Commission of Inquiry into Certain Allegations Respecting Business and Financial Dealings Between Karlheinz Schreiber and the Right Honourable Brian Mulroney



Commission d=enquête concernant les allégations au sujet des transactions financières et commerciales entre Karlheinz Schreiber et le très honorable Brian Mulroney

Public Hearing

Audience publique

Commissioner

L=Honorable juge /
The Honourable Justice
Jeffrey James Oliphant

Commissaire

Held at: Tenue à :

Bytown Pavillion Victoria Hall 111 Sussex Drive Ottawa, Ontario

Thursday, April 23, 2009

pavillion Bytown salle Victoria 111, promenade Sussex Ottawa (Ontario)

le jeudi 23 avril 2009

APPEARANCES / COMPARUTIONS

Mr. Guy Pratte The Right Honourable Brian Mulroney

Me François Grondin

Mr. Harvey W. Yaronsky, Q.C.

Mr. Jack Hughes

Mr. A. Samuel Wakim, Q.C.

Ms Kate Glover

Mr. Richard Auger Mr. Karlheinz Schreiber

Mr. Paul B. Vickery Attorney General of Canada

Mr. Yannick Landry Me Philippe Lacasse

Mr. Robert E. Houston, Q.C. Mr. Fred Doucet

Mr. Richard Wolson Counsel for the Commission

Mr. Evan Roitenberg Ms Nancy Brooks Mr. Guiseppe Battista

Mr. Guiseppe Battista Mr. Martin Lapner

Ms Sarah Wolson

Ms Amy Joslin-Besner

Ms Anne Chalmers Registrar

Ms Mary O'Farrell Commission Staff

Ms Gail Godbout

TABLE OF CONTENTS / TABLE DES MATIÈRES

	PAGE
Hearing commences at 9:50 a.m. / L'audience débute à 9 h 50	1753
Affirmed: William Kaplan Déclaration solennelle : William Kaplan	1754
Examination by Mr. Wolson / interrogatoire par Me Wolson	1755
Recess taken at 10:55 a.m. / Suspension à 10 h 55 Hearing resumes at 11:10 a.m. / Reprise à 11 h 10	1811
Recess taken at 12:05 p.m. / Suspension à 12 h 05 Hearing resumes at 2:00 p.m. / Reprise à 14 h 00	1869
Examination by Mr. Pratte / interrogatoire par Me Pratte Examination by Mr. Auger / interrogatoire par Me Auger Examination by Mr. Vickery / interrogatoire par Me Vickery	1875 1953 1957
Recess taken at 3:30 p.m. / Suspension à 15 h 30 Hearing resumes at 3:52 p.m. / Reprise à 15 h 52	1961
Affirmed: Paul Terrien Déclaration solennelle : Paul Terrien	1961
interrogatoire par Me Battista / Examination by Mr. Battista Examination by Mr. Auger / interrogatoire par Me Auger	1962 1990
Hearing adjourns at 4:26 a.m. / L'audience est ajournée à 16 h 26	2000

EXHIBITS / PIÈCES JUSTIFICATIVES

No.	Description	PAGE
P-25	Binder entitled "Documents in support of Mr. William Kaplan's testimony"	1758
E	Summary of notes of interview of William Kaplan, conducted on March 3, 2009 by Mr. Wolson and Ms Brooks	1759
P-26	Statement of Claim, dated November 20, 1995, with unofficial translation, filed in Superior Court of Quebec	1872
P-27	Article from Globe and Mail, edition November 20, 1995	1872
P-26A	Statement of Claim filed in Superior Court of Quebec, dated November 20, 1995	1873
P-28	Pièce au soutien du témoignage de monsieur Paul Terrien	1961

1	Ottawa, Ontario / Ottawa (Ontari	-0)
2	Upon commencing on Thursday, April 23, 2009	
3	at 9:50 a.m. / L'audience débute le jeudi	
4	23 avril 2009 à 9 h 50	
5	18198 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Good mornin	g,
6	counsel. Be seated, please.	
7	18199 Before you commence, Mr. Wolson, I	
8	apologize to those here for the delay in commencing	
9	this morning. Apparently we have a problem again wit	:h
10	Mr. Brisson, the registrar, who did not appear and	
11	hasn't called. I am a little concerned about what is	3
12	happening in light of the state of his health.	
13	18200 As counsel know, when the start time	е
14	is 9:30, I expect to start at 930 unless there is a	
15	good reason for not doing so.	
16	18201 Before Mr. Kaplan is affirmed, I	
17	would like to provide those gathered here with the	
18	schedule of witnesses for next week.	
19	18202 Monday, the 27th of April it is	
20	anticipated that Fred Doucet will be testifying, as	
21	will be the case on Tuesday, April 28th.	
22	18203 On Wednesday we anticipate hearing	
23	from a former Prime Minister, Kim Campbell, and from	
24	Perrin Beatty.	
25	18204 On Thursday, the 30th of April, it	is

1	expected that the	inquiry will be calling Lowell Murray
2	and Norman Spector	to testify.
3	18205	As is the case, Fridays we will not
4	be sitting unless	there is a reason why we have to sit.
5	18206	So that is the witness list for next
6	week.	
7	18207	Mr. Kaplan, I understand, sir, that
8	you wish to be aff	Firmed?
9	18208	MR. KAPLAN: Yes.
10	18209	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Could I just
11	ask you to stand,	please, Mr. Kaplan.
12	AFFIRMED: WILLIAM	KAPLAN
13	DÉCLARATION SOLENN	WILLIAM KAPLAN
14	18210	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Thank you
15	very much.	
16	18211	Yes, Mr. Yarosky?
17	18212	MR. YAROSKY: Mr. Commissioner,
18	before you begin m	may I just and I have spoken to
19	Mr. Wolson.	
20	18213	I believe I speak for all of us and
21	probably all couns	sel in the room. I just want to
22	mention how deligh	nted we all are to have learned that
23	the release of Mr.	Robert Fowler and Louis Guay.
24	18214	Since Fowler is a name that has been
25	mentioned here thr	coughout, I just thought it

1	appropriate to express this sentiment.
2	18215 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Well,
3	certainly. I would join with you in the expression of
4	that sentiment, as I am sure do all Canadians.
5	18216 Thank you very much, Mr. Yarosky.
6	18217 Mr. Wolson?
7	EXAMINATION: WILLIAM KAPLAN BY MR. WOLSON /
8	INTERROGATOIRE : WILLIAM KAPLAN PAR Me WOLSON
9	18218 MR. WOLSON: Good morning,
10	Mr. Commissioner.
11	18219 Good morning, Mr. Kaplan. Thank you
12	for being here today.
13	18220 You are a lawyer.
14	18221 MR. KAPLAN: That's correct.
15	18222 MR. WOLSON: And you are a Member of
16	the Law Society of Upper Canada?
17	18223 MR. KAPLAN: Correct.
18	18224 MR. WOLSON: You were called to the
19	Bar in 19 was it '85?
20	18225 MR. KAPLAN: That's correct.
21	18226 MR. WOLSON: And you practise law in
22	the Province of Ontario?
23	18227 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
24	18228 MR. WOLSON: And you practise in the
25	area of labour arbitration, employment and mediation?

1	18229	MR. KAPLAN:	That's correct.
2	18230	MR. WOLSON:	You are an historian
3	with a Masters d	egree in histo	ory?
4	18231	MR. KAPLAN:	Yes.
5	18232	MR. WOLSON:	And you have a law
6	doctorate in leg	al history?	
7	18233	MR. KAPLAN:	That's correct.
8	18234	MR. WOLSON:	You are the author of a
9	number of books,	but two in pa	articular in part
10	regarding Mr. Mu	lroney?	
11	18235	MR. KAPLAN:	Yes, sir.
12	18236	MR. WOLSON:	The first book, a book
13	of 1998		
14	18237	MR. KAPLAN:	That's right.
15	18238	MR. WOLSON:	called "Presumed
16	Guilty"		
17	18239	MR. KAPLAN:	That's right.
18	18240	MR. WOLSON:	"The Airbus Affair"?
19	18241	Yes, sir.	
20	18242	MR. WOLSON:	And a second book, the
21	book that I am m	ost interested	d here this morning, a
22	book entitled "T	he Secret Tria	al: Brian Mulroney and the
23	Public Trust".		
24	18243	MR. KAPLAN:	That's right.
25	18244	MR. WOLSON:	In the first book,

despite stories by other journalists, you defended 1 2 Mr. Mulroney and found him to be innocent of 3 allegations contained in the Letter of Request? 18245 MR. KAPLAN: That's correct. 5 18246 MR. WOLSON: In the Forward of the second book "A Secret Trial", it indicates that you won the cooperation of the former Prime Minister, 8 Mr. Mulroney. 18247 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir. 10 18248 MR. WOLSON: And you had, it says, 11 unprecedented and unlimited access to his files. 12 18249 MR. KAPLAN: That's right. 13 18250 MR. WOLSON: I take it you spent a lot of time talking to Mr. Mulroney? 14 18251 MR. KAPLAN: That's correct. 15 16 18252 MR. WOLSON: In preparation for the second book, "A Secret Trial", I understand that you 17 18 interviewed Mr. Mulroney on a number of occasions. 19 18253 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir. 20 18254 MR. WOLSON: You also relied on some of the earlier interviews of him? 21 22 18255 MR. KAPLAN: That's correct. MR. WOLSON: You interviewed 23 18256 Mr. Schreiber on a number of occasions? 24 25 18257 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.

1	18258 MR. WOLSON: As well as Luc Lavoie?
2	18259 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
3	18260 MR. WOLSON: You also talked to a
4	Mr. MacAdam?
5	18261 MR. KAPLAN: That's right.
6	18262 MR. WOLSON: The interviews that you
7	conducted, the ones that I have just talked about, you
8	would make notes of those interviews?
9	18263 MR. KAPLAN: That's correct.
10	18264 MR. WOLSON: The notes are here
11	today.
12	18265 This would be a convenient time to
13	file as the next exhibit the documents in support of
14	Mr. Kaplan's testimony. They can be filed I believe
15	with the consent of all parties, and I would like them
16	to be the next exhibit, please.
17	18266 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: All right,
18	then. By consent, the notes made by Mr. Kaplan will be
19	received and marked as Exhibit P-25.
20	EXHIBIT NO. P-25: Binder
21	entitled "Documents in support
22	of Mr. William Kaplan's
23	testimony"
24	18267 MR. WOLSON: I would also like to
25	file, as an exhibit for identification only, a summary

1	of the gist of the interview that I conducted with
2	Ms Brooks, my colleague, with Mr. Kaplan on 3 March
3	2009 in Toronto.
4	18268 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: What is the
5	exhibit for identification, please? It will be a
6	letter.
7	18269 Exhibit "E" for identification, then,
8	will be the summary of the interview.
9	EXHIBIT "E" For Identification:
10	Summary of notes of interview of
11	William Kaplan, conducted on
12	March 3, 2009 by Mr. Wolson and
13	Ms Brooks
14	18270 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Perhaps just
15	before you commence, I should acknowledge the presence
16	of Peter Jacobsen, who is counsel
17	18271 MR. WOLSON: I was just going to do
18	that.
19	18272 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: I know
20	Mr. Jacobsen from work that I did on the Judicial
21	Council on Media in the Courts. Welcome, Mr. Jacobsen.
22	18273 MR. JACOBSEN: Thank you.
23	18274 MR. WOLSON: You took the words out
24	of my mouth.
25	18275 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Sorry.

1	18276 MR. WOLSON: I was going to then ask
2	you about your notes, that now are the next exhibit in
3	the cause in this proceeding.
4	18277 These are notes that you made after
5	the various interviews of parties?
6	18278 MR. KAPLAN: In some cases they were
7	made during the interviews, in some cases afterwards.
8	18279 MR. WOLSON: And I understand that if
9	they were made afterwards, you would either dictate
10	them and your assistant would type them that was one
11	form.
12	18280 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
13	18281 MR. WOLSON: Or that you would type
14	them yourself?
15	18282 MR. KAPLAN: I would do that as well.
16	On one or two occasions I would leave my assistant a
17	voicemail message with the interview and she would type
18	it from the message, if I didn't have my Dictaphone
19	available.
20	18283 MR. WOLSON: And I want to ask you
21	about accuracy.
22	18284 What can you tell Commissioner
23	Oliphant about the accuracy of your notes?
24	18285 MR. KAPLAN: Well, I believe them to
25	be generally accurate.

1	18286 MR. WOLSON: You took interest in
2	ensuring that they were accurate?
3	18287 MR. KAPLAN: I was aware of the
4	importance of the matters that I was investigating to
5	everybody. I was also aware of the possibility of
6	subsequent litigation, and I made my best efforts to
7	ensure the notes were accurate.
8	18288 MR. WOLSON: In your interview that
9	we had of you, which is reduced to Exhibit "E" for
10	identification which you have seen, in the third
11	paragraph on the first page I would just like you to
12	confirm this you state that:
13	" the notes contained in the
14	Brief are an accurate account of
15	his conversations with Brian
16	Mulroney."
17	18289 MR. KAPLAN: I'm sorry, sir, where is
18	that?
19	18290 MR. WOLSON: It's Exhibit "E". I
20	wonder if
21	18291 MR. KAPLAN: I don't believe I have
22	that, sir.
23	18292 MR. WOLSON: All right. Let me read
24	this to you then and you can ensure that I'm reading is
25	to you accurately:

1	Mr. Kaplan stated that:
2	" the notes contained in the
3	Brief are an accurate account of
4	his conversations with Brian
5	Mulroney."
6	18293 That's a true statement?
7	18294 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
8	18295 MR. WOLSON: And I would add,
9	although it is not in your summary, you would say the
10	same about your conversations with Mr. Schreiber and
11	with Mr. Lavoie?
12	18296 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
13	18297 MR. WOLSON: You say, and I will read
14	this to you again, that you were:
15	" sensitive to the importance
16	of having his notes reflect the
17	discussions accurately."
18	18298 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
19	18299 MR. WOLSON: I am interested in,
20	then, Tab 1 of the exhibit.
21	18300 This was an interview that you had
22	with Mr. Mulroney, I am advised, December 2, 1997.
23	18301 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
24	18302 MR. WOLSON: At that time you were
25	not contemplating writing a second book about

1	Mr. Mulroney?	
2	18303	MR. KAPLAN: I was working on my
3	first book.	
4	18304	MR. WOLSON: So these are notes of an
5	interview that you	u had with Mr. Mulroney in preparation
6	for the first book	k that you wrote, "Presumed Guilty"?
7	18305	MR. KAPLAN: That's correct.
8	18306	MR. WOLSON: The interview generally
9	was about Mr. Muli	roney becoming aware of the letter of
10	request?	
11	18307	MR. KAPLAN: Well, that is how the
12	interview started	off.
13	18308	MR. WOLSON: Yes.
14	18309	MR. KAPLAN: It was a wide-ranging
15	interview extendir	ng over many hours.
16	18310	MR. WOLSON: All right. And this was
17	an interview at h	is house?
18	18311	MR. KAPLAN: That's correct.
19	18312	MR. WOLSON: I take it, before we go
20	much further, you	had you liked the man?
21	18313	MR. KAPLAN: Absolutely.
22	18314	MR. WOLSON: You had met him on many
23	occasions in prepa	aration for your first book?
24	18315	MR. KAPLAN: I spoke to him more
25	often than actual	ly met him.

1	1 18316 MR. WOLSON: And	you found him to be
2	a very engaging and very decent ma	an?
3	3 18317 MR. KAPLAN: I f	ound him likable,
4	courteous, intelligent, friendly.	
5	5 18318 MR. WOLSON: And	you had with him a
6	very good rapport?	
7	7 18319 MR. KAPLAN: I t	hink so.
8	8 18320 MR. WOLSON: Yes	
9	9 18321 MR. KAPLAN: In	this first interview
10	he talks briefly about Mr. Schreik	per.
11	1 18322 MR. KAPLAN: Yes	, sir.
12	2 18323 MR. WOLSON: You	will see that at the
13	first page of the interview in the	e second line.
14	4 18324 MR. KAPLAN: Yes	, sir.
15	5 18325 MR. WOLSON: He	says:
16	6 "I knew Sch	reiber in a
17	7 peripheral	way."
18	8 18326 MR. KAPLAN: Cor	rect.
19	9 18327 MR. WOLSON:	
20	The was ass	ociated in my mind
21	1 with the Al	berta Progressive
22	2 Conservativ	es. That was the
23	3 limited ext	ent to which I knew
24	anything ab	out him, I knew who
25	he was, and	that he'd been

1	involved in Bear Head."
2	18328 MR. KAPLAN: Correct.
3	18329 MR. WOLSON: At that time that
4	comment I take it, to you, seemed like an insignificant
5	comment.
6	18330 MR. KAPLAN: Well, at that time I was
7	satisfied, based on the research I had done up until
8	that point, that that comment was factually accurate.
9	18331 MR. WOLSON: You had been aware, this
10	being 1997, that Mr. Mulroney had sued the Canadian
11	government?
12	18332 MR. KAPLAN: That's correct.
13	18333 MR. WOLSON: He had been the subject
14	of an examination for discovery?
15	18334 MR. KAPLAN: That's correct.
16	18335 MR. WOLSON: Or the equivalent of
17	that in the Province of Québec.
18	18336 And you had, I am advised, read the
19	transcript of the discovery?
20	18337 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
21	18338 MR. WOLSON: Without going into the
22	discovery in detail, you found the answer that he gave
23	you or the statement that he gave you on December 2,
24	'97, which was:
25	"I knew Schreiber in a

1	peripheral way."
2	18339 You found that to be consistent with
3	what he had told the examiner at the discovery?
4	18340 MR. WOLSON: Yes, sir. But there was
5	more to it than that.
6	18341 MR. WOLSON: I'm going to go there in
7	a short while, because I am going to ask you some
8	questions shortly about discussions you had with
9	Mr. Mulroney and questions that you didn't ask for a
10	particular reason.
11	18342 But would I be correct in stating
12	that in 1997 when he told you that he knew
13	Mr. Schreiber in a peripheral way, that was consistent
14	with what you had read at the discovery?
15	18343 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir. And I believe
16	either later in this interview or in another interview
17	Mr. Mulroney advised me that he had cancelled the Bear
18	Head Project and it hadn't proceeded.
19	18344 MR. WOLSON: All right.
20	18345 MR. KAPLAN: That was another
21	consistent fact.
22	18346 MR. WOLSON: Okay. At page 4 of the
23	interview, just about four pages in they are not
24	numbered but it starts with:
25	"Schreiber was involved in Bear

1	Head."	
2	18347 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.	
3	18348 MR. WOLSON: Do you have that?	
4	18349 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.	
5	18350 MR. WOLSON:	
6	"I knew that because I had	
7	correspondence with him and	I
8	met him. I knew that he was	;
9	trying to do the deal. But	I
10	have no knowledge that he wa	S
11	involved in any way with	
12	Airbus."	
13	That's what it says.	
14	18352 MR. KAPLAN: Correct.	
15	18353 MR. WOLSON: All right.	
16	18354 Your understanding of the discovery	ry
17	was that Mr. Mulroney had said to the questioner t	nat
18	he didn't have much of a relationship with	
19	Mr. Schreiber?	
20	18355 MR. KAPLAN: That was actually no	,t
21	just my understanding, it was a fact. He testifie	£
22	that he knew him, met him for coffee once or twice	but
23	had never had had any dealings with him.	
24	18356 MR. WOLSON: All right. Never ha	.d
25	had any dealings with him?	

1	18357 MR. KAPLAN: Right.
2	18358 MR. WOLSON: So that when he told you
3	in 1997, December 2, that he knew him in a peripheral
4	way, all that was consistent
5	18359 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
6	18360 MR. WOLSON: in your mind with
7	what you knew from the discovery and whatever else that
8	you knew?
9	18361 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
10	18362 MR. WOLSON: And at that time, then,
11	that statement was just a fact that didn't cause you
12	any consternation at all?
13	18363 MR. KAPLAN: None whatsoever and we
14	spent very little time on that afterwards.
15	18364 MR. WOLSON: All right.
16	18365 Before I go on with other interviews
17	that you had with Mr. Mulroney, I want to refer you to
18	Tab 17, if you will, please.
19	18366 Tab 17 is a conversation you had with
20	Philip Mathias.
21	18367 MR. KAPLAN: Correct.
22	18368 MR. WOLSON: Who is Philip Mathias?
23	18369 MR. KAPLAN: He was I'm not sure
24	if he was still a reporter with the National Post on
25	March 15, 2001, but he was a journalist and reported

1	for the National Post up until around that time.
2	18370 MR. WOLSON: All right. And
3	apparently, according to your interview, it starts off
4	with there was a:
5	"General discussion re last
6	night's fifth estate story."
7	18371 MR. KAPLAN: Right.
8	18372 MR. WOLSON: This is 15th of March of
9	2001 and I can tell you that there was a Fifth Estate
10	story on the 14th of March 2001, largely about MBB, the
11	helicopter sales.
12	18373 MR. KAPLAN: Okay.
13	18374 MR. WOLSON: You then had a
14	discussion about information that Mr. Mathias had
15	learned from some source.
16	18375 MR. KAPLAN: That's correct.
17	18376 MR. WOLSON: Generally speaking he
18	told you that Mr. Schreiber had given Mr. Mulroney some
19	money.
20	18377 MR. KAPLAN: That's right.
21	18378 MR. WOLSON: You talked to him about
22	the examination for discovery of Mr. Mulroney and the
23	answers that he gave at that discovery.
24	18379 MR. KAPLAN: Correct.
25	18380 MR. WOLSON: And I am assuming that

you talked about Mr. Mulroney saying that he had had no 1 2 dealings with Mr. Schreiber? 18381 3 MR. KAPLAN: I cannot recall the exact details of our conversation. 4 18382 MR. WOLSON: All right. You do note 5 in your notes, about six lines down, that you talked to 6 Mulroney about the examination for discovery "... and 7 8 said that he had no business relationship with schreiber..." 9 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir. 10 18383 11 18384 MR. WOLSON: So you did talk about 12 the answer that he had given at his discovery? 13 18385 MR. KAPLAN: I just can't remember if we spoke about the specific answer I had had -- I never 14 had had -- I'm sorry, I'm getting a bit confused 15 here -- I had had -- what is the line? 16 17 18386 I never had had any dealings with 18 Mr. Schreiber. 19 18387 MR. WOLSON: Okay. 20 18388 MR. KAPLAN: I don't know if we referenced the exact sentence. 21 22 18389 MR. WOLSON: All right. 23 18390 MR. KAPLAN: We certainly discussed the evidence that he gave at his discovery about his 24 25 relationship with Mr. Schreiber.

1	18391 MR. WOLSON: And what you have noted
2	down here, about six lines in, is about Mulroney
3	testifying at the discovery.
4	18392 MR. KAPLAN: That's correct.
5	18393 MR. WOLSON: All right. You learned
6	that Mr. Mathias had written a story about Mr. Mulroney
7	receiving cash from Mr. Schreiber and that it was
8	not it wasn't printed by the Post paper that he was
9	working for.
10	18394 MR. KAPLAN: That's correct.
11	18395 MR. WOLSON: I am assuming that after
12	you have had that discussion with him, you started some
13	of your own investigations at some point to find out
14	more about this?
15	18396 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
16	18397 MR. WOLSON: And in that regard you
17	talked and I'm going to refer you to the tab. You
18	talked to a Mr. Luc Lavoie.
19	18398 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
20	18399 MR. WOLSON: Tab 15, if you will,
21	please, if you could turn it up.
22	18400 You talked to Mr. Lavoie on the 4th
23	of January of 2002.
24	18401 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
25	18402 MR. WOLSON: Now, after you have had

1	this conversation with Mr. Mathias about Mr. Schreiber
2	paying Mr. Mulroney some money, I take it at first you
3	were sceptical of that?
4	18403 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
5	18404 MR. WOLSON: You had, after all,
6	written a book defending Mr. Mulroney.
7	18405 MR. KAPLAN: That's correct.
8	18406 MR. WOLSON: So when you heard of the
9	allegation that he had received money from
10	Mr. Schreiber, that was contrary to your belief.
11	18407 MR. KAPLAN: I was quite surprised.
12	18408 MR. WOLSON: And you, as I have
13	indicated, started speaking to people and doing your
14	own investigation.
15	18409 MR. KAPLAN: That's
16	18410 MR. WOLSON: Doing your own due
17	diligence.
18	18411 MR. KAPLAN: That's correct, sir.
19	18412 MR. WOLSON: You spoke to Mr. Lavoie
20	on the 4th of January of 2002 and you question
21	Mr. Lavoie about what you have heard?
22	18413 MR. KAPLAN: That's correct.
23	18414 MR. WOLSON: You questioned him about
24	Mr. Mulroney receiving money from Mr. Schreiber?
25	18415 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.

1	18416 MR. WOLSON: He told you, Mr. Lavoie
2	did and who is Mr. Lavoie, to your knowledge?
3	18417 MR. KAPLAN: Well, Mr. Lavoie was
4	Mr. Mulroney's spokesperson during the litigation in
5	1995, 1996 and 1997.
6	18418 MR. WOLSON: Yes?
7	18419 MR. KAPLAN: He was a long-standing
8	associate of Mr. Mulroney and served in that capacity
9	when Mr. Mulroney was prime minister and worked with
10	Mr. Mulroney at Québecor.
11	18420 MR. WOLSON: All right. You note the
12	following:
13	"He told me"
14	18421 That is Lavoie told you:
15	" that he did not think that
16	I ever asked Mulroney directly
17	the question as to whether he
18	received any money from Karl
19	Heinz Schreiber."
20	18422 MR. KAPLAN: That's what he told me.
21	18423 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: I'm sorry,
22	where is that?
23	18424 MR. WOLSON: It is the first line of
24	Tab 15.
25	"He suggested that I check my

1	notes on that."	
2	18425 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.	
3	18426 MR. WOLSON: Had you, to your	
4	knowledge, asked Mr. Mulroney if he had received money	
5	from Mr. Schreiber in your preparations for the first	
6	book?	
7	18427 MR. KAPLAN: No, sir.	
8	18428 MR. WOLSON: Why not?	
9	18429 MR. KAPLAN: You know, Mr. Wolson,	
10	that is something I have thought about for a long time	
11	and there is a reason, and it's a bit of a complicated	
12	reason so I hope you will bear with me for a moment.	
13	18430 MR. WOLSON: Please?	
14	18431 MR. KAPLAN: The Letter of Request,	
15	which was sent to Switzerland in 1995, accused Mr.	
16	Mulroney, Mr. Schreiber and Mr. Moores of having	
17	engaged in a criminal conspiracy designed to defraud	
18	the people of Canada of millions of dollars.	
19	18432 It particularized that conspiracy by	
20	referring to three separate transactions, two of which	
21	are not within the mandate, I understand, of this	
22	Commission, but one was, Bear Head.	
23	18433 The Letter of Request stated	
24	unequivocally that Mr. Mulroney had the letter cite	d
25	a secret informant received payments with respect t	0

1	the Bear Head translation.
2	18434 That is what the Letter of Request
3	said. That was the Letter of Request that Mr. Mulrone
4	responded to by suing the people of Canada for \$50
5	million.
6	18435 If you look at his Statement of Claim
7	in that transaction and I have brought a copy here
8	to share with you, if you haven't already introduced
9	it. In the Statement of Claim, Mr. Mulroney says that
10	he never received any of the alleged payments,
11	including the Bear Head payments and I am quoting
12	from Mr. Mulroney "in any form, from any person".
13	18436 MR. WOLSON: And you are quoting from
14	his Statement of Claim?
15	18437 MR. KAPLAN: That's right, the one in
16	which he was suing the people of Canada for \$50
17	million.
18	18438 MR. WOLSON: And where are you
19	reading from in the Statement of Claim?
20	18439 MR. KAPLAN: I am reading from page 5
21	of the translation that Mr. Mulroney provided when he
22	launched the civil suit.
23	18440 So there was that reason. Mr.
24	Mulroney said in his Statement of Claim with respect t
25	the Bear Head transaction that he had never received a

1	dime from "no one", as they say. But it wasn't just
2	that, Mr. Wolson. Around the same time as Mr. Mulroney
3	filed the Statement of Claim saying that he had never
4	received any money from anyone, Mr. Yarosky, who is Mr.
5	Mulroney's criminal lawyer, and who is sitting here
6	today, told the Globe and Mail on November 18th, 1995,
7	that Mr. Mulroney never received a cent from anyone.
8	So we have the Statement of Claim in
9	which he says that he never received a cent from
10	anyone, and we have Mr. Yarosky, his criminal lawyer,
11	saying that he never received a cent from anyone.
12	18442 At the same time, Mr. Wakim, another
13	one of his lawyers who is sitting here today, told the
14	CBC and I am quoting
15	18443 MR. WOLSON: Where are you quoting
16	from?
17	18444 MR. KAPLAN: From my book, on page
18	13.
19	18445 MR. WOLSON: "A Secret Trial"?
20	18446 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
21	"Mulroney never met this
22	Schreiber guy. Mulroney doesn't
23	even know Schreiber. Moores may
24	have brought Schreiber to a
25	party, but that's all."

1	18447	That's what Mr. Wakim, Mr. Mulroney's
2	long-time fri	end and lawyer who is here today, said.
3	18448	Mr. Wakim also told me around this
4	time that Mul	roney didn't even know Schreiber, he was a
5	face in the c	rowd.
6	18449	So there was a Statement of Claim
7	that said he	never got a dime. There was Mr. Yarosky
8	who said he n	ever got a dime. There was Mr. Wakim, who
9	said he didn'	t even know the guy.
10	18450	And then there was his Examination on
11	Discovery in	April 1996, in which he said that he knew
12	him very casu	ally, that he had met him for coffee once
13	or twice, and	that was it.
14	18451	Those were his words, "that was it".
15	18452	And then there was my interview, that
16	you referred	to a moment ago, and the first question I
17	asked Mr. Mul	roney was to tell me about his
18	relationship	with Mr. Schreiber.
19	18453	MR. WOLSON: You are talking about
20	the first tab	, the December 2, `97 interview.
21	18454	MR. KAPLAN: That's right.
22	18455	So Mr. Mulroney told me then, sir,
23	that he knew	him in a peripheral sort of way, and
24	didn't mentio	n any of the other details which
25	subsequently	came to light.

1	So in all of these circumstances, it
2	was inconceivable to me that Mr. Mulroney was at the
3	time, in 1993 and 1994, meeting Mr. Schreiber in motels
4	and taking cash payments from him.
5	18457 MR. WOLSON: All right. I want to go
6	on, then, with your interview with Luc Lavoie on the
7	4th of January 2002, Tab 15.
8	18458 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
9	18459 MR. WOLSON: After Mr. Lavoie and
10	I am looking now at the second and third lines
11	suggested that you check your notes on whether you
12	asked Mr. Mulroney if he had ever received money from
13	Mr. Schreiber and you have now indicated why you
14	didn't do that you say that Mr. Lavoie strongly
15	objected to any suggestion that Mulroney engaged in any
16	improper activity, and pointed that there were nine
17	lawyers obviously, talking about the discovery
18	18460 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
19	18461 MR. WOLSON: and none of them ever
20	asked Mulroney if he received any cash from Schreiber
21	or anybody else.
22	18462 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
23	18463 MR. WOLSON: You say, from Mr.
24	Lavoie, the following:
25	"Moreover, had anyone made that

1	request they would have been
2	answered with the fact that the
3	relationship was privileged."
4	18464 MR. KAPLAN: That's what Mr. Lavoie
5	told me.
6	18465 MR. WOLSON: He said:
7	"Moreover, the figure I used the
8	other day"
9	18466 and you have in brackets that you
10	had discussed this matter earlier in the week with
11	Lavoie the figure that you used the other day,
12	having heard, you say, that you were planning on
13	writing a book a new book, the figure was wrong and
14	that it was much less than \$300,000.
15	18467 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
16	18468 MR. WOLSON: So I am assuming, then,
17	based on that, that you had this conversation earlier
18	than the 4th of January of `02 with Mr. Lavoie, on the
19	telephone
20	18469 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
21	18470 MR. WOLSON: and that you had
22	asked Mr. Lavoie about a \$300,000 payment that Mr.
23	Mulroney allegedly received from Mr. Schreiber.
24	18471 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
25	18472 MR. WOLSON: And he told you, Mr.

