Commission d'enquêtee sur les actions des responsables canadiens relativement à Maher Arar Commission of Inquiry into the Actions of Canadian Officials in Relation to Maher Arar

### **Audience publique**

### **Public Hearing**

Commissaire

L'Honorable juge /
The Honourable Justice
Dennis R. O'Connor

**Commissioner** 

Tenue à: Held at:

Salon Algonquin Ancien hôtel de ville 111, Promenade Sussex Ottawa (Ontario) Algonquin Room Old City Hall 111 Sussex Drive Ottawa, Ontario

le mercredi 31 août 2005 Wednesday, August 31, 2005

#### **APPEARANCES / COMPARUTIONS**

Mr. Paul Cavalluzzo

Me Marc David Mr. Brian Gover Ms Veena Verma Ms Adela Mall Ms Lara Tessaro **Commission Counsel** 

Mr. Ronald G. Atkey

Mr. Lorne Waldman Ms Marlys Edwardh Ms Breese Davies Ms Brena Parnes Amicus Curiae

**Counsel for Maher Arar** 

Ms Barbara A. McIsaac, Q.C.

Mr. Colin Baxter Mr. Simon Fothergill Mr. Gregory S. Tzemenakis **Attorney General of Canada** 

Ms Helen J. Gray

Ms Lori Sterling Mr. Darrell Kloeze Ms Leslie McIntosh Ministry of the Attorney General/

**Ontario Provincial Police** 

Mr. Faisal Joseph Canadian Islamic Congress

Ms Marie Henein National Council on Canada-Arab

Mr. Hussein Amery Relations

Mr. Steven Shrybman Canadian Labour Congress/Council of

**Canadians and the Polaris Institute** 

Mr. Emelio Binavince Minority Advocacy and Rights

Council

Mr. Joe Arvay The British Columbia Civil

**Liberties Association** 

#### **APPEARANCES / COMPARUTIONS**

Mr. Kevin Woodall The International Commission for

Jurists, The Redress Trust, The Association for the Prevention of Torture, World Organization Against

**Torture** 

Colonel M<sup>e</sup> Michel W. Drapeau The Muslim Community Council of

Ottawa-Gatineau

Mr. David Matas International Campaign Against

**Torture** 

Ms Barbara Olshansky Centre for Constitutional Rights

Mr. Riad Saloojee Canadian Council on

Mr. Khalid Baksh American-Islamic Relations

Mr. Mel Green Canadian Arab Federation

Ms Amina Sherazee Muslim Canadian Congress

Ms Sylvie Roussel Counsel for Maureen Girvan

Ms Catherine Beagan Flood Counsel for the Parliamentary Clerk

Mr. Norman Boxall Counsel for Michael Cabana

Mr. Don Bayne

Mr. Richard Bell

Mr. Vince Westwick Counsel for Ottawa Police Service

Mr. Jim O'Grady

Mr. Paul Copeland Counsel for Abdullah Almalki

Ms Barbara Jackman Counsel for Ahmed El Maati

### TABLE OF CONTENTS / TABLE DES MATIÈRES

	Page
PREVIOUSLY SWORN: Léo Martel	11266
Examination by Mr. Cavalluzzo (Cont.)	11266
Examination by Ms Edwardh	11293
Examination by Mr. Cavalluzzo	11347
Examination by Ms Edwardh	11354
Examination by Ms Jackman	11590
Examination by Me Baxter	11602
Examination by M <sup>e</sup> Décary	11607
Examination by Mr. Cavalluzzo	11625
Motion	11638

### LIST OF EXHIBITS / PIÈCES JUSTICATIVES

No.	Description	Page
P-243	Document relating to what a Canadian official said that Mr. Martel told him about Mr. Arar in a conversation on February 8, 2004	11264
P-244	Document relating to what a Canadian official said that Mr. Martel told him about Mr. Arar in a conversation on February 8, 2004	11265
P-245	C-4 from Mr. Léo Martel to Head Office concerning Mr. Nureddin	11265
P-246	Document entitled "Conclusions and Recommendations of the Committee Against Torture, Canada"	11493
P-247	Article written by Jeff Sallot	11578
P-248	Superior Court lawsuit	11582
P-249	Federal Court lawsuit	11582
P-250	Syrian lawsuit	11583
P-251	Consular framework document	11628
P-252	Report entitled "Consular Services Framework, Part 2, Consular Issues and Case Category Guidelines", dated January 2005	11636
P-253	Draft memorandum from Mr. Solomon re Abdullah Almalki, dated October 30, 2002	11636

1	Ottawa, Ontario / Ottawa (Ontario)
2	Upon commencing on Wednesday, August 31, 2005
3	at 9:15 a.m. / L'audience débute le mercredi
4	31 août 2005 à 9 h 15
5	THE REGISTRAR: Please be seated.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Good morning,
7	Mr. Martel.
8	MR. MARTEL: Good morning,
9	Commissioner.
10	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Good morning,
11	Commissioner. I'm going to proceed on the
12	following basis.
13	I referred to three documents
14	yesterday, and I will be asking the witness
15	questions about those three documents.
16	I was also going to ask him a
17	question concerning the Statement of Claim that
18	was referred to. I understand the Government is
19	attempting to retrieve another document in respect
20	of that. I will stand down those questions
21	relating to the claim until such time as that
22	document is retrieved. So that I will complete my
23	questions on these three documents and then defer
24	questioning on the claim till later.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.

1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I would ask the
2	clerk to file the three documents that I have
3	left.
4	Just to explain, while the clerk
5	is distributing these documents
6	THE COMMISSIONER: P-243.
7	EXHIBIT NO. P-243: Document
8	relating to what a Canadian
9	official said that Mr. Martel
10	told him about Mr. Arar in a
11	conversation on
12	February 8, 2004
13	MR. CAVALLUZZO: These three
14	documents will or the first two documents will
15	recount a meeting between Mr. Martel and a
16	Canadian official. The Government has taken the
17	position that we cannot disclose who the Canadian
18	official is or from where he comes or she comes.
19	The first document is a report of
20	that official, relating to the conversation he had
21	with Mr. Arar on February the 8th of 2004 or,
22	excuse me, Mr. Martel, and it relates to what the
23	official said that Mr. Martel told him about
24	Mr. Arar.
25	The second document

## StenoTran

1	THE COMMISSIONER: The first one
2	is the same one we looked at yesterday, isn't it?
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: That's correct,
4	except paragraph 2 is now unredacted.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: I see. Okay.
6	Then the second one is 244.
7	EXHIBIT NO. P-244: Document
8	relating to a conversation on
9	February 8, 2004, between a
10	Canadian official and Mr. Léo
11	Martel, in respect of what
12	Mr. Léo Martel told this
13	official about Mr. Nureddin
14	MR. CAVALLUZZO: The second
15	document relates to a conversation on the same
16	day, between the same official and Mr. Martel, in
17	respect of what Mr. Martel told this official
18	about Mr. Nureddin.
19	And the final document is a C-4
20	from Mr. Martel, which is a report to head office
21	of DFAIT concerning Mr. Nureddin.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: That's 245.
23	EXHIBIT NO. P-245: C-4 from
24	Mr. Léo Martel to Head Office
25	concerning Mr. Nureddin

1	PREVIOUSLY SWORN: LÉO MARTEL
2	EXAMINATION
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Mr. Martel, we
4	asked you questions yesterday about paragraph 1,
5	where you told us that in referring to Mr. Arar as
6	a liar you were referring to the Statement of
7	Claim that you had on your desk in front of you,
8	and you were referring to the allegations he had
9	made in that claim.
10	Is that correct?
11	MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's right.
12	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I'm going to come
13	back to that. I would like to ask you some
14	questions about paragraph 2.
15	Let me read it to you. This is
16	the same official that we talked about yesterday,
17	and it relates to this conversation that was held
18	on February 8th of 2004.
19	I understand that the conversation
20	took place in your office. Isn't that correct?
21	MR. MARTEL: Yes. That's right.
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Paragraph 2
23	reads:
24	Concerning irregularities and
25	stories, Martel said that

1	Arar told him that during his
2	first two weeks in Syrian
3	custody, they got everything
4	and then they left me alone.
5	Arar explained to Martel that
6	the Syrians had used some
7	physical punishment on him,
8	namely, beatings to the soles
9	of his foot, elbows and
10	places where there would be
11	no scarring with a thick,
12	black plastic cable. Arar
13	was very clear that the
14	Syrians appeared to be
15	satisfied with his answers
16	and did not do any more
17	physical punishment or
18	interrogations after this.
19	Martel pointed out that Arar
20	is now saying that he was
21	tortured for longer periods
22	and more brutally. This
23	change in story is likely
24	linked to lawsuits or certain
25	pressure groups which have

# StenoTran

1	political agendas, according
2	to Martel.
3	And the question that I have for
4	you, Mr. Martel, is whether you told this Canadian
5	official this information about Mr. Arar?
6	MR. MARTEL: No. My answer is no.
7	This paragraph two is 90% inaccurate, and it
8	referred to and I believe that my colleague or
9	the official made a mistake - with respect to the
LO	report, and he confused it with another case that
L1	I had to work on.
L2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And you are
L3	talking about Mr. Nureddin as being the other
L4	dossier?
L5	MR. MARTEL: That's right and
L6	That's it.
L7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Did you say that
L8	paragraph 2 is 90 per cent correct or 90 per cent
L9	incorrect?
20	MR. MARTEL: No, 90 per cent
21	incorrect.
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Oh, 90 per cent
23	incorrect or wrong.
24	Let me take you through each
25	sentence.

1	It says:
2	Concerning irregularities in
3	stories, Martel said that
4	Arar told him that during his
5	first two weeks in custody,
6	they got everything and then
7	they left me alone.
8	Does that relate to Mr. Arar, and
9	did you say that to him about Mr. Arar?
10	MR. MARTEL: Yes. It's possible
11	that I said that to my colleague, and that's what
12	I'd understood from my client: they got everything
13	they wanted from him in the first two weeks, and
14	then after they'd left him alone.
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Mr. Nureddin
16	never made such a claim, did he?
17	MR. MARTEL: Mr. Nureddin, no. Mr.
18	Nureddin said something else.
19	Mr. Nureddin, we see further on in
20	the report what he said.
21	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Let us
22	leave out the next sentence, and I will come back
23	to the next sentence that deals with physical
24	punishment.
25	It goes on to say, in the third

1	sentence:
2	Arar was very clear that the
3	Syrians appeared to be
4	satisfied with his answers
5	and did not do any more
6	physical punishment or
7	interrogations after this.
8	Did Mr. Arar tell you this as
9	well?
10	MR. MARTEL: No.
11	That to my knowledge based
12	on both what I recall and reports that I prepared
13	at the time, this sentence did not come from Mr.
14	Arar.
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Do you not
16	recall, Mr. Martel, in his August 14th meeting,
17	where he told you that the investigation was
18	intensive in the beginning?
19	MR. MARTEL: Yes, I remember, but
20	what is said in this report is a year after Mr.
21	Arar's release, and after Mr. Nureddin's release.
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So what?
23	MR. MARTEL: In other words, at
24	that time, there was information that was had
25	heen gent to me and as you rightly mentioned at

1	the August 14 meeting, I didn't have this
2	information.
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: But listen to the
4	question, Mr. Martel. This is February the 8th of
5	2004.
6	At this point in time, you have
7	the information that Mr. Arar stated on the August
8	14th meeting. You have the information that he
9	gave you on the flight back to Canada on October
10	the 5th. We see what you told the DFAIT officials
11	on October the 7th in Ottawa.
12	And it certainly looks very
13	familiar to that particular sentence, where
14	Mr. Arar is saying, early on, they were rough with
15	him and after that they left him alone.
16	It looks very similar to what you
17	told us he told you, Mr. Martel.
18	MR. MARTEL: Yes, perhaps it's
19	similar. But according to my recollection, to
20	what I recall, this part was from Mr. Nureddin.
21	You will have to check in later
22	reports to see if this text is almost identical to
23	what I wrote on Mr. Nureddin.
24	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Well, let
25	us look to your report on Mr. Nureddin, which is

1	Exhibit 245, and maybe you can help us and tell us
2	where you say that about Mr. Nureddin.
3	Pause
4	MR. MARTEL: Yes, I can't find the
5	reference.
6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And where is it?
7	MR. MARTEL: No, I can't find the
8	reference. In the document regarding Mr.
9	Nureddin
10	MR. CAVALLUZZO: In your four-page
11	report to Ottawa, you find no reference similar to
12	that?
13	MR. MARTEL: No. That's right, yes.
14	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I didn't think
15	so.
16	MR. MARTEL: Yes, I don't see it,
17	in any case, in this report right now.
18	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Let's look at
19	what you told your DFAIT colleagues.
20	If you go to your Book of
21	Documents, tab 1 this is Exhibit 242 the
22	first person, Peter McCrae. This is what Peter
23	McCrae writes that you told him at this meeting,
24	or told the group.
25	If you go five lines up from the

1	bottom:
2	Beaten occasionally
3	Stopped interrogating after
4	two weeks
5	Next one is Mr. John McNee at page
6	5 of 14. After [page 2] reference, at page 5 of
7	14 at the bottom.
8	Under [page 2]:
9	Mental cruelty
10	Then it says:
11	Beaten occasionally - angry,
12	Slapped around in first two
13	weeks
14	- interrogation in first two
15	weeks, then nothing till
16	Sampson questions.
17	I could go on with the three
18	others, Mr. Martel, and I'm putting it to you that
19	in Exhibit 243, where the Canadian official said
20	that you said Arar was very clear that the Syrians
21	appeared to be satisfied with his answers and did
22	not do any more physical punishment or
23	interrogations after this, I'm putting it to you,
24	Mr. Martel, that you told this Canadian official
2.5	that about Mr. Arar and not Mr. Nureddin?

1	MR. MARTEL: I can't recall this
2	circumstance exactly. This official wrote that,
3	and now it's being said that I said it.
4	Today, or even six months ago, I
5	can't recall having said this to my colleague.
6	What I learned from Mr. Arar was
7	mainly after his detention and when and as you
8	refer to it here, what I said at the meeting in
9	Canada.
10	But what my this official has
11	written and attributed to Mr. Arar comes from him
12	I can't I can't say anything
13	further about what he wrote at that time.
14	My understanding
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Then we
16	will rely on what you said to others in terms of
17	whether this was said or not, unless you can help
18	us any further.
19	MR. MARTEL: No, I can't help you
20	any further. What I said is there.
21	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Then let's go to
22	the next sentence in that paragraph.
23	It said that:
24	Martel pointed out that Arar
25	is now saving that he was

1	tortured for longer periods
2	and more brutally.
3	Now, Mr. Martel, did the Canadian
4	official capture this correctly? In other words,
5	did you say this to the Canadian official about
6	Mr. Arar?
7	MR. MARTEL: Well, either I said it
8	or my colleague learned about it in the from
9	the press.
10	I can't recall at that time. But
11	it's the truth.
12	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Do you normally
13	have colleagues lying about what you say?
14	MR. MARTEL: My colleagues can
15	interpret or construct things. Now, if they want
16	to say that I had these conversations, they can do
17	that.
18	That doesn't mean that they are
19	lying, but they're making up the texts themselves.
20	But if they say or believe that
21	that's what I said, they're going they going to
22	write it.
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And you would
24	agree with me that that question that I just read
25	to you clearly does not apply to Mr. Nureddin?

1	MR. MARTEL: I don't know This -
2	- this sentence that reads
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You don't know?
4	MR. MARTEL: Just a second please.
5	Let me read it.
6	Martel pointed out that Arar
7	is now saying
8	I think that my colleague saw
9	public reports, and then that say the contrary
10	to what was said before. That's all.
11	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So you deny that
12	you told this Canadian official
13	MR. MARTEL: No, I I can't deny
14	it because it's already very old. Maybe, I told
15	him that there were reports right now, in the
16	press that indicate that the situation is now
17	different.
18	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Oh, I see. Okay.
19	MR. MARTEL: That indicate that
20	the situation is different now.
21	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I see. Right.
22	You may have said it, you may not have.
23	MR. MARTEL: But it's public.
24	They're in the public files. It's
25	the press.

1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right.
2	Now I note that I should tell you,
3	by the way, that this report from this Canadian
4	official was made shortly after this conversation
5	and was submitted to his superior shortly
6	thereafter, and I'm wondering if you have any
7	notes of this conversation.
8	MR. MARTEL: No, no. This
9	conversation took place
L 0	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Let's
L1	MR. MARTEL: It was in August in my
L2	view.
L3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, let us look
L4	to the last sentence of that paragraph that you
15	said 90 per cent of which is incorrect.
L6	It says,
L7	This change in story is
L8	likely linked to lawsuits or
L9	certain pressure groups which
20	have political agendas
21	according to Martel.
22	Do you recall saying that to this
23	Canadian official?
24	MR. MARTEL: Not in those terms.
) 5	Those aren't my words. My colleague composes his

1	owns texts.
2	And of course at that time, I had
3	a civil suit before me. And I think that he asked
4	me why there was a change in the story, and I told
5	him that people could speculate about anything.
6	And I even remember that I said at
7	that time that Maher had a memory. Maybe his
8	memory had since changed. He could perhaps recall
9	things now that he couldn't recall before.
10	And then there's this civil suit
11	anyway. So people can speculate about whatever
12	they like.
13	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And certainly
14	that last sentence does not apply to Mr. Nureddin?
15	MR. MARTEL: No. The last sentence
16	certainly applies to Mr. Arar.
17	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And if you go
18	through every other paragraph of this document,
19	you will see that it clearly relates to Mr. Arar.
20	And let me take you through it.
21	Paragraph 3 refers to how he was
22	rendered from the United States into Jordan and
23	then on to Syria. That clearly doesn't apply to
24	Mr. Nureddin. You would agree?
25	MR. MARTEL: No, of course, that's

1	Mr. Arar.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Paragraph 4 talks
3	about ten consular let me just read this to
4	you:
5	Martel said that he made a
6	number of consular visits to
7	Mr. Arar.
8	That clearly is Mr. Arar, not
9	Nureddin, because you never had a consular visit
10	with Nureddin. Isn't that correct?
11	MR. MARTEL: That's right.
12	MR. CAVALLUZZO: It goes on. It
13	goes on and states:
14	and that the first visit,
15	which was much longer than
16	ten days after his arrival in
17	Syria
18	Do you recall saying that to the
19	Canadian official?
20	MR. MARTEL: Possibly, because
21	there it it's still the issue of when Mr. Arar
22	had arrived.
23	And until now, I had Maher's
24	version that indicated that he had simply spent a
25	few hours in Jordan.

1	Therefore, we could deduce that he
2	had been in Syria for a longer period of time.
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And by this point
4	in time we are now at February the 8th of
5	2004 had you made a conclusion in your own mind
6	whether this was correct, that Mr. Arar had been
7	in Syria for a number of days prior to the Syrians
8	giving disclosure of that fact?
9	MR. MARTEL: Well in my view, Maher
10	told me that he had spent a few hours in Jordan,
11	and I had no reason to doubt his word.
12	If he spent seven or eight hours
13	in Jordan, in my view, he spent seven or eight
14	hours in Jordan. He spoke to me, and that's what
15	he told me, and I believe it.
16	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And then the
17	final paragraph that isn't redacted is paragraph
18	6, and that clearly applies to Mr. Arar, not
19	Mr. Nureddin.
20	That says that you were annoyed at
21	suggestions that Canada didn't do enough to
22	release Mr. Arar.
23	You never said that about
24	Mr. Nureddin, I assume.
25	MP MARTEL. No That applied to

1	Mr. Arar and the civil suit.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Let us come back
3	to paragraph 2, to that sentence I left out. And
4	that is the second sentence, where the Canadian
5	official is advising his superiors that this is
6	what you told him on February the 8th of 2004:
7	Arar explained to Martel that
8	the Syrians had used some
9	physical punishment on him,
10	namely, beatings to the soles
11	of his foot, elbows and
12	places where there would be
13	no scarring with a thick,
14	black plastic cable.
15	Do you recall telling this
16	Canadian official
17	MR. MARTEL: No. No. No.
18	This official is completely wrong.
19	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. And you
20	said before that you think he was confusing this
21	with another matter, the Nureddin matter?
22	MR. MARTEL: In my opinion, he
23	confused two separate cases.
24	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Then let us look
25	at what this same Canadian official reported back

1	to his superiors about	what you told him about
2	Mr. Nureddin.	
3	This is	Exhibit 244.
4	And if	you go to the second page
5	of this report, the sec	ond page at paragraph
6	number 2 at the top the	re, it says:
7	Onc	e under Martel's custody
8	[so	mebody] spoke openly about
9	his	incarceration.
10	And we w	understand can we
11	mention the name of thi	s individual?
12	MS. JACI	KMAN: Yes, you can.
13	MR. CAVA	ALLUZZO: Thank you.
14	Let me	read it again.
15	Onc	e under Martel's custody,
16	Nur	eddin spoke openly about
17	his	incarceration. He said
18	he	was not segregated but was
19	wit	h other prisoners in a
20	lar	ge cell. He believed that
21	he	was not in serious
22	tro	ouble, otherwise he would
23	hav	re been in a solitary cell.
24	Nur	reddin told Martel that "I
2.5	was	not treated too badly. I

1	was beaten but not that
2	much." According to Martel,
3	Nureddin said that he was
4	doused with cold water and
5	the soles of his feet were
6	beaten with thick black
7	plastic-covered cables.
8	Nureddin told Martel that he
9	did not consider this
10	punishment to be serious.
11	Are you telling us today that you
12	believe the Canadian official confused Nureddin's
13	treatment with Mr. Arar's treatment described in
14	paragraph 2?
15	MR. MARTEL: That's absolutely
16	right. Exactly.
17	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You are sure of
18	that?
19	MR. MARTEL: I'm certain. I'm
20	positive.
21	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Positive?
22	MR. MARTEL: Yes.
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Let's see what
24	you said in your report Nureddin told you. This
25	ic Exhibit 245 on the second nage at nage 8

1	starting with "The Syrians".
2	If you go six lines down, it says
3	Interrogators did not believe
4	his story and ordered him to
5	undress, except for his
6	underwear. They then poured
7	cold water on his body while
8	he stood. They repeated the
9	same interrogation. When
10	Nureddin did not provide
11	additional or new
12	information, they asked him
13	to lay down on his stomach on
14	a dry section of the floor.
15	They then poured cold water
16	on his back. They focused a
17	fan on his body. They asked
18	him to raise his legs from
19	the knee part and they
20	starting beating him with the
21	rubber black cables on the
22	soles of his feet.
23	This is how you describe the
24	treatment that Nureddin told you.
25	MP MAPTEL. This is the treatment

1	that Nureddin described to me and that was sent.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So coming back to
3	Exhibit 243, which is what the Canadian official
4	said you said about Arar, and wherein he says that
5	There were beatings to the
6	soles of his foot, elbows and
7	places where there would be
8	no scarring with a thick,
9	black plastic cable.
10	You believe that with those words
11	he was confusing what you told him about
12	MR. MARTEL: I'm sure of it.
13	It has nothing to do with it. He
14	simply mixed up the two cases.
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: All right. There
16	is no reference to cold water, which I would have
17	thought was an important event.
18	MR. MARTEL: What did he use, which
19	word?
20	He was doused in water.
21	That's not one of my words.
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Where does he use
23	that?
24	MR. MARTEL: In his report.
25	My colleague used this word

1	somewhere.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Yes, in his
3	report about Nureddin. If you compare his report
4	about Nureddin and your report about Nureddin,
5	it's very similar. You both talk about dousing or
6	pouring of cold water and dousing with cold water,
7	and you both talk about cables on the feet.
8	MR. MARTEL: The entire issue
9	the entire issue of being hit on the feet, on the
LO	soles of the feet, with cables, and all that,
L1	Nureddin reported that to me; it applied to
L2	Nureddin.
L3	I am 100% convinced that my
L4	colleague confused the two cases. He attributed
L5	this case to Mr. Arar, when it was not Mr. Arar,
L6	but Mr. Nureddin.
L7	They are two completely different
L8	cases. The two did not receive the same treatment.
L9	And Nureddin was very specific.
20	I'm saying again today that my
21	colleague mixed up the two cases.
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Let's see how
23	confused he was.
24	You would agree with me that in
2.5	Exhibit 243, which is the Arar report, there is no

1	mention whatever of cold water?
2	MR. MARTEL: No, I don't think so.
3	There is no mention of it.
4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You would agree
5	with me that there is mention of cold water in
6	both your report of what happened to Nureddin and
7	the Canadian official's report about what you told
8	him happened to Nureddin?
9	MR. MARTEL: Yes. It indicated the
10	treatment, water poured on the individual, who was
11	lying on the floor. Yes.
12	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You would agree
13	with me that in your report about what Nureddin
14	told you happened, or the report of the Canadian
15	official about what you told him happened to
16	Nureddin, there is no reference to beatings on the
17	elbows and "places where there would be no
18	scarring"?
19	You would agree with that?
20	MR. MARTEL: I'd have to read it.
21	Where is it mentioned? It's my colleague's report,
22	right?
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Your colleague's
24	report is, once again, 244, in the second
25	paragraph, and he refers to the dousing of cold

1	water and the soles of his feet being beaten.
2	There is no reference to elbows or
3	other places of the body where it doesn't show,
4	right?
5	MR. MARTEL: Yes. I see. I see.
6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Let's look at
7	your report, 245. You refer to beatings with a
8	rubber cable on the soles of his feet. You make
9	no reference to his elbows or parts of the body
10	where it doesn't show.
11	You would agree with that?
12	MR. MARTEL: That's right.
13	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. But in
14	243, there is reference to that.
15	MR. MARTEL: Yes, but it's a report
16	that my colleague prepared. He's the one who
17	prepared it, not me.
18	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. Perhaps
19	it may be useful if we refer to what Mr. Arar
20	said, if you go to your Book of Documents,
21	Exhibit 242 at tab 17.
22	Pause
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Do you have that,
24	Mr. Martel?
25	MR. MARTEL: Yes, I have it.

## StenoTran

1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: If you go to page
2	5 of 9 at tab 17, for the period October 11 to 16,
3	2002, it says:
4	Early the next morning Arar
5	is taken upstairs for intense
6	interrogation. He is beaten
7	on his palms, wrists, lower
8	back and hips with a shredded
9	black electrical cable which
LO	is about two inches in
L1	diameter. He is threatened
L2	with the metal chair,
L3	electric shocks, and with the
L4	tire, into which prisoners
L5	are stuffed, immobilized and
L6	beaten.
L7	I'm putting to you, Mr. Martel,
L8	that what Mr. Arar stated happened to him in his
L9	chronology is much closer to what is said in
20	paragraph 2 of Exhibit 243, what the Canadian
21	official said you said about Mr. Arar than
22	anything relating to Mr. Nureddin.
23	As a result of that, I'm putting
24	to you, Mr. Martel, that paragraph 2 in
25	narticular the reference to "nhygical nunighment

1	namely beatings to the soles of his feet, elbows
2	and places where there would be no scarring" is
3	exactly what you told this Canadian official
4	Mr. Arar told you happened to him in Syria.
5	Isn't that correct?
6	MR. MARTEL: No. I categorically
7	deny it.
8	Never at any time did Mr. Arar
9	speak to me about about these acts of violence
10	inflicted on him.
11	These acts of violence these
12	texts came out much later, and until these
13	documents were published, I was unaware of them,
14	and Maher never spoke to me about them, either
15	during his detention or after his detention.
16	Therefore, what my colleague wrote
17	I don't know where he got it from.
18	MR. CAVALLUZZO: So you are saying
19	that your colleague, the Canadian official, who is
20	reporting to his superiors shortly after this
21	conversation, he got it wrong?
22	MR. MARTEL: Well, he's the one who
23	must know what he wrote and what was his
24	information source. I don't know.
25	But certainly not from me in any

1	case.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Well, Mr. Martel,
3	he says you are the source of that information,
4	and I'm putting it to you that you are saying he
5	got it wrong?
6	MR. MARTEL: Exactly.
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: He got it wrong.
8	MR. MARTEL: This isn't I
9	couldn't have told him that. He's got it
10	backwards.
11	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. Because
12	you never make mistakes?
13	MR. MARTEL: I'm human just like
14	everybody else.
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I have no further
16	questions, Mr. Commissioner, subject to questions
17	relating to the claim I want to speak to this
18	witness about.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Right, I
20	understand.
21	Thank you.
22	Ms Edwardh?
23	MS EDWARDH: Mr. Commissioner,
24	before I begin, I would like to just make one
25	observation.

1	The documents Mr. Cavalluzzo has
2	filed this morning, Exhibits 245 and 244, are
3	documents I have never seen before, and Exhibit
4	243 is one that was given to me late in the day
5	yesterday, and I want to make this observation.
6	When I say it was given to me late
7	in the day yesterday, it was given to me in the
8	sense of the less redacted version. And in my
9	version that I got when Mr. Martel was to testify
10	back in June, paragraph 2 was redacted. And that
11	is the paragraph, of course, that Mr. Cavalluzzo
12	has explored in some detail but leaves open to you
13	the inference that, on an earlier occasion,
14	Mr. Martel told some person who was interviewing
15	him that Mr. Arar had described beatings during
16	the first two weeks of his interrogation.
17	I just want to register this
18	observation. It is inconceivable,
19	Mr. Commissioner, that this was redacted. It is
20	my understanding the Government of Canada sought,
21	until yesterday, to keep that information from
22	Mr. Arar and counsel for Mr. Arar under the
23	auspices of a claim of National Security
24	Confidentiality.

25

It is so patently obvious to me as  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) \left($ 

1	counsel and to my client that, but for raising the
2	suggestions that the Syrians beat him, there can
3	be absolutely no basis for such a claim. And I
4	want to say that I find it shocking that counsel
5	for the Government and the Government of Canada
6	had sought, until yesterday, to hide that document
7	from us.
8	EXAMINATION
9	MS. EDWARDH: Now, let me begin,
10	if I could, I'm going to retrace, Mr. Martel.
11	I take it you are aware that
12	Mr. Arar is my client?
13	MR. MARTEL: I am aware.
14	Yes, I am aware of that.
15	MS EDWARDH: And to the extent you
16	are comfortable in answering any questions in
17	English to expedite this, please feel free to do
18	so, or in French, as you so choose.
19	MR. MARTEL: Thank you.
20	MS EDWARDH: I want to talk, first
21	of all, and go back to Exhibit P-197, which is the
22	review of Consular Affairs, and we are going to
23	have to struggle with a few documents.
24	Thank you, Mr. Registrar. I'm
25	going to give you your exercise today

1	Of course, it is my understanding,
2	and I wonder if I can just confirm your
3	understanding, that one of the reasons the
4	Inspector General undertook an evaluation of the
5	provision of Consular Services on the part of the
6	Department of Foreign Affairs was because, in
7	fact, there were new demands facing the Department
8	and new demands of the kind represented by
9	Mr. Arar's case.
10	Is that fair?
11	MR. MARTEL: Well, I think that
12	this question should be put to senior management
13	and the management at Consular Affairs.
14	If they decided that we had to
15	move with the times, and based on the challenges
16	that we were presented with, there was certainly a
17	check.
18	And I believe that the Inspector
19	General carried out an evaluation and certainly
20	came up with recommendations.
21	What motivated this change, I
22	think that senior management and management at
23	Consular Affairs should be asked this question, in
24	my view.
25	MS EDWARDH: I'm asking you,

1	Mr. Martel. That is your understanding, is it
2	not?
3	MR. MARTEL: Yes, I think that this
4	was one of the reasons that pushed the Department
5	to conduct an evaluation and review our practices
6	and policies. Because we discovered in fact
7	we we realized that our world had changed and
8	that we had more and more cases abroad
9	difficult cases.
10	MS EDWARDH: And Mr. Arar's case
11	was one such case that prompted this review?
12	MR. MARTEL: Well, I don't know.
13	The question must be asked I don't know what
14	motivated this review.
15	We are in the field. We are asked
16	sometimes to take part in developing documents
17	Then they decided at management to go ahead with
18	an evaluation.
19	But in general, all decisions are
20	made here. We may be consulted about the content
21	afterwards, but we aren't the ones taking the
22	initiative.
23	MS EDWARDH: My question is quite
24	simple. It's clear that cases like Mr. Arar's
25	posed new and difficult challenges for the

1	provisions of consular service? Yes?
2	Do you agree with that
3	proposition?
4	MR. MARTEL: Yes, of course. All
5	dual nationality cases pose serious problems.
6	MS EDWARDH: Well, not every one.
7	But let us assume his created problems and others
8	have created problems?
9	MR. MARTEL: Yes, his and many
LO	others now.
L1	MS EDWARDH: And indeed the very
L2	introduction to this document, in the third line,
L3	the following observation is made:
L4	The Evaluation Division"
L5	I'm looking at page 6, first
L6	paragraph under "Introduction", section (a):
L7	The Evaluation Division of
L8	the office of the Inspector
L9	General was asked to examine
20	the new demands faced by
21	Consular Services and how the
22	consular program could best
23	position itself to address
24	these demands in the future."
25	Do you see that?

1	MR. MARTEL: No, I'm sorry. What
2	page are you on?
3	MS EDWARDH: Mr. Martel, I'm on
4	page 6.
5	MR. MARTEL: Six.
6	Yes, I see.
7	MS EDWARDH: And I am in the first
8	paragraph.
9	MR. MARTEL: Okay.
10	MS EDWARDH: And I am at the third
11	line of the first paragraph.
12	MR. MARTEL: Fine. I see.
13	MS EDWARDH: It begins, and what
14	it says is,
15	the Inspector General was
16	asked to examine the new
17	demands faced by Consular
18	Services and how the consular
19	program could best position
20	itself to address these
21	demands in the future.
22	And all I'm really suggesting to
23	you is the review was undertaken because of
24	difficult and challenging consular cases like that
25	of Mr Arar

1	MR. MARTEL: Yes, of course.
2	In my view, the Department had to
3	do something because or change owing to the
4	fact that cases like Mr. Arar's were multiplying,
5	especially in the region, in the Middle East, and
6	possibly elsewhere.
7	MS EDWARDH: Yes. Fair enough.
8	And one of the challenges in delivering consular
9	services is set out at page 27 of the report
10	under 4.4.
11	MR. MARTEL: Yes.
12	MS EDWARDH: And the particular
13	challenge I'm going to ask you to agree with is:
14	To position Consular Services
15	in such a way that they are
16	better able to identify when
17	persons are submitted to
18	physical or mental torture.
19	So they can be better able to
20	identify it.
21	MR. MARTEL: I think that it's one
22	of the objectives that was in this report, which
23	was clearly described. It's one of the objectives
24	Of course.
25	MS EDWARDH: And Mr. Cavalluzzo

1	put to you the proposition that your colleagues,
2	those who deliver consular services on behalf of
3	the Department of Foreign Affairs, readily
4	admitted that they had real problems or
5	difficulties in recognizing that persons who are
6	detained may or are subject to physical or mental
7	abuse. Your colleagues recognized that.
8	MR. MARTEL: Well Mr. Cavalluzzo
9	made that reference.
10	Right now, I don't have any exact
11	details. Is this all my colleagues, some of my
12	colleagues? They are my colleagues at what level?
13	I don't have any details.
14	MS EDWARDH: I'm talking about
15	colleagues like you who are visiting detainees who
16	are held in institutions or prisons, or in
17	confinement; that those colleagues readily
18	acknowledged that they had difficulty in
19	identifying whether someone had been tortured or
20	been the victim of abuse.
21	MR. MARTEL: Are you talking about
22	colleagues who have a lot of experience in the
23	field?
24	MR. DÉCARY: I object,
25	Mr. Commissioner.

1	Can my colleague address the
2	questions to the person, what his experience is,
3	does he agree with the statement, as opposed to
4	what his colleagues have stated? Just ask the
5	witness what he thinks about this, and that's it.
6	I mean, this is a fact-finding
7	inquiry, what this witness has to say, not his
8	opinion of what his colleagues have to say.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, it is
10	cross-examination, Mr. Décary, but in any event
11	Go ahead, please, Ms Edwardh.
12	Thank you.
13	MR. DÉCARY: But this and this
14	will be the last time on this one.
15	It's just that I understood that
16	on part of the examination, instead of it being
17	done by Mr. Cavalluzzo, it was done by a
18	colleague, and therefore I take it that this is in
19	the fact-finding mission. It's not truly
20	cross-examination, if I'm
21	THE COMMISSIONER: No, let's be
22	clear about this.
23	Commission counsel is certainly
24	entitled to cross-examine, if you read the rules
25	and look at the process that has been followed in

1	other public inquiries. The object of the
2	exercise is for me to find out what happened.
3	While Commission counsel obviously
4	approaches the matter not from any particular
5	perspective or point of view, is not trying to
6	prove anything, Commission counsel nonetheless has
7	the task of assuring that I get the accurate
8	picture. If a witness conducts himself or herself
9	in such a way that Commission counsel are required
10	to ask pressing questions, then that is entirely
11	appropriate.
12	But in any event, that is perhaps
13	not directly your point.
14	The second point is that
15	Commission counsel, as I heard yesterday and I
16	haven't heard submissions on it had indicated
17	that Ms Edwardh would be covering questions in a
18	certain area. That is useful to me, if I have one
19	counsel rather than two doing it, simply so that
20	we don't waste time. As you know, I'm anxious to
21	get through this.
22	So I don't have any problem with
23	Ms Edwardh cross-examining, and the fact that a
24	subject was deferred to her should not in any way
25	limit her right to cross-examine this witness

1	thoroughly, as she sees fit, on behalf of her
2	client.
3	If you want to make further
4	submissions that she is not entitled to
5	cross-examine, I will hear you now. But why I'm
6	laying this out at length now is that it is my
7	view that she is entitled to cross-examine and it
8	would be helpful to me if her cross-examination
9	wasn't frequently interrupted so that we could get
10	through with the process in a timely way.
11	MR. DÉCARY: I appreciate that.
12	If the purpose is to contradict statements made in
13	his principal testimony, I understand it becomes a
14	form of cross-examination. But I don't believe
15	that the question was ever put to the witness as
16	to what his views are in respect to the specific
17	references that are made by my colleague.
18	So she should first ask this
19	person what his views are, and then if they are
20	not in accord with the colleague's views, then
21	there is a rise for cross-examination, but not
22	before the question is put.
23	It is a fact-finding basis what
24	the Commission is, and that is my position.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: With great

1	respect, I think you would be asking me to
2	micromanage a cross-examination, and if you were
3	cross-examining a witness I think you might be
4	concerned if the judge intervened to say, "Now, in
5	conducting your cross-examination, you should ask
6	this question first, and this next, and then do
7	that."
8	I think we can avoid a lot of this
9	delay we are taking time doing this, but so be
10	it if we just accept that Ms Edwardh is
11	entitled to cross-examine, as she would in any
12	other type of proceeding, and if you confine your
13	objections to what you might object to if she were
14	cross-examining in a commercial case that you
15	might be familiar with.
16	In any event, I'm satisfied the
17	line of questioning is appropriate.
18	MR. DÉCARY: Very well.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you,
20	Mr. Décary.
21	Please proceed, Ms Edwardh.
22	MS EDWARDH: I'm not likely to
23	know the questions to ask in a commercial case,
24	Mr. Commissioner.
25	Let me phrase it this way: It is

1	clear that the office of the Inspector General
2	makes a conclusion, or draws a conclusion, about
3	the willingness and candour of your consular
4	colleagues in acknowledging how difficult it is
5	when they meet someone in detention to determine
6	whether they have been tortured or abused
7	physically or mentally?
8	You agree that that is the
9	conclusion that the author of this report made?
10	MR. MARTEL: Yes, of course,
11	because they also say that the Consular Affairs
12	Office must review, plan, inform and give better
13	training to its officers, once this training is
14	ready, and if you would like to know a little more
15	my department approached me to see if I was
16	interested in taking part here in the training to
17	help them.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Can you just
19	hold on, just for a moment, please? I'm having
20	technical difficulties.
21	Pause
22	THE COMMISSIONER: All right;
23	thank you.
24	MS EDWARDH: Mr. Martel, I'm going
25	to interrupt you just for a moment, sir, and ask

1	you to listen to my questions. Otherwise, you and
2	I, and probably the Commissioner, are going to get
3	very grumpy around 5 o'clock today because we will
4	not be concluded.
5	My question, I think, was quite
6	simple. Let me just phrase it: You will agree
7	that the author of this report found that your
8	colleagues were candid in acknowledging that they
9	had difficulty in identifying persons who were
10	subject to mental or physical torture when they
11	MR. MARTEL: My answer is yes; I
12	agree because they take appropriate measures. It's
13	indicated here in the text.
14	MS EDWARDH: Thank you. And I
15	take it, sir, that this issue, of seeing detainees
16	in conditions of confinement, and trying to
17	determine whether they are the subject of physical
18	or mental abuse, is one you have had an
19	opportunity to talk about with some of your
20	colleagues over the years you have provided
21	consular services?
22	MR. MARTEL: Of course. I've had
23	the opportunity to discuss it with my desk officer
24	in Ottawa, and my colleague, because, first of
25	all, we must clearly understand that there are two

1	circumstances. In other words
2	MS EDWARDH: I've only asked you
3	if you have discussed it.
4	MR. MARTEL: Well, we're in
5	contact, almost on a daily basis, with our
6	colleagues in Ottawa who take care of the same
7	cases as we do. Liaison always has to be done,
8	and in terms of the content it's always
9	difficult. They know it.
10	MS EDWARDH: And I just want to
11	establish that yesterday you were very candid and
12	you agreed that you had no special skill, no
13	special training, and it was as difficult for you
14	as it was for your other senior colleagues?
15	MR. MARTEL: Yes. In the
16	circumstances that I experienced, in an
17	environment controlled by another State, it's even
18	more difficult. The ideal conditions, you are
19	familiar with them. I don't need to state them
20	for you. When the Vienna Convention is applied,
21	everything becomes much easier, but under
22	conditions that are controlled by a foreign
23	country, that's an enormous challenge to meet for
24	everyone.
25	MS EDWARDH: And you, I think,

1	sir, were candid enough all I'm asking you is
2	you are not suggesting you are any better than
3	your other senior colleagues who have professed to
4	have had this problem?
5	MR. MARTEL: I don't profess to be
6	any better than my senior colleagues.
7	MS EDWARDH: You are also, I take
8	it, keenly aware that the art of physical abuse
9	and torture includes means which are not highly
10	visible on a person's body? You know that?
11	MR. MARTEL: Yes I know that
12	already from reports from experts. Of course, with
13	modern techniques, as they refer to them now, they
14	don't leave any signs.
15	MS EDWARDH: And we also know that
16	if someone is the victim of psychological torture,
17	that there is really no way to identify that
18	without a full and open conversation with them
19	outside the scrutiny of their gaolers?
20	MR. MARTEL: Yes, we can conclude
21	this only if there are visible signs. We can't
22	really get to the bottom of it without a private
23	conversation with a client.
24	MS EDWARDH: We will come to some
25	of those visible signs later, but I just want to

1	make sure that we are talking in a framework where
2	it is understood that the signs of torture today
3	may be undetectable or difficult to detect. And
4	you agree with that?
5	MR. MARTEL: Yes, completely, and
6	experts say so.
7	MS EDWARDH: And you knew that and
8	have known that for many years, Mr. Martel?
9	MR. MARTEL: With modern
10	techniques, of course, we can now, and we could
11	then, and I knew before that there are all kinds
12	of ways to approach it, at different levels and to
13	different degrees, and we can very well torture
14	someone, and in a very short time, this person can
15	appear completely normal.
16	MS EDWARDH: I just want to review
17	some of your experience, if I could.
18	I know, sir, that you have had
19	extensive involvement in the management side of
20	consular affairs for many, many years, but I'm
21	trying, if I could, to get a better understanding
22	of how long you have actively been involved in
23	seeing persons in detention.
24	When did you start to deliver,
25	personally, services with detainees in the Middle

1	East?
2	MR. MARTEL: In the Middle East or
3	elsewhere?
4	MS EDWARDH: Sorry, anywhere?
5	Anywhere?
6	MR. MARTEL: In 1984.
7	MS EDWARDH: And in 1984, do we
8	take it, then, you began to visit detention
9	centres, prisons and jails in the areas you were
10	providing services?
11	MR. MARTEL: In 1984, I visited
12	police stations where my clients were detained.
13	MS EDWARDH: And where would you
14	have been I'm sorry, I've just forgotten in
15	1984?
16	MR. MARTEL: In the best country in
17	the Caribbean, Haiti. Haiti, Port au Prince.
18	MS EDWARDH: Did you have occasion
19	to visit prisons in Haiti outside the police
20	station?
21	MR. MARTEL: No, I didn't have the
22	opportunity. All my clients who were detained
23	were at police stations where we had to intervene
24	on the same day, and retain the services of
25	lawyers for them, etc, and then in general

1	tourists, and the Haitian government did not keep
2	our clients in prison as such, but rather at
3	police stations.
4	MS EDWARDH: And would it be from
5	your experience the conclusion that those
6	detainees, who were principally tourists, were
7	given somewhat privileged treatment by the Haitian
8	police forces?
9	MR. MARTEL: Of course, if we look
10	at this country's file and reputation, I must
11	admit that my clients received preferential
12	treatment. Of course.
13	MS EDWARDH: And your use of the
14	singular compels me to ask, sir: Is your
15	experience in Haiti confined to services provided
16	to one client, or one
17	MR. MARTEL: No. I had a number of
18	clients, but
19	MS EDWARDH: Fine.
20	MR. MARTEL: A number, more than
21	one.
22	MS EDWARDH: And did you, when you
23	worked in West Africa, have occasion to visit
24	jails there?
25	MR. MARTEL: Not prisons, but again

