Commission d'enquêtee sur les actions des responsables canadiens relativement à Maher Arar Commission of Inquiry into the Actions of Canadian Officials in Relation to Maher Arar

Audience publique

Public Hearing

Commissaire

L'Honorable juge / The Honourable Justice Dennis R. O'Connor

Commissioner

Tenue à:

Salon Algonquin Ancien hôtel de ville 111, Promenade Sussex Ottawa (Ontario)

le mercredi 31 août 2005

Held at:

Algonquin Room Old City Hall 111 Sussex Drive Ottawa, Ontario

Wednesday, August 31, 2005

APPEARANCES / COMPARUTIONS

| Mr. Paul Cavalluzzo M [®] Marc David Mr. Brian Gover Ms Veena Verma Ms Adela Mall Ms Lara Tessaro | Commission Counsel |
|---|--|
| Mr. Ronald G. Atkey | Amicus Curiae |
| Mr. Lorne Waldman Ms Marlys Edwardh Ms Breese Davies Ms Brena Parnes | Counsel for Maher Arar |
| Ms Barbara A. McIsaac, Q.C. Mr. Colin Baxter Mr. Simon Fothergill Mr. Gregory S. Tzemenakis Ms Helen J. Gray | Attorney General of Canada |
| Ms Lori Sterling Mr. Darrell Kloeze Ms Leslie McIntosh | Ministry of the Attorney General/ Ontario Provincial Police |
| Mr. Faisal Joseph | Canadian Islamic Congress |
| Ms Marie Henein Mr. Hussein Amery | National Council on Canada-Arab Relations |
| Mr. Steven Shrybman | Canadian Labour Congress/Council of Canadians and the Polaris Institute |
| Mr. Emelio Binavince | Minority Advocacy and Rights Council |
| Mr. Joe Arvay | The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association |

- iii -

APPEARANCES / COMPARUTIONS

| Mr. Kevin Woodall | The International Commission for Jurists, The Redress Trust, The Association for the Prevention of Torture, World Organization Against Torture |
|--|--|
| Colonel M ^e Michel W. Drapeau | The Muslim Community Council of Ottawa-Gatineau |
| Mr. David Matas | International Campaign Against Torture |
| Ms Barbara Olshansky | Centre for Constitutional Rights |
| Mr. Riad Saloojee Mr. Khalid Baksh | Canadian Council on American-Islamic Relations |
| Mr. Mel Green | Canadian Arab Federation |
| Ms Amina Sherazee | Muslim Canadian Congress |
| Ms Sylvie Roussel | Counsel for Maureen Girvan |
| Ms Catherine Beagan Flood | Counsel for the Parliamentary Clerk |
| Mr. Norman Boxall Mr. Don Bayne | Counsel for Michael Cabana |
| Mr. Richard Bell | |
| Mr. Vince Westwick Mr. Jim O'Grady | Counsel for Ottawa Police Service |
| Mr. Paul Copeland | Counsel for Abdullah Almalki |
| Ms Barbara Jackman | Counsel for Ahmed El Maati |
| | |

TABLE OF CONTENTS / TABLE DES MATIÈRES

| PREVIOUSLY SWORN: Léo Martel | 11266 |
|---------------------------------------|-------|
| Examination by Mr. Cavalluzzo (Cont.) | 11266 |
| Examination by Ms Edwardh | 11293 |
| Examination by Mr. Cavalluzzo | 11347 |
| Examination by Ms Edwardh | 11354 |
| Examination by Ms Jackman | 11590 |
| Examination by M ^e Baxter | 11602 |
| Examination by M ^e Décary | 11607 |
| Examination by Mr. Cavalluzzo | 11625 |

Motion

11638

Page

- V -

LIST OF EXHIBITS / PIÈCES JUSTICATIVES

| No. | Description | Page |
|-------|--|-------|
| P-243 | Document relating to what a Canadian official said that Mr. Martel told him about Mr. Arar in a conversation on February 8, 2004 | 11264 |
| P-244 | Document relating to what a Canadian official said that Mr. Martel told him about Mr. Arar in a conversation on February 8, 2004 | 11265 |
| P-245 | C-4 from Mr. Léo Martel to Head Office concerning Mr. Nureddin | 11265 |
| P-246 | Document entitled "Conclusions and Recommendations of the Committee Against Torture, Canada" | 11493 |
| P-247 | Article written by Jeff Sallot | 11578 |
| P-248 | Superior Court lawsuit | 11582 |
| P-249 | Federal Court lawsuit | 11582 |
| P-250 | Syrian lawsuit | 11583 |
| P-251 | Consular framework document | 11628 |
| P-252 | Report entitled "Consular Services Framework, Part 2, Consular Issues and Case Category Guidelines", dated January 2005 | 11636 |
| Р-253 | Draft memorandum from Mr. Solomon re Abdullah Almalki, dated October 30, 2002 | 11636 |

1 Ottawa, Ontario / Ottawa (Ontario) --- Upon commencing on Wednesday, August 31, 2005 2 at 9:15 a.m. / L'audience débute le mercredi 3 31 août 2005 à 9 h 15 4 THE REGISTRAR: Please be seated. 5 6 THE COMMISSIONER: Good morning, Mr. Martel. 7 8 MR. MARTEL: Good morning, 9 Commissioner. MR. CAVALLUZZO: Good morning, 10 11 Commissioner. I'm going to proceed on the 12 following basis. I referred to three documents 13 yesterday, and I will be asking the witness 14 questions about those three documents. 15 I was also going to ask him a 16 question concerning the Statement of Claim that 17 was referred to. I understand the Government is 18 attempting to retrieve another document in respect 19 20 of that. I will stand down those questions 21 relating to the claim until such time as that 2.2 document is retrieved. So that I will complete my 23 questions on these three documents and then defer questioning on the claim till later. 24 25 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.

1 MR. CAVALLUZZO: I would ask the clerk to file the three documents that I have 2 3 left. Just to explain, while the clerk 4 is distributing these documents --5 THE COMMISSIONER: P-243. 6 EXHIBIT NO. P-243: Document 7 relating to what a Canadian 8 9 official said that Mr. Martel told him about Mr. Arar in a 10 11 conversation on 12 February 8, 2004 MR. CAVALLUZZO: These three 13 documents will -- or the first two documents will 14 recount a meeting between Mr. Martel and a 15 Canadian official. The Government has taken the 16 position that we cannot disclose who the Canadian 17 official is or from where he comes or she comes. 18 19 The first document is a report of 20 that official, relating to the conversation he had 21 with Mr. Arar on February the 8th of 2004 -- or, excuse me, Mr. Martel, and it relates to what the 2.2 23 official said that Mr. Martel told him about Mr. Arar. 24 The second document --25

1 THE COMMISSIONER: The first one is the same one we looked at yesterday, isn't it? 2 MR. CAVALLUZZO: That's correct, 3 except paragraph 2 is now unredacted. 4 THE COMMISSIONER: I see. Okay. 5 Then the second one is 244. 6 EXHIBIT NO. P-244: Document 7 8 relating to a conversation on February 8, 2004, between a 9 Canadian official and Mr. Léo 10 11 Martel, in respect of what Mr. Léo Martel told this 12 official about Mr. Nureddin 13 MR. CAVALLUZZO: The second 14 document relates to a conversation on the same 15 day, between the same official and Mr. Martel, in 16 respect of what Mr. Martel told this official 17 about Mr. Nureddin. 18 And the final document is a C-4 19 from Mr. Martel, which is a report to head office 20 21 of DFAIT concerning Mr. Nureddin. THE COMMISSIONER: That's 245. 2.2 EXHIBIT NO. P-245: C-4 from 23 Mr. Léo Martel to Head Office 24 concerning Mr. Nureddin 25

1 PREVIOUSLY SWORN: LÉO MARTEL

2 EXAMINATION

3 MR. CAVALLUZZO: Mr. Martel, we asked you questions yesterday about paragraph 1, 4 where you told us that in referring to Mr. Arar as 5 a liar you were referring to the Statement of 6 7 Claim that you had on your desk in front of you, 8 and you were referring to the allegations he had 9 made in that claim. Is that correct? 10 11 MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's right. 12 MR. CAVALLUZZO: I'm going to come 13 back to that. I would like to ask you some questions about paragraph 2. 14 Let me read it to you. This is 15 the same official that we talked about yesterday, 16 and it relates to this conversation that was held 17 on February 8th of 2004. 18 I understand that the conversation 19 20 took place in your office. Isn't that correct? 21 MR. MARTEL: Yes. That's right. 2.2 MR. CAVALLUZZO: Paragraph 2 23 reads: Concerning irregularities and 24 stories, Martel said that 25

StenoTran

11266

1 Arar told him that during his 2 first two weeks in Syrian custody, they got everything 3 and then they left me alone. 4 Arar explained to Martel that 5 the Syrians had used some 6 physical punishment on him, 7 namely, beatings to the soles 8 of his foot, elbows and 9 10 places where there would be 11 no scarring with a thick, 12 black plastic cable. Arar 13 was very clear that the Syrians appeared to be 14 satisfied with his answers 15 16 and did not do any more physical punishment or 17 interrogations after this. 18 Martel pointed out that Arar 19 20 is now saying that he was 21 tortured for longer periods 2.2 and more brutally. This change in story is likely 23 24 linked to lawsuits or certain 25 pressure groups which have

1 political agendas, according to Martel. 2 And the question that I have for 3 you, Mr. Martel, is whether you told this Canadian 4 official this information about Mr. Arar? 5 MR. MARTEL: No. My answer is no. 6 7 This paragraph two -- is 90% inaccurate, and it 8 referred to -- and I believe that my colleague or 9 the official made a mistake - with respect to the report, and he confused it with another case that 10 11 I had to work on. 12 MR. CAVALLUZZO: And you are talking about Mr. Nureddin as being the other 13 dossier? 14 MR. MARTEL: That's right and --15 That's it. 16 MR. CAVALLUZZO: Did you say that 17 18 paragraph 2 is 90 per cent correct or 90 per cent incorrect? 19 20 MR. MARTEL: No, 90 per cent 21 incorrect. 2.2 MR. CAVALLUZZO: Oh, 90 per cent 23 incorrect or wrong. Let me take you through each 24 25 sentence.

1 It says: Concerning irregularities in 2 stories, Martel said that 3 Arar told him that during his 4 first two weeks in custody, 5 they got everything and then 6 they left me alone. 7 8 Does that relate to Mr. Arar, and 9 did you say that to him about Mr. Arar? MR. MARTEL: Yes. It's possible 10 11 that I said that to my colleague, and that's what 12 I'd understood from my client: they got everything they wanted from him in the first two weeks, and 13 then after they'd left him alone. 14 MR. CAVALLUZZO: Mr. Nureddin 15 never made such a claim, did he? 16 MR. MARTEL: Mr. Nureddin, no. Mr. 17 18 Nureddin said something else. 19 Mr. Nureddin, we see further on in 2.0 the report what he said. 21 MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Let us 2.2 leave out the next sentence, and I will come back 23 to the next sentence that deals with physical punishment. 24 25 It goes on to say, in the third

1 sentence: Arar was very clear that the 2 Syrians appeared to be 3 satisfied with his answers 4 and did not do any more 5 physical punishment or 6 interrogations after this. 7 8 Did Mr. Arar tell you this as 9 well? MR. MARTEL: No. 10 11 That -- to my knowledge -- based 12 on both what I recall and reports that I prepared at the time, this sentence did not come from Mr. 13 Arar. 14 15 MR. CAVALLUZZO: Do you not recall, Mr. Martel, in his August 14th meeting, 16 where he told you that the investigation was 17 18 intensive in the beginning? 19 MR. MARTEL: Yes, I remember, but 20 what is said in this report is a year after Mr. 21 Arar's release, and after Mr. Nureddin's release. MR. CAVALLUZZO: So what? 2.2 23 MR. MARTEL: In other words, at that time, there was information that was -- had 24 been sent to me, and as you rightly mentioned, at 25

1 the August 14 meeting, I didn't have this information. 2 MR. CAVALLUZZO: But listen to the 3 question, Mr. Martel. This is February the 8th of 4 2004. 5 At this point in time, you have 6 the information that Mr. Arar stated on the August 7 8 14th meeting. You have the information that he 9 gave you on the flight back to Canada on October the 5th. We see what you told the DFAIT officials 10 11 on October the 7th in Ottawa. 12 And it certainly looks very familiar to that particular sentence, where 13 Mr. Arar is saying, early on, they were rough with 14 him and after that they left him alone. 15 It looks very similar to what you 16 17 told us he told you, Mr. Martel.

MR. MARTEL: Yes, perhaps it's
similar. But according to my recollection, to
what I recall, this part was from Mr. Nureddin.
You will have to check in later
reports to see if this text is almost identical to
what I wrote on Mr. Nureddin.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Well, let

25

us look to your report on Mr. Nureddin, which is

StenoTran

11271

1 Exhibit 245, and maybe you can help us and tell us where you say that about Mr. Nureddin. 2 --- Pause 3 MR. MARTEL: Yes, I can't find the 4 reference. 5 MR. CAVALLUZZO: And where is it? 6 MR. MARTEL: No, I can't find the 7 8 reference. In the document regarding Mr. 9 Nureddin --MR. CAVALLUZZO: In your four-page 10 report to Ottawa, you find no reference similar to 11 12 that? MR. MARTEL: No. That's right, yes. 13 MR. CAVALLUZZO: I didn't think 14 15 so. MR. MARTEL: Yes, I don't see it, 16 in any case, in this report right now. 17 MR. CAVALLUZZO: Let's look at 18 what you told your DFAIT colleagues. 19 20 If you go to your Book of 21 Documents, tab 1 -- this is Exhibit 242 -- the 2.2 first person, Peter McCrae. This is what Peter 23 McCrae writes that you told him at this meeting, or told the group. 24 25 If you go five lines up from the

1 bottom: Beaten occasionally 2 3 Stopped interrogating after two weeks 4 Next one is Mr. John McNee at page 5 5 of 14. After [page 2] reference, at page 5 of 6 14 at the bottom. 7 8 Under [page 2]: 9 Mental cruelty Then it says: 10 11 Beaten occasionally - angry, 12 Slapped around in first two 13 weeks - interrogation in first two 14 weeks, then nothing till 15 16 Sampson questions. I could go on with the three 17 others, Mr. Martel, and I'm putting it to you that 18 in Exhibit 243, where the Canadian official said 19 20 that you said Arar was very clear that the Syrians 21 appeared to be satisfied with his answers and did 2.2 not do any more physical punishment or 23 interrogations after this, I'm putting it to you, Mr. Martel, that you told this Canadian official 24 that about Mr. Arar and not Mr. Nureddin? 25

1 MR. MARTEL: I can't recall this circumstance exactly. This official wrote that, 2 and now it's being said that I said it. 3 Today, or even six months ago, I 4 can't recall having said this to my colleague. 5 What I learned from Mr. Arar was 6 7 mainly after his detention and when -- and as you 8 refer to it here, what I said at the meeting in 9 Canada. But what my -- this official has 10 11 written and attributed to Mr. Arar comes from him. I can't -- I can't say anything 12 further about what he wrote at that time. 13 My understanding ---14 15 MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Then we will rely on what you said to others in terms of 16 whether this was said or not, unless you can help 17 18 us any further. MR. MARTEL: No, I can't help you 19 20 any further. What I said is there. 21 MR. CAVALLUZZO: Then let's go to 2.2 the next sentence in that paragraph. 23 It said that: Martel pointed out that Arar 24 25 is now saying that he was

1 tortured for longer periods 2 and more brutally. Now, Mr. Martel, did the Canadian 3 official capture this correctly? In other words, 4 did you say this to the Canadian official about 5 Mr. Arar? 6 MR. MARTEL: Well, either I said it 7 8 or my colleague learned about it in the -- from 9 the press. I can't recall at that time. But 10 11 it's the truth. 12 MR. CAVALLUZZO: Do you normally have colleagues lying about what you say? 13 MR. MARTEL: My colleagues can 14 interpret or construct things. Now, if they want 15 to say that I had these conversations, they can do 16 17 that. 18 That doesn't mean that they are lying, but they're making up the texts themselves. 19 20 But if they say or believe that 21 that's what I said, they're going -- they going to write it. 2.2 And you would 23 MR. CAVALLUZZO: agree with me that that question that I just read 24 to you clearly does not apply to Mr. Nureddin? 25

1 MR. MARTEL: I don't know -- This -- this sentence that reads ---2 MR. CAVALLUZZO: You don't know? 3 MR. MARTEL: Just a second please. 4 Let me read it. 5 Martel pointed out that Arar 6 7 is now saying ... 8 I think that my colleague saw 9 public reports, and then -- that say the contrary to what was said before. That's all. 10 MR. CAVALLUZZO: So you deny that 11 12 you told this Canadian official ---MR. MARTEL: No, I -- I can't deny 13 it because it's already very old. Maybe, I told 14 him that there were reports right now, in the 15 press -- that indicate that the situation is now 16 different. 17 MR. CAVALLUZZO: Oh, I see. Okay. 18 MR. MARTEL: --- That indicate that 19 the situation is different now. 2.0 21 MR. CAVALLUZZO: I see. Right. 2.2 You may have said it, you may not have. 23 MR. MARTEL: But it's public. They're in the public files. It's 24 25 the press.

1 MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. Now I note that I should tell you, 2 3 by the way, that this report from this Canadian official was made shortly after this conversation 4 and was submitted to his superior shortly 5 thereafter, and I'm wondering if you have any 6 notes of this conversation. 7 8 MR. MARTEL: No, no. This 9 conversation took place ---MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Let's ---10 11 MR. MARTEL: It was in August in my 12 view. Now, let us look 13 MR. CAVALLUZZO: to the last sentence of that paragraph that you 14 said 90 per cent of which is incorrect. 15 16 It says, This change in story is 17 likely linked to lawsuits or 18 19 certain pressure groups which 2.0 have political agendas 21 according to Martel. 2.2 Do you recall saying that to this Canadian official? 23 MR. MARTEL: Not in those terms. 24 Those aren't my words. My colleague composes his 25

1 owns texts.

And of course at that time, I had 2 a civil suit before me. And I think that he asked 3 me why there was a change in the story, and I told 4 him that people could speculate about anything. 5 And I even remember that I said at 6 7 that time that Maher had a memory. Maybe his 8 memory had since changed. He could perhaps recall 9 things now that he couldn't recall before. And then there's this civil suit 10 anyway. So people can speculate about whatever 11 12 they like. 13 MR. CAVALLUZZO: And certainly that last sentence does not apply to Mr. Nureddin? 14 MR. MARTEL: No. The last sentence 15 certainly applies to Mr. Arar. 16 And if you go 17 MR. CAVALLUZZO: 18 through every other paragraph of this document, you will see that it clearly relates to Mr. Arar. 19 20 And let me take you through it. 21 Paragraph 3 refers to how he was rendered from the United States into Jordan and 2.2 23 then on to Syria. That clearly doesn't apply to Mr. Nureddin. You would agree? 24 25 MR. MARTEL: No, of course, that's

1 Mr. Arar. MR. CAVALLUZZO: Paragraph 4 talks 2 about ten consular -- let me just read this to 3 you: 4 Martel said that he made a 5 number of consular visits to 6 Mr. Arar. 7 That clearly is Mr. Arar, not 8 9 Nureddin, because you never had a consular visit with Nureddin. Isn't that correct? 10 11 MR. MARTEL: That's right. 12 MR. CAVALLUZZO: It goes on. Ιt 13 goes on and states: 14 ... and that the first visit, which was much longer than 15 16 ten days after his arrival in Syria ---17 Do you recall saying that to the 18 Canadian official? 19 20 MR. MARTEL: Possibly, because there it -- it's still the issue of when Mr. Arar 21 had arrived. 2.2 And until now, I had Maher's 23 version that indicated that he had simply spent a 24 few hours in Jordan. 25

1 Therefore, we could deduce that he had been in Syria for a longer period of time. 2 MR. CAVALLUZZO: And by this point 3 in time -- we are now at February the 8th of 4 2004 -- had you made a conclusion in your own mind 5 whether this was correct, that Mr. Arar had been 6 7 in Syria for a number of days prior to the Syrians 8 giving disclosure of that fact? 9 MR. MARTEL: Well in my view, Maher told me that he had spent a few hours in Jordan, 10 and I had no reason to doubt his word. 11 12 If he spent seven or eight hours in Jordan, in my view, he spent seven or eight 13 hours in Jordan. He spoke to me, and that's what 14 he told me, and I believe it. 15 MR. CAVALLUZZO: And then the 16 final paragraph that isn't redacted is paragraph 17 18 6, and that clearly applies to Mr. Arar, not Mr. Nureddin. 19 20 That says that you were annoyed at 21 suggestions that Canada didn't do enough to release Mr. Arar. 2.2 You never said that about 23 Mr. Nureddin, I assume. 24 25 MR. MARTEL: No. That applies to

1 Mr. Arar and the civil suit. MR. CAVALLUZZO: Let us come back 2 3 to paragraph 2, to that sentence I left out. And that is the second sentence, where the Canadian 4 official is advising his superiors that this is 5 what you told him on February the 8th of 2004: 6 7 Arar explained to Martel that 8 the Syrians had used some 9 physical punishment on him, namely, beatings to the soles 10 11 of his foot, elbows and 12 places where there would be no scarring with a thick, 13 black plastic cable. 14 Do you recall telling this 15 Canadian official --16 MR. MARTEL: No. No. No. 17 This official is completely wrong. 18 19 MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. And you 20 said before that you think he was confusing this 21 with another matter, the Nureddin matter? 2.2 MR. MARTEL: In my opinion, he 23 confused two separate cases. MR. CAVALLUZZO: Then let us look 24 at what this same Canadian official reported back 25

1 to his superiors about what you told him about Mr. Nureddin. 2 This is Exhibit 244. 3 And if you go to the second page 4 of this report, the second page at paragraph 5 number 2 at the top there, it says: 6 Once under Martel's custody 7 [somebody] spoke openly about 8 his incarceration. 9 And we understand -- can we 10 11 mention the name of this individual? 12 MS. JACKMAN: Yes, you can. 13 MR. CAVALLUZZO: Thank you. 14 Let me read it again. Once under Martel's custody, 15 16 Nureddin spoke openly about his incarceration. He said 17 18 he was not segregated but was with other prisoners in a 19 2.0 large cell. He believed that he was not in serious 21 trouble, otherwise he would 2.2 23 have been in a solitary cell. Nureddin told Martel that "I 24 25 was not treated too badly. I

1 was beaten but not that much." According to Martel, 2 Nureddin said that he was 3 doused with cold water and 4 the soles of his feet were 5 beaten with thick black 6 plastic-covered cables. 7 Nureddin told Martel that he 8 did not consider this 9 punishment to be serious. 10 11 Are you telling us today that you believe the Canadian official confused Nureddin's 12 treatment with Mr. Arar's treatment described in 13 paragraph 2? 14 MR. MARTEL: That's absolutely 15 16 right. Exactly. MR. CAVALLUZZO: You are sure of 17 that? 18 MR. MARTEL: I'm certain. I'm 19 20 positive. 21 MR. CAVALLUZZO: Positive? MR. MARTEL: Yes. 2.2 MR. CAVALLUZZO: Let's see what 23 you said in your report Nureddin told you. This 24 is Exhibit 245, on the second page at page 8, 25

starting with "The Syrians".

1

If you go six lines down, it says 2 3 Interrogators did not believe his story and ordered him to 4 undress, except for his 5 underwear. They then poured 6 cold water on his body while 7 8 he stood. They repeated the 9 same interrogation. When 10 Nureddin did not provide 11 additional or new information, they asked him 12 13 to lay down on his stomach on a dry section of the floor. 14 They then poured cold water 15 16 on his back. They focused a fan on his body. They asked 17 him to raise his legs from 18 19 the knee part and they 2.0 starting beating him with the rubber black cables on the 21 soles of his feet. 2.2 23 This is how you describe the treatment that Nureddin told you. 24 MR. MARTEL: This is the treatment 25

that Nureddin described to me and that was sent. 1 MR. CAVALLUZZO: So coming back to 2 3 Exhibit 243, which is what the Canadian official said you said about Arar, and wherein he says that 4 There were beatings to the 5 soles of his foot, elbows and 6 places where there would be 7 8 no scarring with a thick, 9 black plastic cable. You believe that with those words 10 11 he was confusing what you told him about --MR. MARTEL: I'm sure of it. 12 It has nothing to do with it. He 13 simply mixed up the two cases. 14 All right. 15 MR. CAVALLUZZO: There is no reference to cold water, which I would have 16 thought was an important event. 17 18 MR. MARTEL: What did he use, which 19 word? He was doused in water. 2.0 21 That's not one of my words. MR. CAVALLUZZO: Where does he use 2.2 23 that? 24 MR. MARTEL: In his report. 25 My colleague used this word

1 somewhere.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Yes, in his 2 3 report about Nureddin. If you compare his report about Nureddin and your report about Nureddin, 4 it's very similar. You both talk about dousing or 5 pouring of cold water and dousing with cold water, 6 7 and you both talk about cables on the feet. MR. MARTEL: The entire issue --8 9 the entire issue of being hit on the feet, on the soles of the feet, with cables, and all that, 10 11 Nureddin reported that to me; it applied to 12 Nureddin. I am 100% convinced that my 13 colleague confused the two cases. He attributed 14 this case to Mr. Arar, when it was not Mr. Arar, 15 but Mr. Nureddin. 16 They are two completely different 17 cases. The two did not receive the same treatment. 18 And Nureddin was very specific. 19 20 I'm saying again today that my 21 colleague mixed up the two cases. MR. CAVALLUZZO: Let's see how 2.2 confused he was. 23 You would agree with me that in 24 Exhibit 243, which is the Arar report, there is no 25

1 mention whatever of cold water? MR. MARTEL: No, I don't think so. 2 There is no mention of it. 3 MR. CAVALLUZZO: You would agree 4 with me that there is mention of cold water in 5 both your report of what happened to Nureddin and 6 the Canadian official's report about what you told 7 8 him happened to Nureddin? MR. MARTEL: Yes. It indicated the 9 treatment, water poured on the individual, who was 10 lying on the floor. Yes. 11 12 MR. CAVALLUZZO: You would agree 13 with me that in your report about what Nureddin told you happened, or the report of the Canadian 14 official about what you told him happened to 15 Nureddin, there is no reference to beatings on the 16 elbows and "places where there would be no 17 18 scarring"? 19 You would agree with that? 2.0 MR. MARTEL: I'd have to read it. 21 Where is it mentioned? It's my colleague's report, right? 2.2 23 MR. CAVALLUZZO: Your colleague's report is, once again, 244, in the second 24 paragraph, and he refers to the dousing of cold 25

1 water and the soles of his feet being beaten. There is no reference to elbows or 2 3 other places of the body where it doesn't show, right? 4 MR. MARTEL: Yes. I see. I see. 5 MR. CAVALLUZZO: Let's look at 6 your report, 245. You refer to beatings with a 7 rubber cable on the soles of his feet. You make 8 9 no reference to his elbows or parts of the body where it doesn't show. 10 11 You would agree with that? 12 MR. MARTEL: That's right. MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. But in 13 243, there is reference to that. 14 15 MR. MARTEL: Yes, but it's a report that my colleague prepared. He's the one who 16 prepared it, not me. 17 MR. CAVALLUZZO: 18 Right. Perhaps 19 it may be useful if we refer to what Mr. Arar 20 said, if you go to your Book of Documents, 21 Exhibit 242 at tab 17. --- Pause 2.2 23 MR. CAVALLUZZO: Do you have that, Mr. Martel? 24 MR. MARTEL: Yes, I have it. 25

1 MR. CAVALLUZZO: If you go to page 5 of 9 at tab 17, for the period October 11 to 16, 2 3 2002, it says: Early the next morning Arar 4 is taken upstairs for intense 5 interrogation. He is beaten 6 on his palms, wrists, lower 7 back and hips with a shredded 8 black electrical cable which 9 is about two inches in 10 11 diameter. He is threatened with the metal chair, 12 electric shocks, and with the 13 tire, into which prisoners 14 are stuffed, immobilized and 15 16 beaten. I'm putting to you, Mr. Martel, 17 18 that what Mr. Arar stated happened to him in his chronology is much closer to what is said in 19 20 paragraph 2 of Exhibit 243, what the Canadian 21 official said you said about Mr. Arar than 2.2 anything relating to Mr. Nureddin. 23 As a result of that, I'm putting to you, Mr. Martel, that paragraph 2 in 24 particular, the reference to "physical punishment, 25

1 namely beatings to the soles of his feet, elbows and places where there would be no scarring" is 2 3 exactly what you told this Canadian official Mr. Arar told you happened to him in Syria. 4 Isn't that correct? 5 MR. MARTEL: No. I categorically 6 deny it. 7 8 Never at any time did Mr. Arar 9 speak to me about -- about these acts of violence inflicted on him. 10 11 These acts of violence -- these 12 texts came out much later, and until these documents were published, I was unaware of them, 13 and Maher never spoke to me about them, either 14 during his detention or after his detention. 15 Therefore, what my colleague wrote 16 I don't know where he got it from. 17 18 MR. CAVALLUZZO: So you are saying that your colleague, the Canadian official, who is 19 20 reporting to his superiors shortly after this 21 conversation, he got it wrong? MR. MARTEL: Well, he's the one who 2.2 23 must know what he wrote and what was his information source. I don't know. 24 25 But certainly not from me in any

1 case. MR. CAVALLUZZO: Well, Mr. Martel, 2 3 he says you are the source of that information, and I'm putting it to you that you are saying he 4 got it wrong? 5 MR. MARTEL: Exactly. 6 7 MR. CAVALLUZZO: He got it wrong. 8 MR. MARTEL: This isn't -- I 9 couldn't have told him that. He's got it backwards. 10 11 MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. Because 12 you never make mistakes? MR. MARTEL: I'm human just like 13 everybody else. 14 MR. CAVALLUZZO: I have no further 15 questions, Mr. Commissioner, subject to questions 16 relating to the claim I want to speak to this 17 witness about. 18 19 THE COMMISSIONER: Right, I 20 understand. 21 Thank you. Ms Edwardh? 2.2 23 MS EDWARDH: Mr. Commissioner, before I begin, I would like to just make one 24 observation. 25

1 The documents Mr. Cavalluzzo has filed this morning, Exhibits 245 and 244, are 2 3 documents I have never seen before, and Exhibit 243 is one that was given to me late in the day 4 yesterday, and I want to make this observation. 5 When I say it was given to me late 6 in the day yesterday, it was given to me in the 7 8 sense of the less redacted version. And in my 9 version that I got when Mr. Martel was to testify back in June, paragraph 2 was redacted. And that 10 is the paragraph, of course, that Mr. Cavalluzzo 11 12 has explored in some detail but leaves open to you the inference that, on an earlier occasion, 13 Mr. Martel told some person who was interviewing 14 him that Mr. Arar had described beatings during 15 the first two weeks of his interrogation. 16 I just want to register this 17 It is inconceivable, 18 observation. Mr. Commissioner, that this was redacted. 19 Tt is 20 my understanding the Government of Canada sought, 21 until yesterday, to keep that information from Mr. Arar and counsel for Mr. Arar under the 2.2 23 auspices of a claim of National Security Confidentiality. 24 25 It is so patently obvious to me as

1 counsel and to my client that, but for raising the suggestions that the Syrians beat him, there can 2 be absolutely no basis for such a claim. 3 And I want to say that I find it shocking that counsel 4 for the Government and the Government of Canada 5 had sought, until yesterday, to hide that document 6 from us. 7 8 EXAMINATION 9 MS. EDWARDH: Now, let me begin, if I could, I'm going to retrace, Mr. Martel. 10 11 I take it you are aware that Mr. Arar is my client? 12 MR. MARTEL: I am aware. 13 Yes, I am aware of that. 14 MS EDWARDH: And to the extent you 15 are comfortable in answering any questions in 16 English to expedite this, please feel free to do 17 so, or in French, as you so choose. 18 19 MR. MARTEL: Thank you. 20 MS EDWARDH: I want to talk, first 21 of all, and go back to Exhibit P-197, which is the 2.2 review of Consular Affairs, and we are going to 23 have to struggle with a few documents. Thank you, Mr. Registrar. 24 I'm

25 going to give you your exercise today.

StenoTran

11293

1 Of course, it is my understanding, and I wonder if I can just confirm your 2 understanding, that one of the reasons the 3 Inspector General undertook an evaluation of the 4 provision of Consular Services on the part of the 5 Department of Foreign Affairs was because, in 6 7 fact, there were new demands facing the Department 8 and new demands of the kind represented by 9 Mr. Arar's case. Is that fair? 10 11 MR. MARTEL: Well, I think that 12 this question should be put to senior management and the management at Consular Affairs. 13 If they decided that we had to 14 15 move with the times, and based on the challenges that we were presented with, there was certainly a 16 17 check. 18 And I believe that the Inspector 19 General carried out an evaluation and certainly 20 came up with recommendations. 21 What motivated this change, I 2.2 think that senior management and management at 23 Consular Affairs should be asked this question, in my view. 24 25 MS EDWARDH: I'm asking you,

StenoTran

11294

1 Mr. Martel. That is your understanding, is it 2 not? MR. MARTEL: Yes, I think that this 3 was one of the reasons that pushed the Department 4 to conduct an evaluation and review our practices 5 and policies. Because we discovered -- in fact 6 7 we -- we realized that our world had changed and 8 that we had more and more cases abroad --9 difficult cases. MS EDWARDH: And Mr. Arar's case 10 was one such case that prompted this review? 11 12 MR. MARTEL: Well, I don't know. The question must be asked -- I don't know what 13 motivated this review. 14 We are in the field. We are asked 15 sometimes to take part in -- developing documents. 16 Then they decided at management to go ahead with 17 an evaluation. 18 But in general, all decisions are 19 20 made here. We may be consulted about the content 21 afterwards, but we aren't the ones taking the initiative. 2.2 23 MS EDWARDH: My question is quite

24 simple. It's clear that cases like Mr. Arar's 25 posed new and difficult challenges for the

StenoTran

11295

provisions of consular service? Yes? 1 2 Do you agree with that 3 proposition? MR. MARTEL: Yes, of course. All 4 dual nationality cases pose serious problems. 5 MS EDWARDH: Well, not every one. 6 7 But let us assume his created problems and others 8 have created problems? 9 MR. MARTEL: Yes, his and many others now. 10 MS EDWARDH: And indeed the very 11 introduction to this document, in the third line, 12 the following observation is made: 13 The Evaluation Division -- " 14 15 I'm looking at page 6, first paragraph under "Introduction", section (a): 16 The Evaluation Division of 17 the office of the Inspector 18 General was asked to examine 19 20 the new demands faced by 21 Consular Services and how the 2.2 consular program could best position itself to address 23 these demands in the future." 24 25 Do you see that?

1 MR. MARTEL: No, I'm sorry. What 2 page are you on? MS EDWARDH: Mr. Martel, I'm on 3 page 6. 4 MR. MARTEL: Six. 5 Yes, I see. 6 MS EDWARDH: And I am in the first 7 8 paragraph. 9 MR. MARTEL: Okay. 10 MS EDWARDH: And I am at the third 11 line of the first paragraph. MR. MARTEL: Fine. I see. 12 13 MS EDWARDH: It begins, and what it says is, 14 15 ... the Inspector General was 16 asked to examine the new demands faced by Consular 17 Services and how the consular 18 program could best position 19 itself to address these 20 21 demands in the future. 2.2 And all I'm really suggesting to 23 you is the review was undertaken because of difficult and challenging consular cases like that 24 of Mr. Arar. 25

1 MR. MARTEL: Yes, of course. In my view, the Department had to 2 3 do something because -- or change owing to the fact that cases like Mr. Arar's were multiplying, 4 especially in the region, in the Middle East, and 5 possibly elsewhere. 6 7 MS EDWARDH: Yes. Fair enough. 8 And one of the challenges in delivering consular 9 services is set out at page 27 of the report under 4.4. 10 MR. MARTEL: Yes. 11 12 MS EDWARDH: And the particular 13 challenge I'm going to ask you to agree with is: To position Consular Services 14 15 in such a way that they are 16 better able to identify when persons are submitted to 17 18 physical or mental torture. 19 So they can be better able to 20 identify it. 21 MR. MARTEL: I think that it's one 2.2 of the objectives that was in this report, which 23 was clearly described. It's one of the objectives. Of course. 24 MS EDWARDH: And Mr. Cavalluzzo 25

1 put to you the proposition that your colleagues, those who deliver consular services on behalf of 2 the Department of Foreign Affairs, readily 3 admitted that they had real problems or 4 difficulties in recognizing that persons who are 5 detained may or are subject to physical or mental 6 7 abuse. Your colleagues recognized that. MR. MARTEL: Well Mr. Cavalluzzo 8 9 made that reference. Right now, I don't have any exact 10 11 details. Is this all my colleagues, some of my 12 colleagues? They are my colleagues at what level? I don't have any details. 13

MS EDWARDH: I'm talking about 14 15 colleagues like you who are visiting detainees who are held in institutions or prisons, or in 16 confinement; that those colleagues readily 17 18 acknowledged that they had difficulty in identifying whether someone had been tortured or 19 been the victim of abuse. 2.0 MR. MARTEL: Are you talking about 21 2.2

colleagues who have a lot of experience in the field?

MR. DÉCARY: I object, 24

Mr. Commissioner. 25

23

StenoTran

11299

1 Can my colleague address the 2 questions to the person, what his experience is, does he agree with the statement, as opposed to 3 what his colleagues have stated? Just ask the 4 witness what he thinks about this, and that's it. 5 I mean, this is a fact-finding 6 7 inquiry, what this witness has to say, not his 8 opinion of what his colleagues have to say. 9 THE COMMISSIONER: Well, it is cross-examination, Mr. Décary, but in any event... 10 11 Go ahead, please, Ms Edwardh. Thank you. 12 MR. DÉCARY: But this -- and this 13 will be the last time on this one. 14 It's just that I understood that 15 on part of the examination, instead of it being 16 done by Mr. Cavalluzzo, it was done by a 17 colleague, and therefore I take it that this is in 18 the fact-finding mission. It's not truly 19 20 cross-examination, if I'm --21 THE COMMISSIONER: No, let's be clear about this. 2.2 23 Commission counsel is certainly entitled to cross-examine, if you read the rules 24 and look at the process that has been followed in 25

1 other public inquiries. The object of the exercise is for me to find out what happened. 2 3 While Commission counsel obviously approaches the matter not from any particular 4 perspective or point of view, is not trying to 5 prove anything, Commission counsel nonetheless has 6 7 the task of assuring that I get the accurate 8 picture. If a witness conducts himself or herself 9 in such a way that Commission counsel are required to ask pressing questions, then that is entirely 10 appropriate. 11 But in any event, that is perhaps 12 not directly your point. 13 The second point is that 14 Commission counsel, as I heard yesterday -- and I 15 haven't heard submissions on it -- had indicated 16 that Ms Edwardh would be covering questions in a 17 18 certain area. That is useful to me, if I have one counsel rather than two doing it, simply so that 19 20 we don't waste time. As you know, I'm anxious to 21 get through this. 2.2 So I don't have any problem with 23 Ms Edwardh cross-examining, and the fact that a subject was deferred to her should not in any way 24 limit her right to cross-examine this witness 25

thoroughly, as she sees fit, on behalf of her client.

If you want to make further 3 submissions that she is not entitled to 4 cross-examine, I will hear you now. But why I'm 5 laying this out at length now is that it is my 6 view that she is entitled to cross-examine and it 7 8 would be helpful to me if her cross-examination 9 wasn't frequently interrupted so that we could get through with the process in a timely way. 10

MR. DÉCARY: I appreciate that. If the purpose is to contradict statements made in his principal testimony, I understand it becomes a form of cross-examination. But I don't believe that the question was ever put to the witness as to what his views are in respect to the specific references that are made by my colleague.

So she should first ask this person what his views are, and then if they are not in accord with the colleague's views, then there is a rise for cross-examination, but not before the question is put.

It is a fact-finding basis what
the Commission is, and that is my position.
THE COMMISSIONER: With great

StenoTran

11302

respect, I think you would be asking me to micromanage a cross-examination, and if you were cross-examining a witness I think you might be concerned if the judge intervened to say, "Now, in conducting your cross-examination, you should ask this question first, and this next, and then do that."

I think we can avoid a lot of this 8 9 delay -- we are taking time doing this, but so be it -- if we just accept that Ms Edwardh is 10 11 entitled to cross-examine, as she would in any 12 other type of proceeding, and if you confine your objections to what you might object to if she were 13 cross-examining in a commercial case that you 14 15 might be familiar with.

16 In any event, I'm satisfied the 17 line of questioning is appropriate.

MR. DÉCARY: Very well.

19 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you,

18

20

25

Mr. Décary.

21 Please proceed, Ms Edwardh.
22 MS EDWARDH: I'm not likely to
23 know the questions to ask in a commercial case,
24 Mr. Commissioner.

Let me phrase it this way: It is

1 clear that the office of the Inspector General makes a conclusion, or draws a conclusion, about 2 3 the willingness and candour of your consular colleagues in acknowledging how difficult it is 4 when they meet someone in detention to determine 5 whether they have been tortured or abused 6 7 physically or mentally? 8 You agree that that is the 9 conclusion that the author of this report made? MR. MARTEL: Yes, of course, 10 because they also say that the Consular Affairs 11 Office must review, plan, inform and give better 12 training to its officers, once this training is 13 ready, and if you would like to know a little more 14 -- my department approached me to see if I was 15 interested in taking part here in the training to 16 help them. 17 18 THE COMMISSIONER: Can you just hold on, just for a moment, please? I'm having 19 technical difficulties. 2.0 21 --- Pause 2.2 THE COMMISSIONER: All right; 23 thank you. MS EDWARDH: Mr. Martel, I'm going 24 to interrupt you just for a moment, sir, and ask 25

1 you to listen to my questions. Otherwise, you and I, and probably the Commissioner, are going to get 2 3 very grumpy around 5 o'clock today because we will not be concluded. 4 My question, I think, was quite 5 simple. Let me just phrase it: You will agree 6 that the author of this report found that your 7 8 colleagues were candid in acknowledging that they 9 had difficulty in identifying persons who were subject to mental or physical torture when they --10 11 MR. MARTEL: My answer is yes; I 12 agree because they take appropriate measures. It's indicated here in the text. 13 MS EDWARDH: Thank you. 14 And I take it, sir, that this issue, of seeing detainees 15 in conditions of confinement, and trying to 16 determine whether they are the subject of physical 17 or mental abuse, is one you have had an 18 opportunity to talk about with some of your 19 20 colleagues over the years you have provided 21 consular services? MR. MARTEL: Of course. 2.2 I've had 23 the opportunity to discuss it with my desk officer in Ottawa, and my colleague, because, first of 24 all, we must clearly understand that there are two 25

circumstances. In other words ---1 MS EDWARDH: I've only asked you 2 3 if you have discussed it. MR. MARTEL: Well, we're in 4 contact, almost on a daily basis, with our 5 colleagues in Ottawa who take care of the same 6 7 cases as we do. Liaison always has to be done, 8 and in terms of the content -- it's always 9 difficult. They know it. And I just want to 10 MS EDWARDH: 11 establish that yesterday you were very candid and 12 you agreed that you had no special skill, no special training, and it was as difficult for you 13 as it was for your other senior colleagues? 14 MR. MARTEL: Yes. 15 In the circumstances that I experienced, in an 16 environment controlled by another State, it's even 17 more difficult. The ideal conditions, you are 18 familiar with them. I don't need to state them 19 20 for you. When the Vienna Convention is applied, 21 everything becomes much easier, but under 2.2 conditions that are controlled by a foreign 23 country, that's an enormous challenge to meet for 24 everyone. 25 MS EDWARDH: And you, I think,

1 sir, were candid enough -- all I'm asking you is you are not suggesting you are any better than 2 3 your other senior colleagues who have professed to have had this problem? 4 MR. MARTEL: I don't profess to be 5 any better than my senior colleagues. 6 MS EDWARDH: You are also, I take 7 8 it, keenly aware that the art of physical abuse 9 and torture includes means which are not highly visible on a person's body? You know that? 10 11 MR. MARTEL: Yes I know that 12 already from reports from experts. Of course, with modern techniques, as they refer to them now, they 13 don't leave any signs. 14 And we also know that MS EDWARDH: 15 if someone is the victim of psychological torture, 16 that there is really no way to identify that 17 without a full and open conversation with them 18 outside the scrutiny of their gaolers? 19 20 MR. MARTEL: Yes, we can conclude 21 this only if there are visible signs. We can't 2.2 really get to the bottom of it without a private 23 conversation with a client. MS EDWARDH: We will come to some 24 of those visible signs later, but I just want to 25

1 make sure that we are talking in a framework where it is understood that the signs of torture today 2 may be undetectable or difficult to detect. 3 And you agree with that? 4 MR. MARTEL: Yes, completely, and 5 6 experts say so. MS EDWARDH: And you knew that and 7 8 have known that for many years, Mr. Martel? 9 MR. MARTEL: With modern techniques, of course, we can now, and we could 10 then, and I knew before that there are all kinds 11 12 of ways to approach it, at different levels and to different degrees, and we can very well torture 13 someone, and in a very short time, this person can 14 appear completely normal. 15 MS EDWARDH: I just want to review 16 some of your experience, if I could. 17 18 I know, sir, that you have had extensive involvement in the management side of 19 20 consular affairs for many, many years, but I'm 21 trying, if I could, to get a better understanding 2.2 of how long you have actively been involved in 23 seeing persons in detention. When did you start to deliver, 24 personally, services with detainees in the Middle 25

1 East? MR. MARTEL: In the Middle East or 2 3 elsewhere? MS EDWARDH: Sorry, anywhere? 4 Anywhere? 5 MR. MARTEL: In 1984. 6 MS EDWARDH: And in 1984, do we 7 8 take it, then, you began to visit detention 9 centres, prisons and jails in the areas you were providing services? 10 11 MR. MARTEL: In 1984, I visited 12 police stations where my clients were detained. 13 MS EDWARDH: And where would you have been -- I'm sorry, I've just forgotten -- in 14 1984? 15 MR. MARTEL: In the best country in 16 the Caribbean, Haiti. Haiti, Port au Prince. 17 18 MS EDWARDH: Did you have occasion to visit prisons in Haiti outside the police 19 station? 20 21 MR. MARTEL: No, I didn't have the 2.2 opportunity. All my clients who were detained 23 were at police stations where we had to intervene on the same day, and retain the services of 24 lawyers for them, etc, and then -- in general 25

1 tourists, and the Haitian government did not keep 2 our clients in prison as such, but rather at 3 police stations. MS EDWARDH: And would it be from 4 your experience the conclusion that those 5 detainees, who were principally tourists, were 6 7 given somewhat privileged treatment by the Haitian 8 police forces? 9 MR. MARTEL: Of course, if we look at this country's file and reputation, I must 10 admit that my clients received preferential 11 12 treatment. Of course. 13 MS EDWARDH: And your use of the singular compels me to ask, sir: Is your 14 experience in Haiti confined to services provided 15 to one client, or one --16 MR. MARTEL: No. I had a number of 17 clients, but ---18 19 MS EDWARDH: Fine. 20 MR. MARTEL: A number, more than 21 one. 2.2 MS EDWARDH: And did you, when you 23 worked in West Africa, have occasion to visit jails there? 24 25 MR. MARTEL: Not prisons, but again

1 police stations, and all my clients in this region were Canadians who were working in the country but 2 3 had only one citizenship. So do I take it that MS EDWARDH: 4 in your time in providing services in Côte 5 D'Ivoire, Senegal, Cameroon, that you have not 6 visited any prisons? 7 8 MR. MARTEL: No, I have never had 9 the opportunity to visit the prisons because they never keep clients in prisons. They were detained 10 in police stations, and that was where I could see 11 12 them. MS EDWARDH: And do I take it that 13 your reference to police headquarters is a 14 reference to the ordinary policing entities in 15 those nations? In other words, they were the 16 headquarters of the officers who you would see on 17 18 the street conducting investigations or directing traffic, but it was that facility? 19 20 MR. MARTEL: Yes, or even a 21 neighbourhood police station. There are many in 2.2 the same city. 23 MS EDWARDH: Do I take it that prior to Mr. Arar, that you had no occasion to 24 visit special military or security detention 25

1 centres or housing units? 2 MR. MARTEL: No. In general, these 3 places are not open to us, and they don't let us enter. 4 MS EDWARDH: Not just generally, 5 sir, but in terms of your own personal experience. 6 7 MR. MARTEL: That's right. 8 MS EDWARDH: I take it you have 9 not had opportunity anywhere where you have served to go to any detention centres run by the military 10 or intelligence or security? 11 12 MR. MARTEL: No. Prior to Mr. 13 Arar, no. MS EDWARDH: 14 Just one question about your background and the awards you have 15 recently received, Mr. Martel. 16 There are two in 2005. One is the 17 Award for Consular Excellence. You commented on 18 that already. 19 20 MR. MARTEL: Yes. 21 MS EDWARDH: Do I take it, sir, 2.2 that that award was bestowed upon you in 23 recognition by the Department of your services, in part, in respect of Mr. Arar? 24 25 MR. MARTEL: Well, we could assume

1 so, in part. I believe that there is a text attached to this certificate. So, you might say in 2 3 part, but it was also for our consular activities overall, and as I said yesterday, the Minister and 4 his assistants give this certificate to a 5 recipient, but in general, it's a collective 6 7 effort; it's the work of many people, both in Ottawa and at the mission. 8 9 MS EDWARDH: I understand your

10 position that honours bestowed really belong to many and not the individual, but I just want to 11 make it clear -- and we can look at the 12 certificate and what was said. But certainly that 13 award was in part bestowed upon you because of 14 your work with Mr. Arar? 15 MR. MARTEL: Yes, partially. At 16 the time, when I was awarded this certificate, I 17

18 don't remember anymore how many cases I had to 19 manage, but possibly in part, yes.

