certainty based on my recollection that I read it
9	three years ago. That is what I am saying.
LO	MR. DAVID: In preparing your
L1	testimony, did you read any other documents
L2	referring to the two brothers and to any scenario
L3	in which Mr. Arar would be in Syria?
L4	MR. ROY: No, I believe that is
L5	the only one that I saw.
L6	MR. DAVID: You were shown no
L7	other documents that may have contained this
L8	combination of information, namely his brothers
L9	and Syria?
20	MR. ROY: No. I do not believe
21	so. No.
22	MR. DAVID: Okay.
23	So then, it is likely that it is
24	this document, but you cannot confirm it
25	absolutely.

1	MR. ROY: Precisely.
2	MR. DAVID: Okay.
3	With respect to the other
4	document and I just wish to return to this
5	matter, Mr. Roy the document at Tab 10 is a
6	CAMANT note.
7	MR. ROY: Yes.
8	MR. DAVID: To the best of your
9	recollection, is what you carried, the document
10	you took to ASC, a CAMANT note?
11	MR. ROY: Yes, it was a consular
12	letter.
13	MR. DAVID: You remember very
14	clearly that it was a CAMANT note?
15	MR. ROY: Yes.
16	MR. DAVID: Okay.
17	And in both instances what was
18	involved were CAMANT notes?
19	MR. ROY: Yes.
20	MR. DAVID: Okay.
21	Now let's move on to the other
22	description, supplied by Mr. Callaghan, of the
23	second document. This involved:
24	[ENGLISH]
2.5	"Another document identifie

1	that he had obtained a
2	lawyer."
3	[TRANSLATION]
4	And I would like you there are
5	two documents that in the end may, I think, lend
6	themselves to this sort of description.
7	I would like to begin by referring
8	you to Tab 22 and secondly, to refer to Tab 35.
9	Twenty-two (22) and thirty-five.
10	MR. ROY: Yes. There again, in my
11	preparations, I looked at both documents, and I'm
12	not able to tell you whether it was one or the
13	other.
14	The recollection, the memory of a
15	lawyer, that is Mr
16	MR. DAVID: Callaghan's.
17	MR. ROY: Callaghan's and not
18	my own.
19	MR. DAVID: So you did not even
20	remember that the other document referred to a
21	lawyer.
22	MR. ROY: No. I cannot. No.
23	MR. DAVID: Okay.
24	Now, you are a police officer.
25	You have had experience as an investigator.

1	Looking at Tab 22, there is look at the last
2	paragraph. It is entitled "Background". Do you
3	have it?
4	MR. ROY: Yes.
5	MR. DAVID: It provides
6	biographical information about Mr. Arar, to the
7	effect that he had a master's in communication,
8	that he worked for a company in Boston, that he
9	had a valid visa for the United State, that he
10	worked for two companies in Canada and that he was
11	an independent consultant here in Canada, that he
12	had two children aged such and such.
13	As an investigator, were you able
14	to identify that document as perhaps being of more
15	interest in terms of an RCMP investigation?
16	MR. ROY: My experience as an
17	investigator has nothing to do with any of that
18	because those documents were given to me by ISI,
19	and I don't know about their experience as
20	investigators.
21	They are the ones who decided.
22	They decided which documents they were going to
23	give me to take to the RCMP.
24	So I can't tell you
25	MR. DAVID: So what you are saying

1	is that ISI identified the documents
2	MR. ROY: I was not the one who
3	selected them.
4	MR. DAVID: who chose the
5	documents and gave them to you.
6	MR. ROY: Yes. I did not have
7	access to that system.
8	MR. DAVID: Did you request the
9	documents?
10	MR. ROY: No.
11	MR. DAVID: Were they delivered to
12	you?
13	MR. ROY: Yes.
14	MR. DAVID: Without your asking
15	for them?
16	MR. ROY: That's right.
17	MR. DAVID: Do you know who
18	authorized this transfer of documents to the RCMP?
19	MR. ROY: To the RCMP? Or do you
20	mean ISI?
21	MR. DAVID: Yes.
22	MR. ROY: Okay, to ISI. No, I
23	don't know. I cannot tell you who authorized it,
24	but I cant tell you that Mr. Heatherington had
25	solid control over what was coming into and going

1	out of ISI. But other than that, I cannot tell
2	you more.
3	MR. DAVID: Is it your
4	understanding that authorization was required
5	before documents from the CAMANT System could be
6	delivered and transmitted to the RCMP?
7	MR. ROY: I am not aware of that.
8	MR. DAVID: You don't know.
9	So you don't know who authorized
LO	the transfer?
L1	MR. ROY: No.
L2	MR. DAVID: Were there any
L3	restrictions on the use to which you could put the
L4	documents?
L5	MR ROY: I cannot recall the
L6	parameters that were given to me. I don't
L7	remember that.
L8	But it is highly likely that,
L9	because I only showed them to Mr. Callaghan and
20	did not give him a copy, it is possible that it
21	could have been "read and return", "read and
22	destroy" or
23	But it's definitely based on that.
24	I don't remember exactly what the limits were.
25	MR. DAVID: Okay.

1	Now, the documents that we
2	reviewed were all dated prior to October 8. In
3	one instance, document 22 is dated October 2;
4	document 35 is dated October 3; and document 10 is
5	dated October 1.
6	Can you remember when you were
7	given a copy of those documents?
8	MR. ROY: I can't remember exactly
9	when I was given a copy of those documents, but
LO	based on the way I was operating, working, there,
L1	it is likely that it was immediately after
L2	receiving the documents in question that I would
L3	have gone there.
L4	In other words, I believe that it
L5	was that very morning. Possibly on the 7th. But
L6	it would have had to have been very late on the
L7	7th because, once again based on my notes, on the
L8	7th I was answering calls for assistance and was
L9	reading my predecessor's files, which indicates
20	to me that I would have had time to go to the RCMF
21	to give them to them.
22	So there again, we are talking
23	about assumptions. But based on how I worked, I
24	assume that I would have received I believed
25	that I would have received it on the morning of

1	the 8.
2	MR. DAVID: And it was Mr. Solomon
3	who gave you those documents?
4	MR. ROY: I believe so. I have
5	not yet I can't remember clearly. But it was
6	on his monitor that I saw the other document I
7	mentioned. The other document, or the document
8	that I read.
9	I vaguely remember that the
10	transaction took place there, that I would have
11	received some documents. But it may not have been
12	that way. But it was definitely at ISI that I
13	received the documents. There is no doubt about
14	that.
15	MR. DAVID: And you don't know who
16	authorized the handover of the documents?
17	MR. ROY: Who authorized it? No.
18	I can't tell you who authorized it.
19	MR. DAVID: But based on your
20	experience, Mr. Roy, would authorization normally
21	have been necessary before ISI could give you a
22	copy of those two documents?
23	MR. ROY: Listen. Based on my
24	experience, on what I know, on what I have
25	observed, the answer is no.

1	At the moment, I don't know.
2	But it's clear that based on the
3	testimony I have heard, I have learned that you
4	know the answer in any event. You know where I'm
5	headed.
6	Based on my own knowledge, and my
7	own experience, I do not know who is responsible
8	for authorization.
9	But based on the transcripts I
10	read, it is JPO. It is Mr. Pardy who is
11	responsible for authorization.
12	But to be clear, I did not know
13	that before reading it in the wake of your work.
14	MR. DAVID: Were you asked to do
15	something with those documents? Were you asked to
16	share the contents?
17	In other words, were the
18	instructions from
19	MR. ROY: I mentioned earlier that
20	I could not at all remember the limits in which
21	what the conditions were or anything else that may
22	have been involved.
23	But it's clear that I was in
24	possession of the two documents, and had to give
25	them to the RCMP. There is no doubt on that

1	score.
2	MR. DAVID: Okay.
3	Now, Mr. Roy, we saw that, in
4	terms of content without necessarily referring
5	to the physical documents as such.
6	But in terms of content, in one
7	instance there was information to the effect that
8	Mr. Arar was afraid of returning to Syria and that
9	his brother also had the same fear.
10	My question is that and this
11	information is in a document dated October 1.
12	Thus 10.
13	MR. ROY: Yes.
14	MR. DAVID: Is it possible that
15	you received or were informed of those contents
16	prior to taking physical possession of the
17	document on October 7 or 8?
18	MR. ROY: I do not believe so, no.
19	I have no recollection of that. I
20	therefore do not believe that to have been the
21	case.
22	MR. DAVID: You have no
23	recollection, or memory, about that possibility?
24	MR. ROY: No.
25	MR. DAVID: Let's go on then.

1	I would like now to refer you to
2	your notes. I am still working with P-206.
3	So it says Okay, you're with
4	me? P-206, still on October 8, second paragraph:
5	[ENGLISH]
6	"Approx. 10:45hrs "
7	[TRANSLATION]
8	(As read)
9	Someone came.
LO	[ENGLISH]
L1	" came. Discussion on
L2	Maher?? Not issue don't know
L3	when out it is. Discussed."
L4	[TRANSLATION]
L5	(As read)
L6	So if I have understood properly,
L7	someone came to the A-O-C office when you were
L8	there interrogation.
L9	MR. ROY: Yes.
20	MR. DAVID: and there was a
21	meeting or a discussion about Mr. Arar.
22	MR. ROY: Yes.
23	MR. DAVID: What do you remember
24	about this discussion? What was involved?
25	MR. ROY: No. I remember what was

1	at issue, but it's blacked out. I therefore
2	presume that I cannot really discuss it.
3	MR. DAVID: Okay.
4	MR. BAXTER: I think,
5	Mr. Commissioner, that we can say that what was
6	involved was an American, but no more than that.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
8	MR. DAVID: On the next page,
9	page 21 of your notes, you say:
10	[ENGLISH]
11	"Would like to interview
12	him."
13	[TRANSLATION]
14	(As read)
15	MR. ROY: Yes.
16	MR. DAVID: This involved
17	Mr. Arar?
18	MR. ROY: Yes.
19	MR. DAVID: It was the RCMP that
20	wanted
21	MR. ROY: Yes.
22	MR. DAVID: Who told you that?
23	The members of A-O-C?
24	MR. ROY: Yes.
25	MR. DAVID: And there you say:

1	[ENGLISH]
2	"Shared info on case note
3	regarding state of mind of
4	Mr. Arar (afraid to go to
5	Syria)."
6	[TRANSLATION]
7	(As read)
8	MR. ROY: Yes.
9	MR. DAVID:
LO	[ENGLISH]
L1	"Shared info on case note
L2	II .
L3	[TRANSLATION]
L4	(As read)
L5	Is that in reference to the CAMANT
L6	note?
L7	MR. ROY: It refers to the one I
L8	mentioned to you that I read.
L9	MR. DAVID: Okay.
20	And then you say:
21	[ENGLISH]
22	"To do list on left page
23	п
24	[TRANSLATION]
2.5	(As read)

1	So it's on the left hand page in
2	your notes?
3	MR. ROY: Yes, that's right.
4	MR. DAVID:
5	[ENGLISH]
6	"To speak to Mike Cabana and
7	Rick RE: Arar in New York
8	jail. More questions to be
9	asked."
10	[TRANSLATION]
11	(As read)
12	To what are you referring there?
13	MR. ROY: I believe that's yes
14	on the left side. These are things for me to do,
15	questions I want to ask or whatever.
16	I don't remember that it was a
17	task anyone assigned to me.
18	MR. DAVID: But you have a
19	concern. You learned on the line above, that
20	they wanted to question Mr. Arar. The RCMP wanted
21	to interrogate Mr. Arar.
22	MR. ROY: Yes.
23	MR. DAVID: And then, for action,
24	you say: I need to talk about it to Rick and then
2.5	I need to talk to Mike Cabana.

1	MR. ROY: I couldn't tell you. I
2	can't remember the exact meaning of it.
3	MR. DAVID: Of your note?
4	MR. ROY: No.
5	MR. DAVID: Were you concerned
6	about this idea, this plan, to continue to
7	interrogate Mr. Arar when he was being held in New
8	York?
9	MR. ROY: Concerning no. Not
10	at all.
11	MR. DAVID: Okay.
12	Let us now return Mr. Roy to your
13	time line, P-208, if you will. Please refer to
14	October 8.
15	You say:
16	[ENGLISH]
17	"I shared information with
18	INSET "
19	[TRANSLATION]
20	(As read)
21	That's the last paragraph.
22	[ENGLISH]
23	" from Case note
24	regarding state of mind of
25	Arar (to the effect he was

1	afraid to be sent to Syria)."
2	[TRANSLATION]
3	In your mind, was INSET the
4	counterpart to A-OCANADA, or for you
5	MR. ROY: No. I made a mistake.
6	It was A-OCANADA. It was not INSET.
7	MR. DAVID: Okay. It was not
8	headquarters?
9	MR. ROY: No, no.
10	MR. DAVID: Okay.
11	Let us now return to P-212,
12	Mr. Callaghan's notes, the manuscript notes.
13	Half way down around the middle of
14	the page, it says:
15	[ENGLISH]
16	"Richard Roy and I
17	attended the 3 rd floor IPOC
18	board room to discuss Arar
19	and to review the 5 a/n
20	documents."
21	[TRANSLATION]
22	Do you know what this reference to
23	five documents is about?
24	MR. ROY: No, but I would not have
25	been present. I believe that it's about

1	probably about what you mentioned earlier about
2	another person.
3	It has nothing to do with me. I
4	wasn't listening I would not have been there.
5	MR. DAVID: Okay.
6	I would now like to file the
7	personal notes of another investigator for that
8	day and to refer you to them, Mr. Roy.
9	EXHIBIT P-213: Personal
10	notes of another
11	investigator.
12	[ENGLISH]
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Two
14	thirteen (213).
15	MR. DAVID: Thank you.
16	[TRANSLATION]
17	These then are the personal notes
18	of another investigator for October 8.
19	At the bottom of the page,
20	Mr. Roy, there is an entry. I think that although
21	the time is entered incorrectly or is not very
22	clear, that it is 10:00 a.m.
23	It says:
24	[ENGLISH]
25	"With Dichard Poy LO DEATT

1	• • • "
2	[TRANSLATION]
3	And now we see:
4	[ENGLISH]
5	"Arrival of Arar "
6	[TRANSLATION]
7	And then at the bottom, in the
8	last paragraph.
9	[ENGLISH]
LO	" and schedule surv on
L1	him via " C " Div if he's
L2	deported there."
L3	[TRANSLATION]
L4	MR. ROY: Yes.
L5	MR. DAVID: Were you informed that
L6	there were surveillance plans being prepared for
L7	when Mr. Arar returned to Canada?
L8	MR. ROY: Yes.
L9	MR. DAVID: Okay.
20	And the reference in the notes "if
21	he's deported there" would appear to indicate that
22	there was some uncertainty remaining.
23	Does this mean that the
24	possibility of Syria was being taken somewhat
2.5	seriously?

1	MR. ROY: No. And the reference
2	in the notes to preparation occurred before I had
3	even mentioned that he was afraid of going to
4	Syria.
5	I am presuming that the "if"
6	the investigators would have to be asked, but the
7	"if" means that there may be a possibility that he
8	would be going to Ottawa instead of Montreal.
9	I am presuming. I am in no
10	position to say so, but that would be my that
11	is certainly an option.
12	MR. DAVID: Okay.
13	I would now like to refer you to
14	P-19, Mr. Roy.
15	[ENGLISH]
16	THE COMMISSIONER: It is 1:00.
17	Should we, Mr. David
18	MR. DAVID: Okay. We can break.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: This is good.
20	MR. DAVID: Sure.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: This a
22	convenient point?
23	MR. DAVID: Yes.
24	So we will break until 2:00,
25	Mr. Commissioner?

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Until 1:30.
2	MR. DAVID: I'm sorry, 1:30.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: The reason
4	being we did take a forty-minute break earlier
5	this morning.
6	[TRANSLATION]
7	MR. DAVID: We will be resuming at
8	1:30 p.m., then.
9	[ENGLISH]
10	THE COMMISSIONER: I know it will
11	be a bit of an inconvenience for some, but let us
12	try to make up the lost time.
13	MR. DAVID: Yes.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, so we
15	will rise until 1:30.
16	Upon recessing at 1:00 p.m. /
17	Suspension à 13 h 00
18	Upon resuming at 1:32 p.m.
19	Reprise à 13 h 32
20	[TRANSLATION]
21	MR. DAVID: Mr. Roy, you have
22	Exhibit P-19, The Garvie Report, before you. I
23	would like to refer you to page 25, an entry for
24	October 8, which states:
25	[FNGI.TSH]

1	"Insp. Roy was updated by
2	A-OCANADA investigators about
3	ARAR's situation as they knew
4	it at the time. Insp. Roy
5	told the investigators that
6	he was unaware of ARAR's
7	imminent deportation to
8	Canada, however he stated
9	that he knew that ARAR was
L 0	still in custody and that
L1	there was a possibility that
L2	ARAR would be sent to Syria.
L3	Insp. Roy is only able to
L4	confirm that the information
L5	that he received most likely
L6	came from a DFAIT colleague."
L7	[TRANSLATION]
L8	So the information you received
L9	most likely from a DFAIT colleague concerns the
20	possibility that he be deported to Syria, the
21	possibility that Mr. Arar would be deported to
22	Syria?
23	MR. ROY: No; Mr. Arar's fear that
24	he would be deported to Syria.
2.5	MR. DAVID: Fear. But you agree

1	with me that Mr. Garvie's summary does not reflect
2	a subjective element, the fear that
3	The Garvie Report says "There
4	н
5	MR. ROY: Yes, if we could
6	continue on that point, it is please continue.
7	MR. DAVID: Okay.
8	[ENGLISH]
9	"Insp. Perron commented in
10	his report that is discussed
11	later, that Insp. Roy had
12	obtained the information
13	having read a consular visit
14	card that documented ARAR's
15	fear of being deported to
16	Syria."
17	[TRANSLATION]
18	And the Consular visit card, is
19	that a reference to the comment note?
20	MR. ROY: Yes.
21	MR. DAVID: Okay. What was the
22	reaction of the A-OCANADA members when you told
23	them about this fear scenario?
24	MR. ROY: When I mentioned that he
25	was afraid, what I noted was that one of the

1	investigators who was there repeated "Syria,"
2	which meant that this was not something that had
3	been considered at their level either, because as
4	I mentioned earlier that at ISI, what I told you
5	in connection with 9.
6	So it was the reaction that I
7	noted.
8	MR. DAVID: So a reaction of
9	surprise?
10	MR. ROY: I would describe it that
11	way, yes.
12	MR. DAVID: Was it taken
13	seriously? Was it simply set aside as not being
14	realistic?
15	MR. ROY: I could not tell you
16	that. I don't know.
17	MR. DAVID: Okay. After leaving
18	the A Division's Project A-O-C, the question
19	arises as to whether you also reported the content
20	of these documents to C.I.D. headquarters?
21	Do you remember anything like
22	that?
23	MR. ROY: No. I talked about that
24	somewhat earlier, to the effect that it is no
25	doubt what I did because that is what I would

1	normally have done, and I remember having thought
2	about it, but I cannot remember, that is to say
3	the person I dealt with or I cannot remember
4	at all.
5	MR. DAVID: This means that you
6	you cannot remember whether you met
7	Mr. Flewelling about those documents?
8	MR. ROY: Or anyone else.
9	MR. DAVID: Or anyone else. Do
10	you recollect whether copies were filed and left
11	with headquarters?
12	MR. ROY: No. No recollection.
13	MR. DAVID: Okay. But your normal
14	practice would have been to go to headquarters,
15	and report, normally?
16	MR. ROY: Yes. Definitely yes.
17	MR. DAVID: And normally, the
18	person to whom you would have spoken would have
19	been Mr. Flewelling?
20	MR. ROY: If he was there, yes.
21	MR. DAVID: Okay.
22	Mr. Commissioner, I would like to file a document
23	simply for the record. It is a SitRep dated
24	October 8, that describes Mr. Roy's presence at
25	A-OCANADA. Simply for the record.

1	THE REGISTRAR: 214.
2	MR. DAVID: Thank you.
3	EXHIBIT P-214: SitRep dated
4	October 8
5	MR. DAVID: We are now going to
6	move to October 9, Mr. Roy. I would like to refer
7	you to two documents.
8	The first is your personal notes
9	and I would like to refer you to page 21. We will
10	also look at Mr. Callaghan's notes.
11	So in your notes for the 9th,
12	there is an entry for 10:05 a.m.:
13	[ENGLISH]
14	"Call from "
15	[TRANSLATION]
16	someone
17	[ENGLISH]
18	"He spoke to at 9:05 on
19	his cell. Had wanted to know
20	if he was held for, where he
21	will go after the process
22	prior to us going interview
23	him, he was told 'not
24	available', he was no longer
2.5	there, not coming to Canada."

1	[TRANSLATION]
2	So, this is a reference to
3	Mr. Arar?
4	MR. ROY: Yes.
5	MR. DAVID: And this is a
6	reference you learned from a member of A-O-C?
7	MR. ROY: Yes.
8	MR. DAVID: To the effect that
9	Mr. Arar was, firstly, no longer in New York?
10	MR. ROY: That's correct.
11	MR. DAVID: Was it also that he
12	was no longer in the United States or simply in
13	New York?
14	MR. ROY: I presume no longer in
15	the United States. Can I re-read it?
16	MR. DAVID: Yes, yes.
17	MR. ROY: I simply want to re-read
18	it.
19	MR. DAVID: Absolutely.
20	MR. ROY: I don't know what my
21	presumption was.
22	MR. DAVID: Okay. It's all right.
23	So certainly New York, and perhaps the United
24	States?
25	MR. ROY: Yes.

StenoTran

1	MR.	DAVID: Okay. And that he
2	wasn't coming to Car	nada?
3	MR.	ROY: That's right.
4	MR.	DAVID:
5	[ENGLISH]	
6		"Told him I was to advise my
7		counterpart and see what he
8		can find out."
9	[TRANSLATION]	
10	MR.	ROY: That's right.
11	MR.	DAVID: Who then?
12	MR.	ROY: Solomon.
13	MR.	DAVID: Mr. Solomon?
14	MR.	ROY: Yes, to see what he
15	could find out.	
16	MR.	DAVID: Find out, be aware of.
17	MR.	ROY: What he could find out.
18	MR.	DAVID: Okay:
19	[ENGLISH]	
20		"Advised Jonathan who is
21		going to see person
22		responsible for case. Major
23		player is away for a few
24		days."
25	[TRANSLATION]	

1	Was that Mr. Pardy who was out of
2	the country?
3	MR. ROY: Yes.
4	MR. DAVID: And do you know
5	whether Mr. Solomon followed up on your
6	information?
7	MR. ROY: Yes. Yes he left
8	immediately.
9	MR. DAVID: Okay. Do you know
10	whom he saw?
11	MR. ROY: At consular, no, I don't
12	know who it was, or who the contact is that he
13	saw.
14	MR. DAVID: Okay. Does the name
15	Helen Harris mean anything to you?
16	MR. ROY: Yes, I know Helen. It's
17	quite possible that it might have been her, but I
18	cannot tell you with any certainty who he went to
19	see.
20	MR. DAVID: He didn't tell you?
21	MR. ROY: No.
22	MR. DAVID: Okay:
23	[ENGLISH]
24	"It is clear that he is not
25	to mention what I have said

1	to him. He put his hand to
2	throat left to right and
3	zipped his mouth."
4	[TRANSLATION]
5	What was he referring to?
6	MR. ROY: It indicates quite
7	simply what it means is an agreement between
8	Mr. Solomon and myself. He said all right when I
9	told him, don't talk about it, because I was
10	concerned about the source of information and
11	instead of telling me "okay", what I would have
12	written was okay, he simply made the sign. It was
13	definitely meant for me because I was
14	uncomfortable owing to the information.
15	Mr. Solomon left immediately and
16	went to corroborate the information I had given
17	him to the effect that he had left. He returned
18	and that is when he said what follows.
19	MR. DAVID:
20	[ENGLISH]
21	"10:43 Jonathan and I
22	spoke to Jim Gould. He
23	advised Jonathan to contact
24	Helen Harris when I inquired
25	as to his whereabouts. He

1	wondered if Guantanamo Bay
2	could be another option
3	possibility as to his
4	whereabouts."
5	[TRANSLATION]
6	Is that Mr. Gould speculating?
7	MR. ROY: Yes.
8	MR. DAVID: This was before
9	Mr. Solomon went to see Mr. Pardy's replacement?
10	MR. ROY: No, after.
11	MR. DAVID: After?
12	MR. ROY: After, once it had been
13	confirmed that he was no longer there.
14	MR. DAVID: Okay.
15	[ENGLISH]
16	"Approximately 12:50, advises
17	me that Arar is now in Syria
18	according to to advise my
19	counterpart. Done
20	immediately. Scott, Jim,
21	Don."
22	[TRANSLATION]
23	Does this mean that your
24	counterparts are Scott, Jim and Don, or
2.5	counterparts at the RCMP?