1	police stations, and all my clients in this region
2	were Canadians who were working in the country but
3	had only one citizenship.
4	MS EDWARDH: So do I take it that
5	in your time in providing services in Côte
6	D'Ivoire, Senegal, Cameroon, that you have not
7	visited any prisons?
8	MR. MARTEL: No, I have never had
9	the opportunity to visit the prisons because they
10	never keep clients in prisons. They were detained
11	in police stations, and that was where I could see
12	them.
13	MS EDWARDH: And do I take it that
14	your reference to police headquarters is a
15	reference to the ordinary policing entities in
16	those nations? In other words, they were the
17	headquarters of the officers who you would see on
18	the street conducting investigations or directing
19	traffic, but it was that facility?
20	MR. MARTEL: Yes, or even a
21	neighbourhood police station. There are many in
22	the same city.
23	MS EDWARDH: Do I take it that
24	prior to Mr. Arar, that you had no occasion to
25	visit special military or security detention

1	centres or housing units?
2	MR. MARTEL: No. In general, these
3	places are not open to us, and they don't let us
4	enter.
5	MS EDWARDH: Not just generally,
6	sir, but in terms of your own personal experience.
7	MR. MARTEL: That's right.
8	MS EDWARDH: I take it you have
9	not had opportunity anywhere where you have served
10	to go to any detention centres run by the military
11	or intelligence or security?
12	MR. MARTEL: No. Prior to Mr.
13	Arar, no.
14	MS EDWARDH: Just one question
15	about your background and the awards you have
16	recently received, Mr. Martel.
17	There are two in 2005. One is the
18	Award for Consular Excellence. You commented on
19	that already.
20	MR. MARTEL: Yes.
21	MS EDWARDH: Do I take it, sir,
22	that that award was bestowed upon you in
23	recognition by the Department of your services, in
24	part, in respect of Mr. Arar?
25	MR. MARTEL: Well, we could assume

1	so, in part. I believe that there is a text
2	attached to this certificate. So, you might say in
3	part, but it was also for our consular activities
4	overall, and as I said yesterday, the Minister and
5	his assistants give this certificate to a
6	recipient, but in general, it's a collective
7	effort; it's the work of many people, both in
8	Ottawa and at the mission.
9	MS EDWARDH: I understand your
10	position that honours bestowed really belong to
11	many and not the individual, but I just want to
12	make it clear and we can look at the
13	certificate and what was said. But certainly that
14	award was in part bestowed upon you because of
15	your work with Mr. Arar?
16	MR. MARTEL: Yes, partially. At
17	the time, when I was awarded this certificate, I
18	don't remember anymore how many cases I had to
19	manage, but possibly in part, yes.
20	MS EDWARDH: Yes. And if people
21	want more detailed reference, the exhibit,
22	Mr. Commissioner, is P-85, volume 5, tab 37, pages
23	9 and 10.
24	I want to deal with the consular
25	mandate, if I could, Mr. Martel. We have heard a

1	great deal about it, but you of course are the
2	first person who comes to us out of the field in
3	respect of the very case the Commissioner is
4	looking into.
5	You will agree with me that with
6	respect to Canadian citizens you have a duty to
7	provide consular services?
8	MR. MARTEL: That's our primary
9	duty to all citizens.
10	MS EDWARDH: And the object of
11	those services is at least twofold: one, to
12	ensure that a Canadian citizen is not
13	discriminated against or treated worse than
14	nationals.
15	That is the first duty?
16	MR. MARTEL: Of course. In other
17	words, we must provide a Canadian who is
18	experiencing difficulties with any assistance, not
19	only to see whether he is being less well treated
20	as people from that country. We have to
21	already, there is a complete list that we must
22	follow, first of all, to find our client, and
23	provide all the necessary assistance, which in the
24	end, would be to have the individual leave the
25	country or else, if he is staying in the country,

1	to help the individual stay in the country.
2	MS EDWARDH: Fair enough. But I
3	just want to establish the standards you look at.
4	The first and principal standard
5	is to determine that someone is not being treated
6	more severely than nationals of the state in which
7	they are held?
8	MR. MARTEL: Primarily, the first
9	thing we look at is the person's well-being. That
10	is what concerns us the most. You could interpret
11	it a little as you are referring to it now, that
12	he or she is not treated more severely than
13	others, but we are not always referring to arrests
14	and detentions. It's a small percentage of our
15	work. If I have a client who is in need of
16	assistance because he was arrested, I may have
17	four or five others who need a multitude of
18	services. We are there to obtain a large number of
19	services, not only for arrest and detention cases,
20	but for the person's well-being, the well-being of
21	our citizens is of the utmost importance.
22	MS EDWARDH: Of course. And in
23	terms of assessing their well-being and
24	determining whether you will protest their
25	treatment, one of the things you must have regard

1	to is how their own nationals are treated;
2	correct?
3	MR. MARTEL: Yes. Well, every
4	country has a reputation, which of course, changes
5	wherever we go. Every country treats its citizens
6	in its own way and in accordance with its laws.
7	MS EDWARDH: Yes. And you must
8	have regard to how they treat their own in forming
9	a decision about whether to protest.
10	MR. MARTEL: No. Already, we
11	must, regardless of how they treat their citizens,
12	if one of our citizens is in a situation in which
13	we discover that he is being mistreated, we can't
14	say, well everyone is mistreated in this country
15	and do nothing.
16	We must ensure the well-being of
17	our citizens, and should one of our citizens be
18	mistreated, and it is brought to our attention, of
19	course, we're going to protest, even if everyone
20	is mistreated in that country. This is not a
21	criterion.
22	MS EDWARDH: Of course. And,
23	Mr. Martel, what you are saying, if I can
24	translate it into the world of legal language, is
25	that if a country treats its citizens badly, that

1	doesn't get them off the hook; that you are
2	required to ensure that a Canadian citizen is
3	treated humanely and in accordance with basic
4	human rights, norms, and also minimum
5	international standards, and that's your job?
6	MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's our
7	mandate, to ensure that our citizens are well
8	treated, of course.
9	MS EDWARDH: Right. And so when
10	you are confronted with a person who is detained,
11	you have a duty, do you not, to make inquiry about
12	their detention, the circumstances of their
13	detention and the conditions of their detention?
14	MR. MARTEL: Yes, but there again,
15	everything varies and is circumstantial; in other
16	words
17	MS EDWARDH: I'm asking only about
18	the duty to inquire. Do you agree, sir, that you
19	have a duty to inquire
20	MR. MARTEL: Yes. We must make an
21	effort to make enquiries.
22	MS EDWARDH: Okay. And when one
23	comes let's take this in a couple of steps
24	about the duty to inquire, given your experience
25	and the experience you can draw upon, if a person

1	is in a prison, I'm going to ask you whether you
2	agree that these are the things about which you
3	would ordinarily inquire.
4	You would want to know, in order
5	to make a decision about the person's treatment,
6	what is the size of their cell? That is something
7	you would want to know, if you could?
8	MR. MARTEL: Of course, if we can
9	obtain the information.
10	MS EDWARDH: Yes. Of course. You
11	would want to know whether a person was in what we
12	criminal lawyers call general population or they
13	were held in solitary?
14	You would want to know that, would
15	you not?
16	MR. MARTEL: If that information
17	can be obtained.
18	MS EDWARDH: Because we all know
19	that if a person is in general population, they
20	are usually viewed as a less serious problem in
21	the institution?
22	MR. MARTEL: Not necessarily.
23	MS EDWARDH: Well, they could be a
24	problem themselves, causing difficulty, or it may
25	be that the institution wants to keep them away

1	from any other inmate?
2	MR. MARTEL: In some countries,
3	they they have a practice of putting in the
4	same room a large number of persons, whom the
5	authorities claim belong to a certain
6	organization.
7	A large number of people, from 50
8	to 100, or up to 160 people, can be put in very
9	uncomfortable conditions, in a large cell the size
10	of which varies, but may go up to 25 square metres
11	in size.
12	Therefore, we can't generalize by
13	saying that individuals are detained either alone
14	or in groups, and if they're detained in a group,
15	they're better off. Everything is circumstantial
16	MS EDWARDH: Mr. Pardy testified
17	that he generally was of the view that if a person
18	was in general population, that was a good sign.
19	I don't mean putting everyone in one cell. I just
20	mean in general population, where persons have
21	access to other inmates, to guards in the course
22	of a day for conversation that was a good sign.
23	Do you agree with him?
24	MR. MARTEL: Yes. I completely
25	agree that if, let's say, 10, 15 or 20 detainees

1	are in one large cell, and they can at least talk
2	among themselves, and they have daylight, etc.
3	that's already a great improvement, if you make
4	the comparison, of course.
5	MS EDWARDH: Yes. And the
6	something else is a huge improvement over solitary
7	confinement?
8	MR. MARTEL: Of course, that's the
9	worst.
10	MS EDWARDH: Thanks. Now, in
11	addition to where they are in the institution, you
12	would want to know, in order to form a view of the
13	conditions, whether they have access to members of
14	the outside community, particularly their family?
15	MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's the goal
16	because we always bear in mind, and I think that
17	we can refer to experts, that people who are
18	detained, as you say, and are both completely cut
19	off from their families and without any outside
20	contact suffer a lot, and this is absolutely
21	terrible because they believe that they have been
22	abandoned.
23	Therefore, the objective is always
24	to maintain ties between an individual and his
25	family.

1	MS EDWARDH: Yes. So when you are
2	forming your view about the conditions in which a
3	person is kept, you want to make inquiry about
4	that kind of access to persons in the outside
5	world? Can they have visits?
6	I think you have answered the
7	question.
8	One of the other questions you
9	would want to know, Mr. Martel, when you formed
LO	your view about the conditions that a person was
L1	held in, was did they have basic access to moving
L2	around or exercise?
L3	You would want to know that as
L4	well?
L5	MR. MARTEL: Yes, we would like to
L6	know, if it's possible to find out.
L7	MS EDWARDH: Yes. And then the
L8	other thing you would want to know is whether the
L9	person can have access to a nurse or doctor if
20	they asked for it; correct?
21	MR. MARTEL: Of course. But once
22	again, it all depends on the country that is
23	detaining a Canadian national.
24	MS EDWARDH: No, but if in coming
25	to a judgment about whether someone is being

1	treated in accordance with minimum international
2	standards, you want to know whether they have been
3	deprived of any health care. That is important?
4	MR. MARTEL: Yes, certainly. If
5	we can obtain the information, and if the
6	conditions are ideal. Obviously, we want to
7	ensure that they receive all medical care.
8	MS EDWARDH: But even if it's not
9	going to be an ideal situation, you will agree
10	with me that a basic condition is that they have
11	access if they need it to a doctor or a nurse?
12	It is not ideal. That is required
13	in accordance with basic standards of humanity?
14	MR. MARTEL: I agree with you that
15	that's the objective.
16	MS EDWARDH: The other domain you
17	are interested, of course, when you assess how a
18	person is being detained, Mr. Martel, is do they
19	have access to the essential things necessary for
20	human hygiene?
21	MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's also one
22	of the objectives that we try to obtain.
23	MS EDWARDH: Do they have access
24	to warmth when it is cold is another concern you
25	have?

1	MR. MARTEL: Yes. That's a of
2	course, that's cause for concern, and well, we act
3	accordingly. I recently did this for a detainee; I
4	mean, I brought a detainee some clothes.
5	MS EDWARDH: Yes. Because, of
6	course, if a person cannot keep even basically
7	warm, there is a substantial risk their health
8	will deteriorate, they will become ill, and it is
9	a big problem for being inside an institution,
10	especially
11	MR. MARTEL: I agree with you
12	completely, and I gave you an example.
13	Recently, I had a detainee who was
14	going to be cold in the winter, and I knew that
15	authorities weren't going to provide him with
16	clothes, and we did what was needed to bring him
17	some clothes, and that was agreed to.
18	MS EDWARDH: And the clothing
19	well, perhaps the coldest season in Damascus runs
20	from, what, December through the end of February?
21	MR. MARTEL: From December to
22	February, yes, that's cold. Cold as in not
23	cold like here, but can still be
24	MS EDWARDH: No place is as cold
25	as here except Siberia.

1	MR. MARTEL: It can still be,
2	the temperature can still drop outside. On the
3	inside, I don't know, but outside the temperature
4	can drop to zero at night and then go back up
5	during the day.
6	MS EDWARDH: And so if a person
7	were in a facility where there was no heat, when
8	it went down to almost zero during the night, they
9	would be very cold?
10	MR. MARTEL: Yes, we could think
11	that. Even if they were inside, if there wasn't
12	any heat, obviously it wouldn't be very warm.
13	MS EDWARDH: Correct. The other
14	major concern you would have is you would want to
15	know did the person have access to fresh, clean
16	water?
17	MR. MARTEL: Yes, whenever
18	possible, these are essential needs.
19	MS EDWARDH: They are essential to
20	sustain human life, and if a person does not have
21	it, the Government of Canada, through you, would
22	launch vigorous protests?
23	MR. MARTEL: Of course. If I were
24	to learn that someone did not have any water and
25	was suffering from dehydration, of course, we

1	would protest.
2	MS EDWARDH: Yes. Now I want to
3	then turn to another aspect of your duty, and I'm
4	going to also phrase it in the context that you
5	have a duty to inquire in respect of the nature of
6	a person's detention.
7	For example, is the person charged
8	or just detained? You want to know that; correct?
9	MR. MARTEL: Yes, of course.
10	MS EDWARDH: Because if a
11	detention is without any legal charge, you would
12	be very concerned?
13	MR. MARTEL: Yes, of course.
14	MS EDWARDH: We regard that as
15	nothing more or less than arbitrary detention;
16	correct?
17	MR. MARTEL: Yes, it's arbitrary.
18	MS EDWARDH: And if in fact a
19	person is going to be brought into the court
20	system, your mandate is to ensure, as best as you
21	can, that that person has access to legal counsel?
22	MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's right.
23	MS EDWARDH: And that that legal
24	counsel has the tools to ensure that they can
25	promote a fair trial of the accused person?

1	MR. MARTEL: Of course, again in
2	the context of the country.
3	MS EDWARDH: Of course. But you
4	would be very concerned and would protest if that
5	legal counsel could not see the detainee?
6	MR. MARTEL: Yes. There would be
7	a protest. An official request is made, and if it
8	was not granted, there would be a protest.
9	MS EDWARDH: You would also be
10	very concerned if that legal counsel was not giver
11	any information about the allegations?
12	MR. MARTEL: Yes, that would be
13	very disturbing.
14	MS EDWARDH: And as I understand
15	the duties of consular services from Mr. Pardy's
16	comment, if there was relevant and helpful
17	material in Canada, you would assist in making
18	sure that the consular officials passed on that
19	information, if it was in their possession, to
20	counsel for the defence?
21	MR. MARTEL: Well, if the counsel
22	for the defence did not have access to all the
23	files, obviously, if the Embassy could help, it
24	would have to inform us, and we would send the
25	counsel's request to the Consular Section, which

1	would probably consult the Legal Section.
2	And certainly if documents needed
3	to be sent with Ottawa's authorization, documents
4	would be sent to the counsel for the defence.
5	MS EDWARDH: And the objective, as
6	I understand it, Mr. Martel, is to ensure that
7	material or information in the hands of the
8	Department that could aid in the defence is put
9	into the hands of defence counsel
10	MR. MARTEL: Yes.
11	MS EDWARDH: so that they can
12	resist the allegations of the state.
13	MR. MARTEL: In other words, the
14	counsel for the defence must be armed and equipped
15	with all the necessary documents to ensure a sound
16	defence.
17	MS EDWARDH: We will use that
18	general discussion, Mr. Martel, to frame what
19	happened with Mr. Arar, but I have a few questions
20	in an area that I am a little confused about.
21	You testified yesterday and I
22	think I'm correctly quoting you that your
23	Arabic wasn't very good; that you spoke a few
24	words, enough to count and make a transaction in
25	the marketplace.

1	Do you recall that evidence, sir?
2	MR. MARTEL: Yes, and I believe
3	that I can count to five, and I can say thank-you
4	and not much more, and Maher knows that. He is
5	aware of my proficiency in Arabic.
6	Therefore, we can't say that I can
7	follow a conversation, for instance, no. I can go
8	to the market and say I want two of these, three
9	of those, and four of those, and how much does
10	that cost, and then I don't always understand the
11	price either.
12	My vocabulary is limited to that.
13	MS EDWARDH: I see. So if we
14	accept your description, Mr. Martel, of your
15	Arabic, you will forgive me, but you don't speak
16	very much Arabic. Is that a fair
17	MR. MARTEL: That's right.
18	MS EDWARDH: That's a fair
19	statement?
20	MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's fair.
21	MS EDWARDH: It puzzles me because
22	Mr. Livermore testified that the Department
23	believed that you spoke very good Arabic, and
24	although they discussed trying to have someone who
25	was completely fluent enter into the Arar

1	situation in Syria, they thought that your Arabic
2	was more than sufficient for the purposes.
3	The reference to that,
4	Mr. Commissioner, is 2705 and 2706 in the
5	transcript.
6	But I take it, Mr. Martel,
7	Mr. Livermore is dead wrong?
8	MR. MARTEL: I read this part of
9	Mr. Livermore's testimony.
10	Now, you say that Mr. Livermore
11	and the Department were of the opinion that I knew
12	Arabic, well Mr. Livermore is obviously mistaken,
13	but the Department could not be mistaken because
14	it's all in our files.
15	They know that I studied Italian
16	and German, and all of that is described in my
17	record. Therefore, the Department cannot be
18	mistaken about my knowledge of the language.
19	MS EDWARDH: In any event, whether
20	Mr. Livermore and those he discussed this issue
21	with were mistaken, there is no doubt in your mind
22	that anyone who dealt with you or reviewed your
23	résumé would know that you did not speak Arabic?
24	MR. MARTEL: That's something that
25	is so simple to retrieve in the People Soft

1	computer system. In a second, they can see my
2	file, background and foreign languages. It's all
3	there.
4	And if you want me to add the
5	training in Arabic under foreign languages to
6	become really proficient, it's full-time and lasts
7	14 months.
8	MS EDWARDH: Right. And you have
9	not undertaken those studies, Mr. Martel?
10	MR. MARTEL: No, unfortunately.
11	MS EDWARDH: Nor have you had any
12	other formal training outside the Department in
13	Arabic?
14	MR. MARTEL: No.
15	MS EDWARDH: Just for the record,
16	because I do think there is some confusion, when
17	you met Mr. Arar and we know there were a
18	number of visits, and if you wish we can go
19	through them individually, and we will do that a
20	little later. But it is my understanding that you
21	were always accompanied by a Syrian who was an
22	interpreter?
23	MR. MARTEL: That's right. You
24	already know the name. Yes.
25	MS EDWARDH: Yes. And we also

1	know, sir, that one of the reasons or I believe
2	one of the reasons is that during those visits,
3	for the most part, Mr. Arar was required to speak
4	in Arabic?
5	MR. MARTEL: Although I don't have
6	the documents, and as you've said, we'll see them
7	again, but Maher and I had sessions in which we
8	were able to speak English.
9	I don't remember whether sometimes
10	he may have slipped in a few French words too, but
11	mainly English, and sometimes he was told to speak
12	Arabic because everyone in the room wanted to be
13	able to understand, except for me who couldn't
14	understand, but over time, if we look at the
15	visits, we were able to speak a little more freely
16	in English.
17	But at certain points, certainly,
18	he was told, very often, to speak Arabic.
19	MS EDWARDH: So would it be fair,
20	just so the Commissioner is alive to this, in some
21	visits more of it would be in Arabic than in other
22	visits, and in some visits you were able to
23	converse more likely in French than in English,
24	but there was always a blend?
25	MR. MARTEL: Always and a few

1	times, I was more relaxed, and then, we were given
2	permission.
3	If I started a conversation with
4	Maher in English, and he was able to answer me,
5	well, there were a few times when he was told to
6	speak Arabic, but if I was fairly insistent, I
7	would still quite often be able to have a
8	conversation with him in English.
9	MS EDWARDH: And certainly whether
10	the conversation was in English or Arabic, at all
11	times all parts of the questions and all parts of
12	the answers were recorded by Mr. Arar's gaolers?
13	MR. MARTEL: Yes. There was
14	someone who wrote down what we both said in
15	conversations. Everything was recorded in a file.
16	That's right.
17	MS EDWARDH: And it would be
18	obvious to not only you that those notes were
19	being taken, it would have been obvious to Mr.
20	Arar that everything he said was taken down and
21	reduced to writing by the Syrians?
22	MR. MARTEL: Of course. The note
23	taker was sitting close to us, and Maher and I
24	could both see him.
25	MS EDWARDH: I would like to turn

1	to your volume for a minute and ask a couple of
2	other questions in respect of a document that Mr.
3	Cavalluzzo has not covered with you.
4	I thought I had marked down the
5	exhibit. I'm sorry. Just give me a moment.
6	It is Exhibit 242, and it is the
7	portion of what I believe to be turn to Tab 20
8	Mr. Martel.
9	Perhaps, sir, I could ask you, as
10	best you can, even though this document is highly
11	redacted, there is a single paragraph that is not
12	redacted which is found at page 3 of this 13-page
13	document.
14	What I want to, first of all,
15	understand, is this document, sir, the report on
16	human rights prepared by the Embassy about the
17	Government of Syria that is subsequently used by
18	the Government of Canada?
19	MR. MARTEL: Yes. This is the
20	annual report prepared by the Embassy. Yes.
21	MS EDWARDH: And, of course, you
22	being the Consul at the Embassy would have a
23	general input into this report. Is that correct,
24	Mr. Martel?
25	MR. MARTEL: No, not really. I'm

1	consulted, but often, even in the sector, this
2	report may have been prepared and finalized as is,
3	and I would be consulted to see if there were any
4	facts in it that I might be familiar with and
5	which are inaccurate, or if I had something to
6	add.
7	MS EDWARDH: So if facts are
8	included in the report that fall within your
9	direct knowledge, you would be asked to review
10	them and to verify their accuracy?
11	MR. MARTEL: Let's say that about
12	80%, these reports are prepared based on variety
13	of sources. From year to year, my colleagues
14	update the report. They have contacts that I
15	don't. They have information sources that I'm not
16	familiar with. They arrive with a final report,
17	and it's customary to ask, "Would you like to have
18	a quick look at this report? If you see something
19	in it that you are or are not familiar with or
20	that you think is inaccurate, could you let us
21	know before we send it?" That's all.
22	MS EDWARDH: Of course. And you
23	will agree with me that this report on human
24	rights and issues of concern is an important
25	report prepared by the Embassy and forwarded back

1	to the Government of Canada?
2	MR. MARTEL: Yes, it's an important
3	report. It's an annual report, and of course, it
4	summarizes the situation in the country.
5	MS EDWARDH: We understand that.
6	MR. MARTEL: Yes, I understand.
7	MS EDWARDH: And indeed, we have
8	been informed and I just want to understand
9	whether you agree that this kind of document
10	and the information contained therein is one that
11	is relevant and can affect the judgments of
12	Canadian policy-makers and Ministers because it
13	informs them about the human rights records of
14	nations, and that is a relevant factor in many
15	policy decisions; correct?
16	MR. MARTEL: Yes, this is an
17	essential document for the Department.
18	MS EDWARDH: I want to explore
19	with you, if I could, the one paragraph that
20	remains in this redacted document that relates to
21	Mr. Arar.
22	You will find that at page 3 and
23	it is paragraph 7, and it says as follows:
24	Allegations of torture and
25	intimidation of detainees by

1	police and security services
2	persist.
3	So might we take it, sir, that
4	when this report was authorized, the language used
5	here of "persist" indicates that there have been
6	other observations over the years of torture and
7	intimidation of detainees by the security service?
8	That didn't surprise you?
9	MR. MARTEL: No, it doesn't
10	surprise me. My colleague who prepared this
11	report certainly has information to the effect
12	that this is what goes on.
13	MS EDWARDH: Yes. And you
14	accepted that?
15	MR. MARTEL: Yes. I didn't make
16	any comments to her about that.
17	MS EDWARDH: Then it goes on:
18	Syria has been the target of
19	much international criticism
20	and pressure due to claims by
21	Canadian Maher Arar that he
22	was tortured during his
23	almost 11-month detention
24	here. Arar was detained by
25	II S authorities in late 2002

1	and deported to Syria, via
2	Jordan. According to
3	statements made since his
4	release
5	We underline that.
6	since his release in
7	October of this year, Arar
8	was kept in an unlit
9	'coffin-sized' cell, 3 feet
10	wide, 6 feet long, and 7 feet
11	high. While the Embassy saw
12	no evidence of physical
13	torture during meetings with
14	him, Arar did tell an Embassy
15	official following his
16	release that he had a
17	difficult first two weeks in
18	Syrian custody while he was
19	being interrogated. He told
20	the Embassy that he had been
21	mistreated during that period
22	and that after that he had
23	been left alone. Since his
24	release, Arar has told the
2.5	press that prison quards

1	repeatedly beat him with a
2	2-inch thick electric cable
3	and finally forced him to
4	sign a confession prior to
5	his release. He has
6	announced plans to sue both
7	the U.S. and Syrian
8	governments.
9	I just want to make the
10	observation, sir, that that is very, very similar
11	to the remarks attributed to you on February 8th,
12	2004, but I want to just dissect some of it, if I
13	could.
14	Certainly the author of this
15	document is wrong when they say:
16	According to statements made
17	since his release in October
18	of this year, Arar was kept
19	in an unlit 'coffin-sized'
20	cell 3 feet wide, 6 feet
21	long, and 7 feet high.
22	That is incorrect, isn't it?
23	Mr. Arar told you, before he was
24	released, that he was kept in a cell 3 by 6 by 7.
25	Welve already canyaged that at length vegterday

1	MR. MARTEL: Yes. He said that on
2	August 14, I believe, "My cell is very small; it
3	measures three feet by six feet by seven feet."
4	That's right, but he didn't tell me
5	MS EDWARDH: Correct, correct.
6	MR. MARTEL: "Unlit."
7	MS EDWARDH: Fair enough. But it
8	is wrong to say, I'm going to suggest to you, it
9	is clearly wrong to say that the statement about
10	his cell size was made only after his release.
11	It's incorrect, because he made it to you August
12	the 14th.
13	MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's right. So
14	my colleague must change this text.
15	MS EDWARDH: Yes. So when you
16	read yes. I take it no steps have been made,
17	to your knowledge, to change this document up
18	until today's date?
19	MR. MARTEL: No. To my knowledge -
20	- Afterwards, I discussed some points in this
21	report with my colleagues they may have been
22	redacted and they said, "Fine, in the next
23	report, we'll take this into account." But not on
24	this point; no, a correction wasn't made, as far
25	as I know.

1	MS EDWARDH: I see. But you have
2	confirmation they were going to correct it in the
3	2004 report.
4	MR. MARTEL: Not necessarily this
5	part, but there are some texts that have been
6	redacted where it says I think that we are
7	authorized to say it
8	MS EDWARDH: All right.
9	MR. MARTEL: That, according to
10	some information sources, all the detainees
11	claimed one thing or another, and "all the
12	detainees" could lead us to believe that the
13	detainees are my clients, and this wasn't the
14	case.
15	MS EDWARDH: So if I understand
16	you correctly, Mr. Martel, this paragraph was not
17	corrected in respect of the statement that Mr.
18	Arar only described his cell after his release.
19	It has not been corrected, to the best of your
20	knowledge.
21	MR. MARTEL: As far as I know, this
22	was not corrected.
23	MS EDWARDH: You also have read
24	with me that Mr. Arar is supposed to have said;
25	that he was beaten repeatedly with this 2-inch

1	cable. And the suggestion here is that his
2	statements to the press are different than the one
3	he made that is reflected here.
4	Do you see that? You say or
5	the document says,
6	Arar did tell an Embassy
7	official following his
8	release that he had a
9	difficult first two weeks in
10	Syrian custody while he was
11	being interrogated.
12	And I take it, sir, that refers to
13	his conversation, Mr. Arar's conversation, with
14	you, which we now know you reported to your
15	colleagues on October 7th, where he indicated that
16	he had been beaten during interrogation.
17	MR. MARTEL: No. He told me that
18	he had had problems in the first two weeks, and
19	when I had my meeting in Ottawa, I noted that he'd
20	told me that in the plane that, in the first two
21	weeks, he had been hit on a few occasions. I said
22	it in Ottawa. There were four or five people who
23	made a note of it. It was said, and then I didn't
24	put it in a later report. Yes, you're right that's
25	what he told me in the plane.

1	MS EDWARDH: Right. And here
2	again there is at least a statement that he had
3	difficulty in the first two weeks in Syrian
4	custody during interrogation that is set out here?
5	MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's right.
6	MS EDWARDH: And that is of course
7	true, and the difficulty we now know from the
8	October 7th note is he had been beaten.
9	MR. MARTEL: Yes, that is what he
LO	meant, I imagine, yes
L1	MS EDWARDH: Thank you.
L2	MR. MARTEL: that he was hit
L3	from time to time.
L4	MS EDWARDH: The last sentence is
L5	one I want to ask you about:
L6	Since his release, Arar told
L7	the press that prison guards
L8	repeatedly beat him with a
L9	2-inch thick electric cable
20	and finally forced him to
21	sign a confession prior to
22	his release.
23	Do you see that?
24	MR. MARTEL: Yes, I see it.
2.5	MS EDWARDH: I draw from that the

1	suggestion that the press that you accept or
2	the Government accepts or the author of this
3	report accepts that Mr. Arar has made statements
4	that he was beaten elsewhere and longer than the
5	two weeks.
6	MR. MARTEL: Those are my
7	colleague has her information source, I think,
8	based on public documents. Therefore, she is the
9	one who said, "according to the press" or "what
10	Mr. Arar told the press". That's what she told us
11	in the message.
12	MS EDWARDH: And if I were to
13	suggest to you, sir, that nowhere is there a clear
14	statement that can be directly connected to Mr.
15	Arar, either through watching him on television or
16	listening to a tape, where he has ever suggested
17	he was beaten throughout the 10 months of his
18	detention, nowhere has he ever said that, would
19	you agree with me?
20	Nothing that you have ever seen
21	that is a reliable report from his mouth, a
22	television interview, a radio interview, a
23	transcript of what he said?
24	MR. MARTEL: No. No, I have never
25	seen what he said in its entirety. Nor have I

1	seen all the articles in the press, and there have
2	been quite a number of them. So if you are asking
3	me today what was said in the press
4	MS EDWARDH: But you've also
5	MR. MARTEL: In complete
6	honesty, I can't answer you.
7	MS EDWARDH: Okay. I appreciate
8	you haven't read anything, but I'm going to put to
9	you this question: that you have not seen any
10	transcript or any recording associated with Mr.
11	Arar describing beatings that went on throughout
12	his detention for 10 months and 10 days? He never
13	said that?
14	MR. MARTEL: No, I didn't see that.
15	MS EDWARDH: Thank you.
16	MR. MARTEL: I didn't see that, no.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Is this a good
18	time to have the morning break?
19	MS EDWARDH: Perfect. Thank you
20	very much, Mr. Commissioner.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: We will rise
22	for 15 minutes.
23	THE REGISTRAR: Please stand.
24	Upon recessing at 10:54 a.m. /
25	Suspension à 10 h 54

1	Upon resuming at 11:19 a.m. /
2	Reprise à 11 h 19
3	THE REGISTRAR: Please be seated.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: I apologize for
5	the delay. I got tied up on the phone.
6	MS EDWARDH: Mr. Commissioner, we
7	have now obtained the relevant documents and
8	information that really invite Mr. Cavalluzzo to
9	ask questions that he wishes to ask, and I am
10	completely content to defer to him at this moment
11	because I'm going there next so
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. That's
13	great.
14	Does that make sense?
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: That's fine.
16	EXAMINATION
17	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I wonder if the
18	witness might have Exhibit P-243 in front of him?
19	THE COMMISSIONER: 243?
20	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. Exhibit
21	243, once again, is the minutes or the notes of a
22	Canadian official relating what he reports
23	Mr. Martel told him about Mr. Arar. And
24	yesterday, towards the end of the hearing for the
25	day I agked Mr. Martel gome questions about

1	paragraph 1. In particular, I asked Mr. Martel
2	about the fourth line down:
3	Martel believed that his
4	information received from
5	Arar was likely more accurate
6	as it was fresher and it was
7	not tainted by the spectre of
8	big money and lawsuits.
9	Martel went as far as calling
10	Arar a liar, and that if he
11	was asked to attend an
12	inquiry, he would gladly tell
13	about the inconsistencies and
14	irregularities relating to
15	Arar.
16	In respect of questions dealing
17	with the statement that Mr. Martel called Mr. Arar
18	a liar, I gave Mr. Martel the opportunity to
19	respond to that, and his statement was that he had
20	the lawsuit claim sitting on his desk, and that
21	what he was referring to is that the statements in
22	the claim, particularly that he didn't visit
23	Mr. Arar enough I believe that was the fact
24	was a big lie. And once again he stated that he
25	had the claim for the lawsuit on his desk.

1	After the hearing last night, I
2	went back to my office to get the claim, and the
3	claim, both in the Superior Court against the
4	Government of Canada, including Mr. Martel, and ir
5	the Federal Court of Canada, against the
6	Government and Mr. Martel, is dated April 2nd of
7	2004, which of course is two months after February
8	the 8th of 2004 when this conversation took place.
9	So I'm putting it to you,
10	Mr. Martel, that it is very clear that you did not
11	have any claim by Mr. Arar against the Government
12	of Canada, including yourself, when you had this
13	conversation with this Canadian official on
14	February the 8th, 2004.
15	Isn't that correct?
16	MR. MARTEL: When I had my
17	conversation with my colleague, the date may not
18	have been correct, but on my desk there was this
19	Federal Court lawsuit. Yes, it was on my desk.
20	And it was clearly indicated in
21	the lawsuit that I had I hadn't had I had
22	been too busy to see my client more often. And
23	that was on my desk.
24	Now now if there are
25	conflicting dates. I mean, there may be a

1	difference in the date on which I saw my this
2	official, but definitely, and I'm certain of it,
3	the document the document was on my desk.
4	There's no doubt.
5	MR. CAVALLUZZO: If the
6	conversation took place on February the 8th
7	of 2004, which is the date that this Canadian
8	official testified to under oath, and his report
9	indicates that, then the Federal Court claim could
10	not have been on your desk when you spoke to him.
11	MR. MARTEL: So the question that
12	you're asking me is, "Was the lawsuit on the
13	desk?" The answer is yes.
14	Now, is the date that my colleague
15	indicated that he had this meeting with me, is it
16	correct? That's another thing.
17	I'm telling you that when I saw my
18	colleague, he had a conversation with me, the
19	lawsuit was on my desk, and specifically said that
20	and it was my disappointment that I expressed
21	yesterday
22	The lawsuit said that I was too
23	I'd been too busy to see my client more often,
24	while in fact there was a slight nuance.
25	The Syrians had told me that they

1	were too busy to accommodate me more often. And
2	that's all. That's the truth.
3	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Do you recall
4	that this meeting that there was one meeting at
5	which you discussed both Mr. Arar and
6	Mr. Nureddin?
7	MR. MARTEL: No, I don't recall. I
8	believe that I had two meetings in fact with my
9	colleague, but but now that's a long time ago,
10	and I honestly don't recall.
11	I remember
12	MR. CAVALLUZZO: It is not ancient
13	history to your colleague because he has notes of
14	the meeting, and he has testified that this
15	meeting took place on February the 8th of 2004,
16	and listen to the question and he also
17	referred to discussing Mr. Nureddin's situation,
18	right?
19	MR. MARTEL: Possibly. I'm telling
20	you that when I saw my colleague and discussed the
21	issue that I was too busy, I had the lawsuit on my
22	desk.
23	Was it a lawsuit or a document
24	I don't know a draft?
25	But I had it on my desk. There's

1	no doubt about that.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Do you recall
3	when Mr. Nureddin was released, because this
4	meeting with the Canadian official was shortly
5	before Mr. Nureddin's release? Do you recall when
6	he was released?
7	MR. MARTEL: He was released in
8	2004, perhaps in January-February, around then.
9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: In January of
10	2004 or February of 2004. The meeting that this
11	official talked about was around the time of
12	Mr. Nureddin's release, which was in late January
13	or early February of 2004.
14	I'm putting it to you, Mr. Martel,
15	that what you testified to yesterday was a
16	rationalization of the statements you made about
17	Mr. Arar using information that you obtained after
18	this meeting and after those statements. I'm
19	putting it to you that that is what in fact
20	happened.
21	MR. MARTEL: What I can tell you,
22	and in all honesty, when I discussed the issue
23	that I was too busy, I discussed it based on a
24	document that was on my desk.

25

There is no doubt about that.

1	There were there were
2	accusations made against me in this document, not
3	only against me, against other people as well, and
4	the dates may vary, but that's when it happened.
5	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And I assume that
6	this document that you are referring to, that was
7	on your desk, that you would have kept that
8	document and kept it as part of your file?
9	MR. MARTEL: I don't know where
10	this document is because afterwards, I believe,
11	there was a change in procedure, and it was
12	withdrawn, and the case was transferred to the
13	provincial level, I think.
14	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. But what
15	I'm suggesting is that if you had a document on
16	your desk which was a claim that was suing you,
17	that you would have kept that document and it
18	would be part of your file?
19	MR. MARTEL: Possibly. It stayed on
20	my desk
21	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Possibly?
22	MR. MARTEL: Yes.
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: If someone was
24	suing me, I would not throw the document away.
25	MR. MARTEL: No, I did not destroy

1	it. Certainly not.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Well, is it part
3	of your file?
4	MR. MARTEL: Frankly, I really
5	don't know where it is because later there was a
6	change.
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: You don't know
8	where it is?
9	MR. MARTEL: The counsel for the
10	Department told me that there had been a change.
11	There was another lawsuit now.
12	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. But what
13	I'm going to give you the opportunity to do
14	this is a fact-finding process, Mr. Martel. I'm
15	going to give you the opportunity to have you, the
16	Government, or whomever you want at your disposal
17	to find that document, and when you find that
18	document, I would ask you to produce it to this
19	Commission. Okay?
20	MR. MARTEL: Fine.
21	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Thank you.
22	I have no further questions.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
24	Ms Edwardh?

25

EXAMINATION

1	MS EDWARDH: Mr. Martel, we all
2	have a copy of the Federal Court claim. And that
3	claim is dated when filed in the Registry and then
4	served upon people, April the 2nd, 2004. That in
5	effect means, Mr. Martel, that you could not I
6	would like you to note that you could not have
7	had this document drawn by Julian Falconer, a
8	lawyer in Toronto, on your desk in early February
9	of 2004, because it didn't exist.
10	So if you accept that for a moment
11	as a fact, then the only conclusion that the
12	Commissioner can come to is that in seeking some
13	explanation for the language you used with your
14	colleague that seemed less damning of Mr. Arar,
15	you opted to kind of blame it on the lawsuit which
16	offended you when it was filed.
17	But I'm going to ask you to assume
18	that you didn't have the lawsuit.
19	MR. MARTEL: I repeat when I had
20	the meeting, this conversation, with my colleague,
21	this lawsuit was on my desk.
22	And that's a fact that I will
23	never forget. The lawsuit was on my desk.
24	There may be a discrepancy in the
25	meeting date, perhaps, but when I discussed the

1	case with my colleague, the lawsuit was in front
2	of me.
3	MS EDWARDH: I want to just draw
4	to your attention that neither the Ontario
5	Superior Court claim or the Federal Court claim,
6	would have possibly come on to your desk before
7	April 2004.
8	So I take it your position is
9	and I will have to address the issue with the
10	Commissioner about hearing from your colleague.
11	But your position is your colleague is just dead
12	wrong?
13	MR. MARTEL: Well, perhaps he
14	had the wrong dates. I don't know.
15	But I'm telling you that the
16	lawsuit was on my desk, and I will continue to
17	repeat; at that time, it was on my desk.
18	There's no doubt. No doubt at all.
19	The lawsuit was on my desk, and I remember very,
20	very clearly. It's unequivocal.
21	MS EDWARDH: And if it wasn't on
22	your desk, I'm going to suggest to you,
23	Mr. Martel, that this is another significant
24	mistake made by you, like the kind of mistake made
25	when you didn't tell the Ottawa headquarters on

1	February 14th that Mr. Arar was in a cell 3 by 6
2	by 7.
3	If in fact it didn't exist, then
4	it is a significant error on your part. Correct?
5	MR. MARTEL: But I have admitted my
6	errors, Madam, previously, and if that was an
7	error, then I would also say so too.
8	The lawsuit was on my desk. There
9	is no doubt There is no doubt. The lawsuit was
10	on my desk.
11	I can't tell you that this is a
12	mistake. It was there.
13	MS EDWARDH: And I take it that
14	your colleague, who was in your office having this
15	discussion with you, would have seen the claim as
16	well?
17	MR. MARTEL: He saw it on my desk.
18	That doesn't mean that he saw the content.
19	MS EDWARDH: He saw it?
20	MR. MARTEL: He saw it on my desk.
21	Yes, of course.
22	MS EDWARDH: He saw it?
23	MR. MARTEL: Yes, I I directed
24	his attention to this lawsuit.
25	MS EDWARDH: And he would, of

1	course, be in a position to confirm your evidence
2	that you drew his attention to the claim, and that
3	you did not just say that Mr. Arar was a liar but
4	that you were really referring to the lawsuit?
5	MR. MARTEL: That's right.
6	MS EDWARDH: And he would confirm
7	that?
8	MR. MARTEL: He should in any case.
9	MS EDWARDH: I'm going to suggest
10	to you, Mr. Martel, that the only thing you had
11	seen was Mr. Arar's chronology. That had been
12	given to you and brought to your attention?
13	MR. MARTEL: No.
14	The lawsuit was in front of me,
15	and besides, it was this document that fuelled our
16	conversation because in this document I repeat
17	again, there were two or three points, but the
18	point that I remember, the main point, was that
19	the lawsuit indicated that I didn't have enough
20	time to see my client more often.
21	And I have always remembered it.
22	And it was at that period that my colleague was in
23	my office.
24	There's no doubt.
25	MS EDWARDH: And indeed, though,

1	I'm going to suggest to you that once you read the
2	chronology, you were already angry?
3	MR. MARTEL: I said that I was
4	disappointed by the lawsuit. I have never said the
5	chronology.
6	Mr. Arar knows better than I do
7	everything that has happened in his life. He says
8	it, and I have no reason to doubt
9	MS EDWARDH: Of course.
LO	MR. MARTEL: what he said.
L1	MS EDWARDH: But he also talks in
L2	the chronology about his meeting with you on
L3	August the 14th.
L4	MR. MARTEL: Yes, he spoke of it.
L5	Yes.
L6	MS EDWARDH: Yes. And he also
L7	spoke publicly, as did other people who were
L8	concerned about his situation, and you got those
L9	press reports. Correct?
20	MR. MARTEL: Yes, there yes,
21	there we were cc'd on the press report.
22	MS EDWARDH: And isn't it true,
23	Mr. Martel, that really you felt that Mr. Arar had
24	gotten the best consular services that any
) 5	Canadian could have ever been offered and that his

1	criticism of the Department was unfair and an
2	outrage?
3	MR. MARTEL: I said that this case,
4	which was an exceptional case he was granted,
5	to my knowledge, more time, more effort, and not
6	only by us, but there were a lot of people who
7	were involved in his case, including his wife,
8	human rights groups, the media, including the
9	Prime Minister and the Minister.
LO	There were a lot of people who got
L1	involved in this case. That's why I'm saying that
L2	in this case, he was given attention, and we put a
L3	lot of time into this exceptional case.
L4	I'll tell you why it was
L5	exceptional. Because it was a deportation case.
L6	The other cases were different.
L7	MS EDWARDH: And he should be
L8	grateful.
L9	MR. MARTEL: No, that's not
20	MS EDWARDH: Isn't that how you
21	felt? He should be grateful, Mr. Martel, to
22	you
23	MR. MARTEL: That's not what I
24	said. I didn't say He didn't have to thank us.
25	He had he wad a client a

1	consular client, and he was entitled to all the
2	services he was entitled to under our consular
3	regulations.
4	And in addition there's more. I
5	got to know him better and later, and perhaps
6	there was actually an injustice committed against
7	him.
8	And in the plane, I discussed
9	legal issues with him, and this was not at his
10	request.
11	MS EDWARDH: We will come to your
12	conversation with him a little later.
13	But you were angry, or you were
14	annoyed at the suggestion that you and the
15	Government of Canada had not provided full
16	services to him in the best traditions of consular
17	affairs?
18	MR. MARTEL: No.
19	I wasn't angry. I was
20	disappointed. And I found it I found that we
21	were now and that the Department was criticized
22	for not having done enough for my client.
23	And then I said, "under the
24	circumstances that we found ourselves in, imposed
25	by the country, Syria, I think that we did the

1	maximum and beyond. Never had we devoted so much
2	time collectively to a single case, to my
3	knowledge."
4	That's what I said, and that's
5	all.
6	MS EDWARDH: Well, I'm going to
7	suggest to you, sir, that you also said to your
8	colleague on this occasion and if you want to
9	turn to paragraph 6 of this memorandum, page 3:
10	Martel said he was
11	annoyed"
12	Do you see that, paragraph 6?
13	Martel said he was annoyed by
14	suggestions that Canada did
15	not do enough to release
16	Arar. Martel stated that in
17	his many years of consular
18	service, Arar received more
19	attention, including input
20	from the Prime Minister,
21	visits by Senators and other
22	senior DFAIT officials, than
23	any other consular case.
24	So you were annoyed. That is what
25	you told your colleague in February.

