## Commission d'enquête sur les actions des responsables canadiens relativement à Maher Arar



## Commission of Inquiry into the Actions of Canadian Officials in Relation to Maher Arar

### **Audience publique**

### **Public Hearing**

Commissaire

L'Honorable juge /
The Honourable Justice
Dennis R. O'Connor

Commissioner

#### Tenue à:

Held at:

Salon Algonquin Ancien hôtel de ville 111, Promenade Sussex Ottawa (Ontario) Algonquin Room Old City Hall 111 Sussex Drive Ottawa, Ontario

le mardi 23 août 2005

Tuesday, August 23, 2005

#### **APPEARANCES / COMPARUTIONS**

Mr. Paul Cavalluzzo

Commission Counsel

Me Marc David

Mr. Brian Gover

Ms Veena Verma

Ms Adela Mall

Ms Lara Tessaro

Mr. Ronald G. Atkey

Amicus Curiae

Mr. Lorne Waldman

Ms Marlys Edwardh

Ms Breese Davies

Ms Brena Parnes

Counsel for Maher Arar

Ms Barbara A. McIsaac, Q.C.

Mr. Colin Baxter

Mr. Simon Fothergill

Mr. Gregory S. Tzemenakis

Ms Helen J. Gray

Attorney General of Canada

Ms Lori Sterling

Mr. Darrell Kloeze

Ms Leslie McIntosh

Ministry of the Attorney General/

Ontario Provincial Police

Mr. Faisal Joseph

Canadian Islamic Congress

Ms Marie Henein Mr. Hussein Amery National Council on Canada-Arab

Relations

Mr. Steven Shrybman

Canadian Labour Congress/Council of

Canadians and the Polaris Institute

Mr. Emelio Binavince

Minority Advocacy and Rights

Council

Mr. Joe Arvay

The British Columbia Civil

Liberties Association

#### APPEARANCES / COMPARUTIONS

Mr. Kevin Woodall The International Commission for

Jurists, The Redress Trust, The Association for the Prevention of Torture, World Organization Against

Torture

Colonel Me Michel W. DrapeauThe Muslim Community Council of

Ottawa-Gatineau

Mr. David Matas International Campaign Against

Torture

Ms Barbara Olshansky Centre for Constitutional Rights

Mr. Riad Saloojee Canadian Council on

Mr. Khalid Baksh American-Islamic Relations

Mr. Mel Green Canadian Arab Federation

Ms Amina Sherazee Muslim Canadian Congress

Ms Sylvie Roussel Counsel for Maureen Girvan

Ms Catherine Beagan Flood Counsel for the Parliamentary Clerk

Mr. Norman Boxall Counsel for Michael Cabana

Mr. Don Bayne

Mr. Richard Bell

Mr. Vince Westwick Counsel for Ottawa Police Service

Mr. Jim O'Grady

Mr. Paul Copeland Counsel for Abdullah Almalki

Ms Barbara Jackman Counsel for Ahmed El Maati

## TABLE OF CONTENTS / TABLE DES MATIÈRES

	Page
PREVIOUSLY SWORN: Richard Flewelling	9771
Examination by Mr. Cavalluzzo (cont.)  Examination by Mr. Waldman  Examination by Mr. Boxall  Examination by Mr. Fothergill  Examination by Mr. Cavalluzzo	9771 9911 9991 10054 10076
ASSERMENTÉ PRÉCÉDEMMENT: Pierre De Bané	10092
Interrogatoire par M <sup>e</sup> David	10092
SWORN: Alexander Greggory Williams  Examination by Mr. Cavalluzzo Examination by Mr. Waldman Examination by Mr. Fothergill	10103 10104 10114 10116
SWORN: Joseph Ronald Lauzon	10118
Examination by Mr. Cavalluzzo Examination by Mr. Waldman Examination by Mr. Fothergill	10118 10165 10182

## LIST OF EXHIBITS / PIÈCES JUSTICATIVES

No.	Description	Page
P-222	SitRep from "A" Division for September 26, 2002, dated September 27, 2002, and signed by Mr. Cabana and Mr. Callaghan	9777
P-223	SitRep from "A" Division for September 27, 2002, dated September 30, 2002	9778
P-224	Continuation Report, dated October 2	9790
P-225	Document to Project A-OCANADA from Mr. Flewelling, dated October 3, 2002	9800
P-226	Timeline prepared by Inspector Cabana	9803
P-227	E-mail to Pat Callaghan from Rick Flewellling, dated October 6th	9858
P-228	E-mail from Pat Callaghan to Rick Flewellling, dated October 7th	9858
P-229	Notes de L'Hon. Pierre De Bané	10098
P-230	Curriculum vitae of Ron Lauzon	10119
P-231	Statement given by Ron Lauzon to Brian Garvie on January 15, 2004	10125
P-232	Personal notes of Ron Lauzon (redacted)	10125
P-233	Additional personal notes of Ron Lauzon	10126

1	Ottawa, Ontario / Ottawa (Ontario)
2	Upon commencing on Tuesday, August 23, 2005
3	at 9:07 a.m. / L'audience débute le mardi
4	23 août 2005 à 9 h 07
5	THE REGISTRAR: Please be seated.
6	Veuillez vous asseoir.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Good morning.
8	PREVIOUSLY SWORN: RICHARD FLEWELLING
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Cavalluzzo?
LO	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Thank you,
L1	Commissioner.
L2	EXAMINATION
L3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Mr. Flewelling,
L4	when we broke yesterday, we had reached the point
L5	in time where Mr. Arar was detained in New York
L6	City, and I understand from your evidence of
L7	yesterday that you did not learn about Mr. Arar's
L8	detention in the United States until October 2nd.
L9	MR. FLEWELLING: That's correct.
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And on October
21	2nd, you learned that information from whom?
22	MR. FLEWELLING: I was informed by
23	Inspector Richard Roy.
24	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And we're going
25	to come to that particular date. But prior to

1	doing that, I would like you to look at exhibit
2	P-83, volume 1, at page 187.
3	MR. FLEWELLING: Sorry.
4	Whereabouts is it?
5	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Page 187.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Tab 1?
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Behind Tab 1,
8	yes.
9	Now, this is a fax, which is dated
10	September 26th of 2002, and it is sent to
11	headquarters NOC with an informational copy sent
12	to international liaison as well as headquarters'
13	attention, and I understand that's to yourself,
14	Mr. Flewelling, and it's from "A" Division, and
15	you'll see it says:
16	"Attached pages are suggested
17	questions for Mr. Arar as per
18	your request. The list was
19	prepared earlier this year,
20	prior to Arar's sudden
21	departure from Canada, and as
22	such some questions are a
23	little bit dated." (As read)
24	It goes on:
25	"Secondly, we appreciate your

1	assistance in interviewing
2	Arar." (As read)
3	It's from "A" Division, and
4	appended to the fax are about three pages of
5	interview questions relating to Mr. Arar.
6	The question I have for you is
7	that this is sent at least a copy is sent for
8	your attention. Did you see a copy of this
9	particular fax?
10	MR. FLEWELLING: Not to my
11	knowledge.
12	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Not to your
13	knowledge. Even though it says copies go to
14	Mr. Flewelling?
15	MR. FLEWELLING: Correct.
16	MR. CAVALLUZZO: When did you
17	first see a copy of these questions which were
18	forwarded to the United States?
19	MR. FLEWELLING: I don't ever
20	remember seeing a copy of these questions.
21	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. But
22	certainly in preparation for these hearings,
23	you've reviewed a copy of those questions.
24	Is that correct? You've seen them
25	before?

1	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes. Only
2	through preparation.
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. Now, in
4	reviewing those questions, I'd ask you whether
5	these are the kinds of questions that should have
6	received CID approval before going to the United
7	States, to a foreign agency, in particular in the
8	United States?
9	Pause
10	MR. FLEWELLING: I think in having
11	reviewed our policy, and providing that approval
12	was sought through the CROPS officer, that these
13	types of questions could have been exchanged. I
14	believe that would be the would have been
15	allowed at that time.
16	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Do you not
17	believe that CID could have had some input in
18	respect of these questions; for example, in
19	respect of personal information that may be
20	involved that is going down without CID's
21	approval? Are there other inputs that CID could
22	have had?
23	MR. FLEWELLING: I think, as I
24	stated before, that it certainly would have been a
25	prudent thing to have done, to engage CID as well

1	as to inform management at that level that these
2	types of things were going to take place.
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Do you believe
4	that "A" Division or, excuse me, Project
5	A-OCANADA would have had authorization to send
6	these questions directly to the Americans without
7	CID approval?
8	MR. FLEWELLING: I believe with
9	respect to the policy as it was, that if they had
LO	approval through their CROPS officer, that they
L1	could have sent or yes.
L2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You believe that
L3	they could have done this with only with the
L4	authorization of a CROPS officer?
L5	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes, and that
L6	would have to be verified through investigators
L7	there.
L8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. And do
L9	you know if CROPS officers approved this
20	particular submission of questions to the
21	Americans?
22	MR. FLEWELLING: No, I have no
23	idea.
24	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Wouldn't
25	"A" Division or CROPS say, "What are you talking

1	about, we didn't have CID approval? We sent a
2	copy through headquarters NOC to be forwarded on
3	to the Americans, and on top of that, we gave a
4	copy to Rick Flewelling, who is responsible for
5	Project A-OCANADA? They knew about it"? What
6	would you say to that?
7	MR. FLEWELLING: I don't know if I
8	have an answer for you. I think perhaps they may
9	have thought that where they had forwarded a copy,
10	that they were within existing policy.
11	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, if you had
12	seen these questions prior to their submission to
13	the Americans, what would you have done in respect
14	of the questions as to whether it would be
15	appropriate to send these questions to the
16	Americans? Would you have sought advice from
17	somewhere?
18	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
19	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Who would you
20	have sought advice from?
21	MR. FLEWELLING: I would have
22	sought advice through our RCMP DOJ to ensure that
23	everything fit properly and that we were
24	following working within existing policy.
25	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Now, I'd

1	like you to review two particular SitReps that we
2	will file now as new exhibits.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: 222.
4	EXHIBIT NO. 222: SitRep from
5	"A" Division for September
6	26, 2002, dated September 27,
7	2002 and signed by Mr. Cabana
8	and Mr. Callaghan
9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Let us look at
10	the first SITREP, which is exhibit 222. It is
11	dated September 27th of 2002.
12	It is from "A" Division, signed by
13	Mr. Cabana, and the other name I'm going to
14	release that name right now because that name
15	crops up I shouldn't use the word "crops"
16	but comes up quite frequently, and that is
17	Mr. Patrick Callaghan, who is one of the two
18	supervisors in respect of Project A-OCANADA.
19	And this particular SITREP goes to
20	a number of people, once again headquarters, NOC.
21	What does NOC stand for at headquarters?
22	MR. FLEWELLING: "National
23	Operations Centre."
24	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Then it goes on
25	to CID, NSID, to your attention, and then it goes

1	to a number of INSETs as well as other people,
2	including NSIS in "A" Division.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Are they the
4	same? Mr. Cavalluzzo, I'm just wondering about
5	marking the second one.
6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: The second one
7	should be 223.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, thank
9	you. I'm sorry to interrupt.
10	EXHIBIT NO. 223: SitRep from
11	"A" Division for September
12	27, 2002, dated September 30,
13	2002
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Go ahead.
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And dealing with
16	the first one for September 27th, which is for
17	September 26th, exhibit 222, in essence what it
18	says what we can read from the unredacted
19	portion is that A-OCANADA was advised by somebody,
20	and the information there should be an American,
21	that Maher Arar was to arrive in New York City at
22	two o'clock via a commercial airline flight. Some
23	American were to interview him and then refuse
24	Arar entry into the United States. That American
25	somebody requested a list of questions from

1	A-OCANADA for their interview. The questions were
2	faxed as requested.
3	And I ask you whether you saw a
4	copy of exhibit 222?
5	MR. FLEWELLING: This one I didn't
6	see until sometime after October the 2nd.
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, when you
8	saw indeed, if it was after October the 2nd
9	that Project A-OCANADA had sent out a list of
10	questions, I assume you didn't ask to see the list
11	of questions that was faxed out in the previous
12	week?
13	MR. FLEWELLING: Not that I
14	recall.
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And just to
16	ensure that if your testimony is that they
17	could have sent these questions out so long as
18	they had CROPS approval. If we look to the bottom
19	of that page, we see Mr. Wayne Watson
20	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
21	MR. CAVALLUZZO: approved this
22	situation rep which clearly refers to the
23	questions, which means that CROPS had given
24	Project A-OCANADA authorization to do what they
25	did, presumably?

1	MR. FLEWELLING: It would appear.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Now, if we
3	go to exhibit and I see if you go to the second
4	page that at this point in time, in September of
5	2002, that Project A-OCANADA is inserting the
6	third party rule.
7	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, if we go to
9	exhibit 223, which is dated September 30th, and
L O	that's for September 27th, and it's sent to the
L1	same persons as exhibit 222, just let me read to
L2	you the unredacted portion in paragraph 2 on
L3	page 2. It says:
L <b>4</b>	"This American entity
L5	notified A-OCANADA that Maher
L6	Arar was in custody at an
L7	airport in New York after
L8	flying in from Europe. That
L9	[somebody] advised that Arar
20	was"
21	Then there's a pile of words that
22	are redacted, and then it goes on:
23	"And then refused entry to
24	the United States. He was
25	also denied permission to

1	enter Canada via the U.S.
2	Arar was then removed from
3	the country."
4	We will subsequently see that last
5	bit of information is incorrect as of that point
6	in time.
7	But in any event, I ask you
8	whether you received and reviewed this particular
9	situation report?
10	MR. FLEWELLING: Again, I didn't
11	see this until sometime after October the 2nd.
12	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And once again I
13	note that Mr. Wayne Watson, the assistant CROPS
14	officer, has approved this particular SITREP?
15	MR. FLEWELLING: I know his name
16	is there. I don't see his signature. But I'm
17	assuming.
18	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I would like to
19	come now to October 2nd. Could you tell us how it
20	was that you became aware that Mr. Maher Arar was
21	detained in the United States?
22	MR. FLEWELLING: It would have
23	been, I believe, the afternoon of October the 2nd
24	when Inspector Richard Roy, our liaison officer
25	with DFAIT came into our office, approached me,

1	and advised me of the situation.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So this would
3	have been Mr. Roy coming to headquarters, your
4	office at headquarters?
5	MR. FLEWELLING: That's correct.
6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And headquarters
7	is in a location different than Project A-OCANADA?
8	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes, it is.
9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And you said he
10	advised you of the situation. Could you tell us
11	what he advised you of?
12	MR. FLEWELLING: The exact wording
13	I don't recall, other than to summarize it, he
14	advised me that Mr. Arar was detained in New York.
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I would like to
16	show you exhibit 209, which was introduced
17	yesterday through Mr. Roy, and these are the notes
18	that Mr. Roy took relating to the advice that he
19	received on October 2nd when he discovered the
20	situation of Mr. Arar.
21	You will see at the top that date
22	should be October 2nd, 2002. "Jonathan" is
23	Jonathan Solomon from DFAIT ISI, and you'll see
24	"Canadian arrested". And then it says "Canadian,
25	Montreal or Toronto", and then it says "Syrian."

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Montreal or
2	Ottawa, do you think?
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I'm sorry?
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Montreal or
5	Ottawa?
6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: What did I say?
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Toronto.
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Oh, excuse me.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: You people from
10	Toronto
11	MR. CAVALLUZZO: It may show that
12	I want to get home, but I should have said
13	"Ottawa".
14	And then is says "Syrian." It
15	says "arrested at JFK Airport, New York." And
16	then it says "Tunis." That must mean Tunisian,
17	"to Mirabel." And then it says:
18	"Arrest not
19	immigration-oriented.
20	Consulate not able to see
21	him. He called parents to
22	advise."
23	And then Mr. Arar's date of birth.
24	And then it says:
25	"'A' and CID in loop in

# StenoTran

1	notebook."
2	The information that is on this
3	page, is this the information that Mr. Roy related
4	to you on October 2nd?
5	MR. FLEWELLING: I don't think
6	that everything that was listed here was presented
7	to me.
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Let's go through
9	it, then.
10	He obviously told you a Canadian
11	was detained?
12	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
13	MR. CAVALLUZZO: He said he was
14	either from Montreal or Ottawa?
15	MR. FLEWELLING: No.
16	MR. CAVALLUZZO: He didn't say
17	that?
18	MR. FLEWELLING: I don't recall
19	that.
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Did he tell you
21	he was a Syrian?
22	MR. FLEWELLING: I don't recall
23	that ever being stated.
24	MR. CAVALLUZZO: He didn't say he
25	was a Syrian?

1	MR. FLEWELLING: Not to my
2	knowledge. I'm not going to tell you that he
3	didn't. I just don't recall him telling me that
4	he was a Syrian at that time.
5	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Obviously if he
6	is saying that "A" Division and CID are in the
7	loop, presumably there would be no reason why he
8	wouldn't tell you that he was Syrian because
9	that's an important fact, isn't it?
10	MR. FLEWELLING: All I can tell
11	you is just what I recall.
12	MR. CAVALLUZZO: In Mr. Roy's
13	notes of that day, he says:
14	"See Rick Flewelling re
15	Maher Arar's phone number."
16	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
17	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Then there is
18	reference to Almalki, and then he says:
19	"26 September advised of
20	itinerary of Maher to 'A'
21	Division. Arrested on the
22	27th. Re Maher Arar 'A'
23	supplied questions on the
24	26th to the American entity.'
25	Did he tell you that?

1	MR. FLEWELLING: I don't recall
2	that.
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Did he tell you
4	on the 27th "less than forthcoming"?
5	MR. FLEWELLING: Less than
6	sorry?
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: "Less than
8	forthcoming"? The point there being that
9	Mr. Arar, when being questioned on the 27th, was
10	less than forthcoming with the Americans.
11	MR. FLEWELLING: And when was this
12	supposed to have happened?
13	MR. CAVALLUZZO: The notes
14	indicate that this was done on October 2nd.
15	Pause
16	MR. FLEWELLING: I don't recall
17	that.
18	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Then there's a
19	communication problem. A report was sent by fax
20	to CID noting same. Did he tell you that faxes
21	had been sent to the CID, in particular the ones
22	that we have just referred to, exhibit 222 and
23	223?
24	MR. FLEWELLING: He may have. At
25	this particular juncture in time, I just don't

1	recall.
2	I know that he stopped by my
3	office on the way down to see Mr. Pilgrim. I know
4	that we engaged in a brief conversation where he
5	did advise me.
6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right.
7	MR. FLEWELLING: And shortly
8	thereafter I left the office to go to a meeting.
9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And do you know
10	what time approximately he would have advised you?
11	MR. FLEWELLING: It would have to
12	have been, I believe, according to my notes,
13	sometime prior to three o'clock in the afternoon,
14	I believe.
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Well, if we go to
16	your notes for October 2nd at page 35, you will
17	see at 1500 hours that there was a meeting with
18	CSIS.
19	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Where Project
21	A-OCANADA and Arar was discussed.
22	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And there is no
24	reference to you having been advised by Mr. Roy on
25	that day.

1	Would you have been advised by Mr.
2	Roy before 1500 hours?
3	MR. FLEWELLING: I'm assuming so
4	because I know when I was at this meeting, I had
5	spoken to an individual at the meeting where I was
6	at to confirm Mr. Arar's detention.
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay.
8	MR. FLEWELLING: Which would lead
9	me to believe I did know prior to 1500.
10	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So that you would
11	have been advised prior to 1500.
12	At this meeting at the CSIS
13	building, was this with CSIS reps?
14	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And obviously you
16	discussed Project A-OCANADA, and indeed Mr. Arar.
17	MR. FLEWELLING: The meeting was
18	not with respect to Mr. Arar personally.
19	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right.
20	MR. FLEWELLING: I do know that
21	with one of the representatives from CSIS that I
22	engaged him at least from my recollection, I
23	engaged one of the representatives from CSIS and
24	asked him to confirm with me whether or not he had
25	the same information as I, in that Mr. Arar was

1	being detained.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And was there any
3	discussion with CSIS that Mr. Arar was refused
4	entry?
5	MR. FLEWELLING: No. I didn't
6	become aware that he was refused entry until I
7	read the SITREPs.
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And when did you
9	read the SITREPs?
LO	MR. FLEWELLING: I'm believing it
L1	was sometime after the 2nd.
L2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: We are going to
L3	come to a continuation report of the same day,
L <b>4</b>	which will indicate the state of your knowledge.
L5	Was there any discussion with the
L6	CSIS rep regarding Mr. Arar being Syrian or being
L7	a dual national?
L8	MR. FLEWELLING: I honestly don't
L9	recall where I learned that he was a dual
20	national.
21	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You honestly
22	don't recall where you learned. What about how
23	you learned?
24	MR. FLEWELLING: I can't give you
25	an exact answer as to how, or when, or where I

1	learned it. Just somewhere in that period of time
2	I became aware that he was a dual national.
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You came back to
4	the office, obviously, at 5:30 on that date. You
5	prepared a continuation report?
6	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And I would like
8	to file that as exhibit 224.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: 224.
LO	EXHIBIT NO. 224:
L1	Continuation Report, dated
L2	October 2
L3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: This is called a
L4	continuation report, which is dated October 2nd at
L5	5:30 in the afternoon.
L6	Could you tell me why you would do
L7	a continuation report rather than putting it in
L8	your notes?
L9	MR. FLEWELLING: The only response
20	I can give you is probably I had a pad of these
21	right next to me at the time.
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And could you
23	tell us why don't you read that for us.
24	MR. FLEWELLING: It says:
25	"Received [blankl"

1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Wait a minute.
2	You received something.
3	MR. FLEWELLING: "Received call
4	from."
5	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay.
6	MR. FLEWELLING: "According to
7	him, Arar was"
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Just a minute,
9	before LEGAT, let's just advise the public what
10	that is.
11	This is an American agent
12	somewhere?
13	MR. FLEWELLING: It would be a
14	representative from the American Embassy.
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So you received a
16	call from that person.
17	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
18	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay, go on.
19	MR. FLEWELLING: "According to
20	him, Arar was arrested by
21	[blank]. He is still being
22	detained. They are looking,
23	exploring possible charges.
24	If no charges are pending,
25	then he will be removed"

1	I believe "from the U.S."
2	" and as noted by 'A'
3	Division he will be denied
4	access to fly directly into
5	Canada. Therefore, he will
6	be returned to Switzerland
7	where he departed from.
8	Requested that [blank] keep
9	me in the loop."
10	MR. CAVALLUZZO: This is the
11	[blank] individual from the American Embassy?
12	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
13	MR. CAVALLUZZO: What this
14	indicates to me is that by this point in time you
15	had read the SITREPs, because it says in the
16	second paragraph:
17	"As noted by 'A' Division he
18	will be denied access to fly
19	directly into Canada.
20	Therefore, he will be
21	returned to Switzerland where
22	he departed from."
23	MR. FLEWELLING: That was my
24	understanding.
25	MP CAVALLU770: Pight But it

1	sounds to me like well, let me just ask you:
2	Did you learn those facts or that information from
3	the SITREPs, or from a telephone call with "A"
4	Division?
5	Pause
6	MR. FLEWELLING: Where I say "as
7	noted by", I believe that there's a possibility
8	that we discussed that very issue.
9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: But how did you
10	discuss it: through reading a SITREP, or through
11	a discussion on the telephone, or at "A" Division?
12	MR. FLEWELLING: Well, it would
13	have been through a telephone call, I believe.
14	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So if you had a
15	telephone call, who would you likely have spoken
16	to at Project A-OCANADA?
17	MR. FLEWELLING: Oh, I mean, I
18	would have learned through the SITREP that came
19	from A-OCANADA.
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So that means by
21	this time, by 5:30 on October 2nd, you had read
22	the SITREP?
23	MR. FLEWELLING: According to the
24	note, I would agree.
25	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So that as of

# StenoTran

1	5:30 on Tuesday, October the 4th, what you do know
2	is three things: One, he is being detained in the
3	United States. Second, he is going to be denied
4	access to fly directly to Canada. And third, he
5	is going to be deported or returned to Switzerland
6	from whence he came?
7	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So those three
9	things you are aware of by that point in time.
10	Did anything else happen in
11	respect of Mr. Arar on October 2nd that you can
12	help us with?
13	MR. FLEWELLING: I informed
14	Mr. Pilgrim as to what transpired through an
15	e-mail.
16	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Just one final
17	thing before we move on to the next day.
18	I'm referring back to exhibit 209,
19	which is the note of Mr. Roy. Do you have that in
20	front of you?
21	MR. FLEWELLING: I believe that's
22	this one.
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: He makes
24	reference to "Consulate not able to see him." And
25	I'm wondering whether Roy told you that the

1	Consulate had not been able to see Mr. Arar at
2	this point in time, as of October 2nd?
3	MR. FLEWELLING: I wasn't aware of
4	that at that time. He may have discussed it with
5	Superintendent Pilgrim, because I know that after
6	he spoke with me, he walked down and had a
7	discussion with him.
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, presumably
9	Mr. Pilgrim knew that you were responsible for
LO	Project A-OCANADA, as its overseer?
L1	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
L2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Presumably
L3	Mr. Pilgrim, if he discovered that, would have
L4	told you that information, because once again that
L5	is important information that you should know as
L6	being the overseer of Project A-OCANADA?
L7	You would agree with that?
L8	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes. I just
L9	don't recall learning that that day.
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Is it possible
21	that Roy or Pilgrim would have told you that?
22	Pause
23	MR. FLEWELLING: It's very
24	difficult for me to put everything back in that
0.5	day because of the things that I've learned

1	subsequently.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I understand.
3	MR. FLEWELLING: My honest belief
4	is I didn't know that until later on.
5	MR. CAVALLUZZO: What do you mean
6	by "later on"?
7	MR. FLEWELLING: It would have
8	been sometime thereafter.
9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Well, I don't
10	know whether you would have learned that sometime
11	thereafter, because on October the 3rd, which is
12	the very next day, he did receive consular access.
13	Did you learn it? Did you learn
14	that he wasn't getting consular access?
15	MR. FLEWELLING: I at that time
16	I can tell you that I don't recall that being a
17	topic of discussion.
18	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Because if you
19	did know, then you would have known it before 3:45
20	on October 3rd or thereabouts. It would have been
21	before then because that's when at least there's a
22	report in the DFAIT system that he received
23	consular access on October 3rd.
24	MR. FLEWELLING: I learned that he
25	had access sometime thereafter, and I'm just

1	trying to recall how I learnt it.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Well, let us take
3	you through these days step by step and maybe it
4	will assist in your memory.
5	If we go to October 3rd let's
6	go to your notes at page 36.
7	On October 3rd, your notes don't
8	indicate this, and with the permission of counsel
9	for the government, I'm going to advise you that
10	in that redaction at eight o'clock you telephoned
11	somebody in respect of another matter.
12	I just want to tell him who he
13	telephoned, if you agree.
14	MR. FOTHERGILL: I take it this is
15	an A-OCANADA investigator whose name has
16	previously been identified?
17	MR. CAVALLUZZO: No. This is a
18	DFAIT liaison officer who testified yesterday.
19	MR. FOTHERGILL: In that case,
20	there will be no objection.
21	MR. CAVALLUZZO: In that
22	redaction, let me tell you, Mr. Flewelling, that
23	I've read the redaction, and it is that you called
24	Mr. Roy on an unrelated matter, okay? So just put
25	that in context.

1	Sometime early in the day, you
2	talked to Mr. Roy, at least, according to your
3	notes, on an unrelated matter. Okay?
4	And then if we go down to 1600
5	hours
6	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Can you just read
8	that for us.
9	Once again, it says somebody in
LO	the redaction called
L1	And that somebody is an American?
L2	Or do you know? You don't know that?
L3	MR. FLEWELLING: Sorry.
L4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. What about
L5	the next line, the last three lines, if you could
L6	read that for us?
L7	MR. FLEWELLING:
L8	"Re subject Arar. He sent a
L9	message needing urgent
20	attention."
21	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, you said
22	"he." I think that's "she". "She sent a
23	message."
24	MR. FLEWELLING: Sorry, you're
) 5	correct "She sent a message needing urgent

1	attention."
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, who is
3	"she"?
4	MR. FLEWELLING: Another U.S.
5	Embassy representative.
6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. And could
7	you tell us, without disclosing any redacted
8	information, what "she" was about to do?
9	MR. FLEWELLING: She had requested
10	information with respect to our investigation that
11	we could forward to American authorities for their
12	assistance in pursuing, I believe, charges.
13	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Criminal charges?
14	MR. FLEWELLING: Criminal charges.
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Against Mr. Arar?
16	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
17	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, she is doing
18	that through CID?
19	MR. FLEWELLING: That is correct.
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And then you
21	would forward whatever, and we're going to
22	introduce a new exhibit now. You would forward
23	that on to Project A-OCANADA?
24	MR. FLEWELLING: That is correct.
25	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Could we

1	have this document introduced?
2	THE COMMISSIONER: 225.
3	EXHIBIT NO. 225: Document to
4	Project A-OCANADA from
5	Mr. Flewelling, dated
6	October 3, 2002
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: This is
8	exhibit 225, it is dated October 3rd of 2002, and
9	it is to Project A-OCANADA from Mr. Flewelling,
10	and just let me read the body of the document. It
11	says:
12	"Re Abdul Hamid Arar," and
13	then it says: "This
14	individual, this American,
15	contacted this office after
16	hours looking for Project
17	A-OCANADA's assistance with
18	information pertaining to
19	the"
20	I guess that's the captioned? Cn
21	is the captioned?
22	MR. FLEWELLING: That's correct.
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO:
24	"On behalf of American law
25	enforcement (this

1	organisation or she) is
2	seeking any evidence that can
3	assist in the support of
4	criminal charges. Find
5	attached request forwarded by
6	this person with a list of
7	questions. They would be
8	most appreciative of any
9	additional information you
10	can supply on this subject.
11	They further request that any
12	response be channelled
13	through the [whatever]
14	organization for evidentiary
15	purposes. Due to time
16	restrictions facing
17	investigators in the U.S.
18	they would be most grateful
19	for your attention to this
20	matter."
21	And then you would have received
22	this document on October the 3rd after hours?
23	MR. FLEWELLING: That is correct.
24	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And the next
25	page, what is that? The next page, is that just

1	the fax cover?
2	MR. FLEWELLING: No, that would be
3	the process by which we would have the document
4	entered onto our SCIS.
5	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. And then
6	the next page, you have a third page there.
7	That's a fax transmittal form?
8	MR. FLEWELLING: That would be the
9	fax transmittal form that came in from the
10	embassy.
11	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. And then
12	the next two pages are redacted questions that
13	came along with that?
14	MR. FLEWELLING: I believe so.
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, what is
16	interesting, and I guess new information for you
17	in respect of this particular fax, is that it's
18	clear now that the Americans are looking to
19	criminally charge Mr. Arar first thing. You're
20	aware of that now.
21	Correct?
22	MR. FLEWELLING: That they're
23	seeking information for the purposes, yes.
24	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. And the
25	second thing, obviously, is they are seeking

1	Canadian assistance in supporting those criminal
2	charges?
3	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, I'd like to
5	introduce a new exhibit. This is a time line
6	which was prepared
7	MR. FLEWELLING: 226.
8	EXHIBIT NO. 226: Timeline
9	prepared by Inspector Cabana
10	MR. CAVALLUZZO: by Inspector
11	Cabana.
12	Now, I assume that after receiving
13	these questions from the Americans that you
14	forwarded those questions on to Project A-OCANADA?
15	MR. FLEWELLING: It's my
16	understanding that I forwarded actually sent
17	the fax the following morning.
18	MR. CAVALLUZZO: The following
19	morning, on October the 4th? Would that have been
20	the first thing that you did?
21	MR. FLEWELLING: I believe so.
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And if you go to
23	page 38 of your notes for Friday, October 4th, it
24	says:
25	"Forwarded fax requesting

1	A-OCANADA's assistance in
2	answering (somebody's)
3	questions. Request for info
4	on Arar A-OCANADA."
5	And this was done at, it looks
6	like eight o'clock in the morning.
7	Is that correct?
8	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And if you refer
10	to the time line, exhibit 226, on the second page,
11	for October 4th, you will see the last entry for
12	eight o'clock, although this is not in your notes.
13	It says that:
14	"(somebody at Project
15	A-OCANADA) contacted Rick
16	FLEWELLING and advised him of
17	our interest in interviewing
18	ARAR"
19	And I'm putting it to you that
20	that was Mr. Pat Callaghan.
21	MR. FLEWELLING: Sorry. What date
22	was that again?
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: This is October
24	4th. If you go this is at page 2. If you go
25	three lines down, it says 02/10/04?

1	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. And you'll
3	see the first entry above 11:15, under the eight
4	o'clock entry:
5	"(somebody from project
6	A-OCANADA) contacted
7	FLEWELLING and advised of our
8	interest in interviewing ARAR
9	in New York."
10	MR. FLEWELLING: I'm aware of
11	that.
12	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You're aware of
13	that?
14	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. But the
16	point is, the question is, was it Mr. Callaghan
17	that phoned you in the morning of October 4th
18	indicating an interest that they want to interview
19	Arar?
20	MR. FLEWELLING: He was usually
21	the one I spoke to. He was one of my main
22	contacts over there, I believe.
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Now, did
24	you do anything as a result of them indicating an
25	interest in interviewing Arar? Did he want you to

1	do anything, or were they going to arrange that on
2	their own and were just keeping you in the loop?
3	MR. FLEWELLING: It was my
4	understanding at that particular juncture in time
5	that they were exploring the idea of going down to
6	interview Mr. Arar.
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So that that
8	wouldn't have called upon you to do anything other
9	than being aware that they were contemplating
10	that?
11	MR. FLEWELLING: Not at that time
12	because they would have had to have gone through a
13	certain procedure before authorization could be
14	granted.
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Now, if we
16	stay in your notes at October 4th, this is Friday
17	once again, October 4th. It says:
18	"Called Richard Roy to advise
19	what status is."
20	Do you see that?
21	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, do you
23	recall approximately what time you would have
24	called Mr. Roy?
25	MR. FLEWELLING: No.

1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Do you recall
2	calling Mr. Roy?
3	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Mr. Roy testified
5	yesterday that he was not at work on October 4th;
6	he was on leave.
7	MR. FLEWELLING: It wouldn't be in
8	my notes if I hadn't have called him.
9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Is it
10	likely then you would have called him on his cell
11	or on his home number?
12	MR. FLEWELLING: Very possible.
13	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So you
14	specifically recall that conversation with Mr. Roy
15	on the Friday. It's in your notes
16	MR. FLEWELLING: I don't recall
17	the conversation, but this event was in relation
18	to another matter other than with respect to
19	Mr. Arar.
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Are you sure of
21	that?
22	MR. FLEWELLING: There is a couple
23	of other things that were going on at that time
24	that I was dealing with, and I was dealing with
25	officials from DFAIT.

1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: That's very
2	strange to me, because if we go on the previous
3	page, when you called Mr. Roy in relation to an
4	unrelated matter, it was redacted. When we come
5	to October the 4th and it says you called Mr. Roy,
6	it is still there. It is not redacted. Which
7	indicates to me that you talked to Mr. Roy about
8	Mr. Arar?
9	MR. FOTHERGILL: Mr. Commissioner,
10	that could be an error on the part of the redactor
11	who didn't realise that it concerned an unrelated
12	matter.
13	I note that the entry before is
14	blacked out with the notation in the margin of
15	unrelated, so that may simply be an error in
16	redaction as opposed to anything for which the
17	witness could be held responsible.
18	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Well,
19	Mr. Commissioner, we find ourselves in the
20	peculiar situation where I am aware that there is
21	another forum in which the witness testified about
22	speaking to Mr. Roy about Mr. Arar. That
23	information, unfortunately, is redacted testimony,
24	and I'm in a position where, as a lawyer, I feel
25	an obligation that I must confront this witness

1	with that evidence.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: And the reason
3	that it's redacted is because of an NSC concern.
4	Is that the case?
5	MR. FOTHERGILL: In this
6	particular document, it's not redacted. I think
7	that's part of the difficulty. I think
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Let's just
9	on a hypothetical, Mr. Fothergill. If there has
10	been evidence about this particular phone
11	conversation in another forum, would it not make
12	sense, if there's not an NSC claim with respect to
13	just this specific piece of evidence, that
14	reference could be made to it? All I'm trying to
15	do is just get to the bottom of it in public
16	MR. FOTHERGILL: Yes. And I'm
17	quite content for Mr. Cavalluzzo to use his
18	discretion and refer to in-camera evidence. I
19	think he knows where NSC claims lie, and there is
20	no NSC claim with respect to the particulars of
21	this conversation if it occurred.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, that
23	would be helpful.
24	Mr. Cavalluzzo, I'd say to you
25	that in doing it, if there's any doubt in your

1	mind, if you were going to refer to that about the
2	NSC claim you should speak to Mr. Fothergill or
3	handle it appropriately. Thank you.
4	Thank you, Mr. Fothergill.
5	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now,
6	Mr. Flewelling, you testified in camera, on or
7	about January 20th of 2005
8	MR. FLEWELLING: That's correct,
9	yes.
10	MR. CAVALLUZZO: which is
11	about eight months ago, and I did the questioning,
12	you'll recall.
13	MR. FLEWELLING: Mm-hmm.
14	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And I had your
15	notes?
16	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
17	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And we discussed
18	you calling Mr. Roy on October 4th. At no time
19	during that testimony did you ever say that that
20	telephone conversation did not relate to Mr. Arar.
21	Can you tell us why?
22	MR. FLEWELLING: When I made the
23	phone call, it was in relation to a matter that
24	was unrelated.
25	Now if I gave the wrong

## StenoTran

1	impression, excuse me, but I know that we I
2	think I used the term, there is a possibility or a
3	very good possibility that we discussed issues
4	with respect to Mr. Arar.
5	MR. CAVALLUZZO: That's not what
6	you said, Mr. Flewelling. You said you didn't
7	recall the specifics of the conversation.
8	MR. FLEWELLING: That's true.
9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: But you did
10	recall that you spoke to him about Mr. Arar.
11	MR. FLEWELLING: That's very
12	true that's very possible, yes.
13	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So it's possible
14	that you would have spoken about Mr. Arar?
15	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
16	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Shortly
17	after this telephone conversation with Mr. Arar
18	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
19	MR. CAVALLUZZO: with Mr. Roy,
20	in which Mr. Arar was obviously discussed, you
21	went to the immigration office.
22	Isn't that correct?
23	MR. FLEWELLING: Just prior to
24	lunch, or in and around lunchtime, yes.
25	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. And do you

1	recall when this telephone conversation with
2	Mr. Roy was?
3	MR. FLEWELLING: The specifics of
4	it, no. Not in relation to Mr. Arar.
5	MR. CAVALLUZZO: But how many
6	telephone conversations did you have with Mr. Roy
7	on that day?
8	MR. FLEWELLING: One that I can
9	think of. The only one that I've got written
10	down.
11	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. And I'm
12	putting it to you that after that telephone call,
13	you went up to the immigration office of the RCMP.
14	MR. FLEWELLING: It would have
15	been a short time thereafter, yes.
16	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right.
17	MR. FLEWELLING: Because I recall
18	having a meeting, or I think it was with the
19	ministerial liaison's office.
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Well, where do we
21	see that?
22	MR. FLEWELLING: It wouldn't have
23	been documented.
24	MR. CAVALLUZZO: It wouldn't have
25	been documented. In any event, when did you go up

1	to the immigration office?
2	MR. FLEWELLING: It would have
3	been in around lunch hour.
4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And lunch hour is
5	when?
6	MR. FLEWELLING: Around noon.
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. And we see
8	that the entry just before 12:30 says:
9	"Called Roy to advise what
10	status is."
11	MR. FLEWELLING: So I'm assuming
12	just sometime prior to 12:30, yes.
13	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Now, what
14	did you mean by "Called Roy to advise what status
15	is"?
16	MR. FLEWELLING: The status is
17	with respect to or what I thought was the
18	unrelated issue. Now, with respect to what
19	exactly we spoke about with Mr. Arar, I don't
20	recall. But something obviously has prompted me
21	to go to the immigration office.
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And what prompts
23	me is knowing what you said in January, because
24	what you said in January was something like you
25	were concerned about concular access

1	MR. FLEWELLING: That could be.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So you could have
3	talked to Roy about consular access?
4	MR. FLEWELLING: Could have been.
5	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Could have been.
6	Then you go to the immigration office.
7	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And why do you go
9	to the immigration office?
10	MR. FLEWELLING: I wanted to find
11	out what the term, or what the law was with
12	respect to removal.
13	MR. CAVALLUZZO: What?
14	MR. FLEWELLING: What the term
15	"removal" went.
16	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Where did you get
17	the term "removal" from?
18	MR. FLEWELLING: The term
19	"removal" was being used throughout in
20	conversations with my colleagues at A-OCANADA as
21	well as you'll notice, I believe, on the SITREP
22	and in discussions with the Americans.
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And it would seem
24	to me that logic would dictate that something that
25	Roy said instigated you going up to the

1	immigration office and asking them some questions.
2	MR. FLEWELLING: It could have
3	been. I just don't recall the content of that
4	conversation.
5	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Let me tell you
6	what I surmise Mr. Roy told you, and that is,
7	because on October the 3rd, Mr. Arar had consular
8	access, and during the course of that consular
9	access, he advised Maureen Girvan, who is the
10	consulate, that two immigration officers in the
11	United States told him that he was going to be
12	deported or removed, whatever the language was, to
13	Syria. Just listen to the question now.
14	MR. FLEWELLING: Mm-hmm.
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I'm putting it to
16	you that that is what Roy told you and that is
17	what caused you to go to the immigration office to
18	ask some questions.
19	MR. FLEWELLING: No.
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Isn't that
21	correct?
22	MR. FLEWELLING: No.
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: It's not correct?
24	MR. FLEWELLING: No. I don't
25	recall ever being well, I can't say "ever." I

1	don't recall at that time where I was told that
2	the immigration officers had mentioned something
3	to the effect of him going to Syria.
4	I didn't learn that until
5	afterwards, I believe in it wasn't until I was
6	involved in preparations.
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Is it possible
8	that Mr. Roy leaving aside the immigration
9	officers, is it possible that Mr. Roy told you
10	that Mr. Arar's brother and Mr. Arar were very
11	concerned that he was going to be deported to
12	Syria? Is it possible that he told you that at
13	that time?
14	MR. FLEWELLING: No, because if I
15	had gone to the immigration, I wouldn't have asked
16	about removal, I would have asked about the
17	deportation process.
18	MR. CAVALLUZZO: What are you
19	talking about? You just told us that the only
20	reason you went up there was to talk about
21	removal.
22	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Well, you just
24	said now that you didn't go up there to talk about
25	removal, you would have talked about deportation?

1	MR. FLEWELLING: In my opinion,
2	those are very two distinct procedures, and
3	removal, in my opinion, and what I was seeking, it
4	was whether or not the removal would be where he
5	would be placed on an aircraft. Once he was
6	placed on that aircraft, he was on his own free
7	volition to go where he so chose, whereas the
8	deportation
9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Well, presumably
10	he wouldn't have volition until that plane landed
11	somewhere?
12	MR. FLEWELLING: Correct.
13	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And where was
14	that plane going to go?
15	MR. FLEWELLING: It was my
16	understanding that he was going to be going back
17	to Zurich.
18	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. And you
19	knew that on October the 2nd because that
20	continuation report that we just reviewed,
21	exhibit whatever the exhibit number is. Let me
22	just refer it to you again.
23	MS VERMA: 224.
24	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Thank you.
25	Exhibit 224 says that:

## StenoTran

1	"No charges are pending and
2	that he will be removed from
3	the United States, and as
4	noted by 'A' Division, he
5	will be denied access to fly
6	directly into Canada.
7	Therefore, he will be
8	returned to Switzerland where
9	he departed from."
10	And obviously Zurich is in
11	Switzerland.
12	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
13	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So what October
14	2nd tells us is that you knew about removal and
15	you knew that they were going to remove him to
16	Switzerland.
17	So the question I have is: Why
18	would you be going to the immigration office at
19	twelve o'clock, at lunch, on October 4th?
20	MR. FLEWELLING: Because I wanted
21	to satisfy in my mind what the law and procedure
22	was for removal.
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Why would you go
24	to a Canadian immigration office to find what the
25	law in the United States is about removal?

1	MR. FLEWELLING: Because our
2	fourth floor dealt with immigration matters, and
3	quite often they dealt with or had exchanges
4	with immigration matters that dealt with or I
5	thought was with both Canadian and American
6	officials.
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And to be fair to
8	you, I'm going to have a witness this afternoon
9	from the immigration and passport office who says
10	that he would never render an opinion on American
11	law.
12	MR. FLEWELLING: All I can tell
13	you, sir, is I did show up at that office, I did
14	engage in a conversation, and my recollection is
15	that he provided me with actually, there's two
16	of them. And they provided me with advice.
17	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And we're going
18	to come to that conversation in a minute. But I
19	just want to once again be clear in my mind, as we
20	move on, as to the precise reason why, just after
21	talking to Roy, you go up to the fourth floor, to
22	the immigration and passport office
23	MR. FLEWELLING: It wasn't a
24	direct get off the phone and went up to the
25	fourth floor

1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: That's fine.
2	MR. FLEWELLING: I just
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Shortly
4	thereafter.
5	MR. FLEWELLING: Shortly
6	thereafter, I took some time out in between
7	meetings to go and inform myself as to what the
8	process was.
9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. So what
10	you are saying is you already knew he was being
11	at least you were advised he was being removed.
12	He is going to be removed to Syria. What you are
13	saying is you went to the immigration office to
14	find out what the U.S. law was on removal.
15	MR. FLEWELLING: I'm sorry?
16	MR. CAVALLUZZO: On removal?
17	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
18	MR. CAVALLUZZO: That's why you
19	went up?
20	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
21	MR. FOTHERGILL: I don't want to
22	interrupt Mr. Cavalluzzo's flow, but I think
23	Mr. Roy told us yesterday that he didn't learn
24	about Mr. Arar's fears of being sent to Syria
25	until he read it in a CAMANT note that he received

1	on October 7th. So I think that does in fact
2	contradict the proposition that Mr. Cavalluzzo has
3	just put to the witness, that Mr. Roy might have
4	informed him of this concern on October 3rd.
5	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Well,
6	Mr. Commissioner, when Mr. Roy found out about
7	Syria, in my respectful submission, is very much
8	in play. I heard his evidence. There is other
9	evidence. And at the end of the day you are going
LO	to have to make a determination as to when Mr. Roy
L1	discovered that
L2	THE COMMISSIONER: There is other
L3	evidence that I haven't heard in public; but in
L4	any event.
L5	I think that is a fair comment.
L6	It's a factual issue that will have to be
L7	addressed.
L8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. You went
L9	up to the fourth floor. That is where we
20	understand the immigration office is?
21	MR. FLEWELLING: That's correct.
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Were you looking
23	for anyone in particular?
24	MR. FLEWELLING: I was looking for
) E	our OID member who werks in an with immigration

1	matters.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And he wasn't
3	there, I understand.
4	MR. FLEWELLING: No. I noticed
5	that there were two other individuals that were in
6	the immigration department, so I had asked them if
7	they could assist me, after I introduced myself,
8	at which point I asked them if they knew what the
9	international law was or what the procedure would
10	be for a removal process, at which point I then
11	turned and I gave them some background
12	information
13	MR. CAVALLUZZO: This is very
14	important now. You asked them. And there were
15	two gentlemen, there are two men
16	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
17	MR. CAVALLUZZO: They were men
18	having lunch at a table in the area?
19	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And you don't
21	recall their names?
22	MR. FLEWELLING: I know one of
23	their names now. At the time I didn't.
24	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And you met him
25	two days ago.

1	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And his name
3	is?
4	MR. FLEWELLING: Williams, I
5	believe, is one of them.
6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Greg Williamson.
7	MR. FLEWELLING: Okay.
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I'm sorry, my
9	partner here is going to correct me.
10	I'm sorry, it's Williams. You are
11	correct. Greg Williams.
12	So that you find yourself in front
13	of these two gentlemen, including Mr. Williams,
14	and you said you gave them the background
15	situation. Why don't you tell us what you told
16	them?
17	MR. FLEWELLING: From my
18	recollection, I advised them that there was a
19	subject of interest of ours that had flown in from
20	Switzerland I believe I used the word
21	"Zurich" to New York, and that once this
22	individual landed in New York, he was detained by
23	American authorities.
24	I believe at that time I was aware
25	through conversation with American colleagues that

1	the explanation that I got was that he was not
2	admitted into the United States, and where he was
3	not legally admitted into the United States, the
4	process by which they were going to remove him was
5	a term called "removal".
6	I asked them what the normal
7	process, procedure or law would be with respect to
8	removal, at which point I got a reply that the
9	normal procedure would be that they would place
10	him on an aircraft, normally the same aircraft
11	which flew him in, and at the expense of that
12	airline he would be flown back to the last port of
13	call prior to entering the United States.
14	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So that clearly
15	what you told these gentlemen was that would
16	you say a Canadian?
17	MR. FLEWELLING: I don't know
18	if I can't tell you whether or not I mentioned
19	whether he was Canadian, dual or I made any
20	reference. I'm not quite sure.
21	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Well, you said a
22	subject of interest. So it's possible you could
23	have said a Canadian. It's possible you could
24	have said a Canadian with Syrian citizenship as
25	well; a dual national, in other words?

1	MR. FLEWELLING: Honestly, I don't
2	remember.
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: It's possible.
4	In any event, however Mr. Arar's
5	status was characterized, you asked them what the
6	removal procedure would be?
7	MR. FLEWELLING: That's correct.
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And clearly you
9	are asking them about what the removal procedure
10	in the United States would be?
11	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
12	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Once again
13	Mr. Williams is going to testify that let me
14	just tell you, to give you total context here.
15	He does not recall the
16	conversation at all. He does not recall you. And
17	what he does say, though, is that he would never
18	offer an opinion on American law.
19	MR. FLEWELLING: The only thing I
20	can tell you, sir, is that I did go to the fourth
21	floor on that day, I did engage those two
22	individuals, I did solicit a response, and that
23	was the response that I got.
24	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So the response
25	that you are telling us that he gave you would

1	it be Mr. Williams or was it the other gentleman,
2	or do you remember?
3	MR. FLEWELLING: No, I don't.
4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Whoever it was
5	said that this individual, this Canadian or this
6	subject of interest, or however he was
7	characterized, would be put on a plane and brought
8	back to Switzerland from where he came
9	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
10	MR. CAVALLUZZO: at the plane's
11	expense or the airline's expense.
12	Did they tell you that?
13	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes, that was my
14	understanding.
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now that was the
16	very same information that you already knew in the
17	sense that you put that in your continuation
18	report, that he would be removed to Switzerland;
19	denied entry and confirmed what "A" Division told
20	you, that he would be removed to Switzerland.
21	The question I have is: Once
22	again, I don't understand why you would go up and
23	ask that question when you already had that
24	information.
25	MR. FLEWELLING: I don't know.

1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Let me suggest
2	something to you: that Syria came into the play.
3	That is, this idea that he might be deported to
4	Syria came into play, which made it a far more
5	complicated issue. And that as a result of that,
6	you went up to immigration and posed some
7	questions.
8	Is that possible?
9	MR. FLEWELLING: No, sir.
LO	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And I note for
L1	the record, although you don't recall this, that
L2	in the consular report, which is exhibit P-42, tab
L3	31, there is a specific reference to removal; that
L4	is that Mr. Arar has been charged under section
L5	235(c) of the Immigration Act of the United
L6	States, and sets out certain allegations which may
L7	have raised some questions as to the removal
L8	procedure in your mind.
L9	MR. FLEWELLING: No.
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: What did you do
21	with that information that you got from the
22	immigration and passport office?
23	MR. FLEWELLING: After that
24	meeting with the two gentlemen, I had to run
0.5	downstairs and attend another meeting. I helieve

1	that meeting was outside the office.
2	So right afterwards, I didn't do
3	anything with that information other than it
4	was to inform myself at that particular point in
5	time.
6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You didn't tell
7	Pilgrim?
8	MR. FLEWELLING: At that time, no.
9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Didn't tell Roy?
10	MR. FLEWELLING: No. I had to
11	rush off to another meeting.
12	MR. CAVALLUZZO: We do know that
13	on that particular day as well the A-OCANADA
14	people sent back information pursuant to the
15	request that you had faxed to them earlier in the
16	day?
17	MR. FLEWELLING: I believe so,
18	yes.
19	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Let me show you
20	the exhibit. It's exhibit P-172.
21	Do you have that in front of you?
22	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Let's just
24	correct certain matters. This is exhibit P-172.
25	It's dated October 2nd, but we

1	have corrected that. That should be October 4th.
2	Do you see that in the top right corner?
3	MR. FLEWELLING: There's a bit of
4	a sticky here that I can't
5	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay.
6	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes, it says
7	October 2nd?
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Yes, and it
9	should be October 4th.
LO	MR. FLEWELLING: Okay.
L1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: What A-OCANADA is
L2	saying to you and I assume this goes through,
L3	once again, head office and then on to the LO at
L4	headquarters and goes to the Americans from head
L5	office.
L6	Is that correct?
L7	MR. FLEWELLING: It would have
L8	gone that channel, I believe, yes.
L9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: What it says, if
20	I can just pick up a portion of it in the second
21	paragraph in 172, it says this is the second
22	sentence:
23	"We are requesting that our
24	investigators from Project
25	A-OCANADA be allowed access

1	to Arar for the purposes of
2	conducting an interview in
3	relation to our
4	investigation.
5	It is important to note that
6	the information contained in
7	the attached report only
8	addresses the issues raised.
9	Project A-OCANADA has
10	significant documentation on
11	this individual that could be
12	of assistance in your
13	investigation."
14	And then it's signed by somebody
15	from Project A-OCANADA, who I believe is Mr. Pat
16	Callaghan, once again.
17	What this document indicates is
18	two things: one, Project A-OCANADA is answering
19	the questions which have been directed by the
20	Americans, and the second thing it does is it is
21	saying to the Americans that we've got a lot more
22	information on this guy and presumably it could
23	and not presumably, it says which could be of
24	assistance in your investigation.
25	In other words, they are offering

1	more information if asked. Is that correct?
2	MR. FLEWELLING: It would appear
3	so, yes.
4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And then if we go
5	to the second page, we have the RCMP caveat.
6	"This document is the
7	property of the RCMP. It is
8	loaned"
9	And so on?
10	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
11	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And then in terms
12	of the answers, we have some of the unredacted
13	portions, for example, and paragraph iv) talks
14	about:
15	"In October 2001, Project
16	A-OCANADA investigators
17	conducted surveillance on
18	Abdullah Almalki and observed
19	him meeting with Arar at a
20	local Ottawa restaurant,
21	Mangos."
22	And so on.
23	Then on the next page, the
24	unredacted portion in paragraph 5) is:
25	"A link analygig hag yet to

1	be completed on Arar and
2	while he has had contact with
3	many individuals of interest
4	to this project we are unable
5	to indicate links to
6	al-Qaeda."
7	And then 6):
8	"This service does not hold
9	any information on this
LO	matter."
L1	And 7:
L2	"A detailed investigation
L3	into Maher Arar is not
L4	completed to date."
L5	So that by Friday afternoon, you
L6	have no idea as to whether this reached the
L7	Americans or whatever. But in any event, it
L8	appears to have been faxed on that day.
L9	Is that correct?
20	MR. FLEWELLING: I'm assuming with
21	the date that that would be a reasonable
22	assumption, yes.
23	As a matter of fact, in the
24	transmittal report it says it was at 5:08 p.m.
25	MR. CAVALLUZZO: A-OCANADA. as I

1	say, does two things here. One, they say we've
2	got a lot more information on this guy, if you
3	want it. And on top of it, we're interested in
4	interviewing him, presumably while he's in the
5	United States.
6	On that day, did you speak to
7	anybody else about Mr. Arar?
8	MR. FLEWELLING: October 4th?
9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Yes, Friday,
LO	October 4th?
L1	MR. FLEWELLING: That would have
L2	been Friday, October 4th. Yes.
L3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And who did you
L4	speak to?
L5	MR. FLEWELLING: I spoke to a
L6	representative from the American Embassy.
L7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: What time would
L8	that have been?
L9	MR. FLEWELLING: That would have
20	been, I believe, sometime after six o'clock that
21	evening.
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Your notes for
23	October 4th, as I pointed out earlier, do not
24	indicate that there was this phone call with this
25	American.

1	Do you agree with that?
2	MR. FLEWELLING: That's correct.
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And could you
4	tell us why you didn't enter that phone call?
5	MR. FLEWELLING: It was Friday
6	afternoon. I had packed up everything, and I was
7	on my way home when and on my way out when the
8	phone rang.
9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So you didn't
10	note that call?
11	MR. FLEWELLING: No, I did not.
12	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And what was the
13	substance of the call, taking into account that we
14	can't disclose NSC information? But was it about
15	Arar?
16	MR. FLEWELLING: Part of the
17	conversation definitely was, yes.
18	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Could you tell us
19	what he said about was it a he or a she?
20	MR. FLEWELLING: He.
21	MR. CAVALLUZZO: All right. Could
22	you tell us what he said about Arar?
23	MR. FLEWELLING: Basically after
24	discussing a couple of unrelated issues, I asked
25	him what the status was with Mr Arar at which

1	point he advised me that he was due to appear
2	before a hearing on the 9th of October.
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: That would be the
4	following Wednesday?
5	MR. FLEWELLING: I believe so.
6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right.
7	MR. FLEWELLING: That he would in
8	all likelihood be removed from the country and
9	sent back to Switzerland. That was still a
10	consistent message at that time.
11	Then we discussed that I'm just
12	trying to recall here the sequence of events.
13	I know that we had discussed that
14	once he arrived in Zurich, he could go just about
15	anywhere he so chose, whether it be Canada and/or
16	Syria. So I think at that time was one of the
17	first times that we actually discussed his dual
18	nationality in that respect.
19	MR. CAVALLUZZO: It's important.
20	He discussed Syria?
21	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes, in the
22	context that he would be free to go to Canada,
23	Syria, or anywhere for that matter.
24	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. That's
25	rather an odd choice, isn't it?

1	MR. FLEWELLING: Well, that was
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Here we have
3	someone that didn't perform his military service
4	in Syria in any event, what this person is
5	saying, is that once he gets to Zurich, he can go
6	to Canada or he can go to Syria because he is a
7	dual national. It's his choice.
8	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes. I had no
9	idea that he had anything about military, whatever
10	it was that you mentioned.
11	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. But you
12	do know that there are certain human rights
13	concerns about Syria?
14	MR. FLEWELLING: I knew that they
15	don't have the same system as we do.
16	MR. CAVALLUZZO: In fact, around
17	August the 15th of 2002, you had been party to
18	media lines concerning another Canadian, whose
19	name was Mr. El Maati, who made allegations that
20	he had been tortured while he was in Syria.
21	MR. FLEWELLING: On what date?
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: August the 15th
23	of 2002.
24	Do you want to go back to your
25	notes

1	MR. FLEWELLING: Would it suffice
2	if we look after what else we discussed on the
3	4th?
4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: No. I just want
5	to know the state of your mind on the 4th of
6	October, and I'm putting it to you that at least
7	about a month and a half before, you were aware
8	that something happened to a Canadian while he was
9	detained in Syria, indeed torture.
10	Pause
11	MR. FLEWELLING: I know that we
12	had a meeting, and I think, as I stated, I don't
13	totally recall exactly what transpired, what was
14	discussed in that meeting, but I know that Syria
15	does not have the same system as we do, or the
16	same level or standards that we do.
17	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. Now, I
18	wonder if you might just speculate for us? Now,
19	if the Americans were concerned about Mr. Arar
20	being a threat to them right? Just assume
21	that.
22	MR. FLEWELLING: Okay.
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: where do you
24	think they would prefer Mr. Arar to go? Do you
25	think they would prefer that he went to Canada or

1	that he went to Syria?
2	MR. FLEWELLING: I believe that he
3	was going before a legal system and that
4	arbitrarily he would have the opportunity to
5	present his facts before that tribunal.
6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: That wasn't the
7	question. The question was, once again: If the
8	Americans believed that Mr. Arar was a threat to
9	them, where do you think the Americans would
10	prefer him to go? To Canada, who they share a
11	border with, about 3,000 miles, or to Syria, which
12	is a few miles away?
13	MR. FLEWELLING: I don't know how
14	I can answer for them.
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You can't
16	speculate?
17	MR. FLEWELLING: That's right.
18	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay.
19	Now, you would agree with me
20	that in fact, you just told us, that one of the
21	things that Mr. Arar could do, if he went to
22	Switzerland, is obviously he could come to Canada?
23	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
24	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. And the
25	Americans to this point in time are telling you

1	that they are going to refuse him, basically,
2	direct entry into Canada?
3	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, if they're
5	refusing him direct entry into Canada, why do you
6	think they would permit indirect entry into Canada
7	through Switzerland?
8	MR. FLEWELLING: I don't know. I
9	don't know if I actually thought about that.
10	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Do you think it's
11	fair that the Americans viewed Mr. Arar to be a
12	threat to them?
13	MR. FLEWELLING: The only thing
14	that I can tell you is that our belief was that we
15	did not have enough supporting material to support
16	charges.
17	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Well, you didn't.
18	But I believe we've heard that the American
19	threshold for criminal charges is much less than
20	Canada's.
21	MR. FOTHERGILL: Commissioner,
22	could Mr. Cavalluzzo clarify the basis upon which
23	he asserts that the Americans regarded Arar as a
24	threat to them? I know we see that in the removal
25	order ultimately. But presumably we're situating

1	his line of questioning in terms of what the
2	witness knew at the time.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: I think that's
4	fair.
5	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And I guess what
6	I could rely on is the CAMANT note, which I've
7	just referred to, and the CAMANT note makes
8	specific reference to, on October the 3rd, and
9	probably earlier than that, they had given
LO	Mr. Arar notice with certain allegations, and let
L1	me read it to you:
L2	"You are not a citizen of the
L3	United States. You are a
L4	native of Syria and a citizen
L5	of Syria in Canada."
L6	Interesting how they emphasize the
L7	word "Syria," but in any event, we'll come back to
L8	that.
L9	"You arrived in the United
20	States on September the 26th
21	and applied for admission as
22	a non-immigrant."
23	And then it goes on in paragraph
24	4:
0.5	"Vollare a member of an

1	organization that has been
2	designated as the Secretary
3	of State as a foreign
4	terrorist organization, to
5	wit, al-Qaeda."
6	The list that the Secretary of
7	State has set as terrorist organizations indicate
8	that, in my respectful submission, that they view
9	al-Qaeda to be a threat to the United States and
10	that a member of al-Qaeda is a threat to the
11	United States.
12	MR. FOTHERGILL: I guess,
13	Commissioner, my point is, it's not clear that
14	that information was transmitted to Mr. Flewelling
15	at the relevant time.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: And I think
17	that's the point the witness should address,
18	whether or not what he was aware, how they viewed
19	him he had obviously received a call saying
20	they were interested in pursuing criminal charges
21	or looking at him but
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right.
23	You are aware from the fax that
24	you received from this American person on October
25	the 3rd that what the Americans were trying to do

1	was to link Mr. Arar to al-Qaeda because that's
2	what the answers we've just reviewed indicated.
3	MR. FLEWELLING: It was my
4	understanding that they were looking for
5	information in support of criminal charges.
6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. And
7	there's reference to al-Qaeda. Do you want me to
8	review that with you?
9	It's the answer that "Project
LO	A-OCANADA says that we cannot establish any links
L1	with al-Qaeda."
L2	So clearly the Americans were
L3	asking about information, trying to establish that
L4	he was a member of al-Qaeda.
L5	MR. FLEWELLING: That must be a
L6	speculation that they made in their response.
L7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right, right.
L8	But in any event, whether it's speculation or not,
L9	if they're trying to tie this person in to
20	al-Qaeda, do you not think that they if they
21	could establish, that they would view him to be a
22	threat to the United States?
23	MR. FLEWELLING: Any discussions
24	that I had with the American officials was in
25	relation to supporting criminal charges.

1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right? Criminal
2	charges for what? Not that he was a bank robber.
3	MR. FLEWELLING: The terms or
4	reference of the charges that they were looking
5	at, I don't recall them bringing it forward. We
6	never discussed the organization or the term
7	"al-Qaeda" in any of my conversations.
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: But it was in the
9	fax.
10	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
11	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So you must have
12	surmised, if they were referring to al-Qaeda in
13	the fax and they wanted information that Canada
14	had relating to his association with al-Qaeda, you
15	must have surely you must have understood that
16	they were trying to charge him criminally as being
17	a member of al-Qaeda.
18	MR. FLEWELLING: Not necessarily
19	al-Qaeda but perhaps terrorism, yes.
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Did they mention
21	any other terrorist organizations? Is Hamas
22	mentioned?
23	MR. FLEWELLING: Pardon me?
24	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Is Hamas
25	mentioned?

1	MR. FLEWELLING: No.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Is Hezbollah?
3	MR. FLEWELLING: No.
4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: The only one I
5	see is al-Qaeda.
6	MR. FLEWELLING: In the response
7	that "A" Division sent, yes.
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Well, let's not
9	play with that one. I've seen the fax that came
10	from the Americans and I didn't see any terrorist
11	organization other than al-Qaeda mentioned, unless
12	I missed something?
13	MR. FLEWELLING: Could very well
14	have. I'm just going by my recollection and by
15	the conversation that I had.
16	MR. CAVALLUZZO: But you cannot
17	recall, anyway, any organization other than
18	al-Qaeda being mentioned?
19	MR. FLEWELLING: No.
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Let us
21	come then to Saturday.
22	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: On Saturday,
24	October the 5th, we had a very important telephone
25	call?

1	MR. FLEWELLING: Again, it was
2	late in the afternoon on Saturday, I believe,
3	October the 5th.
4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. And let us
5	go to your notes at page 39. Do you see that?
6	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And it says,
8	Saturday, October 5th. And it says is that
9	1810? The photocopying, it looks like it may
10	have
11	MR. FLEWELLING: I believe so.
12	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So it would be
13	6:10 in the afternoon?
14	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, I have a
16	note at the top, it says PID. Could you tell us
17	what that stands for? Beside the date?
18	MR. FLEWELLING: RTO.
19	MR. CAVALLUZZO: RTO. That means
20	"Rotation time off"?
21	MR. FLEWELLING: "Regular time
22	off."
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. And this
24	is a telephone call?
25	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.

1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And it's from
2	whom?
3	MR. FLEWELLING: It would be from
4	a representative from the American Embassy.
5	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Same person as
6	called you at six o'clock the night before?
7	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Very same person?
9	MR. FLEWELLING: Same person.
10	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Why don't you
11	read that for us because your handwriting is a
12	little difficult at this part?
13	MR. FLEWELLING:
14	"Received call from in
15	relation to Maher Arar. The
16	(blank) in New York were
17	unable to read A-OCANADA
18	report, and he wanted to have
19	the report"
20	I believe it's "refaxed".
21	"Secondly, the (blank)
22	appeared that they did not
23	have enough information to
24	make the charges stick. They
25	would be looking at deporting

1	Arar. Where Arar has dual
2	citizenship and that he has
3	to be deported to Canada,
4	(blank) wanted to know our
5	interest in Arar and can the
6	RCMP refuse Arar's entry into
7	Canada. I stated that where
8	he has Canadian citizenship
9	and that there was not enough
10	evidence to support charges
11	in the U.S., let alone
12	Canada, the likelihood is
13	that, no, we could not refuse
14	him entry."
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Now, this
16	telephone call, in effect what this person, this
17	American from whatever agency is saying to you is
18	that really two things: One, that we don't
19	have enough to make criminal charges stick and
20	convict him; and the other thing he's saying is
21	that Arar's a dual citizenship or Arar's a dual
22	citizen, he's asked to be deported to Canada, do
23	you guys have to accept him or can you charge him
24	criminally?
25	MR. FLEWELLING: I looked at it in

1	the frame that they were wondering whether or not
2	we had any additional information to assist them,
3	and then, secondly, I viewed it as an
4	investigator, or an investigative body,
5	ascertaining whether or not we had any laws that
6	would prevent Mr. Arar from coming to Canada or
7	how else was it put?
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Can you refuse
9	him entry?
10	MR. FLEWELLING: Can we refuse him
11	entry? I looked at it as an administrative
12	question as to what our laws were.
13	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I would agree
14	with that except for one thing. He says Mr. Arar
15	has said he would like to be deported to Canada?
16	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
17	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And then he says,
18	"Can you refuse him entry?" Isn't there a signal
19	there to you?
20	MR. FLEWELLING: I didn't take it
21	as a signal, no.
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: But, you see, he
23	mentions dual citizenship.
24	Right?
25	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.

1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: That means there
2	are two options: Canada, Syria?
3	Right?
4	MR. FLEWELLING: I would agree
5	with that.
6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. And then
7	he says, "He's opted for Canada, do you guys have
8	to take him"?
9	MR. FLEWELLING: It's my
10	understanding in a deportation hearing, now that
11	they're using the word, that he has a say in that
12	process.
13	Now, if I looked at it from the
14	point of view is that if we had laws, or that we
15	would want to prevent him from coming to Canada,
16	that, from an administrative point of view, they'd
17	have to go elsewhere or make alternate
18	arrangements, because it is my understanding that
19	deportation is the host country, or country of
20	origin or the host country has to accept him.
21	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. When did
22	you learn that?
23	MR. FLEWELLING: That was just my
24	general understanding of the deportation process.
25	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. But he's

1	saying: "Dual national, wants to come to Canada;
2	do you guys have to accept him?"
3	And you interpreted that you
4	didn't interpret that to mean: You know what? I
5	think these guys may want to send him to Syria?
6	MR. FLEWELLING: No.
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Because what he's
8	asking us, he's asking us, can we charge him
9	criminally? Because if we charge him criminally,
10	we'll get him off the streets and he won't be a
11	threat to us in the United States, and if they
12	can't charge him criminally, then, the next
13	question is, do you have to accept him? And when
14	you say, yes, we have to accept him, doesn't that
15	indicate to you that he's going, okay, I guess
16	where Mr. Arar is going to end up is going to be
17	his other country of citizenship
18	MR. FLEWELLING: I honestly
19	thought I honestly thought by stating that no,
20	we could not refuse him entry and, no, we did not
21	have sufficient evidence to support any charges
22	would assist him in him coming back to Canada.
23	Secondly, I also thought, at the
24	time, that he was going before a hearing on
25	Wednesday, that he had a court process by which he

1	could make whatever argument needed to be made at
2	that time.
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: But didn't it
4	seem you said there's a couple of things you
5	said that I'd like to ask you about.
6	You said that you honestly thought
7	that by us telling him that we couldn't charge him
8	that that would have assisted Mr. Arar is that
9	what you just told us?
10	MR. FLEWELLING: Well, obviously
11	they are putting together what appeared to me was
12	a final submission or preparing a file for
13	whatever case that they were doing.
14	MR. CAVALLUZZO: No, but let's
15	look at that. You're saying that you thought that
16	they thought that if I told them we couldn't
17	charge him criminally, that that would assist
18	Mr. Arar's case. That's what you said?
19	MR. FLEWELLING: Well, obviously
20	they would have to release him.
21	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Yes, but for the
22	last year, what Americans were doing you must
23	have been aware of this, it's one of their ways of
24	fighting terrorism was getting people off the
25	street, putting them somewhere, and not even

1	charging them. There are 600 people in Guantanamo
2	Bay that are still not charged.
3	Weren't you aware that that was an
4	American policy? To get people off the street
5	that they thought were terrorists?
6	MR. FLEWELLING: I had never heard
7	of a process which took place to Mr. Arar ever
8	occurring in the United States. It did not occur
9	to me at all.
10	MR. CAVALLUZZO: No, but were you
11	aware of that American policy, which was called
12	the clean the streets of terrorist policy? Never
13	mind what the charges are, just get rid of them
14	for now? Never heard of that?
15	MR. FLEWELLING: Never crossed my
16	mind one bit.
17	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, the other
18	question I have is you're, certainly to this point
19	in time, you've been told on a couple of occasions
20	at least that the Americans are going to refuse
21	his direct entry into Canada.
22	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Did you
24	not think that because of that information that
25	this was a very strange question coming from this

1	American individual?
2	MR. FLEWELLING: It did not cross
3	my mind at the time.
4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Do you think in
5	retrospect that this American was sending some
6	signals to you?
7	MR. FLEWELLING: No.
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: No?
9	Now, what did you do as a result
10	of this telephone call? Did you advise anybody
11	that you had the telephone call on the weekend?
12	MR. FLEWELLING: I recalled two
13	occasions well, one occasion, on that night, I
14	gave a call to Mr. Ron Lauzon.
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And Ron Lauzon
16	was your supervisor at that time?
17	MR. FLEWELLING: That is correct.
18	MR. CAVALLUZZO: All right. Did
19	you call anybody else on that weekend?
20	MR. FLEWELLING: No. The
21	following day, I sent a message to the
22	investigative team, with A-OCANADA, and then on
23	the morning of the 7th, I informed Mr. Pilgrim as
24	well as, again, I informed Mr. Ron Lauzon of what
25	transpired over the weekend

1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. I just
2	want to clarify something in respect of that, and
3	then I think we should have a break, but
4	Pause
5	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I just want to
6	clarify. If you could be shown your statement to
7	Mr. Garvie, which is exhibit 221.
8	Pause
9	If you refer Mr. Flewelling to
10	page 6, at line 28, I guess, Garvie asks the
11	question:
12	"Did you have any other
13	involvement in this file
14	prior to going on
15	personal leave on the morning
16	of October 8th?"
17	And then you respond:
18	"I came in to work on Monday,
19	I notified both Lauzon and
20	Superintendent Pilgrim of
21	what transpired over the
22	weekend, and I can't think of
23	what else I had done that
24	day."
25	So if you could just clarify for

1	us? You told us just now that you told Pilgrim on
2	Monday morning. Is it possible you may have told
3	him on the weekend?
4	MR. FLEWELLING: At one point I
5	had thought that I had actually called either
6	it was Superintendent Pilgrim or Ron Lauzon.
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. What is
8	your best recollection today?
9	MR. FLEWELLING: My best
10	recollection as of this time is it was Ron Lauzon.
11	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. But did
12	you also is it possible you also called
13	Pilgrim, or does your recollection tell you you
14	told Pilgrim on Monday morning?
15	MR. FLEWELLING: Oh, I had a few
16	meetings with Pilgrim on the Monday.
17	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. So you
18	didn't call him on the weekend?
19	MR. FLEWELLING: No.
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Mr. Commissioner,
21	it's now 10:40. It may be an appropriate time for
22	a break.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. We'll
24	take a 15-minute break.
25	THE REGISTRAR: Please stand.

1	Upon recessing at 10:40 a.m. /
2	Suspension à 10 h 40
3	Upon resuming at 10:58 a.m. /
4	Reprise à 10 h 58
5	THE REGISTRAR: Please be seated.
6	Veuillez vous asseoir.
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Mr. Flewelling, I
8	would like to move on to Monday, October 7th. But
9	before doing that, I just want to bring your mind
LO	back once again to that phone call you had on
L1	Friday, October the 4th, after six o'clock, when
L2	you were leaving the office.
L3	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
L4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Do you recall
L5	that telephone conversation?
L6	I would ask whether you recall
L7	speaking with this American individual about, you
L8	know, rather than sending him to Switzerland, why
L9	don't you drive him up to the Canadian border, or
20	words to that effect?
21	MR. FLEWELLING: That is correct.
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And was that
23	exactly what you said?
24	Why don't you just, from your
25	memory, as far as that aspect is concerned, tell

1	us what you said.
2	MR. FLEWELLING: I recall the
3	individual telling me that Mr. Arar had indicated
4	that he wished to come to Canada, at which point I
5	raised the question: Well, why not send him to
6	Canada?
7	I was left with the impression
8	that it was a very real possibility that that
9	indeed may take place.
10	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And this
11	conversation, was that on the Friday or was that
12	on the Saturday?
13	MR. FLEWELLING: That would have
14	been on the Saturday hang on here. That would
15	have been on the Friday night.
16	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So on the Friday
17	night you said, "Why not send him to Canada?"
18	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
19	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You told us that
20	on the weekend you spoke to Mr. Lauzon about the
21	phone call on the 5th. And then when you showed
22	up for work on Monday, October the 7th I
23	understand that that was going to be a vacation
24	day, but you came in.
25	Why don't you tell us what your

1	status was on that date?
2	MR. FLEWELLING: Initially I was
3	going to be going away on vacation; however, I
4	came in to deal with another matter. I can't
5	recall exactly why I ended up coming in for the
6	Monday, but my vacation was delayed a day.
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Did you send an
8	e-mail on the Sunday, October 6th?
9	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes, I believe
10	so.
11	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I would like
12	to introduce an exhibit now, a new exhibit.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: 227.
14	EXHIBIT NO. 227: E-mail to
15	Pat Callaghan from Richard
16	Flewelling, dated October 6th
17	MR. CAVALLUZZO: This is an e-mail
18	that you sent on the Sunday. It is from yourself
19	and I understand it's to Pat Callaghan, who is one
20	of the supervisors of Project A-OCANADA?
21	MR. FLEWELLING: I believe so.
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And just let me
23	read it so the public is aware of what we are
24	talking about.
25	It says:

1	"Apparently your report was
2	received on Friday, however,
3	it was received in a
4	non-legible state. Can you
5	re-send a copy to"
6	Whoever the American person is.
7	And that is, of course, the report
8	that was faxed out on the Friday.
9	And then it goes on. This is
LO	about the phone call the day before:
L1	"This American advised that
L2	the"
L3	That should be "trial".
L4	" is slated for
L5	Wednesday"
L6	Which you told us, October the
L7	9th; right?
L8	MR. FLEWELLING: That's correct.
L9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: "and it looks
20	like they do not have enough
21	evidence to support charges.
22	It would appear that Arar is
23	requesting to be deported to
24	Canada following the trial.
25	[This American individual]

1	would like to know if we have
2	any objections or laws that
3	would prevent Canada from
4	accepting him into the
5	country."
6	And then it goes on:
7	"The answer I gave to [this
8	individual] is where Arar is
9	a Canadian citizen, we cannot
10	refuse him entry into the
11	country. We would most
12	certainly want to know where
13	he is coming and any
14	information obtained by U.S.
15	authorities that would assist
16	in building a case against
17	Arar. We will have to follow
18	up on this further on
19	Monday."
20	And then it goes on:
21	"One area of minor concern,
22	and perhaps you may have
23	already looked at it
24	therefore making this
25	question a moot point.

1	However, I just want to make
2	sure this area is looked
3	after so I can answer the
4	question Monday: Have you
5	touched base with"
б	And the name is redacted, but I
7	understand that that is a member from CSIS.
8	MR. FLEWELLING: Correct.
9	MR. CAVALLUZZO:
10	"Have you touched base with
11	[this particular member of
12	CSIS] on this issue? If not,
13	we may want to call him to
14	fill in him on what is going
15	on and let him know that in
16	responding to this American
17	request information has been
18	alluded to in the report. I
19	will be in on Monday now. I
20	will be leaving on Tuesday in
21	lieu. Rick."
22	So, it would indicate from this
23	e-mail and in particular I'm looking at the
24	third paragraph, second sentence:
25	"We would most certainly want

1	to know when he is coming and
2	any information obtained by
3	U.S. authorities that would
4	assist in building the case
5	against Arar."
6	So it would indicate from this
7	that as of the Sunday, October the 6th, when you
8	say "we want to know when he is coming"
9	MR. FLEWELLING: Correct.
10	MR. CAVALLUZZO: your view is
11	that he is coming to Canada?
12	MR. FLEWELLING: I maintain that
13	view, yes. And if I can go back for just a second
14	to the conversation that I had with him on I
15	believe it was the Friday evening, I also
16	suggested that if he does come to Canada, that I'm
17	quite sure that the investigators from the Project
18	A-OCANADA team would like to speak to him in order
19	to clear up their issues that they want to discuss
20	with him; as well, if need be, that they could
21	employ any investigative avenues that they so
22	deemed necessary.
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. But I
24	just want to make very clear that when you say
25	"when he is coming"

1	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: clearly you
3	are saying in your view, on Sunday, October the
4	6th, he is coming to Canada; not to Syria, not to
5	Switzerland. He is coming
6	MR. FLEWELLING: I was still of
7	the impression and I think that that same
8	message was being conveyed to Mr. Callaghan
9	that he was definitely coming to Canada.
10	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And then it goes
11	on and you say basically:
12	" and then any information
13	the Americans have that would
14	assist us in building a case
15	against Arar, we should try
16	to get that information."
17	MR. FLEWELLING: Any information
18	that they had, we were dearly interested in
19	finding out what it was.
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You received this
21	e-mail. It went to Pat Callaghan, and I
22	understand that you received an e-mail from
23	Mr. Callaghan on the Monday, October 7th.
24	Is that correct?
25	MR FIFWFILING: I helieve so

1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And I would like
2	to introduce now another new exhibit.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: 228.
4	EXHIBIT NO. 228: E-mail from
5	Pat Callaghan to Rick
6	Flewelling, dated October 7th
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And this e-mail,
8	if we start at the bottom, it's Mr. Callaghan
9	at "A" Division. It is sent at 10:40 a.m., and it
10	says:
11	"Hi, Rick. I spoke with"
12	This is an American that he is
13	referring to.
14	"He indicated that he
15	received the fax from us on
16	Friday in illegible state.
17	It was when he sent it to
18	[whoever] that they received
19	an illegible copy. He was
20	able to have NOC send it
21	directly to [whoever the
22	individual is]. This was not
23	a problem involving
24	A-OCANADA."
25	And then it goes on:

1	"We are still seeking to
2	interview Arar. [Somebody]
3	indicated that [somebody] was
4	dealing with our request"
5	Et cetera, et cetera.
6	And then you respond at some time
7	later, at 10:53, and you are referring to a
8	particular news article in the National Post and
9	so on, and there is no more reference to Mr. Arar
10	in that e-mail.
11	Did you have a telephone
12	conversation with Mr. Callaghan on Monday?
13	MR. FLEWELLING: Through
14	preparation, I learned that I had one later on
15	that day, yes.
16	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And was it
17	about was it about Mr. Arar?
18	MR. FLEWELLING: I believe so. I
19	would have to refresh my memory.
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: In terms of that
21	day, if we go back to that timeline that we shared
22	with you
23	THE COMMISSIONER: What number was
24	that again?
25	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I'm just getting

1	it. It's exhibit 226.
2	And if we go to page 3 for the
3	entry for October 7th, we see at 8:30, it says:
4	"Corporal Rick Flewelling
5	sent an e-mail indicating
6	that it would appear U.S.
7	authorities would not have
8	enough evidence to charge
9	Arar and therefore would
10	release him and they would
11	most likely deport him to
12	Canada."
13	And then if you go to page 5,
14	these are the last entries for that date, October
15	7th, and I'm referring now to four lines down
16	where it says and this is A-OCANADA talking:
17	"As such we decided that we
18	would cancel our plans to
19	travel to New York and await
20	Arar's deportation to Canada
21	and approach him at that time
22	for an interview. Also
23	discussed was the possibility
24	of making arrangements for
25	somebody to follow Arar for a

1	few days upon his return to
2	Canada after which we would
3	endeavour to interview him."
4	And then it says:
5	"[Somebody] contacted
6	[somebody] and advised that
7	we would not be travelling to
8	New York to conduct the
9	interview. That person was
LO	asked to provide the
L1	projected travel itinerary
L2	for Arar, specifically his
L3	arrival and location into
L4	Canada."
L5	And then it says:
L6	"One of the members of
L7	A-OCANADA contacted Rick
L8	Flewelling and advised him of
L9	our position not to travel to
20	New York."
21	So that from this document it
22	would appear that when you left or around the
23	time you were leaving for vacation that you were
24	of the view, or at least had been advised by
25	Project A-OCANADA, that they had given up their

1	intention to interview Mr. Arar in New York.
2	Is that correct?
3	MR. FLEWELLING: That is correct,
4	and that there would be a wait and see what
5	transpired on October 9th once he went through his
6	proceedings there.
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, when you
8	went on vacation on October the 8th, which would
9	be the Tuesday, who was going to be filling in for
10	you in respect of your duties and responsibilities
11	of being overseer of A-OCANADA?
12	MR. FOTHERGILL: Commissioner,
13	this might be a name that we would prefer to
14	protect, unless there is a compelling reason why
15	the name needs to be disclosed. I don't think
16	it's a name that's been disclosed previously.
17	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I'm prepared to
18	go along with that. We'll call this Mr. A,
19	because his name is going to come up the next day
20	as well.
21	So that Mr. A was going to fill in
22	for your duties and responsibilities while you
23	were away?
24	MR. FLEWELLING: As well as
25	Mr. Lauzon.

