Commission of Inquiry into Certain Allegations Respecting Business and Financial Dealings Between Karlheinz Schreiber and the Right Honourable Brian Mulroney



Commission d'enquête concernant les allégations au sujet des transactions financières et commerciales entre Karlheinz Schreiber et le très honorable Brian Mulroney

Public Hearing

Audience publique

Commissioner

L'Honorable juge / The Honourable Justice Jeffrey James Oliphant

Commissaire

Held at: Tenue à :

Bytown Pavillion Victoria Hall 111 Sussex Drive Ottawa, Ontario

Thursday, April 30, 2009

pavillion Bytown salle Victoria 111, promenade Sussex Ottawa (Ontario)

le jeudi 30 avril 2009

APPEARANCES / COMPARUTIONS

Mr. Harvey W. Yaronsky, Q.C. The Right Honourable Brian Mulroney

Mr. Jack Hughes

Mr. A. Samuel Wakim, Q.C.

Mr. Karlheinz Schreiber Mr. Richard Auger

Mr. Paul B. Vickery Attorney General of Canada

Mr. Yannick Landry

Me Philippe Lacasse

Mr. Robert E. Houston, Q.C. Mr. Fred Doucet

Mr. Richard Wolson Counsel for the Commission

Ms Nancy Brooks Mr. Peter Edgett

Ms Amy Joslin-Besner

Mr. Donald Jordan, Q.C. Norman Spector

Ms Marie Chalifoux Registrar

Ms Anne Chalmers Commission Staff

Ms Mary O'Farrell

TABLE OF CONTENTS / TABLE DES MATIÈRES

	PAGE
Hearing commences at 9:35 a.m. / L'audience débute à 9 h 35	2577
Sworn: Norman Spector Assermenté: Norman Spector	2578
Examination by Ms Brooks / interrogatoire par Me Brooks	2578
Recess taken at 10:40 a.m. / Suspension à 10 h 40 Hearing resumes at 11:05 a.m. / Reprise à 11 h 05	2637
Examination by Mr. Jordan / interrogatoire par Me Jordan	2672
Hearing adjourns at 11:50 a.m. / L'audience est ajournée à 11 h 50	2676

EXHIBITS / PIÈCES JUSTIFICATIVES

No.	Description	PAGE
P-33	Documents in support of Mr. Norman Spector's testimony containing 44 tabs	2592
G	Notes taken by Mr. Gillespie of meeting held on November 2, 1990	2651
P-34	Notes taken by Mr. Gillespie of meeting held on November 2, 1990	2656

ERRATA / ADDENDA

Volume 13 - April 29, 2009 / 29 avril 2009

Page iv

P-30

should be / devrait être

P-32

Page 2472, Line/ligne 20

THE HON. PERRIN BEATTY:

should be / devrait être

MR. ROITENBERG:

1	Ottawa, Ontario / Ottawa (Ontario)
2	Upon resuming on Thursday, April 30, 2009
3	at 9:35 a.m. / L'audience reprend le jeudi
4	30 avril 2009 à 09 h 35
5	26437 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Good morning,
6	counsel. Be seated, please.
7	Before we proceed, Ms Brooks, I would
8	like to indicate to those present the list of witnesses
9	for next week.
10	On Monday, May the 4th, we will hear
11	from Luc Lavoie and the Hon. Elmer MacKay; on Tuesday,
12	May the 5th, Paul Tellier and Sen. Lowell Murray will
13	be testifying; on Wednesday, May the 6th, Steve Whitla
14	who is with Navigant, the forensic accountants retained
15	by the Commission, will be testifying; and on Thursday
16	May the 7th, we will have Mr. Schreiber back to give
17	further evidence.
18	Mr. Spector, good morning, sir.
19	Welcome.
20	Mr. Spector, I understand that you
21	would like to be sworn on the Old Testament?
22	MR. SPECTOR: Yes, sir.
23	26443 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: I have made
24	arrangements to have that provided. Do you have it
25	there with you?

1	MR. SPECTOR: I do.
2	26445 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Could I ask
3	you to stand, please, Mr. Spector.
4	SWORN: NORMAN SPECTOR
5	ASSERMENTÉ: NORMAN SPECTOR
6	26446 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Thank you
7	very much.
8	26447 Ms Brooks?
9	MS BROOKS: Thank you, Commissioner.
10	We have representing Mr. Spector,
11	Donald Jordan, Q.C.
12	26450 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Good morning,
13	Mr. Jordan. Welcome.
14	EXAMINATION: NORMAN SPECTOR BY MS BROOKS /
15	INTERROGATOIRE : NORMAN SPECTOR PAR Me BROOKS
16	MS BROOKS: Mr. Spector, thank you so
17	much for being with us today. I am very appreciative
18	of your cooperation with the Commissioner.
19	I wanted to review with you your
20	background before entering the Prime Minister's office.
21	My understanding is that from 1986 to
22	1990 you were the Secretary to Cabinet for
23	Federal-Provincial Relations and in that position you
24	reported to Prime Minister Mulroney.
25	MR. SPECTOR: Yes. At that point the

1	Federal-Provinc	ial Relations Office was an autonomous
2	organization pa	rallel to the Privy Council Office. I
3	was the Deputy	Minister of that office.
4	26455	On paper I reported to Mr. Mulroney,
5	but as a practi	cal matter on a day to day basis I
6	reported to the	Minister of State at the time, Senator
7	Lowell Murray.	
8	26456	FPRO has gone through various
9	iterations wher	e at times it has had a Minister of
10	State, at other	times it hasn't had a Minister of
11	State. During	my tenure there was a Minister of State.
12	26457	MS BROOKS: Thank you. Did you have
13	anything to do	with the Bear Head Project or Thyssen
14	when you are in	that role?
15	26458	MR. SPECTOR: Nothing at all and I
16	have no recolle	ction of it during that period.
17	26459	MS BROOKS: While you were Secretary
18	to the Cabinet	you developed, as I understand it, a
19	working relatio	nship through the reporting to Prime
20	Minister Mulron	ey, and he offered you the Chief of
21	Staff position	in 1990.
22	26460	Is that correct?
23	26461	MR. SPECTOR: That's correct. We
24	worked very clo	sely together on the negotiation of the
25	Meech Lake Acco	rd. Our office was also responsible for

all of the First Ministers meetings dealing with free 1 trade, so we were coordinating the provincial positions 2 3 and supporting Mr. Mulroney in that regard. After Mr. Hartt I believe was sent to 26462 4 5 sound me out, Mr. Mulroney offered me the position. 6 26463 MS BROOKS: And you held that position, as I understand it, from September 1, 1990 7 8 until about February 15, 1992? 26464 MR. SPECTOR: That's correct, Ms Brooks. 10 11 26465 MS BROOKS: After you left PMO in 12 1992 you were appointed Canada's Ambassador to Israel 13 and High Commissioner to Cyprus, an appointment you held until 1995, August. 14 Is that correct? 15 26466 16 26467 MR. SPECTOR: That's correct. 17 26468 MS BROOKS: And after you were 18 recalled from Israel, you were appointed on July 4, 1995 by Prime Minister Jean Chrétien as President of 19 Atlantic Canada Opportunities Agency, ACOA? 20 26469 21 MR. SPECTOR: You can say the circle 22 had been completed. 23 26470 MS BROOKS: Yes. And while you were with ACOA did you 24 26471 deal with the Bear Head Project at all? 25

1	26472	MR. SPECTOR: No. The only
2	involvement I ha	ad with the Bear Head Project at ACOA is
3	when one day the	e RCMP came looking for a copy of the
4	MOU and that the	en led to my being asked to give them a
5	statement about	what I had known.
6	26473	MS BROOKS: We can get into that
7	later in your e	xamination.
8	26474	I wanted to discuss with you your
9	role as Chief o	f Staff. The Commissioner needs an
L O	understanding o	f what the role was.
L1	26475	When you were in that position, what
L2	would you descr	ibe the role as, Chief of Staff?
L3	26476	MR. SPECTOR: Well, the Chief of
L4	Staff is really	the right arm of the Prime Minister:
L5	runs his office	, advises on the key issues that the
L6	Prime Minister	is dealing with, is really there to
L7	serve the Prime	Minister.
L8	26477	MS BROOKS: What was your
L9	relationship wi	th the Prime Minister? How closely did
20	you work with h	im?
21	26478	MR. SPECTOR: We had a very good
22	relationship.	We had worked very closely together for
23	four years while	e I was Secretary to the Cabinet. I
24	think we unders	tood each other and understood each
25	other!s communi	cations and massages and that sort of

1	thing.
2	I could see him whenever I wanted to
3	see him. We met regularly.
4	26480 MS BROOKS: Would you meet on a daily
5	basis?
6	MR. SPECTOR: I seem to recall you
7	know, I'm a bit confused now, having heard Derek
8	Burney's testimony where he said that he and
9	Mr. Tellier had met weekly with the Prime Minister.
10	I seem to recall us meeting daily.
11	MS BROOKS: And where was your office
12	located in relation to Mr. Mulroney's?
13	Let's talk about the Langevin Block
14	first.
15	MR. SPECTOR: Okay. The Langevin
16	Block, which was my principal office but an office that
17	Mr. Mulroney where Mr. Mulroney also had an office,
18	but one that he used rarely, we were opposite each
19	other on the second floor of the Langevin Block, and
20	Mr. Tellier's office was one floor ahead. PCO was one
21	floor above, on the third floor.
22	MS BROOKS: What about Mr. Mulroney's
23	office in the Centre Block?
24	MR. SPECTOR: That was his main
25	office on the Hill on the third floor near the Cabinet

1	Chamber. I didn't have an assigned office there, but
2	there was a small office, just to the right of his
3	office facing the office, that was empty. When I would
4	go up to brief him before Question Period or meet with
5	him after Question Period, I would use that office and
6	work there and wait for him.
7	MS BROOKS: What about your
8	interaction with the PCO, with Privy Council Office?
9	What was the degree of interaction that you had there
10	and with whom did you interact?
11	MR. SPECTOR: Well, Paul Tellier and
12	I had a very close productive relationship. We would
13	speak regularly. We would meet regularly.
14	26490 Given the fact that I was seconded
15	from the public service, I also had a very to the
16	Chief of Staff position, I also had a very productive
17	relationship with his staff. I didn't stand on
18	ceremony. I would deal with this staff as if I was
19	dealing with him, and they knew they could always come
20	to my office and my office was open to them as well.
21	MS BROOKS: And his staff at that
22	time included Mr. Ronald Bilodeau?
23	MR. SPECTOR: Yes, Mr. Bilodeau was
24	the Deputy Secretary of Operations, which would have
25	made him the key player in issues coming to Cabinet.

1	26493 MS BROOKS: Very good. You said that
2	before Cabinet meetings you would brief the Prime
3	Minister. Did you attend Cabinet meetings?
4	MR. SPECTOR: Yes, I attended Cabine
5	meetings, as did Mr. Tellier. Mr. Tellier was the
6	Secretary to the Cabinet. He would be taking notes an
7	minutes of the Cabinet meeting.
8	26495 I attended Cabinet meetings
9	regularly.
10	26496 MS BROOKS: And the meetings of
11	Operations Committee. What was the Operations
12	Committee of Cabinet?
13	26497 MR. SPECTOR: The Operations
14	Committee was chaired by the Deputy Prime Minister,
15	Mr. Mazankowski. It had some of the key Ministers on
16	it and it essentially was designed to address issues
17	before they reached Cabinet.
18	26498 It took on the toughest issues of
19	coordination, the toughest political issues, the
20	toughest issues in front of the government. It was a
21	very decisive committee; it was a streamlined
22	committee.
23	I attended those meetings and I
24	believe I attended those meetings even as Secretary fo
25	part of the time that I was Secretary to the Cabinet

1	for Federal-Provi	incial Relations.
2	26500	MS BROOKS: Did you ever attend any
3	Cabinet meetings	or Operations Committee meetings where
4	the Bear Head Pro	oject was discussed?
5	26501	MR. SPECTOR: Not to my recollection.
6	26502	MS BROOKS: At a general level I am
7	interested in whe	ether you can tell the Commissioner how
8	lobbyists or comp	pany representatives gained access to
9	the PMO and ultim	mately the Prime Minister.
10	26503	Did they go through you, the Chief of
11	Staff?	
12	26504	MR. SPECTOR: I can't recall ever
13	setting up an app	pointment for lobbyists to see the
14	Prime Minister.	
15	26505	MS BROOKS: Or a company
16	representative?	
17	26506	MR. SPECTOR: Or even a company
18	representative.	
19	26507	MS BROOKS: How then do you think
20	that company repr	resentatives or lobbyists would gain
21	access to the Pri	ime Minister or PMO?
22	26508	MR. SPECTOR: Well, Mr. Mulroney was
23	an inveterate tel	lephone caller, and I think he probably
24	kept the PMO swit	chboard in business single-handedly.
25	So he had a wide	range of contacts and he kept in touch

1	with people.	
2	26509	So I suspect a lot of the
3	appointments v	ould have been generated directly with
4	him talking to	people, and he would probably tell his
5	secretary that	he wanted to see so-and-so and she would
6	slot him in or	the daily schedule.
7	26510	MS BROOKS: And would you be informed
8	about those me	eetings?
9	26511	MR. SPECTOR: We would get the daily
10	schedule. We	had a daily staff meeting at the PMO. We
11	worked off a 3	0-day calendar. I think you have seen ar
12	exhibit of tha	nt
13	26512	MS BROOKS: We have indeed.
14	26513	MR. SPECTOR: in Mr. Smith's
15	material. But	we would also get a daily I think
16	probably arour	nd midmorning each day a daily schedule
17	would come dov	on from the PMO, from the Hill office, and
18	that would hav	re a list of his daily activities.
19	26514	MS BROOKS: Would you as Chief of
20	Staff be invit	ed to meetings with lobbyists or a
21	company repres	sentative?
22	26515	MR. SPECTOR: I can't recall any such
23	meetings.	
24	26516	MS BROOKS: The Chief of Staff before
25	you was Mr. St	anley Hartt. Is that correct?

