Commission of Inquiry into Certain Allegations Respecting Business and Financial Dealings Between Karlheinz Schreiber and the Right Honourable Brian Mulroney



Commission d=enquête concernant les allégations au sujet des transactions financières et commerciales entre Karlheinz Schreiber et le très honorable Brian Mulroney

Public Hearing

Audience publique

Commissioner

L=Honorable juge / The Honourable Justice Jeffrey James Oliphant

Commissaire

Held at: Tenue à :

Bytown Pavillion Victoria Hall 111 Sussex Drive Ottawa, Ontario

Wednesday, May 6, 2009

pavillion Bytown salle Victoria 111, promenade Sussex Ottawa (Ontario)

le mercredi 6 mai 2009

APPEARANCES / COMPARUTIONS

Mr. Jack Hughes The Right Honourable Brian Mulroney

Mr. Richard Auger Mr. Karlheinz Schreiber

Mr. Paul B. Vickery Attorney General of Canada Mr. Yannick Landry

Me Philippe Lacasse

Mr. A. Samuel Wakim, Q.C.

Mr. Robert E. Houston, Q.C. Mr. Fred Doucet

Counsel for the Commission Mr. Evan Roitenberg

Mr. Guiseppe Battista Ms Myriam Corbeil

Mr. Peter Edgett Ms Amy Joslin-Besner

Ms Marie Chalifoux Registrar

Ms Anne Chalmers **Commission Staff**

Ms Mary O'Farrell

TABLE OF CONTENTS / TABLE DES MATIÈRES

	PAGE
Hearing commences at 9:30 a.m. / L'audience débute à 9 h 30	3163
Sworn: Steven Whitla Assermenté: Steven Whitla	3165
Examination by Mr. Roitenberg / interrogatoire par Me Roitenberg	3166
Recess taken at 10:50 a.m. / Suspension à 10 h 50 Hearing resumes at 11:15 a.m. / Reprise à 11 h 15	3225
Examination by Mr. Grondin / interrogatoire par Me Grondin	3231
Examination by Mr. Houston / interrogatoire par Me Houston	3238
Examination by Mr. Auger / interrogatoire par Me Auger	3246
Examination by Mr. Roitenberg / interrogatoire par Me Roitenberg	3251
Hearing adjourns at 11:48 a.m. / L'audience est ajournée à 11 h 48	3253

EXHIBITS / PIÈCES JUSTIFICATIVES

No.	Description	PAGE
P-40	Binder entitled "Navigant Consulting, Funds Tracing Report"	3172
P-41	PowerPoint slide presentation	3230
P-42	Two binders of documents entitled "Documents Relied Upon, Appendix 3 to Navigant Consulting Report"	2930

1	Ottawa, Ontario / Ottawa (Ontario)
2	Upon resuming on Wednesday May 6, 2009,
3	at 9:30 a.m. / L'audience reprend le mercredi
4	6 mai 2009 à 9 h 30
5	31019 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Good morning,
6	counsel. Be seated, please.
7	Mr. Roitenberg?
8	MR. ROITENBERG: Good morning,
9	Mr. Commissioner.
10	You have in the witness area Steve
11	Whitla, who is a forensic accountant, who will be our
12	next witness.
13	Before we commence with him, though,
14	there are certain remarks that we believe are prudent
15	to put on the record, so I am going to stand aside for
16	Mr. Wolson so those remarks can be put before you
17	properly.
18	31024 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Thank you.
19	31025 Mr. Wolson?
20	31026 MR. WOLSON: First of all, I'm
21	relegated to the second row of seating today. I hope
22	that is not an indication of things to come.
23	31027 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: This isn't
24	the first complaint I have heard about people being
25	relegated to seats other than they are used to.

1	31028	MR. WOLSON: All right.
2	31029	This morning, as you can see and
3	the parties are	e certainly aware we are calling a
4	witness from th	ne Navigant firm to give evidence on the
5	forensic side o	of things.
6	31030	I had indicated to you when we dealt
7	with an issue v	with a previous witness dealing with a
8	letter outlinir	ng "the birds", and I said to you at that
9	time that on th	ne issue of the source of the funds we
10	would be callir	ng Navigant.
11	31031	But before the report is tendered,
12	there should be	e some statements made about the report
13	and about the p	position of Commission counsel.
14	31032	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Right.
15	31033	MR. WOLSON: One, there is no
16	evidence, nor d	do we assert, nor will we assert, that
17	Mr. Mulroney kr	new the source of the funds.
18	31034	Second, there is no evidence, nor do
19	we assert, that	any payments made are payments for
20	anything other	than Airbus it's not Airbus, I should
21	say anything	g other than Bear Head. That is what we
22	are asserting.	
23	31035	I can tell you in that regard you
24	will be governe	ed by the evidence that you hear, but
25	that is not the	e intention of submitting a report and

the viva voce evidence of Navigant. 1 2 Third, I tell you that you will hear 31036 evidence from the witness that the forensic accounting 3 cannot in a certain way determine whether or not the 5 Britan funds were used to pay Mr. Mulroney. 6 31037 There is other evidence on that which you have heard, which would be the subject of your 7 8 assessment in the ordinary course when you consider the evidence as a whole and when you write your report. 31038 So I make those comments and I put 10 11 them on the record. 31039 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: All right. 12 13 Thank you very much. 31040 Are you ready to proceed, 14 Mr. Roitenberg? 15 16 31041 MR. ROITENBERG: I am, Mr. Commissioner. Thank you. 18 31042 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Mr. Whitla, 19 do you prefer to swear an oath on the Bible or to affirm? 20 31043 MR. WHITLA: The Bible, 21 22 Mr. Commissioner. 23 31044 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: All right. SWORN: STEVEN WHITLA 24

StenoTran

ASSERMENTÉ : STEVEN WHITLA

25

1		EXAMINATION: STEVEN WHITLA BY MR. ROITENBERG /
2		INTERROGATOIRE : STEVEN WHITLA PAR Me ROITENBERG
3	31045	MR. ROITENBERG: Mr. Whitla, I
4		understand that you are Managing Director in Navigant
5		Consulting's Ottawa office. Is that right?
6	31046	MR. WHITLA: That's correct.
7	31047	MR. ROITENBERG: And that you have
8		been with Navigant since 2005?
9	31048	MR. WHITLA: That's correct as well.
10	31049	MR. ROITENBERG: You have prepared a
11		rather full document. I am going to ask the parties,
12		who all have a copy, to turn to Appendix 1, which is
13		where your curriculum vitae is located.
14	31050	I don't believe any of the parties
15		take issue with your expertise, but I think for the
16		Commissioner's benefit there is a number of matters
17		contained in your curriculum vitae which should be
18		highlighted.
19	31051	Before we do that, though, could you
20		enlighten those of us who are somewhat unaware as to
21		what exactly a forensic accountant is.
22	31052	MR. WHITLA: Certainly, sir. I think
23		the simplest and clearest definition of that would be
24		an accounting analysis that is suitable for application
25		in a court to assist the court in rendering a decision

1	or resolving a dis	spute. It can be in a litigation
2	context, it can be	e in a criminal context, it can be in
3	a context such as	this inquiry.
4	31053	MR. ROITENBERG: If you could as
5	well, could you er	alighten the Commissioner as to your
6	educational backgr	cound in this regard, what you have
7	gone through, what	t it has taken you to become a
8	forensic accountar	nt.
9	31054	MR. WHITLA: Certainly. I have been
10	practising forensi	c accounting since 1990. I am a
11	chartered accounts	ant initially and I am designated as a
12	specialist in fore	ensic and investigative accounting by
13	the Institute of (Chartered Accountants. I have been so
14	since the inaugura	al year for that.
15	31055	As part of doing that process I was
16	asked to write an	exam and to be screened by a panel of
17	my peers.	
18	31056	MR. ROITENBERG: More particularly,
19	you have been a ch	nartered accountant since 1989?
20	31057	MR. WHITLA: That's correct.
21	31058	MR. ROITENBERG: You received a
22	specialist designa	ation in investigative and forensic
23	accounting in the	year 2000?
24	31059	MR. WHITLA: That's correct.
25	31060	MR. ROITENBERG: And further, you

were cloaked with the title of Chartered Business 1 Valuator in 2001. 2 MR. WHITLA: That is correct as well. 3 31061 31062 MR. ROITENBERG: I understand that 4 5 you have testified in a number of noteworthy cases. 6 31063 MR. WHITLA: The largest one in my 7 career would have been the Gomery Inquiry. 8 31064 MR. ROITENBERG: And you were part of a team of forensic accountants from Navigant who were involved in that particular inquiry? 10 11 31065 MR. WHITLA: At that time the company 12 I was with was known as Kroll Linquist Avey. Kroll was 13 acquired by Navigant in 2005. 14 31066 MR. ROITENBERG: And you testified as part of the Gomery Inquiry? 15 MR. WHITLA: I did. 16 31067 17 31068 MR. ROITENBERG: And you have 18 testified in a number of court proceedings as well? 19 31069 MR. WHITLA: No, that was the only time I qualified as an expert witness. 20 31070 MR. ROITENBERG: You have provided 21 22 valuations and information through your training as a forensic accountant in other situations that have led 23 24 to cases settling and business purchases and certain valuations. 25

1	31071	Am I correct?
2	31072	MR. WHITLA: Yes. Some of those are
3	outlined in my CV	that you are referring to.
4	31073	MR. ROITENBERG: You are a member of
5	the Canadian Inst	itute of Chartered Accountants?
6	31074	MR. WHITLA: I am.
7	31075	MR. ROITENBERG: Canadian Institute
8	of Chartered Busi	ness Valuators?
9	31076	MR. WHITLA: Yes.
10	31077	MR. ROITENBERG: Institute of
11	Chartered Account	ants of Ontario?
12	31078	MR. WHITLA: Yes.
13	31079	MR. ROITENBERG: The Alliance for
14	Excellence in Inv	estigative and Forensic Accounting?
15	31080	MR. WHITLA: Yes.
16	31081	MR. ROITENBERG: And the National
17	Association of Ce	rtified Fraud Examiners?
18	31082	MR. WHITLA: That is correct as well.
19	31083	MR. ROITENBERG: And you have been
20	involved in your	current retainer as it pertains to
21	this Commission o	f Inquiry since when, sir?
22	31084	MR. WHITLA: We commenced work with
23	the Commission in	January of this year.
24	31085	MR. ROITENBERG: Can you explain what
25	it was that this	Commissioned asked Navigant, and by

1	extension you,	to do?
2	31086	MR. WHITLA: Certainly. In our
3	report at section	on 1.2, I believe, which is on page 1
4	excuse me, in se	ection 1.1, we outline three questions
5	that the Commiss	sion was asked to address.
6	31087	Then on the following page we speak
7	specifically tha	at:
8		"Navigant Consulting has been
9		instructed to review, analyze
10		and trace funds into and out of
11		various bank accounts relating
12		to the activities of Mr.
13		Schreiber and, more
14		particularly, tracing the source
15		of funds for the payments Mr.
16		Schreiber alleges he made to Mr.
17		Mulroney in 1993 and 1994."
18	31088	MR. ROITENBERG: That was in essence
19	your mandate?	
20	31089	MR. WHITLA: That was our mandate,
21	yes.	
22	31090	MR. ROITENBERG: I'm going to ask,
23	Mr. Commissione:	r, that for the benefit of all parties
24	and for the bene	efit of yourself that this report and
25	the achedules a	nd annendices attached thereto he marked

1	as Exhibit P-40.	
2	31091	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: All right.
3	31092	Is that by consent, counsel?
4	31093	MR. GRONDIN: Good morning,
5	Mr. Commissioner.	
6	31094	With respect to the supporting
7	evidence, we have	no formal objection, but that
8	position should n	ot be construed or interpreted as an
9	admission of the	probative value of some of the
10	documents found i	n those binders.
11	31095	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: All right.
12	So you are not ob	jecting to the tendering of the report
13	as an exhibit?	
14	31096	MR. GRONDIN: Correct.
15	31097	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Thank you.
16	31098	MR. GRONDIN: Thank you.
17	31099	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Mr.
18	Landry?	
19	31100	MR. LANDRY: No objection,
20	Mr. Commissioner.	
21	31101	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Mr.
22	Houston?	
23	31102	MR. HOUSTON: No objection, sir.
24	31103	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Mr. Auger?
25	31104	MR. AUGER: No objection,

1		Commissioner.
2	31105	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: All right.
3	31106	The report of Navigant Consulting
4		will be received and marked as Exhibit P-40.
5		EXHIBIT NO. P-40: Binder
6		entitled "Navigant Consulting,
7		Funds Tracing Report"
8	31107	MR. ROITENBERG: As you read from
9		section 1.2, that Navigant Consulting has been
LO		instructed to review, analyze and trace funds into and
L1		out of various bank accounts, in conducting this review
L2		what is it precisely that you looked at and were there
L3		any limitations on your ability to complete that
L4		review?
L5	31108	MR. WHITLA: Certainly,
L6		Mr. Roitenberg. That is outlined as well in our report
L7		at section 3, and in the appendixes is at the back of
L8		the report we lay out specifically the documents we
L9		reviewed.
20	31109	But the critical issue for us in
21		conducting this review was the limitations we had in
22		the availability of banking documentation.
23	31110	As you are aware, we were somewhat
24		reliant on the documentation that was produced by
25		Mr. Schreiber, those documents in the possession of the

