www.oliphantcommission.ca

Application for Standing, and, Application for Funding for Jefford Industries Limited and /or Arthur Leslie Jefford CEO

Oliphant Commission, 427 laurier Avenue West, Suite 400, P. O. Box 2740, Station D, Ottawa, Canada, K1P5W7, Phone 613-995-0756 Fax 1-613-995-0785 E-mail - inquiry.admin@oliphantcommission.ca

Re; Public Hearings On;

Commission of Inquiry into Certain Allegations Respecting Business and Financial Dealings Between Karlheinz Schreiber and the Right Honourable Brian Mulroney

Re;

PART II – POLICY REVIEW - TENTATIVE DATES

Expert Policy Forum

Deadline for written applications for standing Part II

Commissioner's Opening Statement Part II

Standing hearings for Part II

January 15, 2009 January 21, 2009 January 21 – 23, 2009

To Be held At;

Old City Hall, Victoria Hall, Bytown Pavilion 111 Sussex Drive, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, K1N 1J1

Application for Standing and Application for Funding

Applicants Demonstration of Assertion and Statement of Direct and Substancial Interest

Applicants Demonstration of Assertion and Statement of Direct and Substancial Interest

<u>Item 1 The Very Integrety of My Canadian Government is at Stake.</u>

My public interest in these alligations of financial dealings is that the inappropriateness of Very Integrety of my Canadian Government is at Stake.

In WW1 My Grandfather Leslie Arthur Jefford <u>gave his very life</u> in the Vimy Passendale battlefield with many other Canadians <u>for the safet</u>y and <u>well being</u> of his family <u>and "Freedom of All</u> Canadians."

His son John Fredrick Jefford to whom he never saw, and his young widow Hanna Jefford with the bastard son baggage was never able to put her life back together again and remarry to be a family again. Their life was a constant struggle and when the eldest grandson Arthur was able to break free of the "Poor Dad" existance to reach out again to the "Rich Dad" existance

These Financial Dealings of "Pay 2 Play"

or "Kick Backs to Do Business" in Canada

or "Kill off (dethrone "as Dr Smith") The King (PM)"

<u>Devistated Our Family when</u> innocently <u>I thought in Canada you didn't have to "Pay Off"</u> to be a "Part of Canada's Economic Engine" and work toward your families well being with out having to pay off the <u>Government Officials</u>

Respectfully

I didn't want to "Play the Pay Off Game" with;

The Prime Minister of Canada,
The Privy Council of Canada Members and
The Government of Canada Department Ministers or
A Canadian Political Party

Respectfully

Failure to Comply and "Play the Pay Off Game" Resulted In Being Canadian Government Locked Out for years of Participating in Canadian Economic Engine of Well Being as Those Canadians and Foreign National Who Did "Pay 2 Play" Got To Enjoy the corrupt benefits.

My Business, Family. And I suffered severly as a result of Not Agreeing to the "Pay 2 Play"

Perhaps the inquiry in considering Mr. Schreibers Information presented, It would be helpful to also consider the other side of the coin where their was no "Pay 2 Play" payments made under the shakedown instead of 1993 and 1994 payments made While at the same time while considering Mr Mulroney's statements It would be helpful to view the environment on the "Pay 2 Play" that lead to the 1983 (Sharp) or 1985 (Strengthing) and 1988 (Expansion) Government attempt to address the "Pay 2 Play" issue and what followed to the present

Applicants Demonstration of Assertion and Statement of Direct and Substancial Interest

Item 2 The "Pay 2 Play" Ethics in Canadian Government and It's Officials Is; Of Prime Important To All Canadians Who Want Better Lives

In The Canada Economic Environment Today, Government Officials retalitory "Lock Out" of Contributing Canadians from Canada's Economic Engine for refusing to "Pay 2 Play" is unacceptable.

Canadians who want to contribute to their own ,families and All Canadians Well Being is extremely critical now as a non team effort just becomes another anchor to drag down all the other Canadians who are trying to improve their lives.