1	26517	MR. SPECTOR: Yes, that is correct.
2	26518	MS BROOKS: And I understand that
3	when you were pre	paring to move to the Prime Minister's
4	office, Mr. Hartt	gave to you 41 files that were
5	carried over from	his time.
6	26519	Is that correct?
7	26520	MR. SPECTOR: That's correct.
8	26521	MS BROOKS: Was the Bear Head file
9	one of those file	s?
10	26522	MR. SPECTOR: It was not.
11	26523	MS BROOKS: Did Mr. Hartt brief you
12	on the Bear Head	file?
13	26524	MR. SPECTOR: Not to my recollection.
14	26525	MS BROOKS: This was, as I understand
15	it, a busy time f	or the Prime Minister and the PMO
16	because there was	the Gulf War under way.
17	26526	MR. SPECTOR: That's right. We were
18	just off the Oka	crisis, and I believe in August the
19	first naval vesse	ls were dispatched to the Gulf. So we
20	were in the midst	of the first Gulf War.
21	26527	MS BROOKS: And I take it that in the
22	midst of this, on	e of the first issues that the Prime
23	Minister addresse	d with you was the Bear Head Project.
24	26528	Is that correct?
25	26529	MR. SPECTOR: That's correct.

1	MS BROOKS: When did this occur?
2	MR. SPECTOR: My best guess is that
3	it would have been sometime in September or October.
4	That is as narrowly as I can frame it.
5	MS BROOKS: You joined the office on
6	September 1, 1990?
7	MR. SPECTOR: Correct.
8	MS BROOKS: So within September or
9	August October?
10	MR. SPECTOR: Correct.
11	MS BROOKS: What did Mr. Mulroney
12	tell you when he met with you about that project?
13	MR. SPECTOR: Well, we were sitting
14	in his office and he asked me, he told me that he
15	wanted me to have a look at this project, that for some
16	reason it was not going ahead.
17	He suggested that it was being
18	blocked by officials at DND. I don't think he
19	mentioned any specific names.
20	He appeared very frustrated. He said
21	that he could not understand why it was not going
22	ahead. It was his understanding that it was at no cost
23	to the taxpayer and he asked me to move it along to
24	fruition.
25	MS BROOKS: What did you understand

him to mean by moving it along to fruition? 1 2 MR. SPECTOR: Well I should modify 26541 Those are not his words. I don't remember the 3 that. exact words he said. 4 5 26542 I left that meeting with the understanding that this is a project that he wanted to 6 get done. He was frustrated that it hadn't already 7 8 been done. He thought that it was being blocked unduly and that my job was to get this project done quickly. 9 26543 In your experience as 10 MS BROOKS: 11 Chief of Staff, was this the kind of project that the 12 Chief of Staff would normally be assigned by the Prime 13 Minister? MR. SPECTOR: Well, I mean I can't 14 26544 15 really -- my experience as Chief of Staff at that time amounted to about a month or two months. So looking 16 at --17 18 26545 MS BROOKS: I'm asking you --19 26546 MR. SPECTOR: Looking at the --20 sorry. 26547 MS BROOKS: I'm asking you based on 21 22 your experience as Chief of Staff, which lasted I think 23 some 18 months. MR. SPECTOR: Well, this is the only 24 26548 25 project -- this is the only time I was asked by

1	Mr. Mulroney to given this kind of an assignment by
2	Mr. Mulroney.
3	MS BROOKS: And ordinarily this kind
4	of assignment would be handled by whom?
5	26550 MR. SPECTOR: By the Privy Council
6	Office. I mean, it is the Privy Council's Office to
7	coordinate all of the files that are heading up to
8	Cabinet, and one can see from the documentation that
9	you provided me that they were in fact handling this
10	file before I was involved and they then resumed
11	handling this file after I was involved.
12	MS BROOKS: What steps, then, having
13	been given this assignment by the Prime Minister, did
14	you take to carry out his instructions to you?
15	26552 MR. SPECTOR: Well the first thing I
16	did, Ms Brooks, is I called Mr. Fowler and asked him to
17	come see me in my office. The reason that I did was
18	that both from Mr. Mulroney and from other things that
19	I had been hearing, DND was being identified as the
20	black sheep in this whole thing.
21	Mr. Fowler had been a colleague of
22	mine when I was Secretary to the Cabinet and I thought
23	I could have a frank conversation with him, and I put
24	it to him directly. I asked him directly whether they
25	were garewing around

1	26554 MS	BROOKS: And what was his
2	response?	
3	3 26555 MR	. SPECTOR: His response was that
4	their concerns were	very legitimate. They didn't think
5	it was a good proje	ct. They didn't need the equipment.
6	5 26556 I	believe he mentioned that there was
7	a supplier, a fragi	le existing supplier that would have
8	been negatively imp	acted.
9	9 26557 Не	assured me that in fact, I
10	believe I even put	it to him and I don't know where
11	I had gotten this,	maybe from Mr. MacKay. I asked him
12	directly whether the	ere were any conflicts of interest
13	in his department,	whether there were any people who
14	had any business de	alings or anything with the
15	alternative supplies	r, and he assured me no, that this
16	was all on the up as	nd up.
17	7 26558 MS	BROOKS: Okay.
18	3 26559 Co	mmissioner, I would like to at this
19	point enter as an e	khibit, the next exhibit, the
20	documents in suppor	of Mr. Norman Spector's testimony.
21	26560 I	don't know if you have a copy of
22	that binder.	
23	3 26561 CC	MMISSIONER OLIPHANT: I do. This
24	is a document, as w	e have had with other witnesses,
25	counsel. Is it to	go in by consent?

1	26562 M	r. Yarosky, yes; Mr. Vickery, yes;
2	Mr. Houston, yes.	
3	26563 A	ll right. The documents in support
4	of Mr. Spector's ev	vidence will be received and marked
5	as Exhibit P-33.	
6		EXHIBIT NO. P-33: Documents in
7		support of Mr. Norman Spector's
8		testimony containing 44 tabs
9	26564 M	S BROOKS: Mr. Spector, I would like
10	to take you to Tab	3 of this document, which is a memo
11	dated October 31, 1	1990. It is addressed to you and it
12	is from Mr. Tellier	· .
13	26565 O	n page 1 of this document it states
14	that:	
15		" Mr. McKnight can be
16		expected to reinforce his
17		opposition to-:
18		- a non-competitive process;
19		- being forced to move on this
20		project ahead of development of
21		a new Defence Policy;
22		- the financial burden; and
23		- technical and operational
24		difficulties"
25	26566 D	o you see that? That's right in the

1	middle of the page.
2	MR. SPECTOR: Yes.
3	26568 MS BROOKS: This memo also states
4	that:
5	"Mr. MacKay for his part can be
6	expected to stress the
7	importance to the Atlantic
8	economy of this contract. He
9	may express some frustration
LO	over the length of time which
L1	has elapsed since it first beg
L2	in 1985 and since the July 198
L3	signing of an Understanding in
L4	Principle"
L5	In fact, we have heard evidence that
L6	that Understanding in Principle was signed in Septemb
L 7	1988.
L8	But you see in this memo that there
L9	are these two opposing position being taken by the tw
20	principal ministers.
21	How did you determine that you would
22	deal with these variances in opinion on the project?
23	MR. SPECTOR: Well, what I decided
24	do was to convene a meeting of the two ministers. In
25	other words, I did not follow the proposed course of

1		action on page 2, where Mr. Tellier is suggesting that
2		we get essentially a smaller group of the operations
3		committee together to crunch the decision.
4	26573	I decided that what I was going to do
5		was bring together Mr. MacKay and Mr. McKnight and
6		allow them to invite their respective officials with
7		them and to get them to agree on a common document of
8		what it would take to make this project go ahead.
9	26574	The reason that I chose that course
10		of action is that it was my perception that the Prime
11		Minister was receiving contrary information, varying
12		information through his private channels, and I wanted
13		to make sure that there was a commonly agreed to
14		document that everyone had to sign onto in order to put
15		an end to the kind of backstabbing and gossip that was
16		apparent on this file.
17	26575	MS BROOKS: And you held that
18		meeting, as I understand it, with Ministers McKnight
19		and MacKay on November 1st and that meeting was
20		attended by some of their officials, officials from
21		ACOA, Mr. MacKay's ministry, from DND, Mr. McKnight's
22		ministry, and also from Industry, Science and Trade
23		Canada.
24	26576	Is that correct?
25	26577	MR. SPECTOR: That's correct. Just

1	before we leave the memo, I am just looking at this
2	memo now you may get to this in your next question.
3	Mr. McKnight did not behave as
4	forecast on point 2 of the first page of this memo.
5	26579 MS BROOKS: In what sense?
6	26580 It says here that:
7	" Mr. McKnight can be
8	expected to reinforce his
9	opposition"
10	He didn't do that?
11	26582 MR. SPECTOR: He was relatively
12	passive and quite silent throughout the meeting.
13	26583 MS BROOKS: Thank you.
14	I wonder if you could turn up Tab 5.
15	This is a Memorandum of Understanding and there is a
16	handwritten date at the top of this memo. It says
17	November 1990.
18	26585 It is signed by Mr. MacKay and
19	Mr. Karlheinz Schreiber; Mr. MacKay responsible for
20	ACOA and Mr. Schreiber as Chairman of Bear Head
21	Industries.
22	26586 When you were setting up this meeting
23	and initiating these actions, were you aware that this
24	Memorandum of Understanding was out there?
25	26587 MR. SPECTOR: I was not aware of this

1	Memorandum of Understanding until it came up in these
2	proceedings.
3	26588 MS BROOKS: Sorry to make you jump
4	around, but if you could look at Tab 2 of the book, it
5	is a letter from Mr. Schreiber to Mr. Mulroney, dated
6	10 October 1990. So it precedes by a couple of weeks
7	the handwritten date on the Memorandum of
8	Understanding.
9	26589 Mr. Schreiber says in the fourth
10	paragraph of this letter:
11	"Turning back to discussions of
12	our meeting last week"
13	26590 He says to the Prime Minister:
14	" I would like to share some
15	further thoughts which I have
16	had on those matters."
17	26591 And he goes on to talk about
18	opportunities for growth between Germany and Canada.
19	26592 If you turn over the page, the
20	penultimate paragraph reads as follows:
21	"What is needed as soon as
22	possible is the signed MOU as
23	proposed by Minister MacKay, to
24	solve the aforementioned
25	problems as well as bring new

1		and vital long-term activities
2		in Trenton."
3	26593	Were you aware of this letter at the
4	time you were i	n the Chief of Staff office?
5	26594	MR. SPECTOR: I did not see this
6	letter. I don'	t I didn't I don't I did not
7	know about eith	er of the meetings referred to between
8	Mr. Schreiber a	nd Mr. Mulroney on page 1. And, as I
9	previously told	l you, I did not know about the MOU.
LO	26595	MS BROOKS: Did Mr. MacKay, in any
L1	discussions wit	h him, mention this Memorandum of
L2	Understanding?	
L3	26596	MR. SPECTOR: Never.
L4	26597	MS BROOKS: If you turn to Tab 8 of
L5	your documents,	there is a memo from DND. It is to the
L6	Deputy Minister	of DND from the Assistant Deputy
L7	Minister, and i	t is dated November 30, 1990.
L8	26598	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Which tab is
L9	that?	
20	26599	MS BROOKS: It is Tab 8,
21	Mr. Commissione	er.
22	26600	Mr. Gillespie, who is the Assistant
23	Deputy Minister	in DND, writes, in the second
24	paragraph:	
25		"In arranging this last night

1		with Peter Smith"
2	26601	Peter Smith was the Vice-President of
3	ACOA, is that co	orrect, at the time?
4	26602	MR. SPECTOR: I didn't know him. I
5	must have I t	think I must have met him, but that's
6	what I understar	nd from the documents you have provided.
7	26603	MS BROOKS:
8		" I was informed that the
9		draft MC"
10	26604	Which I understand means a Memorandum
11	to Cabinet.	
12	26605	MR. SPECTOR: That's correct.
13	26606	MS BROOKS:
14		" (that paper that we have
15		received from ACOA) had been
16		circulated yesterday to at least
17		PCO, TBS"
18	26607	Which I take to mean Treasury Board
19	Secretariat.	
20	26608	MR. SPECTOR: Correct.
21	26609	MS BROOKS: " and SSC"
22	26610	Can you help me with that?
23	26611	MR. SPECTOR: Supply and Services
24	Canada.	
25	26612	MS BROOKS: " (maybe also DEA)"

1	26613	Which is Department of External
2	Affairs?	
3	26614	MR. SPECTOR: Correct.
4	26615	MS BROOKS:
5		" along with a draft RD!."
6	26616	Recommended Decision.
7	26617	MR. SPECTOR: Record of Decision.
8	26618	MS BROOKS: Record of Decision!
9		"This was done just in case Mr.
10		Mackay decides to walk the paper
11		in to Cabinet Committee in the
12		'next week to ten days' without
13		the DND portion."
14	26619	Mr. Gillespie goes on:
15		"I have spoken to both TBS
16		(O'Connor) and PCO (Billings) to
17		clarify their views and to
18		ensure they understood what we
19		are doing. Billings, in fact,
20		called me before I could call
21		them. They have issued a blunt
22		message to ACOA to withdraw all
23		copies and shred them. ACOA is
24		being informed that they are way
25		out of line. PCO has spoken to

1		Spector and clarified his
2		expectations which are a joint
3		paper to go to the PMO before
4		anybody starts tabling
5		[memoranda to cabinet]."
6	26620	Were you aware at the time that there
7	was this act	ivity by ACOA and Mr. MacKay?
8	26621	Excuse me, there is a reference to
9	Mr. MacKay i	n the first part, but the actions are
10	attributed t	o ACOA. Were you aware of these actions by
11	ACOA at the	time?
12	26622	MR. SPECTOR: No, I was not.
13	26623	MS BROOKS: It appears that they were
14	trying to ci	rcumvent the process that you had
15	established.	
16	26624	Would you agree with that?
17	26625	MR. SPECTOR: You could say that my
18	process was	circumventing the normal process of
19	cabinet. Th	ey were following the normal course.
20	26626	MS BROOKS: This is dated the 30th of
21	November, th	ough, and that's
22	26627	MR. SPECTOR: They certainly weren't
23	following my	instructions, and they weren't following
24	the mandate	that I had been given by the Prime
25	Minister.	