1	RCMP that were not obtained as part of the LOR process.
2	So in other words, we didn't have access to any of the
3	documentation that the Swiss may have produced and/or
4	the Germans may have produced.
5	MR. ROITENBERG: May have produced in
6	answer to those letters of request?
7	MR. WHITLA: That's correct.
8	31113 MR. ROITENBERG: Those were materials
9	you could not utilize or look to to support any
10	inferences or conclusions or trace?
11	MR. WHITLA: That is correct.
12	31115 MR. ROITENBERG: I understand that in
13	preparation for your testimony this morning that you
14	and your team have prepared a number of slides to help
15	illustrate matters for the Commission.
16	31116 Is that so?
17	MR. WHITLA: That is correct.
18	31118 MR. ROITENBERG: This slide we see on
19	the screen titled "Scope of Review", could you perhaps
20	take the Commissioner, and by extension the rest of us,
21	through this to perhaps help illustrate exactly what
22	you were doing and the scope of the review you
23	undertook?
24	31119 MR. WHITLA: Certainly. We
25	31120 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Just before

1	you do that, you have gone through with the witness his
2	CV. This is not a trial. Were it a trial for sure you
3	would be asking that he be qualified as an expert in
4	the field of forensic accounting. You haven't done
5	that and I'm wondering whether you have left that out
6	on purpose.
7	Is it necessary or unnecessary that
8	that be done before this witness gives expert evidence
9	MR. ROITENBERG: I alluded to
10	Mr. Whitla's CV at the outset and advised that it is my
11	understanding that the parties were not taking issue
12	with his expertise, and perhaps I could have been
13	31123 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: I didn't know
14	that. I don't know that.
15	31124 MR. ROITENBERG: Perhaps I could have
16	been clearer in that regard, Mr. Commissioner.
17	31125 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Because if
18	there is an issue, other counsel are entitled to
19	cross-examine Mr. Whitla on the area of expertise that
20	he professes to have, following which I would have to
21	make a decision as to whether he should be qualified.
22	31126 MR. ROITENBERG: That is so. It is
23	my intention to have you place some emphasis on
24	Mr. Whitla's expertise. I don't understand the other
25	parties to be taking issue, but perhaps we can confirm

1	that.	
2	31127	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Well, I am
3	going to cure t	chat, because, as you are well aware,
4	perhaps some in	n the room or not, expert witnesses are
5	entitled to exp	oress opinions which can be accepted by
6	the presiding	judge, or in this case Commissioner,
7	where other wit	nesses aren't entitled to express
8	opinions that o	can be accepted or otherwise.
9	31128	From your point of view, Maître
10	Grondin, do you	have any problem with my qualifying
11	Mr. Whitla as a	an expert in the field of forensic
12	accounting and	permitting him to give opinion evidence?
13	31129	MR. GRONDIN: I will be brief this
14	time. None at	all.
15	31130	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Thank you.
16	31131	Mr. Landry?
17	31132	MR. LANDRY: We have no issue,
18	Mr. Commissione	er.
19	31133	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: No issue.
20	31134	Mr. Houston?
21	31135	MR. HOUSTON: We take the same
22	position.	
23	31136	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: All right.
24	31137	Mr. Auger?
25	31138	MR. AUGER: No issue, Commissioner.

1	31139	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: All right,
2	then.	
3	31140	Before we proceed further, I will
4	qualify Mr. Whit	tla as an expert in the field of
5	forensic account	ting and he will be entitled to give
6	opinion evidence	e in respect of that field of expertise.
7	31141	MR. ROITENBERG: Thank you,
8	Mr. Commissione	r.
9	31142	Mr. Whitla, I had turned your
10	attention to the	e slide that we see on the big screen,
11	and I believe th	nere is a little screen for the
12	Commissioner and	d there is a screen before you.
13	31143	Could you take the Commission through
14	this slide and w	what we can draw from the illustrations
15	therein.	
16	31144	MR. WHITLA: Yes, certainly.
17	31145	The slide is intended to represent
18	primarily the fo	our bank accounts that were the focus of
19	our review. The	ese were the bank accounts and we
20	will go through	in a second the limitations of that.
21	31146	But these are the primary bank
22	accountants that	t we were able to conduct some form of
23	analysis on and	specifically deal with: As we go
24	across the top,	the Britan account, which is the
25	account that I i	inderstand that Mr. Schreiber alleges

1	the payments to Mr. Mulroney were withdrawn from; the
2	Frankfurt account, which is the account that is the
3	source of the funds into the Britan account, as based
4	on our analysis; an IAL account 18679.4, which is a
5	U.S. dollar account which we will speak to; and account
6	18679.1, which is a Canadian dollar account, and again
7	is an account where our analysis would say the Thyssen
8	monies were actually deposited.
9	MR. ROITENBERG: Okay. I'm going to
10	ask you if you wouldn't mind explaining what you have
11	on the lower portion of this chart in terms of the bank
12	statements and the supporting documents that you had
13	for each of these accounts.
14	MR. WHITLA: Certainly.
15	31149 What we have attempted to do here and
16	to document for the Commission is really some of the
17	limitations we were up against in doing our analysis.
18	31150 Under the Britan account we show that
19	the bank statements we had bank statements that
20	covered the full period of our review. They showed
21	deposits into the account of \$513,000 and withdrawals
22	of \$513,000.
23	31151 Supporting documentation for those,
24	we had documents that would support \$500,000 and we had
25	withdraw support for \$513 500 So hasically we had a

Τ	complete picture for the Britan account.
2	In respect to the Frankfurt account,
3	we had bank statements, complete bank statements that
4	covered the period from October '88 to March '94, and
5	in that we had deposits that totalled \$4.7 million and
6	withdrawals of a total of approximately \$4.7 million as
7	well.
8	The issue that we would point out to
9	the Commission at this point is the supporting
10	documentation related to those bank statements.
11	In that we only had supporting
12	documents with regard to deposits to the total of
13	\$305,000. So of the \$4.7 million that went into the
14	account, we were only provided with supporting
15	documentation for \$300,000 of that.
16	31155 MR. ROITENBERG: Now, I just want to
17	stop you there.
18	31156 MR. WHITLA: Yes.
19	31157 MR. ROITENBERG: Above it you
20	indicate that there were deposits of \$4,733,630, but
21	supporting documents for only \$305,112.
22	31158 What did you utilize to come to an
23	assessment that there were deposits of \$4.7 million?
24	31159 MR. WHITLA: Right. The bank
25	statements identified the in and out flows from the

1	bank account, and so when we looked at the in and out
2	flows we could determine that there was \$4.7 million
3	that had come into the account.
4	31160 What we did not know is in the bank
5	statement if there was a \$500,000 deposit, for example.
6	We did not have the details that would explain to us
7	what that \$500,000 deposit related to.
8	31161 MR. ROITENBERG: So you could see the
9	deposits from the bank statements, but you didn't have
LO	any of the individualized deposit statements to show
L1	you what actually went in and why at any given time?
L2	MR. WHITLA: That's correct.
L3	MR. ROITENBERG: Okay. Carry on,
L4	please.
L5	MR. WHITLA: And again, in reference
L6	to the .1 account, the Frankfurt account, we did have a
L7	significantly larger portion of the withdrawals.
L8	When we move over to the .4 account,
L9	the .4 account is a U.S. dollar account, as I mentioned
20	earlier. We had one bank statement, a page of the bank
21	statement which covered the period of October to
22	December 1988. That was the extent of it. And we had
23	supporting documentation for \$21,584,000 of deposits
24	into that account and we only had supporting
2.5	documentation for \$11.271.000 of withdrawals from that

1	account.	
2	31166	This will be of relevance as we move
3	through my tes	timony.
4	31167	In the 18679.1 account, again we had
5	only one page	of the bank statement for that and again
6	that covered t	he period June 1998 through to December
7	1998.	
8	31168	MR. ROITENBERG: I think you mean
9	'88, if I'm no	t mistaken.
10	31169	MR. WHITLA: I'm sorry, '88. Excuse
11	me.	
12	31170	And we had supporting documentation
13	indicating tha	t there was \$4.5 million of deposits into
14	the account and	d withdrawals of \$7.5 million.
15	31171	So in other words, we have no
16	understanding	of the possible sources for, in that
17	case, \$3 millio	on that had to have come into the account
18	if they were e	ventually withdrawn.
19	31172	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Mr.
20	Roitenberg, ar	e you going to have the difficulty
21	explained in to	erms of access to some documents and not
22	to others?	
23	31173	MR. ROITENBERG: Well, Mr. Whitla
24	touched on it	originally, but I am going to pursue it
25	further as we	go.

1	1 31174 COMMISSIONER OLIPH	ANT: Okay, good.
2	2 That's good, thanks.	
3	3 31175 MR. ROITENBERG: M	r. Whitla, as I
4	4 understand it, your report raises a	number of points in
5	5 its summary section of findings. I	would like you, if
6	6 you wouldn't mind, to focus in on the	ne Britan account
7	7 for moment. If you have any slides	to assist in your
8	8 illustration, feel free to use them	
9	9 31176 Explain to us the	findings in
10	o relation to the Britan account.	
11	1 31177 And I'm going to a	sk you, if you
12	don't mind, to bring the microphone	a little closer and
13	to speak up a little bit.	
14	4 31178 MR. WHITLA: Sure.	Certainly.
15	.5 31179 The first slide wi	th respect to the
16	Britan account and as we move the	cough this, you will
17	.7 understand that this is our explanat	tion of the flow of
18	8 funds. This slide indicates that the	nis is the Britan
19	account and speaks to the \$300,000 c	of withdrawals
20	0 between July 1993 and November 1994	for which
21	1 Mr. Schreiber apparently alleges wer	re the source of the
22	funds used to pay Mr. Mulroney.	
23	3 31180 MR. ROITENBERG: O	kay. I'm just
24	going to stop you there, because we	are advised that
25	5 gome of the media are having difficu	ilty seeing the

1	screen. I guess from the back it's rather small, so it
2	might be
3	I was told there weren't enough
4	copies. I am now told there are of this. So there
5	won't be a need to
6	31182 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: I want
7	everybody to enjoy death by PowerPoint, so we want to
8	make sure that everybody has the document.
9	31183 MR. ROITENBERG: So if everybody has
10	enough copies, we won't have to take a break. I
11	thought we might have to, to make a few more copies,
12	but if we have enough, carry on, sir.
13	31184 MR. WHITLA: Certainly. So in
14	repeating what I just spoke to, the Britan account is
15	the account that we understand Mr. Schreiber alleges he
16	made the payments or took the money out of that
17	account to make payments to Mr. Mulroney. So we looked
18	to analyze the activity in that account and compare it
19	to our understanding of the various meetings that took
20	place between Mr. Mulroney and Mr. Schreiber, or
21	alleged to have taken place between Mr. Mulroney and
22	Mr. Schreiber during that period commencing in June of
23	1993.
24	31185 MR. ROITENBERG: So the slide that we
25	had up on the board a moment ago simply indicated that

there was this suggestion that there had been a total 1 of \$300,000 withdrawn in cash by Mr. Schreiber between 2 3 July of 1993 and November of 1994. MR. WHITLA: That's correct. 31186 4 5 31187 MR. ROITENBERG: Okay. So if we go to the following slide, it seems to indicate a timeline 6 focusing in, first, on June of 1993. 7 8 31188 MR. WHITLA: That's correct. 31189 MR. ROITENBERG: Was this a timeline that you had produced in order to focus further in on 10 11 the sources and use of funds for the Britan account? 31190 MR. WHITLA: We were trying to assist 12 13 in identifying, as I mentioned earlier, the meetings that potentially took place, combined with the 14 withdrawals of cash from the Britan account and/or 15 transactions in the Britan account. 16 17 31191 MR. ROITENBERG: Okay. So you have 18 on this slide a meeting on June 3, 1993, as alleged by Mr. Schreiber, between Mr. Schreiber and Mr. Mulroney. 19 20 31192 You also have a dollar figure of \$1.236199 million. 21 22 31193 MR. WHITLA: That's correct. 23 31194 MR. ROITENBERG: What is that reflective of? 24 MR. WHITLA: That is the balance at 25 31195

1	that point in time in the Frankfurt account. As we
2	understand it, Mr. Schreiber has indicated that the
3	had indicated that the source of the funds to the
4	Britan account had come from the Frankfurt account.
5	31196 MR. ROITENBERG: Okay. You then have
6	a box indicating:
7	"June 4, 1993: Reference in Mr.
8	Schreiber's diary: 'Frankfurt
9	Brian . Max 1236'"
10	Does that have any significance and
11	why is it here on this timeline?
12	31198 MR. WHITLA: If we move to the next
13	slide, I will attempt to explain it.
14	The diary reference, Mr. Schreiber's
15	diary contains that reference and it is attached on top
16	of the next slide, and that is "Frankfurt Brian . Max
17	1236". When we looked at that diary reference and then
18	looked at the balance in the Frankfurt account at that
19	time, you will see that we have isolated the bank
20	statement for the Frankfurt account. And on May 25 of
21	1993 you will see in the far right-hand side isolated a
22	balance of 198.84.
23	Now, that is the actual balance in
24	the Frankfurt account. However, you need to also
25	consider that up above that, at 25-05-93, the top item,

1	there is a withdrawal from the account of	of 123 \$6
2	million and that is a transfer out to a	term deposit.
3	31201 So in other words, if	you took the
4	total of what was available from the Fra	ankfurt account,
5	the term deposit plus the balance, you	get a balance of
6	\$1,236,198.84. So those are in effect	the available
7	funds within the Frankfurt account.	
8	The total of that amou	int would of
9	course not be available until the term	deposit matured,
10	which is at the bottom of that red box	there and you
11	will see it coming back in, resulting in	n a balance, at
12	June 25th of '93 of \$1,239,785.	
13	31203 So it has increased du	e to the
14	interest earned on the term deposit, and	d there is a
15	slight withdrawal from the account.	
16	31204 MR. ROITENBERG: So th	ere are two
17	questions that jump out at me from that	explanation.
18	31205 The first is: As part	of your
19	tracing and review of these funds, you	were cognizant
20	of the inflow and outflow of term depos	its along the
21	way; yes?	
22	MR. WHITLA: Yes.	
23	31207 MR. ROITENBERG: And y	ou accounted
24	for those in your analysis where you had	d the
25	documentation?	