1	MR. ROY: No, no. People with
2	whom I work at ISI.
3	MR. DAVID: Okay.
4	MR. ROY: Scott Heatherington, Jim
5	Gould and Don Saunders.
6	MR. DAVID: And of course you
7	shared that, with whom?
8	MR. ROY: With those three people.
9	MR. DAVID: With those three
10	people. Did you share the information with the
11	RCMP, colleagues at the RCMP?
12	MR. ROY: No. They were the ones
13	who sent me the notice.
14	MR. DAVID: They did what?
15	MR. ROY: The information that I
16	gave to ISI
17	MR. DAVID: Yes.
18	MR. ROY: came from the RCMP.
19	MR. DAVID: Yes. But on the other
20	hand, I understood that ISI was also conducting
21	their own research, taking their own steps to try
22	to understand what had happened?
23	MR. ROY: What I am telling you is
24	that it was determined that he was now in Syria.
25	MR. DAVID: Yes.

1	MR. ROY: I was advised The
2	RCMP advised me of this and I told the people at
3	ISI. That's all.
4	MR. DAVID: Okay.
5	MR. ROY: I did not contact anyone
6	else.
7	MR. DAVID: Perfect. Thank you.
8	We will now move on to the 16th
9	No, sorry. Just before leaving the 9th, let
10	us look at Mr. Callaghan's personal notes.
11	If we could file these notes for
12	October 9 as Exhibit P-215.
13	EXHIBIT P-215: Pat
14	Callaghan's personal notes.
15	Pause / Pause
16	MR. DAVID: The reference is to
17	the second of three pages, Mr. Roy. At 5:05,
18	Mr. Callaghan telephoned you:
19	[ENGLISH]
20	"At 5:05 I left the meeting
21	and called Richard Roy. I
22	informed him of the news on
23	Arar."
24	[TRANSLATION]
25	Is that the reference? It's at

1	the bottom of the page.
2	MR. ROY: Yes, that would be the
3	reference.
4	MR. DAVID: That's the reference.
5	So Mr. Callaghan was calling you at the end of the
6	day?
7	MR. ROY: Yes.
8	MR. DAVID: And that is when he
9	told you: according to our information
10	MR. ROY: I would have to read it
11	a little just before that.
12	MR. DAVID: No, it's okay. Take
13	your time.
14	Pause / Pause
15	MR. ROY: That's right. We're
16	speaking about the 9th there. So it would have to
17	be this time. That's nothing but the time, you
18	said the end of the day, but
19	MR. DAVID: It would seem to me
20	that it says 5:05.
21	MR. ROY: No. The time I have is
22	12:50. So I think that what is missing is "1" and
23	"2".
24	MR. DAVID: It's possible. It's
25	possible. The quality of the photocopy is not

1	very good.
2	MR. ROY: In any event, it
3	confirms that I was advised.
4	MR. DAVID: Very well.
5	Let us now move on to October 16,
6	and I refer you again to your personal notes. On
7	pages 22 and 23. What is involved is a meeting
8	held at the ISI office at the department. Several
9	people attended. There was Mr. Pilgrim for the
10	RCMP, Mr. Heatherington, Solomon, Mr. Saunders,
11	Ms. Collins, Mr. Pardy; there was also a
12	representative from the Secret Intelligence
13	Service or rather the Canadian Intelligence
14	Service I mean. And basically, it's Mr. Pardy who
15	chaired that meeting?
16	MR. ROY: That is correct.
17	MR. DAVID: And Mr. Pardy wanted
18	to know wanted to understand in fact, and to
19	try to reconstruct the facts and understand what
20	had happened and be able to somewhat explain what
21	exactly the string of events was with respect to
22	Mr. Arar?
23	MR. ROY: Yes.
24	MR. DAVID: Your notes say, on
25	line three of your entry for October 16:

1	[ENGLISH]
2	"Scott gave background. He
3	mentioned not been able to
4	get involved in the
5	investigation."
6	[TRANSLATION]
7	You seem to be saying that
8	Heatherington said that he was unable to get
9	involved in the investigation.
LO	MR. ROY: No. What he was saying
L1	was he said where we were precisely at that
L2	point. And after that, he clarified the fact that
L3	he realized that, as a member of Foreign Affairs,
L4	he could not be aware of just how everything went
L5	on within the investigations. The police
L6	investigations are for the police. That is simply
L7	what he was saying.
L8	MR. DAVID: Okay.
L9	We will now refer to
20	Exhibit P-137. Just to follow up on that
21	October 16 meeting. It is a document that was
22	given to you by Mr. Pilgrim on October 18, P-137,
23	and I would like to refer you to page 5. It is
24	what we have come to call the Pilgrim memo. Thus
0.5	it is the PCMD's follow-up action to Mr. Dardy's

1	requests. This was given to you and you passed it
2	on to the appropriate people
3	MR. ROY: Exactly.
4	MR. DAVID: namely Mr. Pardy?
5	MR. ROY: Not Mr. Pardy, but
6	Mr. Heatherington, I believe, but at ISI.
7	MR. DAVID: Okay.
8	We move on now to October 22, and
9	I would like to refer you to a new exhibit, a new
10	exhibit which is a document dated October 22,
11	P-216.
12	P-216, Mr. Commissioner.
13	EXHIBIT P-216: Fax from
14	Richard Roy dated October 22,
15	2002
16	MR. DAVID: If you go to page 3,
17	you will see that it is a report or a document
18	that Ambassador Pillarella wrote about a meeting
19	he had with the Chief of Syrian Intelligence,
20	called the SMI, Syrian Military Intelligence, his
21	meeting with General Khalil of Syria.
22	MR. ROY: Yes.
23	MR. DAVID: It is a document that
24	was, apparently, transmitted to personnel at
25	Foreign Affairs and on page 1 of the document we

1	see that the document was sent by you on
2	October 22 to headquarters.
3	MR. ROY: That is correct.
4	MR. DAVID: Who gave you that
5	document?
6	MR. ROY: I'll check
7	MR. DAVID: Of course.
8	MR. ROY: my Can you tell
9	me once again where my not my notes, but my
LO	my time line, please?
L1	MR. DAVID: Your time line, that
L2	is Exhibit P-208.
L3	MR. ROY: I may have written it
L4	down here, I'm not sure. It's someone at ISI if
L5	that's all you want to know. Unless I'm mistaken.
L6	As to precisely who it was, that is what I am
L7	trying to find. No, I did not write it down, but
L8	it was someone at ISI.
L9	MR. DAVID: Okay. You can't
20	remember who?
21	MR. ROY: No.
22	MR. DAVID: Before such a document
23	was given to you for distribution, because it was
24	distributed at headquarters as well, and if you
) E	look at mage 2 year will goo that from

1	headquarters, the document the second page of
2	the document.
3	MR. ROY: Yes, I have it.
4	MR. DAVID: The document went to
5	Project A-O-C from headquarters.
6	MR. ROY: Yes.
7	MR. DAVID: So my question, is
8	before receiving such a document, would
9	authorization be required from the Department of
10	Foreign Affairs for it to be distributed
11	MR. ROY: Within the RCMP?
12	MR. DAVID: Yes.
13	MR. ROY: Not that I am aware of.
14	MR. DAVID: No not inside
15	Excuse me. Is authorization required within the
16	Department of Foreign Affairs? That is what I am
17	asking.
18	MR. ROY: Oh! Okay. I don't
19	know.
20	MR. DAVID: It's a document
21	MR. ROY: I don't know.
22	MR. DAVID: You don't know?
23	MR. ROY: No.
24	MR. DAVID: So you don't know who
25	would have authorized it, if authorization had

1	been granted for such distribution
2	MR. ROY: I don't know whether
3	authorization is required.
4	MR. DAVID: Okay. And were there
5	conditions attached to the way in which the
6	document could be distributed within the RCMP?
7	MR. ROY: No. I am not aware of
8	any caveats there, or any distribution
9	restrictions. Is that what you meant?
10	MR. DAVID: Yes.
11	MR. ROY: No.
12	MR. DAVID: If there were any
13	conditions to be applied.
14	MR. ROY: I am not aware of any,
15	no.
16	MR. DAVID: And why would you have
17	been sent that document for distribution to the
18	RCMP? Were you told why?
19	MR. ROY: No.
20	MR. DAVID: We now move to
21	October 24, Mr. Roy, Exhibit P-93. It is a
22	consular report, and I refer you to your personal
23	notes on page 23. The entry is for October 24.
24	I will allow the Clerk to catch
25	up.

1	THE CLERK: Thank you.
2	MR. DAVID: P-93, Mr. Roy, you see
3	you have the document?
4	MR. ROY: Yes.
5	MR. DAVID: Please consult it.
6	You will see that it refers to a visit a
7	report on a consular visit with Mr. Arar in Syria
8	by the Canadian authorities from the Department of
9	Foreign Affairs
10	MR. ROY: Yes.
11	MR. DAVID: dated October 23,
12	and your notes on page 23 for October 24 state:
13	[ENGLISH]
14	"Approximately 1500 hours in
15	DFAIT consular report on Arar
16	given to me and I am told to
17	give copy to "
18	[TRANSLATION]
19	someone.
20	[ENGLISH]
21	And then you got a call from
22	somebody who also wants a copy.
23	[TRANSLATION]
24	You see?
2.5	MR. ROY: Yes, two persons from

1	the RCMP.
2	MR. DAVID: So it was within the
3	RCMP that you were distributing
4	MR. ROY: Yes.
5	MR. DAVID: Okay. Who then asked
6	you to distribute the document? Within Foreign
7	Affairs, who asked you to distribute the document
8	to the RCMP?
9	MR. ROY: I cannot remember who it
10	was, but it was someone again from ISI. It was at
11	ISI that I received the documents.
12	MR. DAVID: And once again, was
13	authorization given to you to your knowledge
14	was there any authorization to distribute the
15	document?
16	MR. ROY: I am not aware of that.
17	MR. DAVID: Based on our
18	understanding of the policies the procedures
19	at Foreign Affairs, it would require Mr. Pardy's
20	authorization for that type of document to be
21	shared, or distributed to the RCMP. Were you ever
22	aware that Mr. Pardy was involved in the decision
23	to distribute the document, to share it with the
24	RCMP?
25	MR. ROY: I don't know.

StenoTran

1	MR. DAVID: Were there conditions
2	on the distribution of that document to the RCMP?
3	MR. ROY: No.
4	MR. DAVID: Restrictions?
5	MR. ROY: No.
6	MR. DAVID: Okay.
7	We now move on to October 25,
8	which leads us to a new exhibit. It is Exhibit
9	the personal notes of Mr. Callaghan dated
10	October 25.
11	Pause / Pause
12	EXHIBIT P-217: Pat
13	Callaghan's personal notes.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: 217.
15	MR. DAVID: 217, thank you.
16	There is an entry at 1345,
17	Mr. Roy, which says:
18	[ENGLISH]
19	"Richard Roy of DFAIT
20	arrived. I received a
21	two-page document from him."
22	[TRANSLATION]
23	Quite simply then, to your
24	knowledge, does this involve, do you recollect,
25	whether it is the consular report of October 23 or

1	is it Mr. Pillarella's report of October 22? Can
2	you remember this?
3	MR. ROY: I believe it is the one
4	that someone else contacted me about, the one from
5	the 24th.
6	MR. DAVID: The 24th?
7	MR. ROY: The 24th.
8	MR. DAVID: The consular report
9	•••
10	MR. ROY: Yes.
11	MR. DAVID: concerning the
12	visit to Syria?
13	MR. ROY: I believe so, yes.
14	MR. DAVID: Okay. Thank you.
15	We will now go to November 6 and I
16	refer you to page 24 of your notes. It concerns a
17	meeting about the proposed trip by the Canadian
18	Security Intelligence Service to Syria and that
19	gets us to page
20	I would also like to refer to your
21	time line, Mr. Roy, and for your entry that would
22	be page 3 of 9.
23	So on November 6, in your time
24	line, you say:
25	[ENGLISH]

1	"1635 meeting at DFAIT
2	with Franco Pillarella,
3	Ambassador in Syria.
4	Discussed where the
5	expectations of the Syrians
6	and CSIS meet. Copy of brief
7	of the case of Maher Arar
8	(Arabic) given to
9	Superintendent Pilgrim (see
10	file)."
11	[TRANSLATION]
12	My question is what is referred to
13	here, the "Copy of brief of the case of Maher Arar
14	(Arabic)"? Do you know?
15	MR. ROY: Yes, that would be what
16	we might call a statement perhaps.
17	MR. DAVID: The statement
18	MR. ROY: In Arabic.
19	MR. DAVID: in Arabic, from
20	Mr. Arar
21	MR. ROY: Mm-hmm.
22	MR. DAVID: obtained by the
23	Syrian authorities and transmitted by
24	Mr. Pillarella to the Canadians?
25	MR. ROY: Yes. It was not

1	Mr. Pillarella who gave it to me
2	MR. DAVID: No.
3	MR. ROY: to hand it out, but
4	that's it.
5	MR. DAVID: Okay. Who gave you
6	the document?
7	MR. ROY: I'm not certain. I
8	thought it was Mr. Heatherington, but I'm not
9	100 percent sure. I am sure, on the other hand,
10	that it was somebody at ISI.
11	MR. DAVID: And here again, to
12	your knowledge, who authorized your sharing this
13	document with headquarters, including Mr. Pilgrim?
14	MR. ROY: I can't tell you.
15	MR. DAVID: To your knowledge, it
16	was never discussed?
17	MR. ROY: Not as far as I know,
18	no.
19	MR. DAVID: You were given the
20	document at your level?
21	MR. ROY: That's right.
22	MR. DAVID: And were you asked to
23	share it with headquarters?
24	MR. ROY: Yes, yes. I gave it to
25	Mr. Pilgrim.

1	MR. DAVID: Okay. Was it to
2	your knowledge did you distribute it within A-O-C?
3	MR. ROY: No.
4	MR. DAVID: Only headquarters?
5	MR. ROY: Yes. Mr. Pilgrim was at
6	the meeting. If I am not mistaken, I gave it to
7	him immediately afterwards.
8	MR. DAVID: And to your knowledge,
9	were there discussions at the RCMP, at your level,
10	at the level of your colleagues at the RCMP, about
11	the reliability to be assigned to this statement?
12	MR. ROY: Not any that I was
13	involved in.
14	MR. DAVID: Okay. Did you have
15	discussions Were you aware of any discussions
16	about how the document that came from Syria may
17	have been obtained through torture?
18	MR. ROY: No. I was not involved
19	in any discussion of that kind, no.
20	MR. DAVID: Were there discussions
21	or comments about the level of respect for human
22	rights in Syria or not?
23	MR. ROY: When?
24	MR. DAVID: At the time.
25	MR. ROY: At the meeting itself?

1	MR. DAVID: At the meeting.
2	MR. ROY: Yes, a woman there
3	mentioned it, as is written in my time line,
4	rspecting their methods.
5	MR. DAVID: Mm-hmm.
6	MR. ROY: And I believe that there
7	had been discussion of human rights, but not
8	torture as such, no. I never heard anything to
9	that effect.
10	MR. DAVID: Okay.
11	Now, for November 18, I would like
12	to refer you to pages 24 and 25 of your notes. On
13	page 24 at the bottom, you referred to the date,
14	the 18th, and on page 25, you wrote the following:
15	[ENGLISH]
16	"1335 Pilgrim. Solicitor
17	General called Friday and
18	warned of DFAIT employee.
19	Was told by Powell right hand
20	that if he were to be asked
21	about Arar that he would have
22	said RCMP was supporting of
23	his transfer. Jonathan said
24	that during meeting Powell
25	gaid that law enforcement was

1	aware of what was going on
2	and orally, not in meeting
3	notes, that law enforcement
4	asked to have him sent away."
5	[TRANSLATION]
6	So let's consider the first
7	paragraph. These are your personal notes,
8	Mr. Roy, in connection with what you noted at a
9	meeting between Mr. Powell and Mr. Graham, the
10	Minister of Foreign Affairs. Was that the
11	context?
12	MR. ROY: In the first paragraph,
13	the subject of discussion is the Solicitor
14	General.
15	MR. DAVID: Yes, I'm sorry. You
16	are right of course and my reference is mistaken
17	because on November 15, there was a meeting
18	between Mr. Graham and Mr. Powell. But here, what
19	is involved is another matter.
20	It was the Solicitor General,
21	Mr. Wayne Easter, who called someone on Friday, as
22	you noted.
23	My first question is whether this
24	information came from Mr. Pilgrim? You mentioned
25	Pilgrim at the top of the page.

1	MR. ROY: Yes, that's it. I'm not
2	certain. I believe so, but I'm not certain. I
3	would think that yes, unless it was information
4	that I was supposed to pass on to Wayne Pilgrim,
5	but he was probably the one who advised me of it.
6	MR. DAVID: Okay.
7	MR. ROY: But I'm not 100 percent
8	certain. I cannot see clearly from this note.
9	MR. DAVID: Okay. So in that note
LO	again:
L1	[ENGLISH]
L2	"Solicitor General called
L3	Friday and warned of DFAIT
L4	employee. Was told by Powell
L5	right hand "
L6	[TRANSLATION]
L7	What is this entry referring to?
L8	Who called Who did the Solicitor General call?
L9	MR. ROY: But if I don't know
20	who. It may have been Mr. Pilgrim or his office
21	or the RCMP
22	MR. DAVID: Okay.
23	MR. ROY: it is likely, but I
24	don't know. I don't know I can't tell you any
25	more about it other than what I am reading there

1	or you yourself are reading there
2	MR. DAVID: Except that clearly
3	noted is the idea that there was someone, the
4	Minister or someone from his office, his
5	department, who called someone at the RCMP,
6	perhaps Mr. Pilgrim and gave him a warning. That
7	is what you noted.
8	MR. ROY: Yes.
9	MR. DAVID:
LO	[ENGLISH]
L1	" warned of DFAIT
L2	employee "
L3	[TRANSLATION]
L4	So an employee from the Department
L5	of Foreign Affairs received the information from
L6	Mr. Powell from Mr. Powell's right hand
L7	man
L8	MR. ROY: Yes.
L9	MR. DAVID: so one of
20	Mr. Powell's assistant?
21	MR. ROY: Yes.
22	MR. DAVID: That is what you were
23	noting?
24	MR. ROY: Yes, absolutely.
2.5	MR. DAVID: That:

StenoTran

1	[ENGLISH]
2	"If he were asked, if he were
3	to be asked about Arar "
4	[TRANSLATION]
5	And here, I am asking who to
6	whom are you referring?
7	MR. ROY: Powell, I would imagine.
8	MR. DAVID: Powell, Okay:
9	[ENGLISH]
10	"And he would ",
11	[TRANSLATION]
12	I would imagine that it's "have"?
13	MR. ROY: He would have said.
14	MR. DAVID: He would have said?
15	MR. ROY: He would have said that
16	the RCMP was supporting his transfer.
17	MR. DAVID: So are we to
18	understand here that Mr. Powell was of the opinion
19	•••
20	MR. ROY: Yes.
21	MR. DAVID: or understood
22	that the RCMP gave its blessing to Mr. Arar's
23	transfer?
24	MR. ROY: That is what it says
25	there. It does not clearly state Mr. Powell.

1	There were lots of people involved, there is a lot
2	of hearsay, but that is indeed the essence.
3	MR. DAVID: Yes, but the idea is
4	that okay. The idea is that there was
5	the transfer of Mr. Arar from the United States to
6	Syria, I would imagine, would have been sanctioned
7	or approved or that there was some sign of
8	approval for this action from the RCMP.
9	Is that what you were noting?
10	MR. ROY: Well, that is highly
11	likely what would have been said. That is what it
12	says.
13	MR. DAVID: Now, I want to say,
14	it's nevertheless startling information. You
15	agree that
16	MR. ROY: If the source is correct
17	there
18	MR. DAVID: If it's true, it's
19	nevertheless it will be on page one tomorrow
20	morning?
21	MR. ROY: Yes.
22	MR. DAVID: Right. And lastly, I
23	would ask you who transmitted you yourself
24	noted that information. You yourself understood
25	that it was important information?

1	MR. ROY: Yes.
2	MR. DAVID: And I am asking you
3	I am going back to my question: Who told you
4	that?
5	MR. ROY: As I mentioned earlier,
6	it is highly likely that it was Mr. Pilgrim who
7	mentioned it.
8	MR. DAVID: Okay. Do you know
9	where Mr. Pilgrim got that information or where it
10	came from?
11	MR. ROY: No.
12	MR. DAVID: And the second
13	paragraph continues, stating:
14	[ENGLISH]
15	"Jonathan said that during
16	meeting, Powell said that Law
17	Enforcement was aware of what
18	was going on."
19	[TRANSLATION]
20	So there is a reference here to
21	Jonathan, and I would imagine that it is Jonathan
22	Solomon?
23	MR. ROY: Yes.
24	MR. DAVID: Does that mean that
25	Mr. Solomon was there at the discussion?

1	MR. ROY: I doubt it.
2	MR. DAVID: Okay. Then how would
3	you explain how Mr. Solomon comes into the
4	picture?
5	MR. ROY: Well, it's what he
6	heard, I don't know from whom, but what
7	Mr. Solomon mentioned was that at a meeting, I
8	don't know with whom, Mr. Powell had mentioned
9	what was written here, that the law enforcement
10	people were aware of what was going on.
11	MR. DAVID: Okay. But then
12	let's go on perhaps with the second paragraph just
13	to properly understand the idea being expressed.
14	You noted that Mr. Solomon said
15	that in the course of a meeting with Mr. Powell:
16	[ENGLISH]
17	"Law Enforcement was aware of
18	what was going on."
19	[TRANSLATION]
20	Now do you feel that law
21	enforcement is a reference to the RCMP?
22	MR. ROY: Yes.
23	MR. DAVID: Right:
24	[ENGLISH]
25	"And orally "

1	[TRANSLATION]
2	and here, you specified that it had not been noted
3	during in the notes for that meeting, but that
4	it had been said, but that it had not been
5	recorded that the RCMP had "asked him to be sent
6	away"?
7	MR. ROY: That is what I read.
8	MR. DAVID: Okay. Then initially,
9	Mr. Roy, for this entry in your notes, you
10	initially mentioned what appears to be information
11	that came from Mr. Pilgrim about a subject in
12	which it would appear that the RCMP gave its
13	approval to Mr. Arar's transfer to Syria.
14	And secondly, you noted the
15	information that came from Mr. Solomon to the
16	effect that Powell said that the RCMP was aware of
17	the fact that Mr. Arar would be deported to Syria
18	and that the RCMP had even asked that Mr. Arar be
19	deported to Syria? Once again
20	MR. ROY: That is what I am
21	reading there, absolutely.
22	MR. DAVID: this is very
23	startling information?
24	MR. ROY: Yes, and I am unaware of
25	the source.