20 MS EDWARDH: Yes. And if people 21 want more detailed reference, the exhibit, 22 Mr. Commissioner, is P-85, volume 5, tab 37, pages 23 9 and 10.

I want to deal with the consular mandate, if I could, Mr. Martel. We have heard a

StenoTran

11313

1 great deal about it, but you of course are the first person who comes to us out of the field in 2 respect of the very case the Commissioner is 3 looking into. 4 You will agree with me that with 5 respect to Canadian citizens you have a duty to 6 provide consular services? 7 8 MR. MARTEL: That's our primary 9 duty to all citizens. MS EDWARDH: And the object of 10 11 those services is at least twofold: one, to ensure that a Canadian citizen is not 12 discriminated against or treated worse than 13 nationals. 14 That is the first duty? 15 MR. MARTEL: Of course. In other 16 words, we must provide a Canadian who is 17 18 experiencing difficulties with any assistance, not only to see whether he is being less well treated 19 20 as people from that country. We have to --21 already, there is a complete list that we must follow, first of all, to find our client, and 2.2 23 provide all the necessary assistance, which in the end, would be to have the individual leave the 24 country or else, if he is staying in the country, 25

1 to help the individual stay in the country. MS EDWARDH: Fair enough. But I 2 3 just want to establish the standards you look at. The first and principal standard 4 is to determine that someone is not being treated 5 more severely than nationals of the state in which 6 they are held? 7 8 MR. MARTEL: Primarily, the first 9 thing we look at is the person's well-being. That is what concerns us the most. You could interpret 10 it a little as you are referring to it now, that 11 12 he or she is not treated more severely than 13 others, but we are not always referring to arrests and detentions. It's a small percentage of our 14 If I have a client who is in need of work. 15 assistance because he was arrested, I may have 16 four or five others who need a multitude of 17 18 services. We are there to obtain a large number of services, not only for arrest and detention cases, 19 20 but for the person's well-being, the well-being of 21 our citizens is of the utmost importance. 2.2 MS EDWARDH: Of course. And in 23 terms of assessing their well-being and determining whether you will protest their 24 treatment, one of the things you must have regard 25

1 to is how their own nationals are treated; 2 correct? 3 MR. MARTEL: Yes. Well, every country has a reputation, which of course, changes 4 wherever we go. Every country treats its citizens 5 in its own way and in accordance with its laws. 6 7 MS EDWARDH: Yes. And you must 8 have regard to how they treat their own in forming 9 a decision about whether to protest. 10 MR. MARTEL: No. Already, we must, regardless of how they treat their citizens, 11 12 if one of our citizens is in a situation in which 13 we discover that he is being mistreated, we can't say, well everyone is mistreated in this country 14 and do nothing. 15 We must ensure the well-being of 16 our citizens, and should one of our citizens be 17 18 mistreated, and it is brought to our attention, of course, we're going to protest, even if everyone 19 20 is mistreated in that country. This is not a 21 criterion. 2.2 MS EDWARDH: Of course. And, 23 Mr. Martel, what you are saying, if I can translate it into the world of legal language, is 24 that if a country treats its citizens badly, that 25

StenoTran

11316

1 doesn't get them off the hook; that you are required to ensure that a Canadian citizen is 2 3 treated humanely and in accordance with basic human rights, norms, and also minimum 4 international standards, and that's your job? 5 MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's our 6 mandate, to ensure that our citizens are well 7 8 treated, of course. 9 MS EDWARDH: Right. And so when you are confronted with a person who is detained, 10 you have a duty, do you not, to make inquiry about 11 their detention, the circumstances of their 12 detention and the conditions of their detention? 13 MR. MARTEL: Yes, but there again, 14 everything varies and is circumstantial; in other 15 words ---16 I'm asking only about 17 MS EDWARDH: 18 the duty to inquire. Do you agree, sir, that you have a duty to inquire --19 20 MR. MARTEL: Yes. We must make an 21 effort to make enquiries. 2.2 MS EDWARDH: Okay. And when one 23 comes -- let's take this in a couple of steps -about the duty to inquire, given your experience 24 and the experience you can draw upon, if a person 25

1 is in a prison, I'm going to ask you whether you agree that these are the things about which you 2 3 would ordinarily inquire. You would want to know, in order 4 to make a decision about the person's treatment, 5 what is the size of their cell? That is something 6 you would want to know, if you could? 7 8 MR. MARTEL: Of course, if we can 9 obtain the information. MS EDWARDH: Yes. Of course. 10 You would want to know whether a person was in what we 11 12 criminal lawyers call general population or they were held in solitary? 13 You would want to know that, would 14 you not? 15 MR. MARTEL: If that information 16 can be obtained. 17 18 MS EDWARDH: Because we all know that if a person is in general population, they 19 20 are usually viewed as a less serious problem in 21 the institution? 2.2 MR. MARTEL: Not necessarily. 23 MS EDWARDH: Well, they could be a problem themselves, causing difficulty, or it may 24 be that the institution wants to keep them away 25

1 from any other inmate? MR. MARTEL: In some countries, 2 3 they -- they have a practice of putting in the same room a large number of persons, whom the 4 authorities claim belong to a certain 5 organization. 6 A large number of people, from 50 7 8 to 100, or up to 160 people, can be put in very 9 uncomfortable conditions, in a large cell the size of which varies, but may go up to 25 square metres 10 in size. 11 Therefore, we can't generalize by 12 saying that individuals are detained either alone 13 or in groups, and if they're detained in a group, 14 they're better off. Everything is circumstantial 15 MS EDWARDH: Mr. Pardy testified 16 that he generally was of the view that if a person 17 18 was in general population, that was a good sign. I don't mean putting everyone in one cell. I just 19 20 mean in general population, where persons have 21 access to other inmates, to guards in the course of a day for conversation -- that was a good sign. 2.2 23 Do you agree with him? MR. MARTEL: Yes. I completely 24 agree that if, let's say, 10, 15 or 20 detainees 25

StenoTran

11319

1 are in one large cell, and they can at least talk among themselves, and they have daylight, etc. 2 3 that's already a great improvement, if you make the comparison, of course. 4 MS EDWARDH: Yes. And the 5 something else is a huge improvement over solitary 6 confinement? 7 8 MR. MARTEL: Of course, that's the 9 worst. MS EDWARDH: Thanks. 10 Now, in addition to where they are in the institution, you 11 12 would want to know, in order to form a view of the conditions, whether they have access to members of 13 the outside community, particularly their family? 14 MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's the goal 15 because we always bear in mind, and I think that 16 we can refer to experts, that people who are 17 18 detained, as you say, and are both completely cut off from their families and without any outside 19 20 contact suffer a lot, and this is absolutely 21 terrible because they believe that they have been abandoned. 2.2 23 Therefore, the objective is always to maintain ties between an individual and his 24 family. 25

1 MS EDWARDH: Yes. So when you are forming your view about the conditions in which a 2 3 person is kept, you want to make inquiry about that kind of access to persons in the outside 4 world? Can they have visits? 5 I think you have answered the 6 7 question. 8 One of the other questions you 9 would want to know, Mr. Martel, when you formed your view about the conditions that a person was 10 held in, was did they have basic access to moving 11 around or exercise? 12 You would want to know that as 13 well? 14 MR. MARTEL: Yes, we would like to 15 know, if it's possible to find out. 16 MS EDWARDH: Yes. And then the 17 18 other thing you would want to know is whether the person can have access to a nurse or doctor if 19 20 they asked for it; correct? 21 MR. MARTEL: Of course. But once 2.2 again, it all depends on the country that is 23 detaining a Canadian national. MS EDWARDH: No, but if in coming 24 to a judgment about whether someone is being 25

1 treated in accordance with minimum international standards, you want to know whether they have been 2 3 deprived of any health care. That is important? MR. MARTEL: Yes, certainly. Ιf 4 we can obtain the information, and if the 5 conditions are ideal. Obviously, we want to 6 ensure that they receive all medical care. 7 8 MS EDWARDH: But even if it's not 9 going to be an ideal situation, you will agree with me that a basic condition is that they have 10 access if they need it to a doctor or a nurse? 11 12 It is not ideal. That is required in accordance with basic standards of humanity? 13 MR. MARTEL: I agree with you that 14 that's the objective. 15 MS EDWARDH: The other domain you 16 are interested, of course, when you assess how a 17 person is being detained, Mr. Martel, is do they 18 have access to the essential things necessary for 19 20 human hygiene? 21 MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's also one 2.2 of the objectives that we try to obtain. 23 MS EDWARDH: Do they have access to warmth when it is cold is another concern you 24 have? 25

1 MR. MARTEL: Yes. That's a -- of course, that's cause for concern, and well, we act 2 accordingly. I recently did this for a detainee; I 3 mean, I brought a detainee some clothes. 4 MS EDWARDH: Yes. Because, of 5 course, if a person cannot keep even basically 6 warm, there is a substantial risk their health 7 8 will deteriorate, they will become ill, and it is 9 a big problem for being inside an institution, especially --10 11 MR. MARTEL: I agree with you completely, and I gave you an example. 12 Recently, I had a detainee who was 13 going to be cold in the winter, and I knew that 14 authorities weren't going to provide him with 15 clothes, and we did what was needed to bring him 16 some clothes, and that was agreed to. 17 18 MS EDWARDH: And the clothing -well, perhaps the coldest season in Damascus runs 19 20 from, what, December through the end of February? 21 MR. MARTEL: From December to 2.2 February, yes, that's cold. Cold as in -- not 23 cold like here, but can still be ---MS EDWARDH: No place is as cold 24 as here except Siberia. 25

1 MR. MARTEL: --- It can still be, 2 the temperature can still drop outside. On the 3 inside, I don't know, but outside the temperature can drop to zero at night and then go back up 4 during the day. 5 MS EDWARDH: And so if a person 6 7 were in a facility where there was no heat, when 8 it went down to almost zero during the night, they 9 would be very cold? MR. MARTEL: Yes, we could think 10 Even if they were inside, if there wasn't 11 that. 12 any heat, obviously it wouldn't be very warm. MS EDWARDH: Correct. The other 13 major concern you would have is you would want to 14 know did the person have access to fresh, clean 15 water? 16 MR. MARTEL: Yes, whenever 17 18 possible, these are essential needs. MS EDWARDH: They are essential to 19 20 sustain human life, and if a person does not have 21 it, the Government of Canada, through you, would 2.2 launch vigorous protests? 23 MR. MARTEL: Of course. If I were to learn that someone did not have any water and 24 was suffering from dehydration, of course, we 25

1 would protest.

MS EDWARDH: Yes. Now I want to 2 3 then turn to another aspect of your duty, and I'm going to also phrase it in the context that you 4 have a duty to inquire in respect of the nature of 5 a person's detention. 6 For example, is the person charged 7 8 or just detained? You want to know that; correct? MR. MARTEL: 9 Yes, of course. MS EDWARDH: Because if a 10 detention is without any legal charge, you would 11 be very concerned? 12 MR. MARTEL: Yes, of course. 13 MS EDWARDH: 14 We regard that as nothing more or less than arbitrary detention; 15 16 correct? MR. MARTEL: Yes, it's arbitrary. 17 And if in fact a 18 MS EDWARDH: person is going to be brought into the court 19 20 system, your mandate is to ensure, as best as you 21 can, that that person has access to legal counsel? 2.2 MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's right. 23 MS EDWARDH: And that that legal counsel has the tools to ensure that they can 24 promote a fair trial of the accused person? 25

1 MR. MARTEL: Of course, again in the context of the country. 2 3 MS EDWARDH: Of course. But you would be very concerned and would protest if that 4 legal counsel could not see the detainee? 5 MR. MARTEL: Yes. There would be 6 7 a protest. An official request is made, and if it 8 was not granted, there would be a protest. 9 MS EDWARDH: You would also be very concerned if that legal counsel was not given 10 any information about the allegations? 11 12 MR. MARTEL: Yes, that would be 13 very disturbing. MS EDWARDH: And as I understand 14 the duties of consular services from Mr. Pardy's 15 comment, if there was relevant and helpful 16 material in Canada, you would assist in making 17 sure that the consular officials passed on that 18 information, if it was in their possession, to 19 counsel for the defence? 20 21 MR. MARTEL: Well, if the counsel for the defence did not have access to all the 2.2 23 files, obviously, if the Embassy could help, it would have to inform us, and we would send the 24 counsel's request to the Consular Section, which 25

1 would probably consult the Legal Section. And certainly if documents needed 2 3 to be sent with Ottawa's authorization, documents would be sent to the counsel for the defence. 4 MS EDWARDH: And the objective, as 5 I understand it, Mr. Martel, is to ensure that 6 material or information in the hands of the 7 8 Department that could aid in the defence is put into the hands of defence counsel --9 MR. MARTEL: Yes. 10 11 MS EDWARDH: -- so that they can 12 resist the allegations of the state. MR. MARTEL: In other words, the 13 counsel for the defence must be armed and equipped 14 with all the necessary documents to ensure a sound 15 defence. 16 MS EDWARDH: We will use that 17 18 general discussion, Mr. Martel, to frame what happened with Mr. Arar, but I have a few questions 19 in an area that I am a little confused about. 20 21 You testified yesterday -- and I 2.2 think I'm correctly quoting you -- that your 23 Arabic wasn't very good; that you spoke a few words, enough to count and make a transaction in 24 the marketplace. 25

1 Do you recall that evidence, sir? MR. MARTEL: Yes, and I believe 2 3 that I can count to five, and I can say thank-you and not much more, and Maher knows that. He is 4 aware of my proficiency in Arabic. 5 Therefore, we can't say that I can 6 7 follow a conversation, for instance, no. I can go 8 to the market and say I want two of these, three 9 of those, and four of those, and how much does that cost, and then I don't always understand the 10 price either. 11 My vocabulary is limited to that. 12 MS EDWARDH: I see. So if we 13 accept your description, Mr. Martel, of your 14 Arabic, you will forgive me, but you don't speak 15 very much Arabic. Is that a fair --16 MR. MARTEL: That's right. 17 That's a fair 18 MS EDWARDH: statement? 19 20 MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's fair. 21 MS EDWARDH: It puzzles me because 2.2 Mr. Livermore testified that the Department 23 believed that you spoke very good Arabic, and although they discussed trying to have someone who 24 was completely fluent enter into the Arar 25

1 situation in Syria, they thought that your Arabic was more than sufficient for the purposes. 2 3 The reference to that, Mr. Commissioner, is 2705 and 2706 in the 4 transcript. 5 But I take it, Mr. Martel, 6 Mr. Livermore is dead wrong? 7 8 MR. MARTEL: I read this part of 9 Mr. Livermore's testimony. Now, you say that Mr. Livermore 10 and the Department were of the opinion that I knew 11 Arabic, well Mr. Livermore is obviously mistaken, 12 but the Department could not be mistaken because 13 it's all in our files. 14 They know that I studied Italian 15 and German, and all of that is described in my 16 Therefore, the Department cannot be 17 record. 18 mistaken about my knowledge of the language. MS EDWARDH: In any event, whether 19 20 Mr. Livermore and those he discussed this issue 21 with were mistaken, there is no doubt in your mind 2.2 that anyone who dealt with you or reviewed your 23 résumé would know that you did not speak Arabic? MR. MARTEL: That's something that 24 is so simple to retrieve in the People Soft 25

1 computer system. In a second, they can see my 2 file, background and foreign languages. It's all 3 there. And if you want me to add the 4 training in Arabic under foreign languages to 5 become really proficient, it's full-time and lasts 6 14 months. 7 8 MS EDWARDH: Right. And you have 9 not undertaken those studies, Mr. Martel? MR. MARTEL: No, unfortunately. 10 11 MS EDWARDH: Nor have you had any other formal training outside the Department in 12 Arabic? 13 MR. MARTEL: No. 14 15 MS EDWARDH: Just for the record, because I do think there is some confusion, when 16 you met Mr. Arar -- and we know there were a 17 number of visits, and if you wish we can go 18 through them individually, and we will do that a 19 20 little later. But it is my understanding that you 21 were always accompanied by a Syrian who was an 2.2 interpreter? 23 MR. MARTEL: That's right. You already know the name. Yes. 24 25 MS EDWARDH: Yes. And we also

1 know, sir, that one of the reasons -- or I believe 2 one of the reasons is that during those visits, 3 for the most part, Mr. Arar was required to speak in Arabic? 4 MR. MARTEL: Although I don't have 5 the documents, and as you've said, we'll see them 6 7 again, but Maher and I had sessions in which we 8 were able to speak English. 9 I don't remember whether sometimes he may have slipped in a few French words too, but 10 mainly English, and sometimes he was told to speak 11 12 Arabic because everyone in the room wanted to be able to understand, except for me who couldn't 13 understand, but over time, if we look at the 14 visits, we were able to speak a little more freely 15 in English. 16 But at certain points, certainly, 17 18 he was told, very often, to speak Arabic. MS EDWARDH: So would it be fair, 19 20 just so the Commissioner is alive to this, in some 21 visits more of it would be in Arabic than in other 2.2 visits, and in some visits you were able to 23 converse more likely in French than in English, but there was always a blend? 24 25 MR. MARTEL: Always and a few

times, I was more relaxed, and then, we were given permission.

If I started a conversation with Maher in English, and he was able to answer me, well, there were a few times when he was told to speak Arabic, but if I was fairly insistent, I would still quite often be able to have a conversation with him in English.

9 MS EDWARDH: And certainly whether 10 the conversation was in English or Arabic, at all 11 times all parts of the questions and all parts of 12 the answers were recorded by Mr. Arar's gaolers? 13 MR. MARTEL: Yes. There was 14 someone who wrote down what we both said in

15 conversations. Everything was recorded in a file.16 That's right.

MS EDWARDH: And it would be obvious to not only you that those notes were being taken, it would have been obvious to Mr. Arar that everything he said was taken down and reduced to writing by the Syrians?

22 MR. MARTEL: Of course. The note 23 taker was sitting close to us, and Maher and I 24 could both see him.

25 MS EDWARDH: I would like to turn

1 to your volume for a minute and ask a couple of 2 other questions in respect of a document that Mr. 3 Cavalluzzo has not covered with you. I thought I had marked down the 4 exhibit. I'm sorry. Just give me a moment. 5 It is Exhibit 242, and it is the 6 7 portion of what I believe to be -- turn to Tab 20, 8 Mr. Martel. 9 Perhaps, sir, I could ask you, as best you can, even though this document is highly 10 redacted, there is a single paragraph that is not 11 redacted which is found at page 3 of this 13-page 12 document. 13 What I want to, first of all, 14 understand, is this document, sir, the report on 15 human rights prepared by the Embassy about the 16 Government of Syria that is subsequently used by 17 the Government of Canada? 18 19 MR. MARTEL: Yes. This is the 20 annual report prepared by the Embassy. Yes. 21 MS EDWARDH: And, of course, you 2.2 being the Consul at the Embassy would have a 23 general input into this report. Is that correct, Mr. Martel? 24 MR. MARTEL: No, not really. I'm 25

1 consulted, but often, even in the sector, this report may have been prepared and finalized as is, 2 and I would be consulted to see if there were any 3 facts in it that I might be familiar with and 4 which are inaccurate, or if I had something to 5 add. 6 MS EDWARDH: So if facts are 7 8 included in the report that fall within your 9 direct knowledge, you would be asked to review them and to verify their accuracy? 10 11 MR. MARTEL: Let's say that about 12 80%, these reports are prepared based on variety 13 of sources. From year to year, my colleagues update the report. They have contacts that I 14 don't. They have information sources that I'm not 15 familiar with. They arrive with a final report, 16 and it's customary to ask, "Would you like to have 17 18 a quick look at this report? If you see something in it that you are or are not familiar with or 19 20 that you think is inaccurate, could you let us know before we send it?" That's all. 21 2.2 MS EDWARDH: Of course. And you 23 will agree with me that this report on human

24 rights and issues of concern is an important 25 report prepared by the Embassy and forwarded back

StenoTran

1 to the Government of Canada? MR. MARTEL: Yes, it's an important 2 3 report. It's an annual report, and of course, it summarizes the situation in the country. 4 MS EDWARDH: We understand that. 5 MR. MARTEL: Yes, I understand. 6 MS EDWARDH: And indeed, we have 7 8 been informed -- and I just want to understand 9 whether you agree -- that this kind of document and the information contained therein is one that 10 11 is relevant and can affect the judgments of Canadian policy-makers and Ministers because it 12 13 informs them about the human rights records of nations, and that is a relevant factor in many 14 policy decisions; correct? 15 MR. MARTEL: Yes, this is an 16 essential document for the Department. 17 18 MS EDWARDH: I want to explore with you, if I could, the one paragraph that 19 remains in this redacted document that relates to 20 21 Mr. Arar. 2.2 You will find that at page 3 and 23 it is paragraph 7, and it says as follows: Allegations of torture and 24

StenoTran

intimidation of detainees by

25

1 police and security services 2 persist. So might we take it, sir, that 3 when this report was authorized, the language used 4 here of "persist" indicates that there have been 5 other observations over the years of torture and 6 intimidation of detainees by the security service? 7 8 That didn't surprise you? 9 MR. MARTEL: No, it doesn't surprise me. My colleague who prepared this 10 11 report certainly has information to the effect 12 that this is what goes on. 13 MS EDWARDH: Yes. And you accepted that? 14 MR. MARTEL: Yes. I didn't make 15 16 any comments to her about that. Then it goes on: 17 MS EDWARDH: Syria has been the target of 18 much international criticism 19 2.0 and pressure due to claims by 21 Canadian Maher Arar that he was tortured during his 2.2 23 almost 11-month detention here. Arar was detained by 24 U.S. authorities in late 2002 25

StenoTran

1 and deported to Syria, via 2 Jordan. According to statements made since his 3 release -- -4 We underline that. 5 ... since his release in 6 October of this year, Arar 7 was kept in an unlit 8 'coffin-sized' cell, 3 feet 9 10 wide, 6 feet long, and 7 feet 11 high. While the Embassy saw no evidence of physical 12 13 torture during meetings with him, Arar did tell an Embassy 14 official following his 15 release that he had a 16 difficult first two weeks in 17 Syrian custody while he was 18 being interrogated. He told 19 20 the Embassy that he had been 21 mistreated during that period 2.2 and that after that he had been left alone. Since his 23 24 release, Arar has told the 25 press that prison guards

1 repeatedly beat him with a 2-inch thick electric cable 2 and finally forced him to 3 sign a confession prior to 4 his release. He has 5 announced plans to sue both 6 7 the U.S. and Syrian 8 governments. 9 I just want to make the observation, sir, that that is very, very similar 10 11 to the remarks attributed to you on February 8th, 12 2004, but I want to just dissect some of it, if I could. 13 Certainly the author of this 14 document is wrong when they say: 15 16 According to statements made since his release in October 17 of this year, Arar was kept 18 in an unlit 'coffin-sized' 19 cell 3 feet wide, 6 feet 20 21 long, and 7 feet high. That is incorrect, isn't it? 2.2 23 Mr. Arar told you, before he was released, that he was kept in a cell 3 by 6 by 7. 24 We've already canvassed that at length yesterday. 25

1 MR. MARTEL: Yes. He said that on August 14, I believe, "My cell is very small; it 2 measures three feet by six feet by seven feet." 3 That's right, but he didn't tell me ---4 MS EDWARDH: Correct, correct. 5 MR. MARTEL: --- "Unlit." 6 7 MS EDWARDH: Fair enough. But it 8 is wrong to say, I'm going to suggest to you, it 9 is clearly wrong to say that the statement about his cell size was made only after his release. 10 11 It's incorrect, because he made it to you August 12 the 14th. MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's right. So 13 my colleague must change this text. 14 15 MS EDWARDH: Yes. So when you read -- yes. I take it no steps have been made, 16 to your knowledge, to change this document up 17 until today's date? 18 19 MR. MARTEL: No. To my knowledge -20 - Afterwards, I discussed some points in this 21 report with my colleagues -- they may have been 2.2 redacted -- and they said, "Fine, in the next 23 report, we'll take this into account." But not on this point; no, a correction wasn't made, as far 24 as I know. 25

1 MS EDWARDH: I see. But you have confirmation they were going to correct it in the 2 3 2004 report. MR. MARTEL: Not necessarily this 4 part, but there are some texts that have been 5 redacted where it says -- I think that we are 6 7 authorized to say it ---. 8 MS EDWARDH: All right. 9 MR. MARTEL: --- That, according to some information sources, all the detainees 10 claimed one thing or another, and "all the 11 detainees" could lead us to believe that the 12 detainees are my clients, and this wasn't the 13 14 case. MS EDWARDH: So if I understand 15 you correctly, Mr. Martel, this paragraph was not 16 corrected in respect of the statement that Mr. 17 Arar only described his cell after his release. 18 It has not been corrected, to the best of your 19 20 knowledge. 21 MR. MARTEL: As far as I know, this 2.2 was not corrected. 23 MS EDWARDH: You also have read with me that Mr. Arar is supposed to have said; 24 that he was beaten repeatedly with this 2-inch 25

1 cable. And the suggestion here is that his statements to the press are different than the one 2 he made that is reflected here. 3 Do you see that? You say -- or 4 the document says, 5 ... Arar did tell an Embassy 6 official following his 7 release that he had a 8 difficult first two weeks in 9 Syrian custody while he was 10 11 being interrogated. And I take it, sir, that refers to 12 his conversation, Mr. Arar's conversation, with 13 you, which we now know you reported to your 14 colleagues on October 7th, where he indicated that 15 he had been beaten during interrogation. 16 MR. MARTEL: No. He told me that 17 18 he had had problems in the first two weeks, and when I had my meeting in Ottawa, I noted that he'd 19 20 told me that in the plane that, in the first two 21 weeks, he had been hit on a few occasions. I said 2.2 it in Ottawa. There were four or five people who 23 made a note of it. It was said, and then I didn't put it in a later report. Yes, you're right that's 24 what he told me in the plane. 25

1 MS EDWARDH: Right. And here again there is at least a statement that he had 2 3 difficulty in the first two weeks in Syrian custody during interrogation that is set out here? 4 MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's right. 5 MS EDWARDH: And that is of course 6 true, and the difficulty we now know from the 7 October 7th note is he had been beaten. 8 9 MR. MARTEL: Yes, that is what he meant, I imagine, yes. --10 11 MS EDWARDH: Thank you. MR. MARTEL: --- that he was hit 12 from time to time. 13 MS EDWARDH: The last sentence is 14 one I want to ask you about: 15 16 Since his release, Arar told the press that prison guards 17 repeatedly beat him with a 18 2-inch thick electric cable 19 20 and finally forced him to 21 sign a confession prior to his release. 2.2 23 Do you see that? MR. MARTEL: Yes, I see it. 24 MS EDWARDH: I draw from that the 25

1 suggestion that the press -- that you accept or the Government accepts or the author of this 2 3 report accepts that Mr. Arar has made statements that he was beaten elsewhere and longer than the 4 two weeks. 5 MR. MARTEL: Those are -- my 6 7 colleague has her information source, I think, 8 based on public documents. Therefore, she is the 9 one who said, "according to the press" or "what Mr. Arar told the press". That's what she told us 10 11 in the message. 12 MS EDWARDH: And if I were to suggest to you, sir, that nowhere is there a clear 13 statement that can be directly connected to Mr. 14 Arar, either through watching him on television or 15 listening to a tape, where he has ever suggested 16 he was beaten throughout the 10 months of his 17 18 detention, nowhere has he ever said that, would you agree with me? 19 20 Nothing that you have ever seen 21 that is a reliable report from his mouth, a 2.2 television interview, a radio interview, a 23 transcript of what he said? MR. MARTEL: No. No, I have never 24 seen what he said in its entirety. Nor have I 25

1 seen all the articles in the press, and there have been quite a number of them. So if you are asking 2 3 me today what was said in the press ---MS EDWARDH: But you've also --4 MR. MARTEL: --- In complete 5 honesty, I can't answer you. 6 7 MS EDWARDH: Okay. I appreciate 8 you haven't read anything, but I'm going to put to 9 you this question: that you have not seen any transcript or any recording associated with Mr. 10 11 Arar describing beatings that went on throughout 12 his detention for 10 months and 10 days? He never said that? 13 MR. MARTEL: No, I didn't see that. 14 15 MS EDWARDH: Thank you. MR. MARTEL: I didn't see that, no. 16 THE COMMISSIONER: Is this a good 17 18 time to have the morning break? 19 MS EDWARDH: Perfect. Thank you 20 very much, Mr. Commissioner. 21 THE COMMISSIONER: We will rise for 15 minutes. 2.2 23 THE REGISTRAR: Please stand. --- Upon recessing at 10:54 a.m. / 24 Suspension à 10 h 54 25

1 --- Upon resuming at 11:19 a.m. / Reprise à 11 h 19 2 3 THE REGISTRAR: Please be seated. THE COMMISSIONER: I apologize for 4 the delay. I got tied up on the phone. 5 MS EDWARDH: Mr. Commissioner, we 6 have now obtained the relevant documents and 7 8 information that really invite Mr. Cavalluzzo to 9 ask questions that he wishes to ask, and I am completely content to defer to him at this moment, 10 11 because I'm going there next so ... 12 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. That's 13 great. Does that make sense? 14 MR. CAVALLUZZO: That's fine. 15 EXAMINATION 16 MR. CAVALLUZZO: I wonder if the 17 witness might have Exhibit P-243 in front of him? 18 THE COMMISSIONER: 19 243? 20 MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. Exhibit 21 243, once again, is the minutes or the notes of a Canadian official relating what he reports 2.2 23 Mr. Martel told him about Mr. Arar. And yesterday, towards the end of the hearing for the 24 day, I asked Mr. Martel some questions about 25

1 paragraph 1. In particular, I asked Mr. Martel about the fourth line down: 2 Martel believed that his 3 information received from 4 Arar was likely more accurate 5 as it was fresher and it was 6 not tainted by the spectre of 7 8 big money and lawsuits. 9 Martel went as far as calling Arar a liar, and that if he 10 11 was asked to attend an 12 inquiry, he would gladly tell about the inconsistencies and 13 14 irregularities relating to 15 Arar. 16 In respect of questions dealing with the statement that Mr. Martel called Mr. Arar 17 18 a liar, I gave Mr. Martel the opportunity to 19 respond to that, and his statement was that he had 20 the lawsuit claim sitting on his desk, and that 21 what he was referring to is that the statements in 2.2 the claim, particularly that he didn't visit 23 Mr. Arar enough -- I believe that was the fact -was a big lie. And once again he stated that he 24 had the claim for the lawsuit on his desk.

StenoTran

25

1 After the hearing last night, I went back to my office to get the claim, and the 2 3 claim, both in the Superior Court against the Government of Canada, including Mr. Martel, and in 4 the Federal Court of Canada, against the 5 Government and Mr. Martel, is dated April 2nd of 6 7 2004, which of course is two months after February 8 the 8th of 2004 when this conversation took place. 9 So I'm putting it to you, Mr. Martel, that it is very clear that you did not 10 have any claim by Mr. Arar against the Government 11 of Canada, including yourself, when you had this 12 conversation with this Canadian official on 13 February the 8th, 2004. 14 Isn't that correct? 15 MR. MARTEL: When I had my 16 conversation with my colleague, the date may not 17 18 have been correct, but on my desk there was this Federal Court lawsuit. Yes, it was on my desk. 19 20 And it was clearly indicated in

21 the lawsuit that I had -- I hadn't had -- I had 22 been too busy to see my client more often. And 23 that was on my desk.

Now -- now if there are
conflicting dates, I mean, there may be a

StenoTran

1 difference in the date on which I saw my -- this official, but definitely, and I'm certain of it, 2 3 the document -- the document was on my desk. There's no doubt. 4 MR. CAVALLUZZO: If the 5 conversation took place on February the 8th 6 of 2004, which is the date that this Canadian 7 8 official testified to under oath, and his report 9 indicates that, then the Federal Court claim could not have been on your desk when you spoke to him. 10 11 MR. MARTEL: So the question that you're asking me is, "Was the lawsuit on the 12 desk?" The answer is yes. 13 Now, is the date that my colleague 14 indicated that he had this meeting with me, is it 15 correct? That's another thing. 16 I'm telling you that when I saw my 17 18 colleague, he had a conversation with me, the lawsuit was on my desk, and specifically said that 19 20 -- and it was my disappointment that I expressed 21 vesterday ---The lawsuit said that I was too --2.2 23 I'd been too busy to see my client more often, while in fact there was a slight nuance. 24 25 The Syrians had told me that they

1 were too busy to accommodate me more often. And that's all. That's the truth. 2 3 MR. CAVALLUZZO: Do you recall that this meeting -- that there was one meeting at 4 which you discussed both Mr. Arar and 5 Mr. Nureddin? 6 MR. MARTEL: No, I don't recall. I 7 8 believe that I had two meetings in fact with my 9 colleague, but -- but now that's a long time ago, and I honestly don't recall. 10 11 T remember ---12 MR. CAVALLUZZO: It is not ancient history to your colleague because he has notes of 13 the meeting, and he has testified that this 14 meeting took place on February the 8th of 2004, 15 and -- listen to the question -- and he also 16 referred to discussing Mr. Nureddin's situation, 17 18 right? 19 MR. MARTEL: Possibly. I'm telling 20 you that when I saw my colleague and discussed the issue that I was too busy, I had the lawsuit on my 21 desk.

Was it a lawsuit or a document --23 I don't know -- a draft? 24 25

2.2

But I had it on my desk. There's

1 no doubt about that.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Do you recall 2 when Mr. Nureddin was released, because this 3 meeting with the Canadian official was shortly 4 before Mr. Nureddin's release? Do you recall when 5 he was released? 6 MR. MARTEL: He was released in 7 8 2004, perhaps in January-February, around then. 9 MR. CAVALLUZZO: In January of 2004 or February of 2004. The meeting that this 10 11 official talked about was around the time of 12 Mr. Nureddin's release, which was in late January or early February of 2004. 13 I'm putting it to you, Mr. Martel, 14 that what you testified to yesterday was a 15 rationalization of the statements you made about 16 Mr. Arar using information that you obtained after 17 18 this meeting and after those statements. I'm putting it to you that that is what in fact 19 20 happened. 21 MR. MARTEL: What I can tell you, 2.2 and in all honesty, when I discussed the issue 23 that I was too busy, I discussed it based on a document that was on my desk. 24 There is no doubt about that. 25

StenoTran

1 There were -- there were 2 accusations made against me in this document, not 3 only against me, against other people as well, and the dates may vary, but that's when it happened. 4 MR. CAVALLUZZO: And I assume that 5 this document that you are referring to, that was 6 7 on your desk, that you would have kept that 8 document and kept it as part of your file? 9 MR. MARTEL: I don't know where this document is because afterwards, I believe, 10 there was a change in procedure, and it was 11 12 withdrawn, and the case was transferred to the provincial level, I think. 13 MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. 14 But what I'm suggesting is that if you had a document on 15 your desk which was a claim that was suing you, 16 that you would have kept that document and it 17 would be part of your file? 18 MR. MARTEL: Possibly. It stayed on 19 20 my desk ---21 MR. CAVALLUZZO: Possibly? MR. MARTEL: Yes. 2.2 23 MR. CAVALLUZZO: If someone was suing me, I would not throw the document away. 24 25 MR. MARTEL: No, I did not destroy

1 it. Certainly not. MR. CAVALLUZZO: Well, is it part 2 of your file? 3 MR. MARTEL: Frankly, I really 4 don't know where it is because later there was a 5 6 change. MR. CAVALLUZZO: You don't know 7 8 where it is? MR. MARTEL: The counsel for the 9 Department told me that there had been a change. 10 11 There was another lawsuit now. 12 MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. But what I'm going to give you the opportunity to do --13 this is a fact-finding process, Mr. Martel. I'm 14 going to give you the opportunity to have you, the 15 Government, or whomever you want at your disposal 16 to find that document, and when you find that 17 document, I would ask you to produce it to this 18 Commission. Okay? 19 2.0 MR. MARTEL: Fine. 21 MR. CAVALLUZZO: Thank you. 2.2 I have no further questions. 23 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Ms Edwardh? 24

25 EXAMINATION

StenoTran

1 MS EDWARDH: Mr. Martel, we all have a copy of the Federal Court claim. 2 And that 3 claim is dated when filed in the Registry and then served upon people, April the 2nd, 2004. 4 That in effect means, Mr. Martel, that you could not -- I 5 would like you to note that -- you could not have 6 7 had this document drawn by Julian Falconer, a 8 lawyer in Toronto, on your desk in early February 9 of 2004, because it didn't exist. So if you accept that for a moment 10 as a fact, then the only conclusion that the 11 Commissioner can come to is that in seeking some 12 explanation for the language you used with your 13 colleague that seemed less damning of Mr. Arar, 14 you opted to kind of blame it on the lawsuit which 15 offended you when it was filed. 16 But I'm going to ask you to assume 17 18 that you didn't have the lawsuit. MR. MARTEL: I repeat when I had 19 20 the meeting, this conversation, with my colleague, 21 this lawsuit was on my desk. And that's a fact that I will 2.2

never forget. The lawsuit was on my desk.
There may be a discrepancy in the
meeting date, perhaps, but when I discussed the

StenoTran

1 case with my colleague, the lawsuit was in front of me. 2 3 MS EDWARDH: I want to just draw to your attention that neither the Ontario 4 Superior Court claim or the Federal Court claim, 5 would have possibly come on to your desk before 6 April 2004. 7 So I take it your position is --8 9 and I will have to address the issue with the Commissioner about hearing from your colleague. 10 11 But your position is your colleague is just dead wronq? 12 MR. MARTEL: Well, perhaps -- he 13 had the wrong dates. I don't know. 14 But I'm telling you that the 15 lawsuit was on my desk, and I will continue to 16

18 There's no doubt. No doubt at all.
19 The lawsuit was on my desk, and I remember very,
20 very clearly. It's unequivocal.

repeat; at that time, it was on my desk.

17

21 MS EDWARDH: And if it wasn't on 22 your desk, I'm going to suggest to you, 23 Mr. Martel, that this is another significant 24 mistake made by you, like the kind of mistake made 25 when you didn't tell the Ottawa headquarters on

StenoTran

1 February 14th that Mr. Arar was in a cell 3 by 6 2 by 7. If in fact it didn't exist, then 3 it is a significant error on your part. Correct? 4 MR. MARTEL: But I have admitted my 5 errors, Madam, previously, and if that was an 6 7 error, then I would also say so too. 8 The lawsuit was on my desk. There 9 is no doubt -- There is no doubt. The lawsuit was on my desk. 10 11 I can't tell you that this is a 12 mistake. It was there. MS EDWARDH: And I take it that 13 your colleague, who was in your office having this 14 discussion with you, would have seen the claim as 15 well? 16 MR. MARTEL: He saw it on my desk. 17 That doesn't mean that he saw the content. 18 19 MS EDWARDH: He saw it? 20 MR. MARTEL: He saw it on my desk. 21 Yes, of course. MS EDWARDH: He saw it? 2.2 23 MR. MARTEL: Yes, I -- I directed his attention to this lawsuit. 24 25 MS EDWARDH: And he would, of

1 course, be in a position to confirm your evidence that you drew his attention to the claim, and that 2 3 you did not just say that Mr. Arar was a liar but that you were really referring to the lawsuit? 4 MR. MARTEL: That's right. 5 MS EDWARDH: And he would confirm 6 that? 7 8 MR. MARTEL: He should in any case. 9 MS EDWARDH: I'm going to suggest to you, Mr. Martel, that the only thing you had 10 seen was Mr. Arar's chronology. That had been 11 12 given to you and brought to your attention? MR. MARTEL: No. 13 The lawsuit was in front of me, 14 and besides, it was this document that fuelled our 15 conversation because in this document -- I repeat 16 again, there were two or three points, but the 17 point that I remember, the main point, was that 18 the lawsuit indicated that I didn't have enough 19 20 time to see my client more often. 21 And I have always remembered it. 2.2 And it was at that period that my colleague was in 23 my office. There's no doubt. 24 MS EDWARDH: And indeed, though, 25

1 I'm going to suggest to you that once you read the chronology, you were already angry? 2 MR. MARTEL: I said that I was 3 disappointed by the lawsuit. I have never said the 4 chronology. 5 Mr. Arar knows better than I do 6 7 everything that has happened in his life. He says 8 it, and I have no reason to doubt ---9 MS EDWARDH: Of course. MR. MARTEL: --- what he said. 10 11 MS EDWARDH: But he also talks in 12 the chronology about his meeting with you on August the 14th. 13 MR. MARTEL: Yes, he spoke of it. 14 15 Yes. Yes. And he also MS EDWARDH: 16 spoke publicly, as did other people who were 17 concerned about his situation, and you got those 18 press reports. Correct? 19 20 MR. MARTEL: Yes, there -- yes, 21 there -- we were cc'd on the press report. 2.2 MS EDWARDH: And isn't it true, 23 Mr. Martel, that really you felt that Mr. Arar had gotten the best consular services that any 24 Canadian could have ever been offered and that his 25

1 criticism of the Department was unfair and an 2 outrage?

MR. MARTEL: I said that this case, 3 which was an exceptional case -- he was granted, 4 to my knowledge, more time, more effort, and not 5 only by us, but there were a lot of people who 6 were involved in his case, including his wife, 7 8 human rights groups, the media, including the Prime Minister and the Minister. 9 There were a lot of people who got 10 11 involved in this case. That's why I'm saying that 12 in this case, he was given attention, and we put a lot of time into this exceptional case. 13 I'll tell you why it was 14 exceptional. Because it was a deportation case. 15 The other cases were different. 16 MS EDWARDH: And he should be 17

18 grateful.

