Commission of Inquiry into Certain Allegations Respecting Business and Financial Dealings Between Karlheinz Schreiber and the Right Honourable Brian Mulroney



Commission d'enquête concernant les allégations au sujet des transactions financières et commerciales entre Karlheinz Schreiber et le très honorable Brian Mulroney

Public Hearing

Audience publique

Commissioner

L'Honorable juge / The Honourable Justice Jeffrey James Oliphant

Commissaire

Held at: Tenue à :

Bytown Pavillion Victoria Hall 111 Sussex Drive Ottawa, Ontario

pavillion Bytown salle Victoria 111, promenade Sussex Ottawa (Ontario)

Tuesday, April 21, 2009

le mardi 21 avril 2009

APPEARANCES / COMPARUTIONS

Mr. Guy Pratte The Right Honourable Brian Mulroney

Me François Grondin

Mr. Harvey W. Yaronsky, Q.C.

Mr. Jack Hughes

Mr. A. Samuel Wakim, Q.C.

Ms Kate Glover

Mr. Richard Auger Mr. Karlheinz Schreiber

Mr. Paul B. Vickery Attorney General of Canada

Mr. Yannick Landry Me Philippe Lacasse

Mr. Robert E. Houston, Q.C. Mr. Fred Doucet

Mr. Richard Wolson Counsel for the Commission

Mr. Evan Roitenberg Ms Nancy Brooks

Mr. Guiseppe Battista Mr. Martin Lapner

Ms Sarah Wolson

Mr. Gilles Brisson Registrar

Ms Anne Chalmers Commission Staff

Ms Mary O'Farrell

TABLE OF CONTENTS / TABLE DES MATIÈRES

	PAGE
Hearing commences at 9:30 a.m. / L'audience débute à 9 h 30	1409
Affirmed: Gregory Alford Déclaration Solennelle: Gregory Alford	1409
Examination by Mr. Roitenberg / interrogatoire par Me Roitenberg	1409
Recess taken at 10:50 a.m. / Suspension à 10 h 50 Hearing resumes at 11:15 a.m. / Reprise à 11 h 15	1466
Examination by Mr. Houston / interrogatoire par Me Houston	1491
Recess taken at 12:00 p.m. / Suspension à 12 h 00 Hearing resumes at 2:02 p.m. / Reprise à 14 h 02	1501
Examination by Mr. Auger / interrogatoire par Me Auger Examination by Mr. Vickery / interrogatoire par Me Vickery Examination by The Commissioner / interrogatoire par le Commissaire	1502 1506 1563
Recess taken at 3:15 p.m. / Suspension à 15 h 15 Hearing resumes at 3:35 p.m. / Reprise à 15 h 35	1566
Sworn: Paul Smith Assermenté : Paul Smith	1566
Examination by Mr. Battista / interrogatoire par Me Battista Examination by Mr. Hughes / interrogatoire par Me Hughes Examination by Mr. Auger / interrogatoire par Me Auger Examination by The Commissioner / interrogatoire par le Commissaire	1567 1604 1606 1619
Affirmed: Harry Swain Déclaration Solennelle : Harry Swain	1620
Examination by Mr. Battista / interrogatoire par Me Battista	1621
Hearing adjourns at 5:00 p.m. / L'audience est ajournée à 17 h 00	1648

EXHIBITS / PIÈCES JUSTIFICATIVES

No.	Description	PAGE
P-18	Book of documents in support of Mr. Gregory Alford's testimony	1414
P-19	Book of documents in support of cross-examination of Mr. Alford	1489
D	Memorandum from Mr. Swain to the Hon. Michael Wilson with copies to the Hon. Tom Hockin and the Hon. William C. Winegard	1559
P-20	June 1993 agenda of Prime Minister Brian Mulroney	1567
P-21	Book of documents containing 17 tabs pertaining to Harry Swain's evidence	1625

ERRATA / ADDENDA

Volume 7 - April 20, 2009 / 20 avril 2009

Page 1375, Lines/lignes 3-4

SWORN: SHEILA POWELL / ASSERMENTÉE : SHEILA POWELL

should be / devrait être

AFFIRMED: SHEILA POWELL /
DÉCLARATION SOLENNELLE : SHEILA POWELL

1	Ottawa, Ontario / Ottawa (Ontario)
2	Upon resuming on Tuesday, April 21, 2009
3	at 9:30 a.m. / L'audience reprend le mardi
4	21 avril 2009 à 9 h 30
5	15111 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Good morning,
6	counsel. Be seated, please.
7	Mr. Roitenberg.
8	MR. ROITENBERG: Good morning, sir.
9	With us this morning is Greg Alford.
10	I would ask Mr. Brisson to either have him affirmed or
11	swear an oath.
12	AFFIRMED: GREGORY ALFORD /
13	DÉCLARATION SOLENNELLE : GREGORY ALFORD
14	15115 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Good morning,
15	Mr. Alford.
16	MR. ALFORD: Good morning.
17	EXAMINATION: GREGORY ALFORD BY MR. ROITENBERG /
18	INTERROGATOIRE : GREGORY ALFORD PAR Me ROITENBERG
19	MR. ROITENBERG: Good morning, sir.
20	MR. ALFORD: Good morning.
21	15119 MR. ROITENBERG: Thank you for being
22	with us this morning.
23	15120 Mr. Alford, I understand that at a
24	point in time commencing towards the end of 1988 you
25	found yourself as the Vice-President of Corporate

1	Affairs for Bear Head Industries.
2	15121 Am I right?
3	MR. ALFORD: That's correct.
4	15123 MR. ROITENBERG: Prior to that you
5	had worked with the firm Government Consultants
6	International.
7	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
8	15125 MR. ROITENBERG: That's the firm that
9	Frank Moores, Gary Ouellet and Gerry Doucet were
10	partners in.
11	15126 Am I correct?
12	MR. ALFORD: Correct.
13	MR. ROITENBERG: And prior to your
14	joining GCI, which I believe was in 1985, you worked
15	with Mr. Moores at Alta Nova, which was his company
16	prior to merging with Mr. Ouellet?
17	15129 MR. ALFORD: That's correct.
18	MR. ROITENBERG: Who were the
19	partners at GCI?
20	15131 MR. ALFORD: Mr. Moores. Mr. Doucet
21	founded the firm. Gary Ouellet later joined and became
22	a partner.
23	MR. ROITENBERG: And those were the
24	only three stakeholders?
25	15133 MR. ALFORD: Yes, I believe so.

1	MR. ROITENBERG: During the course	of
2	time that you were with GCI, I believe Thyssen	
3	approached GCI for assistance.	
4	15135 Is that right?	
5	15136 MR. ALFORD: That's correct.	
6	15137 MR. ROITENBERG: What is it that	
7	Thyssen wanted from GCI at that time?	
8	15138 MR. ALFORD: Thyssen was a large	
9	industrial concern in Germany. They had been	
10	approached during a trade mission I guess multiple	3
11	trade missions encouraging them to explore Canada	as
12	a base for their North American operations.	
13	15139 They found the pitch attractive.	
14	They were desiring an expansion of their North Americ	can
15	operations in a number of industrial categories. So	
16	with the division which was led by Thyssen Henschel,	
17	which included some heavier categories of industry,	
18	primarily defence industries, environmental	
19	technologies for flue gas scrubbing, some	
20	transportation products, they looked at the North	
21	American market opportunity and found that to be quit	zе
22	interesting.	
23	15140 I believe as well in the trade	
24	missions the large capital projects were described	
25	which would make sense.	

1	15141	So when they came to GCI their
2	,	question was really quite simple. As a German-based
3	1	manufacturer would they have an opportunity to find
4	į	market in Canada, given that the recent history that
5		they could observe as a manufacturer in the defence
6		category had seen contracts placed with the domestic
7	1	manufacturer, General Motors.
8	15142	So their question to us was would
9		they have any opportunity to participate in the
10		Canadian marketplace if they would come here as a
11	1	manufacturer sorry. First the question was would
12		they have a chance to compete in Canada and then,
13		secondly, what their chances would be competitively in
14		the defence field.
15	15143	MR. ROITENBERG: Ultimately I take it
16		the advice offered was that they would have a far more
17		likely chance of having an opportunity here if they set
18		up shop here.
19	15144	Would that be fair?
20	15145	MR. ALFORD: That's right. We
21		identified the Canada-U.S. North American defence
22		sharing agreement, all the elements of that policy, how
23		attractive it may be for them to set up here, but
24		ultimately told them their only chance to really be
25		competitive is if they would commit to the

establishment of manufacturing in Canada in association 1 with any contract they could win. 2 3 15146 MR. ROITENBERG: Eventually there were negotiations that took place with the Government 4 of Canada and in 1988, following some protracted 5 negotiations, an understanding in principle was signed 6 between Bear Head Thyssen and the Government of Canada. 7 8 15147 Is that right? 15148 MR. ALFORD: That's right. 10 15149 MR. ROITENBERG: You participated to 11 some degree on GCI's behalf in those negotiations, did you? 12 13 15150 MR. ALFORD: Yes. 14 15151 MR. ROITENBERG: And in fact it is my 15 understanding that you were with Mr. Schreiber when a 16 representative of ACOA came over on September 25th to deliver that particular document. 17 18 15152 MR. ALFORD: I believe that's right. 19 15153 MR. ROITENBERG: You noted when you 20 reviewed the document with Mr. Schreiber upon its presentation that there was now an added disclaimer, if 21 22 you will, that the government had put into the 23 understanding in principle. 15154 Is that fair? 24 MR. ALFORD: I would have examined 25 15155

1	it. I'm not sure which one you refer to.
2	15156 MR. ROITENBERG: Well, let me do
3	this. There is a Book of Documents in front of you, a
4	binder.
5	15157 I'm going to ask that there be a Book
6	of documents filed as the next exhibit. I believe it
7	would be Exhibit
8	THE REGISTRAR: P-18.
9	15159 MR. ROITENBERG: P-18, thank you.
10	15160 And if you were kind enough to turn
11	to Tab 3.
12	15161 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Just before
13	you go further, the documents in support of
14	Mr. Alford's evidence are going in by consent,
15	gentlemen? Thank you.
16	15162 All right. The documents, then, in
17	support of Mr. Alford's evidence will be received and
18	marked as exhibit P-18 at this inquiry.
19	Thank you.
20	EXHIBIT NO. P-18: Book of
21	Documents in support of Mr.
22	Gregory Alford's testimony
23	15164 MR. ROITENBERG: Thank you,
24	Mr. Commissioner.
25	15165 If you turn to Tab 3, it is a

1	memorandum from John McDowell who details his delivery
2	of the document to Mr. Schreiber, your presence there,
3	and in the third paragraph says:
4	"Mr. Schreiber read the letter
5	from Sen. Murray with
6	considerable care. Mr. Alford
7	pointed out that paragraph 3,
8	page 2 was effectively a
9	disclaimer because it indicated
10	that in offering the
11	understanding in principle for
12	signature the government was not
13	necessarily committing to
14	proceed with the LAV project."
15	(As read)
16	15166 That's accurate?
17	15167 MR. ALFORD: Yes.
18	15168 MR. ROITENBERG: So in effect even
19	when signing a letter of intent, or at least putting
20	forward the letter of intent for signature, the
21	government was making it clear at that point we are
22	still not binding ourselves to go ahead with this
23	project.
24	15169 MR. ALFORD: Yes.
25	15170 MR. ROITENBERG: Shortly after the

1	UIP was signed my understanding is the company, that i
2	Thyssen Bear Head, was able to entice you to come and
3	work for them fulltime.
4	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
5	15172 MR. ROITENBERG: As their, as we
6	stated, Vice-President of Corporate Affairs.
7	15173 MR. ALFORD: Yes.
8	15174 MR. ROITENBERG: After the UIP was
9	signed and you moved over to work fulltime at Bear Head
10	things didn't move particularly rapidly in terms of
11	getting this project further off the ground, did they?
12	15175 MR. ALFORD: No. The LAV program was
13	probably the biggest impact in that at the time that
14	agreement and project sorry, the idea proceeding
15	with Bear Head was established at a time when we
16	thought that the Canadian procurements of light
17	armoured vehicles was also going to stay on schedule.
18	But shortly after that they started falling out of
19	schedule.
20	15176 MR. ROITENBERG: If I could direct
21	you to Tab 4, this is a memo from a Jim Burkimsher to
22	Wynne Potter at ACOA speaking in December of '88 of a
23	meeting that had just occurred between yourself and
24	Mr. Burkimsher on the 21st. It notes that there seems
25	to be some flaws in whatever business plan had been

1	provided b	y the company; that it lacked market
2	forecasts	of any detail, any marketing strategy and, is
3	you go to	the summary paragraph at the very end of page
4	2:	
5		"To sum up, the Business Plan is
6		inadequate and one wonders why a
7		sophisticated, major corporation
8		like Thyssen is not applying its
9		skills and expertise to produce
10		a plan which would enable a
11		proper assessment of the project
12		to be conducted."
13	15177	And it goes on to offer a little more
14	critique.	
15	15178	This seems to be a theme throughout
16	at least '	88, '89, '90, '91 in terms of a critique of
17	the compan	y's lack of an adequate business plan.
18	15179	Was there a reason that Thyssen could
19	not produc	e an adequate business plan?
20	15180	MR. ALFORD: Thyssen had always been
21	very clear	that they were willing to establish a
22	facility i	n Canada against an opportunity to
23	participat	e in its domestic market.
24	15181	Shortly after the time the
25	understand	ing in principle was signed between the

1		company and the government, the defence procurements
2		which the company had always identified as if we would
3		win a participation in Canadian defence procurement,
4		then we would make this investment and bring our
5		manufacturing for North America to Canada.
6	15182	So quite simply is that the defence
7		market domestically had begun to be postponed because
8		of budget restriction and a variety of very good
9		reasons from the defence procurement side.
10	15183	So it's the separation of defence
11		procurement from defence procurement had no
12		obligation to make this project happen, but the
13		activity of defence procurement was slowing down,
14		delaying, and that market was being postponed.
15	15184	So the original intent was that there
16		would be procurement proceeding, Thyssen would bid and
17		compete and participate in that in some way and with
18		that work bring that as the starting activity in the
19		factory.
20	15185	MR. ROITENBERG: Put another way,
21		would it be fair to say that Thyssen was reluctant to
22		put forward a business plan until they had an
23		understanding of what they were going to build on what
24		time frame and in what quantity?
25	15186	MR. ALFORD: That's fair.

1	15187	MR. ROITENBERG: So at that juncture,
2	u	naware of whether or not there was going to be the
3	p	procurement itself and unaware whether or not Thyssen
4	Е	Bear Head was going to be eligible to participate, it
5	W	was difficult to put together any kind of coherent
6	þ	pusiness plan.
7	15188	MR. ALFORD: That's correct.
8	15189	MR. ROITENBERG: As we moved into
9	1	1989 and there was still no commitment on behalf of
10	Q	government to go ahead with the particular procurement
11	У	you were interested in, I understand there started to
12	а	arise suspicions that there was a sole source
13	p	procurement about to be offered to General Motors
14	D	Diesel Division.
15	15190	MR. ALFORD: That's correct.
16	15191	MR. ROITENBERG: In July of 1989
17	t	this is at Tab 8, if you would like to turn your book
18	t	to that tab
19	15192	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: What is the
20	t	ab?
21	15193	MR. ROITENBERG: Tab 8. A letter was
22	f	forward to the Hon. Bill McKnight, Minister of Defence
23	C	of the time, under the name of Jürgen Massmann who, if
24	I	I'm not mistaken, was the President of Bear Head
25	I	Industries.

1	15194	Is that right?
2	15195 I	MR. ALFORD: Correct.
3	15196 I	MR. ROITENBERG: You were the
4	Vice-President?	
5	15197 I	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
6	15198 I	MR. ROITENBERG: What role did
7	Mr. Schreiber have	e at the time?
8	15199 I	MR. ALFORD: Mr. Schreiber was the
9	Chairman of Bear H	lead Industries.
10	15200 I	MR. ROITENBERG: If you go to the
11	last page of this	letter, it's under the name of Jürgen
12	Massmann but it ap	pears to be the signature of another.
13	15201 I	MR. ALFORD: That's my signature.
14	15202 I	MR. ROITENBERG: Can you tell
15	that's your signat	ure.
16	15203 I	MR. ALFORD: I signed on his behalf.
17	15204 I	MR. ROITENBERG: Now, as I understand
18	it there were some	rumours that the time that a sole
19	source contract wa	s going to be offered to General
20	Motors Diesel Divi	sion to outfit the reserves in new
21	armoured personnel	carriers.
22	15205	Am I right?
23	15206 I	MR. ALFORD: That's correct.
24	15207 I	MR. ROITENBERG: So on behalf of Bear
25	Head Industries an	offer was made to the government to

1	do just that, which was speed up your offer for
2	procurement to the Forces generally, which would allow
3	the armoured personnel carriers that the Forces were
4	then utilizing to be handed down to the Reserves.
5	15208 Is that fair?
6	15209 MR. ALFORD: That was the gist of the
7	proposal.
8	15210 MR. ROITENBERG: And was that met
9	with any kind of response that you are aware of?
10	15211 MR. ALFORD: It was an unsolicited
11	proposal put forward. I don't think that it went very
12	far.
13	I think the next thing I remember
14	best was that a sole source order was placed with
15	General Motors.
16	15213 MR. ROITENBERG: I think if you
17	actually turn the tab you will see a reply to the
18	letter that you sign for Mr. Massmann.
19	15214 That is at Tab 9. It is a letter
20	from Ministry of Defence Bill McKnight to Mr. Massmann
21	thanking him for the letter of July 19th I suppose
22	indirectly thanking you and advising that the
23	government had recently announced the approval of a
24	project by way of a sole source to General Motors of
25	Canada.

1	15215	What was the reaction within Bear
2		Head of the fact that you had this understanding in
3		principle which had recently been negotiated, you are
4		hoping for a chance to simply participate in the
5		procurement process and now we have this sole source to
6		General Motors?
7	15216	MR. ALFORD: Thyssen felt that it was
8		a contradiction to the government policy of competitive
9		procurement that had been described to them throughout
10		the process as they considered to move forward in the
11		intention to make their investments in Canada.
12	15217	But then they look at the longer
13		picture and the explanation around the sole source and
14		saw that there was still I don't recall the numbers
15		precisely, but many hundreds, about 1,000 vehicles that
16		were still the main light armoured vehicle requirement.
17		That was pushed back more years, but nonetheless still
18		on the books.
19	15218	And as a manufacturer of that exact
20		category of equipment in both variants, wheeled or
21		tracked, the confidence of the company that they could
22		eventually be competitive in making a proposal and
23		winning not even all, but a share of the Canadian
24		procurement or the Canadian light armoured vehicle
25		requirements, with that confidence the company

1	essentially stood back and looked at whether we could
2	wait for the future procurement when it would
3	eventually come forward and then ultimately convinced
4	ourselves that in a larger project there would be
5	competitive procurement. And so we waited.
6	15219 MR. ROITENBERG: Why was it so
7	important in your view to have this competitive
8	procurement? Was there an advantage that in your view
9	Thyssen held in a competition such as that?
10	15220 MR. ALFORD: Thyssen had to make that
11	judgment as a manufacturer. Within NATO the light
12	armoured vehicle category was one where Thyssen was a
13	supplier to many countries, successful in Germany,
14	successful in many other allied countries.
15	15221 They were a prime contractor, as in
16	the owner of the technology and the design, so the
17	developer of the design. And they were that in not
18	just the two simple categories of track versus wheel,
19	but multiple sizes of those vehicles.
20	So the confidence on the company side
21	was whatever the Canadian army might ask for, they
22	would have a Thyssen would have a capability of
23	meeting that requirement.
24	15223 And the expectation was that the
25	defence requirements would be so specific that even

1		though there was an existing domestic manufacturer,
2		Thyssen could be competitive with technology meeting
3		the specific technical requirements of the military
4		once specified.
5	15224	MR. ROITENBERG: So there was this
6		belief in your product, a belief in the superiority of
7		the product, of the quality of the product, and if you
8		got into a competition you would just stand by your
9		product and hope that it would satisfy to a greater
10		degree than the domestically produced product from
11		General Motors?
12	1522	MR. ALFORD: There was confidence in
13		our ability to win a competition, yes.
14	15226	MR. ROITENBERG: So we had gone from
15		the hope of the signing of the understanding in
16		principle in September of 1988 to the somewhat dampened
17		hope in September of '89, having seen yet another sole
18		source contract awarded?
19	1522	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
20	15228	MR. ROITENBERG: But all was not
21		lost, because there was this larger procurement
22		hopefully still to come?
23	15229	MR. ALFORD: Yes. And the hope as
24		well there was a small sole source procurement
25		placed after so many years of discussion around

1	competitive procurement, but the hope was, well, that
2	is out of the way now and in the larger contract they
3	will definitely have to go to competition. Specific
4	requirements will be stated and we will bid against the
5	requirements.
6	So we felt that we would have a
7	chance to win. I mean, our confidence was that we
8	could have met any of the requirements, but, you know,
9	realistically we said we need a share of the Canadian
10	requirement, so a part win also would have been enough
11	to trigger our manufacturing to go forward in Canada.
12	MR. ROITENBERG: Now, about four
13	months after this letter in September of 1989, in
14	January of 1990, a letter was forwarded from the
15	Ministry of National Defence to the company basically
16	extending to you that you would have an opportunity to
17	participate in future procurement, and it was offered
18	that you would have that opportunity on a continuing
19	basis for the next five years.
20	15232 That would have been the letter of
21	January 25, 1990 from the then Minister of Defence,
22	Bill McKnight.
23	15233 I have passed out a copy of that
24	letter to all parties this morning, Mr. Commissioner.
25	It is actually in Mr. McKnight's binder, which is

1	behind you, Exhib	pit P-1, at Tab 6.
2	15234	I believe Mr. Brisson is on his way
3	to provide it to	you.
4	15235	You have the letter in front of you?
5	15236	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
6	15237	MR. ROITENBERG: And you can confirm
7	that this offered	the company the hope that they would
8	be offered the op	portunity to participate for the next
9	five years?	
10	15238	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
11	15239	MR. ROITENBERG: Now, around this
12	time, into the ea	rly '90s, my understanding is that
13	NATO was working	on a particular I won't call it a
14	project, but they	had a particular notion that they
15	were advancing ca	lled the MBAV.
16	15240	MR. ALFORD: That's correct.
17	15241	MR. ROITENBERG: If you could please
18	enlighten the Com	missioner as to what the MBAV first
19	of all, what it s	tands for and then conceptually what
20	was.	
21	15242	MR. ALFORD: So there was a committee
22	within NATO, I'm	sure it's a Standing Committee called
23	the NATO Industri	al Advisory Group. Thyssen, as a
24	major manufacture	er based in Germany, was a participant
25	in that study and	l invited the participation of many

1	manufacturers in our equipment category.	
2	The simple understanding of the	
3	multipurpose base armoured vehicle was to try and	
4	foster commonality amongst the equipments that are	used
5	in a NATO or UN deployment. The idea of joining a	
6	multination peacekeeping mission means that you have	e a
7	number of armies come together in a single mission,	and
8	each army and their vehicles would require support,	
9	support in the simplest terms of spare parts, compo	nent
LO	repairs, et cetera, to keep their vehicles running.	
L1	So these committees that were run	
L2	under NIAG were always working toward the concept o	f
L3	finding a shared vehicle design. I don't think the	re
L4	was ever the suggestion that one single country wou	.ld
L5	manufacture for all nations, but the idea that you	
L6	might have a shared design that many countries work	in
L7	was certainly very attractive.	
L8	So NATO certainly fostered that.	It
L9	would make more economical and efficient the operat	ions
20	of a multi-nation peacekeeping mission, for example	
21	So the Multi-Purpose Base Armoured	Ĺ
22	Vehicle was in the light-armoured vehicle category,	
23	which was Thyssen's specialty. Again, I mentioned	
24	earlier that we built wheeled and tracked, so we we	re
25	certainly a participant in it.	

1	15247	Independent of that, we had been
2		working on our own prototype developments, and we were
3		quite advanced in that, and it was in the same
4		category.
5	15248	So within the MBAV program, Thyssen
6		felt that we had our greatest strength. Plus, I guess,
7		the Canadian connection to it would be that Thyssen had
8		been following so closely the emerging requirements in
9		Canada, and Canada being a leader in peacekeeping, we
10		felt there was a very natural connection to bring our
11		vehicle technology that had been developed in Germany,
12		expand it further in Canada, and bring forward what
13		might have been the platform of the Multi-Purpose Base
14		Armoured Vehicle that all nations would eventually use.
15	15249	MR. ROITENBERG: So this, in essence,
16		was a grandiose, or a rather grandiose scheme to come
17		up with a vehicle that most or all nations that
18		participated in these multi-force engagements would
19		use, that they could then have the use of standard
20		parts, which would have been somewhat compatible, so
21		that in the field they could have been maintained
22		properly by one unit, and, as a force, plans could have
23		been undertaken knowing that all members of whatever
24		multi-force unit were out there were using the same, or
25		roughly the same product.

1	15250	MR. ALFORD: That's correct, and to a
2	cert	ain degree there were vehicles before this design
3	that	had come to be so commonly used. Multiple nations
4	used	a vehicle called the M113, and I guess the
5	simp	lest reference point would have been the Jeep. It
6	seem	ed like every army had Jeeps, as well.
7	15251	This was another extension in a
8	larg	er category, specifically a vehicle to be used in
9	troo	p movement, protected troop movements an
L O	armo	ured troop carrier, essentially.
L1	15252	MR. ROITENBERG: Just so the
L2	Comm	issioner can better be focused on this, you said
L3	that	the M113 was used by a number of nations. In
L4	fact	, most major armies used either the M113 or a
L5	vari	ant of that particular armoured personnel carrier.
L6	15253	Is that right?
L7	15254	MR. ALFORD: To my knowledge, I think
L8	it w	as the largest, most commonly used vehicle
L9	inte	rnationally, by all armies. There might have been
20	simi	lar vehicles, but it was the M113 that was the most
21	used	internationally.
22	15255	MR. ROITENBERG: But would it be fair
23	that	, as it was used by a number of different armies,
24	it h	ad been not necessarily compatible parts-wise, one
25	a rmi	to the next such as they could have been

1	maintained in	the field by one unit?
2	15256	MR. ALFORD: Anytime multiple armies
3	might have sha	ared a mission, they would have then
4	looked for the	e chance to have interchangeability and
5	common compone	ents. So that was always an advantage,
6	when you would	d have two groups using the same vehicle,
7	and that was w	what was trying to be recreated, and
8	perhaps improv	ved upon, with the MBAV concept.
9	15257	MR. ROITENBERG: Now, we jumped from
10	the timeframe	around 1990, where you were provided with
11	this letter of	January 25th, 1990, and we moved to a
12	discussion of	the MBAV.
13	15258	Between 1990 and the early part of
14	1992, there wa	as a continuation of the efforts on behalf
15	of Thyssen Bea	ar Head to engage the government in some
16	form of procu	rement and get some sort of guarantees
17	about future p	procurements, such that you could commence
18	the building o	of the manufacturing facility.
19	15259	Is that right?
20	15260	MR. ALFORD: That's right.
21	15261	MR. ROITENBERG: And this met with
22	little or no s	success over that period.
23	15262	Is that correct?
24	15263	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
25	15264	MR. ROITENBERG: In the spring of

1992, a new proposal seems to have emerged, where 1 Thyssen proposed to the government that they would 2 3 engage in research and development together of a particular vehicle, with the assistance of the 5 Department of National Defence, on a cost-recovery basis on behalf of the government, and that the plant would then be located in East Montreal. 7 8 15265 Is that right? 15266 MR. ALFORD: That's right. 10 15267 MR. ROITENBERG: And you understood 11 that, at the time, Mr. Schreiber was making, on behalf 12 of the company, overtures to the then Minister of Defence, Marcel Masse, in this regard. 13 MR. ALFORD: Certainly, if he was 14 15268 15 Minister of Defence, we would have been trying to make 16 our proposal at every opportunity. 17 15269 MR. ROITENBERG: If you could go to 18 Tab 13 in the book of documents before you, this is a 19 letter to Mr. Schreiber on behalf of Mr. Vance, who was one of your colleagues at Bear Head. 20 Am I correct? 21 15270 22 15271 MR. ALFORD: That's correct. He was 23 an advisor for us. He was a retired military officer. 15272 MR. ROITENBERG: This letter confirms 24 25 for Mr. Schreiber, further to his letter -- that is,

1	Mr. Schreiber's letter of May 13th to M. Masse	r
2	Minister of National Defence outlining Thyssen	's new
3	proposal to establish a military vehicle developme	ent
4	facility in Canada.	
5	The letter highlights the dealing	g
6	with Minister Masse that you had been invited, alo	ong
7	with Mr. Massmann and Mr. Vance, who was writing t	the
8	letter, to a meeting by Mr. Fowler, who was the De	eputy
9	Minister of the Department of National Defence, a	long
LO	with General de Chastelain and Mr. Gillespie, who	was
L1	the ADM for materiels.	
L2	MR. ALFORD: Yes.	
L3	15275 MR. ROITENBERG: And this was in	
L4	furtherance of the discussions that had just start	ed
L5	about engaging in this research and development pr	rogram
L6	with DND.	
L7	15276 Is that correct?	
L8	MR. ALFORD: That's correct.	
L9	MR. ROITENBERG: And Mr. Vance,	in
20	his letter to Mr. Schreiber, highlights some conce	erns
21	that Mr. Fowler, et al., had voiced at this meeting	ıg,
22	such that they were reluctant to have the Canadian	ı
23	Forces being asked to become salesmen for an expon	ct
24	product that they themselves did not foresee	
25	purchasing.	