1	MR. DAVID: But how come, under
2	what circumstances did you obtain these two
3	different sources, namely Mr. Pilgrim or
4	Mr. Solomon, on such a startling subject. What
5	was the context in which this occurred?
6	MR. ROY: Probably on one occasion
7	it would have been Mr. Pilgrim who contacted me
8	• • •
9	MR. DAVID: Yes.
LO	MR. ROY: I would have I
L1	would have informed myself about the matter with
L2	ISI and the feedback that I would have received
L3	would have been what was mentioned about Jonathan.
L4	I presume that that is how things
L5	went.
L6	MR. DAVID: Does this mean that
L7	you were asked that Mr. Pilgrim asked you,
L8	when he was giving you that information, if you
L9	could check it with your ISI colleagues to find
20	out whether they have heard about anything like
21	this, about anything similar?
22	MR. ROY: I presume that it was
23	something along those lines, absolutely.
24	MR. DAVID: So headquarters was
25	concerned about the question. Headquarters

1	Mr. Pilgrim and his representative, asked you to
2	take action yourself with the Department of
3	Foreign Affairs?
4	MR. ROY: Certainly. That's how I
5	see it.
6	MR. DAVID: And on that you
7	consulted Mr. Solomon about this issue?
8	MR. ROY: Yes.
9	MR. DAVID: And you also learned
10	from Mr. Solomon you found out that from his
11	point of view, from the information he had, that
12	was that confirmed it but went even further.
13	Further in the sense that he, it would appear,
14	told you that based on his information the RCMP
15	had even asked that Arar be transferred to Syria.
16	Is that what you learned from
17	Mr. Solomon?
18	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
19	MR. DAVID: So what did you do
20	with that? You had very important, highly
21	significant information. Mr. Pilgrim or someone
22	else at Headquarters asked you to investigate.
23	You carried out your investigation, came across
24	some information, and things were going from bad
25	to worse. What did you do?

1	MR. RICHARD ROY: Well, of course
2	if you describe it that way I would have apprised
3	Mr. Pilgrim of what Mr. Solomon had told me.
4	MR. DAVID: Yes. And then what?
5	MR. RICHARD ROY: What is the RCMP
6	doing with this or what is Foreign Affairs doing
7	with that, I can't I don't know.
8	MR. DAVID: Did Mr. Solomon claim
9	to have attended this meeting at which Mr. Powell
10	said those things?
11	MR. RICHARD ROY: No, I don't
12	recall him him saying that he had been there,
13	no.
14	MR. DAVID: Did Mr. Solomon, when
15	he told you because you noted it that he told
16	you, that the RCMP was aware of what was going to
17	happen to Mr. Arar, that he would be deported to
18	Syria, and what's more, that it was the RCMP that
19	had asked that he be deported to Syria?
20	Did you ask Mr. Solomon how he had
21	come by this information?
22	MR. RICHARD ROY: I don't remember
23	if I asked him. Definitely, or if he gave me an
24	answer, I
25	MR. DAVID: You don't remember?

1	MR. RICHARD ROY: I don't
2	know.
3	MR. DAVID: Was there a follow-up,
4	something else after that? Did you hear more
5	information about the RCMP's involvement in what
6	happened to Mr. Arar?
7	MR. RICHARD ROY: No, not that I
8	can remember, that I can see here.
9	MR. DAVID: And in the days or
10	weeks after that, Mr. Roy, did you take interest
11	in the matter? Did you continue looking into the
12	matter?
13	MR. RICHARD ROY: I'd have to rely
14	on my notes, but I don't think so. There's only
15	there's another note that comes immediately
16	after, but in the following days, I couldn't tell
17	you.
18	MR. DAVID: And how did you react,
19	you, to these two pieces of information from Mr.
20	Pilgrim and Mr. Solomon?
21	MR. RICHARD ROY: Well, how I
22	reacted. I don't know the sources of these I
23	don't know the sources or the validity, but I'm
24	not aware of one case or another or who said what
) E	to whom in which contout. I couldn't toll you

1	more about that.
2	MR. DAVID: But you nevertheless
3	understood that it was a serious matter? You
4	understood that?
5	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes, of course.
6	MR. DAVID: Let's move on, Mr.
7	Roy, to November 20, and I would ask you to go to
8	pages 9 and 10 9 and 10 of your notes. Let's
9	go back. They're handwritten versions. Perhaps
10	it would be better if you read them, pages 9 and
11	10 of your personal notes. It's P-206. I'm
12	sorry.
13	MR. RICHARD ROY: Sorry. Which
14	page? Sorry.
15	MR. DAVID: Pages 9 and 10.
16	MR. RICHARD ROY: Okay. What do
17	you want to know?
18	MR. DAVID: Are these your notes
19	concerning obtaining a legal opinion on the
20	admissibility of Mr. Arar's statement from Syria?
21	MR. RICHARD ROY: No.
22	MR. DAVID: Could you read your
23	notes for the record, Mr. Roy? Because it's very
24	difficult to understand.
25	MR. RICHARD ROY: From where?

1

MR. DAVID: From page 9, please.

2	MR. RICHARD ROY:
3	[ENGLISH]
4	"At 12:10 pm, Jonathan got
5	info. from counsellor in
6	Egypt. He was brought to
7	Court."
8	Okay, but we're not talking about
9	Mr. Arar, here.
10	MR. DAVID: Okay. Continue.
11	MR. RICHARD ROY:
12	"He was brought to Court.
13	Judge said he saw no reason
14	to keep him. He was sent
15	back to another jail."
16	MR. DAVID: Okay. That's not Mr.
17	Arar?
18	MR. RICHARD ROY: No.
19	MR. DAVID: Okay. Continue.
20	MR. RICHARD ROY:
21	"Since he has now gone to
22	Syria, has Cabana heard back
23	from justice."
24	[TRANSLATION]
25	And all that deals with Mr. Arar here is "Since he

1	has now gone to Syria", and again, I'm assuming
2	it's about Mr. Arar's case, but I'm not sure.
3	MR. DAVID: Okay. But:
4	[ENGLISH]
5	"Since he has now gone to
6	Syria, has Cabana heard back
7	from justice regarding
8	admissibility of statements
9	received by other
LO	authorities?"
L1	[TRANSLATION]
L2	Are we dealing here with the
L3	admissibility of Mr. Arar's statement from the
L4	Syrians?
L5	MR. RICHARD ROY: It definitely
L6	deals with that, but about whom, I couldn't say, I
L7	don't know.
L8	MR. DAVID: Well, that is, we know
L9	that on November 6 the RCMP obtained a copy of Mr.
20	Arar's Syrian statement, isn't that right?
21	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes, yes, but
22	
23	MR. DAVID: And your notes here
24	are dated November 20. Apart from Mr. Arar, did
25	you have a statement from another Canadian

1	imprisoned outside Canada?
2	MR. RICHARD ROY: Me, no.
3	MR. DAVID: So, is we can infer
4	that it deals with the matter?
5	MR. RICHARD ROY: It's reasonable
6	to infer this. I'm not certain that's the case,
7	but it's reasonable to infer this.
8	MR. DAVID: Okay. Let's now go to
9	Exhibit P-183. In fact, Mr. Clerk, never mind,
10	I'll I'll skip it. Sorry.
11	Mr. Roy, if you could go back, I'm
12	still on page 10 of your notes, dealing with the
13	statement and the admissibility of the Syrian
14	statement.
15	Your notes continue. You say:
16	"Cabana" is that "aware"?
17	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes:
18	"Cabana aware, unable to
19	reach Flewelling."
20	MR. DAVID: After? "Will discuss
21	"
22	MR. RICHARD ROY:
23	"Will discuss it again next
24	week, difficulty with J.C.,
25	Justice Canada."

1	MR. DAVID: Okay.
2	MR. RICHARD ROY: Then mention is
3	again made of admissibility.
4	MR. DAVID: The potential
5	admissibility here in Canada of a Syrian statement
6	made by Mr. Arar?
7	MR. RICHARD ROY: Mr. Arar or
8	someone else, yes.
9	MR. DAVID: Is that it?
10	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
11	MR. DAVID: Who asked you to
12	contact Mr. Flewelling about this? Was it on your
13	own initiative or did Mr. Cabana or someone else
14	ask you to call Mr. Flewelling about this?
15	MR. RICHARD ROY: I don't
16	remember.
17	MR. DAVID: Okay. Let's go to
18	April 23, and I would like to refer you to Exhibit
19	P-94, Mr. Roy. It's an e-mail from Mr.
20	Pillarella, Ambassador Pillarella, concerning a
21	visit from two Canadian MPs, Ms. Caterall and Mr.
22	Assadorian, who went to Syria, yes, and who
23	visited Mr. Arar, among other things.
24	You also refer to this in your
25	time line?

1	MR. RICHARD ROY: That's correct.
2	MR. DAVID: And I can refer you to
3	page 4 of your time line concerning this entry.
4	You wrote:
5	[ENGLISH]
6	"e-mail Damascus, approved
7	by Pillarella regarding visit
8	of M.P.s file sent to
9	Reynolds."
10	[TRANSLATION]
11	Who's Reynolds?
12	MR. RICHARD ROY: Inspector Rick
13	Reynolds, now Superintendent Rick Reynolds. He
14	was I think it was called FIB at the time or
15	it's National Security.
16	MR. DAVID: Okay. But it's
17	headquarters?
18	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes, yes,
19	absolutely.
20	MR. DAVID: So, who sent you this
21	e-mail? That's the first question.
22	MR. RICHARD ROY: I didn't note it
23	down. It's ISI again, same process as the others.
24	MR. DAVID: And who would have
25	given the authorization that it he sent to Mr

1	Reynolds?
2	MR. RICHARD ROY: Same process.
3	MR. DAVID: Okay. Were there
4	conditions on the caveats or conditions,
5	restrictions on this transmission?
6	MR. RICHARD ROY: No, not
7	specifically, but since you mention caveats, I
8	think it always goes without saying in the field,
9	we talk about third-party caveats, that's what it
10	is. I think people in the community are aware of
11	it.
12	MR. DAVID: Okay. I'd like to
13	refer you to your personal notes with regard to
14	April 29 and 30, page 25.
15	Mr. Roy, I'll I forgot to refer
16	you to a document, if you'll allow me.
17	I'd like to refer you to P-183.
18	It's a meeting, Mr. Roy, that was held I'll let
19	you read the document, but it's a meeting that was
20	held on February 28, 2003, and that e-mail dated
21	March 3, 2003, refers to this meeting?
22	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
23	MR. DAVID: The subject is DFAIT
24	Dec., so it's a presentation concerning consulate
) F	gorrigos related to terrerist sees

1	And we learn from this e-mail that
2	Mr. Livermore chaired the meeting:
3	[ENGLISH]
4	"Purpose of meeting was to
5	get more clarify from the
6	RCMP concerning"
7	something
8	"to talk to Mr. Arar,
9	discussions with Arar will be
10	in the context of him as a
11	witness. In the case of
12	Arar, Marilyn Caterall will
13	also be visiting from
14	humanitarian perspective. It
15	was agreed that the RCMP
16	would later visit until two
17	weeks after the Caterall
18	visit meeting on the above
19	was productive."
20	[TRANSLATION]
21	Did you attend this meeting?
22	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
23	MR. DAVID: Could you tell us a
24	bit about the topic, the purpose? The RCMP had
25	plans to go meet, to interrogate Mr. Arar at the

1	time in Syria?
2	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes, I believe
3	so.
4	MR. DAVID: Could you tell us
5	about the context?
6	MR. RICHARD ROY: Well, the
7	context, I know it was mentioned that we should
8	speak with one voice. It was something that was
9	mentioned around the table, and also, the RCMP's
10	request, indeed, according to the meeting, the
11	RCMP intended to go to Syria, at least it was
12	considered, and had agreed that it not take place
13	for a certain period of time, before the MPs paid
14	their visit. That's all.
15	MR. DAVID: Okay.
16	MR. RICHARD ROY: It corroborates
17	this.
18	MR. DAVID: Okay. Now I take you
19	to October 29 and 30, and if we could refer to
20	page 25 of your notes and a new document I'd like
21	to enter now.
22	THE REGISTRAR: 218.
23	EXHIBIT NO. P-218: Personal
24	notes of another
25	investigator.

1	MR. DAVID: 218. So, Exhibit
2	P-218, these are personal notes of another member
3	of the investigation team, an investigator on the
4	Project A-O-C team, Mr. Roy. Okay?
5	MR. RICHARD ROY: Which date did
6	you say, please?
7	MR. DAVID: Go to page 4 of 5.
8	MR. RICHARD ROY: Okay.
9	MR. DAVID: There's an entry on
10	page 4 and on page 5 for April 29 and 30, 2003.
11	At the bottom of page 4, Exhibit P-218, we read:
12	[ENGLISH]
13	"Discussed Mr. Arar's
14	contacts with DFAIT. Asked
15	that, call inspector Roy at
16	DFAIT to get reports.
17	Consular visits as stated in
18	today's Ottawa Citizen
19	article and then called and
20	left a message for inspector
21	Roy."
22	And there's entry after that for
23	4:22 p.m.:
24	"Received a call from Roy at
25	DFAIT who was told about the

1	Ottawa Citizen article about
2	Arar and consular visits with
3	him in Syria. Inspector Roy
4	was not aware that the visits
5	had occurred, but would find
6	out, he had known that two
7	members of Parliament were
8	going to see Arar in the
9	H.Q.C. and were all aware of
10	this."
11	And then, for October 30, at the
12	bottom of page 5, it's written:
13	" provided A-OCANADA with
14	a Q&A copy from DFAIT about
15	Arar."
16	And your personal notes, Mr. Roy,
17	for the 29th say this:
18	"Approximately 1630 received
19	message"
20	from someone
21	" who asked me for some
22	information regarding Arar as
23	they relate to him on the
24	newspapers."
25	T think it's the same reference

1	N	ſR.	RICHARD ROY: Yes.
2	М	ſR.	DAVID:
3			"He did not know that
4			consullor had seen him at
5			many occasions and two
6			Members of Parliament had
7			seen him in Syria.
8			Approximately 1645 contacted
9			Myra Pastyr-Lupul who advised
10			me of the situation. There
11			is a political movement to
12			ensure the liberation of Arar
13			prior to his being accused in
14			Syria. The Members of
15			Parliament even want to go as
16			far as Monsieur Chrétien by
17			going by Manley, Graham and
18			Easter."
19	I	And	then you say:
20			"Rick Reynolds advised copy
21			of question Q&A sent to him.
22			He will update"
23	-		something.
24	[TRANSLATION]		
25	7	¹h △	question seems vou seem to

1	be asked the A-OCANADA project seems to be
2	seeking information. It certainly seems to be
3	looking for other consular reports about consular
4	visits with Mr. Arar in Syria.
5	Was that the case? Were you asked
6	to provide other consular reports?
7	MR. RICHARD ROY: I don't remember
8	a specific request for consular reports. The
9	request more or less concerned the visit of the
10	two members of Parliament which had taken place,
11	and it seemed that the individual in question was
12	not aware of the status of the visit, et cetera.
13	So, I contacted Mr. Reynolds, who took care of
14	apprising that person.
15	MR. DAVID: Of apprising the
16	investigator of A-O-C
17	MR. RICHARD ROY: Of apprising the
18	investigator of what was going on.
19	MR. DAVID: Okay. Did you receive
20	a report concerning the MPs' visit from your ISI
21	colleagues?
22	MR. RICHARD ROY: I think so.
23	MR. DAVID: Did you forward that
24	document to the A-OCANADA team?
25	MR. RICHARD ROY: I would have.

1	MR. DAVID: And then, we also see
2	that you obtained, Mr. Roy, the Q&As, the
3	questions and answers?
4	MR. RICHARD ROY: I can answer
5	you.
6	MR. DAVID: Yes.
7	MR. RICHARD ROY: It's written
8	maybe we've already covered this it's April 23,
9	"Regarding visit of MPs sent to Reynolds." That's
10	what you're talking about, I think.
11	MR. DAVID: Yes. That's in your
12	time line, right?
13	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
14	MR. DAVID: Okay.
15	Now we'll go to your notes for May
16	8, page 26. It's a meeting held to discuss a memo
17	Mr. Pardy had drafted suggesting that the
18	Solicitor General and the Minister of Foreign
19	Affairs take a common approach with respect to
20	their counterpart in Syria, the Syrian Minister of
21	Foreign Affairs.
22	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
23	MR. DAVID: And you attended a
24	meeting to discuss this approach?
25	MR. RICHARD ROY: Correct.

1	MR. DAVID: You noted this meeting
2	on May 8.
3	And discussions continued on May
4	12 regarding this same matter, and you were also
5	at this second meeting?
6	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
7	MR. DAVID: Okay. And after these
8	two meetings, where did things stand?
9	MR. RICHARD ROY: We were at the
10	point of agreeing on the contents of the letter,
11	among other things.
12	MR. DAVID: Had it been agreed
13	that both the Minister of Foreign Affairs and the
14	Solicitor General would sign the letter or was it
15	agreed at the end of the second meeting that the
16	Solicitor General would not sign any letters?
17	MR. RICHARD ROY: There wasn't any
18	question of signing any letters, but if you
19	continue in the time line, we come to the final
20	wording on which everyone agreed. It continues, I
21	believe.
22	MR. DAVID: But on May 12, Mr. Roy
23	
24	MR. RICHARD ROY: No, it hadn't
25	been done.

1	MR. DAVID: what was
2	MR. RICHARD ROY: It hadn't been
3	finalized by May 12.
4	MR. DAVID: There still was no
5	agreement?
6	MR. RICHARD ROY: No.
7	MR. DAVID: Okay. The issue
8	hadn't been resolved?
9	MR. RICHARD ROY: No.
LO	MR. DAVID: Okay.
L1	You have an entry on page 27 of
L2	your notes for May 23:
L3	[ENGLISH]
L4	"Reynolds, Arar and possible
L5	visit by DFAIT. They will
L6	advise 'A' and see if there
L7	is a concern and a list of
L8	questions could be obtained
L9	to pass on to DFAIT."
20	[TRANSLATION]
21	Can you tell me what this is
22	about?
23	MR. RICHARD ROY: It's that I had
24	simply been asked, what's written here, to ask
) 5	whether there was I didn't know and I still

1	don't know the context. Whom are we talking about
2	exactly, but the question was, do you have
3	questions
4	MR. DAVID: But it's Mr. Reynolds
5	of
6	MR. RICHARD ROY: for Arar.
7	MR. DAVID: Mr. Reynolds of
8	Headquarters asked you
9	MR. RICHARD ROY: No, no. Someone
10	at ISI had asked me. So I asked Mr. Reynolds for
11	it, Mr. Reynolds was taking care of it, and it was
12	never followed up with me.
13	MR. DAVID: There was no follow-
14	up, to your knowledge?
15	MR. RICHARD ROY: No.
16	MR. DAVID: Okay. Now I'll take
17	you to Exhibit no, I'm sorry, a new exhibit
18	we'll enter, dated June 17.
19	THE REGISTRAR: 219.
20	EXHIBIT NO. P-219: An e-mail
21	dated June 17, 2003.
22	MR. DAVID: Thank you. You know,
23	Mr in any case, maybe you don't know, but the
24	final version of Mr. Pardy's memo is dated June 5,
25	2003.

1	Mr. Roy, according to this memo,
2	only the Minister of Foreign Affairs would sign
3	the letter to his colleague. The letter would
4	contain the language is and on June 7, this
5	e-mail, which is from the Office of the Minister
6	of Foreign Affairs, asks whether the RCMP, Office
7	of the Solicitor General and CSIS should be
8	consulted as to whether they could agree on
9	stronger language that would be used in the letter
LO	to the Syrian Minister.
L1	And here we see the response,
L2	which seems to propose such a letter. Did you
L3	read this letter, because "notified Richard Roy of
L4	above" is written at the bottom of the page?
L5	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
L6	MR. DAVID: And what is written
L7	above is:
L8	[ENGLISH]
L9	"Mr. Arar is currently
20	subject of a National
21	Security investigation in
22	Canada although there is not
23	enough evidence at this time
24	to warrant criminal code
25	charges "

StenoTran

1	[TRANSLATION]
2	Were you made aware of this?
3	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes, yes, it's
4	in my time line, dated the 17th.
5	MR. DAVID: Who informed you of
6	the wording, the contents?
7	MR. RICHARD ROY: ISI again.
8	MR. DAVID: Someone at ISI; can
9	you be more specific?
10	MR. RICHARD ROY: Absolutely. I
11	sent the document, without the handwritten part
12	here, I don't think it was there, but I sent the
13	document to CID, which sent me back another
14	another way, shall we say, of wording the letter
15	MR. DAVID: Okay.
16	MR. RICHARD ROY: And the
17	description here of Mr. Arar, in the handwritten
18	part, I imagine this was a contribution from
19	someone at ISI:
20	[ENGLISH]
21	"Mr. Arar is currently
22	subject of a National
23	Security Investigation."
24	[TRANSLATION]
25	Did

1	MR. RICHARD ROY: I don't know, I
2	have no idea. It might have been Mr. Guimond. I
3	don't know whom it came from.
4	MR. DAVID: You don't know who
5	wrote this handwritten part?
6	MR. RICHARD ROY: No, it's not my
7	handwriting.
8	MR. DAVID: But, it's because it
9	says:
10	"Notified Richard Roy of
11	above."
12	That's why I'm asking you the
13	question.
14	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes. Above
15	doesn't necessarily include what was written in by
16	hand.
17	MR. DAVID: Okay.
18	MR. RICHARD ROY: You see?
19	MR. DAVID: And when you read this
20	document, this version, Mr. Roy, had you already
21	noted Mr. Arar's description as being the subject
22	of a national security investigation?
23	Was that the first time you had
24	seen Mr. Arar described in this manner or was it
25	something you had already come across?

1	MR. RICHARD ROY: At that time, I
2	think so. I'm not sure where or how, but I think
3	so.
4	MR. DAVID: You think so.
5	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
6	MR. DAVID: Okay. Finally, Mr.
7	Roy, simply for the record, for the purposes of
8	the record, I take you to Exhibit P-95, please.
9	It's a consular report, Mr. Roy,
10	dated a consular visit, I mean, dated August
11	14, 2003.
12	You have okay?
13	MR. RICHARD ROY: Okay.
14	MR. DAVID: It's a consular report
15	on a consular visit that you sent to NSIV, at
16	headquarters.
17	MR. RICHARD ROY: Okay.
18	MR. DAVID: Okay. Who gave you
19	this document? Someone at ISI?
20	MR. RICHARD ROY: Same thing,
21	someone at ISI.
22	MR. DAVID: And again, who
23	authorized you to send this document to the RCMP?
24	MR. RICHARD ROY: Same answer.
25	MR. DAVID: Okay. Those are my

1	questions, Mr. Commissioner. Thank you very much
2	[ENGLISH]
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you,
4	Mr. David.
5	Mr. Waldman, do you know how long
6	you will be?
7	MR. WALDMAN: About an hour, I
8	think.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: O.k. And who
10	else? Anybody else is going to cross-examine?
11	You will certainly go after Mr.
12	Waldman anyway. Anybody else? O.k., and then,
13	Mr. Boxall, you are going to be along. O.k. We
14	will take a five minute break.
15	Upon recessing at 2:31 p.m. /
16	Suspension à 14 h 31
17	Upon resuming at 2:42 p.m. /
18	Reprise à 14 h 42
19	THE REGISTRAR: Please be seated.
20	Veuillez vous asseoir.
21	EXAMINATION
22	MR. WALDMAN: I would like to ask
23	you first about the question of the way the
24	information was shared. I want to have some
25	clarity.

1	I just want to be clear. At no
2	point during all the time you worked as a liaison
3	officer at DFAIT did you ever discuss the whole
4	question with Mr. Solomon as to the process
5	involved inside DFAIT about how they authorized
6	sharing of information with the RCMP?
7	MR. ROY: May I ask you to repeat,
8	please? I tried this and it didn't work with my
9	ear. I'm okay in English anyways.
LO	MR. WALDMAN: You are okay in
L1	English?
L2	[TRANSLATION]
L3	MR. RICHARD ROY: Please, I will
L4	speak in French, but I should be able to
L5	understand you.
L6	[ENGLISH]
L7	Please, can you repeat.
L8	MR. WALDMAN: That's fine.
L9	You are going to have to excuse me
20	because I'm going to be hearing you so
21	I will ask the question again. I
22	just want to clarify: During all the time you
23	were working as liaison officer, at no point did
24	you ever discuss with ISI the process in DFAIT
) 5	about giving information to you the

1	authorizations involved?
2	MR. ROY: No.
3	MR. WALDMAN: So you have no
4	knowledge of what internal process would have had
5	to have been undertaken within DFAIT before they
6	shared information with you?
7	MR. ROY: No.
8	MR. WALDMAN: And you had no
9	discussions at any time with DFAIT about any
10	limitations on the use of information provided to
11	you by DFAIT?
12	MR. ROY: No.
13	MR. WALDMAN: So DFAIT gave the
14	information to you, and at no point did they say,
15	"This is subject to any caveats of any kind"?
16	[TRANSLATION]
17	MR. RICHARD ROY: Like I said
18	earlier, third-party caveat in the intelligence
19	field is a restriction, a caveat, which is known,
20	and if the RCMP had wanted to do something else
21	with it, I assume that was the case a situation
22	that comes to mind that I had been contacted to
23	find out if it was okay, if the intelligence could
24	be used for another reason.
25	So, was there a stamp, a third-

1	party caveat, on the documents I was given? No.
2	[ENGLISH]
3	MR. WALDMAN: Okay. And DFAIT
4	never said to you that there was a third party
5	caveat?
6	[TRANSLATION]
7	MR. RICHARD ROY: No, I don't
8	recall being told that, no.
9	[ENGLISH]
10	MR. WALDMAN: So as far as your
11	instructions from DFAIT about the use of the
12	information, they gave it to you and didn't say to
13	you, "You cannot share it with anyone else other
14	than the RCMP"?
15	[TRANSLATION]
16	MR. ROY: That's correct.
17	[ENGLISH]
18	They didn't tell me that but I
19	don't believe they had to.
20	[TRANSLATION]
21	The document I was given was for
22	the RCMP, and I gave it to the RCMP.
23	[ENGLISH]
24	MR. WALDMAN: The document was for
25	the RCMP?