1	In fairness to them, they were
2	following what is the normal procedure in government,
3	but the whistle was blown on them because the Privy
4	Council was saying: Listen, there is this
5	26629 MS BROOKS: Process under way
6	26630 MR. SPECTOR: process under way,
7	and nothing, essentially, is to happen until this
8	process is completed.
9	MS BROOKS: And somebody in PCO
10	called you to confirm that, and you passed the
11	message
12	MR. SPECTOR: They must have. I
13	don't recall that recollect that call, but it
14	certainly is consistent with what I would have said,
15	had I received that kind of call.
16	26633 MS BROOKS: If you turn to the next
17	tab, Tab 9, this is a memo from Mr. Gillespie, and it
18	is addressed, I think, to the Chief of Staff.
19	Would "CS" be the Chief of Staff?
20	MR. SPECTOR: It looks like that.
21	Normally, I think, somebody probably
22	kept yes.
23	Anyway, the answer is yes.
24	26638 MS BROOKS: And it says "B.H.I."
25	Bear Head Industries I take that to mean.

1	26639	This	is what the memo says:
2			"Further to the note that we
3			sent this morning, Jane Billings
4			from PCO called. They realized
5			yesterday when they received the
6			paper plus a draft RD that this
7			was being distributed all over
8			town. They are currently
9			contacting ACOA and all
10			recipients of the paper with the
11			exception of DND, who is
12			involved in writing it,
13			instructing withdrawal and
14			shredding of the copies
15			including the RD. They have
16			also spoken to Spector to
17			confirm that this is not what he
18			expected to happen. It is not.
19			He is expecting a joint paper
20			which would be something that
21			went to the PMO before anybody
22			started writing submissions.
23			Bottom line - ACOA is receiving
24			a very blunt message from PCO.
25			We are continuing on our current

1		track."
2	26640	Do you recall the conversation that
3	i	s mentioned in here?
4	26641	MR. SPECTOR: No, I don't, but as I
5	aı	nswered the previous one, that would have been what I
6	W	ould have said had Ms Billings contacted me.
7	26642	The difference between this and the
8	p:	revious document is that it looks like this was
9	S	erious business on the part of Mr. MacKay, and a full
10	C	ourt press driving toward a cabinet decision in the
11	m.	idst of a process that he was involved in.
12	26643	I mean, he knew the process, because
13	h	e was at the meeting of November 1st.
14	26644	MS BROOKS: Did you ever speak to Mr.
15	Ma	acKay directly about this?
16	26645	MR. SPECTOR: I can't recall.
17	26646	MS BROOKS: If you go to Tab 13, this
18	i	s the joint paper that was submitted to you, and it
19	h	as a cover memo from Mr. Tellier, dated December 10th
20	1	990.
21	26647	MR. SPECTOR: Yes, and there is a
22	n	ote from Mr. Tellier.
23	26648	MS BROOKS: Can you read that note?
24	26649	MR. SPECTOR: Yes. It says:
25		« Norm. à discuter. s'il vous

1	plaît. Je veux savoir où on en
2	est. »
3	Which essentially means: Norm, let's
4	discuss this. I would like to know where we are at on
5	this issue.
6	MS BROOKS: The other handwriting
7	down the page, where it is circled and says "Why", do
8	you know whose writing that is?
9	MR. SPECTOR: I don't know whose
10	writing that is.
11	26653 MS BROOKS: Is it your writing?
12	MR. SPECTOR: No, it's not mine.
13	MS BROOKS: In this cover memo, Mr.
14	Tellier notes:
15	"ACOA is strongly supportive of
16	the Thyssen proposal on the
17	basis of anticipated regional
18	benefits and argues that the
19	proposal is commercially
20	viable."
21	The cover memo notes that Thyssen is
22	seeking a directed contract for 250 of its Fox military
23	vehicles at a cost of \$290 million.
24	I am looking at the second paragraph
25	here:

1		"with delivery beginning in
2		1993/94."
3	26658	DND, however, is not supportive, and
4	it estimates the	cost of going forward to be \$765
5	million that is	s what is circled here above the
6	\$290 million alrea	ady budgeted.
7	26659	Do you see that?
8	26660	MR. SPECTOR: Yes, I do.
9	26661	MS BROOKS: On page 1 of the paper it
10	is noted that the	financial implications for the
11	federal governmen	t are stated to be very significant.
12	26662	If I could have you turn to the
13	actual page 1 of	the attachment and I take it that
14	the attachment is	the joint paper prepared by the
15	departments?	
16	26663	MR. SPECTOR: That is correct.
17	26664	MS BROOKS: If I could take you to
18	page 1 of that, u	nder the heading "Financial
19	Implications", it	says:
20		"The financial implications for
21		the federal Government are very
22		significant (about \$1.1 billion)
23		comprising primarily funds
24		already budgeted by DND for the
25		program element"

1	26665	That	is, \$290 million budgeted afte	r
2	the year 2000):		
3			"incremental costs estimate	ed
4			by DND to support the Thyssen	
5			proposal (\$765 million), and t	he
6			much smaller (approximately \$8	;
7			million) costs of	
8			federally-funded	
9			infrastructure."	
10	26666	What	do you make of the financial	
11	implications	? What is	s your assessment of how much yo	эu
12	were going to	be telli	ing the Prime Minister this was	
13	going to be o	costing?		
14	26667	MR. S	SPECTOR: I believe the figure	
15	that I had in	n my mind	when I eventually briefed the	
16	Prime Ministe	er and	it is my best recollection, I	
17	don't have 10	00 percent	c certainty I believe the	
18	figure I woul	ld have ha	ad in my mind was \$765 million.	
19	26668	MS BI	ROOKS: That is the incremental	
20	costs.			
21	26669	MR. S	SPECTOR: Correct.	
22	26670	MS BI	ROOKS: Something above what th	еу
23	are actually	paying fo	or the machines, something above	9
24	that.			
25	26671	When	you received this memo, did yo	u

1	check with Ministers McKnight and MacKay to ensure that
2	there was no disagreement amongst them about this
3	bottom line?
4	MR. SPECTOR: I did, indeed.
5	MS BROOKS: And did they agree that
6	they were in accord?
7	MR. SPECTOR: They did, indeed.
8	26675 MS BROOKS: After you reviewed this
9	memo, what did you do with respect to the Prime
10	Minister and a briefing for him?
11	MR. SPECTOR: Before we leave this
12	memo, Ms Brooks, there are a couple of points that I
13	would like to make.
14	MS BROOKS: Sure.
15	26678 MR. SPECTOR: First of all, in the
16	first paragraph
17	MS BROOKS: What page are you on?
18	26680 MR. SPECTOR: The first paragraph of
19	the first page.
20	26681 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Are you
21	looking at the memo or the paper?
22	MR. SPECTOR: The memorandum to my
23	26683 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Okay. Thank
24	you.
25	MR. SPECTOR: First of all, I think

1	t	hat Mr. Tellier is being very kind when he suggests
2	t	that the paper responds to the "request you made when
3	У	ou met with the ministers for a joint paper describing
4	W	hat would be necessary for this project to go ahead in
5	t	the near future."
6	26685	That was what I had asked for
7	26686	MS BROOKS: Yes.
8	26687	MR. SPECTOR: but if you look at
9	t	the memo, on the first page it says, "Issue: Whether
10	t	o support the proposal by Bear Head Industries."
11	26688	I think the discrepancy is that this
12	h	has really been drafted as a cabinet memorandum, and
13	t	that is the way cabinet memoranda are drafted, as yes
14	0	or no; whereas I had asked
15	26689	Now, in fairness to Mr. Tellier, his
16	С	covering memo does address what I asked. What he has
17	d	lone I think he was very kind to the officials by
18	s	suggesting that they had carried out their mandate,
19	a	and, essentially, he is summarizing a very long
20	d	locument in two pages, giving me the information that I
21	h	and asked for on behalf of the Prime Minister.
22	26690	MS BROOKS: Which was how much it
23	W	ould cost to
24	26691	MR. SPECTOR: What is it going to
25	t	ake to make this project happen

1	MS BROOKS: All right.
2	MR. SPECTOR: including the costs.
3	MS BROOKS: And when you read the
4	attached paper, were you able to make a determination
5	about
6	MR. SPECTOR: Frankly, I am not sure
7	that I read the entire document at the time.
8	MS BROOKS: All right.
9	MR. SPECTOR: I think I probably
10	relied on Mr. Tellier's two-page memo when I briefed
11	the Prime Minister.
12	MS BROOKS: And when did you brief
13	the Prime Minister on this? When did that occur?
14	Did you have a question, Mr.
15	Commissioner?
16	26700 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: I was just
17	going to ask, on a couple of occasions you said that
18	Mr. Tellier was very kind to the people who wrote the
19	paper. Are you suggesting that what he said in his
20	memorandum to you isn't perhaps reflective of what is
21	in the paper?
22	26701 MR. SPECTOR: No, I think it reflects
23	the paper. I think he distilled the paper
24	26702 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: But a
25	generous interpretation?

1	MR. SPECTOR: No, I think he was
2	being kind to them
3	He may have reamed them out
4	privately, I don't know.
5	26705 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Why would he
6	have reamed them out?
7	26706 MR. SPECTOR: Because it doesn't
8	exactly
9	26707 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: It doesn't
10	respond to the request you made.
11	MR. SPECTOR: Correct.
12	26709 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: But he does.
13	MR. SPECTOR: He does.
14	26711 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: So that's the
15	difference between the memorandum and the paper he
16	responds to the request; the paper doesn't.
17	MR. SPECTOR: Correct, but all of the
18	information was in the paper.
19	26713 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: What you
20	wanted to know was, "How do we make this project go,"
21	because that is what the Prime Minister had asked you
22	to do.
23	MR. SPECTOR: Correct.
24	26715 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: And what the
25	paper says is, "Should we go ahead with the project."