1	15279	Do you see that at the bottom of page
2	1?	
3	15280	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
4	15281	MR. ROITENBERG: And that this would
5	set a very dar	gerous precedent in that regard, having
6	the Forces act	in this fashion.
7	15282	Now, a couple of points arise out of
8	this. Firstly	, there was reluctance on the part of
9	DND, at least	at the deputy ministerial level, as you
10	can see here.	
11	15283	Is that correct?
12	15284	MR. ALFORD: That's correct.
13	15285	MR. ROITENBERG: Was that new to you,
14	this reluctand	ce from the bureaucracy at the Department
15	of National De	efence to engage Thyssen on this project?
16	15286	MR. ALFORD: Perhaps it wasn't new;
17	it was never s	so well stated as that meeting did.
18	15287	MR. ROITENBERG: Why do you say that?
19	15288	MR. ALFORD: The host of the meeting
20	and the clear	statement that they wanted nothing to do
21	with it, and i	f the company wished to do an R&D
22	project, it sh	ould be pursued through Industry, Science
23	and Technology	·.
24	15289	MR. ROITENBERG: So this was, at this
25	stage, not a r	request for procurement; not even a

1 request to participate in future procurements. It was an offer to participate jointly with DND in the 2 3 perfection of a vehicle that, the company was of the view, met NATO MBAV specifications. 5 15290 MR. ALFORD: That's right, and it also was a vehicle that met what were the preliminary 6 requirements that were being described by the Canadian 7 8 Army for a vehicle called a Multi-Role Combat Vehicle. 9 15291 MR. ROITENBERG: In effect, while you were seeking some assistance in research and 10 11 development, and perhaps some assistance financially at the outset, what was being offered was a cost recovery, 12 13 once the project was up and running and the vehicles started to sell internationally. 14 MR. ALFORD: That's right. 15 15292 16 15293 MR. ROITENBERG: And, still, DND wanted no part of it. 17 18 15294 MR. ALFORD: No, they wanted no part 19 of it, and I guess they took the position that they wanted no part of something that was focused on export. 20 I think they feared a link that, in some way, it would 21 22 have brought us as an endorsed participant in the 23 Canadian procurement process. MR. ROITENBERG: Clearly, at this 24 15295 25 point, what was being proposed by Thyssen Bear Head was

1	toward the export market.	
2	15296 Is that correct?	
3	15297 MR. ALFORD: That's correct, beca	use
4	the Canadian procurements were always at this s	tage,
5	were being held as not decided yet, not announced	yet.
6	15298 And Thyssen at this time perha	ps
7	this is important Thyssen at this time was head	ed
8	into manufacturing a vehicle for a project that the	БÀ
9	had won in the United States, so the desire to have	9
10	some of the manufacturing of that vehicle take place	ce in
11	Canada was increasing, and there weren't many chan-	ces
12	left to still bring some of that work into Canada,	
13	because it was a U.S. project. It had been won fro	om
14	the German manufacturing base with a U.S. partner.	
15	This, if I have my dates correct,	
16	would have been, sort of, one of the last chances	0
17	have some of that manufacturing take place, but als	80
18	make the argument for Thyssen to expand its R&D wo	ck
19	into Canada, having already developed its own proto	otype
20	within Germany, and already being a participant in	the
21	NATO MBAV project from its German R&D centre.	
22	15300 MR. ROITENBERG: What was that	
23	prototype that you had developed in Germany?	
24	15301 MR. ALFORD: The Thyssen name for	it
25	was called TH 495, and it was a vehicle that was ve	ΣΥV

1	similar to the MBAV requirement of the NATO committees.
2	15302 MR. ROITENBERG: Shortly after this
3	meeting with Deputy Minister Fowler and his contingent
4	in May, my understanding is that the prototype for the
5	TH 495 was unveiled in Germany, and that would have
6	been in September of 1992.
7	15303 MR. ALFORD: I think that's right.
8	MR. ROITENBERG: You were there?
9	15305 MR. ALFORD: I was.
10	15306 MR. ROITENBERG: They called this the
11	rollout of the TH 495?
12	15307 MR. ALFORD: That's right, unveiled
13	from under a white curtain.
14	15308 MR. ROITENBERG: With much fanfare I
15	hear.
16	15309 MR. ALFORD: Great fanfare.
17	15310 MR. ROITENBERG: There were a number
18	of countries that were invited to come and see the
19	unveiling of this particular machine.
20	15311 MR. ALFORD: That's correct.
21	15312 MR. ROITENBERG: If you turn to Tab
22	14, you will see a document outlining the announcement
23	from Thyssen Henschel in Kassel, Germany, of the TH 495
24	rollout, and the third paragraph down states:
25	"Of particular importance to

1	this event is the vital
2	opportunity for marketing the TH
3	495 vehicle to all of the 15
4	nations which will be in
5	attendance, each representing a
6	major national market."
7	So what you had was a gathering of
8	countries to see the unveiling of this particular item,
9	in the hopes that each of the representatives of these
10	countries represented potential customers.
11	MR. ALFORD: That's right.
12	15315 MR. ROITENBERG: And my understanding
13	is that Canada sent a delegation?
14	15316 MR. ALFORD: That's right, we had
15	invited the Commander of the Army and, I guess, the
16	office or the staff that would have been working on
17	their vehicle requirements, called MRCV at the time,
18	and they did attend.
19	15317 MR. ROITENBERG: So the project is
20	now about exports. We now have an actual prototype of
21	the vehicle that you would like to eventually perfect
22	and export. Your role with the company in Canada, as I
23	understand it, is to get the plant built here.
24	15318 MR. ALFORD: Correct.
25	MR. ROITENBERG: To do that, my

1	understanding is, you needed to garner some support
2	from the Canadian government, or else why would you
3	bother building it in Canada.
4	15320 Is that fair?
5	15321 MR. ALFORD: That's correct. Thysser
6	was always willing to build its manufacturing facility
7	independent of government contribution, if we were
8	participating in a Canadian requirement.
9	15322 Because no Canadian procurement had
10	been competed and Thyssen had not had an opportunity to
11	win a contract, we were always faced with responding to
12	the invitation by the Canadian investment branches of
13	ACOA and FORD-Q and the Industry Department to you
14	know: Couldn't you go ahead and build your plant
15	without an order?
16	15323 So we were always trying to find a
17	way that we could justify that.
18	15324 And, at this time, we were being
19	asked to move the R&D work, or part of the R&D work
20	a significant part of it on what we thought was a
21	major international project for the long-term we
22	were being asked to bring that into Canada.
23	15325 So we said: Well, we will do part of
24	it, but there has to be a reason. If we are not
25	participating in a Canadian supply contract, then you

1	7	will have to make a contribution to the R&D work. It
2	7	was a matched contribution request, but nonetheless, in
3	1	the absence of any Canadian work, we needed
4	-	justification for doing the work in Canada.
5	15326	MR. ROITENBERG: And that
6	-	justification, at this stage of the proposal, would
7]	nave been, as you said, participation by the Department
8	(of National Defence in assisting with the research and
9	(development.
10	15327	MR. ALFORD: That's right.
11	15328	MR. ROITENBERG: Now, as the project
12	7	was going to be focused, or was focused at this point
13	(on exports, I take it the issue of export controls came
14	-	into play.
15	15329	MR. ALFORD: Export controls were
16	ć	always a sensitive point for Thyssen. They never ever
17	7	wanted to influence Canadian export policy. They
18	:	simply would ask: What is the stated policy?
19	15330	So, as we went into a discussion with
20	1	the Industry Department to explore international
21	τ	markets, we always asked the Industry Department to
22	:	identify the market, and we would respond to it. We
23	(didn't want to be put in a position where we were
24	ć	asking the Canadian government for export policy.
25	15331	MR. ROITENBERG: What were you told

1	W	as the guiding principle in terms of exports?
2	15332	MR. ALFORD: The assumed principle
3	t	hat we operated under were NATO nations, and then the
4	t	raditional allies of NATO, but so much was changing
5	W	with UN peacekeeping, so many more people were joining
6	N	MATO on a continuous basis, so it was a continually
7	С	changing event.
8	15333	And if there was conflict in a
9	р	particular part of the world, then, of course, those
10	n	ations would be identified as not a market to be
11	е	exported to.
12	15334	So since it was difficult to find
13	a	greement on the potential of markets in Canada, and
14	S	ometimes difficult even to find agreement on the
15	р	ootential markets in the United States, even though
16	Т	hyssen was in possession and working and delivering on
17	С	contracts into the United States, we opened up the
18	d	liscussion on international markets.
19	15335	Typically, the markets that were
20	i	dentified, really, by the Industry Department we
21	W	vere trying to identify a gross market and then find a
22	C	conservative share of market that the TH 495 project
23	m	night forecast.
24	15336	MR. ROITENBERG: I want to take you
25	b	eack to the export controls, and perhaps I will ask you

1	to go to Tab 15 of your binder.
2	15337 As there had been ongoing discussions
3	with Industry, Science and Technology on the issue of
4	export controls, this appears to be a letter from
5	Industry, Science and Technology to External Affairs
6	or, excuse me, this letter is from External Affairs to
7	Industry, Science and Technology Canada, which seems to
8	be in answer to a letter from ISTC seeking
9	clarification on the export controls at the time.
10	15338 Would that be fair?
11	15339 MR. ALFORD: That's what I understand
12	it to be.
13	15340 MR. ROITENBERG: And unless I am
14	mistaken, this letter seems to indicate that, aside
15	from automatic weaponry putting that aside for the
16	moment, generally speaking, for armoured vehicles, it
17	would be assessed on a country-by-country basis as to
18	whether or not you could sell to a particular nation,
19	based loosely on four criteria, as set out on the first
20	page.
21	MR. ALFORD: That's right.
22	MR. ROITENBERG: Was that your
23	understanding at the time?
24	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
25	MR. ROITENBERG: There would be an

1	assumption of denial of export to countries that posed
2	a threat to Canada and its allies, that were involved
3	in or under imminent threat or hostilities, that were
4	under United Nation's Security Council sanctions at the
5	time, or countries whose governments had a persistent
6	record of serious violations of human rights of their
7	citizens.
8	15345 Those were the four guiding
9	principles from which you would garner an assumption of
10	denial in dealing with it on a case-by-case basis.
11	MR. ALFORD: Correct.
12	15347 MR. ROITENBERG: Now, you had alluded
13	to the fact that the world, in the early nineties
14	early to mid-nineties was changing, and more and
15	more countries were participating in UN peacekeeping.
16	15348 Is that fair?
17	15349 MR. ALFORD: That's correct.
18	15350 MR. ROITENBERG: Would I be correct
19	in saying that the application of these export controls
20	on a country-by-country basis, in your view, was
21	becoming a moving target?
22	15351 MR. ALFORD: That's right.
23	15352 MR. ROITENBERG: You had mentioned
24	something earlier about the MBAV project and the fact
25	that the desire was to have this as the primary vehicle

1	used by most and maybe a grandiose dream
2	potentially all armies that were participating in
3	peacekeeping.
4	15353 Is that right?
5	15354 MR. ALFORD: That's right.
6	Just to make it a little more
7	accurate, the idea was to come up with a vehicle, so
8	the initiative to have multi-nation/multi-army
9	participation in the design was to find, you know, that
10	great, internationally acclaimed and accepted design
11	that everyone would then wish to buy.
12	15356 Then, because Canada participates
13	with its allies in NATO and is a leader in
14	peacekeeping, of course and that was, at that time,
15	the main activity that armies were discussing, that we
16	go to peacekeeping missions as our main activity. That
17	is what the Canadian Army was discussing at the time.
18	15357 So that really became the focus. It
19	was a NATO initiative, but the main application of
20	these light vehicles was to peacekeeping.
21	15358 MR. ROITENBERG: What you said
22	earlier and I just want to come back to it; I let it
23	alone at the time, but I want to expand on it now, if I
24	could was that there wasn't the view that, if
25	successful, all of these vehicles would be produced in

1	Canada.	
2	15359	That wasn't what was intended, was
3	it?	
4	15360	MR. ALFORD: No, it wasn't that
5	Canada would be	the manufacturer for everyone.
6	However, if you	were the designer of the vehicle, your
7	opportunity to	participate in some way with perhaps
8	core components	, or design licensing you know, some
9	participation is	n international programs, that is very
10	realistic. Tha	t is what you can export and bring into
11	participation.	
12	15361	And it would have been beneficial for
13	Thyssen, as wel	l as for our major subcontractors in
14	Canada, had the	plan been successful.
15	15362	MR. ROITENBERG: Okay. We are going
16	to come to that	in a moment in a little more fuller
17	fashion. If I	can, though Tab 17 is entitled
18	"Thyssen Projec	t in Canada". It is a proposal. It is
19	dated August th	e 26th, 1993.
20	15363	This would have been a constellation
21	in this documen	t of what the proposal boiled down to at
22	that juncture.	
23	15364	Is that fair?
24	15365	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
25	15366	MR. ROITENBERG: Now, there was a

1	meeting held on August the 26th, 1993, between
2	representatives of Thyssen and representatives of the
3	government. On the government end we had Ministers
4	Corbeil and Charest, and on behalf of the company there
5	was Mr. Schreiber and Mr. Doucet.
6	15367 You weren't present at that meeting,
7	to your knowledge, were you?
8	MR. ALFORD: No.
9	MR. ROITENBERG: And, to your
10	knowledge, you didn't have a hand in setting up that
11	meeting?
12	MR. ALFORD: No.
13	15371 MR. ROITENBERG: But shortly after
14	that meeting occurs, an interdepartmental group is
15	established within the Government of Canada to deal
16	with Bear Head on the issue of this proposal.
17	15372 Is that fair?
18	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
19	MR. ROITENBERG: If you go to Tab 18,
20	just to identify the parties, if you go to page 6 of 9,
21	it indicates the participants of this first meeting of
22	the interdepartmental group, and it indicates that
23	Thyssen Bear Head is represented, External Affairs, the
24	Ministry of National Defence, FORD-Q the Federal
25	Office of Regional Development for Quebec and

1	Industry and Scie	ence Canada.
2	15375	And I believe that the Department of
3	International Tra	ade, at some point later, joined in
4	with this group.	
5	15376	Is that correct?
6	15377	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
7	15378	MR. ROITENBERG: So we have this
8	meeting on Septer	mber the 17th in Ottawa, and if you
9	could go to page	2 of 9, under "Discussions", toward
10	the bottom of the	e page, there is some discussion about
11	how the Canadian	products would be marketed
12	internationally,	as well as a discussion about the
13	rationale for the	e global demand for the TH 495 family
14	of tracked vehic	les.
15	15379	Is that correct?
16	15380	MR. ALFORD: That's right. The
17	reference to "Wo:	rld Product Mandate" was what Thyssen
18	was vesting in t	he Canadian project.
19	15381	MR. ROITENBERG: And this is being
20	done in an effor	t to explain to the representatives of
21	the government a	nd its various departments why there is
22	this market out	there, and why Thyssen will have some
23	success in penet:	rating the market.
24	15382	Is that correct?
25	15383	MR. ALFORD: That's correct.

1	15384	MR. ROITENBERG: If you turn the page
2	t	to subcategory (a), there is some discussion as to why
3	У	ou want to base this in Canada why the company
4	W	rishes to base this in Canada. It is because of the
5	p	perceived Canadian marketing advantages, including
6	C	Canada's special relationship with the United States,
7	T	The Commonwealth, Francophonie, NATO and NATO
8	C	countries, and Canada's unique experience and
9	r	reputation in peacekeeping efforts.
10	15385	Is that correct?
11	15386	MR. ALFORD: That's correct.
12	15387	MR. ROITENBERG: So by basing it in
13	C	Canada, the hope, on behalf of the company, is to take
14	а	dvantage of these particular notions of Canada on the
15	i	nternational stage.
16	15388	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
17	15389	MR. ROITENBERG: At (b), it speaks of
18	t	the fact that, generally speaking, at this stage, the
19	m	marketing of the TH 495 will be a corporate function.
20	I	It will be done by Thyssen Henschel, as opposed to Bear
21	Н	Mead in Canada.
22	15390	Is that correct?
23	15391	MR. ALFORD: That's correct.
24	15392	MR. ROITENBERG: And that the
25	C	Canadian operation would assume more of the marketing

1	functions down the road, as the project got of	f the
2	ground and there was further development.	
3	MR. ALFORD: Correct.	
4	15394 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: I'm	sorry,
5	what letter are you at?	
6	15395 MR. ROITENBERG: I am at (b)	, sir.
7	15396 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Okay	. Thank
8	you.	
9	15397 MR. ROITENBERG: Now, at (c)	, to go
10	back to what we were just speaking of a moment	ago,
11	Thyssen Bear Head will have the world product	mandate
12	for the entire TH 495 family of tracked vehicl	es, and
13	it goes on to explain that this means that Can	ada will
14	have the only production line for the TH 495 f	amily of
15	tracked vehicles, but the amount of production	work
16	done in Canada would be dependent on procureme	nt
17	agreements reached with customers around the w	orld.
18	15398 Is that correct?	
19	15399 MR. ALFORD: Correct.	
20	MR. ROITENBERG: And at (d),	once in
21	production, Thyssen Bear Head would be the sol	e source
22	for production and distribution supplier for o	ertain
23	parts and certain components, as agreed to by	the
24	governments supporting the proposed project.	
25	15401 Is that right?	

1	15402	MR. ALFORD: That's correct.
2	15403	MR. ROITENBERG: It's an explanation
3	t	that there is going to be, hopefully, multiple
4	Ç	governments supporting this particular project, and
5	V	while we may be producing certain components here in
6	C	Canada, other components will be, hopefully, produced
7	€	elsewhere, in some kind of partnership arrangement with
8	C	other governments.
9	15404	MR. ALFORD: That's right.
10	15405	MR. ROITENBERG: Finally, any foreign
11	ŗ	participation in the production of the TH 495 would be
12	υ	undertaken on the basis of sound economics.
13	15406	So all of the cards are laid on the
14	t	table that, although this might be a grandiose scheme,
15	i	t is feasible, it is doable, here is Canada's role in
16	i	t, and here is what we foresee to be the long-term
17	k	penefits for building it in Canada.
18	15407	Is that correct?
19	15408	MR. ALFORD: That's correct.
20	15409	MR. ROITENBERG: Were you met with
21	n	much resistance at this meeting, as you recall it?
22	15410	MR. ALFORD: No, this meeting,
23	ā	actually, was quite positive.
24	15411	I have to be careful, I am not
25	C	certain about what the government interpretation of the

1	meeting was, but there was an opportunity to table, and
2	at this point there was a response from the Industry
3	Department's senior management that there was some
4	serious merit to the market that was being identified
5	by the company, and that they should move forward in
6	their examination of it more carefully.
7	MR. ROITENBERG: So at this point, at
8	least, you felt like you were being heard, at the very
9	least.
10	15413 MR. ALFORD: That's right.
11	MR. ROITENBERG: If I could have you
12	turn over to the next tab, Tab 19, this is a letter to
13	Bruce Deacon, who was the Director General at Industry
14	and Science Canada, and it was written by Fred Doucet.
15	15415 MR. ALFORD: Tab 19?
16	MR. ROITENBERG: Tab 19, yes.
17	15417 It's a letter of October 14, 1993
18	enclosing meeting notes for October 4, 1993.
19	Do you have that, sir?
20	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
21	MR. ROITENBERG: Okay. Now, it's my
22	understanding and please correct me if I'm wrong
23	that this MBAV project and the TH495 for which you were
24	seeking assistance in research and development was a
25	long-term project. It wasn't as if you were expecting

1	to start selling these machines in 1994 or 1995.
2	15421 Is that right?
3	15422 MR. ALFORD: That's right.
4	15423 MR. ROITENBERG: In fact, if you were
5	to go through to page 15 of this document to the
6	conclusions page again, this was a meeting with
7	Industry and Science Canada on October 4, 1993 it
8	spoke of the NATO groups MBAV study and the fact that
9	the TH495 so closely matches the requirements as set
10	out in that study.
11	15424 That's right at the top of the page.
12	Yes?
13	MR. ALFORD: Correct.
14	15426 MR. ROITENBERG: It goes on to speak
15	of the market that may exist.
16	MR. YAROSKY: Excuse me. What page?
17	MR. ROITENBERG: Page 15 of 20.
18	MR. YAROSKY: Thank you.
19	15430 MR. ROITENBERG: It speaks of the
20	fact that there exists to some degree a market before
21	the year 2000, but the real goal is post-2000 where
22	both the company and NATO forecast an important market
23	outside of NATO post-2000, and in this market period
24	the TH495 will carry a competitive advantage from
25	earlier sales in the pre-2000 market, qualifying it as

1	a proven system, et cetera, et cetera.
2	15431 So it is speaking long term. This is
3	1993 and you are speaking beyond seven years down the
4	road.
5	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
6	15433 MR. ROITENBERG: At this juncture it
7	seems to me that there seems to be some hope on the
8	part of the company that in fact at least Industry
9	Canada is paying some attention.
10	15434 MR. ALFORD: That's right. We were
11	certainly encouraged.
12	MR. ROITENBERG: Now, there were some
13	marketing analyses that needed to be done.
14	15436 Am I correct?
15	15437 MR. ALFORD: That's right.
16	15438 MR. ROITENBERG: And in fact the
17	company put forward a marketing analysis, the
18	government put forward a marketing analysis and they
19	had to be analyzed by the respective parties.
20	15439 Is that right?
21	15440 MR. ALFORD: That's right.
22	15441 MR. ROITENBERG: If I could direct
23	you to Tab 24, it's a letter that you wrote forwarding
24	minutes of an earlier meeting. The letter or the memo
25	is June 13, 1994 and it is in regard to a meeting that

1	occurred on Jun	e 3, 1994.
2	15442	What I want to direct your attention
3	to is the marke	ting analysis that's attached to this
4	document.	
5	15443	So if you were to go about six pages
6	in, six or seve	n pages in, you come upon charts
7	detailing certa	in markets.
8	15444	Do you have the first one?
9	15445	MR. ALFORD: Specified NATO market?
10	15446	MR. ROITENBERG: Specified NATO
11	market.	
12	15447	I'm really not good with any kind of
13	marketing data,	but I think I figured this out.
14	15448	There is specified NATO market and
15	unspecified NAT	O market, and as far as I can figure the
16	distinction is	the specified NATO market deals with
17	plans already i	n place within a NATO country, a program
18	that is already	there for the re-doing of their
19	armoured vehicle	es.
20	15449	MR. ALFORD: That's correct.
21	15450	MR. ROITENBERG: The unspecified
22	within a NATO m	arket is here is the NATO market, but we
23	are unaware of	any particular program in place for the
24	refitting of the	e personnel carriers in question.
25	15451	MR. ALFORD: That's right. And this

1	was a study of a vehicle category that was dominated	by
2	M113, which at this time was approaching 40 years in	
3	its design life.	
4	15452 MR. ROITENBERG: What was the life	
5	expectancy of the M113, do you know?	
6	15453 MR. ALFORD: I think 20 years. The	У
7	were life extensions that got them out to 40.	
8	MR. ROITENBERG: If we could all be	
9	so lucky to have such extensions.	
10	15455 It then goes on to specified non-NA	TO
11	market and unspecified non-NATO market. I take it the	ie
12	distinction between specified and unspecified is the	
13	same?	
14	15456 MR. ALFORD: Yes.	
15	15457 MR. ROITENBERG: Where there is	
16	awareness as to a program that exists, that is	
17	specified; and where there is no program as far as yo	ou
18	are aware, that is unspecified. Correct?	
19	15458 MR. ALFORD: That's correct.	
20	15459 MR. ROITENBERG: And it lists a	
21	number of countries, both within NATO and outside of	
22	NATO, and these were all potential markets at some	
23	point in time hopefully for participation in the MBAV	7.
24	15460 Is that right?	
25	15461 MR. ALFORD: Of course.	

1	15462	MR. ROITENBERG: Now, I want to jump
2	ahead if I o	could to Tab 30.
3	15463	I'm doing this because if you look at
4	these market	ing documents, there are a number of
5	countries th	nat one would think would make up large
6	markets that	aren't included.
7	15464	There is no mention of the Chinese,
8	there is no	mention of Russia, there is no mention of
9	certain comp	ponents of the French army or the U.K.
10	There is som	ne, but not mention of their whole Force.
11	15465	If you go to Tab 30, you will see
12	notes of a m	neeting that took place on October 11, 1994
13	between Thys	ssen Bear Head and Industry Canada.
14	15466	Do you have the tab, sir?
15	15467	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
16	15468	MR. ROITENBERG: If you go to the
17	bottom of pa	age 2 and into page 3, the very last bullet
18	at the botto	om of page 2 indicates:
19		"Where nations had been
20		deliberately omitted by the
21		company, e.g. Iraq on political
22		grounds, the United States and
23		Canada due to sensitivity, this
24		should be stated." (As read)
25	15469	Because there was an awareness that's

1	identified here that certain countries had been
2	specifically omitted from the earlier market study.
3	15470 Is that right?
4	15471 MR. ALFORD: That's right.
5	MR. ROITENBERG: For one reason or
6	another, either political sensitivity or concerns over
7	whether or not they were a potential partner, they were
8	omitted. Correct?
9	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
10	MR. ROITENBERG: If you move down to
11	the middle of page 3, it says
12	"BHI should endeavour to
13	re-examine and reflect potential
14	U.S. market, and it should
15	consider the appropriateness of
16	including all markets (US,
17	Middle East, Canada) in its
18	'gross' market for units. If
19	necessary from sources
20	independent of DOD."
21	15475 So in essence what was taken from the
22	meeting with a direction that Bear Head should provide
23	the Government of Canada with all markets, even those
24	that had been particularly and specifically omitted
25	from the earlier market study.

1	15476	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
2	15477	MR. ROITENBERG: I'm going to come
3	back to that cond	cept in one moment, but chronologically
4	speaking, I want	to go back to a very important meeting
5	that occurred on	September 20, 1994, about two weeks
6	shy of this meet	ing that directed you to do that.
7	15478	The notes of that meeting are at Tab
8	28.	
9	15479	This is a meeting between Bear Head
LO	and Industry Cana	ada with Mr. Deacon, who we have
L1	already mentioned	d, from Industry Canada present, as
L2	well as Mr. Kraje	ewski also from Industry Canada.
L3	15480	Is that right?
L4	15481	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
L5	15482	MR. ROITENBERG: In the second
L6	paragraph of the	notes it states:
L7		"Mr. Deacon explained the
L8		delay"
L9	15483	The delay in getting back to you on
20	the documentation	n from June 3rd:
21		" by pointing out that
22		Industry Canada has shared their
23		conclusion of the TH495 market
24		analysis with all interested
25		departments and urged them to

1		acknowledge the existence of the
2		export market, their clear
3		understanding that it is
4		distinctly different from the
5		market for the GM LAV and the
6		likelihood of TH495 penetrating
7		that market."
8	15484 D	o you see that?
9	15485 M	IR. ALFORD: Yes.
10	15486 M	R. ROITENBERG: If I'm not mistaken,
11	this seems to be,	other than responses from
12	organizations based	d on regional development, like ACOA
13	and FORD-Q, this se	eems to be the first positive
14	feedback that the	company seems to be getting from a
15	government agency.	
16	15487 M	IR. ALFORD: That's right. I think
17	in this particular	case what was notable to the company
18	was that Mr. Deacon	n was I guess with conclusion of
19	his own department	's study they were beginning to
20	recognize that perl	haps an earlier desire to protect the
21	incumbent or the do	omestic manufacture, General Motors,
22	was misplaced; that	t in fact Thyssen had a market that
23	was different from	that.
24	15488 I	think the other thing they were
25	beginning to reali:	ze is that when Thyssen would be

1	S	successful in a project maybe the largest subcontractor
2	t	to have potential doing business with Thyssen as the
3	I	prime contractor would have been General Motors as a
4	S	subcontractor.
5	15489	So there wasn't really a threat
6	t	there, but for a long time it seemed like many
7	Ċ	departments of the government were trying to prevent
8	t	the arguments in favour of Thyssen almost on a
9	I	protectionist intent to protect General Motors.
10	15490	MR. ROITENBERG: So there seems to be
11	ć	a recognition that Thyssen isn't going to take business
12	ā	away in this market from GM, but rather open the door
13	f	For GM.
14	15491	Is that fair?
15	15492	MR. ALFORD: That's right. While
16	C	General Motors might have also I'm sure would have
17	k	peen pursuing categories of this market as well,
18	7	Thyssen was going to win in one category while General
19	N	Motors might have been winning in a separate, but they
20	V	wouldn't be taking markets from each other.
21	15493	MR. ROITENBERG: So there was a
22	n	market. It wasn't going to be the demise of GM, and
23	ר	Thyssen has a good chance of penetrating this market
24	V	with this particular product.

MR. ALFORD: Yes.

25 15494

1	15495 MR. ROITENBERG: And a very telling
2	note on page 4 under the conclusions:
3	"The meeting was very positive
4	in that it brought to the
5	attention of Mr. Deacon and Mr.
6	Krajewski the information that
7	there may be a view formed at
8	some level of Industry Canada
9	which has not had the benefit of
10	the Industry Canada-led market
11	analysis and most current
12	conclusions. The actions
13	proposed by Mr. Deacon should
14	bring remedy to the issues and
15	concerns which had been brought
16	to the company's attention
17	suggesting an Industry Canada
18	opposition to the Thyssen Bear
19	Head proposal." (As read)
20	So basically there might have been a
21	negative attitude on the file before, but now these
22	individuals, having gone through the market analysis,
23	are prepared to work to change that negative attitude.
24	15497 MR. ALFORD: Yes.
25	15498 MR. ROITENBERG: But Industry Canada,

although this was a positive meeting, was only one of 1 your co-participants on this interdepartmental group. 2 3 There were other groups that still needed to be convinced. Correct? 5 15499 MR. ALFORD: Yes. 6 15500 MR. ROITENBERG: But at least at this juncture Bear Head wouldn't be standing alone. 7 8 15501 MR. ALFORD: That's right. 15502 MR. ROITENBERG: So following this meeting we then had the October 11th meeting where it 10 11 was determined that Thyssen should not exclude markets but be more inclusive and put them all in. 12 13 15503 And at Tab 32 we have a letter that you forwarded to Mr. Krajewski on October 24, 1994 14 providing additional information as appendices to the 15 16 letter. Is that correct? 15504 17 18 15505 MR. ALFORD: That's correct. 19 15506 MR. ROITENBERG: The first appendix was an inclusion of a letter which had been earlier 20 forwarded to Mr. Krajewski that day by Ian Reid. 21 22 15507 Ian Reid was one of your colleagues 23 at Bear Head? 15508 That's right. He was a 24 MR. ALFORD: retired armoured officer and led our sales initiatives 25

1	with the Canadian	n Army.
2	15509	MR. ROITENBERG: Now, that letter
3	specifies that:	
4		"RE: M113 equivalent types,
5		your request of October 20,
6		1994, the M113 type vehicles
7		included in Bear Head's
8		supporting data for the
9		unspecified non-NATO market
10		segment reflect a variety of
11		light tracked vehicle types
12		likely to require replacement in
13		a similar timeframe. They
14		include a model from the United
15		Kingdom, models from France and
16		Czechoslovakia and models from
17		the USSR and China." (As read)
18	15510	Is that right?
19	15511	MR. ALFORD: That's right.
20	15512	MR. ROITENBERG:
21		"The above types have been sold
22		in various quantities and their
23		replacement should provide
24		market potential for the TH495."
25		(As read)

1	15513	MR. ALFORD: That's correct.
2	15514	MR. ROITENBERG: Now, if you go to
3	the page immediat	cely prior again, the cover letter that
4	you sent, there s	seems to be handwriting on it and it
5	seems to indicate	2 :
6		"Annie, for your information,
7		please check with Murata to see
8		if there are some to whom we
9		would not export and which of
10		the remaining have their own
11		firms. Thanks, Dick, October
12		24" (As read)
13	15515	It seems to be a note from
14	Mr. Krajewski to	somebody within his department to do a
15	follow-up.	
16	15516	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
17	15517	MR. ROITENBERG: If you will go to
18	the page following	ng the Reid letter which specifies
19	U.K., France, USS	SR, Czechoslovakia and China, there is
20	a note from Annie	e, who I suppose might be the Annie to
21	whom Dick had wri	itten the note, to Ken Murata saying:
22		"Hello Ken: Please find
23		attached Thyssen BHI country
24		listings for potential M113
25		replacement program. Could you

1		please review the list and
2		indicate which countries we
3		would not likely be able to
4		export to (within next ten
5		years). I would appreciate it
6		if you could provide me with
7		your comment early this week, if
8		possible. Thanks, Annie".
9	15518	So there seems to be the desire to
LO	follow it up a	nd a request for a follow-up as to the
L1	list provided	oy you as to potential customers for the
L2	TH495.	
L3	15519	Is that right?
L4	15520	MR. ALFORD: That's right.
L5	15521	MR. ROITENBERG: Did you ever receive
L6	notification f	rom Industry Canada advising you that any
L7	of the countri	es on your list were definitively
L8	excluded for co	onsideration as a potential market?
L9	15522	MR. ALFORD: I can't recall. It
20	doesn't stand	out. We assume some would have been
21	removed, but I	don't recall the response on that.
22	15523	I think the general mood as Thyssen
23	was reluctant	to bring all nations into it, but as we
24	got further in	to the market research project the
2.5	Industry depar	tment decided or seemed to take the

1 leadership in it and really wanted to explore it as they seemed to find what we perceived to be a 2 confidence in the potential of our market forecasts. 3 15524 They then expanded it and said well, 4 everything is changing, let's look at everything. So 5 that's what led us down that road. 6 15525 I don't recall whether they gave us a 7 8 ruling or -- we didn't need to have an export ruling. We were only identifying with the gross market 9 potential would be. 10 11 15526 MR. ROITENBERG: Now, at this point 12 in time again the undertaking in which you were 13 involved in is to try to get the various agencies involved in this interdepartmental group to come to 14 some positive resolution that a market exists. 15 16 15527 Am I correct? 17 15528 MR. ALFORD: That's right. 18 15529 MR. ROITENBERG: And that Thyssen by 19 route of the TH495 has some likelihood of penetrating 20 the market so that it would be worthwhile for the government to join you in research and development of 21 22 this particular vehicle. 23 15530 MR. ALFORD: Yes. MR. ROITENBERG: I note that it's 24 15531 25 quarter to 11:00, Mr. Commissioner. Perhaps it's an

opportune time to take the morning break. 1 2 15532 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: All right. 3 Thank you very much, Mr. Roitenberg. We will break. I think it's 10 to 15533 4 11:00 actually by the --5 6 15534 MR. VICKERY: Mr. Commissioner, I apologize for interrupting you. 7 8 15535 I wonder if we might extend the break to 20 minutes from 15 this morning. 15536 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: All right, 10 11 that's fine. We will come back at 10 after 11:00 12 15537 13 then. Okay? 14 15538 MR. VICKERY: Thank you. 15 MR. ROITENBERG: Thank you, sir. 15539 --- Upon recessing at 10:50 a.m. / Suspension à 10 h 50 16 --- Upon resuming at 11:15 a.m. / Reprise à 11 h 15 17 18 15540 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Be seated 19 please. 20 15541 MR. ROITENBERG: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. 21 Mr. Alford, where we were was in 22 15542 23 October 24, 1994 and you had forwarded that letter to Mr. Krajewski at Industry Canada. That's where we left 24 off. 25

1	15543	But I want to ask you a question
2	about one	particular date roughly a year prior, in
3	October o	of 1993.
4	15544	In October of 1993 there was an
5	election	campaign ongoing, and this Commission has had
6	evidence	put before it that on October 12, 1993 there
7	seems to	be indications of political donations being
8	made to f	ive particular individuals.
9	15545	Are you aware of any particular
10	donations	being made to particular individuals in and
11	around Oc	tober of 1993 and did you have a hand in those
12	donations	?
13	15546	MR. ALFORD: I'm afraid I don't know
14	it, I'm s	orry.
15	15547	MR. ROITENBERG: Very well.
16	15548	After this letter was forwarded in
17	October o	of 1994 there was continuation of the efforts
18	being mad	le to try to get the government departments on
19	side as i	t pertained to the viability and existence of
20	the expor	t markets for the TH495.
21	15549	Am I correct?
22	15550	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
23	15551	MR. ROITENBERG: If I could direct
24	your atte	ention to Tab 38, it is a letter that you wrote
25	on Decemb	er 16, 1994 to Marc Lalonde.

