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Ottawa, Ontario
--- Upon commencing on Tuesday, May 17, 2005 at 10:00 a.m. / L'audience reprend le mardi 17 mai 2005 à 10 h 00

THE REGISTRAR: Please be seated.
Veuillez-vous asseoir.
THE COMMISSIONER: Good morning
Mr. Livermore. How are you?
MR. LIVERMORE: Good.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Mr. Commissioner,
prior to having Mr. Livermore sworn, I'd just like to advise counsel as to the upcoming witnesses, to be clear.

The rest of this week is firm, and that is tomorrow we will be having Ms Lloyd in the morning and Ms McDonough in the afternoon. On Thursday we have Nancy Collins from DFAIT. Next week, on May $24 t h, 25 t h$, and $26 t h$, we have Mr. Gar Pardy, the former head of consular affairs in DFAIT. And then the next week, May 30 th is the Monday, we have Bill Graham, Minister Graham. Tuesday, the 31st, we have John Manley, the former cabinet minister.

> Wednesday, on June 1st, we have

Ms Catterall and Senator De Bané. Thursday, June

2nd is open at the moment, and on Friday, June 3rd, we have Mr. Wayne Easter, the former Solicitor General. That will give counsel of where we're headed for the next two and a half weeks.

THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Mr. Livermore was sworn at the in camera hearings. Should we do it again in public?

MR. CAVALLUZZO: I don't think
it's necessary.
You're still under oath then,
Mr. Livermore.
MR. LIVERMORE: I understand.
THE COMMISSIONER: Just for the record, if you would, just state your full name? MR. LIVERMORE: John Daniel

Livermore.
PREVIOUSLY SWORN: JOHN DANIEL LIVERMORE
MR. CAVALLUZZO: In respect of Mr. Livermore's evidence, I have broken it down into four different areas. The first area, we will deal with Mr. Livermore's employment and professional background. Secondly, we will be looking at the organizational framework of the Security and Intelligence Bureau, which he
presently heads. Thirdly, we will be seeking or asking questions of Mr. Livermore, which will provide us with an overview of Foreign Affairs and its mandate, and more particularly, the Security and Intelligence Bureau. And then the final area, the area that we will be spending the most time upon, will be dealing with documents and events that we have been referring to as the Arar time line.

EXAMINATION
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Initially, we will deal with Mr. Livermore's professional and employment background, and we do have a curriculum vitae which we would seek to introduce as an exhibit in these proceedings.

THE COMMISSIONER: $P-65$. EXHIBIT NO. P-65 : Curriculum Vitae of John Daniel Livermore MR. CAVALLUZZO: Mr. Livermore, you're presently the Direct General, Bureau of Security and Intelligence?

MR. LIVERMORE: That is correct, yes. MR. CAVALLUZZO: And you've held
that position since August of 2002 ?
MR. LIVERMORE: Yes, that is
correct.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: In terms of your
education, $I$ understand that you've received a
bachelor of arts from Brock University?
MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: A master of arts
from Carleton?
MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: And a Ph.D. from
Queens?
MR. LIVERMORE: That's right.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: And your Ph.D.
was in what area of study?
MR. LIVERMORE: In 19th century
Canadian history.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: I don't think
I'll be asking any questions of that, so let's move on.
--- Laughter / Rires
Now, I'd like to deal with your public service. You joined the Foreign Service in 1975?

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: And you do have an extensive background. I just want to really focus upon some of your most recent appointments, starting in 1988. Between 1988 and 1990, you were the Director, Human Rights and Social Affairs Division in the International Organisation Bureau? MR. LIVERMORE: That is correct, yes. MR. CAVALLUZZO: Can you give me some idea of what your duties and responsibilities were in respect of that particular position? MR. LIVERMORE: I had been doing human rights work since the 1970 s and doing human rights at the United Nations in the late 1970s, and the work had expanded to such an extent that in the 1980s, it was decided to create a division responsible for human rights. Basically the division was divided into two or three sections. One was what you might call the multilateral aspects of human rights, namely covering the United Nations' Commission on Human Rights, covering some of the other bodies in the U.N. system dealing with human rights and dealing with all of the aspects of that type of work. The other aspect was what we call
bilateral human rights work, that is, dealing with some of the geographic divisions within External Affairs, as it was then called, advising them on human rights issues, developing reporting structures in the area of human rights, et cetera. MR. CAVALLUZZO: And when we come to your overview evidence, one of the things you will say is that in respect of our foreign policy, that Canada is concerned with promoting Canadian values, obviously, democratic values, which would include human rights values; is that correct? MR. LIVERMORE: Yes, that is correct.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: And is this a division which would be guiding the department in respect of promoting Canadian values, particularly democratic rights?

MR. LIVERMORE: This would be one of them. The division, in practical terms, is fairly complicated in the sense that the Geographic Division or the Geographic Bureau is the focal point for all of Canadian policy towards a certain country.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right.
MR. LIVERMORE: But in the human
rights area, it would be informed by what the Human Rights Division would have to say.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right.
MR. LIVERMORE: And if it came to
a program, that is, we wanted to develop a program of assistance in that area, there is another division in the department with some funding that might be brought into play as well.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, just let me give you a hypothetical example, and that is to say, if $I$ was a consular officer and $I$ was to find that one of my clients, as they're called, was detained in Syria, for example, and I wanted to learn about the human rights record of Syria, would $I$ call upon this particular division for advice and guidance?

MR. LIVERMORE: You might. It
depends on -- the consular officers are divided into Canadian-based officers who have security clearances, and locally engaged officers who do not have security clearances. The Canadian-based officers would have access to a classified database if they wanted to consult that classified database. Some of them choose to do so; some of them don't, because they're consular specialists,
so they know pretty well what to expect with respect to a particular situation.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: In your context statement itself, there is reference to a classified annual human rights report in respect of different countries.

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: And would I have access to that if $I$ was a consular officer, having questions about the country in which my client is detained?

MR. LIVERMORE: If you were a Canadian-based consular officer with a security clearance, you might have access to that database. Whether you would find it useful would be another question.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. And do you know, for example, we have heard evidence in the last three days from Maureen Girvan. Would she have a security clearance?

MR. LIVERMORE: I believe that she does, yes.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. I'd like to move on now. Between 1991 and 1993, you were the Director of Policy Planning?

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Between 1994 and
1996, you became the first Director of the
Regional Security and Peacekeeping Division in the International Security Affairs Bureau?

MR. LIVERMORE: That's right.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Between 1996 and
1999, you had front line diplomatic experience as Canada's Ambassador to Guatemala and El Salvador? MR. LIVERMORE: I did. But I had front line experience prior to that time as well. MR. CAVALLUZZO: This is your first time as being ambassador?

MR. LIVERMORE: First time as ambassador, yes.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: And between 1992
and 2002 you were the Ambassador for Mine Action in Ottawa, I assume we're talking about land mines; is that correct?

MR. LIVERMORE: That is correct, yes.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: That is the position you held prior to assuming your present position of Director General in the Bureau of Security and Intelligence?

MR. LIVERMORE: That is correct, yes.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Finally your curriculum vitae indicates that you've published extensively in a number of Canadian journals and indeed have published a book in 1994 on Ethnic Conflict in the New Europe?

MR. LIVERMORE: That is correct,
yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, I'd like to
move on, Mr. Livermore, to the second area of questioning and look at the corporate structures which we find in DFAIT, which will help us understand better the evidence that you're going to give and Mr. Pardy will give in respect of the events surrounding Mr. Arar.

I am first going to look at the organizational chart for DFAIT itself, which can be found in Exhibit $P-11$, that is the Book of Documents for Mr. Konrad Sigurdson who gave us contextual evidence this past June.

And this is just really a view from 30,000 feet above, and we see that the area in which you're involved is at the bottom, Global and Security Policy?

MR. LIVERMORE: That is correct, yes.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: We have MJW, that is Mr. Jim Wright, who is the Assistant Deputy Minister and Political Director?

MR. LIVERMORE: That is correct.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: And then his
direct reporting relationship would be right through Mr. Fried, the Associate Deputy Minister, and Mr. Harder, the Deputy Minister of Foreign Affairs, or otherwise referred to as USS or the Undersecretary of State?

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: And then directly
to Minister Graham?
MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: I'd like to move
on then to the corporate chart for Global and Security Policy, and we can see in respect of this chart that Mr. Wright has a number of bureaus which report directly to him, and we see that your bureau can be found on the right-hand side of the chart; is that correct?

MR. LIVERMORE: That is correct. MR. CAVALLUZZO: And you are the
head of the bureau, as we have suggested, and the division which we will be focusing upon today is the Foreign Intelligence Division, which is headed by Scott Heatherington at the material point in time and that division is referred to as ISI? MR. LIVERMORE: That is correct, yes.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: And you, as
Director General, are referred to as ISD? MR. LIVERMORE: Yes, that is correct.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, I'd like to move on to Exhibit P-24, which is a corporate description of Security and Intelligence Bureau and ask you to look at that, and we see that -we're going to -- just give us a second so the Commissioner keeps up with us.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. And we're going to come back to this in terms of your contextual description of $S \& I$, and that is that there are three divisions on the left-hand side, and that is Security Operations and Personal Safety Division, the Corporate Security Division, and Information and Technical Security Division,
and we will not be spending any time other than a brief description that you will give us subsequently.

But on the right-hand side is the important point, because we're going to be seeing the name B. Grover, the administrative assistant, that's Belinda Grover?

MR. LIVERMORE: That's correct.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: We will see her name periodically. And then, of course, we have Mr. Scott Heatherington who is the Director of the Foreign Intelligence Division?

MR. LIVERMORE: That is correct.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: In the other chart, there are a number of other charts relating to $S$ \& $I$, but the only other chart that $I$ would ask you to refer to now is the corporate chart for the Foreign Intelligence Division, or ISI.

THE COMMISSIONER: Which tab is that?

MR. CAVALLUZZO: This is part of the same tab.

THE COMMISSIONER: Same sequence. MR. CAVALLUZZO: It should be the last page of the tab.

THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay? And I want to identify some names here. Although, as you can see, this chart was approved on October 18th of 2004, I want to bring your mind back to 2002. But let's just look at it.

Initially the Director of the division once again is Mr. Heatherington? And we see on the right-hand side below Mr. Heatherington that we have an RCMP liaison officer?

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: And we'll see that at the material point in time, there was an RCMP LO, and also in terms of special projects, it says CSIS FTE. Now, I understand at the material point in time, in 2002 and 2003, that there was a CSIS liaison officer?

MR. LIVERMORE: That is correct. MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. So that at the material time, we have both a CSIS liaison officer and an RCMP liaison officer? MR. LIVERMORE: That's correct. MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Now, if we move down the chart, in terms of the people that we will be focusing upon, we see that Deputy

Director, Intelligence Policy on the left-hand side? And we see that Jim Gould was a Deputy Director at the material time?

MR. LIVERMORE: That is correct.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: And then we see a
number of references to positions called Policy Advisor, and we see the name on the right-hand side, third box down, Don Saunders, whose name will come up.

And the other name that we will be reviewing with you today in terms of ISI was somebody by the name of Jonathan Solomon. Where would Jonathan Solomon have been found in 2002? He's no longer there.

MR. LIVERMORE: He was another Policy Advisor in ISI. He would have been found probably in the same column that Don Saunders would have been found.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. And in
terms of the other boxes there, the interview officers and client relations officers, we'll come back to that in terms of your description in your contextual statement.

I would like to come now to, really, your overview evidence concerning Foreign

Affairs as well as $S$ \& $I$, and at this time file as an exhibit, Mr. Commissioner, Mr. Livermore's redacted overview statement as the next exhibit. THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit P-66. EXHIBIT P-66: Overview Statement of John Daniel Livermore (redacted)

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now,
Mr. Livermore, initially $I$ would like to deal with the mandate of Foreign Affairs Canada, and in your statement you tell us that there is a global network of something like 174 posts abroad and you give examples, for example, in respect of consular affairs, that there are like 700 cases that are dealt with annually.

If you could just give us a brief history in the last 50 years or so of the mandate of Foreign Affairs, I am going to ask you some very specific questions about that mandate. MR. LIVERMORE: Of Foreign

```
Affairs?
```

MR. CAVALLUZZO: That is correct. Initially, in terms of its mandate obviously, we find it in section 10 of the legislation. I will be asking you specific

## StenoTran

questions about section 10 of the legislation, but what I want to ask you about is this particular reference in your statement at page 2 .

You say:
"The essential point of Canada's foreign policy is that we are charged with advancing and protecting the interest and values of Canada and Canadians."

What do you mean by that when you refer to that in your context statement? MR. LIVERMORE: Mr. Commissioner, may I have a copy of the Foreign Affairs Act? Is there one available?

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Yes. This is
Konrad Sigurdson's Book of Documents. Do you have that?

THE COMMISSIONER: P-11? I might
have that here. It is tab 7, I think. MR. CAVALLUZZO: It's tab 7,
section 10.
MR. LIVERMORE: If I could just be brief, because the legislative mandate of foreign Affairs Canada or External Affairs, as it used to

## StenoTran

be called, is fairly brief, but the essence of it I tried to boil down in a couple of sentences in the overview statement.

Namely, it's the management of
Canadian foreign relations, and it's working with other departments and agencies of the federal government that have foreign interests. It's working with the provinces, working with municipalities, and it's also working with other governments and international organizations. So it's essentially a policy coordination function. MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. And in terms of this reference once again in your statement of advancing and protecting the interests of Canadians, that's rather obvious.

But in terms of advancing and protecting the values of Canada, I don't see any reference to that in the Foreign Affairs legislation. I am wondering, is that just a natural implication in terms of the basic democratic country in which we live?

MR. LIVERMORE: I don't think so much it's entrenched in legislation, although maybe $I$ would have to thumb through it and see if I find something. But it comes about as a result
of successive foreign policy reviews that have been undertaken since probably the 1970s. Almost every decade, there has been a significant foreign policy review. Just a few weeks ago, there was another foreign policy statement presented by the government.

So the idea of advancing things
like the promotion of democratic government, promotion of the rule of law abroad, promoting human rights, these are things that enter usually via the policy statements rather than the legislative framework. MR. CAVALLUZZO: I would like to ask you specific questions relating to the role of the Minister -- that can be found in section 10 -and try to focus upon what $I$ believe would be the duties and powers of the Minister which would be relevant to the case in which we are dealing with; that is the case of Mr. Arar.

If you have section 10 in front of you, I would suggest that the following powers, where it says the Minister shall, first of all:
"(a) conduct all diplomatic
and consular relations on behalf of Canada;"

## StenoTran

Secondly, in paragraph (b):
"(b) conduct all official communications between the Government of Canada and the government of any other country and between the Government of Canada and any international organization;" Stopping there where it says the Minister shall conduct official communications between the Government of Canada and the government of another country, that does not preclude, presumably, the communications between another Canadian agency and a foreign agency. Is that correct? MR. LIVERMORE: That is correct, yes.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: It goes on in paragraph (g) and states that the Minister shall:
" (g) coordinate the direction given by the Government of Canada to the heads of Canada's diplomatic and consular missions;"

And that's what we are obviously

## StenoTran

talking about, consul generals, ambassadors, and so on and so forth.

MR. LIVERMORE: That's correct.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: So they are to receive direction from the Minister?

MR. LIVERMORE: That's correct.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Paragraph (h),
the Minister shall:
"(h) have the management of Canada's diplomatic and consular missions;"

And that in this case, for example, would be the consulate in New York City as well as the situation in Damascus?

MR. LIVERMORE: That is correct.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Next paragraph, (i), the Minister shall:
"(i) administer the foreign service of Canada;"

That's rather obvious.
And paragraph (j), shall:
"(j) foster the development of international law and its application in Canada's external relations;"

## StenoTran

One could perhaps imply there are certain promotion of human rights, as we find, and international law, which is the responsibility of the Minister.

And finally in paragraph (k) we have a basket clause, which is to:
" (k) carry out such other duties and functions as are in law assigned to him."

I would like to move on now to the organization of Foreign Affairs. In paragraph 3 of your contextual statement, you tell us that at the material time the Minister was the Honourable Bill Graham?

MR. LIVERMORE: That's correct.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: And the Deputy
Minister was who?
MR. LIVERMORE: Mr. Gaetan
Lavertu.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: He was the Deputy Minister in 2002-2003?

MR. LIVERMORE: That is correct, yes.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: And Mr. Peter
Harder became the Deputy Minister when in 2003, do
you recall?
MR. LIVERMORE: I believe it was in June of 2003.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: And then you describe branches and divisions. Why don't you tell us, first of all, what branches are in the Foreign Affairs Department?

MR. LIVERMORE: If you go back to
Exhibit $P-11$, tab 2 --
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right.
MR. LIVERMORE: -- these boxes at the bottom are called branches. And in those days the branch in which I worked was called the Global and Security Policy branch, headed by Jim Wright. So that's the largest organizational unit of the department.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: And each branch, you tell us, is headed by an Assistant Deputy Minister?

MR. LIVERMORE: That's correct, yes.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: And what is the organizational unit below the branch?

MR. LIVERMORE: Below the branch, the next organizational unit down is what we call
the bureau.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: And each bureau is headed by something called a Director General? MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. In terms of branches, $I$ can see that some seem to be based on geographic lines and some seem to be based on functional lines. Is that correct?

MR. LIVERMORE: That is correct, yes.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: And in terms of Mr. Arar's situation, if we go back to the corporate chart, presumably the relevant branches, if ISI is involved, would be Global and Security Policy?

MR. LIVERMORE: That would be one, yes.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: And where would consular affairs fall in respect of the branch?

MR. LIVERMORE: At the time, in 2002, it was under Corporate Services, Passport, and Consular Affairs, what is called MKM.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: And that can be found on the right side of the chart?

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: And presumably
the geographic branch, which may have some relevance to Mr. Arar's case, would be the Africa and Middle East branch?

MR. LIVERMORE: That is correct, yes.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: At the time the Assistant Deputy Minister was John McNee?

MR. LIVERMORE: That is correct.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: And the Assistant
Deputy Minister in consular affairs would be, at that time, Katherine McCallion?

MR. LIVERMORE: That is correct.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. We are at the level of bureau, the $S \& I$ bureau, the Security and Intelligence Bureau, and underneath -- I shouldn't say "underneath", but below the bureau we have what are called divisions?

MR. LIVERMORE: That's correct.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: And the divisions
are headed by whom?
MR. LIVERMORE: By a Director.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Just to
make my life difficult, FAC has a lot of acronyms used in their e-mails, and in order to understand

## StenoTran

who is sending the e-mail and who is receiving the e-mail, I wonder if you might help us in respect of all of the acronyms that are used in Foreign Affairs.

I understand, for example, you start with USS, which doesn't stand for the United States Steamship Lines but stands for Under Secretary of State, and $I$ wonder if you might take us from there.

MR. LIVERMORE: All right. The USS was retained from the old title of Deputy Minister of Foreign Affairs. The office used to be called Under Secretary of State for External Affairs, but for continuity, USS was retained. So that's the Deputy Minister.

There's another Deputy Minister of International Trade and that at the time was Len Edwards. It was called DMT. And there is an Associate Deputy Minister. At the time I believe it was Paul Thibeault, who was called DMA.

When you work down, the next level down is the Assistant Deputy Minister level, if you like, and at the time, if $I$ am not mistaken, a decision was made to have a three-letter acronym. The first letter was "M", which I am told --

MR. CAVALLUZZO: That makes a lot of sense.

MR. LIVERMORE: I am told it means
"Management".
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. In any
event, it is "M"?
MR. LIVERMORE: It is "M". Then followed by the two initials of the person's name, providing there is no conflict. You don't want to have two people with the same initials, in which case the initial would be different.

That explains Jim Wright is MJW; Katherine McCallion is MKM.

And then down from that, in theory -- although again $I$ hate to make your life complicated, but there are some exceptions -- each branch was supposed to have all of its bureaus begin with a letter which would identify it as part of the bureau.

In the case of Jim Wright's bureau, every bureau was supposed to be headed with the letter "I" for "International Security Affairs", which is once what it was called. The second letter -- hence there are others that follow a different format of having a different
first letter.
The second letter identifies the bureau by an initial. For instance, in the case of the multilateral bureau, it's an "M". In the case of the bureau that $I$ head, it's an "S".

And the third letter is a "D", which stands either for the bureau or for the Director General. In my case, it's ISD.

Anything at the divisional level usually is a four-letter acronym, and it begins with "I", which is the branch. Second letter, which is the bureau, which in my case is an "S" -excuse me, a three-letter. And then a letter after that, which tries to explain what the division is, if there's any logic to it.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. There seems to be a lot of logic to it, but let's move on.

In terms of the e-mails we are going to see, when we see ISD, that is going to refer to Dan Livermore?

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: When we see references to Jonathan Solomon, Dan Saunders, or Dan Gould --

MR. LIVERMORE: Jim Gould.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Jim Gould, excuse
me. That will be ISI?
MR. LIVERMORE: ISI, yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, I would like
to move on to the communication system which we find in Foreign Affairs, and we see initially there's something called SIGNET "D".

Could you tell us what that is?
MR. LIVERMORE: SIGNET "D" is
essentially an unclassified communications system which Foreign Affairs has worldwide, which is hooked up to other government departments, but essentially at the unclassified or protected A level.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: We have seen a lot of what we have been referring to as CAMANT notes. Is that what you are talking about, the unclassified system?

MR. LIVERMORE: Well, SIGNET "D" has a lot of functionality. It's a simple e-mail system. You can e-mail any two people in the system. It has access to the internet. It has a bunch of financial programs.

CAMANT is a program on that
system, but CAMANT is not accessible by everyone on that system. It's a limited-access system.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: There is a secret communication system as well that we will see. Could you tell us what that is and could you describe it for us?

MR. LIVERMORE: There is a secret level communication system which is called C4, and usually on a document there will be something, C4 at the top, which gives the reader an indication that it's being transmitted via the system. It's a fairly old system in technological terms. It doesn't have the functionality that the unclassified system has, or the reach for that matter.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. I would like to move on to look at employee complement at missions abroad.

For example, if we take the ambassador in Damascus since we will be looking at that situation, $I$ understand that, first of all, we have employees who are engaged at that embassy who are not necessarily part of Foreign Affairs. Is that correct?
In other words, they are from
other departments of government?
MR. LIVERMORE: Oh, in that sense,
what we have are -- among the Canadian-based staff we have generally a mixture of people, and I think --

MR. CAVALLUZZO: By
"Canadian-based staff", what do you mean by that?
MR. LIVERMORE: I mean officers
who are employed by government departments here in Ottawa and who go abroad as Canadians to serve in a Canadian function in another mission.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay.
MR. LIVERMORE: I think in the case of Damascus, if $I$ am not mistaken, it's a large immigration post, which means that virtually all of the people working in the immigration section of the Canadians will probably be employed by Immigration Canada.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: And even though
they are employed by Immigration Canada, they would be responsible to Mr. Pillarella, who was the ambassador at the time?

MR. LIVERMORE: The head of
mission is formally head of the Canadian
government programs in that country, yes.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: I assume, as well, in Damascus and other embassies we have locally hired individuals?

MR. LIVERMORE: We have a lot of locally hired individuals. What we call LES or locally engaged staff are the majority of our employees abroad.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: When
Mr. Pillarella comes to testify we will get very specific in terms of what the complement is in Damascus.

I would like to move on to the duties and responsibilities of a head of mission. Now, a head of mission that is referred to in DFAIT language is not necessarily just an ambassador. It could be somebody else?

MR. LIVERMORE: The usual title is ambassador, but it could be a high commissioner or a consul general.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: At the material time, as we said, it was Ambassador Franco Pillarella in Syria?

MR. LIVERMORE: That is right.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: I understand from
your statement that the ambassador, or any
ambassador indeed, is not appointed by the Minister of Foreign Affairs?

MR. LIVERMORE: No, the heads of mission are appointed by the Prime Minister and the Cabinet.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: And that is done by Order in Council?

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes, it is.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: And generally
speaking what is the responsibility of the ambassador?

If you want, if you have the legislation in front of you, you can look at tab 7, in particular section 13.

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes. Basically the essence of it is there in subsection 2 , which is called "Management and Direction". The basic role of the head of mission is to manage coherently all of the interests of Canada in that country.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: And in respect of
that role, is Mr. Pillarella, or any other ambassador, promoting only the interests of Foreign Affairs Canada?

MR. LIVERMORE: No. The role of
head of mission is to promote all of the programs of Canada in a particular country.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: For example, if we have an Immigration Canada person, or if we have liaison officers from different agencies, and so on and so forth, Mr. Pillarella as being the representative of Canada in Syria would be responsible for the guidance and supervision of these people?

MR. LIVERMORE: He would be
responsible for the overall management and direction of that body. It varies enormously, as you can appreciate, from post to post, exactly the complement of staff that we might have at a mission, exactly what our interests might be. But in any event, the head of mission is accountable.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: We are going to
hear in the evidence that there was a consular official in Damascus by the name of Mr. Leo Martel who will be testifying in public.

As a consular official, Mr. Martel presumably would be responsible to Mr. Pillarella?

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes. Leo Martel at the time had two main responsibilities. He was the head of the management or the administration
section of the embassy and head of the consular part of the administration at the embassy, and he would be accountable directly to Mr. Pillarella for that.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: At the same time, presumably, he would also be responsible to head office in Ottawa?

MR. LIVERMORE: That's basically
the way the system works. Not every dealing at an embassy goes through the Head of Mission. Each section of the embassy, and that pertains to government departments like Immigration or Defence or anything else, have their own links to their own department and day-to-day activities are generally carried out in coordination with relevant Ottawa departments.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, in terms --
if you could just be clear for us -- in terms of the divisions or bureaus in Ottawa to whom Mr. Martel would be responsible, presumably Consular Affairs would be one?

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: The functional
bureau. And at the same time the geographic bureau, what we referred to before as Africa and
the Middle East, so they may play a role in terms of guiding Mr. Martel; is that correct? MR. LIVERMORE: Yes. MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, you also refer in your statement to non-resident staff in paragraph 9. Could you explain that to us? What is "non-resident staff"?

MR. LIVERMORE: Foreign Affairs has diplomatic relations with a lot of countries, but some departments and agencies of the Canadian government don't have the staff abroad to cover every mission that we have with a dedicated staff member. So what they do instead is station an individual at a mission and then have that person cover other missions out of that one central hub. It's called a hub in a hub-and-spoke pattern. MR. CAVALLUZZO: In your statement you refer to liaison officers representing CSIS, the RCMP, and Canadian Armed Forces. What you seem to be saying is these liaison officers from these agencies may be located in another city, however periodically they may be in Damascus? MR. LIVERMORE: Yes. MR. CAVALLUZZO: And that as a result of that, they would be guided under the
management of Mr. Pillarella, who is the Ambassador?