1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. And Mr. A
2	and Mr. Lauzon would be overseeing Project
3	A-OCANADA during that period of time?
4	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
5	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Did you brief
6	Mr. A on the status of, if we can call it, the
7	Arar file?
8	MR. FLEWELLING: We worked quite
9	extensively together, and it was my belief that he
LO	was aware of what had been going on up to that
L1	point, yes.
L2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And when would
L3	you have left on Monday, the office?
L4	MR. FLEWELLING: I would have to
L5	take a look at my notes.
L6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: We don't have any
L7	notes for that day as I assume there are no Arar
L8	entries.
L9	MR. FLEWELLING: No, I was dealing
20	actually with the events that you have on that
21	e-mail. I'm assuming approximately four o'clock,
22	in around that area.
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So that when you
24	leave for vacation in the evening of Monday,
25	October 7th, two things or at least three

1	things that seem to be important as far as Arar is
2	concerned.
3	One is he is going to have a
4	deportation hearing on Wednesday, October the 9th?
5	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Is that correct?
7	MR. FLEWELLING: That's correct.
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Second, he is
9	likely to be returned, removed, whatever, to at
10	this point in time you think it's Canada, or do
11	you still think it's Switzerland to Canada, or
12	whatever?
13	MR. FLEWELLING: One of the two.
14	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So you think it's
15	either going to be Switzerland or Canada?
16	MR. FLEWELLING: I was
17	anticipating Canada.
18	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. And the
19	third point is that at least at this point in time
20	that A-OCANADA has taken off the table, so to
21	speak, their intent to go to New York to interview
22	Mr. Arar?
23	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
24	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Before we move
25	on, in respect of Mr. Roy, do you recall Mr. Roy

1	sharing with you at any time any documents from
2	DFAIT relating to Mr. Arar?
3	MR. FLEWELLING: I don't recall.
4	However, there would be one way of finding out,
5	and that would be if there are any documents with
6	my initials, then that would be the only way I
7	could determine.
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Well, we don't
9	have we have documents that came from DFAIT, so
LO	that they wouldn't have your initials.
L1	Perhaps the easiest way is if I
L2	could show them to you.
L3	Could you refer to exhibit P-42.
L4	THE COMMISSIONER: Volume 1?
L5	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Volume 1, yes.
L6	MR. FLEWELLING: What number was
L7	that again?
L8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Let's start at
L9	tab 10. This is a CAMANT note dated October 1:
20	"Brother called this morning
21	in a state of panic. He said
22	that subject was able to call
23	him this morning from MDC and
24	informed him that he would be
25	deported back to Syria where

1	he was born."
2	Do you recall Mr. Roy sharing that
3	document with you?
4	MR. FLEWELLING: No, although the
5	contents I learned at a much later time.
6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. But we
7	are talking about now before October 7th when you
8	left for vacation?
9	MR. FLEWELLING: No, not to my
10	knowledge.
11	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Let's go to tab
12	22. This is a CAMANT note which is dated October
13	2nd, and it concerns a call from a friend of
14	Mr. Arar and them retaining or finding a lawyer.
15	MR. FLEWELLING: I learned
16	through I believe it was Mr. Cabana or
17	Mr. Callaghan relaying to me a message about the
18	fact that Mr. Cabana had a discussion with
19	Mr. Arar's lawyer in Ottawa and that they were
20	making arrangements for a lawyer.
21	MR. CAVALLUZZO: This refers to a
22	lawyer in New York, though, not in Ottawa. Were
23	you
24	MR. FLEWELLING: This specific
25	no, I learned the fact that he was getting a

1	lawyer through Mr. Cabana.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: That he was
3	getting a lawyer in New York
4	MR. FLEWELLING: In New York.
5	MR. CAVALLUZZO: That's fine. You
6	didn't see this then.
7	Let us go then to tab 31, which we
8	referred to earlier as the consular visit. This
9	is where Mr. Arar receives a document, a factual
10	allegation of admissibility under section 235(c)
11	of the Immigration and Nationality Act.
12	Do you recall seeing a copy of
13	this?
14	MR. FLEWELLING: No.
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You never saw
16	this.
17	And finally tab 35, which is dated
18	October the 3rd as well, about speaking to a
19	lawyer who will contact the MDC to arrange to
20	visit Mr. Arar.
21	MR. FLEWELLING: No, I don't
22	recall this.
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Do you recall
24	Mr. Roy sharing any documents with you?
25	MR. FLEWELLING: With respect to

1	this, I don't recall him sharing any documents
2	with me.
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You are on
4	vacation from October the 8th until October 16th?
5	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And when did you
7	learn that Mr. Arar had been deported from the
8	United States?
9	MR. FLEWELLING: I had learned
10	that through a phone conversation with Mr. A.
11	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And when do you
12	recall Mr. A having called you?
13	MR. FLEWELLING: In exploring my
14	telephone records, the only plausible date would
15	have to be May the 11th, and I called him
16	MR. CAVALLUZZO: May?
17	MR. FLEWELLING: according to
18	the records.
19	MR. CAVALLUZZO: It certainly
20	wouldn't be May.
21	MR. FLEWELLING: Sorry, not May,
22	but it would have been October the 11th. I
23	believe that's what it is. I would have to take a
24	look.
25	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now,

## StenoTran

1	Commissioner, I'm going to show the witness some
2	cell phone records. There is a great deal of
3	personal information on this, and I will just show
4	it to him, and the relevant portions will be
5	shared with counsel for the other parties once we
6	remove the personal information.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: So we don't
8	need to enter it as an exhibit now.
9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: That's correct.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
11	Pause
12	MR. FLEWELLING: It would be 15th
13	at approximately 12:32, is the only plausible time
14	I can recall. And that would be where I made a
15	phone call to sorry.
16	MR. CAVALLUZZO: The October 15th,
17	12:32, is that what you are referring to?
18	MR. FLEWELLING: I'm just trying
19	to look here.
20	Pause
21	MR. FLEWELLING: No, it would have
22	been the 11th, and I'm looking at the number, and
23	that would have been at 1406.
24	MR. CAVALLUZZO: At 1406 on the
25	11+h2

1	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You don't have to
3	tell us what the number is, but would that number,
4	the prefix is 993, what number is that?
5	MR. FLEWELLING: That would have
6	been Mr. A's phone number.
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. And you're
8	calling from Welsford?
9	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
10	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And that is
11	where?
12	MR. FLEWELLING: In New Brunswick.
13	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right.
14	Now, you told us before, and this
15	certainly isn't privileged information, you told
16	us before that you were sure that the phone call
17	was on October the 8th.
18	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
19	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And you were also
20	sure that it was on your way to New Brunswick, and
21	that, in fact, you mentioned the place that you
22	were at where you took the own call, it was a
23	place something Perth
24	MR. FLEWELLING: Perth-Andover.
25	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Perth-Andover.

1	That's what you told us before. It was on October
2	the 8th, it was in Perth-Andover, and there are
3	indications here on this phone record, for
4	example, that there were phone calls on October
5	the 8th.
6	However, it would appear that it
7	was, for example, the two bottom calls, incoming
8	calls from your cell phone record, and the call
9	location I understand that's where the call is
10	from. It says Montreal.
11	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
12	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So could you tell
13	us why you told us before it was October the 8th
14	and
15	MR. FLEWELLING: I must have had
16	it mixed up with another trip that I had taken
17	down I had gone down there a couple of times
18	over the course of the last year or two and
19	MR. CAVALLUZZO: In any event,
20	you're telling us now that it's October 11th?
21	MR. FLEWELLING: After reviewing
22	my phone bill, that's the only plausible time, and
23	the only one that makes sense to me is that it
24	was that it was on the 11th at approximately
25	14 it looks like 14 1406 1404, 1406, one

1	of those two.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: This was with
3	Mr. A?
4	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
5	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You were just
6	happening to be calling into the office?
7	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Did he call you
9	or were you calling him?
10	MR. FLEWELLING: I believe that I
11	called him, having reviewed my records, that it
12	was me who called him.
13	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Because
14	previously you said he called you?
15	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
16	MR. CAVALLUZZO: But you're sure
17	today it was on well, at least you say the most
18	plausible time is October the 11th
19	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: and that you
21	would have called Mr. A, and at that point he
22	would have told you that Mr. Arar had been
23	deported?
24	MR. FLEWELLING: Obviously an
25	error in my recollection.

1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: In terms of that
2	were you surprised with the information you
3	received from Mr. A?
4	MR. FLEWELLING: Absolutely. I
5	had every reason to believe that he was either
6	going to coming to Canada or going to Zurich.
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Did Mr. A
8	indicate anything?
9	MR. FLEWELLING: He advised me
10	that he had received a phone call from one of the
11	individuals from the American Embassy notifying
12	him that Mr. Arar had been removed from the States
13	and taken to Syria.
14	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Just out of
15	interest, I noted that the information that you
16	sent to the Americans, at least that A-OCANADA
17	sent to the Americans on October 4th had that
18	caveat.
19	Do you know if the Americans, if
20	they were going to use any if they did use any
21	of the information that was received from the
22	Canadians on October the 4th, whether they sought
23	permission from the RCMP to use that information
24	against Mr. Arar?
25	MR. FLEWELLING: I don't recall

1	seeing any requests coming in to use any of that
2	information, no.
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Is it likely, in
4	your position, that you would have seen that
5	request? Although you may have been on vacation,
6	in retrospect, would you have seen that request
7	when you came back?
8	MR. FLEWELLING: Assuming that it
9	had arrived, I would have seen it, or I would have
10	been advised that it came in through my
11	counterparts at A-OCANADA.
12	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Just a couple
13	of one final question before we leave your
14	phone records.
15	As I pointed out earlier, there
16	are two incoming calls to you, one at 11:05 for
17	five minutes and one at 11:23 for two minutes.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: This is on
19	October 8th?
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: October 8th,
21	that's correct. The two bottom entries.
22	And obviously you receive those
23	calls. I guess when you were in the Montreal
24	area, on your way to New Brunswick. Is it
25	possible that those two calls came from Mr. A?

1	MR. FLEWELLING: I don't believe
2	so.
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Do you recall who
4	those phone calls came from?
5	MR. FLEWELLING: No.
6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I notice that you
7	use this phone very infrequently. There's not a
8	lot of calls on here?
9	MR. FLEWELLING: It's my personal
10	phone.
11	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. Do you
12	use it for business or just personal calls?
13	MR. FLEWELLING: I would use it
14	for business from time to time.
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And so that Mr. A
16	would have your cell phone number?
17	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
18	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Leaving aside
19	Mr. A, is it possible that those two calls on
20	October the 8th came from somebody in the RCMP
21	relating to a file such as Mr. Arar's?
22	MR. FLEWELLING: Could you repeat
23	the question, please?
24	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Yes. There are
25	only two phone calls on October the 8th?

1	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: They occurred
3	when you're travelling to New Brunswick for a
4	holiday?
5	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And the question
7	that I have, is it possible that those two calls
8	came from somebody in the RCMP relating to
9	Mr. Arar?
10	MR. FLEWELLING: I don't believe
11	so.
12	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You just have no
13	recollection of who those calls came from?
14	THE COMMISSIONER: That same
15	number shows up with incoming calls a number of
16	times on the next page.
17	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Commissioner,
18	that's his number.
19	MR. FLEWELLING: That's my number.
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: When you have an
21	incoming call with your own
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, I see.
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Obviously you've
24	never
25	THE COMMISSIONER: I've got it.

1	It's obvious I've never looked at my cell phone
2	book.
3	MR. FLEWELLING: It could very
4	well have been my wife calling me. She didn't
5	accompany me on that trip.
6	But I don't recall taking any
7	calls in and around the Montreal area in relation
8	to work.
9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay then. If we
10	could move on, if we look at exhibit P-180, it's a
11	briefing note for the Commissioner of the RCMP
12	which is dated October 9th.
13	Pause
14	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, do you know
15	who drafted it is dated October the 9th. Do
16	you know who drafted this particular briefing
17	note?
18	MR. FLEWELLING: Exactly, no.
19	However, it could be one of two people.
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. And who
21	are those individuals? Mr. A would be one?
22	MR. FLEWELLING: I believe Mr. A
23	and/or Sergeant Lauzon.
24	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay.
25	It says, the unredacted portions,

1	in terms of background, and it's under
2	Mr. Proulx's name for Commissioner Zaccardelli for
3	October the 9th, it says:
4	"CID NSOS learned" (As
5	read)
6	Excuse me, let's me pick up the
7	previous paragraph:
8	"Arar's present circumstances
9	are unknown at this time and
10	CID is attempting to secure
11	this information. CID
12	learned that Project
13	A-OCANADA investigators had
14	submitted a request to (this
15	American person) to interview
16	Arar while he was detained in
17	New York. RCMP investigators
18	were concerned as to what
19	grounds they were holding
20	Arar, if Arar had volunteered
21	any information to U.S.
22	authorities relating to
23	activities and which country
24	Arar would be returning to if
25	he was deported. According

1	to A-OCANADA investigators,
2	(somebody) had some
3	unidentified issues regarding
4	an RCMP interview of Arar.
5	During this process it was
6	determined that whatever
7	(somebody) eventually decided
8	to return Arar to his country
9	of birth. Although Arar's
10	role in the bearing on the
11	outcome" (As read)
12	Excuse me.
13	"Although Arar's role in the
14	A-OCANADA investigation is
15	still not known,
16	investigators indicated that
17	his detainment in Syria has
18	no bearing on the outcome or
19	integrity of this
20	investigation." (As read)
21	So this would have been either
22	Lauzon or Mr. A who drafted this for Mr. Proulx
23	for the Commissioner?
24	MR. FLEWELLING: I believe so,
25	Ves.

1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, on
2	Wednesday or excuse me, on Tuesday, October the
3	8th, although you were on vacation, the evidence
4	indicates that sometime during the day, that
5	Mr. Roy indicated to the A-OCANADA investigators
6	that there's the real possibility of a deport or
7	removal to Syria? Subsequently you became aware
8	of that, I assume.
9	MR. FLEWELLING: Correct.
10	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay.
11	And at that point in time, when
12	the real possibility of Syria arose on October the
13	8th, the assumption as well was that Mr. Arar was
14	going to deportation court the next day, on
15	October the 9th.
16	MR. FLEWELLING: That was my
17	understanding.
18	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And the evidence
19	also indicates that Project A-OCANADA became very
20	circumspect about an interview, because they were
21	concerned in fact, let me just read the time
22	line to you, just to be fair to you. It's once
23	again exhibit 226.
24	Pause
25	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You'll see it for

1	October 8th, if we could start at page 5 of the
2	exhibit or the time line of Mr. Cabana. It
3	says at 9:45:
4	" ROY arrived at the
5	office and was advised of
6	ARAR's situation concerning
7	the interviews and ARAR's
8	potential deportation to
9	Canada on Wednesday."
10	Then it says:
11	"Insp. ROY indicated that he
12	was not aware of this
13	potential deportation to
14	Canada. Insp. ROY stated
15	that he only knew ARAR was
16	still in custody and there
17	was a possibility he would be
18	sent to Syria."
19	Do you see that?
20	Then if we go to twelve o'clock,
21	it said that:
22	"(Certain individuals on the
23	Project A-OCANADA team) met
24	with Insp. CABANA and
25	discussed the interview. We

1	discussed a concern that if
2	U.S. was only holding ARAR so
3	that we could interview him
4	and that if there was any
5	suggestions that he did not
6	cooperate with Canadian
7	investigators and would be
8	sent to Syria, then the
9	perception would be very
10	damaging to the RCMP. We
11	agreed to speak with
12	(whomever) and advised him of
13	our concerns up front and
14	await a response."
15	Then you'll see on the next page,
16	they set out certain conditions before they would
17	ever have a meeting in the next the 1415 entry,
18	it says:
19	"We indicated that we need to
20	know why ARAR was being held,
21	where he would be sent to
22	once we had interviewed him,
23	and what he had already
24	said"
25	And so on and so forth.

## StenoTran

1	So what appears to be the case is
2	that A-OCANADA is apprised of the fact that Arar
3	may be deported to Syria on October the 8th.
4	They know that or they're of
5	the knowledge that he is going to go to court the
6	next day, on October the 9th.
7	And as far as an interview is
8	concerned, they set down stringent conditions
9	because they don't want the RCMP to be embarrassed
10	if there is some tie between him and being
11	deported to Syria and an interview with the RCMP.
12	So you're aware of all of that
13	knowledge?
14	MR. FLEWELLING: Well, I am now.
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Yes.
16	So the question that I have is:
17	Did you ever learn that after the RCMP discovered
18	that he was going to be deported a real
19	possibility that he would be deported to Syria,
20	and then he, in their view, was going to court the
21	next day, on October the 9th, did you ever learn
22	of any RCMP protest or objection to the Americans
23	on that day saying something like, "You guys
24	better not deport him to Syria or you're
25	endangering our relationship," or words to that

1	effect? Any kind of protest or objection?
2	MR. FLEWELLING: Not that I
3	recall, or that I'm aware of.
4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, we do know
5	that, in fact, Mr. Arar was deported at three
6	o'clock in the morning on October the 8.
7	However, we didn't discover or
8	the RCMP didn't discover that until the next day.
9	But you're not aware of any
LO	protest or objection proffered to the Americans
L1	from the RCMP respecting the possible deportation?
L2	MR. FLEWELLING: I don't know if
L3	anybody from A-OCANADA or CID, or
L4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: We have
L5	absolutely no evidence of that, and just wanted to
L6	know if you have any knowledge.
L7	MR. FLEWELLING: No. Not that I'm
L8	aware of.
L9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay.
20	Now, you return on October 16th?
21	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And if we go to
23	your notes, Mr. Flewelling, at page 40, it would
24	appear that at ten o'clock Mr. Pilgrim called you
25	into his office?

1	MR. FLEWELLING: That's correct.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And he had a
3	meeting with DFAIT, and this is we've heard a
4	great deal of evidence on this, and I'm going to
5	quickly bring you through this.
6	This is when DFAIT raised a number
7	of questions regarding the RCMP, because on the
8	previous day, there was some American statements
9	to the effect that the RCMP knew why Mr. Arar
10	knew the situation and knew the conditions under
11	which Mr. Arar was deported, and as a result of
12	that, DFAIT, in particular Mr. Pardy, called in
13	RCMP and threw out a lot of questions.
14	Is that what Pilgrim is talking to
15	you about upon your return on October 16th?
16	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes, I believe
17	so.
18	MR. CAVALLUZZO: On October the
19	18th, we see at page 41, which is no, that
20	would be the next day.
21	But I assume that you were tasked
22	with the responsibility to help prepare the
23	briefing note or not the briefing note but the
24	memorandum that went to DFAIT? Let me just show
25	it to you.

1	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes, please.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: It's exhibit
3	P-137.
4	Pause
5	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Do you recall
6	having assisted in the drafting of this memorandum
7	which went to DFAIT through Mr. Roy from
8	Mr. Pilgrim? It's page 5, I'm sorry, of
9	MR. FLEWELLING: Six pages in.
10	That's the one we're referring to?
11	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Yes.
12	Do you recall that?
13	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
14	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. So just a
15	couple of questions. So that where it says that,
16	for example, under question 2, the question is:
17	"How did the U.S. become
18	aware/interested in ARAR?"
19	It says:
20	"U.S. authorities learned of
21	ARAR through a sharing of
22	information between RCMP
23	investigators and U.S.
24	Intelligence authorities.
25	ARAR was connected to an

1	ongoing RCMP investigation
2	that involved individuals
3	also of interest to U.S.
4	authorities. It is also
5	possible that U.S.
6	authorities are in possession
7	of information relating to
8	ARAR's activities during the
9	period of time when he lived
10	and worked in Boston, Mass.,
11	area."
12	Now, in terms of answering or
13	preparing that answer to that question, did you do
14	the research on that and prepare that?
15	MR. FLEWELLING: I discussed with
16	a couple of members from the A-OCANADA team.
17	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So that you
18	received the information from A-OCANADA too.
19	Did anyone from A-OCANADA, after
20	having submitted this memorandum to DFAIT, come
21	back to you and say: "You're wrong, that
22	memorandum is wrong?"
23	MR. FLEWELLING: I don't even know
24	if they've got a copy of this, to be quite honest
25	with you.

1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Assume they did.
2	MR. FLEWELLING: Then I don't
3	recall anybody coming back.
4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. In terms
5	of the answer to question 4, which was:
6	"Did the U.S. approach
7	Canadian authorities
8	regarding the possibility of
9	ARAR's deportation?"
10	Answer:
11	"U.S. authorities requested
12	the RCMP to provide
13	information that might assist
14	in the filing of criminal
15	charges against ARAR. U.S.
16	authorities made inquiries as
17	to the level of interest the
18	RCMP had in pursuing Arar
19	criminally. They also made
20	inquiries regarding the
21	RCMP's ability to refuse
22	ARAR's entry into Canada.
23	The U.S. authorities were
24	advised that the RCMP was
25	interested in ARAR from a

1	criminal perspective. They
2	were also advised that where
3	ARAR is a Canadian citizen,
4	the RCMP could not refuse his
5	entry into Canada."
6	And that of course is that
7	telephone call that we have reviewed with you.
8	And then it goes on:
9	"What was the level of threat
10	relating to ARAR's presence
11	in the U.S.?"
12	And then it says:
13	"The RCMP has no information
14	concerning any threat
15	associated with/by ARAR."
16	Did anybody from A-OCANADA ever
17	come back to you and say that's wrong?
18	MR. FLEWELLING: No.
19	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And then there is
20	reference to Syria and Jordan that we have dealt
21	with.
22	Pause
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: On October 21, we
24	have heard evidence that Mr. Cabana told
25	Mr. Gould Mr. Gould, as you know, is with DFAIT

1	ISI, and that Mr. Cabana told Mr. Gould that
2	A-OCANADA was prepared to share all of its
3	information on Mr. Arar and another with the
4	Syrians.
5	I am wondering if you had any
6	knowledge of that offer from Cabana through Gould?
7	MR. FLEWELLING: I became aware of
8	it at a later point.
9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: But was it around
10	that time?
11	MR. FLEWELLING: It would have
12	been following.
13	MR. CAVALLUZZO: It would have
14	been following the request?
15	Did you say anything to Cabana as
16	to the propriety of making that particular offer?
17	MR. FLEWELLING: I don't recall.
18	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Just moving
19	along, I have a couple of final questions.
20	In respect of evidence that we
21	have heard and it's exhibit P-138. This is a
22	fax that the RCMP received from Mr. Pillarella,
23	who is the Ambassador to Syria at that time.
24	Pause
25	MR. FLEWELLING: Sorry? That was

1	what date again?
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: It's November 3rd
3	or 4th. And what this is, this is information
4	that Mr. Pillarella had received from the Syrian
5	Military Intelligence relating to Mr. Arar
6	concerning his possible links with al-Qaeda, and
7	this information was faxed to the RCMP.
8	There was a meeting that was held
9	on November the 6th, an interagency meeting
10	between DFAIT, the RCMP and others, CSIS included,
11	concerning this particular information that was
12	contained in this e-mail.
13	I am wondering if you attended at
14	that meeting?
15	MR. FLEWELLING: That was what
16	date, sorry?
17	MR. CAVALLUZZO: November 6th.
18	Pause
19	MR. FLEWELLING: I have no notes
20	so, no, I wouldn't have been there.
21	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I note that on
22	November the 8th this is page 41 there is
23	reference to a meeting at DFAIT.
24	Do you see that at it looks like
25	either 1:30 or 1330 entry?

1	MR. FLEWELLING: That's correct.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: It says:
3	"Meeting at DFAIT with Don."
4	That would be Don Saunders?
5	MR. FLEWELLING: Ron.
6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Excuse me, Ron?
7	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes. Mr. Lauzon.
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Wayne Pilgrim?
9	MR. FLEWELLING: And myself.
10	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And myself.
11	"Also in attendance was CSIS,
12	DFAIT."
13	Do you recall what that particular
14	meeting was about?
15	MR. FLEWELLING: I can't recall
16	what the topic of that meeting was. I could make
17	assumptions, but I'm afraid that I might be wrong.
18	MR. CAVALLUZZO: If you can't
19	recall it, then it wouldn't be of assistance, any
20	speculation on your part.
21	MR. FLEWELLING: I'm quite sure
22	that Ron, I do believe he might be able to shed a
23	little bit more light on that issue or what
24	transpired during that meeting.
25	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Do you recall

1	having seen, though, this information that
2	Mr. Pillarella brought back from Syria?
3	MR. FLEWELLING: Without seeing my
4	initials on it, I can't tell you that I've seen
5	it.
6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: But what it does,
7	it says that the Syrians, in a very short period
8	of time, have absolutely they are absolutely
9	positive, and this is General Khalil is absolutely
10	positive about Arar's links with al-Qaeda. He
11	said that he had been recruited with the specific
12	purpose of recruiting others in Canada, et cetera,
13	et cetera.
14	Wouldn't you recall if you had
15	seen that before?
16	MR. FLEWELLING: I recall that
17	information coming to light at a later point in
18	time, yes.
19	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Well, no matter
20	how you discovered this information, whether it be
21	through this document or being advised of it
22	otherwise, in your role as reviewer-analyst and
23	being responsible for Project A-OCANADA, did you
24	ever or are you aware of anybody in the RCMP ever
25	doing a reliability assessment of this particular

1	statement?
2	MR. FLEWELLING: I can only answer
3	from our perspective, and I can't recall anybody
4	being tasked with that at our level.
5	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And is it fair to
6	say, because last June and July of 2004 we took
7	Mr. Loeppky through a great deal of policies,
8	particularly in terms of the criminal intelligence
9	program, and it would seem to me anyways that one
10	of the important things that the CID does is a
11	reliability assessment of statements such as this.
12	And it would seem to me that if a
13	reliability assessment would have been done in the
14	RCMP, it would have been done at the CID.
15	MR. FLEWELLING: Or could have
16	been done with one of the individuals who had an
17	in-depth knowledge with the entire file with
18	A-OCANADA.
19	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. In any
20	event, we certainly didn't hear any information
21	from them. But just from CID's perspective you
22	were the overseer of the Project A-OCANADA file
23	you were not aware of CID doing a reliability
24	assessment of this?
25	MR. FLEWELLING: Not that I can

1	recall right now.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Just a couple of
3	final things.
4	If you go back to your notes, if
5	you can help us at page 45, this would appear to
6	be an entry for Friday, November 22nd of 2002.
7	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: At the bottom
9	there it says:
10	"A-OCANADA to go through LOs.
11	I am to prepare an e-mail
12	message on behalf of
13	Mr. Proulx."
14	Now, what is that all about, that
15	"A-OCANADA to go through LOs"?
16	MR. FLEWELLING: One of the
17	investigative team members from the project team
18	had made a contact with a representative from the
19	French Embassy.
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right.
21	MR. FLEWELLING: And I believe, if
22	memory serve me correctly, they posed a question
23	or they solicited information.
24	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right.
25	MR. FLEWELLING: And that got back

1	to, I believe, Mr. Proulx.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And basically
3	Proulx once again saying, "Listen, guys, you don't
4	deal directly with foreign agencies. You come
5	through us."
6	Is that fair?
7	MR. FLEWELLING: Correct.
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: The next page,
9	page 46, the entry at 1330 says:
LO	"Message sent to A-OCANADA re
L1	[the redacted something]."
L2	It says:
L3	"Message sent replacing
L <b>4</b>	caveats on all
L5	correspondence. Message sent
L6	issue pertaining to the lack
L7	of response as well as our
L8	own."
L9	So the message concerning placing
20	of caveats on all the correspondence in November
21	of 2002, you are still having a problem with
22	A-OCANADA not putting caveats on?
23	MR. FLEWELLING: I think they had
24	appointed a new individual to step in to do the
25	situation reports, and he either forgot or was

1	unaware, and it was just a reminder that
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay.
3	MR. FLEWELLING: They subsequently
4	showed up following.
5	MR. CAVALLUZZO: If you go down to
6	1430, it says:
7	"Note prior to [something]
8	meeting. Inspector Cabana
9	called to voice his concern
10	and displeasure with the
11	discussion to have them go
12	through the LOs."
13	So Cabana is phoning saying, "Why
14	do I have to go through the LOs?"
15	MR. FLEWELLING: Well, he had some
16	concerns, and I believe that the agreement that
17	apparently he was aware of extended to be able to
18	deal with all individuals within the National
19	Capital Region.
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Were you aware of
21	that agreement?
22	MR. FLEWELLING: I was not aware
23	of an agreement with respect to other agencies
24	other than the Americans.
25	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right, okay.

1	Then if we go to page 54, this is
2	the entry for January 22, 2003.
3	I am wondering if you could just
4	read that? I have trouble with the it looks
5	like the 1330 entry, "Meeting at with [somebody]."
6	Could you pick it up from there?
7	MR. FLEWELLING: "Spoke with
8	[somebody] re the computer.
9	His point was A-OCANADA asked
10	for the material, therefore
11	it was theirs to act on. I
12	should have called and I
13	should not have and that I
14	should have called to advise
15	them. I replied that the
16	info was not theirs and
17	theirs alone. Foreign
18	material is given, loaned to
19	the Government of Canada, and
20	the RCMP is the guardian of
21	that material. That's why it
22	is addressed to the
23	Commissioner and not
24	A-OCANADA. It is my job to
25	disseminate that information.

1	evaluate it, and have it
2	uploaded to the system. I
3	need that information as much
4	as they in order to properly
5	advise management."
6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So this is I
7	guess another I don't want to call it dispute.
8	It seems like an ongoing debate that doesn't seem
9	to be resolved as to the proper protocol in
10	respect of how information comes in from foreign
11	agencies.
12	Is that fair?
13	MR. FLEWELLING: It was with
14	respect to an individual who was from a Canadian
15	agency that was partnering with the team that was
16	unaware of that aspect.
17	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. Okay.
18	Finally, at page 55, if you could
19	look at page 55, and if you could start reading
20	six lines from the bottom?
21	MR. FLEWELLING: "I stated that
22	they continuously break
23	protocol in dealing with
24	foreign agencies and
25	material."

1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Just before we go
2	on, you say "they". "They" is Project A-OCANADA?
3	MR. FLEWELLING: I'm referring,
4	yes.
5	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Go on.
6	MR. FLEWELLING: "I advised that
7	instead of pointing fingers
8	at one another, perhaps a
9	call would solve a lot of
10	hostility."
11	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And then finally
12	the next page, it says meeting between
13	MR. FLEWELLING: "Meeting between
14	CSIS and RCMP CID."
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: What does the
16	next line say?
17	MR. FLEWELLING: "National
18	security"
19	That has to do with UC matters and
20	really doesn't have anything to do with A-OCANADA.
21	MR. CAVALLUZZO: But it has to do
22	with the divisions, does it not?
23	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
24	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So what is the
25	point there? National security what? Major or

1	minor has to be approved by does that mean any
2	national security investigations, either major or
3	minor, has to be approved by headquarters?
4	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
5	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Next line says:
6	"Files on national security
7	belong to the Commissioner
8	and not the divisions."
9	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
10	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. We've seen
11	that problem in the past and now this is very
12	clear, as of January 2003 the files belong to the
13	institution and not the division.
14	So the Commissioner, not the
15	divisions; correct?
16	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
17	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Then it says
18	foreign agencies.
19	"Divisions will not deal"
20	MR. FLEWELLING: "with foreign
21	agencies without HQ
22	involvement or knowledge."
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So that's
24	another, certainly, direction that as of January
25	2003 would be enforced. Is that correct?

1	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Just another
3	question before a concluding comment is whether
4	you were aware in November of 2002 of the trip of
5	CSIS to Syria?
6	MR. FLEWELLING: I became aware of
7	it, I believe, afterwards.
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: After? So you
9	had no participation in the events leading up to
10	the trip?
11	MR. FLEWELLING: Not to my
12	knowledge.
13	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Mr. Flewelling,
14	after taking you through all of these debates and
15	dialogues you had with A-OCANADA, you must have
16	found it pretty frustrating being the overseer of
17	Project A-OCANADA. Is that correct?
18	MR. FLEWELLING: I would say
19	that's a fair statement, but not unusual.
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Well, if it's not
21	unusual, I'll leave that for others.
22	In any event, I understand that
23	the structure in respect to A-OCANADA changed
24	shortly after this last debate we saw in January
25	of 2003. Is that correct?

1	MR. FLEWELLING: I don't recall
2	the exact date.
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: February 4th,
4	2003.
5	MR. FLEWELLING: February 4th
6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: 2003.
7	MR. FLEWELLING: I'll take your
8	word for it. I believe the team folded back into
9	or under the umbrella of A-INSET.
10	MR. CAVALLUZZO: That's correct.
11	Mr. Cabana departed the team, the project fell
12	under the A-INSET?
13	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
14	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And a coordinator
15	by the name of Mr. Jago was appointed, who was
16	going to coordinate not only Project A-OCANADA but
17	with O-Canada in Toronto and with C-Canada in
18	Montreal?
19	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Mr. Flewelling,
21	thank you. I have no further questions.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you,
23	Mr. Cavalluzzo.
24	Mr. Waldman?
25	How long do you think you will be?

1	Let me just take a roll call here.
2	MR. WALDMAN: How long do you
3	think I'm going to be?
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
5	MR. WALDMAN: An hour to an hour
6	and a half.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Boxall, you
8	are going to have questions?
9	MR. BOXALL: I do. An hour?
10	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
11	Anybody else here?
12	Mr. O'Brien?
13	MR. O'BRIEN: Possibly a few
14	minutes.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Anybody else?
16	We will wait to see.
17	We will rise for five minutes for
18	you to get organized.
19	Upon recessing at 11:57 a.m. /
20	Suspension à 11 h 57
21	Upon resuming at 12:06 p.m. /
22	Reprise à 12 h 06
23	THE REGISTRAR: Please be seated.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Waldman?
25	MR. WALDMAN: Yes, I'm going to

1	try to cover one or two areas before lunch, and I
2	think I have half an hour before and half an hour
3	after.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: That's great.
5	Thank you.
6	MR. WALDMAN: At lunch I'll have
7	an opportunity to reorganize and probably a lot of
8	the questions I had I see were already covered.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Cavalluzzo
10	covered it.
11	MR. WALDMAN: Covered most of it.
12	EXAMINATION
13	MR. WALDMAN: I want to deal with
14	one area now, and this is if I could ask you to
15	go to your personal notes, P-211, page 25.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Twenty-five?
17	MR. WALDMAN: Yes.
18	So at 12:30 on August 13th, you
19	receive an urgent message, call by so and so.
20	They have an urgent message.
21	And then a little bit later,
22	you're called and advised that they had been in
23	contact with DOJ, media, DFAIT, Gould, and "A"
24	Division, and they've set up a meeting.
25	So it's quite clear that on the

1	13th of August, 2002, someone with whom you were
2	working closely advised you that there was
3	something very urgent going on.
4	Is that correct?
5	MR. FLEWELLING: Obviously if
6	they're bringing all of these agencies together, I
7	would assume that there's definitely something.
8	MR. WALDMAN: Right. And you
9	don't remember being advised at that time of what
10	the urgent message was that was being given to you
11	at that time?
12	MR. FLEWELLING: I try to put
13	myself back in that time frame and try to recall
14	exactly what the affairs were, and, I'm sorry, I
15	just keep drawing a blank.
16	MR. WALDMAN: Well, it says that
17	the meeting is going to be set up with DOJ (that's
18	the Department of Justice) headquarters, members
19	of A-OCANADA, media relations, and then following
20	that there's going to be another meeting with CSIS
21	and DFAIT.
22	Is that your reading of that?
23	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
24	MR. WALDMAN: I'm going to suggest
25	to you that it would be extremely unusual to have

1	such a meeting.
2	All of a sudden all of these
3	different partners being brought together,
4	Justice, media, DFAIT, headquarters, "A" Division
5	CSIS, all to discuss an issue.
6	This is not something that
7	happened very often in your experience?
8	MR. FLEWELLING: Over the course
9	of my time frame between Project Shock as well as
10	this one, there were a number of times where a lot
11	of these Canadian agencies and representatives
12	would get together.
13	MR. WALDMAN: Yes, but this I
14	could imagine that would happen. But how often
15	would it be that a meeting was arranged from one
16	day to the other to getting all of these very busy
17	individuals together all at once to come together
18	in a very short period of time?
19	I would suggest to you that that
20	would be extremely unusual.
21	Correct?
22	MR. FLEWELLING: It was not the
23	norm, no.
24	MR. WALDMAN: Not the norm.
25	Right.

1	So I would suggest to you that it
2	would suggest that there was something extremely
3	important and urgent that needed to be discussed.
4	MR. FLEWELLING: Oh, whenever you
5	bring these agencies together, there's definitely
6	something, yes.
7	MR. WALDMAN: Yes. That's a lot
8	of resources getting a lot of people together on
9	one day's notice for a meeting about something
10	that was very urgent.
11	Correct?
12	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
13	MR. WALDMAN: Now, on page 26 of
14	your notes, the middle of the page, it says it
15	starts:
16	"Advised by (blank) that
17	DFAIT officials in Egypt have
18	seen El Maati. They're
19	advised of his present
20	conditions and claims of
21	mistreatment while in Syrian
22	custody."
23	I've read your notes correctly?
24	MR. FLEWELLING: It's there, yes.
25	MR. WALDMAN: Right. So what do

1	you think the investigator meant when he said,
2	"claims of treatment"?
3	Would you agree with me that that
4	wording suggests, given that it's put in the form
5	of "claims of treatment," that Mr. El Maati would
6	have been treated the suggestion was that he
7	was being treated badly, because it wouldn't make
8	any sense otherwise to put "claims of treatment,"
9	would it?
10	MR. FLEWELLING: Well, obviously
11	if I put it there in that context, then obviously
12	that's what there's got to be some situation
13	that's been brought up.
14	MR. WALDMAN: Yes. There must
15	have been some allegation or suggestion by the
16	person that Mr. El Maati was stating that he
17	wasn't being treated very well.
18	Is that fair to say?
19	Otherwise, it wouldn't make any
20	sense to put it in those terms?
21	MR. FLEWELLING: No. Yes.
22	MR. WALDMAN: So clearly your
23	notes suggest that as of this date, which is
24	August 13th, you were being made aware by some
25	individuals that Mr. El Maati had made allegations

1	that he was being badly treated in Syria.
2	Is that correct?
3	MR. FLEWELLING: I take it from
4	this entry, yes.
5	MR. WALDMAN: So presumably you
6	would have been curious about what all the fuss
7	was about.
8	You had just received an urgent
9	message that a big meeting had been planned where
10	all the major players are going to be at the
11	table, something that you acknowledge is quite
12	unusual, getting all these people together in a
13	short period of time.
14	We're talking here about
15	Mr. El Maati, who we now know was one of the
16	targets of the A-OCANADA investigation, and he's
17	making claims of bad treatment while he was in
18	Syria.
19	Given your oversight role, don't
20	you think it's likely that you would have wanted
21	to know more about the nature of Mr. El Maati's
22	claims at that time?
23	Don't you think it would have been
24	important to you to get more information?
25	T mean, you are the oversight

1	person for A-OCANADA. This is August 2002.
2	You've already taken over this role.
3	MR. FLEWELLING: Starting, yes.
4	MR. WALDMAN: Right. And Mr. El
5	Maati we know is one of the targets. You haven't
6	been able to get any contact with him for months
7	and all of a sudden you're able to DFAIT is
8	able to get to him. They speak to him. And he
9	tells DFAIT officials that he's badly treated.
10	Don't you think it would be
11	important for you at that time to try to get more
12	information about this?
13	MR. FLEWELLING: From my
14	understanding, that's what the role of DFAIT is,
15	is to acquire or to obtain as much information as
16	possible.
17	MR. WALDMAN: Right. But I'm not
18	asking you about what DFAIT's role is, sir. I'm
19	asking you about whether you made further
20	inquiries, or ought to have made further
21	inquiries, at that point to get more details about
22	what Mr. El Maati had said, given your overseeing
23	role.
24	MR. FLEWELLING: Throughout the
25	course or the latter part of my tenure, we

1	endeavoured and had discussed amongst the group
2	the aspect of going over to see Mr. El Maati for
3	the expressed view of obtaining or acquiring
4	information as we could glean.
5	MR. WALDMAN: Right, and don't you
6	think in that context, if you were thinking about
7	going to interrogate Mr. El Maati, it would have
8	be important to know what he said how he had
9	said he had been treated while he was in Syria?
10	MR. FLEWELLING: Absolutely.
11	MR. WALDMAN: And here you've got
12	in your notes that there is an indication that
13	you had been given information he was badly
14	treated and yet you don't have any recollection of
15	following up on this, sir? I would suggest to
16	you, sir, that you ought to have done it and
17	probably did do it.
18	MR. FLEWELLING: No, in my note,
19	it doesn't say "badly treated."
20	MR. WALDMAN: But we just went
21	through that, and I think you acknowledged to me
22	that the wording of it clearly indicated that it
23	wouldn't have made sense to word it in that manner
24	unless there was some problem with the treatment
25	that he had been given.

1	MR. FLEWELLING: Well, obviously
2	there is a question there as a result of the note.
3	MR. WALDMAN: Right. And it's a
4	question you should have followed up on given your
5	role.
6	Correct?
7	MR. FLEWELLING: Well, that's
8	where we defer to DFAIT in order to provide us
9	with the necessary information with respect to his
10	treatment. We don't have feet on the ground
11	there.
12	MR. WALDMAN: And, in fact, we
13	know that there was a meeting on August 15th that
14	you attended, right? And at that meeting, in
15	fact, there was a representative from DFAIT,
16	someone by the name of Myra, who we know is Myra
17	Pastyr-Lupul, who testified here, and, in fact,
18	she testified about this meeting. In fact, when
19	she testified about this meeting, sir, she told us
20	that the RCMP had in its possession P-192.
21	Perhaps we could show you that.
22	Pause
23	MR. WALDMAN: Perhaps you could
24	just have you seen this document before?
25	MR. FLEWELLING: No.

1	MR. WALDMAN: Perhaps you could
2	just take a second to just familiarize yourself
3	with it.
4	Pause
5	MR. WALDMAN: So if I could ask
6	you to go have you had a chance to read it?
7	MR. FLEWELLING: Your question?
8	MR. WALDMAN: We went through the
9	middle of the third paragraph. It says:
LO	"During his 2 1/2 months of
L1	detention in Syria, subj
L2	advises that he was beaten
L3	(feet/legs) and tortured
L4	(electric shock) and forced
L5	to give false information."
L6	So it seems to me that we had a
L7	meeting that you attended on August 15th, whose
L8	purpose was to discuss this case note.
L9	The case note was the result of an
20	interview that took place within the interview
21	was on August 12th, and this meeting occurred
22	three days later, on August 15th.
23	So obviously this is being given a
24	very high priority.
25	Would you not agree with me?

1	And you're at a meeting and you
2	said you would rely on DFAIT to get the
3	information. Well, DFAIT has given you the
4	information, right?
5	The information is, Mr. El Maati
6	says during his two and a half months of detention
7	in Syria, he advised he was beaten and tortured.
8	Now, in your work and with
9	electric shocks in your work with the RCMP,
10	have you had very many occasions of hearing
11	allegations that people have said they were given
12	electric shocks?
13	MR. FLEWELLING: No.
14	MR. WALDMAN: Is that something
15	that's common?
16	MR. FLEWELLING: Not at all.
17	MR. WALDMAN: So would that not be
18	something that would stick out in your mind, sir?
19	MR. FLEWELLING: It should.
20	MR. WALDMAN: Right. And yet
21	you're telling me you went to a meeting for the
22	express purpose of discussing P-192, and you're
23	not and you can't recall there being any
24	mention of Mr. El Maati being tortured during this
25	meeting?

1	MR. FLEWELLING: Like I said, I
2	don't recall the contents of that meeting other
3	than what I have in my notes.
4	MR. WALDMAN: And yet you've just
5	told us that, you know, information about electric
6	shocks isn't something that you would normally
7	hear.
8	Correct?
9	MR. FLEWELLING: No. I believe
10	you asked me in my normal routine of my job
11	whether or not I have heard of it.
12	MR. WALDMAN: Right. And have
13	you?
14	MR. FLEWELLING: No.
15	MR. WALDMAN: Are you familiar
16	with any person in Canada ever making an
17	allegation against any police official that
18	electric shocks were being used in their
19	interrogations?
20	MR. FLEWELLING: No. Not in
21	Canada, no.
22	MR. WALDMAN: No. Okay.
23	And have you had a lot of
24	occasions to work with countries where electric
25	shocks are normally used as part of the

1	interrogation process, sir?
2	MR. FLEWELLING: Throughout the
3	course of my service, I've been required to deal
4	with a number of countries that perhaps don't
5	subscribe to the same values as we do.
6	MR. WALDMAN: Right. So how many
7	times
8	MR. FLEWELLING: And fortunately
9	for the pursuit of our investigations, sometimes
10	we have to deal with various countries that don't
11	have the same values as us.
12	That is why in our policy that we
13	will go and we will go through the experts and
14	utilize or acquire the necessary advice from our
15	partner agencies.
16	MR. WALDMAN: Okay. Well, that
17	wasn't the question I was asking.
18	What I was asking was whether, you
19	know, the information about electric shocks is
20	something that would stand out in your memory.
21	MR. FLEWELLING: I would think so.
22	MR. WALDMAN: Right.
23	So I'm just trying to understand,
24	sir.
25	You were at a meeting on August

1	15th. We know from other witnesses that this
2	document was discussed. We know that the document
3	now says that Mr. El Maati was subjected to
4	electric shocks.
5	I mean, the purpose of the meeting
6	was obviously to have all the partners together to
7	determine how they were going to go about
8	obtaining information given this allegation.
9	Right?
10	There was concerns about
11	admissibility, I think we were told.
12	Correct? Is that correct?
13	MR. FLEWELLING: All I can tell
14	you, sir, is that I I was obviously there
15	but
16	MR. WALDMAN: What were you
17	doing
18	MR. FLEWELLING: The content
19	the content of that meeting, it just escapes me.
20	I don't know why.
21	MR. WALDMAN: Were you sleeping
22	through the meeting, sir?
23	MR. FLEWELLING: No.
24	MR. WALDMAN: So you weren't
25	sleeping through the meeting.

1	MR. FLEWELLING: No.
2	MR. WALDMAN: The purpose was to
3	discuss this, and you don't remember any mention
4	of Mr. El Maati being tortured?
5	MR. FLEWELLING: Over the course
6	of that period of time, I had been to so many
7	meetings, they all blend, and there's a number of
8	them that I don't recall.
9	MR. WALDMAN: Okay. But
10	MR. FLEWELLING: This was not my
11	only case.
12	MR. WALDMAN: I understand that.
13	They all blend together. You may not recall the
14	meeting, but I was more interested in you
15	recalling the information.
16	MR. FLEWELLING: I'm sorry,
17	I don't.
18	MR. WALDMAN: But you would agree
19	with me that given what we all know and given that
20	Ms Pastyr-Lupul testified she was there and this
21	document was there and in the possession of the
22	RCMP, it was likely that this document was
23	discussed, even if you don't recall it.
24	MR. FLEWELLING: Sorry. There's a
25	bit of humming. I didn't catch the middle of that

1	part.
2	MR. WALDMAN: What I'm suggesting
3	to you, sir, is, that at least you will
4	acknowledge that the document was discussed during
5	this meeting, even if you don't remember it, given
6	that that was the reason why the meeting was
7	called and other witnesses have testified that the
8	RCMP had the document.
9	Pause
10	MR. FLEWELLING: I take a look at
11	my notes, and the only thing I can tell you is I
12	was there. Whether or not that document was
13	discussed or what transpired, I just don't recall.
14	MR. WALDMAN: Could I ask you to
15	go to page 39 of your notes?
16	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
17	MR. WALDMAN: We start talking
18	about this meeting, and you note that Myra from
19	Consular Affairs is there.
20	MR. FLEWELLING: Sorry?
21	MR. WALDMAN: Oh, sorry.
22	Twenty-eight, I'm sorry.
23	It is 28 in the round letters, 39
24	in the other. Twenty-eight. Sorry about that.
25	Talking about a meeting, it says:

1	"Myra, Consular Affairs,
2	DFAIT. August 9. DFAIT was
3	advised that El Maati was in
4	custody in Egypt. The family
5	has been advised through his
6	sister. El Maati parents are
7	in Indonesia. Uncle in Cairo
8	has contacted the"
9	And then it runs off. It would
10	seem, sir, that there is something missing there.
11	The next page of the notes deals
12	with August 20. Would you not agree with me that
13	the note ends in the middle of the page, in the
14	middle of a sentence?
15	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
16	MR. WALDMAN: So that there are
17	more notes that we don't have?
18	MR. FLEWELLING: Not necessarily,
19	no.
20	MR. WALDMAN: No? You would stop
21	your note in the middle of a sentence?
22	MR. FLEWELLING: I would be more
23	than happy to take a look for you, but it's quite
24	possible.
25	MR. WALDMAN: You just leave a

1	note like that. What good is a note that's left
2	hanging in the middle of a sentence?
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Just to be fair
4	to the witness, it was our decision to cut that
5	off at that point in time. We will check to see
6	if there's anything relevant. It is not the
7	witness' doing.
8	MR. WALDMAN: Okay.
9	I just want to know whether you
10	think it would have been important for you to be
11	aware of the allegation that Mr. El Maati was
12	tortured in light of your function at CID.
13	MR. FLEWELLING: Would it be
14	sorry?
15	MR. WALDMAN: Important to you to
16	be aware of these allegations. I think you told
17	us, sir, that one of the functions of CID was
18	to yes, CID, where you were working was to
19	vet requests that might be made by A-OCANADA with
20	respect to foreign interviews; correct?
21	In other words, if Mr. Cabana,
22	which he apparently did a few days later, came to
23	you and said We want to go and interview
24	Mr. Almalki, who we know at that time was sitting
25	in detention in Syria in August of 2002, that that

1	request would have to go through you; correct?
2	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
3	MR. WALDMAN: And you would have
4	input in the decision that would be made as to
5	whether or not a Canadian citizen sitting in Syria
6	would be interviewed by RCMP officials?
7	MR. FLEWELLING: No, I would not.
8	Not myself, no.
9	MR. WALDMAN: You wouldn't.
10	MR. FLEWELLING: No. That
11	wouldn't be my decision.
12	MR. WALDMAN: No, it wouldn't be
13	your decision. But you would prepare a briefing
14	note, I would assume.
15	MR. FLEWELLING: Not necessarily.
16	What I would do is I would prepare the necessary
17	documentation, and I would take it to the various
18	individuals and get the authority, if need be.
19	And if it was required or if it
20	was asked, then a briefing note would be done.
21	MR. WALDMAN: Right. I would
22	assume, in a delicate matter involving a Canadian
23	citizen who was detained in a regime that you
24	acknowledged doesn't have the same system as
25	ours that's as far as you've gone, and we will

1	explore that perhaps a bit after lunch, what you
2	knew about Syria it would be probably necessary
3	to very carefully weigh whether or not it was in
4	the interests of the RCMP to send people to
5	interview someone in such a situation.
6	It's not the same as sending
7	someone to the U.S. or somewhere like that. Would
8	you agree with me?
9	It's a much more complex decision;
10	right?
11	MR. FLEWELLING: Definitely.
12	MR. WALDMAN: So it's likely that
13	at some point you would be required to at least
14	have some input into the final decision that we
15	know that would be made by other people.
16	Is that fair?
17	MR. FLEWELLING: I wouldn't think
18	that that type of decision would be made
19	unilaterally; that there would be a number of
20	individuals that would have input.
21	MR. WALDMAN: So in the context of
22	that type of decision-making process, where
23	Mr. Cabana is coming and saying, "I want to go and
24	interview Abdullah Almalki in Syria" although
25	he didn't make a formal request at that time,

1	there were suggestions that this was something
2	they would want to do don't you think it would
3	have been important for you to have awareness of
4	the allegations of Mr. El Maati that he had been
5	tortured while he was in Syria, and that would
6	have been one important fact that ought to have
7	been factored into your decision-making role, sir?
8	MR. FLEWELLING: If we were going
9	to be making a decision with respect to that, I am
10	quite sure that that would have been on the table
11	and it definitely would have been discussed.
12	MR. WALDMAN: Right. So it was an
13	important fact that you ought to have known in
14	terms of your role at CID, when Mr. Cabana came to
15	you and said, "I would like to go to Syria and
16	share information with them."
17	MR. FLEWELLING: Obviously that
18	would be something that would have to be
19	discussed, definitely. I mean, any time anybody
20	is going abroad, they have to put together an
21	operation plan, and with that there are various
22	parameters by which we have to follow.
23	MR. WALDMAN: Right.
24	MR. FLEWELLING: There are
25	criteria.

1	MR. WALDMAN: Right. And
2	obviously, therefore, sir, would you not agree
3	with me that in light of that fact, the
4	allegations that were made available to the RCMP
5	in the briefing note and were discussed on August
6	15th would have been important information for you
7	to have; correct?
8	Mr. El Maati saying he was subject
9	to torture.
LO	So how is it now, sir, that in
L1	light of all of this, that it would be important
L2	for you to know you were at a meeting where the
L3	briefing note was discussed, you had been given
L4	information days before that Mr. El Maati alleged
L5	that he was treated badly. How is it, sir, that
L6	in light of all of that, you still maintain that
L7	you can't remember Mr. El Maati's allegations of
L8	torture?
L9	I find it really hard to believe.
20	It was important information that
21	was given to you on more than one occasion over a
22	short period of days. How could it be you don't
23	remember it now if you were pretending to properly
24	do your work, sir?
25	MR. FLEWELLING: I don't know what

1	I can say to you.
2	MR. WALDMAN: Mr. Commissioner,
3	it's 12:30, and I've finished this area. We can
4	move on to another.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: We will rise
6	until 1:30.
7	THE REGISTRAR: Please stand.
8	Upon recessing at 12:28 p.m. /
9	Suspension à 12 h 28
10	Upon resuming at 1:33 p.m. /
11	Reprise à 13 h 33
12	THE REGISTRAR: Please be seated
13	Veuillez vous asseoir.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Waldman?
15	MR. WALDMAN: I am just going to
16	pick up on a few points.
17	Sergeant Flewelling, we heard in
18	testimony from Superintendent Cabana that
19	A-OCANADA was an open book investigation, that
20	caveats were down, and that this was his
21	instruction from his superiors.
22	There is reference in the
23	transcript at page 8239, but I won't take you to
24	it.
25	Was the term "caveats are down"

1	one you had heard before 9/11?
2	MR. FLEWELLING: No.
3	MR. WALDMAN: And we know from
4	other evidence that Assistant Commissioner Proulx
5	met with both domestic and U.S. law enforcement
6	partners after 9/11.
7	Were you at that meeting with
8	Inspector Proulx Assistant Commissioner Proulx
9	when he talked to the U.S. domestic law
10	enforcement partners about information sharing?
11	MR. FLEWELLING: Sorry, when was
12	that again?
13	MR. WALDMAN: It was sometime
14	after 9/11, shortly after 9/11. I think in
15	September.
16	MR. FLEWELLING: And the question
17	was?
18	MR. WALDMAN: Were you at the
19	meeting when Assistant Commissioner Proulx was
20	there with the foreign and domestic law
21	enforcement partners talking about
22	information-sharing?
23	Do you recall being at such a
24	meeting?
25	MP FI.FWFI.I.TMC: Not to my

## StenoTran

1	knowledge, no.
2	MR. WALDMAN: At any time after
3	that meeting was it ever communicated to you by
4	the Assistant Commissioner or anyone else that
5	caveats are down and that RCMP policies are not to
6	be respected with respect to U.S.
7	information-sharing?
8	MR. FLEWELLING: I personally have
9	not heard of the term "the caveats are down".
10	MR. WALDMAN: Would it not be fair
11	to say, sir, given your role at CID, that if there
12	was going to be disclosure without caveats, that
13	you should have known about it?
14	MR. FLEWELLING: I would hope so.
15	MR. WALDMAN: And who would have
16	the authority to decide to share information
17	without caveats? Would that have to come from CID
18	in a national security investigation?
19	MR. FLEWELLING: I am assuming
20	that that would be discussed and arranged at, I
21	would suggest, much higher levels than at mine.
22	So it would definitely have to be either at I'm
23	assuming here that the Assistant Commissioner
24	would have that authority or above.
25	MR. WALDMAN: At any time while

1	you were the project coordinator for A-OCANADA did
2	Inspector Cabana ever call you up and say,
3	"Listen, you're wrong about the caveats. The
4	superiors have told us that caveats are down."
5	Did you ever have such a
6	conversation with Inspector Cabana?
7	MR. FLEWELLING: Not that I can
8	recall.
9	MR. WALDMAN: I just want to
10	understand about caveats because it's a big word.
11	Am I not correct that it's just
12	putting a stamp or two stamps on a document, like
13	it's just a few sentences that are added to a
14	document?
15	MR. FLEWELLING: There are
16	standard wordings that you would find in the
17	policy that you would either have to retype or
18	there would be a stamp, I'm assuming, yes.
19	MR. WALDMAN: Or you could, I
20	guess, format that into your document that you are
21	sending; right?
22	MR. FLEWELLING: I mean, your
23	computer or whatever your working copy, paste it
24	on.
25	MR. WALDMAN: Right. So it's not

1	a terribly difficult and onerous task to put a
2	caveat on, is it?
3	MR. FLEWELLING: No.
4	MR. WALDMAN: It wouldn't take a
5	lot of time and add extra time to someone's work
6	to add the caveat? Cutting and pasting could be
7	done in a few seconds; correct?
8	MR. FLEWELLING: Correct.
9	MR. WALDMAN: So putting caveats
10	on documents wouldn't slow down the flow of
11	information. Would you agree with me?
12	MR. FLEWELLING: It should not.
13	MR. WALDMAN: I would ask you to
14	go to P-221, the Garvie transcript, page 3.
15	Just to save time, I would ask you
16	just to read between lines 20 and 33.
17	MR. FLEWELLING: Sorry, that was
18	what again?
19	MR. WALDMAN: On page 3, if you
20	could read, starting at about line 18.
21	MR. FLEWELLING: That's page 3?
22	MR. WALDMAN: Page 3 of 11, yes,
23	and going to about 36.
24	MR. FLEWELLING: So it would be
25	line 18?

1	MR. WALDMAN: The question from
2	Mr. Garvie and then
3	MR. FLEWELLING: Is that, "Now I'm
4	showing you"
5	MR. WALDMAN: Yes, "I'm showing
6	you the documents."
7	MR. FLEWELLING: Okay.
8	"Now, I'm showing you the
9	four attach book into"
10	MR. WALDMAN: There's an outline
11	of a number of questions. This is the questions
12	that we referred to that were sent down by
13	A-OCANADA. I think that is what he is referring
14	to here.
15	MR. FLEWELLING: Okay.
16	MR. WALDMAN: There are no caveats
17	and he is asking you your opinion about the
18	caveats and whether they should be on.
19	MR. FLEWELLING: Sorry, I lost you
20	there for a second.
21	MR. WALDMAN: Well, in the
22	transcript Superintendent Garvie was asking you
23	about the four questions, and he was noting that
24	there was no caveats, and then he is asking you
25	whether caveats should be put on.

1	I just want you to review your
2	answer to that.
3	MR. FLEWELLING: That's correct.
4	MR. WALDMAN: So, in other words,
5	you would have expected there to have been caveats
6	on the questions that were sent down to the United
7	States.
8	MR. FLEWELLING: The questions
9	that were sent down to the United States?
LO	MR. WALDMAN: Right. That is what
L1	he was asking about, sir, the four pages of
L2	questions.
L3	You this morning, I think,
L4	suggested to Mr. Cavalluzzo that it might not have
L5	been necessary to put caveats on that?
L6	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes, that's
L7	correct.
L8	MR. WALDMAN: In your evidence to
L9	Mr. Garvie, you said the opposite, sir.
20	MR. FLEWELLING: In reviewing, I
21	mean, if you have questions that are being sent
22	down and you have them caveated, then it wouldn't
23	make sense to caveat questions that you want to
24	have put to somebody.
25	What I would suggest is any

1	background information or any information that was
2	third party or subject to third party rule should
3	have those caveats.
4	MR. WALDMAN: Right. Now,
5	obviously we don't know, because all we have is a
6	redacted document. So we don't know whether there
7	was any other information there.
8	So that may be what you are
9	referring to.
10	MR. FLEWELLING: I will agree with
11	you, initially I stated that I thought that the
12	caveats should be on, and then I think throughout
13	the course of discussions, it just doesn't make
14	sense. And on reflection, you're right. I mean,
15	it doesn't make sense to put a caveat on a
16	question you want somebody to ask.
17	MR. WALDMAN: Right, except that
18	undoubtedly with the questions I would have
19	expected there was other information attached to
20	it that would have been subject to the caveats;
21	correct?
22	MR. FLEWELLING: I think if there
23	is contextual information or background
24	information, that type of thing, then I think if
25	they draw attention to that and say that it's

1	subject to third party rule or subject to caveats,
2	then to me that makes sense.
3	MR. WALDMAN: I want to move on to
4	another area.
5	Would it be fair to say, sir, that
6	in the period between September 26th and October
7	8th, you were not the only person being contacted
8	by U.S. authorities about Mr. Arar's detention?
9	There were several points of contact; right?
LO	MR. FLEWELLING: In terms of
L1	sorry?
L2	MR. WALDMAN: Mr. Arar's
L3	detention.
L4	MR. FLEWELLING: There would have
L5	been myself and those over at Project A-OCANADA.
L6	MR. WALDMAN: Right. So you
L7	weren't the only person?
L8	MR. FLEWELLING: No.
L9	MR. WALDMAN: And given that there
20	was direct communication between A-OCANADA and the
21	American authorities, you don't know, in fact,
22	what type of communication was going on.
23	Is that fair to say?
24	MR. FLEWELLING: Other than that
25	information that was relayed to me.

1	MR. WALDMAN: Right. So on page 7
2	of the Garvie transcript, the same document, just
3	to confirm your evidence, on line 25 you stated:
4	"It was through that time
5	line that I also learned that
6	they had further"
7	I can't read the word.
8	"contacts with US
9	authorities and with DFAIT
10	that I wasn't privy to"
11	So it's fair to say that there was
12	direct communication going on between A-OCANADA
13	and the U.S. authorities that you weren't aware
14	of?
15	MR. FLEWELLING: True.
16	MR. WALDMAN: And one example
17	would have been that an A-OCANADA investigator
18	received a call advising them that Mr. Arar was
19	arriving before he arrived and asking for the
20	questions.
21	You weren't privy to that and
22	didn't know that until October 2nd; correct?
23	MR. FLEWELLING: Or sometime
24	thereafter, yes.
25	MR. WALDMAN: Right. Well, I

1	think we established this morning that it was at
2	the end of the day on October 2nd, I think.
3	So would you not agree with me,
4	sir, that you, not knowing all of the nature of
5	all of the communications that all the people had
6	with the U.S. authorities, that it is certainly
7	possible that one of the persons who was a point
8	of contact might have acquiesced directly or
9	indirectly with the U.S. authorities about
LO	Mr. Arar being sent to Syria?
L1	You have no way of knowing that
L2	that is not the case?
L3	MR. FLEWELLING: I have no
L4	knowledge that that took place.
L5	MR. WALDMAN: But you can't say
L6	that it didn't because you don't have information
L7	with respect to all the communications; correct?
L8	MR. FLEWELLING: That's fair.
L9	MR. WALDMAN: You told us that
20	you are now aware that Mr. Arar was deported on
21	September 26; correct sorry, detained on
22	September 26, 2002.
23	MR. FLEWELLING: Sorry, what date
24	was that again?
25	MR. WALDMAN: Twenty-sixth of

1	September in the U.S.?
2	MR. FLEWELLING: That he was?
3	MR. WALDMAN: Detained by the U.S.
4	authorities on that day.
5	MR. FLEWELLING: No, I didn't know
6	on the 26th.
7	MR. WALDMAN: But you are now
8	aware of that fact; right?
9	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
10	MR. WALDMAN: And you found out
11	later on, first from Inspector Roy on the 2nd, I
12	think you told us?
13	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
14	MR. WALDMAN: Okay. But A-OCANADA
15	investigators found out before he is landed.
16	This morning, P-222 is a situation
17	report. It is dated
18	MR. FLEWELLING: I'm sorry, I just
19	find it very difficult to hear you.
20	MR. WALDMAN: I'm sorry. P-222 is
21	a situation report.
22	MR. FLEWELLING: Okay.
23	MR. WALDMAN: It's dated
24	2002/09/27?
25	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.

## StenoTran

1	MR. WALDMAN: The priority is
2	routine.
3	Could you explain to me how a
4	decision is made as to what priority a document
5	should get? Is there another priority besides
6	routine?
7	MR. FLEWELLING: There would be
8	routine, urgent.
9	MR. WALDMAN: Could there be very
10	urgent, too?
11	MR. FLEWELLING: I suppose if the
12	individual felt that it was something that they
13	needed to qualify it even further, I suppose they
14	could.
15	MR. WALDMAN: So this document was
16	classified as routine, yet it is a document
17	advising you of the detention of someone who is in
18	some way involved in the A-OCANADA investigation
19	of an alleged al-Qaeda sleeper cell in New York.
20	Do you think this was just a
21	routine SITREP, or do you think maybe it might
22	have been better if it was marked "urgent" too?
23	MR. FLEWELLING: I don't know what
24	was in the mind of the individual who wrote this.
25	MR. WALDMAN: But I'm asking your

1	opinion.
2	MR. FLEWELLING: I think that it
3	would have been something that we should have been
4	made aware of right away.
5	MR. WALDMAN: Right. So perhaps
6	marking it "routine" was possibly not a good
7	choice, in your view?
8	I mean, the fact is, sir, you told
9	us that you didn't read it until at least sometime
10	after October 2nd; right?
11	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
12	MR. WALDMAN: Can you provide us
13	with any I mean, my understanding was that
14	these SITREPs were supposedly done almost on a
15	daily basis, if not a daily basis. Is that
16	correct?
17	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
18	MR. WALDMAN: To keep you informed
19	of what was going on?
20	MR. FLEWELLING: Correct.
21	MR. WALDMAN: What was the purpose
22	of giving you a daily SITREP if it was sent to you
23	on September 27th and you didn't read it until
24	sometime after October 2nd?
25	MR. FLEWELLING: The process that

1	the SITREPs went through would be that it would be
2	written by whoever the writer was that was tasked.
3	I'm assuming here that it would be approved by one
4	of the project team leaders and then forwarded to
5	their CROPS office within "A" Division. Then once
6	read and approved, it would be forwarded to
7	headquarters.
8	MR. WALDMAN: All right. Let me
9	make sure I understand.
10	You are saying that even though
11	this document was dated September 27th, it may not
12	have arrived in your office until several days
13	later?
14	MR. FLEWELLING: I don't know if
15	it would be fair to say several days later, but
16	there is the potential for delay, depending on,
17	again, if all the players are in place.
18	MR. WALDMAN: All right.
19	Could we just get September up for
20	a second so we could try to figure out whether the
21	weekend intervened?
22	THE COMMISSIONER: The 27th was a
23	Friday.
24	MR. WALDMAN: So the 27th would
25	have been the Friday, and the 30th would have been

1	the Monday. If this was prepared on the Friday,
2	you didn't see it until the Wednesday, the 2nd, at
3	the earliest.
4	Is that correct?
5	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
6	MR. WALDMAN: So given the nature
7	of what was going on, do you think that you ought
8	to have seen this more quickly, and do you have
9	any explanation as to why I guess I'm asking
10	you: Was this sitting in CROPS at "A" Division
11	between the 27th and the 2nd, or was it sitting in
12	an in-box in your office?
13	MR. FLEWELLING: It could very
14	well have been.
15	MR. WALDMAN: It could have
16	been
17	MR. FLEWELLING: By the time it
18	was approved over at "A" Division, came over to
19	our office, it could have gone through the various
20	levels within our office. I know at that time,
21	sir, that I was looking after a couple of very
22	important issues or projects at that time as well.
23	So it's quite conceivable that
24	that information or that piece of paper sat on my
25	desk for a day before me getting to it.

1	MR. WALDMAN: Right. So the delay
2	between the 27th, the Friday and the Wednesday,
3	can be explained either by delays in getting it
4	from "A" Division over to you that's probably
5	part of it and possibly on the other hand it
6	could have been sitting in your in-box for a day
7	or two.
8	MR. FLEWELLING: It could have
9	been a combination, or a whole host of all of
10	them.
11	MR. WALDMAN: Well, how are you
12	going to be able to keep on top of a very
13	important national security investigation if it's
14	going to take you four or five days to review a
15	daily SITREP which was dealing with something on
16	September 26?
17	Let's look at this. September 26
18	was a Wednesday. You didn't read it you didn't
19	see it until the following Wednesday at the
20	earliest. A whole week had gone by. So what's
21	the point of getting daily SITREPs if you don't
22	see them until a day later? It would have made
23	more sense to give you weekly ones.
24	I don't understand why one would
25	ask for daily reports if it takes a week for you

1	to see them.
2	MR. FLEWELLING: I don't have an
3	answer for you.
4	Pause
5	MR. WALDMAN: I just want to cover
6	another area of testimony that you gave us this
7	morning.
8	I am an immigration lawyer, so I
9	was a bit intrigued by some of your answers with
10	respect to and I just want to make sure that
11	you and I are on the same page here.
12	If I recall your testimony
13	correctly, you said that on October 4th, you went
14	up to the fourth floor to speak to someone in the
15	immigration section of the RCMP because you had
16	been told that Mr. Arar was going to be removed as
17	opposed to deported, and you wanted to understand
18	the difference.
19	Did I understand your testimony
20	correctly?
21	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
22	MR. WALDMAN: So in your mind
23	there was a distinction between removal and
24	deportation; correct?
25	MR. FLEWELLING: As I understood

1	it, yes.
2	MR. WALDMAN: And what did you
3	understand the distinction to be?
4	MR. FLEWELLING: Removal was that
5	they would actually place the individual, or an
6	individual, on an aircraft and then that subject
7	would be returned to the last port of call.
8	MR. WALDMAN: Right. So removal
9	is right at the airport, right there, they are put
10	back on a plane?
11	MR. FLEWELLING: Correct.
12	MR. WALDMAN: And that's what you
13	understand
14	MR. FLEWELLING: That was my
15	impression, or that is what I was led to believe.
16	MR. WALDMAN: Right. And
17	deportation is different?
18	MR. FLEWELLING: Well, deportation
19	would mean that you would be going through a
20	process. You would be ordered, that there would
21	be an actual physical escort and that person
22	would, first of all, have the opportunity to
23	decide where to go. That was my understanding.
24	That the person would be physically escorted to a
25	final destination, so he would be accompanied by

1	somebody.
2	MR. WALDMAN: So in your mind
3	removal was immediate return back to the
4	MR. FLEWELLING: The last port of
5	call.
6	MR. WALDMAN: Last port. And you
7	went upstairs, and you spoke to someone upstairs
8	and basically they confirmed that information
9	MR. FLEWELLING: Well, I didn't
LO	know that at the time. It was after this exchange
L1	that I learned that that's what or that's what
L2	I perceived that removal to be.
L3	MR. WALDMAN: Okay. So before you
L4	went upstairs, you thought there might be a
L5	difference, and you weren't sure what the
L6	difference is.
L7	MR. FLEWELLING: Correct.
L8	MR. WALDMAN: So removal is going
L9	back to last port of call?
20	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
21	MR. WALDMAN: Which in Mr. Arar's
22	case was Switzerland; right?
23	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
24	MR. WALDMAN: And deportation is
25	something else. There is a process and, you know.

1	other countries come into play?
2	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
3	MR. WALDMAN: You receive a phone
4	call from the American official on Saturday,
5	October 5th at six o'clock. Is that right?
6	MR. FLEWELLING: That's October
7	5th at approximately 6:10.
8	MR. WALDMAN: And you would agree
9	with me that it was extremely unusual for an
10	American official to call you on a Saturday, or is
11	that something
12	MR. FLEWELLING: No, it I
13	wouldn't say it was the norm, but we called one
14	another quite frequently throughout the course of
15	the last year in order to deal with various events
16	that occurred or things that had to be arranged.
17	MR. WALDMAN: So it wasn't the
18	norm but it was unusual on a Saturday?
19	MR. FLEWELLING: Well, I mean, I
20	know that I have physically called them on
21	numerous occasions on weekends and vice versa.
22	MR. WALDMAN: Okay.
23	MR. FLEWELLING: If that makes
24	sense.
25	MP WALDMAN: Pight I guegg it

1	makes sense.
2	But you would agree with me that
3	it is it is also indicative, I think you said,
4	that you had a fairly close working relationship
5	with this individual for her to feel comfortable
6	calling you on a Saturday, and vice versa.
7	Is that fair to say?
8	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
9	MR. WALDMAN: If we could ask you
LO	to go to your notes, page 39, P-211, I will do my
L1	best at trying to go through this quickly.
L2	"We received a call from a
L3	U.S. official in relation to
L4	Mr. Arar. The official
L5	[somebody] in New York was
L6	unable to reach A-OCANADA."
L7	That part I'm not concerned about.
L8	"The official feared that
L9	they did not have enough
20	information to make the
21	charges stick. Therefore,
22	they would be looking at
23	deporting Mr. Arar. Where
24	Arar has dual citizenship and
25	he asked to be deported to

1	Canada, the official wanted
2	to know our interest in Arar.
3	Can we refuse Arar's entry?"
4	So I note, sir, will you agree
5	with me, that now all of a sudden we are not
6	talking any more about removal; we are talking
7	about deportation.
8	Is that correct?
9	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
10	MR. WALDMAN: So you understood
11	from that, given that the day before you had a
12	conversation with these immigration officials,
13	that this was now a different procedure; fair?
14	MR. FLEWELLING: I always had in
15	the back of my mind that he had a hearing or a
16	legal process on October the 9th.
17	MR. WALDMAN: Right, okay. You
18	understood that. We understand that you were told
19	that he had a process on October the 9th.
20	But I just want to be clear on one
21	of the implications of that. The removal was
22	shipping him back on a plane right away to
23	Switzerland.
24	So is it fair to say that once we
25	are into a deportation process, as far as you

1	understood, Switzerland wasn't on the table any
2	more, correct, because it was now a deportation
3	process where other countries would come into
4	play?
5	MR. FLEWELLING: I still believed
6	Switzerland was still on the table.
7	MR. WALDMAN: So then what would
8	be the difference between a removal you told us
9	your understanding about the removal process, you
10	just said, was put him back on the plane right
11	away back to Switzerland.
12	So if that wasn't happening any
13	more, why would Switzerland still be on the table?
14	It's not consistent with what you just told us a
15	minute ago, I would think.
16	MR. FLEWELLING: In my mind I
17	still had the impression that it was either going
18	to be Switzerland, Canada, which would ultimately
19	be the end.
20	MR. WALDMAN: But you also
21	acknowledged to Mr. Cavalluzzo this morning that
22	Syria clearly was a hypothetical possibility at
23	this time because the dual nationality was raised?
24	MR. FLEWELLING: No. Quite
25	obviously I didn't I knew that he was a dual

1	national, but I never thought that Syria was in
2	play.
3	I think I mentioned in the
4	conversation that I had with the American
5	official I'm losing my train of thought here.
6	Pause
7	MR. FLEWELLING: Sorry. I just
8	lost it there for a second.
9	Do you recall what your question
10	was? Sorry.
11	MR. WALDMAN: I will move on to
12	another area. We were talking about whether Syria
13	was in play. That was my question and you were
14	giving an answer
15	MR. FLEWELLING: I just
16	MR. WALDMAN: You didn't see Syria
17	as being an issue?
18	MR. FLEWELLING: I never saw Syria
19	as being an issue, and I know that Mr. Arar had
20	asked to go to Canada. So therefore by saying
21	that we didn't have any reason to charge him, (a),
22	and (b), that they couldn't refuse him, I just
23	thought that it solidified his return home.
24	MR. WALDMAN: I'm going to suggest
25	one thing to you, sir. Wasn't it rather strange

1	that I mean, dealing with what was really an
2	immigration question, whether Canada could refuse
3	him entry, they would call you as opposed to
4	trying to check with someone at the Canadian
5	Border Service? Wouldn't that have been the more
6	appropriate question for such a question?
7	This wasn't a police matter at
8	this point, refusal of entry. And surely the U.S.
9	embassy must have, through its INS contacts,
10	contacts in the CBSA as well, wouldn't you think?
11	MR. FLEWELLING: I was of the
12	impression that it was more for investigators from
13	the American agency who were doing a final
14	checklist, so to speak, and preparing
15	themselves
16	MR. WALDMAN: Did you ever ask
17	MR. FLEWELLING: and preparing
18	themselves for a hearing. Any person or any
19	investigator that is doing an investigation, when
20	faced with a deadline, will often phone and seek
21	those types of those pieces of information.
22	MR. WALDMAN: Did you ask this
23	person, this U.S. official, why she was asking
24	these questions about refusal of entry to Canada?
25	MR. FLEWELLING: Actually, he.

1	MR. WALDMAN: I thought it was a
2	she. I'm sorry; he. Why the questions were
3	asked?
4	MR. FLEWELLING: No. I thought it
5	was being asked on behalf of investigators.
6	MR. WALDMAN: I will take you back
7	to your notes.
8	You said:
9	"I stated that where he has
10	Canadian citizenship and
11	there wasn't enough evidence
12	to support charges in the
13	U.S. let alone Canada likely
14	because we could not refuse
15	him entry."
16	I'm a bit curious about this. You
17	are sort of basing your refusal of entry on two
18	premises: one, he has Canadian citizenship; and,
19	two, that there is not enough evidence to support
20	charges in Canada or the U.S.
21	Why would the fact that there was
22	not enough evidence to support charges in Canada
23	or the U.S. be relevant to the issue of refusal of
24	entry to Mr. Arar?
25	MR. FLEWELLING: Sorry, go again.

1	MR. WALDMAN: Your answer to the
2	question, sir, was:
3	"I stated that where he has
4	Canadian citizenship and
5	there wasn't enough evidence
6	to support charges"
7	So "and".
8	" we couldn't refuse him
9	entry."
LO	Now, I understand the Canadian
L1	citizenship. If he is Canadian, under the Charter
L2	he has a right. But what I don't understand is
L3	why you would have said:
L4	"Because we don't have enough
L5	evidence to support charges
L6	we can't refuse him entry."
L7	What is the connection between the
L8	charges and the refusal of entry?
L9	MR. FLEWELLING: Really nothing.
20	MR. WALDMAN: I would agree with
21	you.
22	I would suggest to you that the
23	charges was relevant to whether or not Canada
24	could hold Mr. Arar. Isn't that true?
25	That is what they were asking

1	Isn't that not correct?
2	MR. FLEWELLING: I never thought
3	of it that way.
4	MR. WALDMAN: But you were the one
5	who gave the answer. I'm just asking why you gave
6	an answer
7	MR. FLEWELLING: The question was,
8	do we have any additional information, or do we
9	have any information to support charges?
10	I mean, the answer is, "No. We
11	don't have any information to support charges."
12	I'm looking at it from their point
13	of view that they want to support charges within
14	their system.
15	MR. WALDMAN: All I'm saying is
16	that in your notes you clearly made a connection
17	between not having enough evidence and supporting
18	charges, and I'm just wondering and refusal of
19	entry, rather. And I'm just wondering what that
20	connection is.
21	You would agree with me there is
22	none, right?
23	MR. FLEWELLING: There is none.
24	MR. WALDMAN: So it's not relevant
25	to whether he could be denied entry or not.

1	whether there's evidence for charges?
2	MR. FLEWELLING: That has nothing
3	to do with it.
4	MR. WALDMAN: Right. I would
5	agree with you. But it is relevant to whether the
6	Americans would feel safe if Mr. Arar was on the
7	street or not. If you could charge him, he would
8	be detained, presumably as a suspected terrorist.
9	Right?
LO	MR. FLEWELLING: In hindsight,
L1	probably.
L2	MR. WALDMAN: Yes.
L3	Now, I'd like to go on to
L4	something else that's in this note. It says:
L5	"The U.S. feared that they
L6	did not have enough
L7	information to make charges."
L8	Now, these are your words, not
L9	mine.
20	Right?
21	MR. FLEWELLING: True.
22	MR. WALDMAN: So I'm quite
23	intrigued by the use of the word "fear." So would
24	you agree with me that it was your assessment of
25	the state of mind of the Americans that they were

1	fearful they couldn't address Mr. Arar. Those
2	were the words that you used.
3	Correct?
4	MR. FLEWELLING: Correct.
5	MR. WALDMAN: Why would they be
6	afraid of not being able to charge Mr. Arar?
7	Presumably if they didn't have
8	enough evidence to charge him, that means that
9	they didn't have a case and that he should be let
LO	free.
L1	Isn't that the way the criminal
L2	justice system works, normally?
L3	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
L4	MR. WALDMAN: Like, when you're
L5	involved in a criminal investigation of a fraud,
L6	you might be upset you know, you'd like to get
L7	the person, but it's not very often or usual to
L8	be afraid that you're going to be.
L9	Isn't that suggesting something
20	else in the state of mind of the Americans
21	MR. FLEWELLING: I think it's a
22	play on words. I didn't infer anything
23	MR. WALDMAN: Well
24	MR. FLEWELLING: I didn't infer
25	anything from it.