1	Who was Marc Lalonde in relati	on to
2	Thyssen Bear Head?	
3	MR. ALFORD: Mr. Lalonde's fir	m,
4	Stikeman Elliott, was retained by Thyssen for o	ır
5	general legal counsel. Mr. Lalonde was the par	tner
6	representing the firm to Thyssen and we sought	nis
7	advice in government matters as well.	
8	MR. ROITENBERG: And you kept	him up
9	to date as to where discussions were at between	the
10	company and representatives of the Government o	£
11	Canada?	
12	2 15555 MR. ALFORD: Yes.	
13	MR. ROITENBERG: In this letter	r you
14	included a number of appendices, including a do	cument
15	of particular interest, that being an excerpt f	rom the
16	NATO NIAG study.	
17	7 15557 I believe it's the fourth page	in of
18	the document. Do you see that?	
19	9 15558 MR. ALFORD: Is it the one, th	e top
20	of the page is Introduction NATO?	
21	MR. ROITENBERG: Yes.	
22	MR. ALFORD: Or sorry, ACC/225	panel?
23	MR. ROITENBERG: That's right.	
24	MR. ALFORD: Yeah.	
25	MR. ROITENBERG: It says kind	of cut

1	off at the	top "NATO Restricted".
2	15564	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
3	15565	MR. ROITENBERG: So at 1.1 it sets
4	out the ge	neral overview of the NATO multipurpose base
5	armoured v	ehicle, or the MBAV, as you have called it,
6	and its in	tention for the period of post-2000 as a
7	low-cost l	ight armoured tactical vehicle.
8	15566	It speaks at 1.2 of the current
9	situation	within the Alliance; that there is a
10	multiplici	ty of light armoured vehicles and some are
11	capable of	upgrades, some are not, and speaks of the
12	benefits o	f going one route over the other.
13	15567	At 1.3, entitled "Commanders
14	Requiremen	t", it speaks of NATO commanders requiring an
15	MBAV:	
16		" with a high degree of
17		standardization and accompanying
18		interoperability of basic
19		components and supply. Ideally
20		MBAV should be a single
21		universally accepted vehicle
22		family which would ease
23		acquisition, training, supply,
24		repair and sustainment. Given
25		national priorities timetables

1	and requirements, commanders
2	require that at a minimum MBAV
3	will have standard parts
4	utilized to the greatest extent
5	possible." (As read)
6	15568 It goes on again to speak of the
7	benefits of each nation having an MBAV that has limited
8	differences one nation to the next.
9	15569 MR. ALFORD: That's right.
10	15570 MR. ROITENBERG: So this in essence
11	is an excerpt which supports the brief tutorial you
12	gave earlier as to what the MBAV was?
13	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
14	MR. ROITENBERG: And Thyssen's goal
15	here again is all done with an eye to the global market
16	and now armed with Industry Canada coming on board in
17	terms of the existence of the market and the viability
18	
	of the market, you are trying to convince the rest of
19	of the market, you are trying to convince the rest of these government agencies that the market exists, that
19 20	
	these government agencies that the market exists, that
20	these government agencies that the market exists, that the TH495 can penetrate the market and that the market
20 21	these government agencies that the market exists, that the TH495 can penetrate the market and that the market will continue to grow.
20 21 22	these government agencies that the market exists, that the TH495 can penetrate the market and that the market will continue to grow. Is that fair?

Τ	existing venicles are going to have to be replaced but
2	as you said earlier, because more and more countries
3	are joining the peacekeeping effort.
4	15576 Is that right?
5	15577 MR. ALFORD: That was an element of
6	the growth, yes.
7	15578 MR. ROITENBERG: Now, if you had had
8	a way of knowing at that time what some of these
9	foreign countries had in mind for themselves, what the
10	intended to do with their own Forces and their own
11	replacement of vehicles, that would have been important
12	knowledge for you.
13	15579 Is that fair?
14	15580 MR. ALFORD: Certainly.
15	15581 MR. ROITENBERG: Especially those
16	countries that might not have been as open in terms of
17	their policies to the rest of the world. If you had
18	had some insight into what they were looking for as
19	placement vehicles, that would have been of benefit.
20	Yes?
21	15582 MR. ALFORD: Yes, any information on
22	the market helps.
23	15583 MR. ROITENBERG: If Thyssen Bear Head
24	had had an agent with access to high-level officials in
25	these countries who could then have these discussions

1	and bring back information or feedback, that would	have
2	been important information for you to have. Yes?	
3	15584 If Thyssen Bear Head had a	
4	high-level individual who could champion the produc	ct in
5	an effort to start getting the message out there as	ıd
6	penetrating these markets, that would have been of	
7	benefit to you. Yes?	
8	MR. ALFORD: Yes.	
9	15586 MR. ROITENBERG: And this informa	tion
10	wouldn't have just been of benefit to the company,	but
11	it would have been of benefit to you in your effort	s to
12	convince the Canadian departments that this market	was
13	workable for Thyssen. Correct?	
14	MR. ALFORD: Correct.	
15	MR. ROITENBERG: If, for example,	a
16	foreign prime minister who could reach other heads	of
17	state and further position Thyssen Bear Head in the)
18	world market had been out there on Thyssen's behalf	= -
19	doing these things, that information could only help	lр
20	you in selling the existence of the market and	
21	Thyssen's place in it to the rest of the Government	of
22	Canada. Correct?	
23	15589 MR. ALFORD: Well, it is certainl	У
24	beyond the scope of my responsibilities; but yes, w	ve
25	certainly would have welcomed every help.	

1	15590 MR. ROITENBERG: Were you ever told
2	that Prime Minister Mulroney was out there engaging
3	other nations in these discussions, speaking with other
4	heads of state or high-level officials about the
5	viability of the 495 or any Thyssen product?
6	MR. ALFORD: No.
7	15592 MR. ROITENBERG: Early in December of
8	1994 you attended an Arctic Bridge conference in New
9	York Atlantic Bridge, excuse me. Thank you, sir.
10	Atlantic Bridge conference in New York.
11	15593 Is that correct?
12	MR. ALFORD: That's right.
13	15595 MR. ROITENBERG: And there was a
14	contingent from Thyssen Bear Head who attended the
15	conference?
16	15596 MR. ALFORD: That's right. We were
17	amongst I guess the corporate table sponsors.
18	15597 MR. ROITENBERG: Mr. Schreiber was
19	there?
20	15598 MR. ALFORD: Yes.
21	15599 MR. ROITENBERG: You yourself were
22	there?
23	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
24	MR. ROITENBERG: Prior to your
25	attendance at the conference, in the weeks prior we

will say, you had been advised that the government was 1 producing a white paper on defence policy. 2 Is that correct? 3 15602 MR. ALFORD: Yes. 15603 4 5 15604 MR. ROITENBERG: And you had in fact 6 been provided with a copy of the white paper? MR. ALFORD: When it was released, it 7 15605 8 would have -- like everyone in the defence industry, you would have -- in those days you couldn't get it 9 online, so you would stand out for the document release 10 11 at the headquarters. And so we and all of our 12 competitors would have collected the first copies. 13 15606 MR. ROITENBERG: It seems like a different world when you couldn't get something like 14 that online. 15 16 15607 MR. ALFORD: It was more walking. MR. ROITENBERG: Now, at the time, in 17 15608 1993 and 1994, Thyssen Bear Head had not engaged the 18 19 services of a lobbying firm. Am I correct? 20 15609 You were doing it on your own? 15610 MR. ALFORD: Primarily. From time to 21 22 time, you know, we might have had like a project 23 consulting on a specific event or a period, but we didn't do anything on a continuous basis. 24 25 15611 MR. ROITENBERG: You had nobody, no

lobbying firm on retainer at that time? 1 2 15612 MR. ALFORD: No. We were running our own office. 3 MR. ROITENBERG: In the documentation 15613 4 5 I have taken you through and those that I have referred to and the rest in the book, there seems to be no mention of any involvement by Fred Doucet after 7 8 September of 1993. 15614 Have you found any documents to suggest otherwise? 10 11 15615 MR. ALFORD: To be honest, I haven't 12 studied dates specifically. But if there is something 13 you want me to refer to, I will. MR. ROITENBERG: Well, if there was 14 15616 something to refer you to I would. Unfortunately, my 15 point is that there seems to be nothing in the 16 documents that suggests any involvement by Mr. Doucet 17 18 after that date. 19 15617 Do you have any recollection of Mr. Doucet being actively involved in the project in 20 any way after that date? 21 22 15618 MR. ALFORD: I can't -- I'm sorry, I 23 can't accurately nail down dates. 15619 Certainly Fred Doucet was a friend 24 and we welcomed his advice, whether he was involved, 25

1	engaged on an ass	ignment or otherwise.
2	15620	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Would it help
3	you, Mr. Alford,	if I gave you as a point of reference
4	an election in Oc	tober of 1993 where the government
5	changed? Would t	hat help you, in terms of Mr. Doucet's
6	involvement on be	half of Thyssen Bear Head?
7	15621	MR. ALFORD: That wouldn't
8	necessarily remove	e the benefit of his advice, the
9	change of governme	ent. Mr. Doucet had partners who were
10	perceived as with	out political affiliation, career
11	government officia	als in retirement, and advisors that
12	were equally able	to assist us because our work was in
13	many cases beyond	the political realm in most cases.
14	15622	MR. ROITENBERG: Okay. I have taken
15	you to this before	e, but at Tab 19 is a letter written
16	by Mr. Doucet bac	k in October of 1993. That seems to
17	be the last invol	vement, at least from any documents
18	that we have seen	pertaining to him or his involvement
19	in the project.	
20	15623	Do you have any information to the
21	contrary on that?	
22	15624	MR. ALFORD: No.
23	15625	MR. ROITENBERG: I can tell you that
24	I'm going through	Mr. Doucet's diary for 1994 and there
25	is no entry perta	ining to you or involvement, and the

1	last documentary evidence that we have of his
2	involvement is back at Tab 19 in October of '93. Fair?
3	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
4	MR. ROITENBERG: Upon receipt of the
5	White paper you familiarize yourself with it?
6	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
7	MR. ROITENBERG: You noted that there
8	were some parts that were directly relevant to work in
9	which you were engaged in at the time.
10	15630 Is that fair?
11	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
12	15632 MR. ROITENBERG: There was mention in
13	the white paper of the need on behalf of the Forces to
14	purchase new armoured personnel carriers.
15	15633 MR. ALFORD: Yes.
16	15634 MR. ROITENBERG: And that this was to
17	be done in the changing landscape of the Forces, which
18	included a decreased need to protect the continent and
19	an increased focus on UN and other multinational
20	missions.
21	15635 Is that correct?
22	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
23	15637 MR. ROITENBERG: And you viewed this,
24	I take it, with some measure of optimism because this
25	was exactly in line with the product that you were

1	trying to get the government to agree was a viable
2	vehicle towards the future for both the government to
3	purchase and to participate in the further development
4	of, this peacekeeping vehicle.
5	15638 MR. ALFORD: That's right, until we
6	had reached the point of the white paper being released
7	and seeing that the government confirmed that it had
8	vehicle programs in the category. We had always agreed
9	not to discuss the Canadian requirement and let the
L O	government procurement be scheduled and then address it
L1	as a competitor.
L2	15639 MR. ROITENBERG: So now we actually
L3	had some hope, at least on behalf of the company, that
L4	not only were we moving forward with an eye to the
L5	international market, but here we had some evidence
L6	that the government might be on the precipice of
L7	considering a procurement for Canada itself?
L8	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
L9	MR. ROITENBERG: And you then
20	forwarded a copy of the excerpts of the white paper to
21	Mr. Massmann, who was the President of the company?
22	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
23	MR. ROITENBERG: Mr. Schreiber, who
24	was the Chairman?
25	15644 MD ALFODD. Vec

1 15645 MR. ROITENBERG: Mr. Vance, who was, 2 like yourself, employed in trying to further the goal 3 of getting this plant built in Canada? 15646 MR. ALFORD: Yes. 4 5 15647 MR. ROITENBERG: And Mr. Lalonde, who was legal counsel and an advisor? 6 15648 MR. ALFORD: Yes. 7 8 15649 MR. ROITENBERG: And you forwarded the document to them by way of a fax of December 1, 1994 at 4:34 p.m. 10 11 15650 MR. ALFORD: Yes. 12 15651 MR. ROITENBERG: I will direct you to 13 Tab 35 so you can confirm that. 15652 MR. ALFORD: Yes. 14 MR. ROITENBERG: Had you been asked 15 15653 16 in advance by the parties to forward it to them or were you just of the view that they would want to see this 17 18 and be aware of it? 19 15654 MR. ALFORD: Everyone that was 20 involved in our project, I naturally want to -- this was a piece of positive opportunity I wanted to 21 22 communicate as quickly as I could. 23 15655 MR. ROITENBERG: Now, I take it on December 1, 1994 you are unaware that the Rt. Hon. 24 Brian Mulroney and Fred Doucet were going to be meeting 25

1	with Mr. Sch	reiber in New York seven days later, on
2	December 8, 3	1994.
3	15656	Is that correct?
4	15657	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
5	15658	MR. ROITENBERG: When you forwarded
6	this document	t to Mr. Schreiber, I'm going to suggest to
7	you that Mr.	Schreiber contacted you and asked you to
8	forward the	document to Fred Doucet, and you did so
9	some 18 minut	tes later.
10	15659	Would that be fair, sir?
11	15660	MR. ALFORD: It's a reasonable
12	suggestion.	It could have been.
13	15661	Equally, though, I mean I would have
14	been happy -	- when a white paper would come out we
15	would have lo	ots of phone calls: how's your project
16	going, from o	consultants/advisors who would like to work
17	with us.	
18	15662	I could have easily shared it with
19	Fred of his	request or my offering. All of these are
20	possibilitie	5.
21	15663	MR. ROITENBERG: Do you recall
22	receiving a p	phone call from Fred Doucet asking for a
23	copy of the v	white paper or commenting on the white
24	paper?	
25	15664	MR. ALFORD: I'm sorry, I can't

1	recall that precisely.
2	MR. ROITENBERG: Okay.
3	15666 If you go to Tab 36, the second page
4	in is a cover of the fax sheet to Fred Doucet, December
5	1, 1994, 4:52 p.m., or 1652 hours, approximately 18
6	minutes after the fax was sent to Mr. Massmann,
7	Mr. Schreiber, Mr. Vance and Mr. Lalonde.
8	Do you see that?
9	15668 MR. ALFORD: Yes.
10	15669 MR. ROITENBERG: From what we have
11	established, there had been no ongoing involvement by
12	Mr. Doucet in the project since the fall of 1993.
13	15670 I'm assuming you are not suggesting
14	that Mr. Doucet just would have happened to have
15	contacted you in those 18 minutes suggesting you send
16	him the white paper.
17	You are not suggesting that?
18	15672 MR. ALFORD: Sorry. You know, I
19	might have been replying to a call. When a white paper
20	would come out, I would traditionally or always hear
21	from anybody who was working with us and would hope to
22	work with us or had worked with us in the past.
23	The possibility that you have
24	suggested, I acknowledge is a possibility, but I don't
25	have a precise recollection.

1	15674	MR. ROITENBERG: In and around that
2	time, that is	December of 1994, following the October
3	1994 letters t	o Mr. Krajewski, had you until that time
4	been advised t	hat Russia, China, Czechoslovakia, that
5	these were not	places to which Bear Head could
6	potentially ex	port TH495 or its components?
7	15675	MR. ALFORD: I'm sorry, could you ask
8	your question	again?
9	15676	MR. ROITENBERG: You sent a letter to
10	Mr. Krajewski	
11	15677	MR. ALFORD: M'hm.
12	15678	MR. ROITENBERG: on October 24,
13	1994.	
14	15679	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
14 15		MR. ALFORD: Yes. MR. ROITENBERG: In it were the
	15679 15680	
15	15679 15680 additions to t	MR. ROITENBERG: In it were the
15 16	15679 15680 additions to t Russia, China,	MR. ROITENBERG: In it were the the potential markets that included
15 16 17	15679 15680 additions to t Russia, China,	MR. ROITENBERG: In it were the the potential markets that included Czechoslovakia, aspects of the British
15 16 17 18	15679 15680 additions to t Russia, China, Force and aspe	MR. ROITENBERG: In it were the the potential markets that included Czechoslovakia, aspects of the British ects of the French Force.
15 16 17 18 19	15679 15680 additions to t Russia, China, Force and aspection 15681 15682	MR. ROITENBERG: In it were the the potential markets that included Czechoslovakia, aspects of the British ects of the French Force. MR. ALFORD: M'hm.
15 16 17 18 19 20	15679 15680 additions to to Russia, China, Force and aspect 15681 15682 forwarding of	MR. ROITENBERG: In it were the the potential markets that included Czechoslovakia, aspects of the British ects of the French Force. MR. ALFORD: M'hm. MR. ROITENBERG: Had you between your
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	15679 15680 additions to to Russia, China, Force and aspect 15681 15682 forwarding of 1, 1994 when y	MR. ROITENBERG: In it were the the potential markets that included Czechoslovakia, aspects of the British ects of the French Force. MR. ALFORD: M'hm. MR. ROITENBERG: Had you between your that letter up to and including December
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	15679 15680 additions to to Russia, China, Force and aspect 15681 15682 forwarding of 1, 1994 when you paper, had you	MR. ROITENBERG: In it were the the potential markets that included Czechoslovakia, aspects of the British ects of the French Force. MR. ALFORD: M'hm. MR. ROITENBERG: Had you between your that letter up to and including December you forwarded these copies of the white

1	15683 MR. ALFORD: Not in that specific,
2	but in the exercise of the market survey, as it started
3	to take on strength and I suppose the research, the
4	industry department started to confirm that some of our
5	projections were true. Then, as you see, they came
6	back and said well, let's expand it and identify the
7	entire gross international market without concern for
8	what are accessible markets and then work back from
9	that.
L O	So it was at that stage and that
L1	correspondence that we looked at earlier from
L2	Mr. Deacon that his analysts started opening up and
L3	said let's start looking at everything.
L4	15685 And as I mentioned to you earlier,
L5	Thyssen was always cautious. We didn't want to be the
L6	ones setting export policy but in the exercise and what
L7	was going on in the background, and we were being told
L8	at every turn and you would see it publicly as so much
L9	was changing, nations were joining NATO that had
20	previously been perceived opponents to NATO and now
21	were joining.
22	So it was in that spirit of
23	everything is changing, look at the whole market that I
24	suppose the industry department came back to us and
25	invited us to identify the equipment placements that we

1]	knew in those markets.
2	15687	MR. ROITENBERG: So put another way,
3	t	then, you had not been told you can't export to these
4	(countries?
5	15688	MR. ALFORD: That's right. We
6	Ş	specifically avoided asking those questions. We let
7	t	the industry department identify it in the market
8	Ş	study.
9	15689	MR. ROITENBERG: Were you under the
LO	=	impression that potentially in the changing landscape
L1	t	that you have described Russia and China were
L2	I	potentially available for export within the ten-year
L3	t	timeframe that was discussed?
L4	15690	MR. ALFORD: My focus was on the
L5	1	narrower market and the forecasting element of it that
L6	7	would have been led out of the Canadian initiatives.
L7	(On the international level, as you saw earlier, the
L8	r	marketing initiatives of the company were really led
L9	(out of our international headquarters in Germany.
20	15691	But in the examination of a total
21	:	international market, I guess we didn't apply the
22	ć	assumptions of what was the situation now. We just
23	(observed the changing trend of the markets getting
24	}	bigger. And the activities of NATO were really falling
25	=	inside of UN initiatives. So you had a lot of

1	participants in a UN initiative that weren't
2	necessarily traditional markets for a NATO
3	manufacturer.
4	So everything was changing, and we
5	did look at it all.
6	15693 MR. ROITENBERG: So put another way,
7	as nobody had told you no and with the changing
8	landscape, you weren't excluding them as potentially
9	available markets?
10	MR. ALFORD: No.
11	15695 MR. ROITENBERG: Is that fair?
12	15696 MR. ALFORD: Not in the long-term
13	facilities. Everything is a possibility. You wait and
14	see what the permissions are when the market
15	solidifies.
16	15697 MR. ROITENBERG: And as you said
17	earlier, the marketing on the global scale was not
18	being done by Thyssen Bear Head but was being
19	undertaken by Thyssen Henschel?
20	15698 MR. ALFORD: Yes, Thyssen Henschel
21	had probably a 20-year market establishment of projects
22	internationally and a team of international
23	salespeople. So as an entity and a part of that
24	company, it was only natural that the market lead at
25	this stage in the project would come from Germany.

MR. ROITENBERG: Now, if I'm not	
mistaken, as we moved into the latter part of '93 an	.d
into '94, the efforts that were being undertaken wit	h
the Canadian government were being undertaken primar	ily
by yourself, Mr. Vance and, to some degree,	
Mr. Lalonde.	
15700 Is that fair?	
15701 MR. ALFORD: And my colleague Ian	
Reid.	
15702 MR. ROITENBERG: And Ian Reid. And	Ĺ
the international aspects were more in the hands of	
Mr. Massmann and Mr. Schreiber.	
15703 Is that fair?	
MR. ALFORD: That would be fair.	
15705 MR. ROITENBERG: Now, you told us	
before that you had never been given any information	Ĺ
whatsoever as to the involvement of the right Hon.	
Brian Mulroney in any way shape or form promoting	
Thyssen internationally. Correct?	
MR. ALFORD: Yes.	
15707 MR. ROITENBERG: Had you been advis	sed
that Mr. Mulroney's services had been retained to	
assist in the lobbying efforts domestically?	
MR. ALFORD: No.	
15709 MR. ROITENBERG: If someone had been	en
	MR. ALFORD: And my colleague Ian Reid. MR. ROITENBERG: And Ian Reid. And the international aspects were more in the hands of Mr. Massmann and Mr. Schreiber. IS that fair? MR. ALFORD: That would be fair. MR. ROITENBERG: Now, you told us before that you had never been given any information whatsoever as to the involvement of the right Hon. Brian Mulroney in any way shape or form promoting Thyssen internationally. Correct? MR. ALFORD: Yes. MR. ROITENBERG: Had you been advis that Mr. Mulroney's services had been retained to assist in the lobbying efforts domestically? MR. ALFORD: No.

1	hired to promote the company and its efforts through
2	the international market, is that something that would
3	have been handled by either or both of Mr. Massmann and
4	Mr. Schreiber or Thyssen Germany?
5	15710 MR. ALFORD: Mr. Massmann ran the
6	division in Germany. It would have certainly been
7	through him.
8	MR. ROITENBERG: So if somebody had
9	been hired to do marketing on the international scale,
10	that's not something that you necessarily would have
11	been made aware of.
12	15712 Is that correct?
13	15713 MR. ALFORD: That's right.
14	MR. ROITENBERG: Even though it might
15	have been very helpful to you to have had that
16	knowledge in your dealings with the Canadian government
17	to show them how much progress had been made in the
18	international markets?
19	15715 MR. ALFORD: I'm not certain in the
20	market study exercise that we were going through that
21	it would have been relevant. I think they were
22	satisfied and understood the company's international
23	market was led from our headquarters in Thyssen
24	Henschel, and that was probably an adequate
25	qualification of our marketing ability.

1 15716 MR. ROITENBERG: Very good. Thank you very much, sir. 2 3 15717 I believe other counsel may have questions for you. 15718 5 MR. ALFORD: Thank you. 6 15719 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Thank you, 7 Mr. Roitenberg. 8 15720 Yes...? Mr. Grondin. 15721 MR. GRONDIN: Yes. Actually, considering the thoroughness of Mr. Roitenberg's 10 11 questions, I won't have any, but I would like to file additional documents. 12 13 15722 I know my parents will be disappointed, but anyways. 14 15723 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Well, take 15 your time filing the documents, then. 16 --- Laughter / Rires 17 18 15724 MR. GRONDIN: So I believe now it is 19 P-19 and the document has been distributed to all the parties. 20 15725 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Mr. Grondin, 21 22 I take it that other counsel have seen the documents in this booklet? 23 15726 MR. GRONDIN: Yes. 24

StenoTran

COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: And they are

25

15727

1	going in, as have the other exhibits, by consent?
2	MR. GRONDIN: That's my assumption.
3	15729 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Yes,
4	Mr. Auger?
5	15730 MR. AUGER: Yes.
6	15731 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: All right.
7	The additional documents, then,
8	tendered by Monsieur Grondin will be received and
9	marked as Exhibit P-19 at the inquiry.
10	MR. GRONDIN: Great.
11	EXHIBIT NO. P-19: Book of
12	documents in support of
13	cross-examination of Mr. Alford
14	15734 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Thank you.
15	15735 MR. GRONDIN: Thank you.
16	15736 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Mr. Vickery,
17	do you have any questions, sir?
18	15737 MR. VICKERY: I do have questions for
19	this witness. However, I would appreciate it if I
20	might be permitted the luncheon break prior to
21	beginning my questioning.
22	15738 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: All right,
23	thank you.
24	15739 Mr. Houston, are you going to have
25	questions for Mr. Alford? You are?

1	15740 MR. HOUSTON: And I'm prepared to do
2	it now, sir, if you wish.
3	15741 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Okay, that's
4	fine.
5	15742 Mr. Auger, will you have questions
6	for Mr. Alford?
7	15743 MR. AUGER: Little or none,
8	Commissioner, although I too would appreciate a brief
9	moment to speak to Mr. Schreiber about that before I
10	finalize that commitment.
11	15744 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: All right.
12	Does anybody have a problem if we
13	proceed to have Mr. Houston how are we going to be,
14	Mr. Houston?
15	15746 MR. HOUSTON: I would think perhaps
16	10 or 15 minutes, sir.
17	15747 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Okay. Does
18	anybody have a problem?
19	15748 My proposal would be to have
20	Mr. Houston ask his questions and then break for lunch,
21	and after lunch I will let Mr. Vickery and Mr. Auger
22	talk to see who will go first after lunch.
23	15749 Is that satisfactory? Okay?
24	15750 All right, Mr. Houston, thank you.
25	Come forward, please.

1	1 15751 Mr. Alford, Mr. Hous	ton represents
2	Fred Doucet at this inquiry. Okay?	
3	3 15752 MR. ALFORD: Thank y	ou.
4	EXAMINATION: GREGORY ALFORD BY MR. HOW	JSTON/
5	5 INTERROGATOIRE : GREGORY ALFORD PAR Me	e HOUSTON
6	6 15753 MR. HOUSTON: Mr. Al	ford, you began
7	7 work on this project in 1985 while with	th GCI?
8	8 15754 MR. ALFORD: That's	right.
9	9 15755 MR. HOUSTON: And yo	ou were with GCI
10	0 from 1985 to 1988?	
11	1 15756 MR. ALFORD: That's	right.
12	2 15757 MR. HOUSTON: In the	period of time
13	in question, were you working on the l	Bear Head Project?
14	4 15758 MR. ALFORD: Yes.	
15	5 15759 MR. HOUSTON: And I	assume, based on
16	the fact that you were "hired away",	it was due to the
17	performance, your performance while wo	orking on this
18	8 project for Bear Head?	
19	9 15760 MR. ALFORD: Yes.	
20	0 15761 MR. HOUSTON: Do you	recall in your
21	statement that you gave to Mr. Roitenl	perg you indicated
22	2 that the company was marketing on its	own; it did not
23	have an individual lobby firm?	
24	4 15762 MR. ALFORD: That's	right.
25	5 15763 MR. HOUSTON: What w	as GCI, then,

1	doing between 1985 and 1988?
2	15764 MR. ALFORD: When Bear Head committed
3	and established their office and engaged my involvement
4	in it, it was understood that I mean, certain
5	Thyssen hoped to go forward and win their project.
6	15765 Success with the project would have
7	allowed us then the ability to move forward and base
8	all of our operations around the manufacturing, at
9	which time the advice of a government relations firm
LO	would have been considerably valuable and we would have
L1	probably engaged the intention was we would have
L2	engaged GCI on a retainer basis at the time.
L3	15766 MR. HOUSTON: In your statement there
L4	is a comment that certainly caught my attention, sir.
L5	You stated, and I quote:
L6	"As they"
L7	15767 Talking about Bear Head:
L8	" had no one company on
L9	retainer, Bear Head garnered
20	free advice from a number of
21	different companies." (As read)
22	15768 You are suggesting that lobbying
23	firms in Ottawa were giving free advice to Bear Head?
24	15769 MR. ALFORD: That might be an
2.5	overstatement. I don't think I said it exactly

Τ	garnered free advice, but here's what happened.
2	15770 As you run a project and people see
3	something that's an opportunity, maybe an emerging
4	mandate for the creation of something like ACOA or
5	FORD-Q or all of these things, it wasn't uncommon to
6	receive a call from someone and they say listen, you
7	know, I think you have a good strategy and this would
8	be a path you would explore. And if you need any
9	service and advice and counsel as you go down that
10	road, it's a good place to go.
11	15771 So when people it's sort of like
12	we always see the idea of advertising firms. People
13	show a little bit of what their knowledge is and their
14	suggestion as to where they would go. They might draw
15	an interesting policy article policy announcement to
16	your attention. All of that is beneficial and
17	certainly welcome.
18	15772 And I think truly there were a large
19	number of people that were hopeful for this project's
20	success. It promised employment in regions of the
21	country that needed it, and truly they wished for its
22	success. So we welcomed every suggestion that came our
23	way.
24	15773 MR. HOUSTON: Was GCI ever retained
25	to act as government consultant lobbyist for Bear Head

1	15774 MR. ALFORD: They were as Thyssen
2	created the project and decided to go forward with it,
3	yes.
4	15775 MR. HOUSTON: What was the
5	relationship between Mr. Fred Doucet and Bear Head?
6	15776 MR. ALFORD: I think at some time
7	Fred did some consulting work some work for us. I
8	can't recall whether we were billed on a project basis
9	or it was just something that he did to assist us.
10	15777 MR. HOUSTON: Mr. Schreiber tells the
11	Commissioner that Mr. Doucet worked for the company
12	from 1988, and he put it right through and inclusive of
13	1995, a seven-year period.
14	Do you have any comment on that?
15	15779 That is Mr. Schreiber's testimony, as
16	I understand it.
17	15780 MR. ALFORD: Referring to
18	15781 MR. HOUSTON: Fred Doucet
19	15782 MR. ALFORD: Gerald Doucet?
20	15783 MR. HOUSTON: working for Bear
21	Head.
22	MR. ALFORD: No.
23	15785 MR. HOUSTON: Were you aware that
24	Mr. Doucet was a registered lobbyist for Bear Head,
25	registered pursuant to the legislation in 1989?

