Commission of Inquiry into Certain Allegations Respecting Business and Financial Dealings Between Karlheinz Schreiber and the Right Honourable Brian Mulroney



Commission d'enquête concernant les allégations au sujet des transactions financières et commerciales entre Karlheinz Schreiber et le très honorable Brian Mulroney

# **Public Hearing**

# Audience publique

Commissioner

L'Honorable juge / The Honourable Justice Jeffrey James Oliphant

Commissaire

Held at:

Tenue à :

Bytown Pavillion Victoria Hall 111 Sussex Drive Ottawa, Ontario

Tuesday, May 19, 2009

pavillion Bytown salle Victoria 111, promenade Sussex Ottawa (Ontario)

le mardi 19 mai 2009

# **APPEARANCES / COMPARUTIONS**

Mr. Guy Pratte Mr. Harvey Yarosky, Q.C. Me François Grondin Mr. A. Sameul Wakim, Q.C. Mr. Jack Hughes Ms Kate Glover	The Right Honourable Brian Mulroney
Mr. Richard Auger	Mr. Karlheinz Schreiber
Mr. Paul B. Vickery Mr. Yannick Landry Ms Amy Joslin-Besner	Attorney General of Canada
Mr. Robert E. Houston, Q.C.	Mr. Fred Doucet
Mr. Richard Wolson Mr. Evan Roitenberg Ms Nancy Brooks Mr. Guiseppe Battista Ms Myriam Corbeil Mr. Peter Edgett Ms Sarah Wolson Mr. Martin Lapner	Counsel for the Commission
Ms Marie Chalifoux	Registrar
Ms Anne Chalmers Ms Mary O'Farrell	Commission Staff

# TABLE OF CONTENTS / TABLE DES MATIÈRES

	PAGE
Hearing resumes at 10:00 a.m. / L'audience débute à 10 h 00	4196
Previously sworn: The Right Hon. Brian Mulroney / Sous le même serment : Le Très Hon. Brian Mulroney	4196
Examination by Mr. Wolson (Cont'd)/ Interrogatoire par Me Wolson (suite)	4198
Recess taken at 12:00 p.m. / Suspension à 12 h 00 Hearing resumes at 12:15 p.m. / Reprise à 12 h 15	4313
Recess taken at 12:18 p.m. / Suspension à 12 h 18 Hearing resumes at 1:43 p.m. / Reprise à 13 h 43	4315
Recess taken at 3:00 p.m. / Suspension à 15 h 00 Hearing resumes at 3:30 p.m. / Reprise à 15 h 30	4387
Hearing adjourns at 5:13 p.m. / L'audience est ajournée à 17 h 13	4484

# EXHIBITS / PIÈCES JUSTIFICATIVES

No.	Description	PAGE
P-51	Compendium of Telephone Contacts	4342

Ottawa, Ontario / Ottawa (Ontario) 1 --- Upon resuming on Tuesday, May 19, 2009 2 at 10:00 a.m. / L'audience reprend le mardi 3 19 mai 2009 à 10 h 00 4 39969 5 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Good morning, 6 counsel. Be seated, please. PREVIOUSLY SWORN: THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY / 7 SOUS LE MÊME SERMENT : LE TRÈS HON. BRIAN MULRONEY 8 9 39970 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Mr. Yarosky at the podium, good morning, sir. 10 MR. YAROSKY: Good morning. As you 11 39971 12 know, Mr. Commissioner, I will use any excuse I can to get to the podium here. 13 First of all, Mr. Commissioner, we 39972 14 all hope that you are feeling somewhat better. 15 16 39973 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: I am, thank 17 you. 39974 MR. YAROSKY: Good. 18 19 39975 Second, you asked the other day whether the Commission has had its first baby and I 20 undertook to come to the podium and inform you of it. 21 39976 Apparently Mr. Philippe Lacasse's 22 23 wife -- he is not here today and this is why. 24 39977 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Understandably so. 25

**STENOTRAN** 

1 39978 MR. YAROSKY: On Saturday -- Sunday morning -- Sunday morning gave rise to an 8-pound baby 2 girl. So I am very pleased to be at the podium and be 3 able to advise you and everyone of it. 4 5 39979 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Thank you 6 very much. 39980 I have done my thing 7 MR. YAROSKY: 8 now. 9 39981 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: I'm sure that everyone would join with me in extending best wishes to 10 the new baby and the family. 11 Mr. Wolson...? 12 39982 39983 MR. WOLSON: I'm sure that 13 Mr. Lacasse is pleased that he has been on national 14 television. 15 I will give you an update on 16 39984 Mr. Schreiber as well. 17 39985 In speaking with Mr. Auger, 18 19 Mr. Schreiber is out of the hospital, at home, but 20 convalescing slowly. 39986 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Yes. 21 39987 MR. WOLSON: It is very unlikely that 22 he could testify this week. I would say that that is 23 not going to happen, and we will just see how he is 24 doing during the week and we will keep you posted. 25

#### **STENOTRAN**

1 39988 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Thank you. 2 39989 I'm not sure whether any explanation has been given for the delay. I was scheduled to leave 3 4 Winnipeg last night at 8 o'clock. I arrived at the airport to take the flight. Air Canada advised me at 5 6 that point in time that the flight had been cancelled; that the aircraft was unserviceable. 7 39990 The lady was very quick to report, 8 9 however, that it was not an Airbus; it was an RJ. So I got a flight to Toronto on 10 39991 11 WestJet, stayed there at a hotel overnight and got an 12 early flight in here this morning. 39992 So I'm sorry. I apologize to 13 everyone for the delay, but it wasn't my fault. 14 39993 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Maybe turn 15 16 your microphone on, sir. THE RIGHT HON, BRIAN MULRONEY: 17 39994 The service was much more reliable when I was in office. 18 19 --- Laughter / Rires EXAMINATION: THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY BY 20 MR. WOLSON (cont'd) / INTERROGATOIRE : LE TRÈS HON. 21 BRIAN MULRONEY PAR Me WOLSON (suite) 22 23 39995 MR. WOLSON: Good morning, sir. 24 39996 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Good morning, sir. 25

## **STENOTRAN**

1 39997 MR. WOLSON: I just want to ask you a 2 number of questions confirming what you said to me on Thursday and Friday of last week. Hopefully, they 3 won't take very long. 4 The money that you received in the 5 39998 United States was in Canadian funds? 6 39999 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 7 40000 8 MR. WOLSON: All three payments were 9 Canadian funds? 40001 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I 10 11 believe so, sir. 12 40002 MR. WOLSON: The funds that you received in the United States stayed in the United 13 States? 14 40003 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 15 16 sir. MR. WOLSON: You didn't bring any of 17 40004 those monies back to Canada? 18 19 40005 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: No, I 20 did not. 40006 MR. WOLSON: Okay. You knew that if 21 you were going to bring back an amount over \$10,000 you 22 23 have to make a declaration of sorts, but that didn't come into play? 24 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: No. 25 40007

#### **STENOTRAN**

And that declaration requirement I think was sometime later. MR. WOLSON: All right. Your 40008 retainer of \$225,000, there was no particular period of time that the retainer was to cover? It was an open-ended retainer? 40009 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, sir. 40010 MR. WOLSON: There was only one --THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: At 40011 least -- excuse me. MR. WOLSON: Yes...? 40012 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: At 40013 least that's the manner in which I construed it, given what had been told me. 40014 MR. WOLSON: All right. There was only one retainer arrangement and that was never renegotiated? 40015 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: That is right. There was a request for renegotiation in 2000 given to Mr. Doucet but which never came to pass. MR. WOLSON: All right. And that was 40016

23 something that he told us about but it never occurred?
24 40017 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: It
25 never occurred, yes.

#### **STENOTRAN**

4200

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

4201

1 40018 MR. WOLSON: You never declared your 2 income or this retainer to the income tax between '93 and '99? 3 4 40019 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Т declared it when I was required to, yes, sir. 5 MR. WOLSON: You declared it in 2000 6 40020 by way of a voluntary disclosure. 7 40021 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 8 9 Having initiated discussions with the tax departments of Québec and Canada in 1999. 10 MR. WOLSON: All right. The retainer 11 40022 12 you received was to further the Thyssen Project or the Thyssen vehicle? 13 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Mr. 40023 14 Schreiber's corporate interests, which at that time I 15 16 principally construed as the Thyssen vehicles. MR. WOLSON: Prior to using the 17 40024 funds, you either kept them in a safe in your home in 18 19 Canada, \$150,000, or the \$75,000 you received in the States you kept in a box, a safety deposit box in the 20 States? 21 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 40025 22 That 23 is right. 24 40026 MR. WOLSON: You did use some of the monies for expenses, either Canadian -- or let me ask 25

#### **STENOTRAN**

1 you. 2 40027 For expenses did you take some money 3 out of your safe? 4 40028 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Approximately \$45,000 was used in expenses. 5 MR. WOLSON: Okay. And would that be 6 40029 from the monies you had in your Montréal home? 7 40030 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 8 9 40031 MR. WOLSON: The money that you had in the box in this States or in your safe at home in 10 Montréal, you didn't use the bulk of those funds until 11 you had made your voluntary disclosure? 12 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I 40032 13 never used a nickel of those funds until the money 14 15 became mine. MR. WOLSON: But you did use some of 16 40033 those funds for expenses. 17 40034 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: For 18 expenses. 19 MR. WOLSON: Okay. You kept records 20 40035 by way of a VISA or other documents to document your 21 expenses and when you made your voluntary disclosure 22 23 after you did that, in the ordinary course you disposed of those records? 24

25 40036 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes,

#### **STENOTRAN**

1 because I decided not to claim any expenses. I declared everything as income. I claimed no expenses 2 against the income, deducted no expenses, and paid the 3 220 -- tax on the \$225,000. 4 5 40037 MR. WOLSON: Did you have, in the 6 past, any other financial or business dealings with Karlheinz Schreiber or any of his companies? 7 40038 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: No, 8 9 sir. MR. WOLSON: And I am assuming you 40039 10 11 have no current business dealings with Karlheinz 12 Schreiber or any of his companies? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 40040 13 That is a safe assumption, sir. 14 40041 MR. WOLSON: I thought it might be. 15 40042 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 16 17 40043 MR. WOLSON: Did you have in the past or do you now have any financial business dealings with 18 19 Karlheinz Schreiber or his companies through third 20 parties? 21 40044 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: No, I do not. 22 23 40045 MR. WOLSON: Or third-party corporations? 24 25 40046 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: No, I

STENOTRAN

1 do not, sir.

2 40047 MR. WOLSON: Having reviewed the 3 contacts that you had last week with Mr. Schreiber -and you recall we went through a book of purported 4 5 contacts where we had diary entries in Schreiber's 6 diary, sometimes in Mr. Doucet's diaries, backup letters. From 1987 until 1993 there were about eight 7 to ten contacts. 8 9 40048 You recall me raising those with you last week? 10 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I do, 11 40049 12 sir. 40050 MR. WOLSON: Then on June 3rd you met 13 with him? No question about that? 14 40051 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 15 16 40052 MR. WOLSON: Ninety-three, that is. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: M'hm. 17 40053 40054 MR. WOLSON: Yes? 18 19 40055 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 40056 20 MR. WOLSON: And then you met with him August 27th, '93 at Mirabel. 21 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 40057 22 MR. WOLSON: The Queen Elizabeth 23 40058 24 December 8, '93. 25 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 40059

**STENOTRAN** 

40060 MR. WOLSON: And finally, December 8, '94 in New York at the Pierre Hotel. 40061 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: That's right. And then in February of '98 in Zürich. MR. WOLSON: And of course you had 40062 met with him at Harrington Lake on the 23rd as well. 40063 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: That is right, m'hm. 40064 MR. WOLSON: I'm now going to ask you some questions regarding Mr. Kaplan. 40065 You told Mr. Kaplan -- could Mr. Mulroney have the Kaplan binder, please. 40066 Could you turn up Tab 1, sir. 40067 You told --Excuse me, sir. 40069

1 sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

40072

25

40068 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 16 17 MR. WOLSON: Sure. Tab 1 would be 18 December 2, 1997. 19 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 20 40070 I have it. 21 40071 MR. WOLSON: It starts off: 22 23 "I was in Toronto at a Board 24 meeting."

THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes.

**STENOTRAN** 

MR. WOLSON: This is a note that 1 40073 2 Mr. Kaplan prepared while he was writing the book "Presumed Guilty", the first of the two books that he 3 wrote involving you. 4 40074 Do you recall that? 5 You recall that he wrote a book --6 40075 40076 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 7 40077 MR. WOLSON: -- "Presumed Guilty"? 8 9 40078 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: M'hm. 10 40079 MR. WOLSON: He says in his notes, 11 the second line, that you related to him: "I knew Schreiber in a 12 peripheral way." 13 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 40080 14 M'hm. 40081 15 MR. WOLSON: "He was associated in my mind 16 with the Alberta Progressive 17 18 Conservatives. That was a 19 limited extent to which I knew 20 anything about him, I knew who 21 he was, and that he'd been involved in Bear Head." 22 23 40082 That's a true statement? You told 24 Mr. Kaplan that, or words to that effect? 25 40083 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Very

**STENOTRAN** 

1 probably, sir.

2 40084 MR. WOLSON: Okay. You also --THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I 3 40085 4 don't know what the -- what the question was. It doesn't indicate here what the question was. 5 MR. WOLSON: I understand. 6 40086 40087 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: So I 7 8 don't know the extent to which the context might apply. 9 40088 MR. WOLSON: You told Mr. Sheppard -and we discussed this the other day -- at page 85 of 10 11 the discovery: 12 "And on the infrequent occasions when I would see him on 13 business, when he was promoting 14 the Tissen(sic) Project..." 15 16 40089 That is a statement that you made, 17 sir? --- Pause 18 19 40090 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Well, my answer is much more complete than that, sir. 20 40091 MR. WOLSON: I appreciate that, but 21 that is part of the answer that you gave: 22 23 "And on the infrequent occasions when I..." 24 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 40092 25

#### **STENOTRAN**

4208

1	but as I say, the context is, and I am responding to a
2	question, sir, that says:
3	"And can you go on to describe
4	your relationship over the
5	years?"
6	40093 In office. And I say:
7	" I came to know him as a
8	and the people in Alberta who
9	had been his partners described
10	him as a a hard working,
11	diligent, successful business
12	person who was very interested
13	in this particular project and
14	worked very hard to try and
15	secure its realization.
16	I suppose it's the same
17	thing today, any serious
18	multinational company comes to
19	see the Prime Minister or the
20	Minister of Industry and says,
21	'I can create a thousand (1,000)
22	jobs in the east and of Montreal
23	or in Cape Breton', you can bet
24	your bottom dollar that there's
25	going to be interest in that.

**STENOTRAN** 

1 And so Mr. Schreiber was also, as a German-Canadian, he was 2 extremely well-informed... 3 4 extremely well informed on questions such as German 5 reunification which was 6 beginning in the... after 7 nineteen eighty-nine (1989) to 8 9 acquire a degree of importance. 10 And on the infrequent occasions when I would see him on 11 12 business, when he was promoting the Tissen(sic) Project, he 13 would raise this German 14 reunification issue and speak 15 16 very knowledgeably about it." 17 40094 And so on. 18 40095 MR. WOLSON: Yes. And I was only 19 interested -- and I appreciate you being clear, that you did say to the examiner you saw him on business --20 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: M'hm. 21 40096 40097 2.2 MR. WOLSON: -- on infrequent 23 occasions. That's the only point that I make. 24 40098 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 25 and that is fully accurate.

**STENOTRAN** 

MR. WOLSON: Okay. Now, you know William Kaplan to be a lawyer? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. MR. WOLSON: To be an author? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. MR. WOLSON: And to be somewhat of a legal historian. You don't challenge any of that? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I was not aware of the legal historian dimension to his CV, but if you tell me that I will certainly accept it. MR. WOLSON: That is what he has told us and there has been no challenge to that. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. MR. WOLSON: He wrote his first book about you and your battle with the Government of Canada called "Presumed Guilty". THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. MR. WOLSON: Your spokesman, Luc Lavoie, has told Mr. Kaplan that you had the highest regard for Mr. Kaplan. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I notice that he accurately put it in the past tense. MR. WOLSON: Yes. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. MR. WOLSON: You had a very high

**STENOTRAN** 

1 regard for him --2 40114 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: That 3 is right. 4 40115 MR. WOLSON: -- as he was writing the book "Presumed Guilty"? 5 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 6 40116 He approached the Chairman of my firm, Yves Fortier, 7 and originally Mr. MacAdam who worked for me, both of 8 whom knew him, and he asked if he could come to see me 9 and begin the process of writing a book on what he 10 referred to in his correspondence as this travesty of 11 the Airbus affair, the original one. And I was 12 disinclined to do it. 13 40117 As I indicated, I reluctantly met 14 with him and determined after a little while that he 15 was genuinely interested in this. 16 He had written a book I think on 17 40118 Mr. Justice Leo Landreville on the Northern Ontario 18 19 matter, natural gas matter I think, and I was impressed by the meticulous research and careful writing. So I 20 agreed to see him, yeah. 21 MR. WOLSON: Just turn up Tab 15 if 40119 2.2 you would, please, Mr. Mulroney. 23 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 24 40120 Tab 15 of...? 25

#### **STENOTRAN**

1 40121 MR. WOLSON: Tab 15 of the Kaplan 2 materials. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: M'hm. 3 40122 4 40123 MR. WOLSON: This is an interview with Mr. Lavoie, who was your spokesperson and friend. 5 40124 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 6 40125 MR. WOLSON: That's all true, isn't 7 it? 8 9 40126 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Absolutely. 10 MR. WOLSON: And this is Friday, 11 40127 January 4, 2002. 12 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: M'hm. 40128 13 40129 MR. WOLSON: And if you turn to the 14 second page, you will see the very last line where 15 Mr. Lavoie -- we can read the whole sentence if you 16 17 like. "He said that everything I wrote 18 19 in the book was true and that 20 when I suggested that the news 21 startled me and left me feeling as if I had been manipulated..." 22 23 40130 This is Kaplan speaking to Lavoie: "... in a cynical way he 24 insisted that it was not the 25

**STENOTRAN** 

1 case..." 2 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 40131 3 40132 MR. WOLSON: 4 "... and Mulroney had the highest regard for me." 5 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 6 40133 M'hm. 40134 MR. WOLSON: That would be a true 7 statement by Mr. Lavoie as far as you knew? 8 9 40135 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Т think so. 10 MR. WOLSON: Okay. In effect what 11 40136 Mr. Kaplan did was he came to your defence in the book 12 and found you totally innocent of the Airbus hoax? 13 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: As 40137 14 15 did the Government of Canada when they apologized to me 16 and acknowledged in writing that they had never had any justification of any kind to write the falsehoods about 17 me that they sent to Switzerland. 18 19 40138 I was completely vindicated by them and by the RCMP in a letter from the Commissioner who 20 indicated, as you know, sir, that from the beginning 21 this thing had been a hoax orchestrated by Ms Stevie 22 23 Cameron and by Giorgio Pelossi. 24 40139 It was based on their information that the letter had been sent to Switzerland so --25

## **STENOTRAN**

1 40140 MR. WOLSON: I can assure you that I 2 am not going to examine you on the hoax of Airbus. It is not within our parameters, but I was more concerned 3 with the fact that Mr. Kaplan defended you and found 4 you to be innocent. 5 6 40141 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 40142 MR. WOLSON: And I'm only concerned 7 8 about Kaplan for the moment. 9 40143 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, I think that's right. And I think that, as he 10 11 indicated, he was seriously impressed by the facts of 12 the case obviously, and they indicated equally as clearly that I was completely innocent. 13 40144 So it wasn't a big surprise to me, or 14 15 to anyone else, that he arrived at the same conclusion. 16 40145 MR. WOLSON: He testified in this inquiry that when he received information from another 17 journalist that you had received funds from 18 19 Mr. Schreiber, talking about the \$300,000 -- and I know 20 there is a contest as between, or a disagreement as between you and Schreiber as to the amount. 21 40146 But at least from his perspective, 2.2 23 Mr. Kaplan says when he found out that you had received money from Karlheinz Schreiber, he started his own 24 investigation, which you can't speak to, but you can 25

#### **STENOTRAN**

4215

1 speak to this. He did speak to you on a number of 2 occasions after that? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I 40147 3 4 believe he did, yes. MR. WOLSON: Yes. You had a friendly 5 40148 6 relationship with Mr. Kaplan? 40149 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 7 40150 8 MR. WOLSON: If you turn to Tab 7, 9 please, and if you turn to the last page -- I'm sorry, the second-last page of Tab 7, it starts off with a 10 11 question: 12 "Are you around next weekend?" 40151 13 Do you see that, sir? The second-last page of Tab 7. 14 40152 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: The number 8 15 appears at the top, I believe, does it not? 16 17 40153 MR. WOLSON: Yes, it does. 40154 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Tab 7 18 19 that I have, sir -- perhaps I'm wrong here. The 20 second-last page? 21 40155 MR. WOLSON: Please. And the number --22 23 40156 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, I have that. 24 MR. WOLSON: Okay. 25 40157

## **STENOTRAN**

THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 1 40158 2 40159 MR. WOLSON: If you look at the 3 bottom of that page, Mr. Kaplan records you as saying 4 the following: "If you want my cooperation..." 5 40160 6 And just to put this in proper perspective, this is October 24, 2003. 7 40161 You can accept that. It's at the 8 9 beginning of the tab. 10 40162 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: What 11 date again, sir? MR. WOLSON: October 24, 2003. 12 40163 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 40164 13 40165 MR. WOLSON: He says that you told 14 him: 15 "If you want my cooperation and 16 friendship, then you cannot be a 17 friend and an opponent at the 18 19 same time. That is my 20 position." 21 40166 Do you see that? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: And 22 40167 23 it goes on to say: "Obviously, I don't want to hurt 24 Karl Heinz Schreiber." 25

**STENOTRAN** 

1 40168 MR. WOLSON: Yes. I understand that. 2 40169 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. MR. WOLSON: He has indicated that 3 40170 4 you were trying to convince him not to write about the \$225,000 or \$300,000. 5 6 40171 Do you agree with that; that you had many attempts with him to try and convince him not to 7 write about it? 8 9 40172 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Let's go, if I can, just for completeness, Mr. Commissioner, 10 11 just go back a bit. 12 40173 I think Mr. -- and you can correct me if I'm wrong on this, because a lot has been said and I 13 can't remember everything. 14 40174 But in much the same way as Mr. 15 16 Kaplan subsequently reproached Mr. Sheppard for not having asked me any direct question in that regard, I 17 don't believe he asked me the question himself either. 18 19 I don't believe that he ever said to me in that 20 context, in that timeframe: Did you ever have a commercial relationship with Mr. Schreiber and what was 21 it? 22 23 40175 When he asked me the question in regard to the series he was writing for the Globe and 24

**STENOTRAN** 

Mail --

MR. WOLSON: Yes...? 1 40176 2 40177 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: -- in November of 2003 --3 4 40178 MR. WOLSON: November 10th is the article. 5 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: That 6 40179 is right. When he asked me the question, I gave him 7 the honest answer. The answer was yes. And I 8 described the relationship to him. 9 40180 But I should point out to you that 10 this may very well be in context that I won't -- I 11 12 suppose it's needless to anyone else, Mr. Commissioner, to get into it. 13 40181 The Globe did a three-part series, 14 but there were four parts to it and the fourth part was 15 16 extremely important as well. 17 40182 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Is that the series that was written by Mr. Kaplan? 18 19 40183 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 20 That's right. 40184 And for some unknown reason, some 21 mysterious reason, they chose not to write the 22 23 fourth -- or publish the fourth article. 24 40185 My disagreement with Mr. Kaplan, as I remember it, Mr. Wolson, was much more about the 25

#### **STENOTRAN**

1 publication of the fourth article which dealt with the genesis of Airbus and the things we know now, plus 2 other irrelevant matters that have not been published, 3 than anything else. 4 But I can tell you, as you know --5 40186 6 and I was very disappointed when that fourth article failed to be published by the Globe and Mail. 7 40187 That said, when he asked me for that 8 9 series, whether I had had a commercial dealing with Mr. Schreiber, I said yes. 10 And when he said to me was it 11 40188 12 \$300,000, I said no, it was less than that. 40189 And when he asked me whether work had 13 been done, I said yes and described part of it. 14 That was confirmed by Mr. Schreiber. 15 When he asked me what kind of 16 40190 contract it was -- and this, too, was confirmed by 17 Mr. Schreiber -- I said it was above board in all 18 19 respects; that he had hired me I think as the best advocate that he could hire, and that I had done the 20 work and the contract was excellent in that regard. 21 I confirmed all of that. I sought to 40191 22 23 hide nothing from him and that appeared that day. MR. WOLSON: But my question to you 24 40192 was: Did you attempt to convince Mr. Kaplan not to 25

#### **STENOTRAN**

4220

1 write about your relationship with Karlheinz Schreiber? 2 40193 Did you do that? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Well, 3 40194 4 obviously I would have been happier, for reasons I have already indicated, had that not come out. But inasmuch 5 as I had confirmed it to him, I knew of course it was 6 going to come out. 7 40195 MR. WOLSON: Let's turn to Tab 6, 8 9 please. 40196 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 10 11 sir. MR. WOLSON: Tab 6 is dated the 12th 12 40197 October 2003. At the top of the page of page 2 you ask 13 Mr. Kaplan a question: 14 "I got the impression that you 15 plan to write about Karl Heinz 16 Schreiber and me? 17 Answer from W.K.: It's part of 18 19 the story." THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: M'hm. 20 40198 40199 21 MR. WOLSON: Response from you: 22 "It is not part of the story at 23 all. It is a different story." 24 40200 And you go on to indicate that you had been investigated, and you go on to indicate that: 25

## **STENOTRAN**

1 "So if you write about this it will be a big red herring that 2 3 will please Stevie Cameron and 4 distress myself..." You say those things, do you? 5 40201 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: If 6 40202 it's there, I assume I did. But I don't -- I would 7 have to see the context of the full question and the 8 9 full answer, sir. 40203 But I have no quarrel with what you 10 have said. 11 12 40204 MR. WOLSON: All right. If you turn to Tab 2 --13 40205 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: May I 14 just go back, Mr. Commissioner, to the question that I 15 16 was asked by Mr. Wolson? 17 40206 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Which tab? 40207 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: The 18 19 same tab, sir. 20 "Question from Brian Mulroney: 21 I got the impression that you plan to write about Karl Heinz 22 Schreiber and me? 23 24 Answer from W.K: It's part of 25 the story."

**STENOTRAN** 

And I say:

1

21

40208

"It is not part of the story at 2 all. It is a different story. 3 Don't forget that I have already 4 told you this, that I have never 5 6 done anything wrong or been involved with anyone for any 7 improper purpose. Everything 8 9 has been fully legal and proper. 10 Don't forget that it has been fully examined by the RCMP. 11 12 Don't forget also that there are also certain things that ELG 13 knows that I don't know and 14 there are certain things that I 15 know..." 16 17 40209 Et cetera. And this is going to be a big red herring for Stevie Cameron. 18 19 40210 Mr. Commissioner, this is in direct reference to the fact that Ms Cameron and Mr. Pelossi 20

RCMP to write a false libelous travesty to the
Government of Switzerland about me on the basis of
statements, all of which turned out to be false -- all
of which turned out to be false.

## **STENOTRAN**

were able in 1995, September 29, 1995, to persuade the

1 40211 I was, as I have indicated before, simply concerned, as I suspect most people would be, 2 that the same kind of attempts by Ms Cameron and her 3 allies in the media, the fifth estate and so on, would 4 use this to try and get back at me and my family again. 5 6 40212 That's all. 40213 MR. WOLSON: So the issue -- and we 7 are not here again to discuss the Airbus, but it does 8 play a role in your mindset obviously, because having 9 been burned once you were careful after that, 10 11 obviously. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 12 40214 40215 13 MR. WOLSON: You would agree with that statement? 14 40216 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 15 Yes. But, Mr. Commissioner, the interest 16 40217 again of completeness, may I just say this: 17 I have read often statements coming from authorities here in 18 19 Ottawa that this particular Commission was granted because, among other things, it is one that I had asked 20 for. 21 40218 That is a completely inaccurate 22 23 statement. 40219 On the 8th of November when I saw the 24 storm clouds rising, sir, for calls for an inquiry, I 25

#### **STENOTRAN**

1 issued a statement and I said in my statement: Ιf there is going to be a Commission of Inquiry 2 appointed -- there was no doubt in my mind that one 3 would be -- it must go back to 1988 and begin in 1988 4 with the Airbus matter and take in all of the 5 6 activities of everyone from prime ministers to lobbyists to journalists that brought about this 7 travesty, and then go on to the end. 8 9 40220 Now, that certainly would have taken in, sir - certainly would have taken in this question 10 11 of the relationship between Mr. Schreiber and me, but that would have been, effectively, a fairly small 12 chapter in a big book, because we would have gotten, 13 probably, to the heart of this thing. 14 40221 This, in part, if I may say, sir, was 15 16 the subject of the fourth article of the Globe that never appeared. 17 40222 So that was what I believed in, and I 18 19 just wanted to point out to you that every time that 20 statement is made, that Brian Mulroney asked for this particular inquiry, it is an inaccurate statement. 21 Ι did not, sir. I am happy to be here with you and Mr. 22 23 Wolson -24 40223 Happy is not the right word. --- Laughter / Rires 25

#### **STENOTRAN**

THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Let 1 40224 2 me say that I am here. 40225 But when I was asked, my request was 3 4 to get this thing vidé - emptied once and for all, and I had specifically asked that it go back to 1988 and 5 6 begin, and put them all in the box - all the prime ministers, all the ministers of justice, all of them, 7 including the journalists, those who had sworn out 8 false information - put them all in there, and then 9 have Mr. Wolson interrogate them all, not just me and 10 Mr. Schreiber. 11 12 40226 MR. WOLSON: And, you know, frankly, I would be pleased to do that, but that isn't our 13 mandate. 14 40227 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 15 Ι 16 understand. 17 40228 MR. WOLSON: Our mandate is a focused mandate on the business and financial dealings between 18 19 Mr. Schreiber and you, and because there is no Airbus 20 dealing - that's your evidence -40229 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yeah. 21 40230 MR. WOLSON: -- we are focusing, 22 23 then, on the money that you received from Mr. Schreiber. 24 I didn't ask you, and I don't plan to 25 40231

**STENOTRAN** 

1 ask you, whether you wanted an inquiry, but I would like you to focus, if you will, please, sir, on the 2 issues at hand, which are the issue of the Bear Head 3 matter and the financial payments in 1993 and 1994. 4 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I am 5 40232 6 happy to do so, sir. 40233 MR. WOLSON: Good. 7 40234 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Mr. Wolson, I 8 9 would like to ask a question of Mr. Mulroney, if I might, dealing with the page under Tab 6. 10 Mr. Mulroney, I just want to have you 11 40235 clarify, if you could, this. In that interview, at the 12 first page of the interview - and you have read it -13 after you say, "I got the impression that you plan to 14 write about Karl Heinz Schreiber and me - " 15 16 40236 Do you see that at the top of the 17 page? 40237 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 18 19 sir. COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Further down 20 40238 21 you say:

22

23

24

25

"So if you write about this it will be a big red herring that will please Stevie Cameron and distress myself because it is a

STENOTRAN

false accusation because there 1 is nothing there, you can be 2 certain of that." 3 A simple question: When you made 4 40239 that statement, were you referring to your relationship 5 with Mr. Schreiber? 6 40240 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 7 T was referring to the fact that the implication floating 8 around in Toronto was that the payments from Mr. 9 Schreiber for this contract were in some way because of 10 11 Airbus. 12 40241 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Okay. That's fine, thank you. 13 MR. WOLSON: Okay, let's focus, and 40242 14 maybe we can get through this in a timely way. 15 16 40243 If you would turn up Tab 2 - I am going to come back to Tab 6, but let's do Tab 2 for 17 18 now. 19 40244 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 20 sir. 40245 MR. WOLSON: Mr. Kaplan has 21 testified - this is January 9, 2002, Tab 2. He has 22 23 testified that he first called and spoke with Mr. Lavoie - and you can take it that he talked to Mr. 24 Lavoie on the 4th of January 2002. If you have trouble 25

#### **STENOTRAN**

with that, it's Tab 15, but I don't think you should 1 have trouble with the date that he spoke to Lavoie. 2 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 3 40246 4 M'hmm. 40247 MR. WOLSON: He then indicates at Tab 5 6 2 that you called him, and he indicates that he wasn't surprised that you called him, because you called him 7 on the 9th, after his conversation with Lavoie, where 8 you raised this whole issue of the taking of money. 9 You say at the second line of Tab 2: 10 40248 "To the best of my recollection, 11 12 during my entire life, I have 13 never done anything wrong, unethical or illegal." 14 That's what you tell him. Right? 15 40249 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I 16 40250 don't see the question, sir, there. 17 40251 MR. WOLSON: Oh, I'm sorry. Are you 18 19 at Tab 2? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I am 20 40252 at Tab 2, yes, and it says, "Interview with B. Mulroney 21 on January 9, 2002." 22 23 40253 MR. WOLSON: That's right. The first line is, "He called me - " 24 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 25 40254

1 40255 MR. WOLSON: -- meaning you called 2 Mr. Kaplan -THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 40256 3 4 40257 MR. WOLSON: -- "which did not surprise after my discussion with Luc Lavoie." 5 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 6 40258 That's right. 7 40259 MR. WOLSON: The second line: 8 9 "To the best of my recollection, 10 during my entire life,..." -- this is you talking now: 11 40260 12 "I have never done anything wrong, unethical or illegal." 13 40261 Right? 14 40262 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 15 that's right. 16 MR. WOLSON: And that is consistent 17 40263 with you telling us the other day of your legal 18 19 commercial relationship with Karlheinz Schreiber. 20 Right? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I 21 40264 told you the truth, which is that I have never, 22 23 knowingly, done anything wrong in my entire life. MR. WOLSON: No, I'm not - I wish you 24 40265 would just listen to my questions -25

THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I am 1 40266 2 listening, sir. 3 40267 MR. WOLSON: I am making a statement 4 that you said the other day. You said that your relationship with Mr. Schreiber was a legal 5 relationship - a legal commercial relationship. 6 40268 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 7 That is right. 8 9 40269 MR. WOLSON: Okay. You say at the bottom of that page: 10 "I can also tell you that I have 11 12 declared every cent that I have ever received and I have paid 13 all income tax on all monies 14 owing." 15 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 40270 16 That is right. 17 40271 MR. WOLSON: You declared on your 18 19 income tax, for three years, \$37,500 for each of three 20 years. 40272 That's true? 21 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I 40273 22 23 referred the matter to my tax advisors in 1999. They resolved the matter with the Government of Canada and 24 the Government of Quebec, and after their discussions 25

and negotiations, all I was advised of was that the matter had been resolved and that certain monies were to be paid, and the cheques were issued. 40274 That was the extent of my involvement in it. 40275 MR. WOLSON: You don't know that you

1

2

3

4

5

6

7 declared \$37,500 for each of three years?
8 40276 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I
9 declared whatever the amounts were, sir. I declared
10 what I was advised by the tax attorneys, and the
11 cheques were issued, and that was it.