19MR. MARTEL: No, that's not ---20MS EDWARDH: Isn't that how you21felt? He should be grateful, Mr. Martel, to22you --

23 MR. MARTEL: That's not what I
24 said. I didn't say -- He didn't have to thank us.
25 He has -- he was a client, a

StenoTran

1 consular client, and he was entitled to all the services he was entitled to under our consular 2 3 regulations. And in addition there's more. I 4 got to know him better and later, and perhaps 5 there was actually an injustice committed against 6 him. 7 And in the plane, I discussed 8 9 legal issues with him, and this was not at his 10 request. 11 MS EDWARDH: We will come to your conversation with him a little later. 12 13 But you were angry, or you were annoyed at the suggestion that you and the 14 Government of Canada had not provided full 15 services to him in the best traditions of consular 16 affairs? 17 MR. MARTEL: No. 18 19 I wasn't angry. I was 20 disappointed. And I found it -- I found that we 21 were now and that the Department was criticized 2.2 for not having done enough for my client. 23 And then I said, "under the circumstances that we found ourselves in, imposed 24 by the country, Syria, I think that we did the 25

1 maximum and beyond. Never had we devoted so much time collectively to a single case, to my 2 3 knowledge." That's what I said, and that's 4 all. 5 MS EDWARDH: Well, I'm going to 6 suggest to you, sir, that you also said to your 7 8 colleague on this occasion -- and if you want to 9 turn to paragraph 6 of this memorandum, page 3: Martel said he was 10 11 annoyed --" 12 Do you see that, paragraph 6? 13 Martel said he was annoyed by suggestions that Canada did 14 not do enough to release 15 16 Arar. Martel stated that in his many years of consular 17 service, Arar received more 18 19 attention, including input 20 from the Prime Minister, 21 visits by Senators and other senior DFAIT officials, than 2.2 23 any other consular case. 24 So you were annoyed. That is what you told your colleague in February. 25

1 MR. MARTEL: That's what my colleague says, but that doesn't mean that -- that 2 3 I was annoyed. I think we have to use the right word. But I was still disappointed. I have to 4 admit it. 5 I see. 6 MS EDWARDH: So you were 7 disappointed, and your colleague took from that 8 that you were also annoyed by the suggestion there 9 was any deficiency in service? MR. MARTEL: Those are his words. 10 11 They aren't mine. 12 But I was disappointed. 13 MS EDWARDH: I see. And you told your colleague that on the occasion of your --14 15 MR. MARTEL: I said, "I'm disappointed." 16 MS EDWARDH: Excuse me. You told 17 18 your colleague that on the occasion of your conversation with him? 19 20 MR. MARTEL: That's right. 21 MS EDWARDH: Let me turn now, 2.2 Mr. Martel, to the provision of services and what 23 was done. We have agreed -- we may come back 24 to this document a little later. We have agreed 25

1 that you knew, and the whole Department knew, that ensuring access to a lawyer was critical, of 2 3 critical importance? MR. MARTEL: Yes, certainly. For 4 every detainee. 5 MS EDWARDH: Yes. Now, could I 6 7 ask you, sir, to look at volume 2 of the DFAIT 8 materials at tab 163. 9 Who is Anwar Arar? MR. MARTEL: Tab 263? 10 11 MS EDWARDH: Volume 2, tab 163. This is a case note entered, sir, 12 13 by the name of a person called Sutton? Do you see that? 14 Yes, I see. MR. MARTEL: 15 MS EDWARDH: And it is dated the 16 2nd of November, 2002. Correct? 17 18 MR. MARTEL: Correct. 19 MS EDWARDH: It is to you, among 20 others? 21 MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's right. 2.2 MS EDWARDH: And charged within 23 your mandate is the fulfilling of access to legal counsel, and so you get this message: 24 "Mr. Arar (redacted) calling 25

1 to provide name and number of a lawyer in Damascus. 2 Mr. Anwar Arar (redaction) 3 Apparently consular officials 4 are visiting subject tomorrow 5 and if they could please call 6 the lawyer as he would like 7 to go with them." 8 9 Do you see that? 10 MR. MARTEL: Yes, I see. 11 MS EDWARDH: And this was sent to 12 Damascus, to you for action; correct? MR. MARTEL: Yes, among other 13 things. Yes. 14 This specifically, 15 MS EDWARDH: 16 sir, was sent to you for action; correct? MR. MARTEL: Mm-hmm. 17 MS EDWARDH: By "mm-hmm," you mean 18 "Yes"? 19 2.0 MR. MARTEL: I mean "Yes." 21 Yes. That's right. 2.2 MS EDWARDH: "The lawyer has tried to see 23 subject but unable to find 24 him and not allowed to obtain 25

StenoTran

1 any information." Now, sir when you got this message 2 I take it it was just before one of the consular 3 visits? 4 MR. MARTEL: Well, we'd have to 5 look at the document on the next consular visit. 6 We're at November 2, 2002. 7 8 MS EDWARDH: Yes? And do you 9 remember when the next consular visit was? MR. MARTEL: No. 10 11 MS EDWARDH: It's November 12th. 12 MR. MARTEL: November 12th? MS EDWARDH: I'm not interested --13 we can turn to this consular visit, but I'm 14 interested in what you did between November 2nd 15 and November 12th. 16 It might help you, sir, to turn to 17 tab 166. 18 "The Consul will ask the 19 20 Syrian authorities, in his 21 next visit to subject, if 2.2 they are willing to authorize 23 the lawyer to come with him." 24 Do you see that? 25 MR. MARTEL: Yes, I see.

| 1 | MS EDWARDH: Are you aware, sir, |
|----|--|
| 2 | that Anwar Arar had some familial connection to |
| 3 | Maher Arar? |
| 4 | MR. MARTEL: I believe Maher had |
| 5 | talked to me about that. I knew that there was a |
| 6 | connection on the side of of his father. I'm |
| 7 | not really sure. |
| 8 | MS EDWARDH: And Mr. Arar spoke to |
| 9 | you about that of course sometime when? Before, |
| 10 | in the earlier consular contact? |
| 11 | MR. MARTEL: No, no. |
| 12 | I think he told me about about |
| 13 | his defence when it became clear that there was to |
| 14 | be a trial. I believe that it only happened in |
| 15 | August 2003, when the authorities said, "He will |
| 16 | be judged." |
| 17 | But before then, he was detained |
| 18 | and, even if I had wanted to obtain a list of |
| 19 | lawyers for him, it would do no good. The |
| 20 | authorities didn't let me. |
| 21 | MS EDWARDH: All right. I have |
| 22 | looked, sir, and searched the documents for any |
| 23 | reference to you transmitting information to |
| 24 | Mr. Arar that a lawyer, a family lawyer, was |
| 25 | seeking to obtain access to him in November 2002, |

1 and I can find nothing. I assure you there is 2 nothing in the consular notes. Nor can I find any 3 document that indicates that you or Franco Pillarella, or anyone else at the Damascus 4 Embassy, made a direct request that Mr. Anwar Arar 5 would be given access to Mr. Arar. 6 Did you, sir, make a request prior 7 8 to November 12th to the Syrian authorities to 9 facilitate Mr. Arar's access to his family and also to his counsel? 10 11 MR. MARTEL: If it's not included 12 in the consular notes, then I may have spoken about it to my contact, but the position of the 13 Syrian authorities was that no one had access, 14 15 that he could not receive any phone calls, and I

16 was the only person who could visit him. This was 17 the position taken by the Syrian authorities. 18 So the question of bringing in a 19 lawyer from the outside was dismissed from the 20 outset. The Syrians did not want to discuss

21 anything else.

They were keeping him in detention. I was the only person authorized to see him until the parliamentarians could come. And no one else could contact him.

StenoTran

1 The issue of having a lawyer was out of the question as long as their -- what they 2 called their investigation was not complete. 3 MS EDWARDH: So if I understand 4 you, sir, the Syrians underlined for you that they 5 wished to hold him against or away from the entire 6 7 world except you? 8 MR. MARTEL: That's what they 9 said. MS EDWARDH: And T also 10 understand, sir, that you took no step, by filing 11 12 a formal protest with the Syrian government, that Mr. Arar was denied access to counsel? 13 There was no protest filed by the Government of Canada, 14 through you, through the Ambassador, or through 15 anyone else? 16 MR. MARTEL: No. We always made 17 18 our reports to the consular section in Canada, and the instructions I received from Canada were: 19 one, we must maintain access, that was the first 20 21 major objective; and the second objective was to 2.2 obtain his release, his return to Canada. 23 The rest did not seem to be as important in view of the Syrian position, which 24 was, "We're keeping him. He is with us. He is a 25

1 Syrian citizen. Syrian law applies. And nothing else. We're doing you a big favour in letting him 2 3 see you." That's the message we were getting. MS EDWARDH: I'm not interested, 4 sir, in the exception created for the Government 5 of Canada. I am interested in whether the 6 7 Government of Canada, through the Embassy, through 8 you or the Ambassador, took any formal steps to 9 protest the denial of access to counsel? MR. MARTEL: No, I didn't -- I --10 to my knowledge, we didn't -- we did not protest 11 12 the fact that they didn't authorize our client to have a lawyer. 13 MS EDWARDH: And do I take it, 14 sir, that if you look to tab 191, the consular 15 visit on November 12th -- and all I want to do is 16 make this observation. There is no suggestion in 17 18 the record of this consular visit, and I'm going to suggest to you as well that you never told 19 20 Maher Arar in front of his Syrian captors that he 21 had a family member, who was a lawyer, who had 2.2 been trying to seek access to him? 23 That information was never given to him? 24 25 MR. MARTEL: Yes, I believe you're

1 right. I did not give that information to him. MS EDWARDH: Don't you think that 2 3 information is an important thing for a detainee to know so that they don't feel increasingly 4 hopeless and lost and to know that there is 5 someone else other than yourself who is seeking 6 access to him? 7 8 MR. MARTEL: Well, he already knew 9 that no one else could see him. The authorities weren't willing. 10 11 What I tried to communicate to my 12 client, to give him hope, was that, yes, people were interested in his case, very much so --13 throughout Canada -- that his family was very 14 active in his case, and all that. 15 16 But if there's a relative who lives -- even if he lives in the area, and who 17 wants to obtain access, the authorities will not 18 19 permit it. 2.0 So it's not something which -- in 21 my opinion, which merits a protest. If we protest, 2.2 the decision should be made at headquarters 23 because, if we protest, we will -- we risk something else. 24

25 Now, what seems to interest the -

1 _ _ MS EDWARDH: Yes, but we have all 2 3 agreed, sir, that access to legal counsel falls directly within your concern, and it is certainly 4 part of the mandate that consular services has to 5 do everything possible to facilitate a detainee's 6 7 access to counsel; right? MR. MARTEL: 8 Yes, certainly. We 9 have to try to facilitate inasmuch as the state lets us do so. 10 11 MS EDWARDH: And while you told Ottawa, and they were aware that Anwar Arar had 12 sought access, would you agree with me that there 13 was no formal discussion reflected in these notes, 14 or that you had on the telephone, that Ottawa 15 considered the benefits or risks associated with 16 making a protest over the deprivation of the right 17 to counsel? 18 19 MR. MARTEL: I believe that already 20 in -- well, it's my opinion. At the Consular 21 Affairs Bureau, they already knew that such a 2.2 request was impossible to grant. 23 So no one called for a protest. No one asked us to follow up on the results of the 24 request, etc. 25

1 I believe that they did not treat this as very important, in view of the 2 circumstances and the position adopted by the 3 Syrians. 4 That was why. 5 MS EDWARDH: I see. But you will 6 7 agree there is no record of any formal evaluation --8 9 MR. MARTEL: I don't think so. I don't think that there was -- I don't remember 10 11 whether there was other correspondence about the 12 matter. MS EDWARDH: I certainly couldn't 13 find it either. 14 Let's turn to the next area I 15 would like. We have talked about the importance 16 of familial visits, that one of the things a 17 consular official is alive to is whether or not a 18 19 person is getting access to family visits, because 20 everyone knows they form a crucial link between a 21 detainee and the world. 2.2 You agree with that? 23 MR. MARTEL: Certainly. MS EDWARDH: Let's take a look at 24 tab 432, if we could? That would be in volume 5. 25

1 You will be pleased that these are documents also entered onto the CAMANT note. 2 MR. MARTEL: That's right. 3 I believe that it's an e-mail. It 4 was pasted -- as we say -- pasted in the COSMOS 5 system, yes. CAMANT. 6 7 MS EDWARDH: And perhaps for the 8 benefit of everyone, you could indicate who drew 9 up this e-mail and to whom it was addressed? MR. MARTEL: I don't know Odette, 10 Gaudet-Fee and JPS. It's certainly the consular 11 12 section. Maybe it's a service -- oh, yes, I 13 see above here that it was Myra Pastyr-Lupul who 14 -- who pasted it. 15 But initially the e-mail came 16 from -- maybe from the service which -- which 17 18 operates 24 hours a day. 19 MS EDWARDH: You certainly got a 20 copy of this document? 21 MR. MARTEL: I got a copy. The email was addressed to Myra, and I was copied on 2.2 23 it. So yes, I got it. 24 25 MS EDWARDH: Can you, for the

1 benefit of those of us who are not as fluent as we ought to be in the French language, read or 2 3 describe in general terms what is being asked here? 4 MR. MARTEL: In this message, a 5 family member wants to visit. So it says -- it 6 says ---7 MS EDWARDH: Wants to visit who? 8 MR. MARTEL: Mr. Arar. 9 MS EDWARDH: In Syria? 10 11 MR. MARTEL: Yes. It says, "To be able to" --12 Do you want me to translate? 13 MS EDWARDH: Yes, that would be 14 helpful. 15 16 MR. MARTEL: Okay. "For the purpose of visiting Mr. Arar, the Canadian embassy 17 in Damascus must send a diplomatic note to the 18 Syrian Foreign Ministry. At the moment only 19 20 Embassy employees -- and parliamentarians -- " 21 So only employees and MPs --"have been given access to 2.2 Mr. Arar." 23 I believe this is referring to 24 Ambassador Pillarella and Ian Shaw. 25

1 MS EDWARDH: So what has to happen -- it is my understanding, sir, that Maher 2 3 Arar has a sister and a husband who are going to be in Damascus, and this is in June of 2003, and 4 they are asking the assistance of the Embassy or 5 consular services to help them get access; 6 correct? 7 8 MR. MARTEL: That's right. 9 MS EDWARDH: And the conclusion that you are faced with is in order to facilitate 10 11 possible access of family members, that a 12 diplomatic note ought to be sent? MR. MARTEL: Yes, certainly. 13 MS EDWARDH: And to follow this 14 forward, we have another reference to this same 15 issue at tab 444 on June 13th in this volume. 16 Am I correct, sir, that -- do you 17 want to summarize this? 18 19 It is my understanding that the 20 nephew of Mr. Arar is writing to ask for help for 21 Maher's sister and her husband to get access? MR. MARTEL: Yes. I believe that 2.2 23 what happened at the time, there were family members who came and who were Syrian nationals, 24 and who of course wanted ---25

1 MS EDWARDH: But they are also Canadian citizens? 2 MR. MARTEL: Yes, but also -- also 3 Syrian and Canadian nationals. And who wanted to 4 visit Maher. 5 Now, the Syrian authorities took 6 the position that Syrian citizens and even dual 7 citizens and Canadians who wanted to visit would 8 9 have to go through immigration. So we could not intervene, and at 10 that time I think, I had already lost my access --11 12 a long time before. So I had not seen Maher in a 13 long time. So I didn't have access, and what 14 we were being asked was, the family asked us to 15 intervene to obtain access. 16 MS EDWARDH: Yes. And can you 17 just summarize for the benefit of the 18 Commissioner? 19 20 Having been told that a diplomatic 21 note would have to be sent to assist in affecting 2.2 access, am I correct, sir, that no such note was 23 sent? MR. MARTEL: No, a note was not 24 sent. First, because it was not the right way to 25

1 proceed.

After having -- my assistant 2 3 contacted the Department of Immigration, and they said, "All citizens who have Syrian citizenship 4 must, in order to see a detainee, must deal with 5 other authorities and not Foreign Affairs." 6 And even if we had sent a note to 7 8 Foreign Affairs, they had not answered any of our 9 notes to date. So we would have had a family waiting for permission which would never have come 10 because they weren't answering. 11 12 Throughout Maher's history, we did not receive an answer to any of our notes. 13 MS EDWARDH: Did you assist the 14 family in approaching the Ministry of Immigration, 15 given the fact that they had this dual status, to 16 try and effect access to Mr. Arar? 17 18 MR. MARTEL: Yes, I must tell you that, frankly, at that time I had unfortunately 19 20 gone on annual leave, and my assistant, the third 21 secretary, took over the file and conducted the 2.2 follow-up. And it was the correspondence which --23 which then followed from Mylène Kahale and ---MS EDWARDH: Can you identify for 24 us, sir, the correspondence that your assistant 25

1 forwarded on behalf of the family to the Department of Immigration in order to assist them 2 3 in seeking access to Mr. Arar? I don't see it here. 4 MR. MARTEL: No, I believe that 5 there was no written correspondence. No. I can't 6 find it either. 7 8 MS EDWARDH: Would it surprise 9 you, sir, if the family, after you left, simply felt they got the message there was nothing the 10 Canadian Embassy would do? 11 Possibly. They may 12 MR. MARTEL: have gotten that impression, and then maybe they 13 weren't wrong because, considering the Syrian 14 position toward us, trying to obtain access for 15 them, practically, I tell you frankly, it was 16 unthinkable. Unthinkable in the circumstances. 17 MS EDWARDH: I see. 18 But no serious effort on their behalf was made with the 19 20 Ministry of Immigration to have the door slammed 21 shut. So be it? No effort was made? MR. MARTEL: Well, I believe that 2.2 23 there were telephone conversations, but nothing written. 24 And it was -- that was the 25

information given to my staff, according to what I
 was told on my return.

3 MS EDWARDH: Right. There is no documentary record of any telephone conversation 4 with the Syrian Ministry seeking to effect their 5 contact with Mr. Arar, and I'm going to suggest to 6 7 you, sir, that really what the family was told by 8 the Embassy personnel was they simply were not 9 able to assist them.

I think you get that if you take a look at tab 457 -- I'm sorry; yes, 457. This would be on page 2.

13 In effect what was told to them, 14 as recorded at the top of page 2, is you haven't 15 had access and there was nothing that you could do 16 to effect access for the family?

MR. MARTEL: Well, I believe that it's the truth, and if the Syrians had already deprived me of access some time before, how could we intervene now to request access for the family? It was something that was really unthinkable and illogical.
MS EDWARDH: And do I take it that

24 the decision to not pursue this matter any further 25 was made by you in Damascus in conjunction with

StenoTran

1 whatever assistance Mr. Pillarella gave you, or did you understand this to be a direction as well 2 3 that was agreed to and confirmed by Ottawa? MR. MARTEL: Yes, I believe that 4 Myra was copied on that, and so my assistant gave 5 her an answer, and Myra came back encouraging me 6 7 to send another diplomatic note anyway and request 8 consular access, because Myra understood very well 9 that if we didn't have access, how could we obtain access for the family? So it was unthinkable. 10

11 Foreign Affairs never answered our 12 notes. Even if we had asked, we would have obtained nothing, and they were already making our 13 lives difficult. So we continued to send notes, at 14 least to obtain access, and if the authorities had 15 permitted anyone else to visit, then good, but 16 they never did. They didn't want him to have 17 18 telephone calls either, nothing.

MS EDWARDH: I'm really just focusing, Mr. Martel, not on the efforts to obtain consular access but the efforts to assist a family.

If you look at the bottom of page 24 2 of this tab, tab 457, it is clear that Myra is 25 writing to Mylene, who I take it is an Embassy --

StenoTran

1 MR. MARTEL: She's a third Third secretary to the Consul. 2 secretary. 3 MS EDWARDH: And she guite candidly is saying: "Listen, we're getting 4 fallout from the failure to take steps to help the 5 family. Please explain what is going on." Right? 6 That in effect is what she is 7 8 saying at the bottom of this page. 9 MR. MARTEL: Yes, I see. And you can agree 10 MS EDWARDH: with me, sir, as a simple fact, given what you 11 12 have said, that there was never any step taken by the Government of Canada to protest denial of 13 access to members of the family? 14 No, we did not MR. MARTEL: 15 receive instructions from anyone to the effect 16 that we had to protest. 17 18 MS EDWARDH: Right. And yet we agree that trying to provide a detainee with 19 20 access to members of the family is a matter of 21 importance in the provision of consular services? 2.2 MR. MARTEL: It's important, 23 certainly, and we should try to do so inasmuch as the country will authorize us. 24 25 MS EDWARDH: But you never asked?

1 MR. MARTEL: They had already 2 consulted the Department of Immigration, which 3 answered that since the citizens who were making the request also had Syrian citizenship, they had 4 to go through another department. So it was simply 5 a formality, like for everyone. 6 Like now, I have a detainee whose 7 8 family has access, and the family does not go 9 through us to get access. The family must go through another department. The Embassy is not 10 11 authorized to intervene. 12 MS EDWARDH: No. But, sir, there are, I am sure, occasions when the Embassy assists 13 Canadian citizens if they are being given 14 information by other nations, which is, you know, 15 you have to go somewhere else. Certainly the 16 concern of the Embassy as expressed is a matter 17 18 that a foreign state may make note of. 19 But it wasn't registered in this 20 case? 21 MR. MARTEL: No, it was not done. 2.2 Quite frankly, to try to obtain access for the 23 family, we would have had to go to far, far higher levels, and there again, as our access had been 24

25 taken away from us a long time before, we believed

StenoTran

1 that it was unthinkable to try to get access for the family when I could not see him. 2 3 MS EDWARDH: The next thing I want to discuss with you, Mr. Martel, is the issue of 4 legal assistance. We have covered the early 5 efforts of the family to provide Mr. Arar with a 6 7 lawyer, and then there is a period of time where 8 no one is looking in any way, shape, or form to 9 connect Mr. Arar to legal counsel. It is just not an issue on the 10 11 table; fair enough? 12 MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's fair. MS EDWARDH: And it doesn't become 13 an issue until the Department receives some 14 information that Mr. Arar may face charges? 15 MR. MARTEL: That's right. 16 MS EDWARDH: The first clear 17 18 statement that he is going to be faced with charges seems to be made August 14th in a 19 20 statement to the Ambassador. 21 Can I ask you to look at tab 507. 2.2 And you see here that this is sent 23 from the Head of Mission. Is that correct? MR. MARTEL: We'd have to see who 24 signed it, but I think that was redacted. 25

1 MS EDWARDH: Yes, it's redacted. It is a matter of national security. 2 But it wasn't me. 3 MR. MARTEL: MS EDWARDH: So what would be 4 clear, though, is that on the morning, there is a 5 meeting between General Khalil and the Ambassador. 6 Is that correct? 7 8 MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's what it 9 says here. MS EDWARDH: And this is of course 10 the morning where you get information that they 11 12 are going to let you back in? 13 MR. MARTEL: That's right, yes. MR. CAVALLUZZO: Excuse me. If I 14 can just interrupt my friend, in respect of who 15 signed this, this tab has been replaced by Exhibit 16 134, tab 13, which is the Pillarella book, and it 17 is highly -- the signature is Mr. Pillarella. 18 MS EDWARDH: Perhaps it would be 19 20 of assistance to the witness, or only fair to the 21 witness, if you would give him the Exhibit 134, 2.2 tab 13. 23 Mr. Cavalluzzo, this is dated January the 9th. Helpful it may not be --24 25 MR. MARTEL: In any case, it was

1 Pillarella who signed it. MR. CAVALLUZZO: It is 132, I'm 2 sorry; 132, tab 13. 3 MS EDWARDH: Just be patient, 4 Mr. Martel. 5 THE COMMISSIONER: It's Book 132? 6 MR. CAVALLUZZO: Yes. Well, 7 8 P-132, tab 13. 9 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. MS EDWARDH: This looks more 10 11 familiar. 12 Thank you, Mr. Cavalluzzo. And, unfortunately, it is not 13 signed --14 MR. MARTEL: It was Pillarella who 15 signed it. 16 MS EDWARDH: You think it is 17 Pillarella. 18 MR. MARTEL: I'm sure of it. 19 20 MS EDWARDS: Maybe you shouldn't 21 say anything. Maybe the Government of Canada has an objection. 2.2 23 There is no objection. So we will proceed on the basis that it is Mr. Pillarella, 24 the Ambassador, who is forwarding an account of 25

1 his meeting with General Khalil. And we hear that Mr. Arar will be 2 put on trial within a week, one week, and you are 3 to be given access. 4 Paragraph 2 says you are going to 5 be given access this very day, and paragraph 3 6 7 says he is going to be going on trial. 8 Do you see that? 9 MR. MARTEL: Yes, I see it. Yes. And I take it, 10 MS EDWARDH: Mr. Martel, that on August 14th this information 11 12 would have been provided to you by the Ambassador so you would know that you were going to have that 13 consular visit and that Mr. Arar was likely facing 14 charges? 15 MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's right. 16 17 Yes. 18 MS EDWARDH: So would you agree with me, sir, that up until this meeting, which is 19 20 dated August 14th, there were no steps taken by 21 the Embassy to try and secure legal counsel for 2.2 Mr. Arar pending his trial, if there was going to 23 be a trial. This is the first time you know and it is the first time that lawyers really come into 24 play? 25

1 MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's right, because, normally, the usual procedure with 2 3 clients is that we bring them a list of lawyers, and then they have to choose, not we, they have to 4 choose their defence. We give them lists which 5 were published at the Embassy. These are lists of 6 7 reputable lawyers, and then the client must choose 8 his defence.

9 Of course, when it became known, 10 or when the General told Ambassador Pillarella 11 that Maher Arar was to go to trial, it was clear 12 that, at that time, we had to look into the matter 13 of his defence. That's for sure.

MS EDWARDH: Yes. All I'm establishing, Mr. Martel, is that up until this date, no steps were taken to provide Mr. Arar with a list or to take steps to forward his defence in respect of any possible charge that could arise in Syria?

20 MR. MARTEL: No. Quite frankly, 21 we did not give him a list, first because we did 22 not know where the file -- how the file was 23 advancing. Then, I don't know if I would even have 24 been given an opportunity to provide him with a 25 list, and then, if we had given him a list, what

StenoTran

would he have done with it? He couldn't contact
 anyone outside.

3 MS EDWARDH: Is it your view, based on legal advice received by the Embassy, 4 that should Mr. Arar have been able to retain a 5 highly regarded lawyer in Damascus, Syria, to 6 challenge where he was, should he be able to do 7 8 that, that that was of no use? 9 Did you get legal advice on that question, whether there was anything a lawyer 10 could do while Mr. Arar languished? 11 12 MR. MARTEL: During his detention, you mean? I didn't quite understand, I'm sorry. 13 MS EDWARDH: Between October 22nd 14 and August the 14th, you will agree with me that 15 Mr. Arar languished in detention without charge. 16 Is that correct? 17 18 MR. MARTEL: That's right. 19 MS EDWARDH: My question, very 20 simply, when you say "Why bother giving him a 21 list", is: Had the Embassy taken steps to obtain legal advice on the issue of whether there was 2.2 23 anything a lawyer could do in Damascus between

October 22nd and December 14th? Was there legaladvice that there was nothing to be done?

1 MR. MARTEL: Yes, in effect. We retain the services of a lawyer at the Embassy and 2 3 I am -- others are in regular contact with him, and we obtain an opinion, even if it is verbal. 4 But in the circumstances where Mr. Arar was 5 detained, in these conditions, there was nothing 6 7 to be done. There were no lawyers who could get 8 close to him, no lawyers who could get their hands 9 on his file. Nothing, nothing at all. MS EDWARDH: My question, though, 10 11 is that while there is counsel or a lawyer 12 connected to the Embassy in general, my question 13 is this: Did you in fact get a legal opinion from that counsel or any other counsel to ask whether 14 there was something that could be done to Mr. Arar 15 before August the 14th? Was there a real legal 16 opinion obtained? 17 18 MR. MARTEL: Maybe not written,

but verbal. I talked about it several times with the lawyer, and he always answered me, in these circumstances, you know, in this country, there is nothing to be done. As long as they haven't decided, there is nothing to be done.
MS EDWARDH: And so I take it that

25 it is your evidence, sir, that you spoke

11388

1 specifically with counsel in Damascus -- counsel to the Embassy -- I'm sorry, Mr. Commissioner, I'm 2 having electrical problems --3 THE COMMISSIONER: Do you want 4 another one? I've got another one here, if you 5 6 want. 7 MS EDWARDH: I will try this. Ιt 8 just was having difficulty. 9 Is it your evidence, sir, that you actually spoke to the lawyer about Mr. Arar and he 10 told you nothing could be done? 11 12 MR. MARTEL: That's right. MS EDWARDH: Who is the lawyer, 13 sir? 14 MR. MARTEL: I don't know if I can 15 -- It's a Canadian with dual Syrian citizenship. I 16 believe that if I reveal his name, there will be 17 18 consequences ---MR. BAXTER: 19 I think in light of 20 that, Mr. Commissioner, I would prefer if he 21 wasn't made to name the lawyer. MS EDWARDH: I have looked for a 2.2 23 record of the lawyer's advice in the materials, and there is no record of that advice. 24 Is that correct? 25

1 MR. MARTEL: No, there's no written correspondence on the file. 2 THE COMMISSIONER: Just a second. 3 Sorry, what? I'm just not sure 4 what is happening. 5 MR. DÉCARY: May I speak to the 6 I want to converse with the witness on 7 witness? 8 this very specific -- why the statement, just to 9 clarify for myself. THE COMMISSIONER: It would be 10 11 most unusual to have a witness' counsel speak in the middle of a cross-examination. 12 MR. DÉCARY: This incident --13 THE COMMISSIONER: 14 Just speak in the microphone so that -- Ms. McIsaac, could you 15 just help him there? 16 MR. DÉCARY: It was only to see if 17 I could be of any assistance, to help resolve this 18 matter, to better inform myself as to --19 20 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Well --21 no. That wouldn't be a process we would normally 2.2 But thank you. Thank you, Mr. Décary. adopt. 23 The position, Mr. Baxter, is that the name of the lawyer is --24 MR. BAXTER: The Government of 25

1 Canada has no problem with it, but the witness has just advised, and perhaps it got lost in 2 3 translation, so to speak, that there could be consequences to this individual if they were 4 identified. 5 So the Government of Canada makes 6 no NSC claim at all in respect to this, sir. 7 8 THE COMMISSIONER: Well, then, in 9 that circumstance, I think I would leave it to Ms. Edwardh's discretion to go ahead and ask the 10 question, if she chooses. 11 12 Does anything turn on the name of 13 the lawyer? Let me just ask that. MS EDWARDH: I suppose, 14 Mr. Commissioner, since we are at a stage where 15 you are going to contemplate an interim report --16 THE COMMISSIONER: Well, I 17 18 wouldn't sort of put too many eggs in that basket. What I would say is --19 20 --- Laughter / Rires 21 THE COMMISSIONER: Why don't you, 2.2 at the lunch break, speak to Mr. Martel. 23 Mr. Martel, you tell Ms. Edwardh the name of the lawyer, and then if you think that 24 that is something that needs to be on the record, 25

1 we can address it then. Is that fair enough? 2 MS EDWARDH: I'm satisfied with 3 that. I believe the lawyer's name may be in the 4 documents, but in any event --5 THE COMMISSIONER: I don't know. 6 7 MS EDWARDH: So the lawyer who you 8 spoke about is a person who was retained from time 9 to time by the Embassy? MR. MARTEL: Not from time to 10 11 time. He was retained on a permanent basis. 12 MS EDWARDH: I see. And he or she 13 would give the --I'm sorry, Mr. Commissioner, I'm 14 having problems. 15 THE COMMISSIONER: Do you want to 16 take this one? 17 MS EDWARDH: I'm having a lot of 18 static. You are, too? 19 20 THE COMMISSIONER: There is 21 another one here. 2.2 MS EDWARDH: I will try this one. 23 THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Baxter, your colleague behind you may want this one. 24 THE COMMISSIONER: You are fine? 25

1 --- Pause MS EDWARDH: We have established, 2 sir, that there is no memorandum or correspondence 3 in respect of this advice, nor is there any 4 discussion with headquarters about that advice. 5 Is that fair? 6 MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's fair. 7 8 MS EDWARDH: And I take it the 9 lawyer in question, who is on permanent retainer to the Canadian Embassy, is in fact a lawyer who 10 11 provides general services to the Embassy? 12 MR. MARTEL: Yes. He provides us with various services based on an agreement we 13 have, and I contact him regularly regarding 14 15 specific points of Syrian law, because you have to know the laws and under which circumstances, what 16 applies and what doesn't apply, what you can or 17 18 can't do. He's someone I see probably . . . or to whom I speak every week. 19 Am I correct, sir, 20 MS EDWARDH: 21 that that lawyer also provides commercial

22 services, in the sense that if the Embassy needs 23 to look at a contract, or conduct its own affairs 24 and businesses, this lawyer would advise the 25 Embassy?

StenoTran

1 MR. MARTEL: With respect to contracts, this lawyer will, for example, review 2 our own contracts, i.e., the Embassy's contracts. 3 Before signing a contract, I . . . the Ambassador 4 and I, naturally, want to make sure that we are in 5 compliance with local law and that there will be 6 no surprises later on. So, before entering into 7 8 an agreement or signing a new contract, I always 9 show it to my lawyer first so that he can read it and assure me that everything is okay. 10 11 MS EDWARDH: That is my point; that the lawyer who you consulted is a lawyer who 12 did not practise in the field of criminal security 13

MR. MARTEL: Yes, you're quite
right, but all the same he's an expert in Syrian
law.

or human rights law?

14

18 MS EDWARDH: I suppose he is an expert in the same way any Canadian lawyer is 19 20 supposed to know all Canadian law. You will agree 21 with me, sir, that if he was going to give you 2.2 advice about a criminal trial, you would rather go 23 to someone who is a criminal lawyer? MR. MARTEL: Well, first of all I 24 would consult my lawyer who is on retainer, and I 25

StenoTran

1 would get his opinion, but he might say, "Listen, I'm not an expert in these matters, but I can 2 recommend someone else". 3 MS EDWARDH: And when you asked 4 for advice about whether there was any step that 5 Maher Arar could take prior to August, before 6 7 August 14th, did the lawyer who gives general 8 advice to the Embassy refer you to anyone else to 9 determine whether such steps existed? MR. MARTEL: When I asked my 10 11 lawyer for advice, he told me the situation was very straightforward. I had a citizen who was of 12 13 Syrian nationality and there was nothing I could do. 14 15 If he was a prisoner, they could keep him as long as they wanted, and there was 16 nothing more I could do. Period. 17 That's the way it was. 18 19 MS EDWARDH: In addition to the 20 information you received from your discussion with 21 the Ambassador about August 14th, that Mr. Arar 2.2 could have a trial within one week, you were also 23 aware from earlier discussions that you had with Syrian authorities before your visits with 24 Mr. Arar that at least at one time they said that 25

1 they felt he was a member of the Muslim Brotherhood. 2 That had been told to you before? 3 MR. MARTEL: I had been told that? 4 MS EDWARDH: Yes. 5 MR. MARTEL: It's possible, but 6 who would have told me? Souheil, perhaps, but he 7 8 wasn't someone who . . . it was my interpreter, I 9 think. I don't know who told me; I don't remember. 10 11 MS EDWARDH: All right. But you 12 did get that information; that that was one of the 13 issues that was of concern to the Syrians? MR. MARTEL: Yes, and I believe 14 15 they said so at some point. Whether they told the Ambassador or me, I don't remember, but it was 16 brought to my attention that they . . . they 17 18 claimed, at least, that he was a member of the Muslim Brotherhood. 19 MS EDWARDH: That in some cases it 20 21 is a capital offence in Syria? MR. MARTEL: I don't believe so. 2.2 23 To the best of my knowledge, with clients I've had since then, it's a 12-year prison sentence. 24 25 MS EDWARDH: It is my

StenoTran

1 understanding that in some circumstances it can be treated as a capital offence, but I take it you 2 don't know that. 3 MR. MARTEL: No, I wasn't aware of 4 that. 5 6 MS EDWARDH: In any event, my 7 question to you is when you learned that there was 8 an issue in the minds of Mr. Arar's gaolers that 9 he may be a member or active in the Muslim Brotherhood, do you recall having any discussions 10 with Canada as to the gathering of evidence that 11 12 might show that that claim was wrong? 13 Did you ask for help? MR. MARTEL: No. Obviously, I 14 think it was reported, obviously, but if we did 15 not yet have access, and the lawyers did not have 16 access, to the prosecution file, it's what the 17 authorities were saying, but there was nothing yet 18 in writing anywhere. 19 20 There was no substantive evidence 21 indicating that Maher Arar was a member of the 2.2 Muslim Brotherhood. Actually, we, for our part, 23 and myself personally, really the Ambassador, did not share this opinion. 24 25 We didn't know what the Syrians

1 were trying to get at with this accusation, but 2 the accusation had not yet been made in writing. MS EDWARDH: No. I understand 3 It was not at this time. There were no that. 4 written accusations of any kind. 5 I am just simply asking the 6 7 question: When you learned that the Syrians said 8 this, was any request sent back between October 9 and August to Mr. Arar's family to say, "Can you help us gather evidence about Mr. Arar's 10 connection, if any, to the Syrian Muslim 11 Brotherhood"? 12 MR. MARTEL: No, no, that wasn't 13 done. 14 MS EDWARDH: Did you ever go and 15 ask the Syrian authorities if Mr. Arar could sign 16 a power of attorney allowing his wife to get 17 access to information, private banking records, 18 et cetera? Did you ever ask? 19 20 MR. MARTEL: No, I don't think so, 21 neither beforehand nor after access was denied, 2.2 when I could no longer ask him anything . . . no, 23 we never asked. MS EDWARDH: And after August 24 14th, when you knew he was going to stand his 25

trial, there was no effort to have him sign a

1

2 power of attorney? 3 MR. MARTEL: I never saw him again after that. 4 MS EDWARDH: Fair enough. 5 You didn't make a telephone request to the Syrian 6 authorities so that some effort could be made at 7 8 least to ask them if they would allow him to sign 9 a power of attorney? MR. MARTEL: No, no such requests 10 11 were made by phone . . . phone calls were always: 12 can I see my client? And the answer was always the same, and at the end I was told no, he was no 13 longer under their jurisdiction. 14 15 MS EDWARDH: I would like you to go to tab 512, if I could, in this same volume. 16 You had a visit -- obviously we 17 18 will deal with August 14th in other respects. But you had a visit August 14th, and there is a 19 20 discussion of the pending accusations against 21 Mr. Arar and you are told a number of things, or I 2.2 assume you are told this as well -- you know this 23 from the conversation, I'm sorry -- that he is to be given a choice of lawyer? 24 25 MR. MARTEL: Actually, this should

1 be taken with a grain of salt. That's what General Hassan Khalil said at the time. So, there was no 2 formal communication between . . . on the part of 3 the Syrian government with the Embassy. 4 MS EDWARDH: No. But it is the 5 first indication the General has given you of the 6 nature of the proceedings? 7 It's the General's 8 MR. MARTEL: 9 opinion. 10 MS EDWARDH: It was your understanding that Mr. Arar could have counsel, 11 that he would be allowed to meet with counsel --12 I'm just trying to summarize this. If you want to 13 go through the tabs, we can. But that his trial 14 would be a quick trial? 15 MR. MARTEL: That's what the 16 General led us to believe. The comments reported 17 were those of the General. 18 MS EDWARDH: I want to just know 19 20 whether at any time, up to and including the end 21 of your August 14th visit, you told Mr. Arar that 2.2 one of the concerns of the Syrians was that he was 23 a member of the Muslim Brotherhood? MR. MARTEL: Well, I wasn't at 24 liberty to say whatever I wanted to Maher. Our 25

1 conversations were always monitored and limited, and the Syrians had forbidden me to discuss the 2 3 case. They had warned me ahead of time that they didn't want me to discuss the case. 4 So I wasn't at liberty to talk 5 about whatever I wanted with him. 6 MS EDWARDH: So at no time in all 7 8 of the consular visits that you had did you ever 9 inform him at least of your understanding of the substance of the allegations against him? 10 11 MR. MARTEL: No, I never talked 12 about that, for a number of reasons. First of all, because they 13 prevented me from discussing the case, and 14 secondly, because I didn't believe this 15 information either. 16 I'm sorry, you didn't 17 MS EDWARDH: believe that information? 18 MR. MARTEL: No, I didn't believe 19 20 what they were telling me . . . that he was a 21 member of the Muslim Brotherhood. He left Syria

22 when he was young . . . how could he be a Muslim23 Brother?

24 MS EDWARDH: So is it your 25 evidence here that the reason that you did not

StenoTran

1 inform him of that allegation was that you assumed that the Syrians were -- I don't know, for want of 2 3 a better word -- not being candid with you? MR. MARTEL: No, they weren't. As 4 you say, one, they weren't telling me the truth, 5 and two, I was forbidden from discussing the case, 6 7 so I could only discuss certain topics with Maher, 8 but I couldn't get into the case itself. 9 I couldn't say to him, "Look, the Syrians are accusing you of being a member of the 10 Muslim Brotherhood". It made no sense: he had 11 left the country when he was about 16 or 17, so, 12 as far as I was concerned, they were simply making 13 all this up. 14 It was at this point, I believe, 15 that I started to realize that they were 16 floundering. They didn't really know where they 17 18 were going. MS EDWARDH: I take it that if you 19 20 look -- I'm just going to put a general 21 proposition to you. 2.2 If you look at the consular notes 23 overall, Mr. Martel, you confined your conversations with Mr. Arar to matters trivial, 24 family, and just whatever observations you could 25

1 make; right? 2 MR. MARTEL: That's right, in 3 accordance with the instructions I had been given. MS EDWARDH: And material issues 4 that are at the root of your mandate to provide 5 consular services were not the subject of 6 7 questions by you, nor were there any discussions 8 about what you understood to be allegations he 9 faced? MR. MARTEL: Exactly, because I 10 wasn't at liberty, and the meetings were monitored 11 and I could only say what I was allowed to say, 12 and the same held true for Maher. He could speak 13 to me, but he had to stick to what he was allowed 14 to tell me. 15 MS EDWARDH: Yes. But you are 16 following instructions, I take it, from Ottawa, 17 18 and you are told, as I understand your evidence, Mr. Martel, "Don't say anything to Mr. Arar about 19 20 the allegations made in the U.S., don't say 21 anything about what we have learned about what the 2.2 Syrians think. Just don't talk about what it is 23 alleged that Maher Arar did"? MR. MARTEL: No, I never received 24 such instructions from Ottawa. The Syrians had 25

1 forbidden me to talk about the case.

Ottawa had never forbidden me to 2 talk about anything, except that, from the very 3 beginning, the Consular Affairs Bureau told me 4 that I must understand the situation and that I 5 should stick to the most transparent questions, 6 but that if I wanted to find out more, I should 7 8 perhaps ask such-and-such a question. That was 9 it. But Canada never told me that I 10 should not try to get information, or that I 11 shouldn't do this or that. The instructions came 12 from the Syrian authorities. I could only ask 13 certain guestions. 14 15 The same thing applied to the MPs when they went. They were told that they could 16 ask certain questions, but they couldn't go any 17 further than that. 18 MS EDWARDH: So if one looks at 19 20 what you were told by the Syrians you couldn't 21 talk about, generally we could draw the following 2.2 conclusions. 23 The Syrians didn't want you to learn or talk about the case. That was off the 24 table; correct? 25

StenoTran

11404

1 MR. MARTEL: Yes, and from their point of view . . . 2 Let me do the list. 3 MS EDWARDH: MR. MARTEL: Go ahead. 4 MS EDWARDH: They didn't want you 5 to talk about the case, and they didn't want you 6 7 to talk about how they were treating Mr. Arar. Is 8 that correct? 9 MR. MARTEL: Well, let's say, it wasn't that they didn't want me to talk about how 10 he was being treated. They presented the 11 12 situation in a positive light, and my reports were written accordingly, based on the positive manner 13 in which they presented the situation. 14 That doesn't mean they didn't want 15 . . . naturally, they didn't want any bad press or 16 anything like that. 17 18 If I understand the question correctly, they didn't want me to talk about the 19 20 manner in which he was treated, and I talked about 21 the manner in which he was treated. They said to 2.2 me, "Yes, you can ask him questions about the 23 prison conditions and about his family". MS EDWARDH: Right. But they 24 didn't permit you to ask certain questions about 25

1 his detention, or you would have asked that whole list of questions I put to you at the beginning of 2 3 this? Definitely. Right MR. MARTEL: 4 from the very first visit, I started asking 5 questions along those lines, such as: "Where did 6 7 you come from? How long ago? Where did you stay? 8 What did you do?" etc., and then they stopped me, 9 and they stopped him as well. MS EDWARDH: Mr. Martel, let me 10 stop you because we are going to come back to 11 that, and I don't want to go too far offside. 12 I 13 just want to finish this area with the lawyers, and we will come back to what they would and would 14 not allow you. 15 Let me just turn you to tab 514 16 17 for a moment. Am I correct, sir, that, after the 18 14th of August, there was some effort on the part 19 20 of yourself and others, first of all, to deal with 21 an issue of a visa for a Canadian legal observer? 2.2 MR. MARTEL: Yes. I believe . . . 23 it seems to me it was James Lockyear. MS EDWARDH: Yes. And also to 24 finally put a lawyer in place for a trial? 25

1 MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's right, and I had discussed the subject of lawyers with 2 3 Maher. And might I just make MS EDWARDH: 4 it really simple with respect to Mr. Lockyear. 5 You asked the Syrian Foreign Ministry for 6 permission for him to come? 7 8 MR. MARTEL: Yes. I believe a 9 diplomatic note was sent. Yes. MS EDWARDH: No one ever answered 10 11 and no one ever issued a visa? 12 MR. MARTEL: Would you have 13 expected otherwise? The answer is always the 14 same. Mr. Lockyear asked me personally 15 if I thought the Syrians would grant him a visa, 16 and I told him that, to be perfectly honest, I 17 would be very surprised if they did, but that we 18 had to try anyway. 19 20 MS EDWARDH: Right. So efforts 21 were made to at least ask, and the Syrians did not 2.2 grace the request with an answer? 23 MR. MARTEL: And I don't know if the visa request was submitted either, because it 24 is not submitted in Syria, it is submitted from 25

1 here. MS EDWARDH: Well, I think we have 2 3 reason to believe a request was submitted but no answer came, and that would go to the Foreign 4 Ministry, would it not, the visa request? 5 6 MR. MARTEL: To the Syrian 7 Embassy. 8 MS EDWARDH: That would be 9 Ambassador Arnous who would receive that request? MR. MARTEL: Ambassador Arnous. 10 11 MS EDWARDH: We also have 12 information that there is an effort by Mr. Arar's 13 wife to settle on a lawyer, and she has some names of lawyers. Do you see that in this memo? 14 MR. MARTEL: Which tab are you in? 15 Are you still in 514? 16 17 MS EDWARDH: The same tab, tab 18 514. MR. MARTEL: Yes, yes. Already at 19 20 that time, and, as you just said, we had to act 21 quickly to find defence counsel, and I don't know 2.2 if you want to look at this section, but Maher had 23 already spoken to me about his defence. MS EDWARDH: Well, I wanted -- and 24 he had told you that he wanted his wife to be in a 25

1 position to identify defence counsel who would act on his behalf? 2 3 MR. MARTEL: Yes. Not initially, but later on, yes. 4 MS EDWARDH: But by this date, 5 August the 15th -- indeed he says it to you in the 6 7 meeting on August 14th. He told you his wife 8 would take charge of retaining a lawyer? 9 MR. MARTEL: That's right. Не wanted his wife to take care of it. 10 11 MS EDWARDH: And what "take care 12 of it" meant was that she was to select the lawyer who would act for Mr. Arar? 13 MR. MARTEL: Yes. This was normal 14 15 consular procedure. 16 MS EDWARDH: So on August 15th, 2003, you are told the names of the 17 lawyers that she has selected, and they are listed 18 at the bottom of this note: Mr. Haithem Emaleh 19 and Mr. Anwar El Bouni. 20 21 Do you see those names? 2.2 MR. MARTEL: Yes, I see them, but 23 she had not yet made her choice. MS EDWARDH: Well, you know you 24 have some role because you re going to contact 25

1 them on behalf of the family. Right. 2 MR. MARTEL: She has identified 3 MS EDWARDH: those two lawyers as persons they want you to 4 contact on her behalf. 5 MR. MARTEL: That's right. 6 7 MS EDWARDH: Right. I am curious, 8 if you turn to tab 519 -- we are now four days 9 later -- why you are canvassing other lawyers. We start by saying: 10 11 "The two persons whose names 12 you have provided are saying they are aware of the case 13 and are willing to take on 14 the work." 15 So those are the two names that 16 Mr. Arar's wife gave you four or five days 17 earlier; correct? 18 19 MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's correct. 20 MS EDWARDH: And then there is --21 and I think it's even at Mr. Pardy's suggestion. 2.2 You go to other lawyers? 23 MR. MARTEL: Yes, of course. And -- well, I don't 24 MS EDWARDH: know, of course. If you can help us with 25

1 paragraph (b), there is the name of a lawyer associated, I gather, with a group of lawyers, 2 Cabinet d'avocats? 3 MR. MARTEL: Yes. 4 MS EDWARDH: Is that a group of 5 lawyers? 6 Yes, it's a firm. 7 MR. MARTEL: 8 MS EDWARDH: And a particular 9 person is one there that is a prominent lawyer, and you have discussed the case with him; correct? 10 MR. MARTEL: That's correct. 11 12 MS EDWARDH: He doesn't usually work on criminal cases but may look at it; right? 13 MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's right. 14 MS EDWARDH: And after he gets 15 some information, he will let you know whether he 16 is interested? 17 18 MR. MARTEL: Yes. Exactly. MS EDWARDH: I'm troubled, 19 20 Mr. Martel, I'm troubled. You have instructions 21 to retain the lawyers, or to contact the lawyers that Mr. Arar's wife has identified. 2.2 What on 23 earth are you doing contacting other lawyers? MR. MARTEL: Well, at the time, we 24 were in the process of looking around. In the 25

1 end, if we could find some other lawyers who we believed, for the mission -- who were on our list 2 and who were very influential lawyers, there would 3 be nothing wrong with getting in touch with them 4 simply to find out whether or not they would be 5 prepared to take on this case. Because both of 6 7 them had said yes, initially. In the end, it was 8 up to his wife, Monia, to decide who she wanted to 9 go with. But if we opened up our scope of action to include the possibility of other lawyers, if it 10 didn't work out with A or B, then we could always 11 12 try C or D or E or F, just to see. But the final 13 decision was up to her, not us.

