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Ottawa, Ontario / Ottawa (Ontario)
--- Upon commencing on Thursday, June 30, 2005 at 9:06 a.m. / L'audience débute le jeudi 30 juin 2005 à 09 h 06

THE REGISTRAR: Please be seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.

THE COMMISSIONER: Good morning, Ms Edwardh.

MS EDWARDH: Good morning,
Mr. Commissioner.
Before we commence our
cross-examination, $I$ wonder if $I$ could raise one brief matter.

You may recall first $I$ think when Mr. Pardy testified, but certainly when Minister Graham testified, there was an indication that a review of sorts had been undertaken by the department with respect to consular services.

I have made a number of requests to my colleagues over the days and weeks that we have sat, asking what the status of that review is and whether it will be made available. On the cusp of our adjournment, and looking perhaps down the way, it is certainly my submission that counsel for Mr. Arar would like to have an
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opportunity to look at it. It may be very important to Mr. Martel or perhaps even others, and I just want to raise that with you to invite some resolution of that issue.

THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Cavalluzzo?
MR. CAVALLUZZO: That was in the
evidence of Mr. Graham, Mr. Commissioner, not
Mr. Pardy. Mr. Graham did testify that as a result of what happened in respect of Mr. Arar, he commanded and directed that a study be done in order to have his officials in the department better prepared to deal with situations of Canadians detained and tortured.

I have asked Government counsel for that study. I have still not got a response from Government counsel. So I am expecting from Government counsel either the study or an undertaking to advise us that no study was in fact done.

THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Fothergill?
MR. FOTHERGILL: I have no
information that $I$ can offer you at this time, but obviously we will speak with Mr. Cavalluzzo, and if there is information we can provide, we will.

THE COMMISSIONER: And then

## StenoTran

through Mr. Cavalluzzo we could let Ms Edwardh know.

Mr. Fothergill, if we could do that sooner rather than later, it would seem to be a fairly straightforward request. Thank you. PREVIOUSLY SWORN: MICHEL CABANA

EXAMINATION
MS EDWARDH: Superintendent Cabana, my name is Marlys Edwardh and I represent Maher Arar.

I want to just establish one of the basic parameters of your evidence, sir, and I'm going to ask this question. Please don't answer it until my friends have had an opportunity to respond.

Is it your position here today, sir, that Mr. Arar is presently the subject of a national security investigation?

THE COMMISSIONER: Ms Edwardh said don't answer it.

Mr. Fothergill?
MR. FOTHERGILL: I wonder if I might just have a moment with my client behind me? THE COMMISSIONER: Sure. --- Pause

MR. FOTHERGILL: My instructions are that as a matter of policy the RCMP does not confirm or deny that a particular individual is the subject of an ongoing investigation.

MS EDWARDH: Thank you.
I take it that that is an NSC
claim.
THE COMMISSIONER: That will be an
NSC claim.
MS EDWARDH: Thank you,
Mr. Commissioner.
THE COMMISSIONER: I think it is.
MR. FOTHERGILL: Yes, it is, sir.
MS EDWARDH: Superintendent
Cabana, $I$ heard you respond to a series of
questions posed by Mr. Cavalluzzo yesterday that you did not feel you had or bore any
responsibility for the U.S. treatment of Mr. Arar in rendering him to Syria. I don't intend to pursue that, but $I$ would like to pursue another area of responsibility.

You will agree with me, sir, that
you are a peace officer?
MR. CABANA: Yes, ma'am, I am.
MS EDWARDH: That you have a
mandate and duty to investigate crime?
MR. CABANA: Yes, that's correct.
MS EDWARDH: You have a duty to
detect crime, to prevent crime and to prosecute those who commit crime?

MR. CABANA: Yes, ma'am.
MS EDWARDH: The RCMP, more perhaps than any other police force, is a police force that is involved in the investigation of transnational and international crime?

MR. CABANA: That's correct.
MS EDWARDH: And therefore, if one
were to look at large money laundering enterprises, large drug conspiracies, and even organized crime, one would find that the RCMP is often involved in the investigation and prosecution of such crimes on a transnational and international basis. Correct?

MR. CABANA: Yes, we are.
MS EDWARDH: And as part of your approach to the world of criminal law enforcement, you have no hesitation to investigate government officials if there is a reasonable basis to believe they are involved in crime?

MR. CABANA: Yes, ma'am.

MS EDWARDH: And that would mean
also that you would have no hesitate to investigate police officers if you believe that they are involved in crime?

MR. CABANA: That's correct.
MS EDWARDH: And under this broad set of duties we have described, ultimately the goal of any peace officer is to prevent crime?

MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: If a crime has a real
and substantial connection to Canadian soil, whether or not the participants of that crime are abroad does not in any way affect your decision to investigate, prosecute and move for extradition.

Is that correct?
MR. CABANA: That's correct.
MS EDWARDH: And I take it the
fundamental principle of professional policing is no one above the law, and the law is to be enforced without fear or favour?

MR. CABANA: I would say that
would be a fair statement, yes.
MS EDWARDH: Sir, I'm going to
suggest to you that one of the more serious crimes provided for in the Criminal Code of Canada is the
crime of torture?

MR. CABANA: Yes, ma'am.
MS EDWARDH: And it is punishable by a term of imprisonment of up to 14 years?

MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: And I would like, if I could, sir, to review with you the elements of the offence of torture.

I have provided to the Registrar and for everyone, just for handy reference, since I don't believe we all brought our Criminal Codes with us, section 269.1 of the Criminal Code.

I take it, sir, you would have
some general familiarity with this offence?
MR. CABANA: Very general, yes.
MS EDWARDH: Right. You are
responsible as a peace officer for having, as best you can, a working knowledge of all crimes in the Criminal Code that you may come across. Correct?

MR. CABANA: Yes, ma'am.
MS EDWARDH: And that would
include this provision?
MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: And the offence of torture is directed at officials. Is that
correct?


Do you see that?
MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: So in theory that
would cover -- and you will agree with me I'm sure -- persons employed by Syrian Military Intelligence?

MR. CABANA: Yes, I guess it would.

MS EDWARDH: Persons employed by the Federal Bureau of Investigation?

MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: And persons employed
by the CIA?
MR. CABANA: Yes.

MS EDWARDH: Now, we go on and we define torture further on in the section, and it means:
"by any act or omission --"
That is language you are very
familiar with?

MR. CABANA: $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
MS EDWARDH: You can commit a crime by failing to do something you have a duty to do?

MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH:
"... whereby severe pain or suffering, physical or mental, is intentionally inflicted on a person for the purpose of obtaining a statement."

That is really what I'm interested in. Do you see that?

MR. CABANA: Yes, I do.

MS EDWARDH: All right. And another interesting aspect of the Criminal Code is there no defence of superior orders.

Do you see that under sub 3?
MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: That would mean, of course, a person is responsible for their own conduct, would it not?

MR. CABANA: Yes, ma'am.
MS EDWARDH: Regardless of what anyone directed them to do, whether there was a superior officer directing them, an individual personal decision must be made by the officer in question -- or the public officer. Correct?

MR. CABANA: Yes, ma'am.
MS EDWARDH: And there is another provision, just for our interest, which relates to statements or information obtained through the commission of this offence and it is simply inadmissible. Fair enough.

I want to deal with one aspect of the offence of torture in particular because it is quite unusual. You may be aware of it.

Canada's Criminal Code has also been amended to provide for jurisdiction over the
offence of torture when, in fact, it does not occur in Canada.

Do you know that?
MR. CABANA: No, ma'am, I don't.
MS EDWARDH: You have before you
another section of the Criminal Code, section 3.7.
Do you see that?
THE COMMISSIONER: We didn't get
it yet.
MR. CABANA: No.
MS EDWARDH: Oh, you don't have it yet? I apologize.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
MR. CABANA: Thank you.
MS EDWARDH: Take a moment because there is always some struggle in reading this kind of language.

But it establishes for the principles of the application of the Canadian Criminal Code a different set of considerations as are usually exercised in respect of the jurisdiction of our courts.

If $I$ can just briefly put it to you that the effect of this section, particularly if you look at sub (d), is that torture anywhere
in the world inflicted upon a Canadian citizen is a cognizable crime in Canada.

Do you agree?
MR. CABANA: Yes, ma'am.
MS EDWARDH: In August of 2002,
you, sir, as a peace officer, learned that a claim had been made by Mr. El Mati in Egypt that he, a Canadian citizen, had been tortured by Syrian Military Intelligence.

Do you agree?
MR. CABANA: I was made aware of that, yes. Exactly when in August, I don't know.

MS EDWARDH: We understand it would be around mid-August, but the date is of no consequence for this discussion.

MR. CABANA: Okay.
MS EDWARDH: And that claim, I
take it you were aware, came through to the Government of Canada through consular contacts with Mr. El Maati?

MR. CABANA: That's correct.
MS EDWARDH: In other words, government officials had had an opportunity to see him and discuss the issue of his torture. That is what you understood?

MR. CABANA: I understood that the information came to us through DFAIT. Exactly the context under which the information was obtained, I'm not aware.

MS EDWARDH: Did you request
access to the details of Mr. El Maati's
description of what happened to him, sir?
MR. CABANA: With respect to ...
MS EDWARDH: His torture. MR. CABANA: No, ma'am. MS EDWARDH: His claim of torture. MR. CABANA: No, ma'am.

MS EDWARDH: He is a Canadian
citizen?
MR. CABANA: Yes, he is.
MS EDWARDH: Torture is a serious
crime?
MR. CABANA: Yes, ma'am, it is.
MS EDWARDH: Under your watch, sir, under your investigation, someone you are investigating makes a claim of torture and you don't feel any need to conduct an investigation? MR. CABANA: I would submit to you, ma'am, that Mr. El Maati has never made any official complaint of torture. And if he would
have made an official complaint of torture, I would also submit that $I$ would not, or my team would not, have been responsible to investigate that claim.

MS EDWARDH: Let me just establish
some parameters. Even though the man is in an Egyptian prison at this time --

MR. CABANA: Yes, ma'am.
MS EDWARDH: -- and can't really phone up the local detachment. Right?

MR. CABANA: Right.
MS EDWARDH: I take it until he manages maybe -- maybe -- to get back to Canada, you wash your hands of this claim unless he can put a credible complaint before you, or some other police officer?

MR. CABANA: I don't think I said I was washing my hands of this. I think what $I$ said is $I$ was not aware, $I$ was never made aware that there was any form of official complaint, whether through DFAIT or upon Mr. El Mati's return, of a complaint of torture.

MS EDWARDH: Well, I have a lot of trouble with that, Superintendent Cabana.

MR. CABANA: I understand you do.

MS EDWARDH: Because if a man is in prison and finally, after many months of isolation, has an opportunity to meet with representatives of the Government of Canada and offer his complaint of treatment at the hands of the Syrian Military Intelligence, what more credible complaint could he make for help, help from our national police force?

MR. CABANA: What is your
question, ma'am?
MS EDWARDH: Isn't that a
complaint?
MR. CABANA: No, not in my perspective, no. DFAIT did not come to us to say could you please investigate this. Nobody came to us and asked for an investigation.

MS EDWARDH: Now, sir, this causes me -- sometimes you wait for a complaint to come. But if you are doing a drug investigation and one of the targets ends up being murdered one morning, you don't wait for a member of the family to phone you and make a complaint to begin a homicide investigation.

MR. CABANA: No, ma'am, but I
would submit to you that the team that is
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investigating the drug offence is not the one that is going to be investigating the murder offence. The nature of the investigation doesn't change. MS EDWARDH: Of course you are not going to be the same team. But you wouldn't hesitate to pick up the phone and say, "Gentlemen, I think we need the homicide squad here." Correct?

MR. CABANA: I would suggest if there is a murder, there is a complaint that is made somewhere, and there is no call that is made that says, "We need the homicide squad."

MS EDWARDH: Well, if you walk
into a scene as a drug officer and one of the targets of the investigation is dead, it is the officer at the scene who will call in colleagues to investigate the homicide. Correct?

MR. CABANA: Correct. And the officer at the scene, $I$ would submit, would not be a member of the investigative team that is looking after the drug investigation. It would likely be a uniform officer that was called to the scene following whatever event occurred.

MS EDWARDH: Well, let's try another one.

MR. CABANA: Certainly.
MS EDWARDH: I'm going to get you
to be -- the drug squad is going in, executing a search warrant they have obtained in order to conduct a drug investigation.

MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: They run across a dead body.

MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: What do they do?
MR. CABANA: Well, they would advise their supervisors that there is a body that has been found and there would be an investigation that would be launched.

MS EDWARDH: Fair enough. Because you don't need a complaint in some cases.

MR. CABANA: I agree with you on that point.

MS EDWARDH: So even if DFAIT sat and didn't ask you to investigate, surely you appreciated that there was a Canadian citizen who could well use some of the services of the RCMP to conduct a criminal investigation that you had the authority to conduct in Canada?

MR. FOTHERGILL: Commissioner,
before the witness continues, I wish to raise an objection to this line of questioning based on your mandate.

This is a commission of inquiry
established to investigate the be actions of Canadian officials in relation to Mr. Arar. This would appear to be a line of inquiry that is directed solely towards the actions or omissions of Canadian officials in relation to Mr. El Maati, and $I$ don't think that is properly within the scope of your mandate.

THE COMMISSIONER: Would I take your objection would not apply if the questions related to Mr. Arar?

MR. FOTHERGILL: That would be correct, yes.

THE COMMISSIONER: I just wonder if the line of questioning -- well, let me hear from you.

MS EDWARDH: I am just at the warm-up stage, Mr. Commissioner. --- Laughter / Rires

THE COMMISSIONER: What I would think, Mr. Fothergill, and respond to it, is that the line of questioning I assumed was headed
towards Mr. Arar, which would be relevant.
I wonder, as background or
context, if the same type of situation -- I'm not saying it is -- but there might be an argument it existed a month before, if that wouldn't be something that --

MR. FOTHERGILL: Well returning
again to your terms of reference, you can certainly inquire into matters directly related to Mr. Arar. This would seem to be an inquiry into matters indirectly related to Mr. Arar, and I don't know why Ms Edwardh can't simply ask her questions in the context of Mr. Arar, why this needs to be approached indirectly.

THE COMMISSIONER: Well, there is
some force to that. I'm not going to preclude you from cross-examining with respect to others, but the point is well taken. This is Mr. Arar, and ultimately that is all $I$ will deal with in my report.

MS EDWARDH: This may be,
Mr. Commissioner, essential background to understanding the rest of the conduct of the Canadian officials with respect to Mr. Arar.

I'm really finished in the area.

THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
MS EDWARDH: I think the officer has answered the questions, if they are going to be of any assistance to you at all.

Anyway, Superintendent Cabana, in addition to this receipt of information in August of 2002 about Mr. El Maati's claim that he was, as a Canadian citizen, tortured by Syrian Military Intelligence, you were, $I$ take it, given your general knowledge as you have developed it, familiar with the well-documented human rights record of the Syrian Military Intelligence -generally familiar?

MR. CABANA: Generally familiar.
MS EDWARDH: Right. And as a
person heading up an investigation, considering cooperation and embracing the Syrian Military Intelligence as a partner in investigations, I take it that --

MR. CABANA: I am sorry, ma'am, I am not sure where you are getting this. The team never embraced Syrian Military as a partner. There was discussions with Canadian partners over the prospect of sharing with Syrians. That is as far as it went.

MS EDWARDH: Well, let me put it this way. You discussed, you met, you considered whether or not you would share -- intelligence and information with Syrian Military Intelligence. Correct?

MR. CABANA: Certainly, ma'am, and I would submit that under the circumstances, if we didn't look at every option that was available to us, we stood to be highly criticized at the end of the day.

MS EDWARDH: Thank you. And as a result of your consideration, you decided to go ahead and share?

MR. CABANA: It was a joint decision, yes.

MS EDWARDH: Joint with whom?
MR. CABANA: Joint with the
stakeholders that were at the table throughout a lengthy consultation process that involved DFAIT, CSIS, Justice and other agencies.

MS EDWARDH: And ultimately, sir, though, as the repository of the information, it, the decision, rests on your lap, institutionally, the RCMP.

They make this decision. They
make the rules upon which they share.
MR. CABANA: I would submit, if
you look at our policy, the decision to share with foreign jurisdictions actually rests with DFAIT, because the sharing would occur through DFAIT.

MS EDWARDH: I appreciate the
sharing occurs through DFAIT.
MR. CABANA: So if DFAIT refuses
to share for whatever reason, conflict with their mandate, then $I$ would submit that the RCMP would be hard-pressed to share.

MS EDWARDH: Right. But if DFAIT says "gentlemen, we will do what you wish us to do because we go over to Damascus, and we wear many hats" -- we have learned -- "and one of them is to represent your interests, sir, because that is what the ambassador does".

MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: So, unless the ambassador says to the RCMP "I'm not going to have anything to do with this", it is your decision, it isn't anybody else's, if DFAIT lets you write your ticket. Correct?

MR. CABANA: It is an
institutional decision, yes.

MS EDWARDH: And it was made in
this case, sir, that the institution, the Royal Canadian Mounted Police, was prepared to share with Syrian Military Intelligence.

Is that right?
MR. CABANA: Yes, ma'am.
MS EDWARDH: And that decision, I
take it, was made with you and some of your colleagues at the table? You have described that. MR. CABANA: Yes. MS EDWARDH: And I want to know, sir, first of all -- could $I$ have the officer be given Exhibit 27 , please?

Sir, this is a U.S. government publication. It can be found on $a$ Website readily available to the world if someone wants to inquire about another country.

Are you familiar with it?
MR. CABANA: With this?
MS EDWARDH: Yes.
MR. CABANA: No, I'm not.
MS EDWARDH: Well, can you tell me what inquiries you, as a police officer -- forget DFAIT for a moment -- made about the entity or organization you were prepared to share with?

MR. CABANA: The inquires I conducted, ma'am, were with the stakeholder agencies and the experts in this field.

MS EDWARDH: And who was the
expert you consulted? Let's start with them.
MR. CABANA: I would start with
Justice Department.
MS EDWARDH: Okay -- I'm sorry.
MR. CABANA: And CSIS and DFAIT, including Mr. Pillarella.

MS EDWARDH: And who in Justice gave you advice about the propriety of having a relationship with Syrian Military Intelligence?

MR. CABANA: There was a number of individuals, including Ms Ann Alder.

MS EDWARDH: And do you know her
to be a woman with -- $I$ know she's a lawyer and now a member of the judiciary. Do you know her to be a person with any special expertise in the nature of Syrian Military Intelligence, its operations and practices?

MR. CABANA: No, ma'am.
MS EDWARDH: Right. So that would
leave it with Mr. Pillarella?
MR. CABANA: And representatives
from CSIS.
MS EDWARDH: Right. And we are not allowed to know who they are.

Anyway, you will agree with me if this is on a Website, sir, this kind of information was readily available to you as a member of the RCMP?

MR. CABANA: At the time, I'm not sure whether it was available. I see here it is marked March 31st, 2003.

MS EDWARDH: Yes, and if you turn
to tab --

MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: I'm sorry.
MR. CABANA: But to answer your
questions, $I$ didn't research this.
MS EDWARDH: You didn't research
it.
MR. CABANA: No, I did not.
MS EDWARDH: So it must then come as either something -- no, let me ask a question.

Does it come to you as a surprise if $I$ were to inform you that the Syrian human rights record includes.
"(1) continuing serious
abuses including the use of torture in detention, poor prison conditions, arbitrary arrest and detention, prolonged detention without trial, fundamentally unfair trials in the security courts, an inefficient judiciary that suffered from corruption and at times political influence."

Is that a surprise to you, or did CSIS tell you that?

MR. CABANA: I have no
recollection whether CSIS told me that. But, no it is not a surprise to me.

Actually, surprisingly, there are quite a few countries around the world that do not have the same system as we have in Canada and we deal with them on a weekly basis.

MS EDWARDH: I'm not talking about the same system, sir.

MR. CABANA: No. I'm talking about other countries with these types of systems.

MS EDWARDH: Right. You will
agree with me that the information $I$ have just read to you is a kernel of very important information that you should have had in your mind at the time you agreed to share?

It is a piece of information you
needed to have in order to make the decision to share?

MR. CABANA: I'm really not sure
what to answer to this.
The issues that are identified --
I didn't read this document. Interestingly
enough, this document is prepared by the very individuals that deported Mr. Arar.

MS EDWARDH: Oh, we will come to that, too.

MR. CABANA: But the gist of the
information -- I assume the information is contained in that document -- was subject of conversations.

MS EDWARDH: And it was subject to
your personal consideration when you agreed or recommended that the $R C M P$ consider sharing information?

MR. CABANA: You seem to imply
that the decision to share was my decision, and
solely my decision, and $I$ would put to you the decision to share the information with Syrian Military was a joint decision that was taken by parties at the table and it wasn't solely my decision.

MS EDWARDH: You were part of the decision?

MR. CABANA: Yes, I would be part of the decision, ma'am.

MS EDWARDH: If you had refused?
MR. CABANA: I would submit to you that if $I$ had refused and my superiors, who were at the table, had agreed to it, sharing would still occur.

MS EDWARDH: If your superiors agreed with you, having considered all the information, there would be no sharing?

MR. CABANA: I don't know. Right now, these are purely supposition, and we don't know what would have happened.

MS EDWARDH: In any event, we also know, if you look at Exhibit 29, which is a report from Amnesty International -- I take it, sir, you are familiar with that organization?

MR. CABANA: Yes, I am.

MS EDWARDH: In there, in this
report at page 2 , there is a discussion
particularly about a practice of Syrian Military
Intelligence. It is in the right-hand column
under "Torture and Ill Treatment".
Do you see that, sir?
MR. CABANA: I see the text, yes.
MS EDWARDH: It reads:
"Torture and ill treatment continue to be inflicted routinely on political prisoners especially during incommunicado detention at the Palestine Branch and Military Interrogation Branch detention centres."

I take it, sir, you would have had your counterparts at the table discuss that information and the significance of it in terms of any proposed sharing of information?

MR. CABANA: The discussions around the table did not include any information from Amnesty International.

MS EDWARDH: How about the fact that incommunicado interrogation at the Palestine

Branch occurred in association with credible claims of torture during interrogation. Was that on the table when the decision to share was made? MR. CABANA: What was on the table when the decision to share was made was the fact that Syria does not share the same beliefs and the same practices as we do. That was on the table. MS EDWARDH: Well, sir, a person can have many different beliefs and many different judicial systems, and have honourable men and women conducting investigations and adjudicating in very different ways, and that is different, Superintendent Cabana, from a regime that routinely practices the most serious human rights violations.

You will agree it is different? MR. CABANA: Yes. MS EDWARDH: Now, after the events of Mr. El Maati were drawn to your attention, it is within weeks of that fact, his claim to torture, that the decision to share is made. Do you agree with that? MR. CABANA: No, ma'am. MS EDWARDH: Well, let's take a look at it.

Your discussion with Mr. Gould from ISI occurs on October 21st, 2002 , where you offer to provide information on the investigation to the Syrian officials?

MR. CABANA: Yes, ma'am.
MS EDWARDH: Yes. So maybe
"weeks" is too long.
Between August, mid August, and October 21 st, you know, 10,12 weeks --

MR. CABANA: Mm-hmm.
MS EDWARDH: -- you have (1) the information about Mr. El Mati, and (2) you have made the decision.

As you discussed or considered
whether you would make the offer in your conversation with Mr. Gould at ISI, do you recall whether you gave any consideration of what that offer meant in light of what happened to Mr. El Maati, what that offer might mean?

What might it mean to Syrian
Military Intelligence?
MR. CABANA: I'm not sure I
understand what you are getting at.
MS EDWARDH: Let me try it from a different perspective, sir.

The information you have is that Mr. El Maati was tortured, or alleges he was tortured, while under -- while in custody, held by the Syrian Military Intelligence. Correct?

MR. CABANA: That is my
understanding, yes.
MS EDWARDH: And 10 weeks later
you are into a conversation with Mr. Gould at ISI, and you are having the issue of your sharing raised and discussed.

MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: And you offer to provide information from the Canadian investigation.

MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: Did you consult
anyone prior to making that offer to Mr. Gould?
MR. CABANA: Yes, ma'am. I
testified to that yesterday. There was extensive consultation that started -- the external consultation started in the early summer of 2002 .

MS EDWARDH: Right. And you have described the stakeholders.

MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: And do you, sir -- my
only comment is: When you made that offer on October $22 n d--$ or October 21 st, did you expressly consider the fact of Mr. El Mati's torture and whether or not that was a matter that should affect your decision?

MR. CABANA: No, ma'am. The conversation with Mr. Gould of October 21 st was merely a reiteration of the discussions that had taken place previously and the fact that the decision had been made that sharing could occur and it was merely raising that.

MS EDWARDH: So, sir, what we are left with then is all the intelligence and wisdom that you as an officer, and your colleagues as police officers, and the Department of Foreign Affairs, and the Department of Justice, that in the face of a credible history of torture and the credible claim of a Canadian citizen to recent torture, are prepared to give information to the Syrians who are in the process of holding another Canadian.

That is what you are saying? That is the effect of it --

MR. CABANA: What I'm saying, ma'am, is a part of our duties in Canada, and as
appalling as it may sound to you, part of our duties in Canada in trying to protect the Canadian public means that from time to time we have to deal with countries that don't necessarily have the same record as we do and don't necessarily treat their prisoners the same way as we do.

I would submit to you that if we didn't consider dealing with these countries, the security of Canadians would be greatly at risk.

Now, these types of sharing that
occur -- and I submit to you that they occur fairly regularly with these countries; maybe not Syria, but with countries with a similar record as Syria. It does occur fairly regularly.

And when the sharing does occur, the sharing is reviewed in detail before the sharing occurs.

I'm a little concerned here that there is this perception that the sharing with Syrian Military Intelligence would be the same kind of sharing that we would have with our Canadian partner agencies or, for that matter, even with our U.S. partner agencies.

The sharing would not be to the same level, would not include the same type of
information, and the sharing would be controlled.
What we have reviewed yesterday, and what we are discussing today, was discussions over the prospect of sharing with one of these countries.

MS EDWARDH: I understand that, sir, and according to the note put to you yesterday, you were prepared to share the products of your investigation, for the Syrians to use it for their own purposes.

MR. CABANA: No, ma'am, I think
what it says, if we were to go back to the exhibits -- and I don't know what the exhibit is -- is that we have evidence, we have intelligence, we have evidence that we are prepared to share.

It doesn't mean we are prepared to share all of it, but we are prepared to share some of it.

MS EDWARDH: I'm going to see if $I$ cannot locate the note in question.

MR. CABANA: I don't remember what the number was.

MS EDWARDH: It is all right. We will just take a moment.
--- Pause
MS EDWARDH: It is Exhibit 166,
Mr. Commissioner.
THE COMMISSIONER: I have it.
MS EDWARDH: It is page 54, at the
bottom of page 54 over on to the next page, and we will read together.

MR. CABANA: Mine is redacted so
there is part of the text that I'm missing.
MS EDWARDH: Thank you very much,
Mr. Cavalluzzo.
MR. CABANA: Thank you, sir.
MS EDWARDH: It reads as follows,
the bottom line:
"Mr. Gould asked whether
there was any other message we would like conveyed."

And I take it "conveyed" means
conveyed to whom?
MR. CABANA: Conveyed to the
ambassador.
MS EDWARDH: Right.
"The writer advised that we have intelligence/evidence that we would be prepared --"

And you read the rest of it.
MR. CABANA: Yes.
"... that we would be prepared to share with Syrian authorities if they felt it could be of assistance to their investigation, this in light of their sharing info with us in the past."

So like I said, ma'am, what this says is we are advising DFAIT to remind the ambassador that we have intelligence/evidence in relation -- and this conversation was not strictly in relation to Mr. Arar --

MS EDWARDH: But it included him?
MR. CABANA: Yes, it did include
him, and that we are prepared to share some of this information with Syrian authorities.

MS EDWARDH: Right. I don't want to go far from my -- I understand what you are saying, sir, and $I$ understand that the issue for you is the protection of Canadian citizens or members of the Canadian public.

MR. CABANA: Yes, ma'am.
MS EDWARDH: And $I$ just want to
remind you we have one of those sitting in Syrian detention.

MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: I would like, sir, to
go back to the same period of time when you are then receiving -- it is within two weeks. We have a meeting on November 6th.

Do you recall that meeting?
MR. CABANA: I believe that's correct, yes.

MS EDWARDH: Where you received the fruits of the efforts of military intelligence from Syria?

You receive a small document, a bout de papier?

MR. CABANA: I'm not sure that we received that document that day. The meeting was with Mr. Pillarella, who briefed us on the nature of the information that was received.

But I'm not sure we actually received the document that day.

MS EDWARDH: Well, you received it very shortly after --

MR. CABANA: Very shortly after, yes.

MS EDWARDH: The days don't make a difference. The 6th or 7 th or whatever.

In any event, $I$ just want to confirm with you your evidence, sir, that when you looked at that document and you spoke to the ambassador, the principal message you sent to him is "this is too general", as you said yesterday, "and that we need more details".

MR. CABANA: Again, ma'am, you are implying that I'm the one that made these comments.

MS EDWARDH: Well, did you --
MR. CABANA: The nature of the discussion around the table which involved, again, representatives of CSIS, representatives of the RCMP, including headquarters, was that the information contained was not specific enough and did not allow the analysis to determine the accuracy of the information and, in our view, there had to be a more detailed statement available.

MS EDWARDH: Right. And so I understand you had that view, you looked at what was there, and it was --

MR. CABANA: I had that view as
well, yes.
MS EDWARDH: Right. And you
conveyed that view to the group?
MR. CABANA: I think it was a
shared perception -- or a shared belief, yes.
MS EDWARDH: It would have come
after conversation, though, Superintendent Cabana.
I just want to establish: Did you or did you not hold that view and express it to the group? MR. CABANA: I believe $I$ just said I did, ma'am.

MS EDWARDH: And did CSIS, whoever was sitting at the table, did they hold that view and express it to the group?