1240277MR. WOLSON: But I am only asking13you -

1440278THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I had15no involvement whatsoever -

16 40279 MR. WOLSON: I am only asking you 17 this question: Did you -

18 40280 I'm sorry, Mr. Pratte is up.

19 40281 MR. PRATTE: I'm sorry; just to

20 clarify something, Mr. Commissioner.

21 40282 Thank you, Mr. Wolson.

22 40283 The facts are clear that the tax 23 authorities were advised that the payments made 24 totalled \$225,000, in total. Ultimately, an agreement 25 was reached with the tax authorities apprised of that

1 fact, which resulted in amendments to the income tax returns, with payments of \$37,000 declared for those 2 3 years. 4 40284 But that was the agreement that was negotiated. As the witness indicated, he was not 5 6 involved in that. 40285 I just want the record to be crystal 7 8 clear here. When Mr. Wolson says that the amounts declared were \$37,500, that is ultimately what the 9 assessment was. No one disputes that. I think we put 10 this in by way of agreement of facts the other day. 11 12 40286 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: That is the amount upon which tax was paid. 13 40287 MR. PRATTE: That's correct. 14 40288 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Just while we 15 are clarifying it, let me get this straight. 16 17 40289 If Mr. Mulroney had earned \$75,000 and declared it in 1993, he would have paid tax on 18 19 \$75,000 presumably. 20 40290 MR. PRATTE: I assume so. 40291 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: He earned 21 22 \$75,000, or declared that he earned \$75,000 in '93, '94, '95 - whatever the three years were -23 24 40292 MR. PRATTE: Right. 25 40293 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: -- and ended

up, with a voluntary disclosure, paying tax on only 1 half of what he would have paid had he declared it in 2 the year earned? 3 4 40294 MR. PRATTE: Here we are entering the very treacherous ground that I made my motion about, 5 6 sir, and I say that because the tax authorities of Canada and Quebec, aware of the amounts - the total of 7 the amounts -8 9 40295 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: T don't. disagree with that. 10 MR. PRATTE: -- followed, as I think 11 40296 12 the evidence will indicate, a practice that was then prevalent in the Province of Quebec, not knowing that 13 Mr. Mulroney was the person, and applying it, and 14 simply because the years involved were, I think, what 15 16 they refer to as "prescribed" -17 40297 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Right. 40298 MR. PRATTE: -- then, ultimately, 18 19 signed on to an agreement where the amounts paid were 20 on \$37,500. I just want to be crystal clear here 21 40299 that the tax authorities were fully aware of the total 22 23 received by the taxpayer - and we are talking about 225 because that's what the taxpayer said - and the tax 24 authorities - in fact, Mr. Mulroney was unaware, as he 25

#### **STENOTRAN**

1 has indicated, because he didn't get involved at all in the negotiations - followed the practice that was 2 prevalent in the Province of Quebec to everybody. 3 4 40300 I just want to make sure there is no 5 innuendo here that the taxes were not paid, as was 6 required under the policy. 40301 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: I did not see 7 any innuendo in the question that Mr. Wolson asked. 8 9 40302 MR. PRATTE: Very well, then. I may be oversensitive, for the reasons we have discussed 10 11 before, and if there was no innuendo, I gather there 12 can't be one now. MR. WOLSON: My question - and I am 13 40303 going to get to the tax matters in some detail, and we 14 15 will deal with them in due course. My question of the 16 witness was: Were you aware that, for each of three years, you declared \$37,500? 17 40304 That's my only question. 18

19 40305 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: No, I 20 was simply aware, having given the information to -21 given my instructions to the tax advisors, they 22 resolved it and told me that it had been resolved to 23 the satisfaction of the tax authorities of Canada and 24 the Government of Quebec, and that the amounts - X, Y 25 and Z - were to be paid, and that's what took place.

# **STENOTRAN**

1 40306 MR. WOLSON: All right. So you had 2 no knowledge of the fact that the declaration was for not \$75,000 a year, but \$37,500. 3 4 40307 You had no knowledge of that. 40308 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: No, I 5 6 had no knowledge of anything in that regard. 40309 MR. WOLSON: When you joined your law 7 firm, Ogilvy Renault, you had an independent consulting 8 9 practice apart from your law practice. 40310 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: That 10 11 is right. 12 40311 MR. WOLSON: If you would turn to Tab 13 3, please, this is December 4, 2002 -40312 Are you there, sir? 14 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 15 40313 16 I am. 17 40314 MR. WOLSON: If you turn the page to the second page, it says at the bottom of the page: 18 19 "He told me the following...," 20 40315 -- meaning that you told Mr. Kaplan 21 the following: "when he joined Ogilvy Renault 22 23 he made it clear that he would 24 have an independent international consulting 25

**STENOTRAN** 

1 practice. He has had such a practice. The names of his 2 clients are confidential and 3 4 will not be released without their permission." 5 All of that is correct so far? 6 40316 40317 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 7 That's right. 8 9 40318 MR. WOLSON: And that is no more or less than you have said already in your evidence. 10 40319 That's a true statement. 11 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: That 12 40320 is a true statement. 13 40321 MR. WOLSON: All right. And it says: 14 "If, and he emphasized the word 15 if, a client paid him in 16 cash..." 17 40322 We are now on page 3: 18 19 "...that would be reflected in 20 the books of the company and all 21 taxes have been paid, all income declared." 22 23 40323 Is this transaction with Mr. Schreiber on the books of your company, Cansult? 24 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: As it 25 40324

**STENOTRAN** 

turns out, it is not, sir. I believed it was at the 1 time, and this conversation, I think, took place 2 approximately ten years later, and that was an 3 inadvertently inaccurate statement on my part. 4 Everything else in the quotations is fully accurate, 5 6 but that is not. 40325 MR. WOLSON: And Cansult is the name 7 8 of your company. 9 40326 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: That's right, yes, sir. 10 11 40327 MR. WOLSON: C-A-N-S-U-L-T, or 12 something like that? 40328 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 13 That's right. 14 40329 MR. WOLSON: When did you find out 15 about the Britan account? 16 17 40330 When did you know of the existence of such an account? 18 19 40331 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I think, Mr. Wolson, that I first heard of the Britan 20 account in 1999. I believe that to be true. And I 21 found out about it, I think, because apparently Philip 22 23 Mathias, in the National Post, wrote a story the morning that the fifth estate was going to air with an 24 accusation that said that Britan was Brian, and that I 25

## **STENOTRAN**

1 would have been paid out of this particular account. 2 I knew nothing about it, but I did 40332 find out - I did see that that very day Mr. Edward 3 Greenspan, Mr. Schreiber's lawyer, wrote to the fifth 4 estate and said - and I repeat this in paraphrase: I 5 6 understand that you are going to air tonight with a program that says Britan is Brian. If that is so, and 7 you do that, you will be committing a grave error. 8 This is a lie. It would do a great disservice to Mr. 9 Mulroney. Mr. Mulroney has nothing to do with Britan, 10 11 and I put you on notice right now, as Mr. Schreiber's 12 attorney, that this is the case. Britan is not Brian, and to suggest that is wrong. 13 40333 MR. WOLSON: When did you find out, 14 though, that Britan was Brian - Brian Mulroney? 15 16 40334 Do you have a time when you found that out? 17 40335 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 18 Т 19 don't believe that Britan ever was Brian. I knew nothing about it whatsoever. 20 40336 MR. WOLSON: So you never knew it. 21 You only knew what Mr. Greenspan had said, you had no 22 23 independent thought of it. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 40337 24 When there was testimony before you, sir, then Mr. Schreiber 25

## STENOTRAN

1 appeared and completely repudiated what his lawyer had written in 1999, and he appeared before the 2 parliamentary committee and before you and said the 3 exact opposite, that Britan was Brian. 4 5 40338 But, look, no one will be surprised 6 by Mr. Schreiber reversing his testimony and saying whatever is convenient, because at this point in time 7 the object of the entire exercise was for him to avoid 8 extradition. 9 40339 MR. WOLSON: But, Mr. Mulroney, I am 10 11 only asking you a specific question, and if you could 12 focus on my question -THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I 40340 13 have answered your question. 14 40341 MR. WOLSON: Did you ever know that 15 Britan wasn't Brian? 16 Did you ever know that? 17 40342 40343 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 18 Т 19 think I have told you that I never did. 20 40344 MR. WOLSON: Okay, thank you. 40345 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: And 21 don't to this day. 22 23 40346 MR. WOLSON: All right. 24 40347 Mr. Kaplan testified and said the following, which is in his book at page 161: 25

# **STENOTRAN**

1 "Mulroney's unrelenting campaign to persuade me not to publish 2 the story about the money for 3 one reason only - to protect his 4 5 reputation - was brutal, 6 heavy-handed and extremely wearing." 7 40348 8 Were you aware of that comment by Mr. 9 Kaplan? 40349 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: My, 10 11 my, my, what a sensitive soul. He hasn't spent much 12 time in the House of Commons, I'll tell you that, or 13 anywhere else. 40350 We had infrequent conversations, as 14 15 you know, and I have already acknowledged that, for the 16 reasons I have explained, I sought to persuade him not to convey that information. Although, I must repeat, 17 Mr. Wolson, that when he asked me the direct question 18 19 for publication in the Globe, I told him the truth. I didn't deny anything, I told him the truth. 20 40351 21 MR. WOLSON: He testified that you had called him on a number of occasions, some of which 2.2 23 he has made notes of, others that he hasn't, in and around a month or so leading up to the November 10th 24 issue, when he wrote about you in the Globe and Mail -25

that you had called him, he said, in an unrelenting 1 campaign - and I am not going to compare it to the 2 House of Commons, I have seen the activities there. 3 They are rather dramatic. 4 5 40352 Let's leave the House out, because 6 that's a place for only certain people. 40353 In this room here, I ask you: 7 Did 8 you call Mr. Kaplan on a number of occasions prior to the November 10th date, when the article appeared in 9 the paper - did you call him attempting to convince him 10 11 not to write about the legal commercial relationship that you had with Mr. Schreiber? 12 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I 13 40354 didn't - I have no recollection of calling him for that 14 15 specific objective. As you can see from the documents 16 that you have filed, much of the conversations are redacted, and we were in the process - he would call me 17 from time to time. When I had a chance I would call 18 19 him. Various subjects in which he was interested would 20 come up, in various conversations - about his book and the areas of interest that he wanted to talk about, and 21 obviously this was one of them. 22

23 40355 But I think I can tell you that (a) 24 that question by Mr. Kaplan was never asked in these 25 instances, but when he did ask me the question - and I

**STENOTRAN** 

1 think it was in Toronto. I think we finally met face-to-face on this, and he asked me the question, and 2 I told him the truth. 3 4 40356 MR. WOLSON: I am still going to ask 5 you, did you phone him a number of times, as he has said, in an attempt to convince him not to publish the 6 story that he was going to publish about you receiving 7 cash payments from Mr. Schreiber? 8 9 40357 Did you do that? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 40358 10 Т 11 think, sir, that the manner in which that is put is 12 inaccurate. If you asked me: Did I have conversations with him? Yes, sir; I think, invariably, in response 13 to calls or e-mails that he sent to me. 14 40359 I would then call him back in the 15 16 evening, one time - when I had some time, I would return his telephone calls, and we would have a pretty 17 open conversation, yes. 18 I don't deny that at all. 19 40360 40361 2.0 MR. WOLSON: Okay. At page 1814 of his testimony, which was the 23rd of April '09 -21 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: DO I 40362 2.2 23 have that, sir? 24 40363 MR. WOLSON: You may or may not have his testimony, but let me read four lines to you. 25

1 40364 I said to him at line 4 of page 1814: "And in terms of your receiving 2 a number of calls from him, can 3 4 you tell the Commissioner the nature of those calls in a 5 6 general way regarding your publishing this story?" 7 40365 Mr. Kaplan then testifies: 8 9 "Mr. Mulroney did not want the 10 story about the cash payments to become public and encouraged me 11 on a number of occasions not to 12 13 report on that." 14 40366 Is that an accurate statement by Mr. Kaplan, as he testified before this Commission of 15 16 Inquiry? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I 17 40367 don't think that's an unfair statement, sir -18 MR. WOLSON: Okay, thank you. 19 40368 40369 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 20 -- in 21 the context of precisely what I have told you. 40370 MR. WOLSON: All right. 2.2 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 23 40371 Т 24 wouldn't want him or me to convey the impression that he called me specifically to talk about that, or I did 25

1 the same.

2 40372 We had general conversations. Every time that he talked - he would save up the questions 3 that he wanted to deal with in the course of a 4 conversation, he would call me, my office would tell 5 6 him that I could get back tonight, or I'm in Latin America and I will get back next week, or whatever, and 7 we would have a fairly general conversation. And, 8 unquestionably, that matter came up in that regard, but 9 10 not beyond that. Now, would you agree 11 40373 MR. WOLSON: 12 with me that by 2003 things had calmed quite a bit from the time of your LOR and the lawsuit that followed? 13

40374 Would that be a fair statement?
40375 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: It
was more calm, yes, sir.

17 40376 MR. WOLSON: I had asked you about 18 your testimony at the discovery on the lawsuit in 19 Montreal, and I had suggested to you that if the news 20 of a financial - a legal financial relationship between 21 you and Schreiber had come out at that inquiry, in that 22 poisoned atmosphere, that it would add fuel to an 23 already raging fire.

2440377Would that be a fair statement?2540378THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes,

**STENOTRAN** 

1 you said that, sir.

2 40379 MR. WOLSON: And do you agree with 3 that statement? 4 40380 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Т indicated, I think, in response - (a) I agree with what 5 6 you said, but I think, in response to that particular question, I indicated, as well, that we had already 7 offered to the Government of Canada all of the - give 8 them all of the information -9 MR. WOLSON: Yes, we heard that. 10 40381 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: --11 40382 and they turned us down. 12 MR. WOLSON: Okay. Then, let me ask 13 40383 you this. Now, in 2003, with the climate being a lot 14 better than it was in and around the time of the LOR -15 16 40384 You would agree with that; true? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I 17 40385 think that's a fair statement. 18 19 40386 MR. WOLSON: If the matter came out 20 that you took cash payments from Karlheinz Schreiber in '03 - if the matter had come out in '03 - that would 21 have, I suppose, added to your anxiety. 22 23 40387 Would that be a fair statement? 24 40388 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, that's a fair statement. But the truth of the matter 25

## **STENOTRAN**

1 is that Mr. Lavoie, my friend and advisor, was right. Inasmuch as this was an innocent transaction, I would 2 have been well served by following his advice and 3 putting it out myself. 4 It was an innocent transaction. 5 40389 6 There was nothing wrong with it. It was legal. It was above board. I did the work for it, I was paid for it, 7 and I declared taxes on it. 8 9 40390 Moreover, we had a statement from the Commissioner of the RCMP, at that point, saying that I 10 was - and I am paraphrasing - completely innocent of 11 12 any of these accusations. MR. WOLSON: And you and I would 13 40391 agree that hindsight has perfect vision -14 40392 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yeah, 15 16 that's right. That's exactly right. 17 40393 MR. WOLSON: -- and I won't say more than that, but turn to Tab 16, if you will, please. 18 19 40394 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 20 sir. 40395 MR. WOLSON: Tab 16 is an evening 21 that Mr. Lavoie - or, at least, part of an evening -22 23 had with Mr. Kaplan. 24 40396 Would you turn to the second page. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 25 40397

**STENOTRAN** 

1 sir. 2 40398 MR. WOLSON: Mr. Lavoie is talking 3 now, according to Mr. Kaplan, and he says: 4 "He told me that Mulroney was so afraid of this information 5 6 coming out that he panicked and that explains why he kept it 7 secret." 8 9 40399 Is that a fair statement? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I 10 40400 11 assume he is referring to the original years, back 12 then. I don't know the context here, sir. 40401 MR. WOLSON: All right. Well, he 13 says, "He told me that Mulroney was so afraid of this 14 information coming out," and the information was the 15 16 taking of cash. 17 40402 As a matter of fact, you can go back a page - and I don't know whether I need to read this 18 19 on the record, you have it there -THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 20 40403 It's March 8th, '06? 21 40404 22 MR. WOLSON: March 8th, '06, sir, 23 yeah. 24 40405 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yeah. 25 40406 MR. WOLSON: And he says:

1 "However, he told me both in the middle of the dinner and at the 2 end of the evening that he was." 3 4 40407 This is now Lavoie speaking -THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 5 40408 6 40409 MR. WOLSON: -- that he was upset. 40410 He uses the words "pissed off" to 7 find out about the cash, but didn't take it personally. 8 9 40411 I am not looking for your comment on 10 that, but the next page: "He told me that Mulroney was so 11 afraid of this information 12 13 coming out that he panicked and that explains why he kept it 14 secret. that no one could care 15 less today even if the story 16 17 came out about the voluntary declaration." 18 19 40412 So he is obviously talking about the money, the cash here. 20 40413 Is that a correct statement, did you 21 panic? 22 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: No, 23 40414 of course - I didn't panic. I think that's probably an 24 infelicitous turn of phrase, but I - I certainly didn't 25

**STENOTRAN** 

1 panic, but, as I have explained to you, my strong preference would have been that this matter remain 2 3 private. 4 40415 Look, it was a private matter, in the 5 private sector, between two private individuals, after 6 I left office, without a nickel of public funds involved at all. I was interested, as you would be, 7 sir, in keeping a private transaction private, that's 8 all. 9 40416 MR. WOLSON: All right. 10 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 11 40417 That was my situation and my mindset at the time. 12 40418 MR. WOLSON: Let's turn to Tab 5, if 13 you will, please. 14 40419 Tab 5 is an interview with you, on 15 the 5th of October 2003. 16 40420 I would ask you to turn to the third 17 18 page, if you would, please. 19 40421 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 40422 20 MR. WOLSON: If you turn to the last question on the page - I will read it to you. 21 40423 Are you at page 3, where it says, "Q. 22 23 The Mathias report."? 40424 Are you there? 24 It's the last question on the third 25 40425

1 page. 2 40426 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 40427 MR. WOLSON: All right. 3 4 "The Mathias report indicates that Karl Heinz Schreiber gave 5 you money, that is not 6 defamatory there is nothing for 7 him to worry about in a 8 9 courtroom." 10 40428 Your answer - you are flipping the 11 page back. Are you with me? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: The 12 40429 answer is -13 MR. WOLSON: Your answer is: 40430 14 "What Mathias seems to know is 15 mostly false. It is a much 16 larger story. The money came to 17 18 Britan. This money was not for 19 me. I know who Britan was. Now there is a big story for you. 20 For the moment it is not 21 relevant to my role but I know 22 that I wasn't Britan and I know 23 who Britan was." 24 Is that a true statement? 25 40431

THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 1 40432 2 Absolutely. MR. WOLSON: Who was Britan? 40433 3 4 40434 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Britan - well, let's dissect this. "What Mathias seems 5 6 to know is mostly false. It is." 40435 MR. WOLSON: Now, if you would just 7 stop there, what Mathias is purporting is that you 8 received \$300,000 from Schreiber. 9 40436 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: From 10 a Britan account. 11 12 40437 MR. WOLSON: Yes. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: And 40438 13 what I knew then, since 1999 - and this was taking 14 place, I think, in 2003 - what I knew was Mr. 15 16 Greenspan's letter to the fifth estate, saying very firmly and categorically: Britan is not Brian. 17 40439 Inasmuch as no one had ever raised 18 19 the word "Britan" with me, I just assumed that Mr. 20 Greenspan, acting on instructions from his client, and committing this to writing, was telling the truth. 21 And I'm sure he was, the truth as he was told by Mr. 22 23 Schreiber. 24 40440 So that's what I knew about Britan.

#### **STENOTRAN**

I knew that it was not me.

25

1 40441 MR. WOLSON: Who was it? 2 40442 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I was told that Britan was simply Breton, B-R-E-T-O-N, and 3 4 that the matter was set aside for a leading figure in Breton, in Cape Breton. 5 6 40443 MR. WOLSON: Well, let's --40444 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 7 And 8 inasmuch --9 40445 MR. WOLSON: Yes...? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 10 40446 Inasmuch as -- and it was indicated to me who that 11 12 leading political figure might be. But inasmuch as there has been so much untruths about Britan and about 13 me, I am not about to trample on his reputation the way 14 15 mine has been trampled upon. MR. WOLSON: All right. Let's in 16 40447 fact dissect this. 17 40448 The question that he asks you: 18 19 "The Mathias report indicates 20 that Karl Heinz Schreiber gave 21 you money..." THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: M'hm. 40449 22 23 40450 MR. WOLSON: 24 "... that is not defamatory..." THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: M'hm. 25 40451

1 40452 MR. WOLSON: 2 "... there is nothing for him to worry about in a courtroom." 3 4 40453 So what he is saying basically in his question is (a) you got money --5 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: M'hm. 6 40454 40455 MR. WOLSON: -- and (b) it's a legal 7 8 transaction. 9 40456 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: M'hm. 10 40457 MR. WOLSON: L-E-G-A-L, legal 11 transaction. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 12 40458 40459 MR. WOLSON: That is basically what 13 he is saying; right? 14 40460 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: He 15 16 appears to be saying that, yes. 17 40461 MR. WOLSON: You answer: "What Mathias seems to know is 18 19 mostly false." THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: M'hm. 20 40462 MR. WOLSON: 40463 21 "It is a much larger story." 22 23 40464 So let's stop there. What he knows 24 is false. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 25 40465

1 40466 MR. WOLSON: You did get money, did 2 you not? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Oh, 3 40467 4 but Mathias knew this and spoke about this and prepared a draft for the National Post three or four years 5 6 earlier. There was nothing new in this. 40468 MR. WOLSON: Well, it's new because 7 it hadn't been published and it wasn't the story out in 8 the public venue. 9 40469 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 10 Tt. 11 perhaps wasn't published, but it was rather widely 12 known in certain circles in Toronto, yes. 40470 13 MR. WOLSON: Did you know, by the way, in 2001 that Mathias had prepared a story which 14 did not get published? 15 Did you know that; that there had 16 40471 been a story out there that you received cash payments 17 from Karlheinz Schreiber? 18 19 40472 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I did not know it then. I knew it after. I was told after. 20 40473 MR. WOLSON: After when? 21 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Oh, I 40474 22 23 suppose a year or two later. 24 40475 MR. WOLSON: All right, but let's then stick to this answer. 25

"What Mathias seems to know is 1 mostly false." 2 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 3 40476 4 40477 MR. WOLSON: And what he knows, what 5 he has suggested, was you got money from Schreiber. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 6 40478 No. 40479 MR. WOLSON: That is in the question. 7 40480 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 8 No. 9 No, no. What he is suggesting -- Mathias knew what you have just said three years earlier. 10 What Mathias -- the thrust of 11 40481 12 Mathias' statement is that Britan was Brian, as I understood it, as I understood the article. 13 I never saw the article because it 40482 14 was never published, but Mathias used to have an 15 association with the fifth estate. I think he worked 16 there for a number of years. And Phil Mathias, who was 17 a good journalist, apparently prepared this article in 18 19 which the thrust, the main thrust of which was that 20 this money came from kind of like a secret account called Britan and that had been dealt with, as I have 21 described, by Mr. Greenspan. 22 23 40483 That was to be the main thrust and indeed it turned out to be the thrust of one of the 24

**STENOTRAN** 

many programs by the fifth estate.

1 40484 MR. WOLSON: Mr. Mulroney, the main 2 thrust I would suggest to you, sir, is that you got That is the main thrust. money from Schreiber. 3 4 40485 Irrespective of whether it comes from Britan, Breton, Brian, whomever it comes from, the main 5 6 thrust and your big concern, I would suggest to you, is you didn't want it to be made public that you got money 7 from Schreiber. 8 9 40486 Is that not a correct statement? 40487 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Well, 10 11 how could you say that, sir, when this interview 12 appears to have taken place on the 5th of October, the 5th of October 2003, and as I recollect that was five 13 days before Mr. Kaplan published his story --14 40488 MR. WOLSON: No. He published it on 15 the 10th of November. 16 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 17 40489 Fifteen days or a month and a half, whatever it was. 18 19 40490 And certainly I acknowledge that in my conversation with him, that indeed I had received 20 the money and I explained the circumstances. 21 40491 I just fail to see, sir -- maybe I'm 22 23 wrong -- the mystery of this. I would have acknowledged that. 24 If you read the article by 25 40492

#### **STENOTRAN**

1 Mr. Kaplan, you will see an acknowledgment by me. I didn't deny it. I never fudged the issue. I said yes. 2 When he asked me the question, I gave him the answer. 3 4 40493 MR. WOLSON: So the answer that you have here: 5 "What Mathias seems to know is 6 mostly false." 7 40494 And what Mathias knew was you got 8 9 \$300,000. Is that your response, that it is false? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Well, 10 40495 (a), that's false, I didn't get \$300,000. And Mathias' 11 main thrust was that it came from the Britan account 12 and the Schreiber parties have already denied that at 13 this point in time. 14 40496 15 MR. WOLSON: Okay. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 16 40497 So all I'm saying, sir, is that as reported to me, the 17 Mathias article is largely false. That's all I'm 18 19 saying. 20 40498 But when Mr. Kaplan asks me the specific question, I tell him the truth. 21 40499 MR. WOLSON: Well, let's turn up the 2.2 23 tab to Tab 6, please. 24 40500 Tab 6 is something that we have covered in part and it is October 12, 2003. 25

## **STENOTRAN**

THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: M'hm. 1 40501 2 40502 MR. WOLSON: Are you there? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 3 40503 4 sir. 40504 MR. WOLSON: Turn one page in to the 5 6 second page. 40505 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: M'hm. 7 40506 MR. WOLSON: It starts off with 8 9 "Question from Brian Mulroney". THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: M'hm. 10 40507 40508 MR. WOLSON: Are you on that page? 11 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 12 40509 MR. WOLSON: Okay. Let's look at the 40510 13 last question on the page: 14 "Question from W.K.: Well, 15 there are two issues here." 16 Are you with me on this, sir? 17 40511 40512 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 18 19 40513 MR. WOLSON: "The first is that it is said 20 21 that Karl Heinz Schreiber paid you \$300,000 on four separate 22 occasions and the second issue 23 24 is on the transcript." 25 He is talking about the transcript 40514

1	from the discover	су.
2	40515	THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes.
3	40516	MR. WOLSON: You understand that?
4	40517	THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: M'hm.
5	40518	MR. WOLSON:
6		"You don't indicate a commercial
7		relationship with Schreiber."
8	40519	Your answer:
9		"All that is false. His reading
10		of it might give him that
11		impression but the transcript is
12		fine."
13	40520	So you are talking about the
14	transcript of the	e discovery there; right?
15	40521	THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes.
16	40522	MR. WOLSON: Then you say:
17		"Regarding the money, I can tell
18		you that there would be enough
19		inaccuracy in what was just said
20		to maintain a lawsuit."
21	40523	Right?
22	40524	THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes.
23	40525	MR. WOLSON: What is the inaccuracy:
24	that instead of \$	300,000, it was \$225,000; and instead
25	of four times, it	was three times?

**STENOTRAN** 

1 40526 Is that the inaccuracy? 2 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 40527 That's the beginning of it. The context of it, the 3 suggestions that were floating about was that there was 4 something untoward in this. 5 6 40528 And I should tell you that when Mr. Kaplan wrote his articles, after he had finished 7 his complete analysis, he, in fairness to him, stated 8 that the amount was less than \$300,000; that it was a 9 proper commercial relationship; that I had done the 10 11 work for the money; and that taxes had been paid on the 12 amounts received. This was all contained and -- and if 40529 13 I may say with regard to the second point, sir, that he 14 15 raises, talking about the transcript, Mr. Kaplan appears to have read this many, many times and one of 16 his principal conclusions in that article was that in 17 the hundreds of questions that the government lawyers 18 19 put to Mr. Mulroney, not one of them asked him whether he had had a commercial transaction with Mr. Mulroney. 20 40530 And he then concluded -- I have 21 examined this seven ways to Sunday, and I have 22 23 concluded that there was no dishonesty or perjury of any kind in his testimony. 24

25 40531 That is my recollection of the

1 article. 2 40532 MR. WOLSON: Well, first of all, 3 Mr. Mulroney, Mr. Kaplan, in the previous tab we looked 4 at, did not allege any legal transaction. He did not allege that, nor is he alleging it here at Tab 6. 5 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: That 6 40533 is right, sir. 7 40534 MR. WOLSON: That is the first thing. 8 9 40535 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Т 10 acknowledge that. MR. WOLSON: All right. 11 40536 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Sure. 12 40537 40538 MR. WOLSON: Secondly, I want you to 13 look carefully at the question from Kaplan: 14 "Well, there are two issues 15 here. The first is that it is 16 said that Karl Heinz Schreiber 17 paid you \$300,000 on four 18 19 separate occasions and the second issue is on the 20 21 transcript. You don't indicate 22 a commercial relationship with Schreiber." 23 24 40539 Do you have that question? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 25 40540 I do,

1 yes. 2 40541 MR. WOLSON: Okay. You start off on 3 the next page telling him that "the transcript is 4 fine". I am not going to press you right now on that. 40542 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 5 6 m'hm. 40543 MR. WOLSON: I'm not going to probe 7 that right now. 8 9 40544 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: M'hm. 10 40545 MR. WOLSON: We have done that before. We don't have to revisit that territory. 11 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: No. 12 40546 MR. WOLSON: 13 40547 14 "Regarding the money, I can tell you that there would be enough 15 16 inaccuracy in what was just said to maintain a lawsuit." 17 18 40548 And then you say, and we agree on 19 this: "I will tell you what I have 20 21 told you before, everything I 22 have done is completely honest 23 and above board." 24 40549 Right? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 25 40550

1 "Before, during and after political life." 2 Yes, sir. 40551 3 4 40552 MR. WOLSON: Yes. So what I'm 5 interested in is the money. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: M'hm. 6 40553 40554 MR. WOLSON: So he is basically and 7 has said to you, this is an honest, legal relationship, 8 of which you agree that he has told you that? 9 40555 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 10 40556 11 MR. WOLSON: So what are you going to make a lawsuit about? 12 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Well, 13 40557 the same thing I made a lawsuit of when Mr. Schreiber 14 sued me in Toronto on this kind of issue for the funds 15 16 and said the amount now was \$545,000. 17 40558 MR. WOLSON: No, this is \$300,000. So what is the lawsuit going to be? 18 19 40559 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: This is what -- the amount was inaccurate. He was thrown 20 21 out of court in Toronto and he tried again in Montréal, and he had to withdraw his action in regard to the 22 23 amounts of money and services rendered in Montréal. He lost in both provinces. 24 25 40560 All I'm saying -- I appear to be

# **STENOTRAN**

1 saying here, because I don't have the complete context of everything -- question from Kaplan: 2 "Well, there are two issues 3 4 here. The first is that it is said that Karl Heinz Schreiber 5 paid you \$3,000(sic) on four 6 separate occasions..." 7 40561 MR. WOLSON: \$300,000. 8 9 40562 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 10 Excuse me. "... \$300,000 on four separate 11 occasions and the second issue 12 is on the transcript." 13 40563 The first statement is false. 14 40564 MR. WOLSON: We are not going to the 15 transcript again. 16 17 40565 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: No, no. No, I'm not --18 19 40566 MR. WOLSON: I'm only interested in 20 the \$300,000. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 21 40567 That's what I'm talking about. 22 MR. WOLSON: Yes...? 23 40568 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I 24 40569 25 said the first statement is false. He did not pay me

### **STENOTRAN**

1 \$300,000 and he did not pay me on four separate 2 occasions. And it was not included at that time 40570 3 4 what subsequently appeared in the paper, that it was an entirely normal contract and above board. 5 6 40571 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Mr. Mulroney...? 7 40572 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 8 9 sir. COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Mr. Wolson 10 40573 11 asked that specific question about six questions ago, 12 whether the inaccuracy to which you alluded was the \$300,000 and the four payments. 13 40574 Is that the inaccuracy to which you 14 refer? 15 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 40575 16 That is the principal inaccuracy. 17 40576 But you see, My Lord, I am at 18 19 somewhat of a disadvantage because I don't have the 20 context of the entire discussion that took place. 21 40577 But in regard to that, yes. 40578 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Okay. 22 23 40579 MR. WOLSON: With due respect, you are not admitting you got paid any money. You are 24 saying there is enough inaccuracy in the allegation 25

4266

1 that you got \$300,000 that you are prepared to issue a lawsuit. You are not saying that you got money. 2 You didn't say: You know, Kaplan, I 3 40580 4 got \$225,000, not \$300,000. You didn't tell him that? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Where 5 40581 6 did he then get my statement, my acknowledgment to him that indeed I was paid, that he put in the newspaper on 7 November 10th, as you say? 8 9 40582 MR. WOLSON: He put it in the newspaper based on the fact that he had a story from 10 11 Mathias that you didn't reject, but I'll get --THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Are 12 40583 13 you sure of that? 40584 MR. WOLSON: Well, we are going to 14 15 get to that article and we will deal with that and dissect it --16 17 40585 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. Yes, please. 18 19 40586 MR. WOLSON: -- up ways --THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Seven 20 40587 21 ways to Sunday. MR. WOLSON: -- every which way to 40588 22 23 Sunday. 24 40589 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Let's 25 do that.