1	31208	MR. WHITLA: We did. It is very
2		common within these accounts to have any sizable
3	,	balance transferred out into a term deposit that would
4		typically mature in a month or two months later and be
5		redeposited into the account along with interest.
6	31209	MR. ROITENBERG: Okay. Now, this
7		notation that you have highlighted from June the 4th,
8		1993, in Mr. Schreiber's diary seems to be reflective
9		of what the bank balance was for the Frankfurt account
L O		on that date, or at least what funds were available
L1		through that account on that date, June the 4th, 1993.
L2	31210	MR. WHITLA: Based on our review of
L3		the Frankfurt bank statement, the 1236 in the diary
L4		reference certainly could be the balance in the
L5		Frankfurt account at that time.
L6	31211	MR. ROITENBERG: Now, if we can go
L7		back to the prior slide, the next box is June the 23rd
L8		1993, a meeting, as alleged, between Mr. Schreiber and
L9		Mr. Mulroney, and again the bank balance is 1236 and
20		change, as reflected by that box.
21	31212	MR. WHITLA: Correct.
22	31213	MR. ROITENBERG: If you go to the
23		fifth slide, the slide after the next, which would
24		build on this timeline, you have another box added to
25		the timeline July the 12th 1993 which was the

1	apparent date for the Britan account setup.
2	31214 Is that right?
3	MR. WHITLA: That's correct.
4	MR. ROITENBERG: And you have
5	indicated the bank balance on that date as 1.239775.
6	MR. WHITLA: That's correct.
7	MR. ROITENBERG: Would that be
8	reflective of the totality of funds available through
9	the Frankfurt account, plus what interest had been
10	earned, or including what interest had been earned
11	while that 1.236 was in a term deposit?
12	MR. WHITLA: That's exactly correct,
13	sir.
14	MR. ROITENBERG: If you go to the
15	following slide, you build another box onto this
16	timeline, July the 28th, which is the date that
17	\$500,000 is transferred from the Frankfurt account to
18	the Britan account, and you have in a box 1.239775
19	million, which I understand to have been the bank
20	balance prior to the \$500,000 being transferred to
21	Britan.
22	MR. WHITLA: That's correct.
23	MR. ROITENBERG: If we then look on a
24	continuum from June the 3rd, 1993, or the day after
25	June the 3rd, 1993, where the notation appears in Mr.

1 Schreiber's diary of 1236, from that point forward to July 28th there was 1.236 million available in the 2 3 Frankfurt bank account, up to and including July 28th, when the transfer was made. 31223 MR. WHITLA: That's correct. 5 MR. ROITENBERG: And the balance 6 31224 never dipped below. 7 8 31225 MR. WHITLA: The balance never dipped below. 31226 MR. ROITENBERG: Were there any other 10 11 transactions, other than the inflow of the 1.236 term deposit and the interest accrued? 12 13 31227 MR. WHITLA: As I mentioned earlier, there was a slight withdrawal of, I think, \$500,000. 14 If we refer back to the page 5 slide, 15 31228 16 you will see two small transactions under the 1.236 million. 17 18 31229 MR. ROITENBERG: Now, is that 19 \$500,000 or \$500? 20 31230 MR. WHITLA: That is \$500, and the other one is \$357, I believe. 21 22 31231 MR. ROITENBERG: Those were the only withdrawals. 23 MR. WHITLA: Those were the only 24 31232

StenoTran

withdrawals.

25

1	31233	MR. ROITENBERG: The next slide,
2		which would be Slide 7, adds two boxes, one for July
3		the 27th, 1993, and one for August the 27th, 1993.
4	31234	Now, I understand that the July 27th
5		box is present because, although the \$500,000 wasn't
6		transferred from Frankfurt to Britan until the 28th of
7		July, there was \$100,000 withdrawn from Britan on the
8		27th of July, based on the pending transfer of funds.
9	3123	MR. WHITLA: That's correct. There
10		was a term deposit in the Frankfurt account that did
11		not mature until July 28th, and as a result there
12		weren't the funds available, so there was a \$100,000
13		negative balance in the Britan account, effective July
14		27th.
15	3123	MR. ROITENBERG: We also have a box
16		for August the 27th, 1993. That is there for what
17		reason, sir?
18	3123	7 MR. WHITLA: That is the date that we
19		understand Mr. Schreiber alleges he paid \$100,000
20		Canadian to Mr. Mulroney.
21	31238	MR. ROITENBERG: Okay. We will come
22		back to focus on that \$100,000 withdrawal in a few
23		moments.
24	3123	The next slide adds two boxes,
25		November the 3rd, 1993, and December 17th or 18th,

1 November 3rd is indicative of the next cash 1993. withdrawal that you were able to observe from the 2 3 Britan account. 31240 Is that right? 4 5 31241 MR. WHITLA: That's right. 6 31242 MR. ROITENBERG: And it was, again, 7 in the amount of \$100,000? 8 31243 MR. WHITLA: That's correct. 31244 MR. ROITENBERG: And December 17th or 18th, 1993, is reflective of a date on which there is 10 11 an allegation that Mr. Schreiber paid Mr. Mulroney 12 \$100,000 in cash. 13 31245 MR. WHITLA: That's correct. 14 31246 MR. ROITENBERG: Perhaps I will 15 pause. July 27th, the box that is at the bottom on the 16 slide, is one month before the alleged payment of August the 27th, 1993. 17 18 31247 Is there any documentation that was 19 provided to you for your review that would connect the 20 \$100,000 withdrawn on July the 27th, 1993, to the \$100,000 allegedly paid to Mr. Mulroney on August the 21 22 27th, 1993? 23 31248 MR. WHITLA: As it was a cash 24 transaction, there would be really no documentation. There was a cash withdrawal and an apparent payment a 25

1	month later.		
2	31249	MR. ROITENBERG: So in terms of being	
3	able to connect	one to the other from a documentary	
4	perspective, yo	u are left with a void of evidence	
5	there.		
6	31250	MR. WHITLA: That's correct.	
7	31251	MR. ROITENBERG: Similarly, the	
8	\$100,000 withdr	awal on November the 3rd, 1993, and the	
9	alleged payment	alleged payment of \$100,000 on December 17th or 18th,	
10	1993, were you	1993, were you provided at all with any documents that	
11	connect the \$10	connect the \$100,000 withdrawal to the \$100,000 alleged	
12	payment?		
13	31252	MR. WHITLA: None, sir.	
14	31253	MR. ROITENBERG: The same void.	
15	31254	MR. WHITLA: The same void exists.	
16	31255	MR. ROITENBERG: If we could go to	
17	the next slide,	then, please	
18	31256	This slide adds boxes for July,	
19	November and De	cember of 1994. On July 21st, 1994,	
20	there is a cash	withdrawal of \$50,000 from the Britan	
21	account.		
22	31257	On November 21st, 1994, four months	
23	later, there is a further \$50,000 cash withdrawal from		
24	the Britan acco	the Britan account.	
25	31258	On December the 8th, 1994, there is	

1	ā	an allegation that Mr. Schreiber paid Mr. Mulroney a
2	f	Eurther \$100,000 in cash.
3	31259	In terms of the withdrawals, you had
4	C	documentary evidence to suggest that the withdrawals
5	V	were made on those dates.
6	31260	MR. WHITLA: That is correct. The
7	k	pank statement supports those withdrawals and the
8	t	timing of those withdrawals.
9	31261	MR. ROITENBERG: Did you have any
10	C	documentation at all to suggest that those withdrawals
11	V	were connected to the \$100,000 alleged payment on
12	Ι	December the 8th, 1994?
13	31262	MR. WHITLA: There is no documentary
14	ϵ	evidence connecting those.
15	31263	MR. ROITENBERG: If we turn to the
16	r	next slide, Slide 10, there is one further box added of
17	Ι	December the 14th, 1994. What can you tell us about
18	t	this transaction?
19	31264	MR. WHITLA: This is the date that
20	t	the original Britan account was effectively closed.
21	7	The funds were transferred to a new, I guess you would
22	C	call it, Britan account, with an account number of
23	6	52684.3. Again, the account was named Britan.
24	31265	We received no records or documents
25	C	concerning this account.

1	31266 MR.	ROITENBERG: Is there any
2	document that shows a	reason or rationale for why this
3	transaction occurred,	why one Britan account was closed
4	and another opened?	
5	31267 MR.	WHITLA: No, sir.
6	31268 MR.	ROITENBERG: If we go to the next
7	slide, Slide 11, this	seems to indicate, unless I am
8	misreading it, a flow	of funds into the Britan account
9	and out from the Brit	an account.
10	31269 Can	you explain, in terms of the
11	source of funds into	Britan, if you were able to
12	determine from whence	those funds came directly?
13	31270 MR.	WHITLA: Certainly. As this
14	slide documents, the	source of the funds and we have
15	spoken about it alrea	dy was the Frankfurt account.
16	There was also some i	nterest income earned on the
17	various term deposits	that went in and out of the
18	Britan account, and t	hen the outflows, of course,
19	are there is \$301,	555 in cash.
20	31271 The	slight difference there was, I
21	believe, transaction	expenses at the time of the cash
22	withdrawals the \$1	00,000 cash withdrawals. There
23	would have been trans	action expenses charged by the
24	bank.	
25	31272 And	then the last outflow is to the

1	new Britan account.
2	31273 What we have determined, and what we
3	outline in our report, at page 6, in the middle
4	paragraph, relates to the actual source of funds that
5	funded the Frankfurt account, which we can speak to in
6	greater detail, but our analysis if I read that
7	paragraph to you
8	"Our analysis of the facts
9	supports a strong inference that
10	the original source of the
11	monies withdrawn by Mr.
12	Schreiber from the BRITAN
13	account came, in large part,
14	from the funds received from
15	Airbus."
16	MR. ROITENBERG: We are going to come
17	back to that and analyze how you were able to opine
18	that that was the case, but the first step along the
19	way would be to determine whether or not Britan and the
20	Britan account had any source of funds other than the
21	Frankfurt account.
22	MR. WHITLA: The Frankfurt account
23	other than, of course, the interest that we have spoke
24	about that was earned within the Britan account, the
25	only source of funds into the Britan account was the

1	Frankfurt account.	
2	MR. ROITENBERG: Okay. I think the	
3	next slide might be instructive, as well, in terms of	
4	how you were able to come to that conclusion.	
5	MR. WHITLA: Certainly. If I could	
6	refer you, sir, to page 14 of our report, we speak	
7	about the flow of funds into the Frankfurt account, an	d
8	we speak about it in regards to a timeframe, which I	
9	will get to, being March 13th, 1992 to July 28th, 1993	
10	We write the following:	
11	"The source of the funds to the	
12	FRANKFURT account which financed	£
13	the CDN \$500,000 transfer to the	3
14	BRITAN account"	
15	31279 which we have already spoken	
16	about:	
17	"was a pool of funds, 91% of	
18	which was funded from seven	
19	deposits to the FRANKFURT	
20	account between March 30, 1992	
21	and May 13, 1993, totalling CDN	
22	\$1,460,232The remaining 9%	
23	comprises a balance in the	
24	account"	
25	31280 at the commencement of that, on	