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: And just in terms
of -- you talk about the Head of Mission, and I am quoting now in the last sentence. You say:
"They are expected in respect of their activities, when visiting another post, to report to the Head of Mission and be guided by his instructions while carrying out home agencies' responsibilities."

Just a hypothetical question.
What does that mean, "be guided by"? In other words, is it possible that there may be a dispute between the liaison officer, wherever he or she may come from, and the Head of Mission? In that case, who would resolve the dispute as to what should be done in Damascus or in any other international city in which we have an embassy? MR. LIVERMORE: Well, I can't speak for Damascus, of course, and I am hesitant to get into speculation, but the general situation
that you find yourself in, for example, as $I$ found myself in from Guatemala, I had multiple accreditations of individuals, mainly resident in Mexico City, who then came in to cover the Central American countries. It was my general practice to see them, when they arrive, and to try to give them an overview of what was happening in the country.

The instructions might be something that one might consider fairly trivial. For instance, in Guatemala, there are a lot of concerns about safety, personal safety. So I would instruct people, "Here's where you go; here's where you don't go." That I would consider to be, with all due respect to the other agencies, an instruction.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Or "Don't drink
the water"?
MR. LIVERMORE: That's another
one, yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay.
MR. LIVERMORE: As for dispute, I
am sure that there are the possibilities for dispute, but these are -- these could be headed back to Ottawa for settlement on the assumption
that if they ever got to be serious.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. But presumably, in theory, in any event, under the legislation, the Head of Mission is the representative of Canada in that country and presumably he or she ultimately, in theory, has the last say --

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: -- is that correct? Okay.

Now, in paragraph 10 you talk about the supervision and guidance given by the Head of Mission. Maybe, if you could just describe that for us? Obviously you are talking about the consultation that is done with head office in terms of carrying out that mandate?

MR. LIVERMORE: Of course, once again, the specific objectives of Canada vary enormously from one country to the next, and there usually is either an annual exercise, or something similar to that, where guidance is given as to what our interests are in that country, what programs we are promoting, et cetera. That guidance comes to the Head of Mission via the geographic branch, bureau, division, in Foreign

Affairs.
It's not simply that branch's view. That branch has presumably gone out, consulted widely in Ottawa to gather information on the wide gamut of interests that we might have -- wide gamut of programs that we might have -- so they are factoring all of this, in theory, into the equation, and then advising the Head of Mission on what that Head of Mission should be doing by way of objectives.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, in terms of the Head of Mission, of course, that's the person on the front lines, and $I$ would think that the government has to rely upon the Head of Mission in order to determine who the key people are within the foreign country in terms of decision-making. MR. LIVERMORE: It is basically
left to the Head of Mission to manage the day-to-day tactical considerations on the ground. Ottawa, if you could, if you want to put it this way, sets the strategy or the strategic directions. Heads of Mission are asked to work out the tactics on the ground and they are held accountable for the results that they achieve. MR. CAVALLUZZO: And not only
knowing the key decision-makers in the country but also, as you put in your statement, the Head of Mission is really the interpreter of the conditions in that country so that Ottawa is properly apprised of what the political and economic conditions are?

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Now, moving on to what you have referred to as the management principles in Foreign Affairs. You talk about horizontal and vertical coordination. I wonder if you might explain to us initially what horizontal coordination is?

MR. LIVERMORE: Well, basically, you saw in the organizational chart, a number of branches which exist, and there's a reporting responsibility to each of them.

Horizontal coordination is the idea that divisions, or even individuals, should consult widely across a number of branches to try to work out consensus on courses of action in advance of pushing issues up the line, as we sometimes say.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. And
vertical coordination; what do you mean by that?

MR. LIVERMORE: Basically, by
"vertical coordination," we mean that each person in the organization, whether it's the desk officer on a particular file or everyone up to the Deputy Minister or the Minister, knows what is going on, what our policy is.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Now,
obviously in our government we have a number of different departments, agencies, crown corporations, and so on. However, when we are dealing with a foreign nation, you state that one of the key principles is that there is coherence within Foreign Affairs and a "whole of government" approach.

What do you mean by that, in terms of a "whole of government" approach to Foreign Affairs?

MR. LIVERMORE: By "whole of
government", I mean that when we decide to take action with respect to particular issues, in theory, that we have consulted widely within government, we have found out what views are, what the pros and cons are, we have assessed everything, and that basically to the extent that we can we have assured that there is coherence
government-wide.
It also means that when there are assets of some agency which could be brought into play to help foreign policy on the other hand, that those assets are weighed as well.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: We do have a number of, as $I$ said, agencies in the Canadian government, all with separate and distinct mandates. What do you do when as a result of pursuing their separate mandates, different agencies of government may have different views as to what should happen in a foreign country? What happens there where there is no consensus?

MR. LIVERMORE: Basically there are, without doubt, different interests, different mandates at play. What happens in practical terms is that in Ottawa these are worked out, to the extent possible, at the divisional level. In other words, individuals get together, and they say here is what $I$ think and here is what $I$ think, and together you end up with a coherent position. If a coherent position is not possible at that level, basically the issue is pushed up to more senior people to make decisions.

I can recall, from when $I$ first joined the department in the 1970s, for example, that trade with South Africa was a particularly thorny issue that could never be resolved between what was then the Department of Trade and Commerce and what was then the Department of External Affairs. Officials simply couldn't reach agreement on an approach. It was always being pushed up to Deputy Ministers and sometimes there was an answer at the Deputy Minister level and sometimes it went to cabinet.

That is basically what the structure is for. MR. CAVALLUZZO: So is it fair to say the issue is pushed up and pushed up until consensus is reached at whatever level, and then Canada speaks with one voice?

MR. LIVERMORE: Well, I wouldn't
say until consensus is reached. I would phrase it slightly differently: until a decision is made. It may be that an issue that goes to cabinet, for example, it may be that a Minister isn't particularly happy with a cabinet decision and isn't happy with the consensus. But I think the Prime Minister says well, we have made a decision
and that's the end of the matter.
So it's basically pushing things
up until you end up with a coherent decision.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: In the next area
of your statement you talk about human rights, and we have dealt with that to a certain extent. But there is one thing that $I$ want to ask you about. This is now at paragraph 13 of your statement.

You say that:
"Human rights is a large and complex issue of foreign policy. It is a key component of the third pillar of Canadian foreign policy which speaks to the promotion of Canadian values and culture."

I guess I should ask you: What
are the first two pillars? No doubt we have covered them, but what are they?

MR. LIVERMORE: I think they are
the promotion of economic interests and the promotion of security interests.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay.
MR. LIVERMORE: I believe. This
goes back to a document of the mid-1980s; yes, I think mid-1980s -- mid-1990s, excuse me.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: There is
reference in the next area that $I$ want to ask you about, and that is that you say -- and I am picking up in the third or fourth sentence where you say:
"This means that in all our bilateral relationships, the human rights record of a country such as Syria is one of the factors that Canada takes into consideration in determining the nature of the overall relationship."

And then you go on:
"With respect to most countries, Syria included, there are annual human rights reports which evaluate the state of human rights and which may note the variety of actions where Canada pursued human rights objectives."

Just stopping there, is this the
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classified human rights report that you made reference to earlier in your testimony?

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes, these are classified reports.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: And I want to
know, these are reports that are presumably prepared by the people on the front lines. For example, in respect of Syria, the people in the embassy in Damascus would be preparing these reports?

MR. LIVERMORE: They would be prepared by the mission, yes, under the authority of head of mission, which means they might be drawn from a variety of sections within the embassy. But they are sent in from each mission. MR. CAVALLUZZO: And in terms of who has access to these reports, obviously you have told us before that one would need a security clearance to access the reports.

Does everybody in Foreign Affairs who has a security clearance have access to these annual reports?

MR. LIVERMORE: The way the system works is that every Canada-based officer abroad -I believe this is the case -- has at least a
secret clearance. Every person in the Pearson Building, working in a geographic or functional division, has at least a secret clearance.

They will receive a report one of
two ways. They will either be in receipt of a report, because on the message line you will see to so-and-so, or there will be a couple of ways of expressing it. But they will either get it directly -- and some of these reports are sent in with quite an extensive addressee list -- or they might get it by going to files because individuals have access to files. This is normally the way you get them.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, are these annual human rights reports also shared with other agencies of government such as, say, the RCMP, CSIS, DND?

MR. LIVERMORE: You are getting into an area where my information is a bit dated. We do share some of these reports, but I can't be precise. I am not in the human rights area any longer.

There is nothing particularly -let me put it this way: there is nothing particular about them that would preclude sharing
and there are ways that they can be shared. And I believe when $I$ spoke in the in-camera session, I indicated there are other ways of sharing. MR. CAVALLUZZO: You shouldn't tell us what you told us in the in-camera session. MR. LIVERMORE: Okay. MR. CAVALLUZZO: That's a no-no. The next area, you talk about consular functions, and we really dealt with that with Mr. Sigurdson.

So I would like to move now to
paragraph 16 and thereafter because you are starting to get into a very particular description of the Security and Intelligence Bureau. Perhaps initially you could give us a brief description, if we go back to the corporate chart, as to what those three divisions on the left-hand side of the ledger do, since you are responsible for them as well as foreign intelligence.

MR. LIVERMORE: Okay.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: That is the
security side of your responsibilities.
MR. LIVERMORE: Okay. This is tab
6?

MR. CAVALLUZZO: I am looking at P-24 and I am looking at what Security Operations and Personal Safety Division does, Corporate Security Division, and so on.

MR. LIVERMORE: All right.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Just give us a very brief description of each of those, since we are not going to be focusing on them.

MR. LIVERMORE: I should point out this is slightly different than obtained in 2002, because we took out of the top two divisions on the right-hand side two functions and merged them together into an Information and Technical Security Division. So if $I$ could start there?

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Yes.
MR. LIVERMORE: Information and Technical Security Division deals with, on the one hand, half of the division, computer security. In other words, do we have a secure communications system?

The other half deals with technical security, which is basically detecting -- how should I put it? -- things that shouldn't be in embassies. That's one function. MR. CAVALLUZZO: "Things that
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shouldn't be in embassies"? Do you mean like foreign spies? What do you mean by that? MR. LIVERMORE: I am thinking about electronic devices that shouldn't be in embassies. That's their job.

The Corporate Security Division generally deals with security policy. It's involved with Treasury Board, for example, in setting government-wide security policy. But the main function in the Corporate Security Division is personnel security. They keep all of the personnel security records.

The Security Operations Division
is a fairly large division that deals with the security of our physical structures abroad, embassies abroad. They have responsibility, for example, in the deployment of armoured vehicles, the decisions on whether embassies abroad need laminated glass on the windows, the local guards, et cetera, et cetera.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Now, when
we come to the Foreign Intelligence Division, if we could come to the last tab in the corporate chart, $P-24$, maybe you could deal with intelligence coordination briefly, since we are
once again going to be focusing on the other side of the ledger.

Intelligence coordination, what does that particular part of the division do?

You have a lot of intergram program assistance, interview officers. What is that all about?

MR. LIVERMORE: Okay, I see where we are.

We have had for a period of about 50 years in the department something we call the interview program, where we interview Canadians who have done interesting things internationally and who might have something to tell us. MR. CAVALLUZZO: You haven't called on me.
--- Laughter / Rires
MR. LIVERMORE: We will next time.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay.
MR. LIVERMORE: And they produce, basically, classified reports.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Finally, the client relations officers, the other side, that is client relations with Mr. Morland as the head. What did that part of the division do?

MR. LIVERMORE: If I could -MR. CAVALLUZZO: Please do. MR. LIVERMORE: -- consult the
redacted statement for a second? MR. CAVALLUZZO: Yes.
--- Pause
Because I think I may have removed my description of that function -MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. THE WITNESS: -- from the redacted version, if $I$ can put it that way? MR. CAVALLUZZO: Was it removed for national security reasons? MR. LIVERMORE: It is, yes. MR. CAVALLUZZO: Then let us move on.

In terms of -- now, $I$ want to come to the intelligence side, as you refer to it in your paragraph 18, and we've talked about the individuals involved, and so on, like Mr. Gould and Mr. Solomon. Could you just describe -- you say:
"ISI has several different roles." (As read)

In paragraph 18. Could you tell
us what some of those roles are that you can tell us in public?

MR. LIVERMORE: The basic job of that -- well, one role is to coordinate -- I won't go into this too much -- but to meet frequently with the Privy Council Office and coordinate what is Intelligence Policy government-wide.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right.
There's another role, though, which is departmental, and that is as a client service function, to work with various geographic branches in the department, to seek to understand what's going on in other countries.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. And in
paragraph 19, you talk about big "I" intelligence and little "i" intelligence and what exactly your people are involved in. Perhaps you could describe that for us?

MR. LIVERMORE: Well, the word
"intelligence" is subject to a lot of confusion and misunderstanding, in part because when the word "intelligence" is used, people immediately spring to the conclusion that we're talking about covertly obtained information.

And I try to draw a distinction
between what $I$ would call big "I" intelligence, where you capitalize the word "Intelligence" to draw attention that indeed the information comes from covert means. It either comes from -- it comes from wiretaps, it comes from agents, it comes from some other source of an intelligence-production cycle.

The mandate of Foreign Affairs, though, is generally with the other meaning, the small "i" intelligence, the uncapitalized word, which we mean as information.

In other words, we gather
information from a variety of sources. In a speech I did a few months ago, I said basically that if you deal with -- if you look at intelligence assessments that are produced by intelligence agencies, about 90 percent of the material in those assessments comes from unclassified materials, basically Googling the Internet.

So it's information, but it's
assembled in such a way that it produces intelligence assessments.

So we're not in the business of
the covert "I"; we're in the business of the small
"i" intelligence.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right.
Now, in paragraph 21 -- and we've dealt with this earlier -- in ISI, there are two liaison officers; one from the RCMP and another from CSIS?

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes, that's correct.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: And just geographically, if you could just set the piece to us, where are these LO, or liaison officers, located? Are they right in the ISI geographic section of Foreign Affairs?

MR. LIVERMORE: In my section of, or in my geographic space in the Foreign Affairs building, outside of my office, if you turn right, going out my door --

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right.
MR. LIVERMORE: -- there is my
assistant, Belinda Grover. The first office you come to is the CSIS office, or the office occupied by the CSIS liaison officer.

The office on the other side, going down the corridor by one, is the RCMP liaison officer.

If you go in the other direction, what you encounter is the office that Jim Gould once lived in, and then one office down from him is Scott Heatherington.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. And Jonathan Solomon, at the material time, where would he have been?

MR. LIVERMORE: He was in the same general section --

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right.
THE WITNESS: -- on the other
side of Mr. Heatherington.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. And is it fair to say that the relationship between the Los and the ISI people, as time went on, grew closer, inevitably and then presumably in any workplace.

MR. LIVERMORE: I think it was a natural work relationship.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right.
And in paragraph 21 you say that:
"These officers, these Los, provide classic liaison functions." (As read)

What is that? What do you mean by that? So that we understand that?

MR. LIVERMORE: The basic function
is a two-way flow of information and at times requirements from various organizations.

In both cases, it's not
necessarily the case that what they might be seeking of the department is to be found in my bureau. They might want to consult the geographic bureau about a particular problem. They might want to consult someone else about a particular problem.

In turn, we turn to them when we need to consult about an issue where we might find the answer in the RCMP or in CSIS.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, in terms of access to information that these Los would have, are there any rules concerning the access they would have to the files you have in ISI?

MR. LIVERMORE: Generally
speaking, they don't have access to our files. They can be given access to individual pieces of work. That's the understanding that they have.

They do have access to our SIGNET "D" computer system, though, and they have access to other systems that we have as well.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Do they have
access to the C4 system?
MR. LIVERMORE: No, they don't.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: And in terms of
the understanding they may have access to particular documents, you say.

What is the understanding? Can they just go and get it, or do they have to seek permission from somebody within ISI to get that kind of document?

MR. LIVERMORE: It depends on the document in question. Very often the officer might be seeking to understand a particular issue, for example, with respect to a geographic area.

The LO might go outside the bureau and go and consult that bureau directly. If that bureau has useful information, whether it's unclassified or classified, they're authorized to hand it over.

They might find that information with us. The reality is that all of these departments are complicated places.

It's useful to have somebody who actually knows where to find the right people at the right time. So this is what the job of the LO is.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: And as you say, sometimes DFAIT needs information, or seeks information from CSIS or the RCMP, and there's a bilateral relationship as far as that's concerned?

MR. LIVERMORE: There is, yes. MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Now, in paragraph 22, you deal with the interview section, which we have already reviewed.

At paragraph 23, you talk about an assessment staff that we can certainly leave to counsel to read.

Finally, $I$ just want to deal with what you refer to in your final paragraphs in terms of the intelligence functions, and that is that you're a client-service bureau.

What do you mean by that in terms of client service? Are we talking about a service to all divisions and parts of Foreign Affairs; is that what we're talking about there?

MR. LIVERMORE: Well, in
principle, we provide support assistance to the entire Department, which at this time included International Trade as well. So both on the foreign policy side and in the International Trade side.

And in tangible terms, this means, for example, that an individual or a division might come to us and say that they're having difficulty tracking a particular peace process, in discovering what is the state of play. We would then try to consult and obtain information which would help them understand that.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Just finally, in
regard to your overview, do you also provide service to other departments of the Government of Canada or only to Foreign Affairs Canada?

MR. LIVERMORE: We -- generally speaking, only to Foreign Affairs. We have on a rare occasion been asked to provide some support to CIDA, but not very often.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. That concludes your helpful description for us of Foreign Affairs and $S$ \& $I$.

Now, I'd like to move to the particular fact situation of Mr. Arar, and if we could start, Mr. Livermore, with Volume 1 of the documents?
--- Pause
At the outset of this part of his evidence, Mr. Commissioner and counsel, just let
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me describe what $I$ am going to intend to do, and that is $I$ will have Mr. Livermore refer to documents that he either received or he sent; but at the same time, there are going to be certain documents that I'm going to briefly take him through in order to give context to his evidence, because we will be having other witnesses coming subsequently who will be testifying in detail in respect of those documents. And at that point in time, I will advise you that that is what we are doing.

I wonder if we could start then, Mr. Livermore, with October 10, and in particular, at tab 61 of the book.

Just to give context to this, we're now at October 10. We have heard evidence that Mr. Arar was detained in New York City on September 26 of 2002 , was held there for a period of time during which he had one consular visit on October 3.

Then on October 8, Mr. Arar was removed from the United States, and at this point in time, on October 10 , the Canadian government doesn't really know where Mr. Arar is, speculates that he could be in either of two countries, and
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it would appear on October 10 that you come into the play with this particular e-mail.

And I'm wondering, first of all, before we get to the substance of the e-mail, if we can just translate certain things. Obviously Belinda Grover is the administrative assistant in ISD.

We see constant reference to this
"EXTOTT". I wonder if you might help. What does that mean? "EXTOTT-ISI"?

MR. LIVERMORE: That is old
External Affairs Canada. The old "EXTOTT" address. So that simply -- "EXTOTT" means simply it's going to Foreign Affairs Canada and it's followed by the acronym of the division to which it's addressed.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. And we see a number of people in ISI who are copied on this. We see that in effect this is your message to Damascus, in particular to Mr. Martel, where you are saying that, in the second-last paragraph:
"Martel has also been asked to locate Arar and determine as quickly as possible his condition and situation.

Grateful you make requests using your own resources to determine the location, status and condition of Arar."

The first question that $I$ would have is, why are you getting involved in the Arar case, if it is a consular case?

MR. LIVERMORE: Well, as I
indicated earlier, our job is to come into the picture when another bureau has sought our advice or requires our assistance, or if, in some circumstance, we can be helpful.

It would be nice to say that Foreign Affairs had such a large staff that either consular or we could do what we liked and we didn't have to work with others, but the reality is that we used to help consular with some of their files and the geographic division used to pitch in as well.

It's just a way that we have to do business. So we had entered the scene.

I can't be certain of the exact date, but we entered the picture essentially when Mr. Arar could not be found, and we consulted the
consular bureau because both of us had a common objective, it was finding out where he was.

The fact that $I$ sent this message isn't that consequential in the sense that my recollection is, there was almost an identical one going out of consular to a different post.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. And we'll come to that.

MR. LIVERMORE: Okay.
In any event, what is done on
this, as you see from the header, it's copied to the consular bureau and to the people involved in that, and in return, when they send out a message, they copy us.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Now, when you see that it's possible that he has been sent to Syria, in light of your background in human rights and so on, are any red flags raised in your mind at this time?

MR. LIVERMORE: I think that the only -- I've served in Chile, I've served in Guatemala, I've done consular work around the world. I would not say that the red flag went up. I would, however, say that in this business perhaps an amber flag went up, and that
is that one always has to be a bit on the prudent side.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: And why the amber
flag? Why would any flag go up, just because you're dealing with Syria?

MR. LIVERMORE: Let me be
specific. I'm not -- it wasn't particularly Syria that I would have been necessarily concerned about. If you had indicated to me that he was sent to about 175 countries, an amber flag would have gone up just as a precautionary element prior to knowing anything else about the case. That's simply the way we work.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Now, if you move now to tab 175.

THE COMMISSIONER: Just before leaving that -- sorry, Mr. Cavalluzzo -- the last sentence in the second-last paragraph, it says: "ISI has been informed that he has been flown to syria."

Is that something that can be asked, about what that relates to, or is that... MR. CAVALLUZZO: Do you see that?

THE COMMISSIONER: If you have any objection to it because of what the information
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is, I'd like to hear it, but...
MR. LIVERMORE: I can't be
certain. At that stage my understanding of that was that, where ISI got the information was if I'm not mistaken, from the RCMP liaison office in Washington. I think that's where that -- but I'd have to refresh my memory with the chronology. MR. CAVALLUZZO: We'll have to
clarify that, Mr. Commissioner. That is -MS McISAAC: I believe Mr. Pardy can clarify that. That was the general information Mr. Pardy, I believe, at that time had as well.

MR. COMMISSIONER: Okay.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: We will deal with
Mr. Pardy with that.
THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Tab 175 is really
a series of e-mails starting from Mr. Pardy, in
fact, on October 16 ; do you see that at tab 175 ?
THE COMMISSIONER: Is that
volume 2?
MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Yes, it is.
And you are copied on these
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e-mails?
MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: I just want to give context to this. If you go to the third page in, you will see -- this is at -- do you have that?

MR. LIVERMORE: Oh, the third page? Yes.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Yes, the third page in. Yes, that's it.

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Just to give some
context. This e-mail indicates, first of all, that Mr. Arar's situation is becoming a subject of media attention; is that correct?

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Media lines and so on.

And at the bottom of page 3, we see that there's a report in the globe and mail from Sean Fine. It says:
"...phoned BCM to obtain update. He indicated that he had interviewed Ambassador Celucci earlier today, and
that the Ambassador had made the following comment (rough summary):
"I think that the US INS authorities acted properly in deporting Mr. Arar to Syria. You should talk to your own local (sic) people..."

Or that should be:
"...to your local people who should know the reasons."

Do you recall that, at that point
in time, very early on, that Mr. Cellucci, the Ambassador to the United States, was in effect taking the position to Canadian reporters, "Well, ask your own people as to why Mr. Arar was removed from the United States." Do you recall that?

MR. LIVERMORE: I do recall that, yes.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: And as a result of that, do you -- maybe if we just move now to tab -- going back one volume, because your e-mails for some reason are dated and sometimes uploaded weeks after, which makes our life difficult. But in any event, it is challenging.

If you go back to tab 84, in terms of time that is October 16th. Do you have that? MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: We see much of
that is redacted, but do you see in the bottom paragraph there, it states -- or first of all, you see in the distribution on the left-hand side that you received a copy of this particular memorandum from Mr. Pardy through to MINA.

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: And I understand
that MINA is the Minister, Mr. Graham?
MR. LIVERMORE: It's the
Minister's office.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: And we see that
reference is made in the bottom paragraph to Mr. Cellucci's statements that we have just reviewed. And then at paragraph 2 on the second page, we see that it says:
"The RCMP indicated they will provide DFAIT with a response by close of business Thursday 16 October. At that time, we will provide a memorandum with suggestions as to what
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should be done to deal
further with this matter. In
the meantime, we are in direct contact with Mr. Arar's wife (who is still in Tunisia) and his brother here in Canada."

At this point in time, as the ISD
were you involved in any meetings with the RCMP concerning these statements that Cellucci had made?

MR. LIVERMORE: At this stage --
may I consult Mr. Garvie's report on the
chronology, the redacted report?
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Certainly. I
don't know if we have it here. I think we do.
MR. LIVERMORE: We were in touch with the RCMP, but $I$ want to be sure of the time lines for that consultation.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: This is Exhibit
P-19.
--- Pause
MR. LIVERMORE: What I am looking
for here is the first occasion on which we had a meeting with the RCMP on this issue. I note that
there is a meeting on the $16 t h$ of October, which we hosted, attended by the RCMP.

At that time we had asked -during the meeting, a request was made by Mr. Gar Pardy, who was then Director General of the Consular Affairs Bureau, for further information. So this is where we, as a department, are going back to the RCMP and asking for some clarification of Ambassador Cellucci's comments.

> MR. CAVALLUZZO: Which page were you referring to in the redacted Garvie report? $M R . ~ L I V E R M O R E: ~ P a g e ~$ MR. $M R . ~ C A V A L L U Z Z O: ~ A t ~ t h e ~ t o p ~ t h e r e, ~$

October the 16th?
MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: And did you
attend that meeting along with Mr. Pardy?
MR. LIVERMORE: I believe I did.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: So that what
happens is that on October the 15 th, we have Cellucci saying to the Canadian reporters, "Well, if you want to know what happened, ask your own people."

MR. LIVERMORE: That's right.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: And DFAIT holds a meeting on October $16 t h$ with the RCMP, attended by you and Mr. Pardy?

MR. LIVERMORE: That's right.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: And then the RCMP promises to get something back to you by the close of business on October 18th?