MR. CABANA: Yes, ma'am, they did. MS EDWARDH: And who else was
there?
MR. CABANA: At that particular meeting -- unfortunately $I$ don't have my notes -I believe there was Justice representatives there, and there was people from ISI, and of course Mr. Pillarella.

MS EDWARDH: Right. And we can check your notes.

But in any event, do I take it
that each person who was at that meeting addressed the issue in their minds of whether this statement was adequate for the purposes of confirmation and for use, or was that mostly you and CSIS concerned with that?

MR. CABANA: No. I believe by the end of the meeting $I$ believe it was a shared belief.

MS EDWARDH: So the one
instruction, $I$ take it, the ambassador would have understood, that to meet the institutional needs of the force, that being the Mounties, and CSIS, that he had to go back and he had to seek and try and obtain a form of a statement -- and you are smiling.

But, Officer, quite frankly the only, only conclusion the Ambassador could have come to, given your advice and the advice of others, was we need a more thorough, detailed statement. Correct?

MR. CABANA: Unfortunately, ma'am, you don't have all the information surrounding that meeting. I would love to be able to tell you exactly what was discussed, what was decided, but that wasn't it.

MS EDWARDH: I'm going to put the question one more time a different way.

Did Ambassador Pillarella leave the meeting with the impression that he should go back to Syrian Military Intelligence and request more detailed information?

MR. CABANA: No.
MS EDWARDH: So if he did that, or
if there were further requests, then I take it that would come as a surprise to you?

MR. CABANA: Yes, it would. MS EDWARDH: Now, would it come as a surprise to you if the decision was: If he couldn't do it very well, we will let CSIS do it. Was that the effect of the conclusion at the meeting? MR. FOTHERGILL: Excuse me,

Commissioner. There is a claim of national security confidentiality with respect to CSIS' involvement in this matter beyond that which had been disclosed in your summary and the additional information that we provided about the CSIS trip. Everything else concerning CSIS' involvement in Project A-OCANADA is subject to a claim of national security confidentiality.

THE COMMISSIONER: Let me say that these questions, I can assure you, have been asked in camera. I have the answers.

I guess whether those actual facts will be made public in the context of my report, I would just make this general comment: I would hope that the maximum amount of disclosure will be available at the time of the report.

I won't prejudge that particular
issue. But $I$ can tell you that we have canvassed this area thoroughly in camera. I will definitely, at the appropriate time, reach conclusions with respect to it and will be setting out my conclusions in detail in the report.

MR. ATKEY: Commissioner, if I may
interrupt here, $I$ have read the testimony of Inspector Cabana in camera and am familiar with the issues raised by Mr. Fothergill, and the amicus would have submissions to make on national security confidentiality in this context.

I believe this is not the appropriate time to make those submissions, but I reserve, and for the record, indicate a different view on behalf of amicus.

THE COMMISSIONER: I appreciate
that, and $I$ restrain myself from making comments now because I don't want to appear to prejudge the decision that $I$ will eventually make with respect to what should be disclosed.

But I will certainly welcome your submissions, Mr. Atkey, at the appropriate time. It obviously, I understand, is a matter of importance, importance directly related, in my view, to the mandate. And $I$ am of the view that the facts and the conclusions that I eventually reach with respect to this are matters of significant consequence to the mandate.

Let me leave it at that.
MS EDWARDH: Mr. Commissioner, maybe I'm dreaming too much at night, which is entirely possible.

THE COMMISSIONER: Maybe we all
are.
MS EDWARDH: But I had thought -and maybe my friends can assist me -- that we had evidence before us that at this meeting the Ambassador discussed with the colleagues who were there the issue of the attendance of CSIS; that it was agreed that, or the suggestion was, it was a bad time to go -- I think it was a bad time to go
to interview Mr. Arar, but okay to go and deal with general issues involving international terrorism.

I thought that was all on the public record, or maybe I'm making it up after a few nights of sleep.

I look over at Mr. Cavalluzzo
plaintively, but $I$ thought that is what the Ambassador testified to.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: I think you may
be partly dreaming.
--- Laughter / Rires
MR. CAVALLUZZO: I think it may be better if you proceed and maybe at the break you can review the transcript. But $I$ don't think you have captured, in fact, what has been elicited in public.

MS EDWARDH: I have some
assistants who are working hard behind me, so I'll move on, and if we can revisit this area.

THE COMMISSIONER: If need be,
certainly.
MS EDWARDH: We will.
Around the same time as this
meeting, in fact just two days before, $I$ believe,
sir, you are given some important information from the Department of Foreign Affairs, and that would be the October 22 nd and November 3 rd consular reports.

Could I please have you, Mr. Registrar, provide to the witness Exhibit 93.

THE COMMISSIONER: Let me add a comment to the exchange that we just had -- sorry, Ms Edwardh.

The fact that this witness is
unable to fully answer and answer the questions that you posed to him because of the Government's claim for NSC should not indicate one way or the other any sort of fault to be found or criticism to be made of the witness.

It is not his difficulty that leads to him unable to answer the question.

I'm making a neutral comment, but I'm simply saying that one should not draw any conclusions with respect to this witness about what took place at that meeting or those discussions because he is unable to answer the question. That is not a problem of his making. MS EDWARDH: I appreciate that, Mr. Commissioner, and $I$ think everyone knows that
the witness has sought to be more fulsome in his answers and that the wall that the witness and I have mutually met is the Government of Canada.

Let's turn to Exhibit 93.
It is apparent, sir, that sometime
around this -- there are two consular reports, if I could just draw your attention to them. You'll find them at Exhibit 93, and then there's an April 22nd report, which you would not have seen because you would have left your position by then.

MR. CABANA: I'm sorry, could you
repeat the question?
MS EDWARDH: Can you identify
Exhibit 93 as a document, sir, that came into the possession of $A-O C A N A D A ; ~ a n d ~ i f ~ s o, ~ w h e n ~ d i d ~ i t ~ d o ~$ so?

MR. CABANA: I would say from the correspondence that as of November 4 th, the document had reached the A-OCANADA team simply because it is being forwarded to "C" Division from A-OCANADA.

MS EDWARDH: So this is two days before the November 6th meeting. Correct?

MR. CABANA: Yes, it is.
MS EDWARDH: Do you recall, sir,
whether this document was brought to the November 6th meeting and discussed by anyone?

MR. CABANA: It wasn't brought by me, because I didn't bring any documents to that meeting. Whether my CROPS officer had a copy, I don't recall.

MS EDWARDH: And do you recall, sir, whether Mr. Pillarella brought or raised it at the meeting?

MR. CABANA: Well, that was basically the nature of the discussion at the meeting, where he briefed us on the results of his meeting with Syrian authorities.

MS EDWARDH: Right.
MR. CABANA: And although I haven't read the whole document here, I'm led to believe that this is an account of that very meeting.

MS EDWARDH: No, sir, I don't want to mislead you. There is another meeting with the Syrian authorities which may have been the matter you refer to when you describe Mr. Pillarella discussing his conversation with General Khalil.

I'm not talking about that. This is the next day or the day after. This is a
consular visit. This involves the attendance by Leo Martel at the place where -- I was going to say where he was held but that may not be true; with an opportunity to actually meet with and talk to Mr. Arar.

MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: And that is a record of the conversation between Mr. Arar and Leo Martel, the consular officer in Damascus. But you got it on November 4th.

MR. CABANA: The team received it on November 4th, yes.

MS EDWARDH: And my question, sir, was: Do you recall it being brought to the meeting on November 6 th in order to assess or consider the sharing of information in the context of this consular report?

MR. CABANA: No. As I stated, ma'am, personally, myself, I did not bring any documents. I don't know whether anybody else did. I could not answer that, I'm sorry.

MS EDWARDH: All right. So the answer is you do not know.

MR. CABANA: No, I don't.

MS EDWARDH: Do you recall, sir, whether you were briefed by the Ambassador? MR. CABANA: Yes, ma'am.

MS EDWARDH: As to the contents of, or the general observations made by Leo Martel when he met with Mr. Arar just a few days before the 6th?

MR. CABANA: Yes, I believe we had general discussions over the consular visit, yes.

MS EDWARDH: Right. So $I$ want to ask you, sir, that between those discussions -oh, one last question.

I take it, sir, when this document was sent to A-OCANADA, in addition to what you learned from the meeting on the 6 th, you would have had an opportunity at least to review this document?

MR. CABANA: I assume I would have.

MS EDWARDH: Yes.
MR. CABANA: Every document that reached my desk, I always initialled and dated. I do not see any of my initials or date here. I don't see my handwriting.

But I assume I would have, yes.

MS EDWARDH: Let's then assume you would have.

MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: Now, Officer, I would
like to ask you a series of hypothetical questions.

MR. CABANA: Certainly.
MS EDWARDH: I would like you to
assume with me you are investigating a very serious crime. In northern Ontario an arrest has been made by a member of the RCMP, and because of inclement weather the accused person, who has now been charged with serial homicides, has been detained in a place under the sole control of a series of RCMP officers, with no access to the outside world for seven days. Okay? Bad weather. Terrible weather.

MR. CABANA: Okay.
MS EDWARDH: No skidoos, nothing.
And when you arrive or when you meet your corporals and ask them to account for what they have done and, first of all, whether they have interviewed the suspect, this is what they produce; okay?

Now let's read it -- oh, one other
fact I want you to assume. I want you to assume that you have knowledge of this suspect, because it has been a long investigation, and the suspect is a person of intelligence, well-educated and quite able to assert their rights in most circumstances, and has done so in the past. Now, let's read this document together. MR. CABANA: Certainly. --- Off microphone / Sans microphone MS EDWARDH: Oh, thank you. We have a less redacted version of this document. I have lost my concordance -- not that I have lost it. It is just a little hard to work with the document.

MS MCISAAC: $P-234$, tab 3. MS EDWARDH: $P-134$, Tab 3. Thank you, Ms McIsaac.

Mr. Registrar, has the witness got the document? And, Mr. Commissioner, have you found it?

THE COMMISSIONER: I have it.
MS EDWARDH: And let me add one other fact -- well, no, I won't even go there.

Let's look at paragraph 2, and I
would like you to assume this is one of your corporals.
"2. Arrived on site at 100 hours and was greeted by an officer who declined to give his identity. Meeting with Syrian officials was cordial and took place in one of their offices. Importance that Canadian authorities attach to this high profile consular case was emphasized and Martel indicated that it was in the best interests of both countries to work together. Officials took minutes during this entire initial period.
3. Arar was brought to the office at 10:30 and meeting with Martel lasted approximately one-half hour. It was not possible to see where exactly Arar was being detained. After shaking
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hands, Arar was shown a seat at a distance."

Now, put your most concerned and critical lenses on. Why would an officer place a suspect at a distance if there were no concerns about possible flight or violence? Why would he do that?

MR. CABANA: Well, ma'am, I really -- I understand where you are getting at. MS EDWARDH: You do?

MR. CABANA: I think I do. But the circumstances are completely different.

You are equating a consular visit with an investigative interview of a suspect, which $I$ would submit to you are not the same.

You are equating an interview or a meeting that is taking place in a foreign country under their rules and regulations to meetings that would occur in Canada, which I would submit to you are completely different again.

I realize you want me to imagine and reach some supposition, but $I$ would submit to you that these are two completely different sets of facts here.

MS EDWARDH: Okay. Well, let's
get down to the brass tacks of it.
MR. CABANA: Fine.
MS EDWARDH: You have read this document. You have prepared yourself to answer questions here today too, by reading it, have you not?

MR. CABANA: I read that document
last year, ma'am. I didn't read this document prior to today, no.

MS EDWARDH: Well, last year you read it.

MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: Now, this is a description, $I$ 'm going to suggest to you, sir, of conditions of extreme oppression. You are a professional police officer, and when you look at language that conveys the following:
"After shaking hands, Arar was shown a seat at a distance. Questioning started along the lines of your instructions but it was obvious subject was not free to answer all the questions." Right? There's clearly an
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indication that there's a man in fear. Correct?
MR. CABANA: No. To me it's an
indication that this is a controlled environment.
MS EDWARDH: That is all you get
from it?
MR. CABANA: That is all I get
from it, yes.
MS EDWARDH: So as a controlled
environment, it's an environment where the person can't speak what they want to say. Correct?

MR. CABANA: Potentially, yes.
MS EDWARDH: And then the
observations:
"Conversation took place in
English and was translated
into Arabic immediately."
That is another part of the
controlled environment. Right?
MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: Make sure that the
person who is holding someone can understand exactly what has been said. Right?

MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: And can stop it, if
necessary?

MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: "Notes were taken at all times..."

That is obviously the record that
the captors are keeping. Right?
MR. CABANA: Obviously, yes.
MS EDWARDH: Difficult to tell if
the suspect is healthy.
Do you see that language?
MR. CABANA: Yes, I see that.
MS EDWARDH: It's also a clear and
obvious fact that the farther away the suspect is from the individual making the observations, the harder it is to make the assessment. Agreed?

MR. CABANA: Agreed.
MS EDWARDH: "He looked resigned and submissive."

What does that tell you, sir, as a professional policeman? What do you read into that?

MR. CABANA: Again, controlled
environment.
MS EDWARDH: And in addition to a controlled environment, doesn't it open up the concern to you that not only is this man being
controlled, but this unusual resignation and submission on behalf of Mr. Arar, the man you know, who said he wouldn't speak to you, raises a concern that he is being physically or emotionally abused by the Syrians?

He is not a submissive man, is he? MR. CABANA: If what you are
trying to elicit from me is whether or not, in our minds, the possibility of ill-treatment towards Mr. Arar had occurred, of course that was in our minds.

MS EDWARDH: Right.
MR. CABANA: Of course we recognized the fact that $M r$. Arar might not be in the best of conditions at that time. Yes.

MS EDWARDH: Well, I guess, you
know -- I don't want to use the euphemism, sir. I want to resile from using language it's not the best of conditions. We know he's not at the Paris Hilton.

MR. CABANA: Pretty obvious, yes.
MS EDWARDH: So what we are concerned about is just what you said: that you had identified that there may be a risk of ill-treatment.

MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: And I'm going to
suggest to you that there's nothing in this consular report, other than assuring you that the man is alive and can still walk, that would exclude the existence of ill-treatment?

Take a moment. It's an important question.
--- Pause
MR. CABANA: The question, again, ma'am, was ...

MS EDWARDH: That other than the fact that Mr. Arar is clearly alive and can walk, there is nothing in this report that excludes the fact that there has been ill-treatment.

MR. CABANA: I would submit to you that it was obvious, reading this report, it was obvious that Mr. Arar was under very controlled conditions.

If you look at some of the comments that Mr. Arar made during his interview, clearly these comments -- well, "clearly"; I'm assuming. But these comments were dictated by Syrian authorities. To me, it's clear.

MS EDWARDH: Right.

MR. CABANA: Whether he was subjected to severe ill-treatment, again, that would be subject to discussion, and there's no indication of that in there.

MS EDWARDH: I know we have heard that statement before, sir, but I disagree with it.

I'm going to put to you this proposition and it's one that $I$ would ask you to answer: There's nothing in this report that excludes the fact that severe ill-treatment may have occurred?

MR. CABANA: Again, it would
depend on the definition of "severe ill-treatment", ma'am.

MS EDWARDH: Assuming it can be both psychological and physical.

MR. CABANA: Exactly.
MS EDWARDH: It's not excluded.
MR. CABANA: The psychological, definitely not.

MS EDWARDH: Now, I want to talk
about torture. I know that you gentlemen, as members of the RCMP, probably know less about torture than any group would -- and I say that out
of respect as opposed to criticism.
But we have heard from people who know something about torture that good torturers often leave few marks. MR. CABANA: Okay. MS EDWARDH: And if that fact is true, that good torturers are not going to kill you, but good torturers who just are torturing for information and don't leave marks, then of course you'll agree with me that this report doesn't exclude good torture and psychological torture? MR. CABANA: If that is a fact, yes, I would agree with you.

MS EDWARDH: Thank you. I want to turn then to the question -- and $I$ know you are out of the A-OCANADA investigation as of February 2002 .

MR. CABANA: 2003, ma'am.
MS EDWARDH: 2003. I'm dreaming
again. But nonetheless -MR. CABANA: I wish.
--- Laughter / Rires
MR. CABANA: I would have welcomed February 2002, ma'am.

MS EDWARDH: Thank you. I
appreciate the correction.
I want, if I could then, to ask
you about the obligation of you as a peace officer, again, to raise with DFAIT your concern that you just articulated. Whether they get it or not, you have the concern. And it troubles me enormously, as a person who has a very deep respect for professional policing, that even if somebody doesn't see what is going on and you have a concern, why doesn't somebody draw the line with respect to Mr. Arar because of the concern around ill-treatment, abuse, torture, and the use of the Syrians to get information?

Why doesn't someone say, "We don't want to go there"?

MR. CABANA: Because -- and I
really do understand your concern. Unfortunately, and like $I$ said, in the mandate that we are given, sometimes we have hard decisions to make.

If all my supervisors that are around the table, senior officers of the RCMP, and a lot more senior than $I$ am, are of the opinion that it is proper and it will further my mandate of completing this investigation and protecting the Canadian public, I will go forward and share
the information.
MS EDWARDH: And now I want to stop there and say even if you are going to go share the information, you certainly do have members of Syrian Military Intelligence who are committing a crime cognizable under the Criminal Code, or you have a credible basis for that belief. Right?

MR. CABANA: We have a -- well, a credible basis? Do we have any evidence, strong evidence? We have evidence that there's potentially ill-treatment, yes.

MS EDWARDH: We can jump ahead, sir, and $I$ know you are out of it, but it does seem to me really interesting that we have Mr. Almalki and we have Mr. El Mati, and we have Mr. Arar back in Canada. Of course they are a little frightened of you guys because they have the feeling that there has been some involvement. Indeed, that is why we are here.

MR. CABANA: And that is very
unfortunate.
MS EDWARDH: It's not surprising, though, is it? It's not surprising. MR. CABANA: No, no.

MS EDWARDH: But one of the things that none of them have ever been asked by any member of the RCMP is: Are you prepared, sir, to put forward a complaint because we are prepared to investigate, because we can charge and try and put those warrants on Interpol and we can prosecute those men if they ever leave Syria or come here with their granddaughters to have their children.

MR. CABANA: Mm-hmm.
MS EDWARDH: Nobody has done that?
MR. CABANA: Well, no, ma'am, but
at the same time $I$ would refer you to the testimony of yesterday. We tried on numerous occasions to meet with the very individuals that you have just identified --

MS EDWARDH: But they were --
MR. CABANA: We also had full
knowledge that they were very well represented, and if there was a complaint that they wished to make, I believe that they had proper representation to make that complaint.

MS EDWARDH: The difficulty is, of course, that they were always presented with the option of meeting with you as a target. They thought they were a suspect or a person --

MR. CABANA: No, I'm sorry ma'am. I would submit to you that the majority of the people that we are looking at here in the Ottawa area, we wished to meet with them as witnesses, including Mr. Arar.

MS EDWARDH: And that, though --
let's be candid here. There is a big difference in saying to someone, "I would like you to participate in a criminal case. You may have to testify." That is a big difference from saying, "I have learned while conducting a criminal investigation that there have been people tortured and I want to investigate this complaint because it is a very serious crime."

No one has ever said to any of
these three men, "We would welcome a complaint and we will investigate it", through their counsel?

MR. CABANA: And your question
would be ...?
MS EDWARDH: You haven't said, or
to your knowledge no other RCMP officer has ever said, "We would welcome a complaint and we would investigate it."

MR. CABANA: Not to my knowledge.
MS EDWARDH: An interesting thing
happened on Friday, Superintendent Cabana. A very courageous magistrate sitting in Milan, in Italy, issued warrants for the arrest of 13 CIA officers. Were you aware of that? MR. CABANA: No, I was not aware of that.

MS EDWARDH: Those CIA officers are alleged to be involved in the abduction of an imam from the streets of Milan and a rendering of him to Egypt. That is what the reports seem to indicate.

As well, there is a current investigation of six more CIA officers who were involved in the operational plans.

Now I have a question. In light of the conduct of your U.S. ally and friends, did you or did anyone else ever consider conducting a criminal investigation in respect of their rendering of Mr . Arar to Syria where he stood a substantial risk of torture?

MR. CABANA: With respect to myself, ma'am, the mandate $I$ was given up to February was very clear, and it's not a situation where $I$ am in charge of a terrorism unit, a permanent terrorism unit. It is not a situation
where my primary mandate is to investigate these types of offences.

It was a situation where $I$ was volunteered to head this investigation for a period of in excess of 16 months. The mandate I was given was very strict and very focused, and I maintained that focus.

With respect to other members of the RCMP, I am not aware.

MS EDWARDH: And I take it, sir, that if the RCMP had made a decision to conduct a criminal investigation into the U.S. actors who had removed Mr. Arar to Syria, taken him in a plane, or been a participant in the decision, you would be aware of that today and there is no serious suggestion that any such investigation has been considered or undertaken?

MR. CABANA: Like I say, whether I would be aware of it today, I'm not sure, because I clearly have left the project on February.

Presently, I am not aware of that ever occurring.

MS EDWARDH: And indeed it would be a surprise to you, would it not, if the RCMP had undertaken a review of its communications and
discussions with the CIA and the FBI in respect of Mr. Arar to determine whether or not any of those persons should be charged and prosecuted?

MR. CABANA: I believe there was a number of reviews that were conducted over the communications we had with U.S. agencies. I'm not aware of any consideration being given for charges during those reviews, no.

MS EDWARDH: You were looking at what you might have said, or whether there was anything you could have conveyed that may have led them to think you were supporting this?

MR. CABANA: Exactly.
MS EDWARDH: I'm asking a totally
different question, sir.
MR. CABANA: I understand that, ma'am. I am saying I am not aware of that.

MS EDWARDH: That's fine. Thank you very much, sir.

Officer, I would like to turn back to the consular notes for a moment.

Are you aware, sir, that when persons obtain consular access, that the Department of Foreign Affairs informs people that their information given to consular officials will
be held in the strictest confidence? Were you aware of that?

It's the kind of thing we tell our children when they go off to Europe, "If you really get in trouble, phone the Canadian Embassy."

MR. CABANA: No, ma'am, I'm not aware of that.

Actually, I have information that might indicate the contrary.

MS EDWARDH: That is very
important information, sir, because it may be that just the Website has to be updated. But there is in fact a concern that a number of us have had about the propriety of promising a confidential communication and being a sieve, because usually the persons who are given the promise are the persons being held in custody and subject of some kind of police action in a foreign state.

MR. CABANA: Yes, I understand that.

MS EDWARDH: So I would like your experience, if $I$ could -- and $I$ think it may be a matter that the Commissioner may want to consider with respect to his recommendations.

We know, sir, that you got the products of some consular visits. That was sent off to A-OCANADA.

MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: And it was given to
you, of course, not because you were just having a humanitarian inclination that morning, but in order to further any investigative needs that you had. And you have made that very clear. MR. CABANA: Yes.

MS EDWARDH: And I take it that
there was no concern on your part that if DFAIT is going to give them to you, you, like any other police officer, someone consents to the provision of confidential information, you take it?

MR. CABANA: No, the concern from my perspective at the time would be, under normal circumstances, over the admissibility of any information that was reaching the project. Under normal circumstances.

Given the mandate that we were provided with, with the first mandate being prevention, the admissibility of any of the information was secondary. The primary concern or focus at that time for us was to try to address
some of the threats that had been very clearly identified to us.

MS EDWARDH: That was my point.
In other words, even if you
couldn't call Madam Girvan at a criminal trial in Canada because the court would say, "Wait a minute, you have made a promise here," and that maybe would establish some kind of privilege in respect of that communication, even if you couldn't do that, you would want the intelligence that comes from the visit?

MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: That's all. We are
not disagreeing.
The problem and issue, sir, for all of us is: Was this a one-off, unusual event, for you to receive consular material? Had this ever happened in your experience before?

MR. CABANA: In my career?
MS EDWARDH: Yes.
MR. CABANA: I have never been
involved in anything like this before in my career, ma'am. So, yes, it was -- I guess I would term it a precedent.

MS EDWARDH: Did you learn, after
you first got this piece of intelligence from DFAIT, did you inquire from them was this the kind of thing they did in the ordinary course in respect of important investigations? MR. CABANA: No, ma'am. MS EDWARDH: You didn't ask them that? MR. CABANA: No. MS EDWARDH: So we are left with, I suppose, one of two conclusions: You did not have a lot of experience in national security investigations before this started, but I suppose there's no way for the Commissioner to know whether as a matter of course for intelligence purposes, DFAIT provides things to CSIS or to other national security investigations. Or would you assume it does?

MR. CABANA: Well, I don't think I
can speak in relation to other criminal or national security types of investigations. I don't think $I$ can speak to that because I'm not aware of what takes place in these other investigations.

I can --
MS EDWARDH: You are struggling
with trying to say something. Maybe I can make my question --

MR. CABANA: What you have to
understand is, as I stated before, there is
information that $I$ would like to refer to, but that I have been told I'm not allowed to refer to, which would help me explain probably what the mindset at the time was --

MS EDWARDH: I'm not interested in the mindset, though, sir. I want to know whether it has happened before and whether it happened with Mr. El Mati and whether it happened with Mr. Almalki.

MR. CABANA: Whether it happened
with Mr. Almalki, no, I'm not aware --
MS EDWARDH: He never got a visit,
sorry.
MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: An opportunity
missed.
Did you get anything from Egypt on
Mr. Almalki?
MR. CABANA: On Mr. Almalki --
MS EDWARDH: El Maati. Sorry,
Mr. El Maati.

MR. CABANA: No, I don't believe so. I don't believe so, ma'am.

But we did get other information.
MS EDWARDH: Through consular
visits?
MR. CABANA: Yes, through some type of consular visit, yes.

MS EDWARDH: With a detained
person?
MR. CABANA: With a detained
Canadian.
MS EDWARDH: With a detained
Canadian.
Are you in a position, sir, to give us any more detail about it, or is this a matter over which a claim for national security has been made and --

MR. FOTHERGILL: Well, we are straying into an area that, in my submission, is starting to be quite remote from the mandate.

You are certainly free to ask a representative of ISI what their general practice is, if not in a public forum, then certainly in camera. And insofar as that might assist you in your recommendations, $I$ am sure we can provide you
with the information.
I don't think it's appropriate to ask this witness these generalized questions beyond the circumstances of Mr. Arar. I don't think it is necessary for the mandate. He has spoken about his knowledge insofar as it relates to Mr. Arar, and with the greatest of respect, I think that is where the evidence should lie in this forum.

If we want to look more broadly
from a policy perspective, we can certainly facilitate that in camera with a representative from the Department of Foreign Affairs.

THE COMMISSIONER: What I hear is
you asserting a claim of national security
confidentiality over the question.
Am I right?
MR. FOTHERGILL: Yes, sir.
THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Atkey,
please.
MR. ATKEY: The amicus would like
to register the opportunity to make submissions on that -- not in this forum, obviously.

As I hear the questions posed, it's not the substance of the information that was
requested but whether information was requested and received from DFAIT.

THE COMMISSIONER: Right. I would have thought that is important information to hear.

But for the time being, at least, that is the subject of the claim?

MR. FOTHERGILL: Well, I'm at a slight disadvantage because $I$ don't know precisely what it is that the witness wishes to say. If it is important, we can certainly, perhaps under the auspices of Commission counsel, explore that issue and $I$ can give you a clearer position.

THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. We have been going an hour and a half. Why don't we take the morning break for 15 minutes. There are two matters that are to be discussed with you, Ms Edward, during the break. Thank you.

THE REGISTRAR: Please stand.
--- Upon recessing at 10:25 a.m. /
Suspension à 10 h 25
--- Upon resuming at 10:44 a.m. /
Reprise à 10 h 44
THE REGISTRAR: Please be seated.
MS EDWARDH: Thank you,

Mr. Commissioner.
I'm pleased to report that it is
not all in my dreams.
--- Laughter / Rires
THE COMMISSIONER: You don't have
to disclose your dreams, Ms Edwardh.
MS EDWARDH: Well, they are pretty
boring, actually.
If the witness could please be given Exhibit $P-134$, these relate to the exhibits of the Ambassador, Franco Pillarella.

Sir, if you would turn with me to tab 8 of this volume, this is a memorandum which you would not necessarily have seen, but the contents of it reflect the meeting we were discussing on November the 6th.

If $I$ could just take you to the third line beginning "Arar", it reads:
"Arar's situation has been
the subject of great consultation within the Government of Canada, both because of the circumstances of the case as well as because of the information
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thought to be in possession of Syrian authorities on the issue of international terrorism. You will remember that an interdepartmental meeting held on Wednesday, November 6, it was agreed that it would be useful if CSIS were to travel to Syria to speak to Syrian
authorities on international terrorism."

Do you see that?
MR. CABANA: Yes, I do.
MS EDWARDH: I take it that that probably records the substance of part of the discussion about CSIS going, but clearly I'm led to understand from this document, and from what the Ambassador said, that there was a consensus that CSIS should go. And indeed CSIS did go and meet with military intelligence.

MR. CABANA: Yes, ma'am.
MS EDWARDH: If I had a newspaper article -- and someone may object.

But there was a recent article
published under the name of Mr. Travers, in which Mr. Travers made the statement that -- and he was kind of advising everyone to be cautious on limiting information-sharing in his article. But he did make the statement and he discussed the Arar case specifically, and said the purpose of this meeting in Syria, the purpose the CSIS going, was to establish a formal information arrangement with Syrian Military Intelligence.

Do you agree or disagree with the statement of Mr. Travers?

MR. FOTHERGILL: Commissioner, I do object. The fact that something appears in the media doesn't necessarily put it officially in the public domain.

As you are aware, CSIS is
conducting a review to determine whether
Mr. Travers' source is a government official and, if so, whether this is a case of unauthorized disclosure.