1 Lavoie did, that the amount was much less than 2 \$300,000. 3 18473 MR. KAPLAN: That's correct. MR. WOLSON: Did he offer an amount? 18474 18475 5 MR. KAPLAN: I can only confirm what 6 is in my notes. 7 18476 MR. WOLSON: I am assuming, if he had 8 said that the amount was 225 or 250, or whatever amount he would have provided, you would have made a note of that. 10 11 18477 MR. KAPLAN: I would have recorded 12 it. 13 18478 MR. WOLSON: Yes. 14 18479 You then indicate that you asked him, 15 Mr. Lavoie, what the money was used for, and he said that it was used for several services which were 16 provided, including organizing meetings with senior 17 18 international executives, such as Archer Midland, in 19 connection with Schreiber's pasta business. 20 18480 MR. KAPLAN: That's what he told me. 21 18481 MR. WOLSON: And, also, for advising 22 Schreiber on international business transactions. 23 18482 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir. 24 18483 MR. WOLSON: You say, "Essentially, 25 that was it. Anything else Lavoie said was covered by

1	client/attorne	y privilege."
2	18484	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
3	18485	MR. WOLSON: He told you that Mr.
4	Mulroney never	lobbied for Schreiber, and so he never
5	had to registe	r as a lobbyist.
6	18486	MR. KAPLAN: Correct.
7	18487	MR. WOLSON: He also told you, Lavoie
8	did, that Mr.	Schreiber had many other people on
9	retainer, incl	uding Ian Scott, a lawyer
10	18488	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
11	18489	MR. WOLSON: and Alan McEachern
12	and others.	
13	18490	MR. KAPLAN: That's correct.
14	18491	MR. WOLSON: He denied that Mulroney
15	was close to S	chreiber, Lavoie did, and he said as much
16	when he discus	sed Schreiber in connection with the
17	Examination on	Discovery. He truthfully responded that
18	they were not	close.
19	18492	MR. KAPLAN: Correct.
20	18493	I am not saying it's correct that it
21	was truthful,	but it's correct that he said this.
22	18494	MR. WOLSON: "I asked him" that
23	is, you asked	Mr. Lavoie "why Schreiber would pay
24	Mulroney in ca	sh."
25	18495	MR. KAPLAN: Correct.

1	18496 MR. WOLSON: Lavoie's answer was,
2	"You should ask Schreiber that question."
3	18497 MR. KAPLAN: Correct.
4	18498 MR. WOLSON: He said to you that all
5	taxes were paid, and the income was fully reported to
6	Revenue Canada.
7	18499 MR. KAPLAN: That's what he told me.
8	18500 MR. WOLSON: "Why Schreiber would pay
9	in cash," Lavoie says, "entirely a matter up to him,
10	and for which he alone has knowledge."
11	18501 That is, up to Mr. Schreiber, I am
12	assuming.
13	18502 MR. KAPLAN: That's what he said.
14	18503 MR. WOLSON: Lavoie said that he had
15	no idea.
16	18504 MR. KAPLAN: That's what he said.
17	18505 MR. WOLSON: He said that the point
18	of all this is that Mulroney never got a penny from
19	Schreiber for anything he did while he was in office,
20	and he did receive some limited funds, again, less than
21	\$300,000, after he left office.
22	18506 MR. KAPLAN: That's what he told me.
23	18507 MR. WOLSON: It was Schreiber who
24	approached Mulroney, and who wanted to have him as an
25	advisor on international business transactions.

1	18508 MR. KAPLAN: That's what he said.
2	18509 MR. WOLSON: That is all that
3	happened.
4	18510 MR. KAPLAN: That's what he said.
5	18511 MR. WOLSON: If you would turn the
6	page, please. Lavoie, at the top of page 2
7	"Lavoie insisted that I was
8	barking up the wrong tree and
9	that I was never misled by
10	Mulroney."
11	18512 MR. KAPLAN: That's what he said.
12	18513 MR. WOLSON: "Being hired as an
13	international advisor after he left office" looking
14	again at the first paragraph, last line of the second
15	page
16	"Being hired as an international
17	advisor after he left office was
18	entirely consistent with the
19	practice he was setting out to
20	establish."
21	18514 MR. KAPLAN: That's what he said.
22	18515 MR. WOLSON: You then indicate in
23	paragraph 2 that you expressed some dismay about
24	hearing this, and you referred him to your interview in
25	which you asked Mulroney some questions about

1	S	Schreiber.
2	18516	MR. KAPLAN: Correct.
3	18517	MR. WOLSON:
4		"He insisted that Mulroney
5		answered all my questions
6		truthfully, and again
7		recommended that I refer to my
8		questions and answers on that
9		point."
10	18518	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
11	18519	MR. WOLSON: Mr. Lavoie said that,
12	,	'Everything I wrote in the book" we are talking now
13	C	of the first book, "Presumed Guilty", right?
14	18520	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
15	18521	MR. WOLSON: "Everything that I wrote
16	j	in the book was true and that when I suggested that the
17	r	news startled me"
18	18522	You are talking now, I take it, of
19	t	the news of the \$300,000 that you were putting to Mr.
20	I	Lavoie.
21	18523	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
22	18524	MR. WOLSON:
23		"the news startled me and
24		left me feeling as if I had been
25		manipulated in a cynical way he

1	insisted that it was not the
2	case and Mulroney had the
3	highest regard for me."
4	18525 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir, that's what he
5	said.
6	18526 MR. WOLSON: And that's what you
7	recorded.
8	18527 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
9	18528 MR. WOLSON: That conversation you
10	have noted as January the 4th, 2002
11	18529 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
12	18530 MR. WOLSON: several months after
13	that conversation that you had with Mr. Mathias from
14	The Post
15	18531 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
16	18532 MR. WOLSON: and what you were
17	doing then was following up on what you had learned
18	from Mathias, doing your own investigations.
19	18533 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
20	18534 MR. WOLSON: I would like to take you
21	back to Tab 2, please, of the exhibit. I take you back
22	here, at Tab 2, to the first page, which is the
23	interview with Mr. Mulroney on the 9th of January 2002.
24	18535 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
25	18536 MR. WOLSON: So that's about five

1	days after you spoke to Luc Lavoie.
2	18537 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
3	18538 MR. WOLSON: And Mr. Lavoie told you
4	what we have just discussed.
5	18539 MR. KAPLAN: That's correct.
6	18540 MR. WOLSON: You start off on this
7	page, page 1, saying, "He called me," talking of Mr.
8	Mulroney now, I take it
9	18541 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
10	18542 MR. WOLSON: "which did not
11	surprise after my discussion with Luc Lavoie."
12	18543 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
13	18544 MR. WOLSON: He said, Mr. Mulroney
14	did:
15	"To the best of my recollection,
16	during my entire life, I have
17	never done anything wrong,
18	unethical or illegal."
19	18545 MR. KAPLAN: That's what he said.
20	18546 MR. WOLSON:
21	"I don't want to involve you
22	needlessly, and I would be happy
23	to see you to discuss this when
24	I get to Toronto."
25	18547 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.

1	18548	MR. WOLSON:
2		"What I can tell you now, is
3		that I have had poor judgment
4		from time to time as Prime
5		Minister. Being introduced to
6		KHS by Elmer MacKay and Fred
7		Doucet, is one of the biggest
8		mistakes that I regret the
9		most."
10	18549	That's all true?
11	18550	MR. KAPLAN: That's what he told me.
12	18551	MR. WOLSON: Yes.
13		"I know Schreiber peripherally."
14	18552	He says that again.
15	18553	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
16	18554	MR. WOLSON:
17		"If I had my druthers I would
18		never have seen a guy like
19		that."
20	18555	MR. KAPLAN: That's what he said.
21	18556	MR. WOLSON:
22		"I was told that he was a
23		prominent business person from
24		Alberta trying to sell a
25		legitimate and lawful piece of

1			equipment."
2	18557	Rigl	ht?
3	18558	MR.	KAPLAN: He said that, sir.
4	18559	MR.	WOLSON:
5			"If you accumulated all the
6			sorrow over all my life, it does
7			not compare to the agony and
8			anguish that I have gone through
9			since I met Schreiber."
10	18560	MR.	KAPLAN: That's what he said.
11	18561	MR.	WOLSON: If you turn to page 2,
12	please, the middl	e pa	ragraph is the one that I am
13	interested in.		
14			"We had some discussions about
15			my views about being misled with
16			respect to certain issues and he
17			said he could understand where I
18			was coming from, that these were
19			matters of context and that he
20			wanted to discuss them further
21			when he came to Toronto and we
22			would arrange a lunch or a
23			dinner."
24	18562	MR.	KAPLAN: He said that.
25	18563	MR.	WOLSON: Did you take it that

1	these were matters of context?
2	18564 MR. KAPLAN: I was determined to find
3	out what happened, and I wanted to keep the lines of
4	communication open with Mr. Mulroney.
5	18565 MR. WOLSON: And at that point you
6	had a good rapport with him.
7	18566 MR. KAPLAN: I would say yes, but
8	it's hard to recall exactly. I think he knew, and his
9	people knew, that I was extremely upset to have learned
10	about the money.
11	18567 MR. WOLSON: All right. You go on to
12	say:
13	"He pointed out that these are
14	often matters of context and
15	nuance but that anytime anyone
16	asked a proper question they
17	would get a truthful answer."
18	18568 MR. KAPLAN: That's what he said.
19	18569 MR. WOLSON: Page 3, if you would,
20	please, the second paragraph. After you talking about
21	arranging or Mr. Mulroney talking about arranging a
22	meeting between the two of you
23	"He also suggested too during
24	the course of our discussion
25	that this discussion would be

1		just between us and that he
2		hoped that when we met again"
3	3 18570 MR.	KAPLAN: He said that.
4	1 18571 MR.	WOLSON: "I would provide
5	him" he wanted you	to provide him with further
6	information about what	you had learned, and from whom
7	you had learned it.	
8	3 18572 MR.	KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
9	9 18573 MR.	WOLSON: And you remained
10	completely uncommitted	d about that and refused several
11	direct questions to re	eport on your sources.
12	2 18574 MR.	KAPLAN: That's correct.
13	3 18575 MR.	WOLSON: You met with him
14	again	
15	5 18576 If y	ou would turn up Tab 3, please;
16	you have a meeting wit	th him on December 4th, 2002.
17	7 18577 MR.	KAPLAN: That's correct.
18	3 18578 MR.	WOLSON: If you would turn to
19	page 2, please:	
20		"he told me the following:
21		when he joined Ogilvy Renault he
22	2	made it clear that he would have
23	3	an independent international
24	ł	consulting practice."
25	5 18579 He t	old you that?

1	18580	MR.	KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
2	18581	MR.	WOLSON:
3			"He has had such a practice.
4			The names of his clients are
5			confidential and will not be
6			released without their
7			permission."
8	18582	MR.	KAPLAN: He said that.
9	18583	MR.	WOLSON:
10			He said, "If, and he emphasized
11			the word 'If',"
12	18584	t	turning to page 3:
13			"a client paid him in cash,
14			that would be reflected in the
15			books of the company and all
16			taxes have been paid, all income
17			declared."
18	18585	MR.	KAPLAN: That's what he said.
19	18586	MR.	WOLSON: You asked him what he
20	would have said i	f he	had been asked whether he got any
21	money from Schrei	ber	when he was under oath.
22	18587	You	are talking about the Discovery.
23	18588	MR.	KAPLAN: That's right, sir.
24	18589	MR.	WOLSON: He said that he would
25	have told the tru	th.	

1	18590 MR. KAPLAN: That's what he said.
2	18591 MR. WOLSON: At the second paragraph
3	of the third page:
4	"He went on, again, about how he
5	ever met Schreiber and how he
6	laments that day."
7	18592 MR. KAPLAN: He said that, sir.
8	18593 MR. WOLSON: And the last couple of
9	lines of the third page, "When he first" I take it
10	that should be "met Schreiber"?
11	18594 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
12	18595 MR. WOLSON:
13	"he thought that he was a
14	reputable businessman from
15	Alberta. He told me he that
16	wishes Schreiber well."
17	18596 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
18	18597 MR. WOLSON: Now, I understand that
19	you were planning on writing something about this trial
20	that had been going on in Toronto, the Eurocopter
21	trial
22	18598 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
23	18599 MR. WOLSON: and were you also
24	contemplating writing about these new revelations that
25	you were investigating?

1	18600	MR. KAPLAN: When I had completed my
2	research.	
3	18601	MR. WOLSON: All right.
4	18602	At Tab 4, you spoke to Mr. Mulroney
5	again on the 12th	n of September 2003.
6	18603	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
7	18604	MR. WOLSON: He told you, at the
8	second page, that	t he received a clean bill of health
9	from the RCMP, "a	as has Schreiber and Moores".
10	18605	MR. KAPLAN: That's what he said.
11	18606	MR. WOLSON: You ask a question
12	going back to the	e first page, you say
13	18607	Is that your question, "You were at
14	risk?"	
15	18608	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
16	18609	MR. WOLSON: And the response:
17		"I am not at risk anymore.
18		Anyone who says anything about
19		that will be in on F*** of a
20		fight."
21	18610	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
22	18611	MR. WOLSON:
23		"They will be confronted by the
24		truth. I can tell you who is at
25		risk"

1	18612	MR. KAPLAN:	Yes, sir.
2	18613	MR. WOLSON:	And he says, "and it
3	is not me."		
4	18614	MR. KAPLAN:	Yes, sir.
5	18615	MR. WOLSON:	Now, I am advised and
6	you will find it	at Tab 23, tl	he last tab in the book
7	that you, in fac	t, wrote a se	ries of stories, the last
8	story being the	one at Tab 23	
9	18616	MR. KAPLAN:	Yes, sir.
10	18617	MR. WOLSON:	And you wrote this
11	account in the G	lobe and Mail	?
12	18618	MR. KAPLAN:	Yes, sir.
13	18619	MR. WOLSON:	And it does talk about
14	the money being	paid by Schre	iber to Mr. Mulroney.
15	18620	MR. KAPLAN:	Yes, sir.
16	18621	MR. WOLSON:	And that is the 10th of
17	November of 2003	•	
18	18622	MR. KAPLAN:	Yes, sir.
19	18623	MR. WOLSON:	And, to your knowledge,
20	that is the firs	t publication	of these allegations.
21	18624	MR. KAPLAN:	Yes, sir.
22	18625	MR. WOLSON:	Now, you wrote two
23	stories prior to	November 10tl	h, but they dealt with the
24	secret trial of	Eurocopter.	
25	18626	MR. KAPLAN:	That's correct, sir.

1	18627 MR. WOLSON: The third story, which
2	is the one you find at Tab 23 and I will come back
3	to it is the story that you wrote about, in part at
4	least, the Schreiber-Mulroney transactions.
5	18628 MR. KAPLAN: That's correct.
6	18629 MR. WOLSON: The point of my
7	referring you to that tab is that, at some point, you
8	told Mr. Mulroney that you were going to write an
9	article in a newspaper about this transaction.
10	18630 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
11	18631 MR. WOLSON: We will come to that,
12	but if you would turn now to Tab 5, please, the October
13	5, 2003 interview with Brian Mulroney.
14	18632 Have you turned up Tab 5, Mr. Kaplan?
15	18633 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
16	18634 MR. WOLSON: Thank you.
17	"Getting back to my other
18	point"
19	18635 Mr. Mulroney is talking to you
20	now:
21	"the principal reason that I
22	want this as clean as a whistle,
23	is following your series of
24	articles,"
25	18636 So you have already told Mr. Mulroney

1	that you are going to write about the Eurocopter trial
2	and about his transactions with Mr. Schreiber.
3	18637 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
4	18638 MR. WOLSON: And he says to you,
5	basically, that he wants to approach Prime Minister
6	Paul Martin and ask for a new investigation and a royal
7	commission.
8	18639 MR. KAPLAN: That's what he told me,
9	sir.
10	18640 MR. WOLSON:
11	"I plan to tell Martin that this
12	is the only thing that will
13	clarify it all."
14	The bottom of page 2.
15	18642 MR. KAPLAN: That's what he told me,
16	sir.
17	18643 MR. WOLSON: If you go to the top of
18	page 3, he says to you on the second line that he
19	decides to raise something with you.
20	Do you see that on the second line?
21	18645 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
22	18646 MR. WOLSON: And he says, "It has to
23	do with the other matter that you know about."
24	18647 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
25	18648 MR. WOLSON: The other matter that

1	you know about is what, s	sir?
2	18649 MR. KAP	LAN: The cash payments from
3	Mr. Schreiber.	
4	18650 MR. WOL	SON: "I want you to know that
5	it is not going anywhere,	" Mr. Mulroney says to you.
6	18651 MR. KAP	LAN: He said that.
7	18652 MR. WOL	SON:
8	"I	t has been thoroughly
9	in	vestigated. The income has
10	be	en declared and I am clean as
11	a ·	whistle."
12	18653 MR. KAP	LAN: That's what he said.
13	18654 MR. WOL	SON:
14	"Т	his thing involving Schreiber,
15	SO	meone told that to the RCMP
16	an	d they investigated that and
17	CO	ncluded that it was all clean
18	as	a whistle."
19	18655 MR. KAP	LAN: That's what he said.
20	18656 MR. WOL	SON:
21	" T	hat was the final thing they
22	we	re investigating prior to
23	gi	ving me the apology letter in
24	Ар	ril."
25	18657 MR. KAP	LAN: He told me that.

1	18658 MR. WOLSON: Now, you ask a question
2	in the middle of the third page. Mr. Mulroney talks to
3	you about his lawyers, and then you ask a question:
4	"Q. I have never been entirely
5	satisfied with the explanation
6	you gave regarding your
7	relationship with Schreiber."
8	18659 MR. KAPLAN: I asked him that
9	question.
L O	18660 MR. WOLSON: And I am assuming that
L1	you asked that question based on his relating to you
L2	that he knew Schreiber in a peripheral way.
L3	18661 MR. KAPLAN: As well as for the other
L4	reasons that I explained earlier in my evidence.
L5	18662 MR. WOLSON: The Statement of Claim,
L6	and lawyers' comments in newspapers, and so forth.
L7	18663 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
L8	18664 MR. WOLSON: Mr. Mulroney says to
L9	you, "My response" it should be plural, but:
20	"My response[s] were absolutely
21	accurate. I responded
22	specifically to their questions
23	during the examination on
24	discovery and I gave them a full
25	answer."

1	18665	He is talking about the questions of
2	the lawyer at the	Examination for Discovery.
3	18666	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
4	18667	MR. WOLSON:
5		"Not one of them asked me, let's
6		move ahead a number of months,
7		you are out of office, you are
8		trying to get yourself
9		established, not one of them
10		explored my post
11		prime-ministerial life. Had
12		they done so, I would have given
13		them an absolutely truthful
14		answer."
15	18668	He told you that?
16	18669	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
17	18670	MR. WOLSON:
18		"Consider this: would I go into
19		a 2 day examination on discovery
20		that I had brought about,
21		through a civil suit that I
22		initiated with anything untoward
23		in my past? Christ, there were
24		21 of them sitting there, I
25		would have answered any question

1		put forward."
2	18671	Do you see that?
3	18672	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
4	18673	MR. WOLSON: You say then you put
5	this question to	him:
6		"The Mathias report indicates
7		that Karl Heinz Schreiber gave
8		you money, that is not
9		defamatory there is nothing for
10		him to worry about in a
11		courtroom."
12	18674	You say that.
13	18675	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
14	18676	MR. WOLSON: He says to you:
15		"What Mathias seems to know is
16		mostly false."
17	18677	Now, Mathias told you that it was
18	moneys passing be	tween Schreiber to Mr. Mulroney.
19	18678	MR. KAPLAN: That's correct.
20	18679	MR. WOLSON: He gave you an amount of
21	money, which you	later put to Mr. Lavoie, \$300,000.
22	18680	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
23	18681	MR. WOLSON:
24		"'What Mathias seems to know is
25		mostly false, 'Mr. Mulroney

1	said. `It is a much larger
2	story. The money came to
3	Britan. This money was not for
4	me. I know who Britan was. Now
5	there is a big story for you.
6	For the moment it is not
7	relevant to my role, but I know
8	I wasn't Britan, and I know who
9	Britan was.'"
10	18682 MR. KAPLAN: That's what he said.
11	18683 MR. WOLSON: Page 4, at the bottom of
12	the page:
13	"I will tell you at an
14	appropriate time about Britan.
15	It is not immediately germane to
16	the thing we are talking about
17	but I can tell you that is mind
18	boggling"
19	18684 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
20	18685 MR. WOLSON: "It is mind boggling. I
21	am just checking out one more fact."
22	18686 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
23	18687 MR. WOLSON: The "thing" that he
24	refers to that you were talking about at page 4, at the
25	bottom of the page, was the \$300,000 allegation.

1	18688 MR. KAPLAN: The cash payments.
2	18689 MR. WOLSON: Did Mr. Mulroney ever
3	say that it wasn't \$300,000?
4	18690 MR. KAPLAN: He disputed the number
5	from the get-go.
6	18691 MR. WOLSON: Okay. Did he give you a
7	number?
8	18692 MR. KAPLAN: No, sir.
9	18693 MR. WOLSON: If you would turn up Tab
10	6, please interview with Brian Mulroney on October
11	12th of 2003.
12	18694 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
13	18695 MR. JACOBSEN: Mr. Commissioner, I
14	don't mean to interrupt at this time, but there are a
15	number of times when my friend has read things into the
16	record that leave out expletives, and I understand that
17	he may be doing that as a matter of courtesy, but I am
18	trusting that the witness stands by the fact that those
19	expletives were, in fact, expressed to him.
20	18696 I don't know that anything will turn
21	on it, but it may show a degree of emphasis by Mr.
22	Mulroney that the witness will want to explore.
23	18697 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Mr. Jacobsen,
24	Mr. Wolson can express his own views on that, but if he
25	is avoiding the expletives out of a concern for me, I

1	can assure everyone here that, in the course of 24
2	years on the bench, I think I have heard just about
3	every expletive that has ever been expressed.
4	18698 MR. WOLSON: Not too often from me,
5	though.
6	18699 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: No.
7	Laughter / Rires
8	18700 MR. WOLSON: But, quite frankly, the
9	book, which is an exhibit in the cause, has the full
10	transcript. I am not reading every word, because if I
11	were to read every single word, on every page, we would
12	be here needlessly much longer than we should.
13	18701 What I have done, just so you know
14	and this is a public inquiry what I have read are
15	the matters that I think are of critical importance,
16	but I am also mindful that this book is an exhibit in
17	the cause and is available to you, when you write your
18	report, to have the entirety of the discussions as
19	between the witness and Mr. Mulroney, the witness and
20	Mr. Schreiber, the witness and Mr. Lavoie. So it's
21	there for you.
22	18702 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: I guess the
23	problem is, if I refer to the exhibit, and if I am not
24	as shy as you are about using the expletive, what I
25	would say would differ from what the transcript says.

1	I think that is Mr. Jacobsen's concern, and he is
2	nodding his head in
3	18703 MR. WOLSON: My only point in that
4	regard is that I haven't read every word on every page
5	and I don't plan to.
6	18704 But when you write your report, you
7	are entitled to, and should, have all of the exhibits
8	available to you, and take from the exhibits whatever
9	you wish.
10	18705 Therefore, unless you have any
11	comment, I will carry on.
12	18706 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: I will just
13	say this, that the word that was missed starts with "F
14	and ends with "G" and there are a bunch of asterisks in
15	between. You can figure out for yourselves what that
16	means.
17	18707 MR. JACOBSEN: Yes. All I'm trying
18	to say, Mr. Commissioner, is that what the witness is
19	agreeing to has been said. When my friend leaves out a
20	part of it, I just wanted to make it very clear that
21	what the witness is saying, I think, I believe and
22	he can confirm this, that in fact everything there
23	is what Mr. Mulroney said, not just what was read to
24	him.
25	18708 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: That would be

1	my understanding certainly, Mr. Jacobsen, but I
2	understand the reason for your intervention. Okay?
3	18709 MR. WOLSON: And I can tell you as
4	well that I have tried to be consistent. While I asked
5	Mr. Schreiber one question about Mr. Lavoie and the
6	words he used and I did use the literal translation
7	from The Fifth Estate regarding Mr. Lavoie and
8	Mr. Schreiber. After I used it once, I desisted from
9	using it and did so because the point was made.
10	18710 I have made my point here, that it's
11	in the documents. But I will just clarify one thing.
12	18711 While I haven't read every word on
13	every page, Mr. Kaplan, you stand by what's in your
14	materials?
15	18712 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
16	18713 MR. WOLSON: All right. I am now
17	going to go to Tab 6, October 12, 2003.
18	18714 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
19	18715 MR. WOLSON: If you turn to page 2,
20	please, at the top of the page you are asked a question
21	from Brian Mulroney.
22	18716 It says:
23	"I got the impression that you
24	plan to write about Karl Heinz
25	Schreiber and me?"

1	18717	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
2	18718	MR. WOLSON: Your answer is:
3		"It's part of the story."
4	18719	Mr. Mulroney says:
5		"It is not part of the story at
6		all. It is a different story.
7		Don't forget that I have already
8		told you this, that I have never
9		done anything wrong or been
10		involved with anyone for any
11		improper purpose. Everything
12		has been fully legal and proper.
13		Don't forget that it has been
14		fully examined by the RCMP."
15		"Don't forget that it has been
16		fully examined by the RCMP."
17	18720	That's all what he said to you?
18	18721	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
19	18722	MR. WOLSON: You said or he said
20	to you:	
21		"So if you write about this it
22		will be a big red herring that
23		will please Stevie Cameron and
24		distress myself because it is a
25		false accusation because there

1			is nothing there, you can be
2			certain of that."
3	18723	MR.	KAPLAN: That's what he said.
4	18724	MR.	WOLSON:
5			"The RCMP, before they signed
6			off on April 22nd, had examined
7			everything and had satisfied
8			themselves about this. I don't
9			want to do anything to feed
10			Stevie Cameron. With all this
11			stuff coming out she would seize
12			on this as would her allies."
13	18725	MR.	KAPLAN: That's what he said.
14	18726	MR.	WOLSON:
15			"Don't forget that I am the one
16			who sued the Government of
17			Canada. I was fully aware that
18			in the Province of Quebec they
19			have the right to interrogate
20			you on discovery before
21			production of a plea. I knew
22			that my full life was open for
23			an interrogation."
24	18727	Не s	said that?
25	18728	MR.	KAPLAN: Yes, sir.

1	18729	MR. WOLSON:
2		"I can tell you something else,
3		Karl Heinz Schreiber once
4		suggested that he examined a
5		transcript and that there was
6		something wrong with it. There
7		was nothing wrong with the
8		transcript."
9	18730	That was said?
10	18731	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
11	18732	MR. WOLSON: You asked this question:
12		"Well, there are two issues
13		here. The first is that it is
14		said that Karl Heinz Schreiber
15		paid you \$300,000 on four
16		separate occasions and the
17		second issue is on the
18		transcript."
19	18733	And you were talking about the
20	transcript of	the examination for discovery there?
21	18734	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
22	18735	MR. WOLSON:
23		" the second issue is on the
24		transcript. You don't indicate
25		a commercial relationship with

1		Schreiber."
2	18736	Mr. Mulroney says, at page 3:
3		"All that is false. His reading
4		of it might give him that
5		impression but the transcript is
6		fine. Regarding the money, I
7		can tell you that there would be
8		enough inaccuracy in what was
9		just said to maintain a
10		lawsuit."
11	18737	He said that to you?
12	18738	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
13	18739	MR. WOLSON:
14		"I will tell you what I have
15		told you before, everything I
16		have done is completely honest
17		and above board. Before, during
18		and after political life."
19	18740	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
20	18741	MR. WOLSON:
21		"All I can do is tell you that
22		you can be god-damned certain
23		that before they signed the
24		letter in April telling me that
25		everything was okay we had to

1		look at everything including all
2		commercial transactions. They
3		investigated everything."
	10510	
4	18742	MR. KAPLAN: He said that.
5	18743	MR. WOLSON: You say:
6		"That's helpful."
7	18744	At page 4. Mr. Mulroney says:
8		"They were aware of any
9		transactions that I did after I
10		left office. I am asking you to
11		keep this between you and me.
12		Keep it as a Chinese wall."
13	18745	MR. KAPLAN: He made that request.
14	18746	MR. WOLSON:
15		"I can give you a personal
16		guarantee that everything I did
17		with anyone was looked at by the
18		RCMP."
19	18747	You said:
20		"Okay."
21	18748	He said:
22		"And also everything in the
23		transcript is completely
24		accurate."
25	18749	MR. KAPLAN: He said that, sir.

1	18750 MR. WOLSON: The transcript being the
2	discovery?
3	18751 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
4	18752 MR. WOLSON: Mr. Commissioner, it is
5	10 to 11:00. Do you want to take the morning break
6	now?
7	18753 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: If it's an
8	appropriate time to do so, certainly.
9	18754 MR. WOLSON: Sure.
10	18755 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: We will break
11	until 11:05 then. Thank you.
12	Upon recessing at 10:55 a.m. / Suspension à 10 h 55
13	Upon resuming at 11:10 a.m. / Reprise à 11 h 10
14	18756 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Be seated,
15	please.
16	18757 Please continue, Mr. Wolson.
17	18758 MR. WOLSON: Mr. Kaplan, you have
18	referred several times to the examination for discovery
19	or the equivalent thereof in Québec.
20	18759 I would like to turn you, please, or
21	direct you, please, to Tab 22.
22	Tab 22 are some excerpts of your book
23	and if you turn, please, to page 19. It's three pages
24	in, I believe.

MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.

25

18761

1	18762 MR. WOLSON	: You write in your book
2	regarding the discovery proc	ess at page 19, halfway
3	down the page, again which i	s referenced,
4	Mr. Commissioner in Tab 22 o	f the materials.
5	"The i	real examination on
6	discor	very began on April 17,
7	1996,	at the Montréal Palais de
8	Justic	ce. Before entering the
9	court	room, Mulroney turned to
10	Lavoie	e and said, 'Luc, do you
11	know v	what [chief government
12	lawyer	c Claude-Armand] Sheppard's
13	proble	em is going to be today?'
14	'No, k	ooss,' Lavoie replied."
15	Mulroney:	
16	"He is	s going to ask me questions
17	and he	e expects me to answer
18	them.	п
19	9 18764 That is an	accurate quote?
20	18765 MR. KAPLAN	: Yes, sir.
21	18766 MR. WOLSON	: You received that
22	information from Mr. Lavoie?	
23	3 18767 MR. KAPLAN	: Yes, sir.
24	18768 MR. WOLSON	: And it refers to the
25	very discovery process that	we have discussed here this

1	morning on a number of	different occasions?
2	18769 MR. K	APLAN: Yes, sir.
3	18770 MR. W	OLSON: I also had asked you
4	this morning about your	writing this article for the
5	Globe and Mail of Novem	ber 10, 2003. You had indicated
6	that in fact you did wr	rite that article and it does
7	contain in the article	allegations about Mr. Mulroney
8	receiving money from Mr	c. Schreiber?
9	18771 MR. K.	APLAN: Yes, sir.
L 0	18772 MR. W	OLSON: Now, I have reviewed
L1	with you a number of di	fferent interviews you have had
L2	with Mr. Mulroney, but	I understand that aside from
L3	those interviews you al	so received a number of phone
L4	calls from him leading	up to the time when you were
L5	going to publish that a	article on 10 November '03.
L6	18773 MR. K	APLAN: Yes, sir.
L7	18774 MR. W	OLSON: You write in your book
L8	at page 161 while th	nat is an added document to the
L9	book, I am going to rea	ad from page 161 of your book,
20	about two-thirds down t	the page:
21		" Mulroney's unrelenting
22		campaign to persuade me not to
23		publish the story about the
24	:	money for one reason only to
25		protect his reputation was

1	brutal, heavy-handed, and
2	extremely wearing."
3	18775 MR. KAPLAN: That was my impression.
4	18776 MR. WOLSON: And in terms of your
5	receiving a number of calls from him, can you tell the
6	Commissioner the nature of those calls in a general way
7	regarding your publishing this story?
8	18777 MR. KAPLAN: Mr. Mulroney did not
9	want the story about the cash payments to become public
10	and encouraged me on a number of occasions not to
11	report on that.
12	18778 MR. WOLSON: Now, I had before the
13	break referred you to Tab 6 when you asked the question
14	at page 2, or Mr. Mulroney asked you this question:
15	"I got the impression that you
16	plan to write about Karl Heinz
17	Schreiber and me."
18	18779 You say:
19	"It's part of the story."
20	18780 Mr. Mulroney says:
21	"It is not part of the story at
22	all. It is a different story."
23	18781 That conversation takes place on the
24	12th of October. Is this part of the unrelenting
25	campaign that you are talking about?