MR. LIVERMORE: That's, I think, the sequence, yes.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: And then in terms of time, if you go to, at tab 96 now, we are still looking for Mr. Arar and at tab 96 we have a CAMANT note, dated October the 17th, where Mr. Pillarella, the ambassador in Damascus, or Syria, is telling Mr. Pardy and others, including yourself, that he has a meeting scheduled for next Sunday to discuss the subject matter and there is the response.

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: So things seem to
be in progress.
MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: In terms of time, could we move on now to tab 123. --- Pause

MR. CAVALLUZZO: The first
document -- and once again we see that the document is sent to JPD, who we understand is Gar Pardy, and ISD, who is you.

MR. LIVERMORE: That's right.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: This is from
Damascus, C4, and that's from Mr. Pillarella.
Is that correct?
MR. LIVERMORE: Well, it's from
the Damascus C4 account. I think in that mission there is only one account, but it is signed "Pillarella".

MR. CAVALLUZZO: It is signed by Mr. Pillarella.

MR. LIVERMORE: He sent it, yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: This is dated October $22 n d$, and there are some redactions here.

I think my friend, the counsel for the Attorney General, will agree we can disclose the name that is redacted here because it's unredacted several other places elsewhere?

MS McISAAC: We would actually prefer that that not be disclosed. Not that we are trying to "hide" the name, but the more it's bandied about in public, the more the effect would
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be with respect to the ability of people currently dealing with matters in Syria to continue their interlocutories with Syrian officials.

So I would prefer if we avoided
using the name, if at all possible.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: The problem I have with that is this gentleman has retired. MS McISAAC: He may have retired but the person who is now acting in his capacity would presumably have concerns, or we believe would have concerns, I am advised would have concerns, about the use of -- the fact that there were these discussions would affect the ability of Canadian officials now dealing with Syrian officials to have the same level of trust and candour in their discussions.

THE COMMISSIONER: I am not sure
if $I$ understand. You are suggesting it is covered by a claim for national security confidentiality or is it not?

MS McISAAC: I have to concede, sir, that the name has been released in some of the access to information request releases. THE COMMISSIONER: So somebody made a decision in those requests.

MS McISAAC: Somebody made a decision in those requests.

As I have said on many occasions, sir, this is a difficult area. It is not a science; it is an art. And $I$ am requesting respectfully that if we can avoid actually using the name, that would be preferable.

THE COMMISSIONER: Well, as I understand, my mandate is to express an opinion and to preclude disclosure of information over which there is a claim for NSC. I think I hear you saying there isn't here but there are other factors that should lead me not to disclose the name.

MS McISAAC: That would be correct, sir. I cannot say to you that this name has never been disclosed because $I$ know, in fact, it has.

THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
MS McISAAC: But I am asking you, in the interests of continued facilitation of activities that Canada may have on behalf of Canadians with the Syrian government, if it is not necessary -- and I don't believe it is -- if we could simply avoid using the name any more than
necessary.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: If I can just
respond briefly, Mr. Commissioner, your mandate in the Order in Council is to maximize public disclosure so long as national security concerns are protected. It would appear that national security concerns are protected, and I would think that the public is entitled to know this gentleman's name at this point in time.

THE COMMISSIONER: That would seem
to me to be as a matter of sort of interpretation
of my mandate, the position. So that if any party here wants to bring out the name, I don't have -I think in furtherance of maximizing disclosure, if it's not subject to an NSC claim, then it should be disclosed.

That said, I hear what you are
saying, Ms. McIsaac. If the name is referred to -- I don't know that it needs to be "bandied about". I don't know if there is any advantage to that.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: I promise I will
just use it once.
THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
MS McISAAC: Why not use the
title, sir?
THE COMMISSIONER: Ms Edwardh I expect is going to want to know what the name is. I am not in a position, if $I$ am correct, to make an order precluding disclosure of the name.

MS EDWARDH: Thank you,
Mr. Commissioner.
You are indeed correct, because for me both the title and the name are blacked out in this particular document.

So on those, although I have a sense of who this person is from other documents where persons are named, in my respectful submission, the fact that it may be the same person is indeed relevant and there may be some significance to that contact and the information that is received.

So I, for one, would very strongly request that it be made public.

THE COMMISSIONER: Why don't we deal with it this way, Mr. Cavalluzzo. If you want to, if the name is mentioned, it can then be determined if it is the same person that's mentioned in other documents.

I don't know that we need to bandy
the name about, but certainly $I$ am content, if you feel it's necessary, that the name be led through the witness.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: I think it is
necessary because his name comes up several times subsequently, and I think that --

THE COMMISSIONER: We will handle
it in that fashion.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay.
This meeting that Mr. Pillarella
is having in Damascus, do you know who he is having it with?

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes, I do.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: And who is that?
MR. LIVERMORE: It is -- may I
ask?
Mr. Commissioner, should I proceed
with indicating the name and title?
THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, you can. MR. LIVERMORE: He is having the meeting with General Khalil, the head of Syrian Military Intelligence.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: How do you spell
that? $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{A} .$.
MR. LIVERMORE: I think it's
spelled -- because it is blacked out on my copy --$\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{L}$, I think.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: And you say that he is the head of Syrian Military Intelligence in Syria at that point in time?

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: And just from
your background, the Syrian Military Intelligence is one of the important security agencies in Syria?

MR. LIVERMORE: I am not an expert in Syria. I really couldn't address that.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. In any event, in terms of the matter of the meeting, Mr. Pillarella is relating, in the second paragraph:
"... that Mr. Arar appeared at the Jordan/Syrian border yesterday ..."

Which would have been October
21st.
"... without warning, escorted by Jordanian officials who handed him over."
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And it goes on in the third
paragraph to state:
"According to ... Arar has apparently already admitted that he has connections with
terrorist organizations ...
and they would continue to
interrogate him."
As far as that's concerned, did that not strike you as pretty odd that the Syrian Military Intelligence seemed to have gotten information very quickly, like overnight?

Did that strike you as odd in terms of the experience that you had in terms of dealing with these kinds of cases?

MR. LIVERMORE: I can't say that I had a view one way or the other.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. It goes on in the fourth paragraph, and it states -- this is the same person:
"... he was ready to
cooperate with us in every
way possible and, on a very
exceptional basis ... He
repeated several times that
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this was a very exceptional measure and was doing it because he did not wish to mar Canada/Syria relations on the account of an alleged terrorist."

In terms of the relations between
Canada and Syria at this point in time, I understand that Syria was very interested in having a close relationship with Canada, particularly in terms of trade and investment.

Is that correct?
MR. LIVERMORE: I can't say that
it was in terms of trade and investment, no.
Somebody from the geographic division would have to address that more precisely.

I do know that Syria was
interested in a close relationship with Canada because of the overall Middle East peace process which was then going on, and we played a fairly prominent role in one of the working groups of the peace process.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: And at that point in time there were threats of an Iraqi war, were there not at that point in time? And Syria
presumably was obviously interested in maintaining a close relationship with Canada, in particular, in light of what the winds were coming from south of the border in respect of a war with Iraq?

MR. LIVERMORE: Well, again, I
think you would have to consult an expert. Our position on that particular conflict was slightly different than the American position, so what the Syrians might be saying to the Americans was different from what they would necessarily be saying to us.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. And
finally, before we break, the last sentence that Mr. Pillarella writes on the second page of this note, he states:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { "Finally ... has promised to } \\
\text { pass on to me any information } \\
\text { they may gather on Arar's } \\
\text { implication in terrorist } \\
\text { activities." } \\
\text { Is that a normal responsibility of }
\end{gathered}
$$ an ambassador when we are dealing with a country that may not have as good a human rights record as Canada: that the ambassador will receive information from the Syrians in respect of their
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interrogation of a Canadian citizen?
MR. LIVERMORE: Well, Ambassador Pillarella, as i indicated earlier this morning, he is the head of all Canadian programs. He is not acting solely as a Foreign Affairs individual.

At this stage, I would describe this message as one that invited more questions than it answered in the sense that it was the preliminary message, and certainly $I$ would have to put this in the context of what was going on in 2002 .

It was not a very tranquil
situation in Canada vis-à-vis the security
situation. We were, in fact -- I guess it would be about a week before, we had the Bali bombing. We had gone through about a year of threats. We were dealing with threats to Canadian missions abroad.

I would think as a former head of mission myself, I would think it would be natural for a head of mission to wish to pass on to all Canadian authorities any information they had on terrorist activities.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. And so that what you are saying is that as the
representative of Canada, it was quite legitimate of Mr. Pillarella to get any information relating to Mr. Arar, that it was quite legitimate for him to pass on that information to other agencies such as the RCMP and CSIS?

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes, I would think so.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Thank you.
Mr. Commissioner, it is now time
to break. I am still going to continue on with this tab, but it may be an appropriate time. THE COMMISSIONER: All right. We will take 15 minutes.

THE REGISTRAR: Please stand.
Veuillez-vous lever.
--- Upon recessing at 11:37 a.m. /
Suspension à 11 h 37
--- Upon resuming at 11:56 a.m. /
Reprise à 11 h 56
THE REGISTRAR: Please be seated.
Veuillez-vous asseoir.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Mr. Livermore,
when we rose for the break, we were at tab 123. I just want to take you through a few more pages of that tab.

If you go to the fourth page, we see the e-mail to Amman, of course in Jordan, with similar requests attempting to locate Mr. Arar and to discover his condition.

And as you stated, this message was coming from Helen Harris, who is in the consular affairs branch and not with ISI?

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes, that's
correct.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: And then the next
page -- this is for counsel's attention -- we have the answer from the RCMP, which is a memorandum dated October 18 th from Mr. Pilgrim. These are the answers to the questions which were posed at the meeting that you attended with Mr. Pardy on October 16th?

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes, I think so,
yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. And we will be taking Mr. Pardy through that document when he testifies on Tuesday.

If we could then move along in terms of time, we see that Mr. Arar received his first consular visit on October 23rd, if you go to tab 130 in the next book of documents or volume.
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--- Pause
MR. CAVALLUZZO: We are going to have Mr. Martel testify to this as well as Mr. Pardy. If you could just help us in terms of context for this first visit, which was on October 23rd, is this considered to be a consular report, this particular e-mail?

MR. LIVERMORE: It is not a report that has been on the CAMANT system. It's on the C4 system.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. But would
it be considered to be a consular report?
MR. LIVERMORE: I am not sure that we make that type of distinction. If it's a CAMANT note, it goes through the CAMANT system. This is a different side. It is entitled "Consular Visit" so it's about consular issues.

But I don't think we have a fixed policy doctrine that would say consular report.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: And this kind of report -- I don't know whether you are aware of it or not.

Since there is information in this report that Mr. Arar is giving Mr. Martel, the consular official, would this kind of report
normally be shared with other agencies of government?

MR. LIVERMORE: You are asking about the generic question. I can tell you that we would have been free to share this kind of report with respect to Mr. Arar, yes.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Is there any particular reason why you would be free to share it about Mr. Arar?

MR. LIVERMORE: We had been
consulting with the RCMP for $I$ don't know how long it would have been at that point, over a week. They had been asked to come to Foreign Affairs to meet with Mr. Pardy and others. We had been sharing whatever information we knew.

The basic question that we were grappling with was where was he, and of course how did he get there? So we, as a matter of course, would have shared these -- we would have shared at least the information. I'm not sure about the actual text of the message, because as you can see, the message header doesn't include the RCMP.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: That's correct.
MR. LIVERMORE: There's one --
possibly, Mr. Commissioner, I could just clarify
one thing.
And that is that our C4 system, being old technology, doesn't have very good connectivity to other agencies outside of Foreign Affairs. So at times, what you would think would be a normal electronic transfer cannot be done.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Let me understand
what might happen in terms of your particular area. You have described the geographics of your area with the RCMP LO, the CSIS LO, and presumably this document here would have come into your area. In fact, it went to ISI, C4 ISI. And this document here very easily presumably could have been shared with the two LOs or at least the information therein could have been shared with the two LOs?

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: In terms of its
substance, as $I$ said, we are going to be dealing with this with the consular official, Mr. Pillarella, as well as Mr. Pardy.

But it does indicate in paragraph 4 that the subject, that is Mr. Arar: "... appeared to be healthy, but this is difficult to
assess. He looked resigned and submissive. Numerous eye signals seemed to indicate he was not free to speak out. At least this is the impression we had."

The other point $I$ would ask you about, in paragraph 5, five lines down, it says: "When prompted further for answers, the Syrians told him in Arabic he was not to answer those questions. He said he only stayed in Jordan for a couple of hours before being taken to the Syrian border."

Now, this information obviously from Mr. Arar was quite different than what you had been told by the Syrians, who said that he just appeared at the border on October 21 st, whereas Mr. Arar said he was only in Jordan for a couple of hours.

Did that lead you to do anything or reach any conclusions?

MR. LIVERMORE: Not particularly.
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We were still in the mode of trying to find out exactly what the true story was, as I can recall, as I read that, of not being able to arrive at a conclusion one way or the other, because we didn't know whether he was free to tell us what had happened or whether he was telling us something simply for the purpose of keeping the Syrians aware of it.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. In terms of what he could say and what he couldn't say, if you go to the very last line on page 1 in paragraph 7, after the redaction, you go on to the next page:
"He also repeated in English
after his hosts, speaking
Arabic, prompted him to do
so: "... I am proud of my country of origin and I am also proud of Canada, my country of adoption. I have been respected by my Syrian brothers and I am happy to have come back to Syria." It goes on:
"The authorities have not

## StenoTran

exercised any pressure on me.
You can see I feel well.
Anything I ask for I
receive."
Did that sound a little
disingenuous to you, particularly that he is happy to be back in Syria?

MR. LIVERMORE: I think what I
would have to say is that we read these reports with a degree of -- how should I say? -scepticism in the sense that -- and I'm not speaking here necessarily about the case of Syria, because I'm not an expert in Syria. But $I$ have handled consular cases where much the same thing has gone on, and one has to read what they say and make some evaluation of it when later facts come in.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: All right. In terms of the context we see, if you go to tab 147, that he does receive a second consular visit from Mr. Martel on October 29.

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: And you will see
there are references to that name,
Mr. Commissioner, in paragraph 4, where it is not
redacted.
But in any event, the important
point, I guess from your perspective,
Mr. Livermore, would be in terms of his condition, where in paragraph 5, it says:
"Two changes were noticed in Maher's presentation. He did not seem to be disoriented anymore and he seemed to be able to speak freely and without fear."

And it goes on:
"Officials have agreed that reading material such as Canadian magazines could be given to him. Mission will provide."

The second consular visit, I see it's still going to you. So ISD is still involved in Mr. Arar's situation?

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. If you move now to November 4 th at 164 , and if you go to the third page, it's a note from Mr. Pillarella concerning another meeting he had with that
individual?
MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Which was on
November the 3rd, and it's an hour-long meeting to review the Arar case.

MR. LIVERMORE: Right.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Once again he talks about the cooperation he is getting from the Syrians. Then in paragraph 3 it says:
"When I asked ... whether I
could get a resume of
information obtained so far
from Arar that $I$ could take
to Canada with me, he agreed
to do so. He promised I
would receive it before my departure, unfortunately only in Arabic."

And we see this particular note, which recounts that meeting between the head of Syrian intelligence and Mr. Pillarella, is sent by Mr. Heatherington, who is the Director of Foreign Intelligence, to the "A" Division unit and to RCMP headquarters, or CID National Security Investigations.

Would this be normal for this kind of information to be passed on to the RCMP relating to Mr . Arar?

MR. LIVERMORE: In the
circumstances of the case, I would imagine it would be, yes.

The only thing that surprises me a bit is the format, since facsimile is a bit of an archaic way of conveying information. But as far as the conveyance, yes.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: I assume it was a
secure fax?
MR. LIVERMORE: I assume it was.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: It better have
been.
MR. LIVERMORE: It should have been.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right.
We are still in early November at
tab 165. We see that this is a note from Mr. Saunders, who was in your group in Foreign Intelligence.

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: And it says:
"On November 3, 2002, the
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Canadian Ambassador to Syria received a document ..."

And that was the document referred to in that meeting written in Arabic.

We see that ISI sent the document to CSIS for translation, and we also see that the translated document was returned to ISI and the information was shared with Mr. Pardy, the RCMP, and CSIS.

MR. LIVERMORE: Mm-hmm.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: And that would be the normal course that that kind of information that Pillarella received from Syrian Military Intelligence would be given to the RCMP and CSIS?

MR. LIVERMORE: Well, again, I
can't say that there was anything normal particularly about this case. But if you say to me "is this what happened in this case?", yes, this is what happened.

What we did here -- and I
recollect this. We have in the Canadian
government our own translation service. But because of the sensitivity of the information, or what we thought might be the information, we sent it to CSIS because that was the fastest way to get
a translation done.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: If I am a
Canadian citizen detained abroad, would it be fair for me to assume that the information that $I$ give consular officials -- and obviously the last document we are talking about is a meeting between the head of Syrian Military Intelligence and Pillarella, so that I as a Canadian wouldn't have given any information.

But if $I$ am meeting with a consular official, is it fair as a Canadian to believe that the information $I$ give you will not be shared with other Canadian agencies, such as the RCMP and CSIS?

MR. LIVERMORE: I think if you
are -- well, $I$ don't know how many hundreds of thousands of cases we have, consular cases we have. Mr. Pardy would be in a better position than I --

MR. CAVALLUZZO: 700,000?
MR. LIVERMORE: I think it's that
high, yes.
The kinds of cases which engaged us from a national security or criminal perspective were something in the order of between

20 and 40 out of 700,000 . So it was nothing -this case was extraordinary in several respects, and that explains the distribution.

The ordinary CAMANT notes on
CAMANT transactions, that is consular transactions that take place worldwide, don't go to my bureau except under fairly extraordinary circumstances, and I have never had access to the CAMANT database.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. I guess what $I$ would consider -- for example, we have a Charter of Rights, and if $I$ am a Canadian detained abroad and if I give information to a government official or agent and then $I$ subsequently find out that that information is being transferred to a police agency or a security intelligence agency, I would be a little concerned about that.

MR. LIVERMORE: I think that what we do is governed by the Privacy Act, and in that sense, I think we are in conformity with the law. This was also a situation where we actually -- my recollection of events is that in the days immediately following Mr. Arar's disappearance, if $I$ could put it that way, or we didn't know where he was, we called upon agencies
of the Canadian government to help us solve a particular riddle: Where was he? What was he doing there?

And $I$ think that is perfectly
consistent with the Privacy Act to do that.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Now, the third consular visit, if you move now to tab 192, was on November 12 th, and this is just to keep it in context.

You will see that in paragraph 5 there, it states:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { "Martel tried to obtain some } \\
& \text { information on the } \\
& \text { progression of the } \\
& \text { investigation but Syrians } \\
& \text { remained silent. They said } \\
& \text { the HOM had been provided } \\
& \text { with a full report." }
\end{aligned}
$$

And that is what we have referred to as the document that was translated. "They gave no indication that Maher Arar would be released any time soon."

In terms of Mr. Martel seeking
information on the progress of the investigation,
it would seem to me that would be totally appropriate, because once again if the game plan is to get Mr. Arar out of Syria you would want to know as much information as possible as to the scope and extent of their investigation.

Is that correct?
MR. LIVERMORE: Yes, I would think so.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: If we stay in
November and if you move to tab 201, this is a secret memo dated November 14th, 2002. It was an information memorandum for the Minister. It was drafted by Jonathan Solomon and was to be signed by yourself and Mr. Lavertu, the Deputy Minister.

The only question $I$ would have in respect of this, as much of it is redacted, it states in paragraph 3:
"The Ambassador, Franco
Pillarella, has succeeded in discussing this case with the head of the Syrian military
intelligence organization."
Then in paragraph 5 it says:
"We are receiving conflicting
reports as to the interest of
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the Syrian government in holding Maher Arar in Syria."

I am wondering if you could help us. Can you recall as to the conflicting reports you were getting as to the Syrians' position?

MR. LIVERMORE: My recollection is a little foggy because $I$ don't know whether this was a final memo. It appears to be a draft. Normally in a final the ISI will have a number attached to it, and the signature block would have been different in a final memo.

So I am not confident about
whether -- there have been memos that have been drafted, and particularly by junior officers, and Jonathan Solomon at the time was a junior officer, where we change the memo to make it more precise or correct. So I can't recall correctly.

My recollection, though -- and this was a theme that sort of went through this period -- was that there were basically two conflicting interests, and we could not come to grips with what the motivation was.

One was: Was the Syrian
government interested in holding Mr. Arar because he, his family, somebody, was connected to the

Syrian Muslim Brotherhood? That is one issue. The second issue was: Were they interested in holding him because he was a member of al-Qaeda?

The latter could have been
prompted by an American interest in helping the Syrian government or encouraging the Syrian government to hold him. So we were thinking -and these are two -- they could conceivably be one motive combined, but we were thinking they were probably two.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: If you move now to November 19th, once again Jonathan Solomon is sending an e-mail to Mr. Pillarella, Head of Mission in Damascus. This is tab 218.

MS MCISAAC: Sir, before we move on, I could advise that we believe the final version of the memorandum Mr. Cavalluzzo is just looking at is to be found at tab 257.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. MR. CAVALLUZZO: If we go to 257 -- do you have that in front of you, Mr. Livermore?

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes, I do. MR. CAVALLUZZO: The reference is
redacted in the foregoing paragraph, but it says:
"The Syrians appear to view these connections ..."

Whatever they might be. "... as sufficient grounds to detain Arar, although ... activities. It is clear that the Syrian standard of what constitutes a detainable terrorist is lower than ours."

And then it refers to the date of his release.

That doesn't have your name on it, as the other one did, but it seems that Mr. Wright's name is now appearing rather than yours.

MR. LIVERMORE: And that is the more normal format.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Then if we
move to tab 218, we see this memo or e-mail, C4 e-mail, from Mr. Solomon in your group to Mr. Pillarella, and it says:
"You are aware that the issue
of the transfer and
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imprisonment of Mr. Arar is prominent in the Canadian media and in Parliament, and was discussed as well between MINA and Secretary of State Powell during the latter's visit to Ottawa last week."

Do you recall the public position
that was taken by the Secretary of State in the middle of November of 2002 in respect of Mr. Arar's predicament, being in Syria?

MR. LIVERMORE: Might I refresh my memory with the chronology on the precise words?

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Certainly. Which chronology do you want to refer to?

MR. LIVERMORE: The DFAIT
chronology.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: You could refer to, for example, tab 709 is the one that was done in November of 2003.

MS McISAAC: Page 10, I think, is where the witness wants to go.
--- Pause
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Are you sure it's
not page 11?

MR. LIVERMORE: Excuse me?
MR. CAVALLUZZO: I think it's page
11 of that chronology, 14/11/02. Is that what you're looking for?

MR. LIVERMORE: Page 10 of 709,
where it says -- this is 14 November, which I imagine that this e-mail is referring to.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right.
MR. LIVERMORE:
"...US authorities consider their behaviour to be justified on the basis of information they had and that it was consistent with their obligations under international law."

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Do you recall any other public position that was taken by Mr. Powell at that point in time?

We saw what Mr. Cellucci's public position was in October, and I'm wondering if Mr. Powell's position was somewhat similar in the sense that you may recall Cellucci was saying, "Well, why don't you ask your own people as to what happened to him?"

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
I would have to refresh my notes on this. Basically what was perplexing us were still the Cellucci comments, if I may put it that way.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Well,
we'll deal with the Powell statements with Mr. Pardy.

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. If we could move on to tab -- if we could just stay with 218, Mr. Solomon's memo. Just before we leave, in the middle of the redaction, you will see it states:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { "He also requested an } \\
& \text { assessment of Arar's possible } \\
& \text { involvement in terrorist } \\
& \text { activities. Any further } \\
& \text { information beyond your } \\
& \text { report of November } 3 \text { would be } \\
& \text { extremely useful in this } \\
& \text { respect." } \\
& \text { MR. LIVERMORE: That's right. } \\
& \text { MR. CAVALLUZZO: Is that normal }
\end{aligned}
$$

business? Any more information you can get on
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Arar's involvement in terrorist activity, we'd like to see it?

MR. LIVERMORE: Absolutely.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. And once
again, if Pillarella gets it, he's the representative of the Canadian government. He could share that with the RCMP and CSIS?

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Tab 223. This is an e-mail from you to Jim Wright who is your Assistant Deputy Minister. It's sent to Prague, presumably because he was travelling to Prague at that point in time?

MR. LIVERMORE: That's right.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. You say in the first paragraph:

> " Jim:

I've discussed various
issues relating to Syria over
the past day and more with
CSIS, RCMP, and Larry
Dickenson..."
Now Larry Dickenson is in the PCO?
MR. LIVERMORE: He's the
assistant -- at the time was assistant secretary

## StenoTran

to the cabinet for security and intelligence in the PCO.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay.
"...(in a week which every agency seems to be conducting its own day-long or two-day retreat!). On the initial issues you posed to me prior to departure, there seems to be absolute certainty amongst the various agencies to the effect that neither RCMP nor anyone else spoke to the USA in any way which might have suggested that any Canadian detained in the USA could be deported to Syria, rather than to Canada. That having been said, it is impossible to preclude the outside chance that someone in the food chain in $N Y$ or elsewhere might have shrugged, winked, or through silence acquiesced in a USA question or
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decision. This is, of course, denied at the most senior levels of the RCMP, but the plain fact remains that someone might either lie to their own senior management or try to cover up what was a misstep. In any event, on what we said to the USA re detained Canadians, we are on the same wave length."

Now, this statement, if $I$ can put it that way, concerning a wink, a nod, or a shrug to the Americans, was this just pure speculation on your part, or was there any grounds upon which you could have made that statement to Mr. Wright, that somebody in Canada may have given the okay, wink-wink, nod-nod to the Americans to remove or deport Mr. Arar?

MR. LIVERMORE: It was 100 percent speculation.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Based on...
MR. LIVERMORE: Based on 30 years of cynicism, possibly.

I think I answered the question
that Jim Wright put to me in two ways. One was that I had asked everybody in town, and they were absolutely confident of their positions. And what they told me was 100 percent consistent, one to another; in other words, everything pointed in the same direction.

However, I say what is obvious and what could have gone through anyone's mind, namely, is there still some chance that what you were told was not true? But $I$ had absolutely no ground for believing that that was the case.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: It's somewhat
similar to a statement that was made by the Solicitor General and reported on July 30 of 2003, that is, "rogue elements" in the RCMP, which you may have read --

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: -- very similar
to that. Okay.
Now, the other important point of
this memorandum is in paragraph 2. It states:
"At a meeting at CPO on a different subject on Wednesday, Paul Thibault..."