1	Ma	rch 13, 1992, a deposit of \$10,000 from an unknown
2	SO	urce, as well as interest earned in that account.
3	31281	MR. ROITENBERG: How were you able to
4	pi	npoint what was in the Frankfurt account at any
5	pa	rticular point in time to have a starting basis from
6	wh	ich to assess what funds were in Frankfurt?
7	31282	MR. WHITLA: We did an analysis of
8	the	e Frankfurt account. Our real difficulty was trying
9	to	identify the source of funds that flowed into the
10	Fra	ankfurt account.
11	31283	I think, if we go back to the slide
12	whe	ere we discuss our scope limitations, you will see
13	tha	at we really had little information concerning
14	apı	proximately \$10 million of deposits.
15	31284	If we look at the Frankfurt account,
16	λοι	u will see that we only had supporting documentation
17	fo	r \$305,000 of the deposits into the account, so we
18	hao	d a difficulty in identifying the source of the funds
19	tha	at came into the Frankfurt account that would then
20	al	low for the flow out to the Britan account.
21	31285	So we had to perform an analysis, and
22	I 7	would be happy to speak to you about that.
23	31286	MR. ROITENBERG: I would like you to,
24	bu	t first I want to address a question that the
25	Cor	mmiggioner raiged earlier in terms of the limitations

1	on the docu	ments you had.
2	31287	Let's focus on Frankfurt for a
3	moment. Wh	at documents did you have, and what
4	documents w	ould you have liked to have had to be able
5	to perform	a more complete analysis?
6	31288	MR. WHITLA: In regards to the
7	Frankfurt a	ccount, we had basically complete bank
8	statements,	and as I mentioned earlier, we did not have
9	complete, w	hat I would call, source documents.
10	31289	In other words, the source of the
11	deposit, ho	w the deposit was made, who the funds were
12	coming from	, those documents were not available to us,
13	in large pa	rt, for the Frankfurt account.
14	31290	MR. ROITENBERG: What about for the
15	.4 account,	the account that is IAL18679.4 which I
16	understand	was a U.S. dollar account
17	31291	MR. WHITLA: Right.
18	31292	MR. ROITENBERG: what documents
19	were you in	possession of, and what documents were you
20	lacking?	
21	31293	MR. WHITLA: In this case we had no
22	bank statem	ments. In other words, we couldn't see the
23	ins and out	flows from the accounts, from a bank
24	statement o	ontext.
25	31294	However, we did have \$21 million of

1		deposits into that account, so we had a lot of
2		supporting documentation concerning what, in fact, had
3		been deposited into that account.
4	3129	We couldn't, of course, see it on a
5		bank statement, but the supporting documents told us
6		that it had been deposited into that account.
7	3129	We had more difficulty when it came
8		to withdrawals, because we only had \$11 million. In
9		other words, \$21 million comes into the account, and we
10		only had supporting documentation for \$11 million of
11		that leaving the account.
12	3129	7 MR. ROITENBERG: Did you have
13		information or documentation to suggest that the other
14		\$10 million and change at some point did leave the
15		account, or have you no information at all as to what
16		occurred with that money?
17	3129	MR. WHITLA: We have no information,
18		really, concerning that.
19	3129	MR. ROITENBERG: There is also the
20		18679.1 account. What documentation did you have, and
21		what were you lacking?
22	3130	MR. WHITLA: Again, we had virtually
23		nothing in the way of bank statements; in other words,
24		documents that would confirm various balances in the
25		account and the ing and outflows and the quantity of

1	those.	
2	31301	We did have supporting documentation
3	suggesting t	hat \$4.5 million had been deposited into
4	the account,	and we had withdrawals from that
5	account s	upporting documents for \$7.5.
6	31302	So, in this context, clearly, we were
7	missing a si	gnificant amount of what had been deposited
8	into the acc	ount, because there had been, in fact, \$3
9	million more	taken out of the account than what we had
10	as inflows t	o the account.
11	31303	MR. ROITENBERG: Now, the documents
12	that you wer	e in possession of, are you aware of where
13	you got them	from?
14	31304	MR. WHITLA: The majority of the
15	banking docu	ments that we were able to analyze came
16	from Mr. Sch	reiber, as part of his productions to the
17	Commission.	
18	31305	In addition, we were able to receive
19	from the Gov	ernment of Canada, via the RCMP, certain
20	banking docu	ments that were in their possession that
21	had not come	from the LOR process, as we spoke about
22	earlier.	
23	31306	MR. ROITENBERG: And you are aware
24	that there w	ere numerous documents that were in the
25	possession c	f the Government of Canada which were

1	produced pursuant to the Letter of Request process with
2	Switzerland?
3	MR. WHITLA: Yes, we are.
4	MR. ROITENBERG: And you are aware
5	that requests have been made of the Government of
6	Canada that we were desirous of utilizing those
7	documents?
8	MR. WHITLA: I am aware of those
9	requests.
10	MR. ROITENBERG: And you are aware
11	that the Government of Canada had made requests of the
12	Government of Switzerland that those documents be
13	released?
14	MR. WHITLA: I understand that has
15	taken place.
16	MR. ROITENBERG: And you are aware
17	that, as yet, those documents have not been released.
18	MR. WHITLA: That's my understanding.
19	MR. ROITENBERG: We were dealing, I
20	believe, with Slide 12, and 13, dealing with Frankfurt
21	and being able to analyze where those funds within
22	Frankfurt had come from.
23	MR. WHITLA: That's correct.
24	MR. ROITENBERG: You were able to
25	produce a chart indicating "funds in" and "funds out"

1	from the Fran	kfurt account, going back to October of
2	1988.	
3	31317	Is that right?
4	31318	MR. WHITLA: That's correct.
5	31319	This chart and it is in a complete
6	form within t	he report is a summary, I guess you
7	would say, of	the Frankfurt account, and it tracks the
8	line portion,	which we have isolated at Time Period 3,
9	and the line	portion represents the balance in the
10	Frankfurt acc	ount over time.
11	31320	It includes term deposits. In other
12	words, if mon	ey had been withdrawn from the Frankfurt
13	account, sat	in a term deposit, and then was returned
14	to the Frankf	urt account, those amounts are included in
15	the actual fu	nds available in the Frankfurt account.
16	31321	The bars going up are the deposits,
17	and the bars	going down are the withdrawals.
18	31322	In the Time Period 3 portion, we have
19	highlighted t	his, because, as we have already
20	discussed, we	identified seven deposits that
21	effectively f	ormed or created the pool of funds
22	available to	transfer the \$500,000 to the Britan
23	account.	
24	31323	MR. ROITENBERG: If I could focus you
25	in on the sli	de that we have up on the screen, in

January of 1990 there seems to be a rather sharp dip 1 down toward the zero balance line. 2 MR. WHITLA: That's correct. 3 31324 31325 MR. ROITENBERG: Can you focus us in 4 5 on that timeframe perhaps, and give us some understanding of what was in the account at that time? 6 31326 MR. WHITLA: Certainly. The start of 7 8 Time Period 1 --31327 Actually, Phil, could you pull up the more detailed version of that? 10 11 31328 It is Slide 25, actually. 31329 This is a blowup of the piece of time 12 13 you are talking about. 14 31330 MR. ROITENBERG: So Time Period 1, according to the graph that I have before me, would be 15 from October the 24th, 1988, through to the 22nd of 16 January 1990. 17 18 31331 MR. WHITLA: That's correct. 19 31332 MR. ROITENBERG: We see at one 20 point -- and I believe it is around the 24th of October 1988 -- a rather large deposit. 21 MR. WHITLA: Yes, sir. 22 31333 23 31334 There are actually two large deposits in October of `88. You are speaking of the \$500,000 24

deposit?

25

1	31335	MR. ROITENBERG: I am. That would
2	have been in an	d around what date, sir?
3	31336	MR. WHITLA: That was approximately
4	October 31st, t	he 28th to the 31st.
5	31337	MR. ROITENBERG: And this was a
6	deposit that yo	u were able to determine was most likely
7	in relation to	a \$500,000 deposit in relation to a \$2
8	million payment	from Thyssen.
9	31338	MR. WHITLA: That's correct, based on
10	Mr. Schreiber's	statement, as well as the fact that
11	these funds cam	e from the Canadian dollar IAL account.
12	That would be a	reasonable assumption, we believe.
13	31339	MR. ROITENBERG: So that was
14	deposited towar	d the end of October 1988. You then
15	have a rather s	harp decline, as we discussed, around
16	January of 1990	, and if we focus in on the slide that
17	we have on the	screen, I believe you were able to fix a
18	bank balance at	January 22nd, 1990, of \$11,559.93.
19	31340	MR. WHITLA: That's correct.
20	31341	Phil, could you flip to the next
21	slide, and we w	rill show the actual bank statement and
22	show that balan	ce.
23	31342	You will see that at 22/01/90 the
24	balance is \$11,	559.93.
25	31343	I wish to point out, as well, that

1	that balance is after the 290
2	The \$290,000 that you see right in
3	front of the balance
4	MR. ROITENBERG: Yes, sir.
5	MR. WHITLA: that is an actual
6	term deposit coming back into the account.
7	In other words, at that point in time
8	the term deposit outstanding had been returned to the
9	account. There were no other term deposits associated
10	with that account, so that was the true balance in that
11	account at that time.
12	There was then, subsequently, no
13	other activity until March 28th of 1990, when there wa
14	a deposit of \$219,000, which then increased the balance
15	up to \$231,000.
16	But, again, that was not until March
17	of 1990.
18	31350 MR. ROITENBERG: So as of the 22nd of
19	January 1990, inclusive of all term deposits affiliated
20	with this account, the bank balance was \$11,559.93.
21	MR. WHITLA: That's correct.
22	MR. ROITENBERG: Notwithstanding the
23	fact that at the end of October 1988 there had been
24	this deposit of \$500,000 in relation to the payments
25	received from Thyssen.

1	31353	MR. WHITLA: That's correct.
2	31354	MR. ROITENBERG: What happened to
3	t]	hat \$500,000 deposit related to Thyssen?
4	31355	MR. WHITLA: If we flip back a slide,
5	t]	he various withdrawals are outlined in this slide.
6	Tl	here is a \$610,000 payment to Bitucan, which we
7	be	elieve relates to the \$710,000 invoice that was
8	i	ssued, which has already been discussed at these
9	he	earings.
10	31356	MR. ROITENBERG: That's \$610,000 for
11	a	\$710,000 invoice. Was \$100,000 paid from another
12	a	ccount?
13	31357	MR. WHITLA: It was. We have
14	de	etermined that it was paid from the 18679.1 account,
15	w]	hich was the Canadian dollar account.
16	31358	MR. ROITENBERG: So we have \$610,000
17	go	oing out to Bitucan, and then we have a number of
18	01	ther withdrawals along the way, which depleted the
19	a	ccount down to the \$11,000.
20	31359	MR. WHITLA: That's correct.
21	31360	MR. ROITENBERG: I had taken you away
22	f	rom the seven deposits that you were speaking of
23	ea	arlier that made up the Frankfurt account, or at least
24	t]	hat started to make up the Frankfurt account sometime
25	p	rior during your analysis.

1	Could you go back there, please?
2	MR. WHITLA: Sure. It's Slide 14.
3	31363 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: These are the
4	deposits that are shown, as well, I take it, on page 14
5	of the report, are they?
6	MR. WHITLA: That's correct, sir.
7	31365 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Thanks.
8	MR. ROITENBERG: We are now on page
9	14 of the report, page 14 of the individual slides that
10	have been handed out to the individuals in the room,
11	something I have referred to as Slide 13, but it is
12	page 14 within the document that everybody has.
13	You focused on Time Period 3, if I am
14	not mistaken.
15	MR. WHITLA: That's correct.
16	MR. ROITENBERG: Which commences at
17	March the 13th, 1992?
18	MR. WHITLA: That's correct, sir.
19	MR. ROITENBERG: I just want to
20	orient everybody in the room.
21	What we see on the board has three
22	distinct time periods. The time period that we have
23	just finished focusing in on, where we got down to
24	\$11,000, is on the far left-hand side of this slide,
25	Time Period 1, October 24th, 1988, to January 22nd,

1	1990.	
2	31373	Yes?
3	31374	MR. WHITLA: That's correct.
4	31375	MR. ROITENBERG: And now you are
5	focusing in on	Time Period 3, March 13th, 1992, to
6	December 30th,	1993.
7	31376	MR. WHITLA: That's correct.
8	31377	MR. ROITENBERG: Before we go further
9	into Time Perio	od 3, was there any particular
10	significance of	Time Period 2, January 22nd, 1990, to
11	March 13th, 199	92?
12	31378	MR. WHITLA: Not for purposes of our
13	analysis, no, t	there was no significance.
14	31379	MR. ROITENBERG: You simply observed
15	inflows and out	flows of funds from the Frankfurt
16	account.	
17	31380	MR. WHITLA: That's correct.
18	31381	MR. ROITENBERG: Nothing in relation
19	to payments fro	om Thyssen, at least as far as your
20	analysis reveal	Led?
21	31382	MR. WHITLA: That's correct.
22	31383	MR. ROITENBERG: So Time Period 3,
23	the far right-h	nand portion of this slide, commencing at
24	March 13th, 199	92 if you could assist us in your
25	analysis, pleas	se.