Let me just reiterate, there is a claim of national security confidentiality with respect to CSIS' involvement in this matter beyond what appears in your summary and the very isolated details of the Syrian trip, such as we see in this
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document that Ms Edwardh has just referred to. So I do object to that question. THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Atkey, yes. MR. ATKEY: Again, Commissioner, I would reiterate my comment on that from the point of view of the amicus.

THE COMMISSIONER: Right. And your submission again would be with respect to the NSC claim that would come later as to whether or not --

MR. ATKEY: That's correct.
MS EDWARDH: I understand that the Government -- it doesn't matter to me whether the statement of fact exists in the media, but $I$ understand Mr. Fothergill is saying that the answer to this question, of whether he agrees or not, is subject to national security confidentiality.

MR. FOTHERGILL: Yes, that's
correct.
THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Fothergill
also said there was an investigation going on as to the source of that information. MS EDWARDH: Yes.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.

Thank you, Ms Edwardh.
MS EDWARDH: Then I'll go back to
where I was.
We were -- one more question.
Sir, do you know the source of the
information in the Travers article?
Don't answer that question.
MR. FOTHERGILL: If he does, I
would be interested in hearing it.
--- Laughter / Rires
MR. CABANA: I'm not even aware or
familiar with the article you are referring to, ma'am.

MS EDWARDH: All right. Then let me go back to my area of concern. We were talking about consular visits, and we were talking about information flowing from consular visits into investigators' hands.

We have established, sir, that not only did this happen in respect of Mr. Arar but there are other occasions when it has occurred.

Fair enough?
MR. CABANA: That's correct.
MS EDWARDH: Now I want to talk
about Mr. Arar very specifically.

Are you familiar with a gentleman who was the Director of Consular Affairs, Mr. Gar Pardy? Did you ever have occasion to meet with him?

MR. CABANA: No, ma'am, I don't believe I did.

MS EDWARDH: He has testified here.

For the record, Mr. Commissioner, you will find this evidence beginning really at page 5090 of Mr. Pardy's testimony and it's my cross-examination.

Mr. Pardy says, and I want your comment, that when there were discussions about the RCMP going themselves -- forget CSIS now -- to Syria, that there were very real concerns expressed about this as a good idea or a bad idea by DFAIT. But more importantly for my purposes, whether the RCMP acceded to DFAIT's advice about handing questions over, interviewing or sending information, that it was a fundamental principle for the RCMP to reserve their right to do what they chose to do, regardless of DFAIT's position.

MR. CABANA: I'm not familiar with what you are referring to, ma'am.

MS EDWARDH: So you were never privy to discussions then when the RCMP reserved to make its own decision about the propriety of either travelling to Syria, sending questions, or whatever was at issue in respect of the investigation pertaining to Mr. Arar?

MR. CABANA: No, ma'am. The meetings that $I$ participated at, especially the meeting of November 6th, when $I$ left that meeting I was clearly under the impression that everybody present was in agreement with the course of action.

MS EDWARDH: I would like to go to another issue raised by Mr. Pardy, if I could.

That really flows in the few pages after 5090, Mr. Commissioner, if people wish to have a reference for it.

Mr. Pardy was, I think, acutely
aware of some of the issues surrounding the sharing of consular information with the RCMP, and in Mr. Arar's case he made the observation that the provision of this information to A-OCANADA was in part done to "carry" the RCMP and to encourage you to stand down.

In other words, by getting
consular information from him, on a cost-benefit analysis it was better to break the confidence of Mr. Arar than to have you or any of the team attend in Syria.

Do you remember, or do you have any comment upon that deal?

MR. CABANA: About the only
comment $I$ would have is I'm not familiar with that deal, as you term it, and actually it goes contrary to the nature of the discussions we had. MS EDWARDH: And certainly you will acknowledge, sir -- at least it is our information that the person who made the decision to give you the information was Gar Pardy. He approved it.

MR. CABANA: I have no idea who approved the release of the information. I was meeting with the people from ISI as well as the Ambassador. I don't know whether they had obtained prior approval from other individuals. I don't know.

MS EDWARDH: Do you recall, sir, at least agreeing that the RCMP would stand down its request to travel to Syria or to send questions or anything else until after April $22 n$ ?

And these were apparently ongoing discussions, so that would be April 22 nd, 2003.

But they were ongoing, according
to Mr. Pardy.
MR. CABANA: Well, if there were any discussions of that nature, clearly $I$ was not privy to them.

Again, I would submit to you that
the discussions that took place at the November 6th meeting do not necessarily reflect what you are telling me here.

In other words, the purpose of the CSIS trip and the purpose of us not attending at that point in time, to my knowledge, had nothing to do with what you are telling me now.

MS EDWARDH: So if $I$ can then
explore with you the decision you made, in conjunction with your superiors and colleagues, that you would not send members of the AO team to Syria, can you please describe what the reasons were that you would defer to CSIS?

MR. CABANA: Certainly. The
discussions that took place, like I said earlier, were focused on the -- I should say the lack of detail in the information that reached us. And as
investigators, everybody was in agreement that this was basically a recount or synopsis of a detailed interview that had taken place, and in order for us to be able to conduct a proper analysis to try to assess -- again, we are not focusing on Mr. Arar, we are focusing on the threat in Canada.

To be able to assess the validity
of the information and to see if there was any more information that would help us focus on this threat, more information was required.

It was also discussed, and I think
it was an agreed fact, that the RCMP, or law enforcement agencies in general, $I$ would say, do not have a habit of establishing relationships with military intelligence agencies, foreign military intelligence agencies, including Syria; that these types of relationships usually occur through CSIS.

And the decision, the agreement, was that CSIS was likely better equipped to deal with this agency than the RCMP.

MS EDWARDH: And indeed, Superintendent, we have heard, and maybe this was also the subject of conversation, that the Syrian

Military Intelligence was more inclined towards other intelligence agencies rather than dealing with police forces.

MR. CABANA: Very much so.
MS EDWARDH: Right. So if I can
interpret what you said then, what is important, I think, for this inquiry is that fact, that the RCMP wasn't the ideal organization to pursue the obtaining of a more detailed statement but rather CSIS was. That fact is troubling for this reason, and I'm going to put to you this proposition: All that is going on here is the criminal investigation that is ongoing in respect of the Ottawa targets, including Mr. Arar as a person of interest, has shifted because it is now more useful to use CSIS. So CSIS is pursuing what is the legitimate goal, as you have described it, of obtaining information from the Syrians in order to further your investigation, and CSIS isn't supposed to do that? MR. CABANA: No -MR. FOTHERGILL: I'm sorry, I do have to object again. There is a premise in that question which, if the witness adopts, would tend to expand the public record of CSIS' involvement
and the purpose of their trip beyond that which is currently in the public domain.

I say again, we are objecting to
particulars of CSIS' involvement in this
investigation if it goes beyond what is in the summary that has been published by the Commission and the three facts about the trip, which is that it was not principally related to Mr. Arar and that Mr. Arar was not interviewed when CSIS went to Syria, although he may have been discussed.

Beyond that, there is a claim of NSC.

THE COMMISSIONER: I think it is a fair point. It is the same objection that has been made before, $I$ guess. The premise of the question included a fact, and that is something that we will deal with down the road.

MS EDWARDH: Thank you.
THE COMMISSIONER: Thanks,
Ms Edwardh.
MS EDWARDH: I would like to turn
to an extremely boring area, if $I$ could, for a moment, Superintendent. MR. CABANA: My pleasure.
--- Laughter / Rires

MS EDWARDH: I have struggled with
some of the language used in the various reports to describe persons who are within the ambit of a criminal investigation. I usually don't have this trouble, and I thought maybe you would define these terms for us and clarify it because they seem to slither a bit.

MR. CABANA: Mm-hmm.
MS EDWARDH: I take it, sir, that a person of interest can be or can have a number of different attributes. A person of interest can be a person whom you believe could be a witness.

Is that correct?
MR. CABANA: Yes, most definitely.
MS EDWARDH: A person of interest could simply be someone who you could not even identify as having the characteristics of a probable witness, but may have something to say because they have some connection or association with a target?

MR. CABANA: I would term -- based on that definition, it would be an associate.

MS EDWARDH: Okay. So an
associate is someone about whom all you know is there is a connection?

MR. CABANA: There's a connection. We might not even know the identity of the person.

MS EDWARDH: Right. So a spouse
is an associate.
MR. CABANA: A spouse is a spouse.
--- Laughter / Rires
MS EDWARDH: I give up,
Mr. Commissioner.
MR. CABANA: I'm sorry.
MS EDWARDH: Okay. That's all
right.
Leaving aside familial bonds, a
friend is an associate?
MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: A co-worker is an
associate?
MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: So that is what we mean. You don't necessarily have any information that would lead you to put them into any category other than there is a connection you have established?

MR. CABANA: Exactly.
MS EDWARDH: Fair enough. That is an associate.

And then we have this other category -- I want to go back to persons of interest. Who else fits within the category of persons of interest?

MR. CABANA: I'm not sure I
understand the question, I'm sorry.
MS EDWARDH: Well, I certainly
understand that a person of interest is someone who may have information about an investigation that could make them worthy of being a prospective witness. That is one category.

MR. CABANA: A person of interest
is a person, to me, that there's a strong indication that the person would have valuable information that could further the investigation. A person of interest would be a person who, at that point in time, we would not have a clear understanding of the role of that person.

In other words, it's a person that
is an associate, but at that point there is indication that it might be more than an associate.

MS EDWARDH: Right.
MR. CABANA: But is not the main focus of the investigation.

MS EDWARDH: Before we get to main focus, which I'm going to take way down the line, if I could, for a moment, a person for whom you have a strong suspicion or indication has material information --

MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: -- and therefore it
is unclear just what the totality of that information is, is still a very long way, sir, from a suspect. Correct?

MR. CABANA: Is a way from a suspect, yes.

MS EDWARDH: So is it fair for the Commissioner and for those of us who are reading the RCMP documents to assume that when the position is taken that the person is a person of interest, that they are persons who are believed to have information that could further the investigation but for whom the RCMP is not at this stage suggesting that they are either involved in criminal wrong-doing, targeted as criminal wrong-doers, or in any way central to an investigation?

MR. CABANA: Let me maybe define "person of interest" in the context of this
particular project, if $I$ may?
MS EDWARDH: Certainly.
MR. CABANA: During the course of
this investigation, we had identified individuals, some of which we had indications might be more than mere associates and might, in fact, be involved in some nefarious activities, if you want.

But for the purpose of meeting our mandate and maintaining the focus of the investigation, these people were being considered as potential witnesses.

MS EDWARDH: Well, that happens in every criminal investigation, sir.

MR. CABANA: Exactly. But there
was a series of individuals, ma'am, that were clearly identified in this project and which in fact were identified for future or potential investigative hearings.

MS EDWARDH: Investigative
hearings.
MR. CABANA: Yes, under C-36.
MS EDWARDH: Now we know a little
bit more about investigative hearings. Have investigative hearings taken place pursuant to

C-36?
MR. CABANA: Not that I'm aware
of, at least not while $I$ was attached to this project, no.

MS EDWARDH: And were officers designated under 25.1 of the Criminal Code by the Solicitor General, or by you as their superior?

MR. CABANA: In relation to ...
MS EDWARDH: This investigation.
Do you know what I'm referring to,
25.1?

MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: Yes.
MR. CABANA: Not that I'm aware
of.
MS EDWARDH: All right. Let's go back then.

There is no mystery to this
because in any big criminal investigation, if you have a hundred people that you may be sifting through, there will be ten you decide are totally irrelevant, ten you put into the "probable charge" category, and others which you are not sure of. MR. CABANA: I realize that. What I'm getting at, ma'am, is that for $u s$ in the
context of this project there were individuals that were identified as persons of interest, but the fact that they were identified as persons of interest didn't mean that they were not directly involved or indirectly involved in any of the activities.

MS EDWARDH: Fair enough.
MR. CABANA: But we still
identified them and put them aside as potential witnesses.

MS EDWARDH: All right. That is a policing decision.

MR. CABANA: Exactly.
MS EDWARDH: So you can have lots of crimes where you say, "I'm not going to make this person a target, I'm going to cultivate them as a witness in an important prosecution." It happens all the time.

That is why everybody is crazy about Karla Homolka, too.

Let's talk about the letter. I want to talk about the letter, first of all, that Mr. Edelson asked you for, because it baffles me, sir.

```
You told us yesterday that
```

Mr. Arar was sought by you as a potential witness in respect of an important prosecution. Correct? MR. CABANA: Correct. MS EDWARDH: And when Mr. Edelson
comes to you to ask for some kind of letter, you say, and the department says, and the RCMP looks shocked by the very prospect, but your witness is cooling his or her heels, right, in a prison? MR. CABANA: Yes. MS EDWARDH: And one of the things that surprises me is you could have easily, if what you say yesterday was correct, simply written the following letter: "Dear, Sir: Mr. Maher Arar is a person who is of interest to the RCMP as a possible important witness in a very serious prosecution in Canada. He is a man of no criminal record, nor are there any outstanding warrants or charges against him." Period.

All of which would have been totally and absolutely correct. Is that fair? MR. CABANA: Can you repeat the -MS EDWARDH: I knew he was going

```
to say that.
```

--- Laughter / Rires MR. CABANA: I'm sorry.

MS EDWARDH: That Mr. Arar is a person who the RCMP have identified as a prospective witness in a very important investigation in Canada. Period. He is a person who has no criminal record and for whom there are no outstanding warrants or charges. Period.

That letter could have been
written?
MR. CABANA: I would submit to you
that the letter could have been written in a lot of different ways, and could have said a lot of different things.

I would also submit to you that the letter that was written, ma'am, disclosed more information than the RCMP is in the habit of disclosing, to the point where during the different reviews that took place $I$ was the subject of criticism because of that letter, ma'am.

MS EDWARDH: Well, all I'm trying
to establish --
MR. CABANA: I understand what you are trying to establish.

MS EDWARDH: I have come to your Force, sir, and I have gotten letters and they
often say: (1) person has no criminal charges; no outstanding warrants. Right?

MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: And you could have
said we need him as a witness. We want him as a witness to come home.

MR. CABANA: I understand what you
are saying, ma'am. The problem I guess with all of this is there's a lot of attention, of course, resulting from this Commission, with the circumstances surrounding Mr. Arar and the events that led to his deportation.

In the context of our
investigation, Mr. Arar was one of several potential witnesses and there was not the focus that seems to be put forward by the Commission here.

In other words, Mr. Arar was one of the many subjects that we had. This request came in. The request was problematic in the sense that DFAIT were referring Mr. Arar's counsel, who we understood to be his counsel at the time -MS EDWARDH: Right.

MR. CABANA: DFAIT was telling his counsel to come to the RCMP and get a letter
stating these things. The proper way of doing this would have been for DFAIT to come to the RCMP and say "we need this". And those types of decisions would have been made at a higher level than myself.

MS EDWARDH: So there was a fundamental failure of protocol here, too. MR. CABANA: Well, I -MS EDWARDH: I don't mean to denigrate it by suggesting that if Minister Graham had picked up the phone and spoken to the Solicitor General, who then had a meeting with Commissioner Zaccardelli and said "this kind of communication back in November would be of grave assistance to us in the consular services we are providing", that would have been a different situation, you are saying?

MR. CABANA: I'm not going to
suggest what the process should have been.
MS EDWARDH: All right.
MR. CABANA: All I'm going to
suggest is the process for Mr. Edelson to come directly to the investigative team and seeking this kind of release, if you want, was problematic and inappropriate.

MS EDWARDH: Well --
MR. CABANA: And the reply to Mr. Edelson's request was discussed at length with Justice, and with headquarters and CROPS officer.

MS EDWARDH: But, you see, sir, Mr. Edelson didn't just come to the RCMP. He embraced within his inquiry for assistance communications with Ann Alder from the Department of Justice.

MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: It does not appear to
me that anyone ever said to Mr. Edelson, "Excuse me. You are now stepping into areas of protocol, and you would be far better off to go back to Mr. Pardy and say, 'Mr. Pardy, address this interdepartmentally.'"

MR. CABANA: I don't agree with
you, ma'am. Mr. Edelson was advised of this.
MS EDWARDH: He was?
MR. CABANA: Yes, ma'am, he was.
MS EDWARDH: So that is one obstacle you have identified, the absence of the correct process, whatever it ought to have been. Now let's talk about the substance of it.

There would be nothing misleading
or inaccurate, given your testimony yesterday, Officer, to have written a letter making the four points I outlined: to say that Mr. Arar was a prospective witness in an important Canadian investigation; he had no criminal record; there were no warrants for his arrest; and no charges outstanding.

A simple letter, nothing misleading. Right? There's nothing misleading about those factual assertions.

MR. CABANA: About those facts? No, there's nothing misleading about those facts, no.

MS EDWARDH: So surely there's more than just protocol -- I mean, Edelson may have done it badly. We defence lawyers do that badly -- from time to time we do things badly.

But, tell me, what is the other barrier, impediment?

MR. CABANA: The other barrier or
impediment is -- well, call it a barrier or impediment if you want. As a matter of course, by policy, the RCMP does not provide any information in relation to subjects that are involved or surface in the course of our investigations.

And like I say, ma'am -- I don't want to term it courtesy, but the response that was provided to Mr. Edelson went beyond, I guess, what should have been forwarded.

MS EDWARDH: You mean he got more than he deserved in that sense --

MR. CABANA: I'm not saying he got more than he deserved.

MS EDWARDH: More than he should have?

MR. CABANA: From the
investigative team, yes.
MS EDWARDH: Why on earth would
the RCMP have a policy -- if you are writing letters up and down the chain that he is a person of interest, why on earth would the RCMP have a policy whereby it would not identify, for the purposes of the Department of Foreign Affairs, for the purposes of their actions, the precise, real position that you have just described: that here is a prospective witness; we want him back.

The only conclusion, you see, Superintendent Cabana, I come to -- because that letter is not a complicated letter; it doesn't embarrass the Force.

The only conclusion that you can come to with that refusal is this policy, which doesn't exist anywhere except in ether, there was a greater benefit to having him there than to having him back?

MR. CABANA: I'm sorry, ma'am, I disagree with you entirely. I realize that is your position, and you are entitled to that position.

MS EDWARDH: So you have identified for the Commissioner, then, the barriers you felt: one was the policy and one was the method of seeking the Force's assistance by Mr. Edelson?

MR. CABANA: What I have identified to the Commission is there is a process for these requests to go forward. There are also responsibilities for individuals that are incarcerated abroad. Those responsibilities do not fall on the RCMP, ma'am.

MS EDWARDH: I want to tell you a story.

MR. CABANA: Certainly.
MS EDWARDH: There is a woman who lived in Canada, and she found herself very
unhappily arrested with her daughter in Vietnam, and it was alleged by the Vietnamese that she was involved in trafficking heroin and she was arrested at the airport. She was tried and convicted and sentenced to death.

The provision of consular services to this woman included an actual visit by members of the Metropolitan Toronto Police Service in order to share information with a view to giving evidence of innocence that they wanted their colleagues in Hanoi to consider. MR. CABANA: Mm-hmm. MS EDWARDH: So the merger of policing and consular services is not entirely alien.

MR. CABANA: I'm not suggesting it is, ma'am.

MS EDWARDH: Okay. I thought you were.

MR. CABANA: You seem to be
implying that the RCMP has a duty and a responsibility to look at all actions of foreign states and to involve themselves if there are Canadians that are involved in these actions or that suffer from these actions.
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I would submit to you, ma'am, that at the time my duties were to the mandate that I was provided to conduct this investigation, which is exactly what I did.

MS EDWARDH: Well, you have many duties, sir. You have duties with respect to your mandate. You have duties as a peace officer. You bear with you at all times common law duties about your office that you hold. I understand that.

But the problem that is very simple is there is nothing antithetical to the discharge of the nation's duties to its citizens to have the RCMP write a simple, factually correct letter that can be provided by the Department of Foreign Affairs to foreign entities?

MR. CABANA: If your question -because I'm really not sure what your question is here.

But if your question is, could that letter have been written?

MS EDWARDH: Yes.
MR. CABANA: The answer is yes. And like I said earlier, many different forms of letter could have been written. But the response is the letter that was written was the one that
was forwarded to Mr. Edelson after consultation. That is what was done.

MS EDWARDH: Let me then turn to another area, if $I$ could.

I perhaps should clarify just a
couple of more terms which I struggled with. MR. CABANA: Certainly. MS EDWARDH: Target. The target of an investigation; target or targets.
--- Background noise / Bruit de fond MS EDWARDH: That is a target. We will deal with him later.
--- Laughter / Rires
MS EDWARDH: A target is
someone -- well, you define it for us.
MR. CABANA: A target is the
subject of the investigation.
MS EDWARDH: And that would mean, just for the purposes of those who may not have -MR. CABANA: For the purposes of those who may not have the background or the understanding, a target is the person or entities in relation to which the evidence of the commission of an offence is being sought. MS EDWARDH: And I just want to
make one thing clear, because $I$ was a little confused yesterday.

I have read the ITO of the
affiant, Corporal Walsh -- I think it's Corporal
Walsh --
MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: -- in respect of the
seven search warrants.
MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: And it seems pretty
clear to me that the A-OCANADA investigation had a
single target initially?
MR. CABANA: Initially?
MS EDWARDH: Yes.
MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: Is that correct?
MR. CABANA: That's correct.
MS EDWARDH: And that is the way
the investigation is described as of January 22 nd, 2002, in terms of there being a target --

MR. CABANA: As a general -- yes,
I believe you are right.
MS EDWARDH: Thank you.
Then we get to this other
phraseology that $I$ would like you to explain to
us, if I could.
We have subject of an
investigation, or peripheral subject of an investigation.

Is a subject of an investigation a
target?
MR. CABANA: I guess it depends who wrote the report you are referring to. To me, the subject of an investigation is the target, and $I$ will bring you into the context of the project, if I may?

Tasks were assigned to some of the investigators. In other words -- and, Mr. Bayne, pardon me, I'll use your name as an example.

An investigator is asked to
conduct background investigation in relation to Mr. Bayne because Mr. Bayne is an associate or has surfaced under whatever circumstances in the project.

It is conceivable that the
investigator that is being assigned as a task to do this in his notes and report will refer to Mr. Bayne as the subject of the investigation. It's the subject of the background investigation.

So depending on who wrote that
term, it has to be taken into context.
MS EDWARDH: And in what context
the term was written.
MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: Fair enough. I must
admit, I was baffled by the various descriptions attached to Mr. Arar.

MR. CABANA: Yes. And when $I$ went through the different documents in preparation for the hearings, $I$ noticed the discrepancies as well. MS EDWARDH: Okay.

MR. CABANA: But I think if you
look at the various communications with foreign agencies and some of the presentations that were conducted, clearly the role -- or the purpose of our attention on Mr. Arar is clear.

MS EDWARDH: And that was with
respect to him being a witness --
MR. CABANA: If a person is
considered as a candidate for an investigative hearing, clearly he is not considered as a suspect.

MS EDWARDH: And I take it Mr. Arar was considered as such a candidate. MR. CABANA: Yes, ma'am, he was.

MS EDWARDH: I guess now they have to be held in public.

MR. CABANA: Pardon me?
MS EDWARDH: I guess now they have
to be held in public.
MR. CABANA: How the process, the
procedure would unfold, I have no idea.
MS EDWARDH: All right. And to
the best of your knowledge, that is how he has continued to be viewed, from what you have read and from what you know?

MR. CABANA: To the best of my knowledge, up to February 4 th of 2003 , yes, that is how he was viewed.

MS EDWARDH: I want to just turn to another broad area, and $I$ want to talk about racial profiling, tunnel vision, and a few things like that.

MR. CABANA: Certainly.
MS EDWARDH: As a senior police officer, sir, you would have been very alive to the evolution of concerns reflected in Royal Commissions of Inquiry looking at wrongful convictions?

MR. CABANA: Yes, ma'am.

MS EDWARDH: You come from the East Coast?

MR. CABANA: I did most of my service on the East Coast.

MS EDWARDH: So then you would have been alive to the hearings or the conclusions of the Marshall Inquiry?

MR. CABANA: Very much so.
MS EDWARDH: And I assume, sir, just a matter of general interest as a senior police officer, alive to the conclusions and concerns in other commissions of inquiry, like the Morin Inquiry, et cetera?

MR. CABANA: In general terms, yes.

MS EDWARDH: We have learned -and $I$ want to talk about investigating a sleeper cell, because the way $I$ read part of the ITO, and I have some trouble sorting out just what the framework of the investigation was.

First of all, there is reference to, you know, kind of an investigation into the logistics of financing al-Qaeda. That is (a). And the other one was (b), a sleeper cell.

MR. CABANA: I would have to refer back to the ITO. I believe there was more than that.

MS EDWARDH: Okay. Then let me
find you the ITO.
MR. CABANA: Thank you.
MS EDWARDH: We have a new ITO.
I think it is 167.
Mr. Registrar, could you please
give the witness Exhibit 167. It's the affidavit
of Mr. Randy Walsh, and it's a new version.
And I think at paragraph -- you
see, mine is redacted, so there may be lots in here that $I$ can't see, sir.

But turn to tab D, paragraph 12 on page 7.

It says:
"As much of the information contained in this Information refers to a suspected
'sleeper' terrorist cell..."
Do you see that reference?
MR. CABANA: Yes, ma'am.
MS EDWARDH: Then we also heard
you say yesterday that the focus of the
investigation related to financial transactions and matters that were a part of what I term "logistical support".

MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: These are different, in my view. Logistical support involves actual actions or omissions on the part of persons that in fact aid, and sleeper cells are persons who are asleep, waiting to act.

MR. CABANA: That would be your definition. By my definition, people that are contributing are part of the term "sleeper cell", are part of this group.

MS EDWARDH: So when we go back to those great spy stories of the Kim Philby's and people like that who were placed in significant positions in Western society and were in fact Soviet agents, that kind of view of sleeper cell is not what you are talking about? MR. CABANA: No.

MS EDWARDH: That is helpful, because one of the problems, I felt some sympathy for how you investigate a sleeper who isn't doing anything except trying to be invisible in a society. But you were actually investigating
actions and omissions of individuals in respect of specific transactions.

And you don't have to go any
further than that.
MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: One of the difficulties, Officer, $I$ want to chat about for a moment, and $I$ want to talk about racial profiling.

There is an alarming description of who the enemy is in a document. And let me just see if $I$ can find it in any kind of handy way.

I have asked my colleague Ms Davis for the reference here so frequently that she has just threatened me.

Give us one moment.
MR. CABANA: Certainly.
--- Pause
MS EDWARDH: It's Exhibit $P-85$, Volume 5, tab 23.

MR. CABANA: I'm sorry, which tab, ma'am?

MS EDWARDH: Tab 23, sir, and the particular description $I$ wish to have a discussion with you about, sir, is found at page 3 of 6 in
this document.
Beginning with the first
paragraph, it says the following:
"The second phase is to develop a longer term strategy to deal with future potential attacks. This longer term strategy will have to take into account the type of adversary we are up against. By all accounts the hijackers of the four planes were men who had lived in the United States for some time, did not act conspicuously, were well spoken, well dressed, educated and blended in well with the North

American lifestyle. Similar subjects live in Canada, and some have been identified through the ...
investigation. These
identified individuals travel internationally with ease,
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use the Internet and technology to their advantage, know how to exploit our social and legal situation..."

And the only thing missing from that is "and are Arab/Muslim men".

But that is implicit in the description. Correct?

It is implicit in the description.
All of the persons --
MR. CABANA: I would say it's a
fact that all of the hijackers were, yes.
MS EDWARDH: Yes. And the four
hijackers -- or the hijackers referred to are, according to this document, the type of adversary we are up against. Right?

That is what it says.
MR. CABANA: Yes, that is what it
says. But what meaning do you attach to this? MS EDWARDH: I attach this meaning: that this description would apply to many, many North American Arab/Muslim men who have adjusted and integrated into Canadian -- let's take Canadian society, by being well-educated,
et cetera.
MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: And that this
description, as the "type of adversary" is an express invitation to racially profile people when you have a mandate, sir, as you had, which is turn over every stone.

MR. CABANA: Mm-hmm.
MS EDWARDH: And there may be an objection to this question, so you'll have to be patient with me. It allows me to sit down from time to time.

I want to go to the first time you saw Mr. Arar. I take it, sir, that was October 12th, 2001, when he was picked up while you had Mr. Almalki under surveillance.

Don't answer.
MR. FOTHERGILL: I don't think we re getting into an NSC area necessarily so much as possibly putting ourselves in a breach of the direction you gave about the initial interest of Mr. Arar.

But perhaps I could defer to Mr. Bayne on this point.

MR. BAYNE: I have kept a very low
profile, as you have noticed. I simply rely upon the order that you made and the ruling and the direction of certain areas the witness can't discuss, for very good reason. He can't give full answers about them or explain the significance of them.

THE COMMISSIONER: Certainly you should feel free though, Mr. Bayne -- I think I have made it clear -- if you have a submission that there is a question that you consider to be unfair, I won't necessarily agree with you but I should hear from you.

On this question, I might say, if
it's helpful to you, I don't see the question itself at this point being unfair. I think Ms Edwardh is simply asking if the first time this witness saw Mr. Arar was on October 12th.

I'm not sure, but I think the witness was about to say he didn't see him on that day.

MS EDWARDH: Oh, sorry. Maybe can I -- like, do this?
--- Laughter / Rires
THE COMMISSIONER: Maybe I'm wrong.

MR. CABANA: No. You are absolutely right.

THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
MR. BAYNE: All $I$ would say in
reply is I am relatively hampered in making effective submissions to you. You have heard me in camera on a number of occasions, maybe far too much, but you know the thrust of the arguments that can be made and have been made to you.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Right.
MR. BAYNE: My ability here to
make submissions is constrained, as is the officer's, in discussing certain things.

So I am taking real pains not to
interrupt the flow of my learned friend's cross-examination and simply to trust the directions you gave to me some days ago.

And, sir, if it's not in your mind unfair, we told you we would trust your judgment on this.