1	18782 MR. KAPLAN: There was the answer
2	is yes, sir. There were many telephone calls and
3	discussions with Mr. Mulroney leading up to the
4	publication of the story in November.
5	18783 MR. WOLSON: All right. All along
6	the same line, trying to persuade you not to write?
7	18784 MR. KAPLAN: Among other things.
8	18785 MR. WOLSON: Yes. But that was
9	certainly part of it?
10	18786 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
11	18787 MR. WOLSON: I would like to take
12	you, then, to Tab 7 if you will, please.
13	18788 Tab 7 is an interview with
14	Mr. Mulroney on the 24th of October 2003, so about
15	2-1/2 weeks or so prior to your publishing the November
16	10th story.
17	18789 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
18	18790 MR. WOLSON: Page 2, question I am
19	assuming this is you asking the question:
20	"I understand that Cashore is
21	calling around."
22	18791 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
23	18792 MR. WOLSON: Answer, Mr. Mulroney
24	says:
25	"What is he asking?"

1	18793	You say:
2		"I understand that he is asking
3		about Britan."
4	18794	And Mr. Mulroney says:
5		"We know that Britan is not me.
6		I still don't know how he
7		justifies accusing Britan and
8		me. It is quite a grave
9		mistake."
10	18795	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
11	18796	MR. WOLSON: Cashore is Harvey
12		Cashore, you knew?
13	18797	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
14	18798	MR. WOLSON: And he is one of the
15		is he a producer on The Fifth Estate or involved with
16		CBC Television Fifth Estate show?
17	18799	MR. KAPLAN: I don't know his title,
18		sir, but I know that he works at The Fifth Estate.
19	18800	MR. WOLSON: All right. And he is a
20		journalist obviously?
21	18801	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
22	18802	MR. WOLSON: At page 3 of Tab 7, at
23		the bottom of the page Mr. Mulroney tells you that he
24		doesn't want to do anything or say "anything that would
25		impact on Schreiber's extradition".

1	18803	MR.	KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
2	18804	MR.	WOLSON:
3			"He is a Canadian citizen. I
4			don't think he should wind up in
5			a German court."
6	18805	MR.	KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
7	18806	MR.	WOLSON:
8			"I don't want to do anything
9			that would cause him even the
10			slightest suspicion."
11	18807	And	he tells you that?
12	18808	MR.	KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
13	18809	MR.	WOLSON: At page 4 he says:
14			" we were in an anticipatory
15			mode fighting the CBC with
16			respect to an upcoming Fifth
17			Estate program where they
18			suggested that I was Britan."
19	18810	MR.	KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
20	18811	MR.	WOLSON: At the bottom of that
21	page you say to l	him:	
22			"I accept that you have done
23			nothing wrong but what disturbed
24			me was the payment of the
25			money."

1	18812	Mr. Mulroney says:
2		"I don't accept some of the
3		facts that you related to me as
4		being true. I don't want to get
5		into a match."
6	18813	He says a derogatory word and then
7	"match".	
8	18814	MR. KAPLAN: Why don't we say the
9	word.	
10	18815	MR. WOLSON: Go ahead.
11	18816	MR. KAPLAN:
12		"I don't want to get into a
13		pissing match."
14	18817	MR. WOLSON: All right.
15	18818	MR. KAPLAN: That's what he said.
16	18819	MR. WOLSON: He says, at page 5:
17		"When this thing is over, at
18		some point in the future, and
19		you want clarification on this
20		or any other thing, and we
21		conclude that there is no impact
22		on the extradition hearing, then
23		you and I can sit down and we
24		can see how different the
25		situation is from what you

1		believe it to be."
2	18820	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir, he said that.
3	18821	MR. WOLSON: And the situation that
4	you	believed was that Mr. Schreiber gave Mr. Mulroney
5	mor	ney?
6	18822	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
7	18823	MR. WOLSON: And you tell him that
8	whi	ile you didn't see anything illegal about it, you
9	wei	re disturbed by the fact that you didn't know about
LO	it.	•
L1	18824	MR. KAPLAN: Well, I would say I was
L2	dis	sturbed about the fact that I didn't know about it,
L3	but	I was more disturbed about the fact that a former
L4	Pri	ime Minister of Canada met with someone in a motel a
L5	mor	nth after he left office, someone that he had dealt
L6	wit	ch in an official capacity, and received a cash
L7	pay	ment, and then had met with him in another hotel and
L8	red	ceived another cash payment and then had met with him
L9	in	New York and received a third cash payment.
20	18825	I was disturbed about all of those
21	thi	ings.
22	18826	MR. WOLSON: And you say at the
23	bot	ttom of page 5 first of all, at the top of page 5
24	he	says to you when the extradition proceeding of
25	Mr.	. Schreiber is over he talk to you about this?

1	18827	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
2	18828	MR. WOLSON: And you say or he
3	says:	
4		"Is that agreeable to you?"
5	18829	And you say:
6		"I don't have an answer."
7	18830	And he says:
8		"There it is that is the truth."
9	18831	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
10	18832	MR. WOLSON: At the bottom of the
11	page you say:	
12		"I accept"
13	18833	This is from you now:
14		"I accept that what happened
15		between you and Schreiber was
16		not unlawful."
17	18834	MR. KAPLAN: I said that.
18	18835	MR. WOLSON: And he says:
19		"Somebody has given you a wrong
20		slant on this."
21	18836	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
22	18837	MR. WOLSON: At the top of page 6 he
23	says to you:	
24		"Are you around next weekend?"
25	18838	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.

1	18839	MR. WOLSON: I'm sorry
2	18840	MR. KAPLAN: No.
3	18841	MR. WOLSON: you say that to him.
4	18842	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
5	18843	MR. WOLSON:
6		"Are you around next weekend?"
7	18844	I apologize. And then he says:
8		"Let me see where I am going to
9		be. I can plan on talking to
10		you on the weekend. Let me give
11		you a hypothetical image that
12		you can reflect on. Something
13		you told me about, forget about
14		illegality and impropriety and
15		focus on the fact that there is
16		a suggestion that there is
17		something sinister about two
18		people meeting in a hotel room."
19	18845	That is what you have recorded>
20	18846	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
21	18847	MR. WOLSON: And he goes on to say:
22		"Well think about this, one of
23		the people in the hotel room was
24		there to attend a banquet and
25		there is a meeting that is

1	taking place and discussions
2	about a commercial arrangement
3	that is taking place. But what
4	about if there was 3 other
5	people in the hotel room, how
6	would that change the image.
7	That kind of changes it."
8	18848 He says:
9	"I am telling you that the facts
10	you gave me, they never
11	happened."
12	18849 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
13	18850 MR. WOLSON: What you talked about
14	was him accepting money from Mr. Schreiber.
15	18851 MR. KAPLAN: I think what
16	Mr. Mulroney was referring to here and maybe a bit
17	speculative on my part is the meeting that took
18	place at the Pierre Hotel in New York when there were
19	other people present.
20	18852 I believe that is what he is
21	referring to.
22	18853 MR. WOLSON: I understand that, but
23	the last sentence:
24	"That kind of changes it. I am
25	telling you that the facts you

1	gave me, they never happened."
2	18854 The facts that you had talked about
3	was simply getting money in the hotel room.
4	18855 MR. KAPLAN: I don't think
5	Mr. Mulroney denied receiving money for Mr. Schreiber.
6	18856 MR. WOLSON: Okay.
7	18857 MR. KAPLAN: I don't believe he ever
8	denied it. He quibbled about the amount and here about
9	the context, but I don't believe he ever outright
10	rejected that claim.
11	18858 MR. WOLSON: Outright rejected it
12	when you put it to him after you had written your first
13	book and after the series of initial interviews?
14	18859 MR. KAPLAN: That's right.
15	18860 MR. WOLSON: Initial interviews for
16	your first book.
17	18861 MR. KAPLAN: That's correct. In
18	fact, Mr. Lavoie admitted it, Mr. Johnson his other
19	lawyer admitted it. They all admitted it.
20	18862 MR. WOLSON: All right. I want to go
21	on, then, on page 6.
22	"The reason that I was given a
23	clean bill of health"
24	18863 He said to you:
25	" is that it was part of the

1			investigation. Do you think the
2			RCMP would have given that a
3			pass? Of course not. That is
4			why I am asking for your
5			confidence on this matter so
6			that you do not feed Stevie
7			Cameron. And I should tell you
8			this, be prepared for a son of a
9			bitch of a reaction from me. I
10			will deal with it immediately.
11			If you want my cooperation and
12			friendship, then you cannot be a
13			friend and an opponent at the
14			same time. That is my position.
15			Obviously, I don't want to hurt
16			Karl Heinz Schreiber."
17	18864	MR.	KAPLAN: That is what he said.
18	18865	MR.	WOLSON: And then on the next
19	page he says:		
20			"I will be your friend or your
21			enemy but not both."
22	18866	MR.	KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
23	18867	MR.	WOLSON: Turn to Tab 8, please.
24	Pause		
25	18868	MR.	WOLSON: My colleague Ms Brooks

1	is reminding me to advise you and put on the record,
2	sir, that while there are some redactions, they were
3	redacted because they weren't relevant to this inquiry.
4	So you, when looking at these
5	documents, would see some redactions and, as I said,
6	those are because they weren't relevant to the terms of
7	our inquiry.
8	18870 And I thank her for her note.
9	18871 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Thank you,
10	Mr. Wolson.
11	18872 MR. WOLSON: Tab 8, please,
12	Mr. Kaplan.
13	18873 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
14	18874 MR. WOLSON: Interview with Brian
15	Mulroney on October 25, 2003.
16	18875 It starts off I take it he is
17	saying:
18	"Cashore is barking up the wrong
19	tree. I am not Britan. The
20	RCMP investigated that."
21	18876 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
22	18877 MR. WOLSON: You are now moving
23	forward to the time when you are going to write the
24	article.
25	18878 MR. KAPLAN: That's correct, sir.

1	18879 MR. WOLSON: It is now getting to the
2	end of October and you are in the process, I take it,
3	of preparing to write?
4	18880 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
5	18881 MR. WOLSON: Tab 9, please. October
6	30, 2003.
7	18882 Mr. Mulroney says:
8	"I will accept it unless you
9	were going to mention that
10	little thing that we have talked
11	about, in which case I am going
12	to have to step down from it
13	before it is announced. So
14	could you please let me know as
15	soon as possible what your
16	intention is with respect to
17	mentioning that thing."
18	18883 That's what he said?
19	18884 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
20	18885 MR. WOLSON: You have recorded twice
21	"that little thing" and "that thing". Those refer to
22	the money?
23	18886 MR. KAPLAN: The payments of money by
24	Mr. Schreiber to Mr. Mulroney.
25	18887 MR. WOLSON: Now, you are moving

1	towards printing your acc	ount of this and while you
2	have no further recorded	interviews, you do indicate in
3	your book about this camp	aign of Mr. Mulroney's to try
4	4 to convince you not to wr	ite?
5	5 18888 MR. KAPI	LAN: Yes, sir.
6	6 18889 MR. WOLS	SON: If you would turn to Tab
7	7 22 again these are exc	erpts of your book, and I am
8	looking to pages 136 and	137.
9	9 18890 MR. KAPI	LAN: Yes, sir.
10	0 18891 MR. WOLS	SON: At the bottom of page
11	1 136 you talk about Mr. Gr	eenspon.
12	2 18892 MR. KAPI	LAN: Yes, sir.
13	3 18893 MR. WOLS	SON: And he was the
14	4 Editor-In-Chief of the Gl	obe and Mail at the time?
15	5 18894 MR. KAPI	LAN: Yes, sir.
16	6 18895 MR. WOLS	SON: You indicate at the
17	7 bottom of page 136:	
18	8 "Te	elephone calls from Mulroney
19	9 to	me, and to Greenspon,
20	0 esc	calated. Greenspon advised
21	1 Mul	lroney that I would be telling
22	2 the	e whole story."
23	3 18896 That's t	rue?
24	4 18897 MR. KAPI	LAN: Yes, sir.
25	5 18898 MR. WOLS	SON:

1	"Let's meet, Mulroney suggested
2	to me on Monday, November 3,
3	2003, and, he added, 'I would be
4	grateful if you brought what you
5	wrote.'"
6	18899 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
7	18900 MR. WOLSON: So he's asking you to
8	bring the article that you had written which was going
9	to be published on the 10th of November?
10	18901 MR. KAPLAN: A draft I believe of the
11	article.
12	18902 MR. WOLSON: All right. If you would
13	go to the second paragraph at page 137:
14	"Finally, there was our
15	conversation early Sunday
16	morning, November 9, 2003. I
17	was completely worn out by the
18	process of getting the series
19	ready for publication, while
20	working at my day job, and worn
21	down by his effort to stop the
22	publication of the last in a
23	series of three articles in the
24	Globe and Mail."
25	18903 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.

1	MR. WOLSON:
2	"The purpose of this
3	conversation was, for him, to
4	address my concerns with his
5	misleading testimony at the
6	examination on discovery."
7	18905 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
8	18906 MR. WOLSON: And that was again?
9	18907 MR. KAPLAN: I'm sorry, sir?
10	18908 MR. WOLSON: The misleading testimony
11	that you referred to was?
12	18909 MR. KAPLAN: It was him suggesting
13	that he had at best a casual relationship with
14	Mr. Schreiber involving the occasional cup of coffee at
15	a place like the Queen Elizabeth Hotel in Montréal and
16	his evidence in which he said he never had had any
17	dealings with Mr. Mulroney, and his evidence further on
18	in the examination of discovery when he was talking
19	about the relationship when he said, quote unquote,
20	that was it.
21	18910 MR. WOLSON: All right. Let me
22	continue on page 137.
23	"This was the first time, in all
24	the years I've known him and in
25	countless conversations, many

1		lasting hours"
2	18911	I'm sorry, let me back up.
3		"The purpose of this
4		conversation was, for him, to
5		address my concerns with his
6		misleading testimony at the
7		examination on discovery."
8	18912	Which you have explained.
9		"At some point in our
10		conversation, not long after we
11		began, Mulroney told me it was
12		not an interview. This was the
13		first time, in all the years
14		I've known him and in countless
15		conversations, many lasting
16		hours, that he had ever said
17		that. I should have said no,
18		that it was an interview and
19		that if it continued I could and
20		would feel free to quote what he
21		said. We reviewed the
22		transcript. I directed him to
23		the problems. It was an
24		emotional conversation and, at
25		the time, the stakes truly

1	seemed enormous. We both
2	believed, quite wrongly as it
3	turned out, that Canadians would
4	notice and care."
5	18913 What you mean by that is when you
6	ultimately did write your article on November 10, 2003,
7	it didn't receive a lot of fanfare.
8	18914 MR. KAPLAN: Well, it didn't attract
9	much attention or interest.
10	18915 MR. WOLSON: All right.
11	"He talked about honour. I
12	pointed out that he was not the
13	only person with honour. I had
14	sat in his house and he had told
15	me that he barely knew
16	Schreiber and that was not
17	true. He responded: 'I regret
18	any inconvenience that I may
19	have caused.'
20	I could not believe my ears."
21	18916 You write:
22	"I had trusted Brian Mulroney.
23	He had looked me in the eye. He
24	had told me the same story he
25	told the Canadian people the

1	same misleading story that he
2	had but a 'peripheral'
3	relationship with Karl
4	Schreiber. He regretted the
5	inconvenience?"
6	Near the bottom of the page:
7	"Raising my voice for the first
8	time ever in a discussion with
9	him, I told him it was not good
10	enough. He then said, 'I'm
11	sorry.' Although he called my
12	house later that night, I did
13	not answer the telephone. I
14	have not spoken to Brian
15	Mulroney since."
16	18918 MR. KAPLAN: That's correct.
17	Pause
18	18919 MR. WOLSON: One last area that I
19	want to cover regarding your interviews with
20	Mr. Mulroney and that is at Tab 22 of the materials.
21	It is at page 186 of your book, which is the last
22	excerpt in the tab.
23	18920 It deals at page 186, you had
24	interviewed Mr. Mulroney at one point about his
25	financial circumstances when he was leaving office. I

1	will direct you to this in the second full paragraph on
2	the page:
3	"There are a number of
4	interesting theories"
5	18921 Are you with me, Mr. Kaplan?
6	18922 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
7	18923 MR. WOLSON:
8	" about the movement of
9	Schreiber's money, to Mulroney
10	and to others. One of them is
11	that Mulroney needed money, so
12	Schreiber gave him some. 'I can
13	tell you,' Mulroney told me on
14	June 4, 1988"
15	So this was well before any of these
16	allegations about money being passed from Schreiber to
17	Mulroney?
18	18925 MR. KAPLAN: When I was working on my
19	first book, sir.
20	18926 MR. WOLSON: All right.
21	"'I can tell you,' Mulroney told
22	me on June 4, 1998, 'when I
23	first started out, I needed
24	money quite badly."
25	That's what he told you?

1	18928 I	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
2	18929	MR. WOLSON: All right.
3	18930	Then I'm going to go on and ask you
4	some questions now	regarding interviews that you
5	conducted with Mr.	Karlheinz Schreiber.
6	18931	The first one noted in your materials
7	is at Tab 10, if y	ou could turn that up, please?
8	18932	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
9	18933	MR. WOLSON: Just before I leave the
10	subject, you have	not talked to Mr. Mulroney since that
11	time?	
12	18934	MR. KAPLAN: No, sir.
13	18935	MR. WOLSON: All right.
14	18936	At Tab 10 you interviewed
15	Mr. Schreiber on t	he 11th of November 1998.
16	18937	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
17	18938	MR. WOLSON: He tells you that:
18		"I inherited a feeling of
19		fairness when I was a judge"
20	18939	MR. KAPLAN: That's what he said.
21	18940	MR. WOLSON:
22		"Did you know I was a judge?"
23	18941 I	He says to you. And he also says:
24		"I would expect that sooner or
25		later you will come out with a

1		pocket book."
2	18942	He is telling you this?
3	18943	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
4	18944	MR. WOLSON:
5		"Then there would be the
6		opportunity for us to make some
7		connections and I will help
8		you."
9	18945	MR. KAPLAN: That's what he told me,
10	sir.	
11	18946	MR. WOLSON: At page 2 of the
12	materials at Tab	10, he talks of his meeting with Paul
13	Tellier.	
14	18947	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
15	18948	MR. WOLSON: And he tells you in the
16	second paragraph	at page 2:
17		"Anyway, there was this meeting.
18		I was there with Doucet."
19	18949	And you learned that was Fred Doucet?
20	18950	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
21	18951	MR. WOLSON:
22		"Mulroney attended the first
23		part of the meeting and then
24		left. So it was just the three
25		of us. Me, Tellier, and Fred

1	Doucet. I heard about what	
2	Mulroney had already said in	
3	Cabinet, namely, that we are	
4	going to do something for Nova	
5	Scotia."	
6	18952 So he is talking about the Bear Head	
7	Project?	
8	18953 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.	
9	18954 MR. WOLSON: "This is on the record."	,
10	18955 At page 3 of Tab 10:	
11	"This is on the record. You can	1
12	see the documents for yourself.	
13	Anyway, before he left Mulroney	
14	says at this meeting 'I want	
15	this thing to happen'. Then he	
16	leaves."	
17	18956 So he is talking about the Tellier	
18	meeting?	
19	18957 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.	
20	18958 MR. WOLSON: Where he was present for	<u>-</u>
21	the first part of the meeting, which was about Bear	
22	Head?	
23	18959 MR. KAPLAN: Well, I think what	
24	Mr. Schreiber is describing to me about is what he	
25	heard happened at the meeting.	

1	18960 MR. WOLSON: All right. Is he not
2	talking, if you go back to page 2, of the meeting with
3	Doucet, Tellier and himself and it says:
4	"Mulroney attended the first
5	part of the meeting and then
6	left."
7	18961 MR. KAPLAN: I know, I see that, but
8	then it says here:
9	"I heard about what Mulroney had
10	already said in Cabinet, namely,
11	that we are going to do
12	something for Nova Scotia. This
13	is on the record. You can see
14	the documents for yourself.
15	Anyway, before he left Mulroney
16	says at this meeting 'I want
17	this thing to happen'."
18	18962 Maybe it happened at the meeting with
19	Mr. Doucet and Mr. Schreiber or maybe it happened at
20	Cabinet. I'm not sure.
21	18963 MR. WOLSON: All right.
22	"Then he leaves. Tellier then
23	comes out with all of these
24	strange statements. These
25	really weird remarks about the

1		power of the bureaucracy. I
2		said to him, 'Paul, this is a
3		strange situation, all of these
4		people who got their jobs from
5		the Liberals, opposing the
6		present government's wish to
7		have something done on this
8		project'."
9	18964	He then goes on:
10		"Anyway, we have the meeting and
11		I thought that it was really
12		strange the way Tellier had made
13		these remarks which were
14		seemingly contradictory to what
15		Mulroney had said when he said
16		he wanted something for the
17		people of Nova Scotia."
18	18965	MR. KAPLAN: Mr. Wolson, I see your
19	point now I think	you are right, from before, that
20	Mr. Mulroney said	d at this meeting with Mr. Schreiber
21	and Mr. Doucet I	want this thing to happen.
22	18966	I see your point.
23	18967	MR. WOLSON: All right. Thank you,
24	then.	
25		"And I can tell you that"

1	18968	Goi	ng on at page 3, end of the first
2	paragraph:		
3			"And I can tell you that this
4			would have been great for Nova
5			Scotia. It would have created
6			thousands and thousands of
7			jobs."
8	18969	MR.	KAPLAN: Correct. That's what he
9	told me.		
10	18970	MR.	WOLSON: And just near the bottom
11	of the page:		
12			"I can tell you though, that
13			throughout the entire piece, all
14			of these politicians said that
15			they were for the project, that
16			they believed in it. They
17			betrayed me and Thyssen for \$14
18			million."
19	18971	MR.	KAPLAN: He told me that.
20	18972	MR.	WOLSON: At page 4:
21			"We could have got a great thing
22			going. A peace keeping machine
23			designed for NATO and the
24			Commonwealth. I think the
25			Americans would have even agreed

1		to buy some since Canada was
2		always involved with
3		peacekeeping missions."
4		"With respect to Pelossi"
5	18973 Thi	s is the middle paragraph:
6		" it was only a matter of
7		time before I get him in jail.
8		I haven't attacked you because
9		that would support my enemies I
10		feel guilty, about not speaking
11		to you earlier on."
12	18974 MR.	KAPLAN: That's what he said.
13	18975 MR.	WOLSON: And then at page 5:
14		"I am going after Pelossi but I
15		am doing it step by step. It is
16		like a farmer who plant seeds in
17		April. You get your potatoes in
18		October. I am now in September
19		and I will get Pelossi in jail."
20	18976 MR.	KAPLAN: That's what he told me.
21	18977 MR.	WOLSON: You interviewed him
22	again, at Tab 11, on	the 29th of April 2002.
23	18978 MR.	KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
24	18979 MR.	WOLSON:
25		"He told me that he had the

1		goods on Mulroney, Fowler and
2		others."
3	18980	That's what he told you?
4	18981	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
5	18982	MR. WOLSON: He indicated that he
6	never bribed anyk	oody?
7	18983	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
8	18984	MR. WOLSON: He started talking
9	about:	
10		"wanting to sue the Mulroney
11		government for the cancellation
12		of the Bearhead contract."
13	18985	So this is April 29, '02; right?
14	18986	MR. KAPLAN: Yes. Yes, sir.
15	18987	MR. WOLSON: He obviously knew the
16	Bear Head had bee	n cancelled.
17	18988	MR. KAPLAN: Well, yes, sir.
18	18989	MR. WOLSON:
19		"He referred to the opinion he
20		received from Ian Scott but
21		explained that he didn't go
22		ahead with the suit because
23		Thyssen refused to give him
24		permission."
25	18990	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.

1	18991	MR. WOLSON: Page 2:
2		"He spoke at some length about
3		what a shame it was that the
4		Bear Head project never
5		proceeded and discussed various
6		armaments"
7	18992	With you.
8	18993	MR. KAPLAN: That's correct, sir.
9	18994	MR. WOLSON: At page 3 he indicates
10	that:	
11		"He said that he actually asked
12		Mulroney about me and whether he
13		should cooperate and that
14		Mulroney advised him in the
15		strongest possible terms not to
16		speak to me because I was
17		unreliable."
18	18995	MR. KAPLAN: Yes. That is a
19	reference, s	ir, to when I was doing the research for
20	the first boo	ok and I made many, many efforts to contact
21	Mr. Schreibe	r so that I could interview him. I offered
22	to go to Swi	tzerland to see him so that I could get his
23	side of the	story and none of those efforts resulted in
24	any meeting w	with him.
25	18996	MR. WOLSON: All right. And near the

1	bottom of the third page:
2	"I told him"
3	18997 You are talking now:
4	" that I knew about the
5	meeting at the Queen Elizabeth
6	Hotel"
7	18998 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
8	18999 MR. WOLSON: You told him that?
9	19000 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
10	19001 MR. WOLSON: You told them that you
11	"had independent information about this."
12	19002 MR. KAPLAN: That's correct, sir.
13	19003 MR. WOLSON:
14	"He said that one day he wanted
15	it all to come out."
16	19004 MR. KAPLAN: That's what he said,
17	sir.
18	19005 MR. WOLSON: I want to next go to Tab
19	12, please.
20	19006 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
21	19007 MR. WOLSON: Interview with
22	Mr. Schreiber on the 13th of February 2004.
23	19008 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
24	19009 MR. WOLSON: This is now after you
25	had written the article obviously by some months.

1	19010	MR.	KAPLAN:	Yes.
2	19011	MR.	WOLSON:	You wrote the article
3	November 10, '03	•		
4	19012	MR.	KAPLAN:	Yes, sir.
5	19013	MR.	WOLSON:	So at least from your
6	perspective the r	natte	er was out	in the public?
7	19014	MR.	KAPLAN:	Well, the payment of
8	cash			
9	19015	MR.	WOLSON:	Yes.
10	19016	MR.	KAPLAN:	had been reported in
11	the Globe and Ma	il.		
12	19017	MR.	WOLSON:	By you.
13	19018	MR.	KAPLAN:	That's right, sir.
14	19019	MR.	WOLSON:	All right. 13 February
15	2004:			
16			"He tol	d me that he wanted to
17			see bm	at harrington lake and
18			did so	at bm's request."
19	19020	MR.	KAPLAN:	He told me that, sir.
20	19021	MR.	WOLSON:	
21			"BM sen	t a car to pick him up.
22			Discuss	ed the bearhead project.
23			Bm told	him that kim campbell
24			would b	e elected and he could
25			help wi	th the project at that

1		time. Told me that he did not
2		realize at that time that the
3		government had decided against
4		the project and pointed out that
5		he had a signed contract to
6		proceed that he could have sued
7		on but that thyssen decided
8		against doing so."
9	19022	MR. KAPLAN: That's what he told me.
10	19023	MR. WOLSON:
11		"Mentioned at some point that
12		his commission for the project
13		would have been about 18m."
14	19024	MR. KAPLAN: That's what he told me.
15	19025	MR. WOLSON: And then he went on
16	about the merits	of the project.
17	19026	MR. KAPLAN: That's right.
18	19027	MR. WOLSON: Second paragraph:
19		"Told me that he paid bm at a
20		hotel suite near the airport in
21		mtl. He suggested that he had
22		been told that bm needed the
23		money and I asked him what bm
24		said when he handed over the
25		cash and he said that he said

1			'thank you.' He started laughing
2			at bm's explanation that it was
3			for pasta, as there was no pasta
4			business at that time. The
5			first payment 100k, another
6			payment at the Queen Eliz hotel
7			in mtl and the third payment at
8			the Pierre Hotel in NY."
9	19028 M	IR. I	KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
10	19029 M	IR. V	WOLSON: On the second page he
11	says:		
12			"Told me that he has given lots
13			of money away to a lot of
14			people, eg fred doucet."
15	19030 M	IR. I	KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
16	19031 M	IR. V	WOLSON: You interview him
17	that interview at 5	Гаb	12 was the 13th of February. You
18	interview him not o	quit	e a month away from that on the
19	6th of March 2004.		
20	19032 M	IR. I	KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
21	19033 M	IR. V	WOLSON: Tab 13. You:
22			" asked him about the britan
23			account, said that britan was
24			breton."
25	19034 M	IR. I	KAPLAN: That's what he said.

1	19035	MR.	WOLSON: Said that:
2			" when he met mbm at
3			harrington lake mbm said that
4			kim campbell would be reelected
5			with a majority and then there
6			could be progress on moving the
7			proposed facility to pq."
8	19036	MR.	KAPLAN: That's what he said.
9	19037	MR.	WOLSON: You used "pq". You mean
10	the Pr	ovince of Québe	ec?
11	19038	MR.	KAPLAN: That's correct.
12	19039	MR.	WOLSON: All right.
13			"This kind of pissed off khs
14			because they had done a lot of
15			work in cape breton and had even
16			posted ads prior to the last
17			election and got some 800
18			replies and then nothing. He
19			had no idea that the bureaucrats
20			were working against him and
21			that mbm was too. Found that
22			out later."
23			"In any event, thyssen had by
24			that point spent more than 10m
25			on the project, and he spoke to

1		them"
2	19040 Mea	aning Thyssen?
3	19041 MR	. KAPLAN: Correct.
4	19042 MR	. WOLSON:
5		" and they agreed to spend
6		500k more and that was the
7		breton or britan account."
8	19043 MR	. KAPLAN: Yes.
9	19044 MR	. WOLSON: So he clearly tells you
10	that Thyssen, after	spending the money that they had,
11	antied up another \$5	00,000 which was put in the Britan
12	account	
13	19045 MR	. KAPLAN: That's correct.
14	19046 MR	. WOLSON: to pay Mr. Mulroney.
15	19047 MR	. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
16	19048 MR	. WOLSON:
17		"I told him that the pattern of
18		withdrawals seemed to correspond
19		with payments to mbm. He
20		refused comment on that. What
21		he did say however was that he
22		could not understand why mbm was
23		so self-destructive. All he had
24		to do was say it was a loan.
25		Mbm needed money at the time, he

1	was trying to sell his furniture
2	and all he had to do was say
3	that khs gave him the money for
4	his future help on bearhead."
5	19049 Now I want to point this out to you,
6	because when I was reading your notes and I have
7	read them many times I didn't quite appreciate this
8	next statement:
9	"Apparently khs"
10	19050 Karlheinz Schreiber:
11	" had some idea that mbm
12	would spearhead the peacekeeping
13	part of the initiative."
14	19051 MR. KAPLAN: Well, that's what he
15	told me.
16	19052 MR. WOLSON: Yes.
17	"Mbm could have just said that.
18	Khs has no idea why he made into
19	such a big deal."
20	19053 MR. KAPLAN: I think the word "it" is
21	missing.
22	19054 MR. WOLSON: All right.
23	19055 I want to go to Tab 14 if you will,
24	please, Mr. Kaplan.
25	19056 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.