MS EDWARDH: Okay. Because certainly (a) and (b) are people she has already chosen, and they have already said they are interested in taking the case.

18 MR. MARTEL: I believe she was
19 considering them, but she hadn't yet made her
20 choice.

21 MS EDWARDH: Well, she said in the 22 memo that was sent to you, and this is what I find 23 odd, if you go back to tab 514, it says she: 24 "... has canvassed various 25 contacts and suggests

StenoTran

11412

1 following two names as possible lawyers for Maher. 2 3 Her preference is the first, Mr. Emaleh." 4 MR. MARTEL: Right. 5 MS EDWARDH: So she has clearly 6 put into your bailiwick --7 MR. MARTEL: Her preference. 8 It's 9 her preference. MS EDWARDH: Yes. So I take it 10 11 you disapproved of her choice. 12 MR. MARTEL: No, not at all. 13 We . . . MR. BAXTER: Mr. Commissioner, I 14 would intervene at this point and ask in fairness 15 that the witness be taken to page 2 of the 16 document, tab 519. The witness was asked four 17 specific tasks by Mr. Pardy, and I think that is 18 19 very material. 20 MS EDWARDH: Okay. Let me try a 21 different question. 2.2 Take a look at those four specific 23 tasks. I said to you it was at Mr. Pardy's suggestion, but I'm going to suggest to you that 24 you and Mr. Pardy disagreed with her choice. 25

1 MR. MARTEL: No. MS EDWARDH: Mr. Emaleh was a 2 human rights lawyer in Syria, and it was your 3 view, it was Mr. Pardy's view, it was the 4 Embassy's view, that it would be better to go to 5 someone else? 6 7 MR. MARTEL: That's not exactly 8 how it was. Mr. -- what's his name again? MS EDWARDH: 9 How is it wrong? 10 MR. MARTEL: Mr. Emaleh was a good 11 lawyer who, obviously, stood up for human rights. 12 The only problem was that he had just been released from prison, and I was clearly afraid 13 that by choosing this lawyer . . . in the end, the 14 decision was up to her, but if she ended up 15 choosing this lawyer, my thoughts, knowing how the 16 authorities work, were: If he has just gotten out 17 18 of prison, what's to stop them arresting him tomorrow and putting him back in prison? And the 19 20 day Maher ends up in court, there will be no one 21 there to defend him. That's what I was worried 2.2 about. 23 But, in the end, the choice was up to Monia, not us. However, this didn't prevent us 24 from looking into who was available, who would be 25

1 ready, because in this country, as you know, not everyone would be willing to take on this case. 2 3 People are afraid and will not agree to defend a case like this. We might find three, four or five 4 people, no more. Even the law firm, whose name we 5 see here, even the head of this law firm is not a 6 7 specialist, and he would have passed on the case to one of his clients. 8 He did a bit of research for us in 9 order to try and find the file, to find out where 10 it was. So it wasn't all a waste of time. 11 12 In any event, when all is said and done, it's the client who decides, not us. 13

14 MS EDWARDH: Of course. And I'm 15 just noting that while Mr. Emaleh may have been 16 released from prison, he was released as part of a 17 Presidential pardon. Did you know that?

18 MR. MARTEL: No, I don't know all19 the details.

20 MS EDWARDH: Nonetheless, that was21 Mrs. Arar's choice.

22 MR. MARTEL: Of course. 23 MS EDWARDH: And I take it though 24 you will agree with me that the Department 25 generally tries to recommend against people who

StenoTran

11415

1 have active records as human rights advocates? MR. MARTEL: I was not aware of 2 3 that. MS EDWARDH: In this case, they 4 did? 5 MR. MARTEL: Perhaps, but I was 6 unaware of it. 7 8 My only concern was that he had 9 just been released . . . this was my only concern. There were no other reasons. 10 11 In the end, Monia contacted him 12 directly and we, naturally, were active --MS EDWARDH: But, sir --13 MR. MARTEL: -- but she was the 14 one who made the final decision. 15 MS EDWARDH: Right. Certainly if 16 you take a look at tab 536, by September the 2nd, 17 you are still recommending to Monia she consider 18 other members of the bar? 19 MR. MARTEL: Well, I believed it 20 21 was in everyone's interest, as well as in the 2.2 client's interest, to provide as much information 23 as possible about what was available, and, in the end, the client must decide. We do not have the 24 right, nor is it part of our mandate, to tell a 25

1 client that they should hire a certain lawyer, because after that we are responsible. 2 3 MS EDWARDH: Of course you don't. I understand that. I understand that, Mr. Martel. 4 And can you tell me whether Mr. 5 El-Hakim has anyone in his firm anyone who has 6 7 expertise as a criminal lawyer? 8 MR. MARTEL: He told us that he, 9 personally, could not take on this case, but that there was someone --10 11 MS EDWARDH: But my question --MR. MARTEL: -- in his office who 12 13 was competent. MS EDWARDH: Did you ever inquire 14 whether that person was himself or herself a 15 criminal lawyer to defend charges of the kind you 16 expected against Mr. Arar? 17 MR. MARTEL: No, but it's the most 18 prestigious law firm in the country. 19 2.0 MS EDWARDH: It seems to me you 21 got some legal advice that you paid for about the 2.2 charges from his firm? 23 MR. MARTEL: No, we never had to 24 pay. 25 MS EDWARDH: Okay. But you got

legal advice and you expected that you might have to pay?

3 MR. MARTEL: Maybe, but I was4 prepared to pay myself.

5 MS EDWARDH: Right. Of course. 6 I'm just establishing that that firm gave the 7 Embassy or you legal advice. You thought you 8 might have to pay, but I take it they wrote off 9 the bill?

MR. MARTEL: Out of kindness towards us . . they knew us, and perhaps there would be something to pay, then again, maybe not, I don't know, but they never asked us for money. MS EDWARDH: But with respect to Haithem Emaleh, it was made very clear to

Mrs. Arar that the Embassy could not pay any part of the fees he would charge?

MR. MARTEL: I believe that 18 decision was made by the Consular Affairs Bureau. 19 20 MS EDWARDH: Yes. Do you know why 21 the firm that is so highly regarded in Damascus, 2.2 that you asked Mrs. Arar to consider, and who 23 would have paid if they had been retained, why would they be paid, and why would Mr. Emaleh not 24 be paid and why would Mrs. Arar be told that she 25

1 was on her own with respect to legal fees? MR. MARTEL: Well, yes, if I 2 3 understand your question correctly, in any event, neither the Embassy nor the Department would have 4 paid the El-Hakim law office's legal fees. I had 5 asked him to do some research in order to try and 6 locate the file, and these were little things. 7 8 But as for the defence itself, I was not 9 authorized to retain his services, and I would not have been authorized to pay him either. 10 I said that there was perhaps \$200 or \$300 to be spent on 11 12 research to find the files, and this was what 13 worried me. Since this was a very prestigious law firm --14 15 MS EDWARDH: And then you would have been authorized? 16 MR. MARTEL: Pardon me? No, I 17 18 would not have been authorized to pay for the defence, but for the research, yes. 19 20 MS EDWARDH: I see. I take it no 21 aspect of Mr. Emaleh's account and the research he did and the efforts he made to find Mr. Arar were 2.2 23 paid for by the Embassy? MR. MARTEL: The Consular Affairs 24

24 MR. MARIEL: The Consular Allalis 25 Bureau said that the legal fees could not be paid

StenoTran

11419

1 by the Embassy. The same applies to everyone in 2 all cases. 3 MS EDWARDH: To the best of your knowledge? 4 MR. MARTEL: To the best of my 5 6 knowledge. 7 MS EDWARDH: And you were aware 8 that that position was taken in circumstances 9 where Mrs. Arar, facing a deadline of a trial within a very short period of time, didn't have 10 11 the money to fund that defence?

12 MR. MARTEL: Well, based on the correspondence I read, I think Monia was 13 disappointed, but the decision came from the 14 Consular Affairs Bureau, and not from us. 15 Embassies are not given this power to delegate 16 financial authority. The decision must be taken, 17 18 and, in certain exceptional cases, we have indeed paid for consular expenses that we normally 19 20 wouldn't pay for, but the authorization came from 21 the Bureau.

22 MS EDWARDH: From the time that 23 these discussions go on to the time that Mr. Arar 24 walks out, it is clear, is it not, that to the 25 best of everyone's knowledge no one ever saw

StenoTran

11420

1 Mr. Arar's file before the court? 2 MR. MARTEL: That's my 3 understanding. I was in contact, and my employees were in contact --4 MS EDWARDH: No lawyer ever --5 sorry? 6 7 MR. MARTEL: No one. 8 MS EDWARDH: No lawyer ever got 9 access to it; no lawyer ever saw Mr. Arar? MR. MARTEL: As far as I know. 10 11 MS EDWARDH: And you eventually 12 are of the view, are you not -- and I can go through the tabs, but I want to get through this 13 as quickly as I can. 14 You are eventually of the view 15 that if he is tried in the security courts, it is 16 extremely unlikely that Canada will ever be 17 18 allowed to even participate, or go to, or attend the trial to see what is alleged to have gone on? 19 20 MR. MARTEL: That's the lawyer's 21 opinion, but, later on, we had another case in the 2.2 same court, and the ambassador was allowed to be 23 present. MS EDWARDH: All right. But there 24 was nothing you had by way of legal advice that 25

1 said you had any right to participate? It was, you know, if the Syrians wake up one morning, they 2 may let you in, or they may not? 3 MR. MARTEL: That was the opinion 4 of the lawyer hired by Monia. 5 MS EDWARDH: Right. Now, I want 6 7 to just go to one other issue. 8 We have a lawyer now; right? We 9 have a lawyer with no access to information. We have a lawyer who has no access to the client; 10 11 right? MR. MARTEL: That's right. 12 MS EDWARDH: And now I want to 13 know what you did to try and change that 14 situation. 15 First of all, what did you do with 16 the information that the Government of Canada or 17 the Department of Foreign Affairs was sitting on 18 about Mr. Arar? 19 20 MR. MARTEL: I was unaware, first 21 of all, that there was information in Ottawa. Τf the Consular Affairs Bureau had information for us 2.2 about the client that could be useful for his 23 defence, I think that the decision would already 24 have been made in Ottawa to send it to us. If the 25

1 people there have files that will help a client who is outside the country, and if they already 2 3 know that the client will be standing trial, they must send us any documents that they believe will 4 be helpful. 5 Since we are outside the country, 6 7 we don't know what they have. 8 MS EDWARDH: So if they had a copy 9 of his interrogation that you were told he could refute -- do you remember that? You were told he 10 could refute it? 11 12 MR. MARTEL: Right. 13 MS EDWARDH: If they had a copy of the interrogation, it should have been in your 14 hands to put in the hands of his defence lawyer; 15 16 correct? MR. MARTEL: Yes. If it was not 17 18 already in the file to which the lawyer should have access. 19 20 MS EDWARDH: We don't know what is 21 in the file because no one ever saw the file. 2.2 MR. MARTEL: We don't even know if 23 a file exists. MS EDWARDH: That's true. 24 But nonetheless, in order to prepare to defend, given 25

1 what you were told by the Syrian authorities, assuming it is not all completely a tissue of 2 lies, if Mr. Arar had made a statement and there 3 were transcripts of his interviews, and General 4 Khalil had said he could refute them and that 5 lawyer didn't have them, they should have been 6 given to that lawyer through you; correct? 7 8 MR. MARTEL: Obviously, I think 9 the lawyer should have all the incriminating documents. He should have all the documents he 10 needs to defend his client. If this document 11 12 exists somewhere, and he is not given it, he should be, of course. 13 MS EDWARDH: Yes. Indeed, that is 14

15 what Mr. Pardy said. He said that this kind of 16 information, if it lay within the Department of 17 Foreign Affairs, should be provided to defence 18 counsel in order that they can help deal with the 19 allegations made by the Syrian government. Right. 20 And I take it, Mr. Martel, that

21 despite the Canadian government having this 22 information, you were never aware of any effort 23 made by anyone through the Embassy or through any 24 other source to put that kind of information in 25 the hands of a defence lawyer in Syria?

11424

1 MR. MARTEL: Well, as you know, there was a scheduling issue. At the time these 2 3 events took place, it was already very important to do everything possible, within the space of 4 only a few days, in order to enable Monia to 5 defend Maher. 6 As you said, there were perhaps 7 8 documents in Canada that the defence lawyer would 9 need. We didn't know what was in the file, and whether there were copies of these documents in 10 the file. I had been told that he could refute 11 12 the accusations and all that, but no one had told 13 us --MS EDWARDH: You were told he 14 could refute his statements. 15 MR. MARTEL: His statement. 16 MS EDWARDH: Yes. 17 MR. MARTEL: Statement. 18 19 No one in Ottawa said to us, "Here 20 you go, I have something". Anyway, I didn't know 21 anything about the exhibit. 2.2 MS EDWARDH: Does it surprise you 23 that ISI had it sitting in the file? MR. MARTEL: I didn't know what 24 was in this document, nor where it was. 25

1 MS EDWARDH: If I ask you to assume -- if I ask you to assume that the 2 Ambassador had a document, that ISI and ISD had a 3 document --4 THE COMMISSIONER: I think there 5 is an objection behind you, Ms. Edwardh. 6 MR. DÉCARY: Aren't we going a bit 7 8 far here? Why ask this witness about something 9 that he doesn't have -- he has it or he doesn't have. He is not here to give opinions, I believe, 10 and therefore the objection would be on the basis 11 12 that this witness is not here to give opinions. He had it or he had not. 13 THE COMMISSIONER: But I think he 14 can say that. I think the question is fairly 15 giving him the opportunity to say that. 16 Go ahead, Ms. Edwardh, please. 17 18 Thank you. MS EDWARDH: Sir, I want to just 19 20 establish. We know you did not have physical 21 possession of a document that purported to be a 2.2 summary of Mr. Arar's interrogation. You have 23 already answered that question. Your Ambassador did; the Department did. 24 25 I take it you were unaware the

Department had that information. Is that your 1 evidence? 2 3 MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's my evidence. 4 MS EDWARDH: And I take it you 5 were unaware that your consular notes were given 6 to other members outside of consular affairs? 7 You 8 didn't know they were going to CSIS and the 9 Mounties and things like that? MR. MARTEL: Did they really go to 10 11 them? 12 MS EDWARDH: Yes. MR. MARTEL: Well, that's news to 13 14 me. MS EDWARDH: Given your 15 understanding of your duties, and the duties of 16 the Department to the client, as you have insisted 17 18 on calling Mr. Arar -- he is your client -- do you have any views, sir, of whether or not those 19 20 documents should have been put into your hands to 21 pass on to defence counsel? MR. MARTEL: Well, once these 2.2 23 events started happening very quickly, we didn't have much room to manoeuvre. The main concern was 24 that the Syrians would beat us quickly, that they 25

1 would bring my client to court and we would find ourselves faced with a fait accompli within two, 2 three or four days, which happens frequently. 3 So we had to be realistic and look 4 at the situation at hand. What if the lawyer 5 tells us that he still hasn't found the file, that 6 he still does not have access to the file, and 7 8 that he can't do anything for us? 9 So the day the lawyer finds the file, the day we are able to get some concrete 10 information . . . when exactly this trial will 11 12 take place . . . only then will the lawyer be able to review the case. You're the expert in this 13 matter, not me. If evidence is missing in this 14 case, then the lawyer should definitely contact 15 us, and we can go to Ottawa and say, "Look, the 16 lawyer is missing a document; he needs it. You 17 18 must send it immediately via a secure means so we can have it right away." But we never got that 19 20 far. One, we never got a file, the lawyer never 21 had access to it. If there was indeed a file, we 2.2 didn't know what was in it. So things had become 23 a bit . . . we had reached a standstill. We could see that something was moving, but there were no 24 specifics. 25

1 MS EDWARDH: My question is really simple: Leaving aside that you didn't have 2 anything precise at that time, you had a window 3 from August 14th to the end of September; correct? 4 You were always being told the 5 trial was coming up. Six weeks. Six weeks. 6 7 MR. MARTEL: That's right. 8 MS EDWARDH: From those of us who 9 practise at the bar, six weeks ain't bad to 10 prepare a case. 11 My only question to you is simply 12 this: If the Government of Canada held a summary of the interrogation that you were told Mr. Arar 13 could refute in court, and you are moving really 14 fast to face a trial that you can't pin down 15 anywhere, isn't it your view that you were 16 duty-bound to provide that to the defence lawyer 17 in order that he could start to prepare? 18 MR. MARTEL: If the document 19 20 wasn't in the file -- because the lawyer has to 21 review the entire case -- if there was already a 2.2 copy in Canada, we could assume that the Syrians 23 had a copy as well. So, if his statement was in the 24 file, yes; if his statement wasn't in the file, 25

1 Canadian authorities could definitely have sent all the documents in their possession to the 2 3 defence lawyer for the client's defence, so that everything was working in his favour. I think 4 that would be guite normal. 5 MS EDWARDH: That's normal. 6 That is how it is supposed to be done. 7 8 MR. MARTEL: Yes, I think so. 9 MS EDWARDH: It is not supposed to be a matter that is not ever disclosed. 10 11 You see, the problem I have, 12 Mr. Martel, is you couldn't tell Mr. Arar a lot of things you learned from General Khalil and others. 13 You weren't allowed to tell him. 14 MR. MARTEL: That's right. 15 MS EDWARDH: So one can only 16 17 imagine, only imagine the conversation he would 18 have had with a lawyer, if he ever got to see one. In the ordinary course, the lawyer would say, "Can 19 20 you tell me what the allegations are against you?" 21 And Mr. Arar would say, "No one has told me. The 2.2 Canadian Embassy hasn't told me. My consular 23 service representative hasn't told me. Do you have any information from your file?" "No." 24 25 So the only lifeline Mr. Arar had

1 was you, Mr. Martel.

All I'm simply suggesting to you 2 3 is that in not having that information given to you to arm Mr. Emaleh, no later than August 20th 4 or 22nd, that you ran the risk that Mr. Emaleh 5 would find himself in a court unable to refute the 6 7 very statement that the General told you he would 8 have an opportunity to. That's all. It was a big 9 risk. MR. MARTEL: Of course there was a 10 11 risk. That's why, of course, we formally insisted that the chargé d'affaires or myself be present. 12 When there is a Canadian presence in this type of 13 trial, the authorities can't just make up all 14 kinds of stories. So, up to now, that's what took 15 place. In situations like this where we were 16 present, everything went well. 17 MS EDWARDH: In fact, in any of 18 the proceedings relating to Mr. Arar and his 19 20 appearance before the judge and whatever 21 determinations were made in this case, you weren't there? 2.2 MR. MARTEL: We didn't have time 23 to be there; it was over before then. 24 25 MS EDWARDH: Well, I'm not sure

StenoTran

11431

1 that is an answer you want to leave on the record. You never knew that he would be 2 appearing before a judge the morning he appeared? 3 MR. MARTEL: No, no. Definitely 4 not. I found out afterwards --5 MS EDWARDH: Yes. 6 MR. MARTEL: -- when he was 7 8 released. 9 MS EDWARDH: You weren't given notice --10 MR. MARTEL: 11 No. 12 MS EDWARDH: -- that he was going 13 to have a trial and be acquitted? MR. MARTEL: I hadn't had any 14 contact since the last visit, up to the moment 15 when the General summoned the Embassy to attend a 16 17 meeting. 18 MS EDWARDH: And Mr. Arar's lawyer was given no notice. 19 20 MR. MARTEL: Neither. 21 MS EDWARDH: So in reflecting back 2.2 on this, is it fair to say that this whole issue 23 of charges, lawyers, from your perspective, really was just a sham? 24 25 MR. MARTEL: That's my opinion.

1 MS EDWARDH: That Mr. Arar was arbitrarily detained from Day 1, and when the 2 Syrians had no further use for him, for whatever 3 reasons, they let him go? 4 In my opinion, the MR. MARTEL: 5 Syrians released Mr. Arar when they deemed it in 6 their interest to do so. Period. 7 8 MS EDWARDH: That's the way they 9 usually act, is it not? MR. MARTEL: Period. That's how 10 11 they do things. 12 We can elaborate on this if you like, because there were nevertheless 13 circumstances in the region that forced them to do 14 There were many factors. They didn't just 15 so. get up one morning and say, "Okay, you're free to 16 go". There were a great number of factors --17 18 MS EDWARDH: I'm going to stop you because, Mr. Martel, I agree 100 per cent. 19 The 20 Syrians acted in their self-interest. 21 MR. MARTEL: Exactly. That's all. 2.2 MS EDWARDH: And what I just want 23 to establish is we have heard a lot of people speculate on how Syrians define their 24 self-interest. But you will agree with me that 25

1 nobody from the Government of Canada or the Department was sitting in the offices of the 2 Syrians when they decided on their self-interests, 3 for whatever reasons they had? 4 MR. MARTEL: We don't know either 5 when they made their decision. 6 7 MS EDWARDH: Absolutely. 8 THE COMMISSIONER: Is that a convenient time, Ms. Edwardh? 9 MS EDWARDH: Certainly. 10 11 THE COMMISSIONER: As far as the 12 length of the lunch hour, how are we doing? We can have a shorter lunch hour, 13 if that is going to assist in accomplishing our 14 schedule this afternoon. 15 16 MS EDWARDH: I think it should be shorter rather than longer. 17 18 THE COMMISSIONER: That's okay. 19 We will resume at a quarter to two. We will rise until then. 2.0 21 THE REGISTRAR: Please stand. 2.2 --- Upon recessing at 1:04 p.m. / 23 Suspension à 13 h 04 --- Upon resuming at 1:47 p.m. / 24 Reprise à 13 h 47 25

1 THE REGISTRAR: Please be seated. THE COMMISSIONER: I'm afraid I 2 3 will have to ask for some time estimates simply because of the staff, and I have to deal with the 4 sound people, the court reporter and translators, 5 and so on. 6 7 I guess I could start with you, 8 Ms. Edwardh. Do you have any idea as to how long 9 your cross-examination will be from here? MS EDWARDH: I find it difficult 10 to estimate, particularly because the witness 11 12 is -- we are speaking in different languages to one another --13 THE COMMISSIONER: 14 Right. MS EDWARDH: -- and there has been 15 a translation. I have been very bad, I have been 16 told over the luncheon recess, and interpreted 17 what he said in French and asked a question in 18 English and left the poor person translating 19 20 trying to deal with both of us. 21 I think I will be another two to two and a half hours. 2.2 23 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. So that would take us until he break, probably until 24 5 o'clock. 25

1 Ms. Jackman said she would be 15 minutes, I think. She is not here. 2 Mr. Baxter? 3 MR. BAXTER: At this point, 4 depending upon what Ms. Edwardh touches upon, 10 5 to 15 minutes at the top. 6 THE COMMISSIONER: That would be 7 5:30. 8 9 Mr. Décary, at this point, do you know how long you would be? 10 11 MR. DÉCARY: Ten to fifteen 12 minutes also. THE COMMISSIONER: I take it, 13 Mr. Cavalluzzo, there would be --14 MR. CAVALLUZZO: Nothing to this 15 point. 16 THE COMMISSIONER: So that would 17 probably be, I don't want to encourage this, 18 between 5:30 and 6:00. 19 Then we have Ms. Jackman's motion. 2.0 21 Do other people know -- Mr. Atkey, yes? MR. ATKEY: Five minutes. 2.2 23 THE COMMISSIONER: I am told the interveners will be five minutes. Is that right, 24 Mr. Cavalluzzo? 25

1 Do we know how long Ms. Jackman might be? 2 3 Are you speaking on that motion, Ms. Edwardh? 4 MS EDWARDH: I would not expect to 5 be more than a minute or two. 6 THE COMMISSIONER: And Government? 7 8 MR. BAXTER: I believe Ms. McIsaac 9 has a few remarks. THE COMMISSIONER: I don't think 10 we need to be long, but we are looking at 11 12 something less than half an hour. So I say to the people who are 13 working here, the camera crew, sound, translators, 14 I think we are probably at worst-case scenario 15 looking at 6:15. 16 Is there anybody for whom that 17 doesn't work? 18 I can't see in the sound booth or 19 20 the translation booth, but I think that's okay. 21 I've got thumbs up. Thank you, 2.2 all. 23 Ms. Edwardh? MS EDWARDH: Thank you, 24 Mr. Commissioner. 25

| 1 | I would like to deal with a |
|----|--|
| 2 | totally different topic, Mr. Martel, and that |
| 3 | relates to the public statements made by Minister |
| 4 | Graham on the 25th day of September, 2003. |
| 5 | It is in some form found at |
| 6 | tab 581 of the DFAIT volumes, which is volume 7, |
| 7 | Mr. Commissioner. |
| 8 | I don't know that I can I can |
| 9 | read this to you, Mr. Martel; it is just a couple |
| 10 | of lines where Minister Graham makes the following |
| 11 | statements as they are quoted. |
| 12 | "A Canadian who has been held |
| 13 | for a year in a Syrian prison |
| 14 | can get a fair and open |
| 15 | trial, Canadian Foreign |
| 16 | Affairs Minister says. |
| 17 | 'They have taken the |
| 18 | position that he is guilty of |
| 19 | offences under Syrian law, in |
| 20 | which case the proper thing |
| 21 | to do is to prosecute him and |
| 22 | enable him to defend |
| 23 | himself,' Bill Graham said |
| 24 | about the case of Maher Arar. |
| 25 | 'I have been given |

1 assurances by them that it will be in a civil process, 2 3 not a military process, and that this will be open.'/ 4 Do you see that? 5 MR. MARTEL: Yes. I see it. 6 It's a message from Lili Thomsen? Lilian Thomsen? 7 8 Yes? "Arar can get a fair trial in 9 Syria: Graham" 10 11 MS EDWARDH: And you were of course aware that the Minister had made such 12 comments because you, I think, are involved -- if 13 you turn then to volume 6 at tab 574 -- in having 14 15 to -- you are copied on this. 16 It is written by Myra Pastyr-Lupul. And there is an observation about 17 what has been asked, to provide Mr. Arar's lawyer 18 19 with access to the file, to grant consular access, 20 and to have access to the upcoming trial. 21 And then she makes the 2.2 observation -- I'm sorry. This is from you, 23 Mr. Martel. I have mischaracterized it. It is from you to Myra. 24 25 You say:

1 "We have not been requested to convey our concerns to the 2 State Security Supreme Court 3 that the judicial process in 4 Mr. Arar's case be fair and 5 transparent. Perhaps this 6 was done through the 7 Minister's office. As the 8 defendant is a Syrian 9 10 national it is not 11 appropriate for mission to act on the basis of a 12 13 suggested press line. Should 14 you wish to formally convey your concerns to the Ministry 15 of Foreign Affairs, we will 16 require specific instructions 17 from headquarters. 18 It is 19 however expected this action 2.0 may be taken as interference 21 in Syrian internal affairs." Do you see that? 2.2 23 And I take it, sir, that was certainly your view, that the press lines would 24 not suffice, and if there was a formal statement 25

1 on the part of the Government of Canada through the Embassy, it may well be taken as internal 2 interference in the domestic affairs of Syria? 3 MR. MARTEL: Some speculation. 4 It's speculation, and that's why I 5 said we have to have instructions. 6 MS EDWARDH: Well, it's not 7 8 speculation to the extent that you are not 9 prepared to do anything without formal instructions? 10 11 MR. MARTEL: Right. Yes. 12 MS EDWARDH: And if we turn then to tab 575, the very next tab, I see that there is 13 a formal diplomatic note that is sent. 14 15 Do you see that? 16 MR. MARTEL: Yes. Note 1029. MS EDWARDH: And that diplomatic 17 18 note does not include a specific call for an open public trial or fair trial. It is limited to 19 20 consular access, disclosure to the defence counsel, et cetera, but it doesn't make the call 21 that the Minister made; correct? 2.2 23 MR. MARTEL: No. This note is -requests permission for the lawyer who had been 24 retained to have access to the file. 25

1 And I also believe that it 2 includes a request for Department intervention to 3 enable the Consul to once again have access to Maher on a regular basis. 4 MS EDWARDH: Right. But what is 5 missing is any restatement in the diplomatic note 6 of a formal request for an open, public, or fair 7 8 trial process? 9 MR. MARTEL: Yes, but at my level, I could not have sent such a note, and I did not 10 receive any instructions from Mr. Pardy to the 11 effect that that I should send that type of note. 12 13 So, at that time, at my level, our concern was definitely ensuring that the lawyer 14 could access the file. 15 MS EDWARDH: All right. What I 16 wanted to ask you about, though, is the next tab, 17 tab 576. 18 We know no diplomatic note is 19 20 sent. This document appears to, obviously, be 21 part of the CAMANT files, and it is copied to you, sir? 2.2 23 MR. MARTEL: Yes. It's -- yes, I received a copy. 24

MS EDWARDH: And as a result of a

25

StenoTran

1 concern expressed by Mrs. Arar that the Minister made some reference to being pleased the trial was 2 going forth and there would be an opportunity for 3 Maher to defend himself, she was obviously upset 4 by that comment. 5 Is that fair? Do you see that? 6 MR. MARTEL: I'm looking at the 7 8 bottom: 9 "Monia was very concerned about the Minister's comments 10 11 this week ... " 12 MS EDWARDH: Yes, at the end of 13 the first paragraph. "Monia was very concerned -" 14 And this is Myra writing to 15 16 Michelle in the Minister's office. "Monia was very concerned 17 about the Minister's comments 18 this week that 'We are 19 2.0 pleased that the trial is 21 going forth, as this will give an opportunity for Maher 2.2 Arar to defend himself in 23 court." 24 25 Myra makes the observation:

1 "In reality, his lawyer cannot get a hold of the case 2 files to defend his client, 3 we have not been informed of 4 a court date, nor the 5 charges, and all signs 6 indicate the trial will be a 7 8 closed one. This could very 9 well mean our Embassy officials will not be allowed 10 11 in the courtroom when the charges are announced, or to 12 13 hear Mr. Arar's lawyer when 14 given the opportunity to defend his client." 15 And then I'm interested in the 16 17 next comment: "Monia spoke with Robert Fry 18 last night and was told that 19 2.0 this is the 'strategy' of 21 MINA in preparation for his 2.2 meeting with the Syrian 23 Foreign Minister. Could you 24 please advise if this is 25 true? We must be aware that

1 the Minister's comments have far-reaching implications, 2 3 and we can hope all we want for a 'fair and transparent 4 judicial process', but the 5 Supreme State Security Court 6 is known for its secretive 7 8 procedures and lack of appeal 9 once a decision is rendered by the court." 10 11 You certainly agree, do you not, Mr. Martel, that that is a fair characterization 12 of the court in Syria, the Supreme State Security 13 Court? 14 MR. MARTEL: Yes. It's common 15 16 knowledge. There is always a major concern that they could come to a decision without us being 17 notified. 18 MS EDWARDH: Or a lot of other 19 20 things, based on due process --21 MR. MARTEL: It's a special court, and so no one has access. 2.2 23 MS EDWARDH: Were you privy to this strategy, on behalf of the Minister's office, 24 25 of how they hoped it would work to make it public,

1 call for a fair and transparent and public trial? MR. MARTEL: No. It was -- the 2 correspondence with the Minister's office was at a 3 very high level, in consultation with Mr. Pardy, 4 with the Ambassador. 5 But we were on the ground, at the 6 7 operational level. I was not at the political 8 level. 9 How we would proceed, how the Minister's office would proceed, was not my 10 business. 11 12 MS EDWARDH: And I take it there is nothing about what the Minister said that makes 13 it obvious to you what the strategy was? 14 MR. MARTEL: No, I don't know what 15 their strategy was. 16 MS EDWARDH: All right. 17 I would 18 like to just turn for a moment, if I could, to tab 19 590. 20 It may not be the right tab, 21 Mr. Commissioner, I'm sorry. 2.2 I just want to clarify: Was there 23 ever any discussion, that you became aware of, that if a call was to be placed calling for a 24 fair, open, and public trial process, that that 25

1 call should be made by the Prime Minister? MR. MARTEL: There was some 2 correspondence, of which I got wind, but I don't 3 I think it was much later, afterwards. know when. 4 At the time the discussions about strategy were 5 taking place, I was not in the loop, and these 6 7 actions were taking place at a much higher level 8 than mine. 9 But I know there were discussions Somebody -- either from the Minister's 10 later on. 11 office or the Prime Minister's office -- was 12 supposed to call someone, and that sort of thing, but I was not involved in this. 13 MS EDWARDH: I'm sorry, it is the 14 tab just before that, 587, paragraph number 3. 15 If we go to paragraph number 3 --16 and this is written around the same time, 17 September 25th, just a few days before Mr. Arar is 18 released -- am I correct that you would not have 19 20 gotten a copy of this directly? 21 MR. MARTEL: Yes. This message was 2.2 initially addressed to John McNee, Michael Chesson 23 and Konrad Sigurdson, and I was not copied, but it was taken up again by Myra Pastyr-Lupul, who 24

eventually put it in the system, on September 30,

StenoTran

1 and so at that point, after September 30, I definitely got a copy because my name appears 2 3 here, at the bottom. MS EDWARDH: So in the few days 4 around September 25th to September 30th, it does 5 appear that it is the view of now Chrystiane Roy, 6 and she makes the following observations: 7 8 "That they ensure Prime 9 Minister Chrétien urgently 10 tell the Syrian President 11 that this trial is not 12 acceptable, that Maher Arar 13 does not belong in Syria and must be returned to Canada 14 immediately. She asked that 15 16 Prime Minister Chrétien clearly state that if Syrian 17 authorities do not comply 18 with this demand that there 19 20 will be consequences for 21 Syria. Returning Mr. Arar immediately would be a 2.2 win-win situation for both 23 24 Canada and Syria as it would 25 be grounds to allow

| 1 | cooperation and trade |
|----|--|
| 2 | relations to grow on." |
| 3 | And that was really attributed |
| 4 | that statement is made by Mr. Arar's wife; right? |
| 5 | In order to deal with this issue |
| 6 | of the wisdom of the Minister calling for an open, |
| 7 | fair, public trial when it is the position of most |
| 8 | that none can be had, do you know whether any |
| 9 | formal note, or directive, or communication at any |
| 10 | level of the Canadian government took place with |
| 11 | Syrian counterparts from the President on down? |
| 12 | MR. MARTEL: All I know is that, |
| 13 | after the fact, there was supposed to be a call, |
| 14 | perhaps from the Prime Minister, but I don't know |
| 15 | whether this call was actually made. So I don't |
| 16 | know whether or not a conversation actually took |
| 17 | place. I read this. There was supposed to be a |
| 18 | phone call and a discussion with the Prime |
| 19 | Minister, but even today I'm not sure. It's |
| 20 | possible, and perhaps even probable, that it |
| 21 | didn't take place. I'm not sure. |
| 22 | MS EDWARDH: We have heard that |
| 23 | there was earlier that summer an expectation, |
| 24 | perhaps, by the Syrians that such a call would |
| 25 | take place in July or August of this year. |
| | |

1 Was it your understanding as well that that call was to deal with guestions around 2 3 the process available to determine whether Mr. Arar was guilty or not? 4 MR. MARTEL: No. I was not aware 5 of the details. I believe that a call was 6 7 supposed to be made to discuss Mr. Arar's case, 8 among other things, because usually a call is not 9 limited to a discussion of just one case -- they would also, perhaps, discuss problems in the 10 11 region and all that, but as far as I know . . . 12 To my knowledge, the call did not take place, and I also don't know what was supposed to be 13

14 discussed.

MS EDWARDH: And do you recall being of the view that that the call was to follow on after the delivery of the personal letter from the Prime Minister through his special envoy? It was after that that the Syrians were expecting a call?

21 MR. MARTEL: I know that Senator 22 De Bané came, but I don't have any details about 23 his visit, and I don't know either whether he 24 brought a letter or a message from the Prime 25 Minister. I didn't see him. He came and went

StenoTran

1 without even visiting the Embassy. MS EDWARDH: But was it your 2 3 understanding that the Prime Minister's call, if it took place, was to occur after that? 4 MR. MARTEL: I couldn't say. 5 These discussions did not take place at my level, 6 and today, after so many months . . . 7 8 MS EDWARDH: That's fair. 9 MR. MARTEL: . . . I couldn't tell I don't know. 10 you. MS EDWARDH: All right. I want to 11 12 deal with one other quick topic, which is the pursuit of the Syrian information about Mr. Arar. 13 You have testified, sir, that you 14 had no idea that General Khalil arrived to give a 15 document to the Ambassador in November of 2003? 16 You didn't know that that --17 18 MR. MARTEL: No, that's correct. MS EDWARDH: And let me just 19 20 understand what happened. 21 At the time Mr. Arar was released, 2.2 were you aware that the Syrians also provided a 23 document that was to be sent back to Canada? MR. MARTEL: Yes. Actually, I was 24 present with the chargé d'affaires when General 25

1 Khalil gave a document of some sort, the content 2 of which I did not see, to the chargé d'affaires, 3 who was, of course, the most senior representative of the Embassy, Tracy Reynolds. He took the 4 document, and I took my client, and then we 5 parted. I didn't see the document. 6 Now, it is MS EDWARDH: Right. 7 8 true, is it not, that the Embassy, either through 9 you or the Ambassador -- of course the Ambassador is a new Ambassador now -- and the Government of 10 11 Canada were hoping and expecting further 12 information to be provided? MR. MARTEL: Well, that's what the 13 General told us at the meeting -- told Tracy 14 Reynolds, who was replacing the Ambassador at the 15 time. A document had been given to Tracy 16 Reynolds, and the General said at that time that 17 18 other documents would be provided, I believe, at a later date, I don't know when, in November or

20 something like that. I can't remember when, but 21 it was supposed to be much later.

19

2.2 MS EDWARDH: So if you turn to tab 23 593, you have a document that is signed by Mr. Gould, who is Deputy Director of the Foreign 24 Intelligence Division of Foreign Affairs, and it 25

1 goes to MJW via ISD, which we know to be Mr. Livermore. 2 Who is MJW? 3 MR. MARTEL: MJW would be John 4 McNee, I believe. 5 MS EDWARDH: And the file 6 reference is 969004 bin Laden --7 MR. CAVALLUZZO: Let me intervene 8 9 at this point in time. MJW is not John McNee; it's Jim Wright. 10 11 MR. MARTEL: Oh! Excuse me. Jim 12 Wright. 13 MS EDWARDH: Thank you, Mr. Cavalluzzo. 14 MR. MARTEL: Thank you. 15 MS EDWARDH: And the final 16 reference, as I pointed out, has got a certain 17 number with the name Bin Laden [Arar]. 18 19 Do you see that? MR. MARTEL: Yes, I see it in the 20 21 file. MS EDWARDH: Do you see the file 2.2 23 reference? MR. MARTEL: Yes. Reference 24 9690004 Bin Laden. 25

1 MS EDWARDH: And there is a discussion here about waiting for and looking for 2 a further file, and what is asked: 3 "As of this date, no such 4 file has been received. 5 Enquiries have been made of 6 the Embassy, CSIS, the RCMP, 7 as well as the geographic and 8 consular divisions of the 9 Department, and none have 10 11 received anything beyond the single sheet." 12 And my simple question: 13 There were a number of other efforts to obtain the file, 14 or any other files that the Syrians were going to 15 give you? 16 MR. MARTEL: Not formally, first 17 18 of all because, one, we had been told that they 19 would come later on. In the end, they never came, 20 and we never formally followed up on this matter. 21 In my view, there were no other documents to come. 2.2 So, for my part anyway, I didn't take the matter 23 very seriously, and we were never given the documents. 24 MS EDWARDH: That is an 25

1 interesting observation, Mr. Martel. Why do you say "according to me, there was no such file or 2 documentation that would be provided"? 3 MR. MARTEL: Well, if you look 4 back at the way the General had spoken to me in 5 the past, you have to doubt his credibility a bit. 6 7 First of all, in the past, we saw that someone 8 said that Maher was a member of the Muslim 9 Brotherhood, a statement that was later dropped, and which was not followed up on. So, after that, 10 when he told me that another document would be 11 12 provided later on, as usual I was sceptical. I didn't know. He told me there would be another 13 document -- the document didn't come and that was 14 the end of it. I believed that we would never get 15 it. 16 MS EDWARDH: So I take it you at 17 18 no time had any expectation that further information would be forthcoming from the Syrians? 19 20 MR. MARTEL: No, I didn't believe 21 there would be anything else. 2.2 MS EDWARDH: Let me turn to the 23 issue of torture, or abuse, or whatever label we want to give it. 24 25 As I understood your evidence

1 yesterday -- and while we are going to go through this, Mr. Martel, to look at the discharge of your 2 3 duties, I want to make it clear that in answer to the questions posed by Commission counsel, that 4 after you flew home with Mr. Arar on the airplane, 5 he described for you, even if reluctantly because 6 he said he didn't want to talk, he described for 7 8 you reluctantly many of the details of his 9 confinement, and you recounted them at the meeting of October 7th. 10

11 Is that fair? 12 MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's fair. MS EDWARDH: And I took it also 13 from your comments, Mr. Martel, that there is 14 nothing in what Mr. Arar said about the conditions 15 of his confinement that you suggest are wrong; 16 that you accept --17 18 MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's right. Ι accepted . . . based on the testimony he gave me 19 20 over two days, I had no reason to doubt that he 21 was telling me the truth. 2.2 MS EDWARDH: And part of the 23 reason you had no reason to doubt he was telling you the truth -- and I don't think anybody has 24

StenoTran

asked you to comment but I'm going to ask you now,

25

1 sir. When you flew back with Mr. Arar 2 3 and you watched him and watched his responses and you watched his fear when he landed in -- I think 4 you landed in Jordan first. You are smiling, but 5 I can see that you recall this. You had no doubt 6 7 that the man who was with you was a fragile and 8 broken human being? 9 MR. MARTEL: Exactly, and it was precisely for this reason that my mandate was to 10 bring him back. 11 12 MS EDWARDH: You have also very candidly told us that, while you forgot -- because 13 I'm sure you have, as you have explained, many, 14 many duties and responsibilities -- Mr. Arar also 15 told you at the time of the August 14th meeting 16 something about the nature of his cell, 3 by 6 by 17 18 7. You have agreed and that that was left out in any reporting? 19 20 MR. MARTEL: That's correct. 21 MS EDWARDH: You have also told us 2.2 in his discussions with you -- I don't intend to 23 go back to them in any detail -- on the plane that he made it clear, although it didn't stick in your 24 mind, but he made it clear that in the first two 25

StenoTran

1 weeks of his interrogation he had been beaten? MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's right. 2 Ι 3 said so at the first meeting after two days of travel, but Maher hadn't placed as much importance 4 on this, let's say, event. He told me something 5 to the effect that, yes, they had hit him from 6 time to time, but it was nothing very serious. 7 8 What was obviously much more serious were the 9 conditions of his detention. That's what struck me more, and that's why . . . 10 But Maher himself, clearly, after 11 12 so many months, perhaps on that day he told me that he didn't think . . . that things weren't all 13 that serious during the first two weeks. So this 14 is one element that stuck in my head after two 15 days of travel, and you noted later on that I had 16 omitted to include it in a report one month later. 17 18 MS EDWARDH: I'm going to suggest to you that it is not that Mr. Arar said it wasn't 19 20 serious, but that what he was burning about, when 21 he talked to you, was the horror of living in the 2.2 grave? 23 MR. MARTEL: Yes. The worst part

24 was his long detention under horrifying 25 conditions. It was terrible. Yes.