1	MR. MARTEL: That's what my
2	colleague says, but that doesn't mean that that
3	I was annoyed. I think we have to use the right
4	word. But I was still disappointed. I have to
5	admit it.
6	MS EDWARDH: I see. So you were
7	disappointed, and your colleague took from that
8	that you were also annoyed by the suggestion there
9	was any deficiency in service?
10	MR. MARTEL: Those are his words.
11	They aren't mine.
12	But I was disappointed.
13	MS EDWARDH: I see. And you told
14	your colleague that on the occasion of your
15	MR. MARTEL: I said, "I'm
16	disappointed."
17	MS EDWARDH: Excuse me. You told
18	your colleague that on the occasion of your
19	conversation with him?
20	MR. MARTEL: That's right.
21	MS EDWARDH: Let me turn now,
22	Mr. Martel, to the provision of services and what
23	was done.
24	We have agreed we may come back
25	to this document a little later. We have agreed

1	that you knew, and the whole Department knew, that
2	ensuring access to a lawyer was critical, of
3	critical importance?
4	MR. MARTEL: Yes, certainly. For
5	every detainee.
6	MS EDWARDH: Yes. Now, could I
7	ask you, sir, to look at volume 2 of the DFAIT
8	materials at tab 163.
9	Who is Anwar Arar?
10	MR. MARTEL: Tab 263?
11	MS EDWARDH: Volume 2, tab 163.
12	This is a case note entered, sir,
13	by the name of a person called Sutton? Do you see
14	that?
15	MR. MARTEL: Yes, I see.
16	MS EDWARDH: And it is dated the
17	2nd of November, 2002. Correct?
18	MR. MARTEL: Correct.
19	MS EDWARDH: It is to you, among
20	others?
21	MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's right.
22	MS EDWARDH: And charged within
23	your mandate is the fulfilling of access to legal
24	counsel, and so you get this message:
25	"Mr. Arar (redacted) calling

1	to provide name and number of
2	a lawyer in Damascus.
3	Mr. Anwar Arar (redaction)
4	Apparently consular officials
5	are visiting subject tomorrow
6	and if they could please call
7	the lawyer as he would like
8	to go with them."
9	Do you see that?
10	MR. MARTEL: Yes, I see.
11	MS EDWARDH: And this was sent to
12	Damascus, to you for action; correct?
13	MR. MARTEL: Yes, among other
14	things. Yes.
15	MS EDWARDH: This specifically,
16	sir, was sent to you for action; correct?
17	MR. MARTEL: Mm-hmm.
18	MS EDWARDH: By "mm-hmm," you mean
19	"Yes"?
20	MR. MARTEL: I mean "Yes."
21	Yes. That's right.
22	MS EDWARDH:
23	"The lawyer has tried to see
24	subject but unable to find
25	him and not allowed to obtain

1	any information."
2	Now, sir when you got this message
3	I take it it was just before one of the consular
4	visits?
5	MR. MARTEL: Well, we'd have to
6	look at the document on the next consular visit.
7	We're at November 2, 2002.
8	MS EDWARDH: Yes? And do you
9	remember when the next consular visit was?
10	MR. MARTEL: No.
11	MS EDWARDH: It's November 12th.
12	MR. MARTEL: November 12th?
13	MS EDWARDH: I'm not interested
14	we can turn to this consular visit, but I'm
15	interested in what you did between November 2nd
16	and November 12th.
17	It might help you, sir, to turn to
18	tab 166.
19	"The Consul will ask the
20	Syrian authorities, in his
21	next visit to subject, if
22	they are willing to authorize
23	the lawyer to come with him."
24	Do you see that?
25	MD MADTEL. VAC I CAA

## StenoTran

1	MS EDWARDH: Are you aware, sir,
2	that Anwar Arar had some familial connection to
3	Maher Arar?
4	MR. MARTEL: I believe Maher had
5	talked to me about that. I knew that there was a
6	connection on the side of of his father. I'm
7	not really sure.
8	MS EDWARDH: And Mr. Arar spoke to
9	you about that of course sometime when? Before,
10	in the earlier consular contact?
11	MR. MARTEL: No, no.
12	I think he told me about about
13	his defence when it became clear that there was to
14	be a trial. I believe that it only happened in
15	August 2003, when the authorities said, "He will
16	be judged."
17	But before then, he was detained
18	and, even if I had wanted to obtain a list of
19	lawyers for him, it would do no good. The
20	authorities didn't let me.
21	MS EDWARDH: All right. I have
22	looked, sir, and searched the documents for any
23	reference to you transmitting information to
24	Mr. Arar that a lawyer, a family lawyer, was
25	seeking to obtain access to him in November 2002

1	and I can find nothing. I assure you there is
2	nothing in the consular notes. Nor can I find any
3	document that indicates that you or Franco
4	Pillarella, or anyone else at the Damascus
5	Embassy, made a direct request that Mr. Anwar Arar
6	would be given access to Mr. Arar.
7	Did you, sir, make a request prior
8	to November 12th to the Syrian authorities to
9	facilitate Mr. Arar's access to his family and
10	also to his counsel?
11	MR. MARTEL: If it's not included
12	in the consular notes, then I may have spoken
13	about it to my contact, but the position of the
14	Syrian authorities was that no one had access,
15	that he could not receive any phone calls, and I
16	was the only person who could visit him. This was
17	the position taken by the Syrian authorities.
18	So the question of bringing in a
19	lawyer from the outside was dismissed from the
20	outset. The Syrians did not want to discuss
21	anything else.
22	They were keeping him in
23	detention. I was the only person authorized to see
24	him until the parliamentarians could come. And no
25	one else could contact him.

1	The issue of having a lawyer was
2	out of the question as long as their what they
3	called their investigation was not complete.
4	MS EDWARDH: So if I understand
5	you, sir, the Syrians underlined for you that they
6	wished to hold him against or away from the entire
7	world except you?
8	MR. MARTEL: That's what they
9	said.
10	MS EDWARDH: And I also
11	understand, sir, that you took no step, by filing
12	a formal protest with the Syrian government, that
13	Mr. Arar was denied access to counsel? There was
14	no protest filed by the Government of Canada,
15	through you, through the Ambassador, or through
16	anyone else?
17	MR. MARTEL: No. We always made
18	our reports to the consular section in Canada, and
19	the instructions I received from Canada were:
20	one, we must maintain access, that was the first
21	major objective; and the second objective was to
22	obtain his release, his return to Canada.
23	The rest did not seem to be as
24	important in view of the Syrian position, which
25	was, "We're keeping him. He is with us. He is a

1	Syrian citizen. Syrian law applies. And nothing
2	else. We're doing you a big favour in letting him
3	see you." That's the message we were getting.
4	MS EDWARDH: I'm not interested,
5	sir, in the exception created for the Government
6	of Canada. I am interested in whether the
7	Government of Canada, through the Embassy, through
8	you or the Ambassador, took any formal steps to
9	protest the denial of access to counsel?
10	MR. MARTEL: No, I didn't I
11	to my knowledge, we didn't we did not protest
12	the fact that they didn't authorize our client to
13	have a lawyer.
14	MS EDWARDH: And do I take it,
15	sir, that if you look to tab 191, the consular
16	visit on November 12th and all I want to do is
17	make this observation. There is no suggestion in
18	the record of this consular visit, and I'm going
19	to suggest to you as well that you never told
20	Maher Arar in front of his Syrian captors that he
21	had a family member, who was a lawyer, who had
22	been trying to seek access to him?
23	That information was never given
24	to him?
25	MR. MARTEL: Yes, I believe you're

1	right. I did not give that information to him.
2	MS EDWARDH: Don't you think that
3	information is an important thing for a detainee
4	to know so that they don't feel increasingly
5	hopeless and lost and to know that there is
6	someone else other than yourself who is seeking
7	access to him?
8	MR. MARTEL: Well, he already knew
9	that no one else could see him. The authorities
10	weren't willing.
11	What I tried to communicate to my
12	client, to give him hope, was that, yes, people
13	were interested in his case, very much so
14	throughout Canada that his family was very
15	active in his case, and all that.
16	But if there's a relative who
17	lives even if he lives in the area, and who
18	wants to obtain access, the authorities will not
19	permit it.
20	So it's not something which in
21	my opinion, which merits a protest. If we protest,
22	the decision should be made at headquarters
23	because, if we protest, we will we risk
24	something else.
25	Now, what seems to interest the -

1	<del></del>
2	MS EDWARDH: Yes, but we have all
3	agreed, sir, that access to legal counsel falls
4	directly within your concern, and it is certainly
5	part of the mandate that consular services has to
6	do everything possible to facilitate a detainee's
7	access to counsel; right?
8	MR. MARTEL: Yes, certainly. We
9	have to try to facilitate inasmuch as the state
10	lets us do so.
11	MS EDWARDH: And while you told
12	Ottawa, and they were aware that Anwar Arar had
13	sought access, would you agree with me that there
14	was no formal discussion reflected in these notes,
15	or that you had on the telephone, that Ottawa
16	considered the benefits or risks associated with
17	making a protest over the deprivation of the right
18	to counsel?
19	MR. MARTEL: I believe that already
20	in well, it's my opinion. At the Consular
21	Affairs Bureau, they already knew that such a
22	request was impossible to grant.
23	So no one called for a protest. No
24	one asked us to follow up on the results of the
25	request ets

1	I believe that they did not treat
2	this as very important, in view of the
3	circumstances and the position adopted by the
4	Syrians.
5	That was why.
6	MS EDWARDH: I see. But you will
7	agree there is no record of any formal
8	evaluation
9	MR. MARTEL: I don't think so. I
10	don't think that there was I don't remember
11	whether there was other correspondence about the
12	matter.
13	MS EDWARDH: I certainly couldn't
14	find it either.
15	Let's turn to the next area I
16	would like. We have talked about the importance
17	of familial visits, that one of the things a
18	consular official is alive to is whether or not a
19	person is getting access to family visits, because
20	everyone knows they form a crucial link between a
21	detainee and the world.
22	You agree with that?
23	MR. MARTEL: Certainly.
24	MS EDWARDH: Let's take a look at
25	tab 432, if we could? That would be in volume 5.

1	You will be pleased that these are
2	documents also entered onto the CAMANT note.
3	MR. MARTEL: That's right.
4	I believe that it's an e-mail. It
5	was pasted as we say pasted in the COSMOS
6	system, yes. CAMANT.
7	MS EDWARDH: And perhaps for the
8	benefit of everyone, you could indicate who drew
9	up this e-mail and to whom it was addressed?
10	MR. MARTEL: I don't know Odette,
11	Gaudet-Fee and JPS. It's certainly the consular
12	section.
13	Maybe it's a service oh, yes, I
14	see above here that it was Myra Pastyr-Lupul who
15	who pasted it.
16	But initially the e-mail came
17	from maybe from the service which which
18	operates 24 hours a day.
19	MS EDWARDH: You certainly got a
20	copy of this document?
21	MR. MARTEL: I got a copy. The e-
22	mail was addressed to Myra, and I was copied on
23	it.
24	So yes, I got it.
25	MS EDWARDH: Can you, for the

1	benefit of those of us who are not as fluent as we
2	ought to be in the French language, read or
3	describe in general terms what is being asked
4	here?
5	MR. MARTEL: In this message, a
6	family member wants to visit. So it says it
7	says
8	MS EDWARDH: Wants to visit who?
9	MR. MARTEL: Mr. Arar.
LO	MS EDWARDH: In Syria?
L1	MR. MARTEL: Yes.
12	It says, "To be able to"
13	Do you want me to translate?
L4	MS EDWARDH: Yes, that would be
L5	helpful.
L6	MR. MARTEL: Okay. "For the
L7	purpose of visiting Mr. Arar, the Canadian embassy
L8	in Damascus must send a diplomatic note to the
L9	Syrian Foreign Ministry. At the moment only
20	Embassy employees and parliamentarians"
21	So only employees and MPs
22	"have been given access to
23	Mr. Arar."
24	I believe this is referring to
) 5	Ambaggador Dillarella and Tan Shaw

1	MS EDWARDH: So what has to
2	happen it is my understanding, sir, that Maher
3	Arar has a sister and a husband who are going to
4	be in Damascus, and this is in June of 2003, and
5	they are asking the assistance of the Embassy or
6	consular services to help them get access;
7	correct?
8	MR. MARTEL: That's right.
9	MS EDWARDH: And the conclusion
10	that you are faced with is in order to facilitate
11	possible access of family members, that a
12	diplomatic note ought to be sent?
13	MR. MARTEL: Yes, certainly.
14	MS EDWARDH: And to follow this
15	forward, we have another reference to this same
16	issue at tab 444 on June 13th in this volume.
17	Am I correct, sir, that do you
18	want to summarize this?
19	It is my understanding that the
20	nephew of Mr. Arar is writing to ask for help for
21	Maher's sister and her husband to get access?
22	MR. MARTEL: Yes. I believe that
23	what happened at the time, there were family
24	members who came and who were Syrian nationals,
25	and who of course wanted

1	MS EDWARDH: But they are also
2	Canadian citizens?
3	MR. MARTEL: Yes, but also also
4	Syrian and Canadian nationals. And who wanted to
5	visit Maher.
6	Now, the Syrian authorities took
7	the position that Syrian citizens and even dual
8	citizens and Canadians who wanted to visit would
9	have to go through immigration.
LO	So we could not intervene, and at
L1	that time I think, I had already lost my access
L2	a long time before. So I had not seen Maher in a
L3	long time.
L4	So I didn't have access, and what
L5	we were being asked was, the family asked us to
L6	intervene to obtain access.
L7	MS EDWARDH: Yes. And can you
L8	just summarize for the benefit of the
L9	Commissioner?
20	Having been told that a diplomatic
21	note would have to be sent to assist in affecting
22	access, am I correct, sir, that no such note was
23	sent?
24	MR. MARTEL: No, a note was not
25	sent. First, because it was not the right way to

1	proceed.
2	After having my assistant
3	contacted the Department of Immigration, and they
4	said, "All citizens who have Syrian citizenship
5	must, in order to see a detainee, must deal with
6	other authorities and not Foreign Affairs."
7	And even if we had sent a note to
8	Foreign Affairs, they had not answered any of our
9	notes to date. So we would have had a family
LO	waiting for permission which would never have come
L1	because they weren't answering.
L2	Throughout Maher's history, we did
L3	not receive an answer to any of our notes.
L4	MS EDWARDH: Did you assist the
L5	family in approaching the Ministry of Immigration,
L6	given the fact that they had this dual status, to
L7	try and effect access to Mr. Arar?
L8	MR. MARTEL: Yes, I must tell you
L9	that, frankly, at that time I had unfortunately
20	gone on annual leave, and my assistant, the third
21	secretary, took over the file and conducted the
22	follow-up. And it was the correspondence which
23	which then followed from Mylène Kahale and
24	MS EDWARDH: Can you identify for
25	us sir the correspondence that your assistant

1	forwarded on behalf of the family to the
2	Department of Immigration in order to assist then
3	in seeking access to Mr. Arar? I don't see it
4	here.
5	MR. MARTEL: No, I believe that
6	there was no written correspondence. No. I can't
7	find it either.
8	MS EDWARDH: Would it surprise
9	you, sir, if the family, after you left, simply
LO	felt they got the message there was nothing the
L1	Canadian Embassy would do?
L2	MR. MARTEL: Possibly. They may
L3	have gotten that impression, and then maybe they
L4	weren't wrong because, considering the Syrian
L5	position toward us, trying to obtain access for
L6	them, practically, I tell you frankly, it was
L7	unthinkable. Unthinkable in the circumstances.
L 8	MS EDWARDH: I see. But no
L 9	serious effort on their behalf was made with the
20	Ministry of Immigration to have the door slammed
21	shut. So be it? No effort was made?
22	MR. MARTEL: Well, I believe that
23	there were telephone conversations, but nothing
24	written.

25

And it was -- that was the

1	information given to my staff, according to what I
2	was told on my return.
3	MS EDWARDH: Right. There is no
4	documentary record of any telephone conversation
5	with the Syrian Ministry seeking to effect their
6	contact with Mr. Arar, and I'm going to suggest to
7	you, sir, that really what the family was told by
8	the Embassy personnel was they simply were not
9	able to assist them.
LO	I think you get that if you take a
L1	look at tab 457 I'm sorry; yes, 457. This
L2	would be on page 2.
L3	In effect what was told to them,
L4	as recorded at the top of page 2, is you haven't
L5	had access and there was nothing that you could do
L6	to effect access for the family?
L7	MR. MARTEL: Well, I believe that
L8	it's the truth, and if the Syrians had already
L9	deprived me of access some time before, how could
20	we intervene now to request access for the family?
21	It was something that was really
22	unthinkable and illogical.
23	MS EDWARDH: And do I take it that
24	the decision to not pursue this matter any further
25	was made by you in Damascus in conjunction with

1	whatever assistance Mr. Pillarella gave you, or
2	did you understand this to be a direction as well
3	that was agreed to and confirmed by Ottawa?
4	MR. MARTEL: Yes, I believe that
5	Myra was copied on that, and so my assistant gave
6	her an answer, and Myra came back encouraging me
7	to send another diplomatic note anyway and request
8	consular access, because Myra understood very well
9	that if we didn't have access, how could we obtain
10	access for the family? So it was unthinkable.
11	Foreign Affairs never answered our
12	notes. Even if we had asked, we would have
13	obtained nothing, and they were already making our
14	lives difficult. So we continued to send notes, at
15	least to obtain access, and if the authorities had
16	permitted anyone else to visit, then good, but
17	they never did. They didn't want him to have
18	telephone calls either, nothing.
19	MS EDWARDH: I'm really just
20	focusing, Mr. Martel, not on the efforts to obtain
21	consular access but the efforts to assist a
22	family.
23	If you look at the bottom of page
24	2 of this tab, tab 457, it is clear that Myra is
25	writing to Mylene, who I take it is an Embassy

1	MR. MARTEL: She's a third
2	secretary. Third secretary to the Consul.
3	MS EDWARDH: And she quite
4	candidly is saying: "Listen, we're getting
5	fallout from the failure to take steps to help the
6	family. Please explain what is going on." Right?
7	That in effect is what she is
8	saying at the bottom of this page.
9	MR. MARTEL: Yes, I see.
10	MS EDWARDH: And you can agree
11	with me, sir, as a simple fact, given what you
12	have said, that there was never any step taken by
13	the Government of Canada to protest denial of
14	access to members of the family?
15	MR. MARTEL: No, we did not
16	receive instructions from anyone to the effect
17	that we had to protest.
18	MS EDWARDH: Right. And yet we
19	agree that trying to provide a detainee with
20	access to members of the family is a matter of
21	importance in the provision of consular services?
22	MR. MARTEL: It's important,
23	certainly, and we should try to do so inasmuch as
24	the country will authorize us.
25	MS EDWARDH: But you never asked?

1	MR. MARTEL: They had already
2	consulted the Department of Immigration, which
3	answered that since the citizens who were making
4	the request also had Syrian citizenship, they had
5	to go through another department. So it was simply
6	a formality, like for everyone.
7	Like now, I have a detainee whose
8	family has access, and the family does not go
9	through us to get access. The family must go
10	through another department. The Embassy is not
11	authorized to intervene.
12	MS EDWARDH: No. But, sir, there
13	are, I am sure, occasions when the Embassy assists
14	Canadian citizens if they are being given
15	information by other nations, which is, you know,
16	you have to go somewhere else. Certainly the
17	concern of the Embassy as expressed is a matter
18	that a foreign state may make note of.
19	But it wasn't registered in this
20	case?
21	MR. MARTEL: No, it was not done.
22	Quite frankly, to try to obtain access for the
23	family, we would have had to go to far, far higher
24	levels, and there again, as our access had been
25	taken away from us a long time before, we believed

1	that it was unthinkable to try to get access for
2	the family when I could not see him.
3	MS EDWARDH: The next thing I want
4	to discuss with you, Mr. Martel, is the issue of
5	legal assistance. We have covered the early
6	efforts of the family to provide Mr. Arar with a
7	lawyer, and then there is a period of time where
8	no one is looking in any way, shape, or form to
9	connect Mr. Arar to legal counsel.
LO	It is just not an issue on the
L1	table; fair enough?
L2	MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's fair.
L3	MS EDWARDH: And it doesn't become
L4	an issue until the Department receives some
L5	information that Mr. Arar may face charges?
L6	MR. MARTEL: That's right.
L7	MS EDWARDH: The first clear
L8	statement that he is going to be faced with
L9	charges seems to be made August 14th in a
20	statement to the Ambassador.
21	Can I ask you to look at tab 507.
22	And you see here that this is sent
23	from the Head of Mission. Is that correct?
24	MR. MARTEL: We'd have to see who
25	signed it, but I think that was redacted.

1	MS EDWARDH: Yes, it's redacted.
2	It is a matter of national security.
3	MR. MARTEL: But it wasn't me.
4	MS EDWARDH: So what would be
5	clear, though, is that on the morning, there is a
6	meeting between General Khalil and the Ambassador.
7	Is that correct?
8	MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's what it
9	says here.
10	MS EDWARDH: And this is of course
11	the morning where you get information that they
12	are going to let you back in?
13	MR. MARTEL: That's right, yes.
14	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Excuse me. If I
15	can just interrupt my friend, in respect of who
16	signed this, this tab has been replaced by Exhibit
17	134, tab 13, which is the Pillarella book, and it
18	is highly the signature is Mr. Pillarella.
19	MS EDWARDH: Perhaps it would be
20	of assistance to the witness, or only fair to the
21	witness, if you would give him the Exhibit 134,
22	tab 13.
23	Mr. Cavalluzzo, this is dated
24	January the 9th. Helpful it may not be
25	MR. MARTEL: In any case, it was

1	Pillarella who signed it.
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: It is 132, I'm
3	sorry; 132, tab 13.
4	MS EDWARDH: Just be patient,
5	Mr. Martel.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: It's Book 132?
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Yes. Well,
8	P-132, tab 13.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
10	MS EDWARDH: This looks more
11	familiar.
12	Thank you, Mr. Cavalluzzo.
13	And, unfortunately, it is not
14	signed
15	MR. MARTEL: It was Pillarella who
16	signed it.
17	MS EDWARDH: You think it is
18	Pillarella.
19	MR. MARTEL: I'm sure of it.
20	MS EDWARDS: Maybe you shouldn't
21	say anything. Maybe the Government of Canada has
22	an objection.
23	There is no objection. So we will
24	proceed on the basis that it is Mr. Pillarella,
25	the Ambassador, who is forwarding an account of

1	his meeting with General Khalil.
2	And we hear that Mr. Arar will be
3	put on trial within a week, one week, and you are
4	to be given access.
5	Paragraph 2 says you are going to
6	be given access this very day, and paragraph 3
7	says he is going to be going on trial.
8	Do you see that?
9	MR. MARTEL: Yes, I see it. Yes.
10	MS EDWARDH: And I take it,
11	Mr. Martel, that on August 14th this information
12	would have been provided to you by the Ambassador
13	so you would know that you were going to have that
14	consular visit and that Mr. Arar was likely facing
15	charges?
16	MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's right.
17	Yes.
18	MS EDWARDH: So would you agree
19	with me, sir, that up until this meeting, which is
20	dated August 14th, there were no steps taken by
21	the Embassy to try and secure legal counsel for
22	Mr. Arar pending his trial, if there was going to
23	be a trial. This is the first time you know and
24	it is the first time that lawyers really come into
25	play?

1	MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's right,
2	because, normally, the usual procedure with
3	clients is that we bring them a list of lawyers,
4	and then they have to choose, not we, they have to
5	choose their defence. We give them lists which
6	were published at the Embassy. These are lists of
7	reputable lawyers, and then the client must choose
8	his defence.
9	Of course, when it became known,
10	or when the General told Ambassador Pillarella
11	that Maher Arar was to go to trial, it was clear
12	that, at that time, we had to look into the matter
13	of his defence. That's for sure.
14	MS EDWARDH: Yes. All I'm
15	establishing, Mr. Martel, is that up until this
16	date, no steps were taken to provide Mr. Arar with
17	a list or to take steps to forward his defence in
18	respect of any possible charge that could arise in
19	Syria?
20	MR. MARTEL: No. Quite frankly,
21	we did not give him a list, first because we did
22	not know where the file how the file was
23	advancing. Then, I don't know if I would even have
24	been given an opportunity to provide him with a
25	list, and then, if we had given him a list, what

1	would he have done with it? He couldn't contact
2	anyone outside.
3	MS EDWARDH: Is it your view,
4	based on legal advice received by the Embassy,
5	that should Mr. Arar have been able to retain a
6	highly regarded lawyer in Damascus, Syria, to
7	challenge where he was, should he be able to do
8	that, that that was of no use?
9	Did you get legal advice on that
10	question, whether there was anything a lawyer
11	could do while Mr. Arar languished?
12	MR. MARTEL: During his detention,
13	you mean? I didn't quite understand, I'm sorry.
14	MS EDWARDH: Between October 22nd
15	and August the 14th, you will agree with me that
16	Mr. Arar languished in detention without charge.
17	Is that correct?
18	MR. MARTEL: That's right.
19	MS EDWARDH: My question, very
20	simply, when you say "Why bother giving him a
21	list", is: Had the Embassy taken steps to obtain
22	legal advice on the issue of whether there was
23	anything a lawyer could do in Damascus between
24	October 22nd and December 14th? Was there legal
25	advice that there was nothing to be done?

1	MR. MARTEL: Yes, in effect. We
2	retain the services of a lawyer at the Embassy and
3	I am others are in regular contact with him,
4	and we obtain an opinion, even if it is verbal.
5	But in the circumstances where Mr. Arar was
6	detained, in these conditions, there was nothing
7	to be done. There were no lawyers who could get
8	close to him, no lawyers who could get their hands
9	on his file. Nothing, nothing at all.
LO	MS EDWARDH: My question, though,
L1	is that while there is counsel or a lawyer
L2	connected to the Embassy in general, my question
L3	is this: Did you in fact get a legal opinion from
L4	that counsel or any other counsel to ask whether
L5	there was something that could be done to Mr. Arar
L6	before August the 14th? Was there a real legal
L7	opinion obtained?
L8	MR. MARTEL: Maybe not written,
L9	but verbal. I talked about it several times with
20	the lawyer, and he always answered me, in these
21	circumstances, you know, in this country, there is
22	nothing to be done. As long as they haven't
23	decided, there is nothing to be done.
24	MS EDWARDH: And so I take it that
25	it is your evidence, sir, that you spoke

1	specifically with counsel in Damascus counsel
2	to the Embassy I'm sorry, Mr. Commissioner, I'm
3	having electrical problems
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Do you want
5	another one? I've got another one here, if you
6	want.
7	MS EDWARDH: I will try this. It
8	just was having difficulty.
9	Is it your evidence, sir, that you
10	actually spoke to the lawyer about Mr. Arar and he
11	told you nothing could be done?
12	MR. MARTEL: That's right.
13	MS EDWARDH: Who is the lawyer,
14	sir?
15	MR. MARTEL: I don't know if I can
16	It's a Canadian with dual Syrian citizenship. I
17	believe that if I reveal his name, there will be
18	consequences
19	MR. BAXTER: I think in light of
20	that, Mr. Commissioner, I would prefer if he
21	wasn't made to name the lawyer.
22	MS EDWARDH: I have looked for a
23	record of the lawyer's advice in the materials,
24	and there is no record of that advice.
25	Is that correct?

1	MR. MARTEL: No, there's no
2	written correspondence on the file.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Just a second.
4	Sorry, what? I'm just not sure
5	what is happening.
6	MR. DÉCARY: May I speak to the
7	witness? I want to converse with the witness on
8	this very specific why the statement, just to
9	clarify for myself.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: It would be
11	most unusual to have a witness' counsel speak in
12	the middle of a cross-examination.
13	MR. DÉCARY: This incident
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Just speak in
15	the microphone so that Ms. McIsaac, could you
16	just help him there?
17	MR. DÉCARY: It was only to see if
18	I could be of any assistance, to help resolve this
19	matter, to better inform myself as to
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Well
21	no. That wouldn't be a process we would normally
22	adopt. But thank you. Thank you, Mr. Décary.
23	The position, Mr. Baxter, is that
24	the name of the lawyer is
25	MR. BAXTER: The Government of

1	Canada has no problem with it, but the witness has
2	just advised, and perhaps it got lost in
3	translation, so to speak, that there could be
4	consequences to this individual if they were
5	identified.
6	So the Government of Canada makes
7	no NSC claim at all in respect to this, sir.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, then, in
9	that circumstance, I think I would leave it to
10	Ms. Edwardh's discretion to go ahead and ask the
11	question, if she chooses.
12	Does anything turn on the name of
13	the lawyer? Let me just ask that.
14	MS EDWARDH: I suppose,
15	Mr. Commissioner, since we are at a stage where
16	you are going to contemplate an interim report
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, I
18	wouldn't sort of put too many eggs in that basket.
19	What I would say is
20	Laughter / Rires
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Why don't you,
22	at the lunch break, speak to Mr. Martel.
23	Mr. Martel, you tell Ms. Edwardh
24	the name of the lawyer, and then if you think that
25	that is something that needs to be on the record,

1	we can address it then.
2	Is that fair enough?
3	MS EDWARDH: I'm satisfied with
4	that. I believe the lawyer's name may be in the
5	documents, but in any event
6	THE COMMISSIONER: I don't know.
7	MS EDWARDH: So the lawyer who you
8	spoke about is a person who was retained from time
9	to time by the Embassy?
LO	MR. MARTEL: Not from time to
L1	time. He was retained on a permanent basis.
L2	MS EDWARDH: I see. And he or she
L3	would give the
L4	I'm sorry, Mr. Commissioner, I'm
L5	having problems.
L6	THE COMMISSIONER: Do you want to
L7	take this one?
L8	MS EDWARDH: I'm having a lot of
L9	static. You are, too?
20	THE COMMISSIONER: There is
21	another one here.
22	MS EDWARDH: I will try this one.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Baxter,
24	your colleague behind you may want this one.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: You are fine?

1	Pause
2	MS EDWARDH: We have established,
3	sir, that there is no memorandum or correspondence
4	in respect of this advice, nor is there any
5	discussion with headquarters about that advice.
6	Is that fair?
7	MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's fair.
8	MS EDWARDH: And I take it the
9	lawyer in question, who is on permanent retainer
10	to the Canadian Embassy, is in fact a lawyer who
11	provides general services to the Embassy?
12	MR. MARTEL: Yes. He provides us
13	with various services based on an agreement we
14	have, and I contact him regularly regarding
15	specific points of Syrian law, because you have to
16	know the laws and under which circumstances, what
17	applies and what doesn't apply, what you can or
18	can't do. He's someone I see probably or to
19	whom I speak every week.
20	MS EDWARDH: Am I correct, sir,
21	that that lawyer also provides commercial
22	services, in the sense that if the Embassy needs
23	to look at a contract, or conduct its own affairs
24	and businesses, this lawyer would advise the
25	Embassy?

1	MR. MARTEL: With respect to
2	contracts, this lawyer will, for example, review
3	our own contracts, i.e., the Embassy's contracts.
4	Before signing a contract, I the Ambassador
5	and I, naturally, want to make sure that we are in
6	compliance with local law and that there will be
7	no surprises later on. So, before entering into
8	an agreement or signing a new contract, I always
9	show it to my lawyer first so that he can read it
10	and assure me that everything is okay.
11	MS EDWARDH: That is my point;
12	that the lawyer who you consulted is a lawyer who
13	did not practise in the field of criminal security
14	or human rights law?
15	MR. MARTEL: Yes, you're quite
16	right, but all the same he's an expert in Syrian
17	law.
18	MS EDWARDH: I suppose he is an
19	expert in the same way any Canadian lawyer is
20	supposed to know all Canadian law. You will agree
21	with me, sir, that if he was going to give you
22	advice about a criminal trial, you would rather go
23	to someone who is a criminal lawyer?
24	MR. MARTEL: Well, first of all I
25	would consult my lawyer who is on retainer, and I

1	would get his opinion, but he might say, "Listen,
2	I'm not an expert in these matters, but I can
3	recommend someone else".
4	MS EDWARDH: And when you asked
5	for advice about whether there was any step that
6	Maher Arar could take prior to August, before
7	August 14th, did the lawyer who gives general
8	advice to the Embassy refer you to anyone else to
9	determine whether such steps existed?
10	MR. MARTEL: When I asked my
11	lawyer for advice, he told me the situation was
12	very straightforward. I had a citizen who was of
13	Syrian nationality and there was nothing I could
14	do.
15	If he was a prisoner, they could
16	keep him as long as they wanted, and there was
17	nothing more I could do. Period. That's the way
18	it was.
19	MS EDWARDH: In addition to the
20	information you received from your discussion with
21	the Ambassador about August 14th, that Mr. Arar
22	could have a trial within one week, you were also
23	aware from earlier discussions that you had with
24	Syrian authorities before your visits with
25	Mr. Arar that at least at one time they said that

1	they felt he was a member of the Muslim
2	Brotherhood.
3	That had been told to you before?
4	MR. MARTEL: I had been told that?
5	MS EDWARDH: Yes.
6	MR. MARTEL: It's possible, but
7	who would have told me? Souheil, perhaps, but he
8	wasn't someone who it was my interpreter, I
9	think. I don't know who told me; I don't
10	remember.
11	MS EDWARDH: All right. But you
12	did get that information; that that was one of the
13	issues that was of concern to the Syrians?
14	MR. MARTEL: Yes, and I believe
15	they said so at some point. Whether they told the
16	Ambassador or me, I don't remember, but it was
17	brought to my attention that they they
18	claimed, at least, that he was a member of the
19	Muslim Brotherhood.
20	MS EDWARDH: That in some cases it
21	is a capital offence in Syria?
22	MR. MARTEL: I don't believe so.
23	To the best of my knowledge, with clients I've had
24	since then, it's a 12-year prison sentence.
25	MS EDWARDH: It is my

1	understanding that in some circumstances it can be
2	treated as a capital offence, but I take it you
3	don't know that.
4	MR. MARTEL: No, I wasn't aware of
5	that.
6	MS EDWARDH: In any event, my
7	question to you is when you learned that there was
8	an issue in the minds of Mr. Arar's gaolers that
9	he may be a member or active in the Muslim
10	Brotherhood, do you recall having any discussions
11	with Canada as to the gathering of evidence that
12	might show that that claim was wrong?
13	Did you ask for help?
14	MR. MARTEL: No. Obviously, I
15	think it was reported, obviously, but if we did
16	not yet have access, and the lawyers did not have
17	access, to the prosecution file, it's what the
18	authorities were saying, but there was nothing yet
19	in writing anywhere.
20	There was no substantive evidence
21	indicating that Maher Arar was a member of the
22	Muslim Brotherhood. Actually, we, for our part,
23	and myself personally, really the Ambassador, did
24	not share this opinion.
25	We didn't know what the Syrians

1	were trying to get at with this accusation, but
2	the accusation had not yet been made in writing.
3	MS EDWARDH: No. I understand
4	that. It was not at this time. There were no
5	written accusations of any kind.
6	I am just simply asking the
7	question: When you learned that the Syrians said
8	this, was any request sent back between October
9	and August to Mr. Arar's family to say, "Can you
10	help us gather evidence about Mr. Arar's
11	connection, if any, to the Syrian Muslim
12	Brotherhood"?
13	MR. MARTEL: No, no, that wasn't
14	done.
15	MS EDWARDH: Did you ever go and
16	ask the Syrian authorities if Mr. Arar could sign
17	a power of attorney allowing his wife to get
18	access to information, private banking records,
19	et cetera? Did you ever ask?
20	MR. MARTEL: No, I don't think so,
21	neither beforehand nor after access was denied,
22	when I could no longer ask him anything no,
23	we never asked.
24	MS EDWARDH: And after August
25	14th, when you knew he was going to stand his

1	trial, there was no effort to have him sign a
2	power of attorney?
3	MR. MARTEL: I never saw him again
4	after that.
5	MS EDWARDH: Fair enough. You
6	didn't make a telephone request to the Syrian
7	authorities so that some effort could be made at
8	least to ask them if they would allow him to sign
9	a power of attorney?
10	MR. MARTEL: No, no such requests
11	were made by phone phone calls were always:
12	can I see my client? And the answer was always
13	the same, and at the end I was told no, he was no
14	longer under their jurisdiction.
15	MS EDWARDH: I would like you to
16	go to tab 512, if I could, in this same volume.
17	You had a visit obviously we
18	will deal with August 14th in other respects. But
19	you had a visit August 14th, and there is a
20	discussion of the pending accusations against
21	Mr. Arar and you are told a number of things, or I
22	assume you are told this as well you know this
23	from the conversation, I'm sorry that he is to
24	be given a choice of lawyer?
25	MR. MARTEL: Actually, this should

1	be taken with a grain of salt. That's what General
2	Hassan Khalil said at the time. So, there was no
3	formal communication between on the part of
4	the Syrian government with the Embassy.
5	MS EDWARDH: No. But it is the
6	first indication the General has given you of the
7	nature of the proceedings?
8	MR. MARTEL: It's the General's
9	opinion.
10	MS EDWARDH: It was your
11	understanding that Mr. Arar could have counsel,
12	that he would be allowed to meet with counsel
13	I'm just trying to summarize this. If you want to
14	go through the tabs, we can. But that his trial
15	would be a quick trial?
16	MR. MARTEL: That's what the
17	General led us to believe. The comments reported
18	were those of the General.
19	MS EDWARDH: I want to just know
20	whether at any time, up to and including the end
21	of your August 14th visit, you told Mr. Arar that
22	one of the concerns of the Syrians was that he was
23	a member of the Muslim Brotherhood?
24	MR. MARTEL: Well, I wasn't at
25	liberty to say whatever I wanted to Maher. Our

1	conversations were always monitored and limited,
2	and the Syrians had forbidden me to discuss the
3	case. They had warned me ahead of time that they
4	didn't want me to discuss the case.
5	So I wasn't at liberty to talk
6	about whatever I wanted with him.
7	MS EDWARDH: So at no time in all
8	of the consular visits that you had did you ever
9	inform him at least of your understanding of the
10	substance of the allegations against him?
11	MR. MARTEL: No, I never talked
12	about that, for a number of reasons.
13	First of all, because they
14	prevented me from discussing the case, and
15	secondly, because I didn't believe this
16	information either.
17	MS EDWARDH: I'm sorry, you didn't
18	believe that information?
19	MR. MARTEL: No, I didn't believe
20	what they were telling me that he was a
21	member of the Muslim Brotherhood. He left Syria
22	when he was young how could he be a Muslim
23	Brother?
24	MS EDWARDH: So is it your
25	evidence here that the reason that you did not

1	inform him of that allegation was that you assumed
2	that the Syrians were I don't know, for want of
3	a better word not being candid with you?
4	MR. MARTEL: No, they weren't. As
5	you say, one, they weren't telling me the truth,
6	and two, I was forbidden from discussing the case,
7	so I could only discuss certain topics with Maher,
8	but I couldn't get into the case itself.
9	I couldn't say to him, "Look, the
10	Syrians are accusing you of being a member of the
11	Muslim Brotherhood". It made no sense: he had
12	left the country when he was about 16 or 17, so,
13	as far as I was concerned, they were simply making
14	all this up.
15	It was at this point, I believe,
16	that I started to realize that they were
17	floundering. They didn't really know where they
18	were going.
19	MS EDWARDH: I take it that if you
20	look I'm just going to put a general
21	proposition to you.
22	If you look at the consular notes
23	overall, Mr. Martel, you confined your
24	conversations with Mr. Arar to matters trivial,
25	family, and just whatever observations you could

1	make; right?
2	MR. MARTEL: That's right, in
3	accordance with the instructions I had been given.
4	MS EDWARDH: And material issues
5	that are at the root of your mandate to provide
6	consular services were not the subject of
7	questions by you, nor were there any discussions
8	about what you understood to be allegations he
9	faced?
LO	MR. MARTEL: Exactly, because I
L1	wasn't at liberty, and the meetings were monitored
L2	and I could only say what I was allowed to say,
L3	and the same held true for Maher. He could speak
L4	to me, but he had to stick to what he was allowed
L5	to tell me.
L6	MS EDWARDH: Yes. But you are
L7	following instructions, I take it, from Ottawa,
L8	and you are told, as I understand your evidence,
L9	Mr. Martel, "Don't say anything to Mr. Arar about
20	the allegations made in the U.S., don't say
21	anything about what we have learned about what the
22	Syrians think. Just don't talk about what it is
23	alleged that Maher Arar did"?
24	MR. MARTEL: No, I never received
) 5	such instructions from Ottawa The Syrians had

1	forbidden me to talk about the case.
2	Ottawa had never forbidden me to
3	talk about anything, except that, from the very
4	beginning, the Consular Affairs Bureau told me
5	that I must understand the situation and that I
6	should stick to the most transparent questions,
7	but that if I wanted to find out more, I should
8	perhaps ask such-and-such a question. That was
9	it.
LO	But Canada never told me that I
L1	should not try to get information, or that I
L2	shouldn't do this or that. The instructions came
L3	from the Syrian authorities. I could only ask
L4	certain questions.
15	The same thing applied to the MPs
L6	when they went. They were told that they could
L7	ask certain questions, but they couldn't go any
L8	further than that.
L9	MS EDWARDH: So if one looks at
20	what you were told by the Syrians you couldn't
21	talk about, generally we could draw the following
22	conclusions.
23	The Syrians didn't want you to
24	learn or talk about the case. That was off the
25	table; correct?

1	MR. MARTEL: Yes, and from their
2	point of view
3	MS EDWARDH: Let me do the list.
4	MR. MARTEL: Go ahead.
5	MS EDWARDH: They didn't want you
6	to talk about the case, and they didn't want you
7	to talk about how they were treating Mr. Arar. Is
8	that correct?
9	MR. MARTEL: Well, let's say, it
10	wasn't that they didn't want me to talk about how
11	he was being treated. They presented the
12	situation in a positive light, and my reports were
13	written accordingly, based on the positive manner
14	in which they presented the situation.
15	That doesn't mean they didn't want
16	naturally, they didn't want any bad press or
17	anything like that.
18	If I understand the question
19	correctly, they didn't want me to talk about the
20	manner in which he was treated, and I talked about
21	the manner in which he was treated. They said to
22	me, "Yes, you can ask him questions about the
23	prison conditions and about his family".
24	MS EDWARDH: Right. But they
25	didn't permit you to ask certain questions about

1	his detention, or you would have asked that whole
2	list of questions I put to you at the beginning of
3	this?
4	MR. MARTEL: Definitely. Right
5	from the very first visit, I started asking
6	questions along those lines, such as: "Where did
7	you come from? How long ago? Where did you stay?
8	What did you do?" etc., and then they stopped me,
9	and they stopped him as well.
10	MS EDWARDH: Mr. Martel, let me
11	stop you because we are going to come back to
12	that, and I don't want to go too far offside. I
13	just want to finish this area with the lawyers,
14	and we will come back to what they would and would
15	not allow you.
16	Let me just turn you to tab 514
17	for a moment.
18	Am I correct, sir, that, after the
19	14th of August, there was some effort on the part
20	of yourself and others, first of all, to deal with
21	an issue of a visa for a Canadian legal observer?
22	MR. MARTEL: Yes. I believe
23	it seems to me it was James Lockyear.
24	MS EDWARDH: Yes. And also to
25	finally put a lawyer in place for a trial?