1	26716	MR. SPECTOR: Correct.
2	26717	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: That's the
3	problem.	
4	26718	MR. SPECTOR: I think I was, maybe,
5	using euphemistic	phrasing when I said that he was very
6	kind to them.	
7	26719	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Okay, that's
8	why I asked the qu	uestion.
9	26720	MS BROOKS: So the number you had in
10	your head was \$765	million. What does that figure
11	represent?	
12	26721	If you could clarify for us what it
13	represents over th	ne \$290 million already budgeted
14	26722	MR. SPECTOR: A lot of money.
15	26723	MS BROOKS: But what was it to be
16	used for?	
17	26724	MR. SPECTOR: For me, the
18	significance of th	nat figure was that the Prime Minister
19	was of the view th	nat this project would come at no
20	cost, and his frus	stration was as a result of that view.
21	26725	And the assignment to unblock this
22	process all came f	from his understanding, however that
23	understanding was	derived, that this was a no-cost
24	project.	
25	26726	So when I saw that \$765 million, I

1	thought that I really ha	d the basis of my briefing for
2	the Prime Minister. I d	idn't need much more than that
3	piece of information.	
4	26727 Now, I	did get one more piece of
5	information, and I am no	t exactly sure where I got that
6	piece of information. T	he piece of information was
7	that, according to the g	overnment's financial
8	accounting rules, the an	nual cost that would be
9	incurred would have to b	e booked in the fiscal
10	framework, regardless of	future sales, royalties, or
11	anything. As soon as th	e expenditure was made, it
12	would have to be booked	in the fiscal framework, which
13	meant that it would have	an impact on the government
14	account and on the size	of the deficit.
15	26728 So that	t, too, was in my notes that I
16	took when I went to the	briefing with the Prime
17	Minister.	
18	26729 MS BRO	OKS: Thank you.
19	26730 When d	id that briefing occur?
20	26731 MR. SP	ECTOR: That briefing took
21	place on Sunday, Decembe	r 16th, 1990. It was during
22	we were being driven to	a speech that he was giving in
23	Gatineau, I believe in B	uckingham, on that Sunday.
24	Most of the discussion i	n the limo that morning
25	concerned I believe i	t was a noon speech. Most of

1	the disc	ussion that morning concerned the speech he was
2	about to	deliver, which was on his constitutional
3	initiativ	ve. That was, essentially, the reason that I
4	went alor	ng with him that day.
5	26732	I think the discussion of Bear Head
6	probably	lasted for about ten minutes of the ride.
7	26733	MS BROOKS: What did you say to him
8	in your k	oriefing on the issue, do you recall?
9	26734	MR. SPECTOR: I believe that he asked
10	me whether	er I had made any progress on the file, and I
11	said, "As	s a matter of fact, I have, and I can report to
12	you that	contrary to your view that this project was
13	at no cos	st to the taxpayer, there would indeed be a
14	cost."	
15	26735	I must have mentioned this figure,
16	but when	I gave my statement to the RCMP five years
17	later, I	had already forgotten the number, and I said
18	several h	nundred millions of dollars.
19	26736	I also told him at that time that the
20	annual ex	spenditures would have to be booked in the
21	fiscal fi	ramework, and it was my view that that was an
22	important	t point for Mr. Mulroney.
23	26737	MS BROOKS: What did he say?
24	26738	MR. SPECTOR: He looked at me and
25	said, "We	ell, if that's the case, the project is dead."

1	MS BROOKS: Was he surprised by what
2	you told him?
3	MR. SPECTOR: I think he was
4	genuinely surprised at what I was telling him.
5	MS BROOKS: I would like you to turn
6	to Tab 22 of your book of documents, please.
7	This is called "Chronology and
8	Current Status". It does not have an author.
9	Had you seen this document before we
10	produced it to you?
11	MR. SPECTOR: No.
12	26745 MS BROOKS: I would like you to turn
13	to page 2, where it says "Current Status" in the middle
14	of the page. It says:
15	"PCO (Billings and Gentles) best
16	advice:)"
17	MR. SPECTOR: Billings is the
18	Assistant Secretary for Economic Policy, and Gentles is
19	the Military Advisor in the Privy Council.
20	MS BROOKS: Both in PCO. Okay.
21	Right above that it says, "17 Jan
22	`91," and it talks about a rebuttal letter to PCO, copy
23	to Peter Smith.
24	So we know, I think, that this
25	chronology is at least dated after the 17th of January

1	1991.	
2	26750	I am going to read to you what it
3	says under "PCO	(Billings and Gentles)".
4		"12 Dec `90 (approx) -
5		discussion PM,"
6	26751	I take that to mean Prime
7	Minister:	
8		"Mackay (ACOA), Spector. PM
9		expressed shock at cost of
10		Thyssen proposal and asked
11		Mackay to confirm numbers.
12		Mackay accepted numbers were
13		probably real. Spector advises
14		Mackay not to push issue at
15		present time. Mackay feels PMO
16		has let him down i.e. purpose of
17		1 Nov meet was to force issue
18		and this not done"
19	26752	The second bullet point says:
20		"No plans for matter to be
21		discussed at Ops."
22	26753	"Ops" would refer to?
23	26754	MR. SPECTOR: Operations Committee.
24	26755	MS BROOKS: Operations Committee.
25		"Whether proposal dead, or to be

1		handled outside of Ops not
2		clear. She has asked the
3		questions and may or may not get
4		an answer."
5	26756	I take it that "she" refers to Ms
6	Billings.	
7	26757	MR. SPECTOR: Correct.
8	26758	MS BROOKS:
9		"Only Spector knows.
LO		- PM was to go to London in
L1		January and Mackay to FRG"
L2	26759	the Federal Republic of Germany.
L3		"Informal plan for PM to cross
L4		to FRG for possible meet with
L5		Thyssen.
L6		PM's trip cancelled."
L7	26760	What I would like to ask you is about
L8	the first bull	et point. Do you have a recollection of
L9	a meeting with	n the Prime Minister where Mr. MacKay was
20	present and th	nese described events took place, that is
21	that the PM ex	pressed shock and asked Mr. MacKay to
22	confirm those	numbers?
23	26761	MR. SPECTOR: Absolutely not. I
24	don't believe	there was ever that I ever had a
25	meeting with N	Mr. Mulroney and Mr. MacKay together on

1	this subject.
2	MS BROOKS: So the only recollection
3	you have is of the one briefing with the Prime
4	Minister in the car?
5	MR. SPECTOR: That was the only one,
6	yes, and they got the date close, but not quite on.
7	MS BROOKS: The date of 12 December
8	ought to be, in your view, the 16th.
9	26765 MR. SPECTOR: It was the 16th.
10	26766 MS BROOKS: All right. Did you ever
11	speak to the Prime Minister about the Bear Head Project
12	after that briefing?
13	MR. SPECTOR: No. As I said to the
14	RCMP in 1995, the conversation in the limo was the last
15	I had ever heard of the project.
16	MS BROOKS: What did you do, then,
17	after the Prime Minister had expressed to you that, if
18	that is the case, this project is dead?
19	Who did you advise?
20	MR. SPECTOR: That conversation took
21	place on a Sunday, and Monday morning, the first thing
22	in coming to the office, I called Mr. Fowler and I
23	called Mr. Tellier to relate the nature of the
24	conversation that I had with the Prime Minister, and I
25	then also told my Deputy Chief of Staff. Mr.

Grauer(ph), about the substance of the conversation. 1 2 MS BROOKS: Did you inform ACOA? 26771 MR. SPECTOR: No, I did not. 3 26772 26773 MS BROOKS: Why would you have left 4 5 them off the list of people that you were informing at this point? 6 26774 I thought that it would 7 MR. SPECTOR: 8 be helpful for Mr. Fowler and Mr. Tellier to know that 9 this project no longer had the backing of the Prime Minister, that it would perhaps govern their future 10 11 involvement in the file. 12 26775 MS BROOKS: Did you use those words, 13 that it no longer had the backing of the Prime Minister? 14 26776 15 Do you recall? 16 26777 MR. SPECTOR: No, I think what I said to them -- I think I related verbatim the conversation 17 18 that I had with the Prime Minister, and his phrase 19 that, if that's the case, this project is dead. 20 26778 MS BROOKS: And you are saying that your understanding is that they would understand, then, 21 22 that it no longer had prime ministerial backing. 23 26779 MR. SPECTOR: My perception then, and even more strongly now, is that this project only 24 survived as long as it did because it was perceived to 25

1	have the backing of the Prime Minister, in many
2	different manifestations.
3	MS BROOKS: Did you inform Thyssen,
4	or Bear Head itself?
5	MR. SPECTOR: No, it would not have
6	been appropriate to inform them about a conversation
7	that I had had with the Prime Minister.
8	MS BROOKS: To your belief, as you
9	carried on as Chief of Staff, you say that you didn't
10	speak to the Prime Minister about it again. Did you
11	have any further involvement with this assignment?
12	MR. SPECTOR: No, that was it for me
13	After the 16th discussion, I figured that nature woul
14	take its course. I mean, this was a project that was
15	supported by ACOA, and opposed by virtually everyone i
16	town, so I figured that this was no longer an active
17	file for me.
18	MS BROOKS: If you could turn to Tab
19	14 of your book of documents, this is the same memo,
20	although it doesn't have Mr. Tellier's handwriting. A
21	the top of the page it says, "Returned from PMO with n
22	comments (91-02-18)."
23	Would this have been the document
24	that came up to you in the PMO going back to the PCO?
25	26786 MR. SPECTOR: It would, indeed. That

1	is my handwritin	g under the "Returned from PMO with
2	comments."	
3	26787	Where it says "Tonight"
4	26788	MS BROOKS: Yes.
5	26789	MR. SPECTOR: that is my
6	handwriting.	
7	26790	MS BROOKS: And why would it have
8	said "Tonight" o	n it?
9	26791	MR. SPECTOR: It meant that I was
10	telling my secre	tary that this was something I wanted
11	to take home wit	h me to read carefully.
12	26792	MS BROOKS: All right. I want to
13	understand a lit	tle bit more about the process, at a
14	general level, f	or how memoranda from the PCO up to the
15	PMO would be dea	lt with in the Prime Minister's Office
16	26793	This, I see, comes back after two
17	months, essentia	lly. It is 91/02/18. What would have
18	happened to it w	hile it was in the Prime Minister's
19	Office? Would to	he Prime Minister have seen it?
20	26794	MR. SPECTOR: No, I never forwarded
21	this. This serv	ed as the basis of my briefing to him.
22	26795	I am embarrassed to admit, but the
23	reason it probab	ly took two months to get back is, I
24	probably had it	in my briefcase for two months.
25	26796	MS BROOKS: The fact that it comes

1	back with no comments, you obviously did have comments
2	to make. Would you have written a memo to the PCO to
3	advise them of this?
4	26797 MR. SPECTOR: I don't think I I
5	can't remember writing a single memo to Mr. Tellier
6	during the entire time that I worked with him.
7	26798 MS BROOKS: What would you do instead
8	to let him know?
9	26799 MR. SPECTOR: I would phone him, or
10	we would see each other, I am sure, daily, sometimes
11	several times a day.
12	We had that kind of relationship, we
13	just didn't need to write
14	I mean, you know, he was writing
15	memos as the guardian of the process. He is the
16	secretary to the cabinet. But we didn't need memos to
17	communicate with each other.
18	26802 And I don't want anybody to think
19	that it was because of access to information laws or
20	anything like that. It wasn't anything like that, it
21	was just the kind of relationship that we had. We were
22	on the phone to each other all the time.
23	26803 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: When you say
24	that Mr. Tellier was the guardian of the process, do
25	you mean the pulp and paper industry in Canada or

1	26804	MR. SPECTOR: He certainly was a
2	great ally and f	riend.
3	26805	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: I see. Okay.
4	26806	MS BROOKS: Ally and friend of yours?
5	26807	MR. SPECTOR: Of the pulp and paper
6	industry.	
7	26808	MS BROOKS: You mean with the extent
8	of his use of pa	per, I take it.
9	26809	MR. SPECTOR: I think the word in
10	French for this	is "paperasse."
11	26810	MS BROOKS: All right. Could you
12	turn to Tab 17 o	f your book of documents?
13	26811	This is a letter to you, dated
14	December 19th, 1	990. It says:
15		"Last week, I received your
16		personal assurances that the
17		Thyssen issue would be
18		considered imminently via an
19		'alternative route', rather than
20		being discussed at Operations as
21		I and others had wished.
22		While the direction from
23		your office has been uncertain,
24		I am under the impression that
25		our next steps in resolving the

1		Thyssen issue include
2		discussions between the Prime
3		Minister and Michael Wilson on
4		funding.
5		If DND purchases Thyssen's
6		next-generation vehicle, under a
7		directed contract of \$290
8		million, this would involve, by
9		DND's own generous estimates,
10		program funding of \$725 million
11		(\$ 1990), not \$1 billion plus.
12		Moreover, in light of the
13		lasting and unique development
14		for Nova Scotia to be gained, I
15		am, as you know, fully prepared
16		to use ACOA's lapsing funding to
17		contribute to sourcing."
18	26812	He goes on to talk about timing:
19		"I would simply remind you
20		that Thyssen, which has waited
21		for five years, and was invited
22		by our Government to locate in
23		Canada - seeks a decision by
24		year end. Moreover, I (as I'm
25		sure you) would be most pleased

1	if the Prime Minister was in a
2	position to pronounce favourably
3	on the Thyssen proposal during
4	his visit to Germany next
5	month."
6	This letter is written to you after
7	you have briefed Mr. Tellier, Mr. Grauer, your Deputy
8	Chief of Staff, and Mr. Fowler, and it says at the
9	top
10	Can you read that writing?
11	26815 MR. SPECTOR: I think that probably
12	says, "Elmer, for discussion."
13	MS BROOKS: And whose writing is
14	that?
15	MR. SPECTOR: I think that is Mr.
16	Grauer's writing.
17	MS BROOKS: Did you see this memo?
18	MR. SPECTOR: I did not.
19	MS BROOKS: Would Mr. Grauer, in the
20	ordinary course, now that he knows what the decision
21	is, have communicated directly with Mr. MacKay on this?
22	MR. SPECTOR: I am not sure whether
23	he would have. I expect that he would have, but I have
24	no knowledge of it. I think that Mr. Grauer was doing
25	his job by taking charge of this memo.

1	26822 Frankly, I don't understand what Mr.
2	MacKay was speaking about in the first paragraph
3	MS BROOKS: Yes, I was going to get
4	to that. What do you think he means by an alternative
5	route than Ops?
6	MR. SPECTOR: I have no I can't
7	even guess, in the sense that Mr. MacKay knew fully
8	what I was up to. He knew that I had been asked by the
9	Prime Minister to do what I was doing.
10	So I don't know what alternative
11	route he is suggesting. We were fully transparent in
12	what we were doing. He was part of the process.
13	MS BROOKS: He is referring to, "I
14	received your personal assurances."
15	Did you meet with him or speak with
16	him on the phone?
17	MR. SPECTOR: I am sure that I had
18	several I don't recollect the substance of the
19	conversations I had with him, but I am sure that I had
20	several conversations with him during the month or two
21	that I was dealing with this process.
22	MS BROOKS: If you go to the previous
23	tab, there is a letter from Mr. MacKay a signed
24	letter from Mr. MacKay, and it's dated December 11th,
25	1990, to the Prime Minister.