1	31384	MR. WHITLA: Certainly. We have
2		drawn that line at March 13th, 1992, because, at that
3		point in time, the balance in the account, based on
4		what we had available to us, was \$82,941.
5	3138	In other words, the balance in the
6		account hit a point where it was not sufficient to fund
7		the \$500,000 transfer to the Britan account, so we used
8		that as our starting point, and then noted that between
9		that date and the withdrawal on July 28th, 1993, to the
10		Britan account, there were seven significant deposits.
11	31386	MR. ROITENBERG: I think, if we were
12		to go to the next slide, you have broken down those
13		seven significant deposits by date, by Canadian dollar
14		amount, and by U.S. dollar amount.
15	3138	MR. WHITLA: That's correct.
16	31388	If I could walk through and explain
17		this slide to you, and what is here, that might be
18		helpful.
19	31389	In the blue part of the slide, those
20		are the actual deposits into the Frankfurt account. We
21		have looked at those from the deposit date is
22		identified, of course, based on what is in the bank
23		statement.
24	31390	The Canadian dollar amount is the
25		actual amount deposited into the bank statement.

1	31391	We then converted those amounts into
2	U.S. dollars, ba	sed on an exchange rate in effect on
3	that date, which	would have represented the average
4	exchange rate fo	or that date.
5	31392	Then, Mr. Schreiber indicated in his
6	statement to the	Commission that it was typical for him
7	when he received	l Airbus payments to then in turn make a
8	25 per cent paym	ment of those amounts received with
9	regard to Airbus	to the Frankfurt account.
10	31393	So we sought to look at that and see
11	if there was any	potential links between the amounts
12	that were deposi	ted into the Frankfurt account as
13	you remember, we	don't have the actual deposit
14	information a	and compare that to the deposits that
15	were made that w	ve understand came from Airbus, which
16	are in the middl	e column, the green column that says
17	"Deposits from S	wiss Bank Corporation, New York".
18	31394	As I think you are aware, sir,
19	contained in our	materials is a German prosecutor's
20	report. Within	that German prosecutor's report I
21	think it was pre	pared for purposes of extradition of
22	Mr. Schreiber	it documents and lays out specifically
23	those deposits i	nto the .4 account that came from
24	Airbus.	
25	31395	While we don't have the actual

corroboratory evidence for that, we have placed some 1 reliance on that report. 2 3 31396 So back to the analysis. What we did was we compared our converted U.S. dollar amount 4 5 deposits into the Frankfurt account to the U.S. dollar deposits into the account 18679.4, and the resulting percentages are in the far yellow column. 7 8 31397 As you can see, they are all 9 relatively close to the 25 per cent that Mr. Schreiber had mentioned was his common practice. 10 11 31398 MR. ROITENBERG: I'm going to operate 12 from the assumption that anybody listening to what you 13 are sharing with the Commission is as baffled by 14 numbers as I am. So let's start with that proposition. 15 You have used reference to .1, .4. I 31399 16 want to make sure everybody understands what you are speaking of. 17 18 31400 MR. WHITLA: Sure. 19 31401 MR. ROITENBERG: Within a bank 20 account number 18679 there were sub bank accounts which were designated by digits following the bank account 21 22 number. Is that right? 23 31402 MR. WHITLA: That's correct. 31403 MR. ROITENBERG: So there was a .0 24 25 account, a .1 account, a .2, .3, .4, et cetera,

1	et cetera?
2	MR. WHITLA: That's correct.
3	31405 MR. ROITENBERG: There were also
4	accounts, unless I'm mistaken and please stop me if
5	I am that had as the client bank account 18679 and
6	these were also sub accounts, such as Frankfurt.
7	31406 MR. WHITLA: That's correct. The
8	Frankfurt client is identified as IAL 18679 and it is
9	identified as "Rubrik Frankfurt".
10	MR. ROITENBERG: Okay. So when you
11	speak of .1 or .4, you are speaking of sub bank
12	accounts under the umbrella of 18679?
13	31408 MR. WHITLA: Yes. The distinction
14	between the points, between .1, .2, .3 and .4, for
15	example, was the currencies contained within those
16	accounts.
17	So, for example, .1 was a Canadian
18	dollar currency account, the .4 account was a U.S.
19	dollar currency account.
20	MR. ROITENBERG: Okay. Now, you have
21	said that you had information from the statement that
22	Mr. Schreiber provided to the Commission, which has
23	since become an exhibit before the Commission and
24	adopted as true by Mr. Schreiber, where he advised tha
25	it was his I will use the word pattern, to take

1	25 per cent of the funds that were being paid through	
2	that .4 U.S. dollar account, take roughly 25 per cent	
3	and put it into Frankfurt.	
4	31411 Is that right?	
5	MR. WHITLA: That's correct.	
6	MR. ROITENBERG: I'm going to guess	
7	that you didn't just take Mr. Schreiber's word for	
8	that, but you actually went back and looked at these	
9	transactions to determine whether there was some	
10	support for that assertion.	
11	MR. WHITLA: We certainly did. And	
12	in fact while we are only speaking here about the sev	en
13	deposits, we actually looked at all the deposits into)
14	the Frankfurt account.	
15	31415 If you look at that in the big	
16	picture from 1989 forward, there is a total of 27	
17	deposits into the Frankfurt account. That is excludi	ng
18	interest, of course.	
19	31416 And of those 27, 24 follow this	
20	pattern.	
21	31417 So in other words, monies come into	
22	the .4 account and within a week, two weeks, monies g	Ο
23	out, monies go out to the monies go out or there	
24	is sorry, monies are deposited into the Frankfurt	
25	account and in all 24 of those instances it is	

1	approximately 25 per cent c	t the amount that is
2	deposited into the .4 accou	nt.
3	31418 MR. ROITEI	NBERG: Twenty-four out of
4	27 probably isn't that bad	in the law of averages, but
5	were you able to determine	any commonality between the
6	three that didn't match tha	t pattern?
7	31419 MR. WHITLE	A: They are effectively
8	unknown deposits. One of t	hem we believe was a large
9	payment, again related to A	irbus early on in the
10	exercise, but we had no und	erstanding of what those
11	other deposits really relat	ed to.
12	31420 MR. ROITEI	NBERG: Okay.
13	31421 You have	talked of and at the outset
14	made mention of the fact th	at you were of the view that
15	the payments into the Swiss	Bank Corporation came from
16	Airbus, the Swiss Bank Corp	oration account in New York
17	that was the U.S. dollar .4	account.
18	31422 Why were	you of the view that these
19	funds were coming from Airb	us?
20	31423 MR. WHITLE	A: Primarily based on two
21	factors.	
22	31424 One, as I	told you, we were able to
23	access one of the few suppo	rting documents, the
24	prosecutor's report that ha	d been prepared in Germany.
25	In that prosecutor's report	he outlines on a yearly

Τ	pasis lunds that	came into the .4 account in connection
2	with Airbus.	
3	31425	So in other words, it outlines
4	\$500,000 deposit	to .4 account is related to Airbus.
5	31426	MR. ROITENBERG: Okay. There seems
6	to have been a r	ather large amount of money you have
7	pinpointed some	21-plus million dollars coming through
8	that account.	
9	31427	Were there other sources of funds
LO	that were coming	into these accounts and I say these
L1	accounts in term	s of the 18679 grouping of accounts
L2	that was a paral	lel amount or even similar in dollar
L3	figure to that w	hich was flowing through the .4
L4	account?	
L5	31428	MR. WHITLA: No, sir.
L6	31429	MR. ROITENBERG: Were there any other
L7	accounts which w	vere taking in U.S. dollar payments?
L8	31430	MR. WHITLA: Not that we are aware
L9	of, sir.	
20	31431	MR. ROITENBERG: Did that fact take
21	on any significa	nce for you?
22	31432	MR. WHITLA: It did. As we
23	understand it, t	he payments from Airbus were made in
24	U.S. dollars.	

25

31433

MR. ROITENBERG: Do you have

1	information as to whether any other success fees or
2	payments that were going into these IAL accounts were
3	being paid in other currencies?
4	MR. WHITLA: We do. We believe
5	that we understand that certain of the other
6	payments were either being made in Canadian dollars
7	into the .1 account and/or were in Deutsche Marks, in
8	the Deutsche Mark account.
9	MR. ROITENBERG: Okay. When I look
10	at this slide, if you could and if you can't, tell me
11	Can you take us through an example of any one of thes
12	payments that would assist the Commission in seeing h
13	the money flowed through the .4 into Frankfurt?
14	MR. WHITLA: Certainly. Again, we
15	don't have all the documentation to support that this
16	in fact did occur, but if we click on number five
17	there, deposit number five and pull it up, what you
18	will see is this is the again, we were given the
19	majority of the deposit slips for the .4 account. Th
20	background document is that deposit slip.
21	31437 It identifies \$300,000 U.S. being
22	received into the account on a value date of August 2
23	1992.
24	31438 We have left the portion of number
25	five of our chart above, and then what we have shown

1	below is a diary reference in Mr. Schreiber's diary on
2	August 25, 1992, which shows "Strobl", which we
3	understand was Mr. Schreiber's banker, as stated in his
4	statement to the Commission; under the number 300,
5	which would be the \$300,000, and then an apparent
6	splitting of those amounts with a "150" with no
7	identifier, an "F", being "75", an "M" at "37" and an
8	"S" at "37".
9	31439 If we then go to the next slide, this
10	is the actual bank statement for the Frankfurt account
11	and, as you can see at the bottom of that statement
12	highlighted, there is an \$89,100 deposit on August
13	26th sorry, 28th in that amount. And when we do
14	our conversion of the \$75,000 U.S., it would result in
15	approximately \$89,100.
16	31440 So if you were to assume that
17	Mr. Schreiber's reference to the "F" in the diary
18	reference represented the Frankfurt account, then that
19	would explain this transaction.
20	MR. ROITENBERG: And this was within
21	how long after the deposit in U.S. dollars into the .4
22	account?
23	MR. WHITLA: This occurred within
24	four days of the deposit into the U.S. dollar account.
25	31443 MD POTTENBERG, I take it vou

1	observed some sort of timing pattern between the
2	deposits into the .4 account and the deposits into
3	Frankfurt?
4	MR. WHITLA: That's correct. In all
5	instances, of course, the deposit was first made into
6	the .4 account and then there would be, while not
7	directly linked, a subsequent deposit into the
8	Frankfurt account, typically within a few days.
9	I think the largest gap we noted was
10	a one-month gap.
11	MR. ROITENBERG: Were there other
12	specific examples that you analyzed of these deposits
13	and the correlation?
14	31447 MR. WHITLA: Certainly. The next
15	one, at No. 7 and this is an interesting one because
16	it only occurred in two instances.
17	The bank statement on the next page
18	actually noted sorry, what I failed to mention was
19	that in all of these seven cases, as in the majority of
20	the 24 we spoke to, they are all identified within the
21	bank statements as been currency transactions; so in
22	other words, exchange of funds from one currency to
23	another.
24	In the instance of deposit number 13
25	on May 13, '93 the bank statement actually identifies

1	that the actual currency was a U.S. dollars, as you
2	will see there. So it says 340 U.S. There is an
3	exchange rate and then that translates into the deposit
4	of \$431,460.
5	31450 MR. ROITENBERG: So although you
6	don't have any statements even for this transaction
7	which show specifically the money going from .4 to
8	Frankfurt, you have the currency transaction which
9	reveals an identical amount as to what would have been
10	24.8 per cent being transferred into Frankfurt and an
11	illustration of the fact it was a currency exchange
12	from U.S. dollars into Canadian funds?
13	MR. WHITLA: That is correct.
14	MR. ROITENBERG: Were there any
15	transactions that you observed which led you to
16	question this patterning?
17	MR. WHITLA: No, there were not.
18	MR. ROITENBERG: If you wouldn't
19	mind, can you in a nutshell for the Commissioner
20	summarize the flow of funds that you saw coming from .4
21	through to Frankfurt and into Britan?
22	MR. WHITLA: Certainly. Phil, could
23	you just flip back to the summary slide? Just the
24	bigger one that lays out the full flow of funds. There
25	we go.