1	MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's right,
2	and I had discussed the subject of lawyers with
3	Maher.
4	MS EDWARDH: And might I just make
5	it really simple with respect to Mr. Lockyear.
6	You asked the Syrian Foreign Ministry for
7	permission for him to come?
8	MR. MARTEL: Yes. I believe a
9	diplomatic note was sent. Yes.
10	MS EDWARDH: No one ever answered
11	and no one ever issued a visa?
12	MR. MARTEL: Would you have
13	expected otherwise? The answer is always the
14	same.
15	Mr. Lockyear asked me personally
16	if I thought the Syrians would grant him a visa,
17	and I told him that, to be perfectly honest, I
18	would be very surprised if they did, but that we
19	had to try anyway.
20	MS EDWARDH: Right. So efforts
21	were made to at least ask, and the Syrians did not
22	grace the request with an answer?
23	MR. MARTEL: And I don't know if
24	the visa request was submitted either, because it
25	is not submitted in Syria, it is submitted from

1	here.
2	MS EDWARDH: Well, I think we have
3	reason to believe a request was submitted but no
4	answer came, and that would go to the Foreign
5	Ministry, would it not, the visa request?
6	MR. MARTEL: To the Syrian
7	Embassy.
8	MS EDWARDH: That would be
9	Ambassador Arnous who would receive that request?
10	MR. MARTEL: Ambassador Arnous.
11	MS EDWARDH: We also have
12	information that there is an effort by Mr. Arar's
13	wife to settle on a lawyer, and she has some names
14	of lawyers. Do you see that in this memo?
15	MR. MARTEL: Which tab are you in?
16	Are you still in 514?
17	MS EDWARDH: The same tab, tab
18	514.
19	MR. MARTEL: Yes, yes. Already at
20	that time, and, as you just said, we had to act
21	quickly to find defence counsel, and I don't know
22	if you want to look at this section, but Maher had
23	already spoken to me about his defence.
24	MS EDWARDH: Well, I wanted and
) E	he had told you that he wanted his wife to be in a

1	position to identify defence counsel who would act
2	on his behalf?
3	MR. MARTEL: Yes. Not initially,
4	but later on, yes.
5	MS EDWARDH: But by this date,
6	August the 15th indeed he says it to you in the
7	meeting on August 14th. He told you his wife
8	would take charge of retaining a lawyer?
9	MR. MARTEL: That's right. He
10	wanted his wife to take care of it.
11	MS EDWARDH: And what "take care
12	of it" meant was that she was to select the lawyer
13	who would act for Mr. Arar?
14	MR. MARTEL: Yes. This was normal
15	consular procedure.
16	MS EDWARDH: So on
17	August 15th, 2003, you are told the names of the
18	lawyers that she has selected, and they are listed
19	at the bottom of this note: Mr. Haithem Emaleh
20	and Mr. Anwar El Bouni.
21	Do you see those names?
22	MR. MARTEL: Yes, I see them, but
23	she had not yet made her choice.
24	MS EDWARDH: Well, you know you
25	have some role because you re going to contact

1	them on behalf of the family.
2	MR. MARTEL: Right.
3	MS EDWARDH: She has identified
4	those two lawyers as persons they want you to
5	contact on her behalf.
6	MR. MARTEL: That's right.
7	MS EDWARDH: Right. I am curious,
8	if you turn to tab 519 we are now four days
9	later why you are canvassing other lawyers. We
10	start by saying:
11	"The two persons whose names
12	you have provided are saying
13	they are aware of the case
14	and are willing to take on
15	the work."
16	So those are the two names that
17	Mr. Arar's wife gave you four or five days
18	earlier; correct?
19	MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's correct.
20	MS EDWARDH: And then there is
21	and I think it's even at Mr. Pardy's suggestion.
22	You go to other lawyers?
23	MR. MARTEL: Yes, of course.
24	MS EDWARDH: And well, I don't
25	know, of course. If you can help us with

1	paragraph (b), there is the name of a lawyer
2	associated, I gather, with a group of lawyers,
3	Cabinet d'avocats?
4	MR. MARTEL: Yes.
5	MS EDWARDH: Is that a group of
6	lawyers?
7	MR. MARTEL: Yes, it's a firm.
8	MS EDWARDH: And a particular
9	person is one there that is a prominent lawyer,
10	and you have discussed the case with him; correct?
11	MR. MARTEL: That's correct.
12	MS EDWARDH: He doesn't usually
13	work on criminal cases but may look at it; right?
14	MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's right.
15	MS EDWARDH: And after he gets
16	some information, he will let you know whether he
17	is interested?
18	MR. MARTEL: Yes. Exactly.
19	MS EDWARDH: I'm troubled,
20	Mr. Martel, I'm troubled. You have instructions
21	to retain the lawyers, or to contact the lawyers
22	that Mr. Arar's wife has identified. What on
23	earth are you doing contacting other lawyers?
24	MR. MARTEL: Well, at the time, we
25	were in the process of looking around. In the

1	end, if we could find some other lawyers who we
2	believed, for the mission who were on our list
3	and who were very influential lawyers, there would
4	be nothing wrong with getting in touch with them
5	simply to find out whether or not they would be
6	prepared to take on this case. Because both of
7	them had said yes, initially. In the end, it was
8	up to his wife, Monia, to decide who she wanted to
9	go with. But if we opened up our scope of action
10	to include the possibility of other lawyers, if it
11	didn't work out with A or B, then we could always
12	try C or D or E or F, just to see. But the final
13	decision was up to her, not us.
14	MS EDWARDH: Okay. Because
15	certainly (a) and (b) are people she has already
16	chosen, and they have already said they are
17	interested in taking the case.
18	MR. MARTEL: I believe she was
19	considering them, but she hadn't yet made her
20	choice.
21	MS EDWARDH: Well, she said in the
22	memo that was sent to you, and this is what I find
23	odd, if you go back to tab 514, it says she:
24	" has canvassed various
25	contacts and suggests

1	following two names as
2	possible lawyers for Maher.
3	Her preference is the first,
4	Mr. Emaleh."
5	MR. MARTEL: Right.
6	MS EDWARDH: So she has clearly
7	put into your bailiwick
8	MR. MARTEL: Her preference. It's
9	her preference.
10	MS EDWARDH: Yes. So I take it
11	you disapproved of her choice.
12	MR. MARTEL: No, not at all.
13	We
14	MR. BAXTER: Mr. Commissioner, I
15	would intervene at this point and ask in fairness
16	that the witness be taken to page 2 of the
17	document, tab 519. The witness was asked four
18	specific tasks by Mr. Pardy, and I think that is
19	very material.
20	MS EDWARDH: Okay. Let me try a
21	different question.
22	Take a look at those four specific
23	tasks. I said to you it was at Mr. Pardy's
24	suggestion, but I'm going to suggest to you that
25	you and Mr. Pardy disagreed with her choice.

1	MR. MARTEL: No.
2	MS EDWARDH: Mr. Emaleh was a
3	human rights lawyer in Syria, and it was your
4	view, it was Mr. Pardy's view, it was the
5	Embassy's view, that it would be better to go to
6	someone else?
7	MR. MARTEL: That's not exactly
8	how it was. Mr what's his name again?
9	MS EDWARDH: How is it wrong?
10	MR. MARTEL: Mr. Emaleh was a good
11	lawyer who, obviously, stood up for human rights.
12	The only problem was that he had just been
13	released from prison, and I was clearly afraid
14	that by choosing this lawyer in the end, the
15	decision was up to her, but if she ended up
16	choosing this lawyer, my thoughts, knowing how the
17	authorities work, were: If he has just gotten out
18	of prison, what's to stop them arresting him
19	tomorrow and putting him back in prison? And the
20	day Maher ends up in court, there will be no one
21	there to defend him. That's what I was worried
22	about.
23	But, in the end, the choice was up
24	to Monia, not us. However, this didn't prevent us
25	from looking into who was available, who would be

1	ready, because in this country, as you know, not
2	everyone would be willing to take on this case.
3	People are afraid and will not agree to defend a
4	case like this. We might find three, four or five
5	people, no more. Even the law firm, whose name we
6	see here, even the head of this law firm is not a
7	specialist, and he would have passed on the case
8	to one of his clients.
9	He did a bit of research for us in
10	order to try and find the file, to find out where
11	it was. So it wasn't all a waste of time.
12	In any event, when all is said and
13	done, it's the client who decides, not us.
14	MS EDWARDH: Of course. And I'm
15	just noting that while Mr. Emaleh may have been
16	released from prison, he was released as part of a
17	Presidential pardon. Did you know that?
18	MR. MARTEL: No, I don't know all
19	the details.
20	MS EDWARDH: Nonetheless, that was
21	Mrs. Arar's choice.
22	MR. MARTEL: Of course.
23	MS EDWARDH: And I take it though
24	you will agree with me that the Department
25	generally tries to recommend against people who

1	have active records as human rights advocates?
2	MR. MARTEL: I was not aware of
3	that.
4	MS EDWARDH: In this case, they
5	did?
6	MR. MARTEL: Perhaps, but I was
7	unaware of it.
8	My only concern was that he had
9	just been released this was my only concern
10	There were no other reasons.
11	In the end, Monia contacted him
12	directly and we, naturally, were active
13	MS EDWARDH: But, sir
14	MR. MARTEL: but she was the
15	one who made the final decision.
16	MS EDWARDH: Right. Certainly if
17	you take a look at tab 536, by September the 2nd,
18	you are still recommending to Monia she consider
19	other members of the bar?
20	MR. MARTEL: Well, I believed it
21	was in everyone's interest, as well as in the
22	client's interest, to provide as much information
23	as possible about what was available, and, in the
24	end, the client must decide. We do not have the
25	right, nor is it part of our mandate, to tell a

1	client that they should hire a certain lawyer,
2	because after that we are responsible.
3	MS EDWARDH: Of course you don't.
4	I understand that. I understand that, Mr. Martel
5	And can you tell me whether Mr.
6	El-Hakim has anyone in his firm anyone who has
7	expertise as a criminal lawyer?
8	MR. MARTEL: He told us that he,
9	personally, could not take on this case, but that
10	there was someone
11	MS EDWARDH: But my question
12	MR. MARTEL: in his office who
13	was competent.
14	MS EDWARDH: Did you ever inquire
15	whether that person was himself or herself a
16	criminal lawyer to defend charges of the kind you
17	expected against Mr. Arar?
18	MR. MARTEL: No, but it's the most
19	prestigious law firm in the country.
20	MS EDWARDH: It seems to me you
21	got some legal advice that you paid for about the
22	charges from his firm?
23	MR. MARTEL: No, we never had to
24	pay.
25	MS EDWARDH: Okay. But you got

1	legal advice and you expected that you might have
2	to pay?
3	MR. MARTEL: Maybe, but I was
4	prepared to pay myself.
5	MS EDWARDH: Right. Of course.
6	I'm just establishing that that firm gave the
7	Embassy or you legal advice. You thought you
8	might have to pay, but I take it they wrote off
9	the bill?
10	MR. MARTEL: Out of kindness
11	towards us they knew us, and perhaps there
12	would be something to pay, then again, maybe not,
13	I don't know, but they never asked us for money.
14	MS EDWARDH: But with respect to
15	Haithem Emaleh, it was made very clear to
16	Mrs. Arar that the Embassy could not pay any part
17	of the fees he would charge?
18	MR. MARTEL: I believe that
19	decision was made by the Consular Affairs Bureau.
20	MS EDWARDH: Yes. Do you know why
21	the firm that is so highly regarded in Damascus,
22	that you asked Mrs. Arar to consider, and who
23	would have paid if they had been retained, why
24	would they be paid, and why would Mr. Emaleh not
25	be paid and why would Mrs. Arar be told that she

1	was on her own with respect to legal fees?
2	MR. MARTEL: Well, yes, if I
3	understand your question correctly, in any event,
4	neither the Embassy nor the Department would have
5	paid the El-Hakim law office's legal fees. I had
6	asked him to do some research in order to try and
7	locate the file, and these were little things.
8	But as for the defence itself, I was not
9	authorized to retain his services, and I would not
LO	have been authorized to pay him either. I said
L1	that there was perhaps \$200 or \$300 to be spent or
12	research to find the files, and this was what
13	worried me. Since this was a very prestigious law
L4	firm
15	MS EDWARDH: And then you would
L6	have been authorized?
L7	MR. MARTEL: Pardon me? No, I
L8	would not have been authorized to pay for the
L9	defence, but for the research, yes.
20	MS EDWARDH: I see. I take it no
21	aspect of Mr. Emaleh's account and the research he
22	did and the efforts he made to find Mr. Arar were
23	paid for by the Embassy?
24	MR. MARTEL: The Consular Affairs
) 5	Rureau said that the legal fees could not be naid

1	by the Embassy. The same applies to everyone in
2	all cases.
3	MS EDWARDH: To the best of your
4	knowledge?
5	MR. MARTEL: To the best of my
6	knowledge.
7	MS EDWARDH: And you were aware
8	that that position was taken in circumstances
9	where Mrs. Arar, facing a deadline of a trial
10	within a very short period of time, didn't have
11	the money to fund that defence?
12	MR. MARTEL: Well, based on the
13	correspondence I read, I think Monia was
14	disappointed, but the decision came from the
15	Consular Affairs Bureau, and not from us.
16	Embassies are not given this power to delegate
17	financial authority. The decision must be taken,
18	and, in certain exceptional cases, we have indeed
19	paid for consular expenses that we normally
20	wouldn't pay for, but the authorization came from
21	the Bureau.
22	MS EDWARDH: From the time that
23	these discussions go on to the time that Mr. Arar
24	walks out, it is clear, is it not, that to the
25	best of everyone's knowledge no one ever saw

1	Mr. Arar's file before the court?
2	MR. MARTEL: That's my
3	understanding. I was in contact, and my employees
4	were in contact
5	MS EDWARDH: No lawyer ever
6	sorry?
7	MR. MARTEL: No one.
8	MS EDWARDH: No lawyer ever got
9	access to it; no lawyer ever saw Mr. Arar?
10	MR. MARTEL: As far as I know.
11	MS EDWARDH: And you eventually
12	are of the view, are you not and I can go
13	through the tabs, but I want to get through this
14	as quickly as I can.
15	You are eventually of the view
16	that if he is tried in the security courts, it is
17	extremely unlikely that Canada will ever be
18	allowed to even participate, or go to, or attend
19	the trial to see what is alleged to have gone on?
20	MR. MARTEL: That's the lawyer's
21	opinion, but, later on, we had another case in the
22	same court, and the ambassador was allowed to be
23	present.
24	MS EDWARDH: All right. But there
25	was nothing you had by way of legal advice that

1	said you had any right to participate? It was,
2	you know, if the Syrians wake up one morning, they
3	may let you in, or they may not?
4	MR. MARTEL: That was the opinion
5	of the lawyer hired by Monia.
6	MS EDWARDH: Right. Now, I want
7	to just go to one other issue.
8	We have a lawyer now; right? We
9	have a lawyer with no access to information. We
10	have a lawyer who has no access to the client;
11	right?
12	MR. MARTEL: That's right.
13	MS EDWARDH: And now I want to
14	know what you did to try and change that
15	situation.
16	First of all, what did you do with
17	the information that the Government of Canada or
18	the Department of Foreign Affairs was sitting on
19	about Mr. Arar?
20	MR. MARTEL: I was unaware, first
21	of all, that there was information in Ottawa. If
22	the Consular Affairs Bureau had information for us
23	about the client that could be useful for his
24	defence, I think that the decision would already
2.5	have been made in Ottowe to good it to us. If the

1	people there have files that will help a client
2	who is outside the country, and if they already
3	know that the client will be standing trial, they
4	must send us any documents that they believe will
5	be helpful.
6	Since we are outside the country,
7	we don't know what they have.
8	MS EDWARDH: So if they had a copy
9	of his interrogation that you were told he could
10	refute do you remember that? You were told he
11	could refute it?
12	MR. MARTEL: Right.
13	MS EDWARDH: If they had a copy of
14	the interrogation, it should have been in your
15	hands to put in the hands of his defence lawyer;
16	correct?
17	MR. MARTEL: Yes. If it was not
18	already in the file to which the lawyer should
19	have access.
20	MS EDWARDH: We don't know what is
21	in the file because no one ever saw the file.
22	MR. MARTEL: We don't even know if
23	a file exists.
24	MS EDWARDH: That's true. But
25	nonetheless, in order to prepare to defend, given

1	what you were told by the Syrian authorities,
2	assuming it is not all completely a tissue of
3	lies, if Mr. Arar had made a statement and there
4	were transcripts of his interviews, and General
5	Khalil had said he could refute them and that
6	lawyer didn't have them, they should have been
7	given to that lawyer through you; correct?
8	MR. MARTEL: Obviously, I think
9	the lawyer should have all the incriminating
10	documents. He should have all the documents he
11	needs to defend his client. If this document
12	exists somewhere, and he is not given it, he
13	should be, of course.
14	MS EDWARDH: Yes. Indeed, that is
15	what Mr. Pardy said. He said that this kind of
16	information, if it lay within the Department of
17	Foreign Affairs, should be provided to defence
18	counsel in order that they can help deal with the
19	allegations made by the Syrian government. Right.
20	And I take it, Mr. Martel, that
21	despite the Canadian government having this
22	information, you were never aware of any effort
23	made by anyone through the Embassy or through any
24	other source to put that kind of information in
25	the hands of a defence lawyer in Syria?

1	MR. MARTEL: Well, as you know,
2	there was a scheduling issue. At the time these
3	events took place, it was already very important
4	to do everything possible, within the space of
5	only a few days, in order to enable Monia to
6	defend Maher.
7	As you said, there were perhaps
8	documents in Canada that the defence lawyer would
9	need. We didn't know what was in the file, and
10	whether there were copies of these documents in
11	the file. I had been told that he could refute
12	the accusations and all that, but no one had told
13	us
14	MS EDWARDH: You were told he
15	could refute his statements.
16	MR. MARTEL: His statement.
17	MS EDWARDH: Yes.
18	MR. MARTEL: Statement.
19	No one in Ottawa said to us, "Here
20	you go, I have something". Anyway, I didn't know
21	anything about the exhibit.
22	MS EDWARDH: Does it surprise you
23	that ISI had it sitting in the file?
24	MR. MARTEL: I didn't know what
25	was in this document, nor where it was.

1	MS EDWARDH: If I ask you to
2	assume if I ask you to assume that the
3	Ambassador had a document, that ISI and ISD had a
4	document
5	THE COMMISSIONER: I think there
6	is an objection behind you, Ms. Edwardh.
7	MR. DÉCARY: Aren't we going a bit
8	far here? Why ask this witness about something
9	that he doesn't have he has it or he doesn't
10	have. He is not here to give opinions, I believe,
11	and therefore the objection would be on the basis
12	that this witness is not here to give opinions.
13	He had it or he had not.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: But I think he
15	can say that. I think the question is fairly
16	giving him the opportunity to say that.
17	Go ahead, Ms. Edwardh, please.
18	Thank you.
19	MS EDWARDH: Sir, I want to just
20	establish. We know you did not have physical
21	possession of a document that purported to be a
22	summary of Mr. Arar's interrogation. You have
23	already answered that question. Your Ambassador
24	did; the Department did.

25

I take it you were unaware the

1	Department had that information. Is that your
2	evidence?
3	MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's my
4	evidence.
5	MS EDWARDH: And I take it you
6	were unaware that your consular notes were given
7	to other members outside of consular affairs? You
8	didn't know they were going to CSIS and the
9	Mounties and things like that?
LO	MR. MARTEL: Did they really go to
L1	them?
L2	MS EDWARDH: Yes.
L3	MR. MARTEL: Well, that's news to
L4	me.
L5	MS EDWARDH: Given your
L6	understanding of your duties, and the duties of
L7	the Department to the client, as you have insisted
L8	on calling Mr. Arar he is your client do you
L9	have any views, sir, of whether or not those
20	documents should have been put into your hands to
21	pass on to defence counsel?
22	MR. MARTEL: Well, once these
23	events started happening very quickly, we didn't
24	have much room to manoeuvre. The main concern was
) 5	that the Syriang would heat us quickly that they

1	would bring my client to court and we would find
2	ourselves faced with a fait accompli within two,
3	three or four days, which happens frequently.
4	So we had to be realistic and look
5	at the situation at hand. What if the lawyer
6	tells us that he still hasn't found the file, that
7	he still does not have access to the file, and
8	that he can't do anything for us?
9	So the day the lawyer finds the
10	file, the day we are able to get some concrete
11	information when exactly this trial will
12	take place only then will the lawyer be able
13	to review the case. You're the expert in this
14	matter, not me. If evidence is missing in this
15	case, then the lawyer should definitely contact
16	us, and we can go to Ottawa and say, "Look, the
17	lawyer is missing a document; he needs it. You
18	must send it immediately via a secure means so we
19	can have it right away." But we never got that
20	far. One, we never got a file, the lawyer never
21	had access to it. If there was indeed a file, we
22	didn't know what was in it. So things had become
23	a bit we had reached a standstill. We could
24	see that something was moving, but there were no
25	specifics.

1	MS EDWARDH: My question is really
2	simple: Leaving aside that you didn't have
3	anything precise at that time, you had a window
4	from August 14th to the end of September; correct?
5	You were always being told the
6	trial was coming up. Six weeks. Six weeks.
7	MR. MARTEL: That's right.
8	MS EDWARDH: From those of us who
9	practise at the bar, six weeks ain't bad to
10	prepare a case.
11	My only question to you is simply
12	this: If the Government of Canada held a summary
13	of the interrogation that you were told Mr. Arar
14	could refute in court, and you are moving really
15	fast to face a trial that you can't pin down
16	anywhere, isn't it your view that you were
17	duty-bound to provide that to the defence lawyer
18	in order that he could start to prepare?
19	MR. MARTEL: If the document
20	wasn't in the file because the lawyer has to
21	review the entire case if there was already a
22	copy in Canada, we could assume that the Syrians
23	had a copy as well.
24	So, if his statement was in the
25	file, yes; if his statement wasn't in the file,

1	Canadian authorities could definitely have sent
2	all the documents in their possession to the
3	defence lawyer for the client's defence, so that
4	everything was working in his favour. I think
5	that would be quite normal.
6	MS EDWARDH: That's normal. That
7	is how it is supposed to be done.
8	MR. MARTEL: Yes, I think so.
9	MS EDWARDH: It is not supposed to
10	be a matter that is not ever disclosed.
11	You see, the problem I have,
12	Mr. Martel, is you couldn't tell Mr. Arar a lot of
13	things you learned from General Khalil and others.
14	You weren't allowed to tell him.
15	MR. MARTEL: That's right.
16	MS EDWARDH: So one can only
17	imagine, only imagine the conversation he would
18	have had with a lawyer, if he ever got to see one.
19	In the ordinary course, the lawyer would say, "Can
20	you tell me what the allegations are against you?"
21	And Mr. Arar would say, "No one has told me. The
22	Canadian Embassy hasn't told me. My consular
23	service representative hasn't told me. Do you
24	have any information from your file?" "No."
25	So the only lifeline Mr. Arar had

1	was you, Mr. Martel.
2	All I'm simply suggesting to you
3	is that in not having that information given to
4	you to arm Mr. Emaleh, no later than August 20th
5	or 22nd, that you ran the risk that Mr. Emaleh
6	would find himself in a court unable to refute the
7	very statement that the General told you he would
8	have an opportunity to. That's all. It was a big
9	risk.
10	MR. MARTEL: Of course there was a
11	risk. That's why, of course, we formally insisted
12	that the chargé d'affaires or myself be present.
13	When there is a Canadian presence in this type of
14	trial, the authorities can't just make up all
15	kinds of stories. So, up to now, that's what took
16	place. In situations like this where we were
17	present, everything went well.
18	MS EDWARDH: In fact, in any of
19	the proceedings relating to Mr. Arar and his
20	appearance before the judge and whatever
21	determinations were made in this case, you weren't
22	there?
23	MR. MARTEL: We didn't have time
24	to be there; it was over before then.
25	MS EDWARDH: Well, I'm not sure

1	that is an answer you want to leave on the record.
2	You never knew that he would be
3	appearing before a judge the morning he appeared?
4	MR. MARTEL: No, no. Definitely
5	not. I found out afterwards
6	MS EDWARDH: Yes.
7	MR. MARTEL: when he was
8	released.
9	MS EDWARDH: You weren't given
10	notice
11	MR. MARTEL: No.
12	MS EDWARDH: that he was going
13	to have a trial and be acquitted?
14	MR. MARTEL: I hadn't had any
15	contact since the last visit, up to the moment
16	when the General summoned the Embassy to attend a
17	meeting.
18	MS EDWARDH: And Mr. Arar's lawyer
19	was given no notice.
20	MR. MARTEL: Neither.
21	MS EDWARDH: So in reflecting back
22	on this, is it fair to say that this whole issue
23	of charges, lawyers, from your perspective, really
24	was just a sham?
25	MR. MARTEL: That's my opinion.

1	MS EDWARDH: That Mr. Arar was
2	arbitrarily detained from Day 1, and when the
3	Syrians had no further use for him, for whatever
4	reasons, they let him go?
5	MR. MARTEL: In my opinion, the
6	Syrians released Mr. Arar when they deemed it in
7	their interest to do so. Period.
8	MS EDWARDH: That's the way they
9	usually act, is it not?
LO	MR. MARTEL: Period. That's how
L1	they do things.
L2	We can elaborate on this if you
L3	like, because there were nevertheless
L4	circumstances in the region that forced them to do
15	so. There were many factors. They didn't just
L6	get up one morning and say, "Okay, you're free to
L7	go". There were a great number of factors
L8	MS EDWARDH: I'm going to stop you
19	because, Mr. Martel, I agree 100 per cent. The
20	Syrians acted in their self-interest.
21	MR. MARTEL: Exactly. That's all.
22	MS EDWARDH: And what I just want
23	to establish is we have heard a lot of people
24	speculate on how Syrians define their
2.5	self-interest. But you will agree with me that

1	nobody from the Government of Canada or the
2	Department was sitting in the offices of the
3	Syrians when they decided on their self-interests
4	for whatever reasons they had?
5	MR. MARTEL: We don't know either
6	when they made their decision.
7	MS EDWARDH: Absolutely.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Is that a
9	convenient time, Ms. Edwardh?
10	MS EDWARDH: Certainly.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: As far as the
12	length of the lunch hour, how are we doing?
13	We can have a shorter lunch hour,
14	if that is going to assist in accomplishing our
15	schedule this afternoon.
16	MS EDWARDH: I think it should be
17	shorter rather than longer.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: That's okay.
19	We will resume at a quarter to two.
20	We will rise until then.
21	THE REGISTRAR: Please stand.
22	Upon recessing at 1:04 p.m. /
23	Suspension à 13 h 04
24	Upon resuming at 1:47 p.m. /
25	Reprise à 13 h 47

1	THE REGISTRAR: Please be seated.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm afraid I
3	will have to ask for some time estimates simply
4	because of the staff, and I have to deal with the
5	sound people, the court reporter and translators,
6	and so on.
7	I guess I could start with you,
8	Ms. Edwardh. Do you have any idea as to how long
9	your cross-examination will be from here?
LO	MS EDWARDH: I find it difficult
L1	to estimate, particularly because the witness
L2	is we are speaking in different languages to
L3	one another
L4	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
L5	MS EDWARDH: and there has been
L6	a translation. I have been very bad, I have been
L7	told over the luncheon recess, and interpreted
L8	what he said in French and asked a question in
L9	English and left the poor person translating
20	trying to deal with both of us.
21	I think I will be another two to
22	two and a half hours.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. So that
24	would take us until he break, probably until
) E	

1	Ms. Jackman said she would be 15
2	minutes, I think. She is not here.
3	Mr. Baxter?
4	MR. BAXTER: At this point,
5	depending upon what Ms. Edwardh touches upon, 10
6	to 15 minutes at the top.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: That would be
8	5:30.
9	Mr. Décary, at this point, do you
10	know how long you would be?
11	MR. DÉCARY: Ten to fifteen
12	minutes also.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: I take it,
14	Mr. Cavalluzzo, there would be
15	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Nothing to this
16	point.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: So that would
18	probably be, I don't want to encourage this,
19	between 5:30 and 6:00.
20	Then we have Ms. Jackman's motion
21	Do other people know Mr. Atkey, yes?
22	MR. ATKEY: Five minutes.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: I am told the
24	interveners will be five minutes. Is that right,
25	Mr. Cavalluzzo?

1	Do we know how long Ms. Jackman
2	might be?
3	Are you speaking on that motion,
4	Ms. Edwardh?
5	MS EDWARDH: I would not expect to
6	be more than a minute or two.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: And Government?
8	MR. BAXTER: I believe Ms. McIsaac
9	has a few remarks.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: I don't think
11	we need to be long, but we are looking at
12	something less than half an hour.
13	So I say to the people who are
14	working here, the camera crew, sound, translators,
15	I think we are probably at worst-case scenario
16	looking at 6:15.
17	Is there anybody for whom that
18	doesn't work?
19	I can't see in the sound booth or
20	the translation booth, but I think that's okay.
21	I've got thumbs up. Thank you,
22	all.
23	Ms. Edwardh?
24	MS EDWARDH: Thank you,
25	Mr. Commissioner.

1	I would like to deal with a
2	totally different topic, Mr. Martel, and that
3	relates to the public statements made by Minister
4	Graham on the 25th day of September, 2003.
5	It is in some form found at
6	tab 581 of the DFAIT volumes, which is volume 7,
7	Mr. Commissioner.
8	I don't know that I can I can
9	read this to you, Mr. Martel; it is just a couple
10	of lines where Minister Graham makes the following
11	statements as they are quoted.
12	"A Canadian who has been held
13	for a year in a Syrian prison
14	can get a fair and open
15	trial, Canadian Foreign
16	Affairs Minister says.
17	'They have taken the
18	position that he is guilty of
19	offences under Syrian law, in
20	which case the proper thing
21	to do is to prosecute him and
22	enable him to defend
23	himself,' Bill Graham said
24	about the case of Maher Arar.
25	'I have been given

1	assurances by them that it
2	will be in a civil process,
3	not a military process, and
4	that this will be open.'/
5	Do you see that?
6	MR. MARTEL: Yes. I see it. It's
7	a message from Lili Thomsen? Lilian Thomsen?
8	Yes?
9	"Arar can get a fair trial in
10	Syria: Graham"
11	MS EDWARDH: And you were of
12	course aware that the Minister had made such
13	comments because you, I think, are involved if
14	you turn then to volume 6 at tab 574 in having
15	to you are copied on this.
16	It is written by Myra
17	Pastyr-Lupul. And there is an observation about
18	what has been asked, to provide Mr. Arar's lawyer
19	with access to the file, to grant consular access,
20	and to have access to the upcoming trial.
21	And then she makes the
22	observation I'm sorry. This is from you,
23	Mr. Martel. I have mischaracterized it. It is
24	from you to Myra.
25	You say:

1	"We have not been requested
2	to convey our concerns to the
3	State Security Supreme Court
4	that the judicial process in
5	Mr. Arar's case be fair and
6	transparent. Perhaps this
7	was done through the
8	Minister's office. As the
9	defendant is a Syrian
LO	national it is not
L1	appropriate for mission to
L2	act on the basis of a
L3	suggested press line. Should
L4	you wish to formally convey
L5	your concerns to the Ministry
L6	of Foreign Affairs, we will
L7	require specific instructions
L8	from headquarters. It is
L9	however expected this action
20	may be taken as interference
21	in Syrian internal affairs."
22	Do you see that?
23	And I take it, sir, that was
24	certainly your view, that the press lines would
25	not suffice, and if there was a formal statement

1	on the part of the Government of Canada through
2	the Embassy, it may well be taken as internal
3	interference in the domestic affairs of Syria?
4	MR. MARTEL: Some speculation.
5	It's speculation, and that's why I
6	said we have to have instructions.
7	MS EDWARDH: Well, it's not
8	speculation to the extent that you are not
9	prepared to do anything without formal
10	instructions?
11	MR. MARTEL: Right. Yes.
12	MS EDWARDH: And if we turn then
13	to tab 575, the very next tab, I see that there is
14	a formal diplomatic note that is sent.
15	Do you see that?
16	MR. MARTEL: Yes. Note 1029.
17	MS EDWARDH: And that diplomatic
18	note does not include a specific call for an open
19	public trial or fair trial. It is limited to
20	consular access, disclosure to the defence
21	counsel, et cetera, but it doesn't make the call
22	that the Minister made; correct?
23	MR. MARTEL: No. This note is
24	requests permission for the lawyer who had been
25	retained to have access to the file.

1	And I also believe that it
2	includes a request for Department intervention to
3	enable the Consul to once again have access to
4	Maher on a regular basis.
5	MS EDWARDH: Right. But what is
6	missing is any restatement in the diplomatic note
7	of a formal request for an open, public, or fair
8	trial process?
9	MR. MARTEL: Yes, but at my level,
10	I could not have sent such a note, and I did not
11	receive any instructions from Mr. Pardy to the
12	effect that that I should send that type of note.
13	So, at that time, at my level, our
14	concern was definitely ensuring that the lawyer
15	could access the file.
16	MS EDWARDH: All right. What I
17	wanted to ask you about, though, is the next tab,
18	tab 576.
19	We know no diplomatic note is
20	sent. This document appears to, obviously, be
21	part of the CAMANT files, and it is copied to you,
22	sir?
23	MR. MARTEL: Yes. It's yes, I
24	received a copy.
25	MS EDWARDH: And as a result of a

1	concern expressed by Mrs. Arar that the Minister
2	made some reference to being pleased the trial was
3	going forth and there would be an opportunity for
4	Maher to defend himself, she was obviously upset
5	by that comment.
6	Is that fair? Do you see that?
7	MR. MARTEL: I'm looking at the
8	bottom:
9	"Monia was very concerned
10	about the Minister's comments
11	this week"
12	MS EDWARDH: Yes, at the end of
13	the first paragraph.
14	"Monia was very concerned -"
15	And this is Myra writing to
16	Michelle in the Minister's office.
17	"Monia was very concerned
18	about the Minister's comments
19	this week that 'We are
20	pleased that the trial is
21	going forth, as this will
22	give an opportunity for Maher
23	Arar to defend himself in
24	court."
25	Myra makes the observation:

## StenoTran

1		"In reality, his lawyer
2		cannot get a hold of the case
3		files to defend his client,
4		we have not been informed of
5		a court date, nor the
6		charges, and all signs
7		indicate the trial will be a
8		closed one. This could very
9		well mean our Embassy
LO		officials will not be allowed
L1		in the courtroom when the
L2		charges are announced, or to
13		hear Mr. Arar's lawyer when
L4		given the opportunity to
15		defend his client."
L6	A	nd then I'm interested in the
L7	next comment:	
18		"Monia spoke with Robert Fry
L9		last night and was told that
20		this is the 'strategy' of
21		MINA in preparation for his
22		meeting with the Syrian
23		Foreign Minister. Could you
24		please advise if this is
2.5		true? We must be aware that

1	the Minister's comments have
2	far-reaching implications,
3	and we can hope all we want
4	for a 'fair and transparent
5	judicial process', but the
6	Supreme State Security Court
7	is known for its secretive
8	procedures and lack of appeal
9	once a decision is rendered
LO	by the court."
L1	You certainly agree, do you not,
L2	Mr. Martel, that that is a fair characterization
L3	of the court in Syria, the Supreme State Security
L4	Court?
L5	MR. MARTEL: Yes. It's common
L6	knowledge. There is always a major concern that
L7	they could come to a decision without us being
L8	notified.
L9	MS EDWARDH: Or a lot of other
20	things, based on due process
21	MR. MARTEL: It's a special court,
22	and so no one has access.
23	MS EDWARDH: Were you privy to
24	this strategy, on behalf of the Minister's office,
2.5	of how they hoped it would work to make it public.

1	call for a fair and transparent and public trial?
2	MR. MARTEL: No. It was the
3	correspondence with the Minister's office was at a
4	very high level, in consultation with Mr. Pardy,
5	with the Ambassador.
6	But we were on the ground, at the
7	operational level. I was not at the political
8	level.
9	How we would proceed, how the
10	Minister's office would proceed, was not my
11	business.
12	MS EDWARDH: And I take it there
13	is nothing about what the Minister said that makes
14	it obvious to you what the strategy was?
15	MR. MARTEL: No, I don't know what
16	their strategy was.
17	MS EDWARDH: All right. I would
18	like to just turn for a moment, if I could, to tab
19	590.
20	It may not be the right tab,
21	Mr. Commissioner, I'm sorry.
22	I just want to clarify: Was there
23	ever any discussion, that you became aware of,
24	that if a call was to be placed calling for a
25	fair open and public trial process that that

1	call should be made by the Prime Minister?
2	MR. MARTEL: There was some
3	correspondence, of which I got wind, but I don't
4	know when. I think it was much later, afterwards.
5	At the time the discussions about strategy were
6	taking place, I was not in the loop, and these
7	actions were taking place at a much higher level
8	than mine.
9	But I know there were discussions
10	later on. Somebody either from the Minister's
11	office or the Prime Minister's office was
12	supposed to call someone, and that sort of thing,
13	but I was not involved in this.
14	MS EDWARDH: I'm sorry, it is the
15	tab just before that, 587, paragraph number 3.
16	If we go to paragraph number 3
17	and this is written around the same time,
18	September 25th, just a few days before Mr. Arar is
19	released am I correct that you would not have
20	gotten a copy of this directly?
21	MR. MARTEL: Yes. This message was
22	initially addressed to John McNee, Michael Chesson
23	and Konrad Sigurdson, and I was not copied, but it
24	was taken up again by Myra Pastyr-Lupul, who
25	eventually but it in the system on September 30

1	and so at that point, after September 30, I
2	definitely got a copy because my name appears
3	here, at the bottom.
4	MS EDWARDH: So in the few days
5	around September 25th to September 30th, it does
6	appear that it is the view of now Chrystiane Roy,
7	and she makes the following observations:
8	"That they ensure Prime
9	Minister Chrétien urgently
10	tell the Syrian President
11	that this trial is not
12	acceptable, that Maher Arar
13	does not belong in Syria and
14	must be returned to Canada
15	immediately. She asked that
16	Prime Minister Chrétien
17	clearly state that if Syrian
18	authorities do not comply
19	with this demand that there
20	will be consequences for
21	Syria. Returning Mr. Arar
22	immediately would be a
23	win-win situation for both
24	Canada and Syria as it would
25	be grounds to allow

1	cooperation and trade
2	relations to grow on."
3	And that was really attributed
4	that statement is made by Mr. Arar's wife; right?
5	In order to deal with this issue
6	of the wisdom of the Minister calling for an open,
7	fair, public trial when it is the position of most
8	that none can be had, do you know whether any
9	formal note, or directive, or communication at any
10	level of the Canadian government took place with
11	Syrian counterparts from the President on down?
12	MR. MARTEL: All I know is that,
13	after the fact, there was supposed to be a call,
14	perhaps from the Prime Minister, but I don't know
15	whether this call was actually made. So I don't
16	know whether or not a conversation actually took
17	place. I read this. There was supposed to be a
18	phone call and a discussion with the Prime
19	Minister, but even today I'm not sure. It's
20	possible, and perhaps even probable, that it
21	didn't take place. I'm not sure.
22	MS EDWARDH: We have heard that
23	there was earlier that summer an expectation,
24	perhaps, by the Syrians that such a call would
25	take place in July or August of this year

1	Was it your understanding as well
2	that that call was to deal with questions around
3	the process available to determine whether
4	Mr. Arar was guilty or not?
5	MR. MARTEL: No. I was not aware
6	of the details. I believe that a call was
7	supposed to be made to discuss Mr. Arar's case,
8	among other things, because usually a call is not
9	limited to a discussion of just one case they
10	would also, perhaps, discuss problems in the
11	region and all that, but as far as I know
12	To my knowledge, the call did not take place, and
13	I also don't know what was supposed to be
14	discussed.
15	MS EDWARDH: And do you recall
16	being of the view that that the call was to follow
17	on after the delivery of the personal letter from
18	the Prime Minister through his special envoy? It
19	was after that that the Syrians were expecting a
20	call?
21	MR. MARTEL: I know that Senator
22	De Bané came, but I don't have any details about
23	his visit, and I don't know either whether he
24	brought a letter or a message from the Prime
25	Minister. I didn't see him. He came and went

1	without even visiting the Embassy.
2	MS EDWARDH: But was it your
3	understanding that the Prime Minister's call, if
4	it took place, was to occur after that?
5	MR. MARTEL: I couldn't say.
6	These discussions did not take place at my level,
7	and today, after so many months
8	MS EDWARDH: That's fair.
9	MR. MARTEL: I couldn't tell
10	you. I don't know.
11	MS EDWARDH: All right. I want to
12	deal with one other quick topic, which is the
13	pursuit of the Syrian information about Mr. Arar.
14	You have testified, sir, that you
15	had no idea that General Khalil arrived to give a
16	document to the Ambassador in November of 2003?
17	You didn't know that that
18	MR. MARTEL: No, that's correct.
19	MS EDWARDH: And let me just
20	understand what happened.
21	At the time Mr. Arar was released,
22	were you aware that the Syrians also provided a
23	document that was to be sent back to Canada?
24	MR. MARTEL: Yes. Actually, I was
25	present with the chargé d'affaires when General

1	Khalil gave a document of some sort, the content
2	of which I did not see, to the chargé d'affaires,
3	who was, of course, the most senior representative
4	of the Embassy, Tracy Reynolds. He took the
5	document, and I took my client, and then we
6	parted. I didn't see the document.
7	MS EDWARDH: Right. Now, it is
8	true, is it not, that the Embassy, either through
9	you or the Ambassador of course the Ambassador
LO	is a new Ambassador now and the Government of
L1	Canada were hoping and expecting further
L2	information to be provided?
L3	MR. MARTEL: Well, that's what the
L4	General told us at the meeting told Tracy
L5	Reynolds, who was replacing the Ambassador at the
L6	time. A document had been given to Tracy
L7	Reynolds, and the General said at that time that
L8	other documents would be provided, I believe, at a
L9	later date, I don't know when, in November or
20	something like that. I can't remember when, but
21	it was supposed to be much later.
22	MS EDWARDH: So if you turn to tab
23	593, you have a document that is signed by
24	Mr. Gould, who is Deputy Director of the Foreign
25	Intelligence Division of Foreign Affairs, and it

1	goes to MJW via ISD, which we know to be
2	Mr. Livermore.
3	Who is MJW?
4	MR. MARTEL: MJW would be John
5	McNee, I believe.
6	MS EDWARDH: And the file
7	reference is 969004 bin Laden
8	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Let me intervene
9	at this point in time. MJW is not John McNee;
10	it's Jim Wright.
11	MR. MARTEL: Oh! Excuse me. Jim
12	Wright.
13	MS EDWARDH: Thank you,
14	Mr. Cavalluzzo.
15	MR. MARTEL: Thank you.
16	MS EDWARDH: And the final
17	reference, as I pointed out, has got a certain
18	number with the name Bin Laden [Arar].
19	Do you see that?
20	MR. MARTEL: Yes, I see it in the
21	file.
22	MS EDWARDH: Do you see the file
23	reference?
24	MR. MARTEL: Yes. Reference
25	9690004 Bin Laden.

1	MS EDWARDH: And there is a
2	discussion here about waiting for and looking for
3	a further file, and what is asked:
4	"As of this date, no such
5	file has been received.
6	Enquiries have been made of
7	the Embassy, CSIS, the RCMP,
8	as well as the geographic and
9	consular divisions of the
10	Department, and none have
11	received anything beyond the
12	single sheet."
13	And my simple question: There
14	were a number of other efforts to obtain the file,
15	or any other files that the Syrians were going to
16	give you?
17	MR. MARTEL: Not formally, first
18	of all because, one, we had been told that they
19	would come later on. In the end, they never came,
20	and we never formally followed up on this matter.
21	In my view, there were no other documents to come.
22	So, for my part anyway, I didn't take the matter
23	very seriously, and we were never given the
24	documents.
25	MS EDWARDH: That is an

1	interesting observation, Mr. Martel. Why do you
2	say "according to me, there was no such file or
3	documentation that would be provided"?
4	MR. MARTEL: Well, if you look
5	back at the way the General had spoken to me in
6	the past, you have to doubt his credibility a bit.
7	First of all, in the past, we saw that someone
8	said that Maher was a member of the Muslim
9	Brotherhood, a statement that was later dropped,
LO	and which was not followed up on. So, after that,
L1	when he told me that another document would be
L2	provided later on, as usual I was sceptical. I
13	didn't know. He told me there would be another
L4	document the document didn't come and that was
L5	the end of it. I believed that we would never get
L6	it.
L7	MS EDWARDH: So I take it you at
L8	no time had any expectation that further
L9	information would be forthcoming from the Syrians?
20	MR. MARTEL: No, I didn't believe
21	there would be anything else.
22	MS EDWARDH: Let me turn to the
23	issue of torture, or abuse, or whatever label we
24	want to give it.
25	As I understood your evidence

1	yesterday and while we are going to go through
2	this, Mr. Martel, to look at the discharge of your
3	duties, I want to make it clear that in answer to
4	the questions posed by Commission counsel, that
5	after you flew home with Mr. Arar on the airplane,
6	he described for you, even if reluctantly because
7	he said he didn't want to talk, he described for
8	you reluctantly many of the details of his
9	confinement, and you recounted them at the meeting
10	of October 7th.
11	Is that fair?
12	MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's fair.
13	MS EDWARDH: And I took it also
14	from your comments, Mr. Martel, that there is
15	nothing in what Mr. Arar said about the conditions
16	of his confinement that you suggest are wrong;
17	that you accept
18	MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's right. I
19	accepted based on the testimony he gave me
20	over two days, I had no reason to doubt that he
21	was telling me the truth.
22	MS EDWARDH: And part of the
23	reason you had no reason to doubt he was telling
24	you the truth and I don't think anybody has
25	asked you to comment but I'm going to ask you now,

1	sir.
2	When you flew back with Mr. Arar
3	and you watched him and watched his responses and
4	you watched his fear when he landed in I think
5	you landed in Jordan first. You are smiling, but
6	I can see that you recall this. You had no doubt
7	that the man who was with you was a fragile and
8	broken human being?
9	MR. MARTEL: Exactly, and it was
LO	precisely for this reason that my mandate was to
L1	bring him back.
L2	MS EDWARDH: You have also very
L3	candidly told us that, while you forgot because
L4	I'm sure you have, as you have explained, many,
L5	many duties and responsibilities Mr. Arar also
L6	told you at the time of the August 14th meeting
L7	something about the nature of his cell, 3 by 6 by
18	7. You have agreed and that that was left out in
L9	any reporting?
20	MR. MARTEL: That's correct.
21	MS EDWARDH: You have also told us
22	in his discussions with you I don't intend to
23	go back to them in any detail on the plane that
24	he made it clear, although it didn't stick in your
) E	mind but he made it along that in the first two

1	weeks of his interrogation he had been beaten?
2	MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's right. I
3	said so at the first meeting after two days of
4	travel, but Maher hadn't placed as much importance
5	on this, let's say, event. He told me something
6	to the effect that, yes, they had hit him from
7	time to time, but it was nothing very serious.
8	What was obviously much more serious were the
9	conditions of his detention. That's what struck
10	me more, and that's why
11	But Maher himself, clearly, after
12	so many months, perhaps on that day he told me
13	that he didn't think that things weren't all
14	that serious during the first two weeks. So this
15	is one element that stuck in my head after two
16	days of travel, and you noted later on that I had
17	omitted to include it in a report one month later.
18	MS EDWARDH: I'm going to suggest
19	to you that it is not that Mr. Arar said it wasn't
20	serious, but that what he was burning about, when
21	he talked to you, was the horror of living in the
22	grave?
23	MR. MARTEL: Yes. The worst part
24	was his long detention under horrifying
25	conditions. It was terrible. Yes.