It is Respectfully Submitted that Government and It's Legislation is like

A growing tree that responds to it's environment to seek and reach out to satisfy the needs of all it's parts especially the very electorate that puts them into accountable power. An electorate that demands Canadian Officials respond to and act for the constantly changing needs of All Canadian Voters within the spirit of the law as well as the law as it's written word improves without putting their needs first

In considering the existing constraints on the activities of holders of high Government Office and the constrains once an Government Official leaves office it is necessary to consider the past present and futuer environment of "Pay 2 Play" and rejection of the "Pay 2 Play" so as to assess and consider to develope any needed further recomendation for further constraints on current and former Canadian Officials.

The issue of "amount of payments" and "what payments are made for" be it Bear Head Project or Other Representation may be of incertainaty but evidence todate does clearly establish the parties "did have a choice" and "did make a choice" on how to pay and the message is in the means employed.

Respectfully this is of great legitimate Canadian public concern as fairness of Government Officials Actions has been clearly evident with The Premier of Ontario's Fairness Campaign on behalf of his electorate recently with our current Prime Minister and his lack of percieved fairness action

The World and Nations Perception of Canada is projected to all by Canadians and Canadian Officials behavour from 1867 to the present, and we have established a standards by public presence, to become the essence of being Canadian.

The participation of a King's (Dr Smith in Forensics or a PM of a Countries) victim's imput to this inquiry is immesurable is all about the Parties "Right of Entitlement" citizen or leader

Applicants Demonstration of Assertion and Statement of

Lack of and Insufficient Financial Resources To Participate in the Policy Review with out Funding Provisions and Allotment

As I am not a lawyer and have no legal training other than the school of first hand experience caused by refusing to "Pay 2 Play" with the High Canadian Government Officials

For the perseption of this Inquiry being impartial and fair to all concerned parties within the conduct of the inquiry; I would respectfully request the appointment of "A Friend of The Inquiry (Court)" or personal legal assistance to permit my effective participation and preparation of documents

Respectfully the other side of the coin perspective and first hand expertise that I feel I have will be of immesurable assistance to the better stream of flow in understanding of all Inquiry participants to the environmental pressures and issues that will permeate through this inquiry mandate an hearings on; the ethical spirit and written rules of conduct but expressed from a completely opposite viewpoint then is currently available to the inquiry. However my senior citizen income of government pensions makes it tough to just exist, let alone actively participate in an inquiry of this magnitude.

Please advise if I need to provide any further or other information in this request for standing and financial funding.

Sincerely

Arthur L. Jefford

"Arthur L. Jefford" <a jefford@yahoo.com>

IN THE MATTER OF
THE COMMISSION OF INQUIRY INTO
CERTAIN ALLEGATIUONS RESPECTING
BUSINESS AND FINANCIAL DEALINGS
BETWEEN
KARLHEINZ SCHREIBER
AND
THE RIGHT HONOURABLE BRIAN MULRONEY

AFFIDAVIT OF

ARTHUR LESLIE JEFFORD

IN SUPPORT OF AND FOR


THE APPLICATION FOR STANDING AND FUNDING BY

ARTHUR LESLIE JEFFORD

- A. Name, address, telephone number, and e-mail address of the applicant;
 Mr. Arthur Leslie Jefford
 113 Main Street East, P. O. Box 709,
 Sundridge, Ontario, Canada, P0A-1Z0
 Tel 1-705-384-1444......E-mail aiefford@yahoo.com
- B. Wheather the applicant seeks standing as party or as an intervenor for all or a portion of the mandate of Part 11 of the Inquiry.