StenoTran

1 MS EDWARDH: And in reality, in reality, what he conveyed to you was that he had 2 3 been in detention so long that the beatings in the first two weeks became much less significant as 4 the days and weeks and months went by when he was 5 alone in a grave. That's what he really said. 6 MR. MARTEL: Possibly. Actually, I 7 8 don't know what he really meant, but he placed a 9 lot less importance on this period. MS EDWARDH: And I take it that, 10 given the description, it is obvious that the 11 12 horrors of the detention, the conditions of detention, were much more recent for him. 13 He had only been out of that hole for some 45 days before 14 he was released. 15 MR. MARTEL: Yes. I think he got 16 out some time in August, around about the 20th, 17 something like that, and he was released in 18 October. Yes. 19 20 MS EDWARDH: So we have a general 21 agreement, really, that Mr. Arar told you 2.2 something about the detention on August 14th and 23 the size of the cell. We have a general understanding and agreement now that Mr. Arar also 24 told you in the airplane as you passed the time 25

1 with him, even though he told you reluctantly, that he had been beaten during the first two days 2 3 and was then thereafter preoccupied with what his life was like in the grave. 4 So the real dispute, the only 5 dispute I can see between your version of 6 recollection and Mr. Arar's is that he believes he 7 8 told you he was beaten as well on October -- I'm 9 sorry, on August 14th when he answered, or suggested to you, or has taken the position he 10 11 suggested to you that he said he was beaten at the beginning, in the first two weeks; right? 12 So that is really the only point 13 of dispute, isn't it? 14 15 MR. MARTEL: I understand, but 16 that's not what he told me on August 14, because he himself told me on August 14 . . . 17 18 MS EDWARDH: We are going to come to that, Mr. Martel --19 20 MR. MARTEL: No, that's not it. 21 MS EDWARDH: But I want to make it 2.2 clear that you are not saying that anything else 23 Mr. Arar has said about his treatment, or what he said to you, is really wrong. You are not saying 24 25 that.

| 1 | MR. MARTEL: No, I'm not saying |
|----|--|
| 2 | that what he told me during the trip I'm not |
| 3 | disputing what he told me during the trip. I |
| 4 | fully believe what he told me during the trip. He |
| 5 | told it to me freely, without any constraints, |
| 6 | without anyone else around. He told me everything |
| 7 | he wanted to tell me, freely, and I believed |
| 8 | everything he said. |
| 9 | MS EDWARDH: And he told you some |
| 10 | things when you had the meeting on August 14th. |
| 11 | All I'm trying to establish, |
| 12 | Mr. Martel because I don't want everyone to |
| 13 | think there is such a huge conflict between what |
| 14 | your recollection is and what his is. |
| 15 | The only real dispute I can see is |
| 16 | he recalls saying something in the August 14th |
| 17 | meeting, and you don't recall it. Is that fair? |
| 18 | The other stuff is really very |
| 19 | similar. |
| 20 | MR. MARTEL: Well, what he told me |
| 21 | on August 14, he told me several times, I |
| 22 | think he was forced to tell me things, and then |
| 23 | when I asked him some questions and the |
| 24 | questions are not in my notes and when I asked |
| 25 | him if they had made things a lot harder for him . |

. . if the Syrians had made things very hard for

1

11462

him, at that time he said, "At the beginning, but 2 not that much". That's what he told me on August 3 Once on the plane, however, he was able to 14. 4 elaborate because he was free to speak at that 5 time. 6 7 MS EDWARDH: My only point, 8 though, Mr. Martel -- I'm trying to put this in 9 some way that those listening to you can 10 appreciate. 11 Mr. Arar has publicly, in his 12 chronology, and spoken -- there is a transcript. He has made certain observations. 13 All I'm pointing out to you -- and 14 I'm looking for your agreement -- is you don't 15 have much different to say except about this one 16 tiny point about whether, on August the 14th, he 17 said anything about being beaten during the 18 beginning period. That's the only difference. 19 20 MR. MARTEL: Yes, there's -- no, 21 there's more than that, because there's the matter 2.2 of the cell, and where he was detained, and under 23 what conditions. On August 14, I didn't know all 24 this. On the plane, yes, I knew about it. 25

1 There's a huge difference between -- between the 2 two meetings. In my view, there's a huge 3 difference. 4 Right, right. MS EDWARDH: 5 But Mr. Arar certainly told you about the cell on 6 7 August 14th. 8 MR. MARTEL: Well, not entirely. 9 He told me about the size, and he told me he slept on the ground. That's all he told me about the 10 cell on August 14. 11 12 Then, during the trip, he gave me a complete description. 13 And that, of course, 14 MS EDWARDH: was before he ever saw anybody except you? 15 You were the only person he had met? He had not seen 16 any lawyers or anyone else? 17 I went to get 18 MR. MARTEL: No. him, and we stayed together, and there was no one 19 20 else. 21 MS EDWARDH: I want to go back 2.2 If I can't get you there the short way, I'm then. 23 going to try another route. I want to just establish that your 24 evidence is that when Mr. Arar disappeared, you 25

1 became aware of a comment --

MR. DÉCARY: May I object before. 2 The record speaks for itself. If there are any 3 differences between what Mr. Martel stated and 4 what Mr. Arar stated, it is now on the record. 5 Why insist on going through this exercise? To me 6 it is very clear on the record. 7 8 It is just that I don't see where 9 this will lead us if the record up to date is clear. All my colleague wants to show is that 10 what the record says is correct. Well, the record 11 12 says what it says. THE COMMISSIONER: Well, clearly 13 we have had a number of questions about it, and I 14 agree with you that the record says what it says. 15 On the other hand, I think that it is 16 cross-examination. 17 MR. DÉCARY: But on this matter, 18 it seems to me -- I arrived only recently, but the 19 20 answer flows. But anyway, if it's useful at this 21 stage . . . Well, I will 2.2 THE COMMISSIONER: 23 leave that to Ms. Edwardh's judgment. Go ahead. 24 25 MS EDWARDH: With your permission,

StenoTran

1 Mr. Commissioner . . . I want to review three points that 2 3 you made to Mr. Cavalluzzo as the context of a series of other questions. 4 You made it clear that you had 5 read the article where Mr. Riad Saloojee is quoted 6 saying on October 12th, when Mr. Arar has 7 8 disappeared, that he had a concern that Mr. Arar 9 might be tortured and that he had not finished his military service in Syria -- or had not actually 10 11 started it. You knew that on October 12th, or around about that time? 12 13 MR. MARTEL: I believe so, yes. MS EDWARDH: Okay. But you did 14 15 not get -- no one showed you a memorandum written 16 by Mr. Livermore to Mr. Pillarella that it was urgent that the Embassy find Mr. Arar because 17 18 there were concerns about aggressive interrogation? 19 2.0 That memo was not shown to you. 21 That is your evidence? MR. MARTEL: No, I never saw that 2.2 23 memo. MS EDWARDH: Mr. Pillarella did 24 not share the concerns of ISD that aggressive 25

1 interrogation could be going on? MR. MARTEL: No, I saw that memo 2 during this investigation. But the content of the 3 memo was not shared with me. 4 MS EDWARDH: Nor was the concern 5 shared with you by Mr. Pillarella. Forget the 6 memo for a minute. 7 MR. MARTEL: It wasn't discussed. 8 MS EDWARDH: When Mr. El Maati was 9 interviewed -- and I just want to take a quick 10 look at the consular note, because it has a 11 Damascus number on it. You will find it in 12 Exhibit P-192. 13 In August of 2002, Mr. El Maati 14 was interviewed. Interestingly enough -- you will 15 see under Subject "CAMANT File". Do you see that? 16 MR. MARTEL: M'hm. 17 18 MS EDWARDH: And the subject CAMANT file says: 01-Damascus-728675-(El Maati). 19 20 Do you see that? 21 MR. MARTEL: Yes, I see it. Yes. 2.2 MS EDWARDH: I would have thought 23 that with that file number it was posted to the Damascus file. Was it not, Mr. Martel? 24 MR. MARTEL: Well, that's just how 25

the system works. In other words, I never saw
 that memo.
 The way the system works is that

the files are in an immense databank. However, if 4 the Damascus mission is not in the addresses, the 5 mission is not alerted and, as a result, the file 6 7 remains in this huge databank in Ottawa, and the 8 file is not opened. That's how the system works. 9 If you forget to address a communication to someone in this system, the 10 person or the mission will not see it. 11 12 MS EDWARDH: I see. And it is clear from at least this initial list that no one 13 who had any responsibility for Damascus, except 14 Myra, received this note? She had not 15 responsibilities for Damascus. 16 MR. MARTEL: She was the person 17 18 named to follow up in the To: line. It's addressed -- it's an e-mail 19 20 that was initially addressed to her, and I believe 21 it was copied into the system afterwards, and 2.2 Damascus was not sent a copy. 23 MS EDWARDH: I take it from what you said to Mr. Cavalluzzo that you personally 24 were never made aware, not only of this note, but 25

1 that Mr. El Maati made allegations about his confinement in Syria --2 3 MR. MARTEL: No. MS EDWARDH: -- until much later, 4 after Mr. --5 MR. MARTEL: Up to now in the 6 7 papers, basically. Or a month, two months, three 8 months ago. Quite recently. 9 MS EDWARDH: And I take it, though, you cannot say, cannot speak on behalf of 10 Mr. Pillarella, as to whether or not as Ambassador 11 he came to learn this information? He may well 12 have. 13 MR. MARTEL: I -- not -- not in 14 this memo anyway, and he doesn't have access to 15 this system either. 16 I have access to the system, but 17 he doesn't have access. 18 MS EDWARDH: No. But this kind of 19 20 information could readily come his way through 21 other means, whether by way of telephone calls or other forms of communication that he might have 2.2 23 with headquarters --MR. MARTEL: Possibly. I can't 24 speak for him, but according to the document here, 25

1 he's not there. Just in respect of MS EDWARDH: 2 3 that one note? In this memo, yes. MR. MARTEL: 4 MS EDWARDH: And if El Maati's 5 case had become a matter of intense public 6 7 scrutiny, or people expected it to become a case 8 of intense public scrutiny, then you would expect 9 the Ambassador to be notified and you to be notified; would you not? 10 11 MR. MARTEL: Well, I think that the Consular Affairs Bureau and Mr. Pardy, who, in 12 this case, obviously had a copy of this message --13 if Mr. Pardy thought that this case was of 14 particular interest to Damascus at the time, he 15 would have noticed it, and would have said, "This 16 is of interest to Damascus. We should send them a 17 18 copy too." That would have been the appropriate follow-up at the time. 19 But this decision has to be made 20 21 by someone in Ottawa, because we see here that Damascus was left out of this matter. 2.2 23 MS EDWARDH: Do you know whether this situation involving Mr. El Maati was reported 24

StenoTran

at length in the 2003 report?

25

1 MR. MARTEL: No, I -- when I arrived in Damascus, the file -- what was called 2 3 the file -- was already closed. In other words, the client had already left the country. 4 Consequently, when this happens, 5 this is usually the end of the matter for the 6 mission. 7 8 The file is closed and you're told 9 that the client is no longer in the country and therefore the file has been closed. 10 11 So I knew that --12 MS EDWARDH: I'm sorry. But was 13 his situation and the allegations, were they the subject matter of discussion and report in the 14 human rights report that the Embassy releases? 15 MR. MARTEL: In general, the 16 cases -- consular cases are not included, and --17 18 except if they appear in the media. 19 But, in general, my colleagues who 20 prepare these Embassy reports use their own 21 contacts. The sources are not the same as those of consular clients. 2.2 23 MS EDWARDH: But my question is: Did the person I suppose gathering together the 24 relevant information for the Government of 25

1 Canada -- they are not posting this on any websites. Did the person who was doing that 2 include a discussion of Mr. El Maati's claims as 3 they existed in respect of his interrogation in 4 Syria? 5 MR. MARTEL: Well, I didn't see 6 7 anything. It came out -- these complaints 8 surfaced much later, right? In August, right? Τn 9 August 2002? I don't know if my colleague took them into account in the next report. 10 11 MS EDWARDH: In 2003, when it is 12 published. Mr. Commissioner, I find it again 13 difficult to struggle with the fact that the human 14 15 rights report that we have is entirely and absolutely redacted. I know the Government of 16 Canada has asserted National Security 17 18 Confidentiality over everything and then we got the one paragraph pertaining to Mr. Arar, but 19 20 certainly it would be relevant to at least know 21 the answer about whether Mr. El Maati's case was included in that. 2.2 23 I wonder whether they would consider answering that question and, if it is, 24 further redacting the document? 25

1 MR. BAXTER: We will look at the document and so advise. 2 THE COMMISSIONER: 3 There will be an afternoon break before you complete your 4 cross-examination, Ms. Edwardh. 5 6 MS EDWARDH: Thank you. MR. BAXTER: It is in a secure 7 8 location. We can make a phone call. 9 THE COMMISSIONER: If you can sort it out, that would be great. 10 11 MS EDWARDH: You have also said 12 you had no idea at all about what the Department of State report said about Syria, but you were 13 very, very clear, sir, in answer to a series of 14 questions by Mr. Cavalluzzo, that while you may 15 not have read the Department of State, or the 16 Amnesty International report, you made it very 17 18 clear that you were aware of the reputation of Syrian Military Intelligence. 19 2.0 You made a very interesting 21 comment, and I think it is correct to describe it, 2.2 that Syrian Military Intelligence spread terror in 23 the community in Syria. MR. MARTEL: That's right. 24 It's 25 the truth.

MS EDWARDH: And in addition, I quess, or because of their conduct and in spreading that terror, one of the factors you just described a little bit later on was the arbitrary detention aspect where people would just be gathered into detention and released some day if they saw fit. That was a part of it, was it not? MR. MARTEL: Yes, absolutely. MS EDWARDH: And the other part of that reputation that was well known to you related to the treatment specifically of persons who disagreed with the government? MR. MARTEL: Yes, people known as -- as political dissidents. Above all, yes. MS EDWARDH: Those political dissidents who were in detention, particularly if they were in the hands of Syrian Military Intelligence, were persons -- and you knew that the reputation was that those gaolers also tortured people? You knew that? MR. MARTEL: Well, it -- we knew

21 MR. MARTEL: Well, it -- we knew 22 about this from reports published by other 23 sources, not necessarily the Ministry of State. 24 MS EDWARDH: Right. But there is 25 nothing about what I have said that remotely

StenoTran

11473

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1 surprises you, sir, given the experience and knowledge you had. 2 MR. MARTEL: It comes as no 3 surprise. It's based on various reports in public 4 circulation. 5 MS EDWARDH: In October of 2002, 6 that reputation was clearly something that you 7 8 knew then as well as you did later when you left 9 Damascus? MR. MARTEL: Yes, and as I already 10 11 explained, it's the same thing -- these services 12 work more or less the same way throughout the 13 region. So it was -- it was nothing new, 14 even at this time. 15 MS EDWARDH: When you were 16 informed by the Ambassador -- and I take it you 17 would be informed -- that Syria, on October 21st, 18 confirmed that Mr. Arar was in detention? You 19 realized that? 20 21 MR. MARTEL: Yes. 2.2 MS EDWARDH: I want to just go 23 through what you knew. You knew then, or very soon after, 24 that he was being detained by military 25

1 intelligence? MR. MARTEL: Yes, after the 2 3 initial visit, I did some research. Yes. MS EDWARDH: And you knew then, or 4 very soon after, that he was being detained in 5 what I'm going to call a prison or detention 6 7 facility belonging to military intelligence? 8 MR. MARTEL: Yes, he was detained 9 by the military intelligence authorities. That's right. 10 11 MS EDWARDH: Right. And those 12 aren't the ordinary police officers? 13 MR. MARTEL: No. They're -they're military personnel. 14 15 MS EDWARDH: You were aware very soon after that Mr. Arar had been removed by 16 United States because they alleged him to be a 17 threat to the U.S., or having some connection to 18 terrorist activities? 19 20 MR. MARTEL: I didn't have any 21 details. I knew he had been deported. 2.2 MS EDWARDH: And you knew it was 23 alleged that he had, with no details, I understand that, that there was some question about whether 24 he had a connection to terrorist activities? 25

1 MR. MARTEL: I don't remember. Т don't think I had any specific details about that. 2 I knew that he had entered the U.S. and that the 3 Americans had had him deported. 4 MS EDWARDH: Mr. Martel, I'm 5 sorry, I'm not suggesting you had specific 6 7 details. I'm suggesting that you knew the U.S. 8 had deported him, which would be pretty unusual, 9 since he was a Canadian citizen, right, and deported to Syria. You knew that. And you knew 10 there was a question that he was involved in 11 activities that had a terrorist connection. 12 13 MR. MARTEL: Possibly. And while you may 14 MS EDWARDH: have been more familiar with the detainees who 15 were dissidents, political dissidents, you were 16 also aware of the reputation of the Syrian 17 18 authorities in respect of persons they thought might be security threats, such as the Muslim 19 20 Brotherhood? 21 We know that story; right? 2.2 MR. MARTEL: Yes, we know. Yes. 23 MS EDWARDH: And we know that the conduct of those authorities, vis-à-vis detainees, 24 is marked by the same abusive and perhaps --25

1 torture, actions, as it was with dissidents. 2 There is no suggestion that those two categories 3 of detainees are really persons that the Syrians made distinctions about. 4 MR. MARTEL: There's a 5 distinction. 6 MS EDWARDH: What is the 7 distinction? 8 9 MR. MARTEL: There's a distinction in that -- if we think of -- for example, when we 10 think of members of the Muslim Brotherhood, they 11 12 are all treated the same way. If we think of people who oppose 13 the government and who are Kurds from the north, 14 for example, they are treated the same way by the 15 authorities. 16 Now, if clients -- if -- we're 17 18 talking about dual nationality, because we don't know what's going on with the Syrians. But dual 19 20 nationality, Syrian nationality and that of 21 another country. So, Canadian in our case. 2.2 There's a range. I mean, we never really know. 23 We never really know. And it's clearly evident from the past, we never know. 24 25 MS EDWARDH: I may have not asked

1 my question clearly, Mr. Martel. All I am saying to you, given the 2 reputation that you were aware of, you had no 3 sense that a political dissident would be treated 4 differently than a person viewed as a security 5 threat by the Syrians? 6 I think that, if the 7 MR. MARTEL: 8 person is a threat to national security, they will 9 treat them the same way. Yes, I agree with you. 10 11 MS EDWARDH: That was my point. Mm-hmm! 12 MR. MARTEL: 13 MS EDWARDH: And certainly at this time, when Mr. Arar was detained, the Syrian 14 authorities were keen to establish that they would 15 stand in opposition to al-Qaeda and stand beside 16 the United States; correct? 17 18 MR. MARTEL: That was the public position, in any case. 19 20 MS EDWARDH: I suppose we have to 21 take that one with a grain of salt, too. But that 2.2 was the public position. 23 MR. MARTEL: Exactly. MS EDWARDH: So when you go see 24 Mr. Arar for this first visit, you would have been 25

1 alive to the fact that the man you were about to 2 see had been disappeared for a period of time. Ιs 3 that correct? MR. MARTEL: Yes, he had been 4 reported missing, yes. 5 MS EDWARDH: And that the fact of 6 7 he being a disappeared person raised substantial 8 questions about what may have happened to him? 9 MR. MARTEL: Yes, of course. MS EDWARDH: The fact that he was 10 in the hands of Syrian Military Intelligence, 11 12 which you soon realized raised substantial concerns about what was going to happen to him and 13 what had happened to him. Is that fair? 14 MR. MARTEL: It's always a 15 concern, of course. 16 Right. Now, one of 17 MS EDWARDH: 18 the things that puzzles me is there was some press lines prepared, and they were drafted by Myra and 19 20 you will see those at tab 129. 21 Perhaps I had better take you 2.2 to them. 23 I think I want to start with Exhibit 123, volume 1. 24 25 THE COMMISSIONER: Tab 1?

1 MS EDWARDH: I'm sorry. Volume 1, tab 123. 2 3 This is a message from the Ambassador. It is being sent to a number of 4 people in Ottawa, and he is announcing his first 5 visit with General Khalil, and this is sent before 6 7 there is any consular visit; right? MR. MARTEL: Yes, if you read the 8 9 text, he says he just had a meeting. MS EDWARDH: Yes. 10 11 MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's right. 12 You are correct. 13 MS EDWARDH: And at the very end of this document, the Ambassador is suggesting to 14 Ottawa that no public announcement be made of the 15 visit before it takes place, and he says this: 16 "Obviously, in any public 17 announcement no reference 18 19 should be made to (blank) ... " 20 No, I'm sorry, I have to go up a 21 little farther. You have to go to the top of the 2.2 page. "While I believe that (blank) 23 24 will not renege on his 25 promise, it would be more

1 prudent if we could announce that a consular officer had 2 in fact met with Arar and 3 that he is well." 4 Do you see that? So that is 5 certainly the Ambassador's wish before you ever 6 7 see him, or anyone has ever seen him, that the 8 announcement be postponed to be able to announce 9 that he is well. You will agree with me that that 10 11 is pretty optimistic on the part of the Ambassador at this time? He had no idea what condition 12 Mr. Arar would be in. 13 MR. MARTEL: Yes, I think he's 14 assuming he's -- the authorities have decided to 15 hold a consular visit, and the Ambassador is 16 already assuming that the client is well. 17 That's how I read it, in any case. 18 I didn't see this document at the 19 2.0 time. 21 MS EDWARDH: That is a very 2.2 important presumption because, given the 23 sophisticated nature of abuse and torture, to presume someone will be well just because you get 24

StenoTran

access to them is naive in the extreme, is it not?

25

11481

1 MR. MARTEL: I can't comment on 2 what the Ambassador was thinking at the time, and 3 he didn't copy me, he didn't consult me and he sent his report. 4 I think you'd have to ask him 5 6 that. MS EDWARDH: Let me just go to 7 8 your first consular visit from a different 9 perspective than Mr. Cavalluzzo did. Mr. Pardy in his instructions to 10 you, which are found, I believe, at tab -- I think 11 we should probably have the Pillarella documents, 12 tab 3, which is the visit. 13 That is Exhibit 134, 14 Mr. Commissioner, tab 3; and the instructions, tab 15 131 in volume 2 of the DFAIT materials. 16 --- Pause 17 MS EDWARDH: It is fair to say 18 that before each consular visit, you would get 19 directions? 20 21 MR. MARTEL: Almost always, otherwise -- I'd follow the previous instructions, 2.2 23 the guidelines I had to follow for each visit. But as in this case, Mr. Pardy 24 sent me specific instructions, it was the first 25

1 visit, and he didn't always do so after that. 2 Myra sometimes gave me instructions, or if there 3 were none, I'd follow the same procedure. MS EDWARDH: And the instructions 4 acknowledge very clearly that the circumstances 5 may intrude to the point where all you can do is 6 simply make an observation? 7 8 MR. MARTEL: That's correct. 9 MS EDWARDH: Before you arrive at the visit, or thereafter before you meet Mr. Arar, 10 do you sit down with the Syrian authorities and do 11 12 they lay out the ground rules for you about what you can or cannot talk about? 13 MR. MARTEL: Yes, and even before 14 then with my interpreter in the car, because I 15 didn't know where he'd take me, exactly, and he 16 told me in English that I had to limit myself to 17 18 questions about his family and his wellbeing and that I wasn't to discuss the case. 19 20 The Syrians gave me specific 21 instructions. 2.2 MS EDWARDH: So you were to limit 23 yourself entirely to his family, to his wellbeing. And what was the third one? 24 MR. MARTEL: And the conditions of 25

1 his detention, his wellbeing.

MS EDWARDH: I want to be very 2 3 careful about this, because if the Syrians had welcomed your inquiry about the conditions of 4 detention, then we might draw some inferences. 5 But were you told by the Syrians 6 7 you couldn't talk about his case but you could 8 talk about the family, his wellbeing and the 9 conditions of his detention? MR. MARTEL: Well, his wellbeing, 10 it means the same thing. They told me that I 11 12 could see he was well, but I couldn't ask any 13 questions, for example, about where he was being detained, and so on. That was out of the 14 question. They had told me, I couldn't ask that. 15 MS EDWARDH: So no one ever told 16 you that other than saying "How are you? Are you 17 18 well? Do you need medical care?" or something like that, beyond those very, very general 19 20 questions, you understood from the first moment 21 that you had been told by the interpreter that all 2.2 the other questions were off the table? 23 MR. MARTEL: Yes, exactly, and as early as the first visit I was prevented from 24 asking and Arar was prevented from answering when 25

StenoTran

11484

I wanted more details or tried to ask more 1 specific questions. 2 3 They immediately -- they interrupted us immediately. 4 MS EDWARDH: Let me then ask you a 5 couple of questions. 6 Do you recall, sir, whether you or 7 8 the Ambassador ever, ever asked whether you could 9 meet with Mr. Arar by himself? MR. MARTEL: I never asked because 10 11 they set the conditions, and I don't know if the Ambassador asked. 12 13 I think you have to ask him that, but as for me, the Syrians imposed conditions on 14 me, with an interpreter, with someone who took 15 notes, with two people present. It was always the 16 same and that was how they had agreed to work. 17 18 MS EDWARDH: And you will agree with me that in the ordinary case, the Consul is 19 20 expected to try to seek, indeed demand it and 21 protest if they don't get access, which is confidential access? 2.2 23 MR. MARTEL: Yes. We can protest, of course, but the Syrian position was that we had 24 a Canadian citizen, of course, while they claimed 25

1 they had a Syrian citizen.

They'd tell me that the citizen 2 3 was now in Syria, it was Syrian law that applied and they didn't have any obligations to the 4 international community or under the Vienna 5 Convention. 6 To ask for an interview under the 7 8 Vienna Convention, they are obliged to do it, but 9 they claimed that the Vienna Convention didn't apply because of my client's nationality. So, I 10 11 couldn't get a private interview, they wouldn't let me. 12 13 MS EDWARDH: I appreciate that there are differing and evolving views, but I do 14 want to just make this observation: that it 15 appears from this record that no one ever asked 16 for confidential access to Mr. Arar as a 17 18 representative. And even though you can say the Vienna Convention didn't require it, you were 19 20 there; you were delivering consular access to a 21 man that you are telling us the Syrians said is 2.2 just Syrian. They had made the exception. 23 MR. MARTEL: Yes, they had made the exception, and according to Gar Pardy's 24

25 instructions, who at the outset himself said, "I

11486

1 realize that the conditions will be very difficult. You won't be able to ask for anything 2 3 else." Gar Pardy already knew the Consular Affairs Bureau at the beginning was aware of the 4 Syrian position, he knew under what conditions I 5 would be seeing my client. He knew I wouldn't be 6 able to get more than that. 7 8 He gave me instructions, and when 9 I asked if I could take a photo or if my client could take a phone call, the answer was no. 10 11 MS EDWARDH: All right. I wonder 12 if you could give to the witness the document "Conclusions and Recommendations of The Committee 13 Against Torture, Canada"? 14 I have provided a copy to --15 16 THE COMMISSIONER: Is this a new exhibit? 17 MS EDWARDH: Well, it is actually 18 one that was filed, but it's so long ago, 19 Mr. Commissioner. 2.0 21 THE COMMISSIONER: Let's mark it 2.2 again. 246. EXHIBIT P-246: Document 23 entitled "Conclusions and 24 Recommendations of the 25

1 Committee Against Torture, Canada" 2 MS EDWARDH: I believe it is a 3 document that was referred to in the very general 4 conversation we had about torture, and there were 5 some legal views offered and the discussion -- I 6 will let the witness describe it. 7 8 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. 9 MS EDWARDH: You would be familiar with this kind of document, Mr. Martel? 10 11 MR. MARTEL: Since then, yes, I am 12 familiar with it, yes. MS EDWARDH: The document in 13 question is a document issued out of the Office of 14 the High Commissioner for Human Rights; correct? 15 MR. MARTEL: Mm-hmm! That's 16 right. 17 MS EDWARDH: It is a document 18 issued after there is an evaluation made of 19 20 Canada's compliance with the Convention Against 21 Torture; correct? Mm-hmm! 2.2 MR. MARTEL: That's 23 right. MS EDWARDH: And there is one 24 thing that I just wanted to draw to your 25

1 attention. While Canada is praised in some respects in this document, there are also, on page 2 3 2, a list of things that the committee is concerned about. 4 Do you see that? 5 6 MR. MARTEL: In Part C, 7 paragraph 4. 8 MS EDWARDH: Yes, they are a list 9 of the committee's expressed concerns. And this document is of course not only public, but it is 10 11 communicated to the Government of Canada; correct? MR. MARTEL: Yes, I see that. 12 13 MS EDWARDH: If you turn to paragraph 4(b), one of the subjects of concern 14 raised by this memorandum is: 15 16 "The alleged roles of the State party's authorities in 17 the expulsion of Canadian 18 19 national Mr. Maher Arar, 20 expelled from the United 21 States to the Syrian Arab Republic where torture was 2.2 23 reported to be practised." 24 Do you see that concern? MR. MARTEL: Yes, I see that in 25

1 paragraph (b), yes. MS EDWARDH: What I wanted to talk 2 to you about, or ask you about, is whether or not 3 the recommendation was ever something that was 4 brought to your attention and you agree with --5 MR. MARTEL: No, there's no --6 MS EDWARDH: I'm sorry, could I 7 8 just read the recommendation so we can ask the 9 question? 5(d): 10 11 "The State party should insist on unrestricted 12 13 consular access to their nationals who are in 14 detention abroad, with 15 16 facility for unmonitored meetings and, if required, of 17 appropriate medical 18 19 expertise." 20 Do you see that? 21 MR. MARTEL: Yes, I see it. MS EDWARDH: 2.2 While I'm confident, 23 sir, you are going to say to us that it is the best -- no. One wants unrestricted access, but 24 25 that in this case it was just never a question

1 that you would get a confidential meeting. It 2 would never have happened? 3 MR. MARTEL: No, never. MS EDWARDH: At the same time you 4 will see the difficulty we have, Mr. Martel, is 5 that none was ever asked for. 6 7 So how do we know they would say 8 no? 9 MR. MARTEL: Because they would say no to everything we asked for, and also 10 11 because they had set the ground rules themselves at the beginning. 12 They said, "We're doing you a huge 13 favour, and it's the first time we do something 14 like this for another country. We'll let you see 15 a detainee, and we're the ones laying down the 16 rules, not you." 17 18 Not in so many words, but if you didn't like it you can take it or leave it. That 19 20 was their approach. 21 MS EDWARDH: Is that the way you 2.2 interpret it? 23 MR. MARTEL: Well, you can interpret it any way you want, but I think it was 24 later confirmed. 25

1 MS EDWARDH: I don't think -- I think we have agreed none was asked and it will be 2 for the Commissioner to consider the failure to in 3 the decision --4 MR. MARTEL: We didn't -- for 5 starters, we didn't receive instructions to ask. 6 7 We did what we were asked to do. It was important 8 for Gar Pardy in Ottawa, first of all, to have 9 access, continuous access. Second was his release. 10 Those were the two main 11 12 objectives. Those were the two main objectives we had been told about. 13 MS EDWARDH: I want to go to the 14 first consular visit because it does seem to me, 15 sir, that we can draw some conclusions here. 16 Т just want to get quickly to the point where I ask 17 18 you a few general questions. 19 But it is very obvious to me that 20 you have to agree that you had very limited 21 observations of Mr. Arar? 2.2 MR. MARTEL: Nobody will dispute 23 that. The framework was set up to let me see what they wanted me to see and what they wanted Maher 24 Arar to let me see. 25

1 It was a controlled environment. Right. And so when 2 MS EDWARDH: 3 you say you got to see what the Syrians wanted you to see --4 MR. MARTEL: Exactly. 5 MS EDWARDH: Exactly? 6 MR. MARTEL: Of course. 7 8 MS EDWARDH: And anything they 9 didn't want you to see, you wouldn't have seen? MR. MARTEL: They tried to hide 10 11 it. 12 MS EDWARDH: And they succeeded in 13 some respects? MR. MARTEL: Yes, but not all the 14 time. 15 MS EDWARDH: What did they try to 16 hide that you were able to ferret out? 17 MR. MARTEL: Well, for example, 18 they tried to contradict me or contradict Maher on 19 20 the time he'd spent in Jordan and the time he'd 21 been with them, and that's why they interrupted him. 2.2 23 There were some things they didn't want me to hear. 24 25 MS EDWARDH: But you found out,

1 and I think that is something I want to --MR. MARTEL: Well, I think you 2 3 have to be sharp and try to determine where they're headed, and you have to come to your own 4 conclusions. You can't be naive. 5 MS EDWARDH: Right. 6 I want to talk about some things that aren't recorded there, 7 8 because it's my understanding that this may jog 9 your memory. Specifically, you brought the Bon 10 11 Voyage book to that first meeting. Do you recall that, Mr. Martel? 12 MR. MARTEL: No, I don't remember. 13 MS EDWARDH: Would it surprise you 14 if I told you that you had the Bon Voyage book, 15 because it describes your consular functions? 16 MR. MARTEL: Yes, but maybe I 17 18 brought it with me, thinking that my client had to have a copy if he didn't have one. 19 20 Many people travel and don't 21 always have Bon Voyage with them. Not everyone 2.2 has it. 23 MS EDWARDH: And so it is the kind of thing you might well have brought? 24 MR. MARTEL: Yes. 25

1 MS EDWARDH: One of the objectives you had was to ensure that Mr. Arar -- I think 2 3 from your perspective -- had a realistic understanding of what Canadian government consular 4 services could do. You wanted to make sure he had 5 no misunderstanding. 6 MR. MARTEL: Especially in his 7 8 case. 9 MS EDWARDH: Yes. T would like you to have a chance to talk about what 10 11 "especially in his case meant". 12 If I told you that you conveyed to him that it may well have been the case that the 13 Government of Canada couldn't do very much because 14 they took the position -- not they, the Syrians 15 took the position he was a Syrian, that wouldn't 16 surprise you? 17 18 That is the kind of thing generally you would say? 19 MR. MARTEL: I could reformulate 20 21 the sentence a bit differently, that is, he must 2.2 have known already --23 MS EDWARDH: I don't want to choose the words. I'm sure you might use 24 different words. 25

| 1 | The question is: Do you agree |
|----|--|
| 2 | that is the kind of thing, the effect of the words |
| 3 | you would have used |
| 4 | MR. MARTEL: I told him that our |
| 5 | intervention was limited in his case because the |
| 6 | Syrian government had told us that he was a Syrian |
| 7 | citizen in Syria. |
| 8 | So he must have known. I had to |
| 9 | tell him that, not arrive with a list of lawyers |
| 10 | and say, "Here's a list of lawyers, and you can |
| 11 | choose the one you want. In the meantime, you'll |
| 12 | be released pending your trial, which will come |
| 13 | later." |
| 14 | He must have known what was |
| 15 | coming. I couldn't tell him, "You are Canadian |
| 16 | and you're protected by the Vienna Convention and |
| 17 | we'll get you out of here today or tomorrow." |
| 18 | MS EDWARDH: No. You told him |
| 19 | realistically that that wasn't the case; that he |
| 20 | was a Syrian national and that he had to live with |
| 21 | the fact that there were limits on what you could |
| 22 | do? |
| 23 | MR. MARTEL: That's correct, as |
| 24 | per our instructions. |
| 25 | MS EDWARDH: And you also had to |

1 make it clear from your perspective -- and we will talk about the effect of this on him. 2 From your perspective, you thought it was important to tell 3 him that it was uncertain. You could not 4 guarantee that you could visit him and provide 5 consular services regularly as you might want? 6 Exactly. I told him MR. MARTEL: 7 8 that during the first visit, because I said to 9 him: "It's the first time the authorities grant us a visit in this case. And it's your case. 10 And they promised we could have regular visits, but I 11 12 can't guarantee anything." 13 MS EDWARDH: That's right. You can't guarantee a thing, and you might not be able 14 to even visit again. 15 MR. MARTEL: Possibly. 16 And that is the kind 17 MS EDWARDH: 18 of thing you told him because you felt he needed to understand it. 19 20 MR. MARTEL: In my opinion, he 21 needed to know. Of course. 2.2 MS EDWARDH: Also you were trying 23 to create a scene where the Syrians would perhaps

did you not, you said to him, "Mr. Arar, thank the

24

soften a little towards him. So you asked him,

StenoTran

11497

1 Syrians"? MR. MARTEL: No. No. I didn't 2 3 ask him to thank -- to thank the Syrians. I thanked the Syrians in front of him, saying, "I 4 thank -- I thank the Syrian authorities for at 5 least giving me the permission to come and see 6 you." 7 8 MS EDWARDH: And indeed I'm going 9 to suggest to you, and it was made perfectly clear why to him that he should also thank the Syrians? 10 11 MR. MARTEL: Maybe he got that 12 from the Syrians, that he had to thank them. He has roots in this -- he knows 13 the culture. 14 MS EDWARDH: Well, he left as a 15 17-year-old. 16 MR. MARTEL: Yes, I know. But he 17 knows the culture nonetheless. 18 19 MS EDWARDH: Now, he cried 20 throughout this visit --21 MR. MARTEL: No, no. 2.2 MS EDWARDH: -- or through good 23 portions of this visit? MR. MARTEL: No. 24 25 You could say he was emotional,

1 but no, I didn't see Maher cry during that visit. He was surprised, at any rate, to 2 I don't think he'd been told I was 3 see me. coming. 4 I don't know what he'd been told 5 beforehand, but he was very surprised to see me. 6 7 MS EDWARDH: Did you notice 8 anything about his facial hair? Did he have any 9 facial hair? MR. MARTEL: Facial hair? 10 11 MS EDWARDH: Yes, a beard. Was he 12 shaved? MR. MARTEL: I don't remember. I 13 think -- I think so. I think so. 14 Yes, I think so -- I don't think 15 he had a beard at the time. 16 MS EDWARDH: And so for an 17 observant Muslim man, what would that mean? 18 19 MR. MARTEL: Well, I didn't know 20 at the time whether he was an observant Muslim 21 man. 2.2 MS EDWARDH: If he were, to have 23 one's beard shaved would be dehumanizing, would it not? 24 25 MR. MARTEL: No, I don't know what

1 -- I'm not familiar enough with the religion to say that if someone has a beard or not makes a 2 difference. 3 I don't know which people, 4 Muslims, practice their religion, who is religious 5 or very religious. I don't make the distinction. 6 MS EDWARDH: You, I take it, sir, 7 8 didn't know enough, even given your work in the 9 Middle East, to know what the beard meant to an observant Muslim and what its forcible removal 10 might mean? 11 12 MR. MARTEL: Well, if -- obviously if I had known he was a practising Muslim and the 13 authorities had removed his beard, it's an 14 indication that they wanted to humiliate him. Of 15 16 course. I think it's reasonable to think 17 18 that. MS EDWARDH: And indeed it would 19 20 have been the easiest thing for you to enquire 21 from his wife, Mrs. Arar, who dresses in a very conservative fashion, to ascertain whether her 2.2 23 husband was a practising Muslim and whether he would be humiliated by the removal of his beard? 24 That was easy to find out? 25

1 MR. MARTEL: Yes. I didn't know he was a practising Muslim, and I wasn't given any 2 details on the family in Canada. I didn't have 3 much information on that. 4 At that point in time, I didn't --5 I didn't notice -- I didn't notice whether his 6 beard had been removed. 7 8 MS EDWARDH: Right. My point is, 9 I want to talk now about the duty to inquire. Mr. Martel, you had ample 10 opportunity and sources to find out whether 11 12 Mr. Arar was a practising Muslim because it was a quick e-mail to Myra or Gar Pardy, a discussion 13 with Mrs. Arar, and you could have readily 14 determined whether Mr. Arar was an observant 15 Muslim man? That was easy to find out? 16 MR. MARTEL: Yes, but that's not a 17 18 question we in the field usually ask. We don't talk about religion, race, colour, and so on. 19 20 We don't immediately think about 21 it, about asking a client whether he belongs to 2.2 this or that religion or whether he practices or 23 doesn't practice his religion. MS EDWARDH: Mr. Martel, we are 24 not interested in what religion he really 25

1 practices. We are interested in whether he has been subjected to humiliation by his captors or 2 That's the object. That's a whole 3 qaolers. different purpose behind those questions. 4 You will agree? 5 MR. MARTEL: Yes, I understand 6 your question, and I'm telling you that I didn't 7 have that information at the time. 8 9 MS EDWARDH: In any event -right. You didn't have it and you didn't ask for 10 11 it; correct? Simple. MR. MARTEL: I didn't have the 12 information. It wasn't given to me. 13 Right. MS EDWARDH: And then I 14 want to just ask a little bit about what you did 15 once you learned that Mr. Arar was in this 16 building that you associated with Syrian Military 17 Intelligence. 18 19 There is no doubt on the public 20 record, the Palestine Branch isn't a secret 21 entity, is it, Mr. Martel? 2.2 MR. MARTEL: The Branch's 23 reputation is well established. MS EDWARDH: And indeed you knew 24 something about the conditions of confinement in 25

1 the Palestine Branch because there was someone at the Embassy who had a relative who had been there. 2 3 Isn't that right? MR. MARTEL: I don't remember. 4 You'd have to tell me more about it. 5 MS EDWARDH: There was an employee 6 7 at the Embassy who had a family member who had 8 been held in the Palestine Branch, and that was 9 one of the employees who had a conversation with Mr. Arar on his release? 10 11 Does that jog your memory? 12 MR. MARTEL: I think that, in fact, you can't -- we have to be careful about 13 what we say in public. 14 MS EDWARDH: Don't I? 15 MR. BAXTER: The concern is the 16 same kind of concern as before, Mr. Commissioner, 17 the safety of the individuals concerned. It is 18 not a national security issue at all. 19 20 THE COMMISSIONER: Is there any 21 way we can deal with this line of questioning without --2.2 23 MS EDWARDH: I will try. 24 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. MS EDWARDH: Let's see where I can 25

1 get. There are a broad range of public 2 3 and private sources available to you, Mr. Martel, had you wished to learn anything about the 4 conditions of confinement at the Palestine Branch 5 of Syrian Military Intelligence. 6 Do you agree with that as a 7 8 general proposition? 9 MR. MARTEL: Generally, the conditions of confinement of detainees at this 10 11 branch, especially political detainees, are well 12 known. We don't need to argue about its 13 reputation. I agree with you. 14 MS EDWARDH: So this is a matter 15 of some importance because, while Mr. Arar was 16 unable to speak directly to you about the 17 conditions of his detention and you felt you could 18 not ask about them, other than "Are you well?", 19 you knew that the conditions of detention involved 20 21 many people being held in solitary confinement; 2.2 correct? 23 MR. MARTEL: Yes, of course. Political detainees. 24 MS EDWARDH: You knew that there 25

StenoTran

11504

1 was a substantial possibility or likelihood that men like Maher Arar could be held in tiny little 2 3 cells because this is what happened to political dissidents as well? 4 MR. MARTEL: At the time, I didn't 5 know -- enough, for example, the type of cell 6 7 used, whether people were grouped together in 8 large cells -- I didn't have -- details or witness 9 accounts about this. And various magazines or articles 10 11 also talk about poor conditions of detention. Ιt has a reputation. 12 But it isn't always stated that 13 people are kept in small cells. 14 15 MS EDWARDH: All right. You had access to people who knew, or you could sit down 16 with, or you could have brainstormed and said 17 18 okay, if I can't ask my client what is it really 19 like and he can't tell me what it is really like, 20 there are ample sources to make inquiry of; 21 correct? 2.2 MR. MARTEL: Yes, someone could 23 have found out and obtained sufficient details and speculated on the possibilities where my client 24 was being detained. 25

1 But, one way or another, we'd never get an answer until the client was able to 2 3 speak freely. It was impossible to get an answer. Yes. For example, if MS EDWARDH: 4 you walked into a client who had been rendered 5 comatose with a crushed skull and you knew would 6 7 never talk, you would have to make inquiries 8 through independent channels to try and understand 9 what happened; correct? MR. MARTEL: Well, if I meet a 10 11 client who is in -- who has been visibly abused, 12 I'd immediately draw some conclusions and draft a 13 report. MS EDWARDH: All right. Well, I 14 15 don't want to go around in circles with you. I just want to establish that you knew the general 16 reputation of military intelligence, you knew the 17 reputation for torture, and you knew the 18 reputation of lousy, rotten condition of 19 confinement. 20 21 And the fourth point I want you to 2.2 agree with is you knew you couldn't ask a question 23 about it, and you knew Mr. Arar couldn't say anything about it. 24 25 So the only thing you could do was

1 go to inquire of other sources to determine, as best you could -- never perfectly -- as best you 2 3 could what it was like for him; right? MR. MARTEL: No, it was already 4 That is, that there are detainees --5 known. MS EDWARDH: What was --6 MR. MARTEL: -- who are in small 7 8 cells, like you said, and there are others who are 9 in large cells. So, nobody could come and tell me, 10 "Your client will be detained in a small cell." 11 12 I had other clients who were detained in cells with 25 people, who were in the 13 same - in the same place. 14 So, it's -- you can't come to a 15 conclusion and say, "Maher Arar is being detained 16 in a small cell. Because he can't tell me, I 17 conclude that he is in a small cell." 18 It's possible. But I couldn't 19 20 come to that conclusion because I couldn't talk to 21 him alone. 2.2 And as long as I wasn't given an 23 opportunity to speak to him alone so that he could tell me, I couldn't find out. It was impossible 24 -- if he couldn't tell me, then I couldn't know. 25

1 MS EDWARDH: You could have known a great deal about the conditions of his 2 3 confinement and you could have known that by asking others who had that information. You could 4 have even written a letter to Myra or she could 5 have written you -- and there is some corporate 6 element to this -- "This is what Mr. El Maati says 7 about where he was and what it looked like. 8 This 9 is what other people who have experienced it have described. And if you can't ask Mr. Arar 10 11 specifically about it, you should assume they are as follows." 12 Isn't that a reasonable approach 13 to take? 14 Yes, I think that, 15 MR. MARTEL: the Bureau, Gar Pardy's office, already had 16 information from another detainee who had been 17 there, but we weren't told, "Be careful! El Maati 18 went there and this is what the Syrians did to 19 20 him. Keep a sharp eye out and try to find out 21 more. You have to protest. This is what you have to do." 2.2 I did what he told me to do: "Go 23

24 there. The important thing is to see him. Even 25 if it's controlled, it's already -- it's better

StenoTran

11508

1 than no visit at all." Now, in what kind of conditions 2 was he being detained? With the information I 3 had, it was impossible for me to find out. 4 Maybe he was in a small cell, as 5 you said. But I didn't know that yet. 6 MS EDWARDH: The difficulty that I 7 8 have, Mr. Martel, is that you do not adequately 9 qualify your report, sir. You don't make it clear to the reader in each and every report that the 10 11 person who you are describing has no voluntary 12 choice in their decisions around what to say to you; that they are captives, that they are 13 supervised, and they live in a fearful environment 14 even though its particulars may not be known, and 15 therefore nothing can be drawn from the failure to 16 observe. Nothing. That's the problem, 17 Mr. Martel. 18 19 MR. MARTEL: I believe I completed 2.0 _ _ 21 MS EDWARDH: You draw from nothing 2.2 a positive conclusion. 23 MR. MARTEL: No. I believe I completed my reports. 24 25 There were people there who are

1 very intelligent, who know the field and who read And I said, "We can't speak freely. He isn't 2 it. 3 at liberty to talk. I can't find out where he is, exactly." I gave them all that information. 4 Now, if other steps were to be 5 taken, if there was to be some form of protest, a 6 7 request for a private interview, the instructions 8 had to come from headquarters, we had to be told 9 by headquarters what to do, because we, at the mission, couldn't take the initiative and ignore 10 what we were given at the beginning, the mandate. 11 12 In other words, we had access, 13 they wanted me to see him regularly, and that was our objective, and the second objective was to get 14 him out of there. 15 Now, I wasn't told: "Go protest. 16 Go do this, go do that." I wasn't given any 17 instructions like that. 18 19 MS EDWARDH: No. But I'm saying 20 when you read your reports, you draw the 21 conclusion you thought he was well. 2.2 MR. MARTEL: Well, the others too 23 could have drawn a conclusion. And I always said he looked well. I didn't know whether he was 24 well. 25

1 MS EDWARDH: You are the only one who is with Mr. Arar, and all I'm saying is you 2 send these reports back, sir, and you don't show 3 to the readers the limits upon your actual 4 interaction. And you don't tell them you should 5 need their assistance to make inquiries so that a 6 7 proper framework of analysis can be struck for 8 Maher Arar.