1	MS EDWARDH: And in reality, in
2	reality, what he conveyed to you was that he had
3	been in detention so long that the beatings in the
4	first two weeks became much less significant as
5	the days and weeks and months went by when he was
6	alone in a grave. That's what he really said.
7	MR. MARTEL: Possibly. Actually, I
8	don't know what he really meant, but he placed a
9	lot less importance on this period.
LO	MS EDWARDH: And I take it that,
L1	given the description, it is obvious that the
L2	horrors of the detention, the conditions of
L3	detention, were much more recent for him. He had
L4	only been out of that hole for some 45 days before
L5	he was released.
L6	MR. MARTEL: Yes. I think he got
L7	out some time in August, around about the 20th,
L8	something like that, and he was released in
L9	October. Yes.
20	MS EDWARDH: So we have a general
21	agreement, really, that Mr. Arar told you
22	something about the detention on August 14th and
23	the size of the cell. We have a general
24	understanding and agreement now that Mr. Arar also
25	told you in the airplane as you passed the time

1	with him, even though he told you reluctantly,
2	that he had been beaten during the first two days
3	and was then thereafter preoccupied with what his
4	life was like in the grave.
5	So the real dispute, the only
6	dispute I can see between your version of
7	recollection and Mr. Arar's is that he believes he
8	told you he was beaten as well on October I'm
9	sorry, on August 14th when he answered, or
LO	suggested to you, or has taken the position he
L1	suggested to you that he said he was beaten at the
L2	beginning, in the first two weeks; right?
L3	So that is really the only point
L4	of dispute, isn't it?
L5	MR. MARTEL: I understand, but
L6	that's not what he told me on August 14, because
L7	he himself told me on August 14
L8	MS EDWARDH: We are going to come
L9	to that, Mr. Martel
20	MR. MARTEL: No, that's not it.
21	MS EDWARDH: But I want to make it
22	clear that you are not saying that anything else
23	Mr. Arar has said about his treatment, or what he
24	said to you, is really wrong. You are not saying
25	that.

1	MR. MARTEL: No, I'm not saying
2	that what he told me during the trip I'm not
3	disputing what he told me during the trip. I
4	fully believe what he told me during the trip. He
5	told it to me freely, without any constraints,
6	without anyone else around. He told me everything
7	he wanted to tell me, freely, and I believed
8	everything he said.
9	MS EDWARDH: And he told you some
LO	things when you had the meeting on August 14th.
L1	All I'm trying to establish,
L2	Mr. Martel because I don't want everyone to
L3	think there is such a huge conflict between what
L4	your recollection is and what his is.
L5	The only real dispute I can see is
L6	he recalls saying something in the August 14th
L7	meeting, and you don't recall it. Is that fair?
18	The other stuff is really very
L9	similar.
20	MR. MARTEL: Well, what he told me
21	on August 14, he told me several times, I
22	think he was forced to tell me things, and then
23	when I asked him some questions and the
24	questions are not in my notes and when I asked
25	him if they had made things a lot harder for him

1	if the Syrians had made things very hard for
2	him, at that time he said, "At the beginning, but
3	not that much". That's what he told me on August
4	14. Once on the plane, however, he was able to
5	elaborate because he was free to speak at that
6	time.
7	MS EDWARDH: My only point,
8	though, Mr. Martel I'm trying to put this in
9	some way that those listening to you can
10	appreciate.
11	Mr. Arar has publicly, in his
12	chronology, and spoken there is a transcript.
13	He has made certain observations.
14	All I'm pointing out to you and
15	I'm looking for your agreement is you don't
16	have much different to say except about this one
17	tiny point about whether, on August the 14th, he
18	said anything about being beaten during the
19	beginning period. That's the only difference.
20	MR. MARTEL: Yes, there's no,
21	there's more than that, because there's the matter
22	of the cell, and where he was detained, and under
23	what conditions.
24	On August 14, I didn't know all
25	this On the plane was I knew about it

1	There's a huge difference between between the
2	two meetings.
3	In my view, there's a huge
4	difference.
5	MS EDWARDH: Right, right. But
6	Mr. Arar certainly told you about the cell on
7	August 14th.
8	MR. MARTEL: Well, not entirely.
9	He told me about the size, and he told me he slept
10	on the ground. That's all he told me about the
11	cell on August 14.
12	Then, during the trip, he gave me
13	a complete description.
14	MS EDWARDH: And that, of course,
15	was before he ever saw anybody except you? You
16	were the only person he had met? He had not seen
17	any lawyers or anyone else?
18	MR. MARTEL: No. I went to get
19	him, and we stayed together, and there was no one
20	else.
21	MS EDWARDH: I want to go back
22	then. If I can't get you there the short way, I'm
23	going to try another route.
24	I want to just establish that your
25	evidence is that when Mr. Arar disappeared, you

1	became aware of a comment
2	MR. DÉCARY: May I object before.
3	The record speaks for itself. If there are any
4	differences between what Mr. Martel stated and
5	what Mr. Arar stated, it is now on the record.
6	Why insist on going through this exercise? To me
7	it is very clear on the record.
8	It is just that I don't see where
9	this will lead us if the record up to date is
10	clear. All my colleague wants to show is that
11	what the record says is correct. Well, the record
12	says what it says.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, clearly
14	we have had a number of questions about it, and I
15	agree with you that the record says what it says.
16	On the other hand, I think that it is
17	cross-examination.
18	MR. DÉCARY: But on this matter,
19	it seems to me I arrived only recently, but the
20	answer flows. But anyway, if it's useful at this
21	stage
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, I will
23	leave that to Ms. Edwardh's judgment.
24	Go ahead.
25	MS EDWARDH: With your permission,

1	Mr. Commissioner
2	I want to review three points that
3	you made to Mr. Cavalluzzo as the context of a
4	series of other questions.
5	You made it clear that you had
6	read the article where Mr. Riad Saloojee is quoted
7	saying on October 12th, when Mr. Arar has
8	disappeared, that he had a concern that Mr. Arar
9	might be tortured and that he had not finished his
10	military service in Syria or had not actually
11	started it. You knew that on October 12th, or
12	around about that time?
13	MR. MARTEL: I believe so, yes.
14	MS EDWARDH: Okay. But you did
15	not get no one showed you a memorandum written
16	by Mr. Livermore to Mr. Pillarella that it was
17	urgent that the Embassy find Mr. Arar because
18	there were concerns about aggressive
19	interrogation?
20	That memo was not shown to you.
21	That is your evidence?
22	MR. MARTEL: No, I never saw that
23	memo.
24	MS EDWARDH: Mr. Pillarella did
25	not share the concerns of ISD that aggressive

1	interrogation could be going on?
2	MR. MARTEL: No, I saw that memo
3	during this investigation. But the content of the
4	memo was not shared with me.
5	MS EDWARDH: Nor was the concern
6	shared with you by Mr. Pillarella. Forget the
7	memo for a minute.
8	MR. MARTEL: It wasn't discussed.
9	MS EDWARDH: When Mr. El Maati was
10	interviewed and I just want to take a quick
11	look at the consular note, because it has a
12	Damascus number on it. You will find it in
13	Exhibit P-192.
14	In August of 2002, Mr. El Maati
15	was interviewed. Interestingly enough you will
16	see under Subject "CAMANT File". Do you see that?
17	MR. MARTEL: M'hm.
18	MS EDWARDH: And the subject
19	CAMANT file says: 01-Damascus-728675-(El Maati).
20	Do you see that?
21	MR. MARTEL: Yes, I see it. Yes.
22	MS EDWARDH: I would have thought
23	that with that file number it was posted to the
24	Damascus file. Was it not, Mr. Martel?
25	MR. MARTEL: Well, that's just how

1	the system works. In other words, I never saw
2	that memo.
3	The way the system works is that
4	the files are in an immense databank. However, if
5	the Damascus mission is not in the addresses, the
6	mission is not alerted and, as a result, the file
7	remains in this huge databank in Ottawa, and the
8	file is not opened. That's how the system works.
9	If you forget to address a
10	communication to someone in this system, the
11	person or the mission will not see it.
12	MS EDWARDH: I see. And it is
13	clear from at least this initial list that no one
14	who had any responsibility for Damascus, except
15	Myra, received this note? She had not
16	responsibilities for Damascus.
17	MR. MARTEL: She was the person
18	named to follow up in the To: line.
19	It's addressed it's an e-mail
20	that was initially addressed to her, and I believe
21	it was copied into the system afterwards, and
22	Damascus was not sent a copy.
23	MS EDWARDH: I take it from what
24	you said to Mr. Cavalluzzo that you personally
25	were never made aware, not only of this note, but

1	that Mr. El Maati made allegations about his
2	confinement in Syria
3	MR. MARTEL: No.
4	MS EDWARDH: until much later,
5	after Mr
6	MR. MARTEL: Up to now in the
7	papers, basically. Or a month, two months, three
8	months ago. Quite recently.
9	MS EDWARDH: And I take it,
10	though, you cannot say, cannot speak on behalf of
11	Mr. Pillarella, as to whether or not as Ambassador
12	he came to learn this information? He may well
13	have.
14	MR. MARTEL: I not not in
15	this memo anyway, and he doesn't have access to
16	this system either.
17	I have access to the system, but
18	he doesn't have access.
19	MS EDWARDH: No. But this kind of
20	information could readily come his way through
21	other means, whether by way of telephone calls or
22	other forms of communication that he might have
23	with headquarters
24	MR. MARTEL: Possibly. I can't
25	speak for him, but according to the document here,

1	he's not there.
2	MS EDWARDH: Just in respect of
3	that one note?
4	MR. MARTEL: In this memo, yes.
5	MS EDWARDH: And if El Maati's
6	case had become a matter of intense public
7	scrutiny, or people expected it to become a case
8	of intense public scrutiny, then you would expect
9	the Ambassador to be notified and you to be
10	notified; would you not?
11	MR. MARTEL: Well, I think that
12	the Consular Affairs Bureau and Mr. Pardy, who, in
13	this case, obviously had a copy of this message
14	if Mr. Pardy thought that this case was of
15	particular interest to Damascus at the time, he
16	would have noticed it, and would have said, "This
17	is of interest to Damascus. We should send them a
18	copy too." That would have been the appropriate
19	follow-up at the time.
20	But this decision has to be made
21	by someone in Ottawa, because we see here that
22	Damascus was left out of this matter.
23	MS EDWARDH: Do you know whether
24	this situation involving Mr. El Maati was reported
2.5	at length in the 2003 report?

1	MR. MARTEL: No, I when I
2	arrived in Damascus, the file what was called
3	the file was already closed. In other words,
4	the client had already left the country.
5	Consequently, when this happens,
6	this is usually the end of the matter for the
7	mission.
8	The file is closed and you're told
9	that the client is no longer in the country and
10	therefore the file has been closed.
11	So I knew that
12	MS EDWARDH: I'm sorry. But was
13	his situation and the allegations, were they the
14	subject matter of discussion and report in the
15	human rights report that the Embassy releases?
16	MR. MARTEL: In general, the
17	cases consular cases are not included, and
18	except if they appear in the media.
19	But, in general, my colleagues who
20	prepare these Embassy reports use their own
21	contacts. The sources are not the same as those
22	of consular clients.
23	MS EDWARDH: But my question is:
24	Did the person I suppose gathering together the
25	relevant information for the Government of

1	Canada they are not posting this on any
2	websites. Did the person who was doing that
3	include a discussion of Mr. El Maati's claims as
4	they existed in respect of his interrogation in
5	Syria?
6	MR. MARTEL: Well, I didn't see
7	anything. It came out these complaints
8	surfaced much later, right? In August, right? In
9	August 2002? I don't know if my colleague took
10	them into account in the next report.
11	MS EDWARDH: In 2003, when it is
12	published.
13	Mr. Commissioner, I find it again
14	difficult to struggle with the fact that the human
15	rights report that we have is entirely and
16	absolutely redacted. I know the Government of
17	Canada has asserted National Security
18	Confidentiality over everything and then we got
19	the one paragraph pertaining to Mr. Arar, but
20	certainly it would be relevant to at least know
21	the answer about whether Mr. El Maati's case was
22	included in that.
23	I wonder whether they would
24	consider answering that question and, if it is,
25	further redacting the document?

1	MR. BAXTER: We will look at the
2	document and so advise.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: There will be
4	an afternoon break before you complete your
5	cross-examination, Ms. Edwardh.
6	MS EDWARDH: Thank you.
7	MR. BAXTER: It is in a secure
8	location. We can make a phone call.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: If you can sort
10	it out, that would be great.
11	MS EDWARDH: You have also said
12	you had no idea at all about what the Department
13	of State report said about Syria, but you were
14	very, very clear, sir, in answer to a series of
15	questions by Mr. Cavalluzzo, that while you may
16	not have read the Department of State, or the
17	Amnesty International report, you made it very
18	clear that you were aware of the reputation of
19	Syrian Military Intelligence.
20	You made a very interesting
21	comment, and I think it is correct to describe it,
22	that Syrian Military Intelligence spread terror in
23	the community in Syria.
24	MR. MARTEL: That's right. It's
25	the truth.

1	MS EDWARDH: And in addition, I
2	guess, or because of their conduct and in
3	spreading that terror, one of the factors you just
4	described a little bit later on was the arbitrary
5	detention aspect where people would just be
6	gathered into detention and released some day if
7	they saw fit. That was a part of it, was it not?
8	MR. MARTEL: Yes, absolutely.
9	MS EDWARDH: And the other part of
L 0	that reputation that was well known to you related
L1	to the treatment specifically of persons who
L2	disagreed with the government?
L3	MR. MARTEL: Yes, people known as
L4	as political dissidents. Above all, yes.
L5	MS EDWARDH: Those political
L6	dissidents who were in detention, particularly if
L7	they were in the hands of Syrian Military
L8	Intelligence, were persons and you knew that
L9	the reputation was that those gaolers also
20	tortured people? You knew that?
21	MR. MARTEL: Well, it we knew
22	about this from reports published by other
23	sources, not necessarily the Ministry of State.
24	MS EDWARDH: Right. But there is
) 5	nothing about what I have gaid that remotely

1	surprises you, sir, given the experience and
2	knowledge you had.
3	MR. MARTEL: It comes as no
4	surprise. It's based on various reports in public
5	circulation.
6	MS EDWARDH: In October of 2002,
7	that reputation was clearly something that you
8	knew then as well as you did later when you left
9	Damascus?
10	MR. MARTEL: Yes, and as I already
11	explained, it's the same thing these services
12	work more or less the same way throughout the
13	region.
14	So it was it was nothing new,
15	even at this time.
16	MS EDWARDH: When you were
17	informed by the Ambassador and I take it you
18	would be informed that Syria, on October 21st,
19	confirmed that Mr. Arar was in detention? You
20	realized that?
21	MR. MARTEL: Yes.
22	MS EDWARDH: I want to just go
23	through what you knew.
24	You knew then, or very soon after,
25	that he was being detained by military

1	intelligence?
2	MR. MARTEL: Yes, after the
3	initial visit, I did some research. Yes.
4	MS EDWARDH: And you knew then, or
5	very soon after, that he was being detained in
6	what I'm going to call a prison or detention
7	facility belonging to military intelligence?
8	MR. MARTEL: Yes, he was detained
9	by the military intelligence authorities. That's
LO	right.
11	MS EDWARDH: Right. And those
12	aren't the ordinary police officers?
L3	MR. MARTEL: No. They're
L4	they're military personnel.
L5	MS EDWARDH: You were aware very
L6	soon after that Mr. Arar had been removed by
L7	United States because they alleged him to be a
L8	threat to the U.S., or having some connection to
L9	terrorist activities?
20	MR. MARTEL: I didn't have any
21	details. I knew he had been deported.
22	MS EDWARDH: And you knew it was
23	alleged that he had, with no details, I understand
24	that, that there was some question about whether
) 5	he had a connection to terrorist activities?

1	MR. MARTEL: I don't remember. I
2	don't think I had any specific details about that.
3	I knew that he had entered the U.S. and that the
4	Americans had had him deported.
5	MS EDWARDH: Mr. Martel, I'm
6	sorry, I'm not suggesting you had specific
7	details. I'm suggesting that you knew the U.S.
8	had deported him, which would be pretty unusual,
9	since he was a Canadian citizen, right, and
10	deported to Syria. You knew that. And you knew
11	there was a question that he was involved in
12	activities that had a terrorist connection.
13	MR. MARTEL: Possibly.
14	MS EDWARDH: And while you may
15	have been more familiar with the detainees who
16	were dissidents, political dissidents, you were
17	also aware of the reputation of the Syrian
18	authorities in respect of persons they thought
19	might be security threats, such as the Muslim
20	Brotherhood?
21	We know that story; right?
22	MR. MARTEL: Yes, we know. Yes.
23	MS EDWARDH: And we know that the
24	conduct of those authorities, vis-à-vis detainees,
25	is marked by the same abusive and perhaps

1	torture, actions, as it was with dissidents.
2	There is no suggestion that those two categories
3	of detainees are really persons that the Syrians
4	made distinctions about.
5	MR. MARTEL: There's a
6	distinction.
7	MS EDWARDH: What is the
8	distinction?
9	MR. MARTEL: There's a distinction
10	in that if we think of for example, when we
11	think of members of the Muslim Brotherhood, they
12	are all treated the same way.
13	If we think of people who oppose
14	the government and who are Kurds from the north,
15	for example, they are treated the same way by the
16	authorities.
17	Now, if clients if we're
18	talking about dual nationality, because we don't
19	know what's going on with the Syrians. But dual
20	nationality, Syrian nationality and that of
21	another country. So, Canadian in our case.
22	There's a range. I mean, we never really know.
23	We never really know. And it's
24	clearly evident from the past, we never know.
25	MS EDWARDH: I may have not asked

1	my question clearly, Mr. Martel.
2	All I am saying to you, given the
3	reputation that you were aware of, you had no
4	sense that a political dissident would be treated
5	differently than a person viewed as a security
6	threat by the Syrians?
7	MR. MARTEL: I think that, if the
8	person is a threat to national security, they will
9	treat them the same way.
LO	Yes, I agree with you.
L1	MS EDWARDH: That was my point.
L2	MR. MARTEL: Mm-hmm!
L3	MS EDWARDH: And certainly at this
L4	time, when Mr. Arar was detained, the Syrian
L5	authorities were keen to establish that they would
L6	stand in opposition to al-Qaeda and stand beside
L7	the United States; correct?
L8	MR. MARTEL: That was the public
L9	position, in any case.
20	MS EDWARDH: I suppose we have to
21	take that one with a grain of salt, too. But that
22	was the public position.
23	MR. MARTEL: Exactly.
24	MS EDWARDH: So when you go see
25	Mr. Arar for this first visit, you would have been

1	alive to the fact that the man you were about to
2	see had been disappeared for a period of time. Is
3	that correct?
4	MR. MARTEL: Yes, he had been
5	reported missing, yes.
6	MS EDWARDH: And that the fact of
7	he being a disappeared person raised substantial
8	questions about what may have happened to him?
9	MR. MARTEL: Yes, of course.
10	MS EDWARDH: The fact that he was
11	in the hands of Syrian Military Intelligence,
12	which you soon realized raised substantial
13	concerns about what was going to happen to him and
14	what had happened to him. Is that fair?
15	MR. MARTEL: It's always a
16	concern, of course.
17	MS EDWARDH: Right. Now, one of
18	the things that puzzles me is there was some press
19	lines prepared, and they were drafted by Myra and
20	you will see those at tab 129.
21	Perhaps I had better take you
22	to them.
23	I think I want to start with
24	Exhibit 123, volume 1.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Tab 1?

1	MS EDWARDH: I'm sorry. Volume 1,
2	tab 123.
3	This is a message from the
4	Ambassador. It is being sent to a number of
5	people in Ottawa, and he is announcing his first
6	visit with General Khalil, and this is sent before
7	there is any consular visit; right?
8	MR. MARTEL: Yes, if you read the
9	text, he says he just had a meeting.
10	MS EDWARDH: Yes.
11	MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's right.
12	You are correct.
13	MS EDWARDH: And at the very end
14	of this document, the Ambassador is suggesting to
15	Ottawa that no public announcement be made of the
16	visit before it takes place, and he says this:
17	"Obviously, in any public
18	announcement no reference
19	should be made to (blank)"
20	No, I'm sorry, I have to go up a
21	little farther. You have to go to the top of the
22	page.
23	"While I believe that (blank)
24	will not renege on his
25	promise it would be more

1	prudent if we could announce
2	that a consular officer had
3	in fact met with Arar and
4	that he is well."
5	Do you see that? So that is
6	certainly the Ambassador's wish before you ever
7	see him, or anyone has ever seen him, that the
8	announcement be postponed to be able to announce
9	that he is well.
10	You will agree with me that that
11	is pretty optimistic on the part of the Ambassador
12	at this time? He had no idea what condition
13	Mr. Arar would be in.
14	MR. MARTEL: Yes, I think he's
15	assuming he's the authorities have decided to
16	hold a consular visit, and the Ambassador is
17	already assuming that the client is well. That's
18	how I read it, in any case.
19	I didn't see this document at the
20	time.
21	MS EDWARDH: That is a very
22	important presumption because, given the
23	sophisticated nature of abuse and torture, to
24	presume someone will be well just because you get
25	access to them is naive in the extreme is it not?

1	MR. MARTEL: I can't comment on
2	what the Ambassador was thinking at the time, and
3	he didn't copy me, he didn't consult me and he
4	sent his report.
5	I think you'd have to ask him
6	that.
7	MS EDWARDH: Let me just go to
8	your first consular visit from a different
9	perspective than Mr. Cavalluzzo did.
10	Mr. Pardy in his instructions to
11	you, which are found, I believe, at tab I think
12	we should probably have the Pillarella documents,
13	tab 3, which is the visit.
14	That is Exhibit 134,
15	Mr. Commissioner, tab 3; and the instructions, tab
16	131 in volume 2 of the DFAIT materials.
17	Pause
18	MS EDWARDH: It is fair to say
19	that before each consular visit, you would get
20	directions?
21	MR. MARTEL: Almost always,
22	otherwise I'd follow the previous instructions,
23	the guidelines I had to follow for each visit.
24	But as in this case, Mr. Pardy
25	sent me specific instructions, it was the first

1	visit, and he didn't always do so after that.
2	Myra sometimes gave me instructions, or if there
3	were none, I'd follow the same procedure.
4	MS EDWARDH: And the instructions
5	acknowledge very clearly that the circumstances
6	may intrude to the point where all you can do is
7	simply make an observation?
8	MR. MARTEL: That's correct.
9	MS EDWARDH: Before you arrive at
10	the visit, or thereafter before you meet Mr. Arar,
11	do you sit down with the Syrian authorities and do
12	they lay out the ground rules for you about what
13	you can or cannot talk about?
14	MR. MARTEL: Yes, and even before
15	then with my interpreter in the car, because I
16	didn't know where he'd take me, exactly, and he
17	told me in English that I had to limit myself to
18	questions about his family and his wellbeing and
19	that I wasn't to discuss the case.
20	The Syrians gave me specific
21	instructions.
22	MS EDWARDH: So you were to limit
23	yourself entirely to his family, to his wellbeing.
24	And what was the third one?
25	MR. MARTEL: And the conditions of

1	his detention, his wellbeing.
2	MS EDWARDH: I want to be very
3	careful about this, because if the Syrians had
4	welcomed your inquiry about the conditions of
5	detention, then we might draw some inferences.
6	But were you told by the Syrians
7	you couldn't talk about his case but you could
8	talk about the family, his wellbeing and the
9	conditions of his detention?
10	MR. MARTEL: Well, his wellbeing,
11	it means the same thing. They told me that I
12	could see he was well, but I couldn't ask any
13	questions, for example, about where he was being
14	detained, and so on. That was out of the
15	question. They had told me, I couldn't ask that.
16	MS EDWARDH: So no one ever told
17	you that other than saying "How are you? Are you
18	well? Do you need medical care?" or something
19	like that, beyond those very, very general
20	questions, you understood from the first moment
21	that you had been told by the interpreter that all
22	the other questions were off the table?
23	MR. MARTEL: Yes, exactly, and as
24	early as the first visit I was prevented from
25	asking and Arar was prevented from answering when

1	I wanted more details or tried to ask more
2	specific questions.
3	They immediately they
4	interrupted us immediately.
5	MS EDWARDH: Let me then ask you a
6	couple of questions.
7	Do you recall, sir, whether you or
8	the Ambassador ever, ever asked whether you could
9	meet with Mr. Arar by himself?
10	MR. MARTEL: I never asked because
11	they set the conditions, and I don't know if the
12	Ambassador asked.
13	I think you have to ask him that,
14	but as for me, the Syrians imposed conditions on
15	me, with an interpreter, with someone who took
16	notes, with two people present. It was always the
17	same and that was how they had agreed to work.
18	MS EDWARDH: And you will agree
19	with me that in the ordinary case, the Consul is
20	expected to try to seek, indeed demand it and
21	protest if they don't get access, which is
22	confidential access?
23	MR. MARTEL: Yes. We can protest,
24	of course, but the Syrian position was that we had
25	a Canadian citizen, of course, while they claimed

1	they had a Syrian citizen.
2	They'd tell me that the citizen
3	was now in Syria, it was Syrian law that applied
4	and they didn't have any obligations to the
5	international community or under the Vienna
6	Convention.
7	To ask for an interview under the
8	Vienna Convention, they are obliged to do it, but
9	they claimed that the Vienna Convention didn't
10	apply because of my client's nationality. So, I
11	couldn't get a private interview, they wouldn't
12	let me.
13	MS EDWARDH: I appreciate that
14	there are differing and evolving views, but I do
15	want to just make this observation: that it
16	appears from this record that no one ever asked
17	for confidential access to Mr. Arar as a
18	representative. And even though you can say the
19	Vienna Convention didn't require it, you were
20	there; you were delivering consular access to a
21	man that you are telling us the Syrians said is
22	just Syrian. They had made the exception.
23	MR. MARTEL: Yes, they had made
24	the exception, and according to Gar Pardy's
25	instructions, who at the outset himself said, "I

1	realize that the conditions will be very
2	difficult. You won't be able to ask for anything
3	else." Gar Pardy already knew the Consular
4	Affairs Bureau at the beginning was aware of the
5	Syrian position, he knew under what conditions I
6	would be seeing my client. He knew I wouldn't be
7	able to get more than that.
8	He gave me instructions, and when
9	I asked if I could take a photo or if my client
10	could take a phone call, the answer was no.
11	MS EDWARDH: All right. I wonder
12	if you could give to the witness the document
13	"Conclusions and Recommendations of The Committee
14	Against Torture, Canada"?
15	I have provided a copy to
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Is this a new
17	exhibit?
18	MS EDWARDH: Well, it is actually
19	one that was filed, but it's so long ago,
20	Mr. Commissioner.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Let's mark it
22	again. 246.
23	EXHIBIT P-246: Document
24	entitled "Conclusions and
25	Recommendations of the

1	Committee Against Torture,
2	Canada"
3	MS EDWARDH: I believe it is a
4	document that was referred to in the very general
5	conversation we had about torture, and there were
6	some legal views offered and the discussion I
7	will let the witness describe it.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
9	MS EDWARDH: You would be familiar
10	with this kind of document, Mr. Martel?
11	MR. MARTEL: Since then, yes, I am
12	familiar with it, yes.
13	MS EDWARDH: The document in
14	question is a document issued out of the Office of
15	the High Commissioner for Human Rights; correct?
16	MR. MARTEL: Mm-hmm! That's
17	right.
18	MS EDWARDH: It is a document
19	issued after there is an evaluation made of
20	Canada's compliance with the Convention Against
21	Torture; correct?
22	MR. MARTEL: Mm-hmm! That's
23	right.
24	MS EDWARDH: And there is one
25	thing that I just wanted to draw to your

1	attention. While Canada is praised in some
2	respects in this document, there are also, on page
3	2, a list of things that the committee is
4	concerned about.
5	Do you see that?
6	MR. MARTEL: In Part C,
7	paragraph 4.
8	MS EDWARDH: Yes, they are a list
9	of the committee's expressed concerns. And this
10	document is of course not only public, but it is
11	communicated to the Government of Canada; correct?
12	MR. MARTEL: Yes, I see that.
13	MS EDWARDH: If you turn to
14	paragraph 4(b), one of the subjects of concern
15	raised by this memorandum is:
16	"The alleged roles of the
17	State party's authorities in
18	the expulsion of Canadian
19	national Mr. Maher Arar,
20	expelled from the United
21	States to the Syrian Arab
22	Republic where torture was
23	reported to be practised."
24	Do you see that concern?
25	MR. MARTEL: Yes, I see that in

1	paragraph (b), yes.
2	MS EDWARDH: What I wanted to talk
3	to you about, or ask you about, is whether or not
4	the recommendation was ever something that was
5	brought to your attention and you agree with
6	MR. MARTEL: No, there's no
7	MS EDWARDH: I'm sorry, could I
8	just read the recommendation so we can ask the
9	question?
10	5(d):
11	"The State party should
12	insist on unrestricted
13	consular access to their
14	nationals who are in
15	detention abroad, with
16	facility for unmonitored
17	meetings and, if required, of
18	appropriate medical
19	expertise."
20	Do you see that?
21	MR. MARTEL: Yes, I see it.
22	MS EDWARDH: While I'm confident,
23	sir, you are going to say to us that it is the
24	best no. One wants unrestricted access, but
25	that in this case it was just never a question

1	that you would get a confidential meeting. It
2	would never have happened?
3	MR. MARTEL: No, never.
4	MS EDWARDH: At the same time you
5	will see the difficulty we have, Mr. Martel, is
6	that none was ever asked for.
7	So how do we know they would say
8	no?
9	MR. MARTEL: Because they would
10	say no to everything we asked for, and also
11	because they had set the ground rules themselves
12	at the beginning.
13	They said, "We're doing you a huge
14	favour, and it's the first time we do something
15	like this for another country. We'll let you see
16	a detainee, and we're the ones laying down the
17	rules, not you."
18	Not in so many words, but if you
19	didn't like it you can take it or leave it. That
20	was their approach.
21	MS EDWARDH: Is that the way you
22	interpret it?
23	MR. MARTEL: Well, you can
24	interpret it any way you want, but I think it was
25	later confirmed.

1	MS EDWARDH: I don't think I
2	think we have agreed none was asked and it will be
3	for the Commissioner to consider the failure to in
4	the decision
5	MR. MARTEL: We didn't for
6	starters, we didn't receive instructions to ask.
7	We did what we were asked to do. It was important
8	for Gar Pardy in Ottawa, first of all, to have
9	access, continuous access. Second was his
10	release.
11	Those were the two main
12	objectives. Those were the two main objectives we
13	had been told about.
14	MS EDWARDH: I want to go to the
15	first consular visit because it does seem to me,
16	sir, that we can draw some conclusions here. I
17	just want to get quickly to the point where I ask
18	you a few general questions.
19	But it is very obvious to me that
20	you have to agree that you had very limited
21	observations of Mr. Arar?
22	MR. MARTEL: Nobody will dispute
23	that. The framework was set up to let me see what
24	they wanted me to see and what they wanted Maher
25	Arar to let me see.

1	It was a controlled environment.
2	MS EDWARDH: Right. And so when
3	you say you got to see what the Syrians wanted you
4	to see
5	MR. MARTEL: Exactly.
6	MS EDWARDH: Exactly?
7	MR. MARTEL: Of course.
8	MS EDWARDH: And anything they
9	didn't want you to see, you wouldn't have seen?
10	MR. MARTEL: They tried to hide
11	it.
12	MS EDWARDH: And they succeeded in
13	some respects?
14	MR. MARTEL: Yes, but not all the
15	time.
16	MS EDWARDH: What did they try to
17	hide that you were able to ferret out?
18	MR. MARTEL: Well, for example,
19	they tried to contradict me or contradict Maher on
20	the time he'd spent in Jordan and the time he'd
21	been with them, and that's why they interrupted
22	him.
23	There were some things they didn't
24	want me to hear.
25	MS EDWARDH: But you found out,

1	and I think that is something I want to
2	MR. MARTEL: Well, I think you
3	have to be sharp and try to determine where
4	they're headed, and you have to come to your own
5	conclusions. You can't be naive.
6	MS EDWARDH: Right. I want to
7	talk about some things that aren't recorded there,
8	because it's my understanding that this may jog
9	your memory.
10	Specifically, you brought the Bon
11	Voyage book to that first meeting. Do you recall
12	that, Mr. Martel?
13	MR. MARTEL: No, I don't remember.
14	MS EDWARDH: Would it surprise you
15	if I told you that you had the Bon Voyage book,
16	because it describes your consular functions?
17	MR. MARTEL: Yes, but maybe I
18	brought it with me, thinking that my client had to
19	have a copy if he didn't have one.
20	Many people travel and don't
21	always have Bon Voyage with them. Not everyone
22	has it.
23	MS EDWARDH: And so it is the kind
24	of thing you might well have brought?
25	MR. MARTEL: Yes.

1	MS EDWARDH: One of the objectives
2	you had was to ensure that Mr. Arar I think
3	from your perspective had a realistic
4	understanding of what Canadian government consular
5	services could do. You wanted to make sure he had
6	no misunderstanding.
7	MR. MARTEL: Especially in his
8	case.
9	MS EDWARDH: Yes. I would like
LO	you to have a chance to talk about what
L1	"especially in his case meant".
L2	If I told you that you conveyed to
13	him that it may well have been the case that the
L4	Government of Canada couldn't do very much because
15	they took the position not they, the Syrians
L6	took the position he was a Syrian, that wouldn't
L7	surprise you?
L8	That is the kind of thing
L9	generally you would say?
20	MR. MARTEL: I could reformulate
21	the sentence a bit differently, that is, he must
22	have known already
23	MS EDWARDH: I don't want to
24	choose the words. I'm sure you might use
25	different words

1	The question is: Do you agree
2	that is the kind of thing, the effect of the words
3	you would have used
4	MR. MARTEL: I told him that our
5	intervention was limited in his case because the
6	Syrian government had told us that he was a Syrian
7	citizen in Syria.
8	So he must have known. I had to
9	tell him that, not arrive with a list of lawyers
10	and say, "Here's a list of lawyers, and you can
11	choose the one you want. In the meantime, you'll
12	be released pending your trial, which will come
13	later."
14	He must have known what was
15	coming. I couldn't tell him, "You are Canadian
16	and you're protected by the Vienna Convention and
17	we'll get you out of here today or tomorrow."
18	MS EDWARDH: No. You told him
19	realistically that that wasn't the case; that he
20	was a Syrian national and that he had to live with
21	the fact that there were limits on what you could
22	do?
23	MR. MARTEL: That's correct, as
24	per our instructions.
25	MS EDWARDH: And you also had to

1	make it clear from your perspective and we will
2	talk about the effect of this on him. From your
3	perspective, you thought it was important to tell
4	him that it was uncertain. You could not
5	guarantee that you could visit him and provide
6	consular services regularly as you might want?
7	MR. MARTEL: Exactly. I told him
8	that during the first visit, because I said to
9	him: "It's the first time the authorities grant us
10	a visit in this case. And it's your case. And
11	they promised we could have regular visits, but I
12	can't guarantee anything."
13	MS EDWARDH: That's right. You
14	can't guarantee a thing, and you might not be able
15	to even visit again.
16	MR. MARTEL: Possibly.
17	MS EDWARDH: And that is the kind
18	of thing you told him because you felt he needed
19	to understand it.
20	MR. MARTEL: In my opinion, he
21	needed to know. Of course.
22	MS EDWARDH: Also you were trying
23	to create a scene where the Syrians would perhaps
24	soften a little towards him. So you asked him,
25	did you not, you said to him, "Mr. Arar, thank the

1	Syrians"?
2	MR. MARTEL: No. No. I didn't
3	ask him to thank to thank the Syrians. I
4	thanked the Syrians in front of him, saying, "I
5	thank I thank the Syrian authorities for at
6	least giving me the permission to come and see
7	you."
8	MS EDWARDH: And indeed I'm going
9	to suggest to you, and it was made perfectly clear
10	why to him that he should also thank the Syrians?
11	MR. MARTEL: Maybe he got that
12	from the Syrians, that he had to thank them.
13	He has roots in this he knows
14	the culture.
15	MS EDWARDH: Well, he left as a
16	17-year-old.
17	MR. MARTEL: Yes, I know. But he
18	knows the culture nonetheless.
19	MS EDWARDH: Now, he cried
20	throughout this visit
21	MR. MARTEL: No, no.
22	MS EDWARDH: or through good
23	portions of this visit?
24	MR. MARTEL: No.
25	You could say he was emotional,

1	but no, I didn't see Maher cry during that visit.
2	He was surprised, at any rate, to
3	see me. I don't think he'd been told I was
4	coming.
5	I don't know what he'd been told
6	beforehand, but he was very surprised to see me.
7	MS EDWARDH: Did you notice
8	anything about his facial hair? Did he have any
9	facial hair?
10	MR. MARTEL: Facial hair?
11	MS EDWARDH: Yes, a beard. Was he
12	shaved?
13	MR. MARTEL: I don't remember. I
14	think I think so. I think so.
15	Yes, I think so I don't think
16	he had a beard at the time.
17	MS EDWARDH: And so for an
18	observant Muslim man, what would that mean?
19	MR. MARTEL: Well, I didn't know
20	at the time whether he was an observant Muslim
21	man.
22	MS EDWARDH: If he were, to have
23	one's beard shaved would be dehumanizing, would it
24	not?
25	MR. MARTEL: No, I don't know what

1	I'm not familiar enough with the religion to
2	say that if someone has a beard or not makes a
3	difference.
4	I don't know which people,
5	Muslims, practice their religion, who is religious
6	or very religious. I don't make the distinction.
7	MS EDWARDH: You, I take it, sir,
8	didn't know enough, even given your work in the
9	Middle East, to know what the beard meant to an
LO	observant Muslim and what its forcible removal
L1	might mean?
L2	MR. MARTEL: Well, if obviously
L3	if I had known he was a practising Muslim and the
L4	authorities had removed his beard, it's an
L5	indication that they wanted to humiliate him. Of
L6	course.
L7	I think it's reasonable to think
L8	that.
L9	MS EDWARDH: And indeed it would
20	have been the easiest thing for you to enquire
21	from his wife, Mrs. Arar, who dresses in a very
22	conservative fashion, to ascertain whether her
23	husband was a practising Muslim and whether he
24	would be humiliated by the removal of his beard?
25	That was easy to find out?

1	MR. MARTEL: Yes. I didn't know
2	he was a practising Muslim, and I wasn't given any
3	details on the family in Canada. I didn't have
4	much information on that.
5	At that point in time, I didn't
6	I didn't notice I didn't notice whether his
7	beard had been removed.
8	MS EDWARDH: Right. My point is,
9	I want to talk now about the duty to inquire.
10	Mr. Martel, you had ample
11	opportunity and sources to find out whether
12	Mr. Arar was a practising Muslim because it was a
13	quick e-mail to Myra or Gar Pardy, a discussion
14	with Mrs. Arar, and you could have readily
15	determined whether Mr. Arar was an observant
16	Muslim man? That was easy to find out?
17	MR. MARTEL: Yes, but that's not a
18	question we in the field usually ask. We don't
19	talk about religion, race, colour, and so on.
20	We don't immediately think about
21	it, about asking a client whether he belongs to
22	this or that religion or whether he practices or
23	doesn't practice his religion.
24	MS EDWARDH: Mr. Martel, we are
25	not interested in what religion he really

1	practices. We are interested in whether he has
2	been subjected to humiliation by his captors or
3	gaolers. That's the object. That's a whole
4	different purpose behind those questions. You
5	will agree?
6	MR. MARTEL: Yes, I understand
7	your question, and I'm telling you that I didn't
8	have that information at the time.
9	MS EDWARDH: In any event
10	right. You didn't have it and you didn't ask for
11	it; correct? Simple.
12	MR. MARTEL: I didn't have the
13	information. It wasn't given to me.
14	MS EDWARDH: Right. And then I
15	want to just ask a little bit about what you did
16	once you learned that Mr. Arar was in this
17	building that you associated with Syrian Military
18	Intelligence.
19	There is no doubt on the public
20	record, the Palestine Branch isn't a secret
21	entity, is it, Mr. Martel?
22	MR. MARTEL: The Branch's
23	reputation is well established.
24	MS EDWARDH: And indeed you knew
25	something about the conditions of confinement in

1	the Palestine Branch because there was someone at
2	the Embassy who had a relative who had been there.
3	Isn't that right?
4	MR. MARTEL: I don't remember.
5	You'd have to tell me more about it.
6	MS EDWARDH: There was an employee
7	at the Embassy who had a family member who had
8	been held in the Palestine Branch, and that was
9	one of the employees who had a conversation with
10	Mr. Arar on his release?
11	Does that jog your memory?
12	MR. MARTEL: I think that, in
13	fact, you can't we have to be careful about
14	what we say in public.
15	MS EDWARDH: Don't I?
16	MR. BAXTER: The concern is the
17	same kind of concern as before, Mr. Commissioner,
18	the safety of the individuals concerned. It is
19	not a national security issue at all.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Is there any
21	way we can deal with this line of questioning
22	without
23	MS EDWARDH: I will try.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
25	MS EDWARDH: Let's see where I can

1	get.
2	There are a broad range of public
3	and private sources available to you, Mr. Martel,
4	had you wished to learn anything about the
5	conditions of confinement at the Palestine Branch
6	of Syrian Military Intelligence.
7	Do you agree with that as a
8	general proposition?
9	MR. MARTEL: Generally, the
10	conditions of confinement of detainees at this
11	branch, especially political detainees, are well
12	known. We don't need to argue about its
13	reputation.
14	I agree with you.
15	MS EDWARDH: So this is a matter
16	of some importance because, while Mr. Arar was
17	unable to speak directly to you about the
18	conditions of his detention and you felt you could
19	not ask about them, other than "Are you well?",
20	you knew that the conditions of detention involved
21	many people being held in solitary confinement;
22	correct?
23	MR. MARTEL: Yes, of course.
24	Political detainees.
25	MS EDWARDH: You knew that there

1	was a substantial possibility or likelihood that
2	men like Maher Arar could be held in tiny little
3	cells because this is what happened to political
4	dissidents as well?
5	MR. MARTEL: At the time, I didn't
6	know enough, for example, the type of cell
7	used, whether people were grouped together in
8	large cells I didn't have details or witness
9	accounts about this.
10	And various magazines or articles
11	also talk about poor conditions of detention. It
12	has a reputation.
13	But it isn't always stated that
14	people are kept in small cells.
15	MS EDWARDH: All right. You had
16	access to people who knew, or you could sit down
17	with, or you could have brainstormed and said
18	okay, if I can't ask my client what is it really
19	like and he can't tell me what it is really like,
20	there are ample sources to make inquiry of;
21	correct?
22	MR. MARTEL: Yes, someone could
23	have found out and obtained sufficient details and
24	speculated on the possibilities where my client
25	was being detained.

1	But, one way or another, we'd
2	never get an answer until the client was able to
3	speak freely. It was impossible to get an answer.
4	MS EDWARDH: Yes. For example, if
5	you walked into a client who had been rendered
6	comatose with a crushed skull and you knew would
7	never talk, you would have to make inquiries
8	through independent channels to try and understand
9	what happened; correct?
10	MR. MARTEL: Well, if I meet a
11	client who is in who has been visibly abused,
12	I'd immediately draw some conclusions and draft a
13	report.
14	MS EDWARDH: All right. Well, I
15	don't want to go around in circles with you. I
16	just want to establish that you knew the general
17	reputation of military intelligence, you knew the
18	reputation for torture, and you knew the
19	reputation of lousy, rotten condition of
20	confinement.
21	And the fourth point I want you to
22	agree with is you knew you couldn't ask a question
23	about it, and you knew Mr. Arar couldn't say
24	anything about it.
25	So the only thing you could do was

1	go to inquire of other sources to determine, as
2	best you could never perfectly as best you
3	could what it was like for him; right?
4	MR. MARTEL: No, it was already
5	known. That is, that there are detainees
6	MS EDWARDH: What was
7	MR. MARTEL: who are in small
8	cells, like you said, and there are others who are
9	in large cells.
10	So, nobody could come and tell me,
11	"Your client will be detained in a small cell."
12	I had other clients who were
13	detained in cells with 25 people, who were in the
14	same - in the same place.
15	So, it's you can't come to a
16	conclusion and say, "Maher Arar is being detained
17	in a small cell. Because he can't tell me, I
18	conclude that he is in a small cell."
19	It's possible. But I couldn't
20	come to that conclusion because I couldn't talk to
21	him alone.
22	And as long as I wasn't given an
23	opportunity to speak to him alone so that he could
24	tell me, I couldn't find out. It was impossible
25	if he couldn't tell me, then I couldn't know.

1	MS EDWARDH: You could have known
2	a great deal about the conditions of his
3	confinement and you could have known that by
4	asking others who had that information. You could
5	have even written a letter to Myra or she could
6	have written you and there is some corporate
7	element to this "This is what Mr. El Maati says
8	about where he was and what it looked like. This
9	is what other people who have experienced it have
10	described. And if you can't ask Mr. Arar
11	specifically about it, you should assume they are
12	as follows."
13	Isn't that a reasonable approach
14	to take?
15	MR. MARTEL: Yes, I think that,
16	the Bureau, Gar Pardy's office, already had
17	information from another detainee who had been
18	there, but we weren't told, "Be careful! El Maati
19	went there and this is what the Syrians did to
20	him. Keep a sharp eye out and try to find out
21	more. You have to protest. This is what you have
22	to do."
23	I did what he told me to do: "Go
24	there. The important thing is to see him. Even
25	if it's controlled, it's already it's better

1	than no visit at all."
2	Now, in what kind of conditions
3	was he being detained? With the information I
4	had, it was impossible for me to find out.
5	Maybe he was in a small cell, as
6	you said. But I didn't know that yet.
7	MS EDWARDH: The difficulty that I
8	have, Mr. Martel, is that you do not adequately
9	qualify your report, sir. You don't make it clear
10	to the reader in each and every report that the
11	person who you are describing has no voluntary
12	choice in their decisions around what to say to
13	you; that they are captives, that they are
14	supervised, and they live in a fearful environment
15	even though its particulars may not be known, and
16	therefore nothing can be drawn from the failure to
17	observe. Nothing. That's the problem,
18	Mr. Martel.
19	MR. MARTEL: I believe I completed
20	<del>-</del> -
21	MS EDWARDH: You draw from nothing
22	a positive conclusion.
23	MR. MARTEL: No. I believe I
24	completed my reports.
25	There were people there who are

1	very intelligent, who know the field and who read
2	it. And I said, "We can't speak freely. He isn't
3	at liberty to talk. I can't find out where he is,
4	exactly." I gave them all that information.
5	Now, if other steps were to be
6	taken, if there was to be some form of protest, a
7	request for a private interview, the instructions
8	had to come from headquarters, we had to be told
9	by headquarters what to do, because we, at the
10	mission, couldn't take the initiative and ignore
11	what we were given at the beginning, the mandate.
12	In other words, we had access,
13	they wanted me to see him regularly, and that was
14	our objective, and the second objective was to get
15	him out of there.
16	Now, I wasn't told: "Go protest.
17	Go do this, go do that." I wasn't given any
18	instructions like that.
19	MS EDWARDH: No. But I'm saying
20	when you read your reports, you draw the
21	conclusion you thought he was well.
22	MR. MARTEL: Well, the others too
23	could have drawn a conclusion. And I always said
24	he looked well. I didn't know whether he was
25	well.