1	MR. WALDMAN: Well, I suppose
2	these must have been the words that were used by
3	the official, or that this is the impression that
4	was given to you.
5	Correct?
6	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes, correct.
7	MR. WALDMAN: So I would suggest
8	to you perhaps, sir, that the Americans were
9	concerned about Mr. Arar and were afraid they
10	couldn't charge him because they were concerned
11	that if they couldn't charge him and he came back
12	to Canada, that would be problematic for them?
13	MR. FLEWELLING: Again, I I
14	didn't I didn't get that from the conversation.
15	MR. WALDMAN: But the word
16	"feared" was clearly used?
17	MR. FLEWELLING: Or I wouldn't
18	have used it.
19	MR. WALDMAN: Right.
20	So the American official was
21	afraid that he couldn't charge Mr. Arar. And you
22	didn't draw anything from that
23	MR. FLEWELLING: That no.
24	MR. WALDMAN: Now, in retrospect
25	don't you think it might have been wise for you to

1	draw something from that? Why would they use the
2	word fear if they weren't concerned?
3	MR. FLEWELLING: I mean,
4	hindsight, you can look at it from whatever angle
5	you wish.
6	MR. WALDMAN: Right, and
7	hindsight
8	MR. FLEWELLING: But at the time,
9	sir, I'm telling you, if it weren't for the fact
10	that there wasn't that legal process on the 9th of
11	October
12	MR. WALDMAN: We know that
13	MR. FLEWELLING: That played very
14	heavily in my mind.
15	MR. WALDMAN: We know that that
16	legal process got put up to the 7th you didn't
17	know.
18	MR. FLEWELLING: Well, sir, I
19	unfortunately, I had no idea that that was even
20	part of their legal process.
21	MR. WALDMAN: Now, were you aware
22	of the concept Mr. Cavalluzzo asked you some
23	questions. I'm just going to pick up one or two
24	points here.
25	Were you aware of the idea of

## StenoTran

1	rendition at this point? Had you heard about
2	rendition?
3	MR. FLEWELLING: Like I said just
4	a minute ago, I never knew that that was even in
5	their system, no.
6	MR. WALDMAN: You had no knowledge
7	of
8	MR. FLEWELLING: The term? No.
9	MR. WALDMAN: No.
10	But you were aware of what was
11	going on in Guantanamo Bay, I assume?
12	MR. FLEWELLING: Sorry?
13	MR. WALDMAN: You were aware that
14	there was a place called Guantanamo Bay, I assume?
15	MR. FLEWELLING: Oh, yes.
16	MR. WALDMAN: Yes.
17	And you were aware that there were
18	a large number of people in Guantanamo, suspected
19	terrorists, who were there without charges and
20	without due process?
21	MR. FLEWELLING: What happened in
22	the States, in my opinion, was a case-precedenting
23	situation.
24	I had no experience or anything to
) E	draw off of with respect to them being oble to de

1	that. Unfortunately, I did not have that
2	experience to draw off of.
3	MR. WALDMAN: I understand that.
4	But I'm not asking I'm not asking what you
5	think is right or wrong, I'm just asking whether
6	you were aware
7	MR. FLEWELLING: I'm trying to
8	give you an explanation as to where I was at the
9	time.
10	MR. WALDMAN: Right, okay.
11	But you were aware that there was
12	Guantanamo Bay and you were aware that there were
13	people being detained there, I guess?
14	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
15	MR. WALDMAN: And that the people
16	there were being held, you know, without any due
17	process, without being brought to trial?
18	MR. FLEWELLING: I believed that
19	that was under their military law.
20	MR. WALDMAN: Right. They were
21	allegedly
22	MR. FLEWELLING: Something,
23	non-combatants or something.
24	MR. WALDMAN: Whatever the word.
25	I can't remember the legal term that they tried to

1	use.
2	"Unlawful combatants," my friend,
3	Mr. Fothergill, reminds me.
4	Exhibit P-85, volume 5, tab 27,
5	page 9. Sorry. It's just this e-mail.
6	MR. FLEWELLING: Sorry, which tab
7	is it? Sorry.
8	MR. WALDMAN: P-85, volume 5, tab
9	27
10	Pause
11	MR. WALDMAN: Sorry. I forget.
12	Time is going on, it's not that important. It's
13	going to take us too long to find this.
14	Now, I have one more question in
15	this area. I just want to be clear of one thing.
16	In this e-mail that I couldn't
17	find it says, "most likely deported to Canada." I
18	just want to be clear.
19	Was there ever a commitment at any
20	time from any of the U.S. officials where they
21	said to you, "We guarantee you Mr. Arar's going to
22	be deported to Canada"? Were you ever given
23	such
24	MR. FLEWELLING: Was there ever a
25	concrete commitment that he would be deported to

1	Canada?
2	MR. WALDMAN: Right, in any of
3	your conversations.
4	MR. FLEWELLING: Nothing concrete
5	other than I took his word at
6	MR. WALDMAN: No, I'm just saying.
7	"We're going to likely." That was the words you
8	used in the e-mail to Mr. Cabana. "We are likely
9	going to deport him to Canada."
LO	So was it ever did he say we
L1	are definitely in any of your conversations did
L2	any of the people say: "This guy's coming back to
L3	Canada, you don't have to worry about it. After
L4	the hearing on October 9th he's on his way"?
L5	Was there anything concrete?
L6	MR. FLEWELLING: No. Other than I
L7	know that it was reiterated with the investigators
L8	at A-OCANADA
L9	MR. WALDMAN: I was just asking
20	you, sir, in any of your conversations.
21	MR. FLEWELLING: No.
22	MR. WALDMAN: I'd like you to go
23	to P-223 just for a second. Paragraph 2.
24	I would suggest to you could
25	you read this I'll read it. Do you have it in

1	front of you?
2	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
3	MR. WALDMAN:
4	"They notified A-OCANADA that
5	Maher Arar was in custody at
6	the airport in New York after
7	flying from Europe. The U.S.
8	authorities advised that Arar
9	was and then refused
10	entry to the U.S. He was
11	also denied permission to
12	enter Canada by the U.S."
13	So this document, sir, suggests to
14	me that a decision was made on that date, and it
15	was repeated in other documents too, that Mr. Arar
16	was not in fact the decision was taken as early
17	as that date to deny Mr. Arar the right to come to
18	Canada.
19	You had seen that document, right?
20	MR. FLEWELLING: They're just
21	saying they're not going to allow him to pass
22	through the United States to Canada.
23	MR. WALDMAN: Right. They're not
24	going to allow him.
25	So the option that you you were

1	told on this report that you read sometime after
2	October 2nd, according to this report, the
3	Americans had already decided that they weren't
4	going to do what you seemed to believe they were
5	going to do, which is to allow him to come
6	directly to Canada. This report is saying that
7	there was a decision to take him to deny him
8	permission to enter Canada via the U.S. on that
9	date.
10	So you had, I would suggest to
11	you, clear evidence in the sit report that
12	contradicted your belief?
13	MR. FLEWELLING: Following contact
14	after this, the writing of this document and the
15	exchange had with my colleagues at the American
16	Embassy caused me to believe that after this date
17	that that was a distinct possibility.
18	MR. WALDMAN: But I'm just
19	suggesting to you that the documents you received,
20	and the advice that you were given, from your
21	A-OCANADA investigators, that you read sometime
22	after October 2nd, told you
23	MR. FLEWELLING: That the
24	possibility was he was going to go back to Zurich.
25	MR. WALDMAN: Right. But what was

1	clearly told to you at that time was that he
2	wasn't going to be able to enter Canada via the
3	United States?
4	MR. FLEWELLING: It's written
5	there, so I
6	MR. WALDMAN: So I'm suggesting to
7	you this was just another piece of information
8	that you had that should have set off alarm bells
9	in your mind when you had this conversation on
10	October
11	MR. FLEWELLING: I don't see it
12	that way at all.
13	MR. WALDMAN: You don't see it
14	that way?
15	MR. FLEWELLING: No.
16	MR. WALDMAN: Okay.
17	MR. FLEWELLING: And the reason
18	why I don't is the subsequent conversations that I
19	had with my American colleagues.
20	MR. WALDMAN: I'm just going to
21	try and I promised the Commissioner I would be
22	done in an hour and a half, so I'm going to try to
23	cover a few quick areas. I'm cognizant of the
24	time.
25	Now, you went on vacation on

## StenoTran

1	October 8th.
2	Correct?
3	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
4	MR. WALDMAN: And before you went
5	on vacation, you communicated to Mr
6	MR. FLEWELLING: Sergeant Lauzon.
7	MR. WALDMAN: Sergeant Lauzon and
8	Superintendent Pilgrim at CID about the
9	conversation that you had on Saturday.
LO	Correct?
L1	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
L2	MR. WALDMAN: Did you tell anyone
L3	else about the conversation?
L4	MR. FLEWELLING: Investigators
L5	from A-OCANADA.
L6	MR. WALDMAN: Right.
L7	You sent them that e-mail, right?
L8	MR. FLEWELLING: Correct.
L9	MR. WALDMAN: Now, could I ask you
20	to go to P-116. P-116.
21	Pause
22	MR. WALDMAN: It's a newspaper
23	report.
24	MR. FLEWELLING: P-116?
25	MR. WALDMAN: One one six. T

1	think this is a Toronto Star newspaper report,
2	October 9th, 2003. If I could just ask you to
3	read the first column?
4	MR. FLEWELLING: The first column?
5	MR. WALDMAN: Well, perhaps I can
6	just read you the relevant parts. This is a
7	this talks about Mr. Arar being arrested, then it
8	says:
9	"When it was noted that Arar
10	was a Canadian, Canadian
11	security was contacted. "They
12	asked, 'Do you have anything
13	on him,'" an official closely
14	involved in the case, said
15	
16	'Yes indeed,' 'He is
17	watched because he has been
18	to Afghanistan'.
19	On the basis of that, the
20	official said, Arar was
21	arrested when the plane
22	landed in New York.
23	"They then said to the
24	Canadians 'If we transfer
25	that man to you, can you give

1	us the assurance that you
2	will lay charges against
3	him?'" And the Canadian
4	police told them 'No, we
5	don't have anything to lay
6	against him. We can't bring
7	any charges.'
8	And the Americans said 'If
9	you aren't going to do
10	anything, if you're going to
11	let him go free'""
12	And then and so I'm suggesting
13	to you, sir, that some Canadian official had this
14	conversation with Mr. Fraser and it sounds
15	remarkably like the telephone conversation you had
16	with the American official.
17	Is that correct? Quite close in
18	its content. Would you agree with me?
19	MR. FLEWELLING: And you're
20	suggesting what, sir?
21	MR. WALDMAN: I'm asking whether
22	you were the source of this leak?
23	MR. FLEWELLING: Categorically,
24	no.
25	MR. WALDMAN: Do you have any idea

1	who could have been the source of this leak?
2	MR. FLEWELLING: I have no idea.
3	MR. WALDMAN: Because at this time
4	this was not public information. It's now public.
5	But on October 9th, 2003, it wasn't.
6	You don't have any idea. Would
7	you not agree with me that this information would
8	have only been available to only a small of
9	your conversation would only have been available
10	to a small group of people?
11	MR. FLEWELLING: I would suggest
12	to you that following the events that occurred,
13	that my conversation and my words had been
14	projected to numerous individuals and a number of
15	government agencies around Ottawa.
16	MR. WALDMAN: So these words were
17	easily accessible, you are saying?
18	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
19	MR. WALDMAN: So the source of the
20	leak could have been anyone, not necessarily
21	someone from the A-OCANADA investigation or from
22	CID?
23	MR. FLEWELLING: I wouldn't begin
24	to to suggest who, if anybody, may have
25	mentioned anything.

1	MR. WALDMAN: I'd like you to go
2	to P-184. Okay, sorry. No. Actually, give me a
3	minute. We're finding it so
4	Let me ask you some other
5	questions. We were told about this April 2nd data
6	dump. That's the expression that we've used.
7	That was when, if I remember
8	correctly, the CDs were given well, the whole
9	information that was in the database of A-OCANADA
10	was given to the Americans.
11	Now, that occurred before you came
12	on as the supervisor of A-OCANADA.
13	Correct?
14	MR. FLEWELLING: As a coordinator
15	overseer, yes.
16	MR. WALDMAN: But were you aware
17	of that, that that had happened?
18	MR. FLEWELLING: I became aware,
19	yes.
20	MR. WALDMAN: Okay.
21	And would you agree with me that
22	the type of data dumping, the mirroring of
23	databases, and giving copies of the entire
24	investigative report is something that should have
25	required the consultation of CID before it was

1	done?
2	MR. FLEWELLING: I think what I
3	had mentioned was is that I was not privy to
4	any discussion or arrangements that were made by
5	any official or any management at that time, and I
6	think it was a hypothetical question whereby, what
7	did I think.
8	MR. WALDMAN: Okay, but
9	MR. FLEWELLING: And based on my
10	view from the present day without the knowledge of
11	whether or not there were any special arrangements
12	or authorization, my answer was, no, that it
13	should have come through CID.
14	MR. WALDMAN: And it should have
15	then been reviewed by CID to determine what
16	evidence should be released and what shouldn't,
17	and you've explained that process of
18	MR. FLEWELLING: Well, the ability
19	to be able to go through and see what was what and
20	transfer the information the appropriate
21	information.
22	MR. WALDMAN: Right. So obviously
23	the concerns it says circumstances of
24	protecting the privacy of Canadians, protecting
25	the gourges of information and ensuring that the

1	information is only used in a manner consistent
2	with the use for which it was intended.
3	Correct?
4	MR. FLEWELLING: There's policy in
5	place in order to deal with those events.
6	MR. WALDMAN: Okay.
7	So when did you become aware that
8	this April 2nd transfer had taken place, like the
9	CDs and all that?
10	MR. FLEWELLING: Oh, the date, I
11	have no idea.
12	MR. WALDMAN: Okay. Was it after
13	you started in June as coordinator or before?
14	MR. FLEWELLING: I believe so.
15	MR. WALDMAN: Right. Did you
16	discuss with your superiors at any point your
17	concerns about this decision to holus-bolus give
18	all the information to the Americans without
19	consultation with CID?
20	MR. FLEWELLING: It was my belief
21	that management was already aware of it and
22	dealing with it.
23	MR. WALDMAN: Okay. Now, can I
24	ask you to go to P-184?
25	THE COMMISSIONER: One eighty

1	MR. WALDMAN: Four.
2	Pause
3	MR. WALDMAN: So if I could ask
4	you to go to the section in this well, this is
5	a briefing note.
6	Correct?
7	MR. FLEWELLING: Correct.
8	MR. WALDMAN: And it was sent to
9	the Commissioner. It says on the bottom left,
10	"(something) Inspector Rick Reynolds"?
11	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
12	MR. WALDMAN: Right.
13	So he was above Sergeant Lauzon in
14	the hierarchy?
15	MR. FLEWELLING: No.
16	MR. WALDMAN: No?
17	MR. FLEWELLING: Inspector
18	Reynolds was in charge of a totally different unit
19	at the time.
20	MR. WALDMAN: So did anyone in
21	your unit have anything to do with the drafting of
22	this briefing note?
23	MR. FLEWELLING: I have no idea.
24	I wasn't there then.
25	MP WALDMAN: Von weren't there

1	It's April
2	MR. FLEWELLING: 2003.
3	MR. WALDMAN: Right. April 30th,
4	2003
5	MR. FLEWELLING: I was in Alberta,
6	sir, or on my way.
7	MR. WALDMAN: Okay. Now, I'm
8	just with respect to this, you were aware that
9	Mr. Edelson approached Inspector Cabana about a
10	letter, correct, in October 2004?
11	MR. FLEWELLING: I'll be honest
12	with you and say most of that conversation I'm
13	aware of as a result of preparation.
14	MR. WALDMAN: Okay. So you
15	weren't aware of it at the time?
16	MR. FLEWELLING: I was aware that
17	he had a meeting with him sometime in October, I
18	believe it was the 3rd, if my memory serves me
19	correctly.
20	MR. WALDMAN: That's correct. I
21	think that's what someone's note said.
22	Were you aware at any time of your
23	office being approached with respect to the
24	request to approve such a letter that Mr. Edelson
25	had requested?

1	MR. FLEWELLING: Not to my
2	knowledge.
3	MR. WALDMAN: Did you ever receive
4	any information from the RCMP liaison officer from
5	Rome? We know he went on a trip to Syria. Were
6	you aware of whether he shared any operational
7	information with I gather my friend is going to
8	object.
9	MR. FOTHERGILL: Yes.
LO	Commissioner, you may recall that we asserted a
L1	claim of National Security Confidentiality with
L2	respect to information we may have received
L3	through foreign intelligence channels with respect
L4	to any of the principal targets of the
L5	investigation.
L6	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
L7	MR. WALDMAN: Well, did the
L8	liaison officer, to your knowledge, seek any
L9	approval to seek any information from Syria? We
20	are not asking about the information; we are
21	asking about the procedures.
22	Were you at any time privy to any
23	conversations with the liaison officer, or that
24	any information came to you that the liaison
0.5	officer was seeking approval to travel to Suria

1	with respect to Mr. Almalki or Mr. El Maati or
2	Mr. Arar?
3	MR. FOTHERGILL: Again,
4	Commissioner, we assert National Security
5	Confidentiality with respect to whether these
6	investigative steps were taken with respect to
7	particular individuals. Certainly Mr. Waldman is
8	at liberty to explore the policy or procedure just
9	by the use of reasonable hypotheticals.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
11	MR. WALDMAN: I would like you to
12	go to page 9 to 10 of your notes. This is a
13	notation in
14	MR. FLEWELLING: Sorry, what page?
15	MR. WALDMAN: Nine, at the bottom.
16	It says:
17	"'A' Division investigators
18	recently spoke to 'X'. They
19	said we should know where he
20	is. His parents just
21	returned from Syria. They
22	know he is in custody and
23	they are concerned. Mother
24	called brother in Germany who
25	advised them to contact an

1	individual in Syria for
2	additional info. It will
3	appear that we will have to
4	bring the Americans on
5	board."
6	MR. FLEWELLING: Sorry, what page
7	is that again?
8	MR. WALDMAN: Nine to 10.
9	MR. FLEWELLING: Nine to 10.
10	MR. WALDMAN: That's on the next
11	page, sorry.
12	MR. FLEWELLING: Are you sure?
13	MR. WALDMAN: The next page is
14	still part I'm not sure if the notation on page
15	11, "it would appear we have to bring the
16	Americans on board", is still in relation to the
17	same matter.
18	MR. FLEWELLING: I'm sorry, I'm
19	having a tough time following you.
20	MR. WALDMAN: Maybe we can leave
21	the Americans on board out of it.
22	Start at the bottom of page 9.
23	MR. FLEWELLING: Page 9? Which
24	line?
25	MR. WALDMAN: Four lines from the

1	bottom.
2	"'A' Division investigators
3	recently spoke to 'X.' They
4	said we should know where he
5	is. His parents just
6	returned from Syria. They
7	know he's in custody and they
8	are concerned. Mother called
9	brother in Germany who
10	advised them to contact an
11	individual in Syria."
12	So this notation is about an
13	individual who is a subject of interest to you who
14	was detained in Syria at this time. Is that
15	correct, based upon your notes?
16	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
17	MR. WALDMAN: I mean, we know from
18	other sources that the only Canadian the only
19	person of interest at that time was Mr. Almalki.
20	MR. FOTHERGILL: If he is asking
21	the witness to confirm his assumption, he cannot
22	do so.
23	MR. WALDMAN: If we go to page 21,
24	at the very bottom of the page, this is a notation
25	from June 21st, 2002:

1	"Solicit the assistance of
2	CSIS, LEO Rome and DFAIT to
3	apply the necessary process
4	in order to gain access.
5	Questions: I think it's
6	intelligence versus
7	criminal?"
8	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
9	MR. WALDMAN: "Do we want him
10	back? Do we have enough to
11	charge? 'A' Division would
12	really want him back for the
13	purpose of laying charges
14	under Bill C-36. The
15	question is really how Syria
16	is going to play. We may
17	have to"
18	I can't read that.
19	MR. FLEWELLING: "Be satisfied".
20	MR. WALDMAN: "be satisfied
21	with the prevention side of
22	the mandate and hope that
23	additional information can be
24	gleaned with respect to his
25	other plans we are not aware

1	of."
2	This is, I assume, in reference to
3	the same individual.
4	The thing that is of interest to
5	me, sir, in this document, is the reference: "Do
6	we want him back?"
7	It seems to me, if I interpret
8	this correctly, is that the issue was whether they
9	had enough information to lay charges or not, and
10	the question is: Well, if we have enough, we want
11	him back; but if we don't, given the prevention
12	mandate, we would rather he didn't come back.
13	Is that a fair interpretation of
14	what these notes say, sir?
15	MR. FLEWELLING: I think it was
16	just a normal strategy session and that was
17	something that somebody obviously brought up.
18	MR. WALDMAN: Yes, somebody asked:
19	"Well, do we really want to let this guy come
20	back? Do we want this guy back?" Someone asked
21	that, right, suggesting that maybe it might not be
22	in our interests to have this person, this
23	Canadian citizen, back in Canada. Is that fair?
24	That is what this note says,
25	doesn't it?

1	Someone asked that question: "Do
2	we want him back?" I'm not saying it was you, but
3	it's in your notes; right? Someone asked that.
4	MR. FLEWELLING: Obviously, or it
5	wouldn't be there.
6	MR. WALDMAN: Would you agree with
7	me that one of the easiest ways of fulfilling the
8	mandate with respect to protection of people that
9	are suspected of possibly being al-Qaeda suspects
10	is to not get them back, to keep them out, from a
11	prevention point of view; fair enough?
12	MR. FLEWELLING: I would suggest
13	to you, sir, that the role at DFAIT weighs
14	extremely heavily in acquiring an individual's
15	access to consular visits and to be able to gain
16	their freedom in a foreign country. It is very
17	difficult to align that with a strategy session
18	where somebody is just posing a question.
19	MR. WALDMAN: Right. I agree with
20	you, sir, that at the end of the day, the people
21	who are having the strategy session were not the
22	final policymakers.
23	But I'm suggesting to you that it
24	was in the mind of somebody in that room that it
25	might be better if this Canadian, this person, who

1	I would submit to you is Mr. Almalki, was not
2	allowed to come back to Canada.
3	That is what the inference of this
4	is?
5	MR. FLEWELLING: I don't know what
6	the inference was in the person's mind.
7	MR. WALDMAN: But would you not
8	agree with me that "Do we want him back?", it is a
9	reasonable inference to suggest the person was
10	thinking maybe it would be better if he weren't
11	back?
12	MR. FLEWELLING: I don't know.
13	MR. WALDMAN: You don't know.
14	MR. FLEWELLING: I can see where
15	you would think that, yes.
16	MR. WALDMAN: You could see where
17	I might think that.
18	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
19	MR. WALDMAN: Do you think
20	Canadians watching this on CPAC might reasonably
21	think that, sir, when somebody asked, "Do we want
22	him back"
23	THE COMMISSIONER: That would be a
24	hard question for him to answer.
25	MR. WALDMAN: I would agree. I

1	was just being a bit
2	MR. FLEWELLING: I would hope that
3	they would think that we would try and cover all
4	the bases.
5	MR. WALDMAN: Right, in protecting
6	Canadians.
7	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
8	MR. WALDMAN: Including possibly
9	letting a Canadian citizen sit in a jail in Syria
10	as opposed to being allowed to come back to Canada
11	and
12	MR. FLEWELLING: I'm not
13	suggesting that, sir.
14	MR. WALDMAN: Mr. Commissioner, I
15	do have other areas but I promised you an hour and
16	a half.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: I don't want
18	you to leave out anything that you think is
19	crucial, but take a quick look.
20	MR. WALDMAN: One quick look.
21	Pause
22	MR. WALDMAN: I'm done. I kept my
23	word.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
25	Mr. Boxall?

1	EXAMINATION
2	MR. BOXALL: Mr. Flewelling,
3	sorry, I didn't get your current rank.
4	MR. FLEWELLING: Sergeant.
5	MR. BOXALL: Sergeant. But you
6	were a corporal at the time?
7	MR. FLEWELLING: That's correct.
8	MR. BOXALL: All right. And you
9	are aware that I represent Superintendent Cabana?
10	MR. FLEWELLING: That's correct.
11	MR. BOXALL: All right. Sir,
12	briefly with the reporting structure here, at the
13	time did Inspector Cabana report to Corporal
14	Flewelling?
15	MR. FLEWELLING: No.
16	MR. BOXALL: All right. Who did
17	Inspector Cabana report to?
18	MR. FLEWELLING: He would have
19	reported to the "A" Division CROPS officer.
20	MR. BOXALL: So he would report to
21	the Assistant CROPS Officer, who would report to
22	the "A" Division CROPS Officer, who would report
23	to the commanding officer of "A" Division?
24	MR. FLEWELLING: That's correct.
25	MR. BOXALL: Did Inspector Cabana

1	report to Mr. Proulx?
2	MR. FLEWELLING: He had a
3	reporting line with CID, which ultimately would
4	have been Mr. Proulx.
5	MR. BOXALL: Reporting through
6	who?
7	MR. FLEWELLING: Through CID.
8	MR. BOXALL: Where's the line?
9	MR. FLEWELLING: It's my
LO	understanding that with a national security
L1	investigation there is that reporting line.
L2	Ultimately it's an investigation that is run by
L3	"A" Division, but there is that side reporting.
L4	MR. BOXALL: Well, sir, this was a
L5	criminal investigation being run out of "A"
L6	Division; correct? You are aware of that?
L7	MR. FLEWELLING: A criminal
L8	investigation under the auspices of national
L9	security.
20	MR. BOXALL: It was being run out
21	of "A" Division with "A" Division reporting, was
22	it not?
23	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
24	MR. BOXALL: Because normally, a
25	national security investigation wouldn't report to

1	the CROPS officer, would it?
2	MR. FLEWELLING: In the field it
3	would. They still oversee a national security
4	investigation.
5	MR. BOXALL: We say oversee.
6	There is still some liaison between the two;
7	correct?
8	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
9	MR. BOXALL: All right. But it
10	would be Inspector Cabana's duty, would it not, to
11	take his instructions from the CROPS officer?
12	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
13	MR. BOXALL: And the commanding
14	officer at "A" Division would instruct the CROPS
15	officer?
16	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
17	MR. BOXALL: And it would be
18	Inspector Cabana's duty, is it not, to follow the
19	instructions of his superior officers?
20	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
21	MR. BOXALL: Sir, are you aware of
22	the instructions Inspector Cabana received from
23	the Assistant CROPS Officer, from the CROPS
24	Officer or from the Commanding Officer?
25	MR. FLEWELLING: That's why T

1	stated earlier that I wasn't aware when I first
2	MR. BOXALL: Right.
3	MR. FLEWELLING: I wasn't aware of
4	the agreements or the arrangements.
5	MR. BOXALL: Right. And what
6	Mr. Cavalluzzo described to you, sir, is battles
7	between A-OCANADA and CID and that A-OCANADA won
8	those battles. Sir, would you agree that there
9	was management-level meetings, at a higher level
10	than you
11	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
12	MR. BOXALL: and a higher level
13	than Inspector Cabana
14	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
15	MR. BOXALL: that set out the
16	rules for Inspector Cabana's reporting?
17	MR. FLEWELLING: I'm assuming so.
18	MR. BOXALL: In fact, although you
19	weren't personally happy with the results of some
20	of those meetings, the results were clear to you?
21	MR. FLEWELLING: Sorry, they were
22	clear what?
23	MR. BOXALL: That Inspector Cabana
24	was reporting through CROPS?
25	MR. FIEWELLING: Yes.

1	MR. BOXALL: There was other
2	persons prior to you that had this position that
3	you had at CID relative to A-OCANADA?
4	MR. FLEWELLING: That's correct.
5	MR. BOXALL: Do you know who they
6	were?
7	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes, I do.
8	MR. FOTHERGILL: Commissioner, as
9	you know, we would prefer not to name individuals
10	if it's not necessary.
11	MR. BOXALL: I'm not going to ask
12	for their names.
13	When you took over your role, were
14	you briefed by any of them?
15	MR. FLEWELLING: Was I given a
16	formal briefing on the project overall?
17	MR. BOXALL: Yes.
18	MR. FLEWELLING: No.
19	MR. BOXALL: Did you take a look
20	at any of their notes or reports or anything?
21	MR. FLEWELLING: I did a review.
22	Unfortunately, I don't recall reviewing any notes.
23	MR. BOXALL: Okay. So although
24	there had been CID involvement for some eight
25	months nerhans by the time you became involved

1	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
2	MR. BOXALL: did you review any
3	CID notes, reports or anything before you became
4	involved?
5	MR. FLEWELLING: Before I became
6	involved?
7	MR. BOXALL: Or on becoming
8	involved with A-OCANADA?
9	MR. FLEWELLING: I read a number
10	of situation reports in order to bring myself up
11	to speed but
12	MR. BOXALL: Sit reports, SITREPs?
13	MR. FLEWELLING: SITREPs.
14	MR. BOXALL: Yes, but they are
15	from A-OCANADA. What did you read from CID about
16	it, if anything?
17	MR. FLEWELLING: Just what was
18	readily available, sir.
19	MR. BOXALL: What is the extent of
20	that?
21	MR. FLEWELLING: What may have
22	been on SCIS or at my disposal.
23	MR. BOXALL: Do you even know why
24	there was three persons in the position before
25	VO11 2

1	MR. FLEWELLING: Not to a full
2	extent, no.
3	MR. BOXALL: So you don't even
4	know if it had to do with conflict or just
5	staffing issues or anything such as that?
6	MR. FLEWELLING: That's correct.
7	MR. BOXALL: So any suggestion
8	that the fact that there was three persons in your
9	position before you somehow reflects some type of
10	problem, that's not necessarily accurate, is it,
11	sir?
12	MR. FLEWELLING: Not totally, no.
13	MR. BOXALL: I'm curious to know
14	how you would describe it. We have heard you
15	described as an overseer, project manager,
16	different terms by counsel today.
17	Corporal Flewelling, review
18	analyst at CID, what was your role and duties with
19	respect to A-OCANADA?
20	MR. FLEWELLING: My duties and
21	role were to acquire information and to ensure
22	that policies with respect to the acquiring of
23	information and so on and so forth was respected,
24	as well as to inform management as to what the
25	investigation was

1	MR. BOXALL: Right. So you had a
2	role to assist them, if need be, with respect to
3	policy?
4	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
5	MR. BOXALL: And you had a role to
6	help inform management?
7	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
8	MR. BOXALL: Okay. You didn't
9	have an operational role?
10	MR. FLEWELLING: No, not per se.
11	MR. BOXALL: Not per se. And with
12	respect to I will come back to that.
13	Sir, with respect to
14	information-sharing pre-9/11, you described a
15	process that would take place, the sharing of
16	information with the RCMP and foreign countries.
17	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
18	MR. BOXALL: And would you agree
19	with me, sir, that the process was slow,
20	cumbersome?
21	MR. FLEWELLING: In dealing with a
22	number of intelligence and/or foreign countries,
23	yes, the information retrieval was slow, yes.
24	MR. BOXALL: All right. And even
25	dealing with the United States, the number of

1	steps, the bureaucracy that you described to go
2	from the Embassy to the LO and then it goes
3	through an awful lot of hands; correct?
4	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
5	MR. BOXALL: And it would be in
6	writing, each time, I take it, too?
7	MR. FLEWELLING: There would be
8	your formal letters and things of that nature,
9	yes.
10	MR. BOXALL: And they may sit in
11	somebody's in-basket who is busy?
12	MR. FLEWELLING: Our ability
13	pre-9/11, in order to deal with an awful lot of
14	the issues, wasn't a problem.
15	MR. BOXALL: Was a problem?
16	MR. FLEWELLING: Was not a
17	problem.
18	MR. BOXALL: Was not a problem.
19	But in 9/11 the world changed,
20	didn't it, sir?
21	MR. FLEWELLING: Absolutely.
22	MR. BOXALL: All right. And there
23	was a new reality?
24	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
25	MP ROYALL. There was a new

1	reality with respect to the potential threat to
2	Canadians?
3	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
4	MR. BOXALL: There was a new
5	reality with respect to the speed which the police
6	forces had to respond to that threat; correct?
7	MR. FLEWELLING: I would agree
8	with that assessment.
9	MR. BOXALL: Right. And
10	accordingly, preexisting paper procedures for the
11	sharing of information were not seen as adequate
12	to protect Canadians against a potential terrorist
13	threat?
14	MR. FLEWELLING: The only thing I
15	can tell you, sir, is that the orders that were
16	given was that sharing was paramount.
17	MR. BOXALL: Right.
18	MR. FLEWELLING: Timely.
19	MR. BOXALL: Yes.
20	MR. FLEWELLING: And that was to
21	be done still with the existing policy in mind.
22	MR. BOXALL: Was it given in
23	writing to you, sir?
24	MR. FLEWELLING: No.
25	MR. BOXALL: Okay. So this little

1	rider on it, with existing policy, did you ever
2	see that in writing?
3	MR. FLEWELLING: No.
4	MR. BOXALL: But you do recall the
5	message being sharing is paramount?
6	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
7	MR. BOXALL: You are to share as
8	much as possible?
9	MR. FLEWELLING: I don't know if I
LO	recall that term; but timely sharing, yes.
L1	MR. BOXALL: And timely sharing
L2	means as close to instantaneous sharing as you can
L3	have; correct?
L4	MR. FLEWELLING: I suppose it
L5	depends on the person that is receiving the
L6	message.
L7	MR. BOXALL: Was not the concern
L8	that there might be a piece of paper sitting in
L9	somebody's in-basket that might have prevented a
20	building from being blown up or something?
21	MR. FLEWELLING: I think it would
22	be fair to say that we all had that fear.
23	MR. BOXALL: Right. And the
24	instructions coming from management to deal with
25	that, to deal with this new reality, is that to

1	prevent occurrences, not to try to and investigate
2	them after the fact, when a lot of people are
3	dead, to investigate them, you need to get that
4	information shared right away, in real time;
5	correct?
6	MR. FLEWELLING: Where there was a
7	need or a viewpoint that there was going to be a
8	threat of that nature, I don't think any one of us
9	ever had an issue with that. I don't think that
10	would be an issue.
11	MR. BOXALL: Right. But this was
12	a new reality of the type of thing that could
13	happen. Do you not agree, sir?
14	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
15	MR. BOXALL: And do you not agree
16	that the perhaps the RCMP in general, but in
17	particular CID lacked the resources to deal with
18	this new reality?
19	MR. FLEWELLING: I would suggest
20	to you that we all did.
21	MR. BOXALL: Right. So we may all
22	have, but CID lacked the resources, with perhaps
23	numerous other agencies in this country and around
24	the world?
25	MR. FLEWELLING: Given the added

1	pressures with the $G-8$ , $G-21$ and everything else,
2	there is a definite pressure on manpower.
3	MR. BOXALL: And it was beyond
4	pressure. The number of tips, the amount of
5	material, the requirement to respond to it, to
6	this unforeseen, unprecedented event, meant that
7	CID lacked the resources to handle the situation?
8	MR. FLEWELLING: I would say we
9	all did.
LO	MR. BOXALL: And in this new era,
L1	the message was given that information-sharing was
L2	to be paramount, and information-sharing was the
L3	way one would protect and prevent future
L4	occurrences. Do you agree?
L5	MR. FLEWELLING: I think the
L6	sharing of information was stressed and was vital.
L7	MR. BOXALL: Right. And what was
L8	stressed as being vital about it was that it would
L9	assist in prevention?
20	MR. FLEWELLING: That's always a
21	part of our mandate.
22	MR. BOXALL: Right. It's always
23	part of your mandate, but there's an awful lot of
24	RCMP investigations that are involved on crimes
25	that have already been committed; correct?

1	MR. FLEWELLING: Correct.
2	MR. BOXALL: And putting the focus
3	clearly on prevention is a change, to a certain
4	extent, in the mandate too, isn't it?
5	MR. FLEWELLING: Prevention has
6	always been part of our mandate.
7	MR. BOXALL: But now it was put at
8	the top of the list?
9	MR. FLEWELLING: I would say after
10	9/11, yes, definitely.
11	MR. BOXALL: And that is a change,
12	too; correct?
13	MR. FLEWELLING: It was definitely
14	a change in mindset, yes.
15	MR. BOXALL: Right. And so in
16	response, in part I won't get into all the
17	details it was in the aftermath of 9/11 that
18	A-OCANADA was formed; correct, sir?
19	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
20	MR. BOXALL: And you were not
21	present, sir, with the meeting that Mr. Proulx had
22	with domestic and foreign agencies, when there was
23	an agreement with respect to information-sharing;
24	correct?
25	MR. FLEWELLING: That's correct.

## StenoTran

1	MR. BOXALL: You are not aware of
2	what was agreed there?
3	MR. FLEWELLING: That's correct.
4	MR. BOXALL: And you never
5	received anything in writing from Mr. Proulx
6	personally about what was agreed there?
7	MR. FLEWELLING: Not in writing,
8	no.
9	MR. BOXALL: No. You are aware,
10	sir, that a decision was made by senior management
11	that A-OCANADA would report through "A" Division;
12	correct?
13	MR. FLEWELLING: Sorry. Repeat
14	the question.
15	MR. BOXALL: That A-OCANADA would
16	have a reporting structure through "A" Division?
17	MR. FLEWELLING: I think that was
18	the normal course.
19	MR. BOXALL: Okay. Are you aware,
20	sir, of any instructions that were given to them
21	with respect to information-sharing?
22	MR. FLEWELLING: I think I've
23	already mentioned in my testimony that I wasn't
24	aware.
25	MR. BOXALL: Was it obvious to

1	you, working in CID, that A-OCANADA was having
2	direct dealings with American authorities?
3	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
4	MR. BOXALL: And on the face of
5	that, that would appear to be a violation of
6	policy?
7	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
8	MR. BOXALL: And as the Corporal,
9	you are aware of that?
10	MR. FLEWELLING: That is correct.
11	MR. BOXALL: Mr. Pilgrim was aware
12	of that?
13	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes, he was.
14	MR. BOXALL: Mr. Proulx was aware
15	of that?
16	MR. FLEWELLING: As you know, we
17	did have several discussions over that precise
18	issue.
19	MR. BOXALL: Well, we'll get to
20	the discussions. But they were all aware of that
21	in October and November and December, January?
22	They were all aware of that?
23	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
24	MR. BOXALL: Have you seen any
25	memos from Mr. Drouly with respect to that?

1	MR. FLEWELLING: No.
2	MR. BOXALL: There was various
3	discussions, but in different words in your notes
4	that things weren't going to change. For example
5	there was a meeting on September 26, 2002;
6	correct, sir?
7	MR. FLEWELLING: That is correct.
8	MR. BOXALL: Right. In which the
9	CO of "A" Division met with Mr. Pilgrim; correct?
10	MR. FLEWELLING: And there were a
11	few others that were at the meeting as well, yes.
12	MR. BOXALL: And others. And,
13	again, the open line and direct communication was
14	confirmed at that meeting for A-OCANADA with U.S.
15	authorities?
16	MR. FLEWELLING: As a result of
17	discussions and where Mr. Hovey alluded to a
18	conversation with Mr. Proulx, Mr. Pilgrim backed
19	away from his original position in order to be
20	able to discuss it further with Mr. Proulx. The
21	directives were that they would continue dealing
22	with American agencies, with the exception that a
23	member of CID would attend.
24	MR. BOXALL: Right. And as
25	described in your notes at page 34 for September

1	27th:
2	"The lines of communication
3	were open again."
4	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
5	MR. BOXALL: And, in fact, except
6	for a short period prior to September 26th, the
7	lines of communication between A-OCANADA were open
8	with the American authorities, and this was with
9	the full knowledge of CID; right?
10	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
11	MR. BOXALL: Clearly in the manner
12	in which the RCMP works, if you have got a
13	concern, you can go to your boss or Mr. Pilgrim,
14	and Mr. Pilgrim can go to Mr. Proulx, and if
15	Mr. Proulx has a concern, he can take it up the
16	ladder too; correct?
17	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
18	MR. BOXALL: All right. And it
19	appears that the instructions, at least you
20	have indicated that Mr. Pilgrim backed off because
21	of Commanding Officer Hovey's opinion; correct?
22	MR. FLEWELLING: It was as a
23	result of Mr. Hovey alluding to a conversation
24	that he had with Mr. Proulx.
25	MP ROYALL: Pight And that was

1	with respect to an agreement that this was how
2	A-OCANADA was to operate; correct?
3	MR. FLEWELLING: There was some
4	sort of discussion or agreement that apparently he
5	alluded to that none of us were privy to.
6	MR. BOXALL: You weren't aware of
7	it?
8	MR. FLEWELLING: None of us in the
9	room except apparently Mr. Hovey and Mr. Proulx
10	were aware of it.
11	MR. BOXALL: Mr. Hovey and
12	Mr. Proulx. And you don't know what instructions
13	were coming from Mr. Hovey to the CROPS Officer to
14	the Assistant CROPS Officer to Mr. Cabana;
15	correct?
16	MR. FLEWELLING: No.
17	MR. BOXALL: Would it be
18	reasonable to infer that the instructions that he
19	would be receiving would be the ones coming
20	directly down his line of command?
21	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes. Again,
22	under the auspices of national security, there
23	should have been that information or that
24	informing role.
25	MR. BOXALL: All right. And we

1	will get to that informing role.
2	It was clear for many, many
3	months, before you became involved with A-OCANADA
4	that A-OCANADA had an open line of communication
5	with the American authorities. Would you agree?
6	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
7	MR. BOXALL: And it was known to
8	CID that they were sharing information directly?
9	MR. FLEWELLING: That's my
10	understanding.
11	MR. BOXALL: And this was pursuant
12	to a mandate to share, the importance of sharing,
13	and to share information in a timely way to save
14	lives?
15	MR. FLEWELLING: I would say
16	ultimately that that's the way it was designed,
17	yes.
18	MR. BOXALL: Right. And
19	throughout this period of time, particularly in
20	the early months, CID was overwhelmed with the
21	amount of work they had to do?
22	MR. FLEWELLING: I would suggest
23	to you all of us were.
24	MR. BOXALL: All right. And you
25	have indicated that it is important that the

1	headquarters be informed with respect to the
2	investigation that was going on by A-OCANADA?
3	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
4	MR. BOXALL: And you are aware of
5	the fact in fact, you read some of them that
6	the SITREPs were coming on a daily basis?
7	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
8	MR. BOXALL: And not every
9	investigation sends over SITREPs on a daily basis,
10	does it?
11	MR. FLEWELLING: No.
12	MR. BOXALL: So that would be an
13	extraordinary step to inform headquarters?
14	MR. FLEWELLING: That would be one
15	of the requirements under the national security
16	umbrella.
17	MR. BOXALL: It's an extraordinary
18	step, though, sir? It doesn't happen in every
19	investigation?
20	MR. FLEWELLING: No.
21	MR. BOXALL: It doesn't even
22	happen in every investigation which has national
23	security overtones, does it, that there be daily
24	SITREPs?
25	MR. FLEWELLING: I think the

1	minimum is 14, I believe.
2	MR. BOXALL: Every 14 days?
3	MR. FLEWELLING: Something like
4	that.
5	MR. BOXALL: This is every day;
6	right?
7	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
8	MR. BOXALL: And you are aware
9	that when they had the ability to do so, your
10	predecessors were attending meetings with the
11	A-OCANADA team?
12	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
13	MR. BOXALL: You are also aware
14	that your predecessors didn't have the ability t
15	attend every meeting; correct?
16	MR. FLEWELLING: Not every
17	meeting; no, that's true.
18	MR. BOXALL: In fact, it appears
19	that on one of the most important days, January
20	22nd, when there were searches taking place, you
21	were sent over to fill in for one of your
22	predecessors, and you really knew nothing about
23	the case?
24	MR. FLEWELLING: That's true.
25	MR. BOXALL: So your predecessor

1	wasn't even available on that day?
2	MR. FLEWELLING: No.
3	MR. BOXALL: So that would be
4	indicative of how overwhelmed they were, that they
5	weren't even available on the day the searches
6	were being taken place?
7	MR. FLEWELLING: I would agree
8	with that.
9	MR. BOXALL: And they would
10	certainly be aware that those searches were taking
11	place that day?
12	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
13	MR. BOXALL: Sir, you indicated
14	that when you were given the role of being the CID
15	person that would have what I would suggest is
16	effectively liaison with A-OCANADA, that one of
17	the things you were asked to do, or tasked to do,
18	was to try to bring them back to the pre-9/11
19	procedure?
20	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
21	MR. BOXALL: So clearly it was
22	acknowledged that they were not following the
23	pre-9/11 procedure? That was known to your
24	supervisors.
25	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.

## StenoTran

1	MR. BOXALL: Right. What I'm
2	suggesting to you is that for all of that period
3	of time, that it had been accepted that they were
4	not following the procedure, and that the need to
5	share information expeditiously was seen as an
6	appropriate response given the situation, the
7	reality of the situation?
8	MR. FLEWELLING: Would you repeat
9	the first part, please?
10	MR. BOXALL: I will rechange the
11	question. I will break it down into several
12	parts.
13	Your supervisors were aware of the
14	direct contact between A-OCANADA and the American
15	authorities?
16	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
17	MR. BOXALL: Your supervisors were
18	aware of the fact that the information was being
19	shared directly?
20	MR. FLEWELLING: I believe so.
21	MR. BOXALL: Right. And they were
22	aware that it was essentially an open line of
23	communication?
24	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
25	MR. BOXALL: That these were seen

1	as partner agencies in a very important
2	investigation?
3	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
4	MR. BOXALL: In fact, it was seen
5	as one of the most important investigations in
6	Canada at the time?
7	MR. FLEWELLING: One of them, yes.
8	MR. BOXALL: Right. And the need
9	for information in real time was accepted?
LO	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
L1	MR. BOXALL: The need to share
L2	with the United States was accepted?
L3	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
L4	MR. BOXALL: And it's important to
L5	note that we are talking here about the United
L6	States, which I take it would be our most
L7	important foreign partner in law enforcement and
L8	security matters?
L9	MR. FLEWELLING: I would say one
20	of them.
21	MR. BOXALL: Would you agree the
22	most important?
23	MR. FLEWELLING: On par with the
24	U.K.
25	MR. BOXALL: Well, it seems to me

1	we share a common border that's pretty long here,
2	sir.
3	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
4	MR. BOXALL: And given the events
5	that occurred in New York City, which was less
6	than a day's drive from this country, it was seen
7	to be very important to work together with the
8	Americans, was it not?
9	MR. FLEWELLING: Let's put it this
10	way: There was a vast majority, I think there
11	were over 2,000 resources that were mobilized to
12	assist.
13	MR. BOXALL: All right. And
14	so the need and the importance of sharing with the
15	Americans was known and accepted?
16	MR. FLEWELLING: Oh, without
17	question.
18	MR. BOXALL: The need for the
19	Americans to know the information that we were
20	gathering was known and accepted?
21	MR. FLEWELLING: I think it was
22	encouraged that we shared.
23	MR. BOXALL: So they clearly had a
24	need to know what information A-OCANADA was
25	gathering?

1	MR. FLEWELLING: I think they had
2	a reasonable need, yes.
3	MR. BOXALL: And through all of
4	this period, this process, this procedure was
5	accepted by CID?
6	MR. FLEWELLING: I think that the
7	officers within CID, I think initially agreed that
8	the direct reporting and the sharing of
9	information was extremely important, yes.
10	However, after a year, as
11	Mr. Superintendent Pilgrim had mentioned to me on
12	numerous occasions, it was time to re-establish,
13	to re-establish the normal protocols, if you will.
14	MR. BOXALL: So it was recognized
15	that this was the procedures that we are
16	following, but after a year it was seen as let's
17	see if we can now work back and try to get the
18	prior procedure in place?
19	MR. FLEWELLING: The urgency was
20	slowly diminishing and that the need to
21	re-establish the normal and proper procedures were
22	needed to be put back into play.
23	MR. BOXALL: Okay. But that seems
24	to me to acknowledge, sir, that for that period
25	prior to that time, that it was appropriate to

1	proceed in the way that had been agreed.
2	MR. FLEWELLING: I think with
3	respect that they could exchange back and forth
4	one-on-one, yes.
5	MR. BOXALL: All right. Sir, even
6	with the year, with the meeting on September 26th,
7	2002, there was still to be maintained open lines
8	of communication with some new additions, such as
9	that there would be informing of headquarters when
10	they were meeting, for example.
11	MR. FLEWELLING: That there was
12	sorry?
13	MR. BOXALL: Well, I will ask you
14	what they were then, sir.
15	You note on September 27th you say
16	that you notified project this is September
17	27th, 2002, page 34 of your notes:
18	"Notified Project A-OCANADA
19	of our meeting with [blank]
20	and that the lines of
21	communication were open again
22	with the new ground lines."
23	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
24	MR. BOXALL: What were the "new"
25	ground lines?

1	MR. FLEWELLING: Well, as I stated
2	before, apparently there was a discussion that
3	Superintendent Pilgrim was unaware that Mr. Hovey
4	and Mr. Proulx had, as I stated before. That is
5	one of the reasons why Mr. Pilgrim at that time
6	did not pursue that avenue.
7	However, he did make it very clear
8	that what he wanted was to have a member of CID
9	attend those meetings where there was an exchange
10	of information with our U.S. counterparts.
11	MR. BOXALL: Right. And so
12	that
13	MR. FLEWELLING: And I think that
14	was the biggest difference, is where there was an
15	exchange of information.
16	MR. BOXALL: But that was a new
17	ground line; correct?
18	MR. FLEWELLING: Well, from what
19	was transpiring, yes.
20	MR. BOXALL: Right. Is it not
21	true also, sir, that at that meeting on September
22	26th you were present at the meeting on
23	September 26th?
24	MR. FLEWELLING: Sorry?
25	MR. BOXALL: Were you present at

1	the meeting on September 26th, 2002?
2	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes, I was.
3	MR. BOXALL: Is it not true, sir,
4	that there was an offer of A-OCANADA that perhaps
5	Corporal Flewelling should be seconded over to
6	A-OCANADA so that he would have the time to do
7	this role and not have all the other things on his
8	plate; that he could come right over to A-OCANADA
9	and be right there in the office with them on a
LO	daily basis?
L1	That is the offer A-OCANADA made;
L2	is it not, sir?
L3	MR. FLEWELLING: I think they did.
L4	MR. BOXALL: Pardon me?
L5	MR. FLEWELLING: I think they did.
L6	MR. BOXALL: Right. And CID
L7	didn't give you that secondment. They kept you
L8	doing a multitude of things; correct?
L9	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
20	MR. BOXALL: What were some of the
21	other things that you had responsibility to do?
22	MR. FLEWELLING: I was at the time
23	monitoring several major investigations that were
24	occurring around the country, around the world, as
25	well as acting as a coach/mentor for the newer

1	individuals coming into the unit.
2	MR. BOXALL: Right. And so the
3	reality of the responsibility at CID meant that it
4	would be impossible, given your workload, for you
5	to have the time to be able to read every SITREP
6	every day, attend every briefing, and to have the
7	complete in-depth knowledge that you could have if
8	that was the only file on your desk?
9	MR. FLEWELLING: True. As a
LO	matter of fact, I ultimately ended up being moved
L1	to a different unit in order to be able to
L2	concentrate solely on this project.
L3	MR. BOXALL: And I take it that
L4	your predecessors to the position also had
L5	numerous other responsibilities other than just
L6	A-OCANADA?
L7	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
L8	MR. BOXALL: Sir, with respect to
L9	caveats, the essential purpose of a caveat is so
20	that the person receiving the information will not
21	use the information beyond the purpose for which
22	it is given without asking.
23	Would you agree?
24	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
25	MR BOXALL: All right. And this

1	is basically known as the third party rule.
2	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
3	MR. BOXALL: All right. This
4	would be a concept that would be well-known to
5	U.S. authorities?
6	MR. FLEWELLING: I would say those
7	who are used to and deal normally with foreign
8	agencies, yes.
9	MR. BOXALL: Right. In fact, it
10	is not even just foreign agencies. This idea of a
11	third party rule applies in criminal investigation
12	generally, doesn't it, sir?
13	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes, it does.
14	MR. BOXALL: And the American
15	legal system is remarkably similar to ours?
16	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
17	MR. BOXALL: And so persons from
18	the FBI, or other American agencies, would be well
19	aware of the third party rule?
20	MR. FLEWELLING: Should be, yes.
21	MR. BOXALL: Certainly every
22	person that you dealt with would have the
23	experience and expertise to be aware of this rule?
24	The American persons that you were
25	dealing with they would be well aware of it?

1	MR. FLEWELLING: The vast majority
2	of people that I dealt with would, yes.
3	MR. BOXALL: And with respect to
4	the use of caveats, sometimes a document would
5	have a caveat stamped right on it?
6	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
7	MR. BOXALL: Would you agree with
8	me, sir, that it would be just and this is a
9	hypothetical question. Would it be just as
10	effective to ask or to receive from the person who
11	is getting the information to put in writing that
12	they acknowledge the existence of the rule and
13	that they would honour it?
14	For example, what I'm going to say
15	is, in a hypothetical situation, if information
16	was to be supplied to the United States, would it
17	not be equally effective, if not more effective,
18	to receive from the Americans a letter saying, "I
19	acknowledge the third party rule and we are
20	receiving this information." It's being received
21	for whatever purpose?
22	MR. FLEWELLING: I dare say
23	nowadays that that would be something that would
24	be.
25	MR. BOXALL: Right. So in a

## StenoTran

1	hypothetical question, if the sharing of the
2	SUPERText material was on the basis that the
3	Americans had supplied a letter, that would be in
4	fact, in my submission, more effective and more
5	meaningful than any caveat put on by a Canadian
6	authority.
7	Would you agree?
8	MR. FLEWELLING: In terms of the
9	sharing as you put it, yes.
10	MR. BOXALL: Because giving
11	somebody a letter where you stamp on it its
12	purpose, unless they sign or agree, all you have
13	really done is remind them of the third party
14	rule, haven't you?
15	MR. FLEWELLING: It should bring
16	to their attention that that information is
17	strictly for intelligence purposes for themselves,
18	period.
19	MR. BOXALL: And that should bring
20	to their attention something they already know?
21	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
22	MR. BOXALL: With respect to the
23	material that was sent on October 4th, 2002, that
24	material was caveated; correct, sir?
25	MR. FLEWELLING: Which?

1	MR. BOXALL: The reply from
2	A-OCANADA on October 4th, 2002, replying to the
3	American authorities, pursuant to your
4	instructions of October 3rd to supply the
5	information.
6	MR. FLEWELLING: It is my
7	understanding it did have a caveat on it.
8	MR. BOXALL: Would you agree with
9	me, sir, that if the information was caveated and
10	if the Americans used it for a process used it
11	for another purpose, that the use of it would be
12	improper?
13	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes, because they
14	should be
15	MR. BOXALL: Absent request.
16	MR. FLEWELLING: They should be
17	coming back to us for the appropriate
18	authorization to use it, whether it be for a
19	judicial process or to pass it to another agency.
20	MR. BOXALL: I think you were
21	already asked, but did you ever see any request
22	from the Americans with respect to the use of the
23	material forwarded to them on October 4th for INS
24	proceedings?
25	MR. FLEWELLING: Not to my

## StenoTran

1	knowledge.
2	MR. BOXALL: So would it be your
3	evidence that if it was used in that manner, that
4	it was inappropriate and improper?
5	MR. FLEWELLING: I would say they
6	should have come back to us.
7	MR. BOXALL: Would you have
8	expected that knowledgeable foreign authorities,
9	such as you were dealing with here and who
10	received that material on October 4th, 2002, you
11	would have expected them to have come back if they
12	were going to use it for INS proceedings?
13	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes, as I
14	understand it.
15	MR. BOXALL: Now, you were asked
16	if placing caveats, which would be so simple, just
17	stamping a document, would slow any investigation
18	down or slow the flow of information down, and
19	your initial response was, no, it's just simple to
20	stamp it, so it wouldn't slow the process down.
21	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
22	MR. BOXALL: However, sir, if we
23	are talking a situation where there is more than
24	two partner agencies, and we are talking a
25	situation where there is three or four partner

1	agencies, would you agree with me, sir, that if
2	you caveat the information when you send it to
3	one, that prevents that agency from dealing with
4	it with respect to the other agency?
5	MR. FLEWELLING: If it's caveated
6	and you are giving that information to one, then
7	you would have to go back and seek authorization
8	to share that information with a third.
9	MR. BOXALL: Each and every time?
10	MR. FLEWELLING: Each and every
11	time.
12	MR. BOXALL: And this would make
13	the logistics of dealing in an investigation that
14	has multiple partners very difficult?
15	MR. FLEWELLING: Unless there is a
16	written agreement amongst them, it can slow things
17	down, yes.
18	MR. BOXALL: Right. And so it
19	would slow things down unless there was an
20	agreement amongst the partner agencies that
21	anything shared with one could be shared with all
22	in the partnership; correct?
23	MR. FLEWELLING: Correct.
24	MR. BOXALL: And so it would make
25	sense, in an environment where you are dealing

1	with partner agencies, post 9/11, in a prevention
2	mandate where you wish to share information as
3	quickly as possible, that you wouldn't require
4	caveats within the group.
5	Would you agree?
6	MR. FLEWELLING: I would say
7	provided that all agencies were of the
8	understanding that their information was going to
9	be shared and allowed to be shared.
10	MR. BOXALL: Right. And if that
11	was the agreement, then it would make sense to
12	share it without the caveats; correct?
13	MR. FLEWELLING: If that agreement
14	was in place.
15	MR. BOXALL: Right. You weren't
16	present when Mr. Proulx made whatever agreement he
17	made post-9/11, were you?
18	MR. FLEWELLING: As I said, no.
19	MR. BOXALL: All right.
20	Sir, with respect to the Arar
21	timeline, you are aware that there were searches
22	conducted on January 22nd, 2002?
23	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
24	MR. BOXALL: In fact, we have
25	already been through the fact that you were the

1	CID representative on that day, even though you
2	knew nothing about the file?
3	MR. FLEWELLING: Correct.
4	MR. BOXALL: And you are aware,
5	though, sir, that there was a large amount of
6	material seized in those searches?
7	MR. FLEWELLING: I'm not exactly
8	sure how much, no.
9	MR. BOXALL: Well, let's just get
10	to that. You are eventually the person who has
11	overseer responsibility of this file, and you
12	familiarized yourself with the file, and you are
13	not aware if there was even a large amount of
14	material seized on January 22nd?
15	MR. FLEWELLING: I was aware that
16	there was computer equipment, but the detail of
17	all of that which was seized, no.
18	MR. BOXALL: But are you aware
19	that there was a large amount of material
20	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
21	MR. BOXALL: So you were aware of
22	that?
23	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
24	MR. BOXALL: Are you aware of the
25	fact that there were subsequent meetings involving

1	partner agencies, including CID representatives,
2	to discuss what to do with all this material?
3	MR. FLEWELLING: In what
4	MR. BOXALL: How to analyze it,
5	how to review it? Are you aware of that?
6	MR. FLEWELLING: No.
7	MR. BOXALL: So you have no
8	knowledge of what meetings, or agreements, or
9	instructions there were with respect to the
LO	sharing or the use of the information that was
L1	received on the searches?
L2	MR. FLEWELLING: I believe I
L3	stated that before.
L4	MR. BOXALL: Okay. And would you
L5	agree with me, sir, also, that in an investigation
L6	where material is obtained by way of search
L7	warrant, that it would be important to look at the
L8	information and review it in a timely and thorough
L9	way?
20	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
21	MR. BOXALL: Would you agree with
22	me that in order to determine the information's
23	relevance, it would also be necessary to compare
24	that information with all the other information
25	that had been gleaned in the investigation to

1	date?
2	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
3	MR. BOXALL: And that's
4	particularly true in a circumstantial case, that
5	you don't look at one isolated piece in of itself.
6	But in a circumstantial case, to know the
7	significance of each piece of the puzzle, you have
8	to know everything?
9	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
10	MR. BOXALL: Because what may
11	appear innocent as one particular circumstance,
12	when you know all the circumstances may no longer
13	be innocent?
14	MR. FLEWELLING: I would agree
15	with that.
16	MR. BOXALL: And so that the
17	importance of sharing the information obtained on
18	the searches, in conjunction with the prior
19	information, would be critically important in
20	order to carry out a thorough and diligent
21	investigation with this preventative mandate.
22	Do you agree?
23	MR. FLEWELLING: I don't have an
24	issue with that.
25	MR. BOXALL: Right. And clearly

1	the United States would have a need to know, given
2	the international implications and common interest
3	in some of these persons?
4	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
5	MR. BOXALL: So the sharing of all
6	the information, the sharing of all the
7	information that had been obtained in the
8	investigation would then be appropriate?
9	MR. FLEWELLING: Provided that it
LO	was done in a responsible manner and done with the
L1	appropriate approval.
L2	MR. BOXALL: You have indicated
L3	that there were some communication problems
L4	between A-OCANADA and CID?
L5	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
L6	MR. BOXALL: And would you
L7	acknowledge that there is at least some
L8	responsibility on CID's part with respect to that?
L9	MR. FLEWELLING: Absolutely.
20	MR. BOXALL: And that CID lacked
21	the resources, we have already heard, to handle
22	all of the work that they had; correct?
23	MR. FLEWELLING: I think in
24	any issue or an investigation of that magnitude,
25	if there are any issues, there is an awful lot

1	that goes both ways.
2	MR. BOXALL: And even the
3	magnitude of information that was being given to
4	headquarters, with the daily SITREPs, the
5	investigative file was being uploaded into SCIS,
6	was it not?
7	MR. FLEWELLING: Where, sorry?
8	MR. BOXALL: The A-OCANADA file
9	was being uploaded into SCIS?
LO	MR. FLEWELLING: Not totally, no.
L1	MR. BOXALL: But you would have
L2	access to the portions that were uploaded?
L3	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
L4	MR. BOXALL: And you would have
L5	access to the SITREPs?
L6	MR. FLEWELLING: As a matter of
L7	fact, I took on a large part of that myself.
L8	MR. BOXALL: Oh, that's
L9	interesting. Which large part did you take on
20	yourself?
21	MR. FLEWELLING: The information
22	that was shared or handed over by foreign
23	agencies, and I had it uploaded.
24	MR. BOXALL: Who had been doing it
25	before you got on?

1	MR. FLEWELLING: It was left up to
2	the receiving agency or the receiving division in
3	order to upload it.
4	MR. BOXALL: And why did you take
5	it on?
6	MR. FLEWELLING: Because it wasn't
7	getting uploaded.
8	MR. BOXALL: And why wasn't CID,
9	your predecessors, ensuring that that was the
10	case?
11	MR. FLEWELLING: I have no idea.
12	I can't answer for them.
13	MR. BOXALL: And clearly, even
14	attending at all of the meetings was difficult for
15	CID?
16	MR. FLEWELLING: At times, yes.
17	MR. BOXALL: Right. We also see,
18	for example, that you were concerned because CID
19	was unable to even give you a simple clearance to
20	travel to the United States for a meeting in a
21	timely way?
22	MR. FLEWELLING: That one time,
23	yes.
24	MR. BOXALL: So you couldn't even
25	get something processed like that in a day?

1	MR. FLEWELLING: At that
2	timeframe, yes.
3	MR. BOXALL: Now, sir, one of your
4	concerns you talked about was having caveats on
5	SITREPs?
6	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
7	MR. BOXALL: Where were the
8	SITREPs being circulated?
9	MR. FLEWELLING: They were being
10	circulated to the Americans.
11	MR. BOXALL: Okay. And you were
12	well aware that they were being circulated to the
13	Americans?
14	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
15	MR. BOXALL: You were then content
16	that they be circulated to the Americans?
17	MR. FLEWELLING: That was
18	something that was apparently agreed upon.
19	MR. BOXALL: Do you know who was
20	supplying them to the Americans and on what
21	frequency?
22	MR. FLEWELLING: Initially it was
23	being forwarded by way of the division themselves.
24	MR. BOXALL: All right. And
25	MP FI.FWFI.I.TNC: And then at the

1	end, it was the responsibility that was taken over
2	by CID and FIB.
3	MR. BOXALL: So your evidence is,
4	then, that the SITREPs were being supplied to the
5	Americans, and this would have been with the
6	knowledge and consent of CID?
7	MR. FLEWELLING: At that time,
8	yes.
9	MR. BOXALL: According to you, you
10	asked them to start putting the caveats on the
11	SITREPs?
12	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
13	MR. BOXALL: And they did, in
14	response to your request?
15	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
16	MR. BOXALL: So the logical
17	inference is no one at CID asked them to do that.
18	None of your predecessors asked them to do it
19	before?
20	MR. FLEWELLING: To do what, sir?
21	MR. BOXALL: To it put caveats on
22	the SITREPs?
23	MR. FLEWELLING: I don't know.
24	MR. BOXALL: Certainly when you
25	made the request, they did every effort to comply

1	with that?
2	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
3	Pause
4	MR. BOXALL: Sir, you were asked
5	questions with respect to an offer, either an
6	offer or a consideration, I will put it, being
7	given by Inspector Cabana to share information
8	with the Syrians around August 20th, 2002.
9	Do you recall that?
10	MR. FLEWELLING: To share what,
11	sorry?
12	MR. BOXALL: To share information
13	MR. FLEWELLING: Okay.
14	MR. BOXALL: Correct? And
15	Inspector Cabana is an investigator?
16	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
17	MR. BOXALL: And it would be his
18	duty and responsibility to investigate the matter
19	under investigation, the perceived threat or
20	criminal violation to determine if there is in
21	fact one?
22	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
23	MR. BOXALL: And it was his duty
24	to do a thorough and complete investigation?
25	MR. FLEWELLING: Absolutely.

1	MR. BOXALL: Would you agree with
2	me, sir, that it would be his duty to at least
3	consider the possibility of sharing with foreign
4	agencies as an investigator?
5	MR. FLEWELLING: That would have
6	to be a decision that he along with his managers
7	would have to consider as to what level and to how
8	much that he wanted to share.
9	MR. BOXALL: Right. And they
10	would have to make
11	MR. FLEWELLING: Given the
12	guidelines that are in place.
13	MR. BOXALL: We will come to it.
14	MR. FLEWELLING: Okay.
15	MR. BOXALL: It would be his duty
16	and responsibility to at least consider it.
17	Whether it takes place or not would require
18	consultation outside the investigative team;
19	correct?
20	MR. FLEWELLING: Absolutely.
21	MR. BOXALL: But absolutely the
22	investigator should at least put his mind to the
23	possibility of sharing, to see if it would further
24	his investigation?
25	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.

1	MR. BOXALL: And then the
2	investigator should consult with the experts at
3	DFAIT, DOJ, CID to determine if sharing is
4	appropriate?
5	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
6	MR. BOXALL: Right. Because the
7	investigator wouldn't be expected to know all the
8	particular circumstances that are relevant to
9	DFAIT and to CID?
10	MR. FLEWELLING: And to consult
11	all the various agencies to ensure that they are
12	complying with not only our policies but the
13	policy of government.
14	MR. BOXALL: Right. And that is
15	what Inspector Cabana did here. He considered the
16	possibility of sharing. CID was aware. You were
17	aware that he was considering the possibility of
18	sharing?
19	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
20	MR. BOXALL: DFAIT was aware of
21	that?
22	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
23	MR. BOXALL: You are aware that
24	the Department of Justice had lawyers working
25	closely with A-OCANADA; right?

1	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
2	MR. BOXALL: And you are aware
3	that Inspector Cabana was in consultation with
4	them?
5	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
6	MR. BOXALL: So there certainly
7	would be nothing improper about an investigator
8	considering the possibility of sharing with
9	foreign authorities and discussing that with
10	Canadian authorities.
11	Would you agree?
12	MR. FLEWELLING: I would suggest,
13	if it's a sharing of information, that as long as
14	he was given the appropriate approval through the
15	Assistant Commissioner of CID and consideration
16	with the partner agencies, then I think that that
17	should not be an issue.
18	MR. BOXALL: Let's just start
19	here. If he is talking with Canadian authorities
20	about the possibility of sharing
21	MR. FLEWELLING: I have no problem
22	with that.
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Just to be fair
24	to the witness, my friend is posing a number of
25	questions relating to the entry of August the 20th

1	in terms of sharing information. That's not what
2	the entry for August 20th says.
3	The entry for August 20th says
4	that Mr. Cabana wanted to invite the Syrians to
5	come to Canada to review all of the information
б	that A-OCANADA had, and that's what the witness
7	was responding to before.
8	So my friend's premise, in terms
9	of these questions, in my respectful submission,
10	are unfair to the witness.
11	MR. BOXALL: Let's just deal with
12	two issues to make clear. If my reference to the
13	notes is unhelpful, I apologize.
14	However, would you agree with me
15	then, in dealing with an information-sharing, that
16	there is nothing wrong with a Canadian
17	investigator exploring the possibility with
18	Canadian officials about the sharing of
19	information with foreign authorities?
20	MR. FLEWELLING: No.
21	MR. BOXALL: That is perfectly
22	appropriate; correct?
23	MR. FLEWELLING: I think looking
24	at the possibility
25	MR. BOXALL: Right. Is

1	appropriate.
2	MR. FLEWELLING: the
3	appropriateness and the level, I think that would
4	be incumbent upon the individual to explore.
5	MR. BOXALL: Right. Now, with
6	respect to the reference of inviting someone to
7	come all right?
8	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
9	MR. BOXALL: Assuming that was
10	ever contemplated, because it wasn't Inspector
11	Cabana that told you that; correct?
12	MR. FLEWELLING: He personally,
13	no.
14	MR. BOXALL: But with respect to
15	considering a meeting with foreign authorities,
16	once again, consideration of that amongst the
17	Canadian officials, if it did occur, would not be
18	improper either, would it?
19	MR. FLEWELLING: In order for that
20	to occur, or for the individual to make the
21	invitation, that invitation has to be made through
22	the Assistant Commissioner's the appropriate
23	director wherever that investigation is taking
24	place.
25	MR. BOXALL: Obviously, if you

## StenoTran

1	want to carry the thought process out, there's a
2	number of steps that have to be followed?
3	MR. FLEWELLING: Absolutely.
4	MR. BOXALL: But once again,
5	speaking about it to Canadian officials, there's
6	nothing wrong with that, that you can see, is
7	there?
8	MR. FLEWELLING: The possibility,
9	I think it's another avenue that one can explore.
LO	MR. BOXALL: As an investigator?
L1	MR. FLEWELLING: As an
L2	investigator.
L3	MR. BOXALL: And the experts, if
L4	they think it's inappropriate, can tell you so?
L5	MR. FLEWELLING: Exactly.
L6	MR. BOXALL: Thank you.
L7	The American request for
L8	information on October the 3rd, 2002, came to your
L9	attention?
20	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
21	MR. BOXALL: And you forwarded it
22	to A-OCANADA and instructed them to reply to it?
23	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
24	MR. BOXALL: And they did reply to
25	i+?

1	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
2	MR. BOXALL: It seems to me that
3	that is the appropriate process, that you are
4	talking about, is that the request came to CID.
5	CID instructed the division to answer.
6	You received a copy of the answer?
7	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
8	MR. BOXALL: You, in fact, read
9	that copy?
10	MR. FLEWELLING: That is correct.
11	MR. BOXALL: And you, in fact,
12	instructed it to be re-sent when you were under
13	the impression that the Americans had been unable
14	to read it?
15	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
16	MR. BOXALL: And I take it from
17	having read it and instructing it to be re-sent,
18	that you were satisfied that the sending of the
19	response was appropriate?
20	MR. FLEWELLING: The only issue
21	that I raised or wanted to raise was the fact that
22	it included a partner agency's information.
23	MR. BOXALL: Right. But you saw
24	that as a minor issue in the circumstances?
25	MR. FLEWELLING: One that I would

1	have thought that he would have and, again, I
2	don't know whether he did should have sought to
3	acquire the necessary approval.
4	MR. BOXALL: But it wasn't
5	important enough for you to hold it up because you
6	instructed it to be re-sent in any event?
7	MR. FLEWELLING: After bringing it
8	to their attention, I didn't think that I needed
9	to go back and to reinforce it or to check it.
10	MR. BOXALL: All right. And the
11	response was caveated?
12	MR. FLEWELLING: I believe so,
13	yes.
14	MR. BOXALL: In your instructions
15	on replying to the request, you placed no
16	limitations on what they were to include in their
17	reply?
18	MR. FLEWELLING: No limitations in
19	terms of what?
20	MR. BOXALL: Of the content to be
21	included?
22	MR. FLEWELLING: No.
23	MR. BOXALL: All right. And you
24	clearly were of the view that the American
25	authorities had a need to know the information?

1	MR. FLEWELLING: I knew that the
2	investigators had a timeline. It was a request
3	that I put forward that I, in turn, passed on to
4	A-OCANADA.
5	MR. BOXALL: Well, it was for more
6	than a timeline, sir.
7	MR. FLEWELLING: No, I'm saying
8	the American investigators had a timeframe
9	MR. BOXALL: Right.
LO	MR. FLEWELLING: upon which to
L1	prepare
L2	MR. BOXALL: They needed the
L3	answer.
L4	MR. FLEWELLING: Exactly.
L5	MR. BOXALL: But what I'm saying
L6	is it was your opinion I'm going to try this
L7	again.
L8	When you were asked questions
L9	yesterday about information-sharing, you said that
20	these things would have to be looked at.
21	Need-to-know would be one?
22	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
23	MR. BOXALL: And clearly you
24	thought the persons had a need to know?
) 5	MP FIFWFIITNG: Vec

1	MR. BOXALL: Okay. It's important
2	to know the purpose?
3	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
4	MR. BOXALL: And you were
5	satisfied that the purpose was given and
6	appropriate?
7	MR. FLEWELLING: That it was for
8	information purposes, yes.
9	MR. BOXALL: So you were satisfied
LO	with that.
L1	Important to know that the
L2	response is relevant?
L3	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
L4	MR. BOXALL: And you believe the
L5	response was relevant?
L6	MR. FLEWELLING: From what I could
L7	see, yes.
L8	MR. BOXALL: All right.
L9	MR. FOTHERGILL: Commissioner, I
20	note that we have been going for approximately two
21	hours since the break, and I wonder if we could
22	just inquire if the witness is in need of a break?
23	THE COMMISSIONER: How much longer
24	do you think you will be, Mr. Boxall?
25	MR. BOXALL: You know what? I

1	think I would appreciate five minutes, and I
2	promise I would be shorter than longer. It may be
3	that I'm just winding down here.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. You
5	don't know yet how much longer you will be?
6	MR. BOXALL: I think five to ten
7	minutes.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. We do
9	have three more witnesses scheduled for today.
LO	Will ten minutes be a sufficient
L1	break for you, Sergeant?
L2	MR. FLEWELLING: Fine.
L3	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. We
L4	will break for ten minutes.
L5	THE REGISTRAR: Please stand.
L6	Upon recessing at 3:26 p.m. /
L7	Suspension à 15 h 26
L8	Upon resuming at 3:38 p.m. /
L9	Reprise à 15 h 38
20	THE REGISTRAR: Please be seated.
21	Veuillez vous asseoir.
22	MR. BOXALL: Sir, I will try and
23	find the reference, if need be, but I think it was
24	around January of 2003, there was material that
25	was received that you sent off for forensic

1	examination and this caused a discussion between
2	you and an A-OCANADA investigator?
3	MR. FLEWELLING: That's correct.
4	MR. BOXALL: All right. This was
5	material that had been requested by A-OCANADA from
6	the foreign source?
7	MR. FLEWELLING: I believe so,
8	yes.
9	MR. BOXALL: Right. And
LO	A-OCANADA's concern was several with that. One,
L1	that when the material was received by CID, that
L2	they had not been advised promptly and it took
L3	them some, I think, weeks before they were aware
L4	that you had received it?
L5	MR. FLEWELLING: I don't think it
L6	was that long but
L7	MR. BOXALL: That was their
L8	concern, that there had been a delay in advising
L9	them?
20	MR. FLEWELLING: I will take that
21	responsibility, yes.
22	MR. BOXALL: And they were also
23	concerned because they were conducting an
24	investigation. They were concerned whether there
25	could be continuity or admissibility issues if the

1	material was received and sent out for an
2	examination beyond their control?
3	MR. FLEWELLING: Then I would have
4	been called anyway.
5	MR. BOXALL: But would you agree
6	that those were concerns that they had?
7	MR. FLEWELLING: I think it might
8	have been a concern for them, but there again, I
9	would have touched that material, so I would have
LO	been called to testify on it anyway.
L1	MR. BOXALL: But we put another
L2	party in place once you have sent it out
L3	elsewhere; correct?
L4	MR. FLEWELLING: It would have
L5	happened anyway.
L6	MR. BOXALL: In any event,
L7	A-OCANADA perceived that CID had such enormous
L8	responsibilities that CID's involvement would slow
L9	down their investigation? That was their sense of
20	it?
21	MR. FLEWELLING: That may have
22	been their perception.
23	MR. BOXALL: Right. In fact,
24	their perception, that CID was busy and overworked
25	was accurate?

1	MR. FLEWELLING: I would daresay
2	that approximately January, late December, I had
3	been seconded to FIB, and as a matter of fact the
4	additional resources that were mobilized to assist
5	them was that much greater, so there were no more
6	backlogs at that particular point in time.
7	MR. BOXALL: That is 14 months
8	into this investigation?
9	MR. FLEWELLING: Even prior to
10	that, I wouldn't daresay that all the backlog was
11	a result of CID's inability, but more so the
12	ability of other agencies to supply an awful lot
13	of their information in a timely fashion.
14	MR. BOXALL: But we see that even
15	in September of 2002, although SITREPs are being
16	sent daily to you, you are not reading them daily?
17	MR. FLEWELLING: When, sorry?
18	MR. BOXALL: Even by September of
19	2002, when SITREPs are being sent daily to you,
20	you are not reading them daily?
21	MR. FLEWELLING: The reason why
22	they were not being read daily is only because of
23	my being required to deal with other urgent
24	matters.
25	MR. BOXALL: That's the whole

1	point, yes.
2	Sir, you had expressed concern
3	that A-OCANADA wasn't keeping CID in the loop, and
4	I just want to go through a list of things that
5	they did do.
6	One, there was SITREPs sent;
7	correct?
8	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
9	MR. BOXALL: Two, CID was invited
LO	to attend meetings; correct?
L1	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
L2	MR. BOXALL: There was telephone
L3	discussions between CID and A-OCANADA; correct?
L4	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
L5	MR. BOXALL: Their offices are
L6	just down the road and you could attend and visit
L7	when you so chose?
L8	MR. FLEWELLING: And vice versa.
L9	MR. BOXALL: And vice versa. And
20	those visits occurred?
21	MR. FLEWELLING: From time to
22	time, yes.
23	MR. BOXALL: And what more could
24	have been done to keep CID informed? They are
05	informing them in writing they are informing them

1	over the telephone, and they are meeting with them
2	in person.
3	Is there something else?
4	MR. FLEWELLING: My opinion would
5	be that, yes, there could have been a greater
6	communication in terms of our ability to exchange
7	phone calls, to ensure that we were in the loop on
8	major events.
9	MR. BOXALL: Right. In fact, they
10	made the offer to have you seconded.
11	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
12	MR. BOXALL: And the offer was
13	declined?
14	MR. FLEWELLING: And like I said
15	in one of my notes, I do have a telephone, I do
16	have a pager.
17	MR. BOXALL: Right. Those are my
18	questions.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you,
20	Mr. Boxall.
21	Mr. O'Brien?
22	MR. O'BRIEN: Mr. Commissioner,
23	Mr. Boxall is getting like Mr. Bayne. He has a
24	tendency to steal your thunder. All my questions
25	have been asked in a different way, and I'm

1	content that anything now would just simply be
2	repetitive.
3	Thank you, sir.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very
5	much.
6	Mr. Fothergill?
7	EXAMINATION
8	MR. FOTHERGILL: Sergeant
9	Flewelling, I would like to begin by asking you to
10	elaborate a bit on the information-sharing
11	protocol, because Mr. Boxall suggested to you this
12	is a very cumbersome and bureaucratic system, and
13	he referred to the need to send information
14	through CID and the LOs, et cetera, et cetera.
15	And I'm wondering: Is there a
16	distinction to be made between the initial contact
17	between a Canadian investigator and a foreign
18	investigator and subsequent contacts?
19	MR. FLEWELLING: It is my
20	understanding that once a Canadian investigator is
21	introduced to a foreign official, that they can
22	carry on the free exchange of information.
23	MR. FOTHERGILL: So once the
24	initial contact is established and the direct
25	dealing is taking place, what does CID expect in

1	terms of your role?
2	MR. FLEWELLING: We are expecting
3	at that particular point in time to be informed as
4	to what's transpiring with respect to that
5	investigation so that we can ensure that the
6	necessary policies and management is informed.
7	MR. FOTHERGILL: Did you notice
8	any change in CID's approach to the management of
9	national security investigations from 9/11 until
LO	the time that you left the National Security
L1	Offences Section?
L2	MR. FLEWELLING: In 2002, there
L3	was a conscious decision, if you will, to try
L4	and or to establish or insert more central
L5	control.
L6	MR. FOTHERGILL: Can you comment
L7	on what impact that had on your personal
L8	responsibilities?
L9	MR. FLEWELLING: It certainly
20	created a situation where how can I put it? It
21	was deemed that headquarters was now becoming
22	involved and trying to implicate themselves in
23	their normal routine, which ultimately, I believe,
24	caused some friction.
25	MR. FOTHERGILL: Did you encounter

1	this friction in other investigations other than
2	Project A-OCANADA?
3	MR. FLEWELLING: Oh, yes.
4	MR. FOTHERGILL: Without giving us
5	any operational information, can you elaborate on
6	that, give us a sense of how other projects
7	reacted to CID's increased role in centralization?
8	MR. FLEWELLING: A lot of them
9	found it to be a little bit I think they looked
10	at it and resented it to a certain bit, that we
11	were starting to exert a little bit more control,
12	and they voiced their concerns and we were able to
13	discuss some of the issues that were going on and
14	resolve and get around them and move on and have
15	them comply with what it is that Superintendent
16	Pilgrim was trying to establish.
17	MR. FOTHERGILL: In response to
18	one of Mr. Cavalluzzo's questions, you said that
19	you found the experience of dealing with Project
20	A-OCANADA frustrating but not unusual.
21	MR. FLEWELLING: That is correct.
22	MR. FOTHERGILL: Can you explain
23	what you meant by that?
24	MR. FLEWELLING: Normally when you
25	are dealing with an investigation of that

1	magnitude, investigators want to pursue all angles
2	as quickly and as fast as they possibly can, and
3	once you start to put some outside influence or a
4	corporate entity in place trying to exert certain
5	controls, then there's bound to be that normal
6	friction.
7	That's not necessarily a bad thing
8	all the time either. It causes each of us to look
9	inward and look at our own home to determine which
10	policies actually need change, what different
11	direction we need to go in, those types of things.
12	MR. FOTHERGILL: So when you said
13	that the experience was not unusual, were you
14	referring to your experiences with Project
15	A-OCANADA or your experiences with other projects
16	as well?
17	MR. FLEWELLING: I would say with
18	both.
19	MR. FOTHERGILL: You have
20	mentioned a few times that during the period that
21	Mr. Arar was detained in New York and I'm
22	referring specifically to the period September
23	26th of 2002, to October 8th, 2002 you were
24	especially busy.
25	Isn't that correct?