1 40590 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Just a 2 moment, please, gentlemen. 40591 Mr. Pratte...? 3 4 40592 MR. PRATTE: I just want -- again, 5 I'm sorry to interrupt Mr. Wolson, but since we are 6 talking about what Mr. Kaplan said or testified when he was here, my recollection of his evidence was crystal 7 clear: that Mr. Mulroney never denied to him -- and I 8 think he told me this explicitly when it was put to 9 him -- that there was a commercial relationship. 10 So I'm slightly puzzled at this line 11 40593 12 of questioning of Mr. Wolson. 40594 Mr. Kaplan, whose notes these are, 13 who testified before you, never intimated that when 14 confronted with this information Mr. Mulroney attempted 15 16 to deny that. What he did say, as Mr. Wolson 17 40595 brought out, is that Mr. Mulroney then tried to 18 19 persuade him not to publish it for the reasons. But the existence of the transaction, 20 40596 21 he made it crystal clear that that was never denied by Mr. Mulroney or anyone else on his behalf. 22 23 40597 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Thank you. 24 40598 Mr. Wolson...? MR. WOLSON: Well, I am looking at 25 40599

# **STENOTRAN**

1 Tab 6 where he says at the bottom of the second page: "Well, there are two issues 2 The first is that it is here. 3 said that Karl Heinz Schreiber 4 paid you \$300,000 on four 5 6 separate occasions and the second issue is on the 7 transcript." 8 9 40600 What you respond to him is there is inaccuracy, enough for a lawsuit. You didn't say to 10 him: No, Mr. Kaplan, it was \$225,000, not \$300,000, 11 12 and it wasn't on four occasions, but three. 40601 13 MR. PRATTE: Mr. Commissioner, I have a problem here. 14 40602 Mr. Kaplan was here, called as a 15 16 witness for the Commission. We are now trying to 17 interpret his notes made at the time. We don't have the context. I appreciate that that doesn't make them 18 19 worthless, by any stretch of the imagination, but in my 20 respectful submission, since we are trying to divine what Mr. Kaplan may have said or thought or understood 21 from Mr. Mulroney, he should have been asked then, if 22 that was a concern: Was Mr. Mulroney -- did you 23 understand him to try to deny the existence of a 24 relationship? 25

**STENOTRAN** 

1 40603 He, when he was here, Mr. Kaplan made 2 it crystal clear that at no time Mr. Mulroney did he try to deny that there was a commercial relationship. 3 He disputed the amounts. And Mr. Kaplan wasn't asked: 4 Put yourself back on that day; did you understand 5 6 Mr. Mulroney to deny the relationship? 40604 So now the witness is being asked in 7 8 effect, and the question suggests, that he may have tried to mislead Mr. Kaplan into thinking there was no 9 commercial relationship. 10 We can't divine that from the notes 11 40605 12 when the person who made the notes said the opposite. 40606 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Mr. 13 Wolson...? 14 40607 MR. WOLSON: Well, you know, 15 16 Mr. Kaplan was a witness here. I went through all of these conversations with Mr. Kaplan. I don't recall 17 one question of Mr. Pratte of Mr. Kaplan on specific 18 19 conversations. 20 40608 I am asking him because I think I must ask Mr. Mulroney. He was a party to the 21 conversation. 22 23 40609 I am putting the conversation that Mr. Kaplan has noted and I am asking him about the 24 conversation. If I didn't do that, I would, in my 25

#### **STENOTRAN**

1 view, be in error. It is my duty, in fairness to this witness, that I should put to him comments that 2 Mr. Kaplan has made and get the witness' position on 3 it. And that's what I'm doing. 4 5 40610 MR. PRATTE: That I don't dispute, 6 Mr. Commissioner, and I don't want to prolong this. 40611 All I'm saying is of course he can 7 cross-examine or ask questions of Mr. Mulroney to the 8 best of his recollection. But let us remember where 9 this is coming from. 10 And when the author of those notes 11 40612 12 said explicitly that it was never denied, that's all 13 I'm pointing out. So to try to divine from those notes something that the author apparently never divined, 14 because he made it crystal clear, in answer to one of 15 16 my questions. 17 40613 But beyond that -- I have made my I will not repeat it. Beyond that, I have no 18 point. 19 objection to Mr. Wolson asking questions of the witness in the full context. 20 21 40614 MR. WOLSON: Did you ask Mr. Kaplan or did you tell Mr. Kaplan: Look at, Bill -- and I'm 22 23 assuming you called him Bill. You had a relationship 24 with him. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: M'hm. 25 40615

### **STENOTRAN**

1 40616 MR. WOLSON: He met with you many 2 times. Would that be correct, sir? 40617 You would call him by his first name? 3 4 40618 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: That's right. 5 MR. WOLSON: All right. Did you say 6 40619 to him: Bill, you know, it wasn't \$300,000. It was 7 \$225,000 and on three occasions. 8 9 40620 Did you tell him that at this time back on October 12, 2003 and the document that I read 10 11 to you? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I 12 40621 must have told him that, sir, at a given point in time, 13 because that's what he put in the paper. 14 40622 MR. WOLSON: Okay. You didn't say 15 that here, though. 16 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I 17 40623 have no recollection of that. 18 19 40624 I should point out to you, you know, 20 we are on the second page here --40625 MR. WOLSON: Yes. Sure. 21 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: -- in 40626 22 terms of there are two issues here, Schreiber and the 23 transcript. 24

25 40627 My concern was then, as I have

# STENOTRAN

1 explained to you -- and go back one page. Kaplan's 2 notes. On the first page on the meeting on 40628 3 4 October 12, 2003, he says: "The second thing I was looking 5 6 for was anything relating to Britan." 7 40629 That was one of the major points of 8 9 that conversation and, as I have tried to tell you, I didn't have a clue about Britan and I was of the view 10 that anything -- all of these questions relating 11 12 thereto were false. But he came in, as I remember, with a view that the denunciation of Mr. Greenspan of 13 the truthfulness of that statement was still in play, 14 and I was simply saying you can ask me all the 15 questions you want about Britan. I don't have a clue 16 about Britan. 17 T have no idea. 40630 All I can tell you, as I did when he 18 19 ultimately asked me the specific question, I did not 20 deny that I had a relationship with him. I did not 21 deny that the amount was less than \$300,000, that work was done and that taxes were paid on it. 22 23 40631 I think if you check the story, you 24 will see that. 25 40632 MR. WOLSON: So your response, then,

#### **STENOTRAN**

1 is that it wasn't about \$300,000 being paid from Schreiber to you. It was about the source of the 2 funds, Britan, and not the monetary payment. 3 4 40633 Is that your response? 40634 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Look, 5 6 he is giving me a statement directly coming from an interview that he had with Mr. Schreiber. These are 7 Schreiber's -- at that point his two arguments, trying 8 to do me damage. 9 He is putting out the word that it 10 40635 11 was \$300,000 and that there was something wrong with my 12 transcript. We have heard testimony to that effect. 40636 Both of those were false. Both of 13 those statements were wrong. That's all I'm telling 14 15 you. MR. WOLSON: So you took this 16 40637 statement to be more about the source than the fact 17 that it was money paid? 18 19 40638 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: He 20 didn't ask me if money was paid. He began by stating, 21 as he says: "Question from W.K.: Well, 22

23there are two issues here. The24first is that it is said that25Karl Heinz Schreiber paid you

**STENOTRAN** 

1 \$300,000 on four separate 2 occasions and the second issue 3 is on the transcript." 4 40639 Both of those statements are false. 5 That is all I was saying. MR. WOLSON: Could you go to Tab 7, 6 40640 please. Three back from the end, you will see it has a 7 8 number 7 on top. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 9 40641 10 sir. 11 40642 MR. WOLSON: Mr. Kaplan says to you: 12 "I accept that what happened between you and Schreiber was 13 14 not unlawful." 40643 15 Do you see that at the bottom of page 7? 16 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 17 40644 18 40645 Excuse me, let me just -- excuse me. 19 40646 MR. WOLSON: This is October 24, 2003, Tab 7. 20 21 40647 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 22 sir. 23 40648 MR. WOLSON: Okay. And are you on what is noted as page 7 at the top? 24 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I'm 25 40649

1 on -- yes, page 7. 2 MR. WOLSON: All right. Kaplan says: 40650 3 "I accept that what happened 4 between you and Schreiber was not unlawful." 5 6 40651 Do you see that? 40652 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 7 Yes. 40653 MR. WOLSON: Your answer: 8 9 "Somebody has given you a wrong slant on this." 10 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 11 40654 M'hm. 12 40655 MR. WOLSON: What is the wrong slant? 13 He is alleqing that you got paid by Mr. Schreiber and your response is: 14 "Somebody has given you a wrong 15 slant on this." 16 17 40656 What is the wrong slant? 40657 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 18 That. 19 is not what he is alleging, sir. He is alleging that I 20 got paid \$300,000 on four separate occasions and that 21 there is something wrong with my transcript, namely that there was perjury involved. 22 23 40658 This is the subtle suggestion of Mr. Schreiber who, as you know, in 2007 wrote me a 24 letter of blackmail and extortion that I refused to get 25

### **STENOTRAN**

1 into. 2 40659 This is the preliminary rounds 3 involving the testimony of a blackmailer, which you know, because you have seen the letter. 4 5 40660 MR. WOLSON: So you are suggesting --6 40661 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: T am simply saying: 7 "Somebody has given you a wrong 8 9 slant on this." 40662 MR. WOLSON: And it has nothing to do 10 with the lawful, legal relationship, commercial 11 12 relationship. 40663 Isn't that what Mr. Kaplan was trying 13 to probe you about: whether or not you had a lawful, 14 legal relationship? Isn't that the whole essence of 15 these conversations? 16 17 40664 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: No, that wasn't the whole essence. He must have known that 18 19 I had a lawful, legal relationship. That's what he 20 wrote. He had every reason to believe that. 21 40665 There was no evidence of any kind -of any kind -- that I had ever done anything wrong in 22 23 my life. 24 40666 Mr. Commissioner, on July 1st this country will be 142 years old. We have had 22 Prime 25

1 Ministers. I have been investigated more thoroughly and at a greater cost than all 21 other Prime Ministers 2 combined. And yet in 2003 the RCMP wrote to me and 3 said in spite of this -- the RCMP wrote and said having 4 taken a real look at this nationally and domestically 5 and internationally, we have examined everything. 6 There is no evidence whatsoever of any wrongdoing by 7 you so no charges will of course be laid. 8 9 40667 So that's the context, sir, in which I am responding to this. 10 So how then, if that is true what I 11 40668 told you, how did we wind up here, Mr. Commissioner? 12 40669 We wound up here on the basis of a 13 false affidavit filed on the 7th of November of which 14 you are aware. You have established, sir, that all the 15 provisions, or just about, of the affidavit are false. 16 And he threw my name in and Prime Minister Harper's 17 name in to panic the government and Parliament and 18 19 caused this Ethics Committee to sit and us to be here. 20 40670 But if you examine it carefully, which you are in the process of doing, what was in the 21 article by Mr. Kaplan on the 10th of November is 22 23 essentially what has been confirmed here today. MR. WOLSON: Well, let me ask you 40671 24 this question: You have told this Inquiry that when 25

#### **STENOTRAN**

4278

1 you left office, you had sufficient means to take care of your family and take care of business, so to speak. 2 That's true? 3 4 40672 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I was confident of my capacity to do that. 5 6 40673 MR. WOLSON: What Mr. Kaplan has told us -- he has also put it in his book, and it is at page 7 1833 of the transcript, line 20. 8 9 40674 I will read this to you. It is just four lines: 10 "'I can tell you,' Mulroney told 11 me on June 4, 1998, 'when I 12 first started out, I needed... 13 money quite badly.'" 14 Is that an accurate statement that he 15 40675 has made? 16 17 40676 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Well, what he may have construed from an answer is that I 18 19 certainly didn't have a strong cash flow. I mean I had 20 these -- these great prospects, which have turned out to be even better than I had any reason to hope, from 21 the international corporations on whose boards I came 22 23 to sit, the Washington Speakers Bureau which was tremendous. 24 But in terms of having a pile of 25 40677

1 cash, no.

2 40678 What I was conveying to him is what I 3 told you, sir, is that I had a lot less money coming 4 out of office than when I went in. That's all I was 5 saying.

6 40679 And it terms of an amount of money to 7 tide me over, that didn't concern me because I had good 8 bank credit and I had a senior partnership in a major 9 law firm. I had these other matters signed up, and I 10 could see that in a brief horizon I should be able to 11 look after all of my responsibilities, including my 12 family and my late mother, and so on.

1340680MR. WOLSON: Is the statement an14accurate one, that you told him on the date in question15that you needed money quite badly when you left office?1640681THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I

17 doubt if I said that.

18 40682 MR. WOLSON: Okay.

1940683THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I20mean I have regard for Mr. Kaplan's integrity, but that21one I would disagree with because there was no -- it22would certainly be an inadvertent description of my23situation.

2440684MR. WOLSON: All right then. I'm25going to take you to page 1828 of Mr. Kaplan's

# **STENOTRAN**

1 testimony when he talks about meeting with you the day 2 before the article was published. And the day before was November 9th. The article was published on 3 November 10th. 4 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: May I 5 40685 6 see that testimony, please? 40686 MR. WOLSON: Just so that we have it, 7 it's April 23, 2009. It is page 1828. 8 9 40687 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Page 1828, yes. 10 11 40688 MR. WOLSON: Just to put it in its 12 proper context, at line 7 you were going to meet with Mr. Kaplan and according to Mr. Kaplan he asked you --13 I'm sorry, you asked him to bring a copy of the article 14 15 that he was going to publish the next day, a draft of 16 that article. 17 40689 So is that an accurate statement? 40690 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I 18 19 have no recollection of that.

20 40691 MR. WOLSON: Okay. You don't contest 21 that? 22 40692 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Well,

it would be unusual for me to ask anybody to bring
along a draft of anything, quite frankly.
40693 MR. WOLSON: Okay.

**STENOTRAN** 

THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I 1 40694 2 never saw -- I don't believe I -- even when he was writing the first book, I don't think that I -- I don't 3 believe that I asked for or saw a draft of anything he 4 5 was doing. 6 40695 MR. WOLSON: So to the best of your recollection, based on your general habit, you wouldn't 7 have done that. 8 9 40696 Is that your evidence? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I 10 40697 don't believe I would have done that. 11 MR. WOLSON: Okay. So let's then go 12 40698 to his evidence at line 14. 13 "Finally, there was our 14 conversation early Sunday 15 morning, November 9, 2003. I 16 17 was completely worn out by the process of getting the series 18 19 ready for publication, while working at my day job, and worn 20 21 down by his effort to stop the publication of the last in a 22 series of three articles in the 23 Globe and Mail." 24 25 40699 And:

"The purpose of this 1 2 conversation..." Referring to 1829, line 2, or line 2: 3 40700 4 "The purpose of this conversation was, for him, to 5 address my concerns with his 6 misleading testimony at the 7 examination on discovery." 8 9 40701 I say at line 10: 10 "MR. WOLSON: The misleading testimony that you referred to 11 was...?" 12 He said: 40702 13 14 "It was him suggesting that he had at best a casual 15 relationship with Mr. Schreiber 16 involving the occasional cup of 17 18 coffee at a place like the Queen Elizabeth Hotel in Montréal and 19 his evidence in which he said he 20 21 never had had any dealings with Mr. Mulroney, and his evidence 22 further on in the examination of 23 24 discovery when he was talking about the relationship when he 25

1		said, quote unquote, that was	
2		it.	
3		MR. WOLSON: All right."	
4	40703	He continues:	
5		"This was the first time, in all	
6		the years I've known him"	
7	40704	So he is talking about knowing you.	
8	40705	THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: M'hm.	
9	40706	MR. WOLSON:	
10		" and in countless	
11		conversations, many lasting	
12		hours"	
13		"The purpose of this	
14		conversation"	
15	40707	He says was for you to address his,	
16	Kaplan's:		
17		" concerns with his	
18		misleading testimony"	
19	40708	And then page 1830, line 9:	
20		"At some point in our	
21		conversation, not long after we	
22		began, Mulroney told me it was	
23		not an interview. This was the	
24		first time, in all the years	
25		I've known him and in countless	

conversations, many lasting 1 hours, that he had ever said 2 that. I should have said no, 3 4 that it was an interview and that if it continued I could and 5 would feel free to quote what he 6 said. We reviewed the 7 transcript. I directed him to 8 9 the problems. It was an 10 emotional conversation and, at the time, the stakes truly 11 12 seemed enormous. We both believed, quite wrongly as it 13 14 turned out, that Canadians would notice -- and care." 15 40709 Page 1831, line 11, he continues: 16 "He talked about honour. 17 Т 18 pointed out that he was not the 19 only person with honour. I had sat in his house and he had told 20 21 me that he barely knew Schreiber -- and that was not 22 23 true. He responded: 'I regret 24 any inconvenience that I may have caused.'" 25

1 40710 Did you say that? 2 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I 40711 have no recollection of that at all, but may I comment 3 or are you going to ask a question on specifics? 4 5 40712 MR. WOLSON: I was going to ask you a 6 lot of questions on this, but let me ask you this: Did 7 you say: "'I regret any inconvenience 8 9 that I may have caused.'" 10 40713 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Look, 11 all I remember -- all I can remember is that you seem 12 to be focusing in on the discussion in my transcript. That seems to be the thrust of it. 13 40714 Am I right on that? 14 40715 15 MR. WOLSON: That's what he seems to 16 be saying. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 17 40716 40717 MR. WOLSON: Did you say: 18 19 "'I regret any inconvenience that I may have caused.'" 20 21 40718 Did you say that? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Let 40719 22 23 me -- let me answer the question. 24 40720 MR. WOLSON: Sure. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 40721

**STENOTRAN** 

Mr.

25

Kaplan has clearly examined, as I say, the transcript
 seven ways to Sunday. A few days later in his final
 article, having analyzed everything, he said what I
 told you in paraphrase.

5 40722 I have examined the transcript. 6 Hundreds of questions were asked and not one question 7 dealt with that fundamental issue. Therefore, I 8 conclude that there was no perjury of any kind involved 9 by Mr. Mulroney. That's what he wrote.

10 40723 And if he is talking about emotional 11 conversations that took place before, you better 12 believe it. I was telling him that if somebody has 13 convinced you that I misled anyone in that court, they 14 have sold you a bill of goods. Let us take the 15 transcript item by item, question by question, as you 16 and I went through it, sir.

40724 Context is everything in this and I 17 think I was able to establish obviously to his 18 19 satisfaction that when placed in proper context, 20 notwithstanding the completely disjointed nature of the examination on discovery, that I was able to prove to 21 his satisfaction that nothing was misleading. 22 23 40725 That is why he concluded in his article that no perjury of any kind was involved. 24 That's what I recollect from this. 25

#### **STENOTRAN**

4287

MR. WOLSON: Okay. But I want to 1 40726 bring you back to this conversation. Did you say to 2 him: 3 4 "'I regret any inconvenience that I may have caused.'" 5 6 40727 That's at page 1831, line 17. 40728 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 7 Т have no recollection of that whatsoever. 8 9 40729 MR. WOLSON: You don't deny it. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: What 10 40730 I do remember is the -- let me tell you, there is 11 12 another thing, Mr. Commissioner. 40731 He talks at the beginning of this 13 about the stress on him about getting the three 14 articles ready, you know, for publication, which I can 15 16 understand. He hasn't told you something very 17 40732 important. There were four articles and I was counting 18 19 very heavily on the fourth, which is why I cooperated with him in regard to the others. 20 He doesn't talk about the fourth 21 40733 22 article. He doesn't tell you why that fourth article 23 did not appear. That is the one that interested me a 24 great deal. 25 40734 So I suppose that had any such

1 expression been made, I would have thought that he would have had -- he is a great advocate for the 2 volunteering of information. I would have thought that 3 he would have had the decency to volunteer to meet 4 prior to publication: Oh, by the way, I told you there 5 6 were going to be four articles. I know that we have amassed great information for the fourth article, but 7 by the way, Brian, we have decided not to go ahead with 8 the fourth. We are going to leave you hanging on that 9 10 one. 11 40735 He never made such a statement to me. 12 40736 So if there was anyone who would have been apologizing for inconvenience, I would have 13 thought that it would have been him. But he never told 14 15 me that. 16 40737 MR. WOLSON: You see, Mr. Mulroney, I can tell you that I didn't know about a fourth article, 17 but --18 19 40738 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yeah. 20 40739 MR. WOLSON: -- but I didn't hear any questions being put to him by anyone else in this room 21 that would have known about a fourth article. So I can 22 23 tell you that I knew of three. 24 40740 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Mr. Wolson, can I just ask? 25

**STENOTRAN** 

MR. WOLSON: Yes...? 1 40741 2 40742 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Why didn't he volunteer it to the Commissioner? He is a 3 great advocate of the concept of volunteering 4 information for questions that are not asked. 5 6 40743 MR. WOLSON: Well, you are very --40744 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Why 7 didn't he volunteer it? 8 9 40745 MR. WOLSON: You are very well represented and I didn't hear any of those questions. 10 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 11 40746 MR. WOLSON: But then I come back to 12 40747 my question at page 1831, line 17. Did you say: 13 "'I regret any inconvenience 14 that I may have caused.'" 15 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I 16 40748 have no -- I have told you. I have no recollection of 17 that whatsoever. 18 40749 19 MR. WOLSON: Okay. You deny it? 40750 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 20 Excuse me, sir. 21 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: No 40751 22 23 recollection is your answer? 24 40752 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, I have no recollection of that whatsoever. 25

# **STENOTRAN**

1 40753 MR. WOLSON: You don't deny it? If 2 he has it noted, you don't challenge it, or do you? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I 3 40754 4 just say I have no recollection of it. 40755 5 MR. WOLSON: Okay. 6 40756 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 7 Whatsoever. 40757 MR. WOLSON: Line 20, page 1831: 8 9 "'I could not believe my ears." 10 "'I had trusted Brian Mulroney. 11 He had looked me in the eye. He 12 had told me the same story he told the Canadian people -- the 13 same misleading story that he 14 had but a 'peripheral' 15 relationship with Karl 16 Schreiber. He regretted the 17 18 inconvenience?" 19 "'Raising my voice for the first time ever in a discussion with 20 21 him, I told him it was not good 22 enough. He then said, "I'm 23 sorry." Although he called my 24 house later that night, I did 25 not answer the telephone. I

1	1 have not spok	en to Brian	
2	Mulroney since.'"		
3	3 40758 Did you say to him	n, as he has noted	
4	4 here in this context at line 10, wh	en he said:	
5	5 " 'I told	him it was not good	
6	6 enough.'"		
7	7 40759 Did you say to him	1:	
8	8 " 'I'm sor	ry.'"	
9	9 40760 Page 1832, line 10	). You can go back	
10	to line 7 for that matter.		
11	.1 40761 THE RIGHT HON. BRI	AN MULRONEY: 1832,	
12	2 I'm sorry.		
13	MR. WOLSON: Yes,	please.	
14	4 40763 THE RIGHT HON. BRI	AN MULRONEY: Line	
15	5 10?		
16	.6 40764 MR. WOLSON: Or go	back to line 7:	
17	7 "'Raising my	voice for the first	
18	.8 time ever in	a discussion with	
19	9 him, I told h	nim it was not good	
20	0 enough. He t	chen said, "I'm	
21	1 sorry."		
22	2 40765 Did you say that?		
23	3 40766 THE RIGHT HON. BRI	AN MULRONEY: Of	
24	4 course not.		
25	5 40767 MR. WOLSON: Okay.		

1 "'Although he called my house later that night, I did not 2 answer the telephone.'" 3 4 40768 Did you call later that night? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 40769 5 Ι have no recollection of that, but I doubt it. 6 40770 MR. WOLSON: Okay. 7 40771 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 8 I'm 9 not saying it didn't happen. We spoke frequently. But this is -- this is -- this 10 40772 apparently is about -- the context of this is about the 11 12 relationship with Mr. Schreiber which I had in one context described as peripheral? 13 40773 That is the genesis of this? 14 40774 MR. WOLSON: It is. 15 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 16 40775 This broken heart, that's it? 17 40776 Well, I have explained to you that 18 19 reality and I explained to this Court that I had -- to 20 put it in context, I had and have an intimate relationship with my family, my wife and my children. 21 I have a very close relationship with friends from Baie 22 23 Comeau and St. FX and Ottawa and elsewhere. And my advisors, like Derek Burney and Bernard Roy, I had a 24 very close relationship with them. I had a close 25

**STENOTRAN** 

1 relationship with my caucus in Cabinet and many others. 2 40777 And I had what was, of necessity, 3 essentially a peripheral relationship with many, many 4 others. 40778 It is not a term of derision. It is 5 6 not a term of -- it is not a pejorative reference. It is a simple statement of fact: that with regard to 7 Mr. Schreiber and many others my relationship with him 8 was essentially peripheral. 9 40779 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Mr. Mulroney, 10 I think if you will recall we dealt with this once 11 12 before. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 13 40780 Yes. 14 40781 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: I asked you 15 16 when you used the word "peripheral", if you were talking in relative terms. 17 40782 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 18 19 sir. COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: And that was 20 40783 clarified then. 21 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 40784 22 23 I was. 24 40785 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Okay. You made the point then. 25

### **STENOTRAN**

THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 1 40786 2 40787 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: And it need not made again. Okay? 3 4 40788 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. I will except that obviously, sir. I just wanted to 5 point out to Mr. Wolson that I had indeed made that 6 exact point that you referred to earlier. 7 40789 MR. WOLSON: As a matter of fact, on 8 9 that point -- and I am just going to ask you two more areas and then we will take a little break. 10 At page 1943 of his transcript, 11 40790 Mr. Pratte asks him at line 14: 12 "MR. PRATTE: Okay. So in your 13 mind what cannot make it 14 peripheral is the fact he had a 15 commercial relationship after he 16 left office?" 17 40791 And this is what Mr. Kaplan says and 18 19 I would like your comment on it: "MR. KAPLAN: What cannot make 20 21 it peripheral is a former Prime 22 Minister of Canada meeting with someone he had dealt with in an 23 24 official capacity, one month 25 after he stepped down from being

Prime Minister, while he was 1 still a Member of Parliament, 2 meeting him in a motel, taking 3 4 \$100,000 in cash, taking another \$100,000 in cash at a subsequent 5 meeting at the Queen Elizabeth 6 Hotel, taking a third \$100,000 7 in cash in New York City, not 8 9 declaring the income in the year in which it was received, as 10 required by CRA, not telling the 11 12 Canadian people about it, sending his lawyers and 13 14 spokespeople out to convince all of us, including me, that there 15 was nothing to do with 16 Schreiber, and then not 17 18 providing a proper explanation 19 about what the money was for and what the services were that he 20 21 provided." 22 40792 What do you say about that? 23 40793 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I say that some of it is inaccurate. Some of it is no doubt 24 accurate, but it's I think based on the -- and this is 25

in reference to the transcript by and large.

1

2 40794 Mr. Kaplan has taken offence at the 3 fact that I -- he does not accept the view that most 4 lawyers and people of law in Canada would accept that 5 your responsibility is to answer questions in court 6 that you were asked and not answer questions that you 7 were not asked. He disagrees with that.

8 40795 He thinks that if you have served as 9 Prime Minister that your obligation is to come in --10 and I think he has explained this to you -- and to 11 volunteer information, everything that happened to be 12 ongoing at the time because you served as Prime 13 Minister.

40796 Does this apply to the Governor 14 General? Does this apply to the Chief Justice of the 15 16 Supreme Court if she is involved in litigation? Does it involve Judges, Premiers and so on, because if it 17 does it is certainly, in my mind anyway, an exception 18 to Article 15 of the Charter when we are all equal 19 20 before the law, and no one has privileges and no one has added burdens, that I am aware of, in terms of 21 testimony in court rooms. 22

23 40797 So I accept that there is a 24 difference of opinion with Mr. Kaplan. I am not 25 denigrating his opinion.

# STENOTRAN

4297

1 40798 I will say that I think it is a novel 2 opinion. It is not one that has been upheld in any way by any of the courts. 3 4 40799 If I might say parenthetically, Mr. Wolson, some day -- not today -- I would like to 5 6 hear a client of yours in a very serious trial in Winnipeg say that well, you didn't ask me the question 7 but my lawyer has told me -- he has told me to tell the 8 truth and the whole truth, but you didn't ask me any 9 questions. So Mr. Wolson has told me to volunteer to 10 11 you the following facts in the circumstances that we 12 are aware of. It may be a respected school of 13 40800 thought. It is not one that obtained in my case and I 14 have never heard of anything else. So I told the 15 16 truth. My lawyers had told me in these circumstances tell the truth, the whole truth, answer the question 17 that you have been asked and do not volunteer any 18 19 information. 2.0 40801 He doesn't agree with it so he says these things and what can I tell you. 21 2.2 40802 MR. WOLSON: And then I just have one 23 more note on Mr. Kaplan, one more question and I would like your position on it, at page 1908 of the 24 transcript, line 16. 25

THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I'm 1 40803 2 sorry, sir, 1908? 3 40804 MR. WOLSON: 1908 is the page, line 4 16 is the line. 40805 Tell me when you are there. 5 40806 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I'm 6 7 here. 40807 MR. WOLSON: All right. This is what 8 9 Mr. Kaplan says. 10 We will go back to line 13: 40808 "... I do believe he had an 11 obligation, because of the 12 public trust he enjoyed as Prime 13 14 Minister, to be fully forthcoming. 15 Moreover, Mr. Pratte, and you 16 know, you really can accuse me 17 18 for being -- you can accuse me 19 of being old-fashioned, but I believe that when someone is 20 21 Prime Minister, the public trust doesn't just involve their 22 activities when they are Prime 23 Minister but it involves their 24 activities before they are Prime 25

Minister and after Prime 1 2 Minister." 3 40809 Do you agree with that statement? 4 40810 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Look, I'm not going to comment on anything other than the 5 entire context of this. We have just discussed what he 6 said. 7 40811 This is an opinion from a lawyer 8 9 practising in Toronto. You would get a dozen different opinions, most of them I think sustaining the point of 10 view that I have just put out. 11 12 40812 I can't comment on everything that he said. 13 40813 But I can, with your permission, 14 Mr. Commissioner, repeat something that is very 15 16 important. 17 40814 He reproaches me for not volunteering. The ultimate stage of his theory would 18 19 have me, after two days of hearings in Montréal, 20 confronted by nine lawyers representing the Government of Canada and the RCMP, not one of whom, as Mr. Kaplan 21 has written, not one of whom in the hundreds of 22 23 questions they asked me, not one of whom asked me about a commercial relationship of any kind with 24 Mr. Schreiber. 25

**STENOTRAN** 

1 40815 Remember the context which is so 2 important. The hostility 14 months later is the 3 hostility after the publication of a statement sent to 4 the Swiss government that could have had the effect of 5 destroying me, my wife, my children, my mother, my 6 father's good name. It was a massive libel against me 7 and my family.

40816 8 What was my reaction to it? My 9 reaction was not to hide. My initial reaction was to send Roger Tassé to Ottawa and have Mr. Tassé, a 10 11 distinguished former public servant, volunteer all 12 information. Have Mr. Mulroney come up here. You can ask him any questions. He will answer any questions 13 that you have in any way. 14

15 40817 They threw him out and they began a 16 14-month multimillion dollar assault on me based on 17 evidence provided by Stevie Cameron and Giorgio 18 Pelossi, a convicted felon; Ms Cameron by then I 19 believe a secret representative, a secret police 20 informant.

21 40818 This was the evidence on which they 22 had sent to Switzerland -- they sent to Switzerland, 23 and this is the situation that I am confronted with, 24 this hostile situation of 14 months where I walk into 25 the courtroom.

### **STENOTRAN**

1 40819 Here is what Mr. Kaplan's theory presumably would have me do: They interrogate me, 2 these nine lawyers, or two or three principal ones. 3 They interrogate me. They ask me hundreds of 4 questions. Had they asked me the question, I of course 5 would have answered truthfully, as I would have 6 volunteered probably in Ottawa to avoid this becoming 7 public. It was that important to me. 8 9 40820 No one asks me the question and the theory is they -- Mr. Sheppard says well, Mr. Mulroney, 10 we have no more questions for you. And I say thank 11 you, and we leave. 12 Mr. Kaplan's theory is that I say oh, 13 40821 Mr. Sheppard, pardon me, you have asked me hundreds of 14 questions. You are trying to ruin me, destroy me, put 15 16 me in jail, but why don't you ask the following question. You neglected to ask me this question. 17 40822 Harvey Yarosky, my attorney from 18 19 Montréal, a very distinguished lawyer who spent about 50 years at the Bar, said to the media: This is the 20 first time in my experience that an individual accused 21 of something is running after the police to try and get 22 23 the police to listen to him so that the truth will come out rather than the other way around, the police 24 running after him. 25

**STENOTRAN** 

1 40823 He goes up to Ottawa and says to the RCMP, anything you want, documents, answers, anything, 2 I'm ready to give to you. They throw him out. 3 4 40824 As Harvey said, the first time in his 5 vast experience that someone is chasing the police 6 rather than the other way around. 40825 So I did my -- I responded to the 7 8 questions. I did my best. I told the truth, and Mr. Kaplan has a different point of view. It is a 9 novel point of view and an exceptional point of view: 10 11 that the obligation not only on me, but I presume you 12 can't limit under section 15 to any public office holder, or what have you, is not to answer the 13 questions truthfully but to go into a courtroom where 14 15 you are being accused falsely of something and 16 volunteer to the other side any degree of information that might be helpful to them and for which they have 17 not sought answers. 18 19 40826 That is the context. 20 40827 MR. WOLSON: Can I ask you one other question, please? 21 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 40828 2.2 Yes, 23 sir. 24 40829 MR. WOLSON: Do you believe that a Prime Minister must regard the public trust when he is

### **STENOTRAN**

25

a Prime Minister? 1 2 40830 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I'm sorry, what's the --3 4 40831 MR. WOLSON: Do you believe that a Prime Minister has to consider the public trust when he 5 is Prime Minister? 6 40832 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 7 Absolutely. 8 9 40833 MR. WOLSON: Do you believe that he has to consider the public trust shortly after leaving 10 office? 11 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 12 40834 I do, which is why I refused and would never accept a 13 mandate to lobby the Government of Canada or to do any 14 business with the Government of Canada, which I never 15 16 did, or the Government of Québec or any provincial government, or the government of any municipalities, 17 anything. 18 19 40835 This was a transaction involving two 20 people in the private sector after I had left office, a limited transaction internationally for which I was 21 paid \$225,000 and on which tax was paid. 22 23 40836 It involved not a nickel of public funds in any way. The transaction was above board. My 24 testimony was above board. No accusations of any kind 25

### **STENOTRAN**

1 after 21 years of investigation, no accusations and false rumours on which they were based, no accusation 2 of any kind was ever laid against me, nor will there 3 be, and I think I can tell you why: because I have 4 never knowingly ever done anything wrong in my life. 5 6 40837 MR. WOLSON: So the answer to my question is that when you are in office there is an 7 issue of public trust. 8 9 40838 You agree with that? 40839 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 10 11 Absolutely. 12 40840 MR. WOLSON: When you are out of office, there is an issue of public trust as well? 13 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Well, 40841 14 15 my answer to that --MR. WOLSON: That's the only question 16 40842 that I ask you. 17 40843 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: My 18 19 answer to that is yes, sir. MR. WOLSON: All right. 20 40844 40845 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: But I 21 think it is governed to some extent by convention 22 23 and/or comments, commentary and/or rules and regulations that might exist. 24 25 40846 MR. WOLSON: Thank you, sir.

THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 1 40847 Thank 2 you. MR. WOLSON: We can take perhaps the 3 40848 4 morning break. I still have a number of questions. 5 40849 I'm sorry to tell you this. I still 6 have a number of questions to ask you, but I don't expect to be questioning you on Mr. Kaplan, with 7 perhaps one exception. 8 9 40850 So if this is convenient, Mr. Commissioner -- I know it is five to 12:00. I 10 would very much, if I possibly could, like to finish my 11 12 questions of Mr. Mulroney today. I have been asking him questions for 13 40851 three days. I think he would love me to sit down and 14 be quiet and I would like nothing more than to do that. 15 16 40852 I hope you are not going to comment on that, but you might. 17 40853 So T have --18 19 40854 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Т 20 would simply like to know, Mr. Commissioner, for my own -- I have been here five days and I would simply 21 like to know -- and I'm not offending anybody I don't 22 23 think by saying that there has been a fair amount of re-asking of questions and so on, which is okay. But I 24 would just like to know when my wife and I can leave 25

## **STENOTRAN**

1 and move on to do other things.

2 40855 MR. WOLSON: I am hoping, sir, to be finished today. 3 4 40856 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: M'hm. 40857 MR. WOLSON: There has been, you say, 5 6 a lot of re-asking --40858 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 7 Т understand. 8 MR. WOLSON: -- and a lot of 9 40859 re-answering. So I know -- at least I can assure you 10 11 from my perspective that I do everything with you with 12 respect. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: M'hm. 13 40860 40861 MR. WOLSON: But that said, I have 20 14 15 pages more of questions. I hope I can finish today, 16 but we may need to extend the day a wee bit, if that works for all the other parties and for the witness and 17 for you, Mr. Commissioner. 18 19 40862 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Mr. 20 Pratte...? 40863 MR. PRATTE: I just wondered, 21 Mr. Commissioner, whether you would allow counsel to 22 23 discuss the timetable a little bit for the balance of the day. 24 25 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Absolutely. 40864

## **STENOTRAN**

1 40865 MR. PRATTE: And whether or not that 2 might include taking a shorter break. 40866 So I don't know whether you want to 3 4 do this before we actually fix the --COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Well, let me 5 40867 6 ask the question. We started at 10:00. It's 12:00 Do you want to take a short morning break and 7 now. come back or do you want to take a shorter lunch break, 8 say from 12:00 until 1:00? 9 MR. PRATTE: I just wonder whether, 10 40868 11 Mr. Commissioner, without necessarily emptying the 12 room, whether counsel might just meet for two minutes and we could tell you, because I can say my preference 13 and then we will be negotiating this. 14 40869 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Okay. All 15 right. Well, rather than emptying the room, we will do 16 that. 17 40870 But before we do that I'm not sure, 18 19 Mr. Wolson, what area you wish to cover with Mr. Mulroney regarding Mr. Kaplan. 20 Does it have anything to do with the 21 40871 articles, because I am concerned about learning for the 22

23 first time today about this fourth article.

2440872Will you be delving into that?2540873MR. WOLSON: Well, I learned of it

### **STENOTRAN**

1 myself today, so --

2 40874 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: You see, I 3 just have a couple of questions. I would like to ask you about that because this has caught me by surprise. 4 40875 You told Mr. Wolson earlier that you 5 6 don't ask people who write articles about you to show you the articles. That is not your style. 7 40876 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 8 9 That's right. COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: How did you 10 40877 know there was a fourth article? 11 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 12 40878 Because I was aware of the information that gave rise 13 to it, and that information was conveyed to Mr. Kaplan 14 by independent third parties who were involved in this 15 16 and it was conveyed to others as well. 17 40879 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: But just a second. Did you assume by virtue of the fact that 18 19 other information had been conveyed that he had written a fourth article or do you know for sure that he did? 20 40880 21 It is an important question because Mr. Kaplan was served with a subpoena. 22 23 40881 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: M'hm. 24 40882 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: And we are hearing about this for the first time. 25

### **STENOTRAN**

THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Mr. 1 40883 Commissioner, I will tell you this. I don't know the 2 answers to your question, but I can tell you this: 3 Given the nature of the information of which they were 4 aware, you can reasonably conclude that another article 5 6 was coming very quickly. 40884 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: But that's 7 8 the danger of circumstantial evidence, where you draw a conclusion based on known facts. The conclusion can be 9 10 wrong. 11 40885 So are you telling me, Mr. 12 Mulroney -- and I'm asking you this seriously: Do you 13 know that Mr. Kaplan wrote a fourth article or are you concluding that reasonably based on information that 14 15 you have? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 16 40886 He didn't convey to me that he had written any of the 17 three articles. I knew that a story was coming. And I 18 19 reasonably concluded, as would I think you, that given 20 the information that he had and was conveyed to the Globe and Mail as well, that this major fourth article 21 would also appear. 22 23 40887 I think that -- I hope that answers 24 your question. 25 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Just a last 40888

1 question. You never saw a fourth article in rough draft or manuscript? 2 40889 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: No, I 3 4 did not. 40890 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: 5 Okav. 6 40891 MR. WOLSON: Just before we break, I have one last question, and it is in fairness to the 7 witness because it should be out there and what is fair 8 is fair. 9 10 40892 So I'm just going to read -- and I 11 would just read one line. I don't think you need it in 12 front of you, but it is consistent with what you have 13 said, sir. So I want to put it on the record in fairness to you. 14 40893 It is from the third page of the 15 16 article of the 10th November and it says this: 17 "Asking for Mulroney's side of 18 the story was the first step. 19 Eventually he explained that Schreiber had paid him the 20 21 money -- though he disputes the amount -- for his assistance in 22 23 promoting a fresh-cooked pasta 24 business Schreiber had started in Canada as well as his 25

**STENOTRAN** 

international interests." 1 2 40894 So it should come out clearly, as you 3 have said, that he noted that you told him of the 4 money. That should come out. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 5 40895 6 That's right. 40896 MR. WOLSON: There should be no 7 misunderstanding about that. 8 9 40897 And then I have to ask you one last question on Mr. Kaplan and then we can throw away the 10 book on Mr. Kaplan for now, both. 11 12 40898 Well, this is the question: He says: "Eventually he explained that 13 Schreiber had paid him the 14 money..." 15 And that is the point you have made. 16 40899 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 17 40900 40901 MR. WOLSON: 18 19 "... though he disputes the amount..." 20 That is also a point you made. 21 40902 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 40903 22 23 40904 MR. WOLSON: "... for his assistance in 24 25 promoting a fresh-cooked pasta

**STENOTRAN** 

business Schreiber had started 1 in Canada as well as his 2 international interests." 3 4 40905 Did you tell Kaplan that the money was for pasta or did you tell him the money was for 5 6 Thyssen? 40906 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 7 8 Thyssen. 9 40907 MR. WOLSON: Okay. 40908 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: He 10 11 also, if that is a quote of the article, 12 Mr. Commissioner, I think he goes on to say that Mr. Schreiber confirmed to him that it was a perfectly 13 legal contract, above board, for professional services 14 to be rendered; that I rendered the services to his 15 satisfaction. 16 17 40909 And then he concludes by saying I carefully examined the transcript with regard to the 18 19 second point he raised. The transcript is clean. 20 There was no perjury of any kind involved. 21 40910 That is my recollection. Thank you very much. 22 23 40911 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Okay. Thank 24 you very much. 25 40912 Now, counsel, do you want to just

#### **STENOTRAN**

4313

confer here at the table, you said without emptying the 1 2 room, Mr. Pratte? MR. PRATTE: Well, it's just, 3 40913 4 Mr. Commissioner, to decide on the balance of the schedule before you depart and whether we take a break 5 6 now and a shorter lunch, and that kind of thing. 40914 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Why don't you 7 just all get together and confer. 8 9 40915 MR. PRATTE: Thank you, sir. COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: We will just 40916 10 relax for a minute here. 11 12 --- Pause 40917 MR. WOLSON: Why don't we just stand 13 down for five minutes so that Mr. Mulroney could relax, 14 not sitting in that seat. 15 16 40918 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: All right, fine. 17 40919 We will recess for five minutes. I 18 19 will just wait back in the hall here. --- Upon recessing at 12:00 p.m. / Suspension à 12 h 00 20 --- Upon resuming at 12:15 p.m. / Reprise à 12 h 15 21 40920 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Be seated, 22 23 please. 24 40921 Mr. Wolson. 40922 MR. WOLSON: The situation is this. 25

4314

1 If we could finish today by six o'clock, all parties and I have spoken to you, obviously. You would be 2 prepared to sit until six. 3 4 40923 I suppose it will depend on when I finish, and then Mr. Auger will have to assess how much 5 6 time he needs, but if he and I could finish by six, then I think all parties would be agreeable to that. 7 Then, Mr. Mulroney wouldn't have to come back. 8 9 40924 But let's just see, if we start at 1:30, an hour and 15 for lunch, where we are at, say, 10 by three o'clock or so. 11 12 40925 I can tell you that I had 28 pages of questions, and I have done 8. 13 40926 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Six o'clock 14 on what day did you want to finish? 15 --- Laughter / Rires 16 17 40927 MR. WOLSON: I am waiting for summer in Ottawa, but today is the day. 18 19 40928 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: It could be 20 fall by the time you get through. --- Laughter / Rires 21 40929 MR. WOLSON: I can only tell you that 22 23 the idea is to finish today, but if we can't, we can't. 40930 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: That's fine, 24 but it's crucial that no counsel - and I don't know 25

1 what the intentions of other counsel are, but it is crucial that nobody feel hurried in terms of the time 2 for cross-examining. I want to make that clear. 3 4 40931 I want to convenience Mr. Mulroney as much as I can, but, at the same time, in fairness to 5 6 counsel that wish to cross-examine, they have to be given the time that they need. 7 40932 So we will break now until 1:30, and 8 9 the plan is that we will go until six o'clock, and I understand that that is in keeping with everybody's 10 11 line of thinking. MR. WOLSON: Again, only if we are 12 40933 going to finish today. 13 40934 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Only if we 14 are going to finish today. 15 MR. WOLSON: If I am until 4:30 with 16 40935 the witness, and Mr. Auger thinks he has two hours or 17 two and a half hours, then there is no point in 18 19 starting. 20 40936 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Okay. We will see how things develop during the course of the 21 afternoon. In the meantime, we will break now until 22 23 1:30 this afternoon. --- Upon recessing at 12:18 p.m. / Suspension à 12 h 18 24 --- Upon resuming at 1:43 p.m. / Reprise à 13 h 43 25

1 40937 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Be seated, 2 please. 40938 Mr. Wolson... 3 4 40939 MR. WOLSON: Mr. Mulroney, I am now going to turn to another area, and it is the area of 5 6 what services you performed on behalf of your retainer. 40940 Is it your evidence that at the 7 8 meeting at the New York Pierre Hotel on December 8, 1994, you detailed your time regarding the services you 9 had done for Mr. Schreiber? 10 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 11 40941 12 40942 MR. WOLSON: And I take it that, basically, in terms of this retainer, after that 13 meeting --14 40943 Did you have other services that you 15 16 provided for him on the retainer? 17 40944 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 40945 MR. WOLSON: What did you do after 18 19 the New York Pierre Hotel, December 8th, '94 -- what services did you do? 20 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I had 40946 21 a meeting with President Mitterrand. I had, at least, 22 23 another meeting with President Mitterrand. 24 40947 MR. WOLSON: So you had a second meeting with Mitterrand? 25

**STENOTRAN** 

THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 1 40948 2 40949 MR. WOLSON: Do you know when that 3 was? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 4 40950 5 in October 1995. MR. WOLSON: October of '95? 6 40951 40952 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 7 40953 MR. WOLSON: You know what Mr. 8 9 Schreiber's evidence is from the New York meeting. 10 40954 I will just highlight it for you, and 11 I would like your response to it. 12 40955 I think I know what your response is, but we should have it on the record. 13 Mr. Schreiber has said that the 40956 14 retainer was a domestic one, not an international one. 15 16 40957 Your, hopefully, brief answer on that...? 17 18 40958 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 19 False. MR. WOLSON: That's pretty brief. 20 40959 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Brief 21 40960 22 and accurate is what you want, sir. MR. WOLSON: I do, absolutely. 23 40961 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 24 40962 25 That's both.

**STENOTRAN** 

1 40963 MR. WOLSON: He says that at no time 2 did you tell him at that meeting what you had done for him up until that point. 3 4 40964 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: That, too, is false. 5 6 40965 MR. WOLSON: He said that it is totally incredible, or words to that effect -- I am 7 paraphrasing now -- that you would even think of 8 selling or promoting Thyssen to communist countries. 9 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Well, 10 40966 11 it wasn't my intention to promote or sell Thyssen to 12 communist countries, it was my intention, as part of 13 the concept, to ascertain whether all of these countries on the P5 might be amenable to the idea of 14 15 standardization and acquisition by the UN of a product, 16 ultimately. 17 40967 MR. WOLSON: So your retainer -obviously, if somebody had said that they wanted a 18 19 number of vehicles, you would have advised Mr. 20 Schreiber, but your interpretation of the mandate was that you were going to see what you could do through 21 the UN. 22 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 23 40968 Precisely. 24 25 MR. WOLSON: Now, the China trip. 40969

### **STENOTRAN**

1 40970 If you would take Book 2 --2 Just provide me one moment. 40971 3 --- Pause 4 40972 MR. WOLSON: Tab 72. 40973 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Book 2 of Mr. 5 6 Mulroney's documents? 40974 MR. WOLSON: Yes. 7 40975 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Tab 74? 8 9 40976 MR. WOLSON: Tab 72. 10 40977 This is a redacted form of your itinerary for the trip. 11 That's true? 12 40978 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: That 40979 13 is true. 14 40980 MR. WOLSON: And it's redacted, 15 basically, not to disclose the client whose principal 16 role and work you were doing on this China trip. 17 40981 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: That 18 19 is true. Well, also, their confidentiality --40982 20 21 to respect their confidentiality. MR. WOLSON: I am not critical of the 40983 22 redactions, but I am putting it on the record why there 23 24 are redactions. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 25 40984

That's right. 40985 MR. WOLSON: You attend with a corporation for whom you were working. 40986 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: That's right. of members of that company --Eight, I believe. 40989 MR. WOLSON: -- and you fly --40990 Were you on a private jet? were on a private plane going out, yes. on a domestic flight? 40993 but I believe so. MR. WOLSON: Okay. And you were 40994 of issue, did it? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: No, 40995 many others.

40987

MR. WOLSON: And you go with a number 6 7

40988 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 8

9

1

2

3

4

5

23

24

25

10

11

THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: We 12 40991

13

40992 MR. WOLSON: And coming home you were 14 15

THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: We 16 17 came home via Hong Kong, and I believe, from Hong Kong on through Paris, it was commercial. I am not sure, 18 19

20 21 there -- it had to do with hydro-electric and that type 22

hydro-electric was one part of the mandate, there were

**STENOTRAN** 

4321

1 40996 MR. WOLSON: And that mandate you are 2 talking about is the mandate for the company on whose business you were there. 3 4 40997 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: That 5 is right. 6 40998 MR. WOLSON: But what you were doing, in effect, was, if the opportunity arose, you would 7 also deal with other clients, and in the case of the 8 China trip it was Mr. Schreiber's interests at one 9 point that you raised with some Chinese officials. 10 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: That 11 40999 12 is right. MR. WOLSON: The trip was October 1 13 41000 through 11. 14 41001 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 15 Yes. 16 41002 MR. WOLSON: And on one of the days, I think it was October the 5th, you, in an unredacted 17 form -- it's outlined here, the activities of that 18 particular day. Right? 19 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 20 41003 sir. 21 MR. WOLSON: Basically, the itinerary 41004 22 23 was a pretty tight itinerary for all of the days, and had prearranged meetings, and that was the nature of 24 this trip that you were on. 25

Would that be a fair statement? 1 41005 2 41006 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Essentially, yes. 3 4 41007 MR. WOLSON: Do you recall -- and I am looking at the time 1130 to 1330 on October the 5 5th --6 41008 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 7 Yes? 41009 MR. WOLSON: That would have been the 8 9 first opportunity that you would have had to advance any issue on behalf of Mr. Schreiber, as I look at this 10 itinerary at least. 11 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I 12 41010 think so. 13 41011 MR. WOLSON: And that would have been 14 a two-hour lunch with someone from CITIC -- C-I-T-I-C. 15 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 16 41012 17 41013 MR. WOLSON: CITIC is a trade council, is it? 18 19 41014 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: No, 20 it's the largest -- or it then was, I believe, the largest government controlled corporation in China. 21 41015 MR. WOLSON: All right. And you, of 22 23 course, were principally meeting with the official Wei Ming Yi -- you were meeting with him principally on 24 behalf of your corporate client that took you to China. 25

**STENOTRAN** 

41016 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, who was there with us. 41017 MR. WOLSON: Yes. And you would have had these other business people who you were there with -- it says eight business people --THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 41018 M'hmm. 41019 MR. WOLSON: Your answer is yes? 41020 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 41021 MR. WOLSON: -- and they would have been present while you were -- you and your colleagues were having lunch with the chairman of CITIC. 41022 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: They would have been at the luncheon, sure. 41023 MR. WOLSON: Yes. Do you specifically recall raising with this gentleman, the Chairman of CITIC, Mr. Schreiber's interests? 41024 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Т don't specifically recall it there, but CITIC was, as I say, really a creation of Rong Yiren, who became the Vice-President of China -- who was the Vice-President of China, I believe, at that time. 41025 So this, while a business development corporation, was also largely political. I mentioned

25 in earlier circumstances that I was subsequently

**STENOTRAN** 

4323

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 asked -- invited to join the Board of Directors of this corporation, which I did. 2 41026 MR. WOLSON: And you specifically 3 4 recall, at this meeting with CITIC -- that's the only question that I want to ask you about that meeting --5 do you have a specific recall of speaking to one of the 6 Chinese officials about Mr. Schreiber's interests? 7 41027 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I 8 9 don't have a specific recall there, no. MR. WOLSON: Okay. Now, if you go 10 41028

down to 1430 to 1530, a one-hour meeting that you have with another Chinese official, the Vice-Minister of Foreign Affairs for the People's Republic of China... 41029 You see that.

15 41030 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes.
16 41031 MR. WOLSON: And there are eight
17 business people that came with you to China who were

18 with you.

1941032THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes.2041033MR. WOLSON: And you have a meeting21with the Vice-Minister of Foreign Affairs.

2241034Do you specifically recall, at that23meeting, raising Mr. Schreiber's interests?

2441035THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes.2541036MR. WOLSON: What, specifically, did

**STENOTRAN** 

1 you say in that regard? 2 41037 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: We had a preliminary conversation. I indicated, in other 3 circumstances, that what I was going to try to find out 4 from the Chinese government would be their interest in 5 6 the concept that I wanted to raise --41038 The UN concept. 7 MR. WOLSON: 41039 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 8 Yes, 9 the UN concept that I wanted to raise. So the conversation would have been informal: Can you tell me 10 11 where you fellows are at the UN? What do you think of 12 this? What do you think of that? By the way, on the peacekeeping side, do you have any views in that 13 regard? Have you any advice you can give me? What 14 would your reaction be? 15 16 41040 MR. WOLSON: And what was the general response? 17 41041 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 18 The 19 general response for the Chinese is always a great 20 interest in anything that involves the United Nations, because at that point in time it was their principal --21 this was before they acquired the enormous strength and 22 23 influence that they have today -- it was their principal pierre angulaire, their principal anchor for 24 foreign policy in the West. 25

**STENOTRAN** 

1 41042 So they were very interested in that, 2 and --3 41043 MR. WOLSON: They were interested 4 in --THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: --41044 5 6 noncommittal, as the Chinese always are when you are talking initially. They were noncommittal, but said: 7 Look, this is an interesting concept. 8 9 41045 MR. WOLSON: All right. Was there some kind of arms embargo that Canada was involved in 10 with China at the time? 11 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: We 12 41046 had embargoes of different kinds at different times, 13 but I wasn't trying to sell them any arms. 14 41047 If this had come to fruition, there 15 wouldn't have been a sale to China, there would have 16 been a sale to the United Nations. 17 41048 MR. WOLSON: Is it your evidence that 18 19 the United Nations would have been -- if the five 20 members of the Security Council, who are China, Russia, France, the United States and Great Britain --21 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 41049 22 The 23 United Kingdom, yeah. 41050 MR. WOLSON: -- the U.K. -- if those 24 countries had agreed to some form of united 25

### **STENOTRAN**

1 peacekeeping front, the idea was that it was the UN that would buy the equipment from Thyssen? 2 Is that the idea? 3 41051 4 41052 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: No, I hadn't advanced to that point. The idea would have 5 6 been, quite simply, that I would have taken it to the Secretary General of the United Nations at that point 7 in time, developed with the client the necessary backup 8 to indicate the advantages that this would cause them 9 to receive, from standardization, from the 10 harmonization of the vehicles and their spare parts, 11 12 the whole question of the pre-location of these vehicles, under United Nations control, and the 13 efficiency that this might bring to an otherwise very 14 challenged situation. 15 MR. WOLSON: Who was going to buy the 16 41053 equipment, though? 17 41054 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 18 No 19 one was certain then, but my thought was that I would

bring this to the Secretary General's attention, with a business plan, with some backup that would persuade him and help him bring it to the attention of the Security Council. The Security Council would consider it. If they found that it was a pretty good idea, they would then refer it back through the Secretary General to the

**STENOTRAN** 

United Nations Peacekeeping Division, and hopefully we would have wound up with some part of that concept

accepted.

1

2

3

4 41055 MR. WOLSON: But the vision that you
5 had, which was one that hadn't been, to your knowledge,
6 a vision that had been out there before -- at least not
7 to your knowledge --

41056 Would that be a fair statement? 8 9 41057 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Well, it was being actively discussed, as you know, in NATO 10 These multilateral organizations 11 at the time. experience similar problems, and I just felt that it 12 would be -- because Canada was still involved in an 13 important and difficult situation in the former 14 Yugoslavia, with thousands of peacekeepers and lots of 15 equipment, that this might be a good illustration and a 16 good peg for me to operate with. 17

41058 MR. WOLSON: Had your vision 18 19 progressed to the point where it was your thought, at 20 least, that the UN would buy the equipment? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 21 41059 Ι thought that was a distinct possibility, yes. 22 23 41060 MR. WOLSON: Even though they were 24 having, themselves, financial difficulties? 25 Is that not your evidence, that the 41061

**STENOTRAN** 

4329

1 U.S. had sort of backed away and the United Nations was 2 hard done for money? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 41062 3 Sure. 4 41063 MR. WOLSON: To your knowledge, had 5 the UN ever bought equipment -- peacekeeping equipment -- purchased it, and then, I take it, used it 6 for UN Forces throughout the world? 7 41064 Had that ever happened before? 8 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 9 41065 I am sure they have, but not on the scale that I was talking 10 about, and not with the concept that I was trying to 11 elevate to mature discussion. 12 41066 MR. WOLSON: Had you raised the idea 13 with Fred Bild, the Ambassador to China, when you were 14 in China? 15 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 16 41067 No. 17 41068 MR. WOLSON: So your conversations were, you believe, with the Foreign Minister --18 19 41069 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yeah, 20 they were with the people who attended the lunch of the Vice-Minister, who was very influential, there --21 41070 MR. WOLSON: And then, at 1800 hours, 22 23 there's a banquet --24 41071 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 41072 MR. WOLSON: -- inviting the people 25

1 who had attended these meetings to a two-hour banquet, I believe, and you had some further discussions there. 2 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: With 3 41073 Zhu Rongji, yes, the Vice-Premier and the Governor of 4 the Bank of China, whom I knew. 5 6 41074 MR. WOLSON: And what were those conversations? 7 41075 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 8 Similar, along with -- he had a large banquet for us, I 9 think, in the Great Hall of the People, after some 10 11 private meetings that we had had with him, and there

12 privace meetings that we had had with him, and there
12 were a lot of people there, and a big head table, and I
13 think that I was on his immediate right, my principal
14 client was on his immediate left, and there were
15 cabinet ministers and vice-ministers throughout, and
16 the ambassadors were generally over here, and so on.
17 41076 It was kind of like a head table at a
18 Chamber of Commerce or -- you know --

MR. WOLSON: And what was the attitude of that person when you raised the issue of the UN perhaps buying equipment for peacekeeping, and then the five members of the Security Council would advance that?

2441078THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I25simply explored with him the concept that we had

## **STENOTRAN**

1 discussed, and he certainly didn't appear to be in any way unfriendly to the idea. 2 41079 MR. WOLSON: Were these brief 3 4 discussions? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 5 41080 6 Over dinner --41081 7 MR. WOLSON: Okay. 41082 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Over 8 9 dinner, basically. MR. WOLSON: Again, I am sure that 10 41083 11 your main preoccupation was the client for whom you 12 were there, for the most part. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 13 41084 but let me tell you, sir, that I had another client, a 14 major Canadian corporation, and I thought that if I 15 could, in the normal course of affairs, raise questions 16 of interest to him -- because the days are long, the 17 banquets go on for four or five hours, you have lots of 18 19 chance to converse, and I raised it in another 20 circumstance, and that gave rise, within a year, to an offer from the Government of China for us to come in on 21 behalf of that client and do a business development in 22 23 China. 41085 MR. WOLSON: So even -- obviously it 24

# STENOTRAN

goes without saying -- if someone of your stature

25

advances a proposition, even if it's a five or
 ten-minute conversation, it may result in business for
 a client, and you took the opportunity on behalf of Mr.
 Schreiber.

5 41086 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: It 6 did in this case -- in this other case I have just told 7 you about. It resulted in an invitation from the 8 Government of China to this international Canadian 9 corporation to come in and do a joint development with 10 them.

MR. WOLSON: All right. Let me ask you this. The Commissioner asked you, but I didn't --I was waiting for the answer, but I didn't hear the answer.

41088 Could you succinctly tell me, if you 15 can, was China ever involved in peacekeeping before? 16 THE RIGHT HON, BRIAN MULRONEY: 17 41089 То the best of my knowledge, at the time, China, while an 18 19 excellent and vigorous, vigilant member of the Security 20 Council and the General Assembly -- a big supporter of the UN -- I can't remember the Chinese themselves 21 either initiating or participating, in a major way, in 22 23 any peacekeeping.

2441090MR. WOLSON: How about Russia?2541091THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY:

**STENOTRAN** 

4333

1 Russia was involved in what they called peacekeeping throughout the Soviet Union at the time. 2 41092 MR. WOLSON: In their own country. 3 4 41093 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Τn 5 their own country. MR. WOLSON: Okay. What they called 6 41094 peacekeeping. 7 41095 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 8 9 M'hmm. 41096 MR. WOLSON: That's not what you 10 would necessarily call peacekeeping. 11 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Not 12 41097 all the time. 13 41098 MR. WOLSON: No. All right. 14 41099 Did you ever meet with the Secretary 15 General of the UN to advance this proposal? 16 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Oh, I 17 41100 met with the Secretary General of the UN, but not to 18 19 advance this particular proposal. I told you that my intention was to 20 41101 meet the P5 and then to meet with him, and then to 21 flesh out the program, if it developed that way. 22 23 41102 MR. WOLSON: No, but you had met with China, you had met with Russia, you had met with 24 France --25

THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 1 41103 2 M'hmm. 3 MR. WOLSON: -- you had met with the 41104 4 United States --THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: No, I 5 41105 had not an official -- I didn't meet with officials of 6 the United States --7 41106 MR. WOLSON: All right, so you had 8 9 met with three. 41107 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I met 10 11 with James Baker, I met with Cap Weinberger for 12 information and advice, and so on, and counsel, but I didn't have a formal meeting in that regard with the 13 Clinton administration, which was then in office. 14 41108 And I did not meet with the U.K. 15 MR. WOLSON: All right. So you had 16 41109 met with three of the five -- France, China and 17 Russia --18 19 41110 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: When we got interrupted by Airbus, yes. 20 41111 MR. WOLSON: Okay. And, I take it, 21 after Airbus -- at least I am advised as to what you 22 23 have told another forum -- after Airbus you had no further dealings with Schreiber's retainer. 24 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 25 41112 Τn

1 that regard.

2 MR. WOLSON: Yes. 41113 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 3 41114 We met, as you know, in Zurich in February of 1998, and as 4 I have indicated to you, that was the first time that 5 6 he raised the question of the --41115 MR. WOLSON: Pasta. 7 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 8 41116 --anti-obesity pasta machines and products. 9 MR. WOLSON: But you didn't pursue 10 41117 11 it. You didn't pursue that situation, other than you made a call to McDonald's. 12 13 41118 But you indicated the other day, unless I am wrong, that you didn't -- that wasn't 14 15 really part of the retainer process. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I 16 41119 didn't take it as part of the retainer, but I did more 17 than that. Apart from that, there were communications 18 19 with Archer Daniels Midland, as I have said. I then, in Toronto, with Mr. Alford, visited their laboratory 20 and warehouses in Toronto with Greg Alford. 21 And then I visited the restaurants 41120 22 23 that they had set up in Toronto, I think at Commerce Court West, on a couple of occasions, to see not only 24 the product, but to understand the technology a little 25

### **STENOTRAN**

1 bit better.

2 MR. WOLSON: Did you actually take it 41121 as part of your retainer that you were going to do 3 something with pasta -- anti-obesity pasta? 4 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 5 41122 Т 6 wasn't sure, but as you can see from the correspondence, and the testimony -- I think that this 7 was in 1988-89. In 2000, I think, when he met with Mr. 8 Doucet, he wondered whether I would accept a mandate in 9 the pasta business, as we have described, and in 2004 10 11 he wrote me a lengthy, I may say, eulogistic letter, 12 asking me to intervene with Bill and Melinda Gates, who are friends of mine, in regard to the financing of 13 these machines for schools throughout North America, 14 claiming -- and I think he was right in this --15 claiming the great value of this product for the 16 nutrition of school children. That was his matter. 17 So I was, I would say, intermittently 18 41123 involved on his behalf. Initially I suspected that 19 20 this might be an extension of the mandate, as he clearly wanted me to do, but I, by that point in time, 21 had chosen to terminate that association. 22 23 41124 MR. WOLSON: All right. Now, when you said that you had called McDonald's on his 24 behalf --25

### **STENOTRAN**

THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: No, I 1 41125 2 didn't say that I called McDonald's on his behalf. I said that I had received a request, and I wasn't 3 sure -- I couldn't remember whether there had been a 4 communication with George Cohen or not. I wasn't sure, 5 6 but I do recollect a period of time where Elmer MacKay, who had been one of his co-investors in the Seattle 7 pasta business, and a close friend of his, had spoken 8 to me about it. I wasn't sure if I had made that call. 9 I may have, but I couldn't recollect it for you. 10 41126 11 MR. WOLSON: All right. When we 12 heard that --THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 13 41127 I was asked to do it, no doubt. 14 41128 15 MR. WOLSON: Yes. 16 41129 When we heard that the other day, we took all of Mr. Schreiber's telephone calls, and I 17 wonder, Mr. Hughes, if you could provide to Mr. 18 19 Mulroney the Compendium of Telephone Contacts. 20 41130 We found 44 -- Mr. Mulroney, we found 21 44 contacts -- telephone contacts, starting -- there were just a few of them prior to 1995 -- August 23rd 22 23 and August 27th of '93 -- and that would have been around the time you met with him, on August the 27th. 24 Then we found a number of calls in 25 41131

**STENOTRAN** 

'95, '96 and '97, but I want to point some things out 1 to you, because they speak to matters that you have 2 talked to. 3 4 41132 If you would look at Tab 23 --THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 5 41133 Tab 6 23, yes. 41134 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Are you 7 looking at the Compendium of Contacts? 8 9 41135 MR. WOLSON: I am looking at the Compendium of Telephone Contacts. 10 11 41136 I advised Mr. Mulroney that, I think, 12 there were 43 telephone calls -- two in '93, one in '94, and then a series in '95, '96, '97. 13 41137 And I take it, generally speaking, 14 Mr. Mulroney, that you don't -- you said the other day 15 that you had many telephone contacts with him, 16 particularly after the LOR. 17 41138 That would be a true statement? 18 19 41139 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 20 41140 MR. WOLSON: Okay. Now, I want to point these things out to you, because you have 21 commented on them. 22 23 41141 Are you at Tab 23? 24 41142 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I think I am, yes. 25

STENOTRAN

1 41143 MR. WOLSON: February 4, '97, excerpt 2 from Karlheinz Schreiber's diary. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 41144 3 4 41145 MR. WOLSON: In support of what you 5 have said, I feel that I should put this on the record. 6 41146 February the 4th -- if you go into the tab, do you have February the 4th? 7 41147 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 8 9 sir. That is what year? 10 41148 MR. WOLSON: 1997. 11 41149 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 12 41150 MR. WOLSON: It's Tab 23, and it 13 41151 would be the first entry after the tab which outlines 14 the date. 15 If you look at February the 4th, at 16 41152 about 1600 hours, it says, "Telephone Brian" -- and the 17 next word is a German word that means "re:" --18 19 "McDonald's". 20 41153 Do you see that? 41154 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 21 22 41155 MR. WOLSON: Which is supportive of 23 what you have said, or at least it is an issue that I 24 thought I would put on the record.