Now you told us before that Paul
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Thibault was the ADM?
MR. LIVERMORE: He was the
Associate Deputy Minister immediately under Gaetan Lavertu.

MR. CAVALLUZZO:
"...Paul Thibault talked to
Larry Dickenson about the issue and offered the view that we (Canada) should not bother trying to talk to the USA about this issue, even to correct their version of the events. In Paul's view, it was water under the bridge. Larry related this to me in a matter-of-fact way, neither agreeing with Paul nor disagreeing. I think PCO view is that "timing is everything"."

Now, that statement there certainly suggests that we should lay off the Americans, it's water under the bridge, and let's move on.

Other people would suggest that a
great deal of political pressure should have been put on the Americans at this point in time because of what they had done.

Do you know what policy was
pursued by DFAIT in respect of the Americans at this point in time relating to Mr. Arar?

MR. LIVERMORE: Well, there
were -- as I recall the events, though, it was slightly different in the sense that what $I$ think was -- Paul Thibault was not saying we should forget about it, it's water under the bridge in that sense. What he was saying was, we should forget about it for now because we simply don't know the facts.

They can be taken up again at a
time that's convenient, but it's no sense going back to them with the same questions because we're going to get the same answers.

When I relate what Larry Dickenson said, the PCO view that timing is everything, well, you go back when the timing is right, not when the timing is not right.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: And to be fair to Minister Graham, the evidence is and will be that on or about October 14, he did file a protest with
the Americans saying, this is not the way you treat Canadian citizens, and we will be hearing evidence on that.

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay then. If we move then to tab 229, we come to Mr. Arar's fourth consular visit on or about November 26 of 2002 . Once again, you're copied on this document.

I guess the important point here from your perspective would be the condition of Mr. Arar, and that is:
"Maher appeared to be..."
This is paragraph 7:
"Maher appeared to be in good physical and mental health. Officials made him stand up to show he was well being taken care of. No change could be seen in his state of health from that of the last visit. Upon being questioned upon his health compared to the day he was first visited he indicated he had been afraid at the beginning as
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the investigation was more intensive. He seemed more relaxed and he said his only moment of joy was when he received our visit. He continued to say he was being treated well and when prompted by the officials he said "my brothers are treating me very well". He indicated he was feeling as well as anyone would feel when being imprisoned."

Now, it's obvious that none of these consular visits are in isolation, in other words, only with Mr. Martel. It is obvious that these visits, as it says, are in front of his Syrian brothers, and periodically you'll see in these reports that he is prompted by his Syrian brothers to say certain things, particularly about how well he is being treated.

Since you're from the school of
scepticism, I'm sure that when you read these consular reports, you read them somewhat sceptically in light of the situation in which

Mr. Arar found himself?
MR. LIVERMORE: I indeed read them
sceptically, but, to be fair too, part of the scepticism is that we simply didn't know a lot of things that we thought we would discover at a later date. And I say that without knowing much about the Syrian situation but having seen other people in circumstances similar to this, I can have an appreciation for what they're going through and $I$ can also appreciate what officials might be suggesting that they do as part of the consular visit. So scepticism, yes.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Now, in December as well, there was another visit, the fifth consular visit. It's at tab 248 now.

For some reason, you weren't copied on this CAMANT note, and $I$ don't know if that's just a mistake, but it's the only consular visit you were not copied on. So I don't know if there's any reason for that or whether it's just an oversight.

MR. LIVERMORE: I can't recall
seeing it, but $I$ must also say that at some time there is no point in me -- in seeing the consular visit.

If somebody has a consular visit and the basic theme of the consular visit is the same situation that we encountered the last time is obtained this time, that's fine with me.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. But what I'd like to ask you about, though, is the second and third page of tab 248.

This is a letter which is dated December 10 of 2002 , and it is from Richard Proulx, who is the Assistant Commissioner of Criminal Intelligence Directorate of the RCMP, and it's a letter in which he is complaining about the efforts of Mr. -- he believes Mr. Pardy, who had suggested to Mr. Edelson, who was acting as counsel to Mr. Arar at that point in time, to get a letter from the RCMP with four points in it.

First, dealing with that the RCMP made no request to have Arar deported to Jordan or Syria. Secondly, that Mr. Arar does not have a criminal record. Thirdly, that Mr. Arar is not wanted in Canada for any offence, nor is there a warrant for his arrest. And finally, that Mr. Arar is not a suspect with respect to any terrorist-related crime.

And Mr. Proulx is writing to you
and suggesting that Mr. Pardy is acting beyond the bounds of his mandate by requesting Mr. Edelson to request this from the RCMP.

Do you recall receiving this
letter?
MR. LIVERMORE: Yes, I do.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Did you
agree with it?
MR. LIVERMORE: Well, I recall,
when $I$ saw it, $I$ recall talking to Mr. Pardy about it, and Mr. Pardy had said that the letter represented a slight misunderstanding of what Mr. Pardy had suggested, and $I$ recall going back to -I believe it was Richard Proulx, but I can't be 100 percent certain, but $I$ phoned him up, I believe, and I said that $I$ thought that the entire letter was based upon a misunderstanding, and I thought the best way was simply to forget about it and move on.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Now, did you feel that, as a result of this communication, that the RCMP was not being as cooperative as they might have been in terms of trying to get Mr. Arar's release from Syria?

MR. LIVERMORE: No, I didn't feel
that at all. What $I$ sensed was that Mr. Pardy believed that with certain documentation it might be useful to Mr. Arar's case.

I think what the RCMP expressed to me was, they felt that they were being put into a bit of a corner by having the material asked for in the way that Mr. Edelson asked for it which was, as $I$ say, a slight misrepresentation of Mr. Pardy's position.

So what you have here is a classic case of a misunderstanding, and I thought -- I thought it important basically that we not worry about this particular thing, we not try to make it into a big deal, that we simply move on ahead.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. And we will be dealing extensively with Mr. Pardy on the cooperation which he received from the RCMP in respect of Mr . Arar's release.

Tab 253 is dated two days later, on December 12. You were copied on this. This is from Mr. Pillarella?

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: And this is
another document, Mr. Commissioner, where that gentleman's name is found in paragraphs 5 and 2.
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But in any event, this document is really talking about Mr. Pillarella's perspective in terms of what's going on in Damascus and that the Syrians believe that Mr. Arar is considered to be a case of internal security, linked to the Muslim Brotherhood, not to al-Qaeda.
"...Syrians will act with
extreme prudence having in mind their national interests as the foremost priority." And so on and so forth. I guess there's initial discussion at this point in time, which we will come to, and that is that there is going to be or could be a phone call between our Minister of Foreign Affairs and the Syrian Foreign Minister?

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: We'll come to
that.
MR. LIVERMORE: Okay.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: We do come to
that at the next tab. If you go now to 291.
And this is another e-mail from
Mr. Pillarella to many people, including yourself, and we see that he is setting up the phone call
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between Minister Graham and Foreign Minister Shara'a of Syria?

MR. LIVERMORE: That's right.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Then if you go
back to tab 288 , back to 288 , we see the points that Minister Graham will be making with the Syrian Foreign Minister. These are called talking points?

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. There's a question that $I$ would have, and that can be found at page 2 .

MR. LIVERMORE: Mm-hmm.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: The last bullet
point above the redaction, it says:
"I understand from recent reports that the Syrian authorities may have the impression that Canada has no wish to see Mr. Arar return to Canada. I would like to make it very clear that the Canadian government would like Mr. Arar to be returned to Canada."
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That point that Mr. Graham is going to make with the Syrian Foreign Minister, do you have any idea what he's talking about there, about this impression that the Syrian authorities may have that Canada doesn't want Mr. Arar's return?

MR. LIVERMORE: My recollection is that there had been information which had come to the attention of the consular bureau or to Foreign Affairs in general to the effect that the Syrians were under an impression that we did not want Mr. Arar back, and this bullet was drafted to counteract that impression.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: And as to what happened in terms of that phone call -- if you go to 288 -- this is an e-mail from Harold Hickman. Who is Harold Hickman? He is the GM for -- he is the Middle East desk?

MR. LIVERMORE: He, I believe, was at the Middle East desk at that time.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: And it says:
"Minister Graham..."
Once again you're copied on this e-mail:

[^0]Syrian Foreign Minister Shara'a on January 16 to discuss regional issues and the Maher Arar case." If you go to the third paragraph
down:
"Mr. Graham noted that there had been a suggestion that Canada did not want Arar returned. He emphasized that he wanted to make it clear that the preferred option of the Canadian government was that Arar be returned to Canada."

We're going to have Minister Graham testify, but I'm wondering if you can help us at this point in time as to the preferred option of the Canadian government.

I would have thought that perhaps that would be the only option that the Canadian government would want. Do you know what he was talking about there?

MR. LIVERMORE: I can't be sure. I wasn't privy to the conversation. But the
normal -- in the normal course of consular work -I won't speak on behalf of the consular bureau -but it's that a country is carrying out an investigation, and in most circumstances, the country has the right to press charges vis-à-vis an individual if they see fit according to their legal course.

And I think Mr. Graham was trying
to walk this fine line of saying, in one paragraph, that we can appreciate the legal situation and the domestic legal remedies have to play themselves out, but our preferred option is that he be returned to Canada.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: And of course Mr. Arar is a dual citizen, and the Syrians view him to be a Syrian and as a result of that are conducting their own investigation.

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Tab 313
now, if you go to the next book -- I believe it's the next book. It is the same book, okay. We have Mr. Arar's seventh consular visit that you are copied on. The visit is dated February 18th; the e-mail February 19th of 2003 .

MR. LIVERMORE: Mm-hmm.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: There was
actually a consular visit before that time, on January 7th, 2003, which we -- counsel, it's at tab 275, just to be clear on that.

Do you see that?
MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: There is really
nothing in there that $I$ would ask you about.
But the seventh visit is the next
month, February the 18th. And what is happening here, in the second paragraph:
"Arar said he was (and
appeared to be) in good health, and we have not noticed any changes since the last visit."

Then if you go to paragraph 4, you will see, for example, in subparagraph (c), that the investigation is ongoing?

MR. LIVERMORE: Excuse me, could
you remind me again what tab it's at?
MR. CAVALLUZZO: It is tab 313.
MR. LIVERMORE: Okay, thank you.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: So at paragraph
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(c) at the bottom:
"Investigation is ongoing and there is no indication as to when it will be completed.

Arar continues to be
interrogated and is
apparently still providing valuable information to the authorities."

This, once again, was a visit between Mr. Martel and Mr. Arar as well as the Syrian officials.

Nothing extraordinary about that report, similar to the last, in terms of your review of the situation?

MR. LIVERMORE: Nothing
particularly extraordinary.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Then if
you would go to March, at tab 392, this is dated March 3rd.

This document is in the PCO book of documents. It is $P-48$.

I wonder if the clerk might give you a copy. Gilles, we have a copy here, which might be easier.

This is PCO tab 15 of Exhibit P-48, but we have copies for counsel if they don't have their book of documents here.

This is a highly redacted
document, but it is dated March 3rd, once again from Anthony Ritchie to Lawrence Dickenson. I understand that Anthony Ritchie is in the PCO as well as Mr. Dickenson?

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes. I think Tony Ritchie worked for Larry Dickenson in the PCO.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. The
subject matter is called "DFAIT Deck Consular Services Related to Terrorist Cases", and we are going to be extensively questioning Mr. Pardy about that matter next Tuesday.

But in any event, it says:
"Larry attended a meeting at DFAIT on Friday to discuss the above. The RCMP were present. Dan Livermore chaired the meeting."

Then it goes:
"In the case of Arar, Marlene Catterall ..."

Of course is his Member of

Parliament.
"... will also be visiting from a humanitarian perspective."

At the bottom it says:
"While the ... shall never meet, the meeting was productive and everyone got what they wanted."

Now, in terms of this meeting,
which you chaired, do you recall attending?
MR. LIVERMORE: I did. I do
recall, yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: All right. And was it just a meeting between DFAIT and the RCMP? MR. LIVERMORE: My recollection was that we were discussing a deck that Gar Pardy was drafting, and my recollection is that there would have been RCMP, CSIS, and PCO at the meeting, in addition to Foreign Affairs.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. And I
can't ask you about the redacted parts, but $I$ just wanted to ensure that when we come to Mr. Pardy about the deck, that this was discussed at this meeting with the people you have related?

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: The next consular visit can be found at tab 392. This is the eighth consular visit, but this was the meeting at which two parliamentarians attended Damascus to see Mr. Arar, accompanied by Mr. Pillarella?

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: And originally
they sat and discussed the matter with Foreign Affairs officials, with the Syrian government, and then Mr. Arar was brought in to the meeting?

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Was there
anything unusual about this consular report that struck your interest or concerned you in respect of the situation of Mr. Arar?

MR. LIVERMORE: Not particularly, no.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: And once again we will be dealing extensively with that meeting with other witnesses.

If you would go to tab 451, we are now at June 24, 2003 .

If you could just help us, as I
said before, this is dated June 24 th. It concerns

```
Mr. Arar, subject matter "What to do?"
    And then it says at the top, under
```

    the header:
    "This memorandum was composed by Zmemo, WCM Memorandum" And then it says "jfgould", a phone number, and then MJW, that's Jim Wright, and Katherine McCallion, and through Jim Gould and Gar Pardy.

MR. LIVERMORE: Through me and Gar Pardy.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Excuse me.
Mr. Livermore, okay.
The first paragraph says -- first
of all, this document itself, this memorandum, was to be signed by Wright and McCallion, and I see at the bottom on the second page it says Mr. Heatherington, who is the Director of Foreign Intelligence.

So would this have been drafted by Mr. Heatherington?

MR. LIVERMORE: This was drafted, as I recall, by Jim Gould.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. And it
goes on in the first paragraph and states:
"It is very clear that there has not yet been, on an institutional level, a meeting of minds between the Department of Foreign Affairs on the one hand and CSIS and the RCMP on the other with regard to the case of Maher Arar. Recent exchanges have been almost testy and there is a fear that the working relationship between DFAIT and CSIS, in particular, might be poisoned if agreement is not reached on a government-wide approach to this case."

And it goes on at the bottom of the page. After the redactions, it says:
"... officials do not seem to understand that, guilty or innocent, Maher Arar has the right to consular assistance from the Department and that in the circumstances in which
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he presently finds himself, the best outcome might be his return to Canada. Even though there is a risk that Arar might later be found to have been involved in extremist activities of one sort or another, his right to consular assistance must be honoured."

And then it goes on: "It is important that the Minister and his staff be made aware of the evidence that can be marshalled against Arar and a way must be found for the Department to respond to the allegations that there is no evidence suggesting that Arar has a connection to the activities of Muslim extremists."

Then finally: "The Minister should receive a briefing from his
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colleague, the Solicitor General, about the evidence facing Mr. Arar. In that same meeting, the Minister should spell out Mr. Arar's consular rights. The two Ministers should also agree on a plan of action to deal with Mr. Arar and wording to be used with the public and the media."

This appears to be one of those cases where there seems to be difficulty in achieving consensus between the different agencies of government in respect of their dealings with Syria over Mr. Arar.

You would agree with that?
MR. LIVERMORE: That is not my take on the situation, no.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: What is your
take, then, on the situation?
MR. LIVERMORE: I myself -- first
of all, this memo is a draft and didn't go
anywhere. And one of the reasons that it didn't go anywhere, apart from the fact that $I$ had not
seen it before we began our inquiry into this issue, is because $I$ don't think it is a fair representation of what was going on.

It is true that there was a divergence of view, but it was a divergence of view on how to approach what was a difficult case, and I, for one, never thought that a consensus was going to be impossible to achieve.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right.
MR. LIVERMORE: And certainly I
didn't think that a consensus was going to be so difficult that we had to ask two Ministers to meet to achieve it.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Do you know if the two Ministers ever did meet, as was suggested in this draft memorandum by Mr. Gould?

MR. LIVERMORE: I do not know. This memo did not go forward. The two Ministers may have spoken without being prompted by such a message, but $I$ am not aware that it ever happened.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. But to
the reader, what it appears to be saying -- and the memo may not have gone anywhere, but the fact is that your Deputy Director in Foreign Intelligence is saying that there seems to be a
lack of consensus here; that CSIS and RCMP don't understand the right of consular assistance to a Canadian citizen.

And what he seems to be saying is whether Arar is a bad guy or not, the fact is he is a Canadian citizen and as a result of that is entitled to consular assistance. And our friends down the street are having trouble understanding that.

Would you agree with that?
MR. LIVERMORE: That is precisely
why I don't agree with the text of the memo. I have never encountered a situation in which they refuse to accept that reality.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Mm-hmm.
MR. LIVERMORE: We may have difficulty in putting pen to paper and expressing that, but the principle was never denied.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: And what principle is that: that Mr. Arar was entitled to consular assistance?

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: And I guess the debate becomes in terms of what is entailed in that consular assistance.

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes, that's part of the debate, yes.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: And one would
think that DFAIT being the lead, at least according to the Department of Foreign Affairs Act, it is DFAIT's responsibility and the Minister's responsibility in respect of official communications with the Syrian government. And it would seem to me that it should have been DFAIT taking the lead on Mr. Arar's case.

Would you agree with that?
MR. LIVERMORE: And indeed in fact
it was DFAIT taking the lead. It was not me. It was Gar Pardy, who did quite an extraordinary job of taking the lead.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: But as we will
see with his evidence on Tuesday and Wednesday, Mr. Pardy went to extraordinary lengths to get a letter signed by the Solicitor General and the Minister of Foreign Affairs to be sent to Syria on behalf of Mr. Arar and those efforts failed.

Isn't that correct?
MR. LIVERMORE: Could you rephrase
the question?
There were debates about who
should send the letter.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right.
MR. LIVERMORE: And in the end it was decided that the Prime Minister should send the letter.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Do you know why
it was the Prime Minister that stepped in to send the letter?

MR. LIVERMORE: I do not know. MR. CAVALLUZZO: Well, we will
hear from Mr. Pardy on that.
But would you not agree that once again we have a Canadian citizen who has been sent to Syria in very questionable circumstances from the United States. You would agree with that.

Syria does not have a splendid human rights record. In fact, you have access not only to your internal reports but you have access to the annual review done by the Department of State; right?

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes, I do.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: You have access
to annual reports done by Amnesty International? MR. LIVERMORE: We do.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: And other human
rights groups, such as Human Rights Watch?
MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: And $I$ think if we
talk about consensus, there seems to be a consensus in these reports, right from the Department of State through the human rights groups, that Syria does not have a very good human rights record and, in fact, is prone and there is a credible risk that political detainees in Syria could be subjected to torture.

You would agree with that?
MR. LIVERMORE: If the question
is, do I agree that those reports contain this information, my answer is yes.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Those reports do contain that information.

Are you saying that you disagree with those reports?

MR. LIVERMORE: First of all, on grounds of national security confidentiality, I don't comment on the human rights records of other countries. Moreover, I am not an expert on the case of Syria.

I have expressed the view before that when we approach a consular case,
irrespective of the country, we do so with a degree of scepticism appropriate to the circumstances. I could phrase it I think like this.

But I think there is another difficulty, which is more fundamental, and that is that we might on the one hand be aware of a particular circumstance -- and I don't think I am free to go into naming countries or naming names the way the State Department chooses to do so. It may be a particular situation that we are fully aware of.

On the other hand, one may not be able to extrapolate for the precise situation of any given Canadian. We have circumstances in our department where a Canadian could go to a country with one of the worst human rights records in the world and receive fairly good treatment. One could go to a country with the best human rights record in the world and receive pretty bad treatment.

So I don't think that the general necessarily applies to the particular, and that's one of the reasons why we are a bit sceptical.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: But surely you
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would agree that in terms of the general experience -- and fortunately we do have the Department of State annual review. It's not protected by national security confidentiality in Canada. We can refer to it. Which no doubt you would refer to and other members of DFAIT would refer to.

But certainly the statements in
that report are very clear that political detainees in Syria are subject to credible risks of torture? You can't deny that.

MR. LIVERMORE: I won't deny
what's in the State Department report because that's what's in it.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right.
MR. LIVERMORE: Whether that is
our take on the same situation, that $I$ can't comment on.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: I can't ask you what is in your own report. I have seen it, but $I$ can't ask you about it.

Once again $I$ ask you, in terms of trying to get this Canadian citizen, who has been there now for what, eight months, right -- from October through to June -- we are now in June?

MR. LIVERMORE: Pretty close.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Pretty close.
And I am putting it to you that $I$ would have thought that it should have been imperative for Canadian agencies to do everything they possibly could to get the return of this man to Canada.

MR. LIVERMORE: I think that we have to go back and look at the tenor of the reports that we have been getting from Damascus.

Right from the first report that we received from Ambassador Pillarella, and the subsequent consular reports that we received from Leo Martel, there is not, as I recall, one hint of torture entering the equation.

There is some degree of doubt about his precise situation, which I would expect that an experienced and capable consular officer, like Leo Martel, would pick up on and be very watchful for.

But as for torture, no, absolutely nothing.

And I think the first allegations were made subsequent to the period that we are engaged talking about now.

We are alive to what the
possibilities are in the particular circumstances. MR. CAVALLUZZO: Well, let me put it to you -- and once again I'm just dealing with the public record here. We have a situation where the Syrians say, "Listen, this guy was delivered to us on the Jordanian border on October the 21st." However, we have Mr. Arar telling Mr. Martel, "I spent a couple of hours, a few hours" -- whatever it was -- "in Jordan."

That would have left a period of eight or nine days between October $8 t h$ or $9 t h$ and October 21st. Right?

And the public record would appear
to be, if you accessed the Department of State, you access the Amnesty International report of 2002, that there was a predilection in Syria to hold prisoners incommunicado for a period of time until they obtained whatever information they wanted and then they would disclose his or her whereabouts.

Were you aware of that public
record?
MR. LIVERMORE: I'm aware of a variety of allegations that have been made about the countries in the region, yes.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Did it come to mind that in the case of Mr. Arar that may have happened? In other words, the Syrians weren't telling us the truth when they said they got him on October 21st. In fact, they got him on October the 9th and they have had him for that period of time. And as soon as they come to the Canadians, they say, "Oh, guess what? In the short period of time we've had him, he has admitted certain things."

Did that not come to mind in terms
of --
MR. LIVERMORE: I think a lot of things came to mind. The basic thing that came to mind was that there was profound scepticism about the chronology which persists to this day. We simply don't know.

I think we now know when he left the United States. We now know when he received consular access for the first time. I have absolutely no evidence in front of me to be able to account for the intervening period. None.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. In terms of the time line, we do see that sometime in July, the month after, that Prime Minister Chretien does
send an envoy, Senator De Bane, with a letter to President Assad of Syria.

The next thing that happens in the events -- and I just want to make sure that you are aware of it -- is that there is a consular visit on or about August 14 th, which is the last consular visit.

Could you refer to tab 507 .
--- Pause
MR. CAVALLUZZO: The first two pages are really a report back from Mr. Pillarella to the head of Syrian Military Intelligence, and in the third paragraph, the head of Syrian Military Intelligence says that Arar is going to be put on trial within the week.

Do you see that?
MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Then if you go three pages in, you see the consular visit, which turns out to be the last consular visit with Mr. Arar, on August 14 th.

MR. LIVERMORE: Mm-hmm.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: And it is drafted
by Mr. Martel.
This is after, and $I$ have to ask
you whether you recall that prior to this consular visit there were allegations made by the Syrian Human Rights Committee in July of 2003 that Mr. Arar had been tortured in Syrian detention. Do you recall that? MR. LIVERMORE: I recall roughly that period, yes. MR. CAVALLUZZO: And in respect of this particular visit, in terms of Mr. Martel's perspective or observations in paragraph 3, he states -- this is Mr. Arar:
"He was able to express himself freely at times and he indicated that prison conditions had been more difficult in the past than now. He mentioned he did not wish to have adverse media publicity as he felt this would only harm his case."

And then it's quoted:
"'The Press will know the truth when $I$ return home.' He confirmed that he had not been beaten nor tortured. He

## StenoTran

also said he had not been paralysed. When asked to explain he could not find another word for it. He also said his long detention had destroyed him mentally. He indicated that as far as he knew he was not receiving a worse treatment than that given to other prisoners."

As I say, we are going to have Mr. Martel and Mr. Pardy extensively testify to this consular visit. But just from your perspective and your knowledge of international law, in particular the Convention Against Torture, you would agree with me that quite apart from physical beatings -- and we will deal with that -there is such a thing as psychological torture, mental torture; that that is found within the definition of torture in international law?

MR. LIVERMORE: I would agree with
you, yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: And as far as the other aspects of this report are concerned, as I say, we will have Mr. Martel testify.

Mr. Commissioner, I am being
advised that we should take time out for lunch. THE COMMISSIONER: Right. MR. CAVALLUZZO: I am going to be about five minutes. Unfortunately, we have to break now, but just to apprise other counsel, about five minutes after lunch.

THE COMMISSIONER: If we start at
two, what do you think?
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Two would be
fine.
THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Two
o'clock.
THE REGISTRAR: Please stand.
Veuillez-vous lever.
--- Upon recessing at 1:05 p.m./
Suspension à 13 h 05
--- Upon resuming at 2:00 p.m. /
Reprise à 14 h 00
THE REGISTRAR: Please be seated.
Veuillez-vous asseoir.
THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Cavalluzzo.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Thank you.
Mr. Livermore, just two more
documents that $I$ would like to review with you.

The first is tab 609, which is an e-mail from yourself dated October 8th, 2003. --- Pause

MR. CAVALLUZZO: To put this particular e-mail in context, we know that Mr. Arar returned from Syria to Canada on October 5th, or thereabouts, and in your e-mail, which is sent to Lillian Thomsen, BCM -- who is Lillian Thomsen?

MR. LIVERMORE: Lillian Thomsen is
the Head of the Press Office in Foreign Affairs.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. It states:
"Lillian:
I assume your people are gathering the full
transcripts of materials on the Arar case. Could we have all of these materials sent to Carol Markham in ISI?"

Now, Is Carol Markham an
administrative person?
MR. LIVERMORE: No, she isn't. She is one of the policy analysts, somebody along the lines of Don Saunders.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. It goes on
also:
"Jim Wright would like us to go over the transcripts of past articles on Arar, especially the Powell visit of November, 2002 (I think it was), to compare what was in the public domain in that period with what is emerging now. If your people could hook up urgently with Carol, it would be appreciated."