## StenoTran

1	MR. FLEWELLING: That is correct.
2	MR. FOTHERGILL: So again without
3	giving us operational information, can you give us
4	a sense of what it was specifically that made you
5	so busy during that period?
6	MR. FLEWELLING: I had a major
7	project that was going on at that time outside the
8	country.
9	MR. FOTHERGILL: Can you give us a
10	sense of how much of your time that other project
11	consumed during that period?
12	MR. FLEWELLING: During that time,
13	it was deemed to be a priority.
14	MR. FOTHERGILL: A priority over
15	Project A-OCANADA?
16	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes, for that
17	short duration of time.
18	MR. FOTHERGILL: I don't know if
19	this is a fair way to characterize it, but can you
20	try to give us a sense of how much of your time as
21	a proportion was devoted to this other project
22	that was considered to be a priority?
23	MR. FLEWELLING: I was going to
24	say somewhere upwards of 75 to 80 per cent.
25	MR. FOTHERGILL: I would like to

1	ask you a few questions about the telephone calls
2	you had with the U.S. Embassy representative
3	during this period.
4	I think you have told us
5	actually, let me approach this slightly
6	differently.
7	Mr. Waldman referred you to
8	Guantanamo Bay.
9	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
LO	MR. FOTHERGILL: As perhaps an
L1	event that might inform your conversations with
L2	the American officials. Do you recall that?
L3	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes, I do.
L4	MR. FOTHERGILL: Did you make any
L5	connection between what was happening to Mr. Arar
L6	and what had happened to the detainees at
L7	Guantanamo Bay?
L8	MR. FLEWELLING: I considered
L9	those to be totally two and distinct or two
20	separate and distinct issues. One involved
21	military law and one that involved U.S. law within
22	the continental United States.
23	MR. FOTHERGILL: So before
24	Mr. Arar, had you ever been involved in a case
25	where somebody had tried to enter the United

1	States from Canada and had been stopped due to
2	suspicions of terrorism?
3	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes, prior to
4	September 11th, I dealt with the Ressam file.
5	MR. FOTHERGILL: I think this is a
6	fairly publicly known file, but again I'm going to
7	have to caution you. Without giving us
8	operational information that isn't already in the
9	public domain, can you tell us approximately what
LO	the situation was with Mr. Ressam?
L1	MR. FLEWELLING: Mr. Ressam was
L2	arrested by U.S. officials trying to enter into
L3	the United States in Washington State, was
L4	subsequently detained for some period of time
L5	before being charged with terrorist-related
L6	offences.
L7	MR. FOTHERGILL: Do you recall
L8	whether Mr. Ressam was a citizen of a country
L9	other than Canada?
20	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes, he was.
21	MR. FOTHERGILL: Do you recall
22	what that country was?
23	MR. FLEWELLING: I believe it's
24	Algeria.
25	MR. FOTHERGILL: And do you know

1	whether Mr. Ressam was ever sent by the Americans
2	to Algeria for questioning?
3	MR. FLEWELLING: No, he was not.
4	MR. FOTHERGILL: Do you know how
5	long it was, approximately, before Mr. Ressam's
6	legal status in the United States was resolved?
7	MR. FLEWELLING: It's my
8	understanding it was only recently that he was
9	convicted and sentenced in the United States.
LO	MR. FOTHERGILL: So it's something
L1	that took a fair amount of time to resolve?
L2	MR. FLEWELLING: Let's see. We
L3	are 2005. I would daresay four, four and a half
L4	years.
L5	MR. FOTHERGILL: So prior to
L6	Mr. Arar's circumstances, had you ever encountered
L7	any other situation that was similar to what
L8	subsequently transpired with him?
L9	MR. FLEWELLING: No.
20	MR. FOTHERGILL: I want to ask you
21	a few questions about your relationship with the
22	U.S. Embassy representative with whom you spoke on
23	October 4th and again on October 5th.
24	Now, obviously you can't tell us
25	his name and you can't tell us the organization

1	with which he was affiliated beyond the fact that
2	he worked in the U.S. Embassy. But bearing those
3	constraints in mind, can you give us some sense of
4	what his function was within the U.S. Embassy?
5	MR. FLEWELLING: I deemed his to
6	be very similar in nature to the position that I
7	held, in that we were required to process
8	information, acquire information, and then
9	disseminate it.
10	MR. FOTHERGILL: Can you comment
11	on his approximate seniority within the
12	organization? Was it comparable to yours or was
13	he senior or junior?
14	MR. FLEWELLING: What I know of
15	the organization's structure, I believe that it
16	would be similar to my position.
17	MR. FOTHERGILL: And had you dealt
18	with him before?
19	MR. FLEWELLING: Quite frequently
20	over the past year.
21	MR. FOTHERGILL: In relation just
22	to Project A-OCANADA or in relation to all
23	projects
24	MR. FLEWELLING: Oh, numerous.
25	MR. FOTHERGILL: Pardon?

1	MR. FLEWELLING: Numerous.
2	MR. FOTHERGILL: Numerous. How
3	would you categorize your working relationship
4	with this individual?
5	MR. FLEWELLING: I thought that we
6	had a very good working relationship in that much
7	of our exchange I found him to be open, honest and
8	straightforward. We relied on one another in many
9	cases.
LO	MR. FOTHERGILL: If I can address
L1	your attention to the October 4th telephone call,
L2	this is the one that you took when you were
L3	already set to leave the office and then the phone
L4	rang, as I understand it.
L5	I think you have told us that
L6	there was a discussion about the possibility that
L7	Mr. Arar might be sent to Switzerland, and you
L8	also raised the possibility that he might come to
L9	Canada.
20	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
21	MR. FOTHERGILL: My question is:
22	Was there ever any discussion in that telephone
23	call about the possibility that the United States
24	might send Mr. Arar directly to Syria?
25	MP FLEWFLLING: No In any of my

1	conversations, it was never brought up.
2	MR. FOTHERGILL: I think
3	Mr. Cavalluzzo established in his questions with
4	you that the Canadian Department of Foreign
5	Affairs managed to arrange a consular visit with
6	Mr. Arar on October 3rd.
7	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
8	MR. FOTHERGILL: Do you know
9	whether you were aware of that at the time of your
10	calls on October 4th or 5th?
11	MR. FLEWELLING: I don't know if I
12	was absolutely aware at the time. Unfortunately,
13	as a result of preparing and so on and so forth, I
14	do know. But I think it was reasonable to assume,
15	where DFAIT was involved, that he had access to
16	consular visits.
17	MR. FOTHERGILL: Did you know at
18	that time whether Mr. Arar had been able to retain
19	a lawyer in the United States?
20	MR. FLEWELLING: It was my
21	understanding, through a discussion with A-OCANADA
22	investigators, that arrangements were being made
23	for him to acquire legal representation in New
24	York.
25	MR. FOTHERGILL: And you have

## StenoTran

1	mentioned I think we have heard it variously
2	referred to as a hearing or even deportation
3	court. I think your e-mail refers to it as a
4	trial of some kind for October 9th?
5	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
6	MR. FOTHERGILL: I would like you
7	to convey to us, if you can, precisely what you
8	understood that hearing to entail.
9	MR. FLEWELLING: I understood that
10	that hearing would be somewhat similar to what we
11	have here in Canada in that it would be a hearing
12	to determine his stature and what they were going
13	to do based on whatever evidence that was
14	presented. I figured that it would be something
15	whereby if there was any objections, that there
16	would be an appeal process in place that an appeal
17	could be made.
18	MR. FOTHERGILL: I'm going to try
19	and break it down a little bit more closely.
20	Did you expect that Mr. Arar would
21	attend this hearing?
22	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes, absolutely.
23	MR. FOTHERGILL: Did you think
24	that his lawyer would attend the hearing?
25	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.

1	MR. FOTHERGILL: Did you think
2	that consular affairs would have the opportunity
3	to monitor the hearing?
4	MR. FLEWELLING: Absolutely.
5	That's their mandate.
6	MR. FOTHERGILL: And I think you
7	have answered this. If Mr. Arar was dissatisfied
8	with the outcome of the hearing, did you think
9	that he would have the opportunity to do anything
10	about it?
11	MR. FLEWELLING: Absolutely. I
12	figured that he would have several avenues of
13	appeal open to him. If it's anything like our
14	Canadian immigration system, he would have ample
15	opportunity to voice his objections.
16	MR. FOTHERGILL: Did you have a
17	sense at that time how long it would take to
18	resolve Mr. Arar's status in the United States?
19	MR. FLEWELLING: If it was going
20	to be going that route, it could be some time. So
21	I felt there was going to be a decision made or
22	rendered on the 9th or it was going to take some
23	time.
24	MR. FOTHERGILL: On the October
25	5th telephone call with the U.S. Embassy

1	representative, am I right in saying that he
2	reported to you that Mr. Arar had indicated a
3	preference to come to Canada?
4	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
5	MR. FOTHERGILL: At that time, did
6	you think that Mr. Arar had some say in where he
7	would be sent?
8	MR. FLEWELLING: My understanding
9	was that he did.
10	MR. FOTHERGILL: And in the same
11	telephone call the U.S. Embassy representative
12	asked you something about whether Mr. Arar could
13	in fact return to Canada, and I would like to
14	refer you to two documents that others have
15	already referred you to.
16	The first is your notes, Exhibit
17	P-211, page 39.
18	This is your note for Saturday,
19	October 5th of 2002, at 1810 or 6:10 in the
20	evening.
21	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
22	MR. FOTHERGILL: And there you
23	wrote, at the bottom of the first full paragraph:
24	"Can the RCMP refuse Arar's
25	entry into Canada?"

1	Do you see that?
2	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
3	MR. FOTHERGILL: Can I ask you now
4	to refer to what has been marked as Exhibit P-227.
5	This is your e-mail message to, I believe,
6	Mr. Callaghan and I think we are dating this
7	either October 6 or October 7. It's probably
8	October 6.
9	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
10	MR. FOTHERGILL: And could you
11	just read to us the final two lines of the second
12	paragraph, "Would like to know," after the
13	redacted portion.
14	MR. FLEWELLING: "Would like to
15	know if we have any
16	objections or laws that would
17	prevent Canada from accepting
18	him into the country."
19	MR. FOTHERGILL: So in your notes
20	we have the language can the RCMP refuse Arar's
21	entry into Canada, and in your e-mail record of
22	the same conversation you say they want to know if
23	we have any objections or laws that would prevent
24	Canada from accepting him into the country.
25	So with reference to either of

1	these documents, can you recreate for us as
2	precisely as possible the wording of the question
3	asked by the American official?
4	Pause
5	MR. FLEWELLING: I don't know if I
6	have a precise answer for you, other than to say
7	is I don't know as I would have used that language
8	unless it came up somewhere along the line.
9	MR. FOTHERGILL: And when you say
10	that, are you referring to your notes or to the
11	e-mail or to both?
12	MR. FLEWELLING: My recollection.
13	MR. FOTHERGILL: All right. So
14	between these two accounts of the same
15	conversation, you are unable to choose between
16	them?
17	MR. FLEWELLING: No, other than
18	the way I perhaps perceived the way they were
19	looking at it.
20	MR. FOTHERGILL: I'm just going to
21	finish with a couple of points raised by
22	Mr. Waldman in his questioning.
23	I'm hoping you can clarify for us
24	your entry in your notes at pages 21 and 22 that
25	Mr. Waldman took you to.

1	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
2	MR. FOTHERGILL: Just to give you
3	the context, this is the portion of the notes that
4	Mr. Waldman suggested might indicate that somebody
5	thought it might be consistent with the
6	preventative mandate to leave a detainee in a
7	foreign country rather than bring them home.
8	Do you recall that discussion with
9	Mr. Waldman?
10	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
11	MR. FOTHERGILL: If we look at
12	page 21 of your notes, at the bottom, you have the
13	entry "questions, intel versus criminal".
14	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
15	MR. FOTHERGILL: Can you explain
16	that particular entry for us?
17	MR. FLEWELLING: If memory serves
18	me correctly and the individual we are talking
19	about, it was how do we want to approach to go and
20	meet the individual and how we were going to
21	approach an interview process; whether we were
22	going to go by way of trying to solicit the
23	information and be satisfied with the intelligence
24	side of things or whether or not we were going to
25	try and recreate the Canadian system when we went

1	to go see him. In other words, afford him his
2	full Charter of Rights under the Canadian system.
3	MR. FOTHERGILL: So if you were
4	unable to gain access to the individual to
5	question him yourself, and if instead questions
6	were submitted, for the sake of argument, for a
7	foreign authority to ask the individual, would
8	that make a difference to you concerning the
9	subsequent use?
LO	MR. FLEWELLING: If we forwarded
L1	the questions to a foreign agency to ask
L2	questions?
L3	MR. FOTHERGILL: Yes.
L4	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
L5	MR. FOTHERGILL: Over the page
L6	then, at the bottom of page 22, you wrote:
L7	"We may have to take and be
L8	satisfied"
L9	Correct me if I'm reading this
20	incorrectly.
21	"We may have to take and be
22	satisfied with the prevention
23	side of the mandate and hope
24	that additional information
25	can be gleaned with respect

1	to"
2	And then you identify a number of
3	things.
4	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
5	MR. FOTHERGILL: Can you assist us
6	in understanding what is meant here by the need to
7	be satisfied with the prevention side of the
8	mandate? As opposed to what?
9	MR. FLEWELLING: As opposed to
10	going after either a criminal, or information that
11	would assist us in supporting criminal charges;
12	that it may have been prudent at that time to be
13	satisfied to solicit information in order to
14	prevent a furtherance of any real attack or
15	anything of that nature.
16	MR. FOTHERGILL: Do you agree with
17	Mr. Waldman's supposition that these notes reflect
18	a discussion where somebody says it might assist
19	the preventative mandate to leave somebody
20	detained rather than bring them back to Canada?
21	MR. FLEWELLING: Sorry, go again?
22	MR. FOTHERGILL: Do you agree with
23	Mr. Waldman's supposition, his interpretation of
24	your notes, that they reflect a conversation where
25	somebody said it would assist the prevention

1	mandate if we left the detainee in a foreign
2	country rather than bringing them home?
3	Pause
4	MR. FLEWELLING: Sorry. I don't
5	know if I understand the question.
6	MR. FOTHERGILL: I'm sorry. It
7	may be somewhat complicated.
8	MR. FLEWELLING: It's late in the
9	day.
10	MR. FOTHERGILL: I will try and
11	break it down.
12	Do you recall Mr. Waldman
13	suggested to you that these notes recorded a
14	conversation or discussion where somebody
15	suggested that the preventative mandate of the
16	police might be best served by leaving a detainee
17	in a foreign country rather than bringing them
18	home?
19	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes, I recall
20	that.
21	MR. FOTHERGILL: Do you agree that
22	that is what these notes reflect?
23	MR. FLEWELLING: It's very hard
24	for me to be definitive in my answer. But, no,
25	none of us were really looking at that as being a

1	concrete option.
2	MR. FOTHERGILL: I would like to
3	conclude with a reference to Exhibit P-116. This
4	is the news article that Mr. Waldman referred you
5	to.
6	You will recall that Mr. Waldman
7	suggested that what was recounted in this news
8	article sounded a bit like your conversation with
9	the American official, and then he asked you if
10	you were in fact the source for the journalist's
11	article.
12	Do you recall that?
13	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes, I do.
14	MR. FOTHERGILL: The opening
15	paragraph reads:
16	"U.S. officials seized Maher
17	Arar when he was changing
18	planes in New York last year
19	and sent him to Syria because
20	Canada would not guarantee he
21	would be detained when he
22	returned to Ottawa."
23	That's the opening paragraph.
24	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
25	MR. FOTHERGILL: And I'm wondering

1	if you can tell us whether at any time any U.S.
2	official said to you that Mr. Arar would be
3	returned to Canada if only we would guarantee that
4	he would be detained when he got here?
5	MR. FLEWELLING: Not to my
6	knowledge. That was never on the table. It was
7	never discussed.
8	MR. FOTHERGILL: And then if we
9	continue in the news article, we come to a
LO	paragraph which begins with a quotation, "Then
L1	they said to the Canadians."
L2	Do you see that?
L3	MR. FLEWELLING: Sorry, how far
L4	down again, sorry?
L5	MR. FOTHERGILL: It's probably
L6	two-thirds of the way down the left-hand column?
L7	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
L8	MR. FOTHERGILL: The quotation
L9	attributed to somebody who apparently is in the
20	know is:
21	"If we transfer that man to
22	you, can you give us the
23	assurance that you will lay
24	charges against him? And the
25	Canadian police told them,

1	no, we don't have anything to
2	lay charges against him. We
3	can't bring any charges."
4	It's really the first portion I'm
5	interested in. Did any American official say to
6	you if we transfer the man to you, can you give us
7	the assurance that you will lay charges against
8	him?
9	MR. FLEWELLING: No. That was
10	never brought up.
11	MR. FOTHERGILL: Thank you. Those
12	are my questions.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Cavalluzzo?
14	EXAMINATION
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Sergeant
16	Flewelling, just a couple of questions in
17	re-examination.
18	Mr. Boxall, throughout his
19	questioning in terms of sharing information with
20	foreign agencies, kept referring to the threat of
21	Canadians losing their lives and basically most of
22	his questions were premised on that idea, that
23	Canadians would lose their lives. And of course
24	no one wants that.
25	But let's just deal with the world

1	as it existed at the material time with A-OCANADA.
2	I understand that A-OCANADA, at
3	most, had two targets. One was Mr. Almalki; is
4	that correct?
5	MR. FOTHERGILL: Commissioner, we
6	are not prepared to acknowledge in this forum the
7	precise number of targets of Project A-OCANADA.
8	Two have been confirmed, but beyond that, I would
9	assert a claim of NSC.
10	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay.
11	There were two targets that we
12	have heard about.
13	Mr. Almalki. Where was
14	Mr. Almalki after November of 2001? I understand
15	that he had left Canada.
16	MR. FLEWELLING: I believe so.
17	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And I understand
18	in fact the public record is Mr. Almalki did not
19	return to Canada until August of 2004.
20	MR. FLEWELLING: I will take your
21	word for it.
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. The other
23	target that we have heard about is Mr. El Maati.
24	I understand that Mr. El Maati left Canada in
25	November of 2001.

1	MR. FLEWELLING: I believe
2	thereabouts.
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And I understand
4	that Mr. El Maati returned to Canada in March of
5	2004.
6	MR. FLEWELLING: Again, I will
7	take your word for it.
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So that is the
9	real context that we are dealing with with
10	A-OCANADA. Now I would like to ask you some
11	questions relating to that.
12	The RCMP policy in respect of
13	caveats in respect of the sharing of information,
14	and so on, deals with a number of interests other
15	than quick sharing of information. Isn't that
16	correct?
17	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
18	MR. CAVALLUZZO: The RCMP policy
19	is concerned about the sharing of and protecting
20	personal information. Isn't that correct?
21	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: The RCMP policy
23	is concerned about the Privacy Act?
24	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
25	MP CANALLII770: The PCMD policy

1	is concerned about human rights?
2	MR. FLEWELLING: Definitely.
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And the RCMP
4	policy in respect of dealings with countries with
5	poor human rights records is very restrictive in
6	terms of what you can do with those countries.
7	Isn't that correct?
8	MR. FLEWELLING: There is
9	definitely a structure upon which one has to deal
10	with.
11	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So that there are
12	other considerations other than "Let's quickly
13	share the information". Isn't that correct?
14	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: In terms of the
16	real world, there was, it seems to me, one time at
17	which the Americans wanted information on an
18	urgent basis that I can find in the record, and
19	that is on October the 3rd; correct?
20	When you got that message late in
21	the afternoon, and it was a she it was a
22	she-American. That is as far as we can go,
23	unfortunately, in this proceeding. She wanted
24	information urgently, basically to support
25	criminal charges in respect of Mr. Arar: correct?

1	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So that that was
3	an urgent need. You sent it to A-OCANADA?
4	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
5	MR. CAVALLUZZO: A-OCANADA did
6	their homework, got the information, and sent it
7	through head office to the Americans. Isn't that
8	correct?
9	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
10	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And on that
11	occasion, they used a caveat, didn't they?
12	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes. I
13	believe oh, sorry. The response to the
14	questions? Yes.
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: On that occasion
16	they used a caveat?
17	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
18	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And all a caveat
19	is is a stamp.
20	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
21	MR. CAVALLUZZO: It doesn't take a
22	lot of time.
23	MR. FLEWELLING: No.
24	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You were asked a
25	question by Mr. Boxall saying well, wouldn't it

1	have been all right if the Americans had sent a
2	letter saying, "We will abide by the caveats with
3	respect to the information that you have sent us."
4	And it seemed to be the idea being that that would
5	be appropriate in the circumstances.
6	MR. FLEWELLING: Of taking it in
7	the context that there would be that written
8	agreement on how to share that information and
9	deal with it.
10	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. If we get
11	that letter in respect of, hypothetically
12	speaking, the data dump, that may be all right in
13	respect of how they are going to use the
14	information, but there are other problems, aren't
15	there, that that doesn't deal with?
16	First of all, would that letter
17	deal with your obligation or the RCMP's obligation
18	to ensure that there is a need to know, that
19	personal information is protected, and that no
20	national security information is given that
21	shouldn't be?
22	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: It would deal
24	with that?
25	MR. FLEWELLING: Oh. I think that

1	you would still have to ensure that that is all
2	looked after and respected.
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. So you
4	would still have to do that, even if you had that
5	letter. Isn't that correct?
6	MR. FLEWELLING: I believe that
7	that should be scrutinized for those types of
8	things, yes.
9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And as well, if
10	any information in the data dump was from another
11	agency that had caveated that information, just
12	because the Americans had sent this letter of
13	approval or saying we are going to follow the
14	caveats, would not give the RCMP the permission to
15	release that information without getting the
16	consent?
17	MR. FLEWELLING: Unless they were
18	involved in that mutual agreement.
19	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. And in
20	looking at that mutual agreement, if we look at
21	your e-mail to Callaghan on October 6th, Sunday,
22	October 6th, after that phone call, one of your
23	concerns you expressed was did we get in touch
24	with the CSIS representative; right?
25	MR. FLEWELLING: That's correct.

1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And your concern
2	there was that maybe some information may have
3	been released without CSIS' consent?
4	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes. I wanted to
5	ensure that they were approached, or that they
6	were notified, or that they were aware.
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So that even if
8	CSIS was one of these partner agencies, the fact
9	is you still had to get their consent if you were
10	going to share information that they had caveated.
11	Isn't that correct?
12	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
13	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You were asked
14	certain questions relating to Mr. Proulx's
15	attendance at this meeting with all these partners
16	shortly after 2001, and you were asked whether you
17	were given anything in writing that the policy
18	still applied.
19	The question I would have is: The
20	policies are in writing. Isn't that correct?
21	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes, they are
22	existing.
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: They are
24	existing. They were never changed?
25	MP FLEWFLLING: I was referring

1	or I thought that it referred to any written
2	instruction that was different than the existing
3	policy.
4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And what you are
5	saying is there was no written policy that caveats
6	are down, free flow of information, forget about
7	human rights, forget about personal information
8	and so on; nothing like that?
9	MR. FLEWELLING: Like I said, I
10	didn't see anything in writing that suggested
11	caveats were down.
12	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I just want to
13	clarify in my own mind something that is very
14	important that you said, and that is that you said
15	that the situation reports were going to the
16	Americans.
17	We are aware that some situation
18	reports went to the Americans in respect of the
19	data dump.
20	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
21	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, I was not
22	aware that situation reports were going
23	automatically to the Americans whenever they were
24	created by Project A-OCANADA.
25	Are you sure of that?

## StenoTran

1	MR. FLEWELLING: Am I absolutely
2	sure that they were going down? It was my
3	understanding that there were a few. I know that
4	there were a few from Toronto that were going
5	down, and then at that point we ended up
6	soliciting that information and putting together
7	reports, vetting them, sanitizing them, and then
8	at that point, I would say probably sometime in
9	December, those were being shared.
10	MR. CAVALLUZZO: But the question
11	that I have is were the American agencies and
12	you need not name them. Were the American
13	agencies getting the situation reps, the SITREPs,
14	on a regular basis like CID was, or were they
15	getting periodically they would get ones that
16	may be of interest to them?
17	MR. FLEWELLING: I can't honestly
18	answer that.
19	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Would it
20	surprise you to know that the evidence that we
21	have heard so far is that the Americans were not
22	getting the situation reps on a regular basis,
23	like CID?
24	MR. FLEWELLING: That they were
25	not?

1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Were not.
2	MR. FLEWELLING: Would it surprise
3	me?
4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Yes.
5	MR. FLEWELLING: No, not
6	necessarily.
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Just a couple of
8	final questions regarding some questions of
9	Mr. Fothergill.
10	In terms of the Ressam case there
11	is a crucial difference there, isn't there,
12	between that and Mr. Arar's case? And that is in
13	the Ressam case, they had sufficient evidence to
14	criminally charge and convict Mr. Ressam?
15	MR. FLEWELLING: He was a much
16	greater threat.
17	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Just two final
18	questions.
19	You told Mr. Fothergill that you
20	were under the impression, as of October the 8th,
21	that the process relating to Mr. Arar was going to
22	take a long time in the United States before
23	anything happened to him.
24	MR. FLEWELLING: No, that that
25	might be a possibility in the back of my mind.

1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. But
2	certainly as far as A-OCANADA is concerned, they
3	thought it was going to happen quickly because
4	they were setting up surveillance teams
5	MR. FLEWELLING: Yes.
6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: on October the
7	9th. So they knew something was going to happen
8	like that, at least they thought something was
9	going to happen.
10	MR. FLEWELLING: Actually I shared
11	the same thought, but also in the back of my mind
12	knowing immigration
13	MR. CAVALLUZZO: But it was way
14	back in your mind because I hope we are not
15	wasting taxpayers' dollars by setting up
16	surveillance teams on a remote possibility.
17	MR. FLEWELLING: I would share
18	that.
19	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And finally, in
20	regard to the handwritten notes you took on
21	October 5th, and your e-mail of October the 6th,
22	if you can help us, I assume that the notes of the
23	telephone call on October the 5th, that you made
24	those notes right after the telephone
25	conversation?

1	MR. FLEWELLING: October the 5th,
2	yes.
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You did. Thank
4	you. I have no further questions.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you,
6	Mr. Cavalluzzo.
7	That completes your evidence,
8	Sergeant. Let me thank you for the time and
9	effort it has been a long day and a half and
10	the very patient way that you have answered the
11	questions. I appreciate the time and effort you
12	put into it.
13	MR. FLEWELLING: Thank you.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very
15	much.
16	I will just say a couple things to
17	the group and then we might take a short break.
18	There are three further witnesses
19	scheduled. They are each relatively short. It
20	would be my preference, if possible, to complete
21	them today, so that we stick to our schedule this
22	week. The estimate I have from counsel is that if
23	we are going to do that, it is probably going to
24	take us until 6:30 to do it. I'm prepared to do
25	it.

1	During this break, if any of the
2	people who are essential to this carrying on have
3	other thoughts or other difficulties, could they
4	please speak to Commission counsel. And I include
5	in that the interpreters, the sound people, the
6	people who operate the cameras, and counsel.
7	We will go as long as we can and
8	hopefully be able to complete these witnesses.
9	So right now we will take a
LO	10-minute break, and we will resume then.
L1	MR. FOTHERGILL: Commissioner,
L2	before we rise, may I raise one issue that
L3	pertains to the third of our three remaining
L4	witnesses, Mr. Lauzon?
L5	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
L6	MR. FOTHERGILL: I have discussed
L7	with Commission counsel a concern that he has,
L8	which is that he will shortly be embarking on an
L9	undercover operation and he doesn't wish his image
20	to be publicized.
21	There's no objection to his name
22	being broadcast or his voice or his words, but we
23	do not wish his image to be broadcast, or for him
24	to be photographed, and I understand that
25	arrangements have been made with the television

1	crew, but I'm wondering if a direction from you
2	could be made respecting the still photography?
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Right. Does
4	anybody else have other submissions with respect
5	to that?
6	The request, just so that we're
7	clear, as I understand it, Mr. Fothergill, is that
8	there be no photographs taken of this witness
9	because of the undercover concern.
10	But his evidence will be given in
11	public, his name would be known, it would simply
12	be no publication of his pictures?
13	Anybody else have any submissions
14	as to why that shouldn't happen?
15	Okay. No, I think it makes sense,
16	and I think it's a reasonable approach to the
17	issue, Mr. Fothergill, so that I will direct that
18	there be no photographs taken of this witness,
19	either in the hearing room or as he approaches the
20	hearing room, and I'm sure with cooperation that
21	shouldn't be a difficulty.
22	Go ahead. Yes, Mr. Cavalluzzo?
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: One other aspect,
24	and that is, if this proceeding is being
25	televised, that the camera will not project his

1	image so that the camera would be on you rather
2	than the witness throughout the questioning?
3	Laughter / Rires
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Especially late
5	in the day, that's dangerous.
6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: That should be
7	part of the order as well.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
9	Laughter / Rires
10	THE COMMISSIONER: I didn't hear
11	the last comment. I'm not sure I want to. Okay.
12	We'll rise for ten minutes.
13	THE REGISTRAR: Please stand.
14	Upon recessing at 4:20 p.m. /
15	Suspension à 16 h 20
16	Upon resuming at 4:28 p.m. /
17	Reprise à 16 h 28
18	LE COMMISSAIRE : Bonjour,
19	Sénateur.
20	TECHNICIAN: Mr. Commissioner, I
21	just want to ensure the system is working
22	properly.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. We
24	should be on number two. Is that right?
25	TECHNICIAN: VAC

1	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
2	Thank you.
3	Mr. David?
4	ASSERMENTÉ PRÉCÉDEMMENT: PIERRE DE BANÉ
5	INTERROGATOIRE
6	Me DAVID : Bon après-midi,
7	Monsieur le Commissaire.
8	Nous avons le plaisir encore une
9	fois d'avoir parmi nous le sénateur De Bané. Le
LO	sénateur est ici essentiellement pour clarifier un
L1	point, Monsieur le Commissaire, par rapport à son
L2	témoignage.
L3	Je vous rappelle que le sénateur a
L4	déjà témoigné le 1 <sup>er</sup> juin 2005, devant vous, et a
L5	livré un témoignage exhaustif.
L6	C'est simplement pour revenir sur
L7	un point, comme j'ai dit.
L8	Monsieur le Sénateur, je vous
L9	rappelle que lorsque vous avez témoigné le 1 <sup>er</sup>
20	juin vous avez fait une révélation, à savoir que
21	vous étiez présent lors d'une rencontre au bureau
22	du ministère des Affaires extérieures le 11
23	juillet 2003 en préparation de votre voyage, qui
24	vous amenait entre autres en Syrie afin de livrer
25	une lettre signée par notre premier ministre au

1	président de la Syrie concernant monsieur Arar.
2	C'est dans ce contexte là que
3	j'aimerais vous ramener brièvement sur un aspect
4	de votre témoignage.
5	Vous avez expliqué au commissaire
6	à cette époque là que monsieur Pardy, qui
7	présidait cette rencontre préparatoire à votre
8	voyage, vous avait fait une révélation.
9	Votre témoignage d'ailleurs, on
10	y est revenu à trois reprises, en chef et avec les
11	questions de monsieur Waldman, ainsi que les
12	questions de monsieur Baxter.
13	Il s'agissait d'une information
14	que monsieur Pardy vous a livrée à l'effet que
15	plus ou moins la GRC avait reçu un appel d'une
16	agence américaine alors que monsieur Arar était
17	détenu aux États-Unis, selon votre compréhension
18	des choses.
19	Les Américains se seraient posé la
20	question ou auraient posé la question à la GRC
21	« Êtes-vous en mesure de procéder soit à
22	l'arrestation ou soit à des accusations et détenir
23	monsieur Arar? ».
24	Vous avez expliqué exactement ce
25	que monsieur Pardy a dit en ce sens.

1	Nous avons tous compris de votre
2	témoignage, Monsieur le Sénateur, que
3	essentiellement la GRC a répliqué, a répondu, que,
4	à cause qu'ils n'avaient pas suffisamment de
5	preuves, ils n'étaient pas en mesure d'acquiescer
6	à ce genre de scénario ou à ce genre de demande de
7	la part des Américains.
8	Est-ce que cela résume en gros
9	votre témoignage le 1 <sup>er</sup> juin 2005 ?
10	L'HON. PIERRE DE BANÉ : En effet.
11	C'est exactement cela.
12	Et cette information m'a tellement
13	saisi que, lorsque vous m'avez demandé : « De quoi
14	vous souvenez-vous au sujet du briefing que vous
15	avez eu ? », ça c'est la chose qui a été pour moi
16	le point le plus important.
17	Me DAVID : Merci.
18	Je vais vous référer et ce
19	n'est pas nécessaire de visionner la page en
20	question mais à la page 4611 de votre
21	témoignage, je vous ai posé la question : « Est-ce
22	que vous avez, Monsieur le Sénateur, pris des
23	notes lors de cette rencontre là, des notes
24	personnelles ? »
25	Votre réponse est la suivante

1	et je lis votre réponse : « Oui, j'ai pris des
2	notes. Malheureusement, je n'arrive pas à
3	retrouver mon calepin dans lequel j'avais pris des
4	notes. »
5	C'était votre témoignage le 1 <sup>er</sup>
6	juin 2005.
7	Voulez-vous nous expliquer, suite
8	à votre témoignage le 1 <sup>er</sup> juin, qu'est-ce qui est
9	arrivé, qu'est-ce que vous avez fait ?
10	L'HON. PIERRE DE BANÉ : Avec grand
11	plaisir.
12	Alors, tout d'abord, voyez-vous,
13	au cours de l'année qui a précédé ma comparution,
14	j'ai vu à plusieurs reprises mon avocat à mon
15	bureau au Sénat pour lui faire part de mon
16	intervention dans ce dossier.
17	Et, évidemment, j'ai un système de
18	classification à mon bureau au Sénat qui est très,
19	très complet.
20	Et je lui ai dit : « Je cherche
21	vainement ces documents là. », parce que je me
22	souviens comme c'était hier que j'avais un cahier.
23	J'ai pris des notes pendant tout le temps
24	durant tout le briefing. Mais j'ai dit : « Je ne
25	trouve pas mon cahier. »

1	Et c'est pour ça quand vous m'avez
2	posé la question « Avez-vous pris des notes ? »,
3	j'ai dit « Absolument, j'ai pris des notes. »
4	Quand vous m'avez dit « Où sont
5	ces notes ? », je vous ai dit « Malheureusement,
6	j'ai beau chercher dans mon bureau au Sénat, je
7	n'ai pas trouvé mes notes. »
8	Lorsque j'ai fait ce témoignage,
9	mon épouse était dans la salle, et Élisabeth(ph)
10	s'est dit : « Bien, lorsqu'il a été là-bas c'était
11	durant l'été. Le Parlement ne siégeait pas. Donc,
12	il se peut que les documents soient à la maison. »
13	Moi, ce qui m'aurait bien étonné,
14	parce que je n'ai même pas pensé à regarder dans
15	la maison.
16	Et le soir, lorsque nous sommes
17	partis vers la maison, j'ai entendu aux nouvelles
18	à la radio que une personne en autorité mettait en
19	doute la véracité de ce que j'avais dit,
20	l'exactitude de mon souvenir, et cetera. Bon.
21	À ce moment là, bon, on est arrivé
22	à la maison et Élisabeth(ph) ne m'en a pas parlé,
23	mais elle a cherché, parce qu'elle connaît la
24	maison très bien.
25	Et ce n'est que le lendemain à

1	sept heures le matin qu'elle m'a dit : « Voilà,
2	j'ai trouvé tes notes. »
3	Je regarde ça et je dis : « C'est
4	exactement ça. »
5	Me DAVID : Alors, c'est donc le
6	lendemain, le 2 juin
7	L'HON. PIERRE DE BANÉ : Le 2 juin
8	à sept heures le matin.
9	Me DAVID : que vous avez
10	retrouvé votre calepin de notes
11	L'HON. PIERRE DE BANÉ :
12	Absolument, absolument.
13	Me DAVID : Et vous avez
14	retrouvé vous avez été capable de répertorier
15	les notes que vous avez prises
16	L'HON. PIERRE DE BANÉ :
17	Exactement.
18	Me DAVID : lors de votre
19	briefing de monsieur Pardy.
20	L'HON. PIERRE DE BANÉ :
21	Exactement.
22	Et la plupart de ces notes là,
23	évidemment, j'avais oubliées. Mais le point le
24	plus important qui est resté vivace dans ma
25	mémoire il y avait deux points ce qui m'a

1	été dit sur ce sujet là, sur mes « talking points
2	» au sous-ministre des affaires étrangères de
3	Syrie, puisque j'avais écrit moi-même les
4	arguments que j'allais développer devant lui.
5	Parce que, comme on dit en
6	anglais, « I knew I would have only one kick at
7	the can, I'd better do it right to win his
8	release. »
9	Me DAVID : Alors, si vous le
10	permettez, Monsieur le Sénateur, nous allons
11	déposer à ce stade ci un extrait, une photocopie,
12	de vos notes.
13	Monsieur le Commissaire, je peux
14	vous dire que vos procureurs ont lu et révisé les
15	notes au complet de monsieur le sénateur, et nous
16	avons identifié cinq pages que nous estimons
17	pertinentes à votre mandat.
18	C'est dans ce sens là que
19	j'aimerais déposer les notes du sénateur à ce
20	stade.
21	PIÈCE P-229 : Notes du L'Hon.
22	Pierre De Bané
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Two
24	twenty-nine (229).
25	MR. DAVID: Thank you.

1	Monsieur le Sénateur, si je
2	pouvais vous référer à la page 19 de 34.
3	C'est vraiment l'extrait qui, je
4	crois, est le plus pertinent par rapport au
5	témoignage que vous avez déjà livré.
6	Voulez-vous faire lecture du
7	premier paragraphe ?
8	L'HON. PIERRE DE BANÉ : Avec
9	plaisir. Mais, si vous permettez, seulement une
LO	phrase.
L1	Me DAVID : Oui.
L2	L'HON. PIERRE DE BANÉ : Donc, mon
L3	épouse a trouvé ça à sept heures le matin. À huit
L4	heures, j'ai appelé un avocat senior au ministère
L5	de la Justice pour lui dire « Écoutez, on vient de
L6	trouver le document », et il m'a dit « Parfait,
L7	nous allons en discuter ensemble vers neuf heures
L8	et demi. »
L9	Et là, j'ai tout de suite
20	téléphoné au conseiller juridique du Sénat et je
21	lui ai dit « Écoutez, je viens de trouver ces
22	documents là. Je vous demande de venir à mon
23	bureau. »
24	Et là, nous avons eu une
25	conférence avec l'avocat du ministère de la

1	Justice où je lui ai raconté évidemment les
2	choses. Voilà.
3	Donc, dès qu'on a trouvé les
4	documents, j'ai attendu huit heures, une heure
5	plus tard, pour pouvoir faire mes appels. Et voilà
6	ce qui est arrivé.
7	Maintenant, si je peux vous lire
8	cela à la page 19, je dis :
9	« (Un tel organisme
10	américain) a demandé à la GRC
11	si on vous le confie
12	pouvez-vous le mettre en
13	prison et la GRC a dit non. »
14	Et donc et l'autre phrase qui
15	m'a également très étonné, c'est celle qui suit :
16	« CSIS aurait dit aux Syriens
17	gardez-le. »
18	Gardez monsieur Arar.
19	Alors, voilà deux déclarations
20	évidemment que j'ai entendues, que qui sont
21	dans mes notes et qui m'ont
22	Le deuxième, je l'avais oublié.
23	C'est pour ça que je n'en avais pas parlé lorsque
24	j'ai témoigné.
25	Mais, le premier, lui, m'a saisi

1	quand j'ai entendu cela.
2	Me DAVID : Pour que le dossier
3	soit très clair, Monsieur le Sénateur, il s'agit
4	évidemment de notes que vous avez prises, de
5	paroles dites, prononcées par monsieur Pardy ?
6	L'HON. PIERRE DE BANÉ : Oui, oui.
7	Oui, exactement.
8	Me DAVID : Merci, Monsieur.
9	L'HON. PIERRE DE BANÉ : Moi,
10	j'écrivais rapidement ce que j'entendais, et j'ai
11	pris
12	Le gros du briefing a porté sur le
13	cas Sampson, mais il y a eu plusieurs une bonne
14	partie aussi de la réunion, pas autant que sur
15	Sampson, qui a porté sur le cas Arar.
16	Et voilà.
17	Me DAVID : Merci, Monsieur le
18	Sénateur. Ce sont mes questions. Merci.
19	L'HON. PIERRE DE BANÉ : C'est moi
20	qui vous remercie.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Is there any
22	cross-exsamination? There is nothing new other
23	than the notes tend to confirm the earlier
24	evidence so
25	Anybody have any questions?

1	Okay. Well, thank you very much,
2	Senator, for coming. We appreciate your coming
3	back and bringing the notes to our attention.
4	HON. PIERRE DE DANÉ: Thank you,
5	Your Lordship. Thank you very much, And I'm very
6	embarrassed I didn't have those notes prior.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: It's fully
8	understandable. That's not something you should
9	concern yourself with.
10	HON. PIERRE DE DANÉ: But I never
11	thought that they were at home. I was looking in
12	vain in my office.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: I understand.
14	Don't you worry about that. And thank you very
15	much for your assistance.
16	HON. PIERRE DE DANÉ: Thank you.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Should we take
18	a break?
19	MR. DAVID: Perhaps I know that
20	Mr. Cavalluzzo is outside so
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. We'll
22	take a five-minute break and we'll have the next
23	witness.
24	MR. DAVID: Thank you.
25	THE REGISTRAR: Please stand.

1	Upon recessing at 4:40 p.m. /
2	Suspension à 16 h 40
3	Upon resuming at 4:46 p.m. /
4	Reprise à 16 h 46
5	THE REGISTRAR: Please be seated.
6	Veuillez vous asseoir.
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Mr. Commissioner,
8	we have now Mr. Gregg Williams.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Williams,
10	would you like to be sworn or affirmed? Would you
11	like to swear on the Bible or just take an
12	affirmation?
13	MR. WILLIAMS: I can swear on the
14	Bible, sir.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Would you stand
16	and take the Bible in your right hand and I will
17	administer the oath.
18	SWORN: ALEXANDER GREGGORY WILLIAMS
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Your full name?
20	MR. WILLIAMS: Alexander Greggory
21	Williams.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. You
23	may be seated.
24	Mr. Cavalluzzo?
25	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Thank you, sir.

1	EXAMINATION
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Mr. Williams, you
3	are currently employed by the RCMP?
4	MR. WILLIAMS: That's correct.
5	MR. CAVALLUZZO: What position do
6	you presently hold?
7	MR. WILLIAMS: I'm a regional
8	planner in the "O" Division which is located in
9	London, Ontario.
10	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I understand that
11	you have been employed by the RCMP for 32 years.
12	MR. WILLIAMS: That's correct.
13	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And during the
14	period of time that we are talking about, and that
15	is in September and October of 2002, I understand
16	that you were employed in the immigration and
17	passport branch at the RCMP headquarters in
18	Ottawa.
19	MR. WILLIAMS: That's correct.
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And how long were
21	you employed in the immigration and passport
22	branch?
23	MR. WILLIAMS: Fifteen years.
24	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And in October of
25	2002, could you tell us what your position was in

1	the immigration and passport branch?
2	MR. WILLIAMS: I was a senior
3	reviewer analyst and my area of responsibility was
4	Western Canada.
5	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, on October
6	4, 2002, I understand that you were working and
7	you were working the day shift.
8	MR. WILLIAMS: I would have to
9	look at a calendar, but if that's a day during the
LO	week, very possibly I was.
L1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. It's
L2	Friday, October the 4th in 2002.
L3	MR. WILLIAMS: According to my
L4	shift, normally I would be working that day, yes.
L5	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And your shift
L6	was Monday to Friday, 7:30 to 4:30?
L7	MR. WILLIAMS: I was off every
L8	second Monday. It was a compressed work week.
L9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: But your hours of
20	work on the Friday, if you were working, would be
21	between 7:30 a.m. and 4:30 p.m.?
22	MR. WILLIAMS: Correct.
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Briefly, if you
24	could tell us, what is your job? What are your
25	duties and responsibilities as being the senior

1	reader in this particular branch?
2	MR. WILLIAMS: Again, like I said,
3	I was responsible for Western Canada, and I would
4	monitor any high-level investigations that were
5	taking place in Western Canada. Also, I was a
6	manager of fairly high-profile files such as the
7	Canada-China working group, which was a result of
8	the illegal Chinese ships that arrived on the West
9	Coast of Canada in 1999. Also the trafficking in
10	human beings, and particularly sexual exploitation
11	of women and children. I was dealing with that as
12	my major file.
13	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, in October
14	of 2002, were there other readers or senior
15	readers in the branch?
16	MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, I would
17	imagine most staff would be there except for the
18	ones that were working compressed or be off for
19	holidays.
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And how many
21	employees would there be in this branch?
22	MR. WILLIAMS: Ten to twelve, I
23	guess? I'm not sure.
24	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And who would be
25	the supervisors or manager of the branch?

1	MR. WILLIAMS: It would be an
2	officer at the rank of superintendent.
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And who was that?
4	MR. WILLIAMS: At that time I
5	believe it was Superintendent Ray Lang.
6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Superintendent
7	Ray Lang?
8	MR. WILLIAMS: Yes.
9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And did you
10	report directly to anybody before Mr. Lang?
11	MR. WILLIAMS: This is at a time
12	of transition and downsizing. At one point I was
13	reporting directly to Staff Sergeant Roger Paris,
14	now whether at that particular time whether it was
15	through Roger Paris or was directly to Ray Lang, I
16	can't say for certain.
17	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, in October
18	of 2002, I understand there was also a Roger Paré
19	who retired in April of 2005, but he was working
20	in the branch in October of 2002?
21	MR. WILLIAMS: Yes.
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. And we've
23	tracked down through RCMP records as to two people
24	that would have been there perhaps having lunch at
25	a desk at or around noontime on Friday, October

1	4th, and the two individuals would be you and
2	Mr. Roger Paré. Do you know Mr. Roger Paré?
3	MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, very well.
4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And would it be
5	usual or not unusual, if I could put it that way,
6	for you and he to be sitting down at a desk having
7	lunch?
8	MR. WILLIAMS: Oh, very common.
9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Now, do
LO	you know Mr. Rick Flewelling?
L1	MR. WILLIAMS: I know him now, or
L2	I know him to see him, but I didn't know him back
L3	then.
L4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, you saw him
L5	or you met him a couple of days ago?
L6	MR. WILLIAMS: Yes.
L7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Did you
L8	remember Mr. Flewelling?
L9	MR. WILLIAMS: When I saw his
20	face, it looked familiar. I had seen him around
21	headquarters, but I had not recalled meeting him.
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So you never
23	recalled meeting him before. Do you ever recall
24	speaking to him before while you were in the
25	immigration and passport branch?

1	MR. WILLIAMS: No, not that I can
2	recall.
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So that you do
4	not recall any occasion upon which Mr. Flewelling
5	would have come up to the fourth floor, to the
6	immigration and passport branch, and asked a
7	question of both you gentlemen sitting there
8	having lunch?
9	MR. WILLIAMS: No, I do not recall
10	it.
11	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Then let
12	me give you a hypothetical, and that is, if
13	Mr. Flewelling came upstairs and wanted to know
14	about a Canadian who had been detained in New York
15	City, an airport in New York City, and was seeking
16	information about what he referred to as the
17	removal process in New York City, you don't recall
18	that question, I understand, but would you have
19	answered that question if it had been put to you?
20	MR. WILLIAMS: Not likely, because
21	I don't have any knowledge of the removal process
22	that would take place in another country.
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: What if someone
24	was to ask you a question of a Canadian who was a
25	dual national detained in New York City and asked

1	you about the removal process of that particular
2	Canadian from New York City? Would your answer be
3	the same?
4	MR. WILLIAMS: It would be the
5	same. It wouldn't have any bearing.
6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: What about if the
7	question was put to you that, we have a Canadian
8	who has flown in to New York City. The Americans
9	have refused him entry into the United States.
10	And what happens if they refuse him entry? What
11	will they do?
12	MR. WILLIAMS: From my previous
13	experience dealing with CIC, Citizenship &
14	Immigration Canada, and the process that's
15	involved there, it is my understanding that the
16	airline that flew that person to the country to
17	which they were not admissible is responsible to
18	return them from which from whence he came.
19	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So, in other
20	words, if this Canadian had been flown to New York
21	City from Switzerland, you're saying in that
22	circumstance, your understanding from your
23	experience at CIC, that if he was refused entry
24	into the United States, he would be sent back to
25	Switzerland at the airline's expense?

1	MR. WILLIAMS: That's my
2	understanding of the procedure, yes.
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. And the
4	CIC procedure, now, that would that of
5	course we're talking about a situation in the
6	United States, but you feel that you might answer
7	that question even though it is an American act
8	which would be sending the individual back?
9	MR. WILLIAMS: If I knew the
10	person was coming from another country, I don't
11	know how I would have answered the question
12	because I don't think I've ever dealt with that
13	before. I don't know if I would have been given
14	that information, to say that they were coming in
15	from somewhere else. Now, if someone told me that
16	there was a person, a Canadian arriving at a port
17	of entry in the U.S. of A. and was refused entry,
18	well, it's just standard procedure that they would
19	be going back to Canada because they came from
20	Canada. Now, when you throw Europe into the mix,
21	I don't think I would have answered that.
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So you would have
23	answered the question, if the person going to New
24	York was coming from Canada?
25	MR. WILLIAMS: Yes.

1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And you were
2	saying your response would be, well, he would be
3	coming back to Canada, but you wouldn't proffer an
4	opinion if a European country was mentioned as the
5	point of departure?
6	MR. WILLIAMS: No, I can't see
7	I would have said it's the responsibility of the
8	airline to have the documentation for immigration
9	purposes required in the country to which they are
10	arriving, and if they don't have that, they would
11	be returned.
12	MR. CAVALLUZZO: But in any event,
13	you do not recall any such questions being put?
14	MR. WILLIAMS: You mean the
15	conversation?
16	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Yes.
17	MR. WILLIAMS: No.
18	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Do you recall any
19	mention of Mr. Arar? You know who Mr. Arar is,
20	obviously?
21	MR. WILLIAMS: Oh, I know now,
22	yes.
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: But you don't
24	recall anybody coming up and asking a question
25	about Mr. Arar?

1	MR. WILLIAMS: No.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Or a few days
3	later, saying, "Holy god, that must have been
4	Mr. Arar that he was talking about"?
5	MR. WILLIAMS: No, I don't recall
6	that
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You don't recall
8	anything like that?
9	MR. WILLIAMS: No.
10	Pause
11	MR. WILLIAMS: For someone to
12	come just to follow up for someone to come
13	to our office and ask, that is a fairly routine
14	question, so I wouldn't have given it a whole lot
15	of thought, just to ask what the standard
16	procedure was.
17	MR. CAVALLUZZO: But just to be
18	fair, I want to be sure that it is even though
19	you didn't know Mr. Flewelling and you just
20	recognized him a couple of days ago, it is
21	possible that you may have spoken to
22	Mr. Flewelling in the past
23	MR. WILLIAMS: Oh, yes. I didn't
24	say I didn't do it. I just don't recall it.
25	MP CANALLITYO: I have no further

1	questions. Thank you.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
3	Cross-examination?
4	Okay, Mr. Waldman.
5	EXAMINATION
6	MR. WALDMAN: Just to make sure
7	that you and I are on the same page on one thing.
8	Is it not fair, based upon your understanding of
9	Canadian immigration law that, at any time, a
10	country has the right to remove a person to a
11	country of citizenship, that's pretty basic,
12	right? In other words, if I'm a Canadian
13	travelling in Europe, any European country that
14	refuses me admission, Canada has an obligation to
15	take me back if I'm a Canadian citizen; is that
16	your understanding of the law?
17	MR. WILLIAMS: To take you to
18	accept you back into the country. Absolutely.
19	You're a Canadian citizen.
20	MR. WALDMAN: And that applies to
21	any other country as well. In other words, the
22	basic principle of international law and
23	immigration law is the country of citizenship must
24	take you back?
25	MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, that's my

1	understanding.
2	MR. WALDMAN: So in the case of a
3	dual national like Mr. Arar, in Canada, in any
4	event, Canada would be legally entitled to send a
5	dual national, Syrian-American citizen, either to
6	the United States or to Syria?
7	MR. WILLIAMS: That's my
8	understanding of the law.
9	MR. WALDMAN: The country of
10	citizenship is basic.
11	And with respect to the question
12	about return to other country from which he came,
13	that would depend upon the circumstances; is that
14	fair?
15	MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, I guess. Like
16	I say, the standard procedure, the airline has the
17	ultimate responsibility when they're taking
18	MR. WALDMAN: But that's if it's
19	done at the port of entry. If the person's
20	admitted
21	MR. WILLIAMS: That's right.
22	That's the difference, if he's admitted or if he
23	was refused entry.
24	MR. WALDMAN: Right. So if he's
25	refused entry he can be sent back to the country,

1	and once he's inside
2	Okay. Thank you, those are my
3	questions.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Boxall, any
5	questions?
6	MR. BOXALL: No questions.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Fothergill?
8	MR. FOTHERGILL: Briefly. Perhaps
9	I can do it from here.
10	EXAMINATION
11	MR. FOTHERGILL: Mr. Williams, you
12	agree with Mr. Cavalluzzo that although you don't
13	recall speaking with Mr. Flewelling on this date,
14	it's possible that you did?
15	MR. WILLIAMS: Oh, absolutely.
16	MR. FOTHERGILL: And is it also
17	possible that you had some sort of discussion with
18	Mr. Flewelling about removal and the obligation of
19	the airline to return an individual to the point
20	from which they departed?
21	MR. WILLIAMS: Oh, it's very
22	possible, yes.
23	MR. FOTHERGILL: Those are my
24	questions, thank you.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.

1	Mr. Cavalluzzo?
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I have no
3	questions.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very
5	much, Mr. Williams. That completes your evidence.
6	Thank you for coming.
7	Should we take a break before the
8	next witness?
9	Off microphone / Sans microphone
10	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I guess the
11	cameras yeah. Perhaps a five-minute break and
12	we'll work out the mechanics.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. We'll
14	rise for five minutes.
15	THE REGISTRAR: Please stand.
16	Upon recessing at 5:00 p.m. /
17	Suspension à 17 h 00
18	Upon resuming at 5:06 p.m. /
19	Reprise à 17 h 06
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Commissioner, we
21	have Mr. Ron Lauzon with us.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
23	Would you like to be sworn or affirmed?
24	MR. LAUZON: I'll swear.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Would you stand

1	and take the Bible in your right hand and I'll
2	administer the oath?
3	SWORN: JOSEPH RONALD LAUZON
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Your full name?
5	MR. LAUZON: Joseph Ronald Lauzon.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. You
7	may be seated.
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Mr. Commissioner
9	just before we begin the questioning of
LO	Mr. Lauzon, just to apprise counsel that in
L1	respect of Mr. Paré, Mr. Roger Paré who was the
L2	other person who worked in Immigration and
L3	Passport Section, we are not calling him because
L4	his recollection of events was even less than that
L5	of Mr. Williams and it was assessed between
L6	counsel that if anyone would have answered the
L7	question, it would have been Mr. Williams rather
L8	than Mr. Paré. So we will not be calling
L9	Mr. Paré.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
21	EXAMINATION
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Mr. Lauzon, you
23	are employed by the RCMP?
24	MR. LAUZON: Yes.
25	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And what is your

1	position?
2	MR. LAUZON: I'm a Sergeant, and I
3	am presently attached to the Integrated Proceeds
4	of Crime section of Montreal, working on a special
5	project.
6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And you have been
7	employed by let's first circulate your résumé,
8	or curriculum vitae, and then we'll briefly take
9	you through that.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: 230.
11	EXHIBIT NO. 230: Curriculum
12	vitaee of Ron Lauzon
13	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, it looks
14	like you joined the RCMP in 1987, you graduated
15	with a Bachelor of Commerce degree from the
16	University of Toronto in June of 1987?
17	MR. LAUZON: Yes.
18	MR. CAVALLUZZO: As I say, you
19	joined the RCMP in August of 1987, and you're
20	going to have to say "Yes" to this because it has
21	to be on the record.
22	MR. LAUZON: Yes.
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Rather
24	than taking you through everything, there are just
25	a couple of highlights that I'd like to deal with

1	Between October 1988 to February
2	1990, you worked as an investigator in the
3	Immigration and Passport Section?
4	MR. LAUZON: That's correct.
5	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Between March
6	1990 and September 1996, you worked in covert
7	operations?
8	MR. LAUZON: That's correct.
9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Were you involved
10	in terrorism, or was this organized crime, or was
11	it a combination of both?
12	MR. LAUZON: It was not involved
13	in terrorism, it was involved in organized crime.
14	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Between October
15	of 1996 and March 2002, you worked with the
16	Integrated Proceeds of Crime Section as an
17	investigator in Montreal?
18	MR. LAUZON: That's correct.
19	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Between April
20	and this is the period, of course, we're concerned
21	about between April of 2002 and March of 2003,
22	you were with the National Security Offences
23	Section as a Team Leader / Sunni Islamic Extremism
24	at headquarters?
25	MR. LAUZON: That's correct.

1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Subsequent to
2	that, you went and became an NCO policy and
3	project development, national security program,
4	between April 2003 to June of 2005; is that at
5	headquarters?
6	MR. LAUZON: That's correct,
7	that's at headquarters.
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And then you've
9	told us what your present position in Montreal is.
10	Now, just a couple of questions in respect of your
11	training that is not on the résumé or CV.
12	Did you take the national security
13	investigation course it's a ten-day course that
14	is given in Regina?
15	MR. LAUZON: No, I did not.
16	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Have you taken
17	any terrorism-related courses at the RCMP?
18	MR. LAUZON: Yes, I had the C-36
19	workshop.
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. That's
21	Bill C-36 workshop?
22	MR. LAUZON: That's correct.
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, the only
24	other question in respect of your training is
25	whether you have taken any tutorial seminars,

1	workshops, or whatever, in respect of Muslim
2	culture, values, or traditions?
3	MR. LAUZON: No, I have not.
4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Then let
5	us focus in on when you were at the NSOS in I
6	guess it started in April of 2002, and I
7	understand that your responsibility was in a
8	supervisory capacity?
9	MR. LAUZON: That's correct.
LO	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And who would you
L1	supervise and how many officers would you be
L2	supervising?
L3	MR. LAUZON: I initially
L4	supervised eight to ten members, and then, if my
L5	memory serves me correctly, in June there was
L6	another sergeant that came on board and we split
L7	up the members. I took five or six and he took
L8	five or six members.
L9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Now, as of
20	June 2002, the five or six members over which you
21	had supervisory responsibility included Rick
22	Flewelling?
23	MR. LAUZON: That's correct.
24	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And I understand
2.5	that in respect of your office that you would have

1	reported directly to Superintendent Wayne Pilgrim.
2	MR. LAUZON: That's correct.
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Throughout that
4	whole period of time that you were there?
5	MR. LAUZON: Until Superintendent
6	Pilgrim's retirement, yes.
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Now, can
8	you give I had forgotten that fact, but can you
9	ballpark that, when Mr. Pilgrim retired?
10	MR. LAUZON: He retired in the
11	spring of I believe it was in the spring of
12	2004.
13	MR. CAVALLUZZO: But didn't you
14	leave NSOS in March of 2003?
15	MR. LAUZON: Yes, but I still
16	reported to Superintendent Pilgrim when I was
17	heading up the Policy and Project Development
18	Section.
19	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. But I'm
20	just concerned about that period between when
21	you're in the NSOS as the Non-Commissioned Officer
22	in Charge between April of 2002 and March of 2003;
23	then you would have reported to Mr. Pilgrim
24	throughout that whole time period?
25	MR. LAUZON: That is correct,

1	during that whole time period, yes.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And I understand
3	that when you left NSOS in March of 2003, that you
4	had nothing to do with Project A-OCANADA after
5	that time? You went on to do other things?
6	MR. LAUZON: That's correct.
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Now,
8	before we come to the specific situation of
9	Mr. Arar, I would like to ask you a question about
10	information-sharing, and we've heard certain
11	evidence that as a result of 9/11, that there
12	was it's been described in many ways, the
13	impact being that you didn't have to put caveats
14	on documents which were being shared with partner
15	agencies, it's been described that caveats are
16	down, the no-caveats rule, free flow of
17	information, open-book investigation whatever
18	way you want to characterize it.
19	Now, during your period that you
20	were in NSOS, were you aware of such a rule, that
21	caveats were down, so to speak?
22	MR. LAUZON: No.
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Your view was
24	that existing policy continued to apply?
25	MR. LAUZON: That's correct.

1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, if we come
2	to the Arar chronology, I understand that if we go
3	to your notes now, and I'd like to perhaps
4	introduce two exhibits. Now, one would be your
5	statement to Mr. Garvie, which is dated January
6	15th of 2004.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: That would be
8	231.
9	EXHIBIT NO. P-231: Statement
10	given by Ron Lauzon to Brian
11	Garvie on January 15, 2004
12	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. The next
13	document that I would ask to be introduced would
14	be your redacted personal notes, which is a
15	green-covered document.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: 232.
17	EXHIBIT NO. P-232: Personal
18	notes of Ron Lauzon
19	(redacted)
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Do you have
21	another document?
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Yes, these are
23	additional personal notes, so we should make these
24	a separate exhibit as well.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: 233.

1	EXHIBIT NO. P-233:
2	Additional personal notes of
3	Ron Lauzon
4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Now,
5	that I would like to now refer to your personal
6	notes, the green-covered document or Exhibit 232,
7	and if we go to page 10, we see what appears to be
8	an entry for October 3rd. Now, Mr. Lauzon, I have
9	done a very careful assessment, and I have
10	concluded that your handwriting is perhaps the
11	worst of any of the witnesses that we have had so
12	far, so you're going to have to be very helpful in
13	this regard.
14	Laughter / Rires
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Perhaps if you
16	could just start on page 10 and read whatever you
17	have there. I believe it says October 3rd, 2002.
18	MR. LAUZON: Yes, it says October
19	3rd, 2002, Thursday, shift 730 to 1530.
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Then it goes on?
21	MR. LAUZON: Nine some of it is
22	in French (French) "A" Division, CROPS, Project
23	A-OCANADA.
24	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now at this
25	meeting here on October 3rd at "A" Division

1	dealing with Project A-OCANADA, was Mr. Arar's
2	situation discussed at this particular meeting?
3	MR. LAUZON: Very briefly.
4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. And was
5	this the first occasion upon which you became
6	aware of Mr. Arar's situation?
7	MR. LAUZON: According to my
8	notes, it was the first occasion that I had heard
9	that Mr. Arar was actually and my note here is,
10	"Arar detained, New York."
11	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And that can be
12	found at page 10?
13	MR. LAUZON: Twelve.
14	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Or, excuse me,
15	page 12, correct.
16	And just if you could share with
17	us, do you recall who was at this particular
18	meeting at "A" Division?
19	MR. LAUZON: Yes, it was a meeting
20	hosted by the CROPS officer of "A" Division. It
21	included the OIC, INSETs of all three, "O," "A,"
22	and "C" INSET.
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right.
24	MR. LAUZON: And it also involved
25	members in those respective divisions that

1	attended, as well as members from headquarters.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And were you
3	given much information about Mr. Arar? Obviously,
4	at page 12, it says that he's detained in New
5	York. But were you given other information about
6	Mr. Arar?
7	MR. LAUZON: No. That's it.
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Were you and
9	before I ask you some questions about that, let's
10	look at what you said to Mr. Garvie. This can be
11	found at Exhibit 231. This is the statement given
12	to Mr. Garvie on January 15th, and around line 30,
13	Mr. Garvie says:
14	"Now, did you become aware
15	that information about an
16	individual by the name of
17	Maher Arar came to be shared
18	with U.S. authorities and at
19	the very least Arar was a
20	person of interest in the
21	A-OCANADA investigation?"
22	And your response apparently was:
23	"Yes, I became aware of that.
24	I have here in my notes dated
25	October 3rd where I attended

1	a meeting with 'A' Division
2	with all the heads of the
3	projects 'A' and OCANADA,
4	with the respective CROPS
5	and, among other things, were
6	the fact that Arar was
7	detained in New York, and
8	that's all I have in my notes
9	on that day."
10	So that and it goes on on the
11	next page. Mr. Garvie says:
12	"Now, during that meeting on
13	October 3rd, was there any
14	discussion, to your
15	recollection, of why Arar had
16	been detained in New York or
17	any comments from any of the
18	people attending the meeting
19	above that?"
20	And you said:
21	"I don't remember, but I
22	don't think so. I would have
23	had it in my notes if that
24	was the case."
25	So it would be fair to say that as

## StenoTran

1	of this date this would be the first time that
2	you became aware of Mr. Arar's situation?
3	MR. LAUZON: That's correct.
4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And that on this
5	occasion you were given very limited information
6	regarding Mr. Arar's situation?
7	MR. LAUZON: That's correct.
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Was it discussed
9	at this particular meeting, for example let me
10	throw out a couple of things as to whether
11	Mr. Arar was a dual national, he was a Syrian and
12	a Canadian citizen?
13	MR. LAUZON: Not to my knowledge.
14	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Was it discussed
15	at this meeting whether Mr. Arar had consular
16	access or whether DFAIT was involved?
17	MR. LAUZON: Not to my knowledge.
18	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Had you had any
19	dealings with Inspector Richard Roy at this point
20	in time as to Mr. Arar's situation?
21	MR. LAUZON: No, I did not.
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And, once again,
23	you told us that you became responsible in June of
24	2002 for a number of people, including Mr. Rick
25	Flewelling

1	Now, Mr. Rick Flewelling, around
2	that point in time, told us that he was, in
3	effect, given instructions to oversee, liaise,
4	whatever you want to call it, Project A-OCANADA?
5	MR. LAUZON: Monitor, coordinate
6	the project, and make sure that the project
7	adheres to policy.
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And that's what
9	he told us. He said he was given instructions by
10	Mr. Pilgrim, and perhaps you, and that one of his
11	goals was to one of his objectives would be to
12	bring policy back to the fray in terms of Project
13	A-OCANADA; in other words, get them working with
14	the policy, in accordance with the policies again.
15	MR. LAUZON: And that was a
16	direction that came not only from Superintendent
17	Pilgrim but it came from higher up, from senior
18	management.
19	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Do you know how
20	high up that went?
21	MR. LAUZON: I wouldn't be
22	surprised if it went all the way up to the DCO,
23	because there was a movement at that point in time
24	to centrally coordinate our investigations.
25	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. And by

1	"DCO," you're talking about Mr. Loeppky?
2	MR. LAUZON: That's correct.
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Now, were
4	you aware at this time, when you assume this
5	responsibility in June of 2002, that in April of
6	2002, that Project A-OCANADA had shared with a
7	couple of American agencies their whole SUPERText
8	file, the whole investigative file?
9	MR. LAUZON: Yes, I became aware
10	of that.
11	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Were you aware of
12	it at the time, in April of 2002, or did you
13	become aware of it after you assumed the
14	responsibility in June?
15	MR. LAUZON: Subsequent to April
16	2002, I definitely became aware of that.
17	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, did you
18	was it your view that that some people have
19	been calling it that data dump was inconsistent
20	with policy?
21	MR. LAUZON: It was my
22	understanding that that data dump, as you refer to
23	it, was shared with our U.S. partners for
24	analytical purposes.
25	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. But the

1	question is whether that was consistent with
2	policies. In other words, if the information went
3	down without caveats, without people looking at
4	what information was going to assess the need
5	to know the information, to assess whether there
6	was confidential or national security information
7	that should be going, and to assess, for example,
8	whether information from other organizations that
9	had caveated information; do you agree that that
10	should have been done before the data was shared
11	with the Americans?
12	MR. LAUZON: In order to adhere to
13	policy, for sure, yes.
14	MR. CAVALLUZZO: But you don't
15	have specific information as to what, in fact, was
16	entailed in that sharing of information; you just
17	were told, presumably, that the whole SUPERText
18	file went downtown?
19	MR. LAUZON: That's correct.
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, if we can
21	move, then, in terms of the time. Now, we've
22	dealt with October the 3rd, when you become and
23	that was a Thursday. The next day, obviously, is
24	Friday, October 4th, and the question that I would
25	have would relate to whether you had any

1	recollection of any direct dealings with the Arar
2	file on October the 4th. I could find no entry in
3	your notes.
4	MR. LAUZON: That's correct.
5	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, I do want to
6	show you, though, to give you a chance to comment
7	on it, Exhibit P-225, which is a fax.
8	Let me explain to you what this
9	is. We've heard evidence that on the late
10	afternoon of October the 3rd, that Mr. Flewelling
11	received an urgent request from an American
12	requesting information on an urgent basis to
13	support criminal charges in respect of Mr. Arar
14	who was at that time being detained in New York,
15	and he tasked Project A-OCANADA with answering a
16	number of questions, and you will see on the
17	second page of that document, he was faxing this
18	to Project A-OCANADA, and it would appear it at
19	the bottom, it says, "Approved by Sergeant Ron
20	Lauzon, " and I want to give you the opportunity to
21	comment on that.
22	This, Mr. Flewelling told us, was
23	faxed about eight o'clock in the morning on
24	October the 4th, and I ask if you have any

recollection of approving this request that was

25

1	sent to Project A-OCANADA?
2	MR. FOTHERGILL: To be fair to the
3	witness, before he answers, Mr. Flewelling told us
4	that the second page is a standard upload form for
5	SCIS, and I think that's where Mr. Lauzon's name
6	appears, as opposed to the first page which is the
7	facsimile transmission.
8	MR. LAUZON: If I could just go
9	further on that? The uploads to SCIS are not
10	necessarily done that day. They could be done a
11	week after. He might keep several documents and
12	do it all at once, and then my name would appear
13	on the bottom, I would initial it, and then it
14	would be uploaded to SCIS.
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So the question
16	is then, just so you have an opportunity to
17	address this, you don't recall approving this on
18	October the 4th. It may have been done after in
19	terms of the procedures relating to the uploading
20	of SCIS?
21	MR. LAUZON: That's correct.
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay then. Let
23	us move on.
24	We'll move now to Saturday,
25	October 5th, and on this day the evidence is that

1	Mr. Flewelling was at home, and around six o'clock
2	in the evening, he received a call from his normal
3	contact, American contact, who was engaged at the
4	Embassy in Ottawa and was employed by a particular
5	agency, American agency, and if I could just
6	summarize the phone call for you.
7	It was to the effect that the
8	Americans do not have enough evidence or
9	sufficient evidence to criminally charge and
10	convict Mr. Arar, and it went on to say that, in
11	light of his dual nationality, Mr. Arar has
12	preferred or has decided that he wants to go to
13	Canada, and then the telephone conversation went
14	on with a couple of questions, and that is: What
15	is the status of Mr. Arar with you? Can you
16	criminally charge him? And the second question
17	was: If we send him to Canada, must you accept
18	him, or can you refuse entry? And the evidence is
19	that Mr. Flewelling responded that there wasn't
20	enough evidence to charge him criminally in Canada
21	and that, secondly, that Mr. Arar, as a Canadian
22	citizen, cannot be refused entry into Canada. And
23	that, I think, fairly captures the telephone call
24	which occurred on Saturday, October the 5th.
25	Now, Mr. Flewelling has also

1	testified that he made a telephone call that
2	weekend to advise his superior of that telephone
3	call, and he told us that he called you, and I'm
4	wondering if you recall that conversation?
5	MR. LAUZON: I don't have a
6	recollection of that conversation; however, my
7	subordinates do call me on the weekends to advise
8	me if there's anything particular that may be
9	going on with a file. It's important for me to be
10	apprised of the investigations as they go on so I
11	can inform my own supervisors of what's going on
12	in these national security investigations.
13	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, I would
14	venture to say that Mr. Arar's file is the most
15	notorious file that you dealt with, "notorious" in
16	the sense that it was highly publicized file that
17	you dealt with probably during your stay in NSOS,
18	and I'm putting it to you that in light of the
19	highly the high visibility or public nature of
20	the Arar file, that that is a telephone
21	conversation that you would have remembered?
22	MR. LAUZON: I don't remember the
23	telephone conversation, and I also I was
24	involved in several other investigations at that
25	time where my subordinates were also involved in

1	several other national security investigations
2	that were of probably equal importance or more at
3	the time.
4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: But could you
5	tell us, were you involved in any that were as
б	politically sensitive or as highly public as
7	Mr. Arar's case?
8	MR. LAUZON: Obviously, in the
9	aftermath at that point in time, probably not.
LO	But obviously now
L1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. Well,
L2	let's look at that in terms of timing, because we
L3	heard that shortly thereafter, on October 15th,
L4	Americans were publicly saying that the Canadians,
L5	in particular law enforcement, Canadian law
L6	enforcement, knew why Mr. Arar was deported to
L7	wherever, to Syria, and we also know that because
L8	of this information, that on October the 16th that
L9	Mr. Pilgrim was called over to DFAIT, and DFAIT
20	gave him a number of questions relating to the
21	sharing of information, and as a result of that,
22	on October the 18th, RCMP, through Mr. Pilgrim,
23	prepared a memorandum, sending it back to DFAIT.
24	Are you aware of that process?
25	Were you involved in that process with

1	Mr. Pilgrim?
2	Pause
3	MR. LAUZON: I'm not sure of the
4	exact date, but I remember that Superintendent
5	Pilgrim did attend a meeting at DFAIT, and
6	subsequent to that meeting I was called in to his
7	office and I was told that Rick had to prepare a
8	briefing package
9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right.
LO	MR. LAUZON: with respect to
L1	the time lines, et cetera, involving the Arar
L2	file.
L3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I wonder if the
L4	witness might be shown Exhibit 137, which is the
L5	memorandum that we're referring to, of October
L6	18th, 2002.
L7	MR. FOTHERGILL: Commissioner, I
L8	think there's also a reference in his hand notes
L9	which have just been filed, pages 16 and 17, which
20	is his entry for October 16th of 2002.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you,
22	Mr. Fothergill.
23	MR. LAUZON: There's also in my
24	statement to Superintendent Garvie that I did have
25	a notation in my notebook on October 16th, where

1	Corporal Flewelling and myself met Superintendent
2	Pilgrim.
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: It says "re
4	Arar," if we go on to page 17, and then it says
5	"DFAIT," if you could read that, sent something, a
6	diplomatic note? This is at page 17 of your
7	notes?
8	MR. LAUZON: Page seventeen of my
9	notes?
10	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Yeah. If you
11	could just read that for us just to give context.
12	If you go back to 16 and just read from the
13	bottom. This is for October 16th. Okay? If you
14	can just read that for us?
15	MR. LAUZON:
16	"Rick Flewelling et moi
17	rencontrons Wayne"
18	MR. CAVALLUZZO: That means you
19	met Wayne?
20	MR. LAUZON: That's correct.
21	MR. CAVALLUZZO: See? I'm
22	bilingual. Go on.
23	MR. LAUZON:
24	"re Arar."
25	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay.

## StenoTran

1	MR. LAUZON:
2	"DFAIT sent diplomatic note
3	to Syrians asking what status
4	is on Arar."
5	Then this is Wayne asking:
б	"We need to know what
7	information has been shared
8	with the U.S., what triggered
9	U.S. to deport him to Syria.
10	Was Canada involved in that
11	decision? What level of
12	threat did Arar pose to
13	Canada? Is there a mistaken
14	identity? Need a complete
15	briefing package. What have
16	we given to U.S.? Rick and
17	will take care of this.
18	Wayne needs package ASAP."
19	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, during this
20	meeting, was there you and Rick and Wayne
21	Pilgrim were meeting. You don't recall any
22	discussion of Rick saying, "You know what?
23	Remember that call I got on October the 5th from
24	the Americans." You don't recall that?
25	MR. LAUZON: No, I do not.

1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And in terms of
2	the in terms of Exhibit 137, you'll see that
3	one of the responses at the bottom of the page
4	really mirrors that telephone call where it says.
5	"U.S. authorities requested
6	RCMP to provide information
7	that might assist in the
8	filing of criminal charges
9	against Arar. U.S.
10	authorities made inquiries as
11	to the level of interest the
12	RCMP had in pursuing Arar
13	criminally. They also made
14	inquiries regarding the
15	RCMP's ability to refuse
16	Arar's entry into Canada.
17	They were advised that the
18	RCMP was interested in
19	Arar from a criminal
20	perspective. They were also
21	advised that where Arar is a
22	Canadian citizen, the RCMP
23	could not refuse his entry
24	into Canada."
25	So that when you gaw that and I'm

1	assuming you would have read this memorandum, that
2	didn't bring to mind or did you read this
3	memorandum?
4	THE COMMISSIONER: It's at the
5	bottom of page 515.
6	Pause
7	MR. LAUZON: Oh, 515.
8	Pause
9	MR. LAUZON: I don't remember.
10	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So that didn't
11	raise any question in your mind saying, "Oh, yeah,
12	that was the phone call that we talked about"?
13	MR. LAUZON: I don't remember.
14	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now at page 4 of
15	the Garvie statement you were asked at line 13 by
16	Mr. Garvie:
17	"Are you aware of anyone else
18	asking any U.S. authority at
19	any time to deport Maher Arar
20	to Syria or implying that
21	such actions should be
22	taken?"
23	And your answer is "no". And then
24	it goes on.
25	"Were you, or was anyone else

1	to your knowledge contacted
2	by any U.S. authority asking
3	if Maher Arar should be
4	returned to Canada or
5	conversely deported to
6	Syria?"
7	And your answer is "no". And I
8	assume that is still your answer today.
9	Pause
10	MR. LAUZON: My answer is still
11	no.
12	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Moving in terms
13	of time, if we go back to your notes for October
14	7th, which as you can see from the calendar is the
15	Monday
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Which page in
17	the notes, Mr. Cavalluzzo?
18	MR. CAVALLUZZO: This is page 5.
19	This is typed.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: In the typed
21	notes; okay.
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: No. It is page 5
23	of this package. There is some typescript.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
25	MR. CAVALLUZZO: This says that on

1	October 7th your shift was, once again, 7:30 to
2	3:30, and it says at 9:25:
3	"Briefing from Rick
4	Flewelling with respect to
5	article in the National
6	Post"
7	By Stewart Bell. We have heard
8	testimony on that already.
9	" alluded to information
LO	that was pertinent to the
L1	OCANADA investigation;
L2	therefore"
L3	That should be Ben Soave and Mr.
L4	McQuarrie:
L5	"authorized to speak
L6	with Bell."
L7	And then it goes on down the page
L8	and it says "Note A1 (see below)".
L9	Can you tell us what that means?
20	MR. LAUZON: Yes. I made an error
21	in my notes with respect to the date here. What
22	in fact happened is that that briefing I did have
23	with Rick Flewelling did occur on Monday morning.
24	However, at 1420 I went to "A" Division to meet
0.5	with investigators with the Project A-OCANADA and

1	also with an American partner.
2	However, this was not on Monday.
3	It was actually on Tuesday the 8th, at 20 after
4	2:00.
5	MR. CAVALLUZZO: How did that
6	mistake occur? We only have the typescript and we
7	have your handwritten notes. It appears to be on
8	the same page for October 7th.
9	I am wondering how that occurred.
10	Did you just continue on on October 7th in your
11	notebook, or how did that occur?
12	MR. LAUZON: There is a very
13	simple explanation. A lot of times I will do my
14	notes at the end of the day of what transpired
15	during the day. On this occasion here, I made
16	some notes when I met with Rick that morning.
17	Then on Tuesday, I didn't finish
18	my notebook that day. On the following day I was
19	working at the office and all of a sudden, around
20	2 o'clock, I received a call from one of the
21	investigators from Project A-OCANADA telling me
22	that he was meeting with the American partner. I
23	had basically five minutes to get there because
24	the person was on the way there. So I grabbed my
25	notebook as is and I left. I attended the meeting

1	and made notes at the meeting.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So you are sure,
3	though, that it is October 8th. I notice in your
4	statement to Mr. Garvie that you refer to it as
5	October 7th.
6	When did you discover that you
7	made a mistake in your notes?
8	Let me show you the Mr. Garvie
9	MR. LAUZON: I discovered that I
10	made a mistake in my notes on April 27, 2004.
11	My next entry was Wednesday,
12	October 9th. So there was a time period that was
13	missing out of my notes. So I am absolutely
14	positively sure that this meeting occurred on
15	October 8th at 20 after 2:00.
16	MR. CAVALLUZZO: That explains, in
17	respect of your statement to Mr. Garvie, you were
18	talking in terms of it occurring on October 7th.
19	That statement was given in January of 2004, so
20	you had not discovered the mistake at that point
21	in time.
22	MR. LAUZON: That is correct.
23	Also in my notes my pen is
24	different on those two days.
25	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Then let us come

1	to the notes. That explains the discrepancy.
2	You are telling us that you are
3	sure that the meeting occurred on October 8th.
4	MR. LAUZON: That is correct.
5	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And it occurred,
6	you told us, at "A" Division?
7	MR. LAUZON: Yes.
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You can't tell us
9	the agency, but there was an American partner at
10	the meeting?
11	MR. LAUZON: That is correct, and
12	several members of Project A-OCANADA.
13	MR. CAVALLUZZO: What your notes
14	say and I am just picking it up after 14:20.
15	It says:
16	"Syrian/Canadian"
17	That is obviously Mr. Arar's dual
18	nationality.
19	And then it says:
20	"Where will he go, Syria /
21	Canada?"
22	What does that entry mean: "Where
23	will he go, Syria / Canada"?
24	MR. LAUZON: This was a
25	hypothetical convergation we were having with

1	respect to Mr. Arar.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: What was the
3	discussion; that it is because he is a dual
4	national he could end up going to Canada or he
5	could end up going to Syria?
6	MR. LAUZON: That is correct.
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Do you know how
8	this possibility of Syria came to the attention of
9	Project A-OCANADA?
10	MR. LAUZON: The fact that he was
11	a dual citizen made that a possibility, period.
12	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So you would say
13	the fact that he was a dual citizen, because of
14	the ramification or implications of dual
15	citizenship, meant that right from September 28th
16	that Syria was a possibility.
17	MR. LAUZON: And there was also a
18	third possibility: that he would have been
19	returned to Switzerland.
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. But on
21	October 8th it would appear that just two
22	possibilities are being discussed: Syria and
23	Canada.
24	MR. LAUZON: Yes. But the other
25	one was always understood as well.