THE COMMISSIONER: Well, I
appreciate that.
First of all, I think the premise of the question, as $I$ pointed out now, is not correct. Why don't you pursue it and see?

I don't think there's a problem with simply asking the question when he first saw Mr. Arar, if that is --

MS EDWARDH: I have a couple of
questions, and I'll be mindful of my learned friend's concerns and invite him to rise if, of course, I transgress that.

But $I$ want to just take a slice of
that incident.
MR. CABANA: Certainly.
MS EDWARDH: I'm interested in the
question of the threshold; what is the threshold in such an investigation.

MR. CABANA: Sure.
MS EDWARDH: I'll put to you the propositions one at a time, and if someone objects, you'll bail out. All right?

The first is it's my understanding that the team would have first identified Mr. Arar as a result of surveillance conducted on Mr. Almalki on October 12th.

MR. CABANA: No, ma'am --
MR. FOTHERGILL: Excuse me. We
are now moving beyond the initial question, just confirming that this was the first knowledge of

Mr. Arar.
But the precise reason why that meeting was of interest to police is subject to a claim of national security confidentiality.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, I am aware that the Government has claimed going behind that meeting is national security confidentiality.

MS EDWARDH: I'm having trouble, Mr. Commissioner.
--- Pause
MS EDWARDH: I am going to turn to a totally different area because $I$ can see I'm on quicksand here.

I want to talk about the conditions Mr. Edelson put on your interview. MR. CABANA: Yes, ma'am. MS EDWARDH: I'm going to put it to you, sir, that it is a remarkable thing for a police officer to do: to ask a person to have their client sit for an interview that is not bounded in any way by an understanding of: What is the purpose of the interview? Is the person being interviewed qua witness, qua accused? Is the person -- I mean, there is no information. MR. CABANA: No. Mr. Edelson was
fully aware of the purpose of our request for an interview. He was fully aware of the fact that we considered Mr. Arar to be a potential witness. MS EDWARDH: And do you have any --

MR. CABANA: And I would submit to you that the same message was conveyed to Mr. Arar in his conversation with the investigator.

MS EDWARDH: Well, we are going to look at the notes because there is nothing in the note of --

MR. CABANA: I believe the notes that we reviewed yesterday indicate that we want to speak to him because he might have some information that we are interested in.

MS EDWARDH: Oh, well, sir, I'm
far too cagey to accept a police officer's "you may have some information that $I$ want" as being an indication of why the officer wants to speak to my client.

That could be a target, that could be a witness, that could be any of the range of possible implications.

MR. CABANA: That is fair. But in relation to the discussions that we had with

Mr. Edelson, Mr. Edelson knew the purpose.
MS EDWARDH: All right. We have a record, and there is no suggestion that anybody ever explained to Mr. Edelson that the sole purpose for the interview was to treat Mr. Arar as a witness and that he was not at risk of other things. But you are saying that was done.

MR. CABANA: That was done. That
was done very shortly following the searches of January 22 nd where there was a series of individuals that were identified as potential witnesses to Mr. Edelson.

MS EDWARDH: Therefore, the fact that he wanted to have you take a statement in his office is not a problem. That is not a problem because you have got a witness.

MR. CABANA: No. Like I said, it's not a major problem. We would prefer to have it in our interview rooms. Not a major problem.

MS EDWARDH: We always fight about this. This is a matter of convenience.

MR. CABANA: Exactly.
MS EDWARDH: Okay. That is number 1.

Number 2. It's not a problem, if
he is just a witness, that he wants an induced statement, because you don't intend to use this as an admission in any future criminal trial.

MR. CABANA: That's fine.
MS EDWARDH: Right? So it's not inconsistent with what you want?

MR. CABANA: No.
MS EDWARDH: And by an induced
statement, we mean one that is presumptively inadmissible if you were in fact prosecuting someone, because you have made an offer, held up a hope of advantage. Right?

MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: So the only issue in Mr. Edelson's terms that is inconsistent with your objective, sir, is that he doesn't want it to be a KGB statement. In other words, he will not allow you to use the statement in proceedings: you have to have Mr. Arar testify.

That is the only condition he
imposes qua witness.
MR. CABANA: No. The problem with
the request or the condition is the fact that we are being asked, before we know what Mr. Arar or, for that matter the other potential witnesses have
to offer, the kind of information that they hold. And we are being asked, before we even find out that kind of information, to make a commitment that we will never use it in any proceedings against anybody. Then I would submit it defeats the purpose of the interview.

MS EDWARDH: I don't want anybody here to misunderstand what Mr. Edelson and you are talking about.

There is no suggestion that all of the information you obtained could have been used and investigated and developed in your investigation. There's nothing prohibiting that in the agreement. Correct?

MR. CABANA: Correct.
MS EDWARDH: So you could have said "tell me about these financials transactions, tell me about this meeting, tell me about" -- you could have done all of that in the terms of your agreement and furthered your investigation. Correct?

MR. CABANA: Correct.
MS EDWARDH: What you couldn't do
was make that statement itself stand alone as evidence regardless of whether Mr. Arar was here.

MR. CABANA: The purpose of our request to interview these individuals as witnesses was specifically that.

MS EDWARDH: Right.
MR. CABANA: To locate potential witnesses for future proceedings. Some of these individuals had been involved in transactions that we were already aware of.

MS EDWARDH: Well, that is why you have the interview.

MR. CABANA: Exactly. So the purpose is to ensure that we have some witnesses.

MS EDWARDH: My problem is, sir, yesterday you testified that the interview was worthless. You and $I$ both have a long history in the administration of criminal justice. And if it is truly an interview with a witness, that interview isn't worthless at all, because you can take every factual matter, investigate it and check it.

The only thing it's useless for is a stand-alone admissible piece of evidence that can be used even if Mr. Arar is gone or dead, i.e., a KGB statement?

MR. CABANA: Yes.

MS EDWARDH: And it wasn't worth your while then to obtain from him all the details of all the factual matters you were interested in even if you couldn't use it as a stand-alone piece of evidence admissible in a criminal trial. It wasn't worth your while?

MR. CABANA: No.
MS EDWARDH: He is pretty
peripheral?
MR. CABANA: Pardon me?
MS EDWARDH: He is pretty
peripheral?
MR. CABANA: He is peripheral,
yes.
MS EDWARDH: I take it, sir, that
it is of no dispute that after this failure to make any agreements with terms and conditions around an interview, that no further efforts were made to interview Mr. Arar until he left for Tunisia?

MR. CABANA: That's correct.
MS EDWARDH: And indeed, so people understand it, that is most appropriate protocol. You have rejected the terms, as you are entitled to do, and that means he has exercised his right
to counsel and you stand back.
MR. CABANA: Exactly.
MS EDWARDH: The other thing that I just want to ask you about, and there may be an objection.

It's apparent from the documents that a surveillance package is prepared on or about March 20th, 2002, with respect to Mr. Arar.

MR. CABANA: The -- well, the surveillance package was in fact prepared -- there was a number of surveillance packages that were prepared, and they evolved over time. That was the case for the surveillance package for Mr. Arar as well.

It goes back to what I explained earlier, where investigators were assigned specific tasks.

MS EDWARDH: Right.
MR. CABANA: It was investigators that were assigned to prepare these packages and they would work on them over a period of time.

MS EDWARDH: We have a reference to one in respect of the date March $20 t h, 2002$.

MR. CABANA: Okay.
MS EDWARDH: But it seemed
apparent from a number of other matters that that was, quote, a task for someone who prepared the surveillance package, but it was clear to me that no one had Mr. Arar under surveillance.

MR. FOTHERGILL: Mr. Commissioner,
so I can state clearly the parameters of our national security confidentiality claims in this area, we can acknowledge that the Mango's meeting on October 12 th was the subject of RCMP surveillance.

Beyond that, the extent to which Mr. Arar was the subject of surveillance, and what that surveillance may have revealed, is subject to a claim of NSC.

MS EDWARDH: May I put the question differently, and if there's a claim, I would like you to have an opportunity to consider it even if there are no answers that can be given in this forum.

THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
MS EDWARDH: And I'll put it just generally.

It is obvious that there is no surveillance on Mr. Arar, because had there been, it would not have escaped your surveillance team's
attention that Mr. Arar's wife and child left the country at the end of May and that indeed there had been a garage sale associated with their departure.

A surveillance team in the ordinary course would be expected to detect those events?

I mean, we are getting silly if
this can't be answered.
MR. FOTHERGILL: Whether it's silly or not, to the extent to which there was surveillance and what that surveillance may have revealed is subject to a claim of NSC.

MR. BAYNE: And I would add on that score, whether it's silly or not, there is all kinds of information that we canvassed on this subject about what the investigator knows or believes about that departure that he cannot discuss.

MS EDWARDH: I wasn't going to deal with that. I wasn't going to deal with the departure.

THE COMMISSIONER: No. I
understand that.
MS EDWARDH: I'll move on.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you,
Ms Edwardh.
MS EDWARDH: I will take you to
Exhibit 140, tab 12, page 5.
--- Pause
MS EDWARDH: I'm going to put to
you what you knew in -- what's the date of this? This is July 5 th, on page 5.

That is what I'm concerned about.
Superintendent Cabana, could you
turn to page 5 of the document?
MR. CABANA: I'm at page 5 now.
MS EDWARDH: And there's a
reference to a number, 7132, under the date July 5th, at 8:47?

MR. CABANA: Yes, ma'am.
MS EDWARDH: It says:
"As no new information has surfaced regarding Maher ARAR, it has been decided by A-OCanada investigators that we will use the services of a [blank]"

And all $I$ want to establish
really, sir, is a couple of things with you.

Certainly in July of 2002, you had really no more information about Mr. Arar than you had when you formed the view that he was a person of interest qua witness?

MR. BAYNE: Well, that one I have to object to because there is an entire body of evidence that had been developed that had come to this investigator's attention. He can't discuss it, and he can't fairly answer that question.

The proposition that he is being
invited to come to the conclusion of would necessarily lead to "no and this is why".

MS EDWARDH: I'll try it this way,
Mr. Commissioner: that the information that you had received, which may have been additional to what you knew months and months before, did not change your view that Mr. Arar was a person of interest qua witness?

I'm not asking why.
MR. BAYNE: To Canadian
authorities.
MS EDWARDH: To Canadian
authorities.
MR. CABANA: Yes, that would be accurate.

MS EDWARDH: Thank you.
I would like, if I could, sir, to jump ahead to September 26 th. I just want to cover a few points. They were covered in detail with you by Mr. Cavalluzzo yesterday and there are just a couple of points that he did not advert to that $I$ want to touch base with you on.

First of all, $I$ want to deal with your assertion yesterday that between October (sic) $26 t h$ and October 1 st that "you assumed that Mr. Arar had access to a lawyer".

Where, sir, did you get that
information?
MR. CABANA: I testified yesterday that between September 26 th and October 1 st, $I$ was of the belief that Mr. Arar had access to counsel?

MS EDWARDH: You assumed he had
access to a lawyer and maybe there was a miscommunication --

MR. CABANA: I believe that was in
fact the following weekend. I know that Mr. Arar had access to counsel. I do not recall the date. But I believe it was over the course of the following weekend sometime.

MS EDWARDH: You certainly know,
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sir, do you not, and you knew at the time, that he was held in circumstances where he was deprived of access to counsel by the Americans?

MR. CABANA: No, I did not know
that, ma'am.
MS EDWARDH: Did you know anything about the MDC?

MR. CABANA: No. Actually, I found out through Mr. Edelson.

MS EDWARDH: Do you know that it is a facility that houses persons, at least on its ninth floor, who are alleged to be involved in "terrorist activities" and persons are kept in isolation and shackled, et cetera, et cetera? MR. CABANA: No, ma'am. I have never been there.

MS EDWARDH: I want to deal
specifically with the issue of where Mr. Arar was going.

MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: I have heard you,
sir, very clearly say that your initial communications with American authorities led you to believe he was going to go back directly to Zurich. That is what initially they told you.

MR. CABANA: That was the initial message that we received, yes.

MS EDWARDH: And that would, of course, have been consistent with your knowledge that persons who are not admitted to the nation can be turned around on an aircraft and sent back to the point from which they had come?

MR. CABANA: Up to October 9th, that was my belief, that that was a normal course of action.

MS EDWARDH: And the other course would have been, and this evolved shortly thereafter in your communications with the Americans: Well, you know, they could spend all the money shipping him back to Zurich but it might be just as fast and just as cheap to move him forward to Canada because he is a national or a citizen, of this country?

MR. CABANA: Yes, you are right. But in deportation cases, also the country of origin has to accept the person back. So if the country of origin doesn't accept him back, well then often the country that is deporting will forward the person or send them to their country of origin, if you want.

MS EDWARDH: So Switzerland can -it was your understanding that the Swiss could say "no way".

MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: And therefore the U.S. government would be obliged to forward him to the country of which he is a national?

MR. CABANA: That's correct.
MS EDWARDH: So it must have been
a huge surprise to you when the Americans -- or the issue arose and there was some sniff that Syria was on the board, because you did know, sir, or people were concerned that Syria was out there as a possible destination.

MR. CABANA: Well, I wasn't --
honestly, ma'am, I wasn't surprised. The issue arose in the context, if $I$ remember correctly, of a consular visit with Mr. Arar.

Mr. Arar rose the issue of his concern, identified his concern of going to Syria. If memory serves me right, similar concern was also identified when the family members, Mr. Arar's family members, were interviewed in Montreal.

But from my perspective, and I
would suggest from the RCMP's perspective, that was not in keeping with the norm and in 20 -some years of police work, $I$ had never seen anything like -- it's not something -- it's something that had never been done before.

So the fact that Mr. Arar or his family raised the prospect of Syria, we understood the concern, but we didn't hold that as a possibility.
--- Pause
MS EDWARDH: Sorry. I'm just
looking for something.
I understand that in most circumstances one would kind of calm the anxieties of persons who may never have been deported and say, "It's all right. You are not being sent back to", you know, wherever.

MR. CABANA: Mm-hmm.
MS EDWARDH: But this was
different, and it is interesting that you were aware of it.

This is different because Mr. Arar
is saying that he has been told by the INS that they are going to send him to Syria. This isn't --

MR. CABANA: I'm not sure that is the way it was relayed to me.

I believe the way it was relayed to me was the fact that Mr. Arar, in meeting with a consular officer in New York, advised that he was concerned that he would be sent to Syria.

MS EDWARDH: You do agree, sir, that if you were advised that it wasn't Mr. Arar just musing upon this as a matter of concern, that if he in fact conveyed that two immigration officers had told him that he would be sent to Syria, that is a different matter, isn't it?

MR. CABANA: Yes, it would be.
But at the same time, ma'am, I would question why that comment would have been made to Mr. Arar and whether it was to elicit anything.

But I would not really look at it seriously. In the context of prior to October 9th this is not something that $I$ even considered would be an option for the Americans to do. I didn't believe that their laws would allow them to do that.

MS EDWARDH: Well, they may not, but we will have that dealt with in another context.

In any event, what you are saying, sir, just so $I$ can understand you, is: (1), you had no knowledge of the program of rendition? MR. CABANA: Not prior to October 9th.

MS EDWARDH: It never crossed your mind that any suggestion that he was going to Syria made by the Americans was anything other than some useful incentive for him to speak.

MR. CABANA: Like I say, the way the information came to us, the suggestion wasn't originating from the Americans; it was originating from Mr. Arar and from his family in Montreal.

And while we understood, or I understood the concern, $I$ didn't think it was even a possibility.

MS EDWARDH: Why is it then that at least some of your colleagues -- and maybe we have to track this through some of the documents.

But certainly by October the 4 th, 2002 -- let's start with that date -- you have requested access to Mr . Arar to conduct an interview. Is that fair?

MR. CABANA: On October 4 th we made an official request; yes, that's fair.

MS EDWARDH: And on the same day you meet Mr. Edelson.

MR. CABANA: Yes, on October the 4th.

MS EDWARDH: And he raises his concern that you are complicit in Mr. Arar's detention by the Americans and you make certain comments to him?

MR. CABANA: Yes, we do.
MS EDWARDH: You know, as well,
that Mr. Almalki has been tortured in Syria by this date -- I'm sorry, Mr. El Mati has been tortured in Syria?

This is October 2002 .
MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: Or believe that the complaint has been made with respect to that.

MR. CABANA: Yes, exactly.
MS EDWARDH: I didn't mean to
overstate it.
So then when we move to your concerns about an interview, let's start with Exhibit P-85 and what you know.

Exhibit P-85, Volume 5, I'm sorry, tab 27, page 9.

MS McISAAC: What tab?
MS EDWARDH: Tab 27, Ms McIsaac,
and page 9.
And at 8:30 in the morning, it's
fair to say that your U.S. colleagues, first of all, are requesting you -- telling you that they do not have enough evidence to charge Arar. Is that in effect what they are saying, too, as well as -- or is that the Canadians don't?

No, it's the Americans, at 8:30.
Is that your --
MR. CABANA: Your question is ...?
MS EDWARDH: That the Americans are conveying to you that they do not have sufficient evidence to lay a criminal charge against Mr. Arar?

MR. CABANA: Based on this report here, yes.

MS EDWARDH: Sir, as someone who deals with American policing authorities, would you agree with me that the standard or threshold of probable cause in the U.S. is similar to the threshold of reasonable and probable grounds in Canada?

MR. CABANA: Yes.

MS EDWARDH: And the issue then --
sorry.
Excuse me for a moment,
Mr. Commissioner.
--- Pause
MS EDWARDH: The issue for you in
the early morning hours of October 7th is your
team is still pursuing an interview; right?
This is at 8:30.
MR. CABANA: As of the early
morning hours of the 7 th, yes.
MS EDWARDH: Right. And then if we go to the Edelson documents, which are Exhibit 140, tab 11, page 8.

That is 140, Mr. Commissioner, tab
11, page 8.
MR. CABANA: P-140?
MS EDWARDH: Yes, it is -- no, I'm
sorry, it's Exhibit 140 .
I'm sorry, Mr. Registrar. Yes,
Exhibit 140 .
THE COMMISSIONER: P-140.
MR. CABANA: $P-140$, tab 11.
MS EDWARDH: Tab 11, sir, page 8.
And just so $I$ can understand the
date, at this twelve o'clock date, what date does that relate to? It relates to the 10 th -- I'm sorry, the 2 nd of October -- no, I'm sorry, the 7th of October.

MR. CABANA: I believe it would
relate to the 7th, yes.
MS EDWARDH: Right. And at twelve
o'clock on the 7th, it says:
"... discussed the interview
of ARAR with Insp. CABANA.
Insp. CABANA was advised that
it was extremely important to
find out the results of
the..."
Obviously the interview.
"... with ARAR. We needed to
speak with the [blank]
Mike Cabana is advised that
[blank] informed that ARAR
would likely be released and
refused entry to the USA.
Apparently [blank] plan on
sending him to Canada."
And then there was this reference to -- I think it was brought to your attention
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yesterday -- numerous calls to Air Services.
The next paragraph:
"[blank] contacted [blank] and advised him of the need to know the results of the interview..."

Which of course was based in part upon your questions. Right?

Sorry, you have to say yes or no. MR. CABANA: Pardon me?

MS EDWARDH: The interview with
Mr. Arar was in part based upon the questions you sent?

MR. CABANA: In part, yes.
MS EDWARDH: And then:
"[blank] returned a call to [blank] and [blank] was asked to have [blank] call us directly.

A [blank] of the need to know the response by ARAR to the questions we had sent and the need to speak with [blank] He suggested we wait to hear from [blank]"
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Now, despite all those blanks, sir, you certainly are looking for the results of the U.S. interview with Mr. Arar.

MR. CABANA: Of course we are.
MS EDWARDH: And at the same time
you are looking at possible routes of access into the United States.

MR. CABANA: Yes, as of the 7th,
we are still exploring the possibility, yes.
MS EDWARDH: That is all I'm
saying.
MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: And now you think that, you know, you have been told he may go to Zurich; he may go to Canada. Right?

MR. CABANA: Exactly.
MS EDWARDH: And I want to look at the problems you have already got yourself into if I were advising you as Crown counsel for a moment.

You have a person who is detained by foreign authorities. Correct?

MR. CABANA: Correct.
MS EDWARDH: You have a Supreme Court of Canada decision in 1988 called Regina v. Cook which makes you bear the Charter on your back
if you go and interview an accused person, or a person?

MR. CABANA: Correct.
MS EDWARDH: And what you know is
you have a duty to ensure, as best you can, that the person who you are interviewing is not held without the benefit of counsel. And if that means you having to hand a phone to somebody and say "phone your lawyer", you have got to do that.

Is that correct?
MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: The other problem you have is that you never told the Americans, when you gave them your questions, that there were restrictions put on your interview in Canada; that when Mr. Arar had exercised his constitutional right to remain silent, he said "I will speak on conditions".

And you never told the U.S. what those conditions were, did you?

MR. CABANA: Not true.
MS EDWARDH: Oh, you did tell
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MR. CABANA: Of course we did.
MS EDWARDH: You explained to the
U.S. authorities before Mr. Arar, or during his interrogation by those authorities with your questions, what the conditions were that had been imposed by Mr. Edelson?

MR. CABANA: The relationship with the American authorities was such that, as I explained yesterday in my testimony, they were participants in multi-agency meetings, regular multi-agency meetings, where these agencies and participants were briefed on every development of this investigation.

The mandate we had received
initially is: You are working together on this file.

So I would submit to you that very shortly -- actually, on January 31st, at the multi-agency meeting, the conditions placed on any interview, not just of Mr. Arar but of the other individuals, was discussed at that meeting and those agencies were very well aware of the restrictions.

MS EDWARDH: Well, I understand the agencies may know, but there's a real difference between institutional memory -- I mean, if I tell one RCMP --

MR. CABANA: We are dealing with the same people here, ma'am.

MS EDWARDH: Oh. Well, that is different. That is my next question. So I take it you are strongly of the view that the individual persons responsible for Mr. Arar's interrogation in the U.S. knew full well that in putting the questions to him from the Mounties that they were not complying with Mr. Edelson's request? They knew that full well. MR. CABANA: Yes, ma'am, they did. MS EDWARDH: The other barrier or problem you created for yourself was that the -- I don't mean created for yourself, sir, but that there were barriers --

MR. CABANA: I would say somebody
else created these barriers for us. And it's
interesting that you are acting as our counsel because there was extensive consultation during that period of time, and R. v Cook was --

MR. FOTHERGILL: I'm sorry. As you know -- and perhaps this is an opportunity for me to say this in the public forum how we dealt with matters of solicitor-client privilege in the in camera proceedings.

Bearing in mind that this is an inquiry and not an adversarial process, we agreed that it would be permissible for a witness to say that certain decisions were taken with the benefit of legal advice.

However, we do object to disclosure of what the advice actually was. And I want to make it absolutely clear that by permitting questions on whether certain decisions were taken with the benefit of legal advice, we are not thereby putting that advice in issue or waiving any privilege that would attach to it.

I would ask this witness not to disclose what advice he in fact received from Department of Justice counsel.

MS EDWARDH: With respect,
Mr. Commissioner, I did not ask the witness whether he had obtained legal advice.

THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
MS EDWARDH: Duly respecting his right to claim solicitor-client privilege. And it may well be that officers who undoubtedly struggle with Charter boundaries within which they must work, talk about precedents all the time without running to lawyers, and $I$ dare say that they also
teach courses.
THE COMMISSIONER: Let me just say this, in fairness to the witness. It's not the witness who claimed the solicitor-client privilege. I think the witness would dearly love to tell about the advice that he received. It's the Government that has claimed it.

MR. FOTHERGILL: I'm not finding
fault with Ms Edwardh's question. Her question was perfectly reasonable. The witness began to volunteer the actual advice he received, and I don't criticize him for doing so. But I am objecting to his answer.

THE COMMISSIONER: I agree. I
think the question was perfectly proper.
MS EDWARDH: I was just getting a little frustrated here, Mr. Commissioner.

THE COMMISSIONER: I think the question was fine.

MR. CABANA: I apologize,
Mr. Commissioner.
MS EDWARDH: I don't know whether
we can --
THE COMMISSIONER: By the way, I don't mean any criticism of you. I think it was a
natural response.
MR. CABANA: That's fine.
THE COMMISSIONER: Go ahead.
MS EDWARDH: Certainly I'm going
to suggest to you, sir, that: (1), the well-known framework -- I mean it was the Mounties who were involved in Charose v Campbell. It sent echoes through the administration of justice for policing and, as well as cases like Cook were important policing boundaries. You didn't need lawyers. You had to look at those issues yourself.

Is that fair? I mean, answer "no"
if it's not fair.
MR. CABANA: Well, whether we needed lawyers or not, they were part of the team. --- Laughter / Rires

MS EDWARDH: That may be one of
the best answers.
In any event, I'm going to just
put to you that the decision to not go to the United States was a reflection of multiple factors --

MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: -- ranging from the
ability to give effect to your duties under the

Charter given the circumstances of the detention. MR. CABANA: It would be one of the factors, but I'm not sure that it weighed that heavily in the decision.

MS EDWARDH: That is good to know.
Then we go to the issue of the restrictions that had been placed upon you by Mr. Edelson. That would have been a factor.

MR. CABANA: Not really, ma'am. MS EDWARDH: Then there would be the fact that Mr. Arar was in detention. MR. CABANA: No. MS EDWARDH: Okay. I'm going to ask you then: Other than the cost of airfare, what were the other factors?

MR. CABANA: Factor was the fact, like I explained yesterday, was mostly I guess a perception issue: that if Mr. Arar was to be returning to Canada, we would have an opportunity to interview him if he agreed at our leisure upon his return.

The concern and the reason why -and, actually, if you look at the timeline, if you look on the 7th, we are, for lack of a better term, wavering over the need to go or not go.

And the decision that we had to make, or the concern that we had that weighed fairly heavily in the balance at the time was our belief that if he does not come to Canada, we will never be able to interview him, even in the context of an investigative hearing.

MS EDWARDH: And then if he does come to Canada, you will look foolish going down to the States when he is being detained. Right? MR. CABANA: Yes, very much so. MS EDWARDH: And then there is a third factor $I$ am going to throw in here, and you only get a taste of it by turning to tab 83, Volume 3, page 72 -- I'm sorry.

I think this is the -- just a
second.
--- Pause
MS EDWARDH: Yes, it is tab 83.
We are looking at some interviews --
THE COMMISSIONER: We are at P -83?
MS EDWARDH: I'm sorry, it's
Exhibit 83.
THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, Exhibit 83.

MS EDWARDH: My apologies. Volume
3.

THE COMMISSIONER: Tab 3?
MS EDWARDH: Yes, page 72.
MR. CABANA: Thank you.
MS EDWARDH: Unfortunately, sir, I am unable to tell you, because it's redacted, who this is. But this is a person who was at the meeting where you are struggling with these issues about: Do you go? Do you not go? Is he going to Zurich? Will you lose an investigational hearing?

Let me take you down two-thirds of the way on page 72 , B. Garvie:
"Now, what was discussed at this particular meeting? Ah, there were several issues that $I$ would discuss but with respect to Arar, ah, ah, the fact that he had dual citizenship was brought up and that he was a Syrian as well as a Canadian and then there were also some questions that were asked: Where will he go, Syria or Canada? Uh, what was he in
custody for? What --"
I think it should say, "What has he said?"
"Is this a [blank] case?"
So at least one of your colleagues who was present at the meeting describes the issue as really being a pretty clear recognition that there may be a choice at play right now at this moment that Mr. Arar may go to Syria or Canada. Do you know who this person is? Can you take a look at this, from his interview? I'm not going to ask you who it is. I just want to see if you can identify the person in your own mind.

MR. CABANA: I don't know who it is, but $I$ can tell you that this is not somebody from the A-OCANADA team. This is somebody from headquarters.

MS EDWARDH: But he is at the meeting?

MR. CABANA: Well, obviously.
MS EDWARDH: So there are musings
on October 7th at the meeting of A-OCANADA recognizing that there are choices in play -let's not put it any higher than that; choices in
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play. But that choice does include --
MR. CABANA: Ma'am, in the context
of any investigation -- I would say even more specifically in this one -- when there was investigators meeting, especially involving persons from other agencies, including Justice, including headquarters, it was a habit -- and it's something that $I$ have always invited in all the teams that $I$ have managed over the years. I want every option, every issue discussed in these meetings.

Syria had surfaced through
information we received through DFAIT. Through information -- actually, $I$ believe the information that we received from interviews in Montreal came to us the following day -- or the 9th even.

So at that point in time, what we had was the comment from our liaison officer from DFAIT advising us that Mr. Arar had raised this as a potential. Of course it would be discussed, but myself, personally, $I$ didn't look at this as a possibility.

MS EDWARDH: Who from headquarters was in attendance, sir? Can you tell from any record or notes you have? Who would be there?

Would it be someone who was in a supervisory capacity in respect of the decisions the team made?

MR. CABANA: I can look in my redacted notes here to see if $I$ have an entry. May I?

MS EDWARDH: I think this is an important issue, Mr. Commissioner.

MR. CABANA: This is October 7th?
MS EDWARDH: Yes, correct. It's
October 7th, 2002 .
MR. FOTHERGILL: Commissioner, if it assists the process, some of these redactions might be somewhat out of date, and I'm instructed that this is likely the statement of Mr. Lauzon, who we anticipate will be a public witness.

So if that assists Superintendent Cabana, there is certainly no objection if he wants to identify that individual.

Another individual who may have been present at the meeting would be Corporal Flewelling, who will also be a public witness. And again if that assists the witness' recollection, he is at liberty to name him.

THE COMMISSIONER: Do you know,

Mr. Cavalluzzo, who it is?
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Yes, it is Mr. Lauzon, who will be called.

There may be some confusion in
respect of that particular statement because you'll notice the reference is to October 7th.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: And I think
Mr. Lauzon's public evidence will be it was October 8th that that meeting occurred.

I noted just for the first time that the statement before Mr. Garvie says October 7th, so $I$ don't know if that is going to lead to a great line of questioning.