1	26830 No	ow,	this was the day after you had
2	received the joint	pape	er from Mr. Tellier.
3	26831 J1	ıst	to put it in context, he is
4	writing:		
5			"My Dear Prime Minister:
6			I am writing to seek your
7			support for the proposal by
8			Thyssen to establish an
9			armoured-vehicle and
10			environmental-industries
11			manufacturing facility in Nova
12			Scotia."
13	26832 He	e go	es on to outline, at the third
14	paragraph:		
15			"To revisit very briefly the
16			status of the proposal, the
17			company has indicated formally
18			to the Government through ACOA,
19			that it will establish a very
20			significantmanufacturing
21			facility in Nova Scotia, should
22			DND purchase either 250 of its
23			`Fox' vehicles for \$290
24			millionor 207 of its next
25			generation `TH 495' multi-role

1	combat vehicles."	
2	26833 He is attaching a Thyssen	
3	aide-memoire that summarizes the company's proposal,	
4	which I do not have here. It wasn't included with the	his
5	document.	
6	He goes on, if you look to page 2,	in
7	the second paragraph:	
8	"Accordingly, after a series o	f
9	last-minute negotiations with	
10	Thyssen, the company has agree	:d
11	to address concerns raised by	
12	DND and others, by restructuri	ng
13	their proposal in a way in whi	ch
14	I am hopeful can allow the	
15	initiative to be achieved."	
16	26835 And he says that he is attaching a	
17	copy of their formal letter, which I also do not have	Э.
18	26836 If you then go to the last paragrap	h,
19	he says:	
20	"To conclude, Prime Minister,	if
21	you concur, it would be my	
22	intention on Thursday, Decembe	r
23	13, to have the entire proposa	1,
24	including the company's final	
25	offer, brought forward for	

1	discussion by Operations
2	Ministers. Should we reach
3	agreement, I would hope that a
4	more formal decision could be
5	reached by Cabinet later that
6	morning, so that a formal
7	communiqué could be issued from
8	the Government to the company
9	prior to year end."
10	Were you aware of, or did you see
11	this letter that was sent to the Prime Minister?
12	MR. SPECTOR: I did not.
13	26839 MS BROOKS: It interests me, just as
14	a matter of process, why, if you were given this
15	assignment and at this point you are still involved
16	in the assignment what was the process in the Prime
17	Minister's Office for handling correspondence addressed
18	to him?
19	MR. SPECTOR: There was a
20	correspondence clerk, who had been Derek Burney's
21	executive assistant, and when Mr. Burney left, she
22	became the correspondence clerk, and she would
23	essentially be channelling all sorts of communications
24	I don't know whether there were other
25	ways of getting communications to the Prime Minister or

1	not. To be frank, I did not delve into the
2	correspondence function at the Prime Minister's Offic
3	in any great detail when I was there.
4	MS BROOKS: So you didn't see this
5	letter, and the Prime Minister didn't raise this with
6	you.
7	MR. SPECTOR: He did not, and he
8	certainly didn't mention it on December 16th.
9	MS BROOKS: Can you tell me, did the
LO	matter go to the Ops Committee?
L1	I take it that it did not.
L2	MR. SPECTOR: I believe, from this
L3	correspondence, that it never did end up going to the
L4	Operations Committee.
L5	You directed my attention to a
L6	chronology at one point, which included a point
L7	suggesting that Mr. MacKay was dissatisfied and felt
L8	that he had been let down by the Prime Minister's
L9	office, that the media had known
20	MS BROOKS: That was Tab 22, yes.
21	MR. SPECTOR: Was that Tab 22?
22	26850 MS BROOKS: Yes.
23	MR. SPECTOR: That the meeting had
24	been designed to force the issue and that it had ende
25	up not forging the iggue. I guppoge to hig liking

1	26852 MS BROOKS: And we have referred to	O
2	the letter that was sent on the 19th of December to	уот
3	in the next tab from Mr. MacKay. And you have told	us
4	you have no recollection of speaking to him.	
5	26853 After the decision was made let	me
6	rephrase that.	
7	26854 After you conveyed what Mr. Mulrone	∋у
8	had said to Mr. Tellier, Mr. Fowler and to your Depu	.ty
9	Chief, Mr. Grauer, who would have been the logical	
LO	person to tell Mr. MacKay about the outcome of those	:
L1	discussions with the Prime Minister?	
L2	26855 MR. SPECTOR: Well, you know, I gue	ess
L3	you are now really getting into the question of what	
L4	the Prime Minister decided, if anything, on December	
L5	16th.	
L6	26856 MS BROOKS: Well, I'm actually more	9
L7	interested in whether Mr. MacKay would have been	
L8	advised by Mr. Tellier.	
L9	Do you know if that happened?	
20	26858 MR. SPECTOR: I don't know that it	
21	happened. I assumed all along that Mr. MacKay was	
22	speaking privately to the Prime Minister on this fil	e.
23	I assumed, for example it might	be
24	incorrect, but I assumed that Mr. Mulroney had gotte	n
25	the information that this was a no-cost project from	l

1	Mr	. MacKay.
2	26860	MS BROOKS: You assumed at the time?
3	26861	MR. SPECTOR: At the time, that was
4	my	assumption.
5	26862	So I assumed that they were in
6	CO	nstant communication not constant, but in regular
7	CO	mmunication.
8	26863	MS BROOKS: And that assumption was
9	ba	sed on what?
10	26864	MR. SPECTOR: The fact that someone
11	ha	d given this information to the Prime Minister, and I
12	di	d not at that time know of Mr. Mulroney's dealings
13	wi	th either Mr. Schreiber or Mr. Doucet, who I suppose
14	ar	e two other logical possibilities in retrospect.
15	26865	But at the time the only possibility
16	th	at came to my mind was Mr. MacKay.
17	26866	Now, I think one of the reasons you
18	ar	e asking about who would tell no, anyway I will
19	st	op there.
20	26867	MS BROOKS: Yes.
21	26868	MR. SPECTOR: Yes.
22	26869	MS BROOKS: So you have told us that
23	af	ter this point your assignment was at an end. I
24	wa	nted to just take you to a couple of documents that
25	no	stdate what you view. you have told us. is your end

1	of being involved	l with this.
2	26870	If you go to Tab 21, there is a memo
3	to you for Mr. Te	ellier about the Bear Head Project.
4	26871	MR. SPECTOR: Yes.
5	26872	MS BROOKS: Did you ever see this
6	memo?	
7	26873	MR. SPECTOR: No, I don't believe I
8	did.	
9	26874	MS BROOKS: And why would that have
10	been?	
11	26875	MR. SPECTOR: Well, I think that the
12	fact that I had d	lebriefed Mr. Grauer was the signal to
13	him that this fil	e was going the way of the 41 files
14	that I had receiv	red from Mr. Hartt
15	26876	MS BROOKS: Mr. Hart.
16	26877	MR. SPECTOR: when I assumed the
17	position.	
18	26878	So knowing Mr. Grauer, who was a very
19	intelligent young	man, he would have seen that the
20	bottom line had n	ot changed here and there was
21	therefore no reas	on to revisit the decision.
22	26879	In other words, that no information
23	had been kept fro	om the Prime Minister on December 16th
24	that was relevant	to the Prime Minister's statement
25	that if that is t	the case, the project is dead.

1	MS BROOKS: And now that you see this
2	letter and you see that Mr. Tellier is continuing to
3	have the Bear Head matters under consideration, does
4	that surprise you?
5	MR. SPECTOR: Well, in one way it
6	doesn't and in one way it does.
7	MS BROOKS: Take them one by one.
8	MR. SPECTOR: We will start with the
9	doesn't. We are not supposed to be surprised, right?
10	So in one way it doesn't, in the
11	sense that Mr. Tellier had been dealing with this issue
12	before I entered the scene for a very brief period of
13	time. He was the guardian of the process. He was the
14	head of the Privy Council Office and, as I testified,
15	always believed that that was the role of the PCO. So
16	my involvement with the anomaly.
17	26885 MS BROOKS: So the continuing
18	carriage by PCO after your involvement was concluded
19	was just normal course of events?
20	MR. SPECTOR: So that's why it
21	doesn't surprise me.
22	What does surprise me is in
23	retrospect having and this is something I did not
24	know at the time that I gave the statement to the RCMP
25	in 1995. This is something that I would have learned:

year or so later: that the project had not been 1 cancelled, notwithstanding the testimony that the Prime 2 3 Minister had given at the time of his defamation suit. 26888 MS BROOKS: All right. But let's 4 5 just --6 26889 MR. SPECTOR: So when I saw these 7 documents --8 26890 MS BROOKS: Now, at this point in time. 9 26891 MR. SPECTOR: -- at this point in 10 11 time, subsequent to having first learned about this at 12 the Ethics Committee, I was surprised that a project 13 that from 1996 to 2008 that I thought had been cancelled had not been cancelled. 14 So that's where I am surprised. 15 26892 16 26893 MS BROOKS: All right. If I could just summarize then, the fact that your assignment was 17 18 over and now this was going back to PCO was, in your view, where the project for consideration should have 19 been all along? 20 26894 MR. SPECTOR: Yes. But I did not 21 22 know that in nineteen --23 26895 MS BROOKS: Understood. Understood. MR. SPECTOR: But in retrospect, 24 26896 looking at these documents, it does not surprise me 25

1	that PCO would have re-assumed responsibility for the
2	file.
3	26897 MS BROOKS: And what effect did it
4	have, do you think, assigning this to the Chief of
5	Staff and having the Chief of Staff get involved?
6	You have talked about how
7	Mr. Tellier, quite properly as the guardian of process,
8	would have been involved with this project before your
9	involvement on your assignment and afterwards. By
10	getting you involved, what kind of message, if any,
11	would have been conveyed to those you were dealing
12	with?
13	26899 MR. SPECTOR: Well, I believe and
14	I believe this when I assumed the position based on the
15	experience that I had had in British Columbia in a
16	similar role for Premier Bennett that the PMO, being
17	as powerful as it is, should minimize the files in
18	which it is involved, essentially for a couple of
19	reasons: that it sends I think it produces bad
20	policy and I think it is also bad politics.
21	I will give you the short version,
22	not to bore you with the long version.
23	26901 But essentially I think it sends a
24	signal to Ministers and officials to watch their step.
25	You know, the Chief of Staff is a

1	very powerful position. The Chief of Staff is present
2	when Cabinets are made and remade and reshuffled. The
3	Chief of Staff stands between a Minister and a car and
4	driver. It is a very brave bureaucrat who takes on the
5	Chief of Staff to the Prime Minister.
6	So I believe that in the interest of
7	good government, it is wise to minimize the number of
8	files.
9	I also believed, based on my
10	experience in British Columbia, that it is bad politics
11	to have the Prime Minister's office fingerprints on a
12	file.
13	MS BROOKS: Okay. Well, I am going
14	to
15	26906 MR. SPECTOR: If I could just finish
16	my answer.
17	You know, for good reason the press
18	are particularly vigilant about the Prime Minister's
19	office, given the amount of power it has, and you can
20	imagine if the story has surfaced back in 1988 that the
21	Prime Minister's office had brokered a deal amongst
22	Ministers that had resulted in the flow of \$4 million
23	in commissions.
24	MS BROOKS: Well, Mr. Spector, that
25	is not really what my question was. My question really

was more limited and I think I've got your answer on 1 that. So I thank you for that. 2 Mr. Commissioner, at this point I 3 26909 would like to suggest that it might be appropriate to 4 take the break. I have a number of questions for 5 Mr. Spector after, but I think this would be a good 6 point, if that would be convenient for you. 7 8 26910 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: All right. 26911 We will take the morning break now and come back at 11 o'clock. 10 11 26912 MS BROOKS: Thank you. --- Upon recessing at 10:40 a.m. / Suspension à 10 h 40 12 13 --- Upon resuming at 11:05 a.m. / Reprise à 11 h 05 26913 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Be seated, 14 15 please. Ms Brooks...? 16 26914 MS BROOKS: Thank you, Commissioner. 17 26915 18 26916 Mr. Spector, just before the break 19 you were talking about the two ways in which you were surprised in one and not surprised in another that the 20 PCO continued to consider and carry on with this file. 21 22 26917 I would like you to elaborate, if you 23 could, a little bit more on why you were surprised that this project continued to be under consideration. 24 25 26918 I think you were saying that given