1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You don't note it
2	on this. It doesn't appear.
3	MR. LAUZON: That is correct.
4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: It looks like it
5	wasn't discussed on the 8th.
6	MR. LAUZON: No, it wasn't
7	discussed on the 8th.
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: From Project
9	A-OCANADA, I understand that there were members
LO	from Project A-OCANADA. There was this American
L1	partner. Was there anybody else from CID at this
L2	meeting?
L3	MR. LAUZON: No, just myself. I
L4	was replacing Corporal Rick Flewelling because he
L5	was on holidays.
L6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Then it goes on
L7	and your note is:
L8	"What's he in custody for?
L9	What has he said?"
20	We have heard evidence that on
21	this day, October 8th, there was still a request
22	for an interview on the table and that because of
23	the possibility that he may be deported to Syria
24	there was a discussion or concern that if the RCMP
25	went down there to interview Mr. Arar prior to a

1	deportation to Syria that this would cause
2	embarrassment for the RCMP.
3	As a result of that, they said
4	before we get an interview we want to find out
5	what is he in custody for, what has he said and,
6	finally, where are you going to send him before an
7	interview would take place.
8	Do you recall discussions to that
9	effect?
10	MR. LAUZON: Those questions were
11	definitely raised during the conversation, during
12	that meeting in the conversation that we had with
13	respect to Mr. Arar, yes.
14	MR. CAVALLUZZO: We see that there
15	is a discussion about possible embarrassment to
16	the RCMP in front of the American partner.
17	Did anybody
18	MR. LAUZON: I never said that
19	there was a possible embarrassment.
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Let's look at the
21	timeline.
22	MR. LAUZON: I don't think that
23	was discussed that day.
24	Pause
25	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Could you show

1	the witness Exhibit 226, please.
2	This is a timeline that was
3	created by Mr. Mike Cabana, who as you know was
4	the project manager of A-OCANADA.
5	If you look at the entry for 12
6	o'clock, it says this is a member of A-OCANADA
7	" met with Insp. CABANA
8	and discussed the interview.
9	We discussed a concern that
10	if the US was only holding
11	ARAR so that we could
12	interview him and that if
13	there was any suggestions
14	that he did not cooperate
15	with Canadian investigators
16	and would be sent to Syria,
17	then the perception would be
18	very damaging to the"
19	I'm sorry?
20	MR. LAUZON: What page?
21	MR. CAVALLUZZO: This is page 5,
22	at the very bottom, the entry for 12 o'clock.
23	MR. LAUZON: All right.
24	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Somebody within
25	A-OCANADA:

1	"met with Insp. CABANA and
2	discussed the interview. We
3	discussed a concern that if
4	the US was only holding ARAR
5	so that we could interview
6	him and that if there was any
7	suggestions that he did not
8	cooperate with Canadian
9	investigators and would be
LO	sent to Syria, then the
L1	perception would be very
L2	damaging to the RCMP. We
L3	agreed to speak with
L4	[somebody, an American] and
L5	advised him of our concerns
L6	up front and await a
L7	response."
L8	Then if we go to 14:15 on the next
L9	page, we see that you attended and it goes on.
20	Do you see Sgt. Ron LAUZON on the
21	second line there?
22	"We discussed issues
23	concerning the interview of
24	ARAR. We indicated that we
25	need to know why ARAR is

1	being held, where he would be
2	sent once we had interviewed
3	him and what has he already
4	said"
5	And so on.
6	That is what Mr. Cabana captures
7	took place at that meeting.
8	MR. LAUZON: And I agree with what
9	happened at this meeting. However, the question
LO	of embarrassment never came up at this meeting.
L1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Actually, he uses
L2	a more pejorative word. He doesn't say
L3	embarrassment. He said it would be very damaging
L4	to the RCMP.
L5	MR. FOTHERGILL: Commissioner, it
L6	is clear from this document that the meeting in
L7	which the question of perception arose took place
L8	at 12 noon, whereas the meeting that Mr. Lauzon
L9	attended took place at 2:15.
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: But the meeting
21	at 2:15 said that they discussed the issues
22	relating to the possible interview.
23	I assume what that meant is that
24	Cabana may have told you why he was imposing these
25	three conditions prior to an interview.

1	MR. LAUZON: He wasn't at the
2	meeting.
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Well, whoever was
4	at the meeting for A-OCANADA.
5	Who was at the meeting? You told
6	us that Mr. Callaghan was there.
7	MR. LAUZON: That is correct.
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You can also tell
9	us that the chief investigator was there.
10	MR. FOTHERGILL: He can identify
11	Cabana, Callaghan or Corcoran if they were there.
12	Otherwise, I think we would assert NSC.
13	MR. LAUZON: Yes. Kevin Corcoran
14	was there.
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: All right. And
16	there was a third person that was there.
17	You don't have to mention the
18	name, but the person who always swears the
19	affidavits.
20	Do you know that person?
21	MR. LAUZON: Yes.
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And he was there?
23	MR. LAUZON: Yes.
24	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I am putting it
25	to you that obviously Cabana had made the decision

1	around 12 o'clock that before an interview would
2	take place, these three conditions had to be met.
3	I am putting it to you that if
4	they are discussing those three conditions, they
5	also would have said to you the reason why we are
6	imposing these conditions now is that it would be
7	damaging to the RCMP if he was sent off to Syria
8	after we interviewed him, words to that effect.
9	MR. LAUZON: I don't disagree with
10	that.
11	What I am telling you is that at
12	the meeting that I attended, there was no
13	discussion with respect to damaging the RCMP's
14	reputation, nor embarrassing ourselves.
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Did you question
16	why they wanted to impose these three conditions
17	before interviewing Arar?
18	MR. LAUZON: No, I did not.
19	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You didn't.
20	Didn't it seem strange to you, since they wanted
21	to interview Mr. Arar, that they were coming up
22	with these three conditions?
23	MR. LAUZON: No.
24	MR. CAVALLUZZO: All right.
25	The question I have relates to one

1	very simple one. You are at this meeting at 2:15.
2	You are with Corcoran, Callaghan and another
3	person from Project A-OCANADA. You have an
4	American partner there; right?
5	The possibility of Syria is
6	mentioned. The question that I have is: Did
7	anyone at that meeting raise with this American
8	partner that you guys better not send this guy,
9	this Canadian citizen, to Syria because if you do,
10	there are going to be grave ramifications, or
11	words to that effect?
12	MR. LAUZON: Are you asking me if
13	somebody said that at the meeting?
14	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Yes.
15	MR. LAUZON: No, because it was
16	nobody's belief that he was actually going to
17	Syria. Everybody that was sitting at that table
18	believed that he would probably be coming back to
19	Canada.
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: But there was the
21	possibility of Syria.
22	MR. LAUZON: The mere fact that he
23	is a Syrian citizen, yes. But if Mr. Arar would
24	have the choice of going to Syria or Canada and
25	I believed at one point that he probably would

1	have the choice then he would choose Canada
2	over Syria.
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I think the
4	answer to the question is that, for whatever
5	reason, nobody objected to the possibility of him
6	going to Syria.
7	MR. LAUZON: Because it was never
8	a possibility for any of those people that were
9	actually attending that meeting that he would
10	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Why would Cabana
11	impose those three conditions if it wasn't a
12	possibility?
13	MR. LAUZON: I'm not sure.
14	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You never
15	questioned it.
16	MR. LAUZON: No, I didn't question
17	it.
18	MR. CAVALLUZZO: All right.
19	At this point in time, on October
20	8th when this meeting occurred, did you have any
21	idea of extraordinary rendition, what that
22	American policy was?
23	MR. LAUZON: No, I did not.
24	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Did you have any
25	idea at this point in time that just a month and a

1	half before a Canadian, whose name was Mr. El
2	Maati, had made allegations that he had been
3	tortured while he was in Syrian detention, in
4	particular as of August 15th of 2002?
5	MR. LAUZON: I may have read a
6	SITREP to that effect. When, I don't remember.
7	When I came across that, I don't
8	remember for sure.
9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: The only other
10	questions we have dealt with October 16th and
11	18th is in regard to your additional notes,
12	Exhibit 233.
13	Just so that you can identify
14	certain things very quickly, on the first page is
15	a meeting on September 26th. We have heard
16	evidence of that.
17	This is a meeting in respect of
18	A-OCANADA and their contacts with foreign agencies
19	and a resolution to that.
20	Is that correct?
21	MR. LAUZON: That is correct.
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Did you attend
23	that meeting or did you just get information about
24	the meeting?
25	MR. LAUZON: No, I did not.

1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You didn't
2	attend.
3	MR. LAUZON: I called Rick
4	Flewelling and I asked him what was the results of
5	the meeting.
6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: If we go to the
7	next page, to the entry for Friday, February 28,
8	2003, it says:
9	"1530 DFAIT with Insp. Rick
10	Reynolds"
11	What is the first entry? It says
12	"D-E-C". What is that "D-E-C"?
13	MR. LAUZON: It says "DEC".
14	MR. CAVALLUZZO: What is that?
15	MR. LAUZON: As in a presentation.
16	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So that DEC would
17	be presented to DFAIT:
18	" to demonstrate that
19	there is coherence in the
20	gov't when these issues
21	arise."
22	That is with Arar and other.
23	So somebody at DFAIT make a DEC
24	presentation about these particular issues?
25	MP INITON: Fither they made or

1	they were planning to make one.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Then it goes on
3	and it says:
4	"ARAR - minister has approved
5	visit 11-14 March to Syria.
6	Easter"
7	Who, of course, was the Solicitor
8	General at the time.
9	" gave green light on
10	visit. Easter apparently
11	spoke with CSIS & RCMP
12	- see ARAR & gov't of Syria
13	humanitarian plea
14	- will ask Syrians for Visas
15	- Ms Catterall & MP
16	ASSEDORIAN (familiar with
17	Syrian community in Canada)"
18	The question I have is: Is this
19	reference here to a visit to Syria, "minister has
20	approved visit", does that mean that Solicitor
21	General Easter had approved a visit of the RCMP to
22	Syria?
23	MR. LAUZON: I am not sure is this
24	entry means that the minister has approved the
25	PCMD to go to Syria or if it means that he has

1	approved the ministerial visit by Ms Catterall and
2	Mr. Assadourian.
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Certainly
4	Assadourian and Catterall would not need Solicitor
5	General Easter's okay to go to Syria.
6	We have heard evidence that around
7	this time the RCMP had agreed to delay their visit
8	to Syria as a result of the politicians i.e.,
9	Catterall and Assadourian going over.
10	Do you recall that discussion?
11	MR. LAUZON: Then it would mean
12	that CSIS and the RCMP would go to Syria after the
13	ministerial visit. That is what my notes would
14	imply.
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: What this is
16	recognizing is that there was going to be an RCMP
17	visit and it would be deferred or delayed until
18	such time as the politicians went.
19	MR. LAUZON: That is correct.
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Do you recall who
21	was at this meeting for that discussion?
22	MR. LAUZON: There was inspector
23	Rick Reynolds and myself.
24	Who else was there, I don't know.
25	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So that would be

1	the people from the RCMP, you and Reynolds?
2	MR. LAUZON: Yes.
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. There may
4	be one final question.
5	Pause
6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Just to be fair,
7	finally, could you please show the witness
8	Exhibit P-183?
9	Pause
10	MR. CAVALLUZZO: This is an e-mail
11	from Anthony Ritchie to Lawrence Dickenson, both
12	people being in the PCO. You will see the subject
13	matter is "DFAIT Det Consular Services Relating to
14	Terrorist Cases". Then it says:
15	"Attended a meeting at DFAIT
16	on Friday to discuss the
17	above. The RCMP were
18	present." (As read)
19	Then it goes on:
20	"Dan Livermore, DFAIT,
21	chaired the meeting. The
22	purpose of the meeting was to
23	get more clarify from RCMP
24	concerning to talk to
25	Maher Arar (As read)

1	Et cetera.
2	At the meeting that you
3	attended you will note it goes on in the second
4	paragraph. It says:
5	"Discussions with Arar will
6	be in the context of him as a
7	witness." (As read)
8	Then it goes on:
9	"In the case of Arar,
10	Catterall will also be
11	visiting him from a
12	humanitarian perspective. It
13	was agreed that RCMP would
14	delay their visit until two
15	weeks after the Catterall
16	visit." (As read)
17	So this is obviously the meeting
18	at which you attended?
19	MR. LAUZON: That is correct.
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And it was Dan
21	Livermore who chaired that meeting you recall?
22	MR. LAUZON: I don't recall.
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay.
24	Okay, Mr. Lauzon, I have no
25	further guestions. Thank you

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Waldman, do
2	you have any questions?
3	EXAMINATION
4	MR. WALDMAN: I just want to
5	clarify a few points on information-sharing.
6	If there was going to be a
7	decision to disclose without caveats, who would
8	have the authority to make that decision,
9	according to your understanding?
LO	MR. LAUZON: It could be the
L1	Assistant Commissioner of CID, it could be the
L2	Deputy Commissioner of Operations.
L3	MR. WALDMAN: So it has to be
L4	very senior?
L5	MR. LAUZON: I would think so.
L6	MR. WALDMAN: So you wouldn't have
L7	the authority yourself to waive caveat?
L8	MR. LAUZON: No.
L9	MR. WALDMAN: Someone at the level
20	of Inspector Cabana wouldn't have the authority to
21	waive caveats either?
22	MR. LAUZON: No.
23	Pause
24	MR. WALDMAN: I'm just trying to
25	get some understanding here of your knowledge of

1	the A-OCANADA investigation.
2	I gather Sergeant Corporal
3	Flewelling at the time was the coordinator for CID
4	and he was reporting to you. You had several
5	other files on your desk, right, so the A-OCANADA
6	was one of several for you.
7	Is that correct?
8	MR. LAUZON: That is correct. I
9	had five or six members under my supervision who
10	also had five or six files each of major national
11	security importance.
12	MR. WALDMAN: Did Corporal
13	Flewelling have other files besides A-OCANADA then
14	as well?
15	MR. LAUZON: He did. At one
16	point I redistributed some of Rick's work to
17	other members to strictly concentrate on
18	Project A-OCANADA.
19	MR. WALDMAN: Right. So I am just
20	trying to get some idea of how "au courant" you
21	were of what was going on with the A-OCANADA
22	investigation.
23	I just want to clarify one point.
24	Mr. Arar's name, was the first time you heard that
25	on October 3rd at the meeting at A-OCANADA or had

1	you heard his name before?
2	MR. LAUZON: That's correct. No,
3	October 3rd where I have in my notes that I heard
4	his name.
5	MR. WALDMAN: You never heard
6	Mr. Arar's name prior to that time?
7	MR. LAUZON: I may have, I don't
8	remember.
9	MR. WALDMAN: Okay. So based upon
10	your best recollection October 3rd would be the
11	first time?
12	MR. LAUZON: That is correct.
13	MR. WALDMAN: But you had heard
14	the name Almalki before that? You were familiar
15	with his name I assume?
16	MR. LAUZON: Yes, I did.
17	MR. WALDMAN: And Mr. El Maati's
18	name, you would have been familiar with that name?
19	MR. LAUZON: That is correct.
20	MR. WALDMAN: But Mr. Arar's name
21	hadn't come up?
22	MR. LAUZON: Not to my knowledge.
23	MR. WALDMAN: Okay. Now,
24	according to Sergeant Flewelling, he first found
25	out about the possibility of Mr. Arar well.

1	Mr. Arar's detention in the United States from
2	Inspector Roy on October 2nd?
3	MR. LAUZON: Right.
4	MR. WALDMAN: Was that something
5	that he should have reported to you immediately
6	that day, given the importance of the fact that
7	there was a Canadian citizen detained in the
8	United States who was the subject of an A-OCANADA
9	investigation?
10	MR. LAUZON: Not necessarily.
11	MR. WALDMAN: So it wouldn't be
12	that important that he would have been expected to
13	report something like that to you immediately?
14	MR. LAUZON: I imagine if we had a
15	water cooler talk that day that he might have
16	given me that information. Other than that, no.
17	MR. WALDMAN: With respect to the
18	October 5th phone call that we know that Sergeant
19	Flewelling had with one of his American colleagues
20	from the U.S. Embassy, isn't that the type of
21	conversation that you would have expected being
22	told about right away? It was pretty important,
23	Americans
24	MR. LAUZON: Yes, and I'm glad
25	and I don't remember the phone call, but as a

1	matter of practice my subordinates do call me on
2	the weekends to apprise me of any developments
3	with respect to their investigations. Whether I
4	make a notation of that in my notebook or not
5	would depend if I even had my notebook at home a
6	that point in time.
7	But no, I don't remember the
8	phone call.
9	MR. WALDMAN: But it could have
10	happened?
11	MR. LAUZON: Absolutely.
12	MR. WALDMAN: Right. But it
13	wasn't so significant in your mind at that time
14	that you have a recollection of it as of today?
15	Correct?
16	MR. LAUZON: Can you pose
17	MR. WALDMAN: You don't have a
18	MR. LAUZON: Can you pose the
19	question again?
20	MR. WALDMAN: It is not so
21	significant that you would have it didn't
22	strike you at the time as being so significant
23	that you recall it now or that you are sure that
24	you had it? You don't recall whether you
25	MR. LAUZON: I don't recall the

1	conversation. I'm not denying that it didn't
2	happen.
3	MR. WALDMAN: Right. Do you
4	recall a conversation you had with Corporal
5	Flewelling on Monday, because he went on personal
6	leave on Tuesday?
7	According to Corporal Flewelling,
8	he had a conversation with you on Monday.
9	MR. LAUZON: Yes, that is correct.
LO	We had a briefing. According to my notes, I think
L1	it was about 20 after 9:00 in the morning where we
L2	discussed an article that was in the newspaper.
L3	MR. WALDMAN: Right. But the
L4	thing that surprises me about the briefing is
L5	there is absolutely no mention of Mr. Arar in that
L6	briefing.
L7	Could I ask you to go to page 5 of
L8	your notes?
L9	Pause
20	MR. WALDMAN: It says:
21	"9:25 briefing with Rick
22	Flewelling with respect to
23	article National Post
24	October 5th. Back on
25	September 21st Post

1	alluded to info This
2	article is as a result of
3	that meet. In return
4	Bill
5	Note: This is why we
6	shouldn't go to Interpol.
7	Rick will provide a full
8	report." (As read)
9	Is there any mention of Mr. Arar
10	in these notes?
11	MR. LAUZON: No, there isn't.
12	MR. WALDMAN: So do you have any
13	recollection of being briefed by Sergeant
14	Flewelling about Mr. Arar on that day?
15	MR. LAUZON: No.
16	MR. WALDMAN: Sergeant Flewelling
17	seems to say that he did, but you don't have any
18	recollection of that either?
19	MR. LAUZON: He may have, I just
20	don't remember now.
21	MR. WALDMAN: Is it not true that
22	you put into your notes the information that you
23	think is significant, right? So if you had a
24	briefing from Mr. Flewelling obviously it didn't
25	strike you as being significant enough at that

1	time to include it in your notes, correct, or else
2	it would be here?
3	Pause
4	MR. LAUZON: That's fair.
5	MR. WALDMAN: Right. I just
6	asked you, Corporal Flewelling told us that he
7	gave you a briefing in which he related to you
8	again the conversation he had had over the weekend
9	about this Canadian citizen subject to this
10	A-OCANADA investigation involving an alleged
11	al-Qaeda sleeper cell and the Americans asking
12	whether considering whether they could deport
13	him back to Canada and whether there is enough
14	information for criminal charges, and you are
15	telling me that wasn't significant enough to be
16	put into your notes?
17	MR. LAUZON: It wasn't in
18	my notes.
19	MR. WALDMAN: Do you have any
20	explanation why it wouldn't have been?
21	MR. LAUZON: No, I don't have an
22	explanation.
23	MR. WALDMAN: So you don't recall
24	anything about any conversation you have with
25	Corporal Flewelling on the 7th because it is not

1	in your notes?
2	MR. LAUZON: That is correct.
3	MR. WALDMAN: Now, you told us
4	that at this meeting on the 8th that you went to
5	at 1420 which had investigators from A-OCANADA and
6	yourself representing Corporal Flewelling was
7	away there was an American partner there.
8	Did the presence of an American
9	partner cause you any concern in terms of sharing
10	of information, caveats and things like that?
11	MR. LAUZON: Not at all. The
12	reason I was there is because the American partner
13	was there.
14	MR. WALDMAN: Was it routine for
15	American partners to come to meetings where
16	operational details about a Canadian investigation
17	were discussed?
18	MR. LAUZON: I think in this
19	context, yes, it was normal, especially the
20	relationship with Project A-OCANADA and this
21	particular American partner. However, the deal
22	was if there was an American partner at a meeting
23	with the members for Project A-OCANADA that there
24	would be a member of CID present. It should be
25	Rick Flewelling, however he was on holidays so I

1	attended in his place.
2	MR. WALDMAN: I think we heard
3	some evidence that this was an arrangement that
4	came a deal you called it that came about as
5	a result of negotiations because of concerns abou
6	caveats not being put in place. So there was an
7	arrangement where someone from CID would be
8	present whenever an American partner is there.
9	Is that correct? That was how
10	that happened?
11	MR. LAUZON: I don't think it has
12	anything to do with caveats per se. It just has
13	to do with being a the table when there is an
14	American partner at a meeting with Project
15	A-OCANADA.
16	MR. WALDMAN: I gather your notes
17	would have reflected the discussions that took
18	place between the group that was there, including
19	the American partner.
20	Is that correct?
21	MR. LAUZON: Yes.
22	MR. WALDMAN: The American
23	partner, I assume, would have participated in the
24	meeting as well?
25	MR. LAUZON: Yes.

## StenoTran

1	MR. WALDMAN: I find it a bit
2	surprising that we have an American partner at a
3	meeting where we are speculating about the actions
4	of the Americans and someone just didn't turn to
5	your American partner and say, "Well, what are you
6	guys going to do"?
7	Did that happen? Did one of you
8	go and talk to the American partner and say, "What
9	are you going to do with Arar?"
10	Was that discussed or that
11	question posed to the partner?
12	MR. LAUZON: Not to my knowledge.
13	MR. WALDMAN: If you are
14	speculating about what the Americans are going to
15	do about a Canadian citizen sitting in jail in the
16	United States, wouldn't it make sense to ask the
17	American partner?
18	You may not be able to tell me if
19	the American partner gave you an answer, but I
20	think I can ask if you asked the question.
21	Wouldn't it have made sense to ask
22	the American what plans they had for Mr. Arar?
23	MR. LAUZON: I think the plan that
24	they had is he was scheduled for an immigration
25	hearing the following day.

1	MR. WALDMAN: But at this point
2	you are speculating, "Where are we" your note
3	says:
4	"Arar Syrian-Canadian.
5	Where will he go ?
6	Syria?
7	Canada?" (As read)
8	Clearly Syria and Canada are on
9	the table. Why don't you just turn to the
10	American partner and say, "Well, what are you guys
11	going to do? Are you going to send him to Syria
12	or send him to Canada?" That might have helped us
13	resolve the issue at that time.
14	That question wasn't asked,
15	though, as far as you recall?
16	MR. LAUZON: No.
17	MR. WALDMAN: In terms of the RCMP
18	proposed trip to Syria, which I gather got
19	approval, right, but didn't from your notes it
20	seems that the Minister approved the trip that was
21	going to happen, but I gather it never happened,
22	as far as you know.
23	MR. LAUZON: No, that trip
24	never occurred.
25	MR. WALDMAN: But it was approved

1	to go ahead? That's what the notes say, Minister
2	approval and that it was postponed because of the
3	MPs visit.
4	MR. LAUZON: I would say yes.
5	MR. WALDMAN: So this decision to
6	send the RCMP to Syria to interview Mr. Arar, were
7	you involved in any way in the discussions about
8	how this the approval for the trip?
9	We are told that there is a
10	process in place where there are consultations
11	inside the RCMP and you look at a series of
12	factors and it would be done by the national
13	security group.
14	Did you participate in any way in
15	the discussions that led up to the approval for
16	the trip?
17	MR. LAUZON: I don't remember.
18	MR. WALDMAN: You don't remember.
19	Were you aware of the human rights
20	record of Syria at the time, sir?
21	MR. LAUZON: Perhaps not with this
22	detention in New York, but certainly afterwards,
23	yes.
24	MR. WALDMAN: So by the time we
25	are discussing an RCMP trip to Syria, which is

1	March of 2003, by that time you would have a
2	pretty good idea that Syria has a bad human rights
3	record.
4	Correct?
5	MR. LAUZON: That's correct.
6	MR. WALDMAN: And that conditions
7	in the prison are very bad and that torture is
8	used in interrogations.
9	Right?
10	MR. LAUZON: I wasn't aware that
11	torture was being used in interrogations in Syria.
12	MR. LAUZON: I'm not saying in
13	Mr. Arar's interrogation, but generally it is part
14	of the practice. That is what the Department of
15	State report says.
16	You weren't aware that they use
17	torture when they question people in Syria?
18	MR. LAUZON: I realized that they
19	didn't have a human rights record or standard that
20	was the same as Canada, but no, I didn't realize
21	that they use torture as a means of treating their
22	prisoners.
23	MR. WALDMAN: So knowing that
24	Mr. Arar was in detention in Syria, in a country
25	that didn't have a very good human rights record,

1	and given your position as a supervisor of the
2	A-OCANADA project, did you at any time say to any
3	of the people that were discussing this trip to
4	Syria, "Hey, I don't think this is a good idea.
5	We have a Canadian citizen sitting in jail in a
6	country that doesn't respect human rights and I
7	don't think we should be sending our officers
8	there to question him in that context."
9	Did you ever make that kind of
10	statement, sir?
11	MR. LAUZON: No.
12	MR. WALDMAN: What do you think,
13	do you think it is a good idea to send people to
14	interrogate Canadian citizens detained in foreign
15	jurisdictions with poor human rights record?
16	MR. LAUZON: In furtherance of a
17	criminal investigation, yes.
18	MR. WALDMAN: How reliable would
19	you think the information you could obtain under
20	those circumstances would be?
21	MR. LAUZON: The information is
22	only as reliable as you can corroborate it, right.
23	MR. WALDMAN: Right. Wouldn't you
24	be concerned that in the context of providing
25	information or trying to obtain information in

1	such a context you might put the Canadian citizen
2	at risk of having his human rights abused?
3	Wouldn't that be a concern to you?
4	MR. LAUZON: Would it be not the
5	concern of Foreign Affairs perhaps?
6	MR. WALDMAN: But the RCMP are the
7	people that are going. They are the ones that are
8	going to be asking the questions. They are the
9	ones that are going to be in the jail with the
10	Canadian citizen. I would suggest it to be the
11	concerns of the RCMP as well.
12	You are the ones Mr. Pardy told
13	me, and I hope I am not misstating his evidence,
14	but he told me that at the end of the day the RCMP
15	were the ones who made the decision about whether
16	to go over the objections of DFAIT.
17	So you were the ones who would
18	make the decision. You decided to go. Don't you
19	think there is a problem about sending inspectors
20	to countries that violate human rights to question
21	Canadian citizens?
22	MR. LAUZON: In furtherance to a
23	national security criminal investigation I think
24	that we have a duty to get as much information as
25	possible to complete our investigation, to have a

1	fulsome, wholesome investigation and the
2	information that would be provided would have to
3	be obviously corroborated elsewhere.
4	MR. WALDMAN: But in Canada before
5	a person gives a statement he has a choice, he has
6	a right to a lawyer and you can't compel except
7	now under the Criminal Code in exceptional
8	circumstances you can't compel a person to give
9	a statement.
10	Right? Is that correct?
11	MR. LAUZON: That is correct.
12	MR. WALDMAN: So do you think that
13	we should apply a different standard to Canadian
14	citizens sitting in jails in terrible conditions
15	overseas where you go there and you show up and
16	force them to give statements because they are in
17	detention in Syria and ignore their Charter rights
18	to not give statements?
19	MR. LAUZON: Are you getting a
20	statement from that person? Are you merely
21	getting information? Are you getting information
22	that will be used eventually for evidence in a
23	court of law? What
24	MR. WALDMAN: Well, the RCMP,
25	according to the information we have, says it was

Τ	approvat of a crip to get information and to
2	question Mr. Arar. That is what the notes say.
3	So the intent, it would seem, was
4	to question Mr. Arar while he is sitting in jail
5	in a country where he didn't have access to a
6	lawyer and in deplorable conditions.
7	You think that is all right to
8	further an investigation?
9	MR. LAUZON: Yes, I do.
10	Pause
11	MR. WALDMAN: Thank you.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Fothergill?
13	Pause
14	MR. FOTHERGILL: Commissioner,
15	I'll be brief. But I think it's still easier for
16	me to speak from here than my desk.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Sure.
18	EXAMINATION
19	MR. FOTHERGILL: Mr. Lauzon, if I
20	can ask you to turn to your notes for October 8th.
21	This is exhibit 232. It's the green-covered one.
22	And it's page 5, if you're going by the
23	handwritten page numbers in the lower right hand
24	corner.
2.5	Do you have that in front of you?

## StenoTran

1	MR. LAUZON: Yes, I do.
2	MR. FOTHERGILL: Now, your note
3	reads:
4	"ARAR: - Syrian / CDN
5	- where will he go,
6	Syria / Canada"
7	Did you believe that Mr. Arar had
8	some choice in where he would be sent?
9	MR. LAUZON: Yes, I did.
LO	MR. FOTHERGILL: Can you elaborate
L1	on that in any way? What your think was about the
L2	role of Arar's own volition in the outcome?
L3	MR. LAUZON: There were several
L4	factors.
L5	It was my understanding that he
L6	was facing an immigration hearing on on the
L7	9th. Subsequent to that hearing if
L8	he I thought that he might have a choice of
L9	where to go, you know, so
20	And obviously, if his two choices
21	are Syria or Canada, he would choose Canada over
22	Syria.
23	MR. FOTHERGILL: Now there was an
24	American official present at this meeting.
25	Correct?

1	MR. LAUZON: That's correct.
2	MR. FOTHERGILL: You can't tell us
3	the organization he was affiliated with and you
4	can't tell us his name, but was he somebody who is
5	employed at the U.S. Embassy here in Ottawa?
6	MR. LAUZON: Yes, he is.
7	MR. FOTHERGILL: Can you tell us
8	in general terms what his function in the embassy
9	was? What did he do there?
10	MR. LAUZON: Somewhat the same
11	role as what Corporal Rick Flewelling was doing at
12	that same time, was monitoring, coordinating
13	MR. FOTHERGILL: This might
14	help and I don't know if you know the answer to
15	this, but we've heard some evidence about
16	Mr. Flewelling's dealings with a certain American
17	official on October 4th and October 5th.
18	Is it the same American official
19	who attended this meeting? Do you recall?
20	MR. LAUZON: I'm not a hundred per
21	cent sure, but in all likelihood.
22	MR. FOTHERGILL: All right.
23	But regardless, it's somebody who
24	fulfills the same sort of function?
25	MR. LAUZON: Yes.

1	MR. FOTHERGILL: Again I'm going
2	to ask you to speculate, and if you're not
3	prepared to answer, say so, but can you comment on
4	to what extent this individual who was in the room
5	with you would be able to determine or tell you
6	where Arar would be sent following this October
7	9th hearing you mentioned?
8	Would he know?
9	MR. LAUZON: I don't think he
LO	would have a clue.
L1	MR. FOTHERGILL: Okay.
L2	Can I then ask you to turn to the
L3	other set of your notes. This is the loose one.
L4	It's I don't think I noted the
L5	exhibit number.
L6	Off microphone comment / Remarque hors
L7	microphone
L8	MR. FOTHERGILL: Two
L9	thirty-three (233). Thank you.
20	I'd like to ask you about the
21	meeting you attended on February 28th, I think.
22	This is on page 2 of 2 of the transcript, of the
23	typewritten version.
24	So if you have exhibit 233 in
25	front of you and if you turn two pages in you

1	should see an entry for February 28th of 2003.
2	Do you see that?
3	MR. LAUZON: Yes.
4	MR. FOTHERGILL: In the right hand
5	corner you see first 121 and 129. It's the
6	portion there the others have asked you about that
7	I'd like to speak to you about.
8	"ARAR: - minister has
9	approved visit"
LO	Do you see that?
L1	MR. LAUZON: Yes.
L2	MR. FOTHERGILL: Now Mr. Waldman
L3	put to you, and I think you agreed with him, that
L4	this meant that the minister, Sollicitor General
L5	Easter, had in fact approved a visit by the RCMP
L6	to travel to Syria.
L7	Do you know for certain whether in
L8	fact Minister Easter approved of such a trip?
L9	MR. LAUZON: No. I was just
20	taking notes at that meeting.
21	MR. FOTHERGILL: Mr. Waldman asked
22	you some questions about the propriety of
23	Canadians interviewing people in other countries.
24	Do you know if Canadian
25	officials, members of the RCMP, interview somebody

1	who is detained in another country, do they take
2	the Charter with them in the sense that, is it
3	RCMP policy to apply Charter standards when they
4	are abroad?
5	Pause
6	MR. LAUZON: I would tend to think
7	so, that you would apply the same judicial
8	principles as you would in another country.
9	However I'm not sure if you can do
10	that or not. I'm not sure of the process.
11	But it would seem prudent to
12	certainly try and apply the Charter, for what it's
13	worth, when you're in fact interview somebody in a
14	foreign country.
15	MR. FOTHERGILL: Do you know
16	whether the consent of the person interviewed
17	would be required before he could be interviewed
18	by the RCMP?
19	MR. LAUZON: I think that's a
20	standard procedure that if you're going to
21	interview somebody, that there's some element of
22	consent.
23	MR. FOTHERGILL: All right, thank
24	you. Those are my questions.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Cavalluzzo?

1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, in terms of
2	the element of consent, do you think it would be
3	an informed and viable consent if I'm a Canadian
4	languishing away in a cell that's six by eight by
5	seven and I have a Canadian agency coming to see
6	me and saying "We'd like to interview you" what
7	do you think the Canadian would say?
8	MR. LAUZON: Yes.
9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Do you think that
10	would be a voluntary expression on their part, or
11	do you think circumstances would dictate that they
12	would love to see a Canadian agency?
13	MR. LAUZON: Can you ask the
14	question again?
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Yes, okay.
16	I'm a Canadian, right?
17	MR. LAUZON: Yes.
18	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I have been in
19	Syria for six months. My cell is six by seven by
20	six. Known as the grave, because I never get to
21	see the sunlight. Right? A Canadian agency comes
22	over and says "We would like to interview you."
23	What do you think the Canadian in
24	those circumstances would say?
25	MR. LAUZON: He would jump at the

1	opportunity.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Absolutely.
3	And you don't think that that's an
4	informed consent within the meaning of the Charter
5	of Rights, do you?
6	MR. LAUZON: It would be a
7	hardconsent is a state of mind. So how
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: believe it.
9	MR. LAUZON: I don't know how you
10	would be able to ascertain whether it was if he
11	was just if he was truly consenting to the
12	the questioning or not.
13	MR. CAVALLUZZO: We'll leave that
14	to the average Canadian as to what their view
15	would be as to whether that's an informed consent.
16	MR. FOTHERGILL: Better yes, we
17	would leave it to a court because it's a legal
18	decision, and it's something that would be argued
19	if such a statement were ever to be entered in
20	evidence.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Go ahead.
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I would prefer to
23	leave it to the average Canadian.
24	Laughter / Rires
25	MP CANALLII770: The only other

1	question is, you said that the United States rep
2	present at this meeting and once again you
3	can't tell us which agency he or she came from,
4	but you said, you used the words "this U.S. rep
5	wouldn't have a clue where he would be sent",
6	referring to Mr. Arar.
7	That's not the case, is it?
8	MR. LAUZON: What I meant to say
9	is that he would have no more knowledge than we
10	would have into where what happened to him at
11	that point in time.
12	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I guess the other
13	question that I would have, since you're dealing
14	and you keep referring to the deportation hearing
15	that was going to take place the next day on
16	Wednesday October the 9th, did anybody in the RCMP
17	seek any kind of expert legal advice as to what
18	might happen to Mr. Arar on October the 9th?
19	MR. LAUZON: Not to my knowledge.
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay.
21	MR. LAUZON: And I was referring
22	to it as an immigration hearing
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: An immigration
24	hearing or whatever.
25	MR. LAUZON: I don't think I was

1	using the word deportation.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay, Mr. Lauzon,
3	I have no further questions.
4	Thank you.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: That completes
6	your evidence, Sergeant.
7	Thank you very much for coming,
8	for answering questions and the time you spent
9	preparing and coming to give evidence.
10	Thank you.
11	MR. LAUZON: You're welcome.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: That completes
13	a long day.
14	Let me just express my thanks to
15	everybody who was involved in allowing us to
16	maintain our schedule: counsel and particularly
17	the translators, the camera crew, sound, court
18	reporter, all the administrative staff.
19	There are a lot of people involved
20	in this inquiry proceeding, and it takes a good
21	deal of cooperation and hard work. So I'm
22	indebted to everybody for that.
23	Hopefully we don't have very many
24	more net days to go, and hopefully we won't have
25	any more days that are as long as today.

1	So we'll rise and we'll resume
2	tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.
3	Whereupon the hearing adjourned at 6:30 p.m.,
4	to resume on Wednesday, August 24, 2005
5	at 9:00 a.m. / L'audience est ajournée à
6	18 h 30 pour reprendre le mercredi
7	24 août 2005 à 9 h 00
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	Lynda Johansson
24	Lynda Johansson,
25	C.S.R., R.P.R.

A
<b>Abdul</b> 9800:12
<b>Abdullah</b> 9831:18 9930:24
abide 10081:2
ability 9894:21 9978:18
9999:12 10012:9,14 10051:12 10053:6 10142:15
able 9783:20 9794:24 9795:1
9864:20 9871:22 9898:22
9903:17 9917:6,7,8 9949:12
9963:6 9966:25 9972:2
9978:19 9988:15 10007:20
10021:5,11 10056:12
10064:18 10089:8 10175:18
10185:5 10189:10
abroad 9931:20 10187:4
<b>Absent</b> 10025:15 <b>absolument</b> 10096:3
10097:12,12
absolutely 9879:4 9890:15
9899:8,8,9 9918:10 9999:21
10032:19 10037:25
10038:20,21 10043:3
10064:12 10065:22 10066:4 10066:11 10085:1 10114:18
10066:11 10085:1 10114:18
10116:15 10147:13 10169:11 10170:15 10189:2
abused 10180:2
accept 9847:23 9849:20
9850:2,13,14 10114:18
10136:17
accepted 10014:3 10015:9,12
10016:15,20 10017:5
accepting 9860:4 10068:17,24
access 9792:4,18 9794:4
9796:12,14,23,25 9813:25 9814:3 9815:8,9 9818:5
9829:25 9986:4 9988:15
10033:12,15 10064:15
10071:4 10130:16 10182:5
accessible 9976:17
accompanied 9951:25
accompany 9883:5
account 9834:13
accounts 10069:14 accurate 9997:10 10050:25
accurate 9997.10 10030.23 accusations 10093:22
acknowledge 9916:11 9926:4
10017:24 10023:12,19
10032:17 10077:6
acknowledged 9918:21
9929:24 9956:21 10013:22
acquiesced 9943:8
acquire 9917:15 9923:14 9997:21 10045:3 10062:8
10064:23
acquiring 9918:3 9988:14
9997:22
act 9827:15 9873:11 9904:11
1

```
10078:23 10111:7
acting 10020:25
actions 10143:21 10175:3
activities 9884:23 9893:8
actual 9951:21
add 9937:5,6
added 9936:13 10002:25
additional 9801:9 9848:2
  9961:8 9984:2 9986:23
  10051:4 10071:24 10125:23
  10126:2 10159:11
additions 10018:8
address 9841:17 9963:1
  10063:10 10135:17
addressed 9821:17 9904:22
addresses 9830:8
adequate 10000:11
adhere 10133:12
adheres 10131:7
adjourned 10192:3
administer 10103:17 10118:2
administrative 9848:11
  9849:16 10191:18
admissibility 9873:10
  9924:11 10049:25
admissible 10110:17
admission 9840:21 10114:14
admitted 9824:2,3 10115:20
  10115:22
advice 9776:16,20,22 9782:18
  9819:16 9923:14 9971:20
  10190:17
advise 9783:22 9787:5 9791:9
  9797:9 9806:18 9813:9,14
  9853:10 9904:14 9905:5
  10137:2,7
advised 9778:19 9780:19
  9782:1.10.11.14 9785:19
  9787:10,24 9788:1,11
  9804:16 9805:7 9815:9
  9820:11 9823:18 9835:1
  9859:11 9867:6.18.24
  9879:9 9880:10 9887:5
  9888:12 9894:24 9895:2
  9899:21 9906:6 9911:22
  9912:2.9 9914:16.19 9921:7
  9927:3,5 9970:8 9983:25
  9985:10 10049:12 10142:17
  10142:21 10153:15
advises 9920:12
advising 9942:18 9945:17
  10049:18
affaires 10092:22 10098:2
affairs 9912:14 9926:19
  9927:1 10064:5 10066:2
  10180:5
affidavits 10155:19
affiliated 10062:1 10184:3
```

affirmation 10103:12

```
affirmed 10103:10 10117:23
afford 10071:1
Afghanistan 9974:18
afin 10092:24
afraid 9898:17 9963:6,18
  9964:9,21
aftermath 10004:17 10138:9
afternoon 9781:23 9787:13
  9790:15 9819:8 9832:15
  9834:6 9845:2,13 10079:21
  10134:10
agence 10093:16
agencies 9902:4 9903:23
  9905:11,24 9907:18,21
  9912:6 9913:11 9914:5
  9923:15 9976:15 10002:23
  10004:22 10007:22 10015:1
  10022:8,10,18 10026:24
  10027:1,20 10028:1,7
  10030:1 10033:23 10038:4
  10039:11 10040:16
  10051:12 10076:20 10083:8
  10085:11,13 10124:15
  10132:7 10159:18
agency 9774:7 9847:17
  9905:15 9958:13 10025:19
  10027:3,4 10034:2
  10071:11 10082:11 10136:5
  10136:5 10148:9 10188:5
  10188:12,21 10190:3
agency's 10044:22
agent 9791:11
ago 9810:11 9822:25 9956:15
  9966:4 10108:15 10113:20
agree 9793:24 9795:17
  9797:13 9834:1 9838:19
  9848:13 9849:4 9915:3
  9920:25 9925:18 9927:12
  9930:8 9932:2 9937:11
  9940:10 9943:3 9953:8
  9954:2 9955:4 9960:20
  9961:21 9962:5.24 9975:18
  9976:7 9977:21 9988:6.19
  9989:8,25 9994:8 9998:18
  10000:7 10002:13.15
  10003:14 10010:5 10013:7
  10015:21 10021:23 10023:7
  10024:7,12 10025:8
  10027:1 10028:5 10030:15
  10030:21 10031:14,22
  10038:1 10040:11 10041:14
  10050:5 10072:16,22
  10073:21 10116:12 10133:9
  10154:8
agreed 9888:11 10005:2.6
  10017:7 10018:1 10035:18
  10153:13 10162:7 10164:13
```

10186:13

agreement 9903:16,21,23

```
10004:23 10009:1,4
  10027:16,20 10028:11,13
  10028:16 10081:8 10082:18
  10082:20
agreements 9994:4 10030:8
ahead 9778:14 10090:22
  10177:1 10189:21
ai 10094:21 10095:20 10096:5
  10099:21 10100:1
ainsi 10093:11
aircraft 9817:5,6 9824:10,10
  9951.6
airline 9778:22 9824:12
  10110:16 10112:8 10115:16
  10116:19
airline's 9826:11 10110:25
airport 9780:17 9783:15
  9951:9 9970:6 10109:15
ajournée 10192:5
alarm 9972:8
Alberta 9981:5
Alexander 10103:18,20
Algeria 10060:24 10061:2
align 9988:17
allegation 9873:10 9915:15
  9922:17 9924:8 9928:11
allegations 9827:16 9836:19
  9840:10 9915:25 9921:11
  9928:16 9931:4 9932:4,17
  10159:2
alleged 9932:14 9945:19
  10172:10
allegedly 9967:21
allons 10098:10 10099:17
allow 9970:21,24 9971:5
allowed 9774:15 9829:25
  9989:2 9990:10 10028:9
allowing 10191:15
alluded 9861:18 10007:17
  10009:5 10145:9 10171:1
alluding 10008:23
Almalki 9785:18 9831:18
  9928:24 9930:24 9983:1
  9985:19 9989:1 10077:3,13
  10077:14,18 10167:14
alors 10093:16 10095:12
  10097:5 10098:9 10100:19
alternate 9849:17
al-Qaeda 9832:6 9841:5,9,10
  9842:1,7,11,14,20 9843:7
  9843:12,14,17,19 9844:5,11
  9844:18 9897:6 9899:10
  9945:19 9988:9 10172:11
Ambassador 9896:23
amenait 10092:24
American 9778:20,23,24
  9780:14 9785:24 9791:11
  9791:14 9792:11 9798:11
  9799:11 9800:14,24 9819:5
```

9819:10 9823:23,25 9825:18 9833:16,25 9839:18 9841:24 9842:24 9846:4 9847:17 9852:4.11 9853:1.5 9856:17 9859:6.11 9859:25 9861:16 9864:12 9879:11 9884:15 9891:8 9941:21 9953:4,10 9957:4 9958:13 9964:20 9971:15 9972:19 9975:16 10006:2 10007:22 10008:8 10010:5 10014:14 10022:14.18.24 10025:3 10043:17 10045:24 10046:8 10059:12 10069:3 10074:9 10076:5 10085:11 10085:12 10111:7 10132:7 10134:11 10136:3,5 10146:1,22 10148:9 10150:10 10151:16 10153:14 10157:4.7 10158:22 10168:19 10173:7 10173:8,12,15,21,22 10174:8,14,19,22 10175:2,5 10175:8,17,19,22 10176:10 10183:24 10184:16,18 **Americans** 9775:6,21 9776:3 9776:13,16 9786:10 9802:18 9803:13 9814:22 9829:14 9830:20,21 9832:17 9837:19 9838:8,9 9838:25 9839:11,23 9841:25 9842:12 9844:10 9851:22 9852:20 9863:13 9879:16,17,19 9889:22 9890:10 9903:24 9962:6,25 9963:20 9964:8 9971:3 9975:8 9977:10 9979:18 9984:4.16.21 10016:8.15.19 10023:18 10024:3 10025:10 10025:22 10035:10,13,16 10035:20 10036:5 10044:13 10061:1 10079:17 10080:7 10081:1 10082:12 10084:16 10084:18,23 10085:21 10110:8 10133:11 10136:8 10138:14 10141:24 10168:23 10172:11 10175:4 10175:14 amount 10003:4 10010:21 10029:5,13,19 10061:11 ample 10066:14 américain 10100:10 américaine 10093:16 Américains 10093:19 10094:7 analysis 9831:25 analyst 9997:18 10105:3 analytical 10132:24 analyze 10030:4 and/or 9835:15 9883:23 apply 9986:3 10124:24

9998:22 anglais 10098:6 angle 9965:4 angles 10057:1 answer 9776:8 9789:25 9838:14 9842:9 9860:7 9861:3 9893:13 9894:5,10 9900:2 9939:2 9950:3 9957:14 9960:1 9961:5,6,10 9978:12 9989:24 10034:12 10044:5.6 10046:13 10069:6 10073:24 10085:18 10110:2 10111:6 10143:23 10144:7,8,10 10158:4 10175:19 10184:14 10185:3 answered 10066:7 10088:10 10109:19 10111:11,21,23 10118:16 answering 9804:2 9830:18 9893:12 10134:15 10191:8 answers 9831:12 9842:2 9950:9 10135:3 Anthony 10163:11 anticipating 9870:17 anybody 9833:7 9853:10,19 9890:13 9894:3 9895:16 9899:24 9900:3 9910:11,15 9931:19 9976:24 10090:4 10090:13 10101:25 10107:10 10112:24 10150:11 10151:17 10190:16 anyway 9844:17 10050:4,10 10050:15 anyways 9900:9 août 9771:4 10192:7 **apologize** 10041:13 apparently 9859:1 9903:17 9928:22 10009:4,9 10019:2 10035:18 10075:19 10128:22 10161:10 appeal 10065:16,16 10066:13 appear 9780:1 9831:2 9835:1 9859:22 9866:6 9867:22 9877:6 9890:24 9901:5 9984:3.15 10006:5 10031:11 10134:18 10135:12 10149:21 10150:2 **appeared** 9846:22 9851:11 appears 9832:18 9889:1 10008:19 10012:18 10126:7 10135:6 10146:7 appel 10093:15 appels 10100:5 appelé 10099:14 appended 9773:4 applied 9840:21 10083:18 applies 10022:11 10114:20

10181:13 10187:3,7,12 appointed 9902:24 9909:15 appreciate 9772:25 10048:1 10088:11 10102:2 appreciative 9801:8 apprise 10118:10 10169:2 apprised 9889:2 10137:10 approach 9866:21 9894:6 10055:8 10059:5 10070:19 10070:21 10090:16 approached 9781:25 9981:9 9981:23 10083:5 **approaches** 10090:19 appropriate 9776:15 9855:21 9958:6 9978:20 10014:6 10017:25 10025:17 10032:8 10032:11 10039:4 10040:14 10041:22 10042:1,22 10044:3,19 10047:6 10081:5 appropriately 9810:3 appropriateness 10042:3 approval 9774:6,11,21 9775:7 9775:10 9776:1 9779:18 9982:19,25 10032:11 10040:14 10045:3 10082:13 10176:19 10177:2,8,15 10182:1 approve 9981:24 approved 9775:19 9779:21 9781:14 9907:1,3 9947:3,6 9948:18 10134:19 10161:4 10161:20,21,24 10162:1 10176:20,25 10186:9,15,18 approving 10134:25 10135:17 approximate 10062:11 approximately 9787:10 9806:23 9869:21 9875:13 9877:24 9953:7 10047:20 10051:2 10060:9 10061:5 April 9977:5 9979:8 9981:1,3 10107:19 10120:19.21 10121:4 10122:6 10123:22 10132:5,12,15 10147:10 après-midi 10092:6 Arar 9771:15 9772:17 9773:2 9773:5 9778:21,24 9780:16 9780:19 9781:2,20 9782:14 9782:20 9785:22 9786:9 9787:21 9788:16,18,25 9789:3,16 9791:7,20 9794:11 9795:1 9798:18 9799:15 9800:12 9802:19 9804:4,18 9805:8,19,25 9806:6 9807:19 9808:8,22 9810:20 9811:4,10,14,17,20 9812:4 9813:19 9815:7 9816:10 9827:14 9830:1 9831:19 9832:1,13 9833:7

9834:15,22,25 9837:19,24 9838:8,21 9839:11,23 9840:10 9842:1 9846:15 9847:1,1,5 9848:6,14 9850:16 9851:8 9852:7 9857:3 9859:22 9860:8,17 9862:5 9863:15 9865:2,9,17 9866:9,25 9867:12 9868:1 9869:7,17 9870:1,22 9871:2 9872:14 9873:9,20 9874:7 9878:22 9879:12.24 9882:9 9884:16,20,20,24 9885:4,8 9886:13 9887:15 9888:2,20 9889:2 9890:5 9891:9,11 9892:18,21,25 9894:15,18 9894:25 9895:3,15 9896:3 9897:5 9942:18 9943:10,20 9950:16 9954:14,23,24 9955:2 9957:19 9959:24 9960:24 9962:6 9963:1.6 9964:9,21 9970:5,8,15,17 9974:7,9,20 9983:2 10028:20 10057:21 10059:15,24 10063:17,24 10064:6,18 10065:20 10066:7 10067:2,6,12 10074:17 10075:2 10079:25 10086:21 10093:1,16,23 10100:18 10101:15 10112:19,19,25 10113:4 10115:3 10124:9 10125:2 10127:9,10 10128:3,6,17,19 10129:6,15 10130:11,15 10134:1,13 10136:10,11,15 10136:21 10137:20 10138:16 10139:11 10140:4 10140:24 10141:4.12 10142:9,12,19,21 10143:19 10144:3 10149:1 10150:25 10151:13 10152:11 10153:4 10153:24,25 10156:17,21 10157:23 10160:22 10161:4 10161:12 10163:25 10164:5 10164:9 10167:25 10170:15 10171:9,14 10175:9,22 10176:4 10177:6 10178:24 10182:2,4 10183:4,7 10185:6 10186:8 10190:6 10190:18 Arar's 9771:17 9772:20 9783:23 9785:15 9788:6 9816:10 9820:24 9825:4 9847:6,21,21 9851:18 9866:20 9872:19 9881:21

9884:8 9885:9.13 9887:6.7

9893:8 9894:9,22 9895:10

9899:10 9941:8,12 9952:21

9955:3 9968:21 10061:16

10066:18 10067:24

10068:20 10086:12 10127:1 10176:14 10186:6,21 10143:1 10127:6 10130:2,6,20 asking 9815:1 9825:9 9842:13 assumption 9832:22 9886:13 10137:14 10138:7 10142:16 9850:8.8 9917:18.19 9985:21 9923:17,18 9938:17,22,24 10148:17 10166:24 10167:6 assumptions 9898:17 9939:11 9942:19 9945:25 10167:20 10168:1 10178:13 assurance 9975:1 10075:23 10183:12 9948:9 9958:23 9960:25 10076:7 9961:5 9967:4,4,5 9969:19 attach 9938:9 arbitrarily 9838:4 area 9822:18 9860:21 9861:2 9975:21 9982:20,21 attached 9772:16 9801:5 9869:22 9880:24 9883:7 9985:20 10021:22 10054:9 9830:7 9940:19 10119:3 9893:11 9911:14 9933:3 10112:24 10141:3,5 attack 10072:14 9941:4 9950:6 9957:12 10143:18 10144:2 10157:12 attempting 9884:10 9968:15 10105:3 10172:11 10180:8 attend 9827:25 10007:23 areas 9911:1 9972:23 9990:15 asks 9854:10 10012:15 10019:9 10021:6 argued 10189:18 aspect 9856:25 9905:16 10052:10,16 10065:21,24 argument 9851:1 10071:6 9918:2 10090:23 10093:3 10139:5 10159:22 10160:2 attendance 9898:11 10083:15 arguments 10098:4 **Assadourian** 10162:2,4,9 arose 9886:12 10154:17 **ASSEDORIAN** 10161:16 attended 9897:13 9919:14 arrange 9806:1 9873:19 asseoir 9771:6 9856:6 9920:17 10128:1,25 10064:5 9933:13 10048:21 10103:6 10146:25 10153:19 arranged 9913:15 9935:20 ASSERMENTÉ 10092:4 10154:19 10156:12 9953:16 assert 9983:4 10077:9 10163:15 10164:3,18 arrangement 10174:3,7 10155:12 10174:1 10184:19 10185:21 arrangements 9849:18 asserted 9982:10 attending 10012:10 10034:14 9866:24 9872:20 9978:4,11 asserts 9839:23 10129:18 10158:9 9994:4 10064:22 10089:25 assess 10133:4,5,7 attendu 10100:4 Arrest 9783:18 assessed 10118:15 attention 9772:13 9773:8 arrested 9782:24 9783:15 assessment 9899:25 9900:11 9777:25 9798:20 9799:1 9785:21 9791:20 9974:7,21 9900:13,24 9962:24 9801:19 9940:25 10024:16 10060:12 10000:8 10126:9 10024:20 10043:19 10045:8 arrival 9867:13 assist 9797:4 9801:3 9822:7 10063:11 10102:3 10149:8 attributed 10075:19 arrive 9778:21 9848:2 9850:22 9851:17 arrived 9835:14 9840:19 9860:15 9862:4 9863:14 au 10092:21,25 10093:5 9880:9 9887:4 9942:19 9894:13 9998:2 10003:19 10094:14 10095:13,15,18 9947:12 10106:8 10016:12 10051:4 10072:5 10096:6 10098:2,15 10072:11,18,25 10142:7 10099:4,14,20 10166:20 arriving 9942:19 10111:16 assistance 9773:1 9799:12 August 9771:2 9836:17,22 10112:10 arrivé 10095:9 10096:21 9800:17 9803:1 9804:1 9911:18 9912:1 9915:24 9917:1 9919:13 9920:17.21 10100:6 9830:12.24 9898:19 9986:1 article 9865:8 10074:4,8,11 10102:15 9920:22 9923:25 9927:2,12 10075:9 10145:5 10170:12 assistant 9781:13 9934:4,8,19 9928:25 9932:5 10037:8 9935:4,23 9991:21 9993:23 10170:23 10171:2 10040:25 10041:2,3 **ASAP** 10141:18 10009:14 10040:15 10077:19 10119:19 10159:4 ascertain 10189:10 10042:22 10165:11 10192:4 assisted 9851:8 9892:6 auraient 10093:20 ascertaining 9848:5 aside 9816:8 9881:18 associated 9895:15 aurait 10100:16 asked 9788:24 9816:15.16 association 9843:14 auspices 9992:18 10009:22 9822:6,8,14 9824:6 9825:5 assume 9779:10 9803:12 aussi 10101:14 9831:1 9834:24 9847:22 9829:12 9837:20 9869:17 autant 10101:14 9867:10 9904:9 9922:10 9886:8 9891:21 9894:1 authorities 9799:11 9823:23 9929:20 9954:25 9957:20 9912:7 9929:14,22 9966:11 9860:15 9862:3 9866:7 9959:3,5 9965:22 9974:12 9966:14 9987:2 10064:14 9884:22 9892:20,24 9893:4 9987:18,20 9988:1,3 10087:22 10132:4 10144:8 9893:6 9894:7,11,16,23 9989:21 10013:17 10025:21 10154:23 10167:15 9941:8,21 9942:9,13 9943:6 10026:15 10036:10,17,18 10174:23 9943:9 9944:4 9970:8 10037:4 10046:18 10053:25 assumed 10132:13 10006:2 10007:15 10008:8 10067:12 10069:3 10074:9 assuming 9781:17 9788:3 10010:5 10014:15 10022:5 10080:24 10083:13,16 9813:11 9832:20 9869:21 10025:3 10026:8 10040:9 9880:8 9935:19,23 9936:18 10040:10,19 10041:19 10109:6,25 10143:15

9947:3 9994:17 10042:9

10042:15 10045:25

10160:4 10172:6 10175:20

10128:18 10142:5,10 authority 9929:18 9935:16,24 10024:6 10071:7 10143:18 10144:2 10165:8.17.20 authorization 9775:5.14 9779:24 9806:13 9978:12 10025:18 10027:7 **authorized** 10145:15 automatically 10084:23 autorité 10096:18 autres 10092:24 aux 10093:17 10096:17 10100:16 available 9932:4 9976:8,9 9996:18 10013:1,5 avais 10100:23 avait 10093:8,15 10097:25 avec 10093:10 10095:10 10099:8.25 avenue 10019:6 10043:9 avenues 9862:21 10066:12 average 10189:14,23 avez 10092:19,20 10093:5,24 10094:15,22 10095:9 10097:9,13,14,15 10099:5 10101:4 Avez-vous 10096:2 avocat 10095:14 10099:14 avons 10092:8 10094:1 10098:16 10099:24 await 9866:19 9888:14 10153:16 aware 9781:20 9789:6 9790:2 9794:9 9795:3 9802:20 9805:10,12 9806:9 9808:20 9823:24 9837:7 9841:18,23 9851:23 9852:3.11 9858:23 9869:10 9886:7 9887:12 9889:12 9890:3,9,18 9896:7 9899:24 9900:23 9903:17 9903:20,22 9908:4,6 9915:24 9928:11.16 9942:13 9943:20 9944:8 9946:4 9965:21,25 9966:10 9966:13,17 9967:6,11,12 9977:16,18 9979:7,21 9981:8,13,15,16,22 9982:6 9986:25 9991:9 9992:16 9993:21 9994:1,3 10005:1,9 10005:19,24 10006:9,11,14 10006:20,22 10009:6,10 10011:4 10012:8,13 10013:10 10014:13,18,22 10022:19,23,25 10028:21 10029:4.13.15.18.21.24 10030:5 10035:12 10039:16 10039:17,20,23 10040:2 10049:13 10064:9,12

10083:6 10084:17,22

10124:20 10127:6 10128:14 10128:23 10130:2 10132:4 10132:9.11.13.16 10138:24 10143:17 10177:19 10178:10.16 awareness 9931:3 aware/interested 9892:18 awful 9999:3,13 10003:23 10032:25 10051:12 A's 9876:6 **A-INSET** 9909:9.12 A-OCANADA 9775:5 9776:5 9777:18 9778:19 9779:1,9 9779:24 9780:5,15 9782:7 9787:21 9788:16 9793:16 9793:19 9795:10,16 9797:15 9799:23 9800:4,9 9803:14 9804:4,15 9805:6 9814:20 9828:13 9829:11 9829:25 9830:9.15.18 9831:16 9832:25 9842:10 9846:17 9853:22 9858:20 9862:18 9864:24 9866:16 9867:17,25 9868:11 9869:3 9870:20 9879:16 9880:11 9884:13 9885:1,14 9886:5 9886:19 9887:23 9889:2 9890:13 9893:16,18,19 9895:16 9896:2 9899:23 9900:18,22 9901:10,15 9902:10,22 9904:9,24 9906:2,20 9908:15,17,23 9909:16 9912:19 9916:16 9917:1 9928:19 9933:19 9936:1 9938:13 9941:15,20 9942:12,17 9944:14 9945:18 9954:16 9969:18 9970:4 9971:21 9973:15 9976:21 9977:9,12 9994:7,7 9995:3 9996:8,15 9997:19 10004:18 10005:11,15 10006:1 10007:14 10008:7 10009:2 10010:3,4 10011:2 10012:11 10013:16 10014:14 10016:24 10018:18 10020:4.6.8.11 10021:16 10025:2 10032:14 10033:8 10039:25 10041:6 10043:22 10046:4 10049:2 10049:5 10050:17 10052:3 10052:13 10056:2,20 10057:15 10058:15 10062:22 10064:21 10077:1 10077:2,7 10078:10 10080:3.5 10084:24 10087:2 10124:4 10126:23 10127:1 10128:21 10131:4 10131:13 10132:6 10134:15 10134:18 10135:1 10145:25

10146:21 10148:12 10149:9 10150:9.10 10152:4.6.25 10155:4 10157:3 10159:18 10166:1,5,13,18,21,25 10168:8 10172:10 10173:5 10173:20,23 10174:15 10179:2

**A-OCANADA's** 9800:17 9804:1 10049:10 **a.m** 9771:3 9856:1,3 9864:9 9910:19 10105:21 10192:5 **A1** 10145:18

## В

**b** 9957:22 **Bachelor** 10119:15 back 9790:3 9794:18 9795:24 9817:16 9824:12 9826:8 9828:14 9835:9 9836:24 9840:17 9850:22 9856:10 9862:13 9865:21 9871:25 9880:7 9893:21 9894:3 9895:17 9899:2 9901:4,25 9909:8 9912:13 9951:10 9952:3,19 9955:15,22 9956:10,11 9959:6 9964:11 9969:12 9971:24 9986:10 9986:12 9987:6,11,12,20,20 9987:23 9988:2,10 9989:2,8 9989:11,22 9990:10 9998:12 10013:18 10017:17 10017:22 10018:3 10025:17 10026:6,11 10027:7 10045:9 10072:20 10086:25 10087:11,14 10102:3 10108:12 10110:24 10111:8 10111:19 10112:3 10114:15 10114:18.24 10115:25 10131:12 10138:23 10140:12 10144:13 10157:18 10170:24 10172:13 backed 10007:18 10008:20 background 9822:11 9823:14 9884:1 9940:1,23 backlog 10051:10 **backlogs** 10051:6 bad 9916:17 10057:7 10178:2 10178:7 badly 9915:7 9916:1 9917:9 9918:13,19 9932:15 **ballpark** 10123:9 bank 9843:2 **BANÉ** 10092:4 10094:10 10095:10 10097:7,11,16,20 10099:8,12 10101:6,9,19 Bané 10092:9 10098:22 base 9861:5,10 based 9978:9 9985:15

10065:13 10114:8 10167:9 bases 9990:4 basic 10114:11.22 10115:10 basically 9834:23 9839:1 9863:11 9902:2 9952:8 10022:1 10076:21 10079:24 10146:23 basing 9959:17 basis 9839:22 9946:15,15 9974:19 10011:6,9 10020:10 10024:2 10079:18 10085:14,22 10134:12 battles 9994:6,8 Baxter 10093:12 Bay 9852:2 9966:11,14 9967:12 10059:8,17 Bavne 10053:23 bearing 9885:10,18 10062:2 10110:5 beaten 9920:12 9921:7 beau 10096:6 becoming 9996:7 10055:21 begins 10075:10 behalf 9800:24 9901:12 9959:5 beings 10106:10 belief 9796:3 9839:14 9869:9 9971:12 9979:20 10157:16 believe 9774:14,17 9775:3,8 9775:12 9781:23 9787:12 9787:14 9788:9 9792:1 9793:7,13 9794:21 9799:12 9802:14 9803:21 9805:22 9814:21 9816:5 9823:5,20 9823:24 9827:25 9828:17 9829:18 9830:15 9833:20 9835:5 9838:2 9839:18 9845:2.11 9846:20 9858:9 9858:21 9862:15 9863:25 9865:18 9872:16 9874:23 9878:10 9879:5 9881:1 9882:10 9883:22 9885:24 9891:16 9898:22 9901:21 9902:1 9903:16 9908:7 9909:8 9922:9 9932:19 9951:15 9971:4.16 9979:14 9981:18 10012:1 10014:20 10030:12 10045:12 10047:14 10049:7 10055:23 10060:23 10062:15 10068:5 10077:16 10078:1 10080:13 10082:6 10107:5 10123:11 10126:17 10183:7 10189:8 believed 9838:8 9956:5 9967:18 10157:18.25 **believing** 9789:10

Bell 10145:7,16

belong 9907:7,12

**bells** 9972:8

Ben 10145:13 best 9855:8,9 9954:11 10073:16 10167:10 better 9889:24 9945:22 9988:25 9989:10 10098:7 10157:8 10189:16 beyond 10003:3 10021:21 10050:2 10062:1 10077:8 **Bible** 10103:11,14,16 10118:1 bien 10096:10,13,24 big 9916:9 9936:10 biggest 10019:14 **bilingual** 10140:22 bill 9877:22 9986:14 10121:21 10171:4 birth 9783:23 9885:9 **bit** 9772:23 9781:5 9829:3 9852:16 9898:23 9911:21 9925:25 9930:1 9950:9 9959:16 9990:1 10054:10 10056:9.10.11 10065:19 10074:8 10175:1 blacked 9808:14 blank 9790:25 9791:21 9792:8,11 9846:16,21 9847:4 9912:15 9914:16 10018:19 blend 9925:7,13 blown 10001:20 board 9984:5,16,21 10122:16 **body** 9800:10 9848:4 **bon** 10092:6 10096:20,21 **Bonjour** 10091:18 bonne 10101:13 book 9883:2 9933:19 9938:9 border 9838:11 9856:19 9958:5 10016:1 born 9872:1 boss 10008:13 Boston 9893:10 **bottom** 9779:18 9809:15 9864:8 9877:7 9880:21 9901:8 9905:20 9980:9 9983:15 9984:22 9985:1,24 10067:23 10070:12 10071:16 10134:19 10135:13 10140:13 10142:3 10143:5 10152:22 **bound** 10057:5 Boxall 9910:7,9 9990:25 9991:2,5,8,11,16,20,25 9992:5,8,14,20,24 9993:5,9 9993:13,17,21 9994:2,5,12 9994:15,18,23 9995:1,5,11 9995:17,19,23 9996:2,7,12 9996:14,19,23 9997:3,7,13 9998:1,5,8,11,18,24 9999:5 9999:10,15,18,22,25

10000:4,9,17,19,22,25

10001:4,7,11,17,23
10002:11,15,21 10003:3,10
10003:17,22 10004:2,7,11
10004:15.20 10005:1.4.9.15
10004:15,20 10005:1,4,9,15 10005:19,25 10006:4,8,11
10006:14,19,24 10007:2,8
10007:12,24 10008:5,11,18
10008:25 10009:6,11,17,25
10010:7,11,18,24 10011:4,8
10011:12,17,21 10012:2,5,8
10012:13,18,25 10013:3,9 10013:13,21 10014:1,10,17 10014:21,25 10015:4,8,11
10013:13,21 10014:1,10,17
10014:21.25 10015:4.8.11
10015:14,21,25 10016:4,13
10016:18,23 10017:3,14,23
10018:5,13,24 10019:11,16
10019:20,25 10020:3,14,16
10020:20 10021:2,13,18,25
10020:20 10021:2,13,18,25 10022:3,9,14,17,21 10023:3
10023:7,25 10024:10,19,22
10025:1,8,15,20 10026:2,7
10026:15,22 10027:9,12,18
10027:24 10028:10,15,19
10028:24 10029:4,9,18,21
10029:24 10030:4,7,14,21
10031:3,10,16,25 10032:5
10032:12,16,20 10033:2,8
10033:11,14,18,24 10034:4
10034:8,13,17,24 10035:3,7
10035:11,15,19,24 10036:3
10036:9,13,16,21,24
10037:4,12,14,17,23
10037:4,12,14,17,23
10030.7,9,13,13,21 10039.1
10039:6,14,20,23 10040:2,6
10040:18 10041:11,21,25
10042:5,9,14,25 10043:4,10
10043:13,16,21,24 10044:2
10044:8,11,16,23 10045:4
10045:10,14,20,23 10046:5
10046:9,12,15,23 10047:1,4
10040.9,12,13,23 10047.1,4
10047.9,14,18,24,23
10050:5,11,16,23 10051:7
10051:14,18,25 10052:9,12
10052:15,19,23 10053:9,12
10053:17,20,23 10054:11
10076:18 10080:25 10116:4
10116:6
branch 10104:17,22 10105:1
10106:1,15,21,25 10107:20
10108:25 10109:6
break 9854:3 9855:22,24
9905:22 10014:11 10047:21
10047:22 10048:11,14
10065:19 10073:11
10088:17 10089:1,10
10102:18,22 10117:7,11
Brian 10125:10
brief 9787:4 9869:5 10182:15
ULICI 7/0/.4 70U7.3 1U104.13

**briefed** 9995:14 10171:13 briefing 9883:11,16 9891:23 9891:23 9929:13,20 9932:5 9932:13 9980:5,22 9995:16 10021:6 10094:14 10095:24 10097:19 10101:12 10139:8 10141:15 10145:3.22 10170:10,14,16,21 10171:24 10172:7 **briefly** 9991:12 10105:23 10116:8 10119:8 10127:3 bring 9856:9 9891:5 9914:5 9975:6 9984:4,15 9996:10 10013:18 10024:15,19 10070:7 10072:20 10076:3 10131:12 10143:2 bringing 9843:5 9912:6 10045:7 10073:2,17 10102:3 brièvement 10093:3 broadcast 10089:22,23 **broke** 9771:14 **brother** 9816:10 9871:20 9983:24 9985:9 brought 9826:7 9899:2 9913:3 9915:13 9967:17 9987:17 10064:1 10076:10 Brunswick 9876:12,20 9880:24 9882:3 building 9788:13 9860:16 9862:4 9863:14 10001:20 bureau 10092:21 10095:15,18 10096:6 10099:23 bureaucracy 9999:1 bureaucratic 10054:12 business 9881:12,14 busy 9913:16 9999:11 10050:24 10057:24 10058:5

## \_

C 10127:22 Cabana 9777:7,13 9803:9,11 9872:16,18 9873:1 9887:2 9887:24 9895:24 9896:1,6 9896:15 9903:8,13 9909:11 9928:21 9930:23 9931:14 9933:18 9936:2,6 9969:8 9981:9 9991:9,13,17,25 9993:22 9994:13,23 10009:14 10037:7,15 10039:15 10040:3 10041:4 10042:11 10152:3,7 10153:1 10154:6,24 10155:11,25 10158:10 10165:20 Cabana's 9993:10,18 9994:16

Cabana's 9993:10,18 9994:16 cahier 10095:22,25 Cairo 9927:7 calendar 10105:9 10144:14

calepin 10095:3 10097:10 call 9791:3,16 9793:3,13,15 9810:23 9812:12 9824:13 9833:24 9834:4.10.13 9841:19 9844:25 9845:24 9846:14 9847:16 9853:10 9853:11,14,19 9855:18 9856:10 9857:21 9859:10 9861:13 9868:18 9869:6 9871:22 9872:13 9875:15 9876:16,22 9877:8,9 9878:8 9879:10 9882:21 9895:7 9905:7 9906:9 9910:1 9911:19 9936:2 9942:18 9951:7 9952:5,19 9953:4,10 9954:12 9958:3 10063:11 10063:23 10066:25 10067:11 10082:22 10087:23 10131:4 10136:2 10136:6,23 10137:1,3,7 10141:23 10142:4 10143:12 10146:20 10168:18,25 10169:1,8

Callaghan 9777:8,17 9804:20 9805:16 9830:16 9858:15 9858:19 9863:8,21,23 9864:5,8 9865:12 9872:17 10068:6 10082:21 10155:6 10155:11 10157:2

called 9783:21 9790:13 9797:23 9798:10 9806:8,18 9806:24 9807:8,10 9808:3,5 9813:9,14 9824:5 9846:6 9852:11 9855:5,12 9871:20 9874:12,15 9878:11,12,14 9878:21 9890:24 9891:12 9903:9 9904:12,14 9911:22 9926:7 9953:13,20 9966:14 9983:24 9985:8 10050:4,10 10137:3 10138:19 10139:6 10160:3 10174:4

**calling** 9807:2 9810:18 9876:8 9878:6,9 9883:4 9954:6 10118:13,18 10132:19

calls 9877:4,7,8 9880:16,23,25 9881:4,8,12,19,25 9882:7 9882:13,15 9883:7 10053:7 10059:1 10064:10

CAMANT 9820:25 9840:6,7 9871:19 9872:12 camera 9810:6 10090:25

10091:1 10191:17 cameras 10089:6 10117:11

Canada 9772:21 9781:1 9792:5,19 9794:4 9818:6 9835:15,22 9836:6 9837:25 9838:10,22 9839:2,5,6 9840:15 9843:13 9847:3,7 9847:12,22 9848:6,15

9849:2,7,15 9850:1,22 9852:21 9857:4,6,17 9859:24 9860:3 9862:11.16 9863:4,9 9866:12,20 9867:2 9867:14 9870:10.11.15.17 9879:6 9887:9,14 9894:22 9895:5 9899:12 9904:19 9922:16,21 9955:1 9956:18 9957:20 9958:2,24 9959:13 9959:20,22 9960:23 9964:12 9968:17,22 9969:1 9969:9,13 9970:12,18,22 9971:6,8 9972:2 9987:23 9989:2 9990:10 10015:6 10041:5 10060:1,19 10063:19 10065:11 10067:3 10067:13,25 10068:17,21 10068:24 10072:20 10074:20 10075:3 10077:15 10077:19,24 10078:4 10105:4 10106:3.5.9 10110:14 10111:19,20,24 10112:3 10114:14 10115:3 10115:4 10136:13,17,20,22 10141:10,13 10142:16,24 10144:4 10148:21,23 10149:4,23 10157:19,24 10158:1 10161:17 10172:13 10176:7,8,12 10178:20 10181:4 10183:6,21,21 Canada's 9839:20 Canada-China 10106:7 Canadian 9782:24,24 9784:10

9803:1 9818:24 9819:5 9824:16,19,23,24 9826:5 9836:18 9837:8 9847:8 9856:19 9860:9 9888:6 9894:7 9895:3 9905:14 9913:11 9929:5,22 9958:4 9959:10,18 9960:4,10,11 9974:10,10 9975:3,13 9985:18 9987:23 9988:25 9990:9 10024:5 10040:10 10040:19 10041:16,18 10042:17 10043:5 10054:17 10054:20 10064:4 10066:14 10070:25 10071:2 10075:25 10109:14,24 10110:2,7,20 10111:16 10114:9,12,15,19 10130:12 10136:21 10138:15 10142:22 10152:15 10153:8 10157:9 10159:1 10168:7 10172:9 10173:16 10175:15 10179:5 10179:14 10180:1.10.21 10181:13 10186:24 10188:3

10188:5,7,12,16,21,23

Canadians 9879:22 9974:24

10189:14,23

9978:24 9989:20 9990:6 10000:2,12 10075:11 10076:21.23 10138:14 10186:23 cancel 9866:18 capable 10097:14 capacity 10122:8 Capital 9903:19 captioned 9800:20,21 captures 10136:23 10154:6 care 10141:17 **careful** 10126:9 carefully 9930:3 carry 10031:20 10043:1 10054:22 **carrying** 10089:2 cas 10101:13,15 case 9797:19 9809:4 9851:13 9851:18 9860:16 9862:4 9863:14 9889:1 9920:18,19 9925:11 9943:12 9952:22 9963:9 9974:14 10012:23 10031:4,6 10034:10 10059:24 10086:10,12,13 10115:2 10129:24 10138:7 10164:9 10190:7 cases 10063:9 10163:14 case-precedenting 9966:22 catch 9925:25 Categorically 9975:23 categorize 10063:3 Catterall 10161:15 10162:1,4 10162:9 10164:10,15 cause 10094:4 10151:1 10173:9 caused 9815:17 9971:16 10049:1 10055:24 causes 10057:8 **caution** 10060:7 Cavalluzzo 9771:9,10,13,20 9771:24 9772:5,7 9773:12 9773:16,21 9774:3,16 9775:3,12,18,24 9776:11,19 9776:25 9777:9,24 9778:4,6 9778:15 9779:7,15,21 9780:2.8 9781:12.18 9782:2 9782:6,9,15 9783:3,6,8,11 9784:8,13,16,20,24 9785:5 9785:12,17 9786:3,7,13,18 9787:6,9,15,20,23 9788:7 9788:10,15,19 9789:2,8,12 9789:21 9790:3,7,13,22 9791:1,5,8,15,18 9792:10 9792:13,25 9793:9,14,20,25 9794:8,16,23 9795:8,12,20 9796:2,5,9,18 9797:2,17,21 9798:7,14,21 9799:2,6,13 9799:15,17,20,25 9800:7,23 9801:24 9802:5,11,15,24

9803:4,10,18,22 9804:9,23 9805:2,12,15,23 9806:7,15 9806:22 9807:1,4,9,13,20 9808:1,18 9809:17,24 9810:5,10,14,17 9811:5,9 9811:13,16,19,25 9812:5,11 9812:16,20,24 9813:4,7,13 9813:22 9814:2,5,8,13,16 9814:23 9815:5,15,20,23 9816:7,18,23 9817:9,13,18 9817:24 9818:13,23 9819:7 9819:17 9820:1,3,9,16,18 9821:2,5,18,22 9822:2,13 9822:17,20,24 9823:2,6,8 9824:14,21 9825:3,8,12,24 9826:4,10,15 9827:1,10,20 9828:6,9,12,19,23 9829:5,8 9829:11,19 9831:4,11 9832:25 9833:9,13,17,22 9834:3,9,12,18,21 9835:3,6 9835:19,24 9836:2,11,16,22 9837:4,17,23 9838:6,15,18 9838:24 9839:4,10,17,22 9840:5 9841:22 9842:6,17 9843:1,8,11,20,24 9844:2,4 9844:8,16,20,23 9845:4,7 9845:12,15,19,23 9846:1,5 9846:8,10 9847:15 9848:8 9848:13,17,22 9849:1,6,21 9849:25 9850:7 9851:3,14 9851:21 9852:10,17,23 9853:4,8,15,18 9854:1,5 9855:7,11,17,20 9856:7,14 9856:22 9857:10,16,19 9858:7,11,17,22 9859:19 9861:9 9862:10,23 9863:2 9863:10.20 9864:1.7 9865:16,20,25 9868:7,17 9869:1,5,12,16,23 9870:6,8 9870:14,18,24 9871:8,15,18 9872:6,11,21 9873:2,5,15 9873:23 9874:3,6,11,16,19 9874:25 9875:9,16,24 9876:2,7,10,13,19,25 9877:12,19 9878:2,5,8,13 9878:16,20 9879:1,7,14 9880:3,12,20 9881:3,6,11 9881:15,18,24 9882:2,6,12 9882:17,20,23 9883:9,14,20 9883:24 9886:1,10,18,25 9889:15 9890:4,14,19,22 9891:2,18 9892:2,5,11,14 9893:17 9894:1,4 9895:19 9895:23 9896:9,13,18 9897:2,17,21 9898:2,6,8,10 9898:18,25 9899:6,19 9900:5,19 9901:2,8,20,24 9902:2,8 9903:2,5,20,25 9905:6,17 9906:1,5,11,15

9906:21,24 9907:5,10,17,23 9908:2,8,13,20 9909:3,6,10 9909:14.20.23 9911:9 9928:3 9939:14 9956:21 9965:22 9994:6 10040:23 10064:3 10076:13,15 10077:10,17,22 10078:3,8 10078:18,22,25 10079:3,11 10079:15 10080:2,5,10,15 10080:18,21,24 10081:10 10081:23 10082:3,9,19 10083:1,7,13,23 10084:4,12 10084:21 10085:10,19 10086:1,4,7,17 10087:1,6 10087:13,19 10088:3,6 10090:22,23 10091:6 10102:20 10103:7,24,25 10104:2,5,10,13,20,24 10105:5,11,15,19,23 10106:13,20,24 10107:3,6,9 10107:17,22 10108:4,9,14 10108:17,22 10109:3,11,23 10110:6,19 10111:3,22 10112:1,12,16,18,23 10113:2,7,17,25 10116:12 10117:1,2,10,20 10118:8,22 10118:25 10119:6,13,18,23 10120:5,9,14,19 10121:1,8 10121:16,20,23 10122:4,10 10122:19,24 10123:3,7,13 10123:19 10124:2,7,23 10125:1,12,22 10126:4,15 10126:20,24 10127:4,11,14 10127:23 10128:2,8 10130:4,8,14,18,22 10131:8 10131:19,25 10132:3,11,17 10132:25 10133:14.20 10134:5 10135:15,22 10137:13 10138:4,11 10139:9,13 10140:3,10,18 10140:21,25 10141:19 10142:1 10143:10,14 10144:12,17,18,22,25 10146:5 10147:2,16,25 10148:5,8,13 10149:2,7,12 10149:20 10150:1.4.8.16 10151:14,20,25 10152:21 10152:24 10154:11,20 10155:3,8,15,22,24 10156:15,19,24 10157:14 10157:20 10158:3,10,14,18 10158:24 10159:9,22 10160:1,6,14,16 10161:2 10162:3,15,20,25 10163:3,6 10163:10 10164:20,23 10187:25 10188:1,9,15,18 10189:2,8,13,22,25 10190:12,20,23 10191:2 Cavalluzzo's 9820:22