1	19057 MR	. WOLSON: The interview of
2	Mr. Schreiber on the	e 31st of March. So some weeks
3	after the interview	of March that we just covered, you
4	interview them again	1.
5	19058 MR	. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
6	19059 MR	. WOLSON: He says:
7		"Don't forget what the facts
8		are. After all the years of
9		investigation there is no proof
10		of any bribes. By the way, he
11		did help with pasta"
12	19060 Me	aning Mr. Mulroney.
13	19061 MR	. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
14	19062 MR	. WOLSON: " in 1994."
15	19063 So	even though he had told you on the
16	previous interview t	hat or a previous interview that
17	pasta was a joke, he	e says:
18		"By the way, he did help with
19		pasta in 1994. In 1993, I
20		thought he could help with
21		Bearhead and that is what I
22		thought when I left Harrington
23		Lake."
24	19064 MR	. KAPLAN: That's what he said,
25	sir.	

1	19065	MR.	WOLSON: He indicates that:
2			"It was Walter Wolf who
3			introduced me to Brian
4			Mulroney."
5	19066	MR.	KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
6	19067	MR.	WOLSON:
7			"The meeting took place at the
8			Ritz when he was president at
9			the Iron Ore company."
10	19068	Mr.	Mulroney was.
11	19069	MR.	KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
12	19070	MR.	WOLSON: And then he tells you
13	that he wanted a	bett	er piece of equipment for the
14	military.		
15	19071	MR.	KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
16	19072	MR.	WOLSON: And then he goes into
17	again the project	t, th	e Bear Head Project and the Strait
18	of Canso.		
19	19073	MR.	KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
20	19074	MR.	WOLSON: That there was a real
21	need for jobs in	the	area.
22	19075	MR.	KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
23	19076	MR.	WOLSON: He says to you at page
24	2:		
25			"The only crime I ever committed

1			was trying to create 2,000 jobs
2			in Canada without substantial
3			grants from the government.
4			That was my greatest mistake,
5			not asking for grants."
6	19077	MR.	KAPLAN: Yes, sir, he said that.
7	19078	MR.	WOLSON: Then he goes into a
8	discussion about	cert	cain Army personnel and he talks to
9	you about the Bea	ır He	ead Project.
10	19079	MR.	KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
11	19080	MR.	WOLSON: At the bottom he said:
12			"The peacekeepers risk their
13			lives and they deserve the best
14			equipment."
15	19081	MR.	KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
16	19082	MR.	WOLSON: At page 3 he says:
17			"About Brian Mulroney, I would
18			say that I do not understand why
19			Brian Mulroney would say
20			something like this because I
21			never did the smallest thing to
22			hurt him. It's the opposite,
23			all I did was respond to the
24			request of his government and to
25			Mr. Strauss, chairman of the

1		CDU, to bring business and
2		qualified jobs for young
3		Canadians to Canada. I was
4		introduced to him at the request
5		of Mr. Strauss through Walter
6		Wolf and Michael Cogger at the
7		time that he was president of
8		Iron Ore."
9	19083	So he is talking about being
10	introdu	ced to Mr. Mulroney.
11	19084	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
12	19085	MR. WOLSON:
13		"From then on I was involved to
14		support his political
15		activities. At no time did Mr.
16		Mulroney tell me that I should
17		give up on the project. It was
18		the opposite. After Brian
19		Mulroney left the office I hoped
20		to get his support that the
21		Bearhead project would go ahead.
22		The previous Prime Minister of
23		Canada, namely Mulroney, in my
24		opinion would have been a good
25		representative of Thyssen. A

1			value added representative to
2			support the sale of peacekeeping
3			and an environmental protection
4			equipment out of Canada. I am
5			aware that many of the companies
6			that Brian Mulroney is involved
7			with today have similar reasons
8			for employing him. After Mr.
9			Mulroney left office he was
10			looking for clients to generate
11			income that in my opinion he
12			badly needed in those days."
13	19086	MR.	KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
14	19087	MR.	WOLSON: You ask a question:
15			"When he testified he barely
16			knew you."
17	19088	You	are talking then about the
18	discovery?		
19	19089	MR.	KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
20	19090	MR.	WOLSON: Answer:
21			"I wasn't there; I don't know
22			what he testified to, I didn't
23			care too much because I thought
24			he must have a reason.
25			When I look back, I have to say

1		that I liked Brian Mulroney. I
2		liked him from the beginning
3		because I enjoyed his sense of
4		humour from the beginning when
5		we met at the Ritz in Montreal."
6	19091	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
7	19092	MR. WOLSON: Page 4:
8		"Regardless of what Brian
9		Mulroney might do or say, I
10		forgive him because I can't
11		thank him enough for what he did
12		for the reunification of
13		Germany, which was historic.
14		Whoever reads this or is aware,
15		should understand that I would
16		have done a lot more for him if
17		he had asked me. I want that he
18		looks whenever he opens his
19		mouth bad about me, that I say I
20		know all that I have done at his
21		request, for his election, for
22		Canada. The most important
23		thing he ever did for me and for
24		the German people and for the
25		world, was the final release of

1		17,000,000 Germans who were in a
2		communist jail. I would have
3		done more for him."
4	19093	And he talks some more about the
5	reunification of	Germany and he then says:
6		"I have a series of photos"
7	19094	At the bottom of page 4:
8		" of me and Brian Mulroney
9		and Mila Mulroney and my wife,
10		etc. I(sic) can call"
11	19095	MR. KAPLAN: "He".
12	19096	MR. WOLSON: Pardon me?
13	19097	MR. KAPLAN: "He". "He can call.
14	19098	MR. WOLSON: Yes.
15	19099	MR. KAPLAN: Sorry.
16	19100	MR. WOLSON: In effect what he is
17	saying is he can	call him down.
18		"If he needs my help, I am there
19		based on what he did for
20		Germany. If he needed \$2
21		million at the time, I would
22		have given it to him."
23	19101	Right?
24	19102	MR. KAPLAN: That's what he told me.
25	19103	MR. WOLSON: Okay. I want to ask you

1	one question before I move on to a second interview
2	with Mr. Lavoie and it's a question regarding
3	Mr. Schreiber.
4	19104 If you would turn to Tab 22, please,
5	these again are excerpts of your book, and turn to page
6	4, which is the first entry in Tab 22.
7	19105 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
8	19106 MR. WOLSON: You talk about in that
9	book, in the first full paragraph, near the bottom of
10	that paragraph you talk about the Harrington Lake visit
11	between Mulroney and Mr. Schreiber.
12	19107 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
13	19108 MR. WOLSON: And you say this:
14	"It was no surprise to
15	Schreiber, then, when Mulroney
16	summoned him to Harrington Lake
17	for a chat that summer day in
18	1993. When it was time to go,
19	Schreiber remembers Mulroney
20	escorting him to the door and
21	the waiting car.
22	The limousine kicked up dust
23	along the dirt-and-gravel road
24	out of the Harrington Lake
25	compound and was waved through

1	the white entrance gate by an	
2	RCMP officer. Three cameras	
3	mounted on poles recorded the	
4	exit as the vehicle bounced down	
5	the narrow road hugging the	
6	south shore of Meech Lake, one	
7	of three"	
8	19109 And you go on to describe the ride	
9	back in the limousine.	
10	19110 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.	
11	19111 MR. WOLSON: Where did you get the	
12	information from of the limousine?	
13	19112 MR. KAPLAN: Mr. Schreiber, as I	
14	recall and you will appreciate, Mr. Wolson, that I	
15	wrote this book in 2003 and 2004 and it is now 2009.	
16	19113 But I believe that Mr. Schreiber told	
17	me that he was picked up by a limousine. I understand,	
18	although I haven't followed all the proceedings here,	
19	that Mr. Schreiber testified that he was brought to	
20	Harrington Lake by a limousine and isn't sure how he	
21	got home, while Mr. Smith, I'm told but I haven't	
22	seen his evidence testified that he picked up	
23	Mr. Schreiber and wasn't sure how Mr. Schreiber got	
24	home.	
25	19114 So now in 2009 I can't tell you	

1	exactly where I got this information, but I was
2	satisfied that it was accurate at the time.
3	19115 MR. WOLSON: All right.
4	19116 I then want to turn to Tab 16, if you
5	will, please.
6	19117 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
7	19118 MR. WOLSON: We have now been through
8	all of the interviews you have recorded regarding
9	Mr. Mulroney and regarding Mr. Schreiber in the
10	evidence book. We have gone through one of the
11	interviews with Mr. Lavoie, which we have done earlier
12	this morning.
13	19119 You had dinner with Mr. Lavoie. At
14	Tab 16 you will find your notes:
15	"Dinner with Luc Lavoie on March
16	8/06".
17	19120 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
18	19121 MR. WOLSON:
19	"We had some discussion about
20	when he found out about Mulroney
21	and the cash. He told me that
22	he found out several months
23	before I did. However, he is a
24	professional used to dealing in
25	all sorts of complicated

1	situations including negotiating
2	hostage retrievals in South
3	America. However, he told me
4	both in the middle of the dinner
5	and at the end of the evening,
6	that he was quite pissed off to
7	find out about the cash but
8	didn't take it personally."
9	19122 MR. KAPLAN: That is correct, sir.
10	19123 MR. WOLSON: Page 2 of that
11	interview, Tab 16:
12	"He told me that Mulroney was so
13	afraid of this information
14	coming out that he panicked and
15	that explains why he kept it
16	secret. He says that no one
17	could care less today even if
18	the story came out about the
19	voluntary declaration."
20	19124 That was regarding tax?
21	19125 MR. KAPLAN: We had a discussion and
22	I knew by this time that Mr. Mulroney had not declared
23	the income in the years that he had received it but in
24	fact had made a voluntary declaration later, and so we
25	had a discussion about what the impact of that news

1	would be when it finally came out.
2	19126 MR. WOLSON: And you write "he
3	says" of Lavoie:
4	" that no one could care less
5	today even if the story came out
6	about the voluntary
7	declaration."
8	19127 MR. KAPLAN: That was his
9	observation.
10	19128 MR. WOLSON:
11	"This was interesting
12	because"
13	19129 MR. KAPLAN: The next sentence says,
14	sir:
15	"This was interesting because
16	this was an implicated mission
17	that there had been a voluntary
18	declaration."
19	19130 But I believe that what I dictated
20	was that "this was an implied admission that there had
21	been a voluntary declaration".
22	19131 MR. WOLSON: All right. And you then
23	correct this to read:
24	"This was interesting because
25	this was an implied admission

1	that there had been a voluntary
2	declaration."
3	19132 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
4	19133 MR. WOLSON:
5	"He said no one would care about
6	that story. He paid his taxes."
7	19134 That more or less ended your notes of
8	that interview.
9	19135 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
10	19136 MR. WOLSON: We have talked about the
11	article which can be found at Tab 23 in which you
12	Tab 23 of the material in which you wrote about
13	Mr. Mulroney and Mr. Schreiber and the money and you
14	did that on November 10, 2003.
15	19137 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
16	19138 MR. WOLSON: When did you write the
17	book "A Secret Trial"?
18	19139 MR. KAPLAN: I began writing it, if
19	recollection serves, in 2004. But I may have started
20	in 2003 after the article. I can't remember exactly.
21	19140 MR. WOLSON: Why did you write the
22	book?
23	19141 MR. KAPLAN: Well, sir, I had written
24	"Presumed Guilty", which was a defence of Mr. Mulroney.
25	I had, based on my research and my study, determined

1	that he was, based on the evidence that was then
2	available, a victim of a miscarriage of justice.
3	19142 That was the conclusion that I had
4	reached, that the letter of request had been unfair to
5	him, that he had been defamed by it and by the process
6	that followed.
7	19143 I wasn't the only one, of course,
8	sir, who reached that conclusion. The Government of
9	Canada reached that conclusion when it apologized to
10	Mr. Mulroney and said that there was no evidence of
11	wrongdoing on his part when the letter of request was
12	sent and that no evidence of wrongdoing had been found
13	since.
14	19144 The Fifth Estate had no information
15	indicating wrongdoing on Mr. Mulroney's part and Judge
16	Gold, who arbitrated his settlement after the case was
17	over to determine the amount of legal and public
18	relations fees that he had received, said that
19	Mr. Mulroney was the victim of a grievous injustice.
20	19145 That was the landscape and that's
21	what the evidence all indicated at the time.
22	19146 But the cash payments in the motel
23	and the hotel and in New York changed everything and I
24	felt that I had an obligation, as a historian of the
25	Airbus affair, to set the record straight.

1	1 19147 I was determined to	do that, and I	
2	2 did do that.		
3	3 19148 MR. WOLSON: All rig	ght.	
4	4 19149 I have just one more	e question for	
5	5 you. I missed one tab, Tab 21		
6	6 19150 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, s	ir.	
7	7 19151 MR. WOLSON: Tab 21	of the exhibit	
8	8 deals with your		
9	9 19152 Whose interview is	this now?	
10	MR. KAPLAN: This is	s a note of a	
11	conversation that I had with Mr. Schr	eiber.	
12	12 19154 MR. WOLSON: All rig	ght, and what you	
13	have done is there is a handwritte	en note.	
14	14 19155 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, s	ir.	
15	MR. WOLSON: And the	at is at the	
16	second page in.		
17	Well, there is actually 19157	ally some writing	
18	on the first page, which seems to be	on the first page, which seems to be from a document -	
19	perhaps even a diary.		
20	20 19158 Is that writing you	rs?	
21	21 19159 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, s	ir.	
22	MR. WOLSON: And the	en, on the second	
23	page, there is also some writing.		
24	24 19161 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, s	ir.	
25	MR. WOLSON: And the	e third page is	

1	the typed version?		
2	19163 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.		
3	19164 MR. WOLSON: All right. We might as	;	
4	well, for the sake of convenience, go to the typed		
5	version. It says: "KHS went to Harrington Lake.		
6	Doucet asked me to help out."		
7	19165 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.		
8	19166 MR. WOLSON: Fred Doucet.		
9	19167 MR. KAPLAN: That's right, sir.		
10	19168 MR. WOLSON: And then, at the bottom	1	
11	of the page:		
12	"if you write the story that		
13	KHS says BM lied, in 1993 no		
14	pasta, it was Thyssen, so that		
15	means the old story is dead."		
16	19169 MR. KAPLAN: That's what it says,		
17	sir.		
18	19170 MR. WOLSON: And that's what he told	l	
19	you.		
20	19171 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.		
21	19172 MR. WOLSON: Subject to one moment,		
22	please, I am about to close my examination.		
23	Pause		
24	19173 MR. WOLSON: The question that I jus	۶t	
25	asked you, "If you write the story that KHS says BM		

1	lied in `93"	
2	19174	MR. KAPLAN: I'm sorry, what tab was
3	that, sir?	
4	19175	MR. WOLSON: Tab 21, sir.
5	19176	MR. KAPLAN: Sorry.
6	19177	Yes, sir?
7	19178	MR. WOLSON: "if you write the
8	story that KHS s	ays BM lied, in 1993, no pasta, it was
9	Thyssen"	
10	19179	Are you saying there is that in
11	reference to the	fact that in an earlier interview he
12	had told you tha	t there was no pasta in `93?
13	19180	MR. KAPLAN: I would imagine so, sir,
14	but in April of	2009, I could only speculate about the
15	context in which	this was said.
16	19181	MR. WOLSON: All right, then I won't
17	ask you to specu	late.
18	19182	Those are my questions.
19	19183	It is now noon. I don't know whether
20	my friends would	like the lunch hour.
21	19184	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Good morning,
22	Mr. Pratte.	
23	19185	MR. PRATTE: Good morning, sir.
24	19186	It's almost "Good afternoon".
25	19187	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Yes, it is.

1	19188	MR. PRATTE: I will have some
2	questions, s	ir, and I would be grateful if I could have
3	the normal l	uncheon break until two, if that is all
4	right with m	y friend.
5	19189	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: I take it,
6	Mr. Pratte,	that you are going to be first up in terms
7	of questioni	ng Mr. Kaplan?
8	19190	MR. PRATTE: We have not discussed it
9	formally, si	r, but I am making this application to my
10	friends thro	ugh you; and, if not, we can discuss it.
11	19191	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: That's fine,
12	I have no pro	oblem with the request at all.
13	19192	MR. WOLSON: I can tell you that the
14	only other w	itness today is Mr. Terrien, whose evidence
15	I expect to 1	be very brief. I would hope that we would
16	finish Mr. K	aplan in time to have Mr. Terrien on this
17	afternoon.	He will be here, and his evidence is
18	considered to	o be so brief that I would like to deal
19	with it toda	y at some point.
20	19193	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: All right.
21	Could I ask	counsel over the noon hour to determine the
22	order in whi	ch questions will be asked?
23	19194	Mr. Jacobsen?
24	19195	MR. JACOBSEN: To keep the
25	bureaucrats	at Air Canada happy, I am wondering if we

1	could get a rough estimate from counsel about how long	
2	they think they are going to take.	
3	19196 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: You can get	
4	the estimate, but I am not so sure that it is going to	
5	be of much help, based on experience thus far.	
6	19197 MR. JACOBSEN: I see. Well, I would	
7	appreciate the estimate in any event.	
8	19198 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Okay.	
9	19199 MR. JACOBSEN: Because if I am	
10	hearing half an hour or 10 minutes, that means one	
11	thing; if I am hearing longer, I agree with you, we are	
12	going back tonight.	
13	19200 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: I appreciate	
14	that.	
15	19201 Mr. Pratte, do you have any idea how	
16	long you are going to be at this point in time?	
17	19202 MR. PRATTE: No.	
18	19203 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: That is the	
19	concern.	
20	19204 I think the best way to handle this,	
21	Mr. Jacobsen, is, rather than do it publicly, in	
22	session, for all of the lawyers to put their heads	
23	together because I gather that the length of Mr.	
24	Pratte's questioning may well depend on questions that	
25	are asked by other counsel, and that, again, depends of	

the order in which counsel agree to go. 1 2 19205 MR. JACOBSEN: Thank you, sir. 3 19206 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: I wish I could be of more help to you and the bureaucrats at Air Canada, but I can't. 5 6 19207 MR. JACOBSEN: Thank you, sir. 7 19208 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Okay, two 8 o'clock. --- Upon recessing at 12:05 p.m. / Suspension à 12 h 05 --- Upon resuming at 2:00 p.m. / Reprise à 14 h 00 10 11 19209 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Good 12 afternoon. Be seated please. 13 19210 Just give me half a second, would you please, Mr. Pratte, there is something that I want to 14 15 check. 16 19211 I have a question that I want to ask, just while I am there. 17 18 19212 Mr. Kaplan, Good afternoon. 19 19213 MR. KAPLAN: Good afternoon, sir. 20 19214 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Let me tell you that I have read both of your books, never 21 22 anticipating that I would be sitting in this chair when 23 I read your books. 19215 At Tab 15, Mr. Kaplan, you have an 24 25 interview with Mr. Lavoie on Friday, January 4th, and

1	at the bottom of t	at the bottom of that page Mr. Lavoie suggested to you		
2	that you could con	that you could confirm details by contacting Yves		
3	Fortier.			
4	19216 N	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.		
5	19217	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Mr. Fortier		
6	is a well-known la	wyer in Montreal.		
7	19218 N	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.		
8	19219	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Did you ever		
9	do that?			
10	19220 N	MR. KAPLAN: No, sir.		
11	19221	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: All right.		
12	That's fine, thank	That's fine, thanks.		
13	19222	Mr. Pratte		
14	19223	MR. PRATTE: Mr. Commissioner, before		
15	I start, I thought	we should have some of the paper		
16	that I might be re	ferring to in front of us, some of		
17	which was referred	which was referred to by the witness but I don't think		
18	was officially mar	ked.		
19	19224	The first one is the unofficial		
20	translation of the	Statement of Claim in the Superior		
21	Court of Quebec fr	Court of Quebec from which he read. I believe that		
22	copies were made f	or all concerned, but it wasn't		
23	marked, and I hope	that you have a copy of it, Mr.		
24	Commissioner.			
25	19225	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Thus far I do		

1	not have it, but the Acting Registrar has a copy.
2	19226 MR. PRATTE: It would need an exhibit
3	number. Madam Registrar, what would that be, please?
4	19227 THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit P-26.
5	19228 MR. PRATTE: P-26.
6	19229 As well, Mr. Commissioner, reference
7	was made to an article in the Globe and Mail in which
8	Mr. Yarosky was quoted. We have made copies of this, I
9	believe, and I am trusting that the Registrar also has
10	copies of that article.
11	19230 Could that be marked as the next
12	exhibit, Mr. Commissioner?
13	19231 I believe it would be P-27.
14	19232 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: These are
15	going in by consent, I take it, are they?
16	19233 MR. PRATTE: I am assuming so.
17	19234 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: I see nobody
18	rising to object.
19	19235 MR. PRATTE: They were referred to by
20	Commission counsel, so I am assuming that at least he
21	consents.
22	19236 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: What is the
23	date of the article from the Globe and Mail, please?
24	19237 MR. PRATTE: November 20, 1995.
25	19238 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: All right.

1	The Statement of Claim, and its translation, will be			
2	received and marked as Exhibit P-26, and the article			
3	from the Globe and Mail, the edition of November 20,			
4	1995, will be received and marked as Exhibit P-27.			
5	EXHIBIT NO. P-26: Statement of			
6	Claim, dated November 20, 1995,			
7	with unofficial translation,			
8	filed in Superior Court of			
9	Quebec			
10	EXHIBIT P-27: Article from			
11	Globe and Mail, edition November			
12	20, 1995			
13	19239 MR. PRATTE: May I correct something			
14	that Mr. Wolson just reminded me of?			
15	19240 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Yes.			
16	19241 MR. PRATTE: He didn't make reference			
17	to it. I am not sure that he knew it was coming,			
18	because I am sure that he would have given us copies.			
19	The witness did, though, in answer to a question.			
20	19242 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Yes, I recall			
21	hearing the reference to Mr. Yarosky being quoted.			
22	19243 MR. YAROSKY: It's my moment in the			
23	sun, Mr. Commissioner, you have to accept the article.			
24	19244 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: I thought it			
25	might mean your disappearance from the Inquiry when I			

1	first heard it,	to be honest with you.
2	19245	MR. WOLSON: I should say that I only
3	became aware of	it this morning, minutes before we
4	started, and at	that time I didn't have the opportunity
5	to have copies m	nade. So that should put it in context.
6	19246	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: All right.
7	Thank you.	
8	19247	MR. PRATTE: Of course, I accept that
9	implicitly.	
10	19248	Then, that would be P-27.
11	19249	Could I go back, Mr. Commissioner, to
12	P-26? I don't h	ave copies for everyone, but I would
13	like to make, ma	ybe as P-26A, the original version of
14	the Statement of	Claim, to which I won't make
15	reference, but f	or the completion of the record.
16	19250	We will provide copies of that by the
17	end of the day.	
18	19251	Maybe we could mark it now.
19	19252	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Sure. The
20	original of the	Statement of Claim from Montreal, then,
21	will be Exhibit	P-26A.
22		EXHIBIT P-26A: Statement of
23		Claim filed in Superior Court of
24		Quebec, dated November 20, 1995
25	19253	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Now, I

1	haven't seen the document, this was the lawsuit that
2	was commenced against the Attorney General of Canada?
3	19254 MR. PRATTE: Yes, and
4	19255 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: And others.
5	19256 MR. PRATTE: Correct. And it's
6	dated
7	19257 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Based on
8	which the exam I think you call it an Examination on
9	Discovery in Quebec.
10	19258 MR. PRATTE: That's right.
11	19259 It's dated November 20th, 1995, sir.
12	19260 This need not be marked, but you
13	might be sure that you have it handy. It is the Letter
14	of Request, which is, of course, the basis of the
15	lawsuit.
16	19261 I will give you the exhibit number.
17	Hopefully the Registrar can assist and it will be
18	important for Mr. Kaplan to have a copy of the Letter
19	of Request, if he doesn't have one.
20	19262 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: I know it's
21	in one of the Schreiber books, because I asked about it
22	over the noon hour.
23	19263 MR. PRATTE: It is. I believe it is
24	Exhibit 7, Book 2, Tab 116.
25	19264 Perhaps just so we have everything in

front of us before I get going, someone could get you 1 2 that, Mr. Commissioner. 3 19265 Perhaps, through you, I could inquire of Mr. Kaplan if he has a copy of the Letter of Request with him. 5 19266 MR. KAPLAN: No, I don't. It is 7 referred to, of course, in the Statement of Claim. 8 19267 MR. PRATTE: I appreciate that, but I want to make some reference to the Letter of Request itself. 10 11 19268 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Give the 12 Registrar a moment, Mr. Pratte, please. 13 --- Pause 14 19269 MR. KAPLAN: Thank you. Mr. Pratte, what tab is it at? 15 16 19270 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Tab 116, I think, Mr. Kaplan. 17 18 19271 MR. PRATTE: Yes, it should be found, 19 Mr. Kaplan, at Tab 116. 20 19272 MR. KAPLAN: Thank you. 21 EXAMINATION: WILLIAM KAPLAN BY MR. PRATTE / 22 INTERROGATOIRE: WILLIAM KAPLAN PAR Me PRATTE 23 19273 MR. PRATTE: Mr. Kaplan, good afternoon. 24

StenoTran

Early on in your examination with Mr.

25

19274

1	Wolson, he asked you a question about why you had not
2	specifically asked Mr. Mulroney as to whether he had
3	had any commercial business with Mr. Schreiber.
4	19275 Do you recall that question?
5	19276 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
6	19277 MR. PRATTE: And you said to him, as
7	I recall, that it wasn't only the description of the
8	relationship as "peripheral", but other things,
9	including the Statement of Claim that Mr. Mulroney had
10	filed.
11	19278 Do you recall that?
12	19279 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
13	19280 MR. PRATTE: And you read from what
14	you said was page 5 of the unofficial translation, and
15	I couldn't find the passage you read on that page, but
16	I did on page 6, at paragraph 12(ii).
17	19281 Have I got that right?
18	19282 MR. KAPLAN: I'm sorry if I cited the
19	wrong page. It's page 6, yes.
20	19283 MR. PRATTE: Okay, and it's paragraph
21	(ii).
22	19284 Is that right?
23	19285 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
24	19286 MR. PRATTE: Paragraph 12 says:
25	"Without restricting the

1	generality of the foregoing, the
2	above cited allegations are
3	false in that"
4	and then I go directly to (ii):
5	"Plaintiff has never received
6	any of the alleged payments, in
7	any form, from any person,
8	whether named or not in the
9	Request for Assistance, for any
10	consideration whatsoever"
11	19287 That's the passage you read.
12	19288 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
13	19289 MR. PRATTE: Now, I have here a copy
14	of the transcript of this morning, where you say:
15	"I am reading from page 5 of the
16	translation that Mr. Mulroney
17	provided when he launched the
18	civil suit."
19	19290 So, at that point, you had already
20	read the paragraph that you and I just read.
21	19291 It is page 24, line 11 and I will
22	read it to you, Mr. Kaplan. You said this morning:
23	"I am reading from page 5 of the
24	translation"
25	and we now know it's page 6:

1	"that Mr. Mulroney provided
2	when he launched the civil suit.
3	So there was that reason.
4	Mr. Mulroney said in his
5	Statement of Claim with respect
6	to the Bear Head transaction
7	that he had never received a
8	dime from `no one', as they
9	say."
10	19292 That's what you said this morning.
11	19293 MR. KAPLAN: Okay.
12	19294 MR. PRATTE: Now, in fact what the
13	claim said wasn't quite as broad as that, it denied
14	that he had received any of the payments as alleged in
15	the claim. Correct?
16	19295 MR. KAPLAN: Well, that's what it
17	says.
18	19296 MR. PRATTE: Could we just go back in
19	the claim a little bit and I am referring to P-26,
20	Mr. Commissioner first of all, to paragraph 8 at
21	page 2, Mr. Kaplan.
22	19297 I will read it for you, Mr. Kaplan,
23	and for the record. Paragraph 8 says:
24	"This Request for Assistance,
25	addressed by the Canadian

1		government to the Swiss
2		government, contains very grave
3		allegations against Plaintiff,
4		all of which are totally
5		false"
6	19298	Do you see that?
7	19299	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
8	19300	MR. PRATTE: I have read that
9	correctly?	
10	19301	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
11	19302	MR. PRATTE: And this claim is
12	limited to the a	llegations in the Letter of Request
13	or Request for A	ssistance. Correct?
14	19303	On its face.
15	19304	MR. KAPLAN: I would have to read the
16	whole claim, but	
17	19305	MR. PRATTE: Please, do that, if you
18	need the time.	
19	19306	MR. KAPLAN: I am not actually sure
20	what you are ask	ing me, Mr. Platte.
21	19307	MR. PRATTE: The Statement of Claim
22	that you referre	ed to was a claim for defamation
23	resulting from a	llegations made in the Request for
24	Assistance.	
25	19308	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.

1	19309 MR. PRATTE: And then, if you look a	
2	paragraph 9, following, it has a number of	
3	subparagraphs in Roman numerals. Correct?	
4	19310 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.	
5	19311 MR. PRATTE: Would you agree with me	
6	that the first 15 or 16 of the subparagraphs really	
7	deal with Air Canada and Airbus, and alleged payments	
8	made to Mr. Mulroney resulting from the purchase by	
9	Canada of Airbus planes?	
10	19312 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.	
11	19313 MR. PRATTE: In fact, most of this	
12	lawsuit was focused on that allegation, secret	
13	commissions paid to Mr. Mulroney as a result of the	
14	purchase by Air Canada of Airbus planes.	
15	19314 MR. KAPLAN: I don't know if most of	
16	the lawsuit was focused, but that was the	
17	preoccupation.	
18	19315 MR. PRATTE: Right. In fact, your	
19	book, "Presumed Guilty: The Airbus Affair", speaks t	
20	that, that Airbus was the prime concern.	
21	19316 MR. KAPLAN: Absolutely.	
22	19317 MR. PRATTE: In the first chapter of	
23	your book "Presumed Guilty", which is entitled, "The	
24	Airbus Affair", you only talk about the allegations	
25	relating to Airbus.	