Well, if that's your 9 MR. MARTEL: take on the reports, the many people in Ottawa who 10 read the report never came back to ask me specific 11 12 questions, saying: "This isn't specific enough. Give us more information. Tell us more. 13 And during your next visit, you have to do this, that 14 and the other thing. And I have further 15 instructions for the following visit." 16 So, I had to limit myself to 17 Ottawa's instructions. If I -- if I strayed from 18 the instructions, I didn't know what the 19 20 consequences would be. 21 It was up to them to decide. Not I was in the field and I followed -- I did 2.2 me. 23 what I was asked. And if they didn't like the type 24

of reports I sent, if they thought they were

25

StenoTran

1 incomplete, they could have got back to me and 2 asked me some questions the same day or the next. If they wanted to. 3 THE COMMISSIONER: If I can, 4 Ms Edwardh, I have the point. 5 6 MS EDWARDH: Okay. THE COMMISSIONER: I understand 7 8 both sides of the point. 9 We have now been going over an hour and a half, and I think we will take the 10 afternoon break. 11 12 Before we do, the schedule, for some that weren't here, at least the tentative 13 schedule is that Ms Edwardh will be probably 14 another hour and then there are some examinations. 15 Ms Jackman, you were going to be 16 brief, if at all in this? 17 I have about three 18 MS JACKMAN: questions. 19 20 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. 21 And then, Ms Jackman, your motion will follow the completion of this witness. 2.2 Ι 23 think it's going to be fairly late, but I would expect that we could probably deal with it all. 24 Ι know there are a few people to speak on it, but we 25

1 could deal with it all in probably half an hour or 2 thereabouts. In any event, let's rise now for 3 ten minutes. 4 THE REGISTRAR: Please stand. 5 --- Upon recessing at 3:20 p.m. / 6 Suspension à 15 h 20 7 --- Upon resuming at 3:35 p.m. / 8 Reprise à 15 h 35 9 THE REGISTRAR: Please be seated. 10 11 THE COMMISSIONER: Ms Edwardh. 12 MS EDWARDH: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. 13 Mr. Martel, perhaps you can cast 14 some light, additional light, on an area of 15 concern to everyone. 16 It is clear that Mr. Arar was able 17 18 to convey to you that he had only been in Jordan a very brief time, a few hours, before he arrived in 19 20 Syria; correct? 21 MR. MARTEL: This is what he 2.2 stated at our first meeting, yes. 23 MS EDWARDH: Yes. When this matter is reported to the Minister -- and if you 24 want to look at tab 129, page 7, in the background 25

1 evaluation in the first paragraph under the heading "For Minister's Eyes Only" misrepresents 2 what you knew by stating: 3 "It was not clear from the 4 conversation --" 5 Referring to the one you had. 6 "... how long Mr. Arar had 7 been in Syria --" 8 9 Because you had at least been able to ascertain from Mr. Arar that he was in Syria 10 11 within hours of landing in Jordan; correct? That is not an accurate statement? 12 MR. MARTEL: Yes. Mr. Arar had 13 already told me at our first meeting, even though 14 our conversation was interrupted, that he had 15 spent only a few hours in Jordan. 16 MS EDWARDH: Right. So this is 17 wrong. This "For the Minister's Eyes Only" advice 18 is incorrect; correct? 19 MR. MARTEL: Well, it says here 2.0 21 that we learned he had been detained by the

23 to Jordan. It wasn't clear.
24 MS EDWARDH: Keep reading.

2.2

25

MR. MARTEL: In the conversation

Americans for two weeks before being transferred

1 itself, how long had Mr. Arar been detained in Syria, in light of the fact that the Syrian 2 3 authorities intercepted the questions. MS EDWARDH: Yes. But they didn't 4 adequately intercept it because in fact you got 5 the answer to the question. 6 7 MR. MARTEL: Yes. I had already 8 communicated that, according to Mr. Arar, what he 9 told me was that he'd spent only a few hours in Jordan. 10 11 MS EDWARDH: And the suggestion 12 that Mr. Arar languished in Jordan was one that the Canadian Ambassador to Jordan tried to 13 correct, did he not? 14 MR. MARTEL: I think there was 15 correspondence to that effect. At the time, I 16 think people were looking for him everywhere, and 17 the Canadian Ambassador to Jordan took steps to 18 determine whether he'd been detained in Jordan. 19 20 MS EDWARDH: But the Canadian 21 Ambassador, if you look at tab 135, communicated 2.2 his concern about Canadian press comments relating 23 to Jordan's handling of Mr. Arar's case because they directly quoted DFAIT spokesman, Mr. Doiron, 24 and the quote is: 25

1 "We do not know why the Jordanians did not provide 2 3 information on his presence in their country." 4 And the Ambassador notes, 5 "contradicting my report." Do you see that, 6 "contradicting my report"? 7 8 MR. MARTEL: Yes. I see that, yes. 9 MS EDWARDH: So it is guite clear that the Ambassador in Jordan felt that the 10 11 suggestion that was left, that the Jordanians did 12 not provide information, was, as he says, "absolutely incorrect". 13 Do you see that? 14 15 MR. MARTEL: Yes, he seems to say that, yes. 16 MS EDWARDH: Yes. So what puzzles 17 18 me is what interest everyone had in not putting forward on the public record the fact that the 19 20 Syrians had held Mr. Arar for most of the period following October 8th, if not the vast majority of 21 2.2 it, less about eight hours. 23 I'm going to ask you some questions. 24 25 Were you or the Embassy concerned

1 that should they confront the Syrians with the issue that they had held him for the entire 2 period, that would end consular contact? 3 MR. MARTEL: Possibly. What we 4 did at the time, after I found out that Mr. Arar 5 had been in Jordan for only a few hours, was that 6 we drafted the report, saying that we were very 7 8 puzzled. Why were the Syrians telling us that he 9 had just arrived a few days earlier, whereas he was telling us that he had been in Jordan for only 10 a few hours? 11 12 We reported all this information to Gar Pardy. To my knowledge, we didn't ask the 13 Syrians, we didn't press them on the issue, since 14 senior Syrian officials had already communicated 15 information to the Ambassador. 16 Now, if headquarters wanted the 17 18 Ambassador to go back and ask more questions, he had to receive instructions to that effect. 19 20 MS EDWARDH: So that the failure, 21 or the decision to not confront or further 2.2 question, I'm going to suggest to you, was an 23 express decision on the part of headquarters, given the information you provided them. 24 MR. MARTEL: Yes. We gave them 25

1 the information, and they assimilated the information. We pointed out the discrepancy in 2 3 times, dates and all that to them. We pointed it out clearly. And senior Syrian officials gave us 4 or gave the Ambassador some information that was 5 inconsistent with what I had come up with in the 6 field. All that was communicated to headquarters. 7 8 To my knowledge, the Ambassador did not receive 9 instructions telling him to go back to the Deputy Minister or someone else who was high up and say, 10 11 "Look, you haven't told us the truth." Right. Indeed, it is 12 MS EDWARDH: quite clear from the Pillarella documents, Exhibit 13

14 134, tab 5, that the Foreign Minister was very 15 anxious to press upon the Ambassador the fact that 16 Arar wasn't in Syria. Isn't that true?

He had not languished in Syriasince October the 9th?

MR. MARTEL: I think that's the message the Syrian authorities communicated to the Ambassador, that he had arrived only a few days earlier.

23 MS EDWARDH: And this was 24 something that the Syrian Foreign Minister gave 25 assurances to the Ambassador about; correct?

StenoTran

1 That is what this document says. I think it was the 2 MR. MARTEL: Deputy Minister. 3 MS EDWARDH: Okay, the Deputy 4 Foreign Minister. 5 MR. MARTEL: Yes. 6 7 MS EDWARDH: That he gave those 8 assurances. 9 MR. MARTEL: Yes. First of all, if memory serves, I didn't always have access to 10 the documents, but at first, the Deputy Minister 11 12 said, "No, we don't have him." Later, he retracted his statement and said, "Oh, by the way, 13 yes, we found him." That's it. 14 MS EDWARDH: Yes. But he hasn't 15 been here -- he made it clear that Arar had not 16 been here, or had not been in Syria from October 17 18 the 9th on, and pressed that upon the Ambassador; correct? 19 20 MR. MARTEL: Yes. It was him or 21 the general. I don't remember which of the two 2.2 gave him the information, but someone provided the 23 information and said, "He's been here only for a few days." 24 25 MS EDWARDH: If one sees that Mr.

1 Arar has no interest in making up where he is, either in Jordan or Syria, or how he has gone from 2 one place to the other, you can agree with me that 3 the entity that had the interest in lying were 4 Syrian officials? 5 They had the interest in not 6 7 having Canada assume that Mr. Arar had been a 8 disappeared person under their control for that 9 period of time? MR. MARTEL: If indeed he was in 10 11 the hands of the authorities, there may be many 12 reasons to lie to us. Maybe they didn't want us to see him right away. They didn't want to admit 13 they had him because we would have said, "Why is 14 it that you've had him for two weeks and you tell 15 us only now that you have him?" There are many 16 17 reasons. You can think of any number of 18 reasons, but, certainly, if they'd had him all 19 20 that time and didn't want to say so, they had their reasons and their interests in mind. 21 MS EDWARDH: Yes. 2.2 And the most 23 obvious reason, given what we know now, is they didn't want you to be in a room with a man who was 24 being beaten during interrogation sessions. 25 They

1 didn't want you there then.

That is the most obvious reason, 2 isn't it, Mr. Martel? 3 MR. MARTEL: I'd be speculating. 4 I can't say that the Syrians prevented me from 5 seeing him for two weeks because, during that 6 7 period, they were beating him, they were torturing 8 him, and so on. I never had any evidence of this, 9 and I still can't say to this day that that's the reason why they didn't provide access to him. 10 11 We're in the same boat in that regard. 12 MS EDWARDH: If you were hypothesizing explanations for their conduct, can 13 you think of any more likely reason? 14 15 MR. MARTEL: They keep people for three months, six months, a year, two years 16 without letting us see them, and they never give 17 any reasons. So how can I know? 18 There's a detainee I haven't seen for two years. How is my 19 20 detainee? I don't know. Why are they preventing 21 me from seeing him? I don't know that either. 2.2 There are no answers to these questions. We don't 23 know. They have their own theories. They do what they want. We can maybe draw our own conclusions. 24 We can think, we can say, "Oh, we can't see him 25

StenoTran

1 because he isn't fit to be seen, and when he is fit enough, they'll let us see him." Or: "They're 2 3 not providing any access to him", as they've done with my other clients, whom I never did see in 4 detention, never, and who were despicably treated, 5 but whom I never got to see. 6 MS EDWARDH: I want to go back to 7 8 the first visit for a moment. That is tab 130. 9 I'm sorry, it is 131, but the best place to find it is tab 3 in the Pillarella 10 documents, which is the first visit. 11 12 I was going to put to you, Mr. Martel, that because some of the visits were 13 always translated or portions of the visit were 14 translated from Arabic into English, given your 15 language facility with Arabic, you may well have 16 been misled by the translator. 17 18 Would you agree with that as a general proposition; that if a person doesn't 19 20 speak the language, they are in fact dependent 21 upon the integrity of the translator; correct? 2.2 MR. MARTEL: I cannot totally 23 agree. Maher always looked at me when he spoke. Even though he was speaking in Arabic, he looked 24 at me and listened to what the interpreter said. 25

If it had been inconsistent with what he was telling me, he would have given me an indication with his eyes.

1

2

3

When I wrote down specific facts, 4 he was the one who told them to me. Although he 5 didn't speak in English, we could still talk to 6 7 each other. There wasn't always interpretation. 8 There were many conversations in Arabic between 9 Maher and the Syrians. But generally, even though he was told to speak in Arabic, we often had 10 conversations in English. 11

MS EDWARDH: I'm just saying to you, sir -- it is a really simple proposition, Mr. Martel -- that if Mr. Arar was forced to speak Arabic and you are not fluent, you are captive to the integrity of the translator, at least to some extent?

18 MR. MARTEL: Yes, possibly, to a
19 certain extent, but in my --

20 MS EDWARDH: But the difficulty I 21 have with this general proposition I was going to 22 carry forward is that the first document that we 23 are looking at of the first consular visit leaves 24 the impression that you in fact are much more 25 competent in Arabic than you have portrayed.

StenoTran

1 I would like you to look at 2 paragraph 7 --MR. BAXTER: Perhaps I could also 3 ask that the witness be directed to paragraph 3, 4 to the second-last sentence. 5 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. 6 MS EDWARDH: I think that 7 8 paragraph 3 refers to a conversation in English 9 this time. And many of the conversations were in Arabic, or part of them were. So I'm concerned 10 11 about this: "When asked if he wished the 12 13 Embassy to provide him with 14 anything he might need, he answered that his needs were 15 16 all taken care of by his Syrian hosts --" 17 Do you see that? 18 19 MR. MARTEL: Yes. 20 MS EDWARDH: And then in brackets, 21 sir, you wrote the following words. This is your 2.2 report. "... (his answer was dictated 23 to him in Arabic by the 24 Syrians)" 25

1 MR. MARTEL: Yes. How would you know 2 MS EDWARDH: 3 that what he said in English was the same as what was dictated to him unless you understood the 4 Arabic? Or was that translated? Is that the 5 suggestion? 6 No, it wasn't 7 MR. MARTEL: 8 translated. The Syrians told him something in 9 Arabic and he repeated it in English. So he was the one doing the translating. He told me what he 10 11 wanted, in fact. When he was forced to tell me 12 something, it was obvious to me that he was being told, "Say this, say that", and he'd say it in 13 English. 14 When he was told to tell me 15 things, of course I took them as such, but it was 16 incomprehensible, most of the time I couldn't 17 18 believe what he was telling me, or I doubted what he was telling me, because he was forced to say 19 20 some things in English. 21 MS EDWARDH: So did you draw the 2.2 conclusion that he was parroting what was said to 23 him because of the interjection in Arabic and then the prompt answer by Mr. Arar? You couldn't 24 understand it yourself. 25

1 MR. MARTEL: I didn't understand what the Syrians told him, but he told me in 2 3 English. But I knew that what he was telling me was on the Syrians' instructions. 4 MS EDWARDH: And while you could 5 determine that he was being prompted, I take it 6 you could not necessarily determine the exact 7 8 words of the prompt? 9 MR. MARTEL: No, but he was the one who was talking. So I imagine that with the 10 interpreter present, if he had been told in Arabic 11 12 to tell me something, the interpreter would have known that he wasn't saying the same thing. So he 13 was repeating what the Syrians wanted him to tell 14 15 me. MS. EDWARDH: And the interpreter, 16 in effect, is the guardian or the assurer that he 17 delivers the right message, in accordance with his 18 instructions in Arabic? 19 20 MR. MARTEL: No. He was the 21 interpreter who accompanied me and translated from 2.2 Arabic to English. He didn't belong to the same 23 group; he came from somewhere else. I don't know where he was from, but he always accompanied me. 24 MS. EDWARDH: But if he determined 25

1 that Mr. Arar was not following instructions, you 2 would have expected him to say something? MR. MARTEL: Well, he could have 3 said -- either to start over or "that's not what 4 they said" -- "that's not what they said" or 5 "that's not what they want you to say", and maybe 6 7 Maher would have said his sentence over again. 8 MS. EDWARDH: All right. I just 9 wanted to clarify the perception I had that this indicated you spoke better Arabic than in fact you 10 11 do? 12 MR. MARTEL: No. Sorry. MS. EDWARDH: I just wanted to 13 simply clarify the impression. 14 15 I want to go to the August 14th visit. I recall that Mr. Cavalluzzo took you to 16 the Syrian Human Rights Committee report, and I 17 don't want to go there. 18 19 I want to go to a letter, Tab 20 573.11. 21 --- Pause 2.2 MS. EDWARDH: Can you find that 23 reference, Mr. Martel? MR. MARTEL: Yes, I have the 24 25 chronology.

1 MS. EDWARDH: No, I'm not asking about the chronology. I'm asking about the letter 2 written to Mr. Arar's wife, found under the 3 coloured Tab 11, after 573. 4 MR. MARTEL: Yes, I have it. 5 MS. EDWARDH: This letter is 6 written on July 29th, 2003? 7 8 MR. MARTEL: That's right. 9 MS. EDWARDH: And in the third paragraph, it includes an express reference to 10 11 torture in two different time periods. Is that 12 correct? Torture at the beginning stages, 13 and there is a reference to the present abuse he 14 15 is receiving. Do you see that? 16 MR. MARTEL: Yes. MS. EDWARDH: And in particular it 17 18 says: At present he receives 19 torture and abuse from time 20 21 to time as a daily routine of 2.2 the Syrian prison practices 23 against political detainees. 24 Do you see that? MR. MARTEL: Yes, I see it. 25

1 MS. EDWARDH: Did you get a copy of that letter? 2 3 MR. MARTEL: Yes. Yes, I remember having seen that letter, yes. 4 MS. EDWARDH: Can you agree with 5 me, sir, that it, as a letter, is more specific in 6 7 its allegations than the general SIRC report that 8 you looked at yesterday, which is at Tab 478? 9 MR. MARTEL: Yes, it's more specific, of course. 10 11 MS. EDWARDH: The emphasis, and 12 what I want to pursue with you right now, is that when this letter became public, and it did on or 13 about this date -- actually, it was August 6th 14 that it became public -- it was this very, very 15 real concern that caused you and the Ambassador to 16 press for access. And the concern in question was 17 18 that there was present torture being carried out on Maher Arar -- not in the past, not five months 19 20 ago, but that he was presently the victim of 21 torture. 2.2 And that was a real concern to 23 you; right? MR. MARTEL: Yes, of course. 24 25 MS. EDWARDH: That was a real

1 concern to the Ambassador? 2 MR. MARTEL: Also, yes. 3 MS. EDWARDH: What I'm just trying to establish is when you pressed for access to Mr. 4 Arar, in your mind was the very clear question: 5 Is he presently being mistreated? 6 MR. MARTEL: Well, the Ambassador 7 8 requested access, of course, as for all visits. Ι 9 believe that at the time, we hadn't seen him for quite a while, so that was always a concern. 10 The 11 Ambassador took steps so that I could gain access 12 again, and, of course, I kept those elements in 13 mind. They were very disconcerting. MS. EDWARDH: And just so we can 14 be very clear, the element that was at the 15 forefront of your concern when you walked into 16 Syrian Military Intelligence was the question: 17 Is Mr. Arar presently being tortured? 18 19 MR. MARTEL: That's what I was 20 thinking, and I think that at the time, I asked 21 Maher a question. 2.2 MS. EDWARDH: That is all my 23 question is. MR. MARTEL: Yes, of course. 24 MS. EDWARDH: I then want to take 25

StenoTran

1 that state of mind, sir, and go to your notes, which are found in Tab 508. 2 Would you please provide 3 Mr. Martel with his notes? 4 Do you have them, sir? 5 THE COMMISSIONER: He has the 6 7 right book, yes. 8 MS. EDWARDH: And I want to ask 9 this question before we turn to the contents of the notes. 10 11 You are sitting in a room with a 12 number of Syrian officials and Mr. Arar; correct? MR. MARTEL: Correct. 13 MS. EDWARDH: Mr. Arar speaks to 14 15 you and you speak to him. Are you making these notes as you speak and as he speaks, or are you 16 making these notes after the conclusion of the 17 session? 18 19 MR. MARTEL: These notes were 20 taken while I was sitting there, except for "New 21 York Times article" at the top. I remember having written that before the meeting because I wanted 2.2 23 to remember it. But the rest of the notes were taken on the spot. 24 25 MS. EDWARDH: You will agree with

1 me that one of the things you don't do is write down your questions, but that you write down the 2 3 gist of what Mr. Arar says as best you can? MR. MARTEL: Yes. Given the 4 context, if I can take notes to refresh my memory 5 for the report I'm to prepare, I write elements 6 down, of course. 7 8 MS. EDWARDH: But because you are 9 taking them as Mr. Arar speaks, you will agree with me that you basically cannot take it down 10 verbatim but you take down the gist of what he 11 12 says as best you can? MR. MARTEL: Yes. Yes. I write 13 part of a sentence, a word here, two words there, 14 but of course, it wasn't always complete. 15 MS. EDWARDH: And when Mr. Arar 16 came into that room -- and perhaps I can do it by 17 working backwards. 18 In his chronology, in a part that 19 20 I do not believe you dispute, he says that when he 21 came into the room he had made the decision that he was going to speak about prison conditions and 2.2 23 that he burst forth into that discussion in a way that he had never done in all the other consular 24 visits. 25

1 Do you agree with that characterization of him bursting forth with this 2 3 information? MR. MARTEL: Yes. When he arrived 4 at the meeting, he seemed irritated, and I 5 couldn't immediately understand why -- maybe it 6 was because there hadn't been a visit for a very 7 8 long time -- and he immediately spoke in Arabic. 9 He spoke to the General, since he was there that time. Yes, that's right. 10 11 MS. EDWARDH: And when you say he 12 seemed irritated, I'm going to suggest that a 13 better word would be that he seemed agitated. MR. MARTEL: Maybe agitated, but 14 certainly irritated. 15 MS. EDWARDH: Is it your evidence, 16 sir, that when Mr. Arar came in he spent the first 17 18 five minutes speaking quickly in Arabic to General 19 Khalil? 20 MR. MARTEL: Yes. At first he 21 spoke in Arabic to the General, maybe not quite 2.2 five minutes, but for a good span of time, yes. I'm sorry. You said 23 MS. EDWARDH: I think yesterday four or five minutes. 24 25 MR. MARTEL: Yes, possibly.

1 MS. EDWARDH: I take it you couldn't understand that conversation? 2 3 MR. MARTEL: No, and no one was translating. 4 MS. EDWARDH: Was it obvious to 5 you that he was extremely excited in his 6 conversation with the General? 7 8 MR. MARTEL: He was -- he was 9 irritated. He was talking to the General, and I couldn't understand the meaning of the 10 11 conversation, but I knew that Maher Arar was irritated about something, and he was telling the 12 General about it. 13 MS. EDWARDH: Did you understand 14 any part of that conversation, or did it entirely 15 escape you? 16 MR. MARTEL: It entirely escaped 17 18 me, except when he spoke to me in English, in the middle of the conversation, to tell me the size of 19 20 his cell and that he was sleeping on the ground. 21 He said everything else in Arabic only. 2.2 MS. EDWARDH: So the only portion 23 of the discussion that was in English, I take it you are saying he turned to you and then blurted 24 out the size of his cell? 25

1 MR. MARTEL: That's right. Не continued talking to the General after that. 2 MS. EDWARDH: In Arabic? 3 MR. MARTEL: In Arabic. 4 MS. EDWARDH: So their 5 conversation, I take it, after he had blurted out 6 "three by six by seven", you understood he was 7 8 talking about his cell? 9 MR. MARTEL: Yes, of course; and I understood that it was, "My cell ---" 10 MS. EDWARDH: How did ---11 MR. MARTEL: No, he said, "My cell 12 is very small, it's only three by six by seven, 13 and I'm sleeping on the ground". He said it in 14 English, then he continued talking to the General 15 in Arabic, so I let him finish his conversation 16 with the General. 17 18 MS. EDWARDH: Can you describe whether his voice, the tone of his voice, was 19 20 louder than it usually was? 21 MR. MARTEL: He was irritated and he let it show. 2.2 23 MS. EDWARDH: Now, that comment, "three by six by seven, sleeping on the ground", 24 is in the middle of your note on the first page. 25

1 It is not at the beginning, or it doesn't stand alone, Mr. Martel. So I'm going to suggest to you 2 3 that Mr. Arar didn't just break away from his conversation with the General but that you had 4 asked him some questions that led to those 5 Yes? 6 answers? MR. MARTEL: Go ahead. 7 8 MS. EDWARDH: No. You have 9 implied that he was talking in Arabic, turned to you, made the statement, and went back to the 10 11 General. 12 And clearly these notes do not 13 give rise to that implication. They give rise to

14 the implication that the reference to the cell 15 size is part of a general discussion that begins 16 to be recorded at the top under "Prison 17 Conditions" and ends with "mentally destroyed". 18 That all seems a coherent description.

MR. MARTEL: Well, what I remember from that conversation with the General, which was in Arabic, is that in the middle of the conversation, he turned to me and gave me that information in English, and I think that the authorities were listening to him, and I didn't understand what had happened. I know that

StenoTran

1 afterwards, Maher sat down, and we continued to talk, I don't remember now, in English, I imagine, 2 because that's what I marked here, and that's when 3 he told me that he had been destroyed mentally, 4 That's how I remember that incident. 5 etc. MS. EDWARDH: All right. I'm 6 7 going to suggest to you, like anyone else, 8 Mr. Martel, that this event happened quite 9 quickly. This whole conversation from Arabic to English would not have taken more than maybe 10, 10 11 12 minutes, and that your recollection is not consistent with your note, because if you start to 12 13 make notes at the top of the page, you clearly got information and asked something about prison 14 conditions. 15

MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's possible. 16 My notes are a blank page like this, and there are 17 18 three or four of them. I might very well have started writing in the middle and looked for space 19 20 and gone to the top afterwards. They're 21 handwritten notes taken in very difficult 2.2 circumstances. I had it on my knees, a sheet of 23 paper, and I had to write. So it doesn't mean that the chronology on this page is correct 24 either, since I wrote "New York Times article" 25

StenoTran

1 outside and "the General" at the bottom

afterwards. So it isn't necessarily -- it doesn't 2 necessarily mean that. 3 MS. EDWARDH: I see. I understand 4 it doesn't mean it, necessarily. Equally, it does 5 not mean that this was not the chronological order 6 of the conversation. It could have been; correct? 7 8 MR. MARTEL: I remember that in -9 especially in the three, six, seven, he interrupted himself while he was talking to the 10 11 General. He turned to me suddenly. He said, "But my cell is very small, it's only three by six by 12 seven, and I'm sleeping on the floor". And he 13 continued to talk to the General after, in Arabic. 14 That's how that episode happened. 15 16 MS. EDWARDH: And he said "I'm mentally destroyed". 17 MR. MARTEL: He said that. 18 19 MS. EDWARDH: Your note says: Prison conditions. I will 20 21 tell you the truth. I'm 2.2 asking Syria to release me or 23 to see a judge. What Syrian law or which Syrian law have 24

StenoTran

I broken?

1 And then, in accordance with the 2 question that you were there to ask, it says, "Present condition". Not past condition, present 3 condition: 4 I have not been paralysed, 5 not beaten, not tortured. 6 7 That was the question you asked 8 because that is what you wanted to know, 9 Mr. Martel. You wanted to know if the Syrian Human Rights letter to Monia was correct and that 10 Mr. Arar was currently the subject of torture. 11 That is why you were there. 12 MR. MARTEL: Yes. 13 That was already known to the public; obviously. It had 14 been published, and the Syrians certainly already 15 had a copy. And Maher told me that he hadn't been 16 paralysed, beaten or tortured, but I think that he 17 18 was already being prompted ---19 MS. EDWARDH: And you ask him --20 I'm sorry, Mr. Martel. I didn't mean to 21 interrupt. No. 2.2 MR. MARTEL: I think he was 23 prompted to say that. It doesn't mean that he was saying it freely. Because I told him that there 24 was some very negative information about him being 25

1 circulated in the press. I didn't say in humanitarian organizations; I said, "There is a 2 3 lot of very negative press", and I wanted the Syrians to understand that, and they were ready 4 for it. It was obvious that they were ready to 5 respond to it. 6 In fact, isn't what 7 MS. EDWARDH: 8 you said to him that there has been a statement in 9 the media that you are presently being tortured, and that is what you asked him in front of the 10 11 Syrians, because you could get away with that one way of saying it, "The media has reported ---" 12 MR. MARTEL: The media ---13 MS. EDWARDH: "--- has reported 14 that you are presently being tortured". And you 15 said, "Is that true, Mr. Arar?" 16 MR. MARTEL: I may not have said 17 "tortured", I may have used another word, but I 18 said, "There is some very negative press about 19 20 your condition", and then he's the one who came up 21 with those words; I think he was prompted by the 2.2 Syrians to say that to me. It didn't come from 23 him.

24 MS. EDWARDH: No, I don't think 25 so. Let's just take away the word "torture".

StenoTran

1 The one thing you would have said that day was, "Mr. Arar, there are reports in the 2 3 media that you have been beaten recently, that you are presently being beaten. Is that true?" 4 You would have said that? 5 MR. MARTEL: Something like that, 6 7 that there were negative reports in the press 8 about him. Yes. 9 MS. EDWARDH: About your current position. That's my point, Mr. Martel. 10 11 MR. MARTEL: Yes. MS. EDWARDH: You had just heard 12 that on July 29th he was presently being beaten on 13 a routine basis. 14 MR. MARTEL: Yes. 15 MS. EDWARDH: And you went in and 16 asked him that question, "The media is reporting 17 18 that you are being beaten now". 19 MR. MARTEL: Mm-hmm. MS. EDWARDH: And he said "Not 20 21 now". He said, "Not now". What did he say to you? 2.2 Let's 23 read it. MR. MARTEL: He said: 24 Present condition. 25

1 I'm the one who wrote "Present condition". 2 He said: 3 I have not been paralysed, 4 not beaten, not tortured. 5 And I asked him to explain to me 6 what he meant. 7 8 MS. EDWARDH: No. Keep reading. 9 Keep reading, Mr. Martel. MR. MARTEL: After that, I asked 10 him a question. I said, "Did they make your life 11 12 difficult? Did they give you a great deal of trouble? Did they cause problems for you?" and so 13 So it's the question that isn't there, 14 on. Then he said to me, "At the obviously. 15 beginning. At the beginning, but very little". 16 That was his answer to my question. 17 18 So, you can't connect the two, because there's a missing sentence -- the question 19 20 is missing. That's the question I asked him. Ι 21 couldn't say to him directly, for instance, "Did 2.2 they torture you?", but I said, for example, "How 23 did they treat you? Did they hurt you? Are they treating you well? Are they making things 24 difficult for you?" and he answered, "At the 25

StenoTran

beginning, but very little". That's what he replied.

MS. EDWARDH: I'm going to suggest to you, Mr. Martel, that it is very clear that you too made a distinction between the present and the beginning, just as the Syrian Human Rights Committee report did, and that he told you, "Not now, but, yes, in the beginning"?

9 MR. MARTEL: Yes, but he didn't 10 tell me to what degree. I said, "Did they make 11 your life difficult?" And he answered, "Yes, at 12 the beginning, but very little".

MS. EDWARDH: I'm not interested at what level. You knew from what he said, sir, that he was conveying to you the information that he had been abused at the beginning but not now. That's what you understood.

18 MR. MARTEL: I understood that at
19 the beginning, yes, the Syrians had made his life
20 difficult.

MS. EDWARDH: And those difficulties included that he was being beaten? MR. MARTEL: That, I don't know. MS. EDWARDH: And I take it that if Mr. Arar said that to you, sir, he might well

StenoTran

1 have but you didn't write it down and you just don't remember it today?

2

No. If he had said 3 MR. MARTEL: that he had been beaten, I would have written it 4 down and I would have reported it. I have nothing 5 to gain by failing to report a fact like that. 6 Ιf my client had said, "Look, I'm being beaten", what 7 8 am I going to do? Am I going to hide that fact? 9 I have to report that fact to the Canadian authorities, who will then -- It isn't my problem 10 after that. If I report a fact like that, if my 11 12 client is being tortured ---

MS. EDWARDH: You see, the problem 13 with your position -- I'm sorry. 14

15 MR. MARTEL: No, not at all. Look, if I report a fact like that, I'm 16 transferring the decision to headquarters, to Gar 17 18 Pardy, to the Minister and the others, not to myself. So, I have nothing to gain in hiding 19 20 those facts. If those are the facts, those are 21 the facts, and I have to convey them, and someone 2.2 at headquarters has to act accordingly and make a 23 decision.

MS. EDWARDH: The difficulty, 24 Mr. Martel, is very simple. He did tell you the 25

StenoTran

1 cell size, and you never reported that. MR. MARTEL: Yes, I also asked him 2 about his cell. 3 MS. EDWARDH: Because you forgot. 4 MR. MARTEL: No. I asked him 5 about his cell and I asked him -- He said, "Yes, 6 7 my cell is very small and I'm sleeping on the 8 ground". And I asked him how the others were, and 9 he said, "As far as I know, we are all the same". At that point, I didn't have all 10 the elements he told me afterwards. I didn't know 11 12 that his cell was underground. The cell was 13 small, yes. We all agree on that. I understood that his cell was very small, but nothing 14 indicated at that point that his cell was so small 15 and was underground, and in the dark, with rats, 16 cockroaches and cats and all that. If you add all 17 18 that up, that's inhumane conditions, and I have to report that. 19 20 MS. EDWARDH: Isn't that 21 Mr. Pardy's problem, Mr. Martel? Isn't it 2.2 Mr. Pardy's problem to put together -- if you 23 can't put it together what three by six by seven means, you can't stand here, sir, in my respectful 24 submission, and say you knew it was small but not 25

1 that small.

MR. MARTEL: That's not what I'm 2 I'm saying that the cell was very small 3 saying. and he confirmed to me that all the inmates were 4 in the same situation, and according to my 5 guidelines, I'm being told that we must not ask 6 for special treatment, and after he confirmed that 7 8 everyone was in the same situation, at that point, I didn't make the connection with inhumane 9 treatment. I didn't have all the details and 10 11 that's why it escaped me. Yesterday, I said --12 yesterday I said that if I had to do it over again, I would put those numbers in. 13 MS. EDWARDH: You knew Mr. Arar 14 15 wasn't in general population because the Syrian gaolers told you that he was separate from all 16 other inmates; right? They had told you that. 17 MR. MARTEL: Yes. Yes, they told 18 me he was separated from the others. 19 20 MS. EDWARDH: How would Mr. Arar 21 know about the conditions of confinement of other inmates? 2.2 23 MR. MARTEL: I don't know. He said, "As far as I know, we are all the same". 24 That's what he said to me. 25

1 MS. EDWARDH: Isn't that what you Isn't what you thought is, "Listen, they 2 thought? are all sleeping on the floor"? 3 That's what he said. MR. MARTEL: 4 He said, "As far as I know, we are all the 5 same". He said it to me in English. 6 MS. EDWARDH: And, of course, you 7 8 will agree with me that Mr. Arar could not, in any 9 detail, have conveyed to you that his conditions of confinement were like everyone else in that 10 11 institution because you knew that he didn't have 12 access to anyone. MR. MARTEL: I didn't know that he 13 didn't have access to anyone. It was never 14 15 mentioned anywhere before that he didn't have 16 access to anyone. MS. EDWARDH: Nonsense. 17 You were 18 told by the Syrian authorities that Mr. Arar was 19 lucky he was in a separate cell and not mixing 2.0 with other detainees. You were told that, were 21 you not? 2.2 MR. MARTEL: Yes, but that didn't 23 mean that he was -- that he didn't have a neighbour beside him or two cells away. 24 Nor did it mean that he was 25

isolated and that there was no one where he was 1 being detained, in a cell, and that he saw no one. 2 Nothing indicated that. 3 The Syrians -- in their minds, 4 they tried to make me understand that he was --5 and I'm sure, when I place myself in their shoes, 6 7 that that's what they were thinking. 8 MS. EDWARDH: All right. Now, in 9 terms of your memory, sir, I take it you have agreed with Mr. Cavalluzzo that on October 7th, 10 11 when you told the group of officials you were 12 briefing, that you told them -- and we don't have to go back over this -- that Mr. Arar had told you 13 in the first two weeks he had been beaten and then 14 15 subsequently you wrote a number of memoranda; right? 16 In those memoranda you said 17 Mr. Arar did not say he had been beaten. 18 19 MR. MARTEL: Yes. I explained 20 that yesterday, too. 21 MS. EDWARDH: Because you forgot. 2.2 MR. MARTEL: I explained yesterday 23 that Maher and I had taken the plane together and on board, for two days --24 25 You have to remember, this was

1 Sunday morning. We spent the entire day. We went to the detention centre. He left with me. 2 We took the plane that night. We left for Europe. 3 We didn't sleep. We arrived in Ottawa on Monday 4 night. Let's say at four or five o'clock in the 5 morning for me. And at 10 o'clock, I had a 6 7 meeting in the Department. 8 I had been travelling for two 9 whole days, without sleeping. And it was the same for him. 10 11 And then I -- I was asked, without notes, because I didn't take any notes, none, on 12 the entire trip. And then they said to me, and I 13 didn't expect it, but they said, "Tell us what 14 happened on the trip". 15 So I told them as best I could 16 from memory after those two days of travel. 17 18 Now, as you're saying, I forgot afterwards. A month later, someone from the 19 Political Section said to me, "Yes, but we want a 20

And I sent the report they asked for. And it had holes in it. I have to admit, it had holes in it.

25 But everyone who received that

21

report".

1 report ---2 MS. EDWARDH: Because you forgot. 3 You forgot that Mr. Arar told you that he was beaten in the first two weeks. That is your 4 evidence, isn't it, Mr. Martel? 5 MR. MARTEL: I forgot to include 6 7 that fact in my final report, but I said it. Ι 8 didn't hide it. I said it at the meeting. I said 9 what he had said to me. Except that a month later ---10 11 MS. EDWARDH: I understand that. At the meeting you ---12 THE COMMISSIONER: Let him finish 13 the answer. 14 I'm sorry. MS. EDWARDH: 15 THE COMMISSIONER: And I do have 16 the point, really. It is quite clear that he said 17 several times that he did forget, that he left it 18 out. 19 MS. EDWARDH: 20 If you are content 21 with what the Commissioner has said, your 2.2 description of it, I'm going to suggest to you, 23 sir, as well, that while you forgot this important point, as well when Mr. Arar told you that he was 24 presently not being beaten or tortured, that he 25

1 was not paralysed, but that he had been earlier, that that is what that phrase means, that brief 2 phrase that isn't completed. And, sir, 3 unfortunately you have just forgot it again, the 4 same kind of thing. 5 MR. MARTEL: No. 6 MS. EDWARDH: No. 7 8 MR. MARTEL: I'm sorry. I′m 9 sorry, but that's not it. He told me what he told me. "I 10 was not paralysed and I wasn't beaten. I wasn't 11 12 tortured". And when I asked him afterwards, 13 "Did they make your life difficult?" because he 14 was -- he was irritated. He behaved in an 15 irritated manner. 16 So I said, "Have they made your 17 life difficult?" because I couldn't ask questions 18 that were too direct. And he said, "Yes. At the 19 20 beginning, but not very much. Not as much as 21 that". 2.2 MS. EDWARDH: And what did you 23 understand? If this is your recollection, what did you understand about the problems? 24 What message was he giving you, Mr. Martel? 25

1 MR. MARTEL: Well, I think he was telling me that initially, at the beginning, when 2 3 he told me that the interrogation was more intense, it had been more difficult for him. 4 Maybe they were depriving him of 5 sleep. I don't know what they did to him. 6 He 7 couldn't give me those details. I didn't know. 8 But ---9 MS. EDWARDH: You don't remember what he said specifically, do you? 10 11 MR. MARTEL: No. He said, "At the 12 beginning. At the beginning. At the beginning, but very little". That's what he said to me. I 13 remember that that's what he said to me. He said 14 it clearly. "At the beginning, but very little". 15 That's what he said to me. 16 --- Pause 17 18 MS. EDWARDH: I want to ask you just a couple more questions and then I will sit 19 20 down. 21 On the plane on your way back, when you stopped over from -- you flew from Syria 2.2 23 to Jordan, and Jordan to Paris. Is that correct? 24 MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's right. 25 MS. EDWARDH: I would like you to

1 tell the Commissioner what Mr. Arar's response was when he was told to leave the plane in Jordan, 2 3 because you had to disembark. Is that correct? 4 MR. MARTEL: Yes. When we left 5 Damascus, there was already an embargo on -- on 6 the fact that he had been released. 7 8 So, we agreed with Ottawa that as 9 of 2:00 a.m., our time, we could announce the news, even publicly. 10 But what we didn't realize was 11 that at that time, Air France had changed its 12 flights because of a lack of passengers and --13 We were doing a reverse route, 14 actually. We were leaving Damascus and going to 15 Aman for a technical stopover and to take on 16 17 passengers. As soon as we had lifted off, the 18 pilot welcomed us aboard and announced that we had 19 20 a technical stopover in Aman. Naturally, Maher 21 panicked at that point because he had already come from Jordan. 2.2 23 So I told him, "Don't worry. You mustn't worry, because it's a technical stopover. 24 We don't even have to get off the plane. We both 25

have our luggage on board. And you're with me. You have nothing to worry about".

1

2

3 So he calmed down and -- but when 4 we got to Aman, after we landed, there was another 5 problem. A passenger hadn't shown up, so there 6 was one suitcase too many. So the crew asked us 7 to disembark.

8 Then I said, "We don't have to 9 disembark. We don't have any luggage". Because 10 they wanted us to identify all the luggage in the 11 baggage hold.

I said, "We don't have to because we don't have any luggage". They said that we had to go anyway.

So I said to Maher -- we were sitting in the front of the plane. I said, "Okay, let's go. We'll make this very quick and exit at the front. We don't need to stop and look at the luggage. We'll get back on in the back and come back to our seats".