In terms of the Powell visit in
November of 2002 , we went through that with you. You looked at the chronology. It was the statement on November 14, 2002 .

Could you tell us what was going
on at this point in time? What were you trying to deal with in terms of getting these press reviews?

Were there changes in the American
position? What was going on?
MR. LIVERMORE: What we were
trying to get a handle on was what was out there in the public domain that had been said, as opposed to things that we had seen, say, from
classified materials and others that we might have known but was not necessarily in the public domain.

In other words, after a period of time passes there tends to be some drift from one area to another, and we wanted to be on firm ground, especially vis-à-vis what the Americans were saying.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. And at this point in time you are presumably preparing a number of chronologies, which we see subsequently in November, and this would be part and parcel of that?

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes, I believe so.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. The e-mail
on the top of the page says:
"Dan, Will do."
This is from Lillian.
"There will be a new
transcript from CBC Radio's
'The Current' this morning
interviewing Gar Pardy on
'the obstacles the Government put in his way in speedily resolving the Arar case',
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plus undoubtedly more to come."

Then it goes on:
"Miriam: Could you send all the Arar transcripts to Carol, especially those were MINA spoke and the Powell/MINA bilaterals ... November 2002. Many thanks in advance as always."

As far as Mr. Pardy's interview, we will be taking him through that when he testifies next week.

The final document, Mr. Livermore, can be found behind tab 715.

MR. LIVERMORE: Excuse me, 715?
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Seven-one-five, that's correct.
--- Pause
MR. CAVALLUZZO: This is dated November $20 t h$ of 2003 . And what it states -- and there is a personal information number, references with numbers and so on, and it's referred to as "Secret".
It says:
"We have examined the file on Maher Arar held in ISI. We list here the entries in that file which originate with other DFAIT Divisions. OGD's..."

What does that mean?
MR. LIVERMORE: Other government
departments.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: "... or Canadian missions abroad. The documents on the file which originate in ISI or ISD are attached, and our reasons for recommending exemption for ISI/ISD documents are listed below."

Then on the next page, after the redactions, we see in paragraph 5:
"The following correspondence from JPO/JPE/JPD ..."

That is Collins, Pardy and Myra
Pastyr-Lupul?

MR. LIVERMORE: Those are the divisions in which they work, plus Gar Pardy as

Director General.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay.
"...is on the file and would require their permission prior to release."

Then we have a series of letters, memos, e-mails, and so on and so forth.

This would appear to be what is in
the ISI and ISD file respecting Mr. Arar, this compilation.

Would this be electronic or would
it be also in hard copy?
MR. LIVERMORE: These are probably
paper copies, hard copies.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. And the question that $I$ would have would be whether the LOs, in particular the RCMP LO and the CSIS LO who worked in this area, would have access to this file?

MR. LIVERMORE: They don't have access to the paper copies that we have in our own files.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Could they ask for permission to have access and get copies of any of these documents?

MR. LIVERMORE: They could ask for access and, as you have seen before, some of them have had access to a good many of them already. MR. CAVALLUZZO: Thank you, Mr. Livermore. I have no further questions. THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. Cavalluzzo.

Ms Edwardh, then Ms. McIsaac, and back to Mr. Cavalluzzo. Is that the order for this?

MS EDWARDH: It is.
THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
EXAMINATION
MS EDWARDH: Good afternoon, Mr. Livermore. My name is Marlys Edwardh. I represent Maher Arar.

Let me stick with tab 715. And the one follow-up question, of course, is that had the LOs asked for any of that information, given the prior pattern of sharing, they would have received it?

MR. LIVERMORE: With respect to 715?

MS EDWARDH: Yes, that's correct. That was the question.

And I take it your answer is yes? MR. LIVERMORE: No, I don't think you can take it yet.

MS EDWARDH: I am sorry. I
thought I heard you say yes.
MR. LIVERMORE: Excuse me. Let me just review the nature of the document, if $I$ could.

The reason $I$ hesitate is that the LOs would have been entitled to receive documents that originate with us, where we have the responsibility to say yes or no to them. If, for example, in paragraph 5 on the second page, it is a correspondence in the consular bureau's file, it is up to the consular bureau to make that decision; similarly with respect to other division's permission.

We had though, even prior to that, and we have indicated already, we had distributed materials and we had some correspondence both from missions and the consular bureau which went to the RCMP and others.

MS EDWARDH: That was my point, sir --

MR. LIVERMORE: But $I$ can't say
with respect to everything. That's my point. MS EDWARDH: Let's go slower then. You answered a question earlier with respect to one posed by Mr. Cavalluzzo, and it seemed clear that, given the context, as you described it, there was a general sharing of information between ISI and ISD and the liaison officers, and that was done even before you figured out that Mr. Arar was in Syria? MR. LIVERMORE: Yes. MS EDWARDH: It started then. And

I take it there was no point where you decided that it was then inappropriate to provide information to the RCMP or CSIS, and that you adopted a different method of sharing information? MR. LIVERMORE: I am not aware that we ever changed our position on sharing. MS EDWARDH: That was my point. MR. LIVERMORE: I am, however, cognizant that not all of the consular materials, for example, went to me, nor did $I$ have necessarily a need to see them. And those particular materials may or may not have been passed to others, depending upon what the consular bureau itself saw fit to do with it.

So I can't answer with respect to the totality of the documents that are listed here.

MS EDWARDH: But your general
impression, sir, is this information was shared because of the circumstances.

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: I take it when you read The Current, which was Gar Pardy's interview talking about obstacles in government to the return of Mr. Arar, you disagreed with his characterizations?

MR. LIVERMORE: I must confess that $I$ didn't read that transcript.

MS EDWARDH: All right. I found it remarkable that someone who had been involved in human rights in places like Chile would take the position that you would not generally be in a position to give advice about another country's human rights record as it might impact on your conduct in respect of a particular case.

So we can agree, sir, that there is no dispute that people were tortured and died when Pinochet took over in Chile, can we not?

MR. LIVERMORE: I believe that is
not in dispute.
MS EDWARDH: Right. And we can believe that detainees are tortured and died in Iran. Can we accept that?

MR. LIVERMORE: I think that's not
in dispute.
MS EDWARDH: Right. And we can believe that the U.S. government states that political detainees are tortured and die in Syria?

MR. LIVERMORE: I would have to consult the State Department reports. I can't recall exactly what they said.

MS EDWARDH: I am going to trust to Mr. Cavalluzzo's characterization that political detainees are tortured, and political detainees are subject to arbitrary detention in the State of Syria.

I can dig it out here, if you want to take a look at it.

MR. LIVERMORE: Thank you. I have it, thanks.

MS EDWARDH: The first
paragraph -- and I am sorry, I don't have the reference handy. I didn't think this would be a matter of dispute.

There is a recognition that the courts, particularly the security courts, are not presided over by an independent judiciary and are indeed subject to political influence.

Do you see that?
MR. LIVERMORE: Which paragraph is
that?
MS EDWARDH: First paragraph.
This is the 2002 report. Three lines from the bottom.

MR. LIVERMORE: I have the 2003
and 2004 reports in front of me.
MS EDWARDH: Well, we are not
going to do very well, Mr. Livermore.
It is Exhibit 27?
MR. LIVERMORE: Yes, okay; sorry.
MS EDWARDH: So let me draw your
attention to the last three lines of paragraph 1.
"The constitution provides
for an independent judiciary
but security courts are subject to political influence. The regular courts generally display independence, although
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political connections and bribery may influence verdicts."

Do you see that?
MR. LIVERMORE: I see that, yes.
MS EDWARDH: So at least with
respect to the security courts, they seem to be
lacking in the quality of independence and
impartiality? Is that fair?
That is what it says here.
MR. LIVERMORE: All I can attest
to is that is what it says.
MS EDWARDH: That is what it says.
"The powerful role of security services in government, which extends strictly beyond security matters, stems in part from the state of emergency that has been in place almost continuously since 1963."

And further, just jump down to the end of the third line.
"Syrian Military Intelligence and Air Force Intelligence
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are military agencies."
And I want to go to the last three lines of that paragraph: "The branches of the security service operate independently of each other and outside the legal system."

Do you see that?
MR. LIVERMORE: I see that, yes. MS EDWARDH: Let me just stop for one moment.

Over the months Mr. Arar was in Syria, you began by saying that when you first learned of this situation, you were not an expert on the issues in respect of human rights and human rights abuses in Syria.

Is that fair?
MR. LIVERMORE: That would be a
fair comment, yes.
MS EDWARDH: And you will
certainly agree with me that once he disappeared and over the days and months that passed, you certainly had ample opportunity to become quite expert in those issues?

MR. LIVERMORE: Quite expert I
would not say, no. I became familiar with some of them, but an expert, no.

MS EDWARDH: Well, you certainly had ample opportunity to gather information about the human rights situation from numerous and varied sources, some of which were in your department?

MR. LIVERMORE: Oh, the human rights sources exist, yes.

MS EDWARDH: And you had ample opportunity to look at them.

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: Thank you. Down to
the last paragraph on that page:
"The government's human rights record remained poor, and it continued to commit serious abuses. Citizens did not have the right to charge their government. The government used its vast powers to prevent any organized political opposition and there have been very few anti-government
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manifestations. Continuing serious abuses included the use of torture in detention."

Do you see that?
MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: "Poor prison conditions, arbitrary arrest and detention, prolonged detention without trial, fundamentally unfair trials in the security courts, an inefficient judiciary that suffered from corruption and at times political influence and the infringement on privacy rights."

That is not difficult to grasp;
fair enough?
MR. LIVERMORE: I can read that, as can other people, yes.

MS EDWARDH: Mr. Livermore, do you or do you not accept that, given your experience and your knowledge, as a valid statement as to the Syrian government?

MR. LIVERMORE: Do I accept this
as a valid statement?
MS EDWARDH: A valid description
of the state of human rights?
MR. LIVERMORE: As an official, I
do not comment one way or another on this particular document. It's not my document to comment on.

MS EDWARDH: If you were giving advice to someone asking you about the state of human rights in Syria, would you agree or not agree with the descriptions that purported to give that advice?

MR. LIVERMORE: I don't characterize it one way or the other.

MS EDWARDH: So we are at a point then, $I$ take it, that you are saying, sir, that because of national security confidentiality, you will not give evidence in this public forum about what you know or believe to be the state of human rights in Syria in 2002?

MR. LIVERMORE: I believe I am constrained by national security from giving evidence, just as we do not publish unclassified materials, as does the United States.

MS EDWARDH: Are you claiming
national security confidentiality over that answer? I asked you a question.

MR. LIVERMORE: It depends. What is the question?

MS EDWARDH: Well, with respect, if you were asked to give advice to someone in the Department of Foreign Affairs who was going to provide consular services to a detainee in Syria and they asked you, "What is the human rights record of Syria in respect of such detainees?", would you have given advice that accords with or similar to what is said in the State Department report?

MR. LIVERMORE: I would have given them advice in a number of ways. One of the ways we give them advice is to pass to them our own classified materials, of which I'm not at liberty to discuss today.

Another way we give them advice -I'm not sure that's exactly the way, because it's not my bureau that offers the advice -- is to refer them to documents that have been drafted by others, which includes Amnesty International or Human Rights Watch or even the State Department.

MS EDWARDH: You see, the reason
this is really important, and I'm sorry -- maybe I should -- you won't go any farther on that? You won't tell us today, in a public forum, what your true advice would be about the state of human rights? You can't do that, you're saying?

MR. LIVERMORE: Well, with
respect, it's not me that advises people about human rights. It's the geographic division within the Department of Foreign Affairs that gathers the relevant information. It's very often the mission concerned that prepares human rights reports. It's not me per se.

But with respect to your question, yes, I will not characterize the classified materials that come before me.

MS EDWARDH: See, the problem with
this, sir, is, you might, in your individual capacity, not be in a position to give an ordinary person, working in the Consular Affairs Bureau, advice, because they wouldn't ask you.

But what you do, sir, is you handle intelligence. You move it back and forth across the boundaries and nations, is that correct? You and your department.

MR. LIVERMORE: We do handle
intelligence, yes.
MS EDWARDH: And that's
intelligence -- and that's big "I" intelligence. MR. LIVERMORE: Some of it is big " I".

MS EDWARDH: Right.
MR. LIVERMORE: Some of it is
little "i".
MS EDWARDH: And some of it comes from places that are not Canadian, correct?

MR. LIVERMORE: That is true.
MS EDWARDH: So let's take
Mr. Arar, who has now languished for days, some of them entirely incognito, and then weeks and then months in a Syrian Military Intelligence prison, and you are the first recipient, other than the ambassador, to have in your hands the products of his interrogation, right? You have the products of his interrogation?

MR. LIVERMORE: I don't believe I'm the first individual, but I'm among the first. MS EDWARDH: Yes. Ambassador Pillarella brings back the paper and you are one of the very first to get it.

MR. LIVERMORE: Mm-hmm.

MS EDWARDH: Now, who was it, who was it that gave advice about how to assess the facts alleged in that document?

Who gave advice to the Government of Canada, to the RCMP, to CSIS, about whether it was worth the paper it was printed on?

MR. LIVERMORE: I don't believe
that the advice was tendered in that way. The document, such as it was, was passed to others to make their own assessment of the document and its own credibility.

MS EDWARDH: Well, with respect, sir, I'm a criminal defence lawyer. I work with Mounties. To me, most of them are good officers. They do their best.

Now, they no more know what goes on in the Palestine branch of a prison run by Syrian Military Intelligence than the other ordinary Canadians out there.

Who's going to tell them, if it's not the Department of Foreign Affairs, "Listen, before you put two cents of anything on this piece of paper, you better understand what we know about the conditions of confinement of political detainees."?

Who told them, who gave them information in respect of this document?

MR. LIVERMORE: I think you're
underestimating the RCMP. I think they know full well how people are detained abroad and what the value of the information that they may pass is worth.

MS EDWARDH: Well, let's suppose that the officers who got this information didn't know, when they got it, that at a minimum Mr. Arar was detained in circumstances no one could account for and then detained in a grave-like space, in the darkness, for weeks and weeks and weeks, in conditions which no Canadian court or police force would even contemplate knowing about? How do you expect them to know that?

MR. LIVERMORE: I think you're calling for a conclusion on my part as to what the RCMP knows and what they don't know.

MS EDWARDH: All right. So let's
assume that -- let's take it back one step.
I take it what you're telling us,
as far as you are concerned, when you pass on the big "I" intelligence or this kind of intelligence, at least in respect of this case and this man
who's before this inquiry, you have no knowledge or recollection of anyone briefing relevant entities, like the RCMP, about what you knew about Syria. I'm not going to ask you what you knew, but just there was no briefing?

MR. LIVERMORE: I do not recall a specific briefing.

MS EDWARDH: Now, maybe you know enough from your history of human rights to answer this question: You will agree with me, would you not, given your involvement with -- let's take Chile, that when people are subject to extremely hard conditions of confinement, they may be brutalizing, they may just be extremely long and harsh, or that they hear other people killed or whatever, that the utterances that come out of that kind of interrogation are worthless, or may be worthless?

MR. LIVERMORE: I had a number of consular experiences in Chile which were of different orders. I could go into some of the consular cases I had, if you like. I'm not sure what the point of the question is, though.

MS EDWARDH: Well, let me just give you an example. If you were dealing with a

Chilean consular case and they said to you: Well, I went out in an airplane, and after they threw the bodies of the third person out of the aircraft, $I$ was taken back to the detention facilities, and they asked me the following five questions and I answered yes". Now, you'll agree with me, would you not, that depending upon what happened to a person, what they say may have absolutely no reliability to it?

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
With respect to that, I can give
you concrete examples that $I$ have indeed dealt with consular cases in Chile where -- and this was of course Chile in the old regime of General Pinochet --

MS EDWARDH: Yes.
MR. LIVERMORE: -- which were
exceptionally brutal and where the individual in question is purported to have said something which he later recanted, and one could readily appreciate that he had said something in those conditions which he would later have either regretted or recanted. I can certainly accept that.
--- Phone ringing / Sonnerie de téléphone

MS EDWARDH: Is that you? I'm sorry, Mr. Commissioner. --- Laughter / Rires That's my point, Mr. Livermore, that if you put a human being in a certain set of conditions that almost anyone would say whatever is required in those conditions -- and that's part of your experience.

MR. LIVERMORE: I don't have any particular difficulty with that as a point. In fact, I think if you look recently at some of the materials that have been coming out on the American experience in Iraq and Afghanistan, it's quite damning, and there is abundant testimony there that torture, ill treatment, don't work as interrogation techniques. MS EDWARDH: Yes, they get you bad evidence.

MR. LIVERMORE: And that's a
long-standing -- it's a long-standing observation that many people have had.

MS EDWARDH: And so you'll agree with me -- and I think you're right. There's no doubt it's a long-standing observation that many people have.

And so you'll agree with me that it is very important, when assessing intelligence that comes from places where torture has been identified as a possibility, that you have to know something about the conditions of someone's confinement before you can place one ounce of weight on a document that was produced through that process?

MR. LIVERMORE: I think that the point that you're making about scepticism is a valid one, and $I$ could illustrate that with my own experiences in Chile -- Chile and elsewhere.

But what I would emphasize as well
is that one has to look at the particular as opposed to the general.

MS EDWARDH: Of course. And in
one of the things you said to Mr. Cavalluzzo through this entire period, you didn't know where Mr. Arar was from October 8 until 21.

The Government of Canada, with all
of its assets, both intelligence and otherwise, couldn't find him.

And then, when he was in Syria, after that was confirmed on October 21, as best I can tell, no one, no one was able to access the
actual prison area where Mr. Arar was kept to do a hands-on look at what it was?

MR. LIVERMORE: I'm not aware of
that. You would have to confirm that through Mr. Pardy and others.

MS EDWARDH: Right. But certainly
you have no information about it?
MR. LIVERMORE: I have no
information on that --
MS EDWARDH: And furthermore,
despite the absence of that information, it seems very clear to me that no one at the Department of Foreign Affairs, our alleged experts in understanding what is going on in other nations, gave a briefing to A-OCANADA?

MR. LIVERMORE: I'm not aware that
any briefing was done by us.
MS EDWARDH: Thank you.
Now, Mr. Livermore, I was shocked
to see consular access abused, as you've described this morning.

MR. LIVERMORE: I don't believe I characterized it that way. MS EDWARDH: No. Let's go through some issues.

When someone is detained and the Government of Canada is given consular access and those people who provide consular assistance go see a detainee, one of the first things a detainee is told is that, "Your communications with me are confidential, and indeed, if you do not permit me to provide information about you to your family or others, $I$ can provide none".

And I'm going to suggest to you, sir, it may be the best-kept secret that the Department of Foreign Affairs is really a sieve and that the confidences -- and you can laugh -but the confidences that a detainee reposes in a consular official are understood by the detainee to be a matter of confidentiality. It's a trust.

MR. LIVERMORE: Are you inviting a comment?

MS EDWARDH: I'm going to ask -finish it with one more phrase.

And in Mr. Arar's case, his consular visits were not, by any stretch of the imagination, kept confidential within the consular division, were they?

MR. LIVERMORE: Well, the starting point for that question $I$ believe is erroneous.

Like any other part of the Government of Canada, the consular bureau and, in fact, my bureau, operate according to the Privacy Act.

There is a vast number of consular cases every year. I have absolutely no evidence -- I have absolutely no information on any of them.

A small number of them engage either criminal activity or national security activity, where my understanding of the Privacy Act is that there is facilitation for cooperation among departments.

The first occasions when cooperation was engaged with the RCMP, in fact it was engaged for the express purpose of assisting Mr. Arar, namely, finding out where he was and what had happened to him.

And this is why I refreshed my memory a bit on the chronology, because there were a series of meetings between the point where he was essentially shipped out of the United States early in October and when he materialized in Syria. And on those occasions we actively shared information with the RCMP for that express
purpose, and we continued to share information with the RCMP for what $I$ would characterize as the normal national security and criminal reasons. MS EDWARDH: Okay. So I can live with and understand your reference to assisting consular affairs in locating what was essentially a missing person, and you refer to that in your general statement or description of your functions, which is that there are some "parallel processes" that you can utilize to find someone on some occasions, right? I understand that.

But are you telling me that when a detainee is told -- and we can go back to the documents filed with Mr. Sigurdson, if we have to -- but when a detainee is told that the communication with a consular official is confidential, that is a lie? That is not the case, is it?

MR. LIVERMORE: Every
communication with the Government of Canada is governed by the Privacy Act, and that's what governs the consular bureau. MS EDWARDH: Well, you've been -you've given consular assistance, have you not? MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.

MS EDWARDH: And isn't it the case that when you give it, you tell persons that their communication with you is a matter of confidence? You tell them that?

MR. LIVERMORE: I do not tell them that, no. I have not done that.

MS EDWARDH: I'm going to find the document, if $I$ can.

MS McISAAC: Are you looking for a
guide for Canadians imprisoned abroad?
MS EDWARDH: Sure, that will do.
--- Laughter / Rires
THE COMMISSIONER: I think that is
the one.
MS EDWARDH: $P-11$. MS MCISAAC: $P-11$. MS EDWARDH: Tab 14.

THE COMMISSIONER: Tab 14 ?
MS EDWARDH: I'm also turning my
mind back to the document Ms Girvan produced, which requires a detainee to name the individuals with whom consular contact can be had.

THE COMMISSIONER: I think the part you may be looking for -- we've seen it before -- if it helps, is on the back of the
second page, under "Protection, Advice and Assistance", and it's the third paragraph. That's the one I had highlighted.

MS EDWARDH: All right. So this is on the Government of Canada's website too. Let me help you.

MR. LIVERMORE: Tab what?
THE COMMISSIONER: Tab 14.
MR. LIVERMORE: Tab 14 . Okay.
MS EDWARDH: And it's the third
paragraph under "Protection, Advice and
Assistance".
MR. LIVERMORE: Mm-hmm.
MS EDWARDH: We'll look at the document that Ms Girvan -- all right.

So if I'm a Canadian travelling abroad, or I'm arrested and detained and I've got a family member in Canada, and they want to know what the Government of Canada can do for me as I am languishing in a foreign prison, one of the things they would be told:
"If you are detained or
arrested in a foreign country
and you choose to talk to
Canadian consular officials,

## StenoTran

any information you give them will remain completely confidential and is protected under Canada's Privacy Act.

It will not be passed on to anyone, other than consular officials concerned with your case, without your
permission. You have the right, for example, to determine who may be notified of your situation, and who may act as your
representative. Your family and friends will not have access to any information without your consent. The

Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP) and other police agencies have their own international contacts, however, and may know of your circumstances through those sources."

MR. LIVERMORE: Mm-hmm.

## StenoTran

MS EDWARDH: Now, it's palpably
obvious from what the Government of Canada is saying, is that the communications during a consular visit aren't going to be shared with the cops?

MR. LIVERMORE: The information is liable to be shared if it falls under the provisions of the Privacy Act, and there are -and as I reported earlier, in a small number of cases, and there are between 20 and 40 of 700,000 --

MS EDWARDH: No, that's not
what --
MR. LIVERMORE: -- this is the case.

MS EDWARDH: I guess my difficulty
is, Mr. Livermore, we both read English and it's late in the day, and you'll agree with me -forget what you do do; let's look at what you tell citizens of Canada.

What you tell them is that, while
the police may have other sources, the communications at a consular visit are confidential, and any ordinary person reading those words, Mr. Livermore, is going to be very
surprised that there's a big "but", and that "but" is, if we find it in our interests to pass it on to the RCMP? You don't think that's a dishonest statement in there?

MR. LIVERMORE: I continue to believe that what governs our behaviour is the Privacy Act, irrespective of particular words there.

But I think that the phrase that you use in your question, about whether it's in our interest, misstates the situation.

We don't decide arbitrarily and simply because we have had a bad day that somehow it's in our interests to pass information to other people.

If $I$ could put this in some context -- and I think it's important possibly at this juncture to put it in some context -- we were dealing here with a particularly difficult period of time. I was dealing myself with a particularly difficult time because almost every week we had in the office from the variety of sources that you quite rightly characterize are at our disposal threats to Canadian interests abroad, threats to our embassies, threats to Canadians, and threats
which did result in the deaths of Canadians abroad. This was an extraordinary time.

Everyone after 9/11, after 2001, the year before, resolved to share information more thoroughly. We did so.

Now, we didn't do so with respect to all information. We restricted ourselves very deliberately, and there were basically two categories of information that we sought to ensure were distributed to appropriate channels, not arbitrarily but in very discreet ways.

One of those was information on what I could characterize as criminal intelligence information. The second was national security information. And those governed a very small number of Canadians indeed, and they were governed in accordance with the Privacy Act.

And I think this is what governed our conduct. It wasn't that we had arbitrarily decided on a whim that this was something that we could give away on behalf of the vast majority of Canadians who were either detained abroad or who had consular difficulties abroad.

MS EDWARDH: No. But we can agree that certainly the exception that you have just
described is not reflected in what is held out to be the case to Canadians who are accessing and trying to get an understanding of the nature of the relationship they might have with a consular official.

It simply does not say there that there are exceptions; correct?

MR. LIVERMORE: It does not say
that there are exceptions, and it may be that people are unaware of the provisions of the Privacy Act.

MS EDWARDH: Now the other curiosity $I$ have, and maybe you can tell me, I have had my own personal experience with consular affairs and worked with them on very difficult cases and sometimes those cases involved wide-ranging disputes over the legality of police conduct, and in particular RCMP conduct.
Am I to assume that those -- and they were very, very much serious criminal allegations of multiple homicide. Am I to assume that in obtaining the benefit of consular services, if this occurred post-9/11, that my working with consular officials, their meeting with the accused persons, et cetera, were all
subject to furthering criminal intelligence?
MR. LIVERMORE: It's difficult to answer a hypothetical question without knowing what the specifics of the question are.

MS EDWARDH: Okay. I will refine it but $I$ won't name anybody.

Let's suppose there is a case
where the RCMP are alleged to have engaged in methods to obtain a confession which are highly controversial. All right? And if the confession is admissible, then the person who is on trial could potentially face the death penalty. And I work closely with American defence counsel and consular affairs to gather information against the RCMP.

Am I to understand, for example, in that kind of situation of serious crime, that they would be aware of the communication that $I$ had with the consular affairs persons or that my client had? Is that what this means?

MR. LIVERMORE: I am afraid I am not able to characterize your example.