1	MS EDWARDH: You are the only one
2	who is with Mr. Arar, and all I'm saying is you
3	send these reports back, sir, and you don't show
4	to the readers the limits upon your actual
5	interaction. And you don't tell them you should
6	need their assistance to make inquiries so that a
7	proper framework of analysis can be struck for
8	Maher Arar.
9	MR. MARTEL: Well, if that's your
10	take on the reports, the many people in Ottawa who
11	read the report never came back to ask me specific
12	questions, saying: "This isn't specific enough.
13	Give us more information. Tell us more. And
14	during your next visit, you have to do this, that
15	and the other thing. And I have further
16	instructions for the following visit."
17	So, I had to limit myself to
18	Ottawa's instructions. If I if I strayed from
19	the instructions, I didn't know what the
20	consequences would be.
21	It was up to them to decide. Not
22	me. I was in the field and I followed I did
23	what I was asked.
24	And if they didn't like the type
25	of reports I sent, if they thought they were

1	incomplete, they could have got back to me and
2	asked me some questions the same day or the next.
3	If they wanted to.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: If I can,
5	Ms Edwardh, I have the point.
6	MS EDWARDH: Okay.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: I understand
8	both sides of the point.
9	We have now been going over an
10	hour and a half, and I think we will take the
11	afternoon break.
12	Before we do, the schedule, for
13	some that weren't here, at least the tentative
14	schedule is that Ms Edwardh will be probably
15	another hour and then there are some examinations.
16	Ms Jackman, you were going to be
17	brief, if at all in this?
18	MS JACKMAN: I have about three
19	questions.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
21	And then, Ms Jackman, your motion
22	will follow the completion of this witness. I
23	think it's going to be fairly late, but I would
24	expect that we could probably deal with it all. I
25	know there are a few people to speak on it, but we

1	could deal with it all in probably half an hour or
2	thereabouts.
3	In any event, let's rise now for
4	ten minutes.
5	THE REGISTRAR: Please stand.
6	Upon recessing at 3:20 p.m. /
7	Suspension à 15 h 20
8	Upon resuming at 3:35 p.m. /
9	Reprise à 15 h 35
L 0	THE REGISTRAR: Please be seated.
L1	THE COMMISSIONER: Ms Edwardh.
L2	MS EDWARDH: Thank you, Mr.
L3	Commissioner.
L4	Mr. Martel, perhaps you can cast
L5	some light, additional light, on an area of
L6	concern to everyone.
L7	It is clear that Mr. Arar was able
L8	to convey to you that he had only been in Jordan a
L9	very brief time, a few hours, before he arrived in
20	Syria; correct?
21	MR. MARTEL: This is what he
22	stated at our first meeting, yes.
23	MS EDWARDH: Yes. When this
24	matter is reported to the Minister and if you
0.5	want to look at tab 129 page 7 in the background

1	evaluation in the first paragraph under the
2	heading "For Minister's Eyes Only" misrepresents
3	what you knew by stating:
4	"It was not clear from the
5	conversation"
6	Referring to the one you had.
7	" how long Mr. Arar had
8	been in Syria"
9	Because you had at least been able
10	to ascertain from Mr. Arar that he was in Syria
11	within hours of landing in Jordan; correct?
12	That is not an accurate statement?
13	MR. MARTEL: Yes. Mr. Arar had
14	already told me at our first meeting, even though
15	our conversation was interrupted, that he had
16	spent only a few hours in Jordan.
17	MS EDWARDH: Right. So this is
18	wrong. This "For the Minister's Eyes Only" advice
19	is incorrect; correct?
20	MR. MARTEL: Well, it says here
21	that we learned he had been detained by the
22	Americans for two weeks before being transferred
23	to Jordan. It wasn't clear.
24	MS EDWARDH: Keep reading.
25	MR. MARTEL: In the conversation

1	itself, how long had Mr. Arar been detained in
2	Syria, in light of the fact that the Syrian
3	authorities intercepted the questions.
4	MS EDWARDH: Yes. But they didn't
5	adequately intercept it because in fact you got
6	the answer to the question.
7	MR. MARTEL: Yes. I had already
8	communicated that, according to Mr. Arar, what he
9	told me was that he'd spent only a few hours in
10	Jordan.
11	MS EDWARDH: And the suggestion
12	that Mr. Arar languished in Jordan was one that
13	the Canadian Ambassador to Jordan tried to
14	correct, did he not?
15	MR. MARTEL: I think there was
16	correspondence to that effect. At the time, I
17	think people were looking for him everywhere, and
18	the Canadian Ambassador to Jordan took steps to
19	determine whether he'd been detained in Jordan.
20	MS EDWARDH: But the Canadian
21	Ambassador, if you look at tab 135, communicated
22	his concern about Canadian press comments relating
23	to Jordan's handling of Mr. Arar's case because
24	they directly quoted DFAIT spokesman, Mr. Doiron,
25	and the quote is:

1	"We do not know why the
2	Jordanians did not provide
3	information on his presence
4	in their country."
5	And the Ambassador notes,
6	"contradicting my report." Do you see that,
7	"contradicting my report"?
8	MR. MARTEL: Yes. I see that, yes.
9	MS EDWARDH: So it is quite clear
10	that the Ambassador in Jordan felt that the
11	suggestion that was left, that the Jordanians did
12	not provide information, was, as he says,
13	"absolutely incorrect".
14	Do you see that?
15	MR. MARTEL: Yes, he seems to say
16	that, yes.
17	MS EDWARDH: Yes. So what puzzles
18	me is what interest everyone had in not putting
19	forward on the public record the fact that the
20	Syrians had held Mr. Arar for most of the period
21	following October 8th, if not the vast majority of
22	it, less about eight hours.
23	I'm going to ask you some
24	questions.
25	Were you or the Embacky concerned

1	that should they confront the Syrians with the
2	issue that they had held him for the entire
3	period, that would end consular contact?
4	MR. MARTEL: Possibly. What we
5	did at the time, after I found out that Mr. Arar
6	had been in Jordan for only a few hours, was that
7	we drafted the report, saying that we were very
8	puzzled. Why were the Syrians telling us that he
9	had just arrived a few days earlier, whereas he
10	was telling us that he had been in Jordan for only
11	a few hours?
12	We reported all this information
13	to Gar Pardy. To my knowledge, we didn't ask the
14	Syrians, we didn't press them on the issue, since
15	senior Syrian officials had already communicated
16	information to the Ambassador.
17	Now, if headquarters wanted the
18	Ambassador to go back and ask more questions, he
19	had to receive instructions to that effect.
20	MS EDWARDH: So that the failure,
21	or the decision to not confront or further
22	question, I'm going to suggest to you, was an
23	express decision on the part of headquarters,
24	given the information you provided them.
25	MR. MARTEL: Yes. We gave them

1	the information, and they assimilated the
2	information. We pointed out the discrepancy in
3	times, dates and all that to them. We pointed it
4	out clearly. And senior Syrian officials gave us
5	or gave the Ambassador some information that was
6	inconsistent with what I had come up with in the
7	field. All that was communicated to headquarters.
8	To my knowledge, the Ambassador did not receive
9	instructions telling him to go back to the Deputy
10	Minister or someone else who was high up and say,
11	"Look, you haven't told us the truth."
12	MS EDWARDH: Right. Indeed, it is
13	quite clear from the Pillarella documents, Exhibit
14	134, tab 5, that the Foreign Minister was very
15	anxious to press upon the Ambassador the fact that
16	Arar wasn't in Syria. Isn't that true?
17	He had not languished in Syria
18	since October the 9th?
19	MR. MARTEL: I think that's the
20	message the Syrian authorities communicated to the
21	Ambassador, that he had arrived only a few days
22	earlier.
23	MS EDWARDH: And this was
24	something that the Syrian Foreign Minister gave
25	assurances to the Ambassador about; correct?

1	That is what this document says.
2	MR. MARTEL: I think it was the
3	Deputy Minister.
4	MS EDWARDH: Okay, the Deputy
5	Foreign Minister.
6	MR. MARTEL: Yes.
7	MS EDWARDH: That he gave those
8	assurances.
9	MR. MARTEL: Yes. First of all,
10	if memory serves, I didn't always have access to
11	the documents, but at first, the Deputy Minister
12	said, "No, we don't have him." Later, he
13	retracted his statement and said, "Oh, by the way,
14	yes, we found him." That's it.
15	MS EDWARDH: Yes. But he hasn't
16	been here he made it clear that Arar had not
17	been here, or had not been in Syria from October
18	the 9th on, and pressed that upon the Ambassador;
19	correct?
20	MR. MARTEL: Yes. It was him or
21	the general. I don't remember which of the two
22	gave him the information, but someone provided the
23	information and said, "He's been here only for a
24	few days."

25

MS EDWARDH: If one sees that Mr.

1	Arar has no interest in making up where he is,
2	either in Jordan or Syria, or how he has gone from
3	one place to the other, you can agree with me that
4	the entity that had the interest in lying were
5	Syrian officials?
6	They had the interest in not
7	having Canada assume that Mr. Arar had been a
8	disappeared person under their control for that
9	period of time?
10	MR. MARTEL: If indeed he was in
11	the hands of the authorities, there may be many
12	reasons to lie to us. Maybe they didn't want us
13	to see him right away. They didn't want to admit
14	they had him because we would have said, "Why is
15	it that you've had him for two weeks and you tell
16	us only now that you have him?" There are many
17	reasons.
18	You can think of any number of
19	reasons, but, certainly, if they'd had him all
20	that time and didn't want to say so, they had
21	their reasons and their interests in mind.
22	MS EDWARDH: Yes. And the most
23	obvious reason, given what we know now, is they
24	didn't want you to be in a room with a man who was
25	being beaten during interrogation sessions. They

1	didn't want you there then.
2	That is the most obvious reason,
3	isn't it, Mr. Martel?
4	MR. MARTEL: I'd be speculating.
5	I can't say that the Syrians prevented me from
6	seeing him for two weeks because, during that
7	period, they were beating him, they were torturing
8	him, and so on. I never had any evidence of this,
9	and I still can't say to this day that that's the
10	reason why they didn't provide access to him.
11	We're in the same boat in that regard.
12	MS EDWARDH: If you were
13	hypothesizing explanations for their conduct, can
14	you think of any more likely reason?
15	MR. MARTEL: They keep people for
16	three months, six months, a year, two years
17	without letting us see them, and they never give
18	any reasons. So how can I know? There's a
19	detainee I haven't seen for two years. How is my
20	detainee? I don't know. Why are they preventing
21	me from seeing him? I don't know that either.
22	There are no answers to these questions. We don't
23	know. They have their own theories. They do what
24	they want. We can maybe draw our own conclusions.
25	We can think, we can say, "Oh, we can't see him

1	because he isn't fit to be seen, and when he is
2	fit enough, they'll let us see him." Or: "They're
3	not providing any access to him", as they've done
4	with my other clients, whom I never did see in
5	detention, never, and who were despicably treated
6	but whom I never got to see.
7	MS EDWARDH: I want to go back to
8	the first visit for a moment. That is tab 130.
9	I'm sorry, it is 131, but the best
10	place to find it is tab 3 in the Pillarella
11	documents, which is the first visit.
12	I was going to put to you, Mr.
13	Martel, that because some of the visits were
14	always translated or portions of the visit were
15	translated from Arabic into English, given your
16	language facility with Arabic, you may well have
17	been misled by the translator.
18	Would you agree with that as a
19	general proposition; that if a person doesn't
20	speak the language, they are in fact dependent
21	upon the integrity of the translator; correct?
22	MR. MARTEL: I cannot totally
23	agree. Maher always looked at me when he spoke.
24	Even though he was speaking in Arabic, he looked
25	at me and listened to what the interpreter said.

1	If it had been inconsistent with what he was
2	telling me, he would have given me an indication
3	with his eyes.
4	When I wrote down specific facts,
5	he was the one who told them to me. Although he
6	didn't speak in English, we could still talk to
7	each other. There wasn't always interpretation.
8	There were many conversations in Arabic between
9	Maher and the Syrians. But generally, even though
10	he was told to speak in Arabic, we often had
11	conversations in English.
12	MS EDWARDH: I'm just saying to
13	you, sir it is a really simple proposition, Mr.
14	Martel that if Mr. Arar was forced to speak
15	Arabic and you are not fluent, you are captive to
16	the integrity of the translator, at least to some
17	extent?
18	MR. MARTEL: Yes, possibly, to a
19	certain extent, but in my
20	MS EDWARDH: But the difficulty I
21	have with this general proposition I was going to
22	carry forward is that the first document that we
23	are looking at of the first consular visit leaves
24	the impression that you in fact are much more
25	competent in Arabic than you have nortrayed

1	I would like you to look at
2	paragraph 7
3	MR. BAXTER: Perhaps I could also
4	ask that the witness be directed to paragraph 3,
5	to the second-last sentence.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
7	MS EDWARDH: I think that
8	paragraph 3 refers to a conversation in English
9	this time. And many of the conversations were in
10	Arabic, or part of them were. So I'm concerned
11	about this:
12	"When asked if he wished the
13	Embassy to provide him with
14	anything he might need, he
15	answered that his needs were
16	all taken care of by his
17	Syrian hosts"
18	Do you see that?
19	MR. MARTEL: Yes.
20	MS EDWARDH: And then in brackets,
21	sir, you wrote the following words. This is your
22	report.
23	" (his answer was dictated
24	to him in Arabic by the
2.5	Svrians)"

1	MR. MARTEL: Yes.
2	MS EDWARDH: How would you know
3	that what he said in English was the same as what
4	was dictated to him unless you understood the
5	Arabic? Or was that translated? Is that the
6	suggestion?
7	MR. MARTEL: No, it wasn't
8	translated. The Syrians told him something in
9	Arabic and he repeated it in English. So he was
10	the one doing the translating. He told me what he
11	wanted, in fact. When he was forced to tell me
12	something, it was obvious to me that he was being
13	told, "Say this, say that", and he'd say it in
14	English.
15	When he was told to tell me
16	things, of course I took them as such, but it was
17	incomprehensible, most of the time I couldn't
18	believe what he was telling me, or I doubted what
19	he was telling me, because he was forced to say
20	some things in English.
21	MS EDWARDH: So did you draw the
22	conclusion that he was parroting what was said to
23	him because of the interjection in Arabic and ther
24	the prompt answer by Mr. Arar? You couldn't
25	understand it yourself.

1	MR. MARTEL: I didn't understand
2	what the Syrians told him, but he told me in
3	English. But I knew that what he was telling me
4	was on the Syrians' instructions.
5	MS EDWARDH: And while you could
6	determine that he was being prompted, I take it
7	you could not necessarily determine the exact
8	words of the prompt?
9	MR. MARTEL: No, but he was the
10	one who was talking. So I imagine that with the
11	interpreter present, if he had been told in Arabic
12	to tell me something, the interpreter would have
13	known that he wasn't saying the same thing. So he
14	was repeating what the Syrians wanted him to tell
15	me.
16	MS. EDWARDH: And the interpreter,
17	in effect, is the guardian or the assurer that he
18	delivers the right message, in accordance with his
19	instructions in Arabic?
20	MR. MARTEL: No. He was the
21	interpreter who accompanied me and translated from
22	Arabic to English. He didn't belong to the same
23	group; he came from somewhere else. I don't know
24	where he was from, but he always accompanied me.
25	MS. EDWARDH: But if he determined

1	that Mr. Arar was not following instructions, you
2	would have expected him to say something?
3	MR. MARTEL: Well, he could have
4	said either to start over or "that's not what
5	they said" "that's not what they said" or
6	"that's not what they want you to say", and maybe
7	Maher would have said his sentence over again.
8	MS. EDWARDH: All right. I just
9	wanted to clarify the perception I had that this
10	indicated you spoke better Arabic than in fact you
11	do?
12	MR. MARTEL: No. Sorry.
13	MS. EDWARDH: I just wanted to
14	simply clarify the impression.
15	I want to go to the August 14th
16	visit. I recall that Mr. Cavalluzzo took you to
17	the Syrian Human Rights Committee report, and I
18	don't want to go there.
19	I want to go to a letter, Tab
20	573.11.
21	Pause
22	MS. EDWARDH: Can you find that
23	reference, Mr. Martel?
24	MR. MARTEL: Yes, I have the
25	chronology.

1	MS. EDWARDH: No, I'm not asking
2	about the chronology. I'm asking about the letter
3	written to Mr. Arar's wife, found under the
4	coloured Tab 11, after 573.
5	MR. MARTEL: Yes, I have it.
6	MS. EDWARDH: This letter is
7	written on July 29th, 2003?
8	MR. MARTEL: That's right.
9	MS. EDWARDH: And in the third
10	paragraph, it includes an express reference to
11	torture in two different time periods. Is that
12	correct?
13	Torture at the beginning stages,
14	and there is a reference to the present abuse he
15	is receiving. Do you see that?
16	MR. MARTEL: Yes.
17	MS. EDWARDH: And in particular it
18	says:
19	At present he receives
20	torture and abuse from time
21	to time as a daily routine of
22	the Syrian prison practices
23	against political detainees.
24	Do you see that?
25	MR. MARTEL: Yes, I see it.

1	MS. EDWARDH: Did you get a copy
2	of that letter?
3	MR. MARTEL: Yes. Yes, I remember
4	having seen that letter, yes.
5	MS. EDWARDH: Can you agree with
6	me, sir, that it, as a letter, is more specific in
7	its allegations than the general SIRC report that
8	you looked at yesterday, which is at Tab 478?
9	MR. MARTEL: Yes, it's more
10	specific, of course.
11	MS. EDWARDH: The emphasis, and
12	what I want to pursue with you right now, is that
13	when this letter became public, and it did on or
14	about this date actually, it was August 6th
15	that it became public it was this very, very
16	real concern that caused you and the Ambassador to
17	press for access. And the concern in question was
18	that there was present torture being carried out
19	on Maher Arar not in the past, not five months
20	ago, but that he was presently the victim of
21	torture.
22	And that was a real concern to
23	you; right?
24	MR. MARTEL: Yes, of course.
25	MS. EDWARDH: That was a real

1	concern to the Ambassador?
2	MR. MARTEL: Also, yes.
3	MS. EDWARDH: What I'm just trying
4	to establish is when you pressed for access to Mr.
5	Arar, in your mind was the very clear question:
6	Is he presently being mistreated?
7	MR. MARTEL: Well, the Ambassador
8	requested access, of course, as for all visits. I
9	believe that at the time, we hadn't seen him for
10	quite a while, so that was always a concern. The
11	Ambassador took steps so that I could gain access
12	again, and, of course, I kept those elements in
13	mind. They were very disconcerting.
14	MS. EDWARDH: And just so we can
15	be very clear, the element that was at the
16	forefront of your concern when you walked into
17	Syrian Military Intelligence was the question: Is
18	Mr. Arar presently being tortured?
19	MR. MARTEL: That's what I was
20	thinking, and I think that at the time, I asked
21	Maher a question.
22	MS. EDWARDH: That is all my
23	question is.
24	MR. MARTEL: Yes, of course.
25	MS. EDWARDH: I then want to take

1	that state of mind, sir, and go to your notes,
2	which are found in Tab 508.
3	Would you please provide
4	Mr. Martel with his notes?
5	Do you have them, sir?
6	THE COMMISSIONER: He has the
7	right book, yes.
8	MS. EDWARDH: And I want to ask
9	this question before we turn to the contents of
10	the notes.
11	You are sitting in a room with a
12	number of Syrian officials and Mr. Arar; correct?
13	MR. MARTEL: Correct.
14	MS. EDWARDH: Mr. Arar speaks to
15	you and you speak to him. Are you making these
16	notes as you speak and as he speaks, or are you
17	making these notes after the conclusion of the
18	session?
19	MR. MARTEL: These notes were
20	taken while I was sitting there, except for "New
21	York Times article" at the top. I remember having
22	written that before the meeting because I wanted
23	to remember it. But the rest of the notes were
24	taken on the spot.
25	MS. EDWARDH: You will agree with

1	me that one of the things you don't do is write
2	down your questions, but that you write down the
3	gist of what Mr. Arar says as best you can?
4	MR. MARTEL: Yes. Given the
5	context, if I can take notes to refresh my memory
6	for the report I'm to prepare, I write elements
7	down, of course.
8	MS. EDWARDH: But because you are
9	taking them as Mr. Arar speaks, you will agree
10	with me that you basically cannot take it down
11	verbatim but you take down the gist of what he
12	says as best you can?
13	MR. MARTEL: Yes. Yes. I write
14	part of a sentence, a word here, two words there,
15	but of course, it wasn't always complete.
16	MS. EDWARDH: And when Mr. Arar
17	came into that room and perhaps I can do it by
18	working backwards.
19	In his chronology, in a part that
20	I do not believe you dispute, he says that when he
21	came into the room he had made the decision that
22	he was going to speak about prison conditions and
23	that he burst forth into that discussion in a way
24	that he had never done in all the other consular
25	visits.

1	Do you agree with that
2	characterization of him bursting forth with this
3	information?
4	MR. MARTEL: Yes. When he arrived
5	at the meeting, he seemed irritated, and I
6	couldn't immediately understand why maybe it
7	was because there hadn't been a visit for a very
8	long time and he immediately spoke in Arabic.
9	He spoke to the General, since he was there that
10	time. Yes, that's right.
11	MS. EDWARDH: And when you say he
12	seemed irritated, I'm going to suggest that a
13	better word would be that he seemed agitated.
14	MR. MARTEL: Maybe agitated, but
15	certainly irritated.
16	MS. EDWARDH: Is it your evidence,
17	sir, that when Mr. Arar came in he spent the first
18	five minutes speaking quickly in Arabic to General
19	Khalil?
20	MR. MARTEL: Yes. At first he
21	spoke in Arabic to the General, maybe not quite
22	five minutes, but for a good span of time, yes.
23	MS. EDWARDH: I'm sorry. You said
24	I think yesterday four or five minutes.
25	MR. MARTEL: Yes, possibly.

1	MS. EDWARDH: I take it you
2	couldn't understand that conversation?
3	MR. MARTEL: No, and no one was
4	translating.
5	MS. EDWARDH: Was it obvious to
6	you that he was extremely excited in his
7	conversation with the General?
8	MR. MARTEL: He was he was
9	irritated. He was talking to the General, and I
10	couldn't understand the meaning of the
11	conversation, but I knew that Maher Arar was
12	irritated about something, and he was telling the
13	General about it.
14	MS. EDWARDH: Did you understand
15	any part of that conversation, or did it entirely
16	escape you?
17	MR. MARTEL: It entirely escaped
18	me, except when he spoke to me in English, in the
19	middle of the conversation, to tell me the size of
20	his cell and that he was sleeping on the ground.
21	He said everything else in Arabic only.
22	MS. EDWARDH: So the only portion
23	of the discussion that was in English, I take it
24	you are saying he turned to you and then blurted
25	out the size of his cell?

1	MR. MARTEL: That's right. He
2	continued talking to the General after that.
3	MS. EDWARDH: In Arabic?
4	MR. MARTEL: In Arabic.
5	MS. EDWARDH: So their
6	conversation, I take it, after he had blurted out
7	"three by six by seven", you understood he was
8	talking about his cell?
9	MR. MARTEL: Yes, of course; and I
10	understood that it was, "My cell"
11	MS. EDWARDH: How did
12	MR. MARTEL: No, he said, "My cell
13	is very small, it's only three by six by seven,
14	and I'm sleeping on the ground". He said it in
15	English, then he continued talking to the General
16	in Arabic, so I let him finish his conversation
17	with the General.
18	MS. EDWARDH: Can you describe
19	whether his voice, the tone of his voice, was
20	louder than it usually was?
21	MR. MARTEL: He was irritated and
22	he let it show.
23	MS. EDWARDH: Now, that comment,
24	"three by six by seven, sleeping on the ground",
25	is in the middle of your note on the first page.

1	It is not at the beginning, or it doesn't stand
2	alone, Mr. Martel. So I'm going to suggest to you
3	that Mr. Arar didn't just break away from his
4	conversation with the General but that you had
5	asked him some questions that led to those
6	answers? Yes?
7	MR. MARTEL: Go ahead.
8	MS. EDWARDH: No. You have
9	implied that he was talking in Arabic, turned to
10	you, made the statement, and went back to the
11	General.
12	And clearly these notes do not
13	give rise to that implication. They give rise to
14	the implication that the reference to the cell
15	size is part of a general discussion that begins
16	to be recorded at the top under "Prison
17	Conditions" and ends with "mentally destroyed".
18	That all seems a coherent description.
19	MR. MARTEL: Well, what I remember
20	from that conversation with the General, which was
21	in Arabic, is that in the middle of the
22	conversation, he turned to me and gave me that
23	information in English, and I think that the
24	authorities were listening to him, and I didn't
25	understand what had happened. I know that

1	afterwards, Maher sat down, and we continued to
2	talk, I don't remember now, in English, I imagine,
3	because that's what I marked here, and that's when
4	he told me that he had been destroyed mentally,
5	etc. That's how I remember that incident.
6	MS. EDWARDH: All right. I'm
7	going to suggest to you, like anyone else,
8	Mr. Martel, that this event happened quite
9	quickly. This whole conversation from Arabic to
10	English would not have taken more than maybe 10,
11	12 minutes, and that your recollection is not
12	consistent with your note, because if you start to
13	make notes at the top of the page, you clearly got
14	information and asked something about prison
15	conditions.
16	MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's possible.
17	My notes are a blank page like this, and there are
18	three or four of them. I might very well have
19	started writing in the middle and looked for space
20	and gone to the top afterwards. They're
21	handwritten notes taken in very difficult
22	circumstances. I had it on my knees, a sheet of
23	paper, and I had to write. So it doesn't mean
24	that the chronology on this page is correct
25	either, since I wrote "New York Times article"

1	outside and "the General" at the bottom
2	afterwards. So it isn't necessarily it doesn't
3	necessarily mean that.
4	MS. EDWARDH: I see. I understand
5	it doesn't mean it, necessarily. Equally, it does
6	not mean that this was not the chronological order
7	of the conversation. It could have been; correct?
8	MR. MARTEL: I remember that in -
9	especially in the three, six, seven, he
LO	interrupted himself while he was talking to the
L1	General. He turned to me suddenly. He said, "But
L2	my cell is very small, it's only three by six by
L3	seven, and I'm sleeping on the floor". And he
L4	continued to talk to the General after, in Arabic.
L5	That's how that episode happened.
L6	MS. EDWARDH: And he said "I'm
L7	mentally destroyed".
L8	MR. MARTEL: He said that.
L9	MS. EDWARDH: Your note says:
20	Prison conditions. I will
21	tell you the truth. I'm
22	asking Syria to release me or
23	to see a judge. What Syrian
24	law or which Syrian law have
25	I broken?

1	And then, in accordance with the
2	question that you were there to ask, it says,
3	"Present condition". Not past condition, present
4	condition:
5	I have not been paralysed,
6	not beaten, not tortured.
7	That was the question you asked
8	because that is what you wanted to know,
9	Mr. Martel. You wanted to know if the Syrian
10	Human Rights letter to Monia was correct and that
11	Mr. Arar was currently the subject of torture.
12	That is why you were there.
13	MR. MARTEL: Yes. That was
14	already known to the public; obviously. It had
15	been published, and the Syrians certainly already
16	had a copy. And Maher told me that he hadn't been
17	paralysed, beaten or tortured, but I think that he
18	was already being prompted
19	MS. EDWARDH: And you ask him
20	I'm sorry, Mr. Martel. I didn't mean to
21	interrupt.
22	MR. MARTEL: No. I think he was
23	prompted to say that. It doesn't mean that he was
24	saying it freely. Because I told him that there
25	was some very negative information about him being

1	circulated in the press. I didn't say in
2	humanitarian organizations; I said, "There is a
3	lot of very negative press", and I wanted the
4	Syrians to understand that, and they were ready
5	for it. It was obvious that they were ready to
6	respond to it.
7	MS. EDWARDH: In fact, isn't what
8	you said to him that there has been a statement in
9	the media that you are presently being tortured,
10	and that is what you asked him in front of the
11	Syrians, because you could get away with that one
12	way of saying it, "The media has reported"
13	MR. MARTEL: The media
14	MS. EDWARDH: " has reported
15	that you are presently being tortured". And you
16	said, "Is that true, Mr. Arar?"
17	MR. MARTEL: I may not have said
18	"tortured", I may have used another word, but I
19	said, "There is some very negative press about
20	your condition", and then he's the one who came up
21	with those words; I think he was prompted by the
22	Syrians to say that to me. It didn't come from
23	him.
24	MS. EDWARDH: No, I don't think
25	so. Let's just take away the word "torture".

1	The one thing you would have said
2	that day was, "Mr. Arar, there are reports in the
3	media that you have been beaten recently, that you
4	are presently being beaten. Is that true?"
5	You would have said that?
6	MR. MARTEL: Something like that,
7	that there were negative reports in the press
8	about him. Yes.
9	MS. EDWARDH: About your current
10	position. That's my point, Mr. Martel.
11	MR. MARTEL: Yes.
12	MS. EDWARDH: You had just heard
13	that on July 29th he was presently being beaten on
14	a routine basis.
15	MR. MARTEL: Yes.
16	MS. EDWARDH: And you went in and
17	asked him that question, "The media is reporting
18	that you are being beaten now".
19	MR. MARTEL: Mm-hmm.
20	MS. EDWARDH: And he said "Not
21	now". He said, "Not now".
22	What did he say to you? Let's
23	read it.
24	MR. MARTEL: He said:
25	Present condition.

1	I'm the one who wrote "Present
2	condition".
3	He said:
4	I have not been paralysed,
5	not beaten, not tortured.
6	And I asked him to explain to me
7	what he meant.
8	MS. EDWARDH: No. Keep reading.
9	Keep reading, Mr. Martel.
10	MR. MARTEL: After that, I asked
11	him a question. I said, "Did they make your life
12	difficult? Did they give you a great deal of
13	trouble? Did they cause problems for you?" and so
14	on. So it's the question that isn't there,
15	obviously. Then he said to me, "At the
16	beginning. At the beginning, but very little".
17	That was his answer to my question.
18	So, you can't connect the two,
19	because there's a missing sentence the question
20	is missing. That's the question I asked him. I
21	couldn't say to him directly, for instance, "Did
22	they torture you?", but I said, for example, "How
23	did they treat you? Did they hurt you? Are they
24	treating you well? Are they making things
25	difficult for you?" and he answered, "At the

1	beginning, but very little". That's what he
2	replied.
3	MS. EDWARDH: I'm going to suggest
4	to you, Mr. Martel, that it is very clear that you
5	too made a distinction between the present and the
6	beginning, just as the Syrian Human Rights
7	Committee report did, and that he told you, "Not
8	now, but, yes, in the beginning"?
9	MR. MARTEL: Yes, but he didn't
10	tell me to what degree. I said, "Did they make
11	your life difficult?" And he answered, "Yes, at
12	the beginning, but very little".
13	MS. EDWARDH: I'm not interested
14	at what level. You knew from what he said, sir,
15	that he was conveying to you the information that
16	he had been abused at the beginning but not now.
17	That's what you understood.
18	MR. MARTEL: I understood that at
19	the beginning, yes, the Syrians had made his life
20	difficult.
21	MS. EDWARDH: And those
22	difficulties included that he was being beaten?
23	MR. MARTEL: That, I don't know.
24	MS. EDWARDH: And I take it that
25	if Mr. Arar said that to you, sir, he might well

1	have but you didn't write it down and you just
2	don't remember it today?
3	MR. MARTEL: No. If he had said
4	that he had been beaten, I would have written it
5	down and I would have reported it. I have nothing
6	to gain by failing to report a fact like that. If
7	my client had said, "Look, I'm being beaten", what
8	am I going to do? Am I going to hide that fact?
9	I have to report that fact to the Canadian
LO	authorities, who will then It isn't my problem
L1	after that. If I report a fact like that, if my
L2	client is being tortured
L3	MS. EDWARDH: You see, the problem
L4	with your position I'm sorry.
L5	MR. MARTEL: No, not at all.
L6	Look, if I report a fact like that, I'm
L7	transferring the decision to headquarters, to Gar
L8	Pardy, to the Minister and the others, not to
L9	myself. So, I have nothing to gain in hiding
20	those facts. If those are the facts, those are
21	the facts, and I have to convey them, and someone
22	at headquarters has to act accordingly and make a
23	decision.
24	MS. EDWARDH: The difficulty,
25	Mr. Martel, is very simple. He did tell you the

1	cell size, and you never reported that.
2	MR. MARTEL: Yes, I also asked him
3	about his cell.
4	MS. EDWARDH: Because you forgot.
5	MR. MARTEL: No. I asked him
6	about his cell and I asked him He said, "Yes,
7	my cell is very small and I'm sleeping on the
8	ground". And I asked him how the others were, and
9	he said, "As far as I know, we are all the same".
10	At that point, I didn't have all
11	the elements he told me afterwards. I didn't know
12	that his cell was underground. The cell was
13	small, yes. We all agree on that. I understood
14	that his cell was very small, but nothing
15	indicated at that point that his cell was so small
16	and was underground, and in the dark, with rats,
17	cockroaches and cats and all that. If you add all
18	that up, that's inhumane conditions, and I have to
19	report that.
20	MS. EDWARDH: Isn't that
21	Mr. Pardy's problem, Mr. Martel? Isn't it
22	Mr. Pardy's problem to put together if you
23	can't put it together what three by six by seven
24	means, you can't stand here, sir, in my respectful
25	submission, and say you knew it was small but not

1	that small.
2	MR. MARTEL: That's not what I'm
3	saying. I'm saying that the cell was very small
4	and he confirmed to me that all the inmates were
5	in the same situation, and according to my
6	guidelines, I'm being told that we must not ask
7	for special treatment, and after he confirmed that
8	everyone was in the same situation, at that point,
9	I didn't make the connection with inhumane
10	treatment. I didn't have all the details and
11	that's why it escaped me. Yesterday, I said
12	yesterday I said that if I had to do it over
13	again, I would put those numbers in.
14	MS. EDWARDH: You knew Mr. Arar
15	wasn't in general population because the Syrian
16	gaolers told you that he was separate from all
17	other inmates; right? They had told you that.
18	MR. MARTEL: Yes. Yes, they told
19	me he was separated from the others.
20	MS. EDWARDH: How would Mr. Arar
21	know about the conditions of confinement of other
22	inmates?
23	MR. MARTEL: I don't know. He
24	said, "As far as I know, we are all the same".
25	That's what he said to me.

1	MS. EDWARDH: Isn't that what you
2	thought? Isn't what you thought is, "Listen, they
3	are all sleeping on the floor"?
4	MR. MARTEL: That's what he said.
5	He said, "As far as I know, we are all the
6	same". He said it to me in English.
7	MS. EDWARDH: And, of course, you
8	will agree with me that Mr. Arar could not, in any
9	detail, have conveyed to you that his conditions
10	of confinement were like everyone else in that
11	institution because you knew that he didn't have
12	access to anyone.
13	MR. MARTEL: I didn't know that he
14	didn't have access to anyone. It was never
15	mentioned anywhere before that he didn't have
16	access to anyone.
17	MS. EDWARDH: Nonsense. You were
18	told by the Syrian authorities that Mr. Arar was
19	lucky he was in a separate cell and not mixing
20	with other detainees. You were told that, were
21	you not?
22	MR. MARTEL: Yes, but that didn't
23	mean that he was that he didn't have a
24	neighbour beside him or two cells away.
25	Nor did it mean that he was

1	isolated and that there was no one where he was
2	being detained, in a cell, and that he saw no one.
3	Nothing indicated that.
4	The Syrians in their minds,
5	they tried to make me understand that he was
6	and I'm sure, when I place myself in their shoes,
7	that that's what they were thinking.
8	MS. EDWARDH: All right. Now, in
9	terms of your memory, sir, I take it you have
10	agreed with Mr. Cavalluzzo that on October 7th,
11	when you told the group of officials you were
12	briefing, that you told them and we don't have
13	to go back over this that Mr. Arar had told you
14	in the first two weeks he had been beaten and then
15	subsequently you wrote a number of memoranda;
16	right?
17	In those memoranda you said
18	Mr. Arar did not say he had been beaten.
19	MR. MARTEL: Yes. I explained
20	that yesterday, too.
21	MS. EDWARDH: Because you forgot.
22	MR. MARTEL: I explained yesterday
23	that Maher and I had taken the plane together and
24	on board, for two days
25	You have to remember, this was

1	Sunday morning. We spent the entire day. We went
2	to the detention centre. He left with me. We
3	took the plane that night. We left for Europe.
4	We didn't sleep. We arrived in Ottawa on Monday
5	night. Let's say at four or five o'clock in the
6	morning for me. And at 10 o'clock, I had a
7	meeting in the Department.
8	I had been travelling for two
9	whole days, without sleeping. And it was the same
10	for him.
11	And then I I was asked, without
12	notes, because I didn't take any notes, none, on
13	the entire trip. And then they said to me, and I
14	didn't expect it, but they said, "Tell us what
15	happened on the trip".
16	So I told them as best I could
17	from memory after those two days of travel.
18	Now, as you're saying, I forgot
19	afterwards. A month later, someone from the
20	Political Section said to me, "Yes, but we want a
21	report".
22	And I sent the report they asked
23	for. And it had holes in it. I have to admit, it
24	had holes in it.
25	But everyone who received that

1	report
2	MS. EDWARDH: Because you forgot.
3	You forgot that Mr. Arar told you that he was
4	beaten in the first two weeks. That is your
5	evidence, isn't it, Mr. Martel?
6	MR. MARTEL: I forgot to include
7	that fact in my final report, but I said it. I
8	didn't hide it. I said it at the meeting. I said
9	what he had said to me.
10	Except that a month later
11	MS. EDWARDH: I understand that.
12	At the meeting you
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Let him finish
14	the answer.
15	MS. EDWARDH: I'm sorry.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: And I do have
17	the point, really. It is quite clear that he said
18	several times that he did forget, that he left it
19	out.
20	MS. EDWARDH: If you are content
21	with what the Commissioner has said, your
22	description of it, I'm going to suggest to you,
23	sir, as well, that while you forgot this important
24	point, as well when Mr. Arar told you that he was
25	presently not being beaten or tortured, that he

1	was not paralysed, but that he had been earlier,
2	that that is what that phrase means, that brief
3	phrase that isn't completed. And, sir,
4	unfortunately you have just forgot it again, the
5	same kind of thing.
6	MR. MARTEL: No.
7	MS. EDWARDH: No.
8	MR. MARTEL: I'm sorry. I'm
9	sorry, but that's not it.
10	He told me what he told me. "I
11	was not paralysed and I wasn't beaten. I wasn't
12	tortured".
13	And when I asked him afterwards,
14	"Did they make your life difficult?" because he
15	was he was irritated. He behaved in an
16	irritated manner.
17	So I said, "Have they made your
18	life difficult?" because I couldn't ask questions
19	that were too direct. And he said, "Yes. At the
20	beginning, but not very much. Not as much as
21	that".
22	MS. EDWARDH: And what did you
23	understand? If this is your recollection, what
24	did you understand about the problems? What
25	message was he giving you, Mr. Martel?

1	MR. MARTEL: Well, I think he was
2	telling me that initially, at the beginning, when
3	he told me that the interrogation was more
4	intense, it had been more difficult for him.
5	Maybe they were depriving him of
6	sleep. I don't know what they did to him. He
7	couldn't give me those details. I didn't know.
8	But
9	MS. EDWARDH: You don't remember
10	what he said specifically, do you?
11	MR. MARTEL: No. He said, "At the
12	beginning. At the beginning. At the beginning,
13	but very little". That's what he said to me. I
14	remember that that's what he said to me. He said
15	it clearly. "At the beginning, but very little".
16	That's what he said to me.
17	Pause
18	MS. EDWARDH: I want to ask you
19	just a couple more questions and then I will sit
20	down.
21	On the plane on your way back,
22	when you stopped over from you flew from Syria
23	to Jordan, and Jordan to Paris. Is that correct?
24	MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's right.
25	MS. EDWARDH: I would like you to

1	tell the Commissioner what Mr. Arar's response was
2	when he was told to leave the plane in Jordan,
3	because you had to disembark.
4	Is that correct?
5	MR. MARTEL: Yes. When we left
6	Damascus, there was already an embargo on on
7	the fact that he had been released.
8	So, we agreed with Ottawa that as
9	of 2:00 a.m., our time, we could announce the
10	news, even publicly.
11	But what we didn't realize was
12	that at that time, Air France had changed its
13	flights because of a lack of passengers and
14	We were doing a reverse route,
15	actually. We were leaving Damascus and going to
16	Aman for a technical stopover and to take on
17	passengers.
18	As soon as we had lifted off, the
19	pilot welcomed us aboard and announced that we had
20	a technical stopover in Aman. Naturally, Maher
21	panicked at that point because he had already come
22	from Jordan.
23	So I told him, "Don't worry. You
24	mustn't worry, because it's a technical stopover.
25	We don't even have to get off the plane. We both

1	have our luggage on board. And you're with me.
2	You have nothing to worry about".
3	So he calmed down and but when
4	we got to Aman, after we landed, there was another
5	problem. A passenger hadn't shown up, so there
6	was one suitcase too many. So the crew asked us
7	to disembark.
8	Then I said, "We don't have to
9	disembark. We don't have any luggage". Because
10	they wanted us to identify all the luggage in the
11	baggage hold.
12	I said, "We don't have to because
13	we don't have any luggage". They said that we had
14	to go anyway.
15	So I said to Maher we were
16	sitting in the front of the plane. I said, "Okay,
17	let's go. We'll make this very quick and exit at
18	the front. We don't need to stop and look at the
19	luggage. We'll get back on in the back and come
20	back to our seats".
21	So that's what we did. We
22	disembarked quickly. We walked around the plane.
23	We re-embarked and sat down in our seats. So when
24	the officers of the Jordanian officers came on
25	board and I told Maher, "Don't look. Don't

1	look. Look outside. Don't pay any attention to
2	them. In any case, you're with me, you have
3	nothing to worry about".
4	Does that answer your question?
5	MS. EDWARDH: Yes. The answer I
6	think conveys that Mr. Arar was quite panicked and
7	fearful when he landed in Jordan and was asked to
8	leave the aircraft.
9	MR. MARTEL: Yes, of course. I
10	had to reassure him.
11	MS. EDWARDH: And it was clear to
12	you that one of the things he was terribly afraid
13	of was that he would be taken into custody again
14	because he had come through Jordan.
15	MR. MARTEL: Exactly.
16	But I told him, "It's not going to
17	happen. You're with me, so don't worry".
18	MS. EDWARDH: I understand your
19	attempt at I'm trying to capture for the
20	Commissioner how frightened he was that the
21	Jordanians had handed him over once, and that he
22	might well be detained and taken from your
23	presence and put wherever he might be put.
24	I mean, that was obvious that he
25	was frightened of that?

1	MR. MARTEL: He was very
2	uncomfortable.
3	MS. EDWARDH: And did he convey to
4	you at all, Mr. Martel, why he was afraid, why he
5	didn't want to talk about his detention?
6	I know you did speak over the
7	course of the two days. He gave you some
8	information. But when you got off the plane, it
9	had been clear to you that he really didn't want
10	to fully discuss it at that time.
11	Isn't that fair?
12	MR. MARTEL: No, he yes, that's
13	right.
14	He didn't want he didn't want
15	to talk about that episode.
16	MS. EDWARDH: The details?
17	MR. MARTEL: Yes. He gave me a
18	few details here and there. He wanted when he
19	wanted to talk to me, he did.
20	But most of the time, he would say
21	to me or if I asked him a question, for
22	instance, he would say, "I'm not ready. I'm not
23	ready to talk".
24	So I had to respect my client's
25	wishes. I couldn't push him.

1	I wasn't conducting an
2	examination, so if he didn't want to give me
3	details about his detention, I couldn't insist.
4	Other clients have given me three
5	full pages in telling me their stories. But Maher
6	didn't want to. He had suffered a great deal
7	emotionally, and it showed.
8	I had to respect that.
9	MS. EDWARDH: It was clear to you
10	he wasn't ready to have a detailed conversation?
11	MR. MARTEL: No, he didn't want
12	to. He didn't want to, and he was sometimes very
13	up emotionally, and sometimes down.
14	So it was hard for him.
15	MS. EDWARDH: And one of the
16	things you queried or asked about was what
17	happened to him in the USA. Do you remember?
18	Do you remember trying to obtain
19	some information about what had gone on in the
20	United States?
21	MR. MARTEL: Maybe I was still
22	trying to gather the dates and of his departure
23	and all that. And I hadn't gotten all the details
24	on on his transfer, his deportation. We might
25	have talked about it.