21 So that's what we did. We 22 disembarked quickly. We walked around the plane. 23 We re-embarked and sat down in our seats. So when 24 the officers of -- the Jordanian officers came on 25 board and -- I told Maher, "Don't look. Don't

11554

1 look. Look outside. Don't pay any attention to In any case, you're with me, you have 2 them. 3 nothing to worry about". Does that answer your question? 4 MS. EDWARDH: Yes. The answer I 5 think conveys that Mr. Arar was quite panicked and 6 fearful when he landed in Jordan and was asked to 7 leave the aircraft. 8 9 MR. MARTEL: Yes, of course. Ι had to reassure him. 10 11 MS. EDWARDH: And it was clear to 12 you that one of the things he was terribly afraid of was that he would be taken into custody again 13 because he had come through Jordan. 14 15 MR. MARTEL: Exactly. But I told him, "It's not going to 16 You're with me, so don't worry". 17 happen. MS. EDWARDH: I understand your 18 attempt at -- I'm trying to capture for the 19 2.0 Commissioner how frightened he was that the 21 Jordanians had handed him over once, and that he 2.2 might well be detained and taken from your 23 presence and put wherever he might be put. I mean, that was obvious that he 24 was frightened of that? 25

1 MR. MARTEL: He was very uncomfortable. 2 3 MS. EDWARDH: And did he convey to you at all, Mr. Martel, why he was afraid, why he 4 didn't want to talk about his detention? 5 I know you did speak over the 6 7 course of the two days. He gave you some 8 information. But when you got off the plane, it 9 had been clear to you that he really didn't want to fully discuss it at that time. 10 11 Isn't that fair? 12 MR. MARTEL: No, he -- yes, that's 13 right. He didn't want -- he didn't want 14 to talk about that episode. 15 MS. EDWARDH: The details? 16 MR. MARTEL: Yes. He gave me a 17 few details here and there. He wanted -- when he 18 wanted to talk to me, he did. 19 20 But most of the time, he would say 21 to me -- or if I asked him a question, for 2.2 instance, he would say, "I'm not ready. I'm not 23 ready to talk". So I had to respect my client's 24 wishes. I couldn't push him. 25

1 I wasn't conducting an examination, so if he didn't want to give me 2 details about his detention, I couldn't insist. 3 Other clients have given me three 4 full pages in telling me their stories. But Maher 5 didn't want to. He had suffered a great deal 6 emotionally, and it showed. 7 8 I had to respect that. 9 MS. EDWARDH: It was clear to you he wasn't ready to have a detailed conversation? 10 11 MR. MARTEL: No, he didn't want 12 to. He didn't want to, and he was sometimes very up emotionally, and sometimes down. 13 So it was hard for him. 14 MS. EDWARDH: And one of the 15 things you queried or asked about was what 16 happened to him in the USA. Do you remember? 17 18 Do you remember trying to obtain some information about what had gone on in the 19 United States? 20 21 MR. MARTEL: Maybe I was still trying to gather the dates and -- of his departure 2.2 23 and all that. And I hadn't gotten all the details on -- on his transfer, his deportation. We might 24 have talked about it. 25

1 But over the two days, we talked about all kinds of things. There were some things 2 he wanted to tell me, and others, not. 3 So, I don't remember. His 4 detention in the United States, I don't remember. 5 MS. EDWARDH: Well ---6 MR. MARTEL: Wait. I think that 7 -- I think he told me that the American 8 9 authorities had been guite harsh with him and that he hadn't -- I don't know -- that he hadn't been 10 given enough to eat. Something of the sort. 11 12 MS. EDWARDH: And do you recall asking him, or do you recall him saying that he 13 didn't want to talk about the evidence that they 14 had put to him? 15 He didn't mention to you about his 16 lease, did he? He didn't tell you that the 17 18 American authorities had a copy of some part of a lease agreement that could only have come from 19 20 Canada? He didn't say that to you? 21 MR. MARTEL: I don't remember. 2.2 No. He said no. 23 MS. EDWARDH: Okay. And would it surprise you if I were to suggest to you that he 24 was deleting that kind of reference in his 25

1 conversation because he was afraid that once you 2 learned that, you might turn him in too, send him 3 back? MR. MARTEL: I don't know. 4 Possibly. 5 Possibly. He was quite open with 6 He gave me some information ---7 me. 8 MS. EDWARDH: About some things. 9 MR. MARTEL: Yes, about -- mostly about the major difficulties, the big ordeal he 10 11 had been put through and all that. He talked 12 about that at length. I believe there was, all in all -13 a climate of trust between us. And my role wasn't 14 to bring him back to Canada and deliver him to the 15 authorities, it was to bring him back to his 16 family. 17 MS. EDWARDH: I understand. 18 Т know that was your role. I'm talking about 19 20 whether you appreciated how fearful he was, even 21 fearful of you? 2.2 MR. MARTEL: Maybe, but he seemed 23 very, very comfortable with me. When he left the prison, we went outside together. We went to eat. 24 I brought him to my home. And ---25

1 I don't think he was really afraid of me. I explained my role to him. 2 He knew -- he 3 already knew. I was the one who had always 4 transmitted messages to and from his family, as 5 well as Monia's [...] and photos of his children. 6 So he -- we had established a 7 8 fairly close relationship with -- each other. 9 And when we travelled together, I was worried too, even in Paris, so I asked for 10 assistance from our Embassy while we were in 11 transit. 12 MS. EDWARDH: Mr. Martel, so as 13 not to leave anyone with the wrong impression, 14 since you deposited Mr. Arar on Canadian soil, it 15 is my understanding you have had a single 16 conversation with him, and that conversation 17 occurred when you were here to testify? 18 MR. MARTEL: Yes. Exactly. I 19 20 spoke to him in June. The last time I was here. 21 MS. EDWARDH: Yes. You have not 2.2 had any other conversations? No. 23 MR. MARTEL: We've said hello and that's all. 24 25 MS. EDWARDH: And that

1 conversation that you had took place in the cafeteria here when you wanted to talk to him 2 about the lawsuit? 3 MR. MARTEL: No, I was -- I was 4 with my counsel at the time, and someone said, 5 "Maher's over there". 6 7 I asked if I could go and speak to 8 him and they said I could. 9 So I -- I went to see Maher. We chitchatted at first. He said, "Oh! I didn't 10 recognize you right away. You've changed", and 11 12 all that. And after that conversation, the 13 issue of the photo came up. I said, "The 14 Commission attached a lot of importance to that 15 photo I took of you". 16 And I said, "You know, I'm very 17 worried about that photo". He said, "It's 18 nothing". 19 20 So he didn't -- and afterwards, I 21 also said, "I don't know -- I don't know why ---" MS. EDWARDH: You were concerned 2.2 23 with the picture because it had never been a document that you intended to publicly put out, 24 but yet it was publicly widely displayed; correct? 25

1 MR. MARTEL: Actually, I gave it to the Commission when the Commission asked me for 2 it, but before that it hadn't -- that photo had 3 never been published anywhere. 4 MR. CAVALLUZZO: I would like to 5 object to that in terms of I think the witness is 6 confused as to the Commission asked for it and he 7 8 gave it to the Commission. Well, we will let 9 MS. EDWARDH: that factual question remain with you, 10 11 Mr. Commissioner. 12 But I just want to put it to you 13 that you did ask him about the lawsuit and you made it clear to Mr. Arar in that conversation, 14 which was also present in front of one of our 15 colleagues, you made it clear to him that you 16 didn't call him a liar personally. 17 Do you recall saying that to him? 18 MR. MARTEL: I remember ---19 20 MS. EDWARDH: There is a 21 distinction that you made. "Something may be a lie 2.2 and a person may not be a liar". 23 Do you recall saying that to him? MR. MARTEL: I remember a 24 conversation we had in June. I -- I told him how 25

1 surprised I was at having been named in the lawsuit. 2 And I don't think there were any 3 other details. 4 After that, he explained why I was 5 named. And I understood. And I closed that 6 7 chapter. 8 For me, that was all. I had 9 understood the judicial or legal process. That's all. Nothing more. 10 11 MS. EDWARDH: One of the things 12 you said, sir, you said to your lawyer that, "It's a lie". But you wanted Mr. Arar to know that you 13 weren't saying that he was a liar. 14 I want to put it to you that that 15 is what you said in front of him and that is what 16 you said in front of a woman who was standing 17 directly beside him when you had this conversation 18 in the cafeteria? 19 20 MR. MARTEL: Maybe I said that 21 according to some reports, I was being accused of 2.2 saying he was lying, but I was just referring to 23 the lawsuit. In the lawsuit, I said that it was 24 a big lie, that I never -- and he knows this -- I 25

1 never, never would have missed an opportunity to visit him. 2 MS. EDWARDH: You also know that 3 you have in your own mind never, ever, said that 4 Mr. Arar lied to you about what happened to him in 5 Syria? 6 7 MR. MARTEL: No. When he lied, he 8 lied under pressure. It wasn't deliberate. 9 Apart from that, he always told me the truth. 10 11 MS. EDWARDH: Thank you. THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, 12 Ms. Edwardh. 13 MS. EDWARDH: I'm sorry, 14 Mr. Commissioner, I have two very brief questions. 15 THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, I'm sorry. 16 MS. EDWARDH: There is probably 17 18 going to be an objection to these questions so everybody wake up. 19 20 --- Laughter / Rires 21 THE COMMISSIONER: Don't answer 2.2 the question. 23 MS. EDWARDH: When Mr. Arar was leaving, finally, one of the persons in attendance 24 was a man that we will call George. Do you 25

1 remember that man? MR. MARTEL: Yes, of course. 2 3 MS. EDWARDH: Can you describe to the Commissioner, please, George's demeanour on 4 the very last occasion when Mr. Arar left his 5 control? 6 Well, when -- when we MR. MARTEL: 7 8 parted company in what was called the yard, 9 because there was a yard, sort of, a big yard. The Embassy car was there. 10 11 After we had all had coffee 12 together -- Maher was free, we were leaving and everyone was very happy -- officials escorted us 13 outside and before we left, everyone shook hands. 14 As we were leaving, Maher said 15 something to me that to this day, I still don't 16 understand. Maybe he'll be able to explain it to 17 me afterwards. Later. Much later. He said that 18 as he was leaving, George cried. 19 20 That's all I remember about George 21 at that moment. 2.2 MS. EDWARDH: Do you remember 23 seeing George cry? MR. MARTEL: No, I didn't -- I 24 didn't see him cry, but I saw George. George was 25

StenoTran

11565

1 there.

But if Maher told me that George 2 3 was crying as we left, he was crying. MS. EDWARDH: Did you, Mr. Martel, 4 have, beyond a formal relationship with the 5 persons you have described today, a personal 6 7 relationship with any of the persons inside 8 Military Intelligence or with the interpreter that 9 was not just a purely professional relationship? MR. MARTEL: No, I'm -- I'm not 10 allowed to have a relationship with them. They're 11 not allowed to either. 12 I can't even have lunch with them, 13 with one of the members. I'm given a different 14 contact for each case. It isn't always the same 15 16 person. Up until the time I left, I had 17 someone else ---18 MS. EDWARDH: You have answered 19 20 the question. 21 MR. MARTEL: Thank you. 2.2 MS. EDWARDH: The next question is 23 we have heard that Ambassador Franco Pillarella had a remarkable connection, better than anyone 24 else's, to Syrian Military Intelligence, a very 25

1 good connection, the best that Canada could have. Did Franco Pillarella have a 2 personal relationship with anyone in Syrian 3 Military Intelligence? 4 MR. MARTEL: Not as far as I know. 5 Except with the person you just mentioned. 6 Ι think he met that person two or four times ---7 8 MS. EDWARDH: George? 9 MR. MARTEL: No; the General. MS. EDWARDH: General Khalil? 10 11 MR. MARTEL: No. The Ambassador doesn't know George. 12 13 MS. EDWARDH: And can you just assist us as to ---14 MR. DECARY: So do I. 15 MS. EDWARDH: Can you just assist 16 us, sir, as to whether the Ambassador's 17 18 relationship with General Khalil had both a professional and a personal component to it? 19 20 MR. MARTEL: I don't think there 21 was a personal relationship. I don't think so. 2.2 You should address this question 23 to the Ambassador. He doesn't speak to me much about his relations; I don't know whom he invites 24 to his home. I see them when I'm there, but those 25

1 people don't usually accept invitations, so they don't qo anywhere. 2 3 So it would surprise me if he had, say, a truly personal relationship, but you should 4 ask him. 5 MS. EDWARDH: Fine. I appreciate 6 7 that. He just happens not to be here. You are, 8 Mr. Martel. 9 Last question in the same area: Do you know whether any of the General's children 10 or grandchildren or their spouses have travelled 11 12 to Canada while Franco Pillarella was the Ambassador, for medical care or for delivery of 13 children? 14 MR. MARTEL: That's an Immigration 15 matter; it's not my area at all. 16 MS. EDWARDH: Well, if in fact 17 18 General Khalil or his grandchildren had applied for a visa to Canada, it is a question that would 19 20 be addressed by the Embassy in Damascus. 21 MR. MARTEL: Yes, but not by the 2.2 Ambassador. 23 MS. EDWARDH: But not by you?

24 MR. MARTEL: No, not at all. It's 25 completely forbidden. We can't even intervene, we

StenoTran

11568

1 can't even make suggestions; quite the contrary. It's my work, so maybe I can't talk about it in 2 public, but it's the opposite. 3 MS. EDWARDH: Well, no, it's fine 4 to say that there are rules that prohibit you from 5 intervening. Are you suggesting that the 6 Ambassador cannot facilitate the request of a 7 8 foreign national to obtain a visa ---9 MR. MARTEL: He's forbidden from doing that. He can't. 10 11 MS. EDWARDH: And to your -- well, then I will leave that. 12 I gave to your counsel yesterday 13 an article, asking that you take a moment to look 14 at it. 15 It is an article, 16 Mr. Commissioner, dated October 10th, 2003. 17 It is 18 an English article written by Mr. Jeff Sallot. I gave this to you because I 19 20 wanted to ask a question. This of course is 21 written -- and I would like it to be marked as the next exhibit, Mr. Commissioner. 2.2 23 THE COMMISSIONER: What number are we at? 24 MR. CAVALLUZZO: Two hundred and 25

1 forty-seven. EXHIBIT NO. P-247: Article 2 written by Jeff Sallot 3 MS. EDWARDH: Thank you, 4 Mr. Cavalluzzo. 5 It is written just a few days 6 7 after your meeting with the colleagues that you 8 briefed at the Department of Foreign Affairs. 9 Is that correct? MR. MARTEL: Yes; in early 10 11 October, yes. The meeting 12 MS. EDWARDH: Yes. was October 7th, as I understand it, and this is 13 written October 10th. In the top left there is a 14 date where this was published. 15 MR. MARTEL: Yes, I see it. 16 MS. EDWARDH: What is quoted in 17 this document is that an official -- or it says: 18 19 The officials say they have 20 not yet learned any details from Mr. Arar about his 21 arrest by U.S. authorities 13 2.2 23 months ago and treatment after his deportation to the 24 Middle East. 25

1 It goes on: All they know from 2 preliminary conversations 3 with him is that he was 4 slapped around by Jordanians 5 during the 8 to 10 hours he 6 was in that country and held 7 in very bad conditions in 8 9 Syria. Were you the source for that 10 11 story, Mr. Martel? No. 12 MR. MARTEL: I had no contact with -- was that a reporter, whom I met today? 13 MS. EDWARDH: No. 14 My question is 15 simply whether you gave an interview that led to this description ---16 MR. MARTEL: No, I'm not 17 authorized to give interviews to the press. 18 So my answer is no, I'm not the source. 19 2.0 MS. EDWARDH: Do you recall seeing 21 this story? No, I don't remember. 2.2 MR. MARTEL: MS. EDWARDH: And we can agree, 23 sir, that if what is released to the public by an 24 official on October the 10th, 2003, is that simply 25

1 that "Mr. Arar was slapped around by Jordanians and/or held in just bad conditions in Syria", that 2 3 is an extremely inaccurate portrayal of all the information you had at hand. 4 MR. MARTEL: I don't know who the 5 source was for that, but it wasn't me. It was 6 7 certainly ---8 MS. EDWARDH: Fair enough. Ι 9 appreciate it wasn't you, sir. But whoever said these things certainly was not putting out the 10 full picture. That's all I'm saying. 11 12 Do you agree? MR. MARTEL: Yes, of course. 13 Ι don't know who was the source and it doesn't 14 reflect the whole story. 15 MS. EDWARDH: Fair enough. 16 Could I have just two minutes? 17 THE COMMISSIONER: Sure. 18 Go ahead. 19 20 --- Pause 21 MS. EDWARDH: Mr. Commissioner, 2.2 there are a couple of documents that I should file 23 that I don't believe Mr. Cavalluzzo filed. We referred to some litigation or 24 lawsuits, and I believe there are copies of the 25

1 Federal Court lawsuit that are sitting on a pile on Mr. Cavalluzzo's desk, and I think we should 2 file them as an exhibit. 3 I have copies of the Provincial 4 Court lawsuit. You have them there? 5 I would like them to be filed as 6 an exhibit. And then I have a couple of other 7 documents that I would like to do as well. 8 THE COMMISSIONER: Is this the 9 Provincial one? 10 11 MS. EDWARDH: This is the Superior 12 Court. THE COMMISSIONER: Two hundred and 13 forty-eight. 14 EXHIBIT NO. P-248: Superior 15 Court lawsuit 16 MS. EDWARDH: And could I ask that 17 the Federal Court lawsuit be filed as Exhibit 249. 18 THE COMMISSIONER: Two hundred and 19 20 forty-nine will be the Federal lawsuit. 21 EXHIBIT NO. P-249: Federal Court lawsuit 2.2 23 MS. EDWARDH: There was some suggestion that there may be some other public 24 documents. One of them, of course, is the lawsuit 25

1 filed in respect of Syria, and I think we can do this by way of agreement, but I think it is an 2 important fact, Mr. Commissioner. 3 Is that the Syrian lawsuit? 4 THE COMMISSIONER: No. This is 5 the Federal Court. 6 MS. EDWARDH: Oh, the Federal 7 This is the Federal Court. 8 Court. 9 With respect to the Syrian lawsuit, it was indeed filed prior to February, 10 11 but I think Ms. McIsaac is in agreement with me that there is no mention of Mr. Martel in it. 12 It is a lawsuit against the 13 Government of Syria. 14 15 THE COMMISSIONER: Do we need to file it then? 16 MS. EDWARDH: I don't think so. 17 If Ms. McIsaac is content with the assertion of 18 that fact, then I don't think we do. 19 20 THE COMMISSIONER: Then we don't 21 need to, yes. 2.2 MS. EDWARDH: But if there is any 23 dispute about that ---THE COMMISSIONER: He is not a 24 defendant. 25

1 MS. EDWARDH: He is not a defendant and none of the facts that are described 2 in that lawsuit bear upon what the witness has 3 said today. 4 MS. McISAAC: Why don't we file it 5 because I have not read it. 6 THE COMMISSIONER: Two hundred and 7 8 fifty will be the lawsuit against Syria. 9 EXHIBIT NO. P-250: Syrian lawsuit 10 11 --- Pause 12 MS. EDWARDH: Ms. McIsaac, we do not have the one handy. I thought we did. 13 Perhaps what we could do, could we 14 tentatively mark it and we will produce it -- oh, 15 you have got a copy? Thank you, Ms. McIsaac. 16 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, 17 Ms. McIsaac. It will be 250. 18 MS. EDWARDH: And the other public 19 document that I would like to file as a source of 20 21 reference by way of exclusion, if nothing else. Mr. Arar, on basis of an affidavit 2.2 23 filed, applied for leave to intervene in the Basari litigation and an affidavit was filed in 24 support of that litigation. There was some 25

1 suggestion earlier that this might be a source for the witness' recollection, and I'm going to ask 2 that it be filed. 3 There is in it no reference at all 4 to Mr. Martel. 5 THE COMMISSIONER: The only 6 7 purpose you are filing the affidavit is not to 8 prove the facts but just as a matter of exclusion, 9 as you say. MS. EDWARDH: Exclusion. 10 11 THE COMMISSIONER: If we can agree upon that, we don't need to file it. 12 Ms. McIsaac, are you familiar with 13 that affidavit? 14 Then it ---No. 15 --- Pause 16 MS. EDWARDH: My friend is content 17 that the references the witnesses have referred to 18 are not in fact in the affidavit of Maher Arar 19 filed in the Basari lawsuit. 20 21 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Then we don't need to file that. That is on the record. 2.2 23 MS. EDWARDH: I don't believe I need to file the last document. 24 Thank you, very much, 25

1 Mr. Commissioner. Thank you, Mr. Martel. THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Ms. 2 3 Edwardh. Ms. Jackman? 4 MR. ATKEY: Commissioner, before 5 Ms. Jackman starts, there was a housekeeping 6 matter. 7 8 With respect to Tab 20 of 9 Mr. Martel's newly redacted documents, Mr. Baxter was going to get instructions about removal of 10 11 further redactions from this document, which is the 2003 Report on Human Rights, Democratic 12 Development and Good Governance, which is almost 13 entirely redacted except for one paragraph and yet 14 received wide distribution throughout many, many 15 departments of government and agencies. 16 I wonder if there are any 17 instructions about removal of redactions. 18 Ιt would be helpful have this information. 19 2.0 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, 21 Mr. Atkey. 2.2 MR. BAXTER: My understanding, 23 sir, was that we undertook to look and determine if Mr. El Maati was mentioned in -- is this the 24 25 2003?

1 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. MR. BAXTER: I'm advised that 2 there is no mention of the El Maati case in there. 3 That is what we have been able to determine. 4 THE COMMISSIONER: So we can make 5 that clear. 6 Mr. Atkey raises a slightly 7 8 different point. It is just the extent of the 9 redactions. I appreciate that throughout the public hearings the government has further 10 11 redacted documents. I think the point Mr. Atkey 12 makes is this might be one that would be a good 13 target for reconsideration. So if the government could do that, and if there is to be removal of 14 redacted portions, then let the Commission know 15 and we will make the re-redacted document 16 available publicly. 17 MS. EDWARDH: Mr. Commissioner, 18 you had also made a request of government counsel 19 20 to consider providing this document to Mr. Stephen 21 Toope. You may recall that in your ruling. 2.2 I have no knowledge of whether or 23 not that invitation of the Government of Canada has been accepted and it has been provided, but I 24 would ask through you if they would be prepared to 25

1 disclose whether it has and then, of course, I might want to add a piece of transcript from 2 today's proceedings. 3 THE COMMISSIONER: Right. 4 Do you know the answer to that, 5 Mr. Cavalluzzo? 6 No, I don't. 7 MR. CAVALLUZZO: THE COMMISSIONER: I don't know 8 9 the answer to that. That is something that we can I think Mr. Toope's report won't be 10 pursue. 11 prepared for a few weeks yet, so we can look into 12 that. MS. McISAAC: Well, my 13 understanding is any documents that Mr. Toope 14 wanted would be provided through you, sir, through 15 the Commission. 16 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. 17 That's 18 what I'm saying. 19 MS. McISAAC: Sorry. 2.0 THE COMMISSIONER: What I'm saying 21 is I don't know if the government's provided it to the Commission and we passed it on to Mr. Toope. 2.2 MS. McISAAC: The Commission 23 certainly has it, sir. 24 25 THE COMMISSIONER: There was a

1 question of whether or not we could give it to 2 Mr. Toope ---3 MS. McISAAC: I thought we dealt with that the other day, sir. 4 THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, did we? 5 MS. McISAAC: Yes. 6 7 THE COMMISSIONER: I must confess, 8 T'm ---9 MR. BAXTER: This document, you have it unredacted and it was the subject matter 10 11 of certain in-camera testimony through witnesses. So I think what Ms. McIsaac is saying is if 12 Mr. Toope wants it, the Commission has it. 13 MS. EDWARDH: In fairness to 14 Mr. Toope, I don't know if he has security 15 clearance. I think what you were asking the 16 government to do is to consider releasing it to 17 18 him for his purposes on a confidential basis. 19 THE COMMISSIONER: But what I'm 20 hearing from the Government now -- and I must 21 confess, if they have done this already then I 2.2 should recall it. But the government has no 23 objection to us releasing this document in unredacted form to Mr. Toope. 24 Is that what I hear? 25

1 MS. McISAAC: No. Sir, I thought we had a discussion about Mr. Toope's access to 2 documents. Maybe I'm making things up. 3 MR. BAXTER: It is getting late. 4 MS. McISAAC: Perhaps we can deal 5 with this, not wasting the public's time. 6 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. 7 Let's leave it at that. 8 9 Ms. Jackman, do you have any questions of this witness? 10 11 MS. JACKMAN: I just have a couple 12 of questions. EXAMINATION 13 MS. JACKMAN: Mr. Martel, it would 14 appear from Exhibits 134 and 242 that there were a 15 16 progression of measures taken with respect to Mr. Arar in relation to his detention in Syria, 17 18 and what I can see of the many documents that have 19 been attached of the meetings between the 20 Ambassador and General Khalil, or the Syrian 21 Deputy Foreign Minister, the phone calls between our Minister of Foreign Affairs and their Minister 2.2 23 and their Ambassador, that there were maybe four points: 24 25 First, all of that contact related

1 only to Maher Arar. Second, it was made clear to 2 the Syrians that he was not wanted for any offence in Canada. 3 Third, Canada wanted him to come home, back to Canada. And, fourth, that he is a 4 Canadian citizen. 5 And so I'm wondering, given that 6 Mr. Almalki is detained this whole time, what was 7 8 he, chopped liver? 9 Like, what happened with Mr. Almalki? There is nothing here. There is no 10 redaction showing that they were talking about two 11 12 people in Syria, just Mr. Arar. MR. MARTEL: Well, as far as I 13 know, Mr. Almalki was detained in August 2002, 14 maybe, around that time. 15 MS. JACKMAN: 16 Mav. In May, and at that MR. MARTEL: 17 18 time, when we were notified, meaning the Embassy, maybe by Foreign Affairs, I'm not quite sure who 19 20 notified us initially, we sent a diplomatic note 21 to the Syrian authorities requesting -- informing them that we believed we had a Canadian citizen 2.2 23 who had been arrested and was being detained, and we requested information about that inmate, the 24 usual diplomatic note, and to see him. 25

1 And I read in the file later on that the family ---2 MS. JACKMAN: I don't want to take 3 I just want to know, in terms of when all day. 4 you went to the jail, for example, when Mr. Graham 5 was talking to the officials, could they not have 6 just said, you know, there's Mr. Almalki as well? 7 8 Why didn't you ask, "Is he in the 9 same jail?" MR. MARTEL: Well, this is what I 10 have already talked about with other counsel here. 11 What I mean is of course. Mr. Arar was an 12 exceptional case because of his deportation, while 13 the other Canadian Syrians had gone to Syria of 14 their own accord, and when the Syrian authorities 15 take a very firm position by telling us ---16 They answered that in Mr. 17 18 Almalki's case: "He's a Syrian citizen, yes, we are detaining him and we are detaining him 19 20 according to our own laws, and, no, you cannot see 21 him". That's what we were told. 2.2 So, when they take such a hard-23 line position, the Minister has to intervene, or someone from the very top, to change that. 24 MS. JACKMAN: And there was no 25

intervention from the Minister?

1

2 MR. MARTEL: As far as I know, the 3 Minister didn't intervene, and I also think that 4 the family didn't want to make waves. He has 5 family over there and they didn't want his name to 6 be made public; the family didn't want his name to 7 be made public.

8 MS. JACKMAN: We are not talking 9 about being made public. I'm talking about 10 pressuring the Syrians, not making anything 11 public.

MR. MARTEL: There wasn't any pressure, and maybe -- I may be wrong, but I still feel today that the family didn't want us to apply any strong pressure that in the end would make it public.

MS. JACKMAN: Okay. I think there is probably a disagreement in terms of what the family wanted. That is already a matter of record.

But I just want to know, would you not agree that Mr. Almalki and others in Canada could come to the conclusion that he was less worthy than Mr. Arar, or was it just that Canada only acts when there is publicity?

StenoTran

| 1 | MR. MARTEL: No. You have to make |
|----|--|
| 2 | the distinction; that is, we always take the same |
| 3 | approach for every Canadian who is being detained, |
| 4 | and we had tons in Syria in the years when I was |
| 5 | serving. |
| 6 | Each detainee, obviously, is |
| 7 | entitled to all the services, but the Syrian |
| 8 | State's position in those cases is very hard-line, |
| 9 | and when we try to find out if they are being |
| 10 | detained, we don't even get an answer or we get |
| 11 | one six months later or we get one after they've |
| 12 | left the country. |
| 13 | So, there's no there's no |
| 14 | serious co-operation with the Syrian authorities. |
| 15 | They say, "Ah! He's Syrian, it's none of your |
| 16 | business. Why are you asking? It isn't your |
| 17 | problem; he's one of our citizens". |
| 18 | The position is very hard-line in |
| 19 | that part of the world. They don't want to know |
| 20 | about dual citizenship; they agree that the person |
| 21 | acquired another nationality later on, but they |
| 22 | say they're keeping him because he didn't do his |
| 23 | military service. |
| 24 | They have all kinds of reasons. |
| 25 | MS. JACKMAN: I don't want to get |

1 into an argument with you. But there are two things: One is that Mr. El Maati and Mr. Nureddin 2 were not Syrian citizens. Mr. El Maati and Mr. 3 Nureddin, neither of them were citizens of Syria, 4 were they ---5 6 MR. MARTEL: Exactly. MS. JACKMAN: You don't need to 7 8 answer. I'm just pointing that out. 9 And second, the fact that Mr. Arar was a dual citizen didn't stop you from pressuring 10 in his case. Again, you don't want to answer. 11 Т 12 don't want to drag this out. I just wanted to 13 make the point. My second question is that it is 14 apparent again from this record and your testimony 15 today, even though you may have minimized it, that 16 there was a serious concern about mistreatment in 17 Syria for Mr. Arar. 18 19 From the very beginning when he is 20 detained, that first memo from October 23rd, at 21 Tab 3 in Exhibit 134, there was a concern about him being "aggressively" questioned. Through that 2.2 23 time, there are indicators that there is torture going on. 24 25 In August of 2002, Mr. El Maati

1 says he was tortured. In December of 2002, Mr.
2 Arar's brother talks about it. The Syrian Human
3 Rights Committee comes up with a report. On
4 August 14th, Mr. Arar tells you some details -5 and again, I'm not going to argue with you about
6 what they were -- about his treatment.

7 Did that not cause you concern in 8 terms of Mr. Almalki? Was there any follow-up 9 with the Syrians to make sure Mr. Almalki was 10 treated properly?

MR. MARTEL: Of course, but the authorities had already answered, saying, "It's none of your business, he's a Syrian citizen, he came here of his own accord. We are detaining him according to Syrian law, and you can't see him". As they say, "end of story".

One day, I went to the prison to 17 see another client of mine whom I was authorized 18 I asked about Mr. Almalki because I had to see. 19 20 learned that it was possible and probable that he 21 was being detained in the same place, but I was 2.2 never able to see him, not then either. They 23 flatly denied me permission, giving me some story or other. So I wasn't able to see him either. 24 25 MS. JACKMAN: But nothing was

StenoTran

brought up with the Minister or sent up the line to the Minister of Foreign Affairs to say, "Hey, we have another person here. We're really concerned about torture. Can you start putting pressure on Syria for him too?"

MR. MARTEL: Well, we always 6 7 reported the facts on detainees to Gar Pardy, who 8 was the Director General in the Consular Section. 9 If Gar wanted the Ambassador or the Minister to intervene at the appropriate level, that was the 10 only thing that would budge the Syrians, nothing 11 12 else. Even at the Ambassador's level, it wouldn't have changed anything. We had received a formal 13 response from Foreign Affairs, saying, "He's one 14 of our citizens, not yours. He's in our country, 15 we're holding him according to Syrian law and you 16 may not see him". 17

18 MS. JACKMAN: With respect to Mr. Nureddin, the memo that was put in, Exhibit 245, 19 there is an indication in that memo that Mr. 20 21 Nureddin tells you, and this is in January when he 2.2 is released. So Mr. Almalki is still detained, as 23 is, I think at that time -- no, I think Mr. El Maati has been just released from Eqypt. But in 24 any event, Mr. Almalki is still detained at that 25

11588

1 time.

At the top of page 2 in that 2 3 exhibit, Mr. Nureddin believes that Canadian authorities passed information on to the Syrians 4 because he was taken aside at Pearson Airport and 5 then he goes on about the questions he was asked 6 in Syria. 7 8 Did that cause you to do any 9 further investigation in relation to Mr. Almalki? Did it cause you a concern? 10 11 MR. MARTEL: That's always 12 worrisome, naturally, but the Syrian authorities continued to be inflexible. That report was still 13 sent to the Political Section and to the Director 14 General, Consular Section. When the report was 15 read in Ottawa, if they wanted to intervene in Mr. 16 Almalki's case, it really would have had to come 17 from the Minister's office. 18 Of course, they were managing that 19 20 file. They were also managing it in consultation 21 with Mr. Almalki's family. We had done our field 2.2 work, namely, to know where he was, to confirm it, 23 and to try to get access, which was refused. Now, if they had wanted to put 24 pressure on the Syrians, it would have had to come 25

11589

1 from headquarters and management in the Minister's office; that's certain. No one else could have 2 3 changed that. MS. JACKMAN: I only have two 4 little questions. 5 You are saying that it is all the 6 7 Syrian government's fault with respect to Mr. Almalki. But when Mr. Arar and Mr. Nureddin are 8 9 released, you travelled to Canada with both men because they are frightened and concerned. Nobody 10 11 travels with Mr. Almalki -- in fact, he is kicked 12 out of the Embassy at one point -- or Mr. El Maati. Why the difference? 13 MR. MARTEL: I never met Mr. El 14 Maati, so that was before my time. Then Mr. 15 Almalki, when he was released, I was with him for 16 about six months. He had been released while 17 awaiting trial. 18 19 So, after his detention, during the six months of his release, I saw him 20 21 constantly. He came to the Embassy maybe once a 2.2 week. We were together very often. 23 The trial was held, the Ambassador was there on two occasions, and at the final 24 25 session ---

1 MS. JACKMAN: I just want to know why you didn't travel with them back to Canada. 2 MR. MARTEL: Because I wasn't 3 instructed to. I asked Consular Affairs if we 4 should continue the same practice for Mr. Almalki 5 and the others, that is, accompany them back to 6 Canada, and Mr. Sigurdson, who had replaced Mr. 7 8 Pardy at the time, said, "There has been a change 9 in procedure in that we cannot accompany everyone, etc". 10 11 In any case, Mr. Almalki was not free to leave the country. 12 MS. JACKMAN: Well, eventually he 13 was. He eventually came back to Canada ---14 MR. MARTEL: But he wasn't free to 15 leave the country. He wasn't free to leave the 16 17 country. MS. JACKMAN: Well, he is in 18 19 Canada now. 20 MR. MARTEL: I'm telling you that 21 he wasn't free to leave Syria, so I couldn't 2.2 accompany him in any case. 23 MS. JACKMAN: Oh, you mean he wasn't free to come back to Canada before you left 24 the position? 25

1 MR. MARTEL: No. When he was released, meaning, when he was found not quilty by 2 the Syrian government, he was told that because he 3 hadn't done his military service, he had to report 4 for military service right then, so he could not 5 leave Syria for maybe two or three years. 6 7 MS. JACKMAN: But he came back to 8 Canada in July ---9 THE COMMISSIONER: Ms. Jackman, with respect, we are getting pretty far afield. 10 11 MS. JACKMAN: I'm not going to --12 it's all right. I will leave it at that. Those are all the questions that I 13 had to ask. 14 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very 15 much. 16 We might take a 10-minute break. 17 We have been at it for a while. 18 19 How long do counsel expect to be? 20 MR. BAXTER: Very short. 21 THE COMMISSIONER: Do you know at 2.2 this point, Mr. Décary? MR. DÉCARY: Ten minutes. 23 THE COMMISSIONER: Why don't we 24 take a 10-minute break, but then we won't break 25

1 again. We will just start the motion without the need for a further break. 2 THE REGISTRAR: Please stand. 3 --- Upon recessing at 5:07 / 4 Suspension à 17 h 07 5 --- Upon resuming at 5:20 p.m. / 6 Reprise à 17 h 20 7 THE REGISTRAR: Please be seated. 8 Veuillez vous asseoir. 9 THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Baxter? 10 11 EXAMINATION 12 MR. BAXTER: Mr. Martel, I would like to talk to you a little about Mr. Arar's 13 release date. You talked to Ms. Edwardh about the 14 fact that October 2003, in your opinion, suited 15 16 Syrian interests. Do you remember that? MR. MARTEL: Yes, of course; and I 17 came to the conclusion that since this had never 18 19 happened before, that the Syrians had always 20 adopted a very firm position and could keep people 21 in detention for years without even granting 2.2 consular access, we can attribute the Syrians' 23 change in attitude to other factors related to the 24 Middle East. You have to remember that Syria's 25 neighbours had undergone some changes.

1 MR. BAXTER: But you were in the field, as you say. So in your opinion, what 2 affected the timing of his release? 3 MR. MARTEL: The timing was good 4 because there was an enormous amount of 5 international pressure on the Syrians, and the 6 Syrians ---7 8 MS. EDWARDH: I'm going to rise. 9 Excuse me, my friend. I don't think, although we have 10 11 heard it from other witnesses -- if I understand my friend's question, it is: Please speculate on 12 the reasons for release. 13 And I think we have established --14 maybe I misunderstood the question? 15 MR. BAXTER: If I can answer, this 16 arose from a question you asked the witness. 17 Ιt 18 was about the proceedings and the trial and the date of the release, and he said, yes, I believe 19 there were a lot of factors that were involved. 20 21 And you cut him off, if I may say, and I would like to hear his full answer in that regard. 2.2 23 THE COMMISSIONER: I remember the I think the line of questioning is fair. 24 answer. MR. BAXTER: So, please continue. 25

1 MR. MARTEL: There was -evidently, there was a lot of pressure on the 2 country at that precise time, and of course, as I 3 already told your colleague, there were some 4 interventions; there was all that. 5 But in the end, what changed the 6 outcome, in my opinion, not only for this case, 7 8 but for others too, was the fact that Syria looked 9 for support elsewhere, support either from the United Nations or -- because all of a sudden they 10 were very, very isolated. 11 12 So, obviously, they became more They realized that they needed new 13 flexible. They didn't have many friends around friends. 14 They had one before in Saddam Hussein, but 15 them. this neighbour had changed. There was also 16 enormous pressure in Lebanon. 17 To the south, 18 Jordan was an ally of the Americans. To the north, Turkey was a member of NATO. 19 So the 20 country was feeling more and more isolated.

In my opinion, we were looking for support, and there was a change in attitude, I think, beginning around April 2003. We felt, even in dealing with Foreign Affairs, that they were suddenly becoming much more receptive.

StenoTran

1 MR. BAXTER: What role, in your opinion, was played by the war in Iraq or 2 relations with the United States, which invaded 3 Iraq? 4 MR. MARTEL: Well, at the outset, 5 according to the information I was able to gather, 6 7 that is, that the Syrians appear to have 8 cooperated with the Americans and were expecting 9 something in return and, in the end, that's not what happened. The Syrian position was that, yes, 10 11 we gave the Americans a lot of help and look what 12 they gave us in return, and they were very disappointed and cooperation between the two 13 countries deteriorated. 14 MR. BAXTER: What period in time 15 was this? 16 MR. MARTEL: I think that, 17 beginning in April 2003, there was already a 18 change in attitude. 19 20 MR. BAXTER: Were there other 21 factors, in your opinion, that you had in mind? MR. MARTEL: Well, there were all 2.2 23 the factors that... Europe was also applying pressure. Syria needed support in Europe. There 24 was what was called the Accountability Act, as 25

1 well as the Americans, and an enormous number of factors bringing this pressure to bear on the 2 government, the State of Syria, and support had to 3 be found somewhere. 4 MR. BAXTER: Looking for friends 5 and they found... 6 MR. MARTEL: Friends. 7 MR. BAXTER: ... in Canada? 8 9 MR. MARTEL: Well, I mean, we obviously did not participate in the war in Iraq, 10 and they saw us in a climate, from a more positive 11 12 angle, for sure. That's clear. MR. BAXTER: Thank you. Those are 13 14 my questions. THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Décary? 15 EXAMINATION 16 MR. DÉCARY: We're just about done. 17 18 I have a few questions. There are five sets. The first relates to the circumstances, some of the 19 20 circumstances of your first meeting with Mr. Arar. 21 First of all, did Mr. Pardy, or 2.2 anyone from the centre or headquarters tell you at 23 any time about allegations of torture in the case of Mr. El Maati? 24 25 MR. MARTEL: No. I never knew the

1 El Maati case. I knew it in the sense that I was aware that it existed. I found a diplomatic note 2 3 that had been sent by my assistant and I found a reply saying that he had left the country and, as 4 far as we were concerned, the case was closed and 5 there was nothing further with regard to that 6 7 case. MR. DÉCARY: And just to be 8 9 certain, the question is: Did anyone, Mr. Pardy or anyone else, tell you of allegations of torture in 10 11 the case of Mr. El Maati? 12 MR. MARTEL: No. No. The allegations of torture emerged very late, and at 13 that time, unfortunately, we had not been 14 informed. 15

MR. DÉCARY: The second question. The framework...you referred to the framework of the first meeting, which was established, and that leads me to ask you questions on the conversations, the discussions you had with the Syrians.

Could you, first of all, tell the Commissioner what was said at the outset, from the initial contact with the first Syrian to the meeting with Mr. Arar?

| 1 | MR. MARTEL: Once I had been loaded |
|----|--|
| 2 | into the government vehicle, with my companion, of |
| 3 | course, I needed to know how the process would |
| 4 | unfold or what the framework would be, what I |
| 5 | could see, ask, etc., and they told me then, you |
| 6 | have to keep to family matters and questions of |
| 7 | well-being; you cannot ask questions about the |
| 8 | case itself. There you have the framework imposed |
| 9 | on me by the Syrians. |
| 10 | MR. DÉCARY: Subsequently, were |
| 11 | there before the meeting with Mr. Arar, was |
| 12 | anything more said on this subject, or was that |
| 13 | all? |
| 14 | MR. MARTEL: That was the only |
| 15 | thing that the Syrians said to me. |
| 16 | MR. DÉCARY: And was your |
| 17 | understanding? What conclusions did you draw from |
| 18 | these remarks? |
| 19 | MR. MARTEL: I concluded that I |
| 20 | could have access to my client, but that there |
| 21 | were some matters relating to the case that I |
| 22 | could not ask about or discuss, that I had to |
| 23 | confine myself to general matters, family matters, |
| 24 | matters of well-being and no more. |
| 25 | MR. DÉCARY: My colleague asked you |

1 about a number of subjects that you might have been able to discuss with Mr. Arar. The first was 2 the size, the dimensions, of the cell. 3 So, did you ask a question about 4 the size of the cell? 5 MR. MARTEL: No, I didn't ask... 6 MR. DÉCARY: I think we all 7 8 understand. But I'm going to ask you now, why? 9 MR. MARTEL: Because the authorities, one, would not have permitted it. In 10 fact, if I had asked the question, the question 11 12 would certainly have been thrown out. But I had received my instructions beforehand from Mr. 13 Pardy, to ask only the most transparent questions 14 and which included the context in which I had to 15 see my client. 16 So, if I went out on a limb, of my 17 18 own accord, and I decided to start asking questions that would cause displeasure, I would, 19 20 on the one hand, risk a reaction on the part of 21 the Syrians and a negative reaction that could, 2.2 potentially, have had repercussions for me and my 23 client. MR. DÉCARY: Now, did Mr. Pardy or 24 anyone else from headquarters tell you to ask 25

1 questions about the size, the dimensions, of the cell? 2 MR. MARTEL: No. No one ever told 3 me to ask such questions. 4 MR. DÉCARY: Now, the second 5 suggestion was related to detention conditions: 6 was he in solitary confinement or was he with the 7 8 group? 9 Did you ask questions about that? MR. MARTEL: No. My instructions 10 11 were to ask the most transparent questions and that is what I did, and the Syrians would not have 12 appreciated it if I had asked more specific 13 questions. 14 MR. DÉCARY: Did Mr. Pardy or 15 16 anyone from headquarters tell you to ask questions about detention conditions, whether he was being 17 held in solitary confinement or with the inmate 18 19 population? 2.0 MR. MARTEL: No, that subject never 21 arose in any correspondence. MR. DÉCARY: I was struck by one of 2.2 23 my colleague's questions, and it had to do with exercise. Was the prisoner able to get any 24 exercise? 25

1 Did you ask a question about that? MR. MARTEL: I could not ask that 2 3 question and I could not even ask where he was being held, and I could not have any details on 4 the size, location or any other relevant details 5 which, normally, under normal conditions for a 6 citizen, can be obtained. The Syrian authorities 7 8 would not have allowed me to ask these questions. 9 MR. DÉCARY: Did anyone at headquarters, Mr. Pardy or anyone else, at any 10 time, tell you to find out about... try to find 11 out whether Mr. Arar was able to exercise? 12 MR. MARTEL: No. I think that Mr. 13 Pardy sent instructions at the outset. Т 14 completed my reports. He read them. I did not 15 receive any comments. I never received a 16 telephone call from him during the entire period 17 and I never received any additional questions from 18 him. 19 MR. DÉCARY: Just for the record, 20 21 you understand that this is not limited to Mr. Pardy, but could be Myra Pastyr-Lupul or anyone 2.2 23 else from headquarters. Is that not correct? MR. MARTEL: Yes. It could be the 24 consular section, it could be the geographic 25

1 office, it could be all kinds of people. MR. DÉCARY: But there was no one 2 else, you said? 3 MR. MARTEL: No one. 4 MR. DÉCARY: Okay. The next point, 5 clean water, the cleanliness of the water, was 6 that discussed by you and Mr. Arar? 7 8 MR. MARTEL: No, it was never a 9 point of discussion. No. MR. DÉCARY: Just for my 10 information -- I didn't ask you -- is that a 11 12 concern in Syria, is there a problem with the cleanliness of the water? 13 MR. MARTEL: The cleanliness of the 14 water is a problem for foreigners. 15 MR. DÉCARY: I think I understand 16 what you're saying, but could you explain? 17 MR. MARTEL: Well, I mean that we, 18 as foreigners, feel that drinking tap water is not 19 20 very safe, and, as a result, Health Canada ordered 21 that employees have to be provided with filtered 2.2 water, bottled water. The water might be okay 23 today, and tomorrow it might not be good, but people there, in general, drink tap water and they 24 are used to it, so they tell us. In any case, they 25

1 don't have any great problem. MR. DÉCARY: Did Mr. Pardy or 2 3 anyone else from headquarters, at any time, ask you to find out about the quality and cleanliness 4 of the water? 5 MR. MARTEL: No, I wasn't asked to 6 find out. No, I wasn't asked. 7 8 MR. DÉCARY: I am going back again 9 to the first meeting, the atmosphere. That's because I have two 10 11 question, to move along quickly, Mr. Commissioner. 12 The first concerns the description 13 of the atmosphere at the first meeting, and then the change in the atmosphere, did it change. 14 15 For the first, then, can you tell the Commission what the atmosphere in the room was 16 like? 17 MR. MARTEL: I think it could be 18 accurately said that the atmosphere of the first 19 20 meeting was enormously frustrating for the 21 security services. I mean that, perhaps this

visit was imposed on them at the outset, they received an order from someone else, I don't know. That they did not seem, in any case... even if they tried not to show it, I felt that I was

StenoTran

bothering them and they were nervous. Everyone
 was nervous, myself included. And then they began
 to get used to it, to know me better, perhaps, and
 it was more relaxed.

5 MR. DÉCARY: And in the end, what 6 was the atmosphere like during the last two or 7 three meetings? I understand that time elapsed 8 between each meeting.

9 MR. MARTEL: Time elapsed. The 10 conversations were good. The visits went well 11 while they continued and up to the day when they 12 ended. In general, the atmosphere improved.

MR. DÉCARY: Now, to return 13 specifically to the case, between the first and 14 second meetings, you... in your memo concerning 15 the second meeting, you noted that Mr. Arar seemed 16 disoriented during the first meeting. 17 I′m 18 speaking of the atmosphere. Was there a change between the first and second meetings? 19 20 MR. MARTEL: Well, there was a change in that I did not say, at the first 21 2.2 meeting, that he was... that he seemed

disoriented, but I said, at the second meeting,
that he seemed less disoriented than at the first
meeting. I think that there was an element of

StenoTran

1 surprise the first time, but when I said to him: "I'll be back next week if the authorities 2 permit", I think he was expecting my visit and I 3 think that perhaps they prepared him for my visit. 4 He was told, perhaps, or he gave some sign that he 5 knew I was coming. So there was no element of 6 surprise the second time. 7 MR. DÉCARY: When you observed Mr. 8 9 Arar's behaviour, were there any changes between the first and second meetings? 10 11 MR. MARTEL: No, except that he was more relaxed and certainly more at ease. 12 MR. DÉCARY: I am changing subjects 13 now, Mr. Commissioner, Mr. Martel. 14 My colleague asked some questions 15 with regard to the quality level of your reports 16 on the meetings. 17 My question is: Was there a time 18 when anyone at all from headquarters, Mr. Pardy or 19 20 anyone else, either complained about the quality 21 of your reports or made comments of any sort, but 2.2 negative... of any sort, positive, obviously, but 23 mainly negative, regarding your reports? Did that happen on any single occasion? 24 MR. MARTEL: No, never. To my 25

1 knowledge, if I remember right, the consular section, for example, Mr. Pardy, never got back to 2 me to ask for further details on my reports. 3 MR. DÉCARY: Were there, following 4 the reports, did headquarters provide you with any 5 questions on details? Did they get back to you 6 and say, for example, with regard to the first 7 8 paragraph, with regard to the third paragraph, as 9 we did here, did a similar exercise take place with some paragraphs, where you were asked for 10 details, for particulars, what did you mean by 11 12 that? MR. MARTEL: No, they did not... no 13 one got back to me to ask questions about the 14 reports. 15 MR. DÉCARY: Now I will direct you 16 to the report of August 14, exhibit number... 17 18 It's the report of August 14, Mr. I'm at Exhibit 507. It will be Commissioner. 19 20 very brief. 21 --- Pause / Pause 2.2 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, I've got 23 it. Thank you. MR. DÉCARY: The report, first, 24 once you have it in front of you, the report of 25

1 the 14, drafted Léo Martel, approved Ambassador Pillarella. 2 In particular, I would draw your 3 attention to paragraph 3. In the last sentence, 4 we read, and I quote: 5 "He indicated that as far as 6 7 he knew he was not receiving 8 worse treatment than that 9 given to other prisoners." My question is: following this 10 report, did anyone ask you any questions about the 11 treatment that had been received or could have 12 been received, by other prisoners? 13 MR. MARTEL: No, there was no 14 reaction. 15 MR. DÉCARY: You were on site and, 16 there, the date is August 14, 2003, so that's 17 about nine months after September 2002, to the 18 best of your knowledge, in the department, Mr. 19 20 Pardy, the others and yourself, obviously, did 21 people know about conditions, the treatment of the 2.2 prisoners, what it was like, in general? 23 MR. MARTEL: Well, I believe that Mr. Pardy had a great deal of consular experience, 24 and certainly he knew countries around the world 25

1 and he had his own opinion.