25 41156 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I

don't see "Brian" here, I see "Telephone..." 1 2 41157 MR. WOLSON: It says, "Telephone Brian" -- B-R-I-A-N -- 1600 hours, and then there is a 3 4 word that I think means "re:" and then it has "McDonald's". 5 6 41158 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Well, mine doesn't say "Brian", it says "Telephone" -- it 7 looks like "Rooter". 8 9 41159 Am I wrong in that? 41160 MR. WOLSON: February 4th of '97? 10 You are on the 3rd. Look to the 11 41161 12 right, at the top it will say "February 4". THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Oh, 41162 13 I'm sorry, you are quite right. 14 41163 MR. WOLSON: It says, "Telephone 15 Brian re: McDonald's." 16 17 41164 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: It is right above "R. Canteloupe" -- "Canteloupo" I guess. 18 19 41165 MR. WOLSON: Yes. 41166 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 20 That's where I am. 21 41167 MR. WOLSON: I wanted to point that 22 23 out, because it is something that you have talked about, and we were able to find that. 24

25 41168 Then, if you would go to Tab 24,

**STENOTRAN** 

1 please --

2 41169 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Mr. Wolson, is this book an exhibit? 3 4 41170 MR. WOLSON: If it's not, it should be the next exhibit. 5 6 41171 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: I don't believe that it is. 7 41172 MR. WOLSON: The parties have it, and 8 9 unless anyone objects, I would like to make it the next exhibit. 10 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: I am not sure 11 41173 12 if counsel have had an appropriate length of time to consider it, but --13 14 41174 MR. WOLSON: They have had it for 15 days. COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: They have had 16 41175 it for days, okay. 17 41176 Mr. Pratte, are you prepared to 18 19 consent to this going in as an exhibit, sir? MR. PRATTE: I am, sir. 20 41177 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Thank you. 21 41178 22 41179 Mr. Vickery... 23 41180 MR. VICKERY: Yes. 24 41181 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Mr. 25 Houston...

**STENOTRAN** 

MR. HOUSTON: Yes, sir. Thank you. 1 41182 2 41183 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Mr. Auger... 3 41184 MR. AUGER: Agreed. 4 41185 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: All right. The Compendium of Telephone Contacts will be received 5 and marked as Exhibit P-51. 6 EXHIBIT P-51: Compendium of 7 Telephone Contacts 8 9 41186 MR. WOLSON: Then, if you would look, sir, at Tab 24 -- look to February the 5th. 10 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 11 41187 MR. WOLSON: That would also be Feb. 12 41188 5, '97. If you look under February the 5th at 1900 13 hours, "Telephone Brian," there is another word, and 14 then "McDonald's". 15 16 41189 Do you see that? 17 41190 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, and then Fiegenwald. 18 19 41191 MR. WOLSON: Well, we are not going 20 there again. 21 41192 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: And then Kohl. 22 23 41193 MR. WOLSON: Yes, we are not going to 24 go to Mr. Fiegenwald again. 25 41194 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Why

not, sir? 1 2 41195 MR. WOLSON: Because, quite frankly, 3 we have done it --4 41196 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I withdraw that remark. 5 6 41197 MR. WOLSON: All right. 41198 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Just while we 7 are at it, Mr. Mulroney, we don't have to go to Stevie 8 Cameron --9 --- Laughter / Rires 10 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: -- and we 11 41199 12 don't have to go to the Letter of Request. You have made your point eloquently. It was eloquent the first 13 time, it never got any better the second, third, fourth 14 or fifth times. Okay? 15 --- Laughter / Rires 16 17 41200 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: I am satisfied, I know how you feel. 18 19 41201 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I appreciate that, sir, as long as the point was made. 20 41202 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Okay. 21 41203 MR. WOLSON: It's made in spades, as 22 they say, Mr. Mulroney. 23 Now, if you would go to Tab 28 --24 41204 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: In 41205 25

other words, Mr. Commissioner, you don't like 1 repetitive questioning and things like that. 2 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: In other 3 41206 4 words, quit while you're ahead. --- Laughter / Rires 5 6 41207 MR. WOLSON: Would you turn to Tab 28, please, sir? 7 41208 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 8 9 sir. 41209 MR. WOLSON: This is a June 14, '97, 10 excerpt from Karlheinz Schreiber's diary. 11 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 12 41210 13 sir. 41211 MR. WOLSON: Go to 14 of June. 14 Ιt says at 1500 hours, "Brian -- pasta activities." 15 16 41212 Do you see that? 17 41213 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, I do. 18 19 41214 MR. WOLSON: All right. I just 20 wanted to -- I don't plan to go through all of the phone calls, but there are three that we could find 21 where you dealt -- where, at least, Mr. Schreiber said 22 23 that he was calling you regarding pasta, and I thought we should deal with those. 24 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yeah, 25 41215

I am mystified why that would be there, because he didn't raise it with me, that I can recall, until 1998 in Zurich. 41216 MR. WOLSON: All right. I didn't raise it, quite frankly, to challenge you on the year --41217 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: No, I am mystified why he would put that in there. 41218 MR. WOLSON: Well, it's there, and I put that on the record. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 41219 MR. WOLSON: Now, I went slightly out 41220 of context and I did that because you mentioned your Midland Corporation and Archer Daniels, and I thought

16 41221 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: M'hm.
17 41222 MR. WOLSON: But I want to come back
18 to more mundane matters, Russia and Cyprus.

it was a convenient time to put that there.

1941223THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes.2041224MR. WOLSON: Tab 74 of Book 2 of your21materials.

22 --- Pause

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

41225 MR. WOLSON: For the record, Tab 74
of Book 2 is your schedule for your trip to Russia and
Cyprus.

**STENOTRAN** 

THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 1 41226 2 41227 MR. WOLSON: It was a family trip. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: M'hm. 3 41228 4 41229 MR. WOLSON: Your answer is yes? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 41230 5 6 Yes. 41231 MR. WOLSON: And you went there with 7 your wife and your kids. 8 9 41232 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 10 Yes. MR. WOLSON: And it was August 18 to 11 41233 12 the 30th of August of 1994. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 41234 13 That's right. 14 41235 MR. WOLSON: You first visited Cyprus 15 and then went on to Moscow and then eventually ended 16 17 up, if you turn the page from the first page in Tab 74, if you go to August 23, '94 -- tell me when you are 18 19 there. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 20 41236 In this schedule? 21 41237 MR. WOLSON: Tab 74. It would be the 22 second page of the schedule. It has a "3" on top, but 23 24 it is, for some reason, the second page, and go to August 23. 25

THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 1 41238 2 Auqust 23, yes. 3 41239 MR. WOLSON: That is where you are 4 departing Moscow to -- is it called Sochi? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 41240 5 Sochi, yes. 6 MR. WOLSON: And on there it says: 41241 7 "Note: 8 9 1. The programme provides for a meeting with President B. 10 Yeltsin and his wife, a round of 11 the city and its surroundings". 12 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 13 41242 41243 MR. WOLSON: And you met with 14 Mr. Yeltsin? You did? 15 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 16 41244 17 sir. 41245 MR. WOLSON: I know that you had a 18 19 very good relationship with him. I know you had sent him some couches at one point. 20 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 21 41246 That's right. 22 MR. WOLSON: He liked the couches at 23 41247 24 24 Sussex and you sent him a gift of some comfortable Canadian couches. 25

### **STENOTRAN**

THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 1 41248 2 That's right. 3 MR. WOLSON: I hope they were 41249 4 Canadian. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: They 5 41250 6 were. 41251 MR. WOLSON: And you were with him. 7 You have a nice rapport with Mr. Yeltsin and at one 8 point he leaned over to him -- tell me if I'm wrong, at 9 least I have read this -- and you asked him whether he 10 11 would be interested in your proposal? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 12 41252 Essentially, yes. 13 41253 I think the schedule itself, 14 Mr. Commissioner, I think there was a change on Monday 15 because we wound up in Sochi for two days, two nights 16 with the Yeltsins. 17 41254 We had many -- I think we had at 18 19 least one dinner, a couple of lunches with them, and so 20 on. They were in the villa right next door to us. 21 41255 MR. WOLSON: All right. So this is a social visit. 22 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 23 41256 And we had a tour -- I remember we had a tour on his --24 on the presidential yacht. We had a lunch or dinner 25

**STENOTRAN** 

there with Mila and the kids in his children and qrandchildren.

41257 So it was -- and throughout this -- I 3 4 shouldn't say throughout. On a couple of occasions in the two-day period we had meetings. I wouldn't call 5 6 formal meetings because I was no longer in office. 41258 MR. WOLSON: What did you raise with 7 him regarding Mr. Schreiber? 8 9 41259 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I didn't raise Mr. Schreiber with him. 10 MR. WOLSON: No, no, but regarding 11 41260 12 the retainer that you were on with Mr. Schreiber. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I 41261 13 discussed with him, as I did with the Chinese and 14 subsequently did with the French authorities, what he 15 16 thought of the idea and whether he thought that he could be helpful to us in this. 17

He told me that he thought it was a good idea. He misconstrued what I was saying and said I would like to buy some of those but I can't afford it; we are broke.

2241263MR. WOLSON: Did you correct him?2341264THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY:24Pardon?

25

41265 MR. WOLSON: Did you correct him?

4349

THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: No, 1 41266 2 he was broke. I didn't correct him. 41267 MR. WOLSON: No, no, I'm sure he was 3 4 broke. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 5 41268 MR. WOLSON: Well, I don't know that 6 41269 he was broke. He had a yacht. 7 41270 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 8 9 Believe me -- believe me, the Canadian taxpayers knew he was broke --10 MR. WOLSON: But did you tell him --11 41271 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: --12 41272 because we helped him out a lot. 13 41273 MR. WOLSON: Did you tell him that 14 15 your idea wasn't to sell to Russia. 41274 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 16 I did. 17 41275 MR. WOLSON: Your idea was to have --18 19 41276 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Seek 20 his advice in regard to the United Nations. And he said look, I think it is a good concept. Just because 21 we can't afford any now, we certainly can see how that 22 23 would have advantages and could have benefits. Why don't you push it forward and if we can help you along 24 the way, we will be happy to try. 25

4351

1 41277 MR. WOLSON: What did you attribute 2 as expenses towards your retainer on this trip, approximately? 3 4 41278 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Well, as you know, I did not claim any expenses --5 MR. WOLSON: No, that's not what I'm 6 41279 7 asking. 41280 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Well, 8 9 I think it's relevant, sir, if I may. I didn't claim any expenses when I 10 41281 paid my income tax, and so all of that was declared as 11 12 income. I took no -- no expenses. 41282 But I think the amount was somewhere 13 in the neighbourhood of \$12,000. 14 41283 MR. WOLSON: Okay. You would agree 15 with me, though, sir, that you told me you spent 16 about -- and I'm not going to quibble with the 17 amounts -- \$10,000 on the China trip. 18 19 41284 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 20 Something, yes. 41285 MR. WOLSON: \$12,000 --21 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 41286 22 23 Approximately \$40,000 or \$45,000, as I remember now. 24 41287 MR. WOLSON: All right. And what you did was you made either notes or you kept your --25

THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I 1 41288 2 kept an account. MR. WOLSON: -- credit card accounts. 41289 3 4 41290 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: M'hm. MR. WOLSON: And you could attribute, 5 41291 6 if one had to. You had an inventory of your expenses. 41292 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 7 8 Well, it was an inventory, not as good as a chartered accountant might have, but it was an inventory indeed. 9 41293 MR. WOLSON: Well, you are not a 10 11 chartered accountant. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: No, 12 41294 I'm not. 13 MR. WOLSON: I wouldn't accuse you of 41295 14 that, but you did keep an inventory as it were. 15 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 16 41296 I did. 17 41297 MR. WOLSON: Okay. Now, I want to 18 19 ask you, your trip to -- your first trip to see Mr. Mitterrand, that was the 28th and 29th of 20 September, Tab 75. 21 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 41298 22 23 sir. 24 41299 MR. WOLSON: He found out that you were in Paris, you said. 25

### **STENOTRAN**

THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: M'hm. 1 41300 2 41301 MR. WOLSON: He called you and you had a visit with him? 3 4 41302 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, he called us and we -- he invited Mila and me to -- it 5 was late in the afternoon when he called the hotel and 6 he invited us over to L'Élysée, and we went over and 7 spent a part of the evening with him. 8 9 41303 MR. WOLSON: Fid you raise the issue of the UN involvement and the Thyssen product with him? 10 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 11 41304 both there and in 1995 in October with him. 12 MR. WOLSON: Okay. And was '95 13 41305 similar to this trip in '94? It was -- because I had 14 not heard about the '95 trip, so let's cover them both 15 16 now. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: M'hm. 41306 17 41307 MR. WOLSON: The '94 trip you have 18 19 told us about. You had a meeting -- or during your meeting with him you raised the idea of the UN Security 20 Council? 21 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 41308 22 23 41309 MR. WOLSON: He told you, as I understand it, that France is trying to develop their 24 own vehicle. 25

**STENOTRAN** 

THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 1 41310 M'hm. 2 MR. WOLSON: Your answer is...? 41311 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 3 41312 Yes. 4 41313 MR. WOLSON: And that that was in the 5 interest of France to have their own product as opposed 6 to buying a German or a Canadian product. 41314 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 7 He told me that that Thyssen vehicle of which I spoke was 8 9 well known to him under the German-French partnership. MR. WOLSON: Yes. 10 41315 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 11 41316 He 12 knew pretty well everything about that, including 13 procurement, because they were working on procurement deals together, he and Chancellor Kohl. And he told me 14 15 that he knew the product. He thought it was excellent. But he said you know, Brian, we are trying to develop 16 our own thing here. 17 And I said well, I understand that, 18 41317 19 but I'm not trying to move anybody in or move anybody 20 out in regard to your national policies with Germany. 21 I'm just wondering what your attitude might be or do you think it is a good idea in terms of the 22 23 standardization and the harmonization and the pre-planning and the pre-positioning of these vehicles 24 in trouble spots. Do you think that is a good idea? 25

#### **STENOTRAN**

1 And he said yes, I do.

2 And I said what would your attitude 41318 be if this came before the Security Council? He said 3 well, we would like to examine it very carefully, but I 4 find much to commend -- much to be in support of in 5 6 this idea. Why don't you go ahead and keep me posted. 41319 MR. WOLSON: What about the '95 7 visit? Tell us about that. 8 9 41320 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: The 1995 visit --10 11 41321 MR. WOLSON: Why were you there, first of all? 12 41322 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Well, 13 I wasn't in France. It was in Colorado Springs. 14 The Bush Presidential Library had convened a number of 15 16 people for a special event to record memories, the end of the Cold War. It was being moderated by Jim Lehrer 17 of MacNeil/Lehrer and PBS and was to be televised in a 18 19 number of series, but mostly all the footage would be 20 retained for the Presidential Library. 21 41323 MR. WOLSON: But let me stop you there, because I don't need to have too much detail 22 23 about it. 41324 You had a chance to meet with 24 Mitterrand there?

25

## **STENOTRAN**

THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 1 41325 Mitterrand and Chancellor Kohl and Mrs. Thatcher and 2 President Bush and I were invited to deal with our 3 recollections of the end of the Cold War. 4 MR. WOLSON: Did you have a private 5 41326 6 discussion with President Mitterrand then regarding the UN project that you had talked to him about in 1994, 7 September 28th and 29th? 8 9 41327 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. He initiated it and said to me -- I think it was over 10 breakfast -- he said Brian, how are you coming along 11 with your UN project? I said well, I think we are 12 moving along, but it is -- as you know with the UN and 13 with this kind of international thing, events or 14 15 progress is pretty glacial. He said you're telling me, I know all 16 41328 about that, but keep going. I think it is a pretty 17 good idea. 18 19 41329 MR. WOLSON: All right. And while 20 you were there, did you have a chance to speak with Mrs. Thatcher, the fourth person -- or was she then 21 still Prime Minister? 22 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 23 41330 No, she had long since departed. 24 MR. WOLSON: Okay. So was Mitterrand 25 41331

**STENOTRAN** 

the President of France at that time? 1 2 41332 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: He was, he had been up until a few months before. 3 4 41333 MR. WOLSON: So when you met with him in Colorado Springs, he was no longer President. 5 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: That 6 41334 is right. 7 41335 MR. WOLSON: Okay. 8 9 41336 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Although he very much was, of course, when first I met 10 him at the L'Élysée. 11 MR. WOLSON: Yes, I understand that. 12 41337 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 13 41338 41339 MR. WOLSON: Did you go to the United 14 States to meet with U.S. officials regarding this idea? 15 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 16 41340 I did. I had long conversations with Jim Baker about 17 the United Nations. In fairness to Jim, I cannot 18 19 recall any specific conversation dealing with procurement with him. What I was interested in getting 20 from Jim Baker was his remarkable experience and advice 21 as to what the UN -- excuse me, what the U.S. reaction 22 23 and role might be. 24 41341 It is a fact of life, if you can't

24 41341 It is a fact of fife, if you can't 25 get it past the United States at the United Nations or

## **STENOTRAN**

4358

at NATO or the G7 or elsewhere, it is not going to go 1 2 very far. So I had conversations where I really 3 41342 sought Jim's wise counsel. He had spent -- he had been 4 both Secretary of the Treasury, Chief of Staff to 5 6 President Reagan and Secretary of State under President George Herbert Walker Bush. 7 41343 MR. WOLSON: What was his position at 8 9 the time? Was he still in government? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: He 10 41344 11 had just stepped down. 12 41345 MR. WOLSON: Okay. 41346 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: And I 13 would meet with him, just get some -- spoke to him, get 14 15 some counsel as to the general approach to the United 16 Nations, to the Secretary General, the weak spots, and so on; and the same kind of approach with Cap 17 Weinberger, who had been the Secretary of Defence for 18 19 President Reagan for I think eight years or 20 thereabouts. MR. WOLSON: So he, too, was out of 21 41347 office when you talked to him? 22 23 41348 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: He was out of office. 24 MR. WOLSON: And when were these 25 41349

1 meetings? 2 41350 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: They would have taken place prior to -- prior to 1995, I'm 3 sure. Prior to the end of 1995. 4 5 41351 MR. WOLSON: All right. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 6 41352 Т should say that Secretary Weinberger, who knew a lot 7 about this, you know, his position was this is going to 8 be a tough one for the Americans because the Americans 9 are going to want to provide the equipment themselves 10 11 because of their military background. And I said well, you know, Cap, but 12 41353 this is not military in the conventional sense. He 13 said no, that's why I think that you might have a 14 chance here. It might be an opportunity for any 15 administration to show some opening here and to give it 16 to another -- to another strong member of the United 17 Nations. 18 19 41354 And he said, look, it will take some political negotiation here, but he said given your 20 especially strong relationship with the leaders of the 21 American administrations, both in Congress and the 22 23 White House, you may be able to make this happen. 24 41355 MR. WOLSON: So your meetings with various people -- you met in '93 with the Chinese. 25

### **STENOTRAN**

THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: M'hm. 1 41356 2 41357 MR. WOLSON: Right? Your answer is 3 yes? 4 41358 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, sir. 5 MR. WOLSON: You met in 1994 with 6 41359 7 Yeltsin. 41360 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 8 9 41361 MR. WOLSON: You met in 1994 with Mitterrand for the first time while he was in office. 10 11 41362 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. MR. WOLSON: You met in 1995 with 12 41363 Mitterrand when he was out of office; you met him in 13 Colorado Springs? 14 41364 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 15 MR. WOLSON: You met with Caspar 16 41365 Weinberger of the United States, who was not in office, 17 18 in 1995. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: M'hm. 19 41366 20 41367 MR. WOLSON: Your answer...? 21 41368 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 22 sir. MR. WOLSON: You met with James 23 41369 24 Baker, who was not in office. You met with him in 25 1995?

**STENOTRAN** 

4361

THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: That 1 41370 2 is right, yes. 3 41371 MR. WOLSON: Then -- and I'm only 4 going to say this, not to give you an opportunity to respond too vociferously. Then the LOR came down. 5 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: And 6 41372 then the LOR came down. 7 41373 MR. WOLSON: And that ended your 8 9 retainer activities until you saw Mr. Schreiber in 1998 at the hotel in Zürich? 10 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 11 41374 12 That's right. 41375 MR. WOLSON: Now, if we could then go 13 to Tab 82. 14 41376 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 15 MR. WOLSON: Tab 82 is a redacted 16 41377 schedule for your trip to Klosters, Frankfurt and Rome. 17 41378 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 18 19 sir. 20 41379 MR. WOLSON: And it is redacted again for issues of your privacy. 21 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 41380 22 And my clients, principally my client's privacy. 23 24 41381 MR. WOLSON: And I'm not challenging that, but for someone who looks at this document to see 25

4362

1 the reductions, that is why they are there. 2 41382 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. MR. WOLSON: Now, if you would look 3 41383 4 to the first page, it is a trip to these three countries, Switzerland, Germany and Italy, from the 5 6 30th of January to the 6th of February, '98. 41384 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 7 41385 MR. WOLSON: Did you fly on a private 8 9 aircraft or did you fly commercially? 41386 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 10 11 Private. MR. WOLSON: Okay. You were there to 12 41387 do work on behalf of one of your retainers, aside from 13 Mr. Schreiber? 14 41388 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 15 The World Gold Council. 16 MR. WOLSON: Okay. I didn't want to 17 41389 mention the name, but --18 19 41390 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 20 No, that's okay. 41391 MR. WOLSON: -- but that is why you 21 were there. And you were going to these three 22 23 locations to speak to various people at those locations? 24 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I had 25 41392

1 scheduled meetings and I met with the Governor of the Central Bank of Switzerland, the Governor of the 2 European Central Bank in Frankfurt --3 4 41393 MR. WOLSON: I really don't need to know. 5 6 41394 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: That's what I was doing. 7 41395 MR. WOLSON: Okay. 8 9 41396 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Meeting the Governors of the banks. 10 MR. WOLSON: You were meeting with 11 41397 12 various people. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 13 41398 41399 MR. WOLSON: If you turn to the 14 second page of Tab 82 --15 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: M'hm. 16 41400 17 41401 MR. WOLSON: February 2, 1998. 18 41402 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 19 41403 MR. WOLSON: Are you there? 20 41404 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 21 I am. 41405 22 MR. WOLSON: That is the day that you 23 meet with Mr. Schreiber in Zürich at the Savoy Hotel? 24 41406 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: That 25 is right.

1 41407 MR. WOLSON: You were in another 2 location and it indicates: "A limousine from Brunel 3 4 Carriage will pick you up at the hotel and proceed to the SAVOY 5 Hotel in Zurich". 6 41408 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: M'hm. 7 41409 8 MR. WOLSON: So you were in Klosters, 9 I think, and you were being picked up and driven to Zürich. Is that fair to say? 10 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I was 11 41410 12 in Klosters for meetings with Peter Munk, the Chairman and Founder of the Barrick Gold Corporation and I was 13 picked up after the meetings --14 41411 MR. WOLSON: Yes...? 15 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: --41412 16 17 and driven to Zürich. 18 41413 MR. WOLSON: And you arrive in 19 Zürich -- you are to arrive in Zürich at 11:30 in the 20 morning. 21 41414 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: M'hm. 22 41415 MR. WOLSON: It says: 23 "MBM will arrive at the Savoy 24 Hotel" THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 25 41416

**STENOTRAN** 

1 41417 MR. WOLSON: Do you see that? 2 41418 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 3 41419 MR. WOLSON: You are going to have 4 lunch in your room, it says at that the next page of the document. 5 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 6 41420 41421 MR. WOLSON: Now, the lunch with 7 Schreiber -- and that's who you were having lunch with; 8 9 right? 41422 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: That 10 11 is right. 12 41423 MR. WOLSON: It doesn't say that, but that is who you were going to have lunch with. 13 41424 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I 14 15 suppose so, yes. 41425 MR. WOLSON: Okay. When did the 16 17 lunch start and how long was the lunch? 41426 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Well, 18 19 it looks to have started at 12:30 and, I don't know, I 20 suppose it was 45 minutes or something like that. 21 41427 MR. WOLSON: It says 12:30 and then at 2:15 a limo was going to pick you up. 22 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: M'hm. 23 41428 24 Yes. MR. WOLSON: Okay. So the lunch 25 41429

4366

would have been some time from 12:30 on until the limo 1 picked you up, or shortly before that? 2 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Not 41430 3 4 necessarily. I was nursing what's called a frozen shoulder. 5 6 41431 MR. WOLSON: Yes, you have said that. 41432 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 7 And T have indicated that. It was extraordinarily painful. 8 I had to take medication for it and I had to, as often 9 as I could, take hot baths to deal with it, and change. 10 So I would have -- whatever the number was you can, I 11 12 suppose, knock a half an hour off and I would have done things I had to do with the medication and so on and 13 then gotten out of there and got on my way to -- I 14 guess I was going to Frankfurt that day. 15 MR. WOLSON: All right. So an hour 16 41433 or so for lunch. 17 41434 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 18 19 Probably an hour. 20 41435 MR. WOLSON: Can we agree on that? 41436 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 21 22 sure. 23 41437 MR. WOLSON: Okay. So you go to Zürich. You are in Zürich from 11:30 until 2:15, so 24 about two hours 45 by my math. 25

4367

THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 1 41438 2 41439 MR. WOLSON: And of that time you are spending part of it with Mr. Schreiber? 3 4 41440 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: That is right. 5 MR. WOLSON: When was the time that 6 41441 you last saw Mr. Schreiber prior to the 2nd of February 7 of 2000? 8 41442 9 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Nineteen ninety-eight. 10 MR. WOLSON: Yes. This is 1998, 11 41443 February 2, and what I would like to know is when did 12 you see Schreiber last prior to that date. 13 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: That 41444 14 is what I'm saying, 1994. 15 16 41445 MR. WOLSON: Ninety-four? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 17 41446 That's right. 18 19 41447 MR. WOLSON: At the Pierre Hotel. 20 41448 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: At the Pierre Hotel, yes. 21 41449 MR. WOLSON: December 8, '94? 22 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 23 41450 24 That's right. MR. WOLSON: All right. And you know 25 41451

1 what Mr. Schreiber has to say about this meeting. 2 41452 Schreiber has testified that you appeared nervous, but then again you are in pain, 3 4 obviously. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Well, 5 41453 6 didn't Mr. Terrien testify that I looked entirely normal, in good humour? 7 41454 MR. WOLSON: Okay. But --8 9 41455 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I wasn't -- I wasn't nervous. I was certainly in pain, 10 11 but I wasn't nervous. 12 41456 MR. WOLSON: Okay. Mr. Schreiber says that the 41457 13 meeting -- the principal point of the meeting was that 14 you wanted to know from him whether there was any 15 evidence of you having received money from him. 16 17 41458 You know that that is what Mr. Schreiber has said? 18 19 41459 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: That's what he said. 20 41460 MR. WOLSON: Okay. So I want your 21 position on that. 22 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 23 41461 That's untrue. 24 MR. WOLSON: Did you raise with him 25 41462

#### **STENOTRAN**

1 at all the money you had received from him by way of a retainer? 2 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Not a 3 41463 4 bit. MR. WOLSON: So they two hundred --5 41464 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Nor 41465 6 7 did he. 41466 MR. WOLSON: So the \$225,000 retainer 8 9 was not a subject that was alluded to at all by either of you? 10 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: No, 11 41467 12 it was not. 41468 MR. WOLSON: Okay. Why would you 13 have met with him in Zürich? You had not seen him in 14 15 four years. What was the reason that you wanted to see him? 16 17 41469 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Well, you will remember that Mr. Schreiber and I, at that 18 19 time and at the time of Airbus, you know the manner in which I knew him, how he was introduced to me. This 20 was a business guy of good reputation and we got 21 knocked with his Airbus thing. 22 23 41470 And as far as it dealt with me, the accusations were as false about him as they were about 24

#### **STENOTRAN**

me in terms of me, Brian Mulroney.

25

1 41471 He had been, in the course of this, 2 and objective ally. He had called me many times. He is the one who let me know about it. He called me many 3 times. His lawyer at the time was Robert Hladun from 4 Edmonton, an outstanding attorney who was very helpful 5 6 to me and my wife and family. 41472 We didn't have a clue what was going 7 on and Bob Hladun helped us, as did Mr. Schreiber, in 8 providing us with information after we had to suffer 9 the -- and I will respect what you said, 10 Mr. Commissioner -- but after we had suffered the 11 12 damage from the false accusations in 1995. So we have spoken many times in the 13 41473 course of that and in the course of subsequent years, 14 15 you know, leading up to this. This was my first opportunity -- I 16 41474 was going to be in Switzerland, the first time I had 17 been there for many years. Mr. Schreiber, I was 18 19 informed by Mr. MacKay, had moved from Germany to Switzerland. I didn't know why. We now know why, but 20 I didn't know at the time. 21 41475 And I thought that -- and remember, 2.2 23 he and I were friendly at the time. I thought that as a simple courtesy, inasmuch as we were going to be 24 there, that I should invite him to have lunch and say 25

1 hello. So my office communicated with his in Switzerland and he came over. We had that brief 2 luncheon and he left. 3 MR. WOLSON: All right. Now, you 4 41476 knew -- and I don't want to get into the whole issue 5 6 except that you knew, Brian Mulroney knew that you had no involvement in this Airbus scandal. 7 41477 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 8 9 That's right. 41478 MR. WOLSON: But you didn't know 10 about Schreiber? 11 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I did 12 41479 13 not, no. 41480 MR. WOLSON: You didn't know whether 14 Schreiber was as involved as can be. You didn't know 15 16 that? 17 41481 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I didn't, sir. 18 19 41482 I just knew that, as it applied to me, it was false. 20 41483 MR. WOLSON: Did you not think that 21 it might be better -- I understand that he told you 22 about the Letter of Request and I understand that you 23 talked many times. 24

Did you not think, knowing that you

**STENOTRAN** 

had nothing to do with the scandal, to sort of keep 1 your distance from Mr. Schreiber. 2 It was nice that you had 3 41485 communications by telephone; he was helpful to you. 4 But did you not feel that perhaps you should keep your 5 distance or, in the alternative, would your counsel not 6 have suggested to you, you know, Mr. Mulroney, we know 7 about you, but we don't know about this guy. Stay away 8 from him, he might be bad news. 9 Did you feel that way or did you get 10 41486 11 that advice? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 12 41487 No, neither. I knew that the people to stay away from was 13 the Department Of Justice and the RCMP, because they 14 had falsely --15 16 41488 MR. WOLSON: Yes. 41489 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 17 - completely falsely sent that letter trying to destroy 18 19 They were the people that I was interested in me. 20 staying away from, not someone who had been equally charged and equally vilified, and all I knew about him 21 as applies to me was that there was no wrongdoing. 22 23 41490 MR. WOLSON: No, I understand that perfectly, but you never thought that it would be good 24 sense to watch your steps with him? 25

**STENOTRAN** 

4373

1 41491 That wasn't an issue you thought of? 2 41492 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I didn't, sir. 3 4 41493 MR. WOLSON: Okay. And you rented probably, if not the most expensive hotel in 5 6 Switzerland, certainly the Savoy is an expensive chain of hotels. I have never stayed at one, but you would 7 say that they are an expensive hotel? 8 9 41494 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: When you work for the World Gold Council --10 MR. WOLSON: They pay in gold so you 11 41495 are in good shape. 12 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: --41496 13 they look after you pretty well. 14 41497 So given my beat-up shape with the --15 MR. WOLSON: All right. 16 41498 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: --17 41499 frozen shoulder and what have you, I didn't think this 18 19 was inappropriate. For Zürich. Zürich is not 20 inexpensive anywhere. 41500 MR. WOLSON: All right. So the 21 answer to the question is the World Gold Corp. was kind 22 enough to pay for the hotel? 23 24 41501 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 41502 MR. WOLSON: That's the answer? 25

THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 1 41503 2 That's right. MR. WOLSON: All right. Thank you, 3 41504 4 sir. 41505 Now, it is quarter to 3:00. I am 5 going to move to another topic. I could suggest we 6 have a brief recess now. 7 41506 I can tell you I am on page 15, so we 8 9 are making some progress. I leave it to counsel and to 41507 10 Mr. Mulroney whether we would like a brief break now or 11 12 I should motor along. 41508 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: I am most 13 concerned about Mr. Mulroney. He is on the witness 14 stand. 15 41509 Would you like to take a break now or 16 continue for a while? 17 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Well, 41510 18 19 if Mr. Wolson says he is on page 15, 15 of how many? 41511 MR. WOLSON: Fifteen of 28. We are 20 doing well. 21 41512 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I 22 think we should continue for a while. 23 24 41513 MR. WOLSON: Perfect. 25 41514 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Okay.

### **STENOTRAN**

1 41515 MR. WOLSON: All right, let me 2 take --THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: How 3 41516 4 about until 3:00, Mr. Commissioner. Would that be all 5 right? 6 41517 MR. WOLSON: Let me take you, then, to the year 1999. 7 41518 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 8 9 sir. 41519 MR. WOLSON: That was an important 10 year in your relationship with Mr. Schreiber because in 11 12 August of '99 he was arrested. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 41520 13 That's right. 14 41521 MR. WOLSON: He was charged with 15 fraud, tax evasion, bribery and corruption. 16 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 17 41522 41523 MR. WOLSON: He was wanted in 18 19 Germany. This is what you learned. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 20 41524 21 sir. 41525 MR. WOLSON: And although you would 22 23 be the first to say that he was and ought to have been presumed innocent, these criminal allegations put a 24 different slant on things? 25

**STENOTRAN** 

THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 1 41526 2 MR. WOLSON: If he had not been 41527 3 charged criminally, would you have reported the 4 \$225,000 to CRA in that year? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Well, 5 41528 6 that is a hypothetical question. I didn't have to report anything because I was on a retainer. I was not 7 late with my taxes. And when the retainer came to an 8 end, I would have declared it as income, taken into my 9 income and paid taxes on it. 10 MR. WOLSON: When did the retainer 11 41529 come to an end? 12 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: When 41530 13 I decided in December of that year that it was time to 14 terminate the retainer and to move on. 15 MR. WOLSON: And why did you 16 41531 terminate the retainer? 17 41532 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Well, 18 19 for two reasons. First, the one that you raise, sir. 20 41533 MR. WOLSON: The criminal charges. 41534 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: The 21 criminal charges were very important. 22 23 41535 MR. WOLSON: What was the second 24 reason? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I 25 41536

**STENOTRAN** 

wasn't -- I knew then of course I wasn't dealing at all
 with the man I thought I was.