10056:18 caveat 9831:5 9879:18 9937:2 9937:6 9939:23 9940:15 10021:19 10023:5 10024:5 10025:7 10027:2 10080:11 10080:16,18 10165:17 caveated 9939:22 10024:24 10025:9 10027:5 10045:11 10082:11 10083:10 10133:9 caveats 9902:14,20,22 9933:20,25 9935:5,9,12,17 9936:3,4,10 9937:9 9938:16 9938:18,24,25 9939:5,15 9940:3,12,20 9941:1 10021:19 10023:4 10026:16 10028:4,12 10035:4 10036:10,21 10078:13 10081:2 10082:14 10084:5 10084:11 10124:13,15,21 10133:3 10165:7,21 10173:10 10174:6,12 **CBSA** 9958:10 CDN 10183:4 CDs 9977:8 9979:9 ce 10093:2,24,25 10094:6,6,18 10095:16 10096:8,13,19,21 10096:25 10097:25 10098:1 10098:11,18,19 10100:6 10101:10,18 **cela** 10094:8,11 10100:8 10101:1 **cell** 9807:10 9875:2 9877:8 9881:16 9883:1 9945:19 10172:11 10188:4,19 **celle** 10100:15 cent 10058:24 10184:21 central 10055:14 centralization 10056:7 centrally 10131:24 Centre 9777:23 certain 9806:13 9827:16 9828:24 9836:12 9840:10 9887:22 9888:16 10004:3 10056:10 10057:4 10083:14 10107:16 10124:10 10159:14 10184:16 10186:17 certainly 9773:22 9774:24 9852:18 9860:12 9861:25 9874:19 9876:15 9900:20 9907:24 9943:6 9983:7 10013:10 10022:21 10036:24 10040:6 10055:19 10087:2 10162:3 10177:22 10187:12 ces 10095:21 10096:5 10097:22 10099:21 cetera 9865:5,5 9899:12,13

10054:14,14 10096:20

City 9771:16 9778:21 10016:5 10139:11 10164:1 chronology 10125:2 10109:5 10113:12,12 cette 10093:6,7 10094:12,23 ci 10098:11 10109:15,15,17,25 10110:2 10124:8 10125:1 10147:25 chaired 10163:21 10164:21 CIC 10110:13.23 10111:4 10110:8.21 10167:21 10173:15 chance 9920:6 10134:6 **CID** 9774:6,17,21,25 9775:7 claim 9809:12,20 9810:2 comes 9777:16 9905:10 change 10004:3.11.14 9776:1 9777:25 9783:25 9982:11 10077:9 10188:21 10007:4 10055:8 10057:10 9785:6 9786:20,21 9799:18 claims 9809:19 9914:20 comfortable 9954:5 changed 9908:23 9999:19 9821:25 9884:4,10,11 9915:2,5,8 9916:17,22 coming 9782:3 9848:6 10083:24 9890:13 9900:10,14,23 clair 10101:3 9849:15 9850:22 9852:25 **changing** 10074:17 9906:14 9928:12,17,18 9858:5 9860:13 9862:1,8,11 **clarifier** 10092:10 **channel** 9829:18 9931:14 9935:11,17 9973:8 clarify 9839:22 9854:2,6,25 9862:25 9863:4,5,9 9879:6 channelled 9801:12 9976:22 9977:25 9978:13 10069:23 10084:13 9880:1 9894:3 9899:17 **channels** 9982:13 9978:15 9979:19 9992:3.7 10163:23 10165:5 10166:23 9930:23 9969:12 10001:24 9994:7 9995:3,24 9996:3,15 characterize 10058:19 classification 10095:18 10009:13,19 10011:6 10124:18 9997:18 10002:17,22 classified 9945:16 10021:1 10025:17 10102:2 **characterized** 9825:5 9826:7 10003:7 10006:1 10007:23 clean 9852:12 10102:2 10111:10,14,24 **charge** 9802:19 9843:16 10008:9 10010:8.20 clear 9802:18 9819:19 10112:3,24 10117:6 9847:23 9850:8,9,12 9851:7 10013:14 10017:5,7 9841:13 9862:19,24 10156:21 10157:18 10188:5 9851:17 9866:8 9957:21 10019:8 10020:16 10021:3 9907:12 9911:25 9955:20 10191:7,9 9962:7 9963:6,8 9964:10,11 10029:1 10030:1 10032:14 9968:15,18 9971:11 **command** 10009:20 9964:21 9980:18 9986:11 10032:20 10034:8,15,18 9994:20.22 10010:2 commanding 9991:23 10086:14 10123:22 10136:9 10036:2,6,17 10039:3,9,16 10019:7 10041:12 10090:7 9993:13,24 10008:21 10136:16,20 10040:15 10044:4,5 10154:16 comme 10092:17 10095:22 **charged** 9827:14 9852:2 10049:11 10050:17,24 clearance 10034:19 10098:5 10060:15 10052:3,9,13,24 10054:14 clearly 9779:22 9824:14 commencing 9771:2 comment 9821:15 9908:3 charges 9791:23,24 9799:12 10054:25 10085:14,23 9825:8 9842:12 9863:2 9799:13,14 9801:4 9803:2 9915:22 9918:22 9956:22 10055:16 10062:10 10150:11 10165:11 10166:3 9961:16 9964:16 9972:1 9818:1 9839:16,19 9841:20 10173:24 10174:7 10091:11 10134:6,21 9842:5,25 9843:2,4 9846:24 CID's 9774:20 9900:21 10004:3 10008:11 10013:21 10185:3,16 10016:23 10031:25 9847:10.19 9850:21 10032:18 10050:18 comments 10129:17 9852:13 9859:21 9894:15 10051:11 10055:8 10056:7 10034:13 10045:24 **Commerce** 10119:15 9954:21 9959:12,20,22 cinq 10098:16 10046:23 10176:8 commercial 9778:22 9960:6,15,18,23 9961:9,11 close 9954:4 9975:17 circulate 10119:7 commissaire 10091:18 9961:13,18 9962:1,17 circulated 10035:8,10,12,16 10092:7,11 10093:5 10001:12 9966:19 9975:2,7 9986:13 circumspect 9886:20 closely 9912:2 9974:13 10098:13 10039:25 10065:19 9987:9 10072:11 10075:24 circumstance 10031:11 **Commission** 10089:4,17 clue 10185:10 10190:5 **Commissioner** 9771:7,9,11 10076:2,3,7 10079:25 10110:22 10134:13 10142:8 10172:14 circumstances 9884:8 Cn 9800:20 9772:6 9777:3 9778:3.8.14 9978:23 10031:12 10039:8 coach/mentor 10020:25 9783:1.4.7.9 9790:9 9800:2 charging 9852:1 Charter 9960:11 10071:2 10044:24 10061:16 10081:5 Coast 10106:9 9808:9,19 9809:2,8,22 10115:13 10179:20 10181:8 Code 10181:7 9821:6,12 9839:21 9840:3 10181:17 10187:2,3,12 10189:4 10188:11,24 cognizant 9972:23 9841:13,16 9855:20,23 check 9928:5 9958:4 10045:9 9858:13 9864:3 9865:23 circumstantial 10031:4.6 **coherence** 10160:19 checklist 9958:14 citizen 9840:12.14 9847:22 colleagues 9814:20 9823:25 9868:12 9871:14 9875:1.7 chef 10093:10 9860:9 9895:3 9929:5,23 9971:15 9972:19 10168:19 9875:10 9880:18 9882:14 cherche 10095:20 9987:23 9990:9 10060:18 column 9974:3.4 10075:16 9882:17,22,25 9883:11 **chercher** 10096:6 10114:15.19 10115:5 combatants 9968:2 9884:2 9885:23 9904:23 cherché 10096:23 10130:12 10136:22 combination 9949:9 10120:11 9907:7,14 9909:22 9910:4,7 chief 10155:9 10142:22 10149:11,13 come 9771:25 9781:19 9910:10,15,24 9911:4,9,16 children 10106:11 9933:2,5,14 9934:4,8,19 10157:9,23 10168:7 9789:13 9808:4 9819:18 **Chinese** 10106:8 10172:9 10175:15 10179:5 9838:22 9840:17 9844:21 9935:4,23 9947:22 9972:21 9979:25 9980:9 9982:10,16 choice 9835:25 9836:7 9946:7 10180:1,10 9850:1 9857:4 9862:16 10157:24 10158:1 10181:5 citizens 10179:14 10180:21 9868:19 9893:20 9895:17 9983:4,10 9989:23 9990:14 10183:8,18 10181:14 9902:4 9913:17 9935:17 9990:17,24 9995:8 9953:1 9956:3 9970:17 choices 10183:20 citizenship 9824:24 9847:2,8 10040:15 10047:19,23 choose 10069:15 10158:1 9847:21 9848:23 9850:17 9971:5 9978:13 9987:12.19 10048:4.8.13 10053:19.22 10183:21 9954:24 9959:10,18 9960:4 9989:2 9990:10 9998:12 10054:4 10076:13 10077:5 chose 9817:7 9835:15 9960:11 10110:13 10114:11 10020:8 10026:6,11 10088:5,14 10089:11,15 10052:17 10094:15 10114:23 10115:10 10038:13 10041:5 10042:7 10090:3 10091:4,8,10,20,23 choses 10093:18 10100:2 10149:15 10063:18 10067:3 10075:9 10092:1 10098:23 10101:21

10102:7,13,17,21 10103:7,9 9816:11 9837:19 9856:25 9979:1 10070:5 10133:1 9856:15 9857:11 9862:14 10103:15.19.22 10114:2 9870:2 9884:18 9886:21 constraints 10062:3 9865:12 9874:10 9936:6 9889:8 9954:17 9964:9,10 10116:4,7,25 10117:4,13,20 consular 9796:12.14.23 9955:12 9957:4 9964:14 10117:22,25 10118:4,6,8,20 9965:2 9983:23 9985:8 9813:25 9814:3 9815:7.8 9972:9 9973:9.13 9975:14 9975:15 9976:9.13 9981:12 10119:10 10125:7.16.20.25 10034:18 10049:23.24 9827:12 9873:8 9926:19 10078:19,23 10079:1 10007:18 10008:23 10139:17,21 10143:4 9927:1 9988:15 10064:5,16 10144:16,20,24 10154:15 10087:2 10120:20 10123:20 10066:2 10130:15 10163:13 10068:22 10069:15 10165:1,11,12 10182:12,14 10179:24 consulate 9783:20 9794:24 10072:24 10073:14 10074:8 10087:25 10112:15 10182:17 10187:25 **concerning** 9836:18 9887:6 9795:1 9815:10 10189:21 10191:5.12 9895:14 9897:6,11 9902:19 consult 10039:2.10 10136:13 10137:4,6,21,23 Commissioner's 10042:22 10071:8 10153:23 10163:24 **consultation** 9977:25 9979:19 10148:25 10151:11.12 **commitment** 9968:19.25 concerns 9836:13 9872:13 10038:18 10040:3 10168:21 10170:1,4,8 9888:13 9903:16 9924:10 **committed** 10003:25 consultations 10177:10 10172:8.24 common 9921:15 10016:1 9978:23 9979:17 10035:4 conversations 9812:6 9814:20 consumed 10058:11 10032:2 10108:8 10050:6 10056:12 10082:23 contact 9832:2 9873:19 9843:7 9969:3,11,20 **communicated** 9935:3 9973:5 10153:15 10174:5 10180:11 9901:18 9911:23 9917:6 9972:18 9982:23 10059:11 communication 9786:19 **conclude** 10074:3 9941:9 9943:8 9971:13 10064:1 9941:20,22 9942:12 **concluded** 10126:10 9983:25 9985:10 10014:14 conversely 10144:5 10007:13 10008:2,7 concluding 9908:3 10054:16,24 10136:3,3 convey 10065:7 10010:4 10014:23 10018:8 concrete 9968:25 9969:4,15 contacted 9800:15 9804:15 conveyed 9863:8 10018:21 10032:13 10053:6 10074.1 9805:6 9867:5,17 9927:8 convict 9847:20 10086:14 communications 9943:5,17 conditions 9888:16 9889:8 9941:7 9974:11 10144:1 10136:10 9891:10 9914:20 10154:25 **community** 10161:17 contacts 9805:22 9942:8 convicted 10061:9 **comparable** 10062:12 10156:2,4,6,16,22 10158:11 9958:9,10 10054:18 cooler 10168:15 10178:6 10181:14 10182:6 compare 10030:23 10159:18 cooperate 9888:6 10152:14 comparution 10095:13 **conduct** 9867:8 contained 9830:6 9897:12 10153:8 conducted 9831:17 10028:22 **cooperation** 10090:20 compel 10181:6,8 contemplated 10042:10 compelling 9868:14 conducting 9830:2 10049:23 contemplating 9806:9 10191:21 complet 10095:19 10098:15 confidential 10133:6 content 9809:17 9815:3 **coordinate** 9909:16 10131:5 complete 10021:7 10037:24 Confidentiality 9982:11 9924:18,19 9975:18 10131:24 10088:20 10089:8 10141:14 10035:15 10045:20 10054:1 9983:5 coordinating 10184:12 10180:25 confie 10100:11 contents 9872:5 9922:2 coordinator 9909:14 9936:1 **completed** 9832:1,14 confirm 9788:6,24 9942:3 context 9797:25 9825:14 9977:14 9979:13 10166:3 **completes** 10088:7 10117:5 9985:21 10101:23 9835:22 9915:11 9918:6 copies 9773:13 9977:23 10191:5,12 confirmed 9826:19 9952:8 9930:21 10070:3 10078:9 **copy** 9772:11 9773:7,8,17,20 9773:23 9776:2,4,9 9779:4 complex 9930:9 10007:14 10077:8 10081:7 10140:11 10164:6 complicated 9827:5 10073:7 conflict 9997:4 10173:19 10179:8.24 9859:5 9864:19 9873:12 comply 10036:25 10056:15 confront 9808:25 9893:24 9936:23 10044:6.9 10180:1 **complying** 10039:12 conférence 10099:25 contexte 10093:2 Corcoran 10155:11,13 **compressed** 10105:18 conjunction 10031:18 contextual 9940:23 10157:2 corner 9829:2 10182:24 10106:18 connaît 10096:23 continental 10059:22 compris 10094:1 connected 9892:25 continuation 9789:13 9790:5 10186:5 connection 9960:17 9961:16 compréhension 10093:17 9790:11,14,17 9817:20 corporal 9866:4 9991:6,13 computer 9904:8 9936:23 9826.17 9997:17 10006:8 10020:5 9961:20 10059:15 continue 10007:21 10075:9 10029:16 **conscious** 10055:13 10140:1 10150:14 10166:2 conceivable 9948:23 conseiller 10099:20 10146:10 10166:12 10170:4.7 consent 10036:6 10082:16 concentrate 10021:12 **continued** 10124:24 10172:6,25 10173:6 10083:3,9 10187:16,22 10166:17 **continuity** 10049:25 10184:11 concept 9965:22 10022:4 10188:2,3 10189:4,7,15 continuously 9905:22 corporate 10057:4 concern 9809:3 9821:4 **consenting** 10189:11 contradict 9821:2 correct 9771:19 9773:15,24 9860:21 9888:1 9903:9 consider 10038:3,7,16 contradicted 9971:12 9782:5 9798:25 9799:19,24 10001:17 10008:13,15 consideration 10037:6 control 10050:2 10055:15 9800:22 9801:23 9802:21 10049:10,18 10050:8 10040:15 10042:16 10056:11 9804:7 9810:8 9811:22 10052:2 10083:1 10089:17 9815:21,23 9817:12 considerations 10079:12 **controls** 10057:5 10090:9 10102:9 10150:24 considered 10039:15 conversation 9787:4 9807:14 9821:21 9823:9.11 9825:7 10152:9 10153:3 10173:9 10058:22 10059:18 9807:17 9809:11,21 9828:24 9829:16 9831:1 10180:3,5 considering 10039:17 9810:20 9811:7,17 9812:1 9832:19 9834:2 9853:17 concernant 10093:1 10040:8 10042:15 10172:12 9815:4 9819:14,18 9823:25 9856:21 9859:18 9861:8 concerned 9808:11 9813:25 consistent 9835:10 9956:14 9825:16 9834:17 9844:15 9862:9 9863:24 9868:2,3

9870:6,7 9875:9 9880:21	10089:6,17 10118:10,16	10070:13 10072:10,11	10051:16,19,20,22
9886:9 9891:1 9898:1	10191:16	10079:25 10134:13 10142:8	damaging 9888:10 10152:18
9902:7 9907:15,25 9908:17	counterparts 9880:11	10142:19 10172:14	10153:12 10154:13 10156:7
9908:25 9909:10 9912:4	10019:10	10179:17 10180:23 10181:7	10156:13
9913:21 9914:11 9916:2	countries 9922:24 9923:4,10	criminally 9802:19 9843:16	<b>Dan</b> 10163:20 10164:20
9919:6 9922:8 9924:12,12	9953:1 9956:3 9998:16,22	9847:24 9850:9,9,12	dangerous 10091:5
9928:20 9929:1 9932:7	10079:4,6 10180:20	9851:17 9894:19 10086:14	dans 10093:2 10095:3,16
9936:11 9937:7,8 9939:3,17	10186:23	10136:9,16,20 10142:13	10096:6,9,14 10097:24
9940:21 9942:22 9943:17	country 9781:3 9835:8	criteria 9931:25	10098:18 10100:21
9943:21 9946:16,20 9948:4	9849:19,19,20 9850:17	critically 10031:19	<b>DANÉ</b> 10102:4,10,16
9950:24 9951:11 9952:17	9860:5,11 9884:23 9885:8	crois 10099:4	dare 10023:22
9955:8 9956:2 9961:1	9988:16 10002:23 10016:6	<b>crops</b> 9774:12 9775:10,14,19	daresay 10051:1,10 10061:13
9963:3,4 9964:5,6 9973:2	10020:24 10058:8 10060:18	9775:25 9777:15,15	data 9977:5,22 10081:12
9973:10,18 9975:17	10060:22 10068:18,24	9779:18,23 9781:13 9947:5	10082:10 10084:19
9977:13 9979:3 9980:6,7	10070:7 10073:2,17	9948:10 9991:19,21,22	10132:19,22 10133:10
9981:10,20 9985:15 9991:7	10109:22 10110:16	9993:1,11,14,23,23 9994:24	database 9977:9
9991:10,24 9992:16 9993:7	10111:10 10112:4,9	10009:13,14 10126:22	databases 9977:23
9995:4 9997:6 9999:3	10114:10,11,13,18,21,23	10127:20 10129:4	date 9771:25 9782:21 9783:23
10000:6 10001:13 10002:5	10115:9,12,25 10178:24	cross 9853:2	9790:4 9804:21 9832:14,21
10003:25 10004:1,12,18,24	10179:6 10182:5 10187:1,8	crossed 9852:15	9836:21 9845:17 9858:1
10004:25 10005:3,12	10187:14	Cross-examination 10114:3	9866:14 9874:14 9897:1,16
10006:10 10007:6,7,9	couple 9807:22 9834:24	cross-exsamination 10101:22	9909:2 9915:23 9943:23
10008:16,21 10009:2,15	9851:4 9852:19 9877:17	crucial 9990:19 10086:11	9970:14,17 9971:9,16
10012:15 10019:17	9880:12 9892:15 9893:16	<b>CSIS</b> 9787:18 9788:12,13,21	9979:10 10031:1 10116:13
10020:18 10024:24	9896:19 9901:2 9948:21	9788:23 9789:3,16 9861:7	10130:1 10139:4 10145:21
10027:22,23 10028:12	10069:21 10076:16 10086:7	9861:12 9897:10 9898:11	dated 9772:9,23 9777:6,11
10029:3 10032:22 10037:14	10088:16 10108:15	9906:14 9908:5 9912:20	9778:12 9780:9 9790:11,14
10038:19 10041:22	10113:20 10119:25	9913:5 9986:2 10082:24	9800:5,8 9828:25 9858:16
10042:11 10044:10 10049:3	10121:10 10130:10 10132:7	10083:3,8 10100:16	9864:6 9871:19 9872:12
10050:13 10052:7,10,13	10136:14	10161:11 10162:12	9873:17 9883:12,15
10056:21 10057:25 10058:1	courant 10166:20	<b>culture</b> 10122:2	9944:17,23 9947:11
10071:19 10077:4 10078:16	cours 10095:13	cumbersome 9998:20	10125:5 10128:24
10078:20 10079:7,13,19,25	course 9815:8 9859:7 9877:18	10054:12	<b>dating</b> 10068:6
10080:8 10082:5,25	9895:6 9913:8 9917:25	curious 9916:6 9959:16	David 10092:3,6 10094:17
10083:11,20 10104:4,12,19	9923:3 9925:5 9940:13	9997:13	10097:5,9,13,18 10098:9,25
10105:22 10120:4,8,18,25	9953:14 10005:18 10076:23	current 9991:3	10099:11 10101:2,8,17
10121:6,22 10122:9,23	10111:5 10120:20 10121:13	currently 10104:3	10102:19,24
10123:2,25 10124:6,25	10121:13 10161:7	<b>curriculum</b> 10119:8,11	day 9785:13 9787:25 9789:13
10127:15 10130:3,7	courses 10121:17	<b>custody</b> 9780:16 9887:16	9794:17 9795:19,25
10132:2 10133:19 10134:4	<b>court</b> 9850:25 9886:14 9889:5	9914:22 9927:4 9970:5	9796:12 9798:1 9812:7
10135:21 10140:20	9889:20 10065:3 10181:23	9983:22 9985:7 10150:18	9821:9 9825:21 9828:13,16
10147:22 10148:4,11	10189:17 10191:17	10151:5	9832:18 9833:6 9853:21
10149:6 10150:3 10155:7	cover 9802:1 9911:1 9950:5	cut 9928:4	9854:24 9857:24 9858:6
10159:20,21 10162:19	9972:23 9990:3	Cutting 9937:6	9859:10 9865:15,21
10164:19 10166:7,8	covered 9911:8,10,11	CV 10121:11	9868:19 9869:17 9886:4,14
10167:2,12,19 10169:15	covert 10120:6	c'est 10092:16 10093:2	9889:6,21,23 9890:8 9891:8
10170:9 10172:1 10173:2	CPAC 9989:20	10094:11,15 10096:1	9891:20 9913:16 9943:2
10174:9,20 10178:4,5	created 10055:20 10084:24	10097:3,5 10098:18	9944:4 9948:25 9949:6,22
10181:10,11 10183:25 10184:1	10152:3	10099:3 10100:15,23 10101:19	9955:11 9978:10 9988:20
corrected 9829:1	crew 10090:1 10191:17 crime 10119:4 10120:10,13	c'était 10095:5,22 10096:10	10012:5 10013:1,5,11 10021:6 10029:1 10034:25
correctly 9901:22 9914:23	10120:16	C-Canada 9909:17	10073:9 10088:9 10091:5
9950:13,20 9977:8 9981:19	crimes 10003:24	<b>C-36</b> 9986:14 10121:18,21	10105:7,9,14 10129:9
9987:8 10070:18 10122:15	criminal 9799:13,14 9801:4	C.S.R 10192:25	10103.7,9,14 10129.9
correspondence 9902:15,20	9803:1 9839:19 9841:20	C.B.N 10192.23	10146:14,15,18,18
corroborate 10179:22	9842:5,25 9843:1 9847:19		10150:21 10151:23 10168:6
corroborated 10181:3	9894:14 9895:1 9900:8	daily 9946:15,15,22 9949:15	10168:15 10171:14
counsel 9797:8 9875:5	9963:11,15 9986:7 9992:15	9949:21,25 10011:6,9,23	10175:25 10180:14
9997:16 10088:22 10089:4	// UU.11,1U // UU.1 ///4.1U	// 1/.might 10011.0g/ghd	101/0.20 10100.1T
	9992:17 10022:11 10037:20	10020:10 10033:4 10051:16	10190:15 10191:13
7777.10 10000.22 10007.1	9992:17 10022:11 10037:20	10020:10 10033:4 10051:16	10190:15 10191:13

		Ī	İ
days 9797:3 9822:25 9867:1	10066:21 10141:11	9891:11 9943:20 9950:17	9897:10,23 9898:3,12
9920:22 9928:22 9932:14	10155:25 10165:7,8	9954:25 9968:17,22,25	9911:23 9912:21 9913:4
9932:22 9947:12,15	10177:5 10180:15,18	10138:16 10144:5 10150:23	9914:17 9917:7,9,14 9919:8
9949:14 10012:2,19	10189:18	deporting 9846:25 9954:23	9919:15 9921:2,3 9927:2,2
10108:15 10113:2,20	decision-making 9930:22	<b>Deputy</b> 10165:12	9942:9 9986:2 9988:13
10147:24 10191:24,25	9931:7	des 10092:22 10093:18,22	10039:3,9,20 10064:15
day's 9914:9 10016:6	<b>declined</b> 10053:13	10094:7,22,23 10095:1,3,23	10130:16 10138:19,19,23
<b>DCO</b> 10131:22 10132:1	deemed 9862:22 10055:21	10096:2,3 10098:2	10139:5 10140:5 10141:2
de 10092:4,9,23,24 10093:1,4	10058:13 10062:5	describe 9997:14	10160:9,17,23 10163:13,15
10093:11,12,21 10094:1,4,6	defer 9919:8	described 9994:6 9997:15	10163:20 10180:16
10094:6,6,10,13,19,20,23	<b>deferred</b> 10162:17	9998:14 9999:1 10007:25	<b>DFAIT's</b> 9917:18
10095:10,13,15,17	definite 10003:2	10124:12,15	dialogues 9908:15
10096:19,20 10097:7,10,11	definitely 9834:17 9863:9	designated 9841:2	Dickenson 10163:11
10097:14,16,18,19,20,22	9912:7 9914:5 9930:11	designed 10010:16	dictate 9814:24 10188:11
10098:2,12,15,22 10099:2,8	9931:11,19 9935:22 9969:11 10004:10,13	<b>desk</b> 9948:25 10021:8 10107:25 10108:6 10166:5	<b>difference</b> 9950:18 9952:15 9952:16 9956:8 10019:14
10099:12,15,15,19,21,22,25 10101:4,4,6,9,14,19	10079:2,9 10132:16	10107.23 10108.0 10100.3	10071:8 10086:11 10115:22
10101:4,4,0,9,14,19	10179.2,9 10132.10	destination 9951:25	different 9782:7 9913:3
dead 10002:3	<b>definitive</b> 10073:24	Det 10163:13	9951:17 9955:13 9980:18
deadline 9958:20	degree 10119:15	detail 10029:16	9997:16 10007:3 10021:11
deal 9858:4 9875:2 9891:4	delay 9947:16 9949:1	detailed 9832:12	10053:25 10057:10 10084:2
9900:7 9902:4 9903:18	10049:18 10162:7 10164:14	details 9917:21 10004:17	10147:24 10181:13
9907:19 9911:13 9923:3,10	delayed 9858:6 10162:17	10173:16	differently 10059:6
9953:15 9979:5 9999:13	delays 9949:3	detained 9771:15 9781:21	difficult 9795:24 9846:12
10001:24,25 10002:17	delicate 9929:22	9782:14 9784:11 9789:1	9937:1 9944:19 9988:17
10022:7 10041:11 10051:23	demande 10094:6 10099:22	9791:22 9794:2 9823:22	10027:14 10034:14
10076:25 10079:9 10081:9	demandé 10094:13 10100:10	9837:9 9884:16 9929:23	difficulties 10089:3
10081:15,17,23 10119:25	demi 10099:18	9943:21 9944:3 9962:8	difficulty 9809:7 10090:21
10173:21 10174:4 10191:21	demonstrate 10160:18	9967:13 9985:14 10057:21	diligent 10031:20
dealing 9778:15 9807:24,24	denied 9780:25 9792:3,18	10060:14 10072:20	diminishing 10017:20
9865:4 9869:19 9905:23	9794:3 9818:5 9826:19	10074:21 10075:4 10109:14	diplomatic 10140:6 10141:2
9949:15 9958:1 9979:22	9961:25 9970:11	10109:25 10127:10 10128:4	dire 10098:14 10099:15
9998:21,25 10007:21	deny 9970:17 9971:7	10129:7,16 10134:14	direct 9819:24 9839:2,5
10022:25 10026:9 10027:3	denying 10170:1	10168:7 10179:14 10187:1	9852:21 9941:20 9942:12
10027:13,25 10041:15	departed 9792:7,22 9818:9	detainee 10070:6 10073:1,16	10006:2 10007:13 10014:14
10054:25 10056:19,25	9909:11 10116:20	detainees 10059:16	10017:8 10054:24 10090:17
10078:9 10106:11 10110:13	department 9822:6 9912:18	detainment 9885:17	10134:1
10127:1 10190:13 dealings 10006:2 10079:4	10039:24 10064:4 10178:14 <b>departure</b> 9772:21 10112:5	<b>detention</b> 9771:18 9788:6 9920:11 9921:6 9928:25	directed 9830:19 direction 9907:24 10057:11
10130:19 10134:1 10184:16	depend 10115:13 10169:5	9941:8,13 9945:17 10159:3	10090:1 10131:16
deals 9927:11 10078:14	depending 9947:16	10168:1 10177:22 10178:24	directives 10007:21
dealt 9819:2,3,4 9895:20	depends 10001:15	10181:17	directly 9775:6 9792:4,19
10022:22 10023:2 10060:4	deplorable 10182:6	determination 9821:10	9794:4 9818:6 9864:21
10062:17 10111:12	deport 9866:11 9886:6	determine 9871:7 9924:7	9902:4 9943:8 9971:6
10133:22 10137:15,17	9889:24 9969:9 10141:9	9978:15 10030:22 10037:20	10009:20 10010:8 10014:19
10159:10	10143:19 10172:12	10039:3 10057:9 10065:12	10063:24 10107:10,13,15
dearly 9863:18	deportation 9816:17,25	10185:5	10123:1
debate 9905:8 9908:24	9817:8 9849:10,19,24	determined 9885:6	director 10042:23
<b>debates</b> 9908:14	9866:20 9870:4 9886:14	deux 10097:25 10100:19	dis 10097:3 10100:8
<b>DEC</b> 10160:13,16,23	9887:8,13 9890:11 9894:9	deuxième 10100:22	disagree 10156:9
<b>December</b> 10006:21 10051:2	9950:24 9951:17,18	devant 10092:14 10098:4	disclose 9834:14 10165:7
10085:9	9952:24 9955:7,25 9956:2	development 10121:3	disclosed 9868:15,16
decide 9935:16 9951:23	10065:2 10151:1 10190:14	10123:17	disclosing 9799:7
decided 9866:17 9885:7	10191:1	developments 10169:2	disclosure 9935:12
9971:3 10136:12 10180:18	deported 9794:5 9815:12	devoted 10058:21	discover 9890:7,8 10147:6
decision 9928:4 9929:4,11,13	9816:11 9827:3 9847:3,22	<b>DFAIT</b> 9781:25 9782:23	discovered 9782:19 9795:13
9930:9,14,18 9931:9 9945:4	9848:15 9859:23 9871:25	9796:22 9797:18 9807:25	9821:11 9889:17 9899:20
9970:14,16 9971:7 9979:17 10005:10 10038:6 10055:13	9874:7 9878:23 9884:25 9889:3,11,18,19 9890:5	9871:2,9 9891:3,6,12,24 9892:7 9893:20 9895:25	10147:9,20 discrepancy 10148:1
10005.10 10056.0 10055.15	7007.3,11,10,17 7070.3	7074.1 7073.40 7073.43	uiscrepancy 10140.1

discretion 9809:18 9947:5 9948:10,18 9949:4 du 10092:22 10094:14 effort 10036:25 10088:9,11 discuss 9793:10 9862:19 9983:17 9985:2 9986:11 10098:19,21 10099:6,20,25 Egypt 9914:17 9927:4 9913:5 9920:18 9925:3 9991:19.22.23 9992:13.16 eight 9797:10 9804:6.12 10101:12 9979:16 10007:20 10030:2 9992:21,21 9993:14 dual 9789:17,19 9790:2 9805:3 9810:11 9995:24 9824:19.25 9835:17 9836:7 10056:13 10163:16 10005:11.16 10007:9 10122:14 10134:23 10188:4 10034:2 10035:23 10044:5 discussed 9787:21 9788:16 9847:1,21,21 9848:23 eightv 9979:25 9793:8 9795:4 9810:17 10104:8 10126:22,25 9850:1 9954:24 9956:23,25 either 9784:14 9855:5 9811:3,20 9835:11,13,17,20 10127:18,20 10129:1 10109:25 10115:3,5 9870:15 9879:5 9885:21 9897:25 9902:25 9907:2 9837:2,14 9843:6 9866:23 10145:24 10148:6 10130:11 10136:11 9887:25 9888:1 9893:15 divisions 9906:22 9907:8,15 10148:17 10149:3,11,13,14 9935:22 9936:17 9949:3 9914:3 9918:1 9924:2 9907:19 10127:25 due 9801:15 9835:1 9966:20 9956:17 10037:5 10042:18 9925:23 9926:4.13 9931:11 document 9800:1.3.10 9967:16 10060:1 10057:8 10068:7.25 9931:19 9932:5,13 9935:20 9801:22 9802:3 9809:6 10072:10 10090:19 10115:5 **dump** 9977:6 10081:12 9830:17 9831:6 9867:21 10082:10 10084:19 10075:7 10089:16 10127:2 10160:25 10165:21 10130:8,14 10149:22 9872:3 9873:9 9899:21 10132:19,22 10171:18 10150:5,7 10151:23 9919:24 9924:2,2 9925:21 **dumping** 9977:22 El 9836:19 9914:18 9915:5,16 10152:8,9 10153:2,3,22 9925:22 9926:4,8,12 durant 10095:24 10096:11 9915:25 9916:15,21 9917:4 10154:21 10170:12 9936:12,14,20 9940:6 duration 10058:17 9917:22 9918:2,7 9921:5,24 10173:17 10175:10 9942:2 9945:4,15,16 duties 9868:10,22 9997:18,20 9924:3 9925:4 9927:3,6 discussing 9834:24 9921:22 9947:11 9970:13.19 10105:25 9928:11 9931:4 9932:8.14 9971:14 9987:5 10023:4 10040:9 10156:4 10177:25 duty 9993:10,18 10037:18,23 9932:17 9983:1 10077:23 10179:3 10026:17 10099:16 10038:2,15 10180:24 10077:24 10078:4 10159:1 discussion 9789:3,15 9793:11 10125:13,15,21 10126:6 **débute** 9771:3 10167:17 9795:7 9796:17 9872:18 10134:17 10154:16 déclarations 10100:19 elaborate 10054:10 10056:5 9903:11 9978:4 10009:4 déjà 10092:14 10099:5 documentation 9830:10 10183:10 9929:17 10112:8 10019:2 10049:1 10063:16 déposer 10098:11,19 electric 9920:14 9921:9,12 9922:5,18,24 9923:19 10063:22 10064:21 10070:8 documented 9812:23,25 détenir 10093:22 9924:4 10072:18 10073:14 documents 9871:1,5,9 détenu 10093:17 10116:17 10129:14 9873:24 9874:1 9937:10 développer 10098:4 element 10187:21 10188:2 10141:22 10149:3 10150:24 9938:6 9970:15 9971:19 d'abord 10095:12 elle 10096:23 10067:14 10069:1 10095:21 d'acquiescer 10094:5 **embarking** 10089:18 10151:15 10156:13 10096:12 10099:22 10100:4 d'ailleurs 10093:9 embarrassed 9889:9 10102:6 10162:10,21 discussions 9814:22 9842:23 10124:14 10135:11 d'avoir 10092:9 embarrassing 10156:14 9940:13 10006:17,20 doing 9772:1 9799:17 9809:25 d'une 10092:21 10093:13,15 embarrassment 10151:2,15 10007:3,17 10052:13 9851:13,22 9856:9 9899:25 **D-E-C** 10160:12,12 10151:19 10154:10,13 10151:8 10164:5 10174:17 9900:23 9924:17 9928:7 dès 10100:3 embassy 9791:14 9792:11 9799:5 9802:10 9833:16 10177:7.15 9958:13.19 10020:18 discuter 10099:17 10033:24 10184:11 9846:4 9879:11 9901:19 displeasure 9903:10 **DOJ** 9776:22 9911:23 earlier 9772:19 9828:15 9958:9 9971:16 9999:2 disposal 9996:22 9912:17 10039:3 10059:2 10061:22 10062:2 9833:23 9840:9 9873:8 **dispute** 9905:7 9880:15 9994:1 10101:23 dollars 10087:15 10062:4 10066:25 10067:11 dissatisfied 10066:7 domain 10060:9 earliest 9948:3 9949:20 10136:4 10168:20 10184:5 10184.8 disseminate 9904:25 10062:9 domestic 9934:5,9,20 early 9798:1 9970:16 distinct 9817:2 9971:17 10004:22 10010:20 emphasize 9840:16 10059:19,20 Don 9898:3.4 employ 9862:21 easier 10182:15 distinction 9950:23 9951:3 donc 10096:11 10097:5 easiest 9871:11 9988:7 employed 10104:3.11.16.21 10054:16 10099:12 10100:3,14 10118:23 10119:7 10136:4 easily 9976:17 dit 10092:17 10093:25 dossier 10095:16 10101:2 10184:5 Easter 10161:6,10,21 employees 10106:21 doubt 9809:25 10095:20,24 10096:3,4,5,10 10186:15,18 10096:19 10097:1 10098:1 doute 10096:19 Easter's 10162:5 en 10092:23,24 10093:10,21 10098:5 10099:16,21 downsizing 10107:12 Edelson 9981:9.24 10093:25 10094:5,8,10,19 10100:13,16 downstairs 9827:25 effect 9816:3 9847:16 9856:20 10096:18.18 10098:5 dites 10101:5 downtown 10133:18 9890:1 9891:9 10131:3 10099:17 10100:12 10136:7 10151:9 10156:8 division 9772:14 9773:3 **drafted** 9883:15,16 9885:22 encore 10092:8 9775:4.25 9777:5.12 9778:2 drafting 9892:6 9980:21 10157:11 10159:6 **encounter** 10055:25 9778:11 9785:6,21 9792:3 draw 9940:25 9964:22 9965:1 effective 10023:10,17,17 encountered 10061:16 9792:17 9793:4,11 9818:4 9966:25 9967:2 10024:4 encouraged 10016:22 9826:19 9844:7 9864:9 drawing 9912:15 effectively 10013:16 endangering 9889:25

drive 9856:19 10016:6

effet 10094:10

endeavour 9867:3

9907:13 9911:24 9913:4

endeavoured 9918:1 **equipment** 10029:16 9821:13 9847:10 9850:21 ended 9858:5 10021:10 era 10003:10 9859:21 9866:8 9886:3,18 error 9808:10.15 9878:25 9890:15 9891:4 9895:24 10085:5 ends 9927:13 10145:20 9896:20 9934:4 9939:18 escapes 9924:19 9942:3 9959:11.19.22 **enforced** 9907:25 **enforcement** 9800:25 9934:5 escort 9951:21 9960:5,15 9961:17 9962:1 9934:10.21 10015:17 escorted 9951:24 9963:8 9971:11 9978:16 10138:15,16 especially 10057:24 10091:4 10026:3 10036:3 10065:13 engage 9774:25 9819:14 10173:19 10085:20 10086:13 10088:7 9825:21 essence 9778:17 10090:10 10101:24 10117:5 engaged 9787:4 9788:22,23 essential 10021:19 10089:2 10124:11 10134:9 10135:25 10136:3 essentially 10014:22 10136:8.9.18.20 10150:20 essentiellement 10092:10 enormous 10050:17 10159:16 10162:6 10174:3 ensemble 10099:17 10094:3 10180:13 10181:22 ensure 9776:22 9779:16 est 10092:10 10093:10 10184:15 10189:20 10191:6 10094:25 10095:8,18 9997:21 10039:11 10053:7 10191:9 10055:5 10081:18 10082:1 10096:21 10097:24 10099:4 evidentiary 9801:14 10083:5 10091:21 10100:6 10192:5 exact 9782:12 9789:25 9909:2 ensuring 9978:25 10034:9 establish 9842:10,13,21 10139:4 entail 10065:8 10055:14 10056:16 exactement 10093:24 **entailed** 10133:16 established 9943:1 10054:24 10094:11 10097:4,17,21 entendu 10096:17 10101:1 10064:3 10101:7 estimate 10088:22 **entendues** 10100:20 exactly 9813:19 9837:13 enter 9781:1 9834:4 9875:8 estimons 10098:16 9856:23 9858:5 9883:18 9970:12 9971:8 9972:2 Est-ce 10094:8,21 9912:14 10029:7 10043:15 10059:25 10060:12 et 9865:5,5 9899:12,13 10046:14 10054:14,14 10092:14 examination 9771:12 9911:12 entered 9802:4 10189:19 **entering** 9824:13 10093:10,22 10094:12,18 9991:1 10049:1 10050:2 entire 9900:17 9977:23 10095:1,17,20 10096:1,9,16 10054:7 10076:14 10104:1 **entitled** 10115:4 10096:20,22,25 10097:3,13 10114:5 10116:10 10118:21 entity 9780:14 9785:24 10097:22 10098:14,15 10165:3 10182:18 10057:4 10099:16,18,19,20,24 **example** 9774:18 9831:13 entre 10092:24 10100:5,13,14,14,21 9877:4,7 9892:16 9942:16 entries 9866:14 9869:18 10101:10,16 10139:11 10007:4 10018:10 10023:14 10140:16 10164:1 10034:18 10130:9 10133:7 9880:21 entry 9778:24 9780:23 9789:4 eu 10094:15 10099:24 exception 10007:22 9789:6 9804:11 9805:3.4 10101:13 exceptional 10181:7 9808:13 9813:8 9826:19 Europe 9780:18 9970:7 exchange 9952:10 9971:15 9839:2,5,6 9847:6,14 10111:20 10114:13 10018:3 10019:9,15 9848:9,11,18 9850:20 **European** 10112:4 10114:13 10053:6 10054:22 10063:7 exchanged 9774:13 9852:21 9860:10 9866:3 evaluate 9905:1 9888:17 9894:22 9895:5 evening 9833:21 9862:15 exchanges 9819:3 9897:25 9901:6 9902:9 9869:24 10067:20 10136:2 excuse 9775:4 9783:8 9811:1 9904:2,5 9916:4 9955:3 9884:6 9885:12 9886:2 event 9781:7 9807:17 9812:25 9958:3,8,24 9959:15,17,24 9821:14 9825:4 9832:17 9898:6 10127:14 9960:9.16.18 9961:19.25 9836:4 9840:17 9842:18 exert 10056:11 10057:4 9877:19 9900:20 9908:22 9970:10 10040:25 10041:2 exhaustif 10092:15 10041:3 10067:25 10068:21 10003:6 10045:6 10050:16 **exhibit** 9772:1 9777:4,10 9778:10,17 9779:4 9780:3,9 10069:24 10070:13,16 10059:11 10112:12 10115:4 10110:9,10,23 10111:17,17 events 9835:12 9869:20 9780:11 9782:16 9786:22 10115:19,23,25 10126:8 9908:9 9953:15 9976:12 9790:8.10 9794:18 9799:22 10134:2 10136:18,22 9979:5 10016:4 10053:8 9800:3,8 9803:5,8 9804:10 10139:20 10142:16,23 10118:14 9817:21,21,25 9827:12 10147:11 10148:22 10152:5 eventually 9885:7 10029:10 9828:20,20,24 9854:7 10152:22 10160:7.11 9858:12.12.14 9864:2.4 10181:22 10161:24 10186:1 everybody 10157:17 9866:1 9871:13 9875:8 environment 10027:25 10191:15,22 9883:10 9886:23 9887:2 evidence 9771:16 9801:2 9892:2 9896:21 9968:4 equal 10138:2 equally 10023:17 9809:1,10,13,18 9821:8,9 10067:16 10068:4 10074:3 e-mail 9794:15 9858:8,14,17

10119:11 10125:9,17,24 10126:1.6 10128:11 10134:7 10139:14 10142:2 10152:1 10159:12 10163:8 10182:21 10185:15.24 exhibits 9777:2 10125:4 existed 10077:1 **existence** 10023:12 existing 9776:10,24 10000:21 10001:1 10083:22,24 10084:2 10124:24 **expect** 10054:25 10065:20 **expected** 9939:5 9940:19 10026:8,11 10039:7 10168:12,21 expecting 10055:2 expeditiously 10014:5 expense 9824:11 9826:11,11 10110:25 experience 9913:7 9966:24 9967:2 10022:23 10056:19 10057:13 10110:13,23 experiences 10057:14,15 expert 10190:17 **expertise** 10022:23 experts 9923:13 10039:2 10043:13 explain 9945:3 10056:22 10070:15 10134:8 explained 9949:3 9978:17 explains 10147:16 10148:1 **explanation** 9824:1 9948:9 9967:8 10146:13 10172:20 10172:22 expliquer 10095:7 expliqué 10093:5,24 exploitation 10106:10 explore 9930:1 9983:8 10042:4 10043:9 exploring 9791:23 9806:5 9874:13 10041:17 express 9921:22 10191:14 expressed 9918:3 10052:2 10082:23 expression 9977:6 10188:10 **extended** 9903:17 extensively 9869:9 extent 9996:19 9997:2 10004:4 10185:4 extra 9937:5 extrait 10098:11 **extraordinary** 10011:13,17 10158:21 extremely 9912:25 9913:20 9914:2 9953:9 9988:14 10017:9 **Extremism** 10120:23 extérieures 10092:22

familiarize 9920:2 9861:23 9863:21,22 9864:4 9864:7 9865:10 9866:5 familiarized 10029:12 9869:21 9897:12 9901:11 family 9927:4 9968:5,16 9969:8 9973:17 far 9827:4 9856:25 9870:1 9889:7 9929:25 9955:25 10065:3 10068:5.21 10069:11 10082:21 10075:13 10079:22 10085:21 10087:2 10126:12 10087:21 10163:10 10176:15,22 F fashion 10051:13 face 10006:4 10108:20 fast 10057:2 fax 9772:9 9773:4,9 9786:19 faced 9958:20 facing 9801:16 10183:16 9802:1,7,9,17 9803:17,25 facsimile 10135:7 9841:23 9843:9,13 9844:9 9864:15 9896:22 10134:7 fact 9785:9 9821:1 9832:23 9836:16 9838:20 9872:18 faxed 9779:2,11 9828:15 9832:18 9859:8 9897:7 9872:25 9876:21 9886:21 9889:2 9890:5 9919:12,15 10134:23 9919:17,18 9931:6,13 faxes 9786:20 9932:3 9941:21 9944:8 faxing 10134:17 9946:8 9959:21 9965:9 **FBI** 10022:18 9970:16 9994:18 9997:8 fear 9962:23 9965:2 10001:22 10002:2 10008:5 10011:5,5 feared 9954:18 9962:15 10012:18 10014:18 10015:4 9964:16 10021:10 10022:9 10024:4 fearful 9963:1 10028:24,25 10029:25 fears 9820:24 10033:17 10037:21 10044:8 February 9909:3,5 10120:1 10044:11,21 10050:23 10160:7 10185:21 10186:1 10051:3 10053:9 10062:1 feel 9808:24 9954:5 9962:6 10067:13 10074:10 10111:6 feet 9919:10 10077:18 10083:8 10123:8 feet/legs 9920:13 10129:6 10133:15 10145:22 10149:10,13 10157:22 fell 9909:11 felt 9945:12 10066:21 10168:6 10186:15,18 10187:13 **FIB** 10036:2 10051:3 field 9993:2 factored 9931:7 factors 10177:12 10183:14 Fifteen 10104:23 facts 9793:2 9838:5 **fighting** 9851:24 factual 9821:16 9873:9 figure 9947:20 figured 10065:14 10066:12 fair 9819:7 9821:15 9839:11 file 9777:2 9790:8 9851:12 9840:4 9886:22 9900:5 9902:6 9905:12 9908:19 9854:13 9869:7 9881:21 9915:18 9928:3 9930:16 9900:17.22 10021:8 9935:10 9941:5,23 9942:11 10029:2,11,12 10033:5,8 9943:18 9947:15 9954:7 10060:4,6 10106:12 9955:13,24 9987:13,23 10132:8,8 10133:18 9988:11 10001:22 10040:23 10134:2 10137:9,14,15,16 10058:19 10061:11 10137:20 10139:12 10113:18 10114:8 10115:14 filed 10139:19 10129:25 10135:2 10163:6 **files** 9907:6,12 10106:6 10172:4 10166:5,10,13 faire 10095:15 10099:6 **filing** 9894:14 10142:8 **fill** 9861:14 9868:21 10012:21 10100:5 fairly 9954:4 10060:6 10106:6 **filling** 9868:9 10113:13 10136:23 final 9794:16 9851:12 9880:13 9896:19 9901:3 fait 10092:20 10093:8

9930:14 9951:25 9958:13

10086:17 10163:4

finally 9873:17 9905:18

9988:22 10068:11 10086:8

10095:9 10096:8

familiar 9922:15 10108:20

10161:16 10167:14,18

false 9920:15

9906:11 10087:19 10151:6 10163:7 find 9801:4 9808:19 9814:10 9818:24 9820:14 9823:12 9932:19 9936:16 9944:19 9968:13,17 10048:23 10079:18 10134:2 10151:4 10175:1 finding 9863:19 9871:4 9872:14 9977:3 fine 9820:1 9873:5 10048:12 **fingers** 9906:7 finish 10069:21 10146:17 finished 9933:3 first 9773:17 9777:10 9778:16 9802:19 9803:20 9805:3 9835:17 9944:11 9951:22 9974:3,4 9994:1 10014:9 10067:16,23 10076:4 10081:16 10119:7 10127:5 10127:8 10130:1 10135:6 10159:14 10160:11 10166:24 10167:11,24 10186:5 fit 9776:23 five 9880:17 9910:17 9949:14 10048:1,6 10117:14 10122:17,18,20 10146:23 10166:9,10 five-minute 10102:22 10117:11 flew 9824:11 10110:16 Flewelling 9771:8,13,19,22 9772:3,14 9773:10,14,15,19 9774:1,10,23 9775:8,15,22 9776:4,7,18,21 9777:22 9779:5.13.20 9780:1.7 9781:10,15,22 9782:5,8,12 9784:5,12,15,18,22 9785:1 9785:10,14,16 9786:1,5,11 9786:16,24 9787:7,11,19,22 9788:3,8,14,17,20 9789:5 9789:10,18,24 9790:6,19,24 9791:3,6,13,17,19 9792:12 9792:23 9793:6,12,17,23 9794:7,13,21 9795:3,11,18 9795:23 9796:3,7,15,24 9797:22 9798:6,13,17,24 9799:4,9,14,16,19,24 9800:5,9,22 9801:23 9802:2 9802:8,14,22 9803:3,7,15 9803:21 9804:8,16,21 9805:1,7,10,14,20 9806:3 9806:11,21,25 9807:3,7,12 9807:16,22 9810:6,8,13,16 9810:22 9811:6,8,11,15,18 9811:23 9812:3,8,14,17,22 9813:2,6,11,16 9814:1,4,7 9814:10,14,18 9815:2,14,19

9815:22,24 9816:14,22 9817:1,12,15 9818:12,20 9819:1,12,23 9820:2,5,15 9820:17,20 9821:21,24 9822:4,16,19,22 9823:1,4,7 9823:17 9824:17 9825:1,7 9825:11,19 9826:3,9,13,25 9827:9,19,23 9828:8,10,17 9828:22 9829:3,6,10,17 9831:2,10 9832:20 9833:8 9833:11,15,19 9834:2,5,11 9834:16,20,23 9835:5,7,21 9836:1,8,14,21 9837:1,11 9837:22 9838:2,13,17,23 9839:3,8,13 9841:14 9842:3 9842:15,23 9843:3,10,18,23 9844:1,3,6,13,19,22 9845:1 9845:6,11,14,18,21,25 9846:3,7,9,13 9847:25 9848:10,16,20,25 9849:4,9 9849:23 9850:6,18 9851:10 9851:19 9852:6,15,22 9853:2,7,12,17,20 9854:9 9855:4,9,15,19 9856:7,13 9856:21 9857:2,13,18 9858:2,9,16,21 9859:18 9861:8 9862:9,12 9863:1,6 9863:17,25 9864:6 9865:13 9865:18 9866:4 9867:18 9868:3,24 9869:4,8,14,19 9870:5,7,13,16,23 9871:3 9871:16 9872:4,9,15,24 9873:4,14,21,25 9874:5,9 9874:13,17,21 9875:12,18 9875:21 9876:1,5,9,12,18 9876:24 9877:11,15,21 9878:4.7.10.15.19.24 9879:4,9,25 9880:8 9881:1 9881:5,9,13,17,22 9882:1,5 9882:10,19 9883:3,18,22 9885:24 9886:9,16 9889:14 9890:2.12.17.21.23 9891:1 9891:16 9892:1,9,13 9893:15,23 9894:2 9895:18 9896:7,11,17,25 9897:15,19 9898:1,5,7,9,15,21 9899:3 9899:16 9900:2,15,25 9901:7,16,21,25 9902:7,23 9903:3,15,22 9904:7 9905:13,21 9906:3,6,13,17 9906:23 9907:4,9,16,20 9908:1,6,11,13,18 9909:1,5 9909:7,13,19,20 9912:5,12 9912:23 9913:8,22 9914:4 9914:12,24 9915:10,21 9916:3 9917:3,13,24 9918:10,18 9919:1,7,25 9920:7 9921:13,16,19 9922:1,9,14,20 9923:2,8,21

9924:13,18,23 9925:1,5,10
9925:16,24 9926:10,16,20
9927:15,18,22 9928:13
9929:2,7,10,15 9930:11,17
9931:8,17,24 9932:25
9933:17 9934:2,11,16,25
9935:8,14,19 9936:7,15,22
9937:3,8,12,17,21,24
9938:3,7,15,19 9939:3,8,16
9939:20 9940:10,22
9941:10,14,18,24 9942:15 9942:23 9943:13,18,23
9944:2,5,9,13,18,22,25
9945:7,11,23 9946:2,11,17
9946:20,25 9947:14 9948:5
9948:13,17 9949:8 9950:2
9950:21,25 9951:4,11,14,18
9952:4,9,17,20,23 9953:2,6
9953:12,19,23 9954:8
9955:9,14 9956:5,16,24 9957:7,15,18 9958:11,17,25
9959:4,25 9960:19 9961:2,7
9961:23 9962:2,10,21
9963:4,13,21,24 9964:6,13
9964:17,23 9965:3,8,13,18
9964:17,23 9965:3,8,13,18 9966:3,8,12,15,21 9967:7
9967:14,18,22 9968:6,24
9969:4,16,21 9970:2,20
9971:13,23 9972:4,11,15,17
9973:3,6,11,14,18,24
9974:4 9975:19,23 9976:2 9976:11,18,23 9977:14,18
9978:2,9,18 9979:4,10,14
9979:20 9980:7,11,15,17,23
9981:2,5,11,16 9982:1
9983:14 9984:6,9,12,18,23
9985:16 9986:8,19 9987:15
9988:4,12 9989:5,12,14,18
9990:2,7,12 9991:2,4,7,10
9991:14,15,18,24 9992:2,7 9992:9,17,23 9993:2,8,12
9993:16,20,25 9994:3,11,14
9994:17,21,25 9995:4,7,15
9995:18,21 9996:1,5,9,13
9996:17,21 9997:1,6,12,17
9997:20 9998:4,7,10,17,21
9999:4,7,12,16,21,24
10000:3,7,14,18,20,24
10001:3,6,9,14,21 10002:6 10002:14,19,25 10003:8,15
10002.14,19,23 10003.8,13
10003:20 10004:1,3,9,13,19
10005:22 10006:3,7,10,13
10006:16,23 10007:1,7,10
10007:16 10008:4,10,17,22
10009:3,8,16,21 10010:6,9
10010:15,22 10011:3,7,11
10011:14,20,25 10012:3,7
10012:12,16,24 10013:2,7

10013:12,20,25 10014:8,16 10014:20.24 10015:3.7.10 10015:13,19,23 10016:3,9 10016:16,21 10017:1,6,19 10018:2,11,23 10019:1,13 10019:18,24 10020:2,5,13 10020:15,19,22 10021:9,17 10021:24 10022:2,6,13,16 10022:20 10023:1,6,22 10024:8,15,21,25 10025:6 10025:13,16,25 10026:5,13 10026:21 10027:5,10,15,23 10028:6,13,18,23 10029:3,7 10029:15,20,23 10030:3,6 10030:12,20 10031:2,9,14 10031:23 10032:4,9,15,19 10032:23 10033:7,10,13,16 10033:21 10034:1,6,11,16 10034:22 10035:1,6,9,14,17 10035:22,25 10036:7,12,15 10036:20,23 10037:2,10,13 10037:16,22,25 10038:5,11 10038:14,20,25 10039:5,10 10039:19,22 10040:1,5,12 10040:21 10041:20,23 10042:2,8,12,19 10043:3,8 10043:11,15,20,23 10044:1 10044:7,10,15,20,25 10045:7,12,18,22 10046:1,7 10046:10,14,22,25 10047:3 10047:7,13,16 10048:12 10049:3,7,15,20 10050:3,7 10050:14,21 10051:1,9,17 10051:21 10052:8,11,14,18 10052:21 10053:4,11,14 10054:9,19 10055:2,12,19 10056:3,8,21,24 10057:17 10058:1.6.12.16.23 10059:9 10059:13,18 10060:3,11,20 10060:23 10061:3,7,12,19 10062:5,14,19,24 10063:1,5 10063:20,25 10064:7,11,20 10065:5,9,22,25 10066:4,11 10066:19 10067:4,8,21 10068:2,9,14 10069:5,12,17 10070:1.10.14.17 10071:10 10071:14 10072:4,9,21 10073:4,8,19,23 10074:13 10074:24 10075:5,13,17 10076:9,16 10077:16,20 10078:1,6,17,21,24 10079:2 10079:8,14 10080:1,4,9,12 10080:17,20,23 10081:6,22 10081:25 10082:6,17,25 10083:4,12,21,25 10084:9 10084:20 10085:1,17,24 10086:2,5,15,24 10087:5,10 10087:17 10088:1,13 10108:10,18 10109:4,13

10113:19,22 10116:13,18 10122:22 10130:25 10131:1 10134:10.22 10135:3 10136:1,19,25 10140:1,16 10145:4.23 10150:14 10160:4 10166:3,13 10167:24 10168:19 10170:5 10170:7,22 10171:14,16,24 10172:6,25 10173:6,25 10184:11 **Flewelling's** 10184:16 flight 9778:22 floor 9819:2,21,25 9821:19 9825:21 9950:14 10109:5 flow 9820:22 9937:10 10026:18 10084:6 10124:16 flown 9823:19 9824:12 10110:8,20 fly 9792:4,18 9794:4 9818:5 flying 9780:18 9970:7 focus 10004:2 10122:5 fois 10092:9 **folded** 9909:8 follow 9860:17 9866:25 9931:22 9993:18 10082:13 10113:12 followed 9919:4 10043:2 following 9776:24 9803:17,18 9835:4 9853:21 9859:24 9896:12,14 9903:4 9912:19 9918:15 9949:19 9971:13 9976:12 9984:19 10013:22 10014:4 10017:16 10146:18 10175:25 10185:6 force 10181:16 forced 9920:14 forces 10000:6 foreign 9774:7 9841:3 9902:4 9904:17 9905:10,24 9907:18,20 9928:20 9934:20 9982:13 9988:16 9998:16.22 10004:22 10015:17 10022:7.10 10026:8 10033:22 10038:3 10040:9 10041:19 10042:15 10049:6 10054:17,21 10064:4 10070:7 10071:7 10071:11 10073:1,17 10076:20 10159:18 10179:14 10180:5 10187:14 forensic 10048:25 forget 9968:11 10084:6,7 forgot 9902:25 **forgotten** 10123:8 form 9802:7.9 9915:4 10135:4 formal 9930:25 9995:16

9999:8

format 9936:20

formed 10004:18

10018:3 10064:13 **forthcoming** 9786:4,8,10 fortunately 9923:8 forum 9808:21 9809:11 10077:6 forward 9799:11,21,22 9843:5 10046:3 forwarded 9773:18 9776:2,9 9801:5 9803:14,16,25 9947:4,6 10025:23 10035:23 10043:21 10071:10 Fothergill 9797:14,19 9808:9 9809:5,9,16 9810:2,4 9820:21 9839:21 9841:12 9868:12 9968:3 9982:9 9983:3 9985:20 9995:8 10047:19 10054:6,8,23 10055:7,16,25 10056:4,17 10056:22 10057:12,19 10058:2,9,14,18,25 10059:10,14,23 10060:5,17 10060:21,25 10061:4,10,15 10061:20 10062:10,17,21 10062:25 10063:2,10,21 10064:2,8,17,25 10065:6,18 10065:23 10066:1,6,16,24 10067:5,10,22 10068:3,10 10068:19 10069:9,13,20 10070:2,11,15 10071:3,13 10071:15 10072:5,16,22 10073:6,10,21 10074:2,14 10074:25 10075:8,15,18 10076:11 10077:5 10086:9 10086:19 10089:11,16 10090:7.17 10116:7.8.11.16 10116:23 10135:2 10139:17 10139:22 10154:15 10155:10 10182:12,14,19 10183:2,10,23 10184:2,7,13 10184:22 10185:1,11,18 10186:4,12,21 10187:15,23 10189:16 **found** 9821:6 9908:16 9944:10.15 10056:9.19 10063:7 10127:12 10128:11 10167:24 four 9866:15 9869:21 9938:9 9938:23 9939:11 9949:14 9980:1 9984:25 10026:25 10061:13,13 fourth 9819:2,21,25 9821:19 9825:20 9950:14 10109:5 frame 9848:1 9912:13 9913:9 Fraser 9975:14 fraud 9963:15 fray 10131:12 free 9817:6 9835:22 9963:10

forth 9888:25 9997:23

9975:11 10054:22 10084:6 10002:16 10161:8,21 10124:16 10162:5 10184:8 10186:14 freedom 9988:16 generally 10022:12 10178:13 French 9901:19 10126:22,22 genre 10094:6,6 **frequency** 10035:21 gentleman 9826:1 frequently 9777:16 9953:14 gentlemen 9822:15 9823:13 9824:15 9827:24 10109:7 10062:19 friction 10055:24 10056:1 Germany 9983:24 9985:9 getting 9796:14 9851:24 10057:6 9865:25 9872:25 9873:3 Friday 9803:23 9806:16 9807:15 9832:15 9833:9,12 9913:16 9914:8 9916:12 9834:5 9856:11 9857:11,15 9948:25 9949:3.21 9857:16 9859:2,8 9862:15 10023:11 10034:7 10053:23 10082:15 10085:13,15,22 9864:16 9901:6 9947:23,25 9948:1 9949:2 10105:12,16 10181:19,21,21 10105:20 10107:25 **Girvan** 9815:9 10133:24 10160:7 10163:16 give 9789:24 9790:20 9825:14 friend 9872:13 9968:2 9982:7 9920:15 9949:23 9967:8 10040:24 9974:25 9977:2 9979:17 friend's 10041:8 10020:17 10034:19 10056:6 front 9794:20 9823:12 10058:3,9,20 10062:3 9828:21 9888:13 9970:1 10070:2 10075:22 10076:6 10151:16 10153:16 10082:14 10109:12 10123:8 10182:25 10185:25 10134:6,20 10140:11 frustrating 9908:16 10056:20 10181:8,16,18 10191:9 fulfilling 9988:7 fulfills 10184:24 full 9997:1 10008:9 10067:23 10071:2 10103:19 10118:4 10171:7 **fully** 10102:7 **fulsome** 10181:1 function 9928:12 10062:4 10184:8,24 **functions** 9928:17 further 9801:11 9860:18 9909:21 9917:19.20 9942:6 9945:13 10007:20 10038:23 10088:4,18 10113:25 10135:9 10164:25 10182:8 10191:3 furtherance 10072:14 10179:16 10180:22 fuss 9916:6 **future** 10003:13  $\mathbf{G}$ gain 9986:4 9988:15 10071:4 gives 10181:5 **Gardez** 10100:18 gardez-le 10100:17

given 9779:23 9840:9 9867:25 9904:18 9912:10 9915:4 9916:19 9917:22 9918:13 9918:25 9919:4 9920:23 9921:3,11 9924:8 9925:19 9925:19 9926:5 9932:13,21 9935:11 9941:19 9948:6 9955:11 9964:4 9968:22 9971:20 9977:8,10 9987:11 9995:15 10000:16,22 10002:25 10003:11 10005:20 10013:14 10014:6 10016:4 10021:4.22 10032:1 10033:3 10037:7 10038:11 10040:14 10047:5 10081:20 10083:17 10090:10 10111:13 10113:14 10121:14 10125:10 10128:3,5,11 10130:5 10131:3.9 10141:16 10147:19 10168:6 10168:16 10179:1 giving 9946:22 9957:14 9977:23 10024:10 10027:6 Garvie 9854:7,10 9937:14 10056:4 10058:3 10060:7 9938:2,22 9939:19 9942:2 glad 10168:24 10125:5,11 10128:10,12,13 glean 9918:4 10129:11 10139:24 gleaned 9986:24 10030:25 10143:15,16 10147:4,8,17 10071:25 gather 9982:7 10166:2 go 9773:13 9778:14 9780:3,3 9780:8 9784:8 9787:8,15 10174:16 10176:18,21 9791:18 9797:5,6 9798:4 gathering 10016:20,25 general 9849:24 9899:9 9803:22 9804:24,24 9808:2

9812:25 9813:21 9814:6,8 9815:17 9816:24 9817:7.14 9818:23 9819:21 9820:7 9825:20 9826:22 9831:4 9835:14,22 9836:5,6,24 9837:24 9838:10 9845:5 9849:17 9862:13 9865:21 9866:2,13 9868:18 9870:21 9872:11 9873:7 9887:20 9889:5 9890:22 9901:4,10 9901:15 9903:5.11.14 9904:1 9906:1,5 9911:15 9920:6 9923:13,13 9924:7 9926:15 9928:23 9929:1 9930:23 9931:15 9937:14 9951:23 9954:10,11 9957:20 9959:25 9962:13 9969:22 9971:24 9973:20 9975:11 9977:1 9978:19 9979:24 9980:4 9983:12 9985:23 9999:1 10008:13 10008:14 10027:7 10045:9 10052:4 10057:11 10070:19 10070:22 10071:1 10072:21 10079:22 10089:7 10090:22 10125:2 10126:7 10135:8 10136:12 10137:10 10140:4 10140:12,22 10144:13 10148:20,23 10153:18 10160:6 10161:25 10162:5 10162:12 10170:17 10171:6 10175:8 10176:5 10177:1 10180:16,18 10181:15 10183:5,19 10189:21 10191:24 goals 10131:11 **god** 10113:3 goes 9772:24 9777:19,24,25 9780:22 9829:12,14 9840:23 9859:9 9860:6,20 9863:10 9864:25 9895:8 9999:2 10033:1 10126:20 10129:10 10143:24 10145:17 10150:16 10153:19 10161:2 10163:19 10164:3.8 going 9771:24 9774:6,20 9775:2 9777:13 9785:2 9789:12 9794:3,5 9797:9 9799:21 9806:1,5 9807:23 9810:1 9814:25 9815:11 9816:3,11 9817:14,16,16 9818:15,18 9819:8,17 9820:12 9821:9 9823:9 9824:4 9825:13 9838:3 9839:1 9844:14 9850:15,16 9850:16,24 9852:20 9854:14 9857:23 9858:3,3

9861:14 9868:9,19,21

9869:10 9870:3,15 9875:1 9879:6,6,20 9886:14 9889:5 9889:18,20 9891:4 9909:16 9910:3,8,25 9912:3,17,20 9912:24 9916:10 9918:2.7 9924:7 9931:8,20 9933:15 9935:12 9937:23 9941:22 9942:12 9946:19 9948:7 9949:12,14 9950:16 9951:19 9952:18 9956:17 9957:24 9963:18 9965:23 9966:11 9968:12.13.21 9969:7,9 9970:21,24 9971:4 9971:5,24 9972:2,20,22 9975:9,10 9982:7 9986:16 9995:11 10002:7 10007:4 10011:2 10023:14 10026:12 10028:8 10046:16 10047:20 10056:13 10058:7,23 10060:6 10065:12,18 10066:19,20,21,22 10069:20 10070:20,22,24 10072:10 10081:13 10082:13 10083:10 10084:15,22 10085:2,4 10086:21 10087:3,7,9 10088:23,23 10111:19,23 10119:20 10126:12 10133:4 10133:7 10137:9,11 10149:4,5 10151:6 10157:10,16,24 10158:6 10162:9,16 10165:6 10166:21 10175:6,9,14 10176:11,11,21 10180:7,8,9 10182:22 10185:1 10187:20 10190:15 good 9771:7 9811:3 9928:1 9946:6 10063:6 10178:2,25 10179:4,13 10191:20 Gould 9895:25,25 9896:1,6 9911:23 government 9797:9 9904:19 9976:15 10039:13 gov't 10160:20 10161:12 grabbed 10146:24 graduated 10119:14 grand 10095:10 granted 9806:14 **grateful** 9801:18 grave 10157:10 10188:20 **GRC** 10093:15,20 10094:3 10100:10,13 great 9875:2 9891:4 9900:7 9911:4 greater 10051:5 10053:5 10086:16 green 10161:9 green-covered 10125:15 10126:6 10182:21

10190:18 Greg 9823:6,11 Gregg 10103:8 happened 9786:12 9837:8 9913:7 9966:21 9977:17 Greggory 10103:18,20 gros 10094:8 10101:12 10050:15 10059:16 ground 9919:10 10018:22,25 10086:23 10145:22 10154:9 10019:17 10169:10 10174:10 10176:21 10190:10 grounds 9884:19 group 9918:1 9976:10 happening 9878:6 9956:12 10028:4 10088:17 10106:7 10059:15 happens 10110:10 10174:18 10177:13 Guantanamo 9852:1 9966:11 happy 9927:23 9994:19 9966:14,18 9967:12 hard 9932:19 9989:24 10059:8,17 10073:23 10189:7 10191:21 guarantee 9968:21 10074:20 head 9829:13,14 10080:7 10075:3 heading 10123:17 **guardian** 9904:20 headquarters 9772:11,12 guess 9800:20 9802:16 9840:5 9776:2 9777:20,21 9782:3,4 9841:12 9850:15 9854:10 9782:6 9829:14 9907:3 9880:23 9905:7 9936:20 9912:18 9913:4 9947:7 9948:9 9953:25 9967:13 10011:1.13 10018:9 10106:23 10115:15 10033:4 10055:21 10104:17 10108:21 10120:24 10121:5 10117:10 10122:6 10190:12 **guidelines** 10038:12 10121:7 10128:1 guy 9830:22 9833:2 9987:19 heads 10129:2 9987:20 10157:8 hear 9900:20 9922:7 9944:19 guys 9847:23 9849:7 9850:2,5 10091:10 9889:23 9902:3 10157:8 heard 9821:8,13 9839:18 10175:6 10176:10 9852:6,14 9891:3 9895:24 guy's 9969:12 9896:21 9922:11 9933:17 G-21 10003:1 9934:1 9935:9 9966:1 G-8 10003:1 9997:14 10032:21 10065:1 10077:12,23 10085:21 Н 10124:10 10127:8 10134:9 **h** 9771:4 9856:2,4 9910:20,22 10138:13 10145:7 10150:20 9933:9,11 10048:17,19 10159:15 10162:6 10166:24 10091:15,17 10103:2,4 10167:1,3,5,13 10174:2 10117:17,19 10192:6,7 10184:15 half 9837:7 9910:6 9911:2.2 hearing 9835:2 9849:10 9921:6 9972:22 9990:16 9850:24 9870:4 9921:10 9955:15 9958:18 9969:14 10061:13 10088:9 10159:1 Hamas 9843:21,24 10065:2,8,10,11,21,24 Hamid 9800:12 10066:3.8 10090:19.20 hand 9949:5 10103:16 10175:25 10183:16,17 10185:7 10190:14,22,24 10118:1 10139:18 10182:23 10192:3 10186:4 handed 10033:22 hearings 9773:22 heavily 9965:14 9988:14 handle 9810:3 10003:7 held 9808:17 9888:20 9897:8 10032:21 hands 9999:3 9967:16 10062:7 10154:1 handwriting 9846:11 help 9794:12 9891:22 9901:5

9998:6 10087:22 10184:14

heures 10097:1,8 10099:13,14

helpful 9809:23 10126:12

10099:17 10100:4

**helped** 10176:12

heure 10100:4

Hev 10179:4

Hi 9864:11

Hezbollah 9844:2

10126:10

hang 9857:14

hanging 9928:2

handwritten 10087:20

10146:7 10182:23

happen 9794:10 9913:14

10002:13 10011:18,22

10087:3,7,9 10090:14

10170:2 10175:7 10176:21

hier 10095:22 hierarchy 9980:14 high 9920:24 10131:20 10137:19 higher 9935:21 9994:9,12 10131:17 **highlights** 10119:25 highly 10137:16,19 10138:6 high-level 10106:4 high-profile 10106:6 hindsight 9962:10 9965:4,7 hold 9832:8 9960:24 10045:5 10104:6 holding 9884:19 9888:2 10152:10 10153:4 holiday 9882:4 holidays 10106:19 10150:15 10173:25 **holus-bolus** 9979:17 Holv 10113:3 home 9783:12 9807:11 9834:7 9957:23 10057:9 10070:7 10073:2,18 10102:11 10136:1 10169:5 homework 10080:6 HON 10102:4,10,16 honest 9796:3 9893:24 9981:11 10063:7 honestly 9789:18,21 9825:1 9850:18,19 9851:6 10085:17 honour 10023:13 hope 9935:14 9986:22 9990:2 10071:23 10087:14 10180:13 hopefully 10089:8 10191:23 10191:24 hoping 10069:23 hors 10185:16 host 9849:19,20 9949:9 hosted 10127:20 hostility 9906:10 hour 9813:3,4 9910:5,5,9 9911:2,2 9972:22 9990:15 hours 9787:17 9788:2 9798:5 9800:16 9801:22 10047:21 10105:19 Hovey 10007:17 10008:23 10009:9,11,13 10019:3 Hovev's 10008:21 HO 9907:21 huit 10099:13 10100:4 human 9836:12 10079:1,5 10084:7 10106:10 10177:19 10178:2,19,25 10179:6,15 10180:2,20 humanitarian 10161:13 10164:12 **humming** 9925:25

hundred 10184:20 hypothetical 9809:9 9956:22 9978:6 10023:9.15 10024:1 10109:12 10148:25 hypothetically 10081:11 hypotheticals 9983:9 ici 10092:10 idea 9775:23 9806:5 9827:3 9832:16 9836:9 9965:19,25 9975:25 9976:2.6 9979:11 9980:23 10022:10 10034:11 10076:22 10081:4 10158:21 10158:25 10166:20 10178:2 10179:4.13 identified 9797:16 identifié 10098:16 identify 10072:2 10155:10 10159:13 identity 10141:14 ignore 10181:17 il 10093:13 10096:12 10097:25 10099:16 10101:3 10101:13 illegal 10106:8 illegible 9864:16,19 ils 10094:5 image 10089:19,23 10091:1 imagine 9913:14 10106:17 10168:14 immediate 9952:3 **immediately** 10168:5,13 immigration 9811:21 9812:13 9813:1,21 9814:6,9 9815:1 9815:10,17 9816:2,8,15 9818:18.24 9819:2.4.9.22 9820:13 9821:20,25 9822:6 9827:6,15,22 9873:11 9950:8,15 9955:12 9958:2 10066:14 10087:12 10104:16.21 10105:1 10108:25 10109:6 10110:14 10112:8 10114:9,23 10118:12 10120:3 10175:24 10183:16 10190:22.23 immigration-oriented 9783:19 **impact** 10055:17 10124:13 **implicate** 10055:22 **implications** 9955:21 10032:2 10149:14 imply 10162:14 **implying** 10143:20 **importance** 10010:12 10016:14 10031:17 10138:2

10166:11 10168:6

**important** 9785:9 9795:15

9822:14 9830:5 9835:19

9844:24 9870:1 9900:10 9914:3 9916:24 9917:11 9918:8 9928:10.15 9931:3.6 9931:13 9932:6,11,20 9948:22 9949:13 9968:12 10010:25 10012:19 10015:1 10015:5,14,17,22 10016:7 10017:9 10030:17 10031:19 10045:5 10047:1,11 10084:14 10094:16 10097:24 10137:9 10168:12 10168:22 impose 10156:16 10158:11 imposing 10154:24 10156:6 impossible 10021:4 impression 9811:1 9857:7 9863:7 9951:15 9956:17 9958:12 9964:3 10044:13 10086:20 improper 10025:12 10026:4 10040:7 10042:18 inability 10051:11 inappropriate 10026:4 10043:14 include 10045:16 10089:4 10172:1 included 9897:10 10044:22 10045:21 10122:21 10127:21 including 9778:2 9823:13 9990:8 10030:1 10130:24 10174:18 incoming 9877:7 9880:16 9882:15,21 inconsistent 10132:19 incorrect 9781:5 incorrectly 10071:20 increased 10056:7 **incumbent** 10042:4 **indebted** 10191:22 indicate 9786:14 9789:14 9797:8 9832:5 9833:24 9841:7 9850:15 9861:22 9862:6 9879:8 10070:4 indicated 9842:2 9857:3 9864:14 9865:3 9885:16 9886:5 9887:11 9888:19 9918:22 10008:20 10010:25 10013:13 10032:12 10067:2 10153:24 indicates 9792:14 9808:7 9830:17 9886:4,19 indicating 9805:18,24 9866:5 indication 9918:12 indications 9877:3 indicative 9954:3 10013:4 **indirect** 9839:6 indirectly 9943:9 individual 9788:5 9792:11

9800:14 9823:22 9826:5 9830:11 9853:1 9856:17 9857:3 9859:25 9860:8 9864:22 9902:24 9905:14 9945:12.24 9951:5.6 9954:5 9984:1 9985:11,13 9987:3 10042:4,20 10063:4 10070:18,20 10071:4,7 10111:8 10116:19 10128:16 10185:4 individuals 9822:5 9825:22 9832:3 9879:11 9883:21 9887:22 9893:2 9900:16 9903:18 9913:17 9915:25 9929:18 9930:20 9976:14 9983:7 9995:9 10021:1 10108:1 individual's 9988:14 Indonesia 9927:7 infer 9963:22,24 10009:18 inference 9989:3,6,9 10036:17 influence 10057:3 info 9804:3 9904:16 9984:2 10171:1 inform 9775:1 9820:7 9828:4 9997:24 9998:6 10011:13 10059:11 10137:11 information 9771:21 9774:19 9778:20 9781:5 9784:2,3 9788:25 9793:2 9795:14,15 9799:8,10 9800:18 9801:9 9802:16,23 9808:23 9822:12 9826:16,24 9827:21 9828:3,14 9830:6 9830:22 9831:1 9832:9 9833:2 9834:14 9841:14 9842:5.13 9843:13 9846:23 9848:2 9852:24 9860:14 9861:17 9862:2 9863:12,16 9863:17 9875:3,6 9876:15 9879:2.15.21.23 9880:2 9884:11,21 9892:22 9893:7 9893:18 9894:13 9895:13 9896:3 9897:3,7,11 9899:1 9899:17.20 9900:20 9901:23 9904:25 9905:3,10 9916:24 9917:12,15 9918:4 9918:13 9919:9 9920:15 9921:3,4,5 9922:5 9923:19 9924:8 9925:15 9931:16 9932:6,14,20 9934:10 9935:16 9937:11 9940:1,1,7 9940:19,23,24 9941:25 9943:16 9948:24 9952:8 9954:20 9958:21 9961:8,9 9961:11 9962:17 9972:7 9976:4,7 9977:9 9978:20,21 9978:25 9979:1,18 9982:4,7

9982:12,19,20,24 9986:23 9987:9 9997:21,23 9998:16 9998:23 10000:11 10002:4 10003:16 10009:23 10010:8 10010:13 10014:5.18 10015:9 10016:19,24 10017:9 10019:10,15 10021:20,21 10023:11,15 10023:20 10024:16 10025:5 10025:9 10026:18 10027:2 10027:6,8 10028:2,8 10030:10,18,24,24 10031:17,19 10032:6,7 10033:3,21 10037:7,12 10040:13 10041:1,5,19 10043:18 10044:22 10045:25 10047:8 10051:13 10054:13,22 10056:5 10058:3 10060:8 10062:8,8 10070:23 10071:24 10072:10,13 10076:19 10078:13,15,20 10079:13 10079:17,24 10080:6 10081:3,8,14,19,20 10082:10,11,15 10083:2,10 10084:6,7 10085:6 10093:13 10094:12 10109:16 10111:14 10124:17 10128:3,5,15 10130:5 10133:2,4,5,6,8,9 10133:15,16 10134:12 10138:18,21 10141:7 10142:6 10145:9 10159:23 10168:16 10171:22 10172:14 10173:10 10179:19,21,25,25 10180:24 10181:2.21.21.25 10182:1 informational 9772:11 information's 10030:22 information-sharing 9934:22 9935:7 9998:14 10003:11 10003:12 10004:23 10005:21 10041:15 10046:19 10054:10 10124:10 10165:5 informed 9771:22 9794:13 9821:4 9853:23,24 9871:24 9946:18 10011:1 10052:24 10055:3,6 10188:3 10189:4 10189:15 informing 10009:24 10010:1

10018:9 10052:25,25

initially 9858:2 9940:11

initials 9871:6,10 9899:4

initial 10026:19 10054:16,24

10017:7 10035:22 10122:13

infrequently 9881:7

10135:13

innocent 10031:11,13 input 9774:17 9929:4 9930:14 9930:20 inputs 9774:21 inquire 10047:22 inquiries 9894:16,20 9917:20 9917:21 10142:10.14 inguiry 10191:20 INS 9958:9 10025:23 10026:12 insert 10055:14 inserting 9780:5 **INSET 10127:22** INSETs 9778:1 10127:21 inside 10116:1 10177:11 Insp 9887:11,14,24 10152:7 10153:1 10160:9 inspector 9771:23 9781:24 9803:9,10 9903:8 9934:8 9936:2,6 9944:11 9980:10 9980:17 9981:9 9991:13,17 9991:25 9993:10,18,22 9994:13,16,23 10037:7,15 10039:15 10040:3 10042:10 10130:19 10162:22 10165:20 10168:2 **inspectors** 10180:19 instantaneous 10001:12 instigated 9814:25 **institution** 9907:13 **instruct** 9993:14 **instructed** 10043:22 10044:5 10044:12 10045:6 **instructing** 10044:17 instruction 9933:21 10084:2 instructions 9993:11,19,22 10001:24 10005:20 10008:19 10009:12,18 10025:4 10030:9 10045:14 10131:3,9 **Integrated** 10119:3 10120:16 integrity 9885:19 intel 10070:13 intelligence 9892:24 9897:5 9900:8 9982:13 9986:6 9998:22 10024:17 10070:23 **intended** 9979:2 intent 9870:21 10182:3 intention 9868:1 interagency 9897:9 interest 9804:17 9805:8,18,25 9823:19 9824:22 9826:6 9832:3 9847:5 9879:15 9893:3 9894:17 9955:2 9985:13,19 9987:4 10032:2 10085:16 10128:20 10142:11 **interested** 9833:3 9841:20 9863:18 9894:25 9925:14