THE COMMISSIONER: I think it's
important to clarify, and if you can help us, if you have any notes, Superintendent Cabana.

MR. CABANA: I didn't for the 7th.
THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Do you
have a meeting on the 8 th, where there is either Flewelling or Lauzon there on the $8 t h$ ?

MS EDWARDH: I'm sorry, we don't
have any notes from the $8 t h$, it appears.
THE COMMISSIONER: You don't.
MR. CABANA: No, sir, I don't have
any notes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: If it may assist
the matter, perhaps at the luncheon break we can attempt to find out who was at that meeting, if that would assist counsel.

I note that the witness does not have notes for either October 7th or October 8th.

THE COMMISSIONER: Are there any notes or other indications in the documents -- is that a fair question -- to indicate?

MR. CAVALLUZZO: It's not a fair question at this time, but $I$ can undertake to investigate.

THE COMMISSIONER: Well, we will get it straight and deal with it. --- Pause

MS EDWARDH: I just want to make one observation, Mr. Commissioner.

I know everyone is working hard, but it puts us at a rather significant disadvantage if there are documents that should be further redacted that would identify, and if no one has any objection that they are in the public domain, to not have that information.

THE COMMISSIONER: I'm not aware
that this document has been further unredacted, has it?

MS EDWARDH: I gather just that there is -- no, I don't believe it has been, but I think the statement that was made was that it could be because of the evolution of things.

It is just that no one has had the time to do it.

THE COMMISSIONER: I understand your frustration.

MS EDWARDH: So it's just a little difficult.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: I want to make clear that Mr. Lauzon will be testifying publicly, and at that time you may have a full opportunity to cross-examine him.

MS EDWARDH: I would like to just
try and finish this area, Mr. Commissioner. I have kind of been taken offside a few times, so I may ask that we break at the end of this and I'll try and reduce this.

THE COMMISSIONER: That's fine. MS EDWARDH: Let's go back, Officer, and try again. I take it, sir, that -- no.

Do you or do you not have any recollection of a meeting on October 7th, 2002, in which A-OCANADA, or aspects of it, mused about a possible deportation to Syria?

MR. CABANA: No, ma'am, I don't. You have to understand that there might have been meetings, but I didn't attend all meetings.
--- Pause
MS EDWARDH: I was thinking there was another document that captured this meeting, but I'm not able to locate it, Mr. Commissioner.

I know it's early, but --
THE COMMISSIONER: No, that's
fine.
MS EDWARDH: I need to get
relocated, wherever $I$ was, thinking the evidence was different than $I$ thought it was.

THE COMMISSIONER: Can you give me an estimate or can $I$ get estimates from people? I have a conference call that $I$ have to do at four o'clock.

Do you have any idea, Ms Edwardh, at this point, how much longer you might be?

MS EDWARDH: I would like, if I
could -- I said I would be -- I can't remember what I said, actually.

THE COMMISSIONER: Four and a half hours.

MS EDWARDH: I would like another
hour to an hour and a half. Sometimes when you lose whole threads of it, it's different to reconstitute quickly.

THE COMMISSIONER: No. That's
fine.
Mr. Fothergill, you are next. How
long will you be?
MR. FOTHERGILL: My estimate
hasn't changed; approximately half an hour.
THE COMMISSIONER: Half an hour.
Mr. Bayne, has your estimate
changed?
MR. BAYNE: I might be 14 to 20 minutes.

MS EDWARDH: It's growing.
--- Laughter / Rires
MR. BAYNE: Well, your question was predicated on as of that point.

THE COMMISSIONER: Why don't we break until 2:00? Do you think it makes sense, or
should we do it earlier?
MR. CAVALLUZZO: I think we should
do it earlier.
THE COMMISSIONER: Until 1:30?
All right. We will resume at
1:30.
THE REGISTRAR: Please stand.
--- Upon recessing at 12:22 p.m. /
Suspension à 12 h 22
--- Upon resuming at 1:30 p.m. /
Reprise à 13 h 30
THE COMMISSIONER: Ms Edwardh.
MS EDWARDH: Thank you,
Mr. Commissioner. We appear to have some moving air.

THE COMMISSIONER: We do. It is an improvement.

MS EDWARDH: Yes, it is.
Superintendent Cabana, I have just
a point of clarification, because $I$ am using sometimes terms and short forms that you and I may be more than familiar with but perhaps others are not, and $I$ just want to make something clear. MR. CABANA: Sure.

MS EDWARDH: We had talked -- or,
rather, I had posed a series of questions around Mr. Edelson's conditions, particularly those conditions that speak to a witness, and you will recall that $I$ put to you the proposition that what he really was excluding was that the statement taken would not and could not be used as a KGB statement.

I have had a couple of people ask me whether we were referring to the old Soviet spy system, and $I$ thought $I$ would just spell out our mutual understanding of what this term has come to mean for both police officers and counsel working in the administration of criminal justice.

It is clear that even if a KGB
statement is not available to you as a peace officer conducting an investigation, there is nothing about the contents of the statement that could not be the subject matter of an investigation.

So you would be free to investigate any facts asserted, but you could not use the statement in substitution of a witness' testimony.

> Is that a fair analysis?

MR. CABANA: It's a fair analysis
in the sense that in criminal proceedings, a witness statement, other than $K G B, ~ a ~ p u r e ~ w i t n e s s ~$ statement is in itself not admissible.

MS EDWARDH: Right. That is what I want to establish. So in the ordinary course, perhaps to state the obvious, in the ordinary course in undertaking a criminal investigation witnesses would be interviewed, statements taken, but they are not necessarily the kinds of statements that would be admissible on their own right in substitution of the actual witness testifying?

MR. CABANA: Exactly.
MS EDWARDH: All right. But certainly the conditions that Mr. Edelson imposed with respect to no $K G B$ would not have precluded you from calling Mr. Arar to an investigative hearing?

That is one thing it wouldn't have precluded. Correct?

MR. CABANA: Correct.
MS EDWARDH: Would not have
precluded calling him as a witness in a criminal matter if charges had ultimately been laid against one of the persons who were targeted?

MR. CABANA: Correct.
MS EDWARDH: Thank you, sir. And
it has nothing to do with the KGB --
MR. CABANA: No.
MS EDWARDH: -- the old Soviet
style. It is in fact the initials of a decision of the Supreme Court of Canada?

MR. CABANA: Exactly.
MS EDWARDH: Thank you.
We have numerous documents, sir,
that indicate -- and I can take you to them; I would rather not and maybe $I$ framed the question badly -- that the date of October 12 th is the date that Mr. Arar becomes a person of interest to the investigation, or an associate.

MR. CABANA: I'm not sure I
understand your question.
Is the question: Is October 12th the first time that we became aware of Mr. Arar?

MS EDWARDH: That date; that he became a person who was either an associate or a person of interest in respect of the investigation of A-OCANADA?

MR. CABANA: Yes. I would say October 12 th is a fair statement, yes.

MS EDWARDH: Right. And that of course was the day of the surveillance that we have heard about, although we know there was pre-information provided to the police there would be a meeting.

MR. CABANA: That's correct.
MS EDWARDH: Let's leave that and move on to another area, if $I$ could.

I had some confusion with some areas Mr. Cavalluzzo covered about the post-9/11 sharing of information, and $I$ want to review them with you.

MR. CABANA: Certainly.
MS EDWARDH: I take it, sir, that your position is that the decision made by your superiors, indeed at the highest levels of government, was that there would be a full sharing of information and indeed open-book investigations conducted between Canada and the United States?

MR. CABANA: That's correct.
MS EDWARDH: And it would be
naive, I suppose, to assume that the list stops with Canada and the U.S., because indeed there are other allies, like U.K., France, et cetera. And I'm going to assume that that policy may extend to

Western democratic states in Europe as well. Can you confirm that, or is that the subject --

MR. CABANA: No, I wouldn't be aware of that, and $I$ was never made aware of that. Basically the agreement, as I understood it, included a number of agencies. MS EDWARDH: And they existed within the boundaries of North America, or their home offices were --

MR. CABANA: Canada and the U.S. MS EDWARDH: Fair enough.

As I understood your evidence, this fulsome sharing of information was really designed to maximize intelligence-gathering and the comprehension of intelligence information? MR. CABANA: I guess you could say that. The requirement for the open sharing I would submit likely came from the type of offence or the type of investigation that was being conducted.

I mean, terrorism is not -- is
borderless, basically.
MS EDWARDH: And, indeed, at the risk of -- I'm not trying to minimize it, but many
of the offences that your Force now deal with are borderless offences?

MR. CABANA: Very much so, ma'am. MS EDWARDH: So let us go to my
next question.
You made an observation, and I
want to be very sure we understand it.
I am going to suggest to you that
if we interpret the statement "caveats are down" to mean there are no controls of any kind, that is in fact a misnomer, Officer, and I put it to you for this reason.

You also said if the information was to be used, there was a clear procedure known and available to the agencies.

MR. CABANA: The term "caveats are down" is not a term that $I$ coined. It doesn't emanate from me in the context of this investigation. This is something that came from headquarters.

As I understood the agreement that had been negotiated, the agencies, the parties to this agreement, knew exactly what the parameters were and basically -- I mean, the parameters had not changed.

It is just that the need for
timely sharing, for immediate sharing, indicated that there was no requirements to put caveats. Everybody understood what the sharing was for, what it was to be used for, under which circumstances, and if it was to be used in criminal proceedings, what procedure had to be followed.

MS EDWARDH: I want to take you back because I'm going to submit to you that -- or put to you the question that that control on use was not merely confined to criminal proceedings -MR. CABANA: Well -MS EDWARDH: Let me just put my question to you.

MR. CABANA: Certainly.
MS EDWARDH: It relates to
proceedings of any kind where there might be an administrative adjudication, or a trial judge, or a civil lawsuit. It wouldn't have mattered that to put this out of the intelligence arena required that there be a procedure followed.

MR. CABANA: I guess to try to put it in context, and I would assume -- this is pure assumption on my part. I would assume that U.S.
authorities basically received the same directions that we received with respect to the sharing.

MS EDWARDH: Fair enough.
MR. CABANA: And I lost my train
of thought. I apologize.
MS EDWARDH: No, no. It's been very hot in here. I'm sorry.

What you were saying was -- I had asked you about your understanding of caveats are down and the use factor, the foreign use factor --

MR. CABANA: Yes, yes.
MS EDWARDH: And you had said, assuming that they got the same instructions as you.

What were your instructions?
MR. CABANA: Our instructions --
and actually it's not very different from conducting major investigations in relation to organized crime.

It was to use any tool -- and here
I'm speaking of legislative tools -- at our disposal to prevent any further attacks, which would explain the makeup, really, the composition of our team, which would explain why we had representatives from Revenue Canada, why we had
representatives from Immigration that were seconded to our team.

In other words, we weren't confined by the boundaries of the Criminal Code.

I would assume that the Americans were operating under the same premise.

That being said, the understanding was that this sharing of information was in that context. So it means if there are no criminal charges that can be laid, or for whatever reason -- and here I'm referring to the heydays of Proceeds of Crime, I guess, where prior to the proceeds legislation it was Revenue Canada that was trying to attack organized crime through the revenue legislation; the same type of approach. MS EDWARDH: I'm sorry, I'm not sure I have, though, an answer to this question: You made a statement that the information was to be used. There was a clear procedure available for --

MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: All right. I took
from that the following proposition: that the information was understood by all to be an intelligence-sharing activity.

MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: The second
proposition $I$ took from that, sir, was that if the information was to find its way into a use different from intelligence-sharing, a criminal trial, a civil trial, an administrative tribunal -- whatever that might mean -- that that would require going through procedures.

I want to ask you very simply: If that is the case, what were the procedures?

MR. CABANA: Basically it was the normal procedures.

In other words, routinely law enforcement agencies share information with foreign agencies, very much so with U.S. agencies in the context of criminal investigations.

The understanding is, when this sharing takes place, is if the information is to be used in any proceedings, criminal or otherwise, there has to be a formal request through the MLAT process to be able to use the information.

My understanding is here in Canada if the information is to be admissible in court, it has to be obtained through that process. My understanding is in the U.S. the same applies.

It hasn't changed. Prior to 9/11, that was the process that was in place. After 9/11, it was the same procedure that was to be followed.

MS EDWARDH: I'm sorry, I didn't mean to cut you off.

MR. CABANA: Again, $I$ don't know if that falls within the parameters of NSC, but in Canada --

MS EDWARDH: Speak slowly.
MR. CABANA: I will. That is why
I'm slowing down here.
--- Laughter / Rires
MR. CABANA: In Canada, as well as
in the U.S., it was specific individuals that were identified within the Justice Departments to facilitate these formal requests as quickly as possible.

MS EDWARDH: Right. So that I understand exactly what you are saying, in order for the information to be used in a tribunal, it was your understanding that there would have, first of all, to be consent from the agency who had provided it; and, second of all, an MLAT request?

MR. CABANA: Yes, ma'am.
MS EDWARDH: Certainly it's clear that many of the caveats involved consent, the ordinary caveats?

MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: But I'm going to
suggest to you, sir, that the MLAT doesn't come to bear on this issue for the following reason. We provide information routinely in criminal matters to the United States, and we do not require the Americans to go through MLAT.

Indeed, I have just spent several
months trying to stop the provision of information in a U.S. murder case and forced them into MLAT and can announce $I$ have been entirely unsuccessful. And if you as a police officer or as a Force decide to travel to the United States to testify at proceedings, to provide evidence at a U.S. trial, there is nothing that can stop you if it is a decision of your Force, and you cannot be required to go through MLAT.

MR. CABANA: No. You are talking here of testimony from a Canadian official. What I'm referring to is exchange of evidence, documentary evidence.

MS EDWARDH: Well, I have tried
that, too.
In any event, leaving the issue of MLAT aside, $I$ understand if you are in possession of documentary evidence and are willing and consenting to participate in a U.S. process, you can get on an aircraft with your documents, be a witness and file your documents.

You would agree with that?
MR. CABANA: Well, to some extent.
I would submit that prior approval
would be required from head office.
MS EDWARDH: Of course. I'm
sorry. I'm assuming always that there's agency approval.

MR. CABANA: If agency approval is there, yes, you are absolutely right.

MS EDWARDH: One of the questions about this approval: I take it the approval is not given at large. The approval is given in respect of specific uses?

MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: So you wouldn't hand the CIA or the FBI information and preapprove their use of the information anywhere they want to
use it?
MR. CABANA: No, absolutely not.
MS EDWARDH: And where would the
locus of approval be within the RCMP?
Would you, sir, have authority, as
the OIC of A-OCANADA, to make a decision about
approval, or would you have to go up the chain to your superiors to seek approval for a sharing of information that involved use in a court or a tribunal?

MR. CABANA: In the context of
this particular -- in the functions that $I$
occupied in relation to this file?
MS EDWARDH: Yes.
MR. CABANA: I would definitely
have to go to my superiors.
MS EDWARDH: And where would the ultimate locus of decision be?

MR. CABANA: I would submit to you that it would be at the Criminal Operations Officer level.

MS EDWARDH: Please forgive me, it's late in afternoon. Who was that in respect of the relevant time period? Is it Couture?

MR. CABANA: I believe it was
still Mr. Couture at that time.
MS EDWARDH: We can check that.
MR. CABANA: It was either
Mr. Couture or Mr. Watson, but I believe it was Mr. Couture.

MS EDWARDH: All right. Do we then understand, sir, that when the U.S. INS refers to evidence or information used in the deportation "proceedings", that Couture or someone above him expressly authorized its use?

MR. CABANA: The request for information reached us through headquarters, through CID.

MS EDWARDH: Yes.
MR. CABANA: So considering the fact that we were asked by CID to provide this information to American authorities, I would submit to you that the approval was there.

MS EDWARDH: Well, I'm sorry, sir, at the time you are asked for information, much of the information is provided in circumstances where Mr. Arar is either living in Ottawa or abroad; right?

All the information passed before the CD-ROMs were passed or the disks. All that
went without --
MR. CABANA: You are referring
here to the exchange of the CDs, I assume.
MS EDWARDH: No, I'm doing more
than that.
MR. CABANA: Okay.
MS EDWARDH: I'm actually going to
track the units of information.
There were CDs exchanged while
Mr. Arar was in Ottawa?
MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: There were hard
drives that may or may not have information about
him -- you don't have to tell me. But they were
exchanged while he was in Ottawa?
MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: Documents that were
scanned in and distributed were done while he was in Ottawa?

I think you said it took longer,
but it was --
MR. CABANA: Yes. You mentioned this morning that Mr. Arar left Ottawa with his family, I believe it was in May?

MS EDWARDH: End of June. The
children and wife left in May.
MR. CABANA: I'm not familiar with
the date. But that being the case, yes.
MS EDWARDH: Right. And that
event, as we have established, sir, that
information was given to expand the relevant agencies' information base or intelligence base?

MR. CABANA: That was done to make
sure, for us to analyze this information in
isolation, considering the global aspect of the
threat. The information was shared to make sure that we didn't misinterpret any of the information.

MS EDWARDH: I understand.
MR. CABANA: Okay.
MS EDWARDH: Now, I want to move closer. When Mr. Arar is detained in the United States and you are notified that he's about to land and could you send your questions and/or package of information.

MR. CABANA: Initially all we were
asked to provide was a series of questions.
Clearly they were planning on interviewing
Mr. Arar, so the fact that we were sending
questions or not sending questions would not have
changed that. And the only thing we were asked was for the series of questions that had been prepared for January 22 nd searches.

MS EDWARDH: All right. The
questions were modified post-January 22 nd because they refer to Mr. Arar's departure from Canada in June.

MR. CABANA: Mm-hmm.
MS EDWARDH: And the documentary
record will show that at that time, or moments thereafter, A-OCANADA also submitted a summary, even though they said, "Hey, we have given you this or you have it all, but here's the summary."

In any event, my question is:
When you came to this proceeding, the INS hearing if it was a hearing. Let's assume it was for a moment.

MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: Was there any
explicit approval given by your superiors to the use of this information in that particular deportation hearing?

MR. CABANA: Again, ma'am, if you
look at the correspondence that reached the project, the request had been channelled through
headquarters, and I believe that it had the approval of Assistant Commissioner Proulx.

MS EDWARDH: So then if we were to ask the Assistant Commissioner, then, did you give explicit approval to the use of A-OCANADA's information in the deportation hearing in New York, would we expect him to both say yes and have a record of that?

MR. CABANA: I believe the record already exists. Now, whether he would say yes, you would have to ask him the question.

MS EDWARDH: But that is your understanding?

MR. CABANA: That is my understanding, ma'am. MS EDWARDH: In this open sharing of intelligence, this new environment you have described, the RCMP shared intelligence with its American counterparts.

I take it, sir, you realized that the U.S. has interests and policing concerns that perhaps are a little larger than Canada. It's a bigger nation. It's one of the world's super powers, and it has interests that go beyond their borders and our borders in respect of these kinds
of investigations?
MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: And in the agreement
you reached, once you were an open book for the U.S. administration towards the policing entities to look at the investigation, was there any control placed upon them with respect to the distribution of this information to those allies other than Canada they chose to work with?

MR. CABANA: First of all, just to clarify, I was not privy to this agreement. This agreement was conveyed to me. So I did not participate in these discussions.

MS EDWARDH: Fine.
MR. CABANA: But what $I$ can tell you is prior to the sharing -- and here we are talking about the sharing of the scanned documents and the sharing of the CDs -- the team managers, the A-OCANADA team managers, did have meetings with U.S. authorities just to remind them and make sure that they understood what the agreement, as it was relayed to us, was.

MS EDWARDH: Well, so far I
understand the agreement included getting your approval for the use of the information in any
kind of tribunal.
Was there anything in the
agreement that prevented the FBI or the CIA to hand it off to British intelligence?

MR. CABANA: Like I said, I was
not privy to the agreement. I have never seen any written form of this agreement. My understanding was that, yes, there was.

MS EDWARDH: Yes, there was
something that prevented it?
MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: So it's your
understanding, whatever this agreement says, at
least your understanding of the agreement is once the information was transmitted to the U.S. agencies involved, they were not permitted to transmit it for intelligence purposes to other nations?

MR. CABANA: Well, they would have to obtain prior approval.

MS EDWARDH: It's still an intelligence use.

MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: It's not a court use or a tribunal use.

MR. CABANA: No.
MS EDWARDH: So I take it while
the U.S. entities could share it among themselves, they could not share it with other nations or other intelligence entities without coming back to the RCMP?

MR. CABANA: That was my understanding, ma'am.

MS EDWARDH: Do you recall at any
time, while you were in charge of the investigation, where permission was sought to provide this information to intelligence agencies from other nations?

MR. FOTHERGILL: Again, I'm not quite sure what his answer is. It may raise an NSC concern.

But without knowing what he would answer the question, $I$ can't state my objection one way or the other.

MS EDWARDH: With respect,
Mr. Commissioner, I think that Mr. Fothergill either objects or he doesn't object. He should be given an opportunity to do so. But if he's not going to, then...

THE COMMISSIONER: Is this a
neither confirm nor deny? If the answer is "no", would the witness be free to answer?
--- Laughter / Rires MR. FOTHERGILL: I think that is the difficulty. If I had to be forced one way or the other, I will object.

THE COMMISSIONER: You will
object?
MR. FOTHERGILL: Yes. THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. MR. ATKEY: Mr. Commissioner, the usual.
--- Laughter / Rires THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. Atkey. MS EDWARDH: I would like to say ditto. When did you become aware of the U.S. CIA policy of covert rendering of people to places where they may be at risk of interrogation and torture?

MR. CABANA: I would say my first indications would be at 10:35 on the 9 th of October.

MS EDWARDH: Fair enough. And I
guess if $I$ were in your shoes, sir, I would get on the phone to my boss and then up the chain, or to my colleagues at CSIS, and say -- forgive my phraseology -- "What has happened here?" MR. CABANA: I basically had the same reaction, ma'am, but not immediately with my supervisors. My first reaction was to contact my counterparts at the U.S. Embassy with the same question.

MS EDWARDH: And $I$ want to just
reflect on -- because we are not allowed to ask certain questions about this particular case, I want to ask about policy.

You have conveyed to the Commissioner a sense of the need for being alert to threats to Canada's security.

MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: And I just wonder
whether you have any recollection of any occasion, while you were in charge as OIC of A-OCANADA, of sitting down with your colleagues and saying, "Do we need to revisit the nature of the information-sharing if in fact the U.S. feels free to operate outside the boundaries of what we understand to be reasonable and expected?"

You look like you are angsting over that question. Let me try it again.

Did you sit down and say --
MR. CABANA: I understand the
question, ma'am. I'm trying to formulate an answer.

Yes, but not necessarily in the sense of -- not necessarily in that sense.

Throughout the course of
progression of the investigation, there was a number of issues that surfaced with U.S. agencies over the agreement that had been in place and over the, $I$ guess it would be their interpretation of the open sharing. And those issues were raised on a number of occasions with my supervisors.

MS EDWARDH: I'm not sure that gets me to the --

MR. CABANA: I understand that.
MS EDWARDH: It may not go -- it maybe you can't go any further. Certainly the world of rendition to the risk of torture is, I'm going to suggest, new to Canadian police strategies and I'm going to put to you that the sharing of information that may contribute to that is something that must now
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be very carefully considered if its use could so profoundly jeopardize human rights?

MR. CABANA: I would suggest it
is, ma'am.
MS EDWARDH: It's being
reconsidered? Or it must be considered?
MR. CABANA: Must be considered.
MS EDWARDH: Thank you, sir.
I want to ask some random
questions because they are left over as
curiosities from my friend's examination-in-chief.
You made the point, sir, of saying
in A-OCANADA there was an effort to include a Muslim police officer as a participant in the investigation, and the particular person you identified, first of all, was a Muslim person who was brought in from the Ottawa Police Force? MR. CABANA: That was one of them, yes.

MS EDWARDH: Do you know, sir, whether this person is an Arab Muslim, or is he or she is a Muslim from another nation, such an Indonesia or Somalia?

MR. CABANA: I believe he is an Arab Muslim, ma'am.

MS EDWARDH: And you also made the observation that he had lasted only for a couple months.

MR. CABANA: Yes. The exact time period of when he left, I don't know. I couldn't tell you when he left, but it was for a short period of time, a few months.

MS EDWARDH: Do you know why he chose to leave the unit or the team?

MR. CABANA: It wasn't his choice. It was his department that required his assistance in other capacities.

MS EDWARDH: Fine. And with respect to the other Muslims that were brought in from the Sûreté du Québec, were they Arab Muslims or were they Muslims from other countries?

MR. CABANA: I wouldn't know, ma'am.

MS EDWARDH: I want to now deal with the hard drives, the seven hard drives.

I take it we have part of the information to obtain, but the seven warrants resulted in the seizure of 26 hard drives?

MR. CABANA: That was part of the items that were seized, yes.

MS EDWARDH: Yes. And you said hundreds of $C D$ disks and tens of thousands of documents?

MR. CABANA: That's correct.
MS EDWARDH: I'm going to suggest to you, sir, that but for the sense of pressure or urgency around the sharing of information, while that is a huge volume, it's not the kind of volume that would ordinarily overwhelm the RCMP in conducting a criminal investigation.

It has to be a big investigation, but it wouldn't be overwhelming, if there wasn't urgency?

MR. CABANA: I wouldn't agree with that statement, ma'am.

Twenty-six hard drives and
hundreds of CDs is a huge amount of data. In just one hard drive, you are talking about millions of pages, potentially, of information that has to be analyzed.

MS EDWARDH: It seems to me,
though, even if I accede to your suggestion that the volume was overwhelming, that the principal concern of the team was that you couldn't be sure that you would identify what was relevant because
you have an image, I take it, Officer, that the puzzle transcends borders and nations. So you could be looking at an important piece of a puzzle but not have any picture in which to put it in.

MR. CABANA: Yes. But in addition to that, in a normal criminal case, if you know the offence, you know the elements of the offence, you know what you are looking for, you can conduct an analysis and a search of the material that you are seizing in a much more focused approach.

Considering the type of
investigation, financial investigation we were conducting, we could not specifically research this information in that fashion. So the search had to be extremely wide.

MS EDWARDH: Right. But still it could not be so broad as to fall outside the requirements of the Criminal Code and/or section 8 of the Charter, which requires some specificity?

MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: Now, I want to ask about the distribution of these hard drives, and you may not be able to tell me who they were given to, but I just want to be clear.

I got the impression they were
mirrored and provided to any of the other agencies who were willing to take on the task of an analysis?

MR. CABANA: They were a mirror
image.
MS EDWARDH: Yes?
MR. CABANA: And they were offered
to the agencies that were participants in this investigation.

MS EDWARDH: U.S. and Canadian? MR. CABANA: That's correct. MS EDWARDH: And without intending to suggest that everyone doesn't understand that, you might just take 30 seconds and describe what the process of mirroring is.

MR. CABANA: What the process of
mirror imaging is?
MS EDWARDH: Yes. What does it
mean?
MR. CABANA: You are talking to
the wrong person here.
--- Laughter / Rires
MS EDWARDH: Sorry,
Mr. Commissioner.
You will agree with me, sir, that
mirroring a hard drive involves the taking of a forensically accurate copy of everything on the hard drive?

MR. CABANA: Yes, and there's software that is used and there's an identical, exact copy of the hard drive that is created on --

MS EDWARDH: Another disc?
MR. CABANA: Exactly. A second hard drive.

MS EDWARDH: So literally everything on one drive is transferred or copied on to the other drive?

MR. CABANA: Exactly. And there's a process that is done to preserve the integrity of all the data, but I'm not familiar with exactly what that is.

MS EDWARDH: One of the things
that process does is it allows you to look very exhaustively even at information that may be deleted from a computer, as long as it has not been written over?

MR. CABANA: That's correct.
MS EDWARDH: I was waiting for an objection.

MR. CABANA: So was I.

MS EDWARDH: We deal with them in criminal cases too.

When you offered the hard drives, can you tell us, sir, whether there was any mechanism in place to coordinate the analysis?

In other words, if you give to the FBI the seven hard drives and you are analysing the seven hard drives, so you have two pieces of the puzzle and they decide down in West Virginia, or wherever they are, that they have another piece of the puzzle. What was the coordinating mechanism and who was it and how was it managed?

MR. FOTHERGILL: Commissioner, sorry, I haven't fallen asleep. --- Laughter / Rires

MS EDWARDH: Thank you, sir.
MR. FOTHERGILL: The first
question $I$ think was an acceptable answer regarding technological investigative technique.

I think this second question takes
us into a realm where we do assert a claim of national security confidentiality for a confidential investigative technique.

MS EDWARDH: I'm sorry, I'm not
sure I understand.

The first technique, mirroring the hard drive, is standard, Mr. Commissioner, in any criminal proceeding to deal with pornography or stuff like that.

THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
MS EDWARDH: But I'm not sure that I was talking about technique. I was asking about how they intended to coordinate the different pieces of information that might flow from the distribution of the hard drives.

I wasn't asking about techniques or technology; $I$ was asking about the management structure of who was doing it.

MR. FOTHERGILL: So long as there is no reference to technology, I think the witness can answer.

THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Go ahead then.

MR. CABANA: There was a mechanism that was put in place, and the mechanism goes back to -- if $I$ can call it a mechanism -- goes back to the agreement to share any pieces of information back and forth.

> So as information was surfacing, things that we felt were of relevance, it was
shared.
Unfortunately, based on the conversations that I have had, I believe we are getting into the actual relationship with the American agencies that was created post-9/11. And I'm not sure, but $I$ think that this might be problematic.

MR. FOTHERGILL: Certainly if it's
a proposal to name the involvement of other agencies other than the $F B I, ~ I ~ w o u l d ~ o b j e c t . ~$

THE COMMISSIONER: All right. And
I think that is the case.
MR. CABANA: Well -- okay, let me say that, first of all, as $I$ have stated, there were regular meetings with our counterparts where all of this information -- this was from the inception of the project -- where this information was shared.

There was also information
reaching us from our American counterparts on the results of their analysis.