1	MR. ROY: That's correct.
2	MR. WALDMAN: So, in other words,
3	DFAIT gave it to you, and your understanding was
4	it was for the RCMP.
5	MR. ROY: That is correct.
6	MR. WALDMAN: But did you, at any
7	point, communicate to any of your superiors that
8	you understood there was a third party caveat?
9	MR. ROY: No. As I said, third
10	party caveat, from my understanding, is something
11	that is well-known in the environment.
12	MR. WALDMAN: Okay. But at no
13	point did you say to anyone else who you shared
14	the documents with that "this is only for RCMP
15	eyes only"?
16	MR. ROY: No.
17	MR. WALDMAN: Would your
18	understanding be that the document could have been
19	shared with CSIS without DFAIT consent? If you
20	gave the document to Inspector Cabana, couldn't
21	Inspector Cabana share it with the CSIS liaison in
22	A-OCANADA without DFAIT consent?
23	MR. ROY: The question is whether
24	I am aware whether that was taking place?
25	MR WALDMAN. Or were you aware of

1	any requirements?
2	MR. ROY: Because I'm not no,
3	I'm not.
4	MR. WALDMAN: Okay. So just to be
5	perfectly clear. The documents were given to you
6	without any instructions other than whatever you
7	understood the caveats might be?
8	MR. ROY: Correct.
9	MR. WALDMAN: And once a document
LO	was shared by you with whoever else in the RCMP,
L1	you have no knowledge as to whether they
L2	understood that there were any caveats as well?
L3	MR. ROY: If I had the
L4	understanding of a third party caveat, I think
L5	that there is the same understanding as I.
L6	MR. WALDMAN: But there was never
L7	any explicit conversation between you and
L8	Inspector Cabana, or anyone else, about caveats?
L9	It's just your understanding and your assumption
20	that they would have operated under the same
21	assumption?
22	MR. ROY: That's correct.
23	MR. WALDMAN: Are you aware of the
24	Privacy Act?
25	MR. ROY: I'm what?

1	MR. WALDMAN: Are you aware
2	whether under the Privacy Act there was a
3	requirement I'm sorry, I'm getting
4	interference
5	MR. ROY: If I go English, you
6	won't need that anyway.
7	MR. WALDMAN: It will make our
8	lives all much happier. If at any point you need
9	me to repeat anything, it would just make
10	everything a lot easier for us.
11	Are you aware that before DFAIT
12	can share information given by Mr. Arar, that
13	generally speaking there is a requirement for his
14	consent under the Privacy Act?
15	MR. ROY: I'm not aware.
16	MR. WALDMAN: Now, I am just
17	picking up a few quick areas.
18	I would like to move on to another
19	area. You mentioned a communication problem
20	between A-OCANADA and CID, that you were advised
21	that there was a problem.
22	MR. ROY: I perceived so, yes.
23	MR. WALDMAN: You perceived so?
24	MR. ROY: I perceived so based on
25	the two statements that I referred to, yes.

1	MR. WALDMAN: Can you tell us,
2	were you given a briefing by somebody at CID about
3	there being some maybe not anything as formal,
4	some conversation, at CID from someone who said,
5	"Look, we have this problem of a communication
6	between us and A-OCANADA"?
7	MR. ROY: No, nothing such as
8	briefing, nothing so formal. And, bottom line, my
9	perception of it from observing is that everybody
10	were extremely busy and reports were going up
11	and
12	MR. WALDMAN: But someone must
13	have said something to you, I assume, because you
14	were pretty new on the game. You came on, and
15	fairly early on you were talking about
16	this communication problem. Is it fair to say
17	that someone mentioned it to you at some point
18	that there was such a problem?
19	MR. ROY: I mentioned that earlier
20	as to what the statements were
21	MR. WALDMAN: Right.
22	MR. ROY: that I heard, but
23	that's the extent of it.
24	MR. WALDMAN: Were you given
25	instructions, for example, by someone at CID to

1	make sure that whatever information you sent down
2	to Cabana was or got from Cabana was provided
3	to CID as well, or did you just do that of your
4	own initiative? It seems most of the time you
5	were communicating with CID and A-OCANADA.
6	MR. ROY: At the beginning, you
7	are right, I was communicating with both, being
8	new, exactly it, and trying to at the beginning
9	have a better sense of what A-OCANADA was about.
10	But shortly thereafter, I was advised that it
11	would be wise to separate take a distance from
12	the actual investigation, and that is what I did.
13	MR. WALDMAN: So later on you are
14	just advising CID, and not and you didn't have
15	much communication direct with A-OCANADA; is that
16	correct?
17	MR. ROY: Yes, that's correct.
18	MR. WALDMAN: Okay. And I want to
19	go to the September 10th meeting. Perhaps P-166?
20	It's that loose page.
21	MR. ROY: 166?
22	MR. WALDMAN: P-166, Inspector
23	Cabana's notes. And there is a loose page 44.
24	Mr. Clerk, I don't know if you
25	have that. Is that attached? Remember we added a

1	page 44 afterwards?
2	I would ask you to go to page 44.
3	Mr. Commissioner, when Mr. Cabana
4	was being examined, we were handed up a loose
5	page, which is the second part of page 44. I
6	don't know
7	Yes, it was handed in. Oh, it
8	wasn't entered as a separate exhibit. We were
9	told just to attach it to the back. It is the
10	rest of page 44.
11	I don't know if you have that
12	loose page.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: No, I just have
14	the one page 44.
15	MR. WALDMAN: Perhaps
16	MR. ROY: I just have the one
17	page.
18	MR. WALDMAN: It's not very much.
19	Perhaps I could just read it. It's only about one
20	page.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, why don't
22	you see if you could do it that way and we'll see
23	how it works out.
24	MR. WALDMAN: This is in relation
25	to that meeting on September 10th, and it says

1	that the meeting was with Mr. Pillarella, the
2	Canadian Ambassador, Scott Heatherington, Don
3	Saunders, RCMP DFAIT liaison officer. That would
4	be yourself? And Jonathan Solomon. Inspector
5	McDougall of CID joined in late.
6	Mr. Pillarella agreed I'm
7	having a hard time reading this myself.
8	"Mr. Pillarella agreed to
9	facilitate any requests to
10	Syrian authorities.
11	Mr. Pillarella suggested that
12	the Syrian authorities would
13	likely be expecting to share
14	with them. We explained"
15	So this is about the meeting that
16	you had with A-OCANADA on the 10th. Do you recall
17	whether Ambassador Pillarella was at that meeting?
18	MR. ROY: On the 10th at DFAIT, I
19	believe so, yes.
20	MR. WALDMAN: Yes. This was the
21	first time you learned about the A-OCANADA
22	MR. ROY: It would have been
23	really close to that.
24	MR. WALDMAN: Yeah, right. And I
25	think you told us that at that time Mr. Arar's

1	name didn't come up.
2	MR. ROY: That's correct.
3	MR. WALDMAN: So I gather that
4	they would have talked to you about we now
5	know Mr. Almalki was, according to Inspector
6	Cabana, the main target. So you were made aware
7	of that at that time?
8	MR. ROY: I don't believe I'm at
9	liberty to
LO	MR. FOTHERGILL: I think it's
L1	acknowledged that Mr. Almalki was one of the
L2	principal areas of the investigation, so he can
L3	answer when he was made aware of that at that
L4	meeting, yes.
L5	MR. WALDMAN: Was Mr. Almalki's
L6	name mentioned at that
L7	MR. ROY: Yes.
L8	MR. WALDMAN: But Mr. Arar's name
L9	wasn't mentioned?
20	MR. ROY: That's correct.
21	MR. WALDMAN: How long did this
22	briefing go on for; do you have any idea?
23	MR. ROY: About an hour and
24	fifteen.
) 5	MP WALDMAN. So it was a fairly

1	extensive briefing. It was all about the
2	A-OCANADA investigation? That was what it was
3	about.
4	MR. ROY: That's how I understood
5	it, yes.
6	MR. WALDMAN: And Mr. Arar's name
7	didn't come up even once?
8	MR. ROY: No.
9	MR. WALDMAN: So, according to the
10	Garvie report, everyone who was questioned said
11	that Mr. Arar was peripheral to the investigation.
12	So it's fair to say, given that his name wasn't
13	even mentioned once during your first briefing,
14	your understanding was as well that Mr. Arar was
15	extremely peripheral to the A-OCANADA
16	investigation.
17	Is that correct?
18	MR. ROY: That is what I have
19	read, as you have, but
20	MR. WALDMAN: Right. And that was
21	based upon the fact that he wasn't mentioned.
22	MR. ROY: He wasn't.
23	MR. WALDMAN: But Mr. Pillarella
24	did say at that meeting, as far as you are aware,
25	that the Syrians would be willing to facilitate

1	RCMP access to another Canadian who was detained,
2	who we know was Mr. Almalki? He was the only
3	Canadian detained at that time.
4	That's what it says here. Do you
5	recall that
6	MR. ROY: If that's what it says.
7	I don't recall much of that meeting, no.
8	MR. WALDMAN: Okay. And we know
9	from other information that
10	If we go to page 1 of your
11	personal notes
12	Pause
13	MR. WALDMAN: So you were made
14	aware of the fact that Mr. Almalki Mr. El Maati
15	had been seen by the Canadian Embassy in Cairo; is
16	that correct?
17	MR. ROY: It is mentioned here
18	that they saw him twice.
19	MR. WALDMAN: Right.
20	MR. ROY: I don't know where, but
21	they saw him twice.
22	MR. WALDMAN: Okay. And we
23	haven't seen the consular CAMANT note of August of
24	2002, detailing the information that the consular
25	officials got when they met with Mr. El Maati.

1	MR. ROY: I was not near Ottawa,
2	and I didn't see it, no.
3	MR. WALDMAN: I know, but we were
4	told by other witnesses that the RCMP obtained a
5	copy of this August 2002 note where Mr. El Maati
6	said that he had been tortured in Syria. Were you
7	made aware of this fact by Inspector Cabana or
8	anyone else at this September 10th meeting, that
9	Mr. Almalki, in his August 2002 interview, had
L 0	said that Mr. El Maati, in his August 2002
L1	interview, had said that he had been tortured in
L2	Syria?
L3	MR. ROY: No, I don't believe so.
L4	MR. WALDMAN: Were you not made
L5	aware at any time by anyone of the existence of
L6	this CAMANT note which said that Mr. El Maati told
L7	DFAIT officials that he had been tortured in
L8	Syria?
L9	MR. ROY: No.
20	MR. WALDMAN: So is this the first
21	you are hearing this now?
22	MR. ROY: I read that in the
23	papers, I believe.
24	MR. WALDMAN: You read it in the
0.5	naners

1	MR. ROY: Yeah, or in reference
2	to, or in some other testimonies. But me hearing
3	from anybody at the time about torture his
4	torture or whatnot, no. Not specific to him.
5	MR. WALDMAN: Now, you were aware
6	that Mr so you weren't aware at all of this
7	allegation of Mr. El Maati. No one in A-OCANADA
8	or at DFAIT thought it important that you be made
9	aware of this fact?
10	MR. ROY: I don't recall that. I
11	don't
12	MR. WALDMAN: You don't recall
13	MR. ROY: I don't recall that, no.
14	MR. WALDMAN: You don't ever
15	recall being told by anyone, either at ISI or at
16	A-OCANADA, both of whom knew about this, that
17	Mr. El Maati had told DFAIT officials that he had
18	been severely tortured by the Syrians?
19	MR. ROY: It might have been
20	discussed when I was there, but not knowing
21	anything about the case, that went by me. I
22	didn't
23	MR. WALDMAN: So, if someone
24	mentioned to you that a Canadian citizen was being
25	detained in Syria, was being tortured, it wouldn't

1	be something that would remain in your memory,
2	sir?
3	MR. ROY: Well, it most likely
4	would, yes. But I do not remember such a thing.
5	MR. WALDMAN: So it's not likely
6	that you were told?
7	MR. ROY: Not likely, no.
8	MR. WALDMAN: And you don't think
9	it would have been important that you be advised
10	of this serious allegation of torture made by a
11	Canadian citizen by Syria, one of the countries
12	you were dealing with as part of the A-OCANADA
13	investigation?
14	MR. ROY: In my role as a liaison
15	officer, not necessarily.
16	MR. WALDMAN: Not necessarily.
17	Your role as a liaison officer was to facilitate
18	discussions with respect to the possibility of
19	arranging RCMP visits to Syria, to interrogate
20	Canadian citizens there, and you don't think that
21	it would be important for you to know that Syrians
22	had been said to have tortured a Canadian in order
23	to extract information? You don't think it was
24	relevant, sir?
25	MR. ROY: Those discussions that

1	you are referring to did take place, they were
2	taking place. And, yes, my task was to make sure
3	that my person was at the table representing the
4	RCMP. Those discussions took place, if that's
5	what you are referring to.
6	MR. WALDMAN: Yes, but I'm asking
7	whether it would have been important for you to
8	have been aware that Syria was a country that
9	engaged in torture of detainees in order to
10	extract information.
11	Don't you think that was
12	important, that a Canadian citizen had said,
13	"While interrogated in Syria, I was tortured by
14	the Syrians"? In the context of your role as
15	possibly facilitating RCMP investigators going to
16	Syria, you don't think that was important?
17	MR. ROY: Yes. In those terms, it
18	would have, probably, yeah. And have I anyway,
19	I do not recall any specific discussions in
20	regards to same, to it. That does not mean that
21	nobody has mentioned it, or I read about it or
22	whatever. I cannot recall specifically being told
23	about a specific individual being tortured.
24	Definitely not.

25

MR. WALDMAN: And you just told me

1	a minute ago that the fact that if someone had
2	told you that a Canadian citizen had been tortured
3	in Syria, you probably would have remembered it.
4	So it's not likely that you were told that.
5	MR. ROY: Well, I can't recall.
6	MR. WALDMAN: Okay. So you can't
7	recall.
8	Now, are you aware, or were you
9	made aware, or did you make yourself aware of the
10	human rights record in Syria when you started
11	getting involved in these cases involving
12	Canadians detained in Syria?
13	MR. ROY: No, I did not.
14	MR. WALDMAN: So were you aware,
15	sir, of the Department of State reports about the
16	very poor human record in Syria? Did you have a
17	general understanding that there was problems in
18	Syria, or
19	MR. ROY: A general understanding,
20	I would say that.
21	MR. WALDMAN: What would have been
22	your general understanding? If I had asked you in
23	September of 2002 about the human rights record in
24	Syria, what could you have told me?
25	MR. ROY: That's only based on

1	what I read, like anyone else, any other citizen
2	would read, insofar as the level lack of
3	democracy and basic human rights. No more than
4	that.
5	MR. WALDMAN: So you knew there
6	was a lack of democracy in Syria.
7	And what would you have you
8	know, what was in your mind about the way
9	prisoners were treated? Did you have any sense at
LO	all that there was any problems in the treatment
L1	of prisoners in Syria?
L2	MR. ROY: I was not aware of the
L3	exact conditions of prisoners in Syria, no.
L4	MR. WALDMAN: Did you have any
L5	sense at all of the likely treatment that might be
L6	afforded to a person who was the subject of a
L7	criminal investigation in Syria? Any sense that
L8	there might be a possibility of mistreatment
L9	during detention, during interrogation?
20	MR. ROY: I'm not aware.
21	MR. WALDMAN: So it's fair to say
22	you didn't have any knowledge other than this very
23	general, vague knowledge, that there might be
24	human rights that Syria wasn't a democracy,
25	when you were asked to facilitate the possibility

1	of the RCMP going to Syria to investigate and
2	question Mr. El Maati?
3	MR. ROY: I was not facilitating
4	the possibilities. I was facilitating having the
5	right person at those at whatever discussions,
6	whatever meetings were to take place.
7	MR. WALDMAN: Right. And it never
8	struck you that that might be something that you
9	might be concerned about, sending an RCMP
10	investigator to Syria given its poor human rights
11	record; that you might want to raise that with
12	your superiors or anyone else at the table?
13	MR. ROY: I don't believe that was
14	mine to do.
15	MR. WALDMAN: It wasn't your I
16	didn't
17	MR. ROY: It wasn't mine to do.
18	MR. WALDMAN: Not your
19	MR. ROY: The discussion, in
20	subjects such as this, there would be discussions.
21	That's why there was meetings with different folks
22	around the tables. That's how I understand it.
23	It's not
24	MR. WALDMAN: But as an RCMP
25	officer who believes in the rule of law, you

1	didn't believe it was a matter that you should be
2	concerned about, that the RCMP might be sending
3	investigators to a country where torture is used?
4	MR. ROY: There's a lot of it's
5	difficult for me to answer that because I have
6	I get bits and pieces of actual déroulement of the
7	investigation and the status of who is talking to
8	whom, what's going on, what's considered, what's
9	the exact situation. I don't know all of those
10	elements that are in place in my position as I was
11	in. It's hard for me to elaborate on that.
12	MR. WALDMAN: Okay. I want to
13	clarify one other matter.
14	You have told us that your role as
15	liaison officer was to facilitate contact between
16	DFAIT and the RCMP; is that correct?
17	MR. ROY: Yes.
18	MR. WALDMAN: But are you aware
19	whether Inspector Cabana had a direct relationship
20	with ISI as well?
21	MR. ROY: There was a span of four
22	or five months prior to me starting to work there,
23	where there was no LO, and so I'm not surprised
24	that there would have been some contacts made in
25	different areas.

1	MR. WALDMAN: Are you saying
2	because Inspector Cabana in his evidence testified
3	that he had numerous direct discussions with
4	Mr. Gould. This is found at pages 7866 and 8088
5	of the transcript 8008. I won't take you to it
6	unless we have to.
7	Were you made aware of these
8	discussions that Inspector Cabana had with
9	Mr. Gould?
LO	MR. ROY: From reading
L1	transcripts.
L2	MR. WALDMAN: But before that,
L3	while you were at RCMP in the DFAIT liaison
L4	office, were you aware that these conversations
L5	were going on?
L6	MR. ROY: No, and I presume that
L7	if such a thing did happen, it would have been
L8	most likely because I wouldn't have been present
L9	because I think normally they would have went
20	through me. It's possible, nonetheless. I have
21	no problem with it.
22	MR. WALDMAN: You have no problem
23	with
24	MR. ROY: The fact that they don't
25	necessarily go through me to talk to "A" talk

1	to "B". No, I don't have a problem with that. I
2	don't have the monopoly over at DFAIT.
3	MR. WALDMAN: Wasn't it your
4	function, sir, to facilitate and coordinate the
5	communications? And if there was more than one
6	person doing the same thing, wouldn't it be
7	problematic because there wouldn't be any
8	systematic control over what information was being
9	shared by who?
10	MR. ROY: I wasn't there to
11	control. I was there to facilitate, and there was
12	a need to facilitate. I have no problem with
13	Mr. Cabana, if that's the case, dealing directly
14	with whoever in ISI that I have no problem with
15	that.
16	MR. WALDMAN: You have no problem
17	with that. But is that what was understood by the
18	superiors in headquarters, that you would be
19	interfacing with the RCMP and Inspector Cabana
20	would be doing that as well? I mean, my
21	understanding was that you were interfacing with
22	the headquarters and the headquarters would then
23	have control over the information.
24	If Inspector Cabana was conversing
25	directly with ISI, then headquarters wouldn't be

1	drawn into the loop, necessarily. Is that not
2	fair to say?
3	Wasn't the whole point of having
4	you there so that there was one coordinated person
5	who was facilitating communication between the two
6	institutions, DFAIT and the RCMP, and wasn't it
7	counterproductive to have Inspector Cabana going
8	direct?
9	MR. ROY: I had no coordinating
10	role in that sense. I had no coordinating role
11	insofar as those. But like I said, as far as I'm
12	aware, if I wasn't present, or prior to me taking
13	post, I could see where there would have been some
14	direct conversation. That's all I know.
15	MR. WALDMAN: But you don't know
16	if the communication continued after you came; is
17	that correct? It might have continued.
18	MR. ROY: It might have.
19	MR. WALDMAN: Were you ever
20	pardon me.
21	Well, according to Inspector
22	Cabana, at 8008, he says that it did, after you
23	were there. At 8008 of the transcript I won't
24	read it but it's clear in his evidence that is
25	what he said.

1	Does this concern you, that he was
2	having direct communication with ISI after you
3	were there?
4	MR. ROY: It doesn't concern me,
5	and I don't know if I was actually at the office
6	on that such a day. I don't know. Maybe I
7	wouldn't have been there. But it does not concern
8	me in any event.
9	MR. WALDMAN: Would you at least
10	have expected to have been advised by Inspector
11	Cabana that these communications were taking place
12	after the fact so that you could be aware of what
13	was transpiring between DFAIT and the RCMP?
14	MR. ROY: I don't know of the
15	specific case. But it's not only Inspector
16	Cabana; I believe Mr. Gould most likely would have
17	advised anyway me as well.
18	MR. WALDMAN: But you have no
19	recollection of being advised of any conversation
20	between Inspector Cabana because you just told us
21	that you weren't aware of any, after you were
22	there. So it's clear that there was one, and you
23	weren't advised of it; correct?
24	MR. ROY: It is clear I wasn't
25	no. It's possible, yes.

1	MR. WALDMAN: Okay. Now, when you
2	gave your statement to Inspector Garvie I won't
3	take you there unless we have to to save time.
4	You told him that you were at a conference between
5	September 26th and October 1st, and it was on
6	October 2nd that you learned about Mr. Arar's
7	detention. Does that jibe with your recollection?
8	MR. ROY: Yes.
9	MR. WALDMAN: Now, would it be
10	fair to say that if you had not been at this
11	conference, you probably would have learned about
12	the detention earlier from the RCMP?
13	MR. ROY: I can't answer that. I
14	wouldn't know.
15	MR. WALDMAN: No? Well, if a
16	Canadian citizen was the subject of an A-OCANADA
17	investigation because you were aware of the
18	A-OCANADA investigation were detained in a
19	foreign jurisdiction and the RCMP were aware of
20	that, wouldn't that be something they would let
21	you know?
22	Like, someone who was a part of a
23	national security investigation. We know that
24	this had to do with an alleged al-Qaeda sleeper
25	cell in Ottawa high-profile extremely important

1	investigation, and the RCMP is told on the 26th
2	that Mr. Arar is being detained in the United
3	States. Isn't that something that you think ought
4	to have been communicated to ISI?
5	MR. ROY: I can't answer that.
6	MR. WALDMAN: Now, it's true that
7	when DFAIT found out about it, they were the ones
8	that told you about it; correct?
9	You found out first about
LO	Mr. Arar's detention from DFAIT?
L1	MR. ROY: That's correct.
L2	MR. WALDMAN: That was the
L3	chronology?
L4	MR. ROY: Correct.
L5	MR. WALDMAN: So they were quite
L6	concerned. By this time they knew that there was
L7	an alleged al-Qaeda member, someone who the
L8	Americans said was an al-Qaeda member, who was a
L9	Canadian citizen, who was being detained in the
20	United States, and they were sufficiently
21	concerned about this and its import for RCMP work
22	that they immediately told you about it as soon as
23	you came back.
24	Is that correct?
0.5	MP POV. I presume so It makes

1	sense.
2	MR. WALDMAN: And they passed on
3	the first consular note to you, is that correct,
4	as well? We know that they passed on consular
5	notes to you about this. We'll get to that in a
6	second.
7	They were so concerned about it
8	that as soon as you came back on the 2nd, they
9	told you about it. And then it turns out that the
10	RCMP already knew about it when you went to check.
11	Now, on page 2 of your notes, it
12	says of the time line, sorry. It says:
13	"Advised by Jonathan Solomon
14	of ISI that Maher Arar has
15	been arrested at JFK airport
16	and consular not able to see
17	him."
18	So that's when you first found out
19	about Mr. Arar and his detention, is that correct?
20	This is on your time line.
21	MR. ROY: Yes.
22	MR. WALDMAN: So then you go to
23	the RCMP, and that's when you find out for the
24	first time that Mr. Arar is a peripheral subject
25	of the A-OCANADA investigation; is that correct?