1	15786 MR. ALFORD: Yes. And you will see
2	that Fred participated in some of our meetings around
3	the industry department. There was some documents in
4	this package that referred to Fred's participation.
5	15787 MR. HOUSTON: Well, he registered as
6	a lobbyist for Bear Head. Did you know he was also
7	registered as a lobbyist for Bitucan?
8	15788 MR. ALFORD: No.
9	15789 MR. HOUSTON: Did you have any
10	relationship with Bitucan?
11	15790 MR. ALFORD: Did I? No.
12	MR. HOUSTON: Yes, sir.
13	MR. ALFORD: No.
14	15793 MR. HOUSTON: And from 1988 up to at
15	least 1993, did you meet with Mr. Doucet from time to
16	time, talking about Bear Head?
17	15794 MR. ALFORD: The dates again?
18	MR. HOUSTON: Eighty-eight to '93.
19	15796 MR. ALFORD: Perhaps around '93, if
20	you want me to I'm sorry, I don't I don't know
21	the exact dates.
22	15797 MR. HOUSTON: Well, Mr. Roitenberg
23	referred you to at least three meetings that took place
24	in 1993, just a short while ago. Do you remember that?
25	15798 MR. ALFORD: 1993.

1	MR. HOUSTON: Yes.
2	15800 MR. ALFORD: Yes, it's a possibility.
3	MR. HOUSTON: And is it impossible,
4	sir, that Mr. Doucet was meeting on a regular basis
5	with Mr. Schreiber and you didn't know anything about
6	it?
7	15802 MR. ALFORD: Certainly that could be.
8	15803 MR. HOUSTON: His diaries, that is
9	the diaries of Mr. Schreiber, have been produced to us
10	and they are replete with entries for Fred, Fred
11	Doucet, calls, lunches, meetings.
12	15804 Are you familiar with that?
13	15805 MR. ALFORD: With his diary
14	MR. HOUSTON: Yes, sir.
15	15807 MR. ALFORD: or that he would have
16	met with Fred?
17	15808 I am not familiar with
18	Mr. Schreiber's diary.
19	MR. HOUSTON: So Mr. Doucet could be
20	meeting on a regular basis with Mr. Schreiber, talking
21	about Bear Head, and you would know nothing about it?
22	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
23	MR. HOUSTON: Just as an example,
24	sir, in 1992 we talked about '93 just a moment
25	ago there are some 40 to 42 entries for Fred Doucet

1	in Mr. Schr	eiber's diary.
2	15812	Do you know anything about that?
3	15813	MR. ALFORD: No.
4	15814	MR. HOUSTON: So what was, then, the
5	relationshi	o? He is registered as a lobbyist. So what
6	was the rela	ationship again from your perspective
7	between Mr.	Doucet and Bear Head?
8	15815	MR. ALFORD: Mr. Doucet provided some
9	legal so	me government relations advice to us, helped
10	us in our s	trategy in terms of bringing our project
11	forward.	
12	15816	As you can see from this morning's
13	examination	, we were searching for the opportunity
14	where we co	uld make our case within the various
15	branches of	government and particularly the industry
16	department.	
17	15817	MR. HOUSTON: But at the same time
18	it's fair to	o say, sir, you weren't familiar with
19	precisely w	hat the relationship was between Mr. Doucet
20	and Mr. Sch	reiber over that period of time, were you?
21	15818	MR. ALFORD: No. Certainly when
22	meetings wo	uld take place that I participated in with
23	Mr. Doucet	present and Mr. Schreiber present, there was
24	a discussion	n around Thyssen Bear Head Industries.
25	15819	MR. HOUSTON: But the full extent of

1	the relationship you didn't know?
2	15820 MR. ALFORD: No, it wouldn't be my
3	responsibility.
4	15821 MR. HOUSTON: Mr. Roitenberg asked
5	you about the memo that you sent along to the four
6	individuals named in your memo in early December 1994.
7	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
8	MR. HOUSTON: Do you remember, sir,
9	when you were giving the statement to Mr. Roitenberg
10	and I will just quote it, sir:
11	"It is also possible that one of
12	the four recipients in the first
13	transmission had instructed him
14	to share the document with Mr.
15	Doucet. In Mr. Alford's view of
16	the four initial recipients, the
17	one most likely to have
18	instructed him to do so was Mr.
19	Schreiber." (As read)
20	Do you remember telling
21	Mr. Roitenberg that?
22	15825 MR. ALFORD: Yes.
23	15826 MR. HOUSTON: Isn't that precisely
24	what happened, sir?
25	15827 MR. ALFORD: No. Listen, everybody

1	seems to be very focused on this event. I'	m sorry,
2	when a white paper would have been released	our office
3	would have been amongst all of our competit	ors to
4	obtain that, read it and distribute it from	ı anyone who
5	was interested to anyone who was interes	ted in
6	support of our project. And we welcomed ev	ery support
7	we could find.	
8	So in an 18-minute gap on	a public
9	document that for convenience was being dis	tributed, I
10	have no precise recollection of how the doc	ument got
11	sent to Fred.	
12	15829 Fred could have phoned me	, I could
13	have been returning a message to him. Any	of these
14	things are possible. And that certainly is	a
15	possibility. Someone out of the group, per	haps
16	Mr. Schreiber, could have asked me to send	it. Yes,
17	that's a possibility.	
18	15830 MR. HOUSTON: But you don	't remember
19	any phone call from Mr. Doucet. You are ju	st
20	speculating that that may have occurred?	
21	15831 MR. ALFORD: Equally as I	'm
22	speculating the other possibility.	
23	15832 MR. HOUSTON: Did you send	d it to
24	anyone else?	
25	15833 MR. ALFORD: I wouldn't ha	ave been

able to remember at this distribution if the documents 1 had not been shared with me. I'm sure I would have 2 3 sent it to many people who were following our project. 15834 MR. HOUSTON: Did you send it to 4 5 anyone else within 18 minutes of sending the document off to Mr. Schreiber and the other three? 6 MR. ALFORD: I can't recall. 7 15835 8 15836 MR. HOUSTON: All right, sir, thank you. 15837 Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. 10 11 15838 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Those are 12 your questions then, Mr. Houston? 13 15839 MR. HOUSTON: They are, sir. Thank 14 you. 15840 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: All right. 15 Well, it is coming up on 12 noon so 16 15841 we will take a recess for lunch. 17 18 15842 Mr. Alford, I'm going to have to 19 require you to come back this afternoon because both Mr. Vickery on behalf of the Government of Canada and 20 Mr. Auger on behalf of Mr. Schreiber may or will have 21 22 some questions for you. 23 15843 Counsel, I am going to ask for your assistance here. What would you like to do about the 24 luncheon break? 25

1	MR. VICKERY: Perhaps 2 o'clock, sir.
2	15845 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: All right.
3	That's fine.
4	15846 Are we going to be okay in terms of
5	the other witnesses for this afternoon?
6	MR. ROITENBERG: We have, following
7	Mr. Alford's testimony, one witness who will be
8	relatively brief and another witness who we will
9	commence and if we do not complete we have scheduled
10	time for him tomorrow morning as well.
11	15848 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: All right.
12	So we are right on schedule them.
13	Well, out of respect to the fact that
14	you have some questions to ask and want time to
15	prepare, I have no problem in recessing for two hours.
16	15850 We will do that, coming back at
17	2 o'clock this afternoon.
18	MR. VICKERY: Thank you.
19	15852 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Thank you.
20	Good morning, counsel.
21	Mr. Alford, good morning.
22	Upon recessing at 12:00 p.m. / Suspension à 12 h 00
23	Upon resuming at 2:02 p.m. / Reprise à 14 h 02
24	15854 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Good
25	afternoon.

1	15855 Counsel, be seated please.
2	15856 Mr. Auger
3	EXAMINATION: GREGORY ALFORD BY MR. AUGER /
4	INTERROGATOIRE: GREGORY ALFORD PAR Me AUGER
5	15857 MR. AUGER: Good afternoon,
6	Commissioner. Thank you very much. I just have a
7	couple of brief areas for this witness.
8	15858 Mr. Alford, am I right that you
9	assisted Mr. Schreiber with some personal banking and
10	financial matters throughout your relationship with
11	him?
12	15859 MR. ALFORD: Yes.
13	MR. AUGER: Just roughly, that would
14	have been the period 1989 to 2001?
15	MR. ALFORD: That sounds reasonable.
16	MR. AUGER: And that would have
17	included various administrative tasks. Am I right
18	about that?
19	15863 MR. ALFORD: Yeah, I helped him keep
20	track of his property and stuff like that.
21	MR. AUGER: Right, property issues,
22	insurance issues, contract issues that type of
23	thing?
24	15865 MR. ALFORD: Yes.
25	15866 MR. AUGER: Banking, dealing with his

vehicles, telephones -- certain personal matters like 1 2 that? 3 15867 MR. ALFORD: Yes. Therefore, I take it that 15868 4 MR. AUGER: 5 there was nothing exceptional about the fact that you assisted him in finding an apartment in Toronto in 6 1999, as well. 7 8 15869 MR. ALFORD: No. 15870 MR. AUGER: And you were involved in locating the apartment and, I think, even setting up 10 11 the lease. 12 15871 Is that right? MR. ALFORD: Probably, yeah. 13 15872 14 15873 MR. AUGER: Is it fair to say that, from your perspective, that, again, was just another 15 administrative task that fit under the categories of 16 items you had done before? 17 18 15874 MR. ALFORD: It was something that I 19 did for Mr. Schreiber, really, as a friend. 20 15875 MR. AUGER: I want to take you back to Tab 35, and it is really in conjunction, to be fair 21 22 to you, with Tab 36, which is the fax that we heard 23 about earlier today. 15876 Mr. Roitenberg asked you about this 24 18-minute period that could have occurred between the 25

1	transmission of the two faxes.
2	Do you remember that area of your
3	testimony?
4	15878 MR. ALFORD: I remember the
5	testimony, yes.
6	15879 MR. AUGER: To break that down a
7	little bit, at Tab 35, you will see at the top of the
8	first page that there seems to be a date, December 1st,
9	1994, 1634.
10	Do you see that?
11	15881 MR. ALFORD: Yes.
12	MR. AUGER: Then, if we go to Tab 36,
13	the second page, indeed, we see another time of 1652.
14	Do you see that?
15	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
16	15885 MR. AUGER: So, obviously, there
17	appears to be an 18-minute gap in that timeframe, and I
18	take it that you would agree and I suppose it is
19	obvious, but I am going to ask you anyway. You would
20	agree that if Mr. Schreiber was in Germany on December
21	1st, 1994, his fax machine wouldn't receive the
22	document until approximately 11 o'clock in the evening.
23	15886 Is that a fair suggestion?
24	MR. ALFORD: Probably.
25	15888 MR. AUGER: At Tab 36 there is a

1	cover page, and	you will see "Message: Dear Francine.
2	15889	Do you see that?
3	15890	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
4	15891	MR. AUGER:
5		"Could you kindly put this into
6		Mr. Mulroney's file for our New
7		York meeting. Thanks."
8	15892	I appreciate that that is from Fred
9	Doucet to Franci:	ne Collins.
10	15893	Do you see that?
11	15894	MR. ALFORD: I see that.
12	15895	MR. AUGER: And the date is December
13	5th, 1994.	
14	15896	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
15	15897	MR. AUGER: Did Mr. Doucet at any
16	time tell you th	at he wanted this document for a New
17	York meeting wit	h Mr. Mulroney?
18	15898	MR. ALFORD: No.
19	15899	MR. AUGER: Did Mr. Doucet tell you
20	at any time that	he was travelling to New York in
21	December of 1994	?
22	15900	MR. ALFORD: I have no recollection,
23	I'm sorry.	
24	15901	MR. AUGER: Thank you, Commissioner,
25	those are my que	stions.

1	15902	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Mr. Vickery,
2	I think you indi	cated that you had some questions you
3	wished to ask.	
4	15903	MR. VICKERY: Yes, I do. Thank you,
5	Commissioner.	
6	EXAMINATION: GR	EGORY ALFORD BY MR. VICKERY /
7	INTERROGATOIRE:	GREGORY ALFORD PAR Me VICKERY
8	15904	MR. VICKERY: Mr. Alford, you don't
9	have before you	a binder which is marked as Exhibit
10	P-7. I would as	k the Registrar to hand it over to you.
11	15905	It is P-7, Binder 1 of the Schreiber
12	documents.	
13	Pause	
14	15906	MR. VICKERY: Do you have that now,
15	sir?	
16	15907	MR. ALFORD: I do now.
17	15908	MR. VICKERY: I would ask you to look
18	at Tab 10 of the	e binder. What you should see there is
19	a draft or, r	ather, an English translation of a
20	letter from Dr.	Klenk to Mr. Hastert at Thyssen
21	Industries Ltd.,	and it should be dated October 14th,
22	1987.	
23	15909	Do you see that?
24	15910	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
25	15911	MR. VICKERY: Are you aware as to who

1	1 Mr. Hastert is?	
2	2 15912 MR. ALFORD: Yes, he was	as a Board
3	member on Thyssen Industries.	
4	4 15913 MR. VICKERY: And was	ne someone with
5	5 whom you had business dealings on behalf	of Thyssen
6	6 Bear Head?	
7	7 15914 MR. ALFORD: Yes, he was	as one of the
8	8 directors in the parent company.	
9	9 15915 MR. VICKERY: Could I	ask you to turn
10	to the next page of Tab 10. What you sh	ould see there
11	is a draft agreement between I.A.L I	nternational
12	Aircraft Leasing Ltd and Thyssen Ind	lustries Ltd.
13	Do you have that?	
14	MR. ALFORD: I see it,	yes.
15	MR. VICKERY: This doc	ument appears
16	to be an agreement a draft agreement,	of course
17	with regard to undertakings referable to	the setting up
18	of an industrial plant at Bear Head.	
19	19 15919 Have you seen this dra	ft document
20	before, sir?	
21	21 15920 MR. ALFORD: No.	
22	MR. VICKERY: I would	ask you to turn
23	to page 9 of 14 of the document, which h	as "Page 2" at
24	the top.	
25	I will read paragraph	2 to you, and I

1	would ask you to follow along and, if you could, let me
2	know whether the terms that are referred to are terms
3	that you were familiar with, with regard to the Bear
4	Head Project.
5	15923 It begins:
6	"It is expected that, with
7	regard to setting up an
8	industrial plant as indicated in
9	para. 2 of the Preamble,
10	Canadian public authorities will
11	make a binding commitment to the
12	Company"
13	15924 That would be Thyssen, I take it:
14	"to provide the following
15	services:
16	2.1.1 Provide land that is
17	ready for construction and
18	covers an area of approximately
19	300 acres or about 1.2 million
20	square metres."
21	15925 Can you tell me, sir, when you first
22	became involved in the project, and in 1987
23	specifically, was the proposal that was being put
24	forward by Thyssen with regard to the Bear Head Project
25	one that required that the Canadian authorities provide

1	land ready for construction?
2	15926 MR. ALFORD: I believe it was, yes.
3	15927 MR. VICKERY: Moving forward to
4	2.1.2: The Canadian public authorities will make a
5	binding commitment:
6	"Grant an option to purchase at
7	the normal local price, upon
8	procuring property for expansion
9	which covers an area of
10	approximately 700 acres"
11	15928 Was that also one of the terms in
12	1987 of the proposal?
13	15929 MR. ALFORD: I'm sorry, I don't
14	remember the absolute detail of it, but it sounds like
15	a logical term.
16	MR. VICKERY: And at paragraph 2.2:
17	The Canadian government will commit to:
18	"Provide the following
19	facilities in such a way that
20	they meet the needs of the
21	Company, taking into account its
22	planned level of production,
23	namely:
24	2.2.1 Links to public roads and
25	railways for transporting goods

1	in and out of the area."
2	15931 Was that something that Canada was to
3	undertake to do?
4	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
5	MR. VICKERY:
6	"Construction of port
7	facilities, together with
8	connections to the factory
9	premises."
10	15934 Was that something that Canada was
11	supposed to do?
12	15935 MR. ALFORD: Unless they existed
13	already, yes. They were not asked for I recall this
14	point they were never asked for an exclusive wharf,
15	but a common wharf.
16	15936 MR. VICKERY: So they were to pay for
17	the construction of such a facility.
18	15937 MR. ALFORD: Or cause it to be there
19	to allow ship-based shipping from the area.
20	15938 It was on the Strait of Canso.
21	15939 MR. VICKERY: Yes.
22	15940 And at paragraph 2.2.3, the Canadian
23	government would undertake to provide connections to
24	supply and waste management facilities at the worksite,
25	in terms of electricity, water, telephone and sewers.

1	15941 The Canadian government would do
2	that?
3	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
4	15943 MR. VICKERY: And 2.3: The Canadian
5	government would:
6	"Assume the costs for training
7	skilled staff needed by the
8	Company."
9	15944 That was to be a commitment on the
10	part of Canada?
11	15945 MR. ALFORD: There were training
12	programs that the company wished to avail itself of. I
13	don't recall the company asking for the government to
14	train its workers entirely, but to give it access to
15	established training programs.
16	15946 MR. VICKERY: All right.
17	15947 And at 2.4: The Canadian government
18	would:
19	"Provide the required buildings
20	for operating the Company, and
21	release the funds required for
22	this. In this respect, it is
23	currently anticipated that costs
24	will amount to about Can. \$ 30
25	million."

1	15948 MR. ALFORD: I don't remember the	
2	company ever asking that.	
3	15949 MR. VICKERY: And in the following	
4	paragraph:	
5	"Furthermore, it is assumed that	
6	the Company can be operated in a	
7	free trade zone."	
8	15950 Was that also one of the terms?	
9	15951 MR. ALFORD: There was a discussion	
10	around what the applicability of a free trade zone	
11	condition would be.	
12	MR. VICKERY: And at paragraph 3:	
13	"It is further expected that the	
14	Company will receive a binding	
15	contract to deliver 250 30-ton	
16	tracked vehicles."	
17	15953 Was that one of the terms?	
18	15954 MR. ALFORD: Could I go back to your	
19	definition of "term"?	
20	15955 I mean, the company didn't put	
21	forward terms like this. They certainly would have	
22	welcomed it, but there was a balance between what the	
23	company would ask for, would like to have, and could	
24	reasonably expect.	
25	15956 You are asking me to comment on a	

1	document that I haven't seen. There was a negotiation			
2	with government that asked the company would make			
3	certain investment, and proceed in manufacturing, and			
4	some of these things were the product of success within			
5	a competition of procurement.			
6	15957 MR. VICKERY: Do you contest the fact			
7	that in 1987, in terms of its potential agreement with			
8	I.A.L. or Mr. Schreiber, the company's expectation was			
9	that it would receive a binding contract for 250 30-ton			
10	tracked vehicles?			
11	Do you say that's wrong?			
12	15959 MR. ALFORD: In 1987			
13	15960 MR. VICKERY: Yes.			
14	15961 MR. ALFORD: I would have had no			
15	participation in this discussion. This would have			
16	been this appears to have been a discussion between			
17	I.A.L and you said that is Mr. Schreiber and			
18	Thyssen.			
19	I did not work for Thyssen in 1987.			
20	15963 MR. VICKERY: When did you begin			
21	working for GCI?			
22	15964 MR. ALFORD: In 1985.			
23	15965 MR. VICKERY: And was GCI involved in			
24	the Bear Head Project in 1987?			
25	15966 MR. ALFORD: Yes.			

1	15967 MR. VICKERY: And were you involved
2	in the activities of GCI with regard to the Bear Head
3	Project in 1987?
4	15968 MR. ALFORD: Yes, and that if I
5	could say, that would be why these points, and
6	variations on them, are what I recall being some of the
7	conditions that the company asked for.
8	15969 MR. VICKERY: Fair enough.
9	15970 Could I ask you to turn to Tab 23 of
10	the binder that you have before you. Tab 23, sir, is a
11	memo from Wynne Potter to D.S. McPhail.
12	15971 Are you familiar with those
13	gentlemen?
14	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
15	15973 MR. VICKERY: And Wynne Potter was
16	Vice-President of ACOA.
17	15974 Is that correct?
18	15975 MR. ALFORD: That's right.
19	15976 MR. VICKERY: And Mr. McPhail was
20	President-designate, at this point, of ACOA.
21	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
22	15978 MR. VICKERY: The memo is dated March
23	22nd, 1988, and it appears to refer to the Thyssen
24	Industrial Investment Proposal of March 1988.
25	Do you see that?

1	15980	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
2	15981	MR. VICKERY: Under the Heading
3	"Conditions", we	read:
4		"Thyssen is prepared to proceed
5		under the following conditions:
6		1. An agreement with the
7		Province of Nova Scotia for
8		land."
9	15982	Do you recall that being a term under
10	which Thyssen was	s prepared to proceed in March of `88?
11	15983	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
12	15984	MR. VICKERY:
13		"2. A similar and
14		specific agreement for
15		infrastructure establishment,
16		e.g., water, power, sewage, road
17		and rail, etc."
18	15985	Was that a term that Thyssen was
19	prepared to proceed under?	
20	15986	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
21	15987	MR. VICKERY: Moving to page 2,
22	paragraph 3:	
23		"A firm agreement that the
24		proposed facility qualifies and
25		will be assisted under the

1		Investment Incentive Programs of
2		ACOA, DIST, DRIE and other
3	relevant federal and provincial	
4		investment programs."
5	15988	That was also a term?
6	15989	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
7	15990	MR. VICKERY: And in paragraph 4:
8		"Duty remission on the
9		importation of machinery, parts
10		and components for the
11		manufacturing of vehicles,
12		conditional on an agreed level
13		of Canadian content in the
14		finished product."
15	15991	Was that a term?
16	15992	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
17	15993	MR. VICKERY: Paragraph 5:
18		"Qualification and facilitation
19		of the process to grant Cape
20		Breton Investment Tax Credits as
21		they apply to the Bear Head
22		Project."
23	15994	That was another term?
24	15995	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
25	15996	MR. VICKERY: And 6:

1		"Government participation in
2		funding for initial employee
3		training."
4	15997	That was, again, a term?
5	15998	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
6	15999	MR. VICKERY: And 7:
7		"A commitment in the form of a
8		firm letter of intent, or like
9		communique, by Canada to Bear
10		Head Industries for a minimum
11		start-up order for 250
12		light-armoured vehicles for
13		production in 1991-92."
14	16000	That was a term of the agreement
15	being proposed?	
16	16001	MR. ALFORD: Yes, it was requested.
17	16002	MR. VICKERY: And, finally, paragraph
18	8, an understandi	ng that future significant plant and
19	activity expansion	n could qualify for regional incentive
20	programs, subject	to separate negotiations.
21	16003	That was also a term.
22	16004	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
23	16005	MR. VICKERY: If I could take you
24	over to the third	page of this document, we come to the
25	heading "Comments	", and these are comments that are

1	being made, i	t appears	, by Mr. Potter to Mr. McPhail
2	with regard to	o the Thy	rssen proposal.
3	16006	Unde	r that heading we see "Comments":
4			"The proposal is very thin on
5			detail. The annexes containing
6			arrangements with the province,
7			Lavalin and Krass-Maffay were
8			not included.
9			The proposal is very vague on
10			the specific commercial products
11			to be manufactured. No market
12			analysis has been included. The
13			sole-source contract for 250
14			light-armoured vehicles still
15			appears to be the key reason for
16			the establishment of a plant in
17			Cape Breton. The other defence
18			markets and products are
19			unsecured."
20	16007	And,	lastly:
21			"The proposal is incomplete as a
22			business plan. More detailed
23			information on markets, phasing,
24			finances, plant size, et cetera,
25			is needed."

1	Were you aware of these being	
2	concerns put forward by ACOA at this time, sir, i	n
3	March of 1988?	
4	MR. ALFORD: Yes, we had discuss	sions
5	with ACOA.	
6	MR. VICKERY: I would ask you to	o turn
7	to Tab 2 of your document book. That is the book	that
8	you had before you this morning.	
9	Do you have that before you, six	r?
10	MR. ALFORD: Yes.	
11	MR. VICKERY: Thank you.	
12	2 16014 At Tab 2 we see what I take to 1	oe a
13	fax cover sheet of GCI, Government Consultants	
14	International.	
15	Is that correct?	
16	MR. ALFORD: That's right.	
17	7 16017 MR. VICKERY: And it appears to	bear
18	your signature at the bottom of the page, I belie	ve.
19	9 16018 MR. ALFORD: It does.	
20	MR. VICKERY: And it appears to	be
21	dated May 20th, 1988.	
22	2 16020 MR. ALFORD: Yes.	
23	MR. VICKERY: The fax appears to	o be
24	directed to Wynne Potter at ACOA.	
25	MR. ALFORD: That's right.	

1	16023	MR. VICKERY: It reads:
2		"Dear Wynne:
3		While we are proceeding with our
4		thoughts internally only I am
5		sending this proposed MOU which
6		is intended to accompany the
7		draft letter you received
8		yesterday."
9	16024	Do you see that?
10	16025	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
11	16026	MR. VICKERY: Moving to the second
12	page of the fax	, sir, we see what appears to be a
13	document headed	"ACOA/BHI MOU".
14	16027	Correct?
15	16028	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
16	16029	MR. VICKERY: And that is a document
17	that you dispate	ched to Mr. Potter on May 20th, 1988?
18	16030	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
19	16031	MR. VICKERY: Under the heading of
20	"Background", s:	ir, we see in the first paragraph a
21	reference to the	e original industrial investment
22	proposal, and it	t reads:
23		"The original industrial
24		investment proposal put forward
25		by BHI was conditional on the

1	combined levels of government
2	providing the following major
3	items: land, infrastructure,
4	shared training, and a start-up
5	order of 250 units from pending
6	LAV requirements; BHI provided:
7	Building for LAV manufacturing,
8	manufacturing machinery to
9	produce LAV's, other fixtures
10	and training equipment, shared
11	training, commitments to
12	diversify in civilian and
13	non-DND related work, and work
14	sharing with Lavalin/Trenton or
15	DND LAV order."
16	16032 Is that a description, in general
17	terms, of what was being sought under the original
18	proposal, sir?
19	16033 MR. ALFORD: To the best of my
20	recall.
21	16034 MR. VICKERY: Moving down to the
22	third paragraph on the page, we see that a somewhat
23	amended proposition is now being put forward by you,
24	and the paragraph reads:
25	"The essential difference in

1	approach would be to propose the	3
2	addition of a grant component to)
3	the ACOA package in lieu of the	
4	DND start-up order, while	
5	Thyssen would be requested to	
6	let their initial production	
7	activity be from their US Army	
8	LAV order."	
9	16035 That was a proposal that you put	
10	forward in March of 1988?	
11	MR. ALFORD: Yes.	
12	16037 MR. VICKERY: And under the heading	
13	"Proposed Framework of a New ACOA/BHI Understanding",	
14	there are two headings. The first is:	
15	"Infrastructure investment, that	_
16	will provide general services to	D
17	the site. It is recognized that	_
18	these costs are not incurred to	
19	the sole and exclusive benefit	
20	of BHI; they also support other	
21	facilities which may exist now	
22	or in the future in the Strait	
23	of Canso region. The single	
24	largest item of expense is the	
25	common user wharf, which,	

1	1 because o	f its non-exclusive
2	2 status, s	hould be considered as
3	a separat	e item independent of
4	4 the BHI i	nfrastructure package."
5	5 16038 As I understan	d that, sir, in
6	addition to the infrastructure p	package, you expected to
7	7 be provided with a shared wharf	facility, at no cost to
8	8 BHI.	
9	9 16039 MR. ALFORD: Y	es.
10	10 16040 MR. VICKERY:	And under the second
11	heading, "Capital Contributions"	', it is indicated:
12	12 "this	category of
13	13 contribut	ion would be limited to
14	14 a Phase 1	grant attributed to
15	15 the estab	lishment of the
16	16 building	for the start-up
17	17 manufactu	ring on the US Army
18	18 order. T	hese capital
19	19 contribut	ions would be limited
20	to a ceil	ing of \$20 million in
21	Phase 1.	Further capital
22	22 contribut	ions would be based on
23	progress	to future Phases 2 and
24	3, requir	ing expansion of
25	25 facilitie	s and creating

1	associated increased
2	employment."
3	16041 As I understand it, the grant that
4	you were seeking in March of `88 from the government,
5	in Phase 1, amounted to some \$20 million.
6	16042 Is that correct?
7	16043 MR. ALFORD: As it is described here,
8	it would appear to be.
9	MR. VICKERY: And moving to the final
10	page of the fax, sir, there is the heading "Summary of
11	Estimated Costs".
12	16045 MR. ALFORD: Did you intend to
13	overlook the contributions from BHI, because in each
14	case
15	16046 MR. VICKERY: If you would just
16	respond to my questions, please, sir.
17	16047 The Summary of Estimated Costs has
18	two sides: ACOA on the left; BHI on the right.
19	16048 Is that correct?
20	16049 MR. ALFORD: Yes.
21	16050 MR. VICKERY: And under the ACOA side
22	for capital contribution we see: "Phase 1 - building
23	only - \$20 million."
24	16051 Correct?
25	MR. ALFORD: Yes.