The other thing, I was taken aback, 3 41537 Commissioner, by the fact that he hadn't told me at all 4 about anything like this when we met in Switzerland or 5 6 where when we spoke. And apparently the German authorities had been moving in on him. He left Germany 7 and went to Switzerland. The Swiss authorities started 8 to move on him. He moved out of there to Canada where 9 he had dual citizenship and was arrested in Toronto. 10 11 41538 He had ample opportunity to tell me what was going on, but I never heard a word. So that 12 disturbed me that this took place. 13

14 41539 MR. WOLSON: Yes.

1541540THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: And16then, as I have indicated to you, sometime in early17December, or thereabouts, Mr. Schreiber -- I was18advised that Mr. Schreiber had said to someone else19that Brian Mulroney has an income tax problem.

20 41541 Now, Brian Mulroney didn't have an
21 income tax problem, but it was very clear to me that
22 Mr. Schreiber intended to create an income tax problem
23 for Brian Mulroney. And the guy that was charged in
24 Germany with bribery, corruption, fraud and income tax
25 evasion was no -- was no child in this league.

#### **STENOTRAN**

So I felt that it was -- this was the 1 41542 2 beginning of a threat. I took it that way, and I figured that it would be inappropriate for me to 3 continue a formal association with him in terms of the 4 retainer. 5 6 41543 MR. WOLSON: All right. And I'm going to come to the tax issues in a short while, but I 7 want to continue on with 1999. 8 9 41544 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 10 41545 MR. WOLSON: If you turn, please, to 11 Tab 87 of Book 2 -- that's the large book, Tab 87. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 12 41546 sir. 13 41547 MR. WOLSON: This is the fifth estate 14 15 show, October 20, 1999. And I'm not looking for your views of 16 41548 17 the fifth estate because I know them, but I am going to direct you to a couple of particular areas. 18 19 41549 And just to put things in perspective, your friend and colleague Mr. Doucet 20 testified before this inquiry. 21 22 41550 Amongst other things he said that 23 when he heard this -- when he became aware of this show, he thought that (a) Schreiber was getting too 24 chatty with the media; and (b) he didn't like the way 25

**STENOTRAN** 

4379

things were unfolding. So he became more diligent in 1 memorializing certain events. 2 41551 Were you aware of the show? 3 4 41552 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I was aware of it, yes. 5 I should tell you, I didn't see it 6 41553 and I have never read this transcript, but I was 7 certainly aware of it. 8 9 41554 MR. WOLSON: Okay. I'm going to point to a couple of things in the transcript. 10 If you look at page 1 --11 41555 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 12 41556 41557 MR. WOLSON: I mentioned this to you 13 the other day and now we have this document so I want 14 to raise it with you. 15 Linden MacIntyre, the very last line 16 41558 17 of the first page: "The whole world clearly 18 19 didn't..." 20 41559 Well, let me back it up. "One of Mr. Schreiber's former 21 22 business associates was talking 23 about Schreiber and the way that 24 Schreiber did business." 25 And then Mr. MacIntyre says: 41560

1 "The whole world clearly didn't include then Premier Peter 2 Loughheed's Alberta, according 3 4 to ..." This business associate. Then at 5 41561 6 page 2 it says: "Loughheed told everybody in his 7 office here in Edmonton that 8 9 anybody who does business with Karlheinz Schreiber or his 10 associates is out, in other 11 words, doesn't get nothing." 12 41562 Were you aware of Peter Loughheed's, 13 Premier Loughheed's view of Mr. Schreiber? 14 41563 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: No, I 15 16 was not. MR. WOLSON: Okay. Then I want you 17 41564 to continue along to page 7 of this transcript, if you 18 19 will, please. You touched on this earlier. 20 41565 21 Mr. Doucet has mentioned this, so I want to read this 22 to you. The second paragraph. 23 41566 They talk about a Conservative 24 convention and I don't much care about that in terms of raising that with you, but I want to go to the line, it 25

**STENOTRAN** 

is the third line down: 1 "July 26th Schreiber transferred 2 \$500,000 from that Frankfurt 3 sub-account into a new Canadian 4 dollars sub-account..." 5 6 41567 And he gives a number: "... code-name 'Britan.' The 7 next day someone withdrew more 8 9 than \$100,000 in cash. Then 10 there was another \$100,000 cash withdrawal three months later, 11 12 and the following year, another \$100,000 in cash. 13 We wanted to speak directly to 14 the man best positioned to 15 explain those transactions..." 16 And they ask Mr. Schreiber about 17 41568 Britan and Schreiber doesn't say anything. 18 19 41569 Do you see that? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 20 41570 21 41571 MR. WOLSON: Okay. And that's how you became aware of the Britan account. You said 22 23 earlier that some fifth estate show had aired. 24 41572 Is this how you became aware of it, the Britan account? 25

**STENOTRAN** 

4382

THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I 1 41573 2 became aware of it I think after this was conveyed to 3 me. 4 41574 MR. WOLSON: This is the Greenspan and somebody must have told you about this fifth estate 5 6 show, probably Mr. Doucet. 41575 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 7 8 I was told about it, yes. 9 41576 MR. WOLSON: Okay. 10 41577 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: And it also -- in that same context, sir, I do remember 11 12 something along those lines where the moderator said: "All of which raises the 13 intriguing possibility that 14 Karlheinz Schreiber created a 15 16 phony paper trail to fool the 17 German taxman, leaving room for inevitable speculation about 18 19 some important Canadians like 20 Brian Mulroney..." 21 Et cetera, et cetera. 41578 22 41579 MR. WOLSON: All right. 23 41580 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: That 24 sounds familiar, I think. 25 MR. WOLSON: I have read it. 41581

THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 1 41582 2 MR. WOLSON: You know of it. I'm 41583 sure the Commissioner knows about it. Probably most 3 4 counsel know of it. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 5 41584 6 41585 MR. WOLSON: But let's then move 7 along. Fred Doucet says that when he hears 8 41586 9 this information, he became concerned for you. And you knew Fred would protect your back. There is no 10 11 question about that. 12 41587 Fred would help you if he could. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes 41588 13 indeed, he would help me to the limits of his ability 14 and --15 MR. WOLSON: Sure. 16 41589 17 41590 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: -and in a fully honest fashion. He wouldn't go beyond 18 19 that. 20 41591 MR. WOLSON: And then if you turn to Tab 89, you will see a memo that Mr. Doucet creates to 21 memorialize, albeit in 1999 -- he memorializes the 22 23 December 8, '94 meeting that you had with 24 Mr. Schreiber. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I see 25 41592

1 that, sir.

2 41593 MR. WOLSON: Okay. Were you aware that he had done that? 3 4 41594 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: No. MR. WOLSON: Were you aware that Fred 5 41595 6 was concerned -- Mr. Doucet I should say, to be more proper; that he was concerned that Mr. Schreiber was 7 getting very chatty with the media? 8 9 41596 And the concern that Mr. Doucet had -- and I'm assuming he passed it on to you -- was 10 11 that perhaps he was feeding the fifth estate information. 12 41597 13 Is that something that Mr. Doucet advised you? 14 41598 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 15 He may have. All I knew, sir, was that the general view 16 was that the new element here, the new element 17 beginning the 1st of September, thereabouts, 1999 --18 and now we are heading towards the end of the year --19 is that Mr. Schreiber is under an extradition order to 20 21 be returned to Germany. MR. WOLSON: Yes. 41599 22 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 23 41600 That became the driving force of his existence: to do 24 anything he could to avoid being returned to Germany, 25

**STENOTRAN** 

where it was said -- it was said that with these 1 serious charges against him, he would probably spend 2 the rest of his life in jail. 3 4 41601 MR. WOLSON: Okay. But I want to ask 5 you whether Mr. Doucet had told you of his concerns 6 about Schreiber? Do you recall that? 41602 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 7 he told me that -- something to the effect that he 8 9 didn't like the smell of this whatsoever. MR. WOLSON: Okay. 10 41603 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 11 41604 That. 12 Schreiber was manoeuvring in the background to put out stories that were inconsistent with the facts, as he 13 knew them. 14 41605 Yes, he told me that, sir. 15 16 41606 MR. WOLSON: Did you know that he was going to meet with Mr. Schreiber on Boxing Day 1999? 17 41607 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: No, I 18 19 did not. MR. WOLSON: You found out about it 20 41608 afterwards? 21 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 41609 22 23 41610 MR. WOLSON: He briefed you on the 24 meeting? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I 25 41611

4386

1 believe Mr. Schreiber asked him to call me and debrief. 2 MR. WOLSON: That's not what I'm 41612 3 asking, who asked. But you know that Mr. Doucet briefed you on the meeting? 4 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 5 41613 6 I'm sorry, I thought you had asked me that, sir. 41614 MR. WOLSON: No. 7 41615 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Okay. 8 9 41616 MR. WOLSON: Did Mr. Doucet suggest 10 to you that it would be a good idea after the December 11 26th meeting to have a subsequent meeting which took 12 place, we know, on the 11th of January of 2000 at the Royal York? 13 41617 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: No, 14 he did not. 15 41618 MR. WOLSON: Did you know that there 16 was such a meeting planned? 17 41619 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 18 Т 19 don't think I knew that it was planned, but I was advised it took place. 20 41620 MR. WOLSON: Okay. And you were 21 advised that in the 26th of December meeting that (a) 22 23 Mr. Schreiber was upset with Luc Lavoie and his comment calling him -- calling him words to the effect that he 24 wasn't -- wasn't very forthright. 25

THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 1 41621 2 wasn't very truthful. 41622 MR. WOLSON: Yes. 3 4 41623 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, I was aware of that. 5 41624 MR. WOLSON: Now, I want to focus, 6 then, on the January the 11th meeting. 7 41625 It is three minutes to 3:00. So why 8 9 don't we break. 10 41626 I can tell you I am all the way up to 11 page 16, so we are making some progress. 12 41627 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Good. 13 Thank you. COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: All right. 41628 14 41629 We will break for 15 minutes and come 15 16 back at 3:15. --- Upon recessing at 3:00 p.m. / Suspension à 15 h 00 17 --- Upon resuming at 3:30 p.m / Reprise à 15 h 30 18 19 41630 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Be seated, 20 please. Mr. Wolson...? 21 41631 MR. WOLSON: Mr. Mulroney, if you 22 41632 would turn up Tab 91, please. 24 41633 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 25 sir.

**STENOTRAN** 

1 41634 MR. WOLSON: That is Book 2, Tab 91. 2 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 41635 MR. WOLSON: These are the notes that 3 41636 4 Mr. Doucet took of his meeting with Mr. Schreiber on the 11th of January of 1991 -- I'm sorry, of 2000, I 5 6 should say. 41637 And just to put things in 7 perspective, I can tell you that the day before this 8 day, January the 10th of 2000, is a letter that goes 9 out on the voluntary disclosure. I just want to put 10 11 things in perspective. 12 41638 I will deal with the voluntary disclosure letter in a short time. 13 41639 But a letter goes out from your 14 counsel, Mr. Lefebvre, to the Voluntary Disclosure, 15 Canada Customs and Revenue, on the 10th of January of 16 2000. On the 11th of January of 2000 this meeting 17 takes place between Mr. Schreiber and Mr. Doucet. 18 19 41640 Now, if you look at point number 20 three of Mr. Doucet's notes, he says: "Now on our friend ...." 21 41641 He identifies you: 22 23 "... I was quite taken by your concern at our house..." 24 And he is talking about the December 25 41642

1 26th, '99 meeting at the house: "... reqarding an apparent 2 statement that Brian made. 3 Since you had invited me to tell 4 B.M. everything, I did. And 5 6 Brian quite frankly could not understand where you would be of 7 that impression." 8 9 41643 You recall that? That was put to you by your counsel. 10 11 41644 And apparently Mr. Doucet had part of the text of the discovery, and you will recall in your 12 testimony when Mr. Pratte was asking you questions, 13 Mr. Mulroney, you hit your forehead, emulating what 14 Mr. Schreiber did; sort of the light went on and he 15 understood better your testimony at the discovery. 16 17 41645 You recall being asked that and giving that type of answer? 18 19 41646 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 20 I do. 41647 MR. WOLSON: Did you know that 21 Mr. Doucet started to inquire of Mr. Schreiber what he 22 23 would say when he was under oath? 24 41648 Were you aware of that? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 25 41649 No.

**STENOTRAN** 

1 41650 MR. WOLSON: You will see that it 2 says: "Now K.S...." 3 4 41651 This is the second paragraph of point number three: 5 "Now K.S. let us imagine that 6 what you had in mind when you 7 called me to set up the Mirabel 8 9 meeting etc. regarding M.B.'s 10 consultancy internationally comes out during your 11 discoveries." 12 So he is asking Mr. Schreiber what he 41652 13 is going to say at his discoveries. 14 41653 And for more evidence of that he 15 says, a little later on, the fourth line from the 16 17 bottom: 18 "Now if you are asked under oath 19 about the post 1993 period is this what you're going to say." 20 21 41654 Do you see that? 41655 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 22 Yes. 23 41656 MR. WOLSON: Okay. So he is asking 24 Mr. Schreiber what Mr. Schreiber is going to say when he is under oath to tell the truth. 25

4390

THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 1 41657 2 41658 MR. WOLSON: Did he tell you that he was going to ask a Schreiber that? 3 4 41659 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: No. 41660 MR. WOLSON: Did he tell you 5 afterwards that he asked Schreiber that? 6 41661 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: If he 7 says in his notes that he called me or was asked by 8 Mr. Schreiber to fill me in on the discussion, then he 9 probably did. But I have -- I have no recollection of 10 11 that, no. MR. WOLSON: You don't recall that? 12 41662 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: No. 41663 13 41664 MR. WOLSON: Would you have asked him 14 to question Schreiber as to what Schreiber would say 15 when he was under oath? 16 17 41665 Is that something you would ask Mr. Doucet to do? 18 19 41666 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: No. 41667 MR. WOLSON: So if he did it, he did 20 it on his own? 21 41668 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 2.2 23 Mr. Doucet I think has testified that when he watched the program, he came to the conclusion that maybe 24 Mr. Schreiber was up to something that he felt was 25

### **STENOTRAN**

4392

inappropriate and he, I assume, just wanted to nail 1 down as best he could the documentation. 2 Remember, it was Mr. Doucet who had 41669 3 4 called me initially to say that Mr. Schreiber wished to see me in August of 1993. 5 6 41670 MR. WOLSON: And I can tell you that at page 2306, line 8 of Mr. Doucet's evidence, he says 7 that I probably discussed this with Mr. Mulroney. 8 9 41671 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: It's 10 possible. MR. WOLSON: Okay. As a matter of 11 41672 12 fact, I will read to you and if you require the transcript, I'm sure Mr. Hughes can provide it to you. 13 41673 You are going to ask me the date, 14 Mr. Hughes, and I don't know, but it is 2306. 15 41674 I think it was April the 27th --16 April 28th. 17 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 18 41675 19 sir. MR. WOLSON: I asked Mr. Doucet at 20 41676 page 2306, line 1: 21 "You are obviously asking him 22 23 what he is going to say at his 24 discovery ..." That is Mr. Schreiber's discovery, 25 41677

1 Mr. Mulroney: "... because it concerned -- you 2 were obviously of concern that 3 it would be matters touching on 4 Mr. Mulroney. Obviously. 5 6 MR. F. DOUCET: Correct. MR. WOLSON: Had you discussed 7 that with Mr. Mulroney? 8 9 MR. F. DOUCET: I probably had." 41678 You don't challenge that? If 10 11 Mr. Doucet said he probably discussed that with you, 12 you accept that? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 13 41679 14 sure. 41680 15 MR. WOLSON: Okay. Now, Mr. Doucet 16 has told the Inquiry that he asked you whether or not there was a mandate document, and you had said no and 17 he said that he told as a friend that there ought to be 18 19 something memorialized. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: M'hm. 20 41681 21 41682 That is at 2313, line 7. You will 22 see it there. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 23 41683 24 41684 MR. WOLSON: I asked him a question 25 at line 7. "I had asked him" -- I'm reading now what

**STENOTRAN** 

1 Mr. Doucet said. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: M'hm. 2 41685 MR. WOLSON: 3 41686 4 "'I had asked him if in fact there had been such a document. 5 He had told me 'no', and I told 6 him that it was my advice as a 7 friend that there ought to be 8 9 something. Even if there wasn't at the time, it ought to be 10 somehow memorialized' ---" 11 And I ask him if that's a correct 12 41687 statement and he agrees that it is. 13 41688 Do you recall Mr. Doucet having this 14 type of conversation with you? 15 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: With 41689 16 17 me? 41690 MR. WOLSON: Yes. 18 19 41691 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: He 20 very probably did, yes. 21 41692 MR. WOLSON: All right. So you don't -- if Mr. Doucet said it under oath in this 22 23 hearing, you don't challenge that? 24 41693 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: That he would have said this to me? 25

1 41694 MR. WOLSON: Yes.

2 41695 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: No. MR. WOLSON: Okay. And in fact there 3 41696 4 had been no mandate document. We know that. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 41697 5 That 6 is right. 41698 MR. WOLSON: And that you thought, 7 following his advice to you, it would be a good idea. 8 9 41699 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Well, remember, sir, the context. At least three events had 10 taken place prior to this. 11 12 41700 First, Mr. Schreiber is arrested at the end of August 1999. Then there is the CBC fifth 13 estate program in October of 1999. Then there is 14 Mr. Schreiber's statement that I may have an income tax 15 16 problem. 17 41701 And then there's the fourth statement that he makes to Mr. Doucet on the 26th of December at 18 19 his home that there might be perjury in my -- these are not the acts of a friendly man. 20 41702 MR. WOLSON: No. 21 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: And 41703 22 23 so obviously Mr. Doucet was being very prudent, I suppose, and concerned, that Mr. Schreiber might be up 24 to no good. 25

**STENOTRAN** 

MR. WOLSON: And if there could be a 1 41704 2 mandate, an accurate mandate which would memorialize the agreement, even if it was years after the fact --3 4 41705 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: M'hm. 41706 MR. WOLSON: -- that would be 5 6 something to keep in one's hip pocket? 41707 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 7 Well, not necessarily. It would be something that would 8 9 indicate what the original agreement was. MR. WOLSON: All right. And then I 10 41708 11 want to go on with regard to Mr. Doucet's testimony at 12 2315. Mr. Doucet says, at 2315, line 12: 13 41709 "'I sensed that there was a lot 14 of ambiguity about what the 15 assignment was, a lot of 16 innuendo and as far as some 17 media were concerned, they 18 19 appeared to be on a fishing trip that would lead to no good.'" 20 41710 So you are basically agreeing with 21 That's what Fred -- words like that Fred told 22 that. 23 you, and obviously because of ambiguity and because of the matters that you have just articulated, 24

**STENOTRAN** 

Mr. Mulroney, it would be a good idea to have a

25

1 memorialized mandate. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I 2 41711 3 thought the suggestion that Mr. Doucet made was a 4 worthwhile one, yes. MR. WOLSON: All right. And I would 5 41712 like to turn to the mandate document. 6 41713 You will find it at Tab 93 of Book 2. 7 41714 The first document -- and let's just 8 9 focus on that one for a minute or two. That's a document that was typed up by Mr. Doucet, according to 10 11 his testimony. 12 41715 Do you see that document? 13 41716 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 14 sir. 41717 MR. WOLSON: Okay. And what he said 15 about that document at 2335, he said I prepared the 16 mandate document based on Mr. Doucet's recollection, 17 because you know that he was there on December 8th at 18 19 the Pierre Hotel; right? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 20 41718 21 Excuse me. 2335? 41719 22 MR. WOLSON: Yes. 23 41720 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 24 sir. --- Pause 25

**STENOTRAN** 

MR. WOLSON: So if we go to the top 1 41721 2 of page 2335, I say to Mr. Doucet: "But you told the Commissioner 3 4 that when you prepared this document..." 5 6 41722 And I am talking about the mandate 7 document here: "... you prepared it based on 8 9 your own recollection --MR. F. DOUCET: Yes." 10 And let's just stop there for a 11 41723 12 minute. Mr. Doucet was at the meeting at the 41724 13 Pierre Hotel in New York, obviously. 14 41725 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 15 41726 MR. WOLSON: He was a witness to what 16 17 was said; right? 41727 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 18 19 41728 MR. WOLSON: All right. So when he 20 says on his own recollection, he answers yes, then I 21 say: "... -- based on what Mr. 22 23 Schreiber had told you -- " At line 5 of 2335, and he said: 24 41729 "Yes." 25

1 41730 Then I said: "... -- and based on what Mr. 2 Mulroney had told you." 3 4 41731 He answered: "Correct." 5 6 41732 You had told him your version of what the mandate was? 7 41733 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Well, 8 9 remember that Mr. Doucet first called me to ask me if I could accommodate Mr. Schreiber's request for a meeting 10 at Mirabel. He then asked Mr. Schreiber what the 11 12 mandate was and was told by Mr. Schreiber, which Mr. Schreiber repeated to me. 13 41734 He set up the meeting at the Queen 14 Elizabeth Hotel, in the coffee shop of the Queen 15 16 Elizabeth Hotel, and I would debrief him on these matters. And he attended the meeting in the Pierre 17 Hotel. 18 19 41735 So he had a pretty good idea of what 20 was going on. And meanwhile of course he was, I believe, still continuing to act for Mr. Schreiber so 21 he had his own independent source of information. 22 23 41736 MR. WOLSON: Yes. But two things. Number one, Mr. Doucet doesn't recall setting up the 24 Queen Elizabeth Hotel, just for the record; and, 25

### **STENOTRAN**

1 secondly, he wasn't at the Mirabel Hotel. 2 So when I read to you from 2335, I 41737 would like you to confirm, if you can, that you had, 3 according to line 8, that when Mr. Schreiber prepared 4 this mandate document it came -- I'm sorry, when 5 6 Mr. Doucet prepared the mandate document it came from three sources: his own recollection, what 7 Mr. Schreiber told him and what you told him. 8 9 41738 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 10 That's probably very accurate. 11 41739 MR. WOLSON: Now let's take a look at 12 the mandate document itself. The first page is what he typed up, and the second page is what was put in in 13 handwriting, partly, he says -- Mr. Doucet says -- by 14 him, and partly by Mr. Schreiber. 15 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 16 41740 17 41741 MR. WOLSON: The mandate talks about a three-year period, the fee to cover services and 18 19 expenses --20 41742 Do you see that? 41743 That would be in the --21 41744 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 22 23 I see that. 24 41745 MR. WOLSON: Let's just look at the blank document. 25

### **STENOTRAN**

4401

1 41746 The mandate will be for a three-year 2 period. You see that. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 41747 3 MR. WOLSON: And if you turn the page 4 41748 that you are on, Mr. Mulroney, you will see another --5 6 it's the same document, this time with handwriting on the document. 7 41749 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 8 Yes 9 41750 MR. WOLSON: Are you there? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 10 41751 11 41752 MR. WOLSON: All right. And the 12 handwriting on the document, we have been told by Mr. Doucet, is his handwriting --13 41753 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 14 41754 15 MR. WOLSON: -- Doucet's handwriting, 16 and some of Mr. Schreiber's handwriting. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 17 41755 MR. WOLSON: Mr. Schreiber denies 18 41756 19 that he wrote anything on the document, and it is a 20 miracle that his handwriting is on the document, but let's just focus for a minute or two on Mr. Doucet's 21 evidence. That is what I am going to ask you to do. 22 23 41757 You will see at the top right-hand corner of the document -- first of all, at the top of 24 the document it says "February 4, 2000". 25

1 41758 Do you see that? 2 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 41759 3 MR. WOLSON: And I can tell you that 41760 February 4th, 2000 is two days after you make your 4 voluntary disclosure in final form. 5 6 41761 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Τs there something sinister about that? 7 41762 8 MR. WOLSON: No, I am just pointing it out, because when we get to the document, I don't 9 want to have to come back to the mandate document. 10 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I 11 41763 12 see. MR. WOLSON: Quite frankly, if there 13 41764 were something sinister -- I am only asking the 14 15 questions, it's not for me to make any determination of 16 the --17 41765 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: No, it's just that you have come back to that twice, and I 18 19 just wanted clarification, that's all. 20 41766 MR. WOLSON: Then let me tell you 21 right up. The meeting with Schreiber on the 11th of January of 2000, and the mandate document of February 22 23 4th of 2000, all take place during the time that your counsel was negotiating with the CRA, or the voluntary 24

**STENOTRAN** 

tax people. So that puts it in perspective.

25

1 41767 Now, let's keep on the mandate. 2 February 4th, 2000 -- that's at the top middle of the page; right? 3 4 41768 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. MR. WOLSON: On the side, '93-'94, 41769 5 6 that's the first year of the mandate, '94-'95 is the second year of the mandate, and '95-'96 is the third 7 year of the mandate. 8 9 41770 You see that. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 10 41771 11 I do. 12 41772 MR. WOLSON: It says, "To provide a watching brief to develop economic opportunities for 13 our companies," and then there are the letters A, B and 14 15 C inserted. Right? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 16 41773 M'hmm. 17 MR. WOLSON: Your answer is...? 18 41774 19 41775 I only ask you because --THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Oh, 20 41776 21 yes. It does indeed, yeah. 41777 MR. WOLSON: -- "M'hmm" doesn't work 22 23 in these proceedings. 24 41778 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. MR. WOLSON: I am going to get you on 25 41779

### **STENOTRAN**

4404

1	that page yet, b	out we will continue:
2		"including travelling abroad
3		to meet with government and
4		private sector leaders to assist
5		in opening new markets for our
6		products and to report
7		regularly in this regard."
8	41780	That's accurate?
9	41781	THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes.
10	41782	MR. WOLSON:
11		"In this context, priority
12		should be given to opportunities
13		relating to Canadian based
14		manufacturing of peace keeping
15		and/or peace making military
16		equipment in view of Canada's
17		prominence in this area."
18	41783	That's accurate?
19	41784	THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes,
20	sir.	
21	41785	MR. WOLSON: "The mandate will be for
22	a period of three years."	
23	41786	That's accurate?
24	41787	THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: It
25	had not been st	ipulated that precisely, but I

1 thought -- I construed from the payments that it was for three years. But he had never -- no one had ever 2 said to me, "This is for three years," and limited it 3 4 precisely to three years. MR. WOLSON: Okay. This is a 5 41788 6 document that you were ultimately briefed on by Mr. Doucet. 7 41789 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: That 8 9 is right. MR. WOLSON: And you, according to 10 41790 Mr. Doucet, had not asked for any changes to be made. 11 That's what Mr. Doucet has testified. 12 41791 41792 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 13 That's right. 14 41793 15 MR. WOLSON: "The mandate will be for a 16 17 period of three years. The fee to cover services and expenses 18 19 is set at \$250,000 for the 20 period." You see that? 21 41794 41795 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I do, 22 23 yes. MR. WOLSON: Mr. Doucet had indicated 24 41796 that he told you that, and you said "That's fine." You 25

1 never said anything more than that. 2 41797 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I said that I thought the whole document was fine. 3 4 41798 MR. WOLSON: Okay. Then, on that document are three companies, which Mr. Doucet 5 6 indicated were put in by Mr. Schreiber. 41799 Yes? 7 41800 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 8 9 but I have to say, Mr. Commissioner, that when this matter was raised with me, none of this was conveyed to 10 11 me -- these various companies and so on. I can't 12 remember any conveyance of those names, or what have you, but the main body of it, yes. 13 MR. WOLSON: All right. I am going 41801 14 to cover that in a moment. 15 What this document is intended to do 16 41802 is to put you back to August 27th of '93, when you made 17 an agreement -- you entered into an agreement with 18 19 Schreiber. That's what this document is intended 20 41803 to do; right? 21 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 41804 2.2 23 That's right. 24 41805 MR. WOLSON: And your response to the

25 three companies is that it's not something that you --

# **STENOTRAN**

if you heard it from Mr. Doucet, it didn't much matter 1 2 to you. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: No. 3 41806 MR. WOLSON: Then, it indicates at 4 41807 the bottom your initials on the left-hand side. 5 6 41808 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I didn't sign anything. 7 41809 MR. WOLSON: No, no, no, I understand 8 9 that. I think what Mr. Doucet was trying to convey is that this was the document, and it indicates that -- it 10 has an initial there. I know you didn't put the 11 12 initial on. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 41810 13 41811 MR. WOLSON: And then it has "FDCI", 14 which is Mr. Doucet's company. 15 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 41812 16 17 41813 MR. WOLSON: And then, on the right-hand side, it has an initial, which I can't make 18 19 out --20 41814 Right? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 21 41815 MR. WOLSON: And then it has: "1. 22 41816

23 The mandate is accurate."

2441817THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes.2541818MR. WOLSON: And 2, the two companies

**STENOTRAN** 

1 were Bayerische and Bitucan, Calgary, and any other 2 company that may be appropriate. 41819 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 3 4 41820 MR. WOLSON: And the amount paid over the three years is \$250,000. 5 6 41821 It has that. You see that. 41822 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 7 it does. 8 9 41823 MR. WOLSON: Now, Mr. Doucet says, when the document was completed, that he called you and 10 11 told you of the document. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 12 41824 MR. WOLSON: Just so we have his 13 41825 words, he did call you and he described the document to 14 you, and, in effect, you accepted that as the mandate 15 16 document. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I 17 41826 have no recollection of it, but if Mr. Doucet said he 18 19 did, he certainly did. MR. WOLSON: Well, I can tell you --20 41827 and just for the sake of completeness -- at page 2343 21 of his testimony, at line 11, I asked this question --22 23 41828 It should be on the top of each page, 2343. 24 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 25 41829

1 sir. 2 41830 MR. WOLSON: At line 11 I ask Mr. 3 Doucet: 4 "So you actually told Mr. Mulroney, after this document 5 was effected, that the amount of 6 fees to cover services and 7 expenses was \$250,000." 8 9 41831 You see that. 10 41832 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, I do. 11 12 41833 MR. WOLSON: "You told Mr. Mulroney what Schreiber had told you." 13 Mr. Doucet said, "I did." 41834 14 41835 And I say, "And just to be sure," and 15 I tell him the page number that I am referring to in 16 his evidence, and he says at line 23: 17 18 "I just reported faithfully on 19 what Schreiber had told me." 20 41836 And then, at page 2344: 21 "In other words, you reported to Mr. Mulroney, after this 22 23 document had been effected -- " Mr. Doucet said, "Yes." 24 41837 25 "MR. WOLSON: -- that the amount

1 of money that Schreiber said was 2 involved was \$250,000." 3 41838 Doucet says, "Correct". 4 41839 And then I asked this question --THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I'm 5 41840 6 sorry, sir, what --41841 MR. WOLSON: Page 2344, line 11 --7 41842 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 8 9 41843 MR. WOLSON: "And he [meaning] Mulroney] made no changes." 10 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 11 41844 12 That's right. 41845 MR. WOLSON: So that's a document 13 that you accepted when Mr. Doucet told you about it. 14 41846 That's fair to say? 15 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 16 41847 17 it seemed to me to be -- by my recollection, to be very largely accurate and reflective of what had taken 18 19 place. I didn't spend time going over anything. It is 20 fully consistent with what I had told Mr. Kaplan, namely, that the amount was not \$300,000, that it was 21 less than that, and so on -- and that it was a watching 22 23 brief. 24 41848 That was the case. 25 41849 MR. WOLSON: Then, at page 2345, just

to put punctuation on all of this, at line 13 I ask --1 2 41850 Let's qo back to line 9. 3 41851 At 2345, when I asked Mr. Doucet when 4 he told you about this document, he said: 5 "I would guess that it was 6 probably in the days that followed." 7 I said, "Okay, fair enough. 8 41852 So 9 sometime in February of 2000," and Mr. Doucet says, "Correct". 10 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 11 41853 12 sir. 41854 MR. WOLSON: Why did you accept the 13 document that said \$250,000 and not 225? 14 41855 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 15 Ι didn't accept any document, Mr. Doucet read it to me 16 over the telephone and I --17 41856 I don't think I saw this document at 18 19 any time until it was deposited before the parliamentary committee. I think that's when I first 20 had a look at it. 21 22 41857 MR. WOLSON: But why not say to Fred 23 Doucet, "Fred, you know, the amount is 225, not 250. I would like you to speak to Mr. Schreiber"? 24 Obviously, according to Doucet, 25 41858

**STENOTRAN** 

1 Schreiber was in a cooperative mood to meet with him. 2 Why didn't you say to Mr. Doucet, 41859 "Mr. Doucet," or Fred, "that's inaccurate"? 3 4 41860 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Well, when he read it to me, I remember thinking that Mr. 5 6 Schreiber has changed the number. He used to say it was \$300,000, and now he is saying it's 250, and I knew 7 that it was -- I thought I knew pretty clearly that it 8 was 225. 9 I didn't pay much attention, except 10 41861 11 that I thought, maybe, Mr. Schreiber had a different 12 way of calculating expenses that may have taken place, or what have you. It wasn't, to my mind, a major 13 I knew it was an inaccuracy, but you will 14 event. remember that I have told you something, I think, 15 16 important, which is that in December of the previous year I had decided to terminate my relationship with 17 Mr. Schreiber and had moved to do precisely that. 18 19 41862 So while I was pleased that Mr. Doucet was, on his own dime, trying to -- out of his 20 own volition, trying to clarify things, I didn't view 21 it as an exercise in the ultimate detail of anything. 22 23 He was just seeking to clarify the nature of the mandate. 24 So that's what happened. 25 41863