1	You got this information and then,
2	if I understood your testimony, you went to the
3	A-OCANADA that's the next line:
4	"Met with A-INSET and
5	discussed Arar's situation."
6	MR. ROY: It's just the word
7	"peripheral". I don't know if it was mentioned to
8	me.
9	MR. WALDMAN: My first question:
10	Why would you go right to A-INSET? That's the
11	national security investigation team. What about
12	the information provided to you by Mr. Solomon
13	caused you to go right to the A-INSET, because
14	that's what it says.
15	MR. ROY: Yeah.
16	MR. WALDMAN: Within a very short
17	time you are off at the INSET. Why did you choose
18	to go there? What was it about the information
19	that Mr. Solomon provided to you that caused you
20	to go to
21	MR. ROY: Nothing specific. It's
22	just, as I mentioned earlier, that's the people
23	with who I spent some time at the beginning to get
24	familiar with the investigation, so I was familiar
25	with the individuals. I don't think there was

1	anything mentioned to me that would point to do
2	with A-OCANADA.
3	MR. WALDMAN: Okay. So if we look
4	at the time frame here, your next entry is on
5	October 8th, but we know that there were other
6	things that happened between that October 2nd and
7	October 8th. I just want to make sure I
8	understand.
9	You were in the office October
10	3rd; right?
11	MR. ROY: Yes.
12	MR. WALDMAN: October 4th,
13	although Mr. Flewelling seems to remember having a
14	conversation with you, you were away on leave;
15	right?
16	The 5th and 6th was the weekend.
17	I assume you didn't work on the weekend.
18	MR. ROY: No.
19	MR. WALDMAN: And so you had
20	nothing to do with Mr. Arar on those days?
21	MR. ROY: Correct.
22	MR. WALDMAN: So you are back in
23	the office on the 7th. And then on the 8th I
24	just want to understand you might have stopped
25	by the office and then gone to A-OCANADA, or you

1	went right to A-OCANADA on the 8th?
2	MR. ROY: A-OCANADA.
3	MR. WALDMAN: So you went right to
4	A-OCANADA on the 8th.
5	When you went to A-OCANADA on the
6	8th, you already had in your possession the two
7	consular notes that were given to you by Jonathan
8	Solomon?
9	MR. ROY: I believe it was, yes.
10	MR. WALDMAN: Or by somebody.
11	MR. ROY: Yes.
12	MR. WALDMAN: By somebody in ISI.
13	I don't really care who it is.
14	So those two consular notes would
15	have been given to you on the 7th; is that
16	correct?
17	MR. ROY: Most likely the 8th, as
18	I said.
19	MR. WALDMAN: How could it have
20	been given to you on the 8th if you went right
21	to
22	MR. ROY: No, no. Right through.
23	I was at DFAIT, and then right from DFAIT I
24	went I didn't go from home to "A".
25	MR. WALDMAN: So you went to DFAIT

1	first?
2	MR. ROY: Yes.
3	MR. WALDMAN: So you didn't go
4	straight to A-OCANADA?
5	MR. ROY: No.
6	Pause
7	MR. WALDMAN: So what time do you
8	normally get into the office?
9	MR. ROY: Varied.
10	MR. WALDMAN: Pardon me?
11	MR. ROY: It varied.
12	MR. WALDMAN: On a normal day,
13	what time?
14	MR. ROY: Eight, nine.
15	MR. WALDMAN: Between eight and
16	nine. According to the notes we have from one of
17	the persons, the meeting started at 9:45 at
18	A-OCANADA.
19	MR. ROY: Okay.
20	MR. WALDMAN: And how far is
21	A-OCANADA from DFAIT? How long does it take you
22	to travel we're not talking about the
23	construction now on Sussex.
24	MR. ROY: Five minutes.
25	MR. WALDMAN: It's normally

1	what about a five-minute ride? So from when
2	you leave your office, go down the elevator, get
3	in your car, go to A-OCANADA headquarters, park
4	your car, and go up to the meeting at 9:45, how
5	long would it have taken you to do all of that?
6	MR. ROY: Five to ten minutes.
7	MR. WALDMAN: Going down the
8	elevator, getting in your car, driving over, that
9	could all have been done in five or ten minutes?
10	Okay.
11	Was the October 8th meeting
12	already scheduled at that time? Were you aware of
13	that meeting on October 7th, or was this something
14	that just came up on an urgent basis on October
15	8th?
16	MR. ROY: No, there was no meeting
17	scheduled for October 8th.
18	MR. WALDMAN: No, there was no
19	meeting. So you just went? Once you got these
20	documents, you went?
21	MR. ROY: Yes.
22	MR. WALDMAN: And your evidence is
23	that you are not sure when you got them. It could
24	have been the 7th or the 8th?
25	MR. ROY: Yes, right.

1	MR. WALDMAN: But it wasn't before
2	the 7th?
3	MR. ROY: No.
4	MR. WALDMAN: Because the only
5	other day you were in the office would have been
6	the 3rd.
7	MR. ROY: Yes.
8	MR. WALDMAN: Because the 4th you
9	were away.
LO	MR. ROY: Yes, and when I have a
L1	document, I deliver it within a certain time, yes.
L2	MR. WALDMAN: So you got the
L3	documents probably on the 7th, and you went there
L4	on the 8th?
L5	MR. ROY: Or most likely on the
L6	8th
L7	MR. WALDMAN: Or a meeting all
L8	right.
L9	Now, you told us as well that
20	Mr. Solomon had also shown you something on his
21	computer screen.
22	MR. ROY: Yes.
23	MR. WALDMAN: Presumably this
24	didn't happen contemporaneously with him giving
25	you the documents; is that correct? It didn't

1	happen at the same time he gave you the documents?
2	MR. ROY: I'm not sure.
3	MR. WALDMAN: You are not sure?
4	MR. ROY: No. I believe so, but
5	I'm not sure.
6	MR. WALDMAN: So you are not sure
7	when why would Mr. Solomon have shown you the
8	computer screen of the document if he handed it to
9	you? I mean, if you don't recall, wouldn't it
10	make sense that there would have been at least
11	some passage of time between Mr. Solomon showing
12	you this information on the computer screen and
13	him handing you the CAMANT documents? Otherwise,
14	he could have just handed you the document.
15	Isn't that fair?
16	MR. ROY: Yes, but I don't believe
17	it was the same document.
18	MR. WALDMAN: Well, it was the
19	same information. The key information was
20	Mr. Arar was detained
21	MR. ROY: It was key information,
22	but one was relevant to the state of health and
23	threat, and the two documents, the paper documents
24	that I got, they weren't specific to that. There
25	was three documents that we looked at earlier.

1	MR. WALDMAN: But your evidence
2	with respect to the document that you saw on the
3	computer screen, if I recall it correctly, was
4	that the key evidence from that document was that
5	Mr. Arar was afraid
6	MR. ROY: Yes.
7	MR. WALDMAN: of being deported
8	to Syria?
9	MR. ROY: Yes.
10	MR. WALDMAN: That he had had a
11	conversation with his brother?
12	MR. ROY: Yes.
13	MR. WALDMAN: Those were the two
14	parts of the document?
15	MR. ROY: Yes.
16	MR. WALDMAN: And Mr. David took
17	you to, I believe it was tab 10 of P-42, I think,
18	in which that was what was more or less said?
19	MR. ROY: Yes.
20	MR. WALDMAN: And that was also
21	one of the documents that or the same
22	information was contained in one of the documents
23	that you took to A-OCANADA, because that's what is
24	contained in the notes of Callaghan.

25

--- Pause

1	MR. WALDMAN: I just want to
2	understand. You are now telling us there were
3	three documents. One was the two that you had,
4	and you saw a third different document?
5	MR. ROY: Yes, that's exactly what
6	we went through in the binder. One dealt with
7	about a lawyer and the other was something to do
8	with his brother.
9	MR. WALDMAN: Right.
LO	MR. ROY: Those were a paper
L1	document. And then there was a third document,
L2	that was longer, and it mentioned about al-Qaeda
L3	and it mentioned about being
L4	MR. WALDMAN: Right. And that was
L5	one we took you to. That was the consular visit
L6	that was on October 2nd.
L7	MR. ROY: Third, I think.
L8	MR. WALDMAN: October 3rd.
L9	I'm just trying to understand. It
20	doesn't make sense to me, sir, that if Mr. Solomor
21	gave you the hard copy of two documents, that he
22	would have shown you at the same time this other
23	document on the computer screen?
24	I mean, I believe there must have
25	been at least some hours or a day between the two;

1	would you not agree with that? It wouldn't make
2	any sense otherwise.
3	MR. ROY: Well, the documents were
4	different.
5	MR. WALDMAN: If he wanted to give
6	you the information, he could have just handed it
7	to you, handed you the document; right?
8	MR. ROY: Yes, he could have. He
9	could have. But from what I remember, I wasn't
LO	under the impression it was right there. I looked
L1	at the screen, and after that I'm given documents.
L2	But that will be for him to state otherwise.
L3	But that's my understanding.
L4	That's what I remember.
L5	MR. WALDMAN: So you don't have
L6	any understanding why he would have showed you a
L7	document from the screen and then given you
L8	another completely different document at the same
L9	time?
20	MR. ROY: No.
21	MR. WALDMAN: But is it fair to
22	say you don't have a strong recollection of the
23	timing of these two events?
24	MR. ROY: Yes, it's fair to say

25

that.

1	MR. WALDMAN: So he could have
2	shown you the document on the 3rd; he could have
3	shown it to you on the 7th. You are not sure?
4	MR. ROY: I doubt that very much,
5	but
6	Because of what I said earlier, I
7	don't believe Mr. Solomon had access to that
8	document in the sense that he was advised about
9	consular access. As I said earlier, I don't
10	believe that ISI were aware of the consular visit
11	MR. WALDMAN: My understanding
12	and someone could correct me if I'm wrong was
13	that ISI had the same access to the CAMANT
14	documents as anyone else in DFAIT.
15	MR. BAXTER: You are wrong,
16	Mr. Waldman, I believe.
17	Mr. Pardy has testified at length
18	about this, Mr. Commissioner.
19	MR. WALDMAN: Then we'll move on.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Just a second.
21	There's a comment.
22	MR. DAVID: Mr. Pardy's testimony
23	is to the effect that the CAMANT system notes are
24	not accessible to Mr. Solomon within the ISI
25	offices until November. That was Mr. Pardy's

1	testimony.
2	MR. WALDMAN: So it was November.
3	All right. I just want to clarify something about
4	some other information you gave us.
5	You told us that after you spoke
6	to Mr. Solomon on October 2nd you went to
7	A-OCANADA, and that's when you became aware that
8	Mr. Arar was part of this A-OCANADA al-Qaeda
9	sleeper cell investigation; right?
10	And so you came back and according
11	to your time line you say:
12	"Advised Jonathan Solomon of
13	ISI that we were aware of his
14	detention."
15	Right? But in evidence with
16	Mr. David this morning, you told us more. You
17	told us that, in fact, you believe you told
18	Mr. Solomon much more than that. You would have
19	told him that the RCMP had been aware that
20	Mr. Arar was detained before he arrived on the
21	26th; that they sent down the questions; that
22	Mr. Arar was part of an A-OCANADA investigation.
23	Right?
24	MR. ROY: Yes.
25	MR. WALDMAN: So you would have

1	told him that?
2	MR. ROY: Yes.
3	MR. WALDMAN: And so Mr. Solomon
4	would have been aware, well aware at that time, as
5	of October 2nd, that the RCMP had had a role in at
6	least providing information to the Americans about
7	Mr. Arar while he was being detained in the United
8	States? So ISI was aware of that as of October
9	2nd?
10	MR. ROY: They were aware that
11	the RCMP were aware, yes.
12	MR. WALDMAN: And that the RCMP
13	had sent questions to the U.S.?
14	MR. ROY: Yes.
15	MR. WALDMAN: If that's the case,
16	if I could ask you to go to the Garvie report at
17	page 30 it appears in other areas as well we
18	know that on the 16th you attended a meeting where
19	Mr. Pardy posed questions, and on the 18th
20	Superintendent Pilgrim responds to the questions.
21	What strikes me is that the
22	questions contain information that you had already
23	provided to Mr. Solomon.
24	For example, on page 30 of the
25	Garvie report, it says:

1	"What information has been
2	shared with the U.S.
3	concerning Maher Arar?"
4	And so then they say they had
5	opened open investigation, communications, and
6	all available information was shared.
7	"How did the U.S. become
8	aware/interested in Arar?"
9	It says:
10	"U.S. authorities learned of
11	Arar through a sharing of
12	information"
13	And then it goes through a list of
14	questions.
15	And it strikes me that this was
16	all information that you had already provided to
17	Mr. Solomon. You had told Mr. Solomon all of
18	this. You had told him that the Americans had
19	become aware of Mr. Arar on the 26th; that they
20	had provided information that Canadians had
21	provided that the Canadians were aware that
22	Mr. Arar was detained on the 26th; that the
23	Canadians had provided information.
24	Why would Mr. Pardy be asking you
25	the same questions if you had already provided

1	this information to Mr. Solomon?
2	Could it be that Mr. Solomon
3	hadn't shared the information with Mr. Pardy?
4	MR. ROY: I don't see there in the
5	same covering the same elements. We already
6	knew since the 26th and questions were sent.
7	That's a far cry from what you have here for
8	questions and answers.
9	MR. WALDMAN: So the fact so
10	that Mr. Pardy would still want to know
11	Mr. Pardy, if he had been briefed by Mr. Solomon,
12	would have been aware from your briefing that on
13	September 26th the RCMP had been made aware of the
14	U.S. detention, that the Canadians were sending
15	information down during the course. Why would
16	they have to ask about the information shared with
17	the U.S. if you had already told Mr. Solomon that
18	they had been sending questions down and that
19	there was communication going back and forth
20	during all of this time while Mr. Arar was being
21	detained?
22	MR. ROY: You are going to have to
23	ask Mr. Pardy that question.
24	MR. WALDMAN: You provided the
25	answer already to Mr. Solomon. You told

1	Mr. Solomon on the 2nd that there was this
2	information-sharing going on already; right?
3	And Mr. Pardy is asking the same
4	question on the 16th.
5	MR. ROY: You will have to ask
6	Mr. Pardy that.
7	MR. WALDMAN: Right, right. But
8	you don't have any explanation as to why, on
9	October 16th, Mr. Pardy is asking the same
10	question with respect to information you provided
11	to Mr. Solomon on October 2nd?
12	MR. ROY: There's a lot more
13	questions being asked here.
14	MR. WALDMAN: Right. But some of
15	them are duplications?
16	MR. ROY: Some might be.
17	Pause
18	MR. WALDMAN: So when you
19	mentioned at this October 8th meeting that
20	Mr. Arar that the information you had was that
21	because Mr. Arar was a dual national, he might be
22	deported to Syria? I just want to clarify the
23	reaction of the other people around the table, all
24	right?
25	MR. ROY: I don't remember saying

1	that he might. The one that I did mention, that
2	he feared go to Syria. As I said earlier,
3	somebody repeated "Syria"? And that's the element
4	of surprise that I've noted.
5	MR. WALDMAN: Okay. But did
6	people at that point think did you get any
7	sense that anyone thought this was a possibility,
8	that he might be deported to Syria on October 8th?
9	MR. ROY: No, they were expecting
10	Mr. Arar to come back to Canada at that point.
11	MR. WALDMAN: But by this time,
12	there was mention of him being a dual national;
13	right? That was in the notes; that people were
14	aware that he was a dual national.
15	MR. ROY: Right.
16	MR. WALDMAN: And as a dual
17	national, people would have been aware that when
18	someone is deported they could be deported to
19	their country of citizenship. So legally there
20	might have been a basis in U.S. law to deport him
21	to Syria, or otherwise why would the issue of dual
22	nationality have come up?
23	MR. ROY: Can you repeat that a
24	little bit?
25	MR. WALDMAN: People were aware

1	that Mr. Arar was a dual national at that point?
2	It's in the notes.
3	MR. ROY: Yes, I believe some
4	were.
5	MR. WALDMAN: And the significance
6	of dual nationality, is it not that if you are a
7	national of a country under international law, you
8	can be deported to that country. You are aware of
9	that; right?
10	MR. ROY: I don't believe so. I'm
11	no expert as far as this goes. I don't believe
12	you are right.
13	MR. WALDMAN: Were you personally
14	aware that if you are a citizen as Mr. Arar was
15	a citizen of Syria, that made Syria possible for
16	his deportation there. Is that the significance
17	of the dual nationality in your mind?
18	MR. ROY: I'm not aware.
19	MR. WALDMAN: So why would the
20	fact that he was a dual national have come up
21	during this meeting then if it weren't significant
22	in some way or another? It's mentioned in the
23	notes that he was a dual national.
24	MR. ROY: Yes, it is mentioned,
25	yeah.

1	MR. WALDMAN: It is mentioned. So
2	you don't know why someone thought it important
3	that to mention Mr. Arar's dual nationality?
4	You weren't aware that that was a legally
5	significant point?
6	MR. ROY: I was not aware whether
7	that could be it could have any effect on that.
8	MR. WALDMAN: Did anyone during
9	the course of this meeting say, "Oh, my god, they
10	are going to send him to Syria. We know that El
11	Maati had been tortured; this is really bad. We
12	better do something to stop this"? On October
13	8th.
14	MR. ROY: What is the question?
15	MR. WALDMAN: On October 8th, when
16	you mentioned that there was this concern, at
17	least for Mr. Arar, that it might be possible that
18	as a dual national he might be deported to Syria,
19	did anyone say, "Oh, my god, we can't let that
20	happen. We know that Mr. El Maati was tortured
21	there. We don't want this to happen to Mr. Arar."
22	Did anyone in the room react in that fashion?
23	MR. ROY: Not that I recall.
24	MR. WALDMAN: So no one brought up
25	any concerns about this?

1	MR. ROY: As I said, there was a
2	fear from I know that there was a fear of being
3	deported. That's all there was. It's not like he
4	was going to be deported.
5	MR. WALDMAN: So there was no
6	conversation amongst any of the A-OCANADA
7	investigators about a concern that Mr. Arar might
8	be subject to mistreatment if he were sent to
9	Syria?
10	MR. ROY: There could have been,
11	but maybe after I left. I didn't take part in a
12	discussion as such as I remember.
13	MR. WALDMAN: While you were
14	there, there was no discussion
15	MR. ROY: Not while I was there,
16	no.
17	MR. WALDMAN: And there was no
18	discussion of interceding to prevent Mr. Arar's
19	deportation to Syria at that time?
20	MR. ROY: Not that I remember, no.
21	MR. WALDMAN: Well, in the notes,
22	it says, of the RCMP time line, page 5
23	MR. BAXTER: Can we get that
24	document in front of the witness, please,
25	Mr. Waldman?

1	MR. WALDMAN: Page 5 of the RCMP
2	chronology. It's also in the Garvie report.
3	I think it's P-84, page 5. 168.
4	Pause
5	MR. WALDMAN: Perhaps I could just
6	show this because we are having difficulty
7	locating it.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Sure. Please
9	do.
10	MR. WALDMAN: Were you present at
11	this time when this concern was raised, that by
12	going to interview him in the United States and
13	that he were sent to Syria, the perception would
14	be damaging to the RCMP? Were you there?
15	MR. ROY: No.
16	MR. WALDMAN: So you didn't hear
17	that part of this conversation on that day?
18	MR. ROY: No.
19	MR. WALDMAN: I would like to move
20	on to another area, sir.
21	Pause
22	MR. WALDMAN: From your notes and
23	your evidence this morning, we know that you were
24	aware that Mr. Edelson, who was representing
25	Mr. Almalki and Mr. Arar at this time, made a

1	request for a letter to help secure Mr. Arar's
2	release.
3	You were aware of that; right?
4	MR. ROY: Vaguely.
5	MR. WALDMAN: You were aware of a
6	meeting that took place between Mr. Edelson and
7	people from A-OCANADA
8	MR. ROY: Yes. We covered that
9	earlier, yes.
10	MR. WALDMAN: If we could go to
11	P-83, Tab 2, page 206.
12	Pause
13	MR. WALDMAN: Have you found that,
14	sir? It's something to Commissioner Proulx
15	MR. ROY: What page, sorry?
16	MR. WALDMAN: 206.
17	MR. ROY: Tab 3, you said?
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Tab two.
19	MR. WALDMAN: Tab 2, page 206.
20	MR. ROY: 306? I don't go to 306.
21	MR. WALDMAN: 206.
22	MR. ROY: Oh, sorry.
23	MR. WALDMAN: Did you find that?
24	There's a memo from December 5th, 2002.
25	MR. ROY: Yes.

StenoTran

1	MR. WALDMAN: To Commissioner
2	Proulx, and it's there's concern about
3	Mr. Edelson's letter/request.
4	MR. ROY: Yes.
5	MR. WALDMAN: So there was a
6	concern that Mr. Pardy was asking Mr. Edelson to
7	get a letter in which the RCMP from the RCMP,
8	where the RCMP said that Mr. Arar wasn't wanted
9	for any investigation in Canada, and the RCMP was
10	unhappy about this. Were you aware of that?
11	MR. ROY: Yeah.
12	MR. WALDMAN: Now, did you
13	there's a suggestion in this memo that you were
14	supposed to speak to Mr. Livermore about this.
15	Did you have a conversation with Mr. Livermore
16	about Mr. Pardy and the role he was playing in
17	overstepping his bounds; do you recall?
18	MR. ROY: No. It's not what's
19	written is not what you've said. What it says is
20	I was asked as to who is the best person to speak
21	with in regards to Mr. Pardy. I asked
22	Mr. Heatherington that, and the answer was,
23	Mr. Livermore. And that's what it states here.
24	MR. WALDMAN: Okay. So did you
25	have aside from speaking to Mr. Heatherington

1	about who you should speak to, did you have any
2	other role at all in dealing with this issue?
3	MR. ROY: No.
4	MR. WALDMAN: And you had no other
5	conversation. So in terms of your conversation
6	with Mr. Heatherington, what did you say to him?
7	If you asked him who you should speak to, how did
8	you explain this? What was the The RCMP's
9	upset about Mr. Pardy and we want to know who we
10	should discuss this with?
11	MR. ROY: I can't remember the
12	words that I used but it would have been something
13	along the lines of I can't remember. I can't
14	remember what I said to him.
15	MR. WALDMAN: Now, we know that
16	there was effort to obtain a joint letter
17	between to be sent to the Syrian foreign
18	minister from the Minister of Foreign Affairs and
19	the Solicitor General, and you have already told
20	us that you were involved in the conversations
21	around that letter.
22	MR. ROY: I don't know what you
23	mean by "involved in conversations." But, yes,
24	there was a wording, and I forwarded it to CID and
25	they forwarded it under another wording that they

1	found more appropriate in that extent. Is that
2	what you're referring to?
3	MR. WALDMAN: Yes.
4	MR. ROY: Okay.
5	MR. WALDMAN: So were you
6	attempting to, in any way, mediate between the
7	opposing views? We saw there was very different
8	proposals with respect to the wording of the
9	letter. Was it your job at all to mediate, or you
10	just passed the information up and back?
11	MR. ROY: I just passed the
12	information up and back.
13	MR. WALDMAN: Were you in any way
14	involved in drafting the wording that was
15	eventually proposed by the RCMP, that he was the
16	subject of a national security investigation?
17	MR. ROY: No.
18	Pause
19	MR. WALDMAN: I'm just checking my
20	notes. I think I'm almost done, Mr. Commissioner.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
22	Pause
23	MR. WALDMAN: I'd like you to go
24	to your time line, to P-208. There's an entry
25	03/05/01. It says:

1	"Briefing note to
2	commissioner, concern for
3	embarrassment to PM. File."
4	Did you write that briefing note?
5	MR. ROY: No.
6	MR. WALDMAN: So why would you
7	have been so this was just something that was
8	copied to you?
9	MR. ROY: For my information, yes.
LO	MR. WALDMAN: Right. So there was
L1	concern that if the Prime Minister got involved
L2	with Mr. Arar, it might cause him embarrassment.
L3	So you were aware of that briefing note, but you
L4	didn't have anything to do with it.
L5	MR. ROY: No.
L6	MR. WALDMAN: Thank you,
L7	Mr. Commissioner. Those are all my questions.
L8	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very
L9	much, Mr. Waldman.
20	Mr. Boxall?
21	EXAMINATION
22	MR. BOXALL: Sir, you indicated
23	your role was to facilitate discussions between
24	the RCMP officers and the DFAIT experts in any
25	particular situation?