1	19318 MR. KAPLAN: I don't remember that,
2	but I do know that I talked about the allegations
3	relating to Airbus at the greatest length.
4	19319 MR. PRATTE: Thank you.
5	19320 Now, let's look at what is mentioned
6	in respect of Bear Head.
7	19321 That will be found, Mr. Commissioner,
8	at page 5, to begin with, subparagraphs (xx) and (xxi).
9	19322 There it says:
10	"In the case of the Bear Head
11	Project and the MBAV contract,
12	Mr. Moores acted as a private
13	counsellor in order to
14	facilitate the money flow to Mr.
15	Mulroney. A confidential person
16	says that IAL made monthly
17	payments regarding the MBAV
18	contract until at least April
19	22, 1988."
20	19323 Do you know who that confidential
21	person was?
22	19324 MR. KAPLAN: No, sir.
23	19325 MR. PRATTE: It's not either Stevie
24	Cameron or Mr. Pelossi?
25	19326 MR. KAPLAN: It would be entirely

1	speci	ulative on my pa	art.
2	19327	MR	. PRATTE:
3			"The person believes that a part
4			of all the payments made to Mr.
5			Moores and Mr. Schreiber were
6			transferred to Mr. Mulroney."
7	19328	Do	you see that?
8	19329	MR	. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
9	19330	MR	. PRATTE: Then, at paragraph
10	(xxi)):	
11			"The three above-mentioned cases
12			are proof of a persisting
13			plot/conspiracy by Mr. Mulroney,
14			Mr. Moores and Mr. Schreiber,
15			who defrauded the Canadian
16			government in the amount of
17			millions of dollars during the
18			time when Mr. Mulroney was in
19			office until his resignation in
20			June 1993."
21	19331	Do	you see that?
22	19332	MR	. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
23	19333	MR	. PRATTE: So the allegation, both
24	in re	espect of Air Ca	anada and, also, in respect of Bear
25	Head	, was that there	e had been a scheme a conspiracy

1	to defraud the Can	adian government while he was in
2	office.	
3	19334	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
4	19335 I	MR. PRATTE: And the suit denied
5	these allegations,	and we see that at paragraph 11.
6	19336	Correct?
7		"All of the above-cited
8		allegations concerning the
9		plaintiff were made by
10		defendants who knew the
11		allegations to be solely
12		generated by media
13		speculation"
14	19337	Then, at paragraph 12 we just
15	looked at it:	
16		"Without restricting the
17		generality of the foregoing, the
18		above cited allegations are
19		false"
20	19338 I	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
21	19339 I	MR. PRATTE: And at paragraph (ii):
22		"Plaintiff has never received
23		any of the alleged payments, in
24		any form, from any person,
25		whether named or not in a

1	Request for Assistance"
2	19340 So the claim was denying that Mr.
3	Mulroney had received payments while he was Prime
4	Minister in respect of three things: Airbus, MBAV, and
5	Bear Head.
6	19341 Is that not correct?
7	19342 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
8	19343 MR. PRATTE: Then, at paragraph (iv)
9	of 12 it says:
10	"Plaintiff has never been a
11	party to any agreement alleged
12	in the Request for Assistance to
13	achieve any end therein alleged,
14	whether with Messrs. Schreiber
15	or Moores or with any other
16	unnamed person"
17	19344 Again, what was alleged in the Letter
18	of Request was that there was a conspiracy ongoing,
19	while he was Prime Minister, to defraud the government,
20	and that he received payments from that conspiracy
21	while he was Prime Minister.
22	19345 Correct?
23	19346 MR. KAPLAN: Actually, sir, on page 5
24	it says: "The payments were made until at least April
25	22, 1988."

1	19347 So they ended, according to this,
2	while he was Prime Minister.
3	19348 MR. PRATTE: All right. So, then,
4	there was nothing in this claim that alleged that Mr.
5	Mulroney participated in any untoward activity or
6	received payments after he was Prime Minister.
7	19349 MR. KAPLAN: I will take your word on
8	that, Mr. Pratte. I just focused on the Bear Head
9	part.
10	19350 MR. PRATTE: We just read the Bear
11	Head part
12	19351 MR. KAPLAN: Right.
13	19352 MR. PRATTE: and it is focusing on
14	a conspiracy while he is Prime Minister.
15	19353 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
16	19354 MR. PRATTE: And that's what was
17	denied.
18	19355 That's what he sued on.
19	19356 MR. KAPLAN: That's what he sued on.
20	19357 MR. PRATTE: Right.
21	19358 Can we now look at the Letter of
22	Request?
23	19359 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
24	19360 MR. PRATTE: That is the basis of the
25	lawsuit, as I think we agreed. Right, Mr. Kaplan?

1	19361 MF	R. KAPLAN: Absolutely.
2	19362 MF	R. PRATTE: If you look at page 7,
3	you see that the le	tter
4	19363 It	is paginated at the top, Mr.
5	Commissioner.	
6	19364 Th	ere is reference in the first three
7	paragraphs to payme:	nts made to I.A.L. following the
8	signing of the Unde	rstanding in Principle in `88.
9	19365 MF	R. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
10	19366 MF	R. PRATTE: Then, if you flip to
11	page 8, it says, st	arting at the very top:
12		"In the case of the Bear Head
13		Project and the MBAV contract,
14		Mr. Moores, who was acting as a
15		private consultant, used to
16		facilitate the flow of money to
17		Mr. Mulroney. The confidential
18		source advised that monthly
19		payments were made to Mr. Moores
20		by IAL until at least April 22,
21		1988, on the MBAV contract. The
22		source believes that a portion
23		of the commissions paid to Mr.
24		Moores and Mr. Schreiber were
25		paid to Mr. Mulroney. It is

1		believed that a Mr. Giorgio
2		Pelossi, the manager of IAL, has
3		intimate knowledge of the
4		transactions conducted by IAL in
5		relation to the three contracts
6		described above and will be able
7		to provide information
8		concerning the payments by IAL
9		to Mr. Moores and Mr. Mulroney."
10	19367	I read that correctly?
11	19368	MR. KAPLAN: I think so.
12	19369	MR. PRATTE: Then it says:
13		"The above three cases
14		demonstrate an ongoing scheme by
15		Mr. Mulroney, Mr. Moores and Mr.
16		Schreiber to defraud the
17		Canadian government of millions
18		of dollars of public funds from
19		the time Mr. Mulroney took
20		office in September 1984 until
21		he resigned in June 1993."
22	19370	Correct?
23	19371	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
24	19372	MR. PRATTE: Again, confirming what
25	you and I have d	iscussed, which is that the claim was

1	denying allegations that Mr. Mulroney had acted
2	illegally by participating in a conspiracy to defraud
3	the government and receive payments while he was Prime
4	Minister.
5	19373 Correct?
6	19374 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
7	19375 MR. PRATTE: There was no broader
8	denial than that in the claim.
9	19376 Isn't that correct?
10	Pause
11	19377 MR. KAPLAN: You see, Mr. Pratte,
12	this is the problem that I have and you will forgive
13	me, of course.
14	19378 Yes, that's correct, there is no
15	broader denial than that, but it is all part of a
16	pattern of carefully chosen words.
17	19379 So, yes, sir, you're right.
18	19380 MR. PRATTE: Words are important,
19	sir, and I think you have agreed with me that the claim
20	was denying the payments and the conspiracy, as
21	alleged, and no more than that.
22	19381 Correct?
23	19382 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
24	19383 MR. PRATTE: There was no broad
25	denial of any payments whatsoever from Mr. Schreiber

related to Bear Head at any point in time, it was 1 2 limited to the time period while he was Prime Minister. 3 19384 MR. KAPLAN: In the materials we are 4 looking at. 19385 5 MR. PRATTE: Right, which is the 6 first leg of your explanation for not asking the more specific question that you reported to Mr. Wolson. 7 8 19386 Correct? 9 19387 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir. 10 19388 MR. PRATTE: The second leg is the 11 article, P-27. My notes suggest, sir, that you read 12 part of a quotation from Mr. Yarosky, who, as you 13 pointed out, is sitting in front of you here. 14 19389 MR. KAPLAN: That's right, sir. 15 19390 MR. PRATTE: And my recollection is that the line you read was -- it made some general 16 comments about Bear Head, and then you quoted from the 17 18 article, "...nor did he receive a cent from anyone." 19 19391 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir. 20 19392 MR. PRATTE: That's all you read from 21 the article. Correct? 22 19393 MR. KAPLAN: I believe so. 23 19394 MR. PRATTE: Can we look now at the paragraph within which that quote is found in its 24 25 entirety, to provide context?

1	19395	Do you have the article in front of
2	you?	
3	19396	MR. KAPLAN: I only have an extract
4	from it here.	
5	19397	MR. PRATTE: You don't have the
6	entire article i	n front of you?
7	19398	MR. KAPLAN: No, I only have an
8	extract from it	here.
9	19399	MR. PRATTE: Okay. Maybe we should
10	give you a copy,	because you would agree with me, sir,
11	that sometimes o	context is important when we read a
12	quote.	
13	19400	MR. KAPLAN: Absolutely.
14	19401	MR. PRATTE: Yes.
15	Pause	
16	19402	MR. KAPLAN: Thank you.
17	19403	MR. PRATTE: Go to the fifth
18	paragraph.	
19	19404	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
20	19405	MR. PRATTE: Let me read it, so that
21	we all are on th	ne same page, and paragraph. It says:
22		"On Saturday, Harvey Yarosky, a
23		member of a legal team retained
24		by Mr. Mulroney"
25	19406	Let me stop there. That was in the

1	context of the defama	ation lawsuit that we just talked
2	about. Right?	
3	19407 MR.	KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
4	19408 MR.	PRATTE: I continue:
5		"said the `former rime
6		minister categoricallystates
7		that he had absolutely nothing
8		to do with Air Canada's decision
9		to buy Airbus.'"
10	19409 The	quote continues:
11		"'Nor did he receive a cent from
12		anyone. He was simply not part
13		of any conspiracy whatsoever.'"
14	19410 MR.	KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
15	19411 MR.	PRATTE: Do you agree that his
16	statement that he did	dn't receive a cent from anyone is
17	in the paragraph when	re he limits his comments to Airbus
18	and Air Canada?	
19	19412 MR.	KAPLAN: Well, I agree it's in
20	that paragraph, but 1	don't agree that it's as narrow
21	as you suggest.	
22	19413 MR.	PRATTE: But let's agree that
23	it's in that paragrap	ph?
24	19414 MR.	KAPLAN: Absolutely.
25	19415 And	, Mr. Pratte, I would say as well

1	that, whether knowingly or not, the impression that was
2	intended to convey was that Mr. Mulroney had received
3	no money from Mr. Schreiber or Mr. Moores, and that is
4	the impression that it, in fact, did convey.
5	19416 MR. PRATTE: Well, it conveyed that
6	to you, sir, but we looked at the claim and you agreed
7	with me that the claim was no broader than payments
8	while he was Prime Minister.
9	19417 Correct?
10	19418 MR. KAPLAN: No fair-minded person
11	could read this article, Mr. Pratte
12	19419 Let me finish, please.
13	19420 No fair-minded person could read this
14	article and conclude anything other than Mr. Yarosky
15	was telling them that Mr. Mulroney didn't receive a
16	nickel from anyone.
17	19421 MR. PRATTE: Let's read the other
18	paragraph:
19	"Mr. Mulroney has repeatedly
20	denied allegations, as had Air
21	Canada, Airbus and Mr. Moores,
22	of any impropriety in connection
23	with the sale of [Airbus]
24	aircraft."
25	19422 Do you see that?

1	19423 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
2	19424 MR. PRATTE: The only companies
3	mentioned there are Air Canada and Airbus. Correct?
4	19425 MR. KAPLAN: As you pointed out, Mr.
5	Pratte, the Letter of Request referred to a conspiracy
6	involving three elements Air Canada, MBAV, and Bear
7	Head and that was the conspiracy, I believe, that
8	Mr. Yarosky was referring to, but perhaps we could hear
9	from him.
10	19426 MR. PRATTE: Well, we might have to.
11	19427 But, sir, we agreed that that
12	conspiracy was limited to the period while he was Prime
13	Minister, it did not encompass matters that came after.
14	19428 MR. KAPLAN: His Statement of Claim
15	was limited to allegations relating to his period as
16	Prime Minister.
17	19429 MR. PRATTE: Correct. And you just
18	told me that what he was referring to was that very
19	claim and the Letter of Request.
20	19430 That's what you just told me.
21	19431 MR. KAPLAN: Oh, I see. So am I to
22	understand that Mr. Mulroney instructed his lawyers to
23	carefully tell the Canadian people that, while he had
24	taken no money as Prime Minister to mislead them in
25	that way and not deal with the fact that he had taken

1	money after being Prime Minister?	
2	19432 Were those the instructions th	at Mr.
3	Yarosky receive?	
4	19433 MR. PRATTE: Sir, you said to	us that
5	you relied on the Letter of Request and the Sta	tement
6	of Claim. You are a lawyer, you know that that	was the
7	limited context of the action. You have agreed	with
8	me.	
9	19434 Correct?	
10	19435 MR. KAPLAN: I have agreed wit	h you,
11	sir, that that was the limited context of the ac	ction.
12	I do not agree with you, sir and you can call	L me
13	old-fashioned that when a Prime Minister sues	s the
14	Government of Canada for \$50 million for saying	that he
15	had taken commissions in an illegal conspiracy,	that he
16	shouldn't go on to reveal that he had also taken	n cash
17	in motels.	
18	19436 You and I will never agree abo	ut
19	that, I suppose, Mr. Pratte.	
20	19437 MR. PRATTE: Don't make any	
21	assumptions, sir, I am just going by what you to	old us
22	this morning. Okay?	
23	19438 We will have the discussion as	to
24	what else he might have volunteered, but let's a	at least
25	get the context perfectly clear and I won't	repeat

1 it, I think you have explained to the Commission that, 2 in fact, the Statement of Claim is limited in time; not 3 broadly, we have agreed on that. Correct? 19439 MR. KAPLAN: It is quite clear now, 4 5 especially in hindsight, Mr. Pratte, that the Statement of Claim is carefully limited in time. Now that we know about the cash payments, it would have to be, 8 wouldn't it? 9 19440 MR. PRATTE: The Letter of Request limited the allegations to that. The claim could not 10 11 be broader than the Letter of Request upon which it was founded, could it? 12 13 19441 MR. KAPLAN: I have no idea, sir. 14 19442 MR. PRATTE: Are you called to the 15 Quebec bar? 16 19443 MR. KAPLAN: No. That's why I just said that I had no idea. 17 18 19444 MR. PRATTE: Well, that is maybe 19 something that we should figure into the context. 20 19445 Let's talk about the Examination for Discovery for a moment. 21 22 19446 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir. 23 19447 MR. PRATTE: Mr. Wolson said to you several times that the discovery before a plea in 24 25 Quebec was equivalent to that which pertains in

1	Ontario, for example.		
2	19448 Do you remember that?		
3	19449 MR. KAPLAN: I know that he said		
4	that, sir.		
5	19450 MR. PRATTE: Right. Now, you are a		
6	highly respected and acknowledged expert in labour law		
7	and employment law. Correct?		
8	19451 MR. KAPLAN: I wouldn't say correct,		
9	but I appreciate the characterization.		
10	19452 MR. PRATTE: Take the compliments		
11	when they come your way, Mr. Kaplan.		
12	19453 There are certain things that we can		
13	agree on, and I am willing to agree to that.		
14	19454 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: No matter the		
15	source.		
16	19455 MR. JACOBSEN: But the witness has a		
17	right to be suspicious in these circumstances, surely.		
18	19456 MR. PRATTE: You have no reason for		
19	suspicion, sir.		
20	Do you, in a regular part of your		
21	practice, engage in civil procedure trials and		
22	discoveries?		
23	19458 MR. KAPLAN: No, sir.		
24	19459 MR. PRATTE: In the Province of		
25	Quebec, are you aware that there is a procedure that		

1 doesn't exist in any other common law province -certainly not in Ontario -- which is that one can be 2 3 examined for discovery before the defence has filed? 19460 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir. 4 5 19461 MR. PRATTE: And are you aware that, on such an Examination for Discovery, the questioner is 6 limited to the four corners of the allegations in the 7 8 claim, and no more broad than that? 19462 MR. KAPLAN: Mr. Jeansonne explained that to me. 10 11 19463 MR. PRATTE: And you have no reason 12 to disagree with him. 13 19464 MR. KAPLAN: No. 14 19465 MR. PRATTE: Mr. Jeansonne is another highly respected lawyer, this time from the Province of 15 16 Quebec. Right? 19466 MR. KAPLAN: So I understand. 17 18 19467 MR. PRATTE: In your book -- that is 19 not a page that was part of the book. 20 19468 And when I say "in your book," I mean "A Secret Trial". 21 22 19469 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir. 23 19470 MR. PRATTE: It's not an excerpt which Commission counsel put in, but you address at 24 25 page 20, if you could have it in front of you --

1	19471 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
2	19472 MR. PRATTE: the Examination for
3	Discovery.
4	19473 Could I take you to the second full
5	paragraph, beginning in the middle of page 20
6	"Examinations on Discovery"
7	19474 Do you see that?
8	19475 It is page 20, the middle of the
9	page, and it starts and I quote: "Examinations on
10	Discovery"
11	19476 MR. KAPLAN: Oh, I'm sorry, I was
12	looking at page 21. Excuse me.
13	19477 Yes, sir.
14	19478 MR. PRATTE: I quote let me start
15	again:
16	"Examinations on Discovery
17	provide each side in a legal
18	action with a wide scope to ask
19	the other side questions, and
20	this one was no exception."
21	19479 You would agree with me that, in the
22	Province of Quebec, that is not quite accurate.
23	19480 MR. KAPLAN: I can tell you the
24	chronology, Mr. Pratte. After I found out about the
25	cash payments, I phoned Mr. Jeansonne, whom I had

1	developed a relationship with over the course of		
2	working on the first book, and expressed my dismay that		
3	Mr. Mulroney hadn't revealed the extent of his		
4	commercial relationship with Mr. Schreiber, and it was		
5	then that I fully learned about the technicalities of		
6	the Quebec code and		
7	19481 MR. PRATTE: The differences		
8	19482 MR. KAPLAN: the procedures that		
9	you just indicated.		
10	19483 MR. PRATTE: The differences between		
11	the Ontario system		
12	19484 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.		
13	19485 MR. PRATTE: So, when you talk about		
14	the wide scope, that has to be qualified by that		
15	understanding of the limits in Quebec discovery, when		
16	one is asked questions before one enters a defence.		
17	Correct?		
18	19486 MR. KAPLAN: If you are stating that		
19	those are the rules that apply, I agree with you.		
20	19487 MR. PRATTE: Thank you.		
21	19488 Then you say and I continue:		
22	"Government lawyers had the		
23	opportunity to put Mr.		
24	Mulroney's relationship with Mr.		
25	Schreiber under a microscope,		

1	but not once in the hundreds of
2	questions they put to the former
3	Prime Minister was he ever asked
4	point blank whether he had
5	accepted money from
6	Schreiber"
7	19489 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
8	19490 MR. PRATTE: That's correct?
9	19491 You have reviewed the transcript?
10	19492 MR. KAPLAN: I have to believe that
11	that is correct, but I haven't reviewed the transcript
12	since I wrote this book.
13	19493 MR. PRATTE: Okay. Now, after you
14	had spoken to Mr. Mathias in 2001, I believe, you did
15	contact Mr. Mulroney at some point in 2002
16	19494 MR. KAPLAN: Or vice versa.
17	19495 MR. PRATTE: Okay.
18	19496 And you said this morning to
19	Mr. Wolson, as I recall, that Mr. Mulroney did not deny
20	that he had received money from Karlheinz Schreiber
21	after he left office, but he disagreed with the amount
22	that you are putting to him. Correct?
23	19497 MR. KAPLAN: That's correct.
24	19498 MR. PRATTE: In fact, you said he
25	disputed that figure from the get-go, I think were your

1	words.	
2	19499 MR. KA	PLAN: Absolutely correct.
3	19500 MR. PR	ATTE: But when you actually
4	did put the question to	him, he admitted it?
5	19501 MR. KA	PLAN: Yes, sir, he did.
6	19502 MR. PR	ATTE: And that was
7	information, was it not,	that was important for your
8	series of articles?	
9	19503 MR. KA	PLAN: Well, it was important
10	for the third of the thr	ee.
11	19504 MR. PR	ATTE: Right. Because
12	confirmation obviously w	as key to being able to publish
13	that article, wasn't it?	
14	19505 MR. KA	PLAN: I wanted to be very
15	careful that the story w	as true.
16	19506 MR. PR	ATTE: Right.
17	19507 MR. KA	PLAN: But I
18	19508 MR. PR	ATTE: Now, you had approached
19	Mr. Schreiber before pub	lishing the story
20	19509 COMMIS	SIONER OLIPHANT: Mr. Kaplan,
21	did you finish your answ	er?
22	19510 MR. KA	PLAN: I was going to say that
23	I saw confirmation of th	at fact from other people as
24	well.	
25	19511 MR. PR	ATTE: Right. And one of them

1	was Mr. Schreiber?
2	19512 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
3	19513 MR. PRATTE: And he did not confirm
4	it before you published. Is that right?
5	19514 MR. KAPLAN: No. He did confirm it
6	before I published.
7	19515 MR. PRATTE: Well, in the note we
8	reviewed this morning I thought and maybe I can jus
9	find it. I know you spoke to him after publication.
10	19516 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
11	19517 MR. PRATTE: Let me just find it so
12	have my facts straight.
13	19518 In your notes, if you go to Tab 10
14	first of all.
15	19519 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
16	19520 MR. PRATTE: These are at least i
17	the book that has been put into evidence, Mr. Kaplan,
18	this appears to be the first interview for which we
19	have notes, at least that you have given the
20	Commission, of a conversation that you had with
21	Mr. Schreiber in '98?
22	19521 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
23	19522 MR. PRATTE: There is no reference t
24	the payments there, is there?
25	19523 MR. KAPLAN: No, sir.

1	19524 MR. PRATTE: Then in the next one		
2	there is a conversation that you have with		
3	Mr. Schreiber and Mr. Greenspan in 2002. Correct?		
4	19525 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.		
5	19526 MR. PRATTE: And then at page 4		
6	it's numbered manually, if I can express myself this		
7	way at the top, the last page, I think Mr. Wolson		
8	read this passage, you said:		
9	"I told him"		
10	19527 I'm quoting now, Mr. Commissioner.		
11	"I told him that I knew about		
12	the meeting at the Queen		
13	Elizabeth Hotel and his eyes		
14	narrowed and focused on Eddie		
15	but he said nothing."		
16	19528 Do you see that?		
17	19529 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.		
18	19530 MR. PRATTE: That was not confirming		
19	that he acknowledged the payments, was it?		
20	19531 MR. KAPLAN: No, sir.		
21	19532 MR. PRATTE: No.		
22	19533 Then the next one I have are two		
23	conversations that you have. There may be more than		
24	that, but they are all in 2004, after the publication.		
25	19534 Is that correct?		

1 19535 MR. KAPLAN: I'm sorry, I'm just 2 making a note to myself. Would you give me a moment, 3 please, Mr. Pratte? 19536 MR. PRATTE: Of course. 4 5 --- Pause 6 19537 MR. KAPLAN: I'm sorry, sir, what was 7 your question? 8 19538 MR. PRATTE: Maybe there were more 9 notes than that, but I don't see any conversation that you have recorded with Mr. Schreiber prior to the 10 11 publication, which is on November 10, 2003, where he 12 acknowledged paying Mr. Mulroney. 13 19539 Is that right? 14 19540 MR. KAPLAN: On the Thursday or 15 Friday prior to the publication of the Globe story, I had another meeting with Mr. Schreiber and at that 16 meeting he confirmed to me that he had paid \$300,000 to 17 18 Mr. Mulroney. 19 19541 When the Commission served a subpoena 20 on me and directed me to go back and look through all of my materials, I tried to find notes of that meeting, 21 22 which I vividly recall, but I was unable to do so. 23 19542 MR. PRATTE: In any event, when you first put it to Mr. Mulroney, he did not deny it; he 24 confirmed it? 25

1	19543 MR. KAPLAN: Nor did Mr. Johnson, nor		
2	did Mr. Lavoie.		
3	19544 MR. PRATTE: Right.		
4	19545 MR. KAPLAN: They all agreed that		
5	Mr. Mulroney was paid by Mr. Schreiber.		
6	19546 MR. PRATTE: And when you first put		
7	it, at least according to your notes, to Mr. Schreiber		
8	in 2002, he didn't confirm it. As I understand now		
9	from you, he confirmed it on the eve of the publication		
10	of the article. Correct?		
11	19547 MR. KAPLAN: Formally confirmed it		
12	then, yes, sir, but he knew that I knew.		
13	19548 MR. PRATTE: Well, I understand that,		
14	but that's not acknowledging because you had told		
15	him that in 2002.		
16	19549 MR. KAPLAN: Sure.		
17	19550 MR. PRATTE: Right.		
18	Pause		
19	19551 MR. PRATTE: When you wrote the book,		
20	the second book, "A Secret Trial", you explained the		
21	circumstances that led you to write that book, which		
22	included, apart from the secret trial per se, the new		
23	facts you had learned and which Mr. Mulroney had		
24	confirmed when you put it directly to him that he had		
25	received payments from Mr. Schreiber after he left as		

1	Prime Minister.	
2	2 19552 Y	You thought that that was a very
3	important fact to	share with the public. Correct?
4	19553 N	MR. KAPLAN: I felt that it was my
5	professional and m	oral obligation, as an historian of
6	the Airbus affair	who had written an exculpatory book
7	about Mr. Mulroney	castigating his enemies and finding
8	that he was a vict	im of a serious injustice, to correct
9	the record insofar	as there was new information
10	indicating that th	e person he was alleged to have been
11	involved in a cons	piracy with had paid him cash in
12	hotels and he had	not told the Canadian people about
13	it.	
14	19554	had felt an obligation, once I
15	learned that infor	mation, to correct the historical
16	record.	
17	7 19555 N	MR. PRATTE: And armed with that
18	piece of informati	on you wrote a conclusion to your
19	book. Maybe I cou	ld take you to pages 161 and 162.
20) 19556 M	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
21	19557 M	MR. PRATTE: And there you say in
22	about the eighth 1	ine, I quote:
23	}	"That single piece of
24	Į.	information changes everything."
25	5 19558 Y	You re talking about the payments and

1	hotel rooms. Right?	
2	19559 MR. KA	PLAN: That was my opinion,
3	sir.	
4	19560 MR. PR	ATTE: Well, has it changed?
5	19561 MR. KA	PLAN: No, sir.
6	19562 MR. PR	ATTE: No. Then you say:
7	п	No evidence has ever come
8	f	orward, none whatsoever, that
9	М	ulroney had any improper
10	i	nvolvement with Airbus, MBB or
11	В	earhead."
12	19563 Right?	
13	19564 MR. KA	PLAN: I believe that is still
14	true, sir.	
15	19565 MR. PR	ATTE: Right. So that applies
16	to the period of time wh	nere the conspiracy was alleged
17	while he was Prime Minis	ster?
18	19566 MR. KA	PLAN: It applies both to that
19	period of time and the p	period afterwards.
20	19567 MR. PR	ATTE: Right.
21	19568 MR. KA	PLAN: Are you going to read
22	on, Mr. Pratte?	
23	19569 MR. PR	ATTE: I'm happy to read on.
24	You then go on to say th	nat you thought he had a moral
25	obligation which you	have already told me. He had a

1	moral obligation to disclose that to the public as a
2	former Prime Minister. Right?
3	19570 MR. KAPLAN: Mr. Mulroney absolutely
4	had a moral obligation, I agree with you, Mr. Pratte,
5	since he was suing the Government of Canada for
6	\$50 million for saying he had been involved in a
7	criminal conspiracy with Mr. Schreiber and Mr. Moores,
8	to tell the Canadian people that he actually was
9	involved with Mr. Schreiber and had taken cash from hi
10	in hotels and failed to declare it on his income tax
11	for many years.
12	19571 MR. PRATTE: Okay. Well
13	19572 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir, I do believe
14	he had an obligation, because of the public trust he
15	enjoyed as Prime Minister, to be fully forthcoming.
16	19573 Moreover, Mr. Pratte, and you know,
17	you really can accuse me for being you can accuse m
18	of being old-fashioned, but I believe that when someon
19	is Prime Minister, the public trust doesn't just
20	involve their activities when they are Prime Minister
21	but it involves their activities before they are Prime
22	Minister after Prime Minister. And they can't rely or
23	the legal technicalities that are open to ordinary
24	litigants who appear before our courts.
25	19574 I think, sir, that they should come

1	forward and tell the Canadian people everything and let	_
2	the Canadian people, Mr. Pratte, decide whether their	
3	behaviour is appropriate or not.	
4	19575 MR. PRATTE: We will deal with that	
5	in due course, and I know that is your opinion	
6	forcefully articulated, as usual, and the Commissioner	
7	will have to answer some of these questions at the	
8	appropriate time.	
9	19576 But I want to at least understand	
10	what you are saying.	
11	19577 Your suspicions were aroused. You	
12	say Mr. Mulroney should have divulged that, but once	
13	you have that information you conclude and you have	
14	just confirmed it again today that it does not	
15	change your opinion that there was no evidence of a	
16	criminal conspiracy while he was Prime Minister or	
17	after. Correct?	
18	19578 MR. KAPLAN: No. My view is that	
19	there has been no evidence adduced that Mr. Mulroney	
20	has violated any Criminal Code laws.	
21	19579 MR. PRATTE: Right. And that was	
22	what the lawsuit was about because it was alleging, in	
23	fact it was stating, a violation of the Code. Right?	
24	19580 MR. KAPLAN: I'm sorry, sir.	
25	19581 MR. PRATTE: The lawsuit was about	

1	the fact that not only was there an allegation, there
2	was a statement he had violated the Criminal Code?
3	19582 MR. KAPLAN: If I recall correctly,
4	the letter of request said that the above-noted facts
5	establish that Mr. Mulroney, Mr. Schreiber and
6	Mr. Moores had violated Criminal Code laws and other
7	provisions and it was completely categorical.
8	19583 MR. PRATTE: And that's what the
9	lawsuit was about?
10	19584 MR. KAPLAN: And the facts that
11	underlay it as set out in the letter of request.
12	19585 MR. PRATTE: Right.
13	19586 Now, at page 162 you go on to say,
14	the third line, and I quote:
15	"There is no reason to believe
16	that the money was a reward for
17	official services rendered."
18	19587 You still believe that. Right?
19	19588 MR. KAPLAN: Frankly, Mr. Pratte, I
20	don't know what to believe any more, but I would say
21	that there is no evidence that the money was a reward
22	for official services rendered.
23	19589 MR. PRATTE: At Tab 15 Commission
24	counsel took you to a conversation you had with Mr. Luc
25	Lavoie.

1	19590 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
2	19591 MR. PRATTE: If you go to the second
3	page, this is a sentence I don't think Commission
4	counsel had you read, but the second line says, and I
5	quote:
6	"The truth was that Mulroney had
7	nothing to do with Airbus,
8	he"
9	19592 There is a word missing, obviously
10	"had":
11	" nothing to do with MBB and
12	he had nothing improper to do
13	with Bearhead."
14	19593 And you agree with me that there is
15	still no evidence of any criminal activity in respect
16	of those three companies. Correct?
17	19594 MR. KAPLAN: You know, Mr. Pratte,
18	I'm very glad that you drew that sentence to my
19	attention, because it proves the point I was making
20	earlier about how Mr. Mulroney's lawyers and
21	spokespeople phrase things, and this is just yet
22	another example.
23	19595 I think Mr. Yarosky's quote in the
24	Globe and Mail is the first we have dealt with about
25	how they carefully phrased things to mislead the

1	Canadian peop	le.
2	19596	If you take apart this sentence, I
3	think you wil	l agree with me that that is exactly what
4	has happened	here. Look at it.
5		"The truth was that Mulroney had
6		nothing to do with Airbus"
7	19597	Okay.
8		" he (had) nothing to do with
9		MBB"
L O	19598	And then Mr. Lavoie slips in:
L1		" and he had nothing improper
L2		to do with Bearhead."
L3	19599	There we go again, leaving the
L4	impression th	at he had nothing to do with anything when
L5	in fact the t	ruth is quite different.
L6	19600	MR. PRATTE: Well, with the greatest
L7	of respect, s	ir, I know you have an issue over what he
L8	should have v	colunteered, but you have told me already
L9	there is no e	vidence of any criminal activity,
20	including for	Bear Head.
21	19601	MR. KAPLAN: None that I'm aware of.
22	19602	MR. PRATTE: Right. And here what
23	Mr. Lavoie is	saying is I'm not denying there was
24	anything to d	o with Bear Head, he's saying there was
25	nothing impro	per about Bear Head.