1	t	the Prime Minister's statement to you, which you had
2	þ	bassed on, you had some surprise.
3	26919	Could you elaborate on that a bit
4	m	more?
5	26920	MR. SPECTOR: So much the statement
6	i	tself, because the statement itself left me
7	e	essentially predicting that the project would die. So
8	t	that was my frame of mind. That was what I understood
9	а	and my frame of mind, at least until the time I gave
10	t	the statement to the RCMP.
11	26921	But subsequent to that statement and
12	V	when that statement as it was transformed into the
13	1	etter of request, and as that statement later became
14	t	the subject of examination of Mr. Mulroney during the
15	Ö	defamation trial garnered some publicity, I developed a
16	n	new understanding that stayed with me until 2003.
17	26922	That understanding was that the Prime
18	M	Minister had cancelled the project based on the
19	i	nformation that I had provided to him during the limo
20	ľ	ride.
21	26923	MS BROOKS: Right.
22	26924	MR. SPECTOR: That was the
23	υ	understanding I had in my own mind, for example, when I
24	W	vrote the afterword to Mr. Kaplan's book.
25	26925	In that afterword I noted that the

1	first inkling that the project had not died was	
2	sometime around 2001 when I had had an e-mail exch	ange
3	with Senator Murray, in the course of which he tol	d me
4	that Mr. Segal had dealt with the project at some	
5	point.	
6	So I began to develop a complete	Ly
7	different understanding.	
8	26927 But it was only while watching the	ne
9	Ethics Committee hearing and then subsequently by	
10	reviewing these documents that I learned that not	only
11	did my prediction not pan out, which was fine beca	use
12	there is nothing particularly sacred about my	
13	predictions, but that Mr. Mulroney's statement dur	ing
14	the defamation hearing did not appear to be accura	te ir
15	light of this documentation.	
16	MS BROOKS: Okay. Are you aware	of
17	any other kinds of projects where you believed or	where
18	they were to be cancelled but they came back again	and
19	lived on?	
20	26929 MR. SPECTOR: No, but I'm not su	re
21	that that means anything one way or another. But	no.
22	The answer is no.	
23	26930 MS BROOKS: All right. I wonder	
24	if we are now in the period where you are no lo	nger
25	involved with the file, but if you go to Tab 24 th	ere

1	is a memo to you from Mr. Tellier, dated March 26,
2	1991.
3	26931 And Mr. Tellier writes to you:
4	"We understand that Karlheinz
5	Schreiber of Thyssen/Bear Head
6	Industries will be in Ottawa
7	next week and may try to contact
8	you. The purpose of this
9	memorandum is to provide you
10	with a status report on the
11	proposed Thyssen project in Nova
12	Scotia and apprise you of a
13	possible linkage between this
14	issue and that of the import and
15	export of automatic weapons."
16	Now, did you see this memo?
17	26933 MR. SPECTOR: Yes. I believe I can
18	recall seeing this memo, certainly the first paragraph.
19	MS BROOKS: And I think you said when
20	I interviewed you that you took this to be a heads-up
21	or a warning from Mr. Tellier about a possible contact
22	from Mr. Schreiber.
23	26935 MR. SPECTOR: That's correct. From
24	that point of view, I'm not surprised that Mr. Grauer
25	would have forwarded this one on to me.

1	26936 MS BROOKS: Did you in fact get
2	contacted by Mr. Schreiber?
3	MR. SPECTOR: No, I did not.
4	26938 MS BROOKS: The next tab is a little
5	bit later that same year, and it is a memorandum to
6	Mr. Tellier from one of his staff people, William
7	Rowat.
8	26939 MR. SPECTOR: Mr. Rowat succeeded
9	Ms Billings.
10	MS BROOKS: For the economic
11	portfolio.
12	Mr. Rowat, in the first paragraph
13	says:
14	"On April 12, Mr. J.A. Doucette
15	sent you a new proposal on
16	behalf of Thyssen/Bear Head
17	Industries. The purpose of this
18	note is to give you an
19	assessment of this new
20	proposal."
21	Mr. Rowat notes, in the next
22	paragraph, that:
23	"Thyssen is asking for a firm
24	contract from DND for 250 light
25	armored vehicles and \$8 million

1	in assistance from ACOA (plus
2	\$4.2 million provincial) to
3	establish"
4	26943 This facility. And he refers to it
5	is:
6	"(believed to be in Pictou
7	County)."
8	Which was I believe Mr. MacKay's
9	riding. Can you?
10	MR. SPECTOR: That's my
11	understanding.
12	MS BROOKS: Yes. Were you aware of
13	Fred Doucette's involvement with this file at all?
14	MR. SPECTOR: I was not.
15	Pause
16	MS BROOKS: And did you ever become
17	aware of Mr. Doucette's involvement with this file?
18	MR. SPECTOR: Not until the Ethics
19	Committee.
20	MS BROOKS: All right. My colleague,
21	Mr. Wolson, has drawn my attention back to the previous
22	document, which is March 26, 1991, and I wanted to ask
23	you a couple of Tab 24. I wanted to ask you a
24	couple of follow-up questions on that.
25	Would this memo have been discussed?

You say you received it. Did you discuss this with 1 Mr. Tellier at all? 2 26952 MR. SPECTOR: No, I don't believe I 3 did and I don't believe that I read past the first 5 paragraph of this memo. 6 26953 MS BROOKS: All right. 26954 Did you discuss it yourself with 7 8 Mr. Mulroney, with the Prime Minister? 26955 MR. SPECTOR: No, I did not. As I stated to the RCMP in 1995, the limo conversation was 10 11 the last I heard of this project. 12 26956 MS BROOKS: Right. 13 26957 Did you at any time discuss Mr. Schreiber with Mr. Mulroney in the context of this 14 file or any other file? 15 16 26958 MR. SPECTOR: Certainly not in the context of any other file. I don't really recall 17 whether we discussed Mr. Schreiber. 18 19 26959 MS BROOKS: Okay. Would you have 20 expected this memo to have been passed up by Mr. Tellier to the Prime Minister in the ordinary 21 22 course? MR. SPECTOR: Which memo is this? 23 26960 MS BROOKS: This is the March 26th 24 26961 25 memo directed to you. Would he have sent a copy of

1	this memo to the Prime Minister for any reason?
2	26962 MR. SPECTOR: Certainly not drafted
3	this way.
4	26963 MS BROOKS: Okay. And you say that
5	because?
6	MR. SPECTOR: Well, I'm not sure he
7	would send a memo to the Prime Minister that was kind
8	of a flashing signal that Mr. Schreiber was in town and
9	may try to contact me.
10	MS BROOKS: Okay, yes.
11	One of the last documents I want to
12	take you to is at Tab 37 and this is a September 17th
13	letter to Hugh Segal. Tab 37.
14	MR. SPECTOR: Yes, I'm there.
15	26968 MS BROOKS: Actually it is a
16	memorandum for Mr. Segal from Glen Shortliffe.
17	26969 Who was Mr. Shortliffe at this time?
18	MR. SPECTOR: I believe
19	Mr. Shortliffe by this time would have succeeded
20	Mr. Tellier. Yes.
21	MS BROOKS: As the Clerk?
22	MR. SPECTOR: Yes.
23	MS BROOKS: Sorry, yes. We can see
24	that.
25	MR. SPECTOR: You can see from the

1	let	terhead.
2	26975	MS BROOKS: Yes.
3	26976	And in this memo from Mr. Shortliffe
4	to	Hugh Segal, who was by then the Chief of Staff, it
5	tal	ks about, in the first paragraph, the proposal by
6	Thy	ssen for a proposed I'm looking at the middle of
7	the	first bullet:
8		" proposed a new directed
9		contract from the Government to
10		build eight prototype
11		'peacekeeping' vehicles at a new
12		plant in East Montreal. Thyssen
13		was also seeking \$132 million
14		from governments for the plant;
15		in addition, DND was being asked
16		to test the prototype vehicle."
17	26977	Now, I realize this is after you have
18	lef	t the PMO. You left the PMO in February of 1992.
19	26978	I'm wondering if while you were still
20	in	the PMO you ever heard about this proposal to move
21	thi	s plant to Québec?
22	26979	MR. SPECTOR: No, I never did.
23	26980	MS BROOKS: Earlier when I was asking
24	you	what the Prime Minister's directions were to you, I
25	ask	ed you and you weren't sure if he had used the words

1	he wanted to make it happen.	
2	26981 I'm going to ask that a document	be
3	provided to you. I believe the Registrar has a co	эру
4	for you and the Commissioner.	
5	26982 I would like to make this the ne	xt
6	exhibit, Mr. Commissioner.	
7	26983 It can be described as handwritt	en
8	notes.	
9	26984 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Of who's	
L O	writing?	
L1	26985 MS BROOKS: They are Paul Herrin	g's
L2	notes, which is what it says on them.	
L3	26986 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Is the	
L4	witness able to identify these as an exhibit?	
L5	26987 MS BROOKS: What I could do is h	ave
L6	it added to the previous exhibit as Tab 45 to the	
L7	previous exhibit, and then it will be included in	that
L8	compendium of documents which we are putting to h	im.
L9	26988 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Well, le	t me
20	ask. I mean I just got this, and I assume that of	her
21	counsel just got this document.	
22	26989 Is there any objection to this	
23	document becoming an exhibit at the Inquiry, couns	sel?
24	26990 MR. HUGHES: If we can have a mo	ment
25	Commissioner.	

1	26991	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Sure. Go
2		ahead. Take a look.
3	26992	MR. YAROSKY: Mr. Commissioner, I
4		just want you to know we just got this, too.
5	26993	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Oh, no,
6		that's why I am giving you the time.
7	26994	MS BROOKS: After my friends have had
8		a chance to review it, Commissioner, I can ask the
9		witness to lay a foundation for this document before we
10		have it put in as an exhibit.
11	26995	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Okay.
12		Because it's not his document, that's the point.
13	26996	MR. SPECTOR: Mr. Commissioner, it
14		appears to be minutes of the meeting I held on November
15		1st, somebody's debrief of that meeting.
16	26997	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: I see, okay.
17	26998	MR. SPECTOR: Yes, Mr. Gillespie's
18		debrief of the meeting that I held with MacKay and
19		McKnight on November 1st.
20	26999	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: This would be
21		the meeting where you were playing the mediative role,
22		trying to get them together?
23	27000	MR. SPECTOR: Well, I think what is
24		interesting about it is this is how I was perceived by
25		other people to be playing that role in the sense that

1	we know I mean, this purports to say that the
2	Minister of National Defence was not amused by the role
3	that I was playing.
4	27001 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: I see.
5	27002 Mr. Yarosky, I don't know while you
6	were conversing if you heard what Mr. Spector said.
7	27003 MR. YAROSKY: Yes, I did.
8	27004 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: These are
9	apparently notes of a Mr. Gillespie from a meeting held
10	November 2nd, a meeting attended by Mr. Spector,
11	Mr. MacKay and Mr. McKnight, isn't it?
12	27005 MS BROOKS: Cliff Mackay,
13	Mr. Spector, Minister MacKay
14	27006 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Oh, you are
15	right.
16	27007 MS BROOKS: and his Chief of
17	Staff.
18	27008 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: And Peter
19	Smith.
20	27009 MS BROOKS: Yes.
21	27010 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Do you have a
22	problem with these going in?
23	MR. YAROSKY: Why don't we just see
24	where we are going with them. I would just proceed
25	now.

1	27012	Unless I know more about it
2	27013	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Okay. So you
3		are comfortable you see, my practice has always been
4		if the witnesses is going to refer extensively to a
5		document, the document should be either an exhibit or
6		an exhibit for identification.
7	27014	But depending on what other counsel
8		say, if you are comfortable in having Ms Brooks examine
9		Mr. Spector and question him about this document before
10		it becomes an exhibit, that's fine with me.
11	27015	MR. YAROSKY: That's okay with us,
12		subject and our position on the document will depend
13		on where Ms Brooks goes with the witness or where the
14		witness goes with Ms Brooks.
15	27016	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Okay, that's
16		interesting. Most often counsel do a preemptive
17		strike. You want to hear the evidence before you
18		object to it.
19	27017	MS BROOKS: I might point out,
20		Commissioner, that subject to what my friends say, we
21		have documents in the binder which are not
22		Mr. Spector's documents.
23	27018	I can propose that this be added as a
24		tab to the current exhibit. I am quite happy to
25		question Mr. Spector on the document. It might not

1	even have to be made an exhibit in the end.
2	27019 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Okay. But
3	let's hear from the other counsel before we proceed.
4	27020 Mr. Vickery?
5	MR. VICKERY: Commissioner, my view
6	would be that it would be appropriate at a minimum to
7	mark it as an exhibit for identification.
8	27022 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Before
9	anything further occurs.
10	MR. VICKERY: Yes. So that we know
11	what is being referred to.
12	27024 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Okay. That's
13	fine.
14	27025 Mr. Houston?
15	27026 MR. HOUSTON: I take the same
16	position as Mr. Vickery, sir.
17	27027 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Okay.
18	27028 Mr. Auger?
19	27029 MR. AUGER: I take the same position
20	as Mr. Vickery. At a minimum, let's mark it for
21	identification.
22	27030 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: All right.
23	That's fine. Thank you.
24	The notes then apparently taken by
25	Mr. Gillespie of a meeting held at 2:30 o'clock in the