1	31456 So in this slide again what we have	e
2	noted on and it is again at the bottom of page 6	of
3	our report.	
4	MR. ROITENBERG: I'm just going to	
5	stop you there. For those following on the handout	∃,
6	it is page 13.	
7	MR. WHITLA: Thirteen. And there	we
8	have	
9	31459 MR. ROITENBERG: It's not?	
10	31460 MR. WHITLA: It should be slide 13	
11	Pause	
12	MR. ROITENBERG: Well, we have one	
13	report it is page 8; on the slide itself it says page	ge
14	13. I think people are operating from two differen	5
15	sets of handouts.	
16	31462 But in any event, it is summarized	as
17	you said at what page of the report, sir?	
18	MR. WHITLA: On page 6 of our repo	rt.
19	Maybe if I just walk you through t	his
20	slide, we can speak to it.	
21	31465 We know or we believe and have	
22	accepted as a reasonable fact the Airbus payments w	ere
23	made at this point in time into the Swiss Bank	
24	Corporation New York. That again is based on, one,	
25	Mr. Schreiber's statement; but, two, and more	

1	importantly, is the German prosecutor's report who lay
2	out the specific deposits which we see being deposited
3	into the .4 account as being related to Airbus.
4	31466 We then have the IAL, the transfer
5	document, or the transfer amount there being Canadian
6	\$1,000,460. In that reference, while we are not and
7	can't categorically say this took place, we believe
8	that a reasonable inference can be made that the funds
9	flowed based on this patterning and the information
10	supplied by Mr. Schreiber, et cetera, that this
11	patterning occurred such that the funds flowed over to
12	the Frankfurt 18679 account.
13	MR. ROITENBERG: Okay. Now, this
14	shows us, through your analysis, where the funds came
15	from, at least to the inference that you are prepared
16	to make, into eventually the Britan account.
17	31468 As you said earlier, there is no
18	documentary evidence at all that shows the funds
19	withdrawn from the Britan account were in fact used fo
20	the alleged payments to Mr. Mulroney.
21	31469 Is that right?
22	MR. WHITLA: That is correct.
23	MR. ROITENBERG: Even if one were to
24	go with the theory that the money that came out of
25	Britan as alleged by Mr. Schreiber eventually made its

1	way to Mr. Mu	lroney, are you aware of any evidence that
2	would show th	at Mr. Mulroney as the recipient of those
3	funds would h	ave any way of knowing as to what the
4	source of fun	ds was?
5	31472	MR. WHITLA: There is no such
6	evidence that	we are aware of.
7	31473	MR. ROITENBERG: As you are aware,
8	Mr. Schreiber	had stated in his testimony that he
9	deposited pot	entially \$500,000 Canadian in the
10	Frankfurt acc	ount in 1988 and that these funds formed
11	part of the m	onies transferred to the Britan account.
12	31474	From your analysis, is there any way
13	that you foun	d that supports that assertion?
14	31475	MR. WHITLA: No, sir. I think as we
15	have already	discussed, we determined that that
16	\$500,000 had	been fully utilized by 1990. So those
17	funds would n	ot have been available in 1993 to make the
18	transfer.	
19	31476	MR. ROITENBERG: Your report
20	indicated tha	t you could not identify the ultimate
21	recipient of	millions of dollars of funds received by
22	Mr. Schreiber	or these bank accounts controlled by him.
23	31477	Is that right?
24	31478	MR. WHITLA: That's correct.
25	31479	MR. ROITENBERG: Do you have an

1	ill	lustration that shows what was observed in that
2	reg	gard or what was lacking in terms of your analysis in
3	tha	at regard?
4	31480	MR. WHITLA: Certainly. I think what
5	nee	eds to be made clear to the Commission is that there
6	is	millions of dollars flowing through these bank
7	aco	counts of which the ultimate recipient, based on the
8	doc	cumentation we were provided with, is unknown.
9	31481	We have identified Phil, if you
10	car	n flip it up in particular extensive cash
11	wit	thdrawals of which, again, because it is a cash
12	wit	thdrawal there would be no evidence to support.
13	31482	That is on the last slide right
14	the	ere.
15	31483	So in other words, \$1.3 million of
16	Car	nadian; Swiss francs of 3.7, I think the translation
17	rat	te would be about 1.2 Canadian. So that would be
18	apr	proximately \$3 million Canadian; Deutsche Marks would
19	be	again somewhere around 2.5 to 2.7 Canadian; and the
20	Fre	ench francs is relatively insignificant.
21	31484	MR. ROITENBERG: So all those funds
22	tha	at we see up there in that slide were funds that we
23	wei	re unable to identify going to any particular
24	inc	dividual?
25	31485	MR. WHITLA: That is strictly just a

1	cash amount, sir. There are numerous instances in our
2	report where amounts are actually transferred to other
3	accounts and we don't know the ultimate recipients of
4	those funds either.
5	31486 MR. ROITENBERG: In reviewing these
6	banking records, including the withdrawals in cash from
7	the Britan account, were you able to reveal any
8	evidence that showed any payments to Mr. Mulroney?
9	MR. WHITLA: None of the banking
10	records we've reviewed had any indication that
11	Mr. Mulroney was the recipient of the funds.
12	31488 MR. ROITENBERG: Mr. Commissioner, I
13	note it is approximately 10 to 11:00. I don't believe
14	I have much more for Mr. Whitla. I would like to
15	confirm that and, in fact, if given the time, I may be
16	able to shorten what I do have left for Mr. Whitla.
17	31489 It might be the opportune time for
18	the morning break.
19	31490 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Just before
20	we leave, I just want to, to use Mr. Roitenberg's word
21	in a nutshell, do I understand that you are saying it
22	is reasonable to conclude that the monies that
23	Mr. Mulroney received from Mr. Schreiber originated
24	from Airbus?
25	MR. WHITLA: That's correct. We

1	believe, while we can't categorically say that's
2	what
3	31492 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: It is
4	reasonable to conclude that the monies that came into
5	Mr. Mulroney's hands came from Airbus?
6	31493 MR. WHITLA: I think that is a
7	reasonable assumption.
8	31494 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: But there is
9	nothing to indicate that he was aware of that?
10	MR. WHITLA: That is correct as well.
11	31496 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: All right.
12	We will break for 15 minutes.
13	31497 MR. ROITENBERG: I just want to
14	qualify something that Mr. Commissioner said because it
15	might have been my misunderstanding of something you
16	had said earlier.
17	My understanding was it was
18	reasonable to conclude that the money that flowed into
19	the Britan account came from Airbus, but there was
20	nothing that you found to link the money that came out
21	of Britan, that that was necessarily the same funds
22	that were given to Mr. Mulroney.
23	MR. WHITLA: Mr. Roitenberg, you are
24	correct, exactly. We don't know if those funds
25	actually were the funds, if any funds were received by

1	Mr. Mulroney.	
2	MR. ROITENBERG: Okay. So	just to
3	follow up on the Commissioner's question, i	t is
4	reasonable to conclude that the money that	flowed into
5	Britan came from Airbus, but to make the li	nk from
6	those funds to Mr. Mulroney, you have to go	by what
7	Mr. Schreiber tells you were the source of	the money
8	that he took out of the bank and handed ove	r in cash?
9	MR. WHITLA: That's correct	ct.
10	MR. ROITENBERG: Thank you	1.
11	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: OF	cay. Thank
12	you.	
13	We will break for 15 minut	les.
14	Upon recessing at 10:50 a.m./ Suspensio	n à 10 h 50
15	Upon resuming at 11:15 a.m. / Reprise à	11 h 15
16	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Be	e seated,
17	please.	
18	Mr. Roitenberg, just before	re you
19	proceed, I just want to get something firm	in my mind.
20	31507 I confess, Mr. Whitla, tha	at as much
21	as I am enjoying hearing you, I think my ey	es glazed
22	over a little bit just before the break.	
23	3 31508 I just want to confirm wit	h you that
24	in a summary way the money that ended up in	Britan, it
25	can be reasonably concluded came from Airbu	S

1	commissions?
2	MR. WHITLA: What we say in our
3	report, sir, is that a reasonable inference can be made
4	and that the monies that ended up in the Britan account
5	were in fact a pool of funds of which 91 per cent were
6	funds that originated with Airbus, or apparently
7	originated with Airbus.
8	31510 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: All right.
9	But that from your perspective as a forensic
10	accountant, you are not able to determine whether the
11	Britan funds were used to pay Mr. Mulroney?
12	MR. WHITLA: That's correct. We have
13	no way of linking the Britan fund withdrawals to
14	Mr. Mulroney.
15	31512 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Okay. Thank
16	you.
17	MR. ROITENBERG: Thank you,
18	Mr. Commissioner.
19	Mr. Whitla, I have just a couple of
20	other questions.
21	First is, I was asking you about
22	documents that you are lacking, and I put to you the
23	notion that we had made a request, that is the
24	Commission had made a request, to the Attorney General
25	for Canada who had in turn made a request to the Swiss

authorities that documents provided to Canada pursuant 1 to the letters of request be released and that as yet 2 3 we have not had a positive response. I am advised that in fact we have had 31516 4 5 a negative response, that they will not provide those. 6 31517 Are you aware of that, sir? MR. WHITLA: I was aware of that with 7 31518 8 regard to the Swiss documents. I was not aware of it with regard to the German documents. 31519 MR. ROITENBERG: Okay. Well, I'm 10 11 speaking of the Swiss documents. 12 31520 MR. WHITLA: Just the Swiss. 13 31521 MR. ROITENBERG: Okay. 14 31522 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Your information is that the Swiss government has refused to 15 16 give permission to the Canadian government to release the documents to the Commission? 17 18 31523 MR. ROITENBERG: For our use, yes. 19 31524 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: For our use. This has nothing to do with banks refusing. It is the 20 Government of Switzerland --21 22 31525 MR. ROITENBERG: That's right. COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: -- that has 23 31526 24 refused a request by the Government of Canada. 25 31527 MR. ROITENBERG: That's correct.

1	31528	Now, if I could, there was just one
2	other area that	I wanted to cover briefly with you.
3	31529	If you could go to the slide before
4	you, just as an	overview again of the Frankfurt funds,
5	I asked you spec	cifically with regard to a deposit of
6	\$500,000 made at	the end of October of 1988 to this
7	account ostensik	oly traced back to payments made by
8	Thyssen in 1988.	
9	31530	Do you recall me asking you about
10	that?	
11	31531	MR. WHITLA: Yes, I do.
12	31532	MR. ROITENBERG: Was there at any
13	time subsequent	to that any other deposits made that
14	can be traced ba	ack to the source being Thyssen?
15	31533	MR. WHITLA: No, there is not. In
16	regard to that a	account, sir.
17	31534	MR. ROITENBERG: Yes, in regard to
18	that account. T	That account was the sole source of
19	funds of the Bri	tan account?
20	31535	MR. WHITLA: That's correct.
21	31536	MR. ROITENBERG: Now, much time has
22	been spent on th	ne slides and I know that there were
23	some additional	slides or blowups or segments that were
24	utilized in this	s slide presentation that are not
25	schedules or cha	arts contained within your report. So

1	I'm going to provide my copy to our Registrar and ask
2	that it be marked as Exhibit P-41, just to complete the
3	picture for Mr. Commissioner.
4	I am as well going to ask that the
5	two books of documents be marked as Exhibit P-42.
6	I believe you have a copy of the two
7	books of documents in support of the report, if those
8	could be marked as P-42.
9	And with that, Mr. Whitla, I am going
10	to thank you for making it somewhat understandable for
11	somebody even as numerically challenged as myself.
12	I believe some of my colleagues may
13	have some questions.
14	MR. WHITLA: Certainly.
15	31542 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Just before
16	we do that, I take it that the package of slides that
17	is to go in as P-41 and the document books, two in
18	number, as P-42 are going by consent.
19	Maître Grondin? Yes?
20	MR. GRONDIN: Yes.
21	31545 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Thank you.
22	31546 Mr. Landry?
23	MR. LANDRY: By consent, yes.
24	31548 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Thank you.
25	31549 Mr. Houston?