The issues on which my bureau was engaged were exceptionally narrow. They were, as I indicated before, two types of individuals or
cases, if $I$ could characterize it like that: individuals who were persons of interest to the RCMP in criminal investigations, and people who came to the attention of Canadian agencies for national security reasons. That is it.

Other types of issues involving the RCMP were not within my knowledge and I am afraid that $I$ wouldn't be able to characterize your question one way or another.

MS EDWARDH: So if the RCMP were interested in facilitating the prosecution of a person charged with a very serious crime and that prosecution happened to be of a Canadian citizen abroad, it's fair to say that if consular services could be harnessed to the aid of that prosecution, this exception would apply?

MR. LIVERMORE: I think that is
too hypothetical for me to comment on.
MS EDWARDH: The next thing we
will know is that people are confessing.
I would like to turn to one last question about human rights, and perhaps you can answer it.

Leaving aside any general comment about the government of Syria, you can agree, can
you not, that it was well-known within your circles, including police circles, that Mr. El Mati was interviewed by Foreign Affairs in mid August of 2002 in Egypt and he alleged he had been the victim of torture in Syria?

You were aware of that?
MR. LIVERMORE: I don't believe I
can comment on this case.
MS EDWARDH: I am sorry, could you
answer the question, please?
MR. LIVERMORE: I don't believe I
can comment on this case.
MS EDWARDH: Well, I am sorry, you
are going to have to answer the question unless you wish to object.

MR. LIVERMORE: Could I ask if I
could be excused from answering on the grounds of national security confidentiality?

MS EDWARDH: Yes.
THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, you could. Perhaps I might ask Ms. McIsaac. If it is national security confidentiality, then the answer is yes. But I am not sure that there is a claim made for national security confidentiality over that answer.

Ms McIsaac, I might ...
MS McISAAC: It is my
recollection, and perhaps we could -- my
recollection is that the fact is on the public record that Mr. El Maati made such allegations to consular officials when interviewed in Egypt, and we are prepared to confirm that.

THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, good. So
I think --
MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
THE COMMISSIONER: In that
instance then, $I$ think you can answer the question as where it goes at this moment.

MS EDWARDH: Thank you very much.
MR. LIVERMORE: I can confirm that
then, yes.
MS EDWARDH: And you would have been aware of that at approximately the time that consular officials became aware of it?

MR. LIVERMORE: Roughly the same
time, yes.
MS EDWARDH: So in August of 2002
you were alive to reports of a Canadian being tortured in Syria?

MR. LIVERMORE: Well, I had not
yet arrived in my job until the end of August 2002. I did become aware, subsequent to arriving at the office, of the allegations that Mr. El Maati had brought forward, yes.

MS EDWARDH: And I take it, although my friend listed a number of factors that one could in some cases view as increasing the index of suspicion when Mr. Arar vanishes, I take it the fact that Mr. El Mati had recently been visiting in Syria and was detained and alleged that he had been tortured, that did not increase your index of suspicion?

MR. LIVERMORE: Well, if I might, Mr. Commissioner, go into some of this at -- our or my personal general disposition is to be rather sceptical of virtually everything I see and read until such time as $I$ have gathered evidence. And that in part is borne from some of the consular experiences $I$ have had.

If I may give an example, for
instance, drawn from my experience in Chile, I don't think there is any doubt that Chile under the Pinochet regime was one of the most brutal regimes in the western hemisphere and possibly in the world. Yet I dealt with consular cases at the
time where Canadians or dual nationals had been incarcerated, and unfortunately Chile at the time -- I am not sure if the same system obtains right now -- had almost an identical system to Syria; namely, that you were a Chilean for life, if you were born in Chile, and if you acquired a second nationality, they didn't recognize it, and in fact they wouldn't allow you to renounce your Chilean nationality.

I dealt with incarcerated dual
nationals who had no particular difficulty in the Chilean prison system. They were not beaten. They were not tortured. They were not mistreated in any way. And contrary to Chilean doctrine, which was to ignore the Canadian nationality, we had no problems getting consular access. Would I then go on from this particular to say that prison conditions in Chile were wonderful? Absolutely not. I would characterize them as I did at the beginning: absolutely brutal, with torture being practised on certain people.

> I knew people -- they didn't
happen to be Canadians but I knew people who were tortured. I met relatives of people who had loved
ones killed while they were in prison, et cetera, et cetera.

So that is one of the reasons why I am a little sceptical of the idea of casting a particular report and then trying to extrapolate that report on a particular situation one way or another.

It could be that we would want to characterize the Government of Syria in one way or another. I am not free to do so. I want to see what the evidence was.

It might be -- it could well be true that two Canadians, detained in the same country, experienced different fates. I don't know. But $I$ would want to see the evidence adduced in each particular case.

I heard of that case that is
mentioned, the El Maati case, but the evidence $I$ have is the evidence that you could have before you. I have no firsthand evidence on that case. MS EDWARDH: Well, rarely will we have the firsthand evidence of torture or mistreatment or abuse. We don't often get it firsthand.
And with the greatest of respect,

Mr. Livermore, I am going to say you are quite right, that in order to say something will happen all of the time, that's difficult. But what we can do is form a view, based upon the evidence, about patterns, their persistency, and that can become a basis to at least identify risks.

It's like a risk assessment. You can't say with certainty something happened but you can say that there is a possibility or a probability that the risk will accrue. You guys do that all the time, do you not, Mr. Livermore? That's part of the function.

MR. LIVERMORE: It's part of the function. At times we do that, yes. MS EDWARDH: Yes. I found it surprising when Mr. Cavalluzzo asked you -- and maybe I mistook your word or your language. He asked you about the period from October 8th and 9th to Mr. Arar's appearance or reappearance, and he asked you about that missing space or hunk of time and the concern that it raises when a prisoner is held incommunicado and the evidence that Syria had done this in the past. Now, you said that you didn't have a shred of evidence before you that Mr. Arar was
held incommunicado in Syria.
Do you recall that statement?
MR. LIVERMORE: I don't think I
quite made it in that way. But the point is -the point is that $I$ simply don't have any evidence one way or another. There's a chunk of space where Mr. Arar leaves New York, and there's a chunk of space that transpires and then we have a consular visit.

I don't have any evidence with
respect to what is in the middle.
MS EDWARDH: What troubles me is the degree to which he personally is discounted. He made it very clear to Leo Martel that he had only been in Jordan for a short time and then he had been taken to the Syrian border and admitted into Syria.

So you do have his statement --
MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: -- and that is some
evidence, in the absence of any other explanation of where he might be; right?

MR. LIVERMORE: That is the evidence that we have, I think.

MS EDWARDH: It is certainly not
no evidence.
MR. LIVERMORE: No. I would say that's his evidence, yes.

MS EDWARDH: And do you identify any interest that Mr. Arar might have at the time he told Mr . Martel that, that renders that statement -- or there is something about it that makes it unreliable?

Does it raise your index of suspicion in the circumstances?

MR. LIVERMORE: Well, it's an
interesting question because the index of suspicion -- you are probably right to characterize it that way too because it comes from a suspicious nature.

It is raised and I have to be careful here. I pointed out it is not raised at that particular time but it comes about because of subsequent writings and particular writings that have come out -- Seymour Hirsch's book on the Middle East, and a number of other magazine articles which have endeavoured to explore what American policy has been since 2001, which is an interesting area.

I was never briefed. I have no
firsthand information from any American sources that we normally deal with one way or another.

But the interesting point, or at least one interesting point for me, has been that the Americans have apparently had -- and again let me emphasize this is according to Seymour Hirsch and others; it's not according to what I know professionally -- they have a detention centre in Jordan.

So to me one logical question at the outset would have been: Well, why didn't they send him there as opposed to Syria?

Let me make it clear. If Mr. Arar says that this was his itinerary, and we have no evidence that contradicts him, I have no interest in contradicting him at all. But $I$ simply don't know. And there are lots of articles, there are lots of things coming out now in the public domain, based on investigative journalism in the United States, that are exposing a lot of things.

I have no sources one way or the other, but a sceptical person like me begins to wonder about what happened and why.

MS EDWARDH: Well, certainly the U.S. said he went to Syria.

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: And Mr. Arar
essentially said he took a short jaunt through Jordan and he went to Syria, and there is no evidence to the contrary.

MR. LIVERMORE: That's right.
MS EDWARDH: One of the -- and we
will go through some of the other
documents --other alarming aspects of the consular visits, if you will forgive my characterization for a moment, is it is clear that General Khalil admitted Canadians to Arar to give effect to consular access, and not only did Mr. Arar's words and whatever go into the intelligence and policing community but that the visits themselves were structured in a way to get information, intelligence information, to pass on back to Canada.

They always begin, or many of them include as much intelligence information as can be had at that time and it is shipped back.

We can go through them. There is
a meeting, there is a discussion after pleasantries are exchanged. My hunch is that Mr. Martel spends as much time with the General as
he does with Mr. Arar.
MR. LIVERMORE: I have no way of characterizing that.

One of the things that we learn as professionals is how to write reports, and one of the things that you saw -- I think, in fact, it is Ambassador Pillarella's first report. I think it is about a page and a half long and it is a 45-minute meeting.

We leave to the head of mission, we leave to the author of the report, the responsibility of summing up the essence of that. We don't ask for a blow-by-blow verbatim account of what he said, "How's your mother-in-law," and things like this. This cuts to the essence of the problem.

We also are taught as to how to characterize the meeting, and I think Leo Martel was extremely astute about this because he did make comments: how did Mr. Arar appear?

I wouldn't characterize that as an intelligence comment, but anyone who had just been to see -- any consular officer who had just been to see any Canadian detained anywhere has to write in the report what is fundamentally obvious to
anyone; namely, how are they?
There are other things that one has to cover too. But in the course of a normal report, it's not necessarily my business at headquarters, possibly not Gar Pardy's business either, to know how much time was spent in the meeting. What we have to know is the essence of the issue, and those reports from Damascus covered them especially well.

MS EDWARDH: But my general
proposition -- and we will start through them -is that on each of the occasions that consular access is negotiated, they are also used as occasions to endeavour to obtain information from the Syrian intelligence about what is going on. MR. LIVERMORE: I would have to review each consular visit to check to see.

The first occasion that $I$ can recall, Ambassador Pillarella I think was offered the information that was already available to them. And I would have to go through visit by visit to see what happened during that visit. MS EDWARDH: Let's look at some of the important visits then, if we could -- if I could just clear this desk for a moment.

Before we turn to the visits, there's just a couple of brief questions I wanted to ask you.

Beyond your reference to the
Privacy Act, in choosing to share information with CSIS or the RCMP or, I assume, a municipal police force -- you could do that too -- are there any protocols in place beyond your reference to the Privacy Act -- and I should ask you what sections you are referring to -- that govern the sharing of this information?

MR. LIVERMORE: There have been in the past protocols which govern. They tend to be a bit dated because the protocols were put into effect at a time when we didn't have the C4 communication system, before 9/11.

The second, the Privacy -MS EDWARDH: Let's stick with the protocols.

When Mr. Arar disappeared or was detained in the U.S. and then removed to Jordan and Syria, were there any protocols or policies in place that you believe governed how, when, and under what circumstances you were to share or not share information with the Mounties and CSIS?

MR. LIVERMORE: With respect to --
that's when he was detained in New York?
MS EDWARDH: Yes, from New York
right through to, let's say, December of 2002.
MR. LIVERMORE: Because the -- it
depends on the circumstances. I hate to sound so vague, but the normal unfolding of a consular case, one of the 700,000 , would not trigger any sharing whatsoever.

What would trigger sharing or cooperation might be a number of factors, and I can go into what the factors were in this case. But the generic factors are things like did the consular bureau wish to know anything that they weren't able to discover about a case on their own devices? In other words, did they need our assistance for some reason?

There are occasions that have happened in my tenure over the last three years where we have gone out to friendly agencies requesting information because we simply don't know things about a case.

The other situation that might trigger the exchange of information is a flow in the opposite direction, where the plight of a

Canadian has been brought to our attention by the information obtained by a friendly intelligence agency. And that has happened.

So the flow could be a number of directions. But that is what engages the exchange of information in the first place, rather than a formal protocol.

THE COMMISSIONER: Sorry to
interrupt, Ms Edwardh, but your question is directed towards sharing of consularly -- I'm not sure if that's a word -- obtained information.

MR. LIVERMORE: That's right. I
would have to defer to Gar Pardy on the actual consular information.

MS EDWARDH: All right. When you
are involved in transmitting big "I" intelligence, I take it it's clear to everyone that while you may transmit it back and forth consistent with your mandate that that by no means excludes direct communication by CSIS with other Foreign Intelligence agencies or by the RCMP with other policing entities?

MR. LIVERMORE: It depends on what the caveats are in the circumstances.

We have, for example, in the

Canadian government, a caveat which is called "Canadian eyes only". So if we have a report that has come in from a mission and it's characterized as Canadian eyes only, that would be the caveat placed upon it. And a agency in receipt of that information could not pass it on to anyone, any foreign agency.

We also have classification
restrictions appropriate to each document. And in the case, for example, of material passed on to the RCMP or CSIS, if it's classified as, let's say, secret or confidential, they are not free to pass that document on to people who do not have security clearances.

So, for example, with respect to
municipal police, they couldn't do that. They can, however, pass on other information that is not so classified.

So there are a number of rules and procedures that have been developed over the years to handle this type of information.

MS EDWARDH: So just help me
understand your role for a moment.
If there is a document that has
"Canadian eyes only" on it and it comes to your
attention that it is being transmitted to a foreign policing entity or a foreign intelligence entity, do you have some role to ensure that it not go, or does it then have to be reviewed and declassified?

MR. LIVERMORE: Well, what we also have is a -- it's more of a concept than an administrative procedure, but it's known as originator control. That is that the originating individual who puts that classification on is the person who is also responsible for controlling the course of that document.

If someone, for example, has created a document and that document is labelled "Canadian eyes only", then that is the way that document stays, and the originator is the one that determines whether or not it can be passed to foreign agencies. And it may be that someone in the chain of receipt could decide well, there's not much in this document that's too restricted, and another agency might well might it useful.

They would have to go back to the originator to seek approval and the vetting required to pass it on, in which case the classification would change.

In some cases you find documents where there's a mixture of things in a message. There might be something that is essentially unclassified or, as $I$ have suggested earlier, a large part of almost anything is unclassified. Somebody may want to sever the document and pass that on. But there are rules about how the originator is intended to control that process.

MS EDWARDH: And I take it when you are merely a conduit for the passage of information, the caveats or other things controlling the distribution or use of that information don't rest with DFAIT or ISD but rather the originating agency that's passing it on?

MR. LIVERMORE: By and large, yes.
It is possible for us to go back to those originators, as $I$ have suggested. But if those are the caveats and they hold, then we don't process them accordingly.

MS EDWARDH: Let me ask you this question: If in fact the Royal Canadian Mounted Police decided they would have liked to have assisted the Syrian Military Intelligence and
forward information to them in aid of the Syrian investigation, would you, sir, have any view of whether it is within the mandate of the Department of Foreign Affairs to say, "Whoa, we don't want to send this kind of information into a system where we have no control about how it's going to be used or what inferences are going to be drawn, or whether the person could be abused in the process of an interrogation"?

MR. LIVERMORE: I can describe the generic situation. It's certainly within the power of the head of mission, as the manager of Canadian policy abroad, to have a role in that situation.

Whether it comes to my attention or not depends a bit on the nature of the information. For instance, we have Mutual Legal Assistance Treaties with a number of countries. We have active cooperation. That tends to go on directly between the RCMP and those countries as a seamless matter of cooperation. We have absolutely no role in that one way or another.

As I say, the idea is it comes together.

There's also -- and I don't want
to belabour this point. But there is the concept of the whole-of-government approach, which is basically that the government should act together as a coherent whole.

MS EDWARDH: And so if I
understood what you just said, sir, and indeed following up from a question that was asked by Mr. Cavalluzzo, the ambassador in Damascus expects to have notice that other governmental or persons coming from the RCMP or CSIS or whatever, are landing in Damascus, the ambassador expects, first of all, to be notified of that fact? MR. LIVERMORE: Yes, that would be normal.

MS EDWARDH: And the ambassador expects to have some control, in the loose sense, in particular being in a position to provide direction, if necessary? MR. LIVERMORE: Yes. MS EDWARDH: So, for example, if the RCMP or CSIS had information that they wanted to pass on to Syrian Military Intelligence, it's well within the ambassador's bailiwick to say, "I'm concerned about you doing that"?

MR. LIVERMORE: It is within the
ambassador's bailiwick, indeed, to do that. A lot of it would depend upon the mandate of any agency in acting abroad. I can't speak, of course, about the specific example that you are saying --

MS EDWARDH: I wasn't using a
specific example. I wish I could.
MR. LIVERMORE: You are speaking
at least of the Syrian case.
I can speak, for example, of cases
where Ministers have directed somebody to do something. It's a bit awkward for the ambassador concerned to say that he would choose to overrule a Minister who has decided on something.

So there is a consultative framework that we try to operate in to avoid these types of problems.

MS EDWARDH: Did you, sir, ever
become aware, during the course of your
involvement with the Arar matter, of any steps taken by the RCMP or CSIS to provide information or any kind of investigative brief about Mr. Arar to Syrian Military Intelligence?

MR. LIVERMORE: I am trying to
recall each case with respect to CSIS and the RCMP.
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MS EDWARDH: I am talking about
Mr. Arar.
MR. LIVERMORE: Yes, yes. MS EDWARDH: I don't want to go
beyond that.
MR. LIVERMORE: Yes, yes. I am
trying to feel my way through national security confidentiality considerations which govern at least one situation, at least in part.

If your question is information
going to the Syrian side, the answer is no.
MS EDWARDH: From either entity?
MR. LIVERMORE: You mean from the
Syrian side --
MS EDWARDH: No, no.
MR. LIVERMORE: Oh, CSIS/RCMP.
MS EDWARDH: Yes.
MR. LIVERMORE: No, no.
MS EDWARDH: Are you aware, sir,
that an offer was made to provide that information? And $I$ would like to know where in the line it was that it got stopped so that no information was provided.

MR. LIVERMORE: I --
MS McISAAC: I am going to have to
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ask Ms Edwardh to take the witness to the particular document or reference that she is referring to.

MS EDWARDH: Then $I$ will come back
to it. I'm sorry, I don't have it handy. I will come back to it after the break.

THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
MS EDWARDH: Now one of the odd things about some of the consular notes -- and we can take a look at them. The first one doesn't help much because it's written by --

THE COMMISSIONER: Which tab?
MS EDWARDH: I'm sorry, that's tab 123. That's written by the ambassador.

But if we jump to some of the others, maybe we could look at tab 507 for some comparison, where Mr. Martel is writing on the 507 tab. And perhaps you could explain this.

Under 507, it's the third page. Just get those two out.

You see under tab 507 that Leo Martel drafts the consular note, which is dated August 14th, 2003. Do you see that?

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes, I see that,
yes.

MS EDWARDH: And there's an indication below that that it is approved by the ambassador.

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: And that's unusual,
is it not?
MR. LIVERMORE: Not particularly,
no.
MS EDWARDH: Why does the ambassador approve what Mr. Martel is reporting back to Ottawa?

MR. LIVERMORE: Usually with
outgoing messages there is -- this is not a consistent pattern across the department, so you find it varies enormously case to case. But there is a line about somebody who drafted the document. If that person wishes to consult somebody else in the embassy, they consult somebody, and then you get an approval line. Usually it's the boss who approves the work of the other person in the embassy.

So this is simply a normal occasion where the ambassador would have approved the message.

MS EDWARDH: And we can look
through the other consular notes, but I take it you will agree with me that the ambassador is only present physically for the first consular visit -I'm sorry, there are two?

MR. CAVALLUZZO: The ambassador is
only present on April the $22 n$.
The confusion is the first
document you are looking at is just a meeting between the ambassador and the general.

MS EDWARDH: Thank you very much.
I appreciate your assistance, Mr. Cavalluzzo. So if the ambassador is there on only one visit, most of the notes are approved, most of the consular notes are approved by the ambassador in respect of Mr. Arar's case.

So let me go back to the question
again. Since the ambassador is not present for most of these consular visits, why would Mr. Martel's record of his consular visits have to go through or go through the ambassador?

MR. LIVERMORE: I think you would
have to ask Ambassador Pillarella that.
I made a point when $I$ was in Guatemala of approving most messages that went to Ottawa. That was just my practice. And that's
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the practice that $I$ asked for at the embassy, and that's the one that obtained for the three years that $I$ was in Guatemala.

MS EDWARDH: We can agree, can we
not, that --
MR. CAVALLUZZO: If it would save some time, you will hear that frequently Mr. Martel will put in his reports information from Mr. Pillarella, and as a result of that Mr. Pillarella often approved these reports. MS EDWARDH: Thank you very much. If I could just have your indulgence, Mr. Commissioner. THE COMMISSIONER: Sure. I'm easy as to how long we sit before a break, but any time you want a break, just let me know.

MS EDWARDH: Thank you very much. If I could go back to tab 507, interestingly enough this consular note is classified as secret.

Would that be the stature of most consular notes? I take it this is unusual. MR. LIVERMORE: Well, the ones -as we were indicating with respect to the 700,000 , if you want to characterize it like that, or the

CAMANT system itself is unclassified. It's on the SIGNET "D" system. So anything that goes into CAMANT automatically is unclassified.

Most of what -- and there are
examples $I$ believe before us.
Anything that one wanted to
classify, they had to use the C4 system. So that largely explains the difference.

MS EDWARDH: Yes. And my
question, though, is a little different, Mr. Livermore.

As a record of a consular visit, it's fair to say that most consular visits are not put into the documents --

MR. LIVERMORE: NO.
MS EDWARDH: -- that have to
acquire the stature of secret?
MR. LIVERMORE: No. That is
absolutely correct.
MS EDWARDH: And it is because in
fact that this deals with Mr. Arar and the alleged acts or allegations of terrorist involvement?

MR. LIVERMORE: Well, that and as
well we wanted to make sure that we were safeguarding completely our thinking vis-à-vis
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what the Syrian authorities may have been thinking and what others might have been thinking at the same time. And the way you safeguard that is to make it a classified document and to send it via a secure communication system.

MS EDWARDH: And that, of course, protects you from Syrian ears, as best as you --

MR. LIVERMORE: One hopes.
MS EDWARDH: One hopes.
Now, in this document, 507, there
is a discussion about a number of things that we will leave to others, but there is a discussion about the proposed trial and its form, and then there is a redaction.

I'm going to just ask you this: Without telling us anything about what the contents of the redaction is, does that reflect a private meeting, as you recall, with General $K$ ?

MR. LIVERMORE: I don't recall one way or the other.

MS EDWARDH: Okay.
Then we'll go back, if $I$ could, and perhaps -- in order to get this better organized, Mr. Commissioner, can $I$ just ask you, if it is not a burden, if we could take our break
now.
THE COMMISSIONER: Sure,
absolutely.
MS EDWARDH: And that will allow
me to organize these documents a little better.
THE COMMISSIONER: We'll rise for
15 minutes.
THE REGISTRAR: Please stand.
--- Upon recessing at 3:20 p.m. /
Suspension à 15 h 20
--- Upon resuming at 3:38 p.m. /
Reprise à 3 h 38
MS EDWARDH: Thank you,
Mr. Commissioner.
If $I$ could, before we turn to some
specific notes, I'd like you to look at tab 482,
Mr. Livermore, and --
MR. LIVERMORE: Excuse me? Is it
482?
MS EDWARDH: Four eight two, yes. MR. LIVERMORE: Four eight two.

Thank you.
MS EDWARDH: Yes.
And can you describe this
document? It obviously comes from Mr. Saunders,
is that correct?

MR. LIVERMORE: The --
MS EDWARDH: Or is it to

Mr. Saunders?

MR. LIVERMORE: The formatting of
this, the way it comes out on the system, is a bit odd. Saunders would have been the drafting officer.

MS EDWARDH: Okay.
MR. LIVERMORE: And normally this
would have been at the top right-hand side of the document, and it's -- the "To" and the "From" things have been left out, but I would imagine that it's to USS, to the Deputy Minister of Foreign Affairs.

MS EDWARDH: Is this a document which in similar form actually made it from Mr. Saunders' desk on up through the department?

Do you have any reason to believe it did not go forward?

MR. LIVERMORE: BOY. I know that
there was a document that went forward at some point, but $I$ don't think this was the document.

MS EDWARDH: All right. It does
as a document, though, express some concerns.

I guess it's hard to capture it, there's a lot of black, but let me just read a couple lines and see if we can capture those concerns.
"On the Arar case... is
concerned about the recent comment by the Solicitor General about "rogue elements" in the RCMP passing intelligence to the Americans about Arar which led to his arrest in New York and deportation to Syria. The RCMP has worked closely... since September 11, 2001 to detect and deter further terrorist operations in North America. To that end there is full sharing of information about suspected terrorists."

Now, let me just talk about my interpretation of that statement -- and it's one I think that the Solicitor General made in the House as well and indeed $I$ think it's been made
elsewhere -- but that post-9/11 there was a full sharing of either criminal intelligence or national security intelligence in relation to possible terrorist actions, and that by full sharing -- and I'm going to suggest to you, sir -that everyone was well aware that it was not caveated, there were no caveats on it?

MR. LIVERMORE: I can't tell you
about RCMP information. I can say -- I mean, it's not because it's national security confidentiality, I simply don't know.

But $I$ can say that the notion in this document, that there is full sharing of information, is a phrase that you see repeated again and again because, as i indicated earlier, post-9/11, the impetus was on a lot of people in the Canadian government to ensure that patterns of established silos, patterns of absence of sharing of information ended, and that there was a full sharing of appropriate information to reach the appropriate people at the right time.

MS EDWARDH: Right. And in order
to fully share information to reach the right people at the right time -- I'm going to add one other phrase -- "and to permit them to use it in a
way they may need to" was part of the full sharing?

MR. LIVERMORE: I couldn't tell
you that about the RCMP, no.
MS EDWARDH: How about your own division?

MR. LIVERMORE: Well, $I$ can tell
you that the reason that we share -- I can give you some examples, if you like. But we get information passed to us which, by its very nature, is always rather general and always rather tantalizingly unsatisfactory.

But it's provided to us and most of it you would have to characterize as threat information, and we would use that to advise our missions abroad about the safety and security of Canadians, for example.

So, yes, they pass us the
information. It tends -- it is caveated in this sense. Most of the information we receive is is caveated. It's caveated in terms of classification. It's caveated in terms, for example, of who, which nationalities can have access to it.