1	afternoon of November 2, 1990, attended by Mr. Spector
2	and others, will be received and marked as Exhibit G
3	for identification.
4	EXHIBIT NO. G (for
5	identification): Notes taken by
6	Mr. Gillespie of meeting held on
7	November 2, 1990
8	MS BROOKS: Now, Mr. Spector, the
9	date on this note is the 2nd of November 1990 and it is
10	documenting a meeting that you held at which Peter
11	Smith from ACOA; Minister MacKay and his Chief of Staff
12	I take it, Cliff Mackay, who was from ISTC; and you
13	were present
14	27033 MR. SPECTOR: I think Mr. Gillespie,
15	who was doing the debriefing, was also present at the
16	meeting.
17	MS BROOKS: Right.
18	MR. SPECTOR: Let's hope so.
19	27036 MS BROOKS: And it's dated 2
20	November.
21	Your evidence is that this is
22	misdated. It should be 1 November?
23	27038 MR. SPECTOR: No. I think this is
24	Mr. Gillespie's debrief of his staff the day after my
25	meeting

1	27039 MS BROOKS: I understand, right.
2	27040 MR. SPECTOR: relating to them
3	what happened at the meeting on November 1st.
4	27041 MS BROOKS: If I can take you to look
5	at these notes, bullet number two, point number two
6	says:
7	"The MND not amused. Cannot
8	lose but may be directed. Mr.
9	Spector, offside."
10	27042 Can you give me any insights into
11	what this was referring to?
12	27043 MR. SPECTOR: I think this would be
13	DND's perception of the role I was playing at that
14	meeting of November 1st; that I came in and I said wha
15	is it going to take to make this project happen?
16	27044 MS BROOKS: If you look at point 4,
17	what do you make of what is reported here?
18	27045 MR. SPECTOR: I think this probably
19	is what they responded to that. So I think this kind
20	of closes the circle.
21	27046 What we have here is that at the
22	beginning of the process, November 1st, DND is
23	displeased by the position that I'm taking, and at the
24	end of the process Mr. MacKay is displeased by the
25	position as it came out, given what he thought he

1	understood was going to happen on November the 1st.
2	27047 But what I find particularly
3	interesting is that Mr. MacKay who Mr. McKnight,
4	whom I have portrayed as being passive at the meeting,
5	is not amused and he says he wants to see the Prime
6	Minister.
7	27048 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Who wants to
8	see the Prime Minister?
9	27049 MR. SPECTOR: The Minister of
10	National Defence.
11	27050 MS BROOKS: And where are you
12	directing us to at that point?
13	MR. SPECTOR: Point 2.
14	MS BROOKS: Yes.
15	"Minister of National Defence
16	not amused."
17	MR. SPECTOR: And just above that.
18	27054 MS BROOKS: "Wants to see PM."
19	27055 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Just hang on.
20	The Minister of National Defence was not at this
21	meeting, was he?
22	27056 MR. SPECTOR: He was at the meeting
23	on November 1st. So this fellow is
24	27057 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: He is not
25	listed up above on the people who attended. That was

1	my problem.
2	27058 MS BROOKS: Was Minister McKnight at
3	the meeting you held on the 1st of November?
4	MR. SPECTOR: He definitely was.
5	27060 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: All right.
6	27061 MR. SPECTOR: And this is yes, I
7	think they probably assumed that the people in their
8	department knew that their Minister was at the meeting
9	27062 MS BROOKS: If I could ask you to
10	turn to the second page of this as well, there is a
11	star about a third a quarter of a page down:
12	"Need business plan & proposal.
13	ACOA to take lead in prep of
14	above."
15	27063 And at the bottom of the page it
16	says:
17	"10 days for joint ISTC
18	response."
19	MR. SPECTOR: I had not remembered
20	that I had given that tight a deadline, but it sounds
21	that that could have been the case.
22	MS BROOKS: All right.
23	27066 MR. SPECTOR: This seems to be a
24	report of the meeting, what happened at the meeting on
25	November 1st.

1	27067 MS BROOKS: So if I could just distil
2	out of this, do you agree that point 4 the statement:
3	"What to make happen. Asked by
4	Spector, PMO"
5	27068 That this accords with what you would
6	have told those who were present the day before, the
7	1st of November?
8	MR. SPECTOR: Yes. Yes.
9	MS BROOKS: Okay. Thank you.
10	27071 I would like to file this as an
11	exhibit in the cause, if I may.
12	27072 MR. YAROSKY: I have no problem with
13	that, Mr. Commissioner.
14	27073 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Mr.
15	Vickery?
16	MR. VICKERY: No problem.
17	27075 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Mr.
18	Houston?
19	27076 MR. HOUSTON: Likewise, sir, I have
20	no problem.
21	27077 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Mr. Auger?
22	MR. AUGER: Agreed.
23	27079 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Thank you
24	very much.
25	The notes, then, taken by

1	Mr. Gillespie will be received and marked as Exhibit
2	P-34.
3	EXHIBIT NO. P-34: Notes taken
4	by Mr. Gillespie of meeting hel
5	on November 2, 1990
6	MS BROOKS: Mr. Spector, the last
7	document I want to take you to is a letter at Tab 7 or
8	your documents.
9	27082 In this letter it is written to
10	you by Mr. Schreiber he says:
11	"I did not want to leave Canada
12	today without expressing my
13	pleasure in having met you this
14	morning. I found our exchange
15	of view to have been very
16	positive, especially in our
17	discussion of Canada's economic
18	opportunities. The frankness o
19	that discussion was certainly
20	appreciated.
21	I believe I have found some
22	understanding of how difficult
23	your job is, to progress the
24	objectives of the Government
25	when faced with Federal

1	bureaucracy and the Senate.
2	In closing, I wish you every
3	success. My plans are to return
4	to Ottawa in late November and I
5	hope to see you again at that
6	time, but should you wish to
7	speak with me before then please
8	do not hesitate to call."
9	I take it then that you did meet with
10	Mr. Spector
11	MR. SPECTOR: Mr. Schreiber.
12	27085 MS BROOKS: I'm sorry, with
13	Mr. Schreiber on the 9th of November?
14	27086 MR. SPECTOR: I don't recall the
15	date, but yes, we definitely did meet.
16	27087 MS BROOKS: Do you know how that
17	meeting came about?
18	27088 MR. SPECTOR: I believe Mr. MacKay
19	asked me to meet with Mr. Schreiber. It's possible
20	that Mr. Mulroney did, but I think my better guess
21	would be that Mr. MacKay asked me to meet with him.
22	27089 MS BROOKS: And do you recall what
23	Mr. MacKay, if it was he, or whoever gave you the
24	direction to meet with Mr. Schreiber said that the
25	meeting was to accomplish?

1	27090 MR. SPECTOR: Well, I think this was
2	all part of the process whereby I was asked by the
3	Prime Minister to look into this matter and get it
4	27091 MS BROOKS: Understood. This is now
5	November 9th, so you would have met with the officials
6	and the Ministers.
7	MR. SPECTOR: Correct.
8	MS BROOKS: He closes by saying he
9	will be returning to Ottawa in late November and hopes
10	to see you again.
11	Did you in fact see him again?
12	MR. SPECTOR: No, I did not.
13	27096 MS BROOKS: Did you ever meet with
14	him again?
15	MR. SPECTOR: I don't believe so.
16	27098 MS BROOKS: The Commission has heard
17	evidence of meetings between Mr. Schreiber and
18	Mr. Mulroney and has heard that in the period between
19	July 1990 and December 1991 there were a half dozen
20	meetings.
21	27099 If you accept that there were half a
22	dozen meetings in the period between July 1990 to
23	December 1991 between Mr. Mulroney and Mr. Schreiber,
24	what would your reaction be?
25	MR. SPECTOR: Between what dates?

1	27101	MS BROOKS: Between July 1990 and
2	December 1991, s	o a period of approximately 18 months.
3	27102	MR. SPECTOR: It sounds like a lot of
4	meetings.	
5	27103	MS BROOKS: Would it strike you as an
6	unusually high n	umber of meetings for the Prime
7	Minister to have	had with an industry representative?
8	27104	MR. SPECTOR: Yes.
9	27105	MS BROOKS: Are you aware of any
10	other kind of ac	cess that a company representative had
11	to the Prime Min	ister while you were Chief of Staff, of
12	this level?	
13	27106	MR. SPECTOR: I can't really think of
14	any equivalent.	
15	27107	MS BROOKS: If I might precis then,
16	this does seem t	o you to be an unusually high level.
17	Can you provide	any insights into why you believe that
18	to be the case?	
19	27108	MR. SPECTOR: Why I think it is a
20	high level?	
21	27109	MS BROOKS: Yes.
22	27110	MR. SPECTOR: Well, because I can't
23	think of any oth	er example.
24	27111	MS BROOKS: Why do you think in this
25	case Mr. Schreib	er had that kind of access?

1	27112 MR. SPECTOR: I think I could only
2	speculate.
3	27113 MR. YAROSKY: Mr. Commissioner, if
4	the witness is being asked to speculate, I am
5	objecting.
6	27114 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Okay.
7	27115 Perhaps we should stay away from the
8	area of speculation.
9	27116 MS BROOKS: I'm asking you, then
10	if you look at the number of meetings, you have said i
11	is unusually high. What was your experience, then, as
12	Chief of Staff with the number of meetings that
13	industry representative would typically have with the
14	Prime Minister?
15	27117 MR. SPECTOR: Frankly I can't think
16	during my time of anything that comes close to this.
17	27118 But, you know, I appreciate your
18	ruling, Mr. Commissioner, because I'm not really I'm
19	not really comfortable about speculating.
20	I think that's
21	27120 MS BROOKS: Okay. If I could move
22	on, then, you have told us that you knew Mr. Doucet.
23	You have told me at least in our interview and could
24	you just confirm for the Commissioner: Did you know
25	Mr. Doucet before you took on the role of Chief of

1	Staff?	
2	27121	MR. SPECTOR: Yes.
3	27122	MS BROOKS: How did you come to know
4	him?	
5	27123	MR. SPECTOR: I first met Mr. Doucet
6	when I was work	ing for the Premier of British Columbia
7	where one of my	responsibilities was to manage the
8	relationship wi	th Ottawa, and Mr. Doucet had that
9	responsibility	for the Prime Minister. So in that
LO	sense he was my	interlocutor, and we would work
L1	together on fed	deral-provincial conferences and that
L2	sort of thing.	
L3	27124	MS BROOKS: Where was he working at
L4	the time?	
L5	27125	MR. SPECTOR: He was working in the
L6	Prime Minister'	s office at that time.
L7	27126	And then
L8	27127	MS BROOKS: And I'm sorry.
L9	27128	MR. SPECTOR: I also worked with him
20	when I was Secr	retary to the Cabinet for
21	Federal-Provinc	cial Relations, because one of his
22	responsibilitie	es then was First Ministers conferences,
23	before he becam	ne the Ambassador for Summits or
24	whatever. And	there were several First Ministers
2.5	conferences T	believe on the economy and aboriginal

1	rights.
2	27129 Mr. Doucet had lead responsibility
3	for that in the Prime Minister's office and I had lead
4	responsibility for that in the public service.
5	27130 MS BROOKS: And while you were Chief
6	of Staff did you meet Mr. Doucet again in that role?
7	27131 MR. SPECTOR: I believe we had lunch
8	once. I think it was after his operation.
9	27132 MS BROOKS: Which I believe we heard
10	from him was in 1988, April.
11	27133 MR. SPECTOR: I'm sure it was after
12	his operation because he talked about his zipper.
13	I recall having lunch with him.
14	27135 MS BROOKS: And did you I'm
15	sorry?
16	Did you meet with him on any other
17	occasion?
18	27137 MR. SPECTOR: That may have been
19	before I became Chief of Staff, I'm sorry. I think
20	that was before I became Chief of Staff.
21	27138 MS BROOKS: While you were Chief of
22	Staff do you recall having any meetings with him?
23	27139 MR. SPECTOR: No, while I was Chief
24	of Staff I did not meet with Mr. Doucet.
25	27140 MS BROOKS: Did you ever see

Mr. Doucet in Mr. Mulroney's office while you were 1 Chief of Staff? 2 MR. SPECTOR: Yes, on some occasions 3 27141 when I was working in the office on the Hill next to 4 5 Mr. Mulroney's Centre Block office, I recall seeing Mr. Doucet waiting outside the office with various individuals. 7 8 27142 MS BROOKS: Did you ever see him with Mr. Schreiber? MR. SPECTOR: No, I did not. 10 27143 11 27144 MS BROOKS: Did he ever contact you about Bear Head? 12 13 27145 MR. SPECTOR: No he did not. 27146 MS BROOKS: After you left the Prime 14 Minister's office you became President of ACOA and --15 16 27147 MR. SPECTOR: No, there was -- after I left the Prime Minister's office. 17 18 27148 MS BROOKS: I'm sorry, yes. We went to your history. It was actually when you came back to 19 Canada from Israel. I apologize. 20 And you have told us that you didn't 21 27149 22 there deal with the Bear Head Project. 23 27150 Can you just tell us the circumstances under which it came to be that you gave a 24 statement to the RCMP. 25

1	1 27151 That statement, Mr	. Commissioner, is
2	2 located at Tab 38 of the binder.	
3	3 27152 MR. SPECTOR: Well	, the RCMP came
4	4 I think they phoned first my adminis	strative assistant
5	and asked for an appointment to see	me. My office was
6	in Moncton at the time, and I spent	only a day or two
7	7 in Ottawa a week.	
8	8 27153 So I agreed to see	them the next day
9	9 and Sergeant Flegenwald, I believe,	came and they were
10	.0 looking for a copy of the Understand	ding in Principle,
11	which apparently were in our files.	
12	.2 27154 We gave them I	forget who gave it
13	to them. We gave them that copy and	d in the course of
14	the conversation with Sergeant Flege	enwald I mentioned
15	that I had worked in the Prime Minis	ster's office, and
16	he asked me whether I knew anything	about Airbus.
17	.7 27155 I said that I did	not; that that
18	8 transaction predated my arrival, but	t that I did know
19	something about the Bear Head Project	ct.
20	20 27156 And then he asked	me whether what
21	and I think we had a bit of a chat,	and at that point
22	he asked me whether I would be prepa	ared to give a
23	statement to the RCMP.	
24	4 27157 MS BROOKS: And th	at is the statemen
25	that we see at Tab 38?	