MS EDWARDH: You have described some of those meetings, but would the meetings be as regular as, you know, once a month or once every six weeks?

MR. CABANA: In general?
MS EDWARDH: Yes.
MR. CABANA: They would be several
times a week.
MS EDWARDH: I see. So what you
are really then describing, without telling any secrets, is there's a joint management meeting, so information will be flowing from all the entities into this management meeting which is multi-agency?

MR. CABANA: I believe that is a very good way of phrasing it.

MS EDWARDH: And, of course, I
know that no computers were used so ...
Don't answer that.
So when you answered the
question -- the reason $I$ asked these questions, Officer, is you answered the question to Mr. Cavalluzzo that all you expected was a letter back. I obviously misunderstood you.

There was a regular reporting
relationship from all the agencies involved about the results of their investigations?

MR. CABANA: That's correct.
MS EDWARDH: I want to deal with
another area that Mr. Cavalluzzo asked you about.
You were asked a number of
questions about visits to Syria, and as I recall, Commission counsel proposed the question and you answered the RCMP did not send questions to the Syrian Military Intelligence on Mr. Arar. Do you recall that question and that answer?

MR. CABANA: Yes, I believe so. MS EDWARDH: And that RCMP
officers did not go to Syria to interview Mr. Arar?

MR. CABANA: Not to my knowledge, no.

MS EDWARDH: And I take it, sir, you are not suggesting that in the same time frame that RCMP officers may not have travelled to Syria to deal with other persons.

MR. CABANA: I am not aware of any RCMP officer travelling to Syria.

MS EDWARDH: There was one that you intimated you believed was travelling to Syria.

If the witness could be provided Exhibit 173, I want to refer you, sir, to page 6
of 14.
This is a document you were
referred to yesterday, and it is a fax to headquarters from the liaison office in Rome.

MR. CABANA: Yes, and you are absolutely right. I believe the liaison officer travelled to Syria. My comment referred to members of the A-OCANADA team --

MS EDWARDH: That is what $I$ wanted to clarify.

MR. CABANA: Yes. Obviously the liaison officer, $I$ would presume from this report here, did travel to Syria.

MS EDWARDH: Just for the record, this document is a fax in respect of Mr. Arar -or part of it? It's a summary involving him?

I'm sorry, I'm mistaken. Let me just recalibrate that one.

This is an answer to a series of questions obviously posed by the liaison officer to Brian Garvie in the course of his work, but it's quite clear that he is describing receiving information pertaining to Arar.

I take it, sir, your assumption is the liaison officer can, if he wishes, or has
business, travel to those areas in the world that he is accredited to and does do that?

MR. CABANA: Yes, I believe that
is their function.
MS EDWARDH: Yes. And the liaison
officer in Rome, wherever else he is accredited, we certainly know he is accredited to Damascus?

MR. CABANA: That's correct.
MS EDWARDH: So he represents --
in some embassies there is a permanent accreditation, and in others the liaison officer travels regularly.

Is that fair?
MR. CABANA: That's fair.
MS EDWARDH: And do you know whether or not the liaison officer ever provided materials to Syrian Military Intelligence?

MR. FOTHERGILL: Commissioner, I'm sorry, I must object.

As I have said previously, we will permit disclosure of the fact that certain investigative avenues were explored, but whether certain investigative avenues were actually taken and whether they produced results, we object to on the grounds of national security confidentiality.

THE COMMISSIONER: There we go.
MR. ATKEY: Same observation. THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you,

Mr. Atkey.
MR. FOTHERGILL: I'm sorry,
Commissioner, I may have overstated the objection. If it's limited to information
about Mr. Arar, there is no objection.
MS EDWARDH: I believe,
Mr. Commissioner, although perhaps others can correct me if I'm wrong, but I believe Ambassador Pillarella testified that the liaison officer travelled to Damascus and met and discussed Mr. El Mati.

Have I dreamt this up again,
Mr. Cavalluzzo?
MR. CAVALLUZZO: But that was the
year before. That was in January of 2002 , if it happened.

MS EDWARDH: That's fair enough.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: In January of
2003, Mr. El Maati obviously was in Egypt.
MS JACKMAN: Only from January
26th.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: I'm sorry?

MS EDWARDH: From January 24th, 2003.

THE COMMISSIONER: 2002 .
MS EDWARDH: Two. 2002 .
So I take it the first trip
described by Mr. Pillarella is on the record but the second trip is off the record in respect of Mr. Arar?

MR. FOTHERGILL: May we just have a moment, please?
--- Pause
MR. FOTHERGILL: Commissioner, without knowing what the witness would say, I can't get clear instructions. So out of an abundance of caution, I must restate my objection.

THE COMMISSIONER: I have an idea what the witness would say, I think, as I recall. We have heard evidence about this, unless I'm misinterpreting, mis-recollecting.

Why don't we put that question to one side? There will be a break at some point, and you can consider it then, Mr. Fothergill.

Thank you.
MS EDWARDH: I wonder if I could
just clarify?

I thought the parameters were that there was no objection if the information related to Mr. Arar, the provision of information to the Syrians in respect of Mr. Arar.

Is that correct?
THE COMMISSIONER: That is the case. So you can pursue that line of questioning, as I understand.

MS EDWARDH: I do have a supplementary, which then gets us right into the problem. So let me reserve that one question.

THE COMMISSIONER: The question that I'm referring to then would relate to someone other than Mr. Arar.

MS EDWARDH: Thank you. I will
put those two questions on to the back burner then.
--- Pause
MS EDWARDH: When Mr. Arar was in the United States from September the 26 th through to his departure for Jordan and Syria, were you aware, sir, of any Canadian police force having a person, whether a liaison officer or not, present during that interrogation?

MR. CABANA: No, ma'am.

MS EDWARDH: But I take it the LO, liaison officer, in Washington, in the same way the liaison officer in Rome is free to conduct himself, assuming it's appropriate, without direction from headquarters in respect of such a matter as appearing at an interrogation?

MR. CABANA: Well, I believe actually the liaison officers, when they travel, seek authority to travel, even within their territory.

MS EDWARDH: But assuming the liaison officer is there in the foreign jurisdiction, he would be free, would he not, to attend an interview with a Canadian citizen if he was so invited?

MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: And I take it from what you're saying, sir, that the -- you have no information that any liaison officer was present during the interviews conducted in respect of Mr. Arar?

MR. CABANA: I don't believe that he was, no.

MS EDWARDH: You were quite candid in answering a question posed by Mr. Cavalluzzo
yesterday that as far as you were concerned you were discharging your mandate in receiving information from Mr. Pillarella or any other source in respect of Mr. Arar. You were quite simply, Officer, building a case, if one could be made. That was your job and that's what you were doing.

MR. CABANA: Yes, ma'am.
MS EDWARDH: We have heard
evidence, sir, that there may have been some understanding of the purposes of the RCMP being other than that, but rather designed to assist the Department of Foreign Affairs in helping Mr. Arar defend himself, and $I$ think you put it yesterday that it would be pretty naive to think that that is what you were doing?

MR. CABANA: I think by the nature of the conversations that surrounded this exchange of information, yes, it would be very naive.

MS EDWARDH: And I want to ask one other question, if I could?

MR. CABANA: Certainly.
MS EDWARDH: Which goes to the direction not just of building a case and much more specific than just being of general use.

I want to ask whether or not
anyone ever said to you, "Listen, the Syrians are alleging that Maher Arar is a member of the Muslim Brotherhood, and he may stand trial on that as a charge in Syria, and indeed in some cases it's a death penalty offence.

Did anyone ever ask you, sir, as a result of your investigations of Mr. Arar, "Do you have any information that can be transmitted to his defence counsel in Syria should that be the charge that goes forward"? Did anybody ask that question?

MR. CABANA: Not in those specific terms, no, but the extent and the nature of the information that we had on Mr. Arar was clearly communicated to the different parties of the meetings. So, in other words, the representatives from DFAIT knew exactly the extent of the information that we had.

MS EDWARDH: No, but Mr. Arar faces a more difficult challenge. If he had been charged in Syria, and if DFAIT knew the extent of the information, and we've heard Mr. Pardy kind of comment somewhat derisively about the validity of such an allegation, $I$ want to know whether anybody
talked about your role as a police force in going over and putting forward evidence in defence of such an allegation?

MR. CABANA: With myself? No, nobody ever approached me with this.

MS EDWARDH: And I take it, sir, if they didn't approach you, then the person to whom you handed your authority to in February of '03 would be -- who took over? It moves into the INSET, the A-INSET?

MR. CABANA: Yes, it was
integrated into the INSET.
MS EDWARDH: And who would be
responsible for that?
MR. CABANA: It would be Inspector
Warren Coons.
MS EDWARDH: And we have, I take it, no record that would indicate that Inspector Warren Coons was asked whether he would prepare a brief that could be used to defend the allegations in Syria?

MR. CABANA: I wouldn't have any knowledge of that.

MS EDWARDH: But certainly you
weren't?

MR. CABANA: No.
MS EDWARDH: Now, I want to turn to an area in which $I$ will just pose some questions.

You said that you first became -of Maher Arar -- aware of him on October the $12 t h$, generally. That's really when he comes within the framework of your investigation, A-OCANADA's investigation?

MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: And I want to go back
to the issue of racial profiling for a moment.
Mr. Arar has a meeting with a
Mr. Almalki for a relatively short period of time, where he is seen, for a period of time, standing in the rain having a conversation that is not the subject of an interception.

Now, you can object, but it's on the record. Not the subject of an interception.

And my concern, sir, is whether or not that series of observations -- you can't answer why, because $I$ don't want you to, but whether or not the series of observations reported to you --
--- Laughter / Rires

MS EDWARDH: I'm sorry. Mr. Bayne has his finger ...
--- Off microphone / Sans microphone
THE COMMISSIONER: It's sort of an intimidating factor.

MS EDWARDH: I take it that $I$ am clearly going into an area where Mr. Bayne has some objection on the basis of fairness to the witness.

You, Mr. Commissioner, have the gist of where I'm going, I think.

I am concerned about the thresholds at which people are swept up and the understanding. There may be an answer, you will hear it, I will not. I will not pursue the area any further.

THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Thank
you. And I can say, as I've said before, this is an area that in the in-camera evidence was canvassed thoroughly by Mr. Cavalluzzo.

MS EDWARDH: I have a few more. I want to put my questions so that -- in general, and so $I$ know for certain that $I$ can't go there.

THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
MS EDWARDH: On October 30, 2001,
sir, do I understand that a member of the RCMP obtained from Minto a copy of Mr. Arar's lease? MR. CABANA: No, ma'am. It was a person seconded.

THE COMMISSIONER: I think that question itself is not objectionable, is it?

MR. BAYNE: Well, I thought it was, given the directions we received the other day because of what naturally follows from it -THE COMMISSIONER: But nothing has followed yet. That may be the end of it. --- Laughter / Rires

THE COMMISSIONER: The next question may well be objectionable. --- Laughter / Rires

MS EDWARDH: Did you have
reasonable and probable grounds to execute, or did the team have reasonable and probable grounds to execute a search warrant in respect of this lease?

THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, I think that is a question that the witness can't answer. He would have to refer to information over which the Government claims NSC.

MS EDWARDH: Certainly, leaving aside the answer to that question, on January

10th, when you considered getting a search warrant in respect of Mr. Arar's home, you conclude you did not have RPG in respect of him or his home? MR. CABANA: That's correct. THE COMMISSIONER: There's more than one way.
--- Laughter / Rires
MS EDWARDH: Now, this is probably
one of the last two or three questions there may be some dispute about, and then $I$ will give up. I want to talk about the border.

The team you have described was created, sir, to accommodate the perceived needs for the investigation included persons who were part of CCRA?

MR. CABANA: That's correct.
MS EDWARDH: And I think you've described that.

MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: And through access, ordinary access ...
--- Pause
MS EDWARDH: I have provided this to all of my -- Mr. Registrar, I'm looking for this document and it is called a watch list or
something -- "the list".
THE COMMISSIONER: 174.
EXHIBIT NO. P-174: List of
the occasions that Mr. Arar
travelled across the
international border between
Canada and elsewhere, from
September 12, 2000 to January 24, 2002

MS EDWARDH: Exhibit 174?
THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, it is.
MS EDWARDH: Thank you very much,
Mr. Commissioner.
I understand this to be, and perhaps you could just confirm its character, a list of the occasions that Mr. Arar travelled across the international border between Canada and elsewhere?

MR. CABANA: It could very well
be, ma'am. I've never seen this document.
MS EDWARDH: Well, let's look at the very last few entries of the document and see if you can help me with them.

This document was disclosed pursuant to Access, and $I$ understand it to be a
document in respect of Mr. Arar's travels across the Canadian border -- oh, I believe there may be a more redacted version, but this is our Access. Now, you see in the second page, sir --

MR. CABANA: Yes?
MS EDWARDH: -- there is a
reference in respect of two dates that I'm curious about. The first -- the top would be the date of December 20, 2001. Do you see that?

MR. CABANA: Yes, I see that. MS EDWARDH: And then another date, which would be January 24,2002 ? MR. CABANA: Appears to be, yes. MS EDWARDH: Yes. And assuming this is the document described, as I've just suggested to you, just to the right of those entries it's clear the word "terrorism" is written?

MR. CABANA: Yes, I see that.
MS EDWARDH: And can you, sir,
indicate whether or not $A-O C A N A D A$ and the investigators had placed Mr. Arar on a watch list for his travels where he had been designated by A-OCANADA as a person to whom that label...

MR. FOTHERGILL: Go ahead. MS EDWARDH: ... applied?

MR. FOTHERGILL: Commissioner, the ability of the RCMP to request lookouts for individuals both in Canada and the United States is acknowledged.

There is, however, a claim of National Security Confidentiality with respect to thresholds, characterizations, particular individuals and the like, so $I$ do object to the witness answering that question.

THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Thank you.

MS EDWARDH: Should anyone care, Commissioner, the more redacted version of this document is Exhibit $P-85$, tab 91 , volume 3.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Ms Edwardh.

MS EDWARDH: As a result of Mr. Arar's passage through the border on December 20th, and leaving aside any description of how you got it, did you or did you not receive copies of his personal papers, his cards he was carrying, et cetera?

MR. FOTHERGILL: Again,

Commissioner, I must object.
MR. ARAR: Well, sorry. This is
too much -- there is evidence. There is evidence in the RCMP documents. Come on.

MS EDWARDH: Can I have one
moment?
MR. ARAR: This is just going too much.

MS EDWARDH: Can I take a
five-minute break?
THE COMMISSIONER: We'll rise for
15 minutes.
THE REGISTRAR: Please stand.
--- Upon recessing at 2:33 p.m. /
Suspension à 14 h 33
--- Upon resuming at 2:56 p.m. /
Reprise à 14 h 56
THE REGISTRAR: Please be seated.
MS EDWARDH: Thank you,
Mr. Commissioner, for your indulgence.
In addition to the most recent exhibit, Exhibit 179, I would like to file for your consideration three other documents, if $I$ could have Mr. Registrar hand them out. Each of my colleagues have been provided with copies of
them, and they may be of some assistance to you. MR. BAYNE: May I just state for the record, $I$ understand, due to a conversation my friend and I had before you came in, Mr. Commissioner, that the purpose of where we're going now is to examine matters on which you ruled in your ruling, and in the directions you gave and that $I$ believe are covered in the opening of Mr. Cavalluzzo, and I'm reading:
"In the meantime, we have determined that because of the Government's NSC claims, the investigative steps taken in the fall of 2001 cannot be fairly canvassed in the public hearings."

Now, I'm somewhat constrained in the public forum.

I don't want to mislead the Canadian public in any way that this investigator is unwilling to discuss fully this matter. He would like very much to be free to explain everything, but $I$ think we're coming -- an attempt through the back door to do what can't be done through the front door.

THE COMMISSIONER: I must say I'm in the dark at this point. I'm not sure what we're attempting to do.

MR. BAYNE: Well, I think we're attempting to deal with issues on which you have ruled about --

THE COMMISSIONER: I don't even know what issue we're dealing with at this point. Should I read something?

MR. BAYNE: Perhaps my friend can broadly explain.

MS EDWARDH: Mr. Commissioner, I intend to do examination in this area. These are documents which $I$ do not know if you have. It may be of assistance to you when you review the in-camera evidence.

These are not documents produced by the Government of Canada, the RCMP --

THE COMMISSIONER: You're just
seeking to file the documents.
MS EDWARDH: I am simply seeking
to file the documents so that you and
Mr. Cavalluzzo will have access to them along with the handwritten notes of the officer.

THE COMMISSIONER: And they are
documents that are obviously in the public domain.
MS EDWARDH: They are documents
produced by the Government of Canada through Access to Information.

THE COMMISSIONER: I can't imagine there's any difficulty with that. MR. BAYNE: You're right.
--- Laughter / Rires
MS EDWARDH: The first -- just so that we all have them in order, they are handwritten notes and --

THE COMMISSIONER: The handwritten
notes will be 175.
MS EDWARDH: Exhibit 175.
EXHIBIT NO. P-175: Notes in relation to Canada border seizure of Mr. Arar's belongings MS EDWARDH: And without expanding on them at all, Mr. Commissioner, I would draw your counsel's attention to page 2 of the handwritten notes under the period of time marked 1910, and the reference, "Value and possible viewing by NSIS." That's why the document is being filed and that's why we --

## StenoTran

THE COMMISSIONER: That's helpful.
Thank you.
MS EDWARDH: And this is obviously
in relation to the seizure.
This is, as well, two Customs
cards, one pertaining to November 29th, '01, and the other to December 20th. I am particularly interested in showing to you the document which has "SimComms" on the top left-hand corner, which relates -- do you see that?

MR. CABANA: Yes.
MS EDWARDH: And I'm sure if your counsel draws to your attention that this card we believe is the subject of a profile correction in the profile.

```
next exhibit?
```

THE COMMISSIONER: That's 176.
EXHIBIT NO. P-176: Customs
Declaration Card for Mr. Maher Arar, dated November 29, 2001

MS EDWARDH: And then just for your reference, because it ties to the original document, the next document, I'd ask that it be
filed as Exhibit 177.
THE COMMISSIONER: 177.
EXHIBIT NO. P-177: Customs
Declaration Card for
Mr. Maher Arar, with
Mr. Arar's business card
MS EDWARDH: Thank you,
Mr. Commissioner, and I'm instructed that $I$ have no further questions to ask. Thank you very much.

THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Thank
you Ms Edwardh?
Mr. Fothergill?
EXAMINATION
MR. FOTHERGILL: Thank you,
Commissioner.
Superintendent Cabana, I'd like to begin by seeking some clarification of a term that you've used on a couple of occasions to characterize the approach of the RCMP to the conduct of national security investigations post-9/11, and you referred, I think at least twice, to a concept of zero tolerance.

MR. CABANA: Yes, sir.
MR. FOTHERGILL: At the same time
I think you've told us that there was a shortage
of resources, and I'm wondering if you could explain for us how you reconcile the approach of zero tolerance with finite resources.

MR. CABANA: The term, or the
concept of zero tolerance, was in terms of investigative avenue, so it -- basically the directives that we were given is to look at all available avenues, not to disregard anything, and like I've also said, I think yesterday in my testimony, to leave no stones unturned.

The lack of resources following 9/11, I'm not sure I'm following your question because $I$ think they are two separate things. I mean, every agency -- I would suggest probably around the world, but definitely in Canada, and certainly with respect to the RCMP, lacked sufficient resources and had to redistribute -there was over 2,000 investigators, resources, that were redistributed post-9/11 immediately after the attacks to try to address the crisis. So clearly the lack of resources was in terms of dedicated national security resources.

MR. FOTHERGILL: What I'm
suggesting is that zero tolerance doesn't mean
that you pursue every single lead. There's some exercise of judgment, $I$ assume, in that you will pursue credible leads, not every single lead you ever receive?

MR. CABANA: Well, what the zero tolerance was, it required us to have a look at everything and determine the credibility, which would, like $I$ stated $I$ believe a little earlier today, which would also include any information or statement reaching us where the -- before any investigative action or investigative steps are developed based on this information, it has to be analyzed, it has to be looked at. But if it offers a possibility, we have to look at that possibility.

MR. FOTHERGILL: But you wouldn't say that the zero tolerance approach meant that you suspended all judgment about whether a lead was worth pursuing?

MR. CABANA: Oh no, definitely
not.
MR. FOTHERGILL: I'd like to ask you some questions about the national security policy of the RCMP, and $I$ think the one that was in effect during the establishment of Project

A-OCANADA we can find at Exhibit $P-12$, tab 34. --- Pause

MR. FOTHERGILL: Now, this is the national security investigations policy of the RCMP, and I think you've told us that, from your perspective, this policy did not apply to Project A-OCANADA because Project A-OCANADA was a criminal investigation. Is that right?

MR. CABANA: That's correct.
MR. FOTHERGILL: Regardless of whether you considered this policy to apply to your investigation, $I$ 'd just like to ask you if, in fact, you complied with it, and $I$ am going to do that with reference in particular to the reporting requirement in section E.2, which $I$ think is the second page.

So again, regardless of whether this policy applied to Project A-OCANADA, we see that in E. 2 reporting, E. $2 . a$, with respect to the responsibility of the CROPS officer, that was to immediately notify national headquarters of a potential threat to national security of a known or suspected criminal extremist, or instance affecting national security.

Can you tell us whether that was,
in fact, done in respect of Project A-OCANADA? MR. CABANA: Yes, I believe I
testified to that in the sense that daily SITREPs were being forwarded to CID, to headquarters, who holds really the responsibility with respect to national security matters.

They were kept abreast of all developments in the file, and all the information, and here $I$ 'm speaking of the work product of the file, was being uploaded to SCIS on a daily basis. On top of that, CID was advised of all the multi-agency meetings that we were having, and for the most part were attending those meetings as well.

MR. FOTHERGILL: So if we look at section E.2.a.2, to the extent that there were any operational plans, would national headquarters have been aware of them?

MR. CABANA: They would be
approved by them, sir.
MR. FOTHERGILL: And we see that an initial report should be made within 14 days. I'm referring now to number 3 .

MR. CABANA: Yes.
MR. FOTHERGILL: I take it you
would say that that was complied with?
MR. CABANA: That was done on a daily basis, sir.

MR. FOTHERGILL: So again, when we
see in the next paragraph: "At least once a month, update ongoing investigations," you would say that you, in fact, exceeded that requirement? MR. CABANA: We had daily
requirements that were imposed on us by Criminal Operations Officer. All of our reports were being forwarded to CID.

I guess -- I can try to clarify
when $I$ stated that the national security policy didn't apply. I think it's more in the sense of reporting structure. It's more in the sense that the direction of the file, the development of the file, was the responsibility of the Criminal

Operations Officer and was done in the same context as other criminal investigations.

MR. FOTHERGILL: From your
perspective, did you detect a change in approach on the part of headquarters over the month that Project A-OCANADA was in operation? I don't mean necessarily just in relation to that project, but an evolution of national security program at
national headquarters?
MR. CABANA: Oh, very much so.
MR. FOTHERGILL: Could you tell us
something of what you observed of the evolution?
MR. CABANA: Well, indeed the
policy that we are reviewing here was modified, the training that was available for the members was modified. Over time, and I would submit that it's probably as CID -- that the people in CID were getting a handle on all the SHOCK tips, because as of November, I believe it was November 2001, there was still 10,000 tips that had to be processed. So there's a huge volume of information flowing into CID.

Once they managed to get control of this -- get it under control, that's the best way $I$ can describe it, then the coordination aspect of the different projects CID became a lot more involved in coordinating.

Now, in that respect, though, the coordination was done, although it was direct contact with the team, there was direct contact with me, the direction still coming from Criminal Operations.

MR. FOTHERGILL: If I can ask you
to address your mind to the days and weeks immediately post-9/11, and, in fact, just prior to 9/11, is it fair to say that the national security program of the RCMP was quite decentralized in that it typically was the responsibility of the divisions to investigate threats of national security?

MR. CABANA: I believe that the reporting structure was still in place in the sense that the NSIS units were reporting to CID, but I believe the way you're phrasing it is a good description of what the situation was. The work that was being performed was directed mostly by the divisions.

MR. FOTHERGILL: And then 9/11
massively increased the priority that the RCMP attached to national security and, in particular, what is sometimes referred to as Sunni Islamic extremism. Is that right?

MR. CABANA: Very much so, yes.
MR. FOTHERGILL: And did you
perceive an increased attention to the program on the part of the RCMP, and in particular, CID?

MR. CABANA: Yes. Since 9/11, the national security program of the RCMP, and still
to this day, I'm responsible for that program for the Province of Quebec, is still at the forefront of the priorities of the RCMP.

MR. FOTHERGILL: Now, you're
aware, I take it, that in November of 2003, there were some new ministerial directives that were issued to the RCMP by the Solicitor General?

MR. CABANA: Yes, sir.
MR. FOTHERGILL: And would you say
that those served to even further increase the centralization of the national security program?

MR. CABANA: Very much so.
MR. FOTHERGILL: So when we hear about disagreements or tensions between Project A-OCANADA and CID, in your view, can we attribute any of that to the evolving role of CID in matters of national security?

MR. CABANA: To some extent, yes.
But as I stated, I've been involved in major crime -- major crime investigations ----- Laughter / Rires

MR. CABANA: -- for the best part
of 20 years, and as soon as you're getting involved in multijurisdiction types of investigations, there is always conflicts that
will surface.
So the conflicts that were surfacing in this investigation here were not necessarily unusual. It's very common for investigative teams that have commonalities that are looking at the sometimes same organization -maybe not the same individuals, but the same organizations -- to have a difference of opinion, or to express concern over investigative steps that are being undertaken by another team.

These are always resolved, and do not create any negative impact per se to the investigation.

So this was not a situation where that was out of the ordinary.

MR. FOTHERGILL: I'm going to ask you a few questions now about training of the investigative team members, and I think you said that post-9/11, there wasn't really training available. Could you elaborate on that, please? MR. CABANA: Well, I think there was a basic -- there was training that was available with respect to national security investigations. I think personally, from my discussions with members that took that training
pre-9/11, it was basic training, looking at policy and looking at information exchange and things of that nature.

It wasn't training -- the same
type of training as you follow or you get when you're getting involved, for example, and I'm always making reference to Proceeds of Crime because I spent so many years there, but in the advanced type of training that you get in Proceeds of Crime, looking at international relations and the obtaining or securing of evidence in foreign jurisdictions and things of that nature. It wasn't as evolved.

MR. FOTHERGILL: I don't know if you can help us with this, but can you tell us to what extent the criminal extremism training available pre-9/11 would have addressed, in particular, the Sunni Islamic extremist threat?

MR. CABANA: Would have addressed the Sunni Islamic extremist ...

MR. FOTHERGILL: Threat. As distinct from other forms of criminal extremism that we might encounter in Canada.

MR. CABANA: I don't think it would have changed anything, personally.

MR. FOTHERGILL: Changed or
addressed. I'm asking you whether the syllabus, to your knowledge, and you may not know, but whether the criminal extremism syllabus addressed Sunni Islamic extremism in any great --

MR. CABANA: In the training that was provided pre-9/11?

MR. FOTHERGILL: That's right.
MR. CABANA: I have no knowledge. MR. FOTHERGILL: All right. Fine.

Do you know whether, in fact, the criminal
extremism course was significantly altered after 9/11?

MR. CABANA: That's my
understanding, yes.
MR. FOTHERGILL: All right. Can
you comment on whether members of your
investigative team engaged in what $I$ might call
on-the-job learning or training?
MR. CABANA: I would submit to
you, sir, that we all did.
MR. FOTHERGILL: All right. Could
you elaborate on that, please?
MR. CABANA: Well, in the sense in
that there was questions and comments that were
made with respect to the Muslim investigators that joined our team, and some of the lectures that were followed, and $I$ hope I'm not going to offend anybody here, but $9 / 11$ and immediately post-9/11, there was a large number of us that were faced with a new phenomenon.

First of all, we had the events themselves, and the type of investigation and criminal offenses that we were being asked to investigate, some of which we could easily, especially with the financial aspect, the members that we had, the investigators, could easily use the expertise that they had accumulated over the previous years.

We were faced with -- well,
frankly, there was names that we couldn't
interpret the names. Looking at the names, we didn't know if it was a first name or if it was a family name. Things of that nature. It was something new for us.

And one of the purposes of having people that could explain the meaning and the nature of the relationships and all that. That's why those people were there.

MR. FOTHERGILL: And did you, in
fact, have people with that sort of expertise as part of your team?

MR. CABANA: Yes, we did.
MR. FOTHERGILL: And did they have
the opportunity to mentor others about some of these ideas?

MR. CABANA: Yes, very much so. Prior to the searches of January $22 n d$, again, we had concerns, trying not to offend anybody, in the manner in which these searches were conducted, and we had a consultation process in place to see what we could and couldn't do. Was there anything that we normally do in these types of searches that we shouldn't be doing here that might be offensive, and we didn't know. So there was people there to advise us.

MR. FOTHERGILL: Moving on to
another subject. Ms Edwardh asked you about the proper definition of certain terms that we have heard, such as "person of interest," "a witness," "a suspect," or "a subject of the investigation," and my question to you is: How well-defined are these terms? Does everybody use them consistently, or is there a variation -MR. CABANA: No. No, not at all.

To my knowledge, they're not well-defined.
MR. FOTHERGILL: So we could, for example, have somebody who was a person of interest but on a particular day a target of surveillance?

MR. CABANA: Oh, very much so. As I say, you have to look at the context that the term is used in. And if a person was tasked to do something specific, in the reports that are generated in relation to that task, they might refer to the person as a target, he's a target of surveillance, but he's not necessarily the target of the project. So that's what I mean is, people have different definitions of the terms and you have to look at the context in which these terms are used.

MR. FOTHERGILL: I'd like to ask
you now some questions about your relationship with the Department of Foreign Affairs.

MR. CABANA: Yes, sir.
MR. FOTHERGILL: You've been asked extensively about what's been termed an offer to share information with Syria. But let me just make sure we have your evidence clearly.