## **STENOTRAN**

1 41864 MR. WOLSON: But if you wanted to 2 have -- and that was Fred Doucet's advice to you -- an accurate document to have memorialized --3 4 41865 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 41866 MR. WOLSON: -- why not say to Mr. 5 6 Doucet -- unless you weren't sure, and if you weren't, please tell me -- that the amount of 250 was 7 inaccurate? 8 9 41867 Was it inaccurate? 10 41868 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Well, 11 first of all, I was not being sent a document for my 12 signature. This was not -- I was not asked to finalize my recollection of anything, I was told in a quick 13 telephone call that this meeting had taken place, and, 14 generally speaking, here were the arrangements that 15 16 they had talked about. 17 41869 What struck me about it was the accuracy of the important part, namely, the mandate up 18 19 above. Indeed, that was an accurate reflection of what he had told me and what I had tried to do. 20 21 41870 I noticed that the -- I do remember that the total figure was, in my case, slightly 22 23 inaccurate, it was 225 rather than 250, and from Mr. Schreiber's recollection, he had always said that it 24 was 300, and it was down to 250 here. 25

## **STENOTRAN**

But I had not been sent this for 1 41871 2 ratification or signature. Had I been sent it and he said, "I want you to sign it once it is cleaned up," 3 and so on, I would have gone back to Mr. Doucet 4 immediately and said: Look, I think there is a slight 5 6 error here, and a slight error here. Why don't we clarify that before there is any signature? 7 MR. WOLSON: All right, but Mr. 8 41872 9 Doucet said that he phoned you, he told you that it was \$250,000 -- one -- two, he told you that it was for a 10 11 three-year period, and you said, "That's fine," you 12 asked him to make no changes. 13 41873 Is that accurate? 41874 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 14 No --15 well, yes, it is accurate. 16 41875 MR. WOLSON: Yes? 17 41876 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: It is accurate, what Mr. Doucet said. 18 19 41877 But as I point out to you, sir, this 20 was a brief telephone call, where he simply told me, among other things, what had been going on, and he 21 didn't say: Look, here is what took place. I've qot 22 23 this piece of paper here. Here it is, with all kinds of things scribbled all over it, written by Mr. 24 Schreiber and myself on this draft mandate. This thing 25

# **STENOTRAN**

1 here. What I am going to do is clean this up, send you a piece of paper, and I am going to ask you to sign it, 2 and I am going to do the same thing for Mr. Schreiber. 3 4 41878 None of that took place. 41879 All he told me, fairly briefly, was 5 the nature of the conversation. That's all. 6 41880 MR. WOLSON: All right. 7 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 8 41881 And I 9 gave him the answers, to the very best of my recollection, and obviously, had I received the paper, 10 I would have been able to focus in on things. 11 MR. WOLSON: And if it were not for a 12 41882 13 period of three years, why not tell Mr. Doucet: Fred, never mind the 250, but this was an ongoing 14 retainer/mandate, and it just didn't cover a three-year 15 16 period. There was no date which it covered. Τt. started in '93, but we were open as to the years that 17 we would have this relationship. 18 19 41883 Why wouldn't you do that, sir? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Well, 20 41884 remember the three-year interruption for Airbus that 21 impacted us all in that timeframe. So I didn't sit 22 23 down that day and calculate things. I, quite frankly, expected that if this were going to be brought to 24

**STENOTRAN** 

fruition, that rather than the brief conversation I

25

1 had, somebody would send me a document, I would look at it, study it carefully, and then sign off on it, having 2 made the corrections that we had to make. 3 4 41885 MR. WOLSON: But Mr. Doucet never 5 asked Mr. Schreiber to sign, he never asked you to 6 sign, he was just doing this on your account, he said --7 41886 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: No, 8 9 that's wrong. MR. WOLSON: He wasn't acting for 10 41887 11 you? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: No. 12 41888 He told you, and I think he told us in testimony, Mr. 13 Commissioner, that this was of his volition. He 14 initiated this. 15 MR. WOLSON: But he was doing it for 16 41889 17 you. 41890 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 18 He 19 was not doing it on my account; he initiated it. 20 41891 MR. WOLSON: Okay. I see. 41892 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I 21 think that's fair to say that's the testimony. 22 23 41893 MR. WOLSON: Well, what's fair to say is that he phoned you and asked you whether there was 24 such a document in existence. You said no --

**STENOTRAN** 

25

THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 1 41894 2 41895 MR. WOLSON: -- he suggested that 3 there ought to be one, and you thought that was a good 4 idea. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 5 41896 Yeah, 6 his idea I thought was a good idea, not mine. 41897 MR. WOLSON: I see. 7 By the way, when this document was 8 41898 9 executed, in terms of its preparation -- and I know that there are no signatures on it -- when this 10 11 document was prepared, it was prepared in February of 12 2000, when you would have known (a) the amount of money of the agreement, and (b) the duration of the 13 agreement, because you were trying to put yourself back 14 in the original position. 15 Is that not correct? 16 41899 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I 17 41900 was -- as I say, I have no problem with anything you 18 19 say. I received a telephone call from Mr. Doucet, who had undertaken this of his own volition. He was trying 20 to be helpful to both parties. 21 As it turns out, neither Mr. 41901 2.2 23 Schreiber nor I -- certainly not me in the brief telephone conversation -- corrected him on the 225, and 24 Mr. Schreiber, who was there, and whose handwriting 25

4418

appears on the document, didn't correct him with regard 1 to the 250 as opposed to the \$300,000. He told him 2 that it was 250, apparently. 3 4 41902 So there were some errors in it, but 5 the thrust of the document retains its integrity, and 6 had I been asked to sign it, I would have made the small corrections that were necessary and sent it back. 7 41903 MR. WOLSON: At Book 2, Tab 94, is 8 Mr. Doucet's summary of the events. 9 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 10 41904 11 sir. 12 41905 MR. WOLSON: He starts off on the first point, "Events Post 1992". 13 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 41906 14 MR. WOLSON: He starts off 15 41907 discussing, or at least memorializing, I should say, 16 Schreiber asking if he can arrange a meeting between 17 you and Mr. Schreiber, and this turns out to be, we 18 19 know, the August 27th meeting. 20 41908 You agree with that. 41909 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 21 22 41910 MR. WOLSON: Point 2 is the actual 23 meeting at Mirabel. 24 41911 You agree with that. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 25 41912

MR. WOLSON: Point 3 is, subsequent 1 41913 to Mirabel, you informed Mr. Doucet that he and "K.S." 2 had concluded an arrangement for an initial period of 3 three years, whereby you, through your consulting 4 company, would provide a watching brief, and, where 5 6 appropriate, make inquiries or representations in the international area. 7 41914 You agree with that. 8 9 41915 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 41916 MR. WOLSON: Then he talks, at 10 11 paragraph 4, about a meeting -- he doesn't say the 12 Queen Elizabeth, but when I questioned him, he agreed that he was talking about the Queen Elizabeth. 13 41917 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 14 Yes. 15 41918 MR. WOLSON: Paragraph 5 is talking about the meeting that the two of you and Mr. Schreiber 16 had at The Pierre Hotel in New York. 17 41919 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 18 Yes. 19 41920 MR. WOLSON: And then, at paragraph 20 9, he reported to you on his discussions with "K.S." --"(with K.S.'s knowledge and approval)" -- and suggested 21 to you that they meet again -- "K.S." and he -- to 22 23 present in writing what "he had told me the mandate for the consultancy was" with you, the terms and the fees: 24 I met him on February 4th, 2000, and I presented a 25

# **STENOTRAN**

written statement to him. 1 2 41921 You agree with that. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 3 41922 4 sir. 41923 MR. WOLSON: And right at the end, 5 6 the last sentence of that paragraph: "With his own (K.S.) handwriting 7 my notes show his identifying 8 9 those companies and when I asked him what the fee was he told me 10 that the fee for services and 11 12 expenses had been set at \$250,000 for the period '93/94 13 [that's Year 1]; '94/95 [Year 14 2]; '95/96 [Year 3]." 15 41924 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 16 17 sir. 18 41925 MR. WOLSON: Now, you had told this 19 inquiry that you had never before dealt in cash with an 20 international consulting arrangement. 21 41926 That's true. 41927 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 22 23 sir. 24 41928 MR. WOLSON: You are on the Board, or were, certainly, at the time of your discovery, on the 25

# **STENOTRAN**

1 Board of many, many companies.

2 41929 I think you testified at the discovery that you were involved with Barrick Gold. 3 4 41930 You were on the Board? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 41931 5 MR. WOLSON: You were on the Board of 6 41932 7 Archer Daniels Midland. 41933 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 8 9 41934 MR. WOLSON: You were on the Board of 10 Horsham. 11 41935 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. MR. WOLSON: And these companies are 12 41936 international in nature, and Horsham has holdings in 13 Europe, at least. 14 41937 At least that's what you told the 15 discovery -- real estate holdings. 16 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 17 41938 that's right. 18 19 41939 MR. WOLSON: You were on the Board of Power Corporation, an international company? 20 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: No, 21 41940 the International Advisory Council of Power 22 23 Corporation. MR. WOLSON: The International 24 41941 25 Advisory Council.

4421

1 41942 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I was 2 never on the Board. MR. WOLSON: PetroFina? 3 41943 4 41944 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 5 41945 MR. WOLSON: Whose headquarters are in Brussels? 6 41946 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 7 41947 MR. WOLSON: Just let me back up. 8 9 When did you get on the Board of Barrick Gold? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 1993. 10 41948 11 Late 1993, I think. 12 41949 MR. WOLSON: Okay. And Archer 13 Daniels Midland? 14 41950 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: The same time. 15 MR. WOLSON: And Horsham? 16 41951 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: About 17 41952 18 the same time. 19 41953 MR. WOLSON: Power Corp.? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I 20 41954 21 wasn't on the Board of Power Corp. 22 41955 MR. WOLSON: You said that. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: The 23 41956 24 International Advisory Council. 25 41957 Sometime in '94, '95, I would guess.

**STENOTRAN** 

4423

MR. WOLSON: PetroFina? 1 41958 2 41959 Were you on the Board? 3 41960 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: About 4 the same time. MR. WOLSON: Ninety --5 41961 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: '94, 6 41962 7 '95. 8 41963 MR. WOLSON: ProAGRA? 9 41964 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: That 10 was in Latin America, sometime in '96, '97. 11 41965 MR. WOLSON: China International 12 Trust and Investment? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: About 13 41966 14 the same time. MR. WOLSON: '95, '96? 15 41967 16 41968 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: '96, 17 '97, '98. 18 41969 MR. WOLSON: The International 19 Advisory Board for the Chemical Bank of New York? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: '94 20 41970 21 perhaps. 22 41971 MR. WOLSON: Bombardier? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: About 23 41972 the same time. 25 41973 MR. WOLSON: And these are all

1 companies -- I am sure that's not an exhaustive list --I'm not sure. There may be others, and it's none of my 2 business if there are others, but these were public at 3 the discovery. 4 I want to ask you, you have had a lot 5 41974 6 of dealings with those companies, from early on -- '93 forward, I take it. Right? 7 41975 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 8 9 41976 MR. WOLSON: And when you were paid by any of those companies, you were paid by cheque, 10 11 obviously. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 12 41977 41978 13 MR. WOLSON: Now, tax matters --41979 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 14 sir. 15 MR. WOLSON: You would appreciate 16 41980 that people in your income group pay about 50 percent 17 income tax on the income declared. 18 19 41981 That's a rough guide. You would 20 agree with that? 21 41982 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I would say roughly, yes. 22 23 41983 MR. WOLSON: In terms of your expenses on the consultancy arrangement with Mr. 24 Schreiber, your expenses are roughly \$45,000. 25

## **STENOTRAN**

THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 1 41984 2 41985 MR. WOLSON: I would suggest to you 3 that it's basic accounting principles and basic tax advice that one deduct from their income expenses. 4 That's quite basic, isn't it? 5 41986 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 6 41987 41988 MR. WOLSON: You didn't do that. 7 41989 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: No, I 8 9 did not. 41990 MR. WOLSON: Why not? 10 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 11 41991 12 Because I instructed in 1999 my tax advisors to resolve this matter, both with the federal and the Quebec 13 governments, and to resolve, as I have always done, any 14 doubt in favour of the government. They took it on, 15 and came back with the matter resolved. 16 17 41992 I had no problem with them not deducting expenses. I thought it was important that 18 19 the matter be resolved in a fair manner, and that's 20 what they did, and I was presented with the conclusions at the end, simply saying that the matter is resolved, 21 prepare cheques for the following amounts, and that's 22 23 the end of it. 24 41993 MR. WOLSON: All right. And what you

## **STENOTRAN**

did when you paid your taxes --

25

THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 1 41994 2 MR. WOLSON: -- by way of the 41995 voluntary disclosure, you disposed of, at some point in 3 the ordinary course, your expense records, although 4 they might not have been the kind that an accountant 5 6 would have, they were the kind that you had, which outlined your expenses on the retainer. 7 41996 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 8 9 sir. MR. WOLSON: You would agree with me 10 41997 that it's basic business acumen that when one has in 11 12 their pocket, safe or bank safety deposit box \$225,000, 13 that basic business acumen is that you invest that money and earn interest on it. 14 That's basic advice that any 15 41998 16 accountant, any tax lawyer, any businessman would give 17 you. 41999 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 18 Tt. 19 wouldn't have been very good advice in the last year, 20 given what has happened to everybody's investments, as 21 it turns out, but as a general rule I would agree with 22 you. 42000 23 MR. WOLSON: And back in those days, as I recall, term deposits were in and around 5 24 25 percent.

**STENOTRAN** 

4427

1 42001 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Very 2 possibly. 3 42002 MR. WOLSON: Five percent on your 4 money, with a very safe term deposit, for many years, is money lost, if you don't invest it, obviously. 5 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I 6 42003 would say so, yes. Obviously. 7 42004 MR. WOLSON: You wouldn't recommend 8 9 that people take a quarter of a million dollars, or 25 percent less than a quarter of a million dollars, and 10 keep it in their pocket, their safe, their safety 11 12 deposit box. It's not a very savvy thing to do. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: You 42005 13 are right, unless you had put your money in Lehman 14 Brothers last September, or Wells Fargo, or the Bank of 15 America, or any of these big financial institutions. 16 17 42006 Things change, but that does not diminish the general approval that I would have for 18 19 your statement. 20 42007 MR. WOLSON: Sure. 42008 And as a matter of fact, back in 21 '93-'94, while they may not have been the best of 22 23 economic times, they were a far cry from today in terms of the crumbling of --24 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 25 42009 Т

1 would agree with that. 2 42010 MR. WOLSON: -- of the financial 3 institutions. 4 42011 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 5 sir. MR. WOLSON: Yes. And it wouldn't be 6 42012 very smart advice to say to somebody keep your money in 7 your mattress because the banking system may go south. 8 9 42013 It's not the kind of advice --THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I 42014 10 11 agree with that, too. MR. WOLSON: -- you would give to 12 42015 somebody. 13 42016 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I 14 15 agree with that, too. MR. WOLSON: You instructed your tax 16 42017 advisor that you had \$225,000 to declare. 17 42018 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: That 18 19 is right. MR. WOLSON: Had you in 1993, '94, 20 42019 '95, '96, '97, '98 or '99 declared on your income tax a 21 reserve of the \$225,000; that is, declared it but taken 22 23 as a reserve? Had you done that? 24 42020 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: No, I have not, because my -- while I am not a tax lawyer, 25

# **STENOTRAN**

1 Mr. Commissioner, my understanding of the retainer 2 provisions at the time was that if you receive funds 3 for a retainer account, you are entitled to take 4 expenses if you wish, and they are documented, but you 5 don't have to declare as income any sums that are 6 outstanding unless and until you take them into income; 7 the money becomes yours.

And I did that in 1999, so I believed 8 42021 9 that I was not late in any way on my income tax; and that when I paid the income tax in '99-2000 that the 10 amount was paid and fully resolved, with one exception. 11 12 42022 I chose not, because I didn't believe that I had sufficient documentation -- I had 13 documentation, but I didn't want to guarrel over 14 anything. I did not claim any deductions for the 15 16 approximate \$45,000 that I had spent in expenses. And so I said to my advisors count it 17 42023 all as income, deduct nothing, declare it to the 18 19 governments and pay tax on it. 20 42024 MR. WOLSON: The whole idea, though, 21 of declaring your income in the years that you receive the money and there on is you declare the \$225,000 to 22 23 the income tax, but you don't pay tax on it because you

haven't earned it yet, potentially. You make a reserve of the \$225,000.

**STENOTRAN** 

1 42025 But you didn't do that. 2 42026 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I didn't do that and I did not think or know that I had 3 4 to do that. 42027 5 MR. WOLSON: Okay. 6 42028 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: And my advice to this day was that I didn't have to do it. 7 I may be wrong on that, but that's where I was. 8 9 42029 MR. WOLSON: Okay. In 1993 you went to China and you did some work for Mr. Schreiber. 10 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 11 42030 12 That's right. MR. WOLSON: You would have earned a 42031 13 fee for doing that work and that is --14 42032 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 15 16 Against the retainer, yes. MR. WOLSON: Against the retainer you 17 42033 earned a fee. But you also had an expense. 18 19 42034 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 20 That's right. 42035 MR. WOLSON: Did you not think in 21 1993 or 1994 that you would declare the money that you 22 earned on behalf of Mr. Schreiber's interests against 23 the retainer and you would write off, then, the 24 expense -- let's say you earned \$20,000 for your trip 25

## **STENOTRAN**

4431

1 to China. You had \$10,000 in expenses. Would you not think that you would write up in 1994 -- I will stop. 2 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: 42036 3 Mr. 4 Pratte...? MR. PRATTE: I don't want to stop the 5 42037 6 line of questioning necessarily, Mr. Commissioner, but I just want to register, and to a degree I suppose 7 repeat the comments I made when I asked some questions 8 of Mr. Mulroney on the tax disclosure thing; and as 9 well harken back to the discussion we had on the issue 10 of clarifying your ruling, with regard in particular to 11 12 the application of the Income Tax Act. 42038 13 I don't want to stand up -- I know Mr. Wolson thinks I have stood up too often thus far, 14 but I would just like to record that in my respectful 15 16 submission, these matters effectively touch on compliance of various rules of the Income Tax Act. 17 42039 I am not asking you to rule on that, 18 19 Mr. Commissioner. I should simply like to record that 20 whilst these questions can proceed for a while, they are proceeding under a reserve of my objection that 21 these matters effectively are matters of compliance 22 23 with the Income Tax Act; that they are not matters that you should concern yourself with under your mandate. 24 25 42040 So I would just like to record that

objection.

1

2 42041 Mr. Mulroney has already testified that he is not an expert in the Income Tax Act. 3 He told you what he felt was his honest belief and now we 4 are getting into whether or not his belief was accurate 5 6 under the Income Tax Act, yes or no. 42042 So that's why I rose at that point. 7 42043 But that being said, 8 9 Mr. Commissioner, I am prepared to let Mr. Wolson I just want this umbrella objection to be 10 proceed. 11 recorded and for you to know that I am not waiving any 12 rights in respect of that issue. 42044 I appreciate you have to hear the 13 evidence and at some point later we might argue about 14 it. 15 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: 16 42045 Yes. I will just say, quite frankly, that I haven't read into the 17 questions being asked any assertion that there was a 18 19 failure to comply with the Income Tax Act. 20 42046 Mr. Mulroney has taken advantage of provisions of the Income Tax Act that are available, 21 but Mr. Wolson was asking him about reserves which he 22 23 didn't do and claiming income in a certain year with expenses charged against that income. 24 I don't see that as a failure to 25 42047

STENOTRAN

4433

comply. I perhaps would like to hear from Mr. Wolson
 on that.

3 42048 MR. WOLSON: Well, quite frankly,
4 there are more issues than just compliance. You said
5 when you made your clarification ruling that you would
6 be informed by the Income Tax Act.

42049 We are not here to castigate somebody 7 8 for noncompliance, but it is a factor in other ways. If you want me to articulate in which ways, I would 9 prefer that we do so in the absence of the witness. 10 11 42050 But more importantly, I don't think 12 my friend, while he has risen and made a statement, he is not objecting so I am going to go on unless you tell 13 me otherwise. 14

15 42051 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Well, he said16 there was an umbrella objection.

17 42052 MR. PRATTE: Mr. Commissioner, I know that Mr. Wolson and I may differ as to the purpose of 18 19 the questions and whether or not appropriateness is 20 distinct from compliance. We have had that discussion And where that ends up at the end of the day 21 before. is something you will have to assess once all the 22 23 evidence is in and the arguments have been made. 24 42053 All I am stating is that I don't want to stand up and have this argument on every question 25

1 and ask you to rule on every question as to whether or not it comes closer to compliance or appropriateness. 2 42054 My respectful submission is that 3 4 these questions ultimately, to the extent that they go to compliance, are not appropriate and, further, as I 5 6 have argued before, that ultimately appropriateness in this context resolves itself and is equal to 7 compliance. 8 9 42055 That's all I'm saying. I am going to argue that most likely when we reconvene on June the 10 11 10th. 12 42056 And with that general objection, it is acceptable if Mr. Wolson keeps going on this line of 13 questioning. I just don't want you to think that I am 14 waiving the argument at the end of the day. That's all 15 16 I'm saying. 17 42057 He is looking at his watch and I am going to sit down on that. I hope my position is 18 19 clear. COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: 20 42058 I never anticipated that you would waive any argument on any 21 point, Mr. Pratte. 22 I think that, you know, the best way 23 42059 to deal with this is to hear the evidence. You can 24 make your argument at the end. 25

**STENOTRAN** 

1 42060 Believe me, as you know, judges all 2 the time hear evidence that ultimately is not accepted because it is inadmissible or it lacks weight. And you 3 will be free to make your argument at an appropriate 4 time. Okay? 5 6 42.061 MR. PRATTE: With that, I am content to sit down. Thank you. 7 42062 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Fine. 8 9 42063 Mr. Wolson...? 42064 MR. WOLSON: If he sits down, I will 10 11 stand up then. The question that I was asking you 12 42065 about, Mr. Mulroney, was this: In 1993 you went to 13 China. You did some work there for Mr. Schreiber. 14 42066 15 You have explained that work to the 16 Commissioner; true? 42067 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 17 42068 MR. WOLSON: My question to you is 18 19 this: You incurred about \$10,000 worth of expenses on that trip and I am not challenging that in my question 20 to you now. 21 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 42069 Fine. 22 23 42070 MR. WOLSON: You would have earned 24 some fee --THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 42071 25

1 42072 MR. WOLSON: -- as against the 2 retainer for the work that you had done, and you would be entitled, legitimately, to earn that fee. 3 4 42073 Why did you not, then, take the fee 5 that you earned, less the expenses, and declare that on 6 your 1993 -- or I think you went in October -- or 1994 income tax? 7 42074 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 8 As T 9 indicated to you, I believed that I was operating in a retainer arrangement. I chose not to, and the money 10 would become mine only when the retainer was exhausted 11 12 or had been collapsed. And I took neither the fees into income, because I didn't charge any fees at the 13 time. I wasn't in that business at the time because it 14 was a retainer. 15 42075 And I didn't claim any of the 16 expenses. 17 42076 Mr. Wolson, I guess -- and 18 19 Mr. Commissioner, I could probably put it this way: 20 Over the years I received \$225,000 in fees for fees and expenses. I declared the entire amount, \$225,000, as 21 income and paid tax on it. 22 23 42077 I claimed no expenses to reduce my 24 income. I paid the amount on the full tariff. 42078 That was my understanding of the 25

retainer provisions, retainer and/or advance provisions 1 as they then were, and I was told, parenthetically and 2 in conclusion, that by utilizing as my advisors did, a 3 voluntary disclosure, it indicated -- these are used by 4 thousands of Canadians every year for all kinds of 5 6 reasons. 42079 In my case, my circumstances allowed 7 8 me to conclude that there was no acceptance of any degree or any kind of culpability in using this. It 9 was an entirely appropriate vehicle for me to use in 10 the circumstances. 11 12 42080 Now correct me, sir, if I am wrong. MR. WOLSON: 13 42081 Yes. 42082 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: But I 14 15 think it is important that I be allowed to say this. 16 42083 My understanding of the retainer provisions was that I did not owe any taxes. I paid 17 the taxes appropriately when I did. I had nothing to 18 19 do with the negotiations with either the federal 20 government or the Québec government. I gave the file to my advisors. When they came back to me, having 21 resolved it, they just simply told me it is resolved. 22 23 Please send a cheque for such and such amount to Ottawa and Québec. That was it. 24 MR. WOLSON: All right. I just want 25 42084

4438

1 you to focus on the particular question that I asked. 2 42085 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 3 sir. 4 42086 MR. WOLSON: I have asked you about China. 5 6 42087 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 42088 MR. WOLSON: I'm assuming the answer 7 that you would give is that you didn't know that you 8 should declare the income you earned on your China trip 9 in a timely way and take that income, deduct the 10 11 expense, and declare what income you earned. 12 42089 You didn't understand that you should be doing that? 13 42090 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I did 14 not. My belief was the one for retainers that I have 15 16 articulated for you. 17 42091 MR. WOLSON: Okay. 42092 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 18 And 19 may I say, I'm not -- I'm not going to quarrel at all 20 with you, sir. I'm not entirely sure that the principle that you have just articulated is or was 21 applicable in 1993, '94 or '95. 22 23 42093 I am not an expert --24 42094 MR. WOLSON: Yes. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 42095 25

1 but I was given contrary advice.

2 42096 MR. WOLSON: Yes. And we are going 3 to have somebody here from CRA on the issue of 4 retainers. 42097 In 1994 you went to Russia. You 5 6 incurred expenses. 42098 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 7 42099 MR. WOLSON: About \$12,000. 8 9 42100 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Approximately, yes. 10 MR. WOLSON: And you earned a fee by 11 42101 12 doing what you did in Russia with Mr. Yeltsin. And you are entitled to earn a fee. 13 42102 You did earn a fee, didn't you? 14 42103 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I 15 16 did. 17 42104 MR. WOLSON: Okay. 42105 In 1994 you went to France to see 18 19 Mr. Mitterand, or you were in France --THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 20 42106 42107 MR. WOLSON: -- and saw 21 Mr. Mitterand. 22 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I was 23 42108 in Belgium and I saw Mr. Mitterand when I was in 24 France, yes. 25

**STENOTRAN** 

MR. WOLSON: In Paris. 1 42109 2 42110 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. MR. WOLSON: Okay. It caused some 3 42111 expenses, legitimate expenses; right? 4 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 5 42112 6 42113 MR. WOLSON: You made a fee; right? 42114 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 7 42115 MR. WOLSON: As against the retainer? 8 9 42116 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. MR. WOLSON: In 1994, five or six did 10 42117 11 you declare to the income tax the fees that you had 12 earned in Russia or in France as against the expenses that you incurred and pay the income tax on it? 13 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 42118 14 No. I indicated to you, sir, that I resolved the matter in 15 16 1999 fully. MR. WOLSON: Okay. Now, you say you 17 42119 had an ongoing retainer; right? 18 19 42120 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. MR. WOLSON: But the mandate document 20 42121 talks about a three-year mandate which would be over in 21 1995-96. 22

2342122THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes.2442123MR. WOLSON: Not an ongoing retainer.2542124THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY:

**STENOTRAN** 

1 That's right.

2 42125 MR. WOLSON: Why didn't you declare in 1996 or '97 or '98 or '99, write up the fee that you 3 had earned, create a paper trail by doing so? 4 Why didn't you do that? 5 42126 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 6 42127 7 Because three years were blown out of my life in 1995 by the Airbus matter. We were blown up completely with 8 that, and in many ways my life almost came to an end 9 because of that. 10 11 42128 MR. WOLSON: Okay. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: And 12 42129 so you can be certain that there was no work going on 13 of that nature at that time. 14 42130 MR. WOLSON: But if you turn to Tab 15 124 --16 17 42131 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Of what book, sir? 18 19 42132 MR. WOLSON: Tab 124, Book 2, please, 20 sir. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 21 42133 --- Pause 22 23 42134 MR. WOLSON: If you would go to page 3 of that tab -- so go three pages in Tab 124. 24 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 25 42135 Tab

**STENOTRAN** 

1 124, sorry. Yes. 2 42136 MR. WOLSON: This is a letter written 3 on Ogilvy Renault stationery by your tax advisor, your 4 tax lawyer, Wilfrid Lefebvre; right? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: There 42137 5 6 are a number of letters. Which one? 42138 MR. WOLSON: The third page in, which 7 would be January 27, 2000. 8 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 9 42139 January 27. 10 MR. WOLSON: It is the third page in 11 42140 the set of documents. Are you there? 12 42141 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 13 I'm here, yes. 14 42142 MR. WOLSON: Okay. Your tax advisor, 15 16 tax lawyer I should say --THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 17 42143 42144 MR. WOLSON: And this is, by the way, 18 19 Mr. Lefebvre. I don't know him. I don't know a lot of tax lawyers. But he is a very competent and senior tax 20 counsel? 21 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 42145 22 23 he is. 24 42146 MR. WOLSON: Okay. He indicated to CRA or to the voluntary tax people -- he indicated to 25

## **STENOTRAN**

1 them that he was disclosing: "\$75,000 is the amount involved 2 for each of the following 3 4 taxation years: 1993, 1994 and 1995." 5 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 6 42147 42148 MR. WOLSON: He wasn't dealing with 7 this as if this were an ongoing retainer. He was 8 9 dealing with this as if the fees were earned '93, '94, '95. That's how he dealt with it. 10 42149 Is that your knowledge of the matter? 11 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I 12 42150 have no understanding or no information at all in 13 regard to any of his correspondence. 14 Mr. Lefebvre, who is an excellent tax 15 42151 lawyer, accepted my mandate and went away and did his 16 17 thing. 42152 MR. WOLSON: But you had to give him 18 19 some basic information. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 20 42153 21 I gave him the information that I thought was appropriate and relevant, and I went away and he 22 23 resolved the matter. 24 42154 I never saw any communications or anything like that. 25

# **STENOTRAN**

1 42155 MR. WOLSON: But he surely can't 2 dream up that the years were '93, '94, '95. That has to be something coming from you. 3 4 42156 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I 5 think he probably made that assumption, sir. I don't 6 know. 42157 I had no conversations with him 7 beyond the original mandate conversation and at the end 8 9 of which, the complete end of which, he told me the matter was resolved and to have cheques prepared, 10 11 period. 12 42158 I had told him to resolve any doubt, any doubt, in favour of the federal government; to 13 declarer the amount which I was asked, \$225,000, and 14 15 pay tax on it. MR. WOLSON: And you don't know where 16 42159 he got the years '93, '94, '95 from? 17 42160 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 18 Т 19 assume that he got them -- I don't know, perhaps from 20 me, perhaps from correspondence or discussion. I don't 21 know. MR. WOLSON: But he must --42161 22 23 42162 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 24 Perhaps from my accountant, I don't know. 25 42163 MR. WOLSON: I thought you had said

**STENOTRAN** 

1 at one point --

2 42164 MR. PRATTE: Mr. Commissioner, when I raised these questions, Mr. Mulroney also said that we 3 are not waiving matters of solicitor-client privilege. 4 5 42165 I have let Mr. Wolson go, but to the 6 issues I have already raised with you, I also wanted to raise with this one; that we are now into 7 correspondence before the final agreement. 8 9 42166 Mr. Mulroney has said over and over again he was not involved in the details; he didn't 10 even see these letters. And now effectively Mr. Wolson 11 is trying to -- well, he is asking questions which 12 necessarily go to solicitor-client privilege. 13 42167 So I bring that to your attention, 14 Mr. Commissioner. 15 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Seeing that 16 42168 you have raised the issue of solicitor-client 17 privilege, surely the privilege is waived by the filing 18 19 of the letter. MR. PRATTE: To the extent of the 20 42169 information in the letter, but where the information 21 came from, who he checked it with, those are matters --22 23 the information is there, the exchange. That is what is told to the income tax authorities, but --24 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Yes. 25 42170 And

## **STENOTRAN**

1 Mr. Mulroney has said that he wasn't aware of this and 2 he is making assumptions as to where Mr. Lefebvre might 3 have got the information.

4 42171 MR. PRATTE: Just on that point, sir,
5 that I rise. Beyond that, you are correct.

6 42172 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Fine.

7 42173 Mr. Wolson...?

8 42174 MR. WOLSON: Mr. Mulroney, other than 9 the mandate document, was there any document outlining 10 the three-year mandate, other than the mandate

11 document?

12 42175 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I 13 don't think there was a document. I simply concluded 14 from conversations with Mr. Schreiber that he was 15 looking at an open-ended arrangement, but which I kind 16 of concluded, because of the nature of the three 17 payments, might be three years.

42176 It turned out that might not have 18 19 been entirely accurate, because in 1998 he raised with 20 me again the -- I'm sorry, he raised for the first time the pasta matter and was very clear that he wished --21 he obviously wished me to do something, because at the 22 23 end of his meeting with Mr. Doucet he asked Mr. Doucet if he would speak to me to renew the retainer 24 arrangement for another period of years. 25

**STENOTRAN** 

42177 So I placed the construction on it that I thought was fair. MR. WOLSON: Well, I'm just trying to 42178 find out where, aside from you, any lawyer could find out the three years of the retainer agreement. Could it have come from someone other than you? 42179 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: No, but I think if you do the calculation, sir, it is very simple. It's not a mystery novel. He retains me in 1993. Work is done 42180 in 1993, 1994 and '95. As I say, my world is blown apart in 1995. Three years pass and I meet him in 1998 and he is talking them -- there was a big hole in the heart of the retainer at that point in time, three empty years. So I work with him. I meet him in 42181

16 42181 So I work with him. I meet him in 17 1998 and I try and move things along. And then what 18 happened in 1999 causes me, for reasons I have told 19 you, to seek to terminate it.

2042182MR. WOLSON: Except that the mandate21document that was prepared was prepared in 2000 --2242183THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes.2342184MR. WOLSON: -- which was the point24that I raised with you before.

25 42185 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes.