1	19603 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
2	19604 MR. PRATTE: Right. So he is
3	acknowledging there was activity in respect of Bear
4	Head. Correct?
5	19605 MR. KAPLAN: At this point.
6	19606 MR. PRATTE: He is saying it is not
7	improper.
8	19607 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
9	19608 MR. PRATTE: Right.
10	19609 MR. KAPLAN: I made a more modest
11	point, Mr. Pratte, if you will forgive me: that these
12	are carefully chosen words to mislead me and mislead
13	the Canadian people about what his relationship was
14	with Mr. Schreiber.
15	19610 This is the second example we have
16	discussed in my evidence today.
17	19611 MR. PRATTE: Well, sir, by the time
18	you talk to Luc Lavoie the payments have been
19	acknowledged. Isn't that so?
20	19612 MR. KAPLAN: This is one example,
21	sir. Mr. Lavoie, as I'm sure he will confirm, will
22	tell you that we had many conversations.
23	19613 MR. PRATTE: Well, I know, but that's
24	not the point, sir. He is not denying in this that
25	there was nothing to do with Bear Head. He is saying

1	it's not improper.
2	19614 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
3	19615 MR. PRATTE: Right. And he has
4	acknowledged, as Mr. Mulroney did when you spoke to
5	him, that there were payments but these were for future
6	services, not some past services. Correct?
7	19616 MR. KAPLAN: I don't see that, sir.
8	19617 MR. PRATTE: Well, did he ever tell
9	you the money I got was money for what I did when I was
10	Prime Minister?
11	19618 MR. KAPLAN: Did Mr. Mulroney ever
12	tell me that?
13	19619 MR. PRATTE: Yes.
14	19620 MR. KAPLAN: No.
15	19621 MR. PRATTE: Did Mr. Lavoie say that?
16	19622 MR. KAPLAN: No.
17	19623 MR. PRATTE: No. And did you ask
18	them what it was for?
19	19624 MR. KAPLAN: I asked them many times
20	what the money was for.
21	19625 MR. PRATTE: And they told you it was
22	to assist Mr. Schreiber internationally, among other
23	things, isn't that so, after he left as Prime Minister?
24	19626 MR. KAPLAN: That's another example,
25	sir. That is the third example of different

1	explanations given at different times intended to
2	mislead people.
3	19627 The first thing Mr. Lavoie told me
4	was that the money was to assist Mr. Schreiber with hi
5	pasta machine.
6	19628 The second thing
7	19629 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: With his
8	what?
9	19630 MR. KAPLAN: With his pasta machine.
10	Mr. Schreiber had developed a pasta machine that he wa
11	trying to market in Toronto and elsewhere, I believe.
12	19631 The second thing Mr. Lavoie told me
13	that the money was to lobby for Bear Head.
14	19632 The third thing Mr. Lavoie told me
15	was it was for to work on behalf of a client and it wa
16	covered by solicitor-client privilege.
17	19633 The fourth thing Mr. Lavoie told me
18	and the world was that Mr. Mulroney was poor and neede
19	the money and that's why he "went for it".
20	19634 So I don't know, sir, which
21	explanation you are referring to, but there have been
22	number of them.
23	19635 MR. PRATTE: All of these
24	explanations relate to services to be rendered after h
25	was Prime Minister. Correct?

1	19636 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
2	19637 MR. PRATTE: And you have no reason
3	to believe that that wasn't so?
4	19638 MR. KAPLAN: No, sir.
5	19639 MR. PRATTE: Right. May I just have
6	a moment, Mr. Commissioner?
7	Pause
8	19640 MR. PRATTE: Okay. Now, we have
9	talked about the claim in the article which you say
10	explains why you didn't ask a direct question. You
11	also mentioned a statement by Mr. Wakim who is, I think
12	in your words, trying to belittle I'm paraphrasing
13	now, but he was minimizing the nature of the
14	relationship between Mr. Schreiber and Mr. Mulroney.
15	19641 Is that correct?
16	19642 MR. KAPLAN: That is one
17	characterization of what Mr. Wakim was doing, sir.
18	19643 MR. PRATTE: Okay. You say actually
19	in your book, and I think that page may be in Tab 22,
20	page 8.
21	19644 MR. KAPLAN: Page 8?
22	19645 MR. PRATTE: I'm sorry, I think I
23	have the wrong page. No, I have the wrong page.
24	19646 Yes, it's page 13.
25	19647 I think this will be found,

1	Mr. Commissioner, in your Tab 22, if you don't have the
2	book handy, page 13.
3	19648 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: I have it.
4	Pause
5	19649 MR. PRATTE: I would like to take
6	you refer actually to a conversation with a Larry Zolf.
7	19650 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
8	19651 MR. PRATTE: And just so you know,
9	Mr. Commissioner, I am about six or seven lines before
10	the bottom of that paragraph.
11	19652 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: I have it.
12	19653 MR. PRATTE: And then you say this
13	is you speaking or writing, I should say:
14	"However, I now learned that
15	their post-prime ministerial
16	get-togethers had to have been
17	something more than
18	'peripheral,' and that the
19	relationship between the two men
20	actually went way back. I had
21	been duped. Schreiber had been
22	part of the Mulroney circle even
23	before he entered public life.
24	In fact, he had played an
25	important behind-the-scenes role

1	in Mulroney's road to power."
2	19654 Do you see that?
3	19655 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
4	19656 MR. PRATTE: And then it is not part
5	of the excerpt, but in the footnote 8 you refer to a
6	Fifth Estate program in 2001 and to a section of The
7	Last Amigo, the work co-authored by Stevie Cameron and
8	Mr. Cashore.
9	19657 Is that right?
10	19658 MR. KAPLAN: I don't have the
11	footnote in front of me, but I accept that, sir.
12	19659 MR. PRATTE: Okay. Do you have the
13	book?
14	19660 MR. KAPLAN: Yes. I accept what you
15	are saying.
16	19661 MR. PRATTE: All right. So I would
17	like to look briefly, then, at the nature of the
18	relationship before Mr. Mulroney came to power and
19	where you say you have been duped by the word
20	"peripheral" there, because you found out that actually
21	the relationship went way back. And you say
22	Mr. Schreiber had been part of Mulroney's circle.
23	19662 So in effect what you are saying is
24	it is not peripheral; he is closer to the centre of the
25	circle. Right?

1	19663 MR. KAPLAN: I would say, Mr. Pratte,
2	that anyone who accepts \$300,000 in cash in motels from
3	someone to do I don't know what, does not have a
4	peripheral relationship with that person.
5	19664 MR. PRATTE: I understand that, but
6	let's take it piece by piece, if we can, Mr. Kaplan.
7	19665 MR. KAPLAN: Of course.
8	19666 MR. PRATTE: You say in your
9	statement that in fact it wasn't peripheral, it went
10	way back and he was in the circle that led him to the
11	road to power.
12	19667 So I want to examine for a minute or
13	two what the relationship was before he came to power.
14	19668 MR. KAPLAN: Okay.
15	19669 MR. PRATTE: Okay? Now, I don't know
16	how closely you have followed the proceedings here. I
17	know you have been commenting on television.
18	19670 But perhaps you heard that
19	Mr. Schreiber had filed an affidavit on November 7,
20	2007 in which he set out or purported to set out the
21	extent of his relationship with Mr. Mulroney.
22	19671 Do you recall that?
23	19672 MR. KAPLAN: I know he filed an
24	affidavit and I read it at that time.
25	19673 MR. PRATTE: And in that affidavit,

1 from memory of paragraphs 2 to 4, he describes meetings 2 he had with Mr. Mulroney before he became Prime 3 Minister and Leader of the Opposition. 19674 4 MR. KAPLAN: I accept your word on 5 that, sir. 6 19675 MR. PRATTE: Now, he had testified in 7 Eurocopter -- and he confirmed that to me and I have 8 the transcript reference, April 16, pages 854 to 855 --9 that he might have had one to three meetings with Mr. Mulroney before he became Leader of the Opposition. 10 11 19676 MR. KAPLAN: I accept your word on 12 that, sir. 13 19677 MR. PRATTE: And these were purely social meetings, there was no business attached to it. 14 They took place in a lounge or a bar in the Ritz 15 Carlton in Montréal. 16 17 19678 MR. KAPLAN: Again, I don't have any 18 direct knowledge of that, sir. 19 19679 MR. PRATTE: Well, that is what 20 Mr. Schreiber told us. 21 19680 MR. KAPLAN: I accept that, but I 22 wasn't here, I don't believe, for that evidence and I 23 don't recall seeing it on television. 24 19681 MR. PRATTE: He also said in

Eurocopter that he did not support Mr. Mulroney's bid

25

1	for leadership at September 10th, page 8, although he
2	alleged that he supported the leadership review.
3	19682 You will recall there was a
4	leadership review in Winnipeg I believe in January
5	1983, and when Mr. Clark lost the vote it was then
6	or decided to resign and call for a full convention,
7	there was then a Leadership Convention where
8	Mr. Mulroney was ultimately chosen as leader.
9	19683 MR. KAPLAN: Okay.
10	19684 MR. PRATTE: You know that
11	Mr. Schreiber acknowledged in Eurocopter he did not
12	support the leadership were Mr. Mulroney was actually
13	selected as opposed to the review.
14	19685 Did you know that?
15	19686 MR. KAPLAN: I have no knowledge of
16	this.
17	19687 MR. PRATTE: You have no knowledge of
18	that?
19	19688 MR. KAPLAN: I did not I think I
20	attended one day of the Eurocopter proceedings and
21	those proceedings of course took place years after I
22	wrote this book.
23	19689 MR. PRATTE: I'm sorry, when did you
24	read the book?
25	19690 MR. KAPLAN: Pardon me?

1	19691	MR. PRATTE:	Which book are we
2	talking about now?		
3	19692	MR. KAPLAN:	The second book, if I'm
4	correct.		
5	19693	MR. PRATTE:	When did you publish
6	your book?		
7	19694	MR. KAPLAN:	In 2004.
8	19695	MR. PRATTE:	Eurocopter was in 2004.
9	19696	MR. KAPLAN:	But when did it finish?
10	0 19697 MR. PRATTE: The t		The transcripts are from
11	2004.		
12	19698	MR. KAPLAN:	I don't recall that,
13	Mr. Pratte.		
14	19699	MR. PRATTE:	All right.
15	MR. KAPLAN: My book was out i		My book was out in the
16	fall of 2004. When was the Eurocopter matter		urocopter matter
17	concluded?		
18	19701	MR. PRATTE:	Well, you wrote about
19	it.		
20	19702	MR. KAPLAN:	Pardon me?
21	19703	MR. PRATTE:	You wrote about The
22	Secret Trial, di	dn't you?	
23	19704	MR. KAPLAN:	I wrote about The Secret
24	Trial in 2003.		
25	19705	MR. PRATTE:	Yes.

1	19706 MR. KAPLAN: Oh, are you talking
2	about that? I thought you were talking about the
3	preliminary inquiry, excuse me.
4	19707 There was also the preliminary
5	inquiry of course.
6	19708 MR. PRATTE: That's right.
7	19709 MR. KAPLAN: So I was getting
8	confused. I thought you were talking about the
9	preliminary inquiry, which carried on until 2006,
10	didn't it?
11	19710 MR. PRATTE: The transcript was 2004
12	is what I'm talking about.
13	19711 MR. KAPLAN: Okay. Well, I'm not
14	sure which proceeding you are talking about.
15	19712 There was a Eurocopter matter and
16	there was also a preliminary inquiry, wasn't there?
17	19713 MR. PRATTE: Yes, there was.
18	19714 MR. KAPLAN: Maybe somebody can help
19	refresh my memory, because I really don't
20	19715 MR. WOLSON: If I might just
21	interject?
22	19716 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Mr.
23	Wolson?
24	19717 MR. WOLSON: The difficulty with
25	putting evidence of witness to another witness is that

1	Mr. Schreiber has said a number of things and while the
2	statement my friend referred to in Eurocopter was put
3	to Mr. Schreiber, he also testified differently at the
4	hearing before you.
5	19718 And being selective on what
6	Mr. Schreiber says I know my friend isn't trying to
7	mischaracterize the evidence, but the difficulty is
8	that Mr. Schreiber has said different things at
9	different times.
L O	19719 MR. KAPLAN: Commissioner, if I may
L1	as well, sir?
L2	19720 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Yes?
L3	19721 MR. KAPLAN: My book has a 2004
L4	publication date and my recollection is that it came
L5	out in the fall of 2004, which means that I would have
L6	last looked at the page proofs for the book sometime in
L7	the spring of 2004.
L8	19722 So I'm not sure exactly about the
L9	timing of these different questions and I am confused
20	about what legal proceedings Mr. Pratte is referring me
21	to.
22	19723 MR. PRATTE: Mr. Kaplan, I'm not
23	blaming you or criticizing you for not referring to the
24	facts that you may not have had at hand at the time.
25	You referred to The Last Amigo in your book and the

1	2001 Fifth Estate program, right, to justify that
2	statement that we have read about Mr. Schreiber's
3	alleged role before he became Prime Minister?
4	19724 MR. KAPLAN: The Fifth Estate my
5	recollection is and I stand to be corrected. But
6	The Fifth Estate had quite an extensive program about
7	how Mr. Schreiber and Mr. Wolf paid for delegates from
8	Québec to come to Winnipeg and there was also a
9	reference in Mr. "MacDonald's book, L. Ian MacDonald's
L O	book, about how the cash was liberally spread around.
L1	and I quote these things.
L2	19725 MR. PRATTE: Yes. And in your book
L3	at page 14 you say about those payments, in the middle
L4	paragraph:
L5	"Mulroney dismissed the claims
L6	at the time as rumors and
L7	innuendos and it is of course
L8	possible that he was kept in the
L9	dark about some of the efforts
20	exerted on his behalf."
21	19726 Right?
22	19727 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
23	19728 MR. PRATTE: And you have no evidence
24	that he actually knew that Mr. Schreiber actually
25	nlayed any role in the leadership review?

1	19729 MR. KAPLAN: I tried to be fair to
2	Mr. Mulroney here by including that sentence.
3	19730 MR. PRATTE: You have no evidence
4	that Mr. Mulroney actually knew that Mr. Schreiber had
5	played any role in allegedly funding the leadership
6	review process.
7	19731 Is that correct?
8	19732 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
9	19733 MR. PRATTE: Thank you.
10	19734 Mr. Commissioner, I certainly didn't
11	intend to mislead when I was referring to Eurocopter to
12	the number of meetings, but at pages 854 and 855 of the
13	transcript, your transcript, I put to Mr. Schreiber the
14	fact that he had said earlier it was one to three
15	meetings, and in particular at question 8988 I said:
16	"Yes. And so should we not rely
17	on your recollection in 2004
18	rather than the change you made
19	a day or two ago when you said
20	five or six?"
21	19735 Meetings. He said:
22	"Yes, right."
23	19736 So he confirmed before you that his
24	better recollection was that there may have been one,
25	two or three meetings, that's it. That was the

1	Euroco	oter testimony. And we know these meetings are
2	euphem	istically called meetings because they were
3	so-cal	led meetings at the Ritz-Carlton.
4	19737	MR. KAPLAN: Mr. Pratte, all I would
5	say is	I don't know anything about anything you just
6	said.	
7	19738	MR. PRATTE: Well, you say in your
8	book,	sir, you state as a fact that there was a
9	Mr. Scl	nreiber was part of the circle; he was not on the
10	periph	ery. And he played a role in the road to power
11	to Mr.	Mulroney. Right?
12	19739	That's what you say as a fact.
13	19740	MR. KAPLAN: And then I provide the
14	eviden	ce upon which I rely.
15	19741	MR. PRATTE: And the only evidence is
16	not Eu	rocopter because you don't know the number of
17	meeting	gs that they had together. Correct?
18	19742	MR. KAPLAN: Well, I'm not familiar
19	with th	ne transcript of the Eurocopter proceeding, if
20	that's	what you are asking.
21	19743	MR. PRATTE: So the only thing you
22	have is	s the allegation that Mr. Schreiber may have paid
23	some mo	oney in respect of the leadership review.
24	Correct	5?
25	19744	MR. KAPLAN: Confirmed by L. Ian

1 MacDonald in his biography of Mr. Mulroney and by 2 Dalton Camp I believe in his biography or 3 autobiography. 19745 MR. PRATTE: The reference in your 4 book refers to The Fifth Estate? 5 6 19746 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir. 19747 MR. PRATTE: And to The Last Amigo. 7 8 19748 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir. 19749 MR. PRATTE: And you have no evidence, as you have told me that is the only thing 10 11 that Mr. Mulroney knew of any such activity. 12 19750 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir, but I don't 13 think you are being fair because I also refer 14 somewhere -- and I don't know where, to Mr. L. Ian MacDonald who in his book said that a quarter million 15 16 dollars was required in cash. I believe that's what he said. 17 18 19751 Perhaps I could look for that and 19 bring it to your attention. MR. PRATTE: Well, I would like to 20 19752 21 see the actual reference. 22 19753 MR. KAPLAN: I'm just not sure where 23 that is. That's by memory, in any event, Mr. Pratte. 24 19754 MR. PRATTE: Well, would you agree 25 with me that --

```
1
    19755
                           MR. KAPLAN: Could you hold on,
 2
         please, I just want to look at some footnotes.
 3
    19756
                           MR. PRATTE: Of course we can.
         Footnote 8.
         --- Pause
 5
 6
    19757
                           MR. KAPLAN: So these are the
7
         references, sir, that I rely on for the information
 8
         about Mr. Schreiber and his involvement in
 9
         Mr. Mulroney's ascension to power: the transcript of
         The Fifth Estate; The Last Amigo by Harvey Cashore and
10
11
         Stevie Cameron; L. Ian MacDonald's book Mulroney: The
12
         Making of the Prime Minister; John Sawatsky's book,
13
         Mulroney: The Politics of Ambition; Ron Graham's book,
14
         One-Eyed Kings; and another Fifth Estate transcript.
15
                           So those are the references I rely
    19758
16
     on, sir.
17
    19759
                           COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT:
18
         Kaplan...?
19
    19760
                           MR. KAPLAN: Yes...?
20
    19761
                           COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: You have a
21
         footnote where the references to those various sources
22
         are made, I take it. You might help Mr. Pratte a bit
23
         if you could refer him to that.
24
    19762
                           MR. KAPLAN: Chapter 1, footnote 8
         and 9.
25
```

1	19763 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Okay.
2	19764 MR. JACOBSEN: Page 219.
3	19765 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Page 219?
4	19766 If you think you have a problem,
5	Mr. Pratte, I don't even have a copy of the book in
6	front of me.
7	19767 MR. KAPLAN: You see sorry to
8	interrupt, Mr. Pratte.
9	19768 If you go to page 15 and you go to
10	the second full paragraph, I write:
11	"It took a quarter of \$1 million
12	in cash according to L. Ian
13	MacDonald, Mulroney's official
14	biographer, to 'get the Pro
15	review delegates to Winnipeg'."
16	19769 So that is the reference that I was
17	making before.
18	19770 MR. PRATTE: Okay. Well, I was
19	referring to footnote 8 where you say you had been
20	duped and that he had played an important behind the
21	scenes role at page 13. And it is only footnote 8,
22	nothing else; correct?
23	19771 MR. KAPLAN: But if you go on and
24	turn the page, sir, you will see there is footnote 9,
25	which follows three paragraphs discussing

1	Mr. Schreiber's role and the sources that support it.
2	19772 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Where are you
3	at, Mr. Kaplan? I just got a copy of the book.
4	19773 MR. KAPLAN: We are now on page 13,
5	sir, and Mr. Pratte, as I understand it, is referring
6	to footnote 8, which is at the end of the first full
7	paragraph.
8	19774 And then the bottom of that page and
9	two-thirds of the page that follow detail what role
10	Mr. Schreiber played in Mr. Mulroney's ascension to
11	power and provides the scholarly references for it,
12	including Mr. MacDonald's book in which Mr. MacDonald,
13	Mr. Mulroney's official biographer, speaks about the
14	cash that was required to bring the delegates to
15	Winnipeg.
16	19775 MR. PRATTE: Let me just get this
17	straight, sir. You told me, though, clearly you have
18	no evidence Mr. Mulroney knew anything about the
19	alleged role Mr. Schreiber played in respect of the
20	leadership review. Right?
21	19776 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
22	19777 MR. PRATTE: And Mr. Schreiber was
23	not at that time an intimate friend. He has never
24	claimed that of Mr. Mulroney. Correct?
25	19778 MR. KAPLAN: You would have to ask

him. He has never said that to me, though, sir. 1 2 19779 MR. PRATTE: Right. And he was not a business associate at that time? We are talking before 3 he becomes Prime Minister. 4 19780 MR. KAPLAN: I don't believe so. 5 6 19781 MR. PRATTE: No. 7 19782 MR. PRATTE: And he was not, to your 8 knowledge, an adviser, and inner circle adviser to 9 Mr. Mulroney in respect of his political ambitions? 19783 MR. KAPLAN: All I know is what I 10 11 have written in the book, sir. 12 19784 MR. PRATTE: That's right. And all you know is that he claims to have given money to some 13 of the organizers, but you can't say that Mr. Mulroney 14 knew anything about that. Right? 15 16 19785 MR. KAPLAN: I believe I have confirmed that several times, sir. 17 18 19786 MR. PRATTE: Right. So in terms of 19 what Mr. Mulroney knew about Mr. Schreiber, you don't 20 know that he knew anything about his activities. He was not a friend, he was not a business associate, he 21 22 was not part of his inner circle of advisers. 23 19787 In terms of how he knew Mr. Schreiber at that time, you have no evidence to suggest he knew 24 25 him any other way than peripherally at that time?

1	19788 MI	R. KAPLAN: Well, I wouldn't use the
2	word peripheral, si	r. In my experience and it is
3	not extensive in po	litical matters I would expect
4	that if somebody pr	ovided a lot of funds to assist
5	someone else in att	aining the leadership of a national
6	political party tha	t it would necessarily be unknown to
7	that person.	
8	19789 Bi	at if your rejoinder, sir, for the
9	fourth time is I ha	ve no direct evidence of that, that
10	is correct.	
11	19790 MH	R. PRATTE: Did you ever ask
12	Mr. Mulroney if he	knew of Mr. Schreiber financing
13	anything in respect	of his the Leadership Review?
14	Did you ever ask hi	m that question?
15	19791 MI	R. KAPLAN: Mr. Pratte, when I went
16	to write this book,	Mr. Mulroney and I no longer had
17	the cordial relatio	nship we once did.
18	19792 MI	R. PRATTE: But when you wrote the
19	first book you didn	't ask him that question either, did
20	you?	
21	19793 MI	R. KAPLAN: When I wrote the first
22	book I thought, as	Mr. Mulroney testified and as his
23	lawyers and spokesp	eople indicated, that he barely knew
24	the guy.	
25	19794 MI	R. PRATTE: Well, he did

1	19795 MR. KAPLAN: So when one barely knows
2	a guy, it doesn't make a lot of sense to say well, did
3	he pay you cash in a motel or did he support your
4	leadership bid?
5	19796 MR. PRATTE: Okay. But let me
6	understand this, sir. You asked him about the cash
7	payments when you found out from Mr. Mathias and he
8	answered he didn't deny them. Right?
9	19797 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
10	19798 MR. PRATTE: Right. And you knew
11	about The Fifth Estate program in 2001 before you
12	talked to Mr. Mulroney, correct, alleging
13	Mr. Schreiber's role in the leadership review?
14	19799 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
15	19800 MR. PRATTE: You didn't put that to
16	Mr. Mulroney while you were talking to him up until the
17	eve of the publication in 2003.
18	19801 MR. KAPLAN: I don't believe so, no.
19	19802 MR. PRATTE: Now, while he was Prime
20	Minister, do you know how often Mr. Schreiber had met
21	Mr. Mulroney?
22	19803 MR. KAPLAN: I believe I read an
23	article about Mr. Schreiber's testimony to that effect
24	that was published after his appearance here, but I
25	don't remember the exact number.

1	19804 MR. PRATTE: I believe that
2	Mr. Wolson put to Mr. Schreiber that he may have met
3	with him during that period 10 to 12 times, if one
4	relies on his agendas and the correspondence.
5	19805 That's my recollection of the number.
6	19806 MR. KAPLAN: I haven't looked at the
7	transcript, but I will accept your account.
8	19807 MR. PRATTE: How long was
9	Mr. Mulroney Prime Minister for? Nine years?
10	19808 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
11	19809 MR. PRATTE: So roughly maybe a
12	meeting a year, maybe a tiny bit more, assuming those
13	numbers and assuming that Mr. Schreiber doesn't inflate
14	the numbers in his agendas.
15	19810 Let's make that assumption. Right?
16	19811 MR. KAPLAN: Okay.
17	19812 MR. PRATTE: Can you go with that?
18	19813 MR. KAPLAN: I mean, I don't
19	19814 MR. PRATTE: You have no other
20	evidence.
21	19815 MR. KAPLAN: I wasn't there. I have
22	no direct information or knowledge about that.
23	19816 MR. PRATTE: All right.
24	19817 MR. JACOBSEN: Mr. Commissioner, I
25	don't think it's fair. I mean, if he meets nine times,

1 10 to 12 times, it doesn't mean he met once a year. He 2 could have met all those in one year. I mean I don't 3 see what averaging it out does and I think it's only confusing to the witness. 4 19818 5 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Well, maybe 6 we can just agree that it was 12 times over the space 7 of nine years. 8 19819 MR. KAPLAN: Well, I can't agree to any of this because I don't have any direct knowledge about any of this. 10 11 19820 MR. PRATTE: Okay. 12 19821 MR. KAPLAN: I can only agree that 13 Mr. Pratte tells me that Mr. Schreiber says this. MR. PRATTE: Okay. So when you 14 19822 15 describe Mr. Schreiber, then, as part of the circle of 16 Mr. Mulroney going back to before he was Prime Minister, you are not making that statement on the 17 18 basis of whatever meetings they may have had while he 19 was Prime Minister because you say you know nothing 20 about that. 21 Is that right? 19823 22 19824 MR. KAPLAN: No, I knew that he had 23 meetings with respect to the Bear Head Project. 19825 MR. PRATTE: Right. 24 MR. KAPLAN: I don't know the number 25 19826

of meetings, but I know he was pitching that project. 1 2 19827 MR. PRATTE: Right. And that's the 3 project that in the end, during Mr. Mulroney's tenure anyway, did not go ahead. Correct? 19828 5 MR. KAPLAN: That's correct, sir. 6 19829 MR. PRATTE: So whatever alleged 7 influence Mr. Schreiber may have had on the Government 8 of Canada, it was not sufficient to overcome the advice of the civil servants who were against it. Right? 19830 MR. KAPLAN: That appears to be the 10 11 case. 12 19831 MR. PRATTE: Right. 13 19832 And do you know whether during the time Mr. Mulroney was Prime Minister he ever went to 14 Mr. Schreiber's home? 15 16 19833 MR. KAPLAN: I have never heard that, 17 no. 18 19834 MR. PRATTE: And he was not part of 19 his inner circle of intimate friends? 20 19835 MR. KAPLAN: You know, you are asking me to comment on something that I can only tell you 21 22 what Mr. Schreiber has told me. 23 --- Pause 19836 24 MR. KAPLAN: I'm sorry to interrupt, 25 but I can tell you that Mr. Schreiber would regularly

1	fish out of his wallet a worn telegram from
2	Mr. Mulroney congratulating him on becoming a Canadian
3	citizen. He was very proud of the many photographs
4	that he had with Mr. and Mrs. Mulroney and the warm
5	inscriptions.
6	19837 I mean, I don't put a lot of stock in
7	that, but I can tell you that from Mr. Schreiber's
8	perspective these were important mementos of a
9	significant relationship.
LO	19838 MR. PRATTE: You stay in your book at
L1	page 17 there is an inscription beside the picture I
L2	think you are referring to, and the inscription is
L3	sorry, you write, or the editor writes:
L4	"The inscription under this
L5	picture speaks for itself:
L6	'For my dear friend Karlheinz
L7	with gratitude and best personal
L8	regards."
L9	19839 MR. KAPLAN: I remember this
20	photograph of course and when we went to reproduce it
21	in the book, the handwriting couldn't come up and so
22	that's why we put it over on the side.
23	19840 MR. PRATTE: Were you here for the
24	evidence of Mr. Smith a day or two ago who said that
25	hundreds if not thousands of such pictures with such

1	inscriptions were sent?
2	19841 MR. KAPLAN: I wasn't here, but that
3	wouldn't surprise me, no.
4	19842 MR. PRATTE: So while he is Prime
5	Minister all you know is that he may have met for an
6	unknown number of times in respect to the Bear Head
7	Project?
8	19843 MR. KAPLAN: I don't think I make any
9	other claim in my book or anywhere else.
10	19844 MR. PRATTE: And would you agree with
11	me, sir, that there are almost certainly hundreds of
12	people that Mr. Mulroney knew better than
13	Mr. Schreiber, either as friends or as professional
14	advisors?
15	19845 MR. KAPLAN: Isn't that a question,
16	sir, more properly put to Mr. Mulroney?
17	19846 MR. PRATTE: So you have no evidence
18	to suggest that the relationship was any different, do
19	you?
20	19847 MR. KAPLAN: I'm not following your
21	question, sir.
22	19848 MR. PRATTE: You said that he was not
23	just peripheral, he was part of the circle, and I want
24	to understand what you meant before
25	19849 MR. KAPLAN: What I meant, sir, is

Τ	what I said in the book, that Mr. Schreiber played an
2	important role in Mr. Mulroney's rise to power.
3	19850 How did he play that role? He played
4	that role along with Walter Wolf and other people by
5	ensuring that pro-Mulroney delegates were flown to
6	Winnipeg on a special plane, that they got enough money
7	to pay for their expenses, that their wives or partners
8	got enough money to go shopping and that they lined up
9	to vote for Mr. Mulroney.
10	19851 That's what I meant by
11	Mr. Schreiber's involvement in Mr. Mulroney's ascension
12	to power.
13	19852 MR. KAPLAN: But you criticize
14	Mr. Mulroney for not telling the Canadian public about
15	this relationship and you assume that he knew that
16	Mr. Schreiber was involved in that.
17	19853 Isn't that right?
18	19854 MR. KAPLAN: That is absolutely
19	incorrect, Mr. Pratte. At no occasion have I ever
20	criticized Mr. Mulroney for not telling Canadian people
21	that Mr. Schreiber had helped fund his rise to power,
22	on no occasion.
23	19855 In fact, I bent over backwards in the
24	account that you refer to to say that there is no
25	evidence, none whatsoever, that Mr. Mulroney knew about

1	this, even though Mr. Camp, the President of the Party
2	knew about it, even though other people knew about it.
3	19856 So I make it very clear, sir
4	excuse me, may I finish, please?
5	19857 I make it very clear
6	19858 MR. PRATTE: I don't think I said
7	anything.
8	19859 MR. KAPLAN: that there is no
9	evidence that Mr. Mulroney knew about this.
10	19860 What I do say, and what I continue to
11	say and you and I, I suppose, will disagree about
12	this forever is that when Mr. Mulroney was suing the
13	Canadian people for \$50 million for saying that he had
14	had an improper business relationship with
15	Mr. Schreiber he should have told us that he was taking
16	cash in motels from Mr. Schreiber at that very time and
17	that he wasn't declaring the income to CRA.
18	19861 That's what I say. That's my one
19	modest criticism of Mr. Mulroney.
20	19862 MR. PRATTE: I want to just get
21	something straight, sir, because in your book you say
22	he should have told me and I was duped because inter
23	alia he was an important behind-the-scenes role or
24	he played an important behind-the-scenes role in
25	Mr. Mulroney's road to power; right?