1	MR. ROY: That's correct.
2	MR. BOXALL: And so it would be
3	your role to make sure that the RCMP officers
4	spoke to the appropriate persons at DFAIT with the
5	expertise to assist them?
6	MR. ROY: Yes.
7	MR. BOXALL: So, for example, with
8	respect to Mr. Waldman's questions about RCMP
9	officers knowing about human rights records in
10	Syria, it would be your job then to assist the
11	RCMP officers so they could speak with the DFAIT
12	experts who would know about the human rights
13	records?
14	MR. ROY: Yes.
15	MR. BOXALL: Right? And that's
16	what you did?
17	MR. ROY: Yes, so did ISI, the
18	members of ISI as well.
19	MR. BOXALL: Right. So you would
20	put them in contact with the right persons.
21	MR. ROY: Yes.
22	MR. BOXALL: And once you had
23	arranged for the RCMP investigator to speak with
24	the appropriate expert at DFAIT, they would be
25	it would be quite appropriate for them to speak

1	direct?
2	MR. ROY: I have no problem with
3	it.
4	MR. BOXALL: Right. So once
5	Inspector Cabana knows who the expert is at DFAIT,
6	or if the DFAIT expert knows that Inspector Cabana
7	is involved in the investigation, they can proceed
8	directly and communicate directly between the two
9	of them?
10	MR. ROY: I see no problem.
11	MR. BOXALL: No problem. Okay.
12	And, sir, on October the 8th, when you attended at
13	A-OCANADA and indicated to them about Mr. Arar's
14	fears, we now know that Mr. Arar was already gone
15	from the United States by then in any event;
16	correct?
17	MR. ROY: Yes.
18	MR. BOXALL: Okay. And, sir, if
19	you could turn to page 25 of your notes, please,
20	sir? The top of the page, sir. You were asked a
21	number of questions by Mr. David about these
22	entries and what I would suggest is hearsay
23	information about what Mr. Powell might say or has
24	said.
25	Would you agree with me that this

1	wasn't so much information as it was hearsay
2	information from which you didn't know the source
3	of what Mr. Powell had threatened to say or had
4	said at some unknown meeting; would you agree?
5	MR. ROY: Yes, I did not know
6	MR. BOXALL: And you didn't know
7	the source of that?
8	MR. ROY: No.
9	MR. BOXALL: Furthermore, sir, I
10	take it you're aware that subsequent statements by
11	senior American officials have indicated that it's
12	clearly false that the RCMP was in any way
13	supporting his transfer? They said that it was an
14	American unilateral decision.
15	MR. ROY: That's correct.
16	MR. BOXALL: Thank you, sir.
17	Those are the questions I have.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Baxter?
19	MR. BAXTER: I have no questions,
20	Mr. Commissioner.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. David?
22	MR. DAVID: Just very briefly,
23	Mr. Commissioner.
24	EXAMINATION
25	[TRANSLATION]

1	MR. DAVID: In French?
2	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes, yes.
3	Please.
4	MR. DAVID: Mr. Roy I will
5	leave the
6	You said that, to the best of your
7	knowledge, according to what you understood, ISI
8	had been made aware of Mr. Arar's consular visit
9	in New York a few days after the fact.
10	MR. RICHARD ROY: To the best of
11	my knowledge, yes.
12	MR. DAVID: To the best of your
13	knowledge.
14	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
15	MR. DAVID: Without being able to
16	categorically say yes.
17	MR. RICHARD ROY: Correct.
18	MR. DAVID: On what basis what
19	makes you say what is your basis for thinking
20	that?
21	MR. RICHARD ROY: Based on a
22	conversation I overheard at the ISI office and
23	to the effect that ISI members were displeased
24	about it.
25	Like I said earlier, I may be

1	mistaken. It could have been another case, but I
2	don't think so, because we were dealing with Mr.
3	Arar at the time.
4	And I also had a conversation with
5	Mr. Saunders, and in any case, what I understood
6	was that indeed, a few days went by before ISI
7	was informed.
8	But they are obviously in a
9	better position to say, but that's my
10	understanding.
11	MR. DAVID: So your understanding
12	comes from a conversation you overheard?
13	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
14	MR. DAVID: Not one in which you
15	participated?
16	MR. RICHARD ROY: No not
17	participated. Not actively, but I was there,
18	present.
19	MR. DAVID: You were present.
20	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
21	MR. DAVID: And Mr. Saunders was
22	there, definitely.
23	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
24	MR. DAVID: Do you remember who
25	else was there?

1	MR. RICHARD ROY: No, I don't
2	remember who else.
3	MR. DAVID: And so displeasure was
4	expressed over the fact that there had been a gap
5	of time between the consular visit and when they
6	actually found out that the visit had taken place?
7	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
8	MR. DAVID: Between consular
9	services and the ISI office?
LO	MR. RICHARD ROY: Correct.
11	MR. DAVID: That's what you
L2	remember.
13	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
L4	MR. DAVID: Do you have anything
L5	else to tell us about this, something that could
L6	shed some light?
L7	MR. RICHARD ROY: No.
L8	MR. DAVID: Second thing, Mr. Roy,
L9	at the beginning of your testimony you described
20	the type of work environment, your office at ISI.
21	You described the offices as being secure.
22	Had a pass been given to you to
23	enter and access ISI offices?
24	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
) 5	MP DAVID. To your knowledge is

1	there a file or a document that was kept is
2	it possible to track the comings and goings of
3	people by consulting a file?
4	MR. RICHARD ROY: I don't know.
5	MR. DAVID: You don't know.
6	MR. RICHARD ROY: It's possible,
7	but I couldn't tell you.
8	MR. DAVID: Okay.
9	Third, I'd like to refer you to
10	your personal notes. It's Exhibit P-206 again.
11	First page of your notes.
12	It's simply to clarify a point
13	that Mr. Waldman raised with you concerning the
14	meeting of September 10, 2002.
15	You had been in the position for
16	seven days. You had started on September 3, 2002.
17	So, it really was in the first days when you
18	started as a liaison officer that you attended
19	this meeting, and you understood that the meeting
20	concerned Mr. El Maati's case in Egypt?
21	Is that your understanding of
22	things?
23	MR. RICHARD ROY: Not exactly.
24	MR. DAVID: Then could you tell us
25	what was discussed at this meeting on September

1	10, 2002?
2	MR. RICHARD ROY: I don't know
3	whether I can talk about it.
4	MR. BAXTER: May we have a moment,
5	please, Mr. Commissioner?
6	Pause
7	[ENGLISH]
8	MR. FOTHERGILL: Commissioner, I'm
9	sorry for the interruption. It's a bit difficult
10	for us to anticipate the witness's answer in such
11	an open-ended question. The best I can do is if I
12	remind all participants that we assert a claim of
13	National Security Confidentiality with respect to
14	most operational details of the investigation that
15	gave rise to Mr. Arar's involvement with the RCMP.
16	So if he can answer in general
17	terms without disclosing operational information,
18	I think we can proceed.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you,
20	Mr. Fothergill.
21	[TRANSLATION]
22	MR. DAVID: So, in very general
23	terms, Mr. Roy, what was discussed?
24	MR. RICHARD ROY: I've already
25	told you, that I don't really remember what was

1	discussed, but the point I wanted to make was that
2	you mentioned Mr
3	MR. DAVID: El Maati.
4	MR. RICHARD ROY: El Maati.
5	What I want to say is that it
6	wasn't strictly about Mr. El Maati.
7	MR. DAVID: But you discussed Mr.
8	El Maati, among other things.
9	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes, among other
10	things.
11	MR. DAVID: Among other things.
12	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes, yes.
13	MR. DAVID: During that
14	conversation.
15	And your notes simply read your
16	notes. The last passage, the last paragraph,
17	there's reference to a discussion in which you
18	noted what was said I think those are Michel
19	Cabana's statements.
20	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes
21	MR. DAVID: Could you simply read
22	the passage?
23	MR. RICHARD ROY: First of all, I
24	see here that Scott said there was a possibility
25	of torture.

1	MR. DAVID: So Scott
2	Heatherington. Possibility of torture.
3	MR. RICHARD ROY: And Mike Cabana
4	says there's always a possibility he claimed he
5	was tortured but that it did not actually happen.
6	MR. DAVID: Okay.
7	That's in reference to Mr. El
8	Maati in Egypt?
9	MR. RICHARD ROY: I can't say that
10	it refers specifically to him, but
11	MR. DAVID: But it's a discussion,
12	it's part of the discussion that took place on
13	September 10.
14	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes, I think
15	that it's probably in broad terms, yes.
16	But I can't tell that it's
17	specific to one situation, one individual. It
18	could be hypothetical. It could
19	I can't tell you.
20	MR. DAVID: Basically, Mr. Roy, on
21	September 10, at this meeting, Mr. Cabana was
22	there, Ambassador Pillarella was there.
23	MR. RICHARD ROY: Yes.
24	MR. DAVID: There were some
25	discussions. There was a discussion that dealt

1	with torture?
2	MR. RICHARD ROY: Apparently.
3	MR. DAVID: And obviously your
4	notes reflect this.
5	Thank you.
6	[ENGLISH]
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, thank you
8	very much, Superintendent Roy. That completes
9	your evidence. I appreciate the time and effort
10	you've put in to coming and giving your evidence.
11	MR. ROY: Thank you, sir.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: We will break
13	for ten minutes. I think it will make sense to
14	start with the next witness and perhaps get an
15	hour in today with the next witness.
16	We will rise for ten.
17	THE REGISTRAR: Please stand.
18	Upon recessing at 3:58 p.m. /
19	Suspension à 15 h 58
20	Upon resuming at 4:09 p.m. /
21	Reprise à 16 h 09
22	THE REGISTRAR: Please be seated.
23	Veuillez-vous asseoir.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Cavalluzzo?
25	MP CAWALLII770. Commissioner We

1	have Mr. Richard Flewelling with us, and
2	Mr. Flewelling has been sworn before, so there's
3	no need to have him sworn.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: You're still
5	under oath. There's no need to swear you again.
6	PREVIOUSLY SWORN: RICHARD FLEWELLING
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: There are a few
8	documents I'd like to file in respect of
9	Mr. Flewelling. The first is his curriculum
10	vitae.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: C-220 or,
12	no, it's P-220. It's late in the afternoon.
13	P-220.
14	EXHIBIT NO. P-220:
15	Curriculum vitae of Richard
16	Flewelling
17	EXAMINATION
18	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Mr. Flewelling, I
19	understand you have been with the Royal Canadian
20	Mounted Police for approximately 23 years?
21	MR. FLEWELLING: That's correct.
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: At the present
23	time you are a corporal?
24	MR. FLEWELLING: Sergeant.
25	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Sergeant. And

1	you are located where?
2	MR. FLEWELLING: I'm presently
3	posted back here in Ottawa as of August the 2nd.
4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: August the 2nd.
5	What is your present position?
6	MR. FLEWELLING: I am presently
7	with the major events protective policing here at
8	headquarters.
9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: When did you
10	receive the promotion of sergeant?
11	MR. FLEWELLING: Approximately
12	April of this year.
13	MR. CAVALLUZZO: April of 2005?
14	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. And prior
16	to that time, I understand that you became a
17	corporal in 1987; is that correct?
18	MR. FLEWELLING: That's correct.
19	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. I'd like
20	to review just some of your responsibilities with
21	the RCMP, and of course we're going to focus in on
22	the period between June 2001 and May of 2003, when
23	you were at the CID. But just prior to that time,
24	just a few years ahead of that, as I say, you
25	became a corporal in 1987.

1	Now, between 1990 and 1994, you
2	worked in British Columbia in the Prince George
3	Municipal Detachment; is that correct?
4	MR. FLEWELLING: That is correct.
5	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. And
6	between 1994 and 1998, you worked at the RCMP
7	depot division in Regina?
8	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes, that's
9	correct.
10	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And your
11	positions were, for a couple of years you were a
12	facilitator, and for a couple of years you were a
13	fitness instructor?
14	MR. FLEWELLING: That's correct.
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Between
16	1998 and 2000, you were in New Brunswick?
17	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
18	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And where were
19	you located there and what were you doing?
20	MR. FLEWELLING: I spent two years
21	as a team leader with the what they call
22	District 2 in Oromocto with both rural and
23	municipal detachments.
24	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. And you
25	remained in New Brungwick 2000 2001 won were

1	in Fredericton?
2	MR. FLEWELLING: That is correct.
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: What you were
4	doing in Fredericton?
5	MR. FLEWELLING: I spent one year
6	with the commercial crime unit.
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And in June of
8	2001, you moved to national headquarters in
9	Ottawa?
10	MR. FLEWELLING: Approximately
11	June 23rd of that year, yes.
12	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. And you
13	moved into CID?
14	MR. FLEWELLING: That's correct.
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And in particular
16	you worked in the National Security Offences
17	Section?
18	MR. FLEWELLING: That's correct.
19	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Now we're
20	going to come to your duties and responsibilities
21	when you were at CID, but before doing that,
22	you've got extensive training, that we see in your
23	curriculum vitae. However, in respect of national
24	security training, I understand that in February
) E	of 2002 year took the notional gogymity

1	enforcement course in Regina?
2	MR. FLEWELLING: That is correct.
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And that's, I
4	understand, a ten-day course?
5	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And the syllabus
7	for that course can be found in Exhibit P-12,
8	Mr. Loeppky's Book of Documents.
9	I understand as well that in
10	October of 2001, and September of 2002, you took
11	an international working group on financial
12	terrorism seminar or tutorial?
13	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes, that is
14	correct.
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Is that correct?
16	How long were those seminars or training courses?
17	MR. FLEWELLING: Each one of those
18	would be about two to three days in duration.
19	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. And as
20	well the only other terrorism-, I guess, related
21	course would be something referred to as the G-8
22	terrorist workshop that you took at CSIS in April
23	of 2001?
24	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
25	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And how long was

1	that workshop?
2	MR. FLEWELLING: Again, I believe
3	that was a day, if not a day or two.
4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Now, in
5	any of these courses, any of the three that we
6	were referring to, was there any training whatever
7	in respect of Muslim values, culture, traditions,
8	religion?
9	MR. FLEWELLING: Not specifically,
10	no.
11	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Now, I'd
12	like to now move from your curriculum vitae to
13	your duties and responsibilities at CID, and in
14	particular, I'm going to ask the clerk if he can
15	give you Exhibit P-12, Tab 6, which is an
16	organizational chart for the division at the
17	material time.
18	Pause
19	MR. CAVALLUZZO: We have something
20	here called the National Security Investigation
21	Branch, and Mr. Loeppky testified that this was
22	the organizational chart which was effective
23	between May 2001 and April of 2003, which would be
24	the material time that we are reviewing.
25	Now, in respect of the National

1	Security Investigations Branch, we see that at the
2	top of the pyramid, or hierarchy, is something
3	called the Assistant Commissioner, Criminal
4	Intelligence Directorate, or Assistant
5	Commissioner CID, and I understand that for the
6	whole period of time that you were there, that
7	position was held by Mr. Richard Proulx?
8	MR. FLEWELLING: That is correct.
9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. And if we
10	move down to the IC, National Security
11	Investigation Branch, I understand that for the
12	material period of time that that position was
13	held by Mr. Wayne Pilgrim?
14	MR. FLEWELLING: That's correct.
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And did
16	Mr. Pilgrim hold that position for that period of
17	time?
18	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes, he did.
19	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. And what
20	was your position in CID? I understand that if we
21	go to the right there, we see a box called
22	"National Security Offences Section." Is that the
23	section in which you were employed?
24	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
25	MP CANALLITYO. Okay What was

1	your position?
2	MR. FLEWELLING: My position would
3	be under the reviewer analyst. Upon my first
4	arrival there, I would have been surplus to
5	establishment.
6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: But it would be
7	the position of reviewer analyst?
8	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And in respect of
10	the NCO, or the National Commissioned Officer for
11	the NSOS, or the National Security Offences
12	Section, I understand that during the material
13	time there were two different people maintaining
14	that position? Initially who was it?
15	MR. FLEWELLING: Upon my arrival,
16	that position was vacant, and Corporal Tim O'Neill
17	was taking over that position, in the acting role.
18	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And then I
19	understand that Mr. Ron Lauzon took that position
20	from Mr. O'Neill?
21	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes, I believe he
22	arrived in mid 2002, or thereabouts.
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And so that
24	either Mr. O'Neill or Mr. Lauzon would be the
25	supervisors to whom you would directly report?

1	MR. FLEWELLING: That is correct.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Let us
3	look, then, at the reviewer analyst position. Why
4	don't you tell us, and let me just break this down
5	into pre- and post-9/11. Let's deal initially
6	with before 9/11 and tell us what you did as a
7	reviewer analyst.
8	MR. FLEWELLING: Our functions as
9	reviewer analysts were multi-faceted in that we
LO	were responsible for taking in any information
L1	that would have come in from a foreign or domestic
L2	agency, at which point we would analyze that
L3	information and try and review our database to see
L4	if there was additional information that we could
L5	go along with that piece of information, then
L6	determine who we would have to send it out to as
L7	far as our field divisions in order to conduct the
L8	investigation, if that's what it was.
L9	A lot of our mandate surrounded
20	anything that was of a terrorist nature, anything
21	that was of a national concern or national
22	interest.
23	Once that information was sent out
24	or we had tasked our various units, then we would
25	solicit that information to come back through our

1	unit, whereby we would again review it and
2	determine who it had to go to.
3	I should also add that we would
4	also take a look at that information and determine
5	who else that we needed to engage in order to
6	bring into the mix, in order to be able to deal
7	with that information appropriately
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So, for
9	example
10	MR. FLEWELLING: Who we had to
11	inform.
12	MR. CAVALLUZZO: For example, if
13	we could just take a hypothetical. If the FBI or
14	other foreign agency tasked the RCMP with
15	something, the task or the request would go
16	through CID. You would sit down, analyse it,
17	review it, make a determination as to where it
18	should go, whether it should be a field unit or
19	perhaps it may even go to the CBSA, the Canadian
20	Border Services Agency, who may have
21	responsibilities in respect of that; is that
22	correct?
23	MR. FLEWELLING: Or maybe another
24	unit if it didn't touch our area or our mandate.
25	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And what would

1	happen is after that tasking was completed,
2	whether it be by a unit out in the field or by
3	another Canadian agency, that information would
4	flow back to you at CID?
5	MR. FLEWELLING: That is correct.
6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And then you at
7	CID would make a determination as to what would go
8	and where it would go?
9	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
10	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And how it would
11	go?
12	MR. FLEWELLING: Correct.
13	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And I'll come
14	back to that.
15	Now, how many people, in
16	particular how many reviewer analysts did we have
17	employed in CID just prior to 9/11?
18	MR. FLEWELLING: At that time
19	there were, including myself, five.
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Now, with
21	the events of 9/11, and shortly after 9/11, as we
22	know, Bill C-36 was passed in December of 2001,
23	and we have heard a great deal of evidence
24	actually from Mr. Loeppky and others that a great
25	deal of funding came to the RCMP respecting the

1	responsibilities emanating from Bill C-36, and I'm
2	wondering if you could just share with us briefly
3	how your job as reviewer analyst changed as a
4	result of 9/11, Bill C-36, and the increased
5	funding?
6	MR. FLEWELLING: After 9/11, that
7	was one thing where certainly the additional
8	requests and the pressure placed on us to get to
9	the various requests and get the various
10	information out was quite extensive, and it really
11	increased the pressure upon us to be able to do
12	our job and our functions.
13	If I move on to how the aspect of
14	the the Bill C-36 aspect translated
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Just before you
16	do that, we've heard evidence about something
17	called Project Shock
18	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
19	MR. CAVALLUZZO: in which a
20	number of hundreds, indeed thousands of tips,
21	requests and so on, came in to the RCMP. Now,
22	were you, at CID, part of Project Shock?
23	MR. FLEWELLING: The initial week
24	following September 11th, I was seconded to
25	Transport Canada where I acted as a liaison

1	between Transport Canada and the RCMP in order to
2	be able to deal with the landing of all aircraft,
3	the searches, and then the ultimate reopening of
4	civilian airspace.
5	Once that was completed, I
6	returned to headquarters, and I was assigned
7	responsibility within Project Shock for the
8	Provinces of Manitoba, Saskatchewan, Alberta,
9	British Columbia, the three Territories, as well
10	as to maintain a liaison with Transport Canada and
11	to act as a liaison with the newly created
12	Financial Intelligence Branch.
13	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, in regard to
14	the responsibilities relating to Western Canada
15	and the three Territories, were you coordinating
16	terrorist files that were active in those
17	provinces and territories?
18	MR. FLEWELLING: My role at that
19	particular point in time ended up more of a
20	processing of requests, where as they were coming
21	in, we were identifying who they needed to go to
22	and then shipping that information out, soliciting
23	the information to come back in and trying to get
24	it back to or funnelling it to whoever it
25	needed to.

1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Obviously, you've
2	told us that the number of requests and demands
3	created as a result of 9/11 increased
4	substantially. Did that mean that there were
5	going to be more reviewer analysts employed in the
6	NSOS?
7	MR. FLEWELLING: Initially we
8	functioned with the number that we had and then
9	ultimately the numbers increased.
LO	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Do you know what
L1	the numbers were by the time you left in April of
L2	2003?
L3	MR. FLEWELLING: I believe there
L4	were approximately eight positions, so
L5	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And when you
L6	came, there were five?
L7	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
L8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, before I
L9	want to deal specifically with
20	information-sharing, and I'm going to come to
21	that, because we have heard some evidence on that,
22	and I'll introduce you to that evidence, but just
23	before we do that, we've heard a great deal of
24	evidence of something called Project OCANADA and
2.5	we've also heard of something called Project

1	A-OCANADA, and I'm wondering if you had any
2	dealings with Project A-OCANADA during your period
3	of employment in the CID?
4	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
5	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And when did you
6	start, shall we say, shepherding or being
7	responsible for A-OCANADA?
8	MR. FLEWELLING: I was asked at
9	the end or the beginning of June 2002, by
10	Inspector McDougall to start taking a look at
11	looking at moving into that role.
12	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. And when
13	did you fully take charge in a supervisory
14	capacity of Project A-OCANADA?
15	MR. FLEWELLING: I would say
16	approximately mid-June, July, in around that
17	range, where I was becoming the contact person for
18	that role.
19	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. I'm going
20	to come back to that because I'm very interested
21	in the relationship between CID and Project
22	A-OCANADA.
23	But before doing that, there's one
24	area that I would like to deal with, and that is
25	information-sharing.