**STENOTRAN** 

4447

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

MR. WOLSON: If in fact it wasn't the 1 42186 2 years that are noted on the mandate document that were disclosed to you by Mr. Doucet, '93-'94, '94-'95, 3 4 '95-'96 --THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: M'hm. 5 42187 6 42188 MR. WOLSON: -- wouldn't you have said well, hold on, Fred, my world -- and I'm not 7 challenging you on this. I know the pain that you 8 suffered. 9 Wouldn't you have said to Mr. Doucet 10 42189 that is inaccurate. The mandate was '94-'95, '95-'96, 11 but then there was a hiatus? 12 Wouldn't you have said that? 13 42190 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 42191 14 Т have already -- you think that Mr. Doucet didn't know 15 16 that? Of course he knew it, sir. 17 42192 I indicated to you that before I would have signed any document, including this 18 19 embryonic attempt at trying to reconstruct a mandate, I 20 would have sat down and examined it very carefully and made the necessary connections -- corrections before 21 signing it. And I think in fairness so would 22 23 Mr. Schreiber. 24 42193 So I take it for what it was: an

25

# STENOTRAN

honest attempt by a friend of both sides to try and

4449

memorialize something that had taken place a few years earlier.
MR. WOLSON: The question that I have for you is this: Given the mandate document, given your lawyer's letter to the voluntary tax people where

6 he outlines the years '93, '94 and '95, why didn't you
7 pay income tax in '96 or '97 or '98 or '99?

8 42195 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I 9 have already told you. I got \$225,000 in income and I 10 paid -- I declared it all as income and paid income on 11 it at a time that I thought was entirely appropriate, 12 namely at the end of the retainer.

13 42196 Now, you may argue or have somebody 14 come forward and argue that my interpretation of the 15 retainer provisions of the Act were inaccurate or less 16 than adequate at the time. That may be.

All I'm telling you is that I had \$225,000 of income and I paid taxes on it. I paid taxes to the satisfaction of the Canadian government and the Québec government.

2142198So I feel that I did the right thing.22I feel that I was not late in paying taxes.2342199You know, you may have a technical --24you may be absolutely right, I don't know -- a

25 technical argument as to whether this should have been

1 paid in 93 or whether -- had I done that, I suppose my 2 income would have been reduced by the \$45,000 and so the tax man would have gotten less. 3 4 42200 But I didn't claim the expenses. Ι 5 declared it all as income and paid taxes on that. 6 42201 And I told my advisors if there is any doubt anywhere, please resolve the doubt in favour 7 of the tax department of the Government of Canada and 8 the Government of Québec. 9 MR. WOLSON: But if you had paid in 10 42202 11 1996, you would have declared \$225,000. Whether you 12 declared expenses or not would be your issue. You would have paid about half of the \$225,000, so about 13 \$110,000 or \$115,000 is what you would have paid if you 14 declared the \$225,000 in '96 or '97 in the ordinary 15 16 course of your business dealings. 17 42203 You would agree with that statement? 42204 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: No, I 18 19 wouldn't, because in that year I was before the courts in Montréal. 20 MR. WOLSON: What does that have to 21 42205 do with --22 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 23 42206 It has to do a lot. As far as I was concerned, the 24 retainer arrangements were suspended, were in hiatus. 25

#### **STENOTRAN**

You have indicated to me that you 1 42207 2 understand the enormity of what happened to me in those years. And so as far as I was concerned, my life kind 3 of, in that area, re-began after the resolution of that 4 litigation and sometime in 1998 when I met 5 Mr. Schreiber in Zürich. 6 42208 And so I was of the view -- and still 7 8 am; you may have an expert who can correct me on this -- that I, using this in a proper way for 9 retainer, owed no taxes until I took it into income. 10 11 And I only took it into income in the year 2000, and 12 that is when I paid. 42209 13 Now, how this was dealt with by tax advisors and the two tax departments I don't know. 14 42210 15 But I just can tell you that I paid 16 my taxes fully, to the best of my knowledge at all times, and that it was resolved to the satisfaction of 17 18 both governments and that I was told, Mr. 19 Commissioner -- and this is important to me. You 20 better believe it is important; it would be to anybody. 42211 The use of the vehicle chosen by my 21 advisors indicated no culpability of any kind on my 22 part. I did what I thought was right and I did it 23 fully. 24 And to make absolutely certain that 25 42212

## **STENOTRAN**

1 the government was getting the benefit of the doubt, I told them do not claim any expenses of any kind. Put 2 it all into income and I will pay tax on it. 3 4 42213 MR. WOLSON: Mr. Mulroney, I asked 5 you because it came from a comment that you made: If 6 you had paid taxes in 1996, you would have paid half of \$225,000 approximately; right? 7 42214 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I 8 9 have no idea. MR. WOLSON: But you said when I 10 42215 11 started asking you questions in the tax area that 12 approximately you pay half of your income to income 13 taxes. 42216 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 14 Yes, 15 minus all the expenses. 16 42217 MR. WOLSON: All right. So that if you declared it in 1996 or 7, you would have paid 17 \$225,000 -- you would have declared \$225,000 and paid 18 19 about \$115,000 ballpark and you could have taken from 20 the \$225,000 expenses and then you would have paid half of what was left; right? 21 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 42218 22 Т don't know the answer to that, sir. 23

2442219MR. WOLSON: You don't, I see.2542220THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: All I

**STENOTRAN** 

1 know -- you are asking me about 1996?

2 42221 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: If you don't know the answer, that is sufficient, Mr. Mulroney. 3 4 42222 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I just don't know the answer. That is a highly technical 5 6 question. I'm sorry I don't know. 42223 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: You say you 7 don't know the answer. If you keep talking, you will 8 convince me that you do know the answer. 9 42224 MR. PRATTE: Well, Mr. Commissioner, 10 11 we are really going, with the greatest of respect, 12 beyond --42225 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: I think it is 13 time to move on. 14 42226 MR. PRATTE: We are into 15 16 hypotheticals on tax law now. 17 42227 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: We are going 18 to move on. 19 42228 Mr. Wolson...? 20 42229 MR. WOLSON: What you can say is that when you made your voluntary disclosure, while your 21 lawyer disclosed \$75,000 for three years, \$225,000, you 22 23 declared \$37,500 in each of those three years and paid about half of each \$37,500. 24 You can say that? 25 42230

**STENOTRAN** 

THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 1 42231 Т 2 can't say that. MR. WOLSON: You can't? 42232 3 4 42233 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Т told you that I turned it over to my tax advisor, whom 5 6 you have described as a very eminent and able person. 42234 MR. WOLSON: I didn't know him, but 7 you did and I accept that. 8 9 42235 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. Well, he was senior tax counsel of the Justice 10 11 Department for over 20 years and he is certainly a man 12 held in the highest regard. I gave it to him and I said Wilfrid, would you please look after this, resolve 13 any doubt in favour of the government and he came back 14 a month or a month and a half later and said it's 15 16 resolved. 17 42236 That's all I know. And I paid -- I claimed, as I say for the last time, no expenses and I 18 19 paid all the tax. 20 42237 MR. WOLSON: Okay. We will cover that in another way in a moment or two. 21 42238 If you look at Tab 124, the tab that 22 23 was open --24 42239 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Mr. Mulroney, if at any time you need a break, just let me know. 25

## **STENOTRAN**

1 Okay? 2 42240 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Sure. 3 I think that -- what time was it agreed that we would 4 take a -- Mr. Wolson indicated. 42241 MR. WOLSON: I have about somewhere 5 6 around -- depending on how precise my questions are and your answers, I have about half an hour in which, if I 7 were to continue, I would finish. 8 9 42242 Your counsel is nodding, but you are up there and if that works for you --10 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Sure. 11 42243 12 42244 MR. WOLSON: -- I'm happy to continue. If it works for all other counsel as well. 13 42245 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: So in 14 about a half an hour you will have terminated? 15 42246 MR. WOLSON: In about -- well, I 16 17 don't want to use that word, but in about a half an hour --18 19 42247 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: How 20 about concluded? MR. WOLSON: Yes, that would be a 42248 21 better way of putting it. I don't want to terminate 22 23 for a long time, but that may be up to some higher 24 source. 42249 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: I think we 25

**STENOTRAN** 

4456

1 should put things into context here, gentlemen. 2 42250 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: That's right. That's right, Commissioner. 3 4 42251 MR. WOLSON: Now, January 10, 2000, that is the first letter in the book at Tab 124. 5 6 42252 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I'm sorry, what -- 124? 7 42253 MR. WOLSON: Yes, please. 8 9 42254 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. MR. WOLSON: And that indicates the 10 42255 following. Your counsel sends a letter on that date, 11 12 January 10, 2000. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 13 42256 42257 MR. WOLSON: 14 "The complete disclosure of the 15 amount involved (between 16 \$150,000 and \$225,000) will be 17 completed by March 5, 2000." 18 19 42258 Do you know why he said \$150,000 or \$225,000? 20 21 42259 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: No, I do not. 22 23 42260 MR. WOLSON: The amount was \$225,000? 24 42261 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 25 it was.

MR. WOLSON: Okay. And then look at 1 42262 2 the next letter, which is two in, the 27th. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 3 42263 4 42264 MR. WOLSON: This is a letter in which your counsel says to CRA, or to the voluntary 5 disclosure officer --6 42265 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: M'hm. 7 42266 MR. WOLSON: -- that the amount for 8 9 each year involved '93, '94, and '95, is \$75,000. 42267 Do you see that? That is point 2 of 10 the January 27, 2000 letter. 11 12 42268 So you should be three pages in on Tab 124. 13 42269 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 14 15 Yes, I am, yes. 42270 MR. WOLSON: Okay. If you look at 16 17 point 2, Mr. Mulroney --42271 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 18 19 42272 MR. WOLSON: "\$75,000 is the amount involved 20 21 for each of the following 22 taxation years: 1993, 1994 and 1995." 23 24 42273 Right?

25 42274 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes.

## **STENOTRAN**

1 42275 MR. WOLSON: And you don't know why 2 he chose those three years? THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I 3 42276 4 don't. And I notice that he also says: "Although a significant portion 5 of those amounts was used to 6 defray expenditures that would 7 be eligible for deduction, 8 9 evidentiary difficulties 10 preclude us from claiming said expenditures." 11 12 42277 MR. WOLSON: That is one question in advance of what I was going to ask you. 13 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 42278 14 That's right. 15 42279 MR. WOLSON: But while you are there, 16 17 what are the evidentiary issues? I thought you had kept --18 19 42280 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I did. 20 21 42281 MR. WOLSON: Thought you had kept -just for completeness of the question, I thought you 22 23 had kept a running tab. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I 24 42282 did. 25

4459

1 42283 MR. WOLSON: And kept your credit 2 card documents which would indicate what the expenses 3 were. 4 42284 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Ι did. 5 6 42285 MR. WOLSON: What are the evidentiary 7 issues? 42286 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I had 8 9 kept the -- I had paid everything essentially with credit cards and the evidentiary issue was that I felt, 10 11 when I looked at it again, that what I had was not so 12 much the credit card documentation as, as I have indicated to you from the beginning, the annotations 13 that I would make on all of my travel documents in 14 terms of personal expenditures precisely when required 15 for this. 16 17 42287 And it was the deficiency there that led us to say well, look, rather than get into any 18 19 difficulty or any quarrel with the government of any 20 kind, forget the expenses. Declare it all as income and we will pay tax on it. 21 42288 MR. WOLSON: And if you had declared 22 23 it as expenses --24 42289 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 25 42290 MR. WOLSON: -- and your documents

1 were challenged, you would then have to explain your retainer and the client and what you had done to earn 2 the money and the expenses you incurred. 3 4 42291 You would have to do that. 42292 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: No, I 5 6 would have had to -- my attorney would have had to meet with them, as I was informed simply --7 42293 MR. WOLSON: Yes. 8 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: --9 42294 meet with them and explain quite the inadequate 10 documentation. I didn't have all the credit card 11 12 receipts. I didn't have the travel documents on which some of the expenses had been noted. 13 42295 For example, I had no documentation 14 15 whatsoever of that nature for Colorado Springs, which 16 was a significant expenditure. 17 42296 So we just felt that let's pay it all -- declare it all as income and pay the taxes. 18 And 19 that's what we did. 20 42297 MR. WOLSON: Would you turn the page

of the February 2 -- or get to the February 2, 2000 -just before you do that, while you are on the letter of the 27th of January 2000, if you go to the second page of that letter, your lawyer repeats in paragraph 4 -he says:

**STENOTRAN** 

1 "As indicated in my letter of January 10, 2000, it will be 2 necessary to agree on a basis of 3 4 imposition, in accordance with the Agency's policy and taking 5 into account that the taxation 6 years in question are 1993 to 7 1995 inclusively." 8 9 42298 Do you see that? 10 42299 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 11 I see that. 12 42300 MR. WOLSON: And then if you turn the 13 page to the February 2nd letter, please. 42301 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 14 sir. 15 42302 MR. WOLSON: This is the letter, if 16 17 you look at the date, February 2, 2000 --18 42303 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 19 42304 MR. WOLSON: Paragraph 2 --THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 20 42305 Yes. MR. WOLSON: 21 42306 "It is agreed that the amounts 22 23 described in my letter of 24 January 27, 2000, involve the amount of \$75,000 for each of 25

1 the following taxation years..." 2 42307 Aqain. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 3 42308 4 42309 MR. WOLSON: "... 1993, 1994 and 1995." 5 6 42310 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 7 sir. 42311 MR. WOLSON: 8 9 "These amounts will be taxed at the federal and provincial 10 levels as follows: 11 12 a) The taxpayer will file an application for an amended 13 return correcting the amounts 14 entered in his returns for 1996, 15 1997 and 1998..." 16 17 42312 And I understand that you had to go three years forward because they couldn't go as far 18 19 back as '93. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: M'hm. 20 42313 MR. WOLSON: At least that is what I 21 42314 have been advised by counsel. 22 And instead of adding \$75,000 for 23 42315 24 each year, you add \$37,500 for each of the years, in effect half of the \$75,000. 25

## **STENOTRAN**

1 42316 That is what he says. 2 42317 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: That is what he says. 3 4 42318 MR. WOLSON: And you end up paying tax on \$37,500 times three, or you end up paying tax on 5 6 income of about \$112,500; right? 42319 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 7 Т don't know. 8 9 42320 MR. WOLSON: Well, \$37,500 times three is what you end up paying tax on. 10 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 11 42321 12 but I don't know how it worked out in my income tax return. 13 42322 But you go ahead, sir. Your 14 15 point...? MR. WOLSON: The point is he tells 16 42323 the tax people that you had earned \$75,000 in each of 17 the years. 18 19 42324 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 20 42325 MR. WOLSON: But you don't declare \$75,000 according to the agreement reached. You 21 declare \$37,500 for each year. 22 23 42326 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Well, this is -- I don't know. He is writing -- as I see it, 24

he is writing to the tax department.

25

## **STENOTRAN**

1 42327 MR. WOLSON: Yes. 2 42328 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: This constitutes an agreement between the taxpayer involved, 3 et cetera, et cetera. He negotiated this with the tax 4 department. 5 6 42329 MR. WOLSON: Yes. In the final --42330 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 7 Tt. had nothing to do with -- really nothing to do with me, 8 if I may. I had no involvement in this whatsoever. 9 I understand my name was not even 10 42331 11 mentioned in any circumstances. This was an anonymous taxpayer. 12 42332 I was told, in retrospect, that this 13 was an entirely conventional arrangement, arrived at in 14 Montréal with the federal and Québec governments in 15 16 those days, pursuant to procedures and customs that were widely accepted. 17 So I knew nothing about it. I just 18 42333 paid the taxes that I was told. 19 MR. WOLSON: All right. But in the 20 42334 end result, instead of paying taxes on income of 21 \$225,000, you pay taxes on income of \$112,500. 22 23 42335 You would agree with that because 24 that is -- well, that is what happened. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: No. 42336 25

#### **STENOTRAN**

1 Well, right off the bat, I would have to say I paid taxes on an amount that normally would have been 2 reduced by at least \$45,000 for expenses, and I didn't 3 claim any expenses. 4 5 42337 So I can't quarrel with you, sir. I 6 can't quibble with you. I have told you what happened and taxes -- income was declared and taxes were paid. 7 42338 8 MR. WOLSON: Okay. 9 42339 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: It is right there in the letter for all to see. 10 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: There 11 42340 it is. 12 42341 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Right. 13 42342 And you have said you didn't know 14 about the deal that got negotiated on your behalf by 15 Mr. Lefebvre. 16 17 42343 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: That's right. 18 19 42344 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: He did the 20 deal and told you what you had to pay. 42345 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 21 That's right, sir. 22 23 42346 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: It's a pretty good deal, you would agree with that. 24 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: He is 42347 25

## **STENOTRAN**

1 a pretty good lawyer. 2 42348 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Mr. Pratte...? 3 4 42349 MR. PRATTE: Yes, but with the greatest respect, Mr. Commissioner, because things are 5 6 liable to be misinterpreted, the agreement is reached with two separate authorities so that this document is 7 complete, with their not knowing on whose behalf it is 8 being negotiated, as the last paragraph of the letter 9 10 states. COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: I recognize 11 42350 12 that. 42351 MR. PRATTE: And the tax authorities 13 that accepted it are told of the total amount, 14 \$225,000, and they accept the basis pursuant to the 15 16 policy that was en rigeur at the time. 17 42352 So I just want so that the whole context is there. 18 19 42353 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Absolutely. There is no issue; it was upfront. But Mr. Lefebvre 20 negotiated a pretty good deal here. 21 42354 MR. PRATTE: But he might have done 2.2 23 so, sir, in respect of any other person in the same --24 42355 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Of course. Of course. 25

## **STENOTRAN**

MR. PRATTE: -- on the same anonymous 1 42356 2 basis. That's all. COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: There is no 42357 3 4 question about that. But the point that was made was that 5 42358 6 instead of paying income tax on \$75,000 in each of the three years, despite the fact that the tax people were 7 aware of it, tax was paid on \$37,500 in each of the 8 three years. 9 42359 MR. PRATTE: You are right. 10 42360 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: And the 11 12 taxation authorities were probably so happy to get the money, they agreed to that. 13 42361 MR. PRATTE: Yes. My only concern, 14 Mr. Commissioner, is that we are not here -- well, in 15 my respectful submission -- to debate --16 42362 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Nobody is 17 being --18 19 42363 MR. PRATTE: -- whether or not the 20 amount matters. 42364 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Let me just 21 say this. I'm not being critical, nor do I think is 22 23 Mr. Wolson, of Mr. Mulroney. 24 42365 A deal was concluded with the tax people, both federally and provincially, and this is 25

## **STENOTRAN**

1 the result of the deal, period.

2 42366 MR. WOLSON: Mr. Mulroney, if you 3 believed your retainer ended in 1999, why didn't you 4 just report to the income tax people your income of \$225,000 in your '99 income tax, when the retainer had 5 6 ended, and pay what was owing in 1999 on the \$225,000? 42367 If you chose to deduct expenses 7 that's one thing. If you chose not to, I suppose 8 that's another. 9 42368 But why didn't you just do it if the 10 11 retainer ended in '99 as opposed to going to a 12 voluntary tax return? If your retainer ended in 1999, why not simply declare it in 1999? 13 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 42369 14 The retainer didn't end in 1999. I chose to end the 15 retainer in 1999. 16 17 42370 MR. WOLSON: Yes. 42371 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 18 19 That's what happened. MR. WOLSON: Yes, I understand that. 20 42372 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: So 42373 21 with regard to going to a voluntary tax disclosure, I 22 23 had nothing to do with that. I turned the matter simply over to my tax advisors and they did what they 24 did. 25

**STENOTRAN** 

1 42374 MR. WOLSON: But in the ordinary course, if you terminated a retainer, generally 2 speaking you would pay tax in the year that you 3 terminated it by way of declaring the income you earned 4 and paying the tax that was owing. And if there were 5 6 any expenses, deal with those as well. 42375 But you didn't do that. 7 42376 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 8 I'm 9 not arguing with you, sir. 42377 MR. WOLSON: Okay. 10 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 11 42378 T'm 12 telling you that I terminated the retainer with Mr. Schreiber. I turned it over to Mr. Lefebvre for 13 resolution, with his vast experience and the extremely 14 high regard in which he is held by both tax 15 departments. He resolved the matter, told me that it 16 had been resolved, instructed me to make cheques to pay 17 what he said I owed after I had told him two things: 18 19 We are not claiming any expenses and resolve any doubt 20 in favour of the governments. 21 42379 He told me the amounts. I paid the amounts and that I believe was it. 22 23 42380 MR. WOLSON: You have previously made a statement that you were being generous with the tax 24 department. Do you believe that? 25

**STENOTRAN** 

THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: No, I 1 42381 2 wasn't being generous with the tax department. I simply wanted any doubt resolved in their favour. 3 4 42382 MR. WOLSON: Did you disclose to your counsel -- and don't answer this. Don't answer it. I 5 6 am going to put a question out but it may be objected to. 7 The question that I am going to ask 8 42383 9 Did you disclose to your counsel that this was a is: cash transaction (a); and (b) the money was in your 10 safe and in a safety deposit box? 11 12 42384 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Mr. Pratte, you can sit down. That question need not be answered. 13 42385 MR. WOLSON: That's why I told you 14 15 not to answer it. 16 --- Pause 17 42386 MR. WOLSON: If I may just have one moment, please? 18 19 --- Pause 20 42387 MR. WOLSON: I have maybe seven minutes left, save for one area that I have raised with 21 Mr. Pratte. I don't want to go into the area. 22 It is 23 obvious that we are going to have to come back tomorrow, not for me unless I delve into this area, but 24 certainly for Mr. Auger, because it is 5 o'clock. 25

But save for that area, I just have a 1 42388 2 few closing questions for you, Mr. Mulroney. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 3 42389 Yes, 4 sir. 5 42390 MR. WOLSON: And then you are rid of 6 me. 42391 You indicated in your testimony on 7 more than one occasion that prior to the LOR becoming 8 public, you had offered to the RCMP, to the government, 9 that you would come in and disclose everything to them 10 11 in response to the horrible allegations that they had made against you. And they didn't take you up on that. 12 That is a true statement? 13 42392 42393 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 14 15 sir. 16 42394 MR. WOLSON: With regard to your relationship with Mr. Schreiber, your legal commercial 17 relationship, you have had the opportunity to become --18 or to go public a long time ago, but you didn't do so. 19 Would that be a correct statement? 20 42395 42396 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 21 I'm 22 sorry...? 23 42397 MR. WOLSON: I said with regard to your relationship with Mr. Schreiber, your lawful 24 commercial relationship, you have had the opportunity 25

#### **STENOTRAN**

4472

to go public on it a long time ago, but you didn't do 1 2 so. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Well, 42398 3 4 I felt, as I have indicated to you, it was a private transaction between two private citizens in the private 5 sector after I had left office. 6 42399 7 MR. WOLSON: Okay. 42400 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: With 8 9 not a nickel, not a nickel of public funds involved anywhere. 10 MR. WOLSON: All right. 11 42401 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: So I 12 42402 felt it was a private transaction. I was out of office 13 and I felt I had nothing to automatically disclose, any 14 more than, for example, Mr. Chrétien should be called 15 upon to disclose his latest business dealings in the 16 private sector. 17 42403 MR. WOLSON: Let's not go to 18 19 Mr. Chrétien. 20 42404 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Well, I'm just saying --21 MR. WOLSON: I'm only asking you 42405 22 23 about you and your involvement. 24 42406 So your answer to me, I take it, is that you felt this was a private transaction and that's 25

1 your answer? 2 42407 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: That 3 is why I held the view that I did. 4 42408 MR. WOLSON: Okay. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I 42409 5 also indicated to you that given the manner in which 6 this has evolved, with the assistance of the fifth 7 estate and others, that I ought to have accepted the 8 good advice from Luc Lavoie earlier and simply had a 9 press conference, or what have you, and let it all out 10 as opposed to this situation which, as you know, sir --11 12 and this is very important for us to remember. 42410 Driven by Mr. Schreiber's intense 13 desire to avoid extradition, he produced that false 14 affidavit in November of 2007 in which he named 15 16 Mr. Harper and myself. That affidavit has been shredded in 17 42411 its credibility. There is none left. But it was a 18 19 good enough instrument to prolong his stay by two years almost in Canada. 20 42412 MR. WOLSON: All right, but --21 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 42413 2.2 So 23 that's why. 24 42414 MR. WOLSON: But the point to be made is this: Because you felt it was a private 25

## **STENOTRAN**

1 arrangement, you kept your silence on it. 2 42415 And you agree with that statement. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 3 42416 Т 4 kept my silence in the sense, yes, that there was no obligation on me to call a press conference and tell 5 6 people what I had done in the private sector. 42417 MR. WOLSON: Mr. Lavoie, as you have 7 said, had urged you to come forward and in hindsight it 8 was probably good advice. But again hindsight is 9 perfect vision. 10 You didn't come forward when he had 11 42418 12 suggested to you that you should. That's true? 42419 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 13 sir, but he also told you in the second part of his 14 commentary that he can readily understand why I didn't, 15 because he knew the manner in which this would be 16 distorted and used against me and my family again in 17 the light of the Airbus experience. 18 19 42420 He told you two things, and I agree with both of them. 20 42421 MR. WOLSON: All right. 21 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: But I 42422 2.2 23 will acknowledge I should have followed the first. 24 42423 MR. WOLSON: Okay. I appreciate 25 that.

4475

1 42424 In 2003 when Mr. Kaplan's article 2 became public --THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 42425 3 4 42426 MR. WOLSON: -- November 10, 2003 where he made a statement about you receiving monies 5 6 from Mr. Schreiber, you could have then held a news conference. 7 42427 But I am assuming that you are 8 9 talking about what Mr. Lavoie told you, and you simply didn't accept the advice for reasons you have 10 11 articulated already. You could have come forward earlier. 12 42428 42429 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Why 13 would I hold a news conference in 2003, sir? 14 42430 Mr. Kaplan had told you the entire 15 story, essentially what we know today. 16 17 42431 MR. WOLSON: But you could have come forward to say, look at -- you could have had a news 18 19 conference and said this is a private arrangement with Mr. Schreiber. These were the terms of the 20 arrangement. This is what I have done regarding the 21 22 arrangement. 23 42432 You could have done that if you were so inclined. Would you agree with that statement? 24 I know you didn't, but you could 25 42433

1 have.

2 42434 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: But I 3 did. 4 42435 MR. WOLSON: Did you have a news conference? 5 6 42436 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: No, but I confirmed those facts to Mr. Kaplan essentially. 7 That's how he was able to write about them on the 10th 8

9 of November.

I acknowledged that I had a 10 42437 11 transaction with Mr. Schreiber; that I had been paid in 12 cash; that the amount was less -- for less than \$300,000; that it was for a contract for professional 13 services to be rendered; that the contract was above 14 board in all respects, as confirmed that day by 15 16 Mr. Schreiber; and that not a nickel of public funds was involved in any way. 17

1842438That came out on the 10th November192003 and that is essentially what we know today about20this amount.

21 42439 MR. WOLSON: Okay. When you gave 22 your speech at St. FX where you stated that you would 23 be before a Royal Commission with bells on, I have done 24 nothing wrong and I have absolutely nothing to hide, 25 you admit today that what you have done wrong was you

4476

1 failed to document a cash transaction, which you admitted at the beginning of your testimony. 2 You admit that. 42440 3 4 42441 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes, 5 I acknowledge that the circumstances surrounding the 6 transaction in a significantly undocumented manner could give rise to a situation where reasonable people 7 might conclude that something was amiss. 8 9 42442 Nothing was amiss, as I think we have established, but I acknowledge readily that that was 10 11 unwise. 12 42443 MR. WOLSON: Are you suggesting that prior to this Inquiry that you made a statement, a 13 formal statement admitting that you and Schreiber had a 14 business deal and that that business deal was a private 15 business deal, and that he paid you \$225,000, and that 16 you did work for Mr. Schreiber on an international 17 basis? 18 19 42444 And the work you did was, as you have indicated in your evidence, you attended to China, to 20 Russia, to France, to the United States. 21 42445 You never did that. 22 23 42446 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: No, I have told you what I did. I confirmed to Mr. Kaplan 24 the essence of this story that was published on 25

1 November 10th.

2 42447 The eight column headline that day, in case you happened to miss it, sir --3 4 42448 MR. WOLSON: Oh, I have it. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 42449 5 42450 6 MR. WOLSON: We can spend some time if you would like to go over it. 7 42451 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: It 8 9 said "Schreiber hired Mulroney". 42452 MR. WOLSON: Yes. 10 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: 11 42453 That 12 was it. And the story told the facts that I have enunciated. 13 Most of those, sir, were confirmed by 42454 14 me. So I felt that the matter, to the extent the 15 public was entitled to know things, I thought a lot of 16 it was there and I felt that I had done what I ought to 17 do in those circumstances. 18 19 42455 MR. WOLSON: So it was your position -- and you will find the article. It is Tab 20 23 of the Kaplan materials. 21 I can go through it line and verse 42456 22 23 with you. 24 42457 You would agree with me that you did not come out and make a formal statement such as the 25

## **STENOTRAN**

1 ones that you have made at this Inquiry. 2 42458 You didn't do that. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Well 3 42459 4 no, of course not. 42460 5 MR. WOLSON: Okay. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: I 6 42461 didn't have to. Here I received a polite invitation 7 from the Commissioner and so I appeared, and of course 8 I am under oath. 9 42462 Mr. Kaplan is not the Commissioner. 10 11 Mr. Kaplan was a journalist writing a story. MR. WOLSON: No, I'm not talking --12 42463 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: You 42464 13 people are authorized --14 42465 MR. WOLSON: Yes. 15 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: -- to 42466 16 17 do what you're doing. 42467 MR. WOLSON: I'm not talking about 18 19 speaking to Kaplan. THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 20 42468 42469 MR. WOLSON: I'm talking about having 21 a formal news conference and stating what you have 22 23 stated here today. 24 42470 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes. 25 42471 MR. WOLSON: And if you had, we may

1 not be here today.

242472THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Yes.342473MR. WOLSON: But you chose not to do4that.

5 42474 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: No, I
6 wouldn't -- you know, I understand what you are saying,
7 sir, but I'm not so sure of that.

8 42475 There are some people in the media --9 and you know who I'm talking about -- who are hell-bent 10 on making certain that after 21 years of inquiries and 11 millions of dollars being spent in pursuit of me and my 12 family, that some significant degree of wrongdoing 13 exists.

14 42476 None has been found and none will be 15 found, sir, because, as I told you, I have never in my 16 life knowingly done anything wrong. There is nothing 17 there.

18 42477 MR. WOLSON: Mr. Mulroney, I am ahead 19 of schedule and I have asked you the questions that I 20 want to ask you, save for the area that I raised with 21 your counsel.

42478 I appreciate you answering my
 questions. I appreciate that and I wish you well.
 42479 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Thank
 you. I appreciate your courtesy, sir, and that of the

## STENOTRAN

4481

Commissioner, and all other members, and I thank you 1 2 very much. 42480 MR. WOLSON: Thank you. 3 4 42481 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Those are your questions then, are they, Mr. Wolson? 5 6 42482 MR. WOLSON: They are, thank you, sir. 7 42483 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: All right. 8 9 Thank you. The time is 5:10. I said around noon 42484 10 11 today that I wasn't going to put any counsel into a 12 position of having to hurry to complete 13 cross-examination today. 42485 But before I get into that, I would 14 like to ask counsel for an indication as to where they 15 are going, if anywhere, in terms of asking Mr. Mulroney 16 questions by way of cross-examination. 17 42486 Mr. Vickery, can you indicate to me 18 19 what your intentions are, sir? MR. VICKERY: At this point I don't 20 42487 expect to be asking questions. That may change, 21 however. 22 23 42488 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: It may change. 24 25 42489 MR. VICKERY: Yes.

1 42490 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: What, 2 overnight? MR. VICKERY: My instructions may 3 42491 4 change, yes. 42492 As instructed at this moment, I will 5 6 not be asking questions. 42493 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: All right. 7 So you don't know whether you are going to be asking 8 9 questions or not? 42494 MR. VICKERY: As matters now stand, I 10 will not be asking questions, sir. 11 12 42495 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: You don't know whether you are going to be asking questions or 13 not. 14 42496 MR. VICKERY: That's right. 15 16 42497 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Okay. Mr. Houston...? 17 42498 42499 MR. HOUSTON: I have no questions. 18 19 Thank you, sir. COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: All right. 20 42500 42501 Mr. Auger, I assume -- and I should 21 22 never assume anything -- that you will have some questions. How long you expect to be, sir? And I know 23 24 that is hard to estimate. 25 42502 MR. AUGER: My best estimate,

## **STENOTRAN**

4483

Commissioner, is in the range of two hours. 1 2 42503 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: So barring 3 questions by other counsel, you should be able to complete your work by noon tomorrow? 4 MR. AUGER: I'm confident I will 5 42504 6 complete by noon. 42505 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: All right. 7 42506 8 Well, Mr. Mulroney, I'm going to have 9 to ask you to come back. I'm sorry, but that's just the way it goes. 10 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Sure. 11 42507 12 42508 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: We will break now and come back at 9:30. 13 Mr. Vickery, if you can get further 42509 14 instructions over the course of the evening, I would 15 16 appreciate it very much and you can let me know off the hop tomorrow whether you intend to cross-examine or 17 not. Okay? 18 19 42510 MR. VICKERY: Yes, of course. I will let you know as soon as I possibly can. 20 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Thank you 21 42511 very much. 22 23 42512 Good afternoon. I will see everyone at 9:30 tomorrow morning. 24 THE RIGHT HON. BRIAN MULRONEY: Thank 25 42513

you, sir. --- Whereupon the hearing adjourned at 5:13 p.m, to resume on Wednesday, May 20, 2009 at 9:30 a.m. / L'audience est ajournée à 17 h 13, pour reprendre le mercredi 20 mai 2009 à 09 h 30 We hereby certify that we have accurately transcribed the foregoing to the best of our skills and abilities. Nous certifions que ce qui précède est une transcription exacte et précise au meilleur de nos connaissances et de nos compétences. Jean Desaulniers Lynda Johansson Fiona Potvin Sue Villeneuve Monique Mahoney