10076:5 10142:18 10027:13 10030:15,25 interesting 9802:16 9840:16 10031:21 10032:8.24 10033:19 10037:19.24 10038:24 interests 9930:4 9987:22 10042:23 10049:24 10078:14 10050:19 10051:8 10055:5 international 9772:12 9822:9 10056:25 10121:13 10124:17 10128:21 10032:2 10114:22 10145:11 10166:1,22 **Interpol** 10171:6 **interpret** 9850:4 9987:7 10168:9 10172:10 10173:16 interpretation 9987:13 10179:17 10180:23,25 10072:23 10181:1 10182:8 interpreted 9850:3 **investigations** 9907:2 9923:9 interpreters 10089:5 10003:24 10015:5 10020:23 **interrogate** 9918:7 10179:14 10055:9 10056:1 10106:4 interrogation 9923:1 10131:24 10137:10,12,24 10178:13 10138:1 10169:3 interrogations 9922:19 investigative 9848:4 9853:22 10178:8,11 9862:21 9901:17 9977:24 **INTERROGATOIRE** 9983:6 10033:5 10038:18 10092:5 10132:8 interrupt 9778:9 9820:22 investigator 9797:15 9848:4 intervened 9947:21 9915:1 9942:17 9958:19 intervention 10095:16 10037:15 10038:4,22 interview 9773:5 9778:23 10039:2,7 10040:7 9779:1 9805:18 9806:6 10041:17 10043:10,12 9830:2 9865:2 9866:22 10049:2 10054:17,18,20 10120:2,17 10155:9 9867:3,9 9868:1 9870:21 9884:15 9885:4 9886:20 investigators 9775:16 9887:25 9888:3 9889:7,11 9801:17 9829:24 9831:16 9920:20,20 9928:23 9930:5 9862:17 9884:13,17 9885:1 9930:24 10070:21 10150:22 9885:16 9886:5 9888:7 10150:25 10151:4,7 9892:23 9944:15 9958:12 10152:8,12 10153:2,5,23 9959:5 9969:17 9971:21 10154:22,25 10156:1,21 9973:14 9983:17 9985:2 10046:2,8 10057:1 10177:6 10186:25 10187:13 10064:22 10145:25 10187:21 10188:6,22 interviewed 9888:22 9929:6 10146:21 10152:15 10153:9 10154:2 10156:8 10187:16 10173:5 invitation 10042:21,21 10187:17 interviewing 9773:1 9804:17 invite 10041:4 9805:8,25 9833:4 10156:17 invited 10052:9 10186:23 **inviting** 10042:6 interviews 9887:7 9928:20 involved 9774:20 9816:6 intrigued 9950:9 9962:23 9893:2 9945:18 9963:15 introduce 9799:22 9803:5 9974:14 9995:25 9996:4,6,8 9858:12 9864:2 10125:4 10003:24 10010:3 10055:22 introduced 9782:16 9800:1 10059:20,21,24 10064:15 9822:7 10054:21 10125:13 10082:18 10110:15 10120:9 investigate 10002:1,3 10120:12,13 10127:24 10037:18 10130:16 10137:24,25 investigation 9799:10 9830:4 10138:5,25 10141:10 9830:13,24 9832:12 10177:7 10191:15,19 9885:14,20 9893:1 9916:16 involvement 9854:13 9907:22 9933:19 9935:18 9945:18 9995:24 10050:18 9949:13 9958:19 9963:15 involving 9864:23 9929:22 9976:21 9982:15 9992:11 10029:25 10139:11 9992:12,15,18,25 9993:4 10172:10 9997:25 10011:2,9,19,22 inward 10057:9

10015:2 10022:11 10026:17

in-basket 9999:11 10001:19

in-box 9948:12 9949:6 in-camera 9809:18 in-depth 9900:17 10021:7 **ISI** 9782:23 9896:1 Islamic 10120:23 **isolated** 10031:5 issue 9793:8 9813:18 9821:16 9827:5 9861:12 9898:23 9902:16 9913:5 9957:17,19 9959:23 9987:8 10002:9,10 10006:18 10031:24 10032:24 10040:17 10044:20,24 10089:12 10090:17 10176:13 issues 9811:3 9830:8 9834:24 9862:19 9885:3 9948:22 9997:5 9999:14 10032:25 10041:12 10049:25 10056:13 10059:20 10153:22 10154:21 10160:20,24 itinerary 9785:20 9867:11 iv 9831:13 i.e 10162:8 J Jago 9909:15 jails 10181:14 10147:19 **JFK** 9783:15

jail 9990:9 10175:15 10179:5 10180:9 10182:4 January 9810:7 9813:23,24 9904:2 9907:12,24 9908:24 10006:21 10012:19 10028:22 10029:14 10048:24 10051:2 10125:5 10125:11 10128:12 je 10092:13,18 10094:18,21 10095:1,2,20,20,21,24 10096:5.6.14 10097:3.3 10098:13 10099:1,3,20,21 10099:22 10100:1,7,8,22,23 job 9904:24 9922:10 10105:24 **Johansson** 10192:24 joined 10119:14,19 Jonathan 9782:22,23 Jordan 9895:20 **Joseph** 10118:3,5 **journalist's** 10074:10 judicial 10025:19 10187:7 juillet 10092:23 juin 10092:14,20 10094:9 10095:6,8 10097:6,7 July 9900:6 jump 10188:25 juncture 9786:25 9806:4 June 9900:6 9979:13 9985:25 10119:16 10121:4 10122:15

10122:20 10130:23 10132:5 10132:14 junior 10062:13 **juridique** 10099:20 jurisdictions 10179:15 justice 9912:18 9913:4 9963:12 10039:24 10099:15 10100:1 j'ai 10092:17 10095:1,14,17 10095:23,24 10096:3,3,6,8 10096:17 10097:2 10099:14 10099:19 10100:4,20,24 10101:1,10 j'aimerais 10093:3 10098:19 i'allais 10098:4 j'avais 10095:3,22 10096:19 10097:23 10098:3 j'entendais 10101:10 j'écrivais 10101:10

keep 9792:8 9912:15 9946:18 9949:12 9988:10 10052:24 10135:11 10190:14 keeping 9806:2 10052:3 kept 9990:22 10020:17 10076:20 **Kevin** 10155:13 Khalil 9899:9 kick 10098:6 kind 9890:1 10065:4 10179:9 10190:17 kinds 9774:5 knew 9776:5 9795:9 9817:19 9818:14,15 9820:10 9822:8 9826:16 9836:14 9840:2 9887:15 9891:9.10.10 9930:2 9956:25 9966:4 10012:22 10029:2 10046:1 10087:7 10098:6 10111:9 10138:16 know 9775:19 9776:7 9781:15 9787:2,3,9 9788:4,9,20 9794:1 9795:5,15 9796:4,10 9796:19 9798:12,12 9811:1 9822:22 9824:17 9826:25 9828:12 9835:13 9836:12 9837:5,11,14 9838:13 9839:8,9,24 9847:4 9850:4 9856:18 9860:1,12 9861:15 9862:1,8 9879:19 9883:14 9883:16 9888:20 9889:4 9890:4,12,16 9893:23 9895:25 9916:15,21 9917:5 9918:8 9919:13,16 9922:5 9923:19 9924:1,2,20 9925:19 9928:9,24 9930:15 9932:12,25 9934:3 9940:5,6 9941:21 9942:22 9944:5

10032:20 9945:23 9947:14 9948:20 10181:11,19 10182:9,19 10073:16 9952:10.25 9953:20 9955:2 ladder 10008:16 10183:1,9,13 10184:1,6,10 **lecture** 10099:6 9957:19 9963:16 9965:12 landed 9817:10 9823:22 10184:20,25 10185:9 **led** 9951:15 10177:15 left 9787:8 9857:7 9867:22 9965:15,17 9967:16 9944:15 9974:22 10186:3,11,19 10187:6,19 9969:17 9982:5 9983:19.22 Lang 10107:5,7,10,15 10188:8,13,17,25 10189:6,9 9869:13 9872:8 9928:1 9985:4,7,17 9989:5,12,13 language 9815:12 10068:20 10190:8,19,21,25 10191:2 9980:9 10034:1 10055:10 9995:5,9 9996:23 9997:4,13 10069:7 10191:11 10073:1 10077:15,24 10001:9 10006:16 10009:12 languishing 10188:4 Lauzon's 10135:5 10124:3 10146:25 law 9800:24 9814:11 9818:21 10016:19,24 10024:20 large 9966:18 10029:5,13,19 left-hand 10075:16 10031:6,8,12 10032:1 10033:17.19 9818:25 9819:11 9820:14 legal 9838:3 9955:16 9965:10 10035:19 10036:23 10039:7 late 9845:2 10051:2 10073:8 9822:9 9824:7 9825:18 9965:16,20 9967:25 10045:2,25 10046:24 10079:20 10091:4 10134:9 9934:5,9,20 9967:19 10022:15 10061:6 10064:23 Laughter 10091:3,9 10126:14 10015:17 10059:21,21 10047:2,11,25 10048:5 10189:17 10190:17 10058:18 10060:25 10061:4 legally 9824:3 10115:4 10189:24 10114:9,16,22,23 10115:8 10062:14 10064:8,11,14,17 Lauzon 9853:14,15,24 10138:15,15 10181:23 **LEGAT** 9791:9 10068:12,15,22 10069:5,7 9854:19 9855:6,10 9857:20 Lawrence 10163:11 lendemain 10096:25 10097:6 10073:5 10075:20 10081:18 9868:25 9869:2 9883:23 laws 9848:5,12 9849:14 **LEO** 9986:2 10085:3,20 10102:19 9885:22 9898:7 9973:6,7 9860:2 10068:16,23 **lequel** 10095:3 10108:2,10,11,12,12 9980:13 10089:14 10117:21 lawyer 9808:24 9872:14,19,20 les 10093:10,11,19 10096:12 10117:24 10118:3,5,5,10,22 10109:13 10111:11.13 9872:22 9873:1,3,19 9950:8 10097:15 10098:3,14,19 10112:19,21 10113:19 10118:24 10119:2,12,17,22 10064:19 10065:24 10181:6 10100:1.3 10131:19 10133:5 10138:17 10120:4,8,12,18,25 10121:6 10182:6 **letter** 9981:10,24 10023:18 10141:6,22 10149:7 10121:15,18,22 10122:3,9 lawyers 10039:24 10024:3,11 10081:2,11,16 10152:3 10153:25 10155:20 10122:13,23 10123:2,5,10 lay 9975:2,5 9987:9 10075:23 10082:5,12 letters 9926:23 9999:8 10162:24 10168:18 10123:15,25 10124:6,22,25 10076:2,7 10176:22 10183:19 10125:10,18 10126:3,8,18 laying 9986:13 **letting** 9990:9 le 9771:3 10091:18 10092:7,8 10184:14,14 10185:8 10126:21 10127:3,7,13,19 lettre 10092:25 10186:17,24 10187:15 10127:24 10128:7 10130:3 10092:9,9,11,13,14,18,19,22 let's 9784:8 9791:9 9797:5 10189:9 10130:7,13,17,21 10131:5 10094:2,9,16,16,22 10095:5 9809:8 9828:23 9844:8 knowing 9813:23 9943:4,11 10131:15,21 10132:2,9,15 10095:8,23,24 10096:11,16 9851:14 9871:18 9872:11 10096:25 10097:1,5,6,7,8 9884:6 9949:17 10016:9 10087:12 10178:23 10132:21 10133:12,19 knowledge 9773:11,13 9785:2 10134:4,20 10135:8,21 10097:23,23 10098:9,10,13 10017:16 10029:9 10040:18 9789:14 9872:10 9889:5,13 10137:5,22 10138:8 10098:15 10099:1,4,13,16 10041:11 10061:12 9890:16 9896:6 9900:17 10139:3,10,23 10140:8,15 10100:11,12,22,25 10101:2 10076:25 10079:12 10119:7 9907:22 9908:12 9935:1 10101:3,12,12,15,17 10128:9 10138:12 10151:20 10140:20,23 10141:1,25 9943:14 9966:6 9978:10 10143:7,9,13 10144:10 level 9775:1 9837:16 9894:17 10192:6 9982:2.18 10008:9 10021:7 10145:20 10146:12 10147:9 lead 9788:8 9895:9 9900:4 9994:9.12 10026:1 10030:8 10036:6 10147:22 10148:4.7.11.24 Leader 10120:23 10038:7 10042:3 10141:11 10075:6 10109:21 10130:13 10149:6,10,17,24 10150:3,6 **leaders** 9947:4 10142:11 10165:19 levels 9935:21 9948:20 10130:17 10144:1 10165:25 10150:13 10151:10,18,22 **leading** 9908:9 10167:22 10175:12 10190:9 10152:20,23 10153:20 leak 9975:22 9976:1,20 liaise 10131:3 10154:8.18 10155:1,7,13,21 10190:19 learn 9771:17 9793:2 9796:13 liaison 9772:12 9781:24 knowledgeable 10026:8 10155:23 10156:9,18,23 9796:13 9816:4 9820:23 9797:18 9982:4,18,23,24 known 9796:19 9885:15 10157:12,15,22 10158:7,13 9849:22 9874:7 9889:17,21 9993:6 10013:16 learned 9771:21 9789:19,22 liaison's 9812:19 9931:13 9935:13 10010:7 10158:16,23 10159:5,21,25 10013:23 10016:15.20 10160:3,13,15,25 10161:23 9789:23 9790:1 9793:18 liberty 9983:8 9795:25 9796:10,24 10022:1 10060:6 10090:11 10162:11,19,22 10163:2 lie 9809:19 10188:20 10164:19,22,24 10165:10 9865:14 9872:5,15,25 lieu 9861:21 knows 9809:19 10165:15,18,22 10166:8,15 9874:9 9884:4,12 9892:20 **light** 9898:23 9899:17 10167:2,7,12,16,19,22 9942:5 9952:11 9928:12 9932:3,11,16 10168:3,10,14,24 10169:11 **learning** 9795:19 10136:11 10137:18 10161:9 la 10093:1,15,19,20,20 10169:16,18,25 10170:9 learnt 9797:1 likelihood 9835:8 9847:12 10171:11,15,19 10172:4,17 10094:3,7,15,19,20,21,25 leave 9807:6 9854:15 9869:24 10184:21 limitations 10045:16,18 10096:2,9,12,15,17,18,19,22 10172:21 10173:2,11,18 9880:13 9908:21 9927:25 10096:23 10097:22 10099:2 10174:11,21,25 10175:12 9984:20 9990:18 10063:13 limited 10130:5 10099:15,25 10100:8,10,13 10175:23 10176:16,23 10070:6 10072:19 10123:14 line 9798:15 9803:5 9804:10 10177:4,17,21 10178:5,10 10170:6 10189:13,17,23 9840:1 9854:10 9886:22 10101:14 lack 9902:16 10178:12,18 10179:11,16 9887:2 9906:16 9907:5 leaving 9816:8 9856:12

9861:20 9867:23 9881:18

9937:20,25 9942:3,5

lacked 10002:17,22 10003:7

10179:21 10180:4,22

l'été 10096:11 9984:24 9992:3,8,11 9849:13 9860:23 9861:2 10070:6 10071:23 10072:8 10007:13 10009:20 10010:4 9883:1 10046:20 10056:9 là 10093:2,6 10094:23 10072:19 10073:1,15 10014:22 10019:17 10069:8 10082:2 10108:20 10095:21 10096:21 Mangos 9831:21 10128:12 10143:15 looking 9791:22 9800:16 manner 9918:23 9979:1 10097:22 10098:1,18 10153:21 9802:18 9821:22.24 10099:19.22.24 10008:11 10026:3 10032:10 9841:21 9842:4 9843:4 lines 9798:15 9804:25 là-bas 10096:10 manpower 10003:2 9836:18 9866:15 9905:20 9846:25 9861:23 9875:22 March 10078:4 10120:5,15,21 M 9937:16 9984:25 10008:2,7 9948:21 9954:22 9961:12 10123:14,22 10124:3 10018:7,20,22,25 10068:11 10041:23 10069:19 ma 10095:13 10097:24 10161:5 10178:1 10073:25 10082:20 mardi 9771:3 10139:11 Maati 9836:19 9914:18 link 9831:25 9842:1 10102:11 10133:3 9915:5,16,25 9916:15 margin 9808:14 links 9832:5 9842:10 9897:6 looks 9804:5 9845:9 9859:19 9917:5,22 9918:2,7 9921:5 marked 9945:22 10068:4 9899:10 9877:25 9897:24 9904:4 9921:24 9924:3 9925:4 marking 9778:5 9946:6 lire 10100:7 10119:13 10150:4 9927:3,6 9928:11 9931:4 Mass 9893:10 lis 10095:1 loop 9783:25 9785:7 9792:9 9932:8.14 9983:1 10077:23 material 9839:15 9904:10,18 list 9772:18 9778:25 9779:9 9806:2 10052:3 10053:7 10077:24 10078:4 10159:2 9904:21 9905:25 10003:5 9779:10 9801:6 9841:6 loose 10185:13 Maati's 9916:21 9932:17 10024:2,23,24 10025:23 10004:8 10052:4 **Lordship** 10102:5 10167:17 10026:10 10029:6,14,19 **listed** 9784:6 lors 10092:21 10094:23 magnitude 10032:24 10033:3 10030:2,16 10048:24 listen 9815:13 9902:3 9936:3 10097:18 10057:1 10049:5,11 10050:1,9 little 9772:23 9846:12 lorsque 10092:19 10094:13 Maher 9778:21 9780:15 10077:1 9898:23 9911:21 10000:25 10096:8,16 10100:23 9781:20 9785:15,20,22 matin 10097:1,8 10099:13 10056:9,11 10065:19 lorsqu'il 10096:10 9832:13 9846:15 9970:5 matter 9797:11,24 9798:3 lived 9893:9 LOs 9901:10,15 9903:12,14 10074:16 10128:17 9801:20 9807:18 9808:4,12 **Livermore** 10163:20 10054:14 10143:19 10144:3 10163:25 9810:23 9832:10,23 lose 10076:23 9835:23 9858:4 9899:19 10164:21 main 9805:21 lives 10010:14 10076:21,23 losing 9957:5 10076:21 9929:22 9958:7 9984:17 maintain 9862:12 9932:16 livrer 10092:24 lost 9938:19 9957:8 10191:16 10021:10 10033:16 livré 10092:15 10099:5 lot 9830:21 9833:2 9881:8 maintained 10018:7 10037:18 10051:3 10163:13 livrée 10093:14 9891:13 9906:9 9911:7 Maintenant 10100:7 10169:1 LO 9829:13 9999:2 9913:10 9914:7,8 9922:23 matters 9819:2,4 9822:1 mais 10094:20 10095:24 9937:5 9999:3,13 10002:2 10096:23 10097:23 10099:9 9828:24 9906:19 10015:18 loaned 9831:8 9904:18 local 9831:20 10003:23 10032:25 10100:25 10101:13 10051:24 10051:12 10056:8 10080:22 located 10104:8 maison 10096:12,15,17,22,24 Maureen 9815:9 location 9782:7 9867:13 10113:14 10146:13 major 9906:25 9907:2 McQuarrie 10145:14 10191:19 9916:10 10020:23 10053:8 **MDC** 9871:23 9873:19 9877:9 Loeppky 9900:7 10132:1 love 10188:12 10058:6 10106:12 10166:10 mean 9783:16 9793:17 9796:5 lower 10182:23 9813:14 9850:4 9907:1 logic 9814:24 majority 10016:10 10023:1 logical 10036:16 lu 10098:14 9916:25 9924:5 9931:19 making 9860:24 9866:24 lui 10095:15,20 10098:4 9936:22 9939:21 9940:14 **logistics** 10027:13 9872:20 9896:16 9916:17 9922:16 9931:9 **London** 10104:9 10099:15,21 10100:1,25 9946:8,13 9951:19 9953:19 long 9779:17 9909:25 9910:2 lunch 9811:24 9813:3.4 Malheureusement 10095:2 9958:1 9961:10 9965:3 9968:13 10016:1 10040:13 9818:19 9822:18 9911:1.6 10096:5 9985:17 10112:14 10148:22 9930:1 10107:24 10108:7 man 9974:25 10075:21 10049:16 10061:5 10066:17 10161:20 10162:11 10086:22 10088:9 10089:7 10109:8 10076:6 meaning 10189:4 10104:20 10191:13.25 lunchtime 9811:24 managed 10064:5 meaningful 10024:5 longer 10031:12 10047:23 Lvnda 10192:24 management 9775:1 9905:5 means 9779:23 9793:20 9845:19 9849:1 9963:8 10048:2,5 l'année 10095:13 9978:5 9979:21 9997:24 look 9772:1 9777:9 9779:18 l'arrestation 10093:22 9998:6 10001:24 10005:10 10001:12 10140:18 9837:2 9851:15 9869:15 L'audience 9771:3 10192:5 10055:6,8 10131:18 10145:19 10161:24,25 9874:24 9875:19 9883:10 l'autre 10100:14 management-level 9994:9 10178:21 9905:19 9926:10 9927:23 l'avais 10100:22 manager 9997:15 10106:6,25 meant 9915:1 10003:6 9949:17 9965:4 9990:19,20 l'avocat 10099:25 10021:3 10056:23 10072:6 10152:4 9995:19 10030:17 10031:5 managers 10038:6 l'effet 10093:14 10149:15 10154:23 10057:8.9 10070:11 l'exactitude 10096:20 mandat 10098:17 10186:14 10190:8 10082:20 10105:9 10128:10 l'extrait 10099:3 mandate 9986:22 9987:12 mechanics 10117:12 10138:12 10151:20 10152:5 L'Hon 10094:10 10095:10 9988:8 10003:21,23 media 9836:18 9911:23 10177:11 10097:7,11,16,20 10098:21 10004:4,6 10010:12 9912:19 9913:4

10099:8,12 10101:6,9,19

10028:2 10031:21 10066:5

meet 10070:20 10145:24

looked 9847:25 9848:11

10171:3 men 9822:15,17 meeting 9787:8,17 9788:4,5 mention 9843:20 9921:24 9788:12,17 9812:18 9925:3 10112:19 10155:17 9827:24,25 9828:1,11 10170:15 10171:9 9831:19 9837:12.14 mentioned 9816:2 9824:18 9888:17 9891:3 9897:8,9,14 9836:10 9843:22,25 9897:23 9898:3,14,16,24 9844:11,18 9876:21 9957:3 9903:8 9904:5 9906:12,13 9976:25 9978:3 10005:23 10017:11 10057:20 10065:1 9911:24 9912:17,20 9913:1 9913:15 9914:9 9916:9 10112:4 10157:6 10185:7 9919:13,14,18,19 9920:17 mentions 9848:23 9920:21 9921:1,21,25 Merci 10094:17 10101:8,17 9922:2 9923:25 9924:5,19 10101:18 9924:22,25 9925:14 9926:5 mercredi 10192:6 9926:6,18,25 9932:12 mere 10157:22 9934:7,19,24 9935:3 merely 10181:20 9981:17 10004:21 10007:5 mes 10096:7 10098:1 10100:5 10007:11,14 10012:15,17 10100:21 10101:18 10018:6,10,19 10019:21,22 message 9798:19,23,25 10020:1 10034:20 10042:15 9835:10 9853:21 9863:8 10053:1 10083:15 10108:21 9872:17 9901:12 9902:10 10108:23 10126:25 10127:2 9902:13,15,19 9911:19,20 10127:18,19 10129:1,12,18 9912:10 9916:9 10001:5,16 10130:9,15 10139:5,6 10003:11 10068:5 10079:20 10141:20,21 10146:22,25 mesure 10093:21 10094:5 10147:1,14 10148:3,10 met 9822:24 9887:23 9934:5 10150:12 10151:12 10154:7 10007:9 10108:15 10140:1 10154:9,10,16,18,20 10140:19 10146:16 10152:7 10155:2,4,5 10156:12 10153:1 10156:2 10157:1,7,13 10158:9,20 mettait 10096:18 10159:15,17,23,24 10160:5 mettre 10100:12 microphone 10117:9,9 10162:21 10163:15,21,22 10164:2,17,21 10166:25 10185:16,17 10173:4,22 10174:14,24 middle 9914:14 9920:9 9925:25 9927:13,14,21 10175:3 10183:24 10184:19 10185:21 10186:20 10190:2 9928:2 meetings 9820:7 9855:16 Mike 10152:3 9925:7 9994:9.20 10012:10 miles 9838:11.12 10019:9 10029:25 10030:8 military 9836:3,9 9897:5 9967:19 10059:21 10034:14 10052:10 10173:15 mind 9810:1 9818:21 9819:19 member 9821:25 9840:25 9827:18 9837:5 9852:13.16 9841:10 9842:14 9843:17 9853:3 9856:9 9921:18 9861:7,11 10007:23 9945:24 9950:22 9952:2 9955:15 9956:16 9962:25 10019:8 10152:6 10173:24 members 9867:16 9893:16 9963:20 9965:14 9972:9 9901:17 9912:18 10122:14 9988:24 9989:6 10000:21 10038:22 10062:3 10084:13 10122:17,18,20 10127:25 10128:1 10148:12 10150:9 10086:25 10087:11,14 10166:9,17 10173:23 10143:2,11 10169:13 10186:25 10189:7 memorandum 9891:24 mindset 10004:14 9892:6 9893:20,22 mine 9935:21 9962:19 10138:23 10139:15 10143:1 minimum 10012:1 minister 10161:4.19.24 10143:3 memory 9797:4 9856:25 10176:20 10177:1 10186:8 9865:19 9901:22 9923:20 10186:14,18 9981:18 10070:17 10122:15 ministerial 9812:19 10162:1

10162:13

memos 10006:25

minor 9860:21 9907:1.3 10044:24 minute 9791:1,8 9819:18 9956:15 9966:4 9977:3 minutes 9880:17,17 9910:14 9910:17 10048:1,7,10,14 10091:12 10117:14 10146:23 Mirabel 9783:17 **mirroring** 9977:22 mirrors 10142:4 missed 9844:12 missing 9927:10 10147:13 **misstating** 10180:13 mistake 10146:6 10147:7,10 10147:20 mistaken 10141:13 mistreatment 9914:21 mix 10111:20 mixed 9877:16 Mm-hmm 9810:13 9815:14 mobilized 10016:11 10051:4 moi 10094:15 10096:13 10101:9,19 10140:16 moins 10093:15 moi-même 10098:3 moment 10096:21 **mon** 10095:3,14,14,15,18,25 10096:6,9,20 10099:12,22 Monday 9854:18 9855:2,14 9855:16 9856:8 9857:22 9858:6 9860:19 9861:4,19 9863:23 9865:12 9869:13 9869:24 9948:1 10105:16 10105:18 10144:15 10145:23 10146:2 10170:5 10170:8 monitor 10066:3 10106:4 10131:5 **monitoring** 10020:23 10184:12 monsieur 10092:7,11,18 10093:1,6,11,12,14,16,23,25 10094:2,22 10097:19 10098:10,13,15 10099:1 10100:18 10101:3,5,8,17 month 9837:7 10158:25 months 9810:11 9917:6 9920:10 9921:6 9995:25 10010:3,20 10051:7 10188:19 Montreal 9782:25 9783:1.4 9784:14 9877:10 9880:23 9883:7 9909:18 10119:4 10120:17 10121:9 moot 9860:25

ministre 10092:25

10099:25

ministère 10092:22 10099:14

morning 9771:7 9803:17,19 9804:6 9805:17 9853:23 9854:15 9855:2.14 9871:20 9871:23 9890:6 9939:13 9943:1 9944:16 9950:7 9956:21 10134:23 10145:23 10146:16 10170:11 10192:2 Mother 9983:23 9985:8 move 9794:17 9819:20 9856:8 9870:24 9883:10 9933:4 9941:3 9957:11 10056:14 10133:21 10135:23,24 moved 10021:10 **movement** 10131:23 moving 9896:18 10144:12 **MP** 10161:15 MPs 10177:3 **multiple** 10027:14 **multitude** 10020:18 Muslim 10122:1 mutual 10082:18,20 Myra 9919:16,16 9926:18 9927:1 mémoire 10097:25 **m'a** 10094:12 10097:1,25 10099:16 10100:15,25 m'aurait 10096:13 m'avez 10094:13 10096:1,4 m'en 10096:22 m'ont 10100:21 même 10096:14

## N

name 9777:13,14,14 9781:15 9797:15 9823:2 9836:19 9861:6 9868:13,15,16,19 9884:2 9909:15 9919:16 9995:9 10061:25 10085:12 10089:21 10090:11 10103:19 10118:4 10128:16 10135:5,12 10155:18 10159:1 10166:24 10167:1 10167:4,6,14,15,18,18,20 10184:4 names 9822:21,23 9995:12 national 9777:22 9789:17.20 9790:2 9824:25 9836:7 9850:1 9865:8 9903:18 9906:17,25 9907:2,6 9935:18 9949:13 9957:1 9982:11 9983:4 9992:10,18 9992:25 9993:3 10009:22 10011:15,22 10055:9,10 10081:20 10109:25 10115:3 10115:5 10120:22 10121:3 10121:12 10130:11 10133:6 10137:12 10138:1 10145:5 10149:4 10166:10 10170:23 10177:12 10180:23

nationality 9835:18 9873:11 9956:23 10136:11 10148:18 native 9840:14 nature 9916:21 9943:4 9948:6 9999:8 10002:8 10062:6 10072:15 10137:19 NCO 10121:2 ne 10095:24 10096:11,22 necessarily 9843:18 9927:18 9929:15 9976:20 9997:10 10057:7 10086:6 10135:10 10168:10 necessary 9862:22 9919:9 9923:14 9929:16 9930:2 9939:15 9986:3 9995:10 10030:23 10045:3 10055:6 need 9862:20 9875:8 9888:19 9905:3 9929:18 9998:2 10002:3,7 10014:4 10015:8 10015:11 10016:14,18,24 10017:2,20 10032:1 10045:25 10046:24 10047:22 10048:23 10054:13 10057:10,11 10072:6 10080:3 10081:18 10085:12 10133:4 10141:6 10141:14 10153:25 10162:4 needed 9851:1 9914:3 9945:13 10017:22 10045:8 10046:12 needing 9798:19,25 needs 9868:15 10141:18 Need-to-know 10046:21 negotiations 10174:5 net 10191:24 neuf 10099:17 never 9819:10 9825:17 9843:6 9852:6.12.14.15 9873:15 9882:24 9883:1 9957:1,18 9961:2 9966:4 10005:4 10064:1 10075:6,7 10076:10 10083:24 10102:10 10108:22 10151:18 10154:10 10158:7 10158:14 10167:5 10176:21 10176:24 10188:20 new 9771:15 9777:2 9778:21 9780:17 9782:14 9783:15 9799:22 9802:16 9803:5 9805:9 9823:21,22 9846:16 9858:12 9864:2 9866:19 9867:8,20 9868:1 9870:21 9872:22 9873:3,4 9876:12 9876:20 9880:24 9882:3 9884:17 9902:24 9945:19 9954:15 9970:6 9974:22 9999:23,25 10000:4 10001:25 10002:12,18 10003:10 10016:5 10018:8 10185:14

10018:22,24 10019:16 10057:21 10064:23 10074:18 10101:22 10109:14,15,17,25 10110:2 10110:8.20 10111:23 10127:10 10128:4 10129:7 10129:16 10134:14 10177:22 newer 10020:25 news 9865:8 10074:4,7 10075:9 newspaper 9973:22 9974:1 10170:12 night 9846:6 9853:13 9857:15 9857:17 Nine 9983:15 9984:8,9 10126:21 nobody's 10157:16 **NOC** 9772:11 9776:2 9777:20 9777:21 9864:20 non 10100:13 non-combatants 9967:23 Non-Commissioned 10123:21 non-immigrant 9840:22 non-legible 9859:4 noon 9813:6 10154:18 noontime 10107:25 norm 9913:23,24 9953:13,18 normal 9824:6,9 9922:10 9987:16 10005:18 10017:13 10017:21 10055:23 10057:5 10136:2 10173:19 normally 9824:10 9922:6,25 9963:12 9992:24 10022:7 10056:24 10105:14 notation 9808:14 9983:13 9984:14 9985:12.24 10139:25 10169:4 note 9781:13 9793:24 9794:19 9808:13 9820:25 9827:10 9830:5 9834:10 9840:6,7 9845:16 9871:19 9872:12 9883:11,17 9891:23,23 9897:21 9903:7 9918:18 9919:2 9920:18.19 9926:18 9927:13.21 9928:1.1 9929:14,20 9932:5,13 9955:4 9962:14 9980:5,22 9981:21 9987:24 10015:15 10018:15 10047:20 10067:18 10127:9 10140:6 10141:2 10145:18 10150:1 10150:17 10164:3 10171:5 10176:2 10183:2 notebook 9784:1 10139:25 10146:11,18,25 10169:4,5 noted 9792:2,17 9793:7 9818:4 9879:15 9974:9

notes 9782:17 9785:13 9786:13 9787:12,16 9790:18 9797:6.7 9798:3 9803:23 9804:12 9806:16 9807:8.15 9810:15 9833:22 9836:25 9845:5 9869:15,17 9890:23 9897:19 9901:4 9911:15 9914:14,23 9915:23 9918:12 9922:3 9926:11,15 9927:11,17 9954:10 9959:7 9961:16 9983:12 9985:15 9987:14 9988:3 9995:20,22 9996:3 10007:3,25 10018:17 10041:13 10053:15 10067:16 10068:19 10069:10,24 10070:3,12 10072:17,24 10073:13,22 10087:20,22,24 10094:23 10094:23 10095:2,4,23 10096:2,3,5,7 10097:2,10 10097:15,22 10098:12,15 10098:19,21 10100:21 10101:4,23 10102:3,6 10125:3,14,18,23 10126:2,6 10127:8 10128:24 10129:8 10129:23 10134:3 10139:18 10140:7,9 10144:13,17,21 10145:21 10146:7,14,16 10147:1,7,10,13,23 10148:1 10148:13 10159:11 10162:13 10167:3 10170:10 10170:18 10171:10,22 10172:1,16,18 10173:1 10174:16 10176:19 10177:1 10182:2,20 10185:13 10186:20 notice 9814:21 9840:10 9881:6 9914:9 10055:7 10147:3 noticed 9822:4 notified 9780:15 9854:19 9970:4 10018:16,18 10083:6 **notifying** 9879:11 **noting** 9786:20 9938:23 notorious 10137:15,15 notre 10092:25 nous 10092:8,9 10094:1 10095:7 10096:16 10098:10 10098:15,16 10099:17,24 nouvelles 10096:17 November 9897:2,9,17,22 9901:6 9902:20 9908:4 10006:21 10077:14.25 nowadays 10023:23 no-caveats 10124:16 **NSC** 9809:3,12,19,20 9810:2 9834:14 10077:9 10155:12

**NSID** 9777:25 NSIS 9778:2 NSOS 9884:4 10122:5 10123:14,21 10124:3,20 10137:17 number 9777:20 9778:1 9785:15 9807:11 9817:21 9865:23 9871:16 9875:22 9876:3,3,4,6 9881:16 9882:15,15,18,19 9891:6 9913:10 9923:4 9925:7 9930:19 9938:11 9966:18 9976:14 9996:9 9998:22,25 10003:4 10040:24 10043:2 10072:2 10077:7 10078:14 10091:24 10130:24 10134:16 10138:20 10185:15 numbers 10182:23 numerous 9953:21 9976:14 10002:23 10017:12 10021:15 10062:24 10063:1 10063:2 nécessaire 10094:19 n'ai 10096:7,14 n'arrive 10095:2 n'avaient 10094:4 n'en 10100:23 n'est 10094:19 10096:25 n'étaient 10094:5

 $\mathbf{o}$ O 10104:8 10127:21 oath 10103:17 10118:2 **object** 9982:8 **objected** 10158:5 **objection** 9797:20 9889:22 9890:1.10 10089:21 objections 9860:2 10065:15 10066:15 10068:16,23 10180:16 objectives 10131:11 **obligation** 9808:25 10081:17 10081:17 10114:14 10116:18 **observed** 9831:18 obtain 9917:15 10179:19,25 obtained 9860:14 9862:2 10030:16 10031:17 10032:7 obtaining 9918:3 9924:8 obvious 9883:1 10005:25 obviously 9784:10 9785:5 9788:15 9790:4 9802:25 9811:20 9813:20 9818:10 9838:22 9841:19 9851:10 9851:19 9878:24 9880:22 9882:23 9912:5 9915:10,11 9919:1 9920:23 9924:6,14 9931:17 9932:2 9940:5

10112:20 10128:3 10133:23 10025:4.23 10026:10 9974:13,20 9975:13,16 9978:5 10054:21 10069:3 10138:8,10 10148:17 10043:18 10057:23 10074:9 10075:2 10076:5 10155:25 10164:17 10061:23,23 10063:11 10171:24 10181:3 10183:20 10064:6,10 10065:4 10183:24 10184:17,18 **OCANADA** 10129:3 10066:24 10067:19 10068:7 officials 9807:25 9819:6 10145:11 10068:7,8 10079:19 9842:24 9914:17 9917:9 10082:21,22 10086:20 9929:6 9955:12 9968:20 occasion 9853:13 9932:21 10087:6,21,21,23 10088:1 10080:11,15 10109:4 10041:18 10042:17 10043:5 10127:5,8 10130:5 10104:15,24 10105:5,12 10059:12 10060:12 10106:13 10107:17,20,25 10074:16 10186:25 10146:15 occasions 9852:19 9853:13 10120:1,14 10126:8,17,18 **oh** 9783:8 9793:17 9855:15 9921:10 9922:24 9953:21 10126:25 10128:25 9882:22 9914:4 9926:21 10017:12 10129:13 10133:22,24 9966:15 9979:10 10016:16 10033:18 10056:3 10062:24 occur 9852:8 10042:17,20 10134:2,10,24 10135:18,25 10145:23 10146:6,11 10136:24 10138:13,18,22 10080:13 10081:25 10108:8 occurred 9809:21 9882:2 10139:15,20,25 10140:13 10112:21 10113:23 9920:21 9953:16 9976:12 10141:23 10144:13 10145:1 10116:15,21 10143:7,11 9977:11 10016:5 10052:20 10146:8,10 10147:3,5,12,15 **OIC** 10127:21 10136:24 10146:9 10147:14 10147:18 10148:3 10149:21 okay 9776:25 9778:8 9780:2 10148:3,5 10158:20 10150:21 10158:19 9788:7 9791:5,18 9797:24 10176:24 10159:10 10166:25 10167:3 9798:3,14 9799:6,25 9802:5 occurrences 10002:1 10167:10 10168:2,18 9802:11 9805:2,15,23 10003:14 10170:24 10182:20 9806:15 9807:9 9811:16,25 10184:17,17 10185:6 occurring 9852:8 10020:24 9813:7,13 9821:18 9823:7 10147:18 10190:16,18 9829:5,10 9837:22 9838:18 October 9771:18,20 9779:6,8 odd 9835:25 9844:20 9845:4,23 9847:15 9781:11,19,23 9782:19,22 offences 10055:11 10060:16 9849:6 9850:15 9852:23 9784:4 9786:14 9787:16 9854:1 9855:11,23 9869:1 10120:22 9790:12,14 9793:21 9794:1 9870:18 9875:10 9876:7 offer 9825:18 9896:6,16 9794:11 9795:2 9796:11,20 10020:4,11 10037:5,6 9883:9,20,24 9886:10 9796:23 9797:5,7 9800:6,8 10053:10,12 9890:19 9892:14 9894:4 9801:22 9803:19,23 offering 9830:25 9903:2,25 9905:17 9907:10 9804:11,23 9805:17 office 9781:25 9782:4 9787:3 9922:22 9923:16 9925:9 9787:8 9790:4 9800:15 9806:16,17 9807:5 9808:5 9928:8 9938:7,15 9944:14 9810:18 9815:7 9817:19 9811:21 9812:13.19 9813:1 9944:22 9952:13 9953:22 9955:17 9967:10 9972:16 9818:13.19 9821:1.4 9813:21 9814:6,9 9815:1,17 9828:25 9829:1,7,9 9831:15 9818:18,24 9819:9,13,22 9977:2,20 9978:8 9979:6,12 9979:23 9981:7,14 9995:23 9833:8,10,12,23 9835:2 9820:13 9821:20 9827:22 9837:6 9840:8 9841:24 9828:1 9829:13,15 9856:12 9998:8 10000:25 10005:19 9869:13 9878:6 9887:5 9844:24 9845:3.8 9854:16 10017:23 10030:14 9856:8,11 9857:22 9858:8 9890:25 9947:5,12 9948:12 10035:11 10037:13 9858:16 9859:16 9862:7 9948:19,20 9981:23 10038:14 10047:1 10048:4 9863:3,23 9864:6 9866:3,14 10020:9 10063:13 10080:7 10048:8 10077:10,22 9868:5.8 9869:25 9870:4 10102:12 10113:13 10081:10 10082:3 10085:19 9871:19 9872:7,12 9873:18 10122:25 10139:7 10146:19 10090:15 10091:8,11,23 9874:4,4,22 9875:16 officer 9774:12 9775:10,14 10102:1,21 10105:11 9876:17 9877:1,4,13,20 9781:14,24 9797:18 9982:4 10107:22 10108:9,17 9878:18 9879:17,22 9982:18,23,25 9991:19,21 10109:11 10114:4 10116:2 9880:19,20 9881:20,25 9991:22,23 9993:1,11,14,15 10117:13 10119:23 9883:12,15 9884:3 9886:2 9993:23,24,24 10008:21 10121:20 10122:4,19 9886:12,15 9887:1 9889:3,6 10009:13,14 10107:2 10123:7 10124:7 10125:12 9889:21 9890:6,20 9891:15 10123:21 10127:20 10126:4 10127:4 10132:3 9891:18 9895:23 9941:6 officers 9775:19 9815:10 10135:22 10140:13.25 9942:22 9943:2 9946:10,24 9816:2,9 9993:19 10017:7 10144:21 10162:5 10163:3 9950:13 9953:5,6 9955:16 10122:11 10179:7 10164:23,24 10167:9,23 9955:19 9965:11 9969:14 offices 10052:15 10185:11 10188:15 9971:2,22 9972:10 9973:1 official 9922:17 9953:4,10 10190:20 10191:2 organisation 9801:1

9974:2 9976:5 9981:10,17

10006:21 10024:23 10025:2

9954:13,14,18 9955:1

9957:5 9958:23 9964:3,20

9956:25 9978:22 9987:17

9988:4 10042:25 10061:24

once 9777:20 9781:12 9795:14 9798:9 9806:17 9817:5 9819:19 9823:21 9825:12 9826:21 9829:13 9830:16 9835:14 9836:5 9838:7 9856:10 9868:5 9875:5 9886:22 9888:22 9902:3 9913:17 9947:5 9955:24 10042:16 10043:4 10050:12 10054:20,23 10057:3 10116:1 10130:22 10135:12 10145:1 10154:2 10190:2 onerous 9937:1 ones 9786:21 9949:23 10009:19 10085:15 10106:18 10180:7,9,12,15 10180:17 one-on-one 10018:4  $\pmb{ongoing}\ 9893{:}1\ 9905{:}8$ ont 10098:14 **Ontario** 9771:1,1 10104:9 open 9933:19 10007:13 10008:3,7 10010:4 10014:22 10018:7,21 10063:7 10066:13 opening 10074:14,23 open-book 10124:17 operate 10009:2 10089:6 operation 9931:21 10089:19 operational 9982:6 9998:9 10056:5 10058:3 10060:8 10173:16 operations 9777:23 10120:7 10165:12 opinion 9817:1,3 9819:10 9825:18 9938:17 9946:1 9966:22 10008:21 10046:16 10053:4 10112:4 opportunity 9838:4 9911:7 9951:22 10066:2,9,15 10134:20 10135:16 10189:1 opposed 9808:16 9950:17 9958:3 9990:10 10072:8,9 10135:6 **opposite** 9939:19 **opted** 9849:7 option 9970:25 10074:1 options 9849:2 order 9839:25 9862:18 9905:4 9919:8 9953:15 9979:5 9986:4 9996:10 9999:13 10007:19 10021:11 10030:22 10031:20 10034:3 10042:19 10072:13 10091:7 10133:12 ordered 9951:20 orders 10000:15

organisme 10100:9 organization 9801:14 9841:1 9841:4 9843:6 9844:11.17 10061:25 10062:12 10184:3 organizations 9841:7 9843:21 10133:8 organization's 10062:15 organized 9910:18 10120:10 10120:13 origin 9849:20 original 10007:19 Ottawa 9771:1,1 9783:2,5,13 9784:14 9831:20 9872:19 9872:22 9976:15 10074:22 10104:18 10136:4 10184:5 ou 10093:15,20,22 10094:6 oublié 10100:22 oubliées 10097:23 ought 9917:20 9918:16 9931:6,13 9948:7 oui 10095:1 10099:11 10101:6 10101:6,7 outcome 9885:11,18 10066:8 10183:12 outline 9938:10 outside 9828:1 10038:18 10057:3 10058:7 10102:20 overall 9995:16 overseas 10181:15 oversee 9993:3,5 10131:3 overseeing 9869:2 9917:22 overseer 9795:10,16 9868:11 9900:22 9908:16 9977:15 9997:15 10029:11 oversight 9916:19,25 overtones 10011:23 overwhelmed 10010:20 10013:4 overworked 10050:24 O'Brien 9910:12,13 10053:21 10053:22 o'clock 9778:22 9787:13 9797:10 9804:6.12 9805:4 9818:19 9833:20 9846:6 9856:11 9869:21 9887:20 9890:6.24 9953:5 10134:23 10136:1 10146:20 10152:6 10152:22 10156:1 10192:2 **O-Canada** 9909:17 où 10096:4 10100:1

package 10139:8 10141:15,18 10144:23
packed 9834:6
pad 9790:20
page 9772:2,5 9779:19 9780:4 9780:13 9784:3 9787:16 9797:6 9801:25,25 9802:6,6

9803:23 9804:10,24 9808:3 9831:5,23 9845:5 9854:10 9866:2.13 9882:16 9887:1 9888:15 9890:23 9891:19 9892:8 9897:22 9901:5 9902:8,9 9904:1 9905:18,19 9906:12 9911:15 9914:13 9914:14 9926:15 9927:11 9927:13 9933:23 9937:14 9937:19,21,22 9942:1 9950:11 9954:10 9968:5 9983:12,14 9984:6,11,13,14 9984:22,23 9985:23,24 10007:25 10018:17 10067:17 10070:12 10071:15,16 10094:19,20 10099:2 10100:8 10114:7 10126:7,16 10127:12,15 10128:4 10129:11 10134:17 10135:4,6 10140:4,6,8 10142:3 10143:5,14 10144:16,18,22 10145:17 10146:8 10152:20,21 10153:19 10159:14 10160:7 10170:17 10182:22,23 10185:22 pager 10053:16 pages 9772:16 9773:4 9802:12 9892:9 9939:11 10069:24 10098:16 10139:19 10185:25 panic 9871:21 paper 9948:24 10000:10 10001:18 par 10015:23 10092:11,25 10099:4 10101:5 paragraph 9780:12 9792:16 9829:21 9831:13.24 9840:23 9861:24 9884:7 9920:9 9969:23 10067:23 10068:12 10074:15,23 10075:10 10164:4 paragraphe 10099:7 parameters 9931:22 paramount 10000:16 10001:5 10003:12 parce 10095:21 10096:14,23 10098:5 **Pardon** 9843:23 10020:14 10062:25 Pardy 9891:12 10093:6,14,25 10097:19 10101:5 10180:12

parents 9783:21 9927:6

9983:20 9985:5

Parfait 10099:16

Paris 10107:13,15

parmi 10092:9

**Parlement** 10096:11

parlé 10096:22 10100:23

paroles 10101:5 part 9808:10 9809:7 9834:16 9846:12 9898:20 9917:25 9922:25 9926:1 9949:5 9954:17 9965:20 9984:14 10003:21,23 10004:6,16 10014:9 10032:18 10033:17 10033:19 10091:7 10094:7 10095:15 10178:13 10188:10 participate 10177:14 participated 10174:23 participation 9908:9 particular 9771:25 9773:9 9774:7 9775:20 9777:1,19 9781:8,14 9786:21,25 9802:17 9806:4 9809:6,10 9821:23 9828:4,13 9861:11 9861:23 9865:8 9883:16 9891:12 9896:16 9897:11 9898:13 9899:25 9983:7 10002:17 10031:11 10039:8 10051:6 10055:3 10070:16 10106:1 10107:14 10110:1 10127:2,17 10130:9 10136:4 10137:8 10138:15 10159:4 10160:24 10173:21 particularly 9900:8 10010:19 10031:4 10106:10 10191:16 particulars 9809:20 partie 10101:14 **parties** 9875:5 partis 10096:17 partner 9823:9 9923:15 10015:1,17 10026:24,25 10027:20 10028:1 10030:1 10040:16 10044:22 10083:8 10124:14 10146:1.22 10148:9 10150:11 10151:16 10157:4,8 10173:7,9,12,21 10173:22 10174:8,14,19,23 10175:2,5,8,11,17,19 10176:10 partnering 9905:15 partners 9913:3 9924:6 9934:6.10.21 10027:14 10083:15 10132:23 10173:15 partnership 10027:22 parts 9974:6 10014:12 party 9780:6 9836:17 9940:2 9940:2 9941:1 10022:1,11 10022:19 10023:19 10024:13 10050:12 Paré 10107:18 10108:2.2 10118:11,11,18,19 pas 10094:4,5,19 10095:2,25 10096:7,11,14,22 10100:23 10101:14

pass 9970:21 10025:19 passed 10046:3 passport 9819:9,22 9827:22 10104:17,21 10105:1 10108:25 10109:6 10118:13 10120:3 paste 9936:23 **pasting** 9937:6 Pastyr-Lupul 9919:17 9925:20 Pat 9804:20 9830:15 9858:15 9858:19 9863:21 9864:5 patient 10088:10 **Patrick** 9777:17 Pause 9774:9 9786:15 9793:5 9795:22 9837:10 9854:4,8 9875:11,20 9883:13 9886:24 9892:4 9895:22 9896:24 9897:18 9919:22 9920:4 9926:9 9950:4 9957:6 9968:10 9973:21 9980:2 9990:21 10037:3 10069:4 10073:3 10113:10 10139:2 10143:6,8 10144:9 10151:24 10163:5,9 10165:23 10170:19 10172:3 10182:10,13 10187:5 PCO 10163:12 peculiar 9808:20 pejorative 10154:12 pen 10147:23 pendant 10095:23 pending 9791:24 9818:1 pensé 10096:14 people 9777:20 9778:1 9783:9 9828:14 9851:24 9852:1,4 9883:19 9914:8 9916:12 9921:11 9930:4.15 9943:5 9966:18 9967:13,15 9969:12 9976:10 9988:8,20 10002:2 10023:2 10089:2,5 10089:6 10107:23 10129:18 10130:24 10132:18 10133:3 10158:8 10163:1,12 10178:17 10179:3,13 10180:7 10186:23 10191:19 perceived 9952:12 10037:19 10050:17 10069:18 perception 9888:9 10050:22 10050:24 10152:17 10153:11 10154:17 perfectly 10041:21 **perform** 9836:3 **period** 9790:1 9869:3 9893:9 9899:7 9913:18 9916:13 9925:6 9932:22 9941:6 10008:6 10010:19 10014:2 10017:4,24 10024:18 10057:20,22 10058:5,11

10059:3 10060:14 10104:14 10120:20 10123:4.20.24 10124:1.19 10147:12 10149:11 periodically 10085:15 permettez 10098:10 10099:9 permission 9780:25 9797:8 9879:23 9970:11 9971:8 10082:14 permit 9839:6 person 9791:16 9801:6 9836:4 9841:24 9842:19 9846:5,8,9 9847:16 9859:6 9867:9 9884:15 9915:16 9917:1 9922:16 9941:7,17 9951:21 9951:24 9958:18.23 9963:17 9985:19 9987:22 9988:25 9989:9 10001:15 10013:15 10021:20 10022:22 10023:10 10029:10 10053:2 10110:16 10111:10,16,23 10114:10 10118:12 10128:20 10146:24 10155:16,18,20 10157:3 10181:5,8,20 10187:16 personal 9774:19 9854:15 9875:3,6 9881:9,12 9911:15 10055:17 10078:20 10081:19 10084:7 10125:14 10125:17,23 10126:2,5 10170:5 personally 9788:18 9935:8 9994:19 10005:6 10042:12 personne 10096:18 personnelles 10094:24 persons 9780:11 9943:7 9995:2 9996:24 9997:8 10022:17,24 10032:3 10046:24 person's 9989:6 10115:19 perspective 9895:1 9900:3,21 10142:20 10164:12 pertaining 9800:18 9902:16 pertains 10089:13 Perth 9876:23 **Perth-Andover** 9876:24,25 9877:2 pertinent 10099:4 10145:10 pertinentes 10098:17 peut 10096:12 peux 10098:13 10100:7 **phone** 9785:15 9809:10 9810:23 9819:24 9833:24 9834:4.8 9856:10 9857:21 9859:10 9874:10 9875:2,15 9876:6,16 9877:3,4,8,22 9879:10 9880:14 9881:4,7 9881:10,16,25 9883:1

9953:3 9958:20 10053:7 10063:13 10082:22 10136:6 10143:12 10168:18.25 10169:8 **phoned** 9805:17 phoning 9903:13 photocopie 10098:11 photocopying 9845:9 photographed 10089:24 photographs 10090:8,18 photography 10090:2 phrase 10099:10 10100:14 **physical** 9951:21 physically 9951:24 9953:20 pick 9829:20 9884:6 9904:6 9933:16 9965:23 picking 10148:14 **pictures** 10090:12 **PID** 9845:16 piece 9809:13 9948:24 9972:7 10001:18 10031:5.7 pieces 9958:21 Pierre 10092:4 10094:10 10095:10 10097:7,11,16,20 10098:22 10099:8,12 10101:6,9,19 10102:4,10,16 pile 9780:21 **Pilgrim** 9787:3 9794:14 9795:5,9,13,21 9828:7 9853:23 9854:20 9855:1,6 9855:13,14,16 9890:24 9891:14 9892:8 9898:8 9973:8 10006:11 10007:9 10007:18 10008:13,14,20 10017:11 10019:3,5 10056:16 10123:1.9.16.23 10131:10.17 10138:19.22 10139:1,5 10140:2 10141:21 **Pilgrim's** 10123:6 Pillarella 9896:22 9897:4 9899:2 PIÈCE 10098:21 place 9775:2 9824:9 9852:7 9857:9 9876:21,23 9920:20 9943:14 9947:17 9951:5 9966:14 9979:5,8 9998:15 10012:20 10013:6,11 10017:18 10028:14 10038:12,17 10042:24 10050:12 10054:25 10057:4 10065:16 10106:5 10109:22 10151:7 10154:7,17,19 10156:2 10174:1,6,18 10177:10 10190:15 placed 9817:5,6 10045:15 placing 9902:19 10026:16 plaisir 10092:8 10095:11

10099:9

plan 9931:21 10175:23 plane 9817:10,14 9826:7 9951:10 9955:22 9956:10 9974:21 planes 10074:18 plane's 9826:10 **planned** 9916:9 **planner** 10104:8 **planning** 10161:1 plans 9866:18 9986:25 10175:22 plate 10020:8 plausible 9874:14 9875:13 9877:22 9878:18 play 9821:8 9827:2,4 9844:9 9953:1 9956:4 9957:2,13 9963:22 9986:16 10017:22 played 9965:13 players 9916:10 9947:17 plea 10161:13 please 9771:5 9855:25 9856:5 9881:23 9892:1 9910:23 9933:7,12 10014:9 10048:15,20 10089:4 10091:13 10102:25 10103:5 10117:15 10152:1 10163:7 plupart 10097:22 plus 10093:15 10094:16 10097:24 10099:4 10100:5 plusieurs 10095:14 10101:13 point 9771:14 9780:4 9781:5 9786:8 9792:14 9794:9 9795:2 9805:16 9822:8,10 9824:8 9828:4 9835:1 9838:25 9841:13,17 9849:14,16 9852:18 9855:4 9857:4 9860:25 9869:11 9870:10.19.19 9878:21 9886:11 9896:8 9899:17 9904:9 9906:25 9917:21 9928:5 9930:13 9943:7 9949:21 9958:8 9961:12 9966:1 9979:16 9988:11 10051:6 10052:1 10055:3 10085:5,8 10092:11,17 10094:16 10097:23 10107:12 10112:5 10116:19 10130:19 10131:2,23 10138:9 10147:20 10157:25 10158:19,25 10166:16,23 10169:6 10176:1 10190:11 pointed 9833:23 9880:15 **pointing** 9906:7 points 9933:16 9941:9 9965:24 10069:21 10097:25 10098:1 10165:5 police 9922:17 9958:7 9975:4 10000:5 10073:16 10075:25

policies 9900:7 9935:5

9997:22 10039:12 10055:6 10057:10 10083:20 10131:14 10133:2 policy 9774:11 9775:9 9776:10.24 9852:4.11.12 9923:12 9936:17 9979:4 9983:8 9998:3 10000:21 10001:1 10006:6 10039:13 10078:12,18,22,25 10079:4 10083:17 10084:3,5 10121:2 10123:17 10124:24 10131:7,12,14 10132:20 10133:13 10158:22 10187:3 policymakers 9988:22 politically 10138:6 politicians 10162:8,18 poor 10079:5 10179:15 port 9824:12 9951:7 9952:4,6 9952:19 10111:16 10115:19 portion 9778:19 9780:12 9829:20 9831:24 10068:13 10070:3 10076:4 10186:6 portions 9831:13 9875:4 9883:25 10033:12 porté 10101:12,15 pose 10141:12 10169:16,18 posed 9827:6 9901:22 10175:11 posing 9988:18 10040:24 position 9808:24 9867:19 9880:4 9995:2 9996:24 9997:9 10007:19 10021:14 10062:6,16 10104:5,25 10119:1 10121:9 10179:1 positive 9899:9,10 positively 10147:14 possession 9893:6 9919:20 9925:21 possibilities 10149:22 possibility 9793:7 9811:2,3 9857:8 9866:23 9886:6,12 9887:17 9889:19 9894:8 9956:22 9971:17,24 10038:3,23 10039:16,17 10040:8,20 10041:17,24 10043:8 10063:16.18.23 10086:25 10087:16 10149:8 10149:11,16,18 10150:23 10157:5,21 10158:5,8,12 10167:25 possible 9791:23 9795:20 9807:12 9811:12,13 9816:7 9816:9,12 9824:22,23 9825:3 9827:8 9855:2,12 9880:25 9881:19 9882:7 9890:11 9893:5 9897:6 9917:16 9927:24 9943:7 10001:8 10028:3 10069:2

10088:20 10113:21

10116:14,17,22 10151:15 10151:19 10154:22 10180:25 possibly 9910:13 9946:6 9949:5 9988:9 9990:8 10057:2 10105:10 post 9865:8 10028:1 10145:6 10170:23,25 **postponed** 10177:2 post-9/11 10028:17 posé 10093:19,20 10094:21 10096.2 potential 9887:8,13 9947:16 10000:1,12 pour 10092:10,16 10094:15 10095:15 10096:1 10099:15 10100:5,23 10101:2 10192:6 pouvais 10099:2 **pouvez-vous** 10100:12 **pouvoir** 10100:5 practice 10169:1 10178:14 precise 9819:20 10006:17 10069:6 10077:7 precisely 10065:7 10069:2 predecessor 10012:25 predecessors 10012:10,14,22 10021:14 10034:9 10036:18 preexisting 10000:10 prefer 9837:24,25 9838:10 9868:13 9995:9 10189:22 preference 10067:3 10088:20 **preferred** 10136:12 prefix 9876:4 premier 10092:25 10099:7 10100:25 premise 10041:8 premised 10076:22 **premises** 9959:18 preparation 9773:22 9774:2 9865:14 9981:13 preparations 9816:6 prepare 9891:22 9893:14 9901:11 9929:13,16 10046:11 10139:7 prepared 9772:19 9790:5 9803:6,9 9868:17 9896:2 9948:1 10077:6 10088:24 10138:23 10185:3 preparing 9851:12 9893:13 9958:14,17 10064:13 10191:9 presence 9895:10 10173:8 present 9838:5 9884:8 9914:19 9978:10 10004:21 10019:22,25 10028:16 10121:9 10163:18 10173:24 10174:8 10183:24 10190:2 presentation 10160:15,24

presented 9784:6 10065:14 10160:17 presently 10104:6 10119:3 pressure 10003:2,4 pressures 10003:1 presumably 9779:25 9785:7 9795:8.12 9817:9 9830:22 9830:23 9833:4 9839:25 9916:5 9962:8 9963:7 10133:17 pretending 9932:23 pretty 9908:16 10016:1 10114:11 10168:22 10178:2 preuves 10094:5 prevent 9848:6 9849:15 9860:3 10002:1 10003:13 10068:17,23 10072:14 preventative 10031:21 10070:6 10072:19 10073:15 **prevented** 10001:19 prevention 9986:21 9987:11 9988:11 10003:19 10004:3 10004:5 10028:1 10071:22 10072:7,25 prevents 10027:3 previous 9779:11 9808:2 9884:7 9891:8 10110:12 previously 9771:8 9797:16 9868:16 9878:14 pre-9/11 9998:14 9999:13 10013:18,23 principal 9982:14 **principle** 10114:22 principles 10187:8 **prior** 9771:25 9772:20 9776:12 9787:13 9788:9,11 9811:23 9813:12 9824:13 9854:14 9903:7 9995:2 10008:6 10017:18,25 10031:18 10051:9 10060:3 10061:15 10102:6 10150:25 10154:25 10167:6 priority 9920:24 9945:1,4,5 10058:13,14,22 pris 10094:22 10095:1,3,23 10096:2.3 10101:11 prises 10097:15 10101:4 prison 10100:13 10178:7 **prisoners** 10178:22 privacy 9978:24 10078:23 privileged 9876:15 privy 9942:10,21 9978:3 9982:22 10009:5 probably 9790:20 9840:9 9911:7 9918:17 9930:2 9949:4 9962:11 10068:7 10075:15 10085:8 10088:23 10137:17 10138:2,9

10157:18,25

problem 9786:19 9864:23 9902:21 9907:11 9918:24 9997:10 9999:14.15.17.18 10040:21 10180:19 problematic 9964:12 problems 10032:13 10081:14 procedure 9806:13 9818:21 9822:9 9824:7,9 9825:6,9 9827:18 9955:13 9983:8 10013:19,23 10014:4 10017:4.18 10111:2.4.18 10113:16 10115:16 10187:20 procedures 9817:2 9982:21 10000:10 10017:15,21 10135:19 proceed 10018:1 **proceeding** 10079:23 10090:24 10191:20 proceedings 9868:6 10025:24 10026:12 Proceeds 10119:3 10120:16 process 9802:3 9816:17 9820:8 9822:10 9824:4,7 9849:12,24 9850:25 9852:7 9885:5 9923:1 9930:22 9946:25 9951:20 9952:25 9955:16,19,25 9956:3,9 9965:10,16,20 9966:20 9967:17 9978:17 9986:3 9998:15,19 10017:4 10025:10,19 10026:20 10043:1 10044:3 10062:7 10065:16 10070:21 10086:21 10109:17,21 10110:1,14 10138:24,25 10177:10 10187:10 **processed** 10034:25 **procureurs** 10098:14 procéder 10093:21 **proffer** 10112:3 **proffered** 9890:10 program 9900:9 10121:3 project 9775:4 9776:5 9777:18 9779:9,24 9780:5 9782:7 9787:20 9788:16 9793:16 9795:10.16 9799:23 9800:4,9,16 9803:14 9804:14 9805:5 9829:24 9830:9,15,18 9831:15 9832:4 9842:9 9858:20 9862:17 9867:25 9869:2 9884:12 9886:19 9887:23 9899:23 9900:22 9901:17 9906:2 9908:17 9909:11,16 9913:9 9936:1 9941:15 9947:4 9995:16 9997:15 10018:16,18 10021:12 10056:2,19

10057:14 10058:7,10,15,21 10062:22 10077:7 10084:24 10090:25 10119:5 10121:3 10123:17 10124:4 10126:22 10127:1 10131:4.6.6.12 10132:6 10134:15,18 10135:1 10145:25 10146:21 10148:12 10149:9 10150:8 10150:10 10152:4 10157:3 10166:18 10173:20.23 10174:14 10179:2 projected 9867:11 9976:14 projects 9948:22 10056:6 10057:15 10062:23 10129:3 promise 10048:2 promised 9972:21 9990:15 **prompted** 9813:20 promptly 10049:12 prompts 9813:22 prononcées 10101:5 proper 9905:9 10017:21 properly 9776:23 9905:4 9932:23 10091:22 property 9831:7 **proportion** 10058:21 **proposed** 10176:18 proposition 9821:2 **propriety** 9896:16 10186:22 protect 9868:14 10000:12 10003:13 **protected** 10081:19 protecting 9978:24,24 9990:5 10078:19 protection 9988:8 protest 9889:22 9890:1,10 **protocol** 9905:9,23 10054:11 **protocols** 10017:13 **Proulx** 9885:22 9901:13 9902:1,3 9934:4,8,8,19 9992:1,4 10004:21 10005:5 10006:14,25 10007:18,20 10008:14.15.24 10009:9.12 10019:4 10028:16 Proulx's 9884:2 10083:14 provide 9867:10 9894:12 9919:8 9946:12 10142:6 10171:7 provided 9819:15,16 10028:7 10032:9 10181:2 providing 9774:11 10179:24 **prudent** 9774:25 10072:12 10187.11 PRÉCÉDEMMENT 10092:4 précédé 10095:13 préparation 10092:23 préparatoire 10093:7 présent 10092:21 présidait 10093:7 président 10093:1

<b>public</b> 9791:9 9809:15	<b>P-229</b> 10098:21
9821:13 9858:23 9976:4,4	<b>P-231</b> 10125:9
10060:9 10077:18 10090:11	<b>P-232</b> 10125:17
10137:19 10138:6	<b>P-233</b> 10126:1
publication 10090:12	<b>P-42</b> 9827:12 9871:13
<b>publicized</b> 10089:20 10137:16	<b>P-83</b> 9772:2
<b>publicly</b> 10060:6 10138:14	<b>P-85</b> 9968:4,8
puisque 10098:3	<b>p.m</b> 9832:24 9910:21 9933:8
purpose 9899:12 9920:18	9933:10 10048:16,18
9921:22 9924:5 9925:2	10091:14,16 10103:1,3
9946:21 9986:13 10021:19	10105:21 10117:16,18
10021:21 10023:21	10192:3
10024:12 10025:11 10047:2	0
10047:5 10163:22 purposes 9801:15 9802:23	qualify 9945:13
9830:1 10024:17 10047:8	quanty 9943.13 quand 10096:1,4 10101:1
10112:9 10132:24	que 10092:13,19,20 10093:2,
pursuant 9828:14 10010:11	10093:11,14,14,16,25
10025:3	10094:2,3,8,13,14,22
pursue 10019:6 10057:1	10095:9,21,22 10096:12,14
pursuing 9799:12 9841:20	10096:18,19,25 10097:9,15
9894:18 10142:12	10098:4,5,14,16,18 10099::
pursuit 9923:9	10100:20,20,23 10101:2,4
<b>put</b> 9795:24 9797:24 9821:3	10101:10,14
9826:7,17 9848:7 9912:12	question 9773:6 9805:16
9915:4,8,11,20 9931:20	9815:13 9818:17 9826:21
9937:1 9938:25 9939:15,24	9826:23 9838:7,7 9848:12
9940:15 9951:9 9956:10	9850:13 9852:18,25
9965:16 10004:7 10016:9	9854:11 9857:5 9860:25
10017:22 10023:11 10024:5	9861:4 9880:13 9881:23
10024:9 10036:21 10037:6	9882:6 9889:16 9892:16,16
10038:22 10046:3 10050:11 10055:20 10057:3 10088:12	9893:13 9894:5 9901:22 9908:3 9919:2,4 9920:7
10108:5 10109:19 10110:7	9923:17 9934:16 9938:1
10112:13 10124:13	9940:16 9957:9,13 9958:2,0
10171:22 10172:16 10174:6	9958:6 9960:2 9961:7
10180:1 10186:13	9968:14 9978:6 9986:15
putting 9790:17 9804:19	9987:10 9988:1,18 9989:24
9812:12 9815:15 9837:6	10005:14 10014:11
9851:11,25 9902:22	10016:17 10023:9 10024:1
9936:12 9937:9 10004:2	10063:21 10069:2 10071:5
10036:10 10085:6 10137:18	10073:5 10080:25 10083:19
10155:24 10156:3	10085:10 10093:20,20
puzzle 10031:7	10094:20,21 10096:2
<b>P-116</b> 9973:20,20,24 10074:3	10109:7,18,19,24 10110:7
<b>P-137</b> 9892:3	10111:7,11,23 10112:24
<b>P-138</b> 9896:21 <b>P-172</b> 9828:20,24	10113:14 10115:11 10118:17 10121:24 10124:
P-180 9883:10	10133:1,24 10135:15
P-183 10163:8	10136:16 10143:11 10154:
<b>P-184</b> 9977:2 9979:24	10154:17 10156:15,25
<b>P-192</b> 9919:20 9921:22	10157:6 10158:4,16
<b>P-211</b> 9911:15 9954:10	10161:18 10163:4 10169:19
10067:17	10175:11,20 10176:14
<b>P-221</b> 9937:14	10178:17 10179:8 10180:20
<b>P-222</b> 9944:16,20	10182:2,4 10188:14
<b>P-223</b> 9969:23	10190:1,13
<b>P-225</b> 10134:7	<b>questioned</b> 9786:9 10158:15
<b>P-227</b> 10068:4	<b>questioning</b> 9810:11 9840:1
1	

qualify 9945:13 quand 10096:1,4 10101:1 que 10092:13,19,20 10093:2,6 10093:11,14,14,16,25 10094:2,3,8,13,14,22 10095:9,21,22 10096:12,14 10096:18,19,25 10097:9,15 10098:4,5,14,16,18 10099:5 10100:20,20,23 10101:2,4 10101:10,14 question 9773:6 9805:16 9815:13 9818:17 9826:21 9826:23 9838:7,7 9848:12 9850:13 9852:18,25 9854:11 9857:5 9860:25 9861:4 9880:13 9881:23 9882:6 9889:16 9892:16,16 9893:13 9894:5 9901:22 9908:3 9919:2,4 9920:7 9923:17 9934:16 9938:1 9940:16 9957:9,13 9958:2,6 9958:6 9960:2 9961:7 9968:14 9978:6 9986:15 9987:10 9988:1.18 9989:24 10005:14 10014:11 10016:17 10023:9 10024:1 10063:21 10069:2 10071:5 10073:5 10080:25 10083:19 10085:10 10093:20,20 10094:20,21 10096:2 10109:7,18,19,24 10110:7 10111:7,11,23 10112:24 10113:14 10115:11 10118:17 10121:24 10124:9 10133:1,24 10135:15 10136:16 10143:11 10154:9 10154:17 10156:15,25 10157:6 10158:4,16 10161:18 10163:4 10169:19 10175:11,20 10176:14 10178:17 10179:8 10180:20 10182:2,4 10188:14 10190:1,13

10061:2 10069:22 10076:19 10091:2 10118:9 10189:12 questions 9772:17,22 9773:5 9773:17,20,23 9774:4,5,13 9774:18 9775:6.20 9776:12 9776:14,15 9778:25 9779:1 9779:10,11,17,23 9785:23 9801:7 9802:12 9803:13,14 9804:3 9815:1,18 9827:7,17 9830:19 9891:7,13 9892:15 9896:19 9909:21 9910:8 9911:8 9938:11.11.23 9939:6,8,12,21,23 9940:18 9942:20 9958:24 9959:2 9965:23 9977:5 9986:5 10037:5 10040:25 10041:9 10046:18 10053:18,24 10056:18 10059:1 10061:21 10064:3 10070:13 10071:5 10071:11,12 10076:12,16 10076:22 10078:11 10080:14 10083:14 10086:8 10086:8,18 10088:4,11 10093:11,12 10101:18,25 10112:13 10114:1 10116:3 10116:5,6,24 10117:3 10121:10 10128:9 10134:16 10136:14 10138:20 10151:10 10159:10 10164:25 10165:2 10180:8 10186:22 10187:24 10191:3 10191:8 qui 10092:23 10093:6 10094:15 10095:8,13,18 10096:13 10097:24,25 10099:3 10100:6,14,15,20 10100:21 10101:15.20 quick 9972:23 9990:19,20 10078:15 quickly 9891:5 9948:8 9954:11 10028:3 10057:2 10079:12 10087:3 10159:14 quite 9777:16 9809:17 9819:3 9824:20 9862:17 9869:8 9893:24 9898:21 9911:25 9916:11 9927:23 9931:10 9948:23 9953:14 9956:24 9962:22 9975:17 10062:19 **quoi** 10094:13 **quotation** 10075:10,18 qu'elle 10096:23 10097:1 qu'est-ce 10095:8,9 qu'ils 10094:4

## R

raconté 10100:1 radio 10096:18 raise 10044:21 10089:12

qu'on 10100:3

10143:11 10157:7 raised 9827:17 9830:8 9857:5 9891:6 9956:23 10044:21 10063:18 10069:21 10151:11 ramener 10093:3 ramification 10149:14 ramifications 10157:10 rang 9834:8 10063:14 rank 9991:3 10107:2 rapidement 10101:10 rappelle 10092:13,19 rapport 10092:11 10099:4 Ray 10107:5,7,15 **RCMP** 9776:22 9812:13 9831:5,7 9847:6 9879:23 9881:20 9882:8 9883:11 9884:17 9885:4 9888:10 9889:9,11,17,22 9890:8,11 9891:7,9,13 9892:22 9893:1 9894:12,18,24 9895:4,13 9896:22 9897:7,10 9899:24 9900:14 9904:20 9906:14 9919:20 9921:9 9925:22 9926:8 9929:6 9930:4 9932:4 9935:5 9950:15 9982:4 9998:16 10002:16 10003:24 10008:12 10067:24 10068:20 10078:12,18,22,25 10079:3 10082:14 10104:3,11,17 10107:23 10118:23 10119:14,19 10121:17 10138:22 10142:6,12,18,22 10150:24 10151:2,16 10153:12 10154:14 10156:7 10161:11,21,25 10162:7,12 10162:16 10163:1.17.23 10164:13 10176:17 10177:6 10177:11,25 10180:6,11,14 10181:24 10186:15,25 10187:3.18 10190:16 **RCMP's** 9894:21 10081:17 10142:15 10156:13 reach 9954:16 reached 9771:14 9832:16 reacted 10056:7 read 9772:23 9773:2 9778:18 9780:11 9789:7,9 9790:23 9792:15 9793:21 9797:23 9798:7,16 9800:10 9820:25 9840:11 9846:11,17 9858:23 9884:5 9885:11,20 9886:21 9904:4 9914:23 9920:6 9937:16.20 9942:7 9946:9,23 9947:6 9949:18 9969:25,25 9971:1,21 9974:3,6 9986:18 9996:9,15 10011:5 10021:5 10044:8

10044:14,17 10051:22 9995:22 10001:4,10 recounted 10074:7 refusing 9839:5 10068:11 10126:16 10140:5 10037:9 10059:12 10060:17 regard 10087:20 10126:13 recreate 10069:1 10070:25 10060:21 10070:8 10073:12 recruited 9899:11 10140:11,12,14 10143:1,2 10159:11 10073:19 10074:6.12 recruiting 9899:12 10159:5 10163:18,25 **regarde** 10097:3 10164:7,16 10171:8 redacted 9780:22 9799:7 10108:23 10109:2.4.9.17 regarded 9839:23 9802:12 9808:4,6,23 9809:3 10176:7 10112:13,18,24 10113:5,7 regarder 10096:14 reader 10106:1 10113:24 10116:13 9809:6 9861:6 9902:11 regarding 9789:16 9885:3 9891:7 9894:8,20 10086:8 readers 10106:14,15 10127:17 10135:17 10137:4 9940:6 10068:13 10125:14 readily 9996:18 10141:21,24 10151:8 10130:6 10142:14 10125:19 reading 9793:10 9905:19 10162:10,20 10164:21,22 redaction 9797:10,22,23 regardless 10184:23 9912:22 10051:16,20 10169:23,24,25 10170:4 9798:10 9808:16 regime 9929:23 10071:19 10172:23 10176:15 **redactor** 9808:10 Regina 10121:14 reads 10074:15 10183:3 10184:19 redistributed 10166:16 **Region** 9903:19 real 9857:8 9886:6,12 recalled 9853:12 10108:21,23 refaxed 9846:20 regional 10104:7 9889:18 10002:4 10015:9 recalling 9925:15 refer 9804:9 9809:18 9810:1 REGISTRAR 9771:5 10072:14 10078:9 10079:16 receive 9796:12 9880:22 9817:22 9854:9 9871:13 9855:25 9856:5 9910:23 realise 9808:11 9911:19 9953:3 9982:3 10067:14 10068:4 10126:5 9933:7,12 10048:15,20 reality 9999:23 10000:1,5 10023:10,18 10132:22 10147:4 10091:13 10102:25 10103:5 10001:25 10002:12,18 received 9774:6 9781:8 reference 9785:18 9787:24 10117:15 10014:7 10021:3 9782:19 9790:25 9791:2,3 9794:24 9809:14 9824:20 regular 9845:21 10085:14,22 realize 10178:20 9791:15 9796:22 9801:21 9827:13 9840:8 9842:7 reinforce 10045:9 realized 10178:18 9820:25 9841:19,24 9843:4 9865:9 9895:20 reiterated 9969:17 really 9847:18 9906:20 9846:14 9859:2,3 9863:20 9897:23 9933:22 9987:2,5 relate 9810:20 10133:25 9932:19 9958:1 9960:19 9863:22 9864:15,18 9879:3 10041:12 10042:6 10048:23 related 9784:3 10172:7 9986:12,15 9987:19 9879:10,21 9893:18 10068:25 10074:3 10139:18 relates 10156:25 10012:22 10024:13 9896:22 9897:4 9916:8 relating 9773:5 9782:18 10161:19 10073:25 10076:4 10142:4 9942:18 9954:12 9971:19 referred 9786:22 9840:7 9843:14 9871:2 9881:21 reason 9785:7 9809:2 9816:20 9982:12 9993:22 10005:5 9873:8 9938:12 10054:13 9882:8 9884:22 9893:7 9895:10 9897:5 10040:25 9819:20 9868:14 9879:5 10023:20 10026:10 10059:7 10065:2 10067:15 9926:6 9957:21 9972:17 10030:11 10044:6 10048:25 10074:4 10084:1 10109:16 10078:11 10083:14 10051:21 10156:5 10158:5 referring 9794:18 9843:12 10086:21 10135:19 10049:11,14 10050:1 10173:12 10134:11 10136:2 10146:20 9864:13 9865:7 9866:15 10138:20 10154:22 reasonable 9832:21 9983:9 receives 9873:9 9875:17 9892:10 9906:3 10163:13 9989:9 10009:18 10017:2 receiving 9803:12 10001:15 9938:13 9940:9 10057:14 relation 9807:17 9808:3 10009:19 10021:20 10057:22 10069:10 10064:14 10090:16 9810:23 9812:4 9830:3 reasonably 9989:20 10023:20 10034:2,2 10076:20 10083:25 9842:25 9846:15 9883:7 reasons 10019:5 recessing 9856:1 9910:19 10139:15 10190:6.14.21 9954:13 9984:16 10062:21 9933:8 10048:16 10091:14 recall 9779:14 9782:13 refers 9779:22 9872:21 10062:22 9784:18,22 9785:3,11 10103:1 10117:16 10065:3 relations 9912:19 **relationship** 9889:25 9954:4 reflect 10072:17,24 10073:22 9786:1,16 9787:1 9789:19 rechange 10014:10 9789:22 9795:19 9796:16 recognized 10017:14 reflected 10174:17 10061:21 10063:3,6 9797:1 9806:23 9807:1.14 10113:20 **reflection** 9940:14 10173:20 9807:16 9810:12 9811:7,10 recognizing 10162:16 reflects 9997:9 relative 9995:3 recollection 9788:22 9819:14 9812:1,17 9813:20 9815:3 refresh 9865:19 relatively 10088:19 relayed 9941:25 9815:25 9816:1 9822:21 9823:18 9844:14 9855:8,10 refusal 9958:8,24 9959:17,23 9825:15.16 9827:11 9855:13 9878:25 9882:13 9960:18 9961:18 relaying 9872:17 9918:14 10069:12 10118:14 9835:12 9837:13 9843:5 refuse 9778:23 9839:1 9847:6 release 9777:14 9851:20 9866:10 10082:15 10098:8 10129:15 10134:1,25 9844:17 9856:14,16 9857:2 9847:13 9848:8,10,18 9858:5 9870:25 9871:3 10137:6 10167:10 10169:14 9850:20 9852:20 9860:10 released 9978:16 10083:3 9872:2 9873:12,22,23 10171:13,18 9894:21 9895:4 9955:3 **relevance** 10030:23 9874:1,12 9875:14 9879:25 record 9827:11 9877:3,8 9957:22 9958:2 9959:14 relevant 9841:15 9875:4 9881:3 9883:6 9890:3 10068:21 10077:18 9960:8,16 10067:24 9928:6 9959:23 9960:23 9892:5,12 9894:3 9896:17 10079:18 10119:21 10068:20 10110:10 9961:24 9962:5 9974:6 9898:13,15,19,25 9899:14 10177:20 10178:3,19,25 10136:18 10142:15,23 10039:8 10047:12,15 9899:16 9900:3 9901:1 refused 9780:23 9789:3.6 reliability 9899:25 9900:11,13 10179:15 9909:1 9912:13 9921:23 recorded 10073:13 9970:9 10110:9,23 9900:23 9922:2 9925:8,13,23 records 9874:14,18 9875:2 10111:17 10115:23,25 reliable 10179:18,22 9926:13 9934:23 9936:8 9878:11 9880:14 10079:5 10136:22 relied 10063:8 9950:12 9957:9 9982:10 10107:23 refuses 10114:14 rely 9840:6 9921:2