_	0.4.5.0
1	MR. HOUSTON: Yes, sir. Thank you.
2	31551 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Mr. Auger?
3	MR. AUGER: Agreed.
4	31553 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Thanks.
5	The package of slides, then, in
6	document form, will be received and marked as Exhibit
7	P-41.
8	EXHIBIT NO. P-41: PowerPoint
9	slide presentation
10	31555 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: And the two
11	binders of documents relied upon by Navigant will be
12	received and marked as Exhibit P-42.
13	EXHIBIT NO. P-42: Two binders
14	of documents entitled "Documents
15	Relied Upon, Appendix 3 to
16	Navigant Consulting Report"
17	31556 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: And that is
18	the extent of your examination thus far at least.
19	MR. ROITENBERG: It is the extent of
20	my examination thus far, Mr. Commissioner. Thank you.
21	31558 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Thank you.
22	Maître Grondin?
23	MR. GRONDIN: I will have a few
24	questions, Mr. Commissioner.
25	EXAMINATION: STEVEN WHITLA BY MR. GRONDIN /

1	INTERROGATOIRE : STEVEN WHITLA PAR Me GRONDIN
2	MR. GRONDIN: Good morning,
3	Mr. Whitla.
4	MR. WHITLA: Good morning, sir.
5	MR. GRONDIN: I will start by saying
6	that if my colleague Mr. Roitenberg is challenged by
7	all this information, being myself the owner of one
8	bank account and having some time to deal with
9	remembering my NIP, it was quite a challenge, too.
10	31564 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: I won't
11	comment on that.
12	MR. GRONDIN: And the account is not
13	in Switzerland, by the way.
14	31566 So, Mr. Whitla, I would like to draw
15	your attention to pages 4 and 5 of your report, please.
16	From subsection 3.1, that is entitled "Bank Accounts of
17	Interest", you list numerous bank accounts or sub
18	accounts that are controlled by Mr. Schreiber or his
19	companies; correct?
20	MR. WHITLA: That is correct.
21	MR. GRONDIN: If you go to the next
22	page, page 5, under the subheading 3.1.2 "Other Bank
23	Accounts", we see other bank accounts controlled either
24	by Mr. Schreiber or his companies; correct?
25	31569 MR. WHITLA: I'm not sure that I can

1	give you a categoric answer that certain of those	
2	accounts or that Mr. Schreiber would admit that he	
3	controls those accounts.	
4	I know that he has admitted that he	
5	controls the 18679 IAL accounts. Beyond that, it is	
6	not clear to me which ones he would say that he	
7	actually controls.	
8	MR. GRONDIN: Okay. But you do refer	
9	in your report on certain occasions to the fact that	
10	banking documents suggest that he had the authority	
11	over such accounts.	
12	MR. WHITLA: Certainly, yes.	
13	MR. GRONDIN: And when we go over the	
14	various schedules that are attached to your report, we	
15	notice further bank accounts that are apparently at	
16	least linked to Mr. Schreiber or his companies:	
17	correct?	
18	MR. WHITLA: There are certain other	
19	accounts where we see transfers out to accounts that	
20	are identified as Mr. Schreiber accounts.	
21	31575 MR. GRONDIN: Right. I have	
22	personally counted approximately 25 of such bank	
23	accounts. Would that be a fair number?	
24	MR. WHITLA: That is probably a	
25	reasonable number. I'm not sure exactly what the	

```
number is, but that is probably a reasonable number.
1
 2
    31577
                           MR. GRONDIN: And you mentioned
 3
         during your testimony that you had been working on this
         file I understand since January --
 5
    31578
                           MR. WHITLA: That's correct.
 6
    31579
                           MR. GRONDIN: -- of this year.
 7
    31580
                           MR. WHITLA: That's correct.
 8
    31581
                           MR. GRONDIN: And I understand that
         you produced this report last week, I believe April
         29th, that is with the conclusions following your
10
11
         analysis; correct?
12
    31582
                           MR. WHITLA: That's correct.
13
    31583
                           MR. GRONDIN: Did you work alone on
         that file or were you assisted by other people?
14
                           MR. WHITLA:
                                        There was a team of
15
    31584
16
         Navigant people who worked on the file.
17
    31585
                           MR. GRONDIN: So then it means that
         since January a team of competent people from Navigant
18
19
         spent a great deal of time working on these various
20
         bank accounts and flow of monies between the accounts
         and --
21
22
    31586
                           MR. WHITLA: Right. There was a team
23
         of effectively six of us working not necessarily
24
         fulltime, but on this since January analyzing the
25
         accounts.
```

1	31587 MR. GRONDIN: Spending a major
2	portion of your time. Would that be fair to say?
3	MR. WHITLA: Most of the team was
4	spending a major portion of their time, yes.
5	MR. GRONDIN: Okay. To be able to
6	arrive at that result
7	MR. WHITLA: That's correct.
8	MR. GRONDIN: in April, four
9	months after.
10	31592 So then would you agree with me that
11	the banking arrangement, if I may call it like that, o
12	Mr. Schreiber is not that of the standard individual,
13	much more complex?
14	MR. WHITLA: There are a significant
15	number of accounts and I would suggest that one would
16	think it was a relatively complex
17	31594 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Could I ask
18	you to put your microphone up, please.
19	31595 MR. WHITLA: Sure. I was told to put
20	it down like this, but I will bring it up for your
21	benefit.
22	MR. GRONDIN: And would you agree,
23	sir, that apart probably for Mr. Schreiber himself,
24	nobody can really know the extent of all his bank
25	accounts and the relationship and flow of money betwee

1	the various bank accounts?
2	31597 MR. WHITLA: I think that if a person
3	had access to all and could follow every piece of
4	deposits and withdrawals from these accounts, you could
5	eventually put it all together. But it would need of
6	course all the banking records to be provided to you.
7	31598 So minus having all exhaustive
8	banking records, it would be largely dependent upon
9	Mr. Schreiber to assist in that regard.
LO	MR. GRONDIN: Even to know the exact
L1	number of bank accounts, because we understood from the
L2	examination of Mr. Schreiber by Mr. Wolson that not all
L3	of his bank accounts had been revealed to the
L4	Commission. Fair?
L5	MR. WHITLA: Yes. You would need
L6	Mr. Schreiber's assistance in that regard.
L7	MR. GRONDIN: Right. So would you
L8	agree with me, Mr. Whitla, that a third party cannot
L9	know about the organization system and flow between the
20	various bank accounts of Mr. Schreiber unless he is the
21	bank manager and has access to all the bank accounts?
22	But a third party doesn't have that access?
23	MR. WHITLA: Again, I will just
24	repeat my previous answer. Without having complete
25	100 per cent access to all the banking records, one

1	could not acco	mplish that.
2	31603	MR. GRONDIN: All right. Actually,
3	when going ove	r all these accounts and complex flow of
4	money between	the accounts, you almost felt like it had
5	been made intr	icate and complex on purpose.
6	31604	But I would draw your attention
7	now	
8	31605	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Just a
9	minute. Is th	at your statement or are you suggesting
10	that is someth	ing that Mr. Whitla said?
11	31606	MR. WHITLA: It's not my statement,
12	sir.	
13	31607	MR. GRONDIN: Would you agree that
14	the arrangemen	t that you described, the partial
15	arrangement, i	s rather complex?
16	31608	MR. WHITLA: I stated that it was
17	relatively com	plex.
18	31609	MR. GRONDIN: Okay.
19	31610	I will now draw your attention to
20	page 2 of the	report, the third paragraph. That says
21	that:	
22		"This report is based on the
23		scope of review as described in
24		Section 2.0."
25	31611	If you turn to the next page, page 3,

we see the heading "Scope of Review". I understand 1 that this is what you are referring to at page 2; 2 3 correct? 31612 MR. WHITLA: That's correct. 5 31613 MR. GRONDIN: And the scope of review includes all the limitations that you described earlier in your testimony; that is that the Commission was 7 8 unable to have access to all of the relevant documents, not provided with a complete set of bank statements and 9 supporting documents and not provided with any original 10 11 documents. 12 31614 That's correct? 13 31615 MR. WHITLA: That's correct. 14 31616 MR. GRONDIN: So that we must understand, therefore, that the report is based on 15 these limitations? 16 17 31617 MR. WHITLA: These certainly are identified as being limitations in our report. 18 19 31618 MR. GRONDIN: Thank you, Mr. Whitla. No further questions. 20 MR. LANDRY: I have no questions, 21 31619 22 Mr. Commissioner. COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: No thanks. 23 31620 Thanks, Maître Landry. 24

StenoTran

Mr. Houston, any questions, sir?

25

31621

1 31622 MR. HOUSTON:	I do. Thank you, sir.
2 31623 COMMISSIONER	OLIPHANT: All right.
3 Pause	
4 31624 MR. HOUSTON:	It sure is fun carrying
around all these books, Mr. Co	mmissioner.
6 31625 COMMISSIONER	OLIPHANT: It's cheaper
7 than having a membership at a	gym.
8 31626 MR. HOUSTON:	That may be true, sir.
9 EXAMINATION: STEVEN WHITLA BY	MR. HOUSTON /
0 INTERROGATOIRE : STEVEN WHITLA	PAR Me HOUSTON
1 31627 MR. HOUSTON:	Good morning.
2 31628 MR. WHITLA:	Good morning, sir.
3 31629 MR. HOUSTON:	I represent Mr. Doucet,
4 sir. I have some questions to	follow up on
5 Mr. Grondin's questions about	some of these accounts.
6 31630 I'm not going	g to suggest my
7 arithmetic is any better than	my colleagues, but I have
8 counted in the pages 4 and 5 s	ome 21 different accounts
9 that are numbered, by my calcu	lation, and at least 15
0 more which by my calculation h	as the number of accounts
1 referred to directly or indire	ctly in your account of
2 somewhere between 35 and 40 ac	counts.
3 31631 Does that sou	and about familiar to
4 you?	
5 31632 MR. WHITLA:	Again, it's a
- 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4	31623 COMMISSIONER Pause 31624 MR. HOUSTON: around all these books, Mr. Co 31625 COMMISSIONER than having a membership at a 31626 MR. HOUSTON: EXAMINATION: STEVEN WHITLA BY INTERROGATOIRE: STEVEN WHITLA 31627 MR. HOUSTON: 31628 MR. WHITLA: 31629 MR. HOUSTON: sir. I have some questions to Mr. Grondin's questions about 31630 I'm not going arithmetic is any better than counted in the pages 4 and 5 s that are numbered, by my calculation h referred to directly or indire somewhere between 35 and 40 ac 31631 Does that sou

significant number. Somewhere in the neighbourhood of 1 25 to 40 is probably a reasonable number of accounts. 2 3 31633 MR. HOUSTON: Just to identify some of these additional accounts, sir, your report has a 4 5 number of schedules. 6 31634 By the way, sir, did you identify a company called Rockcliffe Enterprises as a company 7 owned by Mr. Schreiber? 8 31635 MR. WHITLA: We are aware of that 10 company, yes. 11 31636 MR. HOUSTON: If you would turn to 12 your Schedule 14 -- do you have that, sir? 13 31637 MR. WHITLA: I do, yes. 14 31638 MR. HOUSTON: If I look at page 2 of 4, near the bottom of the page there is an entry for 15 payment of \$700,009.78 to Rockcliffe Enterprises in it 16 looks like 19th of November 1992. 17 18 31639 MR. WHITLA: I see that, sir, yes. 19 31640 MR. HOUSTON: And there is a 20 reference to an account number 0124329, which would appear to be Rockcliffe Enterprises account. 21 MR. WHITLA: Yes. 22 31641 MR. HOUSTON: Would that be correct? 23 31642 MR. WHITLA: That would be correct. 24 31643 25 MR. HOUSTON: Did you have access to 31644

1	that account?
2	MR. WHITLA: We did not, sir.
3	MR. HOUSTON: Would you turn the
4	page. I see on the next page there is a reference to
5	number of payments by the way, these payments are
6	coming from IAL 18679.1?
7	MR. WHITLA: Yes, that is correct,
8	sir.
9	MR. HOUSTON: On the following page
10	we see a number of payments in 1993, beginning in March
11	of 1993, \$50,022; the next month \$50,009. There are
12	some cash withdrawals and then another payment in June
13	of \$50,023.
14	I won't go through all of it, but
15	would you agree with me that there are a number of
16	entries on that page of payments to Rockcliffe
17	Enterprises in 1993?
18	MR. WHITLA: There are.
19	MR. HOUSTON: My arithmetic, again
20	not challenging my friends, has that number somewhere
21	in excess of \$250,000 in 1993 alone.
22	Do you have any information or
23	documents to support what those payments were for?
24	MR. WHITLA: We do not.
25	31654 MP HOUGTON: The game gchedule algo

1 refers to another account. If you turn back to page 2, just above the entry for the \$700,000 figure, there is 2 "Karlheinz Schreiber Account #2106 5962 10" and there 3 are a number of entries for that account. 31655 5 Did you have access to those documents? MR. WHITLA: We did not. 7 31656 31657 MR. HOUSTON: You have included in the material the reference to Bitucan accounts, one in Bank of Nova Scotia, and you referred to Bitucan 10 11 account referable to Bank of Montréal. MR. WHITLA: Yes. I think we 31658 12 13 referred to two separate Bitucan accounts. 31659 MR. HOUSTON: Just for the record, 14 they are at P-42, 9 and 10. It's your Appendix, 15 Mr. Whitla. 16 MR. WHITLA: Yes. 17 31660 18 31661 MR. HOUSTON: At Tab No. 9 we see 19 bank statements for Bank of Nova Scotia beginning at March of 1989. 20 MR. WHITLA: Yes. 21 31662 22 31663 MR. HOUSTON: Over a number of months. Is that correct? 23 MR. WHITLA: Yes, that is correct, 24 31664

StenoTran

25

sir.