Your offer was made to the

Department of Foreign Affairs, correct?
MR. CABANA: Yes, sir.
MR. FOTHERGILL: And as I
understand it, the offer was never accepted -- or at least you never --

MR. CABANA: To -- no, it was
never accepted, to my knowledge --
MS EDWARDH: I'm going to object because $I$ don't think the witness can answer the question. He certainly can within the purview of his direction and control over the project, which gets us to February 2003, but not beyond that.

MR. FOTHERGILL: That's perfectly fine. If you would please, Superintendent Cabana, limit your answer to your personal knowledge.

MR. CABANA: While $I$ was attached to Project A-OCANADA, the project never made any direct offer of sharing information with Syrian authorities. Now, whether, based on discussions with DFAIT, DFAIT extended this offer, I have no knowledge of that.

MR. FOTHERGILL: All right. Now, you did mention that you received some information that originated in Syria through the Department of Foreign Affairs, and I'm referring here
specifically to either consular reports or the summary of what Mr. Arar was alleged to have told the Syrians, correct?

MR. CABANA: Yes, sir, that's
correct.
MR. FOTHERGILL: Just as a point of clarification, because $I$ think at one point it was suggested that you received these items from Mr. Pillarella. In fact you received these items from ISI, correct? The intelligence branch of the Department of Foreign Affairs?

MR. CABANA: I don't know who I
received them from. I didn't receive them from Mr. Pillarella at that meeting, like I said, I believe that this information reached us subsequent to the meeting, I believe. MR. FOTHERGILL: And you are referring to the November 6th meeting? MR. CABANA: Yes. MR. FOTHERGILL: Okay. Now, $I$ think you told us that from a police perspective, when you receive this information, your interest is in furthering your investigation as opposed to assisting Mr. Arar, for example?

MR. CABANA: Yes.
MR. FOTHERGILL: Now, is it
possible that from the Foreign Affairs perspective, they may have a couple of reasons for giving that information to you --

MS EDWARDH: Objection. I don't think the witness is competent to answer what the Foreign Affairs perspective is.

MR. FOTHERGILL: With respect, Commissioner, that seems a very, very technical objection. This is an inquiry, and he can express to us his knowledge, such as it is.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, if he has any knowledge --

MR. FOTHERGILL: If he has any.
THE COMMISSIONER: -- as a result of his dealings with Foreign Affairs which would indicate.

MR. FOTHERGILL: Exactly.
THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, we
wouldn't want you to guess what may be there, but if there's any indication you had, or inferences that you drew or something of that sort, I think that would be fair.

MR. FOTHERGILL: What I'd like to
do, Commissioner, is perhaps put to the witness two possible purposes that Foreign Affairs might have in sharing the information, and if he believes that these are not, in fact, the purposes, he can certainly tell us.

THE COMMISSIONER: So long as it's understood, based on his interaction with Foreign Affairs.

MR. FOTHERGILL: Exactly.
THE COMMISSIONER: Rather than -MR. CAVALLUZZO: If I might interject, Commissioner. I think that's a rather leading way to ask this witness the question as to his knowledge. Perhaps he should ask him what his knowledge is --

THE COMMISSIONER: I think that would be fair, too. I mean, given -- as I've said before to counsel who are examining witnesses who are aligned in interest with counsel's interests, it's much more of assistance to me if the witness is not led.

MR. FOTHERGILL: All right. Thank you.

THE COMMISSIONER: Because then -in any event, for all the reasons litigators know.

MR. FOTHERGILL: In that case, Superintendent Cabana, do you in fact know what the purpose, from the Foreign Affairs perspective is, of giving information to the police?

MR. CABANA: Based on the
conversations that $I$ had with them?
MR. FOTHERGILL: Based on anything
that you wish to refer to.
MR. CABANA: The belief that I had was it was in furtherance of the threat to Canada. MR. FOTHERGILL: All right. Thank you.

Now, you mentioned that at this November 6th meeting, consular reports were discussed, and Ms Edwardh referred you to the October $23 r d$ consular report. Do you recall her doing that?

MR. CABANA: Yes.
MR. FOTHERGILL: And I think you agreed that that report was in the hands of Project A-OCANADA in advance of the November 6th meeting. Is that correct?

MR. CABANA: That's correct.
MR. FOTHERGILL: I think you also
told us that, quite apart from that, consular

## StenoTran

reports, updates, were discussed as part of that meeting?

MR. CABANA: Yes, that's correct.
MR. FOTHERGILL: Could I ask you
to refer to the October $29 t h$ consular report.
This can be found at Exhibit 134 , tab 4.
--- Pause
MR. FOTHERGILL: Do you have that
in front of you, Superintendent?
MR. CABANA: Tab 4, sir?
MR. FOTHERGILL: Tab 4, yes. It's
an e-mail transmission, and the subject is
Mr. Maher Arar, October 29th visit.
MR. CABANA: Yes, sir, I have it.
MR. FOTHERGILL: Now, I see that your initials aren't on this document. Could you take a moment to look at it and advise us whether that would have been provided to Project A-OCANADA in advance of the November 6th meeting.

MS EDWARDH: Sorry, I'm going to again object. It's quite clear from the record we have that there are three documents we were provided, unless perhaps CSIS provided Exhibit 93, 94, and 95, and one -- or two of them the officer would not have seen because they post-date his
tenure, $I$ believe, and Exhibit 93 is the November 22 nd and November 3 rd report, found in Exhibit 93.

MR. FOTHERGILL: Now this one is
dated October 29th, so $I$ don't think it falls outside this officer's tenure.

MS EDWARDH: No, it doesn't, but we've established that it was not sent.

THE COMMISSIONER: I'll ask, I
think, the question that would be proper. It may be that this officer would have a different recollection and say it was sent. I don't know.

MR. FOTHERGILL: Otherwise we can proceed on the basis of his earlier testimony that a consular update was provided on November 6th, in which case $I$ was simply asking whether any of the points addressed in this consular report were discussed at that meeting.

THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Well, first of all, let's find out if the officer has any recollection as to whether or not this was sent.

MR. CABANA: I have never seen this document, sir.

THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
MR. FOTHERGILL: If I can address
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your attention to the first paragraph?
MR. CABANA: Certainly.
MR. FOTHERGILL: There is a
description of a delivery to Mr. Arar of a letter from his wife, and then towards the bottom of the paragraph, it continues:
"The reading caused him to become very emotional but he soon presented his comments for transmission to his wife. The Syrians did not make any attempt to restrain him on what he wished to convey." MR. CABANA: Mm-hmm.

MR. FOTHERGILL: Do you recall whether that aspect of a recent consular visit was mentioned during the November 6th discussion?

MR. CABANA: Yes, sir, I believe it was.

MR. FOTHERGILL: Can you provide any further details of the discussion on that point?

MR. CABANA: This, sir, would be strictly from memory, okay. MR. FOTHERGILL: All right.

MR. CABANA: But I believe there was discussions to the effect that there had been a consular visit, everything appeared to be in order, and in the view of the people that were at the table, as long as these consular visits continued, there shouldn't be any problems with respect to Mr. Arar.

MR. FOTHERGILL: Can I ask you to address your attention to paragraph 5, please, of this report? It reads:
"Two changes were noticed in Maher's presentation. He did not seem to be disoriented anymore and he seemed to be able to speak freely and without fear."

Do you recall whether that aspect of the consular visit was mentioned during the November 6th meeting?

MR. CABANA: I don't recall, sir.
MR. FOTHERGILL: I want to ask you a question about the conditions placed by Mr. Edelson on the -- of any possible interview with Mr. Arar. I don't have Ms Edwardh's experience in criminal matters, nor yours, so my
question may seem a little bit naive.
But I understand that one of the effects of the conditions was that any information Mr. Arar gave you in the course of an interview would not be admissible in any legal proceeding. Is that correct?

MS EDWARDH: I'm sorry. I think
the answer was the statement would not be admissible.

THE COMMISSIONER: I didn't
understand it to be any information.
MS EDWARDH: Absolutely not.
THE COMMISSIONER: I understand
the statement.
MR. FOTHERGILL: Only the
statement itself. It may be that this line of questioning leads nowhere. As I said, I'm betraying my ignorance here.

From your perspective, would the conditions imposed by Mr. Edelson make it difficult, or even impossible, for you to use the information derived from the interview in an application for judicial authorization, such as for a search warrant, an application to intercept private communications, or some other judicially
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authorized investigative measure?
MR. CABANA: The information
received directly from Mr. Arar, from my perspective, with these conditions, could not be used for anything.

We could use it as investigative leads and try to develop evidence surrounding some of the points that he would have given us, but really, it was problematic.

The purpose and the focus of our interest at the time was to identify potential witnesses. As I've stated, the focus of our investigation was not Mr. Arar, it was another individual, and we were trying to locate potential witnesses that were involved, or had been involved, in some transactions.

MR. FOTHERGILL: But from your perspective, you understood that you couldn't use any information that he gave you in an application for judicially authorized investigative technique. MS EDWARDH: I object,

Mr. Commissioner. That's not what the witness has said. He can't use the statement. It's very different.

THE COMMISSIONER: I think --

MR. FOTHERGILL: But this is what I'm seeking to clarify.

THE COMMISSIONER: I think it's a fair question to seek to clarify.

MR. CABANA: From my perspective, like $I$ said, the information, in other words, the statement that was provided to us could not be used for anything. That, in my perspective, would include search warrants if we agreed to this with Mr. Edelson.

MR. FOTHERGILL: All right. Now, this was a financial investigation, or at least had a financial component?

MR. CABANA: Yes, it had a
financial nature to it, yes.
MR. FOTHERGILL: I can probably
make my question less sensitive if $I$ just ask you to draw on your experience as a member of the Integrated Proceeds of Crime section. MR. CABANA: Yes, sir. MR. FOTHERGILL: Can you comment on to what extent judicially authorized measures such as search warrants and wiretaps are important to furthering an investigation of that nature? MR. CABANA: They're an integral
part of obtaining and securing the necessary evidence of financial transactions.

MR. FOTHERGILL: My final subject
area relates to caveats and the
information-sharing arrangement that you understood existed between certain partner agencies --

MR. CABANA: Yes, sir.
MR. FOTHERGILL: -- following
9/11, and I will, I think, Commissioner, lead the witness for a moment, because $I$ don't think this is controversial, but $I$ do want to make it clear that my understanding is the same as the witness's.

There was to be a free flow of information-sharing within the partner agencies for intelligence purposes only?

MR. CABANA: Yes, sir.
MR. FOTHERGILL: But if any
partner agency wished to use the information or share it outside the partnership, consent of the originator was required, correct? MR. CABANA: Yes, sir. MR. FOTHERGILL: Now, I wonder if I could ask you to look at Exhibit P-172.

THE COMMISSIONER: Sorry, the
number again?
MR. FOTHERGILL: P-172.
THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
--- Pause
MR. FOTHERGILL: This is the document that was sent to the United States on October 4, 2002. It's dated October 2nd, but I think it's acknowledged that that date is incorrect. And you'll see at the top of the page what appears to be an explicit caveat. This is the top of the attachment.

MR. CABANA: Yes.
MR. FOTHERGILL: See that?
MR. CABANA: Yes.
MR. FOTHERGILL: And the caveat reads:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { "This document is the } \\
& \text { property of the Royal } \\
& \text { Canadian Mounted Police. It } \\
& \text { is loaned to you in } \\
& \text { confidence and is not to be } \\
& \text { reclassified, distributed or } \\
& \text { acted upon without the prior } \\
& \text { authorization of the }
\end{aligned}
$$
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originator."
MR. CABANA: Yes.
MR. FOTHERGILL: So based on that, would you expect the U.S. government to be under any sort of obligation to seek the consent of the RCMP before acting on the information contained in this document?

MR. CABANA: Yes, sir, I would expect they would.

MR. FOTHERGILL: And to your
knowledge did that ever happen?
MR. CABANA: No, sir.
MR. FOTHERGILL: Now, I think I
need to seek further clarification from the witness because the reason I'm asking you this, Superintendent Cabana, is I thought you gave a somewhat different response to my friend, Ms Edwardh. I understood you to say to her that he felt some sort of consent might have been implied by CID's direction that the American request be responded to.

MR. CABANA: No, what $I$ testified to is the fact that the request for us to provide this information, and it doesn't appear in this exhibit here, but the actual request that reached
us, that was channelled through CID, clearly makes reference that the information is to be used -MR. FOTHERGILL: Okay, I'm sorry. It puts me in an awkward position, but $I$ have to stop you.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: I object on the basis of NSC. --- Laughter / Rires

MR. FOTHERGILL: People laugh, but I think that's an entirely appropriate thing for Commission counsel to do.

I just want to make sure that when you give us the answer, that to your knowledge no consent was ever sought. You understand that to be --

MR. CABANA: I understand that the
information was requested for a specific purpose. MR. FOTHERGILL: Yes?

MR. CABANA: And that CID, or
headquarters, forwarded that request for us to comply with it.

MR. FOTHERGILL: In that case, what is the point of this caveat on the response if it was your view that the information could then be freely used?

MR. CABANA: The information could be freely used in relation to the purpose for which it was sought.

MR. FOTHERGILL: All right. Thank you. Those are my questions.

THE COMMISSIONER: Are there any questions from further counsel? No?

Mr . Bayne then, you're next.
--- Pause
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Mr. Commissioner,
just before Mr. Bayne commences, I just want to ensure that counsel is aware that if questions should be asked of this witness in public, they should be asked today and not when the witness may return in camera. I just want to ensure that counsel is aware of that rule.

THE COMMISSIONER: That's right. If they are questions that can be asked in public, they should be asked in public. I agree.

MR. BAYNE: I understand that.
THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you,
Mr. Bayne.
EXAMINATION
MR. BAYNE: I have eight areas that $I$ want to briefly touch with you in my
examination.
Number 1, Superintendent, you
referred throughout your evidence, both in chief and cross, to the top priority of your mandate, which was the prevention of further attacks, and you detailed some of the efforts, including information-sharing, to achieve the result of protection of Canadian lives, right?

MR. CABANA: Yes, sir. MR. BAYNE: You said to

Mr. Cavalluzzo this was even an international effort beyond Canada's borders, right? MR. CABANA: Very much so. MR. BAYNE: But as a Canadian policeman, I take it the protection of Canadian lives was uppermost in your mind? MR. CABANA: First and foremost, sir.

MR. BAYNE: What I'd like to know, at and after 9/11, what was your, and global, intelligence telling you about whether this was over, this was the end?

MR. CABANA: No, the intelligence that we were -- that we had received and continued to receive, and actually still to this day
continue to receive, is that this was not the end. This was the first of many, many attacks.

There was a number of countries
that had been identified, Canada being one of them, and over the course of many months, similar attacks or attacks occurred in some of the countries that had been identified.

MR. BAYNE: I'm going to come to
that. Was there, however, a Canadian and international fear based on intelligence of more attacks?

MR. CABANA: The intelligence, sir, was very clear, that there was more attacks coming, and Canada was one of the primary targets.

MR. BAYNE: All right. I want to
turn to that. So there wasn't just a fear of generalized attacks.

Can you detail for us, what was the nature of the specific threat against Canada?

MR. FOTHERGILL: Sorry, sir, to the extent that that answer calls for the witness to disclose foreign intelligence received in confidence, he cannot answer.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, I would agree with that.

MR. BAYNE: What about public
statements? If you don't know --
MR. CABANA: I'm not sure I
understand your question.
MR. BAYNE: Maybe there's a
different way to go at this: How did you learn of
the specific threat to Canada and Canadians? Did
you learn it from a source you can't disclose here?

MR. CABANA: I learnt it from a number of sources that I'm not able to disclose here, as well as, of course, like every other Canadian, through reading media articles on the subject.

MR. BAYNE: Were you aware, sir, of public statements broadcast on the TV news purporting to be, appearing to be, from Osama bin Laden?

MR. CABANA: Yes. Like I said, the media articles, and media would include TV, where the threat was very clearly identified by bin Laden, who identified Canada as one of the primary targets.

MR. BAYNE: All right. So we have you in this preventative/protective effort, we
have the fear of more attacks, and we now have Canada specifically targeted.

In fact, are you aware, sir, that since 9/11, there has been a terrorist attack in Bali, leaving 202 innocent people dead?

MR. CABANA: Yes. I don't have recollection of the actual numbers, but -- of victims, but $I$ know that there's been quite a few number of attacks.

MR. BAYNE: And a subsequent attack in Istanbul, leaving 60 innocent civilians dead?

MR. CABANA: Yes, sir.
MR. BAYNE: And in Casablanca,
killing 33 more innocent people?
MR. CABANA: Yes.
MR. BAYNE: And in Jakarta,
killing 12 more innocent people?
MR. CABANA: Yes.
MR. BAYNE: And in Madrid, killing
191 more innocent people?
MR. CABANA: Yes, sir.
MR. BAYNE: How many attacks, sir, while you were in your preventative mandate, occurred in Canada, and how many innocent Canadian
lives were lost under this threat while you headed A-OCANADA?

MR. CABANA: Other than the
attacks of 9/11 -- that occurred in Canada?
MR. BAYNE: Yes, sir?
MR. CABANA: There hasn't been any attacks in Canada to this day, sir.

MR. BAYNE: The second area I'd
like to deal with, sir, in the course of this protective mandate against terrorist attack on Canadians, you indicated to Mr. Cavalluzzo you were prepared to consider going to New York to interview a person of interest to you there.

MR. CABANA: Yes, sir, I was.
MR. BAYNE: All right. And you
indicated to Mr. Cavalluzzo that that would be with that detained person's written consent?

MR. CABANA: It would have to be.
MR. BAYNE: All right. Were you
in consultation with DOJ counsel about this consideration?

MR. CABANA: You have to -- again,
you have to look at the composition of the team. DOJ counsel were an integral part of the team.

MS EDWARDH: I'm going to rise to
object because it seems to me that $I$ can't get into solicitor-client communications because there, of course, is a privilege to respect, but isn't my friend doing just that?

If legal advice is provided as to the need for consent by DOJ counsel advising the team, isn't that -- I mean, if that's a waiver, that's fine. If it's designed to be a waiver, it's a highly selective waiver.

MR. BAYNE: We've never asserted solicitor-client privilege, and I don't think I'm breaching it.

THE COMMISSIONER: I'll hear from those that have.

MR. FOTHERGILL: Commissioner, our position $I$ think is very clear.

The fact that certain decisions were taken with benefit of legal advice we don't object to. The actual advice provided, we do.

But I think Ms Edwardh makes a good point, and perhaps $I$ wasn't sufficiently attentive. As she rephrased the question or at least repeated it, if the question was designed to elicit whether approval was given by DOJ counsel, then I would endorse Ms Edwardh's objection and I
would adopt it.
THE COMMISSIONER: I think that is, as $I$ understand it, a consistent position that the Government has taken, that Mr. Fothergill articulates.

MR. BAYNE: And I don't intend to go there. I was simply trying to clarify with the witness whether this decision was taken in consultation with senior Justice counsel.

THE COMMISSIONER: Full stop.
MR. BAYNE: Full stop.
THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
MR. CABANA: I'm sorry?
MR. BAYNE: Whether this decision
to consider or to be prepared to interview this detained person in New York was taken with senior Department of Justice counsel input?

THE COMMISSIONER: I think, rather than the decision taken with, taken after consultation would be more consistent with what Mr. Fothergill said.

MR. BAYNE: Fine.
MR. CABANA: Yes, sir.
MR. BAYNE: Sir, I know I've been doing this defence work for about 33 years. This
was eight months after the sort of enunciation of Mr. Edelson's conditions, as I call them, and I will come back to those conditions because I don't think the problems are as minute as painted by Ms Edwardh. But $I$ will deal with that later.

In your experience, sir, as an investigator, do many people change their minds about whether they want to talk to the police? MR. CABANA: All the time, sir. MR. BAYNE: Sir, in the eight months that had passed, were you aware of any prohibition against police -- it drives defence counsel crazy; Ms Edwardh and I know it -- but any prohibition when a defence lawyer sets down certain conditions for a detained person to be interviewed, particularly as a witness by a police officer?

MR. CABANA: No, sir. The prohibition voiced by defence counsel in police interviewing their clients, and like you point out, especially in relation to a witness, I would submit that the prohibition is on the part of their client.

MR. BAYNE: Sir, the Cook case that was discussed by Ms Edwardh with you, was
that a witness or an accused?
MR. CABANA: That was in relation to an accused, sir.

MR. BAYNE: And would you, sir -this isn't about advice you did or didn't get. This is about what you would do.

If senior Justice counsel had in dealing with this thorny legal issue of whether the Charter applied in these circumstances, if you had been instructed, if there ever had been such an interview in New York, to give a $10(b)$ right prior to conducting such an interview, would you have?

MR. CABANA: Of course, sir.
MR. BAYNE: Number 3. Ms Edwardh
asked today, sir, this morning, about potential U.S. and Syrian crimes related to section 169.1 of the Code and the fact, the proposition that was put to you, Mike Cabana, did not initiate a torture investigation of Syrians and Americans in respect of them.

Do you remember those questions, sir?

MR. CABANA: Yes, I do.
MR. BAYNE: Or invite any of the
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people here who are represented to come forward and make a complaint.

Do you remember those?
MR. CABANA: Yes, I remember that, sir.

MR. BAYNE: Are you aware, sir, in your role as a humble Canadian cop, whether the Canadian Prime Minister or Solicitor General or Attorney General or Commissioner of the RCMP or any cabinet member or any Member of Parliament initiated such a complaint or sought such an investigation or charges to be brought?

MR. CABANA: No, sir. As I
pointed out, $I$ believe it was this morning, to my knowledge there was never any complaint made. MR. BAYNE: Is Mr. Edelson, who was dealing with and on behalf of Mr. Arar as far as four years ago -- and you know him, $I$ take it, to be a prominent, experienced criminal lawyer here in Ottawa?

MR. CABANA: Yes, sir, I do.
MR. BAYNE: And I take it you know Ms Edwardh's reputation as a leading criminal lawyer in Toronto?

MR. CABANA: Yes, sir.

MR. BAYNE: In the years that those two lawyers have represented Mr. Arar, has she, to your knowledge, or Mr. Edelson, either registered a complaint with you or an offer on behalf of their clients that they want to come forward to initiate an investigation of a torture, a criminal investigation against these foreign authorities?

MR. CABANA: No, sir, not to my knowledge.

MR. BAYNE: Number 4. Ms Edwardh today, in discussing with you the prospect of a New York City interview that we have dealt with briefly in these questions, suggested to you a proposition that, among other things, you wanted to know the results of the Arar interview in the U.S. and she added -- which was based on your questions. And you added the caveat "well, maybe a part of it".

Do you remember that?
MR. CABANA: Yes, I do.
MR. BAYNE: Do you know if the U.S. authorities used those questions in their interrogation?

MR. FOTHERGILL: I'm sorry, again

I have to object.
MR. BAYNE: Fair enough. I can deal with the next question. I don't need an answer to that.

The questions that you sent down, in answers to Mr. Cavalluzzo yesterday, when dealing with September 27th, on the 27th you learned, having thought Mr. Arar was gone, you told Mr. Cavalluzzo, that in fact he was still there that morning and they were flying in investigators --

MR. FOTHERGILL: I object. I'm sorry, Commissioner, I object.

This is inappropriate questioning. This is subject to a claim of national security confidentiality.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
MR. BAYNE: It's evidence he gave yesterday. It's on the record here. There was no objection. He gave this evidence yesterday.

MR. FOTHERGILL: With the greatest of respect, $I$ don't think this is on the record.

MR. BAYNE: It is. It absolutely is on the record. I'm not making it up.

THE COMMISSIONER: We can check it
at the break then. Somebody can. I must confess -- I won't say anything. Carry on.

MR. BAYNE: Well, I can't carry on with this --

THE COMMISSIONER: Go to your next point and we can check it.

MR. BAYNE: I will.
Five. Let's return to what are called the Edelson conditions, and let me just track the evolution a little of the evidence here. When Ms Edwardh first put this to you this morning, it was put on the basis that all you really lost, according to the conditions imposed by Mr. Edelson on an Arar interview, were the evidentiary $K G B$ value of the statement. That was in the morning. Do you
remember that?
MR. CABANA: Yes, sir.
MR. BAYNE: By the afternoon,
Ms Edwardh, after the break, qualified it to say, well, it would not preclude calling Mr. Arar at an investigative hearing or, she said, calling him as a witness at trial.

Do you remember those comments by
her?
MR. CABANA: Yes, I do, sir.
MR. BAYNE: Now, apart from what Mr. Fothergill dealt with you about, you couldn't use this for search warrants or other situations, of what value is a witness to a police officer who you can't count on or control; in other words, a witness who can change his or her testimony at will? You haven't got them nailed down with a statement.

MR. CABANA: Very little value, sir.

MR. BAYNE: And let me ask you, based on that: Could you cross-examine, with these conditions, if you even -- to follow Ms Edwardh's proposition that you could use this man at trial, what kind of value as a witness would he be if you couldn't cross-examine him on his own statement, if he changed his evidence? MR. CABANA: Like I said, the interview was pointless at that point in time. I agree with the proposition that was put forward. We weren't prevented from calling him as a witness. No, we weren't. MR. BAYNE: But of what worth --

MR. CABANA: But in the proceedings we wouldn't be able to use the statement that was provided to us in his testimony.

MR. BAYNE: And an even greater problem arises that criminal lawyers are aware of. If these conditions were imposed, not only could you not use it to cross-examine but defence counsel.

THE COMMISSIONER: Is that a
question or a statement?
--- Laughter / Rires
MR. BAYNE: Could defence counsel use such a statement?

MR. COPELAND: I object to this witness being able to answer that question. He has no expertise in this area, I would suggest.

MR. BAYNE: Can you answer the question, sir?

THE COMMISSIONER: I guess what the witness can do is say that, if he considered this, whether that was part of his understanding of what Mr . Edelson was requesting.

MR. BAYNE: And $I$ would just
add --

THE COMMISSIONER: But what he can't do -- and let me just finish the point. What he can't do is obviously give an opinion in law as to what would be entitled --

MR. BAYNE: Right. Mr. Copeland had no problem with the witness answering Ms Edwardh's questions about this.

MR. COPELAND: That is because I have no standing whatsoever to make the objection. --- Laughter / Rires

THE COMMISSIONER: In any event, go ahead.

But I think it should be confined, if the witness thought about this type of circumstance at all, whether or not that was his understanding of the agreement, would be the proper question.

MR. CABANA: Based on my
understanding of the agreement, any information stemming from any interview with Mr. Arar could not have been used for anything.

MR. BAYNE: Okay. And with that in mind, are you aware of section 7 of the Charter and the Stinchcombe decision requiring police in this country to disclose all witness statements to
the defence?
MR. CABANA: Trust me, sir, I'm fully aware of it.

MR. BAYNE: All right. Would you
have been able to comply -- would this condition have enabled you to comply or put you in breach of the Charter?

MR. CABANA: That would be a legal
issue, sir.
MR. BAYNE: Would you have seen it as a problem?

MR. CABANA: Oh, definitely.
MR. BAYNE: So there are many more serious problems created by these conditions --

MR. CABANA: Which led us to move
away from this interview.
MR. BAYNE: Number 6. Just to
clarify, sir, there was -- and I say "clarify"
because $I$ understood your evidence to Mr. Cavalluzzo given yesterday, when he took you day by day through the events in October, to be that on the morning of October 8th, at 9:45 a.m., you learned for the first time through the DFAIT LO named Roy that Syria first surfaced on the radar map.

Even though you said it was an unrealistic possibility, that was the first surfacing for you or A-OCANADA.

MR. CABANA: Yes, sir.
MR. BAYNE: That was your
evidence.
Then today Ms Edwardh was putting to you a proposition and then Mr . Lauzon's name came up.

Is there any uncertainty about
your evidence in that regard?
MR. CABANA: No, sir.
MR. BAYNE: All right. You are
aware now at what time on the 8th Mr. Arar was spirited out of the United States behind your back?

MR. CABANA: General knowledge,
yes. Three or four in the morning, apparently.
MR. BAYNE: Which would be about
six and three quarter hours before this possibility even arose with you.

MR. CABANA: Yes, sir.
MR. BAYNE: Number 7. And this is
just three points to follow up a number of questions that Ms Edwardh followed up about

Mr. Cavalluzzo's questioning.
(a) the RCMP. Did you ever go to New York City, or any member of the RCMP, to interview Mr. Arar?

MR. CABANA: Not to my knowledge, sir.

MR. BAYNE: (b), did you ever go to Syria, or any member of the RCMP, to interview Mr. Arar?

MR. CABANA: No, sir.
MR. BAYNE: And (c), did you ever share, you or any member of $A-O C A N A D A, ~ a n y$ information whatsoever from your investigation with the Syrians on Mr. Arar?

MR. CABANA: Not to my knowledge, sir.

MR. BAYNE: Finally, sir, you were asked yesterday -- and $I$ wonder, Mr. Registrar, if we could give the witness $P-172$ ?

MR. CABANA: I have it here.
MR. BAYNE: You were asked
yesterday by Mr. Cavalluzzo: Didn't you feel responsible -- and that was his word -- for what the U.S. had done to Mr. Arar? And you answered in two ways.

But I want to follow that up.
You said, no, that the information
shared in your preventative mandate was not sufficient even to get a search warrant in Canada, let alone to justify what the Americans did to him.

Do you remember that answer?
MR. CABANA: Yes.
MR. BAYNE: And you referred, without detailing it, to a body of evidence that you weren't allowed to reveal to Canadians. But you made a comment in your answer to him that it suggested to you that the U.S. had evidence to which you were not privy on which they relied?

MR. CABANA: Yes, sir.
MR. BAYNE: Mr. Cavalluzzo then
directed you to what we call P-20, which
purports -- and I use that word carefully -- to record the formal American decision denying Mr. Arar entry.