1	1 19863 Let me	just that's what you state.
2	2 19864 MR. KAP	LAN: Yes, sir.
3	3 19865 MR. PRA	TTE: And you imply that
4	4 Mr. Mulroney knew about t	this, otherwise why can you
5	5 criticize him for not div	rulging any more about
6	6 Mr. Schreiber?	
7	7 19866 MR. KAP	LAN: I invite the
8	8 Commissioner, sir, to loc	ok at what I say and how I say
9	9 it, because I go out of m	ny way to indicate there is no
10	0 evidence that Mr. Mulrone	ey knew of Mr. Schreiber's
11	1 financing activities and	his leadership.
12	2 19867 What I	was duped about, sir, and I
13	3 say this with some embarr	cassment, is believing
14	4 Mr. Mulroney when he told	l me that he had no
15	5 relationship with Mr. Sch	reiber. I was duped by
16	6 believing the Statement of	of Claim, maybe not reading it
17	7 as technically as I shoul	d have. I was duped by
18	8 Mr. Yarosky, by Mr. Wakin	n, by Mr. Lavoie, by all sorts
19	9 of people who claimed that	at these two guys didn't know
20	0 each other when they were	e squirrelled away in a motel
21	1 handing over cash.	
22	2 19868 So yes,	sir, was I duped? Do I have
23	a criticism about that?	Yes, sir, I do, and the
24	4 criticism is that if you	are the Prime Minister of
25	5 Canada, you have a public	trust and that means when

1	questions are asked about your conduct before, during
2	or after you are a Prime Minister you come forward, you
3	answer those questions, you leave out no details, and
4	you let the Canadian people decide whether you acted
5	improperly.
6	19869 That is my one and only criticism of
7	Mr. Mulroney.
8	19870 MR. PRATTE: Okay. So I understand
9	this correctly, you are not saying that the
10	relationship was such other than the commercial
11	relationship after he left office?
12	19871 MR. KAPLAN: I have no idea what the
13	relationship was, Mr. Pratte.
14	19872 MR. PRATTE: Okay. So in your mind
15	what cannot make it peripheral is the fact he had a
16	commercial relationship after he left office?
17	19873 MR. KAPLAN: What cannot make it
18	peripheral is a former Prime Minister of Canada meeting
19	with someone he had dealt with in an official capacity,
20	one month after he stepped down from being Prime
21	Minister, while he was still a Member of Parliament,
22	meeting him in a motel, taking \$100,000 in cash, taking
23	another \$100,000 in cash at a subsequent meeting at the
24	Queen Elizabeth Hotel, taking a third \$100,000 in cash
25	in New York City, not declaring the income in the year

1	in which it was received, as required by CRA, not
2	telling the Canadian people about it, sending his
3	lawyers and spokespeople out to convince all of us,
4	including me, that there was nothing to do with
5	Schreiber, and then not providing a proper explanation
6	about what the money was for and what the services were
7	that he provided.
8	19874 That is my criticism.
9	19875 MR. PRATTE: With all of this,
L O	though, it doesn't change your view that there was any
L1	illegal activity while he was Prime Minister. Correct?
L2	19876 MR. KAPLAN: I have not seen any
L3	evidence whatsoever
L4	19877 MR. PRATTE: Right.
L5	19878 MR. KAPLAN: indicating that
L6	Mr. Mulroney did anything wrong while he was Prime
L7	Minister with respect to his relationship with
L8	Mr. Schreiber and Mr. Moores and Mr. Doucet and the
L9	other people that we are concerned about.
20	19879 MR. PRATTE: At pages 10 to 11 of
21	your book you say, at the very bottom of the page, the
22	last three or four lines, Mr. Commissioner, it starts,
23	and I quote:
24	"When the newspaper reporter
25	asked why Mulroney did not make

1	th	is matter public at an earlier
2	ti	me, the confidante replied
3	th	at Mr. Mulroney was 'fearful
4	of	creating a false impression
5	ir	the middle of what he
6	de	scribed as a witchhunt over
7	th	e Airbus affair'. The fear
8	Wa	s hardly misplaced."
9	19880 MR. KAF	LAN: That's right.
10	19881 MR. PRA	TTE: Do you still agree with
11	those words?	
12	19882 MR. KAF	LAN: Yes, sir.
13	19883 MR. PRA	TTE: And the fear of course
14	was that if it became pu	olic, suspicions would be
15	aroused and people might	think there was criminal
16	behaviour. Right?	
17	19884 MR. KAF	LAN: Well, think of the
18	chronology, Mr. Pratte.	Mr. Mulroney
19	19885 MR. PRA	TTE: Could you just answer
20	that question?	
21	19886 MR. KAF	LAN: Yes, I can.
22	19887 MR. PRA	TTE: And then you can give me
23	the explanation	
24	19888 MR. KAE	LAN: Sure.
25	19889 MR. PRA	TTE: so I get it straight.

1	Thank you.
2	19890 MR. KAPLAN: The answer to the
3	question the question was: Was the fear misplaced
4	I say no, the fear wasn't misplaced.
5	19891 The reason why the fear wasn't
6	misplaced, sir, is because Mr. Mulroney took the cash
7	payments in 1993 and 1994. He didn't declare the
8	income as required by law in the years in which he
9	received it.
L O	19892 The letter of request was sent in
L1	1995 and the lawsuit began at that time and was
L2	eventually settled in 1997.
L3	19893 So sure, if Mr. Mulroney had come
L4	forward in 1995 to reveal the cash payments, he would
L5	also have to reveal that in addition to taking cash
L6	from Mr. Schreiber in a Québec motel and elsewhere, he
L7	had also not declared the income on his income tax.
L8	19894 So I think he did have a problem.
L9	19895 MR. PRATTE: The fear the income
20	tax issue, you assume it was income we will deal
21	with the tax issue in an appropriate way at another
22	time.
23	19896 But the fear, was it not, Mr. Kaplan
24	was that people might try to make a link to the
2.5	allegations in the letter of request.

1	19897	Isn't that right?
2	19898	MR. KAPLAN: I think that the
3	ordinar	y Canadian, upon learning that Mr. Mulroney had
4	in fact	taken cash from Mr. Schreiber in hotels, would
5	be hard	-pressed not to link it to the allegations set
6	out in	the letter of request.
7	19899	MR. PRATTE: And now that you know
8	that, th	nat would have been a false impression because
9	there is	s no evidence that in fact they were linked.
10	19900	Is that correct?
11	19901	MR. KAPLAN: It would have certainly
12	clouded	the issue for most people.
13	19902	MR. PRATTE: But now that you know
14	that and	d you have reflected on this, I suggest to you
15	that who	en you think about it, it would have created a
16	false in	mpression, because you have confirmed that there
17	is no e	vidence that there was any illegal behaviour.
18	Correct	?
19	19903	MR. KAPLAN: I have confirmed that,
20	sir.	
21	19904	MR. PRATTE: Right.
22	19905	And when you met with Mr. Mulroney
23	about tl	ne letter of request and the impact on him and
24	his fam:	ily, you sensed, did you not, that he was going
25	through	a had gone through an incredible ordeal as a

1	result of these unsubstantiated statements?
2	19906 MR. KAPLAN: That is clearly how he
3	presented.
4	19907 MR. PRATTE: Do you doubt that in any
5	way?
6	19908 MR. KAPLAN: You know, Mr. Pratte,
7	over the years that I have been involved in this
8	particular story I have wondered about all sorts of
9	things, and what I wonder about now is on the one hand
10	the moral outrage and the sense of personal hurt he
11	described and, on the other hand, portraying and
12	describing all of these things when he knew that he was
13	meeting with Mr. Schreiber in a motel and several
14	hotels taking cash.
15	19909 The indignation, it seems to me upon
16	reflection, isn't as well placed as it would have been
17	if he was truly a victim of a grievous injustice.
18	19910 MR. PRATTE: So you are telling me
19	you don't believe that he suffered, and his family
20	suffered as a result of what turned out to be false
21	allegations in the letter of request?
22	19911 MR. KAPLAN: I'm not saying that at
23	all, sir.
24	19912 MR. PRATTE: All right.
25	19913 MR. KAPLAN: He obviously suffered

1	and I'm sure his family suffered as well. But we have
2	to remember that the suffering was in part, sir,
3	because of his own behaviour in taking cash from
4	Mr. Schreiber in hotels and not declaring it on his
5	income tax.
6	19914 MR. PRATTE: Well, just a minute,
7	sir. The suffering was due to the false allegation and
8	letter of request in 1995. Right?
9	19915 MR. KAPLAN: I think that is a very
10	narrow way of looking at it. I'm sure the suffering
11	also had to do with the fear of exposure of the cash
12	payments.
13	19916 MR. PRATTE: Because of the false
14	impression they would create?
15	19917 MR. KAPLAN: Because of the
16	impression they would create; right.
17	19918 MR. PRATTE: And that impression
18	would have been false had someone concluded that there
19	was criminal behaviour. Right?
20	19919 MR. KAPLAN: I'm sure different
21	people hearing these facts will reach different
22	conclusions about them.
23	19920 MR. PRATTE: Now, just to conclude,
24	Mr. Mulroney of course knew about the cash payments
25	before he acknowledged them to you. Right?

1	19921	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir, he was there.
2	19922	MR. PRATTE: And you have no reason
3	to doubt when he	says that these were for future
4	services and not	for the past conduct. You don't have
5	any reason or evi	dence to doubt that?
6	19923	MR. KAPLAN: Frankly, Mr. Pratte, I
7	have heard Mr. Mu	ulroney's different explanations. I
8	read his evidence	e before the Ethics Committee. I have
9	heard the explana	ations that his lawyers and
10	spokespeople have	e provided. There are as many
11	contradictions in	the different accounts of what the
12	money was for tha	at have been promulgated by the
13	Mulroney side as	there are inconsistencies in the
14	evidence of Mr. S	Schreiber.
15	19924	So actually I do think the
16	Commissioner is g	going to have a very difficult job in
17	figuring out what	exactly happened.
18	19925	MR. PRATTE: But I just want to get
19	something clear.	
20	19926	I understand you are not clear as to
21	what the money mi	ght have been for, but it was going
22	forward you have	no evidence it was to in respect of
23	services that wer	re rendered while he was Prime
24	Minister?	
25	19927	MR. KAPLAN: I believe I have

1	testified to that effect, sir.
2	19928 MR. PRATTE: You have agreed you have
3	confirmed that; correct?
4	19929 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
5	19930 MR. PRATTE: Yes.
6	Pause
7	19931 MR. PRATTE: Thank you, Mr. Kaplan.
8	19932 MR. KAPLAN: Thank you, Mr. Pratte.
9	19933 MR. PRATTE: Thank you, sir.
10	19934 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Thank you,
11	Mr. Pratte.
12	19935 Just before we decide on what we are
13	going to do, counsel, did you agree amongst yourselves
14	the order in which questioning would be done; and, if
15	you did agree, would somebody let me know?
16	19936 MR. HOUSTON: I don't think my order
17	matters, Mr. Commissioner, because I have no questions.
18	Thanks.
19	19937 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Okay. Thanks
20	very much.
21	19938 MR. VICKERY: I have not spoken to
22	Mr. Auger at this point.
23	19939 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Oh, I thought
24	I had asked to speak over the noon hour.
25	19940 MR. VICKERY: I had spoken to

1	Mr. Pratte, but	I did not have the chance to speak to
2	Mr. Auger.	
3	19941	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Do you intend
4	on asking questi	ons of Mr. Kaplan?
5	19942	MR. VICKERY: Yes, briefly.
6	19943	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: You do.
7	19944	Mr. Auger, will you have questions
8	for Mr. Kaplan?	
9	19945	MR. AUGER: Very brief, Commissioner,
10	5-10 minutes.	
11	19946	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Okay.
12	19947	Would you like to take a break? You
13	have been on the	e stand
14	19948	MR. KAPLAN: I am happy to continue,
	19948 sir.	MR. KAPLAN: I am happy to continue,
	sir.	MR. KAPLAN: I am happy to continue, COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: All right.
15	sir. 19949	
15 16 17	sir. 19949	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: All right. Well, as between Mr. Vickery and
15 16 17	sir. 19949 19950	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: All right. Well, as between Mr. Vickery and
15 16 17 18	sir. 19949 19950 Mr. Auger, who w	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: All right. Well, as between Mr. Vickery and vill go next?
15 16 17 18 19	sir. 19949 19950 Mr. Auger, who w	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: All right. Well, as between Mr. Vickery and vill go next? MR. AUGER: I will. COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Okay. You
15 16 17 18 19 20	sir. 19949 19950 Mr. Auger, who w 19951 19952	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: All right. Well, as between Mr. Vickery and vill go next? MR. AUGER: I will. COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Okay. You
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	sir. 19949 19950 Mr. Auger, who w 19951 19952 are going to be 19953	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: All right. Well, as between Mr. Vickery and vill go next? MR. AUGER: I will. COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Okay. You 10 minutes?

Mr. Schreiber.

25

1	19956	MR. KAPLAN: I understand that, sir.
2	Thank you.	
3	19957	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Okay.
4	EXAMINATION: WI	LLIAM KAPLAN BY MR. AUGER /
5	INTERROGATOIRE	: WILLIAM KAPLAN PAR Me AUGER
6	19958	MR. AUGER: Good afternoon,
7	Mr. Kaplan.	
8	19959	I have gone through the exhibit book
9	that was filed	today in support of your evidence, which
10	is P-25, and ju	st with the benefit of the index a quick
11	glance shows th	at they are were at least nine
12	interviews that	you conducted with Mr. Mulroney.
13	19960	Is that right?
14	19961	MR. KAPLAN: Well, there were many
15	more interviews	than that, but I only provided to the
16	Commission thos	e that were relevant to Bear Head.
17	19962	MR. AUGER: Would there be more
18	interviews than	nine relevant to Bear Head?
19	19963	MR. KAPLAN: No. I made my best
20	efforts to ensu	re that any interview with any reference
21	to Bear Head wa	s provided to Commission counsel.
22	19964	MR. AUGER: Those interviews
23	specifically re	lating to Bear Head cover the period of
24	approximately s	ix years, going back to 1997?
25	19965	MR. KAPLAN: 1997 to sometime in

1	2003.
2	19966 MR. AUGER: And I think you even
3	alluded earlier in your evidence that there were
4	interviews that lasted many hours?
5	19967 MR. KAPLAN: There were interviews
6	with Mr. Mulroney that lasted many hours.
7	19968 MR. AUGER: Correct.
8	19969 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
9	19970 MR. AUGER: And that's the focus of
10	my questioning.
11	19971 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
12	19972 MR. AUGER: And so obviously over a
13	number of six years, perhaps dozens of hours spent with
14	Mr. Mulroney discussing Bear Head?
15	19973 MR. KAPLAN: No. No, we discussed
16	all sorts of things.
17	19974 The only references to Bear Head are
18	the ones that I provided to Commission counsel.
19	19975 MR. AUGER: And I have reviewed all
20	of the notes that you have filed in relation to
21	Mr. Mulroney's interviews on the issue of Bear Head and
22	there are some 50 or 60 pages of notes.
23	19976 What I'm interested in, I noticed
24	that there was no note whatsoever in relation to
25	Mr. Mulroney travelling to China on behalf of

1	Mr. Schreiber.
2	19977 Is that accurate?
3	19978 MR. KAPLAN: No, I never I never
4	heard that, sir, until Mr he testified here the
5	other day, could someone help me, please? His Chief of
6	Staff when he was Leader of the Opposition.
7	19979 MR. AUGER: Patrick MacAdam?
8	19980 MR. KAPLAN: Mr. MacAdam, yes.
9	19981 I never heard that until Mr. MacAdam
10	wrote me, and I believe that exhibit has been
11	introduced. I know I turned it over to the Commission.
12	19982 That was the first time I heard
13	anything I believe about China, and then of course I
14	heard more about it when Mr. Mulroney testified before
15	the Ethics Commission in December 2007.
16	19983 MR. AUGER: You certainly didn't hear
17	about it directly from Mr. Mulroney in the course of
18	your own one-on-one interviews with him?
19	19984 MR. KAPLAN: I don't believe so, but
20	I stand to be corrected. But if there is no reference
21	to it in any of the interviews, then he never said
22	anything to me about it.
23	19985 MR. AUGER: Because you would have
24	made a note of such a significant fact?
25	19986 MR. KAPLAN: And I would have turned

1		it over to the Commission.
2	1998	7 MR. AUGER: No note whatsoever in the
3		materials that are filed today in relation to your
4		interviews with Mr. Mulroney in connection with
5		Mr. Mulroney travelling to Russia on behalf of Bear
6		Head?
7	1998	8 MR. KAPLAN: I never heard that, sir,
8		until Mr. Mulroney testified before the Ethics
9		Committee of the House of Commons.
LO	1998	9 MR. AUGER: And the same question in
L1		relation to Mr. Mulroney travelling to France?
L2	1999	0 MR. KAPLAN: That was all new
L3		information to me that I heard in December 2007.
L4	1999	1 MR. AUGER: And I suppose, by
L5		extension as well, you wrote two books touching upon
L6		matters relating to Mr. Mulroney and no mention
L7		whatsoever in either of those two accounts of work done
L8		in China, Russia or France by Mr. Mulroney?
L9	1999	2 MR. KAPLAN: This was news to me,
20		sir.
21	1999	MR. AUGER: So that would be added to
22		the list of explanations that you referred to in
23		answering Mr. Pratte's questions. That would be a
24		final explanation, a more recent explanation that you
25		had learned about. Correct?

1	19994 MR. KAPLAN: That is the most recent
2	explanation, sir.
3	19995 MR. AUGER: Thank you, sir.
4	19996 MR. KAPLAN: Thank you.
5	19997 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Thank you,
6	Mr. Auger.
7	19998 Mr. Vickery, how long do you expect
8	to be, sir?
9	19999 MR. VICKERY: Very brief, five
10	minutes.
11	20000 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Okay.
12	20001 Mr. Vickery represents the Government
13	of Canada, Mr. Kaplan.
14	20002 MR. KAPLAN: I heard that. Thank
15	you.
16	EXAMINATION: WILLIAM KAPLAN BY MR. VICKERY /
17	INTERROGATOIRE : WILLIAM KAPLAN PAR Me VICKERY
18	20003 MR. VICKERY: Mr. Kaplan, you were
19	asked this morning questions regarding an explanation
20	that Mr. Mulroney had given to you with regard to the
21	RCMP investigation and specifically at page 44 of
22	today's transcript, which you won't have.
23	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
24	20005 MR. VICKERY: Beginning at line 21,
25	Mr. Wolson read you a quote:

1	"This thing involving Schreiber,
2	someone told that to the RCMP
3	and they investigated that and
4	they concluded that it was all
5	clean as a whistle."
6	20006 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
7	20007 MR. VICKERY:
8	"MR. KAPLAN: That's what he
9	said."
10	Then Mr. Wolson continues the quote:
11	"That was the final thing they
12	were investigating prior to
13	giving me the apology letter in
14	April."
15	20009 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
16	20010 MR. VICKERY: Now, do you recall that
17	segment of your notes being put to you this morning?
18	MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
19	20012 MR. VICKERY: Now, I take it that the
20	apology letter in April that was under discussion was
21	the letter dated April 17, 2003?
22	20013 MR. KAPLAN: That's correct, sir.
23	20014 MR. VICKERY: Would that be correct?
24	20015 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir.
25	20016 MR. VICKERY: And can you tell me,

1 sir, following Mr. Mulroney giving you that explanation 2 did you make any attempt to determine whether in fact the facts were as stated: that the RCMP had 3 investigated the payments and had determined that it was clean as a whistle? 5 6 20017 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, sir. 7 20018 MR. VICKERY: What attempts 8 specifically did you make, sir? 20019 MR. KAPLAN: I contacted Inspector Al Mathews, who was head of the RCMP Airbus investigation, 10 11 and I asked him when the RCMP learned for the first 12 time of the cash payments received by Mr. Mulroney from 13 Mr. Schreiber. MR. VICKERY: Yes...? 14 20020 MR. KAPLAN: And he told me that he 15 20021 16 learned about them when he read my article on November 10, 2003, some many months after the so-called letter 17 18 of apology has been given to Mr. Mulroney. 19 20022 MR. VICKERY: I see. Thank you. 20 Those are my questions. 21 20023 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Thank you, 22 Mr. Vickery. 23 20024 Any re-examination, Mr. Wolson? 24 20025 MR. WOLSON: No, Mr. Commissioner. Ι 25 only want to thank the witness and his counsel for

1	being here today and	l I am obliged to them. Thank you.
2	20026 CO	MMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Yes. Is
3	there any reason to	be advanced by any other counsel as
4	to why Mr. Kaplan ar	nd Mr. Jacobsen ought not to be
5	excused at this time	??
6	20027 MR	. PRATTE: Might I just have one
7	minute, please?	
8	20028 CO	MMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Yes.
9	Pause	
10	20029 MR	. PRATTE: No, thank you, sir.
11	20030 CO	MMISSIONER OLIPHANT: All right.
12	20031 Mr	. Vickery?
13	20032 MR	. VICKERY: I have nothing.
14	20033 CO	MMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Mr. Houston,
15	no problem. Mr. Aug	ger?
16	20034 MR	. AUGER: No, sir.
17	20035 CO	MMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Mr. Kaplan,
18	thank you very much	for your contribution to the work
19	of this Commission.	I appreciate your attendance very
20	much, sir.	
21	20036 MR	. KAPLAN: Thank you.
22	20037 CO	MMISSIONER OLIPHANT: You are free
23	to leave at this tim	ne.
24	20038 MR	. KAPLAN: Thank you.
25	20039 CO	MMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Thanks again.

1	20040 Mr. Jacobsen, nice to see you again.
2	We will break for 15 minutes.
3	Upon recessing at 3:30 p.m. / Suspension à 15 h 30
4	Upon resuming at 3:52 p.m. / Reprise à 15 h 52
5	THE REGISTRAR: All rise.
6	20043 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Be seated,
7	please.
8	Mr. Terrien, welcome very much to the
9	inquiry. I will affirm you, if you will stand, please,
10	sir.
11	AFFIRMED: PAUL TERRIEN /
12	DÉCLARATION SOLENNELLE : PAUL TERRIEN
13	20045 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Thank you.
14	20046 MR. BATTISTA: So I will go ahead
15	now, Commissioner.
16	20047 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Mr. Battista.
17	MR. BATTISTA: Yes. Before we go
18	ahead I am going to file an exhibit. There are some
19	documents - so, I am going to speak in French for Mr
20	Terrien.
21	So, we are going to file an exhibit
22	in support of Mr. Terrien's testimony.
23	20050 We are at we are at P-28? P-28.
24	So, P-28.
25	EXHIBIT NO. P-28: Exhibit in

1	support of Mr Paul Terrien's		
2		testimony.	
3	Pause		
4	INTERROGATOIRE	INTERROGATOIRE : PAUL TERRIEN PAR Me BATTISTA /	
5	EXAMINATION: E	PAUL TERRIEN BY MR. BATTISTA	
6	20051	MR. BATTISTA: So, good afternoon, Mr.	
7	Terrien.		
8	20052	MR. TERRIEN: Thank you.	
9	20053	MR. BATTISTA: So, you are currently	
10	the Chief of St	taff to the Honourable Lawrence Cannon	
11	20054	Mr. TERRIEN: That's right.	
12	20055	MR BATTISTA:Minister of Foreign	
13	Affairs. Have y	you been working for him since his	
14	appointment?		
15	20056	MR. TERRIEN: Yes.	
16	20057	MR. BATTISTA: November 2008?	
17	20058	MR TERRIEN: That's right.	
18	20059	MR BATTISTA: Can you tell us about	
19	your education?		
20	20060	MR. TERRIEN: I was, before I	
21	studied journal	lism and history. Then I was a	
22	journalist for.	I don't know whether you also want my	
23	professional ex	xperience.	
24	20061	MR. BATTISTA: Yes, please.	
25	20062	MR. TERRIEN: I was a journalist for	

1	some 20 years. Then I joined the External Affairs
2	Minister in 1986, and from there I went to the Prime
3	Minister's Office in '87, where I stayed until the PM's
4	departure in 1993.
5	20063 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Could you talk
6	louder, please?
7	MR. TERRIEN: Yes. Yes, sir.
8	20065 MR BATTISTA: Maybe just move closer
9	to the mic, because sometimes, it's not yes, that's
10	better.
11	20066 So, you worked for Prime Minister
12	Mulroney?
13	MR. TERRIEN: Yes.
14	20068 MR BATTISTA: From '87, you said, to
15	'93?
16	20069 MR. TERRIEN: From Spring '87 to June
17	'93.
18	20070 MR BATTISTA: And what were your
19	duties when you worked for him?
20	20071 MR. TERRIEN: I was essentially a
21	speech writer.
22	20072 MR BATTISTA: All right. You did that
23	when he was prime minister. Did you do that after he
24	left?
25	20073 MR. TERRIEN: Afterwards, I

accompanied him on some trips. I never worked as a 1 2 speech writer, as I recall, after '93, no. 3 20074 MR BATTISTA: All right. So the last time was in '93? 4 20075 5 MR. TERRIEN: Yes. 6 20076 MR BATTISTA: And you said you do not 7 believe you wrote any speeches for him after 1993... 8 20077 MR. TERRIEN: No. 20078 MR BATTISTA: ...but you accompanied him on some trips? 10 11 20079 MR. TERRIEN: Yes. 12 20080 MR BATTISTA: What kind of trips? 13 20081 MR. TERRIEN: Mr. Mulroney belonged to 14 an organization called the Washington Speakers Bureau, which provided prestigious speakers to various 15 16 international conventions and meetings, and I accompanied him when he delivered speeches on those 17 18 occasions. 19 20082 MR BATTISTA: All right. How long did 20 you do that? 21 20083 MR. TERRIEN: For a period of 10 22 years. Between '93 and around 2003, I made perhaps 23 five or six trips with him. So it was really very sporadic. It was according to ... according to his 24 25 needs.

1	20084	MR BATTISTA: And at that time, your
2	function wa	s essentially to participate in writing
3	speeches or	did you have other duties?
4	20085	MR. TERRIEN: No, I accompanied him.
5	The speech	was pretty much the same everywhere, and he
6	would show	it to me, and we sometimes made some minor
7	changes. B	ut I was not the one who wrote the speech.
8	Essentially	, I accompanied him. The Speakers Bureau
9	paid the ex	penses for someone to accompany the
10	speakers.	
11	20086	MR BATTISTA: And when you accompanied
12	him, what w	as your role, essentially, at that time?
13	20087	MR. TERRIEN: I did what he asked me
14	to do. I wa	s an assistant, basically. I made phone
15	calls for h	im if he needed me to. I made sure the
16	luggage arr	ived, and that the rooms were ready. I was
17	really just	an assistant.
18	20088	MR. BATTISTA: All right. Now, you
19	are here be	cause of one trip that concerns us,
20	specificall	y in 1998?
21	20089	MR. TERRIEN: Yes.
22	20090	MR BATTISTA: So, it was a trip to
23	Europe in 1	998, in February?
24	20091	MR. TERRIEN: That's right.
25	20092	MR BATTISTA: What was the reason for

1	that trip?	
2	20093 MR. TERRIEN: I got a call from Mr	
3	Mulroney's assistant in Montreal, who asked me if I	was
4	available for the dates of the trip, and she said at	
5	that time it would help Mr Mulroney, who had a sore	
6	arm. So, I I agreed to do it.	
7	20094 MR BATTISTA: Mr. Mulroney had a son	re
8	arm at that time?	
9	20095 MR. TERRIEN: Yes. Yes. So, I have	5
10	to say that I was there mainly to carry the luggage.	
11	20096 MR. BATTISTA: Okay! And how would	
12	you describe your relationship with him at that time	?
13	You said you worked from '93 to 2003, you accompanie	d
14	him on a number of trips. What was your relationshi	р
15	with him?	
16	20097 MR. TERRIEN: I was always on good	
17	terms with Mr Mulroney. I thought he was a good bos	s.
18	We saw each other afterwards, during those trips. S	o I
19	feel it was a friendly relationship.	
20	20098 MR. BATTISTA: All right. As for the	ne
21	trip to Europe, what type of trip was it for Mr.	
22	Mulroney?	
23	20099 MR. TERRIEN: Mr. Mulroney was actin	ıg
24	on behalf of the World Gold Council, le Conseil mond	ial
25	de l'or, and he met in different European countries	

1 with the central banks, I believe essentially to 2 convince them not to liquidate the gold reserves too 3 hurriedly. I believe there were one or two countries that had begun to do so. 20100 5 MR. BATTISTA: All right. 20101 MR. TERRIEN: He was acting for the 7 World Gold Council. 20102 MR. BATTISTA: So he was there on a business trip, to your knowledge? 20103 MR. TERRIEN: Absolutely. 10 11 20104 MR. BATTISTA: And he had a specific 12 mandate, he was acting for the World Gold Council? 13 20105 MR. TERRIEN: Yes. 20106 MR. BATTISTA: And where did you go 14 15 exactly? 16 20107 MR. TERRIEN: Paris, Rome, Frankfurt, Zurich. 17 18 20108 MR. BATTISTA: The four cities that 19 you... those are the four... 20 20109 MR. TERRIEN: As I recall, yes. 21 20110 MR. BATTISTA: How long did that trip 22 last? 23 20111 MR. TERRIEN: I have to say approximately four or five days. Unfortunately, I 24 25 didn't... I didn't try to obtain the itinerary, which

1 Mr. Mulroney's office probably has, but I didn't keep it. So, if memory serves, we stayed in Rome, 2 3 Frankfurt, and two nights in Zurich, so five days, four nights, as I recall. 20112 MR. BATTISTA: All right. And I 5 6 presume that Mr. Mulroney had an itinerary for those 7 days? 8 20113 MR. TERRIEN: Absolutely. Absolutely, yes. 20114 MR. BATTISTA: Appointments were 10 11 scheduled in advance? 12 20115 MR. TERRIEN: Yes. 13 20116 MR. BATTISTA: Organized? 14 20117 MR. TERRIEN: Yes. 15 20118 MR. BATTISTA: Did you participate in 16 organizing those meetings? 17 20119 MR. TERRIEN: No. 18 20120 MR. BATTISTA: So that was already 19 done, you simply accompanied him? 20 20121 MR. TERRIEN: Yes. When I agreed to go on the trip, Ms. Colin, his assistant in Montreal, 21 22 faxed me the itinerary which was already prepared. 23 20122 MR. BATTISTA: All right. Do you remember whether he had a large number of meetings? You 24 said he visited four cities. Did he... 25

1 20123 MR. TERRIEN: Well, he certainly had 2 at least one important meeting in each city. He met with the central banks. I couldn't say... I think it 3 was one meeting per city, but it was a high-level meeting. 6 20124 MR. BATTISTA: Where did those 7 meetings take place? 8 20125 MR. TERRIEN: Honestly, I'm not sure. I wasn't there. I would wait for him at the hotel. Mr. Mulroney was accompanied by another person and went to 10 11 those meetings without me. 12 20126 MR. BATTISTA: How many of you were 13 there with Mr. Mulroney at that time? 20127 14 MR. TERRIEN: There was one other 15 passenger. 20128 16 MR. BATTISTA: Who was that? 17 20129 MR. TERRIEN: It was Mr. James Crow, 18 the former governor of the Bank of Canada. 19 20130 MR. BATTISTA: All right. So, Mr. 20 Mulroney, yourself and Mr. James Crow left for that trip together? 21 22 20131 MR. TERRIEN: Yes. 23 20132 MR. BATTISTA: And Mr. Crow, if I understand your testimony, attended the meetings with 24 25 Mr. Mulroney?