1	16053 MR. VICKERY: Then, under the heading
2	"Infrastructure":
3	"Services and utilities, water,
4	sewage, electrical, road and
5	rail."
6	16054 That's for the account of ACOA, or
7	Canada. Correct?
8	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
9	16056 MR. VICKERY: And the next heading
10	is: "Heavy Civil Construction (all above, as is
11	exclusive to BHI) - \$18 million, approximate."
12	16057 So you were anticipating that
13	government would expend an additional \$18 million under
14	that heading, which would be for the exclusive use of
15	BHI.
16	16058 Is that correct?
17	16059 MR. ALFORD: That related to the
18	facility and site that they asked us to build on, yes.
19	16060 MR. VICKERY: Finally, "Common User
20	Wharf: Estimated cost is not applicable due to its
21	non-exclusive status."
22	16061 On the BHI side we have "Capital
23	Contribution: manufacturing machinery, other fixtures,
24	training equipment, shared training, engineering
25	development, design and testing to Production Unit 1 -

1	\$35 million, approximate."	
2	16062 Then, the proposal that	you were
3	putting forward at this point involved s	ome \$38 million
4	of expenditure on the part of the Govern	ment of Canada,
5	in addition to the provision of services	and utilities,
6	and a common user wharf.	
7	16063 And on the BHI side, the	nere was a
8	total commitment of \$35 million.	
9	16064 Is that correct?	
10	16065 MR. ALFORD: I think th	nat when you
11	totalled your numbers, you have added tw	ice. There was
12	a \$20 million investment that was the bu	ilding.
13	16066 MR. VICKERY: Yes.	
14	16067 MR. ALFORD: The service	ces and
15	utilities and infrastructure, these rela	ted to the
16	building, connecting the building to the	communal roads
17	in the neighbourhood.	
18	16068 MR. VICKERY: Yes.	
19	16069 MR. ALFORD: That was S	\$20 million.
20	16070 The \$18 million, which	related to the
21	civil construction and, I am sorry, I	don't remember
22	the detail of this I think that, also	, was
23	considered non-exclusive, because it was	services and
24	utilities creating infrastructure for	the plant.
25	16071 I guess what it comes of	down to is that

1 Thyssen was willing to operate in a location that the government preferred. They chose a greenfield site. 2 This was the cost of turning it into an industrial 3 site. 16072 MR. VICKERY: This is what BHI was 5 proposing that the government undertake? 6 16073 MR. ALFORD: It was the product of 7 8 exchange with the government's representatives. Remember, this whole discussion started from a trade mission and the Government in Canada invited Thyssen to 10 11 invest here. 16074 12 MR. VICKERY: Could you please, sir, 13 just answer my question. My question is: Is this what 14 BHI proposed? MR. ALFORD: This is a document from 16075 15 16 BHI. I would take it as a proposal. 16076 MR. VICKERY: And in fact it's a 17 18 document you authored. 19 16077 MR. ALFORD: I think I put it 20 together with the company, sir. I do not claim to be the sole author. 21 22 16078 MR. VICKERY: Fair enough. 23 16079 Could I ask you to move to Tab 25, sir. What we have at Tab 25 -- do you have that, sir? 24

MR. ALFORD: Yes.

25

16080

1	16081 MR. VICKERY: What we have at Tab 25
2	is a memo from Wynne Potter to Mr. McPhail and it's
3	dated July 6, 1988.
4	16082 MR. ALFORD: I don't have that.
5	16083 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: I have a
6	document that says "Status of TH495"
7	16084 MR. VICKERY: I'm sorry, I have left
8	you in the wrong binder.
9	16085 Could you move back to the Schreiber
10	binder, please. My mistake. I apologize,
11	Commissioner.
12	So Tab 25, sir, in the Schreiber
13	materials. Do you have that?
14	MR. ALFORD: I have now.
15	16088 MR. VICKERY: That should be, as I
16	have said, a memo from Wynne Potter to Mr. McPhail of
17	ACOA, dated July 6, 1988?
18	16089 MR. ALFORD: It is.
19	16090 MR. VICKERY: We see under the
20	heading "ACOA Position":
21	"Thyssen file has been ongoing
22	for over a year;"
23	16091 That's as at July 1988, so that would
24	be correct, I take it.
25	MR. ALFORD: Yes.

1	16093	MR. VICKERY:
2		"Thyssen anxious to conclude
3		negotiations regarding:
4		a) its participation in the U.S.
5		Project; and
6		b) approval from their Board of
7		Directors to proceed with a
8		North American facility;"
9	16094	I take it that was correct?
10	16095	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
11	16096	MR. VICKERY: The "statement of
12		intent", which is referred to in the first sentence:
13		" is nothing more than we
14		would normally do;
15		- Senator Murray will be
16		reporting to the Prime Minister
17		on the status of the Bearhead
18		project; and
19		- feel the SOI should be
20		supported."
21	16097	Then:
22		"The general positions of both
23		DND and DRIE were the following:
24		- not supportive of putting
25		forward SOI as written to

1	1 respe	ective Ministers for
2	2 signa	ature, because SOI appears
3	3 to in	mply a degree of contractual
4	4 oblig	gation;
5	5 - wil	ll respond formally to ACOA
6	6 by th	ne end of this week with
7	7 part	icular concerns; and
8	8 - as	a compromise and
9	9 delay	ying factor suggest that
10	0 Senat	tor Murray exchange letters
11	1 with	each of Messrs. Beatty and
12	2 de Co	otret on respective
13	3 under	rtakings letters which
14	4 presu	umably could be shared with
15	5 Thyss	sen."
16	6 16098 Now, was	it the case, sir, that in
17	7 July of 1988 Thyssen was se	eking a statement of intent
18	8 between it and the Governme	nt of Canada?
19	9 16099 MR. ALFORI	D: I'm sorry, I don't
20	0 recall that offhand, but th	is document would suggest we
21	1 must have been.	
22	2 16100 MR. VICKER	RY: Thank you.
23	3 16101 Could I as	sk you to move to Tab 26,
24	4 sir, in the Schreiber mater	ials.
25	5 16102 At that Ta	ab 26 we see initially a

1 memo from Mr. McPhail to the Honourable Lowell Murray. Approximately 5 pages in, sir, we see a document headed 2 "Understanding in Principle", "DRAFT July 15, 1988". 3 16103 MR. ALFORD: Yes. 4 5 16104 MR. VICKERY: Do you have that? 6 16105 MR. ALFORD: M'hm. MR. VICKERY: Could you tell me, sir, 7 16106 8 are you familiar with this draft document? 16107 MR. ALFORD: I probably would have participated in its drafting from the company's side. 10 11 16108 MR. VICKERY: Does this draft 12 represent the position which was put forward by Bear 13 Head Industries in July 1988 to the government? MR. ALFORD: If it is the document 14 16109 that ultimately became an understanding in principle 15 16 that was signed by the company, then it would be. 17 16110 MR. VICKERY: And if we go to the 18 terms of this document, sir, we see that at paragraph 19 2 -- paragraph 1 deals with commitments on the part of the company. Paragraph 2 deals with commitments on the 20 part of the Government of Canada that are being sought 21 22 by the company in exchange for its undertaking, I take 23 it. 24 16111 MR. ALFORD: Which page is this at 25 the tab?

1	16112 M	R. VICKERY: Page 2 of the document.
2	16113 M	R. ALFORD: Paragraph 2?
3	16114 M	R. VICKERY: Yes.
4	16115 M	R. ALFORD: Yes.
5	16116 M	R. VICKERY: And the document,
6	without getting int	to the deepest detail with regard to
7	the document, the d	document sets out a Phase I with
8	commitments on both	n sides and then I Phase II with
9	commitments on both	n sides.
10	16117 D	o you see that?
11	16118 M	R. ALFORD: Yes.
12	16119 M	R. VICKERY: So that document then
13	is put forward in o	draft form in mid July of 1988.
14	16120 C	ould I then ask you to turn to Tab
15	28 of the Schreiber	materials.
16	16121 A	t Tab 28 you should have a
17	memorandum again fi	com Wynne Potter to Mr. McPhail,
18	dated August 31, 19	988.
19	16122 D	o you see that?
20	16123 M	R. ALFORD: Yes.
21	16124 M	R. VICKERY: And the document is
22	headed "THYSSEN: I	DEPARTMENTAL CONCERNS" and it begins:
23		"As you know, we met with
24		representatives of DRIE, DND,
25		Finance and Justice this

1		afternoon. Specifically,
2		departmental positions and
3		concerns raised were the
4		following".
5	16125 U	nder DRIE, which is the Department
6	of Regional Industr	rial Expansion at the time, I
7	believe, Industry (Canada as it later was, we see a
8	"Position" recorded	1:
9		"Will recommend to Mr. de Cotret
10		that he not sign."
11	16126 A	nd under "Concerns" of DRIE we see,
12	first:	
13		"- need to prioritize Nova
14		Scotia requests for federal
15		funding e.g Westray,
16		Louisiana Pacific, Thyssen,
17		especially since a political
18		undertaking in support of the
19		Westray project may have been
20		made to the Province by Minister
21		de Cotret/PMO;"
22	16127 S	econd:
23		"- lack of money in the DRIE
24		budget for assistance programs,
25		including DTPP:"

1	16128	Third:
2		"- excess capacity (GM);"
3	16129	And fourth:
4		"- further details (business
5		plan) required on civilian
6		diversification."
7	16130	Were you aware that those were
8	concerns	of the Department of Regional Industrial
9	Expansion	in August of 1988?
10	16131	MR. ALFORD: No.
11	16132	MR. VICKERY: Do you have any reason
12	to expect	that they were not concerns being raised by
13	DRIE at th	nat time?
14	16133	MR. ALFORD: Some of the points I
15	would have	e anticipated; the concern for existing
16	capacity.	The rest of the elements, though, I mean I
17	wasn't pr	ivy to these internal documents. I am seeing
18	it for the	e first time.
19	16134	MR. VICKERY: And under "DND", the
20	"Position	1:
21		"Will recommend to Minister
22		Beatty that he not sign."
23	16135	And under the "Concerns":
24		"- Thyssen proposal only one of
25		several unsolicited proposals,

1	each of which DND would like to
2	reject because they involve some
3	degree of sole-sourcing or
4	lowered competition;
5	- source of funds, operational
6	requirements for LAV project so
7	far undefined;"
8	16136 Third:
9	"- uncertain regarding Thyssen's
10	ability to meet DND's
11	operational requirements."
12	16137 Fourth:
13	"NTV sole sourcing in Calgary
14	may have caused Ministers to be
15	'gun shy' on directing military
16	contracts"
17	16138 Were you aware, sir, that these were
18	concerns being put forward by DND, Department of
19	National Defence, in August 1988?
20	16139 MR. ALFORD: Again, I understand that
21	there may have been concerns. Some of them were shared
22	with me, but again not in this detail and not in this
23	memo.
24	16140 The point of sole sourcing and
25	competition became a policy that the government stated

1	over and over, but we observed the practice of sole
2	sourcing continued.
3	16141 MR. VICKERY: And in early September
4	of 1988, sir, were you aware that both Industry and
5	National Defence were proposing to recommend to their
6	ministers that their ministers not sign the undertaking
7	in principle being proposed by Thyssen?
8	16142 MR. ALFORD: No, I wasn't.
9	16143 MR. VICKERY: Could I ask you to move
10	to Tab 33, sir, of the Schreiber materials.
11	16144 At Tab 33 you should have the final
12	signed version of the understanding in principle.
13	Do you have that, sir?
14	16146 MR. ALFORD: I do.
15	16147 MR. VICKERY: I believe you indicated
16	this morning to my friend Mr. Roitenberg that you were
17	present when this document was delivered to
18	Mr. Schreiber.
19	16148 Is that correct?
20	16149 MR. ALFORD: I think I was.
21	16150 MR. VICKERY: Were you present when
22	Mr. Schreiber in fact signed the document?
23	16151 MR. ALFORD: I don't recall that
24	exactly.
25	16152 MR. VICKERY: And looking at the

1	signature page of the document, sir, paragraph 7 reads:
2	"The understandings in principle
3	set out in this Understanding in
4	Principle do not create any
5	enforceable, legal or equitable
6	rights, nor obligations, but
7	merely serve to document the:
8	(a) parameters that have been
9	set; and
LO	(b) areas on which discussions
L1	have been held, and
L2	understandings in principle
L3	reached."
L4	16153 Were you aware of that provision
L5	being included in the agreement, sir?
L6	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
L7	16155 MR. VICKERY: Was it your
L8	understanding, sir, that in September of 1988 the
L9	Government of Canada was not prepared to contractually
20	bind itself to Thyssen for the construction of the Bear
21	Head Project as proposed?
22	16156 MR. ALFORD: I'm sorry, I took this
23	document as the government's offer of good faith for
24	negotiations. So to the effect that item 7 says this
25	is a document for negotiation to keep both parties at

the table and both parties to meet obligations, that is 1 what the company proceeded with. 2 3 16157 MR. VICKERY: Were you of the view, sir, that this agreement was intended to create a legal 4 5 binding relationship between the parties? 6 16158 MR. ALFORD: I'm sorry, it wasn't a legal binding agreement. By definition, it was an 7 8 understanding. 16159 MR. VICKERY: All right. Thank you, 10 sir. 11 16160 Could I ask you to move back to your 12 binder, sir, to Tab 4 of that binder. What you should 13 have that Tab 4, sir, is a memorandum from a Jim Burkimsher to Wynne Potter, dated December 22, 1988. 14 Do you have that? 15 16161 16 16162 MR. ALFORD: Yes. 17 16163 The memo is apparently MR. VICKERY: with regard to Bear Head Industries December 1988 18 19 business plan. 20 16164 Do you recall, did Bear Head Industries provide a business plan to the government in 21 22 late 1988? MR. ALFORD: I think there was a 23 16165 preliminary document that was titled business plan, but 24 it was by no means a complete document. 25

1	16166 MR. VICKERY: And this memo then	
2	refers to various deficiencies in that business pl	an
3	that was provided. I believe my friend Mr. Roiten	berg
4	took you to this document this morning, in fact.	
5	Moving to the third paragraph of	the
6	first page, sir, we see that there are comments or	
7	concerns in relation to market projections, produc	ts,
8	financial data, capital costs, infrastructure and	level
9	of government contribution.	
10	16168 Were you made aware, sir, in late	÷
11	1988 that government, and specifically ACOA, had t	hose
12	concerns with regard to your business proposal?	
13	16169 MR. ALFORD: The business proposa	al
14	was a preliminary and a draft proposal shared with	
15	ACOA.	
16	16170 MR. VICKERY: Yes.	
17	16171 MR. ALFORD: It was intended to h	ıave
18	a second phase.	
19	16172 Yes, there was an exchange with	
20	ACOA	
21	16173 MR. VICKERY: And were you	
22	16174 MR. ALFORD: that detailed the	÷
23	areas of additional information they wished.	
24	16175 MR. VICKERY: Right. And were the	ıe
25	areas that I have just referred you to, sir, areas	in

1	which ACOA indicated concerns?
2	16176 MR. ALFORD: To the best of my
3	recall, yes.
4	16177 MR. VICKERY: Moving forward into the
5	more detailed paragraphs, then, we see under the
6	heading of "Market Projections" the proposal had no
7	detailed market forecasts, no marketing strategy or
8	analysis and environmental products were not specified.
9	16178 Were you aware of those concerns
10	being put forward, sir?
11	16179 MR. ALFORD: I think eventually there
12	was a communication provided from ACOA on the elements
13	they would like addressed and we responded to that.
14	16180 MR. VICKERY: Could you please answer
15	my question, sir. Were you aware
16	16181 MR. ALFORD: Well, you are asking
17	you are asking me to comment on this
18	MR. ROITENBERG: With due respect,
19	Mr. Commissioner
20	16183 MR. ALFORD: as an internal memo.
21	MR. ROITENBERG: Excuse me,
22	Mr. Alford.
23	16185 Mr. Commissioner, I appreciate that
24	Mr. Vickery has some very important questions to ask,
25	but Mr. Alford has some answers to give and should be

1	afforded the courtesy of answering as he sees fit.	
2	16186 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Okay.	
3	16187 MR. VICKERY: I'm subject to your	
4	direction of course, Commissioner. I simply wish to	
5	have a response to the question I had put to the	
6	witness.	
7	16188 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Well,	
8	candidly, I thought he was answering the question.	
9	MR. VICKERY: All right.	
10	16190 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Just go	
11	ahead, ask your question again and maybe you will get	
12	the answer you want.	
13	MR. VICKERY: All right.	
14	16192 Mr. Alford, then, were you aware that	
15	ACOA had concerns in December of 1988 with regard to	
16	the lack of a detailed market forecast, the lack of	
17	marketing strategy or analysis and the fact that	
18	environmental products had not been specified in your	
19	business plan?	
20	16193 MR. ALFORD: The company was	
21	officially aware when it received a communication from	
22	ACOA detailing the areas they wished additional commen	
23	on.	
24	MR. VICKERY: My question to you of	
25	course was	

1	16195 MR. ALFORD: That was January 31st.	
2	16196 MR. VICKERY: were you aware?	
3	16197 MR. ALFORD: Not that I recall.	
4	16198 MR. VICKERY: The next paragraph,	
5	sir, deals with products and it is indicated:	
6	"Other than light armoured	
7	vehicles and other military	
8	products, it is not clear what	
9	BHI will produce. A wide range	
10	of possible environmental	
11	products are listed, but the	
12	plant equipment would likely	
13	vary significantly, depending on	
14	which subset of products are	
15	produced. The split between	
16	military and environmental	
17	products is not identified."	
18	(As read)	
19	16199 Were you aware that those were	
20	concerns being raised by ACOA?	
21	16200 MR. ALFORD: When they addressed it	
22	as areas of additional information they wished, yes,	
23	and that was in January.	
24	16201 MR. VICKERY: And under the heading	
25	of "Financial Data" at paragraph 3, sir, it is	

1	indicated:
2	"There are no detailed financial
3	projections, pro forma operating
4	statements, no demonstration of
5	financial viability and not even
6	basic financial data is
7	provided." (As read)
8	16202 Were you aware that those were
9	concerns expressed by ACOA?
10	16203 MR. ALFORD: They were not expressed
11	by ACOA until January.
12	16204 MR. VICKERY: And were they expressed
13	in January, sir?
14	16205 MR. ALFORD: In the letter that
15	follows, I believe from Mr. Potter, I think they were.
16	16206 MR. VICKERY: All right. And under
17	the heading of "Capital Costs":
18	"Only ballpark estimates of
19	costs are provided. Costs could
20	vary significantly depending on
21	specific products,
22	environmental, and thus specific
23	equipment and machinery required
24	and there is no equipment list
25	provided " (Ac read)

1	16207 Were you aware or did you become
2	aware that those were concerns of ACOA?
3	16208 MR. ALFORD: Yes. They led to an
4	engineering study to identify those costs specifically
5	16209 MR. VICKERY: And under the heading
6	of "Infrastructure":
7	"Off-site infrastructure needs
8	are not specified nor costed \$27
9	million figure was a
10	guesstimate." (As read)
11	16210 Were you aware or did you become
12	aware that those were concerns of ACOA?
13	16211 MR. ALFORD: The infrastructure costs
14	were not the responsibility of Thyssen, so I believe
15	that would refer to responsibility of the combined
16	government parties to detail that cost.
17	16212 MR. VICKERY: Do you recall whether
18	Thyssen had put forward a guesstimate or an estimate of
19	\$27 million in that regard?
20	16213 MR. ALFORD: I don't.
21	MR. VICKERY: And finally under the
22	heading of "Government Assistance":
23	"No analysis of project
24	viability nor government
25	assistance required to make the

1	project feasible. Implied
2	assumption that government
3	assistance will be provided at
4	maximum levels for both military
5	and commercial products."
6	(As read)
7	16215 Were you aware or did you become
8	aware that those were concerns of ACOA?
9	16216 MR. ALFORD: I'm not sure if I
LO	understand how it is, yes. I mean, Thyssen put forward
L1	its position asking to qualify to the point that it was
L2	eligible in any category of training assistance,
L3	et cetera.
L4	16217 MR. VICKERY: Now then, sir, you have
L5	been referring to the next document, which is Tab 5 of
L6	the materials, and that is a letter dated January 31,
L7	1989 forwarded to you from Wynne Potter.
L8	16218 Is that correct?
L9	16219 MR. ALFORD: That's right.
20	16220 MR. VICKERY: And in the third
21	paragraph of that letter, sir, it is indicated:
22	"As we indicated to you on
23	December 21st, in general the
24	business plan as submitted is
25	underdeveloped, inadequate in

1	key information areas and does	
2	not yet meet established	
3	standards." (As read)	
4	16221 That was the position ACOA was taking	
5	with you on January 31, 1989?	
6	MR. ALFORD: Yes.	
7	16223 MR. VICKERY: And attached to the	
8	letter is an annex, and I suggest to you, sir, that the	
9	annex covers more or less exactly the concerns	
10	expressed in the earlier memo to which I was referring.	
11	16224 Is that fair?	
12	16225 MR. ALFORD: Yes.	
13	16226 MR. VICKERY: Going back to the	
14	second page of the letter itself, the letter concludes,	
15	in the penultimate paragraph:	
16	"In order to begin further	
17	development of the BHI	
18	initiatives, I suggest that a	
19	revised business plan be	
20	submitted by March 1, 1989 if at	
21	all possible." (As read)	
22	16227 Can you tell me, sir, was a revised	
23	business plan submitted by the date?	
24	16228 MR. ALFORD: A revised business plan	
25	was submitted. I do not recall the exact date.	

1	16229 MR. VIC	CKERY: Could I ask you to turn
2	to Tab 6 of your documen	t book, sir.
3	3 16230 At Tab	6 we see a letter from you on
4	behalf of Bear Head Indu	stries Limited to Mr. Wynne
5	Potter at ACOA?	
6	5 16231 MR. ALI	FORD: Yes.
7	7 16232 MR. VIC	CKERY: And it's dated March 1,
8	1989. Correct?	
9	0 16233 MR. ALI	FORD: Yes.
10	16234 MR. VIC	CKERY: And in the second
11	paragraph you say:	
12	7	In response to the areas where
13	yo	ou have requested additional
14	ir	nformation be assembled to
15	o co	omplete the business plan, we
16	ha ha	ave proceeded to broaden our
17	ac	ctivities to collect the
18	de de	etails necessary." (As read)
19	Do you	see that, sir?
20	16236 MR. ALI	FORD: Yes.
21	16237 MR. VIC	CKERY: Did you in fact then
22	undertake to collect the	details necessary to complete
23	the business plan as req	uested by ACOA?
24	16238 MR. ALI	FORD: Yes.
25	5 16239 MR. VIC	CKERY: Can I take you back to

1	the Schreiber binder, sir, and to Tab 51 of the binder.
2	16240 At Tab 51 of the binder is the minute
3	of a meeting that took place at the premises of the
4	Department of National Defence on February 5, 1990.
5	It's indicated you were in attendance at that meeting.
6	Do you recall that meeting, sir?
7	16242 MR. ALFORD: I think I do.
8	MR. VICKERY: And at that meeting
9	well, could you tell me, sir, what was the purpose of
10	the meeting from Thyssen's point of view?
11	Pause
12	MR. ALFORD: I would need to read the
13	minutes to give you an accurate report.
14	16245 MR. VICKERY: You don't recall?
15	16246 MR. ALFORD: I think the intention
16	I mean, the parties that were there were all the
17	parties that we hoped to do business with: naturally
18	the customer; the Department of National Defence,
19	should they eventually have a program we could
20	participate in; the Industry department, management of
21	that department; External Affairs; Supply and Services.
22	So it looks like everybody was there.
23	16248 MR. VICKERY: Sir, if I could ask you
24	to turn to page 5 of the minute, there is a reference
25	to "DND REMARKS", paragraph number 8.

1	16249	Do 7	you see that?
2	16250	And	it's indicated:
3			"The Deputy Chief of the Defence
4			Staff introduced the Chief
5			Operational Planning and Force
6			Development"
7	16251	MR.	ALFORD: I'm sorry, excuse me.
8	16252	MR.	VICKERY: I'm sorry.
9	16253	MR.	ALFORD: Help me find the page,
10	please.		
11	16254	MR.	VICKERY: Page 5 of the document,
12	5 of 9 at the bot	tom.	
13	16255	MR.	ALFORD: Yes.
14	16256	MR.	VICKERY: Paragraph IV, "DND
15	REMARKS".		
16	16257	MR.	ALFORD: Okay.
17	16258	MR.	VICKERY:
18			"The Deputy Chief of the Defence
19			Staff introduced the Chief
20			Operational Planning and Force
21			Development who provided a short
22			briefing on operational
23			requirements in general. His
24			briefing is attached to these
25			minutes. The CLDO then provided

1		a briefing on specific Army
2		requirements in which he
3		emphasized that while some
4		equipment requirements are
5		foreseen in the medium and long
6		term, none are planned within
7		the next few years."
8	16259 I	Do you recall that information being
9	given to you at th	is meeting, sir?
10	16260 N	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
11	16261 N	MR. VICKERY: And if I move to the
12	last page of the d	ocument "The DM", that would be
13	Deputy Minister:	
14		" stressed that at the
15		present time no firm commitments
16		can be made. Commitments cannot
17		be made within the next few
18		years; commitments after that
19		will depend on the level of
20		DND's budget."
21	16262 I	Do you recall that being said at this
22	meeting, sir?	
23	16263 N	MR. ALFORD: Do I recall it now?
24	16264 N	MR. VICKERY: Yes.
25	16265 N	MR. ALFORD: No. To read these

_		
1	minutes, it sounds	s like a reasonable report.
2	16266	MR. VICKERY: Thank you, sir.
3	16267	Turning back to your binder, sir
4	actually, I'm sorr	ry, first I would take you to Tab 52
5	of the Schreiber of	documents. There is one more item, as
6	I say, that I want	ted to refer you to.
7	16268	And at Tab 52 you should find a
8	letter, dated Febr	ruary 13, 1990, from Mr. Schreiber as
9	Chairman of Bear F	Head to Mr. Robert Fowler, Deputy
10	Minister, Departme	ent of National Defence.
11	16269	Do you see that, sir?
12	16270	MR. ALFORD: Yes, I do.
13	16271	MR. VICKERY: And that letter refers
14	in the opening par	ragraph to the meeting of February 5,
15	1990.	
16	16272	Do you see that?
17	16273	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
18	16274	MR. VICKERY: Moving to the second
19	page and looking o	down the second page, it appears that
20	Mr. Schreiber was	in fact responding to the
21	propositions put a	at the meeting and we see that:
22		"Geo-political events are having
23		impacts on the DND budget".
24	16275	Mr. Schreiber understands that.
25		"- A new budget is due soon (now

1		announced for Feb. 20th), and
2		there is speculation that DND
3		will be affected by further cuts
4		to capital spending;
5		- It is not yet possible to
6		commit to an Army need within
7		your DND planning framework
8		which is now under review;
9		- The Canadian Army has a need
10		to replace its current fleet of
11		armored vehicles with a vehicle
12		described as a Multi Role Combat
13		Vehicle (MRCV)".
14	16276	Then, in the second-last paragraph:
15		"- While the need for the MRCV
16		was described by the Army, it
17		was pointed out that this need
18		is not automatically a
19		'requirement' of DND until the
20		conclusion of the formal
21		planning process for DND".
22	16277	And then finally:
23		"- An estimate of timing for
24		conclusion of DND planning is
25		difficult, particularly before

1	the next budget".
2	16278 It appears then that what
3	Mr. Schreiber is doing in this letter, sir, is
4	confirming to Mr. Fowler the points that Mr. Fowler had
5	conveyed in a meeting of February 5th.
6	Does that appear correct to you?
7	16280 MR. ALFORD: It does.
8	MR. VICKERY: And those were all,
9	then, I take it, concerns that were at that point being
10	expressed by the Department of National Defence with
11	regard to the potential of the Bear Head project to
12	proceed?
13	16282 MR. ALFORD: Yes.
14	16283 MR. VICKERY: Now I would ask you to
15	turn to your book, sir. I apologize for taking you
16	back and forth.
17	16284 Tab 11 of the Alford documents.
18	16285 At Tab 11 you should have a letter
19	from yourself to Mr. Peter Smith, Vice-President of
20	ACOA, dated November 16, 1990.
21	Do you have that, sir?
22	16287 MR. ALFORD: I do.
23	16288 MR. VICKERY: It appears, according
24	to the first sentence of that letter, that you are
25	enclosing the financial, technical and marketing detail

which Mr. Smith requested.	
Do you recall, sir and is	f you look
at the second page you will see the document	that is
enclosed with the letter.	
16290 Is this in fact the more de	tailed
business plan that had been requested approxi	mately 18
months before?	
16291 MR. ALFORD: It's 19 years.	I don't
recall precisely but it looks like a reasonab	ole
assumption.	
16292 MR. VICKERY: Thank you, six	r.
16293 And are you aware as to whe	ther the
government continued to express concerns, hav	ring
reviewed this financial document, this more of	letailed
business plan?	
MR. ALFORD: Pardon me?	
MR. VICKERY: Are you aware	whether
the government had continuing concerns with r	egard to
the business plan that you produced in Decemb	er
November, rather, of 1990?	
16296 MR. ALFORD: Typically there	e was an
exchange. It would be submitted, reviewed, a	nd then
you would get a comment exchange and work on	the areas
of concern.	
16297 MR. VICKERY: And I take it	you don't
	at the second page you will see the document enclosed with the letter. 16290 Is this in fact the more detabusiness plan that had been requested approximants before? 16291 MR. ALFORD: It's 19 years. recall precisely but it looks like a reasonable assumption. 16292 MR. VICKERY: Thank you, six and are you aware as to when government continued to express concerns, have reviewed this financial document, this more dobusiness plan? 16294 MR. ALFORD: Pardon me? 16295 MR. VICKERY: Are you aware the government had continuing concerns with respect the government had continuing concerns with respect the business plan that you produced in December November, rather, of 1990? 16296 MR. ALFORD: Typically there exchange. It would be submitted, reviewed, and you would get a comment exchange and work on of concern.

1	at this point recall specifically whether such concer	ns
2	were expressed to you following your delivering the	
3	document in November of 1990?	
4	16298 MR. ALFORD: I don't recall	
5	specifically.	
6	16299 MR. VICKERY: I would ask you to tu	rn
7	once again to the Schreiber material, sir, Tab 63.	
8	16300 At Tab 63 we see a memorandum from	
9	Norman Spector, dated December 10, 1990, approximatel	У
10	a month after your delivery of the business plan, and	ĺ
11	it is indicated:	
12	"Attached is an aide-memoir on	
13	Thyssen's Bear Head Industry's	
14	proposal, prepared by the	
15	Atlantic Canada Opportunities	
16	Agency (ACOA) with input from	
17	National Defence, External	
18	Affairs and ISTC."	
19	16301 At the bottom of the first page, six	r,
20	the last paragraph reads:	
21	"DND notes that going ahead wit	th
22	this proposal would involve:	
23	additional costs of \$765 million	on
24	over and above the \$290 million	n
25	already budgeted; the need to	

1	fit the Fox"
2	16302 The Fox is the Thyssen light armoured
3	vehicle, I take it, at that point, sir?
4	16303 MR. ALFORD: It was one of several
5	that Thyssen manufactured.
6	MR. VICKERY: All right.
7	" the need to fit the Fox
8	into the not-yet-completed
9	defence policy, and the loss of
10	the potential for fleet
11	rationalization. On operational
12	grounds, among other concerns
13	DND has indicated that the Fox
14	is not air transportable. The
15	Thyssen proposal does include an
16	option for DND to purchase its
17	next generation TH495 vehicle;
18	however, this vehicle is still
19	on the drawing board and has
20	been neither tested nor costed."
21	16305 Did you become aware, sir, that DND
22	had the concerns indicated in this paragraph?
23	16306 MR. ALFORD: No, I wasn't aware of
24	the DND concern.
25	16307 MR. VICKERY: And on the second page

1	of the document, sir, in the penultimate parag	raph
2	dealing with the business plan, the second hal	f of that
3	paragraph reads:	
4	" DND feels that then	e is not
5	enough information with	in the
6	Thyssen business plan to	justify
7	this conclusion. (No ot	her
8	departments have seen the	ne plan.)
9	At approximately \$2 mill	lion per
10	job, the Government woul	ld want
11	to be very sure the plan	ı would
12	succeed."	
13	Do you recall DND expressing	that
14	concern, sir?	
15	MR. ALFORD: No, not from DNI).
16	16310 MR. VICKERY: Just one more of	locument
17	that I would refer you to, sir.	
18	16311 Commissioner, the document to	which I
19	wish to refer the witness is in the document b	ook
20	prepared by Commission counsel with regard to	Mr. Harry
21	Swain, who is this afternoon's witness.	
22	16312 At this point I would be cont	ent to
23	simply have Tab 9 marked for identification, a	nd my
24	friend can put the book into evidence as he wi	shes.
25	16313 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Tab	

1	MR. VICKERY: Tab 9.
2	16315 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Tab 9 of the
3	document book for the witness Harry Swain, who I hope
4	to be able to get to this afternoon, is a memorandum
5	from Mr. Swain to the Hon. Michael Wilson with copies
6	to the Hon. Tom Hockin and the Hon William C. Winegard.
7	16316 The memorandum doesn't appear to have
8	a date.
9	16317 MR. VICKERY: I believe there is a
10	date at the top right of the page, sir, December 4,
11	1992.
12	16318 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: That's the
13	date of the memorandum, is it?
14	16319 MR. VICKERY: That's my
15	understanding.
16	16320 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: I just see a
17	name there, James Slattery, with a phone number in the
18	same type as December 4, 1992.
19	You are satisfied that that's the
20	date of the memorandum?
21	16322 MR. VICKERY: That is my
22	understanding and I will confirm that with you, sir.
23	16323 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Okay.
24	16324 That memo, then, to Michael Wilson
25	will be received and marked as do we have any other

1	exhibits marked	for identification?
2	16325	MR. VICKERY: I believe there are
3	two.	
4	16326	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: This would be
5	Exhibit C then?	
6	16327	What exhibit for identification is
7	this? Is it Exh	ibit "C" for identification?
8	16328	MR. VICKERY: "D" I believe.
9	16329	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Okay.
10	16330	Exhibit "D" for identification.
11	Thank you.	
12		EXHIBIT NO. "D" (for
13		identification): Memorandum
14		from Mr. Swain to the Hon.
15		Michael Wilson with copies to
16		the Hon. Tom Hockin and the Hon.
17		William C. Winegard
18	16331	MR. VICKERY: Thank you.
19	16332	Can I ask you to turn to the second
20	page of the docu	ment, sir, which begins "In the spring
21	of this year"?	
22	16333	Do you see that?
23	16334	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
24	16335	MR. VICKERY: Beginning with the
25	second paragraph	and my understanding is this is a

1	document create	d in late 1992, December we read:
2		"In the late summer the
3		proposal"
4	16336	That's the Bear Head proposal:
5		" resurfaced address to the
6		Minister Responsible for the
7		Federal Office of Regional
8		Development for Québec (FORD-Q).
9		This proposal is not
10		significantly different from
11		those which have been rejected
12		previously. The proposal has
13		two phases. In phase 1 four
14		variant prototypes of the
15		Thyssen TH495 multipurpose base
16		armored vehicle would be
17		developed. " (As read)
18	16337	Do you recall that being part of the
19	proposal in 199	2, sir?
20	16338	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
21	16339	MR. VICKERY: It goes on:
22		"Thyssen would provide \$13
23		million in cash and existing
24		technology to be transferred
25		from Germany valued by them at

1		\$40 million." (As read)
2	16340	Do you recall that being a part of
3	the proposal a	at that time, sir?
4	16341	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
5	16342	MR. VICKERY: And it continues:
6		"The federal government would
7		provide an interest-free
8		forgivable loan of \$117
9		million." (As read)
10	16343	Do you recall that big a term, sir?
11	16344	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
12	16345	MR. VICKERY:
13		"And the provincial government
14		would provide a development
15		facility at no cost to the
16		company." (As read)
17	16346	Correct?
18	16347	MR. ALFORD: That relates to the R&D
19	facility, yes	
20	16348	MR. VICKERY: All right. So this is
21	the proposal t	that this morning you were referring to as
22	being the R&D	proposal with regard to the establishment
23	of a plant in	Québec?
24	16349	Is that correct?
25	16350	MR. ALFORD: Yes.