**remaining** 10089:13 remarkably 9975:15 10022:15 **Remarque** 10185:16 remember 9773:20 9825:2 9826:2 9912:9 9925:3 9926:5 9932:17.23 9967:25 9977:7 10108:18 10129:21 10137:22 10139:4 10141:23 10143:9,13 10159:6,8 10166:4 10167:8 10168:25 10169:7 10171:20 10177:17.18 **remembered** 10137:21 remercie 10101:20 remind 10024:13 reminder 9903:1 reminds 9968:3 remote 10087:16 removal 9814:12,15,17,19 9816:16,21,25 9817:3,4 9818:14,22,25 9820:14,16 9822:10 9824:5,8 9825:6,9 9827:13,17 9839:24 9886:7 9950:23 9951:4,8 9952:3,12 9952:18 9955:6,21 9956:8,9 10109:17,21 10110:1 10116:18 remove 9818:15 9824:4 9875:6 10114:10 removed 9781:2 9791:25 9815:12 9818:2 9820:11,12 9826:18,20 9835:8 9870:9 9879:12 9950:16 rencontre 10092:21 10093:7 10094:23 rencontrons 10140:17 render 9819:10 rendered 10066:22 rendition 9966:1,2 10158:21 10150:21 reorganize 9911:7 rep 9779:22 9789:16 10190:1 10190:4 repeat 9881:22 10005:13 10014:8 **repeated** 9970:15 repetitive 10054:2 replacing 9902:13 10150:14 **replied** 9904:15 reply 9824:8 10025:1 10043:22,24 10045:17 replying 10025:2 10045:15 **report** 9781:9 9786:19 9789:13 9790:5,11,14,17 9796:22 9817:20 9826:18 9827:12 9830:7 9832:24 9846:18,19 9859:1,7 9861:18 9944:17,21 9971:1 9971:2,6,11 9973:23 9974:1 9977:24 9991:13,17,20,21 10003:7 10016:11 10032:21

9991:22 9992:1,25 10005:11 10107:10 10168:13 10171:8 10178:15 reported 9991:19 10067:2 10123:1.16.23 10168:5 reporter 10191:18 reporting 9991:12 9992:3,5 9992:11,13,21 9994:16,24 10005:16 10017:8 10107:13 reports 9902:25 9949:25 9995:20 9996:3.10.12 10084:15,18,22 10085:7 reprendre 10192:6 represent 9991:9 representation 10064:23 representative 9791:14 9799:5 9833:16 9846:4 9901:18 9919:15 10029:1 10059:2 10061:22 10067:1 10067:11 10082:24 representatives 9788:21,23 9913:11 10030:1 representing 10173:6 Reprise 9856:4 9910:22 9933:11 10048:19 10091:17 10103:4 10117:19 reprises 10093:10 10095:14 reps 9788:13 10085:13,22 reputation 10156:14 request 9772:18 9801:5,11 9804:3 9828:15 9861:17 9865:4 9880:5,6 9884:14 9896:14 9929:1 9930:25 9981:24 10025:15,21 10036:14.25 10043:17 10044:4 10045:15 10046:2 10090:6 10134:11.25 requested 9778:25 9779:2 9792:8 9799:9 9894:11 9981:25 10049:5 10142:5 requesting 9803:25 9829:23 9859:23 10134:12 requests 9880:1 9928:19 require 10028:3 10038:17 required 9923:3 9929:19 9930:13 9977:25 10051:23 10062:7 10112:9 10187:17 requirement 10003:5 requirements 10011:15 research 9893:14 resented 10056:10 resolution 10159:19 resolve 10056:14 10061:11 10066:18 10176:13 resolved 9905:9 10061:6 resources 9914:8 10002:17,22

10051:4 respect 9774:18,19 9775:9 9776:13 9777:18 9788:18 9794:11 9797:11 9799:10 9802:17 9807:18 9809:12 9809:20 9811:4 9813:17,18 9814:12 9824:7 9835:18 9854:2 9868:10 9870:25 9873:25 9896:20 9903:23 9905:10,14 9908:23 9919:9 9928:20 9931:9 9935:6 9943:17 9950:10 9966:25 9981:8,23 9982:12,13 9983:1,5,6 9986:24 9988:8 9997:19,22 9998:2,12,13 10000:1,5 10004:23 10005:21 10006:25 10009:1 10011:1 10018:3 10021:18 10023:3 10024:22 10025:22 10027:4 10028:20 10030:9 10032:18 10037:5 10042:6 10042:14 10055:4 10071:25 10078:12,13 10079:4,25 10081:3,11,13 10084:18 10090:4 10115:11 10118:11 10121:10,24 10122:1,25 10134:13 10139:10 10145:4 10145:21 10147:17 10149:1 10151:13 10156:13 10159:17 10168:17 10169:3 10170:22 10179:6 respected 9935:6 9997:23 10082:2 respectful 9821:7 9841:8 10041:9 respecting 9890:11 10090:2 respective 10127:25 10129:4 respond 9854:17 9865:6 10000:6 10003:5 responded 10136:19 responding 9861:16 10041:7 response 9790:19 9801:12 9825:22.23.24 9842:16 9844:6 9888:14 9902:17 10004:16 10014:6 10026:19 10036:14 10044:19 10045:11 10047:12.15 10056:17 10080:13 10112:2 10128:22 10153:17 responses 10142:3 responsibilities 9868:10,22 10021:15 10050:18 10055:18 10105:25 responsibility 9891:22 10020:21 10021:3 10029:11 10032:18 10036:1 10037:18 10038:16 10049:21 10105:3 10112:7 10115:17 10122:7 10122:21 10132:5,14

responsible 9776:4 9795:9 9808:17 9899:23 10032:10 10106:3 10110:17 10130:23 Ressam 10060:4.10.11.18 10061:1 10086:10,13,14 Ressam's 10061:5 restaurant 9831:20 restrictions 9801:16 restrictive 10079:5 resté 10097:24 result 9805:24 9827:5 9853:9 9891:11 9919:2 9920:19 9981:13 10007:16 10008:23 10051:11 10064:13 10106:7 10124:11 10138:21 10151:3 10162:8 10171:2 10174:5 results 9994:19,20 10160:4 resume 10089:10 10192:1,4 resuming 9856:3 9910:21 9933:10 10048:18 10091:16 10103:3 10117:18 retain 10064:18 retaining 9872:14 retired 10107:19 10123:9,10 retirement 10123:6 retrieval 9998:23 retrospect 9853:5 9880:6 9964:24 retrouver 10095:3 retrouvé 10097:10,14 return 9867:1 9885:8 9890:20 9891:15 9952:3 9957:23 10067:13 10077:19 10110:18 10115:12 10116:19 10171:3 returned 9792:6,21 9794:5 9818:8 9870:9 9951:7 9983:21 9985:6 10074:22 10075:3 10078:4 10112:11 10144:4 10149:19 returning 9884:24 retype 9936:17 revenir 10092:16 revenu 10093:10 review 9777:1 9842:8 9939:1 9949:14 9995:21 9996:2 9997:17 10030:5,18 10041:5 reviewed 9773:23 9774:11 9781:8 9817:20 9842:2 9878:11 9895:7 9978:15 reviewer 10105:3 reviewer-analyst 9899:22 reviewing 9774:4 9877:21 9939:20 9995:22 Reynolds 9980:10,18 10160:10 10162:23 10163:1 recu 10093:15

re-establish 10017:12,13,21

re-examination 10076:17
re-send 9859:5
re-sent 10044:12,17 10045:6
<b>Richard</b> 9771:8,23 9781:24
9806:18 9858:15 10130:19
Rick 9776:4 9785:14 9804:15
9861:21 9864:5,11 9866:4
9867:17 9980:10 10108:10
10122:21 10130:24 10131:1
10139:7 10140:16 10141:16
10141:20,22 10145:3,23
10146:16 10150:14 10160:3
10160:9 10162:23 10170:21
10171:7 10173:25 10184:11
Rick's 10166:16
rid 9852:13
rider 10001:1
right 9773:21 9774:3 9775:18
9777:14 9787:6 9788:19
9790:21 9792:25 9802:24
9812:11,16 9817:18 9820:9
9828:2 9829:2 9834:21
9835:6,24 9836:11 9837:17
9837:20 9838:17,24
9841:22 9842:6,17,17
9843:1 9848:24 9849:3,21
9849:25 9853:18 9855:7,17
9859:17 9862:23 9872:6
9876:13 9881:11 9900:19
9901:1,20,24 9903:25
9905:17 9910:10 9912:8
9913:25 9914:25 9917:4,17
9918:5 9919:3,14 9921:4,20
9922:12 9923:6,22 9924:9
9929:21 9930:10 9931:12
9931:23 9932:1 9936:21,25
9939:10 9940:4,14,17
9941:9,16 9942:1,25 9944:8
9946:4,5,10 9947:8,18
9949:1 9951:8,9,9,16
9952:22 9953:5,25 9955:17
9955:22 9956:10 9960:12
9961:22 9962:4,9,20
9964:19 9965:6 9967:5,10
9967:20 9969:2 9970:17,19
9970:23 9971:25 9973:16
9973:17 9978:22 9979:15
9980:12 9981:3 9987:21
9988:3,19 9990:5 9991:8,11
9991:16 9993:9 9994:2,5
9998:1,24 9999:22 10000:9
10000:17 10001:23 10002:4
10002:11,21 10003:17,22
10004:15 10007:8,24
10008:9,18,25 10009:25
10010:18,24 10012:6
10014:1,21 10015:8
10016:13 10018:5 10019:11
10019:20 10020:8,9,16

10021:2,25 10022:3,9 10023:5,25 10027:18
10023:5,25 10027:18
10028:10,15,19 10031:25
10034:17 10035:24 10038:9
10039:6,14,25 10041:25
10042:5,7 10044:23
10045:10,23 10046:9 10047:18 10048:13 10049:4
10047:18 10048:13 10049:4
10049.9 10030.23 10033.9
10081:1,12 10082:19,24
10087:1,24 10089:9
10090:3 10091:24 10092:1
10098:7 10103:16 10111:3
10114:10,12 10115:21,24
10117:22 10118:1 10123:19
10127:23 10131:25
10132:25 10138:11 10139:9
10144:24 10149:15,20
10152:23 10155:15
10156:24 10157:4 10158:18
10166:5,19 10168:3,22
10169:12 10170:3,13 10171:23 10172:5 10176:19
10171.23 10172.3 10176.19
10178.9 10179.22,23
10184:22 10186:4 10187:23
10188:16,21
rights 9836:12 10071:2
10079:1,5 10084:7
10177:19 10178:2,19,25
10179:6,15 10180:2,20
10181:17 10189:5
<b>Rires</b> 10091:3,9 10126:14
10189:24
rise 9910:17 9933:5 10089:12
10091:12 10117:14 10192:1
risk 10180:2 Ritchie 10163:11
road 10052:16
robber 9843:2
Roger 10107:13,15,18
10108:2,2 10118:11
role 9885:10,13 9899:22
9916:19 9917:2,14,18,23
9919:5 9931:7,14 9935:11
9988:13 9995:13 9997:18
9997:21 9998:2,5,9
10009:24 10010:1 10013:14
10020:7 10055:1 10056:7
10183:12 10184:11
roll 9910:1
Rome 9982:5 9986:2
<b>Ron</b> 9853:14,15,24 9855:6,10 9898:5,6,22 10117:21
10119:12 10125:10,18
10119.12 10123.10,18
Ronald 10118:3,5
70000 00000 1000000

room 9988:24 10009:9

10090:19,20 10185:4 **Rotation** 9845:20 round 9926:23 route 10066:20 routine 9922:10 9945:2.6.8.16 9945:21 9946:6 10055:23 10113:13 10173:14 Roy 9771:23 9781:24 9782:3 9782:17,18 9784:3 9787:24 9788:2 9794:19,25 9795:21 9797:24 9798:2 9806:18.24 9807:2,4,14 9808:3,5,7,22 9810:18 9811:19 9812:2,6 9813:9,14 9814:3,25 9815:6 9815:16 9816:8,9 9819:21 9820:23 9821:3,6,10 9828:9 9870:25,25 9872:2 9873:24 9886:5 9887:4,11,14 9892:7 9944:11 10130:19 10168:2 Rov's 9785:12 RTO 9845:18,19 rule 9780:6 9940:2 9941:1 10022:1,11,19,23 10023:12 10023:19 10024:14 10124:16,20 rules 9994:16 run 9827:24 9992:12,15,20 runs 9927:9 rush 9828:11 référer 10094:18 10099:2 répertorier 10097:14 **répliqué** 10094:3 **répondu** 10094:3 réponse 10094:25 10095:1 résume 10094:8 résumé 10119:7 10121:11 **réunion** 10101:14 révisé 10098:14 révélation 10092:20 10093:8 **R.P.R** 10192:25

safe 9962:6

10136:24

saisi 10094:13 10100:25 sake 10071:6 salle 10096:9 **Sampson** 10101:13,15 sanitizing 10085:7 Sans 10117:9 sat 9948:24 satisfied 9986:19,20 10044:18 10047:5,9 10070:23 10071:18,22 10072:7,13 satisfy 9818:21 Saturday 9844:21,23 9845:2 9845:8 9857:12,14 9953:4 9953:10,18 9954:6 9973:9 10067:18 10135:24

Saunders 9898:4 save 9937:15 10010:13 savoir 10092:20 saw 9779:3,8 9873:15 9908:24 9957:18 10044:23 10108:14 10108:19 10142:25 saving 9785:6 9820:10,13 9829:12 9830:21 9836:5 9841:19 9847:17,20 9850:1 9851:15 9863:3 9889:23 9902:3 9903:13 9930:23 9932:8 9947:10 9957:20 9961:15 9969:6 9970:21 9971:6 9976:17 9988:2 10023:18 10046:7,15 10067:1 10080:25 10081:2 10082:13 10084:5 10110:21 10112:2 10113:3 10138:14 10141:22 10143:11 10178:12 10188:6 says 9772:15 9773:13 9778:18 9780:13 9782:24,25 9783:14,15,16,17,24 9785:13,18 9790:24 9792:15 9798:9 9800:11,13 9803:24 9804:13,25 9806:17 9808:5 9813:8 9817:25 9819:9 9829:6,19 9829:21 9830:23 9832:24 9842:10 9845:7,8,16 9848:14,17 9849:7 9858:25 9864:10 9866:3,16 9867:4 9867:15 9877:10 9883:25 9884:3 9887:3,10 9888:18 9892:15,19 9895:12 9898:2 9899:7 9901:9 9902:9,12 9903:6 9906:12 9907:5.17 9912:16 9914:14 9920:9 9921:6 9924:3 9926:25 9962:14 9968:17 9974:8 9978:23 9980:9 9983:16 9987:24 10041:2.3 10072:18 10126:17.18 10128:4,13 10129:11 10134:19 10140:3,4 10142:4 10144:25 10145:2 10145:18 10148:15,19 10152:6 10160:8,11,13 10161:3 10163:14 10164:4 10170:20 10176:3 10178:15 10181:25 10188:22 schedule 10088:21 10191:16 scheduled 10048:9 10088:19 10175:24 **SCIS** 9802:4 9996:22 10033:5 10033:9 10135:5,9,14,20 scrutinized 10082:7

scénario 10094:6

se 9998:10,11 10093:19

10108:12 10112:6 10126:7 10096:12 10174:12 9864:9,17 9866:5 9879:16 sexual 10106:10 10134:16 10140:21 10142:2 search 10030:16 9879:17 9887:18 9888:8,21 **Sgt** 10153:20 searches 10012:20 10013:5,10 share 9838:10 9896:2 9931:16 10144:14 10145:18 9902:10,13,15 9938:12 10028:21 10029:6 10030:11 10151:14 10153:19.20 9939:6,9,21 9943:10 9935:16 10001:7 10010:12 10161:12 10163:12 10186:1 9946:22 9973:17 9980:8 10010:13 10014:5 10015:11 10031:18 seated 9771:5 9856:5 9910:23 10186:2,5,10 10188:5,12,21 10012:21 10024:23 10016:1 10027:8 10028:2 9933:12 10048:20 10103:5 seeing 9773:20 9873:12 10028:12 10037:7,10,12 10048:25 10050:1,12 10103:23 10118:7 9880:1 9899:3 10051:16,19 10052:6 10038:8 10079:13 10081:8 second 9778:5,6 9780:3 seek 9958:20 9982:18,19 10061:1 10063:17 10067:7 10083:10 10087:17 9792:16 9794:3 9802:25 10027:7 10190:17 10127:16 10074:19 10080:3,6 9804:10 9829:20.21 seeking 9801:2 9802:23,25 10081:1,3 10082:12 shared 9865:21 9875:5 9982:6 9830:20 9831:5 9861:24 9817:3 9865:1 9982:25 10110:24 10115:25 10135:1 10002:4 10014:19 10016:22 10027:21,21 10028:9,9 9862:13 9870:8 9920:2 10109:15 10140:5 10141:2 10152:16 9938:20 9947:20 9957:8 10153:10 10154:2 10156:7 seen 9773:24 9776:12 9844:9 10033:22 10085:9 10087:10 9969:23 10068:11 10105:18 9880:4,6,9 9899:1,4,15 10183:8 10185:6 10190:5 10124:14 10128:17 10132:6 10134:17 10135:4 10136:16 9907:10 9914:18 9919:24 sentence 9829:22 9861:24 10132:23 10133:10 10141:7 10153:21 10164:3 9948:8 9970:19 10000:11 9927:14,21 9928:2 sharing 9871:1 9872:2 seconded 10020:5 10051:3 10006:24 10014:5,25 sentenced 10061:9 9873:24 9874:1 9892:21 10053:10 10015:4 10016:6 10017:16 sentences 9936:13 9934:10 9998:15 10000:11 secondly 9772:25 9846:21 10108:20 separate 10059:20 10125:24 10000:16 10001:5,10,11,12 9848:3 9850:23 10136:21 seized 10029:6,14,17 sept 10097:1,8 10099:13 10003:16 10010:8,12 secondment 10020:17 10074:16 **September** 9772:10 9777:5,6 10016:14 10017:8 10024:1 seconds 9937:7 selon 10093:17 9777:11 9778:11,12,16,17 10024:9 10030:10 10031:17 **Secretary** 9841:2,6 seminars 10121:25 9780:4,9,10 9785:19 10032:5,6 10038:3,23 section 9827:14 9873:10 Senator 10102:2 9840:20 9934:15 9941:6 10039:3,16,18 10040:8,13 9950:15 9980:4 10055:11 send 9775:5 9776:15 9850:5 9943:21,22 9944:1 9946:23 10040:20 10041:1,18 9947:11,19 9949:16,17 10118:13 10119:4 10120:3 9857:5,17 9858:7 9864:20 10076:19 10078:13,15,19 10120:16,23 10123:18 9930:4 10027:2 10054:13 10007:5,25 10008:6 10133:16 10138:21 10173:9 secure 9884:10 10063:24 10115:4 10136:17 10018:6,15,16 10019:21,23 shed 9898:22 security 9906:18,25 9907:2,6 10151:6 10157:8 10176:11 10020:1 10051:15,18 she-American 10079:22 9935:18 9949:13 9974:11 10057:22 10060:4 10104:15 **shift** 10105:7,14,15 10126:19 10176:12 10177:6 10179:13 10120:6 10149:15 10159:15 9982:11 9983:4 9992:10,19 sending 9853:5 9856:18 10145:1 9992:25 9993:3 10009:22 9930:6 9936:21 10044:18 10170:25 **shipping** 9955:22 10011:15,23 10015:18 10111:8 10138:23 10179:7 **sequence** 9835:12 ships 10106:8 10055:9,10 10081:20 10180:19 shock 9913:9 9920:14 seraient 10093:19 sends 10011:9 sergeant 9883:23 9933:17 10120:22 10121:3,12 shocks 9921:9,12 9922:6,18 10133:6 10137:12 10138:1 senior 10005:10 10062:13 9973:6.7 9980:13 9991:4.5 9922:25 9923:19 9924:4 10099:14 10105:2.25 10048:11 10054:8 10076:15 10166:11 10177:13 **short** 9812:15 9899:7 9913:18 10180:23 10106:14 10131:17 10088:8 10107:13 10119:2 9916:13 9932:22 10008:6 10165:14 10058:17 10088:17,19 see 9772:15 9773:8,17 9779:6 10122:16 10134:19 10166:2 9779:10,19 9780:3 9781:4 seniority 10062:11 10167:24 10168:18 shorter 10048:2 sens 10093:25 10098:18 shortly 9787:7 9811:16 9781:11,16 9782:21,23 10171:13.16 10191:6 9783:20 9785:14 9787:3,17 sense 9809:12 9826:17 series 10177:11 9820:3,5 9908:24 9934:14 9794:24 9795:1 9804:11 9877:23 9915:8,20 9918:23 serve 9901:22 10083:16 10089:18 9805:3 9806:20 9812:21 9939:23 9940:14,15 9941:2 served 10073:16 10138:13 9813:7 9829:2 9839:24 9949:23 9953:24 9954:1 serves 9981:18 10070:17 show 9782:16 9783:11 10027:25 10028:11 9844:5,10 9845:5 9848:22 10122:15 9819:13 9828:19 9871:12 service 9832:8 9836:3 9923:3 9866:3 9868:4 9873:6 10050:19 10056:6 10058:4 9875:1,3 9891:24 9919:21 9882:22 9886:25 9887:19 10058:10,20 10062:3 9958:5 10134:6 10147:8 10151:25 9888:15 9891:19 9897:24 10066:17 10090:15 **Services** 10163:13 10163:7 10181:15 showed 9857:21 9903:4 9910:16 9911:8 9918:2 10137:16 10175:16,21 session 9987:16 9988:17,21 9928:5 9948:2 9949:19,22 10187:2 set 9841:7 9888:16 9889:8 showing 9938:4,5,8 9950:1 9957:16 9972:11,13 sensitive 10138:6 9911:24 9912:17 9972:8 shown 9854:6 10139:14 9978:19 9989:14,16 9994:15 10063:13 10185:13 sent 9772:10,11 9773:7,7 shows 9882:15 10001:2 10017:17 10025:21 9775:11 9776:1 9779:9,17 si 10098:9 10099:1,9 10100:7 sets 9827:16 10034:17 10038:23 10043:6 9780:10 9786:19,21 setting 10087:4,15 10100:11 10047:17 10051:14 9798:18,22,25 9803:16 seulement 10099:9 side 9986:21 9992:13 10061:12 10068:1 10071:1 9820:24 9828:14 9835:9 10070:24 10071:23 10072:7 seven 10188:5,19 10075:12 10084:10 9844:7 9853:21 9858:18 seventeen 10140:8 sign 10024:12

signal 9848:18,21 10085:13 10153:14 10157:13 speculate 9837:18 9838:16 sitting 9928:24 9929:5 signals 9853:6 10160:23 10184:4,23 10185:2 9948:10.11 9949:6 signature 9781:16 10186:25 10187:13.21 **speculating** 10175:3,14 10001:18 10108:6 10109:7 somebody's 9804:2 9999:11 signed 9777:7,12 9830:14 10176:2 significance 10031:7 10157:17 10175:15 10179:5 10001:19 **speculation** 9842:16,18 significant 9830:10 10169:13 someone's 9937:5 9981:21 10181:14 10182:4 9898:20 10169:21,22 10171:23,25 situating 9839:25 somewhat 10065:10 10073:7 speed 9996:11 10000:5 10172:15 situation 9779:22 9781:9 10184:10 spent 10191:8 signée 10092:25 sommes 10096:16 9782:1,10,20 9808:20 split 10122:16 similar 10022:15 10061:17 9823:15 9887:6 9891:10 spoke 9795:6 9805:21 son 10092:11 10062:6.16 10065:10 9902:25 9915:12 9930:5 sont 10096:4 10100:20 9811:10 9813:19 9833:15 simple 10026:16.19 10034:19 9944:16,21 9966:23 10101:18 9857:20 9864:11 9904:7 9996:10 10003:7 10014:6,7 10146:13 10157:1 sorry 9772:3 9778:9 9783:3 9952:7 9983:18 9985:3 simplement 10092:16 10023:15 10026:23,25 9786:6 9798:13,24 9804:21 10061:22 10161:11 simply 9808:15 10054:1 10055:20 10060:10 9820:15 9823:8,10 9874:21 spoken 9788:5 9793:15 10090:11 10061:17 10084:15,17,22 9875:15 9892:8 9896:25 9811:14 10113:21 sir 9819:13 9825:20 9827:9 10085:13,22 10111:5 9897:16 9912:14 9925:16 spring 10123:11,11 9917:18 9918:15,16 10124:8 10127:2,6 10130:2 9925:24 9926:20,21,22,24 stade 10098:11,20 9919:19 9921:18 9923:1,24 10130:6.20 9928:14 9934:11 9937:17 staff 10106:17 10107:13 9924:14,22 9926:3 9927:10 six 9833:20 9846:6 9856:11 9938:19 9941:11 9943:21 10191:18 9928:17 9931:7 9932:2,10 9892:9 9905:20 9953:5 9943:23 9944:18.20 9957:7 staffing 9997:5 9932:15,24 9935:11 9973:25 10122:17,18,20 9957:10 9959:2,25 9966:12 stamp 9936:12,18 10024:11 9939:11,19 9941:5 9943:4 10136:1 10166:9,10 9968:5,6,7,11 9977:2 10026:20 10080:19 9946:8 9948:21 9955:4 10188:4,19,19,20 9983:14 9984:6,11,18 stamped 10023:5 9957:25 9960:2 9964:8 siégeait 10096:11 9991:3 9994:21 10005:13 stamping 10026:17 9965:9,18 9969:20 9970:13 10018:12 10019:24 10033:7 slated 9859:14 stamps 9936:12 sleeper 9945:19 10172:11 9975:13,20 9981:6 9987:5 10037:11 10051:17 stand 9777:21 9855:25 9987:14 9988:13,20 sleeping 9924:21,25 10072:21 10073:4,6 9923:20 9933:7 10048:15 9989:21 9990:13 9991:11 slightly 10059:5 10075:13,14 10080:13 10091:13 10102:25 9992:14 9993:21 9994:6,8 slow 9937:10 9998:19,23 10152:19 10103:15 10117:15,25 9996:18 9997:11 9998:13 10026:17,18,20 10027:16 sort 9959:17 10009:4 standard 9936:16 10111:18 9998:19 9999:20 10000:15 10027:19 10050:18 10116:17 10184:24 10113:15 10115:16 10135:4 sought 9774:12 9776:16,20,22 10000:23 10002:13 slowly 10017:20 10178:19 10181:13 10004:18,21 10005:10,20 small 9976:8,10 9879:22 10045:2 10187:20 sound 10089:5 10191:17 standards 9837:16 10187:3 10007:6 10011:18 10013:13 **Soave** 10145:13 10016:2 10017:24 10018:5 soient 10096:12 sounded 10074:8 stands 9845:17 10018:14 10019:21 10020:3 soir 10096:16 sounds 9793:1 9975:14 Star 9974:1 start 9864:8 9871:18 9887:1 10020:12 10021:18 soit 10093:21.22 10101:3 source 9975:22 9976:1.19 10022:12 10023:8 10024:24 solely 10021:12 10049:6 10074:10 9905:19 9926:17 9984:22 10025:9 10026:22 10027:1 solicit 9825:22 9986:1 sources 9978:25 9985:18 10036:10 10040:18 10057:3 10028:20 10029:5 10030:15 10070:22 10072:13 sous-ministre 10098:2 10126:16 10035:3 10036:20 10037:4 solicited 9901:23 started 9979:13 10122:6 souvenez-vous 10094:14 10038:2 10046:6 10048:22 soliciting 10085:6 souvenir 10096:20 starting 9917:3 9937:20 10052:2 10054:3 10103:14 Solicitor 10161:7,20 10162:4 souviens 10095:22 10056:11 10103:25 10177:20 solidified 9957:23 starts 9914:15 speak 9810:2 9833:6,14 10179:10 **Sollicitor** 10186:14 9862:18 9870:21 9888:11 state 9789:14 9837:5 9841:3.7 **Solomon** 9782:23 sister 9927:6 9917:8 9950:14 9958:14 9859:4 9864:16 9871:21 10089:4 10124:21 10145:15 9962:25 9963:20 10060:13 sit 9971:11 9990:9 9996:12 solve 9906:9 9999:10 somebody 9778:19,25 10153:13 10182:16 10186:7 10178:15 10189:7 **SitRep** 9777:4,10,19 9778:10 9780:19 9797:11 9798:9,11 speaking 9808:22 9856:17 stated 9774:24 9784:23 9781:14 9793:10,18,22 9873:18 10043:5 10081:12 9804:14 9805:5 9830:14 9837:12 9847:7 9887:14 9814:21 9945:21 9946:22 9865:2,3 9866:25 9867:5,6 10108:24 10116:13 9905:21 9940:11 9942:3 9949:15 10021:5 10159:6 9881:20 9882:8 9885:2,7 special 9978:11 10119:4 9959:9 9960:3 9994:1 9904:5,8 9939:24 9940:16 **SitReps** 9777:1 9789:7,9 specific 9809:13 9827:13 10019:1,4 10030:13 9792:15 9793:3 9946:14 9952:1 9954:15 9987:17.18 9840:8 9872:24 9899:11 statement 9854:6 9900:1 9947:1 9949:21 9996:12,13 9988:18,24 9989:21 10124:8 10133:15 9908:19 10125:5,9 10011:6,9,24 10033:4,15 10024:11 10059:25 10070:4 specifically 9807:14 9867:12 10128:11 10139:24 10035:5,8 10036:4,11,22 10072:18,19,25 10073:14 10057:22 10058:4 10143:15 10147:4,17,19 10051:15,19 10052:6 10075:19 10152:24 specifics 9811:7 9812:3 10179:10 10181:5,9,20

10189:19 submissions 10090:4,13 9979:16 10103:2 10117:17 statements 9891:8 9900:11 submit 9989:1 SUPERText 10024:2 10132:7 suspicions 10060:2 10181:16.18 submitted 9884:14 9893:20 10133:17 swear 10103:11.13 10117:24 States 9771:18 9773:18 10071:6 **supervise** 10122:11 swears 10155:18 9774:7.8 9778:24 9780:24 **subordinates** 10137:7,25 supervised 10122:14 Switzerland 9792:6,21 9794:5 9781:21 9794:3 9815:11 10169:1 supervising 10122:12 9818:8,11,16 9823:20 9818:3,25 9824:2,3,13 subscribe 9923:5 supervision 10166:9 9826:8,18,20 9835:9 9825:10 9827:16 9833:5 subsequent 9972:18 10029:25 supervisor 9853:16 9977:12 9838:22 9839:7 9856:18 10054:18 10071:9 10121:1 10179:1 9863:5 9870:11,15 9952:22 9840:13,20 9841:9,11 9955:23 9956:1,6,11,13,18 9842:22 9850:11 9852:8 supervisors 9777:18 9858:20 10132:15 10139:6 10183:17 9874:8 9879:12 9939:7.9 subsequently 9781:4 9796:1 10013:24 10014:13.17 10063:17 10110:21.25 9966:22 9970:22 9972:3 9886:7 9903:3 10060:14 10106:25 10137:11 10149:19 9998:25 10015:12,16 10061:18 supervisory 10122:8,21 sworn 9771:8 10103:10,18 10117:23 10118:3 10023:16 10032:1 10034:20 substance 9834:13 supplied 9785:23 10023:16 10059:22 10060:1,13 sudden 9772:20 9913:2 10024:3 10036:4 Svria 9815:13 9816:3,12 supply 9801:10 10025:4 10061:6,9 10063:23 9917:7 9955:5 10146:19 9820:12,24 9821:7 9827:2,4 10064:19 10066:18 suffice 9837:1 10051:12 9835:16,20,23 9836:4,6,13 10086:22 10110:9,24 sufficient 9850:21 10048:10 **supplying** 10035:20 9836:20 9837:9,14 9838:1 10111:6 10115:6 10168:1,8 10086:13 10136:9 support 9801:3 9839:15 9838:11 9840:14,15,17 10175:16 10190:1 suffisamment 10094:4 9842:5 9847:10 9850:21 9849:2 9850:5 9863:4 stating 9850:19 9915:16 suggest 9827:1 9912:24 9859:21 9959:12,19,22 9871:25 9879:13 9885:17 stature 10065:12 9913:19 9914:1,2 9915:23 9960:6,15 9961:9,11,13 9886:7,12 9887:18 9888:8 status 9806:19 9813:10,14,16 9918:15 9935:21 9939:25 10079:24 10134:13 9889:3,11,19,24 9895:20 9825:5 9834:25 9858:1 9957:24 9960:22 9964:7 **supporting** 9803:1 9839:15 9896:23 9899:2 9908:5 9842:25 9961:17 10072:11 9869:6 10061:6 10066:18 9969:24 9971:10 9976:11 9916:1,18 9918:9 9920:11 10136:15 10141:3 9976:24 9988:12 9989:9 suppose 9945:11,13 9964:1 9921:7 9928:25 9929:5 10002:19 10010:22 9930:2,24 9931:5,15 stay 9806:16 10137:17 10001:14 steal 10053:24 10013:15 10040:12 supposed 9786:12 9943:10 9956:22 9957:1,12 step 9797:3,3 9902:24 10180:10 supposedly 9946:14 9957:16,18 9982:5,19,25 suggested 9772:16 9862:16 supposition 10072:17,23 9983:21 9984:1 9985:6,11 10011:13,18 steps 9983:6 9999:1 10043:2 9939:14 10054:11 10070:4 sur 10092:16 10093:3 10098:1 9985:14 9986:15 9990:9 10073:13,15 10074:7 Stewart 10145:7 10098:1 10101:12,14,15 10063:24 10074:19 10115:6 stick 9846:24 9847:19 10084:10 sure 9807:20 9824:20 9861:2 10138:17 10141:9 10143:20 9921:18 9954:21 10088:21 suggesting 9926:2 9963:19 9862:17 9876:16,20 10144:6 10148:20,23 9971:19 9972:6 9975:12,20 9878:16 9898:21 9931:10 10149:5,8,16,22 10150:23 sticky 9829:4 stop 9927:20 9987:21 9988:23 9990:13 9947:9 9950:10 9952:15 10151:1 10152:16 10153:10 stopped 9787:2 10060:1 10014:2 9984:12.14 10029:8 10156:7 10157:5.9.17.21.24 suggestion 9915:6,15 9997:7 10084:25 10085:2 10090:20 straightforward 10063:8 10158:2.6 10161:5.12.19.22 strange 9808:2 9852:25 suggestions 9888:5 9931:1 10091:11 10106:23 10161:25 10162:5,8,12 9957:25 10156:20 10152:13 10153:7 10113:18 10114:6 10131:6 10176:6,8,11,18 10177:6,20 strategy 9987:16 9988:17,21 suggests 9915:4 9970:13 10133:13 10139:3 10147:2 10177:25 10178:2,11,17,24 street 9851:25 9852:4 9962:7 suit 10100:15 10147:14 10148:3 10158:13 10179:4 10181:17 10183:6 streets 9850:10 9852:12 suite 10095:7 10099:19 10159:8 10161:23 10169:23 10183:21.22 10186:16 suivante 10094:25 10182:17 10184:21 10187:9 10188:19 stressed 10003:16,18 Syrian 9782:25 9783:14 strictly 10024:17 10166:17 sujet 10094:14 10098:1 10187:10 strike 10169:22 10171:25 summarize 9782:13 10136:6 surely 9843:15 9958:8 9784:21,25 9785:4,8 stringent 9889:8 Sunday 9858:8,18 9862:7 surmise 9815:6 9789:16 9824:24 9897:4 structure 9908:23 9991:12 9863:3 10082:21 9914:21 10130:11 10157:23 **surmised** 9843:12 10005:16 10062:15 10079:9 sunlight 10188:21 surprise 10085:20 10086:2 10159:3 10161:17 10183:4 subi 9920:11 Sunni 10120:23 surprised 9879:2 10131:22 Syrians 9896:4 9899:7 **subject** 9798:18 9801:10 superintendent 9795:5 **surprises** 10170:14 10037:8 10041:4 10141:3 9823:19 9824:22 9826:6 9854:20 9855:6 9933:18 surprising 10175:2 10161:14 9871:22 9932:8 9940:2,20 9938:22 9973:8 9991:9 surveillance 9831:17 10087:4 Syrian-American 10115:5 9941:1,1 9951:6 9985:13 10017:11 10019:3 10056:15 10087:16 Syrian-Canadian 10176:4 10163:12 10168:8 10172:9 10107:2,5,6 10123:1,5,16 suspected 9962:8 9966:18 Syrian/Canadian 10148:16 subjected 9924:3 10131:16 10139:4,24 9988:9 Syrie 10092:24 10093:1 suspects 9988:9 submission 9775:20 9776:12 10140:1 10098:3 9821:7 9841:8 9851:12 superior 9993:19 10137:2 Suspension 9856:2 9910:20 Syriens 10100:16 10024:4 10041:9 superiors 9933:21 9936:4 9933:9 10048:17 10091:15 system 9796:22 9836:15

9837:15 9838:3 9905:2 9872:7 9891:14 9916:14 10146:21 10148:2 10156:11 10191:7,10 9929:24 9961:14 9963:12 9926:17,25 9934:21 9955:6 10172:15 thanks 10191:14 9966:5 10022:15 10054:12 9955:6 9957:12 10015:15 tells 9818:14 9917:9 theirs 9904:11,16,17 thereabouts 9796:20 10078:2 10066:14 10070:25 10071:2 10026:23,24 10040:19 temps 10095:23 10044:4 10070:18 10098:1 they'd 9849:16 10091:21 ten 9890:24 10048:6,10,14 svstème 10095:17 10104:14 10111:5 10113:4 10091:12 10106:22 thing 9774:25 9794:17 9802:19,25 9803:20 **Sénat** 10095:15,18 10096:6 10132:1 10147:18 10122:14 talks 9831:13 9974:7 tend 10101:23 10187:6 9825:19 9830:20 9839:13 10099:20 **sénateur** 10091:19 10092:9,10 9847:20 9848:14 9926:11 tard 10100:5 tendency 10053:24 tenure 9917:25 9940:24 9957:25 9968:15 10092:13,18 10094:2,22 target 10077:23 10098:10,15,19 10099:1 targets 9916:16 9917:5 ten-day 10121:13 9987:4 10000:14 10002:12 10101:3.18 9982:14 10077:3,7,11 term 9811:2 9814:11,14,17,18 10057:7 10114:7 10170:14 task 9937:1 s'agissait 10093:13 9824:5 9843:6 9933:25 things 9775:2 9794:2,9 s'agit 10101:3 tasked 9891:21 9900:4 9947:2 9935:9 9966:8 9967:25 9795:25 9807:23 9830:18 s'est 10096:10 10013:17 10134:15 10001:10 9833:1 9838:21 9847:18 **taxpayers** 10087:15 terms 9831:11 9840:1 9843:3 9851:4 9869:25 9870:1 9900:10 9901:3 9953:16 T team 9853:22 9862:18 9865:20 9879:1 9884:1 tab 9772:6,7 9827:12 9871:19 9887:23 9893:16 9901:17 9893:12 9894:4 9900:8 9999:8 10007:4 10013:17 9872:11 9873:7,17 9968:4,6 9901:17 9905:15 9909:8,11 9915:20 9931:14 9941:10 10020:7,18,21 10027:16,19 9968:8 9947:4 10012:11 10038:18 9997:16 10024:8 10041:1,8 10046:20 10052:4 10057:11 table 9822:18 9870:20 10120:23 10045:19 10053:6 10055:1 10070:24 10072:3 10082:8 9916:11 9931:10 9956:1,6 teams 10087:4,16 10076:19 10079:6,15 10088:16 10124:5 10129:5 9956:13 10075:6 10150:22 **TECHNICIAN** 10091:20,25 10086:10 10131:12 10130:10 10159:14 10157:17 10174:13 10176:9 tel 10100:9 10133:21 10135:19 10173:10 take 9775:2 9797:2,14 telephone 9793:3,11,13,15 10138:12 10142:1,2 think 9774:10,23 9776:8 9848:20 9849:8 9855:24 9810:20 9811:17 9812:1,6 10144:12 10147:18 10173:9 9783:2 9784:5 9798:22 10176:17 10184:8 10188:1 9857:9 9869:15 9874:23 9812:12 9844:24 9845:24 9809:6,7,19 9811:2 9812:9 9909:7 9910:1 9916:3 9847:16 9853:10,11 terrible 10181:14 9812:18 9820:22 9821:1,15 9920:2 9926:10 9927:23 9856:15 9865:11 9874:14 terribly 9937:1 9835:16 9837:12,24,25 9929:17 9933:23 9937:4 9895:7 9975:15 10052:12 terrorism 9843:19 9851:24 9838:9 9839:6,10 9840:3 9841:16 9842:20 9850:5 9949:14 9959:6 9968:13 10053:1,15 10059:1 10060:2 10120:10,13 9971:7 9990:19 9993:11 10063:11,22 10066:25 terrorism-related 10121:17 9852:24 9853:4 9854:3,22 10067:11 10087:23,24 9995:19 9998:15 9999:6 terrorist 9841:4,7 9843:21 9863:7 9868:15 9870:10,11 10008:15 10015:16 10136:13,23 10137:1,2,20 9844:10 9852:12 9962:8 9870:14 9902:23 9909:25 9910:3 9911:2 9915:1 10021:13 10033:19 10034:4 10137:23 10142:4 10000:12 10163:14 terrorists 9852:5 9966:19 9916:20,23 9917:10 9918:6 10044:16 10049:20 telephoned 9797:10,13 10066:17,22 10071:17,21 televised 10090:25 terrorist-related 10060:15 9918:21 9923:21 9924:11 10077:20 10078:7 10080:21 television 10089:25 tes 10097:2 9928:10.16 9930:17 9931:2 tell 9781:19 9782:10 9784:20 testified 9797:18 9807:4 9934:14 9938:13 9939:13 10086:22 10088:17,24 9785:2,8,10,25 9786:3,20 9808:21 9810:6 9919:17,18 9940:12,22,24 9943:1,2 10089:9 10102:17,22 10103:11,16 10109:22 9790:16,23 9796:16 9919:19 9925:20 9926:7 9944:12 9945:20,21 9946:2 9797:12.22 9799:7 9810:21 9948:7 9954:3 9956:15 10114:15,17,24 10117:7 10137:1 10118:1 10119:8 10121:12 9815:5 9819:12 9823:15 testify 9825:13 10050:10 9957:3 9958:10 9963:21 9824:18 9825:14,20 testimony 9779:16 9808:23 9964:25 9967:5 9974:1 10141:17 10151:7 10156:2 9810:19 9933:18 9950:6,12 10187:1 10190:15 9826:12 9828:6,9 9834:4,18 9978:2,6,7 9981:21 9986:5 9987:15 9989:15,17,19,21 taken 9870:20 9877:16 9834:22 9839:14 9845:16 9950:19 10005:23 10145:8 9879:13 9917:2 9970:16 9855:13 9856:25 9857:25 thank 9771:10 9778:8 9990:3,18 10001:21 9979:8 9983:6 10013:6 9876:3 9877:12 9899:4 9809:22 9810:3,4 9817:24 10002:8,9 10003:15 10036:1 10090:8,18 9924:13 9926:11 9973:12 9909:21,22 9911:5 9982:16 10005:17,22 10011:25 10121:16,25 10143:22 10000:15 10043:14 10060:9 9983:10 9990:24 10043:16 10016:10,21 10017:1,6,7 takes 9949:25 10038:17 10061:24.25 10075:1 10053:19 10054:3,4 10018:2 10019:13 10020:13 10191:20 10104:25 10105:24 10138:5 10076:11 10088:3,5,8,13,14 10020:15 10025:20 talk 9816:20,24 10163:24 10145:19 10148:8 10155:8 10092:2 10098:25 10102:1 10032:23 10040:16 10168:15 10175:8 10175:18 10184:2,4,7 10102:4,5,14,16,24 10041:23 10042:3 10043:9 talked 9798:2 9808:7 9814:3 10185:5 10190:3 10043:14 10045:8 10047:24 10103:22,25 10114:1,2 9816:25 9934:9 10035:4 tellement 10094:12 10116:2,24,25 10117:4,6 10048:1,6,23 10049:13,15 telling 9785:3 9825:25 10118:6,20 10139:21 10050:7 10056:9 10059:4 10143:12 talking 9775:25 9816:19 9838:25 9851:7 9857:3 10164:25 10182:11 10060:5 10063:15 10064:2

9877:20 9921:21 9965:9

10185:19 10187:23 10191:4

10064:14 10065:1,3,23

9819:21 9858:24 9866:16

10066:1,6,8 10067:6	9796:15 9801:15 9803:5	10010:13 10030:18	transcript 9933:23 9937:14
10068:6 10081:25 10090:15	9804:10 9806:4,11,23	10034:21 10051:13	9938:22 9942:2 10185:22
10090:16 10111:12,21	9807:23 9810:18 9812:15	times 9835:17 9877:17	transfer 9974:24 9978:20
10129:22 10135:5 10136:23	9816:1,13 9820:6 9822:23	9882:16 9913:10 9923:7	9979:8 10075:21 10076:6
10139:18 10151:22	9823:24 9828:5,8 9833:17	10034:16 10057:20	transition 10107:12
10155:12 10158:3 10165:15	9835:10,16 9838:25 9840:2	10146:13	translators 10191:17
10170:10 10171:23	9841:15 9845:20,21	timing 10138:12	transmission 10135:7
10173:18 10174:2,11	9850:24 9851:2 9852:19	tips 10003:4	transmittal 9802:7,9 9832:24
10175:20,23 10179:4,7,12	9853:3,16 9855:10,21	today 9855:8 9878:17 9997:16	transmitted 9841:14
10179:13,19 10180:19,23	9865:6 9866:21 9867:23	10048:9 10088:21 10144:8	transpired 9794:14 9837:13
10181:12 10182:7,15	9869:3 9870:10,19 9871:1	10169:14 10191:25	9853:25 9854:21 9868:5
10183:11 10185:9,14,21	9872:5 9875:13 9877:22	told 9784:10 9794:25 9795:14	9898:24 9926:13 10061:18
10186:13 10187:6,19	9878:18 9881:14,14 9884:9	9795:21 9815:6,11,16	10146:14
10188:2,7,9,11,23 10189:3	9886:11,21 9887:2 9893:9	9816:1,9,12,19 9820:23	transpiring 10019:19 10055:4
10190:25	9896:10,23 9899:8,18	9823:15 9824:15 9826:19	travel 9866:19 9867:11,19
thinking 9918:6 9989:10	9912:9,11,13 9913:9,18	9838:20 9851:9,16 9852:19	9982:25 10034:20 10186:16
third 9780:6 9794:4 9802:6	9916:13,22 9917:11 9925:6	9855:1,1,2,14 9857:19	travelling 9867:7 9882:3
9861:24 9870:19 9920:9	9928:5,24 9930:25 9931:19	9859:16 9876:14,15 9877:1	10114:13
9940:2,2 9941:1 10022:1,11	9935:2,25 9937:5,5,15	9877:13 9878:22 9895:24	treated 9915:6,7,17 9916:1
10022:19 10023:19	9942:4 9948:17,20,22	9896:1 9919:19 9922:5	9917:9 9918:9,14,19
10024:13 10027:8 10089:13	9952:10 9956:23 9965:8	9924:11 9928:16 9936:4	9932:15
10149:18 10155:16	9967:9 9968:12,20 9972:1	9943:19 9944:12 9946:8	treating 10178:21
thirty-three 10185:19	9972:24 9976:3 9978:5	9950:16 9955:18 9956:8,14	treatment 9915:2,5,8 9916:17
thorough 10030:18 10031:20	9980:19 9981:15,22	9971:1,22 9972:1 9975:4	9918:24 9919:10
10037:24	9982:22 9984:19 9985:14	9977:5 10042:11 10059:4	trial 9859:13,24 9967:17
thought 9776:9 9813:17	9985:19 9991:6,13 9995:25	10063:15 10075:25	10065:4
9819:5 9839:9 9850:19,19	9999:6 10002:4 10010:19	10086:19 10111:15 10121:9	tribunal 9838:5
9850:23 9851:6,15,16	10014:3 10015:6,9	10130:23 10131:2,9	tried 9967:25 10059:25
9852:5 9855:5 9940:11	10017:12,25 10019:5 10020:6,22 10021:5	10133:17 10134:22 10135:3 10137:3 10139:7 10148:6	triggered 10141:8
9952:14 9957:1,5,23 9959:1 9959:4 9961:2 10043:1	10020:0,22 10021:3	10154:24 10155:5 10168:22	trip 9877:16 9883:5 9908:4,10 9982:5 10176:18,20,23
10045:1 10046:24 10063:5	10036:7 10051:6 10052:21	10172:6 10173:3 10177:9	10177:8,16,25 10179:3
10070:5 10084:1 10087:3,8	10052:22 10055:3,10	10180:12,14	10182:1 10186:18
10087:11 10102:11	10057:8 10058:7,10,12,17	tomorrow 10192:2	trois 10093:10
10113:15 10183:18	10058:20 10060:14	top 9776:3 9782:21 9829:2	trouble 9904:4
thoughts 10089:3	10061:11 10064:9,12,18	9833:3 9845:16 9949:12	trouve 10095:25
threat 9837:20 9838:8	10066:17,20,23 10067:5	10004:8	trouver 10099:16,21
9839:12,24 9841:9,10	10072:12 10075:1 10077:1	topic 9796:17 9898:16	trouvé 10096:7 10097:2
9842:22 9850:11 9895:9,14	10079:16 10080:22	<b>Toronto</b> 9782:25 9783:7,10	10099:13 10100:3
10000:1,6,13 10002:8	10086:22 10088:8,11	9909:17 9974:1 10085:4	true 9811:8,12 9942:15
10037:19 10076:20	10104:14 10107:4,11,14	10119:16	9960:24 9962:21 10012:17
10086:16 10141:12	10114:9 10123:4,24	torture 9837:9 9932:9,18	10012:24 10019:21 10020:3
three 9773:4 9787:13 9794:2	10124:1,5 10130:1,20	10178:7,11,17,21	10021:9 10031:4 10171:21
9794:8 9798:15 9804:25	10131:2,23 10132:4,12	tortured 9836:20 9920:13	truly 10189:11
9869:25 9890:5 9920:22	10133:21 10134:14	9921:7,24 9925:4 9928:12	try 9863:15 9911:1 9912:12
9996:24 9997:8 10026:25	10137:25 10138:3,9	9931:5 10159:3	9912:13 9917:11 9947:20
10048:9 10088:18 10089:13	10139:11 10143:19	total 9825:14	9972:21,22 9990:3 10002:1
10127:21 10154:25 10156:2	10144:13 10147:12,21	totally 9837:13 9980:18	10013:18 10017:17
10156:4,16,22 10158:11	10158:19,25 10161:8	9997:12 10033:10 10059:19	10046:16 10048:22
threshold 9839:19 threw 9891:13	10162:7,18 10166:3,24 10167:6,11 10169:6,13,22	touch 10082:23 touched 9861:5,10 10050:9	10055:13 10058:20 10065:18 10070:25
threw 9891:13 throw 10111:20 10130:10	10167:6,11 10169:6,13,22	tough 9984:19	10063:18 10070:23
thunder 10053:24	10172.1 10170.13 10177.20 10177:24 10178:1 10179:2	tous 10094:1	trying 9797:1 9809:14
Thursday 10126:19 10133:23	10184:12 10190:11 10191:8	tout 10095:12,23,24 10099:19	9835:12 9841:25 9842:13
tie 9842:19 9889:10	timeframe 10035:2 10046:8	tracked 10107:23	9842:19 9843:16 9875:18
time 9771:15 9774:15 9780:4	timeline 9803:8 9865:21	traditions 10122:2	9923:23 9954:11 9958:4
9781:6 9785:4 9786:25	10028:21 10046:2,6	trafficking 10106:9	9967:7 10055:22 10056:16
9787:10 9790:1,21 9792:14	10151:21 10152:2	train 9957:5	10057:4 10060:12 10070:22
9793:21 9794:9 9795:2,4	timely 10000:18 10001:10,11	training 10121:11,24	10165:24 10166:20

10179:25 très 10095:18,19 10096:24 10100:15 10101:3 Tuesday 9771:2 9794:1 9861:20 9868:9 9886:2 10146:3,17 10170:6 **Tunis** 9783:16 **Tunisian** 9783:16 turn 10046:3 10175:4 10176:9 10182:20 10185:12,25 turned 9822:11 tutorial 10121:25 twelve 9818:19 9887:20 10106:22 10127:13 Twenty-eight 9926:22,24 Twenty-five 9911:16 twenty-nine 10098:24 Twenty-sixth 9943:25 two 9777:1,17 9778:22 9802:12 9815:10 9817:2 9819:15 9822:5,15,15,25 9823:13 9825:21 9827:24 9830:18 9833:1 9847:18 9849:2 9853:12 9869:25 9870:13 9877:7,18 9878:1 9880:16,17,21,25 9881:19 9881:25 9882:7 9883:19 9911:1 9921:6 9936:12 9949:7 9959:17,19 9965:23 9993:6 10026:24 10041:12 10047:20 10052:9 10059:19 10059:19 10067:14 10068:11 10069:14 10077:3 10077:8,11 10086:17 10091:24 10098:23 10107:23 10108:1 10125:4 10147:24 10149:21 10164:14 10183:20 10185:18,25 two-thirds 10075:16 type 9930:18,22 9940:24 9941:22 9977:22 9997:9 10002:12 10168:20 typed 10144:19,20 types 9774:13 9775:2 9958:21 10057:11 10082:7 typescript 10144:23 10146:6 typewritten 10185:23 téléphoné 10099:20 témoignage 10092:12,15 10093:4,9 10094:2,9,21 10095:5,8 10096:8 10099:5 témoigné 10092:14,19 10100:24

U

UC 9906:19 **ultimate** 10115:17 ultimately 9839:25 9956:18

9992:3,12 10010:16 10021:10 10055:23 umbrella 9909:9 10011:16 **un** 10092:10,15,17 10093:3,15 10095:17.22 10098:11 10099:14 10100:9 unable 9832:4 9846:17 9954:16 10034:19 10044:13 10069:15 10071:4 unaware 9903:1 9905:16 10019:3 **Uncle** 9927:7 undercover 10089:19 10090:9 **understand** 9771:16 9772:13 9796:2 9821:20 9822:3 9826:22 9857:23 9858:19 9861:7 9863:22 9877:9 9908:22 9923:23 9925:12 9936:10 9947:9 9949:24 9950:17,19 9951:3,13 9955:18 9960:10,12 9967:3 10026:14 10063:14 10073:5 10077:2,14,17,24 10078:3 10089:24 10090:7 10102:13 10104:10,15 10105:6 10107:18 10109:18 10122:7 10122:24 10124:2 10125:2 10150:9 understandable 10102:8 understanding 9792:24 9803:16 9806:4 9817:16 9826:14 9842:4 9849:10,18 9849:24 9886:17 9917:14 9946:13 9951:23 9956:9 9992:10 10010:10 10025:7

10028:8 10054:20 10061:8 10064:21 10067:8 10072:6 10085:3 10110:15.22 10111:2 10114:8,16 10115:1,8 10132:22 10165:9,25 10183:15 understood 9843:15 9950:25

9955:10,18 9956:1 10065:8 10065:9 10149:25

undoubtedly 9940:18 une 10092:8,20,25 10093:8 10096:18 10098:11 10099:9 10099:24 10100:4 10101:13 unfair 10041:10

unforeseen 10003:6 unfortunately 9808:23 9965:19 9967:1 9995:22 10064:12 10079:23

unhelpful 10041:13 unidentified 9885:3 unilaterally 9930:19 unit 9980:18,21 10021:1,11 **United** 9771:18 9773:18

9774:6,8 9778:24 9780:24

9781:21 9794:3 9815:11 9818:3,25 9824:2,3,13 9825:10 9827:15 9833:5 9840:13,19 9841:9,11 9842:22 9850:11 9852:8 9874:8 9939:6,9 9970:22 9972:3 9998:25 10015:12 10015:15 10023:16 10032:1 10034:20 10059:22,25 10060:13 10061:6,9 10063:23 10064:19 10066:18 10086:22 10110:9 10110:24 10111:6 10115:6 10168:1,8 10175:16 10190:1

University 10119:16 unknown 9884:9 Unlawful 9968:2 unprecedented 10003:6 unredacted 9778:18 9780:12 9831:12,24 9883:25 unrelated 9797:24 9798:3

9808:4,11,15 9810:24 9813:18 9834:24

unusual 9908:19,21 9912:25 9913:20 9916:12 9953:9,18 10056:20 10057:13 10108:5 upload 10034:3 10135:4 uploaded 9905:2 10033:5,9

10033:12,23 10034:7 10135:14

**uploading** 10135:19 uploads 10135:9 upset 9963:16 upstairs 9952:7,7,14 10109:13

upwards 10058:24 **urgency** 10017:19 urgent 9798:19,25 9911:19,20 9912:3,10 9914:3,10 9916:8 9945:8,10,22 10051:23 10079:18 10080:3 10134:11 10134:12

urgently 10079:24 use 9777:15 9809:17 9879:20 9879:20.23 9880:1 9881:7 9881:12,13 9962:23 9965:1 9968:1 9979:2 9983:9 10021:21 10023:4 10025:11 10025:18,22 10026:12 10030:10 10071:9 10081:13

uses 10154:11 usual 9963:17 10108:5 usually 9805:20

10178:16.21

utilize 9923:14 U.K 10015:24 **U.S** 9781:1 9792:1 9799:4

9801:17 9820:14 9847:11

9860:14 9862:3 9866:6 9884:21 9888:2 9892:17,20 9892:23 9893:3.5 9894:6.11 9894:15.23 9895:11 9930:7 9934:5.9 9935:6 9941:8 9942:13 9943:6,9 9944:1,3 9954:13 9958:8.23 9959:13 9959:20,23 9962:15 9968:20 9970:7,10,12 9971:8 10007:14 10019:10 10022:5 10059:2.21 10060:12 10061:22 10062:2 10062:4 10066:25 10067:11 10074:16 10075:1 10111:17 10128:18 10132:23 10141:8 10141:9,16 10142:5,9 10143:18 10144:2 10168:20 10184:5 10190:4

vacation 9857:23 9858:3.6 9867:23 9868:8 9869:24 9872:8 9874:4 9880:5 9886:3 9972:25 9973:5 vain 10102:12 vainement 10095:21 vais 10094:18 values 9923:5,11 10122:2 various 9923:10 9929:17 9931:21 9948:19 9953:15 10007:2 10039:11 variously 10065:1 vast 10016:10 10023:1 venir 10099:22 venture 10137:14 verified 9775:16 **VERMA** 9817:23 vers 10096:17 10099:17 versa 9953:21 9954:6 10052:18.19 version 10185:23 versus 9986:6 10070:13 vet 9928:19 vetting 10085:7 **Veuillez** 9771:6 9856:6

9933:13 10048:21 10103:6 viable 10188:3 vice 9953:21 9954:6 10052:18

10052:19 viens 10099:21

vient 10099:15

view 9841:8 9842:21 9849:14 9849:16 9862:10,13 9863:3 9867:24 9889:20 9918:3 9946:7 9961:13 9978:10 9988:11 10045:24 10124:23 10132:18 10189:14

viewed 9839:11 9841:18 9848:3

viewpoint 10002:7 violate 10180:20 violation 10006:5 10037:20 Visas 10161:14 visibility 10137:19 visionner 10094:19 visit 9873:8,20 10052:16 10064:5 10161:5,10,19,20 10161:21 10162:1,7,13,17 10164:14,16 10177:3 10186:9.15 **visiting** 10164:11 visits 9988:15 10052:20 10064:16 vitae 10119:8 vitaee 10119:12 vital 10003:16,18 vivace 10097:24 voice 9903:9 10066:15 10089:22 voiced 10056:12 voilà 10097:1 10100:2,5,19 10101:16 volition 9817:7,10 10183:12 volume 9772:2 9871:14,15 9968:4,8 voluntary 10188:10 volunteered 9884:20 vos 10098:12,14 votre 10092:23 10093:4,7,9 10093:17 10094:1,9,20,25 10095:1,5,8 10097:10,18 10098:17 Voulez-vous 10095:7 10099:6 vous 9771:6 9856:6 9933:13 10048:21 10092:13,14,18 10092:19.20.21.24 10093:3 10093:5.8.14.24 10094:13 10094:14,14,18,21,22 10095:9 10096:1,4,5 10097:9,13,14,15 10098:9 10098:14 10099:2,5,9,22 10100:7,11 10101:4,20 10103:6 vovage 10092:23 10093:8 voyez-vous 10095:12 **vraiment** 10099:3 vu 10095:14 véracité 10096:19 W wait 9791:1 9868:4 9910:16

10095:9 10096:1,4,5
10097:9,13,14,15 10098:9
10098:14 10099:2,5,9,22
10100:7,11 10101:4,20
10103:6

voyage 10092:23 10093:8
voyez-vous 10095:12
vraiment 10099:3
vu 10095:14
véracité 10096:19

W
wait 9791:1 9868:4 9910:16
waive 10165:17,21
Waldman 9909:24 9910:2,5
9910:24,25 9911:6,11,13,17
9912:8,16,24 9913:13,24
9914:7,13,25 9915:14,22
9916:5 9917:4,17 9918:5,11
9918:20 9919:3,12,23

9988:23 9986:9,20 9
9988:6,19 9989:7,13
10059:7 10069:22,2
10070:4,9 10073:12
1014:4,6,20 10115
10165:19,24 10166:
10167:5,9,13,17,20,1
10168:4,11,17 1016:
10172:23 10173:3,14
10176:1,17,25 1017
10177:24 10178:6,22
10179:12,18,23 1018
10181:4,12,24 1018:
10186:12,21

9920:1,5,8 9921:14,17,20 9922:4,12,15,22 9923:6,16 9923:22 9924:16.21.24 9925:2,9,12,18 9926:2,14 9926:17.21 9927:16.20.25 9928:8,15 9929:3,9,12,21 9930:12,21 9931:12,23 9932:1 9933:2,14,15 9934:3 9934:13,18 9935:2,10,15,25 9936:9,19,25 9937:4,9,13 9937:19,22 9938:1,5,10,16 9938:21 9939:4,10,18 9940:4,17 9941:3,12,16,19 9942:1,16,25 9943:15,19,25 9944:3,7,10,14,20,23 9945:1,9,15,25 9946:5,12 9946:18,21 9947:8,18,24 9948:6,15 9949:1,11 9950:5 9950:22 9951:2,8,12,16 9952:2,6,13,18,21,24 9953:3,8,17,22,25 9954:9 9955:10,17 9956:7,20 9957:11,16,24 9958:16,22 9959:1,6 9960:1,20 9961:4 9961:15,24 9962:4,12,22 9963:5,14,23 9964:1,7,15 9964:19,24 9965:6,12,15,21 9966:6,9,13,16 9967:3,10 9967:15,20,24 9968:8,11 9969:2,6,19,22 9970:3,23 9971:18,25 9972:6,13,16,20 9973:4,7,12,16,19,22,25 9974:5 9975:21,25 9976:3 9976:16,19 9977:1,16,20 9978:8,14,22 9979:6,12,15 9979:23 9980:1,3,8,12,16 9980:20.25 9981:3.7.14.20 9982:3,17 9983:7,11,15 9984:8,10,13,20,25 9985:17 9985:23 9986:9,20 9987:18 9988:6,19 9989:7,13,16,19 9989:25 9990:5.8.14.20.22 10059:7 10069:22,25 10114:4,6,20 10115:2,9,18 10115:24 10165:1,4,13,16 10165:19,24 10166:12,19 10167:5,9,13,17,20,23 10168:4,11,17 10169:9,12 10169:17,20 10170:3,13,20 10171:12,16,21 10172:5,19 10172:23 10173:3,14 10174:2,16,22 10175:1,13 10176:1,17,25 10177:5,18 10177:24 10178:6,23 10179:12,18,23 10180:6 10181:4,12,24 10182:11

Waldman's 10072:17,23 walked 9795:6 want 9783:12 9797:12 9805:18.25 9819:19 9820:21 9833:3 9836:24 9837:4 9842:7 9849:15 9850:5 9854:2,5 9856:9 9860:12 9861:1,13,25 9862:8,19,24 9889:9 9905:7 9911:13 9928:9,23 9930:23 9931:2 9936:9 9939:1.23 9940:16 9941:3 9950:5.10 9955:20 9961:13 9968:15 9968:18 9986:9,12 9987:6 9987:10,19,20 9988:2 9989:8,21 9990:17 10043:1 10052:4 10057:1 10061:20 10068:22 10070:19 10084:12 10091:11,21 10113:18 10124:18 10131:4 10134:5,20 10151:4 10165:4 10166:23 wanted 9814:10 9818:20 9843:13 9846:18 9847:4 9890:15 9916:20 9950:17 9955:1 10019:8 10038:8 10041:4 10044:21 10079:17 10079:23 10083:4 10109:13 10156:16,20 wants 9850:1 10076:24 10136:12 warrant 10030:17 Washington 10060:13 wasn't 9795:3 9796:14 9816:5 9819:23 9822:2 9838:6 9915:17 9923:17 9942:10 9953:17 9956:1.12 9957:25 9958:7 9959:11 9960:5 9965:10 9972:2 9976:5 9980:24 9994:1,3 9999:14 10005:23 10013:1 10034:6 10034:8 10042:10 10045:4 10052:3 10136:19 10150:5 10150:6 10155:1 10158:11 10169:13 10172:15,17 10176:14 10178:10 wasting 10087:15 watched 9974:17 watching 9989:20 water 10168:15 Watson 9779:19 9781:13 way 9787:3 9834:7,7 9871:4,6 9871:11 9876:20 9880:24 9943:11 9945:18 9961:3 9963:11 9969:14 9972:12 9972:14 9981:6 10003:13 10010:13,16 10016:10

10018:1 10030:16,19

10034:21 10035:23

10053:25 10058:19 10069:18,18 10070:22 10075:16 10087:13 10088:10 10108:5 10124:18 10131:22 10146:24 10177:7 10177:14 10183:11 Wavne 9779:19 9781:13 9898:8 10123:1 10140:17 10140:19 10141:5,18,20 ways 9851:23 9988:7 10033:1 10124:12 Wednesday 9835:4 9850:25 9859:15 9870:4 9886:2 9887:9 9948:2 9949:2,18,19 10147:11 10190:16 10192:4 week 9779:12 9949:20,25 10088:22 10105:10,18 10135:11 weekend 9853:11,19,25 9854:22 9855:3,18 9857:20 9947:21 10137:2 10172:8 weekends 9953:21 10137:7 10169:2 weekly 9949:23 weeks 10049:13 10164:15 weigh 9930:3 weighs 9988:13 welcome 10191:11 well-known 10022:4 Welsford 9876:8 went 9811:21 9812:13 9814:15 9816:20 9819:24 9820:13,19 9821:18 9827:6 9837:25 9838:1,21 9863:21 9868:5,8 9891:24 9892:7 9918:20 9920:8 9921:21 9947:1 9950:13 9952:7.14 9972:25 9973:4 9982:5 10070:25 10084:18 10121:2 10124:5 10131:20,22 10133:2,18 10136:10,13 10145:24 10150:25 10162:18 10170:5 10173:4 weren't 9852:3 9924:24 9941:17 9942:13,21 9952:15 9965:2.9 9971:3 9980:25 9981:15 9989:10 9994:19 10007:4 10009:6 10013:5 10028:15 10178:16 West 10106:8 Western 10105:4 10106:3,5 we'll 9840:17 9850:10 9855:23 9868:18 10006:19 10091:12 10102:21,22 10117:12.13 10119:8 10135:24 10189:13 10192:1 10192:1 we're 9771:24 9799:21 9819:17 9833:3 9839:25

9977:3 10090:6 10111:5 10120:20 10139:15 we've 9830:21 9833:1 9839:18 9842:2 9891:3 9907:10 9977:6 10107:22 10124:10 10133:21 10134:9 10184:15 Whereabouts 9772:4 **wholesome** 10181:1 wife 9883:4 Williams 9823:4.10.11.13 9825:13 9826:1 10103:8.9 10103:13,18,20,21 10104:2 10104:4,7,12,19,23 10105:2 10105:8,13,17,22 10106:2 10106:16,22 10107:1,4,8,11 10107:21 10108:3,8,11,16 10108:19 10109:1,9,20 10110:4,12 10111:1,9,25 10112:6,14,17,21 10113:1,5 10113:9,11,23 10114:17,25 10115:7,15,21 10116:11,15 10116:21 10117:5 10118:15 10118:17 Williamson 9823:6 win 10098:7 winding 10048:3 wise 9964:25 wish 9965:5 10028:2 10089:19,23 wished 9857:4 wit 9841:5 with/by 9895:15 witness 9808:17,21,25 9819:8 9821:3 9840:2 9841:17 9875:1 9928:4,7 9985:21 10040:24 10041:6.10 10047:22 10090:8.18 10091:2 10102:23 10117:8 10135:3 10139:14 10152:1 10163:7 10164:7 witnesses 9924:1 9926:7 10048:9 10088:18 10089:8 10089:14 10126:11 women 10106:11 won 9994:7 wonder 9837:18 10047:21 10139:13 wondering 9778:4 9794:25 9848:1 9896:5 9897:13 9904:3 9961:18,19 10054:15 10074:25 10090:1 10137:4 10146:9 word 9777:15 9823:20 9840:17 9849:11 9909:8 9918:23 9936:10 9942:7 9962:23 9964:15 9965:2 9967:24 9969:5 9990:23 10077:21 10078:7 10154:12 10005:7 10023:11 10052:25

9892:10 9916:14 9969:7

10191:1 wording 9782:12 9915:4 9918:22 10069:2 wordings 9936:16 words 9780:21 9824:25 9830:25 9856:20 9889:25 9928:21 9939:4 9962:18 9963:2,22 9964:2 9969:7 9976:13,16 10007:3 10071:1 10089:22 10110:20 10114:12,21 10131:13 10133:2 10156:8 10157:11 10190:4 work 9807:5 9854:18 9857:22 9883:8 9921:8,9 9922:24 9932:24 9937:5 10010:21 10016:7 10017:17 10032:22 10105:18,20 10117:12 10166:16 10191:21 worked 9869:8 9893:10 10062:2 10118:12 10120:2 10120:6,15 working 9776:24 9912:2 9928:18 9936:23 9954:4 10006:1 10039:24 10063:3 10063:6 10091:21 10105:6 10105:7,14,20 10106:7,18 10107:19 10119:4 10131:13 10146:19 workload 10021:4 works 9821:25 9963:12 10008:12 workshop 10121:19,21 workshops 10122:1 world 9999:19 10002:24 10020:24 10076:25 10079:16 worry 9969:13 10102:14 worst 10126:11 worth 10187:13 wouldn't 9775:24 9785:8 9806:8 9807:7 9812:22.24 9816:15 9817:10 9871:10 9874:20 9897:20 9898:19 9899:14 9915:7,19 9918:23 9929:9.11.12 9930:17 9937:4,10 9939:22 9953:13 9958:5,10 9964:17 9976:23 9988:5 9992:25 10026:20 10028:3 10039:7 10051:10 10080:25 10110:5 10112:3 10113:14 10131:21 10165:16,20 10168:11 10172:20 10175:16,21 10179:23 10180:3 10190:5 writer 9947:2 writing 9971:14 9999:6 10000:23 10001:2 10005:5

written 9812:9 9947:2 9972:4 10027:16 10081:7 10084:1 10084:5 wrong 9810:25 9893:21,22 9895:17 9898:17 9936:3 9967:5 10041:16 10043:6 wrote 9945:24 10067:23 10071:16 X X 9983:18 9985:3 y 10093:10 10097:25 10101:13 veah 10117:11 10140:10 10143:11 year 9772:19 9851:22 9877:18 9953:15 10017:10,16 10018:6 10062:20 10074:18 years 10061:14 10104:11,23 vesterday 9771:14,17 9782:17 9797:18 9807:5 9820:23 10046:19 York 9771:15 9778:21 9780:17 9782:14 9783:15 9805:9 9823:21,22 9846:16 9866:19 9867:8,20 9868:1 9870:21 9872:22 9873:3,4 9884:17 9945:19 9954:15 9970:6 9974:22 10016:5 10057:21 10064:24 10074:18 10109:14.15.17 10109:25 10110:2,8,20 10111:24 10127:10 10128:5 10129:7.16 10134:14 10177:22 Z Zaccardelli 9884:2 **Zurich** 9817:17 9818:10

10083:17,20 10084:10

9823:21 9835:14 9836:5 9879:6 9971:24

À 10096:21 10099:13 à 9771:4 9856:2,4 9910:20,22 9933:9,11 10048:17,19 10091:15,17 10092:11,20 10093:6,7,10,14,20,21,22 10094:4,6,6,20 10095:2,8 10095:14,14,18 10096:12 10096:14,18,22,25 10097:8 10098:11,17,19 10099:2,13 10099:22 10100:8,10 10103:2,4 10117:17,19 10192:5,7

# Ç

**ca** 10094:15 10096:1 10097:3 10097:4 10099:13 10100:23

Écoutez 10099:15,21 écrit 10098:3 **également** 10100:15 **Élisabeth(ph)** 10096:9,22 époque 10093:6 épouse 10096:9 10099:13 était 10093:16 10096:9 **États-Unis** 10093:17 étiez 10092:21 étonné 10096:13 10100:15 étrangères 10098:2 été 10094:15 10096:10 10097:14 10098:1 **évidemment** 10095:17 10097:23 10100:1,20 10101:4

**Êtes-vous** 10093:21

## 0

**00** 10117:17 10192:7 02/10/04 9804:25 **06** 9910:22 10117:19 **07** 9771:4

1 9772:2,6,7 9871:14,15,19 1er 10092:14,19 10094:9 10095:5,8 1/2 9920:10 **1:30** 9897:25 9933:6 **1:33** 9933:10 **10** 9856:2,4 9871:19 9983:12 9984:8,9 10126:7,16 10127:12 **10-minute** 10089:10 **10:40** 9855:21 9856:1 9864:9 **10:53** 9865:7 **10:58** 9856:3 **11** 9910:20 9937:22 9984:15 10092:22 **11th** 9874:15,22 9875:22,25 9877:20.24 9878:18 10060:4 11-14 10161:5 **11:05** 9880:16 **11:15** 9805:3 **11:23** 9880:17 **11:57** 9910:19 **12** 9910:22 9933:9 10127:15 10128:4 10152:5.22 10154:18 10156:1

12th 9920:21

9818:14 9828:25 9829:7 **35** 9787:16 9873:17 **12:06** 9910:21 **226** 9803:7,8 9804:10 9866:1 **12:28** 9933:8 9872:13 9942:22 9943:2 9886:23 10152:1 **36** 9797:6 9937:23 **12:30** 9813:8.12 9911:18 9944:11 9946:10.24 9948:2 227 9858:13.14 **38** 9803:23 10048:19 9948:11 9971:2,22 9977:5 228 9864:3.4 **39** 9845:5 9926:15,23 9954:10 9933:3 **12:32** 9875:13,17 9979:8 10168:2 229 10098:24 10067:17 **121** 10186:5 **2,000** 10016:11 **23** 9771:2,4 4 **129** 10186:5 **2:00** 10146:4 10147:15 **230** 10119:10,11 **13** 9933:11 10143:15 **2:15** 10154:19,21 10157:1 **231** 10125:8 10128:11 4 9840:24 9894:5 10105:6 **20** 9927:12 9937:16 10091:15 232 10125:16 10126:6 **13th** 9911:18 9912:1 9915:24 10143:14 **1330** 9897:25 9902:9 9904:5 10146:3 10147:15 10170:11 10182:21 **4th** 9794:1 9803:19,23 **137** 10139:14 10142:2 **20th** 9810:7 10037:8 10040:25 **233** 10125:25 10159:12 9804:11,24 9805:17 10041:2,3 **14** 9877:25,25 10012:1,2 10185:19,24 9806:16,17 9807:5 9808:5 **2001** 9831:15 10077:14,25 235(c) 9827:15 9873:10 9810:18 9818:19 9829:1,9 10051:7 **14:15** 10153:18 10083:16 **24** 10192:4,7 9833:8,10,12,23 9837:3,5 **14:20** 10148:14 **2002** 9772:10 9777:6,7,11 **25** 9911:15 9942:3 9856:11 9879:17.22 9897:3 9909:3,5 9950:13 10024:23 1404 9877:25 9778:12,13 9780:5 9782:22 **26** 9777:6 9785:19 9914:13 **1406** 9875:23,24 9877:25,25 9800:6,8 9836:17,23 9901:6 9943:21,22 9949:16,17 10025:2,23 10026:10 **1415** 9888:17 9902:21 9908:4 9912:1 10007:5 10048:17 10061:23 10063:11 **1420** 10145:24 10173:5 9917:1 9928:25 9943:22 26th 9772:10 9778:17 9785:24 10064:10 10105:12 10108:1 **1430** 9903:6 9985:25 10007:5 10018:7 9840:20 9941:6 9944:6 10133:24 10134:2.24 **15** 10048:17,19 10125:11 10018:17 10020:1 10024:23 10008:6 10018:6 10019:22 10135:18 10184:17 15th 9836:17,22 9875:12,16 10025:2 10026:10 10028:22 10019:23 10020:1 10057:23 **4:20** 10091:14 9919:13 9920:17,22 9924:1 10037:8 10043:18 10051:15 10159:15 **4:28** 10091:16 9932:6 10125:6 10128:12 10051:19 10055:12 **27** 9777:6 9778:12 9968:4,9 **4:30** 10105:16,21 10138:13 10159:4 10057:23,23 10067:19 10147:10 **4:40** 10103:1 15-minute 9855:24 10104:15,25 10105:6,12 **27th** 9777:11 9778:16 9780:10 **4:46** 10103:3 **1500** 9787:17 9788:2,9,11 9785:22 9786:4,9 9946:23 10106:14 10107:18,20 40 9856:2 9890:23 10103:2 **1530** 10126:19 10160:9 10120:15,21 10122:6,20 9947:11,22,24 9948:11 **41** 9891:19 9897:22 **16** 10091:15,17 10103:2,4 10123:22 10126:17,19 9949:2 10008:1 10018:15 **45** 9901:5 10139:19 10140:12 10018:17 46 9902:9 10103:4 10130:24 10132:5,6,12,16 **28** 9854:10 9926:23 9933:9 **16th** 9874:4 9890:20 9891:15 10139:16,20 10159:4 **4611** 10094:20 10138:18 10139:20,25 **2002/09/27** 9944:24 10091:17 10160:7 10140:13 10159:10 2003 9904:2 9907:12,25 28th 10149:15 10185:21 **1600** 9798:4 9908:25 9909:4,6 9974:2 10186:1 **5** 9831:24 9866:13 9887:1 9976:5 9981:2,4 10048:24 **17** 10117:17,19 10139:19 9892:8 9968:4,8 10144:18 3 10092:23 10120:21 10121:4 10140:4,6 10144:22 10152:21 **172** 9829:21 10123:14,22 10124:3 **3** 9800:6 9866:2 9937:14.19 10170:17 10182:22 10160:8 10178:1 10186:1 **18** 9937:20,25 10192:6 9937:21.22 **5th** 9844:24 9845:3,8 9857:21 18th 9891:19 10138:22 **2004** 9900:6 9981:10 **3rd** 9796:11,20,23 9797:5,7 9953:5,7 10061:23 10139:16 10159:11 10077:19 10078:5 10123:12 9800:8 9801:22 9815:7 10064:10 10066:25 **1810** 9845:9 10067:19 10125:6,11 10147:10,19 9821:4 9840:8 9841:25 10067:19 10087:21.23 2005 9771:2,4 9810:7 9873:18 9897:2 9981:18 **187** 9772:2.5 10088:1 10135:25 10136:24 **19** 10099:2 10100:8 10061:13 10092:14 10094:9 10025:4 10043:18 10064:6 10141:23 10168:18 **1987** 10119:14,16,19 10095:6 10107:19 10121:4 10079:19 10126:8,17,19,25 10170:24 10184:17 10128:25 10129:13 **1988** 10120:1 10192:4.7 **5:00** 10117:16 **1990** 10120:2.6 **209** 9782:16 9794:18 10133:22 10134:10 **5:06** 10117:18 **21** 9895:23 9985:23 10069:24 **1996** 10120:6,15 10166:25 10167:3,10 **5:08** 9832:24 **1999** 10106:9 10070:12 **3,000** 9838:11 **5:30** 9790:4,15 9793:21 **21st** 9985:25 10170:25 **3:26** 10048:16 9794:1 **22** 9872:12 9904:2 10069:24 **3:30** 10145:2 **515** 10143:5,7 **2** 9780:12,13 9790:12 9804:24 10071:16 **3:38** 10048:18 **54** 9904:1 9892:16 9920:10 9969:23 22nd 9901:6 10012:20 **3:45** 9796:19 **55** 9905:18,19 10097:6,7 10146:20 10028:22 10029:14 **30** 9778:12 10128:12 10192:6 **57** 9910:20 10185:22,22 **221** 9854:7 **30th** 9780:9 9947:25 9981:3 **58** 9856:4 2nd 9771:18,21 9779:6,8 222 9777:3,4,10 9778:17 **31** 9827:13 9873:7 9781:11,19,23 9782:19,22 9779:4 9780:11 9786:22 **32** 10104:11 6 **223** 9778:7,10 9780:9 9786:23 9784:4 9786:14 9787:16 **33** 9933:11 9937:16 **6** 9832:7 9854:10 10068:7,8 9789:11 9790:14 9793:21 **224** 9790:8,9,10 9817:23,25 **34** 10007:25 10018:17 **6th** 9858:8,16 9862:7 9863:4 9794:11 9795:2 9817:19 **225** 9800:2,3,8 10099:2 9897:9,17 10082:21,22

10087:21 **6:10** 9845:13 9953:7 10067:19 **6:30** 10088:24 10192:3 600 9852:1 7 7 9832:11 9942:1 10068:7 7th 9821:1 9853:23 9856:8 9857:22 9863:23 9864:6 9866:3,15 9869:25 9872:7 9965:16 10144:14 10145:1 10146:8,10 10147:5,18 10172:25 **7:30** 10105:16,21 10145:1 **730** 10126:19 **75** 10058:24 8 **8** 9890:6 8th 9854:16 9868:8 9874:4 9876:17 9877:2,5,13 9880:19,20 9881:20,25 9886:3,13 9887:1 9889:3 9897:22 9941:7 9973:1 10057:23 10086:20 10146:3 10147:3,15 10148:3 10149:21 10150:5,7,21 10158:20 10173:4 10182:20 **8:30** 9866:3 80 10058:24 8239 9933:23 **9** 9771:4 9927:2 9968:5 9983:12 9984:22,23 10192:2,7 9th 9835:2 9859:17 9868:5 9870:4 9883:12,15 9884:3 9886:15 9889:6,21 9955:16 9955:19 9965:10 9969:14 9974:2 9976:5 10065:4 10066:22 10087:7 10147:12 10183:17 10185:7 10190:16 10190:18 **9/11** 9934:1,6,14,14 9999:19 10004:10,17 10028:1 10055:9 10124:11 **9:00** 10170:11 10192:5 **9:07** 9771:3 **9:25** 10145:2 10170:21 **9:45** 9887:3 **993** 9876:4