1	20133 MR. TERRIEN: Exactly.
2	MR. BATTISTA: Those were meetings
3	with bankers?
4	20135 MR. TERRIEN: Exactly.
5	20136 MR. BATTISTA: And you were not
6	present at those meetings?
7	MR. TERRIEN: No.
8	20138 MR. BATTISTA: Did you at least
9	accompany Mr. Mulroney to the location of his meeting,
10	or?
11	20139 MR. TERRIEN: No. That did not occur,
12	as I recall, no.
13	MR. BATTISTA: So, when he had
14	meetings with bankers, you were not
15	MR. TERRIEN: No.
16	MR. BATTISTA:you were not
17	present?
18	MR. TERRIEN: No.
19	MR. BATTISTA: Did you have any role
20	in connection with those meetings, like preparing them
21	or scheduling them?
22	20145 MR. TERRIEN: Not at all. On one
23	occasion, I believe we were in Paris, he showed me the
24	translation of a presentation by the Gold Council to
25	ask my opinion on the quality of the French, but that

was really the only time I intervened during the entire 1 2 trip. 3 20146 MR. BATTISTA: Now, there was a stop in Zurich. You said you spent two nights there? 20147 5 MR. TERRIEN: Yes. 6 20148 MR. BATTISTA: Which hotel did you 7 stay in? 8 20149 MR. TERRIEN: The Savoy, but we also spent a night in Davos. That is, one night at the Savoy and another in Davos, which is not far from 10 11 Zurich. 12 20150 MR. BATTISTA: All right. When was 13 the night in Davos? Perhaps you don't recall from memory. If you look at the exhibit, Tab 1, it says it 14 was Monday, February 2. Do you have it in front of 15 16 you? 20151 MR. TERRIEN: Yes, absolutely. 17 18 20152 MR. BATTISTA: Yes. So, we see 19 Monday, February 2, 10:00 a.m. I imagine that's your 20 arrival at the hotel in Zurich? 21 20153 MR. TERRIEN: I think that's the 22 departure, from Davos to Zurich. 23 20154 MR. BATTISTA: So, you went to Davos before and to Zurich afterwards? 24 20155 25 MR. TERRIEN: Yes. Yes. If memory

1	serves, that's what I recall, yes.
2	20156 MR. BATTISTA: All right. So, you
3	made the trip from Davos to Zurich in a vehicle?
4	20157 MR. TERRIEN: By car.
5	20158 MR. BATTISTA: By car?
6	20159 MR. TERRIEN: Yes.
7	20160 MR. BATTISTA: And you you were in
8	what hotel?
9	20161 MR. TERRIEN: In Zurich, at the Savoy.
10	MR. BATTISTA: At the Savoy.
11	20163 MR. TERRIEN: Yes.
12	MR. BATTISTA: You as well?
13	MR. TERRIEN: Yes. Yes.
14	20166 MR. BATTISTA: All right. You each
15	had a room in the hotel?
16	MR. TERRIEN: Yes.
17	20168 MR. BATTISTA: And the itinerary you
18	have here is the one you had at the time?
19	20169 MR. TERRIEN: Well, the itinerary that
20	the Commission gave me, really, is only the rest
21	is
22	20170 MR. BATTISTA: Yes, the rest is
23	blacked out, but
24	20171 MR. TERRIEN: So, I'm doing this from
25	memory. I recall that we spent one night in Davos. I

can't absolutely swear to you that it was the Sunday 1 night or the Saturday night, but I'm pretty sure it was 2 3 the Sunday night. 20172 MR. BATTISTA: But my question was 4 5 rather... and perhaps it was poorly worded. My question simply sought to establish that you had the 6 same kind of an itinerary as the one you have here? 8 20173 MR. TERRIEN: Absolutely. Yes. 20174 MR. BATTISTA: What we have here is an 10 excerpt... 11 20175 MR. TERRIEN: Yes, yes, yes. 12 20176 MR. BATTISTA: ...but you had, obviously, the full itinerary? 13 MR. TERRIEN: Yes, yes, yes. Exactly. 14 20177 MR. BATTISTA: Am I correct in saying 20178 15 16 that at that time, the meeting was already scheduled? 17 20179 MR. TERRIEN: Which meeting are you 18 talking about? 19 20180 MR. BATTISTA: The meeting at 12:30 20 p.m. 21 20181 MR. TERRIEN: No. 22 20182 MR. BATTISTA: It says: "12:30 lunch 23 in your room." 20183 24 MR. TERRIEN: I honestly can't say, 25 because during the flight to Zurich Mr. Mulroney had

1 asked me to call Mr. Schreiber to set up the meeting. 2 So I don't know whether the meeting in question was... 3 all that was on the itinerary was "lunch in your room." 20184 MR. BATTISTA: All right. If we look 4 5 here at the schedule with the hourly entries on February 2, we don't see Mr. Schreiber's name. 20185 7 MR. TERRIEN: Exactly. 8 20186 MR. BATTISTA: No. 20187 MR. TERRIEN: Honestly, I don't recall having seen that. 10 11 20188 MR. BATTISTA: All right. So, what we 12 see, is that... what is scheduled is that on February 13 2, there will be a lunch in his hotel room? 14 20189 MR. TERRIEN: Exactly. 15 20190 Me BATTISTA: All right. And that was 16 the context in which the meeting with Mr. Schreiber took place? 17 18 20191 MR. TERRIEN: Yes. Mr. Mulroney had 19 told me in advance, however... 20 20192 MR. BATTISTA: All right. 21 20193 MR. TERRIEN: ...during the flight to 22 Zurich. 23 20194 MR. BATTISTA: So, you learned during the flight... 24 25 20195 MR. TERRIEN: Yes.

1	20196	MR. BATTISTA:that you had to
2	contact Mr. Schrei	ber?
3	20197	MR. TERRIEN: Yes.
4	20198	MR. BATTISTA: Did he give you the
5	contact information	on or did you already have it?
6	20199	MR. TERRIEN: He gave me a piece of
7	paper with Mr. Sch	reiber's name on it and a phone
8	number.	
9	20200	MR. BATTISTA: All right. Now, did
10	you know Mr. Schre	eiber personally?
11	20201	MR. TERRIEN: No.
12	20202	MR. BATTISTA: If I ask you, however,
13	if you knew who Mr	. Schreiber was?
14	20203	MR. TERRIEN: I had heard that name
15	previously, yes.	
16	20204	MR. BATTISTA: You know there had been
17	a controversy in 1	_995
18	20205	MR. TERRIEN: Yes.
19	20206	MR. BATTISTA:regarding the
20	request by the Swi	ss authorities?
21	20207	MR. TERRIEN: Yes.
22	20208	MR. BATTISTA: You knew that Mr.
23	Schreiber was one	of the individuals who were named in
24	that affair?	
25	20209	MR. TERRIEN: Yes, I knew that.

1	MR. BATTISTA: And you were aware,
2	obviously, of the prosecution of Mr. Mulroney? It had
3	just been settled at that time, had it not?
4	20211 MR. TERRIEN: Yes. I was not aware of
5	the details or all the procedures, but I knew what had
6	happened, yes.
7	MR. BATTISTA: All right. So, you
8	knew that a number of allegations had been made against
9	Mr. Mulroney in that affair and that Mr. Schreiber's
10	name was implicated in that matter?
11	MR. TERRIEN: I knew that, yes.
12	MR. BATTISTA: But you're saying you
13	were not necessarily aware of all the details?
14	MR. TERRIEN: No, absolutely not.
15	20216 MR. BATTISTA: All right. Did you
16	know why Mr. Mulroney wanted to meet with Mr.
17	Schreiber?
18	MR. TERRIEN: No.
19	MR. BATTISTA: Did you ask Mr.
20	Mulroney why he was meeting with him in a hotel room?
21	MR. TERRIEN: Never.
22	MR. BATTISTA: You contacted Mr.
23	Schreiber by phone?
24	MR. TERRIEN: Yes.
25	20222 MR. BATTISTA: Did you call him to

1 check whether he was available for the meeting, or did 2 you call him to confirm the meeting? 3 20223 MR. TERRIEN: As I recall, I called him to tell him that Mr. Mulroney was prepared to see 4 him, and he didn't seem surprised. So I don't know 5 whether the meeting was scheduled in advance or not. 6 20224 MR. BATTISTA: All right. 7 8 20225 MR. TERRIEN: I really don't recall. 20226 Me BATTISTA: In fact, when you called 10 him, you didn't call him to know whether the meeting 11 was possible, you called him to tell him that the 12 meeting would take place at the Savoy Hotel on February 13 2? MR. TERRIEN: I... as I said, he 14 20227 15 wasn't surprised. So he knew there would be a meeting. Did he know it was at that time? My impression was that 16 he did, as I recall. 17 MR. BATTISTA: All right. 18 20228 To your 19 knowledge, did Mr. Fred Doucet participate in preparing 20 that meeting? 21 20229 MR. TERRIEN: I have no way of knowing 22 how the meeting was prepared. 23 20230 MR. BATTISTA: All right. Do you know Mr. Doucet? 24 25 20231 MR. TERRIEN: Yes.

1	MR. BATTISTA: Fred Doucet, who worked
2	in Mr. Mulroney's office.
3	MR. TERRIEN: Yes, I know him. Yes.
4	MR. BATTISTA: O.K. And you don't
5	know whether Mr. Doucet was in any way involved in
6	preparing that meeting?
7	20235 MR. TERRIEN: Not at all.
8	20236 MR BATTISTA: His name wasn't
9	mentioned?
10	MR. TERRIEN: No.
11	MR. BATTISTA: Neither by Mr. Mulroney
12	nor by Mr. Schreiber?
13	20239 MR. TERRIEN: No.
14	MR. BATTISTA: How did it work? You
15	called Mr. Schreiber, you told him you would be at the
16	Savoy Hotel on February 2; is that correct?
17	20241 MR. TERRIEN: Yes.
18	MR. BATTISTA: And how was the meeting
19	with Mr. Schreiber organized concretely, physically?
20	20243 MR. TERRIEN: I heard Mr. Schreiber at
21	the hotel door, and we met. I don't know exactly how,
22	but in any case, he knew that obviously if someone
23	was waiting for him it was I. We shook hands. I
24	introduced myself and I accompanied him to the location
25	of the meeting.

1 20244 MR. BATTISTA: All right. Did he say 2 something to you at that time? 3 20245 MR. TERRIEN: Not that I recall, apart from small talk, perhaps, how was your trip or ... but I don't recall. 5 20246 MR. BATTISTA: All right. You took Mr. Schreiber to Mr. Mulroney's room? 7 8 20247 MR. TERRIEN: I don't know for certain whether it was Mr. Mulroney's room or a room that had been rented for the meeting, but Mr. Mulroney was 10 11 waiting in a room, yes. 12 20248 MR. BATTISTA: All right. And what 13 happened when they saw each other? 20249 MR. TERRIEN: Well, they shook hands, 14 they greeted each other, and they went into the room. 15 20250 16 MR. BATTISTA: How did they greet each other? What did they... 17 18 20251 MR. TERRIEN: Well, it seemed very 19 cordial to me. 20252 20 MR. BATTISTA: How did they... 21 20253 MR. TERRIEN: It was... I think it 22 was: How are you, Karlheinz? Well, Brian. How are 23 you? It was very... 20254 MR. BATTISTA: All right. 24 MR. TERRIEN: ...very friendly. 25 20255

That's the impression I had. 1 2 20256 MR. BATTISTA: All right. So, they 3 greeted each other. What did you do?? 20257 MR. TERRIEN: I went back to my room, 4 which was on the same floor. 5 6 20258 MR. BATTISTA: All right. And do you recall the number of the room you had? 7 8 20259 MR. TERRIEN: No. No. 20260 MR. BATTISTA: Mr. Mulroney's room number? 10 11 20261 MR. TERRIEN: Well, I don't recall 12 because I read it in the... in one of the documents you 13 gave me, which is the article from the Globe and Mail, where it said it was Room 209, but I wouldn't have 14 recalled that otherwise. 15 16 20262 MR. BATTISTA: And having read the article in the Globe and Mail, with 209, does that 17 18 refresh your memory or not at all? 19 20263 MR. TERRIEN: Well, I know it was on 20 the second floor; 209 is logical. 21 20264 MR. BATTISTA: All right. And you 22 recall that your room was on the same floor... 23 20265 MR. TERRIEN: Yes. 24 20266 MR. BATTISTA: ...as that of Mr. Mulroney at the time? 25

1	20267 MR	. TERRIEN: Yes. Yes.
2	20268 MR	. BATTISTA: All right. Now, that
3	meeting concluded?	
4	20269 MR	. TERRIEN: Yes.
5	20270 MR	BATTISTA: And what did you do?
6	20271 MR	. TERRIEN: Well, Mr. Mulroney,
7	before closing the	door again when the meeting began,
8	said to me: Come ba	ack in an hour, I think, which I
9	did, and about an he	our later, the door opened again,
10	and the two men came	e out.
11	20272 MR	. BATTISTA: All right. Had you
12	spoken with Mr. Mul:	roney before the meeting?
13	20273 MR	. TERRIEN: Before the meeting?
14	20274 MR	. BATTISTA: Yes.
15	20275 MR	. TERRIEN: Regarding the meeting?
16	20276 MR	. BATTISTA: Yes.
17	20277 MR	. TERRIEN: No.
18	20278 MR	BATTISTA: Do you recall what you
19	did with Mr. Mulron	ey that morning?
20	20279 MR	. TERRIEN: Well, we were coming
21	back according to	the itinerary, anyway. We were
22	coming back from Da	vos. So we had talked in the car,
23	but never about the	meeting.
24	20280 MR	. BATTISTA: Never. Why?
25	20281 MR	. TERRIEN: Mr. Mulroney never

1	revealed the contents of those meetings to me, and I
2	didn't well, it was not my role to ask him, either.
3	20282 MR. BATTISTA: All right. Did it
4	frequently happen that you witnessed the fact that Mr.
5	Mulroney met with people in hotel rooms?
6	MR. TERRIEN: Absolutely.
7	MR. BATTISTA: That happened
8	frequently?
9	MR. TERRIEN: Absolutely.
10	20286 MR. BATTISTA: During your trips with
11	him?
12	20287 MR. TERRIEN: Well yes, because the
13	conventions or the usually took place in hotels. He
14	had a hotel room, as did I. So it was not unusual for
15	him to meet with people, either in his room or in hotel
16	suites. It was we lived in hotels.
17	20288 MR. BATTISTA: All right. So you saw
18	nothing unusual about that?
19	20289 MR. TERRIEN: Absolutely not.
20	20290 MR. BATTISTA: All right. Now, what
21	was the atmosphere like at the end of the meeting?
22	20291 MR. TERRIEN: Once again, they both
23	seemed to me to be in a good mood, Mr. Schreiber in
24	particular, whom I found to be a rather jovial person,
25	and I got the impression the meeting must have gone

1	well. At any rate, I judging from their mood.
2	20292 MR BATTISTA: All right. What do you
3	mean by "judging from the rumours"?
4	MR. TERRIEN: Their mood.
5	MR BATTISTA: Their mood.
6	20295 MR. TERRIEN: Mr. Schreiber was
7	MR. BATTISTA: I'm sorry, I misheard.
8	MR. TERRIEN: No, no.
9	MR. BATTISTA: So, judging from their
10	mood.
11	MR. TERRIEN:was in a good mood,
12	and Mr. Mulroney also seemed to be in a good mood,
13	certainly.
14	20300 MR BATTISTA: All right. Did Mr.
15	Mulroney tell you something when the meeting concluded?
16	20301 MR. TERRIEN: As I recall, Mr
17	Schreiber said to me when the doors opened: "He's a
18	good man, take care of him."
19	MR. BATTISTA: All right.
20	20303 MR TERRIEN: Apart from that, Mr.
21	Mulroney never made any comment to me whatsoever about
22	the meeting.
23	MR. BATTISTA: Now, in the context in
24	which you look at that, what, to your mind, could
25	justify Mr. Schreiber's saying to you: "He's a good

1	man, take care of	him"?
2	20305	MR. TERRIEN: I don't know. Perhaps
3	he has a generous	ly personality, I have no idea. I have
4	the impression th	at he also thought that I accompanied
5	Mr Mulroney const	antly, which was not the case. But I
6	really don't know	
7	20306	MR BATTISTA: Did you make any reply?
8	20307	MR. TERRIEN: No, I don't think so.
9	20308	MR. BATTISTA: All right. And what
10	happened then?	
11	20309	MR. TERRIEN: I reaccompanied Mr.
12	Schreiber, but I	don't recall I don't think I went
13	as far as the hot	el door that time, I think to the top
14	of the stairs or	to the elevator. That's not clear, but
15	in any case, I re	accompanied him, certainly, for a
16	certain time.	
17	20310	MR. BATTISTA: And then?
18	20311	MR. TERRIEN: I think I returned to
19	the room to pack	my bags.
20	20312	MR. BATTISTA: When Mr. Schreiber
21	said, "He's a goo	d man, take care of him", did Mr.
22	Mulroney say anyt	hing?
23	20313	MR. TERRIEN: No. No. He may have
24	laughed or smiled	, but no, he didn't make any comment.
25	20314	MR. BATTISTA: With regard to the

1	atmosphere, when Mr. Schreiber said that, did Mr.
2	Mulroney seem worried, concerned?
3	20315 MR. TERRIEN: Not at all.
4	20316 MR. BATTISTA: Before the meeting?
5	20317 MR. TERRIEN: Not at all.
6	MR. BATTISTA: Not at all.
7	20319 MR. TERRIEN: No. He was he was in
8	a mood that was and still is typical of him, he was in
9	a serious mood, but not not more worried or
10	concerned.
11	20320 MR. BATTISTA: Do you know whether
12	they had documents, if there was something scheduled
13	for that meeting?
14	20321 MR. TERRIEN: I'm pretty sure they
15	didn't have any.
16	MR. BATTISTA: That there wasn't
17	20323 MR. TERRIEN: Neither of them was
18	holding any documents.
19	MR. BATTISTA: All right.
20	Pause
21	20325 MR. BATTISTA: Since that incident,
22	have you had the occasion to discuss that meeting with
23	Mr. Mulroney?
24	MR. TERRIEN: Never.
25	20327 MR. BATTISTA: You never discussed

1	that matt	er?
2	20328	MR. TERRIEN: No. However, I did call
3	Luc Lavoi	e, his assistant, to tell him that Mr what's
4	his name,	the reporter from the Globe, had called me to
5	talk to m	e about that meeting. I told Luc Lavoie just
6	like that	, but never Mr. Mulroney.
7	20329	MR. BATTISTA: Is there a particular
8	reason?	Is there a particular reason why you never
9	spoke wit	h
10	20330	MR. TERRIEN: I never spoke with Mr.
11	Mulroney	about his meetings. That really wasn't my
12	role.	
13	20331	MR. BATTISTA: But what I mean is,
14	once that	matter became a subject of interest, let's
15	say, you	never had occasion to talk with Mr. Mulroney
16	about tha	t meeting?
17	20332	MR. TERRIEN: Never. Never. I want
18	to say al	so that I saw Mr. Mulroney quite rarely. Mr.
19	Mulroney	was in Montreal, I was in Ottawa. We only saw
20	each othe	r for those trips I'm talking about, very
21	rarely, b	ut I did not have ongoing contact with him.
22	20333	MR. BATTISTA: All right. And even
23	since his	testimony to the parliamentary Ethics
24	Committee	?
25	20334	MR. TERRIEN: No. I never spoke to

1	Mr. Mulroney about that meeting.
2	20335 MR. BATTISTA: All right.
3	20336 If you'll give me a moment, Mr.
4	Commissioner, I just want to check something.
5	Pause
6	MR. BATTISTA: So thank you, Mr.
7	Terrien. My colleagues may have some questions.
8	20338 MR. TERRIEN: Perfect.
9	MR. BATTISTA: Thank you.
10	That's it for me, Commissioner.
11	20341 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Here?
12	MR. PRATTE: No, thank you, no
13	questions.
14	20343 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: No questions.
15	20344 Mr. Vickery?
16	20345 MR. VICKERY: No.
17	20346 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: No.
18	20347 Mr. Houston?
19	MR. HOUSTON: No, thanks.
20	20349 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Mr. Auger
21	20350 MR. AUGER: Briefly, Commissioner.
22	EXAMINATION: WILLIAM KAPLAN BY MR. AUGER /
23	INTERROGATOIRE: WILLIAM KAPLAN PAR Me AUGER
24	20351 MR. AUGER: Good afternoon, sir. I
25	am going to have to ask my questions in English, and

you, of course, can reply in either French or English. 1 2 20352 MR. TERRIEN: That's fine, I'll do it 3 in English. MR. AUGER: You told the Commission 20353 4 5 that you were assisting Mr. Mulroney with his trip to Europe. Is that, generally, what the purpose was? 6 20354 7 MR. TERRIEN: Yes. 8 20355 MR. AUGER: In addition, you had indicated that Mr. Mulroney's arm was sore, and your primary role was to carry his luggage? 10 11 20356 MR. TERRIEN: That's what I mean by 12 assisting. 13 20357 MR. AUGER: So, specifically, you 14 were travelling, expenses paid by Mr. Mulroney, to 15 assist him with his luggage. 16 20358 MR. TERRIEN: Well, by Mr. Mulroney or the World Gold Council. You know, I don't know 17 18 that. 19 20359 MR. AUGER: Am I right that Mr. 20 Mulroney attended the World Economic Forum in Dayos in February of 1998? 21 MR. TERRIEN: I don't believe that he 22 20360 23 was at the actual forum. He went to a reception at Peter Munk's house, and people who were delegates to 24 the forum were at that reception. I am not absolutely 25

1	sure that Mr. Mulroney was at the forum itself.	
2	20361 Mr. Munk's house is not far it's	
3	in Davos and is not far from where the forum is held.	
4	MR. AUGER: But in Davos.	
5	20363 MR. TERRIEN: Absolutely.	
6	20364 MR. AUGER: So you can confirm to the	
7	Commission that Mr. Mulroney was in Davos in early	
8	February of 1998.	
9	20365 MR. TERRIEN: Yes.	
10	20366 Well, before the February 2nd meeting	
11	he was in Davos, yes.	
12	20367 MR. AUGER: Right, and that would	
13	take us to February 1st, 1998, that Mr. Mulroney was in	
14	Davos that evening?	
15	20368 MR. TERRIEN: To the best of my	
16	recollection, yes, I think it was the day before.	
17	20369 MR. AUGER: And just to assist you,	
18	Tab 1 does give us the February 2nd, 1998 "Mr.	
19	Mulroney "	
20	20370 Well, to be fair, it says: "10:00AM,	
21	a limousine from Brunel Carriage will pick you up at	
22	the hotel and proceed to the Savoy Hotel in Zurich."	
23	Do you see that?	
24	MR. TERRIEN: Absolutely.	
25	20373 MR. AUGER: And is it your	

understanding that on Monday, February 2nd, 1998, Mr. 1 2 Mulroney travelled from Davos at 10:00 a.m. to Zurich? 3 20374 MR. TERRIEN: That sounds right. That looks right, yes. 4 20375 5 MR. AUGER: And you were with Mr. Mulroney in Davos that previous evening? 7 20376 MR. TERRIEN: In Davos, yes. 20377 MR. AUGER: We can see that the next entry says, "11:30 a.m. - Brian Mulroney will arrive the Savoy Hotel." 10 11 20378 Right? 12 20379 MR. TERRIEN: Yes. 13 20380 MR. AUGER: That's an hour and a half 14 trip from Davos? 20381 MR. TERRIEN: That's my recollection, 15 16 yes. 17 20382 MR. AUGER: Are you able to tell the 18 Commissioner when you and Mr. Mulroney arrived in 19 Europe? 20 20383 Just try to use those dates. February 1st you are in Davos, and then February 2nd 21 22 you arrive in Zurich. 23 20384 Are you able to tell the Commissioner when you arrived --24 25 20385 MR. TERRIEN: Unfortunately not. I

would have to see the complete itinerary. I just don't 1 2 remember the exact date that we arrived. 3 20386 MR. AUGER: We do know from page 2, 4 Tab 1, that you and Mr. Mulroney departed Zurich at 3 5 o'clock on February 2nd. 6 20387 MR. TERRIEN: Is that the itinerary, 7 sir? 8 20388 MR. AUGER: If you take Tab 1 in the exhibit, the next page --20389 10 MR. TERRIEN: Yes. 11 20390 MR. AUGER: -- if I am reading it 12 right --MR. TERRIEN: Yes, I see that now. 13 20391 20392 MR. AUGER: "Three o'clock p.m.," it 14 says, "BM departs Zurich." 15 16 20393 Do you see that? 20394 MR. TERRIEN: Yes. 17 18 20395 MR. AUGER: And you had told the 19 Commissioner that you and Mr. Mulroney were in Europe for -- I think you said four or five days. 20 21 20396 MR. TERRIEN: Well, that's to the 22 best of my recollection. I should have the complete 23 itinerary. 20397 MR. AUGER: And you told Commission 24 25 counsel in your interview on March 25th, 2009, that you

1 had first learned of Mr. Mulroney's meeting with Mr. 2 Schreiber the day before the meeting with Mr. Schreiber. Correct? 3 MR. TERRIEN: I didn't have the 20398 4 benefit of this when I spoke to the Commission, I must 5 tell you that. But, to the best of my recollection, he gave me Mr. Schreiber's phone number the day before the 8 meeting. But, reading this, it might have been two 9 days before. 10 20399 MR. AUGER: So, at the very latest, 11 Mr. Mulroney asked you to contact Mr. Schreiber on 12 February 1st. Right? 13 20400 MR. TERRIEN: I remember that I called Mr. Schreiber from the hotel -- from The Savoy. 14 So we were at The Savoy when I phoned him. 15 16 20401 MR. AUGER: So you had already been checked into the Savoy Hotel when you landed in Zurich. 17 18 20402 MR. TERRIEN: Absolutely. 19 20403 MR. AUGER: So you had a room rented 20 for four or five days? 21 20404 MR. TERRIEN: No, I did not say that; 22 four or five days in Europe. 23 20405 We went to Frankfurt, we went to 24 Rome, we went to Paris.

StenoTran

We slept one night, to my knowledge,

25

20406

at The Savoy, and we slept one night close to Zurich, 1 2 in Davos. 3 20407 MR. AUGER: If I were to suggest to you that it was the night that you were in Davos --4 that's when Mr. Mulroney asked you to contact Mr. 5 Schreiber to set up the meeting the next day at The 7 Savoy. 8 20408 MR. TERRIEN: That's not the way I remember it, but, as I said, it's not absolutely clear, that was 11 years ago. 10 11 20409 The recollection that I have is that 12 I phoned him from The Savoy, but -- I'm pretty sure 13 that it was from The Savoy. 14 20410 MR. AUGER: I take it that you knew 15 that when you contacted Mr. Schreiber he was in Pontresina, Switzerland. 16 20411 MR. TERRIEN: I don't think I have 17 18 heard that word before. 19 20412 What I recall is, I think he was at 20 his sister's place. 21 20413 MR. AUGER: That's what Mr. Schreiber 22 told you? 23 20414 MR. TERRIEN: I believe so, when I 24 met him.

StenoTran

25

20415

MR. AUGER: I take it that because

1	Mr. Mulroney had a sore arm on this trip, you	
2	accompanied him at all times, checking in and checking	
3	out of the various hotels?	
4	20416 MR. TERRIEN: Yes, I was with him	
5	then.	
6	20417 MR. AUGER: Each night?	
7	20418 MR. TERRIEN: I'm not quite sure what	
8	you mean.	
9	MR. AUGER: Whenever Mr. Mulroney	
10	checked into a hotel, you assisted him?	
11	MR. TERRIEN: Yes, absolutely.	
12	MR. AUGER: And whenever he checked	
13	out of a hotel, you assisted him.	
14	MR. TERRIEN: Yes.	
15	20423 MR. AUGER: Because your primary role	
16	was to carry his luggage.	
17	MR. TERRIEN: That's right, sir.	
18	MR. AUGER: If we turn to Tab 1, Page	
19	1, in the exhibit, at 11:30 a.m do you see the word	
20	"Note"?	
21	20426 MR. TERRIEN: Yes.	
22	20427 MR. AUGER: Then there is a blank,	
23	and then it says: "Will meet you upon your arrival and	
24	will take care of your luggage."	
25	Do you see that?	

1	20429 MR. TERRIEN: Yes.	
2	20430 MR. AUGER: Is that referencing you	
3	taking care of the luggage?	
4	20431 MR. TERRIEN: I have no idea, it's	
5	blacked out.	
6	MR. AUGER: As far as you are aware,	
7	there was no one else assisting Mr. Mulroney with his	
8	luggage at The Savoy?	
9	MR. TERRIEN: There could have been.	
10	MR. AUGER: Well, you just told the	
11	Commissioner that you assisted with the luggage.	
12	20435 MR. TERRIEN: That's what I was asked	
13	to do. That's why I was asked to accompany Mr.	
14	Mulroney. But was I the exclusive holder of all of his	
15	luggage during that time? I don't think so. There	
16	might have been somebody else.	
17	20436 MR. AUGER: And then, on the second	
18	page of the itinerary, we see that lunch is at 12:30	
19	p.m.	
20	Do you see that?	
21	MR. TERRIEN: Yes.	
22	MR. AUGER: By the way, the meeting	
23	with Mr. Schreiber was in the range of an hour to an	
24	hour and a half?	
25	MR. TERRIEN: That's what I remember,	

1	yes.	
2	20441	MR. AUGER: And then, at 2:15, there
3	is the limousi	ne pick-up.
4	20442	Do you see that?
5	20443	MR. TERRIEN: Yes, I do.
6	20444	MR. AUGER: And then the flight
7	departs at thr	ree o'clock. Right?
8	20445	MR. TERRIEN: Yes.
9	20446	MR. AUGER: I am interested in that,
10	because you to	ld Commission counsel in your interview
11	that Mr. Mulro	ney also met with Peter Munk as part of
12	his work for t	he World Gold Council.
13	20447	Can you tell the Commissioner how it
14	is that Mr. Mu	lroney fit in that meeting in such a
15	tight schedule	e on that day?
16	20448	MR. TERRIEN: It wasn't on that day.
17	20449	MR. AUGER: It was on another day?
18	20450	MR. TERRIEN: Yes.
19	20451	MR. AUGER: What day was that?
20	20452	MR. TERRIEN: I'm not sure. I think
21	it was either	the Saturday or the Sunday.
22	20453	MR. AUGER: Were you present for that
23	meeting?	
24	20454	MR. TERRIEN: No, I was not.
25	20455	MR. AUGER: You will recall that the

1	Savoy Hotel was situated on a world-famous square in	
2	Switzerland in Zurich?	
3	20456 MR. TERRIEN: Well, I didn't know	
4	that it was a world-famous square, but it was a square.	
5	20457 MR. AUGER: This is a square where	
6	there are major banks, including the Swiss Bank	
7	Corporation and the Credit Suisse at the time.	
8	20458 Do you remember that?	
9	20459 MR. TERRIEN: I vaguely remember that	
10	there were an awful lot of banks in Zurich.	
11	20460 MR. AUGER: Thank you, Commissioner,	
12	those are my questions.	
13	20461 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Thank you	
14	very much, Mr. Auger.	
15	20462 Any re-direct?	
16	MR. BATTISTA: No, Commissioner.	
17	20464 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Is there any	
18	reason why Mr. Terrien ought not to be excused at this	
19	point?	
20	MR. BATTISTA: No reason.	
21	20466 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Mr. Terrien,	
22	merci beaucoup.	
23	M. TERRIEN: Bienvenue.	
24	20468 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Thank you for	
25	coming, sir. You are free to leave. I take it that's	

1	the work of the Commission for the day
2	20469 MR. BATTISTA: That's it for the
3	day
4	20470 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: and the
5	week.
6	20471 MR. BATTISTA: and the week.
7	20472 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: And we will
8	commence at 9:30 on Monday morning with Mr. Fred
9	Doucet.
LO	20473 MR. BATTISTA: That's correct.
L1	20474 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: All right.
L2	Thank you very much, counsel. Have a good weekend.
L3	Good afternoon.
L4	Whereupon the hearing adjourned at 4:26 p.m., to
L5	resume on Monday, April 27, 2009 at 9:30 a.m. /
L6	L'Audience est ajournée à 16 h 26, pour reprendre
L7	le lundi 27 avril 2009 à 9 h 30
L8	
L9	
20	We hereby certify that we have accurately
21	transcribed the foregoing to the best of
22	our skills and abilities.
23	
24	Nous certifions que ce qui précède est une
25	transcription exacte et précise au meilleur

1	de nos connaissa	nces et de nos compétences.
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	Lynda Johansson	Jean Desaulniers
8		
9		
10		
11		_
12	Fiona Potvin	Sue Villeneuve
13		
14		
15		
16		_
17	Monique Mahoney	