 The Applicant seeks Standing as a Party for All of Part 11 Policy Review
- C. Wheather the Applicant seeks Funding for Part 11 of the Inquiry. The Applicant seeks Funding as a Party for All of Part 11 Policy Review
- D. The names of lawyers, If any, representing the person, together with addresses, telephone number, e-mail and fax

 The Applicant to advise upon the Granting of the above applications
- E. Submissions, supported by Affidavit evidence as necessary, explaining why Standing or Funding for Part 11- of the Inquiry should be granted
- e.1 Arthur L Jefford from 1959 to the Present as one of 75 Canadian Boy Scouts representing Canada has represented Canada over one hundred times with more than half of these times as the Head of the Canadian Delegation and as such has first hand knowledge of how important just the slightest gesture can provide such a different meaning and message to others from different cultures and ethics are of the utmost importance in todays International Marketplace



- e.2 At the age of 6 in 1948 my Maternal Grandfather said it was time my brother a year younger and my cousin a year older should knew about business and the three boys with Grandmas and Dad's helpful advise contracted to do business and shingle one of my Grandfathers cottages. Successive summer school working during the holidays developed leadership, confidence and the value of good ethical business practice.
- e.3 Over the years I worked in the building construction industry in sales and marketing being project manager of many of Ontario and Quebec's high profile projects and gained th repulsive exposure into the hide it "Pay 2 Play" world of buying the job.
- e.4 In the late 1970's I encorporated my business ventures into one Jefford Industries Ltd. and actively participated in the Canadian Government Specifications Board and later as the Canadian Expert for the Minister of Industry in the Standards Council of Canada in I attended as the March 1980 Head of a Canadian Delegation to the International Standards Organization ISOTC61SC5 Plastics and took the business into Thermo Set Plastics for their dynamic fire resistance properties.
- e.5 In the late 1970's and 1980 with the Canadian Government Canadian Home Insulation Program (CHIP) Insulation Grant and as the Chairman of the Society of Plastics Industry (SPI) Phenolic and Urea Formaldehyde Committee (PUFF) I was thrust into the unbelieveable battle of the lobbiest with the Canadian Development Corporation CDC vs CD Howe and the buying of Government and Lobbyist's favour for business success.
- e.6 Ultimately declining to "Pay 2 Play" the Government Minister of Health via the Minister of Consumer and Corporate Affairs Banned UFFI on the basis that;
 - (a) Testing done to Guarantee failure by testing below the ambient level
 - (b) Testing in a contaminated area of 267 other formaldehyde products emissions
 - (c) Testing in a different area from where the tested product was located Testing inside a building enclosure for .001 ppm Formaldehyde (when the ambient level was 4.0 ppm formaldehyde) (where 267 other sources of formaldehyde were present in the area you were testing); and that result would tell you how bad UFFI was on the outside of the national vapor barrier; that separates the inside from the outside of the building enclosure; testing in a completely different area from where the tested product was located
- e.7 The USA ban followed 6 months later but was over turned a year later as it should never have happened and all parties were compensated. The Canadian a \$100 million white wash Inquiry set the playing field and causal action for this and other Canadian scandalous inquiries with many of the same players but also started the ethics activities
- e.8 Canada's \$100 million dollar White Wash Inquiry that could never find it's way to just understand the simple basics of e.6 above as "The Lobby Money Was Flowing"
- e.9 From December 17,1980 I was so agressive furious at the devistation wreaked upon my family that the Sharp 1983 concept of "Lawyer Loop Hole Ethics" was not acceptable but to understand the resistance just look at who the High Profile Politicians were at the time and compare the Names and Parties in the recent Scandle Inquiries. 1985 Conflict of Interest may be critized for having many "Loop Holes" drafted within but to grow a tree you first have to plant the seed to start "The Tree of Thriving Life"