MR. CABANA: The INS decision.
MR. BAYNE: Yes.
MR. CABANA: Yes.
MR. BAYNE: So leaving aside the
limited nature of the evidence that Canadians had
given to the Americans that you said couldn't even justify a search warrant --

MS EDWARDH: That doesn't mean it was limited.

MR. BAYNE: And leaving aside -well, it's limited if you can't even get a search warrant. That was your proposition about --

THE COMMISSIONER: Setting aside the information that is not -- we don't need to quarrel about it. I know what it was.

MR. BAYNE: I want to deal with the P-20 that Mr. Cavalluzzo had directed you to and the conclusion in it. You don't need it. I don't think any of us need it at this point.

That the Americans concluded he was clearly and unequivocally a member of al-Qaeda.

MR. CABANA: Yes.
MR. BAYNE: Do you remember
that conclusion?
MR. CABANA: Yes, sir, I remember
that.
MR. BAYNE: It reappears
throughout this document.
MR. CABANA: Yes.

MR. BAYNE: They find he is
clearly -- on the evidence they were relying on, he is clearly and unequivocally a member of al-Qaeda.

Could you look at P-172, sir?
MR. CABANA: Yes, sir.
MR. BAYNE: By the way, that $P-20$
is dated October 7th.
MR. CABANA: Yes.
MR. BAYNE: And of course you
were at this point --
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Just let me make an objection here.

You are running too quickly for me. Could you tell me in $P-20$ where it says that he is clearly a member of al-Qaeda?

MR. BAYNE: It's under: "Decision
of the Regional Director".
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. That's
what I'm looking at.
MR. BAYNE: "As a result of this review, I have concluded on the basis of classified information that Arar is clearly and unequivocally
inadmissible to the United
States --"
Under a whole bunch of sections
here.
"... in that he is a member of an organization ..."

Et cetera, et cetera.
"... to wit al-Qaeda..."
MR. CAVALLUZZO: It says:
"I have determined that Arar is a member of the designated foreign organization known as al-Qaeda. He is a clear and imminent threat to --"

THE COMMISSIONER: That's what it says.

Mr. Bayne was telescoping the language a bit, but go ahead, please. MR. BAYNE: Mr. Commissioner, if
you think it's unfairly telescoping -- if you think the Americans are coming to any other conclusion than that, please --

THE COMMISSIONER: I've read that many times. I'm familiar with the language. MR. BAYNE: So that appears, in my
reading of it, and there are other references in here -- maybe I had better go to them.

At page 4, under "Evidence of
Inadmissibility":

> "The documentation I have reviewed, including information received from other agencies, clearly and unequivocally reflects that Arar is a member of a foreign terrorist organization, to wit al-Qaeda..."

Am I misstating that,
Mr. Commissioner?
THE COMMISSIONER: That's fine. MR. BAYNE: All right. The

American finding is clearly and unequivocally that this man is a member of al-Qaeda, a terrorist organization.

MR. CABANA: Yes, sir.
MR. BAYNE: That's on October 7th.
And on October 7th, of course, you
are still in the dark about all this process, expecting an October 9th hearing. That's what they are telling you?

MR. CABANA: Very much so, sir.
MR. BAYNE: And your A-OCANADA
team sends down, on October 4th, information to them?

MR. CABANA: Yes.
MR. BAYNE: This is very timely
information to them about the state of the
Canadian investigation.
And would you look at the final
page 4 of 5?
MR. CABANA: Yes, sir.

MR. BAYNE: A-OCANADA and the Canadians, the RCMP, by contrast with the American conclusion, appear to be telling the Americans in number 5 "we are unable to indicate links to al-Qaeda".

MR. CABANA: Yes, sir, and that was a fact.

MR. BAYNE: So we are telling them, well, if it's not the direct opposite of their conclusion, it's pretty far removed from the conclusion they reach on whatever evidence they are relying on?

MR. CABANA: Yes, sir.
MR. BAYNE: In light of that, sir,
do you feel responsible for what the Americans did in the wee small hours of the morning on the 8 th to Mr. Arar?

MR. CABANA: As I testified
yesterday, sir, no, $I$ don't.
MR. BAYNE: Those are my
questions, sir, subject to --
THE COMMISSIONER: Your item
number 4.
MR. BAYNE: Right.
THE COMMISSIONER: We have to take a break now because $I$ have a conference call. We will probably be, I think, about 20 minutes, and then we will deal with Question 4 and any re-examination by Mr. Cavalluzzo.

THE REGISTRAR: Please stand.
--- Upon recessing at 3:59 p.m. /
Suspension à 15 h 59
--- Upon resuming at 4:22 p.m. /
Reprise à 16 h 22
THE REGISTRAR: Please be seated. MR. FOTHERGILL: Commissioner, a number of participants have consulted their notes and there does seem to be a consensus that there was a passing reference to investigators being
flown in to participate in the questioning of Mr. Arar in New York.

From my perspective, any
information we hold about investigative steps taken by the Americans, we received through foreign intelligence channels and we received in confidence, and I am not at liberty to consent to the disclosure of this information.

So notwithstanding the fact that
there was this, what $I$ would describe as inadvertent disclosure -- and I want to make it clear I don't fault Superintendent Cabana for that. I think he is in a difficult position, as we all are.

I must, with regret, maintain my claim of national security confidentiality and object to any further questioning that may arise from what $I$ think was, through my own fault, something that should not have been in the public record.

THE COMMISSIONER: Then that completes your examination, Mr. Bayne?

Mr. Cavalluzzo.
Just a moment, Mr. Cavalluzzo, for a second.

Mr. Waldman, I just recalled now, asked me to wait to begin and I got tied up in something else and came ahead.

Would you like to have a 5-minute
break?
MS EDWARDH: I think that I ought
to request that. I am terribly sorry
Mr. Commissioner.
THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry.
That was my oversight. There was a number of people talking to me.

MS EDWARDH: We will take steps to retrieve him.

THE COMMISSIONER: Why doesn't everybody stay here so we don't -- ah, great. MS EDWARDH: I think we are now ready to proceed. Thank you for your indulgence. THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. That was my oversight.

Mr. Cavalluzzo?
EXAMINATION
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Thank you,
Commissioner. I just have a few questions. It should take about 15 or 20 minutes.

Mr. Cabana, I would like to deal,
first of all, with a couple of questions that Ms Edwardh asked you about the Department of State Website review of the human rights record of Syria, and you told us that you didn't have access to that, didn't see it.

Do you know if any member of
A-OCANADA ever accessed the Department of State Website in respect of the human rights record of Syria, political conditions within Syria?

MR. CABANA: No, sir, $I$ have no
knowledge of that.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: I would like to
point out to you that in the information to
obtain, which is Exhibit 167 , and if you go to -these pages are difficult because many of them have been redacted.

If you go to page 23, which is
paragraph 23 --
MR. CABANA: Of tab D?
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Of tab D, yes,
paragraph 23.
You will see that the affiant
here, who is a member of the A-OCANADA:
"... reviewed a printout of
the U.S. Department of State
web site called
'Comprehensive List of Terrorists and Groups Identified Under Executive Order 13224', which was signed by President George W. Bush..."

So you would agree with me that the Department of State record on Syria and other countries was clearly available to members of Project A-OCANADA, and indeed was relied upon -MR. CABANA: I don't believe I've testified that it was not.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: I would like to move on to certain questions that were asked of you regarding Mr. Arar, and you seem to indicate through the questioning by Ms Edwardh that Mr. Arar was only a potential witness.

Do you recall that testimony?
MR. CABANA: Yes, sir.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: I want to put to
you that Mr. Arar was more than a potential witness. Indeed Project A-OCANADA wanted to gather as much information as possible so as to charge Mr. Arar, to build a case against Mr. Arar.

Isn't that correct?
MR. CABANA: No, sir.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: No? Let me show
you some documents then.
Let's start with a chronology,
which is Exhibit P-84.
This was the e-mail that we
discussed with you.
THE COMMISSIONER: Page?
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Page 32.
This is the e-mail of on or about
October the 7 th of 2002 .
MR. CABANA: Yes, sir.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: And just the
second paragraph there, the second sentence:
"We would most certainly want
to know when he is coming and
any information obtained by
U.S. authorities which would
assist in building a case
against Arar."
MR. CABANA: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Doesn't that
indicate to you that you are looking to Mr. Arar being more than a potential witness; indeed you
are looking for information in order to -- let me finish the question --

MR. CABANA: Certainly.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: -- build the case
against Mr. Arar? That's the question.
MR. CABANA: Very well. As I
testified yesterday, this e-mail did not originate from our team, sir. This e-mail originated from CID, from within CID.

Second, although it's redacted and I don't think there's a date on this e-mail, this e-mail stemmed from, or occurred, was sent, around the period of time where Mr. Arar was detained in the U.S.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: That's correct.
MR. CABANA: And $I$ would submit to you, sir, that at that point in time we were starting to question ourselves as far as what was going on.

Now, I can't speak to the mindset of the individual that sent this e-mail.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: This e-mail went to a member of Project A-OCANADA, indeed a manager of A-OCANADA.

MR. CABANA: Yes, sir, it did.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Do you know if
that manager sent any correction back to headquarters, saying, "What are you talking about, building a case against Mr. Arar?"

MR. CABANA: I'm not aware, sir.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Let's look at
another document, then, sir. Let's look at
Exhibit P-85, Volume 5.
Look at, if you would, please, tab 27.

This, once again, is from
Mr. Couture, who is your CROPS officer, and it's a fax sent to Mr. Loeppky on October 22 nd.

It says in the middle paragraph:
"Our investigative efforts on this individual continue and while we have no evidence to pursue a prosecution, we are of the opinion that he has significant involvement with targets of this project and his activities are of concern."

Doesn't that suggest to you that, at least at this point in time, and lo and behold
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he is in Syria, that Project A-OCANADA and the RCMP is interested in Mr. Arar more than just as a potential witness?

MR. CABANA: I would submit to you, sir, that by the 22nd of October, considering the actions taken by the Americans, it was pretty obvious to us that we were missing something. MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. MR. CABANA: Right. MR. CAVALLUZZO: And would you agree with me, at least at that point in time, that Mr. Arar was more than a potential witness? MR. CABANA: At that point in time, as of the 22 nd of October, I would suggest that Mr. Arar was not the main focus of our investigation -- far from it.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: I'm not asking -MR. CABANA: I understand you are
not suggesting that. I'm just saying that we still, aside from Mr. Arar, had a lot of other concerns that we were focusing our attention on, especially in light of the fact Mr. Arar was no longer around.

Of course, our interest in
Mr. Arar, considering the events, was increased,
yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: But you are not
answering my question. I'm putting it to you that you would like to get information on Mr. Arar so that you could build a case against him and you could charge him.

Isn't that correct?
MR. CABANA: No, sir. I would submit to you that, as of the 22 nd of October, we were still trying to focus on the main aspect of our investigation, which was a threat to Canada. MR. CAVALLUZZO: Well, you know, that's fine. That's not inconsistent. What I'm putting to you is that that e-mail, which talks about building a case on October the 7th, and Mr. Couture on October the $22 n d, t h e ~ w a y ~ h e ~ f r a m e s ~ t h a t, ~ a r e ~ i n v e s t i g a t i v e ~$ efforts. And $I$ think he has significant associations.

I'm putting it to you, quite
simply, that at this point in time you are interested in getting as much information as possible to charge Mr. Arar.

MR. CABANA: At that point in
time, sir, we are definitely interested in getting
the information that led to his deportation. Definitely.

But our focus still remained the financial investigation, sir.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: So at this point in time, on October the 21st, $22 n d, i s$ Mr. Arar still -- I'm using your words now -- of peripheral interest?

MR. CABANA: As far as his role?
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Yes.
MR. CABANA: No, he's probably
more than that.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: He is more than peripheral but less than a target.

MR. CABANA: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: What would he be?
MR. CABANA: He is somebody that
obviously has a -- how can I phrase this?
He is obviously somebody that,
according to some people, has a greater involvement in the subject matter of our investigation.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: And so --
MR. CABANA: As far as what that is, sir, as of that date, we don't know.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: You don't know. He certainly isn't a target. MR. CABANA: No.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: He is not a
target. So there's a difference at least between a target and whatever status Mr. Arar has at that particular point in time.

MR. CABANA: I would suggest to you, sir, that as far -- and we are playing with terms here.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: But they are not
my terms, and I'm trying to understand --
MR. CABANA: No, I understand
that, sir.
I am suggesting to you that as of
that date he is a person of interest for us.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Let's look
at what happened on that date, on October the 21 st.

You told us, and your notes
indicate, that you had that conversation with Mr. Gould. Correct?

MR. CABANA: Yes, sir.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: And you said
through Mr. Gould that you were prepared to offer
the Syrians intelligence and information which could be of assistance to them in their investigation. Correct?

MR. CABANA: I believe I have already testified to that, sir.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. And you said that that offer related both to Mr. Arar and Mr. Almalki?

MR. CABANA: That's correct.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: The question that
I have for you is that when you were preparing to offer information or intelligence in respect of both of these individuals -- one being peripheral, a person of peripheral interest, one being a target -- did you distinguish between them at all?

MR. CABANA: I would submit that we did in the sense that, again, all of the steps that we were contemplating had one goal, and the goal was prosecution of the main subject of our investigation.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: But $I$ am putting
it to you there doesn't seem to be any kind of qualification, distinction, or anything else in that offer.

MR. CABANA: What you are
referring to here, sir, are notes that I made following a phone call with a representative from DFAIT. You are not making reference to a formal offer.

I would suggest to you, sir, that if the prospect would have escalated to the point of making a formal offer, I would submit to you that there would have been a distinction.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: The next question
is: Do you think it appropriate, knowing -- at this point in time you just had a general knowledge, you told us a layman's knowledge of what was going on in Syria at that point in time.

I am putting it to you: Do you think it was appropriate to share information with this regime, and you knew it was a dictatorial regime --

MR. CABANA: I believe I answered that yesterday, sir.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: No, listen to the question.

MR. CABANA: Certainly.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Sharing
information about a Canadian who was only of peripheral interest?

MR. CABANA: I believe I have
answered that yesterday, sir.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: And you think
that is appropriate?
MR. CABANA: Yes, sir, I do.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. In some
answers to Ms Edwardh, I just want to make sure I understand your answer.

And that is that you never
considered Syria a possibility to be the country to which Mr. Arar was deported? Did I understand you correctly?

And if $I$ didn't, please clarify
that.
MR. CABANA: I never considered
Syria as a serious possibility.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: A serious
possibility?
MR. CABANA: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: But you knew that
it was a possibility?
MR. CABANA: It had been raised, yes, of course.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Because there were discussions you had on October the 8th
relating to that and the conditions that you would impose resulting from that.

Do you recall that evidence?
MR. CABANA: The conditions?
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Yes. The
evidence was that you were concerned about tarnishing the RCMP's reputation --

MR. CABANA: That was an issue that was surfaced within the investigative team, yes.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. And that
related to, obviously, the possibility of him going to Syria?

MR. CABANA: Yes, and his present situation, or his situation at the time in New York.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: I just want to make sure we understand, and that is that the possibility of going to Syria was fully discussed in the team?

MR. CABANA: Yes, of course there was discussions over that possibility.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Next point.
You told Ms Edwardh, when she
asked about his deportation from the United

States, that -- and I just want to make sure I understand you on this; that is that you felt that the U.S. laws didn't permit them to do what they did?

MR. CABANA: That was my
understanding.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right, okay. With this in mind -- and I'm using
the state of your knowledge.
With this in mind, and that is
knowing that your partner, the Americans, with whom you have shared information as part of the understanding that you talked about, knowing that this partner with whom you shared information, in your view, has illegally sent Mr. Arar, a Canadian, to Syria, a country which you know generally does not have a good human rights record, and which at this point in time on October the 21st is illegally detaining Mr. Arar.

So on the one hand we have the
Americans, in your view, illegally removing him or rendering him; we have the Syrians, with a very poor human rights record, illegally detaining him.

And in light of the fact that the RCMP had this sharing arrangement with the

Americans, I have three questions:
First of all, in those circumstances, do you think that the RCMP should have used its best efforts in getting Mr. Arar, a Canadian, back to Canada?

MR. CABANA: I believe, sir, that,
first of all, the DFAIT was involved at that point in time, and DFAIT holds the responsibility, it is part of their mandate, to look after Canadians that are incarcerated abroad. It is not an RCMP, role, sir.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: So that the RCMP has no responsibility whatever in this situation that I've just cited to you. That's DFAIT's problem; let them solve it?

MR. CABANA: No. What I'm
suggesting, sir, is that DFAIT is involved. If they require the assistance of the RCMP, I'd submit to you that a request would be made, and I would also submit to you that a request wouldn't be made to the investigative team.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Well, didn't --
I'm not going to review the evidence, but $I$ thought DFAIT attempted to get the RCMP's involvement, not only in the letter of Mr. Edelson
of October 31 st but also Mr. Pardy's evidence in 2003. Throughout that whole period, it seemed to me, DFAIT tried to get the RCMP's best efforts -MR. CABANA: I can't speak to

Mr. Pardy's testimony, and I would submit to you that Mr. Pardy's testimony is not in relation to any action or involvement of myself.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. You've
answered the first question.
Now, assuming the same facts,
illegal rendering by the Americans, illegal
detention by a dictatorial regime in Syria of a Canadian -- okay?

Second question is that, should
the RCMP ensure, in light of all of these circumstances, particularly in light of the fact that some of the information was shared with the Americans, should the RCMP ensure that no information is given to the Syrian Military Intelligence?

MR. CABANA: That no information
is given by whom?
MR. CAVALLUZZO: By the RCMP.
MR. CABANA: I would submit, sir, that this is a consideration, but whether or not
the -- a decision is made for the sharing to occur would involve extensive consultation with agencies, other agencies, to make that determination, and I also submit that that's exactly what took place, sir.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Third
question --
MR. CABANA: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: -- assuming those
facts. In light of the fact, once again, illegal rendering --

MR. CABANA: Mm-hmm.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: -- by your
partner with whom you've been sharing this information.

MR. CABANA: Yes?
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Illegal
detention, dictatorial regime. The question is: In these circumstances, when we have a Canadian citizen in this situation, should the RCMP ensure that any information which comes from Syria relating to this individual not be used against him?

MR. CABANA: Not be used against
him? Where?

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Against him -MR. CABANA: I'm not sure I'm following your question. MR. CAVALLUZZO: Against him in respect of your mandate, that is, against him in your investigation, used against him so that you can build a case against him, used against him in charging him.

MR. CABANA: Then I would suggest that we're looking at a question of admissibility of this information in legal proceedings, if it was to be used.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: But you don't
feel any obligation on the part of the RCMP --
MR. CABANA: Sir, I --
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Can $I$ finish the
question?
MR. CABANA: Certainly.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. You don't
feel any obligation on the part of the RCMP, once again in these circumstances, that is, shared information with their American partners --

MR. CABANA: Mm-hmm.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: -- who illegally
render him to a place that illegally detains him
with a terrible human rights record, you don't feel any obligation in respect of getting information from that regime and saying, "Can't use it"? Never mind admissibility; I'm talking about propriety. That's the question.

MR. CABANA: I would suggest, sir,
that considering the threat that had been
identified, considering the mandate that we had been given, if there is information reaching investigators in Canada, that might -- and here again, these are all suppositions -- that might prevent the loss of Canadian lives here in Canada, I would suggest to you, sir, that if we were to disregard that information strictly based on the source of the information, without any verification, we would stand to be highly criticized if anything did occur in Canada.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: That's always an
easy response, Mr. Cabana. It's always an easy response.

MR. CABANA: Okay.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: But the problem
is, is that we have a Canadian who is in this situation.

MR. CABANA: Yes, sir.

## StenoTran

MR. CAVALLUZZO: And you've
answered the question --
MR. CABANA: Yes, I have.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: -- and $I$ won't
pursue it any further.
Now, you mentioned that on the
Project A-OCANADA was an Immigration member, in response to a question from Ms Edwardh?

MR. CABANA: Yes, there was.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Now, the
question -- I'm going to ask the Government for that person's name and you need not say it in public.

MR. CABANA: I couldn't because I don't remember who it was.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Well, we'll find
out who it was.
The question that $I$ have in
relation to that is, was this member called upon during Mr. Arar's stay in the United States to assess what might happen to him in terms of the options the Americans had?

MR. CABANA: Not to my knowledge.
I don't know.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. On to

Mr. Fothergill now. He's asked some questions about training, and he talked about the Muslim orientation course, and could you tell us, do you know of any members that attended any of the --

MR. CABANA: Which Muslim
orientation course?
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Well, the
tutorial that we talked about yesterday, the e-mail that gave an opportunity.

MR. CABANA: Again, $I$ believe I
testified to that yesterday. To my knowledge -well, the message was relayed to the team leaders. MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right.

MR. CABANA: The presentation was open to the investigators. How many positions we were allocated on that workshop -- because it wasn't a training, it was a presentation -- I have no knowledge, and whether we had anybody that attended, I have no knowledge.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: I would ask
Government counsel if they could confirm that either way, as to who attended? Thank you.

Now, just a couple of final
questions relating to -- I think you're happy. It's been a long two days, Mr. Cabana, and we're
almost at the end.
Your counsel, Mr. Bayne, asked you a number of questions, and there's a couple that $I$ would raise. I'm venturing into an area here that is not my expertise, so -- but I think that these questions make a lot of common sense.

In any event, let me ask you -and that's concerning once again what's been called the Edelson conditions?

MR. CABANA: Yes, sir.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay? And the questions that $I$ had would be the following:

First of all, if you went ahead with the interview, complying with Edelson's condition --

MR. CABANA: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: -- you told us that Mr. Arar could be called as a witness, correct?

MR. CABANA: Correct.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: So that Mr. Arar could be called as a witness at an investigative hearing?

MR. CABANA: Investigative
hearings are different than normal proceedings,
and the impact of interviewing somebody prior to an investigative hearing, I would have to actually research that to see if the investigative hearing avenue would still be available.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Do you know whether -- did you ever check whether Mr. Arar could have been subpoenaed to be compelled to appear before an investigative hearing?

MR. CABANA: In relation
specifically to Mr. Arar?
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right.
MR. CABANA: We had general --
well ...
We had general conversations or --
we had detailed conversations with our legal advisors but not specifically to Mr. Arar. It was in relation to this new -- this new avenue or this new tool that resulted from $C-36$, the investigative hearing, just to try to get an understanding of what were the circumstances and what were the mechanics, because, to my knowledge, and I'm not even sure whether there has been one in Canada so far.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Well, let us just move on then. In any event, if he was compelled
to testify -- I understand there has been, but in any event ...

If he was compelled to testify, you would have had a statement at the investigative hearing. And the same thing is true, for example, if you or if he was subpoenaed to be a witness at a preliminary hearing. You would get a statement from him, obviously, if he was compelled to testify?

MR. CABANA: Not necessarily. MR. CAVALLUZZO: Well, you have his testimony. You would have what he said under oath.

MR. CABANA: And when did we get
what he said under oath? You're talking about through the investigative hearing?

MR. CAVALLUZZO: No, no. Either
compelled to testify at an investigative hearing, compelled to testify at a preliminary hearing; he would be forced to testify.

MR. CABANA: Yes. Might be a
hostile witness.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Absolutely,
absolutely.
MR. CABANA: Yes.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: The other thing that $I$ don't quite understand is that, it would seem to me that, leaving aside all these technical criminal law matters, that if he gave you a statement, it would be useful as intelligence. You're a national security -you've got a national security opponent. You think he's associated with people --

MR. CABANA: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: -- that statement
could be used as intelligence?
MR. CABANA: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Whether he
testified or not?
MR. CABANA: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Indeed, when we come to 2002, in September, when you thought that this was your last chance to interview him, he would never be a witness, but you were willing to go down to the States to interview him.

MR. CABANA: Yes, very much so.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Then why wouldn't
you just go across the street to Mr. Edelson's office and interview him since you'd be getting the same thing: Intelligence? That's the
question.
MR. CABANA: That's the question? MR. CAVALLUZZO: Yes.

MR. CABANA: Simply because, as of
January $22 n d$, we were faced with a huge amount of information to analyze and we were looking at the different priorities. We had, again, these investigative hearings that were possibilities. There was a number of individuals that were identified for potential interviews, and, to my knowledge, it was only a very small number of interviews that were conducted, and I would say probably one or maybe two that were conducted, and the interviews were delayed until later so that we had a better idea of what we had in our possession and also so that we had a better idea of what the investigative hearing could provide us.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Right. But you would agree with me that if you flew down to New York to interview him --

MR. CABANA: Oh, as of October
2002?
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Yes.
MR. CABANA: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: All you would be
getting was intelligence?
MR. CABANA: Yes.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: All right. Now,
finally --
MR. BAYNE: I didn't rise to interrupt my friend's flow, but I don't want my silence to be taken as assent to the proposition that it's just a criminal law technicality that you would be in breach of section 7 of the Charter if you fail to disclose the statement and the conditions required that you couldn't.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. Bayne.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Then there's substance and a technicality.

Finally, Mr. Cabana, you were asked questions by Mr. Bayne concerning whether Project A-OCANADA ever shared information on Mr. Arar with the Syrian Military Intelligence. Do you recall that? And your answer was "No." MR. CABANA: Yes, sir. MR. CAVALLUZZO: We do know that you shared information with the Americans; correct?

MR. CABANA: Yes, sir.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Do you know if the Americans ever shared this information on Mr. Arar with the Syrians?

MR. FOTHERGILL: To the extent that the witness must draw on foreign intelligence to answer that question, I object. If he can answer without drawing on foreign intelligence, there's no objection.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Did you
understand that objection?
MR. CABANA: Yes, I believe I did.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Okay. Answer if
you can answer.
THE COMMISSIONER: Then you can answer the question.
--- Pause
MR. CABANA: No, sir, $I$ can't.
MR. CAVALLUZZO: All right. Thank
you.
Final question then, Mr. Cabana, is: Mr. Bayne read to you a number of portions of P-20, saying that the American INS person said that Mr. Arar was clearly and unequivocally a member of al-Qaeda.

Now, do you know that -- of
course, these are the same people that said it was clear that there were weapons of mass destruction in Iraq, but let's move on from that one.

Let me ask you the following
question: Are you aware that in the United States it is a serious crime to be a member of al-Qaeda? MR. CABANA: Yes, sir, I am. MR. CAVALLUZZO: And you're aware that on October the 5th, that the words you got from the Americans through the head office -headquarters person, was that they didn't have enough to charge Mr. Arar, isn't that correct? MR. CABANA: Not myself directly but through head office, yes.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: Thank you. I
have no further questions.
THE COMMISSIONER: Well, let me thank you. That completes your evidence, Superintendent Cabana. Thank you very much -MR. CABANA: My pleasure. THE COMMISSIONER: -- for the time and effort you put in to preparing. Not everybody has seen it, but you've been here twice -MR. COPELAND: I remind you, it doesn't complete his evidence and I think we have
some opportunity to cross-examine him at some point?

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. Thank
you. Possibly, depending on if they're relevant questions.

But I want to express my gratitude now for the time and effort that you've spent and the straightforward way that you've answered the questions and in a clear fashion, and I appreciate that.

MR. CABANA: Thank you, sir. THE COMMISSIONER: It makes my task simpler when witnesses do that. So thank you very much.

MR. FOTHERGILL: Commissioner, just before we break for the weekend. I know these have been an exceptionally difficult two days, and $I$ want to express on behalf of the Government my sincere appreciation first and foremost to your counsel, Mr. Cavalluzzo, who I know worked very, very hard to fashion an examination-in-chief that managed to accommodate our NSC concerns, and I appreciate that he didn't always agree with them, but he was respectful, highly professional. It was very difficult. And
we are grateful.
And I also want to express my appreciation both to Ms Edwardh and to Mr. Bayne and indeed to the witness. I know this has been frustrating at times, and $I$ am grateful for the consideration and, again, the professionalism with which all counsel and the witness have responded to my objections.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very much, Mr. Fothergill. I think those comments are appropriate, and $I$ appreciate the spirit in which they're offered.

We are going to be breaking now
until July 27 th , is it?
MR. CAVALLUZZO: Yes. We will be sitting on July $27 t h, 28 t h$, and $29 t h$, three days --

THE COMMISSIONER: Those will be public hearings so they'll be here.

MR. CAVALLUZZO: That's correct.
THE COMMISSIONER: We'll be rising
until then.
Just one matter, an informal
matter, before we break -- yes, Mr. Copeland?
MR. COPELAND: Can I ask a
question? When we were discussing the matter yesterday of the possible cross-examination of -I'm sorry, I don't remember your rank, Mr. Cabana. THE COMMISSIONER: Superintendent

Cabana.
MR. COPELAND: Superintendent
Cabana. We were discussing August the loth as a potential date. I just need to know whether that is when we're looking at. As I indicated to you, I have obligations at the Law Society that I can escape from.

THE COMMISSIONER: Is that
something that we can work out in the hearing room, Mr. Cavalluzzo, or is that --

MR. CAVALLUZZO: I am advised by my partner here that we can't make that decision now because there will be a series of public and in-camera witnesses, and we have to have the same witnesses for public on one day and in camera. So what we're going to have to do is we're going to have to look at our calendar seriously and speak to both counsel and hopefully come up with a date that will accommodate.

THE COMMISSIONER: That's good.
Let me, just before we close, something that I
overlooked mentioning last week.
I think on behalf of all of us who are in the inquiry, we want to extend our congratulations to Mr. Cavalluzzo for receiving the Law Society of Upper Canada Medal, which is a great honour for his contributions to the profession and to the public over many years. Our heartfelt congratulations to him.

Okay. With that, we'll rise until July 27th.

THE REGISTRAR: Please stand.
--- Whereupon the hearing adjourned at 4:58 p.m., to resume on Wednesday, July 27, 2005, at 10:00 a.m. / L'audience est ajournée à 16 h 58 , pour reprendre le mercredi 27 juillet 2005 à 10 h 00
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| 10:44 8146:22 | 20 8232:18 8284:10 | 25 8146:21 | 44 8146:23 | 8 |
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