1	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay? Now, we've
3	heard we've heard evidence as to the use of
4	caveats, and I'm going to come back to that. But
5	I want to just set the context up for my
6	questions.
7	Now, if I can just save a wee bit
8	of time. In terms of information-sharing before
9	9/11 and let me just describe it how you
10	described it to me and see if I've captured it
11	correctly?
12	Let us look at an external agency
13	once again, if we take the FBI. The FBI seeks
14	information from the RCMP, or assistance, but
15	let's just focus in on information. That would
16	come to the CID?
17	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
18	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, where would
19	that come from, pre-9/11? Would that come from
20	FBI in Washington, FBI in Boston, FBI in Ottawa?
21	Where would it come from?
22	MR. FLEWELLING: The actual
23	request you're talking about?
24	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Yes.
25	MP FIFWFILING. The actual

1	request would come from the U.S. Embassy.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: In?
3	MR. FLEWELLING: In Ottawa.
4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: In Ottawa. Okay.
5	So it goes to the CID. You've told us that in
6	respect of that request, as the reviewer analyst,
7	you would analyse their request?
8	MR. FLEWELLING: That's correct.
9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You would indeed
10	process, and then you would assign it
11	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
12	MR. CAVALLUZZO: to whether
13	it's "A" Division that should get this request or
14	another domestic agency such as CBSA, or CSIS,
15	or
16	MR. FLEWELLING: Or a number of
17	various divisions.
18	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Then it would go,
19	if we can call it, to the field, or to another
20	agency, or to another division?
21	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: After you had
23	processed it?
24	MR. FLEWELLING: Correct.
25	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, after that

1	division, agency, or unit or whatever, wherever it
2	went, got the information that was required, where
3	would that information go?
4	MR. FLEWELLING: We would solicit
5	that information, again we would review it to
6	ensure
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: When you're
8	saying "we," you're talking about CID?
9	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
10	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Go on
11	then.
12	MR. FLEWELLING: We would review
13	it, ensure that the content of that information
14	didn't have any third party or another agency's
15	information in it, and if it did, we would solicit
16	approval for disseminating that information.
17	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And then that
18	information would go to the agency, the foreign
19	agency that was requesting?
20	MR. FLEWELLING: That is correct.
21	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Now, if we
22	look at the purposes as to why that kind of
23	information should go through CID, you've
24	mentioned a couple of things, and if I could just
25	summarize those with you.

1	First of all, there's the "what"
1	
2	of it. What information is going to be sent to
3	this foreign agency? You're concerned about
4	things like national security. There's certain
5	information that shouldn't go because of national
6	security; isn't that correct?
7	MR. FLEWELLING: That's correct.
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I assume you're
9	concerned about what's known as need-to-know. If
LO	it's personal information, you're not going to
L1	send all the personal information that you have,
L2	you're going to scrutinize that information on a
L3	need-to-know basis. You may pass that on to the
L4	foreign agency?
L5	MR. FLEWELLING: I want to make
L6	sure that the information is relevant and that
L7	we're aware of it so that we can inform even our
L8	management, if need be.
L9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So that's the
20	"what" of it: What information are you going to
21	send to the foreign agency?
22	And I guess what I didn't tie down
23	there in terms of the foreign agency, would that
24	go back to the American Embassy in Washington
) 5	directly or would it go to your LO in Washington?

1	MR. FLEWELLING: Pre-9/11, we
2	would forward that information to our LO in
3	Washington.
4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And then the LO
5	in Washington would deliver it to the
6	MR. FLEWELLING: He would, in
7	turn, go to the various U.S. agency that needed to
8	be engaged.
9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Just so
10	that I'm clear. Now, pre-9/11, you told us the
11	request would come from the American Embassy. Are
12	you sure of that, or would it come from the LO in
13	Washington, pre-9/11?
14	MR. FLEWELLING: Pre-9/11, that
15	information would be would come in to our
16	office, especially if it was with respect to the
17	U.S. agency, I think you mentioned the FBI
18	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Yeah, where
19	MR. FLEWELLING: Then in that case
20	it would come to us via the U.S. Embassy here in
21	Ottawa.
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So it wouldn't
23	come from the the FBI wouldn't bring it to the
24	LO your LO in Washington who would then deliver
25	it to Ottawa? It would come directly from the

1	Embassy?
2	MR. FLEWELLING: That is correct.
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. So now
4	we've dealt with what information. We're talking,
5	once again, as to the reasons or rationale
6	underlying why the information should be processed
7	through CID. We've dealt with the what.
8	Now let's deal with the how of it.
9	In other words, what we're talking about here,
10	obviously, are whether caveats should be attached
11	to the information. Is that something that CID
12	would ensure was done?
13	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes. We would
14	peruse the information to ensure that whatever
15	information that was in that document was
16	protected in terms of the caveat, yes.
17	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And how many
18	caveats would you normally put on such information
19	going to the FBI?
20	MR. FLEWELLING: For a document or
21	a report that was going to a foreign agency, there
22	would be two.
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: The two? And
24	it's: "This is the property of Canada" caveat?
25	MR. FLEWELLING: That would be

1	one.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And what would be
3	the other one?
4	MR. FLEWELLING: The other one I
5	believe would say: "This is the property of the
6	RCMP and for your sole use for information
7	purposes," something to that effect.
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Now, the
9	third matter that CID would be concerned about.
10	We've talked about what information is going, how
11	it's going, that is, with caveats. And the other
12	aspect you mentioned, and that is, that if you
13	were going to give information that the RCMP had
14	obtained from another Canadian agency
15	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
16	MR. CAVALLUZZO: which had
17	caveated that information, what would you do at
18	CID?
19	MR. FLEWELLING: If it was to be
20	shared, we would go back to the originator, which
21	would be either the field unit or we'd go to the
22	headquarters level here in Ottawa, to advise them
23	that this information was going to be passed along
24	and do we have the authorization to do that?
25	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, I guess the

1	final, excuse me, the final rationale underlying
2	why things should go through CID is coordination.
3	Would you have a role to play in terms of
4	coordinating information from different units,
5	divisions, agencies?
6	MR. FLEWELLING: That would be
7	part of my role.
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. And that's
9	presumably an important role if you're getting
10	information from different Canadian agencies?
11	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes, at least
12	from the headquarters' perspective, yes.
13	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, I'd like to
14	move on now to 9/11 and whether things changed
15	after 9/11, and we've heard evidence we've
16	heard evidence in public from two different
17	witnesses. We've heard Mr. Cabana testify. You
18	know what his testimony is in respect of that, and
19	that is that he testified that caveats are down,
20	the free flow of information, that we didn't put
21	caveats on because there was implied caveats. And
22	then we heard from Deputy Commissioner Loeppky who
23	said that the policy still applied after 9/11,
24	that is, that the policies requiring the
25	imposition of caveats on documents or information

1	going to other agencies.
2	And the question that I have for
3	you is that after 9/11, were you aware of this
4	idea of no caveats? That when you shared
5	information or documents with partner agencies,
6	whether it be in Canada or in the United States
7	I'm talking about partner agencies that you did
8	not have to put caveats on these documents or
9	reports?
LO	MR. FLEWELLING: Not that I'm
L1	aware of.
L2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Clearly it was
L3	never in writing?
L4	MR. FLEWELLING: Not that I saw,
L5	no.
L6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And did you get
L7	any understanding from any of your superiors,
L8	whether it be Proulx, Pilgrim, Lauzon, or O'Neill,
L9	that caveats were down, "Boys, we don't have to
20	worry about putting the caveats on. Let's just
21	get the information over in a timely way"?
22	MR. FLEWELLING: I don't recall
23	ever receiving any instructions with respect to
24	caveats being down. There was, however, a
) 5	tremendous emphasis placed on ensuring that we

1	shared the information in a timely fashion and as
2	quickly as possible.
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So this idea that
4	we share information quickly, because of the
5	perceived threat that obviously existed after
6	9/11
7	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: let's get it
9	done quickly. But did that mean that the policy
10	still applied, that is, the policies respecting
11	caveats?
12	MR. FLEWELLING: Again, I don't
13	recall there being anything written or other with
14	respect to caveats being down.
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Were you aware,
16	post-9/11 were you aware that information or
17	documentation was being sent to American or
18	Canadian agencies without caveats?
19	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And is there any
21	explanation as to why that was happening?
22	MR. FLEWELLING: I really don't
23	know if there was any reason or if there was any
24	preexisting arrangements or anything. However, I
25	do know that when I was asked to start to take

1	over, one of the instructions that I was given by
2	Mr. Pilgrim was to try and bring back the pre-9/11
3	way of doing things, if you will.
4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: We're going to
5	come to that. Indeed, when Mr. Pilgrim instructed
6	or directed you to start focusing in on Project
7	A-OCANADA, one of the instructions he gave you, as
8	you've just stated, is bring the situation back to
9	the pre-9/11 policies, which obviously would
LO	include caveats?
L1	MR. FLEWELLING: I think the other
L2	thing too is that because of the 9/11 and with the
L3	redistribution of resources, that there were a
L4	number of new people that were brought in to the
L5	national security environment that perhaps weren't
L6	fully aware as to what some of the roles and
L7	policies were, and part of our function was to try
L8	and to get that message back out, that, yes, let's
L9	try and follow the policies as they exist, and
20	that in the enforcement and in the intelligence
21	world, there is that implied caveat that whatever
22	information you get, you treat it as if it does
23	have caveats.
24	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So even if there
2.5	is no written caveat, there is an implied caveat.

1	that information will be used for intelligence
2	purposes only, and if you're going to use it for
3	another purpose, then you'd better come back to
4	the RCMP to seek your permission?
5	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Now, you
7	mentioned something about new people coming as a
8	result of $9/11$, new people coming on to, if we can
9	call it, the national security scene, and may have
10	been used to criminal investigations before and
11	weren't necessarily sensitive to the needs of a
12	national security investigation; is that fair?
13	MR. FLEWELLING: That would be
14	fair.
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And of course one
16	of the things that's very important for a national
17	security investigation is what you talked about
18	before, that is, the coordination of
19	investigations, the coordination of information,
20	and certainly, in terms of dealings with foreign
21	or other agencies, there has to be that kind of
22	mediation between the field units and the outside
23	agencies; is that fair?
24	MR. FLEWELLING: I think it's
25	important to state that the actual investigation

1	that is being done is coordinated at the field
2	level, at the division level.
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right.
4	MR. FLEWELLING: And then the
5	passing of that information, or the securing of
6	that information and to be disseminated, or to
7	ensure policy so on and so forth, is looked after,
8	then that would fall within our responsibility.
9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right, and
10	although obviously organized crime is world-wide,
11	but when you're dealing with national security
12	investigations, for the most part, you're going to
13	be dealing necessarily with foreign agencies all
14	the time, and that requires, once again, the kind
15	of coordination that we were talking about before,
16	before we send out information about Canadians to
17	foreign agencies; isn't that correct?
18	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
19	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, I would like
20	to deal with the situation post-9/11 and we've
21	talked about the trail from before 9/11, external
22	agencies, CID, field units, other divisions, other
23	agencies, back to CID, then out to the external or
24	foreign agency after you have done the analysing,
2.5	coordination, control, and so on and ask

1	whether that particular protocol or procedure
2	changed after 9/11?
3	MR. FLEWELLING: Oh, definitely.
4	It definitely changed our way of operations
5	because, from our level, our ability to be able to
6	analyze the information, number one, to the extent
7	that we want to, was not there.
8	Secondly our LO in Washington was
9	impacted with numerous tasks and was not able to
10	pass on the information in a timely fashion.
11	Therefore, the decision was made that for a time
12	period that we could pass that information
13	directly through the American Embassy.
14	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So how that
15	changed and we are going to come to a situation
16	of resources, and your notes deal with the limited
17	resources you had early on.
18	But how that protocol changed was
19	because of the time factor, your LO in Washington
20	was clearly overspent in terms of his or her time,
21	and as a result of that at the end of the day, the
22	direct agent the direct line would be to the
23	embassy in Washington or, excuse me, in Ottawa,
24	as well as the LO in Washington?
25	MR. FLEWELLING: There still would

1	be information that would be passed through our LO
2	in Washington.
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right.
4	MR. FLEWELLING: However, due to
5	the influx in the number of various requests and
6	so forth, it was just unmanageable.
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right.
8	MR. FLEWELLING: Therefore, the
9	decision was made to pass the information straight
10	through to the American Embassy.
11	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And that was to
12	meet the concerns of time. Time is of the essence
13	in this particular atmosphere, and as a result of
14	that, the information
15	MR. FLEWELLING: Well, nobody
16	wanted
17	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Listen to the
18	question. The information would go to the Embassy
19	as well as to the LO in Washington.
20	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
21	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And presumably,
22	obviously, even though it was a timely exchange of
23	information, the fact was that if it was come
24	through CID, caveats would be put on the
25	information if it was required by the policies?

1	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, I would like
3	to move now to your relationship or not your,
4	but the relationship between
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Just before we
6	leave that, can I just ask you: Under this new
7	procedure as you understood it post-9/11, there
8	was a change in that it would be provided directly
9	from the operational level, A-OCANADA in this
10	example, as opposed to being sent to CID for
11	review and scrutiny.
12	Is that right?
13	MR. FLEWELLING: No, not
14	necessarily.
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I think his
16	evidence let me just rephrase that. His
17	evidence was the change was that it was still to
18	go from the division to CID, and the change was
19	CID could pass the information directly to the
20	Canadian Embassy or the American Embassy in
21	Ottawa as well as the LO in Washington.
22	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Is that correct?
24	THE COMMISSIONER: I misunderstood
25	that.

1	And you indicated that pre-9/11
2	the practice was that the information would be
3	scrutinized on a need-to-know basis to see if
4	there was any personal information that didn't go,
5	be scrutinized for relevancy, and so on.
6	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: That the CID
8	would bring judgments to bear in the contents of
9	the information. Did that continue to be the case
10	post-9/11?
11	MR. FLEWELLING: Post-9/11, for
12	the most part of the country, the information
13	would come back through CID. And then the only
14	difference would be is that instead of it going
15	to, let's say, the LO Washington not all
16	information went straight to the LO Washington
17	because they were impacted. There was an awful
18	lot of information that flowed directly from CID
19	straight to the U.S. Embassy.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
21	MR. FLEWELLING: And that was
22	because of the concern of the threats and so on
23	and so forth.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: But my question
25	is: Was the scrutiny that took place pre-9/11 for

1	relevance, personal information, need to know and
2	so on, did that scrutiny still take place?
3	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes. Perhaps not
4	at the same level as it did previous, but that
5	scrutiny was there, yes.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Thank
7	you.
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Coming to the
9	next topic that I would like to deal with this
10	afternoon is the relationship between CID and
11	Project A-OCANADA. You told us earlier that one
12	of the directives you received from Mr. Pilgrim,
13	who was your I guess between you and
14	Mr. Pilgrim there was a supervisor, either O'Neill
15	or Ron Lauzon, but Pilgrim would be the ultimate
16	person in the NSOS, or at least responsible for
17	NSOS.
18	And his direction was to bring
19	Project A-OCANADA back to the way things were, as
20	you put it, before 9/11 as far as the policies
21	MR. FLEWELLING: Just try to bring
22	back the preexisting policies that were in place.
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And as far as
24	that is concerned, that obviously is a very
25	important responsibility. Did you have any more

1	responsibilities as being the supervisor for CID,
2	or the coordinator from CID in respect of Project
3	A-OCANADA, apart from bringing them back on to
4	policy? Were there any other responsibilities you
5	had?
6	MR. FLEWELLING: That I had at the
7	time? I would have to go back to the time when
8	Bill C-36 was in play and all of a sudden there
9	was a number of new units that were required to be
10	started.
11	As a result I know that management
12	had a great deal of pressure to get them up and
13	moving in a very quick fashion. Therefore, there
14	were a number of individuals from my unit that
15	were taken and utilized to head up those units and
16	replaced with other individuals from the field.
17	We also had to send a few off in order to look
18	after or in a secondment role to work with
19	other agencies in order to increase the awareness
20	of the RCMP and to facilitate the role of sharing
21	of information.
22	As a result, I found myself in a
23	position where I was mentoring, coaching,
24	supervising, if you will, a vast majority of major
25	investigations as well as acting as the

1	coordinator for this project.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, I understand
3	that when you came on to the scene in respect of
4	your oversight responsibilities relating to
5	Project A-OCANADA that you were going to bridge
6	some of the relationship problems that may have
7	existed up at that point in time? I understand
8	that before you came on to the scene, that there
9	were a few problems between A-OCANADA and CID; is
10	that correct?
11	MR. FLEWELLING: I was the fourth
12	individual that was asked to oversee the project,
13	and I was aware that there were a few issues. The
14	exact nature of those issues, I'm not fully aware
15	of.
16	However, the two roles that I was
17	given was to (a) try and look after or give the
18	awareness of the various policies, and hopefully
19	to increase or to bridge the gap, as you
20	mentioned.
21	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, as far as
22	you being the fourth oversight supervisor, we
23	understand from the evidence that A-OCANADA was
24	created on October 5th of 2001, and you are moving
) F	orem in Type of 2002 which in my coloulation

1	would be a period of nine months. So being the
2	fourth oversight supervisor in nine months seems
3	to be, if not a record, but signifying that there
4	may be a problem.
5	Is that fair?
6	MR. FLEWELLING: Again, I can't
7	comment on what some of the issues were
8	previously, other than to say that when I was
9	asked to start to move into that role, that that
10	was instructions I was given by Mr. Pilgrim.
11	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Did you not go to
12	see the three other oversight supervisors and say,
13	"Thanks very much. What am I left with? What's
14	the problem?"
15	MR. FLEWELLING: I can't recall
16	what a lot of the specifically what some of
17	their issues were.
18	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. Well, we
19	are going to take you through it. And let me tell
20	you some of the issues that existed with you, and
21	there are about three or four.
22	One is what I would call keeping
23	you in the loop. You know what that means; right?
24	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes, definitely.
25	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And that was a

1	problem. Use of caveats. Another problem?
2	MR. FLEWELLING: We worked through
3	it.
4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You worked
5	through it. Let's see. Another one was direct
6	dealings with foreign agencies. Was that a
7	problem?
8	MR. FLEWELLING: That was a
9	negotiation from my understanding that was
10	preexisting.
11	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So that was a
12	preexisting problem which surfaced a couple of
13	times when you were there?
14	MR. FLEWELLING: Unfortunately,
15	that was an arrangement, or an agreement if you
16	will, that was made with management when the
17	project started.
18	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. And we
19	will come back to that just to elucidate what some
20	of those problems were.
21	Finally, I want to try to put on
22	the table the perspective of A-OCANADA in respect
23	of what some of these problems will be. And as I
24	say, tomorrow, when we get into your evidence,
25	well deal enecifically with each and every one of

1	those problems.
2	Is it fair to say that one of the
3	problems, and probably the fundamental problem
4	which gave rise to some of these relationship
5	issues, was that A-OCANADA viewed its task force
6	or Project A-OCANADA to be a criminal
7	investigation, whereas CID viewed it to be a
8	national security investigation in light of what
9	duties and responsibilities the project had.
10	Is that fair?
11	MR. FLEWELLING: I think one thing
12	that we have to make sure that's clear is that a
13	criminal investigation is a national security
14	investigation. They are one and the same. It's
15	just the umbrella they are under and the
16	sensitivities that go along with it.
17	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I'm sorry.
18	MR. FLEWELLING: I was just going
19	to say that I think, from my perspective, some of
20	it was just the reporting procedures.
21	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. But is it
22	fair to say that when someone, a trained
23	investigator, a seasoned investigator, is
24	conducting a criminal investigation, that there is
25	a great deal of autonomy or independence which

1	attaches to that particular investigation
2	resulting from our legal system, resulting from
3	our common law?
4	MR. FLEWELLING: In many cases, a
5	criminal investigation is more localized, yes.
6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Is that fair?
7	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Is it also
9	fair that because of the perhaps different
10	perspectives which A-OCANADA had as opposed to
11	CID, that what you were attempting to do was to
12	get more coordination, more information filtering
13	through you to ensure that policies were followed;
14	in other words, to be sensitive to the national
15	security investigation aspect of it.
16	Isn't that fair?
17	MR. FLEWELLING: I would say
18	that's fair.
19	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And from
20	A-OCANADA's perspective, every time CID sought any
21	kind of intervention, whether it be keep us
22	informed, use caveats, do this, do that, they
23	would perhaps perceive that as being an
24	infringement upon their autonomy as criminal
25	investigators.

1	Is that fair?
2	MR. FLEWELLING: In part, yes.
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: What is the other
4	part?
5	MR. FLEWELLING: I'm just looking
6	at it from the part that the instructions that
7	they were given from the "A" Division side of
8	things, that they were to report strictly through
9	their CROPS, which ended up causing some of these
10	issues.
11	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And that's what
12	would seem to happen and we'll take you through
13	some of that and that is that here,
14	Mr. Flewelling, Corporal Flewelling at the time
15	from CID would attempt to assert some kind of
16	coordination or whatever with Project A-OCANADA,
17	Project A-OCANADA would feel, "Well, that's an
18	infringement of our autonomy." They would go up
19	to their CROPS officers and then there would be a
20	meeting above you between the CROPS officer and
21	Richard Proulx, who is the head of the CID, and
22	there would be some kind of resolution.
23	Is that fair?
24	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes. I think
25	with just about any corporation where they have a

1	headquarters that is coming down to a field unit
2	that mentions anything, then they all think that
3	headquarters is just being a royal pain.
4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. And it
5	would seem from my perspective in analysing all of
6	this evidence that "A" Division would win most of
7	the battles with headquarters?
8	MR. FLEWELLING: I don't know. I
9	didn't keep score.
10	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You didn't keep
11	score. I know you are not a score-keeper, but you
12	must have some
13	MR. FLEWELLING: I don't know how
14	to answer that.
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Well, you must
16	have some feeling that they won more than they
17	lost?
18	MR. FLEWELLING: We ended up
19	rehashing a number of issues time and again.
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. And
21	sometimes deals would be made above your head,
22	indeed above Mr. Pilgrim's head, that you guys
23	weren't even aware of?
24	MR. FLEWELLING: That's fair.
25	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. And you

1	would have to go back and deal with whatever. And
2	we are going to deal with some of those specific
3	concerns later on in your evidence.
4	It is about five to five and I
5	want to just complete a couple of other things
6	before we let you go.
7	MR. FLEWELLING: You have a smile
8	on your face.
9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I'm always
10	smiling.
11	And that is, let us move to the
12	initial stages of the Arar time line. We
13	understand that although you became the
14	oversight call it the overseer of Project
15	A-OCANADA in or about June, and that crystallized
16	later on, but in January of 2002 was the first
17	time you had became aware of Mr. Arar.
18	I refer to your notes which now
19	have been entered as Exhibit 206.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: 211, actually.
21	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Exhibit 211? I'm
22	sorry, 211.
23	I'm going to be using the page
24	numbers at the bottom right, which are written in.
25	So if you go to page 4, we see at the top this

1	is the entry for Tuesday, January 22nd of 2002,
2	and we understand from all of the evidence that a
3	number of search warrants were executed on this
4	particular day. We see in respect of that day
5	that the name Maher Arar is found in the context
6	presumably of other names on the left and names on
7	the right, which have been redacted.
8	Now, is this the first occasion
9	upon which you became aware of this individual by
10	the name of Maher Arar?
11	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes. Even that
12	day, I didn't know who it was.
13	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You just wrote
14	his name down. You didn't know who he was?
15	MR. FLEWELLING: I was asked to
16	step in that day or fill in for the coordinator of
17	the day to oversee or just to monitor what
18	transpired that day.
19	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right.
20	MR. FLEWELLING: So the names
21	didn't mean anything.
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And so that you
23	didn't take part in terms of the execution of the
24	warrants, but you were at some central location
25	where you performed some coordinating

1	responsibilities.
2	Is that fair?
3	MR. FLEWELLING: That's fair.
4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. I just
5	want to clear the record because there's a
6	statement in the statement you gave to Mr. Garvie
7	that I just want to ensure that it's clarified.
8	I would like to introduce no,
9	this is the statement that Mr. Flewelling gave to
10	Mr. Garvie. I would like to introduce that as an
11	exhibit.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: 221.
13	EXHIBIT NO. P-221: Statement
14	given by Richard Flewelling
15	to Brian Garvie on January
16	22, 2004
17	MR. CAVALLUZZO: This is the
18	statement that you gave Mr. Garvie on January 22nd
19	of 2004? Do you recall giving Mr. Garvie that
20	statement?
21	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: What I would like
23	you to clarify, if you could, for us at page 2,
24	and if you look around line 25 to 30, Mr. Garvie
25	canc.