1	But over the two days, we talked
2	about all kinds of things. There were some things
3	he wanted to tell me, and others, not.
4	So, I don't remember. His
5	detention in the United States, I don't remember.
6	MS. EDWARDH: Well
7	MR. MARTEL: Wait. I think that
8	I think he told me that the American
9	authorities had been quite harsh with him and that
10	he hadn't I don't know that he hadn't been
11	given enough to eat. Something of the sort.
12	MS. EDWARDH: And do you recall
13	asking him, or do you recall him saying that he
14	didn't want to talk about the evidence that they
15	had put to him?
16	He didn't mention to you about his
17	lease, did he? He didn't tell you that the
18	American authorities had a copy of some part of a
19	lease agreement that could only have come from
20	Canada? He didn't say that to you?
21	MR. MARTEL: I don't remember.
22	No. He said no.
23	MS. EDWARDH: Okay. And would it
24	surprise you if I were to suggest to you that he
25	was deleting that kind of reference in his

1	conversation because he was afraid that once you
2	learned that, you might turn him in too, send him
3	back?
4	MR. MARTEL: I don't know.
5	Possibly.
6	Possibly. He was quite open with
7	me. He gave me some information
8	MS. EDWARDH: About some things.
9	MR. MARTEL: Yes, about mostly
10	about the major difficulties, the big ordeal he
11	had been put through and all that. He talked
12	about that at length.
13	I believe there was, all in all -
14	a climate of trust between us. And my role wasn't
15	to bring him back to Canada and deliver him to the
16	authorities, it was to bring him back to his
17	family.
18	MS. EDWARDH: I understand. I
19	know that was your role. I'm talking about
20	whether you appreciated how fearful he was, even
21	fearful of you?
22	MR. MARTEL: Maybe, but he seemed
23	very, very comfortable with me. When he left the
24	prison, we went outside together. We went to eat.
25	I brought him to my home. And

1	I don't think he was really afraid
2	of me. I explained my role to him. He knew he
3	already knew.
4	I was the one who had always
5	transmitted messages to and from his family, as
6	well as Monia's [] and photos of his children.
7	So he we had established a
8	fairly close relationship with each other.
9	And when we travelled together, I
10	was worried too, even in Paris, so I asked for
11	assistance from our Embassy while we were in
12	transit.
13	MS. EDWARDH: Mr. Martel, so as
14	not to leave anyone with the wrong impression,
15	since you deposited Mr. Arar on Canadian soil, it
16	is my understanding you have had a single
17	conversation with him, and that conversation
18	occurred when you were here to testify?
19	MR. MARTEL: Yes. Exactly. I
20	spoke to him in June. The last time I was here.
21	MS. EDWARDH: Yes. You have not
22	had any other conversations?
23	MR. MARTEL: No. We've said hello
24	and that's all.
25	MS. EDWARDH: And that

1	conversation that you had took place in the
2	cafeteria here when you wanted to talk to him
3	about the lawsuit?
4	MR. MARTEL: No, I was I was
5	with my counsel at the time, and someone said,
6	"Maher's over there".
7	I asked if I could go and speak to
8	him and they said I could.
9	So I I went to see Maher. We
10	chitchatted at first. He said, "Oh! I didn't
11	recognize you right away. You've changed", and
12	all that.
13	And after that conversation, the
14	issue of the photo came up. I said, "The
15	Commission attached a lot of importance to that
16	photo I took of you".
17	And I said, "You know, I'm very
18	worried about that photo". He said, "It's
19	nothing".
20	So he didn't and afterwards, I
21	also said, "I don't know I don't know why"
22	MS. EDWARDH: You were concerned
23	with the picture because it had never been a
24	document that you intended to publicly put out,
25	but yet it was publicly widely displayed; correct?

1	MR. MARTEL: Actually, I gave it
2	to the Commission when the Commission asked me for
3	it, but before that it hadn't that photo had
4	never been published anywhere.
5	MR. CAVALLUZZO: I would like to
6	object to that in terms of I think the witness is
7	confused as to the Commission asked for it and he
8	gave it to the Commission.
9	MS. EDWARDH: Well, we will let
10	that factual question remain with you,
11	Mr. Commissioner.
12	But I just want to put it to you
13	that you did ask him about the lawsuit and you
14	made it clear to Mr. Arar in that conversation,
15	which was also present in front of one of our
16	colleagues, you made it clear to him that you
17	didn't call him a liar personally.
18	Do you recall saying that to him?
19	MR. MARTEL: I remember
20	MS. EDWARDH: There is a
21	distinction that you made. "Something may be a lie
22	and a person may not be a liar".
23	Do you recall saying that to him?
24	MR. MARTEL: I remember a
25	conversation we had in June. I I told him how

1	surprised I was at having been named in the
2	lawsuit.
3	And I don't think there were any
4	other details.
5	After that, he explained why I was
6	named. And I understood. And I closed that
7	chapter.
8	For me, that was all. I had
9	understood the judicial or legal process. That's
10	all. Nothing more.
11	MS. EDWARDH: One of the things
12	you said, sir, you said to your lawyer that, "It's
13	a lie". But you wanted Mr. Arar to know that you
14	weren't saying that he was a liar.
15	I want to put it to you that that
16	is what you said in front of him and that is what
17	you said in front of a woman who was standing
18	directly beside him when you had this conversation
19	in the cafeteria?
20	MR. MARTEL: Maybe I said that
21	according to some reports, I was being accused of
22	saying he was lying, but I was just referring to
23	the lawsuit.
24	In the lawsuit, I said that it was
25	a big lie, that I never and he knows this I

1	never, never would have missed an opportunity to
2	visit him.
3	MS. EDWARDH: You also know that
4	you have in your own mind never, ever, said that
5	Mr. Arar lied to you about what happened to him in
6	Syria?
7	MR. MARTEL: No. When he lied, he
8	lied under pressure. It wasn't deliberate.
9	Apart from that, he always told me
10	the truth.
11	MS. EDWARDH: Thank you.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you,
13	Ms. Edwardh.
14	MS. EDWARDH: I'm sorry,
15	Mr. Commissioner, I have two very brief questions.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, I'm sorry.
17	MS. EDWARDH: There is probably
18	going to be an objection to these questions so
19	everybody wake up.
20	Laughter / Rires
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Don't answer
22	the question.
23	MS. EDWARDH: When Mr. Arar was
24	leaving, finally, one of the persons in attendance
25	was a man that we will call George. Do you

1	remember that man?
2	MR. MARTEL: Yes, of course.
3	MS. EDWARDH: Can you describe to
4	the Commissioner, please, George's demeanour on
5	the very last occasion when Mr. Arar left his
6	control?
7	MR. MARTEL: Well, when when we
8	parted company in what was called the yard,
9	because there was a yard, sort of, a big yard.
10	The Embassy car was there.
11	After we had all had coffee
12	together Maher was free, we were leaving and
13	everyone was very happy officials escorted us
14	outside and before we left, everyone shook hands.
15	As we were leaving, Maher said
16	something to me that to this day, I still don't
17	understand. Maybe he'll be able to explain it to
18	me afterwards. Later. Much later. He said that
19	as he was leaving, George cried.
20	That's all I remember about George
21	at that moment.
22	MS. EDWARDH: Do you remember
23	seeing George cry?
24	MR. MARTEL: No, I didn't I
2.5	didn't see him cry, but I saw George. George was

1	there.
2	But if Maher told me that George
3	was crying as we left, he was crying.
4	MS. EDWARDH: Did you, Mr. Martel,
5	have, beyond a formal relationship with the
6	persons you have described today, a personal
7	relationship with any of the persons inside
8	Military Intelligence or with the interpreter that
9	was not just a purely professional relationship?
10	MR. MARTEL: No, I'm I'm not
11	allowed to have a relationship with them. They're
12	not allowed to either.
13	I can't even have lunch with them,
14	with one of the members. I'm given a different
15	contact for each case. It isn't always the same
16	person.
17	Up until the time I left, I had
18	someone else
19	MS. EDWARDH: You have answered
20	the question.
21	MR. MARTEL: Thank you.
22	MS. EDWARDH: The next question is
23	we have heard that Ambassador Franco Pillarella
24	had a remarkable connection, better than anyone
25	else's, to Syrian Military Intelligence, a very

1	good connection, the best that Canada could have.
2	Did Franco Pillarella have a
3	personal relationship with anyone in Syrian
4	Military Intelligence?
5	MR. MARTEL: Not as far as I know.
6	Except with the person you just mentioned. I
7	think he met that person two or four times
8	MS. EDWARDH: George?
9	MR. MARTEL: No; the General.
10	MS. EDWARDH: General Khalil?
11	MR. MARTEL: No. The Ambassador
12	doesn't know George.
13	MS. EDWARDH: And can you just
14	assist us as to
15	MR. DECARY: So do I.
16	MS. EDWARDH: Can you just assist
17	us, sir, as to whether the Ambassador's
18	relationship with General Khalil had both a
19	professional and a personal component to it?
20	MR. MARTEL: I don't think there
21	was a personal relationship. I don't think so.
22	You should address this question
23	to the Ambassador. He doesn't speak to me much
24	about his relations; I don't know whom he invites
25	to his home. I see them when I'm there but those

1	people don't usually accept invitations, so they
2	don't go anywhere.
3	So it would surprise me if he had,
4	say, a truly personal relationship, but you should
5	ask him.
6	MS. EDWARDH: Fine. I appreciate
7	that. He just happens not to be here. You are,
8	Mr. Martel.
9	Last question in the same area:
10	Do you know whether any of the General's children
11	or grandchildren or their spouses have travelled
12	to Canada while Franco Pillarella was the
13	Ambassador, for medical care or for delivery of
14	children?
15	MR. MARTEL: That's an Immigration
16	matter; it's not my area at all.
17	MS. EDWARDH: Well, if in fact
18	General Khalil or his grandchildren had applied
19	for a visa to Canada, it is a question that would
20	be addressed by the Embassy in Damascus.
21	MR. MARTEL: Yes, but not by the
22	Ambassador.
23	MS. EDWARDH: But not by you?
24	MR. MARTEL: No, not at all. It's
25	completely forbidden. We can't even intervene, we

1	can't even make suggestions; quite the contrary.
2	It's my work, so maybe I can't talk about it in
3	public, but it's the opposite.
4	MS. EDWARDH: Well, no, it's fine
5	to say that there are rules that prohibit you from
6	intervening. Are you suggesting that the
7	Ambassador cannot facilitate the request of a
8	foreign national to obtain a visa
9	MR. MARTEL: He's forbidden from
10	doing that. He can't.
11	MS. EDWARDH: And to your well,
12	then I will leave that.
13	I gave to your counsel yesterday
14	an article, asking that you take a moment to look
15	at it.
16	It is an article,
17	Mr. Commissioner, dated October 10th, 2003. It is
18	an English article written by Mr. Jeff Sallot.
19	I gave this to you because I
20	wanted to ask a question. This of course is
21	written and I would like it to be marked as the
22	next exhibit, Mr. Commissioner.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: What number are
24	we at?
25	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Two hundred and

1	forty-seven.
2	EXHIBIT NO. P-247: Article
3	written by Jeff Sallot
4	MS. EDWARDH: Thank you,
5	Mr. Cavalluzzo.
6	It is written just a few days
7	after your meeting with the colleagues that you
8	briefed at the Department of Foreign Affairs.
9	Is that correct?
10	MR. MARTEL: Yes; in early
11	October, yes.
12	MS. EDWARDH: Yes. The meeting
13	was October 7th, as I understand it, and this is
14	written October 10th. In the top left there is a
15	date where this was published.
16	MR. MARTEL: Yes, I see it.
17	MS. EDWARDH: What is quoted in
18	this document is that an official or it says:
19	The officials say they have
20	not yet learned any details
21	from Mr. Arar about his
22	arrest by U.S. authorities 13
23	months ago and treatment
24	after his deportation to the
25	Middle East.

## StenoTran

1	It goes on:
2	All they know from
3	preliminary conversations
4	with him is that he was
5	slapped around by Jordanians
6	during the 8 to 10 hours he
7	was in that country and held
8	in very bad conditions in
9	Syria.
10	Were you the source for that
11	story, Mr. Martel?
12	MR. MARTEL: No. I had no contact
13	with was that a reporter, whom I met today?
14	MS. EDWARDH: No. My question is
15	simply whether you gave an interview that led to
16	this description
17	MR. MARTEL: No, I'm not
18	authorized to give interviews to the press. So my
19	answer is no, I'm not the source.
20	MS. EDWARDH: Do you recall seeing
21	this story?
22	MR. MARTEL: No, I don't remember.
23	MS. EDWARDH: And we can agree,
24	sir, that if what is released to the public by an
25	official on October the 10th, 2003, is that simply

1	that "Mr. Arar was slapped around by Jordanians
2	and/or held in just bad conditions in Syria", that
3	is an extremely inaccurate portrayal of all the
4	information you had at hand.
5	MR. MARTEL: I don't know who the
6	source was for that, but it wasn't me. It was
7	certainly
8	MS. EDWARDH: Fair enough. I
9	appreciate it wasn't you, sir. But whoever said
10	these things certainly was not putting out the
11	full picture. That's all I'm saying.
12	Do you agree?
13	MR. MARTEL: Yes, of course. I
14	don't know who was the source and it doesn't
15	reflect the whole story.
16	MS. EDWARDH: Fair enough.
17	Could I have just two minutes?
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Sure. Go
19	ahead.
20	Pause
21	MS. EDWARDH: Mr. Commissioner,
22	there are a couple of documents that I should file
23	that I don't believe Mr. Cavalluzzo filed.
24	We referred to some litigation or
25	lawsuits, and I believe there are copies of the

1	Federal Court lawsuit that are sitting on a pile
2	on Mr. Cavalluzzo's desk, and I think we should
3	file them as an exhibit.
4	I have copies of the Provincial
5	Court lawsuit. You have them there?
6	I would like them to be filed as
7	an exhibit. And then I have a couple of other
8	documents that I would like to do as well.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Is this the
LO	Provincial one?
L1	MS. EDWARDH: This is the Superior
L2	Court.
L3	THE COMMISSIONER: Two hundred and
L4	forty-eight.
L5	EXHIBIT NO. P-248: Superior
L6	Court lawsuit
L7	MS. EDWARDH: And could I ask that
L8	the Federal Court lawsuit be filed as Exhibit 249.
L9	THE COMMISSIONER: Two hundred and
20	forty-nine will be the Federal lawsuit.
21	EXHIBIT NO. P-249: Federal
22	Court lawsuit
23	MS. EDWARDH: There was some
24	suggestion that there may be some other public
) 5	documents. One of them of course is the lawsuit

1	filed in respect of Syria, and I think we can do
2	this by way of agreement, but I think it is an
3	important fact, Mr. Commissioner.
4	Is that the Syrian lawsuit?
5	THE COMMISSIONER: No. This is
6	the Federal Court.
7	MS. EDWARDH: Oh, the Federal
8	Court. This is the Federal Court.
9	With respect to the Syrian
10	lawsuit, it was indeed filed prior to February,
11	but I think Ms. McIsaac is in agreement with me
12	that there is no mention of Mr. Martel in it.
13	It is a lawsuit against the
14	Government of Syria.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Do we need to
16	file it then?
17	MS. EDWARDH: I don't think so.
18	If Ms. McIsaac is content with the assertion of
19	that fact, then I don't think we do.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Then we don't
21	need to, yes.
22	MS. EDWARDH: But if there is any
23	dispute about that
24	THE COMMISSIONER: He is not a
25	defendant.

1	MS. EDWARDH: He is not a
2	defendant and none of the facts that are described
3	in that lawsuit bear upon what the witness has
4	said today.
5	MS. McISAAC: Why don't we file it
6	because I have not read it.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Two hundred and
8	fifty will be the lawsuit against Syria.
9	EXHIBIT NO. P-250: Syrian
10	lawsuit
11	Pause
12	MS. EDWARDH: Ms. McIsaac, we do
13	not have the one handy. I thought we did.
14	Perhaps what we could do, could we
15	tentatively mark it and we will produce it oh,
16	you have got a copy? Thank you, Ms. McIsaac.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you,
18	Ms. McIsaac. It will be 250.
19	MS. EDWARDH: And the other public
20	document that I would like to file as a source of
21	reference by way of exclusion, if nothing else.
22	Mr. Arar, on basis of an affidavit
23	filed, applied for leave to intervene in the
24	Basari litigation and an affidavit was filed in
25	support of that litigation. There was some

1	suggestion earlier that this might be a source for
2	the witness' recollection, and I'm going to ask
3	that it be filed.
4	There is in it no reference at all
5	to Mr. Martel.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: The only
7	purpose you are filing the affidavit is not to
8	prove the facts but just as a matter of exclusion,
9	as you say.
10	MS. EDWARDH: Exclusion.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: If we can agree
12	upon that, we don't need to file it.
13	Ms. McIsaac, are you familiar with
14	that affidavit?
15	No. Then it
16	Pause
17	MS. EDWARDH: My friend is content
18	that the references the witnesses have referred to
19	are not in fact in the affidavit of Maher Arar
20	filed in the Basari lawsuit.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Then we
22	don't need to file that. That is on the record.
23	MS. EDWARDH: I don't believe I
24	need to file the last document.
25	Thank you, very much,

## StenoTran

1	Mr. Commissioner. Thank you, Mr. Martel.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Ms.
3	Edwardh.
4	Ms. Jackman?
5	MR. ATKEY: Commissioner, before
6	Ms. Jackman starts, there was a housekeeping
7	matter.
8	With respect to Tab 20 of
9	Mr. Martel's newly redacted documents, Mr. Baxter
10	was going to get instructions about removal of
11	further redactions from this document, which is
12	the 2003 Report on Human Rights, Democratic
13	Development and Good Governance, which is almost
14	entirely redacted except for one paragraph and yet
15	received wide distribution throughout many, many
16	departments of government and agencies.
17	I wonder if there are any
18	instructions about removal of redactions. It
19	would be helpful have this information.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you,
21	Mr. Atkey.
22	MR. BAXTER: My understanding,
23	sir, was that we undertook to look and determine
24	if Mr. El Maati was mentioned in is this the
25	2003?

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
2	MR. BAXTER: I'm advised that
3	there is no mention of the El Maati case in there.
4	That is what we have been able to determine.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: So we can make
6	that clear.
7	Mr. Atkey raises a slightly
8	different point. It is just the extent of the
9	redactions. I appreciate that throughout the
10	public hearings the government has further
11	redacted documents. I think the point Mr. Atkey
12	makes is this might be one that would be a good
13	target for reconsideration. So if the government
14	could do that, and if there is to be removal of
15	redacted portions, then let the Commission know
16	and we will make the re-redacted document
17	available publicly.
18	MS. EDWARDH: Mr. Commissioner,
19	you had also made a request of government counsel
20	to consider providing this document to Mr. Stephen
21	Toope. You may recall that in your ruling.
22	I have no knowledge of whether or
23	not that invitation of the Government of Canada
24	has been accepted and it has been provided, but I
25	would ask through you if they would be prepared to

1	disclose whether it has and then, of course, I
2	might want to add a piece of transcript from
3	today's proceedings.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
5	Do you know the answer to that,
6	Mr. Cavalluzzo?
7	MR. CAVALLUZZO: No, I don't.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: I don't know
9	the answer to that. That is something that we can
10	pursue. I think Mr. Toope's report won't be
11	prepared for a few weeks yet, so we can look into
12	that.
13	MS. McISAAC: Well, my
14	understanding is any documents that Mr. Toope
15	wanted would be provided through you, sir, through
16	the Commission.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. That's
18	what I'm saying.
19	MS. McISAAC: Sorry.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: What I'm saying
21	is I don't know if the government's provided it to
22	the Commission and we passed it on to Mr. Toope.
23	MS. McISAAC: The Commission
24	certainly has it, sir.

THE COMMISSIONER: There was a

25

1	question of whether or not we could give it to
2	Mr. Toope
3	MS. McISAAC: I thought we dealt
4	with that the other day, sir.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, did we?
6	MS. McISAAC: Yes.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: I must confess
8	I'm
9	MR. BAXTER: This document, you
10	have it unredacted and it was the subject matter
11	of certain in-camera testimony through witnesses.
12	So I think what Ms. McIsaac is saying is if
13	Mr. Toope wants it, the Commission has it.
14	MS. EDWARDH: In fairness to
15	Mr. Toope, I don't know if he has security
16	clearance. I think what you were asking the
17	government to do is to consider releasing it to
18	him for his purposes on a confidential basis.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: But what I'm
20	hearing from the Government now and I must
21	confess, if they have done this already then I
22	should recall it. But the government has no
23	objection to us releasing this document in
24	unredacted form to Mr. Toope.
25	Is that what I hear?

1	MS. McISAAC: No. Sir, I thought
2	we had a discussion about Mr. Toope's access to
3	documents. Maybe I'm making things up.
4	MR. BAXTER: It is getting late.
5	MS. McISAAC: Perhaps we can deal
6	with this, not wasting the public's time.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
8	Let's leave it at that.
9	Ms. Jackman, do you have any
10	questions of this witness?
11	MS. JACKMAN: I just have a couple
12	of questions.
13	EXAMINATION
14	MS. JACKMAN: Mr. Martel, it would
15	appear from Exhibits 134 and 242 that there were a
16	progression of measures taken with respect to
17	Mr. Arar in relation to his detention in Syria,
18	and what I can see of the many documents that have
19	been attached of the meetings between the
20	Ambassador and General Khalil, or the Syrian
21	Deputy Foreign Minister, the phone calls between
22	our Minister of Foreign Affairs and their Minister
23	and their Ambassador, that there were maybe four
24	points:
25	First, all of that contact related

1	only to Maher Arar. Second, it was made clear to
2	the Syrians that he was not wanted for any offence
3	in Canada. Third, Canada wanted him to come home,
4	back to Canada. And, fourth, that he is a
5	Canadian citizen.
6	And so I'm wondering, given that
7	Mr. Almalki is detained this whole time, what was
8	he, chopped liver?
9	Like, what happened with
10	Mr. Almalki? There is nothing here. There is no
11	redaction showing that they were talking about two
12	people in Syria, just Mr. Arar.
13	MR. MARTEL: Well, as far as I
14	know, Mr. Almalki was detained in August 2002,
15	maybe, around that time.
16	MS. JACKMAN: May.
17	MR. MARTEL: In May, and at that
18	time, when we were notified, meaning the Embassy,
19	maybe by Foreign Affairs, I'm not quite sure who
20	notified us initially, we sent a diplomatic note
21	to the Syrian authorities requesting informing
22	them that we believed we had a Canadian citizen
23	who had been arrested and was being detained, and
24	we requested information about that inmate, the
25	usual diplomatic note, and to see him.

1	And I read in the file later on
2	that the family
3	MS. JACKMAN: I don't want to take
4	all day. I just want to know, in terms of when
5	you went to the jail, for example, when Mr. Graham
6	was talking to the officials, could they not have
7	just said, you know, there's Mr. Almalki as well?
8	Why didn't you ask, "Is he in the
9	same jail?"
10	MR. MARTEL: Well, this is what I
11	have already talked about with other counsel here.
12	What I mean is of course. Mr. Arar was an
13	exceptional case because of his deportation, while
14	the other Canadian Syrians had gone to Syria of
15	their own accord, and when the Syrian authorities
16	take a very firm position by telling us
17	They answered that in Mr.
18	Almalki's case: "He's a Syrian citizen, yes, we
19	are detaining him and we are detaining him
20	according to our own laws, and, no, you cannot see
21	him". That's what we were told.
22	So, when they take such a hard-
23	line position, the Minister has to intervene, or
24	someone from the very top, to change that.
25	MS. JACKMAN: And there was no

1	intervention from the Minister?
2	MR. MARTEL: As far as I know, the
3	Minister didn't intervene, and I also think that
4	the family didn't want to make waves. He has
5	family over there and they didn't want his name to
6	be made public; the family didn't want his name to
7	be made public.
8	MS. JACKMAN: We are not talking
9	about being made public. I'm talking about
LO	pressuring the Syrians, not making anything
L1	public.
L2	MR. MARTEL: There wasn't any
L3	pressure, and maybe I may be wrong, but I still
L4	feel today that the family didn't want us to apply
L5	any strong pressure that in the end would make it
L6	public.
L7	MS. JACKMAN: Okay. I think there
L8	is probably a disagreement in terms of what the
L9	family wanted. That is already a matter of
20	record.
21	But I just want to know, would you
22	not agree that Mr. Almalki and others in Canada
23	could come to the conclusion that he was less
24	worthy than Mr. Arar, or was it just that Canada
2.5	only acts when there is publicity?

1	MR. MARTEL: No. You have to make
2	the distinction; that is, we always take the same
3	approach for every Canadian who is being detained,
4	and we had tons in Syria in the years when I was
5	serving.
6	Each detainee, obviously, is
7	entitled to all the services, but the Syrian
8	State's position in those cases is very hard-line,
9	and when we try to find out if they are being
10	detained, we don't even get an answer or we get
11	one six months later or we get one after they've
12	left the country.
13	So, there's no there's no
14	serious co-operation with the Syrian authorities.
15	They say, "Ah! He's Syrian, it's none of your
16	business. Why are you asking? It isn't your
17	problem; he's one of our citizens".
18	The position is very hard-line in
19	that part of the world. They don't want to know
20	about dual citizenship; they agree that the person
21	acquired another nationality later on, but they
22	say they're keeping him because he didn't do his
23	military service.
24	They have all kinds of reasons.
25	MS. JACKMAN: I don't want to get

1	into an argument with you. But there are two
2	things: One is that Mr. El Maati and Mr. Nureddin
3	were not Syrian citizens. Mr. El Maati and Mr.
4	Nureddin, neither of them were citizens of Syria,
5	were they
6	MR. MARTEL: Exactly.
7	MS. JACKMAN: You don't need to
8	answer. I'm just pointing that out.
9	And second, the fact that Mr. Arar
10	was a dual citizen didn't stop you from pressuring
11	in his case. Again, you don't want to answer. I
12	don't want to drag this out. I just wanted to
13	make the point.
14	My second question is that it is
15	apparent again from this record and your testimony
16	today, even though you may have minimized it, that
17	there was a serious concern about mistreatment in
18	Syria for Mr. Arar.
19	From the very beginning when he is
20	detained, that first memo from October 23rd, at
21	Tab 3 in Exhibit 134, there was a concern about
22	him being "aggressively" questioned. Through that
23	time, there are indicators that there is torture
24	going on.
25	In August of 2002, Mr. El Maati

1	says he was tortured. In December of 2002, Mr.
2	Arar's brother talks about it. The Syrian Human
3	Rights Committee comes up with a report. On
4	August 14th, Mr. Arar tells you some details
5	and again, I'm not going to argue with you about
6	what they were about his treatment.
7	Did that not cause you concern in
8	terms of Mr. Almalki? Was there any follow-up
9	with the Syrians to make sure Mr. Almalki was
10	treated properly?
11	MR. MARTEL: Of course, but the
12	authorities had already answered, saying, "It's
13	none of your business, he's a Syrian citizen, he
14	came here of his own accord. We are detaining him
15	according to Syrian law, and you can't see him".
16	As they say, "end of story".
17	One day, I went to the prison to
18	see another client of mine whom I was authorized
19	to see. I asked about Mr. Almalki because I had
20	learned that it was possible and probable that he
21	was being detained in the same place, but I was
22	never able to see him, not then either. They
23	flatly denied me permission, giving me some story
24	or other. So I wasn't able to see him either.
25	MS. JACKMAN: But nothing was

1	brought up with the Minister or sent up the line
2	to the Minister of Foreign Affairs to say, "Hey,
3	we have another person here. We're really
4	concerned about torture. Can you start putting
5	pressure on Syria for him too?"
6	MR. MARTEL: Well, we always
7	reported the facts on detainees to Gar Pardy, who
8	was the Director General in the Consular Section.
9	If Gar wanted the Ambassador or the Minister to
10	intervene at the appropriate level, that was the
11	only thing that would budge the Syrians, nothing
12	else. Even at the Ambassador's level, it wouldn't
13	have changed anything. We had received a formal
14	response from Foreign Affairs, saying, "He's one
15	of our citizens, not yours. He's in our country,
16	we're holding him according to Syrian law and you
17	may not see him".
18	MS. JACKMAN: With respect to Mr.
19	Nureddin, the memo that was put in, Exhibit 245,
20	there is an indication in that memo that Mr.
21	Nureddin tells you, and this is in January when he
22	is released. So Mr. Almalki is still detained, as
23	is, I think at that time no, I think Mr. El
24	Maati has been just released from Egypt. But in
25	any event, Mr. Almalki is still detained at that

1	time.
2	At the top of page 2 in that
3	exhibit, Mr. Nureddin believes that Canadian
4	authorities passed information on to the Syrians
5	because he was taken aside at Pearson Airport and
6	then he goes on about the questions he was asked
7	in Syria.
8	Did that cause you to do any
9	further investigation in relation to Mr. Almalki?
LO	Did it cause you a concern?
L1	MR. MARTEL: That's always
L2	worrisome, naturally, but the Syrian authorities
L3	continued to be inflexible. That report was still
L4	sent to the Political Section and to the Director
L5	General, Consular Section. When the report was
L6	read in Ottawa, if they wanted to intervene in Mr.
L7	Almalki's case, it really would have had to come
L8	from the Minister's office.
L 9	Of course, they were managing that
20	file. They were also managing it in consultation
21	with Mr. Almalki's family. We had done our field
22	work, namely, to know where he was, to confirm it,
23	and to try to get access, which was refused.
24	Now, if they had wanted to put
25	pressure on the Syrians, it would have had to come

1	from headquarters and management in the Minister's
2	office; that's certain. No one else could have
3	changed that.
4	MS. JACKMAN: I only have two
5	little questions.
6	You are saying that it is all the
7	Syrian government's fault with respect to Mr.
8	Almalki. But when Mr. Arar and Mr. Nureddin are
9	released, you travelled to Canada with both men
10	because they are frightened and concerned. Nobody
11	travels with Mr. Almalki in fact, he is kicked
12	out of the Embassy at one point or Mr. El
13	Maati. Why the difference?
14	MR. MARTEL: I never met Mr. El
15	Maati, so that was before my time. Then Mr.
16	Almalki, when he was released, I was with him for
17	about six months. He had been released while
18	awaiting trial.
19	So, after his detention, during
20	the six months of his release, I saw him
21	constantly. He came to the Embassy maybe once a
22	week. We were together very often.
23	The trial was held, the Ambassador
24	was there on two occasions, and at the final

25

session ---

1	MS. JACKMAN: I just want to know
2	why you didn't travel with them back to Canada.
3	MR. MARTEL: Because I wasn't
4	instructed to. I asked Consular Affairs if we
5	should continue the same practice for Mr. Almalki
6	and the others, that is, accompany them back to
7	Canada, and Mr. Sigurdson, who had replaced Mr.
8	Pardy at the time, said, "There has been a change
9	in procedure in that we cannot accompany everyone,
10	etc".
11	In any case, Mr. Almalki was not
12	free to leave the country.
13	MS. JACKMAN: Well, eventually he
14	was. He eventually came back to Canada
15	MR. MARTEL: But he wasn't free to
16	leave the country. He wasn't free to leave the
17	country.
18	MS. JACKMAN: Well, he is in
19	Canada now.
20	MR. MARTEL: I'm telling you that
21	he wasn't free to leave Syria, so I couldn't
22	accompany him in any case.
23	MS. JACKMAN: Oh, you mean he
24	wasn't free to come back to Canada before you left
25	the nogition?

1	MR. MARTEL: No. When he was
2	released, meaning, when he was found not guilty by
3	the Syrian government, he was told that because he
4	hadn't done his military service, he had to report
5	for military service right then, so he could not
6	leave Syria for maybe two or three years.
7	MS. JACKMAN: But he came back to
8	Canada in July
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Ms. Jackman,
10	with respect, we are getting pretty far afield.
11	MS. JACKMAN: I'm not going to
12	it's all right. I will leave it at that.
13	Those are all the questions that I
14	had to ask.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very
16	much.
17	We might take a 10-minute break.
18	We have been at it for a while.
19	How long do counsel expect to be?
20	MR. BAXTER: Very short.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Do you know at
22	this point, Mr. Décary?
23	MR. DÉCARY: Ten minutes.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Why don't we
25	take a 10-minute break, but then we won't break

1	again. We will just start the motion without the
2	need for a further break.
3	THE REGISTRAR: Please stand.
4	Upon recessing at 5:07 /
5	Suspension à 17 h 07
6	Upon resuming at 5:20 p.m. /
7	Reprise à 17 h 20
8	THE REGISTRAR: Please be seated.
9	Veuillez vous asseoir.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Baxter?
11	EXAMINATION
12	MR. BAXTER: Mr. Martel, I would
13	like to talk to you a little about Mr. Arar's
14	release date. You talked to Ms. Edwardh about the
15	fact that October 2003, in your opinion, suited
16	Syrian interests. Do you remember that?
17	MR. MARTEL: Yes, of course; and I
18	came to the conclusion that since this had never
19	happened before, that the Syrians had always
20	adopted a very firm position and could keep people
21	in detention for years without even granting
22	consular access, we can attribute the Syrians'
23	change in attitude to other factors related to the
24	Middle East. You have to remember that Syria's
25	neighbourg had undergone some changes

1	MR. BAXTER: But you were in the
2	field, as you say. So in your opinion, what
3	affected the timing of his release?
4	MR. MARTEL: The timing was good
5	because there was an enormous amount of
6	international pressure on the Syrians, and the
7	Syrians
8	MS. EDWARDH: I'm going to rise.
9	Excuse me, my friend.
LO	I don't think, although we have
L1	heard it from other witnesses if I understand
L2	my friend's question, it is: Please speculate on
L3	the reasons for release.
L4	And I think we have established
L5	maybe I misunderstood the question?
L6	MR. BAXTER: If I can answer, this
L7	arose from a question you asked the witness. It
L8	was about the proceedings and the trial and the
L9	date of the release, and he said, yes, I believe
20	there were a lot of factors that were involved.
21	And you cut him off, if I may say, and I would
22	like to hear his full answer in that regard.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: I remember the
24	answer. I think the line of questioning is fair.
) 5	MP BAYTED. So please continue

1	MR. MARTEL: There was
2	evidently, there was a lot of pressure on the
3	country at that precise time, and of course, as I
4	already told your colleague, there were some
5	interventions; there was all that.
6	But in the end, what changed the
7	outcome, in my opinion, not only for this case,
8	but for others too, was the fact that Syria looked
9	for support elsewhere, support either from the
10	United Nations or because all of a sudden they
11	were very, very isolated.
12	So, obviously, they became more
13	flexible. They realized that they needed new
14	friends. They didn't have many friends around
15	them. They had one before in Saddam Hussein, but
16	this neighbour had changed. There was also
17	enormous pressure in Lebanon. To the south,
18	Jordan was an ally of the Americans. To the
19	north, Turkey was a member of NATO. So the
20	country was feeling more and more isolated.
21	In my opinion, we were looking for
22	support, and there was a change in attitude, I
23	think, beginning around April 2003. We felt, even
24	in dealing with Foreign Affairs, that they were
25	suddenly becoming much more receptive.

1	MR. BAXTER: What role, in your
2	opinion, was played by the war in Iraq or
3	relations with the United States, which invaded
4	Iraq?
5	MR. MARTEL: Well, at the outset,
6	according to the information I was able to gather,
7	that is, that the Syrians appear to have
8	cooperated with the Americans and were expecting
9	something in return and, in the end, that's not
10	what happened. The Syrian position was that, yes,
11	we gave the Americans a lot of help and look what
12	they gave us in return, and they were very
13	disappointed and cooperation between the two
14	countries deteriorated.
15	MR. BAXTER: What period in time
16	was this?
17	MR. MARTEL: I think that,
18	beginning in April 2003, there was already a
19	change in attitude.
20	MR. BAXTER: Were there other
21	factors, in your opinion, that you had in mind?
22	MR. MARTEL: Well, there were all
23	the factors that Europe was also applying
24	pressure. Syria needed support in Europe. There
25	was what was called the Accountability Act, as

1	well as the Americans, and an enormous number of
2	factors bringing this pressure to bear on the
3	government, the State of Syria, and support had to
4	be found somewhere.
5	MR. BAXTER: Looking for friends
6	and they found
7	MR. MARTEL: Friends.
8	MR. BAXTER:in Canada?
9	MR. MARTEL: Well, I mean, we
10	obviously did not participate in the war in Iraq,
11	and they saw us in a climate, from a more positive
12	angle, for sure. That's clear.
13	MR. BAXTER: Thank you. Those are
14	my questions.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Décary?
16	EXAMINATION
17	MR. DÉCARY: We're just about done.
18	I have a few questions. There are five sets. The
19	first relates to the circumstances, some of the
20	circumstances of your first meeting with Mr. Arar.
21	First of all, did Mr. Pardy, or
22	anyone from the centre or headquarters tell you at
23	any time about allegations of torture in the case
24	of Mr. El Maati?
25	MR. MARTEL: No. I never knew the

1	El Maati case. I knew it in the sense that I was
2	aware that it existed. I found a diplomatic note
3	that had been sent by my assistant and I found a
4	reply saying that he had left the country and, as
5	far as we were concerned, the case was closed and
6	there was nothing further with regard to that
7	case.
8	MR. DÉCARY: And just to be
9	certain, the question is: Did anyone, Mr. Pardy or
10	anyone else, tell you of allegations of torture in
11	the case of Mr. El Maati?
12	MR. MARTEL: No. No. The
13	allegations of torture emerged very late, and at
14	that time, unfortunately, we had not been
15	informed.
16	MR. DÉCARY: The second question.
17	The frameworkyou referred to the framework of
18	the first meeting, which was established, and that
19	leads me to ask you questions on the
20	conversations, the discussions you had with the
21	Syrians.
22	Could you, first of all, tell the
23	Commissioner what was said at the outset, from the
24	initial contact with the first Syrian to the
25	meeting with Mr. Arar?

1	MR. MARTEL: Once I had been loaded
2	into the government vehicle, with my companion, of
3	course, I needed to know how the process would
4	unfold or what the framework would be, what I
5	could see, ask, etc., and they told me then, you
6	have to keep to family matters and questions of
7	well-being; you cannot ask questions about the
8	case itself. There you have the framework imposed
9	on me by the Syrians.
10	MR. DÉCARY: Subsequently, were
11	there before the meeting with Mr. Arar, was
12	anything more said on this subject, or was that
13	all?
14	MR. MARTEL: That was the only
15	thing that the Syrians said to me.
16	MR. DÉCARY: And was your
17	understanding? What conclusions did you draw from
18	these remarks?
19	MR. MARTEL: I concluded that I
20	could have access to my client, but that there
21	were some matters relating to the case that I
22	could not ask about or discuss, that I had to
23	confine myself to general matters, family matters,
24	matters of well-being and no more.
25	MR. DÉCARY: My colleague asked you

1	about a number of subjects that you might have
2	been able to discuss with Mr. Arar. The first was
3	the size, the dimensions, of the cell.
4	So, did you ask a question about
5	the size of the cell?
6	MR. MARTEL: No, I didn't ask
7	MR. DÉCARY: I think we all
8	understand. But I'm going to ask you now, why?
9	MR. MARTEL: Because the
10	authorities, one, would not have permitted it. In
11	fact, if I had asked the question, the question
12	would certainly have been thrown out. But I had
13	received my instructions beforehand from Mr.
14	Pardy, to ask only the most transparent questions
15	and which included the context in which I had to
16	see my client.
17	So, if I went out on a limb, of my
18	own accord, and I decided to start asking
19	questions that would cause displeasure, I would,
20	on the one hand, risk a reaction on the part of
21	the Syrians and a negative reaction that could,
22	potentially, have had repercussions for me and my
23	client.
24	MR. DÉCARY: Now, did Mr. Pardy or
25	anyone else from headquarters tell you to ask

1	questions about the size, the dimensions, of the
2	cell?
3	MR. MARTEL: No. No one ever told
4	me to ask such questions.
5	MR. DÉCARY: Now, the second
6	suggestion was related to detention conditions:
7	was he in solitary confinement or was he with the
8	group?
9	Did you ask questions about that?
10	MR. MARTEL: No. My instructions
11	were to ask the most transparent questions and
12	that is what I did, and the Syrians would not have
13	appreciated it if I had asked more specific
14	questions.
15	MR. DÉCARY: Did Mr. Pardy or
16	anyone from headquarters tell you to ask questions
17	about detention conditions, whether he was being
18	held in solitary confinement or with the inmate
19	population?
20	MR. MARTEL: No, that subject never
21	arose in any correspondence.
22	MR. DÉCARY: I was struck by one of
23	my colleague's questions, and it had to do with
24	exercise. Was the prisoner able to get any
25	exercise?