1 31665 MR. HOUSTON: By the way, sir, when you had access to the Bank of Nova Scotia documents, 2 3 did you have access to cancelled cheques? MR. WHITLA: I believe we did not, 31666 4 5 sir. 6 31667 MR. HOUSTON: Indeed, did you have 7 access to cancelled cheques on any of the accounts that 8 you examined? 31668 MR. WHITLA: I would have to -- I know we did have withdrawal information for some of 10 11 those. I'm not sure -- I don't believe we had, other than maybe in one instance, a cancelled cheque. 12 13 31669 MR. HOUSTON: Do you recall in your 14 report --MR. WHITLA: I'm sorry, sir, we did 15 31670 have five related to those --16 17 31671 MR. HOUSTON: I'm coming to them in a 18 moment. 19 31672 MR. WHITLA: Okay. Sorry. 31673 MR. HOUSTON: But before we get to 20 the cheques drawn on the Bank of Montréal that are the 21 22 next tab, do you recall in your report, sir, there is 23 reference to cheques of over \$4 million, \$5 million drawn on the account in New York City? 24 MR. WHITLA: I'm sorry, which account 25 31674

1	are you speaking to, sir, the SBC? That is the
2	transfer of the monies in that we infer potentially
3	went to the Frankfurt account?
4	MR. HOUSTON: Page 28 of your report.
5	Do you have that, sir?
6	MR. WHITLA: Yes, I do.
7	MR. HOUSTON: You refer to a cheque
8	in the amount of U.S. \$4,499,995.
9	MR. WHITLA: Yes.
10	MR. HOUSTON: And just down the page
11	there is reference to, it looks like the same cheque
12	number, but the number is \$4,500,000.
13	That is just rounded off? We see it
14	down the page.
15	MR. WHITLA: That's correct, sir.
16	31683 MR. HOUSTON: That particular cheque,
17	did you have access to it?
18	MR. WHITLA: I believe we had a copy
19	of that cheque, yes, sir.
20	MR. HOUSTON: And to whom it was
21	payable?
22	You said it was written from
23	Kensington, payable to whom?
24	MR. WHITLA: Yes, it was payable to
25	IAL, sir.

1	MR. HOUSTON: I see.	
2	31689 And on page 31 there is reference to)
3	some confusion of some cheque in the amount of	
4	\$4 million. Do you see that payment to Karlheinz	
5	Schreiber down at the bottom of page 31?	
6	MR. WHITLA: Yes, sir.	
7	31691 MR. HOUSTON: Did you have access to	,
8	that cheque?	
9	MR. WHITLA: We had access to the	
10	banking documents, but we didn't have I believe the	
11	actual cheque.	
12	In both cases they just reference a	
13	cheque number.	
14	31694 MR. HOUSTON: But you were looking a	.t
15	bank documents which referred to a cheque number and a	ar
16	amount of \$4 million U.S. payable how did you know	
17	it was payable to Mr. Schreiber, by the way?	
18	31695 MR. WHITLA: The bank documents	
19	identified that, sir.	
20	31696 MR. HOUSTON: All right. Then when	
21	we look at your Tab No. 10, you have the copies of	
22	cheques which we have seen a number of times in this	
23	inquiry: four cheques in the amount of \$90,000 and one	3
24	of \$250,000; correct?	
25	31697 MR. WHITLA: Yes, sir.	

1	31698 MR. HOUSTON: Did you have any bank
2	statements for the Bank of Montréal?
3	31699 MR. WHITLA: I don't believe we did,
4	sir.
5	31700 MR. HOUSTON: Do you have any
6	documents from the Bank of Montréal account of Bitucan
7	for the year 1988?
8	MR. WHITLA: No, sir.
9	31702 MR. HOUSTON: Apart from looking at
10	the face of these cheques, can you help the
11	Commissioner at all to determine whether there is any
12	confirmation that these cheques were in fact negotiated
13	through that account?
14	31703 MR. WHITLA: Sir, if these accounts
15	had cleared the bank, the amount if we look at
16	cheque 104 there, for example, if that was a cancelled
17	cheque, the bank would have noted the \$90,000 amount
18	down in the scripting along the bottom of the cheque a
19	having so in other words, as it clears the bank, the
20	\$90,000 amount is included in that scripting along the
21	bottom of the cheque, if it was fact the cancelled
22	cheque.
23	31704 MR. HOUSTON: But you have no bank
24	documents referable to Bank of Montréal?
25	MR. WHITLA: We do not.

1	MR. HOUSTON: You have no idea when
2	that particular account was opened and when it was
3	closed?
4	MR. WHITLA: I don't believe so, sir.
5	MR. HOUSTON: All right, sir. Thank
6	you very much.
7	Those are my questions,
8	Mr. Commissioner.
9	31710 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Thank you,
10	Mr. Houston.
11	31711 Mr. Auger?
12	EXAMINATION: STEVEN WHITLA BY MR. AUGER /
13	INTERROGATOIRE : STEVEN WHITLA PAR Me AUGER
14	MR. AUGER: Just a follow-up to
15	Mr. Doucet's counsel's questions.
16	You in your report and in the course
17	of your retainer did not review any accounts generated
18	by Mr. Doucet?
19	MR. WHITLA: That's correct.
20	MR. AUGER: And the same is true in
21	relation to Mr. Mulroney. Your retainer did not
22	encompass your review of any of Mr. Mulroney's
23	accounts?
24	MR. WHITLA: That's correct.
25	MR. AUGER: My friends had taken you

1	to pages	s 4 and 5 of your report and highlighted that
2	Mr. Schr	reiber had provided virtually dozens of bank
3	records	in relation to his accounts; right?
4	31718	MR. WHITLA: That's correct, sir.
5	31719	MR. AUGER: And indeed at Appendix
6	3 son	rry, it is actually Appendix 2 of your report
7	you set	out under "Scope of Review" the particulars of
8	those ac	ccounts, including the relevant time frames;
9	correct?	
LO	31720	MR. WHITLA: That's correct, sir.
L1	31721	MR. AUGER: And obviously you and
L2	your col	leagues in the course of your retainer reviewed
L3	that wri	tten documentation in order to generate your
L4	report a	and opinion?
L5	31722	MR. WHITLA: That's correct, sir.
L6	31723	MR. AUGER: And I take it that you
L7	will be	able to confirm to the Commissioner that in the
L8	course o	of reviewing literally hundreds of pages of
L9	Mr. Schi	reiber's bank records, you didn't see one
20	redactio	on or blacked out material?
21	31724	MR. WHITLA: That's correct, sir.
22	31725	MR. AUGER: Just following up on
23	Appendix	2 at the bottom, you also in the course of
24	your ret	ainer had the benefit of bullet 2 says:
25		"Mr Schreiberts personal

1	diaries for the period January		
2	1, 1990 to December 31, 1994".		
3	You had the benefit of those		
4	documents as well?		
5	MR. WHITLA: Yes, we did, sir.		
6	31728 MR. AUGER: And you will be able to		
7	confirm to the Commissioner that in the course of		
8	reviewing virtually hundreds of pages of diaries of		
9	Mr. Schreiber's, not one line was blanked out or		
10	redacted?		
11	MR. WHITLA: In the copies we		
12	reviewed, sir, there was no redactions noted.		
13	31730 MR. AUGER: In your opinion, you had		
14	told the Commissioner that, if I got this right, 91 pe		
15	cent of the funds in Frankfurt could be traced to		
16	Airbus.		
17	MR. WHITLA: I'm sorry, 91 per cent		
18	of the funds that were available for the \$500,000		
19	transfer to the Britan account would have originated		
20	back, based on an inference, would relate back to		
21	Airbus.		
22	MR. AUGER: And am I right in terms		
23	of the remaining 9 per cent you are not able to opine		
24	because you don't have the information?		
25	MR. WHITLA: We do have the		

1 information. In fact, if I can refer you to the slide -- actually, why don't we just go to the bottom 2 3 of page 6 of the report. 31734 MR. AUGER: Thank you. 4 5 31735 MR. WHITLA: So if you look there, there is the box that is entitled "Frankfurt". There 6 7 are other amounts identified above, which is the 8 \$143,000. 31736 MR. AUGER: I'm sorry, I am looking at page 6 of your report --10 11 31737 MR. WHITLA: Yes. MR. AUGER: -- or the slides? 12 31738 13 31739 MR. WHITLA: Right. No, six of the 14 report, sorry. 31740 15 MR. AUGER: Thank you. 16 31741 MR. WHITLA: There is a, which we had up as a slide earlier, there is the slide that flows 17 18 the money from Airbus through the SBC New York account 19 into the .4 account and then, based on the 25 per cent patterning and other factors, we then flow it to the 20 Frankfurt account. 21 22 31742 So that represents the 91 per cent of 23 the funds we are talking about. And it is mixed into the Frankfurt 31743 24 25 account with other amounts, \$82,000 of which was the

1	balance in the	Frankfurt account at March 13, '92.
2	There is intere	st income earned on investments, of
3	\$49,605, and the	ere is an additional \$10,000 of an
4	unknown deposit	
5	31744	So that would explain the 9 per cent,
6	sir.	
7	31745	MR. AUGER: In your earlier evidence,
8	in terms of time	e period three and I am looking at
9	the handout tha	t we were given on page 9. I just
10	wanted to follo	w up, because you had told the
11	Commissioner th	at these were seven significant
12	deposits.	
13	31746	MR. WHITLA: Yes.
14	31747	MR. AUGER: And you have highlighted
15	seven significa:	nt deposits.
16	31748	Is there any meaning in terms of your
17	description of	"significant deposits"?
18	31749	MR. WHITLA: Yes, sir. There is only
19	one other depos	it, which is the unknown \$10,000
20	deposit, which	is a tiny little blip in the up that you
21	will see that w	e haven't numbered.
22	31750	MR. AUGER: Very good. Thank you
23	very much.	
24	31751	Thank you, Commissioner.
25	31752	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Thank you,

1	Mr. Auger.	
2	31753 Mi	r. Roitenberg, any redirect?
3	31754 ME	R. ROITENBERG: I have one brief
4	area of re-examinat	ion, Mr. Commissioner.
5	EXAMINATION: STEVEN	WHITLA BY MR. ROITENBERG /
6	INTERROGATOIRE : ST	EVEN WHITLA PAR Me ROITENBERG
7	31755 MI	R. ROITENBERG: It's in furtherance
8	of a question or li	ne of questioning that Mr. Auger put
9	to you regarding Mr	. Schreiber's productions of
10	documents to the Co	mmission.
11	31756 Yo	ou are aware that on March 24, 2009
12	an interview occurr	ed between the Commission and
13	Mr. Schreiber?	
14	31757 MI	R. WHITLA: I'm aware of that, yes.
15	31758 MI	R. ROITENBERG: And you are also
16	aware that on that	date at that time Mr. Schreiber
17	agreed to answer qu	estions of a representative of
18	Navigant Consulting	?
19	31759 MI	R. WHITLA: That's correct, sir.
20	31760 MH	R. ROITENBERG: Thank you, sir.
21	31761 CC	OMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: All right.
22	31762 Is	s there any reason why Mr. Whitla
23	can't be excused at	this point in time?
24	31763 MI	R. ROITENBERG: There is none,
25	Mr. Commissioner.	

1	31764 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Mr. Whitla,
2	thank you very much for coming to assist us. Your help
3	is appreciated. You are free to leave, sir.
4	MR. WHITLA: You are welcome, sir.
5	MR. ROITENBERG: Assuming,
6	Mr. Commissioner, that all parties would be as baffled
7	as I was by the numbers, we had scheduled the entire
8	day for Mr. Whitla's testimony.
9	31767 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: So it only
10	took half a day to baffle you.
11	MR. ROITENBERG: Yes. It usually
12	takes far less than that to baffle me.
13	31769 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: Are you
14	telling us that we are through for today?
15	MR. ROITENBERG: I'm trying not to
16	actually say that out loud, but I think that would be
17	the inference that you should be comfortable drawing.
18	31771 COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: All right.
19	We will make that part of the
20	agreement, then. I am comfortable in drawing the
21	inference.
22	I take it, then, that we will be
23	adjourning until tomorrow morning to hear from
24	Mr. Schreiber?
25	MR. ROITENBERG: That is so.

1	31775	COMMISSIONER OLIPHANT: All right.
2	31776	So 9:30 tomorrow morning, then,
3	coui	nsel, and ladies and gentlemen, at which time
4	Mr.	Schreiber will be recalled.
5	31777	Thank you and good morning.
6	31778	MR. ROITENBERG: Thank you, sir.
7		Whereupon the hearing adjourned at 11:48 a.m.,
8		to resume on Thursday, May 7, 2009 at 9:30 a.m. /
9		L'audience est ajournée à 11 h 48, pour reprendre
10		le jeudi 7 mai 2009 à 9 h 30
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1			
2			
3		We hereby certify th	hat we have accurately
4	transcribed the foregoing to the best of		
5	our skills and abilities.		
6			
7	Nous certifions que ce qui précède est une		
8	transcription exacte et précise au meilleur		
9	de nos connaissances et de nos compétences.		et de nos compétences.
L O			
1			
12			
L3			
_4			
15	Lynda	Johansson	Jean Desaulniers
16			
.7			
L8			
.9			·
20	Fiona	Potvin	Sue Villeneuve
21			
22			
23			
24			
) E			