1	16351 MR. VICKERY: And at the bottom of
2	the page, the second last paragraph:
3	"Phase II
4	Establishment of a manufacturing
5	facility would require a further
6	interest-free forgivable loan
7	from the federal government of
8	\$56 million." (As read)
9	16352 Is that correctly a part of the
10	proposal being made in 1992, sir?
11	16353 MR. ALFORD: I'm sorry, I don't
12	recall that, but it could have been.
13	MR. VICKERY: And then continuing:
14	"The province would provide a
15	production facility at no cost
16	to the company and the company
17	would provide an additional \$12
18	million cash. D&D has been
19	asked to consider support
20	through testing programs and
21	assignment of engineering
22	resources." (As read)
23	16355 Again, those were terms of the
24	proposal being put forward at that time?
25	16356 MR. ALFORD: Yes. If you recall the

1	discussion this morning, that related to the
2	multipurpose base armored vehicle that was the NATO
3	initiative. Thyssen was already a participant in that
4	program from our head office in Germany, and the
5	government was asking us to transfer our R&D activity
6	and the world product mandate to Canada.
7	16357 MR. VICKERY: And among other terms
8	that Thyssen required in order to do that was the
9	provision of an interest-free forgivable loan in the
10	first phase of \$170 million?
11	16358 Is that correct, sir?
12	16359 MR. ALFORD: Yes, a loan.
13	16360 MR. VICKERY: Thank you.
14	16361 Those are my questions of this
15	witness.
16	16362 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Thank you.
17	16363 MR. VICKERY: Thank you.
18	16364 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Mr.
19	Roitenberg?
20	16365 MR. ROITENBERG: No re-examination,
21	Mr. Commissioner.
22	16366 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: No
23	re-examination. All right.
24	16367 I have one question I want to ask
25	you, Mr. Alford. I think it's one question.

1	16368 Mr. Vickery referred you to the
2	agreement between IAL and Thyssen.
3	Do you remember that?
4	16370 It's at Tab 23 of the Schreiber book.
5	Pause
6	16371 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: I'm sorry,
7	just a minute.
8	MR. HOUSTON: I believe it's Tab 10,
9	Commissioner.
10	16373 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Tab 10, yes.
11	Tab 10 of the Schreiber book.
12	16374 It starts out with a memorandum from
13	Dr. Klenk to Mr. Haastert and then on the second page
14	there is an agreement
15	16375 Are you with me?
16	MR. ALFORD: I am now.
17	16377 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: an
18	agreement between IAL International Aircraft Leasing
19	and Thyssen.
20	MR. ALFORD: Yes.
21	16379 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Do you recall
22	in your evidence when you referred to IAL, you referred
23	to that as being Mr. Schreiber's company?
24	16380 MR. ALFORD: I think that's what
25	Mr. Vickery described it as.

16381	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Well, is that
your knowledge?	
16382	MR. ALFORD: No.
16383	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Okay. What
knowledge do you	have?
16384	MR. ALFORD: I think in this document
there is a refere	ence to Mr. Haastert. He was on the
Board of Thyssen	Industry and he was one of the senior
directors involve	ed.
16385	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: But I thought
you said in your	evidence that IAL was Mr. Schreiber's
company.	
16386	MR. ALFORD: No. Mr. Vickery called
it that and I res	Terred to it as that.
16387	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: And you can't
confirm that?	
16388	MR. ALFORD: I did not know that.
16389	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Okay.
Thanks. That's a	all I wanted to know.
16390	Is there any reason why Mr. Alford
ought not to be e	excused at this point, counsel?
16391	Mr. Alford, thank you very much for
coming to assist	us. I do appreciate your help. I
mean that. You a	are free to leave, sir.
16392	MR. ALFORD: You are welcome, thank
	your knowledge? 16382 16383 knowledge do you 16384 there is a refere Board of Thyssen directors involve 16385 you said in your company. 16386 it that and I ref 16387 confirm that? 16388 16389 Thanks. That's a 16390 ought not to be a 16391 coming to assist mean that. You a

1	you.	
2	16393	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Thank you.
3	16394	MR. BATTISTA: Mr. Commissioner,
4	maybe if yo	ou want to take the afternoon break now, it's
5	3:15, and v	we can maybe come back maybe take a
6	shorter bre	eak and be back for 3:30.
7	16395	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: All right.
8	16396	MR. BATTISTA: If that is all right
9	with everyo	one else.
10	16397	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: That's fine.
11	We will bre	eak until 3:30 then. Thank you.
12	Upon re	ecessing at 3:15 p.m. / Suspension à 15 h 15
13	Upon re	esuming at 3:35 p.m. / Reprise à 15 h 35
14	16398	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Be seated,
15	please.	
16	16399	Mr. Battista?
17	16400	MR. BATTISTA: Good afternoon,
18	Mr. Commiss	sioner. I will call Mr. Smith, Paul Smith,
19	if he could	d come forward.
20	16401	He is represented by Mr. Paul Lepsoe
21	who is acco	ompanying him.
22	16402	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Good
23	afternoon,	Mr. Smith. Welcome.
24	SWORN: PAU	JL SMITH /
25	ASSERMENTÉ	: PAUL SMITH

1	MR. BATTISTA: Good afternoon,
2	Mr. Smith.
3	Maybe before starting,
4	Mr. Commissioner, we will file as Exhibit I think we
5	are up to P-19 the agenda of June 1993, the agenda
6	of the Prime Minister, Right Hon. Brian Mulroney.
7	16405 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: I think this
8	is P-20.
9	16406 MR. BATTISTA: P-20?
10	16407 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: You forget
11	the cameo appearance by Mr. Grondin this morning?
12	MR. BATTISTA: Oh yes. I'm sorry.
13	MR. GRONDIN: I took P-19.
14	16410 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Yes.
15	MR. BATTISTA: Yes, it's taken.
16	Sorry. So that is P-20.
17	EXHIBIT NO. P-20: June 1993
18	agenda of Prime Minister Brian
19	Mulroney
20	EXAMINATION: PAUL SMITH BY MR. BATTISTA /
21	INTERROGATOIRE : PAUL SMITH PAR Me BATTISTA
22	MR. BATTISTA: We will start.
23	16413 Mr. Smith, you worked as
24	Mr. Mulroney's Executive Assistant from April '91 to
25	October 1993.

1	16414 Is that correct?
2	MR. P. SMITH: Correct.
3	16416 MR. BATTISTA: Could you maybe just
4	give us a bit of background. What is your education?
5	What employment did you have before you worked as
6	Assistant Executive?
7	16417 MR. P. SMITH: Prior to my joining
8	Mr. Mulroney's office?
9	MR. BATTISTA: Yes.
10	16419 MR. P. SMITH: I had a Bachelor of
11	Commerce in accounting and a Bachelor in Political
12	Science, and I was halfway through an M.A. in Public
13	Administration.
14	16420 My work experience prior to then was
15	an Assistant to the Member of Parliament for St.
16	Boniface, whereupon I graduated to be a Special
17	Assistant in the office Mr. Clark at Foreign Affairs
18	and then briefly with Madame Landry at CIDA prior to
19	being asked to join the Prime Minister's office.
20	16421 MR. BATTISTA: Okay. And since then
21	you are in the private sector now, I understand?
22	MR. P. SMITH: I certainly am.
23	16423 MR. BATTISTA: So we will go back
24	to so the Executive Assistant to the Prime Minister,
25	I understand there is no formal description of that

1	job, but can you tell us what it is, what it is you did
2	during those years?
3	MR. P. SMITH: On a day-to-day basis
4	my job was to be with the Prime Minister some 10 to 16
5	hours a day, ensuring that his life and his day unfold
6	flawlessly, to the extent that it can, given the
7	burdens of his office.
8	So principally it was a logistical
9	role to ensure that all events were coordinated,
10	whether it be movements with the Mounties, whether it
11	be meetings occurring and people being at the right
12	places at the right time, whether it be meetings
13	finishing on time, whether it be binders and briefs
14	being prepared on time.
15	16426 MR. BATTISTA: Okay. Day-to-day, did
16	that involve you in the planning or organizing of the
17	days?
18	MR. P. SMITH: Yes and no. The
19	agenda, there was one large funnel, which was the
20	scheduling unit in the Prime Minister's office.
21	Long-range schedules were arranged via that unit. And
22	then daily schedules were arranged by the Prime
23	Minister's Executive Secretary.
24	16428 I was there to take the schedule and
25	ensure that it unfolded, again without flaw.

1	MR. BATTISTA: So when the Prime
2	Minister had scheduled appointments, who was it that
3	would schedule those agreements?
4	16430 MR. P. SMITH: Again, any one of
5	those two groups. On occasion, I suppose in the rare
6	instance I might be involved, but rare as to be
7	inconsequential.
8	16431 The work was principally done by the
9	scheduling unit, first and foremost, and then a daily
10	agenda was prepared by the Executive Secretary.
11	MR. BATTISTA: You were not involved
12	in that in any way?
13	MR. P. SMITH: No. I mean, I would
14	oversee it and I had a word in it and I would ensure
15	that it met with the Prime Minister's approval, but
16	these things were put together by other people.
17	MR. BATTISTA: Okay. When the Prime
18	Minister had meetings in Ottawa, where would they be?
19	Where would they take place normally?
20	16435 MR. P. SMITH: The large majority of
21	the meetings occurred in his office on Parliament Hill.
22	MR. BATTISTA: On Parliament Hill?
23	MR. P. SMITH: The vast majority.
24	MR. BATTISTA: Okay.
25	MR. P. SMITH: On occasion in the PMO

1	across the street.
2	16440 MR. BATTISTA: Okay. That is the
3	Langevin Building?
4	MR. P. SMITH: Yes, sir.
5	16442 MR. BATTISTA: Okay. 24 Sussex, did
6	meetings occur there?
7	MR. P. SMITH: On occasion.
8	MR. BATTISTA: On occasion.
9	16445 Harrington Lake, did they occur
10	there?
11	MR. P. SMITH: Yes, sir.
12	MR. BATTISTA: On occasion
13	MR. P. SMITH: Absolutely.
14	MR. BATTISTA: or regularly?
15	MR. P. SMITH: On occasion.
16	16451 MR. BATTISTA: Okay. When you say
17	the Parliament buildings, is that his office at
18	Parliament?
19	MR. P. SMITH: Yes, sir, Centre
20	Block, third floor.
21	MR. BATTISTA: Okay, the Centre
22	Block, third floor.
23	16454 And we have heard evidence about
24	meetings, for example, during Question Period, or the
25	break during Question Period, during parliamentary

1	hearings between three and four o'clock, meetings
2	behind the curtain as sometimes they are called because
3	it is behind a curtain of Parliament.
4	16455 You are familiar with that?
5	16456 MR. P. SMITH: I'm not, but perhaps I
6	can offer my own view as to what you may be referring
7	to?
8	16457 MR. BATTISTA: Yes, please go ahead.
9	MR. P. SMITH: There would be
10	after Question Period it was an opportunity to have
11	what's called pictures and handshakes and so Members of
12	Parliament, Ministers of the Crown and other people
13	would bring in constituents to have a picture and a
14	handshake with Mr. Mulroney after Question Period.
15	Meetings lasted anywhere from 30
16	seconds to $5-1/2$ minutes and then we would bring in the
17	other group and we would do that anywhere from half an
18	hour to 45 minutes.
19	16460 MR. BATTISTA: Okay. Would you be
20	present during those meetings?
21	MR. P. SMITH: Absolutely.
22	16462 MR. BATTISTA: What about private
23	meetings?
24	MR. P. SMITH: Yes.
25	MR. BATTISTA: We see, for example,

if we look at P-20 -- I will ask you to look at the 1 document. 2 MR. P. SMITH: P-20, sir, is the 3 16465 agenda? 16466 5 MR. BATTISTA: Yes, the agenda. 6 16467 If we look, for example, I will refer you to Thursday, 3 June. 7 8 16468 MR. P. SMITH: Yes. 16469 MR. BATTISTA: So we see "3:30 private meeting". 10 11 16470 MR. P. SMITH: Yes. 12 16471 MR. BATTISTA: Who would schedule 13 that? Who would write that in, "private meeting"? MR. P. SMITH: The Executive 16472 14 Secretary, I believe, sir. 15 16 16473 MR. BATTISTA: And how do we distinguish what's a private meeting from another type 17 18 of meeting? 19 16474 MR. P. SMITH: That's a good 20 question. I think that the distribution of the monthly agenda was far wider than the daily agenda, and so this 21 22 was also a record to keep track of events that had 23 occurred and so it can be retrospective in view. 16475 So the monthly agenda would have --24 25 you see here the revision date was June 24th.

1	1 16476 MR. BATTIS	TA: Yes.
2	2 16477 MR. P. SMI	TH: And sometimes the
3	scheduling unit would go bac	k and fill in the time
4	4 simply for there to be a rec	ord.
5	5 16478 Have I exp.	lained myself properly?
6	6 16479 MR. BATTIST	TA: Yes, you have. So
7	7 what you are saying is that	if we had actually looked
8	8 at maybe the daytimer, we wo	uld know who that meeting
9	9 was with or whose those meet	ings were with, if there
10	0 are private meetings	
11	1 16480 MR. P. SMI	TH: Correct.
12	2 16481 MR. BATTIST	TA: But when the agenda is
13	being reviewed, we note that	that is a private meeting
14	4 so it's just classified as a	private meeting.
15	5 16482 MR. P. SMI	TH: Correct.
16	6 16483 MR. BATTIS	TA: Okay. So you are
17	7 saying that this is probably	retrospect and not
18	8 indicated that way on a dail	y basis?
19	9 16484 MR. P. SMI	TH: Absolutely, sir. I
20	0 don't claim to be absolutely	certain, but that
21	certainly is my view.	
22	2 16485 MR. BATTIS	TA: When you were working
23	as Executive Assistant, woul	d you know if on that day
24	4 the Prime Minister had a pri	vate meeting?
25	5 16486 MR. P. SMI	TH: Absolutely.

1	16487	MR. BATTISTA: And what would the
2		protocol be for you when the Prime Minister had
3	16488	MR. P. SMITH: As I said, there was a
4		daily schedule prepared by the principal secretary and
5		that would have all the details on it.
6	16489	MR. BATTISTA: And what would you do?
7		For example, if there was a private meeting at 3:30,
8		what would happen? What would your role be? What
9		would your function be?
10	16490	MR. P. SMITH: My function would
11		simply be to ensure that it occurred according to the
12		Prime Minister's wish and that the people who were
13		scheduled to be there were there and the people who
14		were scheduled to attend with the Prime Minister on the
15		government side be there as well.
16	16491	MR. BATTISTA: Okay. So you would
17		meet these people necessarily before the meeting took
18		place because you would be with the Prime Minister?
19	16492	MR. P. SMITH: I would meet them.
20		That's a rather large word. I would ensure that they
21		were there.
22	16493	MR. BATTISTA: Okay. So we can go
23		on.
24	16494	As for the Centre Block private
25		meetings, the ones between three and four o'clock

1	16495 MR. P. SMITH: Yes?
2	16496 MR. BATTISTA: were these meetings
3	scheduled in the same way as all the other meetings or
4	was there a different procedure for this?
5	16497 MR. P. SMITH: I would say the same
6	as all the other meetings. There was a daily agenda,
7	as I say, so we knew what was going on.
8	16498 My sense of it is that this is a
9	retrospective view at times to fill in the time.
10	16499 MR. BATTISTA: So if we go back to
11	your memory of the time into your experience, how would
12	the daily agenda be organized?
13	16500 For example, 3 o'clock or 3:30 Centre
14	Block meeting, how would that be organized?
15	16501 MR. P. SMITH: If you are referring
16	to pictures and handshakes, that was a staple of the
17	agenda and we expected it to occur every chance that
18	the House sat.
19	16502 If it was a taping for a person, a
20	personage such as Mr. Mazankowski, that would simply
21	appear.
22	16503 If it was a so-called private
23	meeting, then that would be funnelled through the
24	principal secretary, Mr. Mulroney's principal
25	secretary

1	16504 MR. BATTISTA: Okay. So you would,
2	for example, know by the morning that today there is
3	going to be a private meeting?
4	MR. P. SMITH: Absolutely.
5	16506 MR. BATTISTA: Okay. And when we are
6	speaking about the Centre Block, in particular, could
7	anyone have access to the Centre Block?
8	16507 I mean, there is picture-taking you
9	are saying. It's a photo op with the Prime Minister
10	from three to four o'clock
11	16508 MR. P. SMITH: Could anyone have
12	access? There is security much security in Centre
13	Block. You are given credentials to walk around there
14	and if you don't have credentials, you are escorted.
15	16509 MR. BATTISTA: So this would require
16	planning ahead of time, to your knowledge?
17	MR. P. SMITH: Not as much as you
18	would expect.
19	MR. BATTISTA: Okay.
20	MR. P. SMITH: Not as much as you
21	would expect. I believe and I don't want to speak
22	for the commissionaires, but I believe that they are
23	used to having many people file through.
24	16513 As long as they go through that
25	process, my sense was that security had been addressed

1	16514	MR. BATTISTA: So what you are
2	:	saying and correct me if I am wrong is that
3]	between three and four o'clock, when Parliament was
4	:	sitting Question Period that break period, the
5]	Prime Minister, then Brian Mulroney, would regularly
6	f	take that time to meet people.
7	16515	MR. P. SMITH: Absolutely. Question
8]	Period, as it were QP was from two to three, and
9	ć	after that there would be a time to receive these
10]	people, who were brought to us by ministers and Members
11	(of Parliament.
12	16516	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Would it be
13	†	the case in almost every instance for the
14	ī	meet-and-greet, or the photo-and-handshake as you have
15	:	referred to it, Mr. Smith, that a constituent coming to
16	ī	meet the Prime Minister would be there accompanied by
17]	his or her Member of Parliament?
18	16517	MR. P. SMITH: Yes, sir.
19	16518	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Citizens just
20	(don't wander around by themselves back there, do they?
21	16519	MR. P. SMITH: You are absolutely
22	:	right, Mr. Commissioner. I didn't mean to intimate the
23	(contrary
24	16520	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: No, no, I am
25]	not suggesting that you did, but based on my knowledge,

to get back behind the curtains, you are usually in the 1 company of an MP. 2 16521 MR. P. SMITH: Yes, sir, a Member of 3 Parliament, a Minister of the Crown --4 16522 5 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Exactly. 6 16523 MR. BATTISTA: With regard to the daily agendas, they were prepared, you explained, by 7 8 the executive secretary. 16524 MR. P. SMITH: Yes, sir. 16525 MR. BATTISTA: Where were they kept 10 11 at the time? 12 16526 MR. P. SMITH: Where were they kept? 13 16527 MR. BATTISTA: Yes. 14 16528 Who was responsible for that daily 15 aqenda? 16529 16 MR. P. SMITH: Counsel, those days were fast and furious for a 26-year-old, but --17 18 16530 I have no idea where they were -- to 19 me, getting through the day was already a victory. 20 16531 MR. BATTISTA: So you weren't responsible for planning the days, and you weren't 21 22 responsible for planning ahead the weeks, you were 23 responsible for making sure that what was planned got done. 24

StenoTran

MR. P. SMITH: Absolutely.

25

16532

1	16533 MR. BATTISTA: And the people who
2	were responsible were the executive secretary
3	MR. P. SMITH: Yes.
4	16535 MR. BATTISTA: and who else?
5	16536 MR. P. SMITH: As I say, the
6	Scheduling Unit, the executive secretary, the tour
7	people, depending on the events that occur.
8	16537 I suppose the chief of staff, as
9	well. Some of those requests would come through the
10	chief of staff to the executive secretary.
11	16538 These things are all fluid,
12	counsellor. You do have a say in things, but,
13	principally, that was not, as I say, my main
14	occupation.
15	16539 MR. BATTISTA: In your experience,
16	someone like Fred Doucet, was he regularly in contact
17	with the Prime Minister? Did he regularly have access
18	to the Prime Minister in those days?
19	MR. P. SMITH: Not to my knowledge,
20	sir.
21	MR. BATTISTA: Not to your knowledge.
22	Did you have an office?
23	MR. P. SMITH: I did have an office,
24	yes.
25	MR. BATTISTA: Where was it located?

1	16545 MR. P. SMITH: The third floor of
2	Centre Block, about 15 paces or 12 paces from his.
3	16546 MR. BATTISTA: From the Prime
4	Minister's Office.
5	MR. P. SMITH: Yes, sir.
6	MR. BATTISTA: Where did you
7	typically spend your time on a working day?
8	16549 You were physically with the Prime
9	Minister?
10	16550 MR. P. SMITH: I was with Mr.
11	Mulroney, or in his vicinity, as I say, 10 to 16 hours
12	a day. Wherever he went, I was either a step ahead or
13	a step behind and, hopefully, out of the way.
14	16551 MR. BATTISTA: When you say "out of
15	the way", would you sit in at meetings?
16	MR. P. SMITH: Rarely.
17	16553 MR. BATTISTA: Rarely.
18	MR. P. SMITH: I would be planning
19	the next meeting.
20	16555 Can I register
21	16556 MR. BATTISTA: Yes, please, go ahead.
22	16557 MR. P. SMITH: I did have the honour
23	of sitting in the last cabinet meeting. That was a
24	rare honour, and I appreciated it very much.
25	16558 MR. BATTISTA: Did you work or

interact with Mr. Mulroney's chiefs of staff at the 1 2 time? 3 16559 MR. P. SMITH: Absolutely. 16560 MR. BATTISTA: Who did you work with 4 5 when you were there, do you remember? 6 16561 MR. P. SMITH: When I arrived it was Mr. Spector, and then Mr. Segal -- Hugh Segal -- and 7 then David McLaughlin toward the end. 8 16562 MR. BATTISTA: And what type of relationship did you have with them? What type of 10 11 working relationship did you have with them? 12 16563 MR. P. SMITH: A very fruitful one, 13 an excellent working relationship. 14 16564 But, as I say, my boss was the Prime Minister. I respected their role, and I think they 15 16 respected mine, and I think they respected that I reported to him. 17 18 16565 MR. BATTISTA: You basically 19 interacted with them, but you were working for Mr. Mulroney. 20 16566 MR. P. SMITH: Absolutely. That's 21 22 the way I see it. 23 16567 MR. BATTISTA: Now, did your functions change drastically when Mr. Mulroney was no 24 longer Prime Minister? 25

1	16568 MR. P. SMITH: I didn't carry the
2	title of Executive Assistant to the Prime Minister
3	16569 MR. BATTISTA: No, but in terms of
4	your role
5	16570 MR. P. SMITH: but I stayed with
6	him, yes.
7	16571 Yes, sir, I stayed with him,
8	principally in the same role, in his Parliament Hill
9	office, until his no longer being a Member of
10	Parliament in October of `93.
11	MR. BATTISTA: So from June to
12	October were you in contact with him regularly?
13	MR. P. SMITH: Regularly, but not as
14	much as before. He had started to move on into his
15	private life.
16	MR. BATTISTA: He wasn't around
17	Ottawa as much as he was before?
18	16575 MR. P. SMITH: No, I believe that
19	they left Harrington Lake on or around June 27th and
20	made their way into the sunset.
21	16576 MR. BATTISTA: So your contact with
22	him was by which means?
23	MR. P. SMITH: By telephone.
24	Principally by telephone.
25	MR. BATTISTA: So you weren't

1	necessarily organizing or assisting him at meetings, or
2	making sure that the meetings were taking place the way
3	you were before.
4	16579 MR. P. SMITH: No, sir. No, I
5	believe that transition had occurred with Madam Collins
6	in his Montreal office.
7	16580 MR. BATTISTA: I am going to take you
8	to the Harrington Lake meeting of June 23rd.
9	MR. P. SMITH: Sure.
10	MR. BATTISTA: You had a copy of the
11	agenda of the sort of monthly daytimer that we
12	introduced into evidence as P-20. Can you tell us why
13	you had this document, and under what circumstances?
14	MR. P. SMITH: Why did I have this
15	document?
16	MR. BATTISTA: Yes.
17	16585 MR. P. SMITH: I simply wanted to
18	keep a record for myself of those heady days. As I
19	say, one day came after another, and they were all long
20	and very fast, and I wanted to be able to reflect on
21	this into my old age.
22	16586 MR. BATTISTA: So is it fair to say
23	that you would have a copy of your daytimers for the
24	period that you were not the daytimers, but the
25	monthly agendag for the period that you worked for the

1	Pr	rime Minister?
2	16587	MR. P. SMITH: I believe so.
3	16588	MR. BATTISTA: On June 23rd, 1993,
4	wh	ny were you at Harrington Lake?
5	16589	MR. P. SMITH: Because you see
6	th	nat Mrs. Campbell won the leadership on June 13th.
7	Sh	ne becomes leader of the party, and is getting
8	pr	repared to assume the prime ministership, which occurs
9	or	the 25th. We had, I believe, by then vacated.
10	16590	"We" I don't mean to be
11	pr	resumptuous Mr. Mulroney's family had vacated 24
12	Su	ussex and they were living at Harrington Lake.
13	16591	MR. BATTISTA: Was there a specific
14	re	eason that you were there?
15	16592	MR. P. SMITH: Oh, I'm sorry.
16	Wh	nerever the Prime Minister went, I went. So, if there
17	ar	re events of the day that occur at Harrington Lake,
18	I'	m there, a few hours ahead of time and a few hours
19	af	Eter we are done.
20	16593	MR. BATTISTA: When we look at the
21	da	aytimer for the 23rd of June, what would indicate to
22	λc	ou that you were at Harrington Lake?
23	16594	MR. P. SMITH: I want to answer your
24	qu	estion very carefully. I don't know that there is
25	ar	withing on this agenda that indicates that but it

certainly is very clear in my mind that we were there 1 at that period in time. 2 16595 3 MR. BATTISTA: Do you remember when you would have arrived at Harrington Lake that day? 4 16596 5 MR. P. SMITH: If you are referring to the 23rd --6 16597 MR. BATTISTA: Yes. 7 8 16598 MR. P. SMITH: -- that's a different kind of day, but, as I say, I usually would get there anywhere from an hour to an hour and a half prior to 10 11 Mr. Mulroney's day starting. 12 16599 MR. BATTISTA: And on the 23rd? MR. P. SMITH: On the 23rd --13 16600 14 --- Pause 16601 MR. P. SMITH: On the 23rd, that 15 16 seems to be -- and I am choosing my words as carefully as I can -- that seems to be the day that a meeting 17 18 occurred between Mr. Schreiber and Mr. Mulroney. 19 16602 I recall that meeting occurring, but 20 it's only after looking at my agenda that it seems logical to me that it occurred on that day. 21 22 16603 MR. BATTISTA: Why do you recall the 23 meeting occurring? 16604 MR. P. SMITH: Because I drove Mr. 24 25 Schreiber to Harrington Lake.

1	16605	MR. BATTISTA: And why is that
2	something that y	ou would remember?
3	16606	MR. P. SMITH: I simply I remember
4	driving him to t	he lake. We were outside of Hull, we
5	were in the vehi	cle, he's in the passenger seat it's
6	as clear as day.	
7	16607	I remember light conversation about
8	my desire to stu	dy to pursue an M.B.A. in Europe,
9	which I subseque	ntly did.
10	16608	I also recall his giving me his
11	business card, i	nviting me to call him after my M.B.A.
12	was completed.	
13	16609	MR. BATTISTA: Okay.
14	16610	MR. P. SMITH: That's what I recall.
15	16611	MR. BATTISTA: How often had you met
16	Mr. Schreiber?	
17	16612	MR. P. SMITH: Never. The first
18	time.	
19	16613	MR. BATTISTA: That was the first
20	time?	
21	16614	The only time?
22	16615	MR. P. SMITH: Pardon me?
23	16616	MR. BATTISTA: The only time you met
24	him?	
25	16617	MR. P. SMITH: Yes, sir.

1	16618 MR. BATTISTA: We have heard evidence
2	here, and there are documents that sort of tend to
3	indicate that Mr. Schreiber had met Mr. Mulroney on
4	several occasions during your period when you were
5	executive assistant.
6	16619 There is an indication that he met
7	him on April 10th, `91.
8	Now, you started in April of 1991.
9	Do you remember when in April of `91?
10	MR. P. SMITH: Well, I like saying
11	April 8th, but I am under oath, so I've got to be
12	careful, but that's what I tell my son.
13	16622 MR. BATTISTA: Okay. April 8th
14	16623 MR. P. SMITH: 1991.
15	MR. BATTISTA: Why do you say April
16	8th?
17	16625 MR. P. SMITH: Because I have a thing
18	for dates.
19	16626 MR. BATTISTA: All right. So this
20	was a period when you would have been working there.
21	Do you remember ever meeting him
22	Mr. Schreiber?
23	MR. P. SMITH: No, in April of `91 I
24	was on training wheels, as it were, and I don't recall
25	meeting him.