1	27158 I	MR. SPECTOR: Oh, yes, that's
2	correct.	
3	27159 I	MS BROOKS: After you spoke to
4	Mr. Tellier, Mr. F	owler and your own Deputy Chief of
5	Staff, you testifi	ed earlier you told the
6	Commissioner earli	er that you did not speak to
7	Mr. MacKay, and I	think you said that you assumed that
8	the Prime Minister	himself would have told Mr. MacKay,
9	been in communicat	ion with him, as I think you said.
LO	27160 I	MR. SPECTOR: I assumed that the view
L1	that the Prime Min	ister expressed to me would have
L2	become the new vie	w of the Prime Minister's attitude
L3	towards this proje	ct and that that would have happened
L4	as a result of the	Prime Minister having various
L5	conversations, inc	luding with Mr. MacKay and possibly
L6	other Cabinet Mini	sters.
L7	27161	In other words, I thought that the
L8	view that he expre	ssed to me was well anchored. And
L9	you can see variou	s references in the document,
20	including I think	a memo to Mr. Wouters, where someone
21	on his staff was t	elling him that several months ago he
22	heard that when th	e Prime Minister heard about the cos
23	of this thing, his	support wavered.
24	27162	So when I left the Prime Minister's
2.5	office well from	1990 on. I expected that this

1	project would have gone from the status of having the
2	Prime Minister's imprimatur on it to one that the Prime
3	Minister had a new understanding of, namely that it
4	would come at significant cost to the taxpayer and that
5	he was no longer very hot about it.
6	So I now, I never expected or I
7	never understood that he had instructed the project be
8	cancelled or anything like that, but I did have a
9	general understanding that his position had changed
10	radically vis-à-vis this project as a result of our
11	conversation.
12	27164 MS BROOKS: Can you give me your
13	perceptions of what the relationship was between
14	Mr. MacKay and Mr. Mulroney?
15	27165 MR. SPECTOR: Well, I think mostly I
16	was going on conventional wisdom around town that the
17	two were close and chatted from time to time. Of
18	course, Mr. Mulroney chatted with a lot of people. As
19	I said, he was a heavy telephone user.
20	27166 MS BROOKS: Did you yourself ever
21	observe that relationship between the two gentlemen?
22	27167 MR. SPECTOR: I don't think I did.
23	Well, perhaps at Cabinet, but that would not have been
24	where I developed that particular understanding.
25	27168 MS BROOKS: You wrote an Afterword to

Mr. Kaplan's book, which is in the materials and which 1 you referred to earlier. Did you become a persona non 2 3 grata after with Mr. Mulroney and his supporters after that time? MR. SPECTOR: Oh, I think I probably 5 27169 became a persona non grata before that. 6 MS BROOKS: When would that have 7 27170 8 been? 27171 MR. SPECTOR: Well, my understanding -- and I believe Mr. Kaplan wrote this in 10 11 his first book -- is that the Prime Minister was furious after I gave the statement to the RCMP, furious 12 13 with me. 14 27172 MS BROOKS: Do you know why he was furious? The statement appears to be fairly factual. 15 MR. SPECTOR: Well, I think that 16 27173 might be something you would ask him. 17 18 27174 MS BROOKS: What is your relationship 19 with Mr. Mulroney today? 20 27175 MR. SPECTOR: There is no relationship now. There hasn't been -- well, first of 21 22 all, I think even after -- even before the statement I 23 think I spoke with him once after leaving the Prime Minister's office. Since 1995 we haven't spoken. 24 25 27176 MS BROOKS: All right.

1	Those, Mr. Commissioner, are my
2	questions. I just will take a minute and confer with
3	my colleague.
4	I have no more questions.
5	Mr. Spector, you might stay there.
6	My friends might have some questions for you.
7	27180 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Thank you,
8	Ms Brooks.
9	I have a couple of questions I would
10	like to ask that are topical to issues raised by Ms
11	Brooks.
12	I understand from your evidence,
13	Mr. Spector, that the assignment given to you by the
14	Prime Minister with respect to this one particular fil
15	out of the 41 that had been given to you was rather
16	unique.
17	MR. SPECTOR: Yes.
18	27184 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: He wanted you
19	to take control of the file and, to use the vernacular
20	to get the job done and get it done quickly?
21	27185 MR. SPECTOR: Yes. But I do want
22	to I should have said this earlier.
23	I do want to make it clear that I
24	never interpreted him as suggesting that I do anything
25	improper in carrying out that assignment.

1	27187	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: I'm not
2	suggesting that	for a moment either.
3	27188	But what I wanted to ask you is this:
4	Given the uniqu	e nature of the assignment, it is
5	something that	you have a particular memory about.
6	When he gave yo	u the assignment to get it done and get
7	it done quickly	, did he tell you why he wanted to
8	achieve that ob	jective?
9	27189	MR. SPECTOR: Not that I recall, but
10	in fairness I d	on't recall all that much. My memory of
11	the December 16	th conversation is a lot more vivid
12	27190	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: I hear you.
13	27191	MR. SPECTOR: than my memory of
14	the first conve	rsation.
15	27192	But I don't recall that
16	27193	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Okay. Okay.
17	27194	MR. SPECTOR: what you just raised
18	in your questio	n.
19	27195	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: All right.
20	27196	So your memory today is that you were
21	given an assign	ment that you perceived as having been
22	unique, but you	don't recall any particular reason for
23	the Prime Minis	ter giving you the assignment, except
24	that he wanted	to get it done?
25	27197	MR. SPECTOR: Yes.

1	27198	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Okay.
2	Thanks.	
3	27199	Mr. Yarosky?
4	27200	MR. YAROSKY: We have no questions,
5	Mr. Commissioner.	
6	27201	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Thank you,
7	sir.	
8	27202	Mr. Vickery?
9	27203	MR. VICKERY: We have no questions,
10	sir.	
11	27204	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Mr.
12	Houston?	
13	27205	MR. HOUSTON: I have no questions,
14	sir. Thank you.	
15	27206	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Okay.
16	27207	Mr. Auger?
17	27208	MR. AUGER: No questions,
18	Commissioner.	
19	27209	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Well, all
20	right. I take it	that means there is no
21	re-examination, M	Is Brooks?
22	27210	MS BROOKS: I have 25 more questions,
23	sir.	
24	27211	MR. JORDAN: I have a question,
25	Mr. Commissioner.	

1	27212 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Mr.	
2	Jordan?	
3	MR. JORDAN: Yes. I don't know, do	I
4	go to the podium?	
5	27214 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Come on up	
6	to	
7	MR. JORDAN; I only have one brief	
8	question.	
9	27216 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Come on up t	0.
10	the podium, Mr. Jordan. I welcomed you earlier, but	I
11	want to give you a special welcome because I understa	nd
12	that you, too, have a history in Manitoba, having	
13	graduated from the same Faculty of Law as Mr. Wolson	
14	and me.	
15	MR. JORDAN: That's correct.	
16	27218 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Somebody is	
17	going to think we have a western mafia at work here.	
18	Laughter / Rires	
19	27219 MR. SPECTOR: Sooner or later they	
20	reach British Columbia.	
21	27220 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: I have a	
22	particular affinity for Victoria, too, Mr. Spector.	
23	MR. SPECTOR: So I understand.	
24	EXAMINATION: NORMAN SPECTOR BY MR. JORDAN /	
25	INTERROGATOIRE: NORMAN SPECTOR PAR Me JORDAN	

1	27222 MR. JORDAN: Mr. Spector, I would
2	like to take you to Tab 3 of the materials in front of
3	you. This is a memorandum to you, dated October the
4	31st of 1990, so it was the day before the November 1st
5	meeting. Attached to it are two memoranda to the Prime
6	Minister from Mr. Tellier, the first is dated October
7	the 10th of 1990, and the second, July 11th, 1990.
8	Once you got the task of getting this
9	matter done, you said that you went and spoke to Mr.
10	Fowler.
11	MR. SPECTOR: That's right.
12	MR. JORDAN: At the time that you
13	spoke to Mr. Fowler, were you aware of the previous PCO
14	involvement?
15	MR. SPECTOR: I am not sure.
16	MR. JORDAN: Had the Prime Minister
17	told you that he had had these memos from Mr. Tellier?
18	MR. SPECTOR: No.
19	MR. JORDAN: Those are my questions.
20	27230 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Thank you
21	very much, Mr. Jordan.
22	Ms Brooks, is there any reason why we
23	can't excuse Mr. Spector and Mr. Jordan at this point?
24	MS BROOKS: No reason.
25	27233 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Mr. Spector,

thank you very much, sir, you can go back to Victoria 1 and continue with your blogging. 2 3 27234 MR. SPECTOR: Thank you very much. 27235 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Yesterday we 4 5 were told that there had been a bit of a development with Senator Murray, and that he wouldn't be giving 6 evidence today, and I indicated earlier this morning 7 8 that he will be testifying next week. I trust that all counsel have the documents that Senator Murray produced 9 to the Commission on Wednesday evening. 10 11 27236 Mr. Houston doesn't have them? 27237 MR. HOUSTON: Certainly we don't have 12 13 them, Mr. Commissioner. COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: 27238 Oh, I'm 14 15 sorry, I thought they were given to other counsel. 16 27239 MR. WOLSON: I did too, but we will arrange for that to happen today. 17 18 27240 There is not a huge volume --27241 Nobody appears to have them. 19 20 27242 Mr. Roitenberg, I know, received them yesterday from Mr. Edgett, who saw the witness. 21 22 27243 We will get them to counsel today. COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Just while I 23 27244 think of it -- and this is a housekeeping matter -- has 24 the Navigant Report been distributed yet? 25

1	Do all counsel have the report	from
2	the forensic accountants?	
3	27246 MR. YAROSKY: We got it last nice	ght,
4	Mr. Commissioner.	
5	27247 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Thank y	ou.
6	27248 MR. VICKERY: We received it th	is
7	morning.	
8	27249 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Mr.	
9	Houston	
10	27250 MR. HOUSTON: I have it, sir.	
11	27251 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Mr. Aug	er
12	MR. AUGER: I have it.	
13	MR. WOLSON: The question, thou	gh,
14	is, do all counsel have the appendices, as well?	
15	27254 MR. HOUSTON: Yes.	
16	27255 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Everyboo	dy has
17	the appendices to the report?	
18	27256 MR. HOUSTON: Yes.	
19	27257 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Okay.	I take
20	it, then, that we can adjourn for today.	
21	27258 MR. WOLSON: Yes. I would like	to
22	meet with counsel, though, because we should try	to
23	find a date for final submissions. We are going	ahead
24	of ourselves now, but we will get there, so I wou	ld
25	like to do that.	

1	27259	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Let me say to
2	counsel on the	issue of final submissions that I am
3	pretty flexible	. I am prepared to receive from you
4	written submiss:	ions, oral submissions, or both, and I
5	leave it to you	to decide, individually, the way that
6	you wish to prod	ceed.
7	27260	I can assure you that I will read
8	every word that	you provide to me, and listen carefully
9	to everything th	nat you might have to say, but you can
L O	choose how you	wish to make your final submissions, and
L1	that's fine with	n me.
L2	27261	MR. YAROSKY: Thank you, Mr.
L3	Commissioner.	
L4	27262	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Thanks, Mr.
L5	Yarosky.	
L6	27263	We will come back, then, Monday
L7	morning at 9:30	, and I hope that everybody gets a bit
L8	of time this wee	ekend to enjoy himself or herself, as
L9	the case may be	•
20	27264	See you Monday morning at 9:30.
21	27265	MR. WOLSON: Mr. Commissioner, I am
22	hoping to get M	r. Yarosky up to the podium, as opposed
23	to him just usin	ng his microphone in front of him. We
24	would like to ha	ave him front and centre, but we will
2.5	work on that.	

1	27266	COMMI	ISSIONER OLIPHANT: All right.	
2	Thank	you.		
3	W]	hereupon the heari	ring adjourned at 11:50 a.m.,	
4	to	o resume on Monday	ay, May 4, 2009 at 9:30 a.m. /	
5	L	'audience est ajou	ournée à 11 h 50, pour reprendre	
6	16	e lundi 4 mai 2009	9 à 09 h 30	
7				
8		We hereby certif	fy that we have accurately	
9	transcribed the foregoing to the best of			
L O		our skil	lls and abilities.	
L1				
L2		Nous certifions	que ce qui précède est une	
L3	transcription exacte et précise au meilleur			
L4		de nos connaissan	ances et de nos compétences.	
L5				
L6				
L7				
L8				
L9			_	
20	Lynda	Johansson	Jean Desaulniers	
21				
22				
23				
24				
25	Fiona	Potvin	Sue Villeneuve	