Then there were the geographic 2 3 offices, which must also have known an enormous amount and the people who took care of Human 4 Rights and all that. 5 So, all the people who were copied 6 on this report should have, or certainly did, know 7 8 about the conditions in each country. 9 MR. DÉCARY: And specifically, Mr. Martel, in your opinion, did people know the size 10 11 of the cells? 12 MR. MARTEL: Not necessarily. In 13 my opinion, not necessarily. MR. DÉCARY: Who in the group 14 could have known? 15 MR. MARTEL: I don't know. The 16 cells were never visited by anyone, not by 17 individuals or the Red Cross. 18 19 It is very hard to assess, but I 20 don't know whether anyone could have known the 21 size of a cell. MR. DÉCARY: 2.2 So, when you write: 23 "He indicated that as far as he knew -- I'm reading -- he 24 25 was not receiving a worse

StenoTran

1 treatment than given to other 2 prisoners." 3 What I understand from you is that no one at headquarters asked you any questions 4 about the treatment received by other prisoners or 5 Mr. Arar. Is that correct? 6 MR. MARTEL: No, there was no 7 8 reaction. MR. DÉCARY: Changing the subject, 9 we see, it's Exhibit 61, it's a memo from Daniel 10 11 Livermore, from October 10, 2002, in which he 12 says, among other things, and I am reading because everyone will recall it, where he says: 13 "There are concerns that Arar 14 15 may be aggressively 16 questioned by Syrian security services." 17 18 If someone had indicated to you, had told you that there were concerns that Mr. 19 20 Arar, may be, to keep to the text, aggressively 21 questioned by Syrian security services, would you 2.2 have done anything different in this case, other 23 than what you have done so far? MR. MARTEL: Well, the reputation 24 of security services, as I have already said 25

1 yesterday and again today, has been established and they have had a reputation for years, we know 2 3 how they operate and we know how they treat detainees, and so forth, so the fact of having 4 this information would not have changed how I 5 6 acted. We go to these places and we 7 8 always have a certain aprehension, because we 9 never know what we're going to find, that's for 10 sure. 11 Everyone knows that. MR. DÉCARY: But the question is, 12 and I will repeat it: was there anything, if 13 someone had said to you, Mr. Pardy, Mr. Pillarella 14 or anyone else, had said to you: 15 "There are concerns that Arar may 16 be aggressively questioned by 17 Syrian security services." 18 On October 10, that is, prior to 19 20 the first meeting, would that in any way have 21 changed your behaviour during the meetings? 2.2 MR. MARTEL: It would not have 23 changed anything. I am obligated to see my detainee, to talk to him and try to determine, in 24 a situation that is very highly controlled, how 25

StenoTran

1 long he has been there and how he has been treated and try to obtain as much information as possible. 2 That is what I did. 3 MR. DÉCARY: The last question, 4 Mr. Commissioner. 5 We reported on the allegations of 6 Mr. Arar's brother, to the effect that Mr. Arar 7 8 was tortured, or, at least, we spoke of his 9 conditions, being held underground and so forth, in the dark. 10 11 My question is: did anyone from 12 headquarters ask you to conduct a follow-up of these allegations, to pay special attention, to 13 investigate further, to do anything whatever in 14 particular to determine whether, in fact, the 15 evidence confirmed the comments of Mr. Arar's 16 brother? 17 18 MR. MARTEL: I received a copy of the memo, but it does not contain any instructions 19 20 asking me specifically to do such-and-such a 21 thing. Sometimes, most of the time before 2.2 23 the visits, I would alert Ottawa that I expected to have a visit soon, and do you have anything to 24 tell me? And they sent me, perhaps, two or three 25

1 items and somethings they had nothing to tell me and I made my visit. 2 MR. DÉCARY: So, my question, just 3 to close: Yes or no, did anyone from headquarters, 4 at any time, following these allegations, ask you 5 to make a follow-up of the facts with regard to 6 these specific allegations? 7 8 MR. MARTEL: No, I was not asked 9 to find out more or to investigate. MR. DÉCARY: Those are my 10 questions, Mr. Commissioner. 11 12 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. DÉCARY. 13 Mr. Cavalluzzo? 14 EXAMINATION 15 MR. CAVALLUZZO: Yes, just 16 briefly, Mr. Martel. 17 18 You were asked questions -- for example, let us start with the first one -- as to 19 20 whether Mr. Pardy or anyone at headquarters ever 21 advised you about the El Maati allegations about 2.2 torture, and your answer was, "No, he did not" or "they did not". 23 The question that I have is: 24 Did Mr. Pillarella ever advise you of these 25

1 allegations? MR. MARTEL: No, no, Mr. 2 Pillarella did not advise me either, no. 3 MR. CAVALLUZZO: And the next 4 question was relating to whether Mr. Pardy, or 5 anybody at headquarters, asked you to ask about 6 conditions of detention, the conditions of the 7 water, the size of the cell, and so on. 8 9 Did Mr. Pillarella ever ask you to ask those guestions? 10 11 MR. MARTEL: No, no one asked me to ask those questions. 12 13 MR. CAVALLUZZO: In response to a question as to the latitude you had to ask 14 15 questions, you seem to indicate that you were under strict orders from Mr. Pardy as to what you 16 were to say; that is, these were to be transparent 17 18 questions. 19 But do you not agree with me that 20 Mr. Pardy was relying upon your 20 years of 21 experience and your discretion and judgment, which would be that if you had an opening, in your 2.2 23 judgment, you should ask the question? Isn't that correct? 24 MR. MARTEL: Yes, and those are 25

1 the guidelines that Mr. Pardy gave me at the outset, and before each visit, when I told Ottawa 2 that I might be having a visit that week, do you 3 have any specific questions for me to ask. 4 And the questions were mainly 5 centred on well-being and family matters, sending 6 7 photos and correspondence with the family. Ιt 8 always focussed on that. 9 MR. CAVALLUZZO: But do you not agree with me that Mr. Pardy also indicated to you 10 that if there was an opening and you could ask 11 questions beyond the mundane questions about 12 family, et cetera --13 MR. MARTEL: Yes, of course, 14 it's... 15 MR. CAVALLUZZO: -- that you 16 should use your judgment and ask those questions? 17 18 MR. MARTEL: Yes, he said: I realize that you have to ask the most transparent 19 20 questions, but if you have an opportunity, you can 21 ask more. MR. CAVALLUZZO: 2.2 And that's 23 exactly what Mr. Pardy said in tab 131, which is the tab that we took you to yesterday. 24 MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's it. 25

1 MR. CAVALLUZZO: There is one final document, Mr. Commissioner. 2 3 In Mr. Martel's testimony this morning, you may recall that he referred -- or 4 maybe it was yesterday -- he referred to a 5 document, a consular framework document. I had 6 asked some questions about the document that was 7 8 prepared by the Inspector General, and Mr. Martel 9 responded by saying he did respond to another study, some consular framework study. 10 11 Today my friends, counsel for the 12 Government, have given me a copy of that document, and I'm going to file that as an exhibit. 13 I only have one copy and I've only 14 read it recently, but to give the witness an 15 opportunity to respond to certain comments he made 16 in respect of those documents, I think it is only 17 fair that we do that now. 18 19 THE COMMISSIONER: Then that will 20 be marked as the next exhibit, which is 251. 21 EXHIBIT NO. P-251: Consular framework document 2.2 23 MR. CAVALLUZZO: Let me give you the history of this. 24 25 For counsel's benefit, in July of

1 2004, DFAIT decided to do a study, a framework analysis in terms of consular duties, somewhat 2 similar in respect of the one we looked at earlier 3 from the Inspector General, in the sense that a 4 lot of these consular functions have become far 5 more complicated in effect because of 9/11. 6 So what happened in the late 7 summer of 2004 is that a document entitled 8 9 "Framework of Operations for Consular Services Programs" was sent out to all of the embassies 10 around the world and each of the consular 11 12 officials were asked for their comments in respect of the document itself. 13 This document went to Damascus. 14

15 Mr. Martel did respond in September of 2004. He 16 made comments in respect of certain issues that 17 are relevant to the issues before us today. I 18 would like to make reference to the comments that 19 he did make.

I assume that these comments that you referred to earlier were made by you. Is that correct?

23 MR. MARTEL: The comments that are 24 lightly blacked out are mine and were sent to the 25 consular section.

StenoTran

1 MR. CAVALLUZZO: The paper itself is set out in terms of different aspects of the 2 consular function. There is one topic entitled 3 "Arrest and Detention". This is number seven in 4 this document. 5 The document reads that: 6 "The Vienna Convention 7 guarantees a foreign detainee's 8 9 right to have a representative of his or her government 10 11 notified of the detention and to communicate with that 12 representative consular access. 13 14 As well, detaining authorities have a duty to inform detainees 15 16 of the existence of this right. Canada expects these provisions 17 to be upheld for all Canadian 18 detainees, including dual 19 nationals." 2.0 21 From the Syrian experience that Mr. Martel had, he added this comment. 2.2 23 "Based on our experience, those 24 expectations are unrealistic 25 when dealing with dual nationals

1 who have returned to Syria, their country of origin, freely 2 3 or against their will. The Syrian authorities do not 4 recognize the other citizenship. 5 This leaves us with a major 6 7 struggle to gain consular 8 access." 9 Did you make those comments, Mr. Martel? 10 11 MR. MARTEL: Yes, those are my 12 comments. MR. CAVALLUZZO: Mr. Martel also 13 made comments in respect to Topic 19, which is 14 Security Related Arrest and Detention. 15 It is quite a lengthy comment. 16 There are three paragraphs of it. 17 18 I would just ask counsel to read 19 it and the Commissioner to read it, unless you 20 want to comment on the comments that you made. 21 The only other reference that I would refer to is when Mr. Martel dealt with Topic 2.2 20 entitled "Incidents of Abuse and/or Torture". 23 What the document says prior to 24 his comment are in the first paragraph, it states: 25

StenoTran

| 1 | "Part of the role of consular |
|----|----------------------------------|
| 2 | officials is to seek to ensure |
| 3 | Canadian detainees are not |
| 4 | subjected to abuse or torture. |
| 5 | They are guided by the |
| 6 | definition of torture in the UN |
| 7 | Convention Against Torture." |
| 8 | And the definition is set out. |
| 9 | It goes on: |
| 10 | "The international community has |
| 11 | also prohibited torture or |
| 12 | abuse of detainees through |
| 13 | several other international |
| 14 | instruments." |
| 15 | And they are set out as well. |
| 16 | And then it goes on: |
| 17 | "Many forms of physical abuse, |
| 18 | including systematic torture, |
| 19 | are calculated to leave no |
| 20 | evidence. Torture by electric |
| 21 | shock and various forms of |
| 22 | water treatment are two of the |
| 23 | more common forms of torture, |
| 24 | which normally do not leave |
| 25 | marks. When such methods are |
| | |

1 alleged to have been used, the personal interview of the 2 prisoner is imperative. In all 3 cases of allegations of abuse, 4 it is essential that the 5 officer exercise judgment on 6 the basis of all of the 7 evidence at hand, including 8 9 assessment of the prisoner's 10 credibility in determining the 11 probable veracity of the 12 allegation. Care should be 13 taken to avoid any implication that Canadian officials have 14 prematurely concluded that the 15 16 local authorities had maltreated the prisoner." 17 And finally these are the comments 18 of Mr. Martel in respect of that. 19 "While consular officials do 20 21 exercise judgment on the basis of all evidence at hand, it is 2.2 practically impossible to 23 detect whether or not a 24 detainee has been subjected to 25

StenoTran

| - | |
|----|---------------------------------|
| 1 | torture. We have not dealt |
| 2 | with cases of imprisoned |
| 3 | Canadians who held only one |
| 4 | citizenship, that is, born in |
| 5 | Canada from Canadian parents. |
| 6 | Detainees have been dual |
| 7 | national citizens, |
| 8 | Syrian/Canadians or |
| 9 | Syrian/Australians. Consular |
| 10 | access has been denied in most |
| 11 | cases and the expectation of |
| 12 | being granted a personal |
| 13 | interview with the prisoner, |
| 14 | while it is imperative, is |
| 15 | unrealistic here." |
| 16 | And then finally he says: |
| 17 | "We have not dealt with arrest |
| 18 | and detention cases pertaining |
| 19 | to Canadian or Australian |
| 20 | citizens only holding one |
| 21 | citizenship. In those cases we |
| 22 | expect the Vienna Convention to |
| 23 | be respected and, at worst, |
| 24 | unless we are dealing with |
| 25 | security related issues, the |
| | |

1 clients would be deported." 2 Mr. Martel, do you recall making 3 those statements, in particular the statement that "while consular officers do exercise judgment on 4 the basis of all the evidence at hand, it is 5 practically impossible to detect whether or not a 6 detainee has been subjected to torture"? 7 8 MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's in my 9 text. MR. CAVALLUZZO: In terms of the 10 final portion of the exhibit, all of this 11 12 information, and so on, was tabulated and then another document was prepared. It is entitled --13 and you will have this -- "Consular Services 14 Framework, Part 2, Consular Issues and Case 15 Category Guidelines". It is from the Consular 16 Affairs Bureau and it is dated January 2005. 17 I understand that it is an 18 evolving document. It is a dynamic document in 19 20 the sense that it is being changed as they get 21 more comments, and so on. But it seems to be the 2.2 last expression from the Consular Affairs 23 Department in respect of the issues that I have referred to. 24 25 THE COMMISSIONER: Shall we mark

1 it as the next exhibit? MR. CAVALLUZZO: Yes, I think so. 2 3 THE COMMISSIONER: That will 252, the January 2005 report. 4 EXHIBIT NO. P-252: Report 5 entitled "Consular Services 6 Framework, Part 2, Consular 7 8 Issues and Case Category 9 Guidelines", dated January 2005 10 11 MR. CAVALLUZZO: There is only one 12 other exhibit. This should have been filed before 13 because we asked witnesses about this. This is a 14 draft memorandum, dated October 30, 2002, about 15 Abdullah Almalki from Mr. Solomon. And what we 16 have here is a less redacted version of the 17 memorandum which we have in the document. 18 So we should file this less 19 redacted version as well. 20 21 THE COMMISSIONER: That will be 2.2 253. EXHIBIT NO. P-253: Draft 23 memorandum from Mr. Solomon re Abdullah Almalki, 24 dated October 30, 2002 25

1 MR. CAVALLUZZO: The final administrative matter is that Ms McIsaac was 2 mistaken. She did not have the claim of Arar v. 3 Syria. So we cannot file it today. 4 I have one at the office. And on 5 agreements of everybody, we will mark that through 6 the Registrar and have that filed as an exhibit 7 8 tomorrow. 9 THE COMMISSIONER: That's fine. Is that that? 10 11 MR. CAVALLUZZO: That's that. 12 THE COMMISSIONER: Let me thank you, Mr. Martel. That completes your evidence. 13 It has been two very long days. I know you have 14 put a lot of time and effort into preparing and 15 coming here and giving evidence. 16 I think there has been some 17 persistent questioning, and I think your demeanour 18 throughout has been appropriate and I appreciate 19 20 the way you dealt with the questions. 21 MR. MARTEL: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. 2.2 23 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you for your assistance. 24 25 We are going to hear a motion now.

1 So if you want to step down, you are free to go. 2 MR. MARTEL: Thank you. 3 THE COMMISSIONER: We are going to here a motion now so if you want to step down, you 4 are free to qo. 5 --- Witness steps down 6 7 THE COMMISSIONER: Ms Jackman, it 8 is time for your motion. 9 MS JACKMAN: This is not my idea of a good time to start a motion. 10 --- Laughter / Rires 11 12 MS JACKMAN: I will be as brief as 13 I can. THE COMMISSIONER: You can be sure 14 you will have my full attention. Don't worry 15 about that. 16 MOTION 17 18 MS JACKMAN: You have received, I expect, the chronologies of Mr. Almalki and 19 Mr. El-Maati and Mr. Nureddin. And also with 2.0 21 respect to Mr. Almalki and Mr. El-Maati, there are brief bios of Ahmad El-Maati, his brother and Mr. 2.2 23 Almalki. All three men are seeking to have them introduced into the record before you. I have to 24 say there has been some discussion before, among 25

1 different people today, about how that gets done. I don't care if it is an exhibit 2 to the Commission or if it is an exhibit to 3 Mr. Toope's report. I don't care what it is, as 4 long as it is public and it is on the record here, 5 whether it is through Mr. Toope or through the 6 Commission directly. 7 8 THE COMMISSIONER: Let me just 9 pursue that for a second. Mr. Toope has conducted interviews. 10 11 MS JACKMAN: Yes. 12 THE COMMISSIONER: So that 13 presumably -- I'm sure he doesn't have the chronologies you are referring to, but --14 He has them now. 15 MS JACKMAN: 16 THE COMMISSIONER: Does he? MS JACKMAN: 17 Yes. I don't 18 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. have my ruling with respect to Mr. Toope in front 19 20 of me. Correct me if I am wrong in what I say, I 21 mean it is certainly open to Mr. Toope to consider 2.2 the variety of information, including information 23 relevant to these three individuals for the purposes that I indicated in my ruling. 24 MS JACKMAN: I think it was for 25

1 the purpose of corroborating the torture with 2 respect to Mr. Arar. 3 THE COMMISSIONER: That's right. I specified as to what the purpose of the fact 4 finder's report would be. 5 6 MS JACKMAN: Right. 7 THE COMMISSIONER: Let me just 8 take the request, if I can, for a moment, that it 9 be on the basis that this be material that Mr. Toope would be entitled to consider as part of 10 11 the fact finding exercise, and put that idea forward and see if anybody has any -- let me go 12 this way -- any objections to it being used as 13 part of the --14 15 MS JACKMAN: Can I clarify one As long as it is clear it is public, 16 thing? Mr. Toope already has a fair bit of information 17 18 from us. And my understanding is that everything he has received is secret, so --19 I think, I 20 THE COMMISSIONER: 21 could hear submissions on it, but I envision 2.2 Mr. Toope's report will be made public. 23 MS JACKMAN: Right. THE COMMISSIONER: But there may 24 well be material that Mr. Toope receives -- we had 25

a discussion with Ms McIssac earlier -- that might 1 not be, so that -- you are asking, then ...? 2 3 MS JACKMAN: I want it part of the public record, the chronologies. And like I said, 4 whether it comes in through Mr. Toope or as an 5 addendum or an attachment to his report, I don't 6 care, or if it's an exhibit here, but I want this 7 8 matter on the public record. 9 THE COMMISSIONER: I quess the question, if you said "an exhibit here", is for 10 what purpose? 11 MS JACKMAN: 12 Well --THE COMMISSIONER: I don't know if 13 you are suggesting that it can be made available 14 to Mr. Toope for his consideration. That is one 15 If you were suggesting that all of it 16 purpose. facts set out in the chronology for purposes of 17 18 this inquiry, the exhibit be entered for proof of the truth of the facts set out in the 19 20 chronology -- I see you shaking your head -- then 21 that would be obviously another matter and there would be difficulties with that. 2.2 23 But your request, then, is that it be given to Mr. Toope and, in some form, either as 24 an addendum to his report or in some form, that it 25

form part of the record -- public record of the
 inquiry.

3 MS JACKMAN: Yes. I know that I 4 wish to refer to it -- maybe I should just clarify 5 in terms of why we want it in. First of all, we 6 are not seeking to expands the scope of the 7 inquiry by any means.

8 THE COMMISSIONER: And you're 9 not seeking -- sorry to interrupt -- and you're 10 not seeking -- I'm being legalistic here -- it to 11 be entered as proof of the truth of what is said 12 in it.

13

MS JACKMAN: No.

I think, though, I can put it 14 under, we can put all three packages of documents 15 under affidavit, either hearsay affidavit saying I 16 directly heard this from Mr. Almalki, or 17 Mr. Almalki and Mr. El-Maati directly. I have an 18 affidavit for Mr. El-Maati, I don't know, with 19 20 respect to Mr. Almalki, if he would swear an 21 affidavit now, in the light of the fact that he 2.2 hasn't, I haven't discussed it with him. I think 23 Mr. Nureddin would. But certainly others who have been involved in preparing it can swear an 24 affidavit. 25

StenoTran

1 I think what it becomes, really, is a question of weight. You can receive any 2 3 credible or trustworthy evidence. You have in front of you reams of documents from the 4 government. Some of them, the persons who 5 authored the reports have been examined on. 6 7 Others, the persons haven't been examined 8 directly, not all of it authors of the reports 9 have come before you. I guess I look at it this way: 10 11 That exhibit 245 which was put in today, 12 respecting Mr. Nureddin, outlines in summary what happened to Mr. Nureddin according to what 13 Mr. Martel recollects. It is very similar in 14 content to what Mr. Nureddin has himself set out 15 in his own chronology. Why would the go in as a 16 government document and then deny Mr. Nureddin the 17 18 right to put in essentially the same basic 19 structure through his own affidavit or through the 20 affidavit of someone who helped him prepare it. I 21 don't understand the difference. If it's relevant for this 2.2 23 purpose -- in terms of the government documents --

it's relevant, the same kind of information whenit's coming from Mr. Nureddin. That's one thing.

StenoTran

1 I'm not asking, and I don't think anyone else is asking that you make conclusive 2 3 findings or even any findings with respect to some of the issues that these men are concerned about, 4 in terms of the practice or the pattern. But at 5 least if you can see on the public record that the 6 issue is not black and white, that you don't just 7 8 have the government's side of the case, that you 9 can come to the conclusion at the end of the day there is something there that calls for further 10 investigation by somebody else, I don't care. 11 12 I just think you have to be aware 13 of it, that you may not have the full picture when you only have the government's side of the story 14 in the secret hearing. So that's a primary 15 16 concern. There are three reasons why I want 17

18 it in. One is so you understand the context in terms of pattern and the complicity and, like I 19 20 said, not to make any conclusions on these men or 21 any Canadian officials that passed information on 2.2 to the Syrians, I am not asking you to do that. 23 Or the fact that it kept happening one after the other as a result of Canadian information, even 24 after Mr. Arar is released. 25

1 I am not asking you make any findings on that, but I think you should have the 2 entire context to be able to say, "I don't have 3 enough information in front of me to deal with the 4 issue of context." 5 6 The second reason we want it in, 7 clearly, is the reputational interest. You have 8 government's side of the case in secret, a little 9 bit in public, that Mr. All El-Maati and Mr. Almalki were targets of the A-OCANADA and 10 OCANADA investigations. You have, in secret, 11 12 their side of the story and you have the public left quessing as to how terrible these men are 13 with no opportunity to answer. They have to be 14 able, in my submission, to have on this record, at 15 least their answer, whether or not you give it any 16 I don't think you even have to deal with 17 weight. 18 it, but I do think they should be given that opportunity to have some of their explanations on 19 20 it is record, given that the government has just, 21 holus-bolus, put in their entire case against 2.2 them, apparently, to the extent that we know. 23 And the third reason, I think, is also to be able to contextualize not just the 24 pattern in practices, but the strength of the case 25

1 gains Mr. Arar. These men, in their chronologies have said, "Yes, CSIS came to talk to me." or 2 "They didn't come to talk to me." You need to 3 know when they tell you in secret how strong their 4 case against Mr. Arar was because of his link with 5 Mr. El-Maati, for example, what kind of case they 6 7 had gains Mr. El-Maati and what Mr. El-Maati says 8 in terms of how much they contacted him to talk 9 about things. How much is inference?

Same thing with Mr. Almalki. 10 Ι 11 don't think at the end of the day you have to make 12 any findings on this, but I do think you should have it before you. And I think that when you 13 consider the importance of it for these four men: 14 Mr. Arar, Mr. Almalki, Mr. El-Maati and 15 Mr. Nureddin, all four men are victims, victims of 16 very severe torture, I would say, very severe 17 mistreatment. 18

We're not playing a game of technicalities in terms of whether the government wants it in or doesn't want it in. They shouldn't have their way all the time. These are real victims who, I would submit to you, would be done a disservice if, in fact, they are not allowed to put there side of the story put forward for

1 whatever weight it may be given. It is a disservice to these four victims, as Canadian 2 citizens, as Muslims, as human beings. 3 It's a disservice to Muslims in 4 Canada and elsewhere and it's a disservice to 5 Canadians if it's not on the record. It just 6 7 looks patently unfair to have the government put 8 in everything and not allow them to put in anything. 9 Thank you. 10 11 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. The 12 intervenors, I take it, support the request? THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Neve. 13 MR. NEVE: Alex Neve, Amnesty 14 International. 15 You have heard from us previously 16 with respect to our concerns that it is very 17 18 critical that, as the inquiry proceeds, this issue of pattern, as it has come to be known, be as 19 20 fully examined as possible. The critical question of whether or not what happened to Maher Arar can 21 2.2 be interpreted as having been an isolated 23 aberration, an unfortunate mistake, or whether it was part of something wider, some sort of pattern 24 or policy that went how high or how wide is 25

anyone's guess.

That is why it is critical that 2 3 these documents become part of the public record. We would totally agree with Ms Jackman that we're 4 not suggesting that by having them become part of 5 the record they automatically be deemed as 6 7 conclusive proof of what is stated therein, but 8 that it's very critical there be available to you, 9 to all of us who are involved in the inquiry and to the Canadian public, some sort of statement 10 which provides their version of what has happened 11 12 to them, both on its own merits but also the connections that may or may not be inferred from 13 their case to Mr. Arar's. 14 If we don't have the opportunity 15

to have as much information available in the 16 course of this inquiry with respect to that issue 17 18 of pattern, we are concerned that your ability to fulfil your mandate will be comprised. 19 It is 20 critical that that question be answered as fully 21 as possible. It is not extraneous to your task of 2.2 deciding what happened to Maher Arar. It is 23 absolutely central to it.

We are also concerned that the ability of all of us to participate adequately and

StenoTran

1 effectively in the final steps of the policy review portion of this inquiry will also be 2 comprised because, again, we cannot be making the 3 fulsome concrete submissions that we want to, and 4 we would suggest you will have greater difficulty 5 in making the kinds of recommendations you need to 6 with respect to policy oversight if we don't fully 7 8 understand the nature and extent of the problem. 9 THE COMMISSIONER: Let me just -sorry to interrupt you -- but on that, that was 10 one thought that had already gone through my mind 11 12 when I heard about this issue and, as you know, the type of process for the policy review is 13 very different than the evidentiary, "legalistic", 14 if I can call it, process for the factual inquiry 15 for reasons I have spoken of. 16 And this isn't suggesting I won't 17 18 go along with what Ms Jackman is arguing, but people in the policy review, when they come to 19 20 make submissions, should feel free to make much

21 more liberal use, if you will, of non-strictly 22 evidentiary material.

23 So the types of issues that would 24 be raised -- I haven't actually read these 25 chronologies, but I think I have a scenes sense of

StenoTran

1 what is in them -- the types of issues that would be raised and how, if those types of issues and 2 complaints are there, what sort of concerns that 3 would trigger for a policy review mechanism. 4 I can say to you, here and now, 5 you should feel free, and I hope you are going to 6 make submissions, to refer to that type of 7 8 material. I mean, as an example of the type of 9 problems that may need to be addressed by a review mechanism. 10 11 MR. NEVE: We appreciate that 12 reassurance and we will take you up on that 13 invitation. At the same time, we do very much underscore the importance of this information 14 being available and available on the public 15 It is obviously already out there in the 16 record. broader public domain, but clearly on the public 17 record of this inquiry in the course of the 18 factual inquiry. 19 20 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very 21 much. Mr. Atkey? 2.2 Oh, I'm sorry, Mr. Saloojee, go 23 ahead. MR. SALOOJEE: Mr. Commissioner, 24 Riad Saloojee speaking on behalf of CAIR-CAN and 25

1 CAF. Just a couple of points regarding 2 what my colleagues have said. Both CAIR-CAN and 3 CAF are here both for the fact this is a public 4 inquiry into Maher Arar's case, an individual 5 Canadian, Arab and Muslim, but also in the 6 7 collective concern that his case also encapsulates 8 many of the concerns of the Arab and Muslim 9 community. You have already heard, I think, a 10 11 great deal of contextual evidence regarding some 12 of our collective concerns post 9/11 about 13 Islamophobia and about racial profiling. So while we fully appreciate that 14 this is an inquiry into Maher Arar's case 15 specifically, we also strongly feel that 16 Mr. Arar's case is part of a broader story that is 17 18 very inextricably bound together and that can't 19 truly be understood apart from one another. 20 We do appreciate some of your 21 concerns regarding adding the material to the 2.2 public record and we certainly would not advocate that it be used for it's truth. 23 I would submit that the inclusion of the chronologies would 24 introduce important evidence or important 25

StenoTran

1 information that I believe is uncontested at this The very six or seven pieces of evidence 2 point. are that all three of threes individuals are 3 Arabic, Muslim men, they all had a relationship, 4 casual or otherwise, all under the same 5 investigation, they are all alleged complicity, 6 they all alleged torture, they were all held in 7 8 Syria and all allege similarities in terms of 9 there confinement, and this information, I think, would be very helpful in your analysis of what 10 11 exactly happened to Maher Arar.

12 With respect to the document that Ms Jackman alluded to earlier, Mr. Martel's 13 description of Mr. Nureddin's confinement, I would 14 echo what Ms Jackman said, that there really, at 15 least in my opinion, is no practical difference 16 between the chronologies by Mr. Almalki and 17 Mr. El-Maati and the documents that has been 18 accepted as part of the record. I would further 19 submit that the document that has been submitted 20 21 and has been accepted already is Mr. Martel's 2.2 account, so it is actually a hearsay account of 23 Mr. Nureddin as well as the fact Mr. Martel is a Canadian official whose actions are being 24 scrutinized. 25

11640

| 1 | The chronologies of the other |
|----|---|
| 2 | individuals are their first-hand information, and |
| 3 | so I think there is a great deal of similarity |
| 4 | between the two, and certainly accepting the one |
| 5 | document, I think, should prove as a precedent in |
| 6 | accepting the other two chronologies, with the |
| 7 | proviso, of course, that they would not be |
| 8 | accepted for their truth. |
| 9 | THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, |
| 10 | Mr. Saloojee. |
| 11 | Mr. Atkey? |
| 12 | MR. ATKEY: Mr. Chairman, I find |
| 13 | myself differing slightly as a matter of process |
| 14 | rather than objective or substance. I think the |
| 15 | information may be useful to the Commission. I am |
| 16 | concerned about the context and the process under |
| 17 | which it is put before this factual inquiry in a |
| 18 | way that may be misinterpreted by the public as |
| 19 | proof of the information that is stated therein. |
| 20 | I was, frankly, impressed with the |
| 21 | unique way that you dealt with submissions in May |
| 22 | and came up with the proposal of the fact finder, |
| 23 | Stephen Toope, who has been given a mandate, a |
| 24 | very specific mandate to speak with these |
| 25 | gentlemen. I have discussed with my friend |

Ms Jackman the notion that these are documents that I'm sure Professor Toope would find very useful.

1

2

3

25

If indeed he is satisfied, after having investigated other sources and talked to the individuals concerned, that the information is reliable, it could be attached to his report or it could be summarized as part of his report and could come to this commission in that form in the context of the factual inquiry.

11And I don't touch upon the policy12review which, as you noted, is a broader issue.

But I think, in terms of 13 interpretation of the document and putting the 14 document in the context that the Commission would 15 find useful, I think if they were filtered through 16 the process of Professor Toope, that might be a 17 preferable way of proceeding, and I don't think 18 there is strong objection to Ms Jackman in 19 20 proceeding in that fashion if there is some 21 assurance that, through Professor Toope, the information will come before this commission. 2.2 23 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. Atkey. 24

```
Does anybody else...?
```

StenoTran

1 Ms Edwardh, do you have anything to say on this issue? 2 MS EDWARDH: I think that the 3 answer of providing the document to both the 4 policy review for its purposes and Professor Toope 5 for his purposes is one that can readily be 6 adopted. But I don't know that that answers the 7 8 true dilemma that you face, Mr. Commissioner. 9 People are inviting you to file it is part of the public record in order to 10 11 contextualize. In order to let individuals whose 12 reputations have been damaged provide an answer, 13 and when one looks at it from that perspective, it raises a challenge that I don't think is met by 14 just shuffling it off to Professor Toope, who 15 isn't dealing with reputation, really, or to the 16 policy review. 17 18 Everyone here knows that you are entitled in a commission of inquiry to receive 19 20 information through forms that maybe quite 21 unconventional; you are the master of your own 2.2 ship. And as long as counsel filing them are 23 saying this is the purpose, to contextualize, to

25 to say to you this is kind of probable cause to

24

StenoTran

have the answer on the record, and to give a basis

1 invite someone else to look at this matter, but you don't have to make final findings. If that is 2 3 the basis, then I would urge you to accept it knowing that whatever facts you draw from it are 4 going to be only in that kind of context, that it 5 won't be a basis for making findings that would 6 7 engage Section 13 of the Inquiries Act, but that 8 it would allow someone to say, "We believe there 9 is an adequate basis in the information before you to make a further recommendation.", that kind of 10 11 thing.

12 So I'm just uncomfortable because 13 I can see us all arguing at the end of the day, "Well, what does it mean that it's in the policy 14 review?" or "What does it mean that it's in 15 Professor Toope?". I think as long as we all 16 understand that counsel is seeking to put limited 17 18 weight on it and for specific purposes, then it can go before you. I certainly have no difficulty 19 20 if it goes before you as is.

I suppose I might say to Ms Jackman, "Maybe we should adjourn and get it all sworn and just file it.", but I see the government's issue; I think that's not fair to you to expand your terms of reference. But Ms Jackman

StenoTran

1 is not asking you to expand it, it's about context, it's about an answer, and it's about 2 being able to submit at a later date that there 3 may be someone else that should conduct some kind 4 of investigation or inquiry. 5 So, I am content it be received in 6 7 all three forums, but acknowledging that there is 8 a limited component to it coming before you. 9 THE COMMISSIONER: So it's context, answering reputational concerns and the 10 11 basis for recommendation is what I hear everybody 12 saying. It's a question of how we do it. MS EDWARDH: Yes. 13 THE COMMISSIONER: Monsieur 14 Décary, do you wish to add anything to this issue? 15 MR. DÉCARY: I don't wish to add 16 anything. 17 THE COMMISSIONER: 18 Thank you. 19 Ms McIssac? 20 MS McISSAC: I have a couple of 21 points, sir. First of all, I feel compelled to 2.2 23 respond to a couple of issues. The first one is the document relating to Mr. Nureddin. 24 That document was introduced for one purpose and one 25

1 purpose only, and that purpose was to assist the witness in his explanation as to the reports that 2 the other Canadian official made with respect to 3 his comments regarding Mr. Arar, and it was 4 necessary for that document to be introduced 5 so that the witness could explain what was 6 7 happening. It was not introduced for any other 8 reason, and it is only relevant, in my submission, 9 to this inquiry for that limited purpose. The second point, and I feel 10 11 compelled to make some comments on, is the issue 12 of reputational interest of Mr. Almalki and Mr. El-Maati. You will recall, sir, that one of 13 the reasons the government was concerned about 14 releasing documents that had been inadvertently 15 earlier released was the fact we did not want to 16 go any further than necessary on the public record 17 naming Mr. Almalki and Mr. El-Maati. 18 19 Maybe it was inevitable. Maybe 20 once the day this inquiry was called it was 21 inevitable that that would happen, but I do take 2.2 issue with any suggestion that the government has 23 deliberately tried to sully the names of either of those individuals. It was something that was 24 inevitable and, quite frankly, something that we 25

StenoTran

1 tried to avoid to the extend it was possible to do
2 so.

3 Having made those preliminary comments, I would like to comment on the three 4 reasons that I heard Ms Jackman suggest the 5 document should be provided in this context as an 6 7 exhibit to part one of the inquiry. The first one 8 relates to the fact that this provides a context 9 for a finding or -- not a finding, I suppose, she said, but that there is some kind of pattern of 10 conduct here, but you are not to make any findings 11 12 of fact with respect to the documents.

I have trouble with that because I don't know what it is, if not a finding of fact, that there is some kind of pattern here. It is a finding of fact and it presupposes that one has to take at some degree of face value what is in those documents. I am not suggesting it is inaccurate, I am putting that point on the record.

The second one is the reputational interests of the two individuals, and I have already addressed that.

Thirdly, it was to be able to assess the case about Mr. Arar, and again, I think we are on the wrong track when we talk about

11647

1 assessing any kind of case against Mr. Arar. First of all, you know, to the extent there may be 2 3 comments in the documents that suggest that the individuals in question were not engaged in any 4 kind of activity that should have brought them to 5 the attention of the RCMP, again, that involves 6 certain findings of fact. More importantly, in my 7 8 submission, the point here is that this inquiry is 9 not about whether Mr. Arar or, indeed, any other individual was engaged in criminal activity or 10 not, so to the extend that the documents attempt 11 to speak to that issue, in my submission, again, 12 they are not relevant to what you are doing here. 13 My submission would be that 14 Mr. Atkey's position that these documents are 15 properly introduced through Mr. Toope, who has 16 been given a very specific mandate by you, to 17 inquire into the conditions of Mr. Arar's 18 detention in Syria and Jordan, is the appropriate 19 20 way to proceed with these. 21 The only concern I had is that I

22 would think it inappropriate for any of us to 23 prejudge what Mr. Toope might find appropriate to 24 do with is report at the end of the day. He may 25 wish to put these as an exhibit or an appendix.

StenoTran

1 He may wish to summarize them, or he may wish not to refer to them at all, and I think it would be 2 inappropriate for any of us to presuppose what he 3 should do. 4 So in my submission, these 5 documents, if they are to come before the 6 7 Commission in respect of Part 1 of the Inquiry, 8 the appropriate way is for them to be provided as 9 I gather they have to Mr. Toope, for him to use them in whatever manner he feels appropriate in 10 11 fulfilling is mandate. 12 Thank you. 13 THE COMMISSIONER: Ms Jackman, anything by way of reply? 14 15 MS JACKMAN: I quess I find Ms McIssac's submissions to you, with respect to 16 suggesting it come through Mr. Toope, somewhat 17 18 disingenuous in the sense that, ultimately, the government doesn't want them on the public record, 19 20 and if it's put over to Mr. Toope, they may or may 21 not be part of the public record. Mr. Toope may 2.2 append them as exhibits, he may summarize them, he 23 may leave out things that are very important. It's just deferring the decision over to 24 Mr. Toope, or delegating it to him. 25

1 I think that you should deal with it directly. He has it before him, he may very 2 3 well put it forward anyway. But the issue is before you and I think you need to address it. 4 The question of receiving credible 5 or trustworthy evidence, when I say you can 6 7 receive it, and it is probably better if we put it 8 under affidavit, if nobody cross-examines on it, 9 that's a question of weight. THE COMMISSIONER: T would be --10 if I can suggest, I don't see offering it in that 11 12 form. No, I would be disinclined because if the concern is there be cross-examination or there not 13 be cross-examination, you put me in a very 14 difficult position if you file an affidavit and 15 turn around and ask for no cross-examination. 16 But --17 18 MS JACKMAN: You don't practice in the federal area of law where the government puts 19 20 in affidavits all the time and we never get to 21 cross-examine. 2.2 THE COMMISSIONER: No, no --23 MS JACKMAN: I'm used to it. THE COMMISSIONER: In this 24 inquiry, I would feel -- I won't comment on 25

1 federal practice -- I would feel there might be 2 some merit to a request to cross-examine. In any 3 event, I think, even for the purposes that you are proposing, taking it at its broadest, which is 4 your request -- I'm not saying I'm against it -- I 5 don't think if I were to adopt it, given the 6 7 limits you're putting on the use to be made of it, 8 I don't think putting it in an affidavit, 9 un-cross-examined is going to advance the case, so I don't think we need to confront that. 10 11 MS JACKMAN: I want to answer a 12 couple of points that Ms McIssac made. 13 Ms McIssac properly points out that Mr. Nureddin's memo, the memo concerning Mr. 14 Nureddin was put in for the purpose of assisting 15 Mr. Martel in perhaps clarifying what may have 16 been a confusion or not. I accept that but, I 17 18 guess my question then is, if the government dictates the parameters of the evidence that comes 19 20 before you, if there is no memo written that 21 Mr. Martel confused about, about Mr. El-Maati or 2.2 Mr. Almalki, then nothing comes before you. Ιt 23 shouldn't be dictated for that purpose.

THE COMMISSIONER: But that
 evidence, having gone in for that purpose, you can

StenoTran

1 rest assured I wouldn't use it as proof of the 2 truth.

MS JACKMAN: No, and I'm not asking you to. I'm saying that it shouldn't be -the parameters of what comes before you should not be dictated by what memos are and aren't written because then if they don't write a memo, it never becomes evidence even if someone else thinks it is relevant.

10 THE COMMISSIONER: I understand 11 that.

MS JACKMAN: I guess the bottom line is, in terms of the last point about Ms McIssac's submissions to you, is Mr. Toope is interviewing these men too corroborate, as I understand it, the evidence of Mr. Arar around whether or not he was tortured.

Mr. Arar has explained to you -or he hasn't explained to you but you have his chronology which, by the way, was put in by the government as it was part of Mr. Martel's evidence or file, I think.

I really must interject. The
 choice of what evidence is put in, by and large,

23

StenoTran

MS McISSAC: Yes.

1 is the Commission's choice, not the government's. MS JACKMAN: I didn't mean that 2 3 the government was putting it in, it's just that it's in existence because the government chose 4 what to write, and it shouldn't be dictated by 5 that. 6 The only point is -- and again I 7 8 think it's a fine line, and I'm not asking you to 9 make findings in terms of pattern or in terms of the process around the suspicions arising with 10 respect to Mr. Arar based on the other cases, but 11 12 on the other hand, I do think that you can say there is at least a sufficient concern here, based 13 on what you see, and that you should have it 14 before you so that you know there is a sufficient 15 16 concern. THE COMMISSIONER: 17 Okay. 18 Thank you, Ms Jackman. I'm going to think about it -- I 19 20 haven't had an opportunity, actually, to look at 21 the documents. I have been otherwise occupied. As a minimum, I can indicate now I ascribe to the 2.2 23 thought that it should be provided to Mr. Toope so that people can rest assured with respect to that 24 and I will ask counsel to make it available to 25

1 him.

Also I made my comments to 2 3 Mr. Neve with respect to the recommendation power, and parties making submissions to the policy 4 review should feel free to refer to the types of 5 materials they think appropriate. I don't say 6 7 that -- I'm not sure what weight I would attach to 8 them but, in any event, there is much broader latitude in a forum like that to refer to 9 information that you wish. 10 But dealing with the balance of 11 12 the request, let me think about it and I will issue a brief ruling in due course. I will try to 13 do that in relatively near future. I have a few 14 other commitments I have to deal with first, but 15 that's it. 16 Let me thank everybody for, first 17 18 of all, for today for the long day and the patience, and the people who waited a long time to 19 20 bring the motion and counsel again for their 21 dedication to the process. As I said to Mr. David the other day, I will reserve my final comments 2.2 23 until we finish our last public hearing or finish the oral arguments, at least, later on, but 24 thank you for your dedication to the process 25

StenoTran

1 today. So we will stand adjourned until 2 3 Monday, September 12, is that right Mr. Cavalluzzo? 4 Tuesday. MR. CAVALLUZZO: 5 THE COMMISSIONER: No, it's 6 7 Monday. 8 MS JACKMAN: Monday's the twelfth. 9 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, Monday's the twelfth, that is the first day of oral 10 11 argument. I am not sure what time we're starting, 12 do you know? MR. CAVALLUZZO: Just so that 13 counsel are advised, we will be proceeding to 14 submissions on Monday, September 12, but there may 15 be a few final evidentiary points that we are 16 going to have to deal with. 17 There are some 18 outstanding undertakings that I have to ensure are fulfilled. As well, counsel should be advised 19 20 that Mr. Décary is talking, he has indicated to me 21 that he would like to introduce some expert 2.2 evidence and that will be some time later on, 23 after the submissions. So that will still be outstanding. 24 But our next event is going to be Monday September 25

StenoTran

1 12. THE COMMISSIONER: In terms of 2 3 Mr. Décary's issue, it is a relatively discreet one in the overall context of the inquiry, and so 4 the fact that that evidence -- I have been spoken 5 to about this for good reason -- cannot be called 6 7 until some point later, later and probably in 8 October, should not delay the progress of the 9 inquiry, so that people should prepare their submissions as I requested earlier, in accordance 10 with those time frames, and that question of the 11 12 expert evidence that Mr. Décary may call will be something that will have to be carved out. 13 People will be given notice when 14 that evidence is to be called and the nature of 15 the evidence and those who have an interest in it 16 will be obviously be entitled to be involved and 17 18 participate in it. 19 So we will resume, it will be 20 here, public hearings, I think it is 10 o'clock, 21 is it, on Monday September 12? MR. CAVALLUZZO: 2.2 Yes. 23 MR. DÉCARY: Before you leave Mr. O'Connor, as the youngest member, probably, in 24 this room -- you might have noticed -- I would 25

StenoTran

1 like to express the gratitude and consideration I have. I have appeared before many commissions in 2 3 my young life, and I was very impressed, not only by the way you disposed of matters, but also by 4 the tone. Tone comes from the top, and I told you 5 in private and told you the way you handled all 6 matters is most impressive. 7 I wish to thank you in my name and 8 9 I'm sure in the name of every lawyer in this room. THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very 10 11 much, Mr. Décary. I appreciate that. THE REGISTRAR: Please stand. 12 --- Whereupon the hearing adjourned at 6:35 p.m., 13 to resume on Monday, September 12, 2005 14 at 10:00 a.m. / L'audience est ajournée 15 16