1	16629 MR. BATTISTA: The date that we were
2	referring to, the April 10th date, is found in a letter
3	that has been filed with the Commission, where Mr.
4	Schreiber thanks Mr. Mulroney for having met him.
5	16630 That, I take it, would be a more
6	formal meeting than just a private meeting. Would that
7	help you in any way?
8	MR. P. SMITH: I can't help you, sir.
9	16632 MR. BATTISTA: Okay. There was
10	another meeting on May 5th, 1992, in Mr. Mulroney's
11	office, with Mr. MacKay also present.
12	Would that help you, that Mr.
13	Mulroney met
14	16634 MR. P. SMITH: No, sir.
15	MR. BATTISTA: Not at all.
16	16636 And on June 3rd we have here on
17	your daytimer the monthly revised
18	MR. P. SMITH: It's not my daytimer,
19	sir.
20	MR. BATTISTA: No, no, the document
21	that you had, the revised agenda for June `93 we
22	have on Thursday the 3rd of June, "3:30 - Private
23	Meeting".
24	We have heard evidence here, and
25	there are documents that we have that will be filed,

1	that suggest and confirm that a meeting took place
2	between Mr. Doucet, Mr. Schreiber and Mr. Mulroney.
3	16640 Would you have any recollection of
4	that?
5	MR. P. SMITH: None, sir.
6	16642 MR. BATTISTA: That was just 20 days
7	before the 23rd of June. That would not, in any way -
8	16643 MR. P. SMITH: Your question is fair,
9	but I have no recollection.
10	MR. BATTISTA: Okay. Do you recall
11	what you did at Harrington Lake that day?
12	16645 MR. P. SMITH: That's a fairly
13	open-ended question. I remember driving Mr. Schreiber
14	there. I remember the meeting occurring. I don't kno
15	how he left.
16	16646 My routine would have been to stay
17	throughout the day. As I look at the agenda, there
18	were other events on the day. So I would have stayed
19	there to ensure that these meetings would unfold, and
20	would have left after the day was done.
21	16647 MR. BATTISTA: Did Mr. Mulroney
22	regularly receive guests or conduct business at
23	Harrington Lake?
24	16648 MR. P. SMITH: As you can see, it
25	depended

1	16649	This was abnormal for him, I believe.
2	I mean, I don't wa	nt to contradict anyone, but he was
3	at the end of his	prime ministership. Mrs. Campbell
4	was moving into 24	•
5	16650	They were at Harrington Lake. They
6	spent holidays the	ere, but most of the business was not
7	done there.	
8	16651	So now we are into the tail-end, and
9	some meetings are	occurring. It's a fairly full
10	agenda, as you can	see.
11	16652 I	MR. BATTISTA: Were you regularly
12	asked to bring gue	ests to Harrington Lake?
13	16653 I	MR. P. SMITH: No, sir.
14	16654 I	MR. BATTISTA: This was a unique
15	occasion, or an un	usual occasion?
16	16655 I	MR. P. SMITH: Certainly unusual, and
17	I would say the on	ly one. The only time.
18	16656 I	MR. BATTISTA: The only one?
19	16657 I	MR. P. SMITH: Yeah.
20	16658 I	MR. BATTISTA: Now, do you have an
21	independent recoll	ection, when you think back today, of
22	that day?	
23	16659	You said that you remember speaking
24	to Mr. Schreiber.	
25	16660 I	MR. P. SMITH: Yes.

1	16661 MR. BATTISTA: You remember him
2	giving you his business card.
3	MR. P. SMITH: Yeah.
4	MR. BATTISTA: Do you remember where
5	you picked him up?
6	MR. P. SMITH: No, sir, I honestly
7	don't.
8	16665 MR. BATTISTA: Do you remember if it
9	was in Ottawa or outside Ottawa?
10	16666 MR. P. SMITH: I believe it was in
11	Ottawa. Where in Ottawa, I have no idea.
12	16667 MR. BATTISTA: Do you recall who
13	asked you to pick him up?
14	16668 MR. P. SMITH: I have no
15	recollection, sir.
16	I have thought about that a lot, and
17	I have no idea. And there is no point in speculating,
18	I think, but I certainly wouldn't have done this free
19	form, as it were, without checking it with Mr.
20	Mulroney.
21	16670 MR. BATTISTA: So you don't recall
22	who asked you to pick him up?
23	16671 MR. P. SMITH: No, sir.
24	MR. BATTISTA: You wouldn't recall
25	when you were asked to do this the day before, a few

days before, a week before? 1 2 16673 MR. P. SMITH: It would be logical 3 that it would only have occurred in the days preceding. 16674 MR. BATTISTA: Okay, but you don't 4 have an independent --6 16675 MR. P. SMITH: No, sir. 16676 MR. BATTISTA: -- recollection of 7 that. 16677 Now, you said that you have given this some thought. 10 11 16678 MR. P. SMITH: Yes. 12 16679 MR. BATTISTA: Why have you given it 13 some thought? I think I know the answer, but I will 14 16680 let you --15 16 16681 MR. P. SMITH: Thought as to who would have asked me? 17 18 16682 MR. BATTISTA: Yes, and what happened 19 on the 23rd of June 1993. 20 16683 MR. P. SMITH: I think that -- I do follow the news, and this has been in the news for a 21 22 period of time. I did have a conversation with Mr. 23 16684 Roitenberg four weeks ago and the same question was 24 posed, so it would cause one to think about it, if it 25

apparently is important to the people looking at the 1 situation. 2 3 16685 I'm sorry, I can't help you any better -- any more than that. 4 16686 MR. BATTISTA: You say that you don't 5 recall who asked you. I am going to suggest -- was it 6 Mr. Mulroney himself who asked you, or was it someone 7 like Mr. Fred Doucet who asked you? 8 16687 Do you know? 16688 Do either of those names -- Mr. 10 11 Mulroney, obviously, you were working for him. Mr. Fred Doucet --12 13 16689 MR. P. SMITH: I don't think that the Prime Minister would have asked me. That doesn't sound 14 logical to me. 15 Who would have asked me? 16 16690 17 16691 Now we are getting into the area of 18 speculation. Could it have been Mr. Doucet? Perhaps. 19 16692 MR. BATTISTA: Did you know Mr. 20 Doucet at the time? 16693 MR. P. SMITH: I did. 21 22 16694 MR. BATTISTA: Did you know the type 23 of relationship he had with Mr. Mulroney at the time? 24 16695 MR. P. SMITH: I know that it's a long friendship, going back to university days. 25

1	16696 MR. BATTISTA: Did they meet
2	regularly when Mr. Mulroney was Prime Minister?
3	16697 MR. P. SMITH: I mean, yes and no. I
4	mean, they are friends, so they talk and they meet
5	and
6	16698 I didn't see it as a formal type of
7	relationship. These are friendships that go back
8	decades.
9	16699 MR. BATTISTA: You were there for a
10	period of approximately three years.
11	16700 Is that fair to say?
12	Or two years two full years `91
13	to `92 and `92 to `93.
14	16702 MR. P. SMITH: `93, yeah.
15	16703 MR. BATTISTA: In that period of
16	time, did you see Mr. Doucet frequently visit, or pay a
17	visit, or stop over and speak to Mr. Mulroney?
18	16704 MR. P. SMITH: Yeah, I believe when
19	we started out on this type of questioning no, sir,
20	I don't remember him popping by the office every other
21	day, or frequently to use your term.
22	16705 MR. BATTISTA: Okay. But you did
23	know who he was.
24	MR. P. SMITH: Sure.
25	16707 MR. BATTISTA: And you knew that he

1	was a close pers	onal friend of Mr. Mulroney?
2	16708	MR. P. SMITH: Absolutely.
3	16709	MR. BATTISTA: And that their
4	friendship went	back a long way?
5	16710	MR. P. SMITH: I did, yeah.
6	16711	MR. BATTISTA: Did you know what type
7	of work Mr. Douc	et was involved in?
8	16712	MR. P. SMITH: Yes.
9	16713	MR. BATTISTA: What type of work was
10	it?	
11	16714	MR. P. SMITH: I believe government
12	relations.	
13	16715	MR. BATTISTA: And was it your
14	knowledge that h	e was lobbying on behalf of certain
15	industries or ce	rtain companies?
16	16716	MR. P. SMITH: No, sir.
17	16717	MR. BATTISTA: Do you remember what
18	type of vehicle	you were using when you
19	16718	MR. P. SMITH: On the 23rd of June?
20	16719	MR. BATTISTA: Yes.
21	16720	MR. P. SMITH: A second-hand Jeep.
22	16721	MR. BATTISTA: A second-hand Jeep.
23	16722	What type was it?
24	16723	MR. P. SMITH: It was either my
25	second-hand Suzu	ki Samurai or my second-hand Jimmy.

1	16724 The vernacular is that they call
2	these vehicles Jeeps.
3	16725 MR. BATTISTA: You use the term
4	"Jeep" as opposed to "SUV". Is there a reason for
5	that?
6	16726 MR. P. SMITH: I am of the generation
7	that still calls them Jeeps.
8	16727 MR. BATTISTA: Okay. Since you have
9	spoken to Mr. Roitenberg about this, were you able to
10	check your records to determine what type of vehicle
11	you were in fact the owner of at that time?
12	MR. P. SMITH: Believe me, I have
13	tried. I understand that it is important to this
14	Commission. I have tried looking. I have spoken to
15	the dealership, I have looked at my files 16 years
16	ago.
17	16729 MR. BATTISTA: So what you recall is
18	that you had either a Suzuki Samurai or a GMC Jimmy.
19	16730 Is that it?
20	MR. P. SMITH: Yes, sir.
21	16732 The Samurai was blue, the Jimmy was
22	black.
23	16733 MR. BATTISTA: Okay. When you drove
24	him to Harrington Lake the agenda here indicates 11
25	o'clock. What did you do when you got there with Mr.

Schreiber, do you recall? 1 2 MR. P. SMITH: I don't recall, but I 16734 3 can tell you what typically would occur. I would stand away and stay near to a phone, and be available if folks were looking for us or for the Prime Minister. 5 6 16735 MR. BATTISTA: What we see here on the agenda is that there was an 11 o'clock meeting, and 7 8 then the next one was at 2:30. 16736 MR. P. SMITH: Yes. I read that as 9 "two", sir, but -- two o'clock --10 11 16737 MR. BATTISTA: Two o'clock. Sorry, yes, that's my mistake. 12 13 16738 Between 11 and two o'clock, is it fair to assume that that was the duration of the 14 meeting, or was it shorter than that? 15 16 16739 MR. P. SMITH: Well, it certainly wasn't a three-hour meeting, if that's your question. 17 18 16740 I can't recall how long it lasted, but certainly not for that entire span. 19 16741 MR. BATTISTA: Now, how would Mr. 20 Schreiber have left Harrington Lake? 21 22 16742 MR. P. SMITH: That, too, is a 23 subject of conversation. I have no idea. 16743 I am fairly confident -- and I don't 24 pretend to be absolutely certain, but I am fairly 25

1	confident that I did not drive him out.
2	So how would he have left? By other
3	motorized vehicles. Whether it was a taxi or another
4	car, I don't know, but I am fairly confident that it
5	wasn't me.
6	16745 MR. BATTISTA: What you would know
7	from your agenda, if we go on the basis of your
8	experience, is that at two o'clock you would certainly
9	have been at Harrington Lake, to make sure that Mr.
10	Mulroney was ready for his meeting or
11	16746 MR. P. SMITH: Yeah. I mean, David
12	McLaughlin was his then chief of staff. The formality
13	of it is far less than, say, a complete outsider, but,
14	still, it is my job to ensure that things unfold the
15	way they are supposed to. So it would have been in my
16	routine to have been there.
17	16747 MR. BATTISTA: How did people
18	normally come to Harrington Lake?
19	16748 Did they get there on their own
20	means, were they escorted? What was the practice when
21	you were there?
22	16749 MR. P. SMITH: Well, I drove in. I
23	had my own vehicle and I would drive through the gates
24	Other people I think that other people may have
25	arrived by taxi, or have taken their own vehicles.

1	16750 It's somewhat secluded, as you know.
2	MR. BATTISTA: And in the case of Mr.
3	Schreiber, you have absolutely no recollection
4	whatsoever of how he left the premises.
5	16752 MR. P. SMITH: No, sir.
6	16753 MR. BATTISTA: Is it possible that
7	Mr. Schreiber would have left Harrington Lake with the
8	Prime Minister's chauffeured limousine?
9	MR. P. SMITH: No, I think that to be
10	virtually impossible. The Mounties because it's not
11	in the Mounties' job description. They are there to
12	guard the Prime Minister and to have the vehicle there,
13	at the ready, were an emergency to occur.
14	16755 I think that would be a severe breach
15	of protocol, quite frankly.
16	16756 MR. BATTISTA: And there was no other
17	means of transportation that was available for the
18	Prime Minister for a guest that would be on the
19	premises, as far as you know?
20	16757 MR. P. SMITH: No, sir.
21	16758 MR. BATTISTA: I know that this is
22	maybe asking you to speculate, but I am asking you to
23	take not to speculate, but to think this through and
24	to tell us how from your experience, how Mr.
25	Schreiber could have left the Harrington Lake premises.

1	16759 If you drove him there and you di	ldn't
2	drive him back, and the Prime Minister didn't have	him
3	escorted out, how did he leave?	
4	16760 MR. P. SMITH: The realm of	
5	possibility, as it were, would be to call a taxi -	_
6	16761 MR. BATTISTA: Did that happen	
7	frequently, to your knowledge and in your experien	ce?
8	16762 MR. P. SMITH: It's not as if we	
9	received a pile of people out there, as I mentione	d. I
10	remember that the messenger would leave Centre Blo	ck
11	and deliver stuff by taxi, so that's an image in m	У
12	mind.	
13	16763 How David MacLaughlin got there t	hat
14	day I assume he got there in his own car.	
15	16764 MR. BATTISTA: So other than a ta	axi,
16	there is not much	
17	16765 MR. P. SMITH: Maybe a staffer go	oing
18	into town, but those are the only	
19	16766 MR. BATTISTA: Okay. Did you eve	er
20	discuss this particular matter with Mr. Mulroney?	
21	16767 MR. P. SMITH: The day's event?	
22	MR. BATTISTA: Yes.	
23	16769 MR. P. SMITH: Yes, sir.	
24	16770 MR. BATTISTA: Can we know when?	
25	16771 MR. P. SMITH: It was in preparat	ion

for his testimony before the parliamentary committee. 1 16772 2 MR. BATTISTA: And did it ever occur 3 to you to, maybe, come forward and say, you know, "Maybe I can offer testimony," or was that ever an offer that was posed to you? 5 6 16773 MR. P. SMITH: It wasn't asked of me; I would certainly have volunteered. 7 8 16774 MR. BATTISTA: You would have volunteered? 16775 MR. P. SMITH: Well, I mean, I would 10 11 have -- if called upon, I would have -- I would have presented myself. 12 13 16776 MR. BATTISTA: It is fair to say, though, that you never, on your own, took the 14 initiative of offering to testify --15 16 16777 MR. P. SMITH: No. 17 16778 MR. BATTISTA: -- or to meet with 18 anybody on this matter. 19 16779 MR. P. SMITH: No, sir. 20 16780 MR. BATTISTA: If I could just have a second, Commissioner. 21 22 --- Pause 23 16781 MR. BATTISTA: Maybe just a follow-up 24 question on the issue of the discussion with Mr.

StenoTran

Mulroney on this matter.

25

1	16782 When you spoke to Mr. Mulroney, what
2	exactly did you talk about?
3	16783 MR. P. SMITH: What did we talk
4	about?
5	16784 Probably, I would have produced this
6	agenda. I sent it down.
7	16785 And I think I don't know how I
8	think he asked me what I remembered of that day, and
9	that's when I said that I remembered quite clearly
10	having driven Mr. Schreiber to the lake.
11	16786 MR. BATTISTA: Did the issue of what
12	that meeting was about ever come up?
13	MR. P. SMITH: With Mr. Mulroney?
14	MR. BATTISTA: Yeah.
15	16789 MR. P. SMITH: Well, he did ask me if
16	I had staffed the meeting or attended it, to which I
17	replied no. So that kind of left it at that, as to
18	what was discussed during the meeting.
19	16790 MR. BATTISTA: And you never
20	discussed it with him on that day, I presume?
21	16791 MR. P. SMITH: No, sir.
22	MR. BATTISTA: When Mr. Mulroney
23	would be receiving a guest, for example, as happened
24	here, there would be a plan
25	16793 I know that this is a revised agenda,

but I presume that on the 23rd of June -- the daytimer 1 that you had indicated that Mr. Schreiber was to be 2 3 there. 16794 MR. P. SMITH: Correct. 5 16795 MR. BATTISTA: Would you have 6 discussions with the Prime Minister, then, to know what 7 the meeting was about? 8 16796 MR. P. SMITH: No, sir. Our relationship was somewhat formal. I didn't ask questions, I did things when asked. 10 11 16797 MR. BATTISTA: Okay. And since that 12 time, since this has become an issue -- whether you 13 drove him or not, and what happened on the 23rd of June -- have you discussed with him what the purpose of 14 that meeting was or what the content of that meeting 15 16 was? 16798 MR. P. SMITH: No, sir. 17 18 16799 MR. BATTISTA: Okay. Those are my 19 questions, Commissioner. 20 16800 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Thank you, Mr. Battista. 21 22 16801 Mr. Hughes...? 23 EXAMINATION: PAUL SMITH BY MR. HUGHES / INTERROGATOIRE: PAUL SMITH PAR Me HUGHES 24 25 16802 MR. HUGHES: Thank you, Commissioner,

1	I just have a couple of quick questions.
2	16803 Mr. Smith, Mr. Schreiber testified
3	last week that he received an autographed picture of
4	the Prime Minister. Could you tell us, in your time
5	with the Prime Minister's Office, how often the Prime
6	Minister would sign autograph pictures?
7	MR. P. SMITH: How often he would
8	sign them? Frequently.
9	16805 MR. HUGHES: Could you say
10	approximately how many he would have signed in your
11	time with him?
12	16806 MR. P. SMITH: In my time, thousands.
13	16807 MR. HUGHES: Thousands. And, of
14	those, could you say how many would have again, an
15	estimate how many would have expressed gratitude,
16	thanks or appreciation to the recipient?
17	16808 MR. P. SMITH: Frequently.
18	16809 MR. HUGHES: Hundreds, thousands?
19	16810 MR. P. SMITH: Well yes, sir,
20	thousands, hundreds.
21	MR. HUGHES: Thank you.
22	16812 Those are my questions, Commissioner.
23	16813 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Okay. Mr.
24	Vickery, any questions?
25	MR. VICKERY: No, no questions.

1	Thank you.
2	16815 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Mr. Houston?
3	MR. HOUSTON: No, sir, thank you.
4	16817 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Mr. Auger?
5	MR. AUGER: Yes, Commissioner.
6	16819 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Okay. Mr.
7	Auger represents Mr. Schreiber, Mr. Smith.
8	EXAMINATION: PAUL SMITH BY MR. AUGER /
9	INTERROGATOIRE: PAUL SMITH PAR Me AUGER
10	MR. AUGER: Thank you, Commissioner.
11	16821 As I appreciate your evidence, I have
12	the clear impression that you are being quite candid,
13	and you have admitted to the Commission that you are
14	really relying on your memory. You don't have any
15	notes, obviously, of these events, other than P-20,
16	which has been entered as an exhibit.
17	16822 Is that fair?
18	MR. P. SMITH: Yes, sir.
19	16824 MR. AUGER: In fact, you corrected
20	Mr. Battista and indicated that even P-20 isn't your
21	own recording.
22	MR. P. SMITH: Correct.
23	MR. AUGER: So what we are left with,
24	then, are no recordings by you of any sort to assist
25	you to testify today.

1	16827	Correct?
2	16828 N	MR. P. SMITH: Formal recordings,
3	sir?	
4	16829	Is that your question?
5	16830 N	MR. AUGER: Formal or informal. Do
6	you have any di	d you make any notes about
7	16831 N	MR. P. SMITH: Did I make notes? No,
8	sir.	
9	16832 N	MR. AUGER: So really what we are
10	left with is your	independent recollection.
11	16833 N	MR. P. SMITH: Yes, sir.
12	16834 N	MR. AUGER: And, obviously, you tried
13	your best today in	answering all of the questions from
14	your memory.	
15	16835	Correct?
16	16836 N	MR. P. SMITH: Yes, sir.
17	16837 N	MR. AUGER: And you were quite
18	candid, I think, a	s well, in your evidence in saying
19	that you were 26 a	t the time and these were fast and
20	words to the effec	t that these were fast and long days.
21	16838	Is that fair?
22	16839 N	MR. P. SMITH: It is fair.
23	Twenty-six in `	93 I was already 29.
24	16840 N	MR. AUGER: The first time that you
25	had a chance to th	ink about the Harrington Lake meeting

was in 2008, when Mr. Mulroney was preparing for the 1 Ethics Committee. 2 MR. P. SMITH: I'm not sure that's 3 16841 accurate. This stuff has been in the newspaper for 4 5 many moons. 6 16842 It seems to me prior to that, but 7 that's --8 16843 I do recall having driven Mr. Schreiber up, so it wouldn't have been the first time that I would have thought about it, but it has come to 10 11 the fore. It came to the fore before the 12 16844 13 parliamentary committee. 14 16845 MR. AUGER: Can you give the 15 Commissioner a sense of the first time that you 16 remembered back to the Harrington Lake event? 17 16846 MR. P. SMITH: No, sir. 18 16847 MR. AUGER: You would have no reason 19 to think back to that event. There was nothing notable in your mind. 20 MR. P. SMITH: Only, as I say, this 21 16848 22 has been a subject of public debate for more than a 23 year and a half. It has been a subject of debate for -- going on 10, 12 years. So I do remember, as I 24 say, that event. 25

1	16849 MR. AUGER: The Harrington Lake
2	event, in particular? Your information
3	16850 MR. P. SMITH: Strictly
4	16851 MR. AUGER: I'm sorry.
5	16852 MR. P. SMITH: Strictly, sir.
6	16853 You mentioned the Harrington Lake
7	event in particular. I say strictly.
8	16854 MR. AUGER: And it's your evidence
9	that the Harrington Lake meeting was in the public
10	domain for 10 years prior to the 2008 Ethics Committee
11	proceedings?
12	16855 That's your evidence?
13	16856 MR. P. SMITH: I think it's in
14	Mr. Kaplan's book dated 2004. I think.
15	16857 MR. AUGER: Again, I don't want you
16	to think or guess.
17	MR. P. SMITH: Yes, okay.
18	16859 MR. AUGER: If you don't know, you
19	don't know and that's fair.
20	MR. P. SMITH: Okay.
21	16861 MR. AUGER: You told the Commissioner
22	that you did speak to Mr. Mulroney when he was
23	preparing for the Ethics Committee. Did I understand
24	that correctly?
25	MR. P. SMITH: Yes, sir, you did.

1	16863 MR. AUGER: And did he ask you or
2	indicate to you that it was a Jeep that Mr. Schreiber
3	was riding in?
4	16864 MR. P. SMITH: No, sir, I indicated
5	to him.
6	16865 MR. AUGER: And that was before he
7	testified at the Ethics Committee is your recollection?
8	16866 MR. P. SMITH: Yes.
9	16867 MR. AUGER: So your impression of
10	that exchange with Mr. Mulroney was that he didn't have
11	any knowledge of the vehicle or the route or how
12	Mr. Schreiber arrived or left Harrington Lake?
13	16868 Is that
14	16869 MR. P. SMITH: I don't know what his
15	impression was, but I remember volunteering the
16	information.
17	16870 MR. AUGER: You told the Commissioner
18	today that you picked up Mr. Schreiber in Ottawa and
19	that's the best you could do. Correct?
20	MR. P. SMITH: Yes, sir.
21	16872 MR. AUGER: You can't tell the
22	Commissioner what part of Ottawa?
23	MR. P. SMITH: No, sir.
24	MR. AUGER: And are you able to tell
25	the Commissioner how long the drive was from Ottawa to

1	Harrington Lake?	
2	16875	MR. P. SMITH: Typically about 40
3	minutes.	
4	16876	MR. AUGER: Are you able to tell the
5	Commissioner what	route you took?
6	16877	MR. P. SMITH: Well, you would go
7	over I believe the	e McDonald Cartier Bridge onto No. 5
8	and work your way	up into the Gatineaus.
9	16878	MR. AUGER: Are you able to tell the
10	Commissioner wheth	her you picked up Mr. Schreiber at an
11	apartment building	g or a condo complex or a house or the
12	nature of the area	a?
13	16879	MR. P. SMITH: No, sir.
14	16880	MR. AUGER: You told the Commissioner
15	that you wouldn't	be able to say under oath who asked
16	you to pick up Mr	. Schreiber?
17	16881	MR. P. SMITH: Yes, sir.
18	16882	MR. AUGER: So I take it by extension
19	you are not able	to tell us what was said or the nature
20	of that request?	
21	16883	MR. P. SMITH: As to arranging the
22	meeting or to pic	king him up?
23	16884	MR. AUGER: Travel arrangements.
24	What was said in	terms of the travel arrangements?
25	16885	MR. P. SMITH: No, sir.

1 16886 MR. AUGER: No recollection 2 whatsoever. 3 16887 MR. P. SMITH: As I say, the only thing I remember in my mind's eye is having the 4 gentleman in my Jeep. 5 6 16888 MR. AUGER: Right. You were quite candid in that and you told the Commissioner that there 7 8 was something you remember in your mind's eye was a business card. 16889 MR. P. SMITH: Yes, sir. 10 11 16890 MR. AUGER: And I think there was 12 something also in your mind's eye about you discussing 13 your MBA studies. 14 16891 Is that right? 15 MR. P. SMITH: Absolutely. 16892 16 16893 MR. AUGER: Other than that, you have no other recollection of being in the vehicle with 17 18 Mr. Schreiber. Correct? 19 16894 MR. P. SMITH: I suppose, yeah. 20 16895 MR. AUGER: And I take it you are not able to tell the Commissioner how far in advance of 21 22 June 23rd you were requested to transport Mr. Schreiber? 23 16896 MR. P. SMITH: Well, we discussed it. 24

It would be logical to me that this would have been

25

arranged a few days ahead of time, but certainly not 1 2 much longer than that. 16897 3 MR. AUGER: But again, that's based on your practice or routine --4 16898 5 MR. P. SMITH: Correct. 6 16899 MR. AUGER: -- at the time in you 7 job. Right? 8 16900 MR. P. SMITH: Correct. 16901 MR. AUGER: You don't have an independent recollection of when you were asked? 10 11 16902 MR. P. SMITH: No, sir. 12 16903 MR. AUGER: I take it you are not able to tell the Commissioner whether you travelled 13 from your own home or whether you were at Harrington 14 Lake early in the morning already? 15 16 16904 MR. P. SMITH: Oh no, I was not at Harrington already. So I would have left from my home 17 18 or from the office, if I had gone to Centre Block 19 beforehand. 20 16905 MR. AUGER: And you testified to the effect that it was your routine to remain at Harrington 21 22 Lake until the end of the business day. 23 16906 Is that my understanding? MR. P. SMITH: To stay with the Prime 24 16907 25 Minister until the end of his working day and then

1	afterwards.
2	16908 So that is what would have occurred
3	on that day, I believe.
4	16909 MR. AUGER: Again, that is based on
5	your general routine and practice at the time.
6	16910 MR. P. SMITH: Right. Correct.
7	16911 MR. AUGER: Not an independent
8	recollection of June 23rd specifically?
9	16912 MR. P. SMITH: No, sir.
10	16913 MR. AUGER: But obviously, again as a
11	matter of practice, if Mr. Mulroney asked you or made a
12	special request of you, you would comply with that
13	request?
14	MR. P. SMITH: To the extent of my
15	ability.
16	16915 MR. AUGER: Are you able to tell the
17	Commissioner what time you say you picked up
18	Mr. Schreiber?
19	MR. P. SMITH: No, sir. I simply
20	have this aide memoir which has 11 o'clock, if that's
21	the meeting. Therefore, I would have picked him up
22	with sufficient time to get there on time. So you can
23	count backwards from 11:00, allowing time for the
24	drive.
25	16917 MR. AUGER: You are referring to

1	Exhibit P-20.
2	16918 MR. P. SMITH: I am.
3	16919 MR. AUGER: And really you are
4	piecing together the fact that P-20 says 11 o'clock
5	private meeting.
6	MR. P. SMITH: Correct.
7	MR. AUGER: You have no notes and no
8	independent recollection of when you picked up
9	Mr. Schreiber?
10	16922 MR. P. SMITH: No, sir.
11	16923 MR. AUGER: This was a unique event
12	that Mr. Schreiber was at Harrington Lake. Correct?
13	MR. P. SMITH: Given yes, sir, I
14	believe it was.
15	16925 MR. AUGER: I think you had even said
16	earlier in your evidence that it was either the first
17	time or very unusual that there would be a meeting with
18	Mr. Mulroney at Harrington Lake of this nature?
19	MR. P. SMITH: Look at the schedule,
20	sir. Multiple meetings occurred during those days.
21	So if I mislead you into thinking
22	this was unique, I may have misspoken. There are a lot
23	of things going on here.
24	16928 MR. AUGER: And what was particular
25	about this is that it was a private meeting.

1	16929 MR. P. SMITH: Yes.
2	16930 MR. AUGER: And as I understand P-20,
3	there are a number of names.
4	16931 And let's just focus on June 23rd.
5	16932 MR. P. SMITH: Yes.
6	16933 MR. AUGER: We don't have to get into
7	all of the names, but there are a number of individuals
8	that are actually named on the agenda. Right?
9	MR. P. SMITH: Yes, sir.
10	16935 MR. AUGER: And we don't have to go
11	through all of them, but that is true actually for the
12	entire month of June 1993; that there are a number of
13	names disclosed on P-20?
14	16936 MR. P. SMITH: Yes, sir.
15	16937 MR. AUGER: And a quick glance at
16	them, we don't need to count them, but in the range of
17	20 to 30 names associated with meetings in June of
18	1993?
19	MR. P. SMITH: Correct.
20	16939 MR. AUGER: And three or four entries
21	of private meetings, one of which we now know through
22	you is Mr. Schreiber?
23	MR. P. SMITH: Yes, sir.
24	16941 MR. AUGER: Harrington Lake was a
25	quiet, private country property?

1 16942 MR. P. SMITH: Yes, sir, belonging to 2 the Crown. 16943 3 MR. AUGER: And you recall seeing Mr. Schreiber on the property with Mr. Mulroney on June 4 23rd? 5 MR. P. SMITH: No, sir, not on June 6 16944 I remember bringing him there. It's with the 7 8 aide memoir that I assume it occurs at 11 a.m. 16945 MR. AUGER: So you don't even have an independent recollection of seeing Mr. Schreiber on the 10 11 property on June 23rd? 12 16946 MR. P. SMITH: No, sir. 13 16947 MR. AUGER: Can you tell us whether or not between 11 a.m. on June 23rd and 1:30 there was 14 anyone else on the property other than Mr. Mulroney and 15 Mr. Schreiber? 16 MR. P. SMITH: Well, there is his 17 16948 18 family and there are Mounties. 19 16949 MR. AUGER: Do you remember that? 20 16950 MR. P. SMITH: There was staff. Absolutely. I mean, I remember it. These are normal 21 occurrences. These are normal events. 22 23 16951 So where do we span here in terms of there are things that I am absolutely certain of and 24

there are things that I have completely forgotten.