We are then free, as Foreign
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Affairs, that is, to apply ourselves to use that information to protect Canadian information.

So that's the practical way that
we use it.
MS EDWARDH: I'm sorry, you
said -- maybe you misspoke yourself -- you're allowed to use that information to protect Canadian information. I think you meant Canadian property or persons --

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: -- or whatever?
MR. LIVERMORE: I'm sorry, yes.
MS EDWARDH: So, if you had some
tantalizing general, but nonetheless caveated, information that indicated a threat to the offices of the Consul General in New York, and it was appropriate to hand that on to policing authorities promptly in the U.S. in order that they might take steps to provide adequate protection to that facility and, if necessary, get a search warrant or get a wiretap, you wouldn't, for one second, hesitate to pass it on, would you, sir?

MR. LIVERMORE: Well, what we would do -- your latter comment is absolutely
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right. What we generally do is receive that information, pass it to the mission, and then ask the mission to take appropriate action with respect to that information.

But as $I$ say, the normal type of information that we get is tantalizingly vague. It's very rarely specific.

MS EDWARDH: Right. But in the circumstances where the mission felt it was appropriate to pass it on to the New York police department or the FBI, they would certainly have authority to do it?

MR. LIVERMORE: Well, again, it doesn't lend itself to a categorical answer. I'm sorry.

What normally, in fact ironically, most of the information comes from other agencies outside of Canada.

So in a sense, if you are talking about a threat to Canadian interest in New York, it may well be that American security authorities already knew about it. They were simply informing us --

MS EDWARDH: But can you stick with the fact pattern of you becoming aware of a
threat to Canadian persons and property in New York City, and if that information is caveated, whether or not if the threat were imminent, you just said pass it to the mission, and you would expect them, would you not, to provide it to the authorities if it were the kind of information that might be used to protect the people and the property?

MR. LIVERMORE: What we would expect them to do would be to take that information and act upon it without necessarily passing the information directly. They would probably not, because of the caveat, be able to simply pass it on to local authorities. They would have to respect the caveat.

But they could well -- thinking of
an example, let's say that someone claimed there was a car bomb outside of our consulate general in New York, that material might be classified secret or top secret.

We would not be able to divulge that information. But on the other hand we would be able to go to the New York Police and say, "We have reason to suspect that there will be a threat against the building and we have reason to suspect
that it might come as a result of a vehicle-borne device, and what we suggest is that we block off the street or that we put up barriers because that seems to be the type of threat that we're confronting".

So there's a fine line between divulging the exact information, which we're prohibited from doing, and giving the local people enough that they take seriously the type of threat that we face.

MS EDWARDH: Let me test your hypothesis one more time.

Let's suppose that the information
you had came from a CSIS wiretap, and it allowed one to draw an inference as to the possible identity of the bomber or the person who had put the bomb in the car and that the time frame for which that bomb might be placed in the vehicle was between two to four days.

Is it your view, sir, that the identity of the prospective bomber would not be handed off to the NYPD or to the FBI?

MR. LIVERMORE: Well, that's
getting down a speculative trail that I'm a little reluctant to follow in the sense that you're
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getting into an area where CSIS would be better positioned to speak about their cooperation with American authorities which would be relevant.

They, of course, are constrained.
We don't receive from CSIS, necessarily, information of that order. We don't -- we aren't free to pass on to others information that is caveated by CSIS, so it's a bit fraught with speculation. MS EDWARDH: Well, it would seem to me that what you're saying is by no stretch of the imagination could we say that there's a full sharing. Because if you can't hand on the specifics and to permit the American authorities to use the information as they see -- I don't want to say "as they see fit", but as is appropriate to the occasion and sense of urgency, then there is not, in the ordinary course -- we'll leave aside Mr. Arar -- there is not, in the ordinary course, full sharing of information for the purposes of policing and intelligence?

MR. LIVERMORE: I think -- let me give you, if $I$ could, my general take on this. MS EDWARDH: Sure.

MR. LIVERMORE: I can't speak for
the RCMP and CSIS. I'm afraid that they would have to speak about what their full sharing is.

I don't think there's a country in the world that exercises what $I$ would calling full sharing. We have something I described earlier as Canadian eyes only. These are our innermost opinions. These are the assessments that we have about situations. We have information that comes to us of a certain sensitivity that they're safeguarded among Canadians.

So the full sharing is the ideal
world where we would pass to others not necessarily 100 percent of the facts, or not necessarily -- but at least enough to be able to share the patterns or to be able to have the principle accepted that we are engaged in their security as well as our own.

MS EDWARDH: All right. Let me turn the coin over.

At tab 484, and I hear your answer, at tab 484, there's another document I would like to ask you about. It appears to be signed off by Mr. Heatherington?

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: And it is sent to the
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Deputy Minister, is that correct?
MR. LIVERMORE: It is, yes.
MS EDWARDH: And it is sent
through you to the Deputy Minister?
MR. LIVERMORE: It is, yes.
MS EDWARDH: And someone has
called or gotten in touch with you?
MR. LIVERMORE: I think it is a --
what $I$ think it is is a call by someone on the Deputy Minister. That's what $I$ would surmise that it is, because it says -- in the first line, I think it says, "be calling on you at 10:00". If I think -- if that's part of the same message.

MS EDWARDH: And is there an
effort -- I mean, perhaps it doesn't come out of this document as clearly as $I$ thought it did -but is there an effort to assure those in the United States that the events involving Mr. Arar will not impede the flow of information that exists? Are you aware of that occurring?

MR. LIVERMORE: I can't say with
certainty what is concluded in this memo.
MS EDWARDH: All right. Then go
back to 482. This is in the context of a discussion of Mr. Arar, and if you go to the
fourth paragraph, it says:
"On this point you could
reassure... that we understand the value of
information-sharing and will
do our utmost to keep
channels open."
MR. LIVERMORE: Yeah.
MS EDWARDH: And that's not the
RCMP speaking or CSIS speaking --
MR. LIVERMORE: That's right.
MS EDWARDH: -- that's DFAIT and
ISD and ISI?
MR. LIVERMORE: That is Foreign Affairs in general, yes.

MS EDWARDH: And so Foreign
Affairs was concerned, $I$ take it, that the Arar case might close, impede, or shut down whatever free flow of information there was. Is that fair?

MR. LIVERMORE: I think you could
say that we were concerned that the sharing of information might somehow be compromised. I'm not sure that we had thought through how fully that might be compromised, but there was a suspicion that could happen, yes.

MS EDWARDH: And if you go to
tab 547 -- which means, I'm sorry, you have to go to another volume. It will be in volume 6 .
--- Pause
This is a memorandum from
Mr. Saunders, who is one of the policy analysts in the department?

MR. LIVERMORE: That is right,
yes.
MS EDWARDH: And it is sent to --
now, is that the Deputy Minister again?
MR. LIVERMORE: That is the
Assistant Deputy Minister, Jim Wright.
MS EDWARDH: Right. And it goes
through your office again?
MR. LIVERMORE: Yes, although I'm not certain that this was sent because it doesn't have a signature and $I$ don't see my initial through my acronym.

MS EDWARDH: So I take it, sir -well, let's see if it's the kind of concern and sentiment that might have been expressed in other language or expressed more felicitously by someone else. And there are two aspects of this that are interesting.

$$
\text { At paragraph 3, there is a }
$$

reference to the Deputy Commissioner Loepkky. See
that?

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: And he is concerned
you haven't told him quickly enough about the October 3 consular visit. Do you see that?

MR. LIVERMORE: That's right, yes.
MS EDWARDH: He's dead wrong. But nonetheless, he wasn't satisfied with the flow of information. Is that fair?

MR. LIVERMORE: That is fair. MS EDWARDH: It's interesting that he expected to know that, but nonetheless, we've canvassed that.

And you go on and say:
"We shared most of the
information we had on this case with our RCMP Liaison Officer who passed it on to his headquarters."

MR. LIVERMORE: Mm-hmm.
MS EDWARDH: So I take it it's
fair to say that there was a full, or almost full, sharing of information that went right up to
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headquarters?
MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: All right.
"We also met with a group of RCMP and CSIS officers on October 21 to discuss the case."

And you go on.
Do you want to take a moment to
read it? I don't want to take you through the whole memo.

But what's at concern here is also the maintenance of lines of communication with the RCMP. They're concerned they didn't have any information. You assured them in effect that they did. But what they're concerned about is that there's a free flow for them.

MR. LIVERMORE: My recollection is slightly different, although $I$ wouldn't quarrel completely with what you're saying.

My recollection of that is that at some point Deputy Commissioner Loeppky saw Jim Wright and made the observation which is contained in the first part of this memo, namely, that the RCMP had not known until two weeks ago that a
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consular official had visited New York. Now, he said this is what he understood was the case.

I think Don Saunders was in that meeting, if $I$ recall correctly, and Don Saunders came back and said, "Well, this isn't the case because, in fact, we had shared this information with the RCMP as the case developed."

Now, it could have been the
case -- and this would have to be addressed I think by the RCMP -- it could have been the case that Mr. Loeppky didn't get the information for whatever reason, but certainly we had passed the information to the RCMP.

So it was not a case that he was especially worried about the system; he was more concerned about that one particular incident and why he had -- he personally had not known it at the time.

MS EDWARDH: Well, before you just conclude your thought on that, Mr. Livermore, take a look at the last paragraph in the letter.
"Our letter would be designed
to be reassuring about
information-sharing with the
RCMP and also to assure them
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in writing that we are not attributing blame to them for Arar's deportation to Syria."

So in effect it's not simply about
this one incident, it's about assuring the Mounties that they can have some confidence in the information-sharing agreement beyond Arar, is it not?

MR. LIVERMORE: Not -- I wouldn't put it that strongly, but what the letter -- now my recollection is this not -- it was either not sent or not -- or we didn't act on it, but that doesn't matter, because it was drafted anyways.

My recollection is that what we had -- what that sentence was designed to address was, at no point in this situation had we denied to the RCMP any information which was relevant to them. At no point. And we wanted to assure Garry Loeppky that that was the case, and we were going to put that -- we had no trouble putting that in writing.

MS EDWARDH: Let me then flip the coin all the way around.

Can you agree with me,
Mr. Livermore, that Mr. Arar's case raises
troubling issues?

MR. LIVERMORE: It certainly
raises troubling issues to me, yes.
MS EDWARDH: And do you know whether or not after everyone had an opportunity to absorb what had happened, or at the best we know what happened, and reflect upon it, there has been any change in policy in ISD or ISI about the free flow of information and the role of caveats?

MR. LIVERMORE: No, there has not been any change in information-sharing because we had been confident from the initiation that the information-sharing was completely appropriate and above-board.

When I indicate that $I$ find this case troubling, I don't find it troubling from the Canadian point of view at all. I find it extremely troubling from the point of view of the American government. And that has always been my take on the situation.

MS EDWARDH: Can I just stop you
and go back to the "we"?
MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: You said -- I'm not
sure $I$ can duplicate your answer. But you said
you have no trouble with the information we shared, and $I$ just want to make sure $I$ understand who the "we" is.

Is the "we" the Department of
Foreign Affairs and International Trade, or is the "we" to the extent you know it was shared by the policing, intelligence and departmental officials, in your view, was appropriate?

MR. LIVERMORE: The way that we in Foreign Affairs, in the Foreign Affairs part that I'm responsible for, namely the Bureau of Security and Intelligence, the way that we shared information with the agencies of the Canadian government that we deal with.

MS EDWARDH: And do you know that when you were sharing that information with the RCMP, or CSIS, or other parts of the Department of Foreign Affairs, do you know whether anyone passed that information on to a foreign entity, such as the United States?

MR. LIVERMORE: There's no way
that $I$ could know that. Absolutely no way.
MS EDWARDH: Certainly, sir, you didn't, I take it?

MR. LIVERMORE: No.

MS EDWARDH: I can't resist asking you, as someone who has worked in the field that you have worked on so long, what it is that you find troubling about the conduct of the U.S. government.

You have obviously had an
opportunity to reflect upon it and it would, I think, be an omission for me not to invite you to comment for the Commission.

MR. LIVERMORE: I would be glad
to. I find troubling the entire course of activity that the American government has embarked upon since about 2001 with respect to what they call extraordinary rendition, a practice about which we knew absolutely nothing and about which we have never been ever informed by the American government.

I find particularly troubling that the American government would hold an administrative tribunal in the middle of the night and deport someone back to his native country where they must have known that it was difficult, to say the least, for him.

I find all these things troubling.
I have no difficulty at all with that. It is
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exceptionally troubling.
MS EDWARDH: And obviously you would find, given your human rights history, it equally troubling for someone to be detained without charge or access to counsel, whether that be in the United States or Syria?

MR. LIVERMORE: I would. I must say that $I$ am also realistic about some of these situations; that $I$ have dealt with situations where people have been denied counsel and denied trial where things had turned out all right with respect to other countries. And I am not speaking here about the United States, nor am I speaking about Syria.

But $I$ find it troubling that there are countries in the world that do not obey the rather general provisions of the Vienna Convention on consular relations.

MS EDWARDH: And certainly you became aware that while ultimately the persistence of Ms Girvan got her into the MDC, I take it you are aware that not only was Mr. Arar a person held in the MDC but so were other persons with -either landed immigrants or Canadians who were not in a position to have the American authorities
contact consular affairs and notify them?
MR. LIVERMORE: I must say that I did not really become aware of this until fairly well into the incident in question with respect to Mr. Arar alone. So I am not aware of other incidents.

MS EDWARDH: Well, then, we will
leave that until Mr. Pardy testifies.
My friend took you to the note, and we can review it. I believe it's 239 if you need some assistance preparing Minister Graham -it's the wrong note.

Maybe 439.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: 288 .
MS EDWARDH: It is 288? Thank you, Mr. Cavalluzzo. I will just have you move over here.
And we have the messages, the
summary I guess reflected at -- yes, tab 288 . I
am sorry, it's the last point, and you have been
referred to it before.
I want to ask you and have you
reflect upon it. Certainly for the Minister to
have to get on the telephone and to address the
issue in order to correct a misimpression is a
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matter of some significance.
Can you agree with that?
MR. LIVERMORE: I would say that that's his job. I don't find this extraordinary, that we find ourselves at times in a situation where a government comes to us and they are on the wrong wavelength as far as what we think or what we are supposed to be doing, and we have to brief our Minister and say, "Please disabuse them of the following."

That's the whole point of talking points, is to put before the Minister the need to do this.

MS EDWARDH: And he is speaking for the nation at that point, nation to nation.

MR. LIVERMORE: He is speaking as the spokesperson of Canada.

MS EDWARDH: And he is speaking to a person specifically designated to receive the communications on behalf of the Government of Syria?

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: And clearly in order
to disabuse his counterpart of this wrong impression, $I$ would like to ask you to just
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reflect back on where do you suppose, and how do you suppose, that wrong impression was delivered to the Syrian government?

MR. LIVERMORE: I can't say that I have a clear answer to that.

MS EDWARDH: Do you have less than
a clear answer?
MR. LIVERMORE: I have almost no
answer to that. I do know that somewhere along the way the Syrian government expressed the view -- and I am not even sure who expressed it when and $I$ am not even certain what authority, in other words the Foreign Ministry or somebody else, expressed the view that we do not wish to see Mr. Arar return to Canada.

MS EDWARDH: And the "we" was that
somebody in Canada --
MR. LIVERMORE: "We" was the
generic we, the "we". And each time that this was expressed, we went back with an expeditious reply basically along the same lines as this little bullet from Mr. Graham.

MS EDWARDH: So then perhaps $I$ was mistaken. I had thought, sir, that on January 15th, 2003, when this message was being sent by
the Minister of Foreign Affairs in Canada to his counterpart in Syria, this was the only occasion where the Minister had to disabuse his counterpart that it was not the case, that Canada wasn't clear about this, that they did wish to see Mr. Arar returned?

MR. LIVERMORE: I can't be certain as to how many times Mr. Graham spoke to people on this, or to whom.

MS EDWARDH: You used just a
moment or two ago an expression which led me to believe there were at least a couple of occasions that this message had to be brought home to the Syrians.

MR. LIVERMORE: I think, in fact,
there were. I can't be sure about it. But we developed this generic talking point, and that became the one that we put to Mr. Graham.

MS EDWARDH: And to the best of your recollection, it was a matter he had to say at least on more than one occasion?

MR. LIVERMORE: Well, to the best of my recollection, yes, but $I$ can't be confident too much in that recollection.

MS EDWARDH: All you can do is
give us your best recollection, Mr. Livermore.
Sir, I want to ask you whether or not you had any specific role in working to redact the documents that have been made available to the Commission of Inquiry or through Access?

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes, I do have a role.

MS EDWARDH: And without
disclosing any secrets, can you tell us what your role was?

MR. LIVERMORE: Well, the normal
line of authority in Foreign Affairs in general resides at the directorate level, and normally we would have an individual who was in charge of redaction of documents for privacy reasons or for other reasons. Those documents would be redacted in preliminary fashion and they would go up to a director for approval, and then some of those documents would come to me for redaction -- not for the physical act of redaction but for the approval process.

MS EDWARDH: Right. And I just want to draw to your attention what I consider to be a slightly troubling, at least what appears to be, dialogue about the redaction process.
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You start with tab 480. So I want
to put the two tabs together, 480 and 481.
We have both these documents. So
the issue of information not being put in the public domain does not arise.

But I want you to take a look at
481. You will observe at page 2 that the two
numbered paragraphs are redacted or removed -there's no black there. It's 481, page 2, paragraphs 5 and 6.

Do you see that?
MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: The reason for the removal is carefully set out: that they have been deleted as they do not relate to the issue of the RCMP's involvement in the Arar case.

Do you have that,
Mr. Commissioner?
THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, I do.
MS EDWARDH: Then if you go back to the same document, just in the tab earlier, at 480, you see that unlike the document at 481 number 6 is left in.

Do you see?
MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.

MS McISAAC: It's not the same
document. One is a draft. The second one is a draft of the first one. It is not signed.

MS EDWARDH: Well, you help me,
sir, because I would have thought they were documents. I appreciate that one is signed and the other is not signed.

MR. LIVERMORE: One I think is an
earlier version of whatever was eventually
produced. I would imagine it is a draft. 481 is the draft because it's not signed and there are no little marks between the ISD and A/MJW which would be the hallmark of a memo that had gone up.

MS EDWARDH: Can $I$ just stop you?
I am sorry to interrupt.
You have made this reference a
couple of times, little marks between the ISD. I don't know what you are referring to.

MR. LIVERMORE: You see in the
draft, 481, it says USS at the top?
MS EDWARDH: Yes.
MR. LIVERMORE: And then it says
"(through ISD and A/MJW)".
MS EDWARDH: Yes.
MR. LIVERMORE: When a memo goes
forward, we initial through those.
ISD, for example, I would put an "L" through ISD, and that would be the sign that I have approved this on the way up.

MJW would put his initial there.
I think $I$ was acting MJW at the time, but there would be another mark. It would dignify it to say it was a legible initial, but that's what it is supposed to be.

And then the bottom of the memo would have had to be signed.

And then the other one, you see that it is signed, or $I$ think that's a signature.

MS EDWARDH: Yes.
MR. LIVERMORE: And then I have initialled both, yes. I put my "L" through the ISD and A/MJW. What the thing above the USS means is that USS has received it and seen it and has marked it back to us, and I guess it's marked back for file in this case.

MS EDWARDH: So what that does, I take it, is leave open the suggestion that it is possible that the six listed in the draft is different from the six that is not redacted in the memorandum that is actually forwarded up the line?

MR. LIVERMORE: The two documents are slightly different in length, not much, but slightly. I am not sure what would have been included or left out in the final.

MS EDWARDH: Now I would like to turn to tab 15 of the volume of PCO documents.

THE COMMISSIONER: What number exhibit is that?

MS MCISAAC: I think it's C-48.
MS EDWARDH: Is it $\mathrm{C}-49$ ? $\mathrm{P}-48$.
It goes into a reference to the DFAIT deck, and without telling me any tales out of school, if you could, sir, just tell me what is a deck?

MR. LIVERMORE: A deck is a paper copy, so you end up with a paper, sheets of paper like this, prepared on PowerPoint basically, that is written usually sideways. It has big titles and big points made upon it.

And usually -- I don't want to comment adversely upon modern techniques of management, but a deck is what passes for memos. You present a deck and the whole story is laid out, and it's often a multicolour thing that people take you through.

Usually they contain only points and then whoever is speaking to that deck, as we call it, fills in the text.

MS EDWARDH: Thank you. I didn't have a clue what that was.

I am interested in the subject
line. It says: "DFAIT deck, consular services related to terrorist cases".

I want to ask you some general questions, if $I$ could.

Is it your understanding, sir, that beyond the information-sharing that you have already described, that once there is an allegation in respect of a person and their relationship to a terrorist case, once that allegation is made, they are not entitled to access or have delivered to them the consular services generally held out to Canadians?

MR. LIVERMORE: No, that is absolutely not true. But $I$ think, as the expert on consular service, I would have to defer to Gar Pardy on that.

MS EDWARDH: Is that an answer which says that beyond taking the view that it's not correct to say there is differential provision
of services, you don't want to go any further and the matter should be left to Mr. Pardy to comment on?

MR. LIVERMORE: No, I wouldn't
even characterize it as a differential of
services. What $I$ would characterize it -- and I think, as $I$ recall correctly, what the deck probably referred to was how does the interdepartmental process work vis-à-vis these cases? It's not the consular services that are affected one way or the other.

But, again, $I$ think that's
something that Mr. Pardy would be the expert on. MS EDWARDH: Do you recall there being a dispute as to the content of consular services and the privacy of consular services if there was an allegation in respect of terrorism? MR. LIVERMORE: My recollection is that privacy was never a particular concern. I think you have seen one document in which Garry Loeppky drew a conclusion that he wasn't aware of a certain piece of information at a particular time.

My recollection of this deck was to make sure that people, appropriate people, knew
at appropriate times as to what was going on.
MS EDWARDH: So what $I$ take from
your comment, sir, is in cases where there is an allegation that a person is involved in terrorist actions, there is a chain or flow of information that has been set out in the deck?

MR. LIVERMORE: I am trying to
recall exactly what conclusion the deck reached without breaching the confidentiality of the deck itself.

But I think it's fair to say the deck did not lay out procedures. The deck laid out a broad rule, and that broad rule was consultation.

MS EDWARDH: So in effect it lays out the rule of information-sharing?

MR. LIVERMORE: "Rule" would probably be too firm a word for what was arrived at.

MS EDWARDH: A commitment, then, to the flow of information to relevant partners outside of DFAIT, if necessary?

MR. LIVERMORE: That would probably be fair.

MS EDWARDH: And in accordance
with your understanding of the exceptions in, for example, consular visits, that flow of information could well go to both the RCMP as well as to CSIS? MR. LIVERMORE: It could, yes. MS EDWARDH: I would like then to turn, if $I$ could, to another document found in the PCO documents, and it is at tab 49.

In particular, you will see first
of all at page 1 there is a reference to a telephone conversation between Gerry Deneault -do you see that, sir?

It's under Comments. I should probably let you describe the document before I try to interpret it. It is probably faster.

This is a fax transmission?
MR. LIVERMORE: Yes, it is a fax transmission, yes.

MS EDWARDH: And there are certain
comments recorded in the body of it?
MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: It is sent by the Security and Intelligence Secretariat?

MR. LIVERMORE: It's sent by, I think, the office of Gerry Deneault who at the time would have been, if $I$ am not mistaken, Director of Security Operations in the Privy Council Office.

MS EDWARDH: And he is referencing a telephone conversation. Is that correct?

MR. LIVERMORE: I think what is happening is that someone in Mr. Deneault's office, namely Guy St. Martin, is sending to Glen Mather this fax. I think that is what is going on. The fax is a bit busy.

But it is describing then below that Gerry Deneault and Scott Heatherington have apparently spoken and the date, November 10 th, and then it describes what the situation is.

MS EDWARDH: And the situation is that the government has concerns about an alleged leak of information reported in the Ottawa Citizen?

MR. LIVERMORE: That's right.
MS EDWARDH: And the Vancouver Sun?

MR. LIVERMORE: That's right.
MS EDWARDH: And, of course, they are directing an administrative inquiry in the department?

MR. LIVERMORE: That's right.

MS EDWARDH: In respect of the information disclosed in those articles?

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: And the document or
the article of interest that has raised the need for an administrative inquiry is the article by Juliet O'Neill. We have a published version attached in this tab. I think we don't need to worry about the Vancouver $S$ un one, but it is just behind there. Okay?

MR. LIVERMORE: That's right.
MS EDWARDH: First of all I want
to ask you: In directing an administrative
inquiry into the Department of Foreign Affairs to look at how this information became available, can we agree, sir, that this is the kind of information, as set out in the article, generally the type of information that would have been in your files?

MR. LIVERMORE: If you mean
classified information relating to the case, yes. MS EDWARDH: And indeed classified information of the kind that is described here -leave aside the particular facts -- of an admission, or an interrogation, or a statement
made by Mr. Arar to Syrian Military Intelligence. That would have been in your files, because Mr. Pillarella brought it back.

MR. LIVERMORE: I am just trying
to recall the specifics of the case.
If this related to -- again, I
have to be speculative because I haven't read recently the O'Neill article. But if it related to that material that Ambassador Pillarella brought back, then indeed we would have the results of that in our file, yes.

MS EDWARDH: Leaving aside any final conclusion, one of the things Ms O'Neill says in her article -- and $I$ will take you about halfway down the page. This is in the Ottawa Citizen.

One of the leaked documents is about what Mr. Arar allegedly told Syrian Military Intelligence officials during the first few weeks of his incarceration.

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: For example, when you got the Pillarella memo, either the first one or if any others came, were you able to determine the dates of Mr. Arar's interrogation so as to
determine that in fact it fell within the first two weeks of his detention in Syria?

MR. LIVERMORE: I certainly was not able to determine that, no.

MS EDWARDH: And do you know whether the RCMP shared with you all the information that they received from Syrian Military Intelligence?

MS McISAAC: Mr. Commissioner, that assumes that the RCMP received information.

I think we are getting into a difficult area here, and $I$ would ask that my friend stop this line of questioning or rearrange the line of questioning slightly.

MS EDWARDH: Were you aware, sir, of whether the RCMP received any material from Syrian Military Intelligence independently of the material you provided?

MS McISAAC: Again, I am going to have to object to that question, sir, because we are claiming national security confidentiality with respect to the situation of the RCMP, or indeed any other agency with respect to Syria.