1	Did you ask a question about that?
2	MR. MARTEL: I could not ask that
3	question and I could not even ask where he was
4	being held, and I could not have any details on
5	the size, location or any other relevant details
6	which, normally, under normal conditions for a
7	citizen, can be obtained. The Syrian authorities
8	would not have allowed me to ask these questions.
9	MR. DÉCARY: Did anyone at
10	headquarters, Mr. Pardy or anyone else, at any
11	time, tell you to find out about try to find
12	out whether Mr. Arar was able to exercise?
13	MR. MARTEL: No. I think that Mr.
14	Pardy sent instructions at the outset. I
15	completed my reports. He read them. I did not
16	receive any comments. I never received a
17	telephone call from him during the entire period
18	and I never received any additional questions from
19	him.
20	MR. DÉCARY: Just for the record,
21	you understand that this is not limited to Mr.
22	Pardy, but could be Myra Pastyr-Lupul or anyone
23	else from headquarters. Is that not correct?
24	MR. MARTEL: Yes. It could be the
25	congular section it could be the geographic

1	office, it could be all kinds of people.
2	MR. DÉCARY: But there was no one
3	else, you said?
4	MR. MARTEL: No one.
5	MR. DÉCARY: Okay. The next point,
6	clean water, the cleanliness of the water, was
7	that discussed by you and Mr. Arar?
8	MR. MARTEL: No, it was never a
9	point of discussion. No.
10	MR. DÉCARY: Just for my
11	information I didn't ask you is that a
12	concern in Syria, is there a problem with the
13	cleanliness of the water?
14	MR. MARTEL: The cleanliness of the
15	water is a problem for foreigners.
16	MR. DÉCARY: I think I understand
17	what you're saying, but could you explain?
18	MR. MARTEL: Well, I mean that we,
19	as foreigners, feel that drinking tap water is not
20	very safe, and, as a result, Health Canada ordered
21	that employees have to be provided with filtered
22	water, bottled water. The water might be okay
23	today, and tomorrow it might not be good, but
24	people there, in general, drink tap water and they
25	are used to it, so they tell us. In any case, they

1	don't have any great problem.
2	MR. DÉCARY: Did Mr. Pardy or
3	anyone else from headquarters, at any time, ask
4	you to find out about the quality and cleanliness
5	of the water?
6	MR. MARTEL: No, I wasn't asked to
7	find out. No, I wasn't asked.
8	MR. DÉCARY: I am going back again
9	to the first meeting, the atmosphere.
10	That's because I have two
11	question, to move along quickly, Mr. Commissioner.
12	The first concerns the description
13	of the atmosphere at the first meeting, and then
14	the change in the atmosphere, did it change.
15	For the first, then, can you tell
16	the Commission what the atmosphere in the room was
17	like?
18	MR. MARTEL: I think it could be
19	accurately said that the atmosphere of the first
20	meeting was enormously frustrating for the
21	security services. I mean that, perhaps this
22	visit was imposed on them at the outset, they
23	received an order from someone else, I don't know.
24	That they did not seem, in any case even if
25	they tried not to show it, I felt that I was

1	bothering them and they were nervous. Everyone
2	was nervous, myself included. And then they began
3	to get used to it, to know me better, perhaps, and
4	it was more relaxed.
5	MR. DÉCARY: And in the end, what
6	was the atmosphere like during the last two or
7	three meetings? I understand that time elapsed
8	between each meeting.
9	MR. MARTEL: Time elapsed. The
10	conversations were good. The visits went well
11	while they continued and up to the day when they
12	ended. In general, the atmosphere improved.
13	MR. DÉCARY: Now, to return
14	specifically to the case, between the first and
15	second meetings, you in your memo concerning
16	the second meeting, you noted that Mr. Arar seemed
17	disoriented during the first meeting. I'm
18	speaking of the atmosphere. Was there a change
19	between the first and second meetings?
20	MR. MARTEL: Well, there was a
21	change in that I did not say, at the first
22	meeting, that he was that he seemed
23	disoriented, but I said, at the second meeting,
24	that he seemed less disoriented than at the first
25	meeting. I think that there was an element of

1	surprise the first time, but when I said to him:
2	"I'll be back next week if the authorities
3	permit", I think he was expecting my visit and I
4	think that perhaps they prepared him for my visit.
5	He was told, perhaps, or he gave some sign that he
6	knew I was coming. So there was no element of
7	surprise the second time.
8	MR. DÉCARY: When you observed Mr.
9	Arar's behaviour, were there any changes between
10	the first and second meetings?
11	MR. MARTEL: No, except that he was
12	more relaxed and certainly more at ease.
13	MR. DÉCARY: I am changing subjects
14	now, Mr. Commissioner, Mr. Martel.
15	My colleague asked some questions
16	with regard to the quality level of your reports
17	on the meetings.
18	My question is: Was there a time
19	when anyone at all from headquarters, Mr. Pardy or
20	anyone else, either complained about the quality
21	of your reports or made comments of any sort, but
22	negative of any sort, positive, obviously, but
23	mainly negative, regarding your reports? Did that
24	happen on any single occasion?
25	MR. MARTEL: No, never. To my

1	knowledge, if I remember right, the consular
2	section, for example, Mr. Pardy, never got back to
3	me to ask for further details on my reports.
4	MR. DÉCARY: Were there, following
5	the reports, did headquarters provide you with any
6	questions on details? Did they get back to you
7	and say, for example, with regard to the first
8	paragraph, with regard to the third paragraph, as
9	we did here, did a similar exercise take place
LO	with some paragraphs, where you were asked for
L1	details, for particulars, what did you mean by
L2	that?
L3	MR. MARTEL: No, they did not no
L4	one got back to me to ask questions about the
L5	reports.
L6	MR. DÉCARY: Now I will direct you
L7	to the report of August 14, exhibit number
L8	It's the report of August 14, Mr.
L9	Commissioner. I'm at Exhibit 507. It will be
20	very brief.
21	Pause / Pause
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, I've got
23	it. Thank you.
24	MR. DÉCARY: The report, first,
25	once you have it in front of you the report of

1	the 14, drafted Léo Martel, approved Ambassador
2	Pillarella.
3	In particular, I would draw your
4	attention to paragraph 3. In the last sentence,
5	we read, and I quote:
6	"He indicated that as far as
7	he knew he was not receiving
8	worse treatment than that
9	given to other prisoners."
LO	My question is: following this
L1	report, did anyone ask you any questions about the
L2	treatment that had been received or could have
L3	been received, by other prisoners?
L4	MR. MARTEL: No, there was no
L5	reaction.
L6	MR. DÉCARY: You were on site and,
L7	there, the date is August 14, 2003, so that's
L8	about nine months after September 2002, to the
L9	best of your knowledge, in the department, Mr.
20	Pardy, the others and yourself, obviously, did
21	people know about conditions, the treatment of the
22	prisoners, what it was like, in general?
23	MR. MARTEL: Well, I believe that
24	Mr. Pardy had a great deal of consular experience,
25	and certainly he knew countries around the world

1	and he had his own opinion.
2	Then there were the geographic
3	offices, which must also have known an enormous
4	amount and the people who took care of Human
5	Rights and all that.
6	So, all the people who were copied
7	on this report should have, or certainly did, know
8	about the conditions in each country.
9	MR. DÉCARY: And specifically, Mr.
10	Martel, in your opinion, did people know the size
11	of the cells?
12	MR. MARTEL: Not necessarily. In
13	my opinion, not necessarily.
14	MR. DÉCARY: Who in the group
15	could have known?
16	MR. MARTEL: I don't know. The
17	cells were never visited by anyone, not by
18	individuals or the Red Cross.
19	It is very hard to assess, but I
20	don't know whether anyone could have known the
21	size of a cell.
22	MR. DÉCARY: So, when you write:
23	"He indicated that as far as
24	he knew I'm reading he
25	was not receiving a worse

1	treatment than given to other
2	prisoners."
3	What I understand from you is that
4	no one at headquarters asked you any questions
5	about the treatment received by other prisoners or
6	Mr. Arar. Is that correct?
7	MR. MARTEL: No, there was no
8	reaction.
9	MR. DÉCARY: Changing the subject,
LO	we see, it's Exhibit 61, it's a memo from Daniel
L1	Livermore, from October 10, 2002, in which he
L2	says, among other things, and I am reading because
L3	everyone will recall it, where he says:
L4	"There are concerns that Arar
L5	may be aggressively
L6	questioned by Syrian security
L7	services."
L8	If someone had indicated to you,
L9	had told you that there were concerns that Mr.
20	Arar, may be, to keep to the text, aggressively
21	questioned by Syrian security services, would you
22	have done anything different in this case, other
23	than what you have done so far?
24	MR. MARTEL: Well, the reputation
0.5	of cacurity carvidae as T have already said

1	yesterday and again today, has been established
2	and they have had a reputation for years, we know
3	how they operate and we know how they treat
4	detainees, and so forth, so the fact of having
5	this information would not have changed how I
6	acted.
7	We go to these places and we
8	always have a certain aprehension, because we
9	never know what we're going to find, that's for
10	sure.
11	Everyone knows that.
12	MR. DÉCARY: But the question is,
13	and I will repeat it: was there anything, if
14	someone had said to you, Mr. Pardy, Mr. Pillarella
15	or anyone else, had said to you:
16	"There are concerns that Arar may
17	be aggressively questioned by
18	Syrian security services."
19	On October 10, that is, prior to
20	the first meeting, would that in any way have
21	changed your behaviour during the meetings?
22	MR. MARTEL: It would not have
23	changed anything. I am obligated to see my
24	detainee, to talk to him and try to determine, in
25	a gituation that is very highly controlled how

1	long he has been there and how he has been treated
2	and try to obtain as much information as possible.
3	That is what I did.
4	MR. DÉCARY: The last question,
5	Mr. Commissioner.
6	We reported on the allegations of
7	Mr. Arar's brother, to the effect that Mr. Arar
8	was tortured, or, at least, we spoke of his
9	conditions, being held underground and so forth,
10	in the dark.
11	My question is: did anyone from
12	headquarters ask you to conduct a follow-up of
13	these allegations, to pay special attention, to
14	investigate further, to do anything whatever in
15	particular to determine whether, in fact, the
16	evidence confirmed the comments of Mr. Arar's
17	brother?
18	MR. MARTEL: I received a copy of
19	the memo, but it does not contain any instructions
20	asking me specifically to do such-and-such a
21	thing.
22	Sometimes, most of the time before
23	the visits, I would alert Ottawa that I expected
24	to have a visit soon, and do you have anything to
25	tell me? And they sent me, perhaps, two or three

1	items and somethings they had nothing to tell me
2	and I made my visit.
3	MR. DÉCARY: So, my question, just
4	to close: Yes or no, did anyone from headquarters
5	at any time, following these allegations, ask you
6	to make a follow-up of the facts with regard to
7	these specific allegations?
8	MR. MARTEL: No, I was not asked
9	to find out more or to investigate.
LO	MR. DÉCARY: Those are my
L1	questions, Mr. Commissioner.
L2	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you,
13	Mr. DÉCARY.
L4	Mr. Cavalluzzo?
L5	EXAMINATION
L6	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Yes, just
L7	briefly, Mr. Martel.
18	You were asked questions for
19	example, let us start with the first one as to
20	whether Mr. Pardy or anyone at headquarters ever
21	advised you about the El Maati allegations about
22	torture, and your answer was, "No, he did not" or
23	"they did not".
24	The question that I have is: Did
) E	Mr. Dillarella error adrigo von ef thege

1	allegations?
2	MR. MARTEL: No, no, Mr.
3	Pillarella did not advise me either, no.
4	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And the next
5	question was relating to whether Mr. Pardy, or
6	anybody at headquarters, asked you to ask about
7	conditions of detention, the conditions of the
8	water, the size of the cell, and so on.
9	Did Mr. Pillarella ever ask you to
10	ask those questions?
11	MR. MARTEL: No, no one asked me
12	to ask those questions.
13	MR. CAVALLUZZO: In response to a
14	question as to the latitude you had to ask
15	questions, you seem to indicate that you were
16	under strict orders from Mr. Pardy as to what you
17	were to say; that is, these were to be transparent
18	questions.
19	But do you not agree with me that
20	Mr. Pardy was relying upon your 20 years of
21	experience and your discretion and judgment, which
22	would be that if you had an opening, in your
23	judgment, you should ask the question?
24	Isn't that correct?
25	MP MARTEL. Veg and those are

1	the guidelines that Mr. Pardy gave me at the
2	outset, and before each visit, when I told Ottawa
3	that I might be having a visit that week, do you
4	have any specific questions for me to ask.
5	And the questions were mainly
6	centred on well-being and family matters, sending
7	photos and correspondence with the family. It
8	always focussed on that.
9	MR. CAVALLUZZO: But do you not
10	agree with me that Mr. Pardy also indicated to you
11	that if there was an opening and you could ask
12	questions beyond the mundane questions about
13	family, et cetera
14	MR. MARTEL: Yes, of course,
15	it's
16	MR. CAVALLUZZO: that you
17	should use your judgment and ask those questions?
18	MR. MARTEL: Yes, he said: I
19	realize that you have to ask the most transparent
20	questions, but if you have an opportunity, you can
21	ask more.
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: And that's
23	exactly what Mr. Pardy said in tab 131, which is
24	the tab that we took you to yesterday.
25	MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's it.

1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: There is one
2	final document, Mr. Commissioner.
3	In Mr. Martel's testimony this
4	morning, you may recall that he referred or
5	maybe it was yesterday he referred to a
6	document, a consular framework document. I had
7	asked some questions about the document that was
8	prepared by the Inspector General, and Mr. Martel
9	responded by saying he did respond to another
10	study, some consular framework study.
11	Today my friends, counsel for the
12	Government, have given me a copy of that document,
13	and I'm going to file that as an exhibit.
14	I only have one copy and I've only
15	read it recently, but to give the witness an
16	opportunity to respond to certain comments he made
17	in respect of those documents, I think it is only
18	fair that we do that now.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Then that will
20	be marked as the next exhibit, which is 251.
21	EXHIBIT NO. P-251: Consular
22	framework document
23	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Let me give you
24	the history of this.
25	For counsel's benefit in July of

1	2004, DFAIT decided to do a study, a framework
2	analysis in terms of consular duties, somewhat
3	similar in respect of the one we looked at earlier
4	from the Inspector General, in the sense that a
5	lot of these consular functions have become far
6	more complicated in effect because of 9/11.
7	So what happened in the late
8	summer of 2004 is that a document entitled
9	"Framework of Operations for Consular Services
10	Programs" was sent out to all of the embassies
11	around the world and each of the consular
12	officials were asked for their comments in respect
13	of the document itself.
14	This document went to Damascus.
15	Mr. Martel did respond in September of 2004. He
16	made comments in respect of certain issues that
17	are relevant to the issues before us today. I
18	would like to make reference to the comments that
19	he did make.
20	I assume that these comments that
21	you referred to earlier were made by you. Is that
22	correct?
23	MR. MARTEL: The comments that are
24	lightly blacked out are mine and were sent to the
25	consular section.

1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: The paper itself
2	is set out in terms of different aspects of the
3	consular function. There is one topic entitled
4	"Arrest and Detention". This is number seven in
5	this document.
6	The document reads that:
7	"The Vienna Convention
8	guarantees a foreign detainee's
9	right to have a representative
10	of his or her government
11	notified of the detention and to
12	communicate with that
13	representative consular access.
14	As well, detaining authorities
15	have a duty to inform detainees
16	of the existence of this right.
17	Canada expects these provisions
18	to be upheld for all Canadian
19	detainees, including dual
20	nationals."
21	From the Syrian experience that
22	Mr. Martel had, he added this comment.
23	"Based on our experience, those
24	expectations are unrealistic
25	when dealing with dual nationals

1	who have returned to Syria,
2	their country of origin, freely
3	or against their will. The
4	Syrian authorities do not
5	recognize the other citizenship.
6	This leaves us with a major
7	struggle to gain consular
8	access."
9	Did you make those comments,
10	Mr. Martel?
11	MR. MARTEL: Yes, those are my
12	comments.
13	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Mr. Martel also
14	made comments in respect to Topic 19, which is
15	Security Related Arrest and Detention.
16	It is quite a lengthy comment.
17	There are three paragraphs of it.
18	I would just ask counsel to read
19	it and the Commissioner to read it, unless you
20	want to comment on the comments that you made.
21	The only other reference that I
22	would refer to is when Mr. Martel dealt with Topic
23	20 entitled "Incidents of Abuse and/or Torture".
24	What the document says prior to
2.5	his comment are in the first paragraph, it states:

1	"Part of the role of consular
2	officials is to seek to ensure
3	Canadian detainees are not
4	subjected to abuse or torture.
5	They are guided by the
6	definition of torture in the UN
7	Convention Against Torture."
8	And the definition is set out.
9	It goes on:
10	"The international community has
11	also prohibited torture or
12	abuse of detainees through
13	several other international
14	instruments."
15	And they are set out as well.
16	And then it goes on:
17	"Many forms of physical abuse,
18	including systematic torture,
19	are calculated to leave no
20	evidence. Torture by electric
21	shock and various forms of
22	water treatment are two of the
23	more common forms of torture,
24	which normally do not leave
25	marks. When such methods are

1	alleged to have been used, the
2	personal interview of the
3	prisoner is imperative. In all
4	cases of allegations of abuse,
5	it is essential that the
6	officer exercise judgment on
7	the basis of all of the
8	evidence at hand, including
9	assessment of the prisoner's
LO	credibility in determining the
L1	probable veracity of the
L2	allegation. Care should be
L3	taken to avoid any implication
L4	that Canadian officials have
L5	prematurely concluded that the
L6	local authorities had
L7	maltreated the prisoner."
L8	And finally these are the comments
L9	of Mr. Martel in respect of that.
20	"While consular officials do
21	exercise judgment on the basis
22	of all evidence at hand, it is
23	practically impossible to
24	detect whether or not a
2.5	detainee has been subjected to

1	torture. We have not dealt
2	with cases of imprisoned
3	Canadians who held only one
4	citizenship, that is, born in
5	Canada from Canadian parents.
6	Detainees have been dual
7	national citizens,
8	Syrian/Canadians or
9	Syrian/Australians. Consular
LO	access has been denied in most
L1	cases and the expectation of
L2	being granted a personal
L3	interview with the prisoner,
L4	while it is imperative, is
L5	unrealistic here."
L6	And then finally he says:
L7	"We have not dealt with arrest
L8	and detention cases pertaining
L9	to Canadian or Australian
20	citizens only holding one
21	citizenship. In those cases we
22	expect the Vienna Convention to
23	be respected and, at worst,
24	unless we are dealing with
25	security related issues, the

1	clients would be deported."
2	Mr. Martel, do you recall making
3	those statements, in particular the statement that
4	"while consular officers do exercise judgment on
5	the basis of all the evidence at hand, it is
6	practically impossible to detect whether or not a
7	detainee has been subjected to torture"?
8	MR. MARTEL: Yes, that's in my
9	text.
10	MR. CAVALLUZZO: In terms of the
11	final portion of the exhibit, all of this
12	information, and so on, was tabulated and then
13	another document was prepared. It is entitled
14	and you will have this "Consular Services
15	Framework, Part 2, Consular Issues and Case
16	Category Guidelines". It is from the Consular
17	Affairs Bureau and it is dated January 2005.
18	I understand that it is an
19	evolving document. It is a dynamic document in
20	the sense that it is being changed as they get
21	more comments, and so on. But it seems to be the
22	last expression from the Consular Affairs
23	Department in respect of the issues that I have
24	referred to.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Shall we mark

1	it as the next exhibit?
2	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Yes, I think so.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: That will 252,
4	the January 2005 report.
5	EXHIBIT NO. P-252: Report
6	entitled "Consular Services
7	Framework, Part 2, Consular
8	Issues and Case Category
9	Guidelines", dated January
10	2005
11	MR. CAVALLUZZO: There is only one
12	other exhibit.
13	This should have been filed before
14	because we asked witnesses about this. This is a
15	draft memorandum, dated October 30, 2002, about
16	Abdullah Almalki from Mr. Solomon. And what we
17	have here is a less redacted version of the
18	memorandum which we have in the document.
19	So we should file this less
20	redacted version as well.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: That will be
22	253.
23	EXHIBIT NO. P-253: Draft
24	memorandum from Mr. Solomon re Abdullah Almalki,
25	dated October 30, 2002

1	MR. CAVALLUZZO: The final
2	administrative matter is that Ms McIsaac was
3	mistaken. She did not have the claim of Arar v.
4	Syria. So we cannot file it today.
5	I have one at the office. And on
6	agreements of everybody, we will mark that through
7	the Registrar and have that filed as an exhibit
8	tomorrow.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: That's fine.
10	Is that that?
11	MR. CAVALLUZZO: That's that.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Let me thank
13	you, Mr. Martel. That completes your evidence.
14	It has been two very long days. I know you have
15	put a lot of time and effort into preparing and
16	coming here and giving evidence.
17	I think there has been some
18	persistent questioning, and I think your demeanour
19	throughout has been appropriate and I appreciate
20	the way you dealt with the questions.
21	MR. MARTEL: Thank you,
22	Mr. Commissioner.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you for
24	your assistance.
25	We are going to hear a motion now.

1	So if you want to step down, you are free to go.
2	MR. MARTEL: Thank you.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: We are going to
4	here a motion now so if you want to step down, you
5	are free to go.
6	Witness steps down
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Ms Jackman, it
8	is time for your motion.
9	MS JACKMAN: This is not my idea
LO	of a good time to start a motion.
L1	Laughter / Rires
L2	MS JACKMAN: I will be as brief as
L3	I can.
L4	THE COMMISSIONER: You can be sure
L5	you will have my full attention. Don't worry
L6	about that.
L7	MOTION
L8	MS JACKMAN: You have received, I
L9	expect, the chronologies of Mr. Almalki and
20	Mr. El-Maati and Mr. Nureddin. And also with
21	respect to Mr. Almalki and Mr. El-Maati, there are
22	brief bios of Ahmad El-Maati, his brother and Mr.
23	Almalki. All three men are seeking to have them
24	introduced into the record before you. I have to
2.5	say there has been some discussion before, among

1	different people today, about how that gets done.
2	I don't care if it is an exhibit
3	to the Commission or if it is an exhibit to
4	Mr. Toope's report. I don't care what it is, as
5	long as it is public and it is on the record here,
6	whether it is through Mr. Toope or through the
7	Commission directly.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Let me just
9	pursue that for a second. Mr. Toope has conducted
10	interviews.
11	MS JACKMAN: Yes.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: So that
13	presumably I'm sure he doesn't have the
14	chronologies you are referring to, but
15	MS JACKMAN: He has them now.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Does he?
17	MS JACKMAN: Yes.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. I don't
19	have my ruling with respect to Mr. Toope in front
20	of me. Correct me if I am wrong in what I say, I
21	mean it is certainly open to Mr. Toope to consider
22	the variety of information, including information
23	relevant to these three individuals for the
24	purposes that I indicated in my ruling.
25	MS JACKMAN: I think it was for

1	the purpose of corroborating the torture with
2	respect to Mr. Arar.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: That's right.
4	I specified as to what the purpose of the fact
5	finder's report would be.
6	MS JACKMAN: Right.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Let me just
8	take the request, if I can, for a moment, that it
9	be on the basis that this be material that
10	Mr. Toope would be entitled to consider as part of
11	the fact finding exercise, and put that idea
12	forward and see if anybody has any let me go
13	this way any objections to it being used as
14	part of the
15	MS JACKMAN: Can I clarify one
16	thing? As long as it is clear it is public,
17	Mr. Toope already has a fair bit of information
18	from us. And my understanding is that everything
19	he has received is secret, so
20	THE COMMISSIONER: I think, I
21	could hear submissions on it, but I envision
22	Mr. Toope's report will be made public.
23	MS JACKMAN: Right.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: But there may
25	well be material that Mr. Toope receives we had

1	a discussion with Ms McIssac earlier that might
2	not be, so that you are asking, then?
3	MS JACKMAN: I want it part of the
4	public record, the chronologies. And like I said,
5	whether it comes in through Mr. Toope or as an
6	addendum or an attachment to his report, I don't
7	care, or if it's an exhibit here, but I want this
8	matter on the public record.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: I guess the
10	question, if you said "an exhibit here", is for
11	what purpose?
12	MS JACKMAN: Well
13	THE COMMISSIONER: I don't know if
14	you are suggesting that it can be made available
15	to Mr. Toope for his consideration. That is one
16	purpose. If you were suggesting that all of it
17	facts set out in the chronology for purposes of
18	this inquiry, the exhibit be entered for proof of
19	the truth of the facts set out in the
20	chronology I see you shaking your head then
21	that would be obviously another matter and there
22	would be difficulties with that.
23	But your request, then, is that it
24	be given to Mr. Toope and, in some form, either as
25	an addendum to his report or in some form, that it

1	form part of the record public record of the
2	inquiry.
3	MS JACKMAN: Yes. I know that I
4	wish to refer to it maybe I should just clarify
5	in terms of why we want it in. First of all, we
6	are not seeking to expands the scope of the
7	inquiry by any means.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: And you're
9	not seeking sorry to interrupt and you're
10	not seeking I'm being legalistic here it to
11	be entered as proof of the truth of what is said
12	in it.
13	MS JACKMAN: No.
14	I think, though, I can put it
15	under, we can put all three packages of documents
16	under affidavit, either hearsay affidavit saying I
17	directly heard this from Mr. Almalki, or
18	Mr. Almalki and Mr. El-Maati directly. I have an
19	affidavit for Mr. El-Maati, I don't know, with
20	respect to Mr. Almalki, if he would swear an
21	affidavit now, in the light of the fact that he
22	hasn't, I haven't discussed it with him. I think
23	Mr. Nureddin would. But certainly others who have
24	been involved in preparing it can swear an
25	affidavit.

1	I think what it becomes, really,
2	is a question of weight. You can receive any
3	credible or trustworthy evidence. You have in
4	front of you reams of documents from the
5	government. Some of them, the persons who
6	authored the reports have been examined on.
7	Others, the persons haven't been examined
8	directly, not all of it authors of the reports
9	have come before you.
10	I guess I look at it this way:
11	That exhibit 245 which was put in today,
12	respecting Mr. Nureddin, outlines in summary what
13	happened to Mr. Nureddin according to what
14	Mr. Martel recollects. It is very similar in
15	content to what Mr. Nureddin has himself set out
16	in his own chronology. Why would the go in as a
17	government document and then deny Mr. Nureddin the
18	right to put in essentially the same basic
19	structure through his own affidavit or through the
20	affidavit of someone who helped him prepare it. I
21	don't understand the difference.
22	If it's relevant for this
23	purpose in terms of the government documents
24	it's relevant, the same kind of information when
25	it's coming from Mr. Nureddin. That's one thing.

1	I'm not asking, and I don't think
2	anyone else is asking that you make conclusive
3	findings or even any findings with respect to some
4	of the issues that these men are concerned about,
5	in terms of the practice or the pattern. But at
6	least if you can see on the public record that the
7	issue is not black and white, that you don't just
8	have the government's side of the case, that you
9	can come to the conclusion at the end of the day
10	there is something there that calls for further
11	investigation by somebody else, I don't care.
12	I just think you have to be aware
13	of it, that you may not have the full picture when
14	you only have the government's side of the story
15	in the secret hearing. So that's a primary
16	concern.
17	There are three reasons why I want
18	it in. One is so you understand the context in
19	terms of pattern and the complicity and, like I
20	said, not to make any conclusions on these men or
21	any Canadian officials that passed information on
22	to the Syrians, I am not asking you to do that.
23	Or the fact that it kept happening one after the
24	other as a result of Canadian information, even
25	after Mr. Arar is released.

1	I am not asking you make any
2	findings on that, but I think you should have the
3	entire context to be able to say, "I don't have
4	enough information in front of me to deal with the
5	issue of context."
6	The second reason we want it in,
7	clearly, is the reputational interest. You have
8	government's side of the case in secret, a little
9	bit in public, that Mr. All El-Maati and
10	Mr. Almalki were targets of the A-OCANADA and
11	OCANADA investigations. You have, in secret,
12	their side of the story and you have the public
13	left guessing as to how terrible these men are
14	with no opportunity to answer. They have to be
15	able, in my submission, to have on this record, at
16	least their answer, whether or not you give it any
17	weight. I don't think you even have to deal with
18	it, but I do think they should be given that
19	opportunity to have some of their explanations on
20	it is record, given that the government has just,
21	holus-bolus, put in their entire case against
22	them, apparently, to the extent that we know.
23	And the third reason, I think, is
24	also to be able to contextualize not just the
25	pattern in practices, but the strength of the case

1	gains Mr. Arar. These men, in their chronologies
2	have said, "Yes, CSIS came to talk to me." or
3	"They didn't come to talk to me." You need to
4	know when they tell you in secret how strong their
5	case against Mr. Arar was because of his link with
6	Mr. El-Maati, for example, what kind of case they
7	had gains Mr. El-Maati and what Mr. El-Maati says
8	in terms of how much they contacted him to talk
9	about things. How much is inference?
LO	Same thing with Mr. Almalki. I
L1	don't think at the end of the day you have to make
L2	any findings on this, but I do think you should
L3	have it before you. And I think that when you
L4	consider the importance of it for these four men:
L5	Mr. Arar, Mr. Almalki, Mr. El-Maati and
L6	Mr. Nureddin, all four men are victims, victims of
L7	very severe torture, I would say, very severe
L8	mistreatment.
L9	We're not playing a game of
20	technicalities in terms of whether the government
21	wants it in or doesn't want it in. They shouldn't
22	have their way all the time. These are real
23	victims who, I would submit to you, would be done
24	a disservice if, in fact, they are not allowed to
2.5	put there side of the story put forward for

1	whatever weight it may be given. It is a
2	disservice to these four victims, as Canadian
3	citizens, as Muslims, as human beings.
4	It's a disservice to Muslims in
5	Canada and elsewhere and it's a disservice to
6	Canadians if it's not on the record. It just
7	looks patently unfair to have the government put
8	in everything and not allow them to put in
9	anything.
10	Thank you.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. The
12	intervenors, I take it, support the request?
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Neve.
14	MR. NEVE: Alex Neve, Amnesty
15	International.
16	You have heard from us previously
17	with respect to our concerns that it is very
18	critical that, as the inquiry proceeds, this issue
19	of pattern, as it has come to be known, be as
20	fully examined as possible. The critical question
21	of whether or not what happened to Maher Arar can
22	be interpreted as having been an isolated
23	aberration, an unfortunate mistake, or whether it
24	was part of something wider, some sort of pattern
25	or policy that went how high or how wide is

1	anyone's guess.
2	That is why it is critical that
3	these documents become part of the public record.
4	We would totally agree with Ms Jackman that we're
5	not suggesting that by having them become part of
6	the record they automatically be deemed as
7	conclusive proof of what is stated therein, but
8	that it's very critical there be available to you,
9	to all of us who are involved in the inquiry and
10	to the Canadian public, some sort of statement
11	which provides their version of what has happened
12	to them, both on its own merits but also the
13	connections that may or may not be inferred from
14	their case to Mr. Arar's.
15	If we don't have the opportunity
16	to have as much information available in the
17	course of this inquiry with respect to that issue
18	of pattern, we are concerned that your ability to
19	fulfil your mandate will be comprised. It is
20	critical that that question be answered as fully
21	as possible. It is not extraneous to your task of
22	deciding what happened to Maher Arar. It is
23	absolutely central to it.
24	We are also concerned that the
25	ability of all of us to participate adequately and

1	effectively in the final steps of the policy
2	review portion of this inquiry will also be
3	comprised because, again, we cannot be making the
4	fulsome concrete submissions that we want to, and
5	we would suggest you will have greater difficulty
6	in making the kinds of recommendations you need to
7	with respect to policy oversight if we don't fully
8	understand the nature and extent of the problem.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Let me just
10	sorry to interrupt you but on that, that was
11	one thought that had already gone through my mind
12	when I heard about this issue and, as you
13	know, the type of process for the policy review is
14	very different than the evidentiary, "legalistic",
15	if I can call it, process for the factual inquiry
16	for reasons I have spoken of.
17	And this isn't suggesting I won't
18	go along with what Ms Jackman is arguing, but
19	people in the policy review, when they come to
20	make submissions, should feel free to make much
21	more liberal use, if you will, of non-strictly
22	evidentiary material.
23	So the types of issues that would
24	be raised I haven't actually read these
25	chronologies, but I think I have a scenes sense of

1	what is in them the types of issues that would
2	be raised and how, if those types of issues and
3	complaints are there, what sort of concerns that
4	would trigger for a policy review mechanism.
5	I can say to you, here and now,
6	you should feel free, and I hope you are going to
7	make submissions, to refer to that type of
8	material. I mean, as an example of the type of
9	problems that may need to be addressed by a review
10	mechanism.
11	MR. NEVE: We appreciate that
12	reassurance and we will take you up on that
13	invitation. At the same time, we do very much
14	underscore the importance of this information
15	being available and available on the public
16	record. It is obviously already out there in the
17	broader public domain, but clearly on the public
18	record of this inquiry in the course of the
19	factual inquiry.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very
21	much. Mr. Atkey?
22	Oh, I'm sorry, Mr. Saloojee, go
23	ahead.
24	MR. SALOOJEE: Mr. Commissioner,
25	Riad Saloojee speaking on behalf of CAIR-CAN and

1	CAF.
2	Just a couple of points regarding
3	what my colleagues have said. Both CAIR-CAN and
4	CAF are here both for the fact this is a public
5	inquiry into Maher Arar's case, an individual
6	Canadian, Arab and Muslim, but also in the
7	collective concern that his case also encapsulates
8	many of the concerns of the Arab and Muslim
9	community.
LO	You have already heard, I think, a
L1	great deal of contextual evidence regarding some
L2	of our collective concerns post 9/11 about
L3	Islamophobia and about racial profiling.
L4	So while we fully appreciate that
L5	this is an inquiry into Maher Arar's case
L6	specifically, we also strongly feel that
L7	Mr. Arar's case is part of a broader story that is
L8	very inextricably bound together and that can't
L9	truly be understood apart from one another.
20	We do appreciate some of your
21	concerns regarding adding the material to the
22	public record and we certainly would not advocate
23	that it be used for it's truth. I would submit
24	that the inclusion of the chronologies would
25	introduce important evidence or important

1	information that I believe is uncontested at this
2	point. The very six or seven pieces of evidence
3	are that all three of threes individuals are
4	Arabic, Muslim men, they all had a relationship,
5	casual or otherwise, all under the same
6	investigation, they are all alleged complicity,
7	they all alleged torture, they were all held in
8	Syria and all allege similarities in terms of
9	there confinement, and this information, I think,
10	would be very helpful in your analysis of what
11	exactly happened to Maher Arar.
12	With respect to the document that
13	Ms Jackman alluded to earlier, Mr. Martel's
14	description of Mr. Nureddin's confinement, I would
15	echo what Ms Jackman said, that there really, at
16	least in my opinion, is no practical difference
17	between the chronologies by Mr. Almalki and
18	Mr. El-Maati and the documents that has been
19	accepted as part of the record. I would further
20	submit that the document that has been submitted
21	and has been accepted already is Mr. Martel's
22	account, so it is actually a hearsay account of
23	Mr. Nureddin as well as the fact Mr. Martel is a
24	Canadian official whose actions are being
25	scrutinized.

1	The chronologies of the other
2	individuals are their first-hand information, and
3	so I think there is a great deal of similarity
4	between the two, and certainly accepting the one
5	document, I think, should prove as a precedent in
6	accepting the other two chronologies, with the
7	proviso, of course, that they would not be
8	accepted for their truth.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you,
10	Mr. Saloojee.
11	Mr. Atkey?
12	MR. ATKEY: Mr. Chairman, I find
13	myself differing slightly as a matter of process
14	rather than objective or substance. I think the
15	information may be useful to the Commission. I am
16	concerned about the context and the process under
17	which it is put before this factual inquiry in a
18	way that may be misinterpreted by the public as
19	proof of the information that is stated therein.
20	I was, frankly, impressed with the
21	unique way that you dealt with submissions in May
22	and came up with the proposal of the fact finder,
23	Stephen Toope, who has been given a mandate, a
24	very specific mandate to speak with these
25	gentlemen. I have discussed with my friend

1	Ms Jackman the notion that these are documents
2	that I'm sure Professor Toope would find very
3	useful.
4	If indeed he is satisfied, after
5	having investigated other sources and talked
6	to the individuals concerned, that the information
7	is reliable, it could be attached to his report or
8	it could be summarized as part of his report and
9	could come to this commission in that form in the
10	context of the factual inquiry.
11	And I don't touch upon the policy
12	review which, as you noted, is a broader issue.
13	But I think, in terms of
14	interpretation of the document and putting the
15	document in the context that the Commission would
16	find useful, I think if they were filtered through
17	the process of Professor Toope, that might be a
18	preferable way of proceeding, and I don't think
19	there is strong objection to Ms Jackman in
20	proceeding in that fashion if there is some
21	assurance that, through Professor Toope, the
22	information will come before this commission.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you,
24	Mr. Atkey.
25	Does anybody else?

1	Ms Edwardh, do you have anything
2	to say on this issue?
3	MS EDWARDH: I think that the
4	answer of providing the document to both the
5	policy review for its purposes and Professor Toope
6	for his purposes is one that can readily be
7	adopted. But I don't know that that answers the
8	true dilemma that you face, Mr. Commissioner.
9	People are inviting you to file it
10	is part of the public record in order to
11	contextualize. In order to let individuals whose
12	reputations have been damaged provide an answer,
13	and when one looks at it from that perspective, it
14	raises a challenge that I don't think is met by
15	just shuffling it off to Professor Toope, who
16	isn't dealing with reputation, really, or to the
17	policy review.
18	Everyone here knows that you are
19	entitled in a commission of inquiry to receive
20	information through forms that maybe quite
21	unconventional; you are the master of your own
22	ship. And as long as counsel filing them are
23	saying this is the purpose, to contextualize, to
24	have the answer on the record, and to give a basis
25	to say to you this is kind of probable cause to

1	invite someone else to look at this matter, but
2	you don't have to make final findings. If that is
3	the basis, then I would urge you to accept it
4	knowing that whatever facts you draw from it are
5	going to be only in that kind of context, that it
6	won't be a basis for making findings that would
7	engage Section 13 of the Inquiries Act, but that
8	it would allow someone to say, "We believe there
9	is an adequate basis in the information before you
10	to make a further recommendation.", that kind of
11	thing.
12	So I'm just uncomfortable because
13	I can see us all arguing at the end of the day,
14	"Well, what does it mean that it's in the policy
15	review?" or "What does it mean that it's in
16	Professor Toope?". I think as long as we all
17	understand that counsel is seeking to put limited
18	weight on it and for specific purposes, then it
19	can go before you. I certainly have no difficulty
20	if it goes before you as is.
21	I suppose I might say to
22	Ms Jackman, "Maybe we should adjourn and get it
23	all sworn and just file it.", but I see the
24	government's issue; I think that's not fair to you
25	to expand your terms of reference. But Ms Jackman

1	is not asking you to expand it, it's about
2	context, it's about an answer, and it's about
3	being able to submit at a later date that there
4	may be someone else that should conduct some kind
5	of investigation or inquiry.
6	So, I am content it be received in
7	all three forums, but acknowledging that there is
8	a limited component to it coming before you.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: So it's
10	context, answering reputational concerns and the
11	basis for recommendation is what I hear everybody
12	saying. It's a question of how we do it.
13	MS EDWARDH: Yes.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Monsieur
15	Décary, do you wish to add anything to this issue
16	MR. DÉCARY: I don't wish to add
17	anything.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
19	Ms McIssac?
20	MS McISSAC: I have a couple of
21	points, sir.
22	First of all, I feel compelled to
23	respond to a couple of issues. The first one is
24	the document relating to Mr. Nureddin. That
25	document was introduced for one purpose and one

1	purpose only, and that purpose was to assist the
2	witness in his explanation as to the reports that
3	the other Canadian official made with respect to
4	his comments regarding Mr. Arar, and it was
5	necessary for that document to be introduced
6	so that the witness could explain what was
7	happening. It was not introduced for any other
8	reason, and it is only relevant, in my submission,
9	to this inquiry for that limited purpose.
10	The second point, and I feel
11	compelled to make some comments on, is the issue
12	of reputational interest of Mr. Almalki and
13	Mr. El-Maati. You will recall, sir, that one of
14	the reasons the government was concerned about
15	releasing documents that had been inadvertently
16	earlier released was the fact we did not want to
17	go any further than necessary on the public record
18	naming Mr. Almalki and Mr. El-Maati.
19	Maybe it was inevitable. Maybe
20	once the day this inquiry was called it was
21	inevitable that that would happen, but I do take
22	issue with any suggestion that the government has
23	deliberately tried to sully the names of either of
24	those individuals. It was something that was
25	inevitable and, quite frankly, something that we

Τ	tried to avoid to the extend it was possible to do
2	so.
3	Having made those preliminary
4	comments, I would like to comment on the three
5	reasons that I heard Ms Jackman suggest the
6	document should be provided in this context as an
7	exhibit to part one of the inquiry. The first one
8	relates to the fact that this provides a context
9	for a finding or not a finding, I suppose, she
L 0	said, but that there is some kind of pattern of
L1	conduct here, but you are not to make any findings
L2	of fact with respect to the documents.
L3	I have trouble with that because I
L4	don't know what it is, if not a finding of fact,
L5	that there is some kind of pattern here. It is a
L6	finding of fact and it presupposes that one has to
L7	take at some degree of face value what is in those
L8	documents. I am not suggesting it is inaccurate,
L9	I am putting that point on the record.
20	The second one is the reputational
21	interests of the two individuals, and I have
22	already addressed that.
23	Thirdly, it was to be able to
24	assess the case about Mr. Arar, and again, I think
) 5	we are on the wrong track when we talk about

1	assessing any kind of case against Mr. Arar.
2	First of all, you know, to the extent there may be
3	comments in the documents that suggest that the
4	individuals in question were not engaged in any
5	kind of activity that should have brought them to
6	the attention of the RCMP, again, that involves
7	certain findings of fact. More importantly, in my
8	submission, the point here is that this inquiry is
9	not about whether Mr. Arar or, indeed, any other
10	individual was engaged in criminal activity or
11	not, so to the extend that the documents attempt
12	to speak to that issue, in my submission, again,
13	they are not relevant to what you are doing here.
14	My submission would be that
15	Mr. Atkey's position that these documents are
16	properly introduced through Mr. Toope, who has
17	been given a very specific mandate by you, to
18	inquire into the conditions of Mr. Arar's
19	detention in Syria and Jordan, is the appropriate
20	way to proceed with these.
21	The only concern I had is that I
22	would think it inappropriate for any of us to
23	prejudge what Mr. Toope might find appropriate to
24	do with is report at the end of the day. He may
25	wish to put these as an exhibit or an appendix.

1	He may wish to summarize them, or he may wish not
2	to refer to them at all, and I think it would be
3	inappropriate for any of us to presuppose what he
4	should do.
5	So in my submission, these
6	documents, if they are to come before the
7	Commission in respect of Part 1 of the Inquiry,
8	the appropriate way is for them to be provided as
9	I gather they have to Mr. Toope, for him to use
LO	them in whatever manner he feels appropriate in
L1	fulfilling is mandate.
L2	Thank you.
L3	THE COMMISSIONER: Ms Jackman,
L4	anything by way of reply?
L5	MS JACKMAN: I guess I find
L6	Ms McIssac's submissions to you, with respect to
L7	suggesting it come through Mr. Toope, somewhat
18	disingenuous in the sense that, ultimately, the
L9	government doesn't want them on the public record,
20	and if it's put over to Mr. Toope, they may or may
21	not be part of the public record. Mr. Toope may
22	append them as exhibits, he may summarize them, he
23	may leave out things that are very important.
24	It's just deferring the decision over to
25	Mr Toone or delegating it to him

1	I think that you should deal with
2	it directly. He has it before him, he may very
3	well put it forward anyway. But the issue is
4	before you and I think you need to address it.
5	The question of receiving credible
6	or trustworthy evidence, when I say you can
7	receive it, and it is probably better if we put it
8	under affidavit, if nobody cross-examines on it,
9	that's a question of weight.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: I would be
11	if I can suggest, I don't see offering it in that
12	form. No, I would be disinclined because if the
13	concern is there be cross-examination or there not
14	be cross-examination, you put me in a very
15	difficult position if you file an affidavit and
16	turn around and ask for no cross-examination.
17	But
18	MS JACKMAN: You don't practice in
19	the federal area of law where the government puts
20	in affidavits all the time and we never get to
21	cross-examine.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no
23	MS JACKMAN: I'm used to it.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: In this
25	inquiry, I would feel I won't comment on

1	federal practice I would feel there might be
2	some merit to a request to cross-examine. In any
3	event, I think, even for the purposes that you are
4	proposing, taking it at its broadest, which is
5	your request I'm not saying I'm against it I
6	don't think if I were to adopt it, given the
7	limits you're putting on the use to be made of it,
8	I don't think putting it in an affidavit,
9	un-cross-examined is going to advance the case, so
10	I don't think we need to confront that.
11	MS JACKMAN: I want to answer a
12	couple of points that Ms McIssac made.
13	Ms McIssac properly points out
14	that Mr. Nureddin's memo, the memo concerning Mr.
15	Nureddin was put in for the purpose of assisting
16	Mr. Martel in perhaps clarifying what may have
17	been a confusion or not. I accept that but, I
18	guess my question then is, if the government
19	dictates the parameters of the evidence that comes
20	before you, if there is no memo written that
21	Mr. Martel confused about, about Mr. El-Maati or
22	Mr. Almalki, then nothing comes before you. It
23	shouldn't be dictated for that purpose.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: But that
25	evidence having gone in for that nurnoge you can

1	rest assured I wouldn't use it as proof of the
2	truth.
3	MS JACKMAN: No, and I'm not
4	asking you to. I'm saying that it shouldn't be
5	the parameters of what comes before you should not
6	be dictated by what memos are and aren't written
7	because then if they don't write a memo, it never
8	becomes evidence even if someone else thinks it is
9	relevant.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: I understand
11	that.
12	MS JACKMAN: I guess the bottom
13	line is, in terms of the last point about Ms
14	McIssac's submissions to you, is Mr. Toope is
15	interviewing these men too corroborate, as I
16	understand it, the evidence of Mr. Arar around
17	whether or not he was tortured.
18	Mr. Arar has explained to you
19	or he hasn't explained to you but you have his
20	chronology which, by the way, was put in by the
21	government as it was part of Mr. Martel's evidence
22	or file, I think.
23	MS McISSAC: Yes.
24	I really must interject. The
25	choice of what evidence is nut in hy and large

1	is the Commission's choice, not the government's.
2	MS JACKMAN: I didn't mean that
3	the government was putting it in, it's just that
4	it's in existence because the government chose
5	what to write, and it shouldn't be dictated by
6	that.
7	The only point is and again I
8	think it's a fine line, and I'm not asking you to
9	make findings in terms of pattern or in terms of
10	the process around the suspicions arising with
11	respect to Mr. Arar based on the other cases, but
12	on the other hand, I do think that you can say
13	there is at least a sufficient concern here, based
14	on what you see, and that you should have it
15	before you so that you know there is a sufficient
16	concern.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
18	Thank you, Ms Jackman.
19	I'm going to think about it I
20	haven't had an opportunity, actually, to look at
21	the documents. I have been otherwise occupied.
22	As a minimum, I can indicate now I ascribe to the
23	thought that it should be provided to Mr. Toope so
24	that people can rest assured with respect to that
25	and I will ask counsel to make it available to

1	him.
2	Also I made my comments to
3	Mr. Neve with respect to the recommendation power,
4	and parties making submissions to the policy
5	review should feel free to refer to the types of
6	materials they think appropriate. I don't say
7	that I'm not sure what weight I would attach to
8	them but, in any event, there is much broader
9	latitude in a forum like that to refer to
10	information that you wish.
11	But dealing with the balance of
12	the request, let me think about it and I will
13	issue a brief ruling in due course. I will try to
14	do that in relatively near future. I have a few
15	other commitments I have to deal with first, but
16	that's it.
17	Let me thank everybody for, first
18	of all, for today for the long day and the
19	patience, and the people who waited a long time to
20	bring the motion and counsel again for their
21	dedication to the process. As I said to Mr. David
22	the other day, I will reserve my final comments
23	until we finish our last public hearing or
24	finish the oral arguments, at least, later on, but
25	thank you for your dedication to the process

1	today.
2	So we will stand adjourned until
3	Monday, September 12, is that right
4	Mr. Cavalluzzo?
5	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Tuesday.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: No, it's
7	Monday.
8	MS JACKMAN: Monday's the twelfth.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, Monday's
10	the twelfth, that is the first day of oral
11	argument. I am not sure what time we're starting,
12	do you know?
13	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Just so that
14	counsel are advised, we will be proceeding to
15	submissions on Monday, September 12, but there may
16	be a few final evidentiary points that we are
17	going to have to deal with. There are some
18	outstanding undertakings that I have to ensure are
19	fulfilled. As well, counsel should be advised
20	that Mr. Décary is talking, he has indicated to me
21	that he would like to introduce some expert
22	evidence and that will be some time later on,
23	after the submissions.
24	So that will still be outstanding.
25	But our next event is going to be Monday September

1	12.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: In terms of
3	Mr. Décary's issue, it is a relatively discreet
4	one in the overall context of the inquiry, and so
5	the fact that that evidence I have been spoken
6	to about this for good reason cannot be called
7	until some point later, later and probably in
8	October, should not delay the progress of the
9	inquiry, so that people should prepare their
10	submissions as I requested earlier, in accordance
11	with those time frames, and that question of the
12	expert evidence that Mr. Décary may call will be
13	something that will have to be carved out.
14	People will be given notice when
15	that evidence is to be called and the nature of
16	the evidence and those who have an interest in it
17	will be obviously be entitled to be involved and
18	participate in it.
19	So we will resume, it will be
20	here, public hearings, I think it is 10 o'clock,
21	is it, on Monday September 12?
22	MR. CAVALLUZZO: Yes.
23	MR. DÉCARY: Before you leave
24	Mr. O'Connor, as the youngest member, probably, in
2.5	this room you might have noticed I would

1	like to express the gratitude and consideration I
2	have. I have appeared before many commissions in
3	my young life, and I was very impressed, not only
4	by the way you disposed of matters, but also by
5	the tone. Tone comes from the top, and I told you
6	in private and told you the way you handled all
7	matters is most impressive.
8	I wish to thank you in my name and
9	I'm sure in the name of every lawyer in this room.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very
11	much, Mr. Décary. I appreciate that.
12	THE REGISTRAR: Please stand.
13	Whereupon the hearing adjourned at 6:35 p.m.,
14	to resume on Monday, September 12, 2005
15	at 10:00 a.m. / L'audience est ajournée
16	