25

1	16952	It's logical for me that if	
2	Mr. Mulroney is	s at Harrington Lake, there is staff with	
3	him and the family is with him.		
4	16953 MR. AUGER: And you remember that for		
5	June 23rd, that	Mr. Mulroney's family was with him at	
6	Harrington Lake?		
7	16954	I think your word was absolutely.	
8	16955	MR. P. SMITH: Yeah. I look at the	
9	day's event. I	t's logical to me that that would be the	
10	case. How abou	it that?	
11	16956	MR. AUGER: In terms of routine or	
12	your independer	nt recollection which you have told the	
13	Commissioner yo	ou are absolutely sure of?	
14	16957	MR. P. SMITH: I believe in the	
15	routine, in ter	routine, in terms of routine.	
16	16958 MR. AUGER: So you are not absolutely		
17	sure that Mr. M	Mulroney's family was there on June 23rd?	
18	16959	MR. P. SMITH: That's correct. It	
19	would be unusua	al if they weren't there, but you are	
20	correct.		
21	16960	MR. AUGER: Mr. Mulroney was to no	
22	longer be Prime	e Minister effective June 25th, two days	
23	later. Correct	2?	
24	16961	MR. P. SMITH: Correct.	
25	16962	MR. AUGER: I take it you can't tell	

the Commissioner any reason why the June 23rd meeting 1 with Mr. Schreiber was a private meeting? 2 MR. P. SMITH: I cannot. 3 16963 MR. AUGER: Thank you, Commissioner. 16964 Those are my questions. 6 16965 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Mr. Battista...? 7 8 16966 MR. BATTISTA: May I maybe have one minute? I just want to consult with counsel. --- Pause 10 11 16967 MR. BATTISTA: Mr. Commissioner, I 12 have no re-examination for this witness. 13 16968 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Okay. I have one question I want to ask you, if I could. 14 16969 Let me tell you, unlike some others 15 16 in the room, I don't expect you to remember things that happened 16 years ago. Okay? 17 18 16970 MR. P. SMITH: Thank you, sir. 19 Manitobans do that to each other. 20 16971 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Let me just ask you this. I know that some of your answers today 21 22 are based on what would normally occur in the course of 23 your serving the Prime Minister as EA. 16972 MR. P. SMITH: Yes, sir. 24

StenoTran

COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Was it ever

25

16973

1	the case during your tenure in that position that you
2	drove the Prime Minister's limousine?
3	16974 MR. P. SMITH: No, sir.
4	16975 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Okay.
5	Thanks.
6	16976 MR. P. SMITH: That was a job for the
7	Mounties.
8	16977 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: That was left
9	to the RCMP?
10	MR. P. SMITH: Yes, sir.
11	16979 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Okay. Any
12	questions arising out of that question?
13	16980 Mr. Smith, thank you very much for
14	coming. I appreciate your assistance.
15	16981 MR. P. SMITH: Thank you,
16	Commissioner.
17	16982 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: You are free
18	to leave.
19	16983 Mr. Battista?
20	16984 MR. BATTISTA: The next witness is
21	Mr. Harry Swain. I would ask him to step forward.
22	16985 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Good
23	afternoon, Mr. Swain. You are a very patient man.
24	AFFIRMED: HARRY SWAIN /

DÉCLARATION SOLENNELLE / HARRY SWAIN

25

1	16986	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Mr. Battista,
2	I	know that there are some concerns about Mr. Swain
3	re	emaining, but can you perhaps enlighten me as to how
4	10	ong you expect to go today?
5	16987	MR. BATTISTA: Well, I thought we
6	WC	ould start. I have verified with Mr. Vickery, who has
7	sp	poken to Mr. Swain. We would try to do as much as we
8	Ca	an today and if we are not done by, say, 5 o'clock,
9	tł	nen Mr. Swain will be back tomorrow morning.
10	16988	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: All right.
11	16989	I know that's not very convenient for
12	Ϋ́	ou, Mr. Swain, but you are prepared to stay over?
13	16990	MR. SWAIN: Yes, sir.
14	16991	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: All right. I
15	ur	nderstand that you are anxious to get back to Victoria
16	wł	nere you might go sailing.
17	16992	MR. SWAIN: Yes, sir.
18	16993	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: I would like
19	to	come with you, but I can't.
20	16994	Mr. Battista, go ahead.
21	EΣ	XAMINATION: HARRY SWAIN BY MR. BATTISTA /
22	II	NTERROGATOIRE : HARRY SWAIN PAR Me BATTISTA
23	16995	MR. BATTISTA: So, Mr. Swain, good
24	af	fternoon and thank you for your patience.
25	16996	I would like to start maybe by just

```
tell us what your present occupation is and maybe then
1
         your background.
 2
                           So what is your occupation right now?
 3
    16997
    16998
                           MR. SWAIN: Mr. Battista, I'm having
 4
         a little trouble hearing you --
 6
    16999
                           MR. BATTISTA: Yes, I'm sorry.
                           MR. SWAIN: -- but I think you
 7
    17000
 8
         said --
    17001
                           MR. BATTISTA: Yes, I'm sorry. So
         I'm going to ask you a bit about your background. Can
10
11
         you tell us what your present occupation is?
12
    17002
                           MR. SWAIN: I am presently retired.
13
    17003
                           By way of background, I was an
         academic and a civil servant and after that a banker
14
         and a consultant.
15
16
    17004
                           MR. BATTISTA: Okay. So you are
    presently retired?
17
18
    17005
                           MR. SWAIN: Yes, sir.
19
    17006
                           MR. BATTISTA: You were in government
20
         and that is what is of concern for us. In 1985 you
         were Assistant Deputy Minister in the Department of
21
22
         Regional and Industrial Expansion?
23
    17007
                           MR. SWAIN: Yes, sir.
    17008
                           MR. BATTISTA: That was known as
24
25
         DRIE. Is that correct?
```

1	17009 MR. SWAIN: DRIE at the time.
2	17010 MR. BATTISTA: Yes, DRIE. In March
3	of that year, that is 1985, you became Assistant
4	Secretary of the Privy Council Office?
5	17011 MR. SWAIN: Yes.
6	MR. BATTISTA: When you were at the
7	PCO, did you work with Mr. Tellier?
8	17013 MR. SWAIN: Yes.
9	17014 MR. BATTISTA: Can you explain what
10	the Assistant Secretary of the Privy Council does?
11	17015 MR. SWAIN: At the time there were
12	four principal Assistant Secretaries at the Cabinet.
13	My job was economic and regional development.
14	17016 The job involves making sure that
15	materials that are prepared for Cabinet consideration
16	are adequate, attending the relevant Cabinet committee
17	meetings, taking notes, preparing decisions.
18	17017 MR. BATTISTA: I take it that you
19	were working with Mr. Tellier. You said there were
20	four assistants like yourself. So you were responsible
21	for economic development?
22	17018 MR. SWAIN: Yes.
23	17019 MR. BATTISTA: The others who were
24	there during your tenure were who?
25	17020 MR. SWAIN: Bob Fowler was Foreign

1	Affairs and Defence; Ray Protti was Social Policy;
2	David Broadbent was Operations.
3	MR. BATTISTA: In 1987 you became
4	Deputy Minister of Indian Affairs and you held this
5	position for five years?
6	MR. SWAIN: Yes.
7	17023 MR. BATTISTA: So during those years
8	you had no involvement whatsoever with the Bear Head
9	Project and nothing of that sort came to your
10	attention?
11	MR. SWAIN: None.
12	17025 MR. BATTISTA: That's correct?
13	17026 In the fall of 1992 you became Deputy
14	Minister of Industry and you held this position until
15	November of 1995?
16	17027 MR. SWAIN: Yes.
17	17028 MR. BATTISTA: At that time you had
18	involvement in the Bear Head Project, as it came to be
19	known under the last years of the Conservative
20	government and under the new Liberal government.
21	17029 MR. SWAIN: Yes.
22	17030 MR. BATTISTA: Is that correct?
23	So if we can sort of recapitulate
24	your involvement in the Bear Head Project, you were
25	present in the civil service at the very inception of

1	the project, the first years, and you were there during
2	the last years when the project came to an end?
3	MR. SWAIN: Correct.
4	17033 MR. BATTISTA: Okay. So I'm going to
5	take you through the documents.
6	I am going to ask you to go to Tab 2.
7	17035 MR. SWAIN: Tab 2?
8	17036 MR. BATTISTA: Tab 2. We will come
9	back to Tab 1 eventually. You will note that Tab 1 is
10	a document that is not dated, and I will come back and
11	I will suggest to you when that document may have been
12	written.
13	17037 But at Tab 2
14	17038 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Mr. Battista,
15	just before you go on, I don't think this has been
16	entered as an exhibit yet.
17	MR. BATTISTA: I'm sorry, I'm sorry.
18	17040 So I'm going to enter it as Exhibit
19	P-21. So it is a book of documents containing 17 tabs.
20	17041 Thank you, Commissioner.
21	17042 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: By consent,
22	counsel? Thank you.
23	EXHIBIT NO. P-21: Book of
24	documents containing 17 tabs
25	pertaining to Harry Swain's

	evidence
17043	MR. BATTISTA: So if we go to Tab 2,
we see it is a me	morandum from Mr. Tellier, dated
February 5, 1986.	
17044	That is correct?
17045	MR. SWAIN: Yes.
17046	MR. BATTISTA: And we see "RRF". I
presume that mean	s it is Mr. Fowler who drafted this?
17047	MR. SWAIN: Robert R. Fowler.
17048	MR. BATTISTA: And you are cc'd on
the document.	
17049	MR. SWAIN: Correct.
17050	MR. BATTISTA: And we see this
document has an a	ppendix to it, and it's memorandum for
the Prime Ministe	r which carries Mr. Tellier's name.
17051	It accompanies this memorandum for
Mr. Tellier; righ	t?
17052	MR. SWAIN: Yes.
17053	MR. BATTISTA: What I understand is
that these docume	nts, they were drafts that were
prepared and this	memorandum from Mr. Tellier told him
what the memo he	was sending to the Prime Minister
would contain.	
17054	Is that fair?
17055	MR. SWAIN: Yes.
	we see it is a me February 5, 1986. 17044 17045 17046 presume that mean 17047 17048 the document. 17049 17050 document has an a the Prime Ministe 17051 Mr. Tellier; righ 17052 17053 that these docume prepared and this what the memo he would contain. 17054

1	17056	MR. BATTISTA: So that document was
2	prepared for Mr. '	Tellier.
3	17057	Is that right?
4	17058	MR. SWAIN: Yes.
5	17059	MR. BATTISTA: And you would have had
6	a hand or you woul	ld have participated probably in its
7	elaboration or at	least in the ideas that form part of
8	the document? Yes	s?
9	17060	MR. SWAIN: Yes.
10	17061	MR. BATTISTA: So I will draw your
11	attention to the	second sentence in the second
12	paragraph. You i	ndicate here:
13		"As you are aware the proposal
14		calls for construction of a
15		plant in Cape Breton by a major
16		German manufacturing concern to
17		produce military vehicles,
18		including light tanks for sale
19		in the Middle East and perhaps
20		elsewhere." (As read)
21	17062	I take it that your understanding at
22	that time in 1986	was that Thyssen's proposal was to
23	set up a plant in	Canada and its main export market or
24	its main objective	e was the Middle East countries.
25	17063	Is that correct?

1	17064 MR. SWAIN: Yes.
2	17065 MR. BATTISTA: If I take you to the
3	third paragraph, the middle of the line, you are
4	specifically referred to and it indicates:
5	"Harry Swain thinks the economic
6	risks outweigh any possible
7	advantages." (As read)
8	17066 And we are talking about the Thyssen
9	proposal. Can you elaborate on that?
10	17067 MR. SWAIN: We felt that the proposal
11	put all of the risks on the government and none on the
12	proponent; that we would be in a position of
13	subsidizing a competitor to an established Canadian
14	firm; that the business plan and sales plan were
15	seriously incomplete.
16	17068 The idea that the sales would depend
17	on a non-existent Canadian military requirement and
18	sales to markets we had deliberately eschewed in the
19	past on sound political grounds rendered it a
20	nonstarter.
21	17069 MR. BATTISTA: Okay. I will take you
22	through the document. At the time there was I
23	understand support in Cabinet for this proposal, or for
24	the project to be initiated in that region.
25	17070 MR. SWAIN: Yes.

1	17071 MR. BATTISTA: Is that fair?
2	MR. SWAIN: Yes.
3	17073 MR. BATTISTA: And what were the
4	reasons for that? What were the arguments in favour of
5	the proposal?
6	MR. SWAIN: Regional development.
7	Ministers from eastern Canada were very interested in
8	it. Mr. Stevens, who was the Chairman of the Cabinet
9	Committee on Economic Development, had taken a
10	particular interest in Cape Breton, would like to have
11	seen something happen there. And there were people in
12	the Prime Minister's office who were interested in it.
13	17075 The only one I recall talking to at
14	the time was Charlie McMillan.
15	17076 MR. BATTISTA: Okay. Mr. MacKay from
16	Nova Scotia, was he also
17	17077 MR. SWAIN: Yes.
18	17078 MR. BATTISTA: an active promoter?
19	Now I will refer you to page 3 of the
20	document actually it's the third page, but it's page
21	2 of the memorandum prepared for Mr. Tellier.
22	17080 MR. SWAIN: Yes.
23	17081 MR. BATTISTA: And in it we see some
24	of the concerns that were raised and I will refer you
25	to the sort of third bullet or point.

1	17082	We see:
2		"Economically the federal
3		government's investment would be
4		\$19 million in startup,
5		infrastructure costs plus \$35
6		million in investment tax. This
7		would represent a direct cost
8		per job of \$42,000 and an
9		overall cost of \$119,000 per job
10		creation which would probably be
11		viewed as very excessive."
12		(As read)
13	17083	That was what you were referring to
14	before in t	erms of the costs, cost benefit analysis of
15	implementin	g that project?
16	17084	MR. SWAIN: I didn't speak about the
17	cost-benefi	t analysis, but this would certainly be one
18	of the, as	it were, direct costs that we would expect
19	to face. W	e would have expected to face others as
20	well.	
21	17085	MR. BATTISTA: Okay. There were also
22	other conce	rns in terms of the Middle East, selling
23	weapons to	the Middle East.
24	17086	Can you elaborate on that little bit?
2.5	17087	MR. SWAIN: These were concerns that

1	were better known to my colleague Fowler with h	is
2	background in Foreign Affairs, and he was quite	
3	explicit about them in the memorandum to which	you are
4	referring.	
5	17088 It had long been part of Canad	ian
6	international trade policy to avoid selling wear	ons to
7	countries or regions that were in conflict, or a	about to
8	be in conflict, or which were let's say not our	friends
9	in some direct fashion.	
10	17089 Existing NATO members might be	a
11	normal sort of market, but even then from time t	to time
12	a NATO member would get itself in a conflict tha	at we
13	would wish to avoid. One can think of the easte	ern
14	Mediterranean, for example.	
15	17090 And we were certainly not at t	he
16	stage in 1986 of regarding the Eastern European	
17	countries, the Soviet Union as it then was, or (China,
18	those kinds of places as potential markets.	
19	17091 MR. BATTISTA: Okay. But in 1	986 the
20	concern, if I'm not mistaken, was specific to the	ne
21	Middle East. Is that not a fair comment if we	refer to
22	the document?	
23	17092 MR. SWAIN: No, that was merel	y the
24	one that is cited in this memo.	
25	17093 MR. BATTISTA: Well, one of th	.e

1	points, if I'm not mistaken, is that the Thyssen group
2	wanted to have the possibility of selling to that
3	market.
4	17094 Is that not correct?
5	17095 MR. SWAIN: Yes, and that's why it's
6	mentioned here, because Thyssen had referenced it as a
7	prime market.
8	17096 MR. BATTISTA: And if we look at the
9	second page of the memo to Mr. Tellier, what we see at
10	the second bullet in the middle of the paragraph:
11	"There is the perception in this
12	case being a German firm
13	attempting to end run German
14	government policy and in effect
15	laundering its sale of military
16	equipment through Canada."
17	(As read)
18	17097 What I understand from that is that
19	the German authorities had regulations in place that
20	would prevent German arms manufacturers from selling to
21	those regions, and the concern was that maybe Thyssen
22	was setting up in Canada and doing indirectly what it
23	was not authorized to do in Germany.
24	17098 MR. SWAIN: Correct.
25	17099 MR. BATTISTA: Is that a fair

1	comment?	
2	17100	So that was one of the concerns also
3	raised at that	time. Fair?
4	17101	MR. SWAIN: Yes.
5	17102	MR. BATTISTA: On the third page the
6	note here is the	at in the first bullet we talk about
7	"a bleak prospe	ct for entry into the American market".
8	Correct?	
9	17103	MR. SWAIN: Correct.
10	17104	MR. BATTISTA: The point you were
11	making earlier,	the second bullet, that the Canadian
12	Forces have no	need for the equipment that was being
13	proposed at tha	t time.
14	17105	Is that fair?
15	17106	MR. SWAIN: Yes.
16	17107	MR. BATTISTA: And the concern of the
17	direct competit	ion to General Motors Diesel Division.
18	17108	MR. SWAIN: Yes.
19	17109	MR. BATTISTA: Okay. That was based
20	in London, Onta	rio.
21	17110	MR. SWAIN: Yes.
22	17111	MR. BATTISTA: So obviously you were
23	not supportive	of the project at the time. That's
24	fair?	
25	17112	MR. SWAIN: That's correct.

1	17113 MR. BATTISTA: I will take you now t	to
2	Tab 3. It's a memorandum for the Prime Minister and	it
3	concerns an article. In the last page of that tab we	:
4	see that there is an article in the Toronto Globe and	Ĺ
5	Mail, dated February 14, 1986, and it refers to	
6	conflict or debate and discussion within Cabinet abou	it
7	the Thyssen proposal at that time.	
8	17114 Is that correct?	
9	17115 MR. SWAIN: Correct.	
10	17116 MR. BATTISTA: And these are speaking	ng
11	points, if I can use the term, for the Minister or fo	r
12	the PMO or the Prime Minister's Office	
13	17117 MR. SWAIN: Yes.	
14	17118 MR. BATTISTA: to be able to deal	1
15	with this matter? Did you draft the document or	
16	participate in its drafting?	
17	17119 MR. SWAIN: Yes. They were drafted	
18	by Mr. McNamara who worked for me and I signed off on	Ĺ
19	them.	
20	MR. BATTISTA: Okay.	
21	17121 I will take you to page 2 of the	
22	document. What we note in terms of talking points ar	·e
23	that there are five main objections to the project.	
24	17122 Is that correct?	
25	17123 MR. SWAIN: Well, I wouldn't the	

1	last point is not an objection.
2	MR. BATTISTA: Go ahead.
3	17125 MR. SWAIN: Four are objections and
4	the last point is "employment would be created in Cape
5	Breton".
6	17126 This would not be viewed as an
7	objection.
8	17127 MR. BATTISTA: Okay. So what you are
9	saying is that the talking points were to highlight the
10	issues that are being faced by Cabinet. There are some
11	concerns and there is a positive aspect to this
12	project.
13	17128 Is that correct?
14	17129 MR. SWAIN: Yes. The speaking points
15	are really on the previous page.
16	MR. BATTISTA: Yes.
17	17131 MR. SWAIN: And the five bullets on
18	the second page are advice to the Minister, to the
19	Prime Minister and the Deputy Prime Minister.
20	17132 MR. BATTISTA: Okay. Can you go
21	through them? Can you explain to us?
22	17133 MR. SWAIN: The five points?
23	MR. BATTISTA: Yes.
24	17135 MR. SWAIN:
25	"The effect on other Canadian

1		manufacturers including the
2		operation of General Motors at
3		London, Ontario". (As read)
4	17136	We had a long and fruitful history
5	with	n GMDD. The military were very happy with their
6	prod	ducts. The Industry department had from time to
7	time	e I believe provided DIPP funding for product
8	deve	elopment and so on.
9	17137	We were also aware that there were a
10	lot	of people in the world who wished to manufacture
11	ligh	nt armoured vehicles and that the market was
12	prol	oably oversupplied. If we subsidized another
13	enti	rant to that market, it would be probably to the
14	disa	advantage of an established Canadian firm.
15	17138	MR. BATTISTA: Okay.
16	17139	MR. SWAIN: Second:
17		"The nature and size of any
18		financial contribution sought
19		from the federal government."
20		(As read)
21	17140	We had an initial vague proposal from
22	ther	m, which we just mentioned, which involved very
23	dist	tinct costs on the government side with no
24	part	cicular promise of benefits.
25	17141	Third:

1		"The effect on Canada's
2		international relations."
3		(As read)
4	17142	This is particularly in regard to
5	being se	en as being an armourer of one side or another
6	in the M	iddle East and the effect that this could be
7	expected	to have in political domestic terms.
8	17143	Fourth:
9		"Canada's export permit policy"
10	17144	There was I believe at this stage a
11	request	that Thyssen be given a five-year export permit
12	to in ef	fect anybody they wanted. We never did this.
13	Transact	ions were always regarded as one-offs because
14	internat	ional political situations can change on a dime
15	and it w	as, frankly, in our view naive of them even to
16	ask for	a five-year blanket permit.
17	17145	And the fifth point:
18		"The employment which would be
19		created in Cape Breton."
20	17146	Yes, there would be some employment.
21	It would	be very expensive.
22	17147	MR. BATTISTA: Thank you for
23	correcti	ng me. The annex is actually the speaking
24	notes th	at were prepared.
25	17148	MR. SWAIN: Yes.

1	17149	MR. BATTISTA: So basically the
2	comment, if I und	derstand it, was not to conduct debate
3	in public on the	se matters. Correct?
4	17150	MR. SWAIN: Yes.
5	17151	MR. BATTISTA: But to highlight the
6	fact that the go	vernment had introduced a sort of tax
7	incentive to inv	ite foreign investment.
8	17152	Is that fair?
9	17153	MR. SWAIN: M'hmm.
10	17154	MR. BATTISTA: And that at that time
11	there had been o	ver 700 inquiries to respond to that
12	proposal by the	government.
13	17155	Is that fair?
14	17156	MR. SWAIN: Yes.
15	17157	MR. BATTISTA: Yes. And the Thyssen
16	project was one	among those many?
17	17158	MR. SWAIN: Correct.
18	17159	MR. BATTISTA: I'm going to take you
19	to Tab 4.	
20	17160	This is a memorandum to Mr. Tellier
21	from Mr. Fowler	and you are cc'd on it.
22	17161	We see that on page 5 of the
23	document.	
24	17162	MR. SWAIN: Yes.
25	17163	MR. BATTISTA: Now, this is a memo

1	that highlights	some of the negative aspects of the
2	Thyssen proposal	at that time.
3	17164	Is that fair?
4	17165	MR. SWAIN: Correct.
5	17166	MR. BATTISTA: I will take you to
6	point 1(b), the	issue that General Motors does not
7	produce tanks.	
8	17167	There is a comment about the
9	production of of	fensive versus defensive weapons and
10	that Thyssen at	that time insisted that it must be able
11	to make and mark	et tanks at the Cape Breton facility.
12	17168	That was an important concern at that
13	time?	
14	17169	MR. SWAIN: Apparently.
15	17170	MR. BATTISTA: The fact that, if we
16	take it at point	1 (a), General Motors is not a German
17	company, that wo	ould I assume the concern there is
18	that General Mot	cors doesn't have the history that
19	Germany has beca	use General Motors is a Canadian or
20	American company	· .
21	17171	That was the concern there that was
22	being raised?	
23	17172	MR. SWAIN: I think so, yes.
24	17173	MR. BATTISTA: And when we go to (c),
25	the comment ther	re is that General Motors had not, until

1	that time, made any sales to the Middle East.
2	17174 Is that correct?
3	17175 MR. SWAIN: I believe that is
4	correct.
5	MR. BATTISTA: And one of the points
6	that is made in that point (c) is in the middle of the
7	paragraph:
8	"Thyssen, on the other hand,
9	says that it requires access to
10	the Middle East market in order
11	to survive." (As read)
12	17177 Is that correct?
13	17178 MR. SWAIN: That's
14	17179 MR. BATTISTA: I know that's not your
15	field of specialty, but is it fair to say that at that
16	time when world market studies were conducted the
17	Middle East was probably the major buyer of military
18	equipment?
19	17180 Is that your knowledge?
20	17181 MR. SWAIN: I would hesitate on that.
21	It would certainly be a major buyer, but national
22	military organizations tend to buy new stuff when the
23	old stuff wears out.
24	MR. BATTISTA: Yes.
25	17183 MR. SWAIN: So that a purchase may be

1	a consequence of a de	ecision taken 15 or 20 years prior.
2	2 17184 Eve	en countries which are not normally
3	large purchasers may	suddenly show up in any given year
4	as an important purc	haser of equipment.
5	5 17185 MR.	BATTISTA: Okay.
6	71186 Poi	nt (d):
7		"General Motors has not been
8	}	given a five-year export
9		guarantee." (As read)
10	17187 Thi	s is what I understand you were
11	alluding to a little	earlier when you said that
12	17188 MR.	SWAIN: That's correct.
13	17189 MR.	BATTISTA: Thyssen demanded
14	that as part of the	agreement to build a plant in the
15	Cape Breton area. Co	orrect?
16	5 17190 MR.	SWAIN: Yes.
17	7 17191 MR.	BATTISTA: I draw your attention
18	to the concluding pa	ragraph, page 5, and I will read it
19	for you and I will a	sk you for your comment:
20		"There are important points of
21		policy at issue here. Canada
22	}	made a principle decision long
23		ago that it would not seek to
24		profit from regional conflicts
25	5	in its arms export policy. That

1		decision was not designed to
2		mirror the policies of other
3		Western states, it was a
4		decision reflecting a Canadian
5		view of international propriety.
6		Acceptance of the Thyssen
7		proposal would be seen as a de
8		facto alteration of this policy.
9		To be sure Canadian sales of
10		tanks to the Middle East are not
11		going to trigger a war in this
12		region, neither will the refusal
13		to sell bring everlasting peace.
14		At bottom this is a moral
15		choice, a point of principle, a
16		decision not to build a stall in
17		the Middle East arms bazaar. It
18		has also been a policy strongly
19		supported by the Canadian public
20		over time." (As read)
21	17192	So from a foreign policy perspective
22	at t	hat time this was, I would take it to be, a very
23	impo	ortant and major concern.
24	17193	Is that a fair statement?
25	17194	MR. SWAIN: Mr. Fowler wrote a

1	passionate memo, correct.
2	17195 MR. BATTISTA: And that was your
3	understanding at the time
4	17196 MR. SWAIN: Yes.
5	17197 MR. BATTISTA: of what the
6	objections to the project would be from an
7	international perspective?
8	17198 MR. SWAIN: Yes.
9	17199 MR. BATTISTA: Added to the concerns
10	that you raised
11	17200 MR. SWAIN: Certainly.
12	MR. BATTISTA: from your own
13	expertise in terms of the economics of it?
14	MR. SWAIN: Yes.
15	MR. BATTISTA: I bring you to Tab 5.
16	17204 I draw your attention to the fact
17	that this memo is written a little over a year later.
18	So at Tab 4 we were in February of '86. Now we are in
19	September of '87 and this is a memorandum for the Prime
20	Minister.
21	17205 What we see here, and I draw your
22	attention to the middle of the first paragraph:
23	"Thyssen's proposal is geared
24	towards the US market for
25	armoured vehicles. They are

1		asking for \$25 million as a
2		startup grant from the federal
3		and Nova Scotia governments and
4		a directed sole-source contract
5		from the Department of National
6		Defence for 250 light armoured
7		vehicles valued at about \$425
8		million to see them through the
9		initial two years of its
10		operations. It is argued that
11		this would allow them to
12		penetrate the US market."
13		(As read)
14	17206	So it is correct to say that at this
15	point they are no	w aiming the U.S. market?
16	17207	MR. SWAIN: It would appear that the
17	proposal has chan	ged.
18	17208	MR. BATTISTA: Okay. And I will take
19	you to the	
20	17209	I'm sorry, Commissioner.
21	17210	So you made the point that the
22	proposal has now	changed. Simply to recap, it is
23	geared to the U.S	. market, \$25 million grant and they
24	want a sole-source	e contract for 250 vehicles. Correct?
25	17211	The objections to the proposal we

1	find in the next paragraphs.		
2	17212	What we can highlight, and I refer	
3	you to paragra	ph 2:	
4		"This proposal is highly	
5		questionable from a variety of	
6		viewpoints. First, it is	
7		doubtful if this operation could	
8		ever become economically viable.	
9		The US defence equipment market	
10		is tremendously difficult to	
11		penetrate without a close	
12		relationship with one of the big	
13		US manufacturers. Thyssen's	
14		chances must be rated as very	
15		poor." (As read)	
16	17213	Correct? So again here the General	
17	Motors Diesel	Division has better opportunities in that	
18	sphere.		
19	17214	That's the opinion at the time?	
20	17215	MR. SWAIN: Yes.	
21	17216	MR. BATTISTA: Secondly:	
22		"DND has no requirement for the	
23		sort of vehicle that they were	
24		proposing." (As read)	
25	17217	Again, is that correct?	

1	17218 MR. SWAIN: That's correct.		
2	MR. BATTISTA: And thirdly:		
3	"Thyssen would be in direct		
4	competition with General Motors		
5	of London, Ontario." (As read)		
6	MR. SWAIN: Correct.		
7	MR. BATTISTA: So if we recapitulate,		
8	essentially what we are finding is that there are		
9	economic reasons why we don't think this project is		
10	going to be viable and there is competition to an		
11	established Canadian enterprise?		
12	MR. SWAIN: Yes.		
13	17223 MR. BATTISTA: And finally I draw		
14	your attention to the second-to-last paragraph in that		
15	document. There is still a concern about the Middle		
16	East and I will read that to you:		
17	"Finally it is possible that		
18	Thyssen, once established, will		
19	seek export permits for		
20	countries including some in the		
21	Middle East which would cause		
22	great difficulty for the		
23	government in terms of its		
24	foreign export control policies.		
25	This was a central feature of		

1		Thyssen's proposal of last year,	
2		one which was strongly resisted	
3		by Mr. Clark." (As read)	
4	17224	Correct?	
5	17225	MR. SWAIN: That's correct.	
6	17226	MR. BATTISTA: So lurking behind this	
7		proposal is the fear that eventually since they want	
8	permits to export that the Middle East will again be a		
9	target for Thyssen.		
10	17227	Is that fair? Okay.	
11	17228	Mr. Commissioner, it's 5 o'clock. We	
12		could maybe stop now because I was going to then jump	
13		to Tab 9 for the witness as well and I may have	
14		some time on that. So if you want to stop now, we	
15		could reconvene tomorrow and we will finish probably	
16		earlier in the morning, and that will be good for	
17		everyone.	
18	17229	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: I am going to	
19		agree with your suggestion that we stop now for today.	
20	17230	It has been a long day for a lot of	
21		people, not just lawyers, but there are many staff	
22		members here who are working and media people and we	
23		have been at it since 9:30 this morning.	
24	17231	So we will break for today and we	
25		will resume at 9:30 tomorrow morning with the	

1	continuation of your evidence, Mr. Swain. So I will
2	ask you to be back at 9:30 ready to go. Okay, please
3	MR. SWAIN: Yes, sir.
4	17233 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Thank you
5	very much.
6	Good afternoon, Mr. Swain, counsel.
7	Whereupon the hearing adjourned at 5:00 p.m.,
8	to resume on Wednesday, April 22, 2009
9	at 9:30 a.m. / L'audience est ajournée à 17 h 00,
10	pour reprendre le mercredi 22 avril 2009 à 9 h 30
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6	V	We hereby certify	that we have accurately	
7		transcribed the foregoing to the best of		
8		our skills and abilities.		
9				
10	Nous certifions que ce qui précède est une			
11	transcription exacte et précise au meilleur			
12	de nos connaissances et de nos compétences.			
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18	Lynda Jo	ohansson	Jean Desaulniers	
19				
20				
21				
22				
23	Fiona Po	otvin	Sue Villeneuve	
24				
25				