THE COMMISSIONER: Well, that may be more broadly than what your claim is. What you
are claiming here is national security confidentiality for any information that Syria may have provided to the RCMP or another agency?

MS McISAAC: That's correct, sir.
THE COMMISSIONER: That's what I
understand is your claim. I am not ruling on
that. I am just saying --
MS EDWARDH: Other than to the Ambassador, surely.

THE COMMISSIONER: We have seen that.

MS McISAAC: Yes, that's correct.
And early on in the proceedings we reached an agreement with Commission counsel with the intervention of the amicus with respect to the particular information that Mr. Pillarella brought back, because that had been confirmed I believe independently to, $I$ believe, Ms Catterall and perhaps Mr. Assadourian.

THE COMMISSIONER: I think the point is, as $I$ understand it, Ms Edwardh, is that you are free to question about that information. What I think the objection is -- and again I am not ruling on it. It is simply an objection to you going further and saying is there other
information that was provided, not the information to the ambassador but to other agencies?

That is the objection.
MS EDWARDH: I will go around that
to one other question, if I could?
THE COMMISSIONER: Please.
MS EDWARDH: Were you aware,
Mr. Livermore, that Mr. Pillarella received information, the ambassador received information, from the Syrian Military Intelligence on more than one occasion?

MR. LIVERMORE: I am not aware of that, no.

MS EDWARDH: And turning now to the Juliet O'Neill article, and starting about halfway down, beginning with the words "One of the leaked documents" -- do you see that?

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: "One of the leaked documents is about what Mr. Arar allegedly told Syrian Military Intelligence officials during the first few weeks of his incarceration. It contains
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minute details of seven months of supposed training at the Khalden camp in Afghanistan by the Mujahedin in 1993."

Sir, to the best of your recollection, is that the information that you passed on from the ambassador to -- I am sorry -that was passed on to the ambassador from Syrian Military Intelligence?

MS McISAAC: Mr. Commissioner, again $I$ am going to object.

As you are aware, the exact nature of the document or documents, or information which were provided to Ms O'Neill is currently the subject matter of an investigation being conducted by the RCMP pursuant to the Security of Information Act, and we continue to claim, as is claimed within that proceeding and within the proceedings that are currently before Justice Ratushny with respect to the quashing of the search warrant, national security confidentiality with respect to any element of the document, documents, or information which are the subject of that investigation.

THE COMMISSIONER: I understand
that. But to come back -- and perhaps I misunderstood the question.

The question, it seemed to me, was directed to an area over which national security is not claimed; that is, the disclosure through the ambassador.

MS McISAAC: Well, what we had agreed to do, sir, as a compromise with respect to national security information is that a summary of the information brought back by the ambassador was prepared and provided to Mr. Arar and his counsel, or at least $I$ assume it was.

MS EDWARDH: No, it wasn't.
MS McISAAC: I am sorry, I guess

> it wasn't.

MS EDWARDH: I am not at all sure
that $I$ have what my friend thinks, and without some lengthy discussion with Commission counsel --

THE COMMISSIONER: I think there should be some discussion with Commission counsel.

Can we take this and let it wait -- do you know how much longer you are going to be? I am not --

MS EDWARDH: That shortens that
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one.
--- Laughter / Rires
THE COMMISSIONER: That shortens that one.

What $I$ was thinking is today we would sit until five o'clock. I have a meeting at five.

If you were not complete, then we might resume early tomorrow so that we wouldn't fall behind schedule.

MS EDWARDH: Well, I am not
complete. And indeed, Mr. Commissioner, I might do it faster and quicker in the morning. But I am prepared to just go as long as -- but I take it I can't go into that whole area.

THE COMMISSIONER: I think you are best on that area, listening to the discussion, if this evening you have a brief discussion with Mr. Cavalluzzo and Ms. McIsaac.

MS EDWARDH: Does it makes
sense -- because it was an area that $I$ was going to spend some time in -- to try to resolve it now before I continue?

THE COMMISSIONER: Do you have other areas that you are going to do in your
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cross-examination?
MS EDWARDH: I have a few.
THE COMMISSIONER: What $I$ would suggest we do is finish your cross-examination except for that area.

MS EDWARDH: All right. I will do my best to do that.

THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. If you can do that, then let's see where we are when you do that.

MS EDWARDH: Maybe $I$ can ask one question about the O'Neill article. Leaving aside any comparisons about its relation to, you will agree, will you not, Mr. Livermore, when you became aware of that document that you were very surprised to find it in the newspaper: the suggestion that there was a leak in respect of alleged interrogations of Mr. Arar in Syria?

MR. LIVERMORE: I was surprised to
see that there was an allegation of a leak, period. I don't believe I am allowed to characterize the exact document that $I$ was surprised at. It talks about one of the leaked documents.

MS EDWARDH: Yes.
MR. LIVERMORE: I don't know what was leaked and what wasn't leaked. I was simply surprised that there was an article about this.

MS EDWARDH: Right. I am going to a more human side of this.

Clearly for the person -- assuming
for a moment that any of this information lies in
the hands of any government department, yours or anyone else, the consequences of putting this kind of information into the public domain, with no assessment of any reliability, no assessment of whether anything had been confirmed, or no assessment of whether it's worth two hoots, is a deeply destructive and nasty thing to do to someone like Mr. Arar?

MR. LIVERMORE: That wasn't my
characterization of it at the time. My characterization of it at the time -- and forgive me if $I$ am speaking narrowly from my point of view, but $I$ am also the departmental security officer.

My concern at the time was that we have a classified document, and the classified document is now in the public domain, and that's
my concern.
MS EDWARDH: I hear you, and
that's even helpful for another day.
But my question, though, was:
Certainly you have departmental concerns when you see this happen. I understand that. It affects your work; it affects the persons with whom you share it.
want to talk about -- you have dealt with human rights cases, and I am sure you have seen information and disinformation and whatever else comes out of government from time to time.

My concern is to ask you to acknowledge, as someone familiar with this, that this is a very destructive thing to have done in respect of Mr. Arar in circumstances where information is neither confirmed or proven, given its alleged source?

MR. LIVERMORE: Well, you are
asking me for a personal view.
MS EDWARDH: Yes.
MR. LIVERMORE: And I am happy to
oblige you.
What we endeavour to do in the

Department of Foreign Affairs, and certainly from my experience -- and I have been seconded to the Privy Council office and $I$ have worked closely with other people -- is to safeguard carefully sensitive information that is put into our care. One of the reasons that information is classified -- we live under a certain regime -- is that information when it's put out -- and I think you have characterized it properly -- when it's put out and that information is either inaccurate or unfair or biased, that does damage to a lot of individuals and it's exceptionally harmful.

I find it personally distasteful.
And that's why it was so serious on a personal level.

But I say, I must confess on a personal level I share your view completely. My professional view was somewhat more restricted though.

MS EDWARDH: I appreciate that.
You wear many hats?
MR. LIVERMORE: I am responsible for classified material within the department. MS EDWARDH: I want to take you to
tab 123.
If you accept for a moment what Mr. Arar said to Leo Martel, and what the Americans said when they told you when Mr. Arar was sent out of the U.S. and was at least heading into Syria, the statement is made:
"... Arar appeared at the Jordan/Syrian border yesterday without warning, escorted by Jordanian officials who handed him over."

That would have to be a lie, if you accept what the U.S. said and what Mr. Arar said?

MR. LIVERMORE: Well, my only comment is that there aren't two truths, and that is why I am a little sceptical. I trained as an historian, working on evidence, and I like to see it.

That is why $I$ say to you, when $I$ read reports coming in of this nature, I accept them at face value for what they are, but in fact they may not be the truth as we know it on that particular day.

Sorry to be convoluted about it -MS EDWARDH: No, it's not
convoluted. All I am trying to establish, Mr. Livermore, as an historian, you will appreciate the proposition that this may be one small example of a nation or persons in a nation holding formal positions who lie as a matter of their self-interest?

MR. LIVERMORE: Well, I would have a slightly different take on it, and I don't mean to spring to anyone's defence. But $I$ have been in situations before on many occasions -- and I think it even happened to Franco Pillarella, if I am not mistaken on this case -- where a couple of days before he is told "We don't have Mr. Arar --" MS EDWARDH: Sorry, we don't have...

MR. LIVERMORE: "We don't have Mr. Arar. We don't know where he is." That precise case has happened to me where you are in a local situation and you are talking to a local government and you see one interlocutor whom you believe is a credible individual, and he tells you one story and it turns out not to be the truth.

Well, I don't spring to the conclusion necessarily that it was a deliberate lie. It might have been. That could be a conclusion --

MS EDWARDH: From time to time nations lie in their self-interest?

MR. LIVERMORE: I simply wouldn't characterize it one way or the other. I say we have two different stories. So be it.

MS EDWARDH: Okay. Let me ask you then to turn to page 7, and this is relevant to another matter we discussed with your colleague from the Department of Foreign Affairs.

On page 7 of 15 , this is from Roch Dussault?

MR. LIVERMORE: What number are we at?

MS EDWARDH: I am at page 7 in the same tab. It is tab 123 and it is page 7.

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: And can you just describe this document for us? To whom is it sent and -- I can at least identify the date, October 21st, 2002 .

MR. LIVERMORE: Okay.

MS EDWARDH: And it looks to me to be directed to the ambassador in Damascus?

MR. LIVERMORE: This is a -- well, it's a message going out of Roch Dussault from the consular bureau.

MS EDWARDH: In Canada?
MR. LIVERMORE: In Canada.
MS EDWARDH: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: And it says on the top here from Gar Pardy. I assume that -- well, Mr. Pardy will be able to clarify that, but $I$ assume that it's coming from Mr. Pardy, but he's asking one of his people to do the drafting on it. It's going to Damascus, to the Head of Mission. HOM is what we call the Head of Mission or the ambassador. And it's copied to ISI; it's copied to -- which is Foreign Intelligence Division and my shop -- another consular division; it's copied to John McNee, who is MJM; to GMD, which is the Middle East Director General; and it's copied to Gar Pardy as well.

MS EDWARDH: And we have a date which tells us we -- this is before any consular visit to Mr. Arar, correct?

MR. LIVERMORE: I'd have to
refresh my memory on the chronology, but...
MS EDWARDH: I'm sorry. Any
consular visit in Damascus, that he has just been really identified as having been located in Syria. MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.

MS EDWARDH: You're content with
that chronology, sir?
MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: All right. And what
I want to draw to your attention is the information Mr. Pardy is encouraging the ambassador to focus on in his representation to the Syrians, and I'm going to take it one step further and say, really what he's trying to arm the ambassador with are the important factual matters to stress in order to ask that the Syrian government recognize Canadian consular access. Is that fair?

MR. LIVERMORE: Let me just refresh my memory on one point, please.

Yes. He's asking essentially, in the third bullet, as $I$ understand it, for a consular visit.

MS EDWARDH: Well, let me -- the first paragraph is saying, I'm sending you some
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materials in relation to any information we have provided by the police; this was not intended to be given to the ambassador for any purpose other than -- it wasn't to be transmitted to the Syrians."

He makes that clear. Do you see that?

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: Then paragraph
number 2 is the factual matters which Mr. Gar Pardy urges to be raised with the Syrians, and it's in order to justify Canadian consular access. Because you have this problem: Mr. Arar is also a national of Syria, right?

MR. LIVERMORE: I'm not aware of how strongly they felt about that, but that's factually correct, yes.

MS EDWARDH: Right. It's
factually correct. And you are now facing the delicate moment of determining whether or not -or Mr. Pardy is facing it -- whether or not access will be given?

MR. LIVERMORE: Well, I'm reading this along with you because it's -- I didn't draft it or -- I'm trying to make sense of this.

What he's done is, the first half of the message, given a lot of context, which is exactly the thing to do. And context, by the way, which would not be handed over to the Syrian government.

MS EDWARDH: Right.
MR. LIVERMORE: The second point he's giving him, and again this is fairly common in a case, "Here's your ammunition. Here's what we want, and here's what we want you to say." Without -- at the same time, I think, without knowing much about how the Syrians might react to these points.

MS EDWARDH: Of course. Because this is the delicate moment.

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: Will Canada be recognized as having a right of consular access? MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.

MS EDWARDH: Or will they be told that, "This is a Syrian national, go take a flier." Right?

I want to go through the points. The first point that Mr. Pardy tells the ambassador to raise:
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"Mr Arar is a citizen of Canada and has lived continuously in Canada since 1987. He has extensive family ties here and his wife and children are also citizens of Canada."

So one of the important aspects of
pressing Canada's right to give consular access is
to say, not only does he have Canadian
citizenship, but he is a resident of Canada with strong family ties to Canada?

MR. LIVERMORE: One of the -- if I might, just on a more general note --

MS EDWARDH: Can you agree that those are important things in pressing for consular access where there's a dual national involved?

MR. LIVERMORE: Absolutely, because the question you are often confronted with -- and $I$ can't speak for Syria, but $I$ have encountered this in other cases -- is, "What is your standing?" They use the word "standing", which I'm sure you know better than me. You're a lawyer and I'm not. But if you have no standing,
they will basically say to you, "Well, why are you here?"

MS EDWARDH: Right.
And pressing the issue of standing
relates to those components of citizenship,
residence, and extensive family roots in Canada?
MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: Thank you.
I want to take you to tab 130 .
--- Pause
So this is the first consular
visit. Interestingly enough, it follows shortly after Mr. Pardy's note, and $I$ want to just review your own experience with consular visits.

One of the important things you are tasked to do is to ascertain something about the conditions of confinement, if possible. Is that fair? That's what one of the purposes of a consular visit is?

MR. LIVERMORE: That would be if possible, yes.

MS EDWARDH: Right, if possible. Right.

And it's obvious from paragraph 3 that the author, Mr. Martel, is conveying
information to everyone that it is not within his powers to access or see where Mr. Arar is being detained.

Do you see that? That's
paragraph 3. The end of the first sentence, he says:
"It was not possible to see where exactly Arar was being detained."

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: Right. And that
single sentence conveys a lot, does it not?
MR. LIVERMORE: I'm not certain. Again, to me, it contains only what $I$ find is the norm in consular visits in many parts of the world.

In fact, $I$ know very few parts of the world where you're allowed to go into the prison cell. In almost every case, you're taken -- you are taken into a visiting room and the prisoner is brought to see you.

MS EDWARDH: No, no, I'm sorry. It's embedded in other documents. Mr. Martel was driven to this site where he met Mr. Arar, correct?

MR. LIVERMORE: Mm-hmm.
MS EDWARDH: Mr. Martel wasn't in the prison complex.

MR. LIVERMORE: Mm-hmm, mm-hmm.
MS EDWARDH: So when I go or you
go to the Ottawa Detention Centre or to a Toronto detention centre or to a penitentiary, we are in the physical building and space, we may be in an area confined for lawyers or consular visits, but Mr. Martel never even saw the space where Mr. Arar was.

MR. LIVERMORE: Mm-hmm.
MS EDWARDH: He's visiting General
Khalil.
MR. LIVERMORE: Yes. I must
admit, when $I$ read that, $I$ drew no conclusions.
MS EDWARDH: Okay. But you don't
dispute what $I ' m$ saying now, that they're not in the same facility?

MR. LIVERMORE: Factually, I
simply don't know. It wouldn't -- I just don't know.

MS EDWARDH: We'll leave that question, then, to Mr. Martel.

Now, Mr. Cavalluzzo took you to a
number of references -- I don't want to repeat them -- but it's obvious to you, sir, is it not, that everything about this communication to Mr. Martel and Mr. Arar's communication is being monitored closely, is being translated, and is being directed and under the supervision of the Syrian Military Intelligence?

MR. LIVERMORE: I think it's being
translated, and he indicates that notes are being taken. There's also in here some comments about -- I don't know where if $I$ can find them. MS EDWARDH: Well, in paragraph 5, for example, there's a request for more information. Mr. Martel: "When prompted further for answers, the Syrians told him in Arabic he was not to answer those questions." So they're controlling the discourse, correct? MR. LIVERMORE: I can't say. I think Leo Martel would be best advised to answer that.

MS EDWARDH: But if you're -- I
mean, you have some experience in reading in -- I
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mean, this is your culture. It's not ours.
MR. LIVERMORE: Yeah.
MS EDWARDH: When I read this --
if $I$ saw this, for example, in a police officer's notebook, I would conclude that $I$ was being told that this was not a person who is at liberty to speak, that his communications were being monitored closely, that at some points he was directed not to answer, and let me take you to paragraph 7, because we do know what that redaction is. It's not in front of you, but it is in the public domain now. Essentially it's a reference that he answers, all his needs are taken care of by his Syrian hosts, because he's ordered to do it.

So there's a huge amount of control being exercised through this process --

MR. LIVERMORE: Yeah. I'm sorry, I can't -- I really can't speak authoritatively about that.

MS EDWARDH: All right.
You're uncomfortable then
interpreting the fact that when one of your colleagues says that these were the conditions and he looked resigned and submissive and numerous eye
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signals, you're not prepared to interpret that in any way that indicates that Mr. Arar could not -that he was a frightened man under the control of Syrian Military Intelligence?

MR. LIVERMORE: I simply took that to be what Leo Martel had reported. I also took it to be, when you flip the page over to the next page, where Leo Martel reported verbatim what Mr. Arar is supposed to have said, I take that as an indication from Leo Martel as to the tenor of the conversation. But that's the only conclusion I draw from it.

MS EDWARDH: Yeah. The difficulty is, I don't hear you drawing any conclusion about tenor.

MR. LIVERMORE: Yeah.
MS EDWARDH: I hear you looking at the material. And as a colleague who's worked in consular affairs, who has provided consular service, you don't, I take it, draw any inference that this is potentially a person who has had some serious difficulty, given what is going on in this interview?

MR. LIVERMORE: Personally, and I
must say I have to speak personally here because
once again this is -- at this point, these are consular considerations that enter into it.

I have no doubt, on reading this, that Mr. Arar is having a difficult time. That is not -- the degree of difficulty is what you're getting into. How serious was the situation?

I've dealt with a lot of consular cases which I could myself have drafted a document which was very similar to this.

In other words, you go into a prison. The prisoner is presented. The prisoner is basically told -- you must conclude, in your own mind, the prisoner is told, "You better not say anything that is critical of the government", or that's how you have to interpret the sign language of the situation.

I've been in situations as well where the individual who is incarcerated was not allowed to speak English -- or French, for that matter.

MS EDWARDH: Well, indeed, we don't have to go far in these visits until Mr. Arar has to speak in Arabic --

MR. LIVERMORE: I must say that in my experience that's the norm, because you go to
very few countries where prison officials, or especially people in charge of local prisons, would speak a language other than their own, and they're not about to let you sit with the prisoner and speak in a language that they don't understand.

Now, you can argue about the Vienna Convention and whether this is acceptable, but that's the situation, and you confront it.

MS EDWARDH: That's a point I'd
like to just make for the Commissioner.
MR. LIVERMORE: Yeah.
MS EDWARDH: Is one of the perhaps defects of the Vienna Convention is, while providing for information to be given to someone who needs consular access or wants it, providing in some states for there to be notice, one of the things it doesn't guarantee is confidential access to someone who is detained. You're always subject to having a conversation and, if they want, there can be 15 guards standing over, listening in. Fair enough?

MR. LIVERMORE: Not only is that fair enough, but $I$ think I'd go a little further than what you're saying.

MS EDWARDH: Sure.
MR. LIVERMORE: You would have to say one of the fundamental issues is whether the terms of the Vienna Convention are upheld in certain countries at all.

So the guarantees themselves might well be contained in a convention; whether they're applied in practice is a different question.

MS EDWARDH: Fair enough.
--- Pause
If you'll indulge me,
Mr. Commissioner, I'd like to take you to tab 105 and 114. This is October 9, 2002.

Tab 105 I believe you will be familiar with. Maybe tab 114.

Let me take you to 114 first, sir.
Do you have anything to do with
tab 114 and the document on the very last page under the heading "SECRET CDN EYES ONLY"? Does this come through you in any way or is it drafted by anyone in your department?

MR. LIVERMORE: Excuse me for just
a second.
MS EDWARDH: Sure. Take your
time.

MR. LIVERMORE: I want to have a perusal of the document.
--- Pause
As I recall, there were two
similar messages drafted. One was sent out of my shop; one was sent out of consular.

MS EDWARDH: That's what I understood, sir. That's what $I$ wanted to ask you.

So, can you first start with the identification of the similar but consular message? And that was sent by Mr. Pardy, is that correct?

MR. LIVERMORE: I think we referred to it earlier today. My recollection is that $I$ sent, under my signature, a document to Damascus.

MS EDWARDH: Yes?
MR. LIVERMORE: The consular sent a similar message to the embassy in Amman. That's my recollection.

MS EDWARDH: Okay. Well, let's go through this, then, because I'm looking at -MS McISAAC: Sorry to interrupt, but $I$ believe this is just another version of the document -- the messages were sent out -- 61 is
the message sent to Damascus and 63 is the message sent to Amman in Jordan.

MS EDWARDH: You're going to have to help me there. Sixty-one is the message sent to Damascus?
--- Pause
Okay. I'd like to ask you about the differences, if there are any, between the two messages -- so thank you very much, Ms. McIsaac -as I am looking then at documents 62 and 63.

THE COMMISSIONER: 61 and 63?
MS EDWARDH: I'm sorry, 61 and 63. So let's start with 61.

And you write the document at 61 and it goes to Damascus, correct?

MR. LIVERMORE: I don't think I
wrote it, but $I$ recall sending it. I recall -- it goes out under my acronym or signature, if you like.

MS EDWARDH: It's under your
signature?
MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: So you have to take responsibility for it?

MR. LIVERMORE: Absolutely,
absolutely.
MS EDWARDH: So let's go to the second paragraph:

> "Maher Arar was born in
> Syria, came to Canada at an
> early age and became a
> Canadian citizen. He is
> known to the RCMP, and is one
> of the subjects of their
> "OCanada" investigations
> (please protect)."

Do you see that?
MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: And you were
providing this information to the ambassador?
MR. LIVERMORE: Yes, we are.
MS EDWARDH: And this is copied to
Mr. Pardy?
MR. LIVERMORE: Yes, it is.
MS EDWARDH: On a confidential
basis or through a secure --
MR. LIVERMORE: Well, it's secret
"Canadian Eyes Only", so it's copied C4, which is
a secure communications system.
MS EDWARDH: Now let's take a look
at -- and the date, of course, tells us something significant because neither -- nobody in the government really clearly knows where Mr. Arar is at this time?

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes, that's right.
MS EDWARDH: It's a hunt that's
going on.
Mr. Pardy, his division, and it's
through or under the signature of Helen Harris, who is the Acting Director General, because I
guess Mr. Pardy is not available -- this is tab 63?

MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: Also sends
information to Amman and also says to Amman:
"He is known to the RCMP and is one of the subjects to their OCanada investigation." MR. LIVERMORE: Yes. MS EDWARDH: I would like to know, if $I$ could, whether or not -- let me just go then to Tab 105 . This may be my mistake.

Do you see Tab 105?
MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: And this is from Mr.

Pardy; is that correct?
MR. LIVERMORE: I believe it is.
He says "Gar" at the bottom.
MS EDWARDH: Right. And this is
going to the Ambassador again?
MR. LIVERMORE: This is going to Damascus, to Franco Pillarella, yes.

MS EDWARDH: Yes. It is copied to you -- I'm sorry, it is copied to Mr. Heatherington?

MR. LIVERMORE: Copied to Mr.
Heatherington, yes.
MS EDWARDH: Which I take it would mean in the ordinary course you would eventually see that document.

MR. LIVERMORE: Well, if $I$ were around he would bring it to my attention, I assume.

MS EDWARDH: Right. And in this document Mr. Pardy says:
"Mr. Arar is not the subject
of a police investigation in
Canada and can return to
Canada at any time."

MR. LIVERMORE: Mm-hmm.

MS EDWARDH: So within a sort
period of nine days, eight or nine days, two very different messages are being sent out to the Ambassador in Damascus; is that correct?

MR. LIVERMORE: It appears that
the information is different, yes.
MS EDWARDH: Well, one is that Mr.
Arar is not the subject of a police investigation in Canada and can return home any time, and the other is, he is the subject of a police investigation in Canada.

MR. LIVERMORE: Mm-hmm.
MS EDWARDH: And this is an
O-Canada investigation. Maybe it means something to the Ambassador, maybe it doesn't. Would that designation mean something, an O-Canada investigation?

MR. LIVERMORE: You would have to ask Franco, but $I$ would doubt it at this stage. MS EDWARDH: Now, can you explain to us, or do you have any information that would tell us what has transpired between the 10 th and 11th and when Mr. Pardy wrote this other document on the $19 t h$ that would allow the information to change so dramatically?
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MR. LIVERMORE: No, I can't
explain it.
THE COMMISSIONER: Is that a good
place to end for the day?
MS EDWARDH: Yes, and if $I$ can
hopefully resolve this amicably with my friends or find out what to do with this other document, I wouldn't expect to be much more than a few minutes tomorrow, if that's suitable.

THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Do you
know how long you'll be, Ms. McIsaac, or Mr. Baxter?

MS McISAAC: Well, I'll review my notes over the evening, but $I$ think $I$ might be an hour.

THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. And Mr.
Cavalluzzo, you'll be a bit of time?
MR. CAVALLUZZO: I might be 15
minutes to half an hour.
THE COMMISSIONER: What's your
estimate? Should we start at nine? I'm happy to start at 8:30. I'm at your disposal. Are you content? We've got two witnesses scheduled tomorrow that we will be finishing tomorrow, and I have a dinner with a conference $I$ have to be at at

6:30.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: I have several
meetings tonight so $I$ think it would be more appropriate if we started at nine than 8:30.

THE COMMISSIONER: Nine? Anything
we can do to obviously move it along would be appreciated.

MS EDWARDH: Does Mr. Cavalluzzo
have time to meet with us now or do you have another obligation, sir?

MR. CAVALLUZZO: I have another
obligation but $I$ can meet with you now?
THE COMMISSIONER: I have a meeting now.

Okay. We'll rise until nine o'clock tomorrow.

THE REGISTRAR: Please stand.
Veuillez-vous lever.
--- Whereupon the hearing adjourned at 1704, to resume on Wednesday, May 18, 2005, at 9:00 / L'audience est ajournèe à 17 h 04 , pour reprendre le mercredi 18 mai 2005 à 9 h 00
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