- e.01 I was so vocal at the time the local "Do Nothing MP" arranged to list me as mad enough to be a terrorist and lead to RCMP inquiries.
- e.02 My \$120 Million dollar Companies disappeared over night and 1,005 Canadians lost their jobs and by March 5, 1981 a year after sitting down with Dr Rubex in the Intercontinental Hotel in Budapest talking of making this ingenious cube I had added up 3483 pending UFFI Litigation Actions for \$484 million a divorse family break up and custody of 4 young daughters to suffer PTSD
- e.03 My layman litigation court room education started daily with night time mitigation work and court room next day preparation to actions in every level of Canadian courts. The Lawyers, Real Estate, Construction Industry, all distanced themselves with fear they would be next if they didn't pay their sin tax to the influential official
- e.04 When it comes to the impact of refusal to "Pay 2 Play" high profile Canadian Politicians I can provide the Inquiry with a clear view point of the high financial cost to the Canadians affected by "Bad Ethics Policy" and failure to look into this on this Inquiry any results would be seriously flawed
- e.05 When it comes to the impact of refusal to "Pay 2 Play" high profile Canadian Politicians I can provide the Inquiry with a clear view point of the high cost to the Canadians on personal and family lifetime "Well Being" from "Bad Ethics Policy" that can be more devistating than wrongful convictions and imprisonment and failure to look into this on this Inquiry any results would be flawed
- e.06 Failure of this Inquiry not to consider there are two sides to every coin or view point to perfecting a good performing ever evolving ethical policy any recomendations and results would be flawed
- e.07 I verily believe that belief in my personal values of for example in 1981 with 4 young daughters who felt that the whole world was mad at them to exhaust all the mad anger we ran with the Etobicoke Track and Field Club but wanting to keep my young daughters clean and not be a "Cheatar" my daughter ran bronze behind the two Angela's and under pressure to be the best ultimately turned our back on Etobicoke and ran with the Oakille Track and Field Club and lost opportunity for the Olympics. In an inquiry to develope "Policy Review" a responsible Inquiry needs parties who were directly and substancially affected by the mandate of the Policy Review but all from the same view point yield a distorted result for failure to look at the other side of the coin
- e.08 The reason for my application is that a "void in ethics" where "greed is king" does have a "fall out" that devistates all those who don't play the corrupt game and work the rules to steal from those of us who do believe in a "Fair Game Playing Field". In todays economic times nothing is more evident than the "Money men" tightening the rains for \$275 billion Canadian Bailout and a \$4 Billion existance time buy of taxpayer's money % fee funneled by accountable Politicians through Bankers on the marketed perception to save jobs and the poor tax payer now has to pay off this future debt to spin the recession all over again. Failure to have the Best Global "Ethical Policy Restructuring" will devistate every Canadian's "Well Being" and we will never develope Team Canada as those only looking

after them selves will just be too many anchors dragging us down the swirl of a horrifying economic black hole into oblivion with the powerful always skimming the big bucks

APPLICATION FOR FUNDING

- f.1 Since 1980 Mr. Jefford has been plagued by the fallout from the Political spin of Health Canada ban and public disbelief that and expert so immeshed in a technical and regulatory way could hold that it wasn't a mistake; The UFFI ban was a vandictive High Profile Political Victimizing to set an example to others that have lead to the now percieved invinceable political predators to be perticipants in many following scandals for failure to "Pay 2 Play" in a lack of an ethical playing field is extremely costly as there is no retirement savings
- f.2 Given all of my circumstances, I swear and Affirm that I do not have sufficient financial resources to, participate in the commission without financial assistance and legal council assistance would save the Commission time and expense
- f.3 I make this Affidavit insupport of an Application for Standing and Funding in this matter, to effect to my best ability the best global ethical standards for the betterment of all Canadians and for no improper purpose

CONCLUSION

g.1 I Arthur Leslie Jefford has an extremly vital role to play in providing evidence to this commission. I and my family have been rentlessly attacked for over a quarter century with our reputations, life style, emotional, mental, physical and financial well being has been devistated by the other side of the coin where a Canadian refuses to participate in the "Pay 2 Play" Canadian playing field where improper, lacking guidelines and ethical rules fail and devistate peoples lives with hidden non visible acheing wounds that never heal. In the circumstances, I respectfully seek Standing to Participate in the deliberations of the Commission with the assistance of my selected legal council

ALL OF WHICH IS RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED THIS 15TH DAY OF JANUARY 2009 in addition to my previous submission which is to be considered an integral part of the affidavit

SWORN before me at the City of Parry Sound Province of Ontario This 15th Day of January, 2009

Arthur Leslie Jefford

A Commissioner etc.

Donna L. Besman, Deputy Clerk

Honna L. Besone

Town of Parry Sound

To be Commissioned Tomorrow and Faxed to the Commission Fax # - 1-613-995-0785