1	"Could you tell me in
2	chronological sequence what
3	you had to do with the
4	monitoring of the incidents
5	involving Maher Arar."
6	And you said:
7	"During that time frame when
8	he was incarcerated, I really
9	didn't know he was
10	incarcerated until several
11	days after he was actually
12	incarcerated. My first
13	knowledge that I became aware
14	of the issue of Maher Arar
15	would have been approximately
16	August 1st or 2nd."
17	So what I want to clarify with you
18	is what you meant there that's the Arar issue
19	after he was detained. You had seen the name
20	before in January in respect of the search
21	warrants, and that's what you meant by that
22	statement to Mr. Garvie.
23	Is that fair?
24	MR. FLEWELLING: I would say
25	that's fair.

1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: In regard to
2	Project A-OCANADA, we know that and we have an
3	exhibit, Exhibit P-85, tab 24.
4	MR. FLEWELLING: Sorry. That was
5	tab which?
6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Twenty-four.
7	Much of this is redacted, but what this represents
8	is information, documentation that was shared or
9	given by Project A-OCANADA to a couple of American
10	agencies, and basically the entire SUPERText
11	database was given to the Americans in April of
12	2002.
13	I am wondering whether you were
14	aware that the entire SUPERText database of
15	Project A-OCANADA was given to the Americans in
16	April of 2002?
17	MR. FLEWELLING: Was I aware at
18	that time?
19	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Yes.
20	MR. FLEWELLING: No.
21	MR. CAVALLUZZO: When did you
22	become aware of that?
23	MR. FLEWELLING: Sometime after I
24	took over the project, I believe. I'm not exactly
) E	give of the great date but I wagnit aware of the

1	actual transaction until later. I guess that's
2	the best way of putting it. I wouldn't want to
3	try to put a date to it.
4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And you would
5	agree with me that if you refer to it as a
6	transaction, this transaction was contrary to RCMP
7	policy?
8	MR. FLEWELLING: Again, I would
9	have to say and specify that I'm not aware of any,
10	I guess, arrangement that was made with upper
11	management or at the "A" Division level.
12	MR. CAVALLUZZO: But you would
13	agree with me well, let's deal with it on a
14	hypothetical level.
15	If this information was sent
16	directly to the Americans without going through
17	CID, that would have been contrary to policy?
18	MR. FLEWELLING: Again, in an
19	ideal situation, pre-9/11, yes.
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And what about
21	post-9/11?
22	MR. FLEWELLING: Post-9/11?
23	Again, like I say, the only caveat that I put on
24	it, if you will, is I'm not aware of any deal or
25	any negotiated structure, if you will, for the

1	sharing of information based on that.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right.
3	MR. FLEWELLING: That's why I want
4	to be cautious with my answer here and be fair.
5	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Just listen to
6	the question.
7	I know you weren't aware of the
8	transaction, but if this information went directly
9	to foreign agencies without going through CID, I
10	would assume that is not consistent with policy?
11	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
12	MR. CAVALLUZZO: If this
13	information was not processed, analyzed, for
14	purposes of personal information, need-to-know,
15	relevancy, national security concerns, that would
16	not be consistent with policy?
17	MR. FLEWELLING: We are talking
18	about hypothetically here?
19	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Yes.
20	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
21	MR. CAVALLUZZO: If this
22	information went to foreign agencies without
23	caveats, that would be contrary to policy?
24	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes. Bearing in
25	mind there is that implied caveat.

1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Yes, I understand
2	the implied caveat.
3	And the final question I have: If
4	this information went, which contained information
5	from other agencies that had given the RCMP
6	information with caveats, if this information went
7	without getting the consent of these other
8	agencies, that would be contrary to policy?
9	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
LO	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Thank you.
L1	MR. FOTHERGILL: Commissioner,
L2	this might be a good time for me to acknowledge an
L3	error that I made during the testimony of Deputy
L4	Commissioner Loeppky. I think I advised you and
L5	the participants that Mr. Flewelling had been
L6	present during the January 31st stakeholders'
L7	meeting when the prospect of sharing search
L8	results with American agencies was raised, and it
L9	has since been brought to my attention that I was
20	wrong in that. It was one of Mr. Flewelling's
21	predecessors but not him.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you,
23	Mr. Fothergill.
24	MR. CAVALLUZZO: How are you
25	feeling Mr Flewelling? Do you want to go on for

1	a bit, because the more we accomplish today how
2	about if we go for another half an hour? Is that
3	fair?
4	Does anyone have time concerns?
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Any problems if
6	we do a half an hour more?
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Let's move
8	on then.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: No hands shot
10	up. Okay. Carry on.
11	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You can set that
12	book aside, Mr. Flewelling, and we are going to
13	move on to something else now.
14	I want to deal now, if we can call
15	it, some of the communication issues between
16	A-OCANADA and CID, and let's look at your notes
17	once again, Exhibit 211.
18	I would like to start at the back.
19	If we can look at page 66, the date for this is
20	November 22nd of 2001. I understand that this was
21	a video conference.
22	Is that correct?
23	MR. FLEWELLING: I believe so.
24	MR. CAVALLUZZO: If you can just
25	help us, could you read the first eight lines

1	there?
2	MR. FLEWELLING: It says:
3	"The investigation is an NSIB
4	investigation and not a
5	[blank] A-OCANADA
6	investigation."
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, just
8	stopping there, once again we are distinguishing.
9	We are saying this is an NSIB investigation as
10	opposed to an A-OCANADA investigation or an
11	OCanada investigation.
12	And what was the point being made
13	by and do you know who was speaking during this
14	video conference that would have been giving these
15	kinds of directions?
16	MR. FLEWELLING: I would be making
17	an assumption as to who was speaking but
18	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Who do you think?
19	MR. FLEWELLING: I'm assuming that
20	it was Superintendent Pilgrim.
21	MR. CAVALLUZZO: What was your
22	understanding as to what Superintendent Pilgrim
23	meant by saying it's an NSIB investigation?
24	MR. FLEWELLING: It's a national
25	security investigation.

1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. If you can
2	go on now to the third line?
3	MR. FLEWELLING: It says:
4	"It is imperative that they
5	begin to advise and inform HQ
6	of their information."
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And this
8	obviously, it is imperative that the divisions
9	keep, if we can call it, headquarters in the loop.
10	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
11	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. If you can
12	go on then?
13	MR. FLEWELLING: It says:
14	"Coordinating divisions with
15	a national perspective from
16	an investigation point of
17	view."
18	I really don't know what I'm
19	saying or meaning there.
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. But you
21	know what the next line means? Why don't you read
22	that?
23	MR. FLEWELLING: "We have to be
24	better kept in the loop."
2.5	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Then if you go

1	two or three lines below the redaction on that
2	page?
3	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: What does it say?
5	MR. FLEWELLING: "Coordination
6	between divisions and units
7	within a division is a
8	problem because of"
9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: That looks like
10	"medium."
11	MR. FLEWELLING: " medium of
12	security issues resulting
13	from the use of SCIS."
14	MR. CAVALLUZZO: SCIS, as we've
15	heard
16	MR. FLEWELLING: SCIS is the
17	national security information system where all
18	national security information is stored.
19	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Then if you go to
20	page 68 and just read from the top of that page?
21	MR. FLEWELLING: It says:
22	"We give you everything."
23	I don't know. There's something
24	there.
25	"So we don't look fooligh

StenoTran

1	We need to exchange
2	information"
3	Sorry, I can't read it.
4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Something about
5	"necessary"?
6	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes. That's what
7	it looks like.
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Then it
9	goes on, "A-OCANADA." Can you just read that?
10	MR. FLEWELLING: It says:
11	"Treat the document like a
12	murder and review the
13	information and come up with
14	a new list of questions."
15	I have no idea what that's about.
16	MR. CAVALLUZZO: It says "treat
17	the document like a murder". Does that mean you
18	are treating the investigation as a criminal
19	investigation as opposed to a national security
20	investigation
21	MR. FLEWELLING: Obviously
22	somebody is expressing a view somewhere. I wrote
23	it down. Unfortunately I don't know what it
24	means. I don't recall.
25	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Then if you go

1	finally to the next page, page 69, at November 22,
2	2001?
3	MR. FLEWELLING:
4	"Lack of the use of SCIS,
5	lack of sharing information
6	within, lack of knowledge in
7	the intelligence field."
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. And that
9	latter one we know what the other means. But
10	the latter one, "lack of knowledge in the
11	intelligence field", is this what you were talking
12	about before, that because of the crush of 9/11,
13	many investigators were being brought into these
14	kinds of investigations and lacked knowledge in
15	the intelligence field?
16	MR. FLEWELLING: I think the
17	message there was to try and ensure the divisions
18	were bringing their people, or advising them as to
19	the policy and the need to get the information on
20	to SCIS, and to notify headquarters quicker with
21	respect to the various investigations that were
22	ongoing.
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So that was in
24	November of 2001. If we can now move into 2002
2.5	and pick up at page 5 for April 12th of 2002.

1	What I'm referring to is the
2	last two lines. Could you read those lines for
3	us, please?
4	MR. FLEWELLING: "Lack of
5	communication between
6	A-OCANADA and HQ and NSOS and
7	two vacancies and stress for
8	NSOS."
9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So this lack of
10	communication between A-OCANADA and headquarters,
11	this was before you became the overseer, but did
12	you have any idea of what was being referred to
13	there?
14	MR. FLEWELLING: No. This was a
15	unit meeting where obviously there is a point that
16	was made by one of the other individuals.
17	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. And where
18	it says "stress for NSOS", does that mean that the
19	bulk of the work is creating stress because of the
20	resources that you had?
21	MR. FLEWELLING: I think that
22	comment alludes to, yes, the increase in workload
23	within NSOS.
24	MR. CAVALLUZZO: If we could move
25	on then in terms of time, if you go to page 14,

1	this is the entry for June 10th of 2002.
2	This is when, I guess, you are
3	becoming the overseer of Project A-OCANADA, and
4	I'm wondering if you could read the entry for
5	2030?
6	MR. FLEWELLING: 2030?
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Yes.
8	MR. FLEWELLING: Continue with the
9	briefing note?
LO	MR. CAVALLUZZO: That's the one.
L1	MR. FLEWELLING: Okay.
L2	"2130 hours. Wrote Glenn an
L3	e-mail with respect"
L4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: First of all,
L5	Glenn is Glen MacDougall?
L6	MR. FLEWELLING: Inspector Glenn
L7	MacDougall.
L8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And you reported
L9	to Glenn?
20	MR. FLEWELLING: Glenn would be in
21	between the sergeant's position and Superintendent
22	Pilgrim.
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So you wrote to
24	Glenn MacDougall. If you could go on?
) 5	MP FLEWFLLING. " with respect

1	to today's meeting at
2	A-OCANADA office. I informed
3	him of the lack of
4	communication and lack of
5	professionalism. I further
6	informed him that I cannot do
7	my job effectively under the
8	present situation. I further
9	stated that their inability
10	to provide me with the
11	appropriate approval prior to
12	the aircraft taking off for
13	Washington makes me and HQ
14	look unprofessional."
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: This lack of
16	communication and lack of professionalism, what
17	are you referring to there?
18	MR. FLEWELLING: I think the
19	communication was with respect to a meeting that
20	was held and they failed to let me know that there
21	was going to be a meeting that day.
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: This is A-OCANADA
23	had an investigators' meeting?
24	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
25	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Failed to let you

1	know?
2	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Is that correct?
4	And you had a prior arrangement that they would
5	let you know of these meetings?
6	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And they didn't
8	let you know?
9	MR. FLEWELLING: No. I mean,
10	there's two messages I'm making in this one.
11	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. What's the
12	second message?
13	MR. FLEWELLING: Unfortunately I
14	was a little frustrated at the time and probably
15	overly harsh. However, the other issue was that
16	there was an arrangement to fly to Washington, as
17	the statement states, and I had my bags packed and
18	ready to go. Unfortunately
19	MR. CAVALLUZZO: It sounds like a
20	folk song by Gordon Lightfoot. I understand you
21	didn't make it, like him?
22	MR. FLEWELLING: No. Nor can I
23	sing as well as him.
24	Unfortunately, I was told to stand
) F	he until I got the authorization from

1	Superintendent Pilgrim as well as Inspector Glenr
2	MacDougall. However it didn't get to me until
3	after the plane took off.
4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You concern was
5	with head office because A-OCANADA had said,
6	"Listen, we're going to Washington tomorrow.
7	We've got a seat available for you on the RCMP
8	plane." You had your bags packed and ready to go
9	and unfortunately head office didn't get you the
10	approval prior to the plane taking off.
11	MR. FLEWELLING: That's correct.
12	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And that
13	frustrated you?
14	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So that your
16	complaint was with head office there, not with
17	A-OCANADA?
18	MR. FLEWELLING: No, on that
19	score.
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Is that fair?
21	MR. FLEWELLING: That's fair.
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. If we go
23	to page 15 of your notes for the next day,
24	Tuesday, June 11, could you read the entry for
) F	1500 hours

1	MR. FLEWELLING: "Provided
2	briefing note to Glenn
3	MacDougall. We spoke about
4	the issue I raised last
5	evening. Glenn spoke to Mike
6	Cabana about this this
7	morning. Basically nothing
8	is going to happen."
9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: What does that
10	mean, "basically nothing is going to happen"?
11	MR. FLEWELLING: Just from the
12	manner upon which the discussion took place, I
13	didn't feel that Inspector MacDougall was going to
14	pursue or deal with the issue.
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So that must have
16	caused a wee bit of frustration in terms of you
17	performing your job an overseer?
18	MR. FLEWELLING: Well, at that
19	point I decided I would go and discuss the issue
20	with Mr. Cabana himself.
21	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. And did
22	you do that?
23	MR. FLEWELLING: I did.
24	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And when did you
25	do that?

1	MR. FLEWELLING: I believe it was
2	on June the 18th.
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Then let's go to
4	your notes for June the 18th. I think it's at
5	page 17.
6	MR. FLEWELLING: That's correct.
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: It looks like
8	something, Project A-OCANADA. Is that it?
9	MR. FLEWELLING: That's it.
10	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Why don't you
11	read that?
12	MR. FLEWELLING: "Following
13	meeting I spoke with
14	Inspector Mike Cabana. I
15	spoke to him about this
16	meeting of the 10th of June
17	where I was not invited. I
18	informed him that I was not
19	impressed and that it put me
20	in a position where I have
21	difficulties. More
22	importantly, I was more
23	disappointed with my own
24	unit."
25	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. And was

1	that particular problem resolved at that point in
2	time?
3	MR. FLEWELLING: We sat down. We
4	had a very amicable discussion, and we both agreed
5	that the best thing for us to do was to put the
6	train back on the tracks and let's do everything
7	we can do get things moving.
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: If you go to the
9	previous page, at page 16, this is for, I guess,
10	Tuesday, June 18th. At the 7:30 entry it says:
11	"Commenced shift. Began
12	reading SITREPs for Project
13	A-OCANADA."
14	MR. FLEWELLING: Sorry, what page
15	was that again?
16	MR. CAVALLUZZO: This is page 16,
17	the previous page. The very top entry, your shift
18	commenced.
19	"Began reading SITREPs for
20	Project A-OCANADA."
21	At this point in time did you
22	notice anything as to whether A-OCANADA was
23	putting the third party rule on their SITREPs?
24	MR. FLEWELLING: Right now I don't
25	recall.

1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Did you recall at
2	any time that you advised A-OCANADA that they
3	should be putting caveats on their situation
4	reports?
5	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: When did you give
7	that advice?
8	MR. FLEWELLING: I really don't
9	recall the exact date, but I know that I had
10	discussions with them that caveats should be
11	placed. Subsequently, caveats were being placed
12	on these SITREPs.
13	MR. CAVALLUZZO: If we can move on
14	in terms of time to page 19 of your notes, this is
15	now the entry for June 19 of 2002. Could you read
16	your entry for 8:00 and 8:30?
17	MR. FLEWELLING: "Commenced shift.
18	Spoke to Ron about our
19	situation and our inability
20	to cope with the amount of
21	work being expected of us."
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. If you
23	could go on?
24	MR. FLEWELLING: I think it's:
25	"Fortered meeting with NSOS to

1	discuss issues as they relate
2	to NSOS. Situations began to
3	surface that affected our
4	unit, workload and capacity.
5	Wayne stated that the buck
6	ended with him, provided we
7	prioritize properly and do
8	everything in our power to
9	cope."
10	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. This is a
11	meeting in which you are raising the resource
12	issue which you referred to earlier. And the
13	first line there, where you say "spoke to Ron",
14	this is Ron Lauzon who became your supervisor
15	around this time?
16	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
17	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And when
18	reference is made to Wayne saying that the buck
19	stops here, that's Wayne Pilgrim?
20	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes. It's
21	approximately the time where we're starting to
22	develop these new units and these resources are
23	being pulled out of or the experienced members
24	are being pulled out of NSOS and being replaced.
25	It was all in and around this time.

1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: If we can move on
2	now through the summer, indeed let us go to
3	August. Let's go to page 26. This is the entry
4	for, I believe it's August the previous page,
5	at 25, is August 13th.
6	In any event, do you see about
7	halfway down page 26, it says:
8	"Advised by [somebody] that
9	DFAIT"
10	Do you see that?
11	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
12	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Can you read that
13	for us, please?
14	MR. FLEWELLING: "Advised by
15	that DFAIT officials in Egypt
16	have seen El Maati. They
17	advised us of his present
18	condition and claims about
19	his treatment while in Syrian
20	custody."
21	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And then the next
22	line?
23	MR. FLEWELLING: "Received fax
24	from re DFAIT report."
25	MP CANALLII770. And did you see

1	the DFAIT consular report which we introduced as
2	an exhibit in these proceedings, that was dated
3	August the 12th of 2002?
4	MR. FLEWELLING: I don't recall.
5	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Do you recall
6	that, in this particular report, Mr. El Maati had
7	alleged that he had been tortured while he was in
8	Syrian custody?
9	MR. FLEWELLING: No, I don't
10	recall that.
11	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You don't recall
12	that at all?
13	MR. FLEWELLING: No.
14	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Do you recall
15	well then, let us go on.
16	If you go to the next page, at
17	page 27, there is the entry for August 14th of
18	2002. At the entry at 9:00 it says:
19	"Meetings tomorrow 10:30 'A'
20	Division with DOJ, DFAIT,
21	CSIS and PCO, 'A' Division CO
22	boardroom."
23	Now, that meeting I understand
24	related to the El Maati allegations. Is that
25	correct?

1	MR. FLEWELLING: It could be. I
2	just don't recall.
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You don't recall?
4	MR. FLEWELLING: No.
5	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Then let's go to
6	the next page. August 15th, at page 28, the entry
7	for it looks like 2030. Do you see that?
8	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. And that
10	says:
11	"Meeting with PCO, DFAIT,
12	CSIS, RCMP."
13	And then it says "Myra". And
14	we've heard evidence from Myra Pastyr-Lupul,
15	Consular Affairs, DFAIT, August 4th.
16	"DFAIT was advised that El
17	Maati was in custody in
18	Egypt. The family has been
19	advised through"
20	I guess that's "through the
21	sister".
22	MR. FLEWELLING: Sister.
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: "El Maati's
24	parents are in Indonesia.
2.5	Uncle in Cairo has contacted

1	the [something]."
2	The next page, unfortunately, we
3	do not have.
4	Now, we have heard evidence that
5	there was a meeting on this day where a number of
6	divisions of the Canadian government got together
7	to come up with media lines relating to the
8	allegations made by Mr. El Maati.
9	Do you recall being at that
10	meeting, and indeed these are the notes that you
11	took relating to that meeting?
12	MR. FLEWELLING: Obviously I must
13	have been there because I took the notes, but
14	honestly, I just don't recall anything that
15	transpired during that meeting other than what
16	I've taken down here.
17	MR. CAVALLUZZO: We are moving now
18	to when Mr. Arar gets detained in New York on
19	September the 26th, and this is about a month and
20	a half before, and I just want to be sure.
21	You recall the meeting. But do
22	you not recall that Mr. El Maati had made
23	allegations that he was tortured while he was in
24	detention in Syria earlier in that year of 2002?
25	Pause

1	MR. FLEWELLING: I'm not going to
2	say I didn't, but I just don't recall.
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Isn't that
4	something that you would remember, allegations of
5	torture in Syria, a Canadian?
6	MR. FLEWELLING: I know that there
7	was the meeting. But unfortunately, I'm sorry, I
8	just
9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You don't recall.
10	MR. FLEWELLING: I don't recall.
11	I didn't recall at that time that that had
12	transpired.
13	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Then a
14	couple of final questions.
15	If we go to the next page, this is
16	the entry for August 20th of 2002, and I would
17	like you to read for us the entry if we can start
18	six lines from the bottom, just after that
19	redaction?
20	MR. FLEWELLING: " called to
21	advise the fax in my"
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: That says
23	"Somebody called to advise." Now, is that
24	"somebody" somebody in Project A-OCANADA?
25	Pause

1	MR. FLEWELLING: I believe so.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I will speak to
3	my friend, but for tomorrow, hopefully we will
4	give you the name of that individual,
5	Mr. Commissioner.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: If you can say
8	"Somebody in A-OCANADA called", and could you pick
9	that up then, Mr. Flewelling?
10	MR. FLEWELLING: " called to
11	advise a fax in my name was
12	en route. He also advised
13	that Mike Cabana still wants
14	to invite the Syrians to
15	review what Project A-OCANADA
16	has on and provide them"
17	Sorry, the line is
18	MR. CAVALLUZZO: "Provide them"
19	and it goes on to the next page?
20	MR. FLEWELLING: It's the top line
21	that I
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. Well, it
23	looks like, "with a series of questions they want
24	the Syrians to ask"
25	MR. FLEWELLING: "On our behalf".

1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: "On our behalf".
2	Right.
3	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: This is like a
5	week after there are allegations or at least
6	the evidence is there are allegations that Mr. El
7	Maati has made that he has been tortured in Syria,
8	and you are getting information here that somebody
9	in A-OCANADA calls to advise you that Cabana still
10	wants the Syrians to review what Project A-OCANADA
11	has and then provide them with questions for them
12	to ask.
13	You may not recall the torture,
14	but did that concern you when Mr. Cabana, a week
15	after the El Maati meeting, is wanting to share
16	this information with the Syrians and indeed send
17	a series of questions for them to ask of somebody,
18	whose name is redacted here we have an idea who
19	it is.
20	But did that concern you when you
21	saw this or when you got this information?
22	MR. FLEWELLING: I would like to
23	deal with that from a policy perspective, in that
24	normally when you are going to be dealing with a
25	foreign agency, or sending information, the normal

1	course or the most prudent course would be to pass
2	that information through CID, engage CID, as well
3	as other agencies, such as our DOJ, Justice,
4	Solicitor General, and DFAIT, to solicit their
5	input, their advice, prior to that decision being
6	made, if you will.
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right.
8	MR. FLEWELLING: And then once
9	that decision has been made, that information
LO	would be shared, with the instructions. It would
L1	go to the LO of the area, and the final step is
L2	that the head of station would have the final say.
13	So there is a check and balance in play.
L4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: What did you do
15	when you received this information from this
L6	individual at A-OCANADA, that this was being
L7	contemplated? Did you say, "Don't do it"?
L8	MR. FLEWELLING: I advised that
L9	the only person that could do that would be
20	the y that has the power to either invite
21	somebody to show up or to go, would be the
22	Commissioner.
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Of the RCMP?
24	MR. FLEWELLING: Of the RCMP.
) 5	MP CAVALLU770. Okay And you

1	gave that information back to this individual?
2	MR. FLEWELLING: That's correct.
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And presumably,
4	at least at this point in time, that ended that
5	endeavour, do you know, at this point in time?
6	MR. FLEWELLING: I believe so.
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay.
8	Mr. Commissioner, that brings us
9	to September the 26th, when Mr. Arar is detained,
10	and this might be an appropriate time to break for
11	the day.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. We
13	will rise until nine o'clock tomorrow morning.
14	THE REGISTRAR: Please stand.
15	Whereupon the hearing adjourned at 5:28 p.m.,
16	to resume on Tuesday, August 23, 2005,
17	at 9:00 a.m. / L'audience est ajournée à
18	17 h 28, pour reprendre le mardi 23 août 2005
19	à 9 h 00
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Lynda Johansson
14	C.S.